

MEADOW VALLEY CORP

Form 10-Q

May 17, 2004

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2004
Commission File No 0-25428

MEADOW VALLEY CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Nevada

(State or other Jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

88-0328443

(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

**4411 South 40th Street, Suite D-11
Phoenix, Arizona 85040
(602) 437-5400**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filings requirements for the past 90 days. Yes [] No []

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes [] No []

Number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant's classes of common stock as of May 10, 2004:

**Common Stock, \$.001 par value
3,601,250 shares**

**MEADOW VALLEY CORPORATION
INDEX
REPORT ON FORM 10-Q
FOR THE QUARTER ENDED MARCH 31, 2004**

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited) - Three Months Ended March 31, 2004 and 2003

3

Consolidated Balance Sheets - As of March 31, 2004 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2003

4

Edgar Filing: MEADOW VALLEY CORP - Form 10-Q

| | |
|---|----|
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) - Three Months Ended March 31, 2004 and 2003</u> | 5 |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 7 |
| <u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 15 |
| <u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk</u> | 18 |
| <u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u> | 19 |
| <u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u> | |
| <u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u> | 19 |
| <u>Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K</u> | 21 |
| <u>EX-10.257</u> | |
| <u>EX-10.258</u> | |
| <u>EX-10.259</u> | |
| <u>EX-10.260</u> | |
| <u>EX-10.261</u> | |
| <u>EX-10.262</u> | |
| <u>EX-10.263</u> | |
| <u>EX-31.7</u> | |
| <u>EX-31.8</u> | |
| <u>EX-32.4</u> | |

Table of Contents**PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements****MEADOW VALLEY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(Unaudited)**

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|-------------------------------------|---|--------------|
| | 2004 | 2003 |
| Revenue: | | |
| Construction Services | \$25,577,586 | \$22,363,021 |
| Construction Materials | 13,591,147 | 9,831,090 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Total revenue | 39,168,733 | 32,194,111 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Cost of revenue: | | |
| Construction Services | 24,466,026 | 21,513,704 |
| Construction Materials | 12,238,732 | 8,974,239 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Total cost of revenue | 36,704,758 | 30,487,943 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Gross profit | 2,463,975 | 1,706,168 |
| General and administrative expenses | 1,672,519 | 1,579,666 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Income from operations | 791,456 | 126,502 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Other income (expense): | | |
| Interest income | 11,947 | 17,751 |
| Interest expense | (84,286) | (107,852) |
| Other expense | (20,456) | (4,955) |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| | (92,795) | (95,056) |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Income before income taxes | 698,661 | 31,446 |
| Income tax expense | (261,998) | (11,792) |

| | | |
|--|------------|-----------|
| Net income | \$ 436,663 | \$ 19,654 |
| Basic net income per common share | \$ 0.12 | \$ 0.01 |
| Diluted net income per common share | \$ 0.12 | \$ 0.01 |
| Basic weighted average common shares outstanding | 3,601,250 | 3,568,659 |
| Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding | 3,754,754 | 3,568,659 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**MEADOW VALLEY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

| | March 31, 2004 | December 31, 2003 |
|---|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| | (Unaudited) | |
| Assets: | | |
| Current Assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 5,686,442 | \$ 4,738,388 |
| Restricted cash | 1,587,432 | 1,844,891 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 23,674,980 | 20,664,022 |
| Claims receivable | | 4,101,898 |
| Prepaid expenses and other | 1,977,692 | 2,196,899 |
| Inventory, net | 1,017,584 | 1,249,118 |
| Land held for sale | | 264,738 |
| Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts | 1,752,897 | 1,463,309 |
| Deferred tax asset | 981,898 | 1,243,896 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Total Current Assets | 36,678,925 | 37,767,159 |
| Property and equipment, net | 13,180,197 | 13,127,675 |
| Refundable deposits | 194,299 | 94,299 |
| Mineral rights and pit development, net | 294,843 | 309,110 |
| Claims receivable | 3,521,080 | 3,521,080 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Total Assets | \$53,869,344 | \$54,819,323 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity: | | |
| Current Liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 17,269,110 | \$ 18,646,857 |
| Accrued liabilities | 4,034,267 | 4,563,816 |
| Notes payable | 3,452,914 | 3,512,286 |
| Obligations under capital leases | 787,110 | 878,280 |
| Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts | 6,024,090 | 4,955,454 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Total Current Liabilities | 31,567,491 | 32,556,693 |
| Deferred tax liability | 2,604,652 | 2,604,652 |
| Notes payable, less current portion | 6,753,229 | 6,999,729 |
| Obligations under capital leases, less current portion | 934,125 | 1,085,064 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |

Edgar Filing: MEADOW VALLEY CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|
| Total Liabilities | <u>41,859,497</u> | <u>43,246,138</u> |
| Commitments and contingencies | | |
| Stockholders' Equity: | | |
| Preferred stock - \$.001 par value; 1,000,000 shares authorized, none issued and outstanding | | |
| Common stock - \$.001 par value; 15,000,000 shares authorized, 3,601,250 and 3,601,250 issued and outstanding | 3,601 | 3,601 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 10,943,569 | 10,943,569 |
| Capital adjustments | (799,147) | (799,147) |
| Retained earnings | <u>1,861,824</u> | <u>1,425,162</u> |
| Total Stockholders' Equity | <u>12,009,847</u> | <u>11,573,185</u> |
| Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity | <u>\$53,869,344</u> | <u>\$54,819,323</u> |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**MEADOW VALLEY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****(Unaudited)**

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|--|---|---------------|
| | 2004 | 2003 |
| Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents: | | |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Cash received from customers | \$ 40,932,780 | \$ 33,906,983 |
| Cash paid to suppliers and employees | (39,165,768) | (32,417,336) |
| Interest received | 11,947 | 17,751 |
| Interest paid | (84,286) | (107,852) |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 1,694,673 | 1,399,546 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Decrease in restricted cash | 257,459 | 673,291 |
| Proceeds from sale of property, equipment and land | 360,106 | 2,000 |
| Purchase of property and equipment | (241,023) | (13,166) |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Net cash provided by investing activities | 376,542 | 662,125 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Proceeds from notes payable | 112,500 | |
| Repayment of notes payable | (993,552) | (899,576) |
| Repayment of capital lease obligations | (242,109) | (256,934) |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (1,123,161) | (1,156,510) |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 948,054 | 905,161 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 4,738,388 | 3,289,535 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 5,686,442 | \$ 4,194,696 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

MEADOW VALLEY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Continued)
(Unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|---|--------------|
| | 2004 | 2003 |
| Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents (Continued): | | |
| Reconciliation of Net Income to Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities: | | |
| Net Income | \$ 436,663 | \$ 19,654 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 679,190 | 690,735 |
| Loss (Gain) on sale of property, equipment and land | 3,389 | (2,000) |
| Deferred taxes, net | 261,998 | 11,792 |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | 88,874 | 30,858 |
| Allowance for slow moving inventory | 193,104 | |
| Changes in Operating Assets and Liabilities: | | |
| Accounts receivable | (3,099,832) | (499,432) |
| Prepaid expenses and other | 219,207 | 390,184 |
| Inventory | 38,430 | (100,920) |
| Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts | (289,588) | 152,383 |
| Refundable deposits | (100,000) | (17,250) |
| Claims receivable | 4,101,898 | 852,867 |
| Other assets | | 32,223 |
| Accounts payable | (1,377,747) | (1,833,751) |
| Accrued liabilities | (529,549) | 465,149 |
| Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts | 1,068,636 | 1,207,054 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$ 1,694,673 | \$ 1,399,546 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

MEADOW VALLEY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies and Use of Estimates:

Presentation of Interim Information:

The consolidated financial statements included herein have been prepared by Meadow Valley Corporation (we , us , our or Company) without audit, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and should be read in conjunction with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003 as filed with the SEC under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America have been condensed or omitted, as permitted by the SEC, although we believe the disclosures, which are made are adequate to make the information presented not misleading. Further, the consolidated financial statements reflect, in the opinion of management, all normal recurring adjustments necessary to present fairly our financial position at March 31, 2004 and the results of our operations and cash flows for the periods presented. The December 31, 2003 consolidated balance sheet data was derived from audited consolidated financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Interim results are subject to significant seasonal variations and the results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2004 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year.

Nature of Corporation:

Meadow Valley Corporation (the Company) was organized under the laws of the State of Nevada on September 15, 1994. The principal business purpose of the Company is to operate as the holding company of Meadow Valley Contractors, Inc. (MVCI) and Ready Mix, Inc. (RMI). MVCI is a general contractor, primarily engaged in the construction of structural concrete highway bridges and overpasses, and the paving of highways and airport runways for various governmental authorities and municipalities in the states of Nevada, Arizona and Utah. RMI manufactures and distributes ready mix concrete in the Las Vegas, NV and Phoenix, AZ metropolitan areas.

Liquidity:

The Company had income from operations for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2003 of \$791,456 and \$126,502 and has provided cash in operating activities of \$1,694,673 and \$1,399,546 for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2003.

Revenue and Cost Recognition:

Revenues and costs from fixed-price and modified fixed-price construction contracts are recognized for each contract on the percentage-of-completion method, measured by the percentage of costs incurred to date to the estimated total direct costs. Direct costs include, among other things, direct labor, field labor, equipment rent, subcontracting, direct materials and direct overhead. General and administrative expenses are accounted for as period costs and are, therefore, not included in the calculation of the estimates to complete construction contracts in progress. Project losses are provided in the period in which such losses are determined, without reference to the percentage-of-completion. As contracts can extend over one or more accounting periods, revisions in costs and earnings estimated during the course of the work are reflected during the accounting period in which the facts that required such revision become known.

Claims for additional contract revenue are recognized only to the extent that contract costs relating to the claim have been incurred and evidence provides a legal basis for the claim. As of March 31, 2004, the total amount of

contract claims filed by the Company with various public entities was \$18,835,979. Of that sum, the Company's portion was \$10,548,878 and the balance of \$8,287,101 pertains to a prime contractor or subcontractors' claims.

Total claim amounts reported by the Company in its filings are approximate and are subject to revision as final documentation, resolution of issues, settlements progress and/or payments are made. Relative to the aforementioned claims, the Company has recorded \$3,521,080 in cumulative claim receivable as of March 31, 2004 to offset a portion of costs incurred to-date on the claims. The claims receivable as of March 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003 are comprised of a long-term portion of \$3,521,080 and \$3,521,080 and a current portion of \$0 and \$4,101,898, respectively.

Table of Contents

**MEADOW VALLEY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies and Use of Estimates (Continued):*Revenue and Cost Recognition (Continued):*

The Company has not accrued a liability related to the prime contractor or subcontractors' claims as no liability would be deemed payable if their portion of the claims did not receive a favorable outcome, correspondingly, no receivable has been recorded for overhead and profit included in their portion of the claims on the Company's behalf.

Although the Company believes these amounts represent a reasonably conservative posture, any claims proceeds and payments for previously unpaid quantities, unpaid change orders and pending change orders ultimately paid to the Company less than the aggregate amount recorded on the balance sheet of \$3,521,080 will result in a charge to earnings. Conversely, a payment for those same items in excess of \$3,521,080 will result in an increase in income.

A common and customary practice in construction contracts is the owner's withholding of a portion of the contract in the form of retention. Retention practices vary from contract to contract, but in general, retention (usually somewhere between 5% to 10% of the contract) is withheld from each progress payment by the owner and then paid upon satisfactory completion of the contract. Contract proceeds comprising retention are included in the Company's balance sheet in accounts receivable. The portion of accounts receivable pertaining to retention withheld on the contracts for which claims have been filed amounts to \$880,763 as of March 31, 2004. The degree to which the Company is successful in recouping its costs from the claims may also impact the amount of retention paid by the owner.

The Company believes that all retention amounts currently being held by the owners on the contracts with outstanding claims will be paid in full in accordance with the contract terms. Therefore, no allowance has been made to reduce the receivables due from the retention on the disputed contracts.

Stock Option Expense:

In November 1994, the Company adopted a Stock Option Plan providing for the granting of both qualified incentive stock options and non-qualified stock options. The Company has reserved 1,200,000 shares of its common stock for issuance under the Plan. Granting of the options is at the discretion of the Board of Directors and may be awarded to employees and consultants. Consultants may receive only non-qualified stock options. The maximum term of the stock options are 10 years and may be exercised as follows: 33.3% after one year of continuous service, 66.6% after two years of continuous service and 100% after three years of continuous service. The exercise price of each option is equal to the market price of the Company's common stock on the date of grant.

All stock options issued to employees have an exercise price not less than the fair market value of the Company's Common Stock on the date of grant. In accordance with accounting for such options utilizing the intrinsic value method, there is no related compensation expense recorded in the Company's financial statements for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2003. Had compensation cost for stock-based compensation been determined based on the fair value of the options at the grant dates consistent with the method of SFAS 123, the Company's net income (loss) and earnings per share for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2003 would have been reduced to the pro forma amounts presented below:

| | |
|--|--|
| Three Months Ended March 31, 2004 | Three Months Ended March 31, 2003 |
|--|--|

Edgar Filing: MEADOW VALLEY CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|-------------------|--------------------|
| Net income, as reported | <u>\$ 436,663</u> | <u>\$ 19,654</u> |
| Add: Stock-based Employee compensation expense included in reported income, net of related tax effects | | |
| Deduct: Total stock-based Employee compensation expense determined under fair value based methods for all awards, net of related tax effects | <u>(49,688)</u> | <u>(58,604)</u> |
| Pro forma net income (loss) | <u>\$ 386,975</u> | <u>\$ (38,950)</u> |

Table of Contents

MEADOW VALLEY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies and Use of Estimates (Continued):*Stock Option Expense (Continued):*

| | Three Months Ended March 31, 2004 | Three Months Ended March 31, 2003 |
|--|--|--|
| Basic net income (loss) per common share | | |
| As Reported | \$ 0.12 | \$ 0.01 |
| Pro forma | 0.11 | (0.01) |
| Diluted net income (loss) per common share | | |
| As Reported | \$ 0.12 | \$ 0.01 |
| Pro forma | 0.10 | (0.01) |

The fair value of option grants is estimated as of the date of grant utilizing the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions for grants in 2003: expected life of options of 3 years, expected volatility of 82.23%, risk-free interest rates of 5%, and a 0% dividend yield. The weighted average fair value at date of grant for options granted during 2003 was approximated \$.82.

The fair value of option grants is estimated as of the date of grant utilizing the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions for grants in 2001: expected life of options of 5 years, expected volatility of 60.85%, risk-free interest rates of 8%, and a 0% dividend yield. The weighted average fair value at date of grant for options granted during 2001 was approximated \$.97.

2. Land Held for Sale:

The Company sold a parcel of land, held by the construction service segment, to a third party for \$350,000 resulting in a gain on the disposal of the land in the amount of \$44,668, which is included in other expense.

3. Notes Payable:

Summary of first quarter additions to notes payable and its balance at March 31, 2004:

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Note payable, interest rate at 5.25%, with monthly payments of \$2,722, due April 1, 2005, collateralized by equipment | \$ 31,740 |
| Note payable, interest rate at 5.99%, with monthly payments of \$2,001, due February 19, 2009, collateralized by vehicles | 102,030 |
| Note payable, interest rate at 5.99%, with monthly payments of \$553, due January 31, 2009, collateralized by a vehicle | 27,800 |
| Notes payable, interest rate at 5.31%, with monthly combined payments of \$4,982, due between February 9 and April 9, 2009, collateralized by vehicles | 260,853 |
| | <hr/> |
| | \$422,423 |
| | <hr/> |

Table of Contents

MEADOW VALLEY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

3. Notes Payable (Continued):

Summary of first quarter additions to notes payable and its balance at March 31, 2004 (Continued):

| | |
|---|-------------------|
| Total from previous page | \$ 422,423 |
| Note payable, interest rate at 4.9%, with monthly payments of \$4,050, due February 25, 2007, collateralized by equipment | 131,857 |
| Note payable, interest rate at 5.9%, with monthly principal payments of \$3,135, due February 25, 2007, collateralized by equipment | 109,715 |
| Non-interest bearing note payable, with monthly payments of \$390, due February 12, 2007, collateralized by equipment | <u>13,648</u> |
| | 677,643 |
| Less: current portion | <u>(187,209)</u> |
| | <u>\$ 490,434</u> |

Following are maturities of the above long-term debt for each of the next five years:

| | |
|------|-------------------|
| 2005 | \$ 187,209 |
| 2006 | 162,124 |
| 2007 | 161,059 |
| 2008 | 83,275 |
| 2009 | <u>83,976</u> |
| | <u>\$ 677,643</u> |

4. Commitments:

During the quarter ended March 31, 2004, the Company leased various pieces of equipment and vehicles, with a combined monthly payment of \$38,543. Minimum future rental payments under the non-cancelable operating leases as of March 31, 2004 and for each of the next five years are:

| | |
|------|----------------|
| 2005 | \$ 462,516 |
| 2006 | 462,516 |
| 2007 | 445,973 |
| 2008 | 264,000 |
| 2009 | <u>231,375</u> |

\$1,866,380

During the quarter ended March 31, 2004, the Company renewed three, three-year employment agreements with three of its key officers that provide for an annual salary and various other benefits and incentives. As of March 31, 2004 the total commitments, excluding benefits and incentives, amount to \$1,410,000.

Table of Contents

**MEADOW VALLEY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

4. Commitments (Continued):

The Company has agreed to indemnify its officers and directors for certain events or occurrences arising as a result of the officer or directors serving in such capacity. The term of the indemnification period is for the officer's or director's lifetime. The maximum potential amount of future payments the Company could be required to make under these indemnification agreements is unlimited. However, the Company has a directors and officer liability insurance policy that enables it to recover a portion of any future amounts paid. As a result of its insurance policy coverage, the Company believes the estimated fair value of these indemnification agreements is minimal and has no liabilities recorded for these agreements as of March 31, 2004.

The Company enters into indemnification provisions under its agreements with other companies in its ordinary course of business, typically with surety companies, business partners, contractors, customers, landlords, lenders and lessors. Under these provisions the Company generally indemnifies and holds harmless the indemnified party for losses suffered or incurred by the indemnified party as a result of the Company's activities or, in some cases, as a result of the indemnified party's activities under the agreement. The maximum potential amount of future payments the Company could be required to make under these indemnification provisions is unlimited. The Company has not incurred material costs to defend lawsuits or settle claims related to these indemnification agreements. As a result, the Company believes the estimated fair value of these agreements is minimal. Accordingly, the Company has no liabilities recorded for these agreements as of March 31, 2004.

5. Statement of Cash Flows:

Non-Cash Investing and Financing Activities:

The Company recognized investing and financing activities that affected assets and liabilities, but did not result in cash receipts or payments. These non-cash activities are as follows:

During the three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2003, the Company financed the purchase of equipment in the amount of \$575,180 and \$103,751, respectively.

6. Litigation and Claim Matters:

The Company is a party to legal proceedings in the ordinary course of its business. With the exception of those matters detailed below, the Company believes that the nature of these proceedings (which generally relate to disputes between the Company and its subcontractors, material suppliers or customers regarding payment for work performed or materials supplied) are typical for a construction firm of its size and scope, and no other pending proceedings are material to its financial condition.

The following proceedings represent matters that may become material and have already been or may soon be referred to legal counsel for further action:

Requests for Equitable Adjustment to Construction Contracts. The Company has made claims as described below on the following contracts:

- (1) Two contracts with the New Mexico State Highway and Transportation Department. The total value of claims on these projects is \$12,002,782 of which

\$8,336,931 is on behalf of the Company and the balance of \$3,665,851 is on behalf of the prime contractor or subcontractors. The primary issues are changed conditions, plan errors and omissions, contract modifications and associated delay costs. In addition, the projects were not completed within the adjusted contract time because of events giving rise to the claims. The prosecution of the claims will include the appropriate extensions of contract time to offset any potential liquidated damages.

- (2) Clark County, Nevada A partial ruling by a majority of the three-member arbitration panel rejected a significant portion of this claim that was primarily asserted by a subcontractor. The hearings are scheduled to reconvene in July 2004. Procedurally, the Company will likely file a motion for reconsideration. The revised approximate total value of claims on this project is \$6,833,197 of which \$2,211,947 is on behalf of the Company and the balance of \$4,621,250 is on behalf of a subcontractor.

Table of Contents

**MEADOW VALLEY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

6. Litigation and Claim Matters (Continued):

The primary issues are changed conditions, constructive changes, contract modifications and associated delay costs.

Factoring in the arbitration panel's decision on the Clark County claim, the combined total of all outstanding claims was reduced from \$31,138,179 to \$18,835,979. The Company's portion of the total claims was reduced from \$14,587,063 to \$10,548,878 and the balance pertaining to prime contractor or subcontractor claims was reduced from \$16,551,116 to \$8,287,101. Total claim amounts reported by the Company are approximate and are subject to revision as final documentation progresses and as issues are resolved and/or payments made. Claim amounts do not include any prejudgment interest, if applicable. Relative to the aforementioned claims, the Company has recorded \$3,521,080 in cumulative claim receivable to offset a portion of costs incurred to date on the claims.

The Company has not accrued a liability related to the prime contractor or subcontractors' claims as no liability would be deemed payable if their portion of the claims did not receive a favorable outcome. Correspondingly, no receivable has been recorded for overhead and profit included in their portion of the claims on the Company's behalf.

Although the Company believes these amounts represent a reasonably conservative posture, any claims proceeds and payments for previously unpaid quantities, unpaid change orders and pending change orders ultimately paid to the Company less than \$3,521,080 will reduce income. Conversely, a payment for those same items in excess of \$3,521,080 will increase income.

The portion of accounts receivable pertaining to retention withheld on the contracts for which claims have been filed amounts to \$880,763 as of March 31, 2004. The degree to which the Company is successful in recovering its costs from the claims may also impact the amount of retention paid by the owner. The Company believes that all retention amounts currently being held by the owners on the contracts with outstanding claims will be paid in full in accordance with the contract terms. Therefore, no allowance has been made to reduce the receivables due from the retention on the disputed contracts.

In January 2004, the Company reached a settlement agreement with the New Mexico State Highway and Transportation Department (NMSHTD) on three claims not included above. The Company agreed to accept \$7,000,000, including retention of \$1,127,636, in exchange for releasing the NMSHTD from the claims. The claim amount of the three settled claims totaled \$15,463,207, of which \$15,366,128 was on behalf of the Company and the balance of \$97,079 was on behalf of subcontractors. The Company had previously recorded a claim receivable in the amount of \$4,101,898 related to the three settled claims, resulting in a net gain of approximately \$1,700,000.

Lawsuits Filed Against Meadow Valley Contractors, Inc.

- (1) Innovative Construction Systems, Inc. (ICS), District Court, Clark County, NV ICS was a subcontractor to MVCI on several projects. ICS failed to make payments of payroll, pension fund contributions and other taxes for which the Internal Revenue Service garnished any future payments due ICS on MVCI's projects. As a result, ICS failed to supply labor to perform its work and defaulted on its subcontracts. MVCI terminated the ICS subcontracts and performed the work with MVCI's personnel. ICS alleges it was wrongfully terminated and is asserting numerous claims for damages. ICS claims against MVCI total approximately \$15,000,000. The Company does not believe ICS' claims have merit and intends to vigorously defend against these claims and has filed counter-claims for approximately \$3,200,000 seeking to recover the damages ICS has caused MVCI through its failure to perform and satisfy its financial obligations. As such, no liability has been recorded in the accompanying financial statements for any potential loss arising from this claim. In

September 2003, a binding arbitration agreement was entered into between ICS and the Company to stay all actions until the Clark County, Nevada claim, as mentioned above, has concluded, a decision rendered, payment received from the county, and the funds are escrowed. At that time, all remaining matters between MVCI and ICS will be heard before a three-person binding arbitration panel.

Table of Contents

**MEADOW VALLEY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

6. Litigation and Claim Matters (Continued):

- (2) Progressive Contracting Inc. (PCI), District Court, Clark County, NV PCI was a subcontractor to MVCI on a project where there is a dispute with the owner regarding delays to the project. PCI claims they were damaged by these delays in an amount in excess of \$300,000. The Company believes that under the terms of the contract with PCI they are only entitled to compensation for the delays if MVCI is compensated by the owner. MVCI has submitted PCI s claims to the owner and they are included in the total claim amount MVCI has submitted. In July 2003, PCI filed a notice of dismissal without prejudice. In February 2004, a settlement was reached wherein PCI agrees to accept the value determined by the arbitrators, as being owed to PCI by Clark County, if any, as full and final payment in the dispute.
- (3) MVCI is defending against a claimed preference, in the Third Judicial Court of Salt Lake County, in connection with a payment made to it by an insurance company, Southern America Insurance Company, in the approximate amount of \$100,000. MVCI believes that the payment is not a preference, and is vigorously defending the action.

7. Subsequent Events:

In April 2004, the Company financed a portion of the purchase of a parcel of land in the Las Vegas metropolitan area for use as an additional batching facility for its materials segment for an agreed upon purchase price of \$1,200,000. The note payable obligations totaling \$975,250 have interest rates ranging from 6.125% to 6.25% with a combined monthly payment of \$8,371 and a lump sum principal payment due April 16, 2009.

In April 2004, the Company financed the purchase of several vehicles in the amount of \$180,321. The note payable obligations with interest rates ranging from 5.31% to 5.33% with a combined monthly payment of \$3,432 and are due April 8, 2009.

In April 2004, the Company financed the purchase of several pieces of equipment in the amount of \$186,047. The note payable obligations have interest rates ranging from 1.99% to 5.85% with a combined monthly payment of \$7,446 and are due between April 5, 2007 and April 8, 2007.

In April 2004, the Company financed the purchase of several pieces of equipment in the amount of \$153,338. The capital lease obligations have interest rates ranging from 5.79% to 5.85% with a combined monthly payment of \$4,105 and are due between April 1, 2007 and April 1, 2008.

In May 2004, the Company financed the purchase of a piece of equipment in the amount of \$12,040. The note payable obligation is non interest bearing and is due May 7, 2005.

Table of Contents

MEADOW VALLEY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

8. Segment Information:

The Company manages and operates two segments — construction services and construction materials. The construction services segment provides construction services to a broad range of public and some private customers primarily in the western states of Arizona, Nevada and Utah. Through this segment, the Company performs heavy civil construction such as the construction of bridges and overpasses, channels, roadways, highways and airport runways. The construction materials segment manufactures and distributes ready mix concrete and sand and gravel products in the Las Vegas, NV and Phoenix, AZ markets. Material customers include concrete subcontractors, prime contractors, homebuilders, commercial and industrial property developers, pool builders and homeowners. The construction materials segment operates out of two locations in the Las Vegas, NV vicinity, one location in the Moapa, NV vicinity and two locations in the Phoenix, AZ vicinity.

| (dollars in thousands) | Three Months Ended March 31, | | | |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| | 2004 | | 2003 | |
| | Construction Services | Construction Materials | Construction Services | Construction Materials |
| Gross revenue | \$25,578 | \$13,594 | \$22,363 | \$ 9,949 |
| Intercompany revenue | | 3 | | 118 |
| Cost of revenue | 24,466 | 12,242 | 21,514 | 9,092 |
| Interest income | 9 | 3 | 16 | 2 |
| Interest expense | 45 | 39 | 62 | 46 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 359 | 320 | 381 | 310 |
| Income (loss) before taxes | (23) | 722 | (249) | 280 |
| Income tax benefit (expense) | 9 | (271) | 94 | (105) |
| Net income (loss) | (14) | 451 | (155) | 175 |
| Total assets | 38,000 | 15,869 | 38,553 | 15,802 |

There are no differences in accounting principals between the segments. All centrally incurred costs are allocated to the construction services segment. Intercompany revenue is eliminated at cost to arrive at consolidated revenue and cost of revenue.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Forward-Looking Disclosure

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the safe harbor provision of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 regarding future events and the future results of Meadow Valley Corporation that are based on current expectations, estimates, forecasts, and projects as well as the beliefs and assumptions of Meadow Valley's management. Words such as outlook, believes, expects, appears, may, will, should, anticipates or the negative thereof or comparable terminology, are intended to identify such forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are only predictions and are subject to risks, uncertainties and assumptions that are difficult to predict. Therefore, actual results may differ materially and adversely from those expressed in any forward-looking statements. Factors that might cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to those discussed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K under the section entitled Risk Factors. You should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this Quarterly Report. Meadow Valley Corporation undertakes no obligation to revise or update publicly any forward-looking statements for any reason.

General

The following is management's discussion and analysis of certain significant factors affecting the Company's financial position and operating results during the periods included in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

Except for the historical information contained herein, the matters set forth in this report are forward-looking statements.

The Company's backlog (anticipated revenue from the uncompleted portions of awarded projects) was approximately \$53.9 million at March 31, 2004, compared to approximately \$73.4 million at March 31, 2003. At March 31, 2004, the Company's backlog included approximately \$48.0 million of work that is scheduled for completion during 2004.

Revenue on uncompleted fixed price contracts is recorded under the percentage-of-completion method of accounting. The Company begins to recognize revenue on its contracts when it first accrues direct costs. Contracts often involve work periods in excess of one year and revisions in cost and profit estimates during construction are reflected in the accounting period in which the facts that require the revisions become known. Losses on contracts, if any, are provided in total when determined, regardless of the percent complete. Claims for additional contract revenue are recognized only to the extent that contract costs relating to the claim have been incurred and evidence provides a legal basis for the claim. As of March 31, 2004, the total amount of contract claims filed by the Company with various public entities was \$18,835,979. Of that sum, the Company's portion is \$10,548,878 and the balance of \$8,287,101 pertains to a prime contractor and subcontractors' claims. Total claim amounts reported by the Company in its filings are approximate and are subject to revision as final documentation, resolution of issues, settlements progress and/or payments are made. Relative to the aforementioned claims, the Company has recorded \$3,521,080 in cumulative claim receivable to offset a portion of the costs incurred to-date on the claims. The Company segregates its claims receivable between current and long-term assets based on the resolution of specific claims, or portion of claims, and as collection of funds is determined. In the accompanying balance sheets, the current and the long-term portion of the claims receivable were in the amounts of \$0 and \$3,521,080 as of March 31, 2004 and \$4,101,898 and \$3,521,080 as of December 31, 2003.

Although the Company believes these amounts represent a reasonably conservative posture, any claims proceeds and payments for previously unpaid quantities, unpaid change orders and pending change orders ultimately paid to the Company less than the aggregate amount recorded on the balance sheet of \$3,521,080 will result in a charge to earnings. Conversely, a payment for those same items in excess of \$3,521,080 will result in an increase in income.

As of March 31, 2004, the portion of accounts receivable pertaining to retention withheld on the contracts for which claims have been filed amounts to \$880,763. The degree to which the Company is successful in recouping its costs from the claims may also impact the amount of retention paid by the owner. The Company believes that all retention amounts currently being held by the owners on the contracts with outstanding claims will

Table of Contents

be paid in full in accordance with the contract terms. Therefore, no allowance has been made to reduce the receivables due from the retention on the disputed contracts.

In January 2004, the Company reached a settlement agreement with the New Mexico State Highway and Transportation Department (NMSHTD) on three claims, not included above. The Company agreed to accept \$7,000,000, including retention of \$1,127,636, in exchange for releasing the NMSHTD from the claims. The claim amount of the three settled claims totaled \$15,463,207, of which \$15,366,128 was on behalf of the Company and the balance of \$97,079 was on behalf of subcontractors. The Company had previously recorded a claim receivable in the amount of \$4,101,898 related to the above mentioned claims, resulting in a net gain of approximately \$1,700,000.

Critical Accounting Policies, Estimates and Judgments

Significant accounting policies are described in the audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003. We believe our most critical accounting policies are the revenue recognition and cost estimation on certain contracts for which we use a percentage-of-completion accounting method, our allowance for doubtful accounts and the allowance for slow moving inventory. The revenue recognition and cost estimation accounting method is applied by our Construction Services Operations to heavy construction projects executed under multi-year contracts with various customers.

Revenues and costs from fixed-price and modified fixed-price construction contracts are recognized for each contract on the percentage-of-completion method, measured by the percentage of costs incurred to date to the estimated total of direct costs. Direct costs include, among other things, direct labor, field labor, equipment rent, subcontracting, direct materials, and direct overhead. General and administrative expenses are accounted for as period costs and are, therefore, not included in the calculation of the estimates to complete construction contracts in progress. Project losses are provided in the period in which such losses are determined, without reference to the percentage-of-completion. As contracts can extend over one or more accounting periods, revisions in costs and earnings estimated during the course of the work are reflected during the accounting period in which the facts that required such revisions become known.

The asset costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts represents revenue recognized in excess of amounts billed. The liability billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts represents billings in excess of revenues recognized.

The complexity of the estimation process and all issues related to the assumptions, risks and uncertainties inherent with the application of the percentage-of-completion method of accounting affects the amounts reported in our financial statements. A number of internal and external factors affect our percentage-of-completion estimates, including labor rate and efficiency variances, estimated future material prices and customer specification changes. If our business conditions were different, or if we used different assumptions in the application of this accounting policy, it is likely that materially different amounts would be reported in our financial statements.

We are also required to estimate the collectibility of our accounts receivable. A considerable amount of judgment is required in assessing the realization of these receivables, including the current creditworthiness of each customer and the related aging of the past due balances. Our provision for bad debts at March 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003 amounted to \$799,027 and \$710,153, respectively. We determine our reserve by using percentages applied to certain aged receivable categories and percentages of certain types of revenue generated.

In addition, we are required to state our inventories at the lower of cost or market. In assessing the ultimate realization of inventories, we are required to make judgments as to the future demand requirements and compare these with the current inventory levels. Our reserve requirements generally increase as our projected demand requirements

decrease due to market conditions and longer than expected usage periods. At March 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003, inventories of \$1,017,584 and \$1,249,118, are net of reserves of \$1,379,301 and \$1,186,197, respectively. It is possible that significant changes in required inventory reserves may continue to occur in the future if there is a further change in market conditions.

As discussed elsewhere in these filing, we disclose various litigation and claims matters. These issues involve significant estimates and judgments, which may materially change in future periods due to change in circumstances.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations**

The following table sets forth, for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2003, certain items derived from the Company's consolidated statements of operations expressed as a percentage of revenue.

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------|
| | 2004 | 2003 |
| Revenue | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Gross Profit | 6.3% | 5.3% |
| General and administrative expenses | 4.3% | 4.9% |
| Interest income | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Interest expense | -0.2% | -0.3% |
| Other income | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Income before income taxes | 1.8% | 0.1% |
| Income tax expense | -0.7% | 0.0% |
| Net income | 1.1% | 0.1% |

Three Months Ended March 31, 2004 compared to Three Months Ended March 31, 2003

Revenue and Backlog. Consolidated revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2004 (interim 2004) was \$39.2 million compared to \$32.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2003 (interim 2003). The increase in revenue was the result of a \$3.8 million increase in revenue from the construction materials segment, complemented by a \$3.2 million increase in revenue from the construction services segment. The increase in the construction materials segment was due primarily to an increase in volume sales. Volume increased by approximately 31.0% in interim 2004 from interim 2003; the average unit sales price increased approximately 3.0% in interim 2004 from interim 2003. The primary reason for the increased volume was the addition of 10 ready mix trucks in the first quarter. The construction services segment revenue was impacted less by the amount of the beginning backlog and more so by the progress schedules and nature of the contracts contained in the backlog at the beginning of interim 2004, and the favorable outcome of the New Mexico settlement.

Gross Profit. Consolidated gross profit increased to \$2.5 million for interim 2004 from \$1.7 million for interim 2003 and consolidated gross margin, as a percent of revenue, increased to 6.3% in interim 2004 from 5.3% in interim 2003. Gross profit from construction services increased to \$1.1 million in interim 2004 compared to \$.8 million in interim 2003 and the gross profit margin increased to 4.3% from 3.8% in the respective periods. The increase in the gross profit margin during the first quarter was due to the New Mexico settlement resulting in an approximate net gain of \$1.7 million, offset by cost over-runs and quantity under-runs on two projects, needed repairs and maintenance costs on equipment and legal fees related to the Clack County arbitration hearings. Gross profit margins are affected by a variety of factors including construction delays and difficulties due to weather conditions, availability of materials, the timing of work performed by other subcontractors and the physical and geological condition of the construction site, therefore the gross profit in interim 2004 may not be indicative of the annual gross profit margin. Gross profit from construction materials increased to \$1.4 million in interim 2004 from \$.9 million in interim 2003 and the gross profit margin increased to 10.0% from 8.7% in the respective periods. The increase in the gross profit margin in the construction materials segment during interim 2004 is the result of the Company's ability to increase volume and the average sales price per unit without significantly increasing the Company's fixed costs.

General and Administrative Expenses. General and administrative expenses remained relatively flat with a slight increase to \$1.7 million for interim 2004 from \$1.6 million for interim 2003.

Interest Income and Expense. Interest income for interim 2004 decreased to \$.01 million from \$.02 million for interim 2003 resulting primarily from a decrease in invested cash reserves. Interest expense for interim 2004 decreased to \$.08 million compared to \$.1 million for interim 2003, due primarily to the reduction of the Company's debt in interim 2004.

Net Income. Net income was \$.44 million in interim 2004 as compared to a net income of \$.02 million for interim 2003.

Table of Contents**Liquidity and Capital Resources**

Currently the Company's primary need for capital is to finance the expansion of the construction materials segment. Historically, the Company's primary source of cash has been from operations.

The following table sets forth for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2003, certain items from the consolidated statements of cash flows.

| | Three Months Ended | |
|---|---------------------------|------------------|
| | March 31, | March 31, |
| | 2004 | 2003 |
| Cash Flows Provided by Operating Activities | \$ 1,694,673 | \$ 1,399,546 |
| Cash Flows Provided by Investing Activities | 376,542 | 662,125 |
| Cash Flows Used in Financing Activities | (1,123,161) | (1,156,510) |

Cash provided by operating activities during interim 2004 amounted to \$1.7 million, primarily the result of a decrease in claims receivable of \$4.1 million, a decrease in prepaid expenses and other of \$.2 million, an increase in allowance for doubtful accounts of \$.1 million, an increase in allowance for slow moving inventory of \$.2 million, an increase in billings in excess of costs of \$1.1 million, an increase in deferred tax payable of \$.3 million, depreciation and amortization of \$.7 million and net income of \$.4 million, offset, in part, by an increase in accounts receivable of \$3.1 million, an increase in costs in excess of billings of \$.3 million, an increase in refundable deposits of \$.1 million, a decrease in accounts payable of \$1.4 million and a decrease in accrued liabilities of \$.5 million.

Cash provided by operating activities during interim 2003 amounted to \$1.4 million, primarily the result of a decrease in costs in excess of billings of \$.2 million, a decrease in claims receivable of \$.9 million, a decrease in prepaid expenses and other of \$.4 million, an increase in billings in excess of costs of \$1.2 million, an increase in accrued liabilities of \$.5 million and depreciation and amortization of \$.7 million, offset, in part, by an increase in accounts receivable of \$.5 million, an increase in inventory of \$.1 million and a decrease in accounts payable of \$1.8 million.

Cash provided by investing activities during interim 2004 amounted to \$.4 million, related primarily to a decrease in restricted cash of \$.3 million and proceeds from the sale of property and equipment in the amount of \$.4 million offset by the purchase of property and equipment of \$.3 million.

Cash provided by investing activities during interim 2003 amounted to \$.7 million, related primarily to a decrease in restricted cash of \$.7 million.

Cash used in financing activities during interim 2004 amounted to \$1.1 million, related to the repayment of notes payable and capital lease obligations of \$1.2 million offset by the proceeds received from a note payable of \$.1 million.

Cash used in financing activities during interim 2003 amounted to \$1.2 million, related to the repayment of notes payable and capital lease obligations of \$1.2 million.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk

Market risk generally represents the risk that losses may occur in the values of financial instruments as a result of movements in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates and commodity prices. The Company does not have foreign currency exchange rate or commodity price market risk.

Interest Rate Risk From time to time the Company temporarily invests its cash and restricted cash in interest-bearing securities issued by high-quality issuers. The Company's management monitors risk exposure to monies invested in securities of any one financial institution. Due to the short time the investments are outstanding and their general liquidity, these instruments are classified as cash equivalent in the consolidated balance sheet and do not represent a material interest rate risk to the Company. The Company's primary market risk to exposure for changes in interest rates relates to the Company's long-term debt obligations. The Company manages its exposure to changing interest rates principally through the use of a combination of fixed and floating rate debt.

Table of Contents

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

The Company's Chief Executive Officer and its Principal Accounting Officer, after evaluating the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Rules 13a-14(c) and 15d-14(c) as of a date within 90 days of the filing date of this quarterly report on Form 10-Q (the "Evaluation Date")), have concluded that as of the Evaluation Date, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were adequate and effective to ensure that material information relating to the Company and its consolidated subsidiaries would be made known to them by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this quarterly report on Form 10-Q was being prepared.

There were no significant changes in the Company's internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect the Company's disclosure controls and procedures subsequent to the Evaluation Date, nor any significant deficiencies or material weaknesses in such disclosure controls and procedures requiring corrective actions. As a result, no corrective actions were taken.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The Company is a party to legal proceedings in the ordinary course of its business. With the exception of those matters detailed below, the Company believes that the nature of these proceedings (which generally relate to disputes between the Company and its subcontractors, material suppliers or customers regarding payment for work performed or materials supplied) are typical for a construction firm of its size and scope, and no other pending proceedings are material to its financial condition.

The following proceedings represent matters that may become material and have already been or may soon be referred to legal counsel for further action:

Requests for Equitable Adjustment to Construction Contracts. The Company has made claims as described below on the following contracts:

- (1) Two contracts with the New Mexico State Highway and Transportation Department. The total value of claims on these projects is \$12,002,782 of which \$8,336,931 is on behalf of the Company and the balance of \$3,665,851 is on behalf of the prime contractor or subcontractors. The primary issues are changed conditions, plan errors and omissions, contract modifications and associated delay costs. In addition, the projects were not completed within the adjusted contract time because of events

giving rise to the claims. The prosecution of the claims will include the appropriate extensions of contract time to offset any potential liquidated damages.

- (2) Clark County, Nevada A partial ruling by a majority of the three-member arbitration panel rejected a significant portion of this claim that was primarily asserted by a subcontractor. The hearings are scheduled to reconvene in July 2004. Procedurally, the Company will likely file a motion for reconsideration. The revised approximate total value of claims on this project is \$6,833,197 of which \$2,211,947 is on behalf of the Company and the balance of \$4,621,250 is on behalf of a subcontractor. The primary issues are changed conditions, constructive changes, contract modifications and associated delay costs.

Factoring in the arbitration panel's decision on the Clark County claim, the combined total of all outstanding claims was reduced from \$31,138,179 to \$18,835,979. The Company's portion of the total claims was reduced from \$14,587,063 to \$10,548,878 and the balance pertaining to prime contractor or subcontractor claims was reduced from \$16,551,116 to \$8,287,101. Total claim amounts reported by the Company are approximate and are subject to revision as final documentation progresses and as issues are resolved and/or payments made. Claim amounts do not include any prejudgment interest, if applicable. Relative to the aforementioned claims, the Company has recorded \$3,521,080 in cumulative claim receivable to offset a portion of costs incurred to date on the claims.

The Company has not accrued a liability related to the prime contractor or subcontractors' claims as no liability would be deemed payable if their portion of the claims did not receive a favorable outcome.

Table of Contents

Correspondingly, no receivable has been recorded for overhead and profit included in their portion of the claims on the Company's behalf.

Although the Company believes these amounts represent a reasonably conservative posture, any claims proceeds and payments for previously unpaid quantities, unpaid change orders and pending change orders ultimately paid to the Company less than \$3,521,080 will reduce income. Conversely, a payment for those same items in excess of \$3,521,080 will increase income.

The portion of accounts receivable pertaining to retention withheld on the contracts for which claims have been filed amounts to \$880,763 as of March 31, 2004. The degree to which the Company is successful in recovering its costs from the claims may also impact the amount of retention paid by the owner. The Company believes that all retention amounts currently being held by the owners on the contracts with outstanding claims will be paid in full in accordance with the contract terms. Therefore, no allowance has been made to reduce the receivables due from the retention on the disputed contracts.

In January 2004, the Company reached a settlement agreement with the New Mexico State Highway and Transportation Department (NMSHTD) on three claims not included above. The Company agreed to accept \$7,000,000, including retention of \$1,127,636, in exchange for releasing the NMSHTD from the claims. The claim amount of the three settled claims totaled \$15,463,207, of which \$15,366,128 was on behalf of the Company and the balance of \$97,079 is on behalf of subcontractors. The Company had previously recorded a claim receivable in the amount of \$4,101,898, resulting in a net gain of approximately \$1,700,000.

Lawsuits Filed Against Meadow Valley Contractors, Inc.

- (1) Innovative Construction Systems, Inc. (ICS), District Court, Clark County, NV ICS was a subcontractor to MVCI on several projects. ICS failed to make payments of payroll, pension fund contributions and other taxes for which the Internal Revenue Service garnished any future payments due ICS on MVCI's projects. As a result, ICS failed to supply labor to perform its work and defaulted on its subcontracts. MVCI terminated the ICS subcontracts and performed the work with MVCI's personnel. ICS alleges it was wrongfully terminated and is asserting numerous claims for damages. ICS claims against MVCI total approximately \$15,000,000. The Company does not believe ICS' claims have merit and intends to vigorously defend against these claims and has filed counter-claims for approximately \$3,200,000 seeking to recover the damages ICS has caused MVCI through its failure to perform and satisfy its financial obligations. As such, no liability has been recorded in the accompanying financial statements for any potential loss arising from this claim. In September 2003, a binding arbitration agreement was entered into between ICS and the Company to stay all actions until the Clark County, Nevada claim, as mentioned above, has concluded, a decision rendered, payment received from the county, and the funds are escrowed. At that time, all remaining matters between MVCI and ICS will be heard before a three-person binding arbitration panel.
- (2) Progressive Contracting Inc. (PCI), District Court, Clark County, NV PCI was a subcontractor to MVCI on a project where there is a dispute with the owner regarding delays to the project. PCI claims they were damaged by these delays in an amount in excess of \$300,000. The Company believes that under the terms of the contract with PCI they are only entitled to compensation for the delays if MVCI is compensated by the owner. MVCI has submitted PCI's claims to the owner and they are included in the total claim amount MVCI has submitted. In July 2003, PCI filed a notice of dismissal without prejudice. In February 2004, a settlement was reached wherein PCI agrees to accept the value determined by the arbitrators, as being owed to PCI by Clark County, if any, as full and final payment in the dispute.
- (3)

MVCI is defending against a claimed preference, in the Third Judicial Court of Salt Lake County, in connection with a payment made to it by an insurance company, Southern America Insurance Company, in the approximate amount of \$100,000. MVCI believes that the payment is not a preference, and is vigorously defending the action.

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K

a. Exhibits:

- 10.257 Security Agreement with CitiCapital Commercial Corporation
- 10.258 Commitment letter from DaimlerChrysler Services
- 10.259 Commitment letter from GMAC Financial Services
- 10.260 Security Agreement with The CIT Group/ Equipment Financing, Inc.
- 10.261 Security Agreement with Cashman Equipment Company
- 10.262 Security Agreement with Cashman Equipment Company
- 10.263 Master Lease Agreement with Wells Fargo Equipment Finance, Inc.

- 31.7 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.8 Certification of Principal Accounting Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

- 32.4 Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Principal Accounting Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

b. Reports on Form 8-K:

The Company filed a Form 8-K during the three months ended March 31, 2003. The March 1, 2004 Form 8-K provided the press release announcing our financial results for the fiscal quarter ended and year ended December 31, 2003.

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Act as of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

MEADOW VALLEY CORPORATION
(Registrant)

By /s/ Bradley E. Larson

Bradley E. Larson
President and Chief Executive Officer

By /s/ Clint Tryon

Clint Tryon
Principal Accounting Officer