MACK CALI REALTY CORP Form 10-K February 10, 2011

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

[X] ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010

[]TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission File Number: 1-13274

MACK-CALI REALTY CORPORATION (Exact Name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland	22-3305147
(State or other jurisdiction of	(IRS Employer
incorporation or organization)	Identification No.)

343 Thornall Street, Edison, New Jersey (Address of principal executive offices)

08837-2206 (Zip code)

(732) 590-1000 (Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

(Title of Each Class)

Common Stock, \$0.01 par value

(Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered)

New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes X No ____

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act. Yes ____ No X

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was

required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes X No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes X No _____

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendments to this Form 10-K. [X]

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer x

Accelerated filer "

Non-accelerated filer "(Do not check if a smaller reporting company) company "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.) Yes _____ No X

As of June 30, 2010, the aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was \$2,326,690,700. The aggregate market value was computed with reference to the closing price on the New York Stock Exchange on such date. This calculation does not reflect a determination that persons are affiliates for any other purpose. The registrant has no non-voting common stock.

As of February 8, 2011, 79,641,054 shares of common stock, \$0.01 par value, of the Company ("Common Stock") were outstanding.

LOCATION OF EXHIBIT INDEX: The index of exhibits is contained herein on page number 120.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE: Portions of the registrant's definitive proxy statement for fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 to be issued in conjunction with the registrant's annual meeting of shareholders expected to be held on May 24, 2011 are incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K. The definitive proxy statement will be filed by the registrant with the SEC not later than 120 days from the end of the registrant's fiscal year ended December 31, 2010.

FORM 10-K

Table of Contents

PART I		
Item 1	Business	3
Item 1A	Risk Factors	7
Item 1B	Unresolved Staff Comments	17
Item 2	Properties	18
Item 3	Legal Proceedings	38
Item 4	(Removed and Reserved)	38
PART II		
Item 5	Market for Registrant's	
	Common Equity, Related	
	Stockholder Matters	
	and Issuer Purchases of Equity	39
	Securities	
Item 6	Selected Financial Data	42
Item 7	Management's Discussion and	
	Analysis of Financial Condition	
	and	
	Results of Operations	43
Item 7A	Quantitative and Qualitative	62
	Disclosures About Market Risk	
Item 8	Financial Statements and	62
	Supplementary Data	
Item 9	Changes in and Disagreements	
	with Accountants on	
	Accounting and	
* 0.1	Financial Disclosure	63
Item 9A	Controls and Procedures	63
Item 9B	Other Information	64
PART III		
Item 10	Directors, Executive Officers	64
T. 11	and Corporate Governance	
Item 11	Executive Compensation	64
Item 12	Security Ownership of Certain	
	Beneficial Owners and	
	Management	()
	and Related Stockholder	64
Item 13	Matters Cortain Balationshing and	61
Itelli 13	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and	64
Item 14	Director Independence Principal Accounting Fees and	64
10,111 14	Services	04
	501 11005	

PART IV Item 15	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	65
SIGNATURES	118	
EXHIBIT INDEX	120	

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

GENERAL

Mack-Cali Realty Corporation, a Maryland corporation (together with its subsidiaries, the "Company"), is a fully-integrated, self-administered and self-managed real estate investment trust ("REIT") that owns and operates a real estate portfolio comprised predominantly of Class A office and office/flex properties located primarily in the Northeast. The Company performs substantially all commercial real estate leasing, management, acquisition, development and construction services on an in-house basis. Mack-Cali Realty Corporation was incorporated on May 24, 1994. The Company's executive offices are located at 343 Thornall Street, Edison, New Jersey 08837-2206, and its telephone number is (732) 590-1000. The Company has an internet website at www.mack-cali.com.

As of December 31, 2010, the Company owned or had interests in 277 properties, aggregating approximately 32.2 million square feet, plus developable land (collectively, the "Properties"), which are leased to over 2,000 tenants. The Properties are comprised of: (a) 268 wholly-owned or Company-controlled properties consisting of 162 office buildings and 95 office/flex buildings aggregating approximately 30.6 million square feet, six industrial/warehouse buildings totaling approximately 387,400 square feet, two stand-alone retail properties totaling approximately 17,300 square feet, and three land leases (collectively, the "Consolidated Properties"); and (b) eight buildings, which are primarily office properties, aggregating approximately 1.2 million square feet, and a 350-room hotel, which are owned by unconsolidated joint ventures in which the Company has investment interests. Unless otherwise indicated, all references to square feet represent net rentable area. As of December 31, 2010, the office, office/flex, industrial/warehouse and stand-alone retail properties included in the Consolidated Properties were 89.1 percent leased. Percentage leased includes all leases in effect as of the period end date, some of which have commencement dates in the future, and leases that expire at the period end date. Leases that expire as of December 31, 2010 aggregate 187,058 square feet, or 0.6 percent of the net rentable square footage. The Properties are located in five states, primarily in the Northeast, and the District of Columbia. See Item 2: Properties.

The Company's strategy has been to focus its operations, acquisition and development of office properties in high-barrier-to-entry markets and sub-markets where it believes it is, or can become, a significant and preferred owner and operator. The Company plans to continue this strategy by expanding through acquisitions and/or development in Northeast markets where it has, or can achieve, similar status. The Company believes that its Properties have excellent locations and access and are well-maintained and professionally managed. As a result, the Company believes that its Properties attract high quality tenants and achieve among the highest rental, occupancy and tenant retention rates within their markets. The Company also believes that its extensive market knowledge provides it with a significant competitive advantage, which is further enhanced by its strong reputation for, and emphasis on, delivering highly responsive, professional management services. See "Business Strategies."

As of December 31, 2010, executive officers and directors of the Company and their affiliates owned approximately seven percent of the Company's outstanding shares of Common Stock (including Units redeemable into shares of Common Stock). As used herein, the term "Units" refers to limited partnership interests in Mack-Cali Realty, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership (the "Operating Partnership") through which the Company conducts its real estate activities. The Company's executive officers have been employed by the Company and/or its predecessor companies for an average of approximately 24 years.

BUSINESS STRATEGIES

Operations

Reputation: The Company has established a reputation as a highly-regarded landlord with an emphasis on delivering quality tenant services in buildings it owns and/or manages. The Company believes that its continued success

depends in part on enhancing its reputation as an operator of choice, which will facilitate the retention of current tenants and the attraction of new tenants. The Company believes it provides a superior level of service to its tenants, which should in turn, allow the Company to outperform the market with respect to occupancy rates, as well as improve tenant retention.

Communication with tenants: The Company emphasizes frequent communication with tenants to ensure first-class service to the Properties. Property management personnel generally are located on site at the Properties to provide convenient access to management and to ensure that the Properties are well-maintained. Property management's primary responsibility is to ensure that buildings are operated at peak efficiency in order to meet both the Company's and tenants' needs and expectations. Property management personnel additionally budget and oversee capital improvements and building system upgrades to enhance the Properties' competitive advantages in their respective markets and to maintain the quality of the Properties.

Additionally, the Company's in-house leasing representatives develop and maintain long-term relationships with the Company's diverse tenant base and coordinate leasing, expansion, relocation and build-to-suit opportunities within the Company's portfolio. This approach allows the Company to offer office space in the appropriate size and location to current or prospective tenants in any of its sub-markets.

Portfolio Management: The Company plans to continue to own and operate a portfolio of properties in high-barrier-to-entry markets, with a primary focus in the Northeast. The Company's primary objectives are to maximize operating cash flow and to enhance the value of its portfolio through effective management, acquisition, development and property sales strategies, as follows:

The Company seeks to maximize the value of its existing portfolio through implementing operating strategies designed to produce the highest effective rental and occupancy rates and lowest tenant installation cost within the markets that it operates, and further within the parameters of those markets. The Company continues to pursue internal growth through leasing vacant space, re-leasing space at higher effective rents with contractual rent increases and developing or redeveloping space for its diverse base of high credit tenants, including Wyndham Worldwide Operations, National Union Fire Insurance and The United States of America - GSA. In addition, the Company seeks economies of scale through volume discounts to take advantage of its size and dominance in particular sub-markets, and operating efficiencies through the use of in-house management, leasing, marketing, financing, accounting, legal, development and construction services.

Acquisitions: The Company also believes that growth opportunities exist through acquiring operating properties or properties for redevelopment with attractive returns in its core Northeast sub-markets where, based on its expertise in leasing, managing and operating properties, it believes it is, or can become, a significant and preferred owner and operator. The Company intends either directly or through joint ventures to acquire, invest in or redevelop additional properties that: (i) are expected to provide attractive initial yields with potential for growth in cash flow from operations; (ii) are well-located, of high quality and competitive in their respective sub-markets; (iii) are located in its existing sub-markets or in sub-markets in which the Company can become a significant and preferred owner and operator; and (iv) it believes have been under-managed or are otherwise capable of improved performance through intensive management, capital improvements and/or leasing that should result in increased effective rental and occupancy rates.

Development: The Company seeks to selectively develop additional properties either directly or through joint ventures where it believes such development will result in a favorable risk-adjusted return on investment in coordination with the above operating strategies. Such development primarily will occur: (i) when leases have been executed prior to construction; (ii) in stable core Northeast sub-markets where the demand for such space exceeds available supply; and (iii) where the Company is, or can become, a significant and preferred owner and operator.

Property Sales: While management's principal intention is to own and operate its properties on a long-term basis, it periodically assesses the attributes of each of its properties, with a particular focus on the supply and demand fundamentals of the sub-markets in which they are located. Based on these ongoing assessments, the Company may, from time to time, decide to sell any of its properties.

Financial

The Company currently intends to maintain a ratio of debt-to-undepreciated assets (total debt of the Company as a percentage of total undepreciated assets) of 50 percent or less, however there can be no assurance that the Company will be successful in maintaining this ratio. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Company's total debt constituted approximately 37.0 percent and 39.8 percent of total undepreciated assets of the Company, respectively. Although there is no limit in the Company's organizational documents on the amount of indebtedness that the Company may incur, the Company has entered into certain financial agreements which contain covenants that limit the Company's ability to incur indebtedness under certain circumstances. The Company intends to utilize the most appropriate sources of capital for future acquisitions, development, capital improvements and other investments, which may include funds from operating activities, proceeds from property and land sales, short-term and long-term borrowings (including draws on the Company's revolving credit facility), and the issuance of additional debt or equity securities.

EMPLOYEES

As of December 31, 2010, the Company had approximately 390 full-time employees.

COMPETITION

The leasing of real estate is highly competitive. The Properties compete for tenants with lessors and developers of similar properties located in their respective markets primarily on the basis of location, the quality of properties, leasing terms (including rent and other charges and allowances for tenant improvements), services provided, the design and condition of the Properties, and reputation as an owner and operator of quality office properties in the relevant market. The Company also experiences competition when attempting to acquire or dispose of real estate, including competition from domestic and foreign financial institutions, other REITs, life insurance companies, pension trusts, trust funds, partnerships, individual investors and others.

REGULATIONS

Many laws and governmental regulations apply to the ownership and/or operation of the Properties and changes in these laws and regulations, or their interpretation by agencies and the courts, occur frequently.

Under various laws and regulations relating to the protection of the environment, an owner of real estate may be held liable for the costs of removal or remediation of certain hazardous or toxic substances located on or in the property. These laws often impose liability without regard to whether the owner was responsible for, or even knew of, the presence of such substances. The presence of such substances may adversely affect the owner's ability to rent or sell the property or to borrow using such property as collateral and may expose it to liability resulting from any release of, or exposure to, such substances. Persons who arrange for the disposal or treatment of hazardous or toxic substances at another location may also be liable for the costs of re-moval or operated by such person. Certain environmental laws impose liability for the release of asbestos-containing materials into the air, and third parties may also seek recovery from owners or operators of real properties for personal injury associated with asbestos-containing materials and other hazardous or toxic substances.

In connection with the ownership (direct or indirect), operation, management and development of real properties, the Company may be considered an owner or operator of such properties or as having arranged for the disposal or treatment of hazardous or toxic substances and, therefore, potentially liable for removal or remediation costs, as well as certain other related costs, including governmental penalties and injuries to persons and property.

There can be no assurance that (i) future laws, ordinances or regulations will not impose any material environmental liability, (ii) the current environmental condition of the Properties will not be affected by tenants, by the condition of land or operations in the vicinity of the Properties (such as the presence of underground storage tanks), or by third parties unrelated to the Company, or (iii) the Company's assessments reveal all environmental liabilities and that there are no material environmental liabilities of which the Company is aware. If compliance with the various laws and regulations, now existing or hereafter adopted, exceeds the Company's budgets for such items, the Company's ability to make expected distributions to stockholders could be adversely affected.

There are no other laws or regulations which have a material effect on the Company's operations, other than typical federal, state and local laws affecting the development and operation of real property, such as zoning laws.

INDUSTRY SEGMENTS

The Company operates in two industry segments: (i) real estate; and (ii) construction services. As of December 31, 2010, the Company does not have any foreign operations and its business is not seasonal. Please see our financial statements attached hereto and incorporated by reference herein for financial information relating to our industry segments.

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

On December 17, 2010, the Company repaid a \$26.8 million mortgage loan which encumbered One Grande Commons, a 198,376 square foot unconsolidated joint venture office property located in Bridgewater, New Jersey. Concurrent with the repayment, the Company placed an \$11 million mortgage financing on the property obtained from a bank. As a result of the repayment of the existing mortgage loan, the Company obtained a controlling interest and is consolidating the office property. The new mortgage loan bears interest at a rate of LIBOR plus 200 basis points and matures on December 31, 2011, with three one-year extension options, subject to certain conditions and payment of a fee.

The Company's office property located at 105 Challenger Road in Ridgefield Park, New Jersey, aggregating 150,050 square feet, was collateral for a \$19.5 million mortgage loan scheduled to mature on June 6, 2010. The Company had recorded an impairment charge on the property of \$16.6 million at December 31, 2009. On June 1, 2010, the Company transferred the deed for 105 Challenger to the lender in satisfaction of its obligations. As a result, the Company recorded a gain on the disposal of the office property of approximately \$4.4 million.

The Company's office property located at 2200 Renaissance Boulevard in King of Prussia, Pennsylvania, aggregating 174,124 square feet, is collateral for a mortgage loan scheduled to mature on December 1, 2012 with a balance of \$16.2 million at December 31, 2010. As of December 31, 2010, the Company estimated that the carrying value of the property may not be recoverable over its anticipated holding period. In order to reduce the carrying value of the property to its estimated fair market value of approximately \$13.4 million, the Company recorded an impairment charge of approximately \$9.5 million at December 31, 2010.

The Company's core markets continue to be weak. The percentage leased in the Company's consolidated portfolio of stabilized operating properties was 89.1 percent at December 31, 2010, as compared to 90.1 percent at December 31, 2009 and 91.3 percent at December 31, 2008. Percentage leased includes all leases in effect as of the period end date, some of which have commencement dates in the future and leases that expire at the period end date. Leases that expired as of December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 aggregate 187,058, 64,672 and 67,473 square feet, respectively, or 0.6, 0.2 and 0.2 percentage of the net rentable square footage, respectively. The Company believes that vacancy rates may continue to increase in some of its markets through 2011 and possibly beyond. As a result, the Company's future earnings and cash flow may continue to be negatively impacted by current market conditions.

The Company expects that the impact of the current state of the economy, including high unemployment, will continue to have a dampening effect on the fundamentals of its business, including lower occupancy and reduced effective rents. These conditions would negatively affect the Company's future net income and cash flows and could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition.

FINANCING ACTIVITY

On January 15, 2010, the Company refinanced its \$150 million secured loan with The Prudential Insurance Company of America. The new loan also includes VPCM, LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Virginia Retirement System, as co-lender. The mortgage loan, which is collateralized by seven properties, is for a seven-year term and carries an interest rate of 6.25 percent.

On December 15, 2010, the Company redeemed \$300 million principal amount of its 7.75 percent senior unsecured notes due February 15, 2011. The redemption price, including a make-whole premium, was 101.225 percent of the

principal amount of the notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest up to the redemption date. The Company funded the redemption price, including accrued and unpaid interest, of approximately \$311.4 million from borrowing on its unsecured revolving credit facility, as well as cash on hand. In connection with the redemption, the Company recorded approximately \$3.8 million as a loss from early extinguishment of debt.

AVAILABLE INFORMATION

The Company's internet website is www.mack-cali.com. The Company makes available free of charge on or through its website its annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as soon as reasonably practicable after it electronically files or furnishes such materials to the Securities and Exchange Commission. In addition, the Company's internet website includes other items related to corporate governance matters, including, among other things, the Company's corporate governance principles, charters of various committees of the Board of Directors, and the Company's code of business conduct and ethics applicable to all employees, officers and directors. The Company intends to disclose on its internet website any amendments to or waivers from its code of business conduct and ethics as well as any amendments to its corporate governance principles or the charters of various committees of the Board of Directors. Copies of these documents may be obtained, free of charge, from our internet website. Any shareholder also may obtain copies of these documents, free of charge, by sending a request in writing to: Mack-Cali Investor Relations Department, 343 Thornall Street, Edison, NJ 08837-2206.

DISCLOSURE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

We consider portions of this report, including the documents incorporated by reference, to be forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. We intend such forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe harbor provisions for forward-looking statements contained in Section 21E of such act. Such forward-looking statements relate to, without limitation, our future economic performance, plans and objectives for future operations and projections of revenue and other financial items. Forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of words such as "may," "will," "plan," "should," "expect "anticipate," "estimate," "continue" or comparable terminology. Forward-looking statements are inherently subject to risks and uncertainties, many of which we cannot predict with accuracy and some of which we might not even anticipate. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are based upon reasonable assumptions at the time made, we can give no assurance that such expectations will be achieved. Future events and actual results, financial and otherwise, may differ materially from the results discussed in the forward-looking statements. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements.

Among the factors about which we have made assumptions are:

- risks and uncertainties affecting the general economic climate and conditions, including the impact of the general economic recession as it impacts the national and local economies, which in turn may have a negative effect on the fundamentals of our business and the financial condition of our tenants;
- the value of our real estate assets, which may limit our ability to dispose of assets at attractive prices or obtain or maintain debt financing secured by our properties or on an unsecured basis;
 - the extent of any tenant bankruptcies or of any early lease terminations;
 - our ability to lease or re-lease space at current or anticipated rents;
 - changes in the supply of and demand for office, office/flex and industrial/warehouse properties;
 - changes in interest rate levels and volatility in the securities markets;
 - changes in operating costs;
 - our ability to obtain adequate insurance, including coverage for terrorist acts;
- the availability of financing on attractive terms or at all, which may adversely impact our ability to pursue acquisition and development opportunities and refinance existing debt and our future interest expense;
 - changes in governmental regulation, tax rates and similar matters; and
- other risks associated with the development and acquisition of properties, including risks that the development may not be completed on schedule, that the tenants will not take occupancy or pay rent, or that development or operating costs may be greater than anticipated.

For further information on factors which could impact us and the statements contained herein, see Item 1A: Risk Factors. We assume no obligation to update and supplement forward-looking statements that become untrue because of subsequent events, new information or otherwise.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Our results from operations and ability to make distributions on our equity and debt service on our indebtedness may be affected by the risk factors set forth below. All investors should consider the following risk factors before deciding to purchase securities of the Company. The Company refers to itself as "we" or "our" in the following risk factors. Adverse economic and geopolitical conditions in general and the Northeastern suburban office markets in particular could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and our ability to pay distributions to you.

Our business may be affected by the unprecedented volatility in the financial and credit markets, the general global economic recession, and other market or economic challenges experienced by the U.S. economy or real estate industry as a whole. Our business may also be adversely affected by local economic conditions, as substantially all of our revenues are derived from our properties located in the Northeast, particularly in New Jersey, New York and Pennsylvania. Because our portfolio consists primarily of office and office/flex buildings (as compared to a more diversified real estate portfolio) located principally in the Northeast, if economic conditions persist or deteriorate, then our results of operations, financial condition and ability to service current debt and to pay distributions to our shareholders may be adversely affected by the following, among other potential conditions:

- significant job losses in the financial and professional services industries may occur, which may decrease demand for our office space, causing market rental rates and property values to be negatively impacted;
- our ability to borrow on terms and conditions that we find acceptable, or at all, may be limited, which could reduce our ability to pursue acquisition and development opportunities and refinance existing debt, reduce our returns from both our existing operations and our acquisition and development activities and increase our future interest expense;
- reduced values of our properties may limit our ability to dispose of assets at attractive prices or to obtain debt financing secured by our properties and may reduce the availability of unsecured loans;
- the value and liquidity of our short-term investments and cash deposits could be reduced as a result of a deterioration of the financial condition of the institutions that hold our cash deposits or the institutions or assets in which we have made short-term investments, the dislocation of the markets for our short-term investments, increased volatility in market rates for such investments or other factors;
- reduced liquidity in debt markets and increased credit risk premiums for certain market participants may impair our ability to access capital; and
- one or more lenders under our line of credit could refuse or be unable to fund their financing commitment to us and we may not be able to replace the financing commitment of any such lenders on favorable terms, or at all.

These conditions, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and ability to pay distributions, may continue or worsen in the future.

Our performance is subject to risks associated with the real estate industry.

General: Our business and our ability to make distributions or payments to our investors depend on the ability of our properties to generate funds in excess of operating expenses (including scheduled principal payments on debt and capital expenditures). Events or conditions that are beyond our control may adversely affect our operations and the value of our Properties. Such events or conditions could include:

- changes in the general economic climate and conditions, including the impact of the current global economic recession;
- changes in local conditions, such as an oversupply of office space, a reduction in demand for office space, or reductions in office market rental rates;
 - decreased attractiveness of our properties to tenants;
 - competition from other office and office/flex properties;
 - our inability to provide adequate maintenance;

increased operating costs, including insurance premiums, utilities and real estate taxes, due to inflation and other factors which may not necessarily be offset by increased rents;

- changes in laws and regulations (including tax, environmental, zoning and building codes, and housing laws and regulations) and agency or court interpretations of such laws and regulations and the related costs of compliance;
- changes in interest rate levels and the availability of financing;
- the inability of a significant number of tenants to pay rent;
- our inability to rent office space on favorable terms; and
- civil unrest, earthquakes, acts of terrorism and other natural disasters or acts of God that may result in uninsured losses.

We may suffer adverse consequences if our revenues decline since our operating costs do not necessarily decline in proportion to our revenue: We earn a significant portion of our income from renting our properties. Our operating costs, however, do not necessarily fluctuate in relation to changes in our rental revenue. This means that our costs will not necessarily decline even if our revenues do. Our operating costs could also increase while our revenues do not. If our operating costs increase but our rental revenues do not, we may be forced to borrow to cover our costs, we may incur losses and we may not have cash available for distributions to our stockholders.

Financially distressed tenants may be unable to pay rent: If a tenant defaults, we may experience delays and incur substantial costs in enforcing our rights as landlord and protecting our investments. If a tenant files for bankruptcy, a potential court judgment rejecting and terminating such tenant's lease could adversely affect our ability to make distributions or payments to our investors as we may be unable to replace the defaulting tenant with a new tenant at a comparable rental rate without incurring significant expenses or a reduction in rental income.

Renewing leases or re-letting space could be costly: If a tenant does not renew its lease upon expiration or terminates its lease early, we may not be able to re-lease the space on favorable terms or at all. If a tenant does renew its lease or we re-lease the space, the terms of the renewal or new lease, including the cost of required renovations or concessions to the tenant, may be less favorable than the current lease terms, which could adversely affect our ability to make distributions or payments to our investors.

Adverse developments concerning some of our major tenants and industry concentrations could have a negative impact on our revenue: Recent developments in the general economy and the global credit markets have had a significant adverse effect on many companies in numerous industries. We have tenants concentrated in various industries that may be experiencing adverse effects of current economic conditions. Our business could be adversely affected if any of these tenants or any other tenants became insolvent, declared bankruptcy or otherwise refused to pay rent in a timely manner or at all.

Our insurance coverage on our properties may be inadequate or our insurance providers may default on their obligations to pay claims: We currently carry comprehensive insurance on all of our properties, including insurance for liability, fire and flood. We cannot guarantee that the limits of our current policies will be sufficient in the event of a catastrophe to our properties. We cannot guarantee that we will be able to renew or duplicate our current insurance coverage in adequate amounts or at reasonable prices. In addition, while our current insurance policies insure us against loss from terrorist acts and toxic mold, in the future, insurance companies may no longer offer coverage against these types of losses, or, if offered, these types of insurance may be prohibitively expensive. If any or all of the foregoing should occur, we may not have insurance coverage against certain types of losses and/or there may be decreases in the limits of insurance available. Should an uninsured loss or a loss in excess of our insured limits occur, we could lose all or a portion of the capital we have invested in a property or properties, as well as the anticipated future revenue from the property or properties. Nevertheless, we might remain obligated for any mortgage debt or other financial obligations related to the property or properties. We cannot guarantee that material losses in excess of insurance proceeds will not occur in the future. If any of our properties were to experience a catastrophic loss, it could seriously disrupt our operations, delay revenue and result in large expenses to repair or rebuild the property. Such events could adversely affect our ability to make distributions or payments to our investors. If one or more of our insurance providers were to fail to pay a claim as a result of insolvency, bankruptcy or otherwise, the nonpayment of such claims could have an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. In addition, if one or more of our insurance providers were to become subject to insolvency, bankruptcy or other proceedings and our insurance policies with the provider were terminated or canceled as a result of those proceedings, we cannot guarantee that we would be able to find alternative coverage in adequate amounts or at reasonable prices. In such case, we could experience a lapse in any or adequate insurance coverage with respect to one or more

properties and be exposed to potential losses relating to any claims that may arise during such period of lapsed or inadequate coverage.

Illiquidity of real estate limits our ability to act quickly: Real estate investments are relatively illiquid. Such illiquidity may limit our ability to react quickly in response to changes in economic and other conditions. If we want to sell an investment, we might not be able to dispose of that investment in the time period we desire, and the sales price of that investment might not recoup or exceed the amount of our investment. The prohibition in the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), and related regulations on a real estate investment trust holding property for sale also may restrict our ability to sell property. In addition, we acquired a significant number of our properties from individuals to whom we issued Units as part of the purchase price. In connection with the acquisition of these properties, in order to preserve such individual's income tax deferral, we contractually agreed not to sell or otherwise transfer the properties for a specified period of time, except in a manner which does not result in recognition of any built-in-gain (which may result in an income tax liability) or which reimburses the appropriate individuals for the income tax consequences of the recognition of such built-in-gains. As of December 31, 2010, seven of our properties, with an aggregate net book value of approximately \$133.9 million, were subject to these restrictions, which expire periodically through 2016. For those properties where such restrictions have lapsed, we are generally required to use commercially reasonable efforts to prevent any sale, transfer or other disposition of the subject properties from resulting in the recognition of built-in gain to the appropriate individuals. 130 of our properties, with an aggregate net book value of approximately \$1.8 billion, have lapsed restrictions and are subject to these conditions. The above limitations on our ability to sell our investments could adversely affect our ability to make distributions or payments to our investors.

Americans with Disabilities Act compliance could be costly: Under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 ("ADA"), all public accommodations and commercial facilities must meet certain federal requirements related to access and use by disabled persons. Compliance with the ADA requirements could involve removal of structural barriers from certain disabled persons' entrances. Other federal, state and local laws may require modifications to or restrict further renovations of our properties with respect to such accesses. Although we believe that our properties are substantially in compliance with present requirements, noncompliance with the ADA or related laws or regulations could result in the United States government imposing fines or private litigants being awarded damages against us. Such costs may adversely affect our ability to make distributions or payments to our investors.

Environmental problems are possible and may be costly: Various federal, state and local laws and regulations subject property owners or operators to liability for the costs of removal or remediation of certain hazardous or toxic substances located on or in the property. These laws often impose liability without regard to whether the owner or operator was responsible for or even knew of the presence of such substances. The presence of or failure to properly remediate hazardous or toxic substances (such as toxic mold) may adversely affect our ability to rent, sell or borrow against contaminated property and may impose liability upon us for personal injury to persons exposed to such substances. Various laws and regulations also impose liability on persons who arrange for the disposal or treatment of hazardous or toxic substances at another location for the costs of removal or remediation of such substances at the disposal or treatment facility. These laws often impose liability whether or not the person arranging for such disposal ever owned or operated the disposal facility. Certain other environmental laws and regulations impose liability on owners or operators of property for injuries relating to the release of asbestos-containing or other materials into the air, water or otherwise into the environment. As owners and operators of property and as potential arrangers for hazardous substance disposal, we may be liable under such laws and regulations for removal or remediation costs, governmental penalties, property damage, personal injuries and related expenses. Payment of such costs and expenses could adversely affect our ability to make distributions or payments to our investors.

We face risks associated with property acquisitions: We have acquired in the past, and our long-term strategy is to continue to pursue the acquisition of properties and portfolios of properties in New Jersey, New York and Pennsylvania and in the Northeast generally, including large real estate portfolios that could increase our size and result in alterations to our capital structure. We may be competing for investment opportunities with entities that have greater financial resources. Several office building developers and real estate companies may compete with us in seeking properties for acquisition, land for development and prospective tenants. Such competition may adversely affect our ability to make distributions or payments to our investors by:

- reducing the number of suitable investment opportunities offered to us;
 - increasing the bargaining power of property owners;
 - interfering with our ability to attract and retain tenants;
- increasing vacancies which lowers market rental rates and limits our ability to negotiate rental rates; and/or
 - adversely affecting our ability to minimize expenses of operation.

Our acquisition activities and their success are subject to the following risks:

- adequate financing to complete acquisitions may not be available on favorable terms or at all as a result of the unprecedented volatility in the financial and credit markets;
- even if we enter into an acquisition agreement for a property, we may be unable to complete that acquisition and risk the loss of certain non-refundable deposits and incurring certain other acquisition-related costs;
 - the actual costs of repositioning or redeveloping acquired properties may be greater than our estimates;
- any acquisition agreement will likely contain conditions to closing, including completion of due diligence investigations to our satisfaction or other conditions that are not within our control, which may not be satisfied; and
- we may be unable to quickly and efficiently integrate new acquisitions, particularly acquisitions of portfolios of properties, into our existing operations, and acquired properties may fail to perform as expected; which may adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

New acquisitions may fail to perform as expected: We may acquire new office properties, assuming that we are able to obtain capital on favorable terms. Such newly acquired properties may not perform as expected and may subject us to unknown liability with respect to liabilities relating to such properties for clean-up of undisclosed environmental contamination or claims by tenants, vendors or other persons against the former owners of the properties. Inaccurate assumptions regarding future rental or occupancy rates could result in overly optimistic estimates of future revenues. In addition, future operating expenses or the costs necessary to bring an acquired property up to standards established for its intended market position may be underestimated.

Development of real estate could be costly: As part of our operating strategy, we may acquire land for development or construct on owned land, under certain conditions. Included among the risks of the real estate development business are the following, which may adversely affect our ability to make distributions or payments to our investors:

- financing for development projects may not be available on favorable terms;
- long-term financing may not be available upon completion of construction; and
- failure to complete construction on schedule or within budget may increase debt service expense and construction costs.

Property ownership through joint ventures could subject us to the contrary business objectives of our co-venturers: We, from time to time, invest in joint ventures or partnerships in which we do not hold a controlling interest in the assets underlying the entities in which we invest, including joint ventures in which (i) we own a direct interest in an entity which controls such assets, or (ii) we own a direct interest in an entity which owns indirect interests, through one or more intermediaries, of such assets. These investments involve risks that do not exist with properties in which we own a controlling interest with respect to the underlying assets, including the possibility that our co-venturers or partners may, at any time, have business, economic or other objectives that are inconsistent with our objectives. Because we lack a controlling interest, our co-venturers or partners may be in a position to take action contrary to our instructions or requests or contrary to our policies or objectives. While we seek protective rights against such contrary actions, there can be no assurance that we will be successful in procuring any such protective rights, or if procured, that the rights will be sufficient to fully protect us against contrary actions. Our organizational documents do not limit the amount of available funds that we may invest in joint ventures or partnerships. If the objectives of our co-venturers or partners are inconsistent with ours, it may adversely affect our ability to make distributions or payments to our investors.

Our real estate construction management activities are subject to risks particular to third-party construction projects. As we may perform fixed price construction services for third parties, we are subject to a variety of risks unique to these activities. If construction costs of a project exceed original estimates, such costs may have to be absorbed by us,

thereby making the project less profitable than originally estimated, or possibly not profitable at all. In addition, a construction project may be delayed due to government or regulatory approvals, supply shortages, or other events and circumstances beyond our control, or the time required to complete a construction project may be greater than originally anticipated. If any such excess costs or project delays were to be material, such events may adversely effect our cash flow and liquidity and thereby impact our ability to pay dividends or make distributions to our investors.

We face possible risks associated with the physical effects of climate change.

We cannot predict with certainty whether climate change is occurring and, if so, at what rate. However, the physical effects of climate change could have a material adverse effect on our properties, operations and business. For example, many of our properties are located along the East coast, particularly those in New Jersey, New York and Connecticut. To the extent climate change causes changes in weather patterns, our markets could experience increases in storm intensity and rising sea-levels. Over time, these conditions could result in declining demand for office space in our buildings or the inability of us to operate the buildings at all. Climate change may also have indirect effects on our business by increasing the cost of (or making unavailable) property insurance on terms we find acceptable, increasing the cost of energy and increasing the cost of snow removal or related costs at our properties. Proposed legislation to address climate change could increase utility and other costs of operating our properties which, if not offset by rising rental income, would reduce our net income. There can be no assurance that climate change will not have a material adverse effect on our properties, operations or business.

Debt financing could adversely affect our economic performance.

Scheduled debt payments and refinancing could adversely affect our financial condition: We are subject to the risks normally associated with debt financing. These risks, including the following, may adversely affect our ability to make distributions or payments to our investors:

- our cash flow may be insufficient to meet required payments of principal and interest;
- payments of principal and interest on borrowings may leave us with insufficient cash resources to pay operating expenses;
 - we may not be able to refinance indebtedness on our properties at maturity; and
- if refinanced, the terms of refinancing may not be as favorable as the original terms of the related indebtedness.

As of December 31, 2010, we had total outstanding indebtedness of \$2.1 billion comprised of \$1.1 billion of senior unsecured notes, outstanding borrowings of \$228 million under our \$775 million revolving credit facility and approximately \$743 million of mortgages, loans payable and other obligations. We may have to refinance the principal due on our current or future indebtedness at maturity, and we may not be able to do so.

If we are unable to refinance our indebtedness on acceptable terms, or at all, events or conditions that may adversely affect our ability to make distributions or payments to our investors include the following:

- we may need to dispose of one or more of our properties upon disadvantageous terms;
- prevailing interest rates or other factors at the time of refinancing could increase interest rates and, therefore, our interest expense;
 - we may be subject to an event of default pursuant to covenants for our indebtedness;
- if we mortgage property to secure payment of indebtedness and are unable to meet mortgage payments, the mortgagee could foreclose upon such property or appoint a receiver to receive an assignment of our rents and leases; and
- foreclosures upon mortgaged property could create taxable income without accompanying cash proceeds and, therefore, hinder our ability to meet the real estate investment trust distribution requirements of the Code.

We are obligated to comply with financial covenants in our indebtedness that could restrict our range of operating activities: The mortgages on our properties contain customary negative covenants, including limitations on our ability, without the prior consent of the lender, to further mortgage the property, to enter into new leases outside of stipulated guidelines or to materially modify existing leases. In addition, our revolving credit facility contains customary requirements, including restrictions and other limitations on our ability to incur debt, debt to assets ratios, secured debt to total assets ratios, interest coverage ratios and minimum ratios of unencumbered assets to unsecured debt. The indentures under which our senior unsecured debt have been issued contain financial and operating covenants including coverage ratios and limitations on our ability to incur secured and unsecured debt. These covenants limit our flexibility in conducting our operations and create a risk of default on our indebtedness if we cannot continue to satisfy them. Some of our debt instruments are cross-collateralized and contain cross default provisions with other debt instruments. Due to this cross-collateralization, a failure or default with respect to certain debt instruments or properties could have an adverse impact on us or our properties that are subject to the cross-collateralization under the applicable debt instrument. Failure to comply with these covenants could cause a default under the agreements and, in certain circumstances, our lenders may be entitled to accelerate our debt obligations.

Rising interest rates may adversely affect our cash flow: As of December 31, 2010, outstanding borrowings of approximately \$228 million under our revolving credit facility and approximately \$11 million of our mortgage indebtedness bear interest at variable rates. We may incur additional indebtedness in the future that bears interest at variable rates. Variable rate debt creates higher debt service requirements if market interest rates increase. Higher debt service requirements could adversely affect our ability to make distributions or payments to our investors and/or cause us to default under certain debt covenants.

Our degree of leverage could adversely affect our cash flow: We fund acquisition opportunities and development partially through short-term borrowings (including our revolving credit facility), as well as from proceeds from property sales and undistributed cash. We expect to refinance projects purchased with short-term debt either with long-term indebtedness or equity financing depending upon the economic conditions at the time of refinancing. Our Board of Directors has a general policy of limiting the ratio of our indebtedness to total undepreciated assets (total debt as a percentage of total undepreciated assets) to 50 percent or less, although there is no limit in Mack-Cali Realty, L.P.'s or our organizational documents on the amount of indebtedness that we may incur. However, we have entered into certain financial agreements which contain financial and operating covenants that limit our ability under certain circumstances to incur additional secured and unsecured indebtedness. The Board of Directors could alter or eliminate its current policy on borrowing at any time at its discretion. If this policy were changed, we could become more highly leveraged, resulting in an increase in debt service that could adversely affect our cash flow and our ability to make distributions or payments to our investors and/or could cause an increased risk of default on our obligations.

We are dependent on external sources of capital for future growth: To qualify as a real estate investment trust under the Code, we must distribute to our shareholders each year at least 90 percent of our net taxable income, excluding any net capital gain. Because of this distribution requirement, it is not likely that we will be able to fund all future capital needs, including for acquisitions and developments, from income from operations. Therefore, we will have to rely on third-party sources of capital, which may or may not be available on favorable terms or at all. Our access to third-party sources of capital depends on a number of things, including the market's perception of our growth potential and our current and potential future earnings. Moreover, additional equity offerings may result in substantial dilution of our shareholders' interests, and additional debt financing may substantially increase our leverage.

Competition for skilled personnel could increase our labor costs.

We compete with various other companies in attracting and retaining qualified and skilled personnel. We depend on our ability to attract and retain skilled management personnel who are responsible for the day-to-day operations of our company. Competitive pressures may require that we enhance our pay and benefits package to compete effectively for such personnel. We may not be able to offset such added costs by increasing the rates we charge our tenants. If there is an increase in these costs or if we fail to attract and retain qualified and skilled personnel, our business and operating results could be harmed.

We are dependent on our key personnel whose continued service is not guaranteed.

We are dependent upon our executive officers for strategic business direction and real estate experience. While we believe that we could find replacements for these key personnel, loss of their services could adversely affect our operations. We have entered into an employment agreement (including non-competition provisions) which provides for a continuous four-year employment term with each of Mitchell E. Hersh, Barry Lefkowitz and Roger W. Thomas and a continuous one-year employment term with Michael A. Grossman. We do not have key man life insurance for our executive officers.

Certain provisions of Maryland law and our charter and bylaws could hinder, delay or prevent changes in control. Certain provisions of Maryland law, our charter and our bylaws have the effect of discouraging, delaying or preventing transactions that involve an actual or threatened change in control. These provisions include the following:

Classified Board of Directors: Our Board of Directors is divided into three classes with staggered terms of office of three years each. The classification and staggered terms of office of our directors make it more difficult for a third party to gain control of our board of directors. At least two annual meetings of stockholders, instead of one, generally would be required to affect a change in a majority of the board of directors.

Removal of Directors: Under our charter, subject to the rights of one or more classes or series of preferred stock to elect one or more directors, a director may be removed only for cause and only by the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of all votes entitled to be cast by our stockholders generally in the election of directors. Neither the Maryland General Corporation Law nor our charter define the term "cause." As a result, removal for "cause" is subject to Maryland common law and to judicial interpretation and review in the context of the facts and circumstances of any particular situation.

Number of Directors, Board Vacancies, Terms of Office: We have, in our bylaws, elected to be subject to certain provisions of Maryland law which vest in the Board of Directors the exclusive right to determine the number of directors and the exclusive right, by the affirmative vote of a majority of the remaining directors, even if the remaining directors do not constitute a quorum, to fill vacancies on the board. These provisions of Maryland law, which are applicable even if other provisions of Maryland law or the charter or bylaws provide to the contrary, also provide that any director elected to fill a vacancy shall hold office for the remainder of the full term of the class of directors in which the vacancy occurred, rather than the next annual meeting of stockholders as would otherwise be the case, and until his or her successor is elected and qualifies.

Stockholder Requested Special Meetings: Our bylaws provide that our stockholders have the right to call a special meeting only upon the written request of the stockholders entitled to cast not less than a majority of all the votes entitled to be cast by the stockholders at such meeting.

Advance Notice Provisions for Stockholder Nominations and Proposals: Our bylaws require advance written notice for stockholders to nominate persons for election as directors at, or to bring other business before, any meeting of stockholders. This bylaw provision limits the ability of stockholders to make nominations of persons for election as directors or to introduce other proposals unless we are notified in a timely manner prior to the meeting.

Exclusive Authority of the Board to Amend the Bylaws: Our bylaws provide that our board of directors has the exclusive power to adopt, alter or repeal any provision of the bylaws or to make new bylaws. Thus, our stockholders may not effect any changes to our bylaws.

Preferred Stock: Under our charter, our Board of Directors has authority to issue preferred stock from time to time in one or more series and to establish the terms, preferences and rights of any such series of preferred stock, all without approval of our stockholders.

Duties of Directors with Respect to Unsolicited Takeovers: Maryland law provides protection for Maryland corporations against unsolicited takeovers by limiting, among other things, the duties of the directors in unsolicited takeover situations. The duties of directors of Maryland corporations do not require them to (a) accept, recommend or respond to any proposal by a person seeking to acquire control of the corporation, (b) authorize the corporation to redeem any rights under, or modify or render inapplicable, any stockholders rights plan, (c) make a determination

under the Maryland Business Combination Act or the Maryland Control Share Acquisition Act, or (d) act or fail to act solely because of the effect of the act or failure to act may have on an acquisition or potential acquisition of control of the corporation or the amount or type of consideration that may be offered or paid to the stockholders in an acquisition. Moreover, under Maryland law, the act of a director of a Maryland corporation relating to or affecting an acquisition or potential acquisition of control is not subject to any higher duty or greater scrutiny than is applied to any other act of a director. Maryland law also contains a statutory presumption that an act of a director of a Maryland corporation satisfies the applicable standards of conduct for directors under Maryland law.

Ownership Limit: In order to preserve our status as a real estate investment trust under the Code, our charter generally prohibits any single stockholder, or any group of affiliated stockholders, from beneficially owning more than 9.8 percent of our outstanding capital stock unless our Board of Directors waives or modifies this ownership limit.

Maryland Business Combination Act: The Maryland Business Combination Act provides that unless exempted, a Maryland corporation may not engage in business combinations, including mergers, dispositions of 10 percent or more of its assets, certain issuances of shares of stock and other specified transactions, with an "interested stockholder" or an affiliate of an interested stockholder, for five years after the most recent date on which the interested stockholder became an interested stockholder, and thereafter unless specified criteria are met. An interested stockholder is generally a person owning or controlling, directly or indirectly, 10 percent or more of the voting power of the outstanding stock of the Maryland corporation. Our board of directors has exempted from this statute business combinations between the Company and certain affiliated individuals and entities. However, unless our board adopts other exemptions, the provisions of the Maryland Business Combination Act will be applicable to business combinations with other persons.

Maryland Control Share Acquisition Act: Maryland law provides that "control shares" of a corporation acquired in a "control share acquisition" shall have no voting rights except to the extent approved by a vote of two-thirds of the votes eligible to cast on the matter under the Maryland Control Share Acquisition Act. "Control shares" means shares of stock that, if aggregated with all other shares of stock previously acquired by the acquirer, would entitle the acquirer to exercise voting power in electing directors within one of the following ranges of the voting power: one-tenth or more but less than a majority or a majority or more of all voting power. A "control share acquisition" means the acquisition of control shares, subject to certain exceptions.

If voting rights of control shares acquired in a control share acquisition are not approved at a stockholder's meeting, then subject to certain conditions and limitations, the issuer may redeem any or all of the control shares for fair value. If voting rights of such control shares are approved at a stockholder's meeting and the acquirer becomes entitled to vote a majority of the shares of stock entitled to vote, all other stockholders may exercise appraisal rights. Our bylaws contain a provision exempting from the Maryland Control Share Acquisition Act any acquisitions of shares by certain affiliated individuals and entities, any directors, officers or employees of the Company and any person approved by the board of directors prior to the acquisition by such person of control shares. Any control shares acquired in a control share acquisition which are not exempt under the foregoing provisions of our bylaws will be subject to the Maryland Control Share Acquisition Act.

Consequences of failure to qualify as a real estate investment trust could adversely affect our financial condition. Failure to maintain ownership limits could cause us to lose our qualification as a real estate investment trust: In order for us to maintain our qualification as a real estate investment trust under the Code, not more than 50 percent in value of our outstanding stock may be actually and/or constructively owned by five or fewer individuals (as defined in the Code to include certain entities). We have limited the ownership of our outstanding shares of our common stock by any single stockholder to 9.8 percent of the outstanding shares of our common stock. Our Board of Directors could waive this restriction if they were satisfied, based upon the advice of tax counsel or otherwise, that such action would be in our best interests and would not affect our qualification as a real estate investment trust under the Code. Common stock acquired or transferred in breach of the limitation may be redeemed by us for the lesser of the price paid and the average closing price for the 10 trading days immediately preceding redemption or sold at the direction of us. We may elect to redeem such shares of common stock for Units, which are nontransferable except in very limited circumstances. Any transfer of shares of common stock which, as a result of such transfer, causes us to be in violation of any ownership limit, will be deemed void. Although we currently intend to continue to operate in a manner which will enable us to continue to qualify as a real estate investment trust under the Code, it is possible that

future economic, market, legal, tax or other considerations may cause our Board of Directors to revoke the election for us to qualify as a real estate investment trust. Under our organizational documents, our Board of Directors can make such revocation without the consent of our stockholders.

In addition, the consent of the holders of at least 85 percent of Mack-Cali Realty, L.P.'s partnership units is required: (i) to merge (or permit the merger of) us with another unrelated person, pursuant to a transaction in which Mack-Cali Realty, L.P. is not the surviving entity; (ii) to dissolve, liquidate or wind up Mack-Cali Realty, L.P.; or (iii) to convey or otherwise transfer all or substantially all of Mack-Cali Realty, L.P.'s assets. As of February 8, 2011, as general partner, we own approximately 86.0 percent of Mack-Cali Realty, L.P.'s outstanding common partnership units.

Tax liabilities as a consequence of failure to qualify as a real estate investment trust: We have elected to be treated and have operated so as to qualify as a real estate investment trust for federal income tax purposes since our taxable year ended December 31, 1994. Although we believe we will continue to operate in such manner, we cannot guarantee that we will do so. Qualification as a real estate investment trust involves the satisfaction of various requirements (some on an annual and some on a quarterly basis) established under highly technical and complex tax provisions of the Code. Because few judicial or administrative interpretations of such provisions exist and qualification determinations are fact sensitive, we cannot assure you that we will qualify as a real estate investment trust for any taxable year.

If we fail to qualify as a real estate investment trust in any taxable year, we will be subject to the following:

- we will not be allowed a deduction for dividends paid to shareholders;
- we will be subject to federal income tax at regular corporate rates, including any alternative minimum tax, if applicable; and
- unless we are entitled to relief under certain statutory provisions, we will not be permitted to qualify as a real estate investment trust for the four taxable years following the year during which we were disqualified.

A loss of our status as a real estate investment trust could have an adverse effect on us. Failure to qualify as a real estate investment trust also would eliminate the requirement that we pay dividends to our stockholders.

Other tax liabilities: Even if we qualify as a real estate investment trust under the Code, we are subject to certain federal, state and local taxes on our income and property and, in some circumstances, certain other state and local taxes. From time to time changes in state and local tax laws or regulations are enacted, which may result in an increase in our tax liability. A shortfall in tax revenues for states and municipalities in which we operate may lead to an increase in the frequency and size of such changes. These actions could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations. In addition, our taxable REIT subsidiaries will be subject to federal, state and local income tax for income received in connection with certain non-customary services performed for tenants and/or third parties.

Risk of changes in the tax law applicable to real estate investment trusts: Since the Internal Revenue Service, the United States Treasury Department and Congress frequently review federal income tax legislation, we cannot predict whether, when or to what extent new federal tax laws, regulations, interpretations or rulings will be adopted. Any of such legislative action may prospectively or retroactively modify our and Mack-Cali Realty, L.P.'s tax treatment and, therefore, may adversely affect taxation of us, Mack-Cali Realty, L.P., and/or our investors.

Changes in market conditions could adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

•

As with other publicly traded equity securities, the value of our common stock depends on various market conditions, which may change from time to time. The market price of our common stock could change in ways that may or may not be related to our business, our industry or our operating performance and financial condition. Among the market conditions that may affect the value of our common stock are the following:

the extent of your interest in us;

the general reputation of REITs and the attractiveness of our equity securities in comparison to other equity securities, including securities issued by other real estate-based companies;

•

•

- our financial performance; and
- general stock and bond market conditions.

The market value of our common stock is based primarily upon the market's perception of our growth potential and our current and potential future earnings and cash dividends. Consequently, our common stock may trade at prices that are higher or lower than our net asset value per share of common stock.

16

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

17

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

PROPERTY LIST

As of December 31, 2010, the Company's Consolidated Properties consisted of 263 in-service office, office/flex and industrial/warehouse properties, as well as two stand-alone retail properties and three land leases. The Consolidated Properties are located primarily in the Northeast. The Consolidated Properties are easily accessible from major thoroughfares and are in close proximity to numerous amenities. The Consolidated Properties contain a total of approximately 31.0 million square feet, with the individual properties ranging from 6,216 to 1,246,283 square feet. The Consolidated Properties, managed by on-site employees, generally have attractively landscaped sites and atriums in addition to quality design and construction. The Company's tenants include many service sector employers, including a large number of professional firms and national and international businesses. The Company believes that all of its properties are well-maintained and do not require significant capital improvements.

Office Properties

							2010
		F	Percentage	2010 2010			Average
		Net	Leased	Base		Average	Effective
		Rentable	as of	Rent 1	Percentage B	Base Rent	Rent
	Year	Area	12/31/10	(\$000's)	of Total	Per Sq.	Per Sq.
					2010	Ft.	Ft.
Property Location	Built	(Sq. Ft.)	(%) (a)	(b) (c)	Base Rent (\$) (c) (d)	(\$) (c) (e)
					(%)		
NEW IEDCEN							
NEW JERSEY							
Bergen County							
Fair Lawn							
17-17 Route 208 North	1987	143,000	100.0	2,239	0.37	15.66	14.16
Fort Lee							
One Bridge Plaza	1981	200,000	83.4	4,270	0.71	25.60	22.03
2115 Linwood Avenue	1981	68,000	55.2	942	0.16	25.10	23.36
Little Ferry							
200 Riser Road	1974	286,628	100.0	2,083	0.35	7.27	6.88
Lyndhurst							
210 Clay Avenue	1981	121,203	89.1	2,502	0.42	23.17	20.93
Montvale							
95 Chestnut Ridge Road	1975	47,700	0.0	4	0.00	0.00	0.00
135 Chestnut Ridge Road	1981	66,150	35.4	1,290	0.21	55.09	47.10
Paramus							
15 East Midland Avenue	1988	259,823	80.5	4,860	0.81	23.24	22.48
140 East Ridgewood	1981	239,680					
Avenue			93.3	4,868	0.81	21.77	18.71
461 From Road	1988	253,554	97.0	6,081	1.01	24.72	24.64
650 From Road	1978	348,510	79.5	6,224	1.03	22.46	19.65
61 South Paramus Road (f)	1985	269,191	70.9	5,232	0.87	27.41	23.96
Rochelle Park							
120 West Passaic Street	1972	52,000	99.6	1,403	0.23	27.09	25.62
365 West Passaic Street	1976	212,578	98.7	4,335	0.72	20.66	18.35
395 West Passaic Street	1979	100,589	98.0	2,426	0.40	24.61	20.79
Upper Saddle River							
1 Lake Street	1973/94	474,801	100.0	7,465	1.23	15.72	15.72
10 Mountainview Road	1986	192,000	72.9	3,234	0.54	23.11	20.25
Woodcliff Lake	1000		100.0	4.0.50			16.00
400 Chestnut Ridge Road	1982	89,200	100.0	1,950	0.32	21.86	16.32
470 Chestnut Ridge Road	1987	52,500	100.0	1,248	0.21	23.77	18.90
530 Chestnut Ridge Road	1986	57,204	80.2	759	0.13	16.54	15.00
50 Tice Boulevard	1984	235,000	84.2	5,880	0.98	29.72	26.85
300 Tice Boulevard	1991	230,000	96.0	5,561	0.92	25.19	22.45

Burlington County Moorestown							
224 Strawbridge Drive	1984	74,000	100.0	1,750	0.29	23.65	20.38
228 Strawbridge Drive	1984	74,000	100.0	1,853	0.31	25.04	21.61
232 Strawbridge Drive	1986	74,258	98.8	1,474	0.24	20.09	18.03
Essex County							
Millburn							
150 J.F. Kennedy Parkway	1980	247,476	98.0	7,263	1.21	29.95	25.19
Roseland							
4 Becker Farm Road	1983	281,762	97.1	6,542	1.09	23.91	21.80
5 Becker Farm Road	1982	118,343	89.8	2,501	0.42	23.53	21.43
6 Becker Farm Road	1982	129,732	78.3	2,799	0.46	27.55	25.47
101 Eisenhower Parkway	1980	237,000	91.4	5,163	0.86	23.83	21.79
103 Eisenhower Parkway	1985	151,545	72.7	2,231	0.37	20.25	17.05
105 Eisenhower Parkway	2001	220,000	96.3	5,175	0.86	24.43	18.29
75 Livingston Avenue	1985	94,221	59.4	1,301	0.22	23.25	19.14
85 Livingston Avenue	1985	124,595	84.8	2,713	0.45	25.68	23.77

	Percentage 2010 2010						
		Net	Leased	Base		-	Effective
	V	Rentable	as of		Percentage Ba		Rent
	Year	Area	12/31/10	(\$000's)	of Total 2010	Per Sq. Ft.	Per Sq.
Property Location	Built	(Sq. Ft.)	(%) (a)	(\mathbf{b}) (\mathbf{c})	Base Rent (\$		Ft.
Floperty Location	Duin	(Sq. 11.)	(%)(a)	(0)(c)	(%) (%) (%)	(c)(u)	$(\mathfrak{s})(\mathfrak{c})(\mathfrak{c})$
					(70)		
Hudson County							
Jersey City							
Harborside Financial Center	1983	400,000					
Plaza 1			100.0	11,507	1.91	28.77	25.31
Harborside Financial Center	1990	761,200					
Plaza 2			100.0	18,556	3.08	24.38	22.43
Harborside Financial Center	1990	725,600					
Plaza 3			95.6	20,044	3.33	28.90	26.86
Harborside Financial Center	2000	207,670					
Plaza 4-A			100.0	6,081	1.01	29.28	25.09
Harborside Financial Center	2002	977,225	061	06.040	6.01	20.00	22.16
Plaza 5	1002	1 246 292	96.1	36,248	6.01	38.60	33.16
101 Hudson Street	1992	1,246,283	93.8	30,173	5.01	25.81	22.49
Mercer County							
Hamilton Township							
3 AAA Drive	1981	35,270	68.7	566	0.09	23.36	18.53
600 Horizon Drive	2002	95,000	100.0	1,384	0.23	14.57	14.57
700 Horizon Drive	2007	120,000	100.0	2,459	0.41	20.49	18.33
2 South Gold Drive	1974	33,962	64.5	483	0.08	22.05	19.49
Princeton							
103 Carnegie Center	1984	96,000	90.7	2,480	0.41	28.48	23.84
2 Independence Way	1981	67,401	100.0	1,521	0.25	22.57	20.53
3 Independence Way	1983	111,300	96.0	2,065	0.34	19.33	14.74
100 Overlook Center	1988	149,600	90.9	4,608	0.76	33.89	28.90
5 Vaughn Drive	1987	98,500	83.8	2,146	0.36	26.00	21.89
Mi 111 Committee							
Middlesex County							
East Brunswick 377 Summerhill Road	1977	40,000	100.0	362	0.06	9.05	8.35
Edison	1977	40,000	100.0	302	0.00	9.05	0.55
343 Thornall Street (c)	1991	195,709	100.0	4,170	0.69	21.31	16.18
Piscataway	1771	175,707	100.0		0.07	21.21	10.10
30 Knightsbridge Road,	1977	160,000					
Bldg 3	-2.1.	,000	100.0	2,334	0.39	14.59	14.59
6	1977	115,000	100.0	1,677	0.28	14.58	14.58
		, -					

30 Knightsbridge Road,							
Bldg 4							
30 Knightsbridge Road,	1977	332,607					
Bldg 5			80.8	4,942	0.82	18.39	13.42
30 Knightsbridge Road,	1977	72,743					
Bldg 6			63.8	206	0.03	4.44	2.09
Plainsboro							
500 College Road East (f)	1984	158,235	71.9	418	0.07	3.67	2.66
Woodbridge							
581 Main Street	1991	200,000	93.1	4,989	0.83	26.79	23.25
Monmouth County							
Freehold							
2 Paragon Way	1989	44,524	44.3	393	0.07	19.92	17.39
3 Paragon Way	1991	66,898	64.3	802	0.13	18.64	13.74
4 Paragon Way	2002	63,989	30.8	521	0.09	26.44	24.61
100 Willbowbrook Road	1988	60,557	64.2	1,257	0.21	32.33	22.76
Holmdel	1700	00,557	01.2	1,237	0.21	52.55	22.70
23 Main Street	1977	350,000	100.0	4,012	0.67	11.46	8.63
Middletown	1777	550,000	100.0	1,012	0.07	11.10	0.05
One River Center Bldg 1	1983	122,594	91.1	2,981	0.49	26.69	21.95
One River Center Bldg 2	1983	120,360	82.8	2,456	0.41	24.64	22.79
One River Center Bldg 3	1984	214,518	02.0	2,100	0.11	21.01	,>
and 4	1701	21 1,5 10	88.4	4,328	0.72	22.82	21.97
Neptune			00.1	1,520	0.72	22.02	21.77
3600 Route 66	1989	180,000	100.0	2,400	0.40	13.33	12.06
Wall Township	1707	100,000	100.0	2,100	0.10	10.00	12.00
1305 Campus Parkway	1988	23,350	92.4	474	0.08	21.97	14.55
1350 Campus Parkway	1990	29,330 79,747	99.9	1,309	0.22	16.43	14.23
1000 Cumpus Funking	1770	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,,,,	1,007	0.22	10.15	11.20

							2010
		F	Percentage	2010			Average
		Net	Leased	Base		Average I	Effective
		Rentable	as of	Rent	Percentage	Base Rent	Rent
	Year	Area	12/31/10	(\$000's)	of Total 2010	Per Sq. Ft.	Per Sq. Ft.
Property Location	Built	(Sq. Ft.)	(%) (a)	(b) (c)	Base Rent (%)	(\$) (c) (d)	(\$) (c) (e)
Morris County							
Florham Park							
325 Columbia Turnpike	1987	168,144	78.5	3,007	0.50	22.78	19.36
Morris Plains							
250 Johnson Road	1977	75,000	100.0	1,579	0.26	21.05	18.47
201 Littleton Road	1979	88,369	36.4	1,150	0.19	35.75	33.11
Morris Township							
412 Mt. Kemble Avenue	1986	475,100	58.6	5,005	0.83	17.98	13.46
Parsippany							
4 Campus Drive	1983	147,475	87.5	3,134	0.52	24.29	19.47
6 Campus Drive	1983	148,291	94.4	3,279	0.54	23.42	19.28
7 Campus Drive	1982	154,395	77.4	1,806	0.30	15.11	12.42
8 Campus Drive	1987	215,265	100.0	6,080	1.01	28.24	25.14
9 Campus Drive	1983	156,495	67.6	2,496	0.41	23.59	21.76
4 Century Drive	1981	100,036	72.3	1,491	0.25	20.61	17.37
5 Century Drive	1981	79,739	77.1	1,352	0.22	21.99	18.59
6 Century Drive	1981	100,036	94.7	1,617	0.27	17.07	8.47
2 Dryden Way	1990	6,216	100.0	99 6 270	0.02 1.06	15.93	14.64
4 Gatehall Drive	1988	248,480	99.4 100.0	6,370		25.79	22.62
2 Hilton Court 1633 Littleton Road	1991 1978	181,592 57,722	100.0 100.0	6,528 1,131	1.08 0.19	35.95 19.59	31.33 19.59
600 Parsippany Road	1978	96,000	84.4	1,131	0.19	19.39	19.39
1 Sylvan Way	1978	150,557	60.3	1,490	0.25	20.28	16.81
4 Sylvan Way	1989	105,135	100.0	1,841	0.31	18.35	16.47
5 Sylvan Way	1989	151,383	93.5	3,436	0.52	24.28	20.84
7 Sylvan Way	1987	145,983	100.0	3,219	0.53	22.05	19.29
22 Sylvan Way	2009	249,409	100.0	6,027	1.00	24.17	21.78
20 Waterview Boulevard	1988	225,550	100.0	5,313	0.88	23.56	20.02
35 Waterview Boulevard	1990	172,498	96.8	3,586	0.60	21.48	18.63
5 Wood Hollow Road	1979	317,040	84.5	4,769	0.79	17.80	14.35
Passaic County							
Clifton							
777 Passaic Avenue	1983	75,000	66.0	1,350	0.22	27.27	25.39
Totowa							

Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K												
999 Riverview Drive	1988	56,066	89.7	861	0.14	17.12	15.07					
Somerset County												
Basking Ridge												
222 Mt. Airy Road	1986	49,000	100.0	1,059	0.18	21.61	16.20					
233 Mt. Airy Road	1987	66,000	100.0	1,315	0.22	19.92	16.71					
Bernards												
106 Allen Road	2000	132,010	99.7	3,289	0.55	24.99	19.49					
Branchburg												
51 Imclone Drive	1986	63,213	100.0	344	0.06	5.44	4.62					
Bridgewater												
440 Route 22 East (g)	1990	198,376	82.5	0	0.00	0.00	0.00					
721 Route 202/206	1989	192,741	86.4	3,640	0.60	21.86	15.76					
Warren												
10 Independence	1988	120,528										
Boulevard			100.0	3,330	0.55	27.63	25.38					
Union County												
Clark												
100 Walnut Avenue	1985	182,555	94.1	4,755	0.79	27.68	23.27					

							2010
		F	Percentage	2010		2010	Average
		Net	Leased	Base		Average I	Effective
		Rentable	as of	Rent	Percentage	Base Rent	Rent
	Year	Area	12/31/10	(\$000's)	of Total 2010	Per Sq. Ft.	Per Sq. Ft.
Property Location	Built	(Sq. Ft.)	(%) (a)	(b) (c)	Base Rent (%)	(\$) (c) (d)	(\$) (c) (e)
Cranford							
6 Commerce Drive	1973	56,000	88.5	990	0.16	19.98	17.01
11 Commerce Drive	1981	90,000	100.0	2,039	0.34	22.66	19.10
12 Commerce Drive	1967	72,260	83.9	850	0.14	14.02	10.69
14 Commerce Drive	1971	67,189	71.3	1,118	0.19	23.34	18.81
20 Commerce Drive	1990	176,600	99.8	4,399	0.73	24.96	21.71
25 Commerce Drive	1971	67,749	95.8	1,446	0.24	22.28	20.32
65 Jackson Drive	1984	82,778	100.0	1,879	0.31	22.70	19.73
New Providence							
890 Mountain Avenue	1977	80,000	91.4	1,780	0.30	24.34	22.89
Total New Jersey Office	1	9,396,060	89.9	408,151	67.77	23.42	20.40
NEW YORK							
New York County							
New York							
125 Broad Street	1970	524,476	58.6	9,036	1.50	29.40	27.51
Rockland County							
Suffern 400 Rella Boulevard	1988	180,000	87.1	3,712	0.62	23.68	21.03
Westehester County							
Westchester County Elmsford							
100 Clearbrook Road (c)	1975	60,000	98.0	1,118	0.19	19.01	17.31
101 Executive Boulevard	1971	50,000	12.6	361	0.06	57.30	51.27
555 Taxter Road	1986	170,554	80.3	3,468	0.58	25.32	20.47
565 Taxter Road	1988	170,554	90.2	3,977	0.66	25.85	21.42
570 Taxter Road	1972	75,000	73.6	1,292	0.21	23.41	21.56
Hawthorne							
1 Skyline Drive	1980	20,400	99.0	356	0.06	17.63	16.59
2 Skyline Drive	1987	30,000	100.0	286	0.05	9.53	7.23
7 Skyline Drive	1987	109,000	83.2	2,730	0.45	30.10	26.61
17 Skyline Drive (f)	1989	85,000	100.0	1,630	0.27	19.18	18.53

Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

19 Skyline Drive Tarrytown	1982	248,400	100.0	4,036	0.67	16.25	15.11
200 White Plains Road	1982	89,000	92.6	1,731	0.29	21.00	18.52
220 White Plains Road	1984	89,000	76.8	1,634	0.27	23.91	20.91
White Plains							
1 Barker Avenue	1975	68,000	95.0	1,660	0.28	25.70	23.54
3 Barker Avenue	1983	65,300	100.0	1,712	0.28	26.22	23.84
50 Main Street	1985	309,000	94.3	9,469	1.56	32.50	29.36
11 Martine Avenue	1987	180,000	89.2	4,621	0.77	28.78	26.20
1 Water Street	1979	45,700	100.0	1,140	0.19	24.95	21.51
Yonkers							
1 Executive Boulevard	1982	112,000	100.0	2,774	0.46	24.77	21.57
3 Executive Boulevard	1987	58,000	94.8	1,598	0.27	29.06	28.03
Total New York Office		2,739,384	83.8	58,341	9.69	25.42	22.84

		F	Percentage	2010		2010	2010 Average
		Net	Leased	Base			Effective
		Rentable	as of		Percentage B		Rent
	Year	Area	12/31/10	(\$000's)	-	Per Sq.	Per Sq.
					2010	Ft.	Ft.
Property Location	Built	(Sq. Ft.)	(%) (a)	(b) (c)	Base Rent (\$) (c) (d)	(\$) (c) (e)
1 2					(%)	., . , . , . ,	
PENNSYLVANIA							
Chaster Country							
Chester County							
Berwyn	1000	(0 (0(02.2	1 500	0.25	07.00	26.20
1000 Westlakes Drive	1989	60,696	92.2	1,522	0.25	27.20	26.20
1055 Westlakes Drive	1990	118,487	88.1	2,877	0.48	27.56	23.08
1205 Westlakes Drive	1988	130,265	87.6	3,149	0.52	27.60	24.19
1235 Westlakes Drive	1986	134,902	99.0	2,975	0.49	22.28	18.39
Delaware County							
Lester							
100 Stevens Drive	1986	95,000	100.0	2,771	0.46	29.17	26.55
200 Stevens Drive	1987	208,000	100.0	6,088	1.01	29.27	27.14
300 Stevens Drive	1992	68,000	90.7	1,398	0.23	22.67	18.69
Media		,)			
1400 Providence Road –	1986	100,000					
Center I		,	98.7	2,183	0.36	22.12	19.36
1400 Providence Road –	1990	160,000		,			
Center II			100.0	3,612	0.60	22.58	19.01
Montgomery County							
Bala Cynwyd							
150 Monument Road	1981	125,783	95.5	2,908	0.48	24.21	20.85
Blue Bell							
4 Sentry Park	1982	63,930	78.8	1,036	0.17	20.57	17.35
5 Sentry Park East	1984	91,600	58.5	1,108	0.18	20.68	14.67
5 Sentry Park West	1984	38,400	31.5	253	0.04	20.92	18.44
16 Sentry Park West	1988	93,093	100.0	1,987	0.33	21.34	18.58
18 Sentry Park West	1988	95,010	99.8	2,182	0.36	23.01	20.18
King of Prussia							
2200 Renaissance	1985	174,124		_			
Boulevard			57.9	2,304	0.38	22.85	18.24
Lower Providence							
1000 Madison Avenue	1990	100,700	75.0	985	0.16	13.04	8.29
Plymouth Meeting	40-0				~ • • •		1
	1970	167,748	69.1	2,641	0.44	22.78	17.61

Edgar Filing:	MACK C	CALI REALTY	CORP -	Form 10-	K
---------------	--------	-------------	--------	----------	---

1150 Plymouth Meeting Mall							
Total Pennsylvania Office	/	2,025,738	86.3	41,979	6.94	24.02	20.54
CONNECTICUT							
Fairfield County Norwalk							
40 Richards Avenue Stamford	1985	145,487	69.5	2,340	0.39	23.14	19.69
1266 East Main Street	1984	179,260	88.8	4,058	0.66	25.49	21.72
Total Connecticut Office		324,747	80.1	6,398	1.05	24.61	20.96
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA							
Washington 1201 Connecticut Avenue,	1940	169,549					
NW 1400 L Street, NW	1987	159,000	92.9 100.0	6,796 5,818	1.12 0.97	43.15 36.59	37.73 30.89
Total District of Columbia Office		328,549	96.3	12,614	2.09	39.85	34.29

							2010
		F	Percentage	2010		2010	Average
		Net	Leased	Base		Average	Effective
		Rentable	as of	Rent	Percentage E	Base Rent	Rent
	Year	Area	12/31/10	(\$000's)	of Total	Per Sq.	Per Sq.
					2010	Ft.	Ft.
Property Location	Built	(Sq. Ft.)	(%) (a)	(b) (c)	Base Rent (\$) (c) (d)	(\$) (c) (e)
					(%)		
MARYLAND							
Prince George's County							
Greenbelt							
9200 Edmonston Road	1973	38,690	100.0	910	0.15	23.52	21.17
6301 Ivy Lane	1979	112,003	78.3	1,741	0.29	19.85	17.77
6303 Ivy Lane	1980	112,047	85.6	2,029	0.34	21.15	19.41
6305 Ivy Lane	1982	112,022	68.5	1,666	0.28	21.71	19.18
6404 Ivy Lane	1987	165,234	66.3	1,674	0.28	15.28	11.44
6406 Ivy Lane	1991	163,857	0.0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
6411 Ivy Lane	1984	138,405	90.2	2,882	0.48	23.09	20.23
Lanham							
4200 Parliament Place	1989	122,000	93.7	2,754	0.46	24.09	22.29
Total Maryland Office		964,258	67.2	13,656	2.28	21.08	18.58
TOTAL OFFICE							
PROPERTIES	2	25,778,736	88.0	541,139	89.82	23.84	20.81

Office/Flex Properties

							2010
		F	Percentage	2010		2010	Average
		Net	Leased	Base	1	Average	Effective
		Rentable	as of	Rent P	ercentage Ba	ase Rent	Rent
	Year	Area	12/31/10	(\$000's)	of Total	Per Sq.	Per Sq.
					2010	Ft.	Ft.
Property Location	Built	(Sq. Ft.)	(%) (a)	(b) (c) I	Base Rent (\$	(c) (d)	(\$) (c) (e)
					(%)		
NEW JERSEY							
Burlington County							
Burlington							
3 Terri Lane	1991	64,500	100.0	561	0.09	8.70	7.69
5 Terri Lane	1992	74,555	100.0	526	0.09	7.06	5.70
Moorestown	1772	74,555	100.0	520	0.07	7.00	5.70
2 Commerce Drive	1986	49,000	85.4	274	0.05	6.55	4.49
101 Commerce Drive	1988	64,700	100.0	275	0.05	4.25	3.85
102 Commerce Drive	1987	38,400	87.5	215	0.04	6.40	4.61
201 Commerce Drive	1986	38,400	100.0	199	0.03	5.18	3.93
202 Commerce Drive	1988	51,200	82.8	212	0.04	5.00	4.15
1 Executive Drive	1989	20,570	81.1	162	0.03	9.71	7.67
2 Executive Drive	1988	60,800	90.3	442	0.07	8.05	5.72
101 Executive Drive	1990	29,355	99.7	306	0.05	10.46	8.06
102 Executive Drive	1990	64,000	100.0	474	0.08	7.41	7.02
225 Executive Drive	1990	50,600	79.1	175	0.03	4.37	3.02
97 Foster Road	1982	43,200	74.8	152	0.03	4.70	3.22
1507 Lancer Drive	1995	32,700	100.0	134	0.02	4.10	3.79
1245 North Church Street	1998	52,810	100.0	296	0.05	5.60	4.92
1247 North Church Street	1998	52,790	58.1	200	0.03	6.52	5.35
1256 North Church Street	1984	63,495	100.0	431	0.07	6.79	6.11
840 North Lenola Road	1995	38,300	100.0	370	0.06	9.66	7.86
844 North Lenola Road	1995	28,670	100.0	183	0.03	6.38	4.81
915 North Lenola Road	1998	52,488	100.0	297	0.05	5.66	4.48
2 Twosome Drive	2000	48,600	100.0	450	0.07	9.26	8.81
30 Twosome Drive	1997	39,675	100.0	283	0.05	7.13	5.44
31 Twosome Drive	1998	84,200	100.0	483	0.08	5.74	5.44
40 Twosome Drive	1996	40,265	100.0	305	0.05	7.57	6.41
41 Twosome Drive	1998	43,050	77.7	201	0.03	6.01	5.05
50 Twosome Drive	1997	34,075	100.0	257	0.04	7.54	7.13
Gloucester County							
West Deptford							
1451 Metropolitan Drive	1996	21,600	100.0	120	0.02	5.56	4.91
	1990	21,000	100.0	120	0.02	5.50	7.71

Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Mercer County Hamilton Township							
100 Horizon Center Boulevard	1989	13,275	50.0	189	0.03	28.47	24.41
200 Horizon Drive	1991	45,770	100.0	601	0.10	13.13	11.89
300 Horizon Drive	1989	69,780	55.3	827	0.14	21.43	15.73
500 Horizon Drive	1990	41,205	88.7	509	0.08	13.93	13.02
Monmouth County							
Wall Township							
1325 Campus Parkway	1988	35,000	100.0	653	0.11	18.66	14.63
1340 Campus Parkway	1992	72,502	100.0	888	0.15	12.25	9.85
1345 Campus Parkway	1995	76,300	85.4	874	0.15	13.41	10.39
1433 Highway 34	1985	69,020	70.2	554	0.09	11.43	8.59
1320 Wyckoff Avenue	1986	20,336	100.0	222	0.04	10.92	9.15
1324 Wyckoff Avenue	1987	21,168	81.5	186	0.03	10.78	6.84

						2010	
		F	Percentage	2010		Average	
		Net	Leased	Base		Average	Effective
		Rentable	as of	Rent I	Percentage B	ase Rent	Rent
	Year	Area	12/31/10	(\$000's)	of Total	Per Sq.	Per Sq.
					2010	Ft.	Ft.
Property Location	Built	(Sq. Ft.)	(%) (a)	(b) (c)	Base Rent (§	\$) (c) (d)	(\$) (c) (e)
					(%)		
Passaic County							
Totowa							
1 Center Court	1999	38,961	46.2	300	0.05	16.67	15.50
2 Center Court	1998	30,600	62.8	326	0.05	16.96	14.73
11 Commerce Way	1989	47,025	100.0	610	0.10	12.97	12.59
20 Commerce Way	1992	42,540	70.8	320	0.05	10.62	10.49
29 Commerce Way	1990	48,930	100.0	699	0.12	14.29	11.38
40 Commerce Way	1987	50,576	72.5	329	0.05	8.97	8.26
45 Commerce Way	1992	51,207	100.0	480	0.08	9.37	7.77
60 Commerce Way	1988	50,333	100.0	590	0.10	11.72	9.58
80 Commerce Way	1996	22,500	100.0	266	0.04	11.82	10.58
100 Commerce Way	1996	24,600	66.9	290	0.05	17.62	15.68
120 Commerce Way	1994	9,024	100.0	117	0.02	12.97	12.19
140 Commerce Way	1994	26,881	99.5	350	0.06	13.09	12.23
Total New Jersey Office/Flex		2,189,531	89.5	18,163	3.02	9.26	7.77
Total New Jersey Office/Tiex		2,107,551	07.5	10,105	5.02).20	1.11
NEW YORK							
Westchester County							
Elmsford							
11 Clearbrook Road	1974	31,800	72.8	366	0.06	15.81	13.61
75 Clearbrook Road	1990	32,720	100.0	464	0.08	14.18	13.08
125 Clearbrook Road	2002	33,000	100.0	712	0.12	21.58	17.94
150 Clearbrook Road	1975	74,900	100.0	983	0.12	13.12	11.96
175 Clearbrook Road	1973	98,900	98.6	1,429	0.24	14.65	13.34
200 Clearbrook Road	1974	94,000	98.8	1,119	0.19	12.05	10.48
250 Clearbrook Road	1973	155,000	97.3	1,541	0.19	10.22	9.29
50 Executive Boulevard	1969	45,200	95.2	467	0.08	10.22	9.37
77 Executive Boulevard	1977	13,000	100.0	248	0.08	19.08	18.31
85 Executive Boulevard	1968	31,000	99.4	577	0.10	19.08	15.87
300 Executive Boulevard	1908	60,000	100.0	551	0.10	9.18	8.05
350 Executive Boulevard	1970	15,400	98.8	233	0.09	15.31	15.12
399 Executive Boulevard	1970	80,000	98.8 100.0	1,038	0.04	12.98	13.12
400 Executive Boulevard	1902	42,200	55.2	487	0.17	20.91	12.40
HOU EXECUTIVE DOULEVALU	19/0	42,200	55.2	40/	0.00	20.91	17.13

Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

500 Executive Boulevard	1970	41,600	100.0	735	0.12	17.67	15.38
525 Executive Boulevard	1972	61,700	100.0	1,071	0.18	17.36	15.75
1 Westchester Plaza	1967	25,000	100.0	316	0.05	12.64	12.28
2 Westchester Plaza	1968	25,000	100.0	542	0.09	21.68	20.12
3 Westchester Plaza	1969	93,500	99.4	1,045	0.17	11.24	9.46
4 Westchester Plaza	1969	44,700	100.0	540	0.09	12.08	9.91
5 Westchester Plaza	1969	20,000	100.0	296	0.05	14.80	9.80
6 Westchester Plaza	1968	20,000	100.0	312	0.05	15.60	14.25
7 Westchester Plaza	1972	46,200	100.0	628	0.10	13.59	13.27
8 Westchester Plaza	1971	67,200	100.0	1,027	0.17	15.28	13.15
Hawthorne							
200 Saw Mill River Road	1965	51,100	87.9	594	0.10	13.22	12.27
4 Skyline Drive	1987	80,600	100.0	1,254	0.21	15.56	13.23
5 Skyline Drive	1980	124,022	99.3	1,626	0.27	13.20	11.37
6 Skyline Drive	1980	44,155	100.0	149	0.02	3.37	2.88
8 Skyline Drive	1985	50,000	92.7	928	0.15	20.02	16.25
10 Skyline Drive	1985	20,000	100.0	351	0.06	17.55	14.75
11 Skyline Drive (f)	1989	45,000	100.0	848	0.14	18.84	18.56
12 Skyline Drive (f)	1999	46,850	100.0	606	0.10	12.93	10.22
15 Skyline Drive (f)	1989	55,000	100.0	1,202	0.20	21.85	20.00

							2010
		Percentage		2010	2010 Average		
		Net	Leased	Base		Average	Effective
		Rentable	as of	Rent H	Percentage B	ase Rent	Rent
	Year	Area	12/31/10	(\$000's)	of Total	Per Sq.	Per Sq.
					2010	Ft.	Ft.
Property Location	Built	(Sq. Ft.)	(%) (a)	(b) (c)	Base Rent (S	\$) (c) (d) (\$) (c) (e)
					(%)		
Yonkers	1007	- 0.000	00.0	1 554	0.00	20.27	10.16
100 Corporate Boulevard	1987	78,000	98.3	1,554	0.26	20.27	19.16
200 Corporate Boulevard South	1990	84,000	99.8	1,571	0.26	18.74	17.93
4 Executive Plaza	1986	80,000	100.0	1,379	0.23	17.24	12.95
6 Executive Plaza	1987	80,000	99.2	1,511	0.25	19.04	17.54
1 Odell Plaza	1980	106,000	99.9	1,363	0.23	12.87	11.57
3 Odell Plaza	1984	71,065	100.0	1,596	0.26	22.46	20.83
5 Odell Plaza	1983	38,400	99.6	576	0.10	15.06	11.74
7 Odell Plaza	1984	42,600	99.6	771	0.13	18.17	17.02
Total New York Office/Flex		2,348,812	97.8	34,606	5.75	15.06	13.38
CONNECTICUT							
Fairfield County							
Stamford							
419 West Avenue	1986	88,000	100.0	1,363	0.23	15.49	13.84
500 West Avenue	1988	25,000	100.0	435	0.07	17.40	15.68
550 West Avenue	1990	54,000	100.0	932	0.15	17.26	16.91
600 West Avenue	1999	66,000	100.0	403	0.07	6.11	5.55
650 West Avenue	1998	40,000	100.0	686	0.11	17.15	15.90
	1770	.0,000	10010	000	0111	1,110	10190
Total Connecticut Office/Flex		273,000	100.0	3,819	0.63	13.99	12.91
TOTAL OFFICE/FLEX PROPERTIE	S	4,811,343	94.2	56,588	9.40	12.49	10.92