GEO GROUP INC
Form 10-K
February 26, 2015
**Table of Contents**

# UNITED STATES

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

**Washington, D.C. 20549**

# Form 10-K

**(Mark One)**

x **ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**
**For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014**

**OR**

¨ **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**
**For the transition period from to**

**Commission file number: 1-14260**

# The GEO Group, Inc.

**(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)**

| **Florida** | **65-0043078** |
|---|---|
| **(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)** | **(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)** |
| **One Park Place, Suite 700, 621 Northwest 53rd Street** | |
| **Boca Raton, Florida** | **33487-8242** |
| **(Address of principal executive offices)** | **(Zip Code)** |

**Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (561) 893-0101**

**Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:**

| Title of Each Class | Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered |
|---|---|
| **Common Stock, $0.01 Par Value** | **New York Stock Exchange** |

**Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:**

**None**

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes x No ¨

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes ¨ No x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No ¨

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No ¨

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Large accelerated filer | x | Accelerated filer | ¨ |
| Non-Accelerated filer | ¨ (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) | Smaller reporting company | ¨ |

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes ¨ No x

The aggregate market value of the 72,229,335 voting and non-voting shares of common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of June 30, 2014 (based on the last reported sales price of such stock on the New York Stock Exchange on such date, the last business day of the registrant s quarter ended June 30, 2014 of $35.73 per share) was approximately $2.6 billion.

As of February 23, 2015, the registrant had 74,202,455 shares of common stock outstanding.

Certain portions of the registrant s definitive proxy statement pursuant to Regulation 14A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for its 2015 annual meeting of shareholders, which will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after the end of the year covered by this report, are incorporated by reference into Part III of this report.

Table of Contents

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**


| | | Page |
|---|---|---|
| | **PART I** | |
| Item 1. | *Business* | 3 |
| Item 1A. | *Risk Factors* | 26 |
| Item 1B. | *Unresolved Staff Comments* | 49 |
| Item 2. | *Properties* | 49 |
| Item 3. | *Legal Proceedings* | 49 |
| Item 4. | *Mine Safety Disclosures* | 49 |
| | **PART II** | |
| Item 5. | *Market for Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities* | 50 |
| Item 6. | *Selected Financial Data* | 53 |
| Item 7. | *Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations* | 54 |
| Item 7A. | *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk* | 86 |
| Item 8. | *Financial Statements and Supplementary Data* | 86 |
| Item 9. | *Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure* | 164 |
| Item 9A. | *Controls and Procedures* | 164 |
| Item 9B. | *Other Information* | 164 |
| | **PART III** | |
| Item 10. | *Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance* | 165 |
| Item 11. | *Executive Compensation* | 165 |
| Item 12. | *Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters* | 165 |
| Item 13. | *Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence* | 165 |
| Item 14. | *Principal Accounting Fees and Services* | 165 |
| | **PART IV** | |
| Item 15. | *Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules* | 165 |
| | *Signatures* | 171 |

Table of Contents

**PART I**

**Item 1.** ***Business***

*As used in this report, the terms we, us, our, GEO and the Company refer to The GEO Group, Inc., its consolidated subsidiaries and its unconsolidated affiliates, unless otherwise expressly stated or the context otherwise requires.*

**General**

We are a fully-integrated real estate investment trust ( REIT ) specializing in the ownership, leasing and management of correctional, detention and re-entry facilities and the provision of community-based services and youth services in the United States, Australia, South Africa and the United Kingdom. We own, lease and operate a broad range of correctional and detention facilities including maximum, medium and minimum security prisons, immigration detention centers, minimum security detention centers, as well as community based re-entry facilities. We develop new facilities based on contract awards, using our project development expertise and experience to design, construct and finance what we believe are state-of-the-art facilities that maximize security and efficiency. We provide innovative compliance technologies, industry-leading monitoring services, and evidence-based supervision and treatment programs for community-based parolees, probationers and pretrial defendants. We also provide secure transportation services for offender and detainee populations as contracted domestically and in the United Kingdom through our joint venture GEO Amey PECS Ltd. ( GEOAmey ). As of December 31, 2014, our worldwide operations included the management and/or ownership of approximately 79,000 beds at 98 correctional, detention and community based facilities, including idle facilities and projects under development, and also included the provision of monitoring of more than 70,000 offenders in a community-based environment on behalf of approximately 900 federal, state and local correctional agencies located in all 50 states.

We provide a diversified scope of services on behalf of our government clients:

- our correctional and detention management services involve the provision of security, administrative, rehabilitation, education, and food services, primarily at adult male correctional and detention facilities;
- our community-based services involve supervision of adult parolees and probationers and the provision of temporary housing, programming, employment assistance and other services with the intention of the successful reintegration of residents into the community;
- our youth services include residential, detention and shelter care and community-based services along with rehabilitative and educational programs;
- we provide comprehensive electronic monitoring and supervision services;
- we develop new facilities, using our project development experience to design, construct and finance what we believe are state-of-the-art facilities that maximize security and efficiency;
- we provide secure transportation services for offender and detainee populations as contracted; and
- our services are provided at facilities which we either own, lease or are owned by our customers.

We began operating as a REIT for federal income tax purposes effective January 1, 2013. As a result of the REIT conversion, we reorganized our operations and moved non-real estate components into taxable REIT subsidiaries ( TRS ). We are a Florida corporation and our predecessor corporation prior to the REIT conversion was originally organized in 1984.

Table of Contents

**Business Segments**

We conduct our business through four reportable business segments: our U.S. Corrections & Detention segment; our GEO Care segment; our International Services segment and our Facility Construction & Design segment. We have identified these four reportable segments to reflect our current view that we operate four distinct business lines, each of which constitutes a material part of our overall business. Our U.S. Corrections & Detention segment primarily encompasses our U.S.-based privatized corrections and detention business. Our GEO Care segment, which conducts its services in the U.S., consists of our community based services business, our youth services business and our electronic monitoring and supervision service. Effective January 1, 2015, we regained ownership of our GEO Care trade name in connection with the termination of the license agreement related to the sale of the residential treatment services ( RTS ) operating component on December 31, 2012 and as a result renamed our GEO Community Services segment the GEO Care segment. Refer to Note 2-Discontinued Operations in the notes to our audited consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this annual report on Form 10-K. Our International Services segment primarily consists of our privatized corrections and detention operations in South Africa, Australia, and the United Kingdom. Our Facility Construction & Design segment primarily contracts with various states, local and federal agencies, as well as international agencies, for the design and construction of facilities for which we generally have been, or expect to be, awarded management contracts. Financial information about these segments for years 2014, 2013 and 2012 is contained in Note 16 Business Segments and Geographic Information included in the notes to our audited consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this annual report on Form 10-K.

**Recent Developments**

***5.875% Senior Notes***

On September 25, 2014, we completed an offering of $250.0 million aggregate principal amount of 5.875% senior unsecured notes (the 5.875% Senior Notes ). The notes will mature on October 15, 2024 and have a coupon rate and yield to maturity of 5.875%. Interest is payable semi-annually in cash in arrears on April 15 and October 15, beginning April 15, 2015. The 5.875% Senior Notes are guaranteed on a senior unsecured basis by all of our restricted subsidiaries that guarantee obligations. The 5.875% Senior Notes rank equally in right of payment with any of our unsecured, unsubordinated indebtedness and our guarantors, including our 6.625% senior notes due 2021, the $5\frac{7}{8}$% senior notes due 2022, the 5.125% senior notes due 2023, and the guarantors guarantees thereof, senior in right of payment to any future indebtedness of the Company and the guarantors that is expressly subordinated to the 5.875% Senior Notes and the guarantees, effectively junior to any secured indebtedness of the Company and the guarantors, including indebtedness under our senior credit facility, to the extent of the value of the assets securing such indebtedness, and structurally junior to all obligations of our subsidiaries that are not guarantors. The sale of the 5.875% Senior Notes was registered under our automatic shelf registration statement on Form S-3 filed on September 12, 2014, as supplemented by the Preliminary Prospectus Supplement filed on September 22, 2014 and the Prospectus Supplement filed on September 24, 2014. We capitalized $4.6 million of deferred financing costs in connection with the offering. At any time on or prior to October 15, 2017, we may on any one or more occasions redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of outstanding 5.875% Senior Notes issued under the indenture governing the 5.875% Senior Notes (including any additional notes) at a redemption price of 105.875% of their principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the redemption date. In addition, we may, at our option, redeem the 5.875% Senior Notes in whole or in part before October 15, 2019 at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the 5.875% Senior Notes being redeemed plus a make-whole premium, together with accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the redemption date. Lastly, we may, at our option, redeem the 5.875% Senior Notes in whole or in part on or after October 15, 2019 at the redemption prices specified in the indenture for each year from 2019 through 2024 and thereafter.

***Australia Ravenhall***

In connection with a new design and build prison project agreement with the State of Victoria (the State ), we entered into a syndicated facility agreement (the Construction Facility ) with National Australia Bank

Table of Contents

Limited to provide debt financing for construction of the project. Refer to Note 7 Contract Receivable included in the notes to our audited consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this annual report on Form 10-K. The Construction Facility provides for non-recourse funding up to AUD 791.0 million, or $645.3 million, based on exchange rates as of December 31, 2014. Construction draws will be funded throughout the project according to a fixed utilization schedule as defined in the syndicated facility agreement. The term of the Construction Facility is through October 2019 and bears interest at a variable rate quoted by certain Australian banks plus 200 basis points. After October 2019, the Construction Facility will be converted to a term loan with payments due quarterly beginning in 2018 through 2041. In accordance with the terms of the Construction Facility, upon completion and commercial acceptance of the prison, in accordance with the prison contract, the State will make a lump sum payment of AUD 310 million, or $252.9 million, based on exchange rates as of December 31, 2014, which will be used to pay a portion of the outstanding principal. The remaining outstanding principal balance will be repaid over the term of the operating agreement. As of December 31, 2014, $79.4 million was outstanding under the Construction Facility. We also entered into multiple interest rate swap and interest rate cap agreements related to our non-recourse debt in connection with the project. Refer to Note 9 Derivative Financial Instruments included in the notes to our audited consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this annual report on Form 10-K.

***Credit Facility***

On August 27, 2014, we executed a second amended and restated credit agreement by and among us and GEO Corrections Holdings, Inc., as Borrowers, BNP Paribas, as Administrative Agent, and the lenders who are, or may from time to time become, a party thereto (the Credit Agreement ).

The Credit Agreement evidences a credit facility (the Credit Facility ) consisting of a $296.3 million term loan (the Term Loan ) bearing interest at LIBOR plus 2.50% (with a LIBOR floor of .75%), and a $700 million revolving credit facility (the Revolver ) initially bearing interest at LIBOR plus 2.25% (with no LIBOR floor) together with AUD 225 million available solely for the issuance of financial letters of credit and performance letters of credit, in each case denominated in Australian Dollars (the Australian LC Facility ). The interest rate is subject to a pricing grid based upon our total leverage ratio. Amounts to be borrowed by us under the Credit Agreement are subject to the satisfaction of customary conditions to borrowing. The Revolver component is scheduled to mature on August 27, 2019 and the Term Loan component is scheduled to mature on April 3, 2020.

The Credit Agreement contains certain customary representations and warranties, and certain customary covenants that restrict our ability to, among other things (i) create, incur or assume any indebtedness, (ii) create, incur, assume or permit liens, (iii) make loans and investments, (iv) engage in mergers, acquisitions and asset sales, (v) make certain restricted payments, (vi) issue, sell or otherwise dispose of capital stock, (vii) engage in transactions with affiliates, (viii) allow the total leverage ratio to exceed 5.75 to 1.00, allow the senior secured leverage ratio to exceed 3.50 to 1.00 or allow the interest coverage ratio to be less than 3.00 to 1.00, (ix) cancel, forgive, make any voluntary or optional payment or prepayment on, or redeem or acquire for value any senior notes, except as permitted, (x) alter the business we conduct, and (xi) materially impair our lenders security interests in the collateral for its loans.

Events of default under the Credit Agreement include, but are not limited to, (i) our failure to pay principal or interest when due, (ii) our material breach of any representation or warranty, (iii) covenant defaults, (iv) liquidation, reorganization or other relief relating to bankruptcy or insolvency, (v) cross default under certain other material indebtedness, (vi) unsatisfied final judgments over a specified threshold, (vii) certain material environmental liability claims which have been asserted against us, and (viii) a change in control. We were in compliance with all of the covenants of the Credit Agreement as of December 31, 2014.

As of December 31, 2014, we had $295.5 million in aggregate borrowings outstanding, net of discount, under the Term Loan and $70.0 million in borrowings under the Revolver, and approximately $62.0 million in letters of credit which left $568.0 million in additional borrowing capacity under the Revolver. In addition, we

Table of Contents

have the ability to increase the Senior Credit Facility by an additional $350.0 million, subject to lender demand and prevailing market conditions and satisfying the relevant borrowing conditions thereunder. Refer to Note 14-Debt included in the notes to our audited consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this annual report on Form 10-K. At December 31, 2014, we also had approximately AUD 214 million in letters of credit outstanding under the Australian LC Facility in connection with certain performance guarantees related to the Ravenhall Prison Project. Refer to Note 7- Contract Receivable in the notes to the audited consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this annual report on Form 10-K for further discussion.

***Prospectus Supplement***

On May 8, 2013, we filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission a prospectus supplement related to the offer and sale from time to time of our common stock at an aggregate offering price of up to $100.0 million through sales agents. Sales of shares of our common stock under the prospectus supplement and the equity distribution agreements entered into with the sales agents, if any, were to be made in negotiated transactions or transactions that were deemed to be at the market offerings as defined in Rule 415 under the Securities Act of 1933. On July 18, 2014, we filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission a post-effective amendment to its shelf registration statement on Form S-3 (pursuant to which the prospectus supplement had been filed) as a result of our merger into The GEO Group REIT, Inc. ( GEO REIT ) effective June 27, 2014. During the year ended December 31, 2014, there were approximately 1.5 million shares of common stock sold under the prospectus supplement for net proceeds of $54.7 million. There were no shares of our common stock sold under the prospectus supplement during the year ended December 31, 2013.

In September 2014, we filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission a new automatic shelf registration statement on Form S-3. On November 10, 2014, in connection with the new shelf registration statement, we filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission a new prospectus supplement related to the offer and sale from time to time of our common stock at an aggregate offering price of up to $150 million through sales agents. Sales of shares of our common stock under the prospectus supplement and the equity distribution agreements entered into with the sales agents, if any, may be made in negotiated transactions or transactions that are deemed to be at the market offerings as defined in Rule 415 under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act ). There were no shares of our stock sold under this prospectus supplement during the year ended December 31, 2014.

***Contract awards and facility activations***

The following contract awards and facility activations occurred during 2014:

On February 3, 2014, we announced that we assumed management of the 985-bed Moore Haven Correctional Facility, the 985-bed Bay Correctional Facility, and the 1,884-bed Graceville Correctional Facility under contracts with the Florida Department of Management Services effective February 1, 2014.

On February 3, 2014, we announced that we had increased the contracted capacity at the company-owned Rio Grande Detention Center in Laredo, Texas from 1,500 to 1,900 beds under a contract with the U.S. Marshals Service.

On April 1, 2014, we announced the signing of a contract with the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation for the reactivation of our company-owned 260-bed McFarland Female Community Reentry Facility located in McFarland, California.

On April 30, 2014, we announced a 640-bed expansion to the company-owned, 1,300-bed Adelanto Detention Facility in California under an amendment to the existing contract with the City of Adelanto.

On September 10, 2014, we announced that our wholly-owned subsidiary, BI Incorporated ( BI ) has been awarded a contract by U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement ( ICE ) for the continued provision of case

Table of Contents

management and supervision services under the Intensive Supervision and Appearance Program ( ISAP ). The contract has a term of five years, inclusive of option periods, effective September 8, 2014. The contract is expected to generate approximately $47 million in annualized revenues.

On September 16, 2014, our newly formed wholly-owned subsidiary, GEO Ravenhall Pty. Ltd. in its capacity as trustee of another newly formed wholly-owned subsidiary, GEO Ravenhall Trust ( Project Co ), signed the Ravenhall Prison Project Agreement ( Ravenhall Contract ) with the State for the development and operation of a new 1,000-bed prison in Ravenhall, a locality near Melbourne, Australia under a Public-Private Partnership financing structure. The facility will also have the capacity to house 1,300 inmates should the State have the need for additional beds in the future. We will provide a capital contribution of approximately 20% of the project following the activation of the facility and we anticipate returns on investment consistent with ouir company-owned facilities. The design and construction phase ( D&C Phase ) of the agreement began in September 2014 with expected completion towards the end of 2017. Once constructed and commercially accepted, our wholly-owned subsidiary, the GEO Group Australasia Pty. Ltd. ( GEO Australia ) will operate the facility under a 25-year management contract ( Operating Phase ). We believe the facility will provide unprecedented levels of in-prison and post-release programs aimed at reducing reoffending rates and helping offenders reintegrate back into society.

On December 19, 2014, we announced a 626-bed expansion to the company-owned, 532-bed Karnes County Residential Center in Texas under an amendment to our existing contract with Karnes County, Texas. The expansion is expected to generate approximately $20 million in additional annualized revenues.

On December 30, 2014, we announced that we had signed contracts with the Federal Bureau of Prisons ( BOP ) for the continuation of management at the Moshannon Valley Correctional Center in Pennsylvania and for the reactivation of the company-owned 1,940-bed Great Plains Correction Facility in Oklahoma. The Great Plains Correction Facility was previously included in the Company s idle facilities. Each contract has a term of ten years, inclusive of renewal options. The facilities are expected to generate approximately $76 million in combined annualized revenues.

The following contract award and facility activation occurred subsequent to 2014:

On January 28, 2015, the Company announced that it had signed a contract for the re-activation of the company-owned, 400-bed Mesa Verde Detention Facility in California. The facility will house immigration detainees under an intergovernmental service agreement between the City of McFarland and ICE. The Company completed a $10 million renovation of the facility at the end of 2014 and expects to begin the intake of detainees at the facility during the second quarter of 2015. The facility was previously included in the Company s idle facilities. The facility is expected to generate approximately $17 million in annualized revenues.

***Asset Acquisition***

On January 26, 2015, we announced that we had signed a definitive agreement to acquire 8 correctional and detention facilities (the LCS Facilities ) totaling more than 6,500 beds from LCS Corrections Services, Inc., a privately-held owner and operator of correctional and detention facilities in the United States, and its affiliates (collectively, LCS ). Pursuant to the terms of the definitive asset purchase agreement, we acquired the LCS Facilities for approximately $310 million in an all cash transaction, excluding transaction related expenses. We also acquired certain tangible and intangible assets pursuant to the asset purchase agreement. Additionally, LCS has the opportunity to receive an additional payment if the LCS Facilities exceed certain performance targets after the closing over a period of 18 months ( the Earnout Payment). The aggregate amount of the purchase price paid at closing and the Earnout Payment, if achieved, will not exceed $350 million. Approximately $298 million of outstanding debt related to the facilities was repaid at closing using the cash consideration paid by us. We did not assume any debt as the result of the transaction. We financed the acquisition of the LCS Facilities with borrowings under our revolving credit facility. We are in the process of completing our preliminary purchase price allocation.

Table of Contents

***Idle Facilities***

We are currently marketing approximately 3,300 vacant beds at three of our idle facilities to potential customers with the re-activation of the company-owned 400-bed Mesa Verde Detention Facility in January 2015 as discussed above. The annual carrying cost of idle facilities in 2015 is estimated to be $16.0 million, including depreciation expense of $2.6 million. As of December 31, 2014, these facilities had a net book value of $90.5 million. We currently do not have any firm commitment or agreement in place to activate these facilities. Historically, some facilities have been idle for multiple years before they received a new contract award. Currently, our North Lake Correctional Facility located in Baldwin, Michigan has been idle the longest of our idle facility inventory. The facility has been idle since October of 2010. This idle facility is included in the U.S. Corrections & Detention segment. The per diem rates that we charge our clients often vary by contract across our portfolio. However, if all of these idle facilities were to be activated using our U.S. Corrections & Detention average per diem rate in 2014, (calculated as the U.S. Corrections & Detention revenue divided by the number of U.S. Corrections & Detention mandays) and based on the average occupancy rate in our U.S. Corrections & Detention facilities for 2014, we would expect to receive incremental revenue of approximately $80 million and an increase in earnings per share of approximately $.20 to $.25 per share based on our average U.S. Corrections and Detention operating margin.

**Quality of Operations**

We operate each facility in accordance with our company-wide policies and procedures and with the standards and guidelines required under the relevant management contract. For many facilities, the standards and guidelines include those established by the American Correctional Association, or ACA. The ACA is an independent organization of corrections professionals, which establishes correctional facility standards and guidelines that are generally acknowledged as a benchmark by governmental agencies responsible for correctional facilities. Many of our contracts in the United States require us to seek and maintain ACA accreditation of the facility. We have sought and received ACA accreditation and re-accreditation for all such facilities. We achieved a median re-accreditation score of 99.8% as of December 31, 2014. Approximately 87.7% of our 2014 U.S. Corrections & Detention revenue was derived from ACA accredited facilities for the year ended December 31, 2014. We have also achieved and maintained accreditation by The Joint Commission ( TJC ), at three of our correctional facilities and at nine of our youth services locations. We have been successful in achieving and maintaining accreditation under the National Commission on Correctional Health Care, or NCCHC, in a majority of the facilities that we currently operate. The NCCHC accreditation is a voluntary process which we have used to establish comprehensive health care policies and procedures to meet and adhere to the ACA standards. The NCCHC standards, in most cases, exceed ACA Health Care Standards and we have achieved this accreditation at six of our U.S. Corrections & Detention facilities and at two youth services locations. Additionally, BI has achieved a certification for ISO 9001:2008 for the design, production, installation and servicing of products and services produced by the Electronic Monitoring business units, including electronic home arrest and electronic monitoring technology products and monitoring services, installation services, and automated caseload management services.

**Business Development Overview**

We intend to pursue a diversified growth strategy by winning new clients and contracts, expanding our government services portfolio and pursuing selective acquisition opportunities. Our primary potential customers include: governmental agencies responsible for local, state and federal correctional facilities in the United States; governmental agencies responsible for correctional facilities in Australia, South Africa and the United Kingdom; federal, state and local government agencies in the United States responsible for community-based services for adult and juvenile offenders; federal, state and local government agencies responsible for monitoring community-based parolees, probationers and pretrial defendants; and other foreign governmental agencies. We achieve organic growth through competitive bidding that begins with the issuance by a government agency of a request for proposal, or RFP. We primarily rely on the RFP process for organic growth in our U.S. and international corrections operations as well as in our community based re-entry services and electronic monitoring services business.

Table of Contents

For our facility management contracts, our state and local experience has been that a period of approximately 60 to 90 days is generally required from the issuance of a request for proposal to the submission of our response to the request for proposal; that between one and four months elapse between the submission of our response and the agency s award for a contract; and that between 1 and 4 months elapse between the award of a contract and the commencement of facility construction or management of the facility, as applicable.

For our facility management contracts, our federal experience has been that a period of approximately 60 to 90 days is generally required from the issuance of a request for proposal to the submission of our response to the request for proposal; that between 12 and 18 months elapse between the submission of our response and the agency s award for a contract; and that between 4 and 18 weeks elapse between the award of a contract and the commencement of facility construction or management of the facility, as applicable.

If the state, local or federal facility for which an award has been made must be constructed, our experience is that construction usually takes between nine and twenty-four months to complete, depending on the size and complexity of the project. Therefore, management of a newly constructed facility typically commences between ten and twenty-eight months after the governmental agency s award.

For the services provided by BI, state, local and federal experience has been that a period of approximately 30 to 90 days is generally required from the issuance of an RFP or Invitation to Bid, or ITB, to the submission of our response; that between one and three months elapse between the submission of our response and the agency s award for a contract; and that between one and three months elapse between the award of a contract and the commencement of a program or the implementation of program operations, as applicable.

The term of our local, state and federal contracts range from one to five years and some contracts include provisions for optional renewal years beyond the initial contract term. Contracts can, and are periodically, extended beyond the contract term and optional renewal years through alternative procurement processes including sole source justification processes, cooperative procurement vehicles and agency decisions to add extension time periods.

We believe that our long operating history and reputation have earned us credibility with both existing and prospective customers when bidding on new facility management contracts or when renewing existing contracts. Our success in the RFP process has resulted in a pipeline of new projects with significant revenue potential.

During 2014, we entered into eight new or expansion projects representing an aggregate of 7,720 additional beds compared to five new or expansion projects representing an aggregate of 5,354 beds during 2013.

In addition to pursuing organic growth through the RFP process, we will from time to time selectively consider the financing and construction of new facilities or expansions to existing facilities on a speculative basis without having a signed contract with a known customer. We also plan to leverage our experience and scale of service offerings to expand the range of government-outsourced services that we provide. We will continue to pursue selected acquisition opportunities in our core services and other government services areas that meet our criteria for growth and profitability. We have engaged and intend in the future to engage independent consultants to assist us in developing privatization opportunities and in responding to requests for proposals, monitoring the legislative and business climate, and maintaining relationships with existing customers.

**Facility Design, Construction and Finance**

We offer governmental agencies consultation and management services relating to the design and construction of new correctional and detention facilities and the redesign and renovation of older facilities including facilities we own, lease or manage as well as facilities we do not own, lease or manage. Domestically, as of December 31, 2014, we have provided services for the design and construction of approximately 51 facilities and for the redesign, renovation and expansion of approximately 48 facilities. Internationally, as of

Table of Contents

December 31, 2014, we have provided services for the design and construction of 11 facilities and for the redesign, renovation and expansion of 1 facility.

Contracts to design and construct or to redesign and renovate facilities may be financed in a variety of ways. Governmental agencies may finance the construction of such facilities through any of the following methods:

- a one time general revenue appropriation by the governmental agency for the cost of the new facility;
- general obligation bonds that are secured by either a limited or unlimited tax levy by the issuing governmental entity; or
- revenue bonds or certificates of participation secured by an annual lease payment that is subject to annual or bi-annual legislative appropriations.

We may also act as a source of financing or as a facilitator with respect to the financing of the construction of a facility. In these cases, the construction of such facilities may be financed through various methods including the following:

- funds from equity offerings of our stock;
- cash on hand and/or cash flows from our operations;
- borrowings by us from banks or other institutions (which may or may not be subject to government guarantees in the event of contract termination);
- funds from debt offerings of our notes; or
- lease arrangements with third parties.

If the project is financed using direct governmental appropriations, with proceeds of the sale of bonds or other obligations issued prior to the award of the project, then financing is in place when the contract relating to the construction or renovation project is executed. If the project is financed using project-specific tax-exempt bonds or other obligations, the construction contract is generally subject to the sale of such bonds or obligations. Generally, substantial expenditures for construction will not be made on such a project until the tax-exempt bonds or other obligations are sold; and, if such bonds or obligations are not sold, construction and therefore, management of the facility, may either be delayed until alternative financing is procured or the development of the project will be suspended or entirely canceled. If the project is self-financed by us, then financing is generally in place prior to the commencement of construction.

Under our construction and design management contracts, we generally agree to be responsible for overall project development and completion. We typically act as the primary developer on construction contracts for facilities and subcontract with bonded National and/or Regional Design Build Contractors. Where possible, we subcontract with construction companies that we have worked with previously. We make use of an in-house staff of architects and operational experts from various correctional disciplines (e.g. security, medical service, food service, inmate programs and facility maintenance) as part of the team that participates from conceptual design through final construction of the project. This staff coordinates all aspects of the development with subcontractors and provides site-specific services.

When designing a facility, our architects use, with appropriate modifications, prototype designs we have used in developing prior projects. We believe that the use of these designs allows us to reduce the potential of cost overruns and construction delays and to reduce the number of correctional officers required to provide security at a facility, thus controlling costs both to construct and to manage the facility. Our facility designs also maintain security because they increase the area under direct surveillance by correctional officers and make use of additional

electronic surveillance.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the current expansion and development projects and their stage of completion for the Company s facilities:

| Facilities Under Construction | Additional Beds | Capacity Following Expansion/ Construction | Estimated Completion Date | Customer | Financing |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Adelanto Expansion | 640 | 1,940 | Q3 2015 | City of Adelanto, CA | GEO |
| Karnes Residential Expansion | 626 | 1,158 | Q4 2015 | Karnes County, TX | GEO |
| Ravenhall Prison Development | 1,300 | 1,300 | Q4 2017 | Department of Justice, State of Victoria | GEO |

**Competitive Strengths**

***Leading Corrections Provider Uniquely Positioned to Offer a Continuum of Care***

We are the second largest provider of privatized correctional and detention facilities worldwide and the largest provider of community-based re-entry services, youth services and electronic monitoring services in the U.S. corrections industry. We believe these leading market positions and our diverse and complementary service offerings enable us to meet the growing demand from our clients for comprehensive services throughout the entire corrections lifecycle. Our continuum of care enables us to provide consistency and continuity in case management, which we believe results in a higher quality of care for offenders, reduces recidivism, lowers overall costs for our clients, improves public safety and facilitates successful reintegration of offenders back into society. In 2015, we are making an additional $5 million dollar annual investment to expand our continuum of care platform of programs.

***Attractive REIT Profile***

Key characteristics of our business make us a highly attractive REIT. We are in a real estate intensive industry. Since our inception, we have financed and developed dozens of facilities. We have a diversified set of investment grade customers in the form of government agencies which are required to pay us on time by law. We have historically experienced customer retention in excess of 90%. Our strong and predictable occupancy rates generate a stable and sustainable stream of revenue. This stream of revenue combined with our low maintenance capital expenditure requirement translates into steady predictable cash flow. The REIT structure also allows us to pursue growth opportunities due to the capital intensive nature of corrections/detention business.

***Large Scale Operator with National Presence***

We operate the sixth largest correctional system in the U.S. by number of beds, including the federal government and all 50 states. We currently have correctional operations in approximately 33 states and offer electronic monitoring services in every state. In addition, we have extensive experience in overall facility operations, including staff recruitment, administration, facility maintenance, food service, security, and in the supervision, treatment and education of inmates. We believe our size and breadth of service offerings enable us to generate economies of scale which maximize our efficiencies and allows us to pass along cost savings to our clients. Our national presence also positions us to bid on and develop new facilities across the U.S.

***Long-Term Relationships with High-Quality Government Customers***

We have developed long-term relationships with our federal, state and other governmental customers, which we believe enhance our ability to win new contracts and retain existing business. We have provided correctional and detention management services to the United States Federal Government for 28 years, the State of California

Table of Contents

for 27 years, the State of Texas for approximately 27 years, various Australian state government entities for 23 years and the State of Florida for approximately 21 years. These customers accounted for approximately 66.2% of our consolidated revenues for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014.

***Recurring Revenue with Strong Cash Flow***

Our revenue base is derived from our long-term customer relationships, with contract renewal rates and facility occupancy rates both approximating 90% over the past five years. We have been able to expand our revenue base by continuing to reinvest our strong operating cash flow into expansionary projects and through strategic acquisitions that provide scale and further enhance our service offerings. Our consolidated revenues have grown from $877.0 million in 2007 to $1.7 billion in 2014. We expect our operating cash flow to be well in excess of our anticipated annual maintenance capital expenditure needs, which would provide us significant flexibility for growth in capital expenditures, future dividend payments in connection with operating as a REIT, acquisitions and/or the repayment of indebtedness.

***Sizeable International Business***

Our international infrastructure, which leverages our operational excellence in the U.S., allows us to aggressively target foreign opportunities that our U.S. based competitors without overseas operations may have difficulty pursuing. We currently have international operations in Australia, South Africa and the United Kingdom. Our international services business generated approximately $254 million of revenues, representing approximately 15% of our consolidated revenues for the year ended December 31, 2014. Included in our international revenues are construction revenues related to our prison project in Ravenhall, Australia which are presented in our Facility Design & Construction segment. We believe we are well positioned to continue benefiting from foreign governments initiatives to outsource correctional services.

***Experienced, Proven Senior Management Team***

Our Chief Executive Officer and The Founder, George C. Zoley, Ph.D., has led our Company for 30 years and has established a track record of growth and profitability. Under his leadership, our annual consolidated revenues from continuing operations have grown from $40.0 million in 1991 to $1.7 billion in 2014. Mr. Zoley is one of the pioneers of the industry, having developed and opened what we believe to be one of the first privatized detention facilities in the U.S. in 1986. Our Chief Financial Officer, Brian R. Evans, has been with our Company for over 14 years and has led our conversion to a REIT as well as the integration of our recent acquisitions and financing activities. Our top seven senior executives have an average tenure with our Company of over 10 years.

**Business Strategies**

***Provide High Quality, Comprehensive Services and Cost Savings Throughout the Corrections Lifecycle***

Our objective is to provide federal, state and local governmental agencies with a comprehensive offering of high quality, essential services at a lower cost than they themselves could achieve. We believe government agencies facing budgetary constraints will increasingly seek to outsource a greater proportion of their correctional needs to reliable providers that can enhance quality of service at a reduced cost. We believe our expanded and diversified service offerings uniquely position us to bundle our high quality services and provide a comprehensive continuum of care for our clients, which we believe will lead to lower cost outcomes for our clients and larger scale business opportunities for us.

***Maintain Disciplined Operating Approach***

We refrain from pursuing contracts that we do not believe will yield attractive profit margins in relation to the associated operational risks. In addition, although we engage in facility development from time to time without having a corresponding management contract award in place, we endeavor to do so only where we have

Table of Contents

determined that there is medium to long-term client demand for a facility in that geographical area. We have also elected not to enter certain international markets with a history of economic and political instability. We believe that our strategy of emphasizing lower risk and higher profit opportunities helps us to consistently deliver strong operational performance, lower our costs and increase our overall profitability.

***Pursue International Growth Opportunities***

As a global provider of privatized correctional services, we are able to capitalize on opportunities to operate existing or new facilities on behalf of foreign governments. We have seen increased business development opportunities including opportunities to cross sell our expanded service offerings in recent years in the international markets in which we operate and are currently exploring opportunities for several new projects. We will continue to actively bid on new international projects in our current markets and in new markets that fit our target profile for profitability and operational risk.

***Selectively Pursue Acquisition Opportunities***

We intend to continue to supplement our organic growth by selectively identifying, acquiring and integrating businesses that fit our strategic objectives and enhance our geographic platform and service offerings. Since 2005, and including the acquisition of Protocol Criminal Justice, Inc. ( Protocol ) and the LCS Facilities, we have completed eight acquisitions for total consideration, including debt assumed, in excess of $1.7 billion. Our management team utilizes a disciplined approach to analyze and evaluate acquisition opportunities, which we believe has contributed to our success in completing and integrating our acquisitions.

**Facilities and Day Reporting Centers**

The following table summarizes certain information with respect to: (i) U.S. and international detention and corrections facilities; (ii) community-based services facilities; and (iii) residential and non-residential youth services facilities. The information in the table includes the facilities that we (or a subsidiary or joint venture of GEO) owned, operated under a management contract, had an agreement to provide services, had an award to manage or was in the process of constructing or expanding as of December 31, 2014:

| Facility Name & Location | Capacity(1) | Primary Customer | Facility Type | Security Level | Commencement of Current Contract(2) | Base Period | Renewal Options | Managed Leased/ Owned |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ***Corrections & Detention Western Region:*** | | | | | | | | |
| Adelanto Detention Facility, Adelanto, CA(3) | 1,300 | ICE - IGA | Federal Detention | Minimum/ Medium | May 2011 | 5 years | None | Owned |
| Alhambra City Jail, Los Angeles, CA | 67 | Los Angeles County | City Jail | All Levels | July 2008 | 3 years | Two, One-year, Plus 1 Year Extension | Managed |
| Arizona State-Prison Florence West Florence, AZ | 750 | AZ DOC | State DUI/ RTC Correctional | Minimum | October 2002 | 10 years | Two, Five-year | Managed |
| Arizona State-Prison Phoenix West Phoenix, AZ | 500 | AZ DOC | State DWI Correctional | Minimum | July 2002 | 10 years | Two, Five-year | Managed |
| Aurora/ICE Processing Center Aurora, CO | 1,532 | ICE / USMS | Federal Detention | All Levels | September 2011/ October 2012 | 2 years / 2 years | Four, Two-year / Four, Two-year | Owned |

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Baldwin Park City Jail, Baldwin Park, CA | 32 | Los Angeles County | City Jail | All Levels | July 2003 | 3 years | Three, Three-year | Managed |

**Table of Contents**

| Facility Name & Location | Capacity(1) | Primary Customer | Facility Type | Security Level | Commencement of Current Contract(2) | Base Period | Renewal Options | Managed Leased/ Owned |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Central Arizona Correctional Facility Florence, AZ | 1,280 | AZ DOC | State Sex Offender Correctional | Minimum/ Medium | December 2006 | 10 years | Two, Five-year | Managed |
| Central Valley MCCF McFarland, CA | 700 | CDCR | State Correctional Facility | Medium | October 2013 | Four Years and Eight Months | None | Owned |
| Desert View MCCF Adelanto, CA | 700 | CDCR | State Correctional Facility | Medium | October 2013 | Four Years and Eight Months | None | Owned |
| Downey City Jail Los Angeles, CA | 30 | Los Angeles County | City Jail | All Levels | June 2003 | 3 years | Three, Three-year | Managed |
| Fontana City Jail Los Angeles, CA | 39 | Los Angeles County | City Jail | All Levels | February 2007 | 5 months | Five, One-year, Plus 2 Year Extension | Managed |
| Garden Grove City Jail Los Angeles, CA | 16 | Los Angeles County | City Jail | All Levels | January 2010 | 30 months | Unlimited | Managed |
| Golden State MCCF McFarland, CA | 700 | CDCR | State Correctional | Medium | November 2013 | Four Years and Eight Months | None | Owned |
| Guadalupe County Correctional Facility Santa Rosa, NM(3) | 600 | NMCD - IGA | Local/State Correctional | Medium | January 1999 | Perpetual | Automatic One-year | Owned |
| Hudson Correctional Facility Hudson, CO | 1,250 | Idle | | | | | | Leased |
| Lea County Correctional Facility Hobbs, NM(3) | 1,200 | NMCD - IGA | Local/State Correctional | Medium | September 1998 | Perpetual | Automatic One-year | Owned |
| Leo Chesney Community Correctional Facility Live Oak, CA | 318 | Idle | | | | | | Leased |
| McFarland Community Correctional Facility McFarland, CA | 300 | CDCR | State Correctional | Minimum | March 2014 | 4 years and 3 months | None | Owned |
| Mesa Verde Community Correctional Facility Bakersfield, CA | 400 | Idle/Under Activation | | | | | | Owned |
| Montebello City Jail Los Angeles, CA | 25 | Los Angeles County | City Jail | All Levels | January 1996 | 2 years | Unlimited, One-year | Managed |
| Northeast New Mexico Detention Facility Clayton, NM(3) | 625 | NMDOC / Clayton County | Local/State Correctional | Medium | August 2008 | 5 years | Five, one-year | Managed |

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Northwest Detention Center Tacoma, WA | 1,575 | ICE | Federal Detention | All Levels | October 2009 | 1 year | Four, One-year/ six month extension | Owned |

**Table of Contents**

| Facility Name & Location | Capacity(1) | Primary Customer | Facility Type | Security Level | Commencement of Current Contract(2) | Base Period | Renewal Options | Managed Leased/ Owned |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Ontario City Jail Los Angeles, CA | 40 | Los Angeles County | City Jail | Any Level | July 2014 | 3 years | Two, Three-year | Managed |
| Western Region Detention Facility San Diego, CA | 770 | USMS | Federal Detention | Maximum | January 2006 | 5 year | One, Five-year | Leased |
| ***Corrections & Detention Central Region:*** | | | | | | | | |
| Big Spring Correctional Center Big Spring, TX | 3,509 | BOP | Federal Correctional | Medium | April 2007 | 4 years | Three, Two-year | Owned |
| Central Texas Detention Facility San Antonio, TX(3) | 688 | USMS / ICE / Bexar County | Local & Federal Detention | Minimum/ Medium | April 2009 | 10 years | None | Managed |
| Cleveland Correctional Center Cleveland, TX | 520 | TDCJ | State Correctional | Minimum | January 2009 | 2.6 years | Two, Two-year | Managed |
| Great Plains Correctional Facility Hinton, OK | 1,940 | BOP | Federal Correctional | Minimum | December 2014 | 5 years | Five, One-year | Owned |
| Joe Corley Detention Facility Conroe, TX(3) | 1,517 | USMS / ICE - IGA | Local Correctional | Medium | July 2008/July 2008 | USMS Perpetual | ICE 100 day renew not to exceed 60 months | Owned |
| Karnes Correctional Center Karnes City, TX(3) | 679 | USMS - IGA | Local & Federal Detention | All Levels | February 1998 | Perpetual | None | Owned |
| Karnes County Residential Center(3) | 532 | ICE - IGA | Federal Detention | All Levels | December 2010 | 5 years | None | Owned |
| Lawton Correctional Facility Lawton, OK | 2,526 | OK DOC | State Correctional | Medium | October 2013 | 9 months | Four, Automatic One-year | Owned |
| Lockhart Work Program Facilities Lockhart, TX | 1,000 | TDCJ | State Correctional | Minimum/ Medium | January 2009 | 2.6 years | Two, Two-year | Managed |
| Reeves County Detention Complex R1/R2 Pecos, TX(3) | 2,407 | Reeves County / BOP | Federal Correctional | Low | February 2007 | 10 years | Unlimited, Ten year | Managed |

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Reeves County Detention Complex R3 Pecos, TX(3) | 1,356 | Reeves County / BOP | Federal Correctional | Low | January 2007 | 10 years | Unlimited, Ten year | Managed |
| Rio Grande Detention Center Laredo, TX | 1,900 | USMS | Federal Detention | Medium | October 2008 | 5 years | Three, Five-year | Owned |
| South Texas Detention Complex Pearsall, TX | 1,904 | ICE | Federal Detention | All Levels | December 2011 | 11 months | Four, One-year | Owned |
| Val Verde Correctional Facility Del Rio, TX(3) | 1,407 | USMS - IGA | Local & Federal Detention | All Levels | January 2001 | Perpetual | None | Owned |
| ***Corrections & Detention Eastern Region:*** | | | | | | | | |
| Alexandria Transfer Center Alexandria, LA(3) | 400 | ICE - IGA | Federal Detention | Minimum/ Medium | November 2014 | 5 years | Four, One-year | Owned |

**Table of Contents**

| Facility Name & Location | Capacity(1) | Primary Customer | Facility Type | Security Level | Commencement of Current Contract(2) | Base Period | Renewal Options | Managed Leased/ Owned |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Allen Correctional Center Kinder, LA | 1,576 | LA DOC | State Correctional | Medium/ Maximum | July 2010 | 10 years | None | Managed |
| Bay Correctional Center Panama City, FL | 985 | FL DMS | State Correctional | Minimum/ Medium | February 2014 | 3 years | Unlimited, Two-year | Managed |
| Blackwater River Correctional Facility Milton, FL | 2,000 | FL DMS | State Correctional | Medium/ close | October 2013 | 3 years | Two, Two-year | Managed |
| Broward Transition Center Deerfield Beach, FL | 700 | ICE | Federal Detention | Minimum | April 2009 | 11 months | Four, One-year, Unlimited 6-month | Owned |
| D. Ray James Correctional Facility Folkston, GA | 2,847 | BOP | Federal Detention | All Levels | October 2010 | 4 years | Three, Two-year | Owned |
| Graceville Correctional Facility Jackson, FL | 1,884 | FL DMS | State Correctional | All Levels | February 2014 | 3 years | Unlimited, Two year | Managed |
| Heritage Trails (Plainfield STOP) Plainfield, IN | 1,066 | IN DOC | State Correctional | Minimum | March 2011 | 4 years | One, Up to 4 years | Managed |
| LaSalle Detention Facility Jena, LA(3) | 1,160 | ICE - IGA | Federal Detention | Minimum/ Medium | July 2007 | 5 years | Forty, One-year | Owned |
| Lawrenceville Correctional Center Lawrenceville, VA | 1,536 | VA DOC | State Correctional | Medium | March 2003 | 5 years | Ten, One-year | Managed |
| Moshannon Valley Correctional Center Philipsburg, PA | 1,878 | BOP | Federal Correctional | Medium | April 2006 | 36 months | Seven, One-year | Owned |
| Moore Haven Correctional Facility Moore Haven, FL | 985 | FL DMS | State Correctional | Minimum/ Medium | February 2014 | 3 years | Unlimited, two-year | Managed |
| New Castle Correctional Facility New Castle, IN | 3,196 | IN DOC | State Correctional | All Levels | January 2006 | 4 years | Three, two-year, then thru 2020 with two additional 5 year extension | Managed |
| North Lake Correctional Facility Baldwin, MI | 1,740 | Idle | | | | | | Owned |
| Queens Private Detention Facility Jamaica, NY | 222 | USMS | Federal Detention | Minimum/ Medium | January 2008 | 2 years | Four, Two-year | Owned |
| Riverbend Correctional Facility Milledgeville, GA | 1,500 | GA DOC | State Correctional | Medium | July 2010 | Partial 1 year | Forty, One-year | Owned |

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Rivers Correctional Institution Winton, NC | 1,450 | BOP | Federal Correctional | Low | April 2011 | 4 years | Three, Two-year | Owned |
| Robert A. Deyton Detention Facility Lovejoy, GA | 768 | USMS | Federal Detention | Medium | February 2008 | 5 years | Three, Five year | Leased |
| South Bay Correctional Facility South Bay, FL | 1,948 | FL DMS | State Correctional | Medium/ Close | July 2009 | 3 years | Unlimited, Two-year | Managed |

**Table of Contents**

| Facility Name & Location | Capacity(1) | Primary Customer | Facility Type | Security Level | Commencement of Current Contract(2) | Base Period | Renewal Options | Managed Leased/ Owned |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ***Corrections & Detention Australia:*** | | | | | | | | |
| Arthur Gorrie Correctional Centre Queensland, Australia | 890 | QLD DCS | State Remand Prison | High/ Maximum | January 2008 | 5 years | None | Managed |
| Fulham Correctional Centre & Nalu Challenge Community Victoria, Australia | 785 | VIC DOJ | State Prison | Minimum/ Medium | July 2002/December 2002 | 3 years | One, 18.5 years | Managed |
| Junee Correctional Centre New South Wales, Australia | 790 | NSW | State Prison | Minimum/ Medium | April 2009 | 5 years | Two, Five-year | Managed |
| Parklea Correctional Centre Sydney, Australia | 823 | NSW | State Remand Prison | All Levels | October 2009 | 5 years | None | Managed |
| ***Corrections & Detention United Kingdom:*** | | | | | | | | |
| Dungavel House Immigration Removal Centre, South Lanarkshire, UK | 249 | UKBA | Detention Centre | Minimum | September 2011 | 5 years | One, Three year | Managed |
| ***Corrections & Detention South Africa:*** | | | | | | | | |
| Kutama-Sinthumule Correctional Centre Limpopo Province, Republic of South Africa | 3,024 | RSA DCS | National Prison | Maximum | February 2002 | 25 years | None | Managed |
| ***Corrections & Detention Canada:*** | | | | | | | | |
| New Brunswick Youth Centre Mirimachi, Canada(4) | N/A | PNB | Provincial Juvenile Facility | All Levels | October 1997 | 25 years | One, Ten-year | Managed |
| ***Corrections & Detention Leased:*** | | | | | | | | |
| Delaney Hall Newark, NJ | 1,200 | Community Education Centers | Community Corrections | Community | None | | | Owned |
| ***GEO Care Community Based Services:*** | | | | | | | | |
| Beaumont Transitional Treatment Center Beaumont, TX | 180 | TDCJ | Community Corrections | Community | September 2003 | 2 years | Five, Two-year and One six-month | Owned |
| Bronx Community Re-entry Center Bronx, NY | 110 | BOP | Community | Community | April 2014/August 2014 | 1 year | Four, One-year | Leased |

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Corrections | | | | | |
| Cordova Center Anchorage, AK | 262 | BOP /AK DOC | Community Corrections | Community | January 2013 | 2 years / 4 months | Four, one-year/Four, one-year, One five-month | Owned |
| El Monte Center El Monte, CA | 70 | BOP | Community Corrections | Community | July 2013 | 1 year | Four, one year | Leased |
| Grossman Center Leavenworth, KS | 150 | BOP | Community Corrections | Community | November 2012 | 2 years | Three, one-year | Leased |

**Table of Contents**

| Facility Name & Location | Capacity(1) | Primary Customer | Facility Type | Security Level | Commencement of Current Contract(2) | Base Period | Renewal Options | Managed Leased/ Owned |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Las Vegas Community Correctional Center Las Vegas, NV | 124 | BOP | Community Corrections | Community | October 2010 | 2 years | Three, one-year | Owned |
| Leidel Comprehensive Sanction Center Houston, TX | 190 | BOP | Community Corrections | Community | January 2011 | 2 years | Four, one-year | Owned |
| Marvin Gardens Center Los Angeles, CA | 60 | BOP | Community Corrections | Community | March 2012 | 2 years | Three, one-year | Leased |
| McCabe Center Austin, TX | 113 | Third Party Tenant | Community Corrections | Community | September 2012 | None | None | Owned |
| Mid Valley House Edinburg, TX | 100 | BOP | Community Corrections | Community | July 2014 | 1 year | Four, one-year | Owned |
| Midtown Center Anchorage, AK | 32 | AK DOC | Community Corrections | Community | March 13 | 4 months | Four, one-year, One Five-month | Owned |
| Newark Center Newark, NJ | 240 | NJ State Parole Board | Community Corrections | Community | July 2014 | 3 years | Two, one-year | Leased |
| Northstar Center Fairbanks, AK | 143 | AK DOC | Community Corrections | Community | February 2011 | 5 months | Four, one-year, One Five-month | Leased |
| Oakland Center Oakland, CA | 69 | BOP | Community Corrections | Community | November 2008 | 3 years | Seven, one-year | Owned |
| Parkview Center Anchorage, AK | 112 | AK DOC | Community Corrections | Community | March 2013 | 4 months | Four, one-year, One Five-month | Owned |
| Reality House Brownsville, TX | 94 | BOP | Community Corrections | Community | September 2011 | 2 year | Three, one-year | Owned |
| Salt Lake City Center Salt Lake City, UT | 115 | BOP | Community Corrections | Community | June 2011 | 2 years | Three one-year | Leased |
| Seaside Center Nome, AK | 50 | AK DOC | Community Corrections | Community | December 2007 | 5 months | Four, one-year and One, 6-month | Leased |
| Southeast Texas Transitional Center Houston, TX | 500 | TDCJ | Community Corrections | Community | September 2003 | 2 years | Five, two-year | Owned |

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Taylor Street Center San Francisco, CA | 210 | BOP / CDCR | Community Corrections | Community | April 2006/January 2012 | 2 years, 8 month / 3 years | Seven, one-year | Owned |
| Tundra Center Bethel, AK | 85 | AK DOC | Community Corrections | Community | February 2012 | 5 months | Four, one-year and One, 6-month | Owned |

**Table of Contents**

| Facility Name & Location | Capacity(1) | Primary Customer | Facility Type | Security Level | Commencement of Current Contract(2) | Base Period | Renewal Options | Managed Leased/ Owned |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ***GEO Care Youth Services:*** | | | | | | | | |
| *Residential Facilities* | | | | | | | | |
| Abraxas Academy Morgantown, PA | 214 | Various | Youth Residential | Secure | June 2005 | N/A | N/A | Owned |
| Abraxas I Marienville, PA | 204 | Various | Youth Residential | Staff Secure | May 2005 | N/A | N/A | Owned |
| Abraxas Ohio Shelby, OH | 100 | Various | Youth Residential | Staff Secure | June 2005 | N/A | N/A | Owned |
| Abraxas Youth Center South Mountain, PA | 72 | PA Dept of Public Welfare | Youth Residential | Secure/ Staff Secure | June 2005 | N/A | N/A | Leased |
| Contact Interventions Wauconda, IL | 32 | Idle | | | | | | Owned |
| DuPage Interventions Hinsdale, IL | 36 | IL DASA, Medicaid, Private | Youth Residential | Staff Secure | June 2005 | N/A | N/A | Owned |
| Erie Residential Programs Erie, PA | 30 | Idle | | | | | | Owned |
| Hector Garza Center San Antonio, TX | 139 | TYC | Youth Residential | Staff Secure | June 2005 | N/A | N/A | Owned |
| Leadership Development Program South Mountain, PA | 128 | Various | Youth Residential | Staff Secure | June 2005 | N/A | N/A | Leased |
| Southern Peaks Regional Treatment Center Canon City, CO | 136 | Various | Youth Residential | Staff Secure | June 2005 | N/A | N/A | Owned |
| Southwood Interventions Chicago, IL | 80 | IL DASA, City of Chicago, | Youth Residential | Staff Secure | June 2005 | N/A | N/A | Owned |

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Woodridge Interventions Woodridge, IL | 90 | Medicaid<br>IL DASA, Medicaid | Youth Residential | Staff Secure | June 2005 | N/A | N/A | Owned |
| ***GEO Care Youth Services:*** | | | | | | | | |
| *Non-residential Facilities:* | | | | | | | | |
| Abraxas Counseling Center Columbus, OH | 150 | Various | Youth Non-residential | Open | 2008 | N/A | N/A | Lease |
| Cleveland Counseling Center Cleveland, OH | 30 | Various | Youth Non-residential | Open | 2014 | N/A | N/A | Lease |
| Cincinnati Counseling Center Cincinnati, OH | 75 | City of Cincinnati | Youth Non-residential | Open | 2012 | N/A | N/A | Lease |
| Harrisburg Community-Based Programs Harrisburg, PA | 71 | Dauphin or Cumberland Counties | Youth Non-residential | Open | 1995 | N/A | N/A | Lease |

**Table of Contents**

| Facility Name & Location | Capacity(1) | Primary Customer | Facility Type | Security Level | Commencement of Current Contract(2) | Base Period | Renewal Options | Managed Leased/ Owned |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Lehigh Valley Community-Based Programs Lehigh Valley, PA | 30 | Lehigh and Northampton Counties | Youth Non-residential | Open | 1987 | N/A | N/A | Lease |
| Philadelphia Community-Based Programs Philadelphia, PA | 35 | Philadelphia DHS, C&Y Division | Youth Non-residential | Open | 1987 | N/A | N/A | Lease |
| WorkBridge Pittsburgh, PA | 725 | Allegheny County | Youth Non-residential | Open | 1987 | N/A | N/A | Lease |

The following table summarizes certain information with respect to our re-entry Day Reporting Centers, which we refer to as DRCs. The information in the table includes the DRCs that we (or a subsidiary or joint venture of GEO) operated under a management contract or had an agreement to provide services as of December 31, 2014:

| DRC Location | Number of reporting centers | Type of Customers | Commencement of current contract(s) | Base period | Renewal options | Manage only/ lease |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Colorado(5) | 9 | State, County | Various, 2004 2012 | Various, 1 year to 18 months | One to Four, One year | Lease |
| California | 24 | State, County | Various, 2007 2012 | Various, 1 to 5 years | Varies | Lease |
| North Carolina | 8 | State | 2012 | 2 years | One, Two year | Lease |
| New Jersey | 4 | State, County | 2008 | 3 years | Two, One year | Lease |
| Pennsylvania | 11 | County | Various, 2006 2010 | Various, 1 to 3 years | Indefinite, One year | Lease |
| Illinois | 5 | State, County | 2003 | 5 years | One, Five year | Lease or Manage only |
| Kansas | 1 | County | 2011 | 4 years | Four, One year | Lease |

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Louisiana | 1 | State | 2010 | 1 year | Two, One year | Lease |
| Kentucky | 1 | County | 2010 | 2 years | Three, One year | Lease |
| Virginia | 1 | State | 2013 | 2 years | Three, One year | Lease |

***Customer Legend:***

| **Abbreviation** | **Customer** |
|---|---|
| AZ DOC | Arizona Department of Corrections |
| AK DOC | Alaska Department of Corrections |
| BOP | Federal Bureau of Prisons |
| CDCR | California Department of Corrections & Rehabilitation |
| CO DOC | Colorado Department of Corrections |
| FL DOC | Florida Department of Corrections |
| FL DMS | Florida Department of Management Services |

Table of Contents

| Abbreviation | Customer |
|---|---|
| GA DOC | Georgia Department of Corrections |
| ICE | U.S. Immigration & Customs Enforcement |
| IN DOC | Indiana Department of Correction |
| IGA | Intergovernmental Agreement |
| IL DASA | Illinois Department of Alcoholism and Substance Abuse |
| LA DOC | Louisiana Department of Corrections |
| NMCD | New Mexico Corrections Department |
| NSW | Commissioner of Corrective Services for New South Wales |
| OK DOC | Oklahoma Department of Corrections |
| PNB | Province of New Brunswick |
| QLD DCS | Department of Corrective Services of the State of Queensland |
| RSA DCS | Republic of South Africa Department of Correctional Services |
| TDCJ | Texas Department of Criminal Justice |
| TYC | Texas Youth Commission |
| UKBA | United Kingdom Border Agency |
| USMS | United States Marshals Service |
| VA DOC | Virginia Department of Corrections |
| VIC DOJ | Department of Justice of the State of Victoria |

(1) Capacity as used in the table refers to operational capacity consisting of total beds for all facilities except for the seven Non-residential service centers under Youth Services for which we have provided service capacity which represents the number of juveniles that can be serviced daily.

(2) For Youth Services Non-Residential Service Centers, the contract commencement date represents either the program start date or the date that the facility operations were acquired by our subsidiary. The service agreements under these arrangements provide for services on an as-contracted basis and there are no guaranteed minimum populations or management contracts with specified renewal dates. These arrangements are more perpetual in nature.

(3) GEO provides services at these facilities through various Inter-Governmental Agreements, or IGAs, through the various counties and other jurisdictions.

(4) The contract for this facility only requires GEO to provide maintenance services.

(5) The Colorado Day Reporting Centers provide many of the same services as the full service Day Reporting Centers, but rather than providing these services through comprehensive treatment plans dictated by the governing authority, these services are provided on a fee for service basis. Such services may be connected to government agency contracts and would be reimbursed by those agencies. Other services are offered directly to offenders allowing them to meet court-ordered requirements and paid by the offender as the service is provided.

**Government Contracts Terminations, Renewals and Competitive Re-bids**

Generally, we may lose our facility management contracts due to one of three reasons: the termination by a government customer with or without cause at any time; the failure by a customer to renew a contract with us upon the expiration of the then current term; or our failure to win the right to continue to operate under a contract that has been competitively re-bid in a procurement process upon its termination or expiration. Our facility management contracts typically allow a contracting governmental agency to terminate a contract with or without cause at any time by giving us written notice ranging from 30 to 180 days. If government agencies were to use these provisions to terminate, or renegotiate the terms of their agreements with us, our financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected. See Risk Factors We are subject to the loss of our facility management contracts, due to terminations, non-renewals or competitive re-bids, which could adversely affect our results of operations and liquidity, including our ability to secure new facility management contracts from other government customers .

Table of Contents

Aside from our customers unilateral right to terminate our facility management contracts with them at any time for any reason, there are two points during the typical lifecycle of a contract which may result in the loss by us of a facility management contract with our customers. We refer to these points as contract renewals and contract re-bids. Many of our facility management contracts with our government customers have an initial fixed term and subsequent renewal rights for one or more additional periods at the unilateral option of the customer. Because most of our contracts for youth services do not guarantee placement or revenue, we have not considered these contracts to ever be in the renewal or re-bid stage since they are more perpetual in nature. As such, the contracts for youth services are not considered as renewals or re-bids nor are they included in the table below. We count each government customer s right to renew a particular facility management contract for an additional period as a separate renewal. For example, a five-year initial fixed term contract with customer options to renew for five separate additional one-year periods would, if fully exercised, be counted as five separate renewals, with one renewal coming in each of the five years following the initial term. As of December 31, 2014, 49 of our facility management contracts representing approximately 33,000 beds are scheduled to expire on or before December 31, 2015, unless renewed by the customer at its sole option in certain cases, or unless renewed by mutual agreement in other cases. These contracts represented 41.5% of our consolidated revenues for the year ended December 31, 2014. We undertake substantial efforts to renew our facility management contracts. Our average historical facility management contract renewal approximates 90%. However, given their unilateral nature, we cannot assure you that our customers will in fact exercise their renewal options under existing contracts. In addition, in connection with contract renewals, either we or the contracting government agency have typically requested changes or adjustments to contractual terms. As a result, contract renewals may be made on terms that are more or less favorable to us than those in existence prior to the renewals.

We define competitive re-bids as contracts currently under our management which we believe, based on our experience with the customer and the facility involved, will be re-bid to us and other potential service providers in a competitive procurement process upon the expiration or termination of our contract, assuming all renewal options are exercised. Our determination of which contracts we believe will be competitively re-bid may in some cases be subjective and judgmental, based largely on our knowledge of the dynamics involving a particular contract, the customer and the facility involved. Competitive re-bids may result from the expiration of the term of a contract, including the initial fixed term plus any renewal periods, or the early termination of a contract by a customer. Competitive re-bids are often required by applicable federal or state procurement laws periodically in order to encourage competitive pricing and other terms for the government customer. Potential bidders in competitive re-bid situations include us, other private operators and other government entities. While we are pleased with our historical win rate on competitive re-bids and are committed to continuing to bid competitively on appropriate future competitive re-bid opportunities, we cannot in fact assure you that we will prevail in future competitive re-bid situations. Also, we cannot assure you that any competitive re-bids we win will be on terms more favorable to us than those in existence with respect to the expiring contract.

As of December 31, 2014, nine of our facility management contracts representing 5.0% and $85.1 million of our 2014 consolidated revenues are subject to competitive re-bid in 2015. The following table sets forth the number of facility management contracts that we currently believe will be subject to competitive re-bid in each of the next five years and thereafter, and the total number of beds relating to those potential competitive re-bid situations during each period:

| Year | Re-bid | Total Number of Beds up for Re-bid |
|---|---|---|
| 2015 | 9 | 4,141 |
| 2016 | 8 | 4,432 |
| 2017 | 14 | 12,304 |
| 2018 | 12 | 8,005 |
| 2019 | 4 | 1,436 |
| Thereafter | 16 | 22,426 |
| Total | 63 | 52,744 |

Table of Contents

**Competition**

We compete primarily on the basis of the quality and range of services we offer; our experience domestically and internationally in the design, construction, and management of privatized correctional and detention facilities; our reputation; and our pricing. We compete directly with the public sector, where governmental agencies responsible for the operation of correctional, detention, youth services, community based services and re-entry facilities are often seeking to retain projects that might otherwise be privatized. In the private sector, our U.S. Corrections & Detention and International Services business segments compete with a number of companies, including, but not limited to: Corrections Corporation of America; Management and Training Corporation ; Emerald Companies; Community Education Centers; LaSalle Southwest Corrections; Group 4 Securicor; Sodexo Justice Services (formerly Kaylx); and Serco. Our GEO Care business segment competes with a number of different small-to-medium sized companies, reflecting the highly fragmented nature of the youth services and community based services industry. BI s electronic monitoring business competes with a number of companies, including, but not limited to: G4 Justice Services, LLC; Elmo-Tech, a 3M Company; and Pro-Tech, a 3M Company. Some of our competitors are larger and have more resources than we do. We also compete in some markets with small local companies that may have a better knowledge of the local conditions and may be better able to gain political and public acceptance.

**Seasonality**

We do experience some levels of seasonality with respect to a decrease in the number of the offender and detainee populations in our facilities under contracts with the U.S. federal government during the winter months and year-end holidays. Typically we see these populations increase to normalized levels beginning in the second quarter.

**Employees and Employee Training**

At December 31, 2014, we had 17,479 full-time employees. Of our full-time employees, 495 were employed at our headquarters and regional offices and 16,984 were employed at facilities and international offices. We employ personnel in positions of management, administrative and clerical, security, educational services, human services, health services and general maintenance at our various locations. Approximately 2,596 and 1,573 employees are covered by collective bargaining agreements in the United States and at international offices, respectively. We believe that our relations with our employees are satisfactory.

Under the laws applicable to most of our operations, and internal company policies, our correctional officers are required to complete a minimum amount of training. We generally require at least 40 hours of pre-service training before an employee is allowed to assume their duties plus an additional 120 hours of training during their first year of employment in our domestic facilities, consistent with ACA standards and/or applicable state laws. In addition to the usual 160 hours of training in the first year, most states require 40 or 80 hours of on-the-job training. Florida law requires that correctional officers receive 520 hours of training. We believe that our training programs meet or exceed all applicable requirements.

Our training program for domestic facilities typically begins with approximately 40 hours of instruction regarding our policies, operational procedures and management philosophy. Training continues with an additional 120 hours of instruction covering legal issues, rights of inmates, techniques of communication and supervision, interpersonal skills and job training relating to the particular position to be held. Each of our employees who has contact with inmates receives a minimum of 40 hours of additional training each year, and each manager receives at least 24 hours of training each year.

At least 160 hours of training are required for our employees in Australia and South Africa before such employees are allowed to work in positions that will bring them into contact with inmates. Our employees in Australia and South Africa receive a minimum of 40 hours of refresher training each year. In the United Kingdom, our corrections employees also receive a minimum of 240 hours of training prior to coming in contact with inmates and receive additional training of approximately 25 hours annually.

Table of Contents

With respect to BI and the ISAP services contract, new employees are required to complete training requirements as outlined in the contract within 14 days of hire and prior to being assigned autonomous ISAP related duties. These employees receive 25 hours of refresher training annually thereafter. Program managers for our ISAP contract must receive 24 hours of additional initial training. BI s monitoring services maintains its own comprehensive certification and training program for all monitoring service specialists. We require all new personnel hired for a position in monitoring operations to complete a seven-week training program. Successful completion of our training program and a final certification is required of all of our personnel performing monitoring operations. We require that certification is achieved prior to being permitted to work independently in the call center.

**Business Regulations and Legal Considerations**

Many governmental agencies are required to enter into a competitive bidding procedure before awarding contracts for products or services. The laws of certain jurisdictions may also require us to award subcontracts on a competitive basis or to subcontract or partner with businesses owned by women or members of minority groups.

Certain states, such as Florida, deem correctional officers to be peace officers and require our personnel to be licensed and subject to background investigation. State law also typically requires correctional officers to meet certain training standards.

The failure to comply with any applicable laws, rules or regulations or the loss of any required license could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Furthermore, our current and future operations may be subject to additional regulations as a result of, among other factors, new statutes and regulations and changes in the manner in which existing statutes and regulations are or may be interpreted or applied. Any such additional regulations could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

**Insurance**

The nature of our business exposes us to various types of third-party legal claims, including, but not limited to, civil rights claims relating to conditions of confinement and/or mistreatment, sexual misconduct claims brought by prisoners or detainees, medical malpractice claims, product liability claims, intellectual property infringement claims, claims relating to employment matters (including, but not limited to, employment discrimination claims, union grievances and wage and hour claims), property loss claims, environmental claims, automobile liability claims, contractual claims and claims for personal injury or other damages resulting from contact with our facilities, programs, electronic monitoring products, personnel or prisoners, including damages arising from a prisoner s escape or from a disturbance or riot at a facility. In addition, our management contracts generally require us to indemnify the governmental agency against any damages to which the governmental agency may be subject in connection with such claims or litigation. We maintain a broad program of insurance coverage for these general types of claims, except for claims relating to employment matters, for which we carry no insurance. There can be no assurance that our insurance coverage will be adequate to cover all claims to which we may be exposed. It is our general practice to bring merged or acquired companies into our corporate master policies in order to take advantage of certain economies of scale.

We currently maintain a general liability policy and excess liability policies with total limits of $67.0 million per occurrence and in the aggregate covering the operations of U.S. Corrections & Detention, GEO Care s community based services, GEO Care s youth services and BI. We have a claims-made liability insurance program with a specific loss limit of $35.0 million per occurrence and in the aggregate related to medical professional liability claims arising out of correctional healthcare services. We are uninsured for any claims in excess of these limits. We also maintain insurance to cover property and other casualty risks including, workers compensation, environmental liability and automobile liability.

For most casualty insurance policies, we carry substantial deductibles or self-insured retentions of $3.0 million per occurrence for general liability and medical professional liability, $2.0 million per occurrence for workers compensation and $1.0 million per occurrence for automobile liability. In addition, certain of our

Table of Contents

facilities located in Florida and other high-risk hurricane areas carry substantial windstorm deductibles. Since hurricanes are considered unpredictable future events, no reserves have been established to pre-fund for potential windstorm damage. Limited commercial availability of certain types of insurance relating to windstorm exposure in coastal areas and earthquake exposure mainly in California and the Pacific Northwest may prevent us from insuring some of our facilities to full replacement value.

With respect to our operations in South Africa, the United Kingdom and Australia, we utilize a combination of locally-procured insurance and global policies to meet contractual insurance requirements and to protect us. In addition to these policies, our Australian subsidiary carries tail insurance on a general liability policy related to a discontinued contract.

Of the reserves discussed above, our most significant insurance reserves relate to workers compensation, general liability and auto claims. These reserves are undiscounted and were $49.5 million and $47.6 million as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively and are included in accrued expenses in the accompanying balance sheets. We use statistical and actuarial methods to estimate amounts for claims that have been reported but not paid and claims incurred but not reported. In applying these methods and assessing their results, we consider such factors as historical frequency and severity of claims at each of our facilities, claim development, payment patterns and changes in the nature of our business, among other factors. Such factors are analyzed for each of our business segments. Our estimates may be impacted by such factors as increases in the market price for medical services and unpredictability of the size of jury awards. We also may experience variability between our estimates and the actual settlement due to limitations inherent in the estimation process, including our ability to estimate costs of processing and settling claims in a timely manner as well as our ability to accurately estimate our exposure at the onset of a claim. Because we have high deductible insurance policies, the amount of our insurance expense is dependent on our ability to control our claims experience. If actual losses related to insurance claims significantly differ from our estimates, our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows could be materially adversely impacted.

**International Operations**

Our international operations for fiscal years 2014, 2013 and 2012 consisted of the operations of our wholly-owned Australian subsidiaries, our wholly owned subsidiary in the United Kingdom, and South African Custodial Management Pty. Limited, our consolidated joint venture in South Africa, which we refer to as SACM. In Australia, our wholly-owned subsidiary, GEO Australia, currently manages four facilities. Additionally, in September 2014, one of our Australian subsidiaries signed the Ravenhall Prison Project Agreement ( Ravenhall Contract ) with the State for the development and operation of a new 1,000-bed facility in Ravenhall, a locality near Melbourne, Australia under a Public-Private Partnership financing structure. The facility will also have the capacity to house 1,300 inmates should the State have the need for additional beds in the future. The D&C Phase of the agreement began in September 2014 with completion expected towards the end of 2017. We operate one facility in South Africa through SACM. Our wholly-owned subsidiary in the United Kingdom, The GEO Group UK Ltd., operates the 217-bed Dungavel House Immigration Removal Centre located near Glasgow, Scotland. See Item 7 for more discussion related to the results of our international operations. Financial information about our operations in different geographic regions appears in Note-16 Business Segments and Geographic Information in the notes to our audited consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this annual report on Form 10-K.

**Business Concentration**

Except for the major customers noted in the following table, no other single customer made up greater than 10% of our consolidated revenues, excluding discontinued operations, for these years.

| Customer | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
|---|---|---|---|
| Various agencies of the U.S Federal Government: | 42% | 45% | 47% |

Table of Contents

Credit risk related to accounts receivable is reflective of the related revenues.

**Available Information**

Additional information about us can be found at *www.geogroup.com.* We make available on our website, free of charge, access to our Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, our annual proxy statement on Schedule 14A and amendments to those materials filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically submit such materials to the Securities and Exchange Commission, or the SEC. In addition, the SEC makes available on its website, free of charge, reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC, including GEO. The SEC s website is located at http://www.sec.gov. Information provided on our website or on the SEC s website is not part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

**Item 1A.** ***Risk Factors***

The following are certain risks to which our business operations are subject. Any of these risks could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, or results of operations. These risks could also cause our actual results to differ materially from those indicated in the forward-looking statements contained herein and elsewhere. *The risks described below are not the only risks we face. Additional risks not currently known to us or those we currently deem to be immaterial may also materially and adversely affect our business operations.*

**Risks Related to REIT Status**

***If we fail to remain qualified as a REIT, we will be subject to U.S. federal income tax as a regular corporation and could face a substantial tax liability, which would reduce the amount of cash available for distribution to our shareholders.***

We began operating as a REIT on January 1, 2013. We received an opinion of our special REIT tax counsel, Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP ( Special Tax Counsel ), with respect to our qualification as a REIT. Investors should be aware, however, that opinions of counsel are not binding on the Internal Revenue Service (the IRS ) or any court. The opinion of Special Tax Counsel represents only the view of Special Tax Counsel based on its review and analysis of existing law and on certain representations as to factual matters and covenants made by us, including representations relating to the values of our assets and the sources of our income. The opinion is expressed as of the date issued. Special Tax Counsel has no obligation to advise us or the holders of our common stock of any subsequent change in the matters stated, represented or assumed or of any subsequent change in applicable law. Furthermore, both the validity of the opinion of Special Tax Counsel and our qualification as a REIT will depend on our satisfaction of certain asset, income, organizational, distribution, shareholder ownership and other requirements on a continuing basis, the results of which will not be monitored by Special Tax Counsel. Our ability to satisfy the asset tests depends upon our analysis of the characterization and fair market values of our assets, some of which are not susceptible to a precise determination, and for which we will not obtain independent appraisals.

We have received a favorable private letter ruling from the IRS with respect to certain issues relevant to our qualification as a REIT. Although we may generally rely upon the ruling, no assurance can be given that the IRS will not challenge our qualification as a REIT on the basis of other issues or facts outside the scope of the ruling.

If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, we would be subject to U.S. federal income tax, including any applicable alternative minimum tax, on our taxable income at regular corporate rates, and dividends paid to our shareholders would not be deductible by us in computing our taxable income. Any resulting corporate tax liability could be substantial and would reduce the amount of cash available for distribution to our shareholders, which in turn could have an adverse impact on the value of our common stock. Unless we were

Table of Contents

entitled to relief under certain Internal Revenue Service Code of 1986, as amended (the Code ) provisions, we also would be disqualified from re-electing to be taxed as a REIT for the four taxable years following the year in which we failed to qualify as a REIT. If we fail to qualify for taxation as a REIT, we may need to borrow additional funds or liquidate some investments to pay any additional tax liability. Accordingly, funds available for investment and making payments on our indebtedness would be reduced.

***Qualifying as a REIT involves highly technical and complex provisions of the Code.***

Qualification as a REIT involves the application of highly technical and complex Code provisions for which only limited judicial and administrative authorities exist. Even a technical or inadvertent violation could jeopardize our REIT qualification. Our qualification as a REIT will depend on our satisfaction of certain asset, income, organizational, distribution, shareholder ownership and other requirements on a continuing basis.

***Complying with the REIT requirements may cause us to liquidate or forgo otherwise attractive opportunities.***

To qualify as a REIT, we must ensure that, at the end of each calendar quarter, at least 75% of the value of our assets consists of cash, cash items, government securities and real estate assets (as defined in the Code), including certain mortgage loans and securities. The remainder of our investments (other than government securities, qualified real estate assets and securities issued by a TRS) generally cannot include more than 10% of the outstanding voting securities of any one issuer or more than 10% of the total value of the outstanding securities of any one issuer. In addition, in general, no more than 5% of the value of our total assets (other than government securities, qualified real estate assets and securities issued by a TRS) can consist of the securities of any one issuer, and no more than 25% of the value of our total assets can be represented by securities of one or more TRSs. If we fail to comply with these requirements at the end of any calendar quarter, we must correct the failure within 30 days after the end of the calendar quarter or qualify for certain statutory relief provisions to avoid losing our REIT qualification and suffering adverse tax consequences. As a result, we may be required to liquidate or forgo otherwise attractive investments. These actions could have the effect of reducing our income, amounts available for distribution to our shareholders and amounts available for making payments on our indebtedness.

In addition to the asset tests set forth above, to qualify as a REIT, we must continually satisfy tests concerning, among other things, the sources of our income, the amounts we distribute to our shareholders and the ownership of our stock. We may be unable to pursue investments that would be otherwise advantageous to us in order to satisfy the source-of-income or asset-diversification requirements for qualifying as a REIT. Thus, compliance with the REIT requirements may hinder our ability to make certain attractive investments and make payments on our indebtedness.

***Dividends payable by REITs do not qualify for the reduced tax rates available for some dividends.***

The maximum U.S. federal income tax rate applicable to income from qualified dividends payable to U.S. shareholders that are individuals, trusts and estates is currently 20%. Dividends payable by REITs, however, generally are not eligible for the reduced rates. Although these rules do not adversely affect the taxation of REITs, the more favorable rates applicable to regular corporate qualified dividends could cause investors who are individuals, trusts and estates to perceive investments in REITs to be relatively less attractive than investments in the stocks of non-REIT corporations that pay dividends, which could adversely affect the value of the stock of REITs, including our common stock.

***REIT distribution requirements could adversely affect our ability to execute our business plan.***

We generally must distribute annually at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, determined without regard to the dividends paid deduction and excluding any net capital gains, in order for us to qualify as a REIT

Table of Contents

(assuming that certain other requirements are also satisfied) so that U.S. federal corporate income tax does not apply to earnings that we distribute. To the extent that we satisfy this distribution requirement and qualify for taxation as a REIT but distribute less than 100% of our REIT taxable income, including any net capital gains, we will be subject to U.S. federal corporate income tax on our undistributed net taxable income. In addition, we will be subject to a 4% nondeductible excise tax if the actual amount that we distribute to our shareholders in a calendar year is less than a minimum amount specified under U.S. federal tax laws. We intend to make distributions to our shareholders to comply with the REIT requirements of the Code.

From time to time, we may generate taxable income greater than our cash flow as a result of differences in timing between the recognition of taxable income and the actual receipt of cash or the effect of nondeductible capital expenditures, the creation of reserves or required debt or amortization payments. If we do not have other funds available in these situations, we could be required to borrow funds on unfavorable terms, sell assets at disadvantageous prices or distribute amounts that would otherwise be invested in future acquisitions to make distributions sufficient to enable us to pay out enough of our taxable income to satisfy the REIT distribution requirement and to avoid corporate income tax and the 4% excise tax in a particular year. These alternatives could increase our costs, reduce our equity or adversely impact our ability to raise short and long-term debt. Furthermore, the REIT distribution requirements may increase the financing we need to fund capital expenditures, future growth and expansion initiatives. Thus, compliance with the REIT requirements may hinder our ability to grow, which could adversely affect the value of our common stock.

***Our cash distributions are not guaranteed and may fluctuate.***

A REIT generally is required to distribute at least 90% of its REIT taxable income to its shareholders. Our board of directors, in its sole discretion, will determine on a quarterly basis the amount of cash to be distributed to our shareholders based on a number of factors including, but not limited to, our results of operations, cash flow and capital requirements, economic conditions, tax considerations, borrowing capacity and other factors, including debt covenant restrictions that may impose limitations on cash payments and plans for future acquisitions and divestitures. Consequently, our distribution levels may fluctuate.

***Certain of our business activities may be subject to corporate level income tax and foreign taxes, which would reduce our cash flows, and may have potential deferred and contingent tax liabilities.***

We may be subject to certain federal, state, local and foreign taxes on our income and assets, including alternative minimum taxes, taxes on any undistributed income and state, local or foreign income, franchise, property and transfer taxes. In addition, we could, in certain circumstances, be required to pay an excise or penalty tax, which could be significant in amount, in order to utilize one or more relief provisions under the Code to maintain qualification for taxation as a REIT. In addition, we may incur a 100% excise tax on transactions with a TRS if they are not conducted on an arm s length basis. Any of these taxes would decrease our earnings and our available cash.

Our TRS assets and operations will continue to be subject, as applicable, to federal and state corporate income taxes and to foreign taxes in the jurisdictions in which those assets and operations are located.

We will also be subject to a federal corporate level tax at the highest regular corporate rate (currently 35%) on the gain recognized from a sale of assets occurring during our first ten years as a REIT, up to the amount of the built-in gain that existed on January 1, 2013, which is based on the fair market value of those assets in excess of our tax basis as of January 1, 2013. Gain from a sale of an asset occurring after the specified period ends will not be subject to this corporate level tax. We currently do not expect to sell any asset if the sale would result in the imposition of a material tax liability. We cannot, however, assure you that we will not change our plans in this regard.

Table of Contents

***REIT ownership limitations may restrict or prevent you from engaging in certain transfers of our common stock.***

In order to satisfy the requirements for REIT qualification, no more than 50% in value of all classes or series of our outstanding shares of stock may be owned, actually or constructively, by five or fewer individuals (as defined in the Code to include certain entities) at any time during the last half of each taxable year beginning with our 2014 taxable year. In 2014 GEO merged into a newly formed entity, to facilitate GEO s compliance with the REIT rules by implementing ownership limitations that generally restrict shareholders from owning more than 9.8% of our outstanding shares. The merger was approved by our shareholders. Under applicable constructive ownership rules, any shares of stock owned by certain affiliated owners generally would be added together for purposes of the common stock ownership limits, and any shares of a given class or series of preferred stock owned by certain affiliated owners generally would be added together for purposes of the ownership limit on such class or series.

***Our significant use of TRSs may cause us to fail to qualify as a REIT.***

The net income of our TRSs is not required to be distributed to us, and such undistributed TRS income is generally not subject to our REIT distribution requirements. However, if the accumulation of cash or reinvestment of significant earnings in our TRSs causes the fair market value of our securities in those entities, taken together with other non-qualifying assets to exceed 25% of the fair market value of our assets, in each case as determined for REIT asset testing purposes, we would, absent timely responsive action, fail to qualify as a REIT.

***There are uncertainties relating to the special earnings and profits ( E&P ) distribution.***

To qualify for taxation as a REIT, we were required to distribute to our shareholders all of our pre-REIT accumulated earnings and profits, if any, as measured for federal income tax purposes, prior to the end of our first taxable year as a REIT, which was for the taxable period ended December 31, 2013. We declared and paid a special dividend during the fourth quarter of 2012 for the purposes of distributing to our shareholders our pre-REIT accumulated earnings and profits. The calculation of the amount to be distributed in a special E&P distribution was a complex factual and legal determination. We currently believe our special E&P distribution paid during the fourth quarter of 2012, together with distributions paid in 2013, satisfied the requirements relating to the distribution of our pre-REIT accumulated earnings and profits. No assurance can be given, however, that the IRS will agree with our calculation. If the IRS finds additional amounts of pre-REIT E&P, there are procedures generally available to cure any failure to distribute all of our pre-REIT E&P.

***Legislative or other actions affecting REITs could have a negative effect on us.***

The rules dealing with U.S. federal income taxation are constantly under review by persons involved in the legislative process and by the IRS and the U.S. Department of the Treasury (the Treasury ). Changes to the tax laws or interpretations thereof, with or without retroactive application, could materially and adversely affect our investors or us. We cannot predict how changes in the tax laws might affect our investors or us. New legislation, Treasury regulations, administrative interpretations or court decisions could significantly and negatively affect our ability to qualify as a REIT or the U.S. federal income tax consequences to our investors and us of such qualification.

***We have limited experience operating as a REIT, which may adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, per share trading price of our common stock and ability to satisfy debt service obligations.***

We have only been operating as a REIT since January 1, 2013. Accordingly, the experience of our senior management operating a REIT is limited. Our pre-REIT operating experience may not be sufficient to operate successfully as a REIT. Failure to maintain REIT status could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, per share trading price of our common stock and ability to satisfy debt service obligations.

Table of Contents

**Risks Related to Our High Level of Indebtedness**

***Our significant level of indebtedness could adversely affect our financial condition and prevent us from fulfilling our debt service obligations.***

We have a significant amount of indebtedness. Our total consolidated indebtedness as of December 31, 2014 was approximately $1.5 billion, excluding non-recourse debt of $145.3 million and capital lease obligations of $10.9 million. As of December 31, 2014, we had $62.0 million outstanding in letters of credit and $70.0 million in borrowings outstanding under the Revolver. Also as of December 31, 2014, we had the ability to borrow $568.0 million under the Revolver, after applying the limitations and restrictions in our debt covenants and subject to our satisfying the relevant borrowing conditions under the Senior Credit Facility with respect to the incurrence of additional indebtedness. At December 31, 2014, we also had approximately AUD 214 million in letters of credit outstanding under the Australian LC Facility in connection with certain performance guarantees related to the Ravenhall Prison Project. We also have the ability to increase the Senior Credit Facility by an additional $350 million, subject to lender demand and prevailing market conditions and satisfying the relevant borrowing conditions.

Our substantial indebtedness could have important consequences. For example, it could:

- make it more difficult for us to satisfy our obligations with respect to our senior notes and our other debt and liabilities;
- require us to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to payments on our indebtedness, thereby reducing the availability of our cash flow to fund working capital, capital expenditures, and other general corporate purposes including to make distributions on our common stock as currently contemplated or necessary to maintain our qualification as a REIT;
- limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industry in which we operate;
- increase our vulnerability to adverse economic and industry conditions;
- place us at a competitive disadvantage compared to competitors that may be less leveraged;
- restrict us from pursuing strategic acquisitions or exploiting certain business opportunities; and
- limit our ability to borrow additional funds or refinance existing indebtedness on favorable terms.

If we are unable to meet our debt service obligations, we may need to reduce capital expenditures, restructure or refinance our indebtedness, obtain additional equity financing or sell assets. We may be unable to restructure or refinance our indebtedness, obtain additional equity financing or sell assets on satisfactory terms or at all. In addition, our ability to incur additional indebtedness will be restricted by the terms of our Senior Credit facility, the indenture governing the 6.625% Senior Notes, the indenture governing the 5.125% Senior Notes, the indenture governing the $5^{7}/_{8}$% Senior Notes and the indenture governing the 5.875% Senior Notes.

***We are incurring significant indebtedness in connection with substantial ongoing capital expenditures. Capital expenditures for existing and future projects may materially strain our liquidity.***

As of December 31, 2014, we were developing a number of projects that we estimate will cost approximately $235.2 million, of which $61.6 million was spent through December 31, 2014. We estimate our remaining capital requirements to be approximately $173.6 million, which we anticipate will be spent in fiscal years 2015 through 2017. Included in these commitments is a contractual commitment to provide a capital contribution towards the design and construction of a prison project in Ravenhall, a locality near Melbourne, Australia, in the amount of AUD 115 million, or $93.8 million, based on exchange rates at December 31, 2014. This capital contribution is expected to be made in January 2017. Capital expenditures related to facility maintenance costs are expected to be $24.5 million for 2015. We intend to finance these and future

projects using

Table of Contents

our own funds, including cash on hand, cash flow from operations and borrowings under the Revolver. In addition to these current estimated capital requirements for 2015 and 2016, we are currently in the process of bidding on, or evaluating potential bids for the design, construction and management of a number of new projects. In the event that we win bids for these projects and decide to self-finance their construction, our capital requirements in 2015 through 2017 could materially increase. As of December 31, 2014, we had the ability to borrow $568.0 million under the Revolver after applying the limitations and restrictions in our debt covenants and subject to our satisfying the relevant borrowing conditions under the Senior Credit Facility. In addition, we have the ability to increase the Senior Credit Facility by an additional $350 million, subject to lender demand and prevailing market conditions and satisfying the relevant borrowing conditions thereunder. While we believe we currently have adequate borrowing capacity under our Senior Credit Facility to fund our operations and all of our committed capital expenditure projects, we may need additional borrowings or financing from other sources in order to complete potential capital expenditures related to new projects in the future. We cannot assure you that such borrowings or financing will be made available to us on satisfactory terms, or at all. In addition, the large capital commitments that these projects will require over the next 12-18 month period may materially strain our liquidity and our borrowing capacity for other purposes. Capital constraints caused by these projects may also cause us to have to entirely refinance our existing indebtedness or incur more indebtedness. Such financing may have terms less favorable than those we currently have in place, or not be available to us at all. In addition, the concurrent development of these and other large capital projects exposes us to material risks. For example, we may not complete some or all of the projects on time or on budget, which could cause us to absorb any losses associated with any delays.

***Despite current indebtedness levels, we may still incur more indebtedness, which could further exacerbate the risks described above.***

The terms of the indentures governing the 6.625% Senior Notes, the 5.125% Senior Notes, the $5^{7}/_{8}$% Senior Notes and the 5.875% Senior Notes and our Senior Credit Facility restrict our ability to incur but do not prohibit us from incurring significant additional indebtedness in the future. As of December 31, 2014, we had the ability to borrow an additional $568.0 million under the revolver portion of our Senior Credit Facility after applying the limitations and restrictions in our debt covenants and subject to our satisfying the relevant borrowing conditions under the Senior Credit Facility. We also would have the ability to increase the Senior Credit Facility by an additional $350 million, subject to lender demand, prevailing market conditions and satisfying relevant borrowing conditions. Also, we may refinance all or a portion of our indebtedness, including borrowings under our Senior Credit Facility, the 6.625% Senior Notes, the 5.125% Senior Notes, the $5^{7}/_{8}$% Senior Notes and the 5.875% Senior Notes. The terms of such refinancing may be less restrictive and permit us to incur more indebtedness than we can now. If new indebtedness is added to our and our subsidiaries current debt levels, the related risks that we and they now face related to our significant level of indebtedness could intensify.

***The covenants in the indentures governing the 6.625% Senior Notes, the 5.125% Senior Notes, the 5 7/8% Senior Notes and the 5.875% Senior Notes and the covenants in our Senior Credit Facility impose significant operating and financial restrictions which may adversely affect our ability to operate our business.***

The indentures governing the 6.625% Senior Notes, the 5.125% Senior Notes, the $5^{7}/_{8}$% Senior Notes and the 5.875% Senior Notes and our Senior Credit Facility impose significant operating and financial restrictions on us and certain of our subsidiaries, which we refer to as restricted subsidiaries. These restrictions limit our ability to, among other things:

- incur additional indebtedness;
- pay dividends and or distributions on our capital stock, repurchase, redeem or retire our capital stock, prepay subordinated indebtedness, make investments;
- issue preferred stock of subsidiaries;

Table of Contents

guarantee other indebtedness;

create liens on our assets;

transfer and sell assets;

make capital expenditures above certain limits;

create or permit restrictions on the ability of our restricted subsidiaries to pay dividends or make other distributions to us;

enter into sale/leaseback transactions;

enter into transactions with affiliates; and

merge or consolidate with another company or sell all or substantially all of our assets.

These restrictions could limit our ability to finance our future operations or capital needs, make acquisitions or pursue available business opportunities. In addition, our Senior Credit Facility requires us to maintain specified financial ratios and satisfy certain financial covenants, including maintaining a maximum senior secured leverage ratio and total leverage ratio, and a minimum interest coverage ratio. We may be required to take action to reduce our indebtedness or to act in a manner contrary to our business objectives to meet these ratios and satisfy these covenants. We could also incur additional indebtedness having even more restrictive covenants. Our failure to comply with any of the covenants under our Senior Credit Facility, the indentures governing the 6.625% Senior Notes, the 5.125% Senior Notes, the $5^{7}/_{8}$% Senior Notes, the 5.875% Senior Notes, or any other indebtedness could prevent us from being able to draw on the Revolver, cause an event of default under such documents and result in an acceleration of all of our outstanding indebtedness. If all of our outstanding indebtedness were to be accelerated, we likely would not be able to simultaneously satisfy all of our obligations under such indebtedness, which would materially adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

***Servicing our indebtedness will require a significant amount of cash. Our ability to generate cash depends on many factors beyond our control and we may not be able to generate the cash required to service our indebtedness.***

Our ability to make payments on our indebtedness and to fund planned capital expenditures will depend on our ability to generate cash in the future. This, to a certain extent, is subject to general economic, financial, competitive, legislative, regulatory and other factors that are beyond our control.

Our business may not be able to generate sufficient cash flow from operations or future borrowings may not be available to us under our Senior Credit Facility or otherwise in an amount sufficient to enable us to pay our indebtedness or debt securities, including the 6.625% Senior Notes, the 5.125% Senior Notes, the $5^{7}/_{8}$% Senior Notes, and the 5.875% Senior Notes, or to fund our other liquidity needs. As a result, we may need to refinance all or a portion of our indebtedness on or before maturity. However, we may not be able to complete such refinancing on commercially reasonable terms or at all. If for any reason we are unable to meet our debt service obligations, we would be in default under the terms of the agreements governing our outstanding debt. If such a default were to occur, the lenders under the senior credit facility, and holders of the 6.625% Senior Notes, the 5.125% Senior Notes, the 5 7/8% Senior Notes and the 5.875% Senior Notes could elect to declare all amounts outstanding immediately due and payable, and the lenders would not be obligated to continue to advance funds under the Senior Credit Facility. If the amounts outstanding under the Senior Credit Facility or other agreements governing our outstanding debt, were accelerated, our assets may not be sufficient to repay in full the money owed to our lenders and holders of the 6.625% Senior Notes, the 5.125% Senior Notes, the 5 7/8% Senior Notes and the 5.875% Senior Notes and any other debt holders.

32

Table of Contents

***Because portions of our senior indebtedness have floating interest rates, a general increase in interest rates will adversely affect cash flows.***

Borrowings under our Senior Credit Facility bear interest at a variable rate. As a result, to the extent our exposure to increases in interest rates is not eliminated through interest rate protection agreements, such increases will result in higher debt service costs which will adversely affect our cash flows. We currently do not have interest rate protection agreements in place to protect against interest rate fluctuations on borrowings under our Senior Credit Facility. As of December 31, 2014, we had $365.5 million of indebtedness outstanding under our Senior Credit Facility, and a one percent increase in the interest rate applicable to the Senior Credit Facility would increase our annual interest expense by approximately $4.0 million.

***We depend on distributions from our subsidiaries to make payments on our indebtedness. These distributions may not be made.***

A substantial portion of our business is conducted by our subsidiaries. Therefore, our ability to meet our payment obligations on our indebtedness is substantially dependent on the earnings of certain of our subsidiaries and the payment of funds to us by our subsidiaries as dividends, loans, advances or other payments. Our subsidiaries are separate and distinct legal entities and, unless they expressly guarantee any indebtedness of ours, they are not obligated to make funds available for payment of our indebtedness in the form of loans, distributions or otherwise. Our subsidiaries ability to make any such loans, distributions or other payments to us will depend on their earnings, business results, the terms of their existing and any future indebtedness, tax considerations and legal or contractual restrictions to which they may be subject. If our subsidiaries do not make such payments to us, our ability to repay our indebtedness may be materially adversely affected. For the year ended December 31, 2014, our subsidiaries accounted for 71.2% of our consolidated revenues, and as of December 31, 2014, our subsidiaries accounted for 92.3% of our total assets.

***We may not be able to satisfy our repurchase obligations in the event of a change of control because the terms of our indebtedness or lack of funds may prevent us from doing so.***

Upon a change of control as specified in the indentures governing the terms of our senior notes, each holder of the 6.625% Senior Notes, the 5.125% Senior Notes, the 5 7/8% Senior Notes and the 5.875% Senior Notes will have the right to require us to repurchase their notes at 101% of their principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest, and, liquidated damages, if any, to the date of repurchase. The terms of the Senior Credit Facility limit our ability to repurchase the notes in the event of a change of control. Any future agreement governing any of our indebtedness may contain similar restrictions and provisions. Accordingly, it is possible that restrictions in the Senior Credit Facility or other indebtedness that may be incurred in the future will not allow the required repurchase of the 6.625% Senior Notes, the 5.125% Senior Notes, the 5 7/8% Senior Notes and the 5.875% Senior Notes upon a change of control. Even if such repurchase is permitted by the terms of our then existing indebtedness, we may not have sufficient funds available to satisfy our repurchase obligations. Our failure to purchase any of the senior notes would be a default under the indenture governing such notes, which in turn would trigger a default under the Senior Credit Facility and the indentures governing the other senior notes.

**Risks Related to Our Business and Industry**

***From time to time, we may not have a management contract with a client to operate existing beds at a facility or new beds at a facility that we are expanding and we cannot assure you that such a contract will be obtained. Failure to obtain a management contract for these beds will subject us to carrying costs with no corresponding management revenue.***

From time to time, we may not have a management contract with a client to operate existing beds or new beds at facilities that we are currently in the process of renovating and expanding. While we will always strive to work diligently with a number of different customers for the use of these beds, we cannot assure you that a contract for the beds will be secured on a timely basis, or at all. While a facility or new beds at a facility are

Table of Contents

vacant, we incur carrying costs. We are currently marketing approximately 3,300 vacant beds at three of our idle facilities to potential customers with the re-activation of the company-owned 400-bed Mesa Verde Detention Facility in January 2015. The annual carrying cost of idle facilities in 2015 is estimated to be $16.0 million, including depreciation expense of $2.6 million, if the facilities remain vacant for the remainder of 2015. At December 31, 2014, these facilities had a net book value of $90.5 million. In addition, now that we have acquired eight correctional and detention facilities from LCS Correctional Services, Inc. and its affiliates, we are marketing approximately an additional 3,200 beds in incremental capacity at these newly acquired facilities. Failure to secure a management contract for a facility or expansion project could have a material adverse impact on our financial condition, results of operations and/or cash flows. We review our facilities for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the net book value of the facility may not be recoverable. Impairment charges taken on our facilities could require material non-cash charges to our results of operations. In addition, in order to secure a management contract for these beds, we may need to incur significant capital expenditures to renovate or further expand the facility to meet potential clients needs.

***Negative conditions in the capital markets could prevent us from obtaining financing, which could materially harm our business.***

Our ability to obtain additional financing is highly dependent on the conditions of the capital markets, among other things. The capital and credit markets have experienced significant periods of volatility and disruption since 2008. During this time period, the economic impacts observed have included a downturn in the equity and debt markets, the tightening of the credit markets, a general economic slowdown and other macroeconomic conditions, volatility in currency exchange rates and concerns over sovereign debt levels abroad and in the U.S. and concerns over the failure to adequately address the federal deficit and the debt ceiling. If those macroeconomic conditions continue or worsen in the future, we could be prevented from raising additional capital or obtaining additional financing on satisfactory terms, or at all. If we need, but cannot obtain, adequate capital as a result of negative conditions in the capital markets or otherwise, our business, results of operations and financial condition could be materially adversely affected. Additionally, such inability to obtain capital could prevent us from pursuing attractive business development opportunities, including new facility constructions or expansions of existing facilities, and business or asset acquisitions.

***We are subject to the loss of our facility management contracts, due to terminations, non-renewals or competitive re-bids, which could adversely affect our results of operations and liquidity, including our ability to secure new facility management contracts from other government customers.***

We are exposed to the risk that we may lose our facility management contracts primarily due to one of three reasons: (i) the termination by a government customer with or without cause at any time; (ii) the failure by a customer to exercise its unilateral option to renew a contract with us upon the expiration of the then current term; or (iii) our failure to win the right to continue to operate under a contract that has been competitively re-bid in a procurement process upon its termination or expiration. Our facility management contracts typically allow a contracting governmental agency to terminate a contract with or without cause at any time by giving us written notice ranging from 30 to 180 days. If government agencies were to use these provisions to terminate, or renegotiate the terms of their agreements with us, our financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

Aside from our customers unilateral right to terminate our facility management contracts with them at any time for any reason, there are two points during the typical lifecycle of a contract which may result in the loss by us of a facility management contract with our customers. We refer to these points as contract renewals and contract re-bids. Many of our facility management contracts with our government customers have an initial fixed term and subsequent renewal rights for one or more additional periods at the unilateral option of the customer. Because most of our contracts for youth services do not guarantee placement or revenue, we have not considered these contracts to ever be in the renewal or re-bid stage since they are more perpetual in nature. We count each government customer s right to renew a particular facility management contract for an additional

Table of Contents

period as a separate renewal. For example, a five-year initial fixed term contract with customer options to renew for five separate additional one-year periods would, if fully exercised, be counted as five separate renewals, with one renewal coming in each of the five years following the initial term. As of December 31, 2014, 49 of our facility management contracts representing approximately 33,000 beds are scheduled to expire on or before December 31, 2015, unless renewed by the customer at its sole option in certain cases, or unless renewed by mutual agreement in other cases. These contracts represented 41.5% of our consolidated revenues for the year ended December 31, 2014. We undertake substantial efforts to renew our facility management contracts. Our average historical facility management contract renewal rate approximates 90%. However, given their unilateral nature, we cannot assure you that our customers will in fact exercise their renewal options under existing contracts. In addition, in connection with contract renewals, either we or the contracting government agency have typically requested changes or adjustments to contractual terms. As a result, contract renewals may be made on terms that are more or less favorable to us than those in existence prior to the renewals.

We define competitive re-bids as contracts currently under our management which we believe, based on our experience with the customer and the facility involved, will be re-bid to us and other potential service providers in a competitive procurement process upon the expiration or termination of our contract, assuming all renewal options are exercised. Our determination of which contracts we believe will be competitively re-bid may in some cases be subjective and judgmental, based largely on our knowledge of the dynamics involving a particular contract, the customer and the facility involved. Competitive re-bids may result from the expiration of the term of a contract, including the initial fixed term plus any renewal periods, or the early termination of a contract by a customer. Competitive re-bids are often required by applicable federal or state procurement laws periodically in order to further competitive pricing and other terms for the government customer. Potential bidders in competitive re-bid situations include us, other private operators and other government entities.

As of December 31, 2014, nine of our facility management contracts representing $85.1 million (or 5.0%) of our consolidated revenues for the year ended December 31, 2014 are subject to competitive re-bid in 2015. While we are pleased with our historical win rate on competitive re-bids and are committed to continuing to bid competitively on appropriate future competitive re-bid opportunities, we cannot in fact assure you that we will prevail in future re-bid situations. Also, we cannot assure you that any competitive re-bids we win will be on terms more favorable to us than those in existence with respect to the expiring contract.

For additional information on facility management contracts that we currently believe will be competitively re-bid during each of the next five years and thereafter, please see Business Government Contracts Terminations, Renewals and Competitive Re-bids . The loss by us of facility management contracts due to terminations, non-renewals or competitive re-bids could materially adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and liquidity, including our ability to secure new facility management contracts from other government customers.

***We may not be able to successfully identify, consummate or integrate acquisitions.***

We have an active acquisition program, the objective of which is to identify suitable acquisition targets that will enhance our growth. The pursuit of acquisitions may pose certain risks to us. We may not be able to identify acquisition candidates that fit our criteria for growth and profitability. Even if we are able to identify such candidates, we may not be able to acquire them on terms satisfactory to us. We will incur expenses and dedicate attention and resources associated with the review of acquisition opportunities, whether or not we consummate such acquisitions.

Additionally, even if we are able to acquire suitable targets on agreeable terms, we may not be able to successfully integrate their operations with ours. Achieving the anticipated benefits of any acquisition will depend in significant part upon whether we integrate such acquired businesses in an efficient and effective manner. We may not be able to achieve the anticipated operating and cost synergies or long-term strategic benefits of our acquisitions within the anticipated timing or at all. For example, elimination of duplicative costs

Table of Contents

may not be fully achieved or may take longer than anticipated. For at least the first year after a substantial acquisition, and possibly longer, the benefits from the acquisition will be offset by the costs incurred in integrating the businesses and operations. We may also assume liabilities in connection with acquisitions that we would otherwise not be exposed to. An inability to realize the full extent of, or any of, the anticipated synergies or other benefits of an acquisition as well as any delays that may be encountered in the integration process, which may delay the timing of such synergies or other benefits, could have an adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

***As a result of our acquisitions, we have recorded and will continue to record a significant amount of goodwill and other intangible assets. In the future, our goodwill or other intangible assets may become impaired, which could result in material non-cash charges to our results of operations.***

We have a substantial amount of goodwill and other intangible assets resulting from business acquisitions. As of December 31, 2014, we had $649.2 million of goodwill and other intangible assets. At least annually, or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate a potential impairment in the carrying value as defined by Generally Accepted Accounting Principles in the United States of America, or U.S. GAAP, we will evaluate this goodwill for impairment by first assessing qualitative factors to determine whether the existence of events or circumstances leads to a determination that it is more likely than not that the fair value of the reporting unit is less than the carrying amount. Estimated fair values could change if there are changes in our capital structure, cost of debt, interest rates, capital expenditure levels, operating cash flows, or market capitalization. Impairments of goodwill or other intangible assets could require material non-cash charges to our results of operations.

***Our growth depends on our ability to secure contracts to develop and manage new correctional, detention and community based facilities and to secure contracts to provide electronic monitoring services, community-based re-entry services and monitoring and supervision services, the demand for which is outside our control.***

Our growth is primarily dependent upon our ability to obtain new contracts to develop and manage new correctional, detention and community based facilities, because contracts to manage existing public facilities have not to date typically been offered to private operators. Additionally, our growth is generally dependent upon our ability to obtain new contracts to offer electronic monitoring services, provide community-based re-entry services and provide monitoring and supervision services. Public sector demand for new privatized facilities in our areas of operation may decrease and our potential for growth will depend on a number of factors we cannot control, including overall economic conditions, governmental and public acceptance of the concept of privatization, government budgetary constraints, and the number of facilities available for privatization.

In particular, the demand for our correctional and detention facilities and services, electronic monitoring services, community-based re-entry services and monitoring and supervision services could be adversely affected by changes in existing criminal or immigration laws, crime rates in jurisdictions in which we operate, the relaxation of criminal or immigration enforcement efforts, leniency in conviction, sentencing or deportation practices, and the decriminalization of certain activities that are currently proscribed by criminal laws or the loosening of immigration laws. For example, any changes with respect to the decriminalization of drugs and controlled substances could affect the number of persons arrested, convicted, sentenced and incarcerated, thereby potentially reducing demand for correctional facilities to house them. Similarly, reductions in crime rates could lead to reductions in arrests, convictions and sentences requiring incarceration at correctional facilities. Immigration reform laws which are currently a focus for legislators and politicians at the federal, state and local level also could materially adversely impact us. Various factors outside our control could adversely impact the growth of our GEO Care business, including government customer resistance to the privatization of residential community based facilities, and changes to Medicare and Medicaid reimbursement programs.

Table of Contents

***We may not be able to meet state requirements for capital investment or locate land for the development of new facilities, which could adversely affect our results of operations and future growth.***

Certain jurisdictions, including California, have in the past required successful bidders to make a significant capital investment in connection with the financing of a particular project. If this trend were to continue in the future, we may not be able to obtain sufficient capital resources when needed to compete effectively for facility management contracts. Additionally, our success in obtaining new awards and contracts may depend, in part, upon our ability to locate land that can be leased or acquired under favorable terms. Our inability to secure financing and desirable locations for new facilities could adversely affect our results of operations and future growth.

***We depend on a limited number of governmental customers for a significant portion of our revenues. The loss of, or a significant decrease in business from, these customers could seriously harm our financial condition and results of operations.***

We currently derive, and expect to continue to derive, a significant portion of our revenues from a limited number of governmental agencies. Of our governmental clients, four customers, through multiple individual contracts, accounted for 42.5% of our consolidated revenues for the year ended December 31, 2014. In addition, three federal governmental agencies with correctional and detention responsibilities, the Bureau of Prisons, ICE, and the U.S. Marshals Service, accounted for 41.9% of our total consolidated revenues for the year ended December 31, 2014 through multiple individual contracts, with the Bureau of Prisons accounting for 15.8% of our total consolidated revenues for such period, ICE accounting for 15.6% of our total consolidated revenues for such period, and the U.S. Marshals Service accounting for 10.5% of our total consolidated revenues for such period; however, no individual contract with these clients accounted for more than 5.0% of our total consolidated revenues. Government agencies from the State of Florida accounted for 6.4% of our total consolidated revenues for the year ended December 31, 2014 through multiple individual contracts. Our revenues depend on our governmental customers receiving sufficient funding and providing us with timely payment under the terms of our contracts. If the applicable governmental customers do not receive sufficient appropriations to cover their contractual obligations, they may delay or reduce payment to us or terminate their contracts with us. With respect to our federal government customers, any future impasse or struggle impacting the federal government s ability to reach agreement on the federal budget, debt ceiling, immigration reform and how to fund the Department of Homeland Security, and any future federal government shut downs could result in material payment delays, payment reductions or contract terminations. Additionally, our governmental customers may request in the future that we reduce our per diem contract rates or forego increases to those rates as a way for those governmental customers to control their spending and address their budgetary shortfalls. The loss of, or a significant decrease in, business from the Bureau of Prisons, ICE, the U.S. Marshals Service, the State of Florida or any other significant customers could seriously harm our financial condition and results of operations. We expect to continue to depend upon these federal and state agencies and a relatively small group of other governmental customers for a significant percentage of our revenues.

***A decrease in occupancy levels could cause a decrease in revenues and profitability.***

While a substantial portion of our cost structure is generally fixed, most of our revenues are generated under facility management contracts which provide for per diem payments based upon daily occupancy. Several of these contracts provide minimum revenue guarantees for us, regardless of occupancy levels, up to a specified maximum occupancy percentage. However, many of our contracts have no minimum revenue guarantees and simply provide for a fixed per diem payment for each inmate/detainee/patient actually housed. As a result, with respect to our contracts that have no minimum revenue guarantees and those that guarantee revenues only up to a certain specified occupancy percentage, we are highly dependent upon the governmental agencies with which we have contracts to provide inmates, detainees and patients for our managed facilities. Under a per diem rate structure, a decrease in our occupancy rates could cause a decrease in revenues and profitability. In October 2011, the State of California implemented its Criminal Justice Realignment Plan. As a result of the implementation of the Criminal Justice Realignment Plan, the State of California discontinued contracts with

Table of Contents

Community Correctional Facilities which housed low level state offenders across the state. The implementation of the Criminal Justice Realignment Plan by California resulted in the cancellation of our agreements for the housing of low level state offenders at three of our California Community Corrections facilities as well as an agreement for the housing of out-of-state California inmates at our North Lake Correctional Facility in Michigan. Also, in Michigan there have been recommendations for the early release of inmates to relieve overcrowding conditions. In addition, we have acquired eight correctional and detention facilities from LCS Correctional Services, Inc. and its affiliates which currently have lower occupancy rates than GEO s facilities. It may take longer than anticipated to increase the occupancy rates for these facilities. When combined with relatively fixed costs for operating each facility, regardless of the occupancy level, a material decrease in occupancy levels at one or more of our facilities could have a material adverse effect on our revenues and profitability, and consequently, on our financial condition and results of operations.

***State budgetary constraints may have a material adverse impact on us.***

State budgets continue their slow to moderate recovery. According to the National Conference of State Legislatures, the outlook for state budgets is stable. Revenue performance is positive, and expenditure overruns are relatively modest. Overall, most state officials anticipate a slow and steady improvement in state finances. As of December 31, 2014, we had 11 state correctional clients: Florida, Georgia, Alaska, Louisiana, Virginia, Indiana, Texas, Oklahoma, New Mexico, Arizona, and California. If state budgetary constraints persist or intensify, our 11 state customers ability to pay us may be impaired and/or we may be forced to renegotiate our management contracts with those customers on less favorable terms and our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows could be materially adversely impacted. In addition, budgetary constraints in states that are not our current customers could prevent those states from outsourcing correctional, detention or community based service opportunities that we otherwise could have pursued.

***Competition for inmates may adversely affect the profitability of our business.***

We compete with government entities and other private operators on the basis of cost, bed availability, location of facility, quality and range of services offered, experience in managing facilities, and reputation of management and personnel. Barriers to entering the market for the management of correctional and detention facilities may not be sufficient to limit additional competition in our industry. In addition, some of our government customers may assume the management of a facility currently managed by us upon the termination of the corresponding management contract or, if such customers have capacity at the facilities which they operate, they may take inmates currently housed in our facilities and transfer them to government operated facilities. Since we are paid on a per diem basis with no minimum guaranteed occupancy under some of our contracts, the loss of such inmates and resulting decrease in occupancy could cause a decrease in both our revenues and our profitability.

***We are dependent on government appropriations, which may not be made on a timely basis or at all and may be adversely impacted by budgetary constraints at the federal, state and local levels.***

Our cash flow is subject to the receipt of sufficient funding of and timely payment by contracting governmental entities. If the contracting governmental agency does not receive sufficient appropriations to cover its contractual obligations, it may terminate our contract or delay or reduce payment to us. Any delays in payment, or the termination of a contract, could have a material adverse effect on our cash flow and financial condition, which may make it difficult to satisfy our payment obligations on our indebtedness, including the 6.625% Senior Notes, the 5.125% Senior Notes, the $5^{7}/_{8}$% Senior Notes, the 5.875% Senior Notes and the Senior Credit Facility, in a timely manner. In addition, as a result of, among other things, recent economic developments, federal, state and local governments have encountered, and may continue to encounter, unusual budgetary constraints. As a result, a number of state and local governments are under pressure to control additional spending or reduce current levels of spending which could limit or eliminate appropriations for the facilities that we operate. Additionally, as a result of these factors, we may be requested in the future to reduce

Table of Contents

our existing per diem contract rates or forego prospective increases to those rates. Budgetary limitations may also make it more difficult for us to renew our existing contracts on favorable terms or at all. Further, a number of states in which we operate are experiencing budget constraints for fiscal year 2015. We cannot assure you that these constraints will not result in reductions in per diems, delays in payment for services rendered or unilateral termination of contracts.

***Public resistance to privatization of correctional, detention and community based facilities could result in our inability to obtain new contracts or the loss of existing contracts, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.***

The management and operation of correctional, detention and community based facilities by private entities has not achieved complete acceptance by either government agencies or the public. Some governmental agencies have limitations on their ability to delegate their traditional management responsibilities for such facilities to private companies and additional legislative changes or prohibitions could occur that further increase these limitations. In addition, the movement toward privatization of such facilities has encountered resistance from groups, such as labor unions, that believe that correctional, detention and community based facilities should only be operated by governmental agencies. In addition, negative publicity about poor conditions, an escape, riot or other disturbance at a privately managed facility may result in adverse publicity to us and the private corrections industry in general. Any of these occurrences or continued trends may make it more difficult for us to renew or maintain existing contracts or to obtain new contracts. Changes in governing political parties could also result in significant changes to previously established views of privatization. Increased public resistance to the privatization of correctional, detention and community based facilities in any of the markets in which we operate, as a result of these or other factors, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

***Operating juvenile correctional facilities poses certain unique or increased risks and difficulties compared to operating other facilities.***

As a result of the acquisition of Cornell Companies, Inc. (the Cornell Acquisition ) in 2010, we re-entered the market of operating juvenile correctional facilities. We intentionally had exited the market of operating juvenile correctional facilities a number of years prior to the Cornell Acquisition. Operating juvenile correctional facilities may pose increased operational risks and difficulties that may result in increased litigation, higher personnel costs, higher levels of turnover of personnel and reduced profitability. Examples of the increased operational risks and difficulties involved in operating juvenile correctional facilities include, mandated client to staff ratios as high as 1:6, elevated reporting and audit requirements, a reduced number of options to use with offenders (e.g., mechanical restraints and seclusion are not permitted options to use with offenders in juvenile correctional facilities), and multiple funding sources as opposed to a single source payer. Additionally, juvenile services contracts related to educational services may provide for annual collection several months after a school year is completed. This may pose a risk that we will not be able to collect the full amount owed thereby reducing our profitability or it may adversely impact our annual budgeting process due to the lag time between us providing the educational services required under a contract and collecting the amount owed to us for such services. We cannot assure that we will be successful in operating juvenile correctional facilities or that we will be able to minimize the risks and difficulties involved while yielding an attractive profit margin.

***Adverse publicity may negatively impact our ability to retain existing contracts and obtain new contracts.***

Any negative publicity about an escape, riot or other disturbance or perceived poor conditions at a privately managed facility, any failures experienced by our electronic monitoring services and any negative publicity about a crime or disturbance occurring during a failure of service or the loss or unauthorized access to any of the data we maintain in the course of providing our services may result in publicity adverse to us and the private corrections industry in general. Any of these occurrences or continued trends may make it more difficult for us to renew existing contracts or to obtain new contracts or could result in the termination of an existing contract or the

Table of Contents

closure of one or more of our facilities, which could have a material adverse effect on our business. Such negative events may also result in a significant increase in our liability insurance costs.

***We may incur significant start-up and operating costs on new contracts before receiving related revenues, which may impact our cash flows and not be recouped.***

When we are awarded a contract to manage a facility, we may incur significant start-up and operating expenses, including the cost of constructing the facility, purchasing equipment and staffing the facility, before we receive any payments under the contract. These expenditures could result in a significant reduction in our cash reserves and may make it more difficult for us to meet other cash obligations, including our payment obligations on the 6.625% Senior Notes, the 5.125% Senior Notes, the $5\frac{7}{8}$% Senior Notes, the 5.875% Senior Notes and the Senior Credit Facility. In addition, a contract may be terminated prior to its scheduled expiration and as a result we may not recover these expenditures or realize any return on our investment.

***Failure to comply with extensive government regulation and applicable contractual requirements could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.***

The industry in which we operate is subject to extensive federal, state and local regulation, including educational, environmental, health care and safety laws, rules and regulations, which are administered by many regulatory authorities. Some of the regulations are unique to the corrections industry, and the combination of regulations affects all areas of our operations. Corrections officers and juvenile care workers are customarily required to meet certain training standards and, in some instances, facility personnel are required to be licensed and are subject to background investigations. Certain jurisdictions also require us to award subcontracts on a competitive basis or to subcontract with businesses owned by members of minority groups. We may not always successfully comply with these and other regulations to which we are subject and failure to comply can result in material penalties or the non-renewal or termination of facility management contracts. In addition, changes in existing regulations could require us to substantially modify the manner in which we conduct our business and, therefore, could have a material adverse effect on us.

In addition, private prison managers are increasingly subject to government legislation and regulation attempting to restrict the ability of private prison managers to house certain types of inmates, such as inmates from other jurisdictions or inmates at medium or higher security levels. Legislation has been enacted in several states, and has previously been proposed in the United States House of Representatives, containing such restrictions. Although we do not believe that existing legislation will have a material adverse effect on us, future legislation may have such an effect on us.

Governmental agencies may investigate and audit our contracts and, if any improprieties are found, we may be required to refund amounts we have received, to forego anticipated revenues and we may be subject to penalties and sanctions, including prohibitions on our bidding in response to RFPs from governmental agencies to manage correctional facilities. Governmental agencies we contract with have the authority to audit and investigate our contracts with them. As part of that process, governmental agencies may review our performance of the contract, our pricing practices, our cost structure and our compliance with applicable laws, regulations and standards. For contracts that actually or effectively provide for certain reimbursement of expenses, if an agency determines that we have improperly allocated costs to a specific contract, we may not be reimbursed for those costs, and we could be required to refund the amount of any such costs that have been reimbursed. If we are found to have engaged in improper or illegal activities, including under the United States False Claims Act, we may be subject to civil and criminal penalties and administrative sanctions, including termination of contracts, forfeitures of profits, suspension of payments, fines and suspension or disqualification from doing business with certain governmental entities. An adverse determination in an action alleging improper or illegal activities by us could also adversely impact our ability to bid in response to RFPs in one or more jurisdictions.

In addition to compliance with applicable laws and regulations, our facility management contracts typically have numerous requirements addressing all aspects of our operations which we may not be able to satisfy. For

Table of Contents

example, our contracts require us to maintain certain levels of coverage for general liability, workers compensation, vehicle liability, and property loss or damage. If we do not maintain the required categories and levels of coverage, the contracting governmental agency may be permitted to terminate the contract. In addition, we are required under our contracts to indemnify the contracting governmental agency for all claims and costs arising out of our management of facilities and, in some instances, we are required to maintain performance bonds relating to the construction, development and operation of facilities. Facility management contracts also typically include reporting requirements, supervision and on-site monitoring by representatives of the contracting governmental agencies. Failure to properly adhere to the various terms of our customer contracts could expose us to liability for damages relating to any breaches as well as the loss of such contracts, which could materially adversely impact us.

***We may face community opposition to facility location, which may adversely affect our ability to obtain new contracts.***

Our success in obtaining new awards and contracts sometimes depends, in part, upon our ability to locate land that can be leased or acquired, on economically favorable terms, by us or other entities working with us in conjunction with our proposal to construct and/or manage a facility. Some locations may be in or near populous areas and, therefore, may generate legal action or other forms of opposition from residents in areas surrounding a proposed site. When we select the intended project site, we attempt to conduct business in communities where local leaders and residents generally support the establishment of a privatized correctional or detention facility. Future efforts to find suitable host communities may not be successful. In many cases, the site selection is made by the contracting governmental entity. In such cases, site selection may be made for reasons related to political and/or economic development interests and may lead to the selection of sites that have less favorable environments.

***Our business operations expose us to various liabilities for which we may not have adequate insurance and may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.***

The nature of our business exposes us to various types of third-party legal claims, including, but not limited to, civil rights claims relating to conditions of confinement and/or mistreatment, sexual misconduct claims brought by prisoners or detainees, medical malpractice claims, product liability claims, intellectual property infringement claims, claims relating to employment matters (including, but not limited to, employment discrimination claims, union grievances and wage and hour claims), property loss claims, environmental claims, automobile liability claims, contractual claims and claims for personal injury or other damages resulting from contact with our facilities, programs, electronic monitoring products, personnel or prisoners, including damages arising from a prisoner s escape or from a disturbance or riot at a facility. In addition, our management contracts generally require us to indemnify the governmental agency against any damages to which the governmental agency may be subject in connection with such claims or litigation. We maintain insurance coverage for these general types of claims, except for claims relating to employment matters, for which we carry no insurance. However, we generally have high deductible payment requirements on our primary insurance policies, including our general liability insurance, and there are also varying limits on the maximum amount of our overall coverage. As a result, the insurance we maintain to cover the various liabilities to which we are exposed may not be adequate. Any losses relating to matters for which we are either uninsured or for which we do not have adequate insurance could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations. In addition, any losses relating to employment matters could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations. To the extent the events serving as a basis for any potential claims are alleged or determined to constitute illegal or criminal activity, we could also be subject to criminal liability. Such liability could result in significant monetary fines and could affect our ability to bid on future contracts and retain our existing contracts.

Table of Contents

***We may not be able to obtain or maintain the insurance levels required by our government contracts.***

Our government contracts require us to obtain and maintain specified insurance levels. The occurrence of any events specific to our company or to our industry, or a general rise in insurance rates, could substantially increase our costs of obtaining or maintaining the levels of insurance required under our government contracts, or prevent us from obtaining or maintaining such insurance altogether. If we are unable to obtain or maintain the required insurance levels, our ability to win new government contracts, renew government contracts that have expired and retain existing government contracts could be significantly impaired, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

***Our international operations expose us to risks which could materially adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.***

For the year ended December 31, 2014, our international operations accounted for approximately 15% of our consolidated revenues from continuing operations. We face risks associated with our operations outside the United States. These risks include, among others, political and economic instability, exchange rate fluctuations, taxes, duties and the laws or regulations in those foreign jurisdictions in which we operate. In the event that we experience any difficulties arising from our operations in foreign markets, our business, financial condition and results of operations may be materially adversely affected.

***We conduct certain of our operations through joint ventures or consortiums, which may lead to disagreements with our joint venture partners or business partners and adversely affect our interest in the joint ventures or consortiums.***

We conduct our operations in South Africa through our consolidated joint venture, SACM, and through our 50% owned and unconsolidated joint venture South African Custodial Services Pty. Limited, referred to as SACS. We conduct our prisoner escort and related custody services in the United Kingdom through our 50% owned and unconsolidated joint venture in GEO Amey PECS Limited, which we refer to as GEO Amey. We may enter into additional joint ventures in the future. Although we have the majority vote in our consolidated joint venture, SACM, through our ownership of 62.5% of the voting shares, we share equal voting control on all significant matters to come before SACS. We also share equal voting control on all significant matters to come before GEO Amey. We are conducting certain operations in Victoria, Australia through a consortium comprised of our wholly owned subsidiary, GEO Australia, John Holland Construction and Honeywell. The consortium is in the process of developing a new 1,000 bed prison in Ravenhall, a location near Melbourne, Australia. These joint venture partners, as well as any future partners, may have interests that are different from ours which may result in conflicting views as to the conduct of the business of the joint venture or consortium. In the event that we have a disagreement with a joint venture partner or consortium business partner as to the resolution of a particular issue to come before the joint venture or consortium, or as to the management or conduct of the business of the joint venture or consortium in general, we may not be able to resolve such disagreement in our favor and such disagreement could have a material adverse effect on our interest in the joint venture or consortium or the business of the joint venture or consortium in general.

***We are dependent upon our senior management and our ability to attract and retain sufficient qualified personnel.***

We are dependent upon the continued service of each member of our senior management team, including George C. Zoley, Ph.D., our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Brian R. Evans, our Chief Financial Officer, John M. Hurley, our Senior Vice President, Operations and President, U.S. Corrections & Detention, Ann Schlarb, our Senior Vice President, GEO Care, David Venturella, our Senior Vice President, Business Development and also our other executive officers at the Vice President level and above. The unexpected loss of Mr. Zoley, Mr. Evans or any other key member of our senior management team could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Table of Contents

In addition, the services we provide are labor-intensive. When we are awarded a facility management contract or open a new facility, depending on the service we have been contracted to provide, we may need to hire operating management, correctional officers, security staff, physicians, nurses and other qualified personnel. The success of our business requires that we attract, develop and retain these personnel. Our inability to hire sufficient qualified personnel on a timely basis or the loss of significant numbers of personnel at existing facilities could have a material effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

***Our profitability may be materially adversely affected by inflation.***

Many of our facility management contracts provide for fixed management fees or fees that increase by only small amounts during their terms. While a substantial portion of our cost structure is generally fixed, if, due to inflation or other causes, our operating expenses, such as costs relating to personnel, utilities, insurance, medical and food, increase at rates faster than increases, if any, in our facility management fees, then our profitability could be materially adversely affected.

***Various risks associated with the ownership of real estate may increase costs, expose us to uninsured losses and adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.***

Our ownership of correctional and detention facilities subjects us to risks typically associated with investments in real estate. Investments in real estate, and in particular, correctional and detention facilities, are relatively illiquid and, therefore, our ability to divest ourselves of one or more of our facilities promptly in response to changed conditions is limited. Investments in correctional and detention facilities, in particular, subject us to risks involving potential exposure to environmental liability and uninsured loss. Our operating costs may be affected by the obligation to pay for the cost of complying with existing environmental laws, ordinances and regulations, as well as the cost of complying with future legislation. In addition, although we maintain insurance for many types of losses, there are certain types of losses, such as losses from hurricanes, earthquakes, riots and acts of terrorism, which may be either uninsurable or for which it may not be economically feasible to obtain insurance coverage, in light of the substantial costs associated with such insurance. As a result, we could lose both our capital invested in, and anticipated profits from, one or more of the facilities we own. Further, even if we have insurance for a particular loss, we may experience losses that may exceed the limits of our coverage.

***Risks related to facility construction and development activities may increase our costs related to such activities.***

When we are engaged to perform construction and design services for a facility, we typically act as the primary contractor and subcontract with other companies who act as the general contractors. As primary contractor, we are subject to the various risks associated with construction (including, without limitation, shortages of labor and materials, work stoppages, labor disputes and weather interference) which could cause construction delays. In addition, we are subject to the risk that the general contractor will be unable to complete construction within the level of budgeted costs or be unable to fund any excess construction costs, even though we typically require general contractors to post construction bonds and insurance. Under such contracts, we are ultimately liable for all late delivery penalties and cost overruns.

***The rising cost and increasing difficulty of obtaining adequate levels of surety credit on favorable terms could adversely affect our operating results.***

We are often required to post performance bonds issued by a surety company as a condition to bidding on or being awarded a facility development contract. Availability and pricing of these surety commitments is subject to general market and industry conditions, among other factors. Recent events in the economy have caused the surety market to become unsettled, causing many reinsurers and sureties to reevaluate their commitment levels and required returns. As a result, surety bond premiums generally are increasing. If we are unable to effectively pass along the higher surety costs to our customers, any increase in surety costs could adversely affect our

Table of Contents

operating results. In addition, we may not continue to have access to surety credit or be able to secure bonds economically, without additional collateral, or at the levels required for any potential facility development or contract bids. If we are unable to obtain adequate levels of surety credit on favorable terms, we would have to rely upon letters of credit under our senior credit facility, which would entail higher costs even if such borrowing capacity was available when desired, and our ability to bid for or obtain new contracts could be impaired.

***Adverse developments in our relationship with our employees could adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.***

At December 31, 2014, approximately 24% of our workforce was covered by collective bargaining agreements and, as of such date, collective bargaining agreements with approximately 14% of our employees were set to expire in less than one year. While only approximately 24% of our workforce schedule is covered by collective bargaining agreements, increases in organizational activity or any future work stoppages could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, or results of operations.

***Technological changes could cause our electronic monitoring products and technology to become obsolete or require the redesign of our electronic monitoring products, which could have a material adverse effect on our business.***

Technological changes within the electronic monitoring business in which we conduct business may require us to expend substantial resources in an effort to develop and/or utilize new electronic monitoring products and technology. We may not be able to anticipate or respond to technological changes in a timely manner, and our response may not result in successful electronic monitoring product development and timely product introductions. If we are unable to anticipate or timely respond to technological changes, our business could be adversely affected and could compromise our competitive position, particularly if our competitors announce or introduce new electronic monitoring products and services in advance of us. Additionally, new electronic monitoring products and technology face the uncertainty of customer acceptance and reaction from competitors.

***Any negative changes in the level of acceptance of or resistance to the use of electronic monitoring products and services by governmental customers could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.***

Governmental customers use electronic monitoring products and services to monitor low risk offenders as a way to help reduce overcrowding in correctional facilities, as a monitoring and sanctioning tool, and to promote public safety by imposing restrictions on movement and serving as a deterrent for alcohol usage. If the level of acceptance of or resistance to the use of electronic monitoring products and services by governmental customers were to change over time in a negative manner so that governmental customers decide to decrease their usage levels and contracting for electronic monitoring products and services, this could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

***We depend on a limited number of third parties to manufacture and supply quality infrastructure components for our electronic monitoring products. If our suppliers cannot provide the components or services we require and with such quality as we expect, our ability to market and sell our electronic monitoring products and services could be harmed.***

If our suppliers fail to supply components in a timely manner that meets our quantity, quality, cost requirements, or technical specifications, we may not be able to access alternative sources of these components within a reasonable period of time or at commercially reasonable rates. A reduction or interruption in the supply of components, or a significant increase in the price of components, could have a material adverse effect on our marketing and sales initiatives, which could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents

***The interruption, delay or failure of the provision of our services or information systems could adversely affect our business.***

Certain segments of our business depend significantly on effective information systems. As with all companies that utilize information technology, we are vulnerable to negative impacts if information is inadvertently interrupted, delayed, compromised or lost. We routinely process, store and transmit large amounts of data for our clients. We continually work to update and maintain effective information systems. Despite the security measures we have in place and any additional measures we may implement in the future, our facilities and systems, and those of our third-party service providers, could be vulnerable to security breaches, computer viruses, lost or misplaced data, programming errors, human errors, acts of vandalism, or other events. For example, several well-known companies have recently disclosed high-profile security breaches, involving sophisticated and highly targeted attacks on their company s infrastructure or their customers data, which were not recognized or detected until after such companies had been affected notwithstanding the preventative measures they had in place. Any security breach or event resulting in the interruption, delay or failure of our services or information systems, or the misappropriation, loss, or other unauthorized disclosure of client data or confidential information, whether by us directly or our third-party service providers, could damage our reputation, expose us to the risks of litigation and liability, disrupt our business, result in lost business or otherwise adversely affect our results of operations.

***An inability to acquire, protect or maintain our intellectual property and patents in the electronic monitoring space could harm our ability to compete or grow.***

We have numerous United States and foreign patents issued as well as a number of United States patents pending in the electronic monitoring space. There can be no assurance that the protection afforded by these patents will provide us with a competitive advantage, prevent our competitors from duplicating our products, or that we will be able to assert our intellectual property rights in infringement actions.

In addition, any of our patents may be challenged, invalidated, circumvented or rendered unenforceable. There can be no assurance that we will be successful should one or more of our patents be challenged for any reason. If our patent claims are rendered invalid or unenforceable, or narrowed in scope, the patent coverage afforded to our products could be impaired, which could significantly impede our ability to market our products, negatively affect our competitive position and harm our business and operating results.

There can be no assurance that any pending or future patent applications held by us will result in an issued patent, or that if patents are issued to us, that such patents will provide meaningful protection against competitors or against competitive technologies. The issuance of a patent is not conclusive as to its validity or its enforceability. The United States federal courts or equivalent national courts or patent offices elsewhere may invalidate our patents or find them unenforceable. Competitors may also be able to design around our patents. Our patents and patent applications cover particular aspects of our products. Other parties may develop and obtain patent protection for more effective technologies, designs or methods. If these developments were to occur, it could have an adverse effect on our sales. We may not be able to prevent the unauthorized disclosure or use of our technical knowledge or trade secrets by consultants, vendors, former employees and current employees, despite the existence of nondisclosure and confidentiality agreements and other contractual restrictions. Furthermore, the laws of foreign countries may not protect our intellectual property rights effectively or to the same extent as the laws of the United States. If our intellectual property rights are not adequately protected, we may not be able to commercialize our technologies, products or services and our competitors could commercialize our technologies, which could result in a decrease in our sales and market share that would harm our business and operating results.

Additionally, the expiration of any of our patents may reduce the barriers to entry into our electronic monitoring line of business and may result in loss of market share and a decrease in our competitive abilities, thus having a potential adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Table of Contents

***Our electronic monitoring products could infringe on the intellectual property rights of others, which may lead to litigation that could itself be costly, could result in the payment of substantial damages or royalties, and/or prevent us from using technology that is essential to our products.***

There can be no assurance that our current products or products under development will not infringe any patent or other intellectual property rights of third parties. If infringement claims are brought against us, whether successfully or not, these assertions could distract management from other tasks important to the success of our business, necessitate us expending potentially significant funds and resources to defend or settle such claims and harm our reputation. We cannot be certain that we will have the financial resources to defend ourselves against any patent or other intellectual property litigation.

In addition, intellectual property litigation or claims could force us to do one or more of the following:

- cease selling or using any products that incorporate the asserted intellectual property, which would adversely affect our revenue;
- pay substantial damages for past use of the asserted intellectual property;
- obtain a license from the holder of the asserted intellectual property, which license may not be available on reasonable terms, if at all; or
- redesign or rename, in the case of trademark claims, our products to avoid infringing the intellectual property rights of third parties, which may not be possible and could be costly and time-consuming if it is possible to do.

In the event of an adverse determination in an intellectual property suit or proceeding, or our failure to license essential technology, our sales could be harmed and/or our costs could increase, which would harm our financial condition.

***We license intellectual property rights in the electronic monitoring space, including patents, from third party owners. If such owners do not properly maintain or enforce the intellectual property underlying such licenses, our competitive position and business prospects could be harmed. Our licensors may also seek to terminate our license.***

We are a party to a number of licenses that give us rights to third-party intellectual property that is necessary or useful to our business. Our success will depend in part on the ability of our licensors to obtain, maintain and enforce our licensed intellectual property. Our licensors may not successfully prosecute any applications for or maintain intellectual property to which we have licenses, may determine not to pursue litigation against other companies that are infringing such intellectual property, or may pursue such litigation less aggressively than we would. Without protection for the intellectual property we license, other companies might be able to offer similar products for sale, which could adversely affect our competitive business position and harm our business prospects.

If we lose any of our rights to use third-party intellectual property, it could adversely affect our ability to commercialize our technologies, products or services, as well as harm our competitive business position and our business prospects.

***We may be subject to costly product liability claims from the use of our electronic monitoring products, which could damage our reputation, impair the marketability of our products and services and force us to pay costs and damages that may not be covered by adequate insurance.***

Manufacturing, marketing, selling, testing and the operation of our electronic monitoring products and services entail a risk of product liability. We could be subject to product liability claims to the extent our electronic monitoring products fail to perform as intended. Even unsuccessful claims against us could result in the expenditure of funds in litigation, the diversion of management time and resources, damage to our reputation

Table of Contents

and impairment in the marketability of our electronic monitoring products and services. While we maintain liability insurance, it is possible that a successful claim could be made against us, that the amount of our insurance coverage would not be adequate to cover the costs of defending against or paying such a claim, or that damages payable by us would harm our business.

**Risks Related to Our Common Stock**

***The market price of our common stock may vary substantially.***

The trading prices of equity securities issued by REITs have historically been affected by changes in market interest rates. One of the factors that may influence the market price of our common stock is the annual yield from distributions on our common stock as compared to yields on other financial instruments. An increase in market interest rates, or a decrease in our distributions to shareholders, may lead prospective purchasers of our shares to demand a higher annual yield, which could reduce the market price of our common stock.

Other factors that could affect the market price of our common stock include the following:

- actual or anticipated variations in our quarterly results of operations;
- changes in market valuations of companies in the correctional and detention industries;
- changes in expectations of future financial performance or changes in estimates of securities analysts;
- fluctuations in stock market prices and volumes;
- issuances of common stock or other securities in the future;
- the addition or departure of key personnel;
- announcements by us or our competitors of acquisitions, investments or strategic alliances; and
- changes in the prospects of the privatized corrections and detention industry.

***Future sales of shares of our common stock could adversely affect the market price of our common stock and may be dilutive to current shareholders.***

Sales of shares of our common stock, or the perception that such sales could occur, could adversely affect the price for our common stock. As of December 31, 2014, there were 125,000,000 shares of common stock authorized under our Articles of Incorporation, of which 74,190,688 shares were outstanding. Our Board of Directors may authorize the issuance of additional authorized but unissued shares of our common stock or other authorized but unissued securities of ours at any time, including pursuant to equity incentive plans and stock purchase plans. In addition, we have filed a registration statement with the SEC allowing us to offer, from time to time, an indeterminate amount of common stock, subject to certain market conditions and other factors. Accordingly, we may, from time to time and at any time, seek to offer and sell shares of our common stock based upon market conditions and other factors. For example, on May 8, 2013, we filed with the SEC a prospectus supplement related to the offer and sale from time to time of our common stock at an aggregate offering price of up to $100 million through certain sales agents. Sales of shares of our common stock under this prospectus supplement and the equity distribution agreements entered into with the sales agents, if any, may be made in negotiated transactions or transactions that are deemed to be at the market offerings as defined in Rule 415 under the Securities Act of 1933. Additionally, on July 18, 2014, we filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission a post-effective amendment to our shelf registration statement on Form S-3 (pursuant to which the prospectus supplement had been filed) as a result of the merger of the

Company into GEO REIT effective June 27, 2014.

In September 2014, the Company filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission a new shelf registration statement on Form S-3. On November 10, 2014, in connection with the new shelf registration, the Company filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission a new prospectus supplement related to the offer

Table of Contents

and sale from time to time of the Company s common stock at an aggregate offering price of up to $150 million through sales agents. Sales of shares of the Company s common stock under the prospectus supplement and the equity distribution agreements entered into with the sales agents, if any, may be made in negotiated transactions or transactions that are deemed to be at the market offerings as defined in Rule 415 under the Securities Act of 1933.

An offering of shares of our common stock may have a dilutive effect on our earnings per share and funds from operations per share after giving effect to the issuance of our common stock in this offering and the receipt of the expected net proceeds. The actual amount of dilution from any offering of our equity securities, cannot be determined at this time. The market price of our common stock could decline as a result of sales of a large number of shares of our common stock in the market pursuant to an offering, or otherwise, or as a result of the perception or expectation that such sales could occur.

***Various anti-takeover protections applicable to us may make an acquisition of us more difficult and reduce the market value of our common stock.***

We are a Florida corporation and the anti-takeover provisions of Florida law impose various impediments to the ability of a third party to acquire control of our company, even if a change of control would be beneficial to our shareholders. In addition, provisions of our articles of incorporation may make an acquisition of us more difficult. Our articles of incorporation authorize the issuance by our Board of Directors of blank check preferred stock without shareholder approval. Such shares of preferred stock could be given voting rights, dividend rights, liquidation rights or other similar rights superior to those of our common stock, making a takeover of us more difficult and expensive. In addition to discouraging takeovers, the anti-takeover provisions of Florida law and our articles of incorporation may have the impact of reducing the market value of our common stock.

***Failure to maintain effective internal controls in accordance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 could have an adverse effect on our business and the trading price of our common stock.***

If we fail to maintain the adequacy of our internal controls, in accordance with the requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, as such standards are modified, supplemented or amended from time to time, our exposure to fraud and errors in accounting and financial reporting could materially increase. Also, inadequate internal controls would likely prevent us from concluding on an ongoing basis that we have effective internal control over financial reporting in accordance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Such failure to achieve and maintain effective internal controls could adversely impact our business and the price of our common stock.

***We may issue additional debt securities that could limit our operating flexibility and negatively affect the value of our common stock.***

In the future, we may issue additional debt securities which may be governed by an indenture or other instrument containing covenants that could place restrictions on the operation of our business and the execution of our business strategy in addition to the restrictions on our business already contained in the agreements governing our existing debt. In addition, we may choose to issue debt that is convertible or exchangeable for other securities, including our common stock, or that has rights, preferences and privileges senior to our common stock. Because any decision to issue debt securities will depend on market conditions and other factors beyond our control, we cannot predict or estimate the amount, timing or nature of any future debt financings and we may be required to accept unfavorable terms for any such financings. Accordingly, any future issuance of debt could dilute the interest of holders of our common stock and reduce the value of our common stock.

Table of Contents

**Item 1B.** ***Unresolved Staff Comments***
None.

**Item 2.** ***Properties***
We lease our corporate offices which are located in Boca Raton, Florida, under a lease agreement which was amended in April 2013. The current lease expires in March 2020 and has two 5-year renewal options, which if exercised will result in a maximum term ending March 2030. In addition, we lease office space for our eastern regional office in Charlotte, North Carolina; our central regional office in San Antonio, Texas; our western regional office in Los Angeles, California; and our youth services division in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. As a result of the BI acquisition in February 2011 and Protocol acquisition in February 2014, we are also currently leasing office space in Boulder, Colorado and Aurora, Illinois, respectively. We also lease office space in Sydney, Australia, in Sandton, South Africa, and in Berkshire, England, through our overseas affiliates to support our Australian, South African, and United Kingdom operations, respectively. We consider our office space adequate for our current operations.

See the Facilities and Day Reporting Centers listing under Item 1 for a list of the correctional, detention and re-entry properties we own or lease in connection with our operations. In addition to the properties listed under Item 1, we also lease 47 ISAP service centers, 83 electronic monitoring field offices and an electronic monitoring call center in Anderson, Indiana. We consider our correctional, detention and re-entry properties, our field offices and our electronic monitoring call center adequate for our current and planned levels of operations.

**Item 3.** ***Legal Proceedings***
The nature of our business exposes us to various types of third-party legal claims, including, but not limited to, civil rights claims relating to conditions of confinement and/or mistreatment, sexual misconduct claims brought by prisoners or detainees, product liability claims, intellectual property infringement claims, claims relating to employment matters (including, but not limited to, employment discrimination claims, union grievances and wage and hour claims), property loss claims, environmental claims, automobile liability claims, contractual claims and claims for personal injury or other damages resulting from contact with our facilities, programs, electronic monitoring products, personnel or prisoners, including damages arising from a prisoner s escape or from a disturbance or riot at a facility. We do not expect the outcome of any pending claims or legal proceedings to have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

**Item 4.** ***Mine Safety Disclosures***
Not applicable.

Table of Contents

**PART II**

**Item 5.** ***Market for Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities***

Our common stock trades on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol GEO. The following table shows the high and low prices for our common stock, as reported by the New York Stock Exchange, for each of the four quarters of fiscal years 2014 and 2013. The prices shown have been rounded to the nearest $1/100. The approximate number of shareholders of record as of February 23, 2015 is 637.

| | 2014 | | 2013 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Quarter** | **High** | **Low** | **High** | **Low** |
| First | $ 34.14 | $ 30.85 | $ 36.63 | $ 31.54 |
| Second | 35.82 | 31.53 | 35.96 | 30.11 |
| Third | 38.41 | 34.20 | 39.35 | 32.84 |
| Fourth | 41.66 | 36.01 | 37.72 | 28.51 |

***Equity Compensation Plan Information***

The following table sets forth information about our common stock that may be issued upon the exercise of options, warrants and rights under all of our equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2014 including our 2006 Stock Incentive Plan and our 2014 Stock Incentive Plan. Our shareholders have approved all of these plans.

| **Plan Category** | **(a) Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights** | **(b) Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights** | **(c) Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in Column (a))** |
|---|---|---|---|
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders | 663,918 | $ 23.89 | 3,747,271 |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders | | | |
| Total | 663,918 | $ 23.89 | 3,747,271 |

***Distributions***

As a REIT, the Company is required to distribute annually at least 90% of its REIT taxable income (determined without regard to the dividends paid deduction and by excluding net capital gain). The amount, timing and frequency of future distributions will be at the sole discretion of the Company s Board of Directors and will be declared based upon various factors, many of which are beyond the Company s control, including, the Company s financial condition and operating cash flows, the amount required to maintain REIT status and reduce any income taxes that the Company otherwise would be required to pay, limitations on distributions in the Company s existing and future debt instruments, limitations on the Company s ability to fund distributions using cash generated through our TRS and other factors that the Company s Board of Directors may deem relevant.

**Table of Contents**

During the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 we declared and paid the following regular cash distributions to our shareholders which were treated as qualified and non-qualified ordinary income dividends for federal income tax purposes as stated below:

| | | | | Ordinary Dividends | | | Capital Gains | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Declaration Date | Payment Date | Record Date | Distribution per share | Total | Qualified(1) | Non-Qualified | Total | Unrecaptured Section 1250 | Long Term | Non Dividend Distributions(2) | Aggregate Payment Amount (in millions) |
| January 17, 2013 | March 1, 2013 | February 15, 2013 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.1551057 | 0.3448943 | | | | | 35.7 |
| May 7, 2013 | June 3, 2013 | May 20, 2013 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.1551057 | 0.3448943 | | | | | 35.8 |
| July 30, 2013 | August 29, 2013 | August 19, 2013 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.1551057 | 0.3448943 | | | | | 36.1 |
| November 1, 2013 | November 26, 2013 | November 14, 2013 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.1706163 | 0.3793837 | | | | | 39.6 |
| Totals | | | $ 2.05 | $ 2.05 | $ 0.6359334 | $ 1.4140666 | $ | $ | $ | $ 0.4486565 | $ 147.2 |
| Percentage | | | 100.0% | 100.0% | 31.0% | 69.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 19.3% | |
| February 18, 2014 | March 14, 2014 | March 3, 2014 | 0.57 | 0.4602428 | 0.0448272 | 0.4154156 | | | | 0.1097572 | 41.1 |
| April 28, 2014 | May 27, 2014 | May 15, 2014 | 0.57 | 0.4602428 | 0.0448272 | 0.4154156 | | | | 0.1097572 | 41.5 |
| August 5, 2014 | August 29, 2014 | August 18, 2014 | 0.57 | 0.4602428 | 0.0448272 | 0.4154156 | | | | 0.1097572 | 41.4 |
| November 5, 2014 | November 26, 2014 | November 17, 2014 | 0.62 | 0.5006150 | 0.0487594 | 0.4518556 | | | | 0.119385 | 46 |
| Totals | | | $ 2.33 | $ 1.8813434 | $ 0.1832410 | $ 1.6981024 | $ | $ | $ | $ 0.4486565 | $ 170 |
| Percentage | | | 100.0% | 80.7% | 7.9% | 72.8% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 19.3% | |

(1) The amount constitutes a Qualified Dividend , as defined by the Internal Revenue Service.
(2) The amount constitutes a Return of Capital , as defined by the Internal Revenue Service.

We intend to continue paying regular quarterly cash dividends consistent with our stated expectation to pay at least 75% of our adjusted funds from operations ( AFFO ) in dividends with a goal to increase our dividend payout ratio over time. The amount, timing and frequency of our future dividends will be at the sole discretion of the Board of Directors based upon the factors mentioned above.

In addition to these factors, the indentures governing our 6.625% Senior Notes, 5.125% Senior Notes, $5^{7}/_{8}$% Senior Notes, 5.875% Senior Notes and our Senior Credit Facility also place material restrictions on our ability to pay dividends. See the Liquidity and Capital Resources section in Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Note 14 Debt in Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data , for further description of these restrictions. We believe we have the ability to continue to fund our working capital, our debt service requirements, and our maintenance and growth capital expenditure requirements, while maintaining sufficient liquidity for other corporate purposes.

Table of Contents

**Performance Graph**

The following performance graph compares the performance of our common stock to the Russell 2000, the S&P 500 Commercial Services and Supplies Index, and the MSCI U.S. REIT Index and is provided in accordance with Item 201(e) of Regulation S-K.

**Comparison of Five-Year Cumulative Total Return***

**The GEO Group, Inc., Russell 2000,**

**S&P 500 Commercial Services and Supplies Index**

**and MSCI U.S. REIT Index**

**(Performance through December 31, 2014)**

| Date | The GEO Group, Inc. | Russell 2000 | S&P 500 Commercial Services and Supplies | MSCI U.S. REIT Index |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| December 31, 2009 | $ 100.00 | $ 100.00 | $ 100.00 | $ 100.00 |
| December 31, 2010 | $ 112.72 | $ 125.31 | $ 108.76 | $ 123.53 |
| December 31, 2011 | $ 76.55 | $ 118.47 | $ 101.64 | $ 129.34 |
| December 31, 2012 | $ 159.04 | $ 135.81 | $ 111.74 | $ 146.88 |
| December 31, 2013 | $ 192.91 | $ 186.07 | $ 144.17 | $ 144.84 |
| December 31, 2014 | $ 257.89 | $ 192.63 | $ 160.89 | $ 181.46 |

Assumes $100 invested on December 31, 2009 in our common stock and the Index companies.

* Total return assumes reinvestment of dividends.

Table of Contents

**Item 6.** ***Selected Financial Data***

The following table sets forth historical financial data as of and for each of the five years in the period ended December 31, 2014. The selected consolidated financial data should be read in conjunction with our Management Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and our consolidated financial statements and the notes to the consolidated financial statements (in thousands, except per share and operational data).

| Year Ended: | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ***Results of Continuing Operations:*** | | | | | |
| Revenues | $ 1,691,620 | $ 1,522,074 | $ 1,479,062 | $ 1,407,172 | $ 1,084,592 |
| Operating income from continuing operations | 234,731 | 185,484 | 184,353 | 179,599 | 126,902 |
| Income from continuing operations | $ 143,840 | $ 117,462 | $ 144,558 | $ 69,644 | $ 54,371 |
| Income from continuing operations per common share attributable to The GEO Group, Inc.: | | | | | |
| ***Basic:*** | $ 1.99 | $ 1.65 | $ 2.39 | $ 1.12 | $ 0.99 |
| ***Diluted:*** | $ 1.98 | $ 1.64 | $ 2.37 | $ 1.11 | $ 0.98 |
| ***Weighted Average Shares Outstanding:*** | | | | | |
| Basic | 72,270 | 71,116 | 60,934 | 63,425 | 55,379 |
| Diluted | 72,547 | 71,605 | 61,265 | 63,740 | 55,989 |
| ***Cash and Stock Dividends per Common Share:*** | | | | | |
| Quarterly Cash Dividends | $ 2.33 | $ 2.05 | 0.4 | | |
| Special Dividend-Cash and Stock(3) | | $ | 5.68 | | |
| ***Financial Condition:*** | | | | | |
| Current assets | $ 377,406 | $ 384,345 | $ 337,183 | $ 459,329 | $ 422,084 |
| Current liabilities | 254,075 | 223,125 | 259,871 | 288,818 | 267,287 |
| Total assets | 3,002,208 | 2,889,364 | 2,839,194 | 3,049,923 | 2,412,373 |
| Long-term debt, including current portion (excluding non-recourse debt and capital leases) | 1,465,921 | 1,488,721 | 1,351,697 | 1,338,384 | 807,836 |
| Total Shareholders equity | $ 1,045,993 | $ 1,023,976 | $ 1,047,304 | $ 1,038,521 | $ 1,039,490 |
| ***Operational Data:*** | | | | | |
| Facilities in operation(2) | 92 | 86 | 87 | 90 | 98 |
| Operational capacity of contracts(2) | 75,302 | 66,130 | 65,949 | 65,787 | 70,552 |
| Compensated mandays(1) | 22,390,904 | 20,867,016 | 20,530,885 | 19,884,802 | 17,203,880 |

(1) Compensated mandays are calculated as follows: (a) for per diem rate facilities the number of beds occupied by residents on a daily basis during the fiscal year; and (b) for fixed rate facilities the capacity of the facility multiplied by the number of days the facility was in operation during the fiscal year.

(2) Represents the number of beds primarily from correction and detention facilities and excludes idle facilities.

(3) Special Dividend paid on December 31, 2012 Refer to Note 3 Shareholders Equity included in the notes to our audited consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this annual report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

**Item 7.** ***Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations***

**Introduction**

The following discussion and analysis provides information which management believes is relevant to an assessment and understanding of our consolidated results of operations and financial condition. This discussion contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results may differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of numerous factors including, but not limited to, those described above under Item 1A. Risk Factors, and Forward-Looking Statements Safe Harbor below. The discussion should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto.

We are a real estate investment trust specializing in the ownership, leasing and management of correctional, detention and re-entry facilities and the provision of community-based services and youth services in the United States, Australia, South Africa, and the United Kingdom. We own, lease and operate a broad range of correctional and detention facilities including maximum, medium and minimum security prisons, immigration detention centers, minimum security detention centers, and community based re-entry facilities. We offer counseling, education and/or treatment to inmates with alcohol and drug abuse problems at most of the domestic facilities we manage. We are also a provider of innovative compliance technologies, industry-leading monitoring services, and evidence-based supervision and treatment programs for community-based parolees, probationers and pretrial defendants. Additionally, we have an exclusive contract with ICE to provide supervision and reporting services designed to improve the participation of non-detained aliens in the immigration court system. We develop new facilities based on contract awards, using our project development expertise and experience to design, construct and finance what we believe are state-of-the-art facilities that maximize security and efficiency. We also provide secure transportation services for offender and detainee populations as contracted domestically and in the United Kingdom through our joint venture GEOAmey.

As of December 31, 2014, our worldwide operations included the management and/or ownership of approximately 79,000 beds at 98 correctional, detention and re-entry facilities, including idle facilities and projects under development and also included the provision of monitoring of more than 70,000 offenders in a community-based environment on behalf of approximately 900 federal, state and local correctional agencies located in all 50 states.

For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 we had consolidated revenues of $1.7 billion, $1.5 billion and $1.5 billion, respectively, and we maintained an average company wide facility occupancy rate of 95.7% including 75,302 active beds and excluding 3,708 idle beds for the year ended December 31, 2014, and 94.8% including 66,130 active beds and excluding 6,016 idle beds for the year ended December 31, 2013.

***REIT Conversion***

We have been a leading owner, lessor and operator of correctional, detention and re-entry facilities and provider of community-based services and youth services in the industry since 1984 and began operating as a REIT for federal income tax purposes effective January 1, 2013. As a result of the REIT conversion, we reorganized our operations and moved non-real estate components into TRSs. Through the TRS structure, the portion of our businesses which are non-real estate related, such as our managed-only contracts, international operations, electronic monitoring services, and other non-residential and community based facilities, are part of wholly-owned taxable subsidiaries of the REIT. Most of our business segments, which are real estate related and involve company-owned and company-leased facilities, are part of the REIT. The TRS structure allows us to maintain the strategic alignment of almost all of our diversified business segments under one entity. The TRS assets and operations will continue to be subject to federal and state corporate income taxes and to foreign taxes as applicable in the jurisdictions in which those assets and operations are located.

Table of Contents

As a REIT, we are required to distribute annually at least 90% of our REIT taxable income (determined without regard to the dividends paid deduction and by excluding net capital gain) and we began paying regular distributions in 2013. We declared and paid the following regular REIT distributions to our shareholders which were treated for federal income taxes as follows:

| | | | | Ordinary Dividends | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Declaration Date | Payment Date | Record Date | Distribution Per Share | Qualified(1) | Non-Qualified | Nondividend Distributions(2) | Aggregate Payment Amount (millions) |
| August 7, 2012 | September 7, 2012 | August 21, 2012 | $ 0.20 | N/A | N/A | N/A | $ 12.3 |
| October 31, 2012 | November 30, 2012 | November 16, 2012 | $ 0.20 | N/A | N/A | N/A | $ 12.3 |
| January 17, 2013 | March 1, 2013 | February 15, 2013 | $ 0.50 | $ 0.1551057 | $ 0.3448943 | | $ 35.7 |
| May 7, 2013 | June 3, 2013 | May 20, 2013 | $ 0.50 | $ 0.1551057 | $ 0.3448943 | | $ 35.8 |
| July 30, 2013 | August 29, 2013 | August 19, 2013 | $ 0.50 | $ 0.1551057 | $ 0.3448943 | | $ 36.1 |
| November 1, 2013 | November 26, 2013 | November 14, 2013 | $ 0.55 | $ 0.1706163 | $ 0.3793837 | | $ 39.6 |
| February 18, 2014 | March 14, 2014 | March 3, 2014 | $ 0.57 | $ 0.0448272 | $ 0.4154156 | $ 0.1097572 | $ 41.1 |
| April 28, 2014 | May 27, 2014 | May 15, 2014 | $ 0.57 | $ 0.0448272 | $ 0.4154156 | $ 0.1097572 | $ 41.5 |
| August 5, 2014 | August 29, 2014 | August 18, 2014 | $ 0.57 | $ 0.0448272 | $ 0.4154156 | $ 0.1097572 | $ 41.4 |
| November 5, 2014 | November 26, 2014 | November 17, 2014 | $ 0.62 | $ 0.0487594 | $ 0.4518556 | $ 0.1097572 | $ 46.0 |

(1) The amount constitutes a Qualified Dividend , as defined by the Internal Revenue Service.
(2) The amount constitutes a Return of Capital , as defined by the Internal Revenue Service.

***Divestiture of RTS***

Applicable REIT rules substantially restrict the ability of REITs to operate health care facilities. As a result, in order to achieve and preserve our REIT status, on December 31, 2012, we completed the divestiture of all of our Residential Treatment Services. The operating results of RTS have been retroactively reclassified to discontinued operations for all periods presented in the Form 10-K. Refer to Note 2 Discontinued Operations included in the notes to our audited consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this annual report on Form 10-K.

***Change in Fiscal Year***

In connection with our conversion to a REIT, on December 31, 2012, we changed our fiscal year to a calendar year and changed our fiscal quarters to coincide with each calendar quarter. The year 2012 means the 52 week period from January 2, 2012 through December 31, 2012.

**Critical Accounting Policies**

We believe that the accounting policies described below are critical to understanding our business, results of operations and financial condition because they involve the more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements. We have discussed the development, selection and application of our critical accounting policies with the audit committee of our Board of Directors, and our audit committee has reviewed our disclosure relating to our critical accounting policies in this Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. As such, we are required to make certain estimates, judgments and assumptions that we believe are reasonable based upon the information available. These estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. We routinely evaluate our estimates based on historical experience and on various other assumptions that our management believes are reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. If actual results significantly differ from our estimates, our financial condition and results of operations could be materially impacted.

Table of Contents

Other significant accounting policies, primarily those with lower levels of uncertainty than those discussed below, are also critical to understanding our consolidated financial statements. The notes to our consolidated financial statements contain additional information related to our accounting policies and should be read in conjunction with this discussion.

***Revenue Recognition***

Facility management revenues are recognized as services are provided under facility management contracts with approved government appropriations based on a net rate per day per inmate or on a fixed monthly rate, as applicable. A limited number of our contracts have provisions upon which a small portion of the revenue for the contract is based on the performance of certain targets. Revenue based on the performance of certain targets is less than 1% of our consolidated annual revenues. These performance targets are based on specific criteria to be met over specific periods of time. Such criteria includes our ability to achieve certain contractual benchmarks relative to the quality of service we provide, non-occurrence of certain disruptive events, effectiveness of our quality control programs and our responsiveness to customer requirements and concerns. For the limited number of contracts where revenue is based on the performance of certain targets, revenue is either (i) recorded pro rata when revenue is fixed and determinable or (ii) recorded when the specified time period lapses. In many instances, we are a party to more than one contract with a single entity. In these instances, each contract is accounted for separately. We have not recorded any revenue that is at risk due to future performance contingencies.

Construction revenues are recognized from our contracts with certain customers to perform construction and design services ( project development services ) for various facilities. In these instances, we act as the primary developer and subcontract with bonded National and/or Regional Design Build Contractors. These construction revenues are recognized as earned on a percentage of completion basis measured by the percentage of costs incurred to date as compared to the estimated total cost for each contract. Provisions for estimated losses on uncompleted contracts and changes to cost estimates are made in the period in which we determine that such losses and changes are probable. Typically, we enter into fixed price contracts and do not perform additional work unless approved change orders are in place. Costs attributable to unapproved change orders are expensed in the period in which the costs are incurred if we believe that it is not probable that the costs will be recovered through a change in the contract price. If we believe that it is probable that the costs will be recovered through a change in the contract price, costs related to unapproved change orders are expensed in the period in which they are incurred, and contract revenue is recognized to the extent of the costs incurred. Revenue in excess of the costs attributable to unapproved change orders is not recognized until the change order is approved. Changes in job performance, job conditions, and estimated profitability, including those arising from contract penalty provisions, and final contract settlements, may result in revisions to estimated costs and income, and are recognized in the period in which the revisions are determined. For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, there have been no changes in job performance, job conditions and estimated profitability that would require a revision to the estimated costs and income related to project development services. As the primary contractor, we are exposed to the various risks associated with construction, including the risk of cost overruns. Accordingly, we record our construction revenue on a gross basis and include the related cost of construction activities in Operating Expenses.

When evaluating multiple element arrangements for certain contracts where we provide project development services to our clients in addition to standard management services, we follow revenue recognition guidance for multiple element arrangements under ASC 605-25 *Multiple Element Arrangements* . This revenue recognition guidance related to multiple deliverables in an arrangement provides guidance on determining if separate contracts should be evaluated as a single arrangement and if an arrangement involves a single unit of accounting or separate units of accounting and if the arrangement is determined to have separate units, how to allocate amounts received in the arrangement for revenue recognition purposes. In instances where we provide these project development services and subsequent management services, generally, the arrangement results in no delivered elements at the onset of the agreement. The elements are delivered, and revenue is recognized, over

Table of Contents

the contract period as the project development and management services are performed. Project development services are generally not provided separately to a customer without a management contract. We have determined that the significant deliverables in such an arrangement during the project development phase and services performed under the management contract qualify as separate units of accounting. With respect to the deliverables during the management services period, we regularly negotiate such contracts and provide management services to our customers outside of any arrangement for construction. We establish per diem rates for all of our management contracts based on, amongst other factors, expected and guaranteed occupancy, costs of providing the services and desired margins. As such, the fair value of the consideration to each deliverable was determined using our estimated selling price for the project development deliverable and vendor specific objective evidence for the facility management services deliverable.

***Reserves for Insurance Losses***

The nature of our business exposes us to various types of third-party legal claims, including, but not limited to, civil rights claims relating to conditions of confinement and/or mistreatment, sexual misconduct claims brought by prisoners or detainees, product liability claims, intellectual property infringement claims, claims relating to employment matters (including, but not limited to, employment discrimination claims, union grievances and wage and hour claims), property loss claims, environmental claims, automobile liability claims, contractual claims and claims for personal injury or other damages resulting from contact with our facilities, programs, electronic monitoring products, personnel or prisoners, including damages arising from a prisoner s escape or from a disturbance or riot at a facility. In addition, our management contracts generally require us to indemnify the governmental agency against any damages to which the governmental agency may be subject in connection with such claims or litigation. We maintain a broad program of insurance coverage for these general types of claims, except for claims relating to employment matters, for which we carry no insurance. There can be no assurance that our insurance coverage will be adequate to cover all claims to which we may be exposed. It is our general practice to bring merged or acquired companies into our corporate master policies in order to take advantage of certain economies of scale.

We currently maintain a general liability policy and excess liability policies with total limits of $67.0 million per occurrence and in the aggregate covering the operations of U.S. Corrections & Detention, GEO Care s community based services, GEO Care s youth services and BI. We have a claims-made liability insurance program with a specific loss limit of $35.0 million per occurrence and in the aggregate related to medical professional liability claims arising out of correctional healthcare services. We are uninsured for any claims in excess of these limits. We also maintain insurance to cover property and other casualty risks including, workers compensation, environmental liability and automobile liability.

For most casualty insurance policies, we carry substantial deductibles or self-insured retentions of $3.0 million per occurrence for general liability and medical professional liability, $2.0 million per occurrence for workers compensation and $1.0 million per occurrence for automobile liability. In addition, certain of our facilities located in Florida and other high-risk hurricane areas carry substantial windstorm deductibles. Since hurricanes are considered unpredictable future events, no reserves have been established to pre-fund for potential windstorm damage. Limited commercial availability of certain types of insurance relating to windstorm exposure in coastal areas and earthquake exposure mainly in California and the Pacific Northwest may prevent the Company from insuring some of its facilities to full replacement value.

With respect to operations in South Africa, the United Kingdom and Australia, we utilize a combination of locally-procured insurance and global policies to meet contractual insurance requirements and protect us. In addition to these policies, our Australian subsidiary carries tail insurance on a general liability policy related to a discontinued contract.

Of the reserves discussed above, our most significant insurance reserves relate to workers compensation, general liability and auto claims. These reserves are undiscounted and were $49.5 million and $47.6 million as of

Table of Contents

December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively and are included in accrued expenses in the accompanying balance sheets. We use statistical and actuarial methods to estimate amounts for claims that have been reported but not paid and claims incurred but not reported. In applying these methods and assessing their results, we consider such factors as historical frequency and severity of claims at each of our facilities, claim development, payment patterns and changes in the nature of our business, among other factors. Such factors are analyzed for each of our business segments. Our estimates may be impacted by such factors as increases in the market price for medical services and unpredictability of the size of jury awards. We also may experience variability between our estimates and the actual settlement due to limitations inherent in the estimation process, including our ability to estimate costs of processing and settling claims in a timely manner as well as our ability to accurately estimate our exposure at the onset of a claim. Because we have high deductible insurance policies, the amount of our insurance expense is dependent on our ability to control our claims experience. If actual losses related to insurance claims significantly differ from our estimates, our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows could be materially adversely impacted.

***Income Taxes***

The consolidated financial statements reflect provisions for federal, state, local and foreign income taxes. We recognize deferred tax assets and liabilities for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax basis, as well as operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. We measure deferred tax assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences and carryforwards are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities as a result of a change in tax rates is recognized as income in the period that includes the enactment date. At December 31, 2012, we reversed certain deferred tax assets and liabilities related to our REIT activities. Refer to Note 17- Income Taxes in the notes to the consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this annual report on Form 10-K. Effective January 1, 2013, as a REIT that plans to distribute 100% of its taxable income to shareholders, we do not expect to pay federal income taxes at the REIT level (including our qualified REIT subsidiaries), as the resulting dividends paid deduction will generally offset our taxable income. Since we do not expect to pay taxes on our REIT taxable income, we do not expect to be able to recognize such deferred tax assets and liabilities.

Deferred income taxes are determined based on the estimated future tax effects of differences between the financial statement and tax basis of assets and liabilities given the provisions of enacted tax laws. Significant judgments are required to determine the consolidated provision for income taxes. Deferred income tax provisions and benefits are based on changes to the assets or liabilities from year to year. Realization of our deferred tax assets is dependent upon many factors such as tax regulations applicable to the jurisdictions in which we operate, estimates of future taxable income and the character of such taxable income.

Additionally, we must use significant judgment in addressing uncertainties in the application of complex tax laws and regulations. If actual circumstances differ from our assumptions, adjustments to the carrying value of deferred tax assets or liabilities may be required, which may result in an adverse impact on the results of our operations and our effective tax rate. Valuation allowances are recorded related to deferred tax assets based on the more likely than not criteria. We have not made any significant changes to the way we account for our deferred tax assets and liabilities in any year presented in the consolidated financial statements, with the exception of the reversal of certain deferred tax assets and liabilities related to our REIT activities. Based on our estimate of future earnings and our favorable earnings history, we currently expect full realization of the deferred tax assets net of any recorded valuation allowances. Furthermore, tax positions taken by us may not be fully sustained upon examination by the taxing authorities. In determining the adequacy of our provision (benefit) for income taxes, potential settlement outcomes resulting from income tax examinations are regularly assessed. As such, the final outcome of tax examinations, including the total amount payable or the timing of any such payments upon resolution of these issues, cannot be estimated with certainty.

Table of Contents

***Property and Equipment***

Property and equipment are stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the related assets. Buildings and improvements are depreciated over 2 to 50 years. Equipment and furniture and fixtures are depreciated over 3 to 10 years. Accelerated methods of depreciation are generally used for income tax purposes. Leasehold improvements are amortized on a straight-line basis over the shorter of the useful life of the improvement or the term of the lease. We perform ongoing evaluations of the estimated useful lives of the property and equipment for depreciation purposes. The estimated useful lives are determined and continually evaluated based on the period over which services are expected to be rendered by the asset. If the assessment indicates that assets will be used for a longer or shorter period than previously anticipated, the useful lives of the assets are revised, resulting in a change in estimate. We have not made any changes in estimates during the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012. Maintenance and repairs are expensed as incurred. Interest is capitalized in connection with the construction of company-owned correctional and detention facilities. Cost for self-constructed correctional and detention facilities includes direct materials and labor, capitalized interest and certain other indirect costs associated with construction of the facility, such as property taxes, other indirect labor and related benefits and payroll taxes. The Company begins the capitalization of costs during the pre-construction phase, which is the period during which costs are incurred to evaluate the site, and continues until the facility is substantially complete and ready for occupancy. Labor costs capitalized for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 were not significant. Capitalized interest is recorded as part of the asset to which it relates and is amortized over the asset s estimated useful life.

***Asset Impairments***

The Company had property and equipment of $1.8 billion and 1.7 billion as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, including approximately 3,700 vacant beds at four idle facilities with a carrying value of $114.9 million which are being marketed to potential customers as of December 31, 2014, excluding equipment and other assets that can be easily transferred for use at other facilities.

We review long-lived assets to be held and used for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of such assets may not be fully recoverable. Events that would trigger an impairment assessment include deterioration of profits for a business segment that has long-lived assets, or when other changes occur that might impair recovery of long-lived assets such as the termination of a management contract or a significant decrease in inmate population. If impairment indicators are present, we perform a recoverability test to determine whether or not an impairment loss should be measured.

We test idle facilities for impairment upon notification that the facilities will no longer be utilized by the customer. If a long-lived asset is part of a group that includes other assets, the unit of accounting for the long-lived asset is its group. Generally, we group assets by facility for the purpose of considering whether any impairment exists. The estimates of recoverability are based on projected undiscounted cash flows associated with actual marketing efforts where available or, in other instances, projected undiscounted cash flows that are comparable to historical cash flows from management contracts at similar facilities and sensitivity analyses that consider reductions to such cash flows. Our sensitivity analyses include adjustments to projected cash flows compared to the historical cash flows due to current business conditions which impact per diem rates as well as labor and other operating costs, changes related to facility mission due to changes in prospective clients, and changes in projected capacity and occupancy rates. We also factor in prolonged periods of vacancies as well as the time and costs required to ramp up facility population once a contract is obtained. We perform the impairment analyses on an annual basis for each of the idle facilities and update each quarter for market developments for the potential utilization of each of the facilities in order to identify events that may cause us to reconsider the most recent assumptions. Such events could include negotiations with a prospective customer for the utilization of an idle facility at terms significantly less favorable than used in our most recent impairment analysis, or changes in legislation surrounding a particular facility that could impact our ability to house certain

Table of Contents

types of inmates at such facility. Further, a substantial increase in the number of available beds at other facilities that we own, or in the marketplace, could lead to deterioration in market conditions and projected cash flows. Although they are not frequently received, an unsolicited offer to purchase any of our idle facilities, at amounts that are less than their carrying value could also cause us to reconsider the assumptions used in the most recent impairment analysis. We have identified marketing prospects to utilize each of the remaining currently idled facilities and do not see any catalysts that would result in a current impairment. However, we can provide no assurance that we will be able to secure management contracts to utilize our idle facilities, or that we will not incur impairment charges in the future. In all cases, the projected undiscounted cash flows in our analysis as of December 31, 2014 substantially exceeded the carrying amounts of each facility.

Our evaluations also take into consideration historical experience in securing new management contracts to utilize facilities that had been previously idled for periods comparable to or in excess of the periods our currently idle facilities have been idle. Such previously idle facilities are currently being operated under contracts that generate cash flows resulting in the recoverability of the net book value of the previously idled facilities by substantial amounts. Due to a variety of factors, the lead time to negotiate contracts with federal and state agencies to utilize idle bed capacity is generally lengthy which has historically resulted in periods of idleness similar to the ones we are currently experiencing. As a result of our analyses, we determined each of these assets to have recoverable values substantially in excess of the corresponding carrying values.

By their nature, these estimates contain uncertainties with respect to the extent and timing of the respective cash flows due to potential delays or material changes to forecasted terms and conditions in contracts with prospective customers that could impact the estimate of projected cash flows. Notwithstanding the effects the current economy has had on our customers demand for prison beds in the short term which has led to our decision to idle certain facilities, we believe the long-term trends favor an increase in the utilization of our idle correctional facilities. This belief is also based on our experience in working with governmental agencies faced with significant budgetary challenges which is a primary contributing factor to the lack of appropriated funding to build new bed capacity by federal and state agencies.

***Discontinued Operations***

We report the results of operations of a component of an entity that either has been disposed of or is classified as held for sale or where the management contracts with that component have terminated either by expiration or otherwise in discontinued operations. We present such events as discontinued operations so long as the financial results can be clearly identified, the future operations and cash flows are completely eliminated from ongoing operations, and so long as we do not have any significant continuing involvement in the operations of the component after the disposal or termination transaction.

When a component of an entity has been disposed of or classified as held for sale or a management contract is terminated, we look at our overall relationship with the customer. If the operations or cash flows of the component have been (or will be) eliminated from the ongoing operations of the entity as a result of the transaction and the entity will not have significant continuing involvement in the operations of the component after the transaction, the results of operations of the component of an entity are reported in discontinued operations. If we will continue to maintain a relationship generating significant cash flows and having continuing involvement with the customer, the disposal, the asset held for sale classification or the loss of the management contract(s) is not treated as discontinued operations. If the disposal, the asset held for sale classification or the loss of the management contract(s) results in a loss in the overall customer relationship as no future significant cash flows will be generated and we will have no continuing involvement with the customer, the results are classified in discontinued operations.

Table of Contents

**Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

The following accounting standards have an implementation date subsequent to the year ended December 31, 2014 and as such, have not yet been adopted by us:

In January 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-01 *Simplifying Income Statement Presentation by Eliminating the Concept of Extraordinary Items,* , which eliminates the concept of extraordinary items altogether from U.S. GAAP. By removing the concept of extraordinary items from U.S. GAAP, this ASU removes the uncertainty and disparity in practice involved in identifying, presenting, and disclosing extraordinary items, as well as more closely aligns U.S. GAAP with IFRS. As with all of the FASB s simplification initiatives, the new guidance is also expected to reduce the costs and complexity of financial statement preparation. The amendments resulting from ASU 2015-01 are effective for all entities for fiscal years, and for interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2015, with earlier adoption permitted provided that the guidance is applied from the beginning of the fiscal year of adoption. The implementation of this standard is not expected to have a material impact on the Company s financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In June 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-12, *Accounting for Share-Based Payments When the Terms of an Award Provide That a Performance Target Could Be Achieved after the Requisite Service Period,* which resolves the diverse accounting treatment of share-based payments on an award where the terms provide that the performance target could be achieved after an employee completes the requisite service period. The amendments require that a performance target that affects vesting and that could be achieved after the requisite service period be treated as a performance condition. The amendments in this update apply to all reporting entities that grant their employees share-based payments in which the terms of the award provide that a performance target that affects vesting could be achieved after the requisite service period. This standard will become effective for annual periods and interim periods within those annual periods beginning on or after December 15, 2015. The implementation of this standard is not expected to have a material impact on the Company s financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-09, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers,* which initiates a joint project between FASB and the International Accounting Standards Board ( IASB ) to clarify the principles for recognizing revenue and develop a common revenue standard for U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards ( IFRS ). The core principle of the guidance is that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for goods or services. The guidance in this update affects any entity that either enters into contracts with customers to transfer goods or services or enters into contracts for the transfer of nonfinancial assets unless those contracts are within the scope of other standards. This standard will become effective for annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2016, including those interim periods within that reporting period. Early adoption is not permitted. An entity may apply the amendment in this update retrospectively to each reporting period presented, or retrospectively with the cumulative effect of initially applying this update recognized at the date of initial application. The Company is in the process of evaluating whether this standard would have a material impact on the Company s financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In April 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-08, *Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of Components of an Entity,* which changes the requirements for reporting discontinued operations. A discontinued operation may include a component of an entity or group of components of an entity, or a business or nonprofit activity. Under the ASU, only those disposals of components of an entity that represent a strategic shift that has (or will have) a major effect on an entity s operations and financial results will be reported as discontinued operations in the financial statements. This standard will become effective for disposals or activities classified as held for sale that occur within annual periods beginning on or after December 15, 2014. The implementation of this standard is not expected to have a material impact on the Company s financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Table of Contents

In January 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-05, *Service Concession Arrangements,* which specifies that an operating entity should not account for a service concession arrangement that falls within the scope of this update as a lease in accordance with Topic 840. An operating entity should refer to other Topics as applicable to account for various aspects of a service concession arrangement. The amendments also specify that the infrastructure used in a service concession arrangement should not be recognized as property, plant and equipment of the operating entity. A service concession arrangement is defined as an arrangement between a public-sector entity and an operating entity for which the terms provide that the operating entity will operate the public-sector entity s infrastructure (for example, airports, roads, bridges, tunnels, prisons and hospitals) for a specified period of time. This standard will become effective for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 31, 2014. The implementation of this standard is not expected to have a material impact on the Company s financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Other recent accounting pronouncements issued by the FASB (including its Emerging Issues Task Force), the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the SEC did not, or are not expected to, have a material effect on the Company s results of operations or financial position.

**Results of Operations**

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and the notes to the consolidated financial statements accompanying this report. This discussion contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results may differ materially from those anticipated in the forward-looking statements as a result of certain factors, including, but not limited to, those described under Item 1A. Risk Factors and those included in other portions of this report.

The discussion of our results of operations below excludes the results of discontinued operations reported in 2014, 2013 and 2012. Refer to Note 2 Discontinued Operations included in the notes to our audited consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this annual report on Form 10-K for further information.

In connection with our conversion to a REIT, on December 31, 2012 we changed our fiscal year to a calendar year and changed our fiscal quarters to coincide with each calendar quarter. For the purposes of the discussion below, 2012 means the period from January 2, 2012 to December 31, 2012.

Effective January 1, 2015, GEO regained ownership of the GEO Care service mark and domain name and has renamed the Community Services segment to GEO Care for all periods presented. Refer to Note 2 Discontinued Operations included in Part II, Item 8 of this annual report on Form 10-K for further information.

*2014 versus 2013*

***Revenues***

| | 2014 | % of Revenue | 2013 | % of Revenue | $ Change | % Change |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| **U.S. Corrections & Detention** | $ 1,108,397 | 65.5% | $ 1,011,818 | 66.5% | $ 96,579 | 9.5% |
| **GEO Care** | 329,253 | 19.5% | 302,094 | 19.8% | 27,159 | 9.0% |
| **International Services** | 197,992 | 11.7% | $ 208,162 | 13.7% | $ (10,170) | (4.9)% |
| **Facility Construction & Design** | 55,978 | 3.3% | $ | % | $ | 100.0% |
| **Total** | $ 1,691,620 | 100.0% | $ 1,522,074 | 100.0% | $ 169,546 | 11.1% |

Table of Contents

***U.S. Corrections & Detention***

Revenues increased in 2014 compared to 2013 primarily due to aggregate increases of $82.3 million resulting from: (i) the activation and intake of inmates at the Central Valley, Desert View and McFarland correctional facilities, as well as our 100-bed expansion of the Company-owned Golden State correctional facility, in the fourth quarter of 2013; (ii) our assumption of the management of the Moore Haven, Bay and Graceville correctional facilities in the first quarter of 2014; and (iii) our 400-bed expansion of the Rio Grande correctional facility in the first quarter of 2014 and 640-bed expansion of the Adelanto correctional facility in the second quarter of 2014. We also experienced aggregate increases in revenues of $30.9 million at certain of our facilities primarily due to net increases in population, transportation services and/or rates, including the increased revenues due to our purchase of the previously managed-only 1,287-bed Joe Corley Detention Center in June 2013. These increases were partially offset by an aggregate decrease of $16.7 million primarily due to contract terminations.

The number of compensated mandays in U.S. Corrections & Detention facilities was 18.7 million in 2014 as compared to 17.1 million in 2013. We experienced an aggregate net increase of approximately 1.6 million mandays as a result of our new contracts discussed above and also as a result of population increases at certain facilities. These increases were partially offset by decreases resulting from contract terminations. We look at the average occupancy in our facilities to determine how we are managing our available beds. The average occupancy is calculated by taking compensated mandays as a percentage of capacity. The average occupancy in our U.S. Detention & Corrections facilities was 96.3% and 95.4% of capacity in 2014 and 2013, respectively, excluding idle facilities.

***GEO Care***

The increase in revenues for GEO Care in 2014 compared to 2013 is primarily attributable to net increases of $26.8 million due to increased counts in our electronic monitoring contracts and ISAP program at BI and BI s acquisition of Protocol in the first quarter of 2014. Protocol accounted for $10.1 million of the $26.8 million increase. In addition, we experienced a net increase of $7.0 million primarily due to new programs and program growth at our community based and re-entry centers. These increases were partially offset by decreases in revenues of $6.6 million related to contract terminations and census declines at certain facilities.

***International Services***

The decrease in revenues for International Services in 2014 compared to 2013 is primarily due to the result of foreign exchange rate fluctuations of $(12.0) million. Revenues also decreased by $7.3 million in our United Kingdom subsidiary due to the discontinuation of our Harmondworth management contract. These decreases were partially offset by an aggregate net increase of $9.1 million primarily attributable to our Australian subsidiary related to population increases, contractual increases linked to the inflationary index and the provision of additional services under certain contracts.

***Facility Construction & Design***

The increase in revenues for our Facility Construction & Design services is due to the commencement of design and construction activity for our new Ravenhall Prison Contract executed in September 2014 with the Department of Justice in the State of Victoria, Australia. Refer to Note 7 Contract Receivable of the notes to our audited consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this annual report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

**Operating Expenses**

| | 2014 | % of Segment Revenues | 2013 | % of Segment Revenues | $ Change | % Change |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | |
| **U.S. Corrections & Detention** | $ 781,680 | 70.5% | $ 731,788 | 72.3% | $ 49,892 | 6.8% |
| **GEO Care** | 219,335 | 66.6% | 200,826 | 66.5% | 18,509 | 9.2% |
| **International Services** | 189,147 | 95.5% | 192,251 | 92.4% | (3,104) | (1.6)% |
| **Facility Design & Construction** | 55,538 | 99.2% | | % | 55,538 | 100.0% |
| **Total** | $ 1,245,700 | 73.6% | $ 1,124,865 | 73.9% | $ 120,835 | 10.7% |

Operating expenses consist of those expenses incurred in the operation and management of our correctional, detention and GEO Care facilities and expenses incurred in our Facility Construction & Design segment, except that there were no significant expenses incurred in such segment for 2013.

***U.S. Corrections & Detention***

Operating expenses increased in 2014 compared to 2013 primarily due to aggregate increases of $61.3 million resulting from: (i) the activation and intake of inmates at the Central Valley, Desert View and McFarland correctional facilities, as well as our 100-bed expansion of the Company-owned Golden State correctional facility, in the fourth quarter of 2013; (ii) our assumption of the management of the Moore Haven, Bay and Graceville correctional facilities in the first quarter of 2014; and (iii) our 400-bed expansion of the Rio Grande correctional facility in the first quarter of 2014 and 640-bed expansion of the Adelanto correctional facility in the second quarter of 2014. We also experienced aggregate increases in expenses of $12.0 million at certain of our facilities primarily due to net increases in population, transportation services and/or rates. These increases were partially offset by an aggregate decrease of $17.3 million primarily due to contract terminations. Additionally, in 2013, in connection with our annual actuarial analysis we recorded an additional $6.1 million to our insurance reserves which is why we experienced a decrease in our operating expenses as a percentage of revenues in 2014. In 2014, additional charges to our insurance reserves were not as significant based on the same annual actuarial analysis.

***GEO Care***

Operating expenses for GEO Care increased by approximately $18.5 million during 2014 from 2013 primarily due to increases of approximately $25.4 million due to the following: (i) variable costs associated with increases in counts in our electronic monitoring contracts and ISAP program at BI; (ii) new programs and program growth at our community based and re-entry centers; and (iii) BI s acquisition of Protocol in the first quarter of 2014. These increases were partially offset by decreases that resulted from contract terminations and census declines of approximately $6.8 million.

***International Services***

Operating expenses for our International Services segment during 2014 decreased $3.1 million over 2013 which was primarily attributable to the impact of foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations of $(10.9) million. In addition, there was a net decrease of $6.2 million related to the discontinuation of our Harmondsworth contract at our subsidiary in the United Kingdom in September 2014. These decreases were partially offset by an increase of $2.2 million related to bid costs incurred at our subsidiary in the United Kingdom. Both the termination of our Harmondsworth contract and the bid costs incurred in the United Kingdom resulted in an increase in operating expenses as a percentage of revenues. These decreases were also partially offset by a net increase of $11.8 million primarily attributable to our Australian subsidiary due to population increases, contractual increases in labor and additional services provided under new contracts at those facilities.

Table of Contents

***Facility Construction & Design***

The increase in operating expenses for our Facility Construction & Design services is due to the commencement of design and construction activity for our new Ravenhall Prison Contract executed in September 2014 with the Department of Justice in the State of Victoria, Australia. Refer to Note 7 Contract Receivable of the notes to our audited consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this annual report on Form 10-K.

**Depreciation and Amortization**

| | 2014 | % of Segment Revenue | 2013 | % of Segment Revenue | $ Change | % Change |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| **U.S. Corrections & Detention** | $ 63,690 | 5.7% | $ 62,112 | 6.1% | $ 1,578 | 2.5% |
| **GEO Care** | 29,766 | 9.0% | 29,989 | 9.9% | (223) | (0.7)% |
| **International Services** | 2,715 | 1.4% | 2,563 | 1.2% | 152 | 5.9% |
| **Total** | $ 96,171 | 5.7% | $ 94,664 | 6.2% | $ 1,507 | 1.6% |

***U.S. Corrections & Detention***

U.S. Corrections & Detention depreciation and amortization expense increased by $1.6 million in 2014 compared to 2013 primarily due to renovations made at several of our facilities and also our purchase of the 1,287-bed Joe Corley Detention Center in June 2013.

***GEO Care***

GEO Care depreciation and amortization decreased slightly in 2014 compared to 2013. The decrease is primarily due to certain assets becoming fully depreciated in 2014.

***International Services***

Depreciation and amortization expense increased slightly in 2014 compared to 2013 primarily due to increases in capital expenditures at our Australian subsidiary. This increase was partially offset by exchange rate fluctuations.

**Other Unallocated Operating Expenses**

| | 2014 | % of Revenue | 2013 | % of Revenue | $ Change | % Change |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| **General and Administrative Expenses** | $ 115,018 | 6.8% | $ 117,061 | 7.7% | $ (2,043) | (1.7)% |

General and administrative expenses comprise substantially all of our other unallocated operating expenses primarily including corporate management salaries and benefits, professional fees and other administrative expenses. The decrease in general and administrative expenses in 2014 compared to 2013 was primarily attributable to nonrecurring professional fees incurred in 2013 associated with our conversion to a REIT. The decrease in general and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenue is primary due to new contracts added or expanded in 2014 which did not have a corresponding direct increase in overhead costs.

Table of Contents

**Non Operating Income and Expense**

***Interest Income and Interest Expense***

| | 2014 | % of Revenue | 2013 | % of Revenue | $ Change | % Change |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | |
| **Interest Income** | $ 4,747 | 0.3% | $ 3,324 | 0.2% | $ 1,423 | 42.8% |
| **Interest Expense** | $ 87,368 | 5.2% | $ 83,004 | 5.5% | $ 4,364 | 5.3% |

The majority of our interest income generated in 2014 and 2013 is from the cash balances at our foreign subsidiaries. Interest income increased in 2014 primarily due to interest earned on our long-term contract receivable in connection with the Ravenhall prison project. Refer to Note7 Contract Receivable of the notes to our audited consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this annual report on Form 10-K for further information.

Interest expense increased in 2014 compared to 2013 primarily due to $5.6 million of additional bond interest as a result of our $250 million offering of our 5.875% Senior Notes in September 2014. Interest expense also increased by $1.4 million in connection with our non-recourse debt related to our Ravenhall prison project. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in term loan interest under our Senior Credit Facility as a portion of the proceeds from our $300 million offering of our 5.125% Senior Notes in 2013 was used to pay outstanding term loans under the facility. Refer to Note 14 Debt of the notes to our audited consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this annual report on Form 10-K.

***Loss on Extinguishment of Debt***

| | 2014 | % of Revenue | 2013 | % of Revenue | $ Change | % Change |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| **Loss on Extinguishment of Debt** | $ | % | $ 20,657 | 1.4% | $ (20,657) | (100.0)% |

The loss on extinguishment of debt in 2013 is the result of the following: (i) in the second quarter 2013, we refinanced our Prior Senior Credit Facility and entered into a new Credit Agreement, as a result of which we wrote off $4.4 million of unamortized deferred financing costs and unamortized debt discount pertaining to the Prior Senior Credit Facility and expensed $1.1 million in fees related to the new Credit Agreement; (ii) our defeasance of the non-recourse bonds related to South Texas Local Development Corporation ( STLDC ) on September 30, 2013, as a result of which we incurred a $1.5 million loss on extinguishment of debt which represented the excess of the reacquisition price over the carrying value of the bonds and other defeasance related fees and expenses; and (iii) in the fourth quarter 2013, we completed a tender offer and redemption of our 7 $^{3}/_{4}$% Senior Notes which resulted in a loss of $17.7 million related to the tender premium and deferred costs associated with the 7 $^{3}/_{4}$% Senior Notes. This loss was partially offset by proceeds of $4.0 million received for the settlement of the interest rate swaps related to the 7 $^{3}/_{4}$% Senior Notes. Refer to Note 14 Debt of the notes to our audited consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this annual report on Form 10-K.

**Provision (Benefit) for Income Taxes**

| | 2014 | Effective Rate | 2013 | Effective Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| **Provision (Benefit) for Income Taxes** | $ 14,093 | 9.3% | $ (26,050) | (30.6)% |

The provision for income taxes during 2014 increased by $40.1 million compared to 2013 and the effective tax rate increased from (30.6)% to 9.3%. The increase is primarily attributable to certain one-time discrete items in 2013 which did not recur in 2014. As a REIT, we are required to distribute at least 90% of our taxable income to shareholders and in turn are allowed a deduction for the distribution at the REIT level. The Company s

Table of Contents

wholly-owned taxable REIT subsidiaries continue to be fully subject to federal, state and foreign income taxes, as applicable. We estimate our annual effective tax rate to be approximately 10% exclusive of any non-recurring items. As a result of incremental business profitability in our taxable REIT subsidiaries, or TRS, our composition of taxable income changed resulting in an increase in our estimated effective tax rate for the year.

**Equity in Earnings of Affiliates**

| | 2014 | % of Revenue | 2013 | % of Revenue | $ Change | % Change |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| **Equity in Earnings of Affiliates** | $ 5,823 | 0.3% | $ 6,265 | 0.4% | $ (442) | (7.1)% |

Equity in earnings of affiliates, presented net of income taxes, represents the earnings of SACS and GEOAmey, respectively. Overall, we experienced a slight decrease in equity in earnings of affiliates during 2014 compared to 2013, which is primarily due to less favorable performance from the operations of GEOAmey during 2014 compared to 2013 along with foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations.

*2013 versus 2012*

***Revenues***

| | 2013 | % of Revenue | 2012 | % of Revenue | $ Change | % Change |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| **U.S. Corrections & Detention** | $ 1,011,818 | 66.5% | $ 974,780 | 65.9% | $ 37,038 | 3.8% |
| **GEO Care** | 302,094 | 19.8% | 291,891 | 19.7% | 10,203 | 3.5% |
| **International Services** | 208,162 | 13.7% | 212,391 | 14.4% | (4,229) | (2.0)% |
| **Total** | $ 1,522,074 | 100.0% | $ 1,479,062 | 100.0% | $ 43,012 | 2.9% |

***U.S. Corrections & Detention***

Revenues increased in 2013 as compared to 2012 primarily due to aggregate increases of $24.3 million due to the activation and intake of inmates at Adelanto East in August 2012, Central Valley and Desert View in fourth quarter 2013 and the commencement of services under our contract, signed in October 2012, with the United States Marshals Service for the housing of up to 320 federal detainees at our Aurora Detention Facility. We also experienced aggregate increases in revenues of $28.9 million at certain of our facilities primarily due to net increases in population, transportation services and/or rates, including the expansion of New Castle in the first quarter of 2012. These increases were partially offset by an aggregate decrease of $16.1 million due to contract terminations.

The number of compensated mandays in U.S. Corrections & Detention facilities was 17.1 million in 2013 as compared to 16.7 million in 2012. We experienced an aggregate net increase of approximately 400,000 mandays as a result of our new contracts discussed above and also as a result of population increases at certain facilities. These increases were partially offset by decreases resulting from contract terminations. We look at the average occupancy in our facilities to determine how we are managing our available beds. The average occupancy is calculated by taking compensated mandays as a percentage of capacity. The average occupancy in our U.S. Detention & Corrections facilities was 95.4% and 96.3% of capacity in 2013 and 2012, respectively, excluding idle facilities.

***GEO Care***

The increase in revenues for GEO Care in 2013 compared to 2012 is primarily attributable to increases of $8.7 million due to new electronic monitoring equipment and an increase in ISAP counts at BI. In addition, we experienced a net increase of $5.5 million due to population increases at certain youth facilities and new programs and growth at our community based and re-entry centers. These increases were partially offset by decreases in revenues of $4.1 million related to contract terminations and census declines at certain facilities.

67

Table of Contents

***International Services***

The decrease in revenues in 2013 compared to 2012 is primarily due to the result of foreign exchange rate fluctuations of $(14.6) million caused by the weakening of the U.S. dollar against certain foreign currencies. This decrease was partially offset by an aggregate net increase of $10.4 million primarily attributable to our Australian subsidiary related to population increases, contractual increases linked to the inflationary index, and the provision of additional services under certain contracts.

**Operating Expenses**

| | 2013 | % of Segment Revenues | 2012 | % of Segment Revenues | $ Change | % Change |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | |
| **U.S. Corrections & Detention** | $ 731,788 | 72.3% | $ 689,226 | 70.7% | $ 42,562 | 6.2% |
| **GEO Care** | 200,826 | 66.5% | 199,752 | 68.4% | 1,074 | 0.5% |
| **International Services** | 192,251 | 92.4% | 200,254 | 94.3% | (8,003) | (4.0)% |
| **Total** | $ 1,124,865 | 73.9% | $ 1,089,232 | 73.6% | $ 35,633 | 3.3% |

Operating expenses consist of those expenses incurred in the operation and management of our correctional, detention and GEO Care facilities and expenses incurred in our Facility Construction and Design segment, except that there were no significant expenses incurred in such segment for 2013 or 2012.

***U.S. Corrections & Detention***

The increase in operating expenses for U.S. Corrections & Detention reflects the following: (i) the activation and intake of inmates at Adelanto East in August 2012, Central Valley and Desert View during fourth quarter 2013 and the commencement of services under our contract, signed in October 2012, with the United States Marshals Service at our Aurora Detention Facility which contributed an aggregate increase to operating expenses of $15.2 million; (ii) increases of $22.4 million at certain of our facilities primarily related to net population increases, higher levels of required staffing, additional medical costs and other variable costs; (iii) in 2012 we received approximately $10.0 million in net operating tax refunds, not related to income taxes, for certain previously disputed claims in various jurisdictions that did not recur in 2013; and (iv) in connection with our annual actuarial analysis we recorded an additional $6.1 million to our insurance reserves in 2013. Additionally, in 2012, we recorded a $0.8 million decrease to our reserve based on the same actuarial analysis. These increases were partially offset by aggregate decreases in operating expenses of $8.7 million due to contract terminations. We also donated one of our facilities during fourth quarter 2012 which resulted in a decrease over 2013 of $2.8 million. The additional charge to our insurance reserve in 2013 as compared to the net operating tax refunds received in 2012 resulted in an increase in our operating expenses as a percentage of revenues.

***GEO Care***

Operating expenses for GEO Care increased by $1.1 million during 2013 from 2012 primarily due to net increases of $4.5 million due to the following: (i) variable costs associated with increases in electronic monitoring contracts and ISAP services at BI; (ii) population increases at certain youth facilities and the related variable costs; and (iii) new programs and program growth at our community based and re-entry centers. In addition, in connection with our annual actuarial analysis, we recorded an additional $2.1 million to our insurance reserves during 2013. In 2012, we recorded an additional $1.3 million to our insurance reserves based on this same analysis. These increases were partially offset by decreases that resulted from contract terminations and census declines of $4.2 million. The decrease in operating expenses as a percentage of revenue is primarily due to a shift in our product mix to BI products that have higher profit margins.

Table of Contents

***International Services***

Operating expenses for our International Services segment during 2013 decreased $8.0 million over 2012 which was primarily attributable to the impact of foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations of $(13.4) million caused by the weakening of the U.S. dollar against certain foreign currencies. In addition, there was a net decrease of $4.2 million primarily related to cost cutting measures implemented to reduce overhead costs in the United Kingdom. These decreases were partially offset by a net increase of $9.6 million primarily attributable to our Australian subsidiary due to population increases, contractual increases in labor and additional services provided under new contracts at those facilities.

**Depreciation and Amortization**

| | 2013 | % of Segment Revenue | 2012 | % of Segment Revenue | $ Change | % Change |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| **U.S. Corrections & Detention** | $ 62,112 | 6.1% | $ 62,578 | 6.4% | $ (466) | (0.7)% |
| **GEO Care** | 29,989 | 9.9% | 26,738 | 9.2% | 3,251 | 12.2% |
| **International Services** | 2,563 | 1.2% | 2,369 | 1.1% | 194 | 8.2% |
| **Total** | $ 94,664 | 6.2% | $ 91,685 | 6.2% | $ 2,979 | 3.2% |

***U.S. Corrections & Detention***

U.S. Corrections & Detention depreciation and amortization expense decreased slightly in 2013 compared to 2012 primarily due to certain intangible assets which became fully amortized towards the end of 2012.

***GEO Care***

GEO Care depreciation and amortization increased by $3.3 million in 2013 compared to 2012. The increase is primarily due to an increase in monitoring and other equipment at BI in 2013 related to certain contract wins.

***International Services***

Depreciation and amortization expense increased slightly in 2013 compared to 2012 primarily due to increases in capital expenditures at our Australian subsidiary. This increase was partially offset by exchange rate fluctuations caused by the weakening of the U.S. dollar against certain foreign currencies.

**Other Unallocated Operating Expenses**

| | 2013 | % of Revenue | 2012 | % of Revenue | $ Change | % Change |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| **General and Administrative Expenses** | $ 117,061 | 7.7% | $ 113,792 | 7.7% | $ 3,269 | 2.9% |

General and administrative expenses comprise substantially all of our other unallocated operating expenses including primarily corporate management salaries and benefits, professional fees and other administrative expenses. The increase in general and administrative expenses in 2013 compared to 2012 was primarily due to professional fees incurred in connection with our various debt refinancing activities and related registration statements in 2013. Refer to Note 14 Debt of the notes to our audited consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this annual report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

**Non Operating Income and Expense**

***Interest Income and Interest Expense***

| | 2013 | % of Revenue | 2012 | % of Revenue | $ Change | % Change |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | |
| **Interest Income** | $ 3,324 | 0.2% | $ 6,716 | 0.5% | $ (3,392) | (50.5)% |
| **Interest Expense** | $ 83,004 | 5.5% | $ 82,189 | 5.6% | $ 815 | 1.0% |

The majority of our interest income generated in 2013 and 2012 is from the cash balances at our foreign subsidiaries. Interest income decreased in 2013 primarily due to lower cash balances at our foreign subsidiaries along with declining interest rates in 2013.

Interest expense increased slightly in 2013 compared to 2012 due to the following: (i) interest expense increased by $12.5 million in connection with the completion of our $300 million 5.125% Senior Notes offering in March 2013; (ii) an increase of $1.2 million caused by the capitalization of interest in 2012; and (iii) interest expense increased by $3.7 million in connection with the completion of our $250 million 5 7/8% Senior Notes offering during the fourth quarter 2013. These increases were partially offset by decreases due to the following (i) interest expense on the Municipal Corrections Finance ( MCF) 8.47% Taxable Revenue Bonds, Seroes 2001, due August 1, 2016 issued by MCF (the MCF Bonds ) was $3.3 million (the MCF bonds were redeemed in August 2012); (ii) interest expense decreased in 2013 by $6.6 million as a result of our refinancing the prior Senior Credit Facility in the second quarter 2013; (iii) a decrease of $4.1 million in connection with our tender offer and redemption of the 7 3/4% Senior Notes during the fourth quarter 2013; (iv) a decrease of $0.5 million related to the defeasance of the STLDC bonds in the third quarter 2013; and (v) other less significant decreases of $2.1 million. Refer to Note 14 Debt of the notes to our audited consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this annual report on Form 10-K.

***Loss on Extinguishment of Debt***

| | 2013 | % of Revenue | 2012 | % of Revenue | $ Change | % Change |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | |
| **Loss on Extinguishment of Debt** | $ 20,657 | 1.4% | $ 8,462 | 0.6% | $ 12,195 | 144.1% |

The loss on extinguishment of debt in 2013 is the result of the following: (i) in the second quarter 2013, we refinanced our Prior Senior Credit Facility and entered into a new Credit Agreement, as a result of which we wrote off $4.4 million of unamortized deferred financing costs and unamortized debt discount pertaining to the Prior Senior Credit Facility and expensed $1.1 million in fees related to the new Credit Agreement; (ii) our defeasance of the non-recourse bonds related to STLDC on September 30, 2013, as a result of which we incurred a $1.5 million loss on extinguishment of debt which represented the excess of the reacquisition price over the carrying value of the bonds and other defeasance related fees and expenses; and (iii) in the fourth quarter 2013, we completed a tender offer and redemption of our 7 3/4% Senior Notes which resulted in a loss of $17.7 million related to the tender premium and deferred costs associated with the 7 3/4% Senior Notes. This loss was partially offset by proceeds of $4.0 million received for the settlement of the interest rate swaps related to the 7 3/4% Senior Notes. The loss on extinguishment of debt in 2012 was the result of our early redemption of the MCF Bonds. Refer to Note 14 Debt of the notes to our audited consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this annual report on Form 10-K.

**Provision (Benefit) for Income Taxes**

| | 2013 | Effective Rate | 2012 | Effective Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| **Provision (Benefit) for Income Taxes** | $ (26,050) | (30.6)% | $ (40,562) | (40.4)% |

Table of Contents

The income tax benefit was $26.1 million in 2013 compared to $40.6 million in 2012 and the effective tax rate increased from (40.4)% to (30.6%). The benefit in both years is primarily attributable to our REIT conversion which became effective January 1, 2013. As a REIT, we are required to distribute at least 90% of our taxable income to shareholders and in turn are allowed a deduction for the distribution at the REIT level. The Company s wholly-owned taxable REIT subsidiaries continue to be fully subject to federal, state and foreign income taxes, as applicable. In 2013, GEO had a net tax benefit relating to its REIT conversion, IRS settlement and miscellaneous nonrecurring items of $21.9 million. Together these items had a favorable impact to the effective tax rate. In 2012, GEO had a net tax benefit relating to the REIT conversion of $79.0 million which was primarily related to the reversal of certain deferred tax assets and liabilities upon conversion.

**Equity in Earnings of Affiliates**

| | 2013 | % of Revenue | 2012 | % of Revenue | $ Change | % Change |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| **Equity in Earnings of Affiliates** | $ 6,265 | 0.4% | $ 3,578 | 0.2% | $ 2,687 | 75.1% |

Equity in earnings of affiliates, presented net of income taxes, represents the earnings of SACS and GEOAmey, respectively. Overall, we experienced an increase in equity in earnings of affiliates during 2013 compared to 2012, which is primarily due to increased performance from the operations of GEOAmey in 2013 compared to 2012.

**Financial Condition**

***Capital Requirements***

Our current cash requirements consist of amounts needed for working capital, distributions of our REIT taxable income in order to maintain our REIT qualification under the Code, debt service, supply purchases, investments in joint ventures, and capital expenditures related to either the development of new correctional, detention and re-entry facilities, or the maintenance of existing facilities. In addition, some of our management contracts require us to make substantial initial expenditures of cash in connection with opening or renovating a facility. Generally, these initial expenditures are subsequently fully or partially recoverable as pass-through costs or are billable as a component of the per diem rates or monthly fixed fees to the contracting agency over the original term of the contract. Additional capital needs may also arise in the future with respect to possible acquisitions, other corporate transactions or other corporate purposes.

In connection with GEOAmey, our joint venture in the United Kingdom, we and our joint venture partner have each provided a line of credit of £12 million, or $18.6 million, based on exchange rates as of December 31, 2014, for GEOAmey s operations.

As of December 31, 2014, we were developing a number of projects that we estimate will cost approximately $235.2 million, of which $61.6 million was spent through December 31, 2014. We estimate our remaining capital requirements to be approximately $173.6 million, which we anticipate will be spent in fiscal years 2015 through 2017. Included in these commitments is a contractual commitment to provide a capital contribution towards the design and construction of a prison project in Ravenhall, a locality near Melbourne, Australia, in the amount of AUD 115 million, or $93.8 million, based on exchange rates at December 31, 2014. This capital contribution is expected to by made in January 2017.

***Liquidity and Capital Resources***

***Credit Agreement***

On August 27, 2014, we executed a second amended and restated credit agreement by and among us and GEO Corrections Holdings, Inc., as Borrowers, BNP Paribas, as Administrative Agent, and the lenders who are, or may from time to time become, a party thereto (the Credit Agreement ).

Table of Contents

The Credit Agreement evidences a credit facility (the Credit Facility ) consisting of a $296.3 million term loan (the Term Loan ) bearing interest at LIBOR plus 2.50% (with a LIBOR floor of .75%), and a $700 million revolving credit facility (the Revolver ) initially bearing interest at LIBOR plus 2.25% (with no LIBOR floor) together with AUD 225 million available solely for the issuance of financial letters of credit and performance letters of credit, in each case denominated in Australian Dollars (the Australian LC Facility ). The interest rate is subject to a pricing grid based upon our total leverage ratio. At December 31, 2014, we had approximately AUD 214 million in letters of credit outstanding under the Australian LC Facility in connection with certain performance guarantees related to the Ravenhall Prison Project. Refer to Note 14-Debt in the notes to our audited consolidated financial Statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this annual report on Form 10-K for further discussion. Amounts to be borrowed by us under the Credit Agreement are subject to the satisfaction of customary conditions to borrowing. The Revolver component is scheduled to mature on August 27, 2019 and the Term Loan component is scheduled to mature on April 3, 2020.

The Credit Agreement contains certain customary representations and warranties, and certain customary covenants that restrict our ability to, among other things (i) create, incur or assume any indebtedness, (ii) create, incur, assume or permit liens, (iii) make loans and investments, (iv) engage in mergers, acquisitions and asset sales, (v) make certain restricted payments, (vi) issue, sell or otherwise dispose of capital stock, (vii) engage in transactions with affiliates, (viii) allow the total leverage ratio to exceed 5.75 to 1.00, allow the senior secured leverage ratio to exceed 3.50 to 1.00 or allow the interest coverage ratio to be less than 3.00 to 1.00, (ix) cancel, forgive, make any voluntary or optional payment or prepayment on, or redeem or acquire for value any senior notes, except as permitted, (x) alter the business we conduct, and (xi) materially impair our lenders security interests in the collateral for its loans. The restricted payments covenant remains consistent with our election to be treated as a real estate investment trust under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, effective as of January 1, 2013.

Events of default under the Credit Agreement include, but are not limited to, (i) our failure to pay principal or interest when due, (ii) our material breach of any representation or warranty, (iii) covenant defaults, (iv) liquidation, reorganization or other relief relating to bankruptcy or insolvency, (v) cross default under certain other material indebtedness, (vi) unsatisfied final judgments over a specified threshold, (vii) certain material environmental liability claims which have been asserted against us, and (viii) a change in control. We were in compliance with all of the covenants of the Credit Agreement as of December 31, 2014.

As of December 31, 2014, we had $295.5 million in aggregate borrowings outstanding, net of discount, under the Term Loan and $70.0 million in borrowings under the Revolver, and approximately $62.0 million in letters of credit which left $568.0 million in additional borrowing capacity under the Revolver. In addition, we have the ability to increase the Senior Credit Facility by an additional $350.0 million, subject to lender demand and prevailing market conditions and satisfying the relevant borrowing conditions thereunder. Refer to Note 14 Debt in the notes to our audited consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this annual report on Form 10-K.

***5.875% Senior Notes***

On September 25, 2014, we completed an offering of $250.0 million aggregate principal amount of senior unsecured notes. The notes will mature on October 15, 2024 and have a coupon rate and yield to maturity of 5.875%. Interest is payable semi-annually in cash in arrears on April 15 and October 15, beginning April 15, 2015. The 5.875% Senior Notes are guaranteed on a senior unsecured basis by all our restricted subsidiaries that guarantee obligations. The 5.875% Senior Notes rank equally in right of payment with any unsecured, unsubordinated indebtedness of the Company and the guarantors, including our 6.625% senior notes due 2021, the $5\frac{7}{8}$% senior notes due 2022, the 5.125% senior notes due 2023, and the guarantors guarantees thereof, senior in right of payment to any future indebtedness of ours and the guarantors that is expressly subordinated to the 5.875% Senior Notes and the guarantees, effectively junior to any secured indebtedness of ours and the guarantors, including indebtedness under our senior credit facility, to the extent of the value of the assets securing such indebtedness, and structurally junior to all obligations of our subsidiaries that are not guarantors.

Table of Contents

The sale of the 5.875% Senior Notes was registered under our automatic shelf registration statement on Form S-3 filed on September 12, 2014. Refer to Note 14 Debt in the notes to our audited consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this annual report on Form 10-K.

***5 7/8% Senior Notes***

On October 3, 2013, we completed an offering of $250.0 million aggregate principal amount of 5 7/8% Senior Notes. The 5 7/8% Senior Notes will mature on January 15, 2022 and have a coupon rate and yield to maturity of 5 7/8%. Interest is payable semi-annually on January 15 and July 15 each year, which commenced on January 15, 2014. The proceeds received from the 5 7/8% Senior Notes were used, together with cash on hand, to fund the repurchase, redemption or other discharge of our 7 3/4% Senior Notes and to pay related transaction fees and expenses. Refer to Note 14 Debt in the notes to our audited consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this annual report on Form 10-K.

***5.125% Senior Notes***

On March 19, 2013, we completed an offering of $300.0 million aggregate principal amount of 5.125% Senior Notes. The 5.125% Senior Notes will mature on April 1, 2023 and have a coupon rate and yield to maturity of 5.125%. Interest is payable semi-annually on April 1 and October 1 each year, which commenced on October 1, 2013. A portion of the proceeds received from the 5.125% Senior Notes were used on the date of the financing to repay the prior revolver credit draws outstanding under the prior senior credit facility. Refer to Note 14 Debt in the notes to our audited consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this annual report on Form 10-K.

***6.625% Senior Notes***

In February 2011, we completed an offering of $300.0 million in aggregate principal amount of our 6.625% Senior Notes. The 6.625% Senior Notes will mature on February 15, 2021 and have a coupon rate and yield to maturity of 6.625%. Interest is payable semi-annually in arrears on February 15 and August 15, which commenced on August 15, 2011. Refer to Note 14 Debt in the notes to our audited consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this annual report on Form 10-K.

In addition to the debt outstanding under the Senior Credit Facility, the 6.625% Senior Notes, the 5.125% Senior Notes, the 5 7/8% Senior Notes and the 5.875% Senior Notes, we also have significant debt obligations which, although these obligations are non-recourse to us, require cash expenditures for debt service. Our significant debt obligations could have material consequences. See Risk Factors Risks Related to Our High Level of Indebtedness in Item 1A of this annual report on Form 10-K. We are exposed to various commitments and contingencies which may have a material adverse effect on our liquidity. We also have guaranteed certain obligations for our South African joint venture and other of our international subsidiaries. These non-recourse obligations, commitments and contingencies and guarantees are further discussed in Notes 1, 14 and 18 in the notes to our consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this annual report on Form 10-K.

We are also considering opportunities for future business and/or asset acquisitions. If we are successful in our pursuit of these new projects, our cash on hand, cash flows from operations and borrowings under the existing Senior Credit Facility may not provide sufficient liquidity to meet our capital needs through 2014 and we could be forced to seek additional financing or refinance our existing indebtedness. There can be no assurance that any such financing or refinancing would be available to us on terms equal to or more favorable than our current financing terms, or at all. In the future, our access to capital and ability to compete for future capital-intensive projects will also be dependent upon, among other things, our ability to meet certain financial covenants in the indentures governing the 6.625% Senior Notes, the 5.125% Senior Notes, the 5 7/8% Senior Notes, the 5.875% Senior Notes and our Senior Credit Facility. A substantial decline in our financial

Table of Contents

performance could limit our access to capital pursuant to these covenants and have a material adverse affect on our liquidity and capital resources and, as a result, on our financial condition and results of operations. In addition to these foregoing potential constraints on our capital, a number of state government agencies have been suffering from budget deficits and liquidity issues. While we expect to be in compliance with our debt covenants, if these constraints were to intensify, our liquidity could be materially adversely impacted as could our ability to remain in compliance with these debt covenants.

***Prospectus Supplement***

On May 8, 2013, we filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission a prospectus supplement related to the offer and sale from time to time of our common stock at an aggregate offering price of up to $100 million through sales agents. Sales of shares of our common stock under the prospectus supplement and the equity distribution agreements entered into with the sales agents, if any, may be made in negotiated transactions or transactions that are deemed to be at the market offerings as defined in Rule 415 under the Securities Act of 1933. On July 18, 2014, we filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission a post-effective amendment to our shelf registration statement on Form S-3 (pursuant to which the prospectus supplement had been filed) as a result of our merger into GEO REIT effective June 27, 2014. During the year ended December 31, 2014, there were approximately 1.5 million shares of common stock sold under the prospectus supplement for net proceeds of $54.7 million. There were no shares of our common stock sold under the prospectus supplement during the year ended December 31, 2013.

In September 2014, we filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission a new shelf registration statement on Form S-3. On November 10, 2014, in connection with the new shelf registration, we filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission a new prospectus supplement related to the offer and sale from time to time of our common stock at an aggregate offering price of up to $150 million through sales agents. Sales of shares of our common stock under the prospectus supplement and the equity distribution agreements entered into with the sales agents, if any, may be made in negotiated transactions or transactions that are deemed to be at the market offerings as defined in Rule 415 under the Securities Act of 1933. There were no shares of our common stock issued under this prospectus supplement during the year ended December 31, 2014.

***REIT Distributions***

As a REIT, we are subject to a number of organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement that we annually distribute to our shareholders an amount equal to at least 90% of our REIT taxable income (determined before the deduction for dividends paid and by excluding any net capital gain). Generally, we expect to distribute all or substantially all of our REIT taxable income so as not to be subject to the income or excise tax on undistributed REIT taxable income. The amount, timing and frequency of distributions will be at the sole discretion of our Board of Directors and will be based upon various factors.

We plan to fund all of our capital needs, including distributions of our REIT taxable income in order to maintain our REIT qualification, and capital expenditures, from cash on hand, cash from operations, borrowings under our Senior Credit Facility and any other financings which our management and Board of Directors, in their discretion, may consummate. Currently, our primary source of liquidity to meet these requirements is cash flow from operations and borrowings under the $700.0 million Revolver. Our management believes that cash on hand, cash flows from operations and availability under our Senior Credit Facility will be adequate to support our capital requirements for 2014 and 2015 as disclosed under Capital Requirements above.

*Non-Recourse Debt*

***South Texas Detention Complex***

We had a debt service requirement related to the development of the South Texas Detention Complex, a 1,904-bed detention complex in Frio County, Texas. These bonds were to mature in February 2016 and had fixed coupon rates between 4.63% and 5.07%. On September 30, 2013, we completed a legal defeasance of the

Table of Contents

$49.5 million taxable revenue bonds. Refer to Note 14-Debt in the notes to our consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this annual report on Form 10-K for further information.

***Northwest Detention Center***

On June 30, 2003, CSC arranged financing for the construction of a detention center in Tacoma, Washington, referred to as the Northwest Detention Center, which was completed and opened for operation in April 2004. We began to operate this facility following our acquisition of CSC in November 2005 (this facility was expanded by us in 2009 to 1,575 beds from the original 1,030 beds).

In connection with the original financing, CSC of Tacoma, LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of CSC, issued a $57.0 million note payable to the Washington Economic Development Finance Authority ( WEDFA ), an instrumentality of the State of Washington, which issued revenue bonds ( 2003 Revenue Bonds ). The bonds were non-recourse to us and matured and were fully paid in October 2014.

Additionally, on December 9, 2011, WEDFA issued $54.4 million of its Washington Economic Development Finance Authority Taxable Economic Development Revenue Bonds, series 2011 ( 2011 Revenue Bonds ). The payment of principal and interest on the bonds is non-recourse to us. None of the bonds nor CSC s obligations under the loan are our obligations nor are they guaranteed by us.

As of December 31, 2014, the remaining balance of the debt service requirement related to the 2003 Revenue Bonds and 2011 Revenue Bonds is $49.4 million, of which $6.3 million is classified as current in the accompanying balance sheet. As of December 31, 2014, included in restricted cash and investments is $4.3 million (all current) of funds held in trust with respect to the Northwest Detention Center for debt service and other reserves which had not been released to us as of December 31, 2014. Refer to Note 14-Debt in the notes to our consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this annual report on Form 10-K for further information.

***MCF***

MCF was obligated for the outstanding balance of the MCF Bonds. The bonds bore interest at a rate of 8.47% per annum and were payable in semi-annual installments of interest and annual installments of principal. All unpaid principal and accrued interest on the bonds was due on the earlier of August 1, 2016 (maturity) or as noted under the bond documents. The bonds were limited, non-recourse obligations of MCF and were collateralized by the property and equipment, bond reserves, assignment of subleases and substantially all assets related to the eleven facilities owned by MCF. The bonds were not guaranteed by us or our subsidiaries.

On August 31, 2012, we purchased 100% of the partnership interests of MCF from the third party holders of these interests for a total net consideration of $35.2 million. Subsequent to the acquisition, the indenture relating to the MCF bonds was discharged and the remaining principal balance as of August 31, 2012 of $77.9 million was redeemed, with an effective date of September 4, 2012. We financed the acquisition of the partnership interests in MCF and the redemption of the MCF bonds with the proceeds from a term loan under our prior senior credit facility.

In 2012, in connection with the transaction, we incurred a loss on extinguishment of debt in connection with the early redemption of the MCF bonds of $8.5 million which consisted of a make-whole premium of $14.9 million which includes $0.1 million of bond redemption costs, offset by the effect of the then unamortized bond premium of $6.4 million. Refer to Note 14-Debt in the notes to our consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this annual report on Form 10-K for further information.

Table of Contents

***Australia Fulham***

Our wholly-owned Australian subsidiary financed the development of a facility and subsequent expansion in 2003 with long-term debt obligations. These obligations are non-recourse to us and total $16.4 million (AUD 20.1 million) and $23.9 million (AUD 26.9 million) at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, based on exchange rates in effect as of December 31, 2014. The term of the non-recourse debt is through 2017 and it bears interest at a variable rate quoted by certain Australian banks plus 140 basis points. Any obligations or liabilities of the subsidiary are matched by a similar or corresponding commitment from the government of the State of Victoria. As a condition of the loan, we are required to maintain a restricted cash balance of AUD 5.0 million along with interest earned on the account, which, at December 31, 2014, was $4.1 million (including interest) based on exchange rates in effect as of December 31, 2014. This amount is included in non-current restricted cash and the annual maturities of the future debt obligation are included in Non-Recourse Debt.

***Australia Ravenhall***

In connection with a new design and build prison project agreement with the State of Victoria, we entered into a Construction Facility with National Australia Bank Limited to provide debt financing for construction of the project. Refer to Note 7 Contract Receivable in the notes to our audited consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this annual report on Form 10-K. The Construction Facility provides for non-recourse funding up to AUD 791.0 million, or $645.3 million, based on exchange rates as of December 31, 2014. Construction draws will be funded throughout the project according to a fixed utilization schedule as defined in the syndicated facility agreement. The term of the Construction Facility is through October 2019 and bears interest at a variable rate quoted by certain Australian banks plus 200 basis points. After October 2019, the Construction Facility will be converted to a term loan with payments due quarterly beginning in 2018 through 2041. In accordance with the terms of the Construction Facility, upon completion and commercial acceptance of the prison, in accordance with prison contract, the State will make a lump sum payment of AUD 310 million, or $252.9 million, based on exchange rates as of December 31, 2014, which will be used to pay a portion of the outstanding principal. The remaining outstanding principal balance will be repaid over the term of the operating agreement. As of December 31, 2014, $79.4 million was outstanding under the Construction Facility. We also entered into interest rate swap and interest rate cap agreements related to our non-recourse debt in connection with the project. Refer to Note 9 Derivative Financial Instruments in the notes to our consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this annual report on Form 10-K.

***Guarantees***

The Company has entered into certain guarantees in connection in connection with the design, financing and construction of certain facilities as well as loan, working capital and other obligation guarantees for our subsidiaries in Australia, South Africa, Canada and our joint ventures. Refer to Note 14-Debt in the notes to our consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this annual report on Form 10-K.

***Executive Retirement Agreements***

We have a non-qualified deferred compensation agreement with our Chief Executive Officer, which we refer to as our CEO. The current agreement, as amended, provides for a lump sum payment upon retirement, no sooner than age 55. As of December 31, 2014, our CEO had reached age 55 and was eligible to receive the payment upon retirement. If our CEO had retired as of December 31, 2014, we would have had to pay him $7.1 million. Based on our current capitalization, we do not believe that making this payment would materially adversely impact our liquidity.

***Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements***

Except as discussed above, and in the notes to our consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this annual report on Form 10-K, we do not have any off balance sheet arrangements.

Table of Contents

We are also exposed to various commitments and contingencies which may have a material adverse effect on our liquidity. See Note 18 Commitments and Contingencies in the notes to our consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this annual report on Form 10-K.

***Derivatives***

One of our Australian subsidiaries is a party to an interest rate swap agreement to fix the interest rate on the variable rate non-recourse debt to 9.7%. We have determined the swap, which has a notional amount of $50.9 million, payment and expiration dates, and call provisions that coincide with the terms of the non-recourse debt, to be an effective cash flow hedge. Accordingly, we record the change in the value of the interest rate swap in accumulated other comprehensive income, net of applicable income taxes. respectively, and is recorded as a component of other liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. There was no material ineffectiveness of this interest rate swap for the periods presented. We do not expect to enter into any transactions during the next twelve months which would result in the reclassification into earnings or losses associated with this swap currently reported in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). Refer to Note 9-Derivative Instruments in the notes to our audited consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this annual report on Form 10-K for further information.

In September 2014, one of our Australian subsidiaries entered into interest rate swap agreements to fix the interest rate on its variable rate non-recourse debt related to a prison project in Ravenhall, a locality near Melbourne, Australia to 3.3% during the design and construction phase and 4.2% during the project s operating phase. Refer to Note 7-Contract Receivable and Note 9-Derivative Instruments in the notes to our audited consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this annual report on Form 10-K for further information. The swaps notional amounts coincide with construction draw fixed commitments throughout the project. At December 31, 2014, the swaps had a notional value of approximately AUD 97.4 million, or $79.4 million, based on exchange rates at December 31, 2014, related to the outstanding draws for the design and construction phase and approximately AUD 466.3 million, or $380.4 million, based on exchange rates at December 31, 2014, related to future construction draws. We have determined that the swaps have payment, expiration dates and provisions that coincide with the terms of the non-recourse debt and the critical terms of the swap agreements and construction draw fixed commitments are the same and are therefore considered to be effective cash flow hedges. Accordingly, we will record the change in the fair value of the interest rate swaps in accumulated other comprehensive income, net of applicable income taxes.

Additionally, upon completion and commercial acceptance of the prison project, the Department of Justice in the State in accordance with the prison contract, will make a lump sum payment of AUD 310 million, or $252.9 million, based on exchange rates at December 31, 2014, towards a portion of the outstanding balance which will be used to pay down the principal of the non-recourse debt. The Company s Australian subsidiary also entered into interest rate cap agreements in September 2014 giving the Company the option to cap the interest rate on its variable non-recourse debt related to the project in the event that the completion of the prison project is delayed which could delay the State s payment. These instruments do not meet the requirements for hedge accounting, and therefore, changes in fair value of the interest rate caps are recorded in earnings. Refer to Note 9-Derivative Instruments in the notes to our audited consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this annual report on Form 10-K for further information.

Table of Contents

***Contractual Obligations***

The following is a table of certain of our contractual obligations, as of December 31, 2014, which requires us to make payments over the periods presented.

| | | Payments Due by Period | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Contractual Obligations** | **Total** | **Less Than 1 Year** | **1-3 Years** | **3-5 Years** | **More Than 5 Years** |
| | | | (In thousands) | | |
| Long-Term Debt | $ 1,100,421 | $ 102 | $ 165 | $ 154 | $ 1,100,000 |
| Term Loan | 295,500 | 3,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 | 280,500 |
| Revolver | 70,000 | | | 70,000 | |
| Capital Lease Obligations (includes imputed interest) | 14,775 | 1,932 | 3,869 | 3,870 | 5,104 |
| Operating Lease Obligations | 172,472 | 35,679 | 59,388 | 44,324 | 33,081 |
| Non-Recourse Debt (including future construction draws) | 711,133 | 12,753 | 276,000 | 14,250 | 408,130 |
| Estimated interest payments on debt(a) | 1,055,130 | 98,399 | 240,084 | 217,482 | 499,165 |
| Estimated funding of pension and other post retirement benefits | 25,826 | 7,568 | 1,101 | 1,267 | 15,890 |
| Estimated construction commitments | 173,600 | 79,800 | 93,800 | | |
| Estimated tax payments for uncertain tax positions(b) | 2,076 | | 2,076 | | |
| Total | $ 3,620,933 | $ 239,233 | $ 682,483 | $ 357,347 | $ 2,341,870 |

(a) Due to the uncertainties of future LIBOR rates, the variable interest payments on our Senior Credit Facility and swap agreements were calculated using an average LIBOR rate of 1.72% based on projected interest rates through fiscal 2020.

(b) State income tax payments are reflected net of the federal income tax benefit.

***Cash Flow***

Cash and cash equivalents as of December 31, 2014 was $41.3 million, compared to $52.1 million as of December 31, 2013 and was impacted by the following:

Cash provided by operating activities of continuing operations in 2014, 2013 and 2012 was $202.5 million, $192.2 million, $255.2 million, respectively. Cash provided by operating activities of continuing operations in 2014 was positively impacted by increases in net income attributable to GEO, non-cash expenses such as depreciation and amortization, amortization of debt issuance costs, stock-based compensation expense and dividends received from our unconsolidated joint venture. Increases in equity in earnings of affiliates negatively impacted cash. Changes in accounts receivable, prepaid expenses and other assets decreased in total by a net of $23.8 million, representing a positive impact on cash. The decrease was primarily driven by approximately $22.4 million of federal and state tax over payments that were included in other current assets at December 31, 2013 which were applied in 2014. The remaining change is due to the timing of billings and collections. Changes in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities increased by $9.0 million which positively impacted cash. The increase was primarily due to a prepayment from the RTS divestiture of $6.5 million in connection with the termination of the services and license agreement as well as the timing of payments. Additionally, cash provided by operating activities from continuing operations in 2014 was negatively impacted by an increase in contract receivable of $73.3 million. This increase relates to costs incurred and estimated earnings in excess of billings related to the Ravenhall Project. Refer to Note 7 Contract Receivable included in the notes to our audited consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this annual report on Form 10-K. The Contract Receivable is expected to grow as construction services are performed and will continue to have a negative impact on cash from operating activities until the balance is ultimately settled with the State. In accordance with the contract, the project will not be billed out until completion and commercial acceptance of the Facility.

Table of Contents

Cash provided by operating activities of continuing operations in 2013 was positively impacted by non-cash expenses such as depreciation and amortization, loss on extinguishment of debt, stock-based compensation expense and dividends received from our unconsolidated joint venture. These positive impacts were offset by changes in our working capital components which were primarily driven by increases in accounts receivable, prepaid expenses and other assets along with decreases in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities. Accounts receivable, prepaid expenses and other current assets increased by $27.2 million, representing a negative impact on cash. The increase was primarily driven by federal and state income tax overpayments of $22.4 million included in prepaid expenses and other current assets at December 31, 2013 and the timing of billings and collections. Increases in equity in earnings of affiliates, net of tax, the tax benefit related to equity compensation and a release of reserves for uncertain tax positions also negatively impacted cash. Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities decreased by $10.0 million which negatively impacted cash. The decrease was primarily caused by general liability insurance settlements in 2013, a release of reserves for uncertain tax positions and the timing of payments on accounts payable and accrued payroll and related taxes.

Cash provided by operating activities of continuing operations in 2012 was positively impacted by increases in net income attributable to GEO, non-cash expenses such as depreciation and amortization and stock based compensation expense. These positive impacts were offset by the deferred income tax benefit and changes in our working capital components which were primarily driven by decreases in accounts receivable, prepaid expenses and other assets along with increases in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities. Accounts receivable, prepaid expenses and other assets decreased by $44.7 million and represented a source of cash. The decrease was primarily caused by increased operations at several new facilities which opened during 2011 and 2012. Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities increased by $27.4 million, net of acquisitions, and represented a use of cash. The increase was primarily caused by the timing of payments and a $15 million customer prepayment in 2012.

Cash used in investing activities by continuing operations of $121.2 million in 2014 was primarily the result of capital expenditures of $114.2 million, offset by changes in restricted cash of $5.4 million. Cash used in investing activities by continuing operations of $99.0 million in 2013 was primarily the result of capital expenditures of $117.6 million, offset by changes in restricted cash of $17.4 million. Cash used in investing activities by continuing operations of $52.6 million in 2012 was primarily the result of capital expenditures of $107.5 million and the acquisition of the ownership interests in MCF of $35.2 million, offset by a decrease in restricted cash of $51.2 million and the proceeds from the RTS divestiture of $33.3 million.

Cash used in financing activities by continuing operations in 2014 reflects payments of $696.0 million on long term debt and non-recourse debt offset by $741.2 million of proceeds from long term debt and non-recourse debt, including $404.0 million of borrowings under our Revolver. We also paid cash dividends of $170.2 million, deferred debt issuance costs of $26.4 million offset by an increase of $54.7 million for issuance of common stock under our prospectus supplement.

Cash used in financing activities by continuing operations in 2013 reflects payments of $1,134.5 million on indebtedness offset by $1,238.0 million of proceeds from long term debt, including $300.0 million from the 5.125% Senior Notes, $250.0 million from the 5 7/8% Senior Notes as well as $688.0 million of borrowings under our Revolver. We also paid cash dividends of $147.2 million, deferred debt issuance costs of $23.8 million and debt issuance fees of $13.4 million.

Cash used in financing activities by continuing operations in 2012 reflects payments of $456.5 million on indebtedness offset by $358.0 million of borrowings under our Senior Credit Facility which includes proceeds of $100.0 million from our prior Term Loan A-3. We also made a cash distribution of $5.8 million to the partners of MCF, paid a $102.4 million dividend to our shareholders and paid $14.9 million in fees, including a make-whole provision, related to the early extinguishment of debt in connection with the redemption of the MCF bonds.

Table of Contents

***Inflation***

We believe that inflation, in general, did not have a material effect on our results of operations during 2014, 2013 and 2012. While some of our contracts include provisions for inflationary indexing, inflation could have a substantial adverse effect on our results of operations in the future to the extent that wages and salaries, which represent our largest expense, increase at a faster rate than the per diem or fixed rates received by us for our management services.

***Funds from Operations***

Funds from Operations ( FFO ) is a widely accepted supplemental non-GAAP measure utilized to evaluate the operating performance of real estate companies. It is defined in accordance with the standards established by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, or NAREIT, which defines FFO as net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders (computed in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles), excluding real estate related depreciation and amortization, excluding gains and losses from the cumulative effects of accounting changes, extraordinary items and sales of properties, and including adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures.

We also present Normalized Funds From Operations, or Normalized FFO, and Adjusted Funds from Operations, or AFFO, supplemental non-GAAP financial measures of real estate companies operating performances.

Normalized FFO is defined as FFO adjusted for certain items which by their nature are not comparable from period to period or that tend to obscure the Company s actual operating performance, including for the periods presented REIT conversion related expenses, net of tax, tax benefit related to IRS settlement and REIT conversion, M&A related expenses, net of tax and loss on extinguishment of debt, net of tax.

AFFO is defined as Normalized FFO adjusted by adding non-cash expenses such as non-real estate related depreciation and amortization, stock based compensation, the amortization of debt costs and other non-cash interest, and non-cash mark-to-market adjustments for derivative instruments and by subtracting recurring consolidated maintenance capital expenditures.

Because of the unique design, structure and use of our correctional facilities, we believe that assessing the performance of our correctional facilities without the impact of depreciation or amortization is useful and meaningful to investors. Although NAREIT has published its definition of FFO, companies often modify this definition as they seek to provide financial measures that meaningfully reflect their distinctive operations. We have modified FFO to derive Normalized FFO and AFFO that meaningfully reflect our operations. Our assessment of our operations is focused on long-term sustainability. The adjustments we make to derive the non-GAAP measures of Normalized FFO and AFFO exclude items which may cause short-term fluctuations in income from continuing operations but have no impact on our cash flows, or we do not consider them to be fundamental attributes or the primary drivers of our business plan and they do not affect our overall long-term operating performance.

We may make adjustments to FFO from time to time for certain other income and expenses that do not reflect a necessary component of our operational performance on the basis discussed above, even though such items may require cash settlement. Because FFO, Normalized FFO and AFFO exclude depreciation and amortization unique to real estate as well as non-operational items and certain other charges that are highly variable from year to year, they provide our investors with performance measures that reflect the impact to operations from trends in occupancy rates, per diem rates, operating costs and interest costs, providing a perspective not immediately apparent from income from continuing operations. We believe the presentation of FFO, Normalized FFO and AFFO provide useful information to investors as they provide an indication of our ability to fund capital expenditures and expand our business. FFO, Normalized FFO and AFFO provide disclosure on the same basis as that used by our management and provide consistency in our financial reporting, facilitate internal and external comparisons of our historical operating performance and our business units and

Table of Contents

provide continuity to investors for comparability purposes. Additionally, FFO, Normalized FFO and AFFO are widely recognized measures in our industry as a real estate investment trust.

Our reconciliation of net income to FFO, Normalized FFO and AFFO for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, is as follows (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
|---|---|---|
| **Funds From Operations** | | |
| Net income attributable to The GEO Group, Inc. | $ 143,930 | $ 115,135 |
| Depreciation-real estate assets | 52,960 | 51,680 |
| Loss from Disc Ops, net of income tax provision (benefit) | | (2,265) |
| **NAREIT Defined FFO** | **196,890** | **169,080** |
| Expenses associated with REIT conversion, net of taxes | | 5,440 |
| Tax benefit associated with IRS settlement & REIT conversion | | (21,103) |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt, net of taxes | | 14,240 |
| M&A related expenses, net of tax | $ 681 | $ |
| **Normalized Funds from Operations** | **$ 197,571** | **$ 167,657** |
| Depreciation-non-real estate assets | 43,211 | 42,984 |
| Consolidated maintenance capital expenditures | (23,277) | (19,159) |
| Stock-based compensation expenses | 8,909 | 7,889 |
| Amortization of debt costs and other non-cash interest | 5,332 | 5,916 |
| Non-Cash Mark-to-Market Adjustment Derivative Instruments | 1,121 | |
| **Adjusted Funds from Operations** | **$ 232,867** | **$ 205,287** |

**Outlook**

The following discussion of our future performance contains statements that are not historical statements and, therefore, constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Our forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those stated or implied in the forward-looking statement. Please refer to Item 1A. Risk Factors in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, the Forward-Looking Statements Safe Harbor, as well as the other disclosures contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, for further discussion on forward-looking statements and the risks and other factors that could prevent us from achieving our goals and cause the assumptions underlying the forward-looking statements and the actual results to differ materially from those expressed in or implied by those forward-looking statements.

***Revenue***

Domestically, we continue to pursue a number of opportunities for corrections and detention facilities. Continued need for corrections facilities in various states and the need for bed space at federal prisons and detention facilities are two of the factors that have contributed to these opportunities. At the state level, we reactivated the Company-owned, 300-bed McFarland Community Reentry Facility during the third quarter of 2014 under a contract with the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. The facility houses female inmates and provides enhanced rehabilitation and recidivism reduction programs.

Table of Contents

Under the contract, the facility can be expanded by 300 beds at the department s option within 12 months. Additionally during the fourth quarter of 2013, we reactivated the Company-owned, 700-bed Central Valley Modified Community Correctional Facility and the Company-owned, 700-bed Desert View Modified Community Correctional Facility. We also executed a new contract for the continued housing of California inmates at the Company-owned Golden State Modified Community Correctional Facility, which increased the facility s contract capacity from 600 to 700 beds. In Florida, we assumed management of 3,854 contract prison beds at three facilities during the first quarter of 2014 which were previously managed by a different private operator. These facilities included the 1,884-bed Graceville Correctional Facility and the 985-bed Moore Haven Correctional Facility, which were developed and had been previously operated by us, as well as the 985-bed Bay Correctional Facility.

At the federal level, we expanded the contract capacity at the Company-owned Rio Grande Detention Center from 1,500 to 1,900 beds during the first quarter of 2014 for use by the U.S. Marshals and ICE. We also recently completed the development of a new, Company-owned, 400-bed immigration transfer center in Alexandria, Louisiana, which we will manage under an amendment we entered into under our contract for the LaSalle Detention Facility also in Louisiana. Additionally, we announced a 640-bed expansion to the Company-owned, 1,300-bed Adelanto Detention Facility in California under an amendment to the existing contract with the City of Adelanto which in turn contracts with ICE for the housing of immigration detainees at the facility. We will finance, develop, and manage the $45 million expansion, which will increase the facility s total capacity to 1,940 beds and is expected to generate approximately $21 million in additional annualized revenues. We expect to complete the 640-bed expansion and begin intake by July 2015. During the fourth quarter of 2014, we announced the development of a 626-bed expansion to the company-owned, 532-bed Karnes County Residential Center in Texas under an amendment to our existing contract with Karnes County, Texas and the existing intergovernmental service agreement between Karnes County and U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement. We will finance, develop, and manage the $36 million expansion, which will increase the Facility s total capacity to 1,158 beds. The expansion is expected to generate approximately $20 million in additional annualized revenues and returns on investment consistent with our company-owned facilities. GEO expects to complete the 626-bed expansion and begin intake in the fourth quarter of 2015. Additionally, during the fourth quarter of 2014, we announced the signing of contracts with the Federal Bureau of Prisons for the continuation of management at the Moshannon Valley Correctional Center in Pennsylvania and for the reactivation of the Great Plains Correctional Facility in Oklahoma. Under the new ten-year contracts, inclusive of renewal options, the Facilities will house up to a combined 3,818 federal inmates and are expected to generate approximately $76.0 million in combined annualized revenues. The Great Plains Correctional Facility is expected to begin the intake process in the second quarter of 2015. The contract for the continued management of the Moshannon Valley Correctional Center will commence in the second quarter of 2016, following the expiration of the current contract.

We continue to be encouraged by opportunities as discussed above; however any positive trends may, to some extent, be adversely impacted by government budgetary constraints in the future. While the outlook for state budgets is stable, revenue performance is positive, and expenditure overruns are relatively modest, state lawmakers continue to face numerous budget challenges and state officials are concerned about sluggish revenue growth, rebuilding budget reserves and long-term spending trends, according to a survey conducted in the Spring of 2014 by the National Conference of State Legislatures. As a result of budgetary pressures, state correctional agencies may pursue a number of cost savings initiatives which may include reductions in per diem rates and/or the scope of services provided by private operators. These potential cost savings initiatives could have a material adverse impact on our current operations and/or our ability to pursue new business opportunities. Additionally, if state budgetary constraints, as discussed above, persist or intensify, our state customers ability to pay us may be impaired and/or we may be forced to renegotiate our management contracts on less favorable terms and our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows could be materially adversely impacted. We plan to actively bid on any new projects that fit our target profile for profitability and operational risk. Although we are pleased with the overall industry outlook, positive trends in the industry may be offset by several factors, including budgetary constraints, unanticipated contract terminations, contract non-renewals, and/or contract re-

Table of Contents

bids. Although we have historically had a relatively high contract renewal rate, there can be no assurance that we will be able to renew our expiring management contracts on favorable terms, or at all. Also, while we are pleased with our track record in re-bid situations, we cannot assure that we will prevail in any such future situations.

Internationally, we are exploring a number of opportunities in our current markets and will continue to actively bid on any opportunities that fit our target profile for profitability and operational risk. In September 2014, we announced that a consortium led by us and comprised of The GEO Group Australia Pty. Ltd., John Holland Construction and Honeywell won a contract with the Department of Justice in the State of Victoria for the development and operation of a 1,300-bed capacity prison in Ravenhall, Australia. The Ravenhall facility will be developed under a public-private partnership financing structure with a capital contribution from us of approximately AUD 115 million and we anticipate returns on investment consistent with our company-owned facilities.

With respect to our re-entry services, electronic monitoring services, and youth services business conducted through our GEO Care business segment, we are currently pursuing a number of business development opportunities. Relative to opportunities for community-based re-entry centers, we expect to compete for several formal solicitations from the BOP for re-entry centers across the country and are also working with our existing local and state correctional clients to leverage new opportunities for both residential facilities as well as non-residential day reporting centers. We continue to expend resources on informing state and local governments about the benefits of public-private partnerships, and we anticipate that there will be new opportunities in the future as those efforts begin to yield results. We believe we are well positioned to capitalize on any suitable opportunities that become available in this area.

***Operating Expenses***

Operating expenses consist of those expenses incurred in the operation and management of our contracts to provide services to our governmental clients. Labor and related cost represented 55.1% of our operating expenses in 2014. Additional significant operating expenses include food, utilities and inmate medical costs. In 2014, operating expenses totaled 73.6% of our consolidated revenues. Our operating expenses as a percentage of revenue in 2015 will be impacted by the opening of any new or existing facilities as a result of the cost of transitioning and/or start-up operations related to a facility opening. During 2015, we will incur carrying costs for facilities that are currently vacant in 2014. The carrying costs associated with the approximately 3,300 beds we are currently marketing with the re-activation of the company-owned 400-bed Mesa Verde Detention Facility in January 2015, are expected to be $16.0 million in 2015, including depreciation of $2.6 million. As of December 31, 2014, our worldwide operations include the management and/or ownership of approximately 79,000 beds at 98 correctional, detention and community services facilities, including idle facilities and projects under development, and also included the provision of monitoring of approximately 70,000 offenders in a community-based environment on behalf of approximately 900 federal, state and local correctional agencies located in all 50 states.

***General and Administrative Expenses***

General and administrative expenses consist primarily of corporate management salaries and benefits, professional fees and other administrative expenses. In 2014, general and administrative expenses totaled 6.8% of our consolidated revenues. We expect general and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenue in 2015 to remain consistent or decrease as a result of cost savings initiatives and decreases in nonrecurring costs related to our REIT conversion. We expect business development costs to remain consistent as we pursue additional business development opportunities in all of our business lines. We also plan to continue expending resources from time to time on the evaluation of potential acquisition targets.

Table of Contents

***Idle Facilities***

We are currently marketing approximately 3,300 vacant beds at three of our idle facilities to potential customers. The annual carrying cost of idle facilities in 2015 is estimated to be $16.0 million, including depreciation expense of $2.6 million. As of December 31, 2014, these facilities had a net book value of $90.5 million. We currently do not have any firm commitment or agreement in place to activate these facilities. Historically, some facilities have been idle for multiple years before they received a new contract award. Currently, our North Lake Correctional Facility located in Baldwin, Michigan has been idle the longest of our idle facility inventory since October of 2010. In December 2014, we signed a contract with the BOP for the reactivation of the Great Plains Correctional Facility in Hinton, Oklahoma. Prior to signing this contract, it had also been idle since October of 2010. In January 2015, we signed a contract for the re-activation of the company-owned, 400-bed Mesa Verde Detention Facility in California.These idle facilities are included in the U.S. Corrections & Detention segment. The per diem rates that we charge our clients often vary by contract across our portfolio. However, if all of these idle facilities were to be activated using our U.S. Corrections & Detention average per diem rate in 2014, (calculated as the U.S. Corrections & Detention revenue divided by the number of U.S. Corrections & Detention mandays) and based on the average occupancy rate in our U.S. Corrections & Detention facilities for 2014, we would expect to receive incremental revenue of approximately $80 million and an increase in earnings per share of approximately $.20 to $.25 per share based on our average U.S. Corrections and Detention operating margin.

**Forward-Looking Statements Safe Harbor**

This Annual Report on Form 10-K and the documents incorporated by reference herein contain forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Forward-looking statements are any statements that are not based on historical information. Statements other than statements of historical facts included in this report, including, without limitation, statements regarding our future financial position, business strategy, budgets, projected costs and plans and objectives of management for future operations, are forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements generally can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as may, will, expect, anticipate, intend, plan, believe, seek, estimate the negative of such words or variations of such words and similar expressions. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions, which are difficult to predict. Therefore, actual outcomes and results may differ materially from what is expressed or forecasted in such forward-looking statements and we can give no assurance that such forward-looking statements will prove to be correct. Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements, or cautionary statements, include, but are not limited to:

- our ability to timely build and/or open facilities as planned, profitably manage such facilities and successfully integrate such facilities into our operations without substantial additional costs;
- our ability to remain qualified for taxation as a REIT;
- our ability to fulfill our debt service obligations and its impact on our liquidity;
- the instability of foreign exchange rates, exposing us to currency risks in Australia, the United Kingdom, and South Africa, or other countries in which we may choose to conduct our business;
- our ability to activate the inactive beds at our idle facilities;
- our ability to maintain or increase occupancy rates at our facilities;
- an increase in unreimbursed labor rates;

our ability to expand, diversify and grow our correctional, detention, mental health, residential treatment, re-entry, community-based services, youth services, monitoring services, evidence-based supervision and treatment programs and secure transportation services businesses;

Table of Contents

our ability to win management contracts for which we have submitted proposals, retain existing management contracts and meet any performance standards required by such management contracts;

our ability to control operating costs associated with contract start-ups;

our ability to raise new project development capital given the often short-term nature of the customers commitment to use newly developed facilities;

our ability to estimate the government s level of dependency on privatized correctional services;

our ability to accurately project the size and growth of the U.S. and international privatized corrections industry;

our ability to successfully respond to delays encountered by states privatizing correctional services and cost savings initiatives implemented by a number of states;

our ability to develop long-term earnings visibility;

our ability to identify suitable acquisitions and to successfully complete and integrate such acquisitions on satisfactory terms, and estimate the synergies to be achieved as a result of such acquisitions;

our exposure to the impairment of goodwill and other intangible assets as a result of our acquisitions;

our ability to successfully conduct our operations through joint ventures and consortiums;

our ability to obtain future financing on satisfactory terms or at all, including our ability to secure the funding we need to complete ongoing capital projects;

our exposure to political and economic instability and other risks impacting our international operations;

our exposure to risks impacting our information systems, including those that may cause an interruption, delay or failure in the provision of our services;

our exposure to rising general insurance costs;

our exposure to state and federal income tax law changes internationally and domestically and our exposure as a result of federal and international examinations of our tax returns or tax positions;

our exposure to claims for which we are uninsured;

our exposure to rising employee and inmate medical costs;

our ability to manage costs and expenses relating to ongoing litigation arising from our operations;

our ability to accurately estimate on an annual basis, loss reserves related to general liability, workers compensation and automobile liability claims;

the ability of our government customers to secure budgetary appropriations to fund their payment obligations to us and to continue to operate under our existing agreements and/or renew our existing agreements;

our ability to pay quarterly dividends consistent with our expectations;

our ability to comply with government regulations and applicable contractual requirements;

our ability to acquire, protect or maintain our intellectual property; and

other factors contained in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or the SEC, including, but not limited to, those detailed in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and our Current Reports on Form 8-K filed with the SEC.

We undertake no obligation to update publicly any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. All subsequent written and oral forward-looking statements attributable to us, or persons acting on our behalf, are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements included in this report.

Table of Contents

**Item 7A.** ***Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk***

**Interest Rate Risk**

We are exposed to market risks related to changes in interest rates with respect to our Senior Credit Facility. Payments under the Senior Credit Facility are indexed to a variable interest rate. Based on borrowings outstanding as of December 31, 2014 under the Senior Credit Facility of $365.5 million, for every one percent increase in the interest rate applicable to the Senior Credit Facility, our total annual interest expense would increase by $4.0 million.

We have entered into certain interest rate swap arrangements for hedging purposes, fixing the interest rate on our Australian non-recourse debt. The difference between the floating rate and the swap rate on these instruments is recognized in interest expense within the respective entity. Because the interest rates with respect to these instruments are fixed, a hypothetical 100 basis point change in the current interest rate would not have a material impact on our financial condition or results of operations.

Additionally, we invest our cash in a variety of short-term financial instruments to provide a return. These instruments generally consist of highly liquid investments with original maturities at the date of purchase of three months or less. While these instruments are subject to interest rate risk, a hypothetical 100 basis point increase or decrease in market interest rates would not have a material impact on our financial condition or results of operations.

**Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risk**

We are exposed to market risks related to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates between the U.S. Dollar, the Australian Dollar, the South African Rand and the British Pound currency exchange rates. Based upon our foreign currency exchange rate exposure as of December 31, 2014 with respect to our international operations, every 10 percent change in historical currency rates would have a $3.1 million effect on our financial position and a $0.8 million impact on our results of operations over the next fiscal year.

**Item 8.** ***Financial Statements and Supplementary Data***

Table of Contents

**MANAGEMENT S RESPONSIBILITY FOR FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

To the Shareholders of

The GEO Group, Inc.:

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. They include amounts based on judgments and estimates.

Representation in the consolidated financial statements and the fairness and integrity of such statements are the responsibility of management. In order to meet management s responsibility, the Company maintains a system of internal controls and procedures and a program of internal audits designed to provide reasonable assurance that our assets are controlled and safeguarded, that transactions are executed in accordance with management s authorization and properly recorded, and that accounting records may be relied upon in the preparation of financial statements.

The consolidated financial statements have been audited by Grant Thornton LLP, independent registered public accountants, whose appointment by our Audit Committee was ratified by our shareholders. Their report, which is included in this Form 10-K expresses an opinion as to whether management s consolidated financial statements present fairly in all material respects, the Company s financial position, results of operations and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2014 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014 has also been audited by Grant Thornton LLP, independent registered public accountants, as stated in their report which is included in this Form 10-K. Their audits were conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States).

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors meets periodically with representatives of management, the independent registered public accountants and our internal auditors to review matters relating to financial reporting, internal accounting controls and auditing. Both the internal auditors and the independent registered certified public accountants have unrestricted access to the Audit Committee to discuss the results of their reviews.

George C. Zoley

*Chairman and Chief Executive Officer*

Brian R. Evans

*Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer*

Table of Contents

**MANAGEMENT S ANNUAL REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING**

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The Company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed under the supervision of the Company s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer that: (i) pertains to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the Company s assets; (ii) provides reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements for external reporting in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, and that receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorization of the Company s management and directors; and (iii) provides reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Management has assessed the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014. In making its assessment of internal control over financial reporting, management used the criteria set forth in the Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the 2013 Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ( COSO ) (the 2013 Internal Control Integrated Framework ).

The Company evaluated, with the participation of its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, its internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, based on the 2013 Internal Control Integrated Framework. Based on this evaluation, the Company s management concluded that as of December 31, 2014, its internal control over financial reporting is effective in providing reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

Grant Thornton LLP, the independent registered public accounting firm that audited the financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, has issued an attestation report on our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014.

Table of Contents

**REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

Board of Directors and Shareholders of

The GEO Group, Inc.

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of The GEO Group, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company ) as of December 31, 2014, based on criteria established in the 2013 *Internal Control-Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management s Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, based on criteria established in the 2013 *Internal Control-Integrated Framework* issued by COSO.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements of the Company as of and for the year ended December 31, 2014, and our report dated February 25, 2015 expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements.

/s/ GRANT THORNTON LLP

Miami, Florida

February 25, 2015

Table of Contents

**REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

Board of Directors and Shareholders of

The GEO Group, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of The GEO Group, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company ) as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income (loss), shareholders equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2014. Our audits of the basic consolidated financial statements included the financial statement schedules listed in the index appearing under Item 15. These financial statements and financial statement schedules are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial statement schedules based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of The GEO Group, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2014 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also in our opinion, the related financial statement schedules, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, based on criteria established in the 2013 *Internal Control-Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), and our report dated February 25, 2015 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ GRANT THORNTON LLP

Miami, Florida

February 25, 2015

Table of Contents

**THE GEO GROUP, INC.**

**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

**Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012**

| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
|---|---|---|---|
| | (In thousands, except per share data) | | |
| ***Revenues*** | $ 1,691,620 | $ 1,522,074 | $ 1,479,062 |
| ***Operating Expenses (excluding depreciation and amortization)*** | 1,245,700 | 1,124,865 | 1,089,232 |
| ***Depreciation and Amortization*** | 96,171 | 94,664 | 91,685 |
| ***General and Administrative Expenses*** | 115,018 | 117,061 | 113,792 |
| ***Operating Income*** | 234,731 | 185,484 | 184,353 |
| ***Interest Income*** | 4,747 | 3,324 | 6,716 |
| ***Interest Expense*** | (87,368) | (83,004) | (82,189) |
| ***Loss on Extinguishment of Debt*** | | (20,657) | (8,462) |
| ***Income Before Income Taxes, Equity in Earnings of Affiliates and Discontinued Operations*** | 152,110 | 85,147 | 100,418 |
| ***Provision (Benefit) for Income Taxes*** | 14,093 | (26,050) | (40,562) |
| ***Equity in Earnings of Affiliates, net of income tax provision of $2,302, $2,389 and $1,660*** | 5,823 | 6,265 | 3,578 |
| ***Income from Continuing Operations*** | 143,840 | 117,462 | 144,558 |
| ***Loss from Discontinued Operations, net of income tax provision (benefit) of $0, $0, and $(7,805)*** | | (2,265) | (10,660) |
| ***Net Income*** | 143,840 | 115,197 | 133,898 |
| ***Less: (Income) loss Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests*** | 90 | (62) | 852 |
| ***Net Income Attributable to The GEO Group, Inc.*** | $ 143,930 | $ 115,135 | $ 134,750 |
| ***Weighted Average Common Shares Outstanding:*** | | | |
| Basic | 72,270 | 71,116 | 60,934 |
| Diluted | 72,547 | 71,605 | 61,265 |
| ***Income per Common Share Attributable to The GEO Group, Inc. (1):*** | | | |
| ***Basic:*** | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | $ 1.99 | $ 1.65 | $ 2.39 |
| Loss from discontinued operations | | (0.03) | (0.17) |
| Net income per share basic | $ 1.99 | $ 1.62 | $ 2.21 |
| ***Diluted:*** | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | $ 1.98 | $ 1.64 | $ 2.37 |
| Loss from discontinued operations | | (0.03) | (0.17) |
| Net income per share diluted | $ 1.98 | $ 1.61 | $ 2.20 |

(1) Note that earnings per share tables may contain summation differences due to rounding.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

**THE GEO GROUP, INC.**

**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPRENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)**

**Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012**

| | 2014 | 2013 (In thousands) | 2012 |
|---|---|---|---|
| Net Income | $ 143,840 | $ 115,197 | $ 133,898 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments, net of income tax benefit (provision) of $0, $0 and $1,784, respectively | (4,512) | (8,296) | 1,561 |
| Pension liability adjustment, net of income tax (provision) benefit of $1,621, $(576) and $291, respectively | (2,522) | 914 | (461) |
| Change in fair value of derivative instrument classified as cash flow hedge, net of income tax (provision) benefit of $2,926, $(134) and $261, respectively | (16,048) | 183 | (476) |
| Total other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax | (23,082) | (7,199) | 624 |
| Total comprehensive income | 120,758 | 107,998 | 134,522 |
| Comprehensive loss attributable to noncontrolling interests | 140 | 38 | 968 |
| Comprehensive income attributable to The GEO Group, Inc. | $ 120,898 | $ 108,036 | $ 135,490 |

Table of Contents

**THE GEO GROUP, INC.**

**CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

**December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013**

| | 2014 | 2013 |
|---|---|---|
| | (In thousands, except share data) | |
| **ASSETS** | | |
| ***Current Assets*** | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | $ 41,337 | $ 52,125 |
| Restricted cash and investments | 4,341 | 11,518 |
| Accounts receivable, less allowance for doubtful accounts of $3,315 and $2,549, respectively | 269,038 | 250,530 |
| Current deferred income tax assets | 25,884 | 20,936 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 36,806 | 49,236 |
| Total current assets | 377,406 | 384,345 |
| ***Restricted Cash and Investments*** | 19,578 | 18,349 |
| ***Property and Equipment, Net*** | 1,772,166 | 1,727,798 |
| ***Contract Receivable*** | 66,229 | |
| ***Direct Finance Lease Receivable*** | 9,256 | 16,944 |
| ***Non-Current Deferred Income Tax Assets*** | 5,873 | 4,821 |
| ***Goodwill*** | 493,890 | 490,196 |
| ***Intangible Assets, Net*** | 155,275 | 163,400 |
| ***Other Non-Current Assets*** | 102,535 | 83,511 |
| Total Assets | $ 3,002,208 | $ 2,889,364 |
| **LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY** | | |
| ***Current Liabilities*** | | |
| Accounts payable | $ 58,155 | $ 47,286 |
| Accrued payroll and related taxes | 38,556 | 38,726 |
| Accrued expenses and other current liabilities | 140,612 | 114,950 |
| Current portion of capital lease obligations, long-term debt and non-recourse debt | 16,752 | 22,163 |
| Total current liabilities | 254,075 | 223,125 |
| ***Non-Current Deferred Income Tax Liabilities*** | 10,068 | 14,689 |
| ***Other Non-Current Liabilities*** | 87,429 | 64,961 |
| ***Capital Lease Obligations*** | 9,856 | 10,924 |
| ***Long-Term Debt*** | 1,462,819 | 1,485,536 |
| ***Non-Recourse Debt*** | 131,968 | 66,153 |
| ***Commitments and Contingencies*** (Note 18) | | |
| ***Shareholders Equity*** | | |
| Preferred stock, $0.01 par value, 30,000,000 shares authorized, none issued or outstanding | | |
| Common stock, $0.01 par value, 125,000,000 and 90,000,000 shares authorized, 74,190,688 and 86,662,676 issued and 74,190,688 and 72,082,071 outstanding, respectively | 742 | 866 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 866,056 | 848,018 |
| Earnings in excess of distributions | 206,342 | 232,646 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (27,461) | (4,429) |
| Treasury stock, 0 and 14,580,605 shares, at cost, respectively | | (53,579) |
| Total shareholders equity attributable to The GEO Group, Inc. | 1,045,679 | 1,023,522 |
| Noncontrolling interests | 314 | 454 |
| Total shareholders equity | 1,045,993 | 1,023,976 |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Total Liabilities and Shareholders Equity | $ 3,002,208 | $ 2,889,364 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

**THE GEO GROUP, INC.**

**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

**Year Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012**

| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | (In thousands) | |
| ***Cash Flow from Operating Activities:*** | | | |
| Net Income | $ 143,840 | $ 115,197 | $ 133,898 |
| Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests | 90 | (62) | 852 |
| Net income attributable to The GEO Group, Inc. | 143,930 | 115,135 | 134,750 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income attributable to The GEO Group, Inc. to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization expense | 96,171 | 94,664 | 91,685 |
| Deferred tax provision (benefit) | (10,355) | (5,948) | (87,710) |
| Amortization of debt issuance costs, discount and/or premium | 5,332 | 5,916 | 3,864 |
| Stock-based compensation | 8,909 | 7,889 | 6,543 |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | | 20,657 | 8,462 |
| Loss on derivative instrument | 1,121 | | |
| Provision for doubtful accounts | 985 | 1,136 | 760 |
| Loss on divestiture of RTS-non-cash | | | 22,566 |
| Equity in earnings of affiliates, net of tax | (5,823) | (6,265) | (3,578) |
| Tax benefit related to equity compensation | (2,035) | (2,197) | (621) |
| Release of reserve for uncertain tax positions | | (5,701) | |
| Loss on sale/disposal of property and equipment and assets held for sale | 490 | 959 | 6,319 |
| Dividends received from unconsolidated joint venture | 4,274 | 3,153 | |
| Changes in assets and liabilities, net of acquisition: | | | |
| Changes in accounts receivable, prepaid expenses and other assets | 23,809 | (27,239) | 44,737 |
| Changes in contract receivable | (73,289) | | |
| Changes in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities | 9,022 | (9,970) | 27,410 |
| Cash provided by operating activities continuing operations | 202,541 | 192,189 | 255,187 |
| Cash provided by operating activities discontinued operations | | | 9,053 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 202,541 | 192,189 | 264,240 |
| ***Cash Flow from Investing Activities:*** | | | |
| Acquisition of Protocol, cash consideration, net of cash acquired | (13,025) | | |
| Acquisition of ownership interests in MCF | | | (35,154) |
| Proceeds from RTS divestiture | | | 33,253 |
| Proceeds from sale of property and equipment | 699 | 205 | 65 |
| Proceeds from sale of assets held for sale | | 1,969 | 5,641 |
| Net working capital adjustment from RTS divestiture | | (996) | |
| Change in restricted cash and investments | 5,380 | 17,412 | 51,189 |
| Capital expenditures | (114,224) | (117,566) | (107,549) |
| Cash used in investing activities continuing operations | (121,170) | (98,976) | (52,555) |
| Cash used in investing activities discontinued operations | | | (2,761) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (121,170) | (98,976) | (55,316) |
| ***Cash Flow from Financing Activities:*** | | | |
| Payments on long-term debt | (678,099) | (1,134,544) | (456,485) |
| Proceeds from long term debt | 654,000 | 1,238,000 | 358,000 |
| Payments on non-recourse debt | (18,627) | | |
| Proceeds from non-recourse debt | 87,896 | | |
| Taxes paid related to net share settlements of equity awards | (1,844) | | |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Termination of interest rate swap agreements | | 3,974 | |
| Distribution to noncontrolling interests | | | (5,758) |
| Debt issuance costs deferred | (26,420) | (23,834) | (1,398) |
| Debt issuance fees | | (13,421) | (14,861) |
| Payments for purchase of treasury shares | | | (8,666) |
| Proceeds from stock options exercised | 7,281 | 5,425 | 9,276 |
| Tax benefit related to equity compensation | 2,035 | 2,197 | 621 |
| Proceeds from reissuance of treasury stock in connection with ESPP | 387 | 319 | 460 |
| Issuance of common stock under prospectus supplement | 54,725 | | |
| Payment for retirement of common stock | | | (1,036) |
| Cash dividends paid | (170,234) | (147,156) | (102,435) |
| ***Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities*** | (88,900) | (69,040) | (222,282) |
| ***Effect of Exchange Rate Changes on Cash and Cash Equivalents*** | (3,259) | (3,803) | 1,735 |
| ***Net (Decrease) Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents*** | (10,788) | 20,370 | (11,623) |
| ***Cash and Cash Equivalents, beginning of period*** | 52,125 | 31,755 | 43,378 |
| ***Cash and Cash Equivalents, end of period*** | $ 41,337 | $ 52,125 | $ 31,755 |

**Table of Contents**

| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | (In thousands) | |
| ***Supplemental Disclosures*** | | | |
| ***Cash paid during the year for:*** | | | |
| Income taxes | $ 7,976 | $ 16,697 | $ 2,997 |
| Interest | $ 71,669 | $ 69,304 | $ 73,901 |
| ***Non-cash investing and financing activities:*** | | | |
| Deferred tax assets recorded in equity in connection with MCF Transaction | $ | $ | $ 10,015 |
| Stock portion of Special Dividend | $ | $ | $ 274,402 |
| Capital expenditures in accounts payable and accrued expenses | $ 11,798 | $ 2,148 | $ 1,959 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

**THE GEO GROUP, INC.**

**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY**

**Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012**

| | GEO Group Inc. Shareholders | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Common Stock | | Additional Paid-In Capital | Earnings in Excess of Distributions | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | Treasury Stock | | Noncontrolling Interest | Total Shareholders Equity |
| | Number of Shares | Amount | | | | Number of Shares | Amount | | |
| | | | | | (In thousands) | | | | |
| ***Balance, January 1, 2012*** | 61,181 | $ 852 | $ 727,297 | $ 507,170 | $ 1,930 | 24,004 | $ (214,031) | $ 15,303 | $ 1,038,521 |
| Proceeds from stock options exercised | 593 | 6 | 9,270 | | | | | | 9,276 |
| Tax benefit related to equity compensation | | | 621 | | | | | | 621 |
| Stock based compensation expense | | | 2,539 | | | | | | 2,539 |
| Restricted stock granted | 315 | 3 | (3) | | | | | | |
| Purchase and retirement of common stock | (58) | (1) | (628) | (407) | | | | | (1,036) |
| Restricted stock canceled | (28) | | | | | | | | |
| Amortization of restricted stock | | | 4,449 | | | | | | 4,449 |
| Dividends Cash | | | | (102,435) | | | | | (102,435) |
| Dividends Stock | 9,689 | | 105,784 | (274,402) | | (9,689) | 168,618 | | |
| Purchase of treasury shares | (298) | | | | | 298 | (8,666) | | (8,666) |
| Re-issuance of treasury shares (ESPP) | 23 | | 5 | (9) | | (23) | 464 | | 460 |
| Increase in Ownership of Subsidiary (MCF) | | | (17,053) | | | | | (8,085) | (25,138) |
| Other adjustments to Additional Paid-In-Capital | | | (51) | | | | | | (51) |
| Distribution to noncontrolling interests | | | | | | | | (5,758) | (5,758) |
| Net income | | | | 134,750 | | | | (852) | 133,898 |
| Other comprehensive income | | | | | 740 | | | (116) | 624 |
| ***Balance, December 31, 2012*** | 71,417 | $ 860 | $ 832,230 | $ 264,667 | $ 2,670 | 14,590 | $ (53,615) | $ 492 | $ 1,047,304 |
| Proceeds from stock options exercised | 318 | 3 | 5,422 | | | | | | 5,425 |
| Tax benefit related to equity compensation | | | 2,197 | | | | | | 2,197 |
| Stock based compensation expense | | | 1,307 | | | | | | 1,307 |
| Restricted stock granted | 345 | 3 | (3) | | | | | | |
| Restricted stock canceled | (8) | | | | | | | | |
| Amortization of restricted stock | | | 6,582 | | | | | | 6,582 |
| Dividends Paid | | | | (147,156) | | | | | (147,156) |
| Purchase of treasury shares | 10 | | 283 | | | (10) | 36 | | 319 |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Re-issuance of treasury shares (ESPP) | | | | | | | | | |
| Net income | | | | 115,135 | | | | 62 | 115,197 |
| Other comprehensive income | | | | | (7,099) | | | (100) | (7,199) |
| ***Balance, December 31, 2013*** | 72,082 | $ 866 | $ 848,018 | $ 232,646 | $ (4,429) | 14,580 | $ (53,579) | $ 454 | $ 1,023,976 |

Table of Contents

**GEO Group Inc. Shareholders**

| | Common Stock | | Additional Paid-In Capital | Earnings in Excess of Distributions | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | Treasury Stock | | Noncontrolling Interest | Total Shareholders Equity |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Number of Shares | Amount | | | (In thousands) | Number of Shares | Amount | | |
| Proceeds from stock options exercised | 386 | 4 | 7,277 | | | | | | 7,281 |
| Tax benefit related to equity compensation | | | 2,035 | | | | | | 2,035 |
| Stock based compensation expense | | | 1,161 | | | | | | 1,161 |
| Shares withheld for net settlements of share-based awards | (54) | | (428) | | | 43 | (1,416) | | (1,844) |
| Restricted stock granted | 306 | 3 | (3) | | | | | | |
| Retirement of treasury shares | | (146) | (54,826) | | | (14,618) | 54,972 | | |
| Restricted stock canceled | (23) | | | | | | | | |
| Amortization of restricted stock | | | 7,748 | | | | | | 7,748 |
| Dividends Paid | | | | (170,234) | | | | | (170,234) |
| Issuance of common stock (ATM) | 1,483 | 15 | 54,710 | | | | | | 54,725 |
| Issuance of common stock (ESPP) | 11 | | 364 | | | (5) | 23 | | 387 |
| Net income (loss) | | | | 143,930 | | | | (90) | 143,840 |
| Other comprehensive loss | | | | | (23,032) | | | (50) | (23,082) |
| ***Balance, December 31, 2014*** | 74,191 | $ 742 | $ 866,056 | $ 206,342 | $ (27,461) | | $ | $ 314 | $ 1,045,993 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

**THE GEO GROUP, INC.**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**For the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012**

**1. Summary of Business Organization, Operations and Significant Accounting Policies**

The GEO Group, Inc., a Florida corporation, and subsidiaries (the Company or GEO ) is a fully-integrated real estate investment trust ( REIT ) specializing in the ownership, leasing and management of correctional, detention and re-entry facilities and the provision of community-based services and youth services in the United States, Australia, South Africa and the United Kingdom. The Company owns, leases and operates a broad range of correctional and detention facilities including maximum, medium and minimum security prisons, immigration detention centers, minimum security detention centers, as well as community based re-entry facilities. The Company develops new facilities based on contract awards, using its project development expertise and experience to design, construct and finance what it believes are state-of-the-art facilities that maximize security and efficiency. The Company provides innovative compliance technologies, industry-leading monitoring services, and evidence-based supervision and treatment programs for community-based parolees, probationers and pretrial defendants. The Company also provides secure transportation services for offender and detainee populations as contracted domestically and in the United Kingdom through its joint venture GEO Amey PECS Ltd. ( GEOAmey ). As of December 31, 2014, GEO s worldwide operations included the ownership and/or management of approximately 79,000 beds at 98 correctional, detention and community services facilities, including idle facilities and projects under development, and also included the provision of monitoring of approximately 70,000 offenders in a community-based environment on behalf of approximately 900 federal, state and local correctional agencies located in all 50 states.

GEO, which has been in operation since 1984, began operating as a REIT for federal income tax purposes effective January 1, 2013. As a result of the REIT conversion, GEO reorganized its operations and moved non-real estate components into taxable REIT subsidiaries ( TRSs ). Through the TRS structure, the portion of GEO s businesses which are non-real estate related, such as its managed-only contracts, international operations, electronic monitoring services, and other non-residential and community based facilities, are part of wholly-owned taxable subsidiaries of the REIT. Most of GEO s business segments, which are real estate related and involve company-owned and company-leased facilities, are part of the REIT. The TRS structure allows the Company to maintain the strategic alignment of almost all of its diversified business segments under one entity. The TRS assets and operations will continue to be subject to federal and state corporate income taxes and to foreign taxes as applicable in the jurisdictions in which those assets and operations are located.

As a part of the Company s conversion to a REIT, the Company merged into The GEO Group REIT, Inc. ( GEO REIT ), a newly formed wholly-owned subsidiary of GEO. The merger became effective June 27, 2014 and was approved by the Company s shareholders on May 2, 2014. The purpose of the merger was to ensure the effective adoption of charter provisions that implement standard REIT share ownership and transfer restrictions. In the merger, shares of GEO s common stock were converted into the same number of GEO REIT shares of common stock. In addition, each share of the Company s common stock held in treasury at June 27, 2014 was retired, and a corresponding adjustment was recorded to common stock and additional paid-in capital. Effective at the time of the merger, GEO REIT was renamed The GEO Group, Inc. Also, in connection with the merger, the Company s authorized common stock was increased from 90 million shares to 125 million shares.

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The significant accounting policies of the Company are described below.

***Fiscal Year***

In connection with the REIT conversion discussed above, effective December 31, 2012, the Company changed to a calendar year from a fiscal year that ended on the Sunday closest to the calendar year end and changed its fiscal quarters to coincide with each calendar quarter. For fiscal year 2012, the period began on January 2, 2012 and ended on December 31, 2012.

Table of Contents

***Consolidation***

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company, its wholly-owned subsidiaries, and the Company s activities relating to the financing of operating facilities (the Company s variable interest entities ( VIE ) are discussed further below under ***Variable Interest Entities***). The equity method of accounting is used for investments in non-controlled affiliates in which the Company s ownership ranges from 20 to 50 percent, or in instances in which the Company is able to exercise significant influence but not control. The Company reports SACS and its 50% owned joint venture in the United Kingdom, GEOAmey, under the equity method of accounting. Noncontrolling interests in consolidated entities represent equity that other investors have contributed to SACM and, prior to its acquisition by the Company during 2012, Municipal Corrections Finance, L.P ( MCF ). Non-controlling interests are adjusted for income and losses allocable to the other shareholders in these entities. As further discussed under the ***Variable Interest Entities*** policy below, the Company acquired a 100% interest in MCF effective August 31, 2012 and the non-controlling interest related to MCF was reclassified to shareholders equity attributable to GEO. In addition, on September 30, 2013, the Company completed a defeasance of the bonds related to South Texas Local Development Corporation ( STLDC ). Subsequent to September 30, 2013, the Company no longer includes the financial position and results of operations of any VIE s in its consolidated financial statements. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

***Divestiture of Residential Treatment Services***

The operating results of Residential Treatment Services ( RTS ), which was divested on December 31, 2012 in connection with the Company s conversion to a REIT, have been retroactively reclassified to discontinued operations for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012. Refer to Note 2- Discontinued Operations.

***Discontinued Operations***

The Company reports the results of operations of a component of an entity that either has been disposed of or is classified as held for sale or where the management contracts with that component have terminated either by expiration or otherwise in discontinued operations. The Company presents such events as discontinued operations so long as the financial results can be clearly identified, the future operations and cash flows are completely eliminated from ongoing operations, and so long as the Company does not have any significant continuing involvement in the operations of the component after the disposal or termination transaction.

When a component of an entity has been disposed of or classified as held for sale or a management contract is terminated, the Company looks at its overall relationship with the customer. If the operations or cash flows of the component have been (or will be) eliminated from the ongoing operations of the entity as a result of the transaction and the entity will not have significant continuing involvement in the operations of the component after the transaction, the results of operations of the component of the entity are reported in discontinued operations. If the Company will continue to maintain a relationship generating significant cash flows and having continuing involvement with the customer, the disposal, the asset held for sale classification or the loss of the management contract(s) is not treated as discontinued operations. If the disposal, the asset held for sale classification or the loss of the management contract(s) results in a loss in the overall customer relationship as no future significant cash flows will be generated and the Company will have no continuing involvement with the customer, the results are classified in discontinued operations.

***Use of Estimates***

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make certain estimates, judgments and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. The Company s significant estimates include reserves for self-insured retention related to general liability insurance,

Table of Contents

workers compensation insurance, auto liability insurance, medical malpractice insurance, employer group health insurance, projected undiscounted cash flows used to evaluate asset impairment, pension assumptions, percentage of completion and estimated cost to complete for construction projects and recoverability of notes receivable, estimated useful lives of property and equipment and intangible assets, stock based compensation and allowance for doubtful accounts. These estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. While the Company believes that such estimates are reasonable when considered in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, the actual amounts of such estimates, when known, will vary from these estimates. If actual results significantly differ from the Company s estimates, the Company s financial condition and results of operations could be materially impacted.

***Dividends***

As a REIT, the Company is required to distribute annually at least 90% of its REIT taxable income (determined without regard to the dividends paid deduction and by excluding net capital gain). The amount, timing and frequency of future distributions, however, will be at the sole discretion of the Company s Board of Directors and will be declared based upon various factors, many of which are beyond the Company s control, including, the Company s financial condition and operating cash flows, the amount required to maintain REIT status and reduce any income and excise taxes that the Company otherwise would be required to pay, limitations on distributions in the Company s existing and future debt instruments, limitations on the Company s ability to fund distributions using cash generated through our TRSs and other factors that the Company s Board of Directors may deem relevant. The Company began paying regular REIT distributions in 2013. Refer to Note 3 Shareholders Equity.

A REIT is not permitted to retain earnings and profits accumulated during the years it was taxed as a C corporation or earnings and profits accumulated by its subsidiaries that have been converted to qualified REIT subsidiaries, and must make one or more distributions to shareholders that equal or exceed these accumulated amounts by the end of the first REIT year. On December 31, 2012, the Company paid a one-time Pre-REIT distribution to its shareholders. Earnings and profits, which determine the taxability of distributions to shareholders, will differ from net income reported for financial reporting purposes due to the differences in the treatment of gains and losses, revenue and expenses, and depreciation for financial reporting relative to federal income tax purposes.

***Cash and Cash Equivalents***

Cash and cash equivalents include all interest-bearing deposits or investments with original maturities of three months or less when purchased. The Company maintains cash and cash equivalents with various financial institutions. These financial institutions are located throughout the United States, Australia, South Africa and the United Kingdom. As of December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company had $22.1 million and $20.4 million in cash and cash equivalents held by its international subsidiaries, respectively.

***Concentration of Credit Risk***

The Company maintains deposits of cash in excess of federally insured limits with certain financial institutions and accordingly the Company is subject to credit risk. Other than cash, financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk consist principally of trade accounts receivable, contract receivable, a direct finance lease receivable, long-term debt and financial instruments used in hedging activities. The Company s cash management and investment policies restrict investments to low-risk, highly liquid securities, and the Company performs periodic evaluations of the credit standing of the financial institutions with which it deals.

***Accounts Receivable***

Accounts receivable consists primarily of trade accounts receivable due from federal, state, and local government agencies for operating and managing correctional facilities, providing youth and community based

Table of Contents

services, providing electronic monitoring and supervision services, providing construction and design services and providing inmate residential and prisoner transportation services. The Company generates receivables with its governmental clients and with other parties in the normal course of business as a result of billing and receiving payment. The Company regularly reviews outstanding receivables, and provides for estimated losses through an allowance for doubtful accounts. In evaluating the level of established loss reserves, the Company makes judgments regarding its customers ability to make required payments, economic events and other factors. As the financial condition of these parties change, circumstances develop or additional information becomes available, adjustments to the allowance for doubtful accounts may be required. The Company also performs ongoing credit evaluations for some of its customers financial conditions and generally does not require collateral. Generally, the Company receives payment for these services thirty to sixty days in arrears. However, certain of the Company s accounts receivable are paid by customers after the completion of their program year and therefore can be aged in excess of one year. The Company maintains reserves for potential credit losses, and such losses traditionally have been within its expectations. Actual write-offs are charged against the allowance when collection efforts have been unsuccessful. As of December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, $0.5 million and $0.8 million, respectively, of the Company s trade receivables were considered to be long-term and are classified as Other Non-Current Assets in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets.

***Notes Receivable***

The Company has notes receivable from its former joint venture partner in the United Kingdom related to a subordinated loan extended to the joint venture partner while an active member of the partnership. The notes bear interest at a rate of 13%, and have semi-annual payments due June 15 and December 15 through June 2018. The Company recognizes interest income on its Notes Receivable as it is earned. The balance outstanding as of December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 was $1.3 million and $2.0 million, respectively. These notes receivable are included in Other Non-Current Assets in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets.

***Note Receivable from Joint Venture***

In May 2011, the GEO Group UK Limited, the Company s subsidiary in the United Kingdom ( GEO UK ), extended a non-revolving line of credit facility to GEOAmey for the purpose of funding mobilization costs and on-going start up and operations in the principal amount of £12 million or $18.6 million, based on the applicable exchange rate at December 31, 2014. Amounts under the line of credit were drawn down in multiple advances up to the principal amount and accrued interest at LIBOR plus 3%. The Company recognized interest income on its notes receivable as it was earned. Principal repayments by GEOAmey under the line of credit were due in March and September, beginning September 2013, with the final payment due no later than March 30, 2018.

On October 3, 2013, the Company and its joint venture partner entered into a modified line of credit agreement with GEOAmey. Under the modified agreement, the terms of the line of credit were amended such that (i) the balance of accrued interest at September 30, 2013, in the amount of £0.9 million or $1.5 million, based on the applicable exchange rate at September 30, 2013, was forgiven; (ii) the principal amount was revised to be due on demand rather than in accordance with the previous repayment schedule; interest payments began accruing on January 1, 2014 and are being paid monthly; and (iii) the interest rate was reset to the base rate of the Bank of England plus 0.5%. In December 2014, GEOAmey made a principal payment to the Company in the amount of £1.5 million, or $2.3 million, based on exchange rates at December 31, 2014.

As of December 31, 2014, the Company was owed £10.5 million, or $16.3 million, based on exchange rates as of December 31, 2014, under the line of credit. As of December 31, 2013, the Company was owed £12 million, or $19.8 million. These balances are included within Other Non-Current Assets in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets. Refer to Note 16 Business Segments and Geographic Information regarding the Company s investment in GEOAmey.

Table of Contents

***Contract Receivable***

The Company s Australian subsidiary has recorded a contract receivable in connection with the construction of a 1,000-bed detention facility in Ravenhall, Australia for the State of Victoria. The contract receivable represents costs incurred and estimated earnings in excess of billings and is recorded at net present value based on the timing of expected future settlement.

***Restricted Cash and Investments***

The Company s restricted cash and investments at December 31, 2014 are attributable to certain cash restriction requirements at the Company s wholly owned Australian subsidiary related to the non-recourse debt, other guarantees and restricted investments related to The GEO Group Inc. Non-qualified Deferred Compensation Plan as well as dividends held for unvested restricted stock awards. The current portion of restricted cash and investments primarily represents the amount expected to be paid within the next twelve months for debt service related to the Company s non-recourse debt.

***Prepaid expenses and Other Current Assets***

Prepaid expenses and other current assets include assets that are expected to be realized within the next fiscal year. Included in the balance at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 is $7.9 million and $22.4 million, respectively, of federal and state overpayments that will be or were applied against tax payments due in 2015 and 2014.

***Direct Finance Leases***

The Company accounts for the portion of its contracts with certain governmental agencies that represent capitalized lease payments on buildings and equipment as investments in direct finance leases. Accordingly, the minimum lease payments to be received over the term of the leases less unearned income are capitalized as the Company s investments in the leases. Unearned income is recognized as income over the term of the leases using the effective interest method.

***Property and Equipment***

Property and equipment are stated at cost, less accumulated amortization and depreciation. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the related assets. Buildings and improvements are depreciated over 2 to 50 years. Equipment and furniture and fixtures are depreciated over 3 to 10 years. Accelerated methods of depreciation are generally used for income tax purposes. Leasehold improvements are amortized on a straight-line basis over the shorter of the useful life of the improvement or the term of the lease. The Company performs ongoing evaluations of the estimated useful lives of the property and equipment for depreciation purposes. The estimated useful lives are determined and continually evaluated based on the period over which services are expected to be rendered by the asset. If the assessment indicates that assets will be used for a longer or shorter period than previously anticipated, the useful lives of the assets are revised, resulting in a change in estimate. The Company has not made any such changes in estimates during the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Maintenance and repairs are expensed as incurred. Interest is capitalized in connection with the construction of company-owned correctional and detention facilities. Cost for self-constructed correctional and detention facilities includes direct materials and labor, capitalized interest and certain other indirect costs associated with construction of the facility, such as property taxes, other indirect labor and related benefits and payroll taxes. The Company begins the capitalization of costs during the pre-construction phase, which is the period during which costs are incurred to evaluate the site, and continues until the facility is substantially complete and ready for occupancy. Labor costs capitalized for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 were not significant. Capitalized interest is recorded as part of the asset to which it relates and is amortized over the asset s estimated useful life. Refer to Note 6 Property and Equipment.

Table of Contents

***Asset Impairments***

The Company had property and equipment of $1.8 billion and $1.7 billion as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, including approximately 3,700 vacant beds at four idle facilities with a carrying value of $114.9 million which are being marketed to potential customers as of December 31, 2014, excluding equipment and other assets that can be easily transferred for use at other facilities.

The Company reviews long-lived assets to be held and used for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of such assets may not be fully recoverable. Events that would trigger an impairment assessment include deterioration of profits for a business segment that has long-lived assets, or when other changes occur that might impair recovery of long-lived assets such as the termination of a management contract or a prolonged decrease in inmate population. If impairment indicators are present, the Company performs a recoverability test to determine whether or not an impairment loss should be measured.

The Company tests idle facilities for impairment upon notification that the facilities will no longer be utilized by the customer. If a long-lived asset is part of a group that includes other assets, the unit of accounting for the long-lived asset is its group. Generally, the Company groups assets by facility for the purpose of considering whether any impairment exists. The estimates of recoverability are based on projected undiscounted cash flows associated with actual marketing efforts where available or, in other instances, projected undiscounted cash flows that are comparable to historical cash flows from management contracts at similar facilities and sensitivity analyses that consider reductions to such cash flows. The Company s sensitivity analyses include adjustments to projected cash flows compared to the historical cash flows due to current business conditions which impact per diem rates as well as labor and other operating costs, changes related to facility mission due to changes in prospective clients, and changes in projected capacity and occupancy rates. The Company also factors in prolonged periods of vacancies as well as the time and costs required to ramp up facility population once a contract is obtained. The Company performs the impairment analyses on an annual basis for each of the idle facilities and takes into consideration updates each quarter for market developments affecting the potential utilization of each of the facilities in order to identify events that may cause the Company to reconsider the most recent assumptions. Such events could include negotiations with a prospective customer for the utilization of an idle facility at terms significantly less favorable than the terms used in the Company s most recent impairment analysis, or changes in legislation surrounding a particular facility that could impact the Company s ability to house certain types of inmates at such facility. Further, a substantial increase in the number of available beds at other facilities the Company owns, or in the marketplace, could lead to deterioration in market conditions and projected cash flows. Although they are not frequently received, an unsolicited offer to purchase any of the Company s idle facilities, at amounts that are less than their carrying value could also cause the Company to reconsider the assumptions used in the most recent impairment analysis. The Company has identified marketing prospects to utilize each of the remaining currently idled facilities and does not see any catalysts that would result in a current impairment. However, the Company can provide no assurance that it will be able to secure management contracts to utilize its idle facilities, or that it will not incur impairment charges in the future. In all cases, the projected undiscounted cash flows in our analysis as of December 31, 2014 substantially exceeded the carrying amounts of each facility.

The Company s evaluations also take into consideration historical experience in securing new management contracts to utilize facilities that had been previously idled for periods comparable to or in excess of the periods the Company s currently idle facilities have been idle. Such previously idle facilities are currently being operated under contracts that generate cash flows resulting in the recoverability of the net book value of the previously idled facilities by substantial amounts. Due to a variety of factors, the lead time to negotiate contracts with federal and state agencies to utilize idle bed capacity is generally lengthy which has historically resulted in periods of idleness similar to the ones the Company is currently experiencing. As a result of its analyses, the Company determined each of these assets to have recoverable values substantially in excess of the corresponding carrying values.

By their nature, these estimates contain uncertainties with respect to the extent and timing of the respective cash flows due to potential delays or material changes to forecasted terms and conditions in contracts with prospective customers that could impact the estimate of projected cash flows. Notwithstanding the effects the

Table of Contents

current economy has had on the Company s customers demand for prison beds in the short term which has led to its decision to idle certain facilities, the Company believes the long-term trends favor an increase in the utilization of its idle correctional facilities. This belief is also based on the Company s experience in working with governmental agencies faced with significant budgetary challenges which is a primary contributing factor to the lack of appropriated funding to build new bed capacity by federal and state agencies.

***Assets Held under Capital Leases***

Assets held under capital leases are recorded at the lower of the net present value of the minimum lease payments or the fair value of the leased asset at the inception of the lease. Amortization expense is recognized using the straight-line method over the shorter of the estimated useful life of the asset or the term of the related lease and is included in depreciation expense.

***Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets***

***Goodwill***

The Company has recorded goodwill as a result of its business combinations. Goodwill is recorded as the difference, if any, between the aggregate consideration paid for an acquisition and the fair value of the net tangible assets and other intangible assets acquired. The Company s goodwill is not amortized and is tested for impairment annually on the first day of the fourth fiscal quarter, and whenever events or circumstances arise that indicate impairment may have occurred. Impairment testing is performed for all reporting units that contain goodwill. The reporting units are the same as the reporting segment for U.S. Corrections & Detention and are at the operating segment level for GEO Care. On the measurement date of October 1, 2014, the Company s management elected to qualitatively asses the Company s goodwill for impairment for certain of its reporting units, pursuant to the provisions of Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) Accounting Standards Update ( ASU ) 2011-08. For one of its other reporting units, the Company elected to quantitatively assess the Company s goodwill for impairment as discussed further below. Under provisions of the qualitative analysis, when testing goodwill for impairment, the Company first assesses qualitative factors to determine whether the existence of events or circumstances leads to a determination that it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If, after assessing the totality of events or circumstances, the Company determines it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, the Company performs the first step of the two-step impairment test by calculating the fair value of the reporting unit, using a discounted cash flow method, and comparing the fair value with the carrying amount of the reporting unit. If the carrying amount of a reporting unit exceeds its fair value, the Company performs the second step of the goodwill impairment test to measure the amount of the impairment loss, if any. The qualitative factors used by the Company s management to determine the likelihood that the fair value of the reporting unit is less than the carrying amount include, among other things, a review of overall economic conditions and their current and future impact on the Company s existing business, the Company s financial performance, industry outlook and market competition.

For the reporting unit that the Company elected to quantitatively assess the goodwill for impairment, the Company used a third party valuation firm to determine the estimated fair value of the reporting unit using a discounted cash flow and other valuation models. Growth rates for sales and profits are determined using inputs from the Company s long term planning process. The Company also makes estimates for discount rates and other factors based on market conditions, historical experience and other economic factors. Changes in these factors could significantly impact the fair value of the reporting unit. During the year, the Company s management monitors the actual performance of the business relative to the fair value assumptions used during the prior year annual impairment test and updates its annual impairment test, if needed, to determine the likelihood that the goodwill has been impaired. With respect to the reporting units that were assessed qualitatively, management determined that it was more likely than not that the fair values of the reporting units exceeded their carrying values. With respect to the reporting unit that was assessed quantitatively, management concluded that the estimated fair value exceeded its carrying value.

Table of Contents

***Other Intangible Assets***

The Company has also recorded other finite and indefinite lived intangible assets as a result of previously completed business combinations. Other acquired finite and indefinite lived intangible assets are recognized separately if the benefit of the intangible asset is obtained through contractual or other legal rights, or if the intangible asset can be sold, transferred, licensed, rented or exchanged, regardless of the Company s intent to do so. The Company s intangible assets include facility management contracts, the BI and Protocol (BI s recently acquired subsidiary) trade names and technology. The facility management contracts represent customer relationships in the form of management contracts acquired at the time of each business combination; the value of BI s and Protocol s trade names represent, among other intangible benefits, name recognition to its customers and intellectual property rights; and the acquired technology represents BI s innovation with respect to its GPS tracking monitoring, radio frequency monitoring, voice verification monitoring and alcohol compliance systems and Protocol s innovation with respect to its customer relationship management software. When establishing useful lives, the Company considers the period and the pattern in which the economic benefits of the intangible asset are consumed or otherwise used up; or, if that pattern cannot be reliably determined, using a straight-line amortization method over a period that may be shorter than the ultimate life of such intangible asset. The Company currently amortizes its acquired facility management contracts over periods ranging from three to eighteen years and its acquired technology over seven years. There is no residual value associated with the Company s finite-lived intangible assets. The Company reviews its finite lived intangible assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of such assets may not be fully recoverable. The Company does not amortize its indefinite lived intangible assets. The Company reviews its indefinite lived intangible assets annually or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the asset might be impaired. These reviews resulted in no impairment to the carrying value of the indefinite lived intangible assets for all periods presented. The Company records the costs associated with renewal and extension of facility management contracts as expenses in the period they are incurred.

***Debt Issuance Costs***

Debt issuance costs, net of accumulated amortization of $21.5 million and $19.5 million, totaling $53.9 million and $33.1 million at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, are included in Other Non-Current Assets in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets and are amortized to interest expense using the effective interest method over the term of the related debt. When evaluating the accounting for debt transactions and the related costs, in instances when there is a significant decrease in a creditor s individual principal balance, the Company expenses the associated unamortized debt issuance costs.

***Variable Interest Entities***

The Company evaluates its joint ventures and other entities in which it has a variable interest (a VIE ), generally in the form of investments, loans, guarantees, or equity in order to determine if it has a controlling financial interest and is required to consolidate the entity as a result. The reporting entity with a variable interest that provides the entity with a controlling financial interest in the VIE will have both of the following characteristics: (i) the power to direct the activities of a VIE that most significantly impact the VIE s economic performance and (ii) the obligation to absorb the losses of the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE or the right to receive benefits from the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE.

The Company consolidated STLDC, a VIE, until September 30, 2013. STLDC was created to finance construction for the development of a 1,904-bed facility in Frio County, Texas. STLDC, the owner of the complex, issued $49.5 million in taxable revenue bonds and had an operating agreement with the Company, which provided the Company with the sole and exclusive right to operate and manage the detention center. The operating agreement and bond indenture required the revenue from the contract to be used to fund the periodic debt service requirements as they became due. The net revenues, if any, after various expenses such as trustee fees, property taxes and insurance premiums were distributed to the Company to cover operating expenses and management fees. The Company was responsible for the entire operations of the facility including the payment

Table of Contents

of all operating expenses whether or not there were sufficient revenues. The bonds had a 10-year term and were non-recourse to the Company. At the end of the 10-year term of the bonds, or if the bonds were redeemed, canceled or defeased, title and ownership of the facility would transfer from STLDC to the Company.

On September 30, 2013, the Company completed a defeasance of the bonds and the title to the facility was transferred to the Company. In connection with the defeasance, the Company incurred a $1.5 million loss on extinguishment of debt which represented the excess of the reacquisition price of the defeasance over the net carrying value of the bonds and other defeasance related fees and expenses. Upon the closing of the transaction, the operating agreement was terminated and STLDC is no longer a VIE and is no longer consolidated by the Company.

MCF was created in August 2001 as a special limited partnership for the purpose of acquiring, owning, leasing and operating low to medium security adult and juvenile correction and treatment facilities. At its inception, MCF purchased assets representing eleven facilities from certain wholly owned subsidiaries of Cornell Companies, Inc. ( Cornell ), a wholly owned GEO subsidiary, and leased those assets back to Cornell under a Master Lease Agreement (the Lease ). These assets were purchased from Cornell using proceeds from the 8.47% Revenue Bonds due 2016 (the MCF bonds ). Under the terms of the Lease, the Company would lease the assets for the remainder of the 20-year base term, which was scheduled to end in 2021, and had options at its sole discretion to renew the Lease for up to approximately 25 additional years. Prior to the transaction discussed below, MCF s sole source of revenue was from the Company and as such the Company had the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly impacted its performance. The Company s risk was generally limited to the rental obligations under the operating leases. This entity was included in the accompanying consolidated financial statements as a VIE through August 31, 2012. The non-controlling interests were also included in the accompanying consolidated financial statements through August 31, 2012. Upon the purchase of the ownership interests in MCF as discussed below, MCF is no longer a VIE but is still included in the accompanying consolidated financial statements and all intercompany transactions are eliminated in consolidation.

On August 31, 2012, the Company purchased 100% of the partnership interests of MCF from the third party holders of these interests for a total net consideration of $35.2 million. After the purchase, the Company redeemed the MCF bonds. As the transaction increased GEO s ownership interest in MCF, from 0% to 100%, and GEO retained its controlling interest in MCF, the purchase of the partnership interests has been accounted for as an equity transaction with additional paid-in capital adjusted for the difference between the August 31, 2012 balance of the non-controlling interest in MCF of $8.1 million and the $35.2 million consideration paid, net of MCF deferred tax assets of $10.0 million, with no gain or loss recorded in consolidated net income or comprehensive income. Refer to Note 3 Shareholders Equity. The Company incurred costs related to the purchase of the ownership interests of MCF of $1.6 million for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012. These costs were expensed as incurred and included in general and administrative expenses in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.

The Company does not consolidate its 50% owned South African joint venture interest in South African Custodial Services Pty. Limited ( SACS ), a VIE. SACS joint venture investors are GEO and Kensani Corrections, Pty. Ltd (an independent third party); each partner owns a 50% share. The Company has determined it is not the primary beneficiary of SACS since it does not have the power to direct the activities of SACS that most significantly impact its performance. As such, the Company s investment in this entity is accounted for under the equity method of accounting. SACS was established and subsequently, in 2001, was awarded a 25-year contract to design, finance and build the Kutama Sinthumule Correctional Centre in Louis Trichardt, South Africa. To fund the construction of the prison, SACS obtained long-term financing from its equity partners and lenders, the repayment of which is fully guaranteed by the South African government, except in the event of default, in which case the government guarantee is reduced to 80%. The Company s maximum exposure for loss under this contract is limited to its investment in the joint venture of $8.0 million at December 31, 2014 and its guarantees related to SACS are discussed in Note 14 Debt.

Table of Contents

The Company does not consolidate its 50% owned joint venture in the United Kingdom. In February 2011, The GEO Group Limited, the Company s wholly-owned subsidiary in the United Kingdom ( GEO UK ), executed a Shareholders Agreement (the Shareholders Agreement ) with Amey Community Limited ( Amey ) and Amey UK PLC ( Amey Guarantor ) to form GEO Amey PECS Limited ( GEOAmey ), a private company limited by shares incorporated in England and Wales. GEOAmey was formed by GEO UK and Amey (an independent third party) for the purpose of performing prisoner escort and related custody services in England and Wales. In order to form this private company, GEOAmey issued share capital of £100 divided into 100 shares of £1 each and allocated the shares 50/50 to GEO UK and Amey. GEO UK and Amey each have three directors appointed to the Board of Directors and neither party has the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the performance of GEOAmey. As such, the Company s investment in this entity is accounted for under the equity method of accounting. Both parties provide lines of credit of £12.0 million, or $18.6 million, based on exchange rates in effect as of December 31, 2014, to ensure that GEOAmey can comply with future contractual commitments related to the performance of its operations. As of December 31, 2014, $16.3 million was owed to the Company by GEOAmey under the line of credit. GEOAmey commenced operations on August 29, 2011.

***Fair Value Measurements***

The Company defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date ( exit price ). The Company carries certain of its assets and liabilities at fair value, measured on a recurring basis, in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets. The Company also has certain assets and liabilities which are not carried at fair value in its accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets and discloses the fair value measurements compared to the carrying values as of each balance sheet date. The Company s fair value measurements are disclosed in Note 11 Financial Instruments and Note 12 Fair Value of Assets and Liabilities. The Company establishes fair value of its assets and liabilities using a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value into three broad levels which distinguish between assumptions based on market data (observable inputs) and the Company s assumptions (unobservable inputs). The level in the fair value hierarchy within which the respective fair value measurement falls is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the measurement in its entirety. Level 1 inputs are quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities, Level 2 inputs are other than quotable market prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability either directly or indirectly through corroboration with observable market data. Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for the assets or liabilities that reflect management s own assumptions about the assumptions market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. The Company recognizes transfers between Levels 1, 2 and 3 as of the actual date of the event or change in circumstances that cause the transfer.

***Revenue Recognition***

Facility management revenues are recognized as services are provided under facility management contracts with approved government appropriations based on a net rate per day per inmate or on a fixed monthly rate, as applicable. A limited number of the Company s contracts have provisions upon which a small portion of the revenue for the contract is based on the performance of certain targets. Revenue based on the performance of certain targets is less than 1% of the Company s consolidated annual revenues. These performance targets are based on specific criteria to be met over specific periods of time. Such criteria includes the Company s ability to achieve certain contractual benchmarks relative to the quality of service it provides, non-occurrence of certain disruptive events, effectiveness of its quality control programs and its responsiveness to customer requirements and concerns. For the limited number of contracts where revenue is based on the performance of certain targets, revenue is either (i) recorded pro rata when revenue is fixed and determinable or (ii) recorded when the specified time period lapses. In many instances, the Company is a party to more than one contract with a single entity. In these instances, each contract is accounted for separately. The Company has not recorded any revenue that is at risk due to future performance contingencies.

Table of Contents

Construction revenues are recognized from the Company s contracts with certain customers to perform construction and design services ( project development services ) for various facilities. In these instances, the Company acts as the primary developer and subcontracts with bonded National and/or Regional Design Build Contractors. These construction revenues are recognized as earned on a percentage of completion basis measured by the percentage of costs incurred to date as compared to the estimated total cost for each contract. Provisions for estimated losses on uncompleted contracts and changes to cost estimates are made in the period in which the Company determines that such losses and changes are probable. Typically, the Company enters into fixed price contracts and does not perform additional work unless approved change orders are in place. Costs attributable to unapproved change orders are expensed in the period in which the costs are incurred if the Company believes that it is not probable that the costs will be recovered through a change in the contract price. If the Company believes that it is probable that the costs will be recovered through a change in the contract price, costs related to unapproved change orders are expensed in the period in which they are incurred, and contract revenue is recognized to the extent of the costs incurred. Revenue in excess of the costs attributable to unapproved change orders is not recognized until the change order is approved. Changes in job performance, job conditions, and estimated profitability, including those arising from contract penalty provisions, and final contract settlements, may result in revisions to estimated costs and income, and are recognized in the period in which the revisions are determined. For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, there have been no changes in job performance, job conditions and estimated profitability that would require a revision to the estimated costs and income related to project development services. As the primary contractor, the Company is exposed to the various risks associated with construction, including the risk of cost overruns. Accordingly, the Company records its construction revenue on a gross basis and includes the related cost of construction activities in Operating Expenses.

When evaluating multiple element arrangements for certain contracts where the Company provides project development services to its clients in addition to standard management services, the Company follows revenue recognition guidance for multiple element arrangements under ASC 605-25 *Multiple Element Arrangements* . This revenue recognition guidance related to multiple deliverables in an arrangement provides guidance on determining if separate contracts should be evaluated as a single arrangement and if an arrangement involves a single unit of accounting or separate units of accounting and if the arrangement is determined to have separate units, how to allocate amounts received in the arrangement for revenue recognition purposes. In instances where the Company provides these project development services and subsequent management services, generally, the arrangement results in no delivered elements at the onset of the agreement. The elements are delivered, and revenue is recognized, over the contract period as the project development and management services are performed. Project development services are generally not provided separately to a customer without a management contract. The Company has determined that the significant deliverables in such an arrangement during the project development phase and services performed under the management contract qualify as separate units of accounting. With respect to the deliverables during the management services period, the Company regularly negotiates such contracts and provides management services to its customers outside of any arrangement for construction. The Company establishes per diem rates for all of its management contracts based on, amongst other factors, expected and guaranteed occupancy, costs of providing the services and desired margins. As such, the fair value of the consideration to each deliverable was determined using the Company s estimated selling price for the project development deliverable and vendor specific objective evidence for the facility management services deliverable.

***Lease Revenue***

The Company leases two of its owned facilities to unrelated parties. One lease has a term of ten years and expires in January 2018 with an option to extend for up to three additional five-year terms. The carrying value of this leased facility as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 was $32.5 million and $33.4 million, respectively, net of accumulated depreciation of $6.7 million and $5.8 million, respectively. The other facility lease is effective January 2014 with a one-year term and options to extend for up to three additional one-year terms. The carrying value of this leased facility as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 was $1.1 million and $1.2 million, respectively,

Table of Contents

net of accumulated depreciation of $0.4 million and $0.2 million, respectively. Rental income, included in Revenues, received on these leases were $4.6 million, $4.5 million and $4.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 and 2012, respectively. As of December 31, 2014, future minimum rentals to be received on these leases are as follows:

| Year | Annual Rental (In thousands) |
|---|---|
| 2015 | $ 5,030 |
| 2016 | 5,054 |
| 2017 | 5,206 |
| 2018 | 351 |
| | $ 15,641 |

***Income Taxes***

The consolidated financial statements reflect provisions for federal, state, local and foreign income taxes. The Company recognizes deferred tax assets and liabilities for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax basis, as well as operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. The Company measures deferred tax assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences and carryforwards are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities as a result of a change in tax rates is recognized as income in the period that includes the enactment date. At December 31, 2012, the Company had revalued certain deferred tax assets and liabilities related to its REIT activities. Refer to Note 17-Income Taxes in the notes to the consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this annual report on Form 10-K. Effective January 1, 2013, as a REIT that plans to distribute 100% of its taxable income to shareholders, the Company does not expect to pay federal income taxes at the REIT level (including its qualified REIT subsidiaries), as the resulting dividends paid deduction will generally offset its taxable income. Since the Company does not expect to pay taxes on its REIT taxable income, it does not expect to be able to recognize such deferred tax assets and liabilities.

Deferred income taxes related to the TRS structure are determined based on the estimated future tax effects of differences between the financial statement and tax basis of assets and liabilities given the provisions of enacted tax laws. Significant judgments are required to determine the consolidated provision for income taxes. Deferred income tax provisions and benefits are based on changes to the assets or liabilities from year to year. Realization of the Company s deferred tax assets is dependent upon many factors such as tax regulations applicable to the jurisdictions in which the Company operates, estimates of future taxable income and the character of such taxable income.

Additionally, the Company must use significant judgment in addressing uncertainties in the application of complex tax laws and regulations. If actual circumstances differ from the Company s assumptions, adjustments to the carrying value of deferred tax assets or liabilities may be required, which may result in an adverse impact on the results of its operations and its effective tax rate. Valuation allowances are recorded related to deferred tax assets based on the more likely than not criteria. The Company has not made any significant changes to the way it accounts for its deferred tax assets and liabilities in any year presented in the consolidated financial statements, with the exception of the December 31, 2012 revaluation of certain deferred tax assets and liabilities related to its REIT activities. Based on its estimate of future earnings and its favorable earnings history, the Company currently expects full realization of the deferred tax assets net of any recorded valuation allowances. Furthermore, tax positions taken by the Company may not be fully sustained upon examination by the taxing authorities. In determining the adequacy of our provision (benefit) for income taxes, potential settlement outcomes resulting from income tax examinations are regularly assessed. As such, the final outcome of tax examinations, including the total amount payable or the timing of any such payments upon resolution of these issues, cannot be estimated with certainty.

Table of Contents

***Reserves for Insurance Losses***

The nature of the Company s business exposes it to various types of third-party legal claims, including, but not limited to, civil rights claims relating to conditions of confinement and/or mistreatment, sexual misconduct claims brought by prisoners or detainees, medical malpractice claims, product liability claims, intellectual property infringement claims, claims relating to employment matters (including, but not limited to, employment discrimination claims, union grievances and wage and hour claims), property loss claims, environmental claims, automobile liability claims, contractual claims and claims for personal injury or other damages resulting from contact with our facilities, programs, electronic monitoring products, personnel or prisoners, including damages arising from a prisoner s escape or from a disturbance or riot at a facility. In addition, the Company s management contracts generally require it to indemnify the governmental agency against any damages to which the governmental agency may be subject in connection with such claims or litigation. The Company maintains a broad program of insurance coverage for these general types of claims, except for claims relating to employment matters, for which the Company carries no insurance. There can be no assurance that the Company s insurance coverage will be adequate to cover all claims to which it may be exposed. It is the Company s general practice to bring merged or acquired companies into its corporate master policies in order to take advantage of certain economies of scale.

The Company currently maintains a general liability policy and excess liability policies with total limits of $67.0 million per occurrence and in the aggregate covering the operations of U.S. Corrections & Detention, GEO Care s community based services, GEO Care s youth services and BI. The Company has a claims-made liability insurance program with a specific loss limit of $35.0 million per occurrence and in the aggregate related to medical professional liability claims arising out of correctional healthcare services. The Company is uninsured for any claims in excess of these limits. We also maintain insurance to cover property and other casualty risks including, workers compensation, environmental liability and automobile liability.

For most casualty insurance policies, the Company carries substantial deductibles or self-insured retentions of $3.0 million per occurrence for general liability and medical professional liability, $2.0 million per occurrence for workers compensation and $1.0 million per occurrence for automobile liability. In addition, certain of the Company s facilities located in Florida and other high-risk hurricane areas carry substantial windstorm deductibles. Since hurricanes are considered unpredictable future events, no reserves have been established to pre-fund for potential windstorm damage. Limited commercial availability of certain types of insurance relating to windstorm exposure in coastal areas and earthquake exposure mainly in California and the Pacific Northwest may prevent the Company from insuring some of its facilities to full replacement value.

With respect to operations in South Africa, the United Kingdom and Australia, the Company utilizes a combination of locally-procured insurance and global policies to meet contractual insurance requirements and protect the Company. In addition to these policies, the Company s Australian subsidiary carries tail insurance on a general liability policy related to a discontinued contract.

Of the reserves discussed above, the Company s most significant insurance reserves relate to workers compensation, general liability and auto claims. These reserves are undiscounted and were $49.5 million and $47.6 million as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and are included in Accrued Expenses in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets. The Company uses statistical and actuarial methods to estimate amounts for claims that have been reported but not paid and claims incurred but not reported. In applying these methods and assessing their results, the Company considers such factors as historical frequency and severity of claims at each of its facilities, claim development, payment patterns and changes in the nature of its business, among other factors. Such factors are analyzed for each of the Company s business segments. The Company estimates may be impacted by such factors as increases in the market price for medical services and unpredictability of the size of jury awards. The Company also may experience variability between its estimates and the actual settlement due to limitations inherent in the estimation process, including its ability to estimate costs of processing and settling claims in a timely manner as well as its ability to accurately estimate the Company s exposure at the onset of a claim. Because the Company has high deductible insurance policies, the

Table of Contents

amount of its insurance expense is dependent on its ability to control its claims experience. If actual losses related to insurance claims significantly differ from the Company s estimates, its financial condition, results of operations and cash flows could be materially adversely impacted.

***Comprehensive Income (Loss)***

Comprehensive income (loss) represents the change in shareholders equity from transactions and other events and circumstances arising from non-shareholder sources. The Company s total comprehensive income is comprised of net income attributable to GEO, net income attributable to noncontrolling interests, foreign currency translation adjustments that arise from consolidating foreign operations that do not impact cash flows, net unrealized gains and/ or losses on derivative instruments, and pension liability adjustments in the consolidated statements of shareholders equity.

The components of accumulated other comprehensive loss attributable to GEO included in the consolidated statement of shareholders equity are as follows (in thousands):

| | Foreign currency translation adjustments, net of tax attributable to The GEO Group, Inc.[1] | Unrealized loss on derivatives, net of tax | Pension adjustments, net of tax | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Balance, December 31, 2013 | $ (2,441) | $ (274) | $ (1,714) | $ (4,429) |
| Current-period other comprehensive loss | (4,462) | (16,048) | (2,522) | (23,032) |
| Balance, December 31, 2014 | $ (6,903) | $ (16,322) | $ (4,236) | $ (27,461) |

**[1]** The foreign currency translation adjustment, net of tax, related to noncontrolling interests was not significant for the year ended December 31, 2014 or December 31, 2013.

There were no reclassifications out of other comprehensive income (loss) during the year.

***Foreign Currency Translation***

The Company s foreign operations use their local currencies as their functional currencies. Assets and liabilities of the operations are translated at the exchange rates in effect on the balance sheet date and shareholders equity is translated at historical rates. Income statement items are translated at the average exchange rates for the year. Any adjustment resulting from translating the financial statements of the foreign subsidiary is reflected as other comprehensive income, net of related tax. Gains and losses on foreign currency transactions are included in the statement of operations.

***Derivatives***

The Company s primary objective in holding derivatives is to reduce the volatility of earnings and cash flows associated with changes in interest rates. The Company measures its derivative financial instruments at fair value and records derivatives as either assets or liabilities on the balance sheet. For derivatives that are designed as and qualify as effective cash flow hedges, the portion of gain or loss on the derivative instrument effective at offsetting changes in the hedged item is reported as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income and reclassified into earnings when the hedged transaction affects earnings. For derivative instruments that are designated as and qualify as effective fair value hedges, the gain or loss on the derivative instruments as well as the offsetting gain or loss on the hedged items attributable to the hedged risk is recognized in current earnings as interest income (expense) during the period of the change in fair values. For derivative instruments that do not meet the requirements for hedge accounting, changes in fair value are recorded in earnings.

111

Table of Contents

The Company formally documents all relationships between hedging instruments and hedge items, as well as its risk-management objective and strategy for undertaking various hedge transactions. This process includes attributing all derivatives that are designated as cash flow hedges to floating rate liabilities and attributing all derivatives that are designated as fair value hedges to fixed rate liabilities. The Company also assesses whether each derivative is highly effective in offsetting changes in the cash flows of the hedged item. Fluctuations in the value of the derivative instruments are generally offset by changes in the hedged item; however, if it is determined that a derivative is not highly effective as a hedge or if a derivative ceases to be a highly effective hedge, the Company will discontinue hedge accounting prospectively for the affected derivative.

***Stock-Based Compensation Expense***

The Company recognizes the cost of stock-based compensation awards based upon the grant date fair value of those awards. The Company uses a Black-Scholes option valuation model to estimate the fair value of options awarded. The impact of forfeitures that may occur prior to vesting is also estimated and considered in the amount recognized. Stock-based compensation expense is recognized ratably over the requisite service period, which is typically the vesting period.

The fair value of stock-based option awards was estimated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions for options awarded during years 2014 and 2012 (there were no options awarded during 2013):

| | 2014 | 2012 |
|---|---|---|
| Risk free interest rates | 0.62% | 0.78% |
| Expected term | 4-5 years | 4-5 years |
| Expected volatility | 27% | 40% |
| Expected dividend rate | 7.00% | 3.00% |

The Company uses historical data to estimate award exercises and employee terminations within the valuation model. The expected term of the awards represents the period of time that awards granted are expected to be outstanding and is based on historical data and expected holding periods. During 2012, the Company began declaring quarterly dividends. The expected dividend rate for awards granted in 2012 was based on the Company s expected future dividend yield prior to the REIT conversion and the effect of the 2012 Special Dividend. In connection with the 2012 divestiture of RTS (refer to Note 2 Discontinued Operations) and the stock component of the Special Dividend, the Company modified certain of its share-based payment awards as more fully discussed in Note 4 Equity Incentive Plans.

For restricted stock share-based awards that contain a performance condition, the achievement of the targets must be probable before any share-based compensation is recorded. If subsequent to initial measurement there is a change in the estimate of the probability of meeting the performance condition, the effect of the change in the estimated quantity of awards expected to vest is recognized by cumulatively adjusting compensation expense. If ultimately the performance targets are not met, for any awards where vesting was previously deemed probable, previously recognized compensation expense will be reversed in the period in which vesting is no longer deemed probable.

For restricted stock share-based awards that contain a market condition, the probability of satisfying the market condition is considered in the estimate of grant-date fair value and previously recorded compensation expense is not reversed if the market condition is never met. The fair value of restricted stock awards granted in 2014 with market-based performance conditions was determined based on a Monte Carlo simulation, which calculates a range of possible outcomes and the probabilities that they will occur, using the following key assumptions: (i) volatility of 25.6%; (ii) beta of 0.74; and (iii) risk free rate of 0.62%. The fair value of restricted stock awards granted in 2013 with market-based performance conditions was also determined based on a Monte Carlo simulation using the following key assumptions: (i) volatility of 26.6%; (ii) beta of 0.681; and (iii) risk free rate of 0.42%. Refer to Note 4 Equity Incentive Plans.

Table of Contents

***Treasury Stock***

The Company accounts for repurchases of common stock using the cost method with common stock held in treasury classified as a reduction of shareholders equity in its Consolidated Balance Sheets. Shares re-issued out of treasury are recorded based on a last-in first-out method. In 2014, the Company retired each share of common stock held in treasury in connection with its merger into GEO REIT.

***Earnings Per Share***

Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing the income from continuing operations attributable to GEO, and income (loss) from discontinued operations and net income attributable to GEO, by the weighted average number of outstanding shares of common stock. The calculation of diluted earnings per share is similar to that of basic earnings per share, except that the denominator includes the dilutive effect, if any, of common stock equivalents such as stock options and shares of restricted stock.

***Recent Accounting Pronouncements***

In January 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-01 *Simplifying Income Statement Presentation by Eliminating the Concept of Extraordinary Items,* which eliminates the concept of extraordinary items altogether from U.S. GAAP. By removing the concept of extraordinary items from U.S. GAAP, this ASU removes the uncertainty and disparity in practice involved in identifying, presenting, and disclosing extraordinary items, as well as more closely aligns U.S. GAAP with IFRS. As with all of the FASB s simplification initiatives, the new guidance is also expected to reduce the costs and complexity of financial statement preparation. The amendments resulting from ASU 2015-01 are effective for all entities for fiscal years, and for interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2015, with earlier adoption permitted provided that the guidance is applied from the beginning of the fiscal year of adoption. The implementation of this standard is not expected to have a material impact on the Company s financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In June 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-12, *Accounting for Share-Based Payments When the Terms of an Award Provide That a Performance Target Could Be Achieved after the Requisite Service Period,* which resolves the diverse accounting treatment of share-based payments on an award where the terms provide that the performance target could be achieved after an employee completes the requisite service period. The amendments require that a performance target that affects vesting and that could be achieved after the requisite service period be treated as a performance condition. The amendments in this update apply to all reporting entities that grant their employees share-based payments in which the terms of the award provide that a performance target that affects vesting could be achieved after the requisite service period. This standard will become effective for annual periods and interim periods within those annual periods beginning on or after December 15, 2015. The implementation of this standard is not expected to have a material impact on the Company s financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-09, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers,* which initiates a joint project between FASB and the International Accounting Standards Board ( IASB ) to clarify the principles for recognizing revenue and develop a common revenue standard for U.S. GAAP and IFRS. The core principle of the guidance is that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for goods or services. The guidance in this update affects any entity that either enters into contracts with customers to transfer goods or services or enters into contracts for the transfer of nonfinancial assets unless those contracts are within the scope of other standards. This standard will become effective for annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2016, including those interim periods within that reporting period. Early adoption is not permitted. An entity may apply the amendment in this update retrospectively to each reporting period presented, or retrospectively with the cumulative effect of initially applying this update recognized at the date of initial application. The Company is in the process of evaluating whether this standard would have a material impact on the Company s financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Table of Contents

In April 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-08, *Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of Components of an Entity,* which changes the requirements for reporting discontinued operations. A discontinued operation may include a component of an entity or group of components of an entity, or a business or nonprofit activity. Under the ASU, only those disposals of components of an entity that represent a strategic shift that has (or will have) a major effect on an entity s operations and financial results will be reported as discontinued operations in the financial statements. This standard will become effective for disposals or activities classified as held for sale that occur within annual periods beginning on or after December 15, 2014. The implementation of this standard is not expected to have a material impact on the Company s financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In January 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-05, *Service Concession Arrangements,* which specifies that an operating entity should not account for a service concession arrangement that falls within the scope of this update as a lease in accordance with Topic 840. An operating entity should refer to other Topics as applicable to account for various aspects of a service concession arrangement. The amendments also specify that the infrastructure used in a service concession arrangement should not be recognized as property, plant and equipment of the operating entity. A service concession arrangement is defined as an arrangement between a public-sector entity and an operating entity for which the terms provide that the operating entity will operate the public-sector entity s infrastructure (for example, airports, roads, bridges, tunnels, prisons and hospitals) for a specified period of time. This standard will become effective for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 31, 2014. The implementation of this standard is not expected to have a material impact on the Company s financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Other recent accounting pronouncements issued by the FASB (including its Emerging Issues Task Force), the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the SEC did not, or are not expected to, have a material effect on the Company s results of operations or financial position.

**2. Discontinued Operations**

***Divestiture of RTS***

On December 31, 2012, as part of the Company s restructuring steps allowing it to begin operating as a REIT beginning January 1, 2013, the Company completed the divestiture of its RTS operating component, which was purchased by GEO Care LLC, an entity owned by certain current and former members of GEO s management team (the MBO Group ). Cash proceeds from the divestiture amounted to $33.3 million. Certain members of the MBO group sold 295,959 shares of common stock back to the Company for a total price of $8.6 million which was used to fund a portion of the purchase price. All provisional purchase price adjustments that had a one year period from the transaction date have expired as of December 31, 2014.

In connection with the RTS divestiture, the Company and GEO Care LLC entered into a services agreement pursuant to which the Company provides accounting support, information systems services, legal support services, risk management services, property management and design services and office space for a five-year term in return for an annual fee (the Services Agreement ). The Company and GEO Care LLC also executed a license agreement pursuant to which the Company granted to GEO Care LLC an exclusive license for a five-year term to use the GEO Care service mark and domain name in connection with the RTS business in return for an annual fee (the License Agreement ). The Services Agreement and License Agreement could be terminated by GEO Care LLC at any time by paying a lump sum amount in cash equal to all remaining payments that would be required to be made to the Company under the agreements during the five-year term, discounted to present value using a discount rate of 10%. On February 28, 2014, GEO Care LLC, the Company s formerly wholly owned subsidiary exercised its right to terminate the Services Agreement and License Agreement with GEO. As a result, GEO Care LLC made a lump sum payment to the Company in the amount of $6.5 million which represented all remaining payments that would have been required to be made to GEO under the agreements, discounted to present value using a discount rate of 10%. Pursuant to the termination, the terms under the Service Agreement will remain in effect until June 30, 2015 and

Table of Contents

the License Agreement remained in effect until January 1, 2015. Effective January 1, 2015, GEO regained ownership of the GEO Care service mark and domain name. The amount of the termination payment, which is recorded as deferred revenue and included in other current and non-current liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet, will be amortized through the remaining terms of the termination agreements.

During the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company earned fees under the above noted Services Agreement and License Agreement amounting to an aggregate of $4.6 million and $2.0 million, respectively, which has been recorded as an offset to operating expenses in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.

The disposal of RTS resulted in a loss in the overall customer relationship as no future significant cash flows will be generated for the Company by RTS and the Company will have no continuing involvement with RTS. The operating results of RTS and the loss on disposal have been classified in discontinued operations. Revenues related to the discontinued operations of RTS through its respective disposition date were $167.2 million for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012.

***U.S. Corrections & Detention***

On April 19, 2012, the Company announced its discontinuation of its managed-only contract with the State of Mississippi Department of Corrections ( MDOC ) for the 1,500-bed East Mississippi Correctional Facility ( East Mississippi ) effective July 19, 2012. In connection with the discontinuation of East Mississippi, the Company has also discontinued all other management contracts with the MDOC, including its managed-only contracts for the 1,000-bed Marshall County Correctional Facility effective August 13, 2012, and the 1,450-bed Walnut Grove Youth Correctional Facility effective July 1, 2012.

Revenues related to the discontinued operations of MDOC through their respective disposition dates were $24.5 million and $44.9 million for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012.

The loss of all management contracts with MDOC resulted in a loss in the overall customer relationship with MDOC as no future significant cash flows will be generated and the Company will have no continuing involvement with MDOC. As such, the results are classified in discontinued operations in accordance with our critical accounting policy on discontinued operations.

Summarized financial information for discontinued operations included in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations is as follows:

| | Year Ended (in thousands) | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Discontinued Operations: | | | |
| Income from discontinued operations RTS | $ | $ | $ 10,117 |
| Loss from discontinued operations Mississippi | | (2,265) | (3,881) |
| Loss on disposition of RTS | | | (24,701) |
| Income tax benefit | | | (7,805) |
| Net loss from discontinued operations, net of taxes | $ | $ (2,265) | $ (10,660) |

Loss from discontinued operations during the year ended December 31, 2013 represents a charge of $2.3 million of insurance liability claims which are directly related to MDOC.

All losses from the above noted discontinued operations included in the Consolidated Statements of Operations is attributable to GEO.

Table of Contents

**3. Shareholders Equity**

***Common Stock***

Each holder of the Company s common stock is entitled to one vote per share on all matters to be voted upon by the Company s shareholders. Upon any liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the Company, the holders of common stock are entitled to share equally in all assets available for distribution after payment of all liabilities, subject to the liquidation preference of shares of preferred stock, if any, then outstanding.

***Distributions***

As a REIT, GEO is required to distribute annually at least 90% of its REIT taxable income (determined without regard to the dividends paid deduction and by excluding net capital gain) and began paying regular quarterly REIT dividends in 2013. The amount, timing and frequency of future dividends, however, will be at the sole discretion of GEO s Board of Directors (the Board ) and will be declared based upon various factors, many of which are beyond GEO s control, including, GEO s financial condition and operating cash flows, the amount required to maintain REIT status and reduce any income taxes that GEO otherwise would be required to pay, limitations on distributions in GEO s existing and future debt instruments, limitations on GEO s ability to fund distributions using cash generated through GEO s TRSs and other factors that GEO s Board may deem relevant.

On December 6, 2012, the Company announced the declaration by the Board of a special dividend of accumulated earnings and profits to shareholders of record as of December 12, 2012, with each shareholder having the right to elect cash or shares of common stock, except that the amount of cash payable was limited to the amount of cash paid pursuant to a lottery procedure plus 20% of the total dividend amount remaining after the lottery. The special dividend, amounting to $352.2 million, or $5.68 per share of common stock, was paid on December 31, 2012. Pursuant to the special dividend, GEO issued 9,688,568 shares of common stock and paid cash of $77.8 million.

During the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, GEO declared and paid the following regular cash distributions to its stockholders which were treated for federal income taxes as follows:

| | | | | Ordinary Dividends | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Declaration Date | Payment Date | Record Date | Distribution Per Share | Qualified(1) | Non-Qualified | Nondividend Distributions(2) | Aggregate Payment Amount (millions) |
| August 7, 2012 | September 7, 2012 | August 21, 2012 | $ 0.20 | N/A | N/A | N/A | $ 12.3 |
| October 31, 2012 | November 30, 2012 | November 16, 2012 | $ 0.20 | N/A | N/A | N/A | $ 12.3 |
| January 17, 2013 | March 1, 2013 | February 15, 2013 | $ 0.50 | $ 0.1551057 | $ 0.3448943 | | $ 35.7 |
| May 7, 2013 | June 3, 2013 | May 20, 2013 | $ 0.50 | $ 0.1551057 | $ 0.3448943 | | $ 35.8 |
| July 30, 2013 | August 29, 2013 | August 19, 2013 | $ 0.50 | $ 0.1551057 | $ 0.3448943 | | $ 36.1 |
| November 1, 2013 | November 26, 2013 | November 14, 2013 | $ 0.55 | $ 0.1706163 | $ 0.3793837 | | $ 39.6 |
| February 18, 2014 | March 14, 2014 | March 3, 2014 | $ 0.57 | $ 0.0448272 | $ 0.4154156 | $0.1097572 | $ 41.1 |
| April 28, 2014 | May 27, 2014 | May 15, 2014 | $ 0.57 | $ 0.0448272 | $ 0.4154156 | $0.1097572 | $ 41.5 |
| August 5, 2014 | August 29, 2014 | August 18, 2014 | $ 0.57 | $ 0.0448272 | $ 0.4154156 | $0.1097572 | $ 41.4 |
| November 5, 2014 | November 26, 2014 | November 17, 2014 | $ 0.62 | $ 0.0487594 | $ 0.4518556 | $0.1097572 | $ 46.0 |

Table of Contents

(1) The amount constitutes a Qualified Dividend , as defined by the Internal Revenue Service.
(2) The amount constitutes a Return of Capital , as defined by the Internal Revenue Service.

***Prospectus Supplement***

On May 8, 2013, the Company filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission a prospectus supplement related to the offer and sale from time to time of the Company s common stock at an aggregate offering price of up to $100 million through sales agents. Sales of shares of the Company s common stock under the prospectus supplement and the equity distribution agreements entered into with the sales agents, if any, may be made in negotiated transactions or transactions that are deemed to be at the market ( ATM ) offerings as defined in Rule 415 under the Securities Act of 1933. On July 18, 2014, the Company filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission a post-effective amendment to its shelf registration statement on Form S-3 (pursuant to which the prospectus supplement had been filed) as a result of the merger of the Company into GEO REIT effective June 27, 2014. During the year ended December 31, 2014, there were approximately 1.5 million shares of common stock sold under the prospectus supplement for net proceeds of $54.7 million. There were no shares of the Company s common stock sold under the prospectus supplement during the year ended December 31, 2013.

In September 2014, the Company filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission a new shelf registration statement on Form S-3. On November 10, 2014, in connection with the new shelf registration, the Company filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission a new prospectus supplement related to the offer and sale from time to time of the Company s common stock at an aggregate offering price of up to $150 million through sales agents. Sales of shares of the Company s common stock under the prospectus supplement and the equity distribution agreements entered into with the sales agents, if any, may be made in negotiated transactions or transactions that are deemed to be at the market offerings as defined in Rule 415 under the Securities Act of 1933. There were no shares of the Company s stock issued under this prospectus supplement during the year ended December 31, 2014.

***Preferred Stock***

In April 1994, the Company s Board authorized 30 million shares of blank check preferred stock. The Board is authorized to determine the rights and privileges of any future issuance of preferred stock such as voting and dividend rights, liquidation privileges, redemption rights and conversion privileges. As of December 31, 2014, there were no shares of preferred stock outstanding.

***Noncontrolling Interests***

Upon the acquisition of Cornell in August 2010, the Company assumed MCF as a variable interest entity and allocated a portion of the purchase price to the noncontrolling interest based on the estimated fair value of MCF. The noncontrolling interest in MCF represented 100% of the equity in MCF which was contributed by its partners at inception in 2001. The Company recorded the results of operations and financial position of MCF as noncontrolling interest in its consolidated financial statements through August 31, 2012. As further discussed in Note 1 Summary of Business Organization, Operations and Significant Accounting Policies under ***Variable Interest Entities***, effective August 31, 2012, the Company purchased 100% of the partnership interests of MCF. In connection with the transaction, the noncontrolling interest was reclassified to additional paid-in-capital. During the year ended December 31, 2012, $5.8 million in cash distributions were made to the then existing partners of MCF.

The Company includes the results of operations and financial position of South African Custodial Management Pty. Limited ( SACM or the joint venture ), its majority-owned subsidiary, in its consolidated financial statements. SACM was established in 2001 to operate correctional centers in South Africa. The joint venture currently provides security and other management services for the Kutama Sinthumule Correctional

Table of Contents

Centre in the Republic of South Africa under a 25-year management contract which commenced in February 2002. The Company s and the second joint venture partner s shares in the profits of the joint venture are 88.75% and 11.25%, respectively. There were no changes in the Company s ownership percentage of the consolidated subsidiary during the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012.

**4. Equity Incentive Plans**

The Company previously had awards outstanding under The GEO Group, Inc. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan (the 2006 Plan ). On February 4, 2013, the Compensation Committee resolved to increase the number of shares of common stock subject to awards under the 2006 Plan from 4,400,000 to 5,087,385 shares of common stock pursuant to Section 5(f) of the 2006 Plan as a result of the adjustment necessary because of the stock portion of the special dividend paid on December 31, 2012.

In 2014, the Board of Directors adopted The GEO Group, Inc. 2014 Stock Incentive Plan (the 2014 Plan ), which was approved by the Company s shareholders on May 2, 2014. The 2014 Plan replaces the 2006 Plan. The 2014 Plan provides for a reserve of 3,083,353 shares, which consists of 2,000,000 new shares of common stock available for issuance and 1,083,353 shares of common stock that were available for issuance under the 2006 Plan prior to the 2014 Plan replacing it. At December 31, 2014, there were 3,083.353 shares of common stock reserved for issuance in connection with awards under the 2014 Plan and outstanding options exercisable for 663,918 shares of common stock under the 2006 Plan.

Under the terms of the 2014 Plan, the vesting period and, in the case of stock options, the exercise price per share, are determined by the terms of each plan. All stock options that have been granted under the Company plans are exercisable at the fair market value of the common stock at the date of the grant. Generally, the stock options vest and become exercisable ratably over a four-year period, beginning immediately on the date of the grant. However, the Board of Directors has exercised its discretion to grant stock options that vest 100% immediately for the Chief Executive Officer. All stock options awarded under the 2014 Plan expire no later than ten years after the date of the grant. When options are exercised, the Company issues shares related to exercised options out of common stock.

***Award Modifications***

In connection with the 2012 divestiture of RTS (Refer to Note 2 Discontinued Operations), all employees of RTS terminated their employment with GEO effective December 31, 2012. Nineteen of these employees had 24,100 unvested options and 8,375 unvested shares of restricted stock from previously granted GEO share-based awards. The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors resolved on December 11, 2012 to accelerate the vesting of these awards and the Company recorded a compensation charge of approximately $0.3 million during the fourth quarter and fiscal year ended December 31, 2012.

In connection with mandatory anti-dilution provisions of GEO s equity incentive plans, as it pertained to the Special Dividend, an adjustment was made to all options outstanding on December 31, 2012 to (i) increase the number of shares subject to an option by multiplying the number of shares by 1.156 (the Adjustment Factor ) and (ii) reduce the exercise price per share of common stock subject to the options by dividing the initial exercise price by the Adjustment Factor. The Adjustment Factor was determined by the percentage increase in the Company s common stock in connection with the stock portion of the Special Dividend. The adjustment affected all GEO employees who had outstanding option grants on December 31, 2012 (313 employees) and resulted in approximately 0.2 million of incremental options awarded. As the adjustment was designed to equalize the fair value of the option award for the stock portion of the Special Dividend and the Company plans included an anti-dilution provision, there was no incremental compensation cost resulting from the incremental options awarded.

The Company recognized compensation expense related to the Company plans for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 as follows (in thousands):

| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
|---|---|---|---|
| Stock option plan expense | $ 1,161 | $ 1,307 | $ 2,539 |
| Restricted stock expense | $ 7,748 | $ 6,582 | $ 4,449 |

Table of Contents

***Stock Options***

A summary of the activity of the Company s stock options plans is presented below:

| | Shares (In thousands) | Wtd. Avg. Exercise Price | Wtd. Avg. Remaining Contractual Term (years) | Aggregate Intrinsic Value (In thousands) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Options outstanding at December 31, 2013 | 849 | $ 19.67 | 6.39 | $ 10,654 |
| Granted | 227 | 32.41 | | |
| Exercised | (386) | 19.33 | | |
| Forfeited/Canceled | (26) | 27.00 | | |
| Options outstanding at December 31, 2014 | 664 | $ 23.89 | 6.77 | $ 10,935 |
| Options vested and expected to vest at December 31, 2014 | 638 | $ 23.65 | 6.69 | $ 10,661 |
| Options exercisable at December 31, 2014 | 363 | $ 20.82 | 5.74 | $ 7,095 |

The aggregate intrinsic value in the table above represents the total pretax intrinsic value (i.e., the difference between the Company s closing stock price on the last trading day of fiscal year 2014 and the exercise price, times the number of shares that are in the money ) that would have been received by the option holders had all option holders exercised their options on December 31, 2014. This amount changes based on the fair value of the Company s stock.

The following table summarizes information relative to stock option activity during the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 (in thousands):

| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
|---|---|---|---|
| Intrinsic value of options exercised | $ 5,736 | $ 5,564 | $ 7,051 |
| Fair value of shares vested | $ 1,095 | $ 1,679 | $ 2,062 |

The following table summarizes information about the exercise prices and related information of stock options outstanding under the Company plans at December 31, 2014:

| | Options Outstanding | | | Options Exercisable | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Exercise Prices | Number Outstanding (In thousands) | Wtd. Avg. Remaining Contractual Life | Wtd. Avg. Exercise Price | Number Exercisable | Wtd. Avg. Remaining Contractual Life | Wtd. Avg. Exercise Price |
| 13.72 18.23 | 111 | 4.64 | $ 17.38 | 111 | 4.64 | $ 17.38 |
| 18.65 22.26 | 285 | 5.77 | $ 21.13 | 188 | 5.56 | $ 21.00 |
| 22.30 32.41 | 268 | 8.70 | $ 29.55 | 64 | 8.18 | $ 26.25 |
| Total | 664 | 6.77 | $ 23.89 | 363 | 5.74 | $ 20.82 |

The weighted average grant date fair value of options granted during the year ended December 31, 2014 was $2.92 per share. There were 0.2 million options granted during the year ended December 31, 2014. There were no options granted during the year ended December 31, 2013.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the status of non-vested stock options as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and changes during the year ending December 31, 2014:

| | Number of Shares (In thousands) | Wtd. Avg. Grant Date Fair Value |
|---|---|---|
| Options non-vested at December 31, 2013 | 266 | $ 10.36 |
| Granted | 227 | 2.92 |
| Vested | (166) | 6.60 |
| Forfeited | (26) | 4.81 |
| Options non-vested at December 31, 2014 | 301 | $ 7.30 |

As of December 31, 2014, the Company had $0.7 million of unrecognized compensation costs related to non-vested stock option awards that are expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.2 years.

***Restricted Stock***

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014, the Company granted 306,150 shares of restricted stock to certain employees and executive officers. Of these awards, 90,000 are performance-based awards which will be forfeited if the Company does not achieve certain annual metrics during 2014, 2015 and 2016.

The fair value of restricted stock awards, which do not contain a market-based performance condition, is determined using the closing price of the Company s common stock on the date of the grant and compensation expense is recognized over the vesting period. Generally, the restricted stock awards vest in equal increments over either a three or four year period.

The vesting of the performance-based restricted stock grants awarded in 2014 are subject to the achievement by GEO of two annual performance metrics as follows: (i) up to 75% of the shares of restricted stock ( TSR Target Award ) can vest at the end of a three-year performance period if GEO meets certain total shareholder return ( TSR ) performance targets, as compared to the total shareholder return of a peer group of companies, during 2014, 2015 and 2016; and (ii) up to 25% of the shares of restricted stock ( ROCE Target Award ) can vest at the end of a three-year performance period if GEO meets certain return on capital employed ( ROCE ) performance targets in 2014, 2015 and 2016. These performance awards can vest at between 0% and 200% of the target awards for both metrics. The number of shares shown for the performance-based awards is based on the target awards for both metrics. Both of the TSR and ROCE performance metrics were met during 2014.

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, the Company granted 345,060 shares of restricted stock to its executive officers and to certain senior employees. Of these awards, 92,810 are performance-based awards which will be forfeited if the Company does not achieve certain annual metrics during 2013, 2014 and 2015. The vesting of the performance-based restricted stock grants awarded in 2013 are subject to the achievement by GEO of two annual performance metrics as follows: (i) up to 75% of the TSR Target Award can vest at the end of a three-year performance period if GEO meets certain TSR performance targets, as compared to the total shareholder return of a peer group of companies, during 2013, 2014 and 2015; and (ii) up to 25% of the ROCE Target Award can vest at the end of a three-year period if GEO meets certain ROCE performance targets in 2013, 2014 and 2015. These performance awards can vest at the end of the three year performance period at between 0% and 200% of the target awards for both metrics. The number of shares shown for the performance-based awards is based on the target awards for both metrics. Both of the TSR and ROCE performance metrics were met during 2013 and 2014.

The metric related to TSR is considered to be a market condition. For share-based awards that contain a market condition, the probability of satisfying the market condition must be considered in the estimate of grant-

Table of Contents

date fair value. Compensation expense is recognized over the vesting period and previously recorded compensation expense is not reversed if the market condition is never met. Refer to Note 1 Summary of Business Organization, Operations and Significant Accounting Policies, ***Stock-Based Compensation Expense,*** for the assumptions and method used to value these awards.

The metric related to ROCE is considered to be a performance condition. For share-based awards that contain a performance condition, the achievement of the targets must be probable before any share-based compensation expense is recorded. The Company reviews the likelihood of which target in the range will be achieved and if deemed probable, compensation expense is recorded at that time. If subsequent to initial measurement there is a change in the estimate of the probability of meeting the performance condition, the effect of the change in the estimated quantity of awards expected to vest is recognized by cumulatively adjusting compensation expense. If ultimately the performance targets are not met, for any awards where vesting was previously deemed probable, previously recognized compensation expense will be reversed in the period in which vesting is no longer deemed probable. During 2013 and 2014, the Company deemed the achievement of the target award to be probable and there were no changes in the estimated quantity of awards expected to vest. The fair value of these awards was determined based on the closing price of the Company s common stock on the date of grant.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company granted 315,000 shares of restricted stock to its executive officers and to certain senior employees. Of these awards, 205,000 are performance based awards which will be forfeited if the Company does not achieve certain annual metrics during 2014. The vesting of these grants are subject to the achievement by GEO of two annual performance metrics as follows: (i) up to 75% of the shares of restricted stock in each award can vest annually or cumulatively if GEO meets certain earnings per share performance targets during 2014; and (ii) up to 25% of the shares of restricted stock in each award can vest annually if GEO meets certain return on capital performance targets in 2014. The Company achieved the earnings per share and return on capital annual performance metrics in 2014.

The following table summarizes the status of restricted stock awards as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and changes during the year ended December 31, 2014:

| | Shares (In thousands) | Wtd. Avg. Grant date Fair value |
|---|---|---|
| Restricted stock outstanding at December 31, 2013 | 734 | $ 26.87 |
| Granted | 306 | 32.24 |
| Vested | (293) | 22.08 |
| Forfeited/Canceled | (23) | 32.66 |
| Restricted stock outstanding at December 31, 2014 | 724 | $ 30.97 |

As of December 31, 2014, the Company had $14.9 million of unrecognized compensation cost that is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.5 years.

***Employee Stock Purchase Plan***

The Company previously adopted The GEO Group Inc. 2011 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the Plan ), which was approved by the Company s shareholders. The purpose of the Plan, which is qualified under Section 423 of the Internal Revenue Service Code of 1986, as amended, is to encourage stock ownership through payroll deductions by the employees of GEO and designated subsidiaries of GEO in order to increase their identification with the Company s goals and secure a proprietary interest in the Company s success. These deductions are used to purchase shares of the Company s Common Stock at a 5% discount from the then current market price. The Company has made available up to 500,000 shares of its common stock, which were registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 4, 2012, as amended on July 18, 2014, for sale to eligible employees.

Table of Contents

The Plan is considered to be non-compensatory. As such, there is no compensation expense required to be recognized. Share purchases under the Plan are made on the last day of each month. During the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, 11,196, 9,794 and 22,760 and shares of common stock, respectively, were issued in connection with the Plan.

**5. Earnings Per Share**

Basic and diluted earnings per share ( EPS ) from continuing operations were calculated for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012 respectively, as follows:

| Fiscal Year | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
|---|---|---|---|
| | (In thousands, except per share data) | | |
| Income from continuing operations | $ 143,840 | $ 117,462 | $ 144,558 |
| (Income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests | 90 | (62) | 852 |
| Income from continuing operations attributable to The GEO Group, Inc. | $ 143,930 | $ 117,400 | $ 145,410 |
| Basic earnings per share attributable to The GEO Group, Inc.: | | | |
| Weighted average shares outstanding | 72,270 | 71,116 | 60,934 |
| Per share amount from continuing operations | $ 1.99 | $ 1.65 | $ 2.39 |
| Diluted earnings per share attributable to The GEO Group, Inc.: | | | |
| Weighted average shares outstanding | 72,270 | 71,116 | 60,934 |
| Dilutive effect of equity incentive plans | 277 | 489 | 331 |
| Weighted average shares assuming dilution | 72,547 | 71,605 | 61,265 |
| Per share amount from continuing operations | $ 1.98 | $ 1.64 | $ 2.37 |

As discussed in Note 3 Shareholders Equity, on December 31, 2012, GEO paid a Special Dividend in connection with its conversion to a REIT. The shareholders were allowed to elect to receive their entire payment of the special dividend in either cash or in shares of common stock, except that GEO placed a limit on the aggregate amount of cash payable to the shareholders.

Under ASC 505, *Equity* and ASU 2010-01, *Accounting for Distributions to Shareholders with Components of Stock and Cash, a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force*, a distribution that allows shareholders to elect to receive cash or stock with a potential limitation on the total amount of cash that all shareholders can elect to receive in the aggregate is considered a share issuance that is reflected in earnings per share prospectively. As such, the stock portion of the Special Dividend is presented prospectively in basic and diluted earnings per share as presented above and was not presented retroactively for all periods presented.

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014, 65,168 weighted average shares of common stock underlying options were excluded from the computation of diluted EPS because the effect would be anti-dilutive. No common stock equivalents from restricted shares were anti-dilutive.

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, 60,011 weighted average shares of common stock underlying options were excluded from the computation of diluted EPS because the effect would be anti-dilutive. No common stock equivalents from restricted shares were anti-dilutive.

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012, 62,769 weighted average shares of common stock underlying options were excluded from the computation of diluted EPS because the effect would be anti-dilutive. No common stock equivalents from restricted shares were anti-dilutive.

Table of Contents

**6. Property and Equipment**

Property and equipment consist of the following at fiscal year end:

| | Useful Life (Years) | 2014 | 2013 |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | (In thousands) | |
| Land | | $ 102,349 | $ 100,862 |
| Buildings and improvements | 2 to 50 | 1,603,081 | 1,567,836 |
| Leasehold improvements | 1 to 29 | 262,224 | 256,055 |
| Equipment | 3 to 10 | 153,965 | 137,952 |
| Furnitures, fixtures and computer software | 1 to 7 | 40,108 | 33,388 |
| Facility construction in progress | | 59,218 | 10,804 |
| Total | | $ 2,220,945 | $ 2,106,897 |
| Less accumulated depreciation and amortization | | (448,779) | (379,099) |
| Property and equipment, net | | $ 1,772,166 | $ 1,727,798 |

The Company depreciates its leasehold improvements over the shorter of their estimated useful lives or the terms of the leases including renewal periods that are reasonably assured. The Company s construction in progress primarily consists of expansions to facilities that are owned by the Company. Interest capitalized in property and equipment for the years ended December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 was not significant.

Depreciation expense was $79.8 million, $78.8 million and $72.2 million, respectively, for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

At December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company had $17.1 million and $17.7 million of assets recorded under capital leases including $17.1 million related to land, buildings and improvements. Capital leases are recorded net of accumulated amortization of $9.1 million and $8.4 million, at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Depreciation expense related to assets recorded under capital leases for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 was $1.3 million, $1.0 million and $1.0 million, respectively, and is included in Depreciation and Amortization in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

**7. Contract Receivable**

On September 16, 2014, GEO s newly formed wholly-owned subsidiary, GEO Ravenhall Pty. Ltd., in its capacity as trustee of another newly formed wholly-owned subsidiary, GEO Ravenhall Trust ( Project Co ), signed the Ravenhall Prison Project Agreement ( Ravenhall Contract ) with the State of Victoria (the State ) for the development and operation of a new 1,000-bed Facility in Ravenhall, a locality near Melbourne, Australia under a Public-Private Partnership financing structure. The Facility will also have the capacity to house 1,300 inmates should the State have the need for additional beds in the future. The design and construction phase ( D&C Phase ) of the agreement began in September 2014 with completion expected towards the end of 2017. Project Co is the primary developer during the D&C Phase and has subcontracted with a bonded international design and build contractor to design and construct the Facility. Once constructed and commercially accepted, GEO s wholly-owned subsidiary, the GEO Group Australasia Pty. Ltd. ( GEO Australia ) will operate the Facility under a 25-year management contract ( Operating Phase ). During the D&C Phase, GEO Australia will also provide construction management and consultant services to the State.

The cost of the project during the D&C Phase will be funded by debt financing along with a capital contribution by GEO in the amount of AUD 115 million, or $93.8 million, based on exchange rates at December 31, 2014, which is expected to be contributed in January 2017. Another wholly-owned subsidiary of GEO, Ravenhall Finance Co Pty. Limited ( Finance Co ), has entered into a syndicated facility agreement with National Australia Bank Limited to provide the debt financing for the project. Refer to Note 14 Debt. In order to fix the interest rate on this variable non-recourse debt, Finance Co has entered into interest rate swap

Table of Contents

agreements. Refer to Note 9 Derivative Financial Instruments. Upon completion and commercial acceptance of the Facility, in accordance with the Ravenhall Contract, the State will make a lump sum payment of AUD 310 million, or $252.9 million, based on exchange rates as of December 31, 2014, towards a portion of the outstanding balance.

During the D&C Phase, the Company recognizes revenue as earned on a percentage of completion basis measured by the percentage of costs incurred to date as compared to the estimated total costs for the design and construction of the Facility. Costs incurred and estimated earnings in excess of billings is classified as Contract Receivable in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. The balance of the Contract Receivable at December 31, 2014 is $66.2 million which is recorded at net present value based on the timing of expected future settlement. As the primary contractor, Project Co is exposed to the various risks associated with the D&C Phase. Accordingly, the Company will record construction revenue on a gross basis and include the related costs of construction activities in operating expenses within the Facility Design & Construction segment. Reimbursable pass through costs are excluded from revenues and expenses.

**8. Investment in Direct Finance Leases**

The Company s investment in direct finance leases relates to the financing and management of one Australian facility. The Company s wholly-owned Australian subsidiary financed the facility s development with long-term debt obligations, which are non-recourse to the Company.

The future minimum rentals to be received are as follows:

| Fiscal Year | Annual Repayment (In thousands) |
|---|---|
| 2015 | $ 7,630 |
| 2016 | 7,903 |
| 2017 | 2,147 |
| Total minimum obligation | $ 17,680 |
| Less unearned interest income | (2,093) |
| Less current portion of direct finance lease (included in Prepaid expenses and other current assets) | (6,331) |
| Long term portion of investment in direct finance lease | $ 9,256 |

**9. Derivative Financial Instruments**

The Company s primary objective in holding derivatives is to reduce the volatility of earnings and cash flows associated with changes in interest rates. The Company measures its derivative financial instruments at fair value.

*Australia Fullham*

The Company s Australian subsidiary is a party to an interest rate swap agreement to fix the interest rate on the variable rate non-recourse debt to 9.7%. The Company has determined the swap, which has a notional amount of $50.9 million, payment and expiration dates, and call provisions that coincide with the terms of the non-recourse debt, to be an effective cash flow hedge. Accordingly, the Company records the change in the value of the interest rate swap in accumulated other comprehensive income, net of applicable income taxes. Total net unrealized gain (loss) recognized in the periods and recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax, related to this cash flow hedge was not significant for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The net unrealized gain (loss) for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 was also not significant. The total value of the swap liability as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 was $0.2 million and $0.4 million, respectively, and is recorded as a component of other liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. There was no material ineffectiveness of this interest rate swap for the periods presented. The

124

Table of Contents

Company does not expect to enter into any transactions during the next twelve months which would result in the reclassification into earnings or losses associated with this swap currently reported in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss).

*Australia Ravenhall*

In September 2014, the Company s Australian subsidiary entered into interest rate swap agreements to fix the interest rate on its variable rate non-recourse debt related to a prison project in Ravenhall, a locality near Melbourne, Australia to 3.3% during the design and construction phase and 4.2% during the project s operating phase. Refer to Note 7 Contract Receivable. The swaps notional amounts coincide with construction draw fixed commitments throughout the project. At December 31, 2014, the swaps had a notional value of approximately AUD 97.4 million, or $79.4 million, based on exchange rates at December 31, 2014, related to the outstanding draws for the design and construction phase and approximately AUD 466.3 million, or $380.4 million, based on exchange rates at December 31, 2014, related to future construction draws. The Company has determined that the swaps have payment, expiration dates and provisions that coincide with the terms of the non-recourse debt and the critical terms of the swap agreements and construction draw fixed commitments are the same and are therefore considered to be effective cash flow hedges. Accordingly, the Company records the change in the fair value of the interest rate swaps in accumulated other comprehensive income, net of applicable income taxes. Total unrealized losses recorded in other comprehensive income, net of tax, related to this cash flow hedge were approximately $16.1 million during the year ended December 31, 2014. The total fair value of the swap liability as of December 31, 2014 was $19.0 million and is recorded as a component of Other Non-Current liabilities within the accompanying consolidated balance sheet. There was no material ineffectiveness for the periods presented. The Company does not expect to enter into any transactions during the next twelve months which would result in the reclassification into earnings or losses associated with these swaps currently reported in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss).

Additionally, upon completion and commercial acceptance of the prison project, the State, in accordance with the prison contract, will make a lump sum payment of AUD 310 million, or $252.9 million, based on exchange rates at December 31, 2014, towards a portion of the outstanding balance which will be used to pay down the principal of the non-recourse debt. The Company s Australian subsidiary also entered into interest rate cap agreements in September 2014 giving the Company the option to cap the interest rate on its variable non-recourse debt related to the project in the event that the completion of the prison project is delayed which could delay the State s payment. The Company paid $1.7 million for the interest rate cap agreements. These instruments do not meet the requirements for hedge accounting, and therefore, changes in fair value of the interest rate caps are recorded in earnings. During the year ended December 31, 2014, the Company recorded a loss of $1.2 million related to a decline in the fair value of the interest rate cap assets. As of December 31, 2014, the interest rate cap assets had a fair value of $0.6 million which is included in Other Non-Current Assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet.

**10. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, Net**

Changes in the Company s goodwill balances recognized during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 were as follows (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2013 | Protocol Acquisition | Foreign currency translation | December 31, 2014 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| U.S. Corrections & Detention | $ 170,376 | $ | $ | $ 170,376 |
| GEO Care | 319,159 | 3,888 | | 323,047 |
| International Services | 661 | | (194) | 467 |
| Total Goodwill | $ 490,196 | $ 3,888 | $ (194) | $ 493,890 |

Table of Contents

| | December 31, 2012 | Foreign currency translation | December 31, 2013 |
|---|---|---|---|
| U.S. Corrections & Detention | $ 170,376 | $ | $ 170,376 |
| GEO Care | 319,159 | | 319,159 |
| International Services | 773 | (112) | 661 |
| Total Goodwill | $ 490,308 | $ (112) | $ 490,196 |

Intangible assets consisted of the following as of December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 (in thousands):

| | | December 31, 2014 | | | December 31, 2013 | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Weighted Average Useful Life (years) | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Net Carrying Amount | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Net Carrying Amount |
| Facility management contracts | 13.4 | $ 154,591 | $ (56,396) | $ 98,195 | $ 151,604 | $ (44,646) | $ 106,958 |
| Technology | 7 | 24,000 | (12,120) | 11,880 | 21,200 | (8,758) | 12,442 |
| Trade names | Indefinite | 45,200 | | 45,200 | 44,000 | | 44,000 |
| Total acquired intangible assets | | $ 223,791 | $ (68,516) | $ 155,275 | $ 216,804 | $ (53,404) | $ 163,400 |

The accounting for recognized intangible assets is based on the useful lives to the reporting entity. Intangible assets with finite useful lives are amortized over their useful lives and intangible assets with indefinite useful lives are not amortized. The Company estimates the useful lives of its intangible assets taking into consideration (i) the expected use of the asset by the Company, (ii) the expected useful lives of other related assets or groups of assets, (iii) legal or contractual limitations, (iv) the Company s historical experience in renewing or extending similar arrangements, (v) the effects of obsolescence, demand, competition and other economic factors and (vi) the level of maintenance expenditures required to obtain the expected cash flows from the asset.

Amortization expense was $15.2 million, $14.6 million and $18.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and primarily related to the U.S. Corrections & Detention and GEO Care segments amortization of intangible assets for acquired management contracts. The Company relies on its historical experience in determining the useful life of facility management contracts. The Company makes assumptions related to acquired facility management contracts based on the competitive environment for individual contracts, our historical success rates in retaining contracts, the supply of available beds in the market, changes in legislation, the projected profitability of the facilities and other market conditions. As of December 31, 2014, the weighted average period before the next contract renewal or extension for the facility management contracts was approximately 1.9 years. Although the facility management contracts acquired have renewal and extension terms in the near term, the Company has historically maintained these relationships beyond the contractual periods.

Estimated amortization expense related to the Company s finite-lived intangible assets for 2015 through 2019 and thereafter is as follows (in thousands):

| Fiscal Year | Total Amortization Expense |
|---|---|
| 2015 | $ 15,226 |
| 2016 | 15,226 |
| 2017 | 15,194 |
| 2018 | 12,335 |
| 2019 | 12,007 |
| Thereafter | 40,087 |
| | $ 110,075 |

126

Table of Contents

On February 25, 2014, Protocol Criminal Justice, Inc. ( Protocol ), a recently created subsidiary of the Company s B.I. Incorporated ( BI ) subsidiary, entered into an Asset Purchase Agreement (the Agreement ) with an unrelated entity, APAC Customer Services, Inc., to acquire certain tangible and intangible assets for cash consideration of $13.0 million. The final purchase price allocation, which was completed during the second quarter of 2014, resulted in the recognition of intangible assets of $7.0 million related to acquired facility management contracts, acquired technology and trade name, and goodwill of $3.9 million. In addition, the Company acquired accounts receivable, equipment and assumed certain liabilities, none of which were significant. During the measurement period, the Company made $0.1 million in aggregate retrospective adjustments to provisional amounts recognized at the acquisition date to reflect new information obtained about facts and circumstances that existed as of the acquisition date that, if known, would have affected the measurement of the amounts recognized at that date. These adjustments related primarily to the Company s valuation of intangible assets which resulted in a reduction of intangible assets of $0.3 million and an increase in goodwill of $0.2 million from the amounts previously reported in the Company s unaudited consolidated financial statements as of March 31, 2014. Protocol s activities are included in the GEO Care operating segment.

**11. Financial Instruments**

The following table provides a summary of the Company s significant financial assets and liabilities carried at fair value and measured on a recurring basis (in thousands):

| | | Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2014 | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Carrying Value at December 31, 2014 | Quoted Prices in Active Markets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Restricted investments: | | | | |
| Rabbi Trust | $ 11,281 | $ | $ 11,281 | $ |
| Fixed income securities | $ 1,966 | $ | $ 1,966 | $ |
| Interest rate cap derivatives | $ 570 | $ | $ 570 | |
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| Interest rate swap derivatives | $ 19,248 | $ | $ 19,248 | $ |

| | | Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2013 | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Carrying Value at December 31, 2013 | Quoted Prices in Active Markets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Restricted investments: | | | | |
| Guaranteed Investment Contract | $ 5,742 | $ | $ 5,742 | $ |
| Rabbi Trust | $ 9,534 | $ | $ 9,534 | $ |
| Fixed income securities | $ 1,993 | $ | $ 1,993 | $ |
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| Interest rate swap derivatives | $ 390 | $ | $ 390 | $ |

The Company s level 2 financial instruments included in the tables above as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 consist of the Company s rabbi trust established for GEO employee and employer contributions to The GEO Group, Inc. Non-qualified Deferred Compensation Plan, interest rate swaps and interest rate caps held by our Australian subsidiaries and an investment in Canadian dollar denominated fixed income securities. The Company s restricted investment in the Rabbi Trust is invested in Company owned life insurance policies which are recorded at their cash surrender values. These investments are valued based on the underlying investments

127

Table of Contents

held in the policies separate account. The Australian subsidiaries interest rate swaps and interest rate caps are valued using a discounted cash flow model based on projected Australian borrowing rates. The Canadian dollar denominated securities, not actively traded, are valued using quoted rates for these and similar securities. At December 31, 2013, the Company s Level 2 financial instruments included a guaranteed investment contract which was a restricted investment related to CSC of Tacoma LLC ( CSC ). During 2014, the balance in the guaranteed investment contract was liquidated as it was no longer required because it related to a portion of the CSC bonds which matured in October 2014. Refer to Note 14 Debt.

**12. Fair Value of Assets and Liabilities**

The Company s Consolidated Balance Sheets reflect certain financial instruments at carrying value. The following table presents the carrying values of those instruments and the corresponding fair values (in thousands):

| | | Estimated Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2014 | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Carrying Value as of December 31, 2014 | Total Fair Value | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| Assets: | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | $ 41,337 | $ 41,337 | $ 41,337 | $ | $ |
| Restricted cash and investments | 12,638 | 12,638 | 3,889 | 8,749 | |
| Liabilities: | | | | | |
| Borrowings under Senior Credit Facility | $ 365,500 | $ 364,411 | $ | $ 364,411 | $ |
| 5.875% Senior Notes | 250,000 | 256,720 | | 256,720 | |
| 5.125% Senior Notes | 300,000 | 296,814 | | 296,814 | |
| 5 7/8% Senior Notes | 250,000 | 256,720 | | 256,720 | |
| 6.625% Senior Notes | 300,000 | 315,750 | | 315,750 | |
| Non-recourse debt, Australian subsidiary | 95,714 | 95,871 | | 95,871 | |
| Other non-recourse debt, including current portion | 48,836 | 52,016 | | 52,016 | |

| | | Estimated Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2013 | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Carrying Value as of December 31, 2013 | Total Fair Value | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| Assets: | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | $ 52,125 | $ 52,125 | $ 52,125 | $ | $ |
| Restricted cash | 14,592 | 14,592 | 1,838 | 12,754 | |
| Liabilities: | | | | | |
| Borrowings under Senior Credit Facility | $ 638,500 | $ 639,246 | $ | $ 639,246 | $ |
| 5.125% Senior Notes | 300,000 | 279,000 | | 279,000 | |
| 5 7/8% Senior Notes | 250,000 | 265,938 | | 265,938 | |
| 6.625% Senior Notes | 300,000 | 317,064 | | 317,064 | |
| Non-recourse debt, Australian subsidiary | 23,896 | 24,439 | | 24,439 | |
| Other non-recourse debt, including current portion | 60,235 | 62,319 | | 62,319 | |

The fair values of the Company s cash and cash equivalents, and restricted cash approximates the carrying values of these assets at December 31, 2014 and 2013. Restricted cash consists of money market funds, commercial paper and time deposits used for payments on the Company s non-recourse debt and asset replacement funds contractually required to be maintained at the Company s Australian subsidiary. The fair value of the money market funds is based on quoted market prices (level 1) and the fair value of commercial paper and time deposits is based on market prices for similar instruments (level 2). The fair values of the

Table of Contents

Company s 6.625% senior unsecured notes due 2021 (the 6.625% Senior Notes ), 5.125% Senior Notes due 2023 (the 5.125% Senior Notes ), 5 7/8% Senior Notes due 2022 (the 5 7/8% Senior Notes ) and the 5.875% Senior Notes due 2024 (the 5.875% Senior Notes ), although not actively traded, are based on published financial data for these instruments. The fair value of the Company s non-recourse debt related to Washington Economic Development Finance Authority ( WEDFA ) is based on market prices for similar instruments. The fair value of the non-recourse debt related to the Company s Australian subsidiary is estimated using a discounted cash flow model based on current Australian borrowing rates for similar instruments. The fair value of borrowings under the Senior Credit Facility is based on an estimate of trading value considering the Company s borrowing rate, the undrawn spread and similar instruments.

**13. Accrued Expenses and other current liabilities**

Accrued expenses consisted of the following (in thousands):

| | 2014 | 2013 |
|---|---|---|
| Accrued interest | $ 26,373 | $ 19,408 |
| Accrued bonus | 12,049 | 11,108 |
| Accrued insurance | 50,470 | 49,170 |
| Accrued property and other taxes | 15,980 | 11,788 |
| Construction retainage | 3,412 | 267 |
| Other | 32,328 | 23,209 |
| Total | $ 140,612 | $ 114,950 |

**14. Debt**

Debt consisted of the following (in thousands):

| | 2014 | 2012 |
|---|---|---|
| **Senior Credit Facility:** | | |
| Term loan | $ 295,500 | $ 298,500 |
| Revolver | 70,000 | 340,000 |
| Total Senior Credit Facility | $ 365,500 | $ 638,500 |
| **5.875% Senior Notes** | | |
| Notes Due in 2024 | $ 250,000 | |
| **5.125% Senior Notes:** | | |
| Notes Due in 2023 | $ 300,000 | $ 300,000 |
| ***5 7/8% Senior Notes*** | | |
| Notes Due in 2022 | $ 250,000 | $ 250,000 |
| **6.625% Senior Notes:** | | |
| Notes Due in 2021 | $ 300,000 | $ 300,000 |
| **Non-Recourse Debt:** | | |
| Non-Recourse Debt | $ 145,262 | $ 85,091 |
| Discount on Non-Recourse Debt | (712) | (960) |
| Total Non-Recourse Debt | $ 144,550 | $ 84,131 |
| Capital Lease Obligations | 10,924 | 11,924 |
| Other debt | 421 | 221 |
| Total debt | $ 1,621,395 | $ 1,584,776 |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Current portion of capital lease obligations, long-term debt and non-recourse debt | (16,752) | (22,163) |
| Capital Lease Obligations, long-term portion | (9,856) | (10,924) |
| Non-Recourse Debt, long-term portion | (131,968) | (66,153) |
| Long-Term Debt | $ 1,462,819 | $ 1,485,536 |

Table of Contents

***Credit Agreement***

On August 27, 2014, the Company executed a second amended and restated credit agreement by and among the Company and GEO Corrections Holdings, Inc., as Borrowers, BNP Paribas, as Administrative Agent, and the lenders who are, or may from time to time become, a party thereto (the Credit Agreement ).

The Credit Agreement evidences a credit facility (the Credit Facility ) consisting of a $296.3 million term loan (the Term Loan ) bearing interest at LIBOR plus 2.50% (with a LIBOR floor of .75%), and a $700.0 million revolving credit facility (the Revolver ) initially bearing interest at LIBOR plus 2.25% (with no LIBOR floor) together with AUD 225.0 million available solely for the issuance of financial letters of credit and performance letters of credit, in each case denominated in Australian Dollars (the Australian LC Facility ). The interest rate is subject to a pricing grid based upon the Company s total leverage ratio. Amounts to be borrowed by the Company under the Credit Agreement are subject to the satisfaction of customary conditions to borrowing. The Revolver component is scheduled to mature on August 27, 2019 and the Term Loan component is scheduled to mature on April 3, 2020. In connection with the amendment, the Company wrote off approximately $0.4 million of deferred financing costs pertaining to the former credit facility in 2014, which is included in interest expense. The Company capitalized $4.6 million of deferred finance costs in connection with the new Credit Facility.

The Credit Agreement contains certain customary representations and warranties, and certain customary covenants that restrict the Company s ability to, among other things (i) create, incur or assume any indebtedness, (ii) create, incur, assume or permit liens, (iii) make loans and investments, (iv) engage in mergers, acquisitions and asset sales, (v) make certain restricted payments, (vi) issue, sell or otherwise dispose of capital stock, (vii) engage in transactions with affiliates, (viii) allow the total leverage ratio to exceed 5.75 to 1.00, allow the senior secured leverage ratio to exceed 3.50 to 1.00 or allow the interest coverage ratio to be less than 3.00 to 1.00, (ix) cancel, forgive, make any voluntary or optional payment or prepayment on, or redeem or acquire for value any senior notes, except as permitted, (x) alter the business the Company conducts, and (xi) materially impair the Company s lenders security interests in the collateral for its loans.

Events of default under the Credit Agreement include, but are not limited to, (i) the Company s failure to pay principal or interest when due, (ii) the Company s material breach of any representation or warranty, (iii) covenant defaults, (iv) liquidation, reorganization or other relief relating to bankruptcy or insolvency, (v) cross default under certain other material indebtedness, (vi) unsatisfied final judgments over a specified threshold, (vii) certain material environmental liability claims which have been asserted against the Company, and (viii) a change in control. The Company was in compliance with all of the covenants of the Credit Agreement as of December 31, 2014.

All of the obligations under the Credit Agreement are unconditionally guaranteed by certain domestic subsidiaries of the Company and the Credit Agreement and the related guarantees are secured by a perfected first-priority pledge of substantially all of the Company s present and future tangible and intangible domestic assets and all present and future tangible and intangible domestic assets of each guarantor, including but not limited to a first-priority pledge of all of the outstanding capital stock owned by the Company and each guarantor in their domestic subsidiaries.

As of December 31, 2014, the Company had $295.5 million in aggregate borrowings outstanding under the Term Loan, $70.0 million in borrowings under the Revolver, and approximately $62.0 million in letters of credit which left $568.0 million in additional borrowing capacity under the Revolver. In addition, the Company has the ability to increase the Senior Credit Facility by an additional $350.0 million, subject to lender demand and prevailing market conditions and satisfying the relevant borrowing conditions thereunder. The weighted average interest rate on outstanding borrowings under the Credit Agreement as of December 31, 2014 was 3.2%. At December 31, 2014, the Company had approximately AUD 214.0 million in letters of credit outstanding under the Australian LC Facility in connection with certain performance and financing guarantees related to the Ravenhall Prison Project.

Table of Contents

The Company had also entered into an amended and restated credit agreement in 2013 which resulted in a loss on extinguishment of debt of $5.5 million in 2013.

***5.875% Senior Notes***

On September 25, 2014, the Company completed an offering of $250.0 million aggregate principal amount of senior unsecured notes (the 5.875% Senior Notes ), The notes will mature on October 15, 2024 and have a coupon rate and yield to maturity of 5.875%. Interest is payable semi-annually in cash in arrears on April 15 and October 15, beginning April 15, 2015. The 5.875% Senior Notes are guaranteed on a senior unsecured basis by all the Company s restricted subsidiaries that guarantee obligations. The 5.875% Senior Notes rank equally in right of payment with any unsecured, unsubordinated indebtedness of the Company and the guarantors, including the Company s 6.625% senior notes due 2021, the 5 $^{7}/_{8}$% senior notes due 2022, the 5.125% senior notes due 2023, and the guarantors guarantees thereof, senior in right of payment to any future indebtedness of the Company and the guarantors that is expressly subordinated to the 5.875% Senior Notes and the guarantees, effectively junior to any secured indebtedness of the Company and the guarantors, including indebtedness under the Company s senior credit facility, to the extent of the value of the assets securing such indebtedness, and structurally junior to all obligations of the Company s subsidiaries that are not guarantors. The sale of the 5.875% Senior Notes was registered under the Company s automatic shelf registration statement on Form S-3 filed on September 12, 2014, as supplemented by the Preliminary Prospectus Supplement filed on September 22, 2014 and the Prospectus Supplement filed on September 24, 2014. The Company capitalized $4.6 million of deferred financing costs in connection with the offering.

At any time on or prior to October 15, 2017, the Company may on any one or more occasions redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of outstanding 5.875% Senior Notes issued under the indenture governing the 5.875% Senior Notes (including any additional notes) at a redemption price of 105.875% of their principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the redemption date. In addition, the Company may, at its option, redeem the 5.875% Senior Notes in whole or in part before October 15, 2019 at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the 5.875% Senior Notes being redeemed plus a make-whole premium, together with accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the redemption date. Lastly, the Company may, at its option, redeem the 5.875% Senior Notes in whole or in part on or after October 15, 2019 through 2024 and thereafter as indicated below:

| Year | Percentage |
|---|---|
| 2019 | 102.938% |
| 2020 | 101.958% |
| 2021 | 100.979% |
| 2022 and thereafter | 100.000% |

The indenture contains covenants which, among other things, limit the ability of the Company and its restricted subsidiaries to incur additional indebtedness or issue preferred stock, make dividend payments or other restricted payments (other than the payment of dividends or other distributions, or any other actions necessary to maintain the Company s status as a real estate investment trust), create liens, sell assets, engage in sale and lease back transactions, create or permit restrictions on the ability of the restricted subsidiaries to pay dividends or make other distributions to the Company, enter into transactions with affiliates, and enter into mergers, consolidations or sales of all or substantially all of their assets. These covenants are subject to a number of limitations and exceptions as set forth in the indenture.

The indenture also contains events of default with respect to, among other things, the following: failure by the Company to pay interest on the 5.875% Senior Notes when due, which failure continues for 30 days; failure by the Company to pay the principal of, or premium, if any, on, the 5.875% Senior Notes when due; failure by the Company or any of its restricted subsidiaries to comply with their obligations to offer to repurchase the 5.875% Senior Notes at the option of the holders of the 5.875% Senior Notes upon a change of control, to offer

Table of Contents

to redeem the 5.875% Senior Notes under certain circumstances in connection with asset sales with excess proceeds in excess of $25.0 million or to observe certain restrictions on mergers, consolidations and sales of substantially all of their assets; the failure by the Company or any guarantor to comply with any of the other agreements in the indenture, which failure continues for 60 days after notice; and certain events of bankruptcy or insolvency of GEO or a restricted subsidiary that is a significant subsidiary or any group of restricted subsidiaries that together would constitute a significant subsidiary. The Company was in compliance with all of the covenants of the indenture governing the 5.875% Senior Notes as of December 31, 2014.

***5.125% Senior Notes***

On March 19, 2013, the Company completed an offering of $300.0 million aggregate principal amount of senior unsecured notes in a private offering under the Indenture dated as of March 19, 2013 among GEO, certain of its domestic subsidiaries, as guarantors, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee. The 5.125% Senior Notes were offered and sold to qualified institutional buyers in accordance with Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act ), and outside the United States to non-U.S. persons in accordance with Regulation S under the Securities Act. The notes will mature on April 1, 2023 and have a coupon rate and yield to maturity of 5.125%. Interest is payable semi-annually on April 1 and October 1 each year, beginning October 1, 2013. The 5.125% Senior Notes are guaranteed on a senior unsecured basis by all of the Company s restricted subsidiaries that guarantee obligations under the Senior Credit Facility, the Company s 6.625% Senior Notes, the Company s $5\frac{3}{8}$% senior notes due 2022 and the 5.875% Senior Notes. The 5.125% Senior Notes and the guarantees are the Company s general unsecured senior obligations and rank equally in right of payment with all of the Company s and the guarantors existing and future unsecured senior debt, including the Company s 6.625% Senior Notes, the $5\frac{3}{8}$% Senior Notes and the 5.875% Senior Notes. The 5.125% Senior Notes and the guarantees are effectively subordinated to any of the Company s and the guarantors existing and future secured debt to the extent of the value of the assets securing such debt, including all anticipated borrowings under the Senior Credit Facility. The 5.125% Senior Notes are structurally subordinated to all existing and future liabilities (including trade payables) of the Company s subsidiaries that do not guarantee the 5.125% Senior Notes.

At any time on or prior to April 1, 2016, the Company may on any one or more occasions redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of outstanding 5.125% Senior Notes issued under the indenture governing the 5.125% Senior Notes (including any additional notes) at a redemption price of 105.125% of their principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest and Liquidated Damages (as defined in the indenture), if any, to the redemption date, with the net cash proceeds of one or more equity offerings (as defined in the indenture); provided, that: (1) at least 65% of the aggregate principal amount of notes issued under the indenture (including any additional notes) remains outstanding immediately after the occurrence of such redemption (excluding notes held by us and our Subsidiaries); and (2) the redemption occurs within 90 days of the date of the closing of such equity offering.

At any time prior to April 1, 2018, the Company may, at its option, redeem all or a part of the 5.125% Senior Notes upon not less than 30 days nor more than 60 days prior notice at a redemption price equal to the sum of (i) 100% of the principal amount thereof, plus (ii) the Applicable Premium (as defined in the indenture) as of the date of redemption, plus (iii) accrued and unpaid interest and Liquidated Damages, if any, to the date of redemption. On or after April 1, 2018, the Company may, at its option, redeem all or a part of the 5.125% Senior Notes upon not less than 30 days nor more than 60 days notice at the redemption prices (expressed as percentages of principal amount) set forth below, plus accrued and unpaid interest and Liquidated Damages, if any, on the 5.125% Senior Notes redeemed, to the applicable redemption date, if redeemed during the 12 months period beginning on April 1 of the years indicated below:

| Year | Percentage |
|---|---|
| 2018 | 102.563% |
| 2019 | 101.708% |
| 2020 | 100.854% |
| 2021 and thereafter | 100.000% |

Table of Contents

On April 3, 2013, the Company s Prior Senior Credit Facility was refinanced and a portion of the proceeds of the 5.125% Senior Notes were used to pay the outstanding Prior Term Loans under the Senior Credit Facility. Loan costs of $6.8 million were incurred and capitalized in connection with the issuance of the 5.125% Senior Notes. The Company incurred a $4.4 million loss on extinguishment of debt related to unamortized deferred financing costs and unamortized debt discount pertaining to the Prior Senior Credit Facility and $1.1 million in fees related to the new Credit Agreement

If there is a change of control (as defined in the Indenture), holders of the 5.125% Senior Notes will have the right to cause GEO to repurchase their 5.125% Senior Notes at a price equal to 101% of the principal amount of the 5.125% Senior Notes repurchased plus accrued and unpaid interest and Liquidated Damages, if any, to the purchase date.

The indenture governing the 5.125% Senior Notes contains certain covenants, including limitations and restrictions on the Company and its restricted subsidiaries ability to: incur additional indebtedness or issue preferred stock; make dividend payments or other restricted payments; create liens; sell assets; enter into transactions with affiliates; and enter into mergers, consolidations or sales of all or substantially all of the Company s assets. As of the date of the indenture, all of the Company s subsidiaries, other than certain dormant domestic and other subsidiaries and all foreign subsidiaries in existence on the date of the indenture, were restricted subsidiaries. The Company s failure to comply with certain of the covenants under the indenture governing the 5.125% Senior Notes could cause an event of default of any indebtedness and result in an acceleration of such indebtedness. In addition, there is a cross-default provision which becomes enforceable upon failure of payment of indebtedness at final maturity. The Company s unrestricted subsidiaries will not be subject to any of the restrictive covenants in the indenture. The Company believes it was in compliance with all of the covenants of the indenture governing the 5.125% Senior Notes as of December 31, 2014.

The indenture also contains events of default with respect to, among other things, the following: failure by the Company to pay interest and Liquidated Damages, if any, on the 5.125% Senior Notes when due, which failure continues for 30 days; failure by the Company to pay the principal of, or premium, if any, on, the 5.125% Senior Notes when due; failure by the Company or any of its restricted subsidiaries to comply with their obligations to offer to repurchase the 5.125% Senior Notes at the option of the holders of the 5.125% Senior Notes upon a change of control, to offer to redeem notes under certain circumstances in connection with asset sales with excess proceeds (as defined in the indenture) in excess of $25.0 million or to observe certain restrictions on mergers, consolidations and sales of substantially all of their assets; the failure by the Company or any guarantor to comply with any of the other agreements in the indenture, which failure continues for 60 days after notice; and certain events of bankruptcy or insolvency of the Company or a restricted subsidiary that is a significant subsidiary or any group of restricted subsidiaries that together would constitute a significant subsidiary.

Under the terms of a registration rights agreement dated as of March 19, 2013, among GEO, the guarantors and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, as the representative of the initial purchasers of the 5.125% Senior Notes, GEO agreed to register under the Securities Act notes having terms identical in all material respects to the 5.125% Senior Notes (the 5.125% Exchange Notes ) and to make an offer to exchange the 5.125% Exchange Notes for the 5.125% Senior Notes. GEO filed the registration statement on May 30, 2013 which was declared effective on September 12, 2013. GEO launched the exchange offer on September 13, 2013 and the exchange offer expired on October 11, 2013.

***5 7/8% Senior Notes***

On October 3, 2013, the Company completed an offering of 250.0 million aggregate principal amount of 5 7/8% senior notes due 2022 (the 5 7/8% Senior Notes ) in a private offering under the Indenture dated as of October 3, 2013 among GEO, certain of its domestic subsidiaries, as guarantors, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee. The 5 7/8% Senior Notes were offered and sold to qualified institutional buyers in accordance with Rule 144A under the Securities Act, and outside the United States to non-U.S. persons in

Table of Contents

accordance with Regulations S under the Securities Act. The 5 7/8% Senior Notes were issued at a coupon rate and yield to maturity of 5 7/8% . Interest on the 5 7/8% Senior Notes will be payable semi-annually in cash in arrears on January 15 and July 15, commencing on January 15, 2014. The 5 7/8% Senior Notes mature on January 15, 2022. The 5 7/8% Senior Notes and the guarantees are the Company s general unsecured senior obligations and rank equally in right of payment with all of the Company s and the guarantors existing and future unsecured senior debt, including the Company s 6.625% Senior Notes, the 5.125% Senior Notes and the 5.875% Senior Notes. The 5 7/8% Senior Notes and the guarantees are effectively subordinated to any of the Company s and the guarantors existing and future secured debt to the extent of the value of the assets securing such debt, including all anticipated borrowings under the Senior Credit Facility. The 5 7/8% Senior Notes are structurally subordinated to all existing and future liabilities (including trade payables) of the Company s subsidiaries that do not guarantee the 5 7/8% Senior Notes.

Up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the 5 7/8% Senior Notes may be redeemed on or prior to January 15, 2016, with the net cash proceeds from certain equity offerings at a redemption price equal to 105.875% of their principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest and Liquidated Damages (as defined in the indenture), if any, to the redemption date. In addition, GEO may, at its option, redeem the 5 7/8% Senior Notes in whole or in part before January 15, 2017 at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the 5 7/8% Senior Notes being redeemed plus a make-whole premium, together with accrued and unpaid interest and Liquidated Damages, if any, to the redemption date. On or after January 15, 2017, GEO may, at its option, redeem all or part of the 5 7/8% Senior Notes upon not less than 30 days nor more than 60 days notice, at the redemption prices (expressed as percentages of principal amount) set forth below, plus accrued and unpaid interest and including Liquidated Damages, if any, on the 5 7/8% Senior Notes redeemed, to the applicable redemption date, if redeemed during the 12-month period beginning on January 15 of the years indicated below:

| Year | Percentage |
|---|---|
| 2017 | 104.406% |
| 2018 | 102.938% |
| 2019 | 101.469% |
| 2020 and thereafter | 100.000% |

If there is a change of control (as defined in the Indenture), holders of the 5 7/8% Senior Notes will have the right to cause GEO to repurchase their 5 7/8% Senior Notes at a price equal to 101% of the principal amount of the 5 7/8% Senior Notes repurchased plus accrued and unpaid interest and Liquidated Damages, if any, to the purchase date.

The indenture governing the notes contains certain covenants, including limitations and restrictions on the Company and its restricted subsidiaries ability to: incur additional indebtedness or issue preferred stock; make dividend payments or other restricted payments; create liens; sell assets; enter into transactions with affiliates; and enter into mergers, consolidations or sales of all or substantially all of the Company s assets. As of the date of the indenture, all of the Company s subsidiaries, other than certain dormant domestic and other subsidiaries and all foreign subsidiaries in existence on the date of the indenture, were restricted subsidiaries. The Company s failure to comply with certain of the covenants under the indenture governing the 5 7/8% Senior Notes could cause an event of default of any indebtedness and result in an acceleration of such indebtedness. In addition, there is a cross-default provision which becomes enforceable upon failure of payment of indebtedness at final maturity. The Company s unrestricted subsidiaries will not be subject to any of the restrictive covenants in the indenture. The Company believes it was in compliance with all of the covenants of the indenture governing the 5 7/8% Senior Notes as of December 31, 2014.

The Indenture also contains events of default with respect to, among other things, the following: failure by GEO to pay interest and Liquidated Damages, if any, on the 5 7/8% Senior Notes when due, which failure continues for 30 days; failure by GEO to pay the principal of, or premium, if any, on, the 5 7/8% Senior Notes when due; failure by GEO or any of its restricted subsidiaries to comply with their obligations to offer to repurchase the 5 7/8% Senior Notes at the option of the holders of the 5 7/8% Senior Notes upon a change of control, to offer to redeem notes under certain circumstances in connection with asset sales with excess

Table of Contents

proceeds (as defined in the Indenture) in excess of $25.0 million or to observe certain restrictions on mergers, consolidations and sales of substantially all of their assets; the failure by GEO or any Guarantor to comply with any of the other agreements in the Indenture, which failure continues for 60 days after notice; and certain events of bankruptcy or insolvency of GEO or a restricted subsidiary that is a significant subsidiary or any group of restricted subsidiaries that together would constitute a significant subsidiary.

GEO used the net proceeds from the offering, together with cash on hand, to fund the repurchase, redemption or other discharge of its 7 3/4% Senior Notes and to pay related transaction fees and expenses. Loan costs of $5.9 million were incurred and capitalized in connection with the offering and the Company incurred a loss on extinguishment of debt of $17.7 million related to the tender premium and deferred costs associated with the 7 3/4% Senior Notes This loss was partially offset by proceeds of $4.0 million received for the settlement of the interest rate swaps related to the 7 3/4% Senior Notes.

Under the terms of the Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of October 3, 2013, among GEO, the Guarantors and Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, as the representative of the initial purchasers of the 5 7/8% Senior Notes (the Registration Rights Agreement ), GEO agreed to register under the Securities Act notes having terms identical in all material respects to the 5 7/8% Senior Notes (the 5 7/8% Exchange Notes ) and to make an offer to exchange the 5 7/8% Exchange Notes for the 5 7/8% Senior Notes. GEO filed the registration statement on October 2, 2013 which was declared effective on January 6, 2014. GEO launched the exchange offer on January 6, 2014 and the exchange offer expired on February 4, 2014.

***6.625% Senior Notes***

On February 10, 2011, the Company completed an offering of $300.0 million aggregate principal amount of 6.625% Senior Notes in a private offering under the indenture dated as of February 10, 2011 among the Company, certain of its domestic subsidiaries, as guarantors, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee. The 6.625% Senior Notes were offered and sold to qualified institutional buyers in accordance with Rule 144A under the Securities Act, and outside the United States to non-U.S. persons in accordance with Regulation S under the Securities Act. The 6.625% Senior Notes were issued at a coupon rate and yield to maturity of 6.625%. Interest on the 6.625% Senior Notes is payable semi-annually in cash in arrears on February 15 and August 15 each year. The 6.625% Senior Notes mature on February 15, 2021. The 6.625% Senior Notes and the guarantees are the Company s general unsecured senior obligations and rank equally in right of payment with all of the Company s and the guarantors existing and future unsecured senior debt, including the Company s 5.125% Senior Notes, the 5 7/8% Senior Notes and the 5.875% Senior Notes. The 6.625% Senior Notes and the guarantees are effectively subordinated to any of the Company s and the guarantors existing and future secured debt to the extent of the value of the assets securing such debt, including all anticipated borrowings under the Senior Credit Facility. The 6.625% Senior Notes are structurally subordinated to all existing and future liabilities (including trade payables) of the Company s subsidiaries that do not guarantee the 6.625% Senior Notes.

The Company may, at its option, redeem all or part of the 6.625% Senior Notes prior to February 15, 2016, at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount of each note to be redeemed plus a make whole premium, together with accrued and unpaid interest and Liquidated Damages, if any, to the date of redemption.

On or after February 15, 2016, the Company may, at its option, redeem all or part of the 6.625% Senior Notes upon not less than 30 nor more than 60 days notice, at the redemption prices (expressed as percentages of principal amount) set forth below, plus accrued and unpaid interest and liquidated damages, if any, on the 6.625% Senior Notes redeemed, to the applicable redemption date, if redeemed during the 12-month period beginning on February 15 of the years indicated below:

| Year | Percentage |
|---|---|
| 2016 | 103.3125% |
| 2017 | 102.2083% |
| 2018 | 101.1042% |
| 2019 and thereafter | 100.0000% |

Table of Contents

If there is a change of control (as defined in the indenture), holders of the 6.625% Senior Notes will have the right to cause the Company to repurchase their 6.625% Senior Notes at a price equal to 101% of the principal amount of the 6.625% Senior Notes repurchased plus accrued and unpaid interest and Liquidated Damages, if any, to the purchase date.

The indenture governing the notes contains certain covenants, including limitations and restrictions on the Company and its restricted subsidiaries ability to: incur additional indebtedness or issue preferred stock; make dividend payments or other restricted payments; create liens; sell assets; enter into transactions with affiliates; and enter into mergers, consolidations or sales of all or substantially all of the Company s assets. As of the date of the indenture, all of the Company s subsidiaries, other than certain dormant domestic and other subsidiaries and all foreign subsidiaries in existence on the date of the indenture, were restricted subsidiaries. The Company s failure to comply with certain of the covenants under the indenture governing the 6.625% Senior Notes could cause an event of default of any indebtedness and result in an acceleration of such indebtedness. In addition, there is a cross-default provision which becomes enforceable upon failure of payment of indebtedness at final maturity. The Company s unrestricted subsidiaries will not be subject to any of the restrictive covenants in the indenture. The Company was in compliance with all of the covenants of the indenture governing the 6.625% Senior Notes as of December 31, 2014.

The indenture also contains events of default with respect to, among other things, the following: failure by the Company to pay interest and Liquidated Damages, if any, on the 6.625% Senior Notes when due, which failure continues for 30 days; failure by the Company to pay the principal of, or premium, if any, on, the 6.625% Senior Notes when due; failure by the Company or any of its restricted subsidiaries to comply with their obligations to offer to repurchase the 6.625% Senior Notes at the option of the holders of the 6.625% Senior Notes upon a change of control, to offer to redeem notes under certain circumstances in connection with asset sales with excess proceeds (as defined in the indenture) in excess of $25.0 million or to observe certain restrictions on mergers, consolidations and sales of substantially all of their assets; the failure by the Company or any guarantor to comply with any of the other agreements in the indenture, which failure continues for 60 days after notice; and certain events of bankruptcy or insolvency of the Company or a restricted subsidiary that is a significant subsidiary or any group of restricted subsidiaries that together would constitute a significant subsidiary.

Under the terms of the registration rights agreement, dated as of February 10, 2011, among the Company, the guarantors and the initial purchasers of the 6.625% Senior Notes, the Company agreed to register under the Securities Act notes having terms identical in all material respects to the 6.625% Senior Notes (the 6.625% Exchange Notes ) and to make an offer to exchange the 6.625% Exchange Notes for the 6.625% Senior Notes. The Company filed the registration statement on April 12, 2011 which was declared effective on July 22, 2011. The Company launched the exchange offer on July 25, 2011 and the exchange offer expired on August 22, 2011.

***Non-Recourse Debt***

*South Texas Detention Complex*

The Company had a debt service requirement related to the development of the South Texas Detention Complex, a 1,904-bed detention complex in Frio County, Texas acquired in November 2005 from Correctional Services Corporation ( CSC ). CSC was awarded the contract in February 2004 by the Department of Homeland Security, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement ( ICE ) for development and operation of the detention center. In order to finance the construction of the complex, STLDC was created and issued $49.5 million in taxable revenue bonds. These bonds were to mature in February 2016 and had fixed coupon rates between 4.63% and 5.07%. Additionally, the Company was owed $5.0 million in the form of subordinated notes by STLDC which represented the principal amount of financing provided to STLDC by CSC for initial development.

On September 30, 2013, the Company completed a legal defeasance of the $49.5 million taxable revenue bonds with an outstanding balance of $17.2 million which were to mature in February 2016. Refer to Note 1 ***Variable Interest Entities***. Upon closing of the transaction, the Company received $17.3 million of funds held in

Table of Contents

trust with respect to STLDC which was held for future debt service and other reserves. These funds were previously included in the Company s current and non-current restricted cash and investments. In connection with the defeasance, the Company incurred a $1.5 million loss on extinguishment of debt which represented the excess of the reacquisition price of the defeasance over the net carrying value of the bonds and other defeasance related fees and expenses.

*Northwest Detention Center*

On June 30, 2003, CSC arranged financing for the construction of a detention center in Tacoma, Washington, referred to as the Northwest Detention Center, which was completed and opened for operation in April 2004. The Company began to operate this facility following its acquisition of CSC in November 2005 (this facility was expanded by GEO in 2009 to 1,575 beds from the original 1,030 beds).

In connection with the original financing, CSC of Tacoma, LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of CSC, issued a $57.0 million note payable to the Washington Economic Development Finance Authority ( WEDFA ), an instrumentality of the State of Washington, which issued revenue bonds ( 2003 Revenue Bonds ) and subsequently loaned the proceeds of the bond issuance back to CSC for the purposes of constructing the Northwest Detention Center. The proceeds of the loan were disbursed into escrow accounts held in trust to be used to pay the issuance costs for the revenue bonds, to construct the Northwest Detention Center and to establish debt service and other reserves. The bonds are non-recourse to the Company and the loan from WEDFA to CSC is non-recourse to the Company. These bonds matured and were fully paid in October 2014.

Additionally, on December 9, 2011, WEDFA issued $54.4 million of its Washington Economic Development Finance Authority Taxable Economic Development Revenue Bonds, series 2011 ( 2011 Revenue Bonds ). The bonds were rated AA- by Standard & Poor s Ratings Services and the scheduled payment of principal and interest is guaranteed by municipal bond insurance issued by Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp. The 2011 Revenue Bonds have an average all-in cost of approximately 6.4%, including debt issuance costs and the bond discount, and maturity dates ranging through October 1, 2021. The 2011 Revenue Bonds were issued to provide funds to make a loan to CSC of Tacoma, LLC for purposes of reimbursing GEO for costs incurred by GEO for the 2009 expansion of the Northwest Detention Facility and paying the costs of issuing the 2011 Revenue Bonds. The payment of principal and interest on the bonds is non-recourse to GEO. None of the bonds nor CSC s obligations under the loan are obligations of GEO nor are they guaranteed by GEO.

As of December 31, 2014, the remaining balance of the debt service requirement related to the 2011 Revenue Bonds is $49.4 million, of which $6.3 million is classified as current in the accompanying balance sheet. As of December 31, 2014, included in restricted cash and investments is $4.3 million (all current) of funds held in trust with respect to the Northwest Detention Center for debt service and other reserves which had not been released to the Company as of December 31, 2014.

*MCF*

MCF was obligated for the outstanding balance of the MCF Bonds. The bonds bore interest at a rate of 8.47% per annum and were payable in semi-annual installments of interest and annual installments of principal. All unpaid principal and accrued interest on the bonds was due on the earlier of August 1, 2016 (maturity) or as noted under the bond documents. The bonds were limited, non-recourse obligations of MCF and were collateralized by the property and equipment, bond reserves, assignment of subleases and substantially all assets related to the eleven facilities owned by MCF. The bonds were not guaranteed by the Company or its subsidiaries.

On August 31, 2012, the Company purchased 100% of the partnership interests of MCF from the third party holders of these interests for a total net consideration of $35.2 million. Subsequent to the acquisition, the

Table of Contents

indenture relating to the MCF bonds was discharged and the remaining principal balance as of August 31, 2012 of $77.9 million was redeemed, with an effective date of September 4, 2012. GEO financed the acquisition of the partnership interests in MCF and the redemption of the MCF bonds with the proceeds from a term loan under the prior senior credit facility discussed above.

In 2012, in connection with the transaction, the Company incurred a loss on extinguishment of debt in connection with the early redemption of the MCF bonds of $8.5 million which consisted of a make-whole premium of $14.9 million which includes $0.1 million of bond redemption costs, offset by the effect of the then unamortized bond premium of $6.4 million.

*Australia Fulham*

The Company s wholly-owned Australian subsidiary financed the development of a facility and subsequent expansion in 2003 with long-term debt obligations. These obligations are non-recourse to the Company and total $16.4 million (AUD 20.1 million) and $23.9 million (AUD 26.9 million) at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, based on exchange rates in effect as of December 31, 2014. The term of the non-recourse debt is through 2017 and it bears interest at a variable rate quoted by certain Australian banks plus 140 basis points. Any obligations or liabilities of the subsidiary are matched by a similar or corresponding commitment from the government of the State of Victoria. As a condition of the loan, the Company is required to maintain a restricted cash balance of AUD 5.0 million (along with interest earned on the account) which, at December 31, 2014, was $4.1 million (including interest) based on exchange rates in effect as of December 31, 2014. This amount is included in non-current restricted cash and the annual maturities of the future debt obligation are included in Non-Recourse Debt.

*Australia Ravenhall*

In connection with a new design and build prison project agreement with the State, the Company entered into a syndicated facility agreement (the Construction Facility ) with National Australia Bank Limited to provide debt financing for construction of the project. Refer to Note 7 Contract Receivable. The Construction Facility provides for non-recourse funding up to AUD 791 million, or $645.3 million, based on exchange rates as of December 31, 2014. Construction draws will be funded throughout the project according to a fixed utilization schedule as defined in the syndicated facility agreement. The term of the Construction Facility is through October 2019 and bears interest at a variable rate quoted by certain Australian banks plus 200 basis points. After October 2019, the Construction Facility will be converted to a term loan with payments due quarterly beginning in 2018 through 2041. In accordance with the terms of the Construction Facility, upon completion and commercial acceptance of the prison, in accordance with the prison contract, the State will make a lump sum payment of AUD 310 million, or $252.9 million, based on exchange rates as of December 31, 2014, which will be used to pay down a portion of the outstanding principal balance. The remaining outstanding principal balance will be repaid over the term of the operating agreement. As of December 31, 2014, $79.4 million was outstanding under the Construction Facility and the Company capitalized $17.2 million of deferred financing costs in connection with the transaction. The Company also entered into interest rate swap and interest rate cap agreements related to its non-recourse debt in connection with the project. Refer to Note 9 Derivative Financial Instruments.

Table of Contents

***Debt Repayment***

Debt repayment schedules under Capital Lease Obligations, Long-Term Debt, Non-Recourse Debt and the Senior Credit Facility are as follows:

| Fiscal Year | Capital Leases | Long-Term Debt | Non-Recourse Debt | Revolver | Term Loans | Total Annual Repayment |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | (In thousands) | | | |
| 2015 | $ 1,932 | $ 102 | $ 12,753 | $ | $ 3,000 | $ 17,787 |
| 2016 | 1,935 | 87 | 13,420 | | 3,000 | 18,442 |
| 2017 | 1,934 | 78 | 9,680 | | 3,000 | 14,692 |
| 2018 | 1,936 | 80 | 6,970 | | 3,000 | 11,986 |
| 2019 | 1,934 | 74 | 7,280 | 70,000 | 3,000 | 82,288 |
| 2020 | 1,934 | | 7,665 | | 280,500 | 290,099 |
| Thereafter | 3,170 | 1,100,000 | 87,494 | | | 1,190,664 |
| | 14,775 | 1,100,421 | 145,262 | 70,000 | 295,500 | 1,625,958 |
| Interest imputed on Capital Leases | (3,851) | | | | | (3,851) |
| Original issuer s discount | | | (712) | | | (712) |
| Current portion | (1,068) | (102) | (12,582) | | (3,000) | (16,752) |
| Non-current portion | $ 9,856 | $ 1,100,319 | $ 131,968 | $ 70,000 | $ 292,500 | $ 1,604,643 |

*Guarantees*

The Company has entered into certain guarantees in connection with the financing and construction performance of a facility in Australia (Refer to Note 7-Contract Receivable). The obligations amounted to approximately AUD 214 million, or $174.6 million, based on exchange rates as of December 31, 2014. These guarantees are secured by outstanding letters of credit under the Company s Revolver as of December 31, 2014.

At December 31, 2014, the Company also had nine letters of guarantee outstanding under separate international facilities relating to performance guarantees of its Australian subsidiary totaling $12.4 million.

In addition to the above, in connection with the creation of SACS, the Company entered into certain guarantees related to the financing, construction and operation of the prison. The Company guaranteed certain obligations of SACS under its debt agreements to SACS senior lenders through the issuance of letters of credit for 60.0 million South African Rand. During the fiscal year ended January 1, 2012, the Company was notified by SACS lenders that these guarantees were reduced from 60.0 million South African Rand to 26.7 million South African Rand, or $2.3 million based on exchange rates as of December 31, 2014. Additionally, SACS was required to fund a Rectification Account for the repayment of certain costs in the event of contract termination. As such, the Company had guaranteed the payment of 60% of amounts which may have been payable by SACS into the Rectification Account by providing a standby letter of credit of 8.4 million South African Rand as security for this guarantee. During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, SACS met its obligation for the funding of the Rectification Account and the letter of credit for 8.4 million South African Rand relative to this guarantee was not renewed. In the event SACS is unable to maintain the required funding in the Rectification Account, the guarantee for the shortfall will need to be re-instated. No amounts have been drawn against these letters of credit. The remaining guarantee of 26.7 million South African Rand is included as part of the value of the Company s outstanding letters of credit under its Revolver as of December 31, 2014.

The Company has also agreed to provide a loan, of up to 20.0 million South African Rand, or $1.7 million based on exchange rates as of December 31, 2014, referred to as the Shareholder s Loan, to SACS for the purpose of financing SACS obligations under its contract with the South African government. No amounts have been funded under the Shareholder s Loan, and the Company does not currently anticipate that such funding will

Table of Contents

be required by SACS in the future. The Company s obligations under the Shareholder s Loan expire upon the earlier of full funding or SACS s release from its obligations under its debt agreements. The lenders ability to draw on the Shareholder s Loan is limited to certain circumstances, including termination of the contract.

The Company has also guaranteed certain obligations of SACS to the security trustee for SACS lenders. The Company secured its guarantee to the security trustee by ceding its rights to claims against SACS in respect of any loans or other finance agreements, and by pledging the Company s shares in SACS. The Company s liability under the guarantee is limited to the cession and pledge of shares. The guarantee expires upon expiration of the cession and pledge agreements.

In connection with a design, build, finance and maintenance contract for a facility in Canada, the Company guaranteed certain potential tax obligations of a trust. The potential estimated exposure of these obligations is Canadian Dollar ( CAD ) $2.5 million, or $2.2 million based on exchange rates as of December 31, 2014, commencing in 2017. The Company has a liability of $2.0 million and $2.0 million related to this exposure included in Other Non-Current Liabilities as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. To secure this guarantee, the Company purchased Canadian dollar denominated securities with maturities matched to the estimated tax obligations in 2017 to 2021. The Company has recorded an asset equal to the current fair value of those securities included in Other Non-Current Assets as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, on its consolidated balance sheets. The Company does not currently operate or manage this facility.

In connection with the creation of GEOAmey, the Company and its joint venture partner guarantee the availability of working capital in equal proportion to ensure that GEOAmey can comply with current and future contractual commitments related to the performance of its operations. The Company and the 50% joint venture partner have each extended a £12 million line of credit of which £10.5 million, or $16.3 million based on exchange rates as of December 31, 2014, was outstanding as of December 31, 2014. The Company s maximum exposure relative to the joint venture is its note receivable of $16.3 million and future financial support necessary to guarantee performance under the contract.

Except as discussed above, the Company does not have any off balance sheet arrangements.

**15. Benefit Plans**

The Company s employees participate in an Employee Retirement Savings Plan (the Retirement Plan ) under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code that covers substantially all U.S. based salaried employees. Employees may contribute a percentage of eligible compensation to the plan, subject to certain limits under the Internal Revenue Code. For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, the Company provided matching contributions of $3.2 million, $3.4 million and $4.1 million, respectively.

The Company has two non-contributory defined benefit pension plans covering certain of the Company s executives. Retirement benefits are based on years of service, employees average compensation for the last five years prior to retirement and social security benefits. Currently, the plans are not funded. The Company purchased and is the beneficiary of life insurance policies for certain participants enrolled in the plans. There were no significant transactions between the employer or related parties and the plans during 2014, 2013 or 2012.

As of December 31, 2014, the Company had a non-qualified deferred compensation agreement with its Chief Executive Officer ( CEO ). In August 2012, the CEO s agreement was amended to eliminate the tax gross-up provision which was previously applicable to his lump sum retirement payment and in exchange for the elimination of the tax gross-up provision, the amount of the lump sum retirement payment which Mr. Zoley is entitled to receive has been proportionately increased so that he would receive substantially the same net benefit as he would have otherwise received had the tax gross-up remained in the plan. The current agreement provides for a lump sum payment upon retirement, no sooner than age 55. As of December 31, 2014, the CEO had reached age 55 and was eligible to receive the payment upon retirement. If the Company s CEO had retired as of

Table of Contents

December 31, 2014, the Company would have had to pay him $7.1 million. The long-term portion of the pension liability related to the defined benefit plans and the deferred compensation agreement with the CEO as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 was $24.9 million and $19.8 million, respectively, and is included in Other Non-Current liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

The following table summarizes key information related to the Company s pension plans and retirement agreements. The table illustrates the reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances of the benefit obligation showing the effects during the periods presented attributable to service cost, interest cost, plan amendments, termination benefits, actuarial gains and losses. The assumptions used in the Company s calculation of accrued pension costs are based on market information and the Company s historical rates for employment compensation and discount rates.

| | 2014 | 2013 |
|---|---|---|
| **Accumulated Benefit Obligation, End of Year** | $ 19,280 | $ 15,439 |
| **Change in Projected Benefit Obligation** | | |
| Projected Benefit Obligation, Beginning of Year | $ 20,032 | $ 19,761 |
| Service Cost | 821 | 925 |
| Interest Cost | 935 | 829 |
| Actuarial Loss (Gain) | 4,324 | (1,229) |
| Benefits Paid | (286) | (254) |
| Projected Benefit Obligation, End of Year | $ 25,826 | $ 20,032 |
| **Change in Plan Assets** | | |
| Plan Assets at Fair Value, Beginning of Year | $ | $ |
| Company Contributions | 286 | 254 |
| Benefits Paid | (286) | (254) |
| Plan Assets at Fair Value, End of Year | $ | $ |
| **Unfunded Status of the Plan** | $ (25,826) | $ (20,032) |
| **Amounts Recognized in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income** | | |
| Prior Service Cost | | |
| Net Loss | 6,988 | 2,791 |
| Total Pension Cost | $ 6,988 | $ 2,791 |

| | 2014 | 2013 |
|---|---|---|
| **Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost** | | |
| Service Cost | $ 821 | $ 925 |
| Interest Cost | 935 | 829 |
| Amortization of: | | |
| Net Loss | 127 | 263 |
| Net Periodic Pension Cost | $ 1,883 | $ 2,017 |
| **Weighted Average Assumptions for Expense** | | |
| Discount Rate | 4.35% | 5.15% |
| Expected Return on Plan Assets | N/A | N/A |
| Rate of Compensation Increase | 4.34% | 4.38% |

The amount included in other accumulated comprehensive income as of December 31, 2014 that has not yet been recognized as a component of net periodic benefit cost in fiscal year 2014 is $4.2 million. The amount included in other accumulated comprehensive income as of December 31, 2014 that is expected to be recognized as a component of net periodic benefit cost in fiscal year 2015 is $0.4 million.

141

Table of Contents

The benefit payments reflected in the table below represent the Company s obligations to employees that are eligible for retirement or have already retired and are receiving deferred compensation benefits:

| Fiscal Year | Pension Benefits (In thousands) |
|---|---|
| 2015 | $ 7,568 |
| 2016 | 528 |
| 2017 | 573 |
| 2018 | 569 |
| 2019 | 698 |
| Thereafter | 15,890 |
| | $ 25,826 |

The Company also maintains The GEO Group Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan ( Deferred Compensation Plan ), a non-qualified deferred compensation plan for employees who are ineligible to participate in its qualified 401(k) plan. Eligible employees may defer a fixed percentage of their salary and the Company matches employee contributions up to a certain amount based on the employee s years of service. Payments will be made at retirement age of 65, at termination of employment or earlier depending on the employees elections. The Company established a rabbi trust; the purpose of which is to segregate the assets of the Deferred Compensation Plan from the Company s cash balances. The funds in the rabbi trust are included in Restricted Cash and Investments in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets. These funds are not available to the Company for any purpose other than to fund the Deferred Compensation Plan; however, these funds may be available to the Company s creditors in the event the Company becomes insolvent. All employee and employer contributions relative to the Deferred Compensation Plan are made directly to the rabbi trust. The Company recognized expense related to its contributions of $0.3 million, $0.2 million and $0.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 respectively. The total liability for this plan at December 31, 2014 and 2013 was $11.0 million and $9.8 million, respectively and is included in Other Non-Current Liabilities in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets. The current portion of the liability was $0.9 million and $0.3 million as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

**16. Business Segments and Geographic Information**

***Operating and Reporting Segments***

The Company conducts its business through four reportable business segments: the U.S. Corrections & Detention segment; the International Services segment; the GEO Care segment; and Facility Construction & Design segment. The Company has identified these four reportable segments to reflect the current view that the Company operates four distinct business lines, each of which constitutes a material part of its overall business. The U.S. Corrections & Detention segment primarily encompasses U.S.-based privatized corrections and detention business. The International Services segment primarily consists of privatized corrections and detention operations in South Africa, Australia and the United Kingdom. The Company s community-based services, youth services and BI are operating segments aggregated under the GEO Care reporting segment. The GEO Care segment, which conducts its services in the United States, represents services provided to adult offenders and juveniles for non-residential treatment, educational and community based programs, pre-release and half-way house programs, compliance technologies, monitoring services and evidence-based supervision and treatment programs for community-based parolees, probationers, and pretrial defendants. Effective January 1, 2015, the Company regained ownership of its GEO Care trade name and as a result renamed its GEO Community Services Segment GEO Care in connection with the termination of the license agreement related to the sale of RTS. Refer to Note 2-Discontinued Operations. The Facility Construction & Design segment primarily contracts with various state, local and federal agencies for the design and construction of facilities for which the Company has management contracts. Generally, the assets and revenues from the Facility Construction & Design segment are

Table of Contents

offset by a similar amount of liabilities and expenses. There was no activity in the Facility Construction & Design segment during 2013 or 2012. Segment disclosures below (in thousands) reflect the results of continuing operations. All transactions between segments are eliminated.

| Fiscal Year | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
|---|---|---|---|
| Revenues: | | | |
| U.S. Corrections & Detention | $ 1,108,397 | $ 1,011,818 | $ 974,780 |
| GEO Care | 329,253 | 302,094 | 291,891 |
| International Services | 197,992 | 208,162 | 212,391 |
| Facility Construction and Design[1] | 55,978 | | |
| Total revenues | $ 1,691,620 | $ 1,522,074 | $ 1,479,062 |
| Depreciation and amortization: | | | |
| U.S. Corrections & Detention | $ 63,690 | $ 62,112 | $ 62,578 |
| GEO Care | 29,766 | 29,989 | 26,738 |
| International Services | 2,715 | 2,563 | 2,369 |
| Total depreciation and amortization | $ 96,171 | $ 94,664 | $ 91,685 |
| Operating Income: | | | |
| U.S. Corrections & Detention | $ 263,027 | $ 217,918 | $ 222,976 |
| GEO Care | 80,152 | 71,279 | 65,401 |
| International Services[2] | 6,130 | 13,348 | 9,768 |
| Facility Construction & Design[1] | 440 | | |
| Operating income from segments | $ 349,749 | $ 302,545 | $ 298,145 |
| General and Administrative Expenses | (115,018) | (117,061) | (113,792) |
| Total operating income | $ 234,731 | $ 185,484 | $ 184,353 |

[1] The Company began the design and construction of a new prison located in Ravenhall, a locality near Melbourne, Australia in 2014. There was no depreciation or amortization associated with this segment in 2014. Refer to Note 7-Contract Receivable.

[2] Operating income in the International Services segment decreased primarily due to bid costs incurred in the third quarter of 2014 at GEO s subsidiary in the United Kingdom as well as the termination of the Harmondsworth facility contract in early 2014 at GEO s subsidiary in the United Kingdom.

*Pre-Tax Income Reconciliation of Segments*

The following is a reconciliation of the Company s total operating income from its reportable segments to the Company s income before income taxes, equity in earnings of affiliates and discontinued operations, in each case, during the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

| Fiscal Year Ended | 2014 | 2013 (In thousands) | 2012 |
|---|---|---|---|
| Operating income from segments | $ 349,749 | $ 302,545 | $ 298,145 |
| Unallocated amounts: | | | |
| General and administrative expense | (115,018) | (117,061) | (113,792) |
| Net interest expense | (82,621) | (79,680) | (75,473) |
| Loss on early extinguishment of debt | | (20,657) | (8,462) |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Income before income taxes, equity in earnings of affiliates and discontinued operations | $ 152,110 | $ 85,147 | $ 100,418 |

Table of Contents

| | 2014 | 2013 |
|---|---|---|
| | (In thousands) | |
| Segment assets: | | |
| U.S. Corrections & Detention | $ 2,075,216 | $ 2,048,882 |
| GEO Care | 675,166 | 654,352 |
| International Services | 71,788 | 78,381 |
| Facility Construction & Design | 83,024 | |
| Total segment assets | $ 2,905,194 | $ 2,781,615 |

***Asset Reconciliation***

The following is a reconciliation of the Company s reportable segment assets to the Company s total assets as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

| | 2014 | 2013 |
|---|---|---|
| | (In thousands) | |
| Reportable segment assets | $ 2,905,194 | $ 2,781,615 |
| Cash | 41,337 | 52,125 |
| Deferred income tax assets | 31,758 | 25,757 |
| Restricted cash and investments, current and non-current | 23,919 | 29,867 |
| Total assets | $ 3,002,208 | $ 2,889,364 |

***Geographic Information***

During each of the fiscal years ended December 31, 2014, December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company s international operations were conducted through (i) the Company s wholly owned Australian subsidiary, The GEO Group Australia Pty. Ltd., through which the Company has management contracts for four correctional facilities, (ii) the Company s wholly owned subsidiaries, GEO Ravenhall Finance Holdings Pty. Ltd. and GEO Ravenhall Holdings Pty. Ltd. which, together, have a design and construction contract for a new prison in Ravenhall, Australia, (iii) the Company s consolidated joint venture in South Africa, SACM, through which the Company manages one correctional facility, and (iv) the Company s wholly-owned subsidiary in the United Kingdom, The GEO Group UK Ltd., through which the Company manages the Dungavel House Immigration Removal Centre.

| Fiscal Year | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | (In thousands) | |
| Revenues: | | | |
| U.S. operations | $ 1,438,144 | $ 1,314,425 | $ 1,267,335 |
| Australia operations | 210,577 | 158,028 | 159,444 |
| South African operations | 16,831 | 17,992 | 20,029 |
| United Kingdom operations | 26,068 | 31,629 | 32,254 |
| Total revenues | $ 1,691,620 | $ 1,522,074 | $ 1,479,062 |
| Long-lived assets: | | | |
| U.S. operations | $ 1,765,391 | $ 1,721,761 | $ 1,680,038 |
| Australia operations | 5,923 | 4,828 | 5,634 |
| South African operations | 127 | 158 | 234 |
| United Kingdom operations | 725 | 1,051 | 1,253 |
| Total long-lived assets | $ 1,772,166 | $ 1,727,798 | $ 1,687,159 |

144

Table of Contents

***Sources of Revenue***

The Company derives most of its revenue from the management of privatized correction and detention facilities. The Company also derives revenue from the provision of community based and youth services, monitoring and evidence-based supervision and treatment programs in the United States, and expansion of new and existing correction, detention facilities. All of the Company s revenue is generated from external customers.

| Fiscal Year | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | (In thousands) | |
| Revenues: | | | |
| Corrections & Detention | $ 1,306,389 | $ 1,219,980 | $ 1,187,171 |
| GEO Care | 329,253 | 302,094 | 291,891 |
| Facility Construction and Design | 55,978 | | |
| Total revenues | $ 1,691,620 | $ 1,522,074 | $ 1,479,062 |

***Equity in Earnings of Affiliates***

Equity in earnings of affiliates for 2014, 2013 and 2012 includes the operating results of the Company s joint ventures in SACS and GEOAmey. These joint ventures are accounted for under the equity method and the Company s investments in SACS and GEOAmey are presented as a component of other non-current assets in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The Company has recorded $5.1 million, $5.1 million and $5.3 million in earnings, net of tax impact, for SACS operations during the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively, which are included in equity in earnings of affiliates, net of income tax provision in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company s investment in SACS was $8.0 million and $8.1 million, respectively. The investment is included in other non-current assets in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets. The Company received dividend distributions of $4.3 million and $3.2 million, in 2014 and 2013, respectively from this unconsolidated joint venture.

The Company has recorded $0.7 million, $1.1 million and $(1.7) million in earnings (losses), net of tax impact, for GEOAmey s operations during the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively, which are included in equity in earnings of affiliates, net of income tax provision, in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company s investment in GEOAmey was $(2.2) million and $(3.0) million, respectively, and represents its share of cumulative reported losses. Losses in excess of the Company s investment have been recognized as the Company has provided certain loans and guarantees to provide financial support to GEOAmey (Refer to Note 14-Debt and Note 1 Summary of Business Organization, Operations and Significant Account Policies ***Note Receivable from Joint Venture***.

***Business Concentration***

Except for the major customer noted in the following table, no other single customer made up greater than 10% of the Company s consolidated revenues for the following fiscal years.

| Customer | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
|---|---|---|---|
| Various agencies of the U.S Federal Government: | 42% | 45% | 47% |

Credit risk related to accounts receivable is reflective of the related revenues.

Table of Contents

**17. Income Taxes**

The United States and foreign components of income before income taxes, equity in earnings in affiliates, and discontinued operations are as follows:

| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | (In thousands) | |
| Income before income taxes, equity in earnings in affiliates, and discontinued operations | | | |
| United States | $ 145,656 | $ 71,667 | $ 91,048 |
| Foreign | 6,454 | 13,480 | 9,370 |
| | 152,110 | 85,147 | 100,418 |
| Discontinued operations: | | | |
| Loss from operation of discontinued business | | (2,265) | (18,465) |
| Income before income taxes, equity in earnings in affiliates and discontinued operations | $ 152,110 | $ 82,882 | $ 81,953 |

The provision (benefit) for income taxes consists of the following components:

| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | (In thousands) | |
| Continuing Operations: | | | |
| Federal income taxes: | | | |
| Current | $ 12,393 | $ (26,841) | $ 36,631 |
| Deferred | (5,393) | (4,449) | (78,275) |
| | 7,000 | (31,290) | (41,644) |
| State income taxes: | | | |
| Current | 4,302 | 2,294 | 5,020 |
| Deferred | (1,422) | (1,221) | (8,770) |
| | 2,880 | 1,073 | (3,750) |
| Foreign income taxes: | | | |
| Current | 7,753 | 4,445 | 5,497 |
| Deferred | (3,540) | (278) | (665) |
| | 4,213 | 4,167 | 4,832 |
| Total U.S. and foreign provision (benefit) for income taxes from continuing operations | 14,093 | (26,050) | (40,562) |
| Discontinued operations: | | | |
| Tax (benefit) provision allocated to discontinued operations | | | (7,805) |
| Total U.S. and foreign provision (benefit) for income taxes | $ 14,093 | $ (26,050) | $ (48,367) |

146

Table of Contents

A reconciliation of the statutory U.S. federal tax rate of 35.00% and the effective income tax rate is as follows:

| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | (In thousands) | |
| Continuing operations: | | | |
| Provisions using statutory federal income tax rate | $ 53,239 | $ 29,801 | $ 35,147 |
| State income taxes, net of federal tax benefit | 2,510 | 1,104 | 4,291 |
| REIT Benefit | (44,538) | (34,454) | |
| Impact of REIT election | | (14,946) | (79,033) |
| Change in contingent tax liabilities | (576) | (5,701) | |
| Reenactment of Federal Tax Credits | | (1,084) | |
| Other, net | 3,458 | (770) | (967) |
| Total provision (benefit) for income taxes from continuing operations | 14,093 | (26,050) | (40,562) |
| Discontinued operations: | | | |
| Tax benefit from operations of discontinued business | | | (7,805) |
| Total provision (benefit) for income taxes | $ 14,093 | $ (26,050) | $ (48,367) |

The Company s effective tax rate, beginning in 2013, differs from the U.S. statutory rate of 35.0% primarily due to a zero tax rate on earnings generated by the Company s REIT operations. In 2013 and 2012, the Company had a tax benefit related to the REIT conversion of $14.9 million and $79.0 million, respectively, which was primarily related to the revaluation of certain deferred tax assets and liabilities upon conversion to the effective tax rate of the REIT at a zero tax rate. In addition, the Company had a tax benefit in 2013 of $5.7 million primarily related to settlements of uncertain tax positions with the IRS for the tax years 2010 and 2011.

The following table presents the breakdown between current and non-current net deferred tax assets as of December 31, 2014 and 2013:

| | 2014 | 2013 |
|---|---|---|
| | (In thousands) | |
| Deferred tax assets current | $ 25,884 | $ 20,936 |
| Deferred tax liabilities current(1) | (12) | |
| Deferred tax assets non current | 5,873 | 4,821 |
| Deferred tax liabilities non current | (10,068) | (14,689) |
| Total net deferred tax assets | $ 21,677 | $ 11,068 |

(1) Deferred tax liabilities current is included in Accrued Expenses and Other Current Liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet.

Table of Contents

The significant components of the Company s deferred tax assets and liabilities consisted of the following as of December 31, 2014 and 2013:

| | 2014 | 2013 |
|---|---|---|
| | (In thousands) | |
| Deferred tax assets: | | |
| Net operating losses | $ 22,402 | $ 22,461 |
| Accrued liabilities | 23,720 | 18,879 |
| Deferred compensation | 11,531 | 8,604 |
| Accrued compensation | 5,554 | 5,736 |
| Deferred revenue | 5,861 | 5,523 |
| Deferred rent | 4,313 | 5,264 |
| Tax credits | 3,414 | 4,326 |
| Equity awards | 3,559 | 3,822 |
| Other, net | | 309 |
| Valuation allowance | (13,368) | (12,704) |
| Total deferred tax assets | $ 66,986 | $ 62,220 |
| Deferred tax liabilities: | | |
| Intangible assets | $ (41,242) | $ (43,699) |
| Depreciation | (3,389) | (7,453) |
| Other | (678) | |
| Total deferred tax liabilities | $ (45,309) | $ (51,152) |
| Total net deferred tax assets | $ 21,677 | $ 11,068 |

Deferred income taxes should be reduced by a valuation allowance if it is not more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will be realized. On a periodic basis, management evaluates and determines the amount of the valuation allowance required and adjusts such valuation allowance accordingly. At year end 2014 and 2013, the Company has a valuation allowance of $13.4 million and $12.7 million, respectively related to deferred tax assets for foreign net operating losses, state net operating losses and state tax credits. The valuation allowance increased by $0.7 million during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014.

The Company provides income taxes on the undistributed earnings of non-U.S. subsidiaries except to the extent that such earnings are indefinitely invested outside the United States. At December 31, 2014, $8.0 million of accumulated undistributed earnings of non-U.S. subsidiaries were indefinitely invested. At the existing U.S. federal income and applicable foreign withholding tax rates, additional taxes (net of foreign tax credits) of $0.4 million would have to be provided if such earnings were remitted currently.

As of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014, the Company had $16.9 million of Federal net operating loss carryforwards which begin to expire in 2023 and $142.2 million of combined net operating loss carryforwards in various states which began to expire in 2014. The Company has recorded a partial valuation allowance against the deferred tax assets related to the state operating losses.

Also as of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014, the Company had $27 million of foreign operating losses which carry forward indefinitely and $1.8 million of state tax credits which carry forward indefinitely. The Company has recorded a partial valuation allowance against the deferred tax assets related to the foreign operating losses and state tax credits.

The Company recognizes the cost of employee services received in exchange for awards of equity instruments based upon the grant date fair value of those awards. The exercise of non-qualified stock options and vesting of restricted stock awards which have been granted under the Company s equity award plans give rise to

Table of Contents

compensation income which is includable in the taxable income of the applicable employees and deducted by the Company for federal and state income tax purposes. In the case of non-qualified stock options, the compensation income results from increases in the fair market value of the Company s common stock subsequent to the date of grant. At fiscal year end 2014, the deferred tax asset net of a valuation allowance related to unexercised stock options and restricted stock grants for which the Company has recorded a book expense was $3.6 million.

The Company recognizes the financial statement benefit of a tax position only after determining that the relevant tax authority would more likely than not sustain the position following an audit. For tax positions meeting the more-likely-than-not threshold, the amount recognized in the financial statements is the largest benefit that has a greater than 50 percent likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement with the relevant tax authority.

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits is as follows:

| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | (In thousands) | |
| Balance at Beginning of Period | $ 2,766 | $ 18,499 | $ 6,528 |
| Additions based on tax positions related to the current year | | | 2,437 |
| Additions for tax positions of prior years | | 1,543 | 13,356 |
| Reductions as a result of a lapse of applicable statutes of limitations | (690) | (1,298) | (592) |
| Settlements | | (15,978) | (3,230) |
| Balance at End of Period | $ 2,076 | $ 2,766 | $ 18,499 |

All amounts in the reconciliation are reported on a gross basis and do not reflect a federal tax benefit on state income taxes. The Company has accrued $2 million of accrued uncertain tax benefits as of December 31, 2014 which is inclusive of the federal tax benefit on state income taxes. The Company anticipates a decrease in the unrecognized tax benefits within twelve months of the reporting date of approximately $0.4 million due to lapse of statute of limitation. Settlements reported in the reconciliation for 2012 and 2013 include amounts related to federal audit adjustments for the years 2010 and 2011 under the IRS CAP Program. The accrued uncertain tax balance at December 31, 2014 includes $2.0 million of unrecognized tax benefits which, if ultimately recognized, will reduce the Company s annual effective tax rate.

The Company is subject to income taxes in the U.S. federal jurisdiction, and various states and foreign jurisdictions. Tax regulations within each jurisdiction are subject to the interpretation of the related tax laws and regulations and require significant judgment to apply. With few exceptions, the Company is no longer subject to U.S. federal, state and local, or non-U.S. income tax examinations by tax authorities for the years before 2010. The Company participated in the voluntary IRS real-time tax audit Compliance Assurance Process ( CAP ) for the 2011 and 2012 tax year. The 2009 and 2010 years were under audit as transition years as provided under the IRS CAP program. The federal income tax audits for 2009 through 2012 were concluded in 2013.

The calculation of the Company s provision (benefit) for income taxes requires the use of significant judgment and involves dealing with uncertainties in the application of complex tax laws and regulations. In determining the adequacy of the Company s provision (benefit) for income taxes, potential settlement outcomes resulting from income tax examinations are regularly assessed. As such, the final outcome of tax examinations, including the total amount payable or the timing of any such payments upon resolution of these issues, cannot be estimated with certainty.

During the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, the Company recognized $0.4 million, $0.7 million and $0.0 million in interest and penalties, respectively. The Company had accrued $0.6 million and $0.4 million for the payment of interest and penalties at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The Company classifies interest and penalties as interest expense and other expense, respectively.

Table of Contents

**18. Commitments and Contingencies**

***Operating Leases***

The Company leases correctional facilities, office space, computers and transportation equipment under non-cancelable operating leases expiring between 2015 and 2096. The future minimum commitments under these leases are as follows:

| Fiscal Year | Annual Rental (In thousands) |
|---|---|
| 2015 | $ 35,679 |
| 2016 | 32,929 |
| 2017 | 26,459 |
| 2018 | 22,799 |
| 2019 | 21,525 |
| Thereafter | 33,081 |
| | $ 172,472 |

The Company leases its corporate offices, which are located in Boca Raton, Florida, under a lease agreement which was amended in April 2013. The current lease expires in March 2020 and has two 5-year renewal options, which if exercised will result in a maximum term ending in March 2030. In addition, the Company leases office space for its regional offices in Charlotte, North Carolina; San Antonio, Texas; and Los Angeles, California. The Company is also currently leasing office space in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, Boulder, Colorado and Aurora, Colorado. The Company also leases office space in Sydney, Australia, Sandton, South Africa, and Berkshire, England through its overseas affiliates to support its Australian, South African, and UK operations, respectively. The Company also leases 47 ISAP service centers and 83 nonresidential re-entry centers related to BI. These rental commitments are included in the table above. Certain of these leases contain leasehold improvement incentives, rent holidays, and scheduled rent increases which are included in the Company s rent expense recognized on a straight-line basis. Minimum rent expense associated with the Company s leases having initial or remaining non-cancelable lease terms in excess of one year was $34.8 million, $35.9 million and $34.4 million for fiscal years 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

***Collective Bargaining Agreements***

The Company had approximately 24% of its workforce covered by collective bargaining agreements at December 31, 2014. Collective bargaining agreements with 14% of employees are set to expire in less than one year.

***Employment Agreement***

On April 29, 2013, GEO and Mr. George C. Zoley, the Company s Chief Executive Officer, entered into the First Amendment to Third Amended and Restated Executive Employment Agreement (the First Amendment ). The First Amendment modifies Mr. Zoley s employment agreement by eliminating the automatic cost of living increase applicable to his annual base salary and instead provides that his annual base salary may be increased in the sole discretion of the Board of Directors for cost of living increases to be determined by the Board of Directors. Additionally, the First Amendment modifies the termination payment Mr. Zoley would receive in the event of a termination of employment other than a termination by GEO for cause (as defined in the Third Amended and Restated Executive Employment Agreement) or a termination by Mr. Zoley without good reason (as defined in the Third Amended and Restated Executive Employment Agreement) from three times the amount of Mr. Zoley s base salary plus annual bonus to two times the amount of Mr. Zoley s base salary plus annual bonus.

On May 29, 2013, the Company and the Chief Executive Officer entered into the Second Amendment to Third Amended and Restated Executive Employment Agreement (the Second Amendment ). The Second

Table of Contents

Amendment modifies the Chief Executive Officer s employment agreement by decreasing the maximum target annual performance award he may receive from 150% of his annual base salary to 100% of his annual base salary.

***Contract Awards and Terminations***

On February 3, 2014, GEO announced that it assumed management of the 985-bed Moore Haven Correctional Facility, the 985-bed Bay Correctional Facility, and the 1,884-bed Graceville Correctional Facility under contracts with the Florida Department of Management Services effective February 1, 2014.

On February 3, 2014, GEO announced that it had increased the contracted capacity at the Company-owned Rio Grande Detention Center in Laredo, Texas from 1,500 to 1,900 beds under a contract with the U.S. Marshals Service.

On April 1, 2014, GEO announced the signing of a contract with the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation for the reactivation of the company-owned 260-bed McFarland Female Community Reentry Facility located in McFarland, California.

On April 30, 2014, GEO announced a 640-bed expansion to the company-owned, 1,300-bed Adelanto Detention Facility in California under an amendment to the existing contract with the City of Adelanto.

On September 10, 2014, GEO announced that the Company s wholly-owned subsidiary, BI has been awarded a contract by U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement ( ICE ) for the continued provision of case management and supervision services under the Intensive Supervision and Appearance Program ( ISAP ). The contract has a term of five years, inclusive of option periods, effective September 8, 2014.

On September 16, 2014, GEO announced that GEO was awarded a contract with the Department of Justice in the State of Victoria for the development and operation of a new 1,300-bed capacity prison (the Facility ) in Ravenhall, a locality near Melbourne, Australia. Refer to Note 7 Contract Receivable.

On December 19, 2014, GEO announced a 626-bed expansion to the company-owned, 532-bed Karnes County Residential Center in Texas under an amendment to the Company s existing contract with Karnes County, Texas.

On December 30, 2014, GEO announced the Company had signed contracts with the Federal Bureau of Prisons ( BOP ) for the continuation of management at the Moshannon Valley Correctional Center in Pennsylvania and for the reactivation of the Great Plains Correction Facility in Oklahoma. The Great Plains Correction Facility was previously included in the Company s idle facilities. The contract has a term of ten years, inclusive of renewal options.

***Commitments***

The Company is currently developing a number of projects using existing Company financing facilities. The Company s management estimates that these existing capital projects will cost approximately $235.2 million, of which $61.6 million was spent through the end of 2014. The Company estimates the remaining capital requirements related to these capital projects to be approximately $173.6 million. Domestic projects included in these amounts are expected to be completed in 2015. Included in these commitments is a contractual commitment to provide a capital contribution towards the design and construction of a prison project in Ravenhall, a locality near Melbourne, Australia, in the amount of AUD 115 million, or $93.8 million, based on exchange rates at December 31, 2014. Refer to Note 7-Contract Receivable. This capital contribution is expected to be made in January 2017. Additionally, in connection with the prison project in Ravenhall, Australia, the Company has a contractual commitment for construction of the facility and has entered into a syndicated facility agreement with National Australia Bank Limited to provide funding for the project up to AUD 791 million, or $645.3 million, based on exchange rates at December 31, 2014.

In addition to these current estimated capital requirements the Company is currently in the process of bidding on, or evaluating potential bids for the design, construction and management of a number of new projects. In the event that the Company wins bids for these projects and decides to self-finance their construction, its capital requirements could materially increase.

Table of Contents

***Litigation, Claims and Assessments***

The nature of the Company s business exposes it to various types of third-party legal claims or litigation against the Company, including, but not limited to, civil rights claims relating to conditions of confinement and/or mistreatment, sexual misconduct claims brought by prisoners or detainees, medical malpractice claims, product liability claims, intellectual property infringement claims, claims relating to employment matters (including, but not limited to, employment discrimination claims, union grievances and wage and hour claims), property loss claims, environmental claims, automobile liability claims, indemnification claims by its customers and other third parties, contractual claims and claims for personal injury or other damages resulting from contact with the Company s facilities, programs, electronic monitoring products, personnel or prisoners, including damages arising from a prisoner s escape or from a disturbance or riot at a facility. The Company accrues for legal costs associated with loss contingencies when those costs are reasonable possible and estimable. The Company does not expect the outcome of any pending claims or legal proceedings to have a material adverse effect on its financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

**19. Selected Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)**

The Company s selected quarterly financial data is as follows (in thousands, except per share data attributable to GEO):

| | First Quarter | Second Quarter | Third Quarter | Fourth Quarter |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **2014** | | | | |
| Revenues | $ 393,137 | $ 412,843 | $ 457,900 | $ 427,740 |
| Operating income* | 48,570 | 60,889 | 63,318 | 61,954 |
| Income from continuing operations* | 27,996 | 38,898 | 38,965 | 37,981 |
| Net Income* | 27,996 | 38,898 | 38,965 | 37,981 |
| Net Income Attributable to The GEO Group, Inc.* | 27,990 | 38,898 | 38,991 | 38,051 |
| Basic earnings per share | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | $ 0.39 | $ 0.54 | $ 0.54 | $ 0.52 |
| Net income per share* | $ 0.39 | $ 0.54 | $ 0.54 | $ 0.52 |
| Diluted earnings per share | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations* | $ 0.39 | $ 0.54 | $ 0.54 | $ 0.52 |
| Net income per share* | $ 0.39 | $ 0.54 | $ 0.54 | $ 0.52 |

Note that earnings per share tables may contain slight summation differences due to rounding.

| | First Quarter | Second Quarter | Third Quarter | Fourth Quarter |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **2013** | | | | |
| Revenues | $ 377,031 | $ 381,653 | $ 379,842 | $ 383,548 |
| Operating income* | 41,259 | 51,387 | 44,829 | 48,009 |
| Income from continuing operations* | 23,438 | 34,219 | 32,174 | 27,631 |
| Loss from discontinued operation, net of tax | | | (2,265) | |
| Net Income* | 23,438 | 34,219 | 29,909 | 27,631 |
| Net Income Attributable to The GEO Group, Inc.* | 23,420 | 34,207 | 29,897 | 27,611 |
| Basic earnings per share | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations* | $ 0.33 | $ 0.48 | $ 0.45 | $ 0.39 |
| Loss from discontinued operations | $ | $ | $ (0.03) | $ |
| Net income per share* | $ 0.33 | $ 0.48 | $ 0.42 | $ 0.39 |
| Diluted earnings per share | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations* | $ 0.33 | $ 0.48 | $ 0.45 | $ 0.38 |

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Loss from discontinued operations | $ | $ | $ (0.03) | $ |
| Net income per share* | $ 0.33 | $ 0.48 | $ 0.42 | $ 0.38 |

Table of Contents

* First quarter operating income, net income and related income per share amounts are typically lower than the remaining quarters in the year due to the resetting of certain payroll tax limits which occurs in January of each year and results in higher payroll tax costs in the first quarter.

Note that earnings per share tables contain slight summation differences due to rounding.

**20. Condensed Consolidating Financial Information**

The notes discussed below are fully and unconditionally guaranteed on a joint and several senior unsecured basis by the Company and certain of its wholly-owned domestic subsidiaries (the Subsidiary Guarantors ).

On September 25, 2014, the Company completed an offering of $250.0 million aggregate principal amount of senior unsecured notes. The notes will mature on October 15, 2024 and have a coupon rate and yield to maturity of 5.875%. Interest is payable semi-annually in cash in arrears on April 15 and October 15, beginning April 15, 2015. The 5.875% Senior Notes are guaranteed on a senior unsecured basis by all the Company s restricted subsidiaries that guarantee obligations. The 5.875% Senior Notes rank equally in right of payment with any unsecured, unsubordinated indebtedness of the Company and the guarantors, including the Company s 6.625% senior notes due 2021, the 5 3/8% senior notes due 2022, the 5.125% senior notes due 2023, and the guarantors guarantees thereof, senior in right of payment to any future indebtedness of the Company and the guarantors that is expressly subordinated to the 5.875% Senior Notes and the guarantees, effectively junior to any secured indebtedness of the Company and the guarantors, including indebtedness under the Company s senior credit facility, to the extent of the value of the assets securing such indebtedness, and structurally junior to all obligations of the Company s subsidiaries that are not guarantors. The sale of the 5.875% Senior Notes was registered under the Company s automatic shelf registration statement on Form S-3 filed on on September 12, 2014, as supplemented by the Preliminary Prospectus Supplement filed on September 24, 2014.

During 2013 the Company completed two private placement offerings as follows: (i) on March 19, 2013, the Company completed an offering of $300.0 million aggregate principal amount of 5.125% Senior Notes due 2023, and (ii) on October 3, 2013, the Company completed an offering of $250.0 million aggregate principal amount of 5 7/8% Senior Notes due 2022. The 5.125% Senior Notes due 2023, the 5 7/8% Senior Notes due 2022, and the Company s previously existing 6.625% Senior Notes due 2021 are collectively referred to as the Notes .

On February 10, 2011, the 6.625% Senior Notes were sold to qualified institutional buyers in accordance with Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act ), and outside the United States only to non-U.S. persons in accordance with Regulation S promulgated under the Securities Act. In connection with the sale of the 6.625% Senior Notes, the Company entered into a Registration Rights Agreement with the initial purchasers of the 6.625% Senior Notes party thereto, pursuant to which the Company and its Subsidiary Guarantors (as defined below) agreed to file a registration statement with respect to an offer to exchange the 6.625% Senior Notes for a new issue of substantially identical notes registered under the Securities Act. The Company filed a registration statement with respect to this offer to exchange the 6.625% Senior Notes which became effective on July 22, 2011. The Company launched the exchange offer on July 25, 2011 and the exchange offer expired August 22, 2011.

On March 13, 2013, the 5.125% Senior Notes were sold to qualified institutional buyers in accordance with Rule 144A under the Securities Act, and outside the United States only to non-U.S. persons in accordance with Regulation S promulgated under the Securities Act. In connection with the sale of the 5.125% Senior Notes, the Company entered into a Registration Rights Agreement with the initial purchasers of the 5.125% Senior Notes party thereto, pursuant to which the Company and its Subsidiary Guarantors (as defined below) agreed to file a registration statement with respect to an offer to exchange the 5.125% Senior Notes for a new issue of substantially identical notes registered under the Securities Act. The Company filed a registration statement with respect to this offer to exchange the 5.125% Senior Notes which became effective on September 12, 2013. GEO launched the exchange offer on September 13, 2013 and the exchange offer expired on October 11, 2013.

Table of Contents

On October 3, 2013, the 5 7/8% Senior Notes were sold to qualified institutional buyers in accordance with Rule 144A under the Securities Act, and outside the United States only to non-U.S. persons in accordance with Regulation S promulgated under the Securities Act. In connection with the sale of the 5 7/8% Senior Notes, the Company entered into a Registration Rights Agreement with the initial purchasers of the 5 7/8% Senior Notes party thereto, pursuant to which the Company and its Subsidiary Guarantors (as defined below) agreed to file a registration statement with respect to an offer to exchange the 5 7/8% Senior Notes for a new issue of substantially identical notes registered under the Securities Act. The Company filed a registration statement with respect to this offer to exchange the 5 7/8% Senior Notes which became effective on January 6, 2014. GEO launched the exchange offer on January 6, 2014 and the exchange offer expired on February 4, 2014.

As a result of the REIT conversion, effective January 1, 2013, GEO reorganized its operations and moved non-real estate components into taxable REIT subsidiaries. Refer to Note 1 Summary of Business Organization, Operations and Significant Accounting Policies. In addition, the 2014 and 2013 presentation includes REIT/TRS activity between Parent and Guarantors which was not present during 2012. As a result of the restructuring, certain balances reflected in the 2014 and 2013 condensed consolidating financial information may not be comparable to the 2012 condensed consolidating financial information.

The following condensed consolidating financial information, which has been prepared in accordance with the requirements for presentation of Rule 3-10(d) of Regulation S-X promulgated under the Securities Act, presents the condensed consolidating financial information separately for:

(i) The GEO Group, Inc., as the issuer of the Notes;

(ii) The Subsidiary Guarantors, on a combined basis, which are 100% owned by The Geo Group, Inc., and which are guarantors of the Notes;

(iii) The Company s other subsidiaries, on a combined basis, which are not guarantors of the Notes (the Subsidiary Non-Guarantors );

(iv) Consolidating entries and eliminations representing adjustments to: (a) eliminate intercompany transactions between or among the Company, the Subsidiary Guarantors and the Subsidiary Non-Guarantors and (b) eliminate the investments in the Company s subsidiaries; and

(v) The Company and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis.

Table of Contents

**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME**

| | For the Year Ended December 31, 2014 | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | The GEO Group, Inc. | Combined Subsidiary Guarantors | Combined Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
| Revenues | $ 558,764 | $ 1,315,024 | $ 264,216 | $ (446,384) | $ 1,691,620 |
| Operating expenses | 449,805 | 1,014,713 | 227,566 | (446,384) | 1,245,700 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 25,605 | 66,077 | 4,489 | | 96,171 |
| General and administrative expenses | 36,437 | 61,351 | 17,230 | | 115,018 |
| Operating income | 46,917 | 172,883 | 14,931 | | 234,731 |
| Interest income | 22,327 | 3,103 | 4,416 | (25,099) | 4,747 |
| Interest expense | (47,622) | (56,195) | (8,650) | 25,099 | (87,368) |
| Income before income taxes and equity in earnings of affiliates | 21,622 | 119,791 | 10,697 | | 152,110 |
| Provision for income taxes | 729 | 9,152 | 4,212 | | 14,093 |
| Equity in earnings of affiliates, net of income tax provision | | | 5,823 | | 5,823 |
| Income from operations before equity in income of consolidated subsidiaries | 20,893 | 110,639 | 12,308 | | 143,840 |
| Income from consolidated subsidiaries, net of income tax provision | 122,947 | | | (122,947) | |
| Net income | 143,840 | 110,639 | 12,308 | (122,947) | 143,840 |
| Less: income attributable to noncontrolling interests | | | 90 | | 90 |
| Net income attributable to The GEO Group, Inc. | $ 143,840 | $ 110,639 | $ 12,398 | $ (122,947) | $ 143,930 |
| Net income | $ 143,840 | $ 110,639 | $ 12,308 | $ (122,947) | $ 143,840 |
| Other comprehensive loss, net of tax | | (2,522) | (20,560) | | (23,082) |
| Total comprehensive income (loss) | $ 143,840 | $ 108,117 | $ (8,252) | $ (122,947) | $ 120,758 |
| Comprehensive loss attributable to noncontrolling interests | | | 140 | | 140 |
| Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to The GEO Group, Inc. | $ 143,840 | $ 108,117 | $ (8,112) | $ (122,947) | $ 120,898 |

Table of Contents

**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME**

| | For the Year Ended December 31, 2013 | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | The GEO Group, Inc. | Combined Subsidiary Guarantors | Combined Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
| Revenues | $ 494,631 | $ 1,218,835 | $ 219,871 | $ (411,263) | $ 1,522,074 |
| Operating expenses | 410,270 | 950,605 | 175,253 | (411,263) | 1,124,865 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 24,355 | 65,524 | 4,785 | | 94,664 |
| General and administrative expenses | 36,336 | 64,573 | 16,152 | | 117,061 |
| Operating income | 23,670 | 138,133 | 23,681 | | 185,484 |
| Interest income | 25,275 | 1,960 | 2,566 | (26,477) | 3,324 |
| Interest expense | (41,121) | (59,518) | (8,842) | 26,477 | (83,004) |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | (2,601) | (18,056) | | | (20,657) |
| Income before income taxes, equity in earnings of affiliates and discontinued operations | 5,223 | 62,519 | 17,405 | | 85,147 |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes | (34,835) | 4,454 | 4,331 | | (26,050) |
| Equity in earnings of affiliates, net of income tax provision | | | 6,265 | | 6,265 |
| Income from continuing operations before equity in income of consolidated subsidiaries | 40,058 | 58,065 | 19,339 | | 117,462 |
| Income from consolidated subsidiaries, net of income tax provision | 77,404 | | | (77,404) | |
| Income from continuing operations | 117,462 | 58,065 | 19,339 | (77,404) | 117,462 |
| Net loss from discontinued operations | (2,265) | | | | (2,265) |
| Net income | 115,197 | 58,065 | 19,339 | (77,404) | 115,197 |
| Less: loss attributable to noncontrolling interests | $ | $ | $ (62) | $ | $ (62) |
| Net income attributable to The GEO Group, Inc. | $ 115,197 | $ 58,065 | $ 19,277 | $ (77,404) | $ 115,135 |
| Net income | $ 115,197 | $ 58,065 | $ 19,339 | $ (77,404) | $ 115,197 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax | | 914 | (8,113) | | (7,199) |
| Total comprehensive income | $ 115,197 | $ 58,979 | $ 11,226 | $ (77,404) | $ 107,998 |
| Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests | | | 38 | | 38 |
| Comprehensive income attributable to The GEO Group, Inc. | $ 115,197 | $ 58,979 | $ 11,264 | $ (77,404) | $ 108,036 |

Table of Contents

**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME**

| | For the Fiscal Year ended December 31, 2012 | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | The GEO Group, Inc. | Combined Subsidiary Guarantors | Combined Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
| Revenues | $ 605,091 | $ 721,949 | $ 230,261 | $ (78,239) | $ 1,479,062 |
| Operating expenses | 529,318 | 451,564 | 186,589 | (78,239) | 1,089,232 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 29,521 | 54,719 | 7,445 | | 91,685 |
| General and administrative expenses | 44,214 | 52,753 | 16,825 | | 113,792 |
| Operating income | 2,038 | 162,913 | 19,402 | | 184,353 |
| Interest income | 32,580 | 1,713 | 6,122 | (33,699) | 6,716 |
| Interest expense | (68,737) | (33,204) | (13,947) | 33,699 | (82,189) |
| Loss on early extinguishment of debt | | (8,462) | | | (8,462) |
| Income (loss) before income taxes, equity in earnings of affiliates and discontinued operations | (34,119) | 122,960 | 11,577 | | 100,418 |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes | (11,303) | (31,352) | 2,093 | | (40,562) |
| Equity in earnings of affiliates, net of income tax provision | | | 3,578 | | 3,578 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before equity in income of consolidated subsidiaries | (22,816) | 154,312 | 13,062 | | 144,558 |
| Income from consolidated subsidiaries, net of income tax provision | 167,374 | | | (167,374) | |
| Income from continuing operations | 144,558 | 154,312 | 13,062 | (167,374) | 144,558 |
| Net income (loss) from discontinued operations | (10,660) | (5,942) | 447 | 5,495 | (10,660) |
| Net income | 133,898 | 148,370 | 13,509 | (161,879) | 133,898 |
| Less: loss attributable to noncontrolling interests | $ | $ | $ 852 | $ | $ 852 |
| Net income attributable to The GEO Group, Inc. | $ 133,898 | $ 148,370 | $ 14,361 | $ (161,879) | $ 134,750 |
| Net income | $ 133,898 | $ 148,370 | $ 13,509 | $ (161,879) | $ 133,898 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax | (461) | | 1,085 | | 624 |
| Total comprehensive income | $ 133,437 | $ 148,370 | $ 14,594 | $ (161,879) | $ 134,522 |
| Comprehensive loss attributable to noncontrolling interests | | | 968 | | 968 |
| Comprehensive income attributable to The GEO Group, Inc. | $ 133,437 | $ 148,370 | $ 15,562 | $ (161,879) | $ 135,490 |

Table of Contents

**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET**

| | As of December 31, 2014 | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | The GEO Group, Inc. | Combined Subsidiary Guarantors | Combined Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
| | | | (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| **ASSETS** | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | $ 18,492 | $ 782 | $ 22,063 | $ | $ 41,337 |
| Restricted cash and investments | | | 4,341 | | 4,341 |
| Accounts receivable, less allowance for doubtful accounts | 92,456 | 159,505 | 17,077 | | 269,038 |
| Current deferred income tax assets, net | | 21,657 | 4,227 | | 25,884 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 7,022 | 19,593 | 11,345 | (1,154) | 36,806 |
| Total current assets | 117,970 | 201,537 | 59,053 | (1,154) | 377,406 |
| Restricted Cash and Investments | 228 | 13,729 | 5,621 | | 19,578 |
| Property and Equipment, Net | 726,238 | 961,896 | 84,032 | | 1,772,166 |
| Direct Finance Lease Receivable | | | 9,256 | | 9,256 |
| Contract Receivable | | | 66,229 | | 66,229 |
| Intercompany Receivable | 962,314 | 119,414 | | (1,081,728) | |
| Non-Current Deferred Income Tax Assets | | | 5,873 | | 5,873 |
| Goodwill | 34 | 493,389 | 467 | | 493,890 |
| Intangible Assets, Net | | 154,237 | 1,038 | | 155,275 |
| Investment in Subsidiaries | 855,870 | 438,243 | | (1,294,113) | |
| Other Non-Current Assets | 25,635 | 110,105 | 46,838 | (80,043) | 102,535 |
| **Total Assets** | $ 2,688,289 | $ 2,492,550 | $ 278,407 | $ (2,457,038) | $ 3,002,208 |
| **LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY** | | | | | |
| Accounts payable | $ 7,549 | $ 47,130 | $ 3,476 | $ | $ 58,155 |
| Accrued payroll and related taxes | | 24,184 | 14,372 | | 38,556 |
| Accrued expenses and other current liabilities | 47,637 | 75,574 | 18,555 | (1,154) | 140,612 |
| Current portion of capital lease obligations, long-term debt and non-recourse debt | 3,001 | 1,170 | 12,581 | | 16,752 |
| Total current liabilities | 58,187 | 148,058 | 48,984 | (1,154) | 254,075 |
| Non-Current Deferred Income Tax Liabilities | (4,095) | 14,170 | (7) | | 10,068 |
| Intercompany Payable | 121,327 | 942,071 | 18,330 | (1,081,728) | |
| Other Non-Current Liabilities | 4,372 | 143,584 | 19,507 | (80,034) | 87,429 |
| Capital Lease Obligations | | 9,856 | | | 9,856 |
| Long-Term Debt | 1,462,819 | | | | 1,462,819 |
| Non-Recourse Debt | | | 131,968 | | 131,968 |
| Commitments & Contingencies | | | | | |
| Shareholders Equity: | | | | | |
| Total shareholders equity attributable to The GEO Group, Inc. | 1,045,679 | 1,234,811 | 59,311 | (1,294,122) | 1,045,679 |
| Noncontrolling Interests | | | 314 | | 314 |
| Total Shareholders Equity | 1,045,679 | 1,234,811 | 59,625 | (1,294,122) | 1,045,993 |

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Total Liabilities and Shareholders Equity** | $ 2,688,289 | $ 2,492,550 | $ 278,407 | $ (2,457,038) | $ 3,002,208 |

Table of Contents

**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET**

| | As of December 31, 2013 | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | The GEO Group, Inc. | Combined Subsidiary Guarantors | Combined Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
| | | | (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| **ASSETS** | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | $ 30,730 | $ 985 | $ 20,410 | $ | $ 52,125 |
| Restricted cash and investments | | | 11,518 | | 11,518 |
| Accounts receivable, less allowance for doubtful accounts | 84,087 | 149,239 | 17,204 | | 250,530 |
| Current deferred income tax assets, net | | 19,236 | 1,700 | | 20,936 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 17,834 | 21,032 | 11,524 | (1,154) | 49,236 |
| Total current assets | 132,651 | 190,492 | 62,356 | (1,154) | 384,345 |
| Restricted Cash and Investments | 167 | 11,158 | 7,024 | | 18,349 |
| Property and Equipment, Net | 686,005 | 956,724 | 85,069 | | 1,727,798 |
| Direct Finance Lease Receivable | | | 16,944 | | 16,944 |
| Intercompany Receivable | 947,916 | 123,237 | | (1,071,153) | |
| Non-Current Deferred Income Tax Assets | | | 4,821 | | 4,821 |
| Goodwill | 34 | 489,501 | 661 | | 490,196 |
| Intangible Assets, Net | | 162,160 | 1,240 | | 163,400 |
| Investment in Subsidiaries | 898,333 | 421,218 | | (1,319,551) | |
| Other Non-Current Assets | 23,346 | 104,241 | 35,615 | (79,691) | 83,511 |
| **Total Assets** | $ 2,688,452 | $ 2,458,731 | $ 213,730 | $ (2,471,549) | $ 2,889,364 |
| **LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY** | | | | | |
| Accounts payable | $ 29,866 | $ 13,895 | $ 3,525 | $ | $ 47,286 |
| Accrued payroll and related taxes | 207 | 23,470 | 15,049 | | 38,726 |
| Accrued expenses and other current liabilities | 26,963 | 74,645 | 14,496 | (1,154) | 114,950 |
| Current portion of capital lease obligations, long-term debt and non-recourse debt | 3,000 | 1,185 | 17,978 | | 22,163 |
| Total current liabilities | 60,036 | 113,195 | 51,048 | (1,154) | 223,125 |
| Non-Current Deferred Income Tax Liabilities | (120) | 14,792 | 17 | | 14,689 |
| Intercompany Payable | 114,208 | 942,666 | 14,279 | (1,071,153) | |
| Other Non-Current Liabilities | 5,270 | 138,743 | 639 | (79,691) | 64,961 |
| Capital Lease Obligations | | 10,924 | | | 10,924 |
| Long-Term Debt | 1,485,536 | | | | 1,485,536 |
| Non-Recourse Debt | | | 66,153 | | 66,153 |
| Commitments & Contingencies | | | | | |
| Shareholders Equity: | | | | | |
| Total shareholders equity attributable to The GEO Group, Inc. | 1,023,522 | 1,238,411 | 81,140 | (1,319,551) | 1,023,522 |
| Noncontrolling Interests | | | 454 | | 454 |
| Total Shareholders Equity | 1,023,522 | 1,238,411 | 81,594 | (1,319,551) | 1,023,976 |

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Total Liabilities and Shareholders** | **Equity** | $ 2,688,452 | $ 2,458,731 | $ 213,730 | $ (2,471,549) | $ 2,889,364 |

Table of Contents

**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

| | For the Year Ended December 31, 2014 | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | The GEO Group, Inc. | Combined Subsidiary Guarantors | Combined Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Consolidated |
| Cash Flow from Operating Activities: | | | | |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | $ 185,721 | $ 63,154 | $ (46,334) | $ 202,541 |
| Cash Flow from Investing Activities: | | | | |
| Acquisition of Protocol, cash consideration, net of cash acquired | | (13,025) | | (13,025) |
| Proceeds from sale of property and equipment | | 746 | (47) | 699 |
| Change in restricted cash and investments | 61 | 2,571 | 2,748 | 5,380 |
| Capital expenditures | (58,188) | (52,550) | (3,486) | (114,224) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (58,127) | (62,258) | (785) | (121,170) |
| Cash Flow from Financing Activities: | | | | |
| Payments on long-term debt | (677,000) | (1,099) | | (678,099) |
| Proceeds from long-term debt | 654,000 | | | 654,000 |
| Payments on non-recourse debt | | | (18,627) | (18,627) |
| Proceeds from non-recourse debt | | | 87,896 | 87,896 |
| Taxes paid related to net share settlements of equity awards | (1,844) | | | (1,844) |
| Tax benefit related to equity compensation | 2,035 | | | 2,035 |
| Debt issuance costs | (9,182) | | (17,238) | (26,420) |
| Proceeds from stock options exercised | 7,281 | | | 7,281 |
| Cash dividends paid | (170,234) | | | (170,234) |
| Proceeds from reissuance of treasury stock in connection with ESPP | 387 | | | 387 |
| Issuance of common stock under prospectus supplement | 54,725 | | | 54,725 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | (139,832) | (1,099) | 52,031 | (88,900) |
| Effect of Exchange Rate Changes on Cash and Cash Equivalents | | | (3,259) | (3,259) |
| Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents | (12,238) | (203) | 1,653 | (10,788) |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents, beginning of period | 30,730 | 985 | 20,410 | 52,125 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents, end of period | $ 18,492 | $ 782 | $ 22,063 | $ 41,337 |

Table of Contents

**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

| | For the Year Ended December 31, 2013 | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | The GEO Group Inc. | Combined Subsidiary Guarantors | Combined Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Consolidated |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Cash Flow from Operating Activities: | | | | |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | $ 142,923 | $ 34,067 | $ 15,199 | $ 192,189 |
| Cash Flow from Investing Activities: | | | | |
| Net working capital adjustment from RTS divestiture | (996) | | | (996) |
| Proceeds from sale of property and equipment | | 205 | | 205 |
| Proceeds from sale of assets held for sale | | 1,969 | | 1,969 |
| Change in restricted cash and investments | (167) | (3,205) | 20,784 | 17,412 |
| Capital expenditures | (79,150) | (36,815) | (1,601) | (117,566) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | (80,313) | (37,846) | 19,183 | (98,976) |
| Cash Flow from Financing Activities: | | | | |
| Proceeds from long-term debt | 1,238,000 | | | 1,238,000 |
| Payments on long-term debt | (1,098,174) | (1,127) | (35,243) | (1,134,544) |
| Income tax benefit of equity compensation | 2,197 | | | 2,197 |
| Debt issuance costs deferred | (23,834) | | | (23,834) |
| Debt issuance fees | (13,421) | | | (13,421) |
| Proceeds from stock options exercised | 5,425 | | | 5,425 |
| Cash dividends paid | (147,156) | | | (147,156) |
| Proceeds from reissuance of treasury stock in connection with ESPP | 319 | | | 319 |
| Termination of interest rate swap agreement | | 3,974 | | 3,974 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | (36,644) | 2,847 | (35,243) | (69,040) |
| Effect of Exchange Rate Changes on Cash and Cash Equivalents | | | (3,803) | (3,803) |
| Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents | 25,966 | (932) | (4,664) | 20,370 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents, beginning of period | 4,764 | 1,917 | 25,074 | 31,755 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents, end of period | $ 30,730 | $ 985 | $ 20,410 | $ 52,125 |

Table of Contents

**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

| | For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2012 | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | The GEO Group Inc. | Combined Subsidiary Guarantors | Combined Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Consolidated |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Cash Flow from Operating Activities: | | | | |
| Cash provided by operating activities continuing operations | $ 105,402 | $ 84,896 | $ 64,889 | $ 255,187 |
| Cash (used in) provided by operating activities discontinued operations | 5,810 | 3,864 | (621) | 9,053 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 111,212 | 88,760 | 64,268 | 264,240 |
| Cash Flow from Investing Activities: | | | | |
| Acquisition of ownership interests in MCF | | (35,154) | | (35,154) |
| Proceeds from RTS divestiture | 29,653 | | 3,600 | 33,253 |
| Proceeds from sale of property and equipment | | 65 | | 65 |
| Proceeds from sale of assets held for sale | | 5,641 | | 5,641 |
| Change in restricted cash and investments | | | 51,189 | 51,189 |
| Capital expenditures | (61,426) | (42,406) | (3,717) | (107,549) |
| Cash (used in) provided by investing activities continuing operations | (31,773) | (71,854) | 51,072 | (52,555) |
| Cash used in investing activities discontinued operations | (634) | (1,820) | (307) | (2,761) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities | (32,407) | (73,674) | 50,765 | (55,316) |
| Cash Flow from Financing Activities: | | | | |
| Proceeds from long-term debt | 358,000 | | | 358,000 |
| Tax benefit related to equity compensation | 621 | | | 621 |
| Debt issuance fees | | (14,861) | | (14,861) |
| Distribution to noncontrolling interests | | | (5,758) | (5,758) |
| Payment for purchase of treasury shares | (8,666) | | | (8,666) |
| Debt issuance costs deferred | (1,360) | | (38) | (1,398) |
| Payments on long-term debt | (343,987) | (1,400) | (111,098) | (456,485) |
| Proceeds from stock options exercised | 9,276 | | | 9,276 |
| Dividends paid | (102,435) | | | (102,435) |
| Proceeds from reissuance of treasury stock in connection with ESPP | 460 | | | 460 |
| Payment for retirement of treasury stock | (1,036) | | | (1,036) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (89,127) | (16,261) | (116,894) | (222,282) |
| Effect of Exchange Rate Changes on Cash and Cash Equivalents | | | 1,735 | 1,735 |
| Net Decrease in Cash and Cash Equivalents | (10,322) | (1,175) | (126) | (11,623) |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents, beginning of period | 15,086 | 3,092 | 25,200 | 43,378 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents, end of period | $ 4,764 | $ 1,917 | $ 25,074 | $ 31,755 |

Table of Contents

**21. Subsequent Events**

***Asset Acquisition***

On February 17, 2015, the Company acquired eight correctional and detention facilities (the LCS Facilities ) totaling more than 6,500 beds from LCS Corrections Services, Inc., a privately-held owner and operator of correctional and detention facilities in the United States, and its affiliates (collectively, LCS ). Pursuant to the terms of the definitive asset purchase agreement signed on January 26, 2015, the Company acquired the LCS Facilities for approximately $310 million in an all cash transaction, excluding transaction related expenses. The Company also acquired certain tangible and intangible assets pursuant to the asset purchase agreement. Additionally, LCS has the opportunity to receive an additional payment if the LCS Facilities exceed certain performance targets after the closing over a period of 18 months (the Earnout Payment ). The aggregate amount of the purchase price paid at closing and the Earnout Payment, if achieved, will not exceed $350 million. Approximately $298 million of outstanding debt related to the facilities was repaid at closing using the cash consideration paid by the Company. The Company did not assume any debt as the result of the transaction. The Company financed the acquisition of the LCS Facilities with borrowings under its revolving credit facility. The Company is in process of completing its preliminary purchase price allocation.

***Options and Restricted Stock Awards***

On February 6, 2015, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors resolved to grant approximately 255,000 options and 395,000 shares of restricted stock to certain employees of the Company effective March 2, 2015. Of the total shares of restricted stock granted, approximately 124,000 are performance-based awards which vest subject to the achievement of certain total shareholder return and return on capital employed metrics over a three year period.

***Dividend***

On February 6, 2015, the Board of Directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of $0.62 per share of common stock, which is to be paid on February 27, 2015 to shareholders of record as of the close of business on February 17, 2015.

***Contract Awards***

On January 28, 2015, the Company announced that it had signed a contract for the re-activation of the company-owned, 400-bed Mesa Verde Detention Facility in California. The facility will house immigration detainees under an intergovernmental service agreement between the City of McFarland and ICE. The Company completed a $10 million renovation of the facility at the end of 2014 and expects to begin the intake of detainees at the Facility during the second quarter of 2015. The facility was previously included in the Company s idle facilities.

Table of Contents

**Item 9.** ***Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure***
None.

**Item 9A.** ***Controls and Procedures***
**Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, referred to as the Exchange Act), as of the end of the period covered by this report. On the basis of this review, our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, has concluded that as of the end of the period covered by this report, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to give reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed in our reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or the SEC, under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the SEC, and to ensure that the information required to be disclosed in the reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, in a manner that allows timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

It should be noted that the effectiveness of our system of disclosure controls and procedures is subject to certain limitations inherent in any system of disclosure controls and procedures, including the exercise of judgment in designing, implementing and evaluating the controls and procedures, the assumptions used in identifying the likelihood of future events, and the inability to eliminate misconduct completely. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that our disclosure controls and procedures will detect all errors or fraud. As a result, by its nature, our system of disclosure controls and procedures can provide only reasonable assurance regarding management s control objectives.

**Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

***(a) Management s Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting***

See Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Management s Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting for management s report on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014.

***(b) Attestation Report of the Registered Public Accounting Firm***

See Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for the report of our independent registered public accounting firm on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014.

***(c) Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting***

Our management is responsible for reporting any changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as such terms are defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the period to which this report relates that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting. Management believes that there have not been any changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the period to which this report relates that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

**Item 9B.** ***Other Information***
Not applicable.

Table of Contents

## PART III

**ITEM 10.** ***Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance***

We have adopted a code of business conduct and ethics applicable to all of our directors, officers, employees, agents and representatives, including our consultants. The code strives to deter wrongdoing and promote honest and ethical conduct, the avoidance of conflicts of interest, full, fair, accurate, timely and transparent disclosure, compliance with the applicable government and self-regulatory organization laws, rules and regulations, prompt internal reporting of violations of the code, and accountability for compliance with the code. In addition, we have adopted a code of ethics for the CEO, our senior financial officers and all other employees. The codes can be found on our website at http://www.geogroup.com by clicking on the link About Us on our homepage and then clicking on the link Corporate Governance. In addition, the codes are available in print to any shareholder who request them by contacting our Vice President of Corporate Relations at 561-999-7306. In the event that we amend or waive any of the provisions of the code of business conduct and ethics and the code of ethics for the CEO, our senior financial officers and employees that relate to any element of the code of ethics definition enumerated in Item 406(b) of Regulation S-K, we intend to disclose the same on our Investor Relations website. The other information required by this item will be contained in, and is incorporated by reference from, the proxy statement for our 2015 annual meeting of shareholders, which will be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after the end of the year covered by this report.

**ITEM 11.** ***Executive Compensation***

The information required by this item will be contained in, and is incorporated by reference from, the proxy statement for our 2015 annual meeting of shareholders, which will be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this report.

**ITEM 12.** ***Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters***

The information required by this item will be contained in, and is incorporated by reference from, the proxy statement for our 2015 annual meeting of shareholders, which will be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this report.

**ITEM 13.** ***Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence***

The information required by this item will be contained in, and is incorporated by reference from, the proxy statement for our 2015 annual meeting of shareholders, which will be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this report.

**ITEM 14.** ***Principal Accounting Fees and Services***

The information required by this item will be contained in, and is incorporated by reference from, the proxy statement for our 2015 annual meeting of shareholders, which will be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this report.

## PART IV

**Item 15.** ***Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules***

(a)(1) *Financial Statements.*

The consolidated financial statements of GEO are filed under Item 8 of Part II of this report.

165

Table of Contents

(2) *Financial Statement Schedules.*

Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts Page 172

Schedule III Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation Page 173

All other schedules specified in the accounting regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission have been omitted because they are either inapplicable or not required.

(3) *Exhibits Required by Item 601 of Regulation S-K. The following exhibits are filed as part of this Annual Report:*

| Exhibit Number | Description |
|---|---|
| 1.1 | Form of Equity Distribution Agreement, dated May 8, 2013, by and among The GEO Group, Inc. and each of SunTrust Robinson Humphrey, Inc., Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, J.P. Morgan Securities LLC and Avondale Partners, LLC. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1.1 to the Company s report on Form 8-K, filed on May 8, 2013). |
| 1.2 | Form of Equity Distribution Agreement, dated November 10, 2014, by and among The GEO Group, Inc. and each of SunTrust Robinson Humphrey, Inc., Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, Avondale Partners, LLC, Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, Barclays Capital Inc. and MLV & Co. LLC. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1.1 to the Company s report on Form 8-K, filed on November 10, 2014). |
| 3.1 | Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company s report on Form 8-K, filed on June 30, 2014). |
| 3.2 | Articles of Merger, effective as of June 27, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Company s report on Form 8-K, filed on June 30, 2014). |
| 3.3 | Amended and Restated Bylaws (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to the Company s report on Form 8-K, filed on June 30, 2014). |
| 3.4 | Amendment to the Amended and Restated Bylaws of The GEO Group, Inc., effective July 2, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company s report on Form 8-K, filed on July 9, 2014). |
| 4.1 | Indenture, dated as of February 10, 2011, by and among the Company, the Guarantors party thereto, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association as Trustee relating to the 6 5/8% Senior Notes due 2021 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company s report on Form 8-K, filed on February 16, 2011). |
| 4.2 | Form of $6\frac{5}{8}\%$ Senior Note due 2021 (included in Exhibit 4.1). |
| 4.3 | Indenture, dated as of March 19, 2013, by and among the Company, the Guarantors party thereto, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association as Trustee relating to the 5.125% Senior Notes due 2023 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company s report on Form 8-K, filed on March 25, 2013). |
| 4.4 | Form of 5.125% Senior Note due 2023 (included in Exhibit 4.3). |
| 4.5 | Indenture, dated as of October 3, 2013, by and among the Company, the Guarantors party thereto, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association as Trustee relating to the $5\frac{7}{8}\%$ Senior Notes due 2022 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company s report on Form 8-K, filed on October 9, 2013). |

**Table of Contents**

| | |
|---|---|
| 4.6 | Form of 5 7/8% Senior Note due 2022 (included in Exhibit 4.5). |
| 4.7 | Supplemental Indenture dated as of June 27, 2014, to Indenture dated as of February 10, 2011, with respect to the Predecessor Registrant s 6.625% Senior Notes, between the Company and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the Company s report on Form 8-K, filed on June 30, 2014). |
| 4.8 | Supplemental Indenture dated as of June 27, 2014, to Indenture dated as of March 19, 2013, with respect to the Predecessor Registrant s 5.125% Senior Notes, between the Company and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to the Company s report on Form 8-K, filed on June 30, 2014). |
| 4.9 | Supplemental Indenture dated as of June 27, 2014, to Indenture dated as of October 3, 2013, with respect to the Predecessor Registrant s 5 3/8% Senior Notes, between the Company and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to the Company s report on Form 8-K, filed on June 30, 2014). |
| 4.10 | Indenture, dated as of September 25, 2014, by and between GEO and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company s report on Form 8-K, filed on October 1, 2014). |
| 4.11 | First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of September 25, 2014, by and among GEO, certain subsidiary guarantors and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Company s report on Form 8-K, filed on October 1, 2014). |
| 4.12 | Form of 5.875% Senior Note due 2024 (included in Exhibit 4.11). |
| 10.1 | 1994 Stock Option Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company s registration statement on Form S-1, filed on May 24, 1994) |
| 10.2 | Form of Indemnification Agreement between the Company and its Officers and Directors (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company s registration statement on Form S-1, filed on May 24, 1994) |
| 10.3 | 1999 Stock Option Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to the Company s report on Form 10-K, filed on March 30, 2000) |
| 10.4 | Amended Executive Retirement Agreement, dated January 17, 2003, by and between the Company and Wayne H. Calabrese (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to the Company s report on Form 10-K, filed on March 20, 2003) |
| 10.5 | Senior Officer Employment Agreement, dated March 23, 2005, by and between the Company and John M. Hurley (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.24 to the Company s report on Form 10-K, filed on March 23, 2005) |
| 10.6 | Office Lease, dated September 12, 2002, by and between the Company and Canpro Investments Ltd. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.22 to the Company s report on Form 10-K, filed on March 20, 2003) |
| 10.7 | The GEO Group, Inc. Senior Management Performance Award Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to the Company s report on Form 10-K, filed on March 2, 2011). |
| 10.8 | Amended and Restated Senior Officer Employment Agreement, effective December 31, 2008, by and between The GEO Group, Inc. and John J. Bulfin (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Company s report on Form 8-K January 7, 2009) |
| 10.9 | Amended and Restated The GEO Group, Inc. Senior Officer Retirement Plan, effective December 31, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the Company s report on Form 8-K January 7, 2009) |

**Table of Contents**

| | |
|---|---|
| 10.10 | Senior Officer Employment Agreement, dated August 3, 2009, by and between the Company and Brian Evans (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s report on Form 10-Q, filed on August 3, 2009) |
| 10.11 | Amended and Restated The GEO Group, Inc. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.45 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-8, filed on September 3, 2010 (File No. 333-169198)) |
| 10.12 | Amendment No. 1 to the Amended and Restated The GEO Group, Inc. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.23 to the Company s report on Form 10-K, filed on March 2, 2011) |
| 10.13 | Cornell Companies, Inc. Amended and Restated 2006 Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.46 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-169199), filed on September 3, 2010) |
| 10.14 | First Amendment to Senior Officer Employment Agreement, effective March 1, 2011, by and between the Company and Brian R. Evans (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.28 to the Company s report on Form 10-K, filed on March 2, 2011) |
| 10.15 | First Amendment to Senior Officer Employment Agreement, effective March 1, 2011, by and between the Company and John M. Hurley (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.29 to the Company s report on Form 10-K, filed on March 2, 2011) |
| 10.16 | First Amendment to Amended and Restated Senior Officer Employment Agreement, effective March 1, 2011, by and between the Company and John J. Bulfin (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.30 to the Company s report on Form 10-K, filed on March 2, 2011) |
| 10.17 | Amended and Restated Senior Officer Employment Agreement, effective December 17, 2008, by and between the GEO Group, Inc. and Jorge A. Dominicis (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.31 to the Company s report on Form 10-Q, filed on May 10, 2011) |
| 10.18 | First Amendment to Amended and Restated Senior Officer Employment Agreement, effective March 1, 2011, by and between the GEO Group, Inc. and Jorge A. Dominicis (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.32 to the Company s report on Form 10-Q, filed on May 10, 2011) |
| 10.19 | Amended and Restated The GEO Group, Inc. Executive Retirement Plan (effective January 1, 2008) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.36 to the Company s report on Form 10-K, filed on March 1, 2012) |
| 10.20 | Amendment to The GEO Group, Inc. Executive Retirement Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.37 to the Company s report on Form 10-K, filed on March 1, 2012) |
| 10.21 | The GEO Group, Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan (as amended and restated effective January 1, 2008)(incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.38 to the Company s report on Form 10-K, filed on March 1, 2012) |
| 10.22 | Amendment to The GEO Group, Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.39 to the Company s report on Form 10-K, filed on March 1, 2012) |
| 10.23 | Amendment to The GEO Group, Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.40 to the Company s report on Form 10-K, filed on March 1, 2012) |
| 10.24 | The GEO Group, Inc. 2011 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.41 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-8, filed on May 4, 2012 (File No. 333-181175)) |
| 10.25 | Third Amended and Restated Executive Employment Agreement, dated August 22, 2012, by and between The GEO Group, Inc. and George C. Zoley (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.42 to the Company s report on Form 8-K, filed on August 28, 2012) |

**Table of Contents**

| | |
|---|---|
| 10.26 | Amended and Restated Executive Retirement Agreement, dated August 22, 2012, by and between The GEO Group, Inc. and George C. Zoley (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.43 to the Company s report on Form 8-K, filed on August 28, 2012) |
| 10.27 | Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of March 19, 2013, by and among the Company, the Guarantors party thereto, and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, as representative of the several initial purchasers relating to the 5.125% Senior Notes due 2023 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s report on Form 8-K, filed on March 25, 2013). |
| 10.28 | Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of April 3, 2013, by and among The GEO Group, Inc. and GEO Corrections Holdings, Inc., as Borrowers, BNP Paribas, as Administrative Agent, and the lenders who are, or may from time to time become, a party thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s report on Form 8-K, filed on April 9, 2013. Portions of this exhibit were omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to a request for confidential treatment.) |
| 10.29 | First Amendment to Third Amended and Restated Executive Employment Agreement, dated April 29, 2013, by and between The GEO Group, Inc. and George C. Zoley (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s report on Form 8-K, filed on April 30, 2013) . |
| 10.30 | Second Amendment to Third Amended and Restated Executive Employment Agreement, dated May 29, 2013, by and between The GEO Group, Inc. and George C. Zoley (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s report on Form 8-K, filed on June 4, 2013) . |
| 10.31 | Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of October 3, 2013, by and among the Company, the Guarantors party thereto, and Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, as representative of the several initial purchasers relating to the $5\frac{7}{8}$% Senior Notes due 2022 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s report on Form 8-K, filed on October 9, 2013). |
| 10.32 | First Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Senior Officer Employment Agreement, dated February 28, 2014 by and between The GEO Group, Inc. and Jorge A. Dominicis (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s report on Form 8-K, filed on March 6, 2014) . |
| 10.33 | The GEO Group, Inc. 2014 Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s report on Form 8-K, filed on May 5, 2014). |
| 10.34 | Confirmation and Reaffirmation Agreement, dated as of June 27, 2014, among the Company, the Predecessor Registrant, GEO Corrections Holdings, Inc., certain of the Predecessor Registrant s domestic subsidiaries, as guarantors, and BNP Paribas, relating to the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of April 3, 2013, as amended, among The GEO Group, Inc. and GEO Corrections Holdings, Inc., as Borrowers, BNP Paribas, as Administrative Agent, and the lenders who are, or may from time to time become, a party thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s report on Form 8-K, filed on June 30, 2014). |
| 10.35 | Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of August 27, 2014, by and among The GEO Group, Inc. and GEO Corrections Holdings, Inc., as Borrowers, BNP Paribas, as Administrative Agent, and the lenders who are, or may from time to time become, a party thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s report on Form 8-K, filed on September 3, 2014).*** |
| 21.1 | Subsidiaries of the Company* |
| 23.1 | Consent of Grant Thornton LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm* |
| 31.1 | Rule 13a-14(a) Certification in accordance with Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002* |

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|---|
| 31.2 | Rule 13a-14(a) Certification in accordance with Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002* |
| 32.1 | Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002* |
| 32.2 | Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002* |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase |
| 101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase |

* Filed herewith.

** Certain exhibits and schedules to the agreement have been omitted pursuant to Item 601(b)(2) of Regulation S-K. We agree to furnish supplementally to the SEC, upon request, a copy of the omitted exhibits and schedules.

*** Portions of this exhibit have been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to a request for confidential treatment.

Management contract or compensatory plan, contract or agreement as defined in Item 402 (a)(3) of Regulation S-K.

Table of Contents

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

THE GEO GROUP, INC.

/s/ BRIAN R. EVANS
Brian R. Evans
*Senior Vice President & Chief Financial Officer*

Date: February 25, 2015

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Company and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| Signature | Title | Date |
|---|---|---|
| /s/ George C. Zoley | Chairman of the Board & Chief | February 25, 2015 |
| George C. Zoley | Executive Officer | |
| | (principal executive officer) | |
| /s/ Brian R. Evans | Senior Vice President & Chief | February 25, 2015 |
| Brian R. Evans | Financial Officer | |
| | (principal financial officer) | |
| /s/ Ronald A. Brack | Vice President, Chief Accounting | February 25, 2015 |
| Ronald A. Brack | Officer and Controller | |
| | (principal accounting officer) | |
| /s/ Clarence E. Anthony | Director | February 25, 2015 |
| Clarence E. Anthony | | |
| /s/ Julie M. Wood | Director | February 25, 2015 |
| Julie M. Wood | | |
| /s/ Anne N. Foreman | Director | February 25, 2015 |
| Anne N. Foreman | | |
| /s/ Richard H. Glanton | Director | February 25, 2015 |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Richard H. Glanton | | |
| /s/ Christopher C. Wheeler | Director | February 25, 2015 |
| Christopher C. Wheeler | | |

Table of Contents

**THE GEO GROUP, INC.**

**SCHEDULE II**

**VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS**

**For the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012**

| Description | Balance at Beginning of Period | Charged to Cost and Expenses | Charged to Other Accounts (In thousands) | Deductions, Actual Charge-Offs | Balance at End of Period |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014: | | | | | |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | $ 2,549 | $ 985 | $ | $ (219) | $ 3,315 |
| YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013: | | | | | |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | $ 2,546 | $ 1,136 | $ | $ (1,133) | $ 2,549 |
| YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012: | | | | | |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | $ 2,426 | $ 760 | $ | $ (639) | $ 2,546 |

Table of Contents

**THE GEO GROUP, INC.**

**SCHEDULE III- REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION**

**December 31, 2014**

**(dollars in thousands)**

| Property Name(1) | Type | Location | Original Land | Original Building and Improvements | Costs Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition (2) | Land and Improvements | Building and Improvements | Development and Construction in Progress | Land Held for Development | Total | Accumulated Depreciation | Year(s) Built/ Renovated | Book Value of Mortgaged Properties |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Corrections and Detention | | Owned and Leased | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Broward Transition Center | Detention Facility | DEERFIELD BEACH, FL | $ 4,085 | $ 15,441 | $ 18,107 | $ 4,085 | $ 33,548 | | | $ 37,633 | $ 4,716 | 1998, 2004, 2010/2011, 2013/2014 | $ 32,917 |
| D. Ray James Correctional Facility | Detention Facility | FOLKSTON, GA | 1,229 | 55,961 | 12,846 | 1,347 | 68,446 | 243 | | 70,036 | 6,746 | 1998/1999, 2008/2009, 2011/2012 | 63,290 |
| D. Ray James Detention Facility | Detention Facility | FOLKSTON, GA | 291 | 30,399 | 4,098 | 291 | 34,497 | | | 34,788 | 2,883 | 2005, 2008, 2013 | 31,905 |
| LaSalle Detention Facility | Detention Facility | JENA, LA | 856 | 51,623 | 3,580 | 706 | 54,627 | 514 | 212 | 56,059 | 9,369 | 1998, 2008, 2010/2011 | 46,690 |
| Moshannon Valley Correctional Center | Correctional Facility | PHILIPSBURG, PA | 1,107 | 65,160 | 7,251 | 1,385 | 72,046 | 87 | | 73,518 | 6,873 | 2005/2006, 2013 | 66,645 |
| North Lake Correctional Facility | Correctional Facility | BALDWIN, MI | 66 | 36,727 | 50,798 | 66 | 87,525 | | | 87,591 | 6,303 | 1998/1999, 2002, 2011 | 81,288 |
| Queens Detention | Detention Facility | JAMAICA, NY | 2,237 | 19,847 | 368 | 2,237 | 20,203 | | 12 | 22,452 | 7,183 | 1971, 1996/1997, 2004 | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Facility | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Riverbend Correctional Facility(3) | Correctional Facility | MILLEDGEVILLE, GA | | 72,932 | 113 | 25 | 73,020 | | | 73,045 | 6,127 | 2011 | 66,918 |
| Rivers Correctional Institution | Correctional Facility | WINTON, NC | 875 | 60,328 | 2,213 | 1,195 | 62,069 | 149 | 3 | 63,416 | 17,204 | 2000/2001 | 46,212 |
| Robert A. Deyton Detention Facility | Detention Facility | LOVEJOY, GA | | 8,163 | 9,982 | 15 | 18,130 | | | 18,145 | 5,941 | 1984-1986, 2008/2009 | |

**Table of Contents**

| Property Name(1) | Type | Location | Original Land | Original Building and Improvements | Costs Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition (2) | Land and Improvements | Building and Improvements | Land Held for Development | Development and Construction in Progress | Total | Accumulated Depreciation | Year(s) Built/ Renovated | Book Value of Mortgaged Properties |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Big Spring Correctional Center(3) | Correctional Facility | BIG SPRING, TX | 530 | 83,160 | 9,047 | 1,266 | 86,650 | | 4,821 | 92,737 | 13,809 | 1940, 1960, 1982, 1991, 1994, 1996, 2001, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012 | |
| Great Plains Correctional Facility | Correctional Facility | HINTON, OK | 463 | 76,580 | 5,330 | 1,112 | 81,245 | | 16 | 82,373 | 7,947 | 1990-1992, 1995, 2008, 2011, 2013 | 74,426 |
| Joe Corley Detention Facility | Correctional Facility | CONROE, TX | 470 | 64,813 | 855 | 598 | 65,540 | | | 66,138 | 2,972 | 2008 | 63,166 |
| Karnes Correctional Center | Detention Facility | KARNES CITY, TX | 937 | 24,825 | 1,634 | 912 | 26,308 | 176 | | 27,396 | 5,332 | 1995 | 22,064 |
| Karnes County Civil Detention Center | Detention Facility | KARNES CITY, TX | | 29,052 | 1,720 | 47 | 30,425 | | 300 | 30,772 | 1,941 | 2011/2012, 2014 | 28,831 |
| Lawton Correctional Facility | Correctional Facility | LAWTON, OK | 1,012 | 96,637 | 6,393 | 1,041 | 97,461 | | 5,540 | 104,042 | 17,359 | 1998/1999, 2005/2006 | 86,683 |
| Rio Grande Detention Center | Detention Facility | LAREDO, TX | 8,365 | 81,178 | 1,023 | 6,266 | 82,201 | 2,099 | | 90,566 | 10,759 | 2007, 2008 | 79,807 |
| South Texas Detention Complex | Detention Facility | PEARSALL, TX | 437 | 31,405 | 4,786 | 437 | 36,191 | | | 36,628 | 7,444 | 2004/2005, 2012 | |
| Val Verde Correctional Facility | Detention Facility | DEL RIO, TX | 21 | 56,009 | 641 | 16 | 56,650 | 5 | | 56,671 | 11,867 | 2000/2001, 2005, 2007 | 44,804 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Adelanto Detention Facility | Detention Facility | ADELANTO, CA | 8,005 | 113,255 | 33,265 | 8,471 | 113,294 | | 32,760 | 154,525 | 7,010 | 1990/1991, 2011, 2012 | 147,515 |
| Aurora/ICE Processing Center | Detention Facility | AURORA, CO | 4,590 | 15,200 | 71,965 | 4,271 | 86,174 | 1,310 | | 91,755 | 10,165 | 1987, 1993, 1998, 2009, 2010, 2011 | 81,590 |
| Central Valley MCCF | Correctional Facility | MC FARLAND, CA | 1,055 | 28,133 | 2,420 | 905 | 30,489 | 211 | 3 | 31,608 | 5,782 | 1997, 2009/2010 | 25,826 |
| Desert View MCCF | Correctional Facility | ADELANTO, CA | 1,245 | 27,943 | 4,105 | 1,245 | 32,025 | | 23 | 33,293 | 6,164 | 1997, 2010, 2013 | 27,129 |
| Golden State MCCF | Correctional Facility | MC FARLAND, CA | 1,264 | 27,924 | 2,148 | 1,072 | 30,008 | 253 | 3 | 31,336 | 5,686 | 1997, 2010 | 25,650 |

**Table of Contents**

| Property Name(1) | Type | Location | Original Land | Original Building and Improvements | Costs Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition (2) | Land and Improvements | Building and Improvements | Land Held for Development | Development and Construction in Progress | Total | Accumulated Depreciation | Year(s) Built/ Renovated | Book Value of Mortgaged Properties |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Guadalupe County Correctional Facility | Correctional Facility | SANTA ROSA, NM | 181 | 29,732 | 674 | 27 | 29,903 | 154 | 503 | 30,587 | 9,398 | 1998/1999, 2008 | 21,189 |
| Hudson Correctional Facility | Correctional Facility | HUDSON, CO | 11,140 | | 4,595 | 7,372 | 4,443 | 3,920 | | 15,735 | 2,667 | 2009, 2011 | |
| Lea County Correctional Facility(3) | Correctional Facility | HOBBS, NM | 347 | 67,933 | 1,102 | | 69,028 | 3477 | | 69,382 | 13,271 | 1997/1998 | 56,111 |
| Leo Chesney CCF | Correctional Facility | LIVE OAK, CA | | 535 | 249 | | 784 | | | 784 | 674 | 1989, 2007 | |
| McFarland CCF | Correctional Facility | MC FARLAND, CA | 914 | 9,019 | 8,369 | 1,363 | 16,657 | 183 | 99 | 18,302 | 2,489 | 1988, 2011, 2014 | |
| Mesa Verde CCF | Correctional Facility | BAKERSFIELD, CA | 2,237 | 13,714 | 10,824 | 2,237 | 14,017 | | 10,521 | 26,775 | 2,376 | 1989, 2011 | |
| Northwest Detention Center | Detention Facility | TACOMA, WA | 3,916 | 39,000 | 48,650 | 3,920 | 85,537 | 2,004 | 105 | 91,566 | 13,562 | 2003/2004, 2009, 2010, 2012 | |
| Western Region Detention Facility | Detention Facility | SAN DIEGO, CA | | 28,071 | 873 | | 28,944 | | | 28,944 | 27,836 | 1959-1961, 2000 | |
| Delaney Hall | Detention Facility | NEWARK, NJ | 3,759 | 22,502 | 12,970 | 3,759 | 35,472 | | | 39,231 | 6,723 | 1999/2000, 2008 | 32,508 |
| Alexandria Transfer Center(3) | CIP Detention Facility | Alexandria, LA | | 17,181 | | | 17,181 | | | 17,181 | 86 | 2014 | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Corrections and Detention | Managed | | | | | | | | | | |
| Allen Correctional Center | Correctional Facility | KINDER, LA | 28 | 403 | 2 | 429 | | 431 | 190 | 1989-1991, 1994/1995, 1998-1999 |
| Central Texas Detention Facility | Detention Facility | SAN ANTONIO, TX | | 3,917 | | 3,917 | | 3,917 | 2,037 | 1962, 1989/1990, 2006, 2010 |
| Lockhart Work Program Facilities | Correctional Facility | LOCKHART, TX | 73 | 267 | | 277 | 63 | 340 | 234 | 1993, 1994, 2001 |

**Table of Contents**

| Property Name(1) | Type | Location | Original Land | Original Building and Improvements | Costs Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition (2) | Land and Improvements | Building and Improvements | Land Held for Development | Development and Construction in Progress | Total | Accumulated Depreciation | Year(s) Built/ Renovated | Book Value of Mortgaged Properties |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Lawrenceville Correctional Center | Correctional Facility | LAWRENCEVILLE, VA | | | 754 | | 745 | 9 | | 754 | 722 | 1996-1998, 2011 | |
| Arizona State Prison-Florence West | Correctional Facility | FLORENCE, AZ | 320 | 9,317 | 1,010 | 320 | 10,327 | | | 10,647 | 5,746 | 1997 | |
| Arizona State Prison-Phoenix West | Correctional Facility | PHOENIX, AZ | | 7,919 | 442 | | 8,356 | 5 | | 8,361 | 4,318 | 1979-1984, 1995/1996, 2002 | |
| Central Arizona Correctional Facility | Correctional Facility | FLORENCE, AZ | | 396 | 1,250 | | 1,646 | | | 1,646 | 1,074 | 2006 | |
| New Castle Correctional Facility | Correctional Facility | NEW CASTLE, IN | | | 22,268 | | 22,268 | | | 22,268 | 4,898 | 2001, 2012 | |
| Plainfield Indiana STOP Facility | Correctional Facility | PLAINFIELD, IN | | | 5 | | 5 | | | 5 | 5 | 1890, 1900, 1921, 1961 | |
| South Bay Correctional Facility | Correctional Facility | SOUTH BAY, FL | | | 2,913 | | 2,909 | 4 | | 2,913 | 2,815 | 1996/1997, 2001, 2004/2005, 2007, 2012 | |
| Cleveland Correctional Center | Correctional Facility | CLEVELAND, TX | | | 61 | | 61 | | | 61 | 61 | 1989 | |
| Reeves County Detention | Correctional Facility | PECOS, TX | | | 1,188 | | 1,188 | | | 1,188 | 635 | 1986, 1998, 2001, 2004, 2009/2010 | |

| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Complex R1/R2 | | | | | | | |
| Reeves County Detention Complex R3 | Correctional Facility | PECOS, TX | 4,225 | 4,225 | 4,225 | 3,194 | 2003, 2006, 2010 |
| Northeast New Mexico Detention Facility | Correctional Facility | CLAYTON, NM | 102 | 102 | 102 | 99 | 2008 |
| Blackwater River Correctional Facility | Correctional Facility | Milton, FL | 34 | 34 | 34 | 21 | 2010 |

**Table of Contents**

| Property Name(1) | Type | Location | Original Land | Original Building and Improvements | Costs Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition (2) | Land and Improvements | Building and Improvements | Land Held for Development | Development and Construction in Progress | Total | Accumulated Depreciation | Year(s) Built/ Renovated | Book Value of Mortgaged Properties |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Bay Correctional Facility | Correctional Facility | Panama City, FL | | | 6 | | 6 | | | 6 | 2 | 1995 | |
| Moore Haven Correctional Facility | Correctional Facility | Moore Haven, FL | | | 18 | | 18 | | | 18 | 4 | 1995, 1999, 2007 | |
| Graceville Correctional Facility | Correctional Facility | Jackson, FL | | | 27 | | 27 | | | 27 | 7 | 2007, 2009 | |
| **Community Based Services** | **Owned/Leased** | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Beaumont Transitional Treatment Center | Community Corrections | BEAUMONT, TX | 105 | 560 | 345 | 105 | 905 | | | 1,010 | 193 | 1940-1950, 1967, 1975, 1986, 1997 | |
| Bronx Community Re-entry Center | Community Corrections | BRONX, NY | | 154 | 2,775 | | 701 | | 2,228 | 2,929 | 655 | 1966, 1998, 2009, 2012 | |
| Cordova Center | Community Corrections | ANCHORAGE, AK | 235 | 3,225 | 3,520 | 235 | 6,745 | | | 6,980 | 826 | 1974-1979, 2001, 2013 | |
| El Monte Center | Community Corrections | EL MONTE, CA | | 47 | 288 | | 335 | | | 335 | 218 | 1960, 2004, 2012 | |
| Grossman Center | Community Corrections | LEAVENWORTH, KS | | 24 | 34 | | 58 | | | 58 | 37 | 2002/2003, 2010 | |
| Las Vegas Community Correctional Center | Community Corrections | LAS VEGAS, NV | 520 | 1,580 | 261 | 520 | 1,841 | | | 2,361 | 219 | 1978, 2004 | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Leidel Comprehensive Sanction Center | Community Corrections | HOUSTON, TX | 3,210 | 710 | 422 | 3,210 | 1,126 | 6 | 4,342 | 190 | 1930, 1960, 2005/2006, 2012 |
| Marvin Gardens Center | Community Corrections | LOS ANGELES, CA | | 50 | 148 | | 198 | | 198 | 131 | 1962/1965, 1990 |
| McCabe Center | Community Corrections | AUSTIN, TX | 350 | 510 | 529 | 350 | 1,039 | | 1,389 | 288 | 1962, 2012 |
| Mid Valley House | Community Corrections | EDINBURG, TX | 694 | 3,608 | 113 | 701 | 3,714 | | 4,415 | 40 | 1985, 2001, 2014 |

**Table of Contents**

| Property Name(1) | Type | Location | Original Land | Original Building and Improvements | Costs Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition (2) | Land and Improvements | Building and Improvements | Land Held for Development | Development and Construction in Progress | Accumulated Depreciation | Total | Year(s) Built/ Renovated | Book Value of Mortgaged Properties |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Midtown Center | Community Corrections | ANCHORAGE, AK | 130 | 220 | 136 | 130 | 344 | 12 | | 62 | 486 | Early 1950s, 1972, 1998 | |
| Newark Residental Re-entry Center | Community Corrections | Newark, NJ | | 867 | | | 867 | | | 47 | 867 | 1925, 1992, 2014 | |
| Northstar Center | Community Corrections | FAIRBANKS, AK | | 12 | 196 | | 208 | | | 69 | 208 | 1970/1975, 1995 | |
| Oakland Center | Community Corrections | OAKLAND, CA | 970 | 250 | 64 | 970 | 314 | | | 72 | 1,284 | 1904-1911, 2000s | |
| Parkview Center | Community Corrections | ANCHORAGE, AK | 160 | 1,480 | 216 | 160 | 1,696 | | | 384 | 1,856 | 1971, 1976 | |
| Reality House | Community Corrections | BROWNSVILLE, TX | 487 | 2,771 | 20 | 487 | 2,791 | | | 218 | 3,278 | 1983, 2011 | |
| Southeast Texas Transitional Center | Community Corrections | HOUSTON, TX | 910 | 3,210 | 1,488 | 912 | 4,641 | 55 | | 821 | 5,608 | 1960, 1967, 1970, 1984, 1997/1998, 2008, 2012 | |
| Salt Lake City Center | Community Corrections | SALT LAKE CITY, UT | | 4 | 97 | | 93 | 8 | | 30 | 101 | 1970, 1977, 2004 | |
| Seaside Center | Community Corrections | NOME, AK | | | 44 | | 44 | | | 44 | 44 | 1958, 2005 | |
| Taylor Street Center | Community Corrections | SAN FRANCISCO, CA | 3,230 | 900 | 2,899 | 3,230 | 3,799 | | | 575 | 7,029 | 1907, 2010/2011 | |
| Tundra Center | Community Corrections | BETHEL, AK | 20 | 1,190 | 1,005 | 79 | 1,661 | 475 | | 570 | 2,215 | 1960/1970 | |
| **Youth Services** | **Owned/Leased** | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Abraxas Academy | Youth Facility | MORGANTOWN, PA | 4,220 | 14,120 | 680 | 4,220 | 14,800 | | | 1,638 | 19,020 | 1999/2000 | |
| Abraxas I | | | 990 | 7,600 | 767 | 1,023 | 8,206 | 128 | | 1,172 | 9,357 | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Youth Facility | MARIENVILLE, PA | | | | | | | | 1930s, 1960, 1982, 1985-1987, 1989-1999, 2003 |
| Abraxas Ohio | Youth Facility | SHELBY, OH | 1,160 | 2,900 | 624 | 1,160 | 3,524 | 4,684 | 529 | 1900, 1935, 1965, 1992 |
| Abraxas Youth Center | Youth Facility | SOUTH MOUNTAIN, PA | | 36 | 177 | | 213 | 213 | 186 | 1938, 1948, 2001 |
| DuPage Interventions | Youth Facility | HINSDALE, IL | 2,110 | 1,190 | 200 | 2,110 | 1,390 | 3,500 | 223 | 1988 |

**Table of Contents**

| Property Name(1) | Type | Location | Original Land | Original Building and Improvements | Costs Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition (2) | Land and Improvements | Building and Improvements | Development and Construction in Progress | Total | Accumulated Depreciation | Year(s) Built/ Renovated | Book Value of Mortgaged Properties |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Hector Garza Center | Youth Facility | SAN ANTONIO, TX | 1,590 | 3,540 | 271 | 1,590 | 3,811 | | 5,401 | 483 | 1986/1987, 2006 | |
| Leadership Development Program | Youth Facility | SOUTH MOUNTAIN, PA | | 25 | 374 | | 397 | 2 | 399 | 319 | 1920, 1938, 2000, 2005 | |
| Southern Peaks Regional Treatment Center | Youth Facility | CANON CITY, CO | 2,850 | 11,350 | 200 | 2,949 | 11,416 | 35 | 14,400 | 1,477 | 2003-2004 | |
| Southwood Interventions | Youth Facility | CHICAGO, IL | 870 | 6,310 | 735 | 870 | 7,039 | 6 | 7,915 | 1,115 | 1925, 1950, 1975, 2008 | |
| Woodridge Interventions | Youth Facility | WOODRIDGE, IL | 5,160 | 4,330 | 646 | 5,245 | 4,832 | 59 | 10,136 | 734 | 1982/1986 | |
| Contact Interventions | Youth Facility | WAUCONDA, IL | 719 | 1,110 | (640) | 699 | 490 | | 1,189 | 76 | 1950s/1960, 2006 | |
| **Re-Entry Day Reporting Centers** | **Managed** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Orange DRC | Day Reporting Center | Santa Ana, CA | | 72 | | | 72 | | 72 | 57 | 2012 | |
| South Philadelphia PADOC DRC | Day Reporting Center | Philadelphia, PA | | 124 | | | 124 | | 124 | 35 | 2014 | |
| Lycoming County DRC | Day Reporting Center | Williamsport, PA | | 56 | | | 56 | | 56 | 1 | 2014 | |
| Lehigh County PADOC DRC | Day Reporting Center | Allentown, PA | | 139 | | | 139 | | 139 | 40 | 2014 | |
| Lancaster County PADOC DRC | Day Reporting Center | Lancaster, PA | | 73 | | | 73 | | 73 | 12 | 2014 | |

| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| York County | | | | | | | |
| PADOC DRC | Day Reporting Center | York, PA | 7 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 2014 |
| North Pittsburgh | | | | | | | |
| PADOC DRC | Day Reporting Center | Pittsburgh, PA | 81 | 81 | 81 | 20 | 2014 |
| Guilford Co. | | | | | | | |
| TECS | Day Reporting Center | Greensboro, NC | 21 | 21 | 21 | 20 | 2012 |
| Mecklenburg Co. | | | | | | | |
| TECS | Day Reporting Center | Charlotte, NC | 26 | 26 | 26 | 21 | 2012 |

**Table of Contents**

| Property Name(1) | Type | Location | Encumbrances | Original Building and Improvements | Costs Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition (2) | Land and Improvements | Building and Improvements | Land Held for Development | Development and Construction in Progress | Total | Accumulated Depreciation | Year(s) Built/ Renovated | Book Value of Mortgaged Properties |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Randolph Co. TECS | Day Reporting Center | Asheboro, NC | | 6 | | | 6 | | | 6 | 6 | 2013 | |
| Northglenn DRC | Day Reporting Center | Northglenn, CO | | 21 | | | 21 | | | 21 | 20 | 2011, 2013 | |
| Aurora DRC | Day Reporting Center | Aurora, CO | | 21 | 4 | | 25 | | | 25 | 20 | 2003, 2008, 2010, 2013 | |
| Denver DRC | Day Reporting Center | Denver, CO | | 43 | 284 | | 327 | | | 327 | 24 | 2005, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014 | |
| Elizabeth CRC | Day Reporting Center | Elizabeth, NJ | | 26 | | | 26 | | | 26 | 26 | 2011, 2003, 2006, 2007, 2009 | |
| Santa Ana CDCR | Day Reporting Center | Santa Ana, CA | | 113 | | | 113 | | | 113 | 76 | 2013 | |
| Los Angeles CDCR | Day Reporting Center | Pamona, CA | | 44 | | | 44 | | | 44 | 12 | 2013 | |
| Tulare Co DRC | Day Reporting Center | Visalia, CA | | 9 | | | 9 | | | 9 | 9 | 2006, 2010 | |
| Merced DRC | Day Reporting Center | Merced, CA | | 18 | | | 18 | | | 18 | 18 | 2007, 2008, 2011 | |
| Kern County DRC | Day Reporting Center | Bakersfield, CA | | 23 | | | 23 | | | 23 | 22 | 2010, 2012 | |
| San Diego DRC | Day Reporting Center | San Diego, CA | | 30 | | | 30 | | | 30 | 30 | 2007, 2010 | |
| Kern County Core SB678 | Day Reporting Center | Bakersfield, CA | | 5 | | | 5 | | | 5 | 1 | 2014 | |
| Luzerne EM | Day Reporting Center | Wilkes Barre, PA | | 20 | | | 20 | | | 20 | 20 | 2007, 2013 | |
| Atlantic City CRC | Day Reporting Center | Atlantic City, NJ | | 10 | | | 10 | | | 10 | 5 | 2004, 2005, 20011 | |
| Perth Amboy CRC | Day Reporting Center | Perth Amboy, NJ | | 19 | | | 19 | | | 19 | 19 | 2006, 2007, 2008, 2010 | |
| Neptune CRC | Day Reporting Center | Neptune, NJ | | 16 | | | 16 | | | 16 | 15 | 2008, 2009, 2011, 2012 | |
| Luzerne DRC | Day Reporting Center | Wilkes Barre, PA | | 110 | 7 | | 117 | | | 117 | 111 | 2010, 2014 | |
| Sedgwick DRC | Day Reporting Center | Wichita, KS | | 23 | | | 23 | | | 23 | 23 | 2006, 2007 | |

180

**Table of Contents**

| Property Name(1) | Type | Location | Original Land | Original Building and Improvements | Costs Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition (2) | Land and Improvements | Building and Improvements | Land Held for Development | Development and Construction in Progress | Total | Accumulated Depreciation | Year(s) Built/Renovated | Book Value of Mortgaged Properties |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Chicago West Grand SRC | Day Reporting Center | Chicago, IL | 22 | | | 22 | | | | 22 | 22 | 2005, 2006, 2008, 2010, 2011 | |
| Decatur SRC | Day Reporting Center | Decatur, IL | 28 | | | 28 | | | | 28 | 28 | 2004, 2005, 2006, 2009, 2010, 2011 | |
| Philadelphia ISAP | Day Reporting Center | Philadelphia, PA | 36 | 378 | | 414 | | | | 414 | 38 | 2010, 2014 | |
| Miami ISAP | Day Reporting Center | Miami, FL | 82 | 9 | | 91 | | | | 91 | 82 | 2007, 2008, 2010, 2014 | |
| Orlando ISAP | Day Reporting Center | Orlando, FL | 18 | | | 18 | | | | 18 | 18 | 2007, 2010 | |
| Atlanta ISAP | Day Reporting Center | Atlanta, GA | 54 | | | 54 | | | | 54 | 53 | 2009 | |
| Charlotte ISAP | Day Reporting Center | Charlotte, NC | 9 | | | 9 | | | | 9 | 9 | 2009 | |
| New Orleans ISAP | Day Reporting Center | New Orleans, LA | 8 | | | 8 | | | | 8 | 7 | 2009 | |
| Washington DC ISAP | Day Reporting Center | Fairfax, VA | 12 | 20 | | 32 | | | | 32 | 13 | 2009, 2010, 2011, 2014 | |
| Chicago ISAP | Day Reporting Center | Chicago, IL | 25 | | | 25 | | | | 25 | 25 | 2009, 2013 | |
| Detroit ISAP | Day Reporting Center | Detroit, MI | 18 | | | 18 | | | | 18 | 18 | 2009 | |
| St Paul ISAP | Day Reporting Center | Bloominton, MN | 6 | | | 6 | | | | 6 | 6 | 2004, 2006, 2011 | |
| Kansas City ISAP | Day Reporting Center | Riverside, MO | 8 | | | 8 | | | | 8 | 2 | 2006, 2014 | |
| Denver ISAP | Day Reporting Center | Centennial, CO | 15 | | | 15 | | | | 15 | 8 | 2011, 2013 | |

| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Portland ISAP | Day Reporting Center | Portland, OR | 5 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 2014 |
| San Francisco ISAP | Day Reporting Center | San Francisco, CA | 92 | 92 | 92 | 91 | 2004, 2009 |
| Salt Lake City ISAP | Day Reporting Center | Murray, UT | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 2009 |

**Table of Contents**

| Property Name(1) | Type | Location | Original Land | Original Building and Improvements | Costs Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition (2) Land and Improvements | Costs Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition (2) Building and Improvements | Land Held for Development | Development and Construction in Progress | Total | Accumulated Depreciation | Year(s) Built/ Renovated | Book Value of Mortgaged Properties |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Seattle ISAP | Day Reporting Center | Tukwila, WA | | 40 | 15 | 55 | | | 55 | 41 | 2009, 2014 | |
| Bronx ISAP | Day Reporting Center | Bronx, NY | | 31 | | 31 | | | 31 | 14 | 2010 | |
| Manhattan ISAP | Day Reporting Center | New York, NY | | 10 | | 10 | | | 10 | 10 | 2010 | |
| Queens ISAP | Day Reporting Center | Jamaica, NY | | 39 | 39 | 78 | | | 78 | 39 | 2007, 2008, 2010, 2014 | |
| Boston ISAP | Day Reporting Center | Burlington, MA | | 13 | 80 | 93 | | | 93 | 15 | 2011, 2014 | |
| Hartford ISAP | Day Reporting Center | Hartford, CT | | 23 | | 23 | | | 23 | 4 | 2009, 2014 | |
| Buffalo ISAP | Day Reporting Center | Buffalo, NY | | 34 | | 34 | | | 34 | 34 | 2009 | |
| Newark ISAP | Day Reporting Center | Newark, NJ | | 30 | 1 | 31 | | | 31 | 31 | 2009, 2014 | |
| Los Angeles ISAP | Day Reporting Center | Los Angeles, CA | | 35 | 35 | 70 | | | 70 | 35 | 2007, 2008, 2014 | |
| San Bernadino ISAP | Day Reporting Center | San Bernadino, CA | | 42 | | 42 | | | 42 | 42 | 2008, 2012, 2013 | |
| Dallas ISAP | Day Reporting Center | Dallas, TX | | 17 | | 17 | | | 17 | 17 | 2009 | |
| Houston ISAP | Day Reporting Center | Houston, TX | | 21 | | 21 | | | 21 | 21 | 2009 | |
| Phoenix ISAP | Day Reporting Center | Phoenix, AZ | | 11 | | 11 | | | 11 | 11 | 2009 | |
| San Antonio ISAP | Day Reporting Center | San Antonio, TX | | 7 | 48 | 55 | | | 55 | 8 | 2009, 2014 | |
| San Diego ISAP | Day Reporting Center | San Diego, CA | | 14 | | 14 | | | 14 | 13 | 2009 | |
| Bakersfield ISAP | Day Reporting Center | Bakersfield, CA | | 16 | | 16 | | | 16 | 16 | 2012 | |
| **International Corrections & Detention** | **Managed** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Arthur Gorrie Correctional Centre | Correctional Facility | Brisbane, Queensland AUS | | | 170 | 170 | | | 170 | 113 | 1992 | |

182

**Table of Contents**

| Property Name(1) | Type | Location | Original Land | Original Building and Improvements | Costs Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition (2) | Land and Improvements | Building and Improvements | Land Held for Development | Development and Construction in Progress | Total | Accumulated Depreciation | Year(s) Built/ Renovated | Book Value of Mortgaged Properties |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Fulham Correctional Centre & Fulham Nalu Challenge Community Unit | Correctional Facility | West Sale, Victoria AUS | | | 1,634 | | 1,634 | | | 1,634 | 842 | 1997, 2002 | |
| Junee Correctional Centre | Correctional Facility | Junee, New South Wales, AUS | | | 1,185 | | 1,185 | | | 1,185 | 567 | 1993 | |
| Parklea Correctional Centre | Correctional Facility | Parklea, New South Wales, AUS | | | 1,051 | | 1,051 | | | 1,051 | 807 | 1987 | |
| Dungavel House Immigration Removal Centre | Detention Facility | Kidlington, England | | | 65 | | 65 | | | 65 | 37 | 2013 | |
| Harmondsworth Immigration Removal Centre | Detention Facility | London, England | | | 344 | | 344 | | | 344 | 344 | 2011 | |
| Kutama-Sinthumule Correctional Centre | Correctional Facility | Louis Trichardt, South Africa | | | 174 | | 174 | | | 174 | 124 | 2003-2008 | |

| Offices Leased | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Corporate Headquarters | Office | BOCA RATON, FL | 1,072 | 7,236 | 8,308 | 8,308 | 4,156 | 1985, 2003, 2005, 2011-2013 |
| Central Regional Office | Office | SAN ANTONIO, TX | | 42 | 42 | 42 | 20 | 1985, 2003/2004, 2010 |
| Eastern Regional Office | Office | CHARLOTTE, NC | | 11 | 11 | 11 | 6 | 1998, 2013 |
| Western Regional Office | Office | LOS ANGELES, CA | 22 | 128 | 150 | 150 | 16 | 2002, 2010 |

Table of Contents

| Property Name(1) | Type | Location | Original Land | Original Building and Improvements | Costs Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition (2) | Land and Improvements | Building and Improvements | Land Held for Development | Development and Construction in Progress | Total | Accumulated Depreciation | Year(s) Built/ Renovated | Book Value of Mortgaged Properties |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Boulder, CO Point II | Office | Boulder CO | | 629 | 39 | | 668 | | | 668 | 552 | 1997, 1998, 1999, 1992, 1993, 1994, 1995, 1996, 2000, 2001, 2003, 2004, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2011, 2012, 2014 | |
| Anderson, IN Call Center | Office | Anderson, IN | | | 138 | | 138 | | | 138 | 89 | 1997- 2013 | |
| Protocol Office | Office | Aurora, CO | | 4 | | | 4 | | | 4 | 4 | 2014 | |
| Sydney Office | Office | Sydney, AUS | | | 1,710 | | 1,710 | | | 1,710 | 65 | 1980 | |
| UK Office | Office | Hurley, England | | | 364 | | 364 | | | 364 | 141 | 2012 | |
| **Miscellaneous Investments** | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Miscellaneous Investments | Various | Various | 16,635 | 4,324 | 1,831 | 775 | 4,039 | 16,778 | 1,198 | 22,790 | 1,890 | Various | |
| **Total** | | | $ 109,279 | $ 1,500,033 | $ 417,560 | $ 89,741 | $ 1,849,477 | $ 28,435 | $ 59,221 | $ 2,026,872 | $ 317,584 | | $ 1,253,164 |

Depreciation related to the real estate investments reflected in the consolidated statements of comprehensive income is calculated over the estimated useful lives of the assets as follows:

| | |
|---|---|
| Land improvements | The shorter of 7 years or the term of the lease/contract |
| Buildings | Generally 50 years or a shorter period if management determines that the building has a shorter useful life |
| Building improvements | 7 or 15 years |
| Leasehold improvements | The shorter of 15 years or the term of the lease/contract |

The aggregate remaining net basis of the real estate investments for federal income tax purposes was approximately $1.4 billion at December 31, 2014. Depreciation and amortization are provided on the declining balance and straight-line methods, respectively, over the estimated useful lives of the assets. This amount excludes international real estate investments.

(1) This schedule presents the real estate property of the Company and does not include facilities with no real estate assets.
(2) The negative balance for costs capitalized subsequent to acquisition include losses recorded subsequent to the initial costs.
(3) Land on which the facility is situated is subject to one or more ground leases.

Table of Contents

**THE GEO GROUP, INC.**

**REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION**

**For the Fiscal Years Ended December 31, 2014, December 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012**

**(dollars in thousands)**

A summary of activity for real estate and accumulated depreciation is as follows:

| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
|---|---|---|---|
| Real Estate: | | | |
| Balance at the beginning of the year | $ 1,935,556 | $ 1,840,306 | $ 1,805,434 |
| Additions to/improvements of real estate | 94,521 | 97,302 | 51,998 |
| Assets sold/written-off | (3,205) | (2,052) | (17,126) |
| Balance at the end of the year | $ 2,026,872 | $ 1,935,556 | $ 1,840,306 |
| Accumulated Depreciation | | | |
| Balance at the beginning of the year | $ 266,848 | $ 217,428 | $ 174,055 |
| Depreciation expense | 53,182 | 50,616 | 49,026 |
| Assets sold/written-off | (2,446) | (1,196) | (5,653) |
| Balance at the end of the year | $ 317,584 | $ 266,848 | $ 217,428 |