

COASTAL CARIBBEAN OILS & MINERALS LTD

Form 10-K

June 03, 2014

[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

(Mark One)

☒ **ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011

or

☐ **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 001-04668

Coastal Caribbean Oils & Minerals, Ltd.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

<p>BERMUDA (State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)</p> <p>Clarendon House, Church Street, Bermuda (Address of Principle Executive Offices)</p> <p>Registrant's telephone number, including area code:</p> <p>(850) 576-5982</p>	<p>NONE (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)</p> <p>HM 11 (Zip Code)</p>
--	--

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

<p>Title of each class NONE</p>	<p>Name of each exchange on which registered NONE</p>
--	--

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Title of Class
Common stock, par value \$.12 per share

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. ☐ Yes ☒ No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. ☐ Yes ☒ No

Note Checking the box above will not relieve any registrant required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act from their obligations under those Sections.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. ☐ Yes ☒ No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). ☐ Yes ☒ No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or

Edgar Filing: COASTAL CARIBBEAN OILS & MINERALS LTD - Form 10-K

information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. ☐ Yes ☒ No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer ☐

Accelerated filer ☐

Non-accelerated filer ☐ (Do not check if smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company ☒

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). ☐ Yes ☒ No

State the aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price at which the common equity was last sold, or the average bid and asked price of such common equity, as of the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter: \$3,572,928 (U.S.) at June 30, 2011.

Note If a determination as to whether a particular person or entity is an affiliate cannot be made without involving unreasonable effort and expense, the aggregate market value of the common stock held by non-affiliates may be calculated on the basis of assumptions reasonable under the circumstances, provided that the assumptions are set forth in this Form.

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date: Common stock, par value \$.12 per share, 95,504,951 shares outstanding as of May 30, 2014.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

List hereunder the following documents if incorporated by reference and the Part of the Form 10K (e.g. Part I, Part II, etc.) into which the document is incorporated: (1) Any annual report to security holders; (2) Any proxy or information statement; and (3) Any prospectus filed pursuant to Rule 424(b) or (c) under the Securities Act of 1933. The listed documents should be clearly described for identification purposes (e.g., annual report to security holders for fiscal year ended December 24, 1980).

None

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>PART I</u>	
Item 1. <u>Business</u>	3
<u>General</u>	3
<u>Crude Oil and Natural Gas Exploration</u>	3
<u>Environmental Regulation</u>	4
<u>Competition</u>	5
<u>Employees</u>	5
<u>Oil and Gas Properties</u>	5
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	5
Item 1B. <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	5
Item 2. <u>Properties</u>	5
Item 3. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	6
Item 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosure</u>	6
<u>PART II</u>	
Item 5. <u>Market for the Company's Common Stock, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	7
Item 6. <u>Selected Consolidated Financial Data</u>	10
Item 7. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	11
Item 7A. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	14
Item 8. <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	15
Item 9. <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	31
Item 9A. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	31
<u>PART III</u>	
Item 10. <u>Directors and Executive Officers of the Company</u>	33
Item 11. <u>Executive Compensation</u>	35
Item 12. <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management</u>	42
Item 13. <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions</u>	44
Item 14. <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	45
<u>PART IV</u>	
Item 15. <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>	46

All monetary figures set forth are expressed in United States currency.

Table of Contents

PART I

Item 1. Business
Company Website

The Company no longer has a general company website, however, the Company's interactive financial filings are available to be viewed online by accessing the following website:

<http://www.sedcd.com/exbrl2/XbrlFinancials.asp?id=COCBF>. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) filings, including supplemental schedules and exhibits can be accessed free of charge through the SEC website at **<http://www.sec.gov>**.

General

Coastal Caribbean Oils & Minerals, Ltd. (Company or Coastal Caribbean), was organized in Bermuda on February 14, 1962. The Company is the successor to Coastal Caribbean Oils, Inc., a Panamanian corporation organized on January 31, 1953 to be the holding company for Coastal Petroleum Company (Coastal Petroleum). Coastal Caribbean has been engaged through its subsidiary, Coastal Petroleum, in the exploration for oil and gas reserves. At December 31, 2011, Coastal Caribbean's principal asset was its 100% interest in its subsidiary Coastal Petroleum and nonproducing oil and gas leases on approximately 32,313 net acres of land in Valley County, Montana, and approximately 400 net acres of land in Slope County, North Dakota. Subsequent to 2011, all the Company's oil and gas leases expired under the terms, due to the Company being unable to establish production on the leases, or in the case of less prospective leases, for nonpayment of lease rentals. As of December 31, 2011, the Company recorded an impairment loss for all costs incurred for property leases, exploration and development activities relating to these leases.

Prior to acquiring leases in Montana and North Dakota, and beginning in the 1940's, the Company held State of Florida oil, gas and mineral leases covering approximately 3,700,000 acres of submerged lands along the Gulf Coast and under certain inland lakes and rivers. For more than 15 years, the State of Florida used laws, policies and permit denials to prevent Coastal Petroleum from using its leases. The Company vigorously litigated to be able to use its leases or to be compensated for the State's taking of them, but Florida courts ultimately ruled against the Company. On June 1, 2005, the Company, Coastal Petroleum and other royalty holders, based upon the court decisions and State policies prohibiting exploration on these leases, entered an agreement to exchange mutual releases, dismiss pending actions and to surrender the leases and royalty rights back to the State of Florida in exchange for a total compensation of \$12.5 million to be divided among the parties in interest. The Company and its subsidiary received approximately \$4,872,000 in net proceeds and the Company regained 100% ownership of its subsidiary, Coastal Petroleum.

The Company utilized the funds it received from the Agreement with the State of Florida to acquire the leases in Montana and North Dakota described above and to begin drilling there. No quantified discoveries of economic quantities of oil or gas were made on these properties; therefore, the Company had no proved reserves of oil and gas and has had no production. The Montana and North Dakota leases expired subsequent to December 31, 2011.

Crude Oil and Natural Gas Exploration

Through its wholly owned subsidiary, Coastal Petroleum, the Company explored for oil and gas in Montana, although during 2011, the Company's primary activity was seeking capital, while performing some testing and completion operations on the Valley County, Montana Leases.

During the fourth quarter of 2010, the Company drilled an exploratory gas well on its Valley County, Montana Leases to test multiple zones potentially containing natural gas. There was no quantifiable determination made as to whether economic quantities of oil and gas exist within the target zones at this location. In 2014, the lessor of the Montana Leases, the Bureau of Land Management, took the position that there was not a sufficient showing of oil or gas on the Montana Leases (or some part of them) to maintain the leases and so they are deemed expired. The Company is considering an appeal of this determination.

Table of Contents

Environmental Regulation

Coastal Caribbean is committed to responsible management of the environment, health and safety, as these areas relate to the Company's operations. The Company strives to achieve the long-term goal of sustainable development within the framework of sound environmental, health and safety practices and standards.

All facets of the Company's operations are affected by a myriad of federal, state, regional and local laws, rules and regulations. The Company is further affected by changes in such laws and by constantly changing administrative regulations. Furthermore, government agencies may impose substantial penalties if the Company fails to comply with such regulations or for any contamination resulting from the Company's operations.

The costs incurred to ensure compliance with environmental, health and safety laws and other regulations are inextricably connected to normal operating expenses such that the Company is unable to separate the expenses related to these matters.

When acting as the operator and conducting drilling operations, Coastal Caribbean maintains insurance coverage that it believes is customary in the industry although it is not fully insured against all environmental or other risks. The Company is not currently conducting drilling operations and therefore does not currently have such insurance. The Company is not aware of any environmental claims existing as of December 31, 2011 that would have a material impact upon the Company's financial position, results of operations, or liquidity.

Regulation of Oil and Gas

The oil and gas industry is extensively regulated by numerous federal, state and local authorities. Legislation affecting the oil and gas industry is under constant review for amendment or expansion, frequently increasing the regulatory burden. Also, numerous departments and agencies, both federal and state are authorized by statute to issue rules and regulations binding on the oil and gas industry and its individual members, some of which carry substantial penalties for failure to comply. Although the regulatory burden on the oil and gas industry increases the Company's cost of doing business and, consequently, may affect profitability, these burdens generally do not affect the Company any differently or to any greater or lesser extent than they affect other companies in the industry with similar types, quantities and locations of properties, operations or production.

The Company's operations are subject to various types of regulation at federal, state and local levels. These types of regulation include requiring permits for the drilling of wells, drilling bonds and reports concerning operations. Most states, and some counties and municipalities in which the Company operates may also regulate one or more of the following: the location of wells; the method of drilling and casing wells; the rates of production or allowables; the surface use and restoration of properties upon which wells are drilled; the plugging and abandoning of wells; and notice to surface owners and other third parties.

State laws regulate the size and shape of drilling and spacing units or proration units governing the pooling of oil and natural gas properties. Some states allow forced pooling or integration of tracts to facilitate exploration while other states rely on voluntary pooling of lands and leases. In some instances, forced pooling or unitization may be implemented by third parties and may reduce the Company's interest in the unitized properties. In addition, state conservation laws establish maximum rates of production from oil and natural gas wells, generally prohibit the venting or flaring of natural gas, and impose requirements regarding the ratable production. These laws and regulations may limit the amount of oil and natural gas the Company can produce from its wells or limit the number of wells or the locations at which it can drill.

Moreover, each state generally imposes a property, production or severance tax with respect to the production and sale of oil, natural gas and natural gas liquids within its jurisdiction.

Table of Contents

Competition

The oil and gas industry is highly competitive. The Company must compete with other companies that have substantially greater resources available to them. As an independent, the Company does not own any refining or retail outlets and, therefore, it would have little control over the price it may receive for any crude oil it produces. In acquisition activities, significant competition exists as integrated and independent companies and individual producers are active bidders for desirable oil and gas properties. Although many of these competitors have greater financial and other resources than the Company, Management believes that Coastal Caribbean is in a position to compete effectively due to its low cost structure, transaction flexibility, experience and determination.

Employees

As of the date of this report, the Company has no employees. At December 31, 2011, the Company had one employee. The Company relies heavily on consultants for legal, accounting, geological and administrative services. The Company uses consultants because it believes it is more cost effective than employing a full time staff.

Oil and Gas Properties

The Company does not currently hold any oil and gas property or rights. Prior to 2012, the Company held leases in Valley County, Montana covering approximately 32,313 net acres and leases covering approximately 400 net acres in Slope County, North Dakota. The leases expired, except in a few cases of less prospective leases where rentals were not paid, so the Company no longer has any rights to these properties.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Not required.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None

Item 2. Properties

Properties

Information required by Item 2 Properties is included under Item 1 Business. See Oil and Gas Properties Section under Item I Business and page 5.

Disclosure Concerning Oil and Gas Operations.

Since the properties in which the Company has interests are undeveloped and nonproducing, items 2 through 4 of Securities Exchange Act Industry Guide 2 are not applicable.

(5) Undeveloped Acreage.

Information required by Item 2 Properties is included under Item 1 Business. See Oil and Gas Properties Section under Item I Business and page 5.

(6) Drilling Activity.

None.

(7) Present Activities.

None.

Table of Contents

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

During the third quarter of 2009, the Company was involved as a defendant in a case entitled *American Pipe & Supply Co. v. Coastal Petroleum Company, et.al*, Cause No. DV 08-63, in the Montana Seventeenth Judicial District Court in Valley County, Montana. This claim is in relation to the failure of our farmee, F-Cross, who has filed for bankruptcy, to pay for pipe purchased from the Plaintiff to drill the State 7-16 well. The claim seeks relief against Coastal Petroleum: to foreclose an oil and gas lien on the single part of one lease involved which is held in Coastal Petroleum's name; and damages for breach of contract and quantum meruit in the amount of about \$80,000. American Pipe did not proceed with its case and on October 5, 2011, the case was dismissed with no further action. The lease involved has since expired.

In addition, the Company is aware of a claim of lien relating to the Evaline well (for approximately \$10,400), and another relating to the Federal 1-19A well (for approximately \$33,200). We have also stipulated with surveyors in North Dakota for approximately \$40,000 in order to avoid suit over work conducted there.

In the second quarter of 2011, the drilling contractor on the Company's Federal 1-19A well in Montana, filed suit against Coastal Petroleum in Houston, Texas, for breach of contract seeking damages of approximately \$98,000. Coastal Petroleum filed a motion to dismiss the claim for lack of jurisdiction and improper venue. The case was voluntarily nonsuited and refiled in Montana. Ultimately, the case was settled for \$70,000, paid for by Mr. Angerer, Sr. and the case was resolved.

As of the date of this report, to the best of our knowledge, there are no material litigation matters pending or threatened against us.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.

None.

Table of Contents**PART II****Item 5. Market for the Company's Common Stock, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**
Market Information.

The principal market for the Company's common stock is in the over-the-counter market on the Electronic Bulletin Board of the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. under the symbol **COCBF**. The quarterly high and low closing prices on the Electronic Bulletin Board during the last two years were as follows:

2010	1st quarter	2nd quarter	3rd quarter	4th quarter
High	0.09	0.13	0.11	0.10
Low	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.05
2011	1st quarter	2nd quarter	3rd quarter	4th quarter
High	0.14	0.11	0.07	0.07
Low	0.09	0.04	0.04	0.04

Holders.

The approximate number of record holders of the Company's common stock at May 30, 2014 was 7,794.

Dividends.

The Company has never declared or paid dividends on its common stock and it does not anticipate declaring or paying any dividends in the foreseeable future. The Company plans to retain any future earnings to reduce the deficit accumulated during the development stage of \$40,247,370 at December 31, 2011 and to fund its operations.

Foreign Exchange Control Regulations

The Company is subject to the applicable laws of The Islands of Bermuda relating to exchange control, but has the permission of the Foreign Exchange Control of Bermuda to carry on business in, to receive, disburse and hold United States dollars and dollar securities under its designation as an External Account Company. The Company has been advised that, although as a matter of law it is possible for such designation to be revoked, there is little precedent for revocation under Bermuda law.

Income and Withholding Taxes

Coastal Caribbean is a Bermuda corporation. Bermuda currently imposes no taxes on corporate income or capital gains realized outside of Bermuda. Any amounts received by Coastal Caribbean from United States sources as dividends, interest, or other fixed or determinable annual or periodic gains, profits and income, will be subject to a 30% United States withholding tax. In addition, any dividends from its domestic subsidiary, Coastal Petroleum, will not be eligible for the 100% dividends received deduction, which is allowable in the case of a United States parent

corporation. Shares of the Company held by persons who are citizens or residents of the United States are subject to federal estate and gift and local inheritance taxation. Any dividends received by such persons will also be subject to federal, State and local income taxation. The foregoing rules are of general application only, and reflect law in force as of the date of this report.

Table of Contents

A convention between Bermuda and the United States relating to mutual assistance on tax matters became operative in 1988.

Passive Foreign Investment Company Rules

The Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, provides special rules for distributions received by U.S. holders on stock of a passive foreign investment company (PFIC), as well as amounts received from the sale or other disposition of PFIC stock.

Under the PFIC rules, a non-U.S. corporation will be classified as a PFIC for U.S. federal income tax purposes in any taxable year in which, after applying certain look-through rules, either (1) at least 75 percent of its gross income is passive income or (2) at least 50 percent of the gross value of its assets is attributable to assets that produce passive income or are held for the production of passive income.

Passive income for this purpose generally includes dividends, interest, royalties, rents, and gains from commodities and securities transactions. Special rules apply in cases where a foreign corporation owns directly or indirectly at least a 25 percent interest in a subsidiary, measured by value. In this case, the foreign corporation is treated as holding its proportionate share of the assets of the subsidiary and receiving directly its proportionate share of the income of the subsidiary when determining whether it is a PFIC. Thus, Coastal Caribbean would be deemed to receive its pro rata share of the income and to hold its pro rata share of the assets, of Coastal Petroleum.

Based on certain estimates of its gross income and gross assets and the nature of its business, Coastal Caribbean would be classified as a PFIC for the years 1987 through 2006. Once an entity is considered a PFIC for a taxable year, it will be treated as such for all subsequent years with respect to owners holding the stock in a year that it was classified as a PFIC under the income or asset test described above. Whether the Company will be a PFIC under either of these tests in future years will be difficult to determine because the tests are applied annually. Based upon the estimated gross income of the Company during 2011, and the relatively small amount of interest the Company received, the Company believes that it may be classified as a PFIC for the year 2011.

If Coastal Caribbean is classified as a PFIC with respect to a U.S. holder any gain from the sale of, and certain distributions with respect to, shares of our common stock, would cause a U.S. holder to become liable for U.S. federal income tax under Code section 1291 (the interest charge regime). The Company recommends that any such U.S. holder should consult his or her own tax advisor on this issue. The tax is computed by allocating the amount of the gain on the sale or the amount of the distribution, as the case may be, to each day in the U.S. shareholder's holding period. To the extent that the amount is allocated to a year, other than the year of the disposition or distribution, in which the corporation was treated as a PFIC with respect to the U.S. holder, the income will be taxed as ordinary income at the highest rate in effect for that year, plus an interest charge. The interest charge would generally be calculated as if the distribution or gain had been recognized ratably over the U.S. holder's holding period (for PFIC purposes) for the shares. To the extent an amount is allocated to the year of the disposition or distribution, or to a year before the first year in which the corporation qualified as a PFIC, the amount so allocated is included as additional gross income for the year of the disposition or distribution. A U.S. holder also would be required to make an annual return on IRS Form 8621 that describes any distributions received with respect to our shares and any gain realized on the sale or other disposition of our shares.

As an alternative to taxation under the interest charge regime, a U.S. holder generally can elect, subject to certain limitations, to annually take into gross income the appreciation or depreciation in our common shares' value during the tax year (mark-to-market election). If a U.S. holder makes the mark-to-market election, the U.S. holder will not be subject to the above-described rule. Instead, if a U.S. holder makes the mark-to-market election, the U.S. holder

recognizes each year an amount equal to the difference as of the close of the taxable year between the U.S. holder's fair market value of the common shares and the adjusted basis in the common shares. Losses would be allowed only to the extent of net gain previously included by the U.S. holder under the mark-to-market election for prior taxable years. Amounts included in or deducted from income under the mark-to-market election and actual gains and losses realized upon the sale or disposition of the common shares, subject to certain limitations, will be treated as ordinary gains or losses. If the mark-to-market election is made for a year other than the first year in the U.S. holder's holding period in which the corporation was a PFIC, the first year's mark-to-market inclusion, if any, is taxed as if it were a distribution subject to the interest charge regime discussed above.

Table of Contents

Another alternative election which would allow a U.S. holder to elect to take its pro rata share of Coastal Caribbean's undistributed income into gross income as it is earned by Coastal Caribbean (QEF election) would only be available to a U.S. holder if Coastal Caribbean provided certain information to the shareholders of Coastal Caribbean. Coastal Caribbean has had no undistributed income for the years 1987 through 2011. If the QEF election is made in a year other than the first year of the U.S. holder's holding period in which the foreign corporation is a PFIC, both the QEF regime and interest charge regime can apply, unless a special election is made. Under this special election, the taxpayer is treated as if it disposed of its PFIC stock in a transaction subject to the interest charge rules to the extent gain is deemed to be recognized. Once this election is made, the holder will be subject only to the QEF regime.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

Beginning in 2010, the Company has entered into various agreements to sell and sold restricted shares of its common stock for cash or in return for payment of certain Company expenses that have allowed the Company to pay lease rentals and other administrative costs necessary to keep the Company viable through the current period.

During 2010, the Company entered into agreements with several individuals and entities for a total of \$20,000 in return for the issuance of a total of 325,000 restricted and unregistered shares of common stock of the Company. In addition, pursuant to two agreements with Robert J. Angerer, Sr. during 2010, the Company issued to Mr. Angerer 22,400,000 of restricted and unregistered shares of common stock of the Company in exchange for a total of \$750,000 in cash and the satisfaction of \$217,850 that had been due to him from the Company for past services. These agreements have concluded.

On May 20, 2011, the Company entered into a subsequent new agreement with Robert J. Angerer, Sr., for the sale of 857,142 restricted and unregistered shares of common stock of the Company for \$60,000, in order to cover certain Company expenses. Mr. Angerer also exercised an option under the agreement for 142,857 shares of common stock for \$10,000. The Company plans to issue Mr. Angerer a total of 999,999 restricted and unregistered shares of common stock for investments made under this agreement. This agreement has concluded.

Then in October 2011, in order to fund immediate cash needs at that time, the Company entered into another subsequent new agreement with Robert J. Angerer, Sr., for an immediate infusion of \$30,000 cash and the commitment to spend \$130,000 in operational costs to complete the Federal 1-19A well. The agreement also provided options for Mr. Angerer to provide additional funding. In return, the Company will issue 4,444,444 restricted and unregistered shares of common stock to Mr. Angerer. Under this agreement, Mr. Angerer provided a total of \$90,000 in cash to the Company; he provided \$55,000 in 2011 and an additional \$35,000 through August of 2012. Mr. Angerer also paid directly \$70,000 for expenses related to the Federal 1-19A well. The Company plans to issue Mr. Angerer a total of 4,444,444 restricted and unregistered shares of common stock for investments made under this agreement. The agreement was completed and all other options under the agreement expired. During 2011, 3,472,221 shares have been reflected as issued under the October 2011 agreement; and at December 31, 2011, 972,223 shares remain to be issued to Mr. Angerer.

In September 2012, the Company entered into a new agreement with Robert J. Angerer, Sr., to provide additional funding in return for shares of restricted and unregistered shares of common stock of the Company at \$.03 per share. Mr. Angerer agreed to provide up to \$1,000,000 to perform a nitrogen fracturing operation on the Federal 1-19A well, to abandon two existing wells, to pay existing liabilities, and to allow the Company to cure its delinquent legal and regulatory filings. In conjunction with this agreement, the Company entered into a separation agreement with Phillip W. Ware. Mr. Angerer completed the nitrogen fracturing operation on the Federal 1-19A well at a cost of \$171,501 in 2012. The Company plans to issue 3,564,705 shares of restricted and unregistered shares of common stock to Mr. Angerer for 2012. In 2013, Mr. Angerer paid \$60,000 to the Company in order for the Company to pay legal

compliance costs and paid \$343,988 of existing liabilities of the Company. In February 2014, Mr. Angerer paid \$30,500 for regulatory compliance costs on behalf of the Company. The Company plans to issue Mr. Angerer 15,834,199 shares of restricted and unregistered shares of common stock in exchange for the funds paid into the Company during 2013 and through April 30, 2014, pursuant to the 2012 agreement.

Table of Contents

As of the date of this report, the Company plans to issue Mr. Angerer 24,843,347 shares of restricted and unregistered shares of common stock in exchange for the funds paid into the Company through the various agreements described above that were entered and performed from 2011 through April 30, 2014.

Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers

None

Item 6. Selected Consolidated Financial Information

The following selected consolidated financial information (in thousands except for per share amounts) for the Company insofar as it relates to each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011 has been taken from the Company's consolidated financial statements.

	Years ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Net loss	\$ (3,085)	\$ (306)	\$ (642)
Net loss per share (basic and diluted)	\$ (.04)	\$ (.01)	\$ (.01)
Cash and cash equivalents and marketable securities	\$ 7	\$ 17	\$ 9
Unproved oil, gas and, mineral properties (full cost method)	\$	\$ 2,775	\$ 2,258
Total assets	\$ 94	\$ 2,880	\$ 2,356
Shareholders' equity (deficit):			
Common stock	\$ 9,016	\$ 8,479	\$ 5,752
Discount on common stock	(2,184)	(1,842)	(104)
Capital in excess of par value	32,139	32,139	32,139
Stock subscription			10
Deficit accumulated during the development stage	(40,247)	(37,163)	(36,856)
Total shareholders' equity (deficit)	\$ (1,276)	\$ 1,613	\$ 941
Common stock shares outstanding (weighted average)	71,743	64,761	46,737

Table of Contents

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Statements included in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations which are not historical in nature are intended to be forward looking statements. The Company cautions readers that forward looking statements are subject to certain risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those indicated in the forward looking statements.

General

We are an independent oil and gas exploration company. The Company's future growth will be driven primarily by exploration and development activities. Our business strategy is to increase shareholder value by acquiring and drilling reasonably priced prospects that have good potential, with the goal of shaping the Company into a highly productive independent oil and gas firm. We will continue to seek high quality exploration projects with potential for providing long-term drilling inventories that generate high returns.

During 2010 and 2011, we were primarily focused on and engaged in raising capital to fund the Company so that we could recommence the exploration of our leases in Montana and North Dakota. Due to the recession and the fragile state of the country's financial market, capital was a scarce commodity to obtain. Ultimately, no outside parties were able to provide the capital necessary to begin exploration. During 2012 and 2013, the Company's own exploration efforts in Montana and North Dakota did not result in any quantifiable success and the leases have expired. Except for a few reclamation projects, we do not plan any further operations or expenditures on the former drilling program in Montana and North Dakota if the BLM prevails on the claim that the lease with the Federal 19-1A well has expired. The combination of: no parties being located that were interested in working with the Company; and the state of the economy; left the Company with no other viable alternative other than to sell shares of its common stock to raise capital necessary to remain a viable public company and to retain its most valuable leases, as described in Item 5 Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities.

The Company has been sustained only through the purchases of stock by the Chairman of the Board of Directors, Robert J. Angerer, Sr. The funds that Mr. Angerer has provided allowed for the abandonment expenditures and payment of operating costs to keep the Company viable. On January 14, 2010, Robert J. Angerer, Sr., the chairman of the board of directors and vice president of the Company at the time, entered into a letter agreement for the funding of immediate cash needs and granting Mr. Angerer an option to fund the Company's and Coastal's future obligations. Under the agreement, Mr. Angerer provided compensation to the Company including \$300,000 cash and the forgiveness of \$150,000 of legal fees owed to his law firm, Angerer & Angerer, forgiveness of \$21,500 in director fees owed to him and credit of \$240,000 to him for the completion of the Company's purchase of leases on which there is a Red River oil and gas development prospect. In return, Mr. Angerer was issued 14,400,000 Rule 144 restricted shares of the Company's common stock and provided an option to further fund the Company. Mr. Angerer exercised the one extension granted him to extend the time to exercise the first option for three months in exchange for the payment of \$50,000. The remaining options expired unexercised.

Simultaneous with the January 14, 2010, transaction, Mr. Angerer resigned as the Vice President of both the Company and its subsidiary, but has remained as a Director and the Chairman of the Board of Directors for both.

On August 26, 2010, after the January agreement expired, the Company entered into a separate letter agreement with Mr. Angerer for the sale of 8,000,000 restricted shares of the Company's common stock for \$400,000, to be used for exploration, prospect acquisition and operating costs.

Using some of the funds from this sale of stock, we drilled a test well on Coastal's Starbuck East shallow gas prospect in Valley County, Montana for approximately \$365,000. We also acquired four additional high-graded Lodgepole

Reef prospects in North Dakota for \$20,000 which subsequently expired in 2011 before funding could be secured to drill them.

Table of Contents

During the fourth quarter of 2010, the Company drilled an exploratory gas well on its Valley County, Montana Leases to test multiple zones potentially containing natural gas. The well was logged and cased and the results and information gathered from the well were evaluated in order to provide an assessment of the potential for natural gas production from the several target horizons drilled through at this location. The information gathered was presented to the lessor, the U.S. Bureau of Land Management (BLM), but the BLM considered the information insufficient to show economic quantities of gas necessary to maintain the leases and has stated that it will issue a final order finding that the leases had expired under their terms. The Company is considering an appeal of this determination.

Under a past agreement, the Federal 1-19 well, was drilled on our Valley County, Montana Leases to test a shallow gas prospect during October 2007. The well reached a total depth of 1,126 feet and confirmed the structural high that was targeted and had gas shows in two zones. Completion efforts found that the Eagle formation was damaged by the initial drilling and the formation was not able to be tested from this well. Further drilling into the Eagle formation during completion did not yield gas like the gas show from the upper part of the formation that was damaged. The Judith River formation, a secondary target, was damaged by drilling fluids lost into the formation while drilling through it to get to the Eagle formation, the primary target.

In North Dakota, we held the working interest on approximately 400 net acres in Slope County, however, due to lack of funds and no entity to partner with, we were not able to explore those leases prior to them expiring. Other leases that we previously held in North Dakota expired during the first quarter of 2012, because we were not able to raise the capital needed to fund drilling exploratory wells to test the Lodgepole Reef prospects on the leases.

Mr. Angerer has undertaken, pursuant to his most recent agreement, to bring the Company to a debt free operating position over the next twelve months. In addition, in light of recent technological advances in horizontal drilling and hydraulic fracturing methods, consultants are currently assessing certain areas to determine whether they are prospective for oil and gas in sufficient quantities and properties to allow the use of horizontal drilling and fracking. If, after their assessment, the consultants identify certain areas as prospective, the Company intends to seek another entity to work with to acquire leases over and to test the prospective areas for oil and gas. At this point the areas being assessed are confidential and may or may not result in the identification of any prospective areas.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

As more fully described in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, we have no significant assets or recurring revenues, have experienced recurring losses and have a deficit accumulated during the development stage. We, along with various other related parties, settled several lawsuits in 2005, which were filed by the Company, our subsidiary Coastal Petroleum Company and other related parties against the State of Florida. All of these lawsuits were related to the State's actions limiting our ability to commence development activities through our subsidiary. The cost of that litigation was substantial. We leased mineral rights in Montana and North Dakota but the last of those leases expired under their terms in 2012 with the exception of the BLM contested lease. Management believes its efforts to obtain funding will allow the Company to move forward to explore and develop profitable oil and gas operations, although there is no assurance these efforts will be successful. These situations raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. Our consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments to reflect the possible future effects on the recoverability and classification of assets or amounts and classification of liabilities, which may result from the outcome of these uncertainties.

At December 31, 2011, we have approximately \$7,000 in cash compared to approximately \$17,000 at December 31, 2010. Our current liabilities exceed our current assets by approximately \$1,362,000 at December 31, 2011. We suspended payments to our directors, general legal counsel, and employee since the second quarter of 2007 through December 31, 2011, and have accrued approximately \$1,370,000 in expenses as of December 31, 2011. We expect to

continue to suspend payments to most of these parties indefinitely until sufficient funding can be secured to resume exploration operations and cover normal operating expenses.

Beginning in 2010, the Company has entered into various agreements to sell and sold restricted shares of its common stock for cash or in return for payment of certain Company expenses that have allowed the Company to pay lease rentals and other administrative costs necessary to keep the Company viable through the current period.

Table of Contents

During 2010, the Company entered into agreements with several individuals and entities for a total of \$20,000 in return for the issuance of a total of 325,000 restricted and unregistered shares of common stock of the Company. In addition, pursuant to two agreements with Robert J. Angerer, Sr. during 2010, the Company issued to Mr. Angerer 22,400,000 of restricted and unregistered shares of common stock of the Company in exchange for a total of \$750,000 in cash and the satisfaction of \$217,850 that had been due to him from the Company for past services. These agreements have concluded.

On May 20, 2011, the Company entered into a subsequent new agreement with Robert J. Angerer, Sr., for the sale of 857,142 restricted and unregistered shares of common stock of the Company for \$60,000, in order to cover certain Company expenses. Mr. Angerer also exercised an option under the agreement for 142,857 shares of common stock for \$10,000. The Company plans to issue Mr. Angerer a total of 999,999 restricted and unregistered shares of common stock for investments made under this agreement. This agreement has concluded.

Then in October 2011, in order to fund immediate cash needs at that time, the Company entered into another subsequent new agreement with Robert J. Angerer, Sr., for an immediate infusion of \$30,000 cash and the commitment to spend \$130,000 in operational costs to complete the Federal 1-19A well. The agreement also provided options for Mr. Angerer to provide additional funding. In return, the Company will issue 4,444,444 restricted and unregistered shares of common stock to Mr. Angerer. Under this agreement, Mr. Angerer provided a total of \$90,000 in cash to the Company; he provided \$55,000 in 2011 and an additional \$35,000 through August of 2012. Mr. Angerer also paid directly \$70,000 for expenses related to the Federal 1-19A well. The Company plans to issue Mr. Angerer a total of 4,444,444 restricted and unregistered shares of common stock for investments made under this agreement. The agreement was completed and all other options under the agreement expired. During 2011, 3,472,221 shares have been reflected as issued under the October 2011 agreement; and at December 31, 2011, 972,223 shares remain to be issued to Mr. Angerer.

In September 2012, the Company entered into a new agreement with Robert J. Angerer, Sr., to provide additional funding in return for shares of restricted and unregistered shares of common stock of the Company at \$.03 per share. Mr. Angerer agreed to provide up to \$1,000,000 to perform a nitrogen fracturing operation on the Federal 1-19A well, to abandon two existing wells, to pay existing liabilities, and to allow the Company to cure its delinquent legal and regulatory filings. In conjunction with this agreement, the Company entered into a separation agreement with Phillip W. Ware. Mr. Angerer completed the nitrogen fracturing operation on the Federal 1-19A well at a cost of \$171,501 in 2012. The Company plans to issue 3,564,705 shares of restricted and unregistered shares of common stock to Mr. Angerer for 2012. In 2013, Mr. Angerer paid \$60,000 to the Company in order for the Company to pay legal compliance costs and paid \$343,988 of existing liabilities of the Company. In February 2014, Mr. Angerer paid \$30,500 for regulatory compliance costs on behalf of the Company. The Company plans to issue Mr. Angerer 15,834,199 shares of restricted and unregistered shares of common stock in exchange for the funds paid into the Company during 2013 and through April 30, 2014, pursuant to the 2012 agreement.

As of the date of this report, the Company plans to issue Mr. Angerer 24,843,347 shares of restricted and unregistered shares of common stock in exchange for the funds paid into the Company through the various agreements described above that were entered and performed from 2011 through April 30, 2014.

Results of Operations and Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Development Stage Enterprise Presentation

The Company is a development stage enterprise. It has never had substantial revenues and has operated at a loss each year (except 2005) since its inception in 1953.

Table of Contents

Oil and Gas Accounting

The Company follows the full cost method of accounting for its oil and gas properties. All costs associated with property acquisition, exploration and development activities whether successful or unsuccessful are capitalized.

The capitalized costs are subject to a ceiling test which basically limits such costs to the aggregate of the estimated present value discounted at a 10% rate of future net revenues from proved reserves, based on current economic and operating conditions, plus the lower of cost or fair market value of unproved properties. The Company assesses whether its unproved properties are impaired on a periodic basis. This assessment is based upon work completed on the properties to date, the expiration date of its leases and technical data from the properties and adjacent areas.

During 2011, we conducted limited operational activities but did not conduct drilling activities as our efforts have primarily been focused on soliciting funding.

2011 vs. 2010

During 2011, we did not conduct any drilling operations. During 2010, we drilled a test well on a shallow gas prospect.

Our expenses consist of administrative corporate and regulatory costs, and the administrative, travel, and lodging costs to maintain our leases in North Dakota and Montana.

Subsequent to 2011, our leases expired due to the expiration of the time remaining under some of the leases, as we were unable to establish quantifiable production on the leases, and in the case of less prospective leases, for nonpayment of lease rentals. We recorded an impairment charge of \$2,832,519 in 2011.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk

Not applicable.

Table of Contents

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of

Coastal Caribbean Oils & Minerals, Ltd.

We have audited the consolidated balance sheet of Coastal Caribbean Oils & Minerals, Ltd. and Subsidiary (the Company) as of December 31, 2011, and the related consolidated statements of operations, cash flows, and common stock and capital in excess of par value for the year then ended and for the period from January 31, 1953 (inception) to December 31, 2011. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Coastal Caribbean Oils & Minerals, Ltd. as of December 31, 2011 and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the year then ended, and for the period from January 31, 1953 (inception) to December 31, 2011, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company suffered recurring losses from operations and has not yet realized any revenues from development activities. This raises substantial doubt that the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ Averett Warmus Durkee, P.A.

Orlando, Florida

June 2, 2014

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors

Coastal Caribbean Oils & Minerals, Ltd.

Tallahassee, Florida

We have audited the consolidated balance sheets of Coastal Caribbean Oils & Minerals, Ltd. and subsidiary (the Company) as of December 31, 2010, and the related consolidated statements of operations, cash flows and common stock and capital in excess of par value, for the year then ended, and for the period from January 31, 1953 (inception) to December 31, 2010. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Coastal Caribbean Oils & Minerals, Ltd. and subsidiary as of December 31, 2010 and the results of their operations and cash flows for the year then ended, and for the period from January 31, 1953 (inception) to December 31, 2010, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company suffered recurring losses from operations and has not yet realized any revenues from development activities. This raises substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

We were not engaged to examine management's assertion about the effectiveness of Coastal Caribbean Oils & Minerals, Ltd.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010 included in the accompanying *Management's annual report on internal control over financial reporting* and, accordingly, we do not express an opinion thereon.

Skoda Minotti (successor firm to Baumann, Raymondo & Company PA) Tampa, Florida

March 30, 2011

Table of Contents**COASTAL CARIBBEAN OILS & MINERALS, LTD.**

(A Bermuda Corporation)

A Development Stage Company

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Expressed in U.S. dollars)

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 7,384	\$ 17,043
Total current assets	7,384	17,043
Certificates of deposit - Restricted	86,318	86,024
Petroleum leases		2,775,066
Equipment, net		1,374
Total assets	\$ 93,702	\$ 2,879,507
Liabilities and Shareholders Equity (Deficit):		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 235,073	\$ 273,813
Notes payable		25,000
Amounts due to related parties	1,134,922	967,292
Total current liabilities	1,369,995	1,266,105
Shareholders equity (deficit):		
Common stock, par value \$.12 per share:		
Authorized 250,000,000 shares		
Outstanding 75,133,824 and 70,661,604 shares, respectively	9,016,059	8,479,392
Discount on common stock par value	(2,184,293)	(1,842,625)
Capital in excess of par value	32,139,311	32,139,311
	38,971,077	38,776,078
Deficit accumulated during the development stage	(40,247,370)	(37,162,676)
Total shareholders equity (deficit)	(1,276,293)	1,613,402

Total liabilities and shareholders' equity (deficit)	\$	93,702	\$	2,879,507
--	----	--------	----	-----------

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents**COASTAL CARIBBEAN OILS & MINERALS, LTD.**

(A Bermuda Corporation)

A Development Stage Company

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(Expressed in U.S. Dollars)

	Years Ended December 31,		For the period from Jan. 31, 1953 (inception) to Dec. 31, 2011
	2011	2010	
Gain on settlement	\$	\$	\$ 8,124,016
Interest and other income	294	768	3,985,523
	294	768	12,109,539
Expenses:			
Legal fees and costs	5,351	28,196	17,688,104
Administrative expenses	111,712	130,323	11,301,402
Salaries	125,000	125,000	4,646,431
Shareholder communications	10,406	22,955	4,182,977
Goodwill impairment			801,823
Write off of unproved properties	2,832,519		9,523,271
Exploration costs			188,218
Lawsuit judgments			1,941,916
Non-Controlling interests			(632,974)
Other			364,865
Contractual services			2,350,876
	3,084,988	306,474	52,356,909
Net loss before income Taxes	(3,084,694)	(305,706)	(40,247,370)
Income tax benefit			
Net loss	\$ (3,084,694)	\$ (305,706)	

Deficit accumulated during the development stage					\$ (40,247,370)
--	--	--	--	--	-----------------

Net loss per share based on weighted average number of shares
outstanding during the period:

Basic and diluted EPS	\$	(.04)	\$	(.01)
-----------------------	----	-------	----	-------

Weighted average number of shares outstanding (basic and diluted)	71,742,784	64,761,056
--	------------	------------

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents**COASTAL CARIBBEAN OILS & MINERALS, LTD.**

(A Bermuda Corporation)

A Development Stage Company

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Expressed in U.S. Dollars)

	Years Ended December 31,		For the period from Jan. 31, 1953 (inception) to Dec. 31, 2011
	2011	2010	
Operating activities:			
Net loss	\$ (3,084,694)	\$ (305,706)	\$ (40,247,370)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:			
Gain on settlement			(8,124,016)
Goodwill impairment			801,823
Stock issued for services			(602,949)
Depreciation	1,374	2,521	12,973
Write off of unproved properties	2,801,238		9,491,990
Common stock issued for services			119,500
Compensation recognized for stock option grant			75,000
Recoveries from previously written off properties			252,173
Net change in:			
Prepaid expenses and other			
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	128,890	(37,236)	1,376,031
Income taxes payable			
Net cash used in operating activities	(153,192)	(340,421)	(36,844,845)
Investing activities:			
Additions to oil, gas, and mineral properties net of assets acquired for common stock and reimbursements	(51,172)	(147,795)	(6,652,219)
Well drilling costs		(214,981)	(1,285,992)
Sale of unproved non-operating interests			512,595
Net proceeds from settlement			8,124,016
Proceeds from relinquishment of surface rights			246,733
Purchase of certificates of deposit	(294)	(769)	(140,973)

Redemption of certificate of deposit			54,655
Purchase of non-controlling interest in subsidiary			(801,823)
Purchase of equipment			(74,623)
Net cash used in investing activities	(51,466)	(363,545)	(17,631)

Financing activities:

Notes payable proceeds			184,988
Repayment of loans		(48,198)	(159,988)
Sale of common stock, net of expenses	194,999	770,000	31,413,111
Stock subscription		(10,000)	
Shares issued upon exercise of options			891,749
Sale of shares by subsidiary			820,000
Sale of subsidiary shares			3,720,000
Net cash provided by financing activities	194,999	711,802	36,869,860
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(9,659)	7,836	7,384
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	17,043	9,207	
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 7,384	\$ 17,043	\$ 7,384

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents**COASTAL CARIBBEAN OILS & MINERALS, LTD.**

(A Bermuda Corporation)

A Development Stage Company

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF COMMON STOCK**AND CAPITAL IN EXCESS OF PAR VALUE**

(Expressed in U.S. dollars)

For the period from January 31, 1953 (inception) to December 31, 2011

	Number of Shares	Common Stock	Discount on Common Stock	Capital in Excess of Par Value
Shares issued for net assets and unrecovered costs at inception	5,790,210	\$ 579,021	\$	\$ 1,542,868
Sales of common stock	26,829,486	3,224,014		16,818,844
Shares issued upon exercise of stock options	510,000	59,739		799,760
Market value (\$2.375 per share) of shares issued in 1953 to acquire an investment	54,538	5,454		124,074
Shares issued in 1953 in exchange for 1/3 rd of a 1/60 th overriding royalty (sold in prior year) in nonproducing leases of Coastal Petroleum	84,210	8,421		
Market value of shares issued for services rendered during the period 1954-1966	95,188	9,673		109,827
Net transfers to restate the par value of common stock Outstanding in 1962 and 1970 to \$0.12 per share		117,314		(117,314)
Increase in Company's investment (equity) due to capital transactions of Coastal Petroleum in 1976				117,025
Balance at December 31, 1990	33,363,632	4,003,636		19,395,084
Sale of subsidiary shares				300,000
Balance at December 31, 1991	33,363,632	4,003,636		19,695,084
Sale of subsidiary shares				390,000
Balance at December 31, 1992	33,363,632	4,003,636		20,085,084
Sale of subsidiary shares				1,080,000
Balance at December 31, 1993	33,363,632	4,003,636		21,165,084
Sale of subsidiary shares				630,000

Edgar Filing: COASTAL CARIBBEAN OILS & MINERALS LTD - Form 10-K

Balance at December 31, 1994	33,363,632	4,003,636		21,795,084
Sale of subsidiary shares				600,000
Balance at December 31, 1995	33,363,632	4,003,636		22,395,084
Sale of common stock	6,672,726	800,727		5,555,599
Sale of subsidiary shares				480,000
Exercise of stock options	10,000	1,200		12,300
Balance at December 31, 1996	40,046,358	4,805,563		28,442,983
Sale of subsidiary shares				240,000
Exercise of stock options	10,000	1,200		10,050
Balance at December 31, 1997, 1998 and 1999	40,056,358	4,806,763		28,693,033
Sale of common stock	3,411,971	409,436		2,729,329
Compensation recognized for stock option grant				75,000
Balance at December 31, 2000 and 2001	43,468,329	5,216,199		31,497,362
Sale of common stock	2,743,275	329,193		570,449
Balance as of December 31, 2002	46,211,604	5,545,392		32,067,811
Sale of subsidiary shares				70,000
Balance as of December 31, 2003 through 2007	46,211,604	5,545,392		32,137,811
Exercise of stock options	50,000	6,000		1,500
Balance as of December 31, 2008	46,261,604	5,551,392		32,139,311
Sale of common stock	1,191,333	142,960	(75,460)	
Shares issued for services	483,667	58,040	(28,015)	
Balance as of December 31, 2009	47,936,604	5,752,392	(103,475)	32,139,311
Sale of common stock	22,725,000	2,727,000	(1,739,150)	
Balance as of December 31, 2010	70,661,604	8,479,392	(1,842,625)	32,139,311
Sale of common stock	4,472,220	536,667	(341,668)	
Balance as of December 31, 2011	75,133,824	\$ 9,016,059	\$ (2,184,293)	\$ 32,139,311

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents

1. Summary of significant accounting policies

Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Coastal Caribbean Oils & Minerals, Ltd., a Bermuda corporation (Coastal Caribbean) and its wholly owned subsidiary, Coastal Petroleum Company (Coastal Petroleum), referred to collectively as the Company. The Company, which has been engaged in a single industry and segment, is considered to be a development stage company since its exploration for oil, gas and minerals has not yielded any significant revenue or reserves. All intercompany transactions have been eliminated.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid short-term investments with maturities of three months or less at the date of acquisition to be cash equivalents.

Equipment

Equipment is recorded at cost. Depreciation is provided using straight-line over five years, the estimated useful lives of the assets.

Certificates of Deposit Restricted

The Company has pledged certificates of deposit for pollution bond requirements under three previous well permits.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. The ability to develop the Company's oil and gas properties will have a significant effect on the Company's financial position and results of operations. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Unproved Oil, Gas and Mineral Properties

The Company follows the full cost method of accounting for its oil and gas properties. All costs associated with property acquisition, exploration and development activities whether successful or unsuccessful are capitalized. As our properties are unproven, these costs are temporarily exempt from amortization. Once the Company has proved reserves the capitalized costs will be amortized using the unit-of-production basis.

The Company assesses whether its unproved properties are impaired at least on a quarterly basis. This assessment is based upon work completed on the properties to date, the expiration date of its leases and technical data from the properties and adjacent areas. Our capitalized costs are subject to a ceiling test which basically limits such costs to the aggregate of the estimated present value discounted at a 10% rate of future net revenues from proved reserves based on current economic and operating conditions, plus the cost of properties not being amortized. At December 31, 2011, the Company determined that all of its leases and development costs were impaired due to the time remaining under some of the leases, the Company being unable to establish production on the leases, and in the case of less prospective leases, for nonpayment of lease rentals, and an impairment charge of \$2,832,519 was recorded. No impairment was identified in 2010.

Sales of unproved non-operating interests in oil and gas leases are accounted for as a reduction in the capitalized amount of the leases.

Table of Contents

1. Summary of significant accounting policies (Continued)

Sale of Subsidiary Shares

All amounts realized from the sale of Coastal Petroleum shares have been credited to capital in excess of par value.

Net Loss Per Share

Net loss per common share is based upon the weighted average number of common and common equivalent shares outstanding during the period. The Company's basic and diluted calculations of EPS are the same because the exercise of options is not assumed in calculating diluted EPS, as the result would be anti-dilutive.

Financial instruments

The carrying value for cash and cash equivalents, certificates of deposit, and accounts payable approximates fair value based on anticipated cash flows and current market conditions.

Stock Based Compensation

The Company uses the fair value based method of accounting for its stock option plans, which requires companies to expense stock options and other share-based payments.

New Accounting Pronouncements

The Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) has issued several new standards which have implementation dates subsequent to the Company's yearend. Management does not believe that any of these new standards will have a material impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Going Concern

The Company has no recurring revenues, had recurring losses since 2006 and prior to 2005, and has a deficit accumulated during the development stage. The Company's current cash position is not adequate to fund existing operations or exploration and development. The Company has an agreement in place with a director pursuant to which the director may further fund the Company to continue operations and exploration. There is no assurance that the Company will be able to obtain any funding, that sufficient funding can be obtained, or that the Company will be able to raise necessary funds.

These situations raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments to reflect the possible future effects on the recoverability and classification of assets or amounts and classification of liabilities, which may result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

Table of Contents

2. Unproved Oil, Gas and Mineral Properties

In December 2011, the Company determined that its leases and capitalized development costs were impaired due to the time remaining under some of the leases, the Company being unable to establish quantifiable production on the leases, and in the case of less prospective leases, for nonpayment of lease rentals, and recorded an impairment charge of \$2,832,519.

Montana Leases

The Company had a presence in Valley County, Montana, where it held leases covering approximately 32,313 net acres, which were the remaining unexpired leases from those leases the Company acquired in three separate acquisitions between July 2005 and February 2006. Subsequent to 2011, these leases expired due to the expiration of the time remaining under some of the leases, the Company being unable to establish quantifiable production on the leases, and in the case of less prospective leases, for nonpayment of lease rentals, and an impairment charge of \$2,832,519 was recorded.

North Dakota Leases

In July 2005, the Company acquired leases to the deeper rights in approximately 21,688 net acres in and near Slope County, North Dakota. Subsequent to 2011, these leases expired due to the expiration of the time remaining under some of the leases, the Company being unable to establish quantifiable production on the leases, and in the case of less prospective leases, for nonpayment of lease rentals

Table of Contents**3. Common Stock**

The Company's By-Law No. 21 provides that any matter to be voted upon must be approved not only by a majority of the shares voted at such meeting, but also by a majority in number of the shareholders present in person or by proxy and entitled to vote thereon.

The following represents shares issued upon sales of common stock:

Year	Number of Shares	Common Stock	Capital in Excess of Par Value
1953	300,000	\$ 30,000	\$ 654,000
1954	53,000	5,300	114,265
1955	67,000	6,700	137,937
1956	77,100	7,710	139,548
1957	95,400	9,540	152,492
1958	180,884	18,088	207,135
1959	123,011	12,301	160,751
1960	134,300	13,430	131,431
1961	127,500	12,750	94,077
1962	9,900	990	8,036
1963	168,200	23,548	12,041
1964	331,800	46,452	45,044
1965	435,200	60,928	442,391
1966	187,000	26,180	194,187
1967	193,954	27,153	249,608
1968	67,500	9,450	127,468
1969	8,200	1,148	13,532
1970	274,600	32,952	117,154
1971	299,000	35,880	99,202
1972	462,600	55,512	126,185
1973	619,800	74,376	251,202
1974	398,300	47,796	60,007
1975			(52,618)
1976			(8,200)
1977	850,000	102,000	1,682,706
1978	90,797	10,896	158,343
1979	1,065,943	127,914	4,124,063
1980	179,831	21,580	826,763
1981	30,600	3,672	159,360
1983	5,318,862	638,263	1,814,642
1985			(36,220)
1986	6,228,143	747,378	2,178,471
1987	4,152,095	498,251	2,407,522
1990	4,298,966	515,876	26,319
1996	6,672,726	800,727	5,555,599
2000	3,411,971	409,436	2,729,329
2002	2,743,275	329,193	570,449

Edgar Filing: COASTAL CARIBBEAN OILS & MINERALS LTD - Form 10-K

2009	1,191,333	142,960	
2010	22,725,000	2,727,000	
2011	4,472,220	536,667	
	68,046,011	\$ 8,169,997	\$ 25,674,221

The following represents shares issued upon exercise of stock options:

Year	Number of Shares	Common Stock	Capital in Excess of Par Value
1955	73,000	\$ 7,300	\$ 175,200
1978	7,000	840	6,160
1979	213,570	25,628	265,619
1980	76,830	9,219	125,233
1981	139,600	16,752	227,548
1996	10,000	1,200	12,300
1997	10,000	1,200	10,050
2008	50,000	6,000	1,500
	580,000	\$ 68,139	\$ 823,610

Table of Contents

3. Common Stock (Cont d)

Beginning in 2010, the Company has entered into various agreements to sell and sold restricted shares of its common stock for cash or in return for payment of certain Company expenses that have allowed the Company to pay lease rentals and other administrative costs necessary to keep the Company viable through the current period.

During 2010, the Company entered into agreements with several individuals and entities for a total of \$20,000 in return for the issuance of a total of 325,000 restricted and unregistered shares of common stock of the Company. In addition, pursuant to two agreements with Robert J. Angerer, Sr. during 2010, the Company issued to Mr. Angerer 22,400,000 of restricted and unregistered shares of common stock of the Company in exchange for a total of \$750,000 in cash and the satisfaction of \$217,850 that had been due to him from the Company for past services. These agreements have concluded.

On May 20, 2011, the Company entered into a subsequent new agreement with Robert J. Angerer, Sr., for the sale of 857,142 restricted and unregistered shares of common stock of the Company for \$60,000, in order to cover certain Company expenses. Mr. Angerer also exercised an option under the agreement for 142,857 shares of common stock for \$10,000. The Company plans to issue Mr. Angerer a total of 999,999 restricted and unregistered shares of common stock for investments made under this agreement. This agreement has concluded.

Then in October 2011, in order to fund immediate cash needs at that time, the Company entered into another subsequent new agreement with Robert J. Angerer, Sr., for an immediate infusion of \$30,000 cash and the commitment to spend \$130,000 in operational costs to complete the Federal 1-19A well. The agreement also provided options for Mr. Angerer to provide additional funding. In return, the Company will issue 4,444,444 restricted and unregistered shares of common stock to Mr. Angerer. Under this agreement, Mr. Angerer provided a total of \$90,000 in cash to the Company; he provided \$55,000 in 2011 and an additional \$35,000 through August of 2012. Mr. Angerer also paid directly \$70,000 for expenses related to the Federal 1-19A well. The Company plans to issue Mr. Angerer a total of 4,444,444 restricted and unregistered shares of common stock for investments made under this agreement. The agreement was completed and all other options under the agreement expired. During 2011, 3,472,221 shares have been reflected as issued under the October 2011 agreement; and at December 31, 2011, 972,223 shares remain to be issued to Mr. Angerer.

In September 2012, the Company entered into a new agreement with Robert J. Angerer, Sr., to provide additional funding in return for shares of restricted and unregistered shares of common stock of the Company at \$.03 per share. Mr. Angerer agreed to provide up to \$1,000,000 to perform a nitrogen fracturing operation on the Federal 1-19A well, to abandon two existing wells, to pay existing liabilities, and to allow the Company to cure its delinquent legal and regulatory filings. In conjunction with this agreement, the Company entered into a separation agreement with Phillip W. Ware. Mr. Angerer completed the nitrogen fracturing operation on the Federal 1-19A well at a cost of \$171,501 in 2012. The Company plans to issue 3,564,705 shares of restricted and unregistered shares of common stock to Mr. Angerer for 2012. In 2013, Mr. Angerer paid \$60,000 to the Company in order for the Company to pay legal compliance costs and paid \$343,988 of existing liabilities of the Company. In February 2014, Mr. Angerer paid \$30,500 for regulatory compliance costs on behalf of the Company. The Company plans to issue Mr. Angerer 15,834,199 shares of restricted and unregistered shares of common stock in exchange for the funds paid into the Company during 2013 and through April 30, 2014, pursuant to the 2012 agreement.

As of the date of this report, the Company plans to issue Mr. Angerer 24,843,347 shares of restricted and unregistered shares of common stock in exchange for the funds paid into the Company through the various agreements described above that were entered into and performed from 2011 through April 30, 2014.

Table of Contents**4. Stock Option Plans**

At December 31, 2011, the Company maintains two stock-based employee compensation plans.

During 1995, the Company adopted a Stock Option Plan covering 1,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock. In July 2005, the Company issued an option to its president to acquire 50,000 shares of the Company's common stock at a price of \$.15 per share under the Company's stock option plan. The option expires in July 2015 and was fully vested when issued.

In December 2005, the Company issued options to its directors to acquire 200,000 shares of the Company's common stock at a price of \$.15 per share. The options expire in December 2015 and were fully vested when issued.

During 2005, the Company adopted a Stock Option Plan covering 2,300,000 shares of the Company's common stock. In September 2005, the Company issued an option to its president to acquire 250,000 shares of the Company's common stock at a price of \$.20 per share under the Company's 2005 stock option plan, subject to the approval of the Plan by shareholders. The Plan was approved at the shareholders meeting on December 9, 2005. The option expires in December 2015 and was fully vested when issued. In connection with a separation agreement in September 2012, the president agreed that these options for 250,000 shares and the options for 50,000 shares that were issued in July 2005 would expire within 90 days of the termination date, which was February 28, 2013. The options were not exercised and have expired.

The Company did not issue any stock options or share-based payments in 2011 or in 2010.

The following table summarizes employee stock option activity:

	Number of Shares	Range of Per Share Option Price (\$)	Weighted Average Exercise Price (\$)	Aggregate Option Price (\$)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (\$)
Employee Options Outstanding					
Outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2009	450,000	.15 - .20	.17	80,000	
Issued or cancelled during 2010					
Outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2010	450,000	.15 - .20	.17	80,000	
Issued or cancelled during 2011	(100,000)	.15	.15	(15,000)	
Outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2011	350,000	.15 - .20	.18	65,000	

Available for grant at December 31, 2011: 2,925,000

The Aggregate Intrinsic Value in the preceding table represents total intrinsic value (of options in the money), which is the difference between the Company's closing stock price on the last trading day of 2011 and the exercise price times the number of shares that would have been received by the option holders had the option holders exercised their options on December 31, 2011.

Table of Contents**4. Stock Option Plans (Continued)****Summary of Employee Options Outstanding at December 31, 2011**

Year Granted	Number of Shares	Expiration Date	Exercise Prices (\$)
Granted 2005	50,000	July 25, 2015	.15
Granted 2005	250,000	December 20, 2015	.20
Granted 2005	50,000	December 20, 2015	.15

The weighted-average remaining contractual life of the outstanding stock options at December 31, 2011, and 2010 was 4 years and 5 years, respectively. In connection with a separation agreement in September 2012, the president agreed that the options for 250,000 shares and the options for 50,000 shares would expire within 90 days of the termination date, which was February 28, 2013. The options were not exercised and have expired.

Nonqualified Stock Options

In July 2005, the Company issued an option to its legal counsel to acquire 25,000 shares of the Company's common stock at a price of \$.15 per share. The option expires in July 2015 and was fully vested when issued.

A summary of non-employee option activity follows:

	Number of Shares	Range of Per Share Option Price (\$)	Weighted Average Exercise Price (\$)	Aggregate Option Price (\$)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (\$)
Non-Employee Options Outstanding					
Outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2009	25,000	.15	.15	3,750	
Issued or cancelled during 2010					
Outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2010	25,000	.15	.15	3,750	
Issued or cancelled during 2011					
Outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2011	25,000	.15	.15	3,750	

The Company did not issue any stock options or share-based payments to non-employees in 2011 or in 2010.

The Aggregate Intrinsic Value in the preceding table represents total intrinsic value (of options in the money), which is the difference between the Company's closing stock price on the last trading day of 2011 and the exercise price times the number of shares that would have been received by the option holders had the option holders exercised their options on December 31, 2011.

Table of Contents**4. Stock Option Plans (Continued)**

The following table summarizes information about non-employee stock options:

Summary of Non-Employee Options Outstanding at December 31, 2011

Year Granted	Number of Shares	Expiration Date	Exercise Prices (\$)
Granted 2005	25,000	July 18, 2015	.15

5. Income taxes

The Company is organized under the laws of Bermuda. Bermuda currently imposes no taxes on corporate income or capital gains outside of Bermuda. The Company's subsidiary is a U.S. corporation and is subject to U.S. income tax and files income tax returns in the U.S. and the State of Florida. For 2011 and 2010, the subsidiary has net taxable losses. The subsidiary will have approximately \$9,441,000 in net operating losses to carry forward to 2012. The remaining net operating loss carry forwards expire in periods from 2012 through 2031 as follows: \$1,281,000 in 2012, \$757,000 in 2018, \$622,000 in 2019, \$749,000 in 2020, \$1,884,000 in 2021, \$1,693,000 in 2022, \$132,000 in 2023, \$57,000 in 2024, \$1,434,000 in 2026, \$195,000 in 2027, \$112,000 in 2028, \$480,000 in 2029, \$10,000 in 2030, and \$95,000 in 2031. For financial reporting purposes, a valuation allowance has been recognized to offset the deferred tax assets relating to those carry forwards.

Significant components of the Company's deferred tax assets were as follows:

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
Net operating losses	\$ 3,304,000	\$ 3,605,000
Accruals to related parties	382,000	265,000
Impairment of unproved properties	990,000	
Total deferred tax assets	4,677,000	3,870,000
Valuation allowance	(4,677,000)	(3,870,000)
Net deferred tax assets	\$	\$

Components of the income tax provision for the years ended December 31, are as follows:

	2011	2010
Provision for income taxes		
Current provision (benefit)	\$	\$ (3,000)

Deferred asset valuation allowance (reversal)	3,000
---	-------

Net income tax provision (benefit)	\$	\$
------------------------------------	----	----

The Company files income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction, and in various state jurisdictions. The Company's income tax returns for the past three years are subject to examination by tax authorities, except possibly in future years should it utilize any net operating loss originating prior to 2007. The Company has not filed income tax returns since 2009 and is currently preparing those returns to be filed in 2014. The Company does not anticipate that its noncompliance is significant to its future operations.

The Company has reviewed and evaluated the relevant technical merits of each of its tax positions in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for accounting for uncertainty in income taxes, and determined that there are no uncertain tax positions that would have a material impact on the financial statements of the Company.

Table of Contents

6. Related party transactions

See Note 3 also.

Services

Through May 2009, the Company paid a monthly retainer to the law firm of Angerer & Angerer which had been litigation counsel to the Company for more than twenty-five years and also served the Company in that capacity as well as others including general counsel services, management services, public relations, shareholder relations and representing the Company before state and federal agencies for permitting. The principals of the law firm included two individuals who are collectively shareholders, officers and a director of the Company. No amounts were paid or accrued in 2011 or 2010. The Company owes \$150,000 to Angerer & Angerer at December 31, 2011.

From June 2009 until his resignation on January 14, 2010, the Company retained Robert J. Angerer, Sr. as legal counsel. Mr. Angerer had been litigation counsel to the Company for more than twenty-five years before his resignation. As counsel for the Company he served the Company as litigation counsel, but also provided the Company with general counsel services and management services and represented the Company before state and federal agencies for permitting. Mr. Angerer, Sr. is also a shareholder and a director of the Company. No amounts were paid or accrued in 2011 or 2010.

Also since June 2009, the Company has retained Robert J. Angerer, Jr., a partner in Angerer & Angerer, who serves as the Company's corporate secretary and handles management services, public relations, shareholder relations and management of the Company's former website. No amounts were paid or accrued in 2011 or 2010.

The Company expensed \$7,000 and \$31,719 for legal fees by the law firm of Igler & Dougherty, P.A., during 2011 and 2010, respectively. The Company owed Igler & Dougherty, P.A. \$14,595 and \$7,559 at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Mr. Herbert D. Haughton, a shareholder of Igler & Dougherty, P.A., was elected a director of Coastal Caribbean and of Coastal Petroleum in December 2005. These legal fees were paid subsequent to December 31, 2011.

The Company has been accruing compensation and related benefits to its president and CEO. During 2011, the Company accrued compensation and retirement benefits of \$125,000 and \$18,750, respectively. At December 31, 2011, the Company owes compensation and related benefits to its president and CEO of \$562,500 and \$153,672, respectively.

The Company has also been accruing directors' fees. During 2011, the Company accrued \$25,000 of fees to directors. At December 31, 2011, the Company owes \$268,750 in directors' fees.

7. Concentrations of credit risk

All demand and certificate of deposits are held by commercial banks. The Company has no policy requiring collateral or other security to support its deposits, although all demand and certificate of deposits with banks are federally insured under FDIC protection. Demand deposit bank balances totaled \$7,384 and \$21,131 at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Certificate of deposit balances were \$86,318 and \$86,024 at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Table of Contents

8. Notes Payable

During August 2009, the Company borrowed \$25,000 from a consultant and also agreed to pay the consultant a fee of \$25,000 to identify investors to consummate an agreement and fund exploration. The funds from the loan were used to pay the Company's annual corporate fee to Bermuda as well as certain other operational expenses. The loan was non-interest bearing and has no set repayment terms. No investor agreements have been consummated by the consultant and management determined the loan would not have to be repaid under the agreement. In 2011, the Company wrote off the \$25,000 as an offset to its capitalized development costs, which were also written off in 2011.

9. Commitments, contingencies and subsequent events

See Note 3 regarding subsequent stock transactions.

Subsequent to December 31, 2011, the Company's property leases expired due to the expiration of the time remaining under some of the leases, the Company being unable to establish quantifiable production on the leases, and in the case of less prospective leases, for nonpayment of lease rental payments.

The Company is delinquent in filing its periodic reports required under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 due to lack of funding. The Company is currently preparing its delinquent reports and plans to file all delinquent reports in 2014. The previous inaction to bring the Company's filings current may result in de-registration of the Company with the Securities Exchange Commission (SEC). Loss of this status may limit the Company's ability to access capital markets. The Company is working to file the delinquent reports, but there is no assurance that the Company will be able to file its delinquent reports.

In September 2012, the Company entered into a separation agreement and release with Phillip Ware, formerly the CEO and President of the Company, in connection with the September 2012 agreement with Robert J. Angerer, Sr. as disclosed in Note 3. Mr. Ware agreed that his existing options for 450,000 shares of common stock (Note 4) would expire within 90 days of the termination date, which was February 28, 2013. The options were not exercised and have expired. The Company agreed to pay Mr. Ware \$200,000 and issued him an option to purchase 1,000,000 shares of restricted and unregistered shares of common stock for \$.03 per share. This option expires on December 31, 2017. The Company has not paid Mr. Ware or issued the stock option as of May 30, 2014. Mr. Ware resigned from the Company effective February 28, 2013.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

a. Management's evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures

As required by Rule 13a-15(b) under the Exchange Act, management carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, management believes that, as of December 31, 2011, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective to provide reasonable

assurance that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is recorded, accumulated, processed, summarized, reported, and communicated on a timely basis within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms.

Table of Contents

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures (Cont.d)

b. Management's annual report on internal control over financial reporting.

1. Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Our internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance that assets are safeguarded against loss from unauthorized use or disposition, transactions are executed in accordance with appropriate management authorization and accounting records are reliable for the preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

2. Internal control over financial reporting is a process tailored to the Company's unique circumstances, designed under the supervision of the Company's Chief Executive and Principal Financial Officer, and effected by the Company's Board of Directors, its consultants and other personnel, taking into account the small size of the Company, small number of employees and others involved in the Company's finances. The process uses a system of checks and balances to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and includes those policies and procedures that:

pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the Company's assets and the review of those transactions and dispositions by the Company's compliance officer;

provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that the Company's receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management or the Company's Board of Directors; and

provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial statements.

The Company's management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting and based upon that assessment, management concluded that the Company's

Table of Contents

internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2011. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in *Internal Control – Integrated Framework*.

3. This annual report does not include an attestation report of the Company's registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report is not subject to attestation by the Company's registered public accounting firm in accordance with the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act.

c. Changes in internal controls.

The Company made no changes in its internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Company's fourth fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or which is reasonably likely to materially affect the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents**PART III****Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Company****Directors**

As of December 31, 2011, the board of directors included three members, two of whom, Mr. Ware and Mr. Angerer, also serve as executive officers. One class currently has no directors.

Director		Other Offices Held	
Name	Since	With the Company	Age and Business Experience For the Past Five Years
Director With a One Year Term Expiring at the 2011 Annual Meeting			
Herbert D. Haughton*	2005	None	Mr. Haughton, age 70, is a banking, corporate and securities lawyer who retired from as a shareholder in the Tallahassee, Florida law firm of Igler & Dougherty, PA, in 2012, where he had practiced law since 1994, following his admission to the Florida Bar. Prior to entering the practice of law, Mr. Haughton spent over 30 years in the banking industry serving as president and chief executive officer of three different community banks in Florida from 1977 to 1991. He is a graduate of Cleary University and Florida State University College of Law. Mr. Haughton's financial and business background as well as his legal education and background and his past leadership to the Company have led the directors to conclude that he is valuable to the Company and should serve as a director of the Company.
Director With a Two Year Term Expiring at the 2012 Annual Meeting:			
Phillip W. Ware	1985	President, Chief Executive Officer and Principal Accounting Officer	Mr. Ware, age 62, resigned effective February 28, 2013, was employed by Coastal Petroleum Company since 1976. He served as President of Coastal Petroleum since April 1985. Mr. Ware is a 1975 graduate of the University of Florida and is a professional geologist registered with the State of Florida. Mr. Ware's education and experience in geology and petroleum geology in particular as well as his many years with the Company has led the Board to conclude that he is valuable to the Company and should serve as a director of the Company.

Table of Contents

	Director	Other Offices Held	
Name	Since	With the Company	Age and Business Experience For the Past Five Years
Director With a Three Year Term Expiring at the 2013 Annual Meeting:			
Robert J. Angerer, Sr.*	2003	Chairman of the Board	Mr. Angerer, age 65, is a partner in Oil For America, an oil exploration business formed in 2002, with operations primarily in North Dakota and Montana. He is a lawyer and an engineer and has been a member of the Florida Bar since 1974. He was a partner in the Tallahassee law firm of Angerer & Angerer from 1994 until 2009 and is again a partner in Angerer & Angerer as of 2014. He is a graduate of the University of Michigan and of Florida State University College of Law. He has served as a director of Coastal Petroleum since 2003. Mr. Angerer's legal and engineering education and background as well as his experience in the oil industry and service to the Company in multiple roles, has led the Board to conclude that he is valuable to the Company and should serve as a director of the Company.

* Members of the Board of Directors Compensation Committee.

Executive Officers

Phillip W. Ware has been President of Coastal Petroleum and Vice President of Coastal Caribbean for many years and became President of Coastal Caribbean effective March 1, 2003. Effective August 18, 2005, Mr. Ware was appointed Principal Accounting Officer. Mr. Ware resigned from all positions within the Company and Coastal Petroleum as of February 28, 2013.

Robert J. Angerer, Sr., became a director of Coastal Caribbean on January 30, 2003, and Vice President of Coastal Caribbean on February 27, 2003, and served in that position until resigning on January 14, 2010.

Officers of Coastal Caribbean are elected annually by the board and report directly to it.

Only Mr. Ware received direct compensation for his services as an officer of Coastal Caribbean or Coastal Petroleum. During 2011, \$125,000 of Mr. Ware's compensation for his services was accrued. Mr. Ware devoted 100% of his professional time to the business and affairs of Coastal Caribbean and Coastal Petroleum.

We are not aware of any arrangements or understandings between any of the individuals named above and any other person by which any of the individuals named above was selected as a director and/or executive officer. We are not aware of any family relationship among the officers and directors of Coastal Caribbean or its subsidiary except for the father and son relationship between Mr. Angerer, Sr. and Robert J. Angerer, Jr., who serves as the Company's Secretary. Neither Robert J. Angerer, Sr. nor Robert J. Angerer, Jr. receive a salary or other compensation by the Company for their service as director and officer, respectively.

Table of Contents

Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires the Company's executive officers, directors and persons who beneficially own more than 10% of the Company's Common Stock to file initial reports of beneficial ownership and reports of changes in beneficial ownership with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC). Such persons are required by the SEC regulations to furnish the Company with copies of all Section 16(a) forms filed by such persons. Based solely on its copies of forms received by it, or written representations from certain reporting persons that no Form 5's were required for those persons, the Company believes that during the just completed fiscal year, its executive officers, directors, and greater than 10% beneficial owners complied with all applicable filing requirements.

Code of Ethics

The Company has adopted a Code of Ethics applicable to principle executive and financial officers. The Code of Ethics is available upon request sent to the Company c/o Robert J. Angerer, Jr., Secretary, Post Office Box 10468, Tallahassee, Florida 32302.

Item 11. Executive Compensation **Compensation Discussion and Analysis**

Compensation Philosophy

The Company's executive compensation program reflects the Company's philosophy that executive's compensation should be structured so as to closely align executives' interests with the interests of our shareholders. The primary objectives of the Company in determining compensation are to emphasize operating performance criteria that enhance shareholder value and to establish and maintain a competitive executive compensation program that enables the Company to retain and motivate a highly qualified executive who will contribute to the long-term success of the Company. When used in this Compensation Discussion and Analysis section, the term "named executive officer" means the person listed in the Summary Compensation Table.

Consistent with this philosophy, we seek to provide compensation for the named executive officer that is similar to comparable companies in the oil and gas industry. In making these determinations, we annually review each compensation component and compare it to market reference points. The application of our compensation philosophy to our named executive officer is described below in this Compensation Discussion and Analysis section.

Executive Compensation Program Design

The objective of the Company and the Compensation Committee is to attract, retain and motivate the most highly qualified executive officer who will contribute to the Company's goals by consistently delivering exceptional performance while working within the annual budget of a development stage Company. In order to accomplish the Company's goals, we believe compensation paid to the executive officer should be designed around a combination of a competitive based salary combined with performance-based pay including equity-based or other incentives which thereby align the interest of our executive officer with those of the Company's shareholders.

The compensation package of the indicated named executive officer during the year ended December 31, 2011, consisted almost entirely of base salary.

At the request of the Compensation Committee, our compensation program is reviewed on an annual basis to ensure it meets the objectives of our compensation program and is benchmarked with the

Table of Contents

market. Prior compensation from the Company, such as gains from previously awarded stock options, is not generally taken into account in setting other elements of compensation, such as base pay and long-term incentive awards. We believe that our executive officer should be fairly compensated each year relative to market pay levels of our peer groups and internal equity within the Company.

Compensation Program Benchmarking

The Compensation Committee endeavors to conduct its review on an annual basis for the named executive officer to ensure that our compensation program works as designed and intended. This review by the Compensation Committee also facilitates discussion among the members of the Compensation Committee regarding our compensation program. The Compensation Committee has not retained the services of a compensation consultant during 2010 or 2011.

Compensation Program Overview

Following is an overview of the principal components of our compensation program:

How Amounts for Compensation Components are Determined

In addition to the information provided above, following are other details on specific compensation components for 2011:

2011 Base Salary. Base salary level of the named executive officer is determined based on a combination of factors, including our compensation philosophy, market compensation data, competition for key executive talent, the named executive officer's experience, leadership, achievement of specified business objectives and contribution to the Company's success, the Company's overall annual budget for merit increases and the named executive officer's individual performance. In the Compensation Committee's first meeting of each year, the Compensation Committee conducts an annual review of the base salary of our named executive officer by taking into account these factors.

The base salary of the named executive officer did not increase during 2009 based upon the factors set out above. The Compensation Committee focused on the Company's annual budget and the beginning of new operations in an effort to establish production and revenue for the Company.

2011 Long-Term Incentives. The Company has in the past provided long-term incentives. Primarily this has been done through the issuance of stock options; however there is no set program or requirements for issuance of the stock options. Instead, stock options may be issued at the discretion of the Compensation Committee in conjunction with the Board of Directors.

In addition to our philosophy, internal equity, current share price, and individual performance during the prior year are considered. We do not target long-term incentive opportunities to be a particular percentage of total compensation. The Compensation Committee did not grant any stock options in 2011 to any individuals (including our Chief Executive Officer).

Another long-term incentive used in the oil and gas industry is the granting of overriding interest in wells to be drilled. On June 22, 2005, the Company approved of its subsidiary granting such an incentive to Mr. Ware and that incentive was granted as a 1% overriding interest in any well that he recommends that is drilled by the Company or its subsidiary Coastal Petroleum. No payments under this incentive plan were earned or paid during 2011.

Retirement and Other Benefits

We currently do not offer retirement programs within the Company that are intended to supplement the employee's personal savings and social security. However, the Company contributes to the SEP-IRA of the named executive employee. The Company believes that this contribution assists the Company in maintaining a competitive position in terms of retaining our named executive employee.

Table of Contents

Other Benefits

The Company does not provide the named executive officer with perquisites or other personal benefits. The Company does provide healthcare insurance for its named executive officer, which the Company believes assists in maintaining a competitive position in terms of retaining him.

Board Process and Independent Review of Compensation Program

The Compensation Committee is responsible for determining the compensation of our directors and our Chief Executive Officer. In addition, the Compensation Committee is authorized to exercise all the powers granted to it in its charter. The Compensation Committee charter provides that the Compensation Committee will have access to the necessary corporate resources to carry out its charter authority.

For our Chief Executive Officer, the Compensation Committee evaluates and assesses our Chief Executive Officer's performance related to leadership, financial and operating results, board relations, and other material considerations. These considerations as well as market information concerning compensation for similar positions are then incorporated into the Compensation Committee's compensation adjustment decisions. Market information is obtained through various sources including reference to materials published by the American Association of Petroleum Geologists (AAPG) annually in their *AAPG Explorer*. These materials review compensation being paid to geologists holding various degrees and of varying years of experience in oil and gas companies across the country.

The following table sets forth the compensation of the President of the Company, Mr. Ware, who served as our Chief Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer for the three years ending with 2011. We have determined that Mr. Ware is our only named executive officer pursuant to the applicable rules of the SEC (the "named executive officer"). No other company employee received \$100,000 or more in total compensation. Mr. Ware's base salary was \$125,000.

Table of Contents**SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE**

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)	Option Awards (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Compensation (\$)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
Phillip W. Ware,	2011	125,000 ⁽⁴⁾						18,750	143,750
Chief Executive Officer,	2010	125,000 ⁽⁵⁾						18,750	143,750
President, Chief Financial Officer, Director	2009	125,000 ⁽⁶⁾						18,750	143,750

(1) Annual Cash Bonus Award - Annual incentive awards, which were paid during the year or immediately following the year indicated.

(2) Other Annual Compensation - All additional forms of cash and non-cash compensation paid, awarded or earned, including automobile allowances, 401(k) Plan matching contributions, and club membership costs.

(3) Payment to SEP-IRA pension plan (2009, 2010 and 2011 amounts have been deferred).

(4) Payment of \$125,000 was accrued and not paid in 2011.

(5) Payment of \$114,583 was accrued and not paid in 2010.

(6) Payment of \$125,000 was accrued and not paid in 2009.

The Company does not have a contract with its named executive officer nor does it have a change of control employment agreement which would be effective upon change of control of the Company or in the event of termination of employment.

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to outstanding equity awards at December 31, 2011, held by the named executive officer.

(1) In connection with a severance agreement in September 2012, the employee agreed the options would expire within 90 days of the termination date, which was February 28, 2013. The options were not exercised and have expired as of the date of this report.

Table of Contents

Option Exercises

None.

Compensation of Directors

The Compensation Committee of our Board sets the compensation of our directors. In determining the appropriate level of compensation for our directors, the Compensation Committee considers the commitment required from our directors in performing their duties on behalf of the Company, as well as comparative information the committee obtains from various sources. Set forth below is a description of the compensation of our directors.

Annual Retainers and Other Fees and Expenses.

We pay our directors an annual retainer of \$25,000. There is currently no provision for paying directors additional fees based upon attending meetings, service on a committee, or serving as chair of a committee. We do not regularly compensate directors for their service through stock options, although in the past the Company has issued stock options to Directors. We do reimburse directors for travel, lodging and related expenses they may incur in attending shareholder, Board and committee meetings.

We did not pay our directors in 2011 and accrued \$25,000 owed to them at December 31, 2011. Director's fees of \$37,500 were also accrued and not paid in 2010. Mr. Angerer and Mr. Ware discontinued receiving fees after the first quarter of 2009. The following table shows the compensation of the Company's directors for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Table of Contents**DIRECTOR COMPENSATION**

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)	Option Awards (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
					Earnings		
Phillip W. Ware							
Robert J. Angerer, Sr.							
Herbert D. Haughton	25,000						25,000

(1) All fees were accrued but not paid. Mr. Ware and Mr. Angerer discontinued receiving fees after the first quarter of 2009.

Table of Contents**Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation**

The Compensation Committee serves with regard to compensation and personnel policies, programs and plans, including management development and succession, and to approve employee compensation and benefit programs. The Compensation Committee's charter was adopted on December 20, 2005. A copy of the Compensation Committee Charter may be obtained by a written request addressed to Mr. Robert J. Angerer, Jr., Secretary, P.O. Box 10468, Tallahassee, Florida 32302. Members of the Compensation Committee are: Herbert D. Haughton and Robert J. Angerer, Sr.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

To the Shareholders of

Coastal Caribbean Oils & Minerals, Ltd.:

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed with management of the Company the Compensation Discussion and Analysis included in this annual report on Form 10-K. Based on such review and discussion, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this annual report on Form 10-K.

May 30, 2014

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

Herbert D. Haughton, Chair

Robert J. Angerer, Sr.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management
Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners

As of December 31, 2011, Mr. Robert J. Angerer, Sr. owned 30,000,974 shares, or 39.93% of our common stock, as reported in a Schedule 13-D filed by Mr. Angerer, dated November 11, 2011, and his son, Mr. Robert J. Angerer, Jr., owned 816,914 shares, or 1.15% of our common stock. Mr. Angerer, Sr. disclaims beneficial ownership of any shares owned by his son.

As of May 30, 2014, the only person or apparent groups of persons known by management to own beneficially five percent or more of the Company's outstanding shares is as follows:

Person	Shares Owned	Percentage of Shares Outstanding
Robert J. Angerer, Sr.	49,399,878	51.72%
P.O. Box 10468		

Table of Contents**Security Ownership of Management**

The following table sets forth information as to the number of shares of the Company's common stock owned beneficially at May 30, 2014, by each director of the Company and by all directors and executive officers as a group:

Name of Individual or Group	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership		Percent of Class
	Shares Held Directly or Indirectly	Options	
Phillip W. Ware	454,121	300,000	0.79%
Robert J. Angerer, Sr.	49,399,878	0	51.72%
Herbert D. Haughton	50,000	50,000	0.05%
All directors as a group	49,903,999	350,000	52.43%

EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION

The following table provides information about the Company's common stock that may be issued upon the exercise of options and rights under the Company's 1995 Stock Option Plan and the Company's 2005 Employee's Incentive Stock Option Plan as of December 31, 2011

Plan Category	Number of Securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a) (#)	Weighted average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (b) (\$)	Number of securities remaining available for issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) (c) (#) ⁽³⁾
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders (1)	125,000	\$0.15	875,000
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders (2)	250,000	\$0.20	2,050,000
Total:	375,000	\$0.15 0.20	2,925,000

(1) 1995 Stock Option Plan

(2) 2005 Employee's Incentive Stock Option Plan

(3)

Options to acquire 100,000 shares of the Company's common stock under the 1995 Stock Option Plan granted to former directors Cannon and Randazzo expired one month after their resignation and were returned to the options available to be issued.

The Company's 1995 Stock Option Plan was adopted by the Board of Directors of the Company in March 1995. 1,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock were authorized for issuance under the terms of the plan. Options under the plan may be granted only to directors, officers, key employees of, and consultants and consulting firms to, (i) the Company, (ii) subsidiary corporations of the Company from time to time and any business entity in which the Company from time to time has a substantial interest, who, in the sole opinion of the Committee of the Board administering the Plan, are responsible for the

Table of Contents

management and/or growth of all or part of the business of the Company. The exercise price of each option to be granted under the plan shall not be less than the fair market value of the stock subject to the option on the date of grant of the option.

The Company's 2005 Employees' Stock Option and Limited Rights Plan ("Employees' Plan") was adopted by the Board on September 27, 2005, for the benefit of officers and other key employees of Coastal and Coastal Caribbean. The Plan was approved by the shareholders at the Annual General Meeting held on December 9, 2005. The Employees' Plan provides for 2,300,000 shares of Coastal common stock to be reserved for future issuance pursuant to the exercise of stock options. This represents 5% of the total number of shares of the Company's outstanding common stock. Employees of Coastal or Coastal Petroleum may be granted options to purchase shares of common stock, as determined by the Board in its sole discretion.

Options granted under the Program will be incentive stock options within the meaning of section 422A of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, which are designed to result in beneficial tax treatment to the employee but no tax deduction to Coastal. The per share exercise price at which the shares of common stock may be purchased upon exercise of a granted option will be equal to or greater than the Fair Market Value of a share of common stock as of the date of grant. Fair Market Value of a share of common stock is defined in the Employees' Plan. At no time will Coastal have total cumulative stock options outstanding to acquire more than 15% of the outstanding common stock of Coastal under all of its plans.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

Angerer & Angerer

The law firm of Angerer & Angerer, Tallahassee, Florida, has been litigation counsel to the Company for more than twenty-five years and served the Company in that capacity, as well as others including general counsel services, management services, public relations, shareholder relations and representing the Company before state and federal agencies for permitting, until May 2009. Mr. Robert J. Angerer, Sr., a partner of the firm, was elected a director of Coastal Caribbean and of Coastal Petroleum on January 30, 2003, and a Vice President of Coastal Caribbean and Coastal Petroleum on February 28, 2003. No amounts were billed or paid in 2011 or 2010.

Robert J. Angerer, Sr.

On July 15, 2005 Coastal Petroleum acquired a lease and the rights to drill two 5,100 foot wells to test a Mississippian Lodgepole reef in Valley County, in northeast Montana. Coastal Petroleum acquired these rights for \$50,000 from Oil For America, a partnership in which Robert J. Angerer, Sr. is a partner. Included in the agreement is the right to drill additional prospects in the Valley County area.

Coastal Petroleum also acquired leases from Oil For America to the deeper rights in approximately 21,688 net acres in and near Slope County, North Dakota for an additional \$50,000. The Company has the option to drill the remaining Lodgepole reef prospects on these leases.

The leases were acquired on terms and under circumstances that are substantially the same or at least as favorable as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with or involving other non-affiliated companies.

Igler & Dougherty, PA

The law firm of Igler & Dougherty, PA, Tallahassee, Florida, served as SEC counsel to the Company for almost eight years, when it was succeeded by Adams and Reese LLP in 2012, which continued those services to the Company. Mr. Herbert D. Haughton, a shareholder of Igler & Dougherty until 2012, was elected a director of Coastal Caribbean and of Coastal Petroleum in December 2005. During 2011 and 2010, Igler & Dougherty billed the Company \$7,000 and \$31,719, respectively for legal fees.

Table of Contents**Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services**

Averett Warmus Durkee, P.A. audited the Company's financial statements for 2011 and performed the reviews for 2011. Baumann, Raymondo and Company, PA audited the Company's financial statements for 2010 and performed the reviews for 2010. Fees related to services performed by Averett Warmus Durkee, P.A. and Baumann, Raymondo and Company, PA in 2011 and 2010 were as follows:

	2011	2010
Audit Fees (1)	\$ 24,000	\$ 38,360
Audit-Related Fees	-0-	-0-
Tax Fees (2)	-0-	-0-
Total	\$ 24,000	\$ 38,360

- (1) Audit fees represent fees for professional services provided in connection with the audit of our financial statements and review of our quarterly financial statements. The Audit Committee must pre-approve audit related and non-audit services not prohibited by law to be performed by the Company's independent auditors. Since their appointment on December 9, 2005, directors Matthew D. Cannon and Anthony F. Randazzo served as the members of the Audit Committee until their resignation in October of 2008. With those resignations, there are currently not enough independent directors to comprise an Audit Committee, so since that time the entire Board of Directors has carried out the duties that would otherwise be carried out by an Audit Committee. The Audit Committee, or the Board of Directors after October 2008, pre-approved all audit related and non-audit services in 2011 and 2010.

The Board of Directors has reviewed Coastal Caribbean's audited financial statements as of, and for, the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, and met with both management and Coastal Caribbean's independent auditors to discuss those financial statements. Management has represented to the Board of Directors that the financial statements were prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The Board of Directors has received from, and discussed with Averett Warmus Durkee, P.A. and Skoda, Minotti Co., Certified Public Accountants (formerly Baumann, Raymondo & Company, PA), the written disclosure and the letter required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the independent accountant's communications with the audit committee concerning independence. These items relate to that firm's independence from Coastal Caribbean. The Board of Directors has also discussed with Averett Warmus Durkee, P.A. and Skoda, Minotti Co., Certified Public Accountants any matters required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61 (*Communication with Audit Committees*).

Based on the reviews and discussions referred to above, the Board approved the inclusion of Coastal Caribbean's audited financial statements in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, and filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

- (2) Tax fees principally include tax advice, tax planning and tax return preparation.

Table of Contents

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules
Financial Statements

The financial statements listed below and included under Item 8 above are filed as part of this report.

	Page
<u>Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firms</u>	15
<u>Consolidated balance sheets at December 31, 2011 and 2010</u>	17
<u>Consolidated statements of operations for each of the two years in the period ended December 31, 2011 and for the period from January 31, 1953 (inception) to December 31, 2011.</u>	18
<u>Consolidated statements of cash flows for each of the two years in the period ended December 31, 2011 and for the period from January 31, 1953 (inception) to December 31, 2011.</u>	19
<u>Consolidated statement of common stock and capital in excess of par value for the period from January 31, 1953 (inception) to December 31, 2011</u>	20
<u>Notes to consolidated financial statements.</u>	21-30
<u>Financial Statement Schedules</u>	

All schedules have been omitted since the required information is not present or not present in amounts sufficient to require submission of the schedule, or because the information required is included in the consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto.

Table of Contents

Exhibits

The following exhibits are filed as part of this report:

10. Material contracts.
- (g) Stock Option Plan adopted March 7, 1995 filed as Exhibit 4A to form S-8 dated July 28, 1995 (File Number 001-04668) is incorporated herein by reference.
- (h) Memorandum of Settlement dated June 1, 2005 between Coastal Petroleum Company, et al. and the State of Florida filed as Exhibit 10(h) to form 10K-A dated July 27, 2005 (File Number 001-04668) is incorporated herein by reference.
- (i) Incentive Stock Option Plan adopted September 30, 2005 and approved by the shareholders on December 9, 2005 filed as Appendix A to form DEF 14A dated November 3, 2005 (File Number 001-04668) is incorporated herein by reference.
- (j) Code of Ethics applicable to principle executive and financial officers adopted December 20, 2005 filed as Exhibit 10(j) to form 10K dated March 8, 2006 (File Number 001-04668) is incorporated herein by reference.
- (k) Exploration Agreement between Coastal Petroleum Company and Victory Energy Corporation filed as Exhibit 10(k) to Form 8-K dated April 12, 2007 (File Number 001-04668) is incorporated by reference.
- (l) Agreement with Robert J. Angerer, Sr. filed as Exhibit 10(l) to Form 8-K dated January 20, 2010 (File Number 001-04668) is incorporated by reference.
- (m) Agreement with Robert J. Angerer, Sr. dated October 17, 2011, filed as Exhibit 10(m) to Form 8-K dated October 24, 2011 (File Number 001-04668) is incorporated by reference.
- (n) Agreement with Robert J. Angerer, Sr. dated September 12, 2012, filed herewith.
- (o) Separation Agreement with Phillip W. Ware, dated September 17, 2012, filed herewith.
21. Subsidiaries of the registrant.

The Company has one subsidiary, Coastal Petroleum Company, a Florida corporation which is 100 % owned.

- 23. Consent of experts and counsel.
- 23.1 Consent of Averett, Warmus, Durkee, P.A.
- 23.2 Consent of Skoda, Minotti Co., Certified Public Accountants (formerly Baumann, Raymondo & Company, PA)
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer Required by Rule 13a-14(a)-15d-14(a) under the Exchange Act
- 32.1 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 executed by Phillip W. Ware.

Table of Contents

101.INS XBRL Instance Document

101.SCH XBRL Schema Document

101.CAL XBRL Calculation Linkbase Document

101.DEF XBRL Definition Linkbase Document

101.LAB XBRL Label Linkbase Document

101.PRE XBRL Presentation Linkbase Document

48

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

**COASTAL CARIBBEAN OILS &
MINERALS, LTD.**

(Registrant)

By */s/ Robert J. Angerer, Sr.*
Robert J Angerer, Sr., Chief Executive
Officer, President and Principal Financial
Officer

Dated: June 2, 2014

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the date indicated.

By */s/ Robert J. Angerer, Sr.*
Robert J Angerer, Sr.
Director, Chief Executive Officer,

President and Principal Financial Officer

Dated: June 2, 2014

By */s/ Robert J. Angerer, Sr.*
Robert J. Angerer, Sr.
Director

Dated: June 2, 2014

By */s/ Herbert D. Haughton*
Herbert D. Haughton
Director

Dated: May 30, 2014

Table of Contents

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Exhibit No.

10(n)	Agreement with Robert J. Angerer, Sr., dated September 12, 2012.
10(o)	Separation Agreement with Phillip W. Ware, dated September 17, 2012.
23.1	Consent of Averett Warmus Durkee, P.A.
23.2	Consent of Skoda, Minotti Co., Certified Public Accountants (formerly Baumann, Raymondo & Company, PA)
31.1	Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14 by Robert J Angerer, Sr.
32.1	Certification pursuant to Section 906 by Robert J Angerer, Sr.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Schema Document
101.CAL	XBRL Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF	XBRL Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE	XBRL Presentation Linkbase Document