

US BANCORP \DE\  
Form 10-Q  
November 05, 2012

3

**Form 10-Q/September 30, 2012**

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

**Form 10-Q**

þ **QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE**  
**SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2012**

**OR**

.. **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE**  
**SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the transition period from (not applicable)**

**Commission file number 1-6880**

**U.S. BANCORP**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**Delaware**  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

**41-0255900**  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

**800 Nicollet Mall**

**Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402**

(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)

Edgar Filing: US BANCORP \DE\ - Form 10-Q

651-466-3000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

(not applicable)

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months, and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

YES  NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

YES  NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

YES  NO

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class	Outstanding as of October 31, 2012
Common Stock, \$.01 Par Value	1,880,370,592 shares

**Table of Contents and Form 10-Q Cross Reference Index****Part I Financial Information**1) Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (Item 2)

<u>a) Overview</u>	3
<u>b) Statement of Income Analysis</u>	4
<u>c) Balance Sheet Analysis</u>	6
<u>d) Non-GAAP Financial Measures</u>	34
<u>e) Critical Accounting Policies</u>	35
<u>f) Controls and Procedures (Item 4)</u>	35

2) Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk/Corporate Risk Profile (Item 3)

a) Overview	10
b) Credit Risk Management	10
c) Residual Value Risk Management	22
d) Operational Risk Management	22
e) Interest Rate Risk Management	24
f) Market Risk Management	25
g) Liquidity Risk Management	26
h) Capital Management	27

3) Line of Business Financial Review

28

4) Financial Statements (Item 1)

36

**Part II Other Information**1) Risk Factors (Item 1A)

78

2) Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds (Item 2)

78

3) Exhibits (Item 6)

78

4) Signature

79

5) Exhibits

80

**Safe Harbor Statement under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.**

This quarterly report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements about U.S. Bancorp. Statements that are not historical or current facts, including statements about beliefs and expectations, are forward-looking statements and are based on the information available to, and assumptions and estimates made by, management as of the date made. These forward-looking statements cover, among other things, anticipated future revenue and expenses and the future plans and prospects of U.S. Bancorp. Forward-looking statements involve inherent risks and uncertainties, and important factors could cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated. Global and domestic economies could fail to recover from the recent economic downturn or could experience another severe contraction, which could adversely affect U.S. Bancorp's revenues and the values of its assets and liabilities. Global financial markets could experience a recurrence of significant turbulence, which could reduce the availability of funding to certain financial institutions and lead to a tightening of credit, a reduction of business activity, and increased market volatility. Continued stress in the commercial real estate markets, as well as a delay or failure of recovery in the residential real estate markets, could cause additional credit losses and deterioration in asset values. In addition, U.S. Bancorp's business and financial performance is likely to be negatively impacted by effects of recently enacted and future legislation and regulation. U.S. Bancorp's results could also be adversely affected by continued deterioration in general business and economic conditions; changes in interest rates; deterioration in the credit quality of its loan portfolios or in the value of the collateral securing those loans; deterioration in the value of securities held in its investment securities portfolio; legal and regulatory developments; increased competition from both banks and non-banks; changes in customer behavior and preferences; effects of mergers and acquisitions and related integration; effects of critical accounting policies and judgments; and management's ability to effectively manage credit risk, residual value risk, market risk, operational risk, interest rate risk, and liquidity risk.

For discussion of these and other risks that may cause actual results to differ from expectations, refer to U.S. Bancorp's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, on file with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the sections entitled "Risk Factors" and "Corporate Risk Profile" contained in Exhibit 13, and all subsequent filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission under Sections 13(a), 13(c), 14 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made, and U.S. Bancorp undertakes no obligation to update them in light of new information or future events.

**Table 1** Selected Financial Data

(Dollars and Shares in Millions, Except Per Share Data)	Three Months Ended			Nine Months Ended		
	September 30,		Percent Change	September 30,		Percent Change
	2012	2011		2012	2011	
<b>Condensed Income Statement</b>						
Net interest income (taxable-equivalent basis) (a)	\$ 2,783	\$ 2,624	6.1%	\$ 8,186	\$ 7,675	6.7%
Noninterest income	2,395	2,180	9.9	7,008	6,351	10.3
Securities gains (losses), net	1	(9)	*	(18)	(22)	18.2
Total net revenue	5,179	4,795	8.0	15,176	14,004	8.4
Noninterest expense	2,609	2,476	5.4	7,770	7,215	7.7
Provision for credit losses	488	519	(6.0)	1,439	1,846	(22.0)
Income before taxes	2,082	1,800	15.7	5,967	4,943	20.7
Taxable-equivalent adjustment	57	58	(1.7)	168	169	(.6)
Applicable income taxes	593	490	21.0	1,684	1,314	28.2
Net income	1,432	1,252	14.4	4,115	3,460	18.9
Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	42	21	*	112	62	80.6
Net income attributable to U.S. Bancorp	\$ 1,474	\$ 1,273	15.8	\$ 4,227	\$ 3,522	20.0
Net income applicable to U.S. Bancorp common shareholders	\$ 1,404	\$ 1,237	13.5	\$ 4,034	\$ 3,407	18.4
<b>Per Common Share</b>						
Earnings per share	\$ .74	\$ .65	13.8%	\$ 2.13	\$ 1.78	19.7%
Diluted earnings per share	.74	.64	15.6	2.12	1.77	19.8
Dividends declared per share	.195	.125	56.0	.585	.375	56.0
Book value per share	18.03	16.01	12.6			
Market value per share	34.30	23.54	45.7			
Average common shares outstanding	1,886	1,915	(1.5)	1,892	1,918	(1.4)
Average diluted common shares outstanding	1,897	1,922	(1.3)	1,901	1,926	(1.3)
<b>Financial Ratios</b>						
Return on average assets	1.70%	1.57%		1.66%	1.50%	
Return on average common equity	16.5	16.1		16.4	15.5	
Net interest margin (taxable-equivalent basis) (a)	3.59	3.65		3.59	3.67	
Efficiency ratio (b)	50.4	51.5		51.1	51.4	
Net charge-offs as a percent of average loans outstanding	.99	1.31		1.02	1.49	
<b>Average Balances</b>						
Loans	\$ 216,928	\$ 202,169	7.3%	\$ 213,731	\$ 199,533	7.1%
Loans held for sale	8,432	3,946	*	7,557	4,382	72.5
Investment securities (c)	72,454	66,252	9.4	72,371	61,907	16.9
Earning assets	308,959	286,269	7.9	304,269	279,305	8.9
Assets	345,653	321,581	7.5	340,807	314,079	8.5
Noninterest-bearing deposits	68,127	58,606	16.2	65,423	50,558	29.4
Deposits	239,281	215,369	11.1	232,978	209,735	11.1
Short-term borrowings	27,843	30,597	(9.0)	28,942	30,597	(5.4)
Long-term debt	27,112	31,609	(14.2)	29,388	31,786	(7.5)
Total U.S. Bancorp shareholders' equity	38,619	33,087	16.7	37,105	31,699	17.1
	September 30,	December 31,				
	2012	2011				
<b>Period End Balances</b>						
Loans	\$ 218,150	\$ 209,835	4.0%			
Investment securities	74,145	70,814	4.7			
Assets	352,253	340,122	3.6			
Deposits	244,232	230,885	5.8			
Long-term debt	26,264	31,953	(17.8)			
Total U.S. Bancorp shareholders' equity	38,661	33,978	13.8			
<b>Asset Quality</b>						
Nonperforming assets	\$ 2,835	\$ 3,774	(24.9)			
Allowance for credit losses	4,771	5,014	(4.8)			
Allowance for credit losses as a percentage of period-end loans	2.19%	2.39%				
<b>Capital Ratios</b>						
Tier 1 capital	10.9%	10.8%				
Total risk-based capital	13.3	13.3				
Leverage	9.2	9.1				
Tangible common equity to tangible assets (d)	7.2	6.6				

Edgar Filing: US BANCORP \DE\ - Form 10-Q

Tangible common equity to risk-weighted assets using Basel I definition (d)	8.8	8.1
Tier 1 common equity to risk-weighted assets using Basel I definition (d)	9.0	8.6
Tier 1 common equity to risk-weighted assets using Basel III proposals published prior to June 2012 (d)		8.2
Tier 1 common equity to risk-weighted assets approximated using proposed rules for the Basel III standardized approach released June 2012 (d)	8.2	

\* *Not meaningful.*

(a) *Presented on a fully taxable-equivalent basis utilizing a tax rate of 35 percent.*

(b) *Computed as noninterest expense divided by the sum of net interest income on a taxable-equivalent basis and noninterest income excluding net securities gains (losses).*

(c) *Excludes unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale investment securities and any premiums or discounts recorded related to the transfer of investment securities at fair value from available-for-sale to held-to-maturity.*

(d) *See Non-GAAP Financial Measures on page 34.*

## Management's Discussion and Analysis

### OVERVIEW

**Earnings Summary** U.S. Bancorp and its subsidiaries (the Company) reported net income attributable to U.S. Bancorp of \$1.5 billion for the third quarter of 2012, or \$.74 per diluted common share, compared with \$1.3 billion, or \$.64 per diluted common share for the third quarter of 2011. Return on average assets and return on average common equity were 1.70 percent and 16.5 percent, respectively, for the third quarter of 2012, compared with 1.57 percent and 16.1 percent, respectively, for the third quarter of 2011. During the third quarter of 2012, the Company recognized a gain on the sale of a credit card portfolio, recorded a charge related to an investment under the equity method of accounting and recorded incremental charge-offs related to a regulatory clarification in the treatment of residential mortgage and other consumer loans to borrowers who have had debt discharged through bankruptcy but continue to make payments on their loans. Taken together, these items had no impact on third quarter 2012 diluted earnings per common share. The provision for credit losses was \$50 million lower than net charge-offs for the third quarter of 2012, compared with \$150 million lower than net charge-offs for the third quarter of 2011.

Total net revenue, on a taxable-equivalent basis, for the third quarter of 2012 was \$384 million (8.0 percent) higher than the third quarter of 2011, reflecting a 6.1 percent increase in net interest income and a 10.4 percent increase in noninterest income. The increase in net interest income over a year ago was the result of higher average earning assets, continued growth in lower cost core deposit funding and the positive impact of lower cost long-term debt. Noninterest income increased over a year ago, primarily due to higher mortgage banking revenue, partially offset by lower debit card revenue as a result of legislative changes.

Noninterest expense in the third quarter of 2012 was \$133 million (5.4 percent) higher than the third quarter of 2011, primarily due to higher compensation expense, employee benefits costs and mortgage servicing review-related professional services costs.

The provision for credit losses for the third quarter of 2012 of \$488 million was \$31 million (6.0 percent) lower than the third quarter of 2011. The third quarter of 2012 provision for credit losses reflected \$54 million in charge-offs related to a regulatory clarification in the treatment of residential mortgage and other consumer loans to borrowers who have had debt discharged through bankruptcy but continue to make payments on their loans.

Net charge-offs in the third quarter of 2012 were \$538 million, compared with \$669 million in the third quarter of 2011. Refer to Corporate Risk Profile for further information on the provision for credit losses, net charge-offs, nonperforming assets and other factors considered by the Company in assessing the credit quality of the loan portfolio and establishing the allowance for credit losses.

The Company reported net income attributable to U.S. Bancorp of \$4.2 billion for the first nine months of 2012, or \$2.12 per diluted common share, compared with \$3.5 billion, or \$1.77 per diluted common share for the first nine months of 2011. Return on average assets and return on average common equity were 1.66 percent and 16.4 percent, respectively, for the first nine months of 2012, compared with 1.50 percent and 15.5 percent, respectively, for the first nine months of 2011. Included in the Company's results for the first nine months of 2012 were the third quarter credit card portfolio sale gain, equity-method investment charge and incremental charge-offs related to consumer loans to borrowers who have had debt discharged through bankruptcy. Included in the Company's results for the first nine months of 2011 was a \$46 million gain related to the acquisition of First Community Bank of New Mexico (FCB) in a transaction with the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). The provision for credit losses was \$190 million lower than net charge-offs for the first nine months of 2012, compared with \$375 million lower than net charge-offs for the first nine months of 2011.

Total net revenue, on a taxable-equivalent basis, for the first nine months of 2012 was \$1.2 billion (8.4 percent) higher than the first nine months of 2011, reflecting a 6.7 percent increase in net interest income and a 10.4 percent increase in noninterest income. The increase in net interest income over a year ago was largely the result of an increase in average earning assets, continued growth in lower cost core deposit funding and lower rates on long-term debt. Noninterest income increased over a year ago, primarily due to higher mortgage banking revenue, merchant processing services revenue, trust and investment management fees, and commercial products revenue, partially offset by lower debit card revenue as a result of legislative changes.

Noninterest expense in the first nine months of 2012 was \$555 million (7.7 percent) higher than the first nine months of 2011, primarily due to higher compensation expense, employee benefits costs, mortgage servicing review-related professional services costs, and other expense, including higher regulatory and insurance-related costs and an accrual recorded by





the Company in the first nine months of 2012 related to its portion of obligations associated with Visa Inc. litigation matters ( Visa accrual ).

The provision for credit losses for the first nine months of 2012 of \$1.4 billion was \$407 million (22.0 percent) lower than the first nine months of 2011. Net charge-offs in the first nine months of 2012 were \$1.6 billion, compared with \$2.2 billion in the first nine months of 2011. Refer to

Corporate Risk Profile for further information on the provision for credit losses, net charge-offs, nonperforming assets and other factors considered by the Company in assessing the credit quality of the loan portfolio and establishing the allowance for credit losses.

## STATEMENT OF INCOME ANALYSIS

**Net Interest Income** Net interest income, on a taxable-equivalent basis, was \$2.8 billion in the third quarter of 2012, compared with \$2.6 billion in the third quarter of 2011. Net interest income, on a taxable-equivalent basis, was \$8.2 billion in the first nine months of 2012, compared with \$7.7 billion in the first nine months of 2011. The increases were primarily the result of growth in both average earning assets and lower cost core deposit funding, as well as lower rates on long-term debt. Average earning assets increased \$22.7 billion (7.9 percent) in the third quarter and \$25.0 billion (8.9 percent) in the first nine months of 2012, compared with the same periods of 2011, driven by increases in loans and investment securities. The net interest margin in both the third quarter and first nine months of 2012 was 3.59 percent, compared with 3.65 percent and 3.67 percent in the third quarter and first nine months of 2011, respectively. The decreases in the net interest margin reflected higher average balances in lower-yielding investment securities, partially offset by lower rates on deposits and long-term debt, and the inclusion of credit card balance transfer fees in interest income beginning in the first quarter of 2012. Refer to the Consolidated Daily Average Balance Sheet and Related Yields and Rates tables for further information on net interest income.

Average total loans for the third quarter and first nine months of 2012 were \$14.8 billion (7.3 percent) and \$14.2 billion (7.1 percent) higher, respectively, than the same periods of 2011, driven by growth in commercial loans, residential mortgages, credit card loans and commercial real estate loans. During the third quarter of 2012, the Company sold a \$735 million branded consumer and business credit card portfolio. This sale was offset by the impact of the purchase of approximately \$700 million of consumer credit card

loans in the fourth quarter of 2011. The increases were partially offset by decreases in other retail loans and loans covered by loss sharing agreements with the FDIC. Average loans acquired in FDIC-assisted transactions that are covered by loss sharing agreements with the FDIC ( covered loans) decreased \$3.2 billion (20.2 percent) in the third quarter and \$3.1 billion (18.5 percent) in the first nine months of 2012, compared with the same periods of 2011, respectively.

Average investment securities in the third quarter and first nine months of 2012 were \$6.2 billion (9.4 percent) and \$10.5 billion (16.9 percent) higher, respectively, than the same periods of 2011, primarily due to purchases of government agency mortgage-backed securities, as the Company increased its on-balance sheet liquidity in response to anticipated regulatory requirements.

Average total deposits for the third quarter and first nine months of 2012 were \$23.9 billion (11.1 percent) and \$23.2 billion (11.1 percent) higher, respectively, than the same periods of 2011. Average noninterest-bearing deposits for the third quarter and first nine months of 2012 were \$9.5 billion (16.2 percent) and \$14.9 billion (29.4 percent) higher, respectively, than the same periods of 2011, due to growth in average balances in a majority of the lines of business, including Wholesale Banking and Commercial Real Estate, Wealth Management and Securities Services, and Consumer and Small Business Banking. Average total savings deposits for the third quarter and first nine months of 2012 were \$7.8 billion (6.9 percent) and \$7.2 billion (6.3 percent) higher, respectively, than the same periods of 2011, primarily due to growth in Consumer and Small Business Banking and corporate trust balances, partially offset by lower government banking and broker-dealer balances. Average time certificates of deposit less than \$100,000 were slightly lower in the third quarter and first nine months of 2012, compared with the same periods of 2011. Average time deposits greater than \$100,000 for the third quarter and first nine months of 2012 were \$7.5 billion (26.1 percent) and \$1.8 billion (6.1 percent) higher, respectively, than the same periods of 2011. Time deposits greater than \$100,000 are managed as an alternate to other funding sources such as wholesale borrowing, based largely on relative pricing.

**Provision for Credit Losses** The provision for credit losses for the third quarter and first nine months of 2012 decreased \$31 million (6.0 percent) and \$407 million (22.0 percent), respectively, from the same periods of 2011. Net charge-offs decreased \$131 million (19.6 percent) and \$592 million (26.7 percent) in the third quarter and first nine months of 2012, respectively,

**Table 2** Noninterest Income

(Dollars in Millions)	Three Months Ended			Nine Months Ended		
	September 30,			September 30,		
	2012	2011	Percent Change	2012	2011	Percent Change
Credit and debit card revenue	\$ 213	\$ 289	(26.3)%	\$ 650	\$ 842	(22.8)%
Corporate payment products revenue	201	203	(1.0)	566	563	.5
Merchant processing services	345	338	2.1	1,041	977	6.6
ATM processing services	87	115	(24.3)	263	341	(22.9)
Trust and investment management fees	265	241	10.0	779	755	3.2
Deposit service charges	174	183	(4.9)	483	488	(1.0)
Treasury management fees	135	137	(1.5)	411	418	(1.7)
Commercial products revenue	225	212	6.1	652	621	5.0
Mortgage banking revenue	519	245	*	1,461	683	*
Investment products fees and commissions	38	31	22.6	111	98	13.3
Securities gains (losses), net	1	(9)	*	(18)	(22)	18.2
Other	193	186	3.8	591	565	4.6
Total noninterest income	\$ 2,396	\$ 2,171	10.4%	\$ 6,990	\$ 6,329	10.4%

\* Not meaningful.

compared with the same periods of 2011, principally due to improvement in the commercial, commercial real estate and credit card portfolios. Charge-offs for the third quarter and first nine months of 2012 included \$54 million related to a regulatory clarification in the treatment of residential mortgage and other consumer loans to borrowers who have had debt discharged through bankruptcy but continue to make payments on their loans. The provision for credit losses was lower than net charge-offs by \$50 million in the third quarter and \$190 million in the first nine months of 2012, compared with \$150 million in the third quarter and \$375 million in the first nine months of 2011. Refer to Corporate Risk Profile for further information on the provision for credit losses, net charge-offs, nonperforming assets and other factors considered by the Company in assessing the credit quality of the loan portfolio and establishing the allowance for credit losses.

**Noninterest Income** Noninterest income in the third quarter and first nine months of 2012 were \$2.4 billion and \$7.0 billion, respectively, compared with \$2.2 billion and \$6.3 billion in the same periods of 2011. The \$225 million (10.4 percent) increase during the third quarter and the \$661 million (10.4 percent) increase during the first nine months of 2012, compared with the same periods of 2011, were primarily driven by strong mortgage banking revenue, principally due to higher origination and sales revenue. In addition, merchant processing services revenue and investment products fees and commissions increased, primarily due to higher transaction volumes. Trust and investment management fees increased due to improved market conditions and business expansion. Commercial

products revenue was higher, principally driven by higher commercial loan fees and high-grade bond underwriting fees. The third quarter and first nine months of 2012 had favorable changes in net securities gains (losses), compared with the same periods of the prior year, primarily due to impairments recorded in 2011. Other income increased in the third quarter of 2012, compared with the same period of the prior year, reflecting the impact of the gain on the credit card portfolio sale, partially offset by the equity-method investment charge and lower retail lease residual revenue. Other income increased in the first nine months of 2012, compared with the same period of the prior year, primarily due to higher retail lease residual revenue and the gain on the credit card portfolio sale, partially offset by the FCB gain and a gain related to the Company's investment in Visa Inc., both recorded in the first quarter of 2011, and lower equity investment income. Offsetting these positive variances were decreases in credit and debit card revenue due to lower debit card interchange fees as a result of fourth quarter of 2011 legislation (estimated impact of \$82 million in the third quarter and \$238 million in the first nine months of 2012), net of mitigation efforts, and the impact of the inclusion of credit card balance transfer fees in interest income beginning in the first quarter of 2012. These negative variances were partially offset by higher transaction volumes. ATM processing services revenue was also lower, due to excluding surcharge fees the Company passes through to others from revenue beginning in the first quarter of 2012, rather than reporting those amounts in occupancy expense as in previous periods.

**Table 3** Noninterest Expense

(Dollars in Millions)	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2012	2011	Percent Change	2012	2011	Percent Change
Compensation	\$ 1,109	\$ 1,021	8.6%	\$ 3,237	\$ 2,984	8.5%
Employee benefits	225	203	10.8	714	643	11.0
Net occupancy and equipment	233	252	(7.5)	683	750	(8.9)
Professional services	144	100	44.0	364	252	44.4
Marketing and business development	96	102	(5.9)	285	257	10.9
Technology and communications	205	189	8.5	607	563	7.8
Postage, printing and supplies	75	76	(1.3)	226	226	
Other intangibles	67	75	(10.7)	208	225	(7.6)
Other	455	458	(.7)	1,446	1,315	10.0
Total noninterest expense	\$ 2,609	\$ 2,476	5.4%	\$ 7,770	\$ 7,215	7.7%
Efficiency ratio (a)	50.4%	51.5%		51.1%	51.4%	

(a) Computed as noninterest expense divided by the sum of net interest income on a taxable-equivalent basis and noninterest income excluding securities gains (losses), net.

**Noninterest Expense** Noninterest expense was \$2.6 billion in the third quarter and \$7.8 billion in the first nine months of 2012, compared with \$2.5 billion and \$7.2 billion in the same periods of 2011, or increases of \$133 million (5.4 percent) and \$555 million (7.7 percent), respectively. The increases in noninterest expense from a year ago were principally due to higher compensation expense, employee benefits expense and professional services expense. Compensation expense increased primarily as a result of growth in staffing for business initiatives and mortgage servicing-related activities, in addition to higher commissions and merit increases. Employee benefits expense increased principally due to higher pension costs and staffing levels. Professional services expense was higher, principally due to mortgage servicing review-related projects. Technology and communications expense was higher due to business expansion and technology projects. Marketing and business development expense increased in the first nine months of 2012 over the same period of the prior year due to the timing of charitable contributions and new national media promotions. Other expense increased in the first nine months of 2012, over the same period of the prior year, driven by higher mortgage servicing, regulatory and insurance-related costs and the 2012 Visa accrual, partially offset by lower FDIC assessments, and costs related to other real estate owned and investments in affordable housing and other tax-advantaged projects. These increases were partially offset by decreases in net occupancy and equipment expense, principally reflecting the change in presentation of ATM surcharge revenue passed through to others, and decreases in other intangibles expense due principally to completion of amortization of certain intangibles.

**Income Tax Expense** The provision for income taxes was \$593 million (an effective rate of 29.3 percent) for the third quarter and \$1.7 billion (an effective rate of 29.0 percent) for the first nine months of 2012, compared with \$490 million (an effective rate of 28.1 percent) and \$1.3 billion (an effective rate of 27.5 percent) for the same periods of 2011. The increases in the effective tax rate for the third quarter and first nine months of 2012, compared with the same periods of the prior year, principally reflected the impact of higher pretax earnings year-over-year. For further information on income taxes, refer to Note 9 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

## BALANCE SHEET ANALYSIS

**Loans** The Company's total loan portfolio was \$218.2 billion at September 30, 2012, compared with \$209.8 billion at December 31, 2011, an increase of \$8.3 billion (4.0 percent). The increase was driven primarily by increases in commercial loans, residential mortgages and commercial real estate loans, partially offset by lower credit card, other retail and covered loans.

The \$6.3 billion (11.1 percent) increase in commercial loans was driven by higher demand from new and existing customers.

Residential mortgages held in the loan portfolio increased \$4.8 billion (13.0 percent) at September 30, 2012, compared with December 31, 2011, reflecting origination and refinancing activity due to the low interest rate environment. Residential mortgages held in the Company's loan portfolio are primarily well secured jumbo mortgages to borrowers with high credit quality. The Company generally retains portfolio loans through maturity; however, the Company's intent may change over time based upon various factors such as ongoing



asset/liability management activities, assessment of product profitability, credit risk, liquidity needs, and capital implications. If the Company's intent or ability to hold an existing portfolio loan changes, it is transferred to loans held for sale.

Commercial real estate loans increased \$962 million (2.7 percent) at September 30, 2012, compared with December 31, 2011, reflecting higher demand from new and existing customers.

Total credit card loans decreased \$958 million (5.5 percent) at September 30, 2012, compared with December 31, 2011, reflecting the impact of the sale of a branded credit card portfolio during 2012 and customers paying down their balances. Other retail loans, which include retail leasing, home equity and second mortgages and other retail loans, decreased \$142 million (.3 percent) at September 30, 2012, compared with December 31, 2011. The decrease was primarily driven by lower home equity and second mortgages and student loan balances, partially offset by higher installment loan and retail leasing balances.

**Loans Held for Sale** Loans held for sale, consisting primarily of residential mortgages to be sold in the secondary market, were \$9.9 billion at September 30, 2012, compared with \$7.2 billion at December 31, 2011. The increase in loans held for sale was principally due to an increase in mortgage loan origination and refinancing activity due to the low interest rate environment.

Most of the residential mortgage loans the Company originates follow guidelines that allow the loans to be sold into existing, highly liquid secondary markets; in particular in government agency transactions and to government sponsored enterprises ( GSEs ).

**Investment Securities** Investment securities totaled \$74.1 billion at September 30, 2012, compared with \$70.8 billion at December 31, 2011. The \$3.3 billion (4.7 percent) increase primarily reflected \$2.6 billion of net investment purchases and a \$.5 billion favorable change in net unrealized gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities. Held-to-maturity securities were \$34.5 billion at September 30, 2012, compared with \$18.9 billion at December 31, 2011, due to a transfer of approximately \$11.7 billion of available-for-sale investment securities to the held-to-maturity category during the first nine months of 2012, reflecting the Company's intent to hold those securities to maturity, and growth in government agency mortgage-backed securities as the Company continued to increase its on-balance sheet liquidity in response to anticipated regulatory requirements.

The Company's available-for-sale securities are carried at fair value with changes in fair value reflected in other comprehensive income (loss) unless a security is deemed to be other-than-temporarily impaired. At September 30, 2012, the Company's net unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities were \$1.1 billion, compared with \$581 million at December 31, 2011. The favorable change in net unrealized gains was primarily due to increases in the fair value of non-agency mortgage-backed and state and political securities. Gross unrealized losses on available-for-sale securities totaled \$229 million at September 30, 2012, compared with \$691 million at December 31, 2011.

The Company conducts a regular assessment of its investment portfolio to determine whether any securities are other-than-temporarily impaired. When assessing unrealized losses for other-than-temporary impairment, the Company considers the nature of the investment, the financial condition of the issuer, the extent and duration of the unrealized loss, expected cash flows of underlying assets and market conditions. At September 30, 2012, the Company had no plans to sell securities with unrealized losses and believes it is more likely than not that it would not be required to sell such securities before recovery of their amortized cost.

There is limited market activity for non-agency mortgage-backed securities held by the Company. As a result, the Company estimates the fair value of these securities using estimates of expected cash flows, discount rates and management's assessment of various other market factors, which are judgmental in nature. The Company recorded \$15 million and \$36 million of impairment charges in earnings during the third quarter and first nine months of 2012, respectively, on non-agency mortgage-backed securities. These impairment charges were due to changes in expected cash flows primarily resulting from increases in defaults in the underlying mortgage pools. During the first nine months of 2012, the Company also recognized impairment charges of \$27 million in earnings related to certain perpetual preferred securities issued by financial institutions, following the downgrades of money center banks by a rating agency. The unrealized loss on perpetual preferred securities in a loss position at September 30, 2012, was \$19 million. Further adverse changes in market conditions may result in additional impairment charges in future periods. Refer to Notes 2 and 11 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further information on investment securities.

**Table 4** Investment Securities

At September 30, 2012 (Dollars in Millions)	Available-for-Sale				Held-to-Maturity			
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Weighted-Average Maturity in Years	Weighted-Average Yield (e)	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Weighted-Average Maturity in Years	Weighted-Average Yield (e)
<b>U.S. Treasury and Agencies</b>								
Maturing in one year or less	\$ 72	\$ 73	.5	2.57%	\$ 50	\$ 50	.3	.61%
Maturing after one year through five years	497	501	1.3	.84	2,447	2,473	1.4	1.00
Maturing after five years through ten years	149	158	7.5	3.11				
Maturing after ten years	10	11	10.9	2.89	60	60	12.4	1.96
Total	\$ 728	\$ 743	2.6	1.51%	\$ 2,557	\$ 2,583	1.7	1.01%
<b>Mortgage-Backed Securities (a)</b>								
Maturing in one year or less	\$ 3,312	\$ 3,315	.6	1.58%	\$ 395	\$ 396	.7	1.52%
Maturing after one year through five years	23,074	23,876	3.0	2.46	30,583	31,260	3.1	2.17
Maturing after five years through ten years	3,074	3,045	6.4	2.26	740	758	7.4	1.50
Maturing after ten years	294	299	12.5	1.49	59	60	10.4	1.22
Total	\$ 29,754	\$ 30,535	3.2	2.34%	\$ 31,777	\$ 32,474	3.2	2.14%
<b>Asset-Backed Securities (a)</b>								
Maturing in one year or less	\$ 8	\$ 9		.24%	\$	\$ 4	.5	1.22%
Maturing after one year through five years	36	46	3.2	6.36	11	9	3.5	.65
Maturing after five years through ten years	574	585	7.6	2.48	8	9	6.6	.73
Maturing after ten years			18.8	5.88	9	18	22.6	.86
Total	\$ 618	\$ 640	7.3	2.68%	\$ 28	\$ 40	10.5	.75%
<b>Obligations of State and Political Subdivisions (b) (c)</b>								
Maturing in one year or less	\$ 81	\$ 82	.1	2.65%	\$	\$	.7	8.16%
Maturing after one year through five years	5,226	5,550	3.8	6.79	6	6	3.2	7.31
Maturing after five years through ten years	765	812	7.1	6.20	1	2	7.9	7.79
Maturing after ten years	13	13	17.2	15.83	14	14	14.6	5.42
Total	\$ 6,085	\$ 6,457	4.2	6.68%	\$ 21	\$ 22	10.9	6.13%
<b>Other Debt Securities</b>								
Maturing in one year or less	\$ 6	\$ 6	.2	1.00%	\$ 3	\$ 2	.5	1.14%
Maturing after one year through five years					94	91	3.5	1.30
Maturing after five years through ten years					29	14	8.1	1.18
Maturing after ten years	813	709	25.3	3.21				
Total	\$ 819	\$ 715	25.1	3.19%	\$ 126	\$ 107	4.4	1.27%
<b>Other Investments</b>								
Total investment securities (d)	\$ 38,520	\$ 39,636	4.0	3.04%	\$ 34,509	\$ 35,226	3.1	2.06%

(a) Information related to asset and mortgage-backed securities included above is presented based upon weighted-average maturities anticipating future prepayments.

(b) Information related to obligations of state and political subdivisions is presented based upon yield to first optional call date if the security is purchased at a premium, yield to maturity if purchased at par or a discount.

(c) Maturity calculations for obligations of state and political subdivisions are based on the first optional call date for securities with a fair value above par and contractual maturity for securities with a fair value equal to or below par.

(d) The weighted-average maturity of the available-for-sale investment securities was 5.2 years at December 31, 2011, with a corresponding weighted-average yield of 3.19 percent. The weighted-average maturity of the held-to-maturity investment securities was 3.9 years at December 31, 2011, with a corresponding weighted-average yield of 2.21 percent.

(e) Average yields are presented on a fully-taxable equivalent basis under a tax rate of 35 percent. Yields on available-for-sale and held-to-maturity investment securities are computed based on amortized cost balances, excluding any premiums or discounts recorded related to the transfer of investment securities at fair value from available-for-sale to held-to-maturity. Average yield and maturity calculations exclude equity securities that have no stated yield or maturity.

(Dollars in Millions)	September 30, 2012		December 31, 2011	
	Amortized Cost	Percent of Total	Amortized Cost	Percent of Total
U.S. Treasury and agencies	\$ 3,285	4.5%	\$ 3,605	5.1%
Mortgage-backed securities	61,531	84.2	57,561	82.0
Asset-backed securities	646	.9	949	1.4
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	6,106	8.4	6,417	9.1

Edgar Filing: US BANCORP \DE\ - Form 10-Q

Other debt securities and investments	1,461	2.0	1,701	2.4
Total investment securities	\$ 73,029	100.0%	\$ 70,233	100.0%

**Deposits** Total deposits were \$244.2 billion at September 30, 2012, compared with \$230.9 billion at December 31, 2011, the result of increases in noninterest-bearing deposits, money market deposits, savings accounts and time deposits greater than \$100,000, partially offset by decreases in interest checking deposits and time certificates less than \$100,000. Time deposits greater than \$100,000 increased \$7.1 billion (25.8 percent) at September 30, 2012, compared with December 31, 2011. Time deposits greater than \$100,000 are managed as an alternate to other funding sources such as wholesale borrowing, based largely on relative pricing. Noninterest-bearing deposits increased \$4.4 billion (6.4 percent), primarily due to higher Consumer and Small Business Banking balances. Money market balances increased \$2.4 billion (5.2 percent) primarily due to higher balances in Wholesale Banking and Commercial Real Estate. Savings account balances increased \$1.9 billion (6.6 percent), primarily due to continued strong participation in a savings product offered by Consumer and Small Business Banking that includes multiple bank products in a package. Interest checking balances decreased \$1.7 billion (3.6 percent) primarily due to lower Wholesale Banking and Commercial Real Estate and broker-dealer balances, partially offset by higher Consumer and Small Business Banking balances. Time certificates less than

\$100,000 decreased \$763 million (5.1 percent), reflecting lower Consumer and Small Business Banking balances.

**Borrowings** The Company utilizes both short-term and long-term borrowings as part of its asset/liability management and funding strategies. Short-term borrowings, which include federal funds purchased, commercial paper, repurchase agreements, borrowings secured by high-grade assets and other short-term borrowings, were \$27.9 billion at September 30, 2012, compared with \$30.5 billion at December 31, 2011. The \$2.6 billion (8.6 percent) decrease in short-term borrowings was primarily in repurchase agreements, partially offset by higher commercial paper balances. Long-term debt was \$26.3 billion at September 30, 2012, compared with \$32.0 billion at December 31, 2011. The \$5.7 billion (17.8 percent) decrease was primarily due to \$3.8 billion of medium-term note repayments and maturities, \$2.7 billion of redemptions of junior subordinated debentures and a \$3.5 billion decrease in Federal Home Loan Bank advances, partially offset by issuances of \$1.3 billion of subordinated debt and \$2.3 billion of medium-term notes, and a \$.8 billion increase in long-term debt related to certain consolidated variable interest entities. Refer to the [Liquidity Risk Management](#) section for discussion of liquidity management of the Company.



---

**CORPORATE RISK PROFILE**

**Overview** Managing risks is an essential part of successfully operating a financial services company. The Company's most prominent risk exposures are credit, residual value, operational, interest rate, market, liquidity and reputation risk. Credit risk is the risk of not collecting the interest and/or the principal balance of a loan, investment or derivative contract when it is due. Residual value risk is the potential reduction in the end-of-term value of leased assets. Operational risk includes risks related to fraud, processing errors, technology, breaches of internal controls and in data security, and business continuation and disaster recovery. Operational risk also includes legal and compliance risks, including risks arising from the failure to adhere to laws, rules, regulations and internal policies and procedures. Interest rate risk is the potential reduction of net interest income as a result of changes in interest rates, which can affect the re-pricing of assets and liabilities differently. Market risk arises from fluctuations in interest rates, foreign exchange rates, and security prices that may result in changes in the values of financial instruments, such as trading and available-for-sale securities, certain mortgage loans held for sale, mortgage servicing rights (MSRs) and derivatives that are accounted for on a fair value basis. Liquidity risk is the possible inability to fund obligations to depositors, investors or borrowers. Further, corporate strategic decisions, as well as the risks described above, could give rise to reputation risk. Reputation risk is the risk that negative publicity or press, whether true or not, could result in costly litigation or cause a decline in the Company's stock value, customer base, funding sources or revenue. In addition to the risks identified above, other risk factors exist that may impact the Company. Refer to Risk Factors in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, for a detailed discussion of these factors.

**Credit Risk Management** The Company's strategy for credit risk management includes well-defined, centralized credit policies, uniform underwriting criteria, and ongoing risk monitoring and review processes for all commercial and consumer credit exposures. In evaluating its credit risk, the Company considers changes, if any, in underwriting activities, the loan portfolio composition (including product mix and geographic, industry or customer-specific concentrations), trends in loan performance, the level of allowance coverage relative to similar banking institutions and macroeconomic factors, such as changes

in unemployment rates, gross domestic product, real estate values and consumer bankruptcy filings.

In addition, credit quality ratings, as defined by the Company, are an important part of the Company's overall credit risk management and evaluation of its allowance for credit losses. Loans with a pass rating represent those not classified on the Company's rating scale for problem credits, as minimal risk has been identified. Loans with a special mention or classified rating, including all of the Company's loans that are 90 days or more past due and still accruing, nonaccrual loans, those loans considered troubled debt restructurings (TDRs), and loans in a junior lien position that are current but are behind a modified or delinquent loan in a first lien position, encompass all loans held by the Company that it considers to have a potential or well-defined weakness that may put full collection of contractual cash flows at risk. The Company's internal credit quality ratings for consumer loans are primarily based on delinquency and nonperforming status, except for a limited population of larger loans within those portfolios that are individually evaluated. For this limited population, the determination of the internal credit quality rating may also consider collateral value and customer cash flows. The Company obtains recent collateral value estimates for the majority of its residential mortgage and home equity and second mortgage portfolios, which allows the Company to compute estimated loan-to-value (LTV) ratios reflecting current market conditions. These individually refreshed LTV ratios are considered in the determination of the appropriate allowance for credit losses. The decline in housing prices over the past several years has deteriorated the collateral support of the residential mortgage, home equity and second mortgage portfolios. However, the underwriting criteria the Company employs consider the relevant income and credit characteristics of the borrower, such that the collateral is not the primary source of repayment. Refer to Note 3 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of the Company's loan portfolios including internal credit quality ratings. In addition, Refer to Management's Discussion and Analysis Credit Risk Management in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, for a more detailed discussion on credit risk management processes.

The Company manages its credit risk, in part, through diversification of its loan portfolio and limit setting by product type criteria and concentrations. As part of its normal business activities, the Company offers

a broad array of lending products. The Company categorizes its loan portfolio into three segments, which is the level at which it develops and documents a systematic methodology to determine the allowance for credit losses. The Company's three loan portfolio segments are commercial lending, consumer lending and covered loans. The commercial lending segment includes loans and leases made to small business, middle market, large corporate, commercial real estate, financial institution, and public sector customers. Key risk characteristics relevant to commercial lending segment loans include the industry and geography of the borrower's business, purpose of the loan, repayment source, borrower's debt capacity and financial flexibility, loan covenants, and nature of pledged collateral, if any. These risk characteristics, among others, are considered in determining estimates about the likelihood of default by the borrowers and the severity of loss in the event of default. The Company considers these risk characteristics in assigning internal risk ratings to, or forecasting losses on, these loans which are the significant factors in determining the allowance for credit losses for loans in the commercial lending segment.

The consumer lending segment represents loans and leases made to consumer customers including residential mortgages, credit card loans, and other retail loans such as revolving consumer lines, auto loans and leases, student loans, and home equity loans and lines. Home equity or second mortgage loans are junior lien closed-end accounts fully disbursed at origination. These loans typically are fixed rate loans, secured by residential real estate, with a 10 or 15 year fixed payment amortization schedule. Home equity lines are revolving accounts giving the borrower the ability to draw and repay balances repeatedly, up to a maximum commitment, and are secured by residential real estate. These include accounts in either a first or junior lien position. Typical terms on home equity lines are variable rates benchmarked to the prime rate, with a 15-year draw period during which a minimum payment is equivalent to the monthly interest, followed by a 10-year amortization period. At September 30, 2012, substantially all of the Company's home equity lines were in the draw period. Key risk characteristics relevant to consumer lending segment loans primarily relate to the borrowers' capacity and willingness to repay and include unemployment rates and other economic factors, customer payment history and in some cases, updated LTV information on real estate based loans. These risk characteristics, among others, are reflected in forecasts

of delinquency levels, bankruptcies and losses which are the primary factors in determining the allowance for credit losses for the consumer lending segment.

The covered loan segment represents loans acquired in FDIC-assisted transactions that are covered by loss sharing agreements with the FDIC that greatly reduce the risk of future credit losses to the Company. Key risk characteristics for covered segment loans are consistent with the segment they would otherwise be included in had the loss share coverage not been in place, but consider the indemnification provided by the FDIC.

The Company further disaggregates its loan portfolio segments into various classes based on their underlying risk characteristics. The two classes within the commercial lending segment are commercial loans and commercial real estate loans. The three classes within the consumer lending segment are residential mortgages, credit card loans and other retail loans. The covered loan segment consists of only one class.

The Company's consumer lending segment utilizes several distinct business processes and channels to originate consumer credit, including traditional branch lending, indirect lending, portfolio acquisitions, correspondent banks and loan brokers, which the Company refers to as consumer finance. Generally, the consumer finance loans exhibit higher credit risk characteristics, but have pricing commensurate with the differing risk profile. The Company may either retain the loans on its balance sheet or sell its interest in the balances into the secondary market while retaining the servicing rights and customer relationships. For residential mortgages that are retained in the Company's portfolio and for home equity and second mortgages, credit risk is also diversified by geography and managed by adherence to LTV and borrower credit criteria during the underwriting process.

The Company estimates updated LTV information quarterly, based on a method that combines automated valuation model updates and relevant home price indices. LTV is the ratio of the loan's outstanding principal balance to the current estimate of property value. For home equity and second mortgages, combined loan-to-value (CLTV) is the combination of the first mortgage original principal balance and the second lien outstanding principal balance, relative to the current estimate of property value. Certain loans do not have a LTV or CLTV primarily due to lack of availability of relevant automated valuation model and/or home price indices values, or lack of necessary valuation data on acquired loans.

Edgar Filing: US BANCORP \DE\ - Form 10-Q

The following tables provide summary information for the LTVs of residential mortgages and home equity and second mortgages by origination channel and type at September 30, 2012:

Residential mortgages

(Dollars in Millions)	Interest Only	Amortizing	Total	Percent of Total
<b>Consumer Finance</b>				
Less than or equal to 80 %	\$ 849	\$ 6,938	\$ 7,787	57.1%
Over 80 % through 90 %	223	2,337	2,560	18.7
Over 90 % through 100 %	183	917	1,100	8.1
Over 100 %	483	1,714	2,197	16.1
No LTV available		1	1	
<b>Total</b>	\$ 1,738	\$ 11,907	\$ 13,645	100.0%
<b>Other</b>				
Less than or equal to 80 %	\$ 1,081	\$ 18,057	\$ 19,138	67.7%
Over 80 % through 90 %	276	1,280	1,556	5.5
Over 90 % through 100 %	221	833	1,054	3.7
Over 100 %	410	925	1,335	4.7
No LTV available		95	95	.4
Loans purchased from GNMA mortgage pools (a)		5,079	5,079	18.0
<b>Total</b>	\$ 1,988	\$ 26,269	\$ 28,257	100.0%
<b>Total Company</b>				
Less than or equal to 80 %	\$ 1,930	\$ 24,995	\$ 26,925	64.3%
Over 80 % through 90 %	499	3,617	4,116	9.8
Over 90 % through 100 %	404	1,750	2,154	5.2
Over 100 %	893	2,639	3,532	8.4
No LTV available		96	96	.2
Loans purchased from GNMA mortgage pools (a)		5,079	5,079	12.1
<b>Total</b>	\$ 3,726	\$ 38,176	\$ 41,902	100.0%

(a) Represents loans purchased from Government National Mortgage Association ( GNMA ) mortgage pools whose payments are primarily insured by the Federal Housing Administration or guaranteed by the Department of Veterans Affairs.

Home equity and second mortgages

(Dollars in Millions)	Lines	Loans	Total	Percent of Total
<b>Consumer Finance</b>				
Less than or equal to 80 %	\$ 851	\$ 67	\$ 918	41.4%
Over 80 % through 90 %	306	48	354	15.9
Over 90 % through 100 %	198	70	268	12.1
Over 100 %	423	251	674	30.4
No LTV/CLTV available	3	1	4	.2
<b>Total</b>	\$ 1,781	\$ 437	\$ 2,218	100.0%
<b>Other</b>				
Less than or equal to 80 %	\$ 7,613	\$ 606	\$ 8,219	55.1%
Over 80 % through 90 %	2,191	249	2,440	16.4
Over 90 % through 100 %	1,338	206	1,544	10.4
Over 100 %	1,986	378	2,364	15.9
No LTV/CLTV available	307	27	334	2.2
<b>Total</b>	\$ 13,435	\$ 1,466	\$ 14,901	100.0%
<b>Total Company</b>				
Less than or equal to 80 %	\$ 8,464	\$ 673	\$ 9,137	53.4%
Over 80 % through 90 %	2,497	297	2,794	16.3
Over 90 % through 100 %	1,536	276	1,812	10.6
Over 100 %	2,409	629	3,038	17.7
No LTV/CLTV available	310	28	338	2.0
<b>Total</b>	\$ 15,216	\$ 1,903	\$ 17,119	100.0%

Within the consumer finance loans, at September 30, 2012, approximately \$1.7 billion of residential mortgages were to customers that may be defined as sub-prime borrowers based on credit scores

Edgar Filing: US BANCORP \DE\ - Form 10-Q

from independent agencies at loan origination, compared with \$1.9 billion at December 31, 2011. In addition to residential mortgages, at September 30, 2012, \$4 billion of the consumer finance home equity and second mortgage loans were to customers that may be defined as sub-prime borrowers, compared with \$5 billion at December 31, 2011. The total amount of consumer lending segment residential mortgage, home equity and second mortgage loans to customers that may be defined as sub-prime borrowers represented only .6 percent of total assets at September 30, 2012, compared with .7 percent at December 31, 2011. The Company considers sub-prime loans to be those made to borrowers with a risk of default significantly higher than those approved for prime lending programs, as reflected in credit scores obtained from independent agencies at loan origination, in addition to other credit underwriting criteria. Sub-prime portfolios include only loans originated according to the Company's underwriting programs specifically designed to serve customers with weakened credit histories. The sub-prime designation indicators have been and will continue to be subject to re-evaluation over time as borrower characteristics, payment performance and economic conditions change. The sub-prime loans originated during the periods from June 2009 to September 2012 are with borrowers who met the Company's program guidelines and have a credit score that generally is at or below a threshold of 620 to 650 depending on the program. Sub-prime loans originated during periods prior to June 2009 were based upon program level guidelines without regard to credit score.

The following table provides further information on the LTVs of residential mortgages, specifically for the consumer finance loans, at September 30, 2012:

(Dollars in Millions)	Interest Only	Amortizing	Total	Percent of Total
<b>Sub-Prime Borrowers</b>				
Less than or equal to 80%	\$ 2	\$ 607	\$ 609	4.5%
Over 80% through 90%	2	241	243	1.7
Over 90% through 100%	3	262	265	2.0
Over 100%	7	554	561	4.1
<b>Total</b>	\$ 14	\$ 1,664	\$ 1,678	12.3%
<b>Other Borrowers</b>				
Less than or equal to 80%	\$ 847	\$ 6,331	\$ 7,178	52.6%
Over 80% through 90%	221	2,096	2,317	17.0
Over 90% through 100%	180	655	835	6.1
Over 100%	476	1,160	1,636	12.0
No LTV available		1	1	
<b>Total</b>	\$ 1,724	\$ 10,243	\$ 11,967	87.7%
<b>Total Consumer Finance</b>	\$ 1,738	\$ 11,907	\$ 13,645	100.0%

The following table provides further information on the LTVs of home equity and second mortgages, specifically for the consumer finance loans at September 30, 2012:

(Dollars in Millions)	Lines	Loans	Total	Percent of Total
<b>Sub-Prime Borrowers</b>				
Less than or equal to 80%	\$ 43	\$ 34	\$ 77	3.5%
Over 80% through 90%	19	25	44	1.9
Over 90% through 100%	17	45	62	2.8
Over 100%	42	162	204	9.2
No LTV/CLTV available		1	1	.1
<b>Total</b>	\$ 121	\$ 267	\$ 388	17.5%
<b>Other Borrowers</b>				
Less than or equal to 80%	\$ 808	\$ 33	\$ 841	37.9%
Over 80% through 90%	287	23	310	14.0
Over 90% through 100%	181	25	206	9.3
Over 100%	381	89	470	21.2
No LTV/CLTV available	3		3	.1
<b>Total</b>	\$ 1,660	\$ 170	\$ 1,830	82.5%
<b>Total Consumer Finance</b>	\$ 1,781	\$ 437	\$ 2,218	100.0%

Covered loans included \$1.3 billion in loans with negative-amortization payment options at September 30, 2012, compared with \$1.5 billion at December 31, 2011. Other than covered loans, the Company does not have any residential mortgages with payment schedules that would cause balances to increase over time.

Home equity and second mortgages were \$17.1 billion at September 30, 2012, compared with \$18.1 billion at December 31, 2011, and included \$5.0 billion of home equity lines in a first lien position and \$12.1 billion of home equity and second mortgage loans and lines in a junior lien position. Loans and lines in a junior lien position at September 30, 2012, included approximately \$3.7 billion of loans and lines for which

the Company also serviced the related first lien loan, and approximately \$8.4 billion where the Company did not service the related first lien loan. The Company was able to determine the status of the related first liens using information the Company has as the servicer of the first lien, information it received from its primary regulator on loans serviced by other large servicers or information reported on customer credit bureau files. The Company also evaluates other indicators of credit risk for these junior lien loans and lines including delinquency, estimated average CLTV ratios and weighted-average credit scores in making its assessment of credit risk, related loss estimates and determining the allowance for credit losses.

The following table provides a summary of delinquency statistics and other credit quality indicators for the Company's junior lien positions at September 30, 2012:

(Dollars in Millions)	Junior Liens Behind		Total
	Company	Owned or Serviced	
	First Lien	Third Party First Lien	
<b>Total</b>	\$ 3,715	\$ 8,349	\$ 12,064
Percent 30 - 89 days past due	1.03%	1.34%	1.25%
Percent 90 days or more past due	.33%	.29%	.30%
Weighted-average CLTV	90%	89%	89%
Weighted-average credit score	749	746	747

See the Analysis and Determination of the Allowance for Credit Losses section for additional information on how the Company determines the allowance for credit losses for loans in a junior lien position.



**Table 5** Delinquent Loan Ratios as a Percent of Ending Loan Balances

	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
90 days or more past due <b>excluding</b> nonperforming loans		
<b>Commercial</b>		
Commercial	.07%	.09%
Lease financing	.02	
Total commercial	.06	.08
<b>Commercial Real Estate</b>		
Commercial mortgages	.02	.02
Construction and development	.10	.13
Total commercial real estate	.03	.04
<b>Residential Mortgages (a)</b>	.72	.98
<b>Credit Card</b>	1.18	1.36
<b>Other Retail</b>		
Retail leasing	.02	.02
Other	.23	.43
Total other retail (b)	.20	.38
Total loans, excluding covered loans	.31	.43
<b>Covered Loans</b>	5.61	6.15
Total loans	.61%	.84%
	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
90 days or more past due <b>including</b> nonperforming loans		
Commercial	.31%	.63%
Commercial real estate	1.75	2.55
Residential mortgages (a)	2.52	2.73
Credit card	2.18	2.65
Other retail (b)	.64	.52
Total loans, excluding covered loans	1.24	1.54
Covered loans	9.30	12.42
Total loans	1.69%	2.30%

(a) Delinquent loan ratios exclude \$3.0 billion at September 30, 2012, and \$2.6 billion at December 31, 2011, of loans purchased from GNMA mortgage pools whose repayments are primarily insured by the Federal Housing Administration or guaranteed by the Department of Veterans Affairs. Including these loans, the ratio of residential mortgages 90 days or more past due including all nonperforming loans was 9.64 percent at September 30, 2012, and 9.84 percent at December 31, 2011.

(b) Delinquent loan ratios exclude student loans that are guaranteed by the federal government. Including these loans, the ratio of total other retail loans 90 days or more past due including all nonperforming loans was 1.09 percent at September 30, 2012, and .99 percent at December 31, 2011.

**Loan Delinquencies** Trends in delinquency ratios are an indicator, among other considerations, of credit risk within the Company's loan portfolios. The Company measures delinquencies, both including and excluding nonperforming loans, to enable comparability with other companies. Accruing loans 90 days or more past due totaled \$1.3 billion (\$644 million excluding covered loans) at September 30, 2012, compared with \$1.8 billion (\$843 million excluding covered loans) at December 31, 2011. These balances exclude loans purchased from GNMA mortgage pools whose repayments are primarily insured by the Federal Housing Administration or guaranteed by the Department of Veterans Affairs. The \$199 million (23.6 percent) decrease, excluding covered loans,

reflected improvement in residential mortgages, credit card and other retail loan portfolios during the first nine months of 2012. These loans are not included in nonperforming assets and continue to accrue interest because they are adequately secured by collateral, are in the process of collection and are reasonably expected to result in repayment or restoration to current status, or are managed in homogeneous portfolios with specified charge-off timeframes adhering to regulatory guidelines. The ratio of accruing loans 90 days or more past due to total loans was .61 percent (.31 percent excluding covered loans) at September 30, 2012, compared with .84 percent (.43 percent excluding covered loans) at December 31, 2011.





Edgar Filing: US BANCORP \DE\ - Form 10-Q

The following table provides summary delinquency information for residential mortgages, credit card and other retail loans included in the consumer lending segment:

(Dollars in Millions)	As a Percent of Ending			
	Amount		Loan Balances	
	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
<b>Residential Mortgages (a)</b>				
30-89 days	\$ 393	\$ 404	.93%	1.09%
90 days or more	301	364	.72	.98
Nonperforming	757	650	1.81	1.75
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 1,451</b>	<b>\$ 1,418</b>	<b>3.46%</b>	<b>3.82%</b>
<b>Credit Card</b>				
30-89 days	\$ 230	\$ 238	1.41%	1.37%
90 days or more	194	236	1.18	1.36
Nonperforming	163	224	.99	1.29
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 587</b>	<b>\$ 698</b>	<b>3.58%</b>	<b>4.02%</b>
<b>Other Retail</b>				
<b>Retail Leasing</b>				
30-89 days	\$ 9	\$ 10	.17%	.19%
90 days or more	1	1	.02	.02
Nonperforming	1		.02	
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 11</b>	<b>\$ 11</b>	<b>.21%</b>	<b>.21%</b>
<b>Home Equity and Second Mortgages</b>				
30-89 days	\$ 140	\$ 162	.81%	.90%
90 days or more	54	133	.32	.73
Nonperforming	179	40	1.05	.22
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 373</b>	<b>\$ 335</b>	<b>2.18%</b>	<b>1.85%</b>
<b>Other (b)</b>				
30-89 days	\$ 151	\$ 168	.59%	.68%
90 days or more	42	50	.16	.20
Nonperforming	30	27	.12	.11
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 223</b>	<b>\$ 245</b>	<b>.87%</b>	<b>.99%</b>

(a) Excludes \$3.0 billion and \$2.6 billion at September 30, 2012, and December 31, 2011, respectively, of loans purchased from GNMA mortgage pools that are 90 days or more past due that continue to accrue interest.

(b) Includes revolving credit, installment, automobile and student loans.

The following table provides information on delinquent and nonperforming consumer lending segment loans as a percent of ending loan balances, by channel:

	Consumer Finance		Other Consumer Lending	
	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
<b>Residential Mortgages (a)</b>				
30-89 days	1.83%	1.87%	.51%	.67%
90 days or more	1.19	1.71	.49	.59
Nonperforming	3.10	2.50	1.18	1.35
<b>Total</b>	<b>6.12%</b>	<b>6.08%</b>	<b>2.18%</b>	<b>2.61%</b>
<b>Credit Card</b>				
30-89 days	%	%	1.41%	1.37%
90 days or more			1.18	1.36
Nonperforming			.99	1.29
<b>Total</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>3.58%</b>	<b>4.02%</b>
<b>Other Retail</b>				
<b>Retail Leasing</b>				
30-89 days	%	%	.17%	.19%
90 days or more			.02	.02
Nonperforming			.02	

Edgar Filing: US BANCORP \DE\ - Form 10-Q

<b>Total</b>		%	%	.21%	.21%
<b>Home Equity and Second Mortgages</b>					
30-89 days	1.98%	2.01%	.64%	.73%	
90 days or more	.59	1.42	.28	.63	
Nonperforming	2.03	.21	.90	.22	
<b>Total</b>	<b>4.60%</b>	<b>3.64%</b>	<b>1.82%</b>	<b>1.58%</b>	
<b>Other (b)</b>					
30-89 days	6.27%	4.92%	.52%	.60%	
90 days or more	1.25	.90	.15	.19	
Nonperforming			.12	.11	
<b>Total</b>	<b>7.52%</b>	<b>5.82%</b>	<b>.79%</b>	<b>.90%</b>	

(a) Excludes loans purchased from GNMA mortgage pools that are 90 days or more past due that continue to accrue interest.

(b) Includes revolving credit, installment, automobile and student loans.

Within the consumer finance loans at September 30, 2012, approximately \$353 million of the delinquent residential mortgages and \$64 million of the delinquent home equity and other retail loans were to customers defined as sub-prime, compared with \$363 million and \$63 million, respectively, at December 31, 2011.

The following table provides summary delinquency information for covered loans:

(Dollars in Millions)	Amount		As a Percent of Ending Loan Balances	
	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
30-89 days	\$ 269	\$ 362	2.22%	2.45%
90 days or more	682	910	5.61	6.15
Nonperforming	449	926	3.69	6.26
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 1,400</b>	<b>\$ 2,198</b>	<b>11.52%</b>	<b>14.86%</b>

**Restructured Loans** In certain circumstances, the Company may modify the terms of a loan to maximize the collection of amounts due when a borrower is experiencing financial difficulties or is expected to experience difficulties in the near-term. In most cases the modification is either a concessionary reduction in interest rate, extension of the maturity date or reduction in the principal balance that would otherwise not be considered.

**Troubled Debt Restructurings** Concessionary modifications are classified as TDRs unless the modification results in only an insignificant delay in the payments to be received. TDRs accrue interest if the borrower complies with the revised terms and conditions and has demonstrated repayment performance at a level commensurate with the modified terms over several payment cycles. Loans classified as TDRs are considered impaired loans for reporting and measurement purposes.

The Company continues to work with customers to modify loans for borrowers who are experiencing financial difficulties, including those acquired through FDIC-assisted acquisitions. Many of the Company's TDRs are determined on a case-by-case basis in connection with ongoing loan collection processes. The modifications vary within each of the Company's loan classes. Commercial lending segment TDRs generally include extensions of the maturity date and may be accompanied by an increase or decrease to the interest rate. The Company may also work with the borrower to make other changes to the loan to mitigate losses, such as obtaining additional collateral and/or guarantees to support the loan.

The Company has also implemented certain residential mortgage loan restructuring programs that may result in TDRs. The Company participates in the U.S. Department of the Treasury Home Affordable

Modification Program ( HAMP ). HAMP gives qualifying homeowners an opportunity to permanently modify their loan and achieve more affordable monthly payments, with the U.S. Department of the Treasury compensating the Company for a portion of the reduction in monthly amounts due from borrowers participating in this program. The Company also modifies residential mortgage loans under Federal Housing Administration, Department of Veterans Affairs, and other internal programs. Under these programs, the Company provides concessions to qualifying borrowers experiencing financial difficulties. The concessions may include adjustments to interest rates, conversion of adjustable rates to fixed rates, extensions of maturity dates or deferrals of payments, capitalization of accrued interest and/or outstanding advances, or in limited situations, partial forgiveness of loan principal. In most instances, participation in residential mortgage loan restructuring programs requires the customer to complete a short-term trial period. A permanent loan modification is contingent on the customer successfully completing the trial period arrangement and the loan documents are not modified until that time. The Company reports loans in a trial period arrangement as TDRs.

Credit card and other retail loan modifications are generally part of distinct restructuring programs. The Company offers a workout program providing customers modification solutions over a specified time period, generally up to 60 months. The Company also provides modification programs to qualifying customers experiencing a temporary financial hardship in which reductions are made to monthly required minimum payments for up to 12 months.

In accordance with regulatory guidance, the Company considers secured consumer loans that have had debt discharged through bankruptcy where the borrower has not reaffirmed the debt to be TDRs. If the loan amount exceeds the collateral value, the loan is charged down to collateral value and the remaining amount reported as nonperforming.

Modifications to loans in the covered segment are similar in nature to that described above for non-covered loans, and the evaluation and determination of TDR status is similar, except that acquired loans restructured after acquisition are not considered TDRs for purposes of the Company's accounting and disclosure if the loans evidenced credit deterioration as of the acquisition date and are accounted for in pools. Losses

associated with modifications on covered loans, including the economic impact of interest rate reductions, are generally eligible for reimbursement under the loss sharing agreements.

The following table provides a summary of TDRs by loan class, including the delinquency status for TDRs that continue to accrue interest and TDRs included in nonperforming assets:

At September 30, 2012 (Dollars in Millions)	As a Percent of Performing TDRs					Total TDRs
	Performing TDRs	30-89 Days Past Due	90 Days or More Past Due	Nonperforming TDRs		
Commercial	\$ 230	4.3%	1.5%	\$ 76(a)	\$ 306	
Commercial real estate	583	.8		222(b)	805	
Residential mortgages	2,076	6.8	5.1	326	2,402(d)	
Credit card	300	10.4	6.8	162(c)	462	
Other retail	198	8.4	3.6	71(c)	269(e)	
TDRs, excluding GNMA and covered loans	3,387	6.0	4.0	857	4,244	
Loans purchased from GNMA mortgage pools	1,631	9.9	48.1		1,631(f)	
Covered loans	371	3.6	10.6	121	492	
Total	\$ 5,389	7.0%	17.8%	\$ 978	\$ 6,367	

- (a) Primarily represents loans less than six months from the modification date that have not met the performance period required to return to accrual status (generally six months) and small business credit cards with a modified rate equal to 0 percent.
- (b) Primarily represents loans less than six months from the modification date that have not met the performance period required to return to accrual status (generally six months).
- (c) Primarily represents loans with a modified rate equal to 0 percent.
- (d) Includes \$205 million of residential mortgage loans to borrowers that have had debt discharged through bankruptcy and \$64 million in trial period arrangements.
- (e) Includes \$81 million of home equity and second mortgage loans to borrowers that have had debt discharged through bankruptcy and \$2 million in trial period arrangements.
- (f) Includes \$153 million of Federal Housing Administration and Department of Veterans Affairs residential mortgage loans to borrowers that have had debt discharged through bankruptcy and \$326 million in trial period arrangements.

**Short-term Modifications** The Company makes short-term modifications that it does not consider to be TDRs, in limited circumstances, to assist borrowers experiencing temporary hardships. Consumer lending programs include payment reductions, deferrals of up to three past due payments, and the ability to return to current status if the borrower makes required payments. The Company may also make short-term modifications to commercial lending loans, with the most common modification being an extension of the maturity date of three months or less. Such extensions generally are used when the maturity date is imminent and the borrower is experiencing some level of financial stress, but the Company believes the borrower will pay all contractual amounts owed. Short-term modifications were not material at September 30, 2012.

**Nonperforming Assets** The level of nonperforming assets represents another indicator of the potential for future credit losses. Nonperforming assets include nonaccrual loans, restructured loans not performing in accordance with modified terms and not accruing interest, restructured loans that have not met the performance period required to return to accrual status, other real estate owned and other nonperforming assets owned by the Company. Interest payments collected from assets on nonaccrual status are typically applied against the principal balance and not recorded as income.

At September 30, 2012, total nonperforming assets were \$2.8 billion, compared with \$3.8 billion at December 31, 2011. Excluding covered assets, nonperforming assets were \$2.2 billion at September 30, 2012, compared with \$2.6 billion at December 31, 2011. The \$386 million (15.0 percent) decrease in nonperforming assets, excluding covered assets, was

primarily driven by reductions in nonperforming construction and development loans, as the Company continued to reduce exposure to these problem assets, as well as improvement in other commercial loan portfolios, partially offset by increases in nonperforming residential mortgages and other retail loans. These increases were principally the result of approximately \$109 million of loans placed on nonaccrual status due to regulatory clarification in the treatment of residential mortgage and other consumer loans to borrowers who have had debt discharged through bankruptcy but continue to make payments on their loans, and the inclusion, beginning in the second quarter of 2012, of junior lien loans and lines greater than 120 days past due, as well as junior lien loans and lines behind a first lien greater than 180 days past due or on nonaccrual status, as nonperforming loans. These changes did not have a material impact on the Company's allowance for credit losses. Nonperforming covered assets at September 30, 2012, were \$647 million, compared with \$1.2 billion at December 31, 2011. These assets are covered by loss sharing agreements with the FDIC that substantially reduce the risk of credit losses to the Company. The ratio of total nonperforming assets to total loans and other real estate was 1.30 percent (1.06 percent excluding covered assets) at September 30, 2012, compared with 1.79 percent (1.32 percent excluding covered assets) at December 31, 2011. The Company expects total nonperforming assets to trend lower in the fourth

quarter of 2012.

Other real estate owned, excluding covered assets, was \$259 million at September 30, 2012, compared with \$404 million at December 31, 2011, and was related to foreclosed properties that previously secured loan balances.

**Table 6** Nonperforming Assets (a)

(Dollars in Millions)	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
<b>Commercial</b>		
Commercial	\$ 133	\$ 280
Lease financing	19	32
Total commercial	152	312
<b>Commercial Real Estate</b>		
Commercial mortgages	392	354
Construction and development	239	545
Total commercial real estate	631	899
<b>Residential Mortgages (b)</b>	757	650
<b>Credit Card</b>	163	224
<b>Other Retail</b>		
Retail leasing	1	
Other	209	67
Total other retail	210	67
Total nonperforming loans, excluding covered loans	1,913	2,152
<b>Covered Loans</b>	449	926
Total nonperforming loans	2,362	3,078
<b>Other Real Estate (c)(d)</b>	259	404
<b>Covered Other Real Estate (d)</b>	198	274
<b>Other Assets</b>	16	18
Total nonperforming assets	\$ 2,835	\$ 3,774
Total nonperforming assets, excluding covered assets	\$ 2,188	\$ 2,574
<b>Excluding covered assets:</b>		
Accruing loans 90 days or more past due (b)	\$ 644	\$ 843
Nonperforming loans to total loans	.93%	1.10%
Nonperforming assets to total loans plus other real estate (c)	1.06%	1.32%
<b>Including covered assets:</b>		
Accruing loans 90 days or more past due (b)	\$ 1,326	\$ 1,753
Nonperforming loans to total loans	1.08%	1.47%
Nonperforming assets to total loans plus other real estate (c)	1.30%	1.79%
<b>Changes in Nonperforming Assets</b>		

(Dollars in Millions)	Commercial and Real Estate	Credit Card, Other Retail and Residential Mortgages (f)	Covered Assets	Total
<b>Balance December 31, 2011</b>	\$ 1,475	\$ 1,099	\$ 1,200	\$ 3,774
Additions to nonperforming assets				
New nonaccrual loans and foreclosed properties	710	898	209	1,817
Advances on loans	36			36
Total additions	746	898	209	1,853
Reductions in nonperforming assets				
Paydowns, payoffs	(609)	(242)	(529)	(1,380)
Net sales	(229)	(111)	(189)	(529)
Return to performing status	(38)	(90)	(35)	(163)
Charge-offs (e)	(414)	(297)	(9)	(720)
Total reductions	(1,290)	(740)	(762)	(2,792)
Net additions to (reductions in) nonperforming assets	(544)	158	(553)	(939)
<b>Balance September 30, 2012</b>	\$ 931	\$ 1,257	\$ 647	\$ 2,835

(a) Throughout this document, nonperforming assets and related ratios do not include accruing loans 90 days or more past due.

(b) Excludes \$3.0 billion and \$2.6 billion at September 30, 2012, and December 31, 2011, respectively, of loans purchased from GNMA mortgage pools that are 90 days or more past due that continue to accrue interest, as their repayments are primarily insured by the Federal Housing Administration or guaranteed by the Department of Veterans Affairs.

(c) Foreclosed GNMA loans of \$596 million and \$692 million at September 30, 2012, and December 31, 2011, respectively, continue to accrue interest and are recorded as other assets and excluded from nonperforming assets because they are insured by the Federal Housing Administration or guaranteed by the Department of Veterans Affairs.

Edgar Filing: US BANCORP \DE\ - Form 10-Q

*(d) Includes equity investments in entities whose principal assets are other real estate owned.*

*(e) Charge-offs exclude actions for certain card products and loan sales that were not classified as nonperforming at the time the charge-off occurred.*

*(f) Residential mortgage information excludes changes related to residential mortgages serviced by others.*



The following table provides an analysis of other real estate owned, excluding covered assets, as a percent of their related loan balances, including geographical location detail for residential (residential mortgage, home equity and second mortgage) and commercial (commercial and commercial real estate) loan balances:

(Dollars in Millions)	Amount		As a Percent of Ending Loan Balances	
	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
<b>Residential</b>				
Minnesota	\$ 13	\$ 22	.22%	.39%
Illinois	12	10	.35	.31
Missouri	8	7	.29	.26
California	7	16	.08	.22
Wisconsin	5	6	.23	.29
All other states	74	90	.20	.26
Total residential	119	151	.20	.27
<b>Commercial</b>				
California	25	26	.16	.18
Nevada	21	44	1.70	3.13
Ohio	13	18	.27	.38
Missouri	12	5	.28	.12
Arizona	10	16	.84	1.41
All other states	59	144	.08	.22
Total commercial	140	253	.14	.27
Total	\$ 259	\$ 404	.13%	.21%

**Analysis of Loan Net Charge-Offs** Total loan net charge-offs were \$538 million for the third quarter and \$1.6 billion for the first nine months of 2012, compared with \$669 million and \$2.2 billion for the same periods of 2011. Net charge-offs for the third quarter and first nine months of 2012, included \$54 million of incremental

charge-offs due to clarification of the regulatory guidance related to residential mortgage and other consumer loans to borrowers who have had debt discharged through bankruptcy but continue to make payments on their loans. The ratio of total loan net charge-offs to average loans outstanding on an annualized basis for the third quarter and first nine months of 2012 was .99 percent and 1.02 percent, respectively, compared with 1.31 percent and 1.49 percent for the same periods of 2011. The year-over-year decreases in total net charge-offs were due to improvement in most loan portfolios, as economic conditions continue to slowly improve. Given current economic conditions, the Company expects the level of net charge-offs to be lower in the fourth quarter of 2012.

Commercial and commercial real estate loan net charge-offs for the third quarter of 2012 were \$91 million (.37 percent of average loans outstanding on an annualized basis), compared with \$224 million (1.01 percent of average loans outstanding on an annualized basis) for the third quarter of 2011. Commercial and commercial real estate loan net charge-offs for the first nine months of 2012 were \$372 million (.52 percent of average loans outstanding on an annualized basis), compared with \$748 million (1.17 percent of average loans outstanding on an annualized basis) for the first nine months of 2011. The decreases reflected the impact of efforts to resolve and reduce exposure to problem assets in the Company's commercial real estate portfolios and improvement in the other commercial portfolios due to the stabilizing economy.

**Table 7** Net Charge-offs as a Percent of Average Loans Outstanding

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
<b>Commercial</b>				
Commercial	.41%	.77%	.48%	.90%
Lease financing	.50	.61	.71	.81
Total commercial	.42	.75	.50	.89
<b>Commercial Real Estate</b>				
Commercial mortgages	.26	.93	.45	.81

Edgar Filing: US BANCORP \DE\ - Form 10-Q

Construction and development	.33	3.43	1.05	4.60
Total commercial real estate	.27	1.39	.55	1.56
<b>Residential Mortgages</b>	1.17	1.42	1.16	1.51
<b>Credit Card (a)</b>	4.01	4.40	4.05	5.35
<b>Other Retail</b>				
Retail leasing		(.08)	.03	
Home equity and second mortgages	2.04	1.59	1.71	1.66
Other	1.06	1.11	.95	1.20
Total other retail	1.30	1.16	1.13	1.25
Total loans, excluding covered loans	1.04	1.42	1.09	1.62
<b>Covered Loans</b>	.06	.08	.03	.08
Total loans	.99%	1.31%	1.02%	1.49%

(a) Net charge-off as a percent of average loans outstanding, excluding portfolio purchases where the acquired loans were recorded at fair value at the purchase date, were 4.17 percent and 4.54 percent for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and 4.21 percent and 5.53 percent for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Residential mortgage loan net charge-offs for the third quarter of 2012 were \$121 million (1.17 percent of average loans outstanding on an annualized basis), compared with \$122 million (1.42 percent of average loans outstanding on an annualized basis) for the third quarter of 2011. Third quarter 2012 residential mortgage loan net charge-offs included \$22 million of incremental charge-offs related to regulatory clarification on bankruptcy loans. Residential mortgage loan net charge-offs for the first nine months of 2012 were \$342 million (1.16 percent of average loans outstanding on an annualized basis), compared with \$370 million (1.51 percent of average loans outstanding on an annualized basis) for the first nine months of 2011. Credit card loan net charge-offs for the third quarter of 2012 were \$167 million (4.01 percent of average loans outstanding on an annualized basis), compared with \$178 million (4.40 percent of average loans outstanding on an annualized basis) for the third quarter of 2011. Credit card loan net charge-offs for the first nine months of 2012 were \$506 million (4.05 percent of average loans outstanding on an annualized basis), compared with \$641 million (5.35 percent of average loans outstanding on an

annualized basis) for the first nine months of 2011. Other retail loan net charge-offs for the third quarter of 2012 were \$157 million (1.30 percent of average loans outstanding on an annualized basis), compared with \$142 million (1.16 percent of average loans outstanding on an annualized basis) for the third quarter of 2011. Third quarter other retail loan net charge-offs included \$32 million of incremental charge-offs related to regulatory clarification on bankruptcy loans. Other retail loan net charge-offs for the first nine months of 2012 were \$406 million (1.13 percent of average loans outstanding on an annualized basis), compared with \$452 million (1.25 percent of average loans outstanding on an annualized basis) for the first nine months of 2011. Total residential mortgage, credit card and other retail loan net charge-offs for the third quarter and first nine months of 2012, increased \$3 million (.7 percent) and decreased \$209 million (14.3 percent), respectively, compared with the same periods of 2011, reflecting the current year incremental charge-offs in the residential mortgages and other retail portfolios related to regulatory clarification on bankruptcy loans, offset by the impact of more stable economic conditions.

The following table provides an analysis of net charge-offs as a percent of average loans outstanding by channel:

(Dollars in Millions)	Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	Average Loans		Percent of Average Loans		Average Loans		Percent of Average Loans	
	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011
<b>Consumer Finance</b>								
Residential mortgages	\$ 13,527	\$ 12,397	2.71%	2.59%	\$ 13,303	\$ 12,127	2.49%	2.87%
Home equity and second mortgages	2,250	2,442	5.48	3.57	2,304	2,476	4.35	4.32
Other	333	501	4.78	3.96	376	536	3.91	2.99
<b>Other Consumer Lending</b>								
Residential mortgages	\$ 27,442	\$ 21,629	.42%	.75%	\$ 26,025	\$ 20,727	.48%	.71%
Home equity and second mortgages	15,079	16,068	1.53	1.28	15,315	16,172	1.32	1.25
Other	25,073	24,272	1.02	1.05	24,778	24,118	.91	1.16
<b>Total Company</b>								
Residential mortgages	\$ 40,969	\$ 34,026	1.17%	1.42%	\$ 39,328	\$ 32,854	1.16%	1.51%
Home equity and second mortgages	17,329	18,510	2.04	1.59	17,619	18,648	1.71	1.66
Other (a)	25,406	24,773	1.06	1.11	25,154	24,654	.95	1.20

(a) Includes revolving credit, installment, automobile and student loans

The following table provides further information on net charge-offs as a percent of average loans outstanding for the consumer finance loans:

(Dollars in Millions)	Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	Average Loans		Percent of Average Loans		Average Loans		Percent of Average Loans	
	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011
<b>Residential mortgages</b>								
Sub-prime borrowers	\$ 1,699	\$ 1,940	7.49%	6.14%	\$ 1,757	\$ 2,009	6.61%	6.12%
Other borrowers	11,828	10,457	2.02	1.93	11,546	10,118	1.86	2.22

Edgar Filing: US BANCORP \DE\ - Form 10-Q

Total	\$ 13,527	\$ 12,397	2.71%	2.59%	\$ 13,303	\$ 12,127	2.49%	2.87%
<b>Home equity and second mortgages</b>								
Sub-prime borrowers	\$ 398	\$ 480	11.00%	8.27%	\$ 417	\$ 503	8.65%	9.30%
Other borrowers	1,852	1,962	4.30	2.43	1,887	1,973	3.40	3.05
Total	\$ 2,250	\$ 2,442	5.48%	3.57%	\$ 2,304	\$ 2,476	4.35%	4.32%

**Analysis and Determination of the Allowance for Credit Losses** The allowance for credit losses reserves for probable and estimable losses incurred in the Company's loan and lease portfolio and includes certain amounts that do not represent loss exposure to the Company because those losses are recoverable under loss sharing agreements with the FDIC. The allowance for credit losses is increased through provisions charged to operating earnings and reduced by net charge-offs. Management evaluates the allowance each quarter to ensure it appropriately reserves for incurred losses.

The allowance recorded for loans in the commercial lending segment is based on reviews of individual credit relationships and considers the migration analysis of commercial lending segment loans and actual loss experience. The Company currently uses an 11-year period of historical losses in considering actual loss experience. This timeframe and the results of the analysis are evaluated quarterly to determine the appropriateness. The allowance recorded for impaired loans greater than \$5 million in the commercial lending segment is based on an individual loan analysis utilizing expected cash flows discounted using the original effective interest rate, the observable market price, or the fair value of the collateral for collateral-dependent loans. The allowance recorded for all other commercial lending segment loans is determined on a homogenous pool basis and includes consideration of product mix, risk characteristics of the portfolio, bankruptcy experience, and historical losses, adjusted for current trends.

The allowance recorded for purchased impaired and TDR loans in the consumer lending segment is determined on a homogenous pool basis utilizing expected cash flows discounted using the original effective interest rate of the pool. The allowance for collateral-dependent loans in the consumer lending segment is determined based on the fair value of the collateral. The allowance recorded for all other consumer lending segment loans is determined on a homogenous pool basis and includes consideration of product mix, risk characteristics of the portfolio, bankruptcy experience, delinquency status and historical losses, adjusted for current trends. Credit card and other retail loans 90 days or more past due are generally not placed on nonaccrual status because of the relatively short period of time to charge-off and, therefore, are excluded from nonperforming loans and measures that include nonperforming loans as part of the calculation.

When evaluating the appropriateness of the allowance for credit losses for any loans and lines in a junior lien position, the Company considers the delinquency and modification status of the first lien. At September 30, 2012, the Company serviced the first lien on 31 percent of

the home equity loans and lines in a junior lien position. The Company also considers information received from its primary regulator on the status of the first liens that are serviced by other large servicers in the industry and the status of first lien mortgage accounts reported on customer credit bureau files. Regardless of whether or not the Company services the first lien, an assessment is made of economic conditions, problem loans, recent loss experience and other factors in determining the allowance for credit losses. Based on the available information, the Company estimated \$541 million or 3.2 percent of the total home equity portfolio at September 30, 2012, represented junior liens where the first lien was delinquent or modified.

The Company uses historical loss experience on the loans and lines in a junior lien position where the first lien is serviced by the Company or can be identified in credit bureau data to establish loss estimates for junior lien loans and lines the Company services when they are current, but the first lien is delinquent or modified. Historically, the number of junior lien defaults in any period has been a small percentage of the total portfolio (for example, only 1.5 percent for the twelve months ended September 30, 2012), and the long-term average loss rate on the small percentage of loans that default has been approximately 80 percent. In periods of economic stress such as the current environment, the Company has experienced loss severity rates in excess of 90 percent for junior liens that default. In addition, the Company obtains updated credit scores on its home equity portfolio each quarter and in some cases more frequently, and uses this information to qualitatively supplement its loss estimation methods. Credit score distributions for the portfolio are monitored monthly and any changes in the distribution are one of the factors considered in assessing the Company's loss estimates.

The allowance for covered segment loans is evaluated each quarter in a manner similar to that described for non-covered loans, and represents any decreases in expected cash flows on those loans after the acquisition date. The provision for credit losses for covered segment loans considers the indemnification provided by the FDIC.

In addition, the evaluation of the appropriate allowance for credit losses for purchased non-impaired loans acquired after January 1, 2009, in the various loan segments considers credit discounts recorded as a part of the initial determination of the fair value of the loans. For these loans, no allowance for credit losses is recorded at the purchase date. Credit discounts representing the principal losses expected over the life of the loans are a component of the initial fair value. Subsequent to the purchase date, the methods utilized to estimate the required allowance for credit losses for

these loans is similar to originated loans; however, the Company records a provision for credit losses only when the required allowance, net of any expected reimbursement under any loss sharing agreements with the FDIC, exceeds any remaining credit discounts.

The evaluation of the appropriate allowance for credit losses for purchased impaired loans in the various loan segments considers the expected cash flows to be collected from the borrower. These loans are initially recorded at fair value and therefore no allowance for credit losses is recorded at the purchase date.

Subsequent to the purchase date, the expected cash flows of purchased loans are subject to evaluation. Decreases in the present value of expected cash flows are recognized by recording an allowance for credit losses with the related provision for credit losses reduced for the amount reimbursable by the FDIC, where applicable. If the expected cash flows on the purchased loans increase such that a previously recorded impairment allowance can be reversed, the Company records a reduction in the allowance with a related reduction in losses reimbursable by the FDIC, where applicable. Increases in expected cash flows of purchased loans and decreases in expected cash flows of the FDIC indemnification assets, when there are no previous impairment allowances, are considered together and recognized over the remaining life of the loans. Refer to Note 3 for further information.

The Company's methodology for determining the appropriate allowance for credit losses for all the loan segments also considers the imprecision inherent in the methodologies used. As a result, in addition to the amounts determined under the methodologies described above, management also considers the potential impact of other qualitative factors which include, but are not limited to, economic factors; geographic and other concentration risks; delinquency and nonaccrual trends; current business conditions; changes in lending policy, underwriting standards, internal review and other relevant business practices; and the regulatory environment. The consideration of these items results in adjustments to allowance amounts included in the Company's allowance for credit losses for each of the above loan segments.

Refer to Management's Discussion and Analysis - Analysis and Determination of the Allowance for Credit Losses in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, for further discussion on the analysis and determination of the allowance for credit losses.

At September 30, 2012, the allowance for credit losses was \$4.8 billion (2.19 percent of total loans and 2.26 percent of loans excluding covered loans),

compared with an allowance of \$5.0 billion (2.39 percent of total loans and 2.52 percent of loans excluding covered loans) at December 31, 2011. The ratio of the allowance for credit losses to nonperforming loans was 202 percent (244 percent excluding covered loans) at September 30, 2012, compared with 163 percent (228 percent excluding covered loans) at December 31, 2011. The ratio of the allowance for credit losses to annualized loan net charge-offs was 223 percent at September 30, 2012, compared with 176 percent of full year 2011 net charge-offs at December 31, 2011, as net charge-offs continue to decline due to stabilizing economic conditions.

**Residual Value Risk Management** The Company manages its risk to changes in the residual value of leased assets through disciplined residual valuation setting at the inception of a lease, diversification of its leased assets, regular residual asset valuation reviews and monitoring of residual value gains or losses upon the disposition of assets. As of September 30, 2012, no significant change in the amount of residual values or concentration of the portfolios had occurred since December 31, 2011. Refer to Management's Discussion and Analysis - Residual Value Risk Management in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, for further discussion on residual value risk management.

**Operational Risk Management** The Company manages operational risk through a risk management framework and its internal control processes. Within this framework, the Risk Management Committee of the Company's Board of Directors provides oversight and assesses the most significant operational risks facing the Company within its business lines. Under the guidance of the Risk Management Committee, enterprise risk management personnel establish policies and interact with business lines to monitor significant operating risks on a regular basis. Business lines have direct and primary responsibility and accountability for identifying, controlling, and monitoring operational risks embedded in their business activities. An operational risk that has been recently increasing for large financial institutions is information technology risk such as instances of cyber attacks or security breaches of the networks, systems or devices that the Company's customers use to access the Company's products and services. In addition, enterprise risk management is responsible for establishing a culture of compliance and compliance program standards and policies, and performing risk assessments on the business lines' adherence to laws, rules, regulations and internal policies and procedures. The Consumer Financial

**Table 8** Summary of Allowance for Credit Losses

(Dollars in Millions)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2012	2011	September 30, 2012	2011
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 4,864	\$ 5,308	\$ 5,014	\$ 5,531
<b>Charge-Offs</b>				
<b>Commercial</b>				
Commercial	75	108	243	348
Lease financing	15	18	53	64
Total commercial	90	126	296	412
<b>Commercial real estate</b>				
Commercial mortgages	33	70	123	185
Construction and development	14	61	83	261
Total commercial real estate	47	131	206	446
Residential mortgages	127	124	357	380
Credit card	186	203	585	712
<b>Other retail</b>				
Retail leasing	3	2	7	8
Home equity and second mortgages	96	78	245	245
Other	88	95	251	298
Total other retail	187	175	503	551
Covered loans (a)	2	3	4	10
Total charge-offs	639	762	1,951	2,511
<b>Recoveries</b>				
<b>Commercial</b>				
Commercial	16	18	50	50
Lease financing	8	9	23	28
Total commercial	24	27	73	78
<b>Commercial real estate</b>				
Commercial mortgages	13	2	21	13
Construction and development	9	4	36	19
Total commercial real estate	22	6	57	32
Residential mortgages	6	2	15	10
Credit card	19	25	79	71
<b>Other retail</b>				
Retail leasing	3	3	6	8
Home equity and second mortgages	7	4	19	14
Other	20	26	72	77
Total other retail	30	33	97	99
Covered loans (a)			1	
Total recoveries	101	93	322	290
<b>Net Charge-Offs</b>				
<b>Commercial</b>				
Commercial	59	90	193	298
Lease financing	7	9	30	36
Total commercial	66	99	223	334
<b>Commercial real estate</b>				
Commercial mortgages	20	68	102	172
Construction and development	5	57	47	242
Total commercial real estate	25	125	149	414
Residential mortgages	121	122	342	370
Credit card	167	178	506	641
<b>Other retail</b>				
Retail leasing		(1)	1	
Home equity and second mortgages	89	74	226	231
Other	68	69	179	221
Total other retail	157	142	406	452
Covered loans (a)	2	3	3	10
Total net charge-offs	538	669	1,629	2,221
Provision for credit losses	488	519	1,439	1,846
Net change for credit losses to be reimbursed by the FDIC	(10)	32	(20)	34
Other changes	(33)		(33)	
Balance at end of period	\$ 4,771	\$ 5,190	\$ 4,771	\$ 5,190
<b>Components</b>				

Edgar Filing: US BANCORP \DE\ - Form 10-Q

Allowance for loan losses, excluding losses to be reimbursed by the FDIC	\$ 4,426	\$ 4,823
Allowance for credit losses to be reimbursed by the FDIC	55	127
Liability for unfunded credit commitments	290	240
Total allowance for credit losses	\$ 4,771	\$ 5,190
<b>Allowance for Credit Losses as a Percentage of</b>		
Period-end loans, excluding covered loans	2.26%	2.66%
Nonperforming loans, excluding covered loans	244	196
Nonperforming and accruing loans 90 days or more past due, excluding covered loans	182	149
Nonperforming assets, excluding covered assets	213	166
Annualized net charge-offs, excluding covered loans	219	190
Period-end loans	2.19%	2.53%
Nonperforming loans	202	145
Nonperforming and accruing loans 90 days or more past due	129	100
Nonperforming assets	168	120
Annualized net charge-offs	223	196

*Note: At September 30, 2012 and 2011, \$1.8 billion and \$1.9 billion, respectively, of the total allowance for credit losses related to incurred losses on credit card and other retail loans.*

*(a) Relates to covered loan charge-offs and recoveries not reimbursable by the FDIC.*



Protection Bureau has increasingly become active in its oversight of business practices relating to various consumer financial products, increasing enforcement risk in this area and resulting in fines and penalties against certain of the Company's competitors. Refer to Management's Discussion and Analysis - Operational Risk Management in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, for further discussion on operational risk management.

**Interest Rate Risk Management** In the banking industry, changes in interest rates are a significant risk that can impact earnings, market valuations and the safety and soundness of an entity. To minimize the volatility of net interest income and the market value of assets and liabilities, the Company manages its exposure to changes in interest rates through asset and liability management activities within guidelines established by its Asset Liability Committee (ALCO) and approved by the Board of Directors. The ALCO has the responsibility for approving and ensuring compliance with the ALCO management policies, including interest rate risk exposure. The Company uses net interest income simulation analysis and market value of equity modeling for measuring and analyzing consolidated interest rate risk.

**Net Interest Income Simulation Analysis** Management estimates the impact on net interest income of changes in market interest rates under a number of scenarios, including gradual shifts, immediate and sustained parallel shifts, and flattening or steepening of the yield curve. The table on the following page summarizes the projected impact to net interest income over the next 12 months of various potential interest rate changes. The ALCO policy limits the estimated change in net interest income in a gradual 200 basis point (bps) rate change scenario to a 4.0 percent decline of forecasted net interest income over the next 12 months. At September 30, 2012, and December 31, 2011, the Company was within policy. Refer to Management's Discussion and Analysis - Net Interest Income Simulation Analysis in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, for further discussion on net interest income simulation analysis.

**Market Value of Equity Modeling** The Company also manages interest rate sensitivity by utilizing market value of equity modeling, which measures the degree to which the market values of the Company's assets and liabilities and off-balance sheet instruments will change given a change in interest rates. Management measures

the impact of changes in market interest rates under a number of scenarios, including immediate and sustained parallel shifts, and flattening or steepening of the yield curve. The ALCO policy limits the change in market value of equity in a 200 bps parallel rate shock to a 15.0 percent decline. A 200 bps increase would have resulted in a 2.6 percent decrease in the market value of equity at September 30, 2012, compared with a 2.0 percent decrease at December 31, 2011. A 200 bps decrease, where possible given current rates, would have resulted in a 5.6 percent decrease in the market value of equity at September 30, 2012, compared with a 6.4 percent decrease at December 31, 2011. Refer to

Management's Discussion and Analysis - Market Value of Equity Modeling in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, for further discussion on market value of equity modeling.

**Use of Derivatives to Manage Interest Rate and Other Risks** To reduce the sensitivity of earnings to interest rate, prepayment, credit, price and foreign currency fluctuations (asset and liability management positions), the Company enters into derivative transactions. The Company uses derivatives for asset and liability management purposes primarily in the following ways:

- To convert fixed-rate debt from fixed-rate payments to floating-rate payments;
- To convert the cash flows associated with floating-rate loans and debt from floating-rate payments to fixed-rate payments;
- To mitigate changes in value of the Company's mortgage origination pipeline, funded mortgage loans held for sale and MSRs; and
- To mitigate remeasurement volatility of foreign currency denominated balances.

To manage these risks, the Company may enter into exchange-traded and over-the-counter derivative contracts, including interest rate swaps, swaptions, futures, forwards and options. In addition, the Company enters into interest rate and foreign exchange derivative contracts to support the business requirements of its customers (customer-related positions). The Company minimizes the market and liquidity risks of customer-related positions by entering into similar offsetting positions with broker-dealers. The Company does not utilize derivatives for speculative purposes.

The Company does not designate all of the derivatives that it enters into for risk management purposes as accounting hedges because of the inefficiency of applying the accounting requirements and

## Sensitivity of Net Interest Income

	September 30, 2012				December 31, 2011				
	Down 50 bps Immediate	Up 50 bps Immediate	Down 200 bps Gradual	Up 200 bps		Down 50 bps Immediate	Up 50 bps Immediate	Down 200 bps Gradual	Up 200 bps Gradual
				Gradual	Immediate				
Net interest income	*	1.54%	*	2.06%	*	1.57%	*	1.92%	

\* Given the current level of interest rates, a downward rate scenario can not be computed.

may instead elect fair value accounting for the related hedged items. In particular, the Company enters into interest rate swaps, forward commitments to buy to-be-announced securities ( TBAs ), U.S. Treasury futures and options on U.S. Treasury futures to mitigate fluctuations in the value of its MSR's, but does not designate those derivatives as accounting hedges.

Additionally, the Company uses forward commitments to sell TBAs and other commitments to sell residential mortgage loans at specified prices to economically hedge the interest rate risk in its residential mortgage loan production activities. At September 30, 2012, the Company had \$20.4 billion of forward commitments to sell, hedging \$9.8 billion of mortgage loans held for sale and \$17.3 billion of unfunded mortgage loan commitments. The forward commitments to sell and the unfunded mortgage loan commitments on loans intended to be sold are considered derivatives under the accounting guidance related to accounting for derivative instruments and hedging activities. The Company has elected the fair value option for the mortgage loans held for sale.

Derivatives are subject to credit risk associated with counterparties to the contracts. Credit risk associated with derivatives is measured by the Company based on the probability of counterparty default. The Company manages the credit risk of its derivative positions by diversifying its positions among various counterparties, by entering into master netting agreements, and, where possible, by requiring collateral agreements. The Company may also transfer counterparty credit risk related to interest rate swaps to third parties through the use of risk participation agreements.

For additional information on derivatives and hedging activities, refer to Note 10 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

**Market Risk Management** In addition to interest rate risk, the Company is exposed to other forms of market risk, principally related to trading activities which support customers' strategies to manage their own foreign currency, interest rate risk and funding activities. The Company's Market Risk Committee ( MRC ), underneath the ALCO, oversees market risk

management. The MRC monitors and reviews the Company's trading positions and establishes policies for market risk management, including exposure limits for each portfolio. The Company uses a Value at Risk ( VaR ) approach to measure general market risk. Theoretically, VaR represents the statistical risk of loss the Company has to adverse market movements over a one-day time horizon. The Company uses the Historical Simulation method to calculate VaR for its trading businesses measured at the ninety-ninth percentile using a one-year look-back period for distributions derived from past market data. The market factors used in the calculations include those pertinent to market risks inherent in the underlying trading portfolios, principally those that affect its investment grade bond trading business, foreign currency transaction business, client derivatives business, loan trading business and municipal securities business. On average, the Company expects the one-day VaR to be exceeded two to three times per year in each business. The Company monitors the effectiveness of its risk programs by back-testing the performance of its VaR models, regularly updating the historical data used by the VaR models and stress testing. If the Company were to experience market losses in excess of the estimated VaR more often than expected, the VaR models and associated assumptions would be analyzed and adjusted. The Company stress tests its market risk measurements to provide management with perspectives on market events that may not be captured by its VaR models, including worst case historical market movement combinations that have not necessarily occurred on the same date.

The average, high and low VaR amounts for the Company's trading positions for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, were \$2 million, \$3 million and \$1 million, respectively, compared with \$2 million, \$4 million and \$1 million, respectively, for the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

The Company also measures the market risk of its hedging activities related to MSR's and residential mortgage loans held for sale using the Historical Simulation method. The VaR's are measured at the ninety-ninth percentile and employ factors pertinent to the market risks inherent in the valuation of the assets



and hedges. A three-year look-back period is used to obtain past market data. The Company monitors the effectiveness of the models through back-testing, updating the data and regular validations. The average, high and low VaR amounts for the MSRs and related hedges for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, were \$4 million, \$8 million and \$2 million, respectively, compared with \$8 million, \$14 million and \$3 million, respectively, for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The average, high and low VaR amounts for residential mortgage loans held for sale and related hedges for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, were \$2 million, \$7 million and \$1 million, respectively, compared with \$3 million, \$7 million and \$2 million, respectively, for the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

**Liquidity Risk Management** The Company's liquidity risk management process is designed to identify, measure, and manage the Company's funding and liquidity risk to meet its daily funding needs and to address expected and unexpected changes in its funding requirements. The Company engages in various activities to manage its liquidity risk. These include diversifying its funding sources, stress testing, and holding readily-marketable assets which can be used as a source of liquidity if needed. In addition, the Company's profitable operations, sound credit quality and strong capital position have enabled it to develop a large and reliable base of core deposit funding within its market areas and in domestic and global capital markets.

The Risk Management Committee of the Company's Board of Directors oversees the Company's liquidity risk management process and approves the Company's liquidity policy and reviews its contingency funding plan. The ALCO reviews and approves the Company's liquidity policies and guidelines, and regularly assesses the Company's ability to meet funding requirements arising from adverse company-specific or market events.

The Company regularly projects its funding needs under various stress scenarios and maintains contingency plans consistent with the Company's access to diversified sources of contingent funding. The Company maintains a substantial level of total available liquidity in the form of on-balance sheet and off-balance sheet funding sources. These include cash at the Federal Reserve, unencumbered liquid assets, and capacity to borrow at the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) and the Federal Reserve Discount Window. At September 30, 2012, the fair value of unencumbered available-for-sale and held-to-maturity investment securities totaled \$57.3 billion, compared with \$48.7 billion at December 31,

2011. Refer to Table 4 and Balance Sheet Analysis for further information on investment securities maturities and trends. Asset liquidity is further enhanced by the Company's ability to pledge loans to access secured borrowing facilities through the FHLB and Federal Reserve Bank. At September 30, 2012, the Company could have borrowed an additional \$66.0 billion at the FHLB and Federal Reserve Bank based on collateral available for additional borrowings.

The Company's diversified deposit base provides a sizeable source of relatively stable and low-cost funding, while reducing the Company's reliance on the wholesale markets. Total deposits were \$244.2 billion at September 30, 2012, compared with \$230.9 billion at December 31, 2011, reflecting organic growth in core deposits and acquired balances. Refer to Balance Sheet Analysis for further information on the Company's deposits.

Additional funding is provided by long-term debt and short-term borrowings. Long-term debt was \$26.3 billion at September 30, 2012, and is an important funding source because of its multi-year borrowing structure. Short-term borrowings were \$27.9 billion at September 30, 2012, and supplement the Company's other funding sources. Refer to Balance Sheet Analysis for further information on the Company's long-term debt and short-term borrowings.

In addition to assessing liquidity risk on a consolidated basis, the Company monitors the parent company liquidity and maintains sufficient funding to meet expected parent company obligations, without access to the wholesale funding markets or dividends from subsidiaries, for 12 months when forecasted payments of common stock dividends are included and 24 months assuming dividends were reduced to zero. The parent company currently has available funds on its balance sheet considerably greater than the amounts required to satisfy these conditions.

Refer to Management's Discussion and Analysis - Liquidity Risk Management in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, for further discussion on liquidity risk management.

At September 30, 2012, parent company long-term debt outstanding was \$12.8 billion, compared with \$14.6 billion at December 31, 2011. The \$1.8 billion decrease was primarily due to \$2.7 billion of medium-term note maturities and \$2.7 billion of redemptions of junior subordinated debentures, partially offset by issuances of \$1.3 billion of subordinated debt and \$2.3 billion of medium-term notes. As of September 30, 2012, there was no parent company debt scheduled to mature in the remainder of 2012.

Federal banking laws regulate the amount of dividends that may be paid by banking subsidiaries without prior approval. The amount of dividends available to the parent company from its banking subsidiaries after meeting the regulatory capital requirements for well-capitalized banks was approximately \$8.3 billion at September 30, 2012.

**European Exposures** Certain European countries have experienced severe credit deterioration. The Company does not hold sovereign debt of any European country, but may have indirect exposure to sovereign debt through its investments in, and transactions with, European banks. At September 30, 2012, the Company had investments in perpetual preferred stock issued by European banks with an amortized cost totaling \$99 million and unrealized losses totaling \$10 million, compared with an amortized cost totaling \$169 million and unrealized losses totaling \$48 million, at December 31, 2011. The Company also transacts with various European banks as counterparties to interest rate swaps and foreign currency transactions for its hedging and customer-related activities, however none of these banks are domiciled in the countries experiencing the most significant credit deterioration. These derivative transactions are subject to master netting and collateral support agreements which significantly limit the Company's exposure to loss as they generally require daily posting of collateral. At September 30, 2012, the Company was in a net payable position to each of these European banks.

The Company has not bought or sold credit protection on the debt of any European country or any company domiciled in Europe, nor does it provide retail lending services in Europe. While the Company does not offer commercial lending services in Europe, it does provide financing to domestic multinational corporations that generate revenue from customers in European countries and provides a limited number of corporate credit cards to their European subsidiaries. While an economic downturn in Europe could have a negative impact on these customers' revenues, it is unlikely that any effect on the overall credit worthiness of these multinational corporations would be material to the Company.

The Company provides merchant processing and corporate trust services in Europe and through banking affiliations in Europe. Operating cash for these businesses are deposited on a short-term basis with certain European banks. However, exposure is mitigated by the Company placing deposits at multiple banks and managing the amounts on deposit at any bank based on institution-specific deposit limits. At September 30, 2012,

the Company had an aggregate amount on deposit with European banks of approximately \$400 million.

The money market funds managed by an affiliate of the Company do not have any investments in European sovereign debt. Other than investments in banks in the countries of Sweden, Switzerland, Norway, the Netherlands and the United Kingdom, those funds do not have any unsecured investments in banks domiciled in the Eurozone.

**Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements** Off-balance sheet arrangements include any contractual arrangements to which an unconsolidated entity is a party, under which the Company has an obligation to provide credit or liquidity enhancements or market risk support. In the ordinary course of business, the Company enters into an array of commitments to extend credit, letters of credit and various forms of guarantees that may be considered off-balance sheet arrangements. Refer to Note 12 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further information on these arrangements. The Company has not utilized private label asset securitizations as a source of funding. Off-balance sheet arrangements also include any obligation related to a variable interest held in an unconsolidated entity that provides financing, liquidity, credit enhancement or market risk support. Refer to Note 4 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further information related to the Company's interests in variable interest entities.

**Capital Management** The Company is committed to managing capital to maintain strong protection for depositors and creditors and for maximum shareholder benefit. The Company also manages its capital to exceed regulatory capital requirements for well-capitalized bank holding companies. These requirements follow the Capital Accord of the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (Basel I). Table 9 provides a summary of regulatory capital ratios defined by banking regulators under the FDIC Improvement Act prompt corrective action provisions applicable to all banks, as of September 30, 2012, and December 31, 2011. All regulatory ratios exceeded regulatory well-capitalized requirements. In 2010, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision issued Basel III, a global regulatory framework, proposed to enhance international capital standards. In June 2012, U.S. banking regulators proposed regulatory enhancements to the regulatory capital requirements for U.S. banks, which implement aspects of Basel III and the Dodd-Frank Act, such as redefining the regulatory capital elements and minimum capital ratios, introducing regulatory capital buffers above those minimums, revising the rules for calculating

risk-weighted assets and introducing a new Tier 1 common equity ratio. The Company continues to evaluate these proposals, but does not expect their impact to be material to the financial statements.

Total U.S. Bancorp shareholders' equity was \$38.7 billion at September 30, 2012, compared with \$34.0 billion at December 31, 2011. The increase was primarily the result of corporate earnings, the issuance of \$2.2 billion of non-cumulative perpetual preferred stock to replace certain junior subordinated debentures, due to proposed rule changes for securities that qualify as Tier 1 capital, and changes in unrealized gains and losses on investment securities included in other comprehensive income, partially offset by dividends and common share repurchases. Refer to Management's Discussion and Analysis - Capital Management in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, for further discussion on capital management.

The Company believes certain capital ratios in addition to regulatory capital ratios defined by banking regulators under the FDIC Improvement Act prompt corrective action provisions are useful in evaluating its capital adequacy. The Company's Tier 1 common equity (using Basel I definition) and tangible common equity, as a percent of risk-weighted assets, were 9.0 percent and 8.8 percent, respectively, at September 30, 2012, compared with 8.6 percent and 8.1 percent, respectively, at December 31, 2011. The Company's tangible common equity divided by tangible assets was 7.2 percent at September 30, 2012, compared with 6.6 percent at December 31, 2011. Additionally, the Company's approximate Tier 1 common equity to risk-weighted assets ratio using proposed rules for the Basel III standardized approach released June 2012, was 8.2 percent at September 30, 2012. Refer to Non-GAAP Financial Measures for further information regarding the calculation of these ratios.

On March 13, 2012, the Company increased its dividend rate per common share by 56 percent, from \$.125 per quarter to \$.195 per quarter.

On March 13, 2012, the Company announced its Board of Directors had approved an authorization to

repurchase 100 million shares of common stock through March 31, 2013. All shares repurchased during the third quarter of 2012 were repurchased under this authorization.

The following table provides a detailed analysis of all shares repurchased by the Company during the third quarter of 2012:

Time Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of the Program	Average Price Paid per Share	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Program
July	9,243	\$ 33.17	84,005,493
August	3,625,978	33.36	80,379,515
September	13,487,082	34.07	66,892,433
Total	17,122,303	\$ 33.92	66,892,433

## LINE OF BUSINESS FINANCIAL REVIEW

The Company's major lines of business are Wholesale Banking and Commercial Real Estate, Consumer and Small Business Banking, Wealth Management and Securities Services, Payment Services, and Treasury and Corporate Support. These operating segments are components of the Company about which financial information is prepared and is evaluated regularly by management in deciding how to allocate resources and assess performance.

**Basis for Financial Presentation** Business line results are derived from the Company's business unit profitability reporting systems by specifically attributing managed balance sheet assets, deposits and other liabilities and their related income or expense. Refer to Management's Discussion and Analysis - Line of Business Financial Review in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, for further discussion on the business lines' basis for financial presentation.

Designations, assignments and allocations change from time to time as management systems are enhanced, methods of evaluating performance or product lines change or business segments are realigned to better respond to the Company's diverse customer base. During 2012, certain organization and methodology

**Table 9** Regulatory Capital Ratios

(Dollars in Millions)	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Tier 1 capital	\$ 30,766	\$ 29,173
As a percent of risk-weighted assets	10.9%	10.8%
As a percent of adjusted quarterly average assets (leverage ratio)	9.2%	9.1%
Total risk-based capital	\$ 37,559	\$ 36,067
As a percent of risk-weighted assets	13.3%	13.3%

changes were made and, accordingly, 2011 results were restated and presented on a comparable basis.

**Wholesale Banking and Commercial Real Estate** Wholesale Banking and Commercial Real Estate offers lending, equipment finance and small-ticket leasing, depository services, treasury management, capital markets, foreign exchange, international trade services and other financial services to middle market, large corporate, commercial real estate, financial institution and public sector clients. Wholesale Banking and Commercial Real Estate contributed \$326 million of the Company's net income in the third quarter and \$984 million in the first nine months of 2012, or increases of \$22 million (7.2 percent) and \$203 million (26.0 percent), respectively, compared with the same periods of 2011. The increase for the third quarter of 2012 over the third quarter of 2011 was primarily driven by lower provision for credit losses and lower noninterest expense, partially offset by lower total net revenue. The increase for the first nine months of 2012 over the same period of 2011 was primarily driven by lower provision for credit losses, partially offset by lower total net revenue and higher noninterest expense.

Total net revenue decreased \$39 million (4.5 percent) in the third quarter and \$19 million (.8 percent) in the first nine months of 2012, compared with the same periods of 2011. Net interest income, on a taxable-equivalent basis, decreased \$18 million (3.3 percent) in the third quarter and \$6 million (.4 percent) in the first nine months of 2012, compared with the same periods of 2011. The decreases reflected the net impact of lower rates on loans and the impact of lower rates on the margin benefit from deposits, partially offset by higher average loan and deposit balances. Noninterest income decreased \$21 million (6.6 percent) in the third quarter of 2012, compared with the same period of 2011, primarily due to lower commercial products revenue, principally lower syndication and other loan fees, commercial leasing revenue and standby letters of credit fees, partially offset by an increase in high-grade bond underwriting fees. In addition, equity investment revenue was lower in the third quarter of 2012, compared with the third quarter of 2011. Noninterest income decreased \$13 million (1.4 percent) in the first nine months of 2012, compared with the same period of 2011, primarily due to lower commercial products revenue, partially offset by higher equity investment revenue.

Noninterest expense decreased \$7 million (2.2 percent) in the third quarter of 2012, compared with the third quarter of 2011, primarily due to lower

costs related to other real estate owned. Noninterest expense increased \$6 million (.6 percent) in the first nine months of 2012, compared with the same period of 2011, largely due to higher compensation and employee benefits expense, partially offset by lower costs related to other real estate owned. The provision for credit losses decreased \$65 million in the third quarter and \$353 million (99.2 percent) in the first nine months of 2012, compared with the same periods of 2011, due to lower net charge-offs and reductions in the reserve allocation. Nonperforming assets were \$600 million at September 30, 2012, \$728 million at June 30, 2012, and \$1.2 billion at September 30, 2011. Nonperforming assets as a percentage of period-end loans were .90 percent at September 30, 2012, 1.10 percent at June 30, 2012, and 2.01 percent at September 30, 2011. Refer to the Corporate Risk Profile section for further information on factors impacting the credit quality of the loan portfolios.

**Consumer and Small Business Banking** Consumer and Small Business Banking delivers products and services through banking offices, telephone servicing and sales, on-line services, direct mail, ATM processing and over mobile devices. It encompasses community banking, metropolitan banking, in-store banking, small business banking, consumer lending, mortgage banking, consumer finance, workplace banking, student banking and 24-hour banking. Consumer and Small Business Banking contributed \$326 million of the Company's net income in the third quarter and \$1.1 billion in the first nine months of 2012, or increases of \$105 million (47.5 percent) and \$537 million, respectively, compared with the same periods of 2011. The increase in the third quarter of 2012 over the third quarter of 2011 was due to higher total net revenue, partially offset by increases in the provision for credit losses and noninterest expense. The increase in the first nine months of 2012 over the first nine months of 2011 was due to higher total net revenue and lower provision for credit losses, partially offset by an increase in noninterest expense.

Within Consumer and Small Business Banking, the retail banking division contributed \$81 million of the total net income in the third quarter and \$385 million in the first nine months of 2012, or a decrease of \$39 million (32.5 percent) and an increase of \$182 million (89.7 percent), respectively, from the same periods of 2011. Mortgage banking contributed \$245 million and \$675 million of Consumer and Small Business Banking's net income in the third quarter and first nine months of 2012, respectively, or increases of \$144 million and \$355 million, respectively, from the same periods of 2011.



**Table 10** Line of Business Financial Performance

Three Months Ended September 30	Wholesale Banking and			Consumer and Small		
	Commercial Real Estate			Business Banking		
	2012	2011	Percent Change	2012	2011	Percent Change
(Dollars in Millions)						
<b>Condensed Income Statement</b>						
Net interest income (taxable-equivalent basis)	\$ 526	\$ 544	(3.3)%	\$ 1,191	\$ 1,153	3.3%
Noninterest income	296	317	(6.6)	931	707	31.7
Securities gains (losses), net						
Total net revenue	822	861	(4.5)	2,122	1,860	14.1
Noninterest expense	306	313	(2.2)	1,251	1,164	7.5
Other intangibles	4	4		13	19	(31.6)
Total noninterest expense	310	317	(2.2)	1,264	1,183	6.8
Income before provision and income taxes	512	544	(5.9)	858	677	26.7
Provision for credit losses		65	*	346	330	4.8
Income before income taxes	512	479	6.9	512	347	47.6
Income taxes and taxable-equivalent adjustment	186	174	6.9	186	126	47.6
Net income	326	305	6.9	326	221	47.5
Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests		(1)	*			
Net income attributable to U.S. Bancorp	\$ 326	\$ 304	7.2	\$ 326	\$ 221	47.5
<b>Average Balance Sheet</b>						
Commercial	\$ 46,210	\$ 38,020	21.5%	\$ 8,398	\$ 7,332	14.5%
Commercial real estate	19,681	19,224	2.4	16,208	15,656	3.5
Residential mortgages	54	65	(16.9)	40,526	33,561	20.8
Credit card						
Other retail	7	4	75.0	45,656	46,000	(.7)
Total loans, excluding covered loans	65,952	57,313	15.1	110,788	102,549	8.0
Covered loans	806	1,320	(38.9)	7,396	8,266	(10.5)
Total loans	66,758	58,633	13.9	118,184	110,815	6.6
Goodwill	1,604	1,604		3,515	3,515	
Other intangible assets	34	50	(32.0)	1,764	1,946	(9.4)
Assets	72,668	64,594	12.5	135,828	123,960	9.6
Noninterest-bearing deposits	31,025	27,848	11.4	21,127	17,852	18.3
Interest checking	7,890	10,974	(28.1)	30,051	27,048	11.1
Savings products	10,320	9,351	10.4	43,723	40,762	7.3
Time deposits	21,202	14,732	43.9	23,673	24,500	(3.4)
Total deposits	70,437	62,905	12.0	118,574	110,162	7.6
Total U.S. Bancorp shareholders' equity	6,383	5,604	13.9	11,562	9,327	24.0

Nine Months Ended September 30	Wholesale Banking and			Consumer and Small		
	Commercial Real Estate			Business Banking		
	2012	2011	Percent Change	2012	2011	Percent Change
(Dollars in Millions)						
<b>Condensed Income Statement</b>						

Edgar Filing: US BANCORP \DE\ - Form 10-Q

Net interest income (taxable-equivalent basis)	\$ 1,580	\$ 1,586	(.4)%	\$ 3,551	\$ 3,412	4.1%
Noninterest income	923	936	(1.4)	2,701	1,995	35.4
Securities gains (losses), net						
<b>Total net revenue</b>	<b>2,503</b>	<b>2,522</b>	<b>(.8)</b>	<b>6,252</b>	<b>5,407</b>	<b>15.6</b>
Noninterest expense	941	935	.6	3,673	3,430	7.1
Other intangibles	12	12		39	57	(31.6)
<b>Total noninterest expense</b>	<b>953</b>	<b>947</b>	<b>.6</b>	<b>3,712</b>	<b>3,487</b>	<b>6.5</b>
<b>Income before provision and income taxes</b>	<b>1,550</b>	<b>1,575</b>	<b>(1.6)</b>	<b>2,540</b>	<b>1,920</b>	<b>32.3</b>
Provision for credit losses	3	356	(99.2)	875	1,097	(20.2)
<b>Income before income taxes</b>	<b>1,547</b>	<b>1,219</b>	<b>26.9</b>	<b>1,665</b>	<b>823</b>	<b>*</b>
Income taxes and taxable-equivalent adjustment	563	443	27.1	605	299	*
<b>Net income</b>	<b>984</b>	<b>776</b>	<b>26.8</b>	<b>1,060</b>	<b>524</b>	<b>*</b>
Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests		5	*		(1)	*
<b>Net income attributable to U.S. Bancorp</b>	<b>\$ 984</b>	<b>\$ 781</b>	<b>26.0</b>	<b>\$ 1,060</b>	<b>\$ 523</b>	<b>*</b>

**Average Balance Sheet**

Commercial	\$ 44,376	\$ 36,406	21.9%	\$ 8,127	\$ 7,243	12.2%
Commercial real estate	19,614	19,271	1.8	16,070	15,462	3.9
Residential mortgages	60	68	(11.8)	38,883	32,391	20.0
Credit card						
Other retail	5	6	(16.7)	45,604	45,691	(.2)
<b>Total loans, excluding covered loans</b>	<b>64,055</b>	<b>55,751</b>	<b>14.9</b>	<b>108,684</b>	<b>100,787</b>	<b>7.8</b>
Covered loans	1,003	1,589	(36.9)	7,642	8,520	(10.3)
<b>Total loans</b>	<b>65,058</b>	<b>57,340</b>	<b>13.5</b>	<b>116,326</b>	<b>109,307</b>	<b>6.4</b>
Goodwill	1,604	1,604		3,515	3,521	(.2)
Other intangible assets	38	55	(30.9)	1,784	2,138	(16.6)
Assets	70,895	63,218	12.1	133,108	122,942	8.3
Noninterest-bearing deposits	30,630	23,749	29.0	19,774	17,649	12.0
Interest checking	10,608	13,024	(18.6)	29,660	26,228	13.1
Savings products	8,918	9,555	(6.7)	43,106	40,290	7.0
Time deposits	17,210	14,845	15.9	24,097	24,478	(1.6)
<b>Total deposits</b>	<b>67,366</b>	<b>61,173</b>	<b>10.1</b>	<b>116,637</b>	<b>108,645</b>	<b>7.4</b>
Total U.S. Bancorp shareholders' equity	6,327	5,533	14.4	11,147	9,277	20.2

\* Not meaningful.

Edgar Filing: US BANCORP \DE\ - Form 10-Q

Wealth Management and Securities Services			Payment Services			Treasury and Corporate Support			Consolidated Company		
2012	2011	Percent Change	2012	2011	Percent Change	2012	2011	Percent Change	2012	2011	Percent Change
\$ 86	\$ 89	(3.4)%	\$ 382	\$ 335	14.0%	\$ 598	\$ 503	18.9%	\$ 2,783	\$ 2,624	6.1%
283	254	11.4	851	854	(.4)	34	48	(29.2)	2,395	2,180	9.9
						1	(9)	*	1	(9)	*
369	343	7.6	1,233	1,189	3.7	633	542	16.8	5,179	4,795	8.0
289	267	8.2	451	449	.4	245	208	17.8	2,542	2,401	5.9
10	9	11.1	40	43	(7.0)				67	75	(10.7)
299	276	8.3	491	492	(.2)	245	208	17.8	2,609	2,476	5.4
70	67	4.5	742	697	6.5	388	334	16.2	2,570	2,319	10.8
4	2	*	135	125	8.0	3	(3)	*	488	519	(6.0)
66	65	1.5	607	572	6.1	385	337	14.2	2,082	1,800	15.7
24	24		221	208	6.3	33	16	*	650	548	18.6
42	41	2.4	386	364	6.0	352	321	9.7	1,432	1,252	14.4
			(10)	(10)		52	32	62.5	42	21	*
\$ 42	\$ 41	2.4	\$ 376	\$ 354	6.2	\$ 404	\$ 353	14.4	\$ 1,474	\$ 1,273	15.8
\$ 1,398	\$ 1,069	30.8%	\$ 6,062	\$ 5,828	4.0%	\$ 124	\$ 95	30.5%	\$ 62,192	\$ 52,344	18.8%
599	567	5.6				142	122	16.4	36,630	35,569	3.0
384	392	(2.0)				5	8	(37.5)	40,969	34,026	20.4
			16,551	16,057	3.1				16,551	16,057	3.1
1,526	1,490	2.4	802	885	(9.4)		1	*	47,991	48,380	(.8)
3,907	3,518	11.1	23,415	22,770	2.8	271	226	19.9	204,333	186,376	9.6
11	12	(8.3)	5	5		4,377	6,190	(29.3)	12,595	15,793	(20.2)
3,918	3,530	11.0	23,420	22,775	2.8	4,648	6,416	(27.6)	216,928	202,169	7.3
1,469	1,463	.4	2,346	2,367	(.9)				8,934	8,949	(.2)
165	179	(7.8)	646	775	(16.6)	4	5	(20.0)	2,613	2,955	(11.6)
6,698	5,976	12.1	29,522	28,235	4.6	100,937	98,816	2.1	345,653	321,581	7.5
14,856	11,801	25.9	623	653	(4.6)	496	452	9.7	68,127	58,606	16.2
3,919	2,835	38.2	1,346	184	*	1	1		43,207	41,042	5.3
23,051	21,314	8.1	40	31	29.0	139	207	(32.9)	77,273	71,665	7.8
5,459	4,787	14.0				340	37	*	50,674	44,056	15.0
47,285	40,737	16.1	2,009	868	*	976	697	40.0	239,281	215,369	11.1
2,241	2,075	8.0	5,654	5,276	7.2	12,779	10,805	18.3	38,619	33,087	16.7
Wealth Management and Securities Services			Payment Services			Treasury and Corporate Support			Consolidated Company		
2012	2011	Percent Change	2012	2011	Percent Change	2012	2011	Percent Change	2012	2011	Percent Change
\$ 263	\$ 259	1.5%	\$ 1,154	\$ 995	16.0%	\$ 1,638	\$ 1,423	15.1%	\$ 8,186	\$ 7,675	6.7%
828	793	4.4	2,399	2,443	(1.8)	157	184	(14.7)	7,008	6,351	10.3

Edgar Filing: US BANCORP \DE\ - Form 10-Q

						(18)	(22)	18.2	(18)	(22)	18.2
1,091	1,052	3.7	3,553	3,438	3.3	1,777	1,585	12.1	15,176	14,004	8.4
856	802	6.7	1,344	1,306	2.9	748	517	44.7	7,562	6,990	8.2
30	27	11.1	127	129	(1.6)				208	225	(7.6)
886	829	6.9	1,471	1,435	2.5	748	517	44.7	7,770	7,215	7.7
205	223	(8.1)	2,082	2,003	3.9	1,029	1,068	(3.7)	7,406	6,789	9.1
6	3	*	548	377	45.4	7	13	(46.2)	1,439	1,846	(22.0)
199	220	(9.5)	1,534	1,626	(5.7)	1,022	1,055	(3.1)	5,967	4,943	20.7
72	81	(11.1)	558	591	(5.6)	54	69	(21.7)	1,852	1,483	24.9
127	139	(8.6)	976	1,035	(5.7)	968	986	(1.8)	4,115	3,460	18.9
			(30)	(29)	(3.4)	142	87	63.2	112	62	80.6
\$ 127	\$ 139	(8.6)	\$ 946	\$ 1,006	(6.0)	\$ 1,110	\$ 1,073	3.4	\$ 4,227	\$ 3,522	20.0
\$ 1,267	\$ 1,069	18.5%	\$ 5,904	\$ 5,561	6.2%	\$ 116	\$ 104	11.5%	\$ 59,790	\$ 50,383	18.7%
582	575	1.2				123	109	12.8	36,389	35,417	2.7
379	385	(1.6)				6	10	(40.0)	39,328	32,854	19.7
			16,675	16,022	4.1				16,675	16,022	4.1
1,513	1,547	(2.2)	817	909	(10.1)	1	1		47,940	48,154	(.4)
3,741	3,576	4.6	23,396	22,492	4.0	246	224	9.8	200,122	182,830	9.5
12	12		5	4	25.0	4,947	6,578	(24.8)	13,609	16,703	(18.5)
3,753	3,588	4.6	23,401	22,496	4.0	5,193	6,802	(23.7)	213,731	199,533	7.1
1,468	1,463	.3	2,349	2,365	(.7)				8,936	8,953	(.2)
172	188	(8.5)	717	806	(11.0)	4	5	(20.0)	2,715	3,192	(14.9)
6,454	6,018	7.2	29,685	27,679	7.2	100,665	94,222	6.8	340,807	314,079	8.5
13,899	8,176	70.2	638	684	(6.7)	482	300	60.7	65,423	50,558	29.4
3,924	2,908	34.9	1,329	174	*	1	1		45,522	42,335	7.5
23,163	21,329	8.6	37	29	27.6	136	192	(29.2)	75,360	71,395	5.6
4,888	5,919	(17.4)				478	205	*	46,673	45,447	2.7
45,874	38,332	19.7	2,004	887	*	1,097	698	57.2	232,978	209,735	11.1
2,223	2,078	7.0	5,681	5,272	7.8	11,727	9,539	22.9	37,105	31,699	17.1

Total net revenue increased \$262 million (14.1 percent) in the third quarter and \$845 million (15.6 percent) in the first nine months of 2012, compared with the same periods of 2011. Net interest income, on a taxable-equivalent basis, increased \$38 million (3.3 percent) in the third quarter and \$139 million (4.1 percent) in the first nine months of 2012, compared with the same periods of 2011. The year-over-year increases in net interest income were due to higher average loan and deposit balances, partially offset by lower loan rates and the impact of lower rates on the margin benefit from deposits. Noninterest income increased \$224 million (31.7 percent) in the third quarter and \$706 million (35.4 percent) in the first nine months of 2012, compared with the same periods of 2011, primarily the result of strong mortgage origination and sales revenue. These increases were partially offset by decreases in ATM processing services revenue as a result of the change in presentation of the surcharge revenue passed through to others.

Noninterest expense increased \$81 million (6.8 percent) in the third quarter and \$225 million (6.5 percent) in the first nine months of 2012, compared with the same periods of 2011. The increases reflected higher compensation and employee benefits expense, higher mortgage servicing review-related costs and higher net shared services costs, partially offset by lower net occupancy and equipment expense due to the presentation change to ATM surcharge revenue passed through to others, and lower other intangibles expense.

The provision for credit losses increased \$16 million (4.8 percent) in the third quarter and decreased \$222 million (20.2 percent) in the first nine months of 2012, compared with the same periods of 2011, reflecting incremental bankruptcy-related charge-offs in the third quarter of 2012, changes in the reserve allocation and lower other net charge-offs. As a percentage of average loans outstanding on an annualized basis, net charge-offs decreased to .99 percent in the third quarter of 2012, compared with 1.16 percent in the third quarter of 2011. Nonperforming assets were \$1.4 billion at September 30, 2012, \$1.3 billion at June 30, 2012, and \$1.6 billion at September 30, 2011. Nonperforming assets as a percentage of period-end loans were 1.17 percent at September 30, 2012, 1.12 percent at June 30, 2012, and 1.41 percent at September 30, 2011. Refer to the Corporate Risk Profile section for further information on factors impacting the credit quality of the loan portfolios.

#### **Wealth Management and Securities Services** Wealth Management and Securities Services provides private

banking, financial advisory services, investment management, retail brokerage services, insurance, trust, custody and fund servicing through five businesses: Wealth Management, Corporate Trust Services, U.S. Bancorp Asset Management, Institutional Trust & Custody and Fund Services. Wealth Management and Securities Services contributed \$42 million of the Company's net income in the third quarter and \$127 million in the first nine months of 2012, or an increase of \$1 million (2.4 percent) and a decrease of \$12 million (8.6 percent), respectively, compared with the same periods of 2011. The changes from the prior year were primarily due to higher noninterest income, offset by higher noninterest expense.

Total net revenue increased \$26 million (7.6 percent) in the third quarter and \$39 million (3.7 percent) in the first nine months of 2012, compared with the same periods of 2011. Net interest income, on a taxable-equivalent basis, was relatively flat for both the third quarter and first nine months of 2012, compared with the same periods of 2011. Noninterest income increased \$29 million (11.4 percent) in the third quarter and \$35 million (4.4 percent) in the first nine months of 2012, compared with the same periods of 2011, primarily due to the impact of improved market conditions, business expansion and an increase in investment products fees and commissions. Noninterest expense increased \$23 million (8.3 percent) in the third quarter and \$57 million (6.9 percent) in the first nine months of 2012, compared with the same periods of 2011. The increases in noninterest expense were primarily due to higher compensation and employee benefits expense, partially offset by reductions in acquisition integration costs.

**Payment Services** Payment Services includes consumer and business credit cards, stored-value cards, debit cards, corporate and purchasing card services, consumer lines of credit and merchant processing. Payment Services contributed \$376 million and \$946 million of the Company's net income in the third quarter and first nine months of 2012, respectively, or an increase of \$22 million (6.2 percent) and a decrease of \$60 million (6.0 percent), respectively, compared with the same periods of 2011. The changes from the prior year were primarily due to higher total net revenue, offset by increases in the provision for credit losses.

Total net revenue increased \$44 million (3.7 percent) in the third quarter and \$115 million (3.3 percent) in the first nine months of 2012, compared with the same periods of 2011. Net interest income, on a taxable-equivalent basis, increased \$47 million

(14.0 percent) in the third quarter and \$159 million (16.0 percent) in the first nine months of 2012, compared with the same periods of 2011, primarily due to higher average loan balances and loan yields, including the credit card balance transfer fees presentation change. Noninterest income decreased \$3 million (.4 percent) in the third quarter and \$44 million (1.8 percent) in the first nine months of 2012, compared with the same periods of 2011. Credit and debit card revenue decreased due to lower debit card interchange fees as a result of recent legislation, net of mitigation efforts, and the impact of the inclusion of credit card balance transfer fees in interest income beginning in the first quarter of 2012. These negative variances were partially offset by higher transaction volumes. The decreases in credit and debit card revenue were partially offset by higher merchant processing services revenue, primarily due to increased transaction volumes, and higher other revenue due to the impact of the gain on the credit card portfolio sale in the third quarter of 2012.

Noninterest expense was essentially flat in the third quarter and increased \$36 million (2.5 percent) in the first nine months of 2012, compared with the same periods of 2011. The increase for in the first nine months of 2012, compared with the same period of the prior year, was primarily due to higher compensation, employee benefits and net shared services expense. The provision for credit losses increased \$10 million (8.0 percent) in the third quarter and \$171 million (45.4 percent) in the first nine months of 2012, compared with the same periods of 2011, due to a change in the reserve allocation, partially offset by lower net charge-offs. In addition, the provision for credit losses for the first nine months of 2012 reflected lower reserve releases compared with the same period of the prior year. As a percentage of average loans outstanding, net charge-offs were 3.43 percent in the third quarter of 2012, compared with 3.78 percent in the third quarter of 2011.

**Treasury and Corporate Support** Treasury and Corporate Support includes the Company's investment portfolios, most covered commercial and commercial real estate loans and related other real estate owned, funding, capital management, asset securitization, interest rate risk management, the net effect of transfer pricing

related to average balances and the residual aggregate of those expenses associated with corporate activities that are managed on a consolidated basis. Treasury and Corporate Support recorded net income of \$404 million in the third quarter and \$1.1 billion in the first nine months of 2012, compared with \$353 million and \$1.1 billion in the same periods of 2011, respectively.

Total net revenue increased \$91 million (16.8 percent) in the third quarter and \$192 million (12.1 percent) in the first nine months of 2012, compared with the same periods of 2011. Net interest income, on a taxable-equivalent basis, increased \$95 million (18.9 percent) in the third quarter and \$215 million (15.1 percent) in the first nine months of 2012, compared with the same periods of 2011, reflecting lower long-term funding rates, as well as the impact of wholesale funding decisions, growth in the investment securities portfolio and the Company's asset/liability position. Noninterest income decreased \$4 million (10.3 percent) in the third quarter of 2012, compared with the third quarter of 2011, principally due to the equity-method investment charge recorded in the third quarter of 2012, partially offset by higher commercial products revenue and a favorable change in net securities gains (losses). Noninterest income decreased \$23 million (14.2 percent) in the first nine months of 2012, compared with the first nine months of 2011, principally due to the first quarter of 2011 gains related to the acquisition of FCB and the Company's investment in Visa Inc. and the third quarter of 2012 equity-method investment charge, partially offset by higher commercial products revenue.

Noninterest expense increased \$37 million (17.8 percent) in the third quarter and \$231 million (44.7 percent) in the first nine months of 2012, compared with the same periods of 2011, principally due to litigation and insurance-related matters, and increased compensation and employee benefits expense, partially offset by lower net shared services expense. In addition, noninterest expense for the first nine months of 2012 increased over the same period of the prior year due to the second quarter Visa accrual.

Income taxes are assessed to each line of business at a managerial tax rate of 36.4 percent with the residual tax expense or benefit to arrive at the consolidated effective tax rate included in Treasury and Corporate Support.

**NON-GAAP FINANCIAL MEASURES**

In addition to capital ratios defined by banking regulators under the FDIC Improvement Act prompt corrective action provisions applicable to all banks, the Company considers various other measures when evaluating capital utilization and adequacy, including:

- Tangible common equity to tangible assets,
- Tangible common equity to risk-weighted assets using Basel I definition,
- Tier 1 common equity to risk-weighted assets using Basel I definition,
- Tier 1 common equity to risk-weighted assets using Basel III proposals published prior to June 2012, and
- Tier 1 common equity to risk-weighted assets approximated using proposed rules for the Basel III standardized approach released June 2012.

These measures are viewed by management as useful additional methods of reflecting the level of capital available to withstand unexpected market or economic conditions. Additionally, presentation of these measures allows investors, analysts and banking regulators to assess the Company's capital position relative to other financial services companies. These measures differ from capital ratios defined by current banking regulations principally in that the numerator excludes trust preferred securities and preferred stock, the nature and extent of which varies among different financial services companies. These measures are not defined in generally accepted accounting principles ( GAAP ) or federal banking regulations. As a result, these measures disclosed by the Company may be considered non-GAAP financial measures.

There may be limits in the usefulness of these measures to investors. As a result, the Company encourages readers to consider the consolidated financial statements and other financial information contained in this report in their entirety, and not to rely on any single financial measure.

The following table shows the Company's calculation of these Non-GAAP financial measures:

(Dollars in Millions)	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Total equity	\$ 39,825	\$ 34,971
Preferred stock	(4,769)	(2,606)
Noncontrolling interests	(1,164)	(993)
Goodwill (net of deferred tax liability)	(8,194)	(8,239)
Intangible assets, other than mortgage servicing rights	(980)	(1,217)
Tangible common equity (a)	24,718	21,916
Tier 1 capital, determined in accordance with prescribed regulatory requirements using Basel I definition	30,766	29,173
Trust preferred securities		(2,675)
Preferred stock	(4,769)	(2,606)
Noncontrolling interests, less preferred stock not eligible for Tier 1 capital	(685)	(687)
Tier 1 common equity using Basel I definition (b)	25,312	23,205
Tangible common equity (as calculated above)		21,916
Adjustments (1)		450
Tier 1 common equity using Basel III proposals published prior to June 2012 (c)		22,366
Tangible common equity (as calculated above)	24,718	
Adjustments (2)	157	
Tier 1 common equity approximated using proposed rules for the Basel III standardized approach released June 2012 (d)	24,875	
Total assets	352,253	340,122
Goodwill (net of deferred tax liability)	(8,194)	(8,239)
Intangible assets, other than mortgage servicing rights	(980)	(1,217)
Tangible assets (e)	343,079	330,666
Risk-weighted assets, determined in accordance with prescribed regulatory requirements using Basel I definition (f)	282,033	271,333
Risk-weighted assets using Basel III proposals published prior to June 2012 (g)		274,351
Risk-weighted assets, determined in accordance with prescribed regulatory requirements using Basel I definition	282,033	
Adjustments (3)	22,167	
Risk-weighted assets approximated using proposed rules for the Basel III standardized approach released June 2012 (h)	304,200	
<b>Ratios</b>		
Tangible common equity to tangible assets (a)/(e)	7.2%	6.6%
Tangible common equity to risk-weighted assets using Basel I definition (a)/(f)	8.8	8.1

## Edgar Filing: US BANCORP \DE\ - Form 10-Q

Tier 1 common equity to risk-weighted assets using Basel I definition (b)/(f)	9.0	8.6
Tier 1 common equity to risk-weighted assets using Basel III proposals published prior to June 2012 (c)/(g)		8.2
Tier 1 common equity to risk-weighted assets approximated using proposed rules for the Basel III standardized approach released June 2012 (d)/(h)	8.2	

- (1) Principally net losses on cash flow hedges included in accumulated other comprehensive income.
- (2) Includes net losses on cash flow hedges included in accumulated other comprehensive income, unrealized losses on securities transferred from available-for-sale to held-to-maturity included in accumulated other comprehensive income and disallowed mortgage servicing rights.
- (3) Includes higher risk-weighting for residential mortgages, unfunded loan commitments, investment securities and purchased mortgage servicing rights, and other adjustments.



## **CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

The accounting and reporting policies of the Company comply with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States and conform to general practices within the banking industry. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions. The Company's financial position and results of operations can be affected by these estimates and assumptions, which are integral to understanding the Company's financial statements. Critical accounting policies are those policies management believes are the most important to the portrayal of the Company's financial condition and results, and require management to make estimates that are difficult, subjective or complex. Most accounting policies are not considered by management to be critical accounting policies. Those policies considered to be critical accounting policies relate to the allowance for credit losses, fair value estimates, purchased loans and related indemnification assets, MSRs, goodwill and other intangibles and income taxes. Management has discussed the development and the selection of critical accounting policies with the Company's Audit Committee. These accounting policies are discussed in detail in Management's Discussion and Analysis - Critical Accounting Policies and the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

## **CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

Under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including its principal executive officer and principal financial officer, the Company has evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of its disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act)). Based upon this evaluation, the principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

During the most recently completed fiscal quarter, there was no change made in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

## U.S. Bancorp

## Consolidated Balance Sheet

(Dollars in Millions)	September 30, 2012 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2011
<b>Assets</b>		
Cash and due from banks	\$ 9,382	\$ 13,962
<b>Investment securities</b>		
Held-to-maturity (fair value \$35,226 and \$19,216, respectively; including \$1,146 and \$155 pledged as collateral, respectively) (a)	34,509	18,877
Available-for-sale (\$2,217 and \$6,831 pledged as collateral, respectively) (a)	39,636	51,937
Loans held for sale (included \$9,815 and \$6,925 of mortgage loans carried at fair value, respectively)	9,879	7,156
<b>Loans</b>		
Commercial	62,910	56,648
Commercial real estate	36,813	35,851
Residential mortgages	41,902	37,082
Credit card	16,402	17,360
Other retail	47,965	48,107
Total loans, excluding covered loans	205,992	195,048
Covered loans	12,158	14,787
Total loans	218,150	209,835
Less allowance for loan losses	(4,481)	(4,753)
Net loans	213,669	205,082
Premises and equipment	2,650	2,657
Goodwill	8,943	8,927
Other intangible assets	2,533	2,736
Other assets	31,052	28,788
Total assets	\$ 352,253	\$ 340,122
<b>Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity</b>		
<b>Deposits</b>		
Noninterest-bearing	\$ 72,982	\$ 68,579
Interest-bearing	136,583	134,757
Time deposits greater than \$100,000	34,667	27,549
Total deposits	244,232	230,885
Short-term borrowings	27,853	30,468
Long-term debt	26,264	31,953
Other liabilities	14,079	11,845
Total liabilities	312,428	305,151
<b>Shareholders' equity</b>		
Preferred stock	4,769	2,606
Common stock, par value \$0.01 a share authorized: 4,000,000,000 shares; issued: 9/30/12 and 12/31/11 2,125,725,742 shares	21	21
Capital surplus	8,186	8,238
Retained earnings	33,730	30,785
Less cost of common stock in treasury: 9/30/12 245,650,205 shares; 12/31/11 215,904,019 shares	(7,442)	(6,472)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(603)	(1,200)
Total U.S. Bancorp shareholders' equity	38,661	33,978
Noncontrolling interests	1,164	993
Total equity	39,825	34,971
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 352,253	\$ 340,122

(a) Includes only collateral pledged by the Company where counterparties have the right to sell or pledge the collateral.

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

## U.S. Bancorp

## Consolidated Statement of Income

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
(Dollars and Shares in Millions, Except Per Share Data)	2012	2011	2012	2011
(Unaudited)	2012	2011	2012	2011
<b>Interest Income</b>				
Loans	\$ 2,650	\$ 2,621	\$ 7,919	\$ 7,736
Loans held for sale	76	42	208	139
Investment securities	438	470	1,376	1,357
Other interest income	63	67	184	187
Total interest income	3,227	3,200	9,687	9,419
<b>Interest Expense</b>				
Deposits	172	202	530	646
Short-term borrowings	103	143	353	407
Long-term debt	226	289	786	860
Total interest expense	501	634	1,669	1,913
Net interest income	2,726	2,566	8,018	7,506
Provision for credit losses	488	519	1,439	1,846
Net interest income after provision for credit losses	2,238	2,047	6,579	5,660
<b>Noninterest Income</b>				
Credit and debit card revenue	213	289	650	842
Corporate payment products revenue	201	203	566	563
Merchant processing services	345	338	1,041	977
ATM processing services	87	115	263	341
Trust and investment management fees	265	241	779	755
Deposit service charges	174	183	483	488
Treasury management fees	135	137	411	418
Commercial products revenue	225	212	652	621
Mortgage banking revenue	519	245	1,461	683
Investment products fees and commissions	38	31	111	98
Securities gains (losses), net				
Realized gains (losses), net	16		46	2
Total other-than-temporary impairment	(13)	(11)	(61)	(41)
Portion of other-than-temporary impairment recognized in other comprehensive income	(2)	2	(3)	17
Total securities gains (losses), net	1	(9)	(18)	(22)
Other	193	186	591	565
Total noninterest income	2,396	2,171	6,990	6,329
<b>Noninterest Expense</b>				
Compensation	1,109	1,021	3,237	2,984
Employee benefits	225	203	714	643
Net occupancy and equipment	233	252	683	750
Professional services	144	100	364	252
Marketing and business development	96	102	285	257
Technology and communications	205	189	607	563
Postage, printing and supplies	75	76	226	226
Other intangibles	67	75	208	225
Other	455	458	1,446	1,315
Total noninterest expense	2,609	2,476	7,770	7,215
Income before income taxes	2,025	1,742	5,799	4,774
Applicable income taxes	593	490	1,684	1,314
Net income	1,432	1,252	4,115	3,460
Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	42	21	112	62
Net income attributable to U.S. Bancorp	\$ 1,474	\$ 1,273	\$ 4,227	\$ 3,522
Net income applicable to U.S. Bancorp common shareholders	\$ 1,404	\$ 1,237	\$ 4,034	\$ 3,407
Earnings per common share	\$ .74	\$ .65	\$ 2.13	\$ 1.78
Diluted earnings per common share	\$ .74	\$ .64	\$ 2.12	\$ 1.77
Dividends declared per common share	\$ .195	\$ .125	\$ .585	\$ .375
Average common shares outstanding	1,886	1,915	1,892	1,918

Edgar Filing: US BANCORP \DE\ - Form 10-Q

Average diluted common shares outstanding	1,897	1,922	1,901	1,926
---	-------	-------	-------	-------

*See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.*

U. S. Bancorp

37

## U.S. Bancorp

## Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income

(Dollars in Millions)	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
(Unaudited)	2012	2011	2012	2011
Net income	\$ 1,432	\$ 1,252	\$ 4,115	\$ 3,460
<b>Other comprehensive income (loss)</b>				
Changes in unrealized gains and losses on securities available-for-sale	300	181	738	958
Other-than-temporary impairment not recognized in earnings on securities available-for-sale	2	(2)	3	(17)
Amortization of unrealized gains on securities transferred from available-for-sale to held-to-maturity	(21)		(28)	
Changes in unrealized gains (losses) on derivative hedges	(30)	(196)	(68)	(323)
Foreign currency translation	26	1	34	(16)
Reclassification to earnings of realized gains and losses	90	92	288	270
Income taxes related to other comprehensive income	(140)	(29)	(370)	(333)
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	227	47	597	539
Comprehensive income	1,659	1,299	4,712	3,999
Comprehensive (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	42	21	112	62
Comprehensive income attributable to U.S. Bancorp	\$ 1,701	\$ 1,320	\$ 4,824	\$ 4,061

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

## U.S. Bancorp

## Consolidated Statement of Shareholders Equity

U.S. Bancorp Shareholders										
(Dollars and Shares in Millions)										
(Unaudited)	Common Shares Outstanding	Preferred Stock	Common Stock	Capital Surplus	Retained Earnings	Treasury Stock	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Total U.S. Bancorp Shareholders Equity	Noncontrolling Interests	Total Equity
<b>Balance December 31, 2010</b>	1,921	\$ 1,930	\$ 21	\$ 8,294	\$ 27,005	\$ (6,262)	\$ (1,469)	\$ 29,519	\$ 803	\$ 30,322
Change in accounting principle					(2)			(2)		(2)
Net income (loss)					3,522			3,522	(62)	3,460
Other comprehensive income (loss)							539	539		539
Preferred stock dividends					(99)			(99)		(99)
Common stock dividends					(722)			(722)		(722)
Issuance of preferred stock		676						676		676
Issuance of common and treasury stock	8			(121)		252		131		131
Purchase of treasury stock	(16)					(409)		(409)		(409)
Distributions to noncontrolling interests									(57)	(57)
Net other changes in noncontrolling interests									296	296
Stock option and restricted stock grants				75				75		75
<b>Balance September 30, 2011</b>	1,913	\$ 2,606	\$ 21	\$ 8,248	\$ 29,704	\$ (6,419)	\$ (930)	\$ 33,230	\$ 980	\$ 34,210
<b>Balance December 31, 2011</b>	1,910	\$ 2,606	\$ 21	\$ 8,238	\$ 30,785	\$ (6,472)	\$ (1,200)	\$ 33,978	\$ 993	\$ 34,971
Net income (loss)					4,227			4,227	(112)	4,115
Other comprehensive income (loss)							597	597		597
Preferred stock dividends					(174)			(174)		(174)
Common stock dividends					(1,108)			(1,108)		(1,108)
Issuance of preferred stock		2,163						2,163		2,163
Issuance of common and treasury stock	16			(111)		495		384		384
Purchase of treasury stock	(46)					(1,465)		(1,465)		(1,465)
Distributions to noncontrolling interests									(60)	(60)
Net other changes in noncontrolling interests									343	343
Stock option and restricted stock grants				59				59		59
<b>Balance September 30, 2012</b>	1,880	\$ 4,769	\$ 21	\$ 8,186	\$ 33,730	\$ (7,442)	\$ (603)	\$ 38,661	\$ 1,164	\$ 39,825

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

## U.S. Bancorp

## Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows

(Dollars in Millions)	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
(Unaudited)	2012	2011
<b>Operating Activities</b>		
Net income attributable to U.S. Bancorp	\$ 4,227	\$ 3,522
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities		
Provision for credit losses	1,439	1,846
Depreciation and amortization of premises and equipment	212	196
Amortization of intangibles	208	225
Provision for deferred income taxes	29	250
(Gain) loss on sale of loans held for sale	(1,982)	(469)
(Gain) loss on sale of securities and other assets	(198)	(13)
Loans originated for sale in the secondary market, net of repayments	(60,331)	(29,840)
Proceeds from sales of loans held for sale	59,052	33,091
Other, net	1,219	(53)
Net cash provided by operating activities	3,875	8,755
<b>Investing Activities</b>		
Proceeds from sales of available-for-sale investment securities	1,753	926
Proceeds from maturities of held-to-maturity investment securities	4,005	714
Proceeds from maturities of available-for-sale investment securities	11,664	7,872
Purchases of held-to-maturity investment securities	(8,003)	(15,192)
Purchases of available-for-sale investment securities	(12,065)	(8,399)
Net increase in loans outstanding	(9,518)	(8,458)
Proceeds from sales of loans	1,614	454
Purchases of loans	(2,139)	(1,750)
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired	94	650
Other, net	(668)	(1,006)
Net cash used in investing activities	(13,263)	(24,189)
<b>Financing Activities</b>		
Net increase in deposits	13,099	16,593
Net decrease in short-term borrowings	(2,616)	(644)
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	4,553	2,002
Principal payments or redemption of long-term debt	(10,271)	(3,048)
Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock	2,163	676
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	342	125
Repurchase of common stock	(1,343)	(383)
Cash dividends paid on preferred stock	(139)	(88)
Cash dividends paid on common stock	(980)	(578)
Net cash provided by financing activities	4,808	14,655
Change in cash and due from banks	(4,580)	(779)
Cash and due from banks at beginning of period	13,962	14,487
Cash and due from banks at end of period	\$ 9,382	\$ 13,708

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

### **Note 1** Basis of Presentation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q and, therefore, do not include all information and notes necessary for a complete presentation of financial position, results of operations and cash flow activity required in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. In the opinion of management of U.S. Bancorp (the Company), all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) necessary for a fair statement of results for the interim periods have been made. These financial statements and notes should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. Certain amounts in prior periods have been reclassified to conform to the current presentation.

Accounting policies for the lines of business are generally the same as those used in preparation of the consolidated financial statements with respect to activities specifically attributable to each business line. However, the preparation of business line results requires management to establish methodologies to allocate funding costs, expenses and other financial elements to each line of business. Table 10 Line of Business Financial Performance included in Management's Discussion and Analysis provides details of segment results. This information is incorporated by reference into these Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.



**Note 2** Investment Securities

The amortized cost, other-than-temporary impairment recorded in other comprehensive income (loss), gross unrealized holding gains and losses, and fair value of held-to-maturity and available-for-sale investment securities were as follows:

(Dollars in Millions)	September 30, 2012				December 31, 2011				Fair Value	
	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Temporary Losses	Other-than-temporary (e)	Other (f)	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Temporary Losses	Other (f)		
<b>Held-to-maturity (a)</b>										
U.S. Treasury and agencies	\$ 2,557	\$ 26	\$	\$	\$ 2,583	\$ 2,560	\$ 35	\$	\$ 2,595	
Mortgage-backed securities										
Residential										
Agency	31,773	700		(3)	32,470	16,085	333	(3)	16,415	
Non-agency non-prime (d)	1				1	2			2	
Commercial non-agency	3				3	4		(2)	2	
Asset-backed securities										
Collateralized debt obligations/Collateralized loan obligations										
Other	9	16			25	52	13	(2)	63	
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	19	2	(4)	(2)	15	23	1	(6)	17	
Obligations of foreign governments	21	1			22	23	1	(1)	23	
Other debt securities	7				7	7			7	
Other debt securities	119			(19)	100	121		(29)	92	
<b>Total held-to-maturity</b>	<b>\$ 34,509</b>	<b>\$ 745</b>	<b>\$ (4)</b>	<b>\$ (24)</b>	<b>\$ 35,226</b>	<b>\$ 18,877</b>	<b>\$ 383</b>	<b>\$ (6)</b>	<b>\$ (38)</b>	<b>\$ 19,216</b>
<b>Available-for-sale (b)</b>										
U.S. Treasury and agencies	\$ 728	\$ 15	\$	\$	\$ 743	\$ 1,045	\$ 13	\$ (1)	\$ 1,057	
Mortgage-backed securities										
Residential										
Agency	28,494	874		(6)	29,362	39,337	981	(4)	40,314	
Non-agency										
Prime (c)	679		(38)	(10)	631	911	5	(63)	803	
Non-prime (d)	388	1	(48)	(1)	340	1,047	9	(247)	802	
Commercial										
Agency	193	9			202	133	7		140	
Non-agency										
Asset-backed securities						42	2	(2)	42	
Collateralized debt obligations/Collateralized loan obligations										
Other	33	9			42	180	31	(3)	206	
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	585	14		(1)	598	694	16	(5)	681	
Obligations of foreign governments	6,085	372			6,457	6,394	167	(22)	6,539	
Corporate debt securities	6				6	6			6	
Perpetual preferred securities	813	2		(106)	709	1,000	1	(174)	827	
Other investments	268	29		(19)	278	379	25	(86)	318	
Other investments	248	20			268	188	15	(1)	202	
<b>Total available-for-sale</b>	<b>\$ 38,520</b>	<b>\$ 1,345</b>	<b>\$ (86)</b>	<b>\$ (143)</b>	<b>\$ 39,636</b>	<b>\$ 51,356</b>	<b>\$ 1,272</b>	<b>\$ (318)</b>	<b>\$ (373)</b>	<b>\$ 51,937</b>

(a) Held-to-maturity investment securities are carried at historical cost or at fair value at the time of transfer from the available-for-sale to held-to-maturity category, adjusted for amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts and credit-related other-than-temporary impairment.

(b) Available-for-sale investment securities are carried at fair value with unrealized net gains or losses reported within accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in shareholders' equity.

(c) Prime securities are those designated as such by the issuer at origination. When an issuer designation is unavailable, the Company determines at acquisition date the categorization based on asset pool characteristics (such as weighted-average credit score, loan-to-value, loan type, prevalence of low documentation loans) and deal performance (such as pool delinquencies and security market spreads). When the Company determines the designation, prime securities typically have a weighted average credit score of 725 or higher and a loan-to-value of 80 percent or lower; however, other pool characteristics may result in designations that deviate from these credit score and loan-to-value thresholds.

(d) Includes all securities not meeting the conditions to be designated as prime.

(e) Represents impairment not related to credit for those investment securities that have been determined to be other-than-temporarily impaired.

(f) Represents unrealized losses on investment securities that have not been determined to be other-than-temporarily impaired.

## Edgar Filing: US BANCORP \DE\ - Form 10-Q

During the second quarter of 2012, the Company transferred \$11.7 billion of available-for-sale agency mortgage-backed investment securities to the held-to-maturity category, reflecting the Company's intent to hold those securities to maturity.

The weighted-average maturity of the available-for-sale investment securities was 4.0 years at September 30, 2012, compared with 5.2 years at December 31, 2011. The corresponding weighted-average yields were 3.04 percent and 3.19 percent, respectively. The weighted-average maturity of the held-to-maturity investment securities was 3.1 years at September 30, 2012, and 3.9 years at December 31, 2011. The corresponding weighted-average yields were 2.06 percent and 2.21 percent, respectively.

For amortized cost, fair value and yield by maturity date of held-to-maturity and available-for-sale investment securities outstanding at September 30, 2012, refer to Table 4 included in Management's Discussion and Analysis which is incorporated by reference into these Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Investment securities with a fair value of \$16.5 billion at September 30, 2012, and \$20.7 billion at December 31, 2011, were pledged to secure public, private and trust deposits, repurchase agreements and for other purposes required by contractual obligation or law. Included in these amounts were securities where the Company and certain counterparties have agreements granting the counterparties the right to sell or pledge the securities. Investment securities delivered under these types of arrangements had a fair value of \$3.4 billion at September 30, 2012, and \$7.0 billion at December 31, 2011.

The following table provides information about the amount of interest income from taxable and non-taxable investment securities:

(Dollars in Millions)	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Taxable	\$ 369	\$ 394	\$ 1,166	\$ 1,127
Non-taxable	69	76	210	230
Total interest income from investment securities	\$ 438	\$ 470	\$ 1,376	\$ 1,357

The following table provides information about the amount of gross gains and losses realized through the sales of available-for-sale investment securities:

(Dollars in Millions)	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Realized gains	\$ 115	\$ 4	\$ 145	\$ 6
Realized losses	(99)	(4)	(99)	(4)
Net realized gains (losses)	\$ 16	\$ 46	\$ 46	\$ 2
Income tax (benefit) on net realized gains (losses)	\$ 7	\$ 18	\$ 18	\$ 1

In 2007, the Company purchased certain structured investment securities ( SIVs ) from certain money market funds managed by an affiliate of the Company. Subsequent to the initial purchase, the Company exchanged its interest in the SIVs for a pro-rata portion of the underlying investment securities according to the applicable restructuring agreements. The SIVs and the investment securities received are collectively referred to as SIV-related securities . During the third quarter of 2012, the Company sold essentially all of the SIV-related securities.

Some of the SIV-related securities evidenced credit deterioration at the time of acquisition by the Company. All investment securities with evidence of credit deterioration at acquisition have been subsequently sold by the Company as of September 30, 2012. Changes in the accretable balance for these investment securities were as follows:

(Dollars in Millions)	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 93	\$ 117	\$ 100	\$ 139
Accretion	(3)	(4)	(11)	(13)
Disposals	(90)		(90)	
Other (a)		(6)	1	(19)
Balance at end of period	\$ 107	\$ 107	\$ 107	\$ 107

(a) Primarily represents changes in projected future cash flows related to variable rates on certain investment securities.

The Company conducts a regular assessment of its investment securities with unrealized losses to determine whether investment securities are other-than-temporarily impaired considering, among other factors, the nature of the investment securities, credit ratings or financial condition of the issuer, the extent and duration of the unrealized loss, expected cash flows of underlying collateral, market conditions and whether the Company intends to sell or it is more likely than not the Company will be required to sell the investment securities.

The following tables summarize other-than-temporary impairment by investment category:

Three Months Ended September 30	2012		2011		Total
	Losses Recorded in Earnings	Other Gains (Losses) (c)	Losses Recorded in Total Earnings	Other Gains (Losses) (c)	
(Dollars in Millions)					
<b>Available-for-sale</b>					
Mortgage-backed securities					
Non-agency residential					
Prime (a)	\$ (5)	\$ (3)	\$ (8)	\$ (4)	\$ (10)
Non-prime (b)	(10)	5	(5)	(6)	(10)
Other asset-backed securities			(3)	2	(1)

Edgar Filing: US BANCORP \DE\ - Form 10-Q

Total available-for-sale	\$	(15)	\$	2	\$	(13)	\$	(9)	\$	(2)	\$	(11)
--------------------------	----	------	----	---	----	------	----	-----	----	-----	----	------

- (a) Prime securities are those designated as such by the issuer at origination. When an issuer designation is unavailable, the Company determines at acquisition date the categorization based on asset pool characteristics (such as weighted-average credit score, loan-to-value, loan type, prevalence of low documentation loans) and deal performance (such as pool delinquencies and security market spreads).
- (b) Includes all securities not meeting the conditions to be designated as prime.
- (c) Losses represent the non-credit portion of other-than-temporary impairment recorded in other comprehensive income for investment securities determined to be other-than-temporarily impaired during the period. Gains represent recoveries in the fair value of securities that have or previously had non-credit other-than-temporary impairment.

Nine Months Ended September 30	2012			2011		
	Losses Recorded in Earnings	Other Gains (Losses) (c)	Total	Losses Recorded in Earnings	Other Gains (Losses) (c)	Total
(Dollars in Millions)						
<b>Available-for-sale</b>						
Mortgage-backed securities						
Non-agency residential						
Prime (a)	\$ (8)	\$ (12)	\$ (20)	\$ (2)	\$ (3)	\$ (5)
Non-prime (b)	(27)	15	(12)	(18)	(16)	(34)
Commercial non-agency	(1)	(1)	(2)			
Other asset-backed securities	(1)	1		(4)	2	(2)
Perpetual preferred securities	(27)		(27)			
Total available-for-sale	\$ (64)	\$ 3	\$ (61)	\$ (24)	\$ (17)	\$ (41)

(a) Prime securities are those designated as such by the issuer at origination. When an issuer designation is unavailable, the Company determines at acquisition date the categorization based on asset pool characteristics (such as weighted-average credit score, loan-to-value, loan type, prevalence of low documentation loans) and deal performance (such as pool delinquencies and security market spreads).

(b) Includes all securities not meeting the conditions to be designated as prime.

(c) Losses represent the non-credit portion of other-than-temporary impairment recorded in other comprehensive income for investment securities determined to be other-than-temporarily impaired during the period. Gains represent recoveries in the fair value of securities that have or previously had non-credit other-than-temporary impairment.

The Company determined the other-than-temporary impairment recorded in earnings for debt securities not intended to be sold by estimating the future cash flows of each individual investment security, using market information where available, and discounting the cash flows at the original effective rate of the investment security. Other-than-temporary impairment recorded in other comprehensive income (loss) was measured as the difference between that discounted amount and the fair value of each investment security. For perpetual preferred securities determined to be other-than-temporarily impaired, the Company recorded a loss in earnings for the entire difference between the securities' fair value and their amortized cost.

The following table includes the ranges for principal assumptions used for those available-for-sale non-agency mortgage-backed securities determined to be other-than-temporarily impaired:

	Prime (a)			Non-Prime (b)		
	Minimum	Maximum	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Average
<b>September 30, 2012</b>						
Estimated lifetime prepayment rates	7%	18%	14%	3%	10%	6%
Lifetime probability of default rates	2	4	3	2	10	6
Lifetime loss severity rates	25	50	40	20	60	51
<b>December 31, 2011</b>						
Estimated lifetime prepayment rates	4%	15%	14%	2%	11%	6%
Lifetime probability of default rates	2	9	3	1	20	5
Lifetime loss severity rates	40	50	46	8	70	52

(a) Prime securities are those designated as such by the issuer at origination. When an issuer designation is unavailable, the Company determines at acquisition date the categorization based on asset pool characteristics (such as weighted-average credit score, loan-to-value, loan type, prevalence of low documentation loans) and deal performance (such as pool delinquencies and security market spreads).

(b) Includes all securities not meeting the conditions to be designated as prime.

Changes in the credit losses on debt securities (excludes perpetual preferred securities) are summarized as follows:

(Dollars in Millions)	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 277	\$ 319	\$ 298	\$ 358
<b>Additions to credit losses due to other-than-temporary impairments</b>				

Edgar Filing: US BANCORP \DE\ - Form 10-Q

Credit losses on securities not previously considered other-than-temporarily impaired	2	1	5	3
Decreases in expected cash flows on securities for which other-than-temporary impairment was previously recognized	13	8	32	21
<b>Total other-than-temporary impairment on debt securities</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>Other changes in credit losses</b>				
Increases in expected cash flows		(3)	(14)	(20)
Realized losses (a)	(4)	(19)	(33)	(55)
Credit losses on security sales and securities expected to be sold	(142)		(142)	(1)
<b>Balance at end of period</b>	<b>\$ 146</b>	<b>\$ 306</b>	<b>\$ 146</b>	<b>\$ 306</b>

(a) Primarily represents principal losses allocated to mortgage and asset-backed securities in the Company's portfolio under the terms of the securitization transaction documents.

At September 30, 2012, certain investment securities had a fair value below amortized cost. The following table shows the gross unrealized losses and fair value of the Company's investment securities with unrealized losses, aggregated by investment category and length of time the individual investment securities have been in continuous unrealized loss positions, at September 30, 2012:

(Dollars in Millions)	Less Than 12 Months		12 Months or Greater		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
<b>Held-to-maturity</b>						
Mortgage-backed securities						
Residential						
Agency	\$ 1,563	\$ (3)	\$ 7	\$	\$ 1,570	\$ (3)
Non-agency non-prime (a)(c)			1		1	
Commercial non-agency			3		3	
Other asset-backed securities	1		11	(6)	12	(6)
Obligations of state and political subdivisions			5		5	
Other debt securities			99	(19)	99	(19)
<b>Total held-to-maturity</b>	<b>\$ 1,564</b>	<b>\$ (3)</b>	<b>\$ 126</b>	<b>\$ (25)</b>	<b>\$ 1,690</b>	<b>\$ (28)</b>
<b>Available-for-sale</b>						
U.S. Treasury and agencies	\$ 10	\$	\$	\$	\$ 10	\$
Mortgage-backed securities						
Residential						
Agency	3,005	(6)	153		3,158	(6)
Non-agency (a)						
Prime (b)			625	(48)	625	(48)
Non-prime (c)			311	(49)	311	(49)
Other asset-backed securities			2	(1)	2	(1)
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	89		4		93	
Obligations of foreign governments	6				6	
Corporate debt securities			624	(106)	624	(106)
Perpetual preferred securities	22		140	(19)	162	(19)
Other investments			3		3	
<b>Total available-for-sale</b>	<b>\$ 3,132</b>	<b>\$ (6)</b>	<b>\$ 1,862</b>	<b>\$ (223)</b>	<b>\$ 4,994</b>	<b>\$ (229)</b>

(a) The Company has \$97 million of unrealized losses on residential non-agency mortgage-backed securities. Credit-related other-than-temporary impairment on these securities may occur if there is further deterioration in the underlying collateral pool performance. Borrower defaults may increase if current economic conditions persist or worsen. Additionally, further deterioration in home prices may increase the severity of projected losses.

(b) Prime securities are those designated as such by the issuer at origination. When an issuer designation is unavailable, the Company determines at acquisition date the categorization based on asset pool characteristics (such as weighted-average credit score, loan-to-value, loan type, prevalence of low documentation loans) and deal performance (such as pool delinquencies and security market spreads).

(c) Includes all securities not meeting the conditions to be designated as prime.

The Company does not consider these unrealized losses to be credit-related. These unrealized losses primarily relate to changes in interest rates and market spreads subsequent to purchase. A substantial portion of investment securities that have unrealized losses are either corporate debt or mortgage-backed securities issued with high investment grade credit ratings. In general, the issuers of the investment securities are contractually prohibited from prepayment at less than par, and the Company did not pay significant purchase premiums for these investment securities. At September 30, 2012, the Company had no plans to sell investment securities with unrealized losses, and believes it is more likely than not it would not be required to sell such investment securities before recovery of their amortized cost.

**Note 3** Loans and Allowance for Credit Losses

The composition of the loan portfolio, disaggregated by class and underlying specific portfolio type, was as follows:

(Dollars in Millions)	September 30, 2012		December 31, 2011	
	Amount	Percent of Total	Amount	Percent of Total
<b>Commercial</b>				
Commercial	\$ 57,415	26.3%	\$ 50,734	24.2%
Lease financing	5,495	2.5	5,914	2.8
Total commercial	62,910	28.8	56,648	27.0
<b>Commercial real estate</b>				
Commercial mortgages	30,831	14.1	29,664	14.1
Construction and development	5,982	2.8	6,187	3.0
Total commercial real estate	36,813	16.9	35,851	17.1
<b>Residential mortgages</b>				
Residential mortgages	31,504	14.4	28,669	13.7
Home equity loans, first liens	10,398	4.8	8,413	4.0
Total residential mortgages	41,902	19.2	37,082	17.7
<b>Credit card</b>	16,402	7.5	17,360	8.3
<b>Other retail</b>				
Retail leasing	5,332	2.4	5,118	2.4
Home equity and second mortgages	17,119	7.9	18,131	8.6
Revolving credit	3,320	1.5	3,344	1.6
Installment	5,474	2.5	5,348	2.6
Automobile	12,431	5.7	11,508	5.5
Student	4,289	2.0	4,658	2.2
Total other retail	47,965	22.0	48,107	22.9
Total loans, excluding covered loans	205,992	94.4	195,048	93.0
<b>Covered loans</b>	12,158	5.6	14,787	7.0
Total loans	\$ 218,150	100.0%	\$ 209,835	100.0%

The Company had loans of \$73.2 billion at September 30, 2012, and \$67.0 billion at December 31, 2011, pledged at the Federal Home Loan Bank ( FHLB ), and loans of \$47.2 billion at September 30, 2012, and \$47.2 billion at December 31, 2011, pledged at the Federal Reserve Bank.

Originated loans are reported at the principal amount outstanding, net of unearned interest and deferred fees and costs. Net unearned interest and deferred fees and costs amounted to \$.8 billion at September 30, 2012, and \$1.1 billion at December 31, 2011. All purchased loans and related indemnification assets are recorded at fair value at the date of purchase. The Company evaluates purchased loans for impairment at the date of purchase in accordance with applicable authoritative accounting guidance. Purchased loans with evidence of credit deterioration since origination for which it is probable that all contractually required payments will not be collected are considered purchased impaired loans. All other purchased loans are considered purchased nonimpaired loans.

On the acquisition date, the estimate of the contractually required payments receivable for all purchased impaired loans acquired in the first quarter 2012 acquisition of BankEast, a subsidiary of BankEast Corporation, from the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ( FDIC ) was \$63 million, the cash flows expected to be collected was \$41 million including interest, and the estimated fair value of the loans was \$28 million. These amounts were determined based upon the estimated remaining life of the underlying loans, which includes the effects of estimated prepayments. For the purchased nonimpaired loans acquired in the BankEast transaction, the estimate as of the acquisition date of the contractually required payments receivable was \$135 million, the contractual cash flows not expected to be collected was \$22 million, and the estimated fair value of the loans was \$96 million. The BankEast transaction did not include a loss sharing agreement.



Changes in the accretable balance for all purchased impaired loans, including those acquired in the BankEast transaction, were as follows:

(Dollars in Millions)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 2,431	\$ 3,015	\$ 2,619	\$ 2,890
Purchases			13	100
Accretion	(109)	(110)	(337)	(337)
Disposals	(37)	(43)	(135)	(47)
Reclassifications (to)/from nonaccretable difference (a)	58	(170)	191	117
Other	(14)	(7)	(22)	(38)
Balance at end of period	\$ 2,329	\$ 2,685	\$ 2,329	\$ 2,685

(a) Primarily relates to changes in expected credit performance.

**Allowance for Credit Losses** The allowance for credit losses reserves for probable and estimable losses incurred in the Company's loan and lease portfolio and includes certain amounts that do not represent loss exposure to the Company because those losses are recoverable under loss sharing agreements with the FDIC. The allowance for credit losses is increased through provisions charged to operating earnings and reduced by net charge-offs. Management evaluates the allowance each quarter to ensure it appropriately reserves for incurred losses.

The allowance recorded for loans in the commercial lending segment is based on reviews of individual credit relationships and considers the migration analysis of commercial lending segment loans and actual loss experience. The Company currently uses an 11-year period of historical losses in considering actual loss experience. This timeframe and the results of the analysis are evaluated quarterly to determine the appropriateness. The allowance recorded for impaired loans greater than \$5 million in the commercial lending segment is based on an individual loan analysis utilizing expected cash flows discounted using the original effective interest rate, the observable market price, or the fair value of the collateral for collateral-dependent loans. The allowance recorded for all other commercial lending segment loans is determined on a homogenous pool basis and includes consideration of product mix, risk characteristics of the portfolio, bankruptcy experience, and historical losses, adjusted for current trends. The Company also considers the impacts of any loan modifications made to commercial lending segment loans and any subsequent payment defaults to its expectations of cash flows, principal balance, and current expectations about the borrower's ability to pay in determining the allowance for credit losses.

The allowance recorded for purchased impaired and Troubled Debt Restructuring (TDR) loans in the consumer lending segment is determined on a homogenous pool basis utilizing expected cash flows discounted using the original effective interest rate of the pool. The allowance for collateral-dependent loans in the consumer lending segment is determined based on the fair value of the collateral. The allowance recorded for all other consumer lending segment loans is determined on a homogenous pool basis and includes consideration of product mix, risk characteristics of the portfolio, bankruptcy experience, delinquency status and historical losses, adjusted for current trends. The Company also considers any modifications made to consumer lending segment loans including the impacts of any subsequent payment defaults since modification in determining the allowance for credit losses, such as borrower's ability to pay under the restructured terms, and the timing and amount of payments.

Covered assets represent loans and other assets acquired from the FDIC, subject to loss sharing agreements, and include expected reimbursements from the FDIC. The allowance for covered segment loans is evaluated each quarter in a manner similar to that described for non-covered loans and represents any decreases in expected cash flows of those loans after the acquisition date. The provision for credit losses for covered segment loans considers the indemnification provided by the FDIC.

In addition, subsequent payment defaults on loan modifications considered TDRs are considered in the underlying factors used in the determination of the appropriateness of the allowance for credit losses. For each loan segment, the Company estimates future loan charge-offs through a variety of analysis, trends and underlying assumptions. With respect to the commercial lending segment, TDRs may be collectively evaluated for impairment where observed performance history, including defaults, is a primary driver of the loss allocation. For commercial TDRs individually evaluated for impairment, attributes of the borrower are the primary factors in determining the allowance for credit losses. However, incorporation of loss history is factored into the allowance methodology applied to this category of loans. With respect to the consumer lending segment, performance of the portfolio, including defaults on TDRs, is considered when estimating future cash flows.



The Company's methodology for determining the appropriate allowance for credit losses for all the loan segments also considers the imprecision inherent in the methodologies used. As a result, in addition to the amounts determined under the methodologies described above, management also considers the potential impact of other qualitative factors which include, but are not limited to, economic factors; geographic and other concentration risks; delinquency and nonaccrual trends; current business conditions; changes in lending policy, underwriting standards, internal review and other relevant business practices; and the regulatory environment. The consideration of these items results in adjustments to allowance amounts included in the Company's allowance for credit losses for each of the above loan segments.

The Company also assesses the credit risk associated with off-balance sheet loan commitments, letters of credit, and derivatives. Credit risk associated with derivatives is reflected in the fair values recorded for those positions. The liability for off-balance sheet credit exposure related to loan commitments and other credit guarantees is included in other liabilities. Because business processes and credit risks associated with unfunded credit commitments are essentially the same as for loans, the Company utilizes similar processes to estimate its liability for unfunded credit commitments.

Activity in the allowance for credit losses by portfolio class was as follows:

Three Months Ended September 30 (Dollars in Millions)	Commercial					Total Loans, Excluding		Covered Loans	Total Loans
	Commercial	Real Estate	Residential Mortgages	Credit Card	Other Retail	Covered Loans	Covered Loans		
<b>2012</b>									
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 1,037	\$ 941	\$ 939	\$ 996	\$ 828	\$ 4,741	\$ 123	\$ 4,864	
Add									
Provision for credit losses	63	(22)	143	119	185	488		488	
Deduct									
Loans charged off	90	47	127	186	187	637	2	639	
Less recoveries of loans charged off	(24)	(22)	(6)	(19)	(30)	(101)		(101)	
Net loans charged off	66	25	121	167	157	536	2	538	
Net change for credit losses to be reimbursed by the FDIC							(10)	(10)	
Other changes				(33)		(33)		(33)	
Balance at end of period	\$ 1,034	\$ 894	\$ 961	\$ 915	\$ 856	\$ 4,660	\$ 111	\$ 4,771	
<b>2011</b>									
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 1,109	\$ 1,258	\$ 841	\$ 1,140	\$ 843	\$ 5,191	\$ 117	\$ 5,308	
Add									
Provision for credit losses	15	88	168	106	131	508	11	519	
Deduct									
Loans charged off	126	131	124	203	175	759	3	762	
Less recoveries of loans charged off	(27)	(6)	(2)	(25)	(33)	(93)		(93)	
Net loans charged off	99	125	122	178	142	666	3	669	
Net change for credit losses to be reimbursed by the FDIC							32	32	
Balance at end of period	\$ 1,025	\$ 1,221	\$ 887	\$ 1,068	\$ 832	\$ 5,033	\$ 157	\$ 5,190	

Nine Months Ended September 30 (Dollars in Millions)	Commercial					Total Loans, Excluding		Covered Loans	Total Loans
	Commercial	Real Estate	Residential Mortgages	Credit Card	Other Retail	Covered Loans	Covered Loans		
<b>2012</b>									
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 1,010	\$ 1,154	\$ 927	\$ 992	\$ 831	\$ 4,914	\$ 100	\$ 5,014	
Add									
Provision for credit losses	247	(111)	376	462	431	1,405	34	1,439	
Deduct									
Loans charged off	296	206	357	585	503	1,947	4	1,951	
Less recoveries of loans charged off	(73)	(57)	(15)	(79)	(97)	(321)	(1)	(322)	
Net loans charged off	223	149	342	506	406	1,626	3	1,629	
Net change for credit losses to be reimbursed by the FDIC							(20)	(20)	

Edgar Filing: US BANCORP \DE\ - Form 10-Q

Other change				(33)		(33)		(33)								
Balance at end of period	\$	1,034	\$	894	\$	961	\$	915	\$	856	\$	4,660	\$	111	\$	4,771
<b>2011</b>																
Balance at beginning of period	\$	1,104	\$	1,291	\$	820	\$	1,395	\$	807	\$	5,417	\$	114	\$	5,531
Add																
Provision for credit losses		255		344		437		314		477		1,827		19		1,846
Deduct																
Loans charged off		412		446		380		712		551		2,501		10		2,511
Less recoveries of loans charged off		(78)		(32)		(10)		(71)		(99)		(290)				(290)
Net loans charged off		334		414		370		641		452		2,211		10		2,221
Net change for credit losses to be reimbursed by the FDIC														34		34
Balance at end of period	\$	1,025	\$	1,221	\$	887	\$	1,068	\$	832	\$	5,033	\$	157	\$	5,190

Additional detail of the allowance for credit losses by portfolio class was as follows:

(Dollars in Millions)	Commercial	Commercial Real Estate	Residential Mortgages	Credit Card	Other Retail	Total Loans, Excluding Covered Loans	Covered Loans	Total Loans
<b>Allowance balance at September 30, 2012 related to</b>								
Loans individually evaluated for impairment (a)	\$ 6	\$ 33	\$	\$	\$	\$ 39	\$	\$ 39
TDRs collectively evaluated for impairment	31	28	464	167	59	749	1	750
Other loans collectively evaluated for impairment	997	828	497	748	797	3,867	21	3,888
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality		5				5	89	94
<b>Total allowance for credit losses</b>	<b>\$ 1,034</b>	<b>\$ 894</b>	<b>\$ 961</b>	<b>\$ 915</b>	<b>\$ 856</b>	<b>\$ 4,660</b>	<b>\$ 111</b>	<b>\$ 4,771</b>
<b>Allowance balance at December 31, 2011 related to</b>								
Loans individually evaluated for impairment (a)	\$ 16	\$ 61	\$ 1	\$	\$	\$ 78	\$ 2	\$ 80
TDRs collectively evaluated for impairment	40	33	490	219	57	839		839
Other loans collectively evaluated for impairment	954	1,057	436	773	774	3,994	22	4,016
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality		3				3	76	79
<b>Total allowance for credit losses</b>	<b>\$ 1,010</b>	<b>\$ 1,154</b>	<b>\$ 927</b>	<b>\$ 992</b>	<b>\$ 831</b>	<b>\$ 4,914</b>	<b>\$ 100</b>	<b>\$ 5,014</b>

(a) Represents the allowance for credit losses related to loans greater than \$5 million classified as nonperforming or TDRs.

Additional detail of loan balances by portfolio class was as follows:

(Dollars in Millions)	Commercial	Commercial Real Estate	Residential Mortgages	Credit Card	Other Retail	Total Loans, Excluding Covered Loans	Covered Loans (b)	Total Loans
<b>September 30, 2012</b>								
Loans individually evaluated for impairment (a)	\$ 132	\$ 612	\$	\$	\$	\$ 744	\$ 73	\$ 817
TDRs collectively evaluated for impairment	179	383	4,033	462	269	5,326	137	5,463
Other loans collectively evaluated for impairment	62,594	35,699	37,863	15,940	47,696	199,792	6,564	206,356
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	5	119	6			130	5,384	5,514
<b>Total loans</b>	<b>\$ 62,910</b>	<b>\$ 36,813</b>	<b>\$ 41,902</b>	<b>\$ 16,402</b>	<b>\$ 47,965</b>	<b>\$ 205,992</b>	<b>\$ 12,158</b>	<b>\$ 218,150</b>
<b>December 31, 2011</b>								
Loans individually evaluated for impairment (a)	\$ 222	\$ 812	\$ 6	\$	\$	\$ 1,040	\$ 204	\$ 1,244
TDRs collectively evaluated for impairment	277	331	3,430	584	148	4,770	113	4,883
Other loans collectively evaluated for impairment	56,138	34,574	33,642	16,776	47,959	189,089	8,616	197,705
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	11	134	4			149	5,854	6,003
<b>Total loans</b>	<b>\$ 56,648</b>	<b>\$ 35,851</b>	<b>\$ 37,082</b>	<b>\$ 17,360</b>	<b>\$ 48,107</b>	<b>\$ 195,048</b>	<b>\$ 14,787</b>	<b>\$ 209,835</b>

(a) Represents loans greater than \$5 million classified as nonperforming or TDRs.

(b) Includes expected reimbursements from the FDIC under loss sharing agreements.

**Credit Quality** The quality of the Company's loan portfolios is assessed as a function of net credit losses, levels of nonperforming assets and delinquencies, and credit quality ratings as defined by the Company.

For all loan classes, loans are considered past due based on the number of days delinquent except for monthly amortizing loans which are classified delinquent based upon the number of contractually required payments not made (for example, two missed payments is considered

30 days delinquent).

Commercial lending segment loans are generally placed on nonaccrual status when the collection of principal and interest has become 90 days past due or is otherwise considered doubtful. When a loan is placed on nonaccrual status, unpaid accrued interest is reversed. Commercial lending segment loans are generally fully or partially charged down to the fair value of the collateral securing the loan, less costs to sell, when the loan is considered uncollectible.

Consumer lending segment loans are generally charged-off at a specific number of days or payments past due. Residential mortgages and other retail loans secured by 1-4 family properties are generally charged down to the fair market value of the collateral securing the loan, less costs to sell, at 180 days past due, and placed on nonaccrual status in instances where a partial charge-off occurs unless the loan is well secured and in the process of collection. Loans and lines in a junior lien position secured by 1-4 family properties are placed on nonaccrual status at 120 days past due or when behind a first lien that has become 180 days or greater past due or placed on nonaccrual status. Any secured consumer lending segment loan whose borrower has had debt discharged through bankruptcy, for which the loan amount exceeds the fair value of the collateral, is charged down to the fair value of the related collateral and the remaining balance is placed on nonaccrual status. Credit card loans continue to accrue interest until the account is charged off. Credit cards are charged off at 180 days past due. Other retail loans not secured by 1-4 family properties are charged-off at 120 days past due; and revolving consumer lines are charged off at 180 days past due. Similar to credit cards, other retail loans are generally not placed on nonaccrual status because of the relative short period of time to charge-off. Certain retail customers having financial difficulties may have the terms of their credit card and other loan agreements modified to require only principal payments and, as such, are reported as nonaccrual.

For all loan classes, interest payments received on nonaccrual loans are generally recorded as a reduction to the loan carrying amount. Interest payments are generally recorded as reductions to a loan's carrying amount while a loan is on nonaccrual and are recognized as interest income upon payoff of the loan. Interest income may be recognized for interest payments if the remaining carrying amount of the loan is believed to be collectible. In certain circumstances, loans in any class may be restored to accrual status, such as when none of the principal and interest is past due and prospects for future payment are no longer in doubt; or the loan becomes well secured and is in the process of collection. Loans where there has been a partial charge-off may be returned to accrual status if all principal and interest (including amounts previously charged-off) is expected to be collected and the loan is current.

Covered loans not considered to be purchased impaired are evaluated for delinquency, nonaccrual status and charge-off consistent with the class of loan they would be included in had the loss share coverage not been in place. Generally, purchased impaired loans are considered accruing loans. However, the timing and amount of future cash flows for some loans is not reasonably estimable. Those loans are classified as nonaccrual loans and interest income is not recognized until the timing and amount of the future cash flows can be reasonably estimated.

The following table provides a summary of loans by portfolio class, including the delinquency status of those that continue to accrue interest, and those that are nonperforming:

(Dollars in Millions)	Current	Accruing 30-89 Days Past Due	90 Days or More Past Due	Nonperforming	Total
<b>September 30, 2012</b>					
Commercial	\$ 62,502	\$ 216	\$ 40	\$ 152	\$ 62,910
Commercial real estate	36,105	65	12	631	36,813
Residential mortgages (a)	40,451	393	301	757	41,902
Credit card	15,815	230	194	163	16,402
Other retail	47,358	300	97	210	47,965
Total loans, excluding covered loans	202,231	1,204	644	1,913	205,992
Covered loans	10,758	269	682	449	12,158
Total loans	\$ 212,989	\$ 1,473	\$ 1,326	\$ 2,362	\$ 218,150
<b>December 31, 2011</b>					
Commercial	\$ 55,991	\$ 300	\$ 45	\$ 312	\$ 56,648
Commercial real estate	34,800	138	14	899	35,851
Residential mortgages (a)	35,664	404	364	650	37,082
Credit card	16,662	238	236	224	17,360
Other retail	47,516	340	184	67	48,107
Total loans, excluding covered loans	190,633	1,420	843	2,152	195,048
Covered loans	12,589	362	910	926	14,787
Total loans	\$ 203,222	\$ 1,782	\$ 1,753	\$ 3,078	\$ 209,835

(a) At September 30, 2012, \$462 million of loans 30-89 days past due and \$3.0 billion of loans 90 days or more past due purchased from Government National Mortgage Association (GNMA) mortgage pools whose repayments are insured by the Federal Housing Administration or guaranteed by the Department of Veterans Affairs, were classified as current, compared with \$545 million and \$2.6 billion at December 31, 2011, respectively.

The Company classifies its loan portfolios using internal credit quality ratings on a quarterly basis. These ratings include: pass, special mention and classified, and are an important part of the Company's overall credit risk management process and evaluation of the allowance for credit losses. Loans with a pass rating represent those not classified on the Company's rating scale for problem credits, as minimal credit risk has been identified. Special mention loans are those that have a potential weakness deserving management's close attention. Classified loans are those where a well-defined weakness has been identified that may put full collection of contractual cash flows at risk. It is possible that others, given the same information, may reach different reasonable conclusions regarding the credit quality rating classification of specific loans.





The following table provides a summary of loans by portfolio class and the Company's internal credit quality rating:

(Dollars in Millions)	Criticized			Total Criticized	Total
	Pass	Special Mention	Classified (a)		
<b>September 30, 2012</b>					
Commercial	\$ 60,403	\$ 1,265	\$ 1,242	\$ 2,507	\$ 62,910
Commercial real estate	33,326	785	2,702	3,487	36,813
Residential mortgages (b)	40,666	24	1,212	1,236	41,902
Credit card	16,046		356	356	16,402
Other retail	47,522	43	400	443	47,965
Total loans, excluding covered loans	197,963	2,117	5,912	8,029	205,992
Covered loans	11,544	96	518	614	12,158
<b>Total loans</b>	<b>\$ 209,507</b>	<b>\$ 2,213</b>	<b>\$ 6,430</b>	<b>\$ 8,643</b>	<b>\$ 218,150</b>
<b>Total outstanding commitments</b>	<b>\$ 431,162</b>	<b>\$ 3,725</b>	<b>\$ 7,276</b>	<b>\$ 11,001</b>	<b>\$ 442,163</b>
<b>December 31, 2011</b>					
Commercial	\$ 54,003	\$ 1,047	\$ 1,598	\$ 2,645	\$ 56,648
Commercial real estate	30,733	793	4,325	5,118	35,851
Residential mortgages (b)	35,814	19	1,249	1,268	37,082
Credit card	16,910		450	450	17,360
Other retail	47,665	24	418	442	48,107
Total loans, excluding covered loans	185,125	1,883	8,040	9,923	195,048
Covered loans	13,966	187	634	821	14,787
<b>Total loans</b>	<b>\$ 199,091</b>	<b>\$ 2,070</b>	<b>\$ 8,674</b>	<b>\$ 10,744</b>	<b>\$ 209,835</b>
<b>Total outstanding commitments</b>	<b>\$ 410,457</b>	<b>\$ 3,418</b>	<b>\$ 9,690</b>	<b>\$ 13,108</b>	<b>\$ 423,565</b>

(a) Classified rating on consumer loans primarily based on delinquency status.

(b) At September 30, 2012, \$3.0 billion of GNMA loans 90 days or more past due and \$2.2 billion of restructured GNMA loans whose repayments are insured by the Federal Housing Administration or guaranteed by the Department of Veterans Affairs were classified with a pass rating, compared with \$2.6 billion and \$2.0 billion at December 31, 2011, respectively.

For all loan classes, a loan is considered to be impaired when, based on current events or information, it is probable the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due per the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Impaired loans include all nonaccrual and TDR loans. For all loan classes, interest income on TDR loans is recognized under the modified terms and conditions if the borrower has demonstrated repayment performance at a level commensurate with the modified terms over several payment cycles. Interest income is not recognized on other impaired loans until the loan is paid off.

Factors used by the Company in determining whether all principal and interest payments due on commercial and commercial real estate loans will be collected and therefore whether those loans are impaired include, but are not limited to, the financial condition of the borrower, collateral and/or guarantees on the loan, and the borrower's estimated future ability to pay based on industry, geographic location and certain financial ratios. The evaluation of impairment on residential mortgages, credit card and other retail loans is primarily driven by delinquency status of individual loans or whether a loan has been modified. Individual covered loans, whose future losses are covered by loss sharing agreements with the FDIC that substantially reduce the risk of credit losses to the Company, are evaluated for impairment and accounted for in a manner consistent with the class of loan they would have been included in had the loss sharing coverage not been in place.

A summary of impaired loans by portfolio class was as follows:

(Dollars in Millions)	Period-end Recorded Investment (a)	Unpaid Principal Balance	Valuation Allowance	Commitments to Lend Additional Funds
<b>September 30, 2012</b>				
Commercial	\$ 382	\$ 1,341	\$ 40	\$ 23
Commercial real estate	1,214	2,466	75	5
Residential mortgages	2,833	3,524	437	
Credit card	463	462	167	
Other retail	408	455	64	7
Total impaired loans, excluding GNMA and covered loans	5,300	8,248	783	35
Loans purchased from GNMA mortgage pools	1,631	1,631	36	
Covered loans	820	1,612	18	13
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 7,751</b>	<b>\$ 11,491</b>	<b>\$ 837</b>	<b>\$ 48</b>
<b>December 31, 2011</b>				
Commercial	\$ 657	\$ 1,437	\$ 62	\$ 68
Commercial real estate	1,436	2,503	124	25
Residential mortgages	2,652	3,193	482	2
Credit card	584	584	219	
Other retail	188	197	57	
Total impaired loans, excluding GNMA and covered loans	5,517	7,914	944	95
Loans purchased from GNMA mortgage pools	1,265	1,265	18	
Covered loans	1,170	1,642	43	49
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 7,952</b>	<b>\$ 10,821</b>	<b>\$ 1,005</b>	<b>\$ 144</b>

(a) Substantially all loans classified as impaired at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, had an associated allowance for credit losses. Additional information on impaired loans follows:

(Dollars in Millions)	2012		2011	
	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized
<b>Three months ended September 30</b>				
Commercial	\$ 413	\$ 6	\$ 536	\$ 4
Commercial real estate	1,250	12	1,558	6
Residential mortgages	2,752	31	2,573	24
Credit card	495	6	492	4
Other retail	354	3	165	1
Total impaired loans, excluding GNMA and covered loans	5,264	58	5,324	39
Loans purchased from GNMA mortgage pools	1,492	20	710	10
Covered loans	883	7	1,145	7
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 7,639</b>	<b>\$ 85</b>	<b>\$ 7,179</b>	<b>\$ 56</b>
<b>Nine months ended September 30</b>				
Commercial	\$ 496	\$ 11	\$ 529	\$ 7
Commercial real estate	1,371	29	1,519	10

Edgar Filing: US BANCORP \DE\ - Form 10-Q

Residential mortgages	2,692	87	2,540	74
Credit card	529	22	471	10
Other retail	259	7	160	3
<b>Total impaired loans, excluding GNMA and covered loans</b>	<b>5,347</b>	<b>156</b>	<b>5,219</b>	<b>104</b>
Loans purchased from GNMA mortgage pools	1,363	51	433	19
Covered loans	1,042	20	584	34
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 7,752</b>	<b>\$ 227</b>	<b>\$ 6,236</b>	<b>\$ 157</b>

**Troubled Debt Restructurings** In certain circumstances, the Company may modify the terms of a loan to maximize the collection of amounts due when a borrower is experiencing financial difficulties or is expected to experience difficulties in the near-term. Concessionary modifications are classified as TDRs unless the modification results in only an insignificant delay in payments to be received. The Company recognizes interest on TDRs if the borrower complies with the revised terms and conditions as agreed upon with the Company and has demonstrated repayment performance at a level commensurate with the modified terms over several payment cycles. To the extent a previous restructuring was insignificant, the Company considers the cumulative effect of past restructurings related to the receivable when determining whether a current restructuring is a TDR. Loans classified as TDRs are considered impaired loans for reporting and measurement purposes.

The following table provides a summary of loans modified as TDRs during the periods presented, by portfolio class:

(Dollars in Millions)	Number of Loans	2012		Number of Loans	2011	
		Pre-Modification Outstanding Loan Balance	Post-Modification Outstanding Loan Balance		Pre-Modification Outstanding Loan Balance	Post-Modification Outstanding Loan Balance
<b>Three months ended September 30</b>						
Commercial	1,754	\$ 54	\$ 58	1,137	\$ 89	\$ 74
Commercial real estate	63	91	80	115	124	115
Residential mortgages	2,717	344	336	748	155	156
Credit card	14,137	52	67	14,942	78	77
Other retail	6,231	159	156	956	15	16
Total loans, excluding GNMA and covered loans	24,902	700	697	17,898	461	438
Loans purchased from GNMA mortgage pools	4,859	660	589	2,110	291	312
Covered loans	73	49	46	67	148	133
<b>Total loans</b>	<b>29,834</b>	<b>\$ 1,409</b>	<b>\$ 1,332</b>	<b>20,075</b>	<b>\$ 900</b>	<b>\$ 883</b>
<b>Nine months ended September 30</b>						
Commercial	4,081	\$ 215	\$ 195	3,984	\$ 337	\$ 310
Commercial real estate	245	416	390	380	906	896
Residential mortgages	3,788	529	517	2,571	515	512
Credit card	39,040	189	203	41,610	239	238
Other retail	8,028	194	191	3,020	55	55
Total loans, excluding GNMA and covered loans	55,182	1,543	1,496	51,565	2,052	2,011
Loans purchased from GNMA mortgage pools	8,436	1,116	1,087	6,042	813	871
Covered loans	166	246	234	233	456	430
<b>Total loans</b>	<b>63,784</b>	<b>\$ 2,905</b>	<b>\$ 2,817</b>	<b>57,840</b>	<b>\$ 3,321</b>	<b>\$ 3,312</b>

Residential mortgages, home equity and second mortgages, and loans purchased from GNMA mortgage pools TDRs in the table above include trial period arrangements offered to customers during the periods presented. The post-modification balances for these loans reflect the current outstanding balance until a permanent modification is made. In addition, the post-modification balances typically include capitalization of unpaid accrued interest and/or fees under the various modification programs. At September 30, 2012, 250 residential mortgages, 29 home equity and second mortgage loans and 2,180 loans purchased from GNMA mortgage pools with outstanding balances of \$43 million, \$2 million and \$263 million, respectively, were in a trial period and have estimated post-modification balances of \$44 million, \$2 million, and \$271 million, respectively, assuming permanent modification occurs at the end of the trial period.

Many of the Company's TDRs are determined on a case-by-case basis in connection with ongoing loan collection processes. However, the Company has also implemented certain restructuring programs that may result in TDRs.

For the commercial lending segment, modifications generally result in the Company working with borrowers on a case-by-case basis. Commercial and commercial real estate modifications generally include extensions of the maturity date and may be accompanied by an increase or decrease to the interest rate, which may not be deemed a market rate of interest. In addition, the Company may work with the borrower in identifying other changes that mitigate loss to the Company, which may include additional collateral or guarantees to support the loan. To a lesser extent, the Company may waive contractual principal. The Company classifies these concessions as TDRs to the extent the Company determines that the borrower is experiencing financial difficulty.

Modifications for the consumer lending segment are generally part of programs the Company has initiated. The Company participates in the U.S. Department of Treasury Home Affordable Modification Program (HAMP). HAMP gives qualifying homeowners an opportunity to permanently modify residential mortgage loans and achieve more affordable monthly payments, with the U.S. Department of Treasury compensating the Company for a portion of the reduction in monthly amounts due from borrowers participating in this program. The Company also modifies residential mortgage loans under Federal Housing Administration, Department of Veterans Affairs, or other internal programs. Under these programs, the Company provides concessions to qualifying borrowers experiencing financial difficulties. The concessions may include adjustments to interest rates, conversion of adjustable rates to fixed rates, extension of maturity dates or deferrals of payments, capitalization of accrued interest and/or outstanding advances, or in limited situations, partial forgiveness of loan principal. In most instances,

participation in residential mortgage loan restructuring programs requires the customer to complete a short-term trial period. A permanent loan modification is contingent on the customer successfully completing the trial period arrangement and the loan documents are not modified until that time. The Company reports loans in a trial period arrangement as TDRs.

Credit card and other retail loan modifications are generally part of two distinct restructuring programs. The Company offers workout programs providing customers experiencing financial difficulty with modifications whereby balances may be amortized up to 60 months, and generally include waiver of fees and reduced interest rates. The Company also provides modification programs to qualifying customers experiencing a temporary financial hardship in which reductions are made to monthly required minimum payments for up to 12 months. Balances related to these programs are generally frozen, however, accounts may be reopened upon successful exit of the program, in which account privileges may be restored. In addition, the Company considers secured loans to consumer borrowers that have debt discharged through bankruptcy where the borrower has not reaffirmed the debt to be TDRs.

Modifications to loans in the covered segment are similar in nature to that described above for non-covered loans, and the evaluation and determination of TDR status is similar, except that acquired loans restructured after acquisition are not considered TDRs for purposes of the Company's accounting and disclosure if the loans evidenced credit deterioration as of the acquisition date and are accounted for in pools. Losses associated with the modification on covered loans, including the economic impact of interest rate reductions, are generally eligible for reimbursement under loss sharing agreements with the FDIC.

The following table provides a summary of TDR loans that defaulted (fully or partially charged-off or became 90 days or more past due) during the periods presented that were modified as TDRs within 12 months previous to default.

(Dollars in Millions)	2012		2011	
	Number of Loans	Amount Defaulted	Number of Loans	Amount Defaulted
<b>Three months ended September 30</b>				
Commercial	195	\$ 2	245	\$ 13
Commercial real estate	13	12	29	32
Residential mortgages	116	30	96	20
Credit card	2,536	14	1,803	9
Other retail	189	4	141	2
Total loans, excluding GNMA and covered loans	3,049	62	2,314	76
Loans purchased from GNMA mortgage pools	248	34	222	31
Covered loans	8	3		
Total loans	3,305	\$ 99	2,536	\$ 107
<b>Nine months ended September 30</b>				
Commercial	652	\$ 33	513	\$ 23
Commercial real estate	96	176	37	37
Residential mortgages	427	64	536	112
Credit card	7,452	42	5,366	27
Other retail	531	8	397	9
Total loans, excluding GNMA and covered loans	9,158	323	6,849	208
Loans purchased from GNMA mortgage pools	731	106	475	66
Covered loans	49	90		
Total loans	9,938	\$ 519	7,324	\$ 274

In addition to the defaults in the table above, during the three months ended September 30, 2012, the Company had 309 residential mortgage loans, home equity and second mortgage loans, and loans purchased from GNMA mortgage pools with aggregate outstanding balances of \$50 million where borrowers did not successfully complete the trial period arrangement and therefore are no longer eligible for a permanent modification under the applicable modification program.

Covered assets represent loans and other assets acquired from the FDIC, subject to loss sharing agreements, and include expected reimbursements from the FDIC. The carrying amount of the covered assets consisted of purchased impaired loans, purchased nonimpaired loans, and other assets as shown in the following table:

September 30, 2012

December 31, 2011

Edgar Filing: US BANCORP \DE\ - Form 10-Q

(Dollars in Millions)	Purchased Impaired Loans	Purchased Nonimpaired Loans	Other Assets	Total	Purchased Impaired Loans	Purchased Nonimpaired Loans	Other Assets	Total
Commercial loans	\$ 15	\$ 144	\$	\$ 159	\$ 68	\$ 137	\$	\$ 205
Commercial real estate loans	1,519	3,104		4,623	1,956	4,037		5,993
Residential mortgage loans	3,850	1,184		5,034	3,830	1,360		5,190
Credit card loans		5		5		6		6
Other retail loans		798		798		867		867
Losses reimbursable by the FDIC (a)			1,539	1,539			2,526	2,526
Covered loans	5,384	5,235	1,539	12,158	5,854	6,407	2,526	14,787
Foreclosed real estate			198	198			274	274
<b>Total covered assets</b>	<b>\$ 5,384</b>	<b>\$ 5,235</b>	<b>\$ 1,737</b>	<b>\$ 12,356</b>	<b>\$ 5,854</b>	<b>\$ 6,407</b>	<b>\$ 2,800</b>	<b>\$ 15,061</b>

(a) Relates to loss sharing agreements with remaining terms from 2 to 7 years.

In October 2012, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued accounting guidance, effective January 1, 2013, applicable to indemnification assets related to FDIC loss-sharing agreements. The guidance requires any reduction in the FDIC indemnification assets resulting from increases in expected cash flows of the covered assets, when there are no previous valuation allowances to reverse, to be amortized over the shorter of the remaining contractual term of the indemnification agreements or the remaining life of the covered assets. Currently, the Company amortizes these changes over the expected life of the covered assets. The Company is currently assessing the impact that this guidance will have on its financial statements.

At September 30, 2012, \$93 million of the purchased impaired loans included in covered loans were classified as nonperforming assets, compared with \$189 million at December 31, 2011, because the expected cash flows are primarily based on the liquidation of underlying collateral and the timing and amount of the cash flows could not be reasonably estimated. Interest income is recognized on other purchased impaired loans through accretion of the difference between the carrying amount of those loans and their expected cash flows. The initial determination of the fair value of the purchased loans includes the impact of expected credit losses and, therefore, no allowance for credit losses is recorded at the purchase date. To the extent credit deterioration occurs after the date of acquisition, the Company records an allowance for credit losses.

Net gains on the sale of loans of \$419 million and \$74 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and \$998 million and \$340 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, were included in noninterest income, primarily in mortgage banking revenue.

#### **Note 4** Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Variable Interest Entities

The Company sells financial assets in the normal course of business. The majority of the Company's financial asset sales are residential mortgage loan sales primarily to government-sponsored enterprises (GSEs) through established programs, the sale or syndication of tax-advantaged investments, commercial loan sales through participation agreements, and other individual or portfolio loan and securities sales. In accordance with the accounting guidance for asset transfers, the Company considers any ongoing involvement with transferred assets in determining whether the assets can be derecognized from the balance sheet. For loans sold under participation agreements, the Company also considers the terms of the loan participation agreement and whether they meet the definition of a participating interest and thus qualify for derecognition. With the exception of servicing and certain performance-based guarantees, the Company's continuing involvement with financial assets sold is minimal and generally limited to market customary representation and warranty clauses. The guarantees provided to certain third-parties in connection with the sale or syndication of certain assets, primarily loan portfolios and tax-advantaged investments, are further discussed in Note 12. When the Company sells financial assets, it may retain servicing rights and/or other interests in the transferred financial assets. The gain or loss on sale depends on the previous carrying amount of the transferred financial assets and the consideration received and any liabilities incurred in exchange for the transferred assets. Upon transfer, any servicing assets and other interests that continue to be held by the Company are initially recognized at fair value. For further information on mortgage servicing rights (MSRs), refer to Note 5. On a limited basis, the Company may acquire and package high-grade corporate bonds for select corporate customers, in which the Company generally has no continuing involvement with these transactions. Additionally, the Company is an authorized Government National Mortgage Association (GNMA) issuer and issues GNMA securities on a regular basis. The Company has no other asset securitizations or similar asset-backed financing arrangements that are off-balance sheet.

The Company is involved in various entities that are considered to be variable interest entities (VIEs). The Company's investments in VIEs primarily represent private investment funds or partnerships that make equity investments, provide debt financing or support community-based investments that may enable the Company to ensure regulatory compliance with the Community Reinvestment Act. In addition, the Company sponsors entities to which it transfers tax-advantaged investments. The Company's investments in these entities are designed to generate a return primarily through the realization of federal and state income tax credits over specified time periods. The Company realized federal and state income tax credits related to these investments of \$227 million and \$191 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and \$581 million and \$510 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The Company amortizes its investments in these entities as the tax credits are realized. Amortization expense is recorded in tax expense for investments meeting certain characteristics, and in other noninterest expense for other investments. Amortization expense recorded in tax expense was



\$123 million and \$60 million, and in other noninterest expense was \$140 million and \$144 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Amortization expense recorded in tax expense was \$316 million and \$175 million, and in other noninterest expense was \$361 million and \$386 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

At September 30, 2012, approximately \$6.7 billion of the Company's assets and \$4.8 billion of its liabilities included on the consolidated balance sheet were related to community development and tax-advantaged investment VIEs which the Company has consolidated, compared with \$5.6 billion and \$4.0 billion, respectively, at December 31, 2011. The majority of the assets of these consolidated VIEs are reported in other assets, and the liabilities are reported in long-term debt. The assets of a particular VIE are the primary source of funds to settle its obligations. The creditors of the VIEs do not have recourse to the general credit of the Company. The Company's exposure to the consolidated VIEs is generally limited to the carrying value of its variable interests plus any related tax credits previously recognized or sold to others.

In addition, the Company sponsors a conduit to which it previously transferred high-grade investment securities. The Company consolidates the conduit because of its ability to manage the activities of the conduit. At September 30, 2012, \$151 million of the held-to-maturity investment securities on the Company's consolidated balance sheet related to the conduit, compared with \$202 million at December 31, 2011.

The Company also sponsors a municipal bond securities tender option bond program. The Company controls the activities of the program's entities, is entitled to the residual returns and provides credit, liquidity and remarketing arrangements to the program. As a result, the Company has consolidated the program's entities. At September 30, 2012, \$5.3 billion of available-for-sale securities and \$5.0 billion of short-term borrowings on the consolidated balance sheet were related to the tender option bond program, compared with \$5.4 billion of available-for-sale securities and \$5.3 billion of short-term borrowings at December 31, 2011.

The Company is not required to consolidate VIEs in which it has concluded it does not have a controlling financial interest, and thus is not the primary beneficiary. In such cases, the Company does not have both the power to direct the entities' most significant activities and the obligation to absorb losses or right to receive benefits that could potentially be significant to the VIEs. The Company's investments in these unconsolidated VIEs generally are carried in other assets on the consolidated balance sheet. The Company's investments in unconsolidated VIEs at September 30, 2012, ranged from less than \$1 million to \$44 million, with an aggregate amount of approximately \$1.7 billion, net of \$1.1 billion of liabilities recorded primarily for unfunded capital commitments of the Company to specific project sponsors. The Company's investments in unconsolidated VIEs at December 31, 2011, ranged from less than \$1 million to \$37 million, with an aggregate amount of \$1.8 billion, net of liabilities of \$965 million for unfunded capital commitments. While the Company believes potential losses from these investments are remote, the Company's maximum exposure to loss from these unconsolidated VIEs was approximately \$5.0 billion at September 30, 2012, compared with \$4.8 billion at December 31, 2011. The maximum exposure to loss was primarily related to community development tax-advantaged investments and included \$1.7 billion at September 30, 2012, and \$1.8 billion at December 31, 2011, recorded on the Company's consolidated balance sheet and \$3.2 billion at September 30, 2012, and \$3.0 billion at December 31, 2011, of previously recorded tax credits which remain subject to recapture by taxing authorities based on compliance features required to be met at the project level. The remaining amounts related to investments in private investment funds and partnerships for which the maximum exposure to loss included amounts recorded on the consolidated balance sheet and any unfunded commitments. The maximum exposure was determined by assuming a scenario where the separate investments within the individual private funds were to become worthless, and the community-based business and housing projects and related tax credits completely failed and did not meet certain government compliance requirements.

**Note 5 Mortgage Servicing Rights**

The Company serviced \$211.3 billion of residential mortgage loans for others at September 30, 2012, and \$191.1 billion at December 31, 2011. The net impact included in mortgage banking revenue of fair value changes of MSR's and derivatives used to economically hedge MSR's were net gains of \$10 million and \$7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and net gains of \$72 million and \$151 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Loan servicing fees, not including valuation changes, included in mortgage banking revenue, were \$181 million and \$166 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and \$526 million and \$483 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Changes in fair value of capitalized MSR's are summarized as follows:

(Dollars in Millions)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 1,594	\$ 1,989	\$ 1,519	\$ 1,837
Rights purchased	10	5	39	16
Rights capitalized	224	101	700	416
Changes in fair value of MSR's				
Due to fluctuations in market interest rates (a)	(123)	(534)	(298)	(569)
Due to revised assumptions or models (b)	(2)	2	(19)	27
Other changes in fair value (c)	(150)	(97)	(388)	(261)
Balance at end of period	\$ 1,553	\$ 1,466	\$ 1,553	\$ 1,466

(a) Includes changes in MSR value associated with changes in market interest rates, including estimated prepayment rates and anticipated earnings on escrow deposits.

(b) Includes changes in MSR value not caused by changes in market interest rates, such as changes in cost to service, ancillary income, and discount rate, as well as the impact of any model changes.

(c) Primarily represents changes due to realization of expected cash flows over time (decay).

The estimated sensitivity to changes in interest rates of the fair value of the MSR's portfolio and the related derivative instruments was as follows:

(Dollars in Millions)	September 30, 2012					December 31, 2011						
	Down 100 bps	Down 50 bps	Down 25 bps	Up 25 bps	Up 50 bps	Down 100 bps	Down 50 bps	Down 25 bps	Up 25 bps	Up 50 bps		
MSR portfolio	\$ (262)	\$ (162)	\$ (94)	\$ 109	\$ 231	\$ 483	\$ (305)	\$ (183)	\$ (98)	\$ 107	\$ 223	\$ 460
Derivative instrument hedges	405	208	105	(105)	(210)	(422)	378	204	104	(107)	(217)	(445)
Net sensitivity	\$ 143	\$ 46	\$ 11	\$ 4	\$ 21	\$ 61	\$ 73	\$ 21	\$ 6	\$ 6	\$ 6	\$ 15

The fair value of MSR's and their sensitivity to changes in interest rates is influenced by the mix of the servicing portfolio and characteristics of each segment of the portfolio. The Company's servicing portfolio consists of the distinct portfolios of government-insured mortgages, conventional mortgages and Mortgage Revenue Bond Programs ( MRBP ). The servicing portfolios are predominantly comprised of fixed-rate agency loans with limited adjustable-rate or jumbo mortgage loans. The MRBP division specializes in servicing loans made under state and local housing authority programs. These programs provide mortgages to low-income and moderate-income borrowers and are generally government-insured programs with a favorable rate subsidy, down payment and/or closing cost assistance.

A summary of the Company's MSR's and related characteristics by portfolio was as follows:

(Dollars in Millions)	September 30, 2012				December 31, 2011			
	MRBP	Government	Conventional (b)	Total	MRBP	Government	Conventional (b)	Total
Servicing portfolio	\$ 13,939	\$ 38,162	\$ 159,162	\$ 211,263	\$ 13,357	\$ 32,567	\$ 145,158	\$ 191,082
Fair market value	\$ 154	\$ 304	\$ 1,095	\$ 1,553	\$ 155	\$ 290	\$ 1,074	\$ 1,519
Value (bps) (a)	110	80	69	74	116	89	74	79

Edgar Filing: US BANCORP \DE\ - Form 10-Q

Weighted-average servicing fees (bps)	40	34	30	31	40	36	29	31
Multiple (value/servicing fees)	2.75	2.35	2.30	2.39	2.90	2.47	2.55	2.55
Weighted-average note rate	5.24%	4.69%	4.63%	4.68%	5.50%	5.08%	4.97%	5.03%
Weighted-average age (in years)	4.2	2.4	2.5	2.6	4.2	2.5	2.8	2.8
Weighted-average expected prepayment (constant prepayment rate)	13.1%	21.6%	23.1%	22.2%	12.9%	21.1%	22.1%	21.3%
Weighted-average expected life (in years)	6.2	4.0	3.5	3.8	6.4	4.0	3.8	4.0
Weighted-average discount rate	12.1%	11.4%	10.0%	10.4%	12.1%	11.3%	10.0%	10.4%

(a) Value is calculated as fair market value divided by the servicing portfolio.

(b) Represents loans sold primarily to GSEs.

**Note 6 Preferred Stock**

At September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Company had authority to issue 50 million shares of preferred stock. The number of shares issued and outstanding and the carrying amount of each outstanding series of the Company's preferred stock was as follows:

(Dollars in Millions)	September 30, 2012				December 31, 2011			
	Shares Issued and Outstanding	Liquidation Preference	Discount	Carrying Amount	Shares Issued and Outstanding	Liquidation Preference	Discount	Carrying Amount
Series A	12,510	\$ 1,251	\$ 145	\$ 1,106	12,510	\$ 1,251	\$ 145	\$ 1,106
Series B	40,000	1,000		1,000	40,000	1,000		1,000
Series D	20,000	500		500	20,000	500		500
Series F	44,000	1,100	12	1,088				
Series G	43,400	1,085	10	1,075				
<b>Total preferred stock (a)</b>	<b>159,910</b>	<b>\$ 4,936</b>	<b>\$ 167</b>	<b>\$ 4,769</b>	<b>72,510</b>	<b>\$ 2,751</b>	<b>\$ 145</b>	<b>\$ 2,606</b>

(a) The par value of all shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, was \$1.00 per share.

On January 23, 2012, the Company issued depositary shares representing an ownership interest in 44,000 shares of Series F Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock with a liquidation preference of \$25,000 per share (the Series F Preferred Stock), and on April 20, 2012, the Company issued depositary shares representing an ownership interest in 43,400 shares of Series G Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock with a liquidation preference of \$25,000 per share (the Series G Preferred Stock). The Series F Preferred Stock and Series G Preferred Stock have no stated maturity and will not be subject to any sinking fund or other obligation of the Company. Dividends, if declared, will accrue and be payable quarterly, in arrears, at a rate per annum equal to 6.50 percent from the date of issuance to, but excluding, January 15, 2022, and thereafter at a floating rate per annum equal to three-month LIBOR plus 4.468 percent for the Series F Preferred Stock, and 6.00 percent from the date of issuance to, but excluding, April 15, 2017, and thereafter at a floating rate per annum equal to three-month LIBOR plus 4.86125 percent for the Series G Preferred Stock. Both series are redeemable at the Company's option, in whole or in part, on or after January 15, 2022, for the Series F Preferred Stock and April 15, 2017, for the Series G Preferred Stock. Both series are redeemable at the Company's option, in whole, but not in part, prior to January 15, 2022, for the Series F Preferred Stock and prior to April 15, 2017, for the Series G Preferred Stock, within 90 days following an official administrative or judicial decision, amendment to, or change in the laws or regulations that would not allow the Company to treat the full liquidation value of the Series F Preferred Stock or Series G Preferred Stock, respectively, as Tier 1 capital for purposes of the capital adequacy guidelines of the Federal Reserve.

For further information on preferred stock, refer to Note 15 in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

**Note 7 Earnings Per Share**

The components of earnings per share were:

(Dollars and Shares in Millions, Except Per Share Data)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2012	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2012	September 30, 2011
Net income attributable to U.S. Bancorp	\$ 1,474	\$ 1,273	\$ 4,227	\$ 3,522
Preferred dividends	(64)	(30)	(174)	(99)
Earnings allocated to participating stock awards	(6)	(6)	(19)	(16)
<b>Net income applicable to U.S. Bancorp common shareholders</b>	<b>\$ 1,404</b>	<b>\$ 1,237</b>	<b>\$ 4,034</b>	<b>\$ 3,407</b>
<b>Average common shares outstanding</b>	<b>1,886</b>	<b>1,915</b>	<b>1,892</b>	<b>1,918</b>
Net effect of the exercise and assumed purchase of stock awards and conversion of outstanding convertible notes	11	7	9	8

Edgar Filing: US BANCORP \DE\ - Form 10-Q

Average diluted common shares outstanding	1,897	1,922	1,901	1,926
Earnings per common share	\$ .74	\$ .65	\$ 2.13	\$ 1.78
Diluted earnings per common share	\$ .74	\$ .64	\$ 2.12	\$ 1.77

Options and warrants outstanding at September 30, 2012 to purchase 10 million and 22 million common shares for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, and outstanding at September 30, 2011 to purchase 60 million and 54 million common shares for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2011,

respectively, were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because they were antidilutive. Convertible senior debentures that could potentially be converted into shares of the Company's common stock pursuant to specified formulas, were not included in the computation of dilutive earnings per share because they were antidilutive.

#### Note 8 Employee Benefits

The components of net periodic benefit cost for the Company's retirement plans were:

(Dollars in Millions)	Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	Pension Plans		Postretirement Welfare Plan		Pension Plans		Postretirement Welfare Plan	
	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011
Service cost	\$ 33	\$ 30	\$ 2	\$ 1	\$ 97	\$ 89	\$ 4	\$ 3
Interest cost	42	42	1	3	126	126	5	7
Expected return on plan assets	(48)	(52)	(1)	(1)	(143)	(155)	(2)	(3)
Prior service cost (credit) and transition obligation (asset) amortization	(2)	(2)			(4)	(7)		
Actuarial loss (gain) amortization	41	31	(1)	(2)	121	94	(5)	(5)
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 66	\$ 49	\$ 1	\$ 1	\$ 197	\$ 147	\$ 2	\$ 2

#### Note 9 Income Taxes

The components of income tax expense were:

(Dollars in Millions)	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
<b>Federal</b>				
Current	\$ 461	\$ 450	\$ 1,416	\$ 907
Deferred	50	(41)	26	232
Federal income tax	511	409	1,442	1,139
<b>State</b>				
Current	77	85	239	157
Deferred	5	(4)	3	18
State income tax	82	81	242	175
Total income tax provision	\$ 593	\$ 490	\$ 1,684	\$ 1,314

A reconciliation of expected income tax expense at the federal statutory rate of 35 percent to the Company's applicable income tax expense follows:

(Dollars in Millions)	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Tax at statutory rate	\$ 709	\$ 610	\$ 2,030	\$ 1,671
State income tax, at statutory rates, net of federal tax benefit	53	53	157	114
Tax effect of				

Edgar Filing: US BANCORP \DE\ - Form 10-Q

Tax credits, net of related expenses	(120)	(124)	(322)	(319)
Tax-exempt income	(55)	(57)	(165)	(170)
Noncontrolling interests	15	8	39	22
Other items	(9)		(55)	(4)
Applicable income taxes	\$ 593	\$ 490	\$ 1,684	\$ 1,314

The Company's income tax returns are subject to review and examination by federal, state, local and foreign government authorities. On an ongoing basis, numerous federal, state, local and foreign examinations are in progress and cover multiple tax years. As of September 30, 2012, the federal taxing authority has completed its examination of the Company through the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008. The years open to examination by foreign, state and local government authorities vary by jurisdiction.

The Company's net deferred tax liability was \$1.6 billion at September 30, 2012, and \$1.1 billion at December 31, 2011.

**Note 10** Derivative Instruments

The Company recognizes all derivatives in the consolidated balance sheet at fair value in other assets or in other liabilities. On the date the Company enters into a derivative contract, the derivative is designated as either a hedge of the fair value of a recognized asset or liability ( fair value hedge ); a hedge of a forecasted transaction or the variability of cash flows to be paid related to a recognized asset or liability ( cash flow hedge ); a hedge of the volatility of an investment in foreign operations driven by changes in foreign currency exchange rates ( net investment hedge ); or a designation is not made as it is a customer-related transaction, an economic hedge for asset/liability risk management purposes or another stand-alone derivative created through the Company s operations ( free-standing derivative ).

The following table provides information on the fair value of the Company s derivative positions:

(Dollars in Millions)	September 30, 2012		December 31, 2011	
	Asset Derivatives	Liability Derivatives	Asset Derivatives	Liability Derivatives
Total fair value of derivative positions	\$ 2,176	\$ 2,640	\$ 1,913	\$ 2,554
Netting (a)	(354)	(1,687)	(294)	(1,889)
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 1,822</b>	<b>\$ 953</b>	<b>\$ 1,619</b>	<b>\$ 665</b>

(a) Represents netting of derivative asset and liability balances, and related collateral, with the same counterparty subject to master netting agreements. At September 30, 2012, the amount of cash and money market investments collateral posted by counterparties that was netted against derivative assets was \$89 million and the amount of cash collateral posted by the Company that was netted against derivative liabilities was \$1.4 billion, compared with \$88 million and \$1.7 billion, respectively, at December 31, 2011.

Of the Company s \$65.5 billion of total notional amount of asset and liability management positions at September 30, 2012, \$12.0 billion was designated as a fair value, cash flow or net investment hedge. When a derivative is designated as a fair value, cash flow or net investment hedge, the Company performs an assessment, at inception and, at a minimum, quarterly thereafter, to determine the effectiveness of the derivative in offsetting changes in the value or cash flows of the hedged item(s).

**Fair Value Hedges** These derivatives are primarily interest rate swaps that hedge the change in fair value related to interest rate changes of underlying fixed-rate debt and junior subordinated debentures. Changes in the fair value of derivatives designated as fair value hedges, and changes in the fair value of the hedged items, are recorded in earnings. All fair value hedges were highly effective for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, and the change in fair value attributed to hedge ineffectiveness was not material.

**Cash Flow Hedges** These derivatives are interest rate swaps that are hedges of the forecasted cash flows from the underlying variable-rate loans and debt. Changes in the fair value of derivatives designated as cash flow hedges are recorded in other comprehensive income (loss) until the cash flows of the hedged items are realized. If a derivative designated as a cash flow hedge is terminated or ceases to be highly effective, the gain or loss in other comprehensive income (loss) is amortized to earnings over the period the forecasted hedged transactions impact earnings. If a hedged forecasted transaction is no longer probable, hedge accounting is ceased and any gain or loss included in other comprehensive income (loss) is reported in earnings immediately, unless the forecasted transaction is at least reasonably possible of occurring, whereby the amounts within other comprehensive income (loss) remain. At September 30, 2012, the Company had \$433 million (net-of-tax) of realized and unrealized losses on derivatives classified as cash flow hedges recorded in other comprehensive income (loss), compared with \$489 million (net-of-tax) at December 31, 2011. The estimated amount to be reclassified from other comprehensive income (loss) into earnings during the remainder of 2012 and the next 12 months are losses of \$33 million (net-of-tax) and \$132 million (net-of-tax), respectively. This amount includes gains and losses related to hedges that were terminated early for which the forecasted transactions are still probable. All cash flow hedges were highly effective for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, and the change in fair value attributed to hedge ineffectiveness was not material.

**Net Investment Hedges** The Company uses forward commitments to sell specified amounts of certain foreign currencies and non-derivative debt instruments to hedge the volatility of its investment in foreign operations driven by fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. The ineffectiveness on all net investment hedges was not material for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. There were no derivatives designated as net investment hedges at September 30, 2012. At September 30, 2012, the carrying amount of non-derivative debt instruments designated as net investment hedges was \$719 million. There were no non-derivative debt instruments designated as net investment hedges at December 31, 2011.





**Other Derivative Positions** The Company enters into free-standing derivatives to mitigate interest rate risk and for other risk management purposes. These derivatives include forward commitments to sell to-be-announced securities ( TBAs ) and other commitments to sell residential mortgage loans, which are used to economically hedge the interest rate risk related to residential mortgage loans held for sale and unfunded mortgage loan commitments. The Company also enters into interest rate swaps, forward commitments to buy TBAs, U.S. Treasury futures and options on U.S. Treasury futures to economically hedge the change in the fair value of the Company s MSRs. The Company also enters into foreign currency forwards to economically hedge remeasurement gains and losses the Company recognizes on foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities. In addition, the Company acts as a seller and buyer of interest rate derivatives and foreign exchange contracts for its customers. To mitigate the market and liquidity risk associated with these customer derivatives, the Company enters into similar offsetting positions with broker-dealers. The Company also has derivative contracts that are created through its operations, including commitments to originate mortgage loans held for sale and certain derivative financial guarantee contracts.

For additional information on the Company s purpose for entering into derivative transactions and its overall risk management strategies, refer to Management Discussion and Analysis Use of Derivatives to Manage Interest Rate and Other Risks which is incorporated by reference into these Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

The following table summarizes the asset and liability management derivative positions of the Company:

	Asset Derivatives			Liability Derivatives		
	Notional Value	Fair Value	Weighted-Average Remaining Maturity In Years	Notional Value	Fair Value	Weighted-Average Remaining Maturity In Years
(Dollars in Millions)						
<b>September 30, 2012</b>						
Fair value hedges						
Interest rate contracts						
Receive fixed/pay floating swaps	\$ 500	\$ 30	3.34	\$	\$	
Cash flow hedges						
Interest rate contracts						
Pay fixed/receive floating swaps				4,468	771	3.96
Receive fixed/pay floating swaps	7,000	51	2.10			
Other economic hedges						
Interest rate contracts						
Futures and forwards						
Buy	15,159	311	.08	107	1	.04
Sell	2,720	7	.14	17,673	389	.08
Options						
Purchased	2,250		.07			
Written	6,097	204	.12	8	1	.19
Receive fixed/pay floating swaps	3,975	45	10.23			
Foreign exchange forward contracts	1,125	7	.02	1,446	5	.03
Equity contracts	32		.53	33	1	2.72
Credit contracts	909	3	4.45	2,005	10	3.28
<b>December 31, 2011</b>						
Fair value hedges						
Interest rate contracts						
Receive fixed/pay floating swaps	500	27	4.09			
Foreign exchange cross-currency swaps	688	17	5.17	432	23	5.17
Cash flow hedges						
Interest rate contracts						
Pay fixed/receive floating swaps				4,788	803	4.03
Receive fixed/pay floating swaps	750		2.75	6,250	6	2.86
Net investment hedges						
Foreign exchange forward contracts	708	4	.08			
Other economic hedges						
Interest rate contracts						
Futures and forwards						
Buy	14,270	150	.07	29		.12
Sell	231	1	.15	14,415	134	.11
Options						
Purchased	1,250		.07			
Written	4,421	80	.10	11	1	.13

Edgar Filing: US BANCORP \DE\ - Form 10-Q

Receive fixed/pay floating swaps	2,625	9	10.21			
Foreign exchange forward contracts	307	1	.08	1,414	11	.08
Equity contracts	54	1	1.05	10		.64
Credit contracts	800	7	3.71	1,600	8	3.59

U. S. Bancorp

61

The following table summarizes the customer-related derivative positions of the Company:

	Asset Derivatives			Liability Derivatives		
	Notional Value	Fair Value	Weighted-Average Remaining Maturity In Years	Notional Value	Fair Value	Weighted-Average Remaining Maturity In Years
<b>September 30, 2012</b>						
Interest rate contracts						
Receive fixed/pay floating swaps	\$ 17,262	\$ 1,186	4.74	\$ 359	\$ 8	16.45
Pay fixed/receive floating swaps	280	8	19.79	17,433	1,148	4.76
Options						
Purchased	3,014	15	5.34	28		4.67
Written	202		.73	2,839	15	5.66
Foreign exchange rate contracts						
Forwards, spots and swaps (a)	11,038	301	.36	10,799	283	.45
Options						
Purchased	346	8	.65			
Written				346	8	.65
<b>December 31, 2011</b>						
Interest rate contracts						
Receive fixed/pay floating swaps	16,230	1,216	4.98	523	1	2.52
Pay fixed/receive floating swaps	99		1.81	16,206	1,182	5.10
Options						
Purchased	2,660	26	6.11			
Written				2,660	26	6.11
Foreign exchange rate contracts						
Forwards, spots and swaps (a)	7,936	369	.54	7,731	354	.54
Options						
Purchased	127	5	.41			
Written				127	5	.41

(a) Reflects the net of long and short positions.

The table below shows the effective portion of the gains (losses) recognized in other comprehensive income (loss) and the gains (losses) reclassified from other comprehensive income (loss) into earnings (net-of-tax):

	Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	Gains (Losses) Recognized in Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) 2012		Gains (Losses) Reclassified from Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) into Earnings 2011		Gains (Losses) Recognized in Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) 2012		Gains (Losses) Reclassified from Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) into Earnings 2011	
<b>Asset and Liability Management Positions</b>								
Cash flow hedges								
Interest rate contracts (a)	\$ (19)	\$ (120)	\$ (33)	\$ (34)	\$ (42)	\$ (199)	\$ (98)	\$ (103)
Net investment hedges								
Foreign exchange forward contracts			(57)		(6)	(104)		
Non-derivative debt instruments		(11)				26		

Note: Ineffectiveness on cash flow and net investment hedges was not material for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011.

(a) Gains (Losses) reclassified from other comprehensive income (loss) into interest income on loans and interest expense on long-term debt.



The table below shows the gains (losses) recognized in earnings for fair value hedges, other economic hedges and the customer-related positions:

(Dollars in Millions)	Location of Gains (Losses) Recognized in Earnings	Three Months		Nine Months	
		Ended September 30, 2012	Ended 2011	Ended September 30, 2012	Ended 2011
<b>Asset and Liability Management Positions</b>					
Fair value hedges (a)					
Interest rate contracts	Other noninterest income	\$ 2	\$ 1	\$ 5	\$ 25
Foreign exchange cross-currency swaps	Other noninterest income		(111)	42	(13)
Other economic hedges					
Interest rate contracts					
Futures and forwards	Mortgage banking revenue	(44)	17	67	(7)
Purchased and written options	Mortgage banking revenue	290	181	689	323
Receive fixed/pay floating swaps	Mortgage banking revenue	48	377	186	479
Pay fixed/receive floating swaps	Mortgage banking revenue		4		4
Foreign exchange forward contracts	Commercial products revenue	(25)	(48)	(62)	(66)
Equity contracts	Compensation expense	1	1	2	2
Credit contracts	Other noninterest income/expense	(2)	4	(8)	2
<b>Customer-Related Positions</b>					
Interest rate contracts					
Receive fixed/pay floating swaps	Other noninterest income	(16)	366	(10)	352
Pay fixed/receive floating swaps	Other noninterest income	19	(376)	15	(365)
Foreign exchange rate contracts					
Forwards, spots and swaps	Commercial products revenue	13	14	36	41

(a) Gains (Losses) on items hedged by interest rate contracts and foreign exchange forward contracts, included in noninterest income (expense), were \$(2) million and zero for the three months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, and \$(3) million and \$117 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011, respectively. Gains (Losses) on items hedged by interest rate contracts and foreign exchange forward contracts, included in noninterest income (expense), were \$(5) million and \$(44) million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, and \$(27) million and \$20 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively. The ineffective portion was immaterial for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011.

Derivatives are subject to credit risk associated with counterparties to the derivative contracts. The Company measures that credit risk using a credit valuation adjustment and includes it within the fair value of the derivative. The Company manages counterparty credit risk through diversification of its derivative positions among various counterparties, by entering into master netting agreements and, where possible, by requiring collateral agreements. A master netting agreement allows two counterparties, who have multiple derivative contracts with each other, the ability to net settle amounts under all contracts, including any related collateral posted, through a single payment and in a single currency. Collateral agreements require the counterparty to post, on a daily basis, collateral (typically cash or money market investments) equal to the Company's net derivative receivable. For highly-rated counterparties, the agreements may include minimum dollar posting thresholds, but allow for the Company to call for immediate, full collateral coverage when credit-rating thresholds are triggered by counterparties.

The Company's collateral agreements are bilateral and, therefore, contain provisions that require collateralization of the Company's net liability derivative positions. Required collateral coverage is based on certain net liability thresholds and contingent upon the Company's credit rating from two of the nationally recognized statistical rating organizations. If the Company's credit rating were to fall below credit ratings thresholds established in the collateral agreements, the counterparties to the derivatives could request immediate full collateral coverage for derivatives in net liability positions. The aggregate fair value of all derivatives under collateral agreements that were in a net liability position at September 30, 2012, was \$1.7 billion. At September 30, 2012, the Company had \$1.4 billion of cash posted as collateral against this net liability position.

#### **Note 11** Fair Values of Assets and Liabilities

The Company uses fair value measurements for the initial recording of certain assets and liabilities, periodic remeasurement of certain assets and liabilities, and disclosures. Derivatives, trading and available-for-sale investment securities, certain mortgage loans held for sale (MLHFS) and MSRs are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. Additionally, from time to time, the Company may be required to record at fair value other assets on a nonrecurring basis, such as loans held for sale, loans held for investment and certain other assets. These nonrecurring fair value adjustments typically involve application of lower-of-cost-or-fair value accounting or impairment write-downs of individual assets.

Fair value is defined as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market

participants on the measurement date. A fair value measurement reflects all of the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability, including assumptions about the risk inherent in a particular valuation technique, the effect of a restriction on the sale or use of an asset, and the risk of nonperformance.

The Company groups its assets and liabilities measured at fair value into a three-level hierarchy for valuation techniques used to measure financial assets and financial liabilities at fair value. This hierarchy is based on whether the valuation inputs are observable or unobservable. These levels are:

**Level 1** Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Level 1 includes U.S. Treasury and exchange-traded instruments.

**Level 2** Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities. Level 2 includes debt securities that are traded less frequently than exchange-traded instruments and which are typically valued using third party pricing services; derivative contracts and other assets and liabilities, including securities, whose value is determined using a pricing model with inputs that are observable in the market or can be derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data; and MLHFS whose values are determined using quoted prices for similar assets or pricing models with inputs that are observable in the market or can be corroborated by observable market data.

**Level 3** Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities. Level 3 assets and liabilities include financial instruments whose values are determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies, or similar techniques, as well as instruments for which the determination of fair value requires significant management judgment or estimation. This category includes MSRs, certain debt securities and certain derivative contracts.

When the Company changes its valuation inputs for measuring financial assets and financial liabilities at fair value, either due to changes in current market conditions or other factors, it may need to transfer those assets or liabilities to another level in the hierarchy based on the new inputs used. The Company recognizes these transfers at the end of the reporting period that the transfers occur. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, there were no transfers of financial assets or financial liabilities between the hierarchy levels.

The Company has processes and controls in place to increase the reliability of estimates it makes in determining fair value measurements. Items quoted on an exchange are verified to the quoted price. Items provided by a third party pricing service are subject to price verification procedures as discussed in more detail in the specific valuation discussions provided in the section that follows. For fair value measurements modeled internally, the Company's valuation models are subject to the Company's Model Risk Governance Policy and Program, as maintained by the Company's credit administration department. The purpose of model validation is to assess the accuracy of the models' input, processing, and reporting components. All models are required to be independently reviewed and approved prior to being placed in use, and are subject to formal change control procedures. Under the Company's Model Risk Governance Policy, models are required to be reviewed at least annually to ensure they are operating as intended. Inputs into the models are market observable inputs whenever available. When market observable inputs are not available, the inputs are developed based upon analysis of historical experience and evaluation of other relevant market data. Significant unobservable model inputs are subject to review by senior management in corporate functions, who are independent from the modeling. Significant unobservable model inputs are also compared to actual results, typically on a quarterly basis. Significant Level 3 fair value measurements are also subject to corporate-level review and are benchmarked to market transactions or other market data, when available. Additional discussion of processes and controls are provided in the valuation methodologies section that follows.

The following section describes the valuation methodologies used by the Company to measure financial assets and liabilities at fair value and for estimating fair value for financial instruments not recorded at fair value as required under disclosure guidance related to the fair value of financial instruments. In addition, the following section includes an indication of the level of the fair value hierarchy in which the assets or liabilities are classified. Where appropriate, the description includes information about the valuation models and key inputs to those models. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, there were no significant changes to the valuation techniques used by the Company to measure fair value.

**Cash and Due From Banks** The carrying value of cash and due from banks approximate fair value and are classified within Level 1. Fair value is provided for disclosure purposes only.



**Federal Funds Sold and Securities Purchased Under Resale Agreements** The carrying value of federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreements approximate fair value because of the relatively short time between the origination of the instrument and its expected realization and are classified within Level 2. Fair value is provided for disclosure purposes only.

**Investment Securities** When quoted market prices for identical securities are available in an active market, these prices are used to determine fair value and these securities are classified within Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy. Level 1 investment securities are predominantly U.S. Treasury securities.

For other securities, quoted market prices may not be readily available for the specific securities. When possible, the Company determines fair value based on market observable information, including quoted market prices for similar securities, inactive transaction prices, and broker quotes. These securities are classified within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. Level 2 valuations are generally provided by a third party pricing service. The Company reviews the valuation methodologies utilized by the pricing service and, on a quarterly basis, reviews the security level prices provided by the pricing service against management's expectation of fair value, based on changes in various benchmarks and market knowledge from recent trading activity. Additionally, each quarter, the Company validates the fair value provided by the pricing services by comparing them to recent observable market trades (where available), broker provided quotes, or other independent secondary pricing sources. Prices obtained from the pricing service are adjusted if they are found to be inconsistent with observable market data. Level 2 investment securities are predominantly agency mortgage-backed securities, certain other asset-backed securities, municipal securities, corporate debt securities, agency debt securities and perpetual preferred securities.

The fair value of securities for which there are no market trades, or where trading is inactive as compared to normal market activity, are classified within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. The Company determines the fair value of these securities using a discounted cash flow methodology and incorporating observable market information, where available. These valuations are modeled by a unit within the Company's treasury department, which is separate from the portfolio management function. The valuations use assumptions regarding housing prices, interest rates and borrower performance. Inputs are refined and updated at least quarterly to reflect market developments and actual performance. The primary valuation drivers of these securities are the prepayment rates, default rates and default severities associated with the underlying collateral, as well as the discount rate used to calculate the present value of the projected cash flows. Level 3 fair values, including the assumptions used, are subject to review by senior management in corporate functions, who are independent from the modeling. The fair value measurements are also compared to fair values provided by third party pricing services, where available. Securities classified within Level 3 include non-agency mortgage-backed securities, non-agency commercial mortgage-backed securities, certain asset-backed securities, certain collateralized debt obligations and collateralized loan obligations, certain corporate debt securities and SIV-related securities.

**Certain Mortgage Loans Held For Sale** MLHFS measured at fair value, for which an active secondary market and readily available market prices exist, are initially valued at the transaction price and are subsequently valued by comparison to instruments with similar collateral and risk profiles. MLHFS are classified within Level 2. Included in mortgage banking revenue was a \$241 million net gain and a \$98 million net gain for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and a \$501 million net gain and a \$38 million net loss for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, from the changes to fair value of these MLHFS under fair value option accounting guidance. Changes in fair value due to instrument specific credit risk were immaterial. Interest income for MLHFS is measured based on contractual interest rates and reported as interest income in the Consolidated Statement of Income. Electing to measure MLHFS at fair value reduces certain timing differences and better matches changes in fair value of these assets with changes in the value of the derivative instruments used to economically hedge them without the burden of complying with the requirements for hedge accounting.

**Loans** The loan portfolio includes adjustable and fixed-rate loans, the fair value of which was estimated using discounted cash flow analyses and other valuation techniques. The expected cash flows of loans considered historical prepayment experiences and estimated credit losses and were discounted using current rates offered to borrowers of similar credit characteristics. Generally, loan fair values reflect Level 3 information. Fair value is provided for disclosure purposes only, with the exception of impaired collateral-based loans that are measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis utilizing the underlying collateral fair value.

**Mortgage Servicing Rights** MSR are valued using a discounted cash flow methodology and third party prices, if available. Accordingly, MSRs are classified within Level 3. The Company determines fair value by estimating the present value of the asset's future cash flows using prepayment rates, discount rates, and other assumptions. The MSR valuations, as well as the assumptions used, are developed by the mortgage banking division and are subject to review by senior management in corporate functions, who are independent from the modeling. The MSR valuations and assumptions are validated through comparison to trade information and industry surveys when available, and are also compared to independent third party valuations each quarter. Risks inherent in MSR valuation include higher than expected prepayment rates and/or delayed receipt of cash flows. There is minimal market activity for MSRs, therefore the determination of fair value requires significant management judgment. Refer to Note 5 for further information on MSR valuation assumptions.

**Derivatives** The majority of derivatives held by the Company are executed over-the-counter and are valued using standard cash flow, Black-Derman-Toy and Monte Carlo valuation techniques. The models incorporate inputs, depending on the type of derivative, including interest rate curves, foreign exchange rates and volatility. In addition, all derivative values incorporate an assessment of the risk of counterparty nonperformance, measured based on the Company's evaluation of credit risk as well as external assessments of credit risk, where available. The Company monitors and manages its nonperformance risk by considering its ability to net derivative positions under master netting agreements, as well as collateral received or provided under collateral support agreements. Accordingly, the Company has elected to measure the fair value of derivatives, at a counterparty level, on a net basis. The majority of the derivatives are classified within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy, as the significant inputs to the models, including nonperformance risk, are observable. However, certain derivative transactions are with counterparties where risk of nonperformance cannot be observed in the market, and therefore the credit valuation adjustments result in these derivatives being classified within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. The credit valuation adjustments for nonperformance risk are determined by the Company's treasury department using credit assumptions provided by credit administration. The credit assumptions are compared to actual results quarterly and are recalibrated as appropriate.

The Company also has commitments to sell, purchase and originate mortgage loans that meet the accounting requirements of a derivative. These mortgage loan commitments are valued by pricing models that include market observable and unobservable inputs, which result in the commitments being classified within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. The unobservable inputs include the percentage of commitments that actually become a closed loan and the MSR value that is inherent in the underlying loan value, both of which are developed by the Company's mortgage banking division. The closed loan percentages for the mortgage loan commitments are monitored on an on-going basis, as these percentages are also used for the Company's economic hedging activities. The inherent MSR value for the commitments are generated by the same models used for the Company's MSRs and thus are subject to the same processes and controls as described for the MSRs above.

**Other Financial Instruments** Other financial instruments include cost method equity investments and community development and tax-advantaged related assets and liabilities. The majority of the Company's cost method equity investments are in Federal Home Loan Bank and Federal Reserve Bank stock, whose carrying amounts approximate their fair value and are classified within Level 2. Investments in private equity and other limited partnership funds are estimated using fund provided net asset values. These equity investments are classified within Level 3. Fair value is provided for disclosure purposes only.

Community development and tax-advantaged investments generate a return primarily through the realization of federal and state income tax credits, with a duration typically equal to the period that the tax credits are realized. Asset balances primarily represent the assets of the underlying community development and tax-advantaged entities the Company consolidated per applicable authoritative accounting guidance. Liabilities of the underlying consolidated entities were included in long-term debt. The carrying value of the asset balances are a reasonable estimate of fair value and are classified within Level 3. Refer to Note 4 for further information on community development and tax-advantaged related assets and liabilities. Fair value is provided for disclosure purposes only.

**Deposit Liabilities** The fair value of demand deposits, savings accounts and certain money market deposits is equal to the amount payable on demand. The fair value of fixed-rate certificates of deposit was estimated by discounting the contractual cash flow using current market rates. Deposit liabilities are classified within Level 2. Fair value is provided for disclosure purposes only.

**Short-term Borrowings** Federal funds purchased, securities sold under agreements to repurchase, commercial paper and other short-term funds borrowed have floating rates or short-term maturities. The fair value of short-term borrowings was determined by discounting contractual cash flows using current market rates. Short-term borrowings are classified within Level 2. Fair value is provided for disclosure purposes only.

**Long-term Debt** The fair value for most long-term debt was determined by discounting contractual cash flows using current market rates. Junior subordinated debt instruments were valued using market quotes. Long-term debt is classified within Level 2. Fair value is provided for disclosure purposes only.

**Loan Commitments, Letters of Credit and Guarantees** The fair value of commitments, letters of credit and guarantees represents the estimated costs to terminate or otherwise settle the obligations with a third party. Other loan commitments, letters of credit and guarantees are not actively traded, and the Company estimates their fair value based on the related amount of unamortized deferred commitment fees adjusted for the probable losses for these arrangements. These arrangements are classified within Level 3. Fair value is provided for disclosure purposes only.

### **Significant Unobservable Inputs of Level 3 Assets and Liabilities**

The following section provides information on the significant inputs used by the Company to determine the fair value measurements of Level 3 assets and liabilities recorded at fair value on the consolidated balance sheet. In addition, the following section includes a discussion of the sensitivity of the fair value measurements to changes in the significant inputs and a description of any interrelationships between these inputs for Level 3 assets and liabilities recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. The discussion below excludes nonrecurring fair value measurements of collateral value used for impairment measures for loans and other real estate owned. These valuations utilize third party appraisal or broker price opinions, and are classified as Level 3 due to the significant judgment involved.

**Available-For-Sale Investment Securities** The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the Company's modeled Level 3 available-for-sale investment securities are prepayment rates, probability of default and loss severities associated with the underlying collateral, as well as the discount margin used to calculate the present value of the projected cash flows. The majority of the Company's Level 3 securities were acquired at discounts. Increases in prepayment rates will typically result in higher fair values, as increased prepayment rates accelerate the receipt of expected cash flows and reduce exposure to credit losses. Increases in the probability of default and loss severities will result in lower fair values, as these increases reduce expected cash flows. Discount margin is the Company's estimate of the current market spread above the respective benchmark rate. Higher discount margin will result in lower fair values, as it reduces the present value of the expected cash flows.

Prepayment rates generally move in the opposite direction of market interest rates. In the current environment, an increase in the probability of default will generally be accompanied with an increase in loss severity, as both are impacted by underlying collateral values. Discount margins are influenced by market expectations about the security's collateral performance, and therefore may directionally move with probability and severity of default; however, discount margins are also impacted by broader market forces, such as competing investment yields, sector liquidity, economic news, and other macroeconomic factors.

The following table shows the significant valuation assumption ranges for Level 3 available-for-sale investment securities at September 30, 2012:

	Minimum	Maximum	Average
<b>Residential Prime Non-Agency Mortgage-Backed Securities (a)</b>			
Estimated lifetime prepayment rates	6%	20%	13%
Lifetime probability of default rates		5	3
Lifetime loss severity rates	25	80	42
Discount margin	3	7	5
<b>Residential Non-Prime Non-Agency Mortgage-Backed Securities (b)</b>			
Estimated lifetime prepayment rates	2%	10%	6%
Lifetime probability of default rates	3	10	6
Lifetime loss severity rates	20	70	53
Discount margin	3	9	6
<b>Other Asset-Backed Securities</b>			
Estimated lifetime prepayment rates	6%	6%	6%
Lifetime probability of default rates	4	4	4
Lifetime loss severity rates	40	40	40
Discount margin	18	18	18

(a) Prime securities are those designated as such by the issuer at origination. When an issuer designation is unavailable, the Company determines at acquisition date the categorization based on asset pool characteristics (such as weighted-average credit score, loan-to-value, loan type, prevalence of low documentation loans) and deal performance (such as pool delinquencies and security market spreads).

(b) Includes all securities not meeting the conditions to be designated as prime.

**Mortgage Servicing Rights** The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the Company's MSR's are expected prepayments and the discount rate used to calculate the present value of the projected cash flows. Significant increases in either of these inputs in isolation would result in a significantly lower fair value measurement. Significant decreases in either of these inputs in isolation would result in a significantly higher fair value measurement. There is no direct interrelationship between prepayments and discount rate. Prepayment rates generally move in the opposite direction of market interest rates. Discount rates are generally impacted by changes in market return requirements.

The following table shows the significant valuation assumption ranges for MSR's at September 30, 2012:

	Minimum	Maximum	Average
Expected prepayment	14%	35%	22%
Discount rate	10	14	10

**Derivatives** The Company has two distinct Level 3 derivative portfolios: (i) the Company's commitments to sell, purchase and originate mortgage loans that meet the requirements of a derivative, and (ii) the Company's asset/liability and customer-related derivatives that are Level 3 due to unobservable inputs related to measurement of risk of nonperformance by the counterparty.

The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the Company's derivative commitments to sell, purchase and originate mortgage loans are the percentage of commitments that actually become a closed loan and the MSR value that is inherent in the underlying loan value. A significant increase in the rate of loans that close would result in a larger derivative asset or liability. A significant increase in the inherent MSR value would result in an increase in the derivative asset or a reduction in the derivative liability. Expected loan close rates and the inherent MSR values are directly impacted by changes in market rates and will generally move in the same direction as interest rates.

The following table shows the significant valuation assumption ranges for the Company's derivative commitments to sell, purchase and originate mortgage loans at September 30, 2012:

	Minimum	Maximum	Average
--	---------	---------	---------

Edgar Filing: US BANCORP \DE\ - Form 10-Q

Expected loan close rate	9%	100%	73%
Inherent MSR value (basis points per loan)	10	195	99

The significant unobservable input used in the fair value measurement of certain of the Company's asset/liability and customer-related derivatives is the credit valuation adjustment related to the risk of counterparty nonperformance. A significant increase in the credit valuation adjustment would result in a lower fair value measurement. A significant decrease in the credit valuation adjustment would result in a higher fair value measurement. The credit valuation adjustment is impacted by changes in the Company's assessment of the counterparty's credit position. At September 30, 2012, the minimum, maximum and average credit valuation adjustment as a percentage of the derivative contract fair value prior to adjustment was 0 percent, 97 percent and 6 percent, respectively.

Edgar Filing: US BANCORP \DE\ - Form 10-Q

The following table summarizes the balances of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

(Dollars in Millions)	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Netting	Total
<b>September 30, 2012</b>					
Available-for-sale securities					
U.S. Treasury and agencies	\$ 504	\$ 239	\$	\$	\$ 743
Mortgage-backed securities					
Residential					
Agency		29,362			29,362
Non-agency					
Prime (a)			631		631
Non-prime (b)			340		340
Commercial					
Agency		202			202
Asset-backed securities					
Collateralized debt obligations/Collateralized loan obligations		42			42
Other		582	16		598
Obligations of state and political subdivisions		6,457			6,457
Obligations of foreign governments		6			6
Corporate debt securities		700	9		709
Perpetual preferred securities		278			278
Other investments	256	12			268
<b>Total available-for-sale</b>	<b>760</b>	<b>37,880</b>	<b>996</b>		<b>39,636</b>
Mortgage loans held for sale		9,815			9,815
Mortgage servicing rights			1,553		1,553
Derivative assets		637	1,539	(354)	1,822
Other assets	179	554			733
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 939</b>	<b>\$ 48,886</b>	<b>\$ 4,088</b>	<b>\$ (354)</b>	<b>\$ 53,559</b>
Derivative liabilities	\$	\$ 2,591	\$ 49	\$ (1,687)	\$ 953
Other liabilities	39	553			592
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 39</b>	<b>\$ 3,144</b>	<b>\$ 49</b>	<b>\$ (1,687)</b>	<b>\$ 1,545</b>
<b>December 31, 2011</b>					
Available-for-sale securities					
U.S. Treasury and agencies	\$ 562	\$ 495	\$	\$	\$ 1,057
Mortgage-backed securities					
Residential					
Agency		40,314			40,314
Non-agency					
Prime (a)			803		803
Non-prime (b)			802		802
Commercial					
Agency		140			140
Non-agency			42		42
Asset-backed securities					
Collateralized debt obligations/Collateralized loan obligations		86	120		206
Other		564	117		681
Obligations of state and political subdivisions		6,539			6,539
Obligations of foreign governments		6			6
Corporate debt securities		818	9		827
Perpetual preferred securities		318			318
Other investments	193	9			202
<b>Total available-for-sale</b>	<b>755</b>	<b>49,289</b>	<b>1,893</b>		<b>51,937</b>
Mortgage loans held for sale		6,925			6,925
Mortgage servicing rights			1,519		1,519
Derivative assets		632	1,281	(294)	1,619

Edgar Filing: US BANCORP \DE\ - Form 10-Q

Other assets	146	467			613
Total	\$ 901	\$ 57,313	\$ 4,693	\$ (294)	\$ 62,613
Derivative liabilities	\$	\$ 2,501	\$ 53	\$ (1,889)	\$ 665
Other liabilities	75	538			613
Total	\$ 75	\$ 3,039	\$ 53	\$ (1,889)	\$ 1,278

*(a) Prime securities are those designated as such by the issuer at origination. When an issuer designation is unavailable, the Company determines at acquisition date the categorization based on asset pool characteristics (such as weighted-average credit score, loan-to-value, loan type, prevalence of low documentation loans) and deal performance (such as pool delinquencies and security market spreads).*

*(b) Includes all securities not meeting the conditions to be designated as prime.*

Edgar Filing: US BANCORP \DE\ - Form 10-Q

The following table presents the changes in fair value for all assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the three months ended September 30:

(Dollars in Millions)	Beginning Balance	Net Gains (Losses) Included in	Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Purchases	Principal			Settlements	Period End Balance	Net Change in Unrealized Gains (Losses) Relating to Assets Still Held at End of Period
					Sales	Payments	Issuances			
<b>2012</b>										
Available-for-sale securities										
Mortgage-backed securities										
Residential non-agency										
Prime (a)	\$ 713	\$ (4)	\$ 23	\$	\$ (61)	\$ (40)	\$	\$	\$ 631	\$ 26
Non-prime (b)	796	(8)	132		(562)	(18)			340	23
Commercial non-agency	37		2		(39)					
Asset-backed securities										
Collateralized debt obligations/Collateralized loan obligations										
Other	102	2	(7)		(96)	(1)				
Other	112	1	(4)	3	(93)	(3)			16	2
Corporate debt securities	9								9	
Total available-for-sale	1,769	(9)(c)	146(f)	3	(851)	(62)			996	51
Mortgage servicing rights	1,594	(275)(d)		10			224(g)		1,553	(275)(d)
Net derivative assets and liabilities	1,360	843 (e)		1	(1)			(713)	1,490	(557)(h)
<b>2011</b>										
Available-for-sale securities										
Mortgage-backed securities										
Residential non-agency										
Prime (a)	\$ 896	\$ 1	\$ (2)	\$	\$	\$ (36)	\$	\$	\$ 859	\$ (2)
Non-prime (b)	895	(2)	(5)			(31)			857	(5)
Commercial non-agency	50	1	(1)		(4)	(1)			45	
Asset-backed securities										
Collateralized debt obligations/Collateralized loan obligations										
Other	133	3	(2)			(9)			125	(2)
Other	129	1	(4)			(6)			120	(4)
Corporate debt securities	9								9	
Total available-for-sale	2,112	4 (i)	(14)(f)		(4)	(83)			2,015	(13)
Mortgage servicing rights	1,989	(629)(d)		5			101(g)		1,466	(629)(d)
Net derivative assets and liabilities	836	836 (j)			(2)			(340)	1,330	77 (k)

(a) Prime securities are those designated as such by the issuer at origination. When an issuer designation is unavailable, the Company determines at acquisition date the categorization based on asset pool characteristics (such as weighted-average credit score, loan-to-value, loan type, prevalence of low documentation loans) and deal performance (such as pool delinquencies and security market spreads).

(b) Includes all securities not meeting the conditions to be designated as prime.

(c) Approximately \$(15) million included in securities gains (losses) and \$6 million included in interest income.

(d) Included in mortgage banking revenue.

(e) Approximately \$124 million included in other noninterest income and \$719 million included in mortgage banking revenue.

(f) Included in changes in unrealized gains and losses on securities available-for-sale.

(g) Represents MSRs capitalized during the period.

(h) Approximately \$7 million included in other noninterest income and \$(564) million included in mortgage banking revenue.

(i) Approximately \$(9) million included in other securities gains (losses) and \$13 million included in interest income.

(j) Approximately \$445 million included in other noninterest income and \$391 million included in mortgage banking revenue.

(k) Approximately \$317 million included in other noninterest income and \$(240) million included in mortgage banking revenue.





The following table presents the changes in fair value for all assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the nine months ended September 30:

(Dollars in Millions)	Beginning Period Balance	Net Gains (Losses) Included in Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Net Gains (Losses) Included in Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Purchases	Sales	Principal Payments	Issuances	Settlements	Period End of Balance	Net Change in Unrealized Gains (Losses) Relating to Assets Still Held at End of Period
<b>2012</b>										
Available-for-sale securities										
Mortgage-backed securities										
Residential non-agency										
Prime (a)	\$ 803	\$ (5)	\$ 60	\$	\$ (109)	\$ (118)	\$	\$	\$ 631	\$ 58
Non-prime (b)	802	(18)	197		(562)	(79)			340	52
Commercial non-agency	42	1			(39)	(4)				
Asset-backed securities										
Collateralized debt obligations/Collateralized loan obligations										
	120	12	(8)		(103)	(21)				
Other	117	7		3	(93)	(18)			16	2
Corporate debt securities	9								9	
Total available-for-sale	1,893	(3)(c)	249(f)	3	(906)	(240)			996	112
Mortgage servicing rights	1,519	(705)(d)		39			700(g)		1,553	(705)(d)
Net derivative assets and liabilities	1,228	2,050 (e)		1	(3)			(1,786)	1,490	(1,407)(h)
<b>2011</b>										
Available-for-sale securities										
Mortgage-backed securities										
Residential non-agency										
Prime (a)	\$ 1,103	\$ 4	\$ 22	\$	\$ (115)	\$ (155)	\$	\$	\$ 859	\$ 14
Non-prime (b)	947	(4)	27		(12)	(101)			857	26
Commercial non-agency	50	2	(1)		(4)	(2)			45	
Asset-backed securities										
Collateralized debt obligations/Collateralized loan obligations										
	135	10	6			(26)			125	7
Other	133	8	(2)			(19)			120	(2)
Corporate debt securities	9								9	
Total available-for-sale	2,377	20 (i)	52(f)		(131)	(303)			2,015	45
Mortgage servicing rights	1,837	(803)(d)		16			416(g)		1,466	(803)(d)
Net derivative assets and liabilities	851	1,252 (j)			(5)			(768)	1,330	(92)(k)

(a) Prime securities are those designated as such by the issuer at origination. When an issuer designation is unavailable, the Company determines at acquisition date the categorization based on asset pool characteristics (such as weighted-average credit score, loan-to-value, loan type, prevalence of low documentation loans) and deal performance (such as pool delinquencies and security market spreads).

(b) Includes all securities not meeting the conditions to be designated as prime.

(c) Approximately \$(37) million included in securities gains (losses) and \$34 million included in interest income.

(d) Included in mortgage banking revenue.

(e) Approximately \$344 million included in other noninterest income and \$1.7 billion included in mortgage banking revenue.

(f) Included in changes in unrealized gains and losses on securities available-for-sale.

(g) Represents MSRs capitalized during the period.

(h) Approximately \$6 million included in other noninterest income and \$1.4 billion included in mortgage banking revenue.

(i) Approximately \$(24) million included in securities gains (losses) and \$44 million included in interest income.

(j) Approximately \$672 million included in other noninterest income and \$580 million included in mortgage banking revenue.

(k) Approximately \$303 million included in other noninterest income and \$(395) million included in mortgage banking revenue.



The Company is also required periodically to measure certain other financial assets at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. These measurements of fair value usually result from the application of lower-of-cost-or-fair value accounting or write-downs of individual assets.

The following table summarizes the adjusted carrying values and the level of valuation assumptions for assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis:

(Dollars in Millions)	September 30, 2012				December 31, 2011			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Loans (a)	\$	\$	\$ 138	\$ 138	\$	\$	\$ 168	\$ 168
Other assets (b)			204	204			310	310

(a) Represents the carrying value of loans for which adjustments were based on the fair value of the collateral, excluding loans fully charged-off.

(b) Primarily represents the fair value of foreclosed properties that were measured at fair value based on an appraisal or broker price opinion of the collateral subsequent to their initial acquisition.

The following table summarizes losses recognized related to nonrecurring fair value measurements of individual assets or portfolios:

(Dollars in Millions)	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Loans (a)	\$ 12	\$ 32	\$ 51	\$ 153
Other assets (b)	42	81	129	230

(a) Represents write-downs of loans which were based on the fair value of the collateral, excluding loans fully charged-off.

(b) Primarily represents related losses of foreclosed properties that were measured at fair value subsequent to their initial acquisition.

#### Fair Value Option

The following table summarizes the differences between the aggregate fair value carrying amount of MLHFS for which the fair value option has been elected and the aggregate unpaid principal amount that the Company is contractually obligated to receive at maturity:

(Dollars in Millions)	September 30, 2012			December 31, 2011		
	Fair Value Carrying Amount	Aggregate Unpaid Principal	Carrying Amount Over (Under) Unpaid Principal	Fair Value Carrying Amount	Aggregate Unpaid Principal	Carrying Amount Over (Under) Unpaid Principal
Total loans	\$ 9,815	\$ 9,215	\$ 600	\$ 6,925	\$ 6,635	\$ 290
Nonaccrual loans	8	13	(5)	10	15	(5)
Loans 90 days or more past due	2	3	(1)	3	4	(1)

#### Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The following table summarizes the estimated fair value for financial instruments as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, and includes financial instruments that are not accounted for at fair value. In accordance with disclosure guidance related to fair values of financial instruments, the Company did not include assets and liabilities that are not financial instruments, such as the value of goodwill, long-term relationships with deposit, credit card, merchant processing and trust customers, other purchased intangibles, premises and equipment, deferred taxes and other liabilities. Additionally, in accordance with the disclosure guidance, insurance contracts and investments accounted for under the equity method are excluded.



The estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments are shown in the table below:

(Dollars in Millions)	Carrying Amount	September 30, 2012 Fair Value			Total	December 31, 2011	
		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3		Carrying Amount	Fair Value
<b>Financial Assets</b>							
Cash and due from banks	\$ 9,382	\$ 9,382	\$	\$	\$ 9,382	\$ 13,962	\$ 13,962
Federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreements	166		166		166	64	64
Investment securities held-to-maturity	34,509	2,441	32,719	66	35,226	18,877	19,216
Mortgages held for sale (a)						3	3
Other loans held for sale	64			64	64	228	228
Loans	213,669			216,105	216,105	205,082	206,646
Other financial instruments	6,991		1,246	5,771	7,017	6,095	6,140
<b>Financial Liabilities</b>							
Deposits	244,232		244,690		244,690	230,885	231,184
Short-term borrowings	27,853		27,885		27,885	30,468	30,448
Long-term debt	26,264		27,264		27,264	31,953	32,664

(a) Balance excludes mortgages held for sale for which the fair value option under applicable accounting guidance was elected.

The fair value of unfunded commitments, standby letters of credit and other guarantees is approximately equal to their carrying value. The carrying value of unfunded commitments and standby letters of credit was \$393 million and \$381 million at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. The carrying value of other guarantees was \$423 million and \$359 million at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

#### Note 12 Guarantees and Contingent Liabilities

**Visa Restructuring and Card Association Litigation** The Company's payment services business issues and acquires credit and debit card transactions through the Visa U.S.A. Inc. card association or its affiliates (collectively "Visa"). In 2007, Visa completed a restructuring and issued shares of Visa Inc. common stock to its financial institution members in contemplation of its initial public offering ("IPO") completed in the first quarter of 2008 (the "Visa Reorganization"). As a part of the Visa Reorganization, the Company received its proportionate number of shares of Visa Inc. common stock, which were subsequently converted to Class B shares of Visa Inc. ("Class B shares"). Visa U.S.A. Inc. ("Visa U.S.A.") and MasterCard International (collectively, the "Card Associations"), are defendants in antitrust lawsuits challenging the practices of the Card Associations (the "Visa Litigation"). Visa U.S.A. member banks have a contingent obligation to indemnify Visa Inc. under the Visa U.S.A. bylaws (which were modified at the time of the restructuring in October 2007) for potential losses arising from the Visa Litigation. The indemnification by the Visa U.S.A. member banks has no specific maximum amount.

Using proceeds from its IPO and through reductions to the conversion ratio applicable to the Class B shares held by Visa U.S.A. member banks, Visa Inc. has funded an escrow account for the benefit of member financial institutions to fund their indemnification obligations associated with the Visa Litigation. The receivable related to the escrow account is classified in other liabilities as a direct offset to the related Visa Litigation contingent liability. On July 13, 2012, Visa signed a memorandum of understanding to enter into a settlement agreement to resolve class action claims associated with the multi-district interchange litigation (the "MOU agreement"), the largest of the remaining Visa Litigation matters. The MOU agreement has not yet been approved by the court, is not yet binding, and may be challenged by some class members. At September 30, 2012, the carrying amount of the Company's liability related to the Visa Litigation matters, net of its share of the escrow fundings, was \$65 million and included the Company's estimate of its share of the temporary reduction in interchange rates specified in the MOU agreement. The remaining Class B shares held by the Company will be eligible for conversion to Class A shares, and thereby become marketable, upon settlement of the Visa Litigation. These shares are excluded from the Company's financial instruments disclosures included in Note 11.

The following table is a summary of other guarantees and contingent liabilities of the Company at September 30, 2012:

(Dollars in Millions)	Collateral Held	Carrying Amount	Maximum Potential Future Payments
Standby letters of credit	\$	\$ 81	\$ 18,323
Third-party borrowing arrangements			299
Securities lending indemnifications	8,982		8,737
Asset sales		305	2,710 (a)
Merchant processing	772	80	81,280
Contingent consideration arrangements		3	6
Tender option bond program guarantee	5,329		5,047
Minimum revenue guarantees		17	31
Other		18	3,436

(a) The maximum potential future payments do not include loan sales where the Company provides standard representation and warranties to the buyer against losses related to loan underwriting documentation defects that may have existed at the time of sale that generally are identified after the occurrence of a triggering event such as delinquency. For these types of loan sales, the maximum potential future payments is generally the unpaid principal balance of loans sold measured at the end of the current reporting period. Actual losses will be significantly less than the maximum exposure, as only a fraction of loans sold will have a representation and warranty breach, and any losses on repurchase would generally be mitigated by any collateral held against the loans.

**Merchant Processing** The Company, through its subsidiaries, provides merchant processing services. Under the rules of credit card associations, a merchant processor retains a contingent liability for credit card transactions processed. This contingent liability arises in the event of a billing dispute between the merchant and a cardholder that is ultimately resolved in the cardholder's favor. In this situation, the transaction is charged-back to the merchant and the disputed amount is credited or otherwise refunded to the cardholder. If the Company is unable to collect this amount from the merchant, it bears the loss for the amount of the refund paid to the cardholder.

The Company currently processes card transactions in the United States, Canada and Europe for airline companies. In the event of liquidation of these merchants, the Company could become financially liable for refunding tickets purchased through the credit card associations under the charge-back provisions. Charge-back risk related to these merchants is evaluated in a manner similar to credit risk assessments and, as such, merchant processing contracts contain various provisions to protect the Company in the event of default. At September 30, 2012, the value of airline tickets purchased to be delivered at a future date was \$5.9 billion. The Company held collateral of \$610 million in escrow deposits, letters of credit and indemnities from financial institutions, and liens on various assets.

**Asset Sales** The Company regularly sells loans to GSEs as part of its mortgage banking activities. The Company provides customary representation and warranties to the GSEs in conjunction with these sales. These representations and warranties generally require the Company to repurchase assets if it is subsequently determined that a loan did not meet specified criteria, such as a documentation deficiency or rescission of mortgage insurance. If the Company is unable to cure or refute a repurchase request, the Company is generally obligated to repurchase the loan or otherwise reimburse the counterparty for losses. At September 30, 2012, the Company had reserved \$220 million for potential losses from representation and warranty obligations, compared with \$160 million at December 31, 2011. The \$60 million increase was primarily the result of the GSEs increasing the number of loans selected for repurchase review. The Company's reserve reflects management's best estimate of losses for representation and warranty obligations. The Company's reserving methodology uses current information about investor repurchase requests, and assumptions about defect rate, concur rate, repurchase mix, and loss severity, based upon the Company's most recent loss trends. The Company also considers qualitative factors that may result in anticipated losses differing from historical loss trends, such as loan vintage, underwriting characteristics and macroeconomic trends.

The following table is a rollforward of the Company's representation and warranty reserve:

(Dollars in Millions)	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 216	\$ 173	\$ 160	\$ 180
Net realized losses	(32)	(31)	(88)	(106)
Additions to reserve	36	20	148	88

Edgar Filing: US BANCORP \DE\ - Form 10-Q

Balance at end of period \$ 220 \$ 162 \$ 220 \$ 162

As of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Company had \$118 million and \$105 million, respectively, of unresolved representation and warranty claims from the GSEs. The Company does not have a significant amount of unresolved claims from investors other than the GSEs.



**Checking Account Overdraft Fee Litigation** The Company is a defendant in three separate cases primarily challenging the Company's daily ordering of debit transactions posted to customer checking accounts for the period from 2003 to 2010. On July 2, 2012, the Company reached a settlement in principle with the lead plaintiffs for these cases, subject to final documentation and court approvals. The settlement will provide for a payment by the Company of \$55 million, which was previously accrued, in exchange for a release of claims asserted against the Company in these matters.

**Mortgage-Related Actions and Investigations** During the second quarter of 2011, the Company and its two primary banking subsidiaries entered into Consent Orders with U.S. federal banking regulators regarding the Company's residential mortgage servicing and foreclosure processes. The banking regulators have notified the Company of civil money penalties related to the Consent Orders; however, these penalties are not significant.

Other federal and state governmental authorities have reached a settlement agreement with five major financial institutions regarding their mortgage origination, servicing, and foreclosure activities. Those governmental authorities contacted other financial institutions, including the Company, to discuss their potential participation in a settlement. The Company has not agreed to any settlement at this point; however, if a settlement were reached it would likely include an agreement to comply with specified servicing standards, and settlement payments to governmental authorities as well as a monetary commitment that could be satisfied under various loan modification programs (in addition to the programs the Company already has in place). The Company has accrued \$130 million with respect to these and related matters.

The Company is currently subject to other investigations and examinations by government agencies concerning mortgage-related practices, including those related to Federal Housing Administration insured residential home loans.

**Other** The Company is subject to various other litigation, investigations and legal and administrative cases and proceedings that arise in the ordinary course of its businesses. Due to their complex nature, it may be years before some matters are resolved. While it is impossible to ascertain the ultimate resolution or range of financial liability with respect to these contingent matters, the Company believes that the aggregate amount of such liabilities will not have a material adverse effect on the financial condition, results of operations or cash flows of the Company.

For additional information on the nature of the Company's guarantees and contingent liabilities, refer to Note 22 in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

**Note 13** Subsequent Events

The Company has evaluated the impact of events that have occurred subsequent to September 30, 2012 through the date the consolidated financial statements were filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission. Based on this evaluation, the Company has determined none of these events were required to be recognized or disclosed in the consolidated financial statements and related notes.

## U.S. Bancorp

## Consolidated Daily Average Balance Sheet and Related Yields and Rates (a)

(Dollars in Millions)	For the Three Months Ended September 30,						
	2012			2011			% Change Average Balances
(Unaudited)	Average Balances	Interest	Yields and Rates	Average Balances	Interest	Yields and Rates	
<b>Assets</b>							
Investment securities	\$ 72,454	\$ 475	2.62%	\$ 66,252	\$ 511	3.08%	9.4%
Loans held for sale	8,432	76	3.59	3,946	42	4.17	*
Loans (b)							
Commercial	62,192	546	3.50	52,344	521	3.96	18.8
Commercial real estate	36,630	413	4.49	35,569	414	4.62	3.0
Residential mortgages	40,969	464	4.52	34,026	408	4.79	20.4
Credit card	16,551	425	10.22	16,057	389	9.60	3.1
Other retail	47,991	621	5.15	48,380	671	5.51	(.8)
Total loans, excluding covered loans	204,333	2,469	4.81	186,376	2,403	5.12	9.6
Covered loans	12,595	201	6.36	15,793	235	5.91	(20.2)
Total loans	216,928	2,670	4.90	202,169	2,638	5.19	7.3
Other earning assets	11,145	63	2.24	13,902	67	1.92	(19.8)
Total earning assets	308,959	3,284	4.24	286,269	3,258	4.53	7.9
Allowance for loan losses	(4,584)			(5,079)			9.7
Unrealized gain (loss) on investment securities	1,184			470			*
Other assets	40,094			39,921			.4
Total assets	\$ 345,653			\$ 321,581			7.5
<b>Liabilities and Shareholders Equity</b>							
Noninterest-bearing deposits	\$ 68,127			\$ 58,606			16.2%
Interest-bearing deposits							
Interest checking	43,207	8	.07	41,042	14	.14	5.3
Money market savings	47,530	18	.15	44,623	16	.14	6.5
Savings accounts	29,743	17	.22	27,042	26	.38	10.0
Time certificates of deposit less than \$100,000	14,362	60	1.67	15,251	74	1.92	(5.8)
Time deposits greater than \$100,000	36,312	69	.76	28,805	72	.99	26.1
Total interest-bearing deposits	171,154	172	.40	156,763	202	.51	9.2
Short-term borrowings	27,843	103	1.49	30,597	143	1.86	(9.0)
Long-term debt	27,112	226	3.33	31,609	289	3.64	(14.2)
Total interest-bearing liabilities	226,109	501	.88	218,969	634	1.15	3.3
Other liabilities	11,624			9,961			16.7
Shareholders equity							
Preferred equity	4,769			2,606			83.0
Common equity	33,850			30,481			11.1
Total U.S. Bancorp shareholders equity	38,619			33,087			16.7
Noncontrolling interests	1,174			958			22.5
Total equity	39,793			34,045			16.9
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 345,653			\$ 321,581			7.5

Edgar Filing: US BANCORP \DE\ - Form 10-Q

Net interest income	\$ 2,783	\$ 2,624
Gross interest margin	3.36%	3.38%
Gross interest margin without taxable-equivalent increments	3.29%	3.30%
<b>Percent of Earning Assets</b>		
Interest income	4.24%	4.53%
Interest expense	.65	.88
Net interest margin	3.59%	3.65%
Net interest margin without taxable-equivalent increments	3.52%	3.57%

\* *Not meaningful*

(a) *Interest and rates are presented on a fully taxable-equivalent basis utilizing a tax rate of 35 percent.*

(b) *Interest income and rates on loans include loan fees. Nonaccrual loans are included in average loan balances.*

## U.S. Bancorp

## Consolidated Daily Average Balance Sheet and Related Yields and Rates (a)

(Dollars in Millions)	For the Nine Months Ended September 30,						
	2012			2011			% Change Average Balances
(Unaudited)	Average Balances	Interest	Yields and Rates	Average Balances	Interest	Yields and Rates	
<b>Assets</b>							
Investment securities	\$ 72,371	\$ 1,487	2.74%	\$ 61,907	\$ 1,479	3.19%	16.9%
Loans held for sale	7,557	208	3.67	4,382	139	4.22	72.5
Loans (b)							
Commercial	59,790	1,620	3.62	50,383	1,539	4.08	18.7
Commercial real estate	36,389	1,228	4.51	35,417	1,210	4.57	2.7
Residential mortgages	39,328	1,357	4.60	32,854	1,201	4.88	19.7
Credit card	16,675	1,267	10.15	16,022	1,141	9.52	4.1
Other retail	47,940	1,873	5.22	48,154	1,992	5.53	(.4)
Total loans, excluding covered loans	200,122	7,345	4.90	182,830	7,083	5.18	9.5
Covered loans	13,609	633	6.21	16,703	704	5.63	(18.5)
Total loans	213,731	7,978	4.98	199,533	7,787	5.22	7.1
Other earning assets	10,610	185	2.32	13,483	187	1.85	(21.3)
Total earning assets	304,269	9,858	4.33	279,305	9,592	4.59	8.9
Allowance for loan losses	(4,677)			(5,275)			11.3
Unrealized gain (loss) on investment securities	1,000			137			*
Other assets	40,215			39,912			.8
Total assets	\$ 340,807			\$ 314,079			8.5
<b>Liabilities and Shareholders Equity</b>							
Noninterest-bearing deposits	\$ 65,423			\$ 50,558			29.4%
Interest-bearing deposits							
Interest checking	45,522	37	.11	42,335	50	.16	7.5
Money market savings	45,977	44	.13	45,091	62	.18	2.0
Savings accounts	29,383	53	.24	26,304	89	.45	11.7
Time certificates of deposit less than \$100,000	14,695	191	1.73	15,294	219	1.92	(3.9)
Time deposits greater than \$100,000	31,978	205	.86	30,153	226	1.00	6.1
Total interest-bearing deposits	167,555	530	.42	159,177	646	.54	5.3
Short-term borrowings	28,942	356	1.65	30,597	411	1.80	(5.4)
Long-term debt	29,388	786	3.57	31,786	860	3.62	(7.5)
Total interest-bearing liabilities	225,885	1,672	.99	221,560	1,917	1.16	2.0
Other liabilities	11,305			9,377			20.6
Shareholders equity							
Preferred equity	4,250			2,349			80.9
Common equity	32,855			29,350			11.9
Total U.S. Bancorp shareholders equity	37,105			31,699			17.1
Noncontrolling interests	1,089			885			23.1
Total equity	38,194			32,584			17.2
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 340,807			\$ 314,079			8.5

Edgar Filing: US BANCORP \DE\ - Form 10-Q

Net interest income	\$ 8,186	\$ 7,675
Gross interest margin	3.34%	3.43%
Gross interest margin without taxable-equivalent increments	3.27%	3.35%
<b>Percent of Earning Assets</b>		
Interest income	4.33%	4.59%
Interest expense	.74	.92
Net interest margin	3.59%	3.67%
Net interest margin without taxable-equivalent increments	3.52%	3.59%

\* *Not meaningful*

(a) *Interest and rates are presented on a fully taxable-equivalent basis utilizing a tax rate of 35 percent.*

(b) *Interest income and rates on loans include loan fees. Nonaccrual loans are included in average loan balances.*

U. S. Bancorp

77

## Part II Other Information

**Item 1A. Risk Factors** There are a number of factors that may adversely affect the Company's business, financial results or stock price. Refer to Risk Factors in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, for discussion of these risks.

**Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds** Refer to the Capital Management section within Management's Discussion and Analysis in Part I for information regarding shares repurchased by the Company during the third quarter of 2012.

### Item 6. Exhibits

- 10.1 Form of 2012 Restricted Stock Award Agreement under U.S Bancorp Amended and Restated 2007 Stock Incentive Plan
- 12 Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
- 32 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 1350 as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 101 Financial statements from the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of the Company for the quarter ended September 30, 2012, formatted in Extensible Business Reporting Language: (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheet, (ii) the Consolidated Statement of Income, (iii) the Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income, (iv) the Consolidated Statement of Shareholders' Equity, (v) the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows and (vi) the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

**SIGNATURE**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

U.S. BANCORP

By: /s/ CRAIG E. GIFFORD  
Craig E. Gifford  
Controller  
(Principal Accounting Officer and Duly Authorized Officer)

DATE: November 5, 2012

U. S. Bancorp

79

**Exhibit 12****Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges**

(Dollars in Millions)	Three Months Ended September 30, 2012	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012
<b>Earnings</b>		
1. Net income attributable to U.S. Bancorp	\$ 1,474	\$ 4,227
2. Applicable income taxes, including expense related to unrecognized tax positions	593	1,684
3. Net income attributable to U.S. Bancorp before income taxes (1 + 2)	\$ 2,067	\$ 5,911
<b>4. Fixed charges:</b>		
a. Interest expense excluding interest on deposits*	\$ 329	\$ 1,139
b. Portion of rents representative of interest and amortization of debt expense	25	77
c. Fixed charges excluding interest on deposits (4a + 4b)	354	1,216
d. Interest on deposits	172	530
e. Fixed charges including interest on deposits (4c + 4d)	\$ 526	\$ 1,746
5. Amortization of interest capitalized	\$	\$
6. Earnings excluding interest on deposits (3 + 4c + 5)	2,421	7,127
7. Earnings including interest on deposits (3 + 4e + 5)	2,593	7,657
8. Fixed charges excluding interest on deposits (4c)	354	1,216
9. Fixed charges including interest on deposits (4e)	526	1,746
<b>Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges</b>		
10. Excluding interest on deposits (line 6/line 8)	6.84	5.86
11. Including interest on deposits (line 7/line 9)	4.93	4.39

\* Excludes interest expense related to unrecognized tax positions



**EXHIBIT 31.1**

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO**

**RULE 13a-14(a) UNDER THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

I, Richard K. Davis, certify that:

- (1) I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of U.S. Bancorp;
- (2) Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- (3) Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- (4) The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - (a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - (c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- (5) The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

Edgar Filing: US BANCORP \DE\ - Form 10-Q

(b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ RICHARD K. DAVIS  
Richard K. Davis  
*Chief Executive Officer*

Dated: November 5, 2012

U. S. Bancorp

81

**EXHIBIT 31.2**

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO**

**RULE 13a-14(a) UNDER THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

I, Andrew Cecere, certify that:

- (1) I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of U.S. Bancorp;
- (2) Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- (3) Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- (4) The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - (a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - (c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- (5) The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

Edgar Filing: US BANCORP \DE\ - Form 10-Q

(b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ ANDREW CECERE  
Andrew Cecere  
*Chief Financial Officer*

Dated: November 5, 2012

**EXHIBIT 32**

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO**

**18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,**

**AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO**

**SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the undersigned, Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of U.S. Bancorp, a Delaware corporation (the Company), do hereby certify that:

- (1) The Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 (the Form 10-Q) of the Company fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Form 10-Q fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ RICHARD K. DAVIS  
Richard K. Davis  
*Chief Executive Officer*  
Dated: November 5, 2012

/s/ ANDREW CECERE  
Andrew Cecere  
*Chief Financial Officer*

First Class

U.S. Postage

PAID

Permit No. 2440

Minneapolis, MN

## Corporate Information

### Executive Offices

U.S. Bancorp

800 Nicollet Mall

Minneapolis, MN 55402

### Common Stock Transfer Agent and Registrar

Computershare Investor Services acts as our transfer agent and registrar, dividend paying agent and dividend reinvestment plan administrator, and maintains all shareholder records for the corporation. Inquiries related to shareholder records, stock transfers, changes of ownership, lost stock certificates, changes of address and dividend payment should be directed to the transfer agent at:

Computershare Investor Services

P.O. Box 358015

Pittsburgh, PA 15252-8015

Phone: 888-778-1311 or 201-680-6578 (international calls)

Internet: [bnymellon.com/shareowner](http://bnymellon.com/shareowner)

For Registered or Certified Mail:

Computershare Investor Services

500 Ross St., 6th Floor

Pittsburgh, PA 15219

Telephone representatives are available weekdays from 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. Central Time, and automated support is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. Specific information about your account is available on Computershare's internet site by clicking on the Investor ServiceDirect<sup>®</sup> link.

### Independent Auditor

Ernst & Young LLP serves as the independent auditor for

U.S. Bancorp's financial statements.

### Common Stock Listing and Trading

## Edgar Filing: US BANCORP \DE\ - Form 10-Q

U.S. Bancorp common stock is listed and traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the ticker symbol USB.

### Dividends and Reinvestment Plan

U.S. Bancorp currently pays quarterly dividends on our common stock on or about the 15th day of January, April, July and October, subject to approval by our Board of Directors. U.S. Bancorp shareholders can choose to participate in a plan that provides automatic reinvestment of dividends and/or optional cash purchase of additional shares of U.S. Bancorp common stock. For more information, please contact our transfer agent, Computershare Investor Services.

### Investor Relations Contacts

Judith T. Murphy

Executive Vice President, Corporate Investor and Public Relations

judith.murphy@usbank.com

Phone: 612-303-0783 or 866-775-9668

### Financial Information

U.S. Bancorp news and financial results are available through our website and by mail.

**Website** For information about U.S. Bancorp, including news, financial results, annual reports and other documents filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, access our home page on the internet at [usbank.com](http://usbank.com), click on *About U.S. Bank*.

**Mail** At your request, we will mail to you our quarterly earnings, news releases, quarterly financial data reported on Form 10-Q and additional copies of our annual reports. Please contact:

U.S. Bancorp Investor Relations

800 Nicollet Mall

Minneapolis, MN 55402

investorrelations@usbank.com

Phone: 866-775-9668

### Media Requests

Thomas J. Joyce

Senior Vice President, Corporate Public Relations

thomas.joyce@usbank.com

Phone: 612-303-3167

### Privacy

U.S. Bancorp is committed to respecting the privacy of our customers and safeguarding the financial and personal information provided to us. To learn more about the U.S. Bancorp commitment to protecting privacy, visit [usbank.com](http://usbank.com) and click on Privacy Pledge.

### Code of Ethics

U.S. Bancorp places the highest importance on honesty and integrity. Each year, every U.S. Bancorp employee certifies compliance with the letter and spirit of our Code of Ethics and Business Conduct, the guiding ethical standards of our organization. For details about our Code of Ethics and Business Conduct, visit [usbank.com](http://usbank.com) and click on *About U.S. Bank*.

### Diversity

## Edgar Filing: US BANCORP \DE\ - Form 10-Q

U.S. Bancorp and our subsidiaries are committed to developing and maintaining a workplace that reflects the diversity of the communities we serve. We support a work environment where individual differences are valued and respected and where each individual who shares the fundamental values of the Company has an opportunity to contribute and grow based on individual merit.

### **Equal Employment Opportunity/Affirmative Action**

U.S. Bancorp and our subsidiaries are committed to providing Equal Employment Opportunity to all employees and applicants for employment. In keeping with this commitment, employment decisions are made based upon performance, skill and abilities, not race, color, religion, national origin or ancestry, gender, age, disability, veteran status, sexual orientation or any other factors protected by law. The corporation complies with municipal, state and federal fair employment laws, including regulations applying to federal contractors.

U.S. Bancorp, including each of our subsidiaries, is an Equal Opportunity Employer committed to creating a diverse workforce.

U.S. Bancorp

Member FDIC

This report has been produced on recycled paper.