

HARTE HANKS INC
Form 10-Q
July 30, 2010
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2010

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 001-7120

HARTE-HANKS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: HARTE HANKS INC - Form 10-Q

Delaware **74-1677284**
(State or other jurisdiction of **(I.R.S. Employer**
incorporation or organization) **Identification Number)**
9601 McAllister Freeway, Suite 610, San Antonio, Texas 78216
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)
Registrant's telephone number including area code 210/829-9000

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (Section 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer or a non-accelerated filer. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and small reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company
Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

The number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant's classes of common stock as of July 15, 2010 was 63,621,968 shares of common stock, all of one class.

Table of Contents

HARTE-HANKS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FORM 10-Q REPORT

June 30, 2010

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| Part I. Financial Information | |
| Item 1. <u>Interim Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u> | |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets - June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009</u> | 3 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations - Three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009</u> | 4 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations - Six Months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009</u> | 5 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows - Six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009</u> | 6 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income - Six months ended June 30, 2010 and year ended December 31, 2009</u> | 7 |
| <u>Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 8 |
| Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 18 |
| Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 29 |
| Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 30 |
| Part II. Other Information | |
| Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 30 |
| Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u> | 30 |
| Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 30 |
| Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u> | 31 |

Table of Contents**Item 1. Interim Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
Harte-Hanks, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (in thousands, except per share and share amounts)**

| | June 30, 2010 (Unaudited) | December 31, 2009 (Audited) |
|---|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Current assets | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 83,833 | \$ 86,598 |
| Accounts receivable (<i>less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$2,952 at June 30, 2010 and \$2,827 at December 31, 2009</i>) | 133,469 | 140,062 |
| Inventory | 6,003 | 4,846 |
| Prepaid expenses | 14,374 | 12,790 |
| Current deferred income tax asset | 9,807 | 9,905 |
| Other current assets | 2,172 | 2,398 |
| Total current assets | 249,658 | 256,599 |
| Property, plant and equipment (<i>less accumulated depreciation of \$248,139 at June 30, 2010 and \$243,873 at December 31, 2009</i>) | | |
| Goodwill, net | 74,004 | 78,399 |
| Other intangible assets (<i>less accumulated amortization of \$14,485 at June 30, 2010 and \$13,953 at December 31, 2009</i>) | 552,886 | 552,886 |
| Other assets | 15,745 | 16,277 |
| | 3,930 | 3,990 |
| Total assets | \$ 896,223 | \$ 908,151 |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity | | |
| Current liabilities | | |
| Current maturities of long-term debt | \$ 52,063 | \$ 46,688 |
| Accounts payable | 43,358 | 42,386 |
| Accrued payroll and related expenses | 15,552 | 15,290 |
| Customer deposits and deferred revenue | 50,062 | 54,055 |
| Income taxes payable | 2,639 | 6,114 |
| Other current liabilities | 7,864 | 8,670 |
| Total current liabilities | 171,538 | 173,203 |
| Long-term debt | 165,500 | 193,000 |
| Other long-term liabilities (<i>including deferred income taxes of \$82,951 at June 30, 2010 and \$77,980 at December 31, 2009</i>) | 143,005 | 140,305 |
| Total liabilities | 480,043 | 506,508 |
| Stockholders' equity | | |
| Common stock, \$1 par value per share, 250,000,000 shares authorized. 118,287,583 shares issued at June 30, 2010 and 118,242,582 shares issued at December 31, 2009 | 118,288 | 118,243 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 335,231 | 333,612 |
| Retained earnings | 1,232,590 | 1,217,975 |
| Less treasury stock: 54,669,299 shares at cost at June 30, 2010 and 54,668,032 shares at cost at December 31, 2009 | (1,236,160) | (1,236,217) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (33,769) | (31,970) |

Edgar Filing: HARTE HANKS INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|------------|------------|
| Total stockholders' equity | 416,180 | 401,643 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 896,223 | \$ 908,151 |

See Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**Harte-Hanks, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Consolidated Statements of Operations (in thousands, except per share amounts)****(Unaudited)**

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|------------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Operating revenues | \$ 207,609 | \$ 215,662 |
| Operating expenses | | |
| Labor | 84,893 | 90,004 |
| Production and distribution | 78,496 | 76,732 |
| Advertising, selling, general and administrative | 15,877 | 16,672 |
| Depreciation and software amortization | 5,545 | 6,869 |
| Intangible asset amortization | 225 | 453 |
| Total operating expenses | 185,036 | 190,730 |
| Operating income | 22,573 | 24,932 |
| Other expenses (income) | | |
| Interest expense | 684 | 2,513 |
| Interest income | (41) | (65) |
| Other, net | (18) | 1,684 |
| | 625 | 4,132 |
| Income before income taxes | 21,948 | 20,800 |
| Income tax expense | 8,532 | 7,742 |
| Net income | \$ 13,416 | \$ 13,058 |
| Basic earnings per common share | \$ 0.21 | \$ 0.21 |
| Weighted-average common shares outstanding | 63,616 | 63,566 |
| Diluted earnings per common share | \$ 0.21 | \$ 0.20 |
| Weighted-average common and common equivalent shares outstanding | 64,183 | 63,737 |

See Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**Harte-Hanks, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Consolidated Statements of Operations (in thousands, except per share amounts)****(Unaudited)**

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|----------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Operating revenues | \$ 407,788 | \$ 433,336 |
| Operating expenses | | |
| Labor | 170,535 | 189,246 |
| Production and distribution | 151,500 | 157,159 |
| Advertising, selling, general and administrative | 33,087 | 32,029 |
| Depreciation and software amortization | 11,245 | 15,306 |
| Intangible asset amortization | 532 | 906 |
| Total operating expenses | 366,899 | 394,646 |
| Operating income | 40,889 | 38,690 |
| Other expenses (income) | | |
| Interest expense | 1,397 | 4,991 |
| Interest income | (67) | (90) |
| Other, net | (359) | 1,702 |
| | 971 | 6,603 |
| Income before income taxes | 39,918 | 32,087 |
| Income tax expense | 15,733 | 11,914 |
| Net income | \$ 24,185 | \$ 20,173 |
| Basic earnings per common share | \$ 0.38 | \$ 0.32 |
| Weighted-average common shares outstanding | 63,607 | 63,541 |
| Diluted earnings per common share | \$ 0.38 | \$ 0.32 |
| Weighted-average common and common equivalent shares outstanding | 64,141 | 63,665 |

See Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**Harte-Hanks, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (in thousands)****(Unaudited)**

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|----------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Cash Flows from Operating Activities | | |
| Net income | \$ 24,185 | \$ 20,173 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and software amortization | 11,245 | 15,306 |
| Intangible asset amortization | 532 | 906 |
| Stock-based compensation | 1,906 | 1,507 |
| Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation | | (13) |
| Net pension cost | 3,148 | 4,453 |
| Deferred income taxes | 4,002 | 3,743 |
| Other, net | 42 | 38 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of acquisitions: | | |
| Decrease in accounts receivable, net | 6,593 | 37,531 |
| (Increase) decrease in inventory | (1,157) | 2,391 |
| (Increase) decrease in prepaid expenses and other current assets | (1,358) | 4,121 |
| Increase (decrease) in accounts payable | 1,369 | (13,140) |
| Decrease in other accrued expenses and other current liabilities | (8,107) | (7,525) |
| Other, net | (4,664) | 1,790 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 37,736 | 71,281 |
| Cash Flows from Investing Activities | | |
| Purchases of property, plant and equipment | (8,266) | (3,918) |
| Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment | 129 | 71 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (8,137) | (3,847) |
| Cash Flows from Financing Activities | | |
| Repayment of borrowings | (22,125) | (14,250) |
| Issuance of common stock | 63 | 538 |
| Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation | | 13 |
| Dividends paid | (9,570) | (9,557) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (31,632) | (23,256) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | (732) | 599 |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | (2,765) | 44,777 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | 86,598 | 30,161 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 83,833 | \$ 74,938 |

See Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**Harte-Hanks, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity and Comprehensive Income (in thousands, except per share amounts)****(2010 Unaudited)**

| | Common Stock | Additional Paid-In Capital | Retained Earnings | Treasury Stock | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | Total Stockholders Equity |
|---|-----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| Balance at December 31, 2008 | \$ 118,085 | \$ 331,227 | \$ 1,189,376 | \$ (1,236,581) | \$ (45,735) | \$ 356,372 |
| Common stock issued - employee stock purchase plan | 85 | 402 | | | | 487 |
| Exercise of stock options and release of nonvested shares | 73 | 44 | | (129) | | (12) |
| Net tax effect of options exercised and release of nonvested shares | | (1,621) | | | | (1,621) |
| Stock-based compensation | | 3,889 | | | | 3,889 |
| Dividends paid (\$0.30 per share) | | | (19,116) | | | (19,116) |
| Treasury stock issued | | (329) | | 493 | | 164 |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | | |
| Net income | | | 47,715 | | | 47,715 |
| Adjustment to pension liability (net of tax expense of \$5,631) | | | | | 8,446 | 8,446 |
| Change in value of derivative instrument accounted for as a cash flow hedge (net of tax expense of \$1,800) | | | | | 2,703 | 2,703 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | | | | | 2,616 | 2,616 |
| Total comprehensive income | | | | | | 61,480 |
| Balance at December 31, 2009 | 118,243 | 333,612 | 1,217,975 | (1,236,217) | (31,970) | 401,643 |
| Exercise of stock options and release of nonvested shares | 45 | 18 | | (95) | | (32) |
| Net tax effect of options exercised and release of nonvested shares | | (232) | | | | (232) |
| Stock-based compensation | | 1,906 | | | | 1,906 |
| Dividends paid (\$0.15 per share) | | | (9,570) | | | (9,570) |
| Treasury stock issued | | (73) | | 152 | | 79 |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | | |
| Net income | | | 24,185 | | | 24,185 |
| Adjustment to pension liability (net of tax expense of \$827) | | | | | 1,240 | 1,240 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | | | | | (3,039) | (3,039) |
| Total comprehensive income | | | | | | 22,386 |
| Balance at June 30, 2010 | \$ 118,288 | \$ 335,231 | \$ 1,232,590 | \$ (1,236,160) | \$ (33,769) | \$ 416,180 |

See Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Harte-Hanks, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Note A - Basis of Presentation

Consolidation

The accompanying unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of Harte-Hanks, Inc. and its subsidiaries (the Company). All intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified for comparative purposes. In the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, net pension cost has been reclassified from the line item Other, net, in the Changes in operating assets and liabilities, to the line item Net pension cost in the Adjustments to reconcile net income to cash provided by operating activities.

As used in this report, the terms Harte-Hanks, we, us or our may refer to Harte-Hanks, one or more of its consolidated subsidiaries, or all of them taken as a whole.

Interim Financial Information

The financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by U.S. GAAP for complete financial statements. Operating results for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2010 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2010. The information included in this Form 10-Q should be read in conjunction with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results and outcomes could differ from those estimates and assumptions. On an ongoing basis management reviews its estimates based on currently available information. Changes in facts and circumstances could result in revised estimates and assumptions. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included.

Operating Expense Presentation in Consolidated Statement of Operations

Labor in the Consolidated Statements of Operations includes all employee payroll and benefits, including stock-based compensation, along with temporary labor costs. Production and distribution and Advertising, selling, general and administrative do not include labor, depreciation or amortization.

Note B - Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In the fourth quarter of 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Subtopic 605-25, *Revenue Recognition - Multiple-Element Arrangements*, (ASC Subtopic 605-25). ASC Subtopic 605-25 provides principles for allocation of consideration among its multiple-elements, allowing more flexibility in identifying and accounting for revenue from separate deliverables under an arrangement. ASC Subtopic 605-25 introduces an estimated selling price method for allocating revenue to the elements of a bundled arrangement if vendor-specific objective evidence or third-party evidence of selling price is not available, and significantly expands related disclosure requirements. This standard is effective on a prospective basis for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010. We will adopt ASC Subtopic 605-25 in the first quarter of 2011. The adoption of ASC Subtopic 605-25 will not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Harte-Hanks, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

In the first quarter of 2010, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Updates (ASU) 2010-06, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures: Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements* (ASU 2010-06). ASU 2010-06 amends FASB ASC Topic 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*, and requires reporting entities to make new disclosures about recurring or nonrecurring fair-value measurements, including significant transfers into and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair-value measurements and information about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements on a gross basis in the reconciliation of Level 3 fair-value measurements. ASU 2010-06 also clarifies existing fair-value measurement disclosure guidance about the level of disaggregation, inputs and valuation techniques. Except for the detailed Level 3 rollforward disclosures, we adopted the provisions of ASU 2010-06 in the first quarter of 2010. This adoption did not affect our consolidated financial statements. We will adopt the provisions of ASU 2010-06 related to the new Level 3 rollforward disclosures in the first quarter of 2011. This adoption in 2011 will not affect our consolidated financial statements.

In the first quarter of 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-09, *Subsequent Events: Amendments to Certain Recognition and Disclosure Requirements* (ASU 2010-09). ASU 2010-09 amends ASC 855, *Subsequent Events*, so that SEC filers are no longer required to disclose the date through which subsequent events have been evaluated in financial statements. We adopted the provisions of ASU 2010-09 in the first quarter of 2010. This adoption did not affect our consolidated financial statements.

Note C - Income Taxes

Our second quarter 2010 income tax provision of \$8.5 million resulted in an effective income tax rate of 38.9%. Our first half 2010 income tax provision of \$15.7 million resulted in an effective income tax rate of 39.4%. Our effective income tax rate is derived by estimating pretax income and income tax expense for the year ending December 31, 2010. The effective income tax rate calculated for the second quarter and first half of 2010 is higher than the federal statutory rate of 35.0%, primarily due to the addition of state income taxes.

Harte-Hanks or one of our subsidiaries files income tax returns in the U.S. federal, U.S. state and foreign jurisdictions. For U.S. state and foreign returns, we are no longer subject to tax examinations for years prior to 2005. For U.S. federal returns, we are no longer subject to tax examinations for the years prior to 2006.

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits is as follows (in thousands):

| | |
|--|----------|
| Balance at January 1, 2010 | \$ 1,513 |
| Additions for current year tax positions | |
| Additions for prior year tax positions | |
| Reductions for prior year tax positions | (608) |
| Lapse of statute | |
| Settlements | |
| Balance at June 30, 2010 | \$ 905 |

Included in the balance of unrecognized tax benefits as of June 30, 2010 are \$0.7 million of federally effected tax benefits that, if recognized, would impact the effective tax rate. We had approximately \$1.3 million and \$1.2 million of interest and penalties accrued at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

We anticipate that it is reasonably possible that we will have a reduction in the liability related to filing positions in the range of \$0.5 million to \$0.8 million during the last six months of 2010 as a result of lapsing statutes.

Table of Contents

Harte-Hanks, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

Note D Stock-Based Compensation

We recognized \$1.0 million and \$1.2 million of stock-based compensation during the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. We recognized \$1.9 million and \$1.5 million of stock-based compensation during the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Our annual grant of stock-based awards occurred in the first quarter of 2010, which is consistent with the timing of previous annual grants. We did not have any significant stock-based compensation activity in the second quarter of 2010.

Note E Interest Rate Risk

We assess interest rate risk by regularly identifying and monitoring changes in interest rate exposure that may adversely impact expected future cash flows and by evaluating hedging opportunities. Prior to September 30, 2009, we used a derivative instrument to manage the risk of changes in prevailing interest rates adversely affecting future cash flows associated with our credit facilities. The derivative instrument used to manage such risk was an interest rate swap. Our only interest rate swap matured on September 30, 2009. We have not entered into derivative instruments for any purpose other than cash flow hedging. We do not speculate using derivative instruments.

As with any financial instrument, derivative instruments have inherent risks, primarily market and credit risk. Market risk associated with changes in interest rates is managed as part of our overall market risk monitoring process by establishing and monitoring limits as to the degree of risk that may be undertaken. Credit risk occurs when a counterparty to a derivative contract in which we have an unrealized gain fails to perform according to the terms of the agreement. We seek to minimize our credit risk by entering into transactions with counterparties that maintain high credit ratings.

We designated our interest rate swap as a cash flow hedge. For a derivative instrument designated as a cash flow hedge, the effective portion of changes in the fair value of the derivative instrument is recorded in other comprehensive loss and is recognized as a component of interest expense in the Statement of Operations when the hedged item affects results of operations. On a quarterly basis, we assessed the ineffectiveness of the hedging relationship, and any gains or losses related to the ineffectiveness would have been recorded as interest expense in our Statement of Operations. There were no components of the derivative instrument that were excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness.

In September 2007, we entered into a two-year interest rate swap agreement with a notional amount of \$150.0 million and a fixed rate of 4.655%. The two-year term began on September 28, 2007. This interest rate swap changed the variable rate cash flow exposure on the \$150.0 million notional amount to fixed rate cash flows by entering into receive-variable, pay-fixed interest rate swap transactions. Under this swap transaction, we received London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) based variable interest rate payments and made fixed interest rate payments, thereby creating fixed rate debt. We designated this hedging relationship as hedging the risk of changes in cash flows (a cash flow hedge) attributable to changes in the LIBOR rate applicable to our 2005 Revolving Credit Facility and 2006 Term Loan Facility. As such, we reported the fair value of the swap as an asset or liability on our balance sheet, any ineffectiveness as interest expense, and effective changes to the fair value of the swap in other comprehensive income (loss). Fair value was determined using projected discounted future cash flows calculated using readily available market information (future LIBOR rates). This swap agreement ended on September 30, 2009 and is no longer recorded on our Consolidated Balance Sheet. We reclassified into earnings losses of \$1.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009, which were related to the swap and previously reported in other comprehensive loss. We reclassified into earnings losses of \$3.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009, which were related to the swap and previously reported in other comprehensive loss.

Table of Contents**Harte-Hanks, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

The following table presents the impact of our derivative instrument on the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months ended June 30:

| In thousands | Amount of Loss Recognized in OCI on Derivative (Effective Portion) | | Location of Loss Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion) | Amount of Loss Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion) | |
|---|--|--------|--|--|----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | | 2010 | 2009 |
| Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships | | | | | |
| Interest rate swap | \$ | \$ 160 | Interest expense | \$ | \$ 1,606 |
| Total | \$ | \$ 160 | | \$ | \$ 1,606 |

The following table presents the impact of our derivative instrument on the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the six months ended June 30:

| In thousands | Amount of Loss Recognized in OCI on Derivative (Effective Portion) | | Location of Loss Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion) | Amount of Loss Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion) | |
|---|--|--------|--|--|----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | | 2010 | 2009 |
| Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships | | | | | |
| Interest rate swap | \$ | \$ 323 | Interest expense | \$ | \$ 3,182 |
| Total | \$ | \$ 323 | | \$ | \$ 3,182 |

Note F - Fair Value of Financial Instruments

FASB ASC 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*, (ASC 820) defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. ASC 820 also establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs used in valuation methodologies into three levels:

Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.

Edgar Filing: HARTE HANKS INC - Form 10-Q

Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

Because of their maturities and/or variable interest rates, certain financial instruments have fair values approximating their carrying values. These instruments include cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable and trade payables.

Table of Contents**Harte-Hanks, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

The carrying values and estimated fair values of our outstanding debt were as follows:

| In thousands | June 30, 2010 | | December 31, 2009 | |
|--------------|-------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------|
| | Carrying Value | Fair Value | Carrying Value | Fair Value |
| Total debt | \$ 217,563 | \$ 211,959 | \$ 239,688 | \$ 230,555 |

The estimated fair values were calculated using current rates proposed to us by our bankers for debt of the same remaining maturity and characteristics.

Note G - Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share is computed on the basis of the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share is computed on the basis of the weighted average number of shares of common stock plus the effect of dilutive potential common shares outstanding during the period using the treasury stock method. Dilutive potential common shares include outstanding stock options and nonvested shares.

A reconciliation of basic and diluted earnings per share (EPS) is as follows:

| In thousands, except per share amounts | Three Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| BASIC EPS | | |
| Net Income | \$ 13,416 | \$ 13,058 |
| Weighted-average common shares outstanding used in earnings per share computations | 63,616 | 63,566 |
| Earnings per common share | \$ 0.21 | \$ 0.21 |
| DILUTED EPS | | |
| Net Income | \$ 13,416 | \$ 13,058 |
| Shares used in diluted earnings per share computations | 64,183 | 63,737 |
| Earnings per common share | \$ 0.21 | \$ 0.20 |
| Computation of shares used in earnings per share computations: | | |
| Weighted-average outstanding common shares | 63,616 | 63,566 |
| Weighted-average common equivalent shares - dilutive effect of stock options and awards | 567 | 171 |

Edgar Filing: HARTE HANKS INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|--------|--------|
| Shares used in diluted earnings per share computations | 64,183 | 63,737 |
|--|--------|--------|

6.2 million and 5.7 million anti-dilutive market price options have been excluded from the calculation of shares used in the diluted EPS calculation for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Table of Contents**Harte-Hanks, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

| In thousands, except per share amounts | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|---------------------------|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| BASIC EPS | | |
| Net Income | \$ 24,185 | \$ 20,173 |
| | | |
| Weighted-average common shares outstanding used in earnings per share computations | 63,607 | 63,541 |
| | | |
| Earnings per common share | \$ 0.38 | \$ 0.32 |
| | | |
| DILUTED EPS | | |
| Net Income | \$ 24,185 | \$ 20,173 |
| | | |
| Shares used in diluted earnings per share computations | 64,141 | 63,665 |
| | | |
| Earnings per common share | \$ 0.38 | \$ 0.32 |
| | | |
| Computation of shares used in earnings per share computations: | | |
| Weighted-average outstanding common shares | 63,607 | 63,541 |
| Weighted-average common equivalent shares - dilutive effect of stock options and awards | 534 | 124 |
| | | |
| Shares used in diluted earnings per share computations | 64,141 | 63,665 |

6.0 million and 7.5 million anti-dilutive market price options have been excluded from the calculation of shares used in the diluted EPS calculation for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Note H Business Segments

Harte-Hanks is a worldwide, direct and targeted marketing company with operations in two segments Direct Marketing and Shoppers.

Table of Contents**Harte-Hanks, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

Information about the operations of our two business segments follows:

| In thousands | Three Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|------------------------------------|-------------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Operating revenues | | |
| Direct Marketing | \$ 140,926 | \$ 145,341 |
| Shoppers | 66,683 | 70,321 |
| Total operating revenues | \$ 207,609 | \$ 215,662 |
| Operating income | | |
| Direct Marketing | \$ 20,000 | \$ 24,708 |
| Shoppers | 5,275 | 3,173 |
| Corporate Activities | (2,702) | (2,949) |
| Total operating income | \$ 22,573 | \$ 24,932 |
| Income before income taxes | | |
| Operating income | \$ 22,573 | \$ 24,932 |
| Interest expense | (684) | (2,513) |
| Interest income | 41 | 65 |
| Other, net | 18 | (1,684) |
| Total income before income taxes | \$ 21,948 | \$ 20,800 |
| | | |
| In thousands | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Operating revenues | | |
| Direct Marketing | \$ 275,421 | \$ 292,162 |
| Shoppers | 132,367 | 141,174 |
| Total operating revenues | \$ 407,788 | \$ 433,336 |
| Operating income | | |
| Direct Marketing | \$ 36,852 | \$ 43,932 |
| Shoppers | 9,443 | 688 |
| Corporate Activities | (5,406) | (5,930) |
| Total operating income | \$ 40,889 | \$ 38,690 |
| Income before income taxes | | |
| Operating income | \$ 40,889 | \$ 38,690 |
| Interest expense | (1,397) | (4,991) |

Edgar Filing: HARTE HANKS INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Interest income | 67 | 90 |
| Other, net | 359 | (1,702) |
| Total income before income taxes | \$ 39,918 | \$ 32,087 |

Note I Components of Net Periodic Pension Benefit Cost

Prior to January 1, 1999, we maintained a defined benefit pension plan for which most of our employees were eligible. In conjunction with significant enhancements to our 401(k) plan, we elected to freeze benefits under this defined benefit pension plan as of December 31, 1998.

Table of Contents**Harte-Hanks, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

In 1994, we adopted a non-qualified, unfunded, supplemental pension plan covering certain employees, which provides for incremental pension payments so that total pension payments equal those amounts that would have been payable from our principal pension plan if it were not for limitations imposed by income tax regulations. The benefits under this supplemental pension plan continue to accrue as if the principal pension plan had not been frozen.

Net pension cost for both plans included the following components:

| In thousands | Three Months Ended June 30, | |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Service cost | \$ 85 | \$ 137 |
| Interest cost | 1,996 | 2,038 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (1,541) | (1,401) |
| Amortization of prior service cost | 14 | 14 |
| Transition obligation | | 3 |
| Recognized actuarial loss | 1,020 | 1,436 |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ 1,574 | \$ 2,227 |

| In thousands | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Service cost | \$ 170 | \$ 274 |
| Interest cost | 3,992 | 4,077 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (3,082) | (2,802) |
| Amortization of prior service cost | 27 | 27 |
| Transition obligation | | 5 |
| Recognized actuarial loss | 2,041 | 2,872 |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ 3,148 | \$ 4,453 |

We plan to make total contributions of approximately \$6.9 million to our frozen pension plan in 2010 in order to obtain the Pension Protection Act of 2006 full funding limit exemption. We made a \$0.8 million contribution in the second quarter of 2010. The remaining planned contributions include \$5.3 million to be paid in the third quarter and \$0.8 million to be paid in fourth quarter. We are not required to make and do not intend to make any contributions to our unfunded pension plan in 2010 other than to the extent needed to cover benefit payments.

Table of Contents**Harte-Hanks, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****Note J Comprehensive Income**

Comprehensive income for a period encompasses net income and all other changes in equity other than from transactions with our stockholders. Our comprehensive income was as follows:

| In thousands | Three Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Net income | \$ 13,416 | \$ 13,058 |
| Other comprehensive income: | | |
| Adjustment to pension liability (net of tax expense of \$414 in 2010 and \$581 in 2009) | 620 | 871 |
| Change in value of derivative instrument accounted for as a cash flow hedge (net of tax expense of \$578 in 2009) | | 868 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | (1,238) | 2,787 |
| Total comprehensive income | \$ 12,798 | \$ 17,584 |

| In thousands | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|---------------------------|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Net income | \$ 24,185 | \$ 20,173 |
| Other comprehensive income: | | |
| Adjustment to pension liability (net of tax expense of \$827 in 2010 and \$1,162 in 2009) | 1,240 | 1,742 |
| Change in value of derivative instrument accounted for as a cash flow hedge (net of tax expense of \$1,143 in 2009) | | 1,716 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | (3,039) | 2,053 |
| Total comprehensive income | \$ 22,386 | \$ 25,684 |

Note K Goodwill

As of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, we had goodwill of approximately \$552.9 million. Under the provisions of FASB ASC 350, *Intangibles-Goodwill and Other*, goodwill is tested for impairment at least annually, or more frequently if events or circumstances indicate that it is more likely than not that goodwill might be impaired. Such events could include a significant change in business conditions, a significant negative regulatory outcome or other events that could negatively affect our business and financial performance. We perform our annual goodwill impairment assessment as of November 30th of each year.

Due to the continued uncertainty in the overall economic climate, we reviewed the significant assumptions in our goodwill analysis during the second quarter of 2010 in order to determine if it was more likely than not that our reporting units' fair values were less than their carrying values. The analyses focused on our current expectations of future cash flows, as well as current market conditions that impact various economic indicators that are utilized in assessing our reporting units' fair values. Based on these analyses, we determined that we did not have any triggering events requiring us to perform a goodwill assessment during the three months ended June 30, 2010.

Table of Contents**Harte-Hanks, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****Note L Litigation Contingencies**

On January 25, 2010, Harte-Hanks Shoppers, Inc. (Shoppers), a California corporation and a subsidiary of Harte-Hanks, Inc. (Harte-Hanks), reached an agreement in principle with Shoppers employee Frank Gattuso and former employee Ernest Sigala, individually and on behalf of a certified class, to settle and resolve a previously disclosed class action lawsuit filed in 2001. The lawsuit, including the class period, is described further below. Under the terms of the agreement in principle, Shoppers, without any admission of liability, agreed, subject to certain conditions, that it will pay to the class settlement fund a total of \$7.0 million. The agreement in principle is subject to the entry of an order of the trial court granting preliminary approval and, following notice to class members, final approval of the settlement and providing for the dismissal of the lawsuit with prejudice against all class members. The parties have agreed in principle to promptly negotiate, sign and submit a formal, binding stipulation of settlement to the trial court to resolve this matter. Pursuant to the agreement in principle, in return for the above consideration, each member of the class, including Gattuso and Sigala, will release all claims against Shoppers and its affiliates that in any way arose from or related to the matters which were the subject of, or could have been the subject of, the claims alleged in the class action lawsuit.

As previously disclosed in Harte-Hanks filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, on March 23, 2001, Shoppers employee Frank Gattuso and former employee Ernest Sigala filed a putative class action against Shoppers, claiming that Shoppers failed to comply with a California statutory provision requiring an employer to indemnify employees for expenses incurred on behalf of the employer. The plaintiffs allege that Shoppers failed to reimburse them for expenses of using their automobiles as outside sales representatives and failed to accurately itemize these expenses on plaintiffs' wage statements. The suit was filed in Los Angeles County Superior Court. The class that the plaintiffs represent has been limited to all California Harte-Hanks outside sales representatives who were not separately reimbursed apart from their base salary and commissions for the expenses they incurred in using their own automobiles after early 1998. The plaintiffs seek indemnification and compensatory damages, statutory damages, exemplary damages, penalties, interest, costs of suit, and attorneys' fees. Shoppers filed a cross-complaint seeking a declaratory judgment that the plaintiffs have been indemnified for their automobile expenses by the higher salaries and commissions paid to them as outside sales representatives. The cross-complaint also alleges conversion, unjust enrichment, constructive trust and rescission and restitution based on mutual mistake. On January 30, 2002, the trial court ruled that California Labor Code Section 2802 requires employers to reimburse employees for mileage and other expenses incurred in the course of employment, but that an employer is permitted to pay increased wages or commissions instead of indemnifying actual expenses. On May 28, 2003, the trial court denied the plaintiffs' motion for class certification. On October 27, 2005, the California Court of Appeal issued a unanimous opinion affirming the trial court's rulings, including the interpretation of Labor Code Section 2802 and denial of class certification. On November 23, 2005, the Court of Appeal denied the plaintiffs' petition for rehearing. On November 5, 2007, the California Supreme Court affirmed the trial court's ruling that Labor Code Section 2802 permits lump sum reimbursement and that an employer may satisfy its obligations to indemnify employees for reasonable and necessary business expenses under Labor Code Section 2802 by paying enhanced taxable compensation. The Supreme Court remanded the matter back to the trial court for further proceedings related to the class certification issue and directed the trial court to consider whether the following issues could properly be resolved on a class-wide basis: (1) did Shoppers adopt a practice or policy of reimbursing outside sales representatives for automobile expenses by paying them higher commission rates and base salaries than it paid to inside sales representatives, (2) did Shoppers establish a method to apportion the enhanced compensation payments between compensation for labor performed and expense reimbursement and (3) was the amount paid for expense reimbursement sufficient to fully reimburse the employees for the automobile expenses they reasonably and necessarily incurred. On July 29, 2008, the trial court stated its intention to issue a split class action certification ruling, certifying a class action with respect to the first two questions listed immediately above (adoption of a policy or practice, and establishment of an apportionment method) and denying class certification on the third question listed immediately above (sufficiency of reimbursement). On May 19, 2009, the trial court issued its written partial class certification order, as described in the immediately preceding sentence.

Table of Contents

Harte-Hanks, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

During the fourth quarter of 2009 we accrued the full \$7.0 million associated with this agreement in principle. We cannot predict the impact of future developments in this lawsuit or agreement in principle, and any further developments within a particular fiscal quarter may adversely impact our results of operations for that quarter.

We are also currently subject to various other legal proceedings in the course of conducting our businesses and, from time to time, we may become involved in additional claims and lawsuits incidental to our businesses. In the opinion of management, after consultation with counsel, any ultimate liability arising out of these pending claims and lawsuits is not currently expected to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position or results of operations. Nevertheless, we cannot predict the impact of future developments affecting our pending or future claims and lawsuits and any resolution of a claim or lawsuit within a particular fiscal quarter may adversely impact our results of operations for that quarter. We expense legal costs as incurred, and all recorded legal liabilities are adjusted as required as better information becomes available to us. The factors we consider when recording an accrual for contingencies include, among others: (i) the opinions and views of our legal counsel; (ii) our previous experience; and (iii) the decision of our management as to how we intend to respond to the complaints.

Note M Subsequent Events

We have evaluated subsequent events from June 30, 2010 through the filing of this Form 10-Q, the date the financial statements were issued. No material subsequent events have occurred during this time which would require recognition in the financial statements or disclosure in the footnotes.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This report, including this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A), contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws. All such statements are qualified by this cautionary note, which is provided pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Forward-looking statements may also be included in our other public filings, press releases, our website and oral and written presentations by management. Statements other than historical facts are forward-looking and may be identified by words such as may, will, expects, believes, anticipates, plans, estimates, seeks, could, intends, or words of similar meaning. Examples include statements regarding (1) our strategies, initiatives, (2) adjustments to our cost structure and other actions designed to respond to market conditions and improve our performance, and the anticipated effectiveness and expenses associated with these actions, (3) our financial outlook for revenues, earnings per share, operating income, expense related to equity-based compensation, capital resources and other financial items, (4) expectations for our businesses and for the industries in which we operate, including with regard to the negative performance trends in our Shoppers business and the adverse impact of continuing economic uncertainty in the United States and other economies on the marketing expenditures and activities of our Direct Marketing clients and prospects, (5) competitive factors, (6) acquisition and development plans, (7) our stock repurchase program, (8) expectations regarding legal proceedings and other contingent liabilities, and (9) other statements regarding future events, conditions or outcomes.

Table of Contents

These forward-looking statements are based on current information, expectations and estimates and involve risks, uncertainties, assumptions and other factors that are difficult to predict and that could cause actual results to vary materially from what is expressed in or indicated by the forward-looking statements. In that event, our business, financial condition, results of operations or liquidity could be materially adversely affected and investors in our securities could lose part or all of their investments. Some of these risks, uncertainties, assumptions and other factors can be found in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the factors discussed under Item 1A. Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 (2009 Form 10-K) and in the Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements in our second quarter 2010 earnings release issued on July 29, 2010. The forward-looking statements included in this report and those included in our other public filings, press releases, our website and oral and written presentations by management are made only as of the respective dates thereof, and we undertake no obligation to update publicly any forward-looking statement in this report or in other documents, our website or oral statements for any reason, even if new information becomes available or other events occur in the future.

Overview

The following MD&A section is intended to help the reader understand the results of operations and financial condition of Harte-Hanks, Inc. (Harte-Hanks). This section is provided as a supplement to, and should be read in conjunction with, our financial statements and the accompanying notes to the financial statements contained elsewhere in this report and our MD&A section, financial statements and accompanying notes to financial statements in our 2009 Form 10-K. Our 2009 Form 10-K contains a discussion of other matters not included herein, such as disclosures regarding critical accounting policies and estimates, and contractual obligations.

Harte-Hanks® is a worldwide direct and targeted marketing company that provides multichannel direct and digital marketing services and shopper advertising opportunities to a wide range of local, regional, national and international consumer and business-to-business marketers. We manage our operations through two operating segments: Direct Marketing and Shoppers.

Direct Marketing services are targeted to specific industries or markets with services and software products tailored to each targeted industry or market. We provide our customers insight driven, multichannel direct and digital marketing solutions. Currently, our Direct Marketing business services various vertical markets including retail, high-tech/telecom, financial services, pharmaceutical/healthcare, and a wide range of selected markets. We believe that we are generally able to provide services to new industries and markets by modifying our services and applications as opportunities are presented. Depending on the needs of our clients, we provide our Direct Marketing capabilities through an integrated approach using more than 30 facilities worldwide, more than 10 of which are located outside of the United States. Each of these centers possesses some specialization and is linked with others to support the needs of our clients. We use various capabilities and technologies to enable our clients to capture, analyze and disseminate customer and prospect data across all points of customer contact.

We offer a full complement of capabilities and resources, including:

agency and creative services;

database marketing solutions;

data quality software and services with Trillium Software;

digital marketing and social networking services;

direct mail and supply chain management;

fulfillment and contact centers; and

lead generation.

Table of Contents

Revenues from the Direct Marketing segment represented approximately 68% of our total revenue for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2010.

Harte-Hanks Shoppers is North America's largest owner, operator and distributor of shopper publications, based on weekly circulation and revenues. Shoppers are weekly advertising publications delivered free by Standard Mail to households and businesses in a particular geographic area. Through print and digital offerings, Shoppers is a trusted local source for saving customers money and helping businesses grow. Shoppers offer advertisers a geographically targeted, cost-effective local advertising system, with virtually 100% penetration in their area of distribution. Shoppers are particularly effective in large markets with high media fragmentation in which major metropolitan newspapers generally have low penetration. Our Shoppers segment also provides advertising and other services online through our websites, *PennySaverUSA.com* and *TheFlyer.com*. These sites are online advertising portals, bringing buyers and sellers together through our online offerings, such as local classifieds, business listings, coupons, special offers and Power Sites. Power Sites are templated web sites for our customers, optimized to help small and medium sized business owners establish a web presence and improve their lead generation.

At June 30, 2010, our Shoppers were zoned into more than 950 separate editions with total circulation of approximately 11.5 million in California and Florida each week. As a result of the difficult economic environment in California and Florida, we curtailed more than 1.4 million of unprofitable or marginal circulation in 2008 and 2009. This consisted of circulations of approximately 850,000 in California and 550,000 in Florida. We continue to evaluate all of our circulation performance and may make further circulation reductions in the future as part of our efforts to address the difficult economic conditions in California and Florida.

Revenues from the Shoppers segment represented approximately 32% of our total revenue for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2010.

We derive revenues from the sale of direct marketing services and shopper advertising services. As a worldwide business, Direct Marketing is affected by general national and international economic trends. Direct Marketing revenues are also affected by economic fundamentals of each industry that we serve, various market factors, including the demand for services by our clients, and the financial condition of and budgets available to specific clients, among other factors. Our Shoppers operate in regional markets in California and Florida and are greatly affected by the strength of the state and local economies.

Our businesses continued to face challenging economic environments in the first half of 2010, which negatively impacted our financial performance. Marketing budgets are often more discretionary in nature, and are easier to reduce in the short-term than other expenses in response to weak economic conditions. Difficult economic conditions and consolidation and bankruptcies of customers and prospective customers in the industry verticals that we serve have resulted in pricing pressures and in reduced demand for our products and services.

Direct Marketing revenues are dependent on, among other things, national, regional and international economic and business conditions. During the first half of 2010, the difficult economic conditions in the United States and other economies continued to adversely impact the marketing expenditures and activities of our customers, resulting in pricing pressures, volume reductions and delays in spending by clients and prospective clients.

Revenues from our Shoppers business are largely dependent on local advertising expenditures in the areas of California and Florida in which we operate. Such expenditures are substantially affected by the strength of the local economies in those markets. During the first half of 2010, the negative trends and economic conditions that we have experienced since the second half of 2007 in California and Florida continued. These conditions were initially created by weakness in the real estate and associated financing markets and have spread across virtually all categories.

Table of Contents

Although economic uncertainty remains, and we believe that 2010 will continue to be challenging, we have seen slight improvement in both businesses over the last few quarters. Due to the structural changes we have made across our entire company, we believe that we are well positioned for an improved economic environment.

Our principal operating expense items are labor, postage and transportation.

Results of Operations

Operating results were as follows:

| In thousands, except per share amounts | Three months ended | | | Six months ended | | |
|--|--------------------|---------------|--------|------------------|---------------|--------|
| | June 30, 2010 | June 30, 2009 | Change | June 30, 2010 | June 30, 2009 | Change |
| Revenues | \$ 207,609 | \$ 215,662 | -3.7% | \$ 407,788 | \$ 433,336 | -5.9% |
| Operating expenses | 185,036 | 190,730 | -3.0% | 366,899 | 394,646 | -7.0% |
| Operating income | \$ 22,573 | \$ 24,932 | -9.5% | \$ 40,889 | \$ 38,690 | 5.7% |
| Net income | \$ 13,416 | \$ 13,058 | 2.7% | \$ 24,185 | \$ 20,173 | 19.9% |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$ 0.21 | \$ 0.20 | 5.0% | \$ 0.38 | \$ 0.32 | 18.8% |

2nd Quarter 2010 vs. 2nd Quarter 2009

Revenues

Consolidated revenues decreased 3.7%, to \$207.6 million, while operating income decreased 9.5% to \$22.6 million in the second quarter of 2010 compared to the second quarter of 2009. Our overall results reflect decreased revenues of \$4.4 million, or 3.0%, from our Direct Marketing segment and decreased revenues of \$3.6 million, or 5.2%, from our Shoppers segment. Direct Marketing experienced revenue declines from our high-tech and pharma/healthcare vertical markets, which were partially offset by increased revenues from our select, financial and retail vertical markets. While these results are mixed, the overall second quarter 2010 Direct Marketing results reflect the continued effects of the difficult economic environment, including reduced volumes and price reductions, on our Direct Marketing business. Shoppers revenue performance reflects the continued impact that the difficult economic environments in California and Florida are having on our Shoppers business. The decrease in revenues was the result of decreased sales in established markets, including declines in most revenue categories.

Operating Expenses

Overall operating expenses decreased 3.0%, to \$185.0 million, in the second quarter of 2010 compared to the second quarter of 2009. The overall decrease in operating expenses was driven by decreased operating expenses in Shoppers of \$5.7 million, or 8.5%, and a decrease in general corporate expense of \$0.2 million, or 8.4%, partially offset by an increase in operating expenses in Direct Marketing of \$0.3 million, or 0.2%. The decrease at Shoppers was primarily due to headcount reductions, decreased paper costs, decreased facility costs and decreased postage. The decrease in general corporate expense was driven by lower pension expense resulting from the increase in the market values of our pension plan assets during the calendar year 2009. The increase at Direct Marketing was primarily due to higher mail supply chain costs and increased outsourced costs resulting from increased outsourced volumes.

Net Income/Earnings Per Share

Net income increased 2.7%, to \$13.4 million, and diluted earnings per share increased 5.0%, to \$0.21 per share, in the second quarter of 2010 when compared to the second quarter of 2009. The increase in net income was a result of increased operating income from Shoppers, less interest expense and the change in other nonoperating expense. This increase was partially offset by decreased operating income from Direct Marketing and a higher effective tax rate.

Table of Contents*First Half 2010 vs. First Half 2009***Revenues**

Consolidated revenues decreased 5.9%, to \$407.8 million, while operating income increased 5.7% to \$40.9 million in the first half of 2010 compared to the first half of 2009. Our overall results reflect decreased revenues of \$16.7 million, or 5.7%, from our Direct Marketing segment and decreased revenues of \$8.8 million, or 6.2%, from our Shoppers segment. Direct Marketing experienced revenue declines from our pharma/healthcare, high-tech and retail vertical markets, which were partially offset by increased revenues from our select and financial vertical markets. While these results are mixed, the overall first half 2010 Direct Marketing results reflect the continued effects of the difficult economic environment, including reduced volumes and price reductions, on our Direct Marketing business. Shoppers revenue performance reflects the continued impact that the difficult economic environments in California and Florida are having on our Shoppers business. The decrease in Shoppers revenues was the result of decreased sales in established markets, including declines in most revenue categories, and curtailment of circulation of approximately 700,000 addresses in February of 2009. On a comparable circulation basis, Shoppers revenues decreased approximately 5.9%.

Operating Expenses

Overall operating expenses decreased 7.0%, to \$366.9 million, in the first half of 2010 compared to the first half of 2009. The overall decrease in operating expenses was driven by decreased operating expenses in Direct Marketing of \$9.7 million, or 3.9%, decreased operating expenses in Shoppers of \$17.6 million, or 12.5%, and decreased general corporate expense of \$0.5 million, or 8.8%. The Direct Marketing decrease was primarily a result of headcount reductions, lower severance costs, lower healthcare costs and decreased lease expense. The decrease at Shoppers was primarily due to headcount reductions, lower severance costs, lower healthcare costs, decreased paper costs, decreased facility lease costs due to lease write-offs in 2009, decreased outsource costs, decreased postage expense and decreased promotion-related expense. The decrease in general corporate expense was driven by lower pension expense resulting from the increase in the market values of our pension plan assets during the calendar year 2009.

Net Income/Earnings Per Share

Net income increased 19.9%, to \$24.2 million, and diluted earnings per share increased 18.8%, to \$0.38 per share, in the first half of 2010 when compared to the first half of 2009. The increase in net income was a result of increased operating income from Shoppers, less interest expense and the change in other nonoperating expense. This increase was partially offset by decreased operating income from Direct Marketing and a higher effective tax rate.

Direct Marketing

Direct Marketing operating results were as follows:

| In thousands | Three months ended | | | Six months ended | | |
|--------------------|--------------------|---------------|--------|------------------|---------------|--------|
| | June 30, 2010 | June 30, 2009 | Change | June 30, 2010 | June 30, 2009 | Change |
| Revenues | \$ 140,926 | \$ 145,341 | -3.0% | \$ 275,421 | \$ 292,162 | -5.7% |
| Operating expenses | 120,926 | 120,633 | 0.2% | 238,569 | 248,230 | -3.9% |
| Operating income | \$ 20,000 | \$ 24,708 | -19.1% | \$ 36,852 | \$ 43,932 | -16.1% |

Table of Contents

2nd Quarter 2010 vs. 2nd Quarter 2009

Revenues

Direct Marketing revenues decreased \$4.4 million, or 3.0%, in the second quarter of 2010 compared to the second quarter of 2009. These results reflect a rate of revenue decline (as a percentage) in the low teens from our high-tech vertical and declines in the high single digits from our pharma/healthcare vertical. Our select and financial verticals increased in the mid single digits and our retail vertical increased in the low single digits. While the results from our verticals are mixed, the overall results reflect the continued effects of the difficult economic environment, including reduced volumes and price reductions, on our Direct Marketing business. Revenues from our vertical markets are impacted by, among other things, the economic fundamentals of each industry, various market factors, including the demand for services by our clients, and the financial condition of and budgets available to specific clients.

Future revenue performance will depend on, among other factors, the overall strength of the national and international economies and how successful we are at maintaining and growing business with existing clients, acquiring new clients and meeting client demands. We believe that in the long-term an increasing portion of overall marketing and advertising expenditures will be moved from other advertising media to the targeted media space, the results of which can be more effectively tracked, enabling measurement of the return on marketing investment, and that our business will benefit as a result.

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses increased \$0.3 million, or 0.2%, in the second quarter of 2010 compared to the second quarter of 2009. Labor costs decreased \$2.1 million, or 3.3%, due to decreased severance costs, lower headcounts, decreased healthcare expense and decreased stock-based compensation. Production and distribution costs increased \$4.1 million, or 10.3%, due to higher mail supply chain costs and increased outsourced costs resulting from increased outsourced volumes. This increase was partially offset by decreased lease expense due to prior year facility consolidations. General and administrative expense decreased \$0.5 million, or 4.2%, due primarily to a decrease in bad debt expense and outside sales commissions. Depreciation and software amortization expense decreased \$1.0 million, or 19.6%, due to decreased capital expenditures over the last few years. Intangible asset amortization decreased \$0.1 million, or 71.3%, as certain intangible assets became fully amortized.

Direct Marketing's largest cost components are labor, outsourced costs and transportation costs. Each of these costs is somewhat variable and tends to fluctuate with revenues and the demand for our direct marketing services. Transportation costs have varied widely in the last few years. Future changes in transportation costs will continue to impact Direct Marketing's total production costs and total operating expenses, and may have an impact on future demand for our supply chain management.

First Half 2010 vs. First Half 2009

Revenues

Direct Marketing revenues decreased \$16.7 million, or 5.7%, in the first half of 2010 compared to the first half of 2009. These results reflect a rate of revenue decline (as a percentage) in the mid teens from our pharma/healthcare vertical, declines in the low teens from our high-tech vertical and declines in the low single digits from our retail vertical. Our select and financial verticals increased in the low single digits. While the results from our verticals are mixed, the overall results reflect the continued effects of the difficult economic environment, including reduced volumes and price reductions, on our Direct Marketing business.

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses decreased \$9.7 million, or 3.9%, in the first half of 2010 compared to the first half of 2009. Labor costs decreased \$10.1 million, or 7.5%, due to lower headcount, decreased severance and decreased healthcare expense. Production and distribution costs increased \$1.9 million, or 2.3%, due to higher mail supply chain costs and increased outsourced costs resulting from increased outsourced volumes. This increase was partially offset by decreased lease expense due to prior year facility consolidations. General and administrative expense increased \$1.3 million, or 6.4%, due primarily to an increase in bad debt expense, travel and nonincome-based taxes. Depreciation and software amortization expense decreased \$2.4 million, or 22.4%, due to decreased capital expenditures over the last few years. Intangible asset amortization decreased \$0.3 million, or 71.3%, as certain intangible assets became fully amortized.

Table of Contents**Shoppers**

| In thousands | Three months ended | | | Six months ended | | |
|--------------------|--------------------|---------------|--------|------------------|---------------|----------|
| | June 30, 2010 | June 30, 2009 | Change | June 30, 2010 | June 30, 2009 | Change |
| Revenues | \$ 66,683 | \$ 70,321 | -5.2% | \$ 132,367 | \$ 141,174 | -6.2% |
| Operating expenses | 61,408 | 67,148 | -8.5% | 122,924 | 140,486 | -12.5% |
| Operating income | \$ 5,275 | \$ 3,173 | 66.2% | \$ 9,443 | \$ 688 | 1,272.5% |

2nd Quarter 2010 vs. 2nd Quarter 2009

Revenues

Shoppers revenues decreased \$3.6 million, or 5.2%, in the second quarter of 2010 compared to the second quarter of 2009. These results reflect the continued impact that the difficult economic environments in California and Florida are having on our Shoppers business. The decrease in revenues was the result of decreased sales in established markets, including declines in most revenue categories. At June 30, 2010, our Shoppers circulation reached approximately 11.5 million addresses each week. We curtailed a significant portion of our circulation from 2007 through the first quarter of 2009. While we have not made any significant changes to our circulation since February of 2009, we continue to evaluate all of our circulation performance and may make further circulation reductions in the future as part of our efforts to address the difficult economic conditions in California and Florida.

Future revenue performance will depend on, among other factors, the overall strength of the California and Florida economies, as well as how successful we are at maintaining and growing business with existing clients, and acquiring new clients.

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses decreased \$5.7 million, or 8.5%, in the second quarter of 2010 compared to the second quarter of 2009. Total labor costs decreased \$2.5 million, or 10.9%, as a result of reductions in our Shoppers workforce due to plant consolidations, administrative staff reductions, lower variable payroll costs from lower ad sales and volumes, and lower severance costs. Total production costs decreased \$2.3 million, or 6.1%, due primarily to decreased paper costs resulting from lower paper rates and declines in volumes, decreased facility lease costs due to facility consolidations, and decreased postage expense due to a decline in distribution volumes. Total general and administrative costs decreased \$0.5 million, or 11.2%, due primarily to decreased legal fees, decreased workers compensation insurance expense, and decreased promotion-related expense. Depreciation and software amortization expense decreased \$0.3 million, or 18.2%, due to decreased capital expenditures in the last few years.

Shoppers largest cost components are labor, postage and paper. Shoppers labor costs are partially variable and tend to fluctuate with the number of zones, circulation, volumes and revenues. Shoppers realized a positive effect in labor costs in the second quarter of 2010 due to headcount reductions as a result of plant consolidations, overall administrative staff reductions, and the circulation reductions in 2008 and 2009. Standard postage rates have increased in recent years, and increased again in May 2009. Shoppers postage rates increased by approximately 1.4% as a result of the May 2009 rate increase. We do not expect standard postage rates to change in 2010. In July 2010, the U.S. Postal Service proposed an exigent price increase to go into effect in January 2011 by an average of 5.6%. The Postal Regulatory Commission must approve any price increase and that approval is currently under consideration. If this exigent price increase is approved at this level, we believe postage rates for Shoppers would increase by approximately 5%. This proposed rate increase and any future changes in postage rates will affect Shoppers production costs. The U. S. Postal service has also proposed various changes in its services to address its financial performance, such as delivery frequency and facility access. We do not believe the proposed changes will have a material impact on our Shoppers business. Shoppers newsprint prices decreased over the second half of 2009 and continued to decrease in the first half of 2010, contributing to the decrease in Shoppers paper costs. Newsprint prices are expected to increase in second half of 2010. Any future changes in newsprint prices will affect Shoppers production costs.

Table of Contents

First Half 2010 vs. First Half 2009

Revenues

Shoppers revenues decreased \$8.8 million, or 6.2%, in the first half of 2010 compared to the first half of 2009. These results reflect the continued impact that the difficult economic environments in California and Florida are having on our Shoppers business. The decrease in revenues was the result of decreased sales in established markets, including declines in most revenue categories, and curtailment of circulation of approximately 700,000 in February of 2009. The net impact of this circulation curtailment was a reduction in Shoppers revenues of \$0.5 million in the first half of 2010 compared to the first half of 2009. On a comparable circulation basis, Shoppers revenues decreased approximately 5.9%.

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses decreased \$17.6 million, or 12.5%, in the first half of 2010 compared to the first half of 2009. At the end of the first quarter of 2009, we completed the consolidation of our two Florida production facilities into one facility. We incurred approximately \$2.0 million in costs in the first quarter of 2009 related to this action. The 2009 savings from this consolidation was offset by the 2009 first quarter charges. Excluding these costs, operating expenses decreased \$15.6 million, or 11.2%. Total labor costs decreased \$7.7 million, or 15.8%, as a result of reductions in our Shoppers workforce due to plant consolidations, administrative staff reductions, lower variable payroll costs from lower ad sales and volumes, and lower healthcare costs and lower severance costs. Total production costs decreased \$7.5 million, or 9.8%, due primarily to decreased paper costs resulting from lower paper rates and declines in volumes, decreased facility lease costs as a result of a \$1.6 million lease write-off in the first quarter of 2009 related to consolidations and circulation curtailments, lower outsourced printing costs due to lower volumes, and decreased postage expense due to a decline in distribution volumes. Total general and administrative costs decreased \$0.6 million, or 6.2%, due primarily to decreased promotion-related expense, decreased facilities costs, decreased legal fees and decreased bad debt expense. Depreciation and software amortization expense decreased \$1.6 million, or 36.1%, due to an accelerated depreciation charge in the first quarter of 2009 related to the Florida facility consolidation, and decreased capital expenditures in the last few years.

General Corporate Expense

General corporate expense decreased \$0.2 million, or 8.4%, in the second quarter of 2010 and \$0.5 million, or 8.8%, in the first half of 2010 compared to the same periods in 2009. These decreases were due primarily to decreases in pension expense resulting from the increase in the market values of our pension plan assets during the calendar year 2009.

Interest Expense

Interest expense decreased \$1.8 million, or 72.8%, in the second quarter of 2010, and \$3.6 million, or 72.0% in the first half of 2010 compared to the same periods in 2009. These decreases are primarily due to lower interest rates as a result of the expiration of our interest rate swap on September 30, 2009. Also contributing to these decreases were lower outstanding debt levels during the second quarter and first half of 2010 compared to the same periods in 2009.

Table of Contents

Interest Income

Interest income was down slightly in the second quarter of 2010 and first half of 2010 compared to the same periods in 2009 as lower interest rates offset the increase in average cash and cash equivalents.

Other Income and Expense

Other income, net, increased \$1.7 million in the second quarter of 2010, from a net expense of \$1.7 million in the second quarter of 2009. Other income, net, increased \$2.1 million in the first half of 2010, from a net expense of \$1.7 million in the first half of 2009. These changes were primarily due to changes in net foreign currency transaction gains and losses.

Income Taxes

Income tax expense increased \$0.8 million in the second quarter of 2010, and \$3.8 million in the first half of 2010 compared to the same periods in 2009. Our effective tax rate was 38.9% for the second quarter of 2010, increasing from 37.2% for the second quarter of 2009. Our effective tax rate was 39.4% for the first half of 2010, increasing from 37.1% for the first half of 2009. The increase in our effective tax rate is primarily due to a discrete increase in state income tax.

Economic Climate and Impact on our Financial Statements

The current economic climate has had a negative impact on our operations and cash flows for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2010, and our financial position at June 30, 2010. We cannot predict the timing, strength or duration of the current difficult economic environment or any subsequent improvement. If the economic climate and markets we serve deteriorate, we may record charges related to restructuring costs and the impairment of goodwill, other intangibles and long-lived assets, and our operations, cash flows and financial position may be materially and adversely affected.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Sources and Uses of Cash

As of June 30, 2010, cash and cash equivalents were \$83.8 million, decreasing \$2.8 million from December 31, 2009. This net decrease was a result of net cash provided by operating activities of \$37.7 million, offset by cash used in investing activities of \$8.1 million and net cash used in financing activities of \$31.6 million.

Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities for the six months ended June 30, 2010 was \$37.7 million, compared to \$71.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009. The \$33.5 million year-over-year decrease was primarily attributable to changes within working capital assets and liabilities.

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, our principal working capital changes, which directly affected net cash provided by operating activities, were as follows:

A decrease in accounts receivable attributable to lower revenues in the second quarter of 2010 than in the fourth quarter of 2009. Days sales outstanding of approximately 59 days at June 30, 2010 increased from 56 days at June 30, 2009 and was unchanged from 59 days at December 31, 2009;

Table of Contents

An increase in inventory due to holding higher levels of newsprint inventory in advance of expected increases in newsprint prices.

An increase in prepaid expenses and other current assets due to timing of payments which are typically higher in the first part of the year;

An increase in accounts payable due to higher production and distribution costs in June of 2010 than in December of 2009;

A decrease in customer deposits and unearned revenue due to timing of receipts; and

A decrease in income taxes payable due to the timing of tax payments.

Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities was \$8.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, compared to \$3.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009. The difference is primarily the result of higher capital expenditures in the first half of 2010 than in the first half of 2009.

Financing Activities

Net cash outflows from financing activities were \$31.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, compared to net cash outflows of \$23.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009. The difference is attributable primarily to \$7.9 million more debt repayments in the first half of 2010 than in the first half of 2009.

Credit Facilities

Our five-year \$125 million Revolving Credit Facility has a maturity date of August 12, 2010. At June 30, 2010, we did not have any outstanding amounts drawn against our Revolving Credit Facility. At June 30, 2010 we had letters of credit totaling \$12.8 million issued under the Revolving Credit Facility, decreasing the amount available for borrowing to \$112.2 million. The five-year \$200 million 2006 Term Loan Facility has a maturity date of September 6, 2011. At June 30, 2010, our debt balance related to the 2006 Term Loan Facility was \$134.1 million. The four-year \$100 million 2008 Term Loan Facility has a maturity date of March 7, 2012. At June 30, 2010, our debt balance related to the 2008 Term Loan Facility was \$83.5 million.

Under all of our credit facilities we are required to maintain an interest coverage ratio of not less than 2.75 to 1 and a total debt-to-EBITDA ratio of not more than 3.0 to 1. The credit facilities also contain covenants restricting our ability to grant liens and enter into certain transactions and limit the total amount of indebtedness of our subsidiaries.

The credit facilities each also include customary covenants regarding reporting obligations, delivery of notices regarding certain events, maintaining our corporate existence, payment of obligations, maintenance of our properties and insurance thereon at customary levels with financially sound and reputable insurance companies, maintaining books and records and compliance with applicable laws. The credit facilities each also provide for customary events of default including nonpayment of principal or interest, breach of representations and warranties, violations of covenants, failure to pay certain other indebtedness, bankruptcy and material judgments and liabilities, certain violations of environmental laws or ERISA or the occurrence of a change of control. As of June 30, 2010, we were in compliance with all of the covenants of our credit facilities.

Outlook

We consider such factors as total cash and cash equivalents, current assets, current liabilities, total debt, revenues, operating income, cash flows from operations, investing activities and financing activities when assessing our liquidity. Our primary sources of liquidity have been cash and cash equivalents on hand and cash generated from operating activities. Our management of cash is designed to optimize returns on cash balances and to ensure that it is readily available to meet our operating, investing and financing requirements as they arise. Capital resources are also available from and provided through our Revolving Credit Facility, subject to the terms and conditions of that facility.

Table of Contents

The amount of cash on hand and borrowings available under our Revolving Credit Facility are influenced by a number of factors, including fluctuations in our operating results, revenue growth, accounts receivable collections, working capital changes, capital expenditures, tax payments, share repurchases, pension plan contributions, acquisitions and dividends.

Developments in the financial markets have increased our exposure to the possible liquidity and credit risks of counterparties to our Revolving Credit Facility. As of June 30, 2010, we had \$112.2 million of unused borrowing capacity under our Revolving Credit Facility, and we have not experienced any limitations to date on our ability to access this source of liquidity. We have not had any borrowings against the Revolving Credit Facility since November of 2008. At June 30, 2010, we had a cash balance of \$83.8 million. Based on our current operational plans, we believe that our cash on hand and cash provided by operating activities, will be sufficient to fund operations, anticipated capital expenditures, payments of principal and interest on our borrowings, and dividends on our common stock for at least the next 12 months. Nevertheless, we cannot predict the impact on our business performance of the economic climate in the United States and other economies. A lasting economic recession in the United States and other economies could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position or operating results.

Our \$125 million Revolving Credit Facility matures in August 2010. We believe that we will obtain a replacement revolver facility in a smaller amount in August 2010. However, if there are disruptions in the credit markets, we may be unable to obtain a replacement facility on acceptable terms or at all. In that event, depending on our ability to generate sufficient cash flow from operations, our overall liquidity and ability to make payments on our indebtedness under our 2006 Term Loan Facility (which matures in September 2011) and our 2008 Term Loan Facility (which matures in March 2012) may be adversely impacted, and we may be required to seek one or more alternatives, such as refinancing or restructuring our indebtedness, selling material assets or operations, or seeking to raise debt or equity capital. We cannot assure you that any of these actions could be affected on a timely basis or on satisfactory terms, if at all. In addition, our existing debt agreements contain restrictive covenants that may prohibit us from adopting one or more of these alternatives.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our financial statements and accompanying notes are prepared in accordance with U.S generally accepted accounting principles. Preparing financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses. These estimates and assumptions are affected by management's application of accounting policies. We consider the following to be our critical accounting policies, as described in detail in our 2009 Form 10-K:

Revenue recognition;

Allowance for doubtful accounts;

Reserve for healthcare, workers' compensation, automobile and general liability;

Goodwill; and

Stock-based compensation.

There have been no material changes to the critical accounting policies described in our 2009 Form 10-K.

As discussed in Note B, *Recent Accounting Pronouncements*, of the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, certain new financial accounting pronouncements have been issued which either have already been reflected in the accompanying consolidated financial statements, or will become effective for our financial statements at various dates in the future. The adoptions of these new accounting pronouncements have not and are not expected to have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Market risk includes the risk of loss arising from adverse changes in market rates and prices. We face market risks related to interest rate variations and to foreign exchange rate variations. From time to time, we may utilize derivative financial instruments as described below to manage our exposure to such risks.

We are exposed to market risk for changes in interest rates related to our credit facilities. Our earnings are affected by changes in short-term interest rates as a result of our credit facilities, which bear interest at variable rates based on Eurodollar rates (effective 30 day rate of 0.35% at June 30, 2010). The five-year \$125 million Revolving Credit Facility has a maturity date of August 12, 2010. At June 30, 2010, we did not have any debt outstanding under the Revolving Credit Facility. The five-year \$200 million 2006 Term Loan Facility has a maturity date of September 6, 2011. At June 30, 2010, our debt balance related to the 2006 Term Loan Facility was \$134.1 million. The four-year 2008 Term Loan Facility has a maturity date of March 7, 2012. At June 30, 2010, our debt balance related to the 2008 Term Loan Facility was \$83.5 million. In September 2007, we entered into a two-year interest rate swap with a notional amount of \$150 million and a fixed rate of 4.655% in order to limit a portion of our interest rate exposure by converting a portion of our variable-rate debt to fixed-rate debt. This interest rate swap expired on September 30, 2009.

Assuming the actual level of borrowing throughout the second quarter and first half of 2010, and assuming a one percentage point change in the average interest rates, we estimate that our second quarter and first half of 2010 net income would have changed by approximately \$0.3 million and \$0.7 million, respectively. Due to our overall debt level and cash balance at June 30, 2010, anticipated cash flows from operations, and the various financial alternatives available to us should there be an adverse change in interest rates, we do not believe that we currently have significant exposure to market risks associated with changing interest rates.

Our earnings are also affected by fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates as a result of our operations in foreign countries. Our primary exchange rate exposure is to the Euro, British pound sterling, Australian dollar, Philippine peso and Brazilian real. We monitor these risks throughout the normal course of business. The majority of the transactions of our U.S. and foreign operations are denominated in the respective local currencies. Changes in exchange rates related to these types of transactions are reflected in the applicable line items making up operating income in our Statement of Operations. Due to the current level of operations conducted in foreign currencies, we do not believe that the impact of fluctuations in foreign exchange rates on these types of transactions is significant to our overall annual earnings. A smaller portion of our transactions are denominated in currencies other than the respective local currencies. For example, inter-company transactions that are expected to be settled in the near-term are denominated in U.S. dollars. Since the accounting records of our foreign operations are kept in the respective local currency, any transactions denominated in other currencies are accounted for in the respective local currency at the time of the transaction. Any foreign currency gain or loss from these transactions results in an adjustment to income, which is recorded in "Other, net" in our Statement of Operations. Transactions such as these amounted to \$0.3 million and \$1.0 million in pre-tax currency transaction gains in the second quarter and first half of 2010, respectively. At this time we have not entered into any foreign currency forward exchange contracts or other derivative instruments to hedge the effects of fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates.

We do not enter into derivative instruments for any purpose other than cash flow hedging. We do not speculate using derivative instruments.

Table of Contents

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this report, an evaluation was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Chief Accounting Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or the Exchange Act). It should be noted that, because of inherent limitations, our disclosure controls and procedures, however well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, and not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the disclosure controls and procedures are met. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Chief Accounting Officer concluded that the design and operation of these disclosure controls and procedures were effective, at the reasonable assurance level, to ensure information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is properly recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC rules and forms.

As of the end of the period covered by this report, an evaluation was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Chief Accounting Officer, of our internal control over financial reporting to determine whether any changes occurred during the second quarter of 2010 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting. Based on that evaluation, there were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting or in other factors that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting. We may make changes in our internal control processes from time to time in the future. It should also be noted that, because of inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements, and controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or in the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Information regarding legal proceedings is set forth in Note L to the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, *Litigation Contingencies*, in Item 1 of Part I of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, which information is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

In addition to the other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the factors discussed in Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors in our 2009 Form 10-K, which could materially affect our business, financial condition or future results. The risks described in our 2009 Form 10-K are not the only risks we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and operating results. In our judgment, there were no material changes in the risk factors as previously disclosed in Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors of our 2009 Form 10-K. Refer to Part I, Item 2 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, for a discussion of the ongoing economic downturn in the United States and other economies and its adverse impact on our business.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

During the second quarter of 2010, we did not purchase any shares of our stock through our stock repurchase program that was publicly announced in January 1997. Under this program, from which shares can be purchased in the open market or through privately negotiated transactions, our Board of Directors has authorized the repurchase of up to 74,400,000 shares of our outstanding common stock. As of June 30, 2010, we had repurchased a total of 63,924,509 shares at an average price of \$18.83 per share under this program. The maximum number of shares that may yet be purchased under this program was 10,475,491 at June 30, 2010.

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits
See Index to Exhibits on Page 33.

Table of Contents

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

| | |
|----------------------|---|
| | HARTE-HANKS, INC. |
| <u>July 30, 2010</u> | /s/ LARRY FRANKLIN |
| Date | Larry Franklin President and Chief Executive Officer |
| <u>July 30, 2010</u> | /s/ DOUGLAS SHEPARD |
| Date | Douglas Shepard Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer |
| <u>July 30, 2010</u> | /s/ JESSICA HUFF |
| Date | Jessica Huff Vice President, Finance and Chief Accounting Officer |

Table of Contents

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

| Exhibit No. | Description of Exhibit |
|------------------------|---|
| *31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| *31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| *32.1 | Furnished Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| *32.2 | Furnished Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |

* Filed or furnished herewith