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## FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Statements expressing expectations regarding our future and projections relating to products, sales, revenues and earnings are typical of such statements and are made under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements about our plans, objectives, representations and contentions and are not historical facts and typically are identified by use of terms such as may, will, should, could, expect, plan, anticipate, believe, estimate, predict, potential, continue and similar words, although some forward-looking statements are expressed differently.

All forward-looking statements are subject to the risks and uncertainties inherent in predicting the future. You should be aware that although the forward-looking statements included herein represent management s current judgment and expectations, our actual results may differ materially from those projected, stated or implied in these forward-looking statements as a result of many factors, including, but not limited to the Company s ability to manage growth effectively, dependence on Cree, Inc as the current supplier of the substantial majority of the raw material, ability to develop a material second source of supply, dependence on a limited number of jewelry manufacturing customers, dependence on continued growth and consumer acceptance of the Company s products, any trends in the general economy that would adversely affect consumer spending, risks of conducting operations in foreign countries and our dependence on third parties. These and other risks and uncertainties, many of which are addressed in more detail below in the sections entitled Risk Factors and Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, could cause our actual results and developments to be materially different from those expressed or implied by any of these forward-looking statements. We undertake no obligation to update or revise such statements to reflect new circumstances or unanticipated events as they occur except as required by the federal securities laws, and you are urged to review and consider disclosures that we make in our reports that we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including this Annual Report on Form 10-K, that discuss other factors relevant to our business.

## Part I

## ITEM 1. BUSINESS

## Introduction

We are Charles \& Colvard, Ltd., a North Carolina corporation (the Company or Charles \& Colvard, which may be referred to as we, us that manufactures, markets and distributes Charles \& Colvard created Moissanite jewels (also called moissanite or moissanite jewels) for sale in the worldwide jewelry market. Moissanite, also known by its chemical name, silicon carbide ( SiC ), is a rare, naturally occurring mineral found primarily in meteors. As the sole manufacturer of scientifically-made moissanite jewels, we are creating a unique brand image which positions moissanite as a jewel in its own right, distinct from all other jewels based on its fire, brilliance, luster, durability and rarity.

Our moissanite jewels are made from SiC crystals. We obtain the substantial majority of our SiC crystals from Cree, Inc. ( Cree ). In February 2005, we established a new relationship for the supply of SiC crystals with Norstel AB, a Swedish Company ( Norstel AB ), that has demonstrated an ability to produce suitable SiC crystals in limited quantities. We have certain exclusive supply rights with Cree and Norstel AB for SiC crystals to be used for gemstone applications.

From our inception in June 1995 through June 30, 1998, we were a development stage enterprise, devoting our resources to fund research and development of colorless moissanite jewels. We operate as a single business segment. We began shipping moissanite to U.S. retail jewelers and international
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distributors during the second quarter of 1998. During the second quarter of 2000, we changed our U.S. distribution model to sell through jewel distributors and jewelry manufacturers rather than directly to retail stores.

In March 2000, we entered into distribution agreements with each of Stuller Settings, Inc. (Stuller) and Rio Grande, two of the largest suppliers of jewelry-related products to the jewelry industry, for the North American distribution of moissanite and phased out of the direct sales to retail jewelers. We have also entered into several manufacturing agreements with domestic jewelry manufacturers, including Reeves Park, K\&G Creations and Samuel Aaron International (SAI), which were our three largest customers in 2006. Through these agreements with distributors and jewelry manufacturers and our other strategic initiatives described below, our goal has been to rapidly increase the introduction of moissanite into the domestic jewelry market while maintaining prices on a per carat basis. Our sales have grown from $\$ 11.5$ million in 2001 to $\$ 40.7$ million in 2006, while average selling prices per carat have dropped approximately $9 \%$ in this period due to a product mix in which a greater percentage of smaller size jewels, which have a lower price per carat, were sold.

After establishing profitability in 2001, our strategy has been to achieve sales growth and maintain profitability. We achieved both of those goals in each year from 2002 through 2005 as sales grew from $\$ 11.5$ million in 2001 to $\$ 43.5$ million in 2005, and operating income grew from $\$ 0.9$ million in 2001 to $\$ 9.1$ million in 2005. While we continued to grow income in 2006, our sales were $7 \%$ lower than 2005 due to the dollar amount of orders received in 2006 for new distribution being less than the amount we received in 2005, as discussed in more detail under Item 7 ,
Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. It is our goal in 2007 to increase revenue while remaining profitable by increasing awareness and demand for moissanite jewelry.

## Moissanite

Moissanite is a rare, naturally occurring mineral found primarily in meteors. Naturally occurring moissanite crystals are scarce, generally very small in size, dark green or black in color and are not a commercially viable gemstone material. Therefore, we expect only lab-grown SiC crystals to provide a meaningful source of moissanite for jewels.

It is generally accepted that, in addition to carat size, the most important characteristics of a gemstone are beauty, durability and rarity. The beauty of a gemstone is characterized by its color, brilliance, dispersion ( fire ), and luster. The brilliance of a gemstone is measured by its refractive index, or the extent, when coupled with the facet design, to which the gemstone reflects light. The fire of a gemstone, or the breaking of light rays into spectral colors, is measured by its dispersion. Luster is the amount of light that is reflected back to the observer from the surface of a gemstone. The durability of a gemstone is determined by its hardness, or resistance to scratching, and its toughness, or resistance to chipping or cleaving. The gemstone s hardness also determines the extent to which brilliance and fire can be highlighted by cutting the gemstone with sharp, well-defined and highly polished facets. Rarity is the availability or perceived availability of a gemstone.

Moissanite jewels have unique fire, brilliance, luster, durability and rarity. The refractive index and the fire of moissanite jewels are higher than those found in other gemstones. We believe that the hardness of moissanite jewels is greater than all known gemstone materials except diamond. As a result, moissanite jewels, like diamond, can be cut with sharp, well-defined and highly polished facets that accentuate their brilliance and fire. The cutting specifications (facet arrangement and proportions) for moissanite jewels are designed to maximize the brilliance and fire inherent in the material. Additionally, we evaluate the finished jewels to exacting standards with automated video-imaging equipment and specially trained quality control personnel. Due to the rare natural occurrence of moissanite and both the proprietary and technical limitations in producing mass quantities of jewel quality moissanite, we believe that moissanite is among the rarest of jewels.
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In addition, there are other physical properties of moissanite jewels that compare favorably to fine gemstones, which we believe will aid in jewelers acceptance of Charles \& Colvard created Moissanite. Moissanite jewels, like diamond, can withstand high temperatures, which allow jewelers to make extensive repairs to the jewelry setting without removing the jewel. Jewelers can therefore use similar basic methods that are commonly used to repair diamond jewelry.

Because of its unique atomic structure, moissanite can be grown in a variety of colors including blue, green or yellow. Additionally, although none have been produced to date, the colors red and pink are theoretically possible to grow. To date, we have focused our development, manufacturing and distribution efforts on the colorless form of moissanite, although we have sold limited quantities of green moissanite.

The following table compares the physical properties of moissanite jewels with other fine gemstone materials:

|  | art (1) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Hardness |  |
|  | Refractive |  |  |  |  |
| Description | Index | Dispersion | Luster | (Mohs Scale) <br> (2) | Toughness |
| Charles \& Colvard created Moissanite ${ }^{(3)}$ | 2.65-2.69 | 0.104 | 20.4\% | $9^{1 / 4}$ | Excellent |
| Diamond | 2.42 | 0.044 | 17.2\% | 10 | Good* |
| Cubic Zirconia (CZ) | 2.17 | 0.060 | 13.6\% | $8^{1 / 2}$ | Good |
| Ruby | 1.77 | 1.018 | 7.7\% | 9 | Excellent** |
| Sapphire | 1.77 | 0.018 | 7.7\% | 9 | Excellent** |
| Emerald | 1.58 | 0.014 | 5.1\% | $7^{1 / 2}$ | Good to Poor |

* In cleavage direction, otherwise excellent
** Except twinned stones

1. Sources: Gemological Institute of America, Gem Reference Guide for GIA Colored Stones, Gem Identification and Colored Stone Grading Courses 32-35, 65-82, 87-90 (1995); Cornelius S. Hurlburt, Jr. \& Robert C. Kammerling, Gemology 320-324 (2d Ed. 1991); Kirk-Othmer, Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology 891-906 ( $4^{\text {th }}$ Ed. 1994); Institution Of Electrical Engineers, Properties of Silicon Carbide (Gary L. Harris, Ed., 1995); Robert Webster, Gems: Their Sources, Descriptions and Identification 889-940 (5 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Ed. 1994); W. Von Muench, Silicon Carbide in Landolt-Boemstein Numerical Data and Functional Relationships in Science and Technology, New Series, Group III, Vol. 17C, pp. 403-416 and 585-592 (M. Schultz and H. Weiss, Eds., 1984); Kurt Nassau, Shane F. McClure, Shane Elen \& James E. Shigley, Synthetic Moissanite: A New Diamond Substitute Gems \& Gemology, Winter 1997, 260-275; Kurt Nassau. Moissanite: A New Synthetic Gemstone Material Journal of Gemmology, 425-438 (1999).
2. The Mohs Scale is a relative scale only, and quantitative comparisons of different gemstone materials cannot be made directly using the Mohs Scale. Moissanite jewels are approximately one-half to one-third as hard as diamond.
3. With the exception of the Moissanite: A New Synthetic Gemstone Material and Synthetic Moissanite: A New Diamond Substitute articles, the physical properties of moissanite jewels set forth in the preceding table utilized materials from SiC crystals produced by parties other than Cree or us. These crystals had various sizes, colors and atomic structures that we believe made them unsuitable for use as a gemstone. We have conducted tests on the hardness, toughness and refractive index of samples of Charles \& Colvard created Moissanite, and the results of these tests are consistent with the results reported in this table.

## Products and Product Development

Moissanite Jewels. We primarily sell near-colorless moissanite jewels cut in a variety of shapes including round, princess, radiant, oval, marquise, heart, cushion, square brilliant, octagon, baguette,
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pear, castle, triangle, and trillion shapes in sizes ranging from 2 to 11 mm (approximately 0.03 to 4.1 carats). We distribute a limited quantity of green moissanite jewels to evaluate the market potential of colored moissanite. We may elect to offer, from time to time, additional cuts, sizes and colors of moissanite jewels.

During 2004, we purchased approximately $\$ 6.0$ million of raw materials. To accommodate our need for additional inventory of selected shapes and sizes and to support anticipated growth, raw material purchases increased to approximately $\$ 11.5$ million and $\$ 14.7$ million in 2005 and 2006, respectively. Our growth has not expanded as fast as we anticipated and we expect to reduce raw material purchases to approximately $\$ 14.2$ million in 2007. Of this amount, $\$ 12.8$ million will be purchased from Cree and $\$ 1.4$ million will be purchased from Norstel.

Amended and Restated Exclusive Supply Agreement with Cree. In June 1997, we entered into an Amended and Restated Exclusive Supply Agreement (Exclusive Supply Agreement) with Cree pursuant to which we have agreed to purchase from Cree at least $50 \%$, by dollar volume, of our SiC crystal requirements for the production of gemstones in each calendar quarter during the term of the Agreement and Cree has agreed to supply this amount of crystals to us. Although we signed an agreement in February 2005 with Norstel AB for the purchase of SiC crystals as further described below, the initial quantities to be received from Norstel AB are a small enough percentage of total purchases that we remain dependent on Cree for the foreseeable future for the substantial majority of our supply of lab-grown SiC crystals.

We are obligated to purchase a minimum quantity of usable material on a quarterly basis if Cree meets certain minimum quality levels. We purchased from Cree approximately $\$ 11.5$ and $\$ 14.6$ million of material during 2005 and 2006, respectively. We anticipate purchasing approximately $\$ 12.8$ million of material from Cree in 2007. Our price per gram for purchases from Cree during 2007 is increasing by approximately $10 \%$ over what we paid per gram in 2006.

Under the Exclusive Supply Agreement, Cree has agreed not to sell SiC crystals for gemstone applications to anyone other than us. When our orders for SiC crystals exceed the capacity of the existing crystal growth systems, Cree may, at its sole discretion, require us to purchase the additional growth systems needed or fund the cost of the systems on its own and recoup its costs by incorporating the costs of the additional systems into the cost of the SiC crystals. If we fund the costs of the crystal growth systems, Cree must use $100 \%$ of the output from these systems for our needs, unless the excess production exceeds our then-current needs, in which case Cree may sell such SiC crystals to any of its other customers for any use other than jewel applications. The title to these crystal growth systems passes to Cree once we have fully depreciated them. If Cree elects to fund the cost of additional growth systems on its own, we have no assurance that Cree will sell all of the output from these crystal growth systems to us or fill all of our orders, but Cree will be obligated to use the capacity to supply the quantities that we are required to purchase. Additionally, when Cree adds new crystal growth systems, we must commit to purchase all of the output of the new systems for at least six months. Any delay or reduction in the availability of SiC crystals from Cree could delay or limit our ability to deliver and sell our moissanite jewels, which would have a material adverse effect on our operating results.

The Exclusive Supply Agreement had an initial term through June 2005, and in January 2005 we exercised our option to extend the Agreement until July 2015.

The Exclusive Supply Agreement with Cree prohibits us, without Cree s consent, from entering into an exclusive marketing or distribution agreement with DeBeers or any party that Cree reasonably believes is affiliated with any of the following parties:

DeBeers;
the Central Selling Organization (the international cartel of diamond producers) or its successors;

## Table of Contents

any party whose primary business is the development, manufacture, marketing or sale of diamond gemstones; or
any non-gemstone and non-jewelry industry competitor of Cree.
These provisions may limit our potentially available avenues of distribution and could prevent us from entering into certain potentially profitable transactions.

Exclusive Supply Agreement with Norstel AB. In February 2005, we entered into an Exclusive Supply Agreement with Norstel AB (formerly Jesperator AB) for the supply of SiC crystals for use in the manufacturing of moissanite jewels. In March 2007, we signed an amended agreement with Norstel AB that extended the term to December 31, 2009. Under the terms of the amended contract, our remaining minimum commitment under the agreement is $\$ 7.8$ million, of which approximately $\$ 465,000$ will be expensed as research and development.

The agreement gives us the exclusive right to purchase SiC crystals from Norstel AB for the purpose of fabricating, distributing or selling faceted jewels. In addition, Charles \& Colvard has advanced $\$ 400,000$ towards the purchase of certain equipment. This advance is scheduled to be repaid starting January 2007 through a $20 \%$ reduction on the invoice for subsequent purchases of SiC crystals. Effective October 1, 2007, we will receive a $35 \%$ reduction on the invoice for subsequent purchases of SiC crystals until the advance is repaid. The minimum purchase commitment during 2007 is $\$ 1.6$ million, of which approximately $\$ 275,000$ will be expensed as research and development. The agreement provides us with an option to extend the term of the agreement for a four-year period.

## Intellectual Property

We have U.S. product and method patents for moissanite jewels, expiring in 2015, under which we have broad, exclusive rights to manufacture, use and sell moissanite jewels in the United States. We have these same patents in a number of foreign jurisdictions. In addition, we have certain trademarks and pending trademark applications that support the Charles \& Colvard moissanite branding strategy. Although we intend to enforce our patent and trademark rights and vigorously prosecute all our patent applications, we cannot be sure that such actions will be successful, that any additional patents will be issued, that any issued patent will not be challenged, invalidated or circumvented or that any issued patent will have any competitive or commercial value.

Our success and our ability to compete successfully depend heavily upon our proprietary technology. In addition to our patents, we rely on trade secret laws and employee, consultant and customer confidentiality agreements to protect certain aspects of our technology. We cannot be sure that we will be able to protect our proprietary technology from disclosure or that others will not develop technologies that are similar or superior to our technology.

With one exception discussed below, we have not received any claims that our products or processes infringe on the proprietary rights of third parties and we have no assurance that third parties will not assert such claims against us with respect to our existing and future products. Litigation to determine the validity of any third party s claims could result in significant expense and divert the efforts of our technical and management personnel, whether or not such litigation is determined in our favor. In the event of an adverse result of any such litigation, we could be required to expend significant resources to develop non-infringing technology or to obtain licenses for, and pay royalties on the use of, the technology subject to the litigation. We have no assurance that we would be successful in such development or that any such license would be available on commercially reasonable terms.

On October 4, 2006, the Company received an English translation of an action filed on June 9, 2006 in the South Korean Intellectual Property Office by MC Lab Corporation. MC Lab Corporation is seeking invalidation of the Company s current South Korean patent on the basis of an alleged lack of inventiveness as compared to certain prior art. Management believes that the South Korean patent was
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properly issued and the Company will vigorously defend the action. A decision is currently not expected before the summer of 2007. The Company does not presently have material sales in South Korea and the decision will not be legally binding in any other jurisdiction.

## Manufacturing

The production of moissanite jewels includes the following steps:

> growing SiC crystals;
designing shapes with proportions unique to moissanite jewels;
cutting crystals into preforms that will yield jewels of an approximate carat weight and millimeter size;
faceting preforms into jewels; and
inspecting, sorting and grading faceted jewels.
Growth of SiC Crystals. Cree grows the majority of our SiC crystals in accordance with the terms of the Exclusive Supply Agreement. Under the Exclusive Supply Agreement, Cree is required to sell to us all of the crystals grown in a specified number of crystal growth systems without charging us for such crystal growth systems. In addition, Cree must sell to us all the crystals grown in the crystal growth systems acquired by us from Cree, unless Cree s capacity exceeds our then-current needs, in which case Cree may sell SiC crystals produced by these systems to any of its other customers for any use other than moissanite jewel applications. We may increase our production capacity from Cree upon appropriate notice to Cree. If we order a quantity of crystals that will require Cree to acquire additional crystal growth systems, Cree may elect, in its sole discretion, to have us purchase the additional growth systems that will be needed or to fund the costs on its own and recoup its costs by incorporating the costs of the systems into the cost of the SiC crystals sold to us.

We routinely evaluate the yield and quality of saleable moissanite jewels from SiC crystals being produced by Cree. The yield of saleable moissanite jewels from each crystal is the most significant factor affecting the volume and cost of moissanite jewels available for sale. Yield of saleable moissanite jewels is dependent on the quality of the crystals. Improvements in crystal quality increase the volume, or yield, of moissanite jewels from a crystal and decrease the cost of each moissanite jewel produced. Improvements in crystal quality have contributed to our increased gross profit margin in the past three years. See Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Designing Shapes with Proportions Unique to Moissanite Jewels. Maximizing the light reflected from a faceted moissanite jewel requires the design of shapes with unique proportions and angles. We create proprietary designs, using computer modeling, to display the maximum light reflection based on the optical properties (i.e., refractive index, dispersion and luster) of moissanite jewels. The first shape we developed applying these computer models was a moissanite version (unique facet angles and proportions) of the standard round brilliant cut. More recently, we have designed castle, square brilliant and baguette shapes. We believe these proprietary designs are the basis for the superior optical performance quality observed in faceted moissanite jewels.

Preforms. We divide all SiC crystals through slicing and dicing processes into preforms in sizes suitable for faceting into predetermined calibrated-size moissanite jewels. We use readily available automated and computerized equipment along with proprietary technology developed in-house to slice and dice crystals into preforms. We believe that this equipment will enable us to maximize the number of preforms we can obtain from each SiC crystal.

Faceting Moissanite Jewels. The faceting of preforms is a critical stage in obtaining quality jewels. The techniques and skills used in faceting moissanite jewels differ somewhat from those used in faceting
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diamonds and other gemstones. We currently outsource the faceting of our moissanite jewels, other than faceting for small special orders or research and product development purposes, which we conduct internally. During 2007, we intend to continue to outsource faceting services to our existing suppliers, and we will depend on their ability to provide an adequate quantity of quality faceted moissanite jewels. We cannot be sure that they will be able to continue to produce our quality specifications for faceting and meet our quantity and time requirements. Currently, we have two suppliers of volume faceting services, both of which are located in Asia, and we have been satisfied with the capabilities and performance of each of these suppliers. We are currently seeking a third supplier of faceting services and have begun limited faceting work with a new company late in 2006. During 2007, we will have better indications if this third supplier of faceting services will be capable of providing consistent and reliable service. The majority of our faceting services currently are provided by John M. Bachman, Inc. ( JMB ), as discussed below.

In 1997, we entered into a multi-year agreement with JMB. We have a right of first refusal to acquire any excess gemstone cutting capacity from JMB s affiliate and any equity securities offered by JMB or its affiliate. Our agreement with JMB expires March 31, 2008; however, we have the right to terminate the agreement at any time upon 90 days written notice. Under this agreement, JMB has agreed to grant, and to cause its affiliates to grant, to us a perpetual, non-exclusive, royalty-free license to use any inventions or proprietary information developed by or for JMB or its affiliates that is useful in the faceting of moissanite jewels. In April 2002, we purchased from JMB 200 used custom faceting machines for $\$ 60,000$, in order to ensure that the machines were available in the event we had increased faceting requirements. JMB agreed to store and maintain these machines and to repurchase the machines from us if higher faceting levels are required. During 2005, we reached these increased monthly faceting levels and JMB repurchased the machines.

In May 2005, we entered into an eighth amendment to our agreement with JMB. Pursuant to the terms of the amendment, the Company has agreed to provide JMB with a cash advance of $\$ 135,000$ that was used by JMB to expand its affiliate s production facility and procure additional equipment and labor as needed to enable JMB and its affiliate to satisfy the requested increase in production volumes. The cash advance was repaid to the Company through reduced charges for faceting services provided to the Company. During 2006, the advance was completely repaid.

In March 2006, we entered into a ninth amendment to our agreement with JMB. Pursuant to the terms of the amendment, the Company has agreed with JMB on increased production levels and a new price schedule. In addition, the term of our agreement with JMB was extended to March 31, 2008.

Inspection, Sorting and Grading. Once faceted moissanite jewels are returned to us, we inspect, sort and grade them. During this stage, specially trained personnel individually examine and grade each moissanite jewel against certain quality parameters. In addition, we process a sample of each batch through an image analyzer for exacting quality control. This phase of manufacturing is relatively labor-intensive and requires skills not readily available in the general work force. In the future, we may elect to outsource certain portions of this stage of the manufacturing process to an independent third party. We will require third parties to which we outsource these processes to adhere to our rigorous quality control and monitoring standards. We have no assurance that we will be able to hire or retain sufficient numbers of appropriately skilled personnel for this phase of manufacturing or find and enter into acceptable agreements with third party vendors or that such vendors will be able to provide accurate inspection, sorting and grading services on a timely basis.

## Marketing and Distribution

## Marketing

Domestic. Our primary consumer market consists of self-purchasing, women between the ages of 35 and 55 , with an annual income of at least $\$ 45,000$ (if married, combined household income of $\$ 75,000+$ ). At
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the consumer level, Charles \& Colvard has positioned moissanite jewelry as the perfect self-reward or indulgence for women to purchase for themselves in celebrating a personal or professional achievement or event. In 2004 through 2006, we delivered our message to this market through fashion, lifestyle magazine advertisements and supporting retailers with newspaper, outdoor and point-of-purchase marketing. We plan to continue delivering our message in 2007 through similar marketing and advertising vehicles.

We believe our marketing and advertising has continued to strengthen the image and reputation of our brand, Charles \& Colvard, and our product, moissanite jewels. Research conducted by independent sources indicates that moissanite awareness amongst U.S. women has increased from $7.8 \%$ at the end of 2005 to $10.5 \%$ at the end of 2006.

In 2004 through 2006, our marketing message to the jewelry trade positioned moissanite jewelry as a new jewelry category which provided a profitable business opportunity (new customer base the self-purchasing woman, higher average retail sales per item, higher profit margins, and a new source of revenue) to both retailers and manufacturers. We plan to continue our marketing message into 2007 as the jewelry trade has increasingly accepted and profited from selling this new moissanite jewelry category. Moreover, we believe that no other gem material occupies the marketing niche called reward for achievement , specifically focusing on women self-purchasers. We expect to continue positioning moissanite as the perfect reward or indulgence for a woman celebrating her achievements (large or small, personal or professional) to its primary consumer market, the self-purchasing woman.

We continued to support our existing customers and moissanite retailers with marketing collateral, advertising, and public relations efforts. We believe our retailer marketing support program has resulted in a consistent and positive moissanite message to both consumers and the jewelry trade. In addition, it has also set the stage for future public relations and marketing efforts positioning moissanite as both a new jewelry category and a unique and desirable jewel for consumers.

In the fourth quarter of 2006 we worked with SAI in introducing a moissanite jewelry in-case collection in 208 doors of the department store retailer, Kohl s. Marketing support consisted of in-store training, direct mail, newspaper inserts and advertising in key markets located in the Pacific Northwest, New England, and Florida.

We plan to continue supporting our retailers in 2007 with various marketing programs to include such media vehicles as: newspaper advertising, retailer tagging in consumer magazines, marketing collateral, point-of-purchase materials and sales associate training designed to increase moissanite jewelry sales.

Public relations activities are an important component of our marketing strategy and are integral to supporting the launch of new moissanite retailers. Our ongoing key public relations activities (in 2006 and going forward) include the following:

Expand awareness and increase purchase intent by generating editorial coverage in fashion, jewelry industry trade, and general nationwide press outlets: print, broadcast, web and non-traditional vehicles.

Increase moissanite jewelry product placement and broadcast media references.

Develop news stories and announcements to appear on local and national television news programs and newspaper markets throughout the U.S.

Capitalize on public relations activities that promote both Charles \& Colvard as a brand, and moissanite as product, including but not limited to sponsorships and special media events.
Our marketing and public relations efforts in 2007 will focus on increasing moissanite awareness, interest and purchase intent at the consumer level, which we believe will increase demand for moissanite jewels within our primary market self-purchasing women. We intend to increase consumer advertising,

## Table of Contents

promotion, product placement opportunities, sponsorship opportunities and an expanded publicity/public relations effort, headed by a new public relations firm, continuous sales training for the sales associates at the retail level, and individually tailored sales and marketing programs designed for specific retailers in order to increase moissanite awareness.

In 2006, Charles \& Colvard participated as a major sponsor of three significant jewelry trade events: the international Gemological Research Conference (GRC) during Gemological Institute of America s Symposium; CIBJO: The World Jewellery Confederation; and the U.S. trade leadership conference: CEO Summit.

We expect to continue positioning moissanite as a new product category with a unique business opportunity (new customer base the self-purchasing woman, higher average retail sales per item, higher profit margins, and a new source of revenue) to the jewelry industry. In 2007, we intend to continue to advertise in the monthly key trade publications: JCK and National Jeweler. We believe that trade public relations will continue to generate editorial interest and coverage about moissanite and its positive impact to the trade.

International. Internationally, we work with our distributors to develop advertising and marketing campaigns targeting specific geographic regions building on the marketing messages, images, strategies, and themes that have proven successful in developing the U.S. market. Pursuant to our international distribution agreements, we provide incentives in the form of cooperative advertising and marketing allowance to our customers who advertise consistent messages that support the brand image for Charles \& Colvard created Moissanite.

Trade Shows. Charles \& Colvard will continue to participate in the leading jewelry trade shows, worldwide, as either a sponsor, exhibitor or a participant assisting our manufacturing clients. In 2006, we exhibited in the JCK Invitational in New York, Baselworld 2006 in Switzerland, Hong Kong Jewellery Show and the JCK Las Vegas show. We also participated in the Great Britain Jewellery Fair in Birmingham, UK, the More Show (Italy), and attended the Jewelers of America shows in New York during 2006. In 2007, we plan to exhibit at Baselworld 2007, JCK Las Vegas, and the Hong Kong Jewellery Shows and we will assist our manufacturing clients as a participant in other international jewelry trade expositions.

## Distribution

According to preliminary estimates provided by the International Diamond Exchange, the consumer market in 2006 for jewelry sales in the United States was approximately $\$ 63$ billion.

Domestic. Traditionally, consumers have purchased jewelry through independent and chain jewelry stores and department stores. However, in the past two decades, non-traditional distribution channels such as catalog showrooms, mass-market discounters, price clubs, mail order, TV shopping channels and electronic commerce on the Internet have emerged and become significant channels. Moissanite is sold to consumers through single- and multiple-location independent jewelry stores, jewelry store chains, online, TV shopping channels and in catalogs. We believe that moissanite is best sold through retail channels in which the retailer has an adequate opportunity to effectively educate the consumer on moissanite $s$ unique qualities.

In order to more rapidly expand the distribution of moissanite, in May 2000 we transitioned from selling moissanite jewels directly to independent retail jewelers to allowing independent retail jewelers to access loose moissanite jewels and moissanite jewelry through two established jewelry distributors, Stuller and Rio Grande. Additionally, we have entered into arrangements with several jewelry manufacturers, most notably Reeves Park, K\&G Creations and SAI, that design and manufacture lines of jewelry containing moissanite jewels. We are in the process of negotiating a new agreement with K\&G Creations as our prior agreement expired in December 2006. In the interim, we continue to do business with K\&G
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Creations under the terms of our expired agreement. Jewelry retailers have access to loose moissanite jewels from Stuller and Rio Grande and to jewelry containing moissanite jewels from Stuller, K\&G Creations, Reeves Park, SAI, and other jewelry manufacturers.

We believe that moissanite jewels provide retailers with an opportunity to earn a profit margin from a new product jewelry category that compares favorably to other jewelry products and allows retailers to distinguish our product line from other jewelry in the highly competitive retail jewelry market. We also believe moissanite jewelry provides retailers with an opportunity to appeal to the self-purchasing female market that typically spends disposable income on other products such as shoes, handbags and other indulgences. We believe moissanite jewelry margins create incentives for retailers to maximize their sales and promotional efforts, resulting in additional consumer demand for our moissanite jewels.

We believe that distributing moissanite jewels through distributors as well as certain jewelry manufacturers and designers provides retail jewelers with maximum flexibility to develop their businesses with moissanite. Those jewelers that prefer to create their own jewelry to meet the needs of their individual market areas will be able to purchase the loose jewels through distributors, with which many of them already have relationships. Those jewelers that wish to purchase finished jewelry for sale in their stores may do so either through distributors or any of the jewelry manufacturers working with moissanite.

The quality, design and workmanship of the settings chosen by distributors, manufacturers, designers and retailers affects consumer perception and acceptance of our jewel, and our control over these elements is limited to our pricing and licensing policies. Beyond that, we believe that the success of Charles \& Colvard created Moissanite will be determined by the power and the precision of our brand-building program. We continue to evaluate the most appropriate structure for distribution in North America and may, in certain circumstances, enter into additional distribution arrangements, including arrangements with selected department stores and distribution channels such as moissanite retail stores, catalog sales or Internet sales.

As the number of retailers selling moissanite jewelry has grown and we have gained distribution with prominent regional and national outlets, we believe we are beginning to see the benefit of our distribution model. By combining the manufacturers strength of jewelry design and program execution with our beautiful jewel, our distribution message is that we can provide a compelling revenue pipeline to retailers. Several manufacturers successfully introduced or expanded their distribution of moissanite with multi-door chains during 2006. Finlay, which operates leased department store jewelry locations in chains such as Boston Store, Macy s Dillard s, Bloomingdale s, and Belk s, expanded its doors from 114 in 2005 to 229 in 2006. Based on the results of a 2005 test distribution of moissanite, Helzberg Jewelers began distribution at all 270 of its stores during 2006. Each of the Zale Outlet and Peoples \& Mappins Jewelers (both of which are divisions of Zale Corporation) initiated trunk shows during 2006, which resulted in an in-case program at 28 and 41 stores at December 31, 2006, respectively. In October 2006, test distribution of moissanite jewelry manufactured by SAI began in 208 stores of the 800 -door department store chain, Kohl s. We also began testing moissanite during 2006 at 22 Chicago locations of the 900 -door department store chain, Sears. In addition, the Home Shopping Network (HSN) aired 9 hours of moissanite jewelry shows during 2006 and has agreed to increase the number of hours of moissanite jewelry shows to 25 during 2007.

At December 31, 2006, chains with greater than 50 stores that sell moissanite jewelry are JC Penney, Finlay, Kohl s, Helzberg Jewelers, Army Air Force Exchange System, King s, and Landau. We have continued to introduce moissanite at new doors during the first quarter of 2007. In February 2007, Kohl s expanded their distribution into 79 additional stores in California and Sears has indicated their interest in adding 122 additional stores during the first half of 2007. Although in January 2007 Landau reduced the number of outlets selling moissanite jewelry from approximately 70 doors to 5 doors, we believe that this reduction was due to account relationships maintained by Landau with the jewelry manufacturer and not a reflection on the success of moissanite jewelry sales.
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Our three largest customers, Reeves Park, K\&G Creations, \& SAI, accounted for $37 \%, 21 \%$, and $13 \%$ of our sales during 2006, respectively. Our fourth largest customer, Stuller accounted for $8 \%$ of our sales during 2006 and is our biggest supplier to independent retail jewelers. Through our efforts with Reeves Park, JCPenney was the first national retail chain to carry moissanite jewelry. Through our efforts with K\&G Creations, moissanite jewelry was rolled out to a portion of the department stores whose jewelry counters are leased to Finlay Enterprises. Our efforts with SAI have resulted in moissanite jewelry being available in over 200 doors of the Kohl s retail chain. In addition, we have collaborated with our customers to expand distribution with other retailers. While we believe our current relationship with these customers is good, and alternate manufacturers and distributors are available to serve their customer base, a loss of these customers could cause a material adverse effect on our results of operations in a particular period. We expect that, at least over the short term, we will remain dependent on our ability and that of our manufacturing customers to maintain and enhance the JCPenney, Finlay Enterprises, and Kohl s programs.

We believe that we have developed a testing template that can enable us to successfully introduce moissanite jewelry at new points of distribution. Generally, we seek to participate with the retailers in training their sales and marketing personnel in moissanite sales positioning and strategies and in working with them to create focused advertising and promotional efforts to publicize trunk shows and other special sales events at the test store locations. In addition, to facilitate new distribution, we have allowed inventory to be taken on a consignment basis. Charles \& Colvard recognizes revenue on consignment shipments on the earlier of the sale of the jewelry by the retailer or the retailer or manufacturer agreeing to take ownership of the jewels without recourse. The $\$ 2,024,000$ of inventory on consignment at December 31, 2006 represents potential revenue of approximately $\$ 7,842,000$ and potential gross profit of approximately $\$ 5,818,000$ based on the average cost per carat of inventory at December 31, 2006.

We believe that our sales on television shopping channels demonstrated that the consumer understands the value and beauty of our jewel and has resulted in increased interest from key traditional jewelry retailers such as those described above. We will also continue to seek or expand into channels such as catalog, showrooms, mail order, TV shopping channels, Internet, department store, specialty stores and mass retail. We believe that the growing consumer acceptance of moissanite will allow Charles \& Colvard to expand into these various channels. As we expand, we will manage the issues surrounding the multiple channel distribution method with the need for a consistent brand-building program.

Aggregate U.S. sales were approximately $\$ 36.0$ million, $\$ 40.4$ million, and $\$ 20.9$ million, in 2006,2005 , and 2004, respectively. We plan to add additional sales professionals in 2007 to focus on new retailer training. The additional personnel will enable us to increase our presence at trade shows and other important sales opportunities.

International. While we have focused most of our resources on the domestic market, we have continued to invest in certain international markets that we believe have the most potential with respect to acceptance and sales of the moissanite jewel. In October 2000, we established a wholly-owned subsidiary in Hong Kong, Charles \& Colvard (HK) Ltd., for the purpose of gaining better access to the Far Eastern markets. The importance of having a presence in this market is twofold: Hong Kong is the headquarters city for a very large number of jewelry manufacturing companies with sales and distribution worldwide, and Hong Kong is the gateway to the markets of mainland China. To enhance our presence in this market, we established a controlled company in China in August 2003 called Guangzhou Charles \& Colvard Trading Limited. During 2006, foreign sales were $11 \%$ of our total sales. More details on our financial information by geographic area is included in Note 1 to our consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 of Part II of this annual report on Form 10-K.

We currently distribute moissanite jewels in substantially all of Western Europe, Australia, India and certain countries in Southeast Asia and the Middle East. We have approximately 30 international distributors and intend to increase this number. All sales to international customers are denominated in U.S. dollars. Generally, we require full payment before merchandise is shipped to these customers.
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However, once a customer has established a purchase history, we may grant payment terms to our international customers. Export sales aggregated approximately $\$ 4.7$ million, $\$ 3.2$ million, and $\$ 3.0$ million, in 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively. It should be noted that a portion of our increased international sales is due to jewels sold internationally that will be re-imported to North American retailers.

Similar to the United States, we have begun distributing through TV retailers internationally. Specifically, we have developed relationships with our manufacturing customers at Ideal World Shopping in the UK, VIVA TV Network in Taiwan, HSE in Germany, Jupiter Shop Channel in Japan, and M6 Boutique La Chaine in France. We believe that we are positioned for future growth in this distribution channel.

We have also continued our investment in the Asian market. Our Hong Kong office is working on obtaining business relationships that will expand our distribution through Southeast Asia. One of those manufacturing customers, Aaron Shum Jewelry, Ltd., is supplying the test distribution at Gordon s Jewelers in the United States.

We are subject to the risks associated with doing business in international distribution channels. Please refer to Item 1A of this annual report on Form $10-\mathrm{K}$ for more details on these risks.

## Seasonality

Retail sales of moissanite jewelry are significantly greater during the Christmas and holiday season. Because we sell direct to manufacturers and distributors, our sales to support the holiday season take place during the third and beginning of the fourth calendar quarters, depending on the level of advance planning and production our customers undertake. The second half of each year accounted for $59 \%, 53 \%$, and $58 \%$ of our sales during the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The effect of seasonality on our business depends on the timing of orders we receive to support new or expanded distribution and other general sales trends, which could significantly affect our sales in a given quarter, regardless of seasonality.

## Working Capital Practices

Our primary source of working capital is cash on hand and cash generated by operations. The current status of our working capital is discussed in more detail in the Liquidity and Capital Resources section of Item 7 of Part II of this annual report on Form 10-K.

Because our supply agreements for raw material purchases restrict the sale of SiC crystals for jewelry applications to only us, the suppliers negotiate minimum purchase commitments with us that may result in inventories that are higher than we might otherwise maintain. Management considers its investment in inventory essential to be able to meet the orders of its expanding customer base and to fulfill requests from our customers for consigned inventory. Our current inventory levels are sufficient to enable us to respond promptly to customer orders and we currently have no material order backlogs.

Our standard payment terms are generally between 30 and 60 days for jewel distributors and generally between 60 to 90 days for jewelry manufacturers. In limited circumstances, we may extend terms of 120 days. We extend credit to our customers based upon an evaluation of the customer s financial condition and credit history and generally do not require collateral. We believe that our payment terms are consistent with the payment terms offered in the jewelry industry.

The Company s return policy is that jewels can only be returned for credit within 30 days of shipment and must be returned for a valid reason (e.g. quality problems or a shipment of the wrong jewels). Some customers, however, have a contractual right to return a certain percentage of sales for any reason. We have established an allowance for returns based on our historical return rate, which takes into account
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any contractual return privileges granted to our customers. Periodically, we sell product to our customers on memo terms. For shipments on memo terms, the customer assumes the risk of loss and has an absolute right of return for a specified period. Our customers are generally required to make payments on memo shipments within 30 days upon the customer informing us that they will keep the product. Accordingly, we do not recognize revenue on these memo transactions until the earlier of (1) the customer informing us that they will keep the product or (2) the expiration of the right of return period. The $\$ 2,024,000$ of inventory on consignment at December 31, 2006 represents potential revenue of approximately $\$ 7,842,000$ and potential gross profit of approximately $\$ 5,818,000$ based on the average cost per carat of inventory at December 31, 2006.

## Competition

Gemstone materials can be grouped into three types:
natural gemstone, which is found in nature;
synthetic gemstone, which has the same chemical composition and characteristics of natural gemstone but is created in a lab; and
simulated or substitute material, which is similar in appearance to natural gemstone but does not have the same chemical composition.
Our moissanite jewel, which is positioned as a unique new jewel, may compete with fine gemstones such as ruby, sapphire, emerald and tanzanite as well as with natural, synthetic, and treated diamonds and existing synthetic gemstones such as synthetic cubic zirconia. We may also face competition from synthetic diamonds, synthetic diamond films and other sources of synthetic moissanite not presently available in qualities, sizes and volumes suitable for use as gemstones. Many of the suppliers of diamonds and other fine gemstones, as well as the suppliers of synthetic gemstones, have substantially greater financial, technical, manufacturing and marketing resources and greater access to distribution channels than we do.

The worldwide market for large, uncut high-quality natural diamonds is significantly consolidated and controlled by DeBeers (headquartered in London), Alrosa (Russia), and Rio Tinto (Australia). These companies have a major impact on the worldwide supply and pricing of natural diamonds at both the wholesale and retail levels. Although we believe that our jewels appeal primarily to the consumer who would not otherwise purchase comparable diamond jewelry, diamond producers may undertake additional marketing or other activities designed to protect the diamond jewelry market against sales erosion from consumer acceptance of moissanite jewels.

We may also face competition from treated and synthetic diamonds. Treated diamonds, which are natural diamonds with imperfections or flaws that have been altered in some manner to enhance their appearance, are presently available in the jewelry industry and are generally less expensive than diamonds of similar size, cut and color which have not been altered. Synthetic diamonds are also available in the marketplace and are produced for jewelry applications available to consumers. Although we believe that gemstone-quality synthetic and treated diamonds presently cannot be produced at prices competitive with those currently offered for our near-colorless moissanite jewels, there can be no assurances that such competitive prices cannot be achieved in the future by the producers of either or both of synthetic and treated diamonds. The primary producers of synthetic diamonds used for industrial applications are DeBeers, Sumitomo and GE. There are also a number of Russian producers of synthetic diamonds for industrial uses. In addition, companies such as the Gemesis Corporation, Chatham and Apollo Diamonds are synthesizing diamonds, in limited quantities for commercial jewelry applications. Synthetic diamond films can be grown at commercially viable prices in thicknesses that can be applied to various surfaces such as other synthetic materials.

Although we believe that our products have a proprietary position, we could face competition from other companies that develop competing SiC technologies. Some of these technologies could be developed by
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producers of SiC used for other industrial applications. Manufacturers of industrial SiC products include The Carborundum Corporation, for abrasive uses, and Cree, Siemens AG, Norstel AB, Bridgestone ABB, Dow Chemical, Sic Crystal AG, and Northrup Grumman Corporation, for semiconductor uses. We believe that Cree and Norstel AB are currently the only suppliers of SiC crystals in colors, sizes and volumes suitable for gemstone applications. It is possible, however, that these or other producers of SiC could develop SiC crystals suitable for gemstone applications and produce moissanite jewels until we could obtain judicial enforcement of our patent rights.

We may also face competition from synthetic cubic zirconia, the principal existing diamond simulant and, to a lesser degree, other synthetic gemstones. The largest producer of synthetic cubic zirconia gemstones is Signity. In addition, there are a significant number of other producers of jewelry containing synthetic gemstones. Three of the largest retailers of synthetic cubic zirconia jewelry in the United States are QVC, Home Shopping Network and Wal-Mart. Some of the major retailers of synthetic cubic zirconia, including QVC, have captive manufacturing divisions that produce synthetic cubic zirconia jewelry. These producers and sellers may see their markets being eroded by the introduction of our moissanite jewels. We believe that the substantially lower price of these products is the primary basis upon which they will compete with our moissanite jewels.

We intend to compete primarily on the basis that the unique qualities of our moissanite jewels are distinct from all other jewels based on their fire, brilliance, luster, durability and rarity. In addition, we believe that the Charles \& Colvard created Moissanite brand, which is being developed pursuant to our marketing program, can create a long-term competitive advantage for our products. Additionally, we believe that moissanite jewels have a significant cost advantage over other fine gemstones, especially in the one-carat size and larger. Our competitive success depends, in part, on the following:
the willingness and ability of our jewelry distributors and other jewelry suppliers, manufacturers and designers to market and promote moissanite jewels to the retail jewelry trade;
the willingness of distributors, retailers and others in the channel of distribution to purchase loose moissanite jewels and the willingness of manufacturers, designers and retail jewelers to undertake setting of the loose jewels;
the ability of manufacturers, designers and retail jewelers to select jewelry settings that encourage consumer acceptance of and demand for our jewels;
the ability of jewelry manufacturers and retail jewelers to set loose moissanite jewels in jewelry with high quality workmanship;
the ability of retail jewelers to effectively market and sell moissanite jewelry to consumers; and
the increasing interest and demand for moissanite jewelry at the consumer-level.

## Government Regulation

Our products are subject to regulation by the Federal Trade Commission (FTC). The FTC has issued regulations and guidelines governing the marketing of synthetic gemstones and other gemstones similar to diamond that require such gemstones to be clearly identified in any promotional or marketing materials. While we intend to comply fully with all FTC regulations, we cannot be sure that the FTC or a competitor will not challenge our promotional or marketing activities. Such a challenge could result in significant expense and divert the efforts of our management, whether or not such challenge is resolved in our favor. If our actions were found to be in violation of FTC regulations, we could be forced to suspend marketing of our products and could incur significant expenses in developing new marketing strategies and materials that would not violate FTC regulations.

## Employees

## Edgar Filing: CHARLES \& COLVARD LTD - Form 10-K

At February 28, 2006, we had 60 employees. We believe that our future prospects will depend, in part, on our ability to retain our current employees and to obtain additional management, marketing, sales,
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manufacturing, scientific and technical personnel. Competition for such personnel is substantial, and the number of persons with relevant experience in the jewelry industry is limited. None of our employees are represented by a labor union. We believe that our employee relations are good.

## Available Information

Our Internet website is www.moissanite.com or www.charlesandcolvard.com. The information contained on, or that can be accessed through, our website is not incorporated by reference into this annual report on Form 10-K. We have included our website address as a factual reference and do not intend it to serve as an active link to our website. We make available free of charge through our website our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the Securities and Exchange Commission.

## ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

In addition to the other information in this Form 10-K, you should carefully consider the following important factors that in some cases have affected, and in the future could affect, our actual performance and results and could cause our actual results of operations to differ materially from those expressed in any of our forward-looking statements.

Our business operations could be adversely affected if we do not manage our growth effectively. Our strategy will require us to achieve rapid growth while limiting expenditures and motivating our employee base. Periods of rapid growth may place a significant strain on our personnel and other resources. We will continue to be required to manage multiple relationships with various customers and other third parties. Our strategy is to rapidly commercialize moissanite jewels by building consumer brand awareness and growing distribution channels for our jewels. The timing of or potential for a significant increase in revenues is dependent on increasing market acceptance of moissanite jewels and increasing distribution and sales. Our business may also be subject to risks inherent in rapid increases in sales and production levels. Likewise, our products are subject to risks inherent in the development and marketing of new products, including unforeseen design, manufacturing or other problems or failure to develop market acceptance. Failure by us to expand distribution and achieve market acceptance of our products or to develop the ability to produce our products in higher quantities, while maintaining quality, would have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition. Accordingly, our prospects must be considered in light of the risks and difficulties frequently encountered by companies in a growth stage of development, particularly operating in the early stages of manufacturing and distributing unproven products. If we are unable to manage growth effectively, our business, financial condition and results of operations would be materially adversely affected.

Our future financial performance depends upon continued growth and consumer acceptance of our products. We believe that many retail jewelers and most consumers are not generally aware of the existence and attributes of moissanite jewels. The market for moissanite jewels among retail jewelers and consumers is in the early stages of development, as total moissanite jewelry retail sales during the year ended December 31, 2006 were less than $1 \%$ of the total jewelry market. The degree of future market acceptance and demand are subject to a significant amount of uncertainty. Our future financial performance will depend upon greater consumer acceptance of the Company s moissanite jewels as distinct from all other jewels based on their fire, brilliance, luster, durability and rarity. In addition, consumer acceptance may be impacted by retail jewelers and jewelry manufacturers acceptance of moissanite jewels. We market loose jewels which jewelry distributors, manufacturers and retailers set in jewelry and in turn distribute or sell to consumers.
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The quality, design and workmanship of the jewelry settings selected by retail jewelers, which is not within our control, could impact our consumers perception and acceptance of our jewels. Thus, our future financial performance may be impacted by:
the willingness and ability of our jewelry distributors and other jewelry suppliers, manufacturers and designers to market and promote moissanite jewels to the retail jewelry trade;
the willingness of distributors, retailers and others in the channel of distribution to purchase loose moissanite jewels and the willingness of manufacturers, designers and retail jewelers to undertake setting of the loose jewels;
the ability of manufacturers, designers and retail jewelers to select jewelry settings that encourage consumer acceptance of and demand for our jewels;
the ability of jewelry manufacturers and retail jewelers to set loose moissanite jewels in jewelry with high quality workmanship; and
the ability of retail jewelers to effectively market and sell moissanite jewelry to consumers.
If our products do not receive greater market acceptance, our business, operating results and financial condition would be materially adversely affected.

We are substantially dependent on the sale of our jewels in North America through Reeves Park (a jewelry manufacturer), K\&G Creations ( $a$ jewelry manufacturer and distributor), Samuel Aaron International (a jewelry manufacturer) and Stuller Settings, Inc. (a jewelry distributor and manufacturer) as well as a limited number of other distributors and jewelry manufacturers. We anticipate that the majority of the moissanite jewels that we sell in North America will be distributed through Reeves Park, K\&G Creations, SAI and Stuller as well as a limited number of other manufacturers and distributors, and therefore, we are substantially dependent upon these companies for distribution of moissanite jewels in North America. During the year ended December 31, 2006, Reeves Park, K\&G Creations, SAI, and Stuller accounted for $37 \%, 21 \%, 13 \%$, and $8 \%$, respectively. Through our efforts with Reeves Park, JCPenney was the first national retail chain to carry moissanite jewelry. Through our efforts with K\&G Creations, moissanite jewelry was rolled out to a portion of the department stores whose jewelry counters are leased to Finlay Enterprises and through our efforts with SAI, moissanite jewelry was rolled out to a portion of the Kohl s retail stores. We expect that, at least over the short-term, we will remain dependent on our ability and that of our manufacturing customers to maintain and enhance these programs. In addition, we are subject to a concentration of credit risk amongst our major customers and a default by any of these customers on their debts to us could cause a material change in our financial position.

A prolonged economic downturn and the uncertainties caused by war or terrorism could adversely affect our operations. Purchases of jewelry, including purchases of our products, may be affected by any prolonged, negative trends in the general economy that adversely affect consumer spending. Any reduction in consumer confidence or disposable income may adversely affect jewelry companies, in general, and our Company, in particular, more significantly than companies that rely less on discretionary consumer spending. Further, the affect that war and possible additional terrorist attacks may have on consumers and the economies of the United States, as well as other countries in which our products are sold, is unpredictable and uncertain. Any significant reduction in demand for our products would have a material adverse affect on our results of operations and could, if it continued, have a material adverse affect on our financial condition.

We are subject to certain risks due to our international distribution channels and vendors. Charles \& Colvard created Moissanite jewels are currently being distributed in substantially all of Western Europe, Australia, India, and certain countries in Southeast Asia and the Middle East. We currently have a total of approximately 30 international distributors. Our long-term strategy is to expand the number of international markets for our products. In addition, we expect to continue to use certain companies based outside the United States to facet our moissanite jewels. Due to our reliance on development of foreign
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markets and use of foreign vendors, we are subject to the risks of conducting business outside of the United States. These risks include the following:
the adverse effects on United States-based companies operating in foreign markets that might result from war, terrorism, changes in diplomatic, trade or business relationships or other political, social, religious or economic instability;
unexpected changes in, or impositions of, legislative or regulatory requirements;
delays resulting from difficulty in obtaining export licenses;
tariffs and other trade barriers and restrictions; and
the burdens of complying with a variety of foreign laws and other factors beyond our control.
Additionally, while the majority of all foreign transactions are denominated in U.S. dollars, foreign currency fluctuations could impact demand for our products or the ability of our foreign suppliers to continue to perform. Further, some of these distributors operate relatively small businesses and may not have the financial stability to assure their continuing presence in their markets. There can be no assurance that the foregoing factors will not adversely affect our operations in the future or require us to modify our anticipated business practices.

We expect to remain dependent upon Cree for the supply of a substantial majority of our SiC crystals for the foreseeable future. Cree has certain proprietary rights relating to its process for growing large single crystals of SiC and its process for growing colorless SiC crystals. Under our Exclusive Supply Agreement with Cree, we are obligated to buy from Cree, and Cree is obligated to sell to us, at least $50 \%$, by dollar volume, of our requirements for SiC material for the production of gemstones in each calendar quarter. In February 2005, we entered into an Exclusive Supply Agreement with Norstel AB, which was amended in March 2007. However, Norstel AB has only demonstrated that it can produce suitable raw material in limited quantities. Therefore, we remain dependant on Cree for a substantial majority of our principal raw material for the foreseeable future.

While Cree has improved its production processes and is currently producing SiC crystals sufficient to meet our requirements, we experienced difficulties in the past in obtaining crystals from Cree in the quality, sizes and volumes that we desired. We from time to time enter into purchase agreements with Cree with respect to our purchase commitments and the specific timing, pricing and other terms of future delivery of SiC crystals. There can be no assurance that Cree will be able to continue to produce and supply us with raw materials of sufficient quality, sizes and volumes that we desire nor that we will successfully negotiate purchase commitments that enable us to manage our inventories and raw material costs effectively. In addition, although Norstel AB represents a potential additional source of supply, Norstel AB does not presently have the capacity to produce significant quantities of SiC crystals of suitable quality and sizes, and there can be no assurance that they will ever develop these capabilities.

We rely upon our ability to protect our intellectual property. We have United States product and method patents for moissanite jewels under which we believe that we have broad, exclusive rights to manufacture, use and sell moissanite jewels in the United States. We have these same patents in a number of foreign jurisdictions. We believe that these patents create substantial technological barriers to our potential competitors.

At the present time, we are also dependent on Cree stechnology for the production of SiC crystals. Cree is exclusively licensed to use a patent concerning a process for growing large single crystals of SiC , has certain patents of its own relating to growth of large single crystals of SiC and has a patent for a process for growing colorless SiC crystals. If Norstel AB becomes a significant additional source of supply, we will also become dependent on their technology.

There can be no assurance that any patents issued to or licensed by or to us, Cree or Norstel AB will provide any significant commercial protection, that we, Cree or Norstel AB will have sufficient resources
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to prosecute our respective patents or that any patents will be upheld by a court should we, or our suppliers seek to enforce our respective rights against an infringer. The existence of valid patents does not prevent other companies from independently developing competing technologies as evidenced by our agreement with Norstel AB. Existing producers of SiC crystals or others may refine existing processes for growing SiC crystals or develop new technologies for growing large single crystals of SiC or colorless SiC crystals in a manner that does not infringe patents owned or licensed by us or Cree. In addition, existing producers of SiC crystals, existing producers of other synthetic or natural gemstones or other parties may develop new technologies for producing moissanite jewels in a manner that does not infringe patents owned or licensed by us or Cree.

As a result of the foregoing factors, existing and potential competitors may be able to develop products that are competitive with or superior to our products, and such competition could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

Our success depends upon our ability to identify, reach agreements with and work successfully with third parties. In addition to our current dependence on Cree and on third party distribution channels, our prospects depend upon our ability to identify, reach agreements with and work successfully with other third parties. In particular, we rely on third parties to facet our jewels. Faceting moissanite jewels requires different techniques than faceting diamond and other gemstones. There can be no assurance that we can maintain our relationships with our faceting vendors on terms satisfactory to us or that faceting vendors will continue to be able to provide faceting services in the quality and quantities required by us or that we will be able to find suitable replacements if we are unable to maintain such relationships. Our failure to achieve any of the above would have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

Governmental regulation and oversight might adversely impact our operations. We are subject to governmental regulations in the manufacture and sale of moissanite jewels. In particular, the Federal Trade Commission has the power to restrict the offer and sale of products that could deceive or have the tendency or effect of misleading or deceiving purchasers or prospective purchasers with regard to its type, kind, quality, character, origin or other characteristics. We may be under close scrutiny both by governmental agencies and by competitors in the gemstone industry, any of which may challenge our promotion and marketing of our moissanite jewel products. If our production or marketing of moissanite jewels is challenged by governmental agencies or competitors, or if regulations are issued that restrict our ability to market our products, our business, operating results and financial condition could be materially adversely affected.

Our reputation amongst jewelers and consumers could be damaged if low-quality gemstones or synthetics are marketed as moissanite. It is possible that low-quality gemstones or other synthetics could be marketed as moissanite. The sale of low-quality products as moissanite could damage our ability to foster the perception of moissanite as a unique jewel that compares favorably to other fine gemstones like diamond, ruby and emerald. This could damage our reputation among retail jewelers and consumers and result in a loss of consumer confidence in our products. The introduction of low-quality imitation moissanite jewels and our inability to limit the adverse effects thereof could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

We face competition in the worldwide jewelry industry. The jewelry industry is highly competitive and we compete with numerous other jewelry products, including diamonds, cubic zirconium, and watches, among others. In addition, despite the positioning of moissanite as a unique jewel, we face competition from treated diamonds, synthetic diamonds, and companies developing other synthetic jewelry technologies. A substantial number of companies supply products to the jewelry industry, many of which we believe have greater financial resources than we do. Competitors could develop new or improved technologies that may render the pricing point for moissanite noncompetitive, which would have an adverse effect on our business, results of operations, and financial condition.

## Table of Contents

Sales of moissanite jewelry could be dependent upon the pricing of precious metals, which is beyond our control. Any increases in the market price of precious metals (primarily gold) could impact the pricing and sales of jewelry incorporating moissanite jewels. The majority of price increases in precious metals are passed on to the consumer in the form of higher prices for finished jewelry. These higher prices could have a negative impact on the sell through of moissanite jewelry at the retail level.

Some anti-takeover provisions of our charter documents, agreements and plans may delay or prevent a takeover of the Company. A number of provisions of our articles of incorporation and bylaws impact matters of corporate governance and the rights of shareholders. Certain of these provisions have an anti-takeover effect and may delay or prevent takeover attempts not first approved by the Board of Directors (including takeovers that certain shareholders may deem to be in their best interests). These provisions also could delay or frustrate the removal of incumbent directors or the assumption of control by shareholders. We believe that these provisions are appropriate to protect our interests and all of our shareholders.

Under the terms of the Exclusive Supply Agreement, we are prohibited from entering into an exclusive marketing or distribution agreement with DeBeers or its affiliates or any party whose primary business is the development, manufacture, marketing or sale of diamond gemstones or any non-gemstone and non-jewelry industry competitor of Cree. The Agreement also prohibits us from entering into certain merger, acquisition, sale of assets or similar transactions with a prohibited party. These provisions of the Exclusive Supply Agreement with Cree could limit the price that third parties might be willing to pay in the future for some or all of the shares of our common stock. In addition, this agreement could prevent us from entering into certain potentially profitable transactions with such prohibited parties.

On February 21, 1999, we adopted a Shareholder Rights Plan under which all shareholders of record as of March 8, 1999, received rights to purchase shares of a new series of Preferred Stock. Each share of common stock issued after March 8, 1999 has received the same rights.

The Rights Plan is designed to enable all of our shareholders to realize the full value of their investment and to provide for fair and equal treatment for all shareholders in the event that an unsolicited attempt is made to acquire us. The adoption of the Rights Plan is intended as a means to guard against abusive takeover tactics and is not in response to any particular proposal. The Rights, which expire in 2009, will be exercisable only if a person or group acquires $20 \%$ or more of our common stock or announces a tender offer for $20 \%$ or more of the common stock. If a person or group acquires $20 \%$ or more of our common stock, all shareholders except the purchaser will be entitled to acquire our common stock at a $50 \%$ discount. The effect will be to discourage acquisitions of more than $20 \%$ of our common stock without negotiations with the Board of Directors of the Company.

The Rights will trade with our common stock, unless and until they are separated upon the occurrence of certain future events. Our Board of Directors may redeem the Rights prior to the expiration of a specified period following the acquisition of more than $20 \%$ of our common stock.

## ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

## ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

We lease approximately 16,500 square feet of mixed-use space (general office, light manufacturing and laboratory) in the Research Triangle Park area of North Carolina from an unaffiliated third party. This lease expires in July 2011. This space houses the majority of all United States personnel, including our executive offices, sales offices, administrative personnel and production facilities. This space is being fully utilized and management considers this space to be sufficient for our foreseeable needs over the next 12 months.
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We also have four leases associated with our companies in Hong Kong and China. Two of these leases are for general office space and the other two leases are for retail establishments. These leases expire at various dates from March 2007 to October 2008. This space is being fully utilized and management considers this space to be sufficient for our foreseeable needs over the next 12 months.

## ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

On October 4, 2006, the Company received an English translation of an action filed on June 9, 2006 in the South Korean Intellectual Property Office by MC Lab Corporation. MC Lab Corporation is seeking invalidation of the Company s current South Korean patent on the basis of an alleged lack of inventiveness as compared to certain prior art. Management believes that the South Korean patent was properly issued and the Company will vigorously defend the action. A decision is currently not expected before the summer of 2007. The Company does not presently have material sales in South Korea and the decision will not be legally binding in any other jurisdiction.

## ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

None.
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## Part II

## ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES <br> (a) Market for Registrant s Common Equity

Our common stock is traded on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol CTHR. The following table presents, for the periods indicated, the high and low sales prices of our common stock, as reported by the NASDAQ Global Select Market. As of February 28, 2007 there were 220 shareholders of record of our common stock. All share prices reflect the effect of the $5 \%$ stock dividend distributed on July 15, 2005, and the one share for every four shares owned stock split, effected in the form of a $25 \%$ stock dividend distributed on January 30, 2006.

|  | 2006 |  |  | 2005 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | High | Low | High | Low |  |
| First Quarter | $\$ 18.90$ | $\$ 10.25$ | $\$ 10.82$ | $\$ 6.67$ |  |
| Second Quarter | 11.99 | 9.03 | 20.92 | 9.83 |  |
| Third Quarter | 13.15 | 9.27 | 23.43 | 13.62 |  |
| Fourth Quarter | 12.40 | 7.61 | 26.29 | 15.80 |  |

On May 23, 2005, we declared a $\$ 0.038$ per share cash dividend and a $5 \%$ stock dividend, both distributed on July 15, 2005. Pursuant to these dividends, total cash of $\$ 699,309$ and 859,457 shares of our common stock were distributed to shareholders. The stock dividend provided shareholders one share for every 20 shares owned and cash in lieu of fractional shares. On December 21, 2005, we declared a one share for every four shares owned stock split, effected in the form of a $25 \%$ stock dividend, distributed on January 30, 2006. Pursuant to this dividend, total cash of $\$ 24,280$ (in lieu of fractional shares) and $3,658,999$ shares of our common stock were distributed to shareholders. The stock dividend provided shareholders one share for every four shares owned and cash in lieu of fractional shares. On April 18, 2006, we declared a $\$ 0.08$ per share cash dividend distributed on June 15, 2006. Pursuant to this dividend, total cash of $\$ 1,456,080$ was distributed to shareholders.

We will regularly review and consider the best policies and practices for the Company, including the dividend policy. The payment of future dividends will be dependant on the facts and circumstances at the time of that review.

## (c) Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Charles \& Colvard made the following purchases of its common stock during the three months ended December 31, 2006.
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## Table of Contents

## ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following selected statement of operations data for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, and the selected balance sheet data at December 31, 2006 and 2005 have been derived from, and are qualified by reference to, our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report which have been audited by Deloitte \& Touche LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm. The selected statement of operations data for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002 and the selected balance sheet data at December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002 have been derived from audited consolidated financial statements not included herein. The selected financial data set forth below should be read in conjunction with Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and the Financial Statements and Notes thereto included in Item 8 of this report.

## CHARLES \& COLVARD, LTD.

|  | Year Ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 |
| Statements of Operations Data |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net sales | \$ 40,712,085 | \$ 43,544,090 | \$ 23,917,045 | \$ 17,240,383 | \$ 16,513,515 |
| Cost of goods sold | 10,795,224 | 14,463,410 | 8,570,448 | 6,575,931 | 6,586,925 |
| Gross profit | 29,916,861 | 29,080,680 | 15,346,597 | 10,664,452 | 9,926,590 |
| Operating expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Marketing and sales (1) | 16,106,506 | 15,566,179 | 9,287,549 | 6,080,829 | 4,967,215 |
| General and administrative (1) | 4,307,749 | 4,168,902 | 3,006,647 | 2,462,404 | 2,401,087 |
| Research and development | 73,226 | 215,778 | 14,076 | 26,702 | 7,259 |
| Other |  |  |  |  | (450) |
| Total operating expenses | 20,487,481 | 19,950,859 | 12,308,272 | 8,569,935 | 7,375,111 |
| Operating income | 9,429,380 | 9,129,821 | 3,038,325 | 2,094,517 | 2,551,479 |
| Interest income | 741,161 | 503,761 | 138,223 | 112,359 | 199,084 |
| Income before taxes | 10,170,541 | 9,633,582 | 3,176,548 | 2,206,876 | 2,750,563 |
| Income tax expense (benefit) (2) | 4,065,206 | 3,758,915 | 1,564,256 | 1,163,501 | $(6,657,874)$ |
| Net income | \$ 6,105,335 | \$ 5,874,667 | \$ 1,612,292 | \$ 1,043,375 | \$ 9,408,437 |
| Net income per share (3) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$ 0.34 | 0.33 | \$ 0.09 | \$ 0.06 | \$ 0.54 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.33 | 0.31 | \$ 0.09 | \$ 0.06 | \$ 0.53 |
| Weighted-average common shares (3) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | 18,160,218 | 18,008,855 | 17,467,173 | 17,362,745 | 17,528,473 |
| Diluted | 18,662,770 | 18,963,111 | 18,007,726 | 17,777,309 | 17,907,982 |


|  | December 31, <br>  <br> Balance Sheet Data |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2006 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |  |
| Cash and equivalents | $\$ 13,762,786$ | $\$ 21,003,551$ | $\$ 12,873,847$ | $\$ 11,559,123$ | $\$ 13,282,245$ |
| Working capital | $45,818,879$ | $53,750,810$ | $42,116,284$ | $37,745,292$ | $36,236,017$ |

## Edgar Filing: CHARLES \& COLVARD LTD - Form 10-K

| Total assets | $66,001,973$ | $63,538,226$ | $50,635,625$ | $46,447,288$ | $45,948,762$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Long term debt | $59,276,915$ | $57,965,618$ | $47,258,397$ | $44,123,957$ | $43,751,551$ |
| Shareholders equity | 0.08 | 0.038 |  |  |  |
| Cash dividends per share (3) |  |  |  |  |  |

1. Share-based compensation for 2006, 2005, 2004, 2003, and 2002 was $\$ 324,477, \$ 1,064,617, \$ 70,672, \$ 34,283$, and $\$ 92,497$, respectively. See Note 2 and Note 9 of Notes to Financial Statements.
2. The Company recorded a one-time $\$ 6.7$ million non-operating and non-cash addition to earnings during 2002, due to the expected realization of deferred income tax assets.
3. Share and per-share data for all periods presented reflect the effect of the $5 \%$ stock dividend distributed on July 15,2005 and the one share for every four shares owned stock split, effected in the form of a $25 \%$ stock dividend, distributed on January 30, 2006.
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## ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

All statements, trend analysis and other information contained in the following discussion relative to markets for our products and trends in revenue, gross margins and anticipated expense levels, as well as other statements, including words such as anticipate, believe, plan, estimate, expect and intend and other similar expressions constitute forward-looking statements. Our business is subject to business and economic risks and uncertainties, and our actual results of operations may differ materially from those expressed or implied in the forward-looking statements. The following discussion and the discussion in Item 1A of this report under the heading Risk Factors describes some, but not all, of the factors that could cause these differences.

## Overview

We manufacture, market and distribute Charles \& Colvard created Moissanite jewels (also called moissanite) for sale in the worldwide jewelry market. Moissanite, also known by its chemical name, silicon carbide ( SiC ), is a rare, naturally occurring mineral found primarily in meteors. As the sole manufacturer of scientifically-made moissanite jewels, our strategy is to establish Charles \& Colvard as a reputable, high-quality and sophisticated brand and position moissanite as a unique jewel, distinct from all others based on its exceptional fire, brilliance, luster, durability and rarity. Moissanite is marketed to its primary target market, the self-purchasing woman, as the perfect reward or indulgence for a woman celebrating her achievements, whether personal or professional, big or small. Moissanite is also marketed to the jewelry trade as a new jewelry category with a unique business opportunity.

We began shipping moissanite to U.S. retail jewelers and international distributors during the second quarter of 1998. During the second quarter of 2000, we changed our U.S. distribution model to sell through jewel distributors and jewelry manufacturers rather than directly to retail stores. To assist our customers in gaining new or expanded distribution at certain retail locations, we have offered jewels on consignment to our customers on a limited basis.

In October 2000, we established a wholly-owned subsidiary in Hong Kong, Charles \& Colvard (HK) Ltd., for the purpose of gaining better access to the important Far Eastern markets. The importance of having a presence in this market is twofold; Hong Kong is the headquarters city for a very large number of jewelry manufacturing companies with sales and distribution worldwide, and Hong Kong is the gateway to the markets of mainland China. To enhance our presence in this market, we established a Charles \& Colvard controlled company in China in August 2003.

Our goal in each of the three years ended December 31, 2006 was to increase revenue through an increased investment in sales and marketing expenses, while maintaining profitability. Our sales in 2004 were $39 \%$ higher than 2003 while our sales \& marketing expenses were $53 \%$ higher than 2003. Our sales during 2005 were $82 \%$ higher than sales in 2004, while our sales \& marketing expenses were $68 \%$ higher than 2004. Our sales in 2004 and 2005 were favorably impacted by our relationships with two major jewelry retailers, JCPenney and Finlay Enterprises, Inc. In a joint effort with our manufacturing customer, Reeves Park, we launched a Charles \& Colvard moissanite jewelry category at 462 JCPenney retail locations in October 2004. In March 2005, JCPenney began offering moissanite in 241 additional stores. Finlay, supplied by our manufacturing customer K\&G Creations, operates leased department store jewelry locations in chains such as Boston Store, Macy s, Dillard s, Bloomingdale s, and Belks. Finlay initially offered moissanite jewelry in 31 stores on February 28, 2005. During 2005, moissanite jewelry expanded to approximately 210 Finlay leased department store jewelry counters. Further expansion occurred in Finlay in 2006 to a total of 229 leased department store jewelry counters.

During 2005, we conducted test distribution at two national fine jewelry stores (Helzberg Jewelers \& Gordon s Jewelers) via one-day trunk show events. Helzberg Jewelers, a fine jewelry chain with over 270 stores, began testing moissanite jewelry in September 2005 and Gordon s Jewelers, a fine jewelry

## Table of Contents

division of Zale Corporation with over 285 stores, began testing in October 2005. Based on the results of the test distribution, Helzberg began an in-case program of fine moissanite jewelry during 2006 at all of its locations. The tests at Gordon s continued through 2006. Additionally, other divisions of the Zale Corporation that held trunk shows in 2006 are the 130-store Zale Outlet chain in the United States and Peoples \& Mappins Jewelers, which have a combined 168 stores in Canada. The Zale Outlet chain started an in-case program in 20 of its stores during the second quarter of 2006, and the program is now in 28 of its stores. Peoples \& Mappins Jewelers began testing an in-case program in 41 of its stores during the fourth quarter of 2006.

During 2006, our sales decreased by $7 \%$ despite a 3\% increase in sales \& marketing expenses. The sales decrease during 2006 was due primarily to the large orders placed by K\&G Creations and Reeves Park in 2005 that were required for the initial rollout of moissanite jewelry into Finlay jewelry counters and the rollout into 241 additional JCPenney stores. The dollar amount of orders received in 2006 for new distribution was less than the amount we received in 2005.

We continue to work to broaden our customer base through our various channels of distribution. During 2006, Samuel Aaron International ( SAI ) and Alarama, two domestic jewelry manufacturers, became more significant direct customers. Both are large, well-established suppliers to the North American jewelry market and both sell to a majority of the major North American jewelry retailers.

In October 2006, distribution of moissanite jewelry began in 208 stores of the 800 -door department store chain, Kohl s. SAI is the manufacturer for this test distribution. We also began testing moissanite during 2006 at 22 Chicago locations at the 900 -door department store chain, Sears. In February 2007, Kohl s expanded their distribution into 79 additional stores in California and Sears has indicated their interest in adding 115 additional stores during the first half of 2007. Also in 2006, the Home Shopping Network (HSN) aired 9 hours of moissanite jewelry shows. During 2007, HSN has agreed to increase the number of hours of moissanite jewelry shows to 25 . In January 2007, Landau reduced the number of outlets selling moissanite jewelry from approximately 70 doors to 5 doors. We believe that this reduction was due to account relationships maintained by Landau with the jewelry manufacturer and not a reflection on the success of moissanite jewelry sales.

Our goal for 2007 is to grow revenue while remaining profitable by increasing awareness and demand for our jewel, moissanite. Our domestic sales and marketing efforts will include, but not be limited to, increasing moissanite awareness and desire for ownership at the consumer level, through increased consumer advertising, promotion, sponsorship opportunities, and an expanded public relations effort headed by a new public relations firm, continuous sales training for the sales associates at the retail level, and individually tailored efforts for specific retailers.

Internationally, in 2007 we will maintain our commitment to Asia and commit additional resources in the United Kingdom and continental Europe. We understand and accept that our growth in those markets will likely continue to be slow, but by insisting that our distribution partners understand and support our marketing positioning we believe that they will start to gain a meaningful presence for moissanite jewelry in their markets.

## Results of Operations

The following table is intended to illustrate a tabular analysis of certain Consolidated Statement of Operations data as a percentage of sales for all periods presented. A detailed explanation of our results of operations follows this table:
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|  |  | Year Ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sales | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ |  |  |  |
| Gross profit | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\$ 40,712,085$ | $100 \%$ | $\$ 43,544,090$ | $100 \%$ | $\$ 23,917,045$ |
| Marketing \& sales expenses | $73 \%$ | $29,916,861$ | $67 \%$ | $29,080,680$ | $64 \%$ | $15,346,597$ |
| General \& administrative expenses | $40 \%$ | $16,106,506$ | $36 \%$ | $15,566,179$ | $39 \%$ | $9,287,549$ |
| Operating income | $11 \%$ | $4,307,749$ | $10 \%$ | $4,168,902$ | $13 \%$ | $3,006,647$ |
| Net income | $23 \%$ | $9,429,380$ | $21 \%$ | $9,129,821$ | $13 \%$ | $3,038,325$ |
| Y | $15 \%$ | $6,105,335$ | $13 \%$ | $5,874,667$ | $7 \%$ | $1,612,292$ |

Year ended December 31, 2006 compared with Year ended December 31, 2005.
Net sales were $\$ 40,712,085$ for the year ended December 31, 2006 compared to $\$ 43,544,090$ for the year ended December 31, 2005, a decrease of $\$ 2,832,005$ or $7 \%$. Shipments of moissanite jewels, excluding consigned jewels, decreased $4 \%$ to approximately 245,000 carats from 255,000 carats. The average selling price per carat decreased by $3 \%$ due to a product mix in which a greater percentage of smaller size jewels, which have a lower price per carat, were sold. U.S. sales accounted for approximately $88 \%$ and $93 \%$ of sales during the year ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.
U.S. net sales and carat shipments, excluding consigned jewels, decreased by $11 \%$ and $8 \%$, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2006 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2005. Decreased U.S. carat shipments are due primarily to large orders placed by K\&G Creations and Reeves Park in 2005 for the initial rollout of moissanite jewelry into Finlay jewelry counters and the rollout into 241 additional JCPenney stores, which were not repeated in 2006. The dollar amount of orders received in 2006 for new distribution was less than the amount we received in 2005. The timing and size of additional rollouts at new or existing retailers could have a significant effect on our results of operations in a given period. Our three largest customers during the year ended December 31, 2006, Reeves Park, K\&G Creations, and SAI accounted for $37 \%$, $21 \%$ and $13 \%$, respectively, of our sales as compared to $39 \%, 39 \%$, and $1 \%$, respectively, for 2005 . We expect that, at least in the short-term, we will remain dependent on our ability and that of our largest manufacturing customers to maintain and enhance their retail programs. While we believe our current relationships with these customers and retailers are good, a loss of any of these customer or retailer relationships could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

International net sales and carat shipments increased by $47 \%$ and $48 \%$, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2006 as compared to 2005. International sales increased due to increased sales into Hong Kong, Canada, United Kingdom, Indonesia, and India, partially offset by lower sales in Taiwan. It should be noted that a portion of our increased international sales is due to jewels sold internationally that will be re-imported to North American retailers.

Our gross profit margin was $73.5 \%$ for the year ended December 31, 2006 compared to $66.8 \%$ for the year ended December 31, 2005. The increased gross profit margin percentage was primarily caused by lower production costs in the first-in, first-out accounting period relieved from inventory in the year ended December 31, 2006 compared to the production costs for the related inventories sold in the year ended December 31, 2005 , partially offset by a $3 \%$ decrease in the average selling price per carat. Future gross profit margins will fluctuate based upon the costs being relieved from inventory under our first-in, first-out accounting policy and our average selling price per carat. Depending on the sizes shipped in any specific period and with possible fluctuations quarter to quarter, we expect that our annual gross profit margin percentage going forward will fall in a range between $65 \%$ and $75 \%$.

Marketing and sales expenses were $\$ 16,106,506$ for the year ended December 31, 2006 compared to $\$ 15,566,179$ for the year ended December 31, 2005, an increase of $\$ 540,327$ or $3 \%$. As a percentage of sales, these expenses increased to $40 \%$ from $36 \%$ in 2005 . The primary reasons for the increase in expenses were a $\$ 996,000$ increase in advertising expenses, $\$ 236,000$ increase in travel costs, and
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$\$ 191,000$ of web page design costs, partially offset by $\$ 1,061,000$ of decreased stock option compensation expense on options previously issued to sales consultants for new business development. The Company expects total marketing and sales expenses in 2007 to be higher than the total marketing and sales expenses incurred during 2006. While we are trying to manage our total sales and marketing expense for the year to approximate the same percentage of sales achieved in 2006, it is subject to the level of revenue achieved in 2007.

General and administrative expenses were $\$ 4,307,749$ for the year ended December 31, 2006 compared to $\$ 4,168,902$ for the year ended December 31, 2005, an increase of $\$ 138,847$ or $3 \%$. As a percentage of sales, these expenses increased to $11 \%$ from $10 \%$ in the same period of 2005. The increase in expenses was primarily due to $\$ 200,000$ of one-time compensation costs recorded during the year ended December 31, 2006 associated with the change in status of Earl Hines, Senior Vice President of Manufacturing, from a full-time to a part-time employee, $\$ 178,000$ of costs associated with the May 2006 grant of restricted stock to the non-employee members of our Board of Directors, $\$ 169,000$ of increased salaries, partially offset by $\$ 445,000$ of lower costs accrued in 2006 under our management incentive plan versus 2005.

Research and development expenses were $\$ 73,226$ for the year ended December 31, 2006 compared to $\$ 215,778$ for the year ended December 31, 2005, a decrease of $\$ 142,552$ or $66 \%$. The costs incurred in 2005 relate to our efforts in developing alternate sources of raw material. A portion of the material we receive from Norstel AB in 2007 will be expensed as research and development expense. The level of expense will depend upon the amount of material they are able to deliver. Based on current estimates of the material we will receive from Norstel in 2007, we expect research and development expense to remain less than $1 \%$ of annual sales.

Interest income was $\$ 741,161$ for the year ended December 31, 2006 compared to $\$ 503,761$ for the year ended December 31, 2005, an increase of $\$ 237,400$ or $47 \%$. This increase resulted from a higher interest rate earned on our cash balances.

Our effective income tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2006 was $40 \%$ compared to $39 \%$ for the year ended December 31, 2005. Our statutory tax rate is $38.5 \%$ and consists of the Federal income tax rate of $34 \%$ and the North Carolina state income tax rate of $4.5 \%$, net of the federal benefit. Our effective income tax rate is higher than our statutory rate primarily due to our inability to currently recognize an income tax benefit for our operating losses in Hong Kong and China, partially offset by lower state income tax due to the apportionment of income among state jurisdictions. We cannot recognize the income tax benefit of our losses in Hong Kong and China due to the uncertainty of generating sufficient future taxable income in these tax jurisdictions to offset the existing losses. Our effective income tax rate is higher than the same period in 2005 primarily due to a greater tax benefit in 2005 over 2006 related to the apportionment of taxable income among state jurisdictions.

Year ended December 31, 2005 compared with Year ended December 31, 2004.
Net sales were $\$ 43,544,090$ for the year ended December 31, 2005 compared to $\$ 23,917,045$ for the year ended December 31, 2004, an increase of $\$ 19,627,045$ or $82 \%$. Shipments of moissanite jewels, excluding consigned jewels, increased $88 \%$ to approximately 255,000 carats from 136,000 carats. The average selling price per carat decreased by $4 \%$ due to a product mix in which a greater percentage of smaller size jewels, which have a lower price per carat, were sold. U.S. sales accounted for approximately $93 \%$ and $87 \%$ of sales during the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.
U.S. net sales and carat shipments, excluding consigned jewels, increased by $93 \%$ and $99 \%$, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2005 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2004. Increased U.S. shipments are due primarily to the fourth quarter 2004 JCPenney launch as well as the rollout into additional JCPenney stores on March 1, 2005 and the 2005 rollout to a portion of the jewelry departments leased by Finlay Enterprises. As a result, our two largest customers, K\&G Creations (the
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supplier of Finlay) and Reeves Park (the supplier of JCPenney), each accounted for $39 \%$ of our sales during the year ended December 31, 2005 as compared to $34 \%$ and $24 \%$, respectively, during 2004. Our third largest customer, Stuller, accounted for $9 \%$ of our sales during 2005 as compared to $21 \%$ during 2004.

International net sales and carat shipments increased by $5 \%$ and $6 \%$, respectively for the year ended December 31, 2005 as compared to 2004. The increase resulted primarily from increased sales into Taiwan, Indonesia, and the United Kingdom, partially offset by decreased sales into Singapore and Korea.

Our gross profit margin was $66.8 \%$ for the year ended December 31, 2005 compared to $64.2 \%$ for the year ended December 31, 2004. The increased gross profit margin percentage was primarily caused by lower production costs in the FIFO period relieved from inventory, partially offset by a $4 \%$ decrease in the average selling price per carat caused by a product mix in which a greater percentage of smaller size jewels, which have a lower price per carat, were sold.

Marketing and sales expenses were $\$ 15,566,179$ for the year ended December 31, 2005 compared to $\$ 9,287,549$ for the year ended December 31, 2004, an increase of $\$ 6,278,630$ or $68 \%$. As a percentage of sales, these expenses decreased to $36 \%$ from $39 \%$ in 2004 . The primary reasons for the increase in expenses are $\$ 2,032,000$ of increased co-op advertising expense, $\$ 1,940,000$ of increased print advertising, and $\$ 1,000,000$ of increased stock option compensation expense on options previously issued to sales consultants for new business development. Our co-op advertising program reimburses a portion of our customers marketing costs based on the amount of their purchases from us, and is subject to the customer providing us documentation of all advertising copy that includes the Company s products. The increase in co-op advertising is due to increased sales and the program being offered to a major customer in 2005, who was not in the program in 2004.

General and administrative expenses were $\$ 4,168,902$ for the year ended December 31, 2005 compared to $\$ 3,006,647$ for the year ended December 31, 2004, an increase of $\$ 1,162,255$ or $39 \%$. As a percentage of sales, these expenses decreased to $10 \%$ from $13 \%$ in 2004 . The increase in expenses is primarily due to $\$ 531,000$ of increased compensation costs and a $\$ 339,000$ increase in professional and accounting services. The increased compensation costs are primarily due to $\$ 382,000$ of increased costs associated with our Executive Compensation Plan, which provides incentives to senior management to meet or exceed certain goals. The increased professional and accounting fees primarily relate to costs associated with compliance with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and related SEC rulemaking.

Research and development expenses were $\$ 215,778$ for the year ended December 31, 2005 compared to $\$ 14,076$ for the year ended December 31, 2004. The costs incurred in 2005 relate to our efforts in developing alternate sources of raw material.

Interest income was $\$ 503,761$ for the year ended December 31, 2005 compared to $\$ 138,223$ for the year ended December 31, 2004, an increase of $\$ 365,538$ or $264 \%$. This increase resulted from a higher interest rate earned on our cash balances, as well as larger cash balances.

Our effective income tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2005 was $39 \%$ compared to $49 \%$ for the year ended December 31, 2004. Our statutory tax rate consists of the Federal income tax rate of $34 \%$ and North Carolina income tax rate of $4.5 \%$, net of the federal benefit. Our effective income tax rate is higher than our statutory rate primarily due to our inability to currently recognize an income tax benefit for our operating losses in Hong Kong and China. We cannot recognize this income tax benefit due to the uncertainty of generating sufficient future taxable income in these tax jurisdictions to offset the existing losses. The decreased effective tax rate in 2005 compared to 2004 is primarily due to foreign operating losses being a smaller percentage of pre-tax income. Our 2004 effective income tax rate was favorably impacted by an increase in the research tax credits expected to be realized and our 2005
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effective income tax rate was favorably impacted by a reduction in state income tax expense caused by a change in the apportionment of taxable income among state jurisdictions.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

At December 31, 2006, we had approximately $\$ 13.8$ million of cash and cash equivalents and $\$ 45.8$ million of working capital as compared to $\$ 21.0$ million of cash and cash equivalents and $\$ 53.8$ million of working capital at December 31, 2005. As further described below, cash and cash equivalents decreased during the year ended December 31, 2006 primarily as a result of stock repurchases of $\$ 4,059,719, \$ 1,786,654$ of cash used by operations, and cash dividends of $\$ 1,480,360$.

Cash and inventory account for $69 \%$ of our current assets. Our principal sources of liquidity are cash on hand and cash generated by operations. During the year ended December 31, 2006, $\$ 1,786,654$ of cash was used by operations primarily as a result of an $\$ 8,894,147$ increase in inventory and a $\$ 3,054,150$ increase in receivables, partially offset by net income of $\$ 6,105,335$, deferred income taxes of $\$ 2,486,960$ and a $\$ 1,536,921$ increase in accounts payable.

We purchased $\$ 14.7$ million of raw material during the year ended December 31, 2006 and are building inventory to prepare for anticipated future sales growth. Management considers its investment in inventory essential to be able to meet the orders of its expanding customer base and to fulfill requests from our customers for consigned inventory. It is management sopinion that inventory turnover should increase due to forecasted sales increases, thereby not requiring a significant use of working capital. Management expects to reduce its 2007 raw material purchases from the amount purchased in 2006. The Company s raw material inventories of silicon carbide crystals are purchased under exclusive supply agreements with a limited number of suppliers. Because the supply agreements restrict the sale of these crystals to only the Company, the suppliers negotiate minimum purchase commitments with the Company that may result in periodic levels of raw and in-process inventories that are higher than the Company might otherwise maintain. These agreements coupled with lower than expected 2006 sales resulted in $\$ 11.8$ million of our inventories being classified as long-term assets at December 31, 2006.

Income tax payments for the year ended December 31, 2006 were limited to $\$ 876,652$ due to the utilization of a portion of the Company s net operating loss ( NOL ) carryforwards to offset the taxable income generated during that period by our U.S. operations. During the year ended December 31, 2006, we fully utilized our U.S. NOL carryforward. As a result, we began making federal income tax payments for the tax year ended December 31, 2006. As of December 31, 2006, our federal income tax liability is $\$ 413,387$. Prior to 2006, federal income tax payments were limited to alternative minimum tax payments. Depending on our levels of income in future periods, our cash flow from operations will be negatively impacted by increased tax payments over prior periods. As of December 31, 2006, we had a North Carolina NOL carryforward of approximately $\$ 4.4$ million, which expires between 2012 and 2015.

Periodically, the Company sells jewels to customers on memo terms. For shipments on memo terms, the customer assumes the risk of loss and has an absolute right of return for a specified period. The Company does not recognize revenue on these transactions until the earlier of (1) the customer informing the Company that they will keep the jewels or (2) the expiration of the memo period. Any jewels shipped to our customers on memo terms are classified as inventory on consignment on the Company s consolidated balance sheets. The $\$ 2,024,000$ of inventory on consignment at December 31, 2006 represents potential revenue of approximately $\$ 7,842,000$ and potential gross profit of approximately $\$ 5,818,000$ based on the average cost per carat of inventory at December 31, 2006.

On June 6, 1997, the Company entered into an Amended and Restated Exclusive Supply Agreement with Cree. The exclusive supply agreement had an initial term of ten years that was extended in January 2005 to July 2015. In connection with the exclusive supply agreement, the Company has committed to purchase a minimum of $50 \%$ (by dollar volume) of its requirements for SiC crystals from Cree. If the
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Company s orders require Cree to expand beyond specified production levels, the Company must commit to purchase certain minimum quantities. In December 2006, we agreed with Cree on a framework for purchases for 2007. Our price per gram for purchases from Cree during 2007 is increasing by approximately $10 \%$ over what we paid per gram in 2006 and for each quarter during calendar year 2007, the Company has committed to purchase approximately $\$ 3,200,000$ of usable material. Although the amount of usable material to be purchased by the Company is expected to remain constant each quarter, the Company s cost per quarter for the usable material may be less than $\$ 3,200,000$ depending upon the quality of the usable material provided by Cree during that quarter. We purchased approximately $\$ 14,600,000$ of raw material from Cree during the year ended December 31, 2006.

In February 2005, we entered into an Exclusive Supply Agreement with Norstel AB (formerly Jesperator AB) for the supply of SiC crystals for use in the manufacturing of moissanite jewels. In March 2007, we signed an amended agreement with Norstel AB that extended the term to December 31, 2009. Under the terms of the amended contract, our remaining minimum commitment under the agreement is $\$ 7.8$ million, of which approximately $\$ 465,000$ will be expensed as research and development. In addition, we have advanced $\$ 400,000$ towards the purchase of certain equipment. This advance is scheduled to be repaid starting January 2007 through a $20 \%$ reduction on the invoice for subsequent purchases of SiC crystals. Effective October 1, 2007, we will receive a $35 \%$ reduction on the invoice for subsequent purchases of SiC crystals until the advance is repaid. The minimum purchase commitment during 2007 is $\$ 1,600,000$, of which approximately $\$ 275,000$ will be expensed as research and development. Purchases from Norstel during the year ended December 31, 2006 were less than $\$ 25,000$.

In November 2005, we entered into an exclusive supply agreement with Intrinsic Semiconductor, Inc. for the supply of SiC crystals for use in the manufacturing of moissanite jewels. The initial term of the contract was for two years, and included minimum purchase commitments of usable material of approximately $\$ 2,200,000$, subject to Intrinsic Semiconductor meeting minimum quality standards. On June 26, 2006, Cree announced that it has signed a definitive agreement to acquire Intrinsic Semiconductor, Inc. In October 2006, our agreement with Intrinsic Semiconductor was terminated.

In May 2005, we entered into an eighth amendment to our agreement with John M. Bachman, Inc. (JMB ), the supplier of the majority of the faceting services used by the Company. Pursuant to the terms of the amendment, the Company provided JMB with a cash advance of $\$ 135,000$ that was used by JMB to expand its affiliate s production facility and procure additional equipment and labor as needed to enable JMB and its affiliate to satisfy the requested increase in production volumes. The cash advance was repaid to the Company through reduced charges for faceting services provided to the Company. As of December 31, 2006, the advance has been completely repaid.

On April 18, 2006, the Company declared a $\$ 0.08$ per share cash dividend that was distributed on June 15, 2006 to shareholders of record on May 31, 2006. Pursuant to this dividend, total cash of $\$ 1,456,080$ was distributed. The Company expects to review the dividend policy on an annual basis and payment of future dividends will be dependent on the facts and circumstances at the time of that review.

In March 2006, the Board of Directors authorized a repurchase program for up to $1,000,000$ shares of the Company s common stock. Repurchases can be made in the open market at prevailing prices or in privately negotiated transactions at prices at or below prevailing open market prices. This program expires in March 2007. There were 415,000 shares repurchased under this program during the year ended December 31, 2006 at an average price of $\$ 9.78$ per share, or an aggregate of $\$ 4,059,719$.

Based on our cash and cash equivalents and other working capital, management believes that our existing capital resources are adequate to satisfy our capital requirements for at least the next 12 months and management does not believe that we will need additional sources of capital for the foreseeable future.
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## Contractual Obligations and Commercial Commitments

Our contractual obligations consist of our purchase commitments with Cree and Norstel AB, the operating lease on our manufacturing and administrative facility in Morrisville, NC and the operating leases for our companies in Hong Kong and China. Below are the amounts of these commitments in tabular form.

| Contractual Obligations | Payments Due by Period |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  | 2008-2009 | 2010-2011 | $\begin{gathered} 2012 \\ \& \\ \text { Beyond } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |
| Purchase Commitments-Cree | \$ 12,800,000 ${ }_{(1)}$ | \$ 12,800,000 | \$ (2) | \$ (2) | \$ (2) |
| Operating Leases | \$ 813,000 | \$ 232,000 | \$ 326,000 | \$ 255,000 | \$ |
| Purchase Commitments-Norstel | \$ 7,788,000 ${ }_{(3)}$ | \$ 1,637,000 | \$ 6,151,000 | \$ | \$ |
| Total | \$ 21,401,000 | \$ 14,669,000 | \$ 6,477,000 | \$ 255,000 | \$ |

[^1]At December 31, 2006, the Company has a North Carolina tax NOL carryforward of approximately $\$ 4.4$ million, expiring through 2015, which can be offset against future state taxable income. The Company expects to fully utilize this NOL before any of it expires.

As of December 31, 2006, there was approximately $\$ 4.1$ million in NOL carryforwards in Hong Kong. In accordance with the Hong Kong tax code these amounts can be carried forward indefinitely to offset future taxable income in Hong Kong. As of December 31, 2006, there was approximately $\$ 451,000$ in NOL carryforwards in China. In accordance with the China tax code these amounts can be carried forward five years to offset future taxable income in China. The NOL carryforwards begin expiring in 2008. Due to the uncertainty of generating sufficient future taxable income in Hong Kong and China to utilize these NOLs, we have established a valuation allowance against this deferred income tax asset.

## Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The most significant estimates impacting our consolidated financial statements relate to valuation of inventories, accounts receivable reserves, and co-op advertising. We also have other policies that we consider key accounting policies, the most significant of which is our policy for revenue recognition; however, this policy typically does not require us to make estimates or judgments that are difficult or subjective.

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market determined on a first in, first out basis. Our inventories consist primarily of colorless moissanite jewels that meet rigorous grading criteria and are of cuts and sizes most commonly used in the jewelry industry. Moissanite jewels that do not meet our grading criteria and therefore are not deemed to be saleable are not included in inventories. We carry only a limited amount of moissanite jewels in finished jewelry settings. As a result, our inventories do not degrade in quality over time and are not subject to fashion trends. Our distribution channels include two of the largest suppliers of jewelry-related products to the jewelry industry, jewelry manufacturers,
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traditional retail stores, home shopping channels and catalogs. Consequently, significant amounts of inventories must be maintained at all times. During 2002, we established a lower of cost or market reserve of $\$ 400,000$ to allow for a portion of the finished goods inventory to be re-cut. There are certain jewels in inventory that can be re-cut to achieve higher quality standards. The reserve is necessary to allow for the carat weight loss during the re-cutting process. To determine this reserve, we estimated the amount of inventory that is anticipated to be re-cut and the amount of weight loss that will occur during the process. Since the establishment of this reserve, we have not yet re-cut any jewels. At December 31, 2006, the reserve remained at $\$ 400,000$.

Estimates are used to determine the amount of two reserves against accounts receivable. First, an Allowance for Doubtful Accounts is established to reduce accounts receivable to an amount expected to be collected. Based on our collection history, we determine a percentage based on the age of the receivable that we deem collectible. The allowance is then calculated by applying the appropriate percentage to each of our receivables. Any increases or decreases to this allowance are charged or credited to general and administrative expenses. This allowance for doubtful accounts was $\$ 195,000$ and $\$ 120,000$ at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The second reserve against accounts receivable is the Allowance for Returns. At the time revenue is recognized, we estimate future returns and reduce sales and accounts receivable by this estimated amount. This amount is estimated using the historical return rate for our Company and considers any contractual return privileges granted to customers. The allowance for returns was $\$ 265,000$ and $\$ 105,000$ at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The increase in the reserve in 2006 is primarily due to expanded return rights given to certain accounts in 2006 to support new retail business.

We offer a co-op advertising program to many of our customers that reimburses a portion of their marketing costs based on their net purchases from us. At the end of any given period, we estimate the amount of co-op advertising expense that has not yet been submitted for credit by our customers. These amounts were $\$ 1,275,041$ and $\$ 1,364,007$ at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. We estimate this amount based on our historical experience with each customer, and the related contractual arrangements to provide certain levels of co-op advertising for our customers.

Revenue is generally recognized when products are shipped. Our standard payment terms are generally between 30 and 60 days for jewel distributors and generally between 60 to 90 days for jewelry manufacturers. In limited circumstances, the Company may extend terms of 120 days. Some customers are required to prepay prior to shipment. For all jewels shipped, title passes upon shipment of the jewels from our facility (i.e., FOB-shipping point). Periodically, the Company sells product to customers on memo terms. For shipments on memo terms, the customer assumes the risk of loss and has an absolute right of return for a specified period. Our customers are generally required to make payments on memo shipments within 30 days upon the customer informing the Company that they will keep the product. Accordingly, the Company does not recognize revenue on these memo transactions until the earlier of (1) the customer informing the Company that they will keep the product or (2) the expiration of the right of return period. All memo shipments are shown on our balance sheets as inventory on consignment.

## Newly Adopted Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2004, FAS No. 123R, Share-Based Payment, was issued as a revision to FAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation. This statement superceded APB Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and amends FAS No. 95, Statement of Cash Flows. Generally, the approach in FAS No. 123R is similar to the approach described in FAS No. 123; however, FAS No. 123R requires that all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, be recognized in the income statement based on their fair values. Pro forma disclosure is no longer an alternative. FAS No. 123R also requires the benefits of income tax deductions in excess of recognized compensation cost to be reported as a financing cash flow, rather than as an operating cash flow as required under FAS No. 95.
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FAS No. 123R was adopted by the Company on January 1, 2006. Prior to that date, the Company accounted for share-based payments to employees using the intrinsic value method in accordance with APB Opinion No. 25 and, since the Company granted employee stock options with an exercise price that was equivalent to market value at the date of grant, the Company generally recognized no compensation cost for employee stock options. Had the Company adopted FAS No. 123R in prior periods, the impact of that standard would have approximated the impact of FAS No. 123 as described in the disclosure of pro forma net income and earnings per share in Note 2 of these consolidated financial statements.

As a result of the adoption of FAS No. 123R, the Company recorded compensation expense due to share-based employee compensation awards during the year ended December 31, 2006 of $\$ 377,964$ in operating expenses, which is before an income tax benefit of $\$ 106,799$, resulting in a decrease to net income of $\$ 271,165$. In addition, there was $\$ 7,585$ of share-based employee compensation capitalized as inventory costs during the year ended December 31, 2006. There was no change in the way the Company accounted for stock options granted to consultants.

The Company receives an income tax deduction for certain stock option exercises when the options are exercised, generally for the excess of the stock price on the date of exercise over the option price. Prior to the adoption of FAS No. 123R, the Company presented all tax benefits resulting from the exercise of stock options as an operating cash inflow in its Statement of Cash Flows. FAS No. 123R requires the benefits of tax deductions in excess of the grant date fair value for those options to be classified as financing cash inflows rather than operating cash inflows, on a prospective basis. The income tax benefits from the exercise of stock options during the year ended December 31, 2006 have been reflected as Excess tax benefits from share-based payment arrangements on the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows. For the year ended December 31, 2006, such amount was $\$ 173,783$, resulting in a decrease in cash from operations and increase in cash provided by financing activities of this amount.

In November 2004, FAS No. 151, Inventory Costs, was issued. This statement amends the guidance in Accounting Research Bulletin No. 43, Chapter 4, Inventory Pricing, to clarify the accounting for abnormal amounts of idle facility expense, freight, handling costs, and wasted material. FAS 151 requires that those items be recognized as current period charges. In addition, FAS 151 requires the allocation of fixed production overheads to inventory based on the normal capacity of the production facilities. The provisions of this statement were effective for inventory costs incurred during fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2005. The adoption of FAS 151 had no effect on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

## Newly Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In July 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FAS Interpretation No. 48 (FIN 48), Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, which prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement process for recording in the financial statements uncertain tax positions taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. Additionally, FIN 48 provides guidance on the recognition, classification, accounting in interim periods and disclosure requirements for uncertain tax positions. The accounting provisions of FIN 48 were effective for the Company on January 1, 2007. The Company is in the process of determining the effect that the adoption of FIN 48 will have on its financial statements.

## Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have not engaged in any off-balance sheet financing arrangements.

## ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We believe that our exposure to market risk for changes in interest rates is not material to our financial condition or results of operations because our investments are limited to highly liquid instruments with maturities of three months or less. At December 31, 2006, the majority of our cash was in short-term
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investments classified as cash and equivalents. The majority of our transactions with international customers and suppliers are denominated in U.S. dollars and, as such, we also do not believe that our exposure to fluctuations in any foreign currency exchange rate are material to our financial condition or results of operations.
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## REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of
Charles \& Colvard, Ltd.
Morrisville, North Carolina
We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Charles \& Colvard, Ltd. and subsidiary (the Company ) as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders equity and comprehensive income, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 8. These financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Charles \& Colvard, Ltd. and subsidiary as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, such financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

As discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123R, Share-Based Payment.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on the criteria establish in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated March 9, 2007 expressed an unqualified opinion on management $s$ annual assessment of the effectiveness of the Company sinternal control over financial reporting and an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting.
/s/ Deloitte \& Touche LLP
Raleigh, North Carolina
March 9, 2007

# Edgar Filing: CHARLES \& COLVARD LTD - Form 10-K 
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## CHARLES \& COLVARD, LTD. AND SUBSIDIARY

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004

|  | Year Ended December 31, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Net sales | \$ 40,712,085 | \$ 43,544,090 | \$ 23,917,045 |
| Cost of goods sold | 10,795,224 | 14,463,410 | 8,570,448 |
| Gross profit | 29,916,861 | 29,080,680 | 15,346,597 |
| Operating expenses: |  |  |  |
| Marketing and sales | 16,106,506 | 15,566,179 | 9,287,549 |
| General and administrative | 4,307,749 | 4,168,902 | 3,006,647 |
| Research and development | 73,226 | 215,778 | 14,076 |
| Total operating expenses | 20,487,481 | 19,950,859 | 12,308,272 |
| Operating income | 9,429,380 | 9,129,821 | 3,038,325 |
| Interest income | 741,161 | 503,761 | 138,223 |
| Income before taxes | 10,170,541 | 9,633,582 | 3,176,548 |
| Income tax expense (Note 10) | 4,065,206 | 3,758,915 | 1,564,256 |
| Net income | \$ 6,105,335 | \$ 5,874,667 | \$ 1,612,292 |
| Net income per share (Note 2) |  |  |  |
| Basic | 0.34 | \$ 0.33 | \$ 0.09 |
| Diluted | 0.33 | 0.31 | \$ 0.09 |
| Weighted-average common shares (Note 2) |  |  |  |
| Basic | 18,160,218 | 18,008,855 | 17,467,173 |
| Diluted | 18,662,770 | 18,963,111 | 18,007,726 |

Share and per share data for all periods presented reflect the effect of the $5 \%$ stock dividend distributed on July 15,2005 , and the one share for every four shares owned stock split, effected in the form of a $25 \%$ stock dividend, distributed on January 30, 2006.

See notes to consolidated financial statements.
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## CHARLES \& COLVARD, LTD. AND SUBSIDIARY <br> CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2006 and 2005

|  | December 31, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 2005 |
| Assets |  |  |
| Current Assets: |  |  |
| Cash and equivalents | \$ 13,762,786 | \$ 21,003,551 |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$195,000 and \$120,000, respectively | 14,320,672 | 11,236,486 |
| Interest receivable | 16,381 | 46,417 |
| Notes receivable | 376,030 | 250,272 |
| Inventory (Note 3) | 20,677,215 | 23,168,028 |
| Inventory on consignment (Note 4) | 2,023,542 | 2,446,722 |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 783,989 | 571,277 |
| Deferred income taxes (Note 10) | 583,322 | 600,665 |
| Total current assets | 52,543,937 | 59,323,418 |
| Long Term Assets: |  |  |
| Note receivable | 23,970 | 263,710 |
| Inventory (Note 3) | 11,808,140 |  |
| Furniture and equipment, net (Note 5) | 651,134 | 496,336 |
| Patent and license rights, net (Note 5) | 288,171 | 298,524 |
| Deferred income taxes (Note 10) | 686,621 | 3,156,238 |
| Total long term assets | 13,458,036 | 4,214,808 |
| Total assets | \$ 66,001,973 | \$ 63,538,226 |
| Liabilities and Shareholders Equity |  |  |
| Current Liabilities: |  |  |
| Accounts payable: |  |  |
| Cree, Inc. (Note 11) | \$ 1,598,956 | \$ 1,341,187 |
| Other | 2,870,752 | 1,591,600 |
| Income taxes payable | 413,387 | 8,757 |
| Accrued payroll | 322,383 | 1,050,013 |
| Accrued co-op advertising | 1,275,041 | 1,364,007 |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | 244,539 | 217,044 |
| Total current liabilities | 6,725,058 | 5,572,608 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 11) |  |  |
| Shareholders Equity (Notes 6 and 7) |  |  |
| Common stock, no par value; 50,000,000 shares authorized; 17,977,923 and 18,299,354 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively | 52,494,309 | 57,785,576 |
| Additional paid-in capital stock options | 5,807,879 | 5,313,044 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss Foreign currency translation | (457) | $(2,851)$ |
| Retained earnings (deficit) | 975,184 | $(5,130,151)$ |
| Total shareholders equity | 59,276,915 | 57,965,618 |

## See notes to consolidated financial statements.
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## CHARLES \& COLVARD, LTD. AND SUBSIDIARY

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2004, 2005, and 2006

|  | Common Stock mber |  | Additional Paid-in Capital Stock Options | Accumulated other comprehensive loss | Retained Earnings (Deficit) | Total Shareholders Equity | Total Comprehensive Income |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Of <br> Shares | Amount |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance at January 1, 2004 | 17,342,099 | \$ 54,333,287 | \$ 2,407,780 |  | \$ (12,617,110) | \$ 44,123,957 |  |  |
| Share-based compensation |  |  | 70,672 |  |  | 70,672 |  |  |
| Stock options exercised | 447,106 | 2,396,742 | $(995,998)$ |  |  | 1,400,744 |  |  |
| Tax effect of stock options |  |  | 285,666 |  |  | 285,666 |  |  |
| Shares repurchased | $(60,870)$ | $(234,934)$ |  |  |  | $(234,934)$ |  |  |
| Net Income |  |  |  |  | 1,612,292 | 1,612,292 | \$ | 1,612,292 |
| Total comprehensive income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,612,292 |
| Balance at December 31, 2004 | 17,728,335 | 56,495,095 | 1,768,120 |  | $(11,004,818)$ | 47,258,397 |  |  |
| Share-based compensation |  |  | 1,064,617 |  |  | 1,064,617 |  |  |
| Stock options exercised | 571,019 | 1,989,790 | $(221,725)$ |  |  | 1,768,065 |  |  |
| Cash dividend \$0.038 per share |  | $(688,022)$ |  |  |  | $(688,022)$ |  |  |
| Stock dividend cash in lieu of fractional shares |  | $(11,287)$ |  |  |  | $(11,287)$ |  |  |
| Tax effect of stock options |  |  | 2,702,032 |  |  | 2,702,032 |  |  |
| Foreign currency translation |  |  |  | $(2,851)$ |  | $(2,851)$ |  | $(2,851)$ |
| Net Income |  |  |  |  | 5,874,667 | 5,874,667 |  | 5,874,667 |
| Total comprehensive income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5,871,816 |


| Balance at December 31, 2005 | $18,299,354$ | $57,785,576$ | $5,313,044$ | $(2,851)$ | $(5,130,151)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Share-based compensation |  |  | 324,977 |  | 324,477 |
| Stock options exercised | 66,192 | 248,812 | $(3,425)$ | 245,387 |  |
| Issuance of restricted stock | 27,377 |  |  |  |  |
| Shares repurchased | $(415,000)$ | $(4,059,719)$ | $(4,059,719)$ |  |  |
| Cash dividend $\$ .08$ per share |  | $(1,456,080)$ | $(1,456,080)$ |  |  |
| Stock dividend cash in lieu of <br> fractional shares <br> Tax effect of stock options | $(24,280)$ |  | $(24,280)$ |  |  |


| Foreign currency translation |  | 2,394 |  | 2,394 | 2,394 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Net Income |  |  | $6,105,335$ | $6,105,335$ | $6,105,335$ |  |
| Total comprehensive income |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Share and per share data for all periods presented reflect the effect of the $5 \%$ stock dividend distributed on July 15, 2005, and the one share for every four shares owned stock split, effected in the form of a $25 \%$ stock dividend, distributed on January 30, 2006.

See notes to consolidated financial statements.
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## CHARLES \& COLVARD, LTD. AND SUBSIDIARY

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004

|  | Year Ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  | 2005 |  | 2004 |  |
| Operating Activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income | \$ | 6,105,335 | \$ | 5,874,667 | \$ | 1,612,292 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Depreciation and amortization |  | 188,757 |  | 247,441 |  | 197,194 |
| Share-based compensation |  | 316,892 |  | 1,064,617 |  | 70,672 |
| Loss on disposal of furniture and equipment |  |  |  | 12,282 |  | 14,772 |
| Provision for uncollectible accounts |  | 78,990 |  | 20,000 |  | $(30,000)$ |
| Provision for returns |  | 160,000 |  | $(115,000)$ |  | 140,000 |
| Tax effect of stock options |  |  |  | 2,702,032 |  | 285,666 |
| Provision for deferred income taxes |  | 2,486,960 |  | 967,897 |  | 1,160,319 |
| Changes in assets and liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts receivable |  | $(3,323,176)$ |  | $(4,134,432)$ |  | (3,414,959) |
| Interest receivable |  | 30,036 |  | $(31,619)$ |  | $(8,006)$ |
| Inventory |  | (8,772,580) |  | $(912,074)$ |  | $(636,684)$ |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets |  | $(212,712)$ |  | $(131,906)$ |  | 60,071 |
| Accounts payable |  | 1,539,315 |  | 701,143 |  | 911,334 |
| Accrued co-op advertising |  | $(88,966)$ |  | 1,156,007 |  | $(155,000)$ |
| Accrued payroll |  | $(727,630)$ |  | 492,212 |  | 392,858 |
| Income taxes payable |  | 404,630 |  | 8,757 |  |  |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities |  | 27,495 |  | $(165,590)$ |  | 352,975 |
| Deferred gross profit |  |  |  |  |  | $(448,270)$ |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities |  | $(1,786,654)$ |  | 7,756,434 |  | 505,234 |
| Investing Activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purchases of furniture and equipment |  | $(309,139)$ |  | $(207,290)$ |  | $(238,407)$ |
| Proceeds from sale of furniture and equipment |  |  |  | 60,000 |  |  |
| Advance to John M. Bachman, Inc. |  |  |  | $(135,000)$ |  |  |
| Advance to Norstel AB |  |  |  | $(400,000)$ |  |  |
| Other |  | $(24,063)$ |  | $(13,196)$ |  | $(117,913)$ |
| Net cash used in investing activities |  | $(333,202)$ |  | $(695,486)$ |  | $(356,320)$ |
| Financing Activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options |  | 245,387 |  | 1,768,065 |  | 1,400,744 |
| Payment of cash dividends |  | (1,480,360) |  | $(699,309)$ |  |  |
| Excess tax benefits from share-based payment arrangements |  | 173,783 |  |  |  |  |
| Purchase of common stock |  | $(4,059,719)$ |  |  |  | $(234,934)$ |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities |  | $(5,120,909)$ |  | 1,068,756 |  | 1,165,810 |
| Net change in cash and equivalents |  | (7,240,765) |  | 8,129,704 |  | 1,314,724 |
| Cash and equivalents at beginning of year |  | 21,003,551 |  | 12,873,847 |  | 11,559,123 |
| Cash and equivalents at end of year |  | 13,762,786 |  | 21,003,551 |  | 12,873,847 |
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| Supplemental Schedule of Cash Flow Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash paid for income taxes | \$ | 876,652 | \$ | 86,000 | \$ | 67,500 |
| Supplemental Non-Cash Investing Activity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reduction of notes receivable <br> See notes to consolidated financial statements. | \$ | 113,982 | \$ |  | \$ |  |
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## CHARLES \& COLVARD, LTD. AND SUBSIDIARY

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006, 2005 AND 2004

## 1. Organization and Basis of Presentation

Charles \& Colvard, Ltd. ( the Company ), was incorporated in North Carolina on June 28, 1995 and manufactures, markets and distributes Charles \& Colvard created Moissanite jewels (hereinafter referred to as moissanite or moissanite jewels) for sale in the worldwide jewelry market. Moissanite, also known by its chemical name, silicon carbide ( SiC ), is a rare, naturally occurring mineral found primarily in meteors. Moissanite is being positioned as a unique jewel, distinct from all other jewels based on its fire, brilliance, luster, durability and rarity. From its inception in June 1995 through June 1998, the Company was a development stage enterprise that devoted its resources to fund research and development of colorless, scientifically made moissanite jewels. The Company began shipping moissanite during 1998 and sells worldwide to jewel distributors and jewelry manufacturers.

All of the Company s activities are within a single business segment. The following tables present certain data by geographic area:

|  | Year Ended December 31, |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Net Sales | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ |  |
| United States | $\$ 36,021,806$ | $\$ 40,355,545$ | $\$ 20,885,841$ |  |
| International | $4,690,279$ | $3,188,545$ | $3,031,204$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\$ 40,712,085$ | $\$ 43,544,090$ | $\$ 23,917,045$ |  |


|  | December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Furniture and equipment, net |  | 2006 |  | 2005 |
| United States | \$ | 596,873 | \$ | 436,060 |
| International (All in Asia) |  | 54,261 |  | 60,276 |
| Total | \$ | 651,134 | \$ | 496,336 |

## 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

## Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly owned subsidiary in Hong Kong, Charles \& Colvard (HK), Ltd. These consolidated financial statements also include the accounts of a Charles \& Colvard controlled company in China, Guangzhou Charles \& Colvard Trading Limited, a Chinese Corporation. The Company is the beneficial owner of the entire interest in the controlled company, and has consolidated the accounts of the controlled company in its consolidated financial statements. All inter-company accounts have been eliminated.

## Cash and Equivalents

The Company considers all money market accounts and investments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

## Inventory

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market determined on a first in, first out basis. Inventory costs include direct material and labor, inbound freight, purchasing and receiving costs, inspection costs and warehousing costs. A significant amount of inventory must be maintained at all times to be prepared to react to possible customer demand for large purchases and for a variety of jewel styles. In addition, the Company

## Edgar Filing: CHARLES \& COLVARD LTD - Form 10-K

has entered into certain agreements to consign inventory for new or expanding retail opportunities. These consignments require a significant amount of inventory to be maintained. Any inventory on hand in excess of the Company s current requirements based on historical and anticipated level of sales is classified as long-term on the Company s consolidated balance sheets.
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The Company currently sells one grade of jewel. The grade is classified as very good and consists of near colorless jewels that meet certain standards. Only very good jewels are valued in inventory. There is a substantial amount of jewels, including colored jewels that have not met the Company s quality standards and are not valued in inventory. As market conditions change, including the influences of customer demand, there may be a market for a portion of this unvalued inventory that management may pursue in the future.

Obsolescence is not a factor in the Company s inventory valuation. The Company s jewels do not degrade over time and inventory generally consists of the cuts and sizes most commonly used in the jewelry industry. All inventories are carefully reviewed for quality standards before they are entered into finished goods. As the quality of the Company s raw material has improved, so have the standards used to evaluate finished goods. To ensure the Company s inventory meets our current standards, the Company reviews the inventory on an ongoing basis. The Company has provided a reserve to allow for certain jewels of a slightly lesser quality in its finished goods inventory to be re-cut to increase their quality and/or to satisfy certain cuts/sizes demanded by its customers. The need for adjustments to this reserve is evaluated on a period-by-period basis. More details on this reserve are included in Footnote 3.

The Company has a limited amount of jewelry in inventory and does not actively market its jewelry inventory. Jewelry inventory value is calculated as the amount the Company would obtain by melting the gold in the jewelry and putting the jewels back into its loose stone inventory. More details on this reserve are included in Footnote 3.

## Furniture and Equipment

Furniture and equipment is recorded at cost and depreciated on the straight-line method based on estimated useful lives of two to 12 years. Leasehold improvements are amortized on the straight-line method over the life of the related lease. The range of useful lives for each category of furniture and equipment is as follows:

| Machinery and equipment | $5-12$ years |
| :--- | :--- |
| Computer equipment | $2-5$ years |
| Furniture and fixtures | $3-10$ years |
| Leasehold improvements | over the life of our existing operating leases |
| Patents and License Rights |  |

The Company capitalizes costs associated with obtaining patents issued or pending for inventions and license rights related to the manufacture of moissanite jewels. Such costs are amortized over the life of the patent (generally 17 years). The Company also capitalizes licenses it obtains for the use of certain advertising images. Such costs are amortized over the period of the license.

## Accounting for Long-Lived Assets

The Company evaluates the recoverability of its long-lived assets for financial impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of such assets may not be fully recoverable. Based on these evaluations, there were no significant adjustments to the carrying value of long-lived assets in 2006, 2005 or 2004.

## Concentrations of Credit Risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk are primarily cash equivalents and trade receivables. The Company maintains cash and cash equivalents with high quality financial institutions and invests in low risk securities, primarily money market funds.

Trade receivables potentially subject the Company to credit risk. The Company s standard payment terms for jewel distributors are generally between 30 and 60 days and for jewelry manufacturers are generally between 60 to 90 days. In limited circumstances, the Company may extend terms of 120 days.
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The Company extends credit to its customers based upon an evaluation of the customer s financial condition and credit history and generally does not require collateral.

One customer accounted for $55 \%$ and $71 \%$ of the Company s receivables at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and for $37 \%, 39 \%$, and $24 \%$ of the Company s sales during the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004. A second customer accounted for $21 \%$ and $2 \%$ of the Company s receivables at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and for $13 \%, 1 \%$, and $1 \%$ of the Company s sales during the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004. A third customer accounted for $11 \%$ and $20 \%$ of the Company s receivables at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and for $21 \%, 39 \%$, and $34 \%$ of the Company s sales during the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004.

## Foreign Currency Translation

The assets and liabilities of the Company s non-U.S. operations, whose local currency is the functional currency, are translated into U.S. dollars at exchange rates in effect on the balance sheet dates. Revenues and expenses are translated using the average exchange rates in effect during the year. Foreign currency translation adjustments are reflected as a separate component of shareholders equity in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets in accumulated other comprehensive loss.

## Revenue Recognition

Revenue is generally recognized when products are shipped. At the time revenue is recognized an allowance for estimated returns is established. Any increase or decrease in the allowance for returns is charged against net sales. The Company s standard payment terms for jewel distributors are generally between 30 and 60 days and for jewelry manufacturers are generally between 60 to 90 days. In limited circumstances, the Company may extend terms of 120 days. Some customers are required to prepay prior to shipment. For all jewels shipped title passes upon shipment of the jewels from the Company s facility (i.e., FOB-shipping point). The Company s return policy is that jewels can only be returned for credit within 30 days of shipment and must be returned for a valid reason (quality problems or a shipment of the wrong jewels). Some customers have a contractual right to return a certain percentage of sales for any reason. In these instances, we only recognize revenue when the contractual right to return is exhausted. Periodically, the Company sells product to customers on memo terms. For shipments on memo terms, the customer assumes the risk of loss and has an absolute right of return for a specified period. The Company s customers are generally required to make payments on memo shipments within 30 days upon the customer informing the Company that they will keep the product. Accordingly, the Company does not recognize revenue on these memo transactions until the earlier of (1) the customer informing the Company that they will keep the product or (2) the expiration of the right of return period. The $\$ 2,024,000$ of inventory on consignment at December 31, 2006 represents potential revenue of approximately $\$ 7,842,000$ and potential gross profit of approximately $\$ 5,818,000$ based on the average cost per carat of inventory at December 31, 2006. At December 31, 2006 the remaining lengths of the right of return periods for the related memo shipments range from one to twelve months. From time to time, we do grant extensions to our customers of the right of return period depending on the facts and circumstances of the request.

## Advertising Costs

Advertising production costs are expensed as incurred. Media placement costs are expensed the first time the advertising appears. Advertising expenses for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004 amounted to approximately $\$ 11,000,000, \$ 9,800,000$, and $\$ 5,700,000$, respectively.

The Company also offers a co-op advertising program to its customers that reimburses a portion of their marketing costs based on the customers net purchases from the Company and is subject to the customer providing documentation of all advertising performed that includes the Company s product. For the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, these amounts were $\$ 3,670,000, \$ 3,667,000$, and $\$ 1,635,000$, respectively, and are included as a component of marketing and sales expenses. These co-op advertising expenses are included in the advertising expense amounts disclosed in the paragraph above.
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## Marketing and Sales

Marketing and sales expenses are expensed as incurred. These costs include all expenses of promoting and selling the Company s product and include such items as marketing and sales personnel, advertising, travel, rent, trade shows, market research, and sales commissions.

## General and Administrative

General and administrative expenses are expensed as incurred. These costs include administrative personnel, legal, investor relations, professional fees, Board of Directors fees, insurance, bad debts and rent.

## Research and Development

All research and development costs are expensed as incurred.

## Share-Based Compensation

Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (FAS) No. 123R, Share-Based Payment, which requires all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, to be recognized in the income statement based on their fair values. The Company adopted FAS No. 123R using the modified-prospective method, which requires compensation cost to be recorded for all unvested stock awards as of December 31, 2005 by recognizing the unamortized grant date fair value of these awards over the remaining service periods of those awards with no restatement of prior periods. The Company uses the Black-Scholes-Merton valuation model to determine the fair value of stock options. The fair value of other stock compensation awards is determined by the market price of the Company s common stock on the date of grant. The expense associated with share-based compensation is recognized on a straight line basis over the service period of each award.

Prior to 2006, the Company measured compensation costs related to stock options and other share-based compensation awards to employees using the intrinsic value of the equity instrument granted (i.e., the excess of the market price of the stock to be issued over the exercise price of the equity instrument at the date of grant) rather than the fair value of the equity instrument. Accordingly, because the stock option grant price for employees equaled the market price on the date of grant, no compensation expense was recognized by the Company for stock options awarded to employees. In December 2005, the Company accelerated the vesting of certain outstanding stock options held by current employees and non-employee directors. As a result of this vesting acceleration, the Company recorded $\$ 54,019$ of expense in the year ended December 31, 2005. The only share-based compensation recorded by the Company prior to 2006, other than the expense for the vesting acceleration, was due to stock options granted to outside consultants. The Company has accounted for stock options granted to consultants using the fair value method for all periods presented.

The following table summarizes the components of the Company s share-based compensation included in reported net income for the three years ended December 31, 2006 as follows:

|  | Year Ended December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  | 2005 | 2004 |
| Employee Stock Options | \$ 200,059 | \$ | 54,019 | \$ |
| Consultant Stock Options | $(61,072)$ |  | 1,010,598 | 70,672 |
| Restricted Stock Awards | 177,905 |  |  |  |
| Income Tax Benefit | $(84,683)$ |  | $(386,371)$ | $(27,247)$ |
| Total | \$ 232,209 |  | 678,246 | \$ 43,425 |

In addition, $\$ 7,585$ of share-based compensation was capitalized as a cost of inventory during the year ended December 31, 2006. A $\$ 61,072$ reduction in previously recognized compensation cost on consultant stock options was recognized during the year ended December 31, 2006 due to a reduction in the fair value of stock awards issued to consultants.
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As of December 31, 2006, the unrecognized share-based compensation expense related to non-vested stock options is approximately $\$ 515,000$, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of approximately 25 months.

In May 2006, the Company granted its non-employee board members an aggregate of 27,377 shares of restricted stock. The board members cannot sell these shares until their one-year term on the board expires in May 2007. The fair value of the restricted stock granted was $\$ 305,000$ based on the closing market price of the Company s common stock on May 19, 2006. These are the only restricted stock awards currently outstanding for the Company. As of December 31, 2006 unrecognized compensation expense related to non-vested restricted stock was $\$ 127,095$ which will be amortized on a straight line basis through May 2007.

Had compensation expense prior to January 1, 2006 for all share-based compensation been determined consistent with the provisions of FAS 123, rather than APB 25, the Company s net income and income per share for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 would have been recorded to the pro forma amounts indicated below. Disclosures for the year ended December 31, 2006 are not presented because the amounts for share-based compensation have been recognized in the consolidated financial statements for that period.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year Ended } \\ & 2005 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ecember 31, } \\ 2004 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net income: |  |  |
| As reported | \$ 5,874,667 | \$ 1,612,292 |
| Deduct total share-based compensation expense under fair value method for all awards, net of income tax | 1,707,331 | 334,744 |
| Pro forma net income | \$ 4,167,336 | \$ 1,277,548 |
| Basic net income per share: |  |  |
| As reported | \$ 0.33 | \$ 0.09 |
| Pro forma | 0.23 | 0.07 |
| Diluted net income per share: |  |  |
| As reported | \$ 0.31 | \$ 0.09 |
| Pro forma | 0.22 | 0.07 |

The fair value of each option grant is estimated on the grant date using a Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing model. The valuations of options granted during the three years ended December 31, 2006 were based on the following assumptions:

|  | Year Ended December 31, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Weighted-average grant date fair value | \$ 6.39 | \$ 6.83 | \$ 2.61 |
| Weighted-average expected lives (in years) | 4.00 | 5.94 | 7.00 |
| Weighted-average risk-free interest rate | 4.60\% | 4.22\% | 3.612\% |
| Dividend yield | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Volatility factor | 646 | 884 | 912 |

The expected life of an option represents the estimated period of time until exercise occurs and the Company generally uses the midpoint of the vesting period and the life of the grant to estimate the expected life of an option. This methodology is not materially different from the Company s historical data on exercise timing. The risk-free interest rate is based on the implied yields on U.S. Treasury zero-coupon issues over the expected life of the option. Although the Company has recently issued dividends, a dividend yield was not used due to the uncertainty of future dividend payments. Expected volatility is based on the historical volatility of the Company s stock.
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## Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

## Income Taxes

Deferred income taxes are recognized for the income tax consequences of temporary differences by applying enacted statutory income tax rates applicable to future years to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts and the income tax bases of existing assets and liabilities. Valuation allowances are established when necessary to reduce deferred income tax assets to the amount that is likely to be realized.

## Stock Dividends and Stock Splits

On May 23, 2005, the Company declared a 5\% stock dividend distributed on July 15, 2005. On December 21, 2005, the Company declared a one share for every four shares owned stock split, effected in the form of a $25 \%$ stock dividend, distributed on January 30, 2006. All share and per share amounts for all periods presented in these financial statements and footnotes reflect these transactions.

## Net Income Per Share

Basic net income per share computations are based on the weighted-average common shares outstanding. Diluted net income per share computations include the dilutive effect, if any, of stock options using the treasury stock method. The following table reconciles the differences between the basic and diluted earnings per share presentations:

|  | Years Ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  | 2005 |  | 2004 |  |
| Numerator: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Income | \$ | 6,105,335 | \$ | 5,874,667 | \$ | 1,612,292 |
| Denominator: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weighted Average Shares Outstanding |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic |  | 18,160,218 |  | 18,008,855 |  | 17,467,173 |
| Stock Options |  | 502,552 |  | 954,256 |  | 540,553 |
| Diluted |  | 18,662,770 |  | 18,963,111 |  | 18,007,726 |
| Net Income Per Share: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$ | 0.34 | \$ | 0.33 | \$ | 0.09 |
| Diluted | \$ | 0.33 | \$ | 0.31 | \$ | 0.09 |

For the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, stock options to purchase approximately $310,000,12,000$, and 755,000 shares, respectively, were excluded from the computation of diluted net income per share because the options exercise price was greater than the average market price of the common shares. During 2006, approximately 957,000 common stock options with an exercise price less than the average market price were included in the computation of diluted earnings per share. The weighted-average dilutive impact of these options, net of 455,000 treasury shares assumed to be repurchased, was 502,552 shares. During 2005, approximately $1,442,000$ common stock options with an exercise price less than the average market price were included in the computation of diluted earnings per share. The weighted-average dilutive impact of these options, net of 487,000 treasury shares assumed to be repurchased, was 954,256 shares. During 2004, approximately $1,509,000$ common stock options with an exercise price less than the average market price were included in the computation of diluted earnings per share. The weighted-average dilutive impact of these options, net of 919,000 treasury shares assumed to be repurchased, was 540,553 shares.
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## Newly Adopted Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2004, FAS No. 123R, Share-Based Payment, was issued as a revision to FAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation. This statement superceded APB Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and amends FAS No. 95, Statement of Cash Flows. Generally, the approach in FAS No. 123R is similar to the approach described in FAS No. 123; however, FAS No. 123R requires that all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, be recognized in the income statement based on their fair values. Pro forma disclosure is no longer an alternative. FAS No. 123R also requires the benefits of income tax deductions in excess of recognized compensation cost to be reported as a financing cash flow, rather than as an operating cash flow as required under FAS No. 95.

FAS No. 123R was adopted by the Company on January 1, 2006. Prior to that date, the Company accounted for share-based payments to employees using the intrinsic value method in accordance with APB Opinion No. 25 and, since the Company granted employee stock options with an exercise price that was equivalent to market value at the date of grant, the Company generally recognized no compensation cost for employee stock options. Had the Company adopted FAS No. 123R in prior periods, the impact of that standard would have approximated the impact of FAS No. 123 as described in the disclosure of pro forma net income and earnings per share in Note 2 of these consolidated financial statements.

As a result of the adoption of FAS No. 123R, the Company recorded compensation expense due to share-based employee compensation awards during the year ended December 31, 2006 of $\$ 377,964$ in operating expenses, which is before an income tax benefit of $\$ 106,799$, resulting in a decrease to net income of $\$ 271,165$. In addition, there was $\$ 7,585$ of share-based employee compensation capitalized as inventory costs during the year ended December 31, 2006. There was no change in the way the Company accounted for stock options granted to consultants.

The Company receives an income tax deduction for certain stock option exercises when the options are exercised, generally for the excess of the stock price on the date of exercise over the option price. Prior to the adoption of FAS No. 123R, the Company presented all tax benefits resulting from the exercise of stock options as an operating cash inflow in its Statement of Cash Flows. FAS No. 123R requires the benefits of tax deductions in excess of the grant date fair value for those options to be classified as financing cash inflows rather than operating cash inflows, on a prospective basis. The income tax benefits from the exercise of stock options during the year ended December 31, 2006 have been reflected as Excess tax benefits from share-based payment arrangements on the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows. For the year ended December 31, 2006, such amount was $\$ 173,783$ resulting in a decrease in cash from operations and increase in cash provided by financing activities of this amount.

In November 2004, FAS No. 151, Inventory Costs, was issued. This statement amends the guidance in Accounting Research Bulletin No. 43, Chapter 4, Inventory Pricing, to clarify the accounting for abnormal amounts of idle facility expense, freight, handling costs, and wasted material. FAS 151 requires that those items be recognized as current period charges. In addition, FAS 151 requires the allocation of fixed production overheads to inventory based on the normal capacity of the production facilities. The provisions of this statement were effective for inventory costs incurred during fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2005. The adoption of FAS 151 had no effect on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

## Newly Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In July 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FAS Interpretation No. 48 (FIN 48), Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, which prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement process for recording in the financial statements uncertain tax positions taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. Additionally, FIN 48 provides guidance on the recognition, classification, accounting in interim periods and disclosure requirements for uncertain tax positions. The accounting provisions of FIN 48 became effective for the Company beginning on January 1, 2007. The Company is in the process of determining the effect that the adoption of FIN 48 will have on its financial statements.
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## 3. Inventories

Inventories consisted of the following:

|  | December 31, |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |  |
| Raw materials | $\$ 2,590,782$ | $\$ 1,526,099$ |
| Work-in-process | $13,102,777$ | $9,026,266$ |
| Finished goods | $16,791,796$ | $12,615,663$ |
|  |  |  |

Finished goods are shown net of a reserve for excess jewelry inventory of $\$ 40,000$ and $\$ 95,000$ at December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. The Company does not actively market its jewelry inventory. Jewelry inventory value is determined as the amount we would obtain by melting the gold in the jewelry and putting the jewels back into loose stone inventory. The reserve was decreased during the year ended December 31, 2006 as a portion of the jewelry inventory was sold. In addition, finished goods are shown net of a lower of cost or market reserve of $\$ 400,000$ at December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005. This reserve was established to allow for the carat weight loss associated with the re-cutting of a portion of the finished goods inventory. There are certain jewels in inventory that may be re-cut to achieve higher quality standards.

The Company s raw material inventories of silicon carbide crystals are purchased under exclusive supply agreements with a limited number of suppliers. Because the supply agreements restrict the sale of these crystals to only the Company, the suppliers negotiate minimum purchase commitments with the Company that may result in periodic levels of raw and in-process inventories that are higher than the Company might otherwise maintain. These agreements coupled with lower than expected 2006 sales resulted in $\$ 11.8$ million of our inventories being classified as long-term assets at December 31, 2006.

## 4. Inventory on Consignment

Periodically, the Company sells product to customers on memo terms. For shipments on memo terms, the customer assumes the risk of loss and has an absolute right of return for a specified period. The Company does not recognize revenue on these transactions until the earlier of (1) the customer informing the Company that they will keep the product or (2) the expiration of the memo period. The $\$ 2,024,000$ of inventory on consignment at December 31, 2006 represents potential revenue of approximately $\$ 7,842,000$ and potential gross profit of approximately $\$ 5,818,000$ based on the average cost per carat of inventory at December 31, 2006.

## 5. Furniture and Equipment and Patent and License Rights

Furniture and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation, is summarized as follows:

|  | December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  |  | 2005 |
| Machinery and equipment | \$ | 394,473 | \$ | 355,122 |
| Computer equipment |  | 708,127 |  | 597,854 |
| Furniture and fixtures |  | 324,894 |  | 325,204 |
| Leasehold improvements |  | 97,090 |  | 97,090 |
| Construction in progress |  | 167,694 |  | 21,694 |
| Total |  | 1,692,278 |  | 1,396,964 |
| Accumulated depreciation |  | $(1,041,144)$ |  | $(900,628)$ |
| Total furniture and equipment, net |  | 651,134 |  | 496,336 |
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Depreciation expense for 2006,2005 , and 2004 was $\$ 154,341, \$ 163,316$, and $\$ 152,826$, respectively.
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Patent and license rights, net of accumulated amortization, is summarized as follows:

|  | December 31, |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |
| Patent and license rights | $\$ 478,043$ | $\$ 531,144$ |
| Accumulated amortization | $(189,872)$ | $(232,620)$ |
|  |  |  |
| Patent and license rights, net | 288,171 | $\$ 298,524$ |

Amortization expense for 2006, 2005, and 2004 was $\$ 34,416, \$ 84,125$, and $\$ 44,368$, respectively. Amortization expense on existing patent and license rights is estimated to be $\$ 32,000$ for 2007, and $\$ 31,000$ per year for 2008 through 2011.

## 6. Common Stock

In December 2003, the Board of Directors authorized a follow-on repurchase program for up to $1,181,250$ shares of the Company s common stock. This program expired in December 2004. During the year ended December 31, 2004, there were 60,870 shares repurchased at an average price of $\$ 3.86$.

In March 2006, the Board of Directors authorized a repurchase program for up to $1,000,000$ shares of the Company s common stock. Repurchases were made in the open market at prevailing prices or in privately negotiated transactions at prices at or below prevailing open market prices. This program expires in March 2007. During the year ended December 31, 2006, there were 415,000 shares repurchased under the program at an average price of $\$ 9.78$.

## 7. Preferred Stock

The Company has authorized 10 million shares of preferred stock, no par value. The preferred stock may be issued from time to time in one or more series. No shares of preferred stock have been issued as of December 31, 2006.

On February 21, 1999 the Company adopted a Shareholder Rights Plan under which all shareholders of record as of March 8, 1999 received rights to purchase shares of a new series of Preferred Stock. The adoption of this plan is intended as a means to guard against abusive takeover tactics. The rights will be exercisable only if a person or group acquires or announces a tender offer to acquire $20 \%$ or more of the Company s common stock. Under the plan all shareholders except the purchaser will be entitled to acquire the Company s common stock at a $50 \%$ discount. The rights will trade with the Company s common stock, unless and until they are separated upon the occurrence of certain future events.

## 8. Dividends

On May 23, 2005, the Company declared a $\$ 0.038$ per share cash dividend and a $5 \%$ stock dividend both distributed on July 15, 2005. Pursuant to these dividends, total cash of $\$ 699,309$ and 859,457 shares of our common stock were distributed to shareholders. The stock dividend provided shareholders as of the record date one share for every 20 shares owned and cash in lieu of fractional shares.

On December 21, 2005, the Company declared a one share for every four shares owned stock split, effected in the form of a $25 \%$ stock dividend, distributed on January 30, 2006. Pursuant to this dividend, total cash of $\$ 24,280$ and $3,658,999$ shares of our common stock were distributed to shareholders. The stock dividend provided shareholders one share for every four shares owned and cash in lieu of fractional shares.

On April 18, 2006, the Company declared a $\$ 0.08$ per share cash dividend distributed on June 15, 2006. Pursuant to this dividend, total cash of $\$ 1,456,080$ was distributed to shareholders.

All share and per share amounts for all periods presented in these financial statements and footnotes reflect the effect of both the $5 \%$ stock dividend and the stock split transactions.
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## 9. Compensation

## Stock Option Plans

In 1996, the Company adopted the 1996 Stock Option Plan of Charles \& Colvard, Ltd., (the 1996 Option Plan ) under which options to acquire $1,020,402$ common shares, reduced by the number of options granted outside the 1996 Option Plan, may be granted to key employees, directors and independent consultants. Under the 1996 Option Plan, both incentive and non-qualified options may be granted under terms and conditions established by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. The exercise price for incentive options will be the fair market value of the related common stock on the date the option is granted. Options granted under the 1996 Option Plan generally vest equally over a three-year period and have terms of 10 years. The Company currently has no plans to award additional options under the 1996 Option Plan.

In 1997, the Company adopted the 1997 Omnibus Stock Plan of Charles \& Colvard, Ltd., (the 1997 Omnibus Plan ). The 1997 Omnibus Plan authorizes the Company to grant stock options, stock appreciation rights and restricted awards (collectively, awards ) to selected employees, independent contractors and directors of the Company and related corporations in order to promote a closer identification of their interests with those of the Company and its shareholders. The maximum number of shares of common stock for which awards may be granted under the 1997 Omnibus Plan may be increased from time to time to a number of shares equal to (i) $20 \%$ of the shares of common stock outstanding as of that time less (ii) the number of shares of common stock subject to outstanding options under the 1996 Option Plan. The number of shares reserved for issuance under the 1997 Omnibus Plan may also be adjusted upon certain events affecting the Company s capitalization. All options granted under the 1997 Omnibus Plan have an exercise price equal to the market price of our common stock on the date the option is granted. Options granted to employees under the 1997 Omnibus Plan generally vest over three years and have terms of up to 10 years, with the exception of options granted in 2005 under the Executive Compensation Plan (which is governed by and subject to the 1997 Omnibus Plan) that vested immediately and options granted in 2006 under the Executive Compensation Plan that vest at the end of 3 years. Options granted to the Board of Directors under the 1997 Omnibus Plan generally vest over one year and have terms of up to 10 years. The terms of options granted to outside consultants vary depending on the specific grant, but the terms will be no longer than 10 years. Restricted stock awards granted to members of the Board of Directors vest at the end of one year. The Board of Directors has reserved 2,271,821 shares for issuance under the 1997 Omnibus Plan.

The 1997 Omnibus Plan expires in 2007. The Company will present a new stock option plan for shareholder approval at the May 2007 Annual Shareholders Meeting.

Effective December 21, 2005, the Company accelerated the vesting of certain outstanding stock options held by current employees and non-employee directors in order to reduce the impact of new accounting regulations that became effective in 2006. As a result of this vesting acceleration, options to purchase approximately 134,000 shares of the Company s common stock that would otherwise have vested at various times within the next three years became fully vested. The decision to accelerate these unvested options, which management believes is in the best interest of the Company and its shareholders, was made primarily to reduce compensation expense that would be recorded in future periods subsequent to December 31, 2005. The Company recorded approximately $\$ 54,000$ of expense in the year ended December 31, 2005 as the result of the accelerated vesting. The acceleration of the vesting of these stock options reduced future compensation expense by approximately $\$ 522,000$, of which $\$ 369,000$ would have occurred in 2006.
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The following is a summary of activity for the Company s two stock option plans:

|  | 1996 Option Plan |  | 1997 Omibus Plan <br> Weighted- |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average |  |  |  |

The following summarizes information about stock options at December 31, 2006:


The aggregate intrinsic value of options outstanding, exercisable, vested or expected to vest at December 31, 2006 was $\$ 3,174,957, \$ 3,173,493$ and $\$ 3,174,957$, respectively. This amount is before applicable income taxes and represents the closing stock price of the Company s common stock at December 31, 2006 less the grant price, multiplied by the number of options that have a grant price that is less than the closing stock price. This amount represents the amount that would have been received by the optionees had these options been exercised on that date. During the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, the aggregate intrinsic value of options exercised was $\$ 493,047, \$ 7,697,083$, and $\$ 981,450$, respectively.

The following is a summary of activity for restricted stock granted by the Company:
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|  | Number |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | of | Grant Date |  |
|  |  | Shares | Fair Value |
| Non-vested at January 1, 2006 | 27,377 | $\$$ | 11.14 |
| Granted |  | $\$$ |  |
| Vested |  | $\$$ |  |
| Cancelled | 27,377 | $\$$ | 11.14 |

## Other

In February 2004, the Company amended the 2001 Executive Compensation Plan so that it would be effective during 2004. This plan offered key employees of the Company incentive awards in the form of cash payments and/or stock option grants based upon the Company sattainment of certain performance
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goals. For 2004, $\$ 210,000$ in cash payments were recorded as operating expenses and 115,000 stock options were granted under the plan. No compensation expense was recorded in the accompanying financial statements for the stock options granted under the plan.

In April 2005, the Company adopted two incentive plans for 2005, the Management Incentive Plan (2005) (the 2005 Management Plan ) and the Quarterly \& Annual Incentive Plan for Non-Officer Employees (2005) (the 2005 Non-Officer Employees Plan ). The principal purpose of each of the plans was to provide incentives to meet or exceed certain Company goals for 2005. Both plans provide for cash and equity awards. For 2005, $\$ 775,000$ in cash payments under the 2005 Management Plan and $\$ 107,000$ in cash payments under the 2005 Non-Officer Employees Plan were recorded as operating expenses. During 2005, 9,900 options were issued under the 2005 Non-Officer Employees Plan, as the Company met certain quarterly goals. In February 2006, there were 105,000 stock options granted under the 2005 Management Plan and 2,600 stock options granted under the 2005 Non-Officer Employees Plan. The options granted under the 2005 Management Plan vest at the end of 3 years and the options granted under the 2005 Non-Officer Employees Plan vest ratably over 3 years.

In February 2006, the Company approved two incentive plans for 2006, the Management Incentive Plan (2006) (the 2006 Management Plan ) and the Quarterly \& Annual Incentive Plan for Non-Officer Employees (2006) (the 2006 Non-Officer Employees Plan ). The principal purpose of each of the plans is to provide incentives to meet or exceed certain Company goals for 2006. Both plans provide for cash and equity awards. All equity awards, if any, will be made pursuant to the Company s 1997 Omnibus Stock Plan (as amended). The specific criteria used to determine whether any eligible participant will receive an award include the Company s net sales and net income and, for participants under the 2006 Management Plan and for certain director level employees under the 2006 Non-Officer Employee Plan, other key objectives as set by the Company. During 2006, $\$ 83,205$ in cash payments were recorded as operating expense and 200 stock options were granted under the plans.

In February 2007, the Company approved two incentive plans for 2007, the Management Incentive Plan (2007) (the 2007 Management Plan ) and the Quarterly \& Annual Incentive Plan for Non-Officer Employees (2007) (the 2007 Non-Officer Employees Plan ). The principal purpose and operative provisions are substantially similar to the terms of the 2006 Management Plan and the 2006 Non-Officer Employees Plan discussed in the paragraph above.

## 10. Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes under the liability method. Under the liability method, deferred income taxes are recognized for the income tax consequences of temporary differences by applying enacted statutory income tax rates applicable to future years to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts and the income tax bases of existing assets and liabilities.

The components of income tax expense are the following.

|  | Year Ended December 31, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Current |  |  |  |
| Federal | \$ 1,568,023 | \$ 2,638,747 | \$ 378,747 |
| State | 10,223 | 152,271 | 25,190 |
|  | 1,578,246 | 2,791,018 | 403,937 |
| Deferred |  |  |  |
| Federal | 2,120,961 | 749,340 | 876,411 |
| State | 365,999 | 218,557 | 283,908 |
|  | 2,486,960 | 967,897 | 1,160,319 |
|  | \$ 4,065,206 | \$ 3,758,915 | \$ 1,564,256 |
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Significant components of the Company s deferred income tax assets and liabilities are as follows:

|  | December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  | 2005 |  |
| Current |  |  |  |  |
| Reserves and accruals | \$ | 355,883 |  | 250,869 |
| Deferred inter-company profit |  | 227,439 |  | 349,796 |
| Total Short-Term |  | 583,322 |  | 600,665 |
| Long-Term |  |  |  |  |
| Federal and state net operating loss ( NOL ) carryforwards |  | 198,000 |  | 2,002,000 |
| Hong Kong and China NOL carryforwards |  | 864,000 |  | 694,000 |
| Benefit of research tax credits |  |  |  | 665,000 |
| Alternative minimum tax credit |  |  |  | 227,000 |
| Share-based compensation |  | 540,000 |  | 456,000 |
| Depreciation |  | $(51,379)$ |  | $(70,581)$ |
| Valuation allowance |  | $(864,000)$ |  | $(817,181)$ |
| Total long term |  | 686,621 |  | 3,156,238 |
| Total deferred income tax assets, net |  | 1,269,943 |  | 3,756,903 |

A reconciliation between expected income taxes, computed at the statutory federal income tax rate ( $34 \%$ ) applied to pretax accounting income, and the income taxes included in the statements of operations for each of the three years ended December 31, 2006 follows:

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Anticipated income tax expense at the statutory federal rate | $\$ 3,458,000$ | $\$ 3,275,000$ | $\$ 1,080,000$ |
| State income tax expense, net of federal tax effect | 248,000 | 244,000 | 193,000 |
| Effect of foreign operations | 131,000 | 140,000 | 131,000 |
| Research tax credits |  |  | $(249,000)$ |
| Apportionment of state income tax | 2,000 | $(97,000)$ |  |
| Increase in contingent liability | 123,181 |  |  |
| Other | 56,206 | 15,915 | 31,075 |
| Increase in valuation allowance | 46,819 | 181,000 | 378,181 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Income tax expense | $\$ 4,065,206$ | $\$ 3,758,915$ | $\$ 1,564,256$ |

During 2005, the Company completed a detailed review of its activities in certain states. As a result, the Company began to apportion income among certain state tax jurisdictions. During the year ended December 31, 2005, the Company recognized an income tax benefit of $\$ 97,000$ due to the change in apportionment.

After a detailed review in 2004 of the Company s research activities, the amount of the research credits expected to be realized was increased in 2004. The increase in the valuation allowance during 2006, 2005, and 2004 is primarily due to the establishment of reserves for the income tax benefit of losses in Hong Kong and China. Valuation allowances have been established for the income tax benefits of the losses incurred in Hong Kong and China as it is uncertain if sufficient future taxable income will be generated in these countries to offset the existing losses. A portion $(\$ 123,181)$ of the increase in the valuation allowance during 2004 was due to certain of the research credits claimed in the Company s income tax returns that are not expected to be realized. During 2006, this portion of the research credits was reclassed to a contingent liability as the research and development credits have now been fully used on the Company s income tax returns.

At December 31, 2006, the Company has a North Carolina Tax NOL carryforward of approximately $\$ 4.4$ million, expiring through 2015, which can be offset against future state taxable income. The Company expects to fully utilize this NOL before any of it expires.
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As of December 31, 2006, there was approximately $\$ 4.1$ million in NOL carryforwards in Hong Kong. In accordance with the Hong Kong tax code these amounts can be carried forward indefinitely to offset future taxable income in Hong Kong. As of December 31, 2006, there was approximately $\$ 451,000$ in NOL carryforwards in China. In accordance with the China tax code these amounts can be carried forward 5 years to offset future taxable income in China. The NOL carryforwards begin expiring in 2008. Due to the uncertainty of generating sufficient future taxable income in Hong Kong and China to utilize these NOLs, the Company established a valuation allowance against this deferred income tax asset.

## 11. Commitments and Contingencies

## Operating Leases

In March 2004, the Company entered into a seven year lease, beginning in August 2004, for approximately 16,500 square feet of mixed use space from an unaffiliated third party at a base cost of $\$ 11,727$ per month, plus additional rentals based on the Company s proportionate share of the lessor s operating costs. Terms of the lease provide for escalations of the base monthly rent throughout the lease term, up to $\$ 13,546$ at August 1, 2010. The lease also set forth twelve different months (August 2004-September 2004 and August 2005-May 2006) throughout the term where no rent was payable and a $\$ 74,000$ moving allowance that was paid to the Company. The Company recognizes rent expense on a straight line basis, giving consideration to the free rent periods and the moving allowance paid to the Company. At the Company s discretion, the lease can be extended for three successive five year periods. Finally, the lease provides the Company the right to terminate the lease at the end of five years for $\$ 192,000$.

The Company also maintains other operating leases in Hong Kong and China which expire at various dates from March 2007 to October 2008.
The future minimum lease payments of the Company are as follows: $\$ 232,000$ in 2007, $\$ 169,000$ in $2008, \$ 157,000$ in 2009, $\$ 160,000$ in 2010 and $\$ 95,000$ in 2011, totaling $\$ 813,000$. Rental expense incurred for operating leases for 2006, 2005 and 2004 was approximately $\$ 309,000$, $\$ 305,000$ and $\$ 290,000$, respectively.

## Purchase Commitments

On June 6, 1997, the Company entered into an Amended and Restated Exclusive Supply Agreement ( Exclusive Supply Agreement ) with Cree, Inc. ( Cree ). The Exclusive Supply Agreement had an initial term of ten years that was extended in 2005 to July 2015. In connection with the Exclusive Supply Agreement, the Company has committed to purchase a minimum of $50 \%$ (by dollar volume) of its requirements for SiC crystals from Cree. If the Company s orders require Cree to expand beyond specified production levels, the Company must commit to purchase certain minimum quantities. In December 2006, the Company agreed with Cree on a framework for purchases for 2007. For each quarter during calendar year 2007, the Company has committed to purchase approximately $\$ 3,200,000$ of usable material. Although the amount of usable material to be purchased by the Company is expected to remain constant each quarter, the Company s cost per quarter for the usable material may be less than $\$ 3,200,000$ depending upon the quality of the usable material provided by Cree during that quarter.

During 2006, 2005, and 2004, the Company made purchases from Cree of approximately $\$ 14.6$ million, $\$ 11.5$ million, and $\$ 6.0$ million, respectively, for SiC materials.

In February 2005, we entered into an Exclusive Supply Agreement with Norstel AB (formerly Jesperator AB) for the supply of SiC crystals for use in the manufacturing of moissanite jewels. In March 2007, we signed an amended agreement with Norstel AB that extended the term to December 31, 2009. Under the terms of the amended contract, our remaining minimum commitment under the agreement is $\$ 7.8$ million, of which approximately $\$ 465,000$ will be expensed as research and development. In addition, we have advanced $\$ 400,000$ towards the purchase of certain equipment. This advance is scheduled to be repaid starting January 2007 through a $20 \%$ reduction on the invoice for subsequent purchases of SiC crystals.
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Effective October 1, 2007, we will receive a $35 \%$ reduction on the invoice for subsequent purchases of SiC crystals until the advance is repaid. The minimum purchase commitment during 2007 is $\$ 1,600,000$, of which approximately $\$ 275,000$ will be expensed as research and development. The agreement provides us with an option to extend the term of the agreement for a four-year period.

In November 2005 the Company entered into an Exclusive Supply Agreement with Intrinsic Semiconductor, Inc. for the supply of SiC for use in the manufacturing of moissanite jewels. The initial term of the contract was for two years and included minimum purchase commitments of usable materials of approximately $\$ 2.2$ million, subject to Intrinsic Semiconductor, Inc. meeting minimum quality standards. The agreement gave the Company the exclusive right to purchase silicon carbide from Intrinsic Semiconductor, Inc. for the purpose of fabricating, distributing or selling faceted jewels. On June 26, 2006, Cree announced that it has signed a definitive agreement to acquire Intrinsic Semiconductor, Inc. In October 2006, our agreement with Intrinsic was terminated.

## Contingencies

The Company is currently conducting test distribution via one day trunk shows with a nationally recognized fine jeweler. In support of the test distribution at this jeweler, the Company agreed with its manufacturer to purchase all unsold items after the test period if the stores do not continue with a moissanite program. Even in such an event, the Company will only purchase the jewelry if the manufacturer is unable to sell the jewelry through other retail outlets after a set period of time. The jewels involved in this test distribution are on consignment to the manufacturer. If all of the jewelry is not sold, it is estimated that the maximum amount for which the Company would be obligated is approximately $\$ 260,000$ for the gold and labor portion of the jewelry.

## 12. Selected Quarterly Data (Unaudited)

|  |  | Quarters Ended <br> September <br> 30, |  |  |  |  |  |  | December 31, |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year Ended December 31, 2006 | March 31, | June 30, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net sales | $\$ 8,016,833$ | $\$ 8,513,270$ | $\$ 12,101,651$ | $\$ 12,080,331$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross profit | $5,907,090$ | $6,386,830$ | $8,334,415$ | $9,288,526$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income | $1,524,247$ | $1,095,796$ | $2,226,431$ | $1,258,861$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic net income per share (1) | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.07 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Diluted net income per share | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.07 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year Ended December 31, 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net sales | $\$ 11,218,765$ | $\$ 9,305,774$ | $\$ 11,347,066$ | $\$ 11,672,485$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross profit | $6,952,006$ | $6,079,890$ | $7,657,810$ | $8,390,974$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income | $2,011,948$ | 740,181 | $2,238,280$ | 884,258 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic net income per share (1) | 0.11 | 0.04 | 0.12 | 0.05 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Diluted net income per share (1) | 0.11 | 0.04 | 0.12 | 0.05 |  |  |  |  |  |

(1) The sum of the quarterly numbers do not equal the amount reported on the Statement of Operations due to rounding. Share and per share data for all periods presented reflect the effect of the $5 \%$ stock dividend distributed on July 15, 2005, and the one share for every four shares owned stock split, effected in the form of a $25 \%$ stock dividend distributed on January 30, 2006.
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## Schedule II

## Charles \& Colvard, Ltd. And Subsidiary

Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

| Year ended December 31 | Balance <br> at <br> Beginning <br> of Period | Additions <br> Charged <br> to Costs and <br> Expenses | Collections of <br> Accounts <br> Previously <br> Written Off | Deductions/ Write Offs |  | Balance <br> at <br> End of <br> Period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allowance for Doubtful Accounts |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | \$ 120,000 | \$ 78,990 ${ }_{(1)}$ | \$ | \$ | 3,990 | \$ 195,000 |
| 2005 | \$ 100,000 | \$ 31,673(1) | \$ | \$ | 11,673 | \$ 120,000 |
| 2004 | \$ 130,000 | \$ 4,681 ${ }_{(1)}$ | \$ | \$ | 34,681 | \$ 100,000 |
| Reserve for Excess Jewelry Inventory |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | \$ 95,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | 55,000 ${ }_{(2)}$ | \$ 40,000 |
| 2005 | \$ 100,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | $5,000_{(2)}$ | \$ 95,000 |
| 2004 | \$ 130,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | $30,000{ }_{(2)}$ | \$ 100,000 |
| Allowance for Returns |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | \$ 105,000 | \$ 160,000 ${ }_{(3)}$ | \$ | \$ |  | \$ 265,000 |
| 2005 | \$ 220,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | 115,000(4) | \$ 105,000 |
| 2004 | \$ 80,000 | \$ 140,000 ${ }_{(3)}$ | \$ | \$ |  | \$ 220,000 |
| Lower of Cost or Market Inventory Reserve |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | \$ 400,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ |  | \$ 400,000 |
| 2005 | \$ 400,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ |  | \$ 400,000 |
| 2004 | \$ 400,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ |  | \$ 400,000 |

(1) Charged against General and Administrative expenses.
(2) Adjustments to reserve to reflect effect of sales of jewelry during the period.
(3) Charged against sales.
(4) Adjustments to allowance; credit to sales.
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Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure None.

## Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

(i) Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of December 31, 2006, the Company s Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer evaluated the effectiveness of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures in accordance with Rule 13a-15 under the Exchange Act. Based on their evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures were effective in ensuring that information that the Company is required to disclose in its Exchange Act reports was recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms. The Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer also concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures were effective in ensuring that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the Company s management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.
(ii) Internal Control Over Financial Reporting
(a) Management s Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

The management of Charles \& Colvard, Ltd. (the Company ) is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting of the Company. The internal control system is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The Company s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the Company $s$ assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, a system of internal control over financial reporting can provide only reasonable assurance and may not prevent or detect misstatements. Further, because of changes in conditions, effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting may vary over time.

Management conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the Company s system of internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on this evaluation, management determined that the Company s system of internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2006.

Management s assessment of the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting has been audited by Deloitte \& Touche LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which is included herein.
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(b) Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of

Charles \& Colvard, Ltd.

## Morrisville, North Carolina

We have audited management $s$ assessment, included in the accompanying management $s$ annual report on internal control over financial reporting, that Charles \& Colvard, Ltd. and subsidiary (the Company ) maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on management $s$ assessment and an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company sinternal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management $s$ assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the Company s principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the Company s Board of Directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, management $s$ assessment that the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on the criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring organizations of the Treadway Commission. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on the criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule as of and
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for the year ended December 31, 2006 of the Company and our report dated March 9, 2007 expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements and financial statement schedule and included an explanatory paragraph regarding the Company s adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No.123R, Share-Based Payment, effective January 1, 2006.
/s/ Deloitte \& Touche LLP

Raleigh, North Carolina

March 9, 2007
(c) Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during our fourth fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

## Item 9B. Other Information

None.

## Part III

## Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

## Item 11. Executive Compensation

## Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

## Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

## Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

The information called for in items 10 through 14 is incorporated by reference from our definitive proxy statement relating to our annual meeting of shareholders, which will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after the end of fiscal 2006.

## Part IV

## Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules

(a)(1) and (2) Financial statements and financial statement schedule:

The financial statements, financial statement schedule, and report of independent registered public accounting firm are filed as part of this report on Form 10-K under Item 8, Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.
(a)(3) Exhibits:

## Edgar Filing: CHARLES \& COLVARD LTD - Form 10-K

The Exhibit Index commencing on page 59 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K lists the exhibits that are filed as part of this report.
(b) Exhibits

See Exhibit Index
(c) Separate Financial Statements and Schedules

None.
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## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

## Charles \& Colvard, Ltd.

## By: /s/ Robert S. Thomas

Date: 3/9/07
Robert S. Thomas, President \& Chief Executive Officer
Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By: /s/ Robert S. Thomas
Date: 3/9/07
Robert S. Thomas

President \& Chief Executive Officer
(Principal executive officer)
By: /s/ James R. Braun Date: 3/9/07
James R. Braun
Vice President of Finance \& Chief Financial Officer
(Principal financial and accounting officer)
By: /s/ Lisa A. Gavales
Date: 3/9/07
Lisa A. Gavales

Director
By: /s/ Laura C. Kendall
Date: 3/9/07
Laura C. Kendall
Director
By: /s/ Lynn L. Lane
Date: 3/9/07
Lynn L. Lane
Director
By: /s/ Frederick A. Russ
Date: 3/9/07
Frederick A. Russ

Director
By: /s/ Geraldine L. Sedlar
Date: 3/9/07
Geraldine L. Sedlar

Director

George A. Thornton, III

Director
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## EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit

## Number

3.2 Amended and Restated Bylaws of C3, Inc., which hereby is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Registration Statement on Form S-1/A of C3, Inc. filed on November 5, 1997 (File No. 333-36809).
4.1 Specimen Certificate of common stock which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K of C3, Inc. for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1998.
4.3 Rights Agreement, dated as of February 22, 1999, by and between C3, Inc. and First Union National Bank as Rights Agent, including the Form of Rights Certificate as Exhibit A which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K of C3, Inc. for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1998.

Amended and Restated Exclusive Supply Agreement, dated June 6, 1997, between Cree Research, Inc. and C3, Inc. which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 of C3, Inc. (File No. 333-36809).*
10.14 Letter Agreement, dated January 31, 1996, between Cree Research, Inc. and C3, Inc. which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14 to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 of C3, Inc. (File No. 333-36809).*

1996 Stock Option Plan of C3, Inc. (as amended October 27, 1997) which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to the Registration Statement on Form S-1/A of C3, Inc. filed on November 5, 1997 (File No. 333-36809).+

1997 Omnibus Stock Plan of C3, Inc. which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 of C3, Inc. (File No. 333-36809).+

Agreement, dated September 24, 1997, between John M. Bachman, Inc. and C3, Inc. which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 of C3, Inc. (File No. 333-36809).*

1997 Declaration of Amendment to 1997 Omnibus Stock Plan of C3, Inc. which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.3 to the Registration Statement on Form S-8 of C3, Inc. (File No. 333-43613).+
10.25

## Description

Restated Articles of Incorporation of Charles \& Colvard, Ltd. which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K of Charles \& Colvard for the year ended December 31, 2004.

Letter Agreement, dated July 14, 1997, between Cree Research, Inc. and C3, Inc. which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 of C3, Inc. (File No. 333-36809).*

Amended and Restated Development Agreement, dated July 1, 1998 between Cree Research, Inc. and C3, Inc. which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.25 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of C3, Inc. for the quarter ended June 30, 1998.*
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10.28 First Amendment to Agreement, dated March 23, 1998, between John M. Bachman, Inc. and C3, Inc. which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.28 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of C3, Inc. for the quarter ended September 30, 1998.*
10.29 Second Amendment to Agreement, dated September 28, 1998 between John M. Bachman, Inc. and C3, Inc. which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.29 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of C3, Inc. for the quarter ended September 30, 1998.*
10.30 1998 Declaration of Amendment to 1996 Stock Option Plan of C3, Inc. which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.30 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K of C3, Inc. for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1998. +
10.31 1998 Declaration of Amendment to 1997 Omnibus Stock Plan of C3, Inc., which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.31 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K of C3, Inc. for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1998. +
10.32 Employment Agreement, dated March 1, 1999, between Robert Thomas and C3, Inc., which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.32 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K of C3, Inc. for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1998. +
10.35 Licensing Agreement, dated October 10, 1998, between C. Eric Hunter and C3, Inc., which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.35 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of C3, Inc. for the quarter ended March 31, 1999. *
10.36 Third Amendment to Agreement, dated June 16, 1999, between John M. Bachman, Inc. and C3, Inc., which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.36 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of C3, Inc. for the quarter ended June 30, 1999. *
10.37 Fourth Amendment to Agreement, dated October 5, 1999, between John M. Bachman, Inc. and C3, Inc., which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.37 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of C3, Inc. for the quarter ended September 30, 1999. *
10.43 2000 Declaration of Amendment to 1996 Stock Option Plan of C3, Inc. which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.43 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K of Charles \& Colvard, Ltd. for the year ended December 31, 2000.+
10.44 2000 Declaration of Amendment to 1997 Omnibus Stock Plan of C3, Inc. which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.44 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K of Charles \& Colvard, Ltd. for the year ended December 31, 2000.+
10.46 Fifth Amendment to Agreement, dated December 29, 2000, between John M. Bachman, Inc. and Charles \& Colvard, Ltd., which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.46 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Charles \& Colvard, Ltd. for the quarter ended March 31, 2001.*
10.47 Charles \& Colvard, Ltd. Fiscal Year 2001 Executive Compensation Plan which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.47 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Charles \& Colvard, Ltd. for the quarter ended June 30, 2001.+
10.48 Employment Agreement, effective as of June 4, 2001, by and between James R. Braun, CFO and Charles \& Colvard, Ltd. which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.48 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Charles \& Colvard, Ltd. for the quarter ended June 30, 2001.+
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10.51 Manufacturing Agreement, dated April 2, 2002, by and between JewelNet Corporation d/b/a K\&G Creations and Charles \& Colvard, Ltd. which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.51 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Charles \& Colvard, Ltd. for the quarter ended March 31, 2002.*
10.52 Sixth Amendment to Agreement, dated April 9, 2002, between John M. Bachman, Inc. and Charles \& Colvard, Ltd., which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.52 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Charles \& Colvard, Ltd. for the quarter ended March 31, 2002.*
10.53 Executive Compensation Plan for Fiscal Year 2001 as Amended on May 20, 2002, which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.53 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Charles \& Colvard, Ltd. for the quarter ended June 30, 2002.+
10.56 Salary Continuation Agreement dated October 1, 2002 between Dennis Reed, Vice President of Sales, and Charles \& Colvard, Ltd., which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.56 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K of Charles \& Colvard for the year ended December 31, 2002. +
10.57 Executive Compensation Plan for Fiscal Year 2001, as Amended and Restated on February 13, 2003., which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.57 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K of Charles \& Colvard, Ltd. for the year ended December 31, 2002. +
10.58 Amendment to Severance and Consulting Agreement dated as of May 31, 2003 between Charles \& Colvard, Ltd. and Jeff N. Hunter, filed together with the Severance and Consulting Agreement dated as of May 15, 2000 between Charles \& Colvard, Ltd. and Jeff N. Hunter, which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.58 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Charles \& Colvard, Ltd. for the quarter ended June 30, 2003.+
10.59 Executive Compensation Plan for Fiscal Year 2001, as Amended and Restated on February 16, 2004, which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.59 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K of Charles \& Colvard, Ltd. for the year ended December 31, 2003. +
10.60 Letter Agreement, dated December 18, 2003, between Stuller, Inc. and Charles \& Colvard, Ltd, which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.60 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K of Charles \& Colvard, Ltd. for the year ended December 31, 2003. *
10.61 Letter Agreement, dated December 14, 2003, between Cree, Inc. and Charles \& Colvard, Ltd., which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.61 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Charles \& Colvard, Ltd. for the quarter ended March 31, 2004.*
10.62 Lease Agreement, dated March 26, 2004, between Duke Realty Limited Partnership and Charles \& Colvard, Ltd., which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.62 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Charles \& Colvard, Ltd. for the quarter ended March 31, 2004.
10.63 Employment Agreement, dated August 1, 2004, between Dennis Reed, Vice President of Sales and Charles \& Colvard, Ltd., which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.63 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Charles \& Colvard, Ltd. for the quarter ended June 30, 2004.+
10.64 Manufacturing Agreement, dated August 12, 2004, between Reeves Park, Inc. and Charles \& Colvard, Ltd., which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.64 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Charles \& Colvard, Ltd. for the quarter ended September 30, 2004.
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10.65 Security Agreement, dated August 15, 2004, between Reeves Park, Inc. and Charles \& Colvard, Ltd, which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.65 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Charles \& Colvard, Ltd. for the quarter ended September 30, 2004.
10.66 Consignment Agreement, dated August 16, 2004, between Reeves Park, Inc. and Charles \& Colvard, Ltd., which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.66 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Charles \& Colvard, Ltd. for the quarter ended September 30, 2004.*
10.67 Amendment to Manufacturing Agreement, dated November 8, 2004, between Reeves Park and Charles \& Colvard, Ltd., which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.67 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Charles \& Colvard, Ltd. for the quarter ended September 30, 2004.
10.68 First Amendment to Security Agreement, dated November 8, 2004, between Reeves Park and Charles \& Colvard, Ltd., which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.68 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Charles \& Colvard, Ltd. for the quarter ended September 30, 2004.
10.69 Notice of Extension of Amended and Restated Exclusive Supply Agreement, dated January 6, 2005, from Charles \& Colvard, Ltd. to Cree, Inc., which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.69 to Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on January 7, 2005.
10.70 Letter Agreement, dated January 20, 2005, between Stuller, Inc. and Charles \& Colvard, Ltd., which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.70 to Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on January 24, 2005.*
10.71 Letter Agreement, dated February 9, 2005, between Cree, Inc. and Charles \& Colvard, Ltd., which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.71 to Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on February 11, 2005.*
10.72 Exclusive Supply Agreement, dated February 14, 2005, between Norstel AB (formerly Jesperator AB) and Charles \& Colvard, Ltd., which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.72 to Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on February 17, 2005.*
10.73 Letter Agreement, executed February 21, 2005 and dated as of February 9, 2005, between the Bell Group d/b/a Rio Grande and Charles \& Colvard, Ltd., which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.73 to Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on February 23, 2005.*
10.74 Seventh Amendment to Agreement, dated March 7, 2005, between John M. Bachman, Inc. and Charles \& Colvard, Ltd., which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.74 to Form 8-K/A, filed with the SEC on March 10, 2005.*
10.75 1997 Omnibus Stock Plan of Charles \& Colvard, Ltd. as Amended and Restated through March 9, 2005, which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.75 to Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on March 15, 2005. +
10.76 Form of Employee Incentive Stock Option Agreement, which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.76 to Form 8-K filed with the SEC on March 15, $2005 .+$
10.77 Form of Director Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement, which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.77 to Form 8-K filed with the SEC on March 15, 2005. +
10.78 Form of Employee Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement, which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.78 to Form 8-K filed with the SEC on March 15, 2005. +
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10.79 Form of Independent Contractor Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement, which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.79 to Form 8-K filed with the SEC on March 15, 2005. +
10.80 Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement, which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.80 to Form 8-K filed with the SEC on March 15, 2005. +
10.81 First Amendment to Charles \& Colvard Created Moissanite Consignment Agreement, dated March 31, 2005, between Reeves Park, Inc. and Charles \& Colvard, Ltd., which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.81 to Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on April 5, 2005.
10.82 Management Incentive Plan (2005), which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.82 to Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on April 13, 2005. +
10.83 Quarterly \& Annual Incentive Plan for Non-Officer Employees (2005), which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.83 to Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on April 13, 2005. +
10.84 Salary Continuation Agreement, dated April 22, 2005, between Barbara L. Mooty, Vice President of Brand Development and Industry Relations, and Charles \& Colvard, Ltd., which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.84 to Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on April 25, 2005.+
10.85 Eighth Amendment to Agreement, dated May 18, 2005, between John M. Bachman Inc. and Charles \& Colvard, Ltd., which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.85 to Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on May 20, 2005. *
10.86 Letter Agreement, dated February 14, 2006, between Cree, Inc. and Charles \& Colvard, Ltd., which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.86 to Form 8-K filed with the SEC on February 17, 2006. *
10.87 Management Incentive Plan (2006), which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.87 to Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on February 21, 2006. +
10.88 Quarterly \& Annual Incentive Plan for Non-Officer Employees (2006), which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.88 to Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on February 21, 2006. +
10.89 Director Compensation Structure, effective May 22, 2006, which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.89 to Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on February 21, 2006. +
10.90 Ninth Amendment to Agreement, dated March 2, 2006, between John M. Bachman, Inc. and Charles \& Colvard, Ltd., which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.90 to Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on March 8, 2006.*
10.91 Letter Agreement, effective May 8, 2006, between Stuller, Inc. and Charles \& Colvard, Ltd., which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.91 to Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on May 12, 2006.*
10.92 Part-Time Employment Agreement, effective as of May 31, 2006, by and between Earl R. Hines and Charles \& Colvard, Ltd., which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.92 on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on May 26, 2006.+
10.93 Retention and Incentive Option, effective May 22, 2006, between Dennis Reed and Charles \& Colvard, Ltd., which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.93 to Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on May 26, 2006.+
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10.94 Letter Agreement, effective October 2, 2006, between Samuel Aaron International, Inc. and Charles \& Colvard, Ltd., which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.94 to Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on October 6, 2006.*
10.95 Licensing Agreement, dated October 2, 2006, between Samuel Aaron International, Inc. and Charles \& Colvard, Ltd., which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.95 to Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on October 6, 2006.*
10.96 Letter Agreement, effective December 25, 2006, between Cree, Inc. and Charles \& Colvard, Ltd., which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.96 to Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on January 4, 2007.*
10.97 Management Incentive Plan (2007), which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.97 to Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on February 20, 2007.+
10.98 Quarterly and Annual Incentive Plan for Non-Officer Employees (2007), which is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.98 to Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on February 20, 2007.+
21.1 Schedules of Subsidiaries
23.1 Consent of Deloitte \& Touche LLP
31.1 Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) of the Exchange Act, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2 Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) of the Exchange Act, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

* The registrant has requested that certain portions of this exhibit be given confidential treatment.
+ Denotes a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.
Our SEC file number for documents filed with the SEC pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is 000-23329.


[^0]:    (1) For the period ended December 31, 2006, the Company did not purchase any shares of the Company s common stock other than through the publicly announced plan described in footnote (2), below.
    (2) On March 27, 2006, the Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to $1,000,000$ shares of the Company s common stock through open market or privately negotiated transactions at prices at or below prevailing prices. This plan expires on March 27, 2007.

[^1]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ During 2007, we have committed to purchase approximately $\$ 3,200,000$ of raw material each quarter.
    ${ }^{(2)}$ Under our Exclusive Supply Agreement with Cree, we are obligated to buy from Cree, and Cree is obligated to sell to us, at least $50 \%$, by dollar volume, of our requirements for SiC material for the production of gemstones in each calendar quarter.
    ${ }^{(3)}$ Approximately $\$ 465,000$ of this total commitment will be expensed as research and development $\$ 275,000$ in 2007 and $\$ 190,000$ in 2008.
    Net Operating Loss Carryforward

