NORD RESOURCES CORP Form 10-Q August 14, 2012

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

# **FORM 10-Q**

[ x ] QUARTERLY REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2012
[ ] TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period fromto
Commission File Number: <u>1-08733</u>

# **NORD RESOURCES CORPORATION**

(Exact name of small business issuer as specified in its charter)

**DELAWARE** 

85-0212139

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

One West Wetmore Road, Suite 203

Tucson, Arizona

85705

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

(520) 292-0266

Issuer's telephone number

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes [x] No []

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes [x] No []

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, non-accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer [ ]	Accelerated filer [ ]
Non-accelerated filer [ ]	Smaller reporting company [x]
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)	
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a she	ell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).
Yes	[ ] No [ x ]

State the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common equity, as of the latest practicable date.

112,488,604 shares of common stock as of June 30, 2012.

### NORD RESOURCES CORPORATION

# Quarterly Report On Form 10-Q For The Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2012

#### **INDEX**

PART I	<u>FINANCIAL INFORMATIO</u> N	<u>ii</u>
<u>Item 1.</u>	Financial Statements	<u>ii</u>
Item 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis	1
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	9
<u>Item 4.</u>	Controls and Procedures	9
PART II	OTHER INFORMATION	10
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	10
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	<u>11</u>
Item 2.	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	<u>11</u>
Item 3.	<u>Defaults upon Debt Securities</u>	11
<u>Item 4.</u>	Mine Safety Disclosures	12
Item 5.	Other Information	12
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	12
SIGNATU	RES	16

#### FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This quarterly report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Forward-looking statements in this quarterly report include, among others, statements regarding our capital needs, business plans and expectations. Such forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties regarding our ability to restructure our existing secured credit facility, the market price of copper, availability of funds, government regulations, permitting, common share prices, operating costs, capital costs, outcomes of ore reserve development, recoveries and other factors. Forward-looking statements are made, without limitation, in relation to operating plans, property exploration and development, availability of funds, environmental reclamation, operating costs and permit acquisition. Any statements contained herein that are not statements of historical facts may be deemed to be forward-looking statements. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as may, will, should, expect, plan, intend, anticipate, believe, estimate, predict, potential or continu terms or other comparable terminology. Actual events or results may differ materially. In evaluating these statements, you should consider various factors, including the risks outlined in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, this quarterly report on Form 10-O, and, from time to time, in other reports that we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC ). These factors may cause our actual results to differ materially from any forward-looking statement. Given these uncertainties, readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on such forward-looking statements.

i

### PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

#### **Item 1. Financial Statements**

The following unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements of Nord Resources Corporation and its wholly-owned subsidiary Cochise Aggregates and Materials, Inc. (sometimes collectively referred to as we, us or our Company) are included in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q:

	Page
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2012 (unaudited) and December 31, 2011	<u>F-1</u>
<u>Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the six months ended June 30.</u>	<u>F-3</u>
2012 and 2011	
<u>Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months ended June 30.</u>	<u>F-4</u>
2012 and 2011	
Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Deficit for the six	<u>F-5</u>
months ended June 30, 2012	
Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30.	<u>F-6</u>
2012 and 2011	
Unaudited Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	F-8

It is the opinion of management that the interim condensed consolidated financial statements for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 include all adjustments necessary in order to ensure that the condensed consolidated financial statements are not misleading. These condensed consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments which are, in the opinion of management, necessary to present fairly the financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the interim periods presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These interim condensed consolidated financial statements follow the same accounting policies and methods of their application as our Company s audited annual consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2011. All adjustments are of a normal recurring nature. These interim condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with our Company s audited annual consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2011.

# NORD RESOURCES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30, 2012 AND DECEMBER 31, 2011

	June 30, 2012	E	December 31, 2011
(	(Unaudited)		
\$	4,052	\$	118,058
	168,092		345,382
	2,979,081		3,763,892
	135,698		159,986
	3,286,923		4,387,318
	50,543,530		50,518,198
	(6,519,664)		(6,313,728)
	44,023,866		44,204,470
	146,079		146,079
	686,476		686,476
	195,699		368,684
	5,388,734		6,347,012
	6,416,988		7,548,251
\$	53,727,777	\$	56,140,039
	\$	\$ 4,052 168,092 2,979,081 135,698 3,286,923 50,543,530 (6,519,664) 44,023,866 146,079 686,476 195,699 5,388,734 6,416,988 \$ 53,727,777	2012 (Unaudited) \$ 4,052 \$ 168,092 2,979,081 135,698 3,286,923 50,543,530 (6,519,664) 44,023,866 146,079 686,476 195,699 5,388,734 6,416,988

# NORD RESOURCES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30, 2012 AND DECEMBER 31, 2011 (Continued)

LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS DEFICIT	June 30, 2012 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2011
Current Liabilities:		
	\$ 4,608,589	\$ 4,163,041
Accounts payable Accrued expenses		
Accrued interest	1,585,449	1,316,074
	6,487,252	4,933,337
Copper derivatives settlement payable	16,106,691	16,106,691
Current maturity of long-term debt	6,183,499	6,190,999
Current maturities of senior long-term debt	23,257,826	21,481,183
Current maturities of derivative contracts, at fair value	8,509	54,896
Senior long-term debt accelerated due to default	1.42.400	1,776,643
Other current liabilities	142,498	147,634
Total Current Liabilities	58,380,313	56,170,498
Long Town Linkillator		
Long-Term Liabilities:	4 (27 252	4 (4( 0(0
Deferred revenue, less current portion	4,637,252	4,646,868
Accrued reclamation costs	3,395,078	3,195,497
Other long-term liabilities	6,135	14,635
Total Long-Term Liabilities	8,038,465	7,857,000
Total Liabilities	66,418,778	64,027,498
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders Deficit:		
Common stock: \$.01 par value, 400,000,000 shares authorized, 112,488,604 and 112,177,627 shares issued and outstanding as of June		
30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively	1,124,887	1,121,777
Additional paid in capital	122,232,158	122,133,246
Accumulated deficit	(136,048,046)	(131,142,482)
Total Stockholders Deficit	(12,691,001)	(7,887,459)
Total Liabilities and Stockholders Deficit  The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consol		\$ 56,140,039 tements.

# NORD RESOURCES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2012 AND 2011 (Unaudited)

		2012		2011
Net sales	\$	4,394,409	\$	8,140,174
Costs applicable to sales (exclusive of depreciation, depletion and amortization				
shown separately below)		6,317,725		8,661,845
General and administrative expenses (includes stock based compensation of				
\$102,022 and \$156,195, respectively)		765,948		1,194,456
Depreciation, depletion and amortization		408,336		489,987
Loss from operations		(3,097,600)		(2,206,114)
Other income (expense):				
Interest expense		(1,913,824)		(1,809,486)
Gains (losses) on derivatives classified as trading securities		46,387		(1,391,209)
Miscellaneous income		59,473		56,011
Total other expense		(1,807,964)		(3,144,684)
Loss before income taxes		(4,905,564)		(5,350,798)
Provision for income taxes				
Net loss	\$	(4,905,564)	\$	(5,350,798)
Net loss per basic and diluted share of common stock:				
Weighted average number of basic and diluted common shares outstanding		114,475,722		113,397,048
Basic and diluted loss per share of common stock	\$	(0.04)	\$	(0.05)
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consol	lidat	ted financial stat	en	nents.

# NORD RESOURCES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2012 AND 2011 (Unaudited)

		2012	2	2011
Net sales	\$	2,016,395	\$ 3	,386,830
Costs applicable to sales (exclusive of depreciation, depletion and				
amortization shown separately below)		2,949,299	4	,279,040
General and administrative expenses (includes stock based compensation of				
\$49,785 and \$73,966, respectively)		366,986		676,623
Depreciation, depletion and amortization		196,065		230,683
Loss from operations		(1,495,955)	(1	,799,516)
Other income (expense):				
Interest expense		(963,468)	(	(920,278)
Gains (losses) on derivatives classified as trading securities		17,706		(386,531)
Miscellaneous income		44,041		32,907
Total other expense		(901,721)	(1	,273,902)
Loss before income taxes		(2,397,676)	(3	,073,418)
Provision for income taxes				
Net loss	\$	(2,397,676)	\$ (3	,073,418)
Net loss per basic and diluted share of common stock:				
Weighted average number of basic and diluted common shares outstanding		114,631,186	113	,483,661
Basic and diluted loss per share of common stock	\$	(0.02)	\$	(0.03)
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consol	1:45	tad financial stat	am ante	

# NORD RESOURCES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS DEFICIT FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2012 (Unaudited)

				Additional Paid-in	Accumulated	Total Stockholders	
	Comi	Common Stock		Capital	Deficit	Deficit	
	Shares		Amount				
Balance at December 31, 2011	112,177,627	\$	1,121,777	\$ 122,133,246	\$ (131,142,482) \$	(7,887,459)	
Net loss					(4,905,564)	(4,905,564)	
Compensation expense from issuance of stock options				49,522		49,522	
Common stock issued for deferred stock units	310,977		3,110	(3,110)			
Compensation expense from issuance of deferred stock units				52,500		52,500	
D 1 I 20 2012	112 400 604	ф	1 104 007	Ф 100 000 150	ф (106 040 046) ф	(12 (01 001)	
Balance at June 30, 2012	112,488,604	\$	1,124,887		\$ (136,048,046) \$	·	

# NORD RESOURCES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2012 AND 2011 (Unaudited)

	2012	2011
Cash Flows From Operating Activities:		
Net loss	\$ (4,905,564) \$	(5,350,798)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided (used) by operating		
activities:		
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	408,336	489,987
Loss on disposal of property and equipment	3,235	10,980
Accretion expense on accrued reclamation costs	199,581	206,470
Amortization of debt issuance costs	172,985	172,985
Issuance of stock options for services rendered	49,522	103,695
Issuance of deferred stock units for services rendered	52,500	52,500
Unrealized gain on derivatives classified as trading securities	(46,387)	(3,724,151)
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	177,290	208,206
Inventories, stockpiles and ore on leach pads	1,595,152	2,259,171
Prepaid expenses and other assets	24,288	(194,306)
Accounts payable	376,641	702,745
Accrued expenses	269,375	(326,576)
Accrued interest	1,553,915	1,706,547
Copper derivatives settlement payable		3,900,410
Deferred revenue	(15,829)	(25,050)
Other liabilities	(8,500)	(8,629)
Net Cash Provided (Used) By Operating Activities	(93,460)	184,186
Cash Flows From Investing Activities:		
Capital expenditures	(14,123)	(538,320)
Net Cash Used By Investing Activities	(14,123)	(538,320)
Cash Flows From Financing Activities:		
Principal payments on long-term debt	(7,500)	(301,068)
Borrowing (principal payments) on capital lease	1,077	(8,683)
Net Cash Used By Financing Activities	(6,423)	(309,751)
Net Decrease in Cash and Cash Equivalents	(114,006)	(663,885)
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period	118,058	1,120,023
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$ 4,052 \$	456,138
-		
Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information:		
Cash paid during the period for:		
Interest	\$ 151,893 \$	341,079
Income taxes		

# NORD RESOURCES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2012 AND 2011

(Unaudited) (Continued)

	2012	2011
Supplemental Disclosure of Non-cash Investing and Financing Activities:		
Common stock issued in exchange for deferred stock units	\$ 3,110 \$	2,804
Common stock issued for settlement of accounts payable		11,538
Change in property and equipment financed by accounts payable	(64,178)	(173,089)
Change in depreciation expense allocated to inventory	(52,668)	(60,865)
Change in depreciation expense allocated to stockpiles and ore on leach pads	(95,269)	(164,317)
Change in estimate of cash flows for asset retirement obligation		(1,112,771)

#### 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Nord Resources Corporation and Subsidiary (the Company ) is a United States based corporation involved in all phases of the mining business including exploration, permitting, developing and operating mining projects. The Company s primary asset is the Johnson Camp Copper Mine ( Johnson Camp Mine ) located in Arizona. In July 2007, the Company commenced the reactivation of the Johnson Camp Mine. The Company commenced copper cathode production from leaching existing old dumps in January 2008 and commenced mining of new ore upon completion of the reactivation work in January 2009. The Company achieved commercial copper cathode production from newly-mined ore on April 1, 2009 following substantial completion of the testing and development phase. In July 2010, the Company suspended the mining and crushing of new ore and implemented an action plan aimed at improving operating efficiencies. The current production of copper is the result of leaching copper previously placed on the heaps.

The accompanying financial information of the Company is prepared in accordance with the rules prescribed for filing condensed interim financial statements and, accordingly, does not include all disclosures that may be necessary for complete financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The disclosures presented are sufficient, in management s opinion, to make the interim information presented not misleading. All adjustments, consisting of normal recurring adjustments which are necessary so as to make the interim information not misleading, have been made. Results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of results of operations that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2012. The Company recommends that this financial information be read in conjunction with the complete consolidated financial statements included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, previously filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC).

#### 2. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business. The condensed consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of recorded asset amounts or the amount and classification of liabilities that might be necessary should the Company be unable to continue as a going concern. Such adjustments could be material.

# Going Concern

Nedbank, the Company s senior lender, has declined to extend the forbearance agreement with respect to the scheduled principal and interest payments that were due between March 31, 2010 and June 30, 2012 under the Company s \$25,000,000 secured term-loan credit facility. Accordingly, the Company has been in default of its obligations under the Credit Agreement with Nedbank since May 14, 2010. The full amount of the outstanding principal and accrued and unpaid interest is included in the Company s current liabilities, together with any additional amounts payable under the Credit Agreement. As of the date of these condensed consolidated financial statements, Nedbank has not exercised its rights under the Credit Agreement to provide notification of the Company s default condition or commence foreclosure actions on the collateral held, which represents substantially all of the assets of the Company.

Nedbank Capital has also declined to extend the forbearance agreement regarding the Company s failure to make the timely monthly settlement payments beginning in March of 2010 through December 31, 2011 under the copper hedge agreement. As of June 30, 2012, the amount due to Nedbank Capital related to these settlements is \$16,106,691 and is included in current liabilities within the copper derivatives settlement payable line item.

The Company s continuation as a going concern is dependent upon its ability to refinance the obligations under the Credit Agreement with Nedbank, the Copper Hedge Agreement with Nedbank Capital, and the note payable with Fisher Sand & Gravel Company (Fisher or Fisher Industries), thereby curing the current state of default under the respective agreements, on its ability to raise additional capital, and on its ability to produce copper to sell at a level where the Company becomes profitable and generates cash flows from operations. The Company s continued existence its operating plan because of sales shortfalls, a reduction in copper prices, or other unfavorable events, the Company may find it necessary to dispose of assets, or undertake other actions as may be appropriate. In the short term, the Company s continuation as a going concern is dependent on its ability to produce copper at current operating levels. The Company continuously tries to maintain expenses at a level commensurate with operating requirements.

The Company is evaluating a variety of alternatives to improve its liquidity. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to improve its liquidity.

### Use of Estimates

The preparation of the Company s condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires the Company s management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amount of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. The more significant areas requiring the use of management estimates and assumptions relate to mineral reserve estimation, the metallurgical recovery rate and estimates of recoverable copper in stockpiles and ore on leach pads of the Johnson Camp Mine that are the basis for future cash flow estimates; estimates of costs to produce a pound of copper under normalized production levels (standard costs); useful asset lives for depreciation, depletion and amortization; reclamation and closure cost obligations; asset impairment (including long lived assets), including estimates used to derive future cash flows associated with those assets; deferred taxes and valuation allowances; disclosures and reserves for contingencies and litigation; and the fair value and accounting treatment of financial instruments and stock based compensation. The Company bases its estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Accordingly, actual results may differ significantly from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

During the quarter ended March 31, 2011, the Company revised the cost estimates to reclaim the Johnson Camp Mine at the end of the mine s life to approximately \$9,100,000 (based upon 2011 dollars), thus decreasing the asset retirement obligation and related accrued reclamation liability by \$1,403,363. The changes made were based on results of an independent third party and have a material impact on the current and/or future consolidated financial statements as of March 31, 2011. However, this estimate was subsequently revised during the quarter ended June 30, 2011, based upon the results of independent third party estimates commissioned, to approximately \$10,100,000 (based upon 2011 dollars), thus increasing the asset retirement obligation and related accrued reclamation liability by \$290,592. The net effect of these estimate revisions was to decrease the asset retirement obligation and related accrued reclamation liability by \$1,112,771.

The Company is currently in the process of revising its estimate of the proven and probable reserves at the Johnson Camp Mine. Upon the Company s purchase of the Johnson Camp Mine in 1999, pursuant to the accounting standards and market conditions in place at the time, the Company allocated 100% of the purchase price to the property and equipment and, as such, nothing was allocated to proven and probable reserves. Accordingly, a revision to this estimate, if and when it occurs, is not expected to have a material impact on the Company s condensed consolidated financial statements. Furthermore, under current market conditions, the Company does not believe that a revision will trigger an impairment analysis for its long lived assets. A revision to the estimate of proven and probable reserves, when and if it occurs, will be accounted for on a prospective basis and will impact those items that are amortized via the units of production method; specifically property and equipment and deferred revenue.

#### 3. ADOPTION OF RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING GUIDANCE

In July 2012, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued updated guidance for performing an impairment test for indefinite -lived intangible assets. In accordance with the updated guidance, an entity will first have an option to assess qualitative factors to determine whether the existence of events and circumstances indicates that it is more likely than not that the indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired. The updated guidance is effective for fiscal year beginning after September 15, 2012, with early adoption permitted. The Company does not expect the updated guidance to have an impact on the Company s condensed consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

#### 4. INVENTORY

Inventory is as follows:

		June 30, 2012	D	ecember 31, 2011
<b>Current Assets:</b>	(1	unaudited)		
Copper in process	\$	2,490,260	\$	3,170,759
Finished goods		44,324		37,361
Material and supplies		444,497		555,772
		2,979,081		3,763,892
Long-Term Assets:				
Stockpiles and ore on leach pads		5,388,734		6,347,012
<b>Total Inventory</b>	\$	8,367,815	\$	10,110,904

The Company s inventories are carried at the lower of average cost or net realizable value. Copper in process and finished goods inventories are valued using the average cost of production and include all costs of purchase, conversion costs (direct costs and an allocation of fixed and variable production overheads) and other costs incurred in bringing the inventories to their present location and condition. The Company considers only those costs that are consistent with its estimate of costs to be incurred at a normalized production level, currently estimated at 25,000,000 pounds per annum, as inventoriable costs. Costs incurred in excess of this standard are expensed as incurred as abnormal costs which are included in costs applicable to sales within the condensed consolidated statement of operations. Accordingly, during the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2012, the Company expensed \$2,122,795 and \$4,584,210, respectively, of abnormal production costs due to the underutilization of plant capacity. During the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011, the Company expensed \$3,100,225 and \$5,974,410, respectively, of abnormal production costs due to the underutilization of plant capacity.

The current portion of copper in process inventory is determined based on the expected amounts to be processed within the next 12 months. Inventories not expected to be processed within the next 12 months are classified under long term assets as stockpiles and ore on leach pads.

### 5. PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

Property and equipment costs consist of the following:

	June 30, 2012 (unaudited)		D	ecember 31, 2011
Land	\$	87,114	\$	87,114
Buildings		2,071,618		2,071,618
Mine development costs existing dumps				
		468,034		468,034
Mine development costs new dumps		1,841,080		1,841,080
Asset retirement obligation		2,708,870		2,708,870
Mining and other equipment		43,366,814		43,341,482
Total		50,543,530		50,518,198
Accumulated depreciation, depletion and amortization		(6,519,664)		(6,313,728)
Net property and equipment	\$	44,023,866	\$	44,204,470

Total depreciation, depletion and amortization (DD&A) of property and equipment charged to operations was \$196,065 and \$408,336 for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2012, respectively. Total depreciation, depletion and amortization (DD&A) of property and equipment charged to operations was \$230,683 and \$489,987, respectively, for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011, respectively. The Company allocates DD&A to copper inventories based upon the relative pounds in inventory at each reporting date. Accordingly, as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, \$775,192 and \$923,129, respectively, of DD&A costs were included in copper inventories.

#### 6. LONG-TERM DEBT

Long term debt consists of the following:

	June 30, 2012 (unaudited)	December 31, 2011
Senior project financing facility	\$ 23,257,826	\$ 23,257,826
Less current maturities on senior facility	(23,257,826)	(21,481,183)
Less long-term portion of senior facility accelerated due to default	-	(1,776,643)
Total Long-Term Debt	\$ -	\$ -

Senior Project Financing Facility

The Company was unable to make the quarterly payments of principal in the amounts of \$1,790,099 that were due between March 31, 2010 and June 30, 2012, respectively, and interest payments during the same period totaling \$6,007,358 to Nedbank under the terms of the amended and restated Credit Agreement dated March 31, 2009. The Company is in default of its obligations under the Credit Agreement with Nedbank, and the full amount of the outstanding principal of \$23,257,826 is included in the Company s current liabilities. Given this default, Nedbank has full authority to exercise its rights under the Credit Agreement, including the acceleration of the full amount due thereunder and the institution of foreclosure proceedings against the Johnson Camp Mine. In accordance with the Credit Agreement, upon an event of default the interest rate on the outstanding debt and unpaid accrued interest is increased by 3.00% to the three-month United States Dollar London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus 9.06% (9.53% at June 30, 2012). Accrued interest related to the Credit Agreement was \$6,007,358 and \$4,621,153 as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, and is included within accrued interest on the condensed consolidated balance sheets.

The Credit Agreement is collateralized by substantially all of the Company s assets, restricts the Company s ability to incur certain additional debt, and limits the Company s ability to pay dividends and make restrictive payments. Effective March 31, 2009, the Company must comply with certain financial covenants as defined within the amended and restated credit agreement, including a debt service coverage ratio of at least 1.5, an interest coverage ratio of at least 2.0, and a minimum debt to adjusted equity ratio of 1.3. The Company was not in compliance with these covenants as of June 30, 2012.

Pursuant to the terms of the Credit Agreement, as amended, upon default, Nedbank has the right, among others, to provide notification of such condition and commence foreclosure actions on the collateral held, which represents substantially all of the assets of the Company. As of the date of these condensed consolidated financial statements, the Company has not received notification that Nedbank has exercised its rights under the Credit Agreement.

In accordance with the Credit Agreement, a default on the derivative contracts to which Nedbank is the counterparty would trigger a cross default under the Credit Agreement which would put Nedbank in a position to pursue any and all remedies under the related derivative contracts and Credit Agreement. Furthermore, under the Credit Agreement and derivative contracts, there is a master netting agreement which allows either party to offset an obligation by the other should either party be in default of its obligations. The Company was unable to make the required payments that were due to Nedbank between April 6, 2010 and January 6, 2012 under the terms of its Copper Hedge Agreement. As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the total amount due to Nedbank under the Copper Hedge Agreement was \$16,106,691, and is included in copper derivatives settlement payable within the condensed consolidated balance sheets.

# Note Payable with Mining Contractor

On July 29, 2010, the Company reached an agreement with Fisher Industries, the Company s mining contractor, to convert \$8,200,000 of unsecured trade payables, including the former note in the amount of \$850,000 and the \$110,500 accrued interest thereon, into a two-year unsecured note bearing interest on the outstanding principal at the rate of 6% per annum. Under the Settlement Agreement, Fisher receives weekly payments on the note with the amounts based on a formula related to the level of copper sales made by the Company and the weekly realized price of copper. Accordingly, under the Agreement, if the Company ships four loads, as defined in the Agreement, or approximately 176,000 pounds of copper or greater on a weekly basis, the weekly payments are calculated by multiplying the base amount of \$100,000 by a factor equal to the average weekly realized price of copper per pound divided by \$3.00. If the Company ships between 132,000 (three loads) and 176,000 (four loads) pounds of copper on a weekly basis, the base weekly amount decreases to \$75,000. If the Company ships less than 132,000 pounds of copper on a weekly basis, the amounts due under the note equate to interest only payments on the outstanding principal balance. Any unpaid principal, along with any accrued interest, is due in full on July 31, 2012.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, although not required to do so under the terms of the Agreement, the Company made principal payments on the note of \$2,500 and \$7,500, respectively. Interest payments of \$57,864 and \$151,893, respectively, were made in accordance with the Agreement for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2012. As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the total principal balance on the note of \$6,183,499 and \$6,190,999, respectively, is included in current liabilities. This estimate is subject to change based upon changes made to the Company s mining operations. Accrued interest related to the note was \$36,165 and \$0 as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

The Company was unable to make the required principal and accrued interest payment to Fisher upon the maturity of the Note on July 31, 2012. As of the date of these condensed consolidated financial statements were issued, the Company has not received a formal default notification from Fisher.

### 7. DEFERRED REVENUE

On March 31, 2009, the Company sold to Royal Gold (formerly known as IRC Nevada Inc.) a 2.5% net smelter royalty on the mineral production sold from the existing mineral rights at Johnson Camp. The net proceeds of the sale in the amount of \$4,950,000 were recorded as deferred revenue and are being amortized to revenue over the life of the mine based on a units of production method. Amounts payable to Royal Gold, which are being calculated based on the revenue generated from the sale of copper, are expensed in the period incurred. During the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2012, the Company recognized \$7,579 and \$15,829, respectively, in revenue and recorded \$50,845 and \$110,090, respectively, in royalty expense related to this royalty within the condensed consolidated statements of operations. During the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011, the Company recognized \$10,767 and \$25,050, respectively, in revenue and recorded \$84,401 and \$202,878, respectively, in royalty expense related to this royalty within the condensed consolidated statements of operations. Total deferred revenue is \$4,669,211 and \$4,685,060 as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

Deferred revenue of \$31,959 is expected to be amortized to revenue over the next twelve months. As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the total amount owed by the Company under the terms of the royalty agreement was \$1,256,845 and \$1,161,755, respectively, and is included in accounts payable on the condensed consolidated balance sheets. Furthermore, amounts greater than 30 days past due accrue interest at a rate of 12% per annum for which the Company has accrued \$290,900 and \$225,793 of interest as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, which is included within accrued interest on the condensed consolidated balance sheets.

#### 8. ACCRUED RECLAMATION COSTS

The Company estimates its asset retirement obligations and related accrued reclamation costs using an expected cash flow approach, in which multiple cash flow scenarios are used to reflect a range of possible outcomes. During 2010, the Company completed an updated review of its reclamation plan and the related estimated costs of reclamation. As a result, as of December 31, 2010, the Company estimated the aggregate undiscounted obligation to be approximately \$13,000,000 for the Johnson Camp Mine. However, as of March 31, 2011, the Company determined that certain aspects of the reclamation plan could be revised thus decreasing the estimated costs to reclaim the Johnson Camp Mine to approximately \$9,100,000 (based on 2011 dollars). This estimate was subsequently refined during the quarter ended June 30, 2011 to \$10,100,000. Accordingly, these cash flow revisions were effected in the estimated fair value of the asset retirement obligation as revised in the second quarter ended June 30, 2011 which decreased the obligation by a net amount of \$1,112,771 from the amount recorded at December 31, 2010.

To calculate the estimated fair value of this obligation, the projected cash flows, which were further adjusted for an estimated inflation rate of 2.5% per annum, were discounted at the Company s estimated annual credit adjusted risk free interest rate of 12.53% at April 1, 2011. The estimated remaining life of the Johnson Camp Mine as of June 30, 2012 is approximately twelve years. Accordingly, the cash expenditures for reclamation and closure activities are expected to occur at the conclusion of production, currently anticipated to be in 2023 2024. As 100% of the cash flows are projected to occur in 2023-2024, 100% of the accrued reclamation costs are classified as long-term within the condensed consolidated balance sheets. Reconciliations of the beginning and ending carrying amounts of the Company s asset retirement obligation for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and year ended December 31, 2011, respectively, are as follows:

	June 30, 2012 (unaudited)		December 31, 2011
Accrued reclamation costs, beginning of period	\$ 3,195,497	\$	3,932,966
Accretion expense	199,581		375,302
Revisions to cash flow estimates	-	-	(1,112,771)
Accrued reclamation costs, end of period	\$ 3,395,078	\$	3,195,497
	F-14		

#### 9. DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS

# Copper Price Protection Program

In connection with the Credit Agreement dated June 28, 2007 with Nedbank, the Company agreed to implement a price protection program with respect to a specified percentage of copper output from the Johnson Camp Mine. The price protection program consisted of financial derivatives whereby the Company entered into a combination of forward sale and call option contracts for copper quantities based on a portion of the estimated production from the Johnson Camp Mine during the term of the loan. These financial derivatives did not require the physical delivery of copper cathode and were expected to be net cash settled upon maturity and/or settlement of the contracts based upon the average daily London Metal Exchange (LME) cash settlement copper price for the month of settlement. As of December 31, 2011, all of the copper derivative contracts had matured and the outstanding amount due to the settlement of the contracts was recorded in copper derivatives settlement payable on the condensed consolidated balance sheets.

Prior to maturity, these contracts were carried on the condensed consolidated balance sheets at their estimated fair value. As mentioned above, as of December 31, 2011, all of the copper forward and call option contracts have matured.

Effective April 1, 2010, the Company no longer classified its copper derivatives as cash flow hedges. Accordingly, during the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011 the Company recognized realized losses of (\$2,572,440) and (\$5,115,360), respectively, on the monthly settlements of a total of 1,322,774 and 2,645,548 notional pounds of copper for the derivatives classified as trading securities. The Company also recognized unrealized gains of \$2,146,885 and \$3,633,429, which includes the counterparty credit valuation adjustment of \$122,226, related to the mark to market adjustment for the estimated change in fair value of the copper derivatives classified as trading securities that occurred during the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011, respectively.

As noted above, as of June 30, 2012, the Company is in default of the related Copper Hedge Agreement as it failed to make the requisite monthly payments (March 31, 2010 through December 31, 2011 settlements) related to the settlement of the derivative contracts. As of June 30, 2012 and December 31 2011, the total amounts owed to Nedbank as a result of these missed payments are \$16,106,691.

# 10. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

# **Stock Options**

The Company has granted incentive and non-qualified stock options to its employees and directors under its 2006 Stock Incentive Plan. The Company has also granted non-qualified, non-plan stock options, which have been authorized by the Company s board of directors. Stock options are generally granted at an exercise price equal to or greater than the quoted market price on the date of grant.

There are 5,724,910 stock options outstanding at June 30, 2012 issued pursuant to the Company s 2006 Stock Incentive Plan. The outstanding options expire at various dates from 2012 to 2017. The Company did not grant any stock options during the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2012. During the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2012, the Company recognized \$23,535 and \$49,522, respectively, in compensation expense related to employee stock options that vest over time. The Company granted 1,044,500 and 1,544,500 stock options during the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011, respectively. During the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011, the Company recognized \$47,746 and \$103,695, respectively, in compensation expense related to employee stock options that vest over time.

As summarized in the following tables, during the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2012, there were no stock options granted nor exercised, and 10,625 and 23,375 were cancelled or forfeited, respectively.

# Weighted

	Number of Shares	Average Exercise Pric	e
Three months ended June 30, 2012			
Options outstanding at March 31, 2012	5,735,535	\$ .3	4
Granted	-		-
Exercised	-		-
Cancelled/Forfeited	(10,625)	.1	6
Options outstanding at June 30, 2012	5,724,910	\$ .3	4

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Six months ended June 30, 2012		
Options outstanding at December 31, 2011	5,748,285	\$ .34
Granted	-	-
Exercised	-	-
Cancelled/Forfeited	(23,375)	.16
Options outstanding at June 30, 2012	5,724,910	\$ .34

The following table summarizes certain additional information about the Company s total and exercisable stock options outstanding as of June 30, 2012:

	Number Outstanding	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life in Years	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Intrinsic Value
Total stock options	5,724,910	3.29	\$ .34	\$ -
Exercisable stock options	4,452,915	3.28	\$ .40	\$ -

The closing price of the Company s common stock on the OTC Pink Sheets Market on June 29, 2012 was \$0.06 per share. Accordingly, the intrinsic values of total stock options and exercisable stock options as of June 30, 2012 was \$0.

The following table summarizes the unvested stock options outstanding as of June 30, 2012:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Three months ended June 30, 2012		
Unvested options outstanding at March 31, 2012	1,517,245	\$ .10
Granted	-	-
Vested	(237,750)	.11
Cancelled/Forfeited	(7,500)	.11
Unvested Options outstanding at June 30, 2012	1,271,995	\$ .10

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Six months ended June 30, 2012		
Unvested options outstanding at December 31, 2011	1,526,620	\$ .10
Granted	-	-
Vested	(237,750)	.11
Cancelled/Forfeited	(16,875)	.11
Unvested Options outstanding at June 30, 2012	1,271,995	\$ .10

The total grant date fair value of options vested during the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2012 was \$26,794. The Company recognizes stock option compensation expense on stock options with a graded vesting schedule on a straight line basis over the requisite service period for each separately vesting portion of the award as if the award was, in substance, multiple awards. As of June 30, 2012, 1,271,995 stock options remain unvested, which will result in \$53,121 in compensation expense to be recognized during the next two years.

The Company uses the Black-Scholes option pricing model to estimate the fair value of stock options granted. The Company granted 1,044,500 and 1,544,500 options during the three and six month period ended June 30, 2011. Given that the 500,000 stock options granted in the first quarter of 2011 were granted to one employee, the Chief Executive Officer of the Company, and the fact that the stock options vested in full as of June 30, 2011, the Company utilized a 0% forfeiture rate for this stock option grant. The expected forfeiture rate of 8% for the stock options granted in the second quarter of 2011 was based on the Company s historical forfeiture rate. The expected term of the options granted

to employees is estimated using the formula set forth in SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin ( SAB ) No. 107. The risk-free interest rate is based upon the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the date of grant and the expected volatility is based on the weighted historical volatility of the Company  $\,$ s common stock and that of its peer group.

F-17

There were no stock options granted during the six month period ending June 30, 2012. The fair values for the stock options granted during the six month period ended June 30, 2011 were estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions:

	<b>Six Months Ended</b>
	<b>June 30, 2011</b>
Risk-free interest rate	0.67% to 0.87%
Expected life	2.5 to 3.25 years
Expected volatility	115% to 126%
Expected dividend yield	0%

# Deferred Stock Units

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, certain equity-based fees have been paid to the Company s non-executive directors in the form of awards issued pursuant to the Company s 2006 Stock Incentive Plan. The non-executive directors have limited rights, exercisable within applicable time limits, to elect to have any percentage of such awards, and any percentage of cash fees, payable in deferred stock units. Each of the Company s non-executive directors exercised such rights in respect of the equity-based fees payable to him for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, Douglas Hamilton, the Chairman of the Company s Audit Committee, received 190,476 and 308,123 deferred stock units, respectively; John Cook, the Chairman of the Company s Compensation Committee, received 154,762 and 250,350 deferred stock units, respectively; Stephen Seymour, the Chairman of the Company s Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee, received 154,762 and 250,350 deferred stock units, respectively. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company recognized expense of \$26,250 and \$52,500, respectively, related to the issuance of deferred stock units to its independent directors. The deferred stock units were granted under the 2006 Deferred Stock Unit Plan, which forms part of the Company s 2006 Stock Incentive Plan. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, 0 and 310,977 deferred stock units were converted into shares of the Company s common stock, respectively. As of June 30, 2012, there were 2,637,086 deferred stock units outstanding.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, Douglas Hamilton, the Chairman of the Company s Audit Committee, received 74,074 and 138,591 deferred stock units, respectively; John Cook, the Chairman of the Company s Compensation Committee, received 60,185 and 112,604 deferred stock units, respectively; Stephen Seymour, the Chairman of the Company s Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee, received 60,185 and 112,604 deferred stock units, respectively. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company recognized expense of \$26,250 and \$52,500, respectively, related to the issuance of deferred stock units to its independent directors. The deferred stock units were granted under the 2006 Deferred Stock Unit Plan, which forms part of the Company s 2006 Stock Incentive Plan. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, 0 and 280,357 deferred stock units were converted into shares of the Company s common stock, respectively. As of June 30, 2011, there were 1,498,342 deferred stock units outstanding.

### 11. BASIC AND DILUTED EARNINGS PER SHARE

Basic earnings (loss) per common share is computed by dividing net earnings (loss) by the weighted average number of basic common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings (loss) per share are calculated based on the weighted average number of basic common shares outstanding adjusted for the dilutive effect, if any, of stock options, warrants and other dilutive securities outstanding. Outstanding options, warrants and other dilutive securities to purchase 6,349,910 and 60,714,517 shares of common stock for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, are not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share as the effect of the assumed exercise of these options and warrants, and other dilutive securities would be anti-dilutive. During the three months ended June 30, 2012, 15,333,350 and 40,000,000 warrants with an exercise price of \$1.10 and \$0.38, respectively, expired unexercised.

Components of basic and diluted loss per share were as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30,			
		2012 (unaudited)		2011 (unaudited)
Net loss available for common stock holders	\$	(2,397,676)	\$	(3,073,418)
Weighted average basic outstanding shares of common stock		114,631,186		113,483,661
Dilutive effect of warrants and stock options		-		-
Weighted average diluted outstanding shares of common stock		114,631,186		113,483,661
Loss per share:				
Basic	\$	(0.02)	\$	(0.03)
Diluted	\$	(0.02)	\$	(0.03)
		Six Month	s Ended	,
	2012			2011
	(	(unaudited)		(unaudited)
Net loss available for common stock holders	\$	(4,905,564)	\$	(5,350,798)
Weighted average basic outstanding shares of common stock		114,475,722		113,397,048
Dilutive effect of warrants and stock options		-		-
Weighted average diluted outstanding shares of common stock		114,475,722		113,397,048
Loss per share:				
Basic	\$	(0.04)	\$	(0.05)
	\$	(0.04)	\$	(0.05)
Diluted				` '

#### 12. CONCENTRATIONS

The Company currently sells 100% of its copper cathode production to Red Kite Explorer Fund Limited under a definitive purchase and sale agreement dated February 2, 2008 with Red Kite, a large, well-capitalized and diversified multinational organization; therefore, the credit risk is considered to be minimal.

#### 13. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Arizona Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ) Compliance Order and Stipulated Judgment

As previously disclosed in the Company s annual report on Form 10 K for the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company and ADEQ reached an agreement to settle the all unresolved issues resulting from previously issued Notices of Violation in respect of the Company s Aquifer Protection Permit for a penalty of \$65,000 and the Company s completion of several monitoring wells and the installation of drinking water system under a definitive schedule. These improvements were completed in June of 2011 at an estimated cost of approximately \$400,000. In conjunction with this settlement, ADEQ also agreed to the termination of the outstanding Compliance Order issued on September 7, 2002. A Consent Judgment in Superior Court reflecting these key provisions resolving these matters was finalized in April 2011. During the three and six month periods ending June 30, 2012, the Company made payments of \$16,250 on this liability. As of June 30, 2012, this liability is paid in full.

### Complaint by Former Employee

The Company received notice of complaints filed with the U.S. Department of Labor and the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Arizona alleging discriminatory employment practices by the Company against a former employee in violation of Section 806 of the Corporate Criminal Fraud Accountability Act of 2002, Title VIII of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C. 1514A and the Arizona Civil Rights. As previously disclosed in its annual report on Form 10 K for the year ended December 31, 2011, in January 2011, the Company received notification that the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Arizona has dismissed the Charge of Discrimination finding that there was no evidence to establish a violation of the Arizona civil rights statute and that further investigation is not likely to produce any such evidence.

The complaint filed with the U.S. Department of Labor remains outstanding. The Company intends to vigorously defend itself and does not believe that it will be held liable for these claims. The Company also believes that if the former employee is successful, the resulting award of damages (net of insurance reimbursement) against the Company, if any, will not be material to the financial condition of the Company.

#### Litigation

The Company is occasionally engaged in legal disputes which arise in the ordinary course of business. Management intends to vigorously defend such matters. Management does not believe that the disputes will have a material adverse impact on the results of operations or financial position of the Company.

# 14. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

Disclosures about fair value of financial instruments for the Company s financial instruments are presented in the table below. These calculations are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and significant matters of judgment and do not include income tax considerations. Therefore, the results cannot be determined with precision and, in certain cases, cannot be substantiated by comparison to independent market values and may not be realized in an actual sale or settlement of the instruments. There may be inherent weaknesses in any calculation technique, and changes in the underlying assumptions used could significantly affect the results.

The following table presents a summary of the Company s financial instruments as of June 30, 2012:

	Carrying Amount (unaudited)		I	Estimated Fair Value (unaudited)
Financial Assets:				
Restricted marketable securities	\$	686,476	\$	686,476
Accounts receivable		168,092		168,092
Financial Liabilities:				
Accounts payable	\$	4,608,589	\$	***
Accrued expenses		1,585,449		***
Accrued interest		6,487,252		***
Copper derivatives settlement payable		16,106,691		***
Current maturity of long-term debt		6,183,499		***
Current maturities of senior long term debt		23,257,826		***

Financial assets includes cash and cash equivalents, restricted marketable securities and accounts receivable and the carrying amounts approximate fair value because of the short maturities of these financial instruments. As noted above, the Company s derivatives are carried on the condensed consolidated balance sheet at estimated fair value.

\*\*\* Given the current situation with the Company s senior lender and the related default of the underlying Credit Agreement, as amended, the Company does not believe that an estimate of the fair value of its senior long-term debt can be made without incurring substantial time and resources. Accordingly, an estimate of the fair value of its senior long-term debt as of June 30, 2012 is considered impracticable. In addition, due to the current situation with the Company s senior lender and the impact this situation may have on the remaining liabilities of the Company, as of June 30, 2012, an estimate of the fair value of accounts payable, accrued expenses, accrued interest, copper derivatives settlement payable and long-term debt is also considered impracticable.

# 15. SUBSQUENT EVENTS

The Company was unable to make the required principal and accrued interest payment to Fisher upon the maturity of the note on July 31, 2012. In order to perfect its default status, Fisher Industries must first provide the Company a written notice of default. If the Company fails to the make the required payment to remedy the default within three business days, the whole sum shall become immediately due and payable at the option of Fisher without further notice. As of the date of this report, the Company has not received the default notification from Fisher.

### Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis

The following discussion of our financial condition, changes in financial condition and results of operations for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 should be read in conjunction with our unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements and related notes for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011. The following discussion contains forward-looking statements that involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions. Our actual results may differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of many factors, including, but not limited to, those referenced under the heading Risk Factors and included in the Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, previously filed with the SEC on March 29, 2012.

### Overview of Our Business

We are a copper mining company and our principal asset is the Johnson Camp property located in Dragoon, Arizona. The Johnson Camp property includes the Johnson Camp Mine, an integrated open pit copper mine and a production facility that uses the solvent extraction, electrowinning (SX EW) process. The Johnson Camp Mine includes two existing open pits, namely the Burro and the Copper Chief bulk mining pits.

We are currently in default under our Credit Agreement with Nedbank, our Copper Hedge Agreement with Nedbank Capital and our note payable with Fisher Industries, our mining contractor and largest unsecured trade creditor, who converted approximately \$8.2 million of payables to a two-year unsecured note bearing interest on the outstanding principal at the rate of 6% per annum, which matured on July 31, 2012.

Nedbank, Nedbank Capital and Fisher Industries have not exercised their respective rights to note us in default. However, our Company s continuation as a going concern is dependent upon our ability to refinance the obligations under the Credit Agreement with Nedbank, our Copper Hedge Agreement with Nedbank Capital and our note payable to Fisher Industries, raise approximately \$20 million dollars in additional capital, and on our ability to produce copper to sell at a level where our Company becomes profitable and generates cash flows from operations, all of which is uncertain.

In July 2010, we suspended the mining and crushing of ore at the Johnson Camp Mine and laid off approximately half of our workforce at the mine. We have continued to produce copper through the leaching of ore already in place on our existing pads and processing the solution through the SX-EW plant. We expect that the production level will continue to steadily decline until the resumption of mining and crushing operations. The Company continuously tries to maintain expenses at a level commensurate with current operating requirements.

We now believe that we will not be able to achieve our targeted production rate of 25 million pounds of copper per year until we have resumed mining operations, and have completed and put into full operation our planned new leaching pad. We estimate that we would incur approximately \$18 million in capital costs for the development and construction of the new leach pad. Accordingly, our new leaching pad remains subject to financing, the availability of which cannot be assured.

If we are unable to obtain financing, we expect to be able to continue our residual leaching and solvent extraction/electro-winning operations for the foreseeable future, assuming that neither Nedbank, Nedbank Capital nor Fisher Industries exercises their rights to note us in default, our vendors continue to provide us goods and services in accordance with existing terms and conditions, both copper prices and our costs (in particular, the cost of sulfuric acid) remain at or near current levels, and our copper recovery rate and copper production trends remain consistent with the average recovery rate and production trends that we have experienced for the last twelve months (none of which can be assured).

If our residual leaching and solvent extraction/electro-winning operations become economically unviable (for example, due to a significant drop in copper prices, a significant increase in the cost of sulfuric acid, or a material drop in our copper recovery rates and related copper production), we would be forced to terminate our operations, significantly reduce our workforce, place the Johnson Camp Mine on a care and maintenance program, and, perhaps, sell some of our assets (subject to the consent of our secured creditors, as appropriate).

If Nedbank, Nedbank Capital, and/or Fisher Industries elect to note us in default and enforce their rights under their respective agreements, we will not be able to continue as a going concern.

### **Development of Our Business**

We acquired the Johnson Camp Mine from Arimetco, Inc. pursuant to a Sales and Purchase Agreement that had been assigned to us in June 1999 by Summo USA Corporation, the original purchaser, following the completion of certain due diligence work by Summo. Although Arimetco had ceased mining on the property in 1997, we, like Arimetco before us, continued production of copper from ore that had been mined and placed on leach pads, and from 1999 to 2003 we (through our then subsidiary Nord Copper Company) produced approximately 4,490,045 pounds of copper cathode.

In August 2003, we placed the Johnson Camp Mine on a care and maintenance program due to weak market conditions for copper at that time. In June 2007 when conditions improved, we began the process of reactivating the Johnson Camp Mine.

In September 2007, Bikerman Engineering & Technology Associates, Inc. completed a technical report for us entitled, Johnson Camp Mine Project, Feasibility Study, Cochise County, Arizona, USA, Technical Report (the Technical Report ), and prepared in accordance with National Instrument 43 101 Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects of the Canadian Securities Administrators (as required for us to comply with provincial securities laws in Canada that are applicable to our Company).

In January 2008, we commenced copper cathode production from leaching old leach pads, and during 2008, we produced approximately 2.9 million pounds of copper from residual leaching.

In February 2008, we entered into a long-term cathode sales agreement with Red Kite Master Fund Limited (now known as Red Kite Explorer Fund Limited) for 100% of the copper cathode production from the Johnson Camp Mine. The agreement runs through December 31, 2012 with renewable extensions by mutual agreement of both parties. Pursuant to the agreement, Red Kite accepts delivery of the cathodes at the Johnson Camp Mine, and pricing is based on the COMEX price for high grade copper on the date of sale.

In August 2008, we received the Air Quality permit necessary to enable us to complete the construction related to the reactivation of the Johnson Camp Mine.

We commenced mining of new ore upon completion of the reactivation work in January 2009, and we commenced production of nominal amounts of copper from newly-mined ore during the testing and development phase of the mine in February and March 2009. We achieved commercial copper cathode production from newly-mined ore on April 1, 2009 and entered the production stage.

In July 2010, we temporarily suspended the mining and crushing of ore at the Johnson Camp Mine and laid off approximately half of our workforce at the mine. We continue to produce copper through the leaching of ore already in place on the existing pads and processing the solution through the SX-EW plant. The suspension resulted in an immediate reduction of costs and enabled our Company to maximize operating cash flow from the production of copper achieved through continued leaching of ore on the existing pads and the operation of our SX-EW plant. The suspension provides our Company with the opportunity to further evaluate our geological data, continue column leach

testing, expand mineralogical classification of the reserve and perform additional drilling as appropriate. The resulting improved database and geologic block model are expected to provide us with the necessary tools to optimize the mine plan by focusing on higher grade acid-soluble ore.

# Default Under Secured Credit Agreement with Nedbank

In March 2009, our credit agreement with Nedbank was amended and restated to provide for, among other things, the deferral of certain principal and interest payments until December 31, 2012 and March 31, 2013. Due to our cash flow constraints, we have been unable to make the quarterly payments of principal due on and after March 31 2010, each in the amount of \$1,790,099, and the related interest payments. As of June 30, 2012, our quarterly payments in arrears totaled \$16,110,891, and unpaid interest thereon totaled \$5,322,662.

We do not have a forbearance agreement with Nedbank and Nedbank has the full authority to exercise its rights under the credit agreement, including the acceleration of the full amount due thereunder and the institution of foreclosure proceedings against the Johnson Camp Mine. In accordance with the credit agreement, upon missing the principal and interest payments, the interest rate on the outstanding debt and unpaid accrued interest has been increased by 3.00% to LIBOR plus 9.06%.

# Default Under Copper Derivative and Interest Rate Swap Agreements with Nedbank Capital

Nedbank Capital has also declined to extend the forbearance agreement regarding the Company s failure to make the timely payments for the monthly settlements beginning in March of 2010 through December 31, 2011 in the aggregate amount of \$16,106,691 due under the copper derivatives agreements between the parties. As of June 30, 2012, 100% of the related copper derivatives have matured.

#### Default Under Fisher Sand & Gravel Company Promissory Note

In July 2010, we reached an agreement with Fisher Industries to convert approximately \$8.2 million of payables to a two-year unsecured note bearing interest on the outstanding principal at the rate of 6% per annum (the Promissory Note). Since the outstanding principal under the Promissory Note is due and payable on July 31, 2012, the entire balance remaining unpaid to Fisher Industries under the note has been classified as a current liability. As of June 30, 2012, current maturities of long-term debt and accrued interest in the amount of \$6,183,499 and \$36,165, respectively, are reflected in the condensed consolidated balance sheets. The Company was unable to make the required principal and accrued interest payment to Fisher upon the maturity of the note on July 31, 2012. Under the terms of the Promissory Note, Fisher Industries must first provide the Company a written notice of default. If the Company fails the make the required payment to remedy the default within three business days, the whole sum shall become immediately due and payable at the option of Fisher without further notice. As of the date of this report, the Company has not received the default notification from Fisher. The Company plans to renegotiate the terms and condition of this note in conjunction with the previously discussed refinancing.

# Company Operations if We Are Unable to Obtain Additional Capital

If we are unable to obtain financing, we expect to be able to continue our residual leaching and solvent extraction/electro-winning operations for the foreseeable future, assuming that neither Nedbank nor Nedbank Capital exercises its rights to note us in default, that Fisher Industries does not exercise its default rights under our promissory note, our vendors continue to provide us goods and services in accordance with existing terms and conditions, both copper prices and our costs (in particular, the cost of sulfuric acid) remain at or near current levels, and our copper recovery rate and copper production trends remain consistent with the average recovery rate and production trends that we have experienced for the last twelve months (none of which can be assured).

If our residual leaching and solvent extraction/electro-winning operations become economically unviable (for example, due to a significant drop in copper prices, a significant increase in the cost of sulfuric acid, or a material drop in our copper recovery rates and related copper production), we would be forced to terminate our operations, significantly reduce our workforce, place the Johnson Camp Mine on a care and maintenance program, and, perhaps, sell some our assets (subject to the consent of our secured creditors, as appropriate).

# Financial Advisory and Consulting Agreements

In June 2010, the Company engaged FTI Consulting, Inc. (FTI), to provide financial advisory and consulting services. In accordance with the Agreement, the Company agreed to pay FTI an initial fee of \$100,000 payable in four equal installments between June 1 and July 15, 2010. In addition, the Company agreed to pay FTI a success fee ranging between from 0.75% to 5.0% of the capital raised for the Company during the twelve month period ending May 31, 2012 as well as certain amounts payable should other specified transactions occur. As of June 30, 2012, the Company had paid FTI the initial fee of \$100,000 and a success fee of \$191,333 related to the closing of the \$8,200,000 promissory note with Fisher Industries in July 2010. No other success fees have been paid under the terms of the Agreement.

In March 2011, the Company engaged Olympus Securities, LLC (Olympus) to provide financial advisory and consulting services. The Company has agreed to pay Olympus a success fee ranging between 2.5% and 7.2% of the capital raised for the Company depending on the type of transaction consummated. To date no success fees have been paid under the terms of the agreement with Olympus.

### **Delisting From OTCBB**

On February 23, 2011, our common stock was de-listed from the OTC Bulletin Board (the OTCBB), and now trades exclusively on the OTC Pink Sheets Market. According to the notice published on the OTCBB website (www.otcbb.com), our common stock is no longer eligible for quotation on the OTCBB due to quoting inactivity under SEC Rule 15c2-11. The stock will remain ineligible for quotation on the OTCBB until the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. accepts a Form 211 filed pursuant to SEC Rule 15c2-11 by a market maker who wishes to resume quotations in the stock on the OTCBB.

# Results of Operations Three and Six Month Periods Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

The following table sets forth our operating results for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2012, as compared with our operating results for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011.

4

Edgar Filing: NORD RESOURCES CORP - Form 10-Q

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>		Six Months Ended				
	June 30, 2012 (unaudited)	June 30, 2011 (unaudited)	Change Increase/ (Decrease) (unaudited)	June 30, 2012 (unaudited)		June 30, 2011 (unaudited)	Change Increase/ (Decrease) (unaudited)
Net sales	\$ 2,016,395	\$ 3,386,830	\$ (1,370,435)	\$ 4,394,409	\$	8,140,174	\$ (3,745,765)
Costs applicable to sales General and	2,949,299	4,279,040	(1,329,741)	6,317,725		8,661,845	(2,344,120)
administrative expenses	366,986	676,623	(309,637)	765,948		1,194,456	(428,508)
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	196,065	230,683	(34,618)	408,336		489,987	(81,651)
Loss from operations	(1,495,955)	(1,799,516)	303,561	(3,097,600)		(2,206,114)	(891,486)
Other income (expense):							
Interest expense	(963,468)	(920,278)	(43,190)	(1,913,824)		(1,809,486)	(104,338)
Gain (loss) on derivatives classified as trading securities	17,706	(386,531)	404,237	46,387		(1,391,209)	1,437,596
Miscellaneous income (expense), net		32,907	11,134	59,473		56,011	3,462
Total other income (expense)	(901,721)	(1,273,902)	372,181	(1,807,964)		(3,144,684)	1,336,720
Loss before income taxes	(2,397,676)	(3,073,418)	675,742	(4,905,564)		(5,350,798)	445,234
Provision for income taxes	-	-	_	_		-	_
Net loss <b>Revenue</b>	\$ (2,397,676)	\$ (3,073,418)	\$ 675,742	\$ (4,905,564)	\$	(5,350,798)	\$ 445,234

We commenced production of nominal amounts of copper from newly-mined ore during the testing and development phase of the mine in February and March 2009. We entered the production stage as we achieved commercial copper

cathode production from newly-mined ore on April 1, 2009. Due to continued copper recovery issues with the existing leach pads combined with a reduction in the amount of mining activity stemming from inadequate capital, we were unable to become cash flow positive in the second quarter of 2010 as anticipated. As a result, on July 2, 2010, we suspended the mining and crushing of new ore operations to increase cash flow with the goal of building a new leach pad. All other operations, including leaching, SX-EW and copper production, are continuing. However, as noted above, we expect that the production level will continue to steadily decline until the resumption of mining and crushing operations.

In February 2008, we entered into a long term cathode sales agreement with Red Kite for 100% of the copper cathode production from the Johnson Camp Mine. The agreement runs through December 31, 2012 with renewable extensions by mutual agreement of both parties. Pursuant to the agreement, Red Kite accepts delivery of the cathodes at the Johnson Camp Mine. Pricing is based on the closing COMEX price for high grade copper on date of sale.

We recorded revenues of \$2,016,395 (including \$7,579 in amortization of deferred revenue) from the sale of 576,568 pounds of copper cathode for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and revenues of \$4,394,409 (including \$15,829 in amortization of deferred revenue) from the sale of 1,200,940 pounds of copper cathode for the six months ended June 30, 2012. The average realized price of copper sold during the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2012 was \$3.50 and \$3.66 per pound, respectively.

We recorded revenues of \$3,386,830 (including \$10,767 in amortization of deferred revenue) from the sale of 816,896 pounds of copper cathode for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and revenues of \$8,140,174 (including \$25,050 in amortization of deferred revenue) from the sale of 1,900,514 pounds of copper cathode for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The average realized price of copper sold during the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011 was \$4.15 and \$4.28 per pound, respectively.

## **Costs Applicable to Sales**

Costs applicable to sales represents the costs incurred in converting the ore present in existing leach pads into salable copper cathode. The conversion process includes the mining of ore, crushing, conveying and stacking of ore on to the pads, leaching of stockpiles, solvent extraction and electrowinning, and results in the production of copper cathode. The costs include labor, supplies, energy, site overhead costs and other necessary costs associated with the extraction and processing of ore.

For the three months ended June 30, 2012, we incurred \$2,949,299 of costs applicable to sales (including \$2,122,795 in abnormal production costs due to the underutilization of plant capacity) from the sale of copper. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, we incurred \$6,317,725 (including \$4,584,210 in abnormal production costs due to the underutilization of plant capacity) of costs applicable to sales from the sale of copper.

The average cost per pound of copper sold during the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2012 was \$5.12 and \$5.26 per pound, respectively. The average cost per pound of copper sold excluding abnormal production costs was \$1.43 and \$1.44 per pound, respectively, during the same periods.

For the three months ended June 30, 2011, we incurred \$4,279,040 of costs applicable to sales (including \$3,100,225 in abnormal production costs due to the underutilization of plant capacity) from the sale of copper. For the six months ended June 30, 2011, we incurred \$8,661,845 (including \$5,974,410 in abnormal production costs due to the underutilization of plant capacity) of costs applicable to sales from the sale of copper.

The average cost per pound of copper sold during the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011 was \$5.24 and \$4.56 per pound, respectively. The average cost per pound of copper sold excluding abnormal production costs was \$1.44 and \$1.41 per pound, respectively, during the same periods.

## **General and Administrative Expenses**

Our general and administrative expenses decreased to \$366,986 for the three months ended June 30, 2012, compared to \$676,623 for the three months ended June 30, 2011. The decrease was primarily due to a \$242,308 decrease in professional and consulting fees.

Our general and administrative expenses decreased to \$765,948 for the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared to \$1,194,456 for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The decrease was primarily due to a \$181,333 decrease in consulting fees related to the refinancing of the Company; a \$113,395 decrease in general consulting fees and a \$87,720 decrease in compensation expense.

# **Depreciation, Depletion and Amortization**

Our depreciation, depletion and amortization (DD&A) expenses decreased by \$34,618 and \$81,651 respectively, for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2012, as compared to the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011. The decrease in DD&A was primarily due to the decrease in the pounds of copper produced during the period.

#### **Other Income (Expense)**

The decrease in other expense of \$372,181 for the three months ended June 30, 2012 in comparison to the same period in the prior year was primarily due to a gain on derivatives classified as trading securities of \$17,706 in the current period as compared to a loss during the same period in 2011 of (\$386,531).

The decrease in other expense of \$1,336,720 for the six months ended June 30, 2012 in comparison to the same period in the prior year was primarily due to a gain on derivatives classified as trading securities of \$46,387 in the current period as compared to a loss during the same period in 2011 of (\$1,391,209).

#### **Net Loss**

The Company incurred a net loss of (\$2,397,676) for the three months ended June 30, 2012 as compared to a net loss of (\$3,073,418) for the three months ended June 30, 2011. The decrease in net loss between these periods is primarily related to the \$309,637 decrease in general and administrative expenses and a \$404,237 decrease on the loss on derivatives classified as trading securities.

The Company incurred a net loss of (\$4,905,564) for the six months ended June 30, 2012 as compared to a net loss of (\$5,350,798) for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The decrease in net loss between these periods is primarily related to the \$428,508 decrease in general and administrative expenses; \$1,437,596 decrease on the loss on derivatives classified as trading securities; offset in part by a \$1,401,645 decrease in gross margin on the sale of copper. The decrease in gross margin on the sale of copper is primarily due to the increase in absorption of abnormal production costs due to the underutilization of plant capacity.

# **Liquidity and Financial Resources**

We are currently in default under our Credit Agreement with Nedbank, our Copper Hedge Agreement with Nedbank Capital and our Promissory Note with Fisher Industries. Nedbank, Nedbank Capital and Fisher Industries have not exercised their respective rights to note us in default. However, our Company's continuation as a going concern is dependent upon our ability to refinance the obligations under these agreements, raise approximately \$20 million dollars in additional capital (to finance approximately \$18 million in capital costs for the development and construction of the new leach pad, and provide an additional \$2 million in working capital), and on our ability to produce copper to sell at a level where our Company becomes profitable and generates cash flows from operations, all of which is uncertain. If our Company cannot raise additional capital, refinance our obligations or achieve our operating plan because of sales shortfalls, a reduction in copper prices, or other unfavorable events, we would be forced to terminate our operations, significantly reduce our workforce, place the Johnson Camp Mine on a care and maintenance program, and, perhaps, sell some our assets (subject to the consent of our secured creditors, as appropriate). Further, if Nedbank, Nedbank Capital or Fisher Industries take steps to enforce their rights under their respective agreements, we will not be able to continue as a going concern. Our condensed consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of these uncertainties.

As noted above, we have suspended the mining and crushing of ore at the Johnson Camp Mine and laid off approximately half of our workforce at the mine. We have continued to produce copper through the leaching of ore already in place on our existing pads and processing the solution through the SX-EW plant. Our Company is now maintaining its current infrastructure in order to maintain current operations and be in position to ramp up full mining operations upon the closing of a substantial capital infusion into the Company for the proposed construction of a new leach pad.

If we are unable to obtain financing for our new leach pad, we would not be able to resume our mining and crushing operations. However, we expect to be able to continue our residual leaching and solvent-extraction/electro-winning operations for the foreseeable future, assuming that neither Nedbank nor Nedbank Capital exercises its rights to note us in default, both copper prices and our costs (in particular, the cost of sulfuric acid) remain at or near current levels, and our copper recovery rate and copper production trends remain consistent with the average recovery rate and production trends that we have experienced for the last twelve months (none of which can be assured). We caution that extraction and recovery of copper by residual leaching is, by its nature, difficult to predict, and there can be no assurance that future copper recovery rates and copper production trends will be consistent with our past experience, apart from the certainty that our production level will continue to steadily decline until the resumption of mining and crushing operations.

If our residual leaching and solvent extraction/electro-winning operations become economically unviable (for example, due to a significant drop in copper prices, a significant increase in the cost of sulfuric acid or a material drop in our copper recovery rates and copper production trends), we would be forced to terminate our operations, significantly reduce our workforce, place the Johnson Camp Mine on a care and maintenance program, and, perhaps, sell some of our assets (subject to the consent of our secured creditors, as appropriate).

If Nedbank, Nedbank Capital, and/or Fisher Industries elect to note us in default and enforce their security interests, we will not be able to continue as a going concern.

#### **Cash and Working Capital**

The following table sets forth our cash and working capital as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

		As of		As of
	J	June 30, 2012	De	cember 31, 2011
		(Unaudited)		
Cash reserves	\$	4,052(1)	\$	118,058(1)
Working capital deficiency	\$	$(55,093,390)^{(2)}$	\$	$(51,783,180)^{(3)}$

- (1) Excludes \$686,476 in restricted cash being held in conjunction with two letters of credit.
- (2) Includes \$23,257,826 in current portion of senior long-term debt, \$16,106,691 in copper derivatives settlement payable and \$6,183,499 current portion of long-term debt.
- (3) Includes \$21,481,183 in current portion of senior long-term debt, \$16,106,691 in copper derivatives settlement payable, \$6,190,999 of current maturity of long-term debt and \$1,776,643 in senior long-term debt accelerated due to default.

## **Cash Flows from Operating Activities**

Our cash flows from operating activities during the six months ended June 30, 2012 were (\$93,460) as compared to \$184,186 during the same period in 2011. The decrease in cash flows provided by operating activities between the two periods of (\$277,646) is primarily due to a decrease in the net loss of \$445,234 offset by a decrease in cash flows from the sale of inventory of \$664,019.

#### **Cash Flows from Investing Activities**

Our cash flows from investing activities during the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 were (\$14,123) and (\$538,320), respectively

## **Cash Flows from Financing Activities**

Our cash flows from financing activities during the six months ended June 30, 2012 were (\$6,423) compared to (\$309,751) for the same period in 2011. The increase in cash flows from financing activities is primarily due to fewer payments of principal payments in the six months ended June 30, 2012 on the Fisher Promissory Note as compared to the same period in 2011.

## **Accounting Developments**

There were no material changes to the Company s significant accounting policies disclosed in Note 2 to the Company s Audited Consolidated Financial Statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, as filed with the SEC on March 29, 2012.

For a discussion of significant estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amount of revenues and expenses see Note 2 within the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

For a discussion of recently adopted accounting pronouncements see Note 3 to the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### **Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

We have no off-balance sheet arrangements.

#### Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

As a smaller reporting company, we are not required to provide any information under this item.

#### **Item 4. Controls and Procedures**

Disclosure controls and procedures are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by our Company is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the SEC. Our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, Wayne Morrison, is responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures for our Company.

Our management has evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of June 30, 2012 (under the supervision and with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer), pursuant to Rule 13a-15(b) promulgated under the *Securities Exchange Act of 1934*, as amended. As part of such evaluation, management considered the matters discussed below relating to internal control over financial reporting. Based on this

evaluation, our Company s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer has concluded that our Company s disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of June 30, 2012.

# **Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

The term internal control over financial reporting is defined as a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the registrant s principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the registrant s board of directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and includes those policies and procedures that:

- pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the registrant;
- provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the registrant are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the registrant; and
- provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the registrant s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

There have not been any changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during our fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2012 that have materially affected, or are likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

#### PART II OTHER INFORMATION

#### Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Other than as set forth below, we know of no material, existing or pending legal proceedings against our Company, nor are we involved as a plaintiff in any material proceeding or pending litigation. There are no proceedings in which any of our directors, officers or affiliates, or any registered or beneficial shareholder, is an adverse party or has a material interest adverse to our interest. The outcome of open unresolved legal proceedings is presently indeterminable. Any settlement resulting from resolution of these contingencies will be accounted for in the period of settlement. We do not believe the potential outcome from any legal proceedings that remain unresolved will significantly impact our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Arizona Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ) Compliance Order and Stipulated Judgment

As previously disclosed in our annual report on Form 10 K for the year ended December 31, 2011, our Company and ADEQ reached an agreement to settle the all unresolved issues resulting from previously issued Notices of Violation in respect of our Company s Aquifer Protection Permit for a penalty of \$65,000 and Nord s completion of several monitoring wells and the installation of drinking water system under a definitive schedule. These improvements were completed by June of 2011 at an estimated cost approximately \$400,000. In conjunction with this settlement, ADEQ also agreed to the termination of the outstanding Compliance Order issued on September 7, 2002. A Consent Judgment in Superior Court reflecting these key provisions resolving these matters was finalized in April 2011. During the three and six month periods ending June 30, 2012, our Company made payments of \$16, 250 on this liability. As of June 30, 2012, the liability was paid in full.

#### Complaint by Former Employee

Our Company received notice of complaints filed with the U.S. Department of Labor and the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Arizona alleging discriminatory employment practices by our Company against a former employee in violation of Section 806 of the Corporate Criminal Fraud Accountability Act of 2002, Title VIII of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C. 1514A and the Arizona Civil Rights. As previously disclosed in our annual report on Form 10 K for the year ended December 31, 2011, in January 2011, we received notification that the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Arizona has dismissed the Charge of Discrimination finding that there was no evidence to establish a violation of the Arizona civil rights statute and that further investigation is not likely to produce any such evidence.

The complaint filed with the U.S. Department of Labor remains outstanding. Our Company intends to vigorously defend itself and does not believe that it will be held liable for these claims. We also believe that if the former employee is successful, the resulting award of damages (net of insurance reimbursement) against our Company, if any, will not be material to the financial condition of our Company.

#### Item 1A. Risk Factors

As a smaller reporting company, we are not required to provide any information under this item.

#### Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

We did not effect any unregistered sales of our shares of common stock during the period covered by this quarterly report on Form 10-Q.

## Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers

We have not purchased any of our shares of common stock during the period covered by this quarterly report on Form 10-Q.

#### Item 3. Defaults upon Debt Securities

Nedbank Limited, our Company s senior lender, has declined to extend the forbearance agreement with respect to the scheduled quarterly principal and interest payments that were due between March 31, 2010 and June 30, 2012 under our Company s \$25,000,000 secured term-loan credit facility with Nedbank. We are now in default of our obligations under the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement with Nedbank dated March 31, 2009.

Given this default, Nedbank has full authority to exercise its rights under the credit agreement, including the acceleration of the full amount due there under and the institution of foreclosure proceedings against the Johnson Camp Mine. Nedbank has not served our Company with a formal notice of default under credit agreement, which is a precondition to such exercise of Nedbank s rights of acceleration and foreclosure. In accordance with the credit agreement, upon missing the March 31, 2010 principal and interest payment the interest rate on the outstanding debt and unpaid accrued interest was increased by 3.00% to LIBOR plus 9.06%.

Nedbank Capital has also declined to extend the forbearance agreement regarding our Company s failure to make the monthly payments due between April 6, 2010 and January 5, 2012 under the Copper Hedge Agreement between the parties. Accordingly, although Nedbank Capital has not served our Company with a formal notice of default, we are in default under the Copper Hedge Agreement.

# Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

We are required to disclose in this report certain information about the Company s U.S. mining operations, including the number of certain types of violations and orders issued under the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977 by the U.S. Labor Department s Mine Safety and Health Administration. Information concerning such safety information related to our Company s U.S. mining operations or other regulatory matters required to be disclosed for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 is included as Exhibit 95.1 to this quarterly report on Form 10-Q and incorporated by reference herein.

#### Item 5. Other Information

Not applicable.

#### Item 6. Exhibits

#### **Exhibit**

#### **Number Description**

# Articles of Incorporation and By laws

- 3.1 Certificate of Incorporation (as amended) of Nord Resources Corporation<sup>(1)</sup>
- 3.2 Amended and Restated Bylaws of Nord Resources Corporation<sup>(2)</sup>
- 3.3 Amendment to Amended Certificate of Incorporation<sup>(5)</sup>

# Instruments defining the rights of security holders, including indentures

- 4.1 Pages from Amended and Restated Bylaws of Nord Resources Corporation defining the rights of holders of equity or debt securities (1)
- 4.2 Deed of Trust and Security Agreement and Fixture Financing Statement with Adjustment of Leases and Rents Filing among Nord Resources Corporation, First American Title Insurance Company and Nedbank Limited dated July 31, 2007 (13)
- 4.3 Hazardous Materials or Wastes Indemnity Agreement between Nord Resources Corporation and Nedbank Limited dated July 31, 2007<sup>(13)</sup>

# **Material Contracts**

2010 (10)

2010(11)

10.11

10.1	Executive Employment Agreement between Nord Resources Corporation and Ronald A. Hirsch dated January 2, 2004 <sup>(1)</sup>
10.2	Office Lease between Issa and Henrietta Hallaq, landlords, and Nord Resources Corporation, tenant, dated January 5, 2006 <sup>(6)</sup>
10.3	Addendum to Office Lease between Issa and Henrietta Hallaq, landlords, and Nord Resources Corporation, tenant, dated June 1, 2011 <sup>(13)</sup>
10.4	Amended and Restated Waiver Agreement And Amendment of Employment Agreement between Nord Resources Corporation and Ronald Hirsch dated October 18, 2006 (4)
10.5	Long Term Cathode Sales Agreement effective February 1, 2008, with Red Kite Explorer Fund Limited (Portions of this document have been omitted and filed separately with the SEC pursuant to a Request for Confidential Treatment filed under 17 C.F.R. 200.80(b)(4) and 240.24b 297)
10.6	Executive Employment Agreement between the Company and Wayne Morrison dated September 9, 2008. (8)
10.7	Processing Agreement with Texas Canyon Rock & Sand, Inc., dated October 31, 2008 (9)
10.8	Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of March 31, 2009 among Nord Resources Corporation, Cochise Aggregates and Materials Inc., Nedbank Limited and the Lenders from time to time party thereto (10)
10.9	Royalty Deed and Assignment of Royalty dated as of March 31, 2009, from Nord Resources Corporation to IRC Nevada Inc. (10)
10.10	Forbearance Agreement between Nord Resources Corporation and Nedbank Limited dated March 30,

13

Forbearance Agreement between Nord Resources Corporation and Nedbank Limited dated April 22,

10.15 10.16	Amended and Restated Executive Employment Agreement between the Company and Wayne Morrison dated January 19, 2011 <sup>(15)</sup> Addendum dated August 30, 2011 to Amended and Restated Executive Employment Agreement				
	between the Company and Wayne Morrison dated January 19, 2011 <sup>(16)</sup>				
	s of the Issuer				
21.1	Subsidiaries of the Issuer:				
S	Cochise Aggregates and Materials, Inc. (Incorporated in Nevada)				
Certification					
31.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14 and Rule 15d- 14(a), promulgated under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended <sup>(17)</sup>				
<u>31.2</u>	Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14 and Rule 15d- 14(a), promulgated under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended <sup>(17)</sup>				
32.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C.				
<u>52.1</u>	Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 <sup>(17)</sup>				
Mine Safety	Disclosure Exhibit				
<u>95.1</u>	Mine Safety Disclosure <sup>(17)</sup>				
Additional 1	Exhibits				
99.1	Nord Resources Corporation Amended and Restated 2006 Stock Incentive Plan (8)				
99.2	Nord Resources Corporation Performance Incentive Plan for the period from July 1, 2007 to December				
	31, 2008 (6)				
99.3					
	1 " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "				

Data Files	S
101.INS	XBRL Instance File <sup>(17)</sup>
101.SCH	XBRL Schema File <sup>(17)</sup>
101.CAL	XBRL Calculation File <sup>(17)</sup>
101.DEF	XBRL Definition File <sup>(17)</sup>
101.LAB	XBRL Label File <sup>(17)</sup>
101.PRE	XBRL Presentation File <sup>(17)</sup>

#### **Notes**

- (1) Incorporated by reference from our annual report on Form 10 KSB for the year ended December 31, 2004, filed with the SEC on January 17, 2006.
- (2) Incorporated by reference from our current report on Form 8 K dated February 15, 2006, filed with the SEC on February 16, 2006.
- (3) Incorporated by reference from our current report on Form 8 K, filed with the SEC on May 31, 2006.
- (4) Incorporated by reference from our current report on Form 8 K, filed with the SEC on October 23, 2006.
- (5) Incorporated by reference from our quarterly report on Form 10 QSB for the quarter ended March 31, 2007, filed with the SEC on May 9, 2007.
- (6) Incorporated by reference from our quarterly report on Form 10 QSB for the quarter ended September 30, 2007, filed with the SEC on August 14, 2007.
- (7) Incorporated by reference from our annual report on Form 10 KSB for the year ended December 31, 2007, filed with the SEC on March 26, 2008.
- (8) Incorporated by reference from our current report on Form 8 K dated September 9, 2008 and filed with the SEC on September 12, 2008.
- (9) Incorporated by reference from our current report on Form 8 K dated October 31, 2008 and filed with the SEC on November 5, 2008.
- (10) Incorporated by reference from our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 and filed with the SEC on March 31, 2009.
- (11) Incorporated by reference from our current report on Form 8 K dated April 22, 2010 and filed with the SEC on April 23, 2010.

- (12) Incorporated by reference from our current report on Form 8 K dated April 27, 2010 and filed with the SEC on April 29, 2010.
- (13) Incorporated by reference from our quarterly report on Form 10 Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2011, filed with the SEC on May 13, 2011.
- (14) Incorporated by reference from our current report on Form 8-K dated July 29, 2010 and filed with the SEC on July 29, 2010.
- (15) Incorporated by reference from our amended current report on Form 8-K/A dated November 30, 2010 and filed with the SEC on January 25, 2010.
- (16) Incorporated by reference from our current report on Form 8-K dated September 1, 2011 and filed with the SEC on September 1, 2011.
- (17) Filed herewith

#### **SIGNATURES**

In accordance with the requirements of the Exchange Act, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

#### NORD RESOURCES CORPORATION

August 14, 2012

By: /s/ Wayne M. Morrison Wayne M. Morrison

(Principal Executive Officer, Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)

Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer

16