PCS EDVENTURES COM INC Form 10-K June 29, 2011

#### **UNITED STATES**

#### SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

#### **FORM 10-K**

X ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011.

" TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OF 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission file number: 000-49990

## PCS Edventures!.com, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Idaho82-0475383(State or other jurisdiction of(I.R.S. Employer

Incorporation or organization Identification No.)

345 Bobwhite Court, Suite 200 Boise, ID 83706 (Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (208) 343-3110

Securities registered under Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act: None

Securities registered under Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act: No par value common stock

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes No X

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No X

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes X No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§ 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer "

Accelerated filer "
Smaller reporting company X

Non-accelerated filer "

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes "No X

State the aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates as of the last business day of FY2011 Q2: \$3,480,764 as of September 30, 2010.

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the Registrant s classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date. As of June 28,, 2011, the Registrant had outstanding 42,913,072 shares of common stock.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE:

None.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page	
PART I			
Item 1.	Business	4	
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	16	
Item 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	17	
Item 2.	Properties	17	
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings	18	
Item 4.	Removed and Reserved	19	
PART II			
Item 5.	Market for Registrant's Common Equity,	19	
	Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer		
	Purchases of Equity Securities		
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	23	
Item 7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of	23	
	Financial Condition and Results of Operations		
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About	28	
	Market Risk		
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	29	
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements With	59	
	Accountants on Accounting and Financial		
	Disclosure		
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	59	
Item 9B.	Other Information	60	
PART III			
Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate	60	
	Governance		
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	64	
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial	78	
	Owners and Management and Related		
	Stockholder Matters		
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions,	70	
	and Director Independence		
Item 14.	Principal Accounting Fees and Services	72	
PART IV			
Item 15.	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	72	
Signatures		74	

#### PART I

Item 1. Business.

PCS Edventures!.com, Inc. (the Company, PCS, we, our, us or similar words) was incorporated in 1994 in the S Idaho. In October 1994, we acquired PCS Schools, Inc. (PCS Schools), which was later divested to focus our efforts on educational systems for use in institutional afterschool venues instead of free standing learning centers. In November 2005, we acquired PCS LabMentors, Ltd. (PCS LabMentors) based in Fredericton, New Brunswick, Canada, which is a wholly owned subsidiary of PCS Edventures!.com, Inc.

PCS is engaged in the business of developing, marketing, and distributing educational products and services for the PreK-16 market which includes professional development, proprietary hardware and software, curriculum, and comprehensive learning labs bundled with related technologies and programs. Our products and technologies are targeted and marketed to public and private school classrooms for pre-kindergarten through college, and the after school market that includes YMCAs, Boys and Girls Clubs, and 21st Century Learning Centers. Our products and technologies allow students ages 3 and up to explore the foundations of science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) through experiential learning programs that embed 21st century skills.

PCS Edventures!.com, Inc. has developed a number of innovative technology-based educational products and programs directed to the pre-kindergarten through college educational market, as well as Professional Development STEM Institutes for educators. Our PCS Academy of Engineering , PCS Academy of Electronics, PCS Academy of Science, PCS Academy of Robotics, and Digital Media Labs (Audio/Video/Photography) products are site-licensed installations for classrooms and learning programs. Our PCS BrickLab® and Little Edventures products are also for classrooms and learning programs, but are not licensed. Our Brain is a proprietary robotic microcontroller designed to facilitate educational robotic activities and the Cortex is a proprietary, progressive programming environment developed to manage the Brain and teach robotic programming concepts. Our online Learning Management System (LMS) supports our licensed customers with online learning activities and support and provides assessment, file sharing, and social networking services for our customers. Our Professional Development STEM Institute offers a 30-hour professional development certification program in the areas of science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) for elementary teachers. Separately, and in combination, these products present a platform for delivering educational services and support to classrooms, and learning centers and creates a virtual community of learners on the web. PCS also partners with several companies to represent their product lines including Valiant Technologies, and K NEX Education who are known for their hands-on educational applications and whose products are included in many of our curriculum offerings. In addition, we have partnered with Minds-I, Inc., of Spokane, Washington, to combine their innovative construction system with the PCS robotic and controller programming solutions, which we believe offers a unique advantage over other competitors.

PCS LabMentors is the exclusive provider of a proprietary virtual lab technology which is designed to provide hands-on experience to high school, community college and university students studying a variety of technical topics. These technical topics include programming, network management, security, operating systems and a host of other IT subjects. LabMentors' technology provides students with the ability to manage and configure any hardware/software

platform remotely, through a proprietary client accessed remote server farm. Also embedded within the LabMentors system is a Learning Management System (LMS) that enables the delivery and tracking of curriculum and tasks to students. Using LabMentors' complete solution, any school or institution can offer advanced IT training topics in any number of areas such as Windows Server 2008®, Windows 7®, Exchange 2010, Sharepoint 2010, Linux® system administration, and various other applications without the associated overhead of owning and managing heterogeneous hardware and software platforms.

The results of operations discussed herein are on a consolidated basis.

#### **Recent Developments.**

The following are the business developments during the fiscal year that ended March 31, 2011 (FY2011):

We continued to explore potential partnerships during FY2011, seeking opportunities and values that would strengthen PCS Edventures and advance shareholder value through improved sales and marketing, development, or operational efficiency.

We continued to grow our long term relationship with Edison schools in 2011, providing additional customized learning labs for their summer adventures programs, as well as supplying them with materials authored and developed in previous years. Edison Schools has been the resource of well over \$1M in revenue since the inception of the relationship. Edison programs developed by PCS range from primary stage camps in life science and biology to high school age activities in engineering, electronics, and robotics. Development, packaging, fulfillment, and support were further streamlined this year to improve efficiency and the overall customer experience.

Our LabMentors division partnered with Cengage Learning to develop and release 8 new products in the past 12 months and is set to release 2 new titles in late fiscal year 2012.

PCS continues to strengthen and develop the core line of STEM products and services. Our Academy of Robotics was updated and enriched through the development of new curriculum and major technical upgrades. Additional volumes of curriculum in Pre-Algebra and Algebra I were completed. This series was developed by experts in the field of mathematics and in cooperation with the Boise School District. The development of robotics competition resources including manuals, judging rubrics, and overall competition framework was completed and successfully launched with an international competition conducted in July 2010. In addition, extensive curriculum development around PCS early childhood materials and the BrickLab professional development programs were completed and enhanced and additional research from the Meridian District Boise State University research project was added to our research base for products.

PCS was successful in deploying additional education programs into Middle East educational sites including an expansion of the Al Riyadh Schools program in Saudi Arabia and the establishment of PCS programs in five experimental schools in Egypt. It is the intent that these programs could help to establish a foundation for possible expansion into Egypt and the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

PCS expanded its University partnerships with Idaho State University, the University of Idaho and Florida Gulf Coast University this year, applying for several large scale NSF grants, developing STEM initiatives, and collaborating on

teacher training programs.
Strategy.
Our strategy is to continue to design and develop brain-based learning educational products and services for the PreK-16 market that emphasize STEM topics and develop contemporary skills for the 21st century learner, including critical thinking, problem solving, innovation, creativity and communications.
Our strategy consists of:
Building strong and reliable relationships with customers and vendors
The continued offering of updated and contemporary products surrounding the STEM disciplines
Building PCS brand recognition and market appeal
•
Continuing to incorporate the latest in brain-based research to improve the effectiveness of our product
•
Utilizing the internet and other digital technologies to bring our products and services to other areas, persons, and markets to increase market penetration
PAGE 5

.

Leveraging our expertise in K-12 and educational robotics to expand our robotics offerings and capture market share in the K-12 educational and after school robotics space; and

.

Expand our STEM professional development programs for K-6 classrooms to take advantage of market conditions that represent demand for these solutions.

#### Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risk.

The Company promotes many of our products in the international market, as well as having established operations in Canada as a result of the acquisition of LabMentors. As a result, our statement of cash flows and operating results could be affected by changes in foreign currency exchange rates or weak economies of foreign countries. Working capital necessary to continue operating our foreign subsidiary are held in local, Canadian currency, with additional funds utilized through the parent company being held in U.S. dollars. In accordance with SFAS 52, Foreign Currency Translation, all assets and liabilities are translated at the exchange rate on the balance sheet date and all revenues and expenditures are translated at the average rate for the period. Translation adjustments are reflected as a separate component of stockholders' equity, accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), and the net change for the year reflected separately in the statements of operations and other comprehensive income (loss). While our Canadian subsidiary provided approximately 22% of our revenue in fiscal year 2011, the sales and receivables are transacted in USD\$ and thus there is not a significant exchange risk associated with those transactions.

#### Backlog.

Our unearned revenue was \$66,156 at March 31, 2011. The unearned revenue was \$105,669 at March 31, 2010. At the end of fiscal year 2011, the entire amount of unearned revenue is expected to be earned during fiscal years 2012 and 2013. Of the total listed in unearned revenue at March 31, 2011, \$33,538 is for orders prepaid by customers and the remaining amount is for license fees paid but that have yet to be fully utilized by our customers. The entire amount of unearned revenue at March 31, 2010 is for license fees paid but not utilized. Each month, the license fees are amortized according to the length of the subscription/license.

### Seasonality.

Our quarterly operating results fluctuate as a result of a number of factors, including, but not limited to, the funding of customers, timing of product development and release, availability and timeliness of items required for assembly of the products, budget cycles, buying patterns of our customers, period ending dates, and the general health of the economy.

### **Business of Smaller Reporting Company.**

We are engaged in the business of developing, marketing, and distributing educational learning labs, virtual labs, and related technologies and programs directed to the pre-kindergarten through college levels. Our products and technologies are targeted to the public and private schools classroom, and after-school market both domestically and internationally. These products and technologies are delivered to the classroom through an inventory of hardware, software, books, and Internet access which allow students of all ages to explore the foundations of STEM education, and computer programming.

We have marketed our current products and technologies during the last seven years, and more recently the PCS LabMentors' products since 2006. We continue to expand distribution and marketing channels. In addition, the LabMentors subsidiary has two major customers in the US that it continues to service with its multi-platform virtual labs.

## Principal Products or Services and Their Markets.

We have developed and are currently marketing a number of innovative technology-based educational programs for the pre-kindergarten through university ( PreK-16 ) classroom market, the K-12 after-school market, the private learning center market, robotic hobbyist market and the home school market. In addition, the virtual lab programs from our LabMentors division are currently marketed to the collegiate level. Separately, and in combination, these lab products present a platform for delivering educational services and support, and create a virtual community of learners and parents on the web. It is our intent that as this community grows, it becomes an education portal through which additional PCS programs and services can be deployed.

additional PCS programs and services can be deployed.
Customers currently use our products to:
Uniquely motivate students by engaging them in their own learning;
Provide opportunities for students to pursue their own interests and questions and make decisions about how they will find answers and solve problems;
Make learning relevant and useful to students by establishing connections to life outside the classroom, addressing real world concerns, and developing real world skills which are desired by today s employers, including the ability to work well with others, make thoughtful decisions, take initiative, and solve complex problems;
•
Provide opportunities for teachers to build relationships with each other and with those in the larger community through sharing with other teachers, parents, mentors, and the business community who all have a stake in the student's education;
•
Provide exciting, hands-on, inquiry based instruction, which is aligned by standards produced by The National Science Teachers Association, the American Association for the Advancement of Science, Project 2061, the National

Help increase test scores and understanding in Science, Technology, Engineering and Math (STEM) standards;

Children (NAEYC), and many more depending on grade level or subject focus;

Curriculum of the UK, the Core, Knowledge, Sequence, the National Association for the Education of Young

Infuse engaging, technology-based methods and practices into the traditional classroom;
Teach concepts from mechanical, electrical, structural, and software engineering as well as mechatronics and robotics
•
Challenge students through promoting critical thinking, creativity, and problem solving techniques;
•
Enable teachers to teach science lab activities without a science lab;
•
Enable IT training professionals at the high school and college level to offer sophisticated hands-on training lab through virtualization, effectively eliminating their need to own and manage expensive server networks.
The products that we are currently marketing are applicable and useful to a variety of educational market segments. These product lines have been designed to stand-alone as well as integrate with one another to create contiguous systemic solutions:
<u>ThinkBug</u>
The ThinkBug product line includes an Alphabet Builder Curriculum, a Number Builder Curriculum, PCS Big Bricks and an optional mobile furniture unit. Designed around the most current brain and block play research, ThinkBug brings a comprehensive manipulative based literacy and numeracy STEM solution to early childhood and kindergarten educators

## **BrickLab**

The PCS BrickLab is a remarkably effective system of building blocks combined with PCS curriculum resources that addresses technology, math, construction engineering, communication, and science principles at the early primary grades. Simple to use, manage, and teach, it is an engaging and effective tool for hands-on STEM education. PCS

# Edgar Filing: PCS EDVENTURES COM INC - Form 10-K ${\it PAGE}~7$

currently has over six volumes of curriculum that support the BrickLab manipulative package addressing needs for students in the elementary and after-school setting.

## PCS Professional Development STEM Institutes

The PCS STEM institutes offers a 30 hour professional development program for elementary teachers that develops student centered learning environments, improves student academic performance, promotes 21st century skills in students, and improves teacher comfort and competence in teaching science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) across the curriculum.

#### PCS Digital Media Labs

Designed for today's digital native youth, PCS Digital Media Labs transform educational settings into technology-driven environments that use digital photography, video, and podcasting to make daily lesson plans more engaging. The curriculum is aligned with technology standards from the International Society for Technology Education (ISTE) and the International Technology Education Association (ITEA). Each Digital Media Lab contains hands-on lesson plans, a hard cover mobile case, digital cameras, camcorders or voice recorders, accessories, and a teacher guide. It is currently available in Elementary and Secondary versions for classrooms and after-school programs.

## PCS Academy of Engineering

The PCS Academy of Engineering Lab is a STEM program designed for use within tech-ed programs and is scalable for various environments using 10 student modules that include hardware, software, lab furniture, and curriculum. Using the Academy of Engineering students develop, design, and produce exciting hands-on projects ranging from catapults to robots in response to engaging challenges in a variety of topics. The current Academy of Engineering product includes three primary volumes of mechanical engineering activities. The Tech Ed configuration of the Academy of Engineering utilizes fischertechnik constructs for hands-on activities. The Academy of Engineering includes online assessment and support tools as well as access to the Edventures Academy of Robotics Challenge (AORC), an online competition framework that allows all lab students to share information and compete. The AOE is currently marketed to middle and junior high schools.

#### PCS Academy of Robotics

The PCS Academy of Robotics Lab is a STEM program that is scalable for various environments using 10 student modules that include hardware, software, lab furniture, and curriculum. Using the Academy of Robotics students develop, design, and produce exciting hands-on projects ranging from simple robotic automatons to advanced manufacturing simulations. The current Academy of Robotics product for the classroom setting includes three primary volumes of robotics curriculum covering the basics of mobility, structural integrity, motorization, end effectors, working envelopes, programming, sensor integration, and more. In addition, three volumes of applied mathematics activities, Pre-Algebra, Algebra Book 1, and Algebra Book 2, have been developed to provide real world applications and illustrations of mathematics. The Tech Ed configuration of the Academy of Robotics utilizes fischertechnik constructs for hands-on activities. Fischertechnik solutions are typically used in more rigorous applications at the high school or university level. We also have the PCS Academy of Robotics Lab After-School Edition available. With the use of LEGO® building elements, we have created an in-depth robotics program that was designed specifically for the use in after-school programs. Using the Academy of Robotics After-School Edition, students develop, design, and produce exciting hands-on projects ranging from simple robotic automatons to advanced manufacturing simulations. It is a great way to introduce students outside of the classroom to the importance of robotics and STEM, so they will look forward to these subjects within their regular school day. Academy of Robotics Labs include online assessment and support tools as well as access to the Academy of Robotics Challenge (AORC), an online competition framework that allows all lab students to share information and compete in exciting virtual challenges. Also available is a smaller package called the Robotic Educator Pack (REP), which bundles the Academy of Robotics Challenge with a starter inventory of manipulatives from fischertechnik at a lower price point. We recommend our AOR labs for students in grades 5-12.

#### **PCS** Academy of Electronics

The PCS Academy of Electronics Lab is designed for use within Tech Ed programs and is scalable using 10 student modules that include hardware, software, lab furniture and curriculum. Using the Academy of Electronics students develop, design, and produce exciting hands-on projects ranging from simple analog circuits demonstrating resistance to advanced digital logic projects using a variety of industry standard integrated circuits. The current Academy of Electronics product includes two primary volumes of electronics curriculum covering the basics of analog and digital electronics. Academy of Electronics modules utilize standard electronic components and breadboards as constructs for hands-on activities.

#### PCS Academy of Science

The PCS Academy of Science is an integrated chemistry-physical science solution designed for use within Tech Ed and science programs and is scalable using 10 student modules that include hardware, software, and curriculum. The unique, hands-on science packages within the Academy of Science combine curriculum and simple, effective apparatus that enable teachers to quickly and easily demonstrate complex science concepts. Various configurations of the products enable it to be effective as an after-school or classroom solution and the curriculum is aligned with National and State science standards. The products can be purchased in a lab format or individually based on the specific needs of the customer. The AOS is currently marketed to classrooms and after-school programs for a variety of age groups

#### **PCS Discover STEM Lab**

The PCS Discover STEM Lab is a modular, easy to present program that provides activities for after-school facilitators in the areas of STEM. Modules include hands-on activities that utilize PCS robotics, engineering, digital media, applied math activities and more. This cost-effective lab is the perfect fit into any after-school program, and has been a great addition to our after-school product line.

#### **LabMentors**

LabMentors offers technologies and products through their virtual labs on a proprietary platform as described below:

Windows® Based Applications: Currently LabMentors has virtual labs on its proprietary platform for Windows XP® and Windows Server 2003®, Windows Vista®, Windows 7®, Windows Server 2008®.

Linux® Based Virtual Labs: LabMentors offers virtual labs on its proprietary platform for Linux+®.

Certification tracks: LabMentors offers labs for Comptia and other certification tracks including Network+®, Security+®, GCFI Guide to Computer Forensics and Investigations Virtual Labs. LabMentors offers virtual labs on its proprietary platform for these Comptia and other certification tracks.

Custom Labs; In addition, LabMentors also provides custom lab development. This pricing is based on the complexity and resources involved in developing such curriculum/virtual labs.

On-call mentoring: This is a 24 hour 7 days per week call in and/or email service for students to contact the company for technical assistance regarding the virtual labs related to their studies.

We believe that education programs of our type are not currently available from any other source and present a unique opportunity for sales and marketing to specific segments of the education industry. We believe that PCS' education programs deliver a unique, proven learning experience that:

Provide students with exciting and relevant activities that brings curriculum to life;

Develop essential critical thinking and problem-solving skills;

Prepare students for real-world career demands; and

Build a strong foundation in technical literacy.

#### **PCS Designated Markets**

The educational market represents significant business opportunities in the US. There are several segments within the educational market that can benefit from the products and services that PCS provides. PCS has developed sales and marketing strategies to position the Company and its products to meet the needs of specific segments in the education market.

There is increasing focus and importance being placed on the role of technology in both public and private education. Educators and parents are not only striving to integrate technology into the educational experiences of students, but also needing to increase student s performance in technology-based subjects such as Engineering, Mathematics and Science, creating STEM. Public schools are trying to increase their ability to deliver technology education effectively to students. The increased value being placed on technology in the classroom has also led to an increase in growth for private, charter, and magnet school alternatives that can offer better programs for STEM education. Growth of extended learning programs continues as well. Federally funded STEM programs that include before, after and summer school programs have also remained an important educational focus and are also expected to meet demands for technology.

PCS core curriculum strength is based on STEM principles. In order to increase market penetration and continued growth PCS has identified the following as key target markets:

K6 Programs for the elementary classroom
 Tech Ed Programs for grades 6-12
 3)

After-School Programs

4)

5)

Products and Services adapted to provide K-16 educational solutions for the international market

Virtual labs for grades 10-16

PCS understanding of the complexities of STEM subjects and our progressive methodologies give us a unique ability to deliver solutions for educators to meet the growing demands of teaching STEM and integrating technology into classrooms and after-school settings. Here is a briefing of our current Small Business Unit (SBU) and their markets:

#### K6 Strategic Business Unit

With over 50,000 public elementary schools alone, the elementary classroom in the United States represents one of the largest markets in the education industry. We are currently developing new marketing strategies to reach elementary teachers and district leaders in the U.S. While this is a newer SBU, we feel that we are gaining great traction and believe we will continue to thrive throughout the years. PCS K-6 programs for the elementary classroom consist of professional development and classroom resources that promote student centered learning, inquiry, and STEM topics. K-6 products include ThinkBug, Brick Lab, Academy of Robotics and Digital Media Labs.

### Technical Education Strategic Business Unit

Targeting the domestic technical education marketplace, which has an estimated 22,081 programs in the U.S, the Tech Ed SBU offers STEM products that meet the needs of technology teachers servicing grades 7-12 providing pre-engineering, computer science, and robotics solutions. With most educators and districts realizing the need for STEM education improvement, the Tech Ed SBU has a great product line to meet the market s needs. A primary focus for the Tech Ed SBU is the exploding marketplace for robotics. Markets for educational robotic kits at \$27.5 million in 2007 are anticipated to reach \$1.69 billion by 2014. Tech Ed products include the PCS Academy of Engineering, PCS Academy of Robotics, PCS Academy of Science, PCS Digital Media Labs and the current development of MINDS-i kits.

#### After-School Strategic Business Unit

Targeting the domestic after-school marketplace, the PCS After-School SBU offers products that were specifically designed for the unique needs of the after-school enrichment environment. The market for after-school programs in the United States is significant with specific market segments such as the Boys and Girls Clubs (4,000 sites) and the \$1B 21<sup>st</sup> Century Community Learning Centers program. It continues to be one of our more successful markets and we believe that this trend will continue. After-school products include the BrickLab, Discover STEM Lab, the PCS Academy of Robotics after-school version, Minds-I packages with PCS robotics and the PCS Digital Media Labs.

#### International Strategic Business Unit

Internationally, PCS programs have a great deal of appeal from the perspective of STEM education needs, and also as a catalyst for developing student centered learning environments that emphasize 21st century skills such as problem solving, critical thinking, communications, and collaboration skills. PCS continues to explore opportunities in foreign markets. PCS takes great pride in being able to adapt its products to meet the diverse and unique needs of the international market place.

#### **LabMentors**

PCS LabMentors is the exclusive provider of a proprietary virtual lab technology which is designed to provide hands-on experience to high school, community college and university students studying a variety of technical topics. These technical topics include programming, network management, security, operating systems and other IT subjects. LabMentors' technology provides students with the ability to manage and configure any hardware/software platform remotely, through a proprietary client accessed remote server farm. Also embedded within the LabMentors system is a Learning Management System (LMS) that enables the delivery and tracking of curriculum and tasks to students. Using LabMentors' complete solution, any school or institution can offer advanced IT training topics in any number of areas such as Windows Server 2008®, Windows 7, ® Exchange 2010, Sharepoint 2010, Linux® system administration and various other applications without the associated overhead of owning and managing heterogeneous hardware and software platforms.

## **Marketing and Other Agreements.**

- (i) In June of 2009, PCS entered into a Master Distribution Agreement with Mexico City based Inteltech, the leading distributor of education products and services in Mexico. In September of 2009, Inteltech placed the first PCS pilot installation in Colegio Israelita, a well-regarded private school to begin the expansion of PCS programs into the Mexican market. Inteltech is a Mexican company that supplies comprehensive educational state of the art technology solutions to state and federal Mexican institutions, by exclusively representing most of the world leader brands in the education industry.
- (ii) In July of 2009 PCS announced successful pilot results from its Australian partner and distributor, Intellecta Technologies Pty Ltd. An initial Academy of Engineering installation was placed at Aviation High School in Brisbane, Queensland -- a model magnet school designed to facilitate student career placements within the aviation industry. The Director General for Queensland, along with federal-level professional technical personnel, also visited and observed the pilot lab in action.

- (iii) In September of 2009, PCS signed contracts with Al Riyadh Schools addressing the implementation of technology-based laboratories designed and managed by PCS into grades 4 and 7 of Al Riyadh Schools.
- (iv) In September of 2009 PCS executed two sales and distribution agreements with MINDS-i, Inc., of Liberty Lake, Washington. MINDS-i is the maker of advanced motorized and non-motorized construction systems for the education, hobby, and retail marketplace. The agreements address mutual sales and distribution points that provide MINDS-i with support and preferred access to PCS robotics technology for integration with MINDS-i systems, and provide PCS with the exclusive, worldwide educational curriculum rights for MINDS-i products.
- (v) In March of 2010 PCS successfully completed a pilot robotics competition program in Cairo, Egypt, partnering with the Integrated Care Society and Bibliotech Alexandria. In December 2010 and January 2011, PCS completed shipments for lab implementations into five experimental schools in Egypt. Political turmoil slowed implementation and training programs in January and February, but the programs are now in place and operational in five Egyptian Governorates.
- (vi) In order to facilitate future revenue growth PCS engaged in an in-depth review of our go to market strategy during FY2010, which resulted in a shift to re-focus the delivery of our unique capabilities to meet the needs of the market. We have ceased direct sales based on geographical regions and have moved into four (4) Strategic Business Units

(SBUs), in addition to our wholly owned subsidiary LabMentors. We currently have four in-house sales employees, consisting of two SBU Vice Presidents/Managers and two Sales Associates. In addition there are three independent sales representatives. The independent sales representatives are utilized and managed by the Sr. Vice President of Finance and Administration and Chief Financial Officer along with the in house sales department.

(vii) We have also engaged JK Thomas and Associates, Ltd., a company providing internet based services for the educational market to enhance our marketing efforts. Through this partnership, we are now offering webinars and other internet based promotional campaigns to a targeted market.

(viii) In September of 2010 PCS executed a consulting agreement with Al Khaymah USA to facilitate the development of partnerships and opportunities in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

(ix) In January of 2011 PCS terminated a sales and marketing distribution agreement with Dr. Yasser Mohammed Refai and Global Techniques.

Distribution Methods of the Products or Services.

All products except the virtual labs produced by LabMentors and our Edventures! Online subscriptions are drop shipped from the manufacturer or shipped through a preferred provider from our warehouse to the specified customer. The virtual labs produced by LabMentors and our Edventures! Online are delivered through the Internet through server farms located off-site.

Status of any Publicly Announced New Product or Service.

PCS continues to strengthen and develop the core line of STEM products and services. The Discover STEM lab after-school product line was created and the first section completed. Robotics was updated and enriched through the development and partnering with a new heavy-duty manipulative system and many advanced peripherals. Additional volumes of curriculum in Pre-Algebra and Algebra I were completed. This series was developed by experts in the field of mathematics and in cooperation with the Boise School District. The development of robotics competition resources including manuals, judging rubrics, and overall competition framework was completed and successfully launched with an International competition conducted in July 2010. In addition, curriculum development around PCS early childhood materials and the BrickLab professional development programs were completed and enhanced, and additional research from the Meridian District/Boise State University research project was added to our research base

for products.

In August of 2010, PCS successfully completed an entirely new set of BrickLab curriculum for first through sixth grade and added heavily to the already extensive BrickLab Institute professional development materials. The new curriculum was designed to be more lesson-plan friendly for the classroom. The previous incarnation of the BrickLab became the lead for the after-school BrickLab market. A body of research produced by Boise State University in the Idaho SySTEMic Institute has helped to drive the research-based improvements to the overall process and experience for educators.

In October of 2010, PCS continued the integration of the Minds-i building system into its current product lines. New sensors and sensor fittings were designed and created, the complete Minds-i CAD library was developed, and two Minds-i summer camp products were planned for summer of 2011.

In November of 2010, additional BrickLab extension building cards were created to offer thematic building activities. This was a requested curriculum by teachers already using the BrickLab in the classroom.

November 2010 also brought the creation of three new 12-day summer camps for Newton Learning.

Continual development of the next version of our microcontroller, The Brain and sensors, has begun with the goal of creating a smaller more efficient electronic footprint, adding more capability and furthering integration of servos and other third-party sensors.

Winter of 2010 focused on creating a new STEM after-school lab offering. Using the already existing Discover Lab name, a new modular STEM product was created for grades 4-6. Offering 12 activities in each subject area Science, Technology, Engineering and Math, the lab could support sixteen students working in pairs.

In January 2011, PCS began designing a comprehensive technology education solution for after- school centers in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. The curriculum focuses on the use of PCS BrickLab, the Academy of Robotics, Academy of Engineering, Digital Media Labs, and integrated hands-on, project based learning approaches.

Competitive Business Conditions and the Small Business Issuer's Competitive Position in the Industry and Methods of Competition.

The education industry is highly competitive, very fragmented and is rapidly evolving around the STEM disciplines. We expected the industry to undergo significant and rapid technology change. The Nationwide economic difficulties are causing budget deficits, teacher layoffs, and program reductions, which may impede industry growth.

Competitors in the STEM marketplace include a variety of publishers, technical education companies and non-profit solution providers including VEX, Pitsco, LabVolt, Pasco, LEGO Education, McGraw Hill and Project Lead the Way. These companies along with new entrants into the market may develop products and services and technologies superior to our products that may result in our products and services becoming less competitive. Many of the companies that are established or are entering the market have substantially greater financial, manufacturing, marketing, technical resources and established historical channels than we have and represent significant long-term competition. To the extent that these companies offer comparable products and services at lower prices or higher quality and more cost effective, our business could be adversely affected.

#### **Potential Competitive Advantages.**

We believe that we have and continue to develop certain additional competitive advantages that we will attempt to maximize in developing and implementing our business strategy.

PCS' educational programs are innovative, unique and based on over 25 years of experience and product development. It would be difficult for competitors to create similar quality products due to the unique curriculum building process.

Use of the Internet as a delivery and support mechanism for the programs. By leveraging our expertise in Internet technology, PCS achieves the following significant advantages: (1) a high level of program control and protection; (2) the building of a significant data model regarding program usage; and (3) a direct channel to our users who are migrating towards digital e-reading devices. Each of these advantages provides tangible long-term benefits to the Company.

Organic expansion of program offerings. After implementing and proving a successful program model, PCS will leverage its high level of customer satisfaction to expand current and additional programs designed to integrate seamlessly into the already deployed sites. This creates a long-term growth strategy that includes new and residual sales to an ever-growing list of customers.

Flexibility of products and staff to align STEM solutions to multiple types of users. Our in-house intellectual capital has experience in a variety of educational environments and has demonstrated an ability to create highly effective solutions for specific niche markets. This extensive experience of almost 25 years in STEM education provides PCS with an advantage over competitors with less experience in STEM education.

PCS believes the contiguous nature of its products creates a system that provides a competitive advantage over other companies who may have single product offerings or products with no systemic approach or plan. This system begins at the PreK level and extending through college. School districts seeking a systemic approach to STEM education will find the PCS approach comprehensive.

Sources and Av	vailability of <b>F</b>	Raw Materials	and the Na	ames of Princir	oal Suppliers.

We currently do not manufacture the products that accompany our curriculum and are dependent on vendors for our supply of these products. We believe that efficient purchasing is a factor in maintaining our competitiveness. The following is a list of vendors for our key products: I.B.A., fischertechnik, K NEX, Innovative Experiments, Educator s Resource and Ingram Micro.

Dependence on One or a Few Major Customers.

In general, PCS does not rely on one or a few major customers. However, PCS LabMentors has one major customer, Cengage Learning, previously known as Thomson Course Technology, whose revenue is greater than 10% of the total LabMentors revenue stream.

Patents, Trademarks, Licenses, Franchises, Concessions, Royalty Agreements or Labor Contracts, including Duration.

We seek to protect our technology, documentation, and other written materials under trade secret and copyright laws, which afford only limited protection. Generally, we enter into confidentiality and non-disclosure agreements with our , key vendors and suppliers. At the present time, we have not applied for any patents, nor do we have any patents pending. We anticipate that our products will not be the type for which patent protection will be sought. However, we may file for patent protection on certain aspects of our proprietary technology in the future.

Currently we use the following registered and common-law trademarks: PCS & Design®, Academy of Learning , Edventures! , PCS BrickLab®, PCS Academy of Science®, Academy of Robotics , Academy of Electronics , PCS Edventures!.com.®, PCS STEPS & Design®, PCS Young Learning , Little Edventures , PCS STEPS®, New Learning for a New World®, Imagination in Education®, and PCS Academy of Engineering . We intend to evaluate continually the appropriateness of seeking registration of additional product names and trademarks as they evolve

Our PCS & Design® mark (Registration No. 2,213,678) has been in use since at least as early as 1992 and has been a U.S. Registered Trademark since December 29, 1998. PCS Edventures!.COM® (Registration No. 2,511,642) has been in use since at least as early as June 1, 1996 and has been a U.S. Registered Trademark since November 27, 2001.

We applied for a U.S. trademark registration (application serial number #78/329,127) for PCS BrickLab® on November 17, 2003. PCS BrickLab® was registered on January 16, 2007 with Registration Number 3,198,009. PCS BrickLab® has been in use since at least as early as January 1, 2002.

We applied for a U.S. trademark registration (application serial number #78/841,293) for PCS STEPS® on March 20, 2006. PCS STEPS® was registered on May 22, 2007 with Registration Number 3,244,304. PCS STEPS® has been in use since at least as early as January 1, 2006.

We applied for a U.S. trademark registration (application serial number #77/184,052) for Imagination in Education® on May 17, 2007. Imagination in Education® was registered on August 5, 2008 with Registration Number 3,478,928. Imagination in Education® has been in use since at least as early as July 1, 2006.

We applied for a U.S. trademark registration (application serial number #77/184,043) for New Learning for a New World® on May 17, 2007. New Learning for a New World was registered on November 11, 2008 with Registration Number 3,529,785. New Learning for a New World® has been in use since at least as early as July 1, 2006.

We applied for a U.S. trademark registration (application serial number #78/472600) for PCS Academy of Science® on August 24, 2004. PCS Academy of Science® was registered on September 1, 2009 with Registration Number 3,676,485. PCS Academy of Science® has been in use since at least as early as January 1, 2004.

We applied for U.S. Copyright Registration of Infusing Technology Digital Photography Lab: Elementary on August 4, 2008. Registration #TX6-863-245 was granted effective August 4, 2008. Infusing Technology Digital Photography Lab: Elementary date of first publication was March 26, 2008.

We applied for U.S. Copyright Registration of Infusing Technology Digital Photography Lab: Grades 1-6 on August 4, 2008. Registration #TX6-865-569 was granted effective August 4, 2008. Infusing Technology Digital Photography Lab: Grades 1-6 date of first publication was June 10, 2008.

We applied for U.S. Copyright Registration of Infusing Technology Digital Photography Lab: High School eon August 4, 2008. Registration #TX6-964-151 was granted effective August 4, 2008. Infusing Technology Digital Photography Lab: High School date of first publication was May 7, 2008.

We applied for U.S. Copyright Registration of Digital Audio Lab Grades 1 through 6 Curriculum on October 14, 2008. Registration #TX6-881-690 was granted effective October 14, 2008. Digital Audio Lab Grades 1 through 6 Curriculum date of first publication was October 1, 2008.

Although we believe that our products have been independently developed and that we do not infringe on any third party rights, third parties may, in the future, assert infringement claims against us. We may be required to modify our products, trademarks, and/or technology or to obtain licenses to permit our continued use of those rights. We may not be able to do so in a timely manner or upon reasonable terms and conditions and as such failure to do so could irreparably harm the Company and/or our operating results.

We currently have curriculum royalty agreements with GibsonTech and Jackie DeLuna a.k.a. Infusing Technology. The agreement with Gibson Tech, which was renewed this past year, is for cash royalty payments based on sales of our PCS Academy of Electronics product. The agreement with Jackie DeLuna expires March 31, 2012, is for cash royalty payments based on sales of our Digital Photography lab product(s).

Need for any Government Approval of Principal Products or Services.

None, not applicable.
Effect of Existing or Probable Governmental Regulations on the Business.
Exchange Act.
We are subject to the following regulations of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act ) and applicable securities laws, rules and regulations promulgated under the Exchange Act by the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC). Compliance with these requirements of the Exchange Act will also substantially increase our legal and accounting costs.
Smaller Reporting Company.
We are subject to the reporting requirements of Section 13 of the Exchange Act, and subject to the disclosure requirements of Regulation S-K of the SEC, as a smaller reporting company. That designation will relieve us of some of the informational requirements of Regulation S-K.
PAGE 15

#### Sarbanes-Oxley Act.

We are also subject to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act created a strong and independent accounting oversight board to oversee the conduct of auditors of public companies and strengthens auditor independence. It also requires steps to enhance the direct responsibility of senior members of management for financial reporting and for the quality of financial disclosures made by public companies; establishes clear statutory rules to limit, and to expose to public view, possible conflicts of interest affecting securities analysts; creates guidelines for audit committee members appointment, compensation and oversight of the work of public companies auditors; management assessment of our internal controls; prohibits certain insider trading during pension fund blackout periods; requires companies and auditors to evaluate internal controls and procedures; and establishes a federal crime of securities fraud, among other provisions. Compliance with the requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act will substantially increase our legal and accounting costs.

### Reporting Obligations.

Section 14(a) of the Exchange Act requires all companies with securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act to comply with the rules and regulations of the SEC regarding proxy solicitations, as outlined in Regulation 14A. Matters submitted to stockholders of our Company at a special or annual meeting thereof or pursuant to a written consent will require our Company to provide our stockholders with the information outlined in Schedules 14A or 14C of Regulation 14; preliminary copies of this information must be submitted to the SEC at least 10 days prior to the date that definitive copies of this information are forwarded to our stockholders.

We will also be required to file annual reports on Form 10-K and quarterly reports on Form 10-Q with the SEC on a regular basis, and will be required to timely disclose certain material events (e.g., changes in corporate control; acquisitions or dispositions of a significant amount of assets other than in the ordinary course of business; and bankruptcy) in a Current Report on Form 8-K.

Cost and Effects of Compliance with Environmental Laws.

None, not applicable.

Number of Total Employees and Number of Full Time Employees.

We employ approximately eighteen full-time employees, while our LabMentors subsidiary has four full-time employees. We will hire part-time and additional full-time employees on an as-needed basis. We have not experienced a shortage of qualified employees. None of our employees are a party to a collective bargaining unit and we believe that our relationship with our employees is good.

#### Item 1A-Risk Factors.

Smaller reporting companies are not required to provide this information; however, you should be aware that an investment in the Company is highly speculative and subject to numerous risks. You should consider the following most notable risk factors together with all of the other information contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K before making an investment decision with respect to our common stock. This list is not to be considered all inclusive.

#### Risks Related to our Business.

#### Ability to Raise Capital

We have a history of significant operating losses and may not be able to achieve sustained profitability if we are unable to increase revenue from our new products and marketing efforts. In order to achieve sustained profitability, we

will need to implement	changes to existing business processes and improve our cost cutting efforts in addition to
driving revenue growth.	This history of operating losses could impede our future ability to raise capital.

#### Potential Loss of Intellectual Property

The company has pledged its Intellectual Property as collateral for notes payable as discussed in Note 7. Risk of loss of the underlying IP exists should the Company default on these notes.

### **Education Funding**

The education market is heavily dependent on support from federal, state and local governments. These government agencies have realized budget cuts and the government appropriations process is often slow and unpredictable. Funding difficulties can negatively impact our ability to increase revenue.

### **International Expertise**

Our attempt to enter international markets introduces political and cultural risk. As a small company, we do not have extensive experience in international business arrangements and will need to rely on certain outside expertise which can be costly.

#### Litigation

Our ongoing proceedings with the SEC and the Class Action lawsuit are a distraction for the Company. In addition to the financial risk disclosed under the Legal Proceedings section, Mr. Maher and Ms. Grindle are forced to expend significant time in an attempt to reach conclusion on these matters.

#### Item 1B-Unresolved Staff Comments.

None, not applicable.

Item 2. Property.		

#### Location.

The Company leases its Principal executive offices in Boise, Idaho. These offices consist of approximately 7,300 square feet of office space. Rent obligations are currently \$10,266/month under a non-cancelable operating lease that expires May 31, 2012.

The lease obligations for the remainder of the lease are as follows:

Fiscal Year	Monthly Obligation
2012	\$10,266
2013	\$11,254

The Company leases additional warehouse space in Boise, Idaho. This warehouse space consists of approximately 2,880 square feet. Rent obligations are approximately \$1,400/month under a non-cancelable operating lease that expires June 30, 2012.

Effective October, 2010, the Company entered into a five year office lease for the LabMentors subsidiary located in Fredericton, New Brunswick, Canada. This space consists of approximately 1,700 square feet. Rent obligations in Canadian dollars (CAD) are \$1,713/month through September 30, 2011.

The lease obligations for the remainder of the lease are as follows:

Fiscal Year	<b>Monthly Obligation</b>
2011-2012	\$1,713
2012-2013	\$1,894
2013-2014	\$2,075
2014-2015	\$2,255
2015-2016	\$2,436

**Investment Policies.** 

None, not applicable.

**Description of Real Estate and Operating Data.** 

None, not applicable.

#### **Item 3. Legal Proceedings.**

(i) <u>SEC Proceedings:</u> The Company previously announced that on August 27, 2010, it obtained a copy of a complaint filed by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) commencing a civil lawsuit against PCS, its Chief Executive Officer Anthony A. Maher, and its former Chief Financial Officer Shannon Stith (Parties). The complaint (Case 1:10-cv-00433-CWD) was filed in the United States District Court for the District of Idaho. The lawsuit involves disclosures made by the Company concerning its March 26, 2007 License Agreement with Global Techniques dba PCS Middle East (PCS ME). The complaint alleges: 1) the Parties violated Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5], and, in doing so, the Parties are alleged to have committed fraud in connection with the purchase and sale of securities; 2) the Parties violated of Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78m(a)] and Rules 12b-20, 13a-1, and 13a-11 thereunder [17 C.F.R. 240.12b-20, 240.13a-1, and 240.13a-11] by making alleged false filings with the SEC and aiding and abetting false filings with the SEC; and 3) Mr. Maher and Ms. Stith violated Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78m(a)] and Rule 13a-14 thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.13a-14] in making false certifications of an annual report. The complaint seeks a permanent injunction, civil money penalties pursuant to Section 21(d) (3) of the Exchange Act, and

a bar against Mr. Maher and Ms. Stith from serving as an officer or director of any issuer that has a class of securities registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act, as amended, or that is required to file reports pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act. On November 9, 2010, the Company filed a Motion to Dismiss (and/or for Summary Judgment), and a Motion to Strike the SEC s Amended Complaint which were denied by the Court on January 28, 2011. On April 28, 2011 and May 24, 2011, the Company participated in Court-ordered settlement conferences with representatives of the SEC and is engaged in ongoing discussions. On May 25, 2011, the Court entered a consent judgment against Ms. Stith: (1) permanently enjoining her from aiding and abetting any violation of Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act, and Rules 12b-20, 13a-1 and 13a-11 promulgated thereunder, (2) permanently enjoining her from violating Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act and Rule 13a-14 promulgated thereunder, and (3) ordering her to pay a civil penalty pursuant to Section 21(d)(3) of the Exchange Act in an amount that will be determined by the Court.

Under its bylaws, PCS is obligated, subject to certain exceptions and conditions, to indemnify and advance expenses to current and former officers and directors in connection with the SEC suit. The costs incurred by PCS in addressing the SEC suit may have a material adverse effect on PCS business, financial position, results of operations and cash flows (including its liquidity and its plan of operation as outlined in management s discussion and analysis in PCS most recent 10-K and 10-Q reports).

(ii) <u>Insurance Lawsuit</u>: PCS previously announced that its directors and officers insurance carrier had denied coverage of any claims relating to the SEC investigation, and, as a result, the Company initiated suit against the insurer (PCS Edventures!.com Inc. v. Navigators Insurance Company in the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District of the

State of Idaho, in and for the county of Ada, Case No. CV-OC 1012105) for among other things, breach of contract and bad faith. On December 21, 2010, the Company reached a settlement of that lawsuit, as reported on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on December 28, 2010, and while the terms are confidential, the Company was reimbursed for a significant portion of its past legal fees, and a substantial part of the legal costs going forward will be paid by the insurer, even though the overall coverage was reduced under the negotiated settlement.

(iii) <u>Class Action Lawsuit</u>: The Company, along with its current CEO, and former CFO has been named in a class action lawsuit-(<u>Niederklein v. PCS Edventures!.com, Inc., et al.</u>, U.S. District Court for the District of Idaho, Case 1:10-cv-00479-CWD). The class action was brought on behalf of shareholders who purchased shares of the Company s common stock during the period between March 28, 2007 and August 15, 2007. On February 24, 2011, the Court granted the motion of Moustafa Salem to serve as the lead plaintiff. On June 8, 2011, the lead plaintiff filed a motion to voluntarily dismiss the former CFO without prejudice from the lawsuit, which the Court has granted. On June 10, 2011, the Company participated in a Court-ordered settlement conference and is engaged in ongoing negotiations.

Under its bylaws, PCS is obligated, subject to certain exceptions and conditions, to indemnify and advance expenses to current and former officers and directors in connection with this suit. The costs incurred by PCS in addressing this suit may have a material adverse effect on PCS business, financial position, results of operations and cash flows (including its liquidity and its plan of operation as outlined in management s discussion and analysis in PCS most recent 10-K and 10-Q reports).

#### Item 4. Removed and Reserved

## PART II

Item 5. Market for Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Small Business Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.

**Market Information.** 

Our common stock is presently quoted on the OTC Bulletin Board of the NASD under the symbol PCSV as discussed below. No assurance can be given that the market for our common stock will continue in the future or will be maintained. The possible sale of restricted securities (common stock) pursuant to Rule 144 of the SEC held by

members of management or others could have a substantial adverse impact on this market. The range of high and low bid quotations for our common stock during each quarter of our past two fiscal years is shown below. Prices are inter-dealer quotations as reported by the NQB, LLC, and do not necessarily reflect transactions, retail markups, markdowns, or commissions.

## Stock Quotations.

Quarter Ended	High	Low
•	•	
June 30, 2009	1.00	0.70
September 30, 2009	1.21	0.57
December 31, 2009	1.60	0.76
March 31, 2010	0.99	0.80
June 30, 2010	0.85	0.54
September 30, 2010	0.75	0.30
December 31, 2010	0.42	0.11
March 31, 2011	0.26	0.11

#### Holders.

As of March 31, 2011, we had approximately 250 stockholders of record through our transfer agent. This figure does not include an indeterminate number of stockholders who may hold their shares in a street name.

#### Dividends.

We have not paid any cash dividends since our inception and do not anticipate or contemplate paying dividends in the foreseeable future. It is the present intent of management to utilize all available funds for the development of our business.

## **Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans.**

On August 27, 2009, the Board of Directors adopted and the shareholder s approved the PCS Edventures!.com, Inc. 2009 Equity Incentive Plan ( 2009 Plan ). The 2009 Plan was designed to replace the existing 2004 Nonqualified Stock Option Plan ( 2004 Plan ). The 2009 Plan provides for the grant of various types of equity instruments, including grants of restricted and unrestricted PCS common stock as well as options and other types of awards. The 2009 Plan was implemented to align the interests of the Company s employees with those if the shareholders and to motivate, attract, and retain its employees and provide an incentive for outstanding performance.

	Number of Securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Weighted-average outstanding options,		Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans excluding securities reflected in column (a)
F	(a)	(b	))	(c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	1,713,757	\$	0.70	2,193,409
Equity compensation	-	\$		-

Edgar Filing: PCS EDVENTURES COM INC - Form 10-K

plans not approved by security holders

Total 1,713,757 \$ 0.70 2,193,409

## **Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities**

During the last three years, we sold the securities listed below in unregistered transactions. Each of the sales was sold in reliance on the exemption provided for in Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act ). No underwriting fee or other compensation was paid in connection with the issuance of shares.

	Number of			
Name of Person or Group	Shares	Co	onsideration	Note
*Consultants	223,939	\$	116,815	1
*Legal Consultants	142,846		89,440	2
Private Investors	1,705,414		600,000	3
Private Investors: Warrants	110,000		66,000	4
*Employees: Benefits	300,895		148,681	5
*Employees: Bonus	120,039		60,099	6
*Employee Options: cash	105,699		38,149	7
*Employees Options: cashless	520,130		-	8
	3,228,962	\$	1,119,184	

<sup>\*</sup> Issued as Restricted Securities under the 2009 Equity Incentive Plan.

1.

Shares issued to consultants for services:

Shares				Value							
Fiscal Year				Fiscal Year							
	2009 2010 201		2011	2009		20	10	2011			
Q1	41	13,893.00	12,624	\$	48	\$	11,593	\$	11,415		
Q2	0	12,302	23,210		0		8,010		14,060		
Q3	10,526	11,973	43,798	8	,595		14,565		11,565		
Q4	11,836	16,130	67,606	11	,591		15,675		9,698		

Shares issued for legal services::

Shares					Value					
			Fiscal Year		Fiscal Year	•				
	2009 2010 2		2011	2009		20	010	2011		
Q1		-	24,371	-	\$	-	\$	24,371	\$	-
Q2		-	30,900	10,961		-		19,303		7,422
Q3		-	-	28,303		-		-		6,879
Q4		-	30,588	17,723		-		28,934		2,531

3.

Shares issued to private investors for cash purchase:

		Shares					Value		
	F	iscal Year				Fis	scal Year	r	
	2009	2010	20	11	20	009	20	)10	2011
Q1	-	-	750,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 450,0	000
Q2	-	-	-		-		-		-
Q3	-	-	955,414		-		-	\$ 150,0	000
Q4	-	-	-		-		-		-

4.

Shares issued to private investors for the purchase of warrants:

		Shares	Value						
	F	iscal Year		]	Fiscal Year	•			
	2009	2010	2011	2009	2010		2011		
Q1	100,000	-	-	\$ 60,000	\$	-	\$	-	
Q2	-	-	-	-		-		-	
Q3	10,000	-	-	6,000		-		-	
O4	_	_	_	_		_		_	

5.

Shares issued to employees for benefits:

		Shares			Value	
		Fiscal Year			Fiscal Year	
	2009	2010	2011	2009	2010	2011
Q1	-	8,267	25,415	\$ -	\$ 5,868	\$ 20,434
Q2	-	27,410	31,090	-	22,537	19,147
Q3	-	17,870	54,940	-	25,591	17,452
Q4	-	21,425	114,478	-	20,039	17,614

6.

Shares issued to employees for bonus:

Shares				Value						
Fiscal Year				Fiscal Year						
	2009	2010	2011		2009		2010		2011	
Q1	-	-	16,308	\$	-	\$	-	\$	14,102	
Q2	-	31,160	9,538		-		24,015		5,723	
Q3	-	6,882	10,000		-		8,259		2,000	
Q4	-	-	46,151		-		-		6,000	

7.

Shares issued to employees for the purchase of options for cash:

		Shares				Value	
		Fiscal Year			F	Fiscal Year	
	2009	2010	2011	2009		2010	2011
Q1	-	20,000	-	\$ -	\$	2,600	\$ -
Q2	35,000	25,841	-	18,500		9,154	-
Q3	-	24,858	-	-		7,895	-
Q4	-	-	-	-		-	-

# 8. Purchase of options by employees in a cashless transaction:

		Shares				Value			
	•	Fiscal Year			]	Fiscal Yea	ar		
	2009	2010	2011	2009		2010		2011	
Q1	16,667	214,976	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Q2	-	233,601	-		-		-		-
Q3	-	54,886	-		-		-		-
Q4	-	-	-		-		-		-

## Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act ) Registration Exemption Relied Upon

We issued these securities to persons who were either accredited investors or sophisticated investors as those terms are respectively defined in Rules 501 and 506 of the SEC; and each person had prior access to all material information about us. We believe that the offer and sale of these securities was exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act pursuant to Sections 4(2) and 4(6) thereof, and Rule 506 of Regulation D of the Securities Exchange Commission. Section 18 of the Securities Act preempts state registration requirements for sales to these classes of persons, save for compliance with state notice and fee requirements, as may be applicable.

#### **Use of Proceeds of Registered Securities**

There were no proceeds received during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011, from the sale of registered securities.

#### Purchase of Equity Securities by Us and Affiliated Purchasers

We have not made any purchases of our outstanding equity securities; nor have any purchases of our equity securities been made by any person who may be deemed to have been one of our affiliates .

Purchases of Equity Securities by the Small Business Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers.
None, not applicable.
Item 6. Selected Financial Data.
None, not applicable.
Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operation.
Plan of Operation
Plan of Operation.
In fiscal year 2012, PCS will continue its commitment to the research and development of PreK-16, brain-based
learning programs in Science, Technology, Engineering, and Math (STEM) that embed 21st century thinking skills and new technologies. In order to deploy the technical education and K6 programs that had been in development and
pilot testing for several years, PCS implemented a new Strategic Business Unit (SBU) and subsidiary structure in fiscal year 2011 that targeted sales efforts to the following markets:
1) K6 programs for the elementary classroom
2) Tech Ed programs for grades 6-12
3) Afterschool programs
4) International services that provide K-16 educational solutions for the International market.

5) Virtual labs for community colleges and universities

Fiscal year 2011 was the first year of implementation of the K6 and Tech Ed SBUs and represented a milestone for taking PCS programs into the core classroom market, beyond the afterschool market. Progress was made in terms of establishing district and university relationships, expanding the pilot programs, compiling research, developing grant partnerships, and refining the products and services for the classroom through continued application and testing.

During fiscal year 2012 we will continue to build upon the SBU foundations established in FY2011 and drive toward the establishment of synergies between these SBUs and our subsidiary, LabMentors. This will include a more focused approach to our web-based marketing efforts and tightened sales processes. In addition, during last fiscal year, PCS applied for and was awarded Trade Adjustment Assistance funds in the amount of \$75,000 to apply to the development and promotion of PCS programs to improve our competitiveness against foreign imports. These matching funds will be used in the next fiscal year to improve and expand the PCS Robotics line of controllers, proprietary software, and curriculum solutions to take advantage of the rapidly growing robotics education market.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation.

#### **Operating Results - Overview.**

Fiscal year ended March 31, 2011 resulted in a net loss of (\$1,901,031) as compared to the net loss during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010 of (\$1,871,467). This net loss is an increase in net loss of \$29,564 or approximately 2% from the net loss for fiscal year ended March 31, 2010. The Basic Loss per Share for fiscal year 2011 is (\$0.05), which is consistent with the loss per share of (\$0.05) for fiscal year 2010. Details of changes in revenues and expenses can be found below.

## **Operating Results Revenues.**

Consolidated revenues for the twelve-month period ended March 31, 2011, decreased to \$1,839,203 or by \$555,203, or 23% as compared to \$2,394,406 for the twelve-month period ended March 31, 2010. The decrease in consolidated revenue is due primarily to the parent company s decrease in revenue, \$529,413, PCS s subsidiary, LabMentors, experienced only a slight revenue decline as compared to last year. The loss in revenues of the parent were due to further decreases in the federal and state funding schedules, hesitation surrounding the general economy and a decrease in the expenditures of grant monies.

#### Operating Results Cost of Goods Sold/Cost of Sales.

Consolidated cost of goods sold for the twelve-month period ended March 31, 2011, decreased by \$299,805 (29%) to \$716,880 as compared to \$1,016,685 for the twelve-month period ended March 31, 2010. Included in PCS cost of goods sold are variable costs including sales commissions, shipping expenses and product royalty payments, which have all declined due to the decrease in sales. In addition, LabMentors has minimal variable costs. Cost of goods sold as a percent of revenue decreased slightly from last fiscal year due to focus on cost controls.

#### **Operating Results Operating Expenses.**

Operating expenses for the twelve-month period ended March 31, 2011, decreased by \$143,288 (4.4%) to \$3,148,185 as compared to \$3,291,473 for the twelve-month period ended March 31, 2010. The table below identifies the year over year changes:

BOD Expense	(32,500)	(1)
Contract Labor	169,722	(2)
Depreciation	(33,253)	(3)
Gain on Stock	(69,882)	(4)
Employee Related Expenses	95,319	(5)
Legal	(362,039)	(6)
Marketing	87,275	(7)
Options Expense	(95,135)	(8)
R&D	18,006	(9)
Other	79,198	

(143,288)

1)
Board of Directors fees decreased due to resignation of one board member and eliminating additional compensation paid to Mr. Michael McMurray during FY2010 for extra services rendered.
2)
Contract labor increased due to addition of public relations firm and business development consultant.
3)
Depreciation decreased due to aging of assets.
4)
Gain on stock due to gain on conversion of restricted stock units to common stock for director compensation offset against loss on stock for additional employee benefit.
5)
Employee expenses increased due to increase in employee health insurance costs, severance payments, stock bonuses, and write off of employee loan.
6)
Decrease in legal expense due to negotiated settlement with insurance carrier as related to the SEC investigation. See Item 3(ii).
7)
Marketing costs increased due to utilization of webinars and other internet based marketing promotions.
8)
Option Warrant expense decreased due to older grants expiring and fully vesting.
9)
Increased development on new robotics products.

## **Operating Results** Other Income/Expenses

Total other income (expense) for fiscal years 2011 and 2010 was \$124,831 and \$42,285 respectively. The increase in
income of \$82,546 was primarily due to reimbursement of prior year s legal fees as a result of our settlement with
Navigator s Insurance partially offset by a write-down of slow moving/obsolete inventory.

## Liquidity.

As of the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011, we had \$215,780 in cash, with total current assets of \$723,488 and total current liabilities of \$749,483. We have an accumulated deficit of (\$34,579,980) and shareholder s equity of \$515,322.

The Company has a working capital deficit of \$25,995 at March 31, 2011. The working capital for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010 was \$368,603. The Company has a current ratio at March 31 of 0.97 and 1.85 for fiscal years 2011 and 2010 respectively. This decrease in liquidity was due primarily to the fiscal year 2011 net loss which was only partially offset by equity financing.

#### **Critical Accounting Policies.**

#### Estimates.

Our discussion herein and analysis thereof is based upon our financial statements in Item 7 below, which have been prepared in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles of the United States (GAAP). The preparation of these statements requires management to make estimates and best judgments that affect the reported amounts. See Note 3 contained in Item 7 for additional discussions of these and other accounting policies and disclosures required by GAAP.

#### **Concentration of Credit Risks and Significant Customers.**

The Company maintains cash in bank deposit accounts, which, at times, may exceed federally insured limits. The Company has not experienced any losses in such accounts and believes it is not exposed to any significant credit risk on cash and cash equivalents.

Financial instruments, which potentially subject the Company to concentration of credit risk, consist primarily of trade receivables. In the normal course of business, the Company provides credit terms to its customers. Accordingly, the Company performs ongoing credit evaluations of its customers and maintains allowances for possible losses which when realized have been within the range of management's expectations. The Company does not require collateral from its customers.

During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011, the Company had sales to one major customer that accounted for 17% of total consolidated revenue. See Note 3 to the financial statements for additional information.

#### Fair Value of Financial Instruments.

The Company includes fair value information in the notes to financial statements when the fair value of its financial instruments is different from the book value. When the book value approximates fair value, no additional disclosure is made.

#### Foreign Currency Translation.

The functional currency of the Company is the U.S. dollar. The Company s financial statements include translations for the LabMentors subsidiary, which are maintained in Canadian dollars. All assets and liabilities are translated at the exchange rate on the balance sheet date and all revenues and expenditures are translated at the average rate for the period. Translation adjustments are reflected as a separate component of stockholders' equity, accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), and the net change for the year reflected separately in the statements of operations and other comprehensive income (loss).

In accordance with the financial accounting standard pertaining to the Statement of Cash Flows, the cash flows of the Company are translated using the weighted average exchange rates during the respective period. As a result, amounts in the statement of cash flows related to changes in assets and liabilities will not necessarily agree with the changes in the corresponding balances on the balance sheet that were translated at the exchange rate at the end of the period.

#### **Educational Software.**

The Company's inventory consists partially of internally developed education computer programs and exercises to be accessed on the Internet. In accordance with the financial accounting standard pertaining to internally developed software, the costs associated with research and initial feasibility of the programs and exercises are expensed as incurred. Once economic feasibility has been determined, the costs to develop the programs and exercises are capitalized until they are ready for sale and access and are reported at the lower of unamortized cost or net realizable value. Capitalized program and exercise inventory are amortized on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful life of the program or exercise, generally 24 to 48 months.

#### **Intellectual Property.**

The Company's intellectual property consists of capitalized costs associated with the development of the Internet software and delivery platform developed by the Company to enable access to the various educational programs and exercises developed by the Company. In accordance with generally accepted accounting principles as discussed previously regarding inventory, the initial costs associated with researching the delivery platform and methods were expensed until economic feasibility and acceptance were determined. Thereafter, costs incurred to develop the Internet online delivery platform and related environments were capitalized until ready for use and able to deliver and access the Company's educational programs and exercises. Costs incurred thereafter to maintain the delivery and access

platform are expensed as incurred. These capitalized costs were amortized on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful life of the Company s delivery and access platform that was determined to be 60 months. As of March 31, 2011 Intellectual Property was fully amortized.

## Property and Equipment.

Property and equipment are recorded at cost and are being depreciated for financial accounting purposes on the straight-line method over their respective estimated useful lives ranging from three to seven years. Upon retirement or other disposition of these assets, the cost and related accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and the resulting gains or losses are reflected in the results of operations.

Expenditures for maintenance and repairs are charged to operations. Renewals and betterments are capitalized.

### Goodwill and Intangible Assets.

We recorded our acquisition of LabMentors in accordance with the financial accounting standards issued by the FASB. We allocate the cost of acquired companies to the tangible and identified intangible assets and liabilities acquired with the remaining amount being recorded as goodwill. Certain intangible assets, such as acquired technology, are amortized (see Intellectual Property above).

The most recent acquisition did not have significant tangible assets, and, as a result, the majority of the purchase price was allocated to goodwill, which increases the potential for impairment charges that we may incur in the future.

We account for goodwill and other intangible assets in accordance with the financial accounting standards issued by the FASB pertaining to Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. Under this standard, goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite lives are not amortized to expense and must be reviewed for impairment annually or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that impairment might have occurred. The first step used to identify potential impairment is the comparison of the fair value of the item with its carrying amount, including goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite lives. We operate as one company, and, therefore, compare our book value to market value, which management must determine upon review based on similar transactions. If our fair value exceeds our book value, our goodwill is considered not impaired. If the book value exceeds the fair value, the goodwill is considered impaired and management must measure the amount of impairment loss, if any. For the measurement step, if the carrying amount of the goodwill exceeds the estimated fair value of the goodwill, an impairment loss would be recognized in an amount equal to that excess. The fair value estimate requires that future cash flows relating to the acquisition, in this case, be forecasted. These forecasts require management to make assumptions on the future sale of current and future products and services, future market conditions, technological advances, future growth rates, and discount rates utilized. Any loss recognized cannot exceed the carrying amount of the goodwill. After an impairment loss is recognized, the adjusted carrying amount of goodwill is its new accounting basis.

We hired an outside independent accounting firm specializing in fair value evaluations to analyze and perform the impairment review. After reviewing current operating losses and future growth potential of the subsidiary, the Company determined that an impairment was not required.

#### Options/Warrants and Shares Issued for Services.

On January 1, 2006, the Company adopted the accounting standard pertaining to Accounting for Stock Based Compensation, which establishes accounting for stock-based payment transactions for employee services and goods and services received from non-employees. The Company is required to recognize expense of options or similar

equity instruments issued to employees using the fair-value-based method of accounting for stock-based payments. This standard covers a wide range of share-based compensation arrangements including share options, restricted share plans, performance-based awards, share appreciation rights, and employee share purchase plans. Application of this pronouncement requires significant judgment regarding the assumptions used in the selected option pricing model, including stock price volatility and employee exercise behavior. Most of these inputs are either highly dependent on the current economic environment at the date of grant or forward-looking over the expected term of the award.

The Company accounts for shares issued to employees and others based upon the closing price of our common stock as of the grant date.

Acquisitions.

Our strategy is to investigate companies and/or assets for acquisition that continue to increase our product depth, market penetration, and synergies within the Company. The Company has made no acquisitions over the last three years.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements.
We do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements as of March 31, 2011.
Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.
None, not applicable.
PAGE 28

## **Item 8. Financial Statements.**

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010

Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

#### REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors

PCS Edventures!.com, Inc. and Subsidiary

Boise, Idaho

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of PCS Edventures!.com, Inc. and Subsidiary (the Company ) as of March 31, 2011 and 2010 and the related statements of operations, shareholders' equity and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of PCS Edventures!.com, Inc. and Subsidiary as of March 31, 2011 and 2010 and the results of its operations and cash flows for the periods described above in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 2 to the financial statements, the Company has suffered reoccurring losses and negative cash flow from operations, both of which raise substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. Management s plans regarding those matters also are described in Note 2. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ M&K CPAS, PLLC

www.mkacpas.com

Houston, Texas

June 29, 2011

# PCS EDVENTURES!.COM, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

## Consolidated Balance Sheets

## **ASSETS**

	March 31, 2011	March 31, 2010
CURRENT ASSETS		
Cash	\$215,780	\$290,141
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for		
doubtful		
accounts of \$3,279 and \$4,271 respectively	277,983	255,846
Interest receivable	-	524
Prepaid expenses	42,921	17,592
Finished goods inventory, net of reserve of		
\$43,000 as of March 31, 2011	108,459	207,132
Other receivable	78,345	30,227
Total Current Assets	723,488	801,462
FIXED ASSETS, net of accumulated depreciation		
of \$208,577 and \$160,613 respectively	108,490	132,650
EDUCATIONAL SOFTWARE, net of		
accumulated amortization of \$267,508 and		
\$229,381, respectively	199,450	214,756
GOODWILL	202,688	202,688
OTHER ASSETS		
Mold cost	22,854	24,643
Deposits	7,835	7,371
Total Other Assets	30,689	32,014
TOTAL ASSETS	\$1,264,805	\$1,383,570

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

# PCS EDVENTURES!.COM, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

Consolidated Balance Sheets

# LIABILITIES & STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

	March 31, 2011	March 31, 2010
CURRENT LIABILITIES		
Accounts payable and other current liabilities	\$323,893	\$265,908
Accrued compensation	-	6,000
Payroll liabilities payable	20,975	17,091
Accrued expenses	149,066	38,191
Deferred revenue	66,156	105,669
Note payable, net of discount	92,713	-
Convertible notes payable, net of discount	96,680	-
Total Current Liabilities	749,483	432,859
Total Liabilities	749,483	432,859
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Preferred stock, no par value, 20,000,000		
authorized shares, no shares issued and		
outstanding	-	-
Common stock, no par value, 60,000,000		
authorized shares, 42,699,529 and 39,700,831		
shares issued and		
outstanding, respectively	35,007,464	33,526,490
Stock payable	74,418	88,745
Accumulated comprehensive loss	13,420	14,425
Accumulated deficit	(34,579,980)	(32,678,949)
Total Stockholders' Equity	515,322	950,711
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND		
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	\$1,264,805	\$1,383,570

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

# Edgar Filing: PCS EDVENTURES COM INC - Form 10-K PCS EDVENTURES!.COM, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

# Consolidated Statements of Operations

			For the Year Ended March 31,
		2011	2010
REVENUES Lab revenue	\$	1,751,176	\$ 2,279,202
License revenue	Ψ	88,027	115,204
Total Revenues		1,839,203	2,394,406
COST OF SALES		716,880	1,016,685
GROSS PROFIT		1,122,323	1,377,721
OPERATING EXPENSES			
Salaries and wages		1,368,396	1,127,196
Depreciation and amortization expense		66,005	99,258
(Gain)/Loss on stock compensation		(55,609)	15,581
General and administrative expenses		1,769,393	2,060,370
Total Operating Expenses		3,148,185	3,291,473
OPERATING LOSS		(2,025,862)	(1,913,752)
OTHER INCOME AND (EXPENSES)			
Interest income		2,663	7,304
Other income		203,468	60,739
Interest expense		(6,803)	-
Other expense		(74,497)	(25,758)
Total Other Income and Expenses		124,831	42,285
NET LOSS		(1,901,031)	(1,871,467)
Foreign currency translation		(1,005)	46,620
NET COMPREHENSIVE LOSS		(\$1,902,036)	(\$1,824,847)
Deemed dividend		-	(291,586)
NET LOSS ATTRIBUTABLE TO			
COMMON		(\$1,902,036)	(\$2,116,433)
STOCKHOLDERS		· / /- / //	(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Loss per Share Basic and Diluted		(0.05)	(0.05)
Weighted Average Number of Shares			
Outstanding, Basic and Diluted		41,170,487	38,487,320

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

# PCS EDVENTURES!.COM, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

# Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity

	# of Common Shares O/S	Capital Stock	Stock Payable	Accumulated Deficit	Other Comprehensive Income	Total Stockholder Equity
Balance at 03/31/09	37,660,200	\$31,658,921	\$90,409	\$(30,472,398)	\$(32,195)	\$1,244,7
Change in Accounting Policy	-	-	-	(43,498)	-	(43,4
Stock for Exercise of Warrants	920,000	625,600	-	-	-	625,6
Stock for Services	395,044	363,516	1,780	-	-	365,2
Stock for RSU's	-	-	52,500	-	-	52,5
Stock for Settlement	75,000	63,750	(63,750)	-	-	
Stock for Exercise of Options	578,883	20,945	-	-	-	20,9
Stock for Employee Bonus	71,704	67,950	7,806	-	-	75,1
Option Expense	-	434,222	-	-	-	434,2
Warrant Repricing	-	291,586	-	(291,586)	-	
Foreign Currency Translation	-	-	-	-	46,620	46,0
Net Loss through 3/31/2010	-	-	-	(1,871,467)	-	(1,871,4
Balance at 3/31/2010	39,700,831	33,526,490	88,745	(32,678,949)	14,425	950,7

Edgar Filing: PCS EDVENTURES COM INC - Form 10-K

Stock for						
Services	707,876	233,251	(14,521)	-	-	218,7
Stock for RSU's	105,882	20,118	-	-	-	20,
Stock for Exercise of Options - Cash	50,000	27,000	-	-	-	27,0
Stock for Exercise of Options-Cashless	149,819	-	-	-	-	
Stock for Employee Bonus	279,707	129,107	(7,806)	-	-	121,3
Option Expense	-	339,088	-	-	-	339,0
Stock for Cash	1,705,414	600,000	8,000	-	-	608,0
Debt Discount	-	132,410		-	-	132,4
Foreign Currency Translation	-	-	-	-	(1,005)	(1,0
Net Loss through 03/31/2011	-	-	-	(1,901,031)	-	(1,901,0
Balance at 03/31/2011	42,699,529	\$ 35,007,464	\$74,418	\$(34,579,980)	\$13,420	\$515,3

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

# PCS EDVENTURES!.COM, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

# Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

For the Twelve Months Ended
March 31,

		March 31,	
	2011		2010
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES			
Net Loss	\$ (1,901,0	\$31)	(1,871,467)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided			
(used) by operating activities:			
Debt discount amortization	6,3	803	-
Depreciation and amortization	66,0	005	99,258
Bad debt expense	12,	541	4,649
Stock issued for employee bonus and board compensation	n 211,	302	156,695
Common stock issued for services	204,	456	316,405
Amortization of fair value of stock options	339,0	088	434,222
(Gain)/Loss on issuance of stock for compensation	(55,6	09)	20,452
Increase in inventory reserve	43,0	000	-
Change in accounting policy		-	(43,498)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
(Increase) decrease in accounts receivable	(34,6	078)	65,626
(Increase) decrease in prepaid expenses	(25,3	·	232
(Increase) decrease in other receivables		524	(14,793)
(Increase) decrease in inventories	55,0	673	(22,392)
(Increase) decrease in other current assets	(48,1	18)	-
Decrease in deferred costs	•	-	792
(Increase) decrease in other assets	1,	325	-
(Decrease) increase in accounts payable and accrued			
liabilities	156,	887	(51,744)
Increase (decrease) in unearned revenue	(39,5	13)	8,066
Net Cash Used by Operating Activities	(1,006,6	574)	(897,497)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES			
Cash paid for purchase of fixed assets	(16,6	82)	(47,827)
Net Cash Used by Investing Activities	(16,6	982)	(47,827)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES			
Proceeds from exercise of options	27,0	000	20,945
Proceeds from exercise of warrants		-	625,600
Proceeds from the purchase of stock	608,0	000	-
Proceeds from note payable	315,0	000	(6,143)
Net Cash Provided by Financing Activities	950,0	000	640,402
Foreign currency translation	(1,0	005)	46,620
Net Decrease in Cash	(74,3	·	(258,302)
Cash at Beginning of Year	290,		548,443

Cash at End of Year \$ 215,780 \$ 290,141

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

# Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

# For the Twelve Months Ended March 31,

NON-CASH INVESTING & FINANCING			
ACTIVITIES	2	2011	2010
		\$	
Common stock issued for legal settlement (stock		-	\$
payable)			63,750
	\$		\$
Common stock issued for services (stock payable)		35,427	26,659
	\$		\$
Deemed dividend		-	291,586
	\$		\$
Debt Discount		132,410	-

#### 

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

March 31, 2011 and 2010

#### NOTE 1 - ORGANIZATION AND DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

The consolidated financial statements presented are those of PCS Edventures!.com, Inc., an Idaho corporation, and its wholly owned subsidiary, PCS LabMentors, Ltd., a Canadian company (collectively, the Company).

On August 3, 1994, PCS Education Systems, Inc. was incorporated under the laws of Idaho to develop and operate standalone learning labs.

In October 1994, PCS exchanged, on a one-for-one basis, common stock for stock of PCS Schools, Inc. As a result of this exchange, PCS Schools, Inc. became a wholly owned subsidiary of PCS. In the late 1990s, the Company divested the stand-alone learning labs to focus more on a hands-on module coupled with web-based technology for use in the classroom.

On March 27, 2000, PCS changed its name from PCS Education Systems, Inc. to PCS Edventures!.com, Inc.

On November 30, 2005, PCS entered into an agreement with 511092 N.B. LTD., a Canadian corporation (LabMentors) to exchange PCS stock for stock of 511092 N.B. LTD. as disclosed in the 8-K as filed with the SEC on December 9, 2005 and amended on February 15, 2006. As a result of the Share Exchange Agreement, 511092 N.B. LTD. became a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company. In December 2005, the name of this subsidiary was formally changed to PCS LabMentors, Ltd. It remained a Canadian corporation.

#### NOTE 2 GOING CONCERN

The Company s consolidated financial statements are prepared using accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America applicable to a going concern that contemplates the realization of assets and liquidation of liabilities in the normal course of business. The established sources of revenues are not sufficient to cover the Company s operating costs. The Company has accumulated significant losses and payables and generated negative cash flows. The combination of these items raises substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. Management s plans with respect to alleviating this adverse position are as follows:

During FY 2011 the Company continued to strengthen and develop its core line of STEM products and services. The Academy of Robotics was updated and enriched through the development of new curriculum and major technical upgrades. Additional volumes of curriculum in Pre-Algebra and Algebra I were completed. This series was developed by experts in the field of mathematics and in cooperation with the Boise School District. The development of robotics competition resources including manuals, judging rubrics, and an overall competition framework was completed and successfully launched with an international competition conducted in July 2010. In addition, extensive curriculum development around the Company s early childhood materials and the BrickLab professional development programs were completed and enhanced, and additional research from the Meridian District Boise State University research project was added to our research base for products. The Company was also successful in deploying additional education programs into Middle East partner sites including an expansion of the Al Riyadh Schools program in Saudi Arabia and the establishment of PCS programs into five experimental schools in Egypt. Both of these programs help to establish a foundation for possible additional expansion into Egypt and the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. The Company expanded its University partnerships with Idaho State University, the University of Idaho and Florida Gulf Coast University this year. The Company also applied for several large scale NSF grants, developing STEM initiatives, and collaborated on teacher training programs.

In addition to the above product changes and enhancements, the Company hired Valerie L. Grindle as Sr. Vice President of Finance and Administration and Chief Financial Officer effective March 2011. Ms. Grindle has extensive

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

March 31, 2011 and 2010

experience in financial reporting and strategic planning, a strong background and working experience in the development and implementation of budgeting and forecasting systems, as well as international accounting and business experience.

Ms. Grindle joins PCS having most recently served as CFO of Great American Appetizers, a privately-owned manufacturing company in Nampa, Idaho since 2009. Previously, she founded and, from 1995 until 2009, operated a consulting practice that provided interim C-level executive services to companies. As a part of her consulting practice, Ms. Grindle orchestrated a turnaround as Chief Executive Officer and Member of the Board of Directors of a pharmaceutical reverse distribution company. During this engagement, she developed a solid management team, renegotiated credit lines and attracted additional equity investment. See Part III, Business Experience for additional information regarding Ms. Grindle s experience.

The Company plans to capitalize on the changes as mentioned above and to use its understanding of the complexities of STEM subjects and its progressive methodologies to deliver solutions for educators to meet the growing demands of teaching STEM and integrating technology into classrooms. In addition, the Company will continue to review and analyze its marketing and sales strategies to strengthen and enhance market share and their unique positioning in the educational afterschool and professional development markets. The Company is also beginning a review of its business processes and procedures in order to establish and track clearly identified goals and objectives.

The ability of the Company to continue as a going concern is dependent upon its ability to successfully accomplish the plans described in the preceding paragraphs, to raise capital as needed, to continue to monitor and reduce overhead costs, and to attain profitable operations. The accompanying consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might be necessary if the Company is unable to continue as a going concern.

# NOTE 3 ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE - OTHER

	March 31,	March 31,
	2011	2010
California Sales Tax	\$ 75,000	\$ -
GST tax receivable (subsidiary)	1,281	15,434
Employee advance	2,064	14,793
Total Other receivable	\$ 78,345	\$ 30,227

# **NOTE 4 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

# a. Accounting Method

The Company's consolidated financial statements are prepared using the accrual method of accounting. The Company has elected a March 31 year-end.

### b. Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

March 31, 2011 and 2010

# c. Concentration of Credit Risks and Significant Customers

The Company maintains cash in bank deposit accounts, which, at times, may exceed federally insured limits. The Company has not experienced any losses in such accounts and believes it is not exposed to any significant credit risk on cash and cash equivalents.

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to concentration of credit risk consist primarily of trade receivables. In the normal course of business, the Company provides credit terms to its customers. Accordingly, the Company performs ongoing credit evaluations of its customers and maintains allowances for possible losses which when realized have been within the range of management's expectations. The Company does not require collateral from its customers. The Company has established an allowance for doubtful accounts of \$3,279 and \$4,271 for the years ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

During the last two fiscal years ending March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010, the following major customers exceeded 10% of revenue:

# For the Years Ended March 31, 2011 2010 Customer A \$315,006 17% \$343,539 14% Customer B \$72,182 3%

Customers with accounts receivable greater than 10% of total accounts receivable at March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010, were as follows:

	For the Years Ended	
	March 31,	
	2011	2010
Customer A	\$26,275	\$109,327
Customer B	-	-
Customer C	130,926	-
Customer D	27,000	-

### d. Foreign Currency Translation

The functional currency of our subsidiary is considered the local currency. Our PCS LabMentors' subsidiary has a functional currency in Canadian dollars (CDN\$). The subsidiary s financial statements have been translated into US dollars in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles regarding foreign currency translation. All assets and liabilities are translated at the exchange rate on the balance sheet date and all revenues and expenditures are translated at the average rate for the period. Translation adjustments are reflected as a separate component of stockholders' equity, accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) and the net change for the year reflected separately in the statements of operations and other comprehensive income (loss). Through this, all of the Company s financial documents are stated within the functional currency of the parent company, which is the United States dollar (USD\$).

#### Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

March 31, 2011 and 2010

In accordance with generally accepted accounting principles regarding the presentation of the Statement of Cash Flows, the cash flows of the subsidiary are translated using the weighted average exchange rates during the respective period. As a result, amounts in the statement of cash flows related to changes in assets and liabilities will not necessarily agree with the changes in the corresponding balances on the balance sheet that was translated at the exchange rate at the end of the period.

### e. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company adopted the standard issued by the FASB, which clarifies the definition of fair value, prescribes methods for measuring fair value, and establishes a fair value hierarchy to classify the inputs used in measuring fair value as follows:


Level 1-Inputs are unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities available at the measurement date.

Level 2-Inputs are unadjusted quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets and liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other then quoted prices that are observable, and inputs derived from or corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3-Inputs are unobservable inputs which reflect the reporting entity s own assumptions on what assumptions the market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability based on the best available information.

The carrying amounts reported in the balance sheets for cash, accounts receivable, notes payable, and accounts payable and accrued expenses, approximate their fair market value based on the short-term maturity of these instruments.

The following table presents assets and liabilities that are measured and recognized at fair value as of March 31, 2011 and 2010 on a non-recurring basis:



The standard issued by the FASB concerning the fair value option for financial assets and liabilities became effective for the Company on January 1, 2008. The standard establishes a fair value option that permits entities to choose to measure eligible financial instruments and certain other items at fair value at specified election dates. A business entity shall report unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value options have been elected in earnings at each subsequent reporting date. For the periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 there were no applicable items on which the fair value option was elected.

# f. Revenue Recognition

PCS recognizes revenue for its two revenue streams: Product (Learning Labs) and Licensing in accordance with generally accepted accounting standards pertaining to revenue recognition of single unit and/or multiple deliverables.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

March 31, 2011 and 2010

The Company recognizes product revenue in accordance with generally accepted accounting standards, which is codified under FASB ASC Topic 605 Revenue Recognition, under which revenue is recognized when it is realizable and when earned.

Licensing Revenue is in relation to the sales of the learning labs. This revenue is based on a contractual term of one year, which begins when the physical lab is delivered to the customer. Should the customer terminate the licensing prior to the expiration of the contract, PCS does not have an obligation to refund any portion of the fees. As such, revenue is amortized and recorded over the life of the contractual license, in accordance with generally accepted accounting standards.

#### g. Goodwill

Goodwill represents the excess of the cost of PCS LabMentors' acquisition over the net of the amounts assigned to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed. The Company accounts for its goodwill in accordance with generally accepted accounting standards, which requires the Company to test goodwill for impairment annually or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of an asset may not be recoverable, rather than amortize.

The entire goodwill balance of \$202,688 at March 31, 2011 and 2010, which is not deductible for tax purposes due to the purchase being completed through the exchange of stock, is related to the Company s acquisition of PCS LabMentors in November 2005. Included within this amount of goodwill are capital costs associated with the acquisition.

The capitalized costs were incurred for accounting, consulting and legal fees associated with the transaction. With the acquisition of PCS LabMentors, the Company gained LabMentors—significant interest in the technical college market and increased the Company—s products available for sale to educational outlets. The Company also obtained the information technology and programming expertise of LabMentors—workforce, gained additional cost optimization and gained greater market flexibility in optimizing market information and access to collegiate level sales.

Generally accepted accounting standards require that a two-step impairment test be performed annually or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of an asset may not be recoverable. The first step of the test for impairment compares the book value of the Company to its estimated fair value. The second step of the

goodwill impairment test, which is only required when the net book value of the item exceeds the fair value, compares the implied fair value of goodwill to its book value to determine if an impairment is required.

The Company undertook a Goodwill impairment review during fiscal years 2011 and 2010. After reviewing current operating losses and future growth potential of the subsidiary, the Company determined that impairment was not necessary.

### h. Business Combinations

There were no business combinations during the fiscal years ended March 31, 2011 and 2011.

### i. Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements consolidate the accounts of the parent company and its wholly owned subsidiaries. All significant inter-company accounts and transactions have been eliminated through consolidation.

#### i. Provision for Income Taxes

Deferred income taxes are provided using the liability method whereby deferred tax assets are recognized for deductible temporary differences and operating loss and tax credit carryforwards and deferred tax liabilities are recognized

#### Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

March 31, 2011 and 2010

for taxable temporary differences. Temporary differences are the differences between the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and their tax bases. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance when, in the opinion of management, it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are adjusted for the effects of the changes in tax laws and rates as of the date of enactment.

Net deferred tax liabilities consist of the following components as of March 31, 2011 and 2010:

	March 31,					
	2011				2010	
Deferred tax assets:						
NOL carryover		\$	4,167,380	\$	3,809,924	
Accrued expenses			25,801		18,296	
Accumulated depreciation			(94,555)		(35,680)	
Allowance for Bad Debt			993		918	
Deferred revenue			42,607		(317)	
Deferred tax liabilities:			-		-	
Valuation allowance:			(4,142,226)		(3,793,141)	
Net deferred tax asset:	\$		- \$		-	

The income tax provision differs from the amount of income tax determined by applying the U.S. federal income tax rate to pretax income from continuing operations as detailed in the following table for the years ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 due to the following:

		March 31,		
	2011	1	20	10
Book income	\$	(740,569)	\$	(658,182)
Stock for services/expense		84,125		86,182
Penalties/dues		4,204		1,652
Options expense		132,244		147,636
Other		7,849		2,892
NOL utilization		-		-
Valuation allowance		512,146		419,985
	\$	-	\$	-

At March 31, 2011 the Company had net operating loss carry forward of approximately \$11,955,558 that may be offset against future taxable income from the year 2010 through 2030. No tax benefit has been reported in the March 31, 2011 consolidated financial statements since the potential tax benefit is offset by a valuation allowance of the same amount.

Due to the change in ownership provisions of the Tax Reform Act of 1986, net operating loss carryforward for Federal income tax reporting purposes are subject to annual limitations. Should a change in ownership occur, net operating loss carry forwards may be limited as to use in future years.

The Company and its subsidiary file income tax returns in the United States, Canada and the state of Idaho. Beginning in fiscal year 2011, we will also file an income tax return in the state of California. With few exceptions, the

#### Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

March 31, 2011 and 2010

Company is no longer subject to U.S. federal, state and local, or non-U.S. income tax examinations by tax authorities for years before 2006. The Company does not have any unrecognized tax benefits to report in the current period.

On January 1, 2007 the Company adopted the provisions of generally accepted accounting standards for Uncertainty in Income Taxes. The Company has no uncertain tax positions to disclose.

The Company s policy is to recognize interest accrued related to unrecognized tax benefits in interest expense and penalties in operating expenses.

Canadian tax laws allow a company to recoup a significant amount of research and development costs. As a result, the Company will continue to conduct its research and development within Fredericton, New Brunswick and continue to apply for such tax incentives. In addition, income taxes are/will be prepared in accordance with Revenue Canada guidelines so as to maximize additional incentives, when available.

#### k. Basic Loss Per Share

The computation of basic loss per share of common stock is based on the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the period of the financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting standards. Diluted loss per share is equal to basic loss per share as the result of the anti-dilutive nature of the stock equivalents.

	For the Years Ended March 31,			
	2011	2010	)	
Basic loss per share from operations:				
	\$			
Numerator - loss	(1,901,031)	\$	(1,871,467)	
Denominator - weighted average number of				
shares outstanding	41,170,487		38,487,320	
	\$			
Loss per share	(0.05)	\$	(0.05)	

### 1. Newly Issued Accounting Pronouncements

During the year ended March 31, 2011, the Company adopted the following:

In January 2010, the FASB issued FASB ASU No. 2010-06, Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements, which is now codified under FASB ASC Topic 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures. This ASU will require additional disclosures regarding transfers in and out of Levels 1 and 2 of the fair value hierarchy, as well as a reconciliation of activity in Level 3 on a gross basis (rather than as one net number). The ASU also provides clarification on disclosures about the level of disaggregation for each class of assets and liabilities and on disclosures about the valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value for both recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements. FASB ASU No. 2010-06 is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for the disclosures requiring a reconciliation of activity in Level 3. Those disclosures are effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2010. The adoption of the portion of this ASU effective after December 15,

2009, as well as the portion of the ASU effective after December 15, 2010, did not have an impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In April 2010, the FASB issued FASB ASU No. 2010-17, Milestone Method of Revenue Recognition, which is now codified under FASB ASC Topic 605, Revenue Recognition. This ASU provides guidance on defining a milestone and determining when it may be appropriate to apply the milestone method of revenue recognition for research and development transactions. Consideration which is contingent upon achievement of a milestone in its entirety can be

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

March 31, 2011 and 2010

recognized as revenue in the period in which the milestone is achieved only if the milestone meets all criteria to be considered substantive. A milestone should be considered substantive in its entirety, and an individual milestone may not be bifurcated. An arrangement may include more than one milestone, and each milestone should be evaluated individually to determine if it is substantive. FASB ASU No. 2010-17 was effective on a prospective basis for milestones achieved in fiscal years (and interim periods within those years) beginning on or after June 15, 2010, with early adoption permitted. If an entity elects early adoption, and the period of adoption is not the beginning of its fiscal year, the entity should apply this ASU retrospectively from the beginning of the year of adoption. This ASU did not have any effect on the timing of revenue recognition and our consolidated results of operations or cash flows.

In December 2010, the FASB issued FASB ASU No. 2010-28, When to Perform Step 2 of the Goodwill Impairment Test for Reporting Units with Zero or Negative Carrying Amounts, which is now codified under FASB ASC Topic 350, Intangibles Goodwill and Other. This ASU provides amendments to Step 1 of the goodwill impairment test for reporting units with zero or negative carrying amounts. For those reporting units, an entity is required to perform Step 2 of the goodwill impairment test if it is more likely than not a goodwill impairment exists. When determining whether it is more likely than not an impairment exists, an entity should consider whether there are any adverse qualitative factors, such as a significant deterioration in market conditions, indicating an impairment may exist. FASB ASU No. 2010-28 is effective for fiscal years (and interim periods within those years) beginning after December 15, 2010. Early adoption is not permitted. Upon adoption of the amendments, an entity with reporting units having carrying amounts which are zero or negative is required to assess whether is it more likely than not the reporting units goodwill is impaired. If the entity determines impairment exists, the entity must perform Step 2 of the goodwill impairment test for that reporting unit or units. Step 2 involves allocating the fair value of the reporting unit to each asset and liability, with the excess being implied goodwill. An impairment loss results if the amount of recorded goodwill exceeds the implied goodwill. Any resulting goodwill impairment should be recorded as a cumulative-effect adjustment to beginning retained earnings in the period of adoption. This ASU is not expected to have any material impact to our future financial statements.

In December 2010, the FASB issued FASB ASU No. 2010-29, Disclosure of Supplementary Pro Forma Information for Business Combinations, which is now codified under FASB ASC Topic 805, Business Combinations. A public entity is required to disclose pro forma data for business combinations occurring during the current reporting period. This ASU provides amendments to clarify the acquisition date to be used when reporting the pro forma financial information when comparative financial statements are presented and improves the usefulness of the pro forma revenue

and earnings disclosures. If a public company presents comparative financial statements, the entity should disclose revenue and earnings of the combined entity as though the business combination(s) which occurred during the current year had occurred as of the beginning of the comparable prior annual reporting period only. The supplemental pro forma disclosures required are also expanded to include a description of the nature and amount of material, nonrecurring pro forma adjustments directly attributable to the business combination included in the reported pro forma revenue and earnings. FASB ASU No. 2010-29 is effective on a prospective basis for business combinations for

which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2010, with early adoption permitted. The adoption of this ASU will not have a material effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

### m. Educational Software

The Company's inventory includes internally developed education computer programs and exercises to be accessed on the Internet. In accordance with generally accepted accounting standards, the costs associated with research and initial feasibility of the programs and exercises are expensed as incurred. Once economic feasibility has been determined, the costs to develop the programs and exercises are capitalized until they are ready for sale and access and are reported at the lower of unamortized cost or net realizable value. Capitalized program and exercise inventory are amortized on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful life of the program or exercise, generally 24 to 48 months.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

March 31, 2011 and 2010

# n. Intellectual Property

The Company's intellectual property consists of capitalized costs associated with the development of the Internet software and delivery platform developed by the Company to enable access to the various educational programs and exercises developed by the Company. In accordance with generally accepted accounting standards as discussed previously regarding inventory, the initial costs associated with researching the delivery platform and methods were expensed until economic feasibility and acceptance were determined. Thereafter, costs incurred to develop the Internet online delivery platform and related environments were capitalized until ready for use and able to deliver and access the Company's educational programs and exercises. Costs incurred thereafter to maintain the delivery and access platform are expensed as incurred. These capitalized costs are being amortized on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful life of the Company s delivery and access platform, which has been determined to be 60 months.

## o. Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are recorded at cost and are being depreciated for financial accounting purposes on the straight-line method over their respective estimated useful lives ranging from three to seven years. Upon retirement or other disposition of these assets, the cost and related accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and the resulting gains or losses are reflected in the results of operations.

Expenditures for maintenance and repairs are charged to operating expense. Renewals and betterments are capitalized.

### p. Finished Goods Inventory

Finished goods inventory is composed of items produced in-house, as well as items from outside suppliers. These items include, but are not limited to, K NEX® manipulatives, fischertechnik® manipulatives, Eduwise manipulatives, LEGO® manipulatives, digital media equipment, furniture units, curriculum, blocks, PCS Academy of Science® science kits, poster packs, and other miscellaneous items used in our various labs. Our inventory is carried at the lower of cost or market and valued using the average cost method for each item. In addition, we have established a reserve for obsolete and slow moving items.

### g. Stock Options and stock grants

Effective January 1, 2006, the Company accounts for stock issued for employee benefits and goods and services received from non-employees in accordance with generally accepted accounting standards. The Company is required to recognize expense of options or similar equity instruments including restricted share plans, performance-based awards, share appreciation rights, and employee share purchase plans. Application of this standard requires significant judgment regarding the assumptions used in the selected option-pricing model, including stock price volatility and employee exercise behavior.

Most of these inputs are either highly dependent on the current economic environment at the date of grant or forward-looking over the expected term of the award.

The Company accounts for shares issued to employees and others based upon the closing price of our common stock at the grant date.

The Company has granted options and warrants to purchase PCS Edventures!.com common stock. These instruments have been valued using the Black-Scholes model and are fully detailed in Note 11.

#### Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

March 31, 2011 and 2010

# **NOTE 5 - FIXED ASSETS**

Assets and depreciation for the period are as follows:

	March 31,			
	2011	2010		
Computer/office equipment	\$6,912	\$15,252		
Server equipment	182,800	150,656		
Software	127,355	127,355		
Accumulated depreciation	(208,577)	(160,613)		
Total Fixed Assets	\$108,490	\$132,650		

Fixed Asset depreciation expense for the years ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 was \$40,842 and \$33,446, respectively.

### NOTE 6 - COMMON AND PREFERRED STOCK TRANSACTIONS

# Common Stock

During the year ended March 31, 2011, the Company issued 50,000 shares of common stock upon exercise of employee stock options and received cash proceeds of \$27,000.

During the year ended March 31, 2011, the Company issued 149,819 shares of common stock upon exercise of employee stock options issued in cashless transactions.

During the year ended March 31, 2011, the Company issued 279,707 shares of common stock as additional compensation to employees. The per share value ranged from \$0.13 to \$0.90 for a net valued of \$129,107 based on the closing price of the Company s common stock on the date of grant. Of the 279,707 shares issued 9,312 were issued in payment of amounts accrued as of March 31, 2010, with a value of \$7,806.

During the year ended March 31, 2011, the Company issued 707,876 shares of common stock for services. The per share value ranged from \$0.12 to \$0.81 for a net value of \$233,251 based on the closing price of the Company s common stock on the date of grant. Of the 707,876 shares issued, 33,196 were issued in payment of amounts accrued at March 31, 2010 with a value of \$28,439. During the period, \$194,124 has been accrued in stock payable, and shares valued at \$208,645 have been issued from stock payable for a net reduction in stock payable for services the amount of \$14,521. As of March 31, 2011, a shares payable in the amount of \$13,918 has been accrued, representing 72,021 shares that will be issued in future periods.

During the year ended March 31, 2011, the Company issued 1,705,414 shares of common stock for \$600,000 in cash. For every three shares of the first 750,000 shares of common stock purchased, the purchaser received one warrant that entitles the holder to purchase one share of common stock at a price of \$0.60 per share and expires two years from the date issued. For every 2.55 shares of the next 955,414 shares of common stock purchased, the purchaser received one PCS warrant that entitles the holder to purchase one share of common stock at a price of \$0.20 per share and expires two years from the date issued. A total of 625,000 warrants were issued, with a relative fair market value of \$115,109. The warrants were valued using the Black-Scholes Valuation Model using the stock price on the date of grant, discount rates ranging from 0.46% to 0.81%, and volatility ranging from 102% to 116%.

#### Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

March 31, 2011 and 2010

During the year ended March 31, 2011, the Company expensed amounts related to stock options granted in the current and prior periods totaling \$339,088.

During the year ended March 31, 2011, the Company converted 105,882 restricted stock units (RSUs) to common stock for non-management directors for services rendered at a rate of one share of common stock for each restricted stock unit. Each common stock share is valued at \$0.85, based on the closing price of the Company s common stock at the date of RSU grant. In addition, the non-management directors were issued new RSU agreements on September 23, 2010. These agreements call for payment of current year director fees via issuance of restricted stock units over a vesting period of not less than twelve months, and require continued service for twelve months and re-election at the next annual shareholder meeting. Each restricted stock unit is valued at \$0.51, based on the closing price of the Company s common stock at the date of grant. As of March 31, 2011, \$52,500 is accrued under Stock payable.

During the year ended March 31, 2011, the Company recognized \$132,410 as an increase to stockholders equity due to a debt discount pursuant to the terms of convertible promissory notes issued with attached warrants. The debt discount consists of a beneficial conversion feature valued at \$58,000 and attached warrants valued at \$74,410 using the Black-Scholes Valuation Model. The warrants were valued using the stock price on the date of grant, discount rates ranging from 1.29% to 1.31%, and volatility approximating 130%. The value of the debt discount is accreted up to the face value of the promissory note over the term of the note using the effective interest method.

During the year ended March 31, 2010, the Company issued 460,000 shares of common stock for the conversion of A Warrants valued at \$312,800.

During the year ended March 31, 2010, the Company issued 460,000 shares of common stock for the conversion of B Warrants valued at \$312,800.

During the year ended March 31, 2010, the Company issued 112,431 shares of common stock for services rendered. The per share value ranged from \$0.58 to \$1.30 for a net value of \$95,500 based on the closing price of our common stock at the grant date.

During the year ended March 31, 2010, the Company issued 164,013 shares of common stock to directors for services rendered valued at \$147,207. Of this amount 130,680 shares valued at \$115,000 were for services rendered for the

period October 1, 2007 through August 31, 2009. The per share price was based on the closing price of our common stock at the grant date.

During the year ended March 31, 2010, the Company issued 90,874 shares of common stock for additional employee compensation. The per share value ranged from \$0.48 to \$1.47 for a net value of \$93,858, based on the closing price of our common stock at the grant date.

During the year ended March 31, 2010, the Company issued 27,726 in common stock for services rendered in the amount of \$26,950. The common stock issued for the services subsequent to March 31, 2009 was recognized as an expense and was included in Stock Payable during the year ended March 31, 2009.

During the year ended March 31, 2010, the Company issued 75,000 in common stock for a legal settlement in the amount of \$63,750. The common stock issued for a legal settlement subsequent to March 31, 2009 was recognized as an expense and was included in Stock Payable during the year ended March 31, 2009.

During the year ended March 31, 2010, the Company issued 75,419, shares of common stock for exercise of Stock Options by employees valued at \$20,945. Of this amount, 55,419 shares of common stock valued at \$18,345 were issued to employees as compensation. The per share value was based on the closing price of our common stock at the grant date.

#### Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

March 31, 2011 and 2010

During the year ended March 31, 2010, the Company issued 503,464, shares of common stock for exercise of cashless options.

During the year ended March 31, 2010, the Company issued 71,704, shares of common stock for bonuses to employees valued at \$67,950 based on the closing price of our common stock at the grant date.

During the year ended March 31, 2010, the Company recognized 3,341 shares of common stock for services rendered at a value \$4,703, of this value \$818 in common stock shares remain to be issued. The value per share is based on the closing price of our common stock at the grant date.

During the year ended March 31, 2010, the Company recognized 10,636 shares in common stock for employee compensation. The per share value ranged from \$.050 to \$.90 for a net value of \$7,807, based on the closing price of our common stock at the grant date.

During the year ended March 31, 2010, the Company recognized 9,312 shares in common stock for employee bonuses. The per share value ranged from \$0.82 to \$.90 for a net value of \$8,735, based on the closing price of our common stock at the grant date.

During the year ended March 31, 2010, the Company recognized 17,045 shares in common stock for director fees value at \$15,000 at a per share value of \$0.88, based on the closing price of our common stock at the grant date.

During the year ended March 31, 2010, the Company recognized \$52,500 restricted stock units payable to directors for services rendered at a rate of one share of common stock for each restricted stock unit. Each restricted stock unit is valued at \$0.85, based on the closing price of our common stock at the grant date.

### b. Preferred Stock

The Company has no issued Preferred Stock.

### **NOTE 7 - NOTES PAYABLE**

Notes payable consisted of the following at March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010

	March 31,				
		2011		2010	
Note Payable to Martha s Separate Property Trust	\$	100,000	\$	-	-
Notes Payable to individual investors	\$	215,000			
Debt discount	\$	(125,607)	\$	-	-
Total Notes Payable	\$	189,393	\$	-	-

On March 7, 2011 the Company entered into a short term promissory note in the amount of \$100,000. The Note bears interest at Fifteen Percent (15%) per annum and is accompanied by a warrant to purchase 100,000 shares of restricted Rule 144 common stock at \$0.17 per share. The note is secured by a purchase order in the amount of \$123,515. The Note is due within three (3) working days of receipt of payment on the purchase order but no later than 75 days from the date of the note. The warrant expires 36 months from date of funding. This fair value of this warrant was recorded as a debt discount and is amortized over the term of the loan using the effective interest method. The warrant was valued using

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

March 31, 2011 and 2010

the Black Scholes Valuation Model. The total value of the discount pertaining to this note was \$10,931. The Note was repaid on April 11, 2011 in the amount of \$101,458 including interest.

On March 31, 2011 the Company entered into several convertible promissory notes in the aggregate amount of \$215,000. The notes bear interest at Ten Percent (10.0%) per annum and include attached warrants to purchase two shares of restricted Rule 144 common stock for every dollar loaned, at a rate of \$0.15 per share, for an aggregate total of 430,000 restricted Rule 144 common shares. The Notes are due on June 29, 2011 and are secured by that portion or percentage of the Borrower s Intellectual Property which the principal amount of the Note bears to the fair market value of all Intellectual Property of the Borrower. Intellectual Property of the Borrower is defined to mean all trademarks, registered or unregistered, marks, logos, business names, proprietary computer software, curriculum, copyrighted material, registered or unregistered, trade names, patents and patent applications, and all general intangibles relating to the foregoing. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Intellectual Property shall not include any license, property or contract right the granting of a security in which would be prohibited by law or contract. The warrants expire 36 months from date of agreement. The notes were evaluated for embedded derivatives in accordance with ASC 815 and were found to not include any embedded derivatives. The Company recognized a discount on the debt issued, which was composed of an embedded beneficial conversion feature and attached warrants. The Company measured the beneficial conversion feature by allocating a portion of the proceeds equal to the intrinsic value of the feature to additional paid-in-capital. The intrinsic value of the feature was calculated on the commitment date using the effective conversion price of the notes. This intrinsic value is limited to the portion of the proceeds allocated to the notes, and was calculated as \$58,000. The warrants attached to the notes were valued using the Black Scholes Valuation Model, resulting in a fair value of \$63,479.

The total amount of the debt discounts calculated upon issuance of all promissory notes during the period was \$134,410. Total amortization of the debt discount of \$6,803 was charged to interest expense during the period.

At the Lender s sole option, Lender may elect to receive payment of this Note and all accrued interest on the due date in restricted common stock of the Borrower at the price per share of said common stock at same rate as the warrants

# **NOTE 8 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES**

The Company leases its main office under a non-cancelable lease agreement accounted for as an operating lease. The lease expires in May 2012. Rent expense for the corporate offices was \$120,875 and \$116,526 for the years ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, under this lease arrangement.

The Company leases additional warehouse space in Boise, Idaho. This warehouse space consists of approximately 2,880 square feet. The lease expires in June 2012. Rent expense for the warehouse was \$17,736 and \$20,544 for the years ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 respectively.

The Company relinquished its leased space for the LabMentors subsidiary located in Fredericton, New Brunswick, Canada. April 2010 through September 2010, the employees of LabMentors worked from their respective homes. There was no rent expense during that time. LabMentors entered into a five year office lease effective October, 2010. The rent is to be paid in Canadian dollars. Monthly rent for the first year is \$1,713 CAD/month. Rent expense for LabMentors was \$8,373 (US\$) and 6,349 (US\$) for the years ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

#### Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

March 31, 2011 and 2010

Minimum lease obligation over the next 5 years

Fiscal Year	Amount (\$)
2012	171,514
2013	50,522
2014	25,980
2015	28,146
2016	14,616

### b. Litigation

(i) The Company announced that on August 27, 2010, it obtained a copy of a complaint filed by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) commencing a civil lawsuit against PCS, its Chief Executive Officer Anthony A. Maher, and its former Chief Financial Officer Shannon Stith (Parties). The complaint (Case 1:10-cv-00433-CWD) was filed in the United States District Court For The District Of Idaho. The lawsuit involves disclosures made by the Company concerning its March 26, 2007 License Agreement with Global Techniques dba PCS Middle East ( PCS ME ). The complaint alleges: 1) the Parties violated Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5], and, in doing so, the Parties are alleged to have committed fraud in connection with the purchase and sale of securities; 2) the Parties violated Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78m(a)] and Rules 12b-20, 13a-1, and 13a-11 thereunder [17 C.F.R. 240.12b-20, 240.13a-1, and 240.13a-11] by making alleged false filings with the SEC and aiding and abetting false filings with the SEC; and 3) Mr. Maher and Ms. Stith violated Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78m(a)] and Rule 13a-14 thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.13a-14] in making false certifications of an annual report. The complaint seeks a permanent injunction, civil money penalties pursuant to Section 21(d)(3) of the Exchange Act, and a bar against Mr. Maher and Ms. Stith from serving as an officer or director of any issuer that has a class of securities registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act, as amended, or that is required to file reports pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act. On November 9, 2010, the Company filed a Motion to Dismiss (and/or for Summary Judgment), and a Motion to Strike the SEC s Amended Complaint which were denied by the Court on January 28, 2011. On April 28, 2011 and May 24, 2011, the Company participated in Court-ordered settlement conferences with representatives of the SEC and is engaged in ongoing discussions. On May 25, 2011, the Court entered a consent judgment against Ms. Stith: (1) permanently enjoining her from aiding and abetting any violation of Section13(a) of the Exchange Act, and Rules 12b-20, 13a-1 and 13a-11 promulgated thereunder, (2) permanently enjoining her from violating Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act and Rule 13a-14 promulgated thereunder, and (3) ordering her to pay a civil penalty pursuant to Section 21(d)(3) of the Exchange Act in an amount that will be determined by the Court.

Under its bylaws, PCS is obligated, subject to certain exceptions and conditions, to indemnify and advance expenses to current and former officers and directors in connection with the SEC suit. The costs incurred by PCS in addressing

the SEC suit may have a material adverse effect on PCS business, financial position, results of operations and cash flows (including its liquidity and its plan of operation as outlined in management s discussion and analysis in PCS most recent 10-K and 10-Q reports).

(ii) <u>Insurance Lawsuit</u>: PCS previously announced that its directors and officers insurance carrier had denied coverage of any claims relating to the SEC investigation, and, as a result, the Company initiated suit against the insurer (PCS Edventures!.com Inc. v. Navigators Insurance Company in the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District of the

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

March 31, 2011 and 2010

State of Idaho, in and for the county of Ada, Case No. CV-OC 1012105) for among other things, breach of contract and bad faith. On December 21, 2010, the Company reached a settlement of that lawsuit, as reported on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on December 28, 2010, and while the terms are confidential, the Company was reimbursed for a significant portion of its past legal fees, and a substantial part of the legal costs going forward will be paid by the insurer, even though the overall coverage was reduced under the negotiated settlement.

(iii) <u>Class Action Lawsuit</u>: The Company, along with its current CEO and former CFO has been named in a class action lawsuit--<u>Niederklein v. PCS Edventures!.com, Inc., et al., U.S. District Court for the District of Idaho, Case 1:10-cv-00479-CWD). The class action was brought on behalf of shareholders who purchased shares of the Company s common stock during the period between March 28, 2007 and August 15, 2007. On February 24, 2011, the Court granted the motion of Moustafa Salem to serve as the lead plaintiff. On June 8, 2011, the lead plaintiff filed a motion to voluntarily dismiss the former CFO without prejudice from the lawsuit, which the Court has granted. On June 10, 2011, the Company participated in a Court-ordered settlement conference and is engaged in ongoing negotiations</u>

Under its bylaws, PCS is obligated, subject to certain exceptions and conditions, to indemnify and advance expenses to current and former officers and directors in connection with this suit. The costs incurred by PCS in addressing this suit may have a material adverse effect on PCS business, financial position, results of operations and cash flows (including its liquidity and its plan of operation as outlined in management s discussion and analysis in PCS most recent 10-K and 10-Q reports).

### c. Contingencies

The Company is currently working with the State of California and a private consulting firm specializing in California State sales and use tax in relation to a review of sales and use tax for our California customers during the period April 1, 2002 through March 31, 2011. During this period, there was an estimated \$1 million in reportable sales in which the Company did not file or collect sales and use tax, as required by California State law. The ongoing review has determined that approximately \$105,000 in prior period sales and use tax, including interest and late fees, is due to the California State Board of Equalization (BOE) as of March 31, 2011. Of this amount approximately seventy-one percent (71%) or \$75,000 is expected to be collected by the Company from prior customers within the next 120 days and will be remitted to the BOE along with additional interest to the date of payment. The estimated recognized loss due to the inability to collect from customers is approximately \$30,000. The inability to collect is due to a combination of issues including, but not limited to unavailability of customer s past records or the inability to contact the prior customer. The company continues to contact the affected customers and gain confirmation of the reportability of the sales, status of use tax paid directly to the state Board of Equalization and the collectability of any

remaining tax due.

### **NOTE 9 - EDUCATIONAL SOFTWARE**

Educational software was purchased by the Company as a part of its acquisition of 511092 N.B. LTD. and consists of internally developed education computer programs and exercises to be accessed on the Internet. In accordance with financial accounting standards pertaining to internally developed software, the costs associated with research and initial feasibility of the programs and exercises are expensed as incurred. Once economic feasibility has been determined, the costs to develop the programs and exercises are capitalized until the software is ready for sale and customer access, at which point the development costs are reported at the lower of unamortized cost or net realizable value. Capitalized program and exercise inventory items are amortized on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful life of the program or exercise, generally 24 to 48 months. This educational software had a carrying value of \$214,756 at March 31, 2010. During 2011 there was a translation adjustment of \$9,857 to the reported carrying value of \$214,756 for an adjusted carrying value of \$224,613 at March 31, 2011. There were no additions to inventory or related costs, and a total of \$25,163 of related depreciation recognized. This resulted in a current book value of \$199,450.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

March 31, 2011 and 2010

#### **NOTE 10 - GOODWILL**

The entire goodwill balance of \$202,688 at March 31, 2011 and 2010, which is not deductible for tax purposes due to the purchase being completed through the exchange of stock, is related to the Company s acquisition of PCS LabMentors in November 2005. Included within this amount of goodwill are capital costs associated with the acquisition. The capitalized costs were incurred for accounting, consulting and legal fees associated with the transaction. With the acquisition of PCS LabMentors, the Company gained LabMentors significant interest in the technical college market and increased the Company s products available for sale to educational outlets. The Company also obtained the information technology and programming expertise of LabMentors workforce, gained additional cost optimization and gained greater market flexibility in optimizing market information and access to collegiate level sales.

Generally accepted accounting standards require that a two-step impairment test be performed annually or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of an asset may not be recoverable. The first step of the test for impairment compares the book value of the Company to its estimated fair value. The second step of the goodwill impairment test, which is only required when the net book value of the item exceeds the fair value, compares the implied fair value of goodwill to its book value to determine if an impairment is required.

The Company undertook a Goodwill impairment review during fiscal years 2011 and 2010. After reviewing current operating losses and future growth potential of the subsidiary, the Company determined that impairment was not necessary.

### **NOTE 11 - ACCRUED EXPENSES**

Accrued expenses are made up of the following at March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010.

	March 31,			March 31,	
		2011		2010	
Credit card debt	\$	39,768	\$	24,435	
Professional fees: accounting	\$	-	\$	5,000	

Professional fees: legal	\$ 482	\$ 10,640
Sales tax payable	\$ 105,377	\$ 13
GST Payable	\$ -	\$ (1,897)
Interest Payable	\$ 1,000	\$ -
BOE printer payout	\$ 2,439	\$ -
Total Accrued Expenses	\$ 149,066	\$ 38,191

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

March 31, 2011 and 2010

### **NOTE 12 - DILUTIVE INSTRUMENTS**

# **Stock Options and Warrants**

The Company is required to recognize expense of options or similar equity instruments issued to employees using the fair-value-based method of accounting for stock-based payments in compliance with the financial accounting standard pertaining to share-based payments. This standard covers a wide range of share-based compensation arrangements including share options, restricted share plans, performance-based awards, share appreciation rights, and employee share purchase plans. Application of this pronouncement requires significant judgment regarding the assumptions used in the selected option pricing model, including stock price volatility and employee exercise behavior. Most of these inputs are either highly dependent on the current economic environment at the date of grant or forward-looking over the expected term of the award.

				Total Issued		Not
	Issued	Cancelled	Executed	and Outstanding	Exercisable	Vested
Balance as of March 31, 2009	20,759,370	7,342,617	7,114,966	6,226,787	5,062,287	1,164,500
Warrants	_	-	920,000	(920,000)		
Common Stock	-	528,468	920,230	(1,373,698)		
Balance as of March 31, 2010	20,759,370	7,871,085	8,955,196	3,933,089	3,578,589	354,500
					, ,	,
Warrants	1,155,000			1,155,000		
Common Stock	1,537,285	2,290,000	737,014	(1,489,729)		
Balance as of March 31, 2011	23,451,655	10,161,085	9,692,210	3,598,360	2,419,430	1,178,930

Between May 17 and May 21 of 2010, the Company sold 750,000 shares in a non-public offering. The private offering was fully subscribed by current shareholders and accredited investors who purchased the 750,000 shares of the Company s common stock at a price of \$0.60 per share. The price at which the offering was completed represented

a 10% discount from the closing price of the Company s common stock on the OTC Bulletin Board on the commencement date of the offering. Investors also received common stock purchase warrants equivalent to 33% of the number of common shares purchased in the offering. Each stock purchase warrant expires in 24 months and allows the warrant holder to purchase shares of the Company s common stock at a price of \$0.60 per share.

The warrants computed volatility ranges from a low of 114.88% to a high of 116.44%. A risk free interest rate, ranging from a low of .76% to a high of .83% was used to value the warrants.

# Edgar Filing: PCS EDVENTURES COM INC - Form 10-K PCS EDVENTURES!.COM, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

#### Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

March 31, 2011 and 2010

On June 17, 2010, the Company granted 300,000 incentive stock options to an officer. These options were issued as incentive compensation to the officer. The options were valued using the Black-Scholes valuation model. The options have an expected volatility rate of 113.82% calculated using the Company stock price for a two-year period beginning June 17, 2010. A risk free interest rate of .48% was used to value the options. The total value of these options was \$92,897. The options vest over a three-year period and are exercisable at \$.60 per share, which represents the fair market value at the date of grant in accordance with the 2009 Equity Incentive Plan during the twelve-months ended March 31, 2011, \$25,805 of the total value was expensed.

On June 24, 2010, the Company granted 800,000 incentive options to a select group of employees. These options were issued as incentive compensation to the employees. The options were valued using the Black-Scholes valuation model. The options have an expected volatility rate of 114.06% calculated using the Company stock price for a two-year period beginning June 24, 2010. A risk free interest rate of 0.53% was used to value the options. The total value of these options was \$258,170. The options vest over a three-year period and are exercisable at \$.55 per share which represents the fair market value at the date of grant in accordance with the 2009 Equity Incentive Plan during the twelve-months ended March 31, 2011, \$69,025 of the total value was expensed.

On July 7, 2010, the Company granted 70,000 incentive stock options to an employee. These options were issued as additional incentive compensation to the employee. The options were valued using the Black-Scholes valuation model. The shares have an expected volatility rate of 113.61% calculated using the company stock price for a two-year period beginning July 7, 2010. A risk free interest rate of .31% was used to value the options. The total value of these options was \$14,768. The options vest at the end of one year, contain a number of performance conditions and are exercisable at \$.65 per share which represents the fair market value at the date of grant in accordance with the 2009 Equity Incentive Plan. During the twelve-months ended March 31, 2011, \$10,461 of the total value was expensed.

On August 23, 2010, the Company granted 50,000 options to a consultant. These options were issued to the consultant due to exemplary performance. The shares have an expected volatility rate of 109.81% calculated using the Company stock price for a two-year period beginning August 23, 2010. A risk free interest rate of .37% was used to value the options. The options were valued using the Black-Scholes valuation model. The total value of these options was \$11,988. The options vest over a three-year period, contain a number of performance conditions and are exercisable at \$.71 per share which represents the fair market value at the date of grant in accordance with the 2009 Equity Incentive Plan. During the twelve-months ended March 31, 2011, \$2,664 of the total value was expensed.

On August 24, 2010, the Company granted 133,930 incentive options to an officer. These options were issued as additional incentive compensation to the officer. The options were valued using the Black-Scholes valuation model.

The shares have an expected volatility rate of 109.70% calculated using the Company stock price for a two-year period beginning August 25, 2010. A risk free interest rate of .39% was used to value the options. The options vest over a three-year period and are exercisable at \$.70 per share which represents the fair market value at the date of grant in accordance with the 2009 Equity Incentive Plan. During the twelve-months ended March 31, 2011, \$8,701 of the total value was expensed.

On September 27, 2010, the Company granted 183,355 incentive options to an officer. These options were issued as additional incentive compensation to the officer. The options were valued using the Black-Scholes valuation model. The shares have an expected volatility rate of 241.48% calculated using the Company stock price for a two-year period beginning September 27, 2010. A risk free interest rate of 1.31% was used to value the options. The total value of these options was \$54,616. The options vested at issuance and are exercisable at \$.45 per share which represents the fair market value at the date of grant in accordance with the 2009 Equity Incentive Plan. During the twelve-months ended March 31, 2011, the entire value of the options was expensed.

Between November 13 and November 16, 2010, the Company sold 955,414 shares in a non-public offering. The private offering was fully subscribed by current shareholders and accredited investors who purchased the 955,414 shares

# Edgar Filing: PCS EDVENTURES COM INC - Form 10-K PCS EDVENTURES!.COM, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

#### Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

March 31, 2011 and 2010

of the Company s common stock at a price of \$0.16 per share. The price at which the offering was completed represented a 10% discount from the closing price of the Company s common stock on the OTC Bulletin Board on the commencement date of the offering. Investors also received common stock purchase warrants equivalent to 50% of the first 750,000 common shares purchased in the offering. Each stock purchase warrant expires in 24 months and allows the warrant holder to purchase shares of the Company s common stock at a price of \$0.20 per share.

The warrants computed volatility ranges from a low of 102.37% to a high of 102.41%. A risk free interest rate ranging from a low of .46% to a high of .51% was used to value the warrants.

On March 7, 2011, the Company issued a short term note payable in the amount of \$100,000 for interest at 15% per annum and a purchase warrant for 100,000 shares of common stock. The stock purchase warrant expires in 36 months and allows the warrant holder to purchase shares of the Company s common stock at a price of \$0.17 per share. The warrants computed volatility is 134.43%. A risk free interest rate of 1.22% was used to value the warrants.

On March 31, 2011, the Company issued short term notes payable in the aggregate amount of \$215,000 for interest at 10% per annum and purchase warrants of 430,000 shares of common stock. The aggregate notes are collateralized by the Intellectual Property of the Company whereby whereby the collateral is equal to the portion of the IP that the ratio of the principal bears to the fair market value of all Intellectual Property of the Company. The stock purchase warrants expire in 36 months and allow the warrant holders to purchase shares of the Company s common stock at a price of \$0.15 per share. The per share price represented a 25% discount from the closing price of the Company s common stock on the OTC Bulletin Board on the commencement date of the note. The warrants computed volatility is 130.17%. A risk free interest rate of 1.29% was used to value the warrants.

During the year ended March 31, 2011, the Company had 737,014 common stock options exercised by employees, for per share exercise prices ranging from \$0.50 to \$0.54. Of this amount, 149,819 were issued in a cashless exercise.

## Amendment of B Warrants

Effective as of October 8, 2009, the Company entered into a Warrant Amendment Agreement (the Agreement) with Burlingame Equity Investors, LP, a Delaware limited partnership (Burlingame). Burlingame is the holder of the Company s Common Stock Purchase Warrant B (the B Warrant), giving it the right to purchase up to 2,300,000 shares

of the Company s common stock.
Under the terms of the Agreement, the parties agreed to amend the B Warrant as follows:
(a) the expiration date of the B Warrant was extended from December 31, 2009, to December 31, 2010, subject to the right to further extend the expiration date to December 31, 2011, upon mutual written consent of the parties;
(b) the exercise price of the B Warrant was reduced from \$0.97 per share to \$0.68 per share; and

(c) the average quarterly closing price that triggers the Company s right to call the warrants was reduced from \$1.26 per share of common stock to \$1.00 per share.

The reduction of the exercise price of the B warrant resulted in a deemed dividend of \$291,586 consistent with current accounting guidance. The deemed dividend was valued using the Black-Scholes model immediately before and after the reduction consistent with current accounting guidance.

# Edgar Filing: PCS EDVENTURES COM INC - Form 10-K PCS EDVENTURES!.COM, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

March 31, 2011 and 2010

### **NOTE 13 - RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS**

On April 8, 2010, the Company recognized 17,045 shares in common stock for director fees valued at \$15,000 at a per share value of \$0.88, based on the closing price of our common stock at the grant date.

On June 17, 2010, the Company granted 300,000 incentive stock options to an officer. These options were issued as incentive compensation to the officer. The options were valued using the Black-Scholes valuation model. The options have an expected volatility rate of 113.82% calculated using the Company stock price for a two-year period beginning June 17, 2010. A risk free interest rate of .48% was used to value the options. The total value of these options was \$92,897. The options vest over a three-year period and are exercisable at \$.60 per share, which represents the fair market value at the date of grant in accordance with the 2009 Equity Incentive Plan. During the twelve-months ended March 31, 2011, \$25,805 of the total value was expensed.

On August 24, 2010, the Company granted 133,930 incentive options to an officer. These options were issued as additional incentive compensation to the officer. The options were valued using the Black-Scholes valuation model. The shares have an expected volatility rate of 109.70% calculated using the Company stock price for a two-year period beginning August 25, 2010. A risk free interest rate of .39% was used to value the options. The options vest over a three-year period and are exercisable at \$.70 per share which represents the fair market value at the date of grant in accordance with the 2009 Equity Incentive Plan. During the twelve-months ended March 31, 2011, \$8,701 of the total value was expensed.

On September 27, 2010, the Company granted 183,355 incentive options to an officer. These options were issued as additional incentive compensation to the officer. The options were valued using the Black-Scholes valuation model. The shares have an expected volatility rate of 241.48% calculated using the Company stock price for a two-year period beginning September 27, 2010. A risk free interest rate of 1.31% was used to value the options. The total value of these options was \$54,616. The options vested at issuance and are exercisable at \$.45 per share which represents the fair market value at the date of grant in accordance with the 2009 Equity Incentive Plan. During the twelve-months ended March 31, 2011, the entire value of the options was expensed.

During the year ended March 31, 2011, the Company issued 69,868, shares of common stock for bonuses to an officer. The per share value ranged from \$.013 to \$.90 for a net value of \$23,906, based on the closing price of our

common stock at the grant date.

During the year ended March 31, 2011, the Company issued 69,868, shares of common stock for bonuses to an officer. The per share value ranged from \$.013 to \$.90 for a net value of \$23,906, based on the closing price of our common stock at the grant date.

During the year ended March 31, 2011, the Company had 70,255 common stock options exercised in a cashless transaction by an officer. The per share value ranged from \$0.50 to \$0.54 for a net value of \$36,946.

During the year ended March 31, 2011, the Company converted 105,882 restricted stock units (RSUs) payable to common stock for non-management directors for services rendered at a rate of one share of common stock for each restricted stock unit. Each common stock share is valued at \$0.85, based on the closing price of the Company s common stock at the date of grant. In addition, the non-management directors were issued new RSU agreements on September 23, 2010. These agreements call for payment of current year director fees via issuance of restricted stock units over a vesting period of not less than twelve months, and require continued service for twelve months and reelection at the next annual shareholder meeting. Each restricted stock unit is valued at \$0.51, based on the closing price of the Company s common stock at the date of grant. As of March 31, 2011, \$52,500 is accrued under Stock payable.

# Edgar Filing: PCS EDVENTURES COM INC - Form 10-K PCS EDVENTURES!.COM, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

March 31, 2011 and 2010

### NOTE 14 ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

The Company s concentration of credit risk consists primarily of trade receivables. In the normal course of business, the Company provides credit terms to its customers, which generally range from net 30 to 45 days. The Company performs ongoing credit evaluations of its customers and maintains allowances for possible losses, which, when realized, have been within the range of management's expectations. The allowance is based on the prior three-year historical uncollectable accounts as a percentage of sales. As of March 31, 2011, the historical gross sales were \$7,779,607 with a bad debt allowance equivalent to 0.258%, for a bad debt allowance of \$3,279. The bad debt allowance as of March 31, 2010 was \$4,271. The bad debt expense for the year ending March 31, 2011 and 2010 was \$12,541 and \$4,649, respectively.

### **NOTE 15 - OTHER ASSETS**

During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009, the Company contracted for a production of a hard plastic covering for the third generation electronic controller, The Brain. The controller is incorporated into the AORC and AOR products. The cost of the Mold was \$28,426. The cost is amortized on a per unit basis with a total estimated 10,000 units. As of March 31, 2011, the Company amortized 1,962 units.

## **NOTE 16 - CHANGE IN ACCOUNTING POLICY**

Effective April 1, 2009, the Company changed its revenue recognition policy to recognize product revenue when the weighted average cost of goods reached 100% shipped. This change created an immaterial increased loss of (\$43,498) which was treated retrospectively. We believe this change to be more conservative and will not create a material effect on our financial statements. In addition, it will allow for revenue to be uniformly applied Company-wide and allow for greater transparency to users of the financial statements, in that it is less complicated and a more commonly used manner of recognition.

#### NOTE 17 OTHER INCOME

Other income is made up of the following at March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010.

		March	31,	
	2011			2010
Sale of obsolete inventory	\$	-	\$	62
Employee benefit/insurance refund		-		217
Professional Development reimb.		-		75
Cashier check refund (i)		-		-
SR&ED refund		-		14,452
ACOA (ii)		-		45,933
Dividend: Idaho State Ins. Fund		575		-
Class action law suite		73		-
Gain on stock for services		-		
Reimbursement of legal fees (iii)	20	2,820		
Total Other Income	\$ 20	3,468	\$	60,739

# Edgar Filing: PCS EDVENTURES COM INC - Form 10-K PCS EDVENTURES!.COM, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

#### Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

March 31, 2011 and 2010

(i)

Bank refund for cashier check purchased in FY2004 for trade show entrance fee that was never redeemed

(ii)

ACOA (Atlantic Canada Opportunities Agency) reimbursed employee costs in agreement with the Atlantic Investment partnership-Productivity and Business Skills Initiative. This was a one-time initiative and is not expected to re-occur.

(iii)

Refund for prior year legal expenses related to PCS directors and officers insurance carrier s denial of coverage. See Item 3. Legal Proceedings or Note 7 Commitments and Contingencies (b).

## **NOTE 18 - SUBSEQUENT EVENTS**

For the period April 1, 2011 through June 26, 2011, the Company issued 66,843 shares of common stock to employees as additional benefits. The per share price was determined based upon the closing price on the date of the grant. The price per share ranged from \$0.14 to \$0.20, for a valuation of \$18,514. Of the 66,843 shares issued, 45,546 were issued in payment of amounts accrued at March 31, 2011 with a value of \$8,623.

For the period April 1, 2011 through June 26, 2011, the Company issued 66,700 shares of common stock to consultants for services rendered. The per share price was determined based upon the closing price on the date of the grant. The price per share ranged from \$0.20 to \$0.45, for a valuation of \$20,040. Of the 66,700 shares issued, 26,475 were issued in payment of amounts accrued at March 31, 2011 with a value of \$5,295.

On April 11 2011, the Company paid \$101,458 for payment in full of \$100,000 in principal and \$1,458 interest for that certain short term note that was entered into on March 7, 2011. See Note 6-Notes Payable.

On April 27, 2011, the Company issued contingent warrants to purchase an aggregate of 200,000 shares of restricted Rule 144 common stock at \$0.35 per share. The conversion of the warrants to common stock is based upon the

successful creation of a predetermined sales or distribution agreement that generates recognized revenue in an amount no less than \$250,000. The warrant expires 36 months from date of warrant.

On April 29, 2011, the Company issued 80,000 shares of common stock for \$8,000 in cash.

On May 31, 2010, the Company granted 275,000 incentive options to an officer. These options were issued as additional incentive compensation to the officer. The options vest over a six month period and are exercisable at \$0.17 per share which represents the fair market value at the date of grant in accordance with the 2009 Equity Incentive Plan.

On June 20, 2011, the Company entered into a secured promissory note in the amount of \$100,000. The note bears interest at Ten Percent (10.0%) per annum and is secured by specific Accounts Receivable of the Borrower that shall be created when the Inventory purchased by this loan is delivered and invoiced to its customers. The note also includes attached warrants to purchase two shares of restricted Rule 144 common stock for every dollar loaned, at a rate of \$0.15 per share, for an aggregate total of 200,000 restricted Rule 144 common shares. The warrants are fully vested and exercisable and will expire in 36 months from date of note. The Note is due on August 20, 2011.

Effective June 29, 2011, an extension was granted on certain notes payable in the amount of \$215,000 originally due on June 29, 2011. The notes continue to bear interest at Ten Percent (10.0%) per annum and include attached warrants to purchase an additional two shares of restricted Rule 144 common stock for every dollar originally loaned, at a rate of \$0.15 per share, for an aggregate total of an additional 430,000 restricted Rule 144 common shares. The Notes are due on October 27, 2011. The warrants expire 36 months from date of extension. See Note 6.

## PAGE 58

### Item 9. Changes In and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.

None, not applicable

Item 9(A). Controls and Procedures.

## Management s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting.

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act). Our internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving their control objectives. Our management, including our chief executive officer, does not expect that our disclosure controls and procedures or our internal controls will prevent all error or fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Due to the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, have been detected. Management believes that the financial statements included in this report fairly present in all material respects our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented.

Our management, with the participation of the principal executive officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting as of March 31, 2011. In making this assessment, our management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control Integrated Framework. As a result of its review, management identified a material weakness in the internal control over financial reporting. This material weakness was evidenced through the Company s year-end closing process, which did not adequately ensure that all transactions were accounted for in accordance with GAAP and that required adjustments were made to the financial statements to prevent them from being materially misstated. Based on this evaluation, our management, with the participation of the principal executive officer, concluded that, as of March 31, 2011, our internal control over financial reporting was not effective. Management recognizes that the change in leadership of the financial reporting area and the absence of experienced personnel near the end of the fiscal year negatively impacted the ability of the internal controls over the closing process to function as designed. Also, management acknowledges that as a smaller reporting entity, it is difficult to have adequate accounting staff to perform appropriate additional reviews of the financial statements. The Company is exploring restructuring of duties under the new Chief Financial Officer as well as continuing professional education for existing staff to mitigate the weaknesses experienced at this year-end.

.

This Annual Report does not include an attestation report of our registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management s report was not subject to attestation by our registered public accounting firm pursuant to rules of the SEC that permit us to provide only management s report in this Annual Report.

## **Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

There have been no changes in internal control over financial reporting during the last fiscal quarter of our fiscal year ended March 31, 2011.

Item 9(B). Other Information

None

### **PART III**

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers, Promoters and Control Persons; Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act.

## **Identification of Directors and Executive Officers.**

The following table sets forth the name, age and position of each officer and director of the Company:

Name	Age	Position
Anthony A. Maher	63	Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer
Robert O. Grover	48	President, Chief Operating Officer, and Chief Technology Officer
Valerie L. Grindle	55	Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Donald J. Farley	63	Secretary
Michael K. McMurray	65	Director
Dehryl A. Dennis	70	Director

### Term of Office.

The terms of office of the current directors shall continue until the annual meeting of stockholders, which has been scheduled by the Board of Directors to be held no later than September of each year. The annual meeting of the Board of Directors immediately follows the annual meeting of stockholders, at which executive officers for the coming year are elected.

## **Business Experience.**

Anthony A. Maher. Mr. Maher was named Chief Executive Officer and Director in March 2010. Prior to that, Mr. Maher was recruited to PCS at its inception as Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer and

structured the purchase of PCS Schools, Inc. and PCS LabMentors, Under Mr. Maher s leadership, the Company has developed curriculum from an initial four core areas to over 60; they developed the distance developer database; and created web-based publishing expertise. From 1982 to 1989 he was founder and Chairman of the Board of National Manufacturing Company, Inc. and its subsidiary, National Medical Industries, Inc. From 1979 to 1982, Mr. Maher was Executive Vice President for Littletree Inns, a hotel company based in Boise, Idaho with properties throughout the Northwest. Mr. Maher graduated from Boise State University in 1970 with a Bachelor of Arts degree in Political Science.

Robert O. Grover. Mr. Grover was named President, Chief Operating Officer, and Chief Technology Officer in March 2010. Mr. Grover's current focus is on the development of PCS strategic business units and their individual business plans. Mr. Grover joined PCS at its inception and became Executive Vice President in May 1996. Mr. Grover graduated from Boise State University in 1987 with a Bachelor of Arts degree in English and an A.A.S. in Business Management.

*Valerie L. Grindle.* Ms. Grindle was named Senior Vice President of Finance and Administration and Chief Financial Officer in March 2011. Ms. Grindle most recently served as CFO of Great American Appetizers, a privately-owned manufacturing company in Nampa, Idaho since 2009. Previously, she founded and, from 1995 until 2009, operated a consulting practice that provided interim C-level executive services to companies. From 1992 until 1995, she was Vice President of Tele-Communications, Inc of Englewood, Colorado, where she was responsible for worldwide accounting for the major cable conglomerate. Prior to TCI, Ms. Grindle served at Tenneco, Inc. as Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Tenneco Minerals and later as President of Tenneco's Houston Oil and Minerals Exploration. Ms. Grindle holds

a B.S. degree in Business Administration from Colorado State University and an M.S. degree in Management from Krannert Graduate School of Business at Purdue University.

Donald J. Farley. Mr. Farley is the Secretary of the Company and acted as the Company's legal counsel from 1994 until 2005. Mr. Farley is a founding partner of the law firm of Hall, Farley, Oberrecht & Blanton, P.A. His legal practice emphasizes litigation and representation of closely held businesses. He has been in private practice since 1975, after serving a two-year judicial clerkship with former United States District Judge J. Blaine Anderson. Mr. Farley is admitted to practice before all state and federal courts in Idaho and has also been admitted to practice before the United States Supreme Court. He is a member of the American Bar Association, the International Association of Defense Counsel, Defense Research Institute, the Idaho State Bar Association and the Association of Trial lawyers of America. Mr. Farley graduated from the University of Idaho in 1970 with a Bachelor of Arts degree in Economics and from the University of Idaho College of Law in 1973.

Dehryl A. Dennis, Ed.D. Dr. Dennis has had a 36-year career that took him from teaching in small public schools in southwest Idaho to Puerto Rico and Illinois, and then returned him to his native state as a district administrator in Boise, Idaho. During his entire professional career, Dr. Dennis was an outspoken advocate for programs that emphasized applied learning. Because of his strong belief that most people learn by doing rather than thinking about doing, he supported and helped implement off-school site classrooms in malls and hospitals; partnerships with trade unions, small business, and industry; and cooperative agreements with institutions of higher learning. The success of these programs culminated in the construction of the Dehryl A. Dennis Professional Technical Center, which opened in 1999 and presently serves approximately 900 students from 16 area high schools. Appointed in 1976 as Director of Personnel, he later served as Assistant Superintendent and then Deputy Superintendent until he was appointed District Superintendent in 1994. He remained as Superintendent until his retirement in July 1999.

Michael K. McMurray. Mr. McMurray returned to the PCS Board in 2004 after having served on the Board from 1989 through 1994. He retired as a financial executive from Boise Cascade Corporation in 2001. Since retiring, Mike has served on a number of company and not-for-profit boards. Mike is currently on the PCS Edventures!.com, Inc. Board and Advisory Boards for start-up companies Tenxsys Inc. and Paksense Inc. Mike has worked as a consultant primarily engaged in financing growth for a broad range of companies including the Titcomb Family Trust and SITOA Corporation. Community boards include past Board Chairman of Idaho Housing and Finance Association and Board Chairman of the Idaho Housing Company. He is a past board member of Farmers and Merchants Bank, the Downtown Boise Association, Hillcrest Country Club and the Boise Family YMCA. He is currently serving on the board of Regence Blue Shield of Idaho and the Idaho Community Foundation Finance Committee. Mike is a graduate of the University of Idaho with a finance degree and the Harvard Business School Executive MBA program.

## Significant Employees.

*Joseph A. Khoury*. Mr. Khoury is the founder of PCS LabMentors, Ltd. formerly known as 511092 N.B. LTD. Prior to forming LabMentors, he was employed as a software engineer with MIMS Consultants, Inc. He brings to PCS

extensive knowledge of computer software and network	communication systems.	He graduated from the	ne University of
New Brunswick in 1993 with a Bachelor of Science in E	Electrical Engineering.		

Family Relationships.

There are no family relationships between any of our directors or executive officers.

**Involvement in Certain Legal Proceedings.** 

With the exception of the consent judgment involving the Company s former Chief Financial Officer Ms. Stith, which is discussed in Note 8b, during the past 10 years, to our knowledge, none of our present or former directors, executive officers or persons nominated to become directors or executive officers has been the subject of any of the following:

(1) A petition under the federal bankruptcy laws or any state insolvency law was filed by or against, or a receiver, fiscal agent or similar officer was appointed by a court for the business or property of such person, or any partnership in which he was a general partner at or within two (2) years before the time of such filing, or any corporation or business association of which he was an executive officer at or within two (2) years before the time of such filing;
(2) Such person was convicted in a criminal proceeding or is a named subject of a pending criminal proceeding (excluding traffic violations and other minor offenses);
(3) Such person was the subject of any order, judgment, or decree, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated, of any court of competent jurisdiction, permanently or temporarily enjoining him or her from, or otherwise limiting, the following activities:
(i) Acting as a futures commission merchant, introducing broker, commodity trading advisor, commodity pool operator, floor broker, leverage transaction merchant, any other person regulated by the Commodity Futures Trading Commission, or an associated person of any of the foregoing, or as an investment adviser, underwriter, broker or dealer in securities, or as an affiliated person, director or employee of any investment company, bank, savings and loan association or insurance company, or engaging in or continuing any conduct or practice in connection with such activity;
(ii) Engaging in any type of business practice; or
(iii) Engaging in any activity in connection with the purchase or sale of any security or commodity or in connection with any violation of Federal or State securities laws or Federal commodities laws;
(4) Such person was the subject of any order, judgment or decree, not subsequently reversed,
suspended or vacated, of any Federal or State authority barring, suspending or otherwise limiting for more than sixty $(60)$ days the right of such person to engage in any activity described in paragraph $(f)(3)(i)$ of this section, or to be associated with persons engaged in any such activity;
(5) Such person was found by a court of competent jurisdiction in a civil action or by the SEC to have violated any federal or state securities law, and the judgment in such civil action or finding by the SEC has not been subsequently

reversed, suspended, or vacated;

- (6) Such person was found by a court of competent jurisdiction in a civil action or by the Commodity Futures Trading Commission to have violated any Federal commodities law, and the judgment in such civil action or finding by the Commodity Futures Trading Commission has not been subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated;
- (7) Such person was the subject of, or a party to, any federal or state judicial or administrative order, judgment, decree, or finding, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated, relating to an alleged violation of:
- (i) Any federal or state securities or commodities law or regulation; or
- (ii) Any law or regulation respecting financial institutions or insurance companies including, but not limited to, a temporary or permanent injunction, order of disgorgement or restitution, civil money penalty or temporary or permanent cease-and-desist order, or removal or prohibition order; or
- (iii) Any law or regulation prohibiting mail or wire fraud or fraud in connection with any business entity; or
- (8) Such person was the subject of, or a party to, any sanction or order, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated, of any self-regulatory organization (as defined in Section 3(a)(26) of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. 78c(a)(26))), any registered entity (as defined in Section 1(a)(29) of the Commodity Exchange Act (7 U.S.C. 1(a)(29))), or any

equivalent exchange, association, entity or organization that has disciplinary authority over its members or persons associated with a member.
Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act.
PCS believes all forms required to be filed under Section 16 of the Exchange Act for all of the Company's directors and executive officers have been timely filed.
Code of Ethics.
We adopted a Code of Ethics and it was attached as Exhibit 14 to our 2004 Annual Report. The Code was revised in 2010 and is available on our web site at https://edventures.com.
Nominating Committee.
No changes have been made to the process by which shareholders may nominate a person or persons to serve as a member of the Company s Board of Directors.
Audit Committee.
We chartered an audit committee in 2001 for the purpose of engaging an accounting firm, which is currently M&K CPAs, PLLC, for the annual audit and quarterly reviews. The audit committee currently consists of Board members Michael K. McMurray and Dehryl A Dennis. Mr. McMurray is considered an audit committee financial expert based on his previous work experience and the definition contained in Reg. 228.401 Instructions to paragraph (e)(1) of Item

401 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. The audit committee continued to implement its Charter regarding the scope and responsibilities for the audit committee adopted in fiscal year 2005 and revised in fiscal year 2010. The audit committee meets with M&K CPAs, PLLC via telephone on a quarterly basis and meets separately with management

to review quarterly financial results and discuss any issues. The audit committee facilitated a teleconference meeting with the Board of Directors and M&K CPAs, PLLC on August 25, 2010 during the Company s Annual Meeting. In addition, the audit committee discusses auditing issues as needed during regularly scheduled board meetings, which are documented in the Company s minutes.

## Item 11. Executive Compensation.

Compensation.

## **SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE FOR FISCAL YEARS 2009-2011**

The following table provides information relative to compensation paid to our executive officers for the years ended March 31, 2009 through March 31, 2011. During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011, Ms. Grindle s salary compromised < 1%, Mr. Grover s salary comprised 7.4%% of the total compensation paid to all employees, while Mr. Maher s salary comprised 8.6% of the total compensation paid to all employees.

							Change in		
						N E '	Pension Value		
Name and			D	C41- A 1-	Option	Non-Equity	and	All	
Principal	Year	Salary (\$)		Stock Awards	Awards	Incentive Plan	_	Other	Total (\$)
Position			(\$)			Compensation		Comp.	
							Compensation		
				( <b>b</b> )	( <b>b</b> )	( <b>h</b> )	Earnings	( <b>h</b> )	
				(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)
Anthony A.	3/31/2011	114,785***	-	-	82,510	-	-	*	197,295
Maher	3/31/2010	106,000	-	14,000	-	-	-	*	120,000
President, CEO	,								
Chairman of the	3/31/2009	120,000	-		-		-	*	120,000
Board									
Robert O.	3/31/2011	99,133***	-	-	180,000	-	-	*	279,133
Grover,	3/31/2010	81,667	-	18,333	-	-	-	*	100,000
President, CTO	2 2 2 1 12000	100 000		15 000				*	115 000
COO	3/31/2009	100,000	-	15,000	_	-	-	*	115,000
Valerie L	3/31/2011	2,429***	-	-	-	-	-	*	2,429
GrindleSr. V.P.	. 3/31/2010	-	-		-		-	*	-
of Finance and									
Administration,	3/31/2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-
CFO									

<sup>\*</sup>Aggregate amount of other compensation is less than \$50,000

or 10% of the total annual salary and bonus reported.

\*\* Ms. Grindle s compensation is prorated based on hire date of March 24, 2011.

\*\*\* 80% of salary paid in cash and 20% of salary paid in Restricted Rule 144 Stock under the 2009 Equity Incentive Plan.

PAGE 64

**Options Grants in Last Fiscal Year.** 

# **GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2011**

The following table outlines the equity-based awards granted to our executive officers for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011.

Name	Estimated Future Payouts Grant Date Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards				Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards			All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options (#)	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards (\$/Sh)	
		Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Max-imum (\$)	Threshold Threshold (#)	Target (#)	Max-imum (#)	ı		
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)
Anthony A Maher, CEO, Chairman Robert O Grover, President,	9/27/2010	) N/A	N/A	N/A	183,355	183,355	183,355	N/A	N/A	\$0.45
Chief Operating Officer, and Chief Technology Officer	6/27/2010	) N/A	N/A	N/A	0	150,000	300,000	N/A	N/A	\$0.60
Valerie L. Grindle Senior V.P. of Finance and Chief financial Officer	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

PAGE 65

## **OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR-END 2011**

		$O_{\mathbf{l}}$	Stock Awards						
Name	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable	Equity Incentive Plan Awards Number of Securities Underlying	: Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	of Shares	That	Awards: rNumber o Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have	Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)
Anthony Maher	183,355	5 -	N/A	A \$ 0.4	5 09/27/2	0 -	-	N/A	A N/A
Robert Grover Robert Grover Valerie L. Grindle	-	50,00	0 N/A	A \$ 1.7	0 12/31/1	1 -		- N/A	A N/A
	-		300,000	0.6	06/17/1	5 -		- N/A	A N/A
	-		- N/A	A N/A	N/A	A -		- N/A	A N/A

Effective May 2009, in an effort to reduce cash outflow the officers assumed a 20% cash compensation reduction and receive the 20% in Restricted Rule 144 common stock. Currently, the Company is paying the officers the following annual salaries: Anthony A. Maher - \$96,000 in cash and \$24,000 worth of shares of Restricted Rule 144 common stock; Robert O. Grover - \$80,000 in cash and \$20,000 worth of shares of Restricted Rule 144 common stock. Valerie L. Grindle - \$80,000 in cash and \$20,000 worth of shares of Restricted Rule 144 common stock. The Company also makes available medical and dental insurance coverage for its officers and other U.S. employees.

## **OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED FOR FISCAL YEAR 2011**

The following table provides information related to stock option exercises by executive officers of the Company, as well as any stock awards vesting during the Fiscal Year Ended March 31, 2011.

	Option Av	wards	Stock Awards			
Name	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercised (\$)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$)		
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)		
Anthony A. Maher	-	-	N/A	N/A		
Robert O. Grover	357,467	158,030	N/A	N/A		
Valerie L Grindle	-	-	N/A	N/A		

## **Audit Committee Financial Expert.**

We chartered an audit committee in 2001 for the purpose of engaging an accounting firm, which is currently M&K CPAs, PLLC, for the annual audit and quarterly reviews. The audit committee currently consists of Board members Michael K. McMurray and Dehryl A Dennis. Mr. McMurray is considered an audit committee financial expert based on his previous work experience and the definition contained in Reg. 228.401 Instructions to paragraph (e)(1) of Item 401 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. The audit committee continued to implement its Charter regarding the scope and responsibilities for the audit committee adopted in fiscal year 2005 and revised in fiscal year 2010. The audit committee meets with M&K CPAs, PLLC via telephone on a quarterly basis and meets separately with management to review quarterly financial results and discuss any issues. The audit committee facilitated a teleconference meeting with the Board of Directors and M&K CPAs, PLLC on August 25, 2010 during the Company s Annual Meeting. In addition, the audit committee discusses auditing issues as needed during regularly scheduled board meetings, which are documented in the Company s minutes.

#### **Compensation of Directors.**

Effective October 1, 2009, the Board resolved and adopted the annual fees to be paid to outside Directors of the Board to be \$30,000 annually and paid on the form of Restricted Stock Units, or other form authorized under the PCS 2009 Equity Incentive Plan as the Board determines. Restricted Stock Units are subject to forfeiture as described in the 2009 Plan. As of March 31, 2011 the Company had accrued \$52,500 of director fees. The current CEO is excluded

from receiving additional compensation as a Board member beginning the second fiscal quarter of 2006 by unanimous consent of the Board and his own request.

The following table shows awards and payments to members of our Board for fiscal year 2010 as compensation in accordance with the Board approved director compensation. The table excludes our Chairman, who is also an executive officer. The Chairman s compensation is fully reflected in the Summary Compensation Table.

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)	Option Awards (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	Change in Pension value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)
Dehryl Dennis	0	30,000	0	0	0	0	30,000
Donald Farley	0	30,000	0	0	0	0	30,000
Michael McMurray	0	30,000	0	0	0	0	30,000

## **Employment Agreements**

We have no written employment agreements with our management with the exception of our subsidiary president, Joseph A. Khoury. The contract was effective December 1, 2005 and expires after six months. The contract automatically renews for twelve-month periods, but may be terminated with ninety (90) days written notice by either party. The contract provides for a salary of \$60,000 (Canadian dollars) per year, as well as issuance of Rule 144 stock if certain performance goals are met by the subsidiary as established by the Board.

## **Stock Option Plans and Other Incentive Compensation Plans.**

On August 27, 2009, the Board of Directors adopted and the shareholder s approved the PCS Edventures!.com, Inc. 2009 Equity Incentive Plan (2009 Plan). The 2009 Plan was designed to replace the existing 2004 Nonqualified Stock Option Plan (2004 Plan). The 2009 Plan provides for the grant of various types of equity instruments, including grants of restricted and unrestricted PCS common stock as well as options and other types of awards. The 2009 Plan was implemented to align the interests of the Company s employees with those if the shareholders and to motivate, attract, and retain its employees and provide an incentive for outstanding performance. An 8K was filed on November 19, 2009 registering the Plan.

## Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.

Security Ownership of Management.

## **CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS**

The following table outlines information provided to the Company as of March 31, 2011 regarding beneficial ownership of PCS Common Stock by the Company s directors, executive management, and any beneficial owners.

## **DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS**

		Amount and Na	ture of Beneficial (	Ownership (1)	
Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Shares Owned	Shares Issuable Upon Exercise of Options	Shares Issuable Upon Receipt of Restricted Stock Units	Total	Percentage Owned
	(3)				(2)
Anthony A. Maher, CEO (4)					
345 Bobwhite Court, Suite 200	2,296,524	183,355	-	2,479,879	5.81%
Boise, Idaho 83706					
Dehryl A. Dennis, Director					Less than
345 Bobwhite Court, Suite 200	69,964	20,971	58,824	149,759	1.0%
Boise, Idaho 83706					-1071
Donald J. Farley, Secretary	<b></b>	<b>7</b> 00 <b>212</b>	<b>7</b> 0.0 <b>2</b> 4	1 200 120	2 20 ~
345 Bobwhite Court, Suite 200	759,293	580,313	58,824	1,398,430	3.28%
Boise, Idaho 83706					
Michael K. McMurray,					
Director	297,182	74,466	58,824	430,472	1.01%
345 Bobwhite Court, Suite 200					
Boise, Idaho 83706 Valerie L. Grindle					
	3,037			3,037	Less than
345 Bobwhite Court, Suite 200 Boise, Idaho 83706	3,037	-	-	3,037	1.0%
Robert O. Grover, VP					
345 Bobwhite Court, Suite 200	429,576	350,000		779,576	Less than
Boise, Idaho 83706	429,370	330,000	-	119,510	1.0%
All officers and directors as a					
group	3,855,576	1,209,105	176,472	5,241,153	12.28%
(six persons)	3,033,370	1,207,103	170,772	3,2 11,133	12.2070
(om Persons)					

(1)

Unless otherwise noted above, we believe that all persons named in the table have sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of common stock beneficially owned by them. For purposes hereof, a person is deemed to be the beneficial owner of securities that can be acquired by such person within 60 days from the date hereof upon the exercise of warrants or options or the conversion of convertible securities. Each beneficial owner's percentage of

ownership is determined by assuming that any warrants, options or convertible securities that are held by such person (but not those held by any other person) and which are exercisable within 60 days from the date hereof, have been exercised. There are currently no beneficial owners, as defined by the Securities Exchange Commission as owners with greater than 10% ownership, other than our directors and executive officers.

(2)

Based upon 42,669,529 shares issued and outstanding as of the first date listed in this section.

(3)

This is the amount exercisable by the directors and executive officers as of March 31, 2011.

(4)

Includes (i) 2,440,379 shares owned of record by Mr. Maher; (ii) 9,500 shares which are beneficially owned by Sullivan Maher, LLC, for which Mr. Maher acts as a manager; (iii) 30,000 shares owned by the Maher Family Partnership LLP. Changes in Control.

To our knowledge, there are no present arrangements or pledges of our securities that may result in a change in control of our company.

## Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions.

### Transactions with Related Persons.

On April 8, 2010, the Company recognized 17,045 shares in common stock for C. Andrus, a member of the Board of Directors, valued at \$15,000 at a per share value of \$0.88, based on the closing price of our common stock at the grant date. These shares were issued for the services subsequent to March 31, 2010 and were recognized as an expense and were included in Stock Payable during the year ended March 31, 2010.

On June 17, 2010, the Company granted 300,000 incentive stock options to R. Grover, an officer of the Company. These options were issued as incentive compensation to the officer. The options were valued using the Black-Scholes valuation model. The options have an expected volatility rate of 113.82% calculated using the Company stock price for a two-year period beginning June 17, 2010. A risk free interest rate of .48% was used to value the options. The total value of these options was \$92,897. The options vest over a three-year period and are exercisable at \$.60 per share, which represents the fair market value at the date of grant in accordance with the 2009 Equity Incentive Plan. During the twelve-months ended March 31, 2011, \$25,805 of the total value was expensed.

On August 24, 2010, the Company granted 133,930 incentive options to J. Khoury, an officer of LabMentors, our wholly owned subsidiary. These options were issued as additional incentive compensation to the officer. The options were valued using the Black-Scholes valuation model. The shares have an expected volatility rate of 109.70% calculated using the Company stock price for a two-year period beginning August 25, 2010. A risk free interest rate of .39% was used to value the options. The options vest over a three-year period and are exercisable at \$.70 per share

which represents the fair market value at the date of grant in accordance with the 2009 Equity Incentive Plan. During the twelve-months ended March 31, 2011, \$8,701 of the total value was expensed.

On September 27, 2010, the Company granted 183,355 incentive options to A. Maher, an officer and member of the board of directors. These options were issued as additional incentive compensation to the officer. The options were valued using the Black-Scholes valuation model. The shares have an expected volatility rate of 241.48% calculated using the Company stock price for a two-year period beginning September 27, 2010. A risk free interest rate of 1.31% was used to value the options. The total value of these options was \$54,616. The options vested at issuance and are exercisable at \$.45 per share which represents the fair market value at the date of grant in accordance with the 2009 Equity Incentive Plan. During the twelve-months ended March 31, 2011, the entire value of the options was expensed.

During the year ended March 31, 2011, the Company issued 69,868, shares of common stock to J Khoury, an officer of LabMentors, our wholly owned subsidiary, for bonuses. The per share value ranged from \$.013 to \$.90 for a net value of \$23,906, based on the closing price of our common stock at the grant date.

During the year ended March 31, 2011, the Company had 70,255 common stock options exercised in a cashless transaction by R. Grover, an officer of the Company. The per share value ranged from \$0.50 to \$0.54 for a net value of \$36,946.

During the year ended March 31, 2011, the Company converted an aggregate of 105,882 restricted stock units (RSUs) payable to common stock to three non-management directors, A. Dennis, M. McMurray, and D. Farley, for services rendered at a rate of one share of common stock for each restricted stock unit. Each non-management director received 35,294 shares of common stock. Each common stock share is valued at \$0.85, based on the closing price of the Company s common stock at the date of grant. In addition, the non-management directors were issued new RSU

agreements on September 23, 2010. These agreements call for payment of current year director fees via issuance of restricted stock units over a vesting period of not less than twelve months, and require continued service for twelve months and reelection at the next annual shareholder meeting. Each restricted stock unit is valued at \$0.51, based on the closing price of the Company s common stock at the date of grant. As of March 31, 2011, \$52,500 is accrued under Stock payable.

Parents.
None, not applicable.
Promoters and Control Persons.
None, not applicable
Director Independence.
We believe that all members of our Board of Directors with the exception of our Chief Executive Officer, Anthony A. Maher are independent based on the following definition of NASDAQ, which is quoted below from Rule 5605(a)(2) Independent Director means a person other than an Executive Officer or employee of the Company or any othe individual having a relationship, which, in the opinion of the Company s board of directors, would interfere with the exercise of independent judgment in carrying out the responsibilities of a director. For purposes of this rule, Family Member means a person s spouse, parents, children and siblings, whether by blood, marriage or adoption, or anyone residing in such person s home. The following persons shall not be considered independent:
(A) a director who is, or at any time during the past three years was, employed by the Company;
(B) a director who accepted or who has a Family Member who accepted any compensation from the Company in excess of \$120,000 during any period of twelve consecutive months within the three years preceding the

determination of independence, other than the following:

(i)
compensation for board or board committee service;
(ii)
compensation paid to a Family Member who is an employee (other than an Executive Officer) of the Company; or
(iii)
benefits under a tax-qualified retirement plan, or non-discretionary compensation.
Provided, however, that in addition to the requirements contained in this paragraph (B), audit committee members are also subject to additional, more stringent requirements under Rule 5605(c)(2).
(C) a director who is a Family Member of an individual who is, or at any time during the past three years was, employed by the company as an Executive Officer;
(D) a director who is, or has a Family Member who is, a partner in, or a controlling Shareholder or an Executive Officer of, any organization to which the Company made, or from which the Company received, payments for property or services in the current or any of the past three fiscal years that exceed 5% of the recipient s consolidated gross revenues for that year, or \$200,000, whichever is more, other than the following:
(i)
payments arising solely from investments in the Company s securities; or
(ii)
payments under non-discretionary charitable contribution matching programs.

(E) a director of the Company who is, or has a Family Member who is, employed as an Executive Officer of another entity where at any time during the past three years any of the Executive Officers of the Company serve on the compensation committee of such other entity; or

(F) a director who is, or has a Family Member who is, a current partner of the Company s outside auditor, or was a partner or employee of the Company s outside auditor who worked on the Company s audit at any time during any of the past three years.

(G) in the case of an investment company, in lieu of paragraphs (A)-(F), a director who is an interested person of the Company as defined in Section 2(a)(19) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, other than in his or her capacity as a member of the board of directors or any board committee.

Our Board of Directors has adopted this definition of an independent director even though we are not required to have independent directors.

## Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees And Services.

### **Fees Paid to Principal Accountants**

Fee Category	FY2011	FY2010
Audit Fee Audit Related Fee	\$ 53,875	\$ 50,200
All Other Fees		
Total Fees	\$ 53,875	\$ 50,200

### Pre-approval and Policies

The Audit Committee must approve all audit and non-audit engagements of our independent public accounting firm in writing.

### Part IV

## Item 15. Exhibits.

# (i)Where Incorporated in this Report

Registration Statement on SB-2/A filed May 2, 2001, as amended	Parts I, II, III
Registration Statement on SB-2/A filed March 13, 2006, as amended	Parts I, II, III
8K filed December 9, 2005 re: LabMentors	Parts I, II, III
8K/A filed February 15, 2006 re: LabMentors 8K filed August 22, 2006 re: Amendment to Articles of	Parts I, II, III
Incorporation or Bylaws	Parts I, II, III
8K filed August 29, 2006 re: Amendment to Articles of	
Incorporation or Bylaws	Parts I, II, III
8K filed October 12, 2006 re: Amendment to Articles of	Parts I, II, III
Incorporation or Bylaws	rants 1, 11, 111
8K filed August 31, 2006 re: Education Enterprise Solutions	Part II
8K /A filed May 17, 2007 re: Material Definitive Agreement	Part II
with Global Techniques	1 411 11
8K filed October 15, 2007 re: Material Definitive Agreement	Part II
with Global Techniques	
8K filed January 7, 2008 re: Termination of Material Definitive	Part II
Agreement with Global Techniques 8K/A filed August 25, 2008 re: <u>Item 4.01 Changes is</u>	
Registrant s Certifying Accountant	Part II
8K filed February 2, 2009 re: Letter of Intent for the acquisition	
by PCS of substantially all the assets of Trudy	Part II
8K filed June 9, 2009 re: Termination of Trudy Corp Asset	
Acquisition	Part II
8K filed October 13, 2009 re: Amendment to B Warrants	Part II
8K dated October 20, 2009 re: Notification of Wells Notice	Part I, II
8K filed November 30, 2009 re: Mr. Anthony Maher medical	Part II
condition	1 art II
S-8 filed November 19, 2009 re: Registration of the PCS	Parts II, III
Edventures!.com, Inc. 2009 Equity Incentive Plan	1 4115 11, 111
S-8 filed December 1, 2009 re: amendment of PCS	Part II
Edventures!.com, Inc, 2004 Non-qualified Stock Option Plan	
8K filed March 2, 2010 re: Change in Management and Director	Part II, III Part III
8K filed August 31, 2010 re: Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders Re-election of the Board of Directors and M&K CPA s	rait III
8K filed September 27, 2010 re: Securities and Exchange Commission Civil Action	Parts II, III
8K filed December 28, 2010 re: Insurance Settlement	Parts II, III
8K filed March 25, 2010 re: Valerie L. Grindle	Parts II, III

These documents and related exhibits have been previously filed with the SEC and are incorporated herein by reference.

(ii)

## **Exhibit Number**

Description

Subsidiaries of the Company 31.1 302 Certification 906 Certification

### **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Dated: 6/29/2011 By: /s/Anthony A. Maher

Anthony A. Maher

CEO and Chairman of the Board of

Directors

Dated: 6/29/2011 By: /s/Robert O. Grover

Robert O. Grover

President, Chief Operating Officer and Chief Technology Officer

Dated: 6/29/2011 By: /s/Valerie L. Grindle

Sr. V.P. of Finance and Administration, CFO

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated

Dated: 06/29/2011 By: /s/Donald J. Farley

Donald J. Farley Secretary and Director

Dated: 06/29/2011 By: /s/Dehryl A. Dennis

Dehryl A. Dennis

Director

Dated: 06/29/2011 By: /s/Michael K. McMurray

Michael K. McMurray

Director