

Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC  
Form 10KSB  
September 29, 2008

ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

FORM 10-KSB

Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15 (d) of  
The Securities Exchange Act of 1934  
For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008  
Commission file number 0-9347

ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Arizona 86-0220694  
(State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer  
Incorporation or organization) Identification No.)

15575 North 83rd Way, Suite 3, Scottsdale, AZ 85260  
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's Telephone Number: (480) 607-1010

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: None  
Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act

COMMON STOCK  
(Title of Class)

Check whether the issuer (1) filed all reports required to be filed by  
Section 13 of 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the past 12 months (or for such  
shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2)  
has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes X No \_\_\_  
---

Check if disclosure of delinquent filers in response to Item 405 of  
Regulation S-B is not contained in this form, and no disclosure will be  
contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or  
information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-KSB  
or any amendment to this Form 10-KSB.

Yes X No \_\_\_  
---

The Registrant's revenues for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008 were  
\$17,211,000.

State the aggregate market value, based upon the closing bid price of  
the Common Stock as quoted on NASDAQ, of the voting stock held by non-affiliates  
of the registrant: \$24,022,000 as of September 26, 2008.

## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant's classes of common stock: 31,669,700 shares of Class A Common Stock (net of treasury shares) and no shares of Class B Common Stock as of September 26, 2008.

Except for historical information, the statements contained herein are forward-looking statements made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements include statements concerning plans, objectives, goals, strategies, future events or performance, and underlying assumptions and other statements, which are other than statements of historical facts. The words "believe," "may," "estimate," "continue," "anticipate," "intend," "should," "plan," "could," "target," "potential," "is likely," "will," "expect" and similar expressions, as they relate to the Company are intended to identify forward-looking statements within the meaning of the "safe harbor" provisions of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. From time to time, the Company may publish or otherwise make available forward-looking statements of this nature. All such forward-looking statements are based on the expectations of management when made and are subject to, and are qualified by, risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by those statements. These risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, the following factors, among others, that could affect the outcome of the Company's forward-looking statements: general economic and market conditions; reduced demand for information technology equipment; competitive pricing and difficulty managing product costs; development of new technologies which make the Company's products obsolete; rapid industry changes; failure by the Company's suppliers to meet quality or delivery requirements; the inability to attract, hire and retain key personnel; failure of an acquired business to further the Company's strategies; the difficulty of integrating an acquired business; undetected problems in the Company's products; the failure of the Company's intellectual property to be adequately protected; unforeseen litigation; unfavorable result of current pending litigation; the ability to maintain sufficient liquidity in order to support operations; the ability to maintain satisfactory relationships with lenders and to remain in compliance with financial loan covenants and other requirements under current banking agreements; the ability to maintain satisfactory relationships with suppliers; federal and/or state regulatory and legislative actions; customer preferences and spending patterns; the ability to implement or adjust to new technologies and the ability to secure and maintain key contracts and relationships. New risk factors emerge from time to time and it is not possible to accurately predict all such risk factors, nor can we assess the impact of all such risk factors on our business or the extent to which any risk factor, or combination of risk factors, may cause results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements. Except as otherwise required by applicable law, we undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements or the risk factors described in this Annual Report or in the documents we incorporate by reference, whether as a result of new information, future events, changed circumstances or any other reason after the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

### PART I

#### ITEM 1. BUSINESS

##### GENERAL DEVELOPMENT OF BUSINESS

Alanco Technologies, Inc. was incorporated in 1969 under the laws of

## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

the State of Arizona. Unless otherwise noted, the "Company" or "Alanco" refers to Alanco Technologies, Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiaries. Alanco (Nasdaq: ALAN) is a provider of advanced information technology solutions with the Company's operations for fiscal year ended June 30, 2008 diversified into three reporting business segments including: (i) RFID Technology - incorporating design, production, marketing and distribution of RFID (Radio Frequency Identification) tracking technology, (ii) Data Storage - incorporating the manufacturing, marketing and distribution of data storage products and (iii) Wireless Asset Management - incorporating the design, production, marketing, distribution and monitoring of wireless asset management products, primarily for the transportation industry.

The Company acquired its RFID (Radio Frequency Identification) tracking technology known as the TSI PRISM system in May 2002 through the acquisition of the operations of Technology Systems International, Inc., a Nevada corporation ("TSIN"). The Company continues to participate in the data storage market through Excel/Meridian Data, Inc., a manufacturer of Network Attached Storage ("NAS") systems and other storage related products for mid-range organizations. Arraid, Inc., a manufacturer of proprietary storage products to upgrade older "legacy" computer systems, previously included in the data storage segment, was sold during the first quarter of fiscal year 2007 and appropriately the results of operations for fiscal year 2007 are reported as discontinued operations.

The June 30, 2006 acquisition of StarTrak Systems, LLC ("StarTrak"), a Delaware LLC located in Morris Plains, New Jersey, added Wireless Asset Management, a third reporting business segment described as a provider of wireless GPS tracking and monitoring services, which are offered on a monthly subscription basis to various industry segments. The company's primary focus is currently the refrigerated or "Reefer" segment of the transport industry, providing the dominant share of all wireless tracking, monitoring and control services to this market segment.

### RECENT BUSINESS DEVELOPMENTS

The Company was notified by Nasdaq on July 29, 2008 that the Company was out of compliance with Marketplace Rule 4351 and IM-4351 (the "Rules") concerning the issuance of 100,000 shares of Series D Preferred Stock. The terms of the Series D Preferred Stock included a provision entitling the investors to notification of any stockholders' meetings and the right to vote with the holders of its Class A Common Stock on any matters before them. The Series D Preferred Stock allowed the investors to vote "the number of votes equal to \$10.00 divided by the Nasdaq closing market price for the Company's Class A Common Stock on the record date for the stockholders meeting." Since the number of votes was not limited, Nasdaq staff believed the Series D could be considered a "super voting" security and therefore resulted in a violation of the rules. To correct the issue raised by the Nasdaq staff, the Company amended the designation of the Series D Preferred Stock stating that its holders have seven votes per share for each share of Series D Preferred Stock held. Accordingly, Nasdaq staff has determined that the Company has regained compliance with the Rules and, the matter is now closed.

On August 26, 2008 the Company announced that it had completed a \$2.5 million financing with the primary investors being directors and officers of the Company. The financing was comprised of \$1.8 million from the sale of 180,000 shares of non-convertible Series D Preferred Stock at a price of \$10.00 per share, 130,000 of which were sold to directors and officers of the Company. An additional \$500,000 of the financing came from an increase in the Company's current credit line with a trust controlled by a director of the Company. The remainder of the financing was comprised of the exercise of outstanding stock options by officers of the Company. Approximately \$1.4 million of the proceeds was used to pay down the Company's current term loan. To complete the financing,

## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

amendments were required to both the Company's line of credit agreement and the ComVest term loan agreement. The Powers, Preferences, Rights and Limitations of the Series D Preferred Stock as well as amendments to both the line of credit agreement and the ComVest term loan agreement were included as attachments to an 8-K filed on August 27, 2008.

The Company's subsidiary, StarTrak Systems, LLC, announced on September 11, 2008 that it had received an order from Exel Transportation Services, Inc., valued in excess of \$750,000 for ReeferTrak system hardware and five years of data subscription services. The two-way command and control monitoring systems are to be deployed on Exel's existing 500 unit fleet of refrigerated intermodal trailers.

### DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

#### RFID TECHNOLOGY SEGMENT

The Company acquired the operations of Technology Systems International, Inc., a Nevada Corporation ("TSIN") in fiscal year ended June 30, 2002. The technology consisted of the proprietary TSI PRISM(TM) wireless 915 MHz RFID tracking capabilities utilized primarily in correctional facilities, security management and personnel monitoring. The acquisition was effected through a wholly owned subsidiary, Technology Systems International, Inc., an Arizona corporation, by the issuance of Alanco Class A Common Stock to purchase TSIN's assets and assumption of specific liabilities of TSIN. During the fiscal year 2005, the Company changed the name of Technology Systems International, Inc. to Alanco/TSI PRISM, Inc. ("ATSI").

In March 2005, Alanco entered into a technology license agreement ("License") with a developer of RFID real-time location services technology utilizing 2.4 GHz wireless networking standards. The License currently grants to Alanco a five-year worldwide license for the corrections market, to acquire, modify or combine the 2.4 GHz technology with Alanco's 915 MHz TSI PRISM technology. The Company believes the 2.4 GHz technology has certain application advantages over the 915 MHz technology in international markets and in some segments of the U.S. corrections market.

Marketing - ATSI markets both its TSI PRISM(TM) 915 MHz and 2.4 GHz RFID tracking systems in the United States, through the Company's direct sales representatives and limited lobbyists. The 2.4 GHz RFID tracking systems is also marketed internationally through international distributors and business partnerships. The primary focus of the marketing effort has been directed at the domestic state and federal correctional facilities and county jail markets. Internationally, ATSI is providing transmitter technology for a project in the corrections market in Europe and Australia.

Raw Materials - The RFID Technology segment utilizes various domestic subcontractors for materials and parts used to manufacture its products. Due to the limited number of system installations, approximately 78% of those purchases for fiscal year ended June 30, 2008 were made from the licensor of the 2.4 GHz technology. During fiscal year ended June 30, 2007, one subcontractor accounted for approximately 50% of the business segment's purchases.

The Company anticipates continued concentration of vendor purchases; however, additional suppliers are readily available at competitive pricing levels. The Company does not foresee any future significant shortages or substantial price increases that cannot be recovered from its customers.

Competitive Conditions - We believe the TSI PRISM(TM) system is the only known wireless RFID continuous real-time tracking technology currently available to the correctional facilities market. There are other companies

## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

attempting to introduce area location and monitoring technologies in the correctional facilities market, offering an area or zone detection system. However, at this time those technologies are not capable of providing continuous real-time tracking.

Employees - The Company's RFID tracking segment employed sixteen full-time employees at both June 30, 2008 and 2007.

Seasonality of Business - Location and tracking products have minimal seasonality. However, many of the products in this segment are marketed to state and federal government customers that are affected by annual budget schedules and economic conditions.

Dependence Upon Key Customers - The RFID Technology segment continues in an early stage of commercial market development in the United States. Targeted customers operate the majority of the prison facilities in the United States and include the 50 state governments, numerous county governments and the federal government. During the twelve months ended June 30, 2008, five customers accounted for more than 10% of the segment's revenue with the largest customer accounting for approximately 24% of total segment revenue. During fiscal year 2007, substantially all revenue was generated from three state governments and a general contractor. The Company anticipates that as market penetration of its TSI PRISM(TM) technology accelerates, the Company will have numerous customers. However, due to the type of product sold by the RFID Technology segment, the size of each contract may continue to be significant.

Backlog Orders - The Company operates using system order contracts that it considers to be firm and non-cancelable and extended maintenance contracts not longer than twelve months. Under this method, the Company had an order backlog as of June 30, 2008 of approximately \$5.5 million, compared to \$532,000 at June 30, 2007.

Research & Development - The Company estimated that the ATSI operations spent approximately \$400,000 and \$300,000 in research and development expenditures, recorded as selling, general and administrative expense, during fiscal years 2008 and 2007, respectively.

### WIRELESS ASSET MANAGEMENT

The Company's Wireless Asset Management business segment was established by the acquisition, effective June 30, 2006, of StarTrak Systems, LLC ("StarTrak"), a privately held Delaware LLC located in Morris Plains, New Jersey. StarTrak is a leading provider of wireless GPS tracking and monitoring services which are offered on a monthly subscription basis to various industry segments. The Company's primary focus is currently the refrigerated or "Reefer" segment of the transport industry. StarTrak provides the dominant share of all wireless tracking, monitoring and control services to this market segment.

Marketing - StarTrak markets its wireless tracking and wireless subscription data services in the United States, both through dealers and the Company's direct sales representatives. The primary focus of the marketing effort has been directed at the domestic refrigerated transport market and the reefer equipment providers. The Company also has limited international sales opportunities (Australia, Europe) and expects that segment to grow as well.

Raw Materials - The Wireless Asset Management segment utilizes various domestic suppliers for materials, parts and assembly used to manufacture its hardware products and a number of suppliers for its air time purchases, both cellular and satellite, required to provide its data services. For fiscal year ended June 30, 2008, one provider of parts and assembly accounted for 24% of total segment purchases and one vendor who provides satellite air time accounted for 27% of total purchases. During fiscal 2007, one supplier accounted for 42%

## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

of purchases.

The Company anticipates the Wireless Asset Management segment will utilize various domestic subcontractors in the future for materials and parts used to manufacture its products; however, certain vendors may represent more than 10% of total purchases. Additional suppliers are generally available at competitive pricing levels and we anticipate concentration of purchases will decrease as new products are introduced and volumes increase. The Company does not foresee any future significant shortages or substantial price increases that cannot be recovered from its customers.

**Competitive Conditions** - StarTrak is the only known provider of wireless tracking and monitoring services that offers a subscription program targeted to the refrigerated or "Reefer" segment of the transport industry. There are other companies marketing tracking services to the general transport industry; however, to our knowledge, none have the capability of providing integration with the major manufacturers' "Reefer" electronic systems that allows for the monitoring of various sensor data on a real-time basis.

**Employees** - The Company's Wireless Asset Management segment employed thirty-six and thirty-nine full-time and part-time employees as of June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, including three interns at June 30, 2007.

**Seasonality of Business** - Location and tracking products have minimal seasonality. However, many of the products in this segment are marketed to commercial customers that are affected by annual budget schedules and economic conditions. Further, high asset utilization during the summer months can cause some seasonal effects on deployment of units.

**Dependence Upon Key Customers** - The company has numerous end customers, many of which chose to purchase StarTrak products from two primary OEM refrigerator equipment suppliers. StarTrak is the only vendor currently providing the two OEMs with tracking and monitoring products for the refrigerated or Reefer segment of the transport industry. Additionally, the company delivered product and provided subscription services under a contract with a major customer that amounted to 13.1% and 41% of segment revenue for fiscal years ended 2008 and 2007, respectively.

**Backlog Orders** - The Company operates using order contracts that it considers to be firm and non-cancelable. Under this method, the Company had unfulfilled contracts as of June 30, 2008 and 2007 of approximately \$8 and \$9 million, respectively.

**Research & Development** - The Company estimates it spent approximately \$250,000 and \$300,000 in research and development expenditures, recorded as selling, general and administrative expense, in fiscal years 2008 and 2007, respectively.

### COMPUTER DATA STORAGE SEGMENT

The Company's Computer Data Storage segment reporting for fiscal 2008 and 2007 represents the operations of Excel/Meridian Data, Inc. ("Excel"). During fiscal 2007, the Company sold Arraid, a Phoenix, Arizona-based manufacturer of legacy computer data storage products, leaving Excel, a Dallas, Texas-based provider of data storage networking products and services, as the only unit comprising the Computer Data Storage Segment. Arraid's results of operations for fiscal year 2007 are reported in the current financial Form 10-KSB as discontinued operations.

Excel is a manufacturer and marketer of data storage networking products and is recognized as a leading provider of optical storage devices,

## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

such as CD/DVD-ROM servers. Excel also markets a Network Attached Storage ("NAS") product line and other storage products incorporating state-of-the-art software technology.

Marketing - Excel markets optical storage and NAS products, primarily in the United States, through national advertising, telemarketing and Company sales representatives.

Raw Materials - During both fiscal years 2008 and 2007, two suppliers accounted for more than 10% of material and parts purchases. One supplier accounted for 34% and 37.6%, respectively, and a second supplier accounted for 32.4% and 17.2% of those purchases, respectively. The Company anticipates continued concentration of vendor purchases; however, additional suppliers are available at competitive pricing levels. The Company does not foresee any future significant shortages or substantial price increases that cannot be recovered from its customers.

Competitive Conditions - There are numerous competitors in the Computer Data Storage market, with no company dominating the market. Excel competes with many established companies in the general storage market and many of these companies may have substantially greater financial, marketing and technological resources, larger distribution capabilities, earlier access to customers and more opportunities to address customers' various information storage requirements than the Company. The Company also competes with many smaller, less established companies in specific storage product segments. Some of these companies may have earlier access to new technologies or products than the Company. The announcement or introduction of new products and/or implementation of effective marketing strategies by its competitors may have a materially adverse effect on the Company's business.

Employees - As of June 30, 2008, the Company's computer data storage business employed sixteen full-time employees, compared to twenty full-time employees as of June 30, 2007.

Seasonality of Business - Computer data storage products have minimal seasonality. However, many of the products in this segment are marketed to business customers, which in some cases can be significantly affected by budget restraints and economic conditions.

Dependence Upon Key Customers - During fiscal year 2008 one customer accounted for 10.5% of revenues and during fiscal year 2007 one customer accounted for 17.4% of revenues.

Backlog Orders - The Company operates using customer purchase orders that in some cases may not be considered firm and non-cancelable. Methods of defining a firm "Backlog Order" are being evaluated, and if the Company utilizes that information in evaluating sales activity, the information will be reported.

Research & Development - The Company estimates it spent approximately \$150,000 in research and development expenditures, recorded as selling, general and administrative expense, for both fiscal years 2008 and 2007.

### ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

An investment in Alanco involves a high degree of risk. In addition to the other information included in this Form 10-KSB, you should carefully consider the following risk factors in determining whether or not to purchase shares of Alanco Class A Common Stock. These matters should be considered in conjunction with the other information included or incorporated by reference in this filing. This Form 10-KSB contains statements which constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

These statements appear in a number of places and include statements regarding the intent, belief or current expectations of our management, directors or officers primarily with respect to our future operating performance. Prospective purchasers of our securities are cautioned that these forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve risks and uncertainties. Actual results may differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements as a result of various factors. The information set out below, identifies important factors that could cause such differences. See "Safe Harbor Statements Under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995."

We may not be able to reach the sales goals anticipated from the StarTrak Systems acquisition. We acquired the operations of StarTrak Systems, LLC ("StarTrak") effective June 30, 2006. StarTrak is a leading provider of wireless tracking and subscription data services to the transportation industry, with a focus upon the refrigerated or "Reefer" segment of the transport industry. StarTrak provides wireless (including GPS, cellular and radio) tracking, monitoring and control services to this market. We are anticipating significant revenue growth from sales of StarTrak products in the transportation market. We do not have experience in the transportation market, and there is no certainty that we will be able to capture the required market share for StarTrak to achieve its anticipated financial success. The StarTrak system is currently being marketed to the transportation market as a tool to increase efficiency and reduce costs of the refrigerated supply chain by wireless monitoring and control of critical Reefer data, including GPS location, cargo temperatures and Reefer fuel levels. Although StarTrak is the dominant provider for tracking, management and control services of the refrigeration transport market and is currently the only tracking system, to the best of our knowledge, which is able to provide direct interaction with the customer allowing for remote adjustments of variables controlled by the unit, there are other tracking/monitoring systems being marketed to the refrigerated transport industry. There is no certainty that the transportation industry will adopt this technology broadly enough for us to reach our marketing projections.

The loss of key StarTrak personnel would have a negative impact on our StarTrak business and technology development. Our StarTrak technology is reliant on key personnel who developed and understand the technology. We have short-term contracts with some key personnel, but have no assurance that such personnel will remain with the Company on a long-term basis. The loss of the services of those key technology personnel could have an adverse effect on the business, operating results and financial condition of our company.

We may continue to experience lower than anticipated sales in our TSI PRISM division. We acquired the business and assets of Technology Systems International, Inc. ("TSI") effective June 2002, creating the Company's RFID

Technology segment. During fiscal 2005, we changed the name of Technology Systems International, Inc. to Alanco/TSI PRISM, Inc. ("ATSI"). We had anticipated significant revenue growth from sales of the TSI PRISM tracking system in the corrections market in prior years which was not realized. We continue to anticipate future significant revenue growth from sales of the TSI PRISM tracking system; however, there is no certainty that we will be able to capture the required market share for ATSI to achieve its anticipated financial success. The TSI PRISM system is currently being marketed to the corrections market as a prison and jail management tool and officer safety system. Although there are other inmate and officer monitoring systems being marketed to the corrections industry, the TSI PRISM system is currently the only system, to the best of our knowledge, which is able to continuously (every two seconds) monitor the location of both officers and prisoners, both inside and outside of buildings. There is no certainty that the corrections industry will adopt this technology broadly enough for us to reach our marketing projections.

The loss of key ATSI personnel would have a negative impact on our ATSI business



## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

and technology development. Our TSI PRISM technology is reliant on key personnel who developed and understand the technology. We have no employment contracts with any of our ATSI personnel. The loss of the services of those key technology personnel could have an adverse effect on the business, operating results and financial condition of our company, and our ability to continue to develop products economically and competitively.

We could incur financial losses as a result of the continuing litigation with respect to our acquisition of ATSI. We have been sued concerning our acquisition of ATSI. We recently entered into a Settlement Agreement pursuant to which the value of the TSI assets acquired and the value of the consideration we gave for such assets will be determined by an independent third-party appraiser. If we paid less than 85% of the value of the assets, we will have to pay the difference to the TSI bankruptcy estate. See Legal Proceedings for a discussion of a legal suit filed in connection with our acquisition of the operations of TSI.

We are subject to the budget constraints of the governmental agencies purchasing TSI PRISM systems, which could result in a significant decrease in our anticipated revenues. We cannot assure you that the governmental agencies we anticipate purchasing our TSI PRISM systems will have the necessary revenue to purchase the systems even though they may want to do so. The funds available to governmental agencies are subject to various economic and political influences. Even though the TSI PRISM system may be recommended for purchase by corrections facility managers, the governmental agency responsible for the facility may not have sufficient budget resources to purchase the system.

Worsening general economic conditions may negatively affect our potential customers' ability and willingness to purchase the products sold by our Company. Both our RFID Technology and our Data Storage segment rely on a strong economy to support technology spending by our customers. Our Data Storage segment sells network attached storage systems to mid-sized network users. Previous deterioration in general economic conditions resulted in reduced spending by our customers for technology in general, including the products sold by us. We have the ability to reduce overhead to assist in offsetting our reduced sales volume; however, if the economic conditions were to deteriorate, we could experience a material adverse impact on our business, operating results, and financial conditions. See previous section discussing the budget constraints of our government customers. As some governmental funding is supplied by sales tax and income tax revenues, a reduction in economic activity reduces governmental revenues and the monies that can be budgeted for TSI PRISM systems.

Acts of domestic terrorism and war have impacted general economic conditions and may impact the industry and our ability to operate profitably. On September 11, 2001, acts of terrorism occurred in New York City and Washington, D.C. On October 7, 2001, the United States launched military actions on Afghanistan, and in 2003 launched military attacks on Iraq with ongoing operations in both areas. As a result of those terrorist acts and military actions, there has been a disruption in general economic activity and a diversion of governmental funding to those endeavors that would otherwise have been available for the purchase of products and systems such as those sold by the Company. There may be other consequences resulting from those acts of terrorism, and any others which may occur in the future, including civil disturbance, war, riot, epidemics, public demonstration, explosion, freight embargoes, governmental action, governmental delay, restraint or inaction, quarantine restrictions, unavailability of capital, equipment, personnel, which we may not be able to anticipate. These terrorist acts and acts of war may continue to impact the economy, and in turn, reduce the demand for our products and services, which would harm our ability to make a profit. Also, as federal dollars are redirected to military efforts, they may not be available for the purchase of new federal prison monitoring systems from our ATSI subsidiary.

## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

The Company may not have sufficient capital to meet its liquidity needs if we are not able to carry out our fiscal year 2009 operating plan; Uncertainty of proceeds and additional financing. The Company incurred significant losses during fiscal year 2007 and fiscal year 2008 and has experienced significant losses in prior years. Although management cannot assure that future operations will be profitable or that additional debt and/or equity capital will be raised, we believe that, based on our fiscal 2009 operating plan, cash flow will be adequate to meet our anticipated future requirements for working capital

expenditures, scheduled lease payments and scheduled payments of interest on our indebtedness. We will need to materially reduce expenses, or raise additional funds through public or private debt or equity financing, or both, if the revenue and cash flow elements of our 2009 operating plan are not met. If we need to seek additional financing to meet working capital requirements, there can be no assurance that additional financing will be available on terms acceptable to us, or at all. If adequate funds are not available or are not available on acceptable terms, our business, operating results, financial condition and ability to continue operations will be materially adversely affected.

If we raise additional funds through the sale of stock, our existing Alanco shareholders will experience dilution and may be subject to newly issued senior securities. If additional funds are raised through the issuance of equity securities, the percentage ownership of the then current shareholders of the Company will be reduced, and such equity securities may have rights, preferences or privileges senior to those of the holders of Class A Common Stock.

Our StarTrak and ATSI intellectual property protection may not be sufficient to maintain the value of such property rights. Our primary business strategy is to develop the StarTrak and ATSI business opportunities. The long-term success of this strategy depends in part upon the StarTrak and ATSI intellectual property acquired. Although we are not currently aware of any conflicting technology rights, third parties may hold United States or foreign patents which may be asserted in the future against the StarTrak and ATSI technology, and there is no assurance that any license that might be required under such patents could be obtained on commercially reasonable terms, or otherwise. Our competitors may also independently develop technologies that are substantially equivalent or superior to our technology. In addition, the laws of some foreign countries do not protect our proprietary rights to the same extent as the laws of the United States.

Our efforts to prohibit others from infringing upon our StarTrak and ATSI intellectual property may not be adequate. Despite our efforts to safeguard and maintain our proprietary rights both in the United States and abroad, there can be no assurance that we will be successful in doing so or that the steps taken by us in this regard will be adequate to deter infringement, misuse, misappropriation or independent third-party development of our technology or intellectual property rights or to prevent an unauthorized third party from copying or otherwise obtaining and using our products or technology. Litigation may also become necessary to defend or enforce our proprietary rights. Any of such events could have a negative impact on our competitive position in the markets we serve.

The loss of key corporate or subsidiary executives would have a negative effect on our Company. Our performance is substantially dependent on the services and performance of our executive officers and key employees. The loss of the services of any of our executive officers or key employees could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition due to their extensive industry specific knowledge and comprehensive operating plans for the Company. Our future success will depend on our ability to attract, integrate, motivate and retain qualified technical, sales, operations and managerial personnel. With the exception of the two most senior StarTrak

## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

executives, none of our executive officers are bound by an employment agreement, and none are covered by key-man insurance.

Additional competitors to StarTrak may arise that could affect the future projected StarTrak business. Although StarTrak currently is the dominant provider of tracking, management and control services of refrigeration transport Reefer units, it can be expected that if, and to the extent that, the demand for the StarTrak technology increases, the number of competitors will likely increase. Increasing competition could adversely affect the amount of new business we are able to attract, the rates we are able to charge for our services and/or products, or both.

Additional competitors to the TSI PRISM business may arise that could affect the future projected TSI PRISM business. Although early in the market development cycle, the TSI PRISM business/technology has no current, identified direct competitors capable of the same performance levels as the TSI PRISM system. There are other companies attempting to introduce area location and monitoring technologies in the correctional facilities market who offer area or zone detection systems that are not capable of providing continuous real-time tracking at this time. However, it can be expected that if, and to the extent that, the demand for the ATSI technology increases, the number of competitors will likely increase, as will their capabilities. Increasing competition could adversely affect the amount of new business we are able to attract, the rates we are able to charge for our services and/or products, or both.

We may lack the capital for our Excel Meridian Data subsidiary to compete effectively in the data storage market. Because we are significantly smaller than many of our competitors in the data storage business, we may lack the capital required to increase our market share. We operate in a very competitive environment, competing against numerous other companies, many of whom have greater financial resources and market position than we do.

We may not be able to maintain our NASDAQ Listing if we are unable to keep our stock price above the minimum \$1.00 bid price per share. Our Class A Common Stock currently trades on the NASDAQ Capital Market under the symbol "ALAN." However, there can be no assurance that an active trading market in our Class A Common Stock will be available at any particular future time.

In August, 2005, we received notification from NASDAQ indicating that due to the failure of the Company to maintain the minimum \$1.00 bid price per share requirement, the Company's securities were subject to delisting from NASDAQ. In accordance with Marketplace Rule 4310(c) (8) (D), the Company had 180 days, or until January 31, 2006, to comply with the Rule. The minimum bid price requirement was not met by the date specified, and again in accordance with Marketplace Rule 4310(c), NASDAQ officials determined the Company met the NASDAQ Capital Market initial listing criteria except for the bid price requirement and notified the Company that it had been granted an additional 180 calendar days (until July 31, 2006) to meet the \$1.00 minimum bid price requirement. In August, 2006, we received notification from NASDAQ indicating that we had not regained compliance with the minimum \$1.00 bid price per share requirement and that the Company's securities would be delisted. We elected to appeal the NASDAQ Staff delisting determination and an oral hearing before the NASDAQ Listing Qualifications Panel ("Panel") was held on September 14, 2006, with representatives from the Company present. Effective October 16, 2006, the Company's Board of Directors elected to effect a 2:5 reverse stock split pursuant to approval of a proposal authorizing a reverse split obtained from the shareholders at the Company's Annual Meeting of Shareholders held on January 20, 2006. The Company's stock began trading on a post-split adjusted basis under the interim trading symbol "ALAND" on October 16, 2006 for a period of 20 trading days, after which the Company's trading symbol returned to "ALAN." The Company's stock has traded above the \$1.00 minimum bid price since the reverse stock split

## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

was effected. On November 2, 2006, the Company received a letter from NASDAQ indicating that the Company had remedied its minimum bid price deficiency and had regained compliance with the continued listing requirements of The NASDAQ Capital Market. There can be no assurance that the Company's stock will continue to trade above the minimum NASDAQ \$1.00 per share bid requirement, and the Company may again in the future be notified that delisting may occur.

The Company does not anticipate payment of dividends. We do not anticipate that we will pay cash dividends on our Class A Common Stock in the foreseeable future. The payment of dividends by us will depend on our earnings, financial condition, and such other factors, as our Board of Directors may consider relevant. We currently plan to retain earnings to provide for the development of our business.

Our articles of incorporation and Arizona law may have the effect of making it more expensive or more difficult for a third party to acquire, or to acquire control of, us. Our articles of incorporation make it possible for our Board of Directors to issue preferred stock with voting or other rights that could impede the success of any attempt to change control of us. Arizona law prohibits a publicly held Arizona corporation from engaging in certain business combinations with certain persons, who acquire our securities with the intent of engaging in a business combination, unless the proposed transaction is approved in a prescribed manner. This provision has the effect of discouraging transactions not approved by our Board of Directors as required by the statute which may discourage third parties from attempting to acquire us or to acquire control of us even if the attempt would result in a premium over market price for the shares of common stock held by our stockholders.

Certain provisions in our Alanco shareholder rights plan may discourage a takeover attempt. We have implemented a shareholder rights plan which could make an unsolicited takeover of our company more difficult. As a result, shareholders holding a controlling block of shares may be deprived of the opportunity to sell their shares to potential acquirers at a premium over prevailing market prices. This potential inability to obtain a premium could reduce the market price of our common stock.

The market price of Alanco Class A Common Stock may fluctuate significantly in response to a number of factors, some of which are beyond our control. These factors include:

- o progress of our products through development and marketing;
- o announcements of technological innovations or new products by us or our competitors;
- o government regulatory action affecting our products or competitors' products in both the United States and foreign countries;
- o developments or disputes concerning patent or proprietary rights;
- o actual or anticipated fluctuations in our operating results;
- o the loss of key management or technical personnel;
- o the loss of major customers or suppliers;
- o the outcome of any future litigation;
- o changes in our financial estimates by securities analysts;
- o general market conditions for emerging growth and technology

## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

companies;

- o broad market fluctuations;
- o recovery from natural disasters; and
- o economic conditions in the United States or abroad.

Future sales of Alanco Class A Common Stock in the public market could adversely affect our stock price and our ability to raise funds in new equity offerings. We cannot predict the effect, if any, that future sales of shares of our common stock or the availability for future sale of shares of our common stock or securities convertible into or exercisable for our common stock will have on the market price of our common stock prevailing from time to time. For example, the availability of the shares covered by S-3 registration statements for sale, or of common stock by our existing stockholders under Rule 144, or the perception that such sales could occur, could adversely affect prevailing market prices for our common stock and could materially impair our future ability to raise capital through an offering of equity securities.

### ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

The Company's corporate office and the ATSI operation are located in an approximate 9,300 square foot leased facility in Scottsdale, Arizona. The current lease expires July 31, 2010.

Excel/Meridian Data, Inc. entered into an office/manufacturing space lease during fiscal year 2001 for 11,328 square feet in Carrollton, Texas. The five-year lease, scheduled to expire on March 15, 2006 was extended through April 2009.

StarTrak Systems, LLC, is currently occupying an approximately 5,000 square foot office/manufacturing facility in Morris Plains, New Jersey, under a lease that expired on September 30, 2007. The lease was extended on a month to month basis to allow StarTrak to finalize the terms on a new lease for approximately 12,000 square feet of office/manufacturing space located near its current facility in Morris Plains, New Jersey. StarTrak is scheduled to move to the new location in October 2008.

### ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Legal Proceedings - The Company is a plaintiff in litigation initiated by its subsidiary, StarTrak Systems, LLC, against former employees and others for violation of certain non-disclosure covenants and for misappropriation of trade secrets. The actions are more fully described below. The Company continues as a party to certain post-litigation procedures relating to the acquisition, in May of 2002, of substantially all the assets of Technology Systems International, Inc., a Nevada Corporation ("TSIN"), and to litigation arising from an expired property lease between the Company's former subsidiary, Arraid, Inc., and Arraid Property L.L.C., an Arizona limited liability company.

#### StarTrak Systems Litigation

On July 12, 2007, the Company's subsidiary, StarTrak Systems, LLC, commenced a lawsuit against Brian Hester, Satamatics, Ltd., Satamatics, Inc., and Farruhk Shahzad in the United States District Court, District of New Jersey, as case number 07-3203(DRD), for misappropriation of trade secrets, violation of confidentiality agreements and contempt for violation of a previously issued court order concerning such trade secrets issued to Brian Hester. Brian Hester and Farruhk Shahzad are previous employees of StarTrak, and the Company believes that they have employed and/or are attempting to employ trade secrets of StarTrak in connection with their association with Satamatics in direct

## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

competition with StarTrak. The Company is seeking injunctive relief and damages from the defendants.

### TSIN Litigation

The Company was party to litigation arising out of the Company's acquisition of substantially all of the assets of TSIN. This initial derivative suit was terminated and the action converted into a direct action by TSIN in July 2003.

TSIN is currently in Chapter 7 bankruptcy. The parties to the lawsuit have entered into a Settlement Agreement, which was attached as an exhibit to Form 8-K filed on September 21, 2007. In place of the litigation, which has been dismissed with prejudice, the Settlement Agreement provides for a valuation procedure, conducted by an independent third party valuation expert, to value (i) the assets transferred by TSIN to Alanco in connection with the Acquisition Agreement ("Business Value"), and (ii) the consideration paid by Alanco to TSIN ("Consideration Value"). If the appraiser determines that the Consideration Value is within 15% of the Business Value, neither party shall be entitled to any damages or claims. If the Consideration Value is less than 85% of the Business Value, Alanco shall pay to the TSIN's bankruptcy estate the full difference in the values, plus interest thereon, plus the sum of \$300,000 for attorneys' fees incurred by TSIN in prosecuting the various related litigation matters. Alanco's payment may be made, at Alanco's option, in cash or by an equivalent market value of additional Alanco Class A Common Stock (subject to certain conditions set forth in the Settlement Agreement). If the Consideration Value is greater than 115% of the Business Value, TSIN shall immediately pay Alanco the sum of \$300,000 for Alanco's attorneys' fees and costs incurred in connection with the various litigation matters. The Settlement Agreement was approved by the bankruptcy court following a hearing for the same on September 19, 2007, and the parties have undertaken the appraisal process. The Company anticipates that the final appraisal report will be issued and the matter entirely resolved in the near future.

### Arraid Litigation

On July 18, 2003, Arraid Property L.L.C., an Arizona Limited Liability Company ("Arraid LLC"), filed a complaint in the Arizona Superior Court in and for Maricopa County, Arizona (case number CV 2003-13999) against the Company and its wholly owned subsidiary, Arraid, Inc., alleging breach of lease and seeking substantial monetary damages in excess of \$3 million. The suit relates to an expired lease agreement for property previously leased by Arraid. Following a trial, the Court found in favor of Arraid LLC against the Company with respect to certain factual findings resulting in damages owed by the Company in an amount of approximately \$35,000, less than one percent of the amount sought by the plaintiff. The court determined that the plaintiff was the prevailing party, and awarded the plaintiff approximately \$95,000 in attorney's fees and costs. The Company's management, in consultation with legal counsel, has appealed the decision of the court.

The Company may also, from time to time, be involved in litigation arising from the normal course of business. As of June 30, 2008 there was no such litigation pending deemed material by the Company.

### ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

No matters were submitted to a vote of the Shareholders during the fourth quarter of fiscal year ended June 30, 2008.

### ITEM 5. MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED

## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

### STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Alanco's common stock is traded on the Nasdaq Capital Market under the symbol "ALAN."

The following table sets forth high and low sale prices for each fiscal quarter for the last two fiscal years. Such quotations represent inter-dealer prices without retail mark-ups, mark-downs, or commissions and, accordingly, may not represent actual transactions.

Quarter Ended	Fiscal 2008		Fiscal 2007	
	High	Low	High	Low
September 30	\$2.60	\$1.47	\$1.90	\$1.15
December 31	\$2.26	\$1.28	\$1.79	\$1.12
March 31	\$1.61	\$0.85	\$2.57	\$1.28
June 30	\$1.70	\$0.86	\$4.14	\$2.02

As of June 30, 2008 and 2007 Alanco had approximately 1,000 holders of record of its Class A Common Stock. This does not include beneficial owners holding shares in street name.

The Company issued a total of 11,204,600 shares of its Class A Common Stock during fiscal year ended June 30, 2008. Of those shares, 7,889,200 shares were issued for the conversion of 6,574,300 Series A Preferred Shares to Common Shares, 2,453,900 were issued in connection with August 2007 private offerings of units consisting of one share of Class A Common Stock and 800,000 shares were issued to convert \$1,000,000 of debt to equity. Of the remaining shares, 10,000 were issued in connection with the exercise of employee stock options, 41,500 shares were issued to outside vendors as payment for services rendered, 10,000 shares were issued for loan fees.

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007, the Company issued 4,961,600 shares of its Class A Common Stock, including 669,900 shares issued in connection with exercise of employee stock options and warrants, 275,700 issued for services, 736,000 issued pursuant to a private offering and 3,280,000 were additional shares issued related to the StarTrak acquisition.

Alanco has paid no Common Stock cash dividends and has no current plans to do so. During fiscal years ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, holders of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock received "paid-in-kind" dividends of 1,389,000, including a special dividend offered as incentive for holders to convert the Series A Preferred Shares to Common Shares and 396,900 shares, respectively. Holders of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock received "paid-in-kind" dividends during fiscal years ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 of 8,500 shares and 7,800 shares, respectively.

### ITEM 6. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

#### Critical Accounting Policies

"Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" discusses our consolidated financial statements that have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. On an

## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates and judgments, including those related to revenue recognition, valuation allowances for inventory and receivables, estimated fair value of stock based compensation, warranty reserves and impairment of long-lived and intangible assets. We base our estimates and judgments on historical experience and on various other factors that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. The result of these estimates and judgments form the basis for making conclusions about the carrying value of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

The SEC suggests that all registrants list their most "critical accounting policies" in Management's Discussion and Analysis. A critical accounting policy is one which is both important to the portrayal of the Company's financial condition and results and requires management's most difficult, subjective or complex judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain. Management has identified the critical accounting policies presented below as those accounting policies that affect its more significant judgments and estimates in the preparation of its consolidated financial statements. The Company's Audit Committee has reviewed and approved the critical accounting policies identified.

These policies include, but are not limited to, revenue recognition, the carrying value of goodwill and other intangible assets, estimates related to the valuation of inventory and receivables, and the ultimate resolution of the current litigation with TSIN and Arraid L.L.C. that is more fully discussed in Item 3, Legal Proceedings.

### Results of Operations

In accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, the Company is reporting consolidated revenues for fiscal years ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 from its Computer Data Storage segment, RFID Technology segment and Wireless Asset Management segment.

The following table is a summary of the results of operations and other financial information by major segment:

	Wireless Asset Management	RFID Technology	Data Storage	Corporate	
	-----	-----	-----	-----	
Fiscal year 2008					
Sales	\$ 11,838,900	\$ 1,639,400	\$ 3,732,700	\$ -	\$
Cost of Goods Sold	8,319,800	1,408,100	2,734,500	-	
Gross Profit	3,519,100	231,300	998,200	-	
Selling, General & Administrative	6,301,600	2,183,200	1,455,200	1,422,900	
Operating Loss	\$ (2,782,500)	\$ (1,951,900)	\$ (457,000)	\$ (1,422,900)	\$
Gross Margin	29.7%	14.1%	26.7%		
Accounts Receivable, net	\$ 1,783,700	\$ 910,600	\$ 78,700	\$ 17,600	\$
Inventory, net	\$ 2,024,100	\$ 1,963,500	\$ 803,300	\$ -	\$



Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

Total Assets	\$ 18,701,600	\$ 8,117,100	\$ 1,403,600	\$ 874,600	\$
Capital Expenditures	\$ 145,600	\$ 15,500	\$ 7,800	\$ 1,000	\$
Research & Development	\$ 250,000	\$ 400,000	\$ 150,000	\$ -	\$
Depreciation & Amortization	\$ 455,600	\$ 170,900	\$ 26,700	\$ 1,000	\$
Fiscal year 2007					
Sales	\$ 12,976,600	\$ 1,065,500	\$ 4,432,000	\$ -	\$
Cost of Goods Sold	8,505,900	735,700	3,360,000	-	
Gross Profit	4,470,700	329,800	1,072,000	-	
Selling, General & Administrative	5,192,200	2,314,600	1,504,600	1,310,800	
Operating Loss	\$ (721,500)	\$ (1,984,800)	\$ (432,600)	\$ (1,310,800)	\$
Gross Margin	34.5%	31.0%	24.2%		
Accounts Receivable, net	\$ 1,561,300	\$ 342,400	\$ 327,300	\$ 17,600	\$
Inventory, net	\$ 1,669,400	\$ 1,279,100	\$ 859,600	\$ -	\$
Total Assets	\$ 17,870,900	\$ 7,247,400	\$ 1,560,300	\$ 1,253,300	\$
Capital Expenditures	\$ 64,000	\$ 103,100	\$ 38,800	\$ -	\$
Research & Development	\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 150,000	\$ -	\$
Depreciation & Amortization	\$ 644,100	\$ 303,400	\$ 23,600	\$ 2,700	\$

Sales

Consolidated net sales for fiscal year 2008 were \$17,211,000, a 6.8% decrease when compared to \$18,474,100 reported for fiscal year 2007. The decrease in sales resulted from decreases in sales for both the Data Storage and Wireless Asset Management segments offset by an increase in sales for the RFID Technology segment. Sales for the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2008 amounted to \$4,720,300, a 42% increase when compared to \$3,325,300 reported for the comparable quarter of the prior fiscal year.

The Company's Wireless Asset Management segment incurred a sales decrease of \$1,137,700, or 8.8%, when compared to the prior year due to a major contract with one customer that accounted for sales of approximately \$5.3 million in fiscal year 2007 and only \$1.5 million of sales in the current fiscal year. Excluding the major customer sales for both fiscal years 2008 and 2007, sales for the Wireless Asset Management segment increased by approximately 35%. In addition, delays in new product introductions targeted at the refrigerated truck/trailer market contributed to the Wireless Asset Management segment sales decrease. Although revenues on a quarter to quarter comparison may fluctuate, management believes that increases in hardware sales and monitoring revenues will be achieved in fiscal year ended June 30, 2009 through new product introductions and increased market penetration.

Sales for the RFID Technology segment increased by \$573,900, or 53.9%, when compared to the prior fiscal year. The improvement in the RFID Technology segment sales reflects momentum in customer acceptance of the TSI PRSIM tracking

## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

and monitoring technology and reflects the completion of the long sales cycles required for customers to understand the advantages of the TSI PRISM technology and then secure budget approval for an acquisition. This sales improvement has been achieved while maintaining the pricing structure it has utilized over the past few years. As further evidence of improved market penetration by the TSI PRISM technology, the RFID Technology segment had a backlog at June 30, 2008 in excess of \$5 million, or more than three times the total sales recorded in fiscal year 2008. While fiscal year 2008 revenue has increased over 2007, it remains at an unacceptable level that must be significantly increased for the segment to become a viable business. The Company believes that additional revenues for this segment will be recognized as the tracking and monitoring technology becomes the accepted method for modern prison management effectiveness. The Company believes the lack of significant sales progress for the RFID Technology segment to date is due to an extraordinarily complex and lengthy bureaucratic procurement process that, in some cases, takes several years to complete. The sales process for the TSI PRISM products is protracted because it generally involves four separate phases: 1) product presentation to a state director of corrections, 2) obtaining the state director of correction's agreement to position the product among the top priorities of his budget, 3) competing with other state projects for funding and 4) publishing the RFP (request for proposal) and awarding the contract. RFID segment customers are currently at various phases in the procurement process, and we believe that TSI PRISM sales will increase significantly in fiscal year 2009 as the funding phase is completed and contracts are awarded.

Customers are also studying various methods to finance the adoption of RFID technology for their corrections facilities. Based upon meetings the Company has had with various State governments to discuss federal grants available to assist in funding the acquisition of the TSI PRISM system and actions taken to apply for those grants, we believe that numerous State governments have applied or are considering, in addition to their normal legislative funding, applying for federal grants under programs such as PREA (Prison Rape Elimination Act of 2003), grants awarded from VOIT/TIS funds available under a 1999 program to reduce prison violence administered by the U.S. Department of Justice and grants awarded by the National Institute of Justice. In addition, potential customers are reviewing available lease financing options.

The Company's Data Storage segment reported a decrease in sales of \$699,300, or 15.8%. The Data Storage segment sales for both periods reflect only those revenues for Excel/Meridian Data, the Company's remaining business in this segment. As a result of adoption of SAB 108 during the quarter ended March 31, 2007, the Company recorded an adjustment to increase deferred revenue relative to extended warranty sales. The Company had previously recognized a portion of its extended warranty revenue in the period of sale, as opposed to over the term of the warranty coverage. The one-time adjustment, representing the cumulative effect, decreased net sales in fiscal year 2007 by approximately \$150,000. Without the adjustment, the Data Storage segment fiscal 2007 sales would have been approximately \$4,582,000, which, when comparing the current year fiscal year revenues, would reflect a decrease of approximately \$850,000, or 18.6%. The decrease in Data Storage segment revenue resulted from a trend towards lower priced storage products, government redirecting military defense expenditures from computer system support to the war effort, reduced demand for storage products as a result of a weak economy and a general reduction in selling prices of data storage. In fiscal year 2007, the Data Storage segment recorded an unusually large sale (\$945,000) that was not duplicated in the current period.

### Gross Profit

The Company's gross profit for fiscal year 2008 was \$4,748,600 (27.6% of sales), a decrease of \$1,123,900 or 19.1%, when compared to \$5,872,500 (31.8% of sales) for the prior year. The reduction in gross margin resulted primarily

## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

from reductions in sales and reduced gross margin in both the Wireless Asset Management and RFID Technology segments. Changes in fiscal year 2008 gross profit and gross margins compared to fiscal year 2007 for each of the Company's business segments are more fully discussed below.

Gross profits for the Wireless Asset Management segment decreased to \$3,519,100 (29.7% of sales), a decrease of \$951,600 or 21.3% compared to \$4,470,700 (34.5% of sales) in gross profit reported for fiscal year ended June 30, 2007. The reduction in gross profit resulted from lower unit sales compared to the prior year, lower margins on the new hardware sales, additional costs required to convert customers from control channel to GSM products, and one time inventory obsolescence reserve adjustments of approximately \$342,000 incurred due to the changeover to the new RT6000 product line.

The RFID Technology segment reported a \$98,500, or 29.9%, decrease in gross profit to \$231,300 from the \$329,800 reported for the prior fiscal year. The decrease resulted primarily from the Company's strategic decision to install a system at a low margin that provided a significant opportunity test certain design concepts with an objective of developing a lower cost RFID system

designed for a new market and not due to other changes in pricing strategy. We believe that reported gross margin for fiscal years 2008 and 2007 are not reflective of the gross margin percentage anticipated under higher sales levels.

The Data Storage segment reported fiscal year 2008 gross profits of \$998,200, a decrease of \$73,800, or 6.9%, compared to \$1,072,000 reported for the prior year. Gross margin for fiscal year 2008 for the Data Storage segment was 26.7%, compared to 24.2% reported in the prior year. The reduction in gross profit resulted from reported sales decreases offset somewhat by an increase in margin. The gross margin increase resulted from changes in product mix and is not deemed to be a trend. The Data Storage segment is continually reselling new technology products and integrating those products to meet customer expectations. This constant product evolution results in continuous changes in product offerings and consequently gross margins.

### Selling, General & Administrative Expense

Fiscal year 2008 Selling, general and administrative expense for the Company's business segments, excluding Corporate expenses, increased \$928,600, or 10.3%, to \$9,940,000, compared to \$9,011,400 reported for fiscal year ended June 30, 2007. The Wireless Asset Management segment accounted for the entire increase, increasing Selling, general and administrative expenses to \$6,301,600, an increase of \$1,109,400, or 21.4%, compared to \$5,192,200 reported in the prior year. The increase in the Wireless Asset Management segment was due to increased legal expense (see StarTrak Systems Litigation in Note 11 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements), increased warranty cost due to a defective product which has been rectified, additional sales expenses, additional IT expenditures required to upgrade the data services network and additional costs to complete product development necessary to commercialize its new product line targeted at the truck/trailer market. The Wireless Asset Management segment increase was offset by decreases of \$49,400, or 3.3%, in the Data Storage segment and decreases of \$131,400, or 5.7%, in the RFID Technology segment as a result of cost controls implemented during the year. Corporate administrative expenses increased by \$112,100, or 8.6%, compared to the prior year primarily due to an insurance settlement of approximately \$300,000 that reduced legal fees in fiscal year 2007. Excluding the insurance settlement, Corporate expense decreased by approximately \$188,000, primarily due to legal expenses.

### Operating Loss

The operating loss for fiscal year ended June 30, 2008 was (\$6,614,300), a (\$2,164,600), or 48.6%, increase when compared to the operating

## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

loss for the prior fiscal year of (\$4,449,700). The increase in operating loss resulted primarily from increased operating losses in the Company's Wireless Asset Management segment who increased operating losses by (\$2,061,000), reporting an operating loss of (\$2,782,500) in fiscal year 2008 compared to (\$721,500) in the prior fiscal year. The Data Storage segment also reported an increase in operating loss to (\$457,000), an increase of (\$24,400) from the (\$432,600) reported in the prior fiscal year. The RFID Technology segment reported a slight reduction in operating loss to (\$1,951,900), a 1.7% reduction compared to (\$1,984,800) reported in the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007.

### Loss From Continuing Operations

The loss from continuing operations for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008 was (\$7,309,100), a \$2,193,500 or 42.9% increase when compared to a loss of (\$5,115,600) for the prior fiscal year. The increase in loss from continuing operations included \$2,164,600 in increased operating loss explained above and an increase of \$33,100 in net interest expense, offset slightly by a (\$4,200) increase in other income. Fiscal year 2008 interest expense, net of interest income, was \$792,900, compared to net interest expense of \$759,800 for the previous year. The increase in net interest expense reflects increased average borrowing offset by reductions in interest rates under the Company's line of credit and loan agreements. Other income for the current fiscal year was \$98,100 compared to \$93,900 for fiscal year ended June 30, 2007. The current and prior years other income resulted primarily from gains on sale of "assets held for sale."

### EBITDA

The Company believes that (loss) earnings before net interest income, income taxes, depreciation and amortization of intangible assets (EBITDA), is an important measure used by management to measure performance. EBITDA may also be used by certain investors to compare and analyze our operating results between accounting periods. However, EBITDA should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for net income, cash flows or other financial statement data prepared in accordance with GAAP, or as a measure of our performance or liquidity. EBITDA for Alanco's fiscal year 2008 represents a loss of (\$5,862,000) compared to a loss of (\$3,382,000) for the same period of the prior year. EBITDA before stock-based compensation was (\$5,439,000) compared to (\$3,208,200) reported in the prior period. Reconciliation between EBITDA and Loss From Continuing Operations is presented below:

#### EBITDA RECONCILIATION TO LOSS FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS

	Fiscal Years Ended	
	June 30, 2008	June 30, 2007
	-----	-----
EBITDA before Stock-based compensation	\$ (5,439,000)	\$ (3,208,200)
Stock-based compensation	(423,000)	(173,800)
	-----	-----
EBITDA	\$ (5,862,000)	\$ (3,382,000)
Net interest expense	(792,900)	(759,800)
Depreciation and amortization	(654,200)	(973,800)
	-----	-----
LOSS FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS	\$ (7,309,100)	\$ (5,115,600)
	=====	=====

Dividends

## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

Preferred Stock dividends paid in-kind for the year ended June 30, 2008 for both Series A and Series B Convertible Preferred Stock amounted to \$2,432,900, a significant increase compared to Preferred Stock dividends of \$672,900 for the prior year. In addition to normal dividend increases, the increase resulted primarily from the current fiscal year expense reflecting three regular semi-annual dividends compared to two dividends recorded in the prior fiscal year, the special imputed Series A Preferred Stock dividend recorded during the quarter ended March 31, 2008 of \$264,000 related to the beneficial conversion feature calculated based upon the implied value of warrants issued in the Series A Preferred Stock Offering and the recording of a special 10% "in-kind" Series A Preferred Stock dividend valued at \$896,400, associated with the conversion of all Series A into Class A Common Stock at June 30, 2008. See Note 12 - Shareholders' Equity for additional discussion of Preferred Stock transactions.

### Net Loss Attributable to Common Stockholders

Consolidated net loss attributable to Common stockholders for fiscal year ended June 30, 2008 was (\$9,748,600), or (\$.42) per share, an increase of 66% when compared to a net loss attributable to Common stockholders of (\$5,871,700), or (\$.34) per share, for the prior year.

Net cash used in operating activities for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008 was (\$6,619,200) compared with net cash used in operating activities for the prior fiscal year of (\$7,080,700). The decrease of \$461,500, or 6.5%, resulted primarily from a decrease in customer advances, an increase in accounts payable, an increase in billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts, offset by an increase in loss from operations. See "Liquidity and Capital Resources" below for management's discussion of major items affecting the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flow.

Any new Statements of the Financial Accounting Standards affecting the Company are disclosed in the "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements."

### Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Company's current assets exceeded current liabilities by \$759,300 at June 30, 2008, representing a current ratio of 1.1 to 1. That was a significant improvement when compared to June 30, 2007 when the Company's current liabilities exceeded current assets by \$248,300, resulting in negative working capital and a current ratio of .97 to 1.

Consolidated accounts receivable at June 30, 2008 of \$2,790,600, fifty-nine days' sales in receivables, reflects an increase of \$542,000, or 24.1%, compared to the \$2,248,600, forty-four days' sales in receivables, reported at the end of fiscal year 2007. The increase in days' sales was reported in both the Wireless Asset Management and the RFID segments.

The Wireless Asset Management segment reported an Accounts Receivable balance at June 30, 2008 of \$1,783,700, or 63.9% of the current fiscal year end consolidated balance, compared to 69.4% of the consolidated balance at the prior fiscal year end. The \$1,783,700 June 30, 2008 balance for the Wireless Asset Management segment represented fifty-five days' sales compared to an accounts receivable balance at June 30, 2007 of \$1,561,300, representing forty-four days' sales. The increase in days' sales resulted from an increase in sales in the last month of the fourth quarter compared to the prior year.

Receivables for the Data Storage segment decreased by \$248,600, or 76%, and RFID Technology segment increased by \$568,200, or 166%. The Data Storage segment accounts receivable balance at June 30, 2008 of \$78,700 represented

## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

eight days' sales in receivables compared to \$327,300, or twenty-seven days, at fiscal year end 2007. Days' sales in receivables for the Data Storage segment may be significantly affected by the percentage of credit card sales in a particular period versus a comparable period and therefore a change in days' sales in receivables is not considered a trend towards faster or slower receivable collection. Days' sales for the RFID Technology segment, two hundred and three days at June 30, 2008 and one hundred and seventeen days at June 30, 2007, are distorted due to a number of significant projects in process at June 30, 2008 compared to the end of the prior fiscal year and the lack of significant reported system sales for both fiscal years ended 2008 and 2007.

Consolidated inventories at June 30, 2008 amounted to \$4,790,900 compared to \$3,808,100 at the end of the prior fiscal year, an increase of \$982,800, or 25.8%. \$684,300, or 69.6% of the current year increase was reported by the RFID Technology segment, reporting inventories of \$1,963,500 at June 30, 2008, an increase of \$684,400, or 53.5%, from \$1,279,100 at fiscal year end 2007. The increase in inventories was due to additional inventory required for new projects in the installation phase. A significant portion of the RFID Technology segment inventory was sold in the first quarter of fiscal year 2009. Due to low sales levels reported for both fiscal 2008 and 2007, turnover ratios are not considered significant. The Data Storage segment accounted for \$803,300, or 16.8% of the consolidated inventory values, representing an inventory turn of 3.4 compared to 3.9 at June 30, 2007. The Wireless Asset Management segment inventory accounted for \$2,024,100, or 42.2% of the consolidated balances, representing an inventory turn of 4.1 compared to 5.1 for the prior year. The Wireless Asset Management segment inventory levels increased due to new product introductions targeted at the refrigerated truck/trailer market. The Data Storage segment inventories decreased slightly and the Wireless Asset Management segment inventories increased in line with anticipated sales growth.

Net cash used in investing activities during the current year was (\$90,500), compared to net cash provided from investing activities of \$576,000, a decrease of \$666,500, from the previous year. The current year decrease was due primarily to cash from sale of assets held for sale at June 30, 2007 of \$747,400.

Net cash provided by financing activities during fiscal year ended June 30, 2008 amounted to \$6,820,800, compared to \$5,965,000 for the prior year. Significant items for the current year include \$5,090,900 in net proceeds from the sale of Common Stock, \$2,733,600 in net proceeds from the sale of Preferred Stock, reduced by net repayment on borrowing of \$997,100. Significant items for fiscal year 2007 include \$3,112,400 in net proceeds from the sale of Common Stock and \$2,852,600 in net new borrowing (primarily the \$4 million term loan offset by debt repayment).

The Company had a \$2,000,000 line of credit balance under a \$2 million line of credit agreement with a private trust that was last amended, prior to June 30, 2008, effective February 26, 2008. The secured line of credit is based upon accounts receivable and inventory values, and is secured by substantially all assets of the Company. The line of credit has an interest rate of prime plus 3% (8.0% at June 30, 2008). Under the amended line of credit agreement, the Company must maintain a balance due under the line of at least \$1.5 million through June 2009. Due to the minimum borrowing requirement and the July 2010 expiration, the balance due is presented at June 30, 2008 and 2007 as notes payable, long term. See Note 7 - Line of Credit and Notes Payable to the consolidated financial statements for additional discussion on the line of credit agreement.

Considering the Company's working capital position at year end and the projected cash requirements to fund operations, management estimates that the year end cash balance of \$726,900 would have been adequate to meet cash requirements for approximately a three-month period. Subsequent to year end,

## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

the Company completed additional financings of approximately \$2.5 million as explained in Note 16 - Subsequent Events to the consolidated financial statements.

Although management cannot assure that future operations will achieve projections, or that additional debt and/or equity will not be required, we believe our cash balances at year end, operating projections and the additional capital raised subsequent to June 30, 2008 may provide adequate capital resources to maintain operations for the next year. If additional working capital is required during fiscal 2009 and not obtained through additional long-term debt, equity capital or operations, it could adversely affect future operations. Management has historically been successful in obtaining financing

and has demonstrated the ability to implement a number of cost-cutting initiatives to reduce working capital needs. Accordingly, the accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming the Company will continue to operate and do not include any adjustments that might be necessary if the Company is unable to continue as a going concern. As a result, the Company's independent certified public accountants have issued a going concern opinion on the consolidated financial statements of the Company for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008.

### Product and Environmental Contingencies

The Company is not aware of any material liabilities, either product or environmental related.

### ITEM 7. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

See Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### Index to Financial Statements

	Page
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm . . . . .	19
Consolidated Balance Sheets As of June 30, 2008 and 2007. . . . .	20
Consolidated Statements of Operations For the Years Ended	
June 30, 2008 and 2007 . . . . .	21
Consolidated Statement of Changes in Shareholders'	
Equity and Preferred Stock	
For the Years Ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 . . . . .	22
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	
For the Years Ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 . . . . .	23
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. . . . .	24

#### REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Board of Directors and Shareholders  
Alanco Technologies, Inc. and Subsidiaries

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Alanco

## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

Technologies, Inc. and Subsidiaries as of June 30, 2008 and 2007, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in shareholders' equity and preferred stock, and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Alanco Technologies, Inc. and Subsidiaries as of June 30, 2008 and 2007 and the results of its operations, changes in shareholders' equity and preferred stock, and its cash flows for the years then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company has suffered recurring losses from operations, anticipates additional losses in the next year, and has insufficient working capital as of June 30, 2008 to fund the anticipated losses. These conditions raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. These consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ Semple, Marchal & Cooper, LLP  
 Certified Public Accountants

Phoenix, Arizona  
 September 28, 2008

### CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS AS OF JUNE 30,

ASSETS	2008	2007
	-----	-----
CURRENT ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 726,900	\$ 615,800
Accounts receivable, net	2,790,600	2,248,600
Notes receivable	29,600	29,600
Inventories, net	4,790,900	3,808,100
Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts	-	122,000
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	333,600	382,800
	-----	-----
Total current assets	8,671,600	7,206,900
	-----	-----



Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT, NET	269,600	250,700
	-----	-----
OTHER ASSETS		
Goodwill, net	17,931,700	17,931,700
Other intangible assets, net	1,564,100	2,066,200
Other assets	659,900	476,400
	-----	-----
Total other assets	20,155,700	20,474,300
	-----	-----
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 29,096,900	\$ 27,931,900
	=====	=====
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
CURRENT LIABILITIES		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ 4,638,000	\$ 4,085,400
Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts	667,900	-
Notes payable, current	1,794,500	2,485,900
Customer advances	53,300	-
Deferred revenue, current	758,600	883,900
	-----	-----
Total current liabilities	7,912,300	7,455,200
	-----	-----
LONG TERM LIABILITIES		
Notes payable, long term	3,508,400	4,814,100
Deferred revenue, long term	140,900	149,100
	-----	-----
TOTAL LIABILITIES	11,561,600	12,418,400
	-----	-----
Preferred Stock - Series B Convertible - 500,000 shares authorized, 91,300 and 82,800 issued and outstanding, respectively	900,500	815,000
	-----	-----
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Preferred Stock		
Preferred Stock - Series A - 5,000,000 shares authorized, 3,759,800 outstanding at 6-30-07	-	4,930,100
Preferred Stock - Series D - 500,000 shares authorized, 100,000 outstanding at 6-30-08	997,100	-
Common Stock		
Class A - 75,000,000 shares authorized, 31,427,700 and 20,223,100 shares, net of 200,000 treasury shares outstanding at a cost of \$375,100, respectively	103,213,000	87,595,100
Class B - 25,000,000 shares authorized and 0 shares outstanding	-	-
Accumulated deficit	(87,575,300)	(77,826,700)
	-----	-----
Total shareholders' equity	16,634,800	14,698,500
	-----	-----
TOTAL LIABILITIES & SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY	\$ 29,096,900	\$ 27,931,900
	=====	=====

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements

Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS  
FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30,

	2008	2007
	-----	-----
NET SALES	\$ 17,211,000	\$ 18,474,100
Cost of goods sold	12,462,400	12,601,600
	-----	-----
GROSS PROFIT	4,748,600	5,872,500
Selling, general and administrative expenses	10,314,900	9,188,900
Amortization of stock-based compensation	423,000	173,800
Depreciation and amortization	625,000	959,500
	-----	-----
OPERATING LOSS	(6,614,300)	(4,449,700)
OTHER INCOME & EXPENSES		
Interest expense, net	(792,900)	(759,800)
Other income, net	98,100	93,900
	-----	-----
LOSS FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS	(7,309,100)	(5,115,600)
LOSS FROM DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS	-	(83,200)
Preferred stock dividend - in kind	(2,432,900)	(672,900)
Series D preferred stock dividend - cash	(6,600)	-
	-----	-----
NET LOSS ATTRIBUTABLE TO COMMON STOCKHOLDERS	\$ (9,748,600)	\$ (5,871,700)
	=====	=====
NET LOSS PER SHARE - BASIC AND DILUTED		
Continuing operations	\$ (0.32)	\$ (0.30)
	=====	=====
Discontinued operations	(0.00)	(0.00)
	=====	=====
Preferred stock dividends	(0.11)	(0.04)
	=====	=====
Net loss attributable to common stockholders	(0.42)	(0.34)
	=====	=====
WEIGHTED AVERAGE COMMON SHARES OUTSTANDING	22,995,800	17,286,500
	=====	=====

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY & PREFERRED S  
FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2008 AND 2007

	COMMON STOCK		PREFERRED STOCK		PREFERRED	
	NET OF TREASURY	AMOUNT	SERIES A	AMOUNT	SERIES	SHARES
	SHARES	AMOUNT	SHARES	AMOUNT	SHARES	AMOUNT
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Balances, June 30, 2006	15,261,500	\$ 78,470,200	3,122,900	\$ 3,925,200	-	\$

Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

Options, warrants exercised	669,900	1,216,600	-	-	-
Shares issued for services	8,900	17,500	-	-	-
Shares & warrants issued for loan fees	210,000	408,300	-	-	-
Private Offerings, Net	736,000	1,519,900	240,000	409,500	-
Additional shares issued StarTrak Acq.	3,280,000	5,715,400	-	-	-
Conversion of note payable to equity	56,800	107,000	-	-	-
Value of stock based compensation	-	173,800	-	-	-
Preferred Dividend, Series A, paid in kind	-	-	396,900	595,400	-
Preferred Dividend, Series B, paid in kind	-	-	-	-	-
NASDAQ listing of additional shares	-	(33,600)	-	-	-
Net loss	-	-	-	-	-
Balances, June 30, 2007	20,223,100	\$ 87,595,100	3,759,800	\$ 4,930,100	- \$
Options exercised	10,000	9,200	-	-	-
Shares issued for services	41,500	69,200	-	-	-
Shares & warrants issued for loan fees	10,000	20,800	-	-	-
Private Offerings, Net	2,453,900	5,123,700	1,425,500	1,736,500	100,000
Special Dividend related to conversion	-	-	597,600	896,400	-
Conversion of note payable to equity	800,000	1,000,000	-	-	-
Value of stock based compensation	-	423,000	-	-	-
Value of imputed Series A Preferred Stock Div.	-	264,000	-	-	-
Conversion of Series A Preferred Stock	7,889,200	8,750,000	(6,574,300)	(8,750,000)	-
Preferred Dividend, Series A, paid in kind	-	-	791,400	1,187,000	-
Preferred Dividend, Series B, paid in kind	-	-	-	-	-
Preferred Dividends, Series D, cash	-	-	-	-	-
NASDAQ listing of additional shares	-	(42,000)	-	-	-
Net loss	-	-	-	-	-
Balances, June 30, 2008	31,427,700	\$103,213,000	-	\$ -	100,000 \$

(1) Net of 200,000 shares of treasury stock valued at \$375,100 for all p

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30,

	2008	2007
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Loss from continuing operations	\$ (7,309,100)	\$ (5,115,600)
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net		

Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

Cash used in operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	654,200	973,800
Gain on sale - data storage assets	-	(17,500)
Stock and warrants issued for services	69,200	17,500
Stock-based compensation	423,000	173,800
Income from assets held for sale	(80,500)	(67,600)
(Loss) income from discontinued operations	-	(83,200)
Loss on disposal of asset	-	1,600
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable, net	(542,000)	(777,200)
Inventories, net	(982,800)	(710,700)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	49,200	3,600
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	552,600	(887,700)
Deferred revenue	(133,500)	787,900
Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts	122,000	-
Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts	667,900	(165,500)
Customer advances	53,300	(1,001,100)
Other assets	(162,700)	(212,800)
	-----	-----
Net cash used in continuing operations	(6,619,200)	(7,080,700)
	-----	-----
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Net cash from assets held for sale -Fry Guy	80,500	95,800
Net cash from assets held for sale - Arraid	-	747,400
Net cash forfeited in sale	-	(2,600)
Collection of note receivable	-	2,000
Purchase of property, plant and equipment	(169,900)	(205,900)
Goodwill, acquisition	-	(56,600)
Patent renewal and other	(1,100)	(4,100)
	-----	-----
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(90,500)	576,000
	-----	-----
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Net (repayments) advances on line of credit	500,000	(500,000)
Advances on borrowings	-	4,090,000
Repayment on borrowings	(1,497,100)	(737,400)
Net proceeds from sale of preferred stock	2,733,600	-
Series D preferred stock - cash dividends	(6,600)	-
Net proceeds from sale of common stock	5,090,900	3,112,400
	-----	-----
Net cash provided by financing activities	6,820,800	5,965,000
	-----	-----
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH	111,100	(539,700)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of period	615,800	1,155,500
	-----	-----
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, end of period	\$ 726,900	\$ 615,800
	=====	=====

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS  
FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30,

	2008	2007
	-----	-----

## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

### SUPPLEMENTAL SCHEDULE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION

Net cash paid during the period for interest	\$ 568,500	\$ 480,200
	=====	=====
Non-cash activities:		
Value of stock & warrants issued for services and prepayments	\$ 69,200	\$ 17,500
	=====	=====
Value of shares issued - StarTrak Deferred Stock Payment	\$ -	\$ 5,715,400
	=====	=====
Value of stock and warrants issued for loan fees	\$ 20,800	\$ 408,300
	=====	=====
Conversion of notes payable to equity	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 107,000
	=====	=====
Series A preferred stock dividend, paid in kind	\$ 2,083,400	\$ 595,400
	=====	=====
Series B preferred stock dividend, paid in kind	\$ 85,500	\$ 77,500
	=====	=====

See accompanying notes in the consolidated financial statements

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### 1. NATURE OF OPERATIONS AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Nature of Operations - Alanco Technologies, Inc. was incorporated in Arizona in 1969.

Alanco Technologies, Inc. and subsidiaries' (the "Company") business operations for the past several years have emphasized a plan to strategically position the Company as a provider of information technology specializing in tracking and monitoring. The Company initiated its strategic direction in fiscal year 2002 when it acquired wireless tracking RFID (Radio Frequency Identification) technology designed to be used in the corrections market, through its acquisition of the operations of Technology Systems International, Inc., a Nevada corporation ("TSIN"). The Company expanded its footprint in wireless tracking and data services into wireless asset management for the refrigerated or "Reefer" market through the acquisition, effective June 30, 2006, of StarTrak Systems, LLC, a Delaware corporation, ("StarTrak"), a provider of GPS tracking and wireless data services for the Reefer segment of the transport industry.

In fiscal years 2008 and 2007, the Company had continued operations in the RFID Technology, Computer Data Storage and Wireless Asset Management business segments. The StarTrak acquisition, effective June 30, 2006, created the Wireless Asset Management segment.

Principles of Consolidation - The consolidated financial statements for the year ended June 30, 2008 include the accounts of Alanco Technologies, Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, Alanco/TSI PRISM, Inc., Excel, Fry Guy Inc. and StarTrak Systems, LLC (collectively, the "Company"). The consolidated financial statements for the prior fiscal year also included Arraid, Inc. reported as discontinued operations. All subsidiaries are Arizona corporations, except Fry Guy Inc., which is a Nevada corporation and StarTrak Systems, LLC, which is a Delaware LLC. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Reclassifications - Certain balances have been reclassified in the

## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

accompanying consolidated financial statements to conform to the current year presentation.

Cash Equivalents - The Company considers all highly liquid debt instruments with original maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

Accounts Receivable Trade - The Company provides for potentially uncollectible accounts receivable by use of the allowance method. An allowance for doubtful accounts is provided based upon a review of the individual accounts outstanding and the Company's prior history of uncollectible accounts. Provision for uncollectible accounts receivable amounted to approximately \$109,700 and \$102,200 at June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The Company does not typically accrue interest or fees on past due amounts.

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Inventories - Inventories consist of materials and parts, work-in-process, and finished goods. Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market. Cost is calculated using the average-cost method for the Data Storage segment and first-in, first-out ("FIFO") for the RFID Technology and the Wireless Asset Management segments.

Property, Plant and Equipment - Property, plant and equipment are stated at cost. Depreciation is computed over the estimated useful lives of the assets using the straight-line method, generally over a 3 to 10-year period. Leasehold improvements are amortized on the straight-line method over the lesser of the lease term or the useful life. Expenditures for ordinary maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred. Betterments are capitalized as incurred. Upon retirement or disposal of assets, the cost and accumulated depreciation are eliminated from the account and any gain or loss is reflected in the statement of operations.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments - The estimated fair values for financial instruments are determined at discrete points in time based on relevant market information. These estimates involve uncertainties and cannot be determined with precision. The carrying amounts of accounts receivable, notes receivable, accounts payable, accrued liabilities, and notes payable approximate fair value given their short term nature or with regards to long term notes payable based on borrowing rates currently available to the Company for loans with similar terms and maturities.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets - In June 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued SFAS No. 141, "Business Combinations," and SFAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets". SFAS No. 141 requires the use of the purchase method of accounting for all business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001. It also provides guidance on purchase accounting related to the recognition of intangible assets. SFAS No. 142 requires that goodwill and identifiable acquired intangible assets with indefinite useful lives shall no longer be amortized, but tested for impairment annually and whenever events or circumstances occur indicating that goodwill might be impaired. SFAS No. 142 also requires the amortization of identifiable assets with finite useful lives. Identifiable acquired intangible assets, which are subject to amortization, are to be tested for impairment in accordance with SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets."

The Company elected to adopt the provisions of SFAS No. 142 as of July 1, 2001, and at that time identified its reporting units (components) to be two separate units (Arraid and Excel), which made up the Company's Data

## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

Storage segment until September 2006 when Arraid was sold. Currently Excel represents the Company's Data Storage segment. In May of 2002 the Company added its ATSI unit (the RFID Technology segment) and, effective June 30, 2006, it added its StarTrak unit (the Wireless Asset Management segment). Upon adoption of SFAS No. 142, amortization of goodwill recorded for business combinations consummated prior to June 30, 2001 ceased, and intangible assets acquired prior to June 30, 2001 that did not meet the criteria for recognition apart from goodwill under SFAS No. 141 were reclassified to goodwill. In connection with the adoption of SFAS No. 142, the Company was required to perform a transitional goodwill impairment assessment. The annual goodwill impairment assessment involves estimating the fair value of the reporting unit and comparing it with the carrying amount. The Company determines the carrying value of each reporting unit by assigning assets and liabilities, including the existing goodwill and intangible assets, to the reporting units. If the carrying amount of the reporting unit exceeds its fair value, additional steps are followed to recognize a potential impairment loss. Calculating the fair value of the reporting units requires significant estimates and assumptions by management. The Company estimates the fair value of its reporting units by applying third-party market value indicators to the reporting unit's projected earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization. The Company completed its impairment tests with no adjustment to the carrying value of its goodwill as of June 30, 2008.

Intangible assets consist of goodwill, the excess of purchase price over fair value of net assets acquired in connection with the acquisitions of its wholly owned subsidiaries, and other intangible assets, including cost of licenses, patents, developed software, etc. Prior to fiscal year 2002, goodwill was being amortized over 15 years. Commencing in year 2002, the Company adopted SFAS 142 and ceased amortizing goodwill balances over a specific period pursuant to SFAS 142. However, per Company policy, goodwill balances are reviewed at least annually to determine appropriateness of valuation and presentation based upon anticipated cash flows. See Impairment of Intangibles and Other Long-lived assets below for additional discussion of valuation for Intangible Assets.

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

The following is a summary of Goodwill, net:

	RFID Technology	Data Storage	StarTrak	Total
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Balance as of June 30, 2006	\$ 5,076,700	\$ 279,600	\$ 12,518,800	\$ 17,875,100
Goodwill additions related to acquisitions for fiscal year 2007	-	-	56,600	56,600
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Balance as of June 30, 2007 & 2008	\$ 5,076,700	\$ 279,600	\$ 12,575,400	\$ 17,931,700
	=====	=====	=====	=====

The Company completed the acquisition of StarTrak effective June 30, 2006. The purchase price, considering the 5 million Class A Common Shares issued at closing (valued at \$3,485,000), 8.2 million Class A shares issued upon shareholder approval (valued at \$5,715,400 on June 30, 2006), StarTrak net liabilities assumed of \$5,425,800 and the related costs of the acquisition of \$434,500, was valued at \$15,060,700. The Company

## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

engaged an independent consultant for valuation services related to SFAS 141 required disclosures of the allocation of the purchase price paid to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed by balance sheet caption and recorded the acquisition, effective June 30, 2006, in compliance with the independent consultant's recommendations.

Other intangible assets consist of the following:

	Amortization Period (in years)	Gross Carrying Value	Accumulated Amortization	Net Other Intangible Assets
-----				
Other Intangible Assets				
Patents license	3	\$ 55,900	\$ (52,600)	\$ 3,300
Manufacturing license	6	500,000	(423,600)	76,400
Technology and software development	5-6	1,842,000	(807,000)	1,035,000
Customer base and backlog	Various	1,300,000	(400,000)	900,000
Technology license	5	90,000	(38,500)	51,500
-----				
As of June 30, 2007		\$ 3,787,900	\$ (1,721,700)	\$ 2,066,200
=====				
Patents license	3	\$ 57,000	\$ (54,200)	\$ 2,800
Manufacturing license	6	500,000	(500,000)	-
Technology and software development	5-6	1,842,000	(1,014,000)	828,000
Customer base and backlog	Various	1,300,000	(600,000)	700,000
Technology license	5	90,000	(56,700)	33,300
-----				
As of June 30, 2008		\$ 3,789,000	\$ (2,224,900)	\$ 1,564,100
=====				

The amortization expenses for aggregate other intangible assets for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 were \$503,200 and \$819,000, respectively.

The following table summarizes the estimated amortization charges related to the other intangible assets as of June 30, 2008:

June 30,	Amount
-----	
2009	\$ 426,800
2010	351,200
2011	347,100
2012	332,000
2013	107,000
-----	
	\$ 1,564,100
=====	

Income Taxes - The Company accounts for income taxes under the asset and liability method, which requires recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences of events that have

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

been included in the financial statements or tax returns. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the difference between the financial statement basis and tax basis of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to reverse.



## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

Use of Estimates - The preparation of the Company's financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires the Company's management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in these financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

The Company makes significant assumptions concerning the realizability of its goodwill and other intangible assets, warranty reserves, percentage of completion method of accounting, allowances for inventory and receivables, realization of deferred tax assets, and investments. Due to the uncertainties inherent in the estimation process and the significance of these items, it is at least reasonably possible that the estimates in connection with these items could be further materially revised within the next year.

Impairment of Other Long-Lived Assets - The Company performs an assessment for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of a long-lived asset may not be recoverable. If the net carrying value of the asset exceeds estimated future net cash flows, then impairment is recognized to reduce the carrying value to the estimated fair value. No impairment charge was recorded during fiscal years ended June 30, 2008 or 2007.

Revenue Recognition - The Company sells various products and services including a tracking and monitoring system for monitoring inmates and staff in prisons and county jails, monitoring/data transmission products and data services focused at the refrigerated or "Reefer" segment of the transport industry and data storage networking products. In addition, the Company provides extended warranty/maintenance contracts that in some cases include on site support. The Company sells products and services through its direct sales force as well as independent resellers.

The Company recognizes revenue, net of anticipated returns, at the time products are shipped to customers, or at the time service is provided. Deferred revenue relates primarily to extended warranty/maintenance contracts and is recognized when the service is provided. Revenues for products and services are generally recognized when all of the following have been met:

- o Persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists;
- o Delivery, which is typically FOB shipping point or when the service has been performed;
- o The customer's fee is deemed to be fixed or determinable and free of contingencies or significant uncertainties; and
- o Collectability is probable.

Revenues from maintenance and data services are recognized ratably over the term of the maintenance or data services contract period. Data services and maintenance agreements are typically stated separately in an agreement. We have classified the value of revenues pertaining to the contractual maintenance obligations that exist for the 12-month period subsequent to the balance sheet date as a current liability, and the contractual obligations with a term beyond 12 months as a non-current liability.

Our arrangements with customers and resellers do not include any rights of return or price protection nor do arrangements with resellers include any acceptance provisions. The Company provides customers with a standard one year warranty included in the price of the product. Payment terms are typically due within 30 days of invoice date for product or service.

## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

Revenues from material long-term contracts that extend over a reporting period are recognized on the percentage-of-completion method for individual contracts, commencing when significant costs are incurred and adequate estimates are verified for substantial portions of the contract to where experience is sufficient to estimate final results with reasonable accuracy. Revenues are recognized by applying the ratio of costs incurred to date to the estimated total contract costs. Changes in job performance, estimated profitability and final contract settlements would result in revisions to costs and income, and are recognized in the period in which the revisions are determined. Contract costs include all direct materials, subcontracts, labor costs and those direct and indirect costs related to contract performance. General and administrative costs are charged to expense as incurred. At the time a loss on a contract becomes known, the entire amount of the estimated ultimate loss is accrued.

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Loss Per Share - The loss per share ("EPS") is presented in accordance with the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 128, "Earnings Per Share" (SFAS 128). Basic EPS is calculated by dividing the income or loss available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted EPS reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock. Basic and diluted EPS were the same for fiscal 2008 and 2007, as the Company had losses from operations during both years and therefore the effect of all potential common stock equivalents is antidilutive (reduces loss per share). Stock options representing 6,673,000 shares of Class A Common Stock were outstanding at year-end with exercise prices ranging between \$.92 and \$5.00. The weighted average exercise price for all outstanding options was \$2.00. Stock warrants representing 5,331,900 Class A Common Shares were outstanding at year-end with exercise prices ranging between \$1.25 and \$5.00. The weighted average exercise price was \$2.15. In addition, \$500,000 of the outstanding balance under the line of credit agreement is convertible into Class A Common Shares of the Company at a price of \$1.50 per share.

At June 30, 2008, there were no shares of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock and 91,300 shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock outstanding. The Series B Convertible Preferred shares are convertible into Class A Common shares at a ratio of 5.2 shares of common stock for each share of Series B Preferred. If the preferred shares had been converted into common shares at June 30, 2008 there would have been an additional 474,800 Class A Common shares outstanding.

Stock Options Plans - The Company has stock-based compensation plans and effective July 1, 2006, the Company adopted the fair value recognition provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment" ("SFAS 123R"), using the modified prospective transition method and therefore have not restated results for prior periods. Under this transition method, stock-based compensation expense for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 include compensation expense for all stock-based compensation awards granted during the year, or granted prior to, but not fully vested as of July 1, 2006, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provision of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-based Compensation" ("SFAS 123"). Stock-based compensation expense for all stock-based compensation awards granted after June 30, 2006 is based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS 123R. The value of the compensation cost is amortized on a straight-line basis over the

## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

requisite service periods of the award (the option vesting term).

The Company estimates fair value using the Black-Scholes valuation model. Assumptions used to estimate compensation expense are determined as follows:

- o Expected term was determined under the simplified method using an average of the contractual term and vesting period of the award as appropriate statistical data required to properly estimate the expected term was not available.
- o Expected volatility of award grants made under the Company's plans is measured using the historical daily changes in the market price of the Company's common stock over the expected term of the award;
- o Risk-free interest rate is to approximate the implied yield on zero-coupon U.S. Treasury bonds with a remaining maturity equal to the expected term of the awards; and,
- o Forfeitures are based on the history of cancellations of awards granted by the Company and management's analysis of potential forfeitures.

Stock Split -The Company announced on October 16, 2006 that the Board of Directors had elected to effect a 2 for 5 reverse stock split effective October 16, 2006, when the Company's common stock began trading on a post split-adjusted basis under the interim trading symbol "ALAND" for a period of 20 days, after which the Company's trading symbol returned to "ALAN." The Company had previously received authority from its shareholders to effect a reverse split at a ratio within a specified range, if and as determined by the Board of Directors, in order to maintain its Nasdaq listing.

As a result of the reverse split, each five shares of the Company's Class A Common Stock outstanding at the time of the reverse split was automatically changed into two shares of common stock, and the total number of common shares outstanding was reduced from approximately 38.7 million shares to approximately 15.5 million shares post-split. No fractional shares were issued in connection with the reverse stock split.

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Upon surrender of their stock certificates, shareholders received cash in lieu of the fractional shares to which they would otherwise be entitled. All per share amounts and outstanding shares, including all common stock equivalents (stock options, warrants and convertible securities) have been restated in the Consolidated Financial Statements, the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements and the loss per share for all periods presented to reflect the reverse stock split.

Concentrations of Credit Risks and Significant Vendors and Customers - The Company sells products and extends credit based on an evaluation of the customer's financial condition, generally without requiring collateral. Exposure to losses on receivables is principally dependent on each customer's financial condition. The Company monitors its exposure for credit losses and maintains allowances for anticipated losses.

All three business segments of the Company utilize various domestic suppliers for purchases of materials and parts used to manufacture its products. During fiscal year ended June 30, 2008, due to the advantage of volume manufacturing, one supplier for the RFID Technology segment accounted for approximately 78% compared to 50% in the year ended June

## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

30, 2007. Two domestic suppliers for the Wireless Asset Management segment accounted for approximately 27% and 24% of those purchases in fiscal year 2008 and one supplier accounted for 42% in the prior fiscal year. Two suppliers in the Data Storage segment accounted for more than 10% of material and parts purchases in both fiscal 2008 and 2007. The two suppliers accounted for 32% and 34% of purchases in fiscal year 2008 compared to 38% and 17% in the prior fiscal year.

The Company anticipates that due to the advantages of volume manufacturing, a concentration of vendor purchases may occur in all business segments; however, additional suppliers are readily available at competitive pricing levels.

One Data Storage customer accounted for 10.5% and 17.4% of that segment's sales in fiscal years 2008 and 2007, respectively. In the RFID Technology segment, five customers accounted for more than 10% of the segment's fiscal year 2008 revenues with the largest accounting for 23.8% of revenues. Four state governments accounted for substantially all of the RFID Technology segment's revenues for fiscal year 2007. Three customers accounted for more than 10% of the Wireless Asset Management's fiscal 2008 segment sales with the largest accounting for approximately 24%. One customer accounted for 41% of segment sales in the Wireless Asset Management segment in fiscal 2007. Sales concentrations in all three business segments are the result of large contracts received during the year. Sales concentrations may continue; however, large contract customers generally change from year to year.

At June 30, 2008, the largest accounts receivable balance was in the RFID Technology segment and accounted for 18.4% of consolidated receivables (56.3% of the RFID Technology segment receivables). The only other receivable balance exceeding 10% of the consolidated receivables was in the Wireless Asset Management segment and accounted for 10.9% (23.9% of the Wireless Asset Management segment's accounts receivable balance). At June 30, 2007, three customers each accounted for more than 10% of the consolidated receivables with the largest accounting for 23.3% of consolidated accounts receivables.

The Company invests its excess cash in short term bank investments that in some cases exceeds the maximum FDIC insurance amount. The Company in the future may elect to increase the number of accounts and the number of banks to keep the balances within the insurable amount.

Segment Information - SFAS No. 131, "Disclosure About Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information," defines operating segments as components of a company about which separate financial information is available that is evaluated regularly by the chief operating decision maker in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. The Company had identified RFID Technology, Wireless Asset Management and Data Storage as the three operating segments of the Company for fiscal years 2008 and 2007. See Note 14 for further information related to the Company's operating segments.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements - In June 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued a standard that addresses accounting for income taxes: FIN 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes. Among other things, FIN 48 requires applying an audit sustainability standard of "more likely than not" related to the recognition and de-recognition of tax positions. The new guidance was effective for the Company in fiscal year 2008 without a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

The SEC issued Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) 108 " Considering the

## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

Effects of Prior Year Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements," in September 2006, which provides interpretive guidance on how the effects of prior year uncorrected misstatements should be

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

considered when quantifying misstatements in current year financial statements. There is currently diversity in practice, with the two commonly used methods to quantify misstatements being the "rollover" method (which primarily focuses on the income statement impact of misstatements) and the "iron curtain" method (which focuses on the balance sheet impact). SAB 108 requires registrants to use a dual approach whereby both of these methods are considered in evaluating the materiality of financial statement errors. Prior materiality assessments will need to be reconsidered using both the rollover and iron curtain methods.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS 157 "Fair Value Measurements", which establishes how companies should measure fair value when they are required to use a fair value measure for recognition or disclosure purposes under GAAP. This Statement is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those years. The provisions of SFAS 157 are effective for the Company in July 2008. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of this Statement on our consolidated financial statements, but we do not expect SFAS 157 to have a material effect.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS 159 "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities". SFAS 159 permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value that are not currently required to be measured at fair value. The objective is to improve financial reporting by providing entities with the opportunity to mitigate volatility in reported earnings caused by measuring related assets and liabilities differently without having to apply complex hedge accounting provisions. SFAS 159 also establishes presentation and disclosure requirements designed to facilitate comparisons between entities that choose different measurement attributes for similar types of assets and liabilities. SFAS 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The Company has not yet determined whether it will elect the fair value option for any of its financial instruments.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS 161 "Disclosure about Derivative Instruments in Hedging Activities". SFAS 161 is intended to improve financial reporting about derivative instruments and hedging activities by requiring enhanced disclosures to enable investors to better understand their effects on an entity's financial position, financial performance, and cash flows. The provisions of the SFAS 161 are effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of this Statement on our consolidated financial statements, but we do not expect SFAS 161 to have a material effect.

In May 2008, the FASB issued SFAS 162 "The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles". SFAS 162 addresses the principles used in the preparation of financial statements that are presented in conformity with GAAP. FAS 162 concludes that the GAAP hierarchy should reside in the accounting literature established by FASB thereby directing accounting principles directly to the entity and not to the auditors as has been done in the past with GAAP literature. The provisions of SFAS 162 became effective 60 days following SEC's approval. The company believes that SFAS 162 will have no effect on its consolidated financial

## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

statements.

### 2. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

The Company has several employee stock option and officer and director stock option plans that have been approved by the shareholders of the Company. The plans require that options be granted at a price not less than market on date of grant.

The Company uses the Black-Scholes option pricing model to estimate fair value of stock-based awards with the following assumptions for prior awards of options:

	Awards Prior to July 1, 2006
Dividend yield	0%
Expected volatility	27%-80%
Weighted-average volatility	43.1%
Risk-free interest rate	3%-4 1/2%
Expected life of options (in years)	5-10
Weighted average grant-date fair value	\$0.61

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Assumptions for awards of options granted during the years ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 were:

	Awards Granted Years Ended June 30, 2007	June 30, 2008
Dividend yield	0%	0%
Expected volatility	80%	62%
Weighted-average volatility	80%	62%
Risk-free interest rate	4 1/2%	4%
Expected life of options (in years)	3.2 - 3.4	5
Weighted average grant-date Black Scholes calculated fair value	\$0.67	\$0.66

The following table summarizes the Company's stock option activity during fiscal year 2008:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price Per Share	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (1)	Aggregate Fair Value	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (2)
Outstanding July 1, 2007	5,543,800	\$1.97	4.95	\$ 3,496,100	\$ -
Granted	1,293,000	\$2.16	4.26	854,900	-
Exercised	(10,000)	\$0.92	-	(6,300)	-
Forfeited or expired	(153,800)	\$2.36	-	(96,900)	-
Outstanding June 30, 2008	6,673,000	\$2.00	4.10	\$ 4,247,800	\$ 229,000
Exercisable June 30, 2008	4,896,600	\$2.00	4.08	\$ 2,987,500	\$ 224,100

(1) Remaining contractual term presented in years.

(2) The aggregate intrinsic value is calculated as the difference between the exercise price of the underlying awards and the closing price of the Company's common stock as of June 30, 2008, for those awards that have an

## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

exercise price currently below the closing price as of June 30, 2008 of \$1.40.

As of June 30, 2008, total compensation costs related to non-vested awards not yet recognized amount to approximately \$1.1 million and are expected to be recognized as follows: fiscal year 2009 - \$425,000; fiscal year 2010 - \$425,000; fiscal year 2011 - \$250,000.

### 3. LIQUIDITY AND GOING CONCERN

The Company incurred significant losses and negative cash flows from operations during fiscal year ended June 30, 2008 and in prior fiscal years, and anticipates additional losses and negative cash flows in early fiscal year 2009. These factors, as well as the uncertain conditions that the Company faces regarding its ability to secure significant contracts for the TSI PRISM installations and StarTrak products, creates an uncertainty about the Company's ability to finance its operations and remain a going concern. Although management cannot assure that future operations will be profitable or that additional debt and/or equity capital will be raised, management believes cash balances at June 30, 2008 of approximately \$726,900 and the raising of approximately \$2.5 million of additional equity capital and \$500,000 increase in the Company's line of credit subsequent to the end of fiscal 2008 will provide adequate capital resources to maintain the Company's net cash requirements for the next year. However, if additional working capital is required and not obtained through long-term debt, equity capital or operations, it could adversely affect future operations. Management has historically been successful in obtaining financing and has demonstrated the ability to implement a number of cost-cutting initiatives to reduce working capital needs. The Company requires and continues to pursue additional capital for growth and strategic plan implementation. Accordingly, the accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming the Company will continue to operate and do not include any adjustments that might be necessary if the Company is unable to continue as a going concern.

### 4. NOTES RECEIVABLE

At June 30, 2008 and 2007, Notes Receivables consisted of a note receivable related to advances made to TSIN in fiscal year 2005 to assist the newly elected TSIN board of directors in obtaining legal representation. The new board required legal representation since the previous board was attempting to stop the new board from assuming their responsibilities. The notes incur interest at 9% and are due on demand. During fiscal 2006, the Company received payments on the notes of approximately \$50,000. At June 30, 2007, the TSIN Board of Directors had filed for reimbursement from TSIN under the Directors indemnification provisions of the Articles of Incorporation, Bylaws of TSIN and corporate

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

laws of the State of Nevada. The new board is awaiting payment so the funds received can be used to repay the notes. Although significant delays have occurred, the Company is monitoring the obligation and expects the balance to be collected during fiscal 2009.

### 5. INVENTORIES

Inventories consist of the following at June 30:

2008	2007
-----	-----

## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

Raw materials and purchased parts	\$ 5,163,400	\$ 4,160,400
Work-in-progress	-	6,400
Finished goods	359,800	70,900
	-----	-----
	5,523,200	4,237,700
Less reserves for obsolescence	(732,300)	(429,600)
	-----	-----
	\$ 4,790,900	\$ 3,808,100
	=====	=====

### 6. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

Property, Plant and Equipment consist of the following at June 30:

	2008	2007
	-----	-----
Machinery and equipment	\$ 330,600	\$ 263,500
Furniture and office equipment	665,400	608,000
Marketing site equipment	50,000	50,000
Leasehold improvement	33,900	9,700
	-----	-----
	1,079,900	931,200
Less accumulated depreciation	(810,300)	(680,500)
	-----	-----
Net book value	\$ 269,600	\$ 250,700
	=====	=====

Related depreciation expense for the years ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, was \$151,000 and \$154,700, respectively.

### 7. LINE OF CREDIT AND NOTES PAYABLE

At June 30, 2008, the Company has a \$2,000,000 outstanding balance, presented as Notes payable - long-term, under a \$2.0 million Line of Credit Agreement ("Agreement"), present in the table below as "Notes Payable - Trust". The Agreement is with a private trust, initially entered into in June 2002, for an initial credit line of \$1.3 million secured by substantially all of the assets of the Company. The Agreement has been amended various times since June 2002 with the last amendment prior to June 30, 2008, effective February 26, 2008. Under the amended agreement, which expires on July 1, 2010, the Company must maintain a minimum outstanding balance under the line of \$1.5 million and pay interest on the outstanding balance at a rate of prime plus 3% (8% at June 30, 2008). Under the Agreement, the lender has the unilateral right to reduce the line of credit under Agreement to \$2.0 million, at which time the minimum outstanding balance under the Agreement reduces from \$1.5 million to \$1.0 million. In addition, \$500,000 of the outstanding balance is convertible into Class A Common Shares of the Company. Interest payments made under the Agreement amount to \$170,500 and \$186,500 in fiscal years ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. See Note 16 - Subsequent Events for a discussion of an increase in credit line that occurred subsequent to June 30, 2008.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Notes payable at June 30, 2008 and 2007 consist of the following:

	2008	2007
	-----	-----
Contingent notes payable - TSI	\$ 314,100	\$ 314,100
Notes payable - Trust	2,000,000	1,500,000
Notes payable - StarTrak Acquisition	461,200	1,485,900



## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

Notes payable - Comvest Capital	2,527,600	4,000,000
	-----	-----
Notes payable	5,302,900	7,300,000
Less current portion	(1,794,500)	(2,485,900)
	-----	-----
Notes payable - long term	\$ 3,508,400	\$ 4,814,100
	=====	=====

Contingent notes payable - TSI represent payables assumed as an obligation under the TSI acquisition agreement. The balance at June 30, 2008 and 2007 is payable to TSIN upon ATSI achieving a net profit of \$1 million in any twelve-month period ending on June 30th. The Contingent notes payable - TSI balance of \$314,100 at June 30, 2008 and 2007 reflects a reduction of approximately \$10,500 for costs incurred and paid by the Company that had been indemnified by TSIN in the acquisition agreement.

Notes payable - StarTrak Acquisition represent the balance of notes assumed in the acquisition. At June 30, 2007 the balance includes a \$1.5 million non-interest bearing note payable to Tenix Holding, Inc. (a prior investor in StarTrak) due December 31, 2007 that had been discounted (at an effective interest rate of 6%) to \$1,455,000 due to the non-interest bearing nature of the note, and \$30,900 of notes due on demand that bear interest at 7%. In February 2008, \$1.0 million of the Tenix Holding, Inc. note was converted to equity via the issuance of 800,000 shares of the Company's Class A Common Stock and the payment of \$50,000, which is considered as additional interest expense. The \$500,000 balance of the Tenix note was transferred to a new note requiring monthly payments of \$20,000, commencing May 1, 2008 and continuing through November 2008 with the \$360,000 remaining balance payable on December 1, 2008. At June 30, 2008, \$460,000 of the Notes Payable - StarTrak acquisition was under the Tenix note.

The Company completed a \$4 million term loan financing on September 28, 2006 with ComVest Capital LLC. Provisions under the four-year loan included interest only payments for the first year with the loan balance amortized over the remaining three-year period. The agreement has been amended various times since September 2006 with the last material amendment prior to June 30, 2008 effective in December 2007. That amendment reduced the prepayment requirements and delayed the monthly installment payments in the event the Company raised additional capital. The monthly installment payments were delayed until June 1, 2008 when a principal payment of \$23,447 was due followed in July 2008 with principal payments of \$111,111 due monthly until the balance is paid in full. The amended loan bears interest at prime plus two and one-half percent per annum (7.5% at June 30, 2008), matures in May 2010 and is secured by all of the Company assets. During fiscal year 2008 the Company paid \$338,700 in interest to Comvest under the agreement. See Note 16 - Subsequent Events for a discussion of an amendment to the term loan financing line that occurred subsequent to June 30, 2008.

Future minimum non-contingent payments as of June 30, 2008 are as follows:

June 30,	Payment
-----	-----
2009	\$ 1,794,500
2010	3,194,300
	-----
	\$ 4,988,800
	=====

## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

### 8. CONTRACTS IN PROGRESS

The Company had three uncompleted contracts in progress at June 30, 2008 and one uncompleted contract in progress at June 30, 2007, within the RFID Technology segment, for the installation of TSI PRISM systems. Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings at June 30, 2008 and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts as of June 30, 2007 consist of the following:

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

	June 30, 2008	June 30, 2007
Costs incurred on uncompleted contracts	\$ 510,400	\$ 242,100
Gross profit earned to date	138,800	161,900
Revenues earned to date	649,200	404,000
Less: billings to date	(1,317,100)	(282,000)
(Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings) and costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings	\$ (667,900)	122,000
	=====	=====

### 9. INCOME TAXES

A reconciliation of anticipated statutory rates is as follows:

	2008	2007
Statutory rate	34.0%	34.0%
State income taxes, net of federal income tax benefit	5.0%	5.0%
Increase (reduction) in valuation allowance related to net operating loss carry-forwards and change in temporary differences	-39.0%	39.0%
	0.0%	0.0%

The components of the net deferred tax asset (liability) recognized as of June 30, 2008 and 2007 are as follows:

	2008	2007
Deferred tax assets (liabilities):		
Net operating loss and capital loss carryforwards	\$ 18,000,000	\$ 16,526,000
Property, plant and equipment	(1,000,000)	24,000
Other permanent timing differences	400,000	26,000
Less: Valuation allowance	17,400,000)	(16,576,000)
Net deferred tax	\$ --	\$ --
	=====	=====

A valuation allowance is recognized if it is more likely than not that some or all of the deferred income tax assets will not be realized. A valuation allowance is used to offset the related income tax assets due to uncertainties of realizing the benefits of certain net operating loss and tax credits. The valuation allowance reflects a 100% reserve for all years reported above. At June 30, 2008, the Company had net operating loss and capital loss carry-forwards for federal tax purposes of

## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

approximately \$49,000,000. The loss carry-forwards, unless utilized, will expire from 2009 through 2028.

### 10. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

At June 30, 2008 and 2007, the Company had a line of credit agreement with a trust controlled by Donald E. Anderson, a member of the Company's Board of Directors. See Note 7 for additional discussion of the line of credit agreement.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2007, the Company sold its wholly owned subsidiary, Arraid, Inc., to a trust controlled by Mr. Anderson for cash of approximately \$465,400. The transaction was completed in conformance with a fairness opinion letter received by the Company.

During fiscal year 2008, as more fully described in Note 12, Shareholders' Equity, the Company raised approximately \$7.9 million in private offerings to accredited investors, with \$1.3 million being attributable to insiders of the Company.

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

### 11. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Leases - The Company leases certain facilities under non-cancelable operating lease agreements that expire through fiscal year 2018. Future minimum payments under non-cancelable operating leases at June 30, 2008 for fiscal years ended 2009 through 2013 are as follows:

Years Ended June 30,	Operating Leases
2009	\$ 410,300
2010	364,300
2011	201,300
2012	189,600
2013	191,800
	-----
	\$1,357,300
	=====

Future minimum payments for fiscal years 2014 through 2018 amount to \$1.1 million. Rent expense related to these operating leases totaled approximately \$324,700 and \$362,700 for the years ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Legal Proceedings - The Company is a plaintiff in litigation initiated by its subsidiary, StarTrak Systems, LLC, against former employees and others for violation of certain non-disclosure covenants and for misappropriation of trade secrets. The Company continues as a party to certain post-litigation procedures relating to the acquisition, in May of 2002, of substantially all the assets of Technology Systems International, Inc., a Nevada Corporation ("TSIN"), and to litigation arising from an expired property lease between the Company's former subsidiary, Arraid, Inc., and Arraid Property L.L.C., an Arizona limited liability company. The legal proceedings are more fully described below.

StarTrak Systems Litigation - On July 12, 2007, the Company's subsidiary, StarTrak Systems, LLC, commenced a lawsuit against Brian Hester, Satamatics, Ltd., Satamatics, Inc., and Farruhk Shahzad in the United States District Court, District of New Jersey, as case number 07-3203(DRD), for misappropriation of trade secrets, violation of

## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

confidentiality agreements and contempt for violation of a previously issued court order concerning such trade secrets issued to Brian Hester. Brian Hester and Farruhk Shahzad are previous employees of StarTrak, and the Company believes that they have employed and/or are attempting to employ trade secrets of StarTrak in connection with their association with Satamatics in direct competition with StarTrak. The Company is seeking injunctive relief and damages from the defendants.

TSIN Litigation - The Company was party to litigation arising out of the Company's acquisition of substantially all of the assets of TSIN. This initial derivative suit was terminated and the action converted into a direct action by TSIN in July 2003.

TSIN is currently in Chapter 7 bankruptcy. The parties to the lawsuit have entered into a Settlement Agreement, which was attached as an exhibit to Form 8-K filed on September 21, 2007. In place of the litigation, which has been dismissed with prejudice, the Settlement Agreement provides for a valuation procedure, conducted by an independent third party valuation expert, to value (i) the assets transferred by TSIN to Alanco in connection with the Acquisition Agreement ("Business Value"), and (ii) the consideration paid by Alanco to TSIN ("Consideration Value"). If the appraiser determines that the Consideration Value is within 15% of the Business Value, neither party shall be entitled to any damages or claims. If the Consideration Value is less than 85% of the Business Value, Alanco shall pay to the TSIN's bankruptcy estate the full difference in the values, plus interest thereon, plus the sum of \$300,000 for attorneys' fees incurred by TSIN in prosecuting the various related litigation matters. Alanco's payment may be made, at Alanco's option, in cash or by an equivalent market value of additional Alanco Class A Common Stock (subject to certain conditions set forth in the Settlement Agreement). If the Consideration Value is greater than 115% of the Business Value, TSIN shall immediately pay Alanco the sum of \$300,000 for Alanco's attorneys' fees and costs incurred in connection with the various litigation matters. The Settlement Agreement was approved by the bankruptcy court following a hearing for the same on September 19, 2007, and the parties have undertaken the appraisal process. The Company anticipates that the final appraisal report will be issued and the matter entirely resolved in the near future.

Arraid Litigation - On July 18, 2003, Arraid Property L.L.C., an Arizona Limited Liability Company ("Arraid LLC"), filed a complaint in the Arizona Superior Court in and for Maricopa County, Arizona (case number CV 2003-13999) against the Company and its wholly owned subsidiary, Arraid, Inc., alleging breach of lease and seeking substantial monetary

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

damages in excess of \$3 million. The suit relates to an expired lease agreement for property previously leased by Arraid. Following a trial, the Court found in favor of Arraid LLC against the Company with respect to certain factual findings resulting in damages owed by the Company in an amount of approximately \$35,000, less than one percent of the amount sought by the plaintiff. The court determined that the plaintiff was the prevailing party, and awarded the plaintiff approximately \$95,000 in attorney's fees and costs. The Company's management, in consultation with legal counsel, has appealed the decision of the court.

The Company may also, from time to time, be involved in litigation arising from the normal course of business. As of June 30, 2008 there was no such litigation pending deemed material by the Company.

### 12. SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

Preferred Shares - In fiscal 2003, the Company allocated 5,000,000 of the 25,000,000 authorized shares of the Company's Preferred Stock to be known as Series A Convertible Preferred Stock ("Series A"). Holders of Series A Preferred Stock are entitled to receive, when declared by the Board of Directors, out of funds and assets of the Company legally available therefore, an annual dividend of 12% per annum, paid in kind semi-annually, based upon a per share value of \$1.50 for purposes of such dividend payment. Dividends shall accrue and be cumulative from the date of issue. The Company has subsequently completed a number of private transactions with accredited investors resulting in the issuance of Series A Preferred Stock. In that regard, the Company completed, during the quarter ended March 31, 2008, an offering to accredited investors of 1,425,500 Units at a unit price of \$1.50, each unit consisting of one share of its Series A Convertible Preferred Stock ("Series A") together with a 5-year warrant to purchase one share of the Company's Class A Common Stock at a price of \$1.75 per share. The warrants included a redemption feature that effectively forces the warrant to be exercised in the event the market price of the Company's Common Stock reached \$3.50 per share. The Series A Convertible Preferred Stock is convertible into 1.2 shares of the Company's Class A Common Stock. The Company may redeem the Series A Preferred Shares for \$1.50 per share, provided the Common Stock achieves a trading value in excess of \$5.00 for twenty consecutive trading days and meets minimum daily trading volume requirements. The Company received \$2,107,500, net of expense, from the offering with \$1,736,500 attributable to the Series A Preferred Stock and \$371,000 attributable to the warrants to purchase common shares and as such recorded in Common Stock.

The Series A Preferred Stock offering has been recorded giving consideration to the value of the underlying common shares into which the Preferred A is convertible and to a Black Scholes value for the related warrants. The Company allocated the proceeds of the offering based upon related fair values. The Black Scholes method does not consider the effect of the warrant's force conversion feature and no adjustment was made. Based upon the implied value of warrants, utilizing a volatility of 62% based on weekly weighted average changes in the market price and an assumed time to expiration of 912 days, a beneficial conversion feature of \$264,000 was determined and is recorded as a special Series A Preferred Stock Dividend. The implied value for the warrants has been credited to Common Stock in fiscal year 2008. The recording of the Series A Preferred Stock beneficial conversion feature under this methodology increases the Net Loss Attributable to Common Shareholders by the amount of the special dividend but has no effect on cash or equity.

The Company's board of directors elected, during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008, to provide an incentive to the holders of Series A Preferred Stock to convert their Preferred Shares into Class A Common Shares at the ratio of 1.2 shares of Common Stock for each share of Series A Preferred Stock. As part of that incentive, the board declared a regular Series A Preferred "in-kind" semi-annual dividend (normally scheduled to be declared in July 2008) one month early and declared an additional special 10% "in-kind" dividend to holders of Series A Preferred Stock who converted their holdings into Class A Common Stock of the Company by the end of fiscal year 2008. As a result of that incentive, all Series A Preferred Shares were converted into common shares by June 30, 2008. The additional special 10% dividend issued to Series A Preferred Stock holders as incentive to convert to common stock amounted to 597,600 shares valued at \$896,400.

The Company issued 791,400 shares (excluding the special conversion dividend) and 396,900 shares representing "in kind" dividends to the

## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

holders of Series A shares in fiscal 2008 and 2007 respectively, with corresponding values of approximately \$1,187,000 and \$595,400. 329,400 shares representing fiscal 2008 "in kind" dividends were related to the dividend normally declared in the following fiscal year. At June 30, 2007 there were 3,759,800 shares of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock outstanding. All Series A Preferred Stock had been converted into common shares at June 30, 2008.

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

During the quarter ended June 30, 2008, the Company allocated 500,000 of the authorized shares of the Company's Preferred Stock to be known as Series D Preferred Stock ("Series D"). The Series D Preferred Stock has a priority ranking superior to the Common Stock of the Company and all other series of preferred stock, except the Series A Convertible Preferred Stock and the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock, which has a ranking superior to the Series D Preferred Stock, with respect to payment of dividends and upon dissolution, liquidation and winding-up of the Company. Holders of Series D are entitled to receive, when declared by the Board of Directors, out of funds and assets of the Company legally available therefore, an annual cash dividend of 15% per annum, paid quarterly, based upon a per share value of \$10.00 for purposes of such dividend payment. The rate increases to 20% in the event the Series D is not redeemed by December 31, 2009. Dividends shall accrue and be cumulative from the date of issue. During the fourth quarter, the Company completed a private offering to accredited investors of the Series D shares at a price of \$10.00 per share. The Company issued 100,000 shares under the offering and received \$1,000,000 (\$997,100 net of expenses). The Series D shares have a stated accumulated dividend rate of 15% of the \$10.00 par value and are not convertible into common shares. Each share of Series D Preferred Stock has voting rights equal to 6.67 shares of the Company's Common Stock. 50% (50,000 shares) of the Series D shares issued were purchased by a trust controlled by an insider of the Company.

In July 2006, The Company completed an offering of 240,000 units consisting of one share of Series A Preferred Stock and a warrant to purchase 1.2 shares of the Company's Class A Common Stock at a strike price of \$1.50 per share. The units were sold for \$1.71 each and generated \$409,500, net of expenses. 180,000 units were purchased by directors and officers of the Company including 60,000 units each purchased by Robert R. Kauffman, director and CEO, Harold S. Carpenter, director, and Donald E. Anderson, director. The remaining 60,000 units were sold to non-related accredited third parties.

During fiscal 2002, the Company allocated 500,000 of the authorized shares of the Company's Preferred Stock to be known as Series B Convertible Preferred Stock ("Series B"), and in a transaction with an accredited investor, the Company issued 50,000 shares of Series B at \$10.00 per share and 200,000 warrants to purchase Common Stock at an exercise price of \$2.50 per share for a value received of \$500,000 (\$487,300 net of related expenses). The Series B Convertible Preferred Stock has priority ranking superior to the Common Stock of the Company and all other series of preferred stock with respect to payment of dividends and upon dissolution, liquidation and winding-up of the Company. The preferred shares are each convertible into 5.2 shares of Common Stock and each Series B Preferred Share has voting rights equal to the number of shares of Common Stock into which the Series B is convertible. Holders of shares of the Company's Series B Preferred Stock shall be entitled to receive, when declared by the Board of Directors, out of funds and assets of the Company legally available therefore, an annual dividend of 10% per annum based upon a per share value of \$10 for purposes of such dividend payment. Dividends shall accrue, be cumulative

## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

from the date of issue and may be paid "in kind." Dividends on Series B Preferred Shares paid "in-kind" during fiscal 2008 and fiscal 2007 amounted to 8,600 and 7,800 Series B Preferred Shares with values of approximately \$85,500 and \$77,500, respectively. At June 30, 2008 and 2007, there were 91,300 and 82,800 shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock outstanding, respectively.

The Series A, Series B and Series D Preferred Shares are characterized as "restricted securities" under federal securities laws as they were acquired from the Company in a transaction not involving a public offering and that under such laws and applicable regulations such shares may be resold without registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, only in certain limited circumstances.

Common Shares - The authorized capital stock of the Company consists of 75,000,000 shares of Class A Common Stock (reduced from the previously authorized 100,000,000 shares), each entitled to one vote per share, and 25,000,000 shares of Class B Common Stock, each entitled to one-one hundredth (1/100th) of one vote per share. No Class B Common Stock has been issued and none was outstanding at June 30, 2008 and 2007.

The Company issued during fiscal year 2008 a total of 11,204,600 shares of Class A Common Stock. 7,889,200 shares, valued at \$8,750,000, were issued for the conversion of 6,574,300 Series A Preferred Shares to Common Shares. 2,453,900 shares were issued in connection with August 2007 private offerings of units consisting of one share of Class A Common Stock together with a 3-year warrant to purchase .4 shares of the Company's Class A Common Stock at a price of \$3.00 per share. The units were priced at \$2.00 per unit, resulting in proceeds, net of costs of \$172,300, of \$4,752,700. In addition, 800,000 shares were issued to convert \$1,000,000 of the Tenix notes payable to equity. Of the remaining shares, 10,000 were issued in connection with the exercise of employee stock options resulting in proceeds of \$9,200, 41,500 shares, valued at \$69,200, were issued to outside vendors as payment for services rendered,

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

and 10,000 shares were issued for loan fees valued at \$20,800. Additional increases to common stock resulted from an imputed common stock dividend of \$264,000 and warrants to purchase 1,425,500 shares at \$1.75 per share, valued at \$371,000, related to a private offering of units that consisted of Series A Preferred Stock and warrants and \$423,000 related to the value of stock based compensation expensed during the year. Nasdaq listing fees, associated with listing the additional shares in fiscal year 2008, amounted to \$42,000.

The Company's fiscal 2006 annual meeting of shareholders was held on January 30, 2007. Proposals voted upon and approved included Proposal #5 - "Approval of Issuance of Class A Common Stock as Payment in Lieu of Cash Related to Obligations Incurred in Connection with the Company's Acquisition of StarTrak Systems, LLC," resulting in the Company issuing, in January 2007, 3,280,000 shares of Class A Common Stock in payment of \$5,715,400 in "Deferred stock payment, StarTrak" and 56,800 shares issued for payment of \$107,000 notes payable acquired in the acquisition. The acquisition of StarTrak Systems, LLC became effective June 30, 2006.

In addition to the 3,280,000 common shares issued in payment of the "Deferred stock payment" and the 56,800 common shares issued in payment of a note payable (discussed above), the Company issued during fiscal year 2007 a total of 1,624,800 shares of Class A Common Stock. Of those shares, 736,000 were issued in connection with private offerings resulting in proceeds, net of costs, of \$1,519,900, 669,900 were issue in

## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

connection with the exercise of employee stock options and warrants resulting in proceeds of \$1,216,600, 8,900 shares, valued at \$17,500, were issued to outside vendors as payment for services rendered and 210,000 shares, valued at \$267,200, were issued as financing costs in conjunction with a \$4 million term loan. In addition, five-year warrants to purchase 283,500 shares at \$1.80 per share (valued at \$119,300) were granted in connection with the \$4 million term loan and a three-year warrant to purchase 20,000 shares at \$2.25 per share (valued at \$21,800) was granted in consideration for an amendment to the Company's line of credit agreement. Nasdaq listing fees, associated with listing the additional shares in fiscal year 2007, amounted to \$33,600.

The value of employee stock-based compensation recognized for the year ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 amounted to \$423,000 and \$173,800, respectively. The Company initiated the expensing of stock-based compensation on July 1, 2006. See Note 1 - Nature of Operations and Significant Accounting Policies and Note 2 - Stock-Based Compensation for additional discussion of the Company's policies related to employee stock-based compensation.

Warrants - As of June 30, 2008, the Company had 5,331,900 warrants outstanding with a weighted average exercise price of \$2.15. The life of the outstanding warrants extends from October 2008 through April 2016. The following is a table of activity related to all warrants.

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
	-----	-----
WARRANTS OUTSTANDING, June 30, 2006	2,876,700	\$ 2.73
Granted	591,500	1.67
Exercised	(369,900)	2.44
Canceled/Expired	-	-
	-----	
WARRANTS OUTSTANDING, June 30, 2007	3,098,300	\$ 2.19
Granted	2,531,600	2.26
Exercised	-	-
Canceled/Expired	(298,000)	2.33
	-----	
WARRANTS OUTSTANDING, June 30, 2008	5,331,900	\$ 2.15
	=====	

Details relative to the 5,331,900 outstanding warrants at fiscal 2008 year end are outlined below.

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

#### Outstanding Warrants

Date of Grant	Number of Shares	Exercise Price \$	Date of Expiration	Purpose of Issuance
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
10/31/2003	20,000	\$1.50	10/31/2008	(1)
4/18/2004	280,000	\$5.00	8/2/2009	(2)
3/22/2005	30,000	\$2.25	3/22/2010	(1)
3/23/2005	200,000	\$2.50	3/23/2010	(3)
6/29/2005	342,000	\$2.37	6/29/2010	(4)
11/16/2005	460,000	\$1.25	11/16/2008	(4)
1/16/2006	308,400	\$2.12	1/16/2009	(6)
4/26/2006	328,000	\$1.62	4/25/2016	(7)
6/2/2006	167,000	\$2.12	6/2/2009	(8)
6/15/2006	173,400	\$2.12	6/15/2009	(8)



## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

7/14/2006	72,000	\$1.50	7/14/2011	(9)
7/14/2006	216,000	\$1.50	1/30/2012	(9)
9/28/2006	283,500	\$1.80	9/30/2011	(10)
6/25/2007	20,000	\$2.25	6/25/2010	(1)
8/6/2007	331,600	\$3.00	8/6/2010	(11)
8/6/2007	300,000	\$2.00	8/6/2010	(11)
8/13/2007	374,500	\$3.00	8/13/2010	(11)
1/18/2008	1,425,500	\$1.75	1/18/2013	(9)

Total Warrants Outstanding  
at 6/30/2008 5,331,900  
=====

- (1) Issued in consideration for line of credit agreement
- (2) Issued in connection with April 2004 private offering
- (3) Issued for outside services rendered
- (4) Issued in consideration for exercise of expiring warrants above market price
- (5) Issued in connection with August 2005 private offering
- (6) Issued in connection with January 2006 private offering
- (7) Issued in connection with April 2006 private offering
- (8) Issued in connection with June 2006 private offering
- (9) Issued in connection with sale of Series A Preferred Stock
- (10) Issued in connection with September 2006 term loan financing
- (11) Issued in connection with August 2007 private offering

There were no warrants exercised in fiscal 2008. During fiscal 2007, 369,900 were exercised, generating \$902,500 for the Company.

Stock Options - As of June 30, 2008, the Company had a total of 6,673,000 stock options outstanding with a weighted average exercise price of \$2.00. Of these options, 4,896,600 are exercisable at 2008 fiscal year end. The tables below, as well as the narrative following, provide further information regarding the Company's stock options.

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

The following is a table of activity of all options:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
	-----	-----
OPTIONS OUTSTANDING, June 30, 2006	5,721,000	\$ 1.98
Granted	278,000	1.47
Exercised	(300,000)	1.08
Canceled/Expired	(155,200)	3.05
	-----	
OPTIONS OUTSTANDING, June 30, 2007	5,543,800	\$ 1.97
Granted	1,293,000	2.16
Exercised	(10,000)	0.92
Canceled/Expired	(153,800)	2.36
	-----	
OPTIONS OUTSTANDING, June 30, 2008	6,673,000	\$ 2.00
	=====	

For all options granted during fiscal years 2008 and 2007, the option price was not less than the market price, as defined in the stock option plans, of the Company's Common Stock on the grant date. At June 30, 2008, options for 4,896,600 shares were exercisable and options for the remaining shares become exercisable within the next four years. If not previously exercised, options outstanding at June 30, 2008 will expire as follows:

Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

Calendar Year of Expiration	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
2008	248,000	\$ 1.08
2009	250,000	2.57
2010	112,000	4.36
2011	2,462,000	1.83
2012	1,856,000	2.23
2013	293,000	1.02
2014	694,000	2.27
2015	738,000	1.88
2016	20,000	1.60
	6,673,000	\$ 2.00

Additional information about outstanding options to purchase the Company's Common Stock as of June 30, 2008 is as follows:

Exercise Price	Options Outstanding			Options Exercisable	
	Number of Shares	Weighted Avg. Remaining Contractual Life (in years)	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
\$0.92-\$1.15	554,000	3.42	\$1.02	554,000	\$1.02
\$1.25-\$1.37	293,000	3.39	\$1.34	128,000	\$1.30
\$1.75-\$1.87	2,365,000	3.27	\$1.82	1,883,100	\$1.82
\$2.00-\$2.25	2,067,000	4.84	\$2.09	1,270,500	\$2.14
\$2.50-\$2.87	1,274,000	3.44	\$2.53	941,000	\$2.54
\$3.75-\$5.00	120,000	2.54	\$4.44	120,000	\$4.44
Totals	6,673,000		\$2.00	4,896,600	\$2.00

The Company's Stock Option Plans are administered by the Compensation/Administration Committee, currently comprised of two independent members of the Company's Board of Directors. Company stock options are issued to employees at an exercise price not less than the fair market value, as determined under the option plan, on the date of grant and must be granted within 10 years from the effective date of the Plan, with the term of the option not exceeding 10 years. Options granted to employees under the Stock Option Plans, which are terminated prior to

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

exercise, are considered to be available for grant to subsequent employees. Total issued stock options for any plan may exceed those authorized due to termination of prior non-exercised grants. Under the Employee Incentive Stock Option Plans, incentive and non-qualified stock options may be granted, with the incentive stock options intended to qualify under Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. Unless otherwise established by the Committee, the standard vesting schedule for the incentive stock options issued currently is 10% vested immediately upon grant, 15% vested after twelve months from date of grant, 25% after two years from the date of grant, 25% after three years, and 25% after four years. All of the options have been or will be registered on Form S-8 filings. See Footnotes 1 and 2 for a discussion of the applicable accounting treatment of stock-based compensation for fiscal years 2008 and 2007.

Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

Alanco Stock Option Summary (1)  
as of June 30, 2008

Plan		Authorized	Issued	Exercised	Cancelled	Outstanding	
Misc	(2)	N/A	1,468,000	322,000	446,000	700,000	
1998	(3)	300,000	646,800	77,000	363,800	206,000	
1998 D&O	(4)	300,000	300,000	162,000	0	138,000	
1999	(3)	600,000	1,600,500	210,000	1,034,500	356,000	
1999 D&O	(4)	200,000	258,000	0	60,000	198,000	
2000	(3)	400,000	802,000	131,700	410,300	260,000	
2000 D&O	(4)	200,000	196,000	48,000	0	148,000	
2002	(3)	600,000	712,000	0	126,000	586,000	
2002 D&O	(4)	200,000	200,000	16,000	0	184,000	
2004	(3)	800,000	1,028,000	0	228,000	800,000	
2004 D&O	(4)	400,000	396,000	0	0	396,000	
2005	(3)	1,200,000	1,219,000	0	64,000	1,155,000	
2005 D&O	(4)	400,000	400,000	0	0	400,000	
2006	(3)	3,000,000	984,000	0	38,000	946,000	
2006 D&O	(4)	1,000,000	200,000	0	0	200,000	
Totals		9,600,000	10,410,300	966,700	2,770,600	6,673,000	

- (1) Only includes plans with options currently outstanding or having a balance available to issue  
(2) Options issued to officers and other employees outside of any plan as an inducement at time of employment.  
(3) Employee Incentive Stock Option Plan  
(4) Directors and Officers Stock Option Plan  
(5) Range of exercise prices for outstanding options only.

13. RETIREMENT PLAN

The Company provides a 401(k) retirement plan for its employees. Employees are eligible to participate in the plan on the first of the month following 90 days of continuous employment. Employee salary deferral rates are not restricted by the Company, however, IRS limits and limitations imposed by discrimination tests may affect the allowed salary deferral rate. The Company matches 25% of the amount deferred by employees, matching up to 4% of an employee's annual compensation. The Company's matching contributions totaled \$29,100 and \$19,400 for the years ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

14. SEGMENT REPORTING

The following table is a summary of the results of operations and other financial information by major segment:

Wireless		
Asset	RFID	Data

Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

	Management	Technology	Storage	Corporate	
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Fiscal year 2008					
Sales	\$ 11,838,900	\$ 1,639,400	\$ 3,732,700	\$ -	\$ -
Cost of Goods Sold	8,319,800	1,408,100	2,734,500	-	-
Gross Profit	3,519,100	231,300	998,200	-	-
Selling, General & Administrative	6,301,600	2,183,200	1,455,200	1,422,900	
Operating Loss	\$ (2,782,500)	\$ (1,951,900)	\$ (457,000)	\$ (1,422,900)	\$ -
Gross Margin	29.7%	14.1%	26.7%		
Accounts Receivable, net	\$ 1,783,700	\$ 910,600	\$ 78,700	\$ 17,600	\$ -
Inventory, net	\$ 2,024,100	\$ 1,963,500	\$ 803,300	\$ -	\$ -
Total Assets	\$ 18,701,600	\$ 8,117,100	\$ 1,403,600	\$ 874,600	\$ -
Capital Expenditures	\$ 145,600	\$ 15,500	\$ 7,800	\$ 1,000	\$ -
Research & Development	\$ 250,000	\$ 400,000	\$ 150,000	\$ -	\$ -
Depreciation & Amortization	\$ 455,600	\$ 170,900	\$ 26,700	\$ 1,000	\$ -
Fiscal year 2007					
Sales	\$ 12,976,600	\$ 1,065,500	\$ 4,432,000	\$ -	\$ -
Cost of Goods Sold	8,505,900	735,700	3,360,000	-	-
Gross Profit	4,470,700	329,800	1,072,000	-	-
Selling, General & Administrative	5,192,200	2,314,600	1,504,600	1,310,800	
Operating Loss	\$ (721,500)	\$ (1,984,800)	\$ (432,600)	\$ (1,310,800)	\$ -
Gross Margin	34.5%	31.0%	24.2%		
Accounts Receivable, net	\$ 1,561,300	\$ 342,400	\$ 327,300	\$ 17,600	\$ -
Inventory, net	\$ 1,669,400	\$ 1,279,100	\$ 859,600	\$ -	\$ -
Total Assets	\$ 17,870,900	\$ 7,247,400	\$ 1,560,300	\$ 1,253,300	\$ -
Capital Expenditures	\$ 64,000	\$ 103,100	\$ 38,800	\$ -	\$ -
Research & Development	\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 150,000	\$ -	\$ -
Depreciation & Amortization	\$ 644,100	\$ 303,400	\$ 23,600	\$ 2,700	\$ -

15. SELECTED CONSOLIDATED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (unaudited)

The following table sets forth certain unaudited selected consolidated financial information for each of the four quarters in fiscal 2008 and 2007. In management's opinion, this unaudited consolidated quarterly selected information has been prepared on the same basis as the audited consolidated financial statements and includes all necessary adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments that management considers necessary for a fair presentation when read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto. The Company believes

## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

these comparisons of consolidated quarterly selected financial data are not necessarily indicative of future performance.

Quarterly earnings per share may not total to the fiscal year earnings per share due to the weighted average number of shares outstanding at the end of each period reported.

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4
2008				
Net Sales	\$ 4,552,600	\$ 3,770,200	\$ 4,167,900	\$
Cost of sales	2,964,900	2,604,000	2,863,200	
Gross profit	1,587,700	1,166,200	1,304,700	
Loss from Continuing Operations	(1,483,200)	(2,005,800)	(1,557,900)	
Net loss*	(1,840,200)	(2,026,900)	(2,200,000)	
Loss per share - basic & diluted	\$ (0.08)	\$ (0.09)	\$ (0.09)	\$
Weighted Average Shares	21,872,200	21,890,100	23,453,000	
2007				
Net Sales	\$ 5,134,900	\$ 5,591,100	\$ 4,422,800	\$
Cost of sales	3,336,400	3,734,100	3,106,200	
Gross profit	1,798,500	1,857,000	1,316,600	
Loss from Continuing Operations	(872,900)	(404,900)	(1,252,200)	
Net loss*	(1,234,900)	(659,600)	(1,782,500)	
Loss per share - basic & diluted	\$ (0.08)	\$ (0.04)	\$ (0.10)	\$
Weighted Average Shares	15,675,000	15,680,700	17,968,600	

\*Attributable to Common Shareholders

### 16. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The Company was notified by Nasdaq on July 29, 2008 that the Company was out of compliance with Marketplace Rule 4351 and IM-4351 (the "Rules") concerning the issuance of 100,000 shares of Series D Preferred Stock. The terms of the Series D Preferred Stock included a provision entitling the investors to notification of any stockholders' meetings and the right to vote with the holders of its Class A Common Stock on any matters before them. The Series D Preferred Stock allowed the investors to vote "the number of votes equal to \$10.00 divided by the Nasdaq closing market price for the Company's Class A Common Stock on the record date for the stockholders meeting." Since the number of votes was not limited, Nasdaq staff believed the Series D could be considered a "super voting" security and therefore resulted in a violation of the rules. To correct the issue raised by the Nasdaq staff, the Company amended the designation of the

## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

Series D Preferred Stock stating that its holders have seven votes per share for each share of Series D Preferred Stock held. Accordingly, Nasdaq staff has determined that the Company has regained compliance with the Rules and, the matter is now closed.

On August 26, 2008 the Company announced that it had completed a \$2.5 million financing with the primary investors being directors and officers of the Company. The financing was comprised of \$1.8 million from the sale of 180,000 shares of non-convertible Series D Preferred Stock at a price of \$10.00 per share, 130,000 of which were sold to directors and officers of the Company. An additional \$500,000 of the financing came from an increase in the Company's current credit line with a trust controlled by a director of the Company. The remainder of the financing was comprised of the exercise of outstanding stock options by officers of the Company. Approximately \$1.4 million of the proceeds was used to pay down the Company's current term loan. To complete the financing, amendments were required to both the Company's line of credit agreement and the ComVest term loan agreement. The Powers, Preferences, Rights and Limitations of the Series D Preferred Stock as well as amendments to both the line of credit agreement and the ComVest term loan agreement were included as attachments to Form 8-K filed on August 27, 2008.

The Company's subsidiary, StarTrak Systems, LLC, announced on September 11, 2008 that it had received an order from Exel Transportation Services, Inc., valued in excess of \$750,000 for ReeferTrak system hardware and five years of data subscription services. The two-way command and control monitoring systems are to be deployed on Exel's existing 500 unit fleet of refrigerated intermodal trailers.

### ITEM 8. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE: NONE

#### ITEM 8A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

##### (a) EVALUATION OF DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Company carried out, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and the Company's Chief Financial Officer, an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended). Based on their evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and its Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of June 30, 2008, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were not effective because of the material weakness identified as of such date discussed below. Notwithstanding, the existence of the material weakness described below, management has concluded that the consolidated financial statements in this Form 10-KSB fairly present, in all material respects, the Company's financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the periods and dates presented.

##### (b) REPORT OF MANAGEMENT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

The Company's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Our internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect

## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

With the participation of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, management conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2008, based on the framework and criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework, issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ("COSO").

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that result in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the annual or interim financial statements will occur and not be detected by management before the financial statements are published. In its assessment of the effectiveness in internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2008, the Company determined that there were control deficiencies that constituted a material weakness, as described below.

- o We have not assessed our control environment or entity-level controls. Due to time and staff constraints, we did not perform an assessment of our control environment or entity-level controls in accordance with COSO standards.

- o We have not tested the operating effectiveness of our controls over financial reporting. During our review process we created and implemented new controls and procedures. However due to time and staff constraints, we did not test our controls over financial reporting in accordance with COSO standards. Since we have not completely tested our controls, we have determined that our controls over financial reporting were ineffective.

- o The Company did not maintain a sufficient complement of personnel with the appropriate level of knowledge, experience, and training to analyze, review, and monitor the accounting of inventory adjustments that are significant or non-routine with regard to the flow of inventory material through the warehouse. As a result, the Company did not prepare adequate contemporaneous documentation that would provide a sufficient basis for an effective evaluation and review of the accounting for inventory adjustments that are significant or non-routine. This material weakness resulted in errors in the preliminary June 30, 2008 consolidated financial statements and more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the Company's annual or interim financial statements would not be prevented or detected.

Due to these material weaknesses, management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was not effective as of June 30, 2008.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by the Company's independent registered public accounting firm pursuant to temporary rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission that permit the Company to provide only management's report in this annual report.

### (c) REMEDIATION PLAN FOR MATERIAL WEAKNESS IN INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

The Company is in the process of developing and implementing a remediation plan to address the material weaknesses as described above.

## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

The Company has taken the following actions to improve internal control over financial reporting:

- o During the remaining period through the year ending June 30, 2009, we intend to devote resources, to properly assess, and remedy if needed, our control environment and entity-level controls.

- o During the remaining period through the year ending June 30, 2009, we will enhance our risk assessment, internal control design and documentation and develop a plan for testing in accordance with COSO standards.

- o In July 2008, the senior accounting position at one of the Company's subsidiaries was upgraded with the addition of experienced accounting personnel. The Company plans to continue to enhance the staffing and competency level within the department with training and periodic reviews.

In addition, the following are specific remedial actions to be taken for matters related to inventory transactions including significant and non-routine adjustments.

- o The Company requires that all significant or non-routine inventory adjustments be thoroughly researched, analyzed, and documented by qualified warehouse personnel, and to provide for complete review of the resulting transaction by the Warehouse Supervisor prior to recording the transactions. In addition, all major transactions will require the additional review and approval of the Materials Manager.

- o Develop and implement focused monitoring controls and other procedures in the Internal Audit function.

In light of the aforementioned material weakness, management conducted a thorough review of all significant or non-routine adjustments for the year ended June 30, 2008. As a result of this review, management believes that there are no material inaccuracies or omissions of material fact and, to the best of its knowledge, believes that the consolidated financial statements for the year ended June 30, 2008 fairly present in all material respects the financial condition and results of operations for the Company in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

### ITEM 8B. OTHER INFORMATION

None

### PART III

#### ITEM 9. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, PROMOTERS AND CONTROL PERSONS; COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 16(A) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT.

##### Officers and Directors

The officers and directors of the Company are:

Name	Age	Position	Year First Director
Harold S. Carpenter	74	Director	1995
James T. Hecker	51	Director	1997
Robert R. Kauffman	68	Director/C.O.B./C.E.O.	1998
Thomas C. LaVoy	48	Director	1998
John A. Carlson	61	Director/E.V.P./C.F.O.	1999
Donald E. Anderson	74	Director	2002
Timothy P. Slifkin	53	Director/C.E.O. - StarTrak	2006



## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

Robert R. Kauffman: Mr. Kauffman was appointed as Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board effective July 1, 1998. Mr. Kauffman was formerly President and Chief Executive Officer of NASDAQ-listed Photocomm, Inc., from 1988 until 1997 (since renamed Kyocera Solar, Inc.). Photocomm was the nation's largest publicly owned manufacturer and marketer of wireless solar electric power systems with annual revenues in excess of \$35 million. Prior to Photocomm, Mr. Kauffman was a senior executive of the Atlantic Richfield Company (ARCO) whose varied responsibilities included Senior Vice President of ARCO Solar, Inc., President of ARCO Plastics Company and Vice President of ARCO Chemical Company. Mr. Kauffman earned an M.B.A. in Finance at the Wharton School of the University of Pennsylvania, and holds a B.S. in Chemical Engineering from Lafayette College, Easton, Pennsylvania.

John A. Carlson: Mr. Carlson, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Alanco Technologies, Inc., joined the Company in September 1998 as Senior Vice President/Chief Financial Officer. Mr. Carlson started his career with Price Waterhouse & Co. in Chicago, Illinois. He has over twenty-five years of public and private financial and operational management experience, including over twelve years as Chief Financial Officer of a Fortune 1000 printing and publishing company. Mr. Carlson earned his Bachelor of Science degree in Business Administration at the University of South Dakota, and is a Certified Public Accountant.

Donald E. Anderson: Donald E. Anderson is President and owner of Programmed Land, Inc., a Minnesota and Scottsdale, Arizona, based company. Programmed Land is a diversified holding company engaged in real estate, including ownership, development, marketing and management of properties. He is also majority owner of a company involved in the automotive industry. From 1988 until 1997, Mr. Anderson was Chairman of the Board of NASDAQ-listed Photocomm, Inc., a company involved in the solar electric business. Since 1983, Mr. Anderson has also been President of Pine Summit Bible Camp, a non-profit organization that operates a year-round youth camp in Prescott, Arizona. Mr. Anderson has a B.A. degree in accounting.

Harold S. Carpenter: Mr. Carpenter is the former President of Superiorgas Co., Des Moines, Iowa, which is engaged in the business of trading and brokering bulk refined petroleum products with gross sales of approximately \$500 million per year. He is also the General Partner of Superiorgas L.P., an investment company affiliated with Superiorgas Co. Mr. Carpenter founded these companies in 1984 and 1980, respectively. Mr. Carpenter is also the President of Carpenter Investment Company, Des Moines, Iowa, which is a real estate investment company holding properties primarily in central Iowa. From 1970 until 1994, Mr. Carpenter was the Chairman of the George A. Rolfes Company of Boone, Iowa, which manufactured air pollution control equipment. Mr. Carpenter graduated from the University of Iowa in 1958 with a Bachelor of Science and Commerce degree.

James T. Hecker: Mr. Hecker is both an Attorney and a Certified Public Accountant. Since 1987 Mr. Hecker has been Vice President, Treasurer and General Counsel of Rhino Capital Incorporated, Evergreen, Colorado, a private capital management company which manages a \$60 million portfolio. He also served, since 1992, as a trustee of an \$11 million charitable trust. From 1984 to 1987, Mr. Hecker was the Controller of Northern Pump Company, Minneapolis, Minnesota, a multi-state operating oil and gas company with more than 300 properties, with responsibility of all accounting and reporting functions. Prior to that, from 1981 to 1984, Mr. Hecker was Audit Supervisor of Total Petroleum, Inc., Denver, responsible for all phases of internal audit and development of audit and systems controls. Mr. Hecker received a J.D. degree from the University of Denver in 1992, and a B.B.A. degree in Accounting and International Finance from the University of Wisconsin in 1979. He is a member in good standing of the Colorado and the American Bar Associations, the Colorado Society of CPAs, and the American Institute of CPAs.

## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

Thomas C. LaVoy: Thomas C. LaVoy has served as Chief Financial Officer of SuperShuttle International, Inc., since July 1997 and as Secretary since March 1998. From September 1987 to February 1997, Mr. LaVoy served as Chief Financial Officer of NASDAQ-listed Photocomm, Inc. Mr. LaVoy was a Certified Public Accountant with the firm of KPMG Peat Marwick from 1980 to 1983. Mr. LaVoy has a Bachelor of Science degree in Accounting from St. Cloud University, Minnesota, and is a Certified Public Accountant.

Timothy P. Slifkin: Timothy P. Slifkin, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company's subsidiary, StarTrak Systems, LLC, is directly responsible for development of StarTrak's wireless product line and for leading the North American rail industry's acceptance of the technology for damage prevention, refrigeration transport, and asset management applications. Mr. Slifkin has been developing remote monitoring systems since founding Elexor Associates in 1986, and in developing and deploying wireless systems (satellite and terrestrial) since 1992. Mr. Slifkin has several patents issued or pending on related technology. Prior to founding StarTrak, Mr. Slifkin was employed with Hewlett Packard, Johansson Microwave, American Microsystems, and Jet Propulsion Laboratories. Mr. Slifkin holds a Bachelors Degree in Engineering.

### Non-Director Significant Employees

The following table provides information regarding key officers for the Company's primary subsidiaries.

Name	Age	Position	Year Appointed to Position
Greg M. Oester	59	President - Alanco/TSI PRISM, Inc.	2002
Thomas A. Robinson	47	Executive Vice President - StarTrak Systems, LLC	2006

Greg M. Oester: Mr. Oester started his employment as President of Alanco/TSI PRISM, Inc. (formerly Technology Systems International, Inc.) in 2000. He practiced international business law for 12 years and founded a firm in Los Angeles, CA. He co-founded North American Enterprises, Inc. in 1989 and engaged in sales & marketing of European specialty products in the U.S.A. Mr. Oester conducted seminars on foreign investment in the U.S.A. throughout Asia. He was admitted to practice before the U.S. Customs Court, the Court of International Trade and numerous State and Federal venues. Mr. Oester holds Bachelor of Arts degrees in Political Science and Economics from the University of Arizona and also a Juris Doctor Degree from the University of Laverne.

Thomas A. Robinson: Mr. Robinson, Executive Vice President of StarTrak Systems, LLC, has been responsible for major program deliveries at StarTrak since 1999. He is intimately involved in the systems development, network completion, customer commitments, and deployments for all major products of StarTrak. Prior to joining StarTrak, Mr. Robinson was employed by Varlen Corporation (acquired by Amsted Industries in 1999) where he was responsible for mergers and acquisitions. Prior to Varlen, he was a Program Manager at Hughes Aircraft. Mr. Robinson holds Bachelors and Masters Degrees in Engineering from Case Western Reserve University and an MBA from Wharton.

### Audit/Corporate Governance Committee

The Audit/Corporate Governance Committee of the Board of Directors is currently comprised of three independent directors, and operates under a written charter adopted by the Board. The Audit/Corporate Governance Committee Charter was included as Exhibit A in the Company's Definitive Proxy Statement filed with the SEC on October 18, 2004. The members of the Audit/Corporate Governance Committee are Harold S. Carpenter, a CEO with over 30 years senior management experience,

## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

James T. Hecker, an attorney and CPA, and Thomas C. LaVoy, a CPA. All three individuals are experienced in reading and understanding financial statements, and, in fact, are deemed to be financial experts as defined by audit committee requirements.

The Audit/Corporate Governance Committee is directly responsible for the appointment, compensation, retention and oversight of the work of the independent auditor engaged for the purpose of preparing an audit report or performing other audit, review or attest services for the Company. The auditor reports directly to the Audit/Corporate Governance Committee. The Audit/Corporate Governance Committee has established "whistleblower" procedures for the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints regarding accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters, including procedures for the confidential anonymous submission by employees of the Company of concerns regarding questionable accounting or auditing matters.

Authority to engage independent counsel and other advisors has been given to the Audit/Corporate Governance Committee as it determines is necessary to carry out its duties. The Company provides appropriate funding for the Audit/Corporate Governance Committee to compensate the outside auditors and any lawyers and advisors it employs and to fund ordinary administrative expenses of the Audit/Corporate Governance Committee that are necessary in carrying out its duties.

The Audit/Corporate Governance Committee provides general oversight of the Company's financial reporting and disclosure practices, system of internal controls, and the Company's processes for monitoring compliance by the Company with Company policies. The Audit/Corporate Governance Committee reviews with the Company's independent auditors the scope of the audit for the year, the results of the audit when completed, and the independent auditor's fee for services performed. The Audit/Corporate Governance Committee also recommends independent auditors to the Board of Directors and reviews with management various matters related to its internal accounting controls. During the last fiscal year, there were three meetings of the Audit/Corporate Governance Committee.

Management is responsible for the Company's internal controls and the financial reporting process. The independent auditors are responsible for performing an independent audit of the Company's consolidated financial statements in accordance with the auditing standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) and issuing a report thereon. The Audit/Corporate Governance Committee is responsible for overseeing and monitoring the quality of the Company's accounting and auditing practices.

The members of the Audit/Corporate Governance Committee are not professionally engaged in the practice of auditing or accounting and may not be experts in the fields of accounting or auditing, or in determining auditor independence. Members of the Audit/Corporate Governance Committee rely, without independent verification, on the information provided to them and on the representations made by management and the independent accountants. Accordingly, the Audit/Corporate Governance Committee's oversight does not provide an independent basis to determine that management has maintained procedures designed to assure compliance with accounting standards and applicable laws and regulations. Furthermore, the Audit/Corporate Governance Committee's considerations and discussions referred to above do not assure that the audit of the Company's financial statements has been carried out in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States, that the financial statements are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America or that the Company's auditors are in fact "independent."

Compliance with Section 16(a) of Securities Exchange Act of 1934

## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires the Company's Officers and Directors, and persons who own more than 10% of a registered class of the Company's equity securities, to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). Officers, Directors and greater than 10% shareholders are required by SEC regulations to furnish the Company with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file. Based solely upon a review of the copies of such forms furnished to the Company, or written representations that no Form 5's were required, the Company believes that as of the date of filing of this Form 10-KSB, all Section 16(a) filing requirements applicable to its officers, Directors and greater than 10% beneficial owners were satisfied.

### Code of Ethics

The Company has adopted a Corporate Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, which was included as Exhibit 99.2 in the Company's Form 10-QSB filed with the SEC on November 15, 2004. We believe our code of ethics is reasonably designed to deter wrongdoing and promote honest and ethical conduct; provide full, fair, accurate, timely and understandable disclosure in public reports; comply with applicable laws; ensure prompt internal reporting of code violations; and provide accountability for adherence to the code.

The Code of Business Conduct and Ethics is presented on the Company's web page under the subheading "Corporate Governance." Shareholders may receive a copy of the Company's adopted Code of Conduct, without charge, via e-mail request to [alanco@alanco.com](mailto:alanco@alanco.com), by calling the Company at 480-607-1010, Ext. 857, or by writing to the Company to the attention of the Company's Corporate Secretary at 15575 N. 83rd Way, Suite 3, Scottsdale, Arizona 85260.

## ITEM 10. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

### Summary Compensation Table

The following table sets forth the compensation paid or accrued by the Company for the services rendered during the fiscal years ended June 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006 to the Company's Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, President of the Company's subsidiary, Alanco/TSI PRISM, Inc. (ATSI), President of the Company's subsidiary, StarTrak Systems, LLC (STS), and Executive Vice President of the Company's subsidiary, StarTrak Systems, LLC, whose salaries and bonus exceeded \$100,000 during the last fiscal year (collectively, the "Named Executive Officers"). No stock appreciation rights ("SARs") have been granted by the Company to any of the Named Executive Officers during the last three fiscal years.

Name and Principal Position	Annual Compensation			Long-Term Compensation
	Annual Salary	Bonus	Other (1) Annual Compensation	Securities (# shares Underlying Option Granted during F
Robert R. Kauffman, C.E.O.				
FY 2008	\$247,500	None	\$17,400	200,000
FY 2007	250,000	None	17,400	60,000
FY 2006	225,000	None	17,400	376,000

## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

John A. Carlson, C.F.O.					
	FY 2008	220,500	None	10,405	100,000
	FY 2007	222,500	None	10,400	20,000
	FY 2006	200,000	None	10,400	228,000
Greg M. Oester, President, ATSI					
	FY 2008	139,050	None	None	50,000
	FY 2007	154,500	None	None	0
	FY 2006	154,500	None	None	48,000
Timothy P. Slifkin, President, STS					
	FY 2008	(2)	333,332	None	3,081
	FY 2007		160,000	None	667
	FY 2006		N/A	N/A	N/A
Thomas A. Robinson, Exec V.P., STS					
	FY 2008	(3)	343,333	None	3,104
	FY 2007		160,000	None	667
	FY 2006		N/A	N/A	N/A

- (1) Represents supplemental executive benefit reimbursement for the year and Company matching for Alanco's 401(K) Profit Sharing Plan.
- (2) Includes \$156,665 compensation accrued in prior years but paid during fiscal 2008.
- (3) Includes \$166,666 compensation accrued in prior years but paid during fiscal 2008.

### Option Grants in Last Fiscal Year

The following table sets forth each grant of stock options made during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008 to each of the Named Executive Officers and/or Directors and to all other employees as a group. No stock appreciation rights ("SARs") have been granted by the Company.

#### INDIVIDUAL GRANTS

Name	Number of Securities Underlying Options Granted	% of Total Options Granted	Exercise Price (\$/Sh)	Grant Date	Expiration Date
Robert Kauffman	200,000	15.48%	\$2.00	10/5/2007	10/5/2012
John Carlson	100,000	7.73%	\$2.00	10/5/2007	10/5/2012
Harold Carpenter	50,000	3.87%	\$2.00	10/5/2007	10/5/2012
Donald Anderson	50,000	3.87%	\$2.00	10/5/2007	10/5/2012
Thomas LaVoy	50,000	3.87%	\$2.00	10/5/2007	10/5/2012
James Hecker	50,000	3.87%	\$2.00	10/5/2007	10/5/2012
Timothy Slifkin	100,000	7.73%	\$2.00	10/5/2007	10/5/2012
Greg Oester	50,000	3.87%	\$2.00	10/5/2007	10/5/2012
Tom Robinson	100,000	7.73%	\$2.00	10/5/2007	10/5/2012
Other Employees	543,000	42.00%	\$2.00 - \$2.50	7/20/2007 - 10/5/2007	7/10/2012 - 10/5/2012
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,293,000</b>	<b>100.00%</b>			

All options are granted at a price not less than "grant-date market." During the fiscal year 153,800 previously granted stock options expired or were cancelled.

Aggregated Options and Warrants - Exercised in Last Fiscal Year and Values at Fiscal Year End

## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

The following table sets forth the number of exercised and unexercised options and warrants held by each of the Named Executive Officers and/or Directors at June 30, 2008, and the value of the unexercised, in-the-money options at June 30, 2008.

Name	Shares Acquired On Exercise During 2008 Fiscal Year	Value Realized (\$) (1)	Unexercised Options & Warrants at Fiscal Year End (Shares) (2)	Value of Unexercised In-The-Money Options & Warrants at FYE (\$) (3)
Robert Kauffman	0	\$-	1,338,000	\$121,810
John Carlson	0	-	619,000	47,730
Harold Carpenter	0	-	354,000	13,980
James Hecker	0	-	175,000	7,680
Thomas LaVoy	0	-	157,000	4,000
Donald Anderson	0	-	1,345,500	24,750
Timothy Slifkin	0	-	290,000	0
Greg Oester	0	-	493,000	19,280
Thomas Robinson	0	-	320,000	0

- (1) Calculated as the difference between closing price on the date exercised and the exercise price, multiplied by the number of options exercised.
- (2) Represents the number of securities underlying unexercised options and warrants that were exercisable at 2008 Fiscal Year End.
- (3) Calculated as the difference between the closing price of the Company's Common Stock on June 30, 2008, and the exercise price for those options exercisable on June 30, 2008, with an exercise price less than the closing price, multiplied by the number of applicable options.

### Option Grants Subsequent to Fiscal Year End

The following table sets forth information regarding employee stock options granted after June 30, 2008.

Name	Number of Underlying Securities Options Granted	Date of Grant	Date Exercisable	Expiration Date	Option Price
Robert R. Kauffman	250,000 (1)	8/19/08	(2)	8/19/13	\$1.20
John A. Carlson	120,000 (1)	8/19/08	(2)	8/19/13	\$1.20
Donald E. Anderson	75,000 (1)	8/19/08	(2)	8/19/13	\$1.20
Harold S. Carpenter	75,000 (1)	8/19/08	(2)	8/19/13	\$1.20
James T. Hecker	75,000 (1)	8/19/08	(2)	8/19/13	\$1.20
Thomas C. LaVoy	75,000 (1)	8/19/08	(2)	8/19/13	\$1.20
Timothy P. Slifkin	25,000 (1)	8/19/08	(2)	8/19/13	\$1.20
Greg M. Oester	50,000 (1)	8/19/08	(2)	8/19/13	\$1.20
Thomas A. Robinson	25,000 (1)	8/19/08	(2)	8/19/13	\$1.20
Other Employees	65,000 (1)	7/7/08	(3)	7/7/13	\$1.40
Other Employees	120,000 (1)	8/19/08	(2)	8/19/13	\$1.20

- (1) Issued pursuant to the 1998, 1999, 2005 & 2006 Stock Option Plans.
- (2) 10% vest on 8/19/2008, 15% vest on 8/19/2009, 25% vest on 8/19/2010, 25% vest on 8/19/2011 and 25% vest on 8/19/2012.
- (3) 10% vest on 7/7/2008, 15% vest on 7/7/2009, 25% vest on 7/7/2010, 25% vest

## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

on 7/7/2011 and 25% vest on 7/7/2012.

### Employment Agreements and Executive Compensation

The Executive Officers are at-will employees without employment agreements.

#### Compensation of Directors

During fiscal year 2008, non-employee Directors were compensated for their services in cash (\$750 per meeting per day up to a maximum of \$1,500 per meeting) and through the grant of options to acquire shares of Class A Common Stock as provided by the 1996, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2005, and 2006 Directors and Officers Stock Option Plans (the "D&O Plans") which are described below. All Directors are entitled to receive reimbursement for all out-of-pocket expenses incurred for attendance at Board of Directors meetings.

The 1996 Directors and Officers Stock Option Plan was approved by the Board of Directors on September 9, 1996. Shareholders approved the 1998, 1999, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2005, and 2006 Directors and Officers Stock Option Plans on November 6, 1998, November 5, 1999, November 10, 2000, November 22, 2002, November 19, 2004, January 20, 2006, and January 30, 2007, respectively. The purpose of the 1996, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2005, and 2006 D&O Plans is to advance the business and development of the Company and its shareholders by affording to the Directors and Officers of the Company the opportunity to acquire a proprietary interest in the Company by the grant of Options to acquire shares of the Company's common stock. All Directors and Executive Officers of the Company are eligible to participate in the 1996, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2005, and 2006 Plans. Newly appointed Directors receive options to purchase shares of common stock at fair market value. Upon each subsequent anniversary of the election to the Board of Directors, each non-employee Director may receive an additional option to purchase shares of common stock at fair market value.

#### ITEM 11. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

##### Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to each shareholder known by Alanco to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of the outstanding Alanco common stock or voting rights as of September 26, 2008. Information regarding the stock ownership of Robert R. Kauffman, Alanco Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Donald E. Anderson, Alanco Director, Timothy P. Slifkin, StarTrak Chief Executive Officer, and Thomas A. Robinson, StarTrak Executive Vice President, is also shown in the table in the following section, Current Directors and Executive Officers.

##### Five Percent Owners

	Class A Common Shares Owned	Class A Percent of Class (8)	Series D Preferred Shares Owned (9)	Total Voting Rights (8)	Total Voting Rights Owned Percent of Class (8)	Exercisable Stock Options and Warrants	To Vo Ri a Opt War
Donald E. Anderson (1)	3,668,960	11.59%	150,000	4,718,960	13.84%	1,378,000	6,09

## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

WSV Management, LLC (2)	2,543,869	8.03%	0	2,543,869	7.46%	881,579	3,42
Robert R. Kauffman (3)	1,843,312	5.82%	0	1,843,312	5.40%	1,186,000	3,02
Timothy P. Slifkin (4)	2,253,480	7.12%	12,000	2,337,480	6.85%	292,500	2,62
The Rhino Fund, LLLP (5)	1,599,847	5.05%	50,000	1,949,847	5.72%	340,667	2,29
Tom Robinson (6)	1,484,076	4.69%	8,000	1,540,076	4.52%	322,500	1,86
Technology Systems International, Inc. (7)	1,807,670	5.71%	0	1,807,670	5.30%	0	1,80

- (1) The number of shares, options and warrants owned includes: The Anderson Family Trust, owner of 2,061,113 shares of Alanco Class A Common Stock, 50,000 shares of Alanco Series D Non-Convertible Preferred Stock and 670,000 exercisable warrants; Programmed Land, Inc., owner of 1,599,847 shares of Alanco Class A Common Stock, 50,000 shares of Alanco Series D Non-Convertible Preferred Stock and 533,500 exercisable warrants; Pine Summit Bible Camp and Conference Center, owner of 50,000 shares of Alanco Series D Non-Convertible Preferred Stock, all of which Mr. Anderson claims beneficial ownership; and 8,000 shares of Alanco Class A Common Stock and 174,500 exercisable options owned by Mr. Anderson. Mr. Anderson also has an additional 162,500 stock options with a vesting schedule ranging from October 5, 2008 to August 19, 2012. The 150,000 shares of Series D Non-Convertible Preferred Stock beneficially owned by Mr. Anderson represent 53.57% of the total Series D Non-Convertible Preferred shares outstanding. Mr. Anderson's address is 11804 North Sundown Drive, Scottsdale, Arizona 85260.
- (2) The number of shares and warrants owned by WSV Management, L.L.C. includes: WS Opportunity Fund, L.P., owner of 797,513 shares of Alanco Class A Common Stock and 259,513 exercisable warrants, WS Opportunity Fund Q.P., L.P., owner of 756,459 shares of Alanco Class A Common Stock and 242,219 exercisable warrants, and WS Opportunity International Fund, Ltd., owner of 989,897 shares of Alanco Class A Common Stock and 379,847 exercisable warrants. The address for WSV Management, L.L.C. is 300 Crescent Court, Suite 1111, Dallas, TX 75201.
- (3) In addition to the shares shown above, Robert R. Kauffman, Alanco Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, also beneficially owns 455,000 shares of TSIN stock, representing an ownership position of less than 2% of the outstanding TSIN shares. If TSIN distributes the shares of Alanco common stock owned by TSIN to TSIN shareholders on a proportionate basis, Mr. Kauffman may acquire additional shares of Alanco common stock, thereby slightly increasing his percentage of Alanco common shares owned; but due to matters as discussed in Footnote 7 below, we are unable to accurately calculate the changes to Mr. Kauffman's ownership. Mr. Kauffman also has an additional 435,000 stock options with a vesting schedule ranging from October 5, 2008 to August 19, 2012. The address for Mr. Kauffman is: c/o Alanco Technologies, Inc., 15575 North 83rd Way, Suite 3, Scottsdale, Arizona 85260.
- (4) In addition to the stock options shown above, Timothy P. Slifkin, President of StarTrak Systems, LLC, has 232,500 options with a vesting schedule ranging from October 5, 2008 to August 19, 2012. The 12,000 shares of Series D Non-Convertible Preferred Stock beneficially owned by Mr. Slifkin represent 4.29% of the total Series D Non-Convertible Preferred shares outstanding. The address for Mr. Slifkin is: c/o StarTrak Systems, LLC, 106 The American Road, Morris Plains, NJ 07950.
- (5) The 50,000 shares of Series D Non-Convertible Preferred Stock owned by The Rhino Fund, LLLP, managed by Rhino Capital, Inc., a private capital management company, represent 17.86% of the total Series D Non-Convertible Preferred shares outstanding. The address for Rhino Capital, Inc. is 32065 Castle Court, Suite 100, Evergreen, CO 80439.



Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

- (6) In addition to the stock options shown above, Thomas A. Robinson, Executive Vice President of StarTrak Systems, LLC, has 232,500 options with a vesting schedule ranging from October 5, 2008 to August 19, 2012. The 8,000 shares of Series D Non-Convertible Preferred Stock beneficially owned by Mr. Robinson represent 2.86% of the total Series D Non-Convertible Preferred shares outstanding. The address for Mr. Robinson is: c/o StarTrak Systems, LLC, 106 The American Road, Morris Plains, NJ 07950.
- (7) Technology Systems International, Inc., a Nevada corporation, (TSIN) is an independent, private company, which was issued 6,000,000 shares (equivalent to 2,400,000 as adjusted for the October 16, 2006 2 for 5 reverse stock split) of Alanco common stock in 2002 in connection with the acquisition of the assets of TSIN effective in June 2002. TSIN filed a Schedule 13G on December 31, 2006, indicating TSIN ownership of 1,807,670 Alanco common shares. TSIN has previously indicated their intention to distribute the shares of Alanco common stock in excess of certain corporate litigation and liquidation expenses on a pro-rata basis to their shareholders; however, the shares have not been distributed as of the date of filing, and there is no assurance that the shares will be distributed. The address of TSIN is c/o Jill H. Ford, Trustee, P.O. Box 5845, Carefree, AZ 85377.
- (8) The percentages for Class A Common Stock shown are calculated based upon 31,669,667 shares of Class A Common Stock outstanding on September 26, 2008. The percentages for Total Voting Rights are calculated based upon 34,104,531 voting rights on September 26, 2008.
- (9) Preferred Shares are Series D Non-Convertible Preferred Stock, each share of which has seven votes in matters submitted to shareholders for a vote. As of September 26, 2008, there are 280,000 shares of Series D Non-Convertible Preferred Stock outstanding. The 5% owners do not own any shares of the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock.
- (10) In calculating the percentage of ownership, option and warrant shares are deemed to be outstanding for the purpose of computing the percentage of voting rights shares owned by such person, but are not deemed to be outstanding for the purpose of computing the percentage of voting rights shares owned by any other stockholders.

Security Ownership of Management

The following table sets forth the number of exercisable stock options and the number of shares of the Company's Common Stock and Preferred Stock beneficially owned as of September 26, 2008, by individual directors and executive officers and by all directors and executive officers of the Company as a group.

The number of shares beneficially owned by each director or executive officer is determined under rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, and the information is not necessarily indicative of the beneficial ownership for any other purpose. Unless otherwise indicated, each person has sole investment and voting power (or shares such power with his or her spouse) with respect to the shares set forth in the following table.

Securities of the Registrant Beneficially Owned

	Class A	Shares	Series D	Shares		Shares	St
	Common	Owned	Preferred	Owned	Total	Owned	Opt
							Wa

Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

Name of Beneficial Owner (2)	Stock Shares Owned	Percent of Class (7)	Stock Shares Owned	Percent of Class (7)	Voting Rights Owned	Percent of Class (7)	Exercisable @ 90 Days + 60
Robert R. Kauffman (3) Director/COB/CEO	1,843,312	5.82%	0	0.00%	1,843,312	5.40%	1,
John A. Carlson Director/EVP/CFO	393,338	1.24%	0	0.00%	393,338	1.15%	
Harold S. Carpenter Director	725,626	2.29%	0 (5)	0.00%	725,626	2.13%	
James T. Hecker Director	90,019	0.28%	0 (6)	0.00%	90,019	0.26%	
Timothy P. Slifkin Director/CEO - StarTrak	2,253,480	7.12%	12,000	4.29%	2,337,480	6.85%	
Thomas C. LaVoy Director	92,573	0.29%	10,000	3.57%	162,573	0.48%	
Donald E. Anderson (4) Director	3,668,960	11.59%	150,000	53.57%	4,718,960	13.84%	1,
Greg M. Oester President - TSIA	46,407	0.15%	0	0.00%	46,407	0.14%	
Thomas A. Robinson EVP - StarTrak	1,484,076	4.69%	8,000	2.86%	1,540,076	4.52%	
Officers and Directors as a Group (9 individuals)	10,597,791	33.46%	180,000	64.29%	11,857,791	34.77%	5,

- (1) Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") and generally indicates voting or investment power with respect to securities. In accordance with SEC rules, shares that may be acquired upon conversion or exercise of stock options, warrants or convertible securities which are currently exercisable or which become exercisable within 60 days are deemed beneficially owned. Except as indicated by footnote, and subject to community property laws where applicable, the persons or entities named in the table above have sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of Common Stock shown as beneficially owned.
- (2) COB is Chairman of the Board; CEO is Chief Executive Officer; EVP is Executive Vice President; CFO is Chief Financial Officer.
- (3) In addition to the shares shown above, Robert R. Kauffman, Alanco Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, also beneficially owns 455,000 shares of TSIN stock, representing an ownership position of less than 2% of the outstanding TSIN shares. If TSIN distributes the shares of Alanco common stock owned by TSIN to TSIN shareholders on a proportionate basis, Mr. Kauffman may acquire additional shares of Alanco common stock, thereby slightly increasing his percentage of Alanco common shares owned; but due to matters as discussed in Footnote 7 of the Five Percent Owners table above, we are unable to accurately calculate the changes to Mr. Kauffman's ownership.
- (4) The number of shares, options and warrants owned includes: The Anderson Family Trust, owner of 2,061,113 shares of Alanco Class A Common Stock, 50,000 shares of Alanco Series D Non-Convertible Preferred Stock and 670,000 exercisable warrants; Programmed Land, Inc., owner of 1,599,847 shares of Alanco Class A Common Stock, 50,000 shares of Alanco Series D Non-Convertible Preferred Stock and 533,500 exercisable warrants; Pine Summit Bible Camp and Conference Center, owner of 50,000 shares of Alanco Series D Non-Convertible Preferred Stock, all of which Mr. Anderson

## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

claims beneficial ownership; and 8,000 shares of Alanco Class A Common Stock and 174,500 exercisable options owned by Mr. Anderson.

- (5) Excludes 928,448 shares of Class A Common Stock, 50,000 shares of Series D Non-Convertible Preferred Stock and 159,000 warrants to purchase Class A Common Stock owned by Heartland Systems Co., a company for which Mr. Carpenter serves as an officer. Mr. Carpenter disclaims beneficial ownership of such shares.
- (6) Excludes 1,174,471 shares of Class A Common Stock, 50,000 shares of Series D Non-Convertible Preferred Stock and 340,667 warrants to purchase Class A Common Stock owned by Rhino Fund LLLP. The fund is controlled by Rhino Capital Incorporated, for which Mr. Hecker serves as Treasurer and General Counsel. Mr. Hecker disclaims beneficial ownership of such shares.
- (7) The percentages for Class A Common Stock shown are calculated based upon 31,669,667 shares of Class A Common Stock outstanding on September 26, 2008. The percentages for Series D Non-Convertible Preferred Stock are calculated based upon 280,000 shares of Series D Non-Convertible Preferred Stock outstanding on September 26, 2008, each share of which has seven votes in matters submitted to shareholders for a vote. The percentages for Total Voting Rights are calculated based upon 34,104,531 voting rights as of September 26, 2008.
- (8) Represents unexercised stock options and warrants issued to named executive officers and directors. All options and warrants listed that were issued to the executive officers and directors were exercisable at September 26, 2008, or will be exercisable within 60 days following September 26, 2008. Robert Kauffman also holds the following options: 102,500 options exercisable in fiscal year 2010, 127,500 options exercisable in fiscal year 2011, 112,500 options exercisable in fiscal year 1012, and 62,500 options exercisable in fiscal year 2013. John Carlson also holds the following options: 48,000 options exercisable in fiscal year 2010, 60,000 options exercisable in fiscal year 2011, 55,000 options exercisable in fiscal year 1012, and 30,000 options exercisable in fiscal year 2013. Harold Carpenter also holds the following options: 33,750 options exercisable in fiscal year 2010, 41,250 options exercisable in fiscal year 2011, 31,250 options exercisable in fiscal year 1012, and 18,750 options exercisable in fiscal year 2013. James Hecker also holds the following options: 23,750 options exercisable in fiscal year 2010, 31,250 options exercisable in fiscal year 2011, 31,250 options exercisable in fiscal year 1012, and 18,750 options exercisable in fiscal year 2013. Timothy Slifkin also holds the following options: 60,000 options exercisable in fiscal year 2009, 88,750 options exercisable in fiscal year 2010, 31,250 options exercisable in fiscal year 2011, 31,250 options exercisable in fiscal year 1012, and 6,250 options exercisable in fiscal year 2013. Thomas LaVoy also holds the following options: 23,750 options exercisable in fiscal year 2010, 31,250 options exercisable in fiscal year 2011, 31,250 options exercisable in fiscal year 1012, and 18,750 options exercisable in fiscal year 2013. Donald Anderson also holds the following options: 48,750 options exercisable in fiscal year 2010, 56,250 options exercisable in fiscal year 2011, 31,250 options exercisable in fiscal year 1012, and 18,750 options exercisable in fiscal year 2013. Greg Oester also holds the following options: 20,000 options exercisable in fiscal year 2010, 25,000 options exercisable in fiscal year 2011, 25,000 options exercisable in fiscal year 1012, and 12,500 options exercisable in fiscal year 2013. Thomas Robinson also holds the following options: 60,000 options exercisable in fiscal year 2009, 88,750 options exercisable in fiscal year 2010, 31,250 options exercisable in fiscal year 2011, 31,250 options exercisable in fiscal year 1012, and 6,250 options exercisable in fiscal year 2013.

## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

- (9) The number and percentages shown include the voting rights shares actually owned as of September 26, 2008 and the shares of common stock that the identified person or group had a right to acquire within 60 days after September 26, 2008. The percentages shown are calculated based upon 34,104,531 voting rights as of September 26, 2008. In calculating the percentage of ownership, option and warrant shares are deemed to be outstanding for the purpose of computing the percentage of shares owned by such person, but are not deemed to be outstanding for the purpose of computing the percentage of shares owned by any other stockholders.

### ITEM 12. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

#### Transactions with Management

Mr. Steve Oman, a former member of the Board of Directors, received compensation in the amount of approximately \$108,100 and \$116,100 for legal services to the Company for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Mr. Donald Anderson, a member of the Board of Directors and trustee and beneficial owner of the Anderson Family Trust, was paid interest in fiscal years 2008 and 2007 under the Line of Credit Agreement in the amount of approximately \$170,500 and \$186,500, respectively.

See Note 7 and 10 to the consolidated financials for additional related party transactions and discussion.

### PART IV

### ITEM 13. EXHIBITS

#### A. Exhibits

- 3(i) Articles of Incorporation of Alanco Technologies, Inc (1)
- 3(ii) Bylaws of Alanco Technologies, Inc (2)
- 4.1 Series A Preferred Convertible Stock Description (3)
- 4.2 Series B Preferred Convertible Stock Description (4)
- 4.3 Series D Preferred Stock Description (5)
- 4.4 Series D Preferred Stock Description Amendment (6)
- 10.1 1996 Directors and Officers Stock Option Plan and Kauffman and Carlson Stock Option Agreements (7)
- 10.2 1998 Incentive Stock Option Plan and Directors and Officers Stock Option Plan (8)
- 10.3 1999 Incentive Stock Option Plan and Directors and Officers Stock Option Plan (9)
- 10.4 2000 Incentive Stock Option Plan and Directors and Officers Stock Option Plan (10)
- 10.5 2002 Incentive Stock Option Plan and Directors and Officers Stock Option Plan (11)
- 10.6 2004 Incentive Stock Option Plan and Directors and Officers Stock Option Plan (12)
- 10.7 2005 Incentive Stock Option Plan and Directors and Officers Stock Option Plan (13)
- 10.8 2006 Incentive Stock Option Plan and Directors and Officers Stock Option Plan (14)
- 10.9 Nasdaq Delisting Notification (15)
- 10.10 Amendment 3 to Line of Credit Agreement (16)
- 10.11 Amendment 4 to Line of Credit Agreement (17)
- 10.12 Amendment 5 to Line of Credit Agreement (18)
- 10.13 Amendment 6 to Line of Credit Agreement (19)
- 10.14 Amended and Restated Loan and Security Agreement (20)
- 10.15 First Amendment to Restated Loan and Security Agreement (21)

## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

- 10.16 Second Amendment to Restated Loan and Security Agreement (6)
- 10.17 TSIN Settlement Agreement and Mutual Release (22)
- 10.18 ComVest Loan Agreement (23)
- 10.19 Amendment No. 2 to ComVest Loan Agreement (24)
- 10.20 Amendment No. 3 to ComVest Loan Agreement (25)
- 10.21 Amendment No. 5 to ComVest Loan Agreement (6)
- 14.1 Corporate Code of Business Conduct and Ethics (26)
- 21. Active Subsidiaries of the Registrant

Name	State of Incorporation
Excel/Meridian Data, Inc.	Arizona
Fry Guy Inc.	Nevada
Alanco/TSI PRISM, Inc. (formerly Technology Systems International, Inc.)	Arizona
StarTrak Systems, LLC	Delaware

- 31.1 Certification of Robert R. Kauffman, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Alanco Technologies, Inc. pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of John A. Carlson, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Alanco Technologies, Inc., pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of Alanco Technologies, Inc., pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 99.1 Audit/Corporate Governance Committee Charter (27)

### Footnotes:

- (1) Incorporated by reference to Form 10KSB filed September 27, 2001
- (2) Incorporated by reference to Form 8-K filed September 27, 2002
- (3) Incorporated by reference to Form S-3/A filed November 21, 2004
- (4) Incorporated by reference to Form DEFM14A filed April 22, 2002
- (5) Incorporated by reference to Form 8-K filed June 16, 1008
- (6) Incorporated by reference to Form 8-K filed August 28, 2008
- (7) Incorporated by reference to Form S-8 filed October 22, 1998
- (8) Incorporated by reference to Form S-8 filed November 30, 1998
  
- (9) Incorporated by reference to Form S-8 filed November 29, 1999
- (10) Incorporated by reference to Form S-8 filed December 14, 2000
- (11) Incorporated by reference to Form S-8 filed January 22, 2003
- (12) Incorporated by reference to Form S-8 filed February 17, 2005
- (13) Incorporated by reference to Form S-8 filed February 2, 2006
- (14) Incorporated by reference to Form S-8 filed March 21, 2007
- (15) Incorporated by reference to Form 8-K filed August 4, 2006
- (16) Incorporated by reference to Form 8-K filed March 28, 2005
- (17) Incorporated by reference to Form 8-K filed July 6, 2005
- (18) Incorporated by reference to Form 8-K filed July 14, 2006
- (19) Incorporated by reference to Form 8-K filed June 28, 2007
- (20) Incorporated by reference to Form 8-K filed December 27, 2007
- (21) Incorporated by reference to Form 8-K filed February 29, 2008
- (22) Incorporated by reference to Form 8-K filed September 21, 2007
- (23) Incorporated by reference to Form 8-K filed October 3, 2006
- (24) Incorporated by reference to Form 8-K filed July 27, 2007
- (25) Incorporated by reference to Form 8-K filed January 2, 2008
- (26) Incorporated by reference to Form 10QSB filed November 15, 2004
- (27) Incorporated by reference to Form 14A filed October 18, 2004

### B. Schedules NONE

Exhibits or schedules other than those mentioned above are omitted because the conditions requiring their filing do not exist or because the required

## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

information is given in the financial statements, including the notes thereto.

### ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

#### Audit Fees

The aggregate fees billed by Semple, Marchal & Cooper, LLP, the Company's independent auditor, for professional services rendered for the audit of the Company's annual financial statements for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 and the review of the financial statements included in the Company's Forms 10-QSB for such fiscal years were approximately \$125,000 and \$107,100, respectively.

#### Financial Information Systems Design and Implementation

There were no fees billed for the professional services described in Paragraph (c) (4) (ii) of Rule 2-01 of Regulation S-X rendered by Semple, Marchal & Cooper, LLP for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2008 and 2007.

#### All Other Fees

Semple, Marchal & Cooper, LLP billed the Company during fiscal year 2008 and 2007 a total of approximately \$12,000 and \$12,000, respectively, for tax preparation and tax consulting services. The Audit Committee has considered whether the provision of these services is compatible with maintaining the principal accountant's independence.

#### Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures

The 2008 and 2007 audit services provided by Semple, Marchal & Cooper, LLP were approved by our Audit/Corporate Governance Committee. The Audit/Corporate Governance Committee implemented pre-approval policies and procedures related to the provision of audit and non-audit services. Under these procedures, the Audit/Corporate Governance Committee pre-approves both the type of services to be provided by our independent accountants and the estimated fees related to these services. During the approval process, the Audit/Corporate Governance Committee considers the impact of the types of services and related fees on the independence of the auditor. These services and fees must be deemed compatible with the maintenance of the auditor's independence, in compliance with the SEC rules and regulations. Throughout the year, the Audit/Corporate Governance Committee and, if necessary, the Board of Directors, reviews revisions to the estimates of audit and non-audit fees initially approved.

### SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunder duly authorized.

ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  
(Registrant)

/s/ John A. Carlson

-----  
John A. Carlson  
Chief Financial Officer

Date: September 26, 2008

EXHIBIT 31.1

Certification of

Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer  
of Alanco Technologies, Inc.

I, Robert R. Kauffman, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-KSB of Alanco Technologies, Inc.;

2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report.

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the small business issuer as of, and for, the period presented in this report;

4. The small business issuer's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the small business issuer and have:

(a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the small business issuer, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;

(b) Evaluated the effectiveness of the small business issuer's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and

(c) Disclosed in this report any change in the small business issuer's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the small business issuer's most recent fiscal quarter (the small business issuer's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the small business issuer's internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The small business issuer's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the small business issuer's auditors and the audit committee of the small business issuer's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

(a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the small business issuer's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

(b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the small business issuer's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: September 26, 2008

/s/ Robert R. Kauffman

-----  
Robert R. Kauffman

## Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

EXHIBIT 31.2

Certification of  
Vice President and Chief Financial Officer  
of Alanco Technologies, Inc.

I, John A. Carlson, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-KSB of Alanco Technologies, Inc.;

2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report.

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the small business issuer as of, and for, the period presented in this report;

4. The small business issuer's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the small business issuer and have:

(a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the small business issuer, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;

(b) Evaluated the effectiveness of the small business issuer's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and

(c) Disclosed in this report any change in the small business issuer's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the small business issuer's most recent fiscal quarter (the small business issuer's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the small business issuer's internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The small business issuer's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the small business issuer's auditors and the audit committee of the small business issuer's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

(a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the small business issuer's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

(b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the small business issuer's internal control over financial reporting.



Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

Date: September 26, 2008

/s/ John A. Carlson  
-----

John A. Carlson  
Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

EXHIBIT 32.1

Certification of  
Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer  
of Alanco Technologies, Inc.

This certification is provided pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and accompanies this annual report of Form 10-KSB (the "Report") for the period ended June 30, 2008 of Alanco Technologies, Inc. (the "Issuer").

Each of the undersigned, who are the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, respectively, of Alanco Technologies, Inc., hereby certify that, to the best of each such officer's knowledge:

(i) the Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or Section 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78m(a) or 78o(d)); and

(ii) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Issuer.

Dated: September 26, 2008

/s/ Robert R. Kauffman  
-----

Robert R. Kauffman  
Chief Executive Officer

/s/ John A. Carlson  
-----

John A. Carlson  
Chief Financial Officer

In accordance with Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Small business issuer caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

DATE: September 26, 2008

/s/ Robert R. Kauffman  
-----

Robert R. Kauffman, CEO,  
Chairman of the Board

KNOWN ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS, that each person whose signature appears below constitutes and appoints Robert R. Kauffman and John A. Carlson, and each of them, his true and lawful attorney-in-fact and agents, with full power of substitution and resubstitution for him or in his name, place and

Edgar Filing: ALANCO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10KSB

stead, in any and all capacities, to sign any and all amendments to this Form 10-KSB Annual Report, and to file the same, with all exhibits thereto, and other documents in connection therewith with the Securities and Exchange Commission, granting unto said attorneys-in-fact and agents, and each of them, full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing requisite and necessary to be done in and about the premises, as fully and to all intents and purposes as he might or could do in person hereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorneys-in-fact and agents, or his substitute or substitutes, may lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

SIGNATURE -----	TITLE -----	DATE ----
/s/Robert R. Kauffman ----- Robert R. Kauffman	Director & Chief Executive Officer	September 26, 2008
/s/James T. Hecker ----- James T. Hecker	Director	September 26, 2008
/s/Harold S. Carpenter ----- Harold S. Carpenter	Director	September 26, 2008
/s/Thomas C. LaVoy ----- Thomas C. LaVoy	Director	September 26, 2008
/s/Donald E. Anderson ----- Donald E. Anderson	Director	September 26, 2008
/s/John A. Carlson ----- John A. Carlson	Director & Chief Financial Officer	September 26, 2008
/s/Timothy P. Slifkin ----- Timothy P. Slifkin	Director	September 26, 2008

By /s/ Robert R. Kauffman  
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Transfer Agent  
Computershare Trust Company, Inc.  
350 Indiana Street, Suite 800  
Golden, CO 80401  
303-262-0600  
Fax: 303-262-0700