

McAfee, Inc.
Form 10-K
February 28, 2011

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

Form 10-K

- ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010**
- Or**
- TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from to**

Commission file number: 001-31216

McAfee, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

77-0316593

(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

**2821 Mission College Boulevard
Santa Clara, California**

(Address of principal executive offices)

95054

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code:

(408) 988-3832

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class

Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered

Common stock, par value \$0.01 per share

New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Edgar Filing: McAfee, Inc. - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the issuer as of the last business day of the Registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter (June 30, 2010) as reported on the New York Stock Exchange was approximately \$5.0 billion. As of February 22, 2011, Registrant had outstanding approximately 157.6 million shares of common stock.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

None

MCAFEE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

FORM 10-K

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements</u>	3
<u>PART I</u>	
<u>Item 1.</u> <u>Business</u>	4
<u>Item 1A.</u> <u>Risk Factors</u>	14
<u>Item 1B.</u> <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	30
<u>Item 2.</u> <u>Properties</u>	30
<u>Item 3.</u> <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	30
<u>PART II</u>	
<u>Item 5.</u> <u>Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	31
<u>Item 6.</u> <u>Selected Financial Data</u>	34
<u>Item 7.</u> <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	34
<u>Item 7A.</u> <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	61
<u>Item 8.</u> <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	61
<u>Item 9.</u> <u>Changes In and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	62
<u>Item 9A.</u> <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	62
<u>Item 9B.</u> <u>Other Information</u>	64
<u>PART III</u>	
<u>Item 10.</u> <u>Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant and Corporate Governance</u>	64
<u>Item 11.</u> <u>Executive Compensation</u>	70
<u>Item 12.</u> <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	96
<u>Item 13.</u> <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	97
<u>Item 14.</u> <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	98
<u>PART IV</u>	
<u>Item 15.</u> <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>	99
<u>Signatures</u>	146
<u>EX-10.26</u>	
<u>EX-10.31</u>	
<u>EX-10.37</u>	
<u>EX-10.40</u>	
<u>EX-10.41</u>	

EX-10.42

EX-21.1

EX-23.1

EX-31.1

EX-32.1

EX-101 INSTANCE DOCUMENT

EX-101 SCHEMA DOCUMENT

EX-101 CALCULATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT

EX-101 LABELS LINKBASE DOCUMENT

EX-101 PRESENTATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT

EX-101 DEFINITION LINKBASE DOCUMENT

Table of Contents

SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Forward-looking statements are statements that look to future events and consist of, among other things, statements about our pending acquisition by Intel Corporation (Intel) including the timing of the close, our anticipated future income including the amount and mix of revenue among type of product, category of customer, geographic region and distribution method and our anticipated future expenses and tax rates. Forward-looking statements include our business strategies and objectives and include statements about the expected benefits of our strategic alliances and acquisitions, our plans for the integration of acquired businesses, our continued investment in complementary businesses, products and technologies, our expectations regarding product acceptance, product and pricing competition, cash requirements and the amounts and uses of cash and working capital that we expect to generate, as well as statements involving trends in the security risk management market and statements including such words as may, believe, plan, expect, anticipate, could, estimate, predict, goals, continue, project, and similar negative of these terms or other comparable terminology. These forward-looking statements speak only as of the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K and are subject to business and economic risks, uncertainties and assumptions that are difficult to predict, including those identified below in Item 1A, *Risk Factors* as well as in Item 1, *Business* and Item 7, *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations* in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Therefore, our actual results may differ materially and adversely from those expressed in any forward-looking statements. We cannot assume responsibility for the accuracy and completeness of forward-looking statements, and we undertake no obligation to revise or update publicly any forward-looking statements for any reason.

TRADEMARKS AND TRADE NAMES

This report includes registered trademarks and trade names of McAfee and other corporations. Trademarks or trade names owned by McAfee and/or its affiliates include, but are not limited to: McAfee, ePolicy Orchestrator, McAfee ePO, Global Threat Intelligence, VirusScan, IntruShield, Foundstone, SiteAdvisor, Security Innovation Alliance, McAfee Security, SafeBoot, ScanAlert, McAfee SECURE, McAfee Family Protection, McAfee Total Protection Server, McAfee Labs, EMM, WaveSecure, Policy Auditor, and TrustedSource. Any other non-McAfee related products, registered and/or unregistered trademarks contained herein are only by reference and are the sole property of their respective owners.

Table of Contents

PART I

Item 1. *Business*

Overview

We are the world's largest dedicated security technology company. We deliver proactive and proven solutions and services that help secure systems and networks around the world, allowing users to safely connect to the internet, browse and shop the web more securely. Backed by McAfee® Global Threat Intelligence™, we create innovative products that empower home users, businesses, the public sector and service providers by enabling them to prove compliance with regulations, protect data, prevent disruptions, identify vulnerabilities and continuously monitor and improve their security. We help secure the digital world.

Business Developments and Highlights

During 2010, we took the following actions, among others, to enhance our business:

We entered into a definitive agreement with Intel pursuant to which Intel will acquire all of our common stock, through a merger, for \$48 per share in cash and we will become a wholly owned subsidiary of Intel. We anticipate that the acquisition will close in the first quarter of 2011. The proposed combination of Intel and McAfee brings software and silicon together and we believe this combination will create the next generation of security technology.

We acquired TD Security, Inc. d/b/a Trust Digital, Inc. (Trust Digital), tenCube Pte. Ltd. (tenCube) and InternetSafety.com, Inc. (InternetSafety.com).

Trust Digital created leading technology for enterprise mobility management and security software. With this acquisition we extended our endpoint market, addressing a wide range of mobile operating systems including iPhone OS, Android, Web OS, Windows Mobile, and Symbian.

tenCube developed the WaveSecure™ mobile security service. Adding WaveSecure™ software's locate, lock, back-up and wipe technology to Trust Digital's enterprise mobility management software and our mobile security technology gives us the capability to deliver the industry's most complete next generation mobility platform. We now have a single platform, from the consumer to the enterprise, to address the management and security of all devices types, to all markets and with the most robust feature set.

InternetSafety.com provides parental web control solutions.

We developed and launched several new products and integrated enhanced feature functionality into new versions of our products across our offerings, including, but not limited to the following:

We released our next generation firewall, McAfee® Firewall Enterprise version 8 that expands existing application protection giving security administrators visibility, recognition and policy enforcement over thousands of applications that are invisible to conventional firewall technology. By integrating with McAfee's real-time, cloud-based global threat intelligence, McAfee® Firewall Enterprise and McAfee® Firewall Enterprise Profiler provide increased visibility into external and internal threats and vulnerabilities, protecting customers more efficiently and reducing both compliance and operational costs.

We released McAfee® Family Protection iPhone, iPod touch and iPad Edition, providing strong parental controls to keep children safe when they are browsing the Internet on an Apple mobile device.

We launched McAfee® Internet Security for Mac and McAfee® Family Protection for Mac. McAfee® Internet Security empowers consumers to surf the web safely, while McAfee® Family Protection allows parents to filter inappropriate content from their children.

We launched McAfee® Identity Protection, one of the most comprehensive and easy to use identity protection services on the market.

Table of Contents

We released McAfee® Enterprise Mobility Management (McAfee® EMM™) 9.0 platform that is based on the Trust Digital platform we acquired during the year. The McAfee® EMM™ platform delivers a fully McAfee-branded and enhanced enterprise mobility solution, increases support for iPhone and other iOS devices, increases Android support and provides greater control for administrators.

As part of our Security Connected initiative, we released McAfee® Endpoint Security 9 providing strong endpoint and data protection for any device, to secure corporate assets without impeding critical systems and processes. Many organizations deploy security solutions from multiple vendors, which increase the cost of security and negatively impacts effectiveness. McAfee® Endpoint Security 9 delivers faster-time-to-protection through intelligent connected security allowing businesses to experience the full benefits of consumerization of IT, virtualization through McAfee Management Optimized for Virtualized Environments AntiVirus (MOVE), a security solution specifically designed for virtual environments, and optimized performance with faster scanning and less memory requirements.

We formed strategic relationships with numerous new partners including, but not limited to, the following:

We entered into a partnership with Facebook, Inc. (Facebook). Under the terms of the partnership, McAfee is Facebook's exclusive provider of consumer security software, and Facebook users will be eligible for a six-month subscription of the McAfee® Internet Security Suite software. Following the six-month period, Facebook users will be eligible for special discount subscription pricing. McAfee Internet Security Suite software protects users' PCs from online threats, viruses, spyware, hackers, online scammers, identity thieves and other cybercriminals, and includes award-winning McAfee® SiteAdvisor® site rating technology.

We entered into a partnership with Crossbeam Systems, Inc. (Crossbeam). Our partnership with Crossbeam combines our next-generation firewall, McAfee® Firewall Enterprise, with Crossbeam's latest X-Series family of network security platforms to deliver carrier-class performance scalability and built-in redundancy that large enterprises and carriers need to secure their networks.

We have also partnered with Citrix Systems, Inc. (Citrix) to jointly develop solutions that leverage the McAfee Optimized Virtual Environment (MOVE) platform to enable enterprises to realize enterprise class security optimized for their virtualized environments deployed using Citrix XenDesktop, XenClient and XenServer technology.

We launched new major ISP consumer partnerships with Verizon in the United States and Yahoo in Japan. We also partnered with SK Telecom, which marks the first time we have provided McAfee Virus Scan Mobile as an Android application download.

Jonathan Chadwick joined us as our Chief Financial Officer.

We moved into our new corporate headquarters in Santa Clara, California, and opened a new facility in Cork, Ireland and opened a new McAfee Labs facility in Santiago, Chile.

Our Business and Products

We have one business and operate in one industry: developing, marketing, distributing and supporting computer security solutions for large enterprises, governments, small and medium-sized businesses and consumers either directly or through a network of qualified distribution partners. We operate our business in five geographic regions: North America; Europe, Middle East and Africa; Japan; Asia-Pacific, excluding Japan; and Latin America.

Customers

We categorize our customers as:

consumer and small business;

mid-market; and

corporate and enterprise.

Table of Contents

We develop products and services specific to each customer group and deliver these solutions through various routes to markets based on customer group requirements. Customer groups are supported by designated sales and marketing resources and go to market partners as described below in Sales and Marketing. Our consumer products and services provide an overall safe consumer experience on the internet or mobile networks. Our corporate products and services include our small and mid-market business products and services as well as our corporate and enterprise products and services. For financial information about the amount of net revenue contributed by product group, customer category and key components of net revenue, see Item 7 *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations*.

Geographic Theatres

We divide our markets into five geographic theaters:

North America;

Europe, Middle East and Africa (EMEA);

Japan;

Asia-Pacific, excluding Japan (APAC); and

Latin America.

Each geographic theater is supported by corporate resources and local sales and marketing resources. We develop products and services specific to customer groups as well as geographic location, including internationalization and localization for some of our offerings, theater price lists and specific routes to market. For financial information about our foreign and domestic segment operations, including net revenue, operating income and total assets by geographic area, see Note 18 to our consolidated financial statements. For information about risks associated with our foreign operations, see Item 1A, *Risk Factors*.

Products

We manage our products under business units that enable us to be entrepreneurial and responsive within a specific market, moving quickly to seize emerging opportunities and fostering focus and customer-centricity within a particular market and our customers. Our products are offered in software, hardware, software as a service (SaaS) or a combination of these delivery methods, depending on the needs of the customer. Our products address security in the following key areas: endpoint, network, content, risk and compliance, and mobile.

Endpoint Security Products

Our endpoint security products secure corporate and consumer computer systems, including servers, desktop and laptop computers, handhelds, mobile devices and IP enabled devices that are connected to corporate systems and networks. Our endpoint security products include our system security products and our endpoint encryption products. Our system security products include anti-virus, anti-spyware and anti-spam, desktop firewall, host intrusion prevention, and host network access control. Our endpoint encryption products use strong encryption to safeguard vital information residing on various devices.

Network Security Products

Our network security products apply to corporate networks of all sizes and provide the same type of security measures that endpoint protection provides but are tailored to protect network systems, servers, laptops and other network devices as well as users and data. Network security encompasses enterprise-class firewall, intrusion detection and prevention, network access control, network behavior analysis, and network threat response. Network security appliances provide network-class policy enforcement and threat detection and prevention across network boundaries as well as visibility and control of user access and behavior.

Table of Contents

Content Security Products

Our content security products include web, email and data loss prevention security appliances and solutions. Our web protection products block malicious websites and content at the network gateway and data loss protection discovers, detects and blocks the loss of confidential and restricted data. Email protection includes spam filtering, virus, spyware and worm protection and content encryption for email traffic. Our data loss prevention products prevent malicious or unintentional data loss that occurs through unauthorized transmission or through theft or loss of devices that contain sensitive information. Content solutions also available as SaaS offerings include email security service and web protection service.

Our content security products include our McAfee SECURE standard, an aggregate of industry best practices, separate from the Payment Card Industry (PCI) Data Security Standard, designed to provide a level of security that an online merchant can reasonably achieve to help provide consumers with better protection when interacting with websites and shopping online. Merchants who comply with the McAfee SECURE standard can promote their certification by publicly displaying the McAfee SECURE trustmark. When consumers see this trustmark, they feel more secure when they shop online. Merchants displaying the McAfee SECURE trustmark benefit by gaining consumer confidence.

Risk and Compliance Products

Our risk and compliance solutions provide real-time insight into risk, vulnerabilities and compliance profile. We enable customers to direct security and resource investments where they minimize the most significant risks, for compliance and business availability. Customers are able to demonstrate more control in audits and reviews by easily indentifying and resolving security policy and regulatory issues in a measureable and sustainable manner. Our risk management solutions risk advisor, vulnerability manager and remediation manager proactively correlate threat vulnerability and countermeasure information to pinpoint assets at risk and provides the tools necessary to remediate those risks. Our vulnerability management service is also available as a SaaS offering.

Our compliance and availability solutions change control, application control, policy auditor and PCI compliance assess, monitor, enforce and report on customer IT policies in order to give customers both a real-time view of their governance and compliance profiles as well as continuous control of those configurations and policies to prevent unwanted or unauthorized changes. Our risk and compliance solutions are integrated into ePolicy Orchestrator to provide a single security, risk and compliance management platform.

Mobile Security Products

Spanning all of our customer categories, our mobile security solutions protect consumers, businesses, and mobile operators by safeguarding mobile devices such as internet-enabled smart phones and computing tablets, corporate networks, and personal and corporate data. Our mobile security offerings enable businesses to securely manage mobile devices and the corporate data on them, consumers to protect their mobile devices and personal data from theft or loss, and mobile operators to protect their users and networks against mobile threats such as malware.

Consumer Security Products

Our consumer security products, which we manage under a business unit that also includes our mobile and small business solutions, shield consumers from identity theft, phishing scams, spyware, malicious web sites and other threats that endanger the online experience. Our consumer products are typically distributed through partner, direct and retail channels, providing access wherever consumers choose to purchase. We offer consumer products that are compatible with Windows and Apple computers and Android, Blackberry, Symbian, Java, and Windows Mobile platforms.

Product Licensing

We typically license our software products to corporate and government customers using our perpetual-plus licensing arrangements, which provide a perpetual license coupled with an initial support period of one year. We

Table of Contents

also sell perpetual licenses in connection with sales of our hardware-based products in which software is bundled with the hardware platform. Most of our licenses are sold with renewable annual maintenance contracts.

For our online subscription services, customers rent or subscribe to use our security services for a defined period of time by downloading our software to their personal computers. Some products or product features are also available under a SaaS offering, under which we offer our software applications to customers for their use over the internet. This allows customers to purchase and use applications and modules on a subscription basis, without the need for individual client installations or additional maintenance costs. Because our online subscription services and our SaaS offerings are versionless, or self-updating, customers subscribing to these services can use the most recent version of the software without having to purchase product updates or upgrades.

Our online subscription products and services are available to customers and small businesses through various channel relationships with internet service providers and original equipment manufacturers (OEMs). Generally these internet service providers and OEM s offer our McAfee subscription services as either a stand-alone feature in the service or as a premium service. We also make our online subscription products and services available over the internet as a managed environment. Unlike our online subscription service solutions, these managed service provider solutions are customized, monitored and updated by networking professionals for a specific customer. Our online subscription consumer products and services are found at our web site where customers can download our applications.

Support and Services

Our technical support provides our customers worldwide continuous comprehensive coverage through online, telephone-based and on-site technical support services and proactive protection for our product offerings. These services extend the value of our customer s security investment. We offer core support for our corporate products as well as premium support that tailors our support to the needs of the customer, from the smallest businesses to the largest multi-nationals and government departments.

Our core support capabilities are standard with all corporate support offerings and include a suite of tools that provide preventive, responsive and analytical resources to our customers. Our customers benefit from daily and real-time malware protection updates, proactive alerting and product upgrades, an online product evaluation lab, automated diagnostic tools and a state-of-the-art knowledgebase backed up by rapid access to our support experts around the clock.

Our premium support offerings, which we call Gold Enhanced Business and Platinum Enterprise, deliver expert resources that offer flexible levels of enhanced support coverage specialist support for escalated technical assistance, personalized management through support account managers, dedicated coverage from assigned technical experts, and onsite support through a security specialist co-located at the customer s site.

Our corporate solutions services consultants help customers to improve the time-to-value of their security investment by helping speed up deployment, optimize configurations to our customers specific needs and provide guidance on areas of risk. Customers can also choose from a comprehensive array of online and classroom training courses to maximize the value of their products.

Our consumer support provides both free and fee based support offerings. Customers are guided first to self resolution tools, including McAfee Virtual Technician, McAfee Community Forums and a library of solutions to common problems. Free chat based support is provided and new customers can use phone support free for the first 30-days following product installation. Fee based support options include phone based support supplemented by remote control technology. In 2010, we expanded our premium consumer support offerings to include a new offering called PC Set-up & Security, in which we assist buyers of new PC s in the set-up of their PC from the moment they open the

box. This new service allows us to leverage our support staff for additional revenue-generating services beyond basic product support.

McAfee Foundstone Practice provides corporate and enterprise customers with strategic and technical consulting services to help customers identify where they are at risk and build effective solutions to remediate security vulnerabilities. Our McAfee Foundstone Practice security consultants assess network vulnerabilities, evaluate gaps in information security programs, offer strategies that meet compliance goals, and help develop

Table of Contents

programs to prepare for security emergencies. McAfee Strategic Security Education provides a range of classroom-based training and education courses.

Research and Development, Investments and Acquisitions

The market for computer software has low barriers to entry, is subject to rapid technological change and is highly competitive with respect to timely product introductions. We believe that our ability to maintain our competitiveness depends in large part upon our ability to develop, acquire, integrate and launch new products and solutions, and to enhance existing offerings.

Our research and development efforts support all of our offerings. They refine our security risk management processes, improve our product design and usability and keep us at the forefront of threat research. Most importantly, our research helps us better protect our customers. In addition to developing new offerings and solutions, our development staff focuses on upgrades and updates to existing products and on enhancement and integration of acquired technology. Future upgrades and updates may include additional functionality to respond to market needs, while also assuring compatibility with new systems and technologies.

We are committed to providing leading global threat intelligence through McAfee Labs. McAfee Labs provides precise and predictive intelligence about global threats through a team of 350 multidisciplinary researchers in 30 countries around the world who conduct research in the areas of host intrusion prevention, network intrusion prevention, wireless intrusion prevention, malicious code defense, security policy and management, high-performance assurance and forensics and threats, attacks, vulnerabilities and architectures. McAfee Labs follows a complete range of threats in real time, identifying application vulnerabilities, analyzing and correlating risks, and enabling remediation through the use of McAfee solutions.

For 2010, 2009 and 2008, we expensed \$346.1 million, \$324.4 million and \$252.0 million, respectively, on research and development, excluding in-process research and development. Technical leadership is essential to our success and we expect to continue devoting substantial resources to research and development.

As part of our growth strategy, we have also made and expect to continue to make acquisitions of, or investments in, complementary businesses, products and technologies. See Note 3 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report for more information about our recent business combination activities.

Sales and Marketing

We market our brand, business solutions and offerings directly to commercial and government customers through traditional demand generation programs and events as well as indirectly through resellers and distributors. Our two largest distributors, Ingram Micro Inc. and Tech Data Corp., together accounted for 22% of our net revenue in 2010. See Note 18 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report for more information about the percentage of net revenue from sales to each of these distributors. We market our consumer solutions and offerings to individual consumers directly through online distribution methods and indirectly through various distribution channels, such as retail, OEMs and service providers. Our consumer business is responsible for online distribution of our products sold to individual consumers over the internet, including products distributed by our online partners, and for licensing of technology to strategic distribution partners for sale to individual consumers, with certain exceptions.

Sales in North America

Our North American sales force is organized by product offerings and customer type. Our customers are served primarily through our reseller partners with a channel marketing organization assisting with lead generation and a

channel enablement team responsible for partner training and support. Although members of our sales team are a necessary part of the sales process, the majority of our ordering and fulfillment for our commercial customers is handled by our distribution partners.

Table of Contents

Sales Outside of North America

Outside of North America, we have sales and support operations in EMEA, Japan, APAC and Latin America. In 2010, 2009 and 2008, net revenue outside of North America accounted for 42%, 43% and 47% of our net revenue, respectively. Within our global geographies, our sales resources are organized by country, and the larger markets may further allocate their sales resources by product line and/or customer types. As in North America, the majority of our ordering and fulfillment is handled by our distribution partners.

Resellers and Distributors

Substantially all of our sales come through our network of resellers, distributors and retailers. Our SecurityAlliance Global Partner Program is a global sales and marketing enablement program designed to meet the needs of our reseller partners in supporting end-user customers. We currently utilize corporate resellers and network and systems integrators who offer our solutions to corporate, small and medium-business and government customers.

Our largest independent software distributors who currently supply our products include Ingram Micro Inc., Tech Data Corp. and Arrow, Inc. These distributors supply our products primarily to large retailers, value-added resellers (VARs), mail order and telemarketing companies. We also sell our retail packaged products through several of the larger computer/software retailers and broader-based retailers, including Office Depot, Wal-Mart, Costco, Staples, Media Mart/Saturn, and PC Depot Japan. Our sales and marketing teams work closely with our major reseller and distributor accounts to manage demand generating activities, training, order flow and affiliate relationships.

Our top ten distributors represented 30% to 45% of net sales during 2010, 2009 and 2008. Our agreements with our distributors are not exclusive and may be terminated by either party without cause. Terminated distributors may not continue to sell our products. If one of our significant distributors terminated its relationship with us, we could experience a significant disruption in the distribution of our products and a decline in our net revenue.

We use a sell-through revenue recognition model for distributors, under which we recognize revenue at the time our distributors sell the products to their customers. Under this model, our distributors are permitted to purchase software licenses from us at the same time they fill customer orders and to pay for hardware and retail products only when they sell these products to their customers. In addition, prior to selling our products to their customers, our distributors are permitted rights of return subject to varying limitations. After a distributor sells a product to its customer, the distributor generally has no right to return the product to us, unless we approve the return from the final customer to the distributor.

Strategic Channel Partners

Our channel efforts include strategic alliances with complementary manufacturers to expand our reach and scale. We license our technology to hardware and software OEMs, device manufacturers and internet service providers (ISPs) for resale to end users and/or integration with their products/services for a combined offering that is then sold to end users. Strategic channel partners include Acer, AOL, AT&T, Biglobe, China Telecom, Dell, Hewlett Packard, Lenovo, Sony Corporation, Telecom Italia S.p.A., Toshiba America Information Systems, Telefonica S.A., and Verizon among others. Depending on the arrangement, these OEMs may sell our software bundled with the PC or related services, pre-install our software and allow us to complete the sale, or sublicense a single version of our products to end users who must register the product with us in order to receive updates. OEMs, such as fixed function device manufacturers, embed our technologies into devices such as retail devices (ATMs, POS and Kiosks), medical equipment, office products, industrial control systems, gaming systems, and network and network security systems. Some of our strategic OEM device manufacturers include NCR, Siemens, Bridgestone, AT&T, Toshiba Medical and NEC-I.

Strategic Alliances

From time to time, we enter into strategic alliances with third parties to produce innovative technology solutions and support our future growth plans. These relationships may include joint technology development and

Table of Contents

integration, research cooperation, co-marketing activities and/or sell-through arrangements. For example, McAfee has teamed with Riverbed Technology, Inc. (Riverbed) to enable our McAfee® Enterprise Firewall and McAfee® Web Gateway solutions to be deployed on Riverbed's Steelhead wide area network (WAN) optimization appliance, providing customers with the ability to combine WAN optimization, firewall and Web 2.0 security capabilities into a single package and further minimize their hardware infrastructure footprint at the branch office. We have also partnered with Citrix Systems to jointly develop solutions that leverage the McAfee Optimized Virtual Environment (MOVE) platform to enable enterprises to realize enterprise class security optimized for their virtualized environments deployed using Citrix XenDesktop, XenClient and XenServer technology. Our Security Innovation Alliance program is a technology partnering program that is designed to accelerate the development of interoperable security products that can be integrated in complex customer environments. Members of the alliance can develop products that will integrate with ePolicy Orchestrator and market them as McAfee-compatible. Our customers benefit from faster and less costly deployment and a partner ecosystem that delivers comprehensive solutions.

Marketing Activities

Our marketing approach involves a collaborative planning process to develop global marketing campaigns aligned to corporate goals and objectives. Global marketing campaigns drive awareness, demand generation and enablement for cross-sell, up-sell and net new customer acquisition activity in support of our business model across our major product lines, five regional theaters and three customer types. One of the principal means of marketing our brand, products and services is online via the internet. Our web site, www.mcafee.com, supports marketing activities to our key customers and prospects, including home and home office users, small and medium-sized businesses, large enterprises and our partner community. Our web site contains various marketing materials and information about our products and services. Our customers can download and purchase some products directly online.

In 2010 we launched our new www.mcafee.com web site. Our new web site delivers content to customers and prospects in ten languages and includes links to McAfee trials, sales, chat, reseller search, and our Small and Medium Business Store. We designed our new web site to improve our customer's purchasing experience through improved systems integration and an improved registration process that includes progressive profiling and lead scoring. We also installed new analytical tools and custom dashboards to help us track what current and potential customers are visiting, reading, and watching, which we believe will help improve the effectiveness of our communications and our ability to capture sales leads and consummate sales. In 2010 we also introduced our new threat intelligence web site that displays our threat data using a combination of user-friendly graphics and analytics.

We also promote the McAfee brand, our products and services through marketing activities in trade publications, direct mail campaigns, television, billboards and strategic arrangements, as well as, online through key word and search-based marketing. In 2010 we hosted C-level executives in seventeen countries to collaborate on our vision of security connected and held our third annual FOCUS user conference where customers, prospects and partners joined together to learn about McAfee Security Connected platform and how to secure their business from the growing sophistication of targeted cyber attacks. We attend trade shows and industry conferences, publish periodic channel and customer newsletters, and generate sales leads through email marketing campaigns.

We also market our products through the use of rebate programs and marketing development funds. Within most countries, we typically offer incentive rebates to channel partners based on sales and promotional rebates to end users. We use channel marketing to market, promote, train and provide incentives to our resellers and distributors and to promote our offerings to their end-user customers. We offer our resellers and distributors technical and sales training classes, online training resources and sales and marketing demand generation assistance kits. We also provide specific cooperative marketing programs for end-user seminars, catalogs, demand creation programs, sales events and other items.

Competition

The markets for our products are intensely competitive and are subject to rapid changes in technology. We expect both product and pricing competitive pressures to increase in the near-term as the industry continues to

Table of Contents

consolidate and our competitors grow rapidly through acquisitions. Many of our competitors have longer operating histories, greater brand recognition, stronger relationships with strategic channel partners, larger technical staffs, established relationships with hardware vendors and/or greater financial, technical and marketing resources and other market advantages. Increasingly, commoditized security protection is offered by third parties at significant discounts to our prices or, in some cases is bundled for free. Potential customers may perceive our products as less valuable or even unnecessary if similar functionality is available at a significant discount or free. If our competitors gain market share in the markets for our products, our sales could grow more slowly or decline. Competitive pressures could also lead to increases in competition-driven expenses such as advertising expenses, product rebates and marketing funds provided to our channel partners. See *Risk Factors* *We face intense competition and we expect competitive pressures to increase in the future. This competition could have a negative impact on our business and financial results.*

Corporate Market

We believe that the principal competitive factors affecting the market for our corporate products include, but are not limited to, the following: performance; functionality and features; brand name recognition; breadth of product group; integration of products; time to market; price; the effectiveness of distributor promotion programs; sales and marketing efforts; geographic preferences; quality of customer support and financial stability. We believe that we generally compete favorably against our competitors in these areas. However, lack of name recognition may be a concern with potential new customers and in certain geographic regions. Competitor solutions may be more attractive than ours to the extent they are integrated with a larger product solution such as networking equipment, operating software and data storage. In addition, our pricing may be less competitive, particularly compared to smaller competitors trying to enter the market.

Our principal competitors in the corporate market are set forth below:

Endpoint Security. Our principal competitors in this market are AVG Technologies CZ s.r.o., Kaspersky Lab, Inc., Sophos, Inc., Symantec Corp., and Trend Micro, Inc.

Network Security. Our principal competitors in this market are Check Point Software Technologies Ltd., Cisco Systems, Inc., Fortinet, Inc., International Business Machines, Corp., Juniper Networks, Inc., and Palo Alto Networks, Inc.

Content Security. Our principal competitors in this market are Barracuda Networks, Inc., Blue Coat, Inc., Cisco Systems, Inc., Microsoft, Inc., Symantec Corp., Trend Micro, Inc., and Websense, Inc.

Risk and Compliance. Our principal competitors in this market are EMC Corp., Hewlett Packard Company, International Business Machines, Corp., NetIQ Corporation, Qualys, Inc., Rapid7, Inc., and Tripwire, Inc.

Security Software-as-a-Service (SaaS). Our principal competitors in this market are Google, Inc., Microsoft Corp., Symantec Corp., and Websense, Inc.

Mobile Security. Our principal competitors in this market are Airwatch, Inc., Good Technology, Inc., Juniper Networks, Inc., and MobileIron, Inc.

Other Competitors. In addition to competition from large technology companies such as Cisco Systems, Inc., EMC Corp., International Business Machines, Corp., Microsoft Corp., and Symantec Corp., we also face competition from smaller companies and shareware authors that may develop competing products.

Consumer Market

In the consumer market, we believe that the principal competitive factors include, but are not limited to, the following: brand name recognition and reputation; convenience of purchase; price; breadth of functionality and features; ease of use; and frequency of upgrades and updates. We believe that we generally compete effectively in each of these areas. However, if it is more convenient for consumers to use a competitive product (for example, when they purchase computers that are prebundled with a competitor's product), we could be at a competitive disadvantage. Our prices are also at a competitive disadvantage compared to free solutions or when competitors offer significant discounts. Our principal competitors are AVG Technologies CZ s.r.o., F-Secure Corporation,

Table of Contents

Kaspersky Lab, Inc., Symantec Corp. with its Norton product line, and Trend Micro, Inc. There are also several smaller regional security companies that we compete against primarily in the EMEA and APAC regions.

Our Proprietary Technology

Our success depends to a great extent on our proprietary software technology. We rely on a combination of patents, trademarks, trade secrets and copyrights to establish and protect proprietary rights to our software. We enforce our intellectual property rights in the U.S. and abroad. The duration of our trademark registrations varies from country to country, and in the U.S. we generally are able to maintain our trademark rights and renew any trademark registrations for as long as the trademarks are in use. We have a number of U.S. and foreign issued patents and pending patent applications, including patents and rights to patent applications acquired through strategic transactions, which relate to various aspects of our products and technology. The duration of our patents is determined by the laws of the country of issuance and for the U.S. is typically 17 years from the date of issuance of the patent or 20 years from the date of filing of the patent application resulting in the patent, which we believe is adequate relative to the expected lives of our products. Our products are protected under U.S. and international copyright laws and laws related to the protection of intellectual property and proprietary information. We take measures to label such products with the appropriate proprietary rights notices, and we actively enforce such rights in the U.S. and abroad. We generally enter into non-disclosure agreements with our employees, distributors and partners, and we enter into license agreements with our customers with respect to our software and other proprietary information. However, the steps taken by us to protect our proprietary software technology may be inadequate to deter misuse or theft of this technology. Often, we do not obtain signed license agreements from customers who license products from us. In these cases, we include an electronic version of an end-user license in all of our electronically distributed software and a printed license with our products that are distributed in a box. Although this is common practice for software companies that sell off-the-shelf products to have licenses that are not signed by the licensee, certain legal authorities believe that such licenses may not be enforceable under the laws of many states and foreign jurisdictions. In addition, the laws of some foreign countries either do not protect these rights at all or offer only limited protection for these rights. Furthermore, we are aware that many users of our anti-virus products have not paid any license or support fees to us. See *Risk Factors* *We face numerous risks relating to the enforceability of our intellectual property rights and our use of third-party intellectual property, many of which could result in the loss of our intellectual property rights as well as other material adverse impacts on our business and financial results and condition* below.

Seasonality

As is typical for many large software companies, our business is seasonal. Software license and maintenance orders are generally higher in our third and fourth quarters and lower in our first and second quarters. A significant decline in license and maintenance orders is typical in the first quarter of our year as compared to license and maintenance orders in the fourth quarter of the prior year. In addition, we generally receive a higher volume of software license and maintenance orders in the last month of a quarter, with orders concentrated in the later part of that month. We believe that this seasonality primarily reflects customer spending patterns and budget cycles, as well as the impact of compensation incentive plans for our sales personnel. Revenue generally reflects similar seasonal patterns but to a lesser extent than orders because revenue is not recognized until an order is shipped or services are performed and other revenue recognition criteria are met and a large portion of our in period revenues are recognized ratably from our deferred revenue balance.

OUR EMPLOYEES

As of December 31, 2010, we employed approximately 6,300 individuals worldwide, with approximately 48% in the U.S. Less than 2% of our employees are represented by a labor union or work council. Competition for qualified management and technical personnel is intense in the software industry.

Company Information

We were incorporated in the state of Delaware in 1992 under the name of McAfee Associates, Inc. In conjunction with our 1997 merger with Network General Corporation, we changed our name to Network

Table of Contents

Associates, Inc. In 2004, we changed our name to McAfee, Inc. and began trading on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol MFE. We are headquartered at 2821 Mission College Boulevard, Santa Clara, California, 95054, and the telephone number at that location is (408) 988-3832. Our internet address is www.mcafee.com. Other than the information expressly set forth in this annual report, the information contained, or referred to, on our website is not part of this annual report.

Additional Information

We file registration statements, periodic and current reports, proxy statements, and other materials with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). You may read and copy any materials we file with the SEC at the SEC 's Office of Public Reference at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20549. You may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC maintains a web site at www.sec.gov that contains reports, proxy and information statements and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC, including our filings. We make available, free of charge, through the investor relations section of our web site (investor.mcafee.com), our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and current reports on Form 8-K, and any amendments to those reports filed pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as soon as reasonably practicable after they are electronically filed with or furnished to the SEC. Except as expressly set forth in this Form 10-K annual report, the contents of our web site are not incorporated into, or otherwise to be regarded as part of this report.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Investing in our common stock involves a high degree of risk. Some but not all of the risks we face are described below. Any of the following risks could materially adversely affect our business, operating results, financial condition and cash flows and reduce the value of an investment in our common stock.

The pendency of our agreement to be acquired by Intel could have an adverse effect on our business.

On August 18, 2010, we entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the Merger Agreement) with Intel and Jefferson Acquisition Corporation, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Intel (Merger Sub), pursuant to which, subject to the satisfaction or waiver of certain conditions, Merger Sub will merge with and into McAfee and we will continue as the surviving corporation and a wholly owned subsidiary of Intel. Upon the consummation of the merger, subject to certain exceptions, each share of our common stock issued and outstanding immediately prior to the merger will be converted into the right to receive \$48.00 in cash, without interest.

The announcement and pendency of the acquisition by Intel could cause disruptions in our business. For example, customers may delay, reduce or even cease making purchases from us until they determine whether the merger will affect our products and services, including, but not limited to pricing, performance or support. Third-party intermediaries such as distributors, value-added resellers, PC OEMs, ISPs and other distribution channel partners may give greater priority to products of our competitors until they determine whether the merger will affect our products and services or our relationship with them. In addition, key personnel may depart for a variety of reasons including perceived uncertainty as to the affect of the merger on their employment. The loss of key personnel could adversely affect our relationships with our customers, vendors and other employees. These disruptions may increase over time until the closing of the merger, and we cannot provide assurance that the merger will close by the end of the first quarter of 2011, if at all.

The Merger Agreement generally requires us to operate our business in the ordinary course pending consummation of the merger, but includes certain contractual restrictions on the conduct of our business that could affect our ability to execute on our business strategies and attain our financial goals, and the pendency of the acquisition by Intel and the

completion of the conditions to closing could divert the time and attention of our management.

All of the matters described above, alone or in combination, could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and stock price.

Table of Contents

The failure to complete the merger with Intel could adversely affect our business.

We cannot provide assurance that the pending acquisition by Intel will be completed. If the pending acquisition is not completed, the share price of our common stock may drop to the extent that the current market price of our common stock reflects an assumption that a transaction will be completed. On August 18, 2010, the day before the announcement of the pending merger, our stock's closing price was \$29.93. On August 19, 2010, following the announcement of the pending merger, our stock's closing price was \$47.01 and from August 19, 2010 through February 22, 2011 our stock's closing price has ranged between \$46.05 and \$47.96.

In addition, under certain circumstances specified in the Merger Agreement, we may be required to pay Intel a termination fee of approximately \$230.0 million. Further, a failed transaction may result in negative publicity and a negative impression of us in the investment community. Further, any disruptions to our business resulting from the announcement and pendency of the acquisition by Intel and from intensifying competition from our competitors, including any adverse changes in our relationships with our customers, vendors and employees, could continue or accelerate in the event of a failed transaction. There can be no assurance that our business, these relationships or our financial condition will not be adversely affected, as compared to the condition prior to the announcement of the acquisition merger, if the acquisition merger is not consummated.

Adverse conditions in the national and global economies and financial markets may adversely affect our business and financial results.

National and global economies and financial markets have experienced a prolonged downturn stemming from a multitude of factors, including adverse credit conditions impacted by the sub-prime mortgage crisis, slower or receding economic activity, concerns about inflation and deflation, fluctuating energy costs, high unemployment, decreased consumer confidence, reduced corporate profits and capital spending, adverse business conditions and liquidity concerns and other factors. The U.S. and many other countries have been experiencing or recently have experienced slowed or receding economic growth and disruptions in the financial markets. These conditions have been heightened recently by increased volatility in the European capital markets. The severity or length of time these economic and financial market conditions may persist is unknown. During challenging economic times, periods of heightened volatility in the capital markets, periods of high unemployment and in tight credit markets, many customers may delay or reduce technology purchases. This could result in reductions in sales of our products, longer sales cycles, difficulties in collection of accounts receivable, slower adoption of new technologies, lower renewal rates, and increased price competition. These results may persist even when certain economic conditions have improved. In addition, weakness in the end-user market could negatively affect the cash flow of our distributors and resellers who could, in turn, delay paying their obligations to us. This would increase our credit risk exposure and cause delays in our recognition of revenue on future sales to these customers. Specific economic trends, such as declines in the demand for PCs, servers, and other computing devices, or softness in corporate information technology spending, could have a more direct impact on our business. Any of these events would likely harm our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition.

If our products do not work properly, we could experience negative publicity, damage to our reputation, legal liability, declining sales and increased expenses.

Failure to protect against security breaches. Because of the complexity of our products, we have in the past found errors in versions of our products that were not detected before first introduced, or in new versions or enhancements, and we may find such errors in the future. Because of the complexity of the environments in which our products operate, our products may have errors or defects that customers identify after deployment. Failures, errors or defects in our products could result in security breaches or compliance violations for our customers, disruption or damage to their networks or other negative consequences and could result in negative publicity, damage to our reputation,

declining sales, increased expenses and customer relation issues. Such failures could also result in product liability damage claims against us by our customers, even though our license agreements with our customers typically contain provisions designed to limit our exposure to potential product liability claims. Furthermore, the correction of defects could divert the attention of engineering personnel from our product development efforts. A major security breach at one of our customers that is attributable to or not preventable by our products could be very damaging to our business. Any actual or perceived breach of network or computer security at

Table of Contents

one of our customers, regardless of whether the breach is attributable to our products, could adversely affect the market's perception of our security products and our stock price.

False alarms or false positives. Our system protection software products have in the past, and these products and our intrusion protection products may at times in the future, falsely detect viruses or computer threats that do not actually exist. These false alarms or false positives, while typical in the security industry, can damage or impair the affected computers or network, for example causing the affected computers or network to slow or even shut down, which may have adverse economic consequences to our customers. Our license agreements typically contain provisions, such as disclaimers of warranty and limitations of liability, which seek to limit our exposure to potential product liability claims. However, these provisions may not be enforceable on statutory, public policy or other grounds. In addition, these false alarms or false positives could impair the perceived reliability of our products and could therefore adversely impact market acceptance of our products. We may be subject to litigation claiming damages related to a false alarm or false positive. Damage to our reputation or product liability or related claims brought against us could materially adversely affect our sales or subject us to significant liabilities, including litigation damages. On April 21, 2010, we released a signature file that caused some of our customers' computers to be rendered inoperable or significantly impacted. Our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows were adversely affected in the second quarter of 2010 by the signature file update release and remediation actions we took.

Our email and web solutions (anti-spam, anti-spyware, web categorization, and safe search products) may falsely identify emails, programs or web sites as unwanted spam, potentially unwanted programs or unsafe. They may also fail to properly identify unwanted emails, programs or unsafe web sites, particularly because spam emails, spyware or malware are often designed to circumvent anti-spam or spyware products and to incorrectly identify legitimate web sites as unsafe. Parties whose emails or programs are incorrectly blocked by our products, or whose web sites are incorrectly identified as unsafe or as utilizing phishing techniques, may seek redress against us for labeling them as spammers or unsafe and/or for interfering with their businesses. In addition, false identification of emails or programs as unwanted spam or potentially unwanted programs may discourage potential customers from using or continuing to use these products.

Customer misuse of products. Our products may also not work properly if they are misused or abused by customers or non-customer third parties who obtain access and use of our products. These situations may arise where an organization uses our products in a manner that impacts their end users' or employees' privacy or where our products are misappropriated to censor private access to the internet. Any of these situations could impact the perceived reliability of our products, result in negative press coverage, negatively affect our reputation and adversely impact our financial results.

We face intense competition and we expect competitive pressures to increase in the future. This competition could have a negative impact on our business and financial results.

The markets for our products are intensely competitive and we expect both product and pricing competition to increase. If our competitors gain market share in the markets for our products, our sales could grow more slowly or decline. Competitive pressures could also lead to increases in expenses such as advertising expenses, product rebates, product placement fees, and marketing funds provided to our channel partners.

Advantages of larger competitors. Our principal competitors in each of our product categories are described in *Business Competition* above. Our competitors include some large enterprises such as Microsoft, Cisco Systems, Symantec, IBM and Google. In addition, smaller current or potential competitors may be acquired by third parties with greater financial resources or other competitive advantages. For example, Hewlett-Packard recently acquired 3Com Corporation. Large vendors of hardware or operating system software increasingly incorporate system and network security functionality into their products, and enhance that functionality either through internal development

or through strategic alliances or acquisitions. Some of our current and potential competitors may have competitive advantages such as longer operating histories, more extensive international operations, greater name recognition, larger technical staffs, established relationships with more distributors and hardware vendors, significantly greater product development and acquisition budgets, and/or greater financial, technical and marketing resources than we do.

Table of Contents

Consumer business competition. More than 35% of our revenue comes from our consumer business. Our growth of this business relies on direct sales and sales through relationships with ISPs such as AOL, Cox, Verizon and AT&T; PC OEMs, such as Acer, Dell, Sony Computer, Hewlett Packard and Toshiba; and OEM partners such as Adobe. As competition in this market increases, we have and will continue to experience pricing pressures that could have a negative effect on our ability to sustain our revenue, operating margin and market share growth. Further, as penetration of the consumer anti-virus market through the ISP model increases, we expect that pricing and competitive pressures in this market will become even more acute.

Low-priced or free competitive products. Security protection is increasingly being offered by third parties at significant discounts to our prices or, in some cases is bundled for free. The widespread inclusion of lower-priced or free products that perform the same or similar functions as our products within computer hardware or other companies software products could reduce the perceived need for our products or render our products unmarketable even if these incorporated products are inferior or more limited than our products. It is possible that a major competitor may offer a free anti-malware enterprise product. Purchasers of mini notebooks or netbooks, which generally are sold at a lower price than laptops, may place a greater emphasis on price in making their security purchasing decision as they did in making their computer purchasing decision. The expansion of these competitive trends could have a significant negative impact on our sales and financial results.

We also face competition from numerous smaller companies, shareware and freeware authors and open source projects that may develop competing products, as well as from future competitors, currently unknown to us, who may enter the markets because the barriers to entry are fairly low. Smaller and/or newer companies often compete aggressively on price.

We face product development risks due to rapid changes in our industry. Failure to keep pace with these changes could harm our business and financial results.

The markets for our products are characterized by rapid technological developments, continually-evolving industry trends and standards and ongoing changes in customer requirements. Our success depends on our ability to timely and effectively keep pace with these developments.

Keeping pace with industry trends, new technologies and threat landscape changes. We must enhance and expand our product offerings to reflect industry trends, new technologies and new operating environments as they become increasingly important to customer deployments. For example, we must expand our offerings for virtual computer environments; we must continue to expand our security technologies for mobile environments to support a broader range of mobile devices such as mobile phones, smart phones and tablets, and the networks which increasingly are being accessed remotely or from home by these mobile devices; we must expand our security offerings for the proliferation of IP-connected embedded systems and devices; we must extend the security provided by our products to protect against the vulnerabilities present in new internet applications that are being released; we must develop products that are compatible with new or otherwise emerging operating systems, while remaining compatible with popular operating systems such as Linux, Oracle's Solaris, UNIX, Macintosh OS_X, and Windows XP, NT, Vista and 7; and we must continue to expand our business models beyond traditional software licensing and subscription models, specifically, software-as-a-service is becoming an increasingly important method and business model for the delivery of applications. We must also continuously work to ensure that our products meet changing industry certifications and standards. In addition, internet threats such as malware have grown significantly in the last two years, we expect these threats to continue to increase both in numbers and in complexity, and we must continuously work to ensure our products protect against the increased volume and complexity. We may invest in complementary or competitive businesses, products or technologies to help us keep pace with industry changes but these investments may not result in products that are important to our customers or we may not realize the benefits of these investments. Failure to keep pace with technological changes in our industry and changes in the threat landscape could adversely

affect our ability to protect against security breaches, which cause us to lose customers and could have a negative impact on our business and financial results. Failure to keep pace with changes that are important to our customers could also cause us to lose customers and could have a negative impact on our business and financial results.

Impact of product development delays or competitive announcements. Our ability to adapt to changes can be hampered by product development delays. We may experience delays in product development as we have at times in

Table of Contents

the past. Complex products like ours may contain undetected errors or version compatibility problems, particularly when first released, which could delay or adversely impact market acceptance. We may also experience delays or unforeseen costs related to integrating products we acquire with products we develop, because we may be unfamiliar with errors or compatibility issues of products we did not develop ourselves. We may choose not to deliver a partially-developed product, thereby increasing our development costs without a corresponding benefit. This could negatively impact our business.

We face risks associated with past and future acquisitions.

We may buy or make investments in complementary or competitive companies, products and technologies. We may not realize the anticipated benefits from these acquisitions. Future acquisitions could result in significant acquisition-related charges and dilution to our stockholders. In addition, we face a number of risks relating to our acquisitions, including the following, any of which could harm our ability to achieve the anticipated benefits of our past or future acquisitions.

Integration. Integration of an acquired company or technology is a complex, time consuming and expensive process. The successful integration of an acquisition requires, among other things, that we integrate and retain key management, sales, research and development and other personnel; integrate the acquired products into our product offerings from both an engineering and sales and marketing perspective; integrate and support pre-existing suppliers, distribution and customer relationships; coordinate research and development efforts; and consolidate duplicate facilities and functions and integrate back-office accounting, order processing and support functions. If we do not successfully integrate an acquired company or technology, we may not achieve the anticipated revenue or cost reduction synergies.

The geographic distance between the companies, the complexity of the technologies and operations being integrated and the disparate corporate cultures being combined may increase the difficulties of integrating an acquired company or technology. Management's focus on the integration of operations may distract attention from our day-to-day business and may disrupt key research and development, marketing or sales efforts. In addition, it is common in the technology industry for aggressive competitors to attract customers and recruit key employees away from companies during the integration phase of an acquisition. If integration of our acquired businesses or assets is not successful, we may experience adverse financial or competitive effects.

Internal controls, policies and procedures. Acquired companies or businesses are likely to have different standards, controls, contracts, procedures and policies, making it more difficult to implement and harmonize company-wide financial, accounting, billing, information and other systems. Acquisitions of privately held and/or non-US companies are particularly challenging because their prior practices in these areas may not meet the requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America (GAAP) and U.S. export regulations.

Use of cash and securities. Our available cash and securities may be used to acquire or invest in companies or products. Moreover, when we acquire a company, we may have to incur or assume that company's liabilities, including liabilities that may not be fully known at the time of acquisition. To the extent we continue to make acquisitions, we will require additional cash and/or shares of our common stock as payment. The use of securities would cause dilution for our existing stockholders.

Key employees from acquired companies may be difficult to retain and assimilate. The success of many acquisitions depends to a great extent on our ability to retain key employees from the acquired company. This can be challenging, particularly in the highly competitive market for technical personnel. Retaining key executives for the long-term can also be difficult due to other opportunities available to them. Disputes that may arise out of earn-outs, escrows and

other arrangements related to an acquisition of a company in which a key employee was a principal may negatively affect the morale of the employee and make retaining the employee more difficult. It could be difficult, time consuming and expensive to replace any key management members or other critical personnel that do not accept employment with McAfee following the acquisition. In addition to retaining key employees, we must integrate them into our company, which can be difficult and costly. Changes in management or other critical personnel may be disruptive to our business and might also result in our loss of some unique skills and the departure of existing employees and/or customers.

Table of Contents

Accounting charges. Acquisitions may result in substantial accounting charges for restructuring and other expenses, amortization of purchased technology and intangible assets and stock-based compensation expense, any of which could materially adversely affect our operating results.

Unknown tax liabilities. We may inherit from acquired companies tax liabilities unknown to us and unaccounted for by us at the time of acquisition. In certain instances, we have contractual indemnification rights that may enable us to recover these tax liabilities from prior owners of the acquired companies. If we are unable to recover, in whole or part, or if we do not have contractual indemnification rights or other rights enabling us to recover these tax liabilities from prior owners of the acquired companies, these liabilities could adversely affect our operating results. We may also incur legal expenses related to the recovery of these tax liabilities, which could further adversely affect our operating results.

Potential goodwill, intangible asset and purchased technology impairment. We perform an impairment analysis on our goodwill balances on an annual basis or whenever events occur that may indicate impairment. If the fair value of a reporting unit is less than the carrying amount, then we must write down goodwill to its estimated fair value. We perform an impairment analysis on our intangible assets and purchased technologies whenever events occur that may indicate impairment. If the undiscounted cash flows expected to be derived from the intangible asset or purchased technology are less than its carrying amount, then we must write down the intangible asset or purchased technology to its estimated fair value. We cannot be certain that a future downturn in our business, changes in market conditions or a long-term decline in the quoted market price of our stock will not result in an impairment of goodwill, intangible assets or purchased technologies and the recognition of resulting expenses in future periods, which could adversely affect our results of operations for those periods.

Establishment of Vendor Specific Objective Evidence (VSOE). Following an acquisition, we may be required to defer the recognition of revenue that we receive from the sale of products that we acquired, or from the sale of a bundle of products that includes products that we acquired, if we have not established VSOE for the undelivered elements in the arrangement. A delay in the recognition of revenue from sales of acquired products or bundles that include acquired products may cause fluctuations in our quarterly financial results and may adversely affect our operating margins. Similarly, companies that we acquire may operate with different cost and margin structures, which could further cause fluctuations in our operating results and adversely affect our operating margins. If our quarterly financial results or our predictions of future financial results fail to meet the expectations of securities analysts and investors, our stock price could be negatively affected.

Our international operations involve risks that could divert the time and attention of management, increase our expenses and otherwise adversely impact our business and financial results.

Our international operations increase our risks in several aspects of our business, including but not limited to risks relating to revenue, legal and compliance, currency exchange and interest rate, and general operating. Net revenue in our operating regions outside of North America represented 42% of total net revenue in 2010 compared to 43% in 2009 and 47% in 2008. The risks associated with our international operations could adversely affect our business and financial results.

Revenue risks. Revenue risks include, among others, longer payment cycles, greater difficulty in collecting accounts receivable, tariffs and other trade barriers, seasonality, currency fluctuations, and the high incidence of software piracy and fraud in some countries. The primary product development risk to our revenue is our ability to deliver new products in a timely manner and to successfully localize our products for a significant number of international markets in different languages.

Legal and compliance risks. We face a variety of legal and compliance risks. For example, international operations pose a compliance risk with the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (FCPA) and the UK Bribery Act 2010. Some countries have a reputation for businesses to engage in prohibited practices with government officials to consummate transactions. Although we have implemented training along with policies and procedures designed to ensure compliance with this and similar laws, there can be no assurance that all employees and third-party intermediaries (including our contractors, agents, resellers, distributors and strategic channel partners) will comply with anti-corruption laws. Any such violation could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Table of Contents

Another legal risk is compliance with data privacy laws. The U.S., Europe and other jurisdictions have adopted and continue to adopt privacy-related or data protection laws and regulations. Any failure by us to comply with these privacy-related or data protection laws and regulations could lead to legal proceedings against us by governmental entities or customers resulting in additional costs or other harm to our business, operating results and financial condition. It is possible that these laws may be interpreted and applied in a manner that is inconsistent with our data practices. If so, in addition to the possibility of fines and penalties, a governmental order requiring that we change our data practices could result, which in turn could harm our business, operating results and financial condition. Compliance with these regulations may involve significant costs or require changes in business practices that result in reduced revenue. Noncompliance could result in penalties being imposed on us or orders that we cease conducting the noncompliant activity.

Another legal risk is that some of our computer security solutions incorporate encryption technology that is governed by U.S. export regulations. The cost of compliance with those regulations can affect our ability to sell certain products in certain markets and could have a material adverse effect on our international revenue and expense. If we, or our resellers, fail to comply with applicable laws and regulations, we may become subject to penalties and fines or restrictions that may adversely affect our business.

Increasingly, the United States Congress (Congress) is taking a more active interest in information and communications technology companies doing business in China and other countries whose governments pressure businesses to comply with domestic laws and policies in ways that may conflict with the internationally recognized human rights of freedom of expression and privacy. Congress has not prohibited companies from doing business in many of these countries, however, Congress could change the export laws and regulations to prohibit or restrict the sale of products in many of these countries, which could have a material adverse effect upon our international revenue.

Other legal risks include international labor laws and our relationship with our employees and regional work councils; unexpected changes in regulatory requirements; and compliance with our code of conduct and other internal policies.

Currency exchange and interest rate risks. A significant portion of our transactions outside of the U.S. are denominated in foreign currencies. We translate revenues and costs from these transactions into U.S. dollars for reporting purposes. As a result, our future operating results will continue to be subject to fluctuations in foreign currency rates. This combined with economic instability, such as higher interest rates in the U.S. and inflation, could reduce our customers' ability to obtain financing for software products, or could make our products more expensive or could increase our costs of doing business in certain countries. During 2010 and 2009, we recorded net foreign currency transaction losses of \$4.1 million and \$2.4 million, respectively. In 2008 we recorded a net foreign currency transaction gain of \$6.4 million. We may be positively or negatively affected by fluctuations in foreign currency rates in the future, especially if international sales continue to grow as a percentage of our total sales. Additionally, fluctuations in currency exchange rates will impact our deferred revenue balance, which is a key financial metric at each period end. The risk associated with fluctuation in foreign currency exchange rates may be heightened due to volatility in the European capital markets.

Research and development risks. We employ engineers in a number of jurisdictions outside the U.S. In many of these jurisdictions the laws relating to the protection of intellectual property are less strict than the laws in the U.S. or not enforced to the same extent as they are enforced in the U.S. As a result in some foreign jurisdictions we may be subject to heightened attempts to gain unauthorized access to our information technology systems or surreptitiously introduce software into our products. These attempts may be the result of hackers or others seeking to harm us, our products, or customers. We have implemented various measures to manage our risks related to these disruptions, but these measures may be insufficient and a system failure or security breach could negatively impact our operations and financial results. The theft and/or unauthorized use or publication of our trade secrets and other confidential business information as a result of such an incident could negatively impact our competitive position. In addition, we may incur

additional costs to remedy the damages caused by these disruptions or security breaches.

General operating risks. More general risks of international business operations include the increased costs of establishing, managing and coordinating the activities of geographically dispersed and culturally diverse

Table of Contents

operations (particularly sales and support and shared service centers) located on multiple continents in a wide range of time zones.

We face a number of risks related to our product sales through distributors and other third parties.

We sell substantially all of our products through third-party intermediaries such as distributors, value-added resellers, PC OEMs, ISPs and other distribution channel partners (referred to collectively as distributors). Reliance on third parties for distribution exposes us to a variety of risks, some of which are described below, which could have a material adverse impact on our business and financial results.

Limited control over timing of product delivery. We have limited control over the timing of the delivery of our products to customers by third-party distributors. We generally do not require our resellers and OEM partners to meet minimum sales volumes, so their sales may vary significantly from period to period. For example, the volume of our products shipped by our OEM partners depends on the volume of computers shipped by the PC OEMs, which is outside of our control. These factors can make it difficult for us to forecast our revenue accurately and they also can cause our revenue to fluctuate unpredictably.

Competitive aspects of distributor relationships. Our distributors may sell other vendors' products that compete with our products. Although we offer our distributors incentives to focus on sales of our products, they often give greater priority to products of our competitors, for a variety of reasons. In order to maximize sales of our products rather than those of our competitors, we must effectively support these partners with, among other things, appropriate financial incentives to encourage them to invest in sales tools, such as online sales and technical training and product collateral needed to support their customers and prospects and technical expertise through local sales engineers. If we do not properly support our partners, they may focus more on our competitors' products, and their sales of our products would decline.

A significant portion of our consumer revenue is derived from sales through our OEM partners that bundle our products with their products. Our reliance on this sales channel is significantly affected by our partners' sales of new products into which our products are bundled. Our revenue from sales through our OEM partners is affected by the number of personal computers on which our products are bundled and the rate at which consumers purchase or subscribe for the bundled products. Adverse developments in global economic conditions, competitive risks and other factors may adversely affect personal computer sales and could adversely affect our sales and financial results. In addition, decreases in the rate at which consumers purchase or subscribe for our bundled products would adversely affect our sales and financial results. For example, if our PC OEM partners begin selling a greater percentage of netbooks and the conversion rate on netbooks is lower than the conversion rate on laptops, our sales would be adversely affected.

Our PC OEM partners are also in a position to exert competitive pricing pressure. Competition for OEMs' business continues to increase, and it gives the OEMs leverage to demand lower product prices or other financial concessions from us in order to secure their business. Even if we negotiate what we believe are favorable pricing terms when we first establish a relationship with an OEM, at the time of the renewal of the agreement, we may be required to renegotiate our agreement with them on less favorable terms. Lower net prices for our products or other financial concessions would adversely impact our operating margins.

Our agreements with our PC OEM partners generally have customary termination rights pursuant to which these agreements may be terminated prior to the expiration of their term. If any significant PC OEM partner terminates its agreements with us, we could experience a significant interruption in the distribution of our consumer products through this sales channel and our revenue in future periods could be materially adversely affected.

Reliance on a small number of distributors. A significant portion of our net revenue is attributable to a fairly small number of distributors. Our top ten distributors represented 38% of our net revenue in 2010, 34% in 2009 and 38% in 2008. Reliance on a relatively small number of third parties for a significant portion of our distribution exposes us to significant risks to net revenue and net income if our relationship with one or more of our key distributors is terminated for any reason.

Risk of loss of distributors. We invest significant time, money and resources to establish and maintain relationships with our distributors, but we have no assurance that any particular relationship will continue for any

Table of Contents

specific period of time. The agreements we have with our distributors can generally be terminated by either party without cause with no or minimal notice or penalties. If any significant distributor terminates its agreement with us, we could experience a significant interruption in the distribution of our products and our revenue could decline. We could also lose the benefit of our investment of time, money and resources in the distributor relationship.

Although a distributor can terminate its relationship with us for any reason, one factor that may lead to termination is a divergence of our business interests and those of our distributors and potential conflicts of interest. For example, our acquisition activity has resulted in the termination of distributor relationships that no longer fit with our or the distributors' business priorities. Future acquisition activity could cause similar termination of, or disruption in, our distributor relationships, which could adversely impact our revenue.

Credit risk. Some of our distributors may experience financial difficulties, which could adversely impact our collection of accounts receivable. Our allowance for doubtful accounts was approximately \$5.9 million as of December 31, 2010. We regularly review the collectability and credit-worthiness of our distributors to determine an appropriate allowance for doubtful accounts. Our uncollectible accounts could exceed our current or future allowances, which could adversely impact our financial results.

Other. We also face legal and compliance risks with respect to our use of third party intermediaries operating outside the United States. As described above in *Our international operations involve risks that could divert the time and attention of management, increase our expenses and otherwise adversely impact our business and financial results*, any violations by such third party intermediaries of FCPA or similar laws could have a material adverse effect on our business.

If we fail to manage our growing distribution channels successfully, these channels may fail to perform as we anticipate, which could reduce our sales and increase our expenses as well as weaken our competitive position.

We face risks related to sales to government entities, including the risk of early termination.

We derive a portion of our revenues from sales to U.S. and foreign federal, state and local governments and their respective agencies. Most of our sales to government entities are of commercial items and have been made indirectly through third-party prime contractors that resell our products. Government entities may have contractual or other legal rights to terminate contracts with our resellers for convenience or due to a default, and any such termination may adversely impact our future results of operations. In addition, government demand and payment for our products may be affected by public sector budgetary cycles and funding authorizations, with funding reductions or delays adversely affecting public sector demand for our products. Additionally, government prime contracts are generally subject to government oversight which can result in various civil and criminal penalties and administrative sanctions, including termination of contracts, fines and suspensions or debarment from future government business.

We face numerous risks relating to the enforceability of our intellectual property rights and our use of third-party intellectual property, many of which could result in the loss of our intellectual property rights as well as other material adverse impacts on our business and financial results and condition.

Limited protection of our intellectual property rights against potential infringers. We rely on a combination of contractual rights, trademarks, trade secrets, patents and copyrights to establish and protect proprietary rights in our technology. However, the steps we have taken to protect our proprietary technology may not deter its misuse, theft or misappropriation. Competitors may independently develop technologies or products that are substantially equivalent or superior to our products or that inappropriately incorporate our proprietary technology into their products. Competitors may hire our former employees who may misappropriate our proprietary technology. If unauthorized disclosure of our source code occurs through security breach or attack, or otherwise, we could potentially lose future

trade secret protection for that source code. The loss of future trade secret protection could make it easier for third-parties to compete with our products by copying functionality. If as a result of theft, misuse or misappropriation our competitors are able to inappropriately incorporate or copy our functionality into their technology, our revenue and operating margins may be adversely affected. We are aware that there are users of our security products that have not paid license, technical support, or subscription fees to us. Certain jurisdictions may not provide adequate legal infrastructure for effective protection of our intellectual property rights. We employ engineers in the development of

Table of Contents

our technology that are located in jurisdictions outside the United States. If the governments, corporations and legal infrastructure in those jurisdictions do not support the protection of intellectual property rights, it will be more difficult to enforce our rights and protect our proprietary technology from misuse, theft and misappropriation, which could harm our business. Changing legal interpretations of liability for unauthorized use of our software or lessened sensitivity by corporate, government or institutional users to refraining from intellectual property piracy or other infringements of intellectual property could also harm our business.

Frequency, expense and risks of intellectual property litigation in the network and system security market. Litigation may be necessary to enforce and protect our trade secrets, patents and other intellectual property rights. Similarly, we may be required to defend against claimed infringement by others.

The security technology industry has increasingly been subject to patent and other intellectual property rights litigation, particularly from special purpose entities that seek to monetize their intellectual property rights by asserting claims against others. We expect this trend to continue and that in the future as we become a larger and more profitable company, we can expect this trend to accelerate and that we will be required to defend against this type of litigation. The litigation process is subject to inherent uncertainties, so we may not prevail in litigation matters regardless of the merits of our position. In addition to the expense and distraction associated with litigation, adverse determinations could cause us to lose our proprietary rights, prevent us from manufacturing or selling our products, require us to obtain licenses to patents or other intellectual property rights that our products are alleged to infringe (licenses may not be available on reasonable commercial terms or at all), and subject us to significant liabilities.

If we acquire technology to include in our products from third parties, our exposure to infringement actions may increase because we must rely upon these third parties to verify the origin and ownership of such technology. Similarly, we face exposure to infringement actions if we hire software engineers who were previously employed by competitors and those employees inadvertently or deliberately incorporate proprietary technology of our competitors into our products despite efforts by our competitors and us to prevent such infringement.

Litigation may be necessary to enforce and protect our trade secrets, patents and other intellectual property rights.

Potential risks of using open source software. Like many other software companies, we use and distribute open source software in order to expedite development of new products. Open source software is generally licensed by its authors or other third parties under open source licenses, including, for example, the GNU General Public License. These license terms may be ambiguous, in many instances have not been interpreted by the courts and could be interpreted in a manner that results in unanticipated obligations regarding our products. Depending upon how the open source software is deployed by our developers, we could be required to offer our products that use the open source software for no cost, or make available the source code for modifications or derivative works. Any of these obligations could have an adverse impact on our intellectual property rights and revenue from products incorporating the open source software.

Our use of open source code could also result in us developing and selling products that infringe third-party intellectual property rights. It may be difficult for us to accurately determine the developers of the open source code and whether the code incorporates proprietary software. We have processes and controls in place that are designed to address these risks and concerns, including a review process for screening requests from our development organizations for the use of open source. However, we cannot be sure that all open source is submitted for approval prior to use in our products.

We also have processes and controls in place to review the use of open source in the products developed by companies that we acquire. Despite having conducted appropriate due diligence prior to completing the acquisition, products or technologies that we acquire may nonetheless include open source software that was not identified during the initial

due diligence. Our ability to commercialize products or technologies of acquired companies that incorporate open source software or to otherwise fully realize the anticipated benefits of any acquisition may be restricted for the reasons described in the preceding two paragraphs.

Table of Contents**Pending or future litigation could have a material adverse impact on our results of operation, financial condition and liquidity.**

In addition to intellectual property litigation, from time to time, we have been, and may be in the future, subject to other litigation including stockholder derivative actions or actions brought by current or former employees. If we continue to make acquisitions in the future, we are more likely to be subject to acquisition related shareholder derivative actions and actions resulting from the use of earn-outs, purchase price escrow holdbacks and other similar arrangements. Where we can make a reasonable estimate of the liability relating to pending litigation and determine that an adverse liability resulting from such litigation is probable, we record a related liability. As additional information becomes available, we assess the potential liability and revise estimates as appropriate. However, because of the inherent uncertainties relating to litigation, the amount of our estimates could be wrong. In addition to the related cost and use of cash, pending or future litigation could cause the diversion of management's attention. Managing, defending and indemnity obligations related to these actions have caused significant diversion of management's and the board of directors' time and resulted in material expense to us. See Note 19 to the consolidated financial statements for additional information with respect to certain currently pending legal matters.

Our financial results can fluctuate significantly, making it difficult for us to accurately estimate operating results.

Impact of fluctuations. Over the years our revenue, gross margins and operating results, which we disclose from time to time on a GAAP and non-GAAP basis, have fluctuated significantly from quarter to quarter and from year to year, and we expect this to continue in the future. Thus, our operating results for prior periods may not be effective predictors of our future performance. These fluctuations make it difficult for us to accurately forecast operating results. We try to adjust expenses based in part on our expectations regarding future revenue, but in the short term expenses are relatively fixed. This makes it difficult for us to adjust our expenses in time to compensate for any unexpected revenue shortfall in a given period.

Volatility in our quarterly financial results may make it more difficult for us to raise capital in the future or pursue acquisitions that involve issuances of our stock. If our quarterly financial results or our predictions of future financial results fail to meet the expectations of securities analysts and investors, our stock price could be negatively affected.

Factors that may cause our revenue, gross margins and other operating results to fluctuate significantly from period to period, include, but are not limited to, the following:

Fluctuations in demand for or pricing of our products. We are subject to fluctuations in demand for our products due to a variety of factors, including general economic conditions, competition, product obsolescence, technological change, shifts in buying patterns, financial difficulties and budget constraints of our current and potential customers, and other factors. In addition, we are subject to competitive pricing pressures. Reduced demand for our products or competitive pricing pressure that affects our pricing may cause fluctuations in our revenues and may adversely affect our gross margins.

Establishment of VSOE. We may in the future sell products in an arrangement for which we have not established VSOE for the undelivered elements in the arrangement and would be required to delay the recognition of revenue. A delay in the recognition of revenue from sales of products may cause fluctuations in our quarterly financial results and may adversely affect our operating margins.

Timing of product orders. A significant portion of our revenue in any quarter comes from previously deferred revenue, which is a somewhat predictable component of our quarterly revenue. However, a meaningful part of revenue depends on contracts entered into or orders booked and shipped in the current quarter. Typically we generate the most orders in the last month of each quarter and significant new orders generally close at the end of the quarter.

Some customers believe they can enhance their bargaining power by waiting until the end of our quarter to place their order. Personnel limitations and system processing constraints could adversely impact our ability to process the large number of orders that typically occur near the end of a quarter, which could adversely affect our results for the quarter. Any failure or delay in closing significant new orders in a given quarter also could have a material adverse impact on our results for that quarter.

Table of Contents

Reliability and timeliness of expense data. We increasingly rely upon third-party manufacturers to manufacture our hardware-based products; therefore, our reliance on their ability to provide us with timely and accurate product cost information exposes us to risk, negatively impacting our ability to accurately and timely report our operating results.

Issues relating to third-party distribution, manufacturing and fulfillment relationships. We rely heavily on third parties to manufacture and distribute our products. Any changes in the performance of these relationships can impact our operating results. Changes in our supply chain could result in product fulfillment delays that contribute to fluctuations in operating results from period to period. We have in the past and may in the future make changes in our product delivery network, which may disrupt our ability to timely and efficiently meet our product delivery commitments, particularly at the end of a quarter. As a result, we may experience increased costs in the short term as temporary delivery solutions are implemented to address unanticipated delays in product delivery. In addition, product delivery delays may negatively impact our ability to recognize revenue if shipments are delayed at the end of a quarter.

Product and geographic mix. Another source of fluctuations in our operating results and, in particular, gross profit margins, is the mix of products we sell and services we offer, as well as the mix of countries in which our products and services are sold, including the mix between corporate versus consumer products; hardware-based compared to software-based products; perpetual licenses versus subscription licenses; and maintenance and support services compared to consulting services or product revenue. Product and geographic mix can impact operating expenses as well as the amount of revenue and the timing of revenue recognition, so our profitability can fluctuate significantly.

Timing of new products and customers. The timing of the introduction and adoption of new products, product upgrades or updates can have a significant impact on revenue from period to period. For example, revenue tends to be higher in periods shortly after we introduce new products compared to periods without new products. Our revenue may decline after new product introductions by competitors. In addition, the volume, size, and terms of new customer licenses can cause fluctuations in our revenue.

Currency exchange fluctuations. A significant portion of our transactions outside of the United States are denominated in foreign currencies. We translate revenues and costs from these transactions into U.S. dollars for reporting purposes. As a result, our future operating results will continue to be subject to fluctuations in foreign currency rates.

Potential acceleration of prepaid expenses and deferred costs. We defer costs of revenue primarily related to revenue sharing and royalty arrangements and recognize these costs over the service period of the related revenue. Prepaid expenses consist primarily of revenue sharing costs that have been paid in advance of the anticipated customer renewal transactions and royalty costs paid in advance of revenue transactions. We evaluate quarterly and upon contract expiration the remaining value of these prepaid expenses in comparison to estimates of future revenues. If the estimated future revenues are less than the prepaid expenses, then we must accelerate the expense of prepaid amounts that exceed the estimated future revenues. We cannot be certain that a future downturn in the business of parties with whom we have entered into revenue sharing and royalty arrangements or changes in market conditions will not result in the acceleration of prepaid expenses from future periods to current periods, which could adversely affect our results of operations.

We also defer costs related to our revenue-sharing and royalty arrangements and recognize those costs ratably as revenue is recognized. Currently proposed changes in the accounting for these deferred costs, if adopted, may require us to recognize these costs on a current rather than deferred basis, which could cause our revenue, gross margins and other operating results to fluctuate significantly from period to period.

Additional cash and non-cash sources of fluctuations. A number of other factors that are peripheral to our core business operations also contribute to variability in our operating results. These include, but are not limited to, changes in foreign exchange rates for the Japanese Yen and the Euro (which may be more volatile due to the recent volatility in the European capital markets), international sales become a greater percentage of our total sales, repurchases under our stock repurchase program, expenses related to our acquisition and disposition activities, arrangements with minimum contractual commitments including royalty and distribution-related agreements, stock-based compensation expense, unanticipated costs associated with litigation or investigations, costs related to Sarbanes-Oxley compliance efforts, costs and charges related to certain unusual events such as restructurings, substantial declines in estimated values of long-lived assets below the value at which they are reflected in our financial statements, impairment of goodwill, intangible assets or purchased technologies and changes in GAAP, such as increased use of fair value

Table of Contents

measures, new guidance relating to GAAP, such as the guidance issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board in October 2009 (Accounting Standards Update No. 2009-13 ratifying EITF 08-01) on software revenue recognition and on revenue arrangements with multiple deliverables that applies to us beginning with our fiscal year ending December 31, 2011, changes in tax laws and the potential requirement that U.S. registrants prepare financial statements in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS).

Material weaknesses in our internal control and financial reporting environment may impact the accuracy, completeness and timeliness of our external financial reporting.

Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act requires that management report annually on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting and identify any material weaknesses in our internal control and financial reporting environment. If management identifies any material weaknesses, their correction could require remedial measures that could be costly and time-consuming. In addition, the presence of material weaknesses could result in financial statement errors that in turn could require us to restate our operating results. This in turn could damage investor confidence in the accuracy and completeness of our financial reports, which could affect our stock price and potentially subject us to litigation. As well this could result in governmental inquiries that could require us to incur significant accounting, legal or other expenses.

Our strategic alliances and our relationships with manufacturing partners expose us to a range of business risks and uncertainties that could have a material adverse impact on our business and financial results.

Uncertainty of realizing anticipated benefit of strategic alliances. We have entered into strategic alliances with numerous third parties to support our future growth plans. For example, these relationships may include technology licensing, joint technology development and integration, research cooperation, co-marketing activities and sell-through arrangements. We face a number of risks relating to our strategic alliances, including those described below. These risks may prevent us from realizing the desired benefits from our strategic alliances on a timely basis or at all, which could have a negative impact on our business and financial results.

Challenges relating to integrated products from strategic alliances. Strategic alliances require significant coordination between the parties involved, particularly if an alliance requires that we integrate their products with our products. This could involve significant time and expenditure by our technical staff and the technical staff of our strategic partner. The integration of products from different companies may be more difficult than we anticipate, and the risk of integration difficulties, incompatible products and undetected programming errors or defects may be higher than that normally associated with new products. The marketing and sale of products that result from strategic alliances might also be more difficult than that normally associated with new products. Sales and marketing personnel may require special training, as the new products may be more complex than our other products.

We invest significant time, money and resources to establish and maintain relationships with our strategic partners, but we have no assurance that any particular relationship will continue for any specific period of time. Generally, our strategic alliance agreements are terminable without cause with no or minimal notice or penalties. If we lose a significant strategic partner, we could lose the benefit of our investment of time, money and resources in the relationship. In addition, we could be required to incur significant expenses to develop a new strategic alliance or to determine and implement an alternative plan to pursue the opportunity that we targeted with the former partner.

We rely on a limited number of third parties to manufacture our hardware-based network security and system protection products and to replicate and package our boxed software products. Many of our products are manufactured and supplied by a single, although not the same, source. This reliance on third parties involves a number of risks that could have a negative impact on our business and financial results.

Less control of the manufacturing process and outcome with third party manufacturing relationships. Our use of third-party manufacturers results in a lack of control over the quality and timing of the manufacturing process, limited control over the cost of manufacturing, and the potential absence or unavailability of adequate manufacturing capacity.

Risk of inadequate capacity with third party manufacturing relationships. If any of our third-party manufacturers fails for any reason to manufacture products of acceptable quality, in required volumes, and in a

Table of Contents

cost-effective and timely manner, it could be costly as well as disruptive to product shipments. We might be required to seek additional manufacturing capacity, which might not be available on commercially reasonable terms or at all. Even if additional capacity was available, the process of qualifying a new vendor could be lengthy and could cause significant delays in product shipments and could strain partner and customer relationships. In addition, supply disruptions or cost increases could increase our costs of goods sold and negatively impact our financial performance. Our risk is relatively greater in situations where our hardware products are manufactured by, or contain critical components supplied by, a single or a limited number of third parties. Any significant shortage of our products or components could lead to cancellations of customer orders or delays in placement of orders, which would adversely impact revenue.

Risk of hardware obsolescence and excess inventory with third party manufacturing relationships. Hardware-based products may face greater obsolescence risks than software products. We could incur losses or other charges in disposing of obsolete hardware inventory. In addition, to the extent that our third-party manufacturers upgrade or otherwise alter their manufacturing processes, our hardware-based products could face supply constraints or risks associated with the transition of hardware-based products to new platforms. This could increase the risk of losses or other charges associated with obsolete inventory. We determine the quantities of our products that our third-party manufacturers produce and we base these orders upon our expected demand for our products. Although we order products as close in time to the actual demand as we can, if actual demand is not what we project, we may accumulate excess inventory, which may adversely affect our financial results.

Our global operations may expose us to tax risk.

We are generally required to account for taxes in each jurisdiction in which we operate. This process may require us to make assumptions, interpretations and judgments with respect to the meaning and application of promulgated tax laws and related administrative and judicial interpretations. The positions that we take and our interpretations of the tax laws may differ from the positions and interpretations of the tax authorities in the jurisdictions in which we operate. An adverse outcome in any examination could have a significant negative impact on our cash position and net income. Although we have established reserves for examination contingencies in accordance with published guidance, there can be no assurance that the reserves will be sufficient to cover our ultimate liabilities.

Our provision for income taxes is subject to volatility and can be adversely affected by a variety of factors, including but not limited to: unanticipated decreases in the amount of revenue or earnings in countries with low statutory tax rates, changes in tax laws and the related regulations and interpretations (including various proposals currently under consideration), changes in accounting principles (including accounting for uncertain tax positions), and changes in the valuation of our deferred tax assets. Significant judgment is required to determine the recognition and measurement attributes prescribed in certain accounting guidance. This guidance applies to all income tax positions, including the potential recovery of previously paid taxes, which if settled unfavorably could adversely impact our provision for income taxes.

Critical personnel may be difficult to attract, assimilate and retain.

Our success depends in large part on our ability to attract and retain senior management personnel, as well as technically qualified and highly-skilled sales, consulting, technical, finance and marketing personnel. Other than members of executive management who have at will employment agreements, our employees are not typically subject to an employment agreement or non-competition agreement. It could be difficult, time consuming and expensive to locate, replace and integrate any key management member or other critical personnel. Changes in management or other critical personnel may be disruptive to our business and might also result in our loss of unique skills and the departure of existing employees and/or customers.

Other personnel related issues that we may encounter include:

Competition for personnel; need for competitive pay packages. Competition for qualified individuals in our industry is intense and we must provide competitive compensation packages, including equity awards. Increases in shares available for issuance under our equity incentive plans require stockholder approval, and there may be times, as we have seen in the past, where we may not obtain the necessary approval. If we are unable to attract and retain qualified individuals, our ability to compete in the markets for our products could be adversely affected, which would have a negative impact on our business and financial results.

Table of Contents

Risks relating to senior management changes and new hires. From 2006 to 2008, we experienced significant changes in our senior management team as a number of officers resigned or were terminated and several key management positions were vacant for a significant period of time. In the second quarter of 2010, we experienced a change in our chief financial officer. We may continue to experience changes in senior management going forward.

We continue to hire in key areas and have added a number of new employees in connection with our acquisitions. For new employees, including senior management, there may be reduced levels of productivity as it takes time for new hires to be trained or otherwise assimilated into the company.

Increased customer demands on our technical support services may adversely affect our relationships with our customers and negatively impact our financial results.

We offer technical support services with many of our products. We may be unable to respond quickly enough to accommodate short-term increases in customer demand for support services. We also may be unable to modify the format of our support services to compete with changes in support services provided by competitors or successfully integrate support for our customers. Further customer demand for these services, without corresponding revenue, could increase costs and adversely affect our operating results.

We have outsourced a substantial portion of our worldwide consumer support functions to third-party service providers. If these companies experience financial difficulties, service disruptions, do not maintain sufficiently skilled workers and resources to satisfy our contracts, or otherwise fail to perform at a sufficient level under these contracts, the level of support services to our customers may be significantly disrupted, which could materially harm our relationships with these customers.

We face risks related to customer outsourcing to system integrators.

Some of our customers have outsourced the management of their information technology departments to large system integrators. If this trend continues, our established customer relationships could be disrupted and our products could be displaced by alternative system and network security solutions offered by system integrators that do not bundle our solutions. Significant product displacements could negatively impact our revenue and have a material adverse effect on our business.

If we fail to effectively upgrade or modify our information technology systems, we may not be able to accurately report our financial results or prevent fraud.

As our information technology systems age or need to be upgraded or replaced to meet our changing business needs, we may experience difficulties in transitioning to new or upgraded information technology systems and in applying maintenance patches to existing systems, including loss of data and decreases in productivity as personnel become familiar with new, upgraded or modified systems. Our management information systems will require modification and refinement as we grow and as our business needs change, which could prolong the difficulties we experience with systems transitions, and we may not always employ the most effective systems for our purposes. If we experience difficulties in implementing new or upgraded information systems or experience significant system failures, or if we are unable to successfully modify our management information systems and respond to changes in our business needs, our operating results could be harmed or we may fail to meet our reporting obligations. We may also experience similar results if we have difficulty applying routine maintenance patches to existing systems in a timely manner.

Computer hackers may damage our products, services and systems.

Due to our high profile in the network and system protection market, we have been a target of computer hackers who have, among other things, created viruses to sabotage or otherwise attack our products and services, including our various web sites. For example, we have seen the spread of viruses, or worms, which intentionally delete anti-virus and firewall software. Similarly, hackers may attempt to penetrate our network security and gain access to our network and our data centers, misappropriate our or our customers' proprietary information, which may include personally identifiable information, or cause interruptions of our internal systems and services. Also, a number of web sites have been subject to denial of service attacks, where a web site is bombarded with information requests eventually causing the web site to overload, resulting in a delay or disruption of service. If successful, any of these events could damage users' or our own computer systems, materially damage our relationship with

Table of Contents

customers that are affected, subject us to claims and additional expense and result in negative publicity, damage to our reputation and a decline in sales. In addition, since we do not control disk duplication by distributors or our independent agents, media containing our software may be infected with viruses.

Business interruptions may impede our operations and the operations of our customers.

We are continually updating or modifying our accounting and other internal and external facing business systems. Modifications of these types of systems are often disruptive to business and may cause us to incur higher costs than we anticipate. Failure to properly manage this process could materially harm our business operations.

In addition, we and our customers face a number of potential business interruption risks that are beyond our respective control. Natural disasters or other events could interrupt our business or the business of our customers, and each of us is reliant on external infrastructure that may be antiquated. Our corporate headquarters in California is located near a major earthquake fault. The potential impact of a major earthquake on our facilities, infrastructure and overall operations is not known, but could be quite severe. Despite business interruption and disaster recovery programs that have been implemented, an earthquake could seriously disrupt our entire business process. We are largely uninsured for losses and business disruptions caused by an earthquake and other natural disasters.

Our investment portfolio is subject to volatility, losses and liquidity limitations. Continued negative conditions in the global credit markets could impair the value of or limit our access to our investments.

Historically, investment income has been a significant component of our net income. The ability to achieve our investment objectives is affected by many factors, some of which are beyond our control. We invest our cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities in a variety of investment vehicles in a number of countries with and in the custody of financial institutions with high credit ratings. While our investment policy and strategy attempt to manage interest rate risk, limit credit risk, and only invest in what we view as very high-quality debt securities, the outlook for our investment holdings is dependent on general economic conditions, interest rate trends and volatility in the financial marketplace, which can all affect the income that we receive, the value of our investments, and our ability to sell them. Current economic conditions have had widespread negative effects on the financial markets and global economies. These conditions have been heightened recently by increased volatility in the European capital markets. During these challenging markets, we are investing new cash in time deposits and liquidity funds and also in instruments with short to medium-term maturities of highly-rated issuers, including U.S. government guaranteed investments. We do not hold any auction rate securities or structured investment vehicles. The underlying collateral for certain of our mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities is comprised of some sub-prime mortgages, as well as prime and Alt-A mortgages. We are no longer purchasing mortgage-backed or asset-backed securities.

The outlook for our investment income is dependent on the amount of any acquisitions that we effect, the amount of cash flows from operations and historically from the timing of our stock repurchases under our stock repurchase program that are available for investment. Our investment income is also affected by the yield on our investments and our recent shift to a larger percentage of our investment portfolio to shorter-term and U.S. government guaranteed investments. This shift has negatively impacted our income from our investment portfolio in light of declining yields. Continued decline in our investment income or the value of our investments will have an adverse effect on our results of operations or financial condition.

During 2009, we recorded additional impairment on previously impaired marketable securities totaling \$0.7 million. We believe that our investment securities are carried at fair value. However, over time the economic and market environment may provide additional insight regarding the fair value and the expected recoverability of certain securities, which could change our judgment regarding impairment. This could result in realized losses being charged against future income. Given the current market conditions involved, there is continuing risk additional impairments

may be charged to income in future periods. At December 31, 2010, gross unrealized losses totaled \$0.5 million.

Most of our cash and investments held outside the U.S. are subject to fluctuations in currency exchange rates. A repatriation of these non-U.S. investment holdings to the U.S. under current law could be subject to foreign and U.S. federal income and withholding taxes, less any applicable foreign tax credits. Local regulations and potential further capital market turmoil could limit our ability to utilize these offshore funds. As of December 31, 2010, \$755.0 million was held outside the United States.

Table of Contents**Our charter documents and Delaware law may impede or discourage a takeover, which could lower our stock price.**

Under our certificate of incorporation, our board of directors has the authority to issue up to 5.0 million shares of preferred stock and to determine the price, rights, preferences, privileges and restrictions, including voting rights, of those shares without any further vote or action by our stockholders. However, under the merger agreement with Intel, the board of directors is not permitted to issue any shares unless required by law. The issuance of preferred stock could have the effect of making it more difficult for a third party to acquire a majority of our outstanding voting stock and could have the effect of discouraging a change of control of the company or changes in management.

Delaware law and other provisions of our certificate of incorporation and bylaws could also delay or make a merger, tender offer or proxy contest involving us or changes in our board of directors and management more difficult. For example, any stockholder wishing to make a stockholder proposal (including director nominations) at an annual meeting of our stockholders must meet the qualifications and follow the procedures specified under both the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and our bylaws. In addition, we have a classified board of directors; however, our certificate of incorporation provides that our board of directors will be declassified over the three year period ending with the annual meeting of our stockholders in 2012.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

Our worldwide headquarters is located in Santa Clara, California. We conduct our business on a worldwide basis with facilities totaling approximately 1.8 million square feet. Our primary domestic and international facilities are as follows (square feet in thousands):

Location	Square Feet	Leased/ Owned	Primary Activities
Santa Clara, California, USA(1)	242	Leased	Sales, Support, Legal, IT, Training, Human Resources, Finance
Plano, Texas, USA(2)	170	Owned	Sales, Support, Legal, IT, Training, Human Resources, Finance
St. Paul, Minnesota, USA	107	Leased	Support, Manufacturing
Beaverton, Oregon, USA	60	Leased	Research and Development
Bangalore, India	177	Leased	Research and Development, Sales, Support, IT, Finance
Cork, Ireland	47	Leased	Sales, Finance, Research and Development
Aylesbury, United Kingdom	40	Leased	Research and Development, Support
Slough, United Kingdom	31	Leased	Sales, Support, Human Resources, IT
Tokyo, Japan	28	Leased	Sales, Finance, Human Resources
Waterloo, Ontario, Canada	25	Leased	Research and Development
Sydney, Australia	20	Leased	Sales, Finance, Human Resources, IT

(1) We also lease but do not occupy approximately 208,000 square feet in Santa Clara, California that formerly was our corporate headquarters.

(2) Our regional office located in Plano, Texas is located on 21.0 acres of owned land.

We believe that our existing facilities are adequate for the present and that additional space will be available as needed.

Item 3. *Legal Proceedings*

Information with respect to this item is incorporated by reference to Note 19 to our consolidated financial statements included in this Form 10-K, which information is incorporated into this Part IV, Item 15 by reference.

Table of Contents**PART II****Item 5. *Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities*****Price Range of Common Stock**

Our common stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE), under the symbol MFE. The following table sets forth, for the period indicated, the high and low sales prices for our common stock for the last eight quarters, all as reported by NYSE. The prices appearing in the table below do not reflect retail mark-up, mark-down or commission.

	High	Low
Year Ended December 31, 2010		
First Quarter	\$ 42.00	\$ 36.51
Second Quarter	41.72	30.63
Third Quarter	47.38	29.53
Fourth Quarter	47.44	45.92
Year Ended December 31, 2009		
First Quarter	\$ 34.90	\$ 26.65
Second Quarter	42.57	32.93
Third Quarter	45.52	38.64
Fourth Quarter	45.68	37.15

The annual certification to the NYSE attesting to our compliance with the NYSE's corporate governance listing standards was submitted for 2009 by our chief executive officer to the NYSE in July 2010.

Dividend Policy

We have not paid any cash dividends since our reorganization into a corporate form in October 1992. We intend to retain future earnings for use in our business and do not anticipate paying cash dividends in the foreseeable future. Our credit agreement with Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent contains restrictions regarding the payment of dividends.

Table of Contents**Stock Performance**

The following Performance Graph and related information shall not be deemed soliciting material or to be filed with the SEC, nor shall such information be incorporated by reference into any future filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or Securities Exchange Act of 1934, each as amended, except to the extent that we specifically incorporate it by reference into such filing.

The following graph shows a five-year comparison of cumulative total returns for our common stock, the NYSE Market Index, S&P Information Technology Index and S&P 500 Index each of which assumes an initial value of \$100 and reinvestment of dividends. The information presented in the graph and table is as of the end of each fiscal year ended December 31. The comparisons in the graph below are based on historical data and are not intended to forecast the possible future performance of our common stock.

Comparison of Five-Year Cumulative Total Returns

**COMPARISON OF 5-YEAR CUMULATIVE RETURN
FOR MCAFEE, INC., NYSE MARKET INDEX,
S&P 500 INDEX AND S&P INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY INDEX**

**ASSUMES \$100 INVESTED ON JAN. 01, 2006
ASSUMES DIVIDEND REINVESTED
FISCAL YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2010**

	Dec-05	Dec-06	Dec-07	Dec-08	Dec-09	Dec-10
McAfee, Inc.	100.0	104.6	138.2	127.4	149.5	170.7
NYSE Market Index	100.0	120.5	131.2	79.7	102.2	115.9
S&P Information Technology Index	100.0	108.4	126.1	71.7	116.0	127.8
S&P 500 Index	100.0	115.8	122.2	77.0	97.3	112.0

Performance for 2010 reflects the December 31, 2010 closing price of our common stock on the NYSE of \$46.31.

Table of Contents**Item 6. Selected Financial Data**

You should read the following selected financial data with our consolidated financial statements and related notes and *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations*. Historical results may not be indicative of future results.

	Years Ended December 31,				
	2010	2009	2008(1)	2007	2006
	(In thousands, except for per share amounts)				
Statement of Operations Data					
Total net revenue	\$ 2,064,807	\$ 1,927,332	\$ 1,600,065	\$ 1,308,220	\$ 1,145,158
Income from operations	229,422	222,307	189,571	159,813	139,028
Income before provision for income taxes	229,640	224,223	222,206	229,204	183,781
Net income	184,112	173,420	172,209	166,980	137,471
Net income per share basic	\$ 1.19	\$ 1.11	\$ 1.10	\$ 1.04	\$ 0.85
Net income per share diluted	\$ 1.17	\$ 1.09	\$ 1.08	\$ 1.02	\$ 0.84
Shares used in per share calculation basic	154,936	156,144	156,205	159,819	160,945
Shares used in per share calculation diluted	157,385	158,988	159,406	164,126	163,052

	As of December 31,				
	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
	(In thousands)				
Balance Sheet Data					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 738,419	\$ 677,137	\$ 483,302	\$ 394,158	\$ 389,627
Working capital	512,446	327,232	76,160	230,145	146,253
Total assets	4,232,352	3,963,186	3,457,881	3,386,524	2,760,834
Deferred revenue, current and long-term	1,536,266	1,407,473	1,293,110	1,044,513	897,525
Accrued taxes and other long-term liabilities	57,517	70,772	72,751	88,241	149,924
Total equity	2,224,097	2,117,538	1,752,488	1,905,325	1,427,249

(1) In 2008, we expensed \$19.5 million for in-process research and development related to the acquisition of Secure Computing Corporation.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**Forward-Looking Statements and Factors That May Affect Future Results**

This Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations contains forward-looking statements that involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results, levels of activity, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Please see *Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements*.

Overview and Executive Summary

We are a global dedicated security technology company that delivers proactive and proven solutions and services that help secure systems and networks around the world, allowing users to safely connect to the internet, browse and shop the web more securely. We create innovative products that empower home users, businesses, the

Table of Contents

public sector, and service providers by enabling them to prove compliance with regulations, protect data, prevent disruptions, identify vulnerabilities and continuously monitor and improve their security.

We have one business and operate in one industry: developing, marketing, distributing and supporting computer security solutions for large enterprises, governments, small and medium-sized businesses and consumers either directly or through a network of qualified distribution partners. We derive our revenue from two sources: (i) service, support and subscription revenue, which includes maintenance, training and consulting revenue as well as revenue from licenses under subscription arrangements and (ii) product revenue, which includes revenue from perpetual licenses (those with a one-time license fee) and from hardware product sales. In 2010, service, support and subscription revenue accounted for 89% of net revenue and product revenue accounted for 11% of net revenue.

Pending Acquisition by Intel

On August 18, 2010, we entered into a definitive agreement under which Intel will acquire all of our common stock, through a merger, for \$48 per share in cash and we will become a wholly owned subsidiary of Intel. The definitive agreement related to the acquisition was approved and adopted by our stockholders on November 2, 2010. The definitive agreement related to the acquisition provides that the acquisition is subject to regulatory approvals and other customary closing conditions. On December 20, 2010, we received notification from the United States Federal Trade Commission that the waiting period had expired under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976 with respect to the proposed acquisition. On January 26, 2011, the European Commission announced that it had granted conditional antitrust clearance of the proposed acquisition. We anticipate that the acquisition will close in the first quarter of 2011.

Operating Results and Trends

We evaluate our consolidated financial performance utilizing a variety of indicators. Five of the primary indicators that we utilize to evaluate the growth and health of our business are total net revenue, operating income, net income, net cash provided by operating activities and deferred revenue. In addition, our management considers certain non-GAAP metrics (derived by adjusting net revenue, operating income and net income for certain items) when evaluating our ongoing performance and/or predicting our earnings trends. These items include the impact of signature file update, stock-based compensation expense, amortization of purchased technology and intangibles, restructuring charges (benefits), acquisition-related costs, litigation-related and other costs, acquired intangible asset expensed to research and development, loss on sale/disposal of assets and technology, in-process research and development, change in fair value of stock-based liability awards, marketable securities (accretion) impairment, income taxes and certain other items. In addition, on April 21, 2010, we released a signature file update that caused some of our customers' computers to be rendered inoperable or significantly impacted. We have assisted our customers to resolve their computer problems and have taken steps to prevent a similar problem from recurring. We have adjusted our 2010 net revenue, operating income and net income for impacts of the signature file update for non-GAAP metrics as our management does not consider these impacts when evaluating our ongoing performance, predicting our earnings and/or when managing our daily business. See the Reconciliation of GAAP to Non-GAAP Financial Measures below.

Net Revenue. As discussed more fully below, our net revenue in 2010 grew by \$137.5 million, or 7%, to \$2,064.8 million from \$1,927.3 million in 2009. Our net revenue is directly impacted by corporate information technology, and government and consumer spending levels. Net revenue was positively impacted by \$72.9 million from our 2010 and 2009 acquisitions. Changes in the U.S. Dollar compared to foreign currencies negatively impacted our revenue growth by \$28.8 million in 2010.

Operating Income. Operating income increased \$7.1 million in 2010 compared to 2009 primarily due to the increase in our gross margin, offset by increases in operating costs. The increase in expenses included: (i) a \$38.7 million increase in salaries and benefits due to headcount and salary increases, (ii) a \$27.9 million increase in restructuring charges due to vacating facilities and realigning our staffing across all departments, (iii) a \$10.4 million increase in stock-based compensation expense discussed more fully in *Stock-based Compensation Expense* below, (iv) \$7.0 million of costs incurred in 2010 associated with our pending acquisition by Intel and (v) increases in costs of revenues primarily related to infrastructure costs and costs

Table of Contents

related to our online subscription arrangements. Due to the release of the signature file update on April 21, 2010 and remediation actions we took, our net revenue in the second quarter of 2010 was negatively impacted by approximately \$6.1 million, our cost of net revenue was negatively impacted by \$0.7 million and our operating costs were negatively impacted by \$1.1 million. Changes in the U.S. Dollar compared to foreign currencies negatively impacted our operating income by \$32.2 million in 2010.

The \$28.5 million increase in non-GAAP operating income (which is adjusted for certain items excluded by management when evaluating our ongoing performance and/or predicting our earnings trends) for 2010 compared to 2009 resulted from a \$143.6 million increase in non-GAAP net revenue that exceeded (i) the \$68.8 million increase in non-GAAP costs of net revenue primarily related to increased costs related to our online subscription arrangements and (ii) the \$46.3 million increase in non-GAAP operating expenses that was primarily related to an increase in salaries and benefits due to an increase in headcount and increased legal expense included in the calculation of non-GAAP operating income in 2010 compared to 2009 due to a benefit in 2009 from a \$6.5 million insurance reimbursement. See the *Reconciliation of GAAP to Non-GAAP Financial Measures* below.

Net Income. The \$10.7 million increase in net income in 2010 compared to 2009 was primarily attributable to the \$7.1 million increase in income from operations discussed above and a decrease in our effective tax rate discussed more fully in *Provision for Income Taxes* below.

The \$19.2 million increase in non-GAAP net income (which is adjusted for certain items excluded by management when evaluating our ongoing performance and/or predicting our earnings trends) in 2010 compared to 2009 resulted from the increases in non-GAAP operating income described above. See the *Reconciliation of GAAP to Non-GAAP Financial Measures* below.

Net cash provided by operating activities. The \$98.3 million increase in net cash provided by operating activities in 2010 compared to 2009 was primarily attributable to the growth of our business and management's focus on operating cash flows. The \$98.3 million increase in 2010 compared to 2009 was due to increased collections on accounts receivable and decreased partner payments, offset by increased payments for salary and benefits due to increased headcount and salary increases and increased payments on accounts payable. See *Liquidity and Capital Resources* below.

Deferred Revenue. Our deferred revenue balance at December 31, 2010 increased 9% to \$1,536.3 million, compared to \$1,407.5 million at December 31, 2009. Excluding acquired deferred revenue and the negative impact of the U.S. strengthening against the Euro during 2010, our deferred revenue increased \$155.9 million as a result of growing sales of maintenance renewals from our expanding customer base and increased sales of subscription-based products. We receive up-front payments for maintenance and subscriptions, but we recognize revenue over the service or subscription term. We monitor our deferred revenue balance because it represents a significant portion of revenue to be recognized in future periods. Approximately 75 to 85% of our total net revenue during both 2010 and 2009 came from prior-period deferred revenue. We believe that deferred revenue is a key indicator of the growth and health of our business.

Acquisitions. We continue to focus our efforts on building a full line of system and network protection solutions and technologies that support our multi-platform strategy of personal computer, internet and mobile security solutions. In 2010, we acquired InternetSafety.com for \$10.7 million, tenCube for \$10.6 million and Trust Digital for \$32.5 million. In 2009, we acquired MX Logic, Inc. (*MX Logic*), for \$163.1 million and Solidcore Systems, Inc. (*Solidcore*) for \$40.5 million. We do not expect that our 2010 acquisitions will have a significant impact on net income in 2011. See the *Reconciliation of GAAP to Non-GAAP Financial Measures* below for such items excluded by management.

Net Revenue by Product Groups and Customer Category. Transactions from our corporate business include the sale of product offerings intended for enterprise, mid-market and small business use. Net revenue from our corporate products increased \$61.1 million, or 5%, to \$1,275.0 million in 2010 from \$1,213.9 million in 2009. The increase in revenue was primarily due to a \$60.6 million increase in revenue from our networks security solutions, which includes increased revenue from our Secure Computing Corporation (Secure) acquisition along with our Intrusion Prevention System (IPS) offerings. These increases were offset in part by \$6.1 million of prior-period deferred revenue from our system security solutions that was originally scheduled to be recognized in 2010 but was

Table of Contents

deferred until future periods due to the effects of the signature file update released on April 21, 2010 and the remediation actions we took. Included in the overall increase in net revenue is a \$28.8 million negative foreign exchange impact.

Transactions from our consumer business include the sale of product offerings primarily intended for consumer use, as well as any revenue or activities associated with providing an overall safe consumer experience on the internet or cellular networks. Net revenue from our consumer security market increased \$76.3 million, or 11%, to \$789.8 million in 2010 from \$713.5 million in 2009. The increase in revenue from our consumer market was primarily attributable to our continued relationships with strategic partners, such as Acer, Adobe, Dell, Sony Computer and Toshiba. The impact of the signature file update released on April 21, 2010 was minimal to our net revenue from our consumer security market.

Net revenue from our corporate products increased \$250.1 million, or 26%, to \$1,213.9 million during 2009 from \$963.8 million in 2008. The year-over-year increase in revenue was due to (i) a \$141.3 million increase in revenue from our content security solutions, which includes approximately \$102.0 million increase from our Secure acquisition, (ii) a \$68.9 million increase in revenue from our network security solutions, primarily from our Secure acquisition, (iii) an \$18.7 million increase in revenue from our services offerings, (iv) a \$15.8 million increase in revenue from our system security offerings and (v) a \$5.4 million increase in revenue from our governance, risk and compliance offerings. In 2009, we experienced an increase in the sale of our hardware solutions, which resulted in higher upfront revenue recognition. During 2009, we also experienced an increase in both the number and size of larger transactions sold to customers through a solution selling approach, which bundles multiple products and services into suite offerings. This positively impacted revenue and deferred.

Transactions from our consumer business include the sale of product offerings primarily intended for consumer use, as well as any revenue or activities associated with providing an overall safe consumer experience on the internet or cellular networks. Net revenue from sales of our consumer products increased \$77.1 million, or 12%, to \$713.5 million in 2009 from \$636.4 million in 2008. The increase was primarily due to (i) an increase in our online customer base, (ii) increased online renewal subscriptions from a larger customer base and (iii) increased up-sell to higher level suites with higher price points.

Foreign Exchange Fluctuations. The Euro and Japanese Yen are the two predominant non-U.S. currencies that affect our financial statements. As the U.S. Dollar strengthens against foreign currencies, our revenues from transactions outside the U.S. and operating income may be negatively impacted. As the U.S. Dollar weakens against foreign currencies, our revenues outside the U.S. and operating income may be positively impacted.

During 2010, on an average exchange basis, the U.S. Dollar strengthened against the Euro and the British Pound and weakened against the Japanese Yen and all other major currencies that we do business in compared to 2009. Overall, the U.S. Dollar strengthening against the Euro had the most significant impact to our revenue, resulting in decreased revenue in our consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income in 2010 compared to 2009. The U.S. Dollar weakening against various other foreign currencies had the most significant impact to expenses, resulting in an overall net increase in our expenses in our consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income in 2010 compared to 2009.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

In preparing our consolidated financial statements, we make estimates, assumptions and judgments that can have a significant impact on our net revenue, income from operations and net income, as well as the value of certain assets and liabilities on our consolidated balance sheets. To apply critical accounting policies we must evaluate a number of complex criteria and make significant accounting judgments. Management bases its estimates on historical experience

and on various other assumptions that they believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. These estimates form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities. We evaluate our estimates on a regular basis and make changes accordingly.

Senior management has discussed the development, selection and disclosure of these estimates with the audit committee of our board of directors. Actual results may materially differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. If actual results were to differ from these estimates materially, the resulting changes

Table of Contents

could have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements. We believe our significant accounting policies, which are discussed in Note 2, *Summary of Significant Accounting Policies* in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, involve a high degree of judgment and complexity. Accordingly, we believe the following policies are the most critical to aid in fully understanding and evaluating our financial condition and operating results.

Revenue Recognition

We must make significant management judgments and estimates to determine revenue to be recognized in any accounting period. Material differences may result in the amount and timing of our revenue for any period if our management makes different judgments or utilizes different estimates. These estimates affect the *deferred revenue* line item on our consolidated balance sheets and the *net revenue* line item on our consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income.

Our revenue, which is presented net of sales taxes, is derived primarily from two sources: (i) service, support and subscription revenue, which includes maintenance, training and consulting revenue as well as revenue from product licenses under subscription arrangements, and (ii) product revenue, which includes hardware and perpetual software license revenue.

We apply software revenue recognition guidance to all transactions involving the sale of software products and hardware products that include software. The application of this guidance requires judgment, including whether a software arrangement includes multiple elements, and if so, whether VSOE exists for these elements. For arrangements with multiple elements, including software licenses, maintenance and/or services, we allocate and defer revenue equivalent to the VSOE of fair value for the undelivered elements and recognize the difference between the total arrangement fee and the amount deferred for the undelivered elements as product revenue. VSOE of fair value is based upon the price for which the undelivered element is sold separately or upon substantive renewal rates stated in a contract. We determine fair value of the undelivered elements based on historical evidence of stand-alone sales of these elements to our customers. When VSOE does not exist for undelivered elements such as maintenance and support, the entire arrangement fee is recognized ratably over the performance period generally as service, support and subscription revenue.

We apply software revenue recognition guidance to all transactions except those where no software is involved or software is incidental. We recognize revenue from the sale of software licenses when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, the product or service has been delivered, the fee is fixed or determinable, and collection of the resulting receivable is reasonably assured. For hardware transactions where software is not incidental, we do not separate the license fee and we do not apply separate accounting guidance to the hardware and software elements.

We enter into perpetual and subscription software license agreements through direct sales to customers and indirect sales with partners, distributors and resellers. We recognize revenue from the indirect sales channel upon sell-through by the partner or distributor. The license agreements generally include service and support agreements, for which the related revenue is deferred and recognized ratably over the performance period. All revenue derived from our online subscription products is deferred and recognized ratably over the performance period. Professional services revenue is generally recognized as services are performed or if required, upon customer acceptance. When customer acceptance is required, we defer the direct costs of the subscription software licensing and professional services arrangements, and amortize those costs over the same period as the related revenue is recognized. These costs are identified as cost of service, support and subscription revenue on the consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income. Changes to the elements in a software arrangement, the ability to identify VSOE for those elements, the fair value of the respective elements and the degree of flexibility in contractual arrangements could materially impact the amount recognized in the current period and deferred over time.

We also identify the direct and incremental costs associated with product revenues that have been deferred due to lack of VSOE on fair value on an undelivered element. These costs are primarily hardware platform and other hardware component costs. We defer these costs at the time of delivery and recognize them as cost of service, support and subscription revenue on the consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income over the service period.

Table of Contents

We reduce revenue for estimates of sales incentives and sales returns. We offer sales incentives, including channel rebates, marketing funds and end-user rebates for products in our corporate and consumer product lines. Additionally, end users may return our products, subject to varying limitations, through distributors and resellers or to us directly for a refund within a reasonably short period from the date of purchase. We estimate and record reserves for sales incentives and sales returns based on our historical experience. In each accounting period, we must make judgments and estimates of sales incentives and potential future sales returns related to current period revenue. These estimates affect our net revenue line item on our consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income and affect our accounts receivable, net, deferred revenue or other accrued liabilities line items on our consolidated balance sheets.

At December 31, 2010, our allowance for sales returns and incentives was \$86.7 million compared to \$68.8 million at December 31, 2009. If our allowance for sales returns and incentives were to increase by 10%, or \$8.7 million, our net revenue would decrease by \$1.9 million and our deferred revenue would decrease by \$6.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Deferred Costs of Revenue and Prepaid Expenses

We defer costs of revenue primarily related to revenue-sharing and royalty arrangements and recognize these costs over the service period of the related revenue. Prepaid expenses consist primarily of revenue sharing costs that have been paid in advance of the anticipated customer renewal transactions and royalty costs paid in advance of revenue transactions. We evaluate the remaining value of these prepaid expenses in comparison to estimates of future revenues. Our estimates of future revenue are based on assumptions considering our historical experience and other relevant facts and circumstances. We have not accelerated the expense of any material prepaid amounts for any periods presented in our statements of income and comprehensive income.

Stock-based Compensation Expense

We record compensation expense for stock-based awards issued to employees and outside directors in exchange for services provided based on the estimated fair value of the awards on their grant dates. Stock-based compensation expense is recognized over the required service or performance period of the awards. Our stock-based awards include stock options (options), RSUs, RSAs, PSUs and employee stock purchase rights issued pursuant to our Employee Stock Purchase Plan (ESPP). See Note 14 to the consolidated financial statements for additional information.

We use the Black-Scholes pricing model to estimate the fair value of our options and ESPP grants. The Black-Scholes pricing model requires estimates of the expected life of the option, as well as future volatility, risk-free interest rate and dividend yield. We derive the expected life of our options through a lattice model that factors in historical data on exercise and post-vesting service termination behavior. The risk-free interest rate for periods within the expected life of the option is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant. We use the implied volatility of options traded on our stock with a term of one year or more to calculate the expected volatility of our option grants. We have not declared any dividends on our stock in the past and do not expect to do so in the foreseeable future.

The assumptions that we have made represent our management's best estimate, but they are highly subjective and inherently uncertain. If management had made different assumptions, our calculation of the options' fair value and the resulting stock-based compensation expense could differ, perhaps materially, from the amounts recognized in our financial statements. For example, if we increased the assumption regarding our stock's volatility for options granted during 2010 by 10%, our stock-based compensation expense would increase by \$4.1 million, net of expected forfeitures. Likewise, if we increased our assumption of the expected lives of options granted during 2010 by one year, our stock-based compensation expense would increase by \$1.6 million. These notional increased expense amounts would be amortized over the options' weighted-average 3.9 year vesting period.

In addition to the assumptions used to calculate the fair value of our options, we are required to estimate the expected forfeiture rate of all stock-based awards and only recognize expense for those awards we expect to vest. The stock-based compensation expense recognized in our consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income for the year ended December 31, 2010 has been reduced for estimated forfeitures. If we were to change our

Table of Contents

estimate of forfeiture rates, the amount of stock-based compensation expense could differ, perhaps materially, from the amount recognized in our financial statements. For example, if we had decreased our estimate of expected forfeitures by 50%, our stock-based compensation expense for the year ended December 31, 2010 would have increased by \$5.3 million. This decrease in our estimate of expected forfeitures would increase the amount of expense for all unvested awards that have not yet been recognized by \$22.8 million, amortized over a weighted-average period of 1.9 years.

Estimation of Restructuring Accrual and Litigation

Restructuring accrual. To determine our restructuring charges and the corresponding liabilities, we make a number of assumptions. These assumptions included estimated sublease income over the remaining lease period, estimated term of subleases, estimated utility and real estate broker fees, as well as estimated discount rates for use in calculating the present value of our liability. We develop these assumptions based on our understanding of the current real estate market in the respective locations as well as current market interest rates. The assumptions used are our management's best estimate at the time of the accrual, and adjustments are made on a periodic basis if better information is obtained. If at December 31, 2010 our estimated sublease income were to decrease 10%, the restructuring reserve and related expense would have increased by \$1.0 million.

The estimates regarding our restructuring accruals affect our other accrued liabilities and accrued taxes and other long-term liabilities line items in our consolidated balance sheets, since these liabilities will be settled each year through 2018. These estimates affect our consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income in the restructuring charges (benefits) line item.

Litigation. Management's current estimated range of liability related to litigation that is brought against us from time to time is based on claims for which our management can estimate the probability of an unfavorable outcome and the range of loss. We recorded the minimum estimated liability related to those claims where there is a range of loss, as there is no better point of estimate. Due to the uncertainties related to an unfavorable outcome of litigation, and the amount and range of loss on pending litigation, management is often unable to make a reasonable estimate of the liability that could result from an unfavorable outcome. As litigation progresses, we continue to assess our potential liability and revise our estimates. Such revisions in our estimates could materially impact our results of operations and financial position. Estimates of litigation liability affect our other accrued liabilities line item on our consolidated balance sheets and our general and administrative expense line item on our consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income. See Note 19 in our Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Accounting for Income Taxes

As part of the process of preparing our consolidated financial statements, we are required to estimate our income taxes in each of the jurisdictions in which we operate. This process involves estimating our actual current tax expense together with assessing temporary differences resulting from differing treatment of items, such as deferred revenue, for tax and accounting purposes. These differences result in deferred tax assets and liabilities, which are included within our consolidated balance sheets. We must then assess and make significant estimates regarding the likelihood that our deferred tax assets will be recovered from future taxable income and to the extent we believe that recovery is not likely, we must establish a valuation allowance. To the extent we establish a valuation allowance or increase this allowance in a period, we must include an expense within the tax provision in the consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income. Estimates related to income taxes affect the deferred income taxes, other accrued liabilities and accrued taxes and other long-term liabilities line items in our consolidated balance sheets and our provision for income taxes line item in our consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income.

Our deferred tax asset is presented net of a valuation allowance. The valuation allowance is recorded due to the limitations on our ability to utilize certain credit carryforwards and net operating losses of acquired companies. The valuation allowance is based on tax law limitations on the future utilization of acquired attributes as well as on our historical experience and estimates of taxable income by jurisdiction in which we operate and the period over which our deferred tax assets will be recoverable. In the event that actual results differ from these estimates or we adjust

Table of Contents

these estimates in future periods, we may need to establish an additional valuation allowance, which could materially impact our financial position and results of operations. The valuation allowance decreased in 2010 primarily due to the change in judgment regarding the ability to utilize foreign tax credits in future years prior to their expiration. This change in judgment was based on several factors, including reduced net operating losses available in future years and expectations of future earnings in various jurisdictions.

Tax returns are subject to examination by various taxing authorities. Although we believe that adequate accruals have been made each period for unsettled issues, additional benefits or expenses could occur in future years from resolution of outstanding matters. We record additional expenses each period relating to the expected interest and penalties we would be required to pay a tax authority if we do not prevail. We continue to assess our potential tax liability included in accrued taxes in the consolidated financial statements and revise our estimates. Such revisions in our estimates could materially impact our results of operations and financial position. We have classified a portion of our tax liability as non-current in the consolidated balance sheets based on the expected timing of cash payments to settle contingencies with taxing authorities.

Valuation of Goodwill, Intangibles, Long-lived Assets and Contingent Consideration

When we acquire businesses, we allocate the purchase price to tangible assets and liabilities and identifiable intangible assets acquired. Any residual purchase price is recorded as goodwill. We must also estimate the fair value of contingent consideration. The allocation of the purchase price and valuation of contingent consideration requires management to make significant estimates in determining fair values, especially with respect to intangible assets and contingent consideration. These estimates are based on historical experience, information obtained from the management of the acquired companies and relevant market and industry data. These estimates can include, but are not limited to, the cash flows that an asset is expected to generate in the future, the appropriate discount rate, the useful lives of intangible assets and probabilities of achievement of financial targets under contingent consideration arrangements. These estimates are inherently uncertain and unpredictable. In addition, unanticipated events and circumstances may occur, which may affect the accuracy or validity of such estimates.

Goodwill. We make estimates regarding the fair value of our reporting units when testing for potential impairment. We estimate the fair value of our reporting units using an equal weighting of the income approach and the market approach. Under the income approach, we calculate the fair value of a reporting unit based on the present value of estimated future cash flows. Under the market approach, we estimate the fair value based on market multiples of revenue or earnings for comparable companies. We estimate cash flows for these purposes using internal financial projections based on recent and historical trends and relevant market and industry data. We base these estimates on assumptions we believe to be reasonable, but which are unpredictable and inherently uncertain. We also make certain judgments about the selection of comparable companies used in the market approach in valuing our reporting units. If an impairment were present, these estimates would affect the impairment of goodwill line item which we would add on our consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income and would affect the goodwill line item in our consolidated balance sheets. As goodwill is allocated to all of our reporting units, any impairment could potentially affect our operating geographies. The fair values of our reporting units were substantially in excess of the respective carrying amounts in our most recent goodwill impairment test.

Intangibles and Long-lived Assets. We will record an impairment charge on finite-lived intangibles or long-lived assets to be held and used when we determine that the carrying value of intangibles and long-lived assets may not be recoverable. Based upon the existence of one or more indicators of impairment, we measure any impairment of intangibles or long-lived assets based on a projected discounted cash flow method using a discount rate determined by our management to be commensurate with the risk inherent in our current business model. Our estimates of cash flows require significant judgment based on our historical and anticipated results and are subject to many of the other factors, which could change and cause a material impact to our operating results.

Contingent Consideration. Our acquisitions may include contingent consideration payments based on future financial measures or product development and integration milestones of an acquired company. We make estimates regarding the fair value of contingent consideration liabilities at the acquisition date and at each reporting date until the contingency is resolved. We estimate the fair value of these liabilities based on financial projection of the acquired companies and estimated probabilities of achievement. We believe our estimates and assumptions are

Table of Contents

reasonable, however, there is significant judgment involved. Changes in the fair value of contingent consideration liabilities subsequent to the acquisition are recorded in general and administrative expense in our consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income, and could cause a material impact to our operating results.

Impairment of Marketable Securities

All marketable securities are classified as available-for-sale securities. We assess our available-for-sale marketable securities on a regular basis for other-than-temporary impairment. Pursuant to accounting guidance effective April 1, 2009, if we have a security with a fair value less than its amortized cost and we intend to sell the security or it is more likely than not we will be required to sell the security before it recovers, other-than temporary impairment has occurred and we must record the entire amount of the impairment in earnings. If we do not intend to sell the security or it is not more likely than not we will be required to sell the security before it recovers, we must estimate the net present value of cash flows expected to be collected. If the amortized cost exceeds the net present value of cash flows, such excess is considered a credit loss and other-than-temporary impairment has occurred. The credit loss component is recognized in earnings and the residual portion of the other-than-temporary impairment is recorded in other comprehensive income. The determination of credit losses requires significant judgment and actual results may be materially different than our estimate. We consider the likely reason for the decline in value, the period of time the fair value was below amortized cost, changes in and performance of the underlying collateral, the ability of the issuer to meet payment obligations, changes in ratings and market trends and conditions. Prior to April 1, 2009, other-than-temporary impairment was recorded based on similar factors, as well as our intent and ability to hold until recovery of loss. Any decline deemed other-than-temporary was recognized in earnings.

We have not recorded any other-than-temporary impairment since April 1, 2009. In 2009, we recorded other-than-temporary impairment of \$0.7 million. In 2008, we recorded other-than-temporary impairment of \$18.5 million, which consisted of \$12.2 million related to corporate bonds and asset-backed and mortgage-backed securities that suffered significant declines in fair value, \$5.0 million related to a single corporate bond that had a significant decline in fair value due to the issuer's bankruptcy and \$1.3 million related to securities for which we did not have the intent and ability to hold until recovery (due to our funding of the Secure acquisition). At December 31, 2010, gross unrealized losses totaled \$0.5 million.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations*****Years Ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008****Net Revenue*

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, a year-over-year comparison of the key components of our net revenue:

	2010	2009	2010 vs. 2009		2008	2009 vs. 2008	
			\$	%		\$	%
(Dollars in thousands)							
Net revenue:							
Service, support and subscription	\$ 1,839,437	\$ 1,739,081	\$ 100,356	6%	\$ 1,467,092	\$ 271,989	19%
Product	225,370	188,251	37,119	20	132,973	55,278	42
Total net revenue	\$ 2,064,807	\$ 1,927,332	\$ 137,475	7%	\$ 1,600,065	\$ 327,267	20%
Net revenue by Geography:							
North America	\$ 1,193,614	\$ 1,091,857	\$ 101,757	9%	\$ 844,937	\$ 246,920	29%
EMEA	527,651	531,763	(4,112)	(1)	502,876	28,887	6
Japan	149,713	138,624	11,089	8	116,567	22,057	19
APAC	115,129	96,277	18,852	20	81,109	15,168	19
Latin America	78,700	68,811	9,889	14	54,576	14,235	26
Total net revenue	\$ 2,064,807	\$ 1,927,332	\$ 137,475	7%	\$ 1,600,065	\$ 327,267	20%

Our net revenue in a specific period is an aggregation of thousands of transactions ranging from high-volume, low-dollar transactions to high-dollar, multiple-element transactions that are individually negotiated. The impact of pricing and volume changes on revenue is complex as substantially all of our transactions contain multiple elements, primarily software licenses and post-contract support. Additionally, approximately 75 to 85% of our revenue in a specific period is derived from prior-period transactions for which revenue has been deferred and is being amortized into income over the period of the arrangement. Therefore, the impact of pricing and volume changes on revenue in a specific period results from transactions in multiple prior periods.

Net Revenue by Geography

Net revenue outside of North America accounted for 42%, 43% and 47% of net revenue for 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Net revenue from North America and EMEA has historically comprised between 80% and 90% of our business.

The increase in net revenue in North America during 2010 was primarily related to (i) an \$83.9 million increase in corporate revenue and (ii) a \$17.8 million increase in consumer revenue. The increase in corporate revenue was primarily attributable to a \$55.0 million increase in revenue from our network security offerings due to increased

revenue from our Secure acquisition along with our IPS offerings and a \$12.9 million increase in revenue from our content security offerings due to increased revenue from our MX Logic acquisition. The increase in revenue from our consumer market was primarily attributable to our continued relationships with strategic channel partners, such as Acer, Adobe, Dell, Sony Computer and Toshiba.

The increase in net revenue in North America during 2009 was primarily related to (i) a \$205.8 million increase in corporate revenue and (ii) a \$41.1 million increase in consumer revenue. The year-over-year increase in corporate revenue was due to a \$103.1 million increase in revenue from our content security offerings, which includes approximately \$60.9 million increase from our Secure acquisition, a \$46.4 million increase in revenue from our network security offerings, primarily due to our Secure acquisition, a \$34.8 million increase in revenue from our system security offerings, a \$15.2 million increase in revenue from our services offerings and a \$6.4 million increase in revenue from our governance, risk and compliance offerings. In 2009, we experienced an increase in the

Table of Contents

sale of our hardware solutions, which resulted in higher upfront revenue recognition. The increase in consumer revenue was due to an increase in our customer base and increased percentage of suite sales from lower-priced suites to higher-priced suites.

The decrease in net revenue in EMEA in 2010 compared to 2009 was attributable to a decline in revenue from our corporate offerings and the negative impact of the U.S. Dollar strengthening against the Euro on an average exchange basis for the period, offset in part by the revenue growth from our consumer offerings. Corporate revenue decreased \$30.7 million due to a \$30.4 million decrease in revenue from our system security offerings, including a \$2.9 million decrease from the effects of the signature file update released on April 21, 2010. Consumer revenue increased \$26.6 million due to an increase in our customer base and our continued relationships with strategic channel partners, such as Acer, Adobe, Dell, Sony Computer, and Toshiba. Included in the increase in corporate revenue and decrease in consumer revenue in EMEA is a negative foreign exchange impact of approximately \$37.2 million in 2010 compared to 2009.

The increase in net revenue in EMEA during 2009 was attributable to revenue growth from both our consumer and corporate offerings, offset by the negative impact of the U.S. Dollar strengthening against the Euro on an average exchange basis in 2009 compared to 2008. The year-over-year increase was primarily attributable to \$46.1 million increase from new revenue attributable to our Secure acquisition and \$23.0 million in consumer revenue due to an increase in our customer base, offset by the negative foreign exchange impact of approximately \$36.4 million in 2009 compared to 2008.

Our Japan, APAC and Latin America operations combined have historically comprised less than 20% of our total net revenue and we expect this trend to continue. In both 2010 and 2009, net revenue in Japan was positively impacted by the weakening U.S. Dollar against the Japanese Yen, which resulted in an approximate \$8.1 million and \$13.4 million contribution to Japan net revenue in 2010 compared to 2009 and in 2009 compared to 2008, respectively. The increase in net revenue from Japan, APAC and Latin America during 2010 compared to 2009 was primarily attributable to increased revenue from our consumer offerings in all geographic regions and increased revenue from our corporate offerings in both APAC and Latin America.

Service, Support and Subscription Revenue

The increase in service, support and subscription revenue in 2010 compared 2009 was attributable to (i) an increase in sales of support and subscription renewals to existing and new customers, (ii) amortization of previously deferred revenue from support arrangements, (iii) increases in our online subscription arrangements due to our continued relationships with strategic partners such as Acer, Adobe, Dell, Sony Computer and Toshiba and (iv) increases in royalties from sales by our strategic channel partners. In addition, we have expanded our support offerings to include premium-level services. Revenue from consulting increased due to growth in integration and implementation services.

The increase in service, support and subscription revenue in 2009 compared 2008 was attributable to (i) an increase in sales of support and subscription renewals to existing and new customers, (ii) amortization of previously deferred revenue from support arrangements, (iii) increases in our online subscription arrangements due to our continued relationships with strategic partners such as Acer, Dell, Sony Computer and Toshiba, (iv) increases in revenue from our McAfee Total Protection Service for small and mid-market businesses and (v) increases in royalties from sales by our strategic channel partners. In addition, we have expanded our support offerings to include premium-level services. Revenue from consulting increased due to growth in integration and implementation services.

Although we expect our service, support and subscription revenue to continue to increase, our growth rate and net revenue depend significantly on renewals of support arrangements as well as our ability to respond successfully to the pace of technological change and expand our customer base. If our renewal rate or our pace of new customer

acquisition slows, our net revenue and operating results would be adversely affected.

Table of Contents*Product Revenue*

The increase in product revenue in 2010 compared to 2009 was attributable to (i) increased revenue from our Secure acquisition, (ii) increased revenue from our network security solutions, primarily our IPS offerings, that have a higher hardware content and, therefore, more upfront revenue realization and (iii) increased revenue from our data protection solutions and upgrade initiatives related to our total protection solutions.

The increase in product revenue in 2009 compared to 2008 was attributable to (i) increased revenue from our Secure acquisition, (ii) increased revenue from our network security solutions which have higher hardware content and, therefore, more upfront revenue realization, (iii) increased revenue from our data protection solutions and upgrade initiatives related to our total protection solutions.

Cost of Net Revenue

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, a comparison of cost of revenue:

	2010	2009	2010 vs. 2009		2008	2009 vs. 2008	
			\$	%		\$	%
(Dollars in thousands)							
Cost of net revenue:							
Service, support and subscription	\$ 358,542	\$ 308,222	\$ 50,320	16%	\$ 254,083	\$ 54,139	21%
Product	118,264	100,204	18,060	18	72,634	27,570	38
Amortization of purchased technology	80,742	77,961	2,781	4	56,811	21,150	37
Total cost of net revenue	\$ 557,548	\$ 486,387	\$ 71,161	15%	\$ 383,528	\$ 102,859	27%
Components of gross margin:							
Service, support and subscription	\$ 1,480,895	\$ 1,430,859			\$ 1,213,009		
Product	107,106	88,047			60,339		
Amortization of purchased technology	(80,742)	(77,961)			(56,811)		
Total gross margin	\$ 1,507,259	\$ 1,440,945			\$ 1,216,537		
Total gross margin percentage	73%	75%			76%		

Cost of Service, Support and Subscription Revenue

Cost of service, support and subscription revenue consists primarily of costs related to the sale of online subscription arrangements and the costs of providing customer support, training, and consulting services which include salaries, benefits, and stock-based compensation for employees and fees related to professional service subcontractors. The costs related to the sale of online subscription arrangements include revenue-share arrangements and royalties paid to our strategic partners as well as the costs of media, manuals and packaging related to our subscription-based product offerings. The cost of service, support and subscription revenue increased in 2010 compared to 2009 due to (i) increased infrastructure costs, (ii) increased costs related to our revenue share arrangements and (iii) increased cost related to customer and technical support.

The cost of service, support and subscription revenue increased in 2009 compared to 2008 due to (i) increased costs related to customer and technical support primarily attributable to the acquisition of Secure, and (ii) increased professional services costs related to consulting services. The cost of service, support and subscription revenue as a percentage of service, support and subscription revenue increased slightly compared to 2008 primarily attributable to the addition of Secure customer support, training and consulting personnel, offset by increased service contracts and support renewals.

Table of Contents

We anticipate the cost of service, support and subscription revenue will increase in absolute dollars during 2011 driven primarily by (i) increased demand for our subscription-based products with associated revenue-sharing costs, (ii) increased costs attributable to providing customer and technical support to existing and new customers and (iii) increased infrastructure costs.

Cost of Product Revenue

Cost of product revenue consists primarily of the cost of media, manuals and packaging for products distributed through traditional channels and, with respect to hardware-based security products, the cost of computer platforms, other hardware and embedded third-party components and technologies. The cost of product revenue for 2010 increased from 2009 due primarily to (i) increased transactions associated with our network security solutions, primarily our IPS offerings and (ii) increased freight charges.

The cost of product revenue for 2009 increased from 2008 due primarily to additional hardware transactions as a result of the acquisition of Secure. The cost of product revenue as a percentage of product revenue for 2009 decreased compared to 2008 primarily due to an increase in both the number and size of higher margin corporate transactions sold to customers through a solution selling approach.

We anticipate that cost of product revenue will increase in absolute dollars during 2011 due to mix and size of certain enterprise-related transactions.

Amortization of Purchased Technology

The increase in amortization of purchased technology in 2010 compared to 2009 was primarily attributable to our acquisition of Solidcore in June 2009 and MX Logic in September 2009, offset by purchased technology that became fully amortized during 2009. Amortization for purchased technology related to both Solidcore and MX Logic was \$10.1 million in 2010 compared to \$4.1 million in 2009.

The increase in amortization of purchased technology in 2009 compared to 2008 was primarily attributable to our acquisition of Secure in November 2008. Amortization for the purchased technology related to this acquisition was \$27.7 million in 2009.

We expect amortization of purchased technology to decrease in absolute dollars during 2011 as a result of certain purchased technology acquired in previous acquisitions becoming fully amortized in 2011.

Gross Margin

Our gross margin decreased as a percentage of revenue in 2010 compared to 2009 due primarily to (i) our product mix and (ii) an increase in the cost of service, support and subscription revenue as a percentage of service, support and subscription revenue. Our gross margin decreased as a percentage of revenue slightly in 2009 compared to 2008 due primarily to (i) our product mix, (ii) the increase in the cost of service, support and subscription revenue as a percentage of service, support and subscription revenue, and (iii) amortization of purchased technology related to acquisitions made during the year.

Stock-based Compensation Expense

Stock-based compensation expense consists of expense associated with all stock-based awards made to our employees and outside directors. Our stock-based awards include options, RSUs, RSAs, PSUs and ESPP grants.

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, a comparison of our stock-based compensation expenses.

	2010	2009	2010 vs. 2009		2008	2009 vs. 2008	
			\$	%		\$	%
(Dollars in thousands)							
Stock-based compensation expense	\$ 119,481	\$ 109,094	\$ 10,387	10%	\$ 76,881	\$ 32,213	42%

The \$10.4 million increase in stock-based compensation expense during 2010 compared to 2009 was primarily attributable to (i) a \$9.4 million increase in expense relating to increased grants of RSUs and (ii) a \$6.9 million

Table of Contents

increase in expense related to increased grants of PSUs, offset by a \$6.1 million decrease in expense relating to the cash settlement of certain expired options in 2009.

The \$32.2 million increase in stock-based compensation expense during 2009 compared to 2008 was primarily attributable to (i) a \$22.7 million increase in expense relating to increased grants of RSUs, PSUs and options and assumed RSAs and RSUs from the 2008 acquisition of Secure, (ii) a \$6.4 million increase in expense relating to the cash settlement of certain expired options and (iii) a \$3.7 million increase in expense related to reinstating our ESPP in June 2008.

See Note 14 to the consolidated financial statements for additional information.

Operating Costs*Research and Development*

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, a comparison of our research and development expenses.

	2010	2009	2010 vs. 2009		2008	2009 vs. 2008	
			\$	%		\$	%
Research and development(1)	\$ 346,083	\$ 324,368	\$ 21,715	7%	\$ 252,020	\$ 72,348	29%
Percentage of net revenue	17%	17%			16%		

(1) Includes stock-based compensation expense of \$32.4 million, \$27.0 million and \$18.5 million in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Research and development expenses consist primarily of salary, benefits, and stock-based compensation for our development and a portion of our technical support staff, contractors fees and other costs associated with the enhancement of existing products and services and development of new products and services. The increase in research and development expenses in 2010 compared to 2009 was primarily attributable to (i) a \$6.1 million increase in salary and benefit expense for individuals performing research and development activities due to an increase in headcount and salary increases, (ii) a \$5.4 million increase in stock-based compensation expense, (iii) a \$2.6 million acquired intangible asset expensed in 2010, (iv) a \$2.1 million increase in the use of third-party contractors for research and development activities and (v) increases in various other expenses associated with research and development activities, including increased equipment and depreciation costs. The overall increase in research and development expenses in 2010 compared to 2009 included a net increase of \$2.1 million due to the net impact of foreign exchange rates, primarily driven by the average U.S. Dollar exchange rate weakening against many of the foreign currencies in which we do business.

The increase in research and development expenses in 2009 compared to 2008 was primarily attributable to (i) a \$44.5 million increase in salary and benefit expense for individuals performing research and development activities due to an increase in headcount primarily related to our Secure acquisition, (ii) an \$8.5 million increase in stock-based compensation expense, (iii) a \$7.8 million increase in equipment and depreciation expense and (iv) increases in various other expenses associated with research and development activities. The overall increase in research and

development expenses in 2009 compared to 2008 included a net decrease of \$10.0 million due to the net impact of foreign exchange rates, primarily driven by the average U.S. Dollar exchange rate strengthening against foreign currencies.

We believe that continued investment in product development is critical to attaining our strategic objectives. We expect research and development expenses will increase in absolute dollars during 2011.

Table of Contents*Sales and Marketing*

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, a comparison of our sales and marketing expenses.

	2010	2009	2010 vs. 2009		2008	2009 vs. 2008		
			\$	%		\$	%	
			(Dollars in thousands)					
Sales and marketing(1)	\$ 656,646	\$ 642,026	\$ 14,620	2%	\$ 536,944	\$ 105,082	20%	
Percentage of net revenue	32%	33%			34%			

(1) Includes stock-based compensation expense of \$48.9 million, \$47.7 million and \$33.1 million in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Sales and marketing expenses consist primarily of salary, commissions, stock-based compensation and benefits and costs associated with travel for sales and marketing personnel, advertising and marketing promotions. The increase in sales and marketing expenses during 2010 compared to 2009 reflected (i) a \$29.6 million increase in salary and benefit expense, including commissions, for individuals performing sales and marketing activities due to an increase in headcount and salary increases and (ii) increases in various other expenses associated with sales and marketing activities, offset by an \$21.7 million decrease driven by renegotiated agreements with certain PC OEM partners. The increase in sales and marketing expenses during 2010 compared to 2009 included a net increase of \$5.1 million due to the net impact of foreign exchange rates, primarily driven by the average U.S. Dollar exchange rate weakening against many of the foreign currencies in which we do business.

The increase in sales and marketing expenses during 2009 compared to 2008 reflected (i) a \$63.9 million increase in salary and benefit expense, including commissions, for individuals performing sales and marketing activities due to an increase in headcount primarily related to our Secure acquisition and increased commissions, (ii) a \$24.0 million increase related to agreements with certain PC OEM partners, (iii) a \$14.6 million increase in stock-based compensation expense and (iv) increases in various other expenses associated with sales and marketing activities. The increase in sales and marketing expenses during 2009 compared to 2008 included a net decrease of \$14.0 million due to the net impact of foreign exchange rates, primarily driven by the average U.S. Dollar exchange rate strengthening against foreign currencies.

We anticipate that sales and marketing expenses will increase in absolute dollars during 2011 primarily due to our planned branding initiatives and our additional investment in sales capacity.

General and Administrative

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, a comparison of our general and administrative expenses.

2010	2009	2010 vs. 2009		2008	2009 vs. 2008	
		\$	%		\$	%
(Dollars in thousands)						

Edgar Filing: McAfee, Inc. - Form 10-K

General and administrative(1)	\$ 203,682	\$ 197,696	\$ 5,986	3%	\$ 193,784	\$ 3,912	2%
Percentage of net revenue	10%	10%			12%		

(1) Includes stock-based compensation expense of \$30.5 million, \$28.3 million and \$21.6 million in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

General and administrative expenses consist principally of salary, stock-based compensation and benefit costs for executive and administrative personnel, professional services and other general corporate activities. The increase in general and administrative expenses during 2010 compared to 2009 was primarily attributable to (i) \$7.0 million of costs in 2010 associated with our pending acquisition by Intel, (ii) a benefit in 2009 of \$6.5 million due to a reimbursement from an insurance carrier for legal fees incurred related to the cost of defense in connection with our investigation of historical stock option granting practices that concluded in 2007, and (iii) increases in various expenses associated with general and administrative activities, including increased

Table of Contents

salary and benefit costs for executive and administrative personnel, offset by a \$12.2 million decrease in legal expenses primarily associated with patent infringement lawsuits.

The increase in general and administrative expenses during 2009 compared to 2008 reflected (i) a \$6.7 million increase in stock-based compensation expense, (ii) a \$5.5 million benefit recognized in 2008 related to the change in fair value of certain stock options, (iii) a \$3.4 million increase in salary and benefits for individuals performing general and administrative activities due to an increase in average headcount and (iv) increases in various other expenses associated with general and administrative activities, offset by \$13.0 million decrease in legal expenses and settlement costs, primarily related to decreases in costs associated with indemnification of our current and former officers and directors, as well as a \$6.5 million reimbursement from an insurance carrier for legal fees incurred related to cost of defense incurred in connection with our investigation of historical stock option granting practices that concluded in 2007.

We anticipate that general and administrative expenses will decrease in absolute dollars during 2011 primarily due to a decrease in acquisition-related expenses.

Amortization of Intangibles

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, a comparison of the amortization of intangibles.

	2010	2009	2009 vs. 2008		2008	2008 vs. 2007	
			\$	%		\$	%
(Dollars in thousands)							
Amortization of intangibles	\$ 29,743	\$ 40,718	\$ (10,975)	(27)%	\$ 26,470	\$ 14,248	54%

Intangibles consist primarily of customer-related intangible assets. The decrease in amortization of intangibles during 2010 compared to 2009 was due to (i) decreased amortization of Secure's customer-related intangible assets that are being amortized using an accelerated method and (ii) certain intangibles acquired in previous acquisitions becoming fully amortized in the fourth quarter of 2009, offset by the acquisitions of Solidcore in June 2009 and MX Logic in September 2009, in which we acquired \$38.0 million of customer-related intangible assets. The increase in amortization of intangibles during 2009 compared to 2008 was primarily attributable to our acquisition of Secure in November 2008, in which we acquired \$51.2 million of customer-related intangible assets.

Assuming no new acquisitions, we expect amortization of intangibles will decrease in absolute dollars during 2011 as a result of certain intangibles acquired in previous acquisitions becoming fully amortized in 2011.

Restructuring Charges (Benefits)

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, a comparison of our restructuring charges.

	2010	2009	2010 vs. 2009		2008	2009 vs. 2008	
			\$	%		\$	%
(Dollars in thousands)							
	\$ 41,683	\$ 13,830	\$ 27,853	*	\$ (1,752)	\$ 15,582	*

Restructuring charges
(benefits)

* Calculation not meaningful

Restructuring charges in 2010 totaled \$41.7 million, of which \$27.5 million related to nine facilities that were vacated in 2010 including our previous Santa Clara headquarters, \$14.0 million related to the elimination of certain positions and \$0.2 million primarily related to accretion on facilities vacated in previous years.

Restructuring charges in 2009 totaled \$13.8 million, of which \$11.3 million primarily related to the realignment of our sales and marketing workforce and staffing across various other departments and an accrual over the service period for our elimination of certain positions related to acquisitions, \$3.1 million primarily related to additional accrual over the service period for our 2008 elimination of certain positions at Secure and accretion of lease exit costs and \$1.7 million primarily related to our 2009 restructuring of two facilities. These charges were partially offset by a \$2.4 million restructuring benefit related to our re-occupying previously vacated space in our Santa Clara facility and terminating sublease agreements for that facility that we had previously restructured in 2003 and 2004.

Table of Contents

Restructuring benefit in 2008 totaled \$1.8 million. We recorded an \$8.4 million benefit, net of accretion, related primarily to changes in previous estimates of base rent and sublease income for the Santa Clara lease, which was restructured in 2003 and 2004 offset by a charge of \$6.6 million related to the elimination of certain positions at SafeBoot and Secure that were redundant to positions at McAfee, the realignment of our sales force, and the realignment of staffing across all departments. See Note 8 to our consolidated financial statements for a description of restructuring activities.

In-process Research and Development

During 2008, we recorded \$19.5 million for in-process research and development related to the acquisition of Secure in November 2008, which was fully expensed upon purchase because technological feasibility had not been achieved and there was no alternative use for the projects under development. The 2008 in-process research and development included our Firewall Sidewinder, Webwasher and Mail products, which have all been completed as of December 31, 2010. Due to the implementation of new accounting guidance in 2009, in-process research and development is no longer immediately expensed upon closing of an acquisition.

Interest and Other Income, Net

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, a comparison of our interest and other income.

	2010	2009	2010 vs. 2009		2008	2009 vs. 2008		
			\$	%		\$	%	
			(Dollars in thousands)					
Interest and other income, net	\$ 68	\$ 2,202	\$ (2,134)	(97)%	\$ 45,687	\$ (43,485)	(95)%	

Interest and other income, net includes interest earned on investments and interest expense related to our credit facility, as well as net foreign currency transaction gains or losses and net forward contract gains or losses. The decrease in interest and other income, net in 2010 compared to 2009 was primarily due to an increase in net foreign currency transaction losses of \$1.7 million and a decrease in interest income of \$2.8 million, offset by decreased interest expense of \$2.1 million. The decrease in interest income was primarily due to a lower average rate of annualized return on the investments in 2010 compared to 2009. Interest expense in 2009 included interest on our outstanding bank term loan during the period. During 2010, interest expense included commitment fees on our unused credit facility. See additional information regarding our credit facilities in *Liquidity and Capital Resources* below.

The decrease in interest and other income, net in 2009 compared to 2008 was primarily due to a decrease in interest income of \$30.3 million, interest expense in 2009 of \$4.9 million and net foreign currency transaction losses of \$2.4 million in 2009 compared to net foreign currency transaction gains of \$6.4 million in 2008. The decrease in interest income in 2009 compared to 2008 was primarily due to (i) a lower average rate of return on our investments from approximately 4% in 2008 to 1% in 2009 and (ii) a decrease in our average cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities of approximately \$200 million in 2009 compared to 2008. Interest expense in 2009 included interest on our outstanding bank term loan during the period.

We anticipate that interest and other income, net will be flat in 2011 compared to 2010.

Impairment of Marketable Securities

We did not have any impairment of securities in 2010. During 2009 and 2008, we recorded an expense for other-than-temporary impairments on certain of our marketable securities of \$0.7 million and \$18.5 million, respectively. Economic conditions had widespread negative effects on the markets for debt securities in 2009 and 2008. The 2009 and 2008 other-than-temporary impairments were recorded on certain of our asset-backed and mortgage-backed securities, which had significant declines in fair value, as well as one corporate debt security due to the issuer declaring bankruptcy. We currently hold some asset-backed and mortgage-backed securities purchased in prior periods, but do not plan to acquire these types of securities in future periods. Pursuant to accounting guidance effective in the second quarter of 2009, other-than-temporary impairment on our marketable securities is now based on our determination of whether the security will be sold prior to recovery or if our cost basis in the securities will be recovered. Further deterioration in the underlying collateral of our asset-backed and collateralized mortgage securities could result in additional impairment charges, as will collectability issues on our corporate debt securities.

Table of Contents*Gain on Sale of Investments, net*

In 2010, 2009 and 2008, we recognized net gains on the sale of marketable securities of \$0.2 million, \$0.4 million and \$5.5 million, respectively. Our investments are classified as available-for-sale, and we may sell securities from time to time to move funds into investments with more lucrative yields for liquidity purposes or, in the case of 2008, given the current economic environment, into investments that are considered more conservative, thus resulting in gains and losses on sale.

Provision for Income Taxes

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, a year-over-year comparison of our provision for income taxes.

	2010	2009	2010 vs. 2009		2008	2009 vs. 2008	
			\$	%		\$	%
			(Dollars in thousands)				
Provision for income taxes	\$ 45,528	\$ 50,803	\$ (5,275)	(10)%	\$ 49,997	\$ 806	2%
Effective tax rate	20%	23%			23%		

Tax expense was 20% of income before taxes in 2010 and 23% of income before income taxes in both 2009 and 2008. The effective tax rate for 2010 differs from the U.S. federal statutory rate (statutory rate) due to benefits of foreign tax credits, research and development credits, lower tax rates in certain jurisdictions, and adjustments to tax exposures. In addition, the company recognized a net benefit related to the change in judgment regarding our ability to utilize certain foreign tax credits in future years. These benefits were partially offset by tax effects of stock compensation and deemed repatriations of earnings from foreign subsidiaries. The decrease in the 2010 tax rate as compared to 2009 is primarily related to release of valuation allowance on the foreign tax credit in 2010 as well as benefits related to refunds of withholding taxes due to changes in law or resolution of tax exams.

During the fourth quarter of 2010, the company recognized a net tax benefit as a result of the reenactment of the U.S. federal research and development credit, beneficial adjustments related to return filings, and release of the valuation allowance on foreign tax credits.

The effective tax rate for 2009 differs from the statutory rate due to the benefits of foreign tax credits, research and development credits and lower tax rates in certain jurisdictions. These benefits are partially offset by tax effects of stock compensation, deemed repatriations of earnings from foreign subsidiaries and adjustments to tax exposures and valuation allowances. The tax rate was unchanged from 2008 to 2009 as we had a tax benefit of a shift in jurisdictional earnings in 2009 and a benefit of the release of valuation allowance against income in higher tax jurisdictions in 2008.

The effective tax rate for 2008 differs from the statutory rate due to the benefits of releasing valuation allowance on our foreign tax credits, research and development credits and lower tax rates in certain jurisdictions. These benefits were partially offset by tax on deemed repatriations of earnings from foreign subsidiaries, the tax effects of stock compensation, and the expensing of \$19.5 million in-process research and development related to the Secure acquisition.

Our future tax rates could be adversely affected if pretax earnings are proportionally less than amounts in prior years in countries where we have lower statutory rates or by unfavorable changes in tax laws and regulations. We cannot reasonably estimate the impact to our future effective tax rates for possible changes in earnings or tax laws and regulations.

The earnings from our foreign operations in India are subject to a tax holiday. In August 2009, the Indian government extended the period through which the holiday would be effective to March 31, 2011. The tax holiday provides for zero percent taxation on certain classes of income and requires certain conditions to be met. We were in compliance with these conditions as of December 31, 2010.

In 2010, we concluded the examinations in the United States for the calendar years 2006 and 2007, in Germany for the years 2002 to 2007 and in Japan for the years 2007 to 2009. The conclusion of these examinations did not have a material impact on the financial statements. We continue to be under audit in the United States and other

Table of Contents

jurisdictions. The Internal Revenue Service is presently conducting an examination of our federal income tax returns for the calendar years 2008 and 2009. We are also currently under examination by the State of California for the years 2004 to 2007.

Reconciliation of GAAP to Non-GAAP Financial Measures

The following presentation includes non-GAAP measures. Our non-GAAP measures are not meant to be considered in isolation or as a substitute for comparable GAAP measures. For a detailed explanation of the adjustments made to comparable GAAP measures, the reasons why management uses these measures, the usefulness of these measures and the material limitation of these measures, see items (1) – (13) below.

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
	(In thousands, except per share data)		
Operating income:			
GAAP operating income	\$ 229,422	\$ 222,307	\$ 189,571
Impact of signature file update(1)	7,923		
Stock-based compensation expense(2)	119,481	109,094	77,263
Amortization of purchased technology(3)	80,742	77,961	56,811
Amortization of intangibles(3)	29,743	40,718	26,470
Restructuring charges (benefits)(4)	41,683	13,830	(1,752)
Acquisition-related costs(5)	16,598	34,448	7,430
Litigation-related and other costs(6)	4,250	5,525	14,989
Acquired intangible asset expensed to research and development(7)	2,582		2,000
Loss on sale/disposal of assets and technology(8)	414	474	193
In-process research and development(9)			19,500
Change in fair value of stock-based liability awards(10)			(5,483)
Non-GAAP operating income	\$ 532,838	\$ 504,357	\$ 386,992
Net income:			
GAAP net income	\$ 184,112	\$ 173,420	\$ 172,209
Impact of signature file update(1)	7,923		
Stock-based compensation expense(2)	119,481	109,094	77,263
Amortization of purchased technology(3)	80,742	77,961	56,811
Amortization of intangibles(3)	29,743	40,718	26,470
Restructuring charges (benefits)(4)	41,683	13,830	(1,752)
Acquisition-related costs(5)	16,598	34,448	7,430
Litigation-related and other costs(6)	4,250	5,525	14,989
Acquired intangible asset expensed to research and development(7)	2,582		2,000
Loss on sale/disposal of assets and technology(8)	414	474	193
In-process research and development(9)			19,500
Change in fair value of stock-based liability awards(10)			(5,483)
Marketable securities (accretion) impairment(11)	(1,499)	60	18,533
Provision for income taxes(12)	45,528	50,803	49,997
Non-GAAP income before provision for income taxes	531,557	506,333	438,160

Edgar Filing: McAfee, Inc. - Form 10-K

Non-GAAP provision for income taxes(13)	127,574	121,520	118,303
Non-GAAP net income	\$ 403,983	\$ 384,813	\$ 319,857
Net income per share diluted *:			
GAAP net income per share diluted	\$ 1.17	\$ 1.09	\$ 1.08
Stock-based compensation expense per share(2)	0.76	0.69	0.48
Other adjustments per share(1),(3)-(13)	0.64	0.64	0.44
Non-GAAP net income per share diluted*	\$ 2.57	\$ 2.42	\$ 2.01
Shares used to compute non-GAAP net income per share diluted	157,385	158,988	159,406

Table of Contents

- * Non-GAAP net income per share is computed independently for each period presented. The sum of GAAP net income per share and non-GAAP adjustments may not equal non-GAAP net income per share due to rounding differences.

The non-GAAP financial measures are non-GAAP operating income, non-GAAP net income and non-GAAP net income per share diluted, which adjust for the following items: the impact of signature file update, stock-based compensation expense, amortization of purchased technology and intangibles, restructuring charges (benefits), acquisition-related costs, litigation-related and other costs, acquired intangible asset expensed to research and development, loss on sale/disposal of assets and technology, in-process research and development, change in fair value of stock-based liability awards, marketable securities (accretion) impairment, income taxes and certain other items. We believe that the presentation of these non-GAAP financial measures is useful to investors, and such measures are used by our management, for the reasons associated with each of the adjusting items as described below:

- (1) *Impact of signature file update* primarily reflects the negative impact during the three months ended June 30, 2010, related to prior-period deferred revenue and additional costs incurred. The deferred revenue was originally scheduled to be recognized from the balance sheet and was delayed into future periods due to actions we took when providing customer care packages to our customers related to our release in April of an anti-virus signature file update that impacted some of our customers. We consider our operating results without this impact when evaluating our ongoing performance as we believe that the exclusion allows for more accurate comparisons of our financial results to previous periods. In addition, we believe it is useful to investors to understand the specific impact of the signature file update on our operating results.
- (2) *Stock-based compensation expense* consists of expense relating to stock-based awards issued to employees and outside directors including stock options, restricted stock awards and units, restricted stock units with performance-based vesting and our Employee Stock Purchase Plan. Because of varying available valuation methodologies, subjective assumptions and the variety of award types, we believe that the exclusion of stock-based compensation expense allows for more accurate comparisons of our operating results to our peer companies, and for a more accurate comparison of our financial results to previous periods. In addition, we believe it is useful to investors to understand the specific impact of stock-based compensation expense on our operating results.
- (3) *Amortization of purchased technology and intangibles* are non-cash charges that can be impacted by the timing and magnitude of our acquisitions. We consider our operating results without these charges when evaluating our ongoing performance and/or predicting our earnings trends, and therefore exclude such charges when presenting non-GAAP financial measures. We believe the assessment of our operations excluding these costs is relevant to our assessment of internal operations and comparisons to the performance of other companies in our industry.
- (4) *Restructuring charges (benefits)* include excess facility and asset-related restructuring charges and severance costs resulting from reductions of personnel driven by modifications to our business strategy, such as acquisitions or divestitures. These costs may vary in size based on our restructuring plan. In addition, our assumptions are continually evaluated, which may increase or reduce the charges in a specific period. Our management excludes these costs when evaluating our ongoing performance and/or predicting our earnings trends, and therefore excludes these charges when presenting non-GAAP financial measures.
- (5) *Acquisition-related costs* include direct costs of the acquisition and expenses related to acquisition integration activities. Examples of costs directly related to an acquisition include transactions fees, due diligence costs, acquisition retention bonuses and severance, fair value adjustments related to contingent consideration, amounts

or recoveries subject to escrow provisions, and certain legal costs related to acquired litigation. Additionally, we have included direct costs related to our pending acquisition by Intel. These expenses vary significantly in size and amount and are disregarded by our management when evaluating and predicting earnings trends because these charges are unique to specific acquisitions, and are therefore excluded by us when presenting non-GAAP financial measures.

- (6) *Litigation-related and other costs* are charges related to discrete and unusual events where we have incurred significant costs which, in our view, are not incurred in the ordinary course of operations. Examples of such

Table of Contents

charges include litigation and investigation-related charges. Our management excludes these costs when evaluating our ongoing performance and/or predicting our earnings trends, and therefore excludes these charges when presenting non-GAAP financial measures. Further, we believe it is useful to investors to understand the specific impact of these charges on our operating results.

- (7) *Acquired intangible asset expensed to research and development* is related to the purchase of an intangible asset which was expensed to research and development. Our management excludes this cost when evaluating our ongoing performance and/or predicting our earnings trends, and therefore excludes this cost when presenting non-GAAP financial measures. Further, we believe it is useful to investors to understand the specific impact of this cost on our operating results.
- (8) *Loss on sale/disposal of assets and technology* relate to the sale or disposal of our assets. These losses or gains can vary significantly in size and amount. Our management excludes these losses or gains when evaluating our ongoing performance and/or predicting our earnings trends, and therefore excludes these items when presenting non-GAAP financial measures. In addition, in periods where we realize gains or incur losses on the sale of assets and/or technology, we believe it is useful to investors to highlight the specific impact of these amounts on our operating results.
- (9) *In-process research and development* constitute non-cash charges that vary significantly in size and amount depending on the business combination and, therefore, are disregarded by our management when evaluating our ongoing performance and/or predicting our earnings trends. We believe it is useful to investors to understand the specific impact of these charges on our operating results.
- (10) *Change in fair value of stock-based liability awards* constitutes the expense or benefit associated with the change in fair value of stock-based liability awards at the end of the each reporting period. Our management excludes these (benefits) costs when evaluating our ongoing performance and/or predicting our earnings trends, and therefore excludes these amounts when presenting non-GAAP financial measures.
- (11) *Marketable securities (accretion) impairment* includes other than temporary declines in the fair value of our available-for-sale securities and subsequent recoveries of these losses. Our management excludes this loss/income when evaluating our ongoing performance and/or predicting our earnings trends, and therefore excludes this loss/income when presenting non-GAAP financial measures.
- (12) *Provision for income taxes* is our GAAP provision that must be added back to GAAP net income to reconcile to non-GAAP income before taxes.
- (13) *Non-GAAP provision for income taxes* reflects a 24% non-GAAP effective tax rate in 2010 and 2009 and a 27% non-GAAP effect tax rate in 2008 which is used by our management to calculate non-GAAP net income. Management believed that the 24% and 27% effective tax rate in each respective period is reflective of a long-term normalized tax rate under the global McAfee legal entity and operating structure as of the respective period end.

Non-GAAP Operating Income

The \$28.5 million increase in non-GAAP operating income (which is adjusted for certain items excluded by management when evaluating our ongoing performance and/or predicting our earnings trends) for 2010 compared to 2009 resulted from a \$143.6 million increase in non-GAAP net revenue that exceeded (i) the \$68.8 million increase in non-GAAP costs of net revenue primarily related to increased costs related to our online subscription arrangements and (ii) the \$46.3 million increase in non-GAAP operating expenses that was primarily related to an increase in

salaries and benefits due to an increase in headcount and increased legal expense included in the calculation of non-GAAP operating income in 2010 compared to 2009 due to a benefit in 2009 from a \$6.5 million insurance reimbursement.

The \$117.4 million increase in non-GAAP operating income in 2009 compared to 2008 was primarily attributable to the overall growth of the company, including a \$327.3 million increase in revenue, offset by a \$109.6 million increase in salaries and benefits, a \$24.0 million increase related to agreements with certain PC OEM partners and increases in various other expenses.

Table of Contents***Non-GAAP Net Income***

The \$19.2 million increase in non-GAAP net income in 2010 compared to 2009 resulted from the increases in non-GAAP operating income described above.

The \$65.0 million increase in non-GAAP net income in 2009 compared to 2008 was primarily attributable to items discussed above under operating income offset by a \$43.5 million decrease in interest and other income primarily attributable to lower yields and lower cash and marketable securities balances, decreased foreign currency transaction gains, and increased interest expense associated with our credit facility.

Acquisitions***Trust Digital***

In June 2010, we acquired 100% of the outstanding shares of Trust Digital, a provider of enterprise management and security software for mobile devices for a total purchase price of \$32.5 million. With this acquisition, we plan to deliver a comprehensive mobile security solution. The results of operations for Trust Digital have been included in our results of operations since the date of acquisition.

MX Logic

In September 2009, we acquired 100% of the outstanding shares of MX Logic, a Software-as-a-Service provider of on-demand email, web security and archiving solutions for a total purchase price of \$163.1 million. The MX Logic purchase agreement provided for earn-out payments totaling up to \$30.0 million contingent upon the achievement of certain MX Logic revenue targets. With this acquisition, we plan to deliver a comprehensive, cloud-based security portfolio. The results of operations for MX Logic have been included in our results of operations since the date of acquisition.

Secure

In November 2008, we acquired Secure for \$490.1 million. With this acquisition, we deliver a complete network security portfolio covering intrusion prevention, firewall, web security, email security and data protection, and network access control to organizations of all sizes. The results of operations for Secure have been included in our results of operations since the date of acquisition.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 594,640	\$ 496,384	\$ 308,322
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	\$ (298,754)	\$ (387,832)	\$ 200,226
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	\$ (215,640)	\$ 70,114	\$ (371,962)

Overview

At December 31, 2010, our cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities totaled \$1,183.5 million. Our principal sources of liquidity were our existing cash, cash equivalents and short-term marketable securities of \$1,098.5 million

and our operating cash flows. Our principal uses of cash were operating costs, which consist primarily of employee-related expenses, such as compensation and benefits, as well as other general operating expenses, partner and OEM arrangements, acquisitions, and purchases of marketable securities.

During 2010, we used \$300.0 million to repurchase common stock in the open market, \$170.4 million for the net purchase of marketable securities, \$86.9 million for purchases of property and equipment and \$28.5 million to repurchase shares of common stock in connection with our obligation to holders of RSUs, RSAs and PSUs to withhold the number of shares required to satisfy the holders' tax liabilities in connection with the vesting of such shares. We also used \$51.9 million for the acquisitions of Trust Digital, tenCube and InternetSafety.com, net of cash

Table of Contents

acquired. In addition, during 2010, our cash was negatively impacted by \$19.0 million, primarily due to the U.S. Dollar strengthening against the Euro. Approximately 61% of our cash is held in European markets.

We classify our investment portfolio as available-for-sale, and our investments are made with a policy of capital preservation and liquidity as the primary objectives. We generally hold investments in money market, U.S. government fixed income, U.S. government agency fixed income and investment grade corporate fixed income securities to maturity. We may sell an investment at any time if the quality rating of the investment declines, the yield on the investment is no longer attractive or we are in need of cash. We expect to continue our investing activities, including holding investment securities of a short-term and long-term nature. During the current challenging markets, we are investing cash in instruments with short to medium-term maturities of highly-rated issuers, including U.S. government and FDIC guaranteed investments.

In December 2008, we entered into a credit agreement with a group of financial institutions, which we amended in February 2010 (Credit Facility). The Credit Facility provides for a \$450.0 million unsecured revolving credit facility with a \$25.0 million letter of credit sublimit. Subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions, we may further increase the revolving loan commitments to an aggregate of \$600.0 million. We borrowed \$100.0 million under the term loan portion of the Credit Facility in January 2009 and paid the principal and accrued interest on our term loan in December 2009. We had no amounts outstanding under the Credit Facility as of December 31, 2009. We had no amounts outstanding under the Credit Facility at any point during 2010.

Our management continues to monitor the financial markets and general global economic conditions as a result of the recent distress in the financial markets. As we monitor market conditions, our liquidity position and strategic initiatives, we may seek either short-term or long-term financing from external credit sources in addition to the credit facilities discussed herein. Our ability to raise funds may be adversely affected by a number of factors, including factors beyond our control, such as the current weakness in the economic conditions in the markets in which we operate and into which we sell our products, and increased uncertainty in the financial, capital and credit markets. There can be no assurance that additional financing would be available on terms acceptable to us, if at all.

Our management plans to use our cash and cash equivalents for future operations, potential acquisitions and earn-out payments related to current acquisitions. We may in the future repurchase our common stock on the open market though we do not expect this to occur while the acquisition by Intel remains pending. We believe that our cash and cash equivalent balances and cash that we generate over time from operations, along with amounts available for borrowing under the Credit Facility, will be sufficient to satisfy our anticipated cash needs for working capital and capital expenditures for at least the next 12 months and the foreseeable future.

Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities in 2010, 2009 and 2008 was primarily the result of our net income of \$184.1 million, \$173.4 million and \$172.2 million, respectively, net of non-cash related expenses. During 2010, our primary working capital sources were increased deferred revenue, which was attributable to growing sales of maintenance renewals from our expanding customer base and increased sales of subscription-based offerings and increased accrued compensation and benefits and other liabilities. Our primary working capital uses of cash were increased accounts receivable due to increased invoicing over collections at the end of the year and increased prepaid expenses, deferred costs of revenue and other assets. The amounts for changes in assets and liabilities presented in the consolidated statements of cash flows reflect adjustments to exclude certain asset items that have not been paid in the current period.

During 2009, our primary working capital sources were increased deferred revenue, which was attributable to growing sales of maintenance renewals from our expanding customer base and increased sales of subscription-based offerings

and decreased accounts receivable due to significant collection efforts. Our primary working capital use of cash was prepaid expenses, deferred costs of revenue and other assets primarily attributable to prepayments to our partners. The amounts for changes in assets and liabilities presented in the consolidated statements of cash flows reflect adjustments to exclude certain asset items that have not been paid in the current period.

During 2008, our primary working capital source was increased deferred revenue, which was attributable to growing sales of maintenance renewals from our expanding customer base and increased sales of subscription-

Table of Contents

based offerings. Working capital uses of cash included increased accounts receivable primarily due to increased invoicing over collections at the end of the year, increased prepaid expenses, deferred costs of revenue and other assets, and decreased accrued taxes and other liabilities primarily due to a tax settlement payment of approximately \$30.0 million

Our cash and marketable securities balances are held in numerous locations throughout the world, including substantial amounts held outside the United States. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, \$755.0 million and \$580.6 million, respectively, were held outside the United States. We utilize a variety of operational and financing strategies to ensure that our worldwide cash is available in the locations in which it is needed.

In the ordinary course of business, we enter into various agreements with minimum contractual commitments including telecom contracts, advertising, software licensing, royalty and distribution-related agreements. We expect to meet our obligations as they become due through available cash, borrowings under the Credit Facility, and internally generated funds. We expect to continue generating positive working capital through our operations. However, we cannot predict whether current trends and conditions will continue or what the effect on our business might be from the competitive environment in which we operate. In addition, we currently cannot predict the outcome of the litigation described in Note 19 to the consolidated financial statements.

Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities was \$298.8 million in 2010 and \$387.8 million in 2009. In 2010, the primary uses of cash included \$170.4 million of net purchases of marketable securities, \$86.9 million for purchases of property and equipment and \$51.9 million for acquisitions. In 2009, the primary uses of cash in investing activities included \$171.6 million for acquisitions, \$158.2 million of net purchases of marketable securities and \$60.5 million for purchases of property and equipment.

Our cash used for acquisitions decreased to \$51.9 million in 2010 compared to \$171.6 million in 2009. During 2010, we paid \$32.5 million for Trust Digital, \$10.0 million for tenCube and \$9.4 million for InternetSafety.com. During 2009, we paid \$137.9 million, \$31.2 million and \$2.5 million, net of cash acquired, to purchase MX Logic, Solidcore and Endeavor Security, Inc., respectively.

Our cash used for purchases of property and equipment increased to \$86.9 million in 2010 compared to \$60.5 million in 2009. The increase is primarily related to capital expenditures for our new corporate headquarters.

Our cash provided by investing activities was \$200.2 million in 2008. During 2008, we had \$801.7 million of net proceeds from marketable securities. During 2008, we used \$550.6 million for acquisitions and \$48.7 million for property and equipment. During 2008, we paid \$447.4 million, \$46.2 million, and \$49.0 million, net of cash acquired, to purchase Secure, Reconnex Corporation, and ScanAlert, Inc., respectively. The property and equipment purchased during both 2008 was primarily for upgrades of our existing systems and purchases of computers, equipment and software and for leasehold improvements at various offices.

We expect to continue to have slight decreases in capital expenditures compared to the prior year.

Financing Activities

Net cash used in financing activities was \$215.6 million compared to net cash provided by financing activities of \$70.1 million in 2009 and net cash used in financing activities of \$372.0 million in 2008. In February 2010, our board of directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$500.0 million of our common stock from time to time in the open market or through privately negotiated transactions through December 2011, depending upon market conditions, share

price and other factors. During 2010 and 2008, we used \$300.0 million and \$500.0 million, respectively, to repurchase approximately 8.3 million shares and 14.5 million shares of our common stock in the open market, including commissions paid on these transactions. We had no repurchases of our common stock in the open market during 2009. We do not expect to repurchase additional shares of our common stock in the open market while the acquisition by Intel remains pending. During 2010, 2009 and 2008, we used \$28.5 million, \$25.3 million and \$16.6 million, respectively, to repurchase shares of our common stock in connection with our obligation to holders of RSUs, RSAs and PSUs to withhold the number of shares required to satisfy the holders' tax liabilities in

Table of Contents

connection with the vesting of such shares. These shares were not part of the publicly announced repurchase program.

The primary source of cash provided by financing activities is proceeds from the issuance of common stock under our employee stock benefit plans. In 2010, we received proceeds of \$125.4 million compared to \$90.1 million in 2009 and \$130.0 million in 2008.

During 2010, we paid \$19.0 million of contingent consideration related to our 2009 acquisitions. During both 2010 and 2009, we paid \$4.9 million of accrued purchase price related to a 2008 acquisition.

While we expect to continue to receive proceeds from our employee stock benefit plans in future periods, the timing and amount of such proceeds are difficult to predict and are contingent on a number of factors including the type of equity awards granted to our employees, the price of our common stock, the number of employees participating in the plans and general market conditions.

Credit Facilities

In December 2008, we entered into a credit agreement with a group of financial institutions, which we amended in February 2010. The Credit Facility provides a \$450.0 million unsecured revolving credit facility with a \$25.0 million letter of credit sublimit. Subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions, we may further increase the revolving loan commitments to an aggregate of \$600.0 million. Loans may be made in U.S. Dollars, Euros or other currencies agreed to by the lenders. Commitment fees range from 0.38% to 0.63% of the unused portion on the Credit Facility depending on our consolidated leverage ratio. Loans bear interest at our election at the prime rate (a prime rate loan) or at an adjusted LIBOR rate plus a margin (ranging from 2.5% to 3.0%) that varies with our consolidated leverage ratio (a eurocurrency loan). Interest on the loans is payable quarterly in arrears with respect to prime rate loans and at the end of an interest period (or at each three month interval in the case of loans with interest periods greater than three months) in the case of eurocurrency loans. No balances were outstanding under the Credit Facility at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The Credit Facility contains financial covenants, measured at the end of each of our quarters, providing that our consolidated leverage ratio (as defined in the Credit Facility) cannot exceed 2.0 to 1.0 and our consolidated interest coverage ratio (as defined in the Credit Facility) cannot be less than 3.0 to 1.0. Additionally, the Credit Facility contains affirmative covenants, including covenants regarding the payment of taxes, maintenance of insurance, reporting requirements and compliance with applicable laws. The Credit Facility contains negative covenants, among other things, limiting our ability and our subsidiaries' ability to incur debt, liens, make acquisitions, make certain restricted payments and sell assets. The events of default under the Credit Facility include payment defaults, cross defaults with certain other indebtedness, breaches of covenants, judgment defaults, bankruptcy events and the occurrence of a change in control (as defined in the Credit Facility). At December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, we were in compliance with all covenants in the Credit Facility. At December 31, 2009, we had \$1.5 million of restricted cash deposited at one of our lenders. The \$1.5 million deposit was released in 2010 when we amended the Credit Facility.

The credit facility terminates on December 22, 2012, on which date all outstanding principal of, together with accrued interest on, any revolving loans will be due. We may prepay the loans and terminate the commitments at any time, without premium or penalty, subject to reimbursement of certain costs in the case of eurocurrency loans. We have elected to terminate the Credit Facility upon closing of our acquisition by Intel.

In addition, we have a 14.0 million Euro credit facility with a bank (Euro Credit Facility). The Euro Credit Facility is available on an offering basis, meaning that transactions under the Euro Credit Facility will be on such terms and conditions, including interest rate, maturity, representations, covenants and events of default, as mutually agreed

between us and the bank at the time of each specific transaction. The Euro Credit Facility is intended to be used for short-term credit requirements, with terms of one year or less. The Euro Credit Facility can be canceled at any time. No balances were outstanding under the Euro Credit Facility at any point during 2010 and 2009.

Table of Contents**Contractual Obligations**

A summary of our fixed contractual obligations and commitments at December 31, 2010 is as follows (in thousands):

Contractual Obligations	Total	Payments Due by Period			
		Less Than 1 Year	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	More Than 5 Years
Operating leases(1)	\$ 138,871	\$ 28,475	\$ 43,558	\$ 27,661	\$ 39,177
Other commitments(2)	158,620	86,461	63,228	8,931	
Purchase obligations(3)	16,994	16,994			
Accrued taxes(4)	3,973	3,973			
Total	\$ 318,458	\$ 135,903	\$ 106,786	\$ 36,592	\$ 39,177

- (1) Operating leases are for office space and office equipment. The operating lease commitments above reflect contractual and reasonably assured rent escalations under the lease arrangements. The most significant of our lease contractual obligations relate to the following leases: \$49.2 million for our new corporate headquarters in Santa Clara, California, \$15.7 million for our former corporate headquarters in Santa Clara, California, \$17.6 million for two St. Paul, Minnesota facility leases, \$8.8 million for the Slough, United Kingdom facility lease and \$4.0 million for the Cork, Ireland facility lease.
- (2) Other commitments are minimum contractual commitments including distribution, telecom, software licensing and royalty agreements.
- (3) Purchase obligations consist of purchase orders to our contract manufacturers and suppliers, based on our defined criteria, in order to manage manufacturing lead times and help ensure adequate component supply.
- (4) Accrued taxes are tax liabilities, including interest and penalties, related to uncertain tax positions.

As of December 31, 2010, we had approximately \$66.5 million of tax liabilities, including interest and penalties, related to uncertain tax positions. Due to the high degree of uncertainty regarding the settlement of these liabilities, we are unable to estimate the years in which future cash outflows may occur other than the amount included in the table above.

In addition to the contractual obligations above and as permitted under Delaware law, we have agreements whereby we indemnify our officers and directors for certain events or occurrences while the officer or director is, or was, serving at our request in such capacity. Our maximum potential liability under these indemnification agreements is not limited; however, we have director and officer insurance coverage that we believe will enable us to recover a portion or all of any future amounts paid.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have off-balance sheet arrangements or special purpose entities.

Financial Risk Management

The following discussion about our risk management activities includes forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Actual results could differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements.

Foreign Currency Risk

As a global concern, we face exposure to movements in foreign currency exchange rates. Our functional currency is typically the currency of the local country. Our primary exposures are related to non U.S. Dollar-denominated sales and operating expenses in Europe, Latin America and Asia. At the present time, we hedge only those currency exposures associated with certain assets and liabilities denominated in nonfunctional currencies and do not generally hedge anticipated foreign currency cash flows or transact in foreign currencies for trading or other speculative purposes. The success of this activity depends upon estimates of transaction activity denominated in

Table of Contents

various currencies, primarily the Euro, the British Pound and the Japanese Yen. To the extent that these estimates are incorrect, we could experience unanticipated currency gains or losses.

To reduce exposures associated with certain nonfunctional monetary assets and liabilities, we enter into forward contracts. Our foreign exchange contracts typically range from one to three months in original maturity. The forward contracts do not qualify for hedge accounting and accordingly are marked to market at the end of each reporting period with any unrealized gain or loss being recognized in the consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income as interest and other income.

During 2010 and 2009, net realized losses arising from the settlement of our forward foreign exchange contracts were \$7.0 million and \$2.3 million, respectively. During 2008, net realized gains arising from the settlement of our forward foreign exchange contracts was \$2.0 million.

Forward contracts outstanding at December 31, 2010 are presented below (in thousands):

	December 31, 2010		
	Notional U.S.		
	Dollar Equivalent	Asset Fair Value	Liability Fair Value
Forward exchange contracts	\$ 153,876	\$ 936	\$ (682)

A sensitivity analysis performed on our hedging portfolio as of December 31, 2010 indicated that a hypothetical 5% and 10% appreciation of the U.S. Dollar from its value at December 31, 2010 would decrease the fair value of our forward contracts by \$2.7 million and \$5.7 million, respectively. A 5% and 10% depreciation of the U.S. Dollar from its value at December 31, 2010 would increase the fair value of our forward contracts by \$3.2 million and \$6.2 million, respectively.

Interest Rate Risk

We maintain balances in cash, cash equivalents and investment securities. Our investments are made with a policy of capital preservation and liquidity as the primary objectives. We maintain our investment securities in portfolio holdings of various issuers, types and maturities including money market, U.S. government fixed income, U.S. government agency fixed income and investment grade corporate fixed income securities. We currently hold some asset-backed and mortgage-backed securities purchased in prior periods but do not plan to acquire these types of securities in future periods. We may sell an investment at any time if the quality rating of the investment declines, the yield on the investment is no longer attractive or we are in need of cash. These securities are classified as available-for-sale and consequently are recorded on the consolidated balance sheets at fair value with unrealized gains and losses reported as a separate component of accumulated other comprehensive income. These securities are not leveraged and are held for purposes other than trading.

During 2008, there were significant disruptions in the financial markets. A number of large financial institutions failed, were supported by the U.S. government or were merged into other organizations. The market disruption resulted in a lack of liquidity in the credit markets and a decline in the market value of debt securities. As a result of these effects, during 2008 we recorded an other-than-temporary impairment charge of \$18.5 million related to marketable securities. In 2009, we recorded additional other-than-temporary impairment on previously impaired marketable securities totaling \$0.7 million for continued declines in fair value. We had no impairments in 2010. Of the \$18.5 million other-than-temporary impairment recorded in 2008, \$12.2 million related to corporate bonds and

asset-backed and mortgage-backed securities, which suffered declines in fair value, \$5.0 million related to a single corporate bond that had a significant decline in fair value due to the issuer's bankruptcy and \$1.3 million related to impairment recorded because we no longer had the intent and ability to hold these securities for a period of time sufficient for the fair values to recover due to funding our acquisition of Secure, which was a one-time event. We had a net unrealized gain of \$3.1 million and \$1.8 million on marketable securities at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The following tables present the hypothetical changes in fair values in the securities held at December 31, 2010 that are sensitive to changes in interest rates. The modeling technique used measures the change in fair values arising from hypothetical parallel shifts in the yield curve of plus or minus 50 basis points (BPS), 100 BPS and 150 BPS over a twelve-month time horizon. Beginning fair values represent the market principal plus accrued

Table of Contents

interest and dividends at December 31, 2010. Ending fair values are the market principal plus accrued interest, dividends and reinvestment income over a twelve-month time horizon.

The following table estimates the fair value of the portfolio at a twelve-month time horizon (in millions):

Issuer	Valuation of Securities Given an Interest Rate Decrease of			No Change in Interest Rate	Valuation of Securities Given an Interest Rate Increase of		
	X Basis Points				X Basis Points		
	150 BPS	100 BPS	50 BPS		50 BPS	100 BPS	150 BPS
Cash equivalents	\$ 9.7	\$ 9.7	\$ 9.7	\$ 9.8	\$ 9.8	\$ 9.8	\$ 9.8
United States treasury securities	121.5	121.5	121.4	121.0	120.5	120.1	119.6
United States agency securities	138.6	138.5	138.4	138.2	138.1	138.0	137.8
Foreign government securities	17.7	17.7	17.7	17.6	17.5	17.4	17.4
Certificates of deposit and time deposits	63.6	63.6	63.5	63.5	63.6	63.6	63.7
Corporate debt securities	150.1	149.9	149.8	149.7	149.6	149.4	149.3
Mortgage-backed securities	10.4	10.4	10.4	10.4	10.4	10.4	10.4
Asset-backed securities	7.8	7.8	7.8	7.8	7.8	7.8	7.8
Total	\$ 519.4	\$ 519.1	\$ 518.7	\$ 518.0	\$ 517.3	\$ 516.5	\$ 515.8

Newly Adopted and Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 2 of the consolidated financial statements for a full description of recent accounting pronouncements, including the expected dates of adoption and effects on financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk

Quantitative and qualitative disclosure about market risk is set forth at *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Financial Risk Management* under Item 7.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data**Annual Financial Statements**

The consolidated financial statements and supplementary data included in Part IV, Item 15(a) of this annual report are incorporated by reference into this Item 8.

Selected Quarterly Operating Results (Unaudited)

Three Months Ended
December 31, September 30, June 30, March 31, December 31, September 30, June 30, March 31,

	2010	2010	2010	2010	2009	2009	2009	2009
	(In thousands, except per share data)							

**Statement
of
Operations
and Other
Data:**

Net revenue	\$ 549,564	\$ 523,259	\$ 489,239	\$ 502,745	\$ 525,666	\$ 485,271	\$ 468,686	\$ 447,709
Gross profit	397,475	381,176	358,438	370,170	394,327	358,501	353,464	334,653
Income from operations	58,728	64,050	55,090	51,554	72,156	42,505	55,876	51,770
Net income	60,572	46,560	39,404	37,576	54,522	36,789	28,653	53,456
Net income per share								
basic(1)	0.39	0.30	0.26	0.24	0.35	0.23	0.18	0.35
Net income per share								
diluted(1)	0.38	0.30	0.25	0.23	0.34	0.23	0.18	0.34

(1) Net income per share is computed independently for each of the quarters presented. Therefore, the sum of the quarterly net income per share may not equal the annual net income per share due to rounding differences.

Table of Contents

We believe that period-to-period comparisons of our financial results should not be relied upon as an indication of future performance.

Our revenue and results of operations have been subject to significant fluctuations, particularly on a quarterly basis, and our revenue and results of operations could fluctuate significantly quarter to quarter and year to year. Causes of such fluctuations may include the volume and timing of new orders and renewals, the sales cycle for our products, the introduction of new products, return rates, product upgrades or updates by us or our competitors, changes in product mix, changes in product prices and pricing models, the portion of our licensing fees and product revenue deferred or recognized as support and maintenance revenue, seasonality, trends in the computer industry, general economic conditions, events such as acquisitions and sales of business or litigation, the occurrence of unexpected events, amortization of purchased technology and intangibles, restructurings and other-than-temporary impairment of marketable securities. Significant quarterly fluctuations in revenue will cause significant fluctuations in our cash flows and cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable and deferred revenue accounts on our consolidated balance sheet. In addition, the operating results of many software companies reflect seasonal trends, and our business, financial condition and results of operations may be affected by such trends in the future. These trends may include higher net revenue in the third and fourth quarter as many customers complete annual budgetary cycles, and lower net revenue in the summer months when many businesses experience lower sales, particularly in the European market.

Item 9. Changes In and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of our chief executive officer and our chief financial officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) and concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2010.

A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Our management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, does not expect that our disclosure controls and procedures or internal control over financial reporting will prevent all errors and fraud. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Due to the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues within our company have been detected.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) of the Securities Exchange Act. We have designed our internal controls to provide reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that our financial statements are prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America. We assess the effectiveness of our internal controls based on the criteria set forth in the *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* developed by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

Our management has concluded that, as of December 31, 2010, our internal control over financial reporting was effective based on these criteria.

Deloitte & Touche LLP, as auditor of our consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 2010, has issued an attestation report dated February 25, 2011, concerning our internal control over financial reporting, which is included in Part IV, Item 15(a) of this annual report.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

We have had no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the fourth quarter of 2010 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of McAfee, Inc.
Santa Clara, California

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of McAfee, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in *Internal Control – Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company's board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control – Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule as of and for the year ended December 31, 2010, of the Company and our report dated February 25, 2011 expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements and financial statement schedule.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

San Jose, California

February 25, 2011

Table of Contents**Item 9B. Other Information**

None.

PART III**Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance**

The names of our current directors and executive officers and related biographical information are set forth below.

Directors

Name	Age	Principle Occupation	Committee Memberships	Year Term Expires	Director Since
<i>Class I Directors:</i>					
Carl Bass	53	President, chief executive officer and director, Autodesk, Inc.	Governance and Nominations Committee	2011	2008
Jeffrey A. Miller	60	President and chief executive officer, JAMM Ventures	Compensation Committee	2011	2008
Anthony Zingale	55	Chief executive officer and director, Jive Software, Inc.	Compensation Committee Governance and Nominations Committee	2011	2008
<i>Class II Directors:</i>					
Leslie G. Denend	69	Director, Exponent, Inc. and Verifone, Inc.	Compensation Committee, Chairman	2012	1995
David G. DeWalt	45	President and chief executive officer, McAfee, Inc.; Director, Polycom, Inc.		2012	2007
Lorrie M. Norrington	51	Private Investor and Consultant	N/A	2012	2009
Charles J. Robel	61	Director, Autodesk, Inc., DemandTec, Inc. and Informatica Corporation	Non-Executive Chairman of the Board Governance and Nominations Committee, Chairman Audit Committee Classified Matters Committee	2012	2006
<i>Class III Directors:</i>					
Thomas E. Darcy	60	Executive vice president, chief financial officer and director, Tocagen Inc.	Audit Committee, Chairman Classified Matters Committee	2012	2008

Edgar Filing: McAfee, Inc. - Form 10-K

Denis J. O Leary	54	Managing partner, Encore Financial Partners, Inc.; Director, Fiserv, Inc.	Compensation Committee	2012	2003
Robert W. Pangia	59	Partner, Ivy Capital Partners, LLC; Director, Biogen Idec Inc.	Audit Committee	2012	2001

Table of Contents**Executive Officers**

Name	Age	Position
David G. DeWalt	45	President and chief executive officer
Jonathan C. Chadwick	45	Executive vice president and chief financial officer
Mark D. Cochran	52	Executive vice president, chief legal officer/general counsel
Michael P. DeCesare	45	Executive vice president, worldwide sales operations
Todd W. Gebhart	56	Executive vice president and general manager, consumer, small and mobile business
Keith S. Krzeminski	49	Senior vice president, finance and chief accounting officer
Gerhard Watzinger	50	Executive vice president, worldwide strategy and business development and general manager, data protection

Director Biographies

The following paragraphs provide information as of the date of this annual report about each director. The information presented includes information each director has given us about all positions he or she holds, his or her principal occupation and business experience for the past five years, and the names of other publicly-held companies of which he or she currently serves as a director or has served as a director during the past five years. In addition to the information presented below regarding each director's specific experience, qualifications, attributes and skills that led our board to the conclusion that he or she should serve as a director, we also believe that all of our directors have a reputation for integrity, honesty and adherence to high ethical standards. They each have demonstrated knowledge of the software industry and an ability to exercise sound judgment, as well as a commitment to our company and our board of directors. Finally, we value their significant experience on other public company boards of directors and board committees.

Carl Bass has been a director of our company since January 2008. Mr. Bass joined Autodesk, Inc, a design innovation technology company, in 1993 and currently serves as its chief executive officer, president and director. From 2004 to 2006, Mr. Bass served as chief operating officer. From 2002 to 2004, Mr. Bass served as senior executive vice president, design solutions group. From 2001 to 2002, Mr. Bass served as executive vice president, emerging business and chief strategy officer. Mr. Bass' experience as chief executive officer and in various other executive roles at Autodesk has provided him with broad leadership and executive experience. Mr. Bass' expertise contributes business operational knowledge and strategic planning skills, along with knowledge important to our corporate development and mergers and acquisitions activities.

Jeffrey A. Miller has been a director of our company since May 2008. He has served as president of JAMM Ventures Inc., a consulting and venture capital firm, since 2002. From 2002 to 2007, Mr. Miller also served as a venture partner with Redpoint Ventures, a venture capital firm focused on investments in information technology. Prior to his tenure at Redpoint, Mr. Miller served as chief executive officer of Documentum, Inc., a provider of content and storage management software, from 1993 to 2001. Mr. Miller served on the board of directors of Data Domain, Inc. from October 2006 until it was acquired by EMC Corp. in July 2009. His considerable experience in venture capital and extended tenure as chief executive officer of Documentum have provided him with a deep understanding of the software and technology industry. Mr. Miller also has significant experience in joint venture and mergers and acquisition transactions, which is experience that is valuable to our board of directors.

Anthony Zingale has been a director of our company since May 2008. Mr. Zingale currently serves as chief executive officer for Jive Software, Inc., where he has served on the board of directors since October 2007. He served as president, chief executive officer and director of Mercury Interactive, a provider of business technology optimization (BTO) solutions that included the quality, performance, availability and governance of enterprise software applications, from 2004 until it was acquired by Hewlett Packard at the end of 2006. Mr. Zingale joined the board of directors of Mercury Interactive in 2002. Mr. Zingale was a private investor from 2001 to 2004. From 2000 to 2001, Mr. Zingale served as president of Nortel Networks' billion-dollar eBusiness Solutions Group. Prior to that, Mr. Zingale served as president and chief executive officer of Clarify Inc., a customer relationship management (CRM) provider, from 1997 until it was acquired by Nortel Networks in 2000. Mr. Zingale has a deep understanding

Table of Contents

of the software and technology industry. His experience as chief executive officer of Clarify, Mercury Interactive and Jive Software has provided him with broad leadership and executive abilities. Mr. Zingale's outside board experience as director of several public companies enables him to provide valuable insight and guidance to our management team and board of directors.

Leslie G. Denend has been a director of our company since June 1995. From December 1997 to April 1998, Mr. Denend was president of our company. From 1993 to 1997, Mr. Denend was chief executive officer and president of Network General Corporation, which merged with McAfee Associates to form McAfee, Inc. Mr. Denend serves on the board of directors of Exponent, Inc. and Verifone, Inc. Mr. Denend served on the board of directors of United Services Automobile Association (USAA) from May 1996 until November 2010. Mr. Denend's service on several other boards of directors over his career, and his service on our board since 1995, has provided him with significant board-level experience, as well as valuable insight and institutional knowledge of our history and development. As a result, Mr. Denend is able to provide our management team and board of directors with essential strategic, operational and corporate governance guidance.

David G. DeWalt has served as our president and chief executive officer, and as a director, since April 2007. Prior to joining McAfee, Mr. DeWalt served as executive vice president and president customer operations and content management software, at EMC Corporation from 2005 to 2007 and as its executive vice president, EMC Software Group from 2003 to 2005. EMC is a provider of information infrastructure technology and solutions. Mr. DeWalt joined EMC in 2003 upon its acquisition of Documentum, Inc., where he served as its chief executive officer and president from 2001 to 2003. Prior to joining Documentum, Mr. DeWalt was founding principal and vice president of Eventus Software, a web content software company, where he was responsible for marketing and sales, consulting services and support, product management and business development. Mr. DeWalt currently serves on the board of directors of Polycom, Inc., a provider of telepresence, voice and video conferencing solutions. As our chief executive officer, Mr. DeWalt has superior knowledge of our business and brings to our board of directors unique insight and knowledge of our operations and strategic opportunities. Mr. DeWalt's extensive executive experience with other publicly-traded software companies also enables him to provide critical guidance with respect to our mergers and acquisition transactions.

Lorrie M. Norrington has been a director of our company since December 2009. Ms. Norrington held various positions at eBay Inc., a provider of online marketplaces for the sale of goods and services and online payment services, from 2005 to 2010. She started as president of eBay international in Europe and Asia-Pacific and then took on the position of president of global operations, and later president of eBay marketplaces before stepping down in September 2010. Ms. Norrington previously served as chief executive officer of Shopping.com Inc., an online shopping comparison site acquired by eBay in 2005. Prior to that, Ms. Norrington was an officer at Intuit, a provider of business and financial management software solutions, where as senior vice president she led the Quicken and QuickBooks brands and later became executive vice president in the office of the chief executive officer. She also led a variety of businesses at General Electric Company (GE) over a twenty-year period in a broad range of industries, including her last position as an officer of GE and chief executive officer of GE Fanuc. Ms. Norrington is a business executive with substantial experience in publicly-traded companies, consumer businesses, and significant international experience. Ms. Norrington has a strong understanding of the issues we face as a global corporation expanding into new territories, and provides valuable insight to our management team and board of directors.

Charles J. Robel has been a director of our company since June 2006 and has served as the non-executive chairman of our board of directors since October 2006. He served as a managing member and chief operating officer at Hummer Winblad Venture Partners, a venture capital fund, from 2000 to 2005. Mr. Robel began his career at PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, from which he retired as a partner in 2000. Mr. Robel currently serves on the board of directors of Autodesk, Inc., DemandTec, Inc., a provider of optimization services for retailers and consumer products companies, and Informatica Corporation, a provider of enterprise data integration software. Mr. Robel served on the

board of directors of Adaptec, Inc., a provider of innovative data storage hardware and software solutions, from March 2006 until December 2007, and Borland Software Corporation, a provider of open application lifecycle management solutions, from March 2003 until December 2006. Mr. Robel's extensive service as a board member of several other technology and software companies enables him to provide essential strategic and corporate governance leadership to our management team and board of directors. In addition, Mr. Robel brings to our board of directors substantial financial expertise that includes extensive

Table of Contents

knowledge of the complex financial and operational issues facing large publicly-traded companies, and a deep understanding of accounting principles and financial reporting rules and regulations. Our board of directors has determined that simultaneous service by Mr. Robel on more than three public company audit committees does not impair his ability to serve on our audit committee.

Thomas E. Darcy has been a director of our company since January 2008. Since August 2007, Mr. Darcy has served as executive vice president, chief financial officer and director of Tocagen Inc., a biopharmaceutical company. Mr. Darcy previously served as executive vice president for strategic projects at Science Applications International Corporation, a provider of scientific, engineering, systems integration and technical services and solutions, since November 2005, and retired in April 2007. Prior to that, Mr. Darcy served Science Applications International as corporate executive vice president beginning in December 2003, executive vice president beginning in October 2000, and as chief financial officer from October 2000 through November 2005. Prior to joining Science Applications International, Mr. Darcy was with the accounting firm currently known as PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP from 1973 to 2000, where he served as partner from 1985 to 2000. Mr. Darcy brings to our board of directors substantial financial expertise that includes extensive knowledge of the complex financial and operational issues facing large companies, and a deep understanding of accounting principles and financial reporting rules and regulations. Additionally, Mr. Darcy's experience as an independent auditor provides our board with significant insight into the preparation of financial statements and knowledge of audit procedures.

Denis J. O'Leary has been a director of our company since July 2003. Mr. O'Leary has served as managing partner of Encore Financial Partners, Inc., a firm specializing in the acquisition and management of U.S.-based banking organizations, since August 2009. From 1993 to 2003, Mr. O'Leary was executive vice president of J.P. Morgan Chase & Co., having joined the bank in June 1978. During his career at J.P. Morgan Mr. O'Leary held a number of senior positions including director of finance, chief information officer, and head of retail branch banking. From 2003 to 2009, Mr. O'Leary was active in private equity and consulting in the areas of technology and financial services. Mr. O'Leary currently serves on the board of directors of Fiserv, Inc. Mr. O'Leary is a business executive with significant expertise in finance and private investment. Mr. O'Leary's long-term service on our board has provided him with valuable insight and institutional knowledge of our history and development.

Robert W. Pangia has been a director of our company since April 2001. Since 2003, Mr. Pangia has been a general partner and a managing member of Ivy Capital Partners, LLC, a private equity fund. From October 2007 to December 2009, Mr. Pangia served as chief executive officer of Highlands Acquisition Corp., an AMEX-traded special purpose acquisition company. Prior to 2003, Mr. Pangia was self-employed as a private investor. From 1987 to 1996, Mr. Pangia held a number of senior level management positions at PaineWebber Incorporated, including director of investment banking. Mr. Pangia currently serves on the board of directors of Biogen Idec Inc. Mr. Pangia served on the board of directors of ICOS Corporation from April 1991 until February 2007. Mr. Pangia's service on other public company boards has provided him with valuable experience. Through Mr. Pangia's private equity and investment banking experience, he brings extensive expertise in analyzing numerous aspects of a company's business, including strategy, organizational design and planning as well as formulating and driving strategic direction and change.

Executive Officer Biographies

Information pertaining to Mr. DeWalt, who is both a director and an executive officer, may be found in the section above entitled Director Biographies.

Jonathan C. Chadwick has served as our executive vice president and chief financial officer since June 2010. Mr. Chadwick joined us from Cisco Systems, Inc., a networking technology company, where he spent 13 years in various finance roles. Most recently, Mr. Chadwick served as Cisco's senior vice president and chief financial officer, global customer markets. From 2006 to 2009, Mr. Chadwick served as senior vice president, corporate controller and

chief accounting officer. Mr. Chadwick served as vice president, corporate finance and planning and mergers and acquisitions from 2003 to 2006. Prior to joining Cisco in 1997, Mr. Chadwick was a senior manager with Coopers & Lybrand, LLP (now PricewaterhouseCoopers).

Mark D. Cochran has served as our executive vice president, chief legal officer/general counsel since September 2007. Prior to joining McAfee, Mr. Cochran served as vice president and general counsel of Hyperion Solutions Corporation, a provider of business performance management software, from 2005 to 2007. Prior to

Table of Contents

joining Hyperion, Mr. Cochran was vice president, general counsel and secretary of Brocade Communications Systems, Inc., a storage networking company, from 2003 to 2004. From 1999 to 2003, he served as vice president and general counsel at AvantGo, a provider of mobile enterprise software and now subsidiary of Sybase Inc.

Michael P. DeCesare was appointed executive vice president, worldwide sales operations in October 2007. Prior to that, Mr. DeCesare served as senior vice president, worldwide field operations of EMC Corporation, from 2004 to 2007, and as executive vice president of worldwide field operations for Documentum (then a division of EMC), from 2002 until 2004. Prior to joining Documentum, Mr. DeCesare served as executive vice president, worldwide sales and alliances, at Asera Inc., a provider of e-business infrastructure that accelerates implementation of enterprise software applications, from 2001 to 2002.

Todd W. Gebhart has served as our executive vice president and general manager, consumer, small and mobile business since 2008. Mr. Gebhart joined us in 1999 to lead our OEM, service provider and outsider sales teams. Mr. Gebhart assumed responsibility for our consumer business in 2002, our mobile business in 2004 and our small business in 2007. Prior to joining us, Mr. Gebhart was vice president of sales at Alaris, a provider of online video compression technology. Mr. Gebhart began his career at IBM where he held a variety of sales and management positions.

Keith S. Krzeminski has served as our chief accounting officer since March 2008. Mr. Krzeminski has also served as our senior vice president, finance since joining us in March 2007. Prior to that, Mr. Krzeminski served as senior vice president and chief financial officer of Home Interiors & Gifts, Inc., a marketer and manufacturer of home décor products, from 2005 to 2006. Before joining Home Interiors & Gifts, Mr. Krzeminski worked for Electronic Data Systems Corporation (EDS), a global information technology services company, where he served in several capacities during his six-year tenure. From 2004 to 2005, he served as vice president of planning and financial analysis. Mr. Krzeminski served as chief financial officer of EDS product lifecycle management software and services business, from 2003 to 2004. From 2002 to 2003, Mr. Krzeminski served as global finance director of EDS applications and information technology consulting business. Mr. Krzeminski joined EDS in 1999 as chief accounting officer, where he served until 2002.

Gerhard Watzinger has served as our executive vice president, worldwide strategy and business development and general manager, data protection, since 2008. Prior to that, Mr. Watzinger served as our senior vice president and general manager of our data protection business unit. Mr. Watzinger joined us in November 2007 upon our acquisition of SafeBoot, a provider of data protection software, where Mr. Watzinger served as chief executive officer from 2004 to 2007. From 2003 to 2004, Mr. Watzinger was the chief executive officer of Mascot Systems, a subsidiary of iGATE focused on offshore information technology operations. From 1998 to 2003, Mr. Watzinger served as senior vice president of iGATE's staffing and solutions operations. Mr. Watzinger currently serves on the board of directors of Mastech, an information technology consulting and outsourcing company.

Our executive officers serve at the discretion of our board of directors. There are no family relationships among any of our directors and executive officers.

Board of Directors and Board Committees

Our governance and nominations committee has determined that each of our board members, other than Mr. DeWalt, is independent as defined under the New York Stock Exchange corporate governance standards, and has no material relationship with us.

Ms. Norrington served as President of eBay Marketplaces until September 2010. In the ordinary course of business we entered into agreements with eBay, Inc. and its subsidiary PayPal, Inc. prior to the time she joined our board. During

2010, we paid PayPal a total of approximately \$392,000 and eBay less than \$1,000. Mr. Zingale is the chief executive officer of Jive Software, Inc. In the ordinary course of business we entered into agreements with Jive Software, pursuant to which we paid Jive Software a total of approximately \$321,000 in 2010. Based upon the quantitative and qualitative characteristics of these arrangements, we do not believe that either Ms. Norrington or Mr. Zingale has a material relationship with us.

Our board of directors has a standing audit committee, compensation committee, governance and nominations committee and recently added a classified matters committee. Each of the audit, compensation, and governance and

Table of Contents

nominations committees has a written charter, which is available on our investor relations website at *investor.mcafee.com* under Governance Documents, or by calling or writing our corporate secretary at our corporate headquarters.

Audit Committee

The audit committee reviews, acts and reports to our board of directors on various auditing, accounting and finance matters, including the appointment of our independent accountants, the scope of our annual audits, fees to be paid to the independent accountants, the approval of services to be performed by our independent accountants, the performance of our independent accountants and our accounting practices. Messrs. Darcy, Pangia and Robel served as members of the audit committee during 2010, with Mr. Darcy serving as chairman. Each of the current members of the audit committee has been designated by our governance and nominations committee as an audit committee financial expert (as defined under the SEC rules implementing Section 404 of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002).

Compensation Committee

The compensation committee is primarily responsible for reviewing and approving all executive officer compensation programs and decisions, administering our various equity compensation plans, and providing advice to our board of directors and management regarding other compensation and benefit programs. Messrs. Denend, Miller, O Leary, and Zingale served as members of the compensation committee during 2010, with Mr. Denend serving as chairman.

Governance and Nominations Committee

The governance and nominations committee addresses issues relating to our board of directors and its committees, including identifying prospective director nominees, developing and recommending governance principles applicable to us, overseeing the evaluation of our board of directors and management, recommending nominees for our board committees and reviewing and approving all non-employee director compensation. The committee also reviews and provides guidance relating to broader corporate governance practices and initiatives. Messrs. Bass, Robel, and Zingale served as members of the committee during 2010, with Mr. Robel serving as chairman.

Classified Matters Committee

Our board of directors recently formed a classified matters committee. We expect the classified matters committee to be responsible for assisting our board of directors in overseeing management relating to business activities which, for purposes of national security, have been designated as classified by the United States government. We expect these responsibilities to include reviewing with management our policies and practices with respect to risk management in the area of classified business activities. Messrs. Darcy and Robel serve as members of the committee.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires our officers and directors, and persons who own more than ten percent of a registered class of our equity securities, to file certain reports of ownership with the SEC. Such officers, directors and stockholders are also required by SEC rules to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file. We believe that all reports required to be filed during 2010 pursuant to Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act by directors, executive officers and 10% beneficial owners were filed on timely basis. We filed a Form 5 with the SEC on behalf of Mr. DeWalt in February 2011 to report his indirect ownership of 100 shares of our common stock that was inadvertently omitted from his Form 3 filing in April 2007.

Other Corporate Governance Matters

Our board of directors has adopted corporate governance guidelines, a code of business conduct and ethics, and a separate code of ethics that applies to our chief executive officer, chief financial officer, corporate controller and

Table of Contents

other senior finance organization employees (CEO/Finance Code). These guidelines and codes establish minimum standards of professional responsibility and ethical conduct. They can be viewed at *investor.mcafee.com* under Governance Documents or may be obtained without charge by writing our corporate secretary at our corporate headquarters. If we make any substantive amendments to the CEO/Finance Code or grant any waiver, including any implicit waiver, from a provision of the code to our chief executive officer, chief financial officer, corporate controller, or other senior finance organization employee subject to the CEO/Finance Code, we will disclose the amendment or waiver on that website or in a report on Form 8-K.

Item 11. *Executive Compensation***COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS****A. Executive Summary**

This compensation discussion and analysis explains our 2010 executive compensation programs and compensation paid under those programs. This discussion principally relates to the following named executive officers for 2010:

Name	Position
David G. DeWalt	President and chief executive officer
Jonathan Chadwick	Executive vice president, chief financial officer
Michael P. DeCesare	Executive vice president, worldwide sales operations
Todd W. Gebhart	Executive vice president and general manager, consumer, small and mobile business
Gerhard Watzinger	Executive vice president, worldwide strategy and business development and general manager data protection
<i>Former Employee Named Executive Officers</i>	
Albert A. Rocky Pimentel(1)	Former chief financial officer and chief operating officer

(1) Mr. Pimentel served as our chief financial officer and chief operating officer until June 14, 2010.

All significant executive compensation decisions are approved by the compensation committee of our board of directors. This committee consists of four non-employee directors who meet the independence requirements established by the SEC and the New York Stock Exchange.

Our success largely depends on our ability to attract and retain a talented and dedicated executive team, and competition for top talent in our market is fierce. We established cash and equity compensation targets for our named executive officers based on market comparables among our peer companies, scope of responsibility, individual executive performance against key performance metrics and relative compensation comparison among our own executives.

In 2010, cash compensation targets for our named executive officers were generally held flat, with the exception of a market-based increase in Mr. Watzinger's base salary commensurate with his executive role and related performance. We linked their cash bonuses to company and/or individual performance against key performance metrics related to our strategic imperatives. Based upon the compensation committee's assessment of these factors, we paid cash bonuses to named executive officers that ranged in the aggregate from approximately 84 to 103% of the target bonus opportunities. In 2010, we also set non-GAAP earnings per share targets for the vesting of shares underlying performance based equity awards in the form of performance stock units (PSUs). Our non-GAAP earnings per share results met the target required for all of the shares allocated to the 2010 performance period from the PSUs granted in 2008 to vest, and approximately 92% of the maximum

Table of Contents

number of shares allocated to the 2010 performance period from the PSUs granted in 2009 and 2010 to vest. The PSUs granted during 2009 and 2010 (but not the PSUs granted during 2008) adjust downward as to the number of PSUs earned if performance achievement is below the maximum target level.

B. Executive Compensation Design

1. Compensation Objectives and Philosophy

Our executive compensation programs have three primary objectives:

Attract, reward and retain talented and dedicated executives;

Link cash and equity incentives to individual and corporate performance; and

Align executive incentives with stockholder value creation.

The compensation committee reviews total compensation for each named executive officer annually, and determines the appropriate amount and mix of compensation based on the following principles:

Use simple and reasonable measures of performance;

Provide cash compensation with a significant variable (bonus) compensation component, so that cash compensation has a significant link to performance;

Provide total compensation that is primarily weighted toward equity compensation (performance stock units, restricted stock units and stock options) rather than cash, to reflect the executives' greater influence on overall corporate results and stockholder return;

Use multi-year vesting for equity compensation to ensure that the executives hold sufficient unvested equity value to provide a meaningful retention incentive;

Use market data for comparable companies to assess the competitive market position of our compensation (as described in Section C3 below);

Use an external compensation consultant to validate market practices and trends for our industry; and

Minimize the use of executive perquisites.

2. Elements of Compensation

The compensation committee evaluates executive compensation with a goal of establishing a total compensation package that is competitive both in terms of structure and opportunity to that provided to executives in comparable companies. Accordingly, our executive officers' compensation has three primary components:

Base salary;

Cash bonuses; and

Equity compensation in the form of PSUs, restricted stock units (RSUs) and stock options.

Base salaries are generally established based on market comparables among our peer companies. Performance-based cash bonuses and equity awards are linked to company and/or individual executive performance against key performance metrics that are established at least annually for each executive. The compensation committee also considers the competitive market position of our executive compensation and other factors, such as leadership effectiveness, integrity, innovation, and work ethic in determining bonus and equity awards. The size and timing of equity awards are determined based on all of these factors. Vesting is based on continued service and, for certain equity awards, on the achievement of performance metrics. When it makes executive compensation decisions, the compensation committee focuses on total direct compensation (the total compensation to be paid if all performance goals are fully met) as well as on specific elements of compensation.

Table of Contents

The compensation committee relies primarily on performance-based compensation and equity to attract, reward and retain a talented and dedicated executive team and to ensure a strong connection between executive compensation and our financial performance. Base salaries, as the primary fixed compensation element, represent only a portion of total compensation, and perquisites are generally minimal, so these elements are not sufficient to attract or retain executives without using other compensation vehicles.

In addition to the primary components described above, in 2007 and 2008, the compensation committee, assisted by its compensation consultant and legal counsel, conducted a comprehensive review of change of control and retention compensation for our named executive officers and other executive officers in order to standardize terms within the executive team and to provide competitive-market based change of control and severance compensation. The compensation committee discussed industry best practices in designing the change of control and retention program described below and later in this annual report. The ultimate program was developed through numerous meetings of the compensation committee both in executive session and with the input of members of management. Because the change of control and retention agreements were designed to expire approximately every two years, the compensation committee evaluates the necessity for such agreements each year. In February 2010, we renewed our change of control and retention agreements for an additional two-year period with each of our named executive officers, except with respect to Mr. Pimentel who retired in 2010.

3. Key Performance Metrics (KPMs) and Other Performance Criteria

Cash bonuses and equity compensation for executives are linked to performance assessments of actual performance against quarterly and/or annual key performance metrics (KPMs). Typically, KPMs include a combination of financial metrics, including revenue-related and profit-related objectives reflected in our internal business plan, because they are the most direct indicators of stockholder value creation. Financial metrics are drawn from our internal business plan, but may differ from the GAAP line items. These non-GAAP metrics exclude items that are not, in the compensation committee's view, related to ongoing operating performance, such as restructuring charges, amortization expenses associated with purchased intangible assets, and non-cash stock-based compensation expense.

Although performance against KPMs is the primary determinant of cash bonus and equity compensation, the compensation committee also evaluates certain subjective factors, including the following, when making its final compensation decisions:

Leadership style and effectiveness, including teamwork;

Innovation;

Integrity;

Work ethic; and

Employee retention.

4. Base Salaries

Base salaries are intended to provide a fixed amount of cash compensation for services rendered during the year. Typically, we set base salaries to approximate the median base salaries at our peer companies to assist us in hiring and retaining individuals in a competitive environment. In determining individual base salaries, the compensation committee also considers the scope of job responsibilities, individual contribution, business performance, overall job market conditions, current compensation levels, the Radford Executive Survey, and other relevant third-party

compensation data provided by its compensation consultant. As discussed below, in hiring several of our current named executive officers, base salaries above the market median were used to attract qualified individuals during a different environment for the company.

5. Cash Bonuses

Our executive cash bonus program provides cash bonus opportunities to executive officers. At the beginning of the year the compensation committee establishes target cash bonus opportunities for each executive officer,

Table of Contents

designated as a percentage of base salary or as a variable target amount. The compensation committee also establishes objective financial performance criteria that must be satisfied in order for the executive officers to be eligible to receive the maximum amount of their cash bonus opportunity. The compensation committee determines the cash bonus payout each executive earns using the following process. To ensure that the deductibility of bonuses paid to our executive officers is not limited by Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code and as a condition to the payment of any bonus amounts, the compensation committee first determines if the financial performance criteria are satisfied. If so, the executive officers are eligible to receive the maximum amount of their cash bonus opportunity (subject to the compensation committee's discretion to reduce these bonus amounts). For 2010, the financial performance measure consisted solely of non-GAAP earnings per share. Once eligibility is determined based on the financial performance criteria, the compensation committee assesses a number of factors to determine whether to reduce (or eliminate) the cash bonus payout. As described in greater detail below, these factors include, among other things, certain company and/or individual performance KPMs approved by the compensation committee.

During 2010, KPMs were generally set as quarterly targets, and performance against them was assessed on a quarterly basis. These quarterly checkpoints served as preliminary indicators of potential bonus payouts. Each quarter, 12.5% of each executive officer's target annual bonus opportunity was eligible to be earned. The remaining 50% of the annual bonus opportunity was eligible to be earned after the completion of the year based on full-year performance against objectives.

6. Equity Compensation in General

We regard equity compensation as a key compensation component, particularly for our executive officers, for whom equity compensation generally represents a majority of total direct compensation. Equity awards with multi-year vesting or performance measurement periods allow us to:

Strengthen the link between stockholder value creation and long-term executive compensation;

Provide an opportunity for increased equity ownership by executives;

Provide long-term retention incentives to executives; and

Maintain competitive levels of total direct compensation.

We grant a significant equity award to each executive when the executive is initially hired. In subsequent years, we grant annual refresher awards to supplement the initial award. The annual awards are generally granted during the first quarter, as part of our annual performance and compensation review process. The size of initial and follow-on awards varies among executives based on equity award practices among our peer group, the scope of their responsibilities, their performance against their KPMs and relative comparison of awards among our own executives.

7. Stock Options, Restricted Stock Units and Performance Stock Units

Since 2006, we have been granting restricted stock units (RSUs) to our executive officers and certain other key employees. In 2008, we began to use performance stock units (PSUs), which are RSUs with performance-based vesting, on a more widespread basis. We continued this practice in 2009 using a combination of PSUs and RSUs for executive officers and certain other key employees. In 2010, we granted a combination of RSUs, PSUs and stock options to our executive officers and certain other key employees. Management and the compensation committee believe that it is appropriate to use more performance-based awards for senior executives and key employees because they have a more direct ability to drive performance that leads to increased stockholder value creation. By granting such individuals performance-based awards we expected to heighten their incentive to create stockholder value,

further aligning their interests with our stockholders.

RSUs give an executive officer the right to receive a specified number of shares of our common stock, without cost, if the executive remains employed with us for a specified period. Vesting of PSUs is contingent on the achievement of one or more pre-established performance objectives. For additional information of the terms and conditions of the PSUs and RSUs granted to our named executive officers, see the descriptions of individual change

Table of Contents

of control and severance arrangements for each named executive below and Severance and Change of Control Benefits below.

Because full-value equity awards, such as RSUs and PSUs, do not require future share price appreciation to have value or that the recipient purchase the underlying shares upon vesting, full-value equity awards provide immediate, meaningful and measurable economic value as of the grant date and an incentive to remain with us at least through the vesting period. Moreover, these types of awards retain value, and encourage continued employment, regardless of short-term stock price fluctuations. In contrast, the entire economic value of stock options depends on future stock price appreciation, so stock options have less perceived value if the stock price declines after the grant date. Because of these differences, full-value equity awards can deliver more immediate tangible value to executive officers than stock options, with significantly fewer shares and potentially less dilution for our stockholders.

The compensation committee typically determines the number of shares of stock subject to RSU, PSU and stock option awards after taking into account peer company data on the value of annual equity awards. We generally seek to provide awards that have a value between the market median and 75th percentile, but individual award value may vary from this range based on the subjective determination of the compensation committee. In addition, the compensation committee assesses the total holding power of an executive officer's outstanding equity awards when making decisions regarding additional equity awards. Total holding power measures the total unvested equity compensation held by an executive officer and allows the compensation committee to determine whether the current equity holdings of an executive are a meaningful factor for executive retention.

Recent RSU awards generally vest over three years, with the award vesting as to one-third of the underlying shares at the end of each year. These infrequent but sizable vesting tranches create a strong incentive to continue employment with us over the vesting period. Although vesting of the awards is based solely on continued service, the size of the award to each executive officer is linked to the compensation committee's subjective assessment of the performance of each recipient. In addition, because our stock price is key to the value of the RSUs (a higher stock price makes the shares issued in settlement of RSUs more valuable) part of the value of the RSUs will depend on the performance of the executive team and our company during the vesting period.

PSU awards to our executive officers vest up to a maximum of one-third of the underlying shares on an annual basis based upon continued service and meeting pre-established performance metrics during the year. PSUs are generally granted in February with a certification by the compensation committee of the units earned and vested occurring each following January or February.

Stock option awards generally vest based upon continued service over four years, with one-fourth of the shares subject to the option vesting one year from the date of grant and the remaining shares subject to the option vesting at a rate of 1/36th per month for the remaining 36 months of the vesting period.

8. Change of Control and Retention Arrangements

As described above, in 2008, we entered into individual change of control and retention agreements or change of control protection plans with our executive officers to provide severance payments and other change of control benefits in the event of a termination of employment in specified situations. The compensation committee believes these arrangements are essential to attract and retain executive officers and promote stability and continuity in our senior management team. We believe that the stability and continuity provided by these arrangements are in the best interests of our stockholders. Since these arrangements were scheduled to expire in February 2010, the compensation committee determined that it was appropriate to renew them for another two years until February 2012. This decision was made based on their assessment, as advised by their compensation consultant, that such arrangements remain prevalent in the marketplace. For a summary of the terms and conditions of these change of control and retention

agreements and the change in control protection plan, see Severance and Change of Control Benefits below.

Table of Contents

9. Perquisites and Other Benefits

We do not view perquisites as a significant component of our executive compensation programs. As frequent travelers, all named executive officers are provided upgraded air travel because it results in them arriving at their destinations more rested and able to work. We did not provide any other perquisites to our named executive officers during 2010. The compensation committee has occasionally approved perquisites in the past to accommodate specific, and usually temporary, circumstances of executive officers who do not reside near their work locations. See the Summary Compensation Table below for more details.

Our executive officers are eligible to participate in our benefit plans on the same terms as other full-time employees. These plans include medical and dental insurance, life insurance, vision insurance, short-term disability insurance, a Section 401(k) plan, employee stock purchase plan and discounts on our products. In addition our executive officers receive long-term disability insurance benefits that are commensurate with the market for executive officers of comparable companies.

C. Executive Compensation-Setting Process

1. Independent Compensation Committee Determines Executive Compensation with Input from the Chief Executive Officer

The compensation committee determines compensation for our named executive officers and sets compensation policy for all executive officers. Executive compensation is reviewed annually by the compensation committee in connection with executive performance evaluations. During the first quarter of each year, the compensation committee typically conducts an evaluation of our chief executive officer's performance, utilizing formal individual input from each of our independent directors. The compensation committee also reviews the performance of our other named executive officers with input from our chief executive officer. The compensation committee then evaluates total current compensation to determine if any changes are appropriate based on the considerations explained throughout this compensation discussion and analysis. The compensation committee reviews and gives considerable weight to our chief executive officer's compensation recommendations for our other named executive officers because of his direct knowledge of each of the individual's performance and contributions. Our human resources and finance staff provide the compensation committee with information related to performance against KPMs and the financial accounting impact of compensation decisions. Although decisions are influenced by input received from its compensation consultant and management, the compensation committee members make independent decisions based on their collective judgment.

2. The Role of Consultants

During 2010, the compensation committee directly engaged the services of Compensia, Inc., a national executive compensation consulting firm. No member of the compensation committee or any named executive officer has any affiliation with Compensia. Compensia reported directly to the chairman of the compensation committee on executive compensation matters.

In connection with specific compensation decisions, the compensation committee sought input from Compensia on a range of external market factors, including appropriate comparison companies for assessing our competitive market position, market survey data, and best practices for executive compensation arrangements. Although Compensia provided extensive data to the compensation committee, it does not determine the amount or form of compensation for any executive officers. During 2010, Compensia attended most compensation committee meetings and was available for consultation with compensation committee members at other times.

In addition to the work that Compensia directly performed for the compensation committee, pursuant to the direction of the compensation committee it provided limited advice to management on bonus plan design for individuals who are not executive officers and with respect to equity grant guidelines for non-executive officers.

Table of Contents**3. The Role of Peer Groups and Competitive Data**

With the assistance of Compensia, the compensation committee developed a group of peer companies in the technology sector to assess the competitive market position of our executive compensation. Peer companies were selected to include (i) our most direct business competitors; (ii) companies with whom we compete for talent; and (iii) software companies that are roughly comparable to us in terms of market capitalization and/or revenue. We seek to maintain stability in the peer group from year to year but make adjustments based on industry consolidation and our growth as a company. In 2010, we eliminated CIBER and Mentor Graphics from the listed peer companies primarily because of the increasing differences between our revenue performance and market capitalization and theirs. We also make occasional changes to ensure that the peer group continues to meet the selection criteria described above.

The following table identifies our peer companies, as used by the compensation committee in February 2010 for purposes of assessing the competitiveness of our compensation policies and practices, and provides information with respect to the revenue, net income, number of employees, and market capitalization of each of the peer companies, as compared to us. The information presented below is based on the four fiscal quarters ending December 31, 2009.

Company	Last Four Quarters	Last Four Quarters Net	Employees at FYE	Market Cap	Headquarters
	Revenue (\$MM)	Income (\$MM)		(\$MM) as of 12/31/09	
Activision Blizzard	\$ 4,279.0	\$ 113.0	7,000	\$ 13,704.7	California
Adobe Systems	\$ 2,945.9	\$ 386.5	8,660	\$ 19,223.3	California
Autodesk	\$ 1,713.7	\$ 58.0	6,800	\$ 5,751.7	California
BMC Software	\$ 1,899.2	\$ 370.6	5,800	\$ 7,406.5	Texas
CA	\$ 4,285.0	\$ 742.0	13,200	\$ 11,463.6	New York
Cadence Design Systems	\$ 852.6	\$ (149.9)	4,400	\$ 1,609.2	California
Citrix Systems	\$ 1,614.1	\$ 191.0	4,816	\$ 7,598.4	Florida
EA	\$ 3,535.0	\$ (749.0)	9,100	\$ 5,730.4	California
Intuit	\$ 3,194.2	\$ 431.2	7,800	\$ 9,918.6	California
NetApp	\$ 3,502.7	\$ 147.0	7,976	\$ 11,415.8	California
Novell	\$ 862.2	\$ (212.7)	3,600	\$ 1,440.4	Massachusetts
Parametric Technology	\$ 938.2	\$ 31.5	5,165	\$ 1,894.6	Massachusetts
salesforce.com	\$ 1,305.6	\$ 80.7	3,969	\$ 9,062.6	California
Sybase	\$ 1,170.6	\$ 164.0	3,819	NA	California
Symantec	\$ 5,921.6	\$ 273.6	17,400	\$ 14,615.7	California
Synopsys	\$ 1,360.0	\$ 167.7	5,928	\$ 3,273.9	California
VeriSign	\$ 1,030.6	\$ 245.6	2,328	\$ 4,443.2	California
VMware	\$ 2,023.9	\$ 197.1	7,100	\$ 17,070.0	California
75th Percentile	\$ 3,425.6	\$ 266.6	7,932	\$ 11,463.6	
60th Percentile	\$ 2,208.3	\$ 192.2	7,020	\$ 9,576.2	
50th Percentile	\$ 1,806.4	\$ 165.8	6,364	\$ 7,598.4	
Average	\$ 2,357.4	\$ 138.2	6,937	\$ 8,566.0	
25th Percentile	\$ 1,204.3	\$ 63.7	4,504	\$ 4,443.2	
McAfee	\$ 1,927.3	\$ 173.4	6,100	\$ 6,421.7	California

On an annual basis, Compensia provides reports to the compensation committee comparing compensation of our most senior executive officers to that of the most senior executive officers at our peer companies. Peer company data is derived from the Radford Executive Survey (which is focused on compensation in the technology sector), as adjusted by Compensia in its reasonable judgment based on changes to market conditions since the date of the

Table of Contents

survey data, and SEC filings by our peer companies. The compensation committee generally references total direct compensation between the market median and 75th percentile for purposes of understanding the competitive market for executive compensation. Rather than relying solely on this peer data, the compensation committee makes individual decisions based on what it believes is necessary and appropriate to attract, motivate and/or retain the executive officers under the particular circumstances in which the decision is made. These circumstances include but are not limited to the external competitive landscape.

All of the equity awards granted since 2008 to our employees, including our named executive officers, have been approved by our compensation committee, pursuant to a formal equity granting policy that includes the following policies and procedures:

All new-hire, promotional and retention awards are aggregated for approval on predetermined dates (typically once per quarter following our earnings announcements);

No individual or committee other than the compensation committee or the board of directors is authorized to approve awards;

All awards are approved at a meeting of the compensation committee or the board of directors, and not by written consent;

We determine the exercise price of a stock option based on the fair market value of our common stock on the grant date (unless otherwise legally required for grants of awards to non-US individuals); and

There are detailed written procedures in place for grant approvals and documentation.

D. 2010 Executive Compensation Decisions

1. Overview

This section describes the executive compensation decisions made by our compensation committee for 2010. Except with respect to Mr. Watzinger, none of our executive officers received a base salary increase in 2010. In February 2010, we granted a combination of stock options, PSUs and RSUs to our executive officers.

2. PSU Vesting Criteria for 2010 Performance Period

With respect to the PSUs granted to our named executive officers during 2009 and 2010, the vesting of the maximum number of PSUs allocated to the 2010 performance period was contingent upon the company achieving 2010 non-GAAP earnings per share of \$2.85 (based upon target level adjustments described below). The number of PSUs earned adjust downward if performance achievement is below the maximum target level. Based on our 2010 non-GAAP earnings per share performance, the compensation committee certified that approximately 92% of the maximum number of PSUs allocated to the 2010 performance period for the awards made to our named executive officers were earned.

With respect to the PSUs granted to our named executive officers during 2008, the vesting of the PSUs allocated to the 2010 performance period was contingent upon the company achieving 2010 non-GAAP earnings per share of \$2.59 (based upon target level adjustments described below). In contrast to the PSUs granted during 2009 and 2010, the PSUs granted during 2008 do not adjust downward as to the number of PSUs earned if performance achievement is below the target level. Based on our 2010 non-GAAP earnings per share performance, the compensation committee certified that the PSUs allocated to the 2010 performance period were fully earned.

In judging the company's achievement against the non-GAAP earnings per share target levels the compensation committee may adjust the target levels for fluctuations in currency exchange rates, mergers and acquisitions and certain one-time charges, as appropriate. In 2010, the compensation committee adjusted the financial target levels for fluctuations in currency exchange rates and also to exclude the financial impact of our acquisitions of Trust Digital, tenCube and InternetSafety.com. The compensation committee did not otherwise adjust the non-GAAP earnings per share financial target levels.

Table of Contents**3. Key Performance Metrics and Cash Bonuses for 2010**

Our board of directors approved the company's strategic imperatives for 2010. The compensation committee then established 2010 KPMs for overall company performance as well as individual performance objectives for our chief executive officer based on the company's strategic imperatives for 2010. The compensation committee also identified specific measurement methods for each KPM. Our chief executive officer established 2010 KPMs for the individual performance objectives for our other executive officers, in consultation with those executives, based primarily on the company's strategic imperatives for 2010 and those KPMs are reviewed and approved by the compensation committee. Our chief executive officer also identified specific measurement methods for each KPM.

As described above, the compensation committee determines the amount of the cash bonus each executive earns using the following process. To ensure that the deductibility of bonuses paid to our executive officers is not limited by Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code and as a condition to the payment of any bonus amounts, the compensation committee first determines if the financial performance criteria are satisfied. In January 2010, the compensation committee established financial performance criteria triggering eligibility for maximum cash bonus opportunities for our executive officers with respect to each quarter in 2010 as well as for the full year. The maximum cash bonus opportunities for our executive officers equaled 175% of the individual target cash bonus opportunities. The 2010 financial criteria triggering eligibility to receive the maximum cash bonus opportunities for our executive officers were as follows:

	Q1-2010	Q2-2010	Q3-2010	Q4-2010	Full Year 2010
Non-GAAP Earnings Per Share	\$ 0.48	\$ 0.52	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.58	\$ 2.13

In accordance with Section 162(m), achievement of the objective financial performance criteria was substantially uncertain at the time the compensation committee established the criteria, in particular because of the uncertainty around the severity or length of time that adverse national and global economic and financial market conditions would persist. Our non-GAAP earnings per share performance for each quarter in 2010 as well as for the full year exceeded the performance criteria established by the compensation committee in order to trigger eligibility for the maximum cash bonus opportunities.

Once the compensation committee determined that the executive officers were eligible for the maximum cash bonus opportunity, the compensation committee assessed other financial and operational factors at company-wide and individual levels to determine whether to reduce (or eliminate) the cash bonus awards that each executive officer was eligible to receive. The financial and operational factors considered by the compensation committee included:

Analysis of revenue and change in deferred revenue, non-GAAP earnings per share and operating cash flow; and

Performance against company-wide and individual KPMs as determined by the compensation committee (with input from our chief executive officer regarding other the executive officers).

Following its review of these factors, the compensation committee decided to reduce the bonus payouts to our executive officers to amounts that ranged in the aggregate from 84 to 103% of the individual target cash bonus opportunities. The primary reason for reducing the bonus payouts was to align the bonuses to our financial performance in each measurement period based upon our achievement in revenue and change in deferred revenue, non-GAAP earnings per share and operating cash flow as compared to our internal business plan in each measurement

period.

As previously discussed with respect to financial targets for PSUs, in judging the company's achievement against the non-GAAP earnings per share target levels the compensation committee may adjust the target levels for fluctuations in currency exchange rates, mergers and acquisitions and certain one-time charges, as appropriate. In 2010, the compensation committee adjusted the target levels for fluctuations in currency exchange rates and also to exclude the financial impact of our acquisitions of Trust Digital, tenCube and InternetSafety.com. The compensation committee did not otherwise adjust the non-GAAP earnings per share target levels.

Table of Contents***4. Compensation for David G. DeWalt***

Mr. DeWalt was hired as our president and chief executive officer in April 2007. Mr. DeWalt did not receive a base salary increase in 2010. The compensation committee believed his 2009 base salary of \$950,000 was still appropriate based upon market comparables among our peer companies and because of the uncertainty around the severity or length of time that adverse national and global economic and financial market conditions would persist. For the same reasons, Mr. DeWalt's target cash bonus opportunity remained unchanged at 111% of his base salary. Based on our achievement of our 2010 financial metrics described above and the compensation committee's assessment of his individual performance against agreed upon KPMs, Mr. DeWalt received a cash bonus of \$990,969, equal to approximately 94% of his individual target cash bonus opportunity.

In February 2010 Mr. DeWalt was granted 193,000 stock options, 25,150 RSUs, and 97,500 PSUs. The PSUs are eligible to vest in equal tranches in February 2011, 2012 and 2013, subject to achievement of designated performance criteria for the designated performance period. The vesting of the PSUs allocated to the 2010 performance period was based upon achievement of certain 2010 non-GAAP earnings per share targets established by the compensation committee. The size of these awards were based on market comparables among our peer companies, the scope of Mr. DeWalt's responsibilities, his individual executive performance against KPMs and relative compensation comparison among our other executive officers. Based on our 2010 non-GAAP earnings per share performance, the compensation committee certified that all of Mr. DeWalt's PSUs granted in 2008 that were allocated to the 2010 performance period were earned, and approximately 92% of the maximum number of Mr. DeWalt's PSUs granted in 2009 and 2010 that were allocated to the 2010 performance period were earned. As a result, Mr. DeWalt vested as to 108,413 PSUs allocated to the 2010 performance period.

In addition to his letter agreement Mr. DeWalt entered into a change of control and retention agreement with us in December 2008, as amended in January 2009. As noted above, on February 16, 2010 we renewed this agreement for an additional two-year period through February 29, 2012. For a summary of the terms and conditions of this change of control and retention agreement, see "Severance and Change of Control Benefits" below. In connection with the pending acquisition of McAfee by Intel, Mr. DeWalt entered into an employment agreement with Intel and us. For a summary of the terms and conditions of this employment agreement, see "Employment Agreements and Retention Letters with Intel" below.

5. Compensation for Jonathan C. Chadwick

Jonathan C. Chadwick was hired as our executive vice president and chief financial officer on June 14, 2010. Mr. Chadwick's initial compensation was set by the compensation committee after receiving advice from Compensia regarding compensation based on market comparables among our peer companies in light of the scope of Mr. Chadwick's responsibilities so that his total compensation was competitive with the market and was sufficient to compel Mr. Chadwick to join the company.

Pursuant to the terms of his offer letter, Mr. Chadwick received an annual base salary of \$600,000 and is eligible for a target cash bonus equal to 100% of his base salary. Based on our achievement of our 2010 financial metrics described above and the compensation committee's assessment of his individual performance against agreed upon KPMs, Mr. Chadwick received a prorated cash bonus of \$299,803, equal to approximately 95% of his individual target cash bonus opportunity.

Pursuant to the terms of his offer letter, Mr. Chadwick received initial stock option, RSU and PSU grants generally as described below. Mr. Chadwick received 75,000 stock options subject to our standard four-year vesting terms. Mr. Chadwick also received 125,000 RSUs, 75,000 of which are subject to our standard three-year vesting terms and the remaining 50,000 are scheduled to vest in full in August 2011. In addition, Mr. Chadwick received 97,500 PSUs,

which are eligible to vest in equal tranches in February 2011, 2012 and 2013, subject to achievement of designated performance criteria for the designated performance period. Based on our 2010 non-GAAP earnings per share performance, the compensation committee certified that approximately 92% of the maximum number of Mr. Chadwick's PSUs that were allocated to the 2010 performance period were earned. As a result, Mr. Chadwick vested as to 30,012 PSUs allocated to the 2010 performance period.

Table of Contents

On June 14, 2010 Mr. Chadwick entered into a change of control and retention agreement with us. For a summary of the terms and conditions of this agreement, see *Severance and Change of Control Benefits* below. In connection with the pending acquisition of McAfee by Intel, Mr. Chadwick entered into an employment agreement with Intel and us. For a summary of the terms and conditions of this employment agreement, see *Employment Agreements and Retention Letters with Intel* below.

6. Compensation for Michael P. DeCesare

Mr. DeCesare was hired as our executive vice president, worldwide sales operations in October 2007. Mr. DeCesare did not receive a base salary increase in 2010. The compensation committee believed his 2009 base salary of \$600,000 was still appropriate based upon market comparables among our peer companies and because of the uncertainty around the severity or length of time that adverse national and global economic and financial market conditions would persist. For the same reasons, Mr. DeCesare's target cash bonus opportunity remained unchanged from 2009 at 100% of his base salary. Based on our achievement of our 2010 financial metrics described above and the compensation committee's assessment of his individual performance against agreed upon KPMs, Mr. DeCesare received a cash bonus of \$504,195, equal to approximately 84% of his individual target cash bonus opportunity.

In February 2010, Mr. DeCesare was granted 43,700 stock options, 4,300 RSUs, and 22,100 PSUs. The PSUs are eligible to vest in equal tranches in February 2011, 2012 and 2013, subject to achievement of designated performance criteria for the designated performance period. The vesting of the PSUs allocated to the 2010 performance period was based upon achievement of certain 2010 non-GAAP earnings per share targets established by the compensation committee. The size of these awards were based on market comparables among our peer companies, the scope of Mr. DeCesare's responsibilities, his individual executive performance against KPMs and relative compensation comparison among our other executive officers. Based on our 2010 non-GAAP earnings per share performance, the compensation committee certified that all of Mr. DeCesare's PSUs granted in 2008 that were allocated to the 2010 performance period were earned, and approximately 92% of the maximum number of Mr. DeCesare's PSUs granted in 2009 and 2010 that were allocated to the 2010 performance period were earned. As a result, Mr. DeCesare vested as to 40,407 PSUs allocated to the 2010 performance period.

Additionally, on December 12, 2008 Mr. DeCesare entered into a change of control and retention agreement with us. As noted above, on February 16, 2010 we renewed this agreement for an additional two-year period through February 29, 2012. For a summary of the terms and conditions of this change of control and retention agreement, see *Severance and Change of Control Benefits* below. In connection with the pending acquisition of McAfee by Intel, Mr. DeCesare entered into a retention agreement with Intel and us. For a summary of the terms and conditions of this retention agreement, see *Employment Agreements and Retention Letters with Intel* below.

7. Compensation for Todd W. Gebhart

Mr. Gebhart has served as our executive vice president and general manager, consumer, small and mobile business since 2008. Mr. Gebhart did not receive a base salary increase in 2010. The compensation committee believed his 2009 base salary of \$350,000 was still appropriate based upon market comparables among our peer companies and because of the uncertainty around the severity or length of time that adverse national and global economic and financial market conditions would persist. For the same reasons, Mr. Gebhart's target cash bonus opportunity remained unchanged from 2009 at 100% of his base salary. Based on our achievement of our 2010 financial metrics described above and the compensation committee's assessment of his individual performance against agreed upon KPMs, Mr. Gebhart received a cash bonus of \$359,734, equal to approximately 103% of his individual target cash bonus opportunity.

In February 2010, Mr. Gebhart was granted 28,500 stock options, 3,900 RSUs, and 14,430 PSUs. The PSUs are eligible to vest in equal tranches in February 2011, 2012 and 2013, subject to achievement of designated performance criteria for the designated performance period. The vesting of the PSUs allocated to the 2010 performance period was based upon achievement of certain 2010 non-GAAP earnings per share targets established by the compensation committee. The sizes of these awards were based on market comparables among our peer companies, the scope of Mr. Gebhart's responsibilities, his individual executive performance against KPMs and relative compensation comparison among our other executive officers. Based on our 2010 non-GAAP earnings per

Table of Contents

share performance, the compensation committee certified that all of Mr. Gebhart's PSUs granted in 2008 that were allocated to the 2010 performance period were earned, and approximately 92% of the maximum number of Mr. Gebhart's PSUs granted in 2009 and 2010 that were allocated to the 2010 performance period were earned. As a result, Mr. Gebhart vested as to 14,176 PSUs allocated to the 2010 performance period.

Additionally, on December 12, 2008 Mr. Gebhart entered into a participation agreement which is subject to a change of control and retention plan. On February 16, 2010 we renewed this agreement and plan for an additional two-year period through February 29, 2012. For a summary of the terms and conditions of this change of control and retention arrangement, see *Severance and Change of Control Benefits* below. In connection with the pending acquisition of McAfee by Intel, Mr. Gebhart entered into a retention agreement with Intel and us. For a summary of the terms and conditions of this retention agreement, see *Employment Agreements and Retention Letters with Intel* below.

8. *Compensation for Gerhard Watzinger*

Gerhard Watzinger joined us in November 2007 as part of our acquisition of SafeBoot Holding BV and serves as our executive vice president, worldwide strategy and business development and general manager data protection. Mr. Watzinger's 2010 base salary was \$400,000, which reflected a \$50,000 increase from 2009. The compensation committee adjusted Mr. Watzinger's base salary because, unlike the other members of the executive team, Mr. Watzinger's base salary was below the market median as reflected by our peer companies. Mr. Watzinger's performance since joining McAfee had been strong and as a result the compensation committee increased his base salary to between the market median and 75th percentile. Mr. Watzinger's target cash bonus opportunity remained unchanged from 2010 at 100% of his base salary. Based on our achievement of our 2010 financial metrics described above and the compensation committee's assessment of his individual performance against agreed upon KPMs, Mr. Watzinger received a cash bonus of \$356,965, equal to approximately 89% of his individual target cash bonus opportunity. In addition to his annual cash bonus, in October 2010 the compensation committee authorized a special cash bonus payment to Mr. Watzinger in the amount of \$50,000 in recognition of his leadership and extensive time devoted in connection with the pending acquisition of McAfee by Intel.

In February 2010, Mr. Watzinger was granted 40,000 stock options and 26,000 PSUs. The PSUs are eligible to vest in equal tranches in February 2011, 2012 and 2013, subject to achievement of designated performance criteria for the designated performance period. The vesting of the PSUs allocated to the 2010 performance period was based upon achievement of certain 2010 non-GAAP earnings per share targets established by the compensation committee. The size of these awards were based on market comparables among our peer companies, the scope of Mr. Watzinger's responsibilities, his individual executive performance against KPMs and relative compensation comparison among our other executive officers. Based on our 2010 non-GAAP earnings per share performance, the compensation committee certified that all of Mr. Watzinger's PSUs granted in 2008 that were allocated to the 2010 performance period were earned, and approximately 92% of the maximum number of Mr. Watzinger's PSUs granted in 2009 and 2010 that were allocated to the 2010 performance period were earned. As a result, Mr. Watzinger vested as to 20,019 PSUs allocated to the 2010 performance period.

Additionally, on December 12, 2008 Mr. Watzinger entered into a change of control and retention agreement with us. As noted above, on February 16, 2010 we renewed this agreement for an additional two-year period through February 29, 2012. For a summary of the terms and conditions of this change of control and retention agreement, see *Severance and Change of Control Benefits* below. In connection with the pending acquisition of McAfee by Intel, Mr. Watzinger entered into a retention agreement with Intel and us. For a summary of the terms and conditions of this retention agreement, see *Employment Agreements and Retention Letters with Intel* below.

9. *Compensation for Albert A. Rocky Pimentel*

Albert A. Rocky Pimentel served as our chief financial officer and chief operating officer until June 14, 2010 and served as special advisor to Mr. Chadwick until August 9, 2010. Mr. Pimentel's \$500,000 base salary and target cash bonus at 100% of his base salary remained unchanged from 2009. Based on our achievement of our 2010 financial metrics described above and the compensation committee's assessment of his individual performance

Table of Contents

against agreed upon KPMs, Mr. Pimentel received a prorated cash bonus of \$52,188, equal to approximately 42% of his individual target cash bonus opportunity.

E. Tax, Accounting and Other Considerations

Tax Deductibility of Compensation Expense. Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code places a limit of \$1,000,000 on the amount of compensation to certain executives that we may deduct as a business expense in any tax year unless, among other things, the compensation is performance-based and it is paid under a compensation plan that has been approved by our stockholders. During 2010, we designed our incentive compensation plans so that they qualify as performance-based compensation that is deductible under Section 162(m). We expect that all compensation payments under the bonus plan will be exempt from Section 162(m) and will therefore be tax deductible. Mr. Denend does not qualify as an outside director under Section 162(m) because he is a former executive officer of McAfee (although he is independent from the company under the New York Stock Exchange listing requirements and SEC rules). Mr. Denend abstains from making decisions with respect to compensation that could qualify as exempt from Section 162(m)'s limits. With respect to those decisions, the remaining independent members of the compensation committee act.

From time to time, the compensation committee may approve compensation that will not meet these requirements for deductibility to ensure competitive levels of total compensation for its executive officers.

Tax Implications for Executives. Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code imposes additional income taxes on our employees who receive certain types of deferred compensation if the compensation does not meet the qualification requirements of Section 409A. We generally do not offer deferred compensation programs subject to Section 409A.

Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code imposes an excise tax on payments to executives of severance or change of control compensation that exceed the levels specified in Section 280G of the Code. Our named executive officers could potentially receive amounts that exceed the Section 280G limits as severance or change in control payments, but the compensation committee does not consider this potential impact in compensation program design.

Accounting Considerations. The compensation committee also considers the accounting expense and cash flow implications of various forms of executive compensation. For base salary and cash bonuses, we record or accrue compensation expense in our financial statements in an amount equal to the dollar amount of the cash payment. Accounting standards also require us to record an expense in our financial statements for equity awards as well, even though equity awards are not paid to employees in cash. All equity awards (stock options, PSUs and RSUs) result in compensation expense. The compensation committee believes that the advantages of equity awards, as described throughout this compensation discussion and analysis, more than outweigh the non-cash accounting expense associated with them.

Compensation Committee Report on Compensation Discussion and Analysis

The compensation committee of our board of directors has furnished the following report:

The compensation committee has reviewed and discussed the foregoing compensation discussion and analysis with management. Based on that review and discussion, the compensation committee has recommended to our board of directors that the compensation discussion and analysis be included in this annual report.

THE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

Leslie G. Denend, Chairman

Denis J. O Leary
Jeffrey A. Miller
Anthony Zingale

Table of Contents

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

No member of our compensation committee, other than Mr. Denend as described above, during 2010 has ever been an officer or employee of McAfee or of any of our subsidiaries or affiliates. During 2010, none of our executive officers served on the board of directors or on the compensation committee of any other entity, any officers of which served either on our board of directors or on our compensation committee.

Compensation Policies and Practices as They Relate to Risk Management

In 2010, our management conducted a review of our compensation policies and practices to assess whether such policies and practices as they relate to our employees are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on us. This process included the following:

A review of our compensation programs;

The identification of program features that could potentially encourage excessive or imprudent risk taking of a material nature; and

The identification of factors that mitigate these risks.

We paid particular attention to programs that allow for variable payouts where an employee might be able to influence payout factors and programs that involve our executives. During the course of our assessment, we consulted with various persons, including our senior human resources executives, our internal and external legal counsel and the compensation consultant retained by the compensation committee.

Overall, we believe that our compensation programs are designed to create appropriate incentives for employee performance without encouraging excessive risk taking. In this regard, our compensation structure contains the following features intended to mitigate risk.

We use a balanced compensation structure designed to link an appropriate portion of compensation to our long-term performance.

We periodically compare our compensation programs and overall compensation structure with our peer companies to assess the competitive market position of our compensation and align with industry practices.

Cash bonuses are based on multiple performance metrics, including company-wide metrics as well as customer and employee success measures, which are consistent with our short and long-term goals.

In many cases, management or the compensation committee has discretion to decrease cash bonuses for quality of performance or other negative factors.

Equity compensation is largely subject to multi-year vesting to provide meaningful retention incentive.

We have established internal controls and standards of ethics and business conduct, many of which help to support our compensation goals and mitigate compensation risk.

The compensation committee oversees our compensation policies and practices and is responsible for reviewing and approving executive compensation, incentive compensation plans applicable to senior

management employees and other compensation plans.

In 2009, our board adopted a clawback policy that allows our board of directors to seek to recover bonuses or other incentive-based or equity-based compensation to certain executives in certain circumstances where there has been a restatement of previously issued financial statements.

Based on the assessment described above, we concluded that our compensation policies and practices are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on us. We reviewed the assessment process and our conclusions with the compensation committee.

Table of Contents**SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE**

This table summarizes the compensation earned by our current named executive officers during 2010.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary(1)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation/ Bonus(2)	Stock Awards(4)	Option Awards(4)	All Other Compensation(6)	Total
David G. DeWalt	2010	\$ 950,000	\$ 990,969	\$ 4,047,062	\$ 2,646,551	\$ 1,710	\$ 8,636,292
President and chief executive officer	2009	950,000	577,501	6,539,799		5,310	8,072,610
	2008	950,000	1,000,000	12,833,666(5)	1,124,498	34,224	15,942,388
Nathan C. Chadwick	2010	600,000	299,803	6,598,750	764,228	665	8,263,446
Executive vice president and chief financial officer							
Michael P. DeCesare	2010	600,000	504,195	860,733	599,245	1,710	2,565,883
Executive vice president worldwide sales operations	2009	600,000	331,875	2,131,960		4,740	3,068,575
	2008	600,000	592,575	3,938,500(5)		1,045	5,132,120
Todd W. Gebhart	2010	350,000	359,734	606,150	390,812	4,902	1,711,598
Executive vice president and general manager, consumer, small and mobile business							
Herhard Watzinger	2010	400,000	406,965(3)	808,200	548,508	2,622	2,166,295
Executive vice president, worldwide strategy and business development and general manager, data protection	2009	350,000	208,906	1,360,692		5,310	1,924,908

(1) Salary includes amounts deferred under our Section 401(k) plan.

(2) The amounts reported consist of cash incentive compensation earned for services rendered in the respective fiscal years under our executive bonus plan. A description of our executive bonus plan may be found in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis Executive Compensation Design above.

(3) The amount reported includes a special cash bonus payment to Mr. Watzinger in the amount of \$50,000 in recognition of his leadership and extensive time devoted in connection with the pending acquisition of McAfee by Intel.

(4) The amounts reported do not reflect the actual economic value realized by the named executive officer. This column reflects the grant date fair values which have been determined based on assumptions described in Note 14 to our consolidated financial statements included in this annual report. For PSUs, the grant date fair value is based on the probable outcome of the performance conditions as of the grant date.

(5) The stock unit awards made by us during 2008 to each of Messrs. DeWalt and DeCesare include awards that would have been made during 2007 in accordance with the terms of their respective 2007 offer letter agreements with us, but for the fact that we were unable to grant equity awards (other than stock options) during 2007

because we were not current in our financial reporting obligations for substantially all of 2007.

Table of Contents

(6) The amounts reported in the All Other Compensation column consisted of the following:

Name	Year	Commuting Expense	Living Allowance	Gifts, Family Travel and Matching Gifts(1)	Group Term Life Insurance Coverage	Company Contributions To 401(k)	Tax Gross-ups(2)	Total
David G. DeWalt	2010	\$	\$	\$	\$ 1,710	\$	\$	\$ 1,710
	2009				1,710	3,600		5,310
	2008	11,128	8,013	3,365	540		11,178	34,224
Jonathan C. Chadwick	2010				665			665
Michael P. DeCesare	2010				1,710			1,710
	2009				1,140	3,600		4,740
	2008			341	540		164	1,045
Todd W. Gebhart	2010				4,902			4,902
Gerhard Watzinger	2010				2,622			2,622
	2009				1,710	3,600		5,310

(1) Represents the cost of spousal travel to McAfee events, the cost of token gifts received at McAfee events and company-matching charitable contributions.

(2) The tax gross-up payments reported in this column relate to taxes imposed on our reimbursements of living and commuting expenses (in the case of Mr. DeWalt) and taxes imposed on token gifts received at McAfee events and the cost of spousal travel to McAfee events.

GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS

This table shows grants of plan-based awards made by us to our current named executive officers during 2010.

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Future	Estimated Future	All Other Stock	All Other Stock	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards	Grant Value of Stock Awards
		Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards (Target/Maximum)(1)	Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards (Threshold/Target/Maximum)(2)	Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units	Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options(9)		
DeWalt	2/16/2010		10,000 / 25,000 / 32,500(3)	65,000(3)		\$	\$ 3,031
	2/16/2010			25,150(8)			1
	2/16/2010				193,000	40.41	2
	1/15/2010	\$ 1,050,000 / \$1,837,500					
	8/6/2010		10,000 / 25,000 / 32,500(4)	65,000(4)			2,44

	8/6/2010			75,000(8)		
	8/6/2010			40,000(8)		
	8/6/2010			10,000(8)		
	8/2/2010				75,000	33.26
	6/14/2010	600,000 / 1,050,000				
	2/16/2010		2,267 / 5,667 / 7,367(5)	14,733(5)		
	2/16/2010			4,300(8)		
	2/16/2010				43,700	40.41
	1/15/2010	600,000 / 1,050,000				
Lebhart	2/16/2010		1,480 / 3,700 / 4,810(6)	9,620(6)		
	2/16/2010			3,900(8)		
	2/16/2010				28,500	40.41
	1/15/2010	350,000 / 612,500				
Matzinger	2/16/2010		2,667 / 6,667 / 8,667(7)	17,333(7)		
	2/16/2010				40,000	40.41
	1/15/2010	400,000 / 700,000				

- (1) The amounts reported represent cash bonus awards granted during 2010 under our executive bonus plan that could be earned based on 2010 performance. Our executive bonus plan does not provide for threshold payouts, although the compensation committee may reduce or eliminate awards. For a discussion of the performance criteria related to these awards, see the Compensation Discussion and Analysis above.

Table of Contents

- (2) The amounts reported represent PSUs granted during 2010 under our 1997 Stock Incentive Plan (except with respect to Mr. Chadwick whose PSUs were granted under our 2010 Equity Incentive Plan) that could be earned based on 2010 performance. For a discussion of the performance criteria related to these awards, see the Compensation Discussion and Analysis above.
- (3) On February 16, 2010, Mr. DeWalt was granted 97,500 PSUs, 32,500 (or 1/3) of which were allocated to the 2010 performance period. The remaining 65,000 PSUs are allocated to 2011 and 2012 performance periods.
- (4) On August 6, 2010, Mr. Chadwick was granted 97,500 PSUs, 32,500 (or 1/3) of which were allocated to the 2010 performance period. The remaining 65,000 PSUs are allocated to 2011 and 2012 performance periods.
- (5) On February 16, 2010, Mr. DeCesare was granted 22,100 PSUs, 7,367 (or 1/3) of which were allocated to the 2010 performance period. The remaining 14,733 PSUs are allocated to 2011 and 2012 performance periods.
- (6) On February 16, 2010, Mr. Gebhart was granted 14,430 PSUs, 4,810 (or 1/3) of which were allocated to the 2010 performance period. The remaining 9,620 PSUs are allocated to 2011 and 2012 performance periods.
- (7) On February 16, 2010, Mr. Watzinger was granted 26,000 PSUs, 8,667 (or 1/3) of which were allocated to the 2010 performance period. The remaining 17,333 PSUs are allocated to 2011 and 2012 performance periods.
- (8) The amounts reported represent RSUs granted under our 1997 Stock Incentive Plan (except with respect to Mr. Chadwick whose RSUs were granted under our 2010 Equity Incentive Plan).
- (9) The amounts reported represent stock options granted our 1997 Stock Incentive Plan (except with respect to Mr. Chadwick whose stock options were granted under our 2010 Equity Incentive Plan).
- (10) The amounts reported do not reflect the actual economic value realized by the named executive officer. This column reflects the grant date fair values which have been determined based on assumptions described in Note 14 to our consolidated financial statements included in this annual report. The fair value of an option award is determined under ASC 718 using a Black-Scholes option pricing model. For PSUs, the grant date fair value is based on the probable outcome of the performance conditions as of the grant date.
- (11) The maximum potential grant date fair value assuming the highest possible outcome of the performance conditions with respect this PSU award is \$3,939,975.
- (12) The maximum potential grant date fair value assuming the highest possible outcome of the performance conditions with respect this PSU award is \$3,173,625.
- (13) The maximum potential grant date fair value assuming the highest possible outcome of the performance conditions with respect this PSU award is \$893,061.
- (14) The maximum potential grant date fair value assuming the highest possible outcome of the performance conditions with respect this PSU award is \$583,116.
- (15) The maximum potential grant date fair value assuming the highest possible outcome of the performance conditions with respect this PSU award is \$1,050,660.

Table of Contents**OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR-END**

This table shows outstanding equity awards for our current named executive officers as of December 31, 2010.

Name	Option Awards				Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested	Stock Awards	Equity Incentive Plan Awards:	Equity Incentive Plan Awards
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options(1)		Option Exercise Price	Option Expiration Date			Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested	Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested	Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested
	Exercisable	Unexercisable							
David G. DeWalt	458,333	41,667	\$ 32.49	4/30/2017	94,680	\$ 4,384,631	224,555	\$ 10,399,142	
	53,125	21,875	32.95	2/19/2018					
		193,000	40.41	2/16/2020					
Nathan C. Radwick		75,000	33.26	8/02/2017	125,000	5,788,750	97,500	4,515,225	
Michael P. Cesare	79,167	20,833	39.90	10/29/2017	26,966	1,248,795	54,899(4)	2,542,373(4)	
Todd W. Gebhart		43,700	40.41	2/16/2020	21,232	983,254	31,629	1,464,739	
	417	5,833	34.73	2/11/2018					
	417	8,333	37.47	8/4/2018					
Erhard Watzinger		28,500	40.41	2/16/2020	21,132	978,623	48,139	2,229,317	
	45,259	(2)	15.18	5/16/2016					
	16,502	16,502(3)	29.26	1/12/2017					
	15,000	18,750	37.47	8/04/2018					
		40,000	40.41	2/16/2020					

(1) Except with respect to Mr. Watzinger, all of the stock options reported in these columns vest at the rate of one-fourth (or 25%) one year from the date of grant and the remaining shares vest at a rate of 1/36th per month for the remaining 36 months of the vesting period. Under our 1997 Stock Incentive Plan and 2010 Equity Incentive Plan, our board of directors is allowed to modify the terms of outstanding options. The exercisability of options may be accelerated upon a change of control. Unvested options are generally cancelled upon an optionee's termination of service.

(2) On May 16, 2006, Mr. Watzinger was granted stock options to purchase shares of the stock of his then ultimate employer, SafeBoot Holding BV. On November 19, 2007, we acquired SafeBoot Holding BV and assumed Mr. Watzinger's remaining stock options to purchase 75,777 shares of our common stock (on an as-converted

basis). One-third of the 75,777 shares subject to these stock options vested on each of the second, third and fourth anniversaries of the grant date.

- (3) On January 12, 2007, Mr. Watzinger was granted stock options to purchase 66,008 shares of the stock of his then ultimate employer, SafeBoot Holding BV. On November 19, 2007, we acquired SafeBoot Holding BV and assumed Mr. Watzinger's stock options. One-fourth of the shares subject to these stock options are scheduled to vest on each of the first, second, third and fourth anniversaries of the grant date.
- (4) The amount reported does not include Mr. DeCesare's 16,666 PSUs vested on December 31, 2010 based on a certification by the compensation committee that the performance criteria for the 2010 performance period had been satisfied. We issued these shares to Mr. DeCesare on February 8, 2011.

OPTIONS EXERCISED AND STOCK VESTED

This table shows all stock options exercised and value realized upon exercise, and all stock awards vested and value realized upon vesting for our current named executive officers during 2010.

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise	Value Realized on Exercise	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting	Value Realized on Vesting
David G. DeWalt		\$	199,959(1)	\$ 8,055,945(1)
Jonathan C. Chadwick				
Michael P. DeCesare			62,734(2)	2,605,039(2)
Todd W. Gebhart	17,917	194,777	25,601	944,358
Gerhard Watzinger			47,610	2,003,749

Table of Contents

- (1) The amount reported includes 41,666 PSUs vested on December 31, 2009 based on a certification by the compensation committee that the performance criteria for the 2009 performance period had been satisfied. Pursuant to the terms of the related award agreement, we issued these shares to Mr. DeWalt on March 2, 2010; the value realized on vesting with respect to these shares is based on the March 2, 2010 date of issuance.
- (2) The amount reported includes 16,667 PSUs vested on December 31, 2009 based on a certification by the compensation committee that the performance criteria for the 2009 performance period had been satisfied. The value realized on vesting with respect to these shares is based on the February 11, 2010 date of issuance. The amount reported does not include 16,666 PSUs vested on December 31, 2010 based on a certification by the compensation committee that the performance criteria for the 2010 performance period had been satisfied. We issued these shares to Mr. DeCesare on February 8, 2011.

Severance and Change of Control Benefits

We have entered into agreements providing for severance and/or change of control payments and benefits with each of our current named executive officers. These severance and change of control payments and benefits are intended to attract and retain qualified executive officers and promote stability and continuity in our senior management team.

Agreement with Mr. DeWalt

Our agreement with Mr. DeWalt provides for certain severance payments and benefits in the event we terminate his employment for other than cause or in the event that Mr. DeWalt resigns for good reason. The agreement provides for varying severance payments and benefits based upon whether the termination of employment occurs within 18 months following a change of control of McAfee (the Change of Control Period). The severance payments and benefits provided to Mr. DeWalt by the agreement supersede any severance payments afforded to him in any employment agreement he has with us. Pursuant to the agreement and subject to signing a standard release of claims, upon DeWalt's termination of employment for other than cause or upon his resignation for good reason, he will be eligible to receive the following payments and benefits:

Termination Other than During a Change of Control Period

A lump-sum payment (less all applicable tax withholding amounts) equal to 12 months of Mr. DeWalt's annual base salary, plus a pro rata fraction of the amount equal to 110% of his annual base salary, with the pro rata fraction determined as the number of days in the year to the date of termination divided by 365; and

A payment equal to 12 months of the cost of continuation coverage of medical benefits under the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1985, as amended (COBRA), if Mr. DeWalt was covered under our health plan.

Termination During a Change of Control Period

A lump-sum payment (less all applicable tax withholding amounts) equal to 24 months of Mr. DeWalt's annual base salary as in effect immediately prior to the change of control or the termination of employment (whichever is greater), plus the amount equal to 200% of his target bonus for the fiscal year of the change of control or the termination of employment (whichever is greater);

A payment for COBRA as described above; and

Full acceleration of vesting of all Mr. DeWalt's then outstanding equity awards.

Additionally, in the event his employment is terminated for other than cause or resigns for good reason before a change of control but on or after a potential change of control, Mr. DeWalt will be entitled generally to the superior severance payments and benefits provided in the event of a termination of employment during a Change of Control Period. A potential change of control would generally occur upon the execution of an agreement, approval by our board of directors, or public announcement for us to enter into a transaction that would be a change

Table of Contents

of control if such transaction is subsequently consummated. This benefit is only available if the change of control occurs.

Agreements with Messrs. Chadwick, DeCesare and Watzinger (the Tier 2 Executives)

Our agreements with each Tier 2 Executive contain substantially the same terms and conditions as our agreement with Mr. DeWalt as described above. However, our agreements with each Tier 2 Executive provide different payments and benefits from those of Mr. DeWalt as described below:

Termination Other than During a Change of Control Period

A lump-sum payment (less all applicable tax withholding amounts) equal to 12 months of the Tier 2 Executive's annual base salary, plus a pro rata fraction of 100% of their annual base salary, with the pro rata fraction determined as the number of days in the year to the date of termination divided by 365; and

A payment equal to 12 months of the cost of continuation coverage of medical benefits under COBRA, if the Tier 2 Executive was covered under our health plan.

Termination During a Change of Control Period

A lump-sum payment (less all applicable tax withholding amounts) equal to 12 months of the Tier 2 Executive's annual base salary as in effect immediately prior to the change of control or the termination (whichever is greater), plus the amount equal to 100% of their annual base salary;

A payment for COBRA as described above; and

Full acceleration of vesting of all of the Tier 2 Executive's then outstanding equity awards.

Apart from the various payments and benefits described directly above, the payments and benefits provided to a Tier 2 Executive upon a termination of employment for other than cause or a resignation for good reason are generally the same as those provided to Mr. DeWalt, including the provision for payments and benefits upon a potential change of control and the treatment of performance-based equity upon a change of control.

Agreements with Mr. Gebhart

Our agreements with Mr. Gebhart contain substantially the same terms and conditions as our agreement with Mr. DeWalt as described above with regard to severance payments and benefits payable in connection with certain terminations of employment following a change of control. However, our agreements with Mr. Gebhart do not provide any payments or benefits in connection with any termination of employment that occurs other than during a Change of Control Period. In addition, with respect to the payments and benefits payable in connection with certain terminations of employment occurring during a Change of Control Period, our agreements with Mr. Gebhart provide different payments and benefits from those for Mr. DeWalt as described below.

Termination During a Change of Control Period

A lump-sum payment (less all applicable tax withholding amounts) equal to 12 months of Mr. Gebhart's annual base salary as in effect immediately prior to the change of control or the termination of employment (whichever is greater), plus the amount equal to 100% of his annual base salary for the fiscal year of the change of control or the termination of employment (whichever is greater);

A payment for COBRA as described above; and

Full acceleration of vesting of all of Mr. Gebhart's then outstanding equity awards.

Apart from the various payments and benefits described directly above, the payments and benefits provided to Mr. Gebhart upon a termination of employment for other than cause or a resignation for good reason during a Change of Control Period are generally the same as those provided to Mr. DeWalt, including the provision for payments and benefits upon a potential change of control and the treatment of performance-based equity upon a change of control.

Table of Contents

The table below reflects the value as defined by Internal Revenue Code section 280G of the compensation payable to each of our current named executive officers in the event we terminate such executive officer's employment for other than cause or the officer resigns for good reason, in each case based upon whether the termination occurs within 18 months following a change of control of McAfee (the Change of Control Period). Regardless of the manner in which an executive officer's employment terminates, he is entitled to receive amounts already earned during his term of employment, such as base salary earned through the date of termination and accrued vacation pay. The amounts shown assume that each termination of employment was effective as of December 31, 2010, and thus includes amounts earned through the end of 2010. The value of stock-related compensation assumes that the value of our common stock is \$46.31 per share, which was the closing market price of our common stock on the last trading day of 2010. The value of continuing coverage under our welfare and fringe benefits plans reflects our actual cost for those benefits as of December 31, 2010. All of these amounts are estimates. The actual amounts that would be paid out to our named executive officers upon their termination of employment can only be determined at the time the named executive officer's employment actually terminates.

	Resignation for Good Reason or Termination Other than for Cause During the Change of Control Period	Resignation for Good Reason or Termination Other than for Cause Other Than During the Change of Control Period
David G. DeWalt		
Base salary and cash bonus	\$ 921,635(1)	\$ 1,995,000
Equity	10,997,230	
Healthcare and other insurance benefits	36,000	36,000
Tax gross ups	N/A(2)	N/A(2)
Jonathan C. Chadwick		
Base salary and cash bonus	1,200,000	1,200,000
Equity	5,581,674	
Healthcare and other insurance benefits	18,000	18,000
Tax gross ups	N/A(2)	N/A(2)
Michael P. DeCesare		
Base salary and cash bonus	971,276(3)	1,200,000
Equity	3,471,471	
Healthcare and other insurance benefits	18,000	18,000
Tax gross ups	N/A(2)	N/A(2)
Todd W. Gebhart		
Base salary and cash bonus	700,000	
Equity	1,586,599	
Healthcare and other insurance benefits	18,000	
Tax gross ups	N/A(2)	N/A(2)
Gerhard Watzinger		
Base salary and cash bonus	762,977(4)	800,000
Equity	2,363,223	
Healthcare and other insurance benefits	18,000	18,000
Tax gross ups	N/A(2)	N/A(2)

- (1) We do not provide for a gross-up of excise taxes on parachute payments paid upon a change in control as determined in accordance with Internal Revenue Code sections 280G and 4999. Each executive officer is subject to a provision that provides that he will receive an amount that would produce the best after-tax result. This provision operates to reduce the executive officer's parachute payment based on their 280G threshold if the executive officer would receive more on an after-tax basis due to the cut back. Otherwise, the executive officer is not cut back and the executive officer will be responsible for any excise tax. Due to the best after-tax provision in Mr. DeWalt's agreement, his severance payment would have been reduced by \$3,078,365 so that he would not be subject to excise taxes under Internal Revenue Code section 280G.
- (2) We do not provide our named executive officers with a gross-up of excise taxes on parachute payments in any situation. In certain situations, the named executive officers' severance payments and benefits could be reduced

Table of Contents

in order to avoid the imposition of any excise taxes determined in accordance with Internal Revenue Code sections 280G and 4999.

- (3) We do not provide for a gross-up of excise taxes on parachute payments paid upon a change in control as determined in accordance with Internal Revenue Code sections 280G and 4999. Each executive officer is subject to a provision that provides that he will receive an amount that would produce the best after-tax result. This provision operates to reduce the executive officer's parachute payment based on their 280G threshold if the executive officer would receive more on an after-tax basis due to the cut back. Otherwise, the executive officer is not cut back and the executive officer will be responsible for any excise tax. Due to the best after-tax provision in Mr. DeCesare's agreement, his severance payment would have been reduced by \$228,724 so that he would not be subject to excise taxes under Internal Revenue Code section 280G.
- (4) We do not provide for a gross-up of excise taxes on parachute payments paid upon a change in control as determined in accordance with Internal Revenue Code sections 280G and 4999. Each executive officer is subject to a provision that provides that he will receive an amount that would produce the best after-tax result. This provision operates to reduce the executive officer's parachute payment based on their 280G threshold if the executive officer would receive more on an after-tax basis due to the cut back. Otherwise, the executive officer is not cut back and the executive officer will be responsible for any excise tax. Due to the best after-tax provision in Mr. Watzinger's agreement, his severance payment would have been reduced by \$37,023 so that he would not be subject to excise taxes under Internal Revenue Code section 280G.

Employment Agreements and Retention Letters with Intel

In connection with the pending acquisition of McAfee by Intel, each of our named executive officers entered into employment agreements and/or retention letters with Intel and us.

Agreements with Messrs. DeWalt and Chadwick

Each of Mr. DeWalt and Mr. Chadwick entered into new employment agreements with Intel and us. Effective immediately prior to the closing of the acquisition of McAfee by Intel, the employment agreements will replace the existing offer letter agreements of Messrs. DeWalt and Chadwick and, in exchange for their waiving their rights to terminate their employment in connection with the acquisition as a result of any change in their duties, authority, reporting relationship or responsibilities and receive substantial benefits under their current change of control and retention agreements described above (the "Change of Control Agreements"), provide for the following material compensation terms with us, as a wholly owned subsidiary of Intel, following the closing of the acquisition:

Their Change of Control Agreements with us will continue to be in full force and effect as of and following the acquisition (until such agreements expire in accordance with their terms), except that they have each agreed that the newly contemplated duties, authority, reporting relationship and responsibilities that they will have with us, as a subsidiary of Intel following the acquisition, will not form the basis for a resignation for good reason during a Change of Control Period entitling them to substantial benefits under the Change of Control Agreements. In addition, Messrs. DeWalt and Chadwick have agreed that, except with respect to their stock options, PSUs and RSUs that were granted prior to August 18, 2010, and are assumed by Intel pursuant to the merger agreement, no stock options, PSUs, RSUs or other equity incentive awards granted to them by Intel or McAfee will be subject to the accelerated vesting provisions of the Change of Control Agreements.

An annualized base salary of \$950,000 for Mr. DeWalt and an annualized base salary of \$600,000 for Mr. Chadwick.

Eligibility for a target annual bonus of up to \$1,050,000 for Mr. DeWalt and of up to \$600,000 for Mr. Chadwick.

If, at the effective time of the merger, either Mr. DeWalt or Mr. Chadwick holds any outstanding McAfee equity awards that were granted prior to August 18, 2010, the vesting schedule for such outstanding equity awards, to the extent not already vested, will be accelerated by the lesser of (i) a period of one year or (ii) the period of time or number of shares set forth in a schedule to be provided in writing by the executive to Intel

Table of Contents

within 30 days following August 18, 2010. To the extent that an award (or portion thereof) is scheduled to vest within the time period determined in accordance with the preceding sentence, that award (or portion thereof) will become immediately vested and, to the extent applicable, exercisable at the effective time of the merger.

They will be eligible to receive time-based retention payments, provided that they are not terminated for cause or resign from their employment for any reason prior to each relevant retention date. Mr. DeWalt is eligible to receive a first retention payment of \$2,000,000 (less all applicable tax withholding amounts) within 30 days of the first anniversary of the closing date of the acquisition and a second retention payment of \$2,000,000 (less all applicable tax withholding amounts) within 30 days of the second anniversary of the closing date of the acquisition. Mr. Chadwick is eligible to receive a first retention payment of \$300,000 (less all applicable tax withholding amounts) within 30 days of July 31, 2012, and a second retention payment of \$300,000 (less all applicable tax withholding amounts) within 30 days of July 31, 2013. If Messrs. DeWalt or Chadwick is terminated without cause prior to either of the retention dates, he will be entitled to receive any unpaid portion of the retention payments, subject to his timely execution and non-revocation of a release of claims, and such payments will generally be made within seven days after the effective date of the release (unless a later payment date is required by the employment agreement).

They will be eligible to receive performance-based incentive payments, provided that the performance metrics are met and they are not terminated for cause or resign from their employment for any reason prior to each relevant performance date. Mr. DeWalt is potentially eligible to receive a first performance incentive payment of up to \$2,000,000 (less all applicable tax withholding amounts) if all performance metrics for the 2011 calendar year are achieved and a second performance incentive payment of up to \$2,000,000 (less all applicable tax withholding amounts) if all performance metrics for the 2012 calendar year are achieved. Mr. Chadwick is potentially eligible to receive a first performance incentive payment of up to \$450,000 (less all applicable tax withholding amounts) if all performance metrics are achieved for the 2011 calendar year and a second performance incentive payment of up to \$450,000 (less all applicable tax withholding amounts) if all performance metrics for the 2012 calendar year are achieved. The performance incentive payments payable for 2011 and 2012 will be paid within 60 days following December 31, 2011, and December 31, 2012, respectively. If Messrs. DeWalt or Chadwick is terminated without cause prior to December 31, 2011, he will be entitled to receive a pro-rated amount of the first performance incentive payment (determined based on the extent to which the performance metrics are achieved and the number of days that have elapsed since January 1, 2011), subject to his timely execution and non-revocation of a release of claims. If Messrs. DeWalt or Chadwick is terminated without cause after January 1, 2012, but prior to December 31, 2012, he will be entitled to receive a pro-rated amount of the second performance incentive payment (determined based on the extent to which the performance metrics are achieved and the number of days that have elapsed since January 1, 2012), subject to his timely execution and non-revocation of a release of claims. Payment of any pro-rated performance incentive payments will be made within seven days after the effective date of the release (unless a later payment date is required by the employment agreement).

Under their employment agreements, Messrs. DeWalt and Chadwick will be employed on an at-will basis following the acquisition. However, if either of them terminates his employment during the Change of Control Period under the circumstances described in the Change of Control Agreement (as modified by their employment agreements with Intel and us), he will be entitled to the severance benefits pursuant to the terms and conditions set forth in the Change of Control Agreement.

Agreements with Messrs. DeCesare, Gebhart and Watzinger

In addition, each of our other named executive officers (Messrs. DeCesare, Gebhart and Watzinger) entered into retention letters with Intel and us. The retention letters provide for the following terms and conditions following the

closing of the acquisition:

Their Change of Control Agreements will continue to be in full force and effect as of and following the acquisition until such agreements expire in accordance with their terms except that Messrs. DeCesare and Watzinger have agreed that any change in duties, authority, reporting relationship or responsibilities that is

Table of Contents

solely attributable to the change in our status from that of an independent reporting company to that of a subsidiary of Intel will not form the basis for a resignation for good reason during the Change of Control Period and that such duties, authority, reporting relationship and responsibilities will be substantially the same as their duties, authority, reporting relationship and responsibilities in effect immediately prior to the closing of the acquisition.

They have agreed that, except with respect to their stock options, PSUs and RSUs that were granted prior to August 18, 2010, and are assumed by Intel pursuant to the merger agreement, no stock options, PSUs, RSUs or other equity incentive awards granted to them by Intel or us will be subject to the accelerated vesting provisions of their Change of Control Agreements.

They have agreed and acknowledged that their Change of Control Agreements permanently superseded all other prior representations, understandings, undertakings or agreements, including specifically any severance payment provisions of any offer letter or similar arrangement, and that following the expiration of their Change of Control Agreements, they will be eligible for severance benefits only in accordance with our then established plans.

They will be eligible to receive time-based retention payments, provided that they are not otherwise terminated for cause or resign from their employment for any reason prior to each relevant retention date. They will be eligible to receive the first retention payment within 30 days following July 31, 2012, and the second retention payment within 30 days following July 31, 2013. If they are terminated without cause prior to a retention payment date, they will be entitled to receive a pro-rated portion of the next scheduled retention payment, subject to their timely execution and non-revocation of a release of claims. Payment of the pro-rated retention payment will generally be made within seven days after the effective date of the release (unless a later payment date is required by the named executive officer's Change of Control Agreement).

They will be eligible to receive performance-based incentive payments, provided that the performance metrics for the applicable calendar year are met and they are not terminated for cause or resign from their employment for any reason prior to each relevant performance date. They will be potentially eligible to receive the first performance incentive payment within 60 days following December 31, 2011, and the second performance incentive payment within 60 days following December 31, 2012. If they are terminated without cause prior to a performance incentive payment date, they will be entitled to receive a pro-rated portion of the next scheduled performance incentive payment (determined based on the extent to which the performance metrics are achieved and the number of days that have elapsed since January 1 of the performance period applicable to such performance incentive payment), subject to their timely execution and non-revocation of a release of claims. Payment of any pro-rated performance incentive payments will be made within seven days after the effective date of the release (unless a later payment date is required by the retention letter or the named executive officer's Change of Control Agreement).

The table below illustrates the potential retention and performance-based incentive payments for Messrs. DeCesare, Gebhart and Watzinger (which payments are subject to all applicable withholding requirements).

First Retention Payment Date	Second Retention	Maximum* First Performance- Based Incentive Payment Date	Maximum* Second Performance- Based Incentive Payment Date
---	-----------------------------	---	--

	(July 31, 2012)	Payment Date (July 31, 2013)	(December 31, 2011)	(December 31, 2012)
Michael P. DeCesare	\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 450,000	\$ 450,000
Todd W. Gebhart	\$ 175,000	\$ 175,000	\$ 262,500	\$ 262,500