

LEAP WIRELESS INTERNATIONAL INC

Form 10-Q

May 10, 2006

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

☐ QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2006

OR

○ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.

For the transition period from _____ to _____.

Commission File Number 0-29752

**Leap Wireless International, Inc.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)**

**Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)**

**33-0811062
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)**

**10307 Pacific Center Court, San Diego, CA
(Address of principal executive offices)**

**92121
(Zip Code)**

**(858) 882-6000
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)**

**Not applicable
(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last reported)**

Edgar Filing: LEAP WIRELESS INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has filed all documents and reports required to be filed by Sections 12, 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 subsequent to the distribution of securities under a plan confirmed by a court. Yes No

The number of shares of registrant's common stock outstanding on May 8, 2006 was 61,224,279.

LEAP WIRELESS INTERNATIONAL, INC.

**QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q
For the Quarter Ended March 31, 2006**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| | |
| <u>PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u> | |
| | |
| <u>Item 1.</u> | 1 |
| | |
| <u>Item 2.</u> | 17 |
| | |
| <u>Item 3.</u> | 27 |
| | |
| <u>Item 4.</u> | 28 |
| | |
| <u>PART II OTHER INFORMATION</u> | |
| | |
| <u>Item 1.</u> | 31 |
| | |
| <u>Item 1A.</u> | 31 |
| | |
| <u>Item 2.</u> | 43 |
| | |
| <u>Item 3.</u> | 43 |
| | |
| <u>Item 4.</u> | 43 |
| | |
| <u>Item 5.</u> | 43 |
| | |
| <u>Item 6.</u> | 44 |
| <u>EXHIBIT 31.1</u> | |
| <u>EXHIBIT 31.2</u> | |
| <u>EXHIBIT 32</u> | |

Table of Contents

PART I
FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements.

LEAP WIRELESS INTERNATIONAL, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(In thousands)

| | March 31, 2006 (Unaudited) | December 31, 2005 |
|--|---|------------------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 299,976 | \$ 293,073 |
| Short-term investments | 65,975 | 90,981 |
| Restricted cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments | 10,687 | 13,759 |
| Inventories | 39,710 | 37,320 |
| Other current assets | 35,160 | 29,237 |
| | | |
| Total current assets | 451,508 | 464,370 |
| Property and equipment, net | 642,858 | 621,946 |
| Wireless licenses | 821,339 | 821,288 |
| Assets held for sale (Note 7) | 15,135 | 15,145 |
| Goodwill | 431,896 | 431,896 |
| Other intangible assets, net | 105,123 | 113,554 |
| Other assets | 35,651 | 38,119 |
| | | |
| Total assets | \$ 2,503,510 | \$ 2,506,318 |
| | | |
| Liabilities and Stockholders Equity | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | \$ 136,460 | \$ 167,770 |
| Current maturities of long-term debt (Note 4) | 6,111 | 6,111 |
| Other current liabilities | 53,266 | 49,627 |
| | | |
| Total current liabilities | 195,837 | 223,508 |
| Long-term debt (Note 4) | 586,806 | 588,333 |
| Deferred tax liabilities | 141,935 | 141,935 |
| Other long-term liabilities | 37,920 | 36,424 |
| | | |
| Total liabilities | 962,498 | 990,200 |
| | | |
| Minority interest | 2,463 | 1,761 |
| | | |
| Commitments and contingencies (Notes 4 and 8) | | |

Stockholders' equity:

Preferred stock authorized 10,000,000 shares; \$.0001 par value, no shares issued and outstanding

Common stock authorized 160,000,000 shares; \$.0001 par value, 61,214,398 and 61,202,806 shares issued and outstanding at March 31, 2006 and

December 31, 2005, respectively

| | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| Additional paid-in capital | 6 | 6 |
| Retained earnings | 1,494,974 | 1,490,638 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 39,299 | 21,575 |
| | 4,270 | 2,138 |

| | | |
|----------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Total stockholders' equity | 1,538,549 | 1,514,357 |
|----------------------------|-----------|-----------|

| | | |
|--|--------------|--------------|
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 2,503,510 | \$ 2,506,318 |
|--|--------------|--------------|

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**LEAP WIRELESS INTERNATIONAL, INC.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS****(UNAUDITED)****(In thousands, except per share data)**

| | Three Months Ended | |
|--|---------------------------|-------------|
| | March 31, | |
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Revenues: | | |
| Service revenues | \$ 215,840 | \$ 185,981 |
| Equipment revenues | 50,848 | 42,389 |
| Total revenues | 266,688 | 228,370 |
| Operating expenses: | | |
| Cost of service (exclusive of items shown separately below) | (55,204) | (50,197) |
| Cost of equipment | (58,886) | (49,178) |
| Selling and marketing | (29,102) | (22,995) |
| General and administrative | (49,582) | (36,035) |
| Depreciation and amortization | (54,036) | (48,104) |
| Total operating expenses | (246,810) | (206,509) |
| Operating income | 19,878 | 21,861 |
| Minority interest in loss of consolidated subsidiary | (75) | |
| Interest income | 4,194 | 1,903 |
| Interest expense | (7,431) | (9,123) |
| Other income (expense), net | 535 | (1,286) |
| Income before income taxes and cumulative effect of change in accounting principle | 17,101 | 13,355 |
| Income taxes | | (5,839) |
| Income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle | 17,101 | 7,516 |
| Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle (Note 2) | 623 | |
| Net income | \$ 17,724 | \$ 7,516 |
| Basic net income per share: | | |
| Income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle | \$ 0.28 | \$ 0.13 |
| Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle | 0.01 | |
| Basic net income per share | \$ 0.29 | \$ 0.13 |
| Diluted net income per share: | | |
| Income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle | \$ 0.28 | \$ 0.12 |
| Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle | 0.01 | |

Edgar Filing: LEAP WIRELESS INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|----|--------|----|--------|
| Diluted net income per share | \$ | 0.29 | \$ | 0.12 |
| Shares used in per share calculations: | | | | |
| Basic | | 61,203 | | 60,000 |
| Diluted | | 61,961 | | 60,236 |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**LEAP WIRELESS INTERNATIONAL, INC.**

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(UNAUDITED)
(In thousands)

| | Three Months Ended | |
|---|---------------------------|-------------|
| | March 31, | |
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Operating activities: | | |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$ 38,290 | \$ 23,462 |
| Investing activities: | | |
| Purchases of property and equipment | (60,894) | (22,720) |
| Change in prepayments for purchases of property and equipment | 4,573 | (1,767) |
| Purchases of and deposits for wireless licenses | (91) | (212,095) |
| Purchases of investments | (46,865) | (69,025) |
| Sales and maturities of investments | 72,657 | 83,568 |
| Restricted cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments, net | (50) | 407 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (30,670) | (221,632) |
| Financing activities: | | |
| Proceeds from long-term debt | | 500,000 |
| Repayments of long-term debt | (1,527) | (413,979) |
| Minority interest | 668 | |
| Issuance of stock | 233 | |
| Payment of debt issuance costs | (91) | (6,781) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | (717) | 79,240 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 6,903 | (118,930) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 293,073 | 141,141 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 299,976 | \$ 22,211 |
| Supplementary disclosure of cash flow information: | | |
| Cash paid for interest | \$ 11,098 | \$ 27,142 |
| Cash paid for income taxes | \$ 168 | \$ 52 |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

LEAP WIRELESS INTERNATIONAL, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

Note 1. The Company and Nature of Business

Leap Wireless International, Inc. (Leap), a Delaware corporation, together with its wholly owned subsidiaries, is a wireless communications carrier that offers digital wireless service in the United States of America under the Cricket® and Jump® Mobile brands. Leap conducts operations through its subsidiaries and has no independent operations or sources of operating revenue other than through dividends, if any, from its operating subsidiaries. Cricket and Jump Mobile services are offered by Leap's wholly owned subsidiary, Cricket Communications, Inc. (Cricket). Leap, Cricket and their subsidiaries are collectively referred to herein as the Company. Cricket and Jump Mobile services are also offered in certain markets through Alaska Native Broadband 1 License, LLC (ANB 1 License), a joint venture in which Cricket indirectly owns a 75% non-controlling interest, through a 75% non-controlling interest in Alaska Native Broadband 1, LLC (ANB 1). The Company consolidates its 75% non-controlling interest in ANB 1 (see Note 2).

The Company operates in a single operating segment as a wireless communications carrier that offers digital wireless service in the United States of America. As of and for the quarter ended March 31, 2006, all of the Company's revenues and long-lived assets related to operations in the United States.

Note 2. Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying interim condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared by the Company without audit, in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q and, therefore, do not include all information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for a complete set of financial statements. These condensed consolidated financial statements and notes thereto should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005. In the opinion of management, the unaudited financial information for the interim periods presented reflects all adjustments necessary for a fair statement of the results for the periods presented, with such adjustments consisting only of normal recurring adjustments. Operating results for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of operating results for an entire fiscal year.

The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Leap and its wholly owned subsidiaries as well as the accounts of ANB 1 and its wholly owned subsidiary ANB 1 License. The Company consolidates its interest in ANB 1 in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Interpretation No. 46-R,

Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, because ANB 1 is a variable interest entity and the Company will absorb a majority of ANB 1's expected losses. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in the consolidated financial statements.

Revenues and Cost of Revenues

Cricket's business revenues principally arise from the sale of wireless services, handsets and accessories. Wireless services are generally provided on a month-to-month basis. Amounts received in advance for wireless services from customers who pay in advance are initially recorded as deferred revenues and are recognized as service revenue as services are rendered. Service revenues for customers who pay in arrears are recognized only after the service has been rendered and payment has been received. This is because the Company does not require any of its customers to

sign fixed-term service commitments or submit to a credit check, and therefore some of its customers may be more likely to terminate service for inability to pay than the customers of other wireless providers. The Company also charges customers for service plan changes, activation fees and other service fees. Revenues from service plan change fees are deferred and recorded to revenue over the estimated customer relationship period, and other service fees are recognized when received. Activation fees are allocated to the other elements of the multiple element arrangement (including service and equipment) on a relative fair value basis. Because the fair values of the Company's handsets are higher than the total consideration received for the handsets

Table of Contents

and activation fees combined, the Company allocates the activation fees entirely to equipment revenues and recognizes the activation fees when received. Activation fees included in equipment revenues during the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005 totaled \$6.2 million and \$4.6 million, respectively. Direct costs associated with customer activations are expensed as incurred. Cost of service generally includes direct costs and related overhead, excluding depreciation and amortization, of operating the Company's networks.

Equipment revenues arise from the sale of handsets and accessories, and activation fees as described above. Revenues and related costs from the sale of handsets are recognized when service is activated by customers. Revenues and related costs from the sale of accessories are recognized at the point of sale. The costs of handsets and accessories sold are recorded in cost of equipment. Sales of handsets to third-party dealers and distributors are recognized as equipment revenues when service is activated by customers, as the Company does not yet have sufficient relevant historical experience to establish reliable estimates of returns by such dealers and distributors. Handsets sold by third-party dealers and distributors are recorded as inventory until they are sold to and activated by customers. Once the Company believes it has sufficient relevant historical experience for which to establish reliable estimates of returns, it will begin to recognize equipment revenues upon sale to third-party dealers and distributors.

Sales incentives offered without charge to customers and volume-based incentives paid to the Company's third-party dealers and distributors are recognized as a reduction of revenue and as a liability when the related service or equipment revenue is recognized. Customers have limited rights to return handsets and accessories based on time and/or usage. Customer returns of handsets and accessories have historically been insignificant.

Starting in May 2006, all new and reactivating customers pay for their service in advance, and the Company no longer charges activation fees to new customers.

Costs and Expenses

The Company's costs and expenses include:

Cost of Service. The major components of cost of service are: charges from other communications companies for long distance, roaming and content download services provided to the Company's customers; charges from other communications companies for their transport and termination of calls originated by the Company's customers and destined for customers of other networks; and expenses for the rent of towers, network facilities, engineering operations, field technicians and related utility and maintenance charges and the salary and overhead charges associated with these functions.

Cost of Equipment. Cost of equipment includes the cost of handsets and accessories purchased from third-party vendors and resold to the Company's customers in connection with its services, as well as lower-of-cost-or-market write-downs associated with excess and damaged handsets and accessories.

Selling and Marketing. Selling and marketing expenses primarily include advertising and promotional costs associated with acquiring new customers and store operating costs such as rent and retail associates' salaries and overhead charges.

General and Administrative Expenses. General and administrative expenses primarily include salary and overhead costs associated with the Company's customer care, billing, information technology, finance, human resources, accounting, legal and executive functions.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are initially recorded at cost. Additions and improvements, including interest and certain labor costs incurred during the construction period, are capitalized, while expenditures that do not enhance the asset or extend its useful life are charged to operating expenses as incurred. Interest is capitalized on the carrying values of both wireless licenses and equipment during the construction period. Depreciation is applied using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets once the assets are placed in service.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the depreciable lives for property and equipment (in years):

| | Depreciable Life |
|---|-----------------------------|
| Network equipment: | |
| Switches | 10 |
| Switch power equipment | 15 |
| Cell site equipment, and site acquisitions and improvements | 7 |
| Towers | 15 |
| Antennae | 3 |
| Computer hardware and software | 3-5 |
| Furniture, fixtures, retail and office equipment | 3-7 |

The Company's network construction expenditures are recorded as construction-in-progress until the network or assets are placed in service, at which time the assets are transferred to the appropriate property and equipment category. As a component of construction-in-progress, the Company capitalizes interest and salaries and related costs of engineering and technical operations employees, to the extent time and expense are contributed to the construction effort, during the construction period. The Company capitalized \$4.4 million of interest to property and equipment during the three months ended March 31, 2006. Starting on January 1, 2006, rental costs incurred during the construction period are recognized as rental expense in accordance with FASB Staff Position (FSP) No. FAS 13-1, Accounting for Rental Costs Incurred During a Construction Period. Prior to fiscal 2006, such rental costs were capitalized as construction-in-progress.

Property and equipment to be disposed of by sale is not depreciated and is carried at the lower of carrying value or fair value less costs to sell. At March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, property and equipment with a net book value of \$5.4 million was classified in assets held for sale.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

The Company assesses potential impairments to its long-lived assets, including property and equipment and certain intangible assets, when there is evidence that events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. An impairment loss may be required to be recognized when the undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by a long-lived asset (or group of such assets) is less than its carrying value. Any required impairment loss would be measured as the amount by which the asset's carrying value exceeds its fair value and would be recorded as a reduction in the carrying value of the related asset and charged to results of operations.

Wireless Licenses

Wireless licenses are initially recorded at cost and are not amortized. Wireless licenses are considered to be indefinite-lived intangible assets because the Company expects to continue to provide wireless service using the relevant licenses for the foreseeable future and the wireless licenses may be renewed every ten years for a nominal fee. Wireless licenses to be disposed of by sale are carried at the lower of carrying value or fair value less costs to sell. At March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, wireless licenses with a carrying value of \$8.2 million were classified in assets held for sale.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Goodwill represents the excess of reorganization value over the fair value of identified tangible and intangible assets recorded in connection with fresh-start reporting as of July 31, 2004. Other intangible assets were recorded upon adoption of fresh-start reporting and consist of customer relationships and trademarks, which are being amortized on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives of four and fourteen years, respectively. At March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, intangible assets with a net book value of \$1.5 million were classified in assets held for sale.

Table of Contents***Impairment of Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets***

The Company assesses potential impairments to its indefinite-lived intangible assets, including goodwill and wireless licenses, annually and when there is evidence that events or changes in circumstances indicate that an impairment condition may exist. The Company's wireless licenses in its operating markets are combined into a single unit of accounting for purposes of testing impairment because management believes that these wireless licenses as a group represent the highest and best use of the assets, and the value of the wireless licenses would not be significantly impacted by a sale of one or a portion of the wireless licenses, among other factors. An impairment loss is recognized when the fair value of the asset is less than its carrying value, and would be measured as the amount by which the asset's carrying value exceeds its fair value. Any required impairment loss would be recorded as a reduction in the carrying value of the related asset and charged to results of operations. The Company conducts its annual tests for impairment during the third quarter of each year. Estimates of the fair value of the Company's wireless licenses are based primarily on available market prices, including successful bid prices in FCC auctions and selling prices observed in wireless license transactions.

Basic and Diluted Net Income Per Share

Basic earnings per share is calculated by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the reporting period. Diluted earnings per share reflect the potential dilutive effect of additional common shares that are issuable upon exercise of outstanding stock options, restricted stock awards and warrants calculated using the treasury stock method.

A reconciliation of weighted average shares outstanding used in calculating basic and diluted net income per share is as follows (unaudited) (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|---|-------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding - basic net income per share | 61,203 | 60,000 |
| Effect of dilutive securities: | | |
| Non-qualified stock options | 31 | 9 |
| Restricted stock awards | 381 | |
| Warrants | 346 | 227 |
| Adjusted weighted average shares outstanding - diluted net income per share | 61,961 | 60,236 |

The number of shares not included in the computation of diluted net income per share because their effect would have been antidilutive totaled 1.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2006. There were no antidilutive shares for the three months ended March 31, 2005.

Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income consists of the following (unaudited) (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|--|---|-------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Net income | \$ 17,724 | \$ 7,516 |
| Other comprehensive income: | | |
| Net unrealized holding losses on investments, net of tax | (17) | (46) |
| Unrealized gains on derivative instruments, net of tax | 2,149 | |
| Comprehensive income | \$ 19,856 | \$ 7,470 |

Table of Contents

Components of accumulated other comprehensive income consist of the following (in thousands):

| | March 31, 2006 (Unaudited) | December 31, 2005 |
|--|---|------------------------------|
| Net unrealized holding losses on investments, net of tax | \$ (25) | \$ (8) |
| Unrealized gains on derivative instruments, net of tax | 4,295 | 2,146 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | \$ 4,270 | \$ 2,138 |

Share-Based Payments

In December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) revised Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (SFAS 123R), Share-Based Payment, which establishes the accounting for share-based awards exchanged for employee services. Under SFAS 123R, share-based compensation cost is measured at the grant date, based on the estimated fair value of the award, and is recognized as expense over the employee's requisite service period. The Company adopted SFAS 123R, as required, on January 1, 2006. Prior to fiscal 2006, the Company recognized estimated compensation expense for employee share-based awards based on their intrinsic value on the date of grant pursuant to Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25 (APB 25), Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees and provided the required pro forma disclosures of FASB Statement No. 123 (SFAS 123), Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation.

The Company adopted SFAS 123R using a modified prospective approach. Under the modified prospective approach, prior periods are not revised for comparative purposes. The valuation provisions of SFAS 123R apply to new awards and to awards that are outstanding on the effective date and subsequently modified or cancelled. Compensation expense, net of estimated forfeitures, for awards outstanding at the effective date will be recognized over the remaining service period using the compensation cost calculated in prior periods.

Share-based awards outstanding as of January 1, 2006 consist of 2,080,823 nonqualified stock options and 907,254 restricted stock awards. The Company issued 236,606 nonqualified stock options and 35,499 restricted stock awards during the quarter ended March 31, 2006. The Company issued 839,658 nonqualified stock options, net of forfeitures, during the three months ended March 31, 2005. All nonqualified stock options were granted with an exercise price equal to the fair market value of the common stock on the date of grant, and all restricted stock awards were granted with an exercise price of \$0.0001 per share.

Most of the Company's stock options and restricted stock awards include both a service condition and a performance condition that relates only to vesting. The stock options and restricted stock awards generally vest in full three or five years from the grant date with no interim time-based vesting. In addition, the stock options and restricted stock awards provide for the possibility of annual accelerated performance-based vesting of a portion of the awards if the Company achieves specified performance conditions. Certain stock options and restricted stock awards include only a service condition, and vest over periods up to approximately three years from the grant date. All share-based awards provide for accelerated vesting if there is a change in control (as defined in the award plan). Compensation expense is amortized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period for the entire award, which is generally the maximum vesting period of the awards of either three or five years.

During the quarter ended March 31, 2006, the Board of Directors approved the modification of the performance conditions related to fiscal 2006 for all outstanding share-based awards with such performance conditions to take into account changes in business conditions that were not considered when the performance conditions were originally established, including the planned build out of new markets. The performance conditions were originally established and subsequently modified such that they are neither probable nor improbable of achievement. As a result, the modifications of the performance conditions did not result in changes in the expected lives of the awards and, therefore, did not result in changes in the fair value of the awards. The original compensation cost related to the modified awards will continue to be recognized over the requisite service period.

Share-Based Compensation Information under SFAS 123R

The fair value of the Company's restricted stock awards is based on the grant-date fair market value of the common stock. This was the basis for the intrinsic value method used to measure compensation expense for the

Table of Contents

restricted stock awards prior to fiscal 2006. The weighted-average grant-date fair value of the restricted common stock was \$40.53 per share during the three months ended March 31, 2006.

The Company uses the Black-Scholes option-pricing model to estimate the fair value of its stock options under SFAS 123R. This valuation model was previously used for the Company's pro forma disclosures under SFAS 123. The weighted-average grant-date fair value of employee stock options granted during the three months ended March 31, 2006 was \$26.89 per share, which was estimated using the Black-Scholes model with the following weighted-average assumptions:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, 2006 |
|--------------------------|--|
| Expected volatility | 48% |
| Expected term (in years) | 6.5 |
| Risk-free interest rate | 4.53% |
| Expected dividend yield | |

The determination of the fair value of stock options using an option-pricing model is affected by the Company's stock price as well as assumptions regarding a number of complex and subjective variables. The methods used to determine these variables are generally similar to the methods used prior to fiscal 2006 for purposes of the Company's pro forma information under SFAS 123. The volatility assumption is based on a combination of the historical volatility of the Company's common stock and the volatilities of similar companies over a period of time equal to the expected term of the stock options. The volatilities of similar companies are used in conjunction with the Company's historical volatility because of the lack of sufficient relevant history equal to the expected term. The expected term of employee stock options represents the weighted-average period the stock options are expected to remain outstanding. The expected term assumption is estimated based primarily on the options' vesting terms and remaining contractual life and employees' expected exercise and post-vesting employment termination behavior. The risk-free interest rate assumption is based upon observed interest rates on the grant date appropriate for the term of the employee stock options. The dividend yield assumption is based on the expectation of future dividend payouts by the Company.

As share-based compensation expense under SFAS 123R is based on awards ultimately expected to vest, it is reduced for estimated forfeitures. SFAS 123R requires forfeitures to be estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. Forfeitures were accounted for as they occurred in the Company's pro forma disclosures under SFAS 123. The Company recorded a gain of \$0.6 million as a cumulative effect of change in accounting principle related to the change in accounting for forfeitures under SFAS 123R.

Total share-based compensation expense related to all of the Company's share-based awards for the three months ended March 31, 2006 was comprised as follows (unaudited) (in thousands, except per share data):

| | Three Months Ended March 31, 2006 |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| Cost of service | \$ 258 |
| Selling and marketing expenses | 327 |
| General and administrative expenses | 4,141 |

| | | |
|---|----|-------|
| Share-based compensation expense before tax | | 4,726 |
| Related income tax benefit | | |
| Share-based compensation expense, net of tax | \$ | 4,726 |
| Net share-based compensation expense per share: | | |
| Basic | \$ | 0.08 |
| Diluted | \$ | 0.08 |

Table of Contents

Prior to fiscal 2006, the restricted stock awards were granted with an exercise price of \$0.0001 per share, and therefore, the Company recognized compensation expense associated with the restricted stock awards based on their intrinsic value. No compensation expense was recorded for stock options prior to adopting SFAS No. 123R, because the Company established the exercise price of the stock options based on the fair market value of the underlying stock at the date of grant. Total share-based compensation expense related to all of the Company's stock options for the three months ended March 31, 2006 was comprised as follows (unaudited) (in thousands, except per share data):

| | Three Months Ended March 31, 2006 | |
|--|--|-------|
| Share-based compensation expense before tax | \$ | 2,446 |
| Related income tax benefit | | |
| Share-based compensation expense, net of tax | \$ | 2,446 |
| Share-based compensation expense per share: | | |
| Basic | \$ | 0.04 |
| Diluted | \$ | 0.04 |

Pro Forma Information under SFAS 123 for Periods Prior to Fiscal 2006

The pro forma effects on net income and earnings per share of recognizing share-based compensation expense under the fair value method required by SFAS 123 was as follows (unaudited) (in thousands, except per share data):

| | Three Months Ended March 31, 2005 | |
|---|--|---------|
| As reported net income | \$ | 7,516 |
| Less pro forma compensation expense, net of tax | | (1,526) |
| Pro forma net income | \$ | 5,990 |
| Basic net income per share: | | |
| As reported | \$ | 0.13 |
| Pro forma | \$ | 0.10 |
| Diluted net income per share: | | |
| As reported | \$ | 0.12 |
| Pro forma | \$ | 0.10 |

For purposes of pro forma disclosures under SFAS 123, the estimated fair value of the stock options was amortized on a straight-line basis over the maximum vesting period of the awards of generally three or five years.

The weighted-average fair value per share on the grant date for stock options granted during the three months ended March 31, 2005 was \$18.85, which was estimated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model and the following weighted-average assumptions:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, 2005 |
|--------------------------|--|
| Expected volatility | 87% |
| Expected term (in years) | 5.4 |
| Risk-free interest rate | 3.48% |
| Expected dividend yield | |

Table of Contents**Note 3. Supplementary Balance Sheet Information (in thousands):**

| | March 31, 2006 (Unaudited) | December 31, 2005 |
|---|---|------------------------------|
| Property and equipment, net: | | |
| Network equipment | \$ 707,974 | \$ 654,993 |
| Computer equipment and other | 47,989 | 38,778 |
| Construction-in-progress | 139,186 | 134,929 |
| | 895,149 | 828,700 |
| Accumulated depreciation | (252,291) | (206,754) |
| | \$ 642,858 | \$ 621,946 |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities: | | |
| Trade accounts payable | \$ 73,675 | \$ 117,140 |
| Accrued payroll and related benefits | 18,225 | 13,185 |
| Other accrued liabilities | 44,560 | 37,445 |
| | \$ 136,460 | \$ 167,770 |
| Other current liabilities: | | |
| Accrued property taxes | \$ 6,634 | \$ 6,536 |
| Accrued sales, telecommunications and other taxes payable | 12,379 | 15,745 |
| Deferred revenue | 28,585 | 21,391 |
| Other | 5,668 | 5,955 |
| | \$ 53,266 | \$ 49,627 |

Note 4. Long-Term Debt

Long-term debt as of March 31, 2006 consists of a senior secured credit agreement (the Credit Agreement), which includes \$600 million of fully-drawn term loans and an undrawn \$110 million revolving credit facility available until January 2010. Under the Credit Agreement, the term loans bear interest at the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus 2.5 percent, with interest periods of one, two, three or six months, or bank base rate plus 1.5 percent, as selected by Cricket. Outstanding borrowings under \$500 million of the term loans must be repaid in 20 quarterly payments of \$1.25 million each, which commenced on March 31, 2005, followed by four quarterly payments of \$118.75 million each, commencing March 31, 2010. Outstanding borrowings under \$100 million of the term loans must be repaid in 18 quarterly payments of approximately \$278,000 each, which commenced on September 30, 2005, followed by four quarterly payments of \$23.75 million each, commencing March 31, 2010.

The maturity date for outstanding borrowings under the revolving credit facility is January 10, 2010. The commitment of the lenders under the revolving credit facility may be reduced in the event mandatory prepayments are required under the Credit Agreement and by one-twelfth of the original aggregate revolving credit commitment on January 1, 2008 and by one-sixth of the original aggregate revolving credit commitment on January 1, 2009 (each such amount to

be net of all prior reductions) based on certain leverage ratios and other tests. The commitment fee on the revolving credit facility is payable quarterly at a rate of 1.0 percent per annum when the utilization of the facility (as specified in the Credit Agreement) is less than 50 percent and at 0.75 percent per annum when the utilization exceeds 50 percent. Borrowings under the revolving credit facility would currently accrue interest at LIBOR plus 2.5 percent, with interest periods of one, two, three or six months, or bank base rate plus 1.5 percent, as selected by Cricket, with the rate subject to adjustment based on the Company's leverage ratio.

The facilities under the Credit Agreement are guaranteed by Leap and all of its direct and indirect domestic subsidiaries (other than Cricket, which is the primary obligor, and ANB 1 and ANB 1 License) and are secured by all present and future personal property and owned real property of Leap, Cricket and such direct and indirect

Table of Contents

domestic subsidiaries. Under the Credit Agreement, the Company is subject to certain limitations, including limitations on its ability to: incur additional debt or sell assets, with restrictions on the use of proceeds; make certain investments and acquisitions; grant liens; and pay dividends and make certain other restricted payments. In addition, the Company will be required to pay down the facilities under certain circumstances if it issues debt or equity, sells assets or property, receives certain extraordinary receipts or generates excess cash flow (as defined in the Credit Agreement). The Company is also subject to financial covenants which include a minimum interest coverage ratio, a maximum total leverage ratio, a maximum senior secured leverage ratio and a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio. The Credit Agreement allows the Company to invest up to \$325 million in ANB 1 and ANB 1 License and up to \$60 million in other joint ventures and allows the Company to provide limited guarantees for the benefit of ANB 1 License and other joint ventures.

Affiliates of Highland Capital Management, L.P. (a beneficial stockholder of Leap and an affiliate of James D. Dondero, a director of Leap) participated in the syndication of the Credit Agreement in the following amounts: \$109 million of the \$600 million term loans and \$30 million of the \$110 million revolving credit facility.

At March 31, 2006, the effective interest rate on the term loans was 6.8%, including the effect of interest rate swaps, and the outstanding indebtedness was \$592.9 million. The terms of the Credit Agreement require the Company to enter into interest rate hedging agreements in an amount equal to at least 50% of its outstanding indebtedness. In accordance with this requirement, in April 2005 the Company entered into interest rate swap agreements with respect to \$250 million of its debt. These swap agreements effectively fix the interest rate on \$250 million of the outstanding indebtedness at 6.7% through June 2007. In July 2005, the Company entered into another interest rate swap agreement with respect to a further \$105 million of its outstanding indebtedness. This swap agreement effectively fixes the interest rate on \$105 million of the outstanding indebtedness at 6.8% through June 2009. The \$5.7 million fair value of the swap agreements at March 31, 2006 was recorded in other assets in the consolidated balance sheet with a corresponding increase in other comprehensive income, net of tax.

Note 5. Income Taxes

The provision for income taxes during interim quarterly reporting periods is based on the Company's estimate of the annual effective tax rate for the full fiscal year. The Company determines the annual effective tax rate based upon its estimated annual income from continuing operations, excluding unusual or infrequently occurring items. Significant management judgment is required in projecting the Company's annual income and determining its annual effective tax rate. The Company provides for income taxes in each of the jurisdictions in which it operates. This process involves estimating the actual current tax expense and any deferred income tax expense resulting from temporary differences arising from differing treatments of items for tax and accounting purposes. These temporary differences result in deferred tax assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets are also established for the expected future tax benefits to be derived from net operating loss and capital loss carryforwards.

The Company must then assess the likelihood that its deferred tax assets will be recovered from future taxable income. To the extent that the Company believes that recovery is not likely, it must establish a valuation allowance. The Company considers all available evidence, both positive and negative, to determine the need for a valuation allowance, including the Company's historical operating losses. The Company has recorded a full valuation allowance on its net deferred tax asset balances for all periods presented because of uncertainties related to utilization of the deferred tax assets. Deferred tax liabilities associated with wireless licenses and tax goodwill cannot be considered a source of taxable income to support the realization of deferred tax assets, because these deferred tax liabilities will not reverse until some indefinite future period.

At such time as the Company determines that it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets are realizable, the valuation allowance will be reduced. Pursuant to American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' Statement of

Position (SOP) 90-7, Financial Reporting by Entities in Reorganization under the Bankruptcy Code, future decreases in the valuation allowance established in fresh-start accounting will be accounted for as a reduction in goodwill, rather than as a reduction of tax expense.

The Company s projected deferred tax expense for the full year 2006 consists of the deferred tax effect of the amortization of wireless licenses and tax goodwill for income tax purposes. Since the Company projects a pre-tax loss and income tax expense for the full year, the estimated annual effective tax rate is negative. No income tax

Table of Contents

expense has been recorded in the first quarter of 2006, since the application of the negative tax rate to pre-tax income would result in a tax benefit for the quarter that would be reversed in subsequent quarters.

Note 6. Employee Stock Benefit Plans***Stock Option Plan***

The Company's 2004 Stock Option, Restricted Stock and Deferred Stock Unit Plan (the 2004 Plan) allows for the grant of stock options, restricted common stock and deferred stock units to employees, independent directors and consultants. A total of 4,800,000 shares of common stock were initially reserved for issuance under the 2004 Plan. A total of 1,537,889 shares of common stock are available for issuance under the 2004 Plan as of March 31, 2006. The stock options are exercisable for up to 10 years from the grant date.

A summary of stock option transactions follows:

| | Number of Shares (in thousands) | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (years) | Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands) |
|----------------------------------|--|--|--|---|
| Outstanding at December 31, 2005 | 1,892 | \$ 28.94 | | |
| Options granted | 237 | 40.50 | | |
| Options forfeited | (48) | 31.58 | | |
| Options exercised | | | | |
| Outstanding at March 31, 2006 | 2,081 | \$ 30.20 | 9.21 | \$ 27,491 |
| Exercisable at March 31, 2006 | 76 | \$ 26.50 | 8.95 | \$ 1,291 |

A summary of nonvested restricted common stock follows:

| | Number of Shares (in thousands) | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value |
|--------------------------------|--|---|
| Nonvested at December 31, 2005 | 895 | \$ 28.56 |
| Shares granted | 35 | 40.53 |
| Shares forfeited | (23) | 28.75 |
| Shares vested | | |
| Nonvested at March 31, 2006 | 907 | \$ 29.03 |

No stock options or restricted common stock vested during the three months ended March 31, 2006. At March 31, 2006, total unrecognized estimated compensation cost related to nonvested stock options and restricted stock awards granted prior to that date was \$26.7 million and \$16.7 million, respectively, which is expected to be recognized over weighted-average periods of 3.1 and 2.4 years, respectively. No share-based compensation cost was capitalized as part of inventory and fixed assets prior to fiscal 2006 or during the three months ended March 31, 2006. No stock options were exercised during the three months ended March 31, 2006.

Upon option exercise, the Company issues new shares of stock. The terms of the restricted stock grant agreements allow the Company to repurchase unvested shares at the option, but not the obligation, of the Company for a period of sixty days, commencing ninety days after the employee has a termination event. If the Company elects to repurchase all or any portion of the unvested shares, it may do so at the original purchase price per share.

Table of Contents

Additional information about stock options outstanding at March 31, 2006 follows (number of shares in thousands):

| Exercise Prices | Number of Shares | Exercisable | | Total | |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|
| | | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Number of Shares | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Number of Shares |
| Less than \$35.00 | 76 | \$ 26.50 | 1,827 | \$ 28.79 | |
| Above \$35.00 | | | 254 | 40.33 | |
| Total outstanding | 76 | \$ 26.50 | 2,081 | \$ 30.20 | |

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

The Company's Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the "ESP Plan") allows eligible employees to purchase shares of common stock during a specified offering period. The purchase price is 85% of the lower of the fair market value of such stock on the first or last day of the offering period. Employees may authorize the Company to withhold up to 15% of their compensation during any offering period for the purchase of shares of common stock under the ESP Plan, subject to certain limitations. A total of 800,000 shares of common stock were initially reserved for issuance under the ESP Plan. A total of 791,970 shares of common stock remain available for issuance under the ESP Plan as of March 31, 2006. The current offering period under the ESP Plan is from January 1, 2006 through June 30, 2006. Compensation expense related to the ESP Plan is insignificant.

Note 7. Significant Acquisitions and Dispositions

In November 2005, the Company signed an agreement to sell its wireless licenses and operating assets in its Toledo and Sandusky, Ohio markets in exchange for \$28.5 million and an equity interest in a new joint venture company which owns a wireless license in the Portland, Oregon market. The Company also agreed to contribute to the joint venture approximately \$25 million and two wireless licenses and related operating assets in Eugene and Salem, Oregon to increase its non-controlling equity interest in the joint venture to 73.3%. The Company received the final FCC consent required for these transactions on April 26, 2006. Completion of these transactions is subject to customary closing conditions, including third party consents. The aggregate carrying value of the Toledo and Sandusky licenses of \$8.2 million, property and equipment with a net book value of \$5.4 million and intangible assets with a net book value of \$1.5 million have been classified in assets held for sale in the consolidated balance sheets as of March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005.

On March 1, 2006, a wholly owned subsidiary of Cricket, Cricket Licensee (Reauction), Inc., entered into an agreement with a debtor-in-possession for the purchase of 13 wireless licenses in North Carolina and South Carolina for an aggregate purchase price of \$31.8 million. Completion of this transaction is subject to customary closing conditions, including FCC approval and the receipt of an FCC order agreeing to extend certain build-out requirements with respect to certain of the licenses.

On May 9, 2006, the Company entered into a license swap agreement, whereby it will exchange its wireless license in Grand Rapids, Michigan for a wireless license in Rochester, New York. Completion of this transaction is subject to customary closing conditions, including FCC approval.

Note 8. Commitments and Contingencies

Although the Company's plan of reorganization became effective and the Company emerged from bankruptcy in August 2004, a tax claim of approximately \$4.9 million Australian dollars (approximately \$3.8 million U.S. dollars as of May 5, 2006) asserted by the Australian government against Leap remains pending in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of California in Case Nos. 03-03470-All to 03-035335-All (jointly administered). The Company has objected to this claim and is seeking to resolve it through appropriate court proceedings. The Company does not believe that the resolution of this claim will have a material adverse effect on its consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

On December 31, 2002, several members of American Wireless Group, LLC, referred to in these financial statements as AWG, filed a lawsuit against various officers and directors of Leap in the Circuit Court of the First Judicial District of Hinds County, Mississippi, referred to herein as the Whittington Lawsuit. Leap purchased certain FCC wireless licenses from AWG and paid for those licenses with shares of Leap stock. The complaint alleges that Leap failed to disclose to AWG material facts regarding a dispute between Leap and a third party relating to that party's claim that it was entitled to an increase in the purchase price for certain wireless licenses it sold to Leap. In their complaint, plaintiffs seek rescission and/or damages according to proof at trial of not less than the aggregate amount paid for the Leap stock (alleged in the complaint to have a value of approximately \$57.8 million in June 2001 at the closing of the license sale transaction), plus interest, punitive or exemplary damages in the amount of not less than three times compensatory damages, and costs and expenses. Plaintiffs contend that the named defendants are the controlling group that was responsible for Leap's alleged failure to disclose the material facts regarding the third party dispute and the risk that the shares held by the plaintiffs might be diluted if the third party was successful with respect to its claim. The defendants in the Whittington Lawsuit filed a motion to compel arbitration, or in the alternative, to dismiss the Whittington Lawsuit. The motion noted that plaintiffs, as members of AWG, agreed to arbitrate disputes pursuant to the license purchase agreement, that they failed to plead facts that show that they are entitled to relief, that Leap made adequate disclosure of the relevant facts regarding the third party dispute and that any failure to disclose such information did not cause any damage to the plaintiffs. The court denied defendants' motion and the defendants have appealed the denial of the motion to the state supreme court.

In a related action to the action described above, on June 6, 2003, AWG filed a lawsuit in the Circuit Court of the First Judicial District of Hinds County, Mississippi, referred to herein as the AWG Lawsuit, against the same individual defendants named in the Whittington Lawsuit. The complaint generally sets forth the same claims made by the plaintiffs in the Whittington Lawsuit. In its complaint, plaintiff seeks rescission and/or damages according to proof at trial of not less than the aggregate amount paid for the Leap stock (alleged in the complaint to have a value of approximately \$57.8 million in June 2001 at the closing of the license sale transaction), plus interest, punitive or exemplary damages in the amount of not less than three times compensatory damages, and costs and expenses. Defendants filed a motion to compel arbitration or, in the alternative, to dismiss the AWG Lawsuit, making arguments similar to those made in their motion to dismiss the Whittington Lawsuit. The motion was denied and the defendants have appealed the ruling to the state supreme court.

Although Leap is not a defendant in either the Whittington or AWG Lawsuits, several of the defendants have indemnification agreements with the Company. Leap's D&O insurers have not filed a reservation of rights letter and have been paying defense costs. Management believes that the liability, if any, from the AWG and Whittington Lawsuits and the related indemnity claims of the defendants against Leap is not probable and estimable; therefore, no accrual has been made in Leap's consolidated financial statements as of March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005 related to these contingencies.

The Company is involved in certain other claims arising in the course of business, seeking monetary damages and other relief. The amount of the liability, if any, from such claims cannot currently be reasonably estimated; therefore, no accruals have been made in the Company's consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2005 for such claims. In the opinion of the Company's management, the ultimate liability for such claims will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In October 2005, the Company agreed to purchase a minimum of \$90.5 million of products and services from Nortel Networks Inc. from October 11, 2005 through October 10, 2008, and the Company agreed to purchase a minimum of \$119 million of products and services from Lucent Technologies Inc. from October 1, 2005 through September 30, 2008. Separately, ANB 1 License is obligated to purchase a minimum of \$39.5 million and \$6.0 million of products and services from Nortel Networks Inc. and Lucent Technologies Inc., respectively, over the same three year terms as those for the Company.

Table of Contents

The Company has entered into non-cancelable operating lease agreements to lease its administrative and retail facilities, certain equipment, and sites for towers, equipment and antennas required for the operation of its wireless networks. These leases typically include renewal options and escalation clauses. In general, site leases have five year initial terms with four five year renewal options. The following table summarizes the approximate future minimum rentals under non-cancelable operating leases, including renewals that are reasonably assured, in effect at March 31, 2006 (in thousands):

Year Ended December 31:

| | |
|-------------------|------------|
| Remainder of 2006 | \$ 39,239 |
| 2007 | 42,300 |
| 2008 | 40,119 |
| 2009 | 38,248 |
| 2010 | 37,299 |
| Thereafter | 169,362 |
| Total | \$ 366,567 |

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

As used in this report, the terms we, our, ours, and us refer to Leap Wireless International, Inc., a Delaware corporation, and its subsidiaries, unless the context suggests otherwise. Leap refers to Leap Wireless International, Inc., and Cricket refers to Cricket Communications, Inc. Unless otherwise specified, information relating to population and potential customers, or POPs, is based on 2006 population estimates provided by Claritas Inc.

The following information should be read in conjunction with the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in Item 1 of this Quarterly Report and the audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 27, 2006.

Except for the historical information contained herein, this report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Such statements reflect management's current forecast of certain aspects of Leap's future. You can identify most forward-looking statements by forward-looking words such as believe, think, may, could, will, estimate, continue, anticipate, intend, seek, plan, and similar expressions in this report. Such statements are based on currently available operating, financial and competitive information and are subject to various risks, uncertainties and assumptions that could cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated or implied in our forward-looking statements. Such risks, uncertainties and assumptions include, among other things:

- our ability to attract and retain customers in an extremely competitive marketplace;
- changes in economic conditions that could adversely affect the market for wireless services;
- the impact of competitors' initiatives;
- our ability to successfully implement product offerings and execute market expansion plans;
- our ability to comply with the covenants in our senior secured credit facilities;
- our ability to attract, motivate and retain an experienced workforce;
- failure of our network systems to perform according to expectations; and
- other factors detailed in Part II Item 1A. Risk Factors below.

All forward-looking statements in this report should be considered in the context of these risk factors. We undertake no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. In light of these risks and uncertainties, the forward-looking events and circumstances discussed in this report may not occur and actual results could differ materially from those anticipated or implied in the forward-looking statements. Accordingly, users of this report are cautioned not to place undue reliance on the forward-looking statements.

Overview

Our Business. We and Alaska Native Broadband 1 License, LLC, or ANB 1 License, offer wireless voice and data services under the Cricket® and Jump Mobile brands. Our Cricket service offers customers unlimited wireless service in their Cricket service area for a flat monthly rate without requiring a fixed-term contract or credit check, and our new Jump Mobile service offers customers a per-minute prepaid service. At March 31, 2006, Cricket and Jump Mobile services were offered in 20 states in the U.S. and had approximately 1,779,000 customers. As of March 31, 2006, we and ANB 1 License owned wireless licenses covering a total of 70.0 million potential customers, or POPs, in the aggregate, and our networks in our operating markets covered approximately 29.0 million POPs. We are currently building out and launching the new markets that we and ANB 1 License have acquired, and we anticipate that our combined network footprint will cover over 42 million POPs by the end of 2006. ANB 1 License is a wholly owned subsidiary of Alaska Native Broadband 1 LLC, or ANB 1, an entity in which we own a 75% non-controlling interest.

Table of Contents

Our premium Cricket service plan, which is our most popular service plan, offers customers unlimited local and domestic long distance service from their Cricket service area combined with unlimited use of multiple calling features and messaging services for a flat rate of \$45 per month. We also offer a basic service plan which allows customers to make unlimited calls within their Cricket service area and receive unlimited calls from any area for \$35 per month and an intermediate service plan which also includes unlimited long distance service for \$40 per month. In 2005 we launched our first per-minute prepaid service, Jump Mobile, to bring Cricket's attractive value proposition to customers who prefer active control over their wireless usage and to better target the urban youth market. During the last two years, we have added instant text messaging, multimedia (picture) messaging, games and our Travel Time[®] roaming option to our product portfolio, and we anticipate launching new usage-based data platforms and services in 2006 to better meet our customer needs.

We believe that our business model can be expanded successfully into adjacent and new markets because we offer a differentiated service and attractive value proposition to our customers at costs significantly lower than most of our competitors. The principle actions that we have taken include:

In 2005 we acquired four wireless licenses in the FCC's Auction #58 covering 11.3 million POPs and ANB 1 License acquired nine licenses covering 10.2 million POPs.

In August 2005 we launched service in our newly acquired Fresno, California market to form a cluster with our existing Modesto and Visalia, California markets, which doubled our Central Valley network footprint to 2.4 million POPs.

In November 2005 we entered into a series of agreements with CSM Wireless, LLC and the controlling members of WLPCS Management, LLC to obtain a 73.3% non-controlling equity interest in LCW Wireless, LLC, or LCW Wireless, which currently holds a license for the Portland, Oregon market. We have agreed to contribute our existing Eugene and Salem, Oregon markets to LCW Wireless to create a new Oregon market cluster covering 3.2 million POPs. Completion of this transaction is subject to customary closing conditions, including third party consents.

In March 2006 a wholly owned subsidiary of Cricket, Cricket Licensee (Reauction), Inc., entered into an agreement with a debtor-in-possession for the purchase of 13 wireless licenses in North Carolina and South Carolina for an aggregate purchase price of \$31.8 million. Completion of this transaction is subject to customary closing conditions, including FCC approval and the receipt of an FCC order agreeing to extend certain build-out requirements with respect to certain of the licenses.

We are currently seeking additional opportunities to enhance our current market clusters and expand into new geographic markets by participating in FCC spectrum auctions (including the upcoming Auction #66), by acquiring spectrum and related assets from third parties, or by participating in new partnerships or joint ventures. Any large scale construction projects for the build-out of our new markets will require significant capital expenditures and may suffer cost overruns. In addition, we may experience higher operating expenses for a period of time as we build out and after we launch our service in new markets. Any significant capital expenditures or increased operating expenses, including in connection with the build-out and launch of markets for any licenses that we acquire in Auction #66, would negatively impact our earnings, operating income before depreciation and amortization, or OIBDA, and free cash flow for these periods in which we incur such capital expenditures and increased operating expenses.

Our principal sources of liquidity are our existing unrestricted cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments, cash generated from operations, and cash available from borrowings under our \$110 million revolving credit facility (which was undrawn at March 31, 2006). From time to time, we may also generate additional liquidity through the sale of assets that are not material to or are not required for the ongoing operation of our business. We also intend to

generate additional liquidity in connection with Auction #66. See Liquidity and Capital Resources below.

This overview is intended to be only a summary of significant matters concerning our results of operations and financial condition. It should be read in conjunction with the management discussion below and all of the business and financial information contained in this report, including the condensed consolidated financial statements in Item 1 of this Quarterly Report, as well as our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations*****Financial Performance***

The following table presents the condensed consolidated statement of operations data for the periods indicated (in thousands).

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|--|---|-------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Revenues: | | |
| Service revenues | \$ 215,840 | \$ 185,981 |
| Equipment revenues | 50,848 | 42,389 |
| Total revenues | 266,688 | 228,370 |
| Operating expenses: | | |
| Cost of service (exclusive of items shown separately below) | (55,204) | (50,197) |
| Cost of equipment | (58,886) | (49,178) |
| Selling and marketing | (29,102) | (22,995) |
| General and administrative | (49,582) | (36,035) |
| Depreciation and amortization | (54,036) | (48,104) |
| Total operating expenses | (246,810) | (206,509) |
| Operating income | 19,878 | 21,861 |
| Minority interest in loss of consolidated subsidiary | (75) | |
| Interest income | 4,194 | 1,903 |
| Interest expense | (7,431) | (9,123) |
| Other income (expense), net | 535 | (1,286) |
| Income before income taxes and cumulative effect of change in accounting principle | 17,101 | 13,355 |
| Income taxes | | (5,839) |
| Income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle | 17,101 | 7,516 |
| Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle | 623 | |
| Net income | \$ 17,724 | \$ 7,516 |

Three Months Ended March 31, 2006 Compared to Three Months Ended March 31, 2005

At March 31, 2006, we and ANB 1 had approximately 1,779,000 customers compared to approximately 1,615,000 customers at March 31, 2005. Gross customer additions during the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005 were approximately 278,000 and 201,000, respectively, and net customer additions during these periods were approximately 110,000 and 45,000 respectively. The weighted average number of customers during the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005 was approximately 1,718,000 and 1,588,000, respectively. At March 31, 2006, the

total potential customer base covered by our combined networks in our operating markets was approximately 29.0 million.

During the three months ended March 31, 2006, service revenues increased \$29.9 million, or 16%, compared to the corresponding period of the prior year. This increase resulted from a higher average number of customers and higher average revenues per customer compared with the corresponding period of the prior year. The higher average revenues per customer primarily reflects increased customer adoption of our higher-value, higher-priced service offerings.

During the three months ended March 31, 2006, equipment revenues increased \$8.5 million, or 20%, compared to the corresponding period of the prior year. The increase resulted from an increase in handset sales of 28%,

Table of Contents

partially offset by lower net revenue per handset sold due to increased promotional costs associated with bundling the first month of service with the initial handset price for new customer additions.

During the three months ended March 31, 2006, cost of service increased \$5.0 million, or 10%, compared to the corresponding period of the prior year. The increase was primarily attributable to increases of \$3.5 million in variable product usage costs and \$1.5 million in site lease costs related mainly to the build out and launch of our new markets. During 2006, we expect network costs to increase significantly as we build out infrastructure for our new markets, as we add customers and as customer adoption and usage of our value-added services increases.

Cost of equipment for the three months ended March 31, 2006 increased by \$9.7 million, or 20%, compared to the corresponding period of the prior year. The increase consisted of \$12.2 million in costs associated with higher handset sales volumes, partially offset by a \$1.4 million reduction in costs to support our handset replacement programs for existing customers and a \$1.4 million reduction due to a lower average cost per handset sold.

During the three months ended March 31, 2006, selling and marketing expenses increased by \$6.1 million, or 27%, compared to the corresponding period of the prior year. The increase consisted primarily of increases of \$2.1 million in media and advertising costs and \$4.0 million in labor and related costs, partially to support our new market launches in the first quarter of 2006.

During the three months ended March 31, 2006, general and administrative expenses increased \$13.5 million, or 38%, compared to the corresponding period of the prior year. The increase was primarily due to increases of \$5.7 million in labor and related costs, \$4.1 million in stock-based compensation expense and \$2.6 million in professional services.

During the three months ended March 31, 2006, we adopted FASB Statement No. 123R and recorded stock-based compensation expense of \$4.7 million, of which \$4.1 million was recorded in general and administrative expenses, \$0.3 million in selling and marketing expenses and \$0.3 million in cost of service. In addition, we recorded a gain of \$0.6 million as a cumulative effect of change in accounting principle related to the adoption of SFAS 123R. No share-based compensation expense was recorded during the three months ended March 31, 2005.

During the three months ended March 31, 2006, depreciation and amortization expense increased \$5.9 million, or 12%, compared to the corresponding period of the prior year. The increase was due primarily to the build-out and operation of new markets and the upgrade of network assets in our other markets since the first quarter of fiscal 2005. As a result of the build-out and operation of our planned new markets, we expect a significant increase in depreciation and amortization expense in subsequent quarters.

During the three months ended March 31, 2006, interest income increased \$2.3 million, or 120%, compared to the corresponding period of the prior year. The increase was primarily due to an increase in the average cash and cash equivalents and investment balances as we generate positive and increasing cash flow from operations.

During the three months ended March 31, 2006, interest expense decreased \$1.7 million, or 19%, compared to the corresponding period of the prior year. The decrease in interest expense resulted primarily from the capitalization of interest of \$4.4 million during the first quarter of fiscal 2006. We capitalize interest costs associated with our wireless licenses and property and equipment during the build-out of new markets. The amount of such capitalized interest depends on the carrying values of the licenses and property and equipment involved in those markets and the duration of the build-out. We expect capitalized interest to continue to be significant during the build-out of our planned new markets. At March 31, 2006, the effective interest rate on our \$600 million of outstanding term loans was 6.8%, including the effect of interest rate swaps described below. We expect that interest expense will increase significantly in subsequent quarters of 2006 due to our planned financing activities. See [Liquidity and Capital Resources](#) below.

During the three months ended March 31, 2006, we recorded no income tax expense compared to income tax expense of \$5.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2005. Income tax expense for the full year 2006 is projected to consist primarily of the deferred tax effect of the amortization of wireless licenses and tax goodwill for income tax purposes. We do not expect to release fresh-start related valuation allowances in 2006. The resulting estimate of our annual effective tax rate for fiscal 2006 is then applied to pre-tax income (loss) for each quarterly period to arrive at the provision for income taxes for the quarter. Because we are projecting a pre-tax loss

Table of Contents

for fiscal 2006, yet also projecting income tax expense for fiscal 2006, the estimated annual effective tax rate for the year is negative. No income tax expense has been recorded in the first quarter of 2006, since the application of the negative tax rate to pre-tax income would result in a tax benefit for the quarter that would be reversed in subsequent quarters. We expect to pay only minimal cash taxes for fiscal 2006.

During the three months ended March 31, 2005, we recorded income tax expense at an effective tax rate of 43.7%. Despite the fact that we have recorded a full valuation allowance on our deferred tax assets, we recognized income tax expense for the first quarter of fiscal 2005 because the release of valuation allowance associated with the reversal of deferred tax assets recorded in fresh-start reporting is recorded as a reduction of goodwill rather than as a reduction of income tax expense. The effective tax rate for the quarter was higher than the statutory tax rate due primarily to permanent items not deductible for tax purposes.

Net income for the first quarter of 2006 was \$17.7 million, or \$0.29 per diluted share, compared to net income of \$7.5 million, or \$0.12 per diluted share, for the first quarter of 2005. We expect net income to decrease in the subsequent quarters of 2006, and we expect to realize a net loss for the full year 2006, due mainly to our new market launches and expenses associated with our financing activities.

Performance Measures

In managing our business and assessing our financial performance, management supplements the information provided by financial statement measures with several customer-focused performance metrics that are widely used in the telecommunications industry. These metrics include average revenue per user per month (ARPU), which measures service revenue per customer; cost per gross customer addition (CPGA), which measures the average cost of acquiring a new customer; cash costs per user per month (CCU), which measures the non-selling cash cost of operating our business on a per customer basis; and churn, which measures turnover in our customer base. CPGA and CCU are non-GAAP financial measures. A non-GAAP financial measure, within the meaning of Item 10 of Regulation S-K promulgated by the SEC, is a numerical measure of a company's financial performance or cash flows that (a) excludes amounts, or is subject to adjustments that have the effect of excluding amounts, that are included in the most directly comparable measure calculated and presented in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the consolidated balance sheet, consolidated statement of operations or consolidated statement of cash flows; or (b) includes amounts, or is subject to adjustments that have the effect of including amounts, that are excluded from the most directly comparable measure so calculated and presented. See Reconciliation of Non-GAAP Financial Measures below for a reconciliation of CPGA and CCU to the most directly comparable GAAP financial measures.

ARPU is service revenue divided by the weighted average number of customers, divided by the number of months during the period being measured. Management uses ARPU to identify average revenue per customer, to track changes in average customer revenues over time, to help evaluate how changes in our business, including changes in our service offerings and fees, affect average revenue per customer, and to forecast future service revenue. In addition, ARPU provides management with a useful measure to compare our subscriber revenue to that of other wireless communications providers. We believe investors use ARPU primarily as a tool to track changes in our average revenue per customer and to compare our per customer service revenues to those of other wireless communications providers. Other companies may calculate this measure differently.

CPGA is selling and marketing costs (excluding applicable stock-based compensation expense included in selling and marketing expense), and equipment subsidy (generally defined as cost of equipment less equipment revenue), less the net loss on equipment transactions unrelated to initial customer acquisition, divided by the total number of gross new customer additions during the period being measured. Costs unrelated to initial customer acquisition include the revenues and costs associated with the sale of handsets to existing customers as well as costs associated with handset replacements and repairs (other than warranty costs which are the responsibility of the handset manufacturers). We

deduct customers who do not pay their first monthly bill from our gross customer additions, which tends to increase CPGA because we incur the costs associated with this customer without receiving the benefit of a gross customer addition. Management uses CPGA to measure the efficiency of our customer acquisition efforts, to track changes in our average cost of acquiring new subscribers over time, and to help evaluate how changes in our sales and distribution strategies affect the cost-efficiency of our customer acquisition efforts. In

Table of Contents

addition, CPGA provides management with a useful measure to compare our per customer acquisition costs with those of other wireless communications providers. We believe investors use CPGA primarily as a tool to track changes in our average cost of acquiring new customers and to compare our per customer acquisition costs to those of other wireless communications providers. Other companies may calculate this measure differently.

CCU is cost of service and general and administrative costs (excluding applicable stock-based compensation expense included in cost of service and general and administrative expense) plus net loss on equipment transactions unrelated to initial customer acquisition (which include the gain or loss on sale of handsets to existing customers and costs associated with handset replacements and repairs (other than warranty costs which are the responsibility of the handset manufacturers)), divided by the weighted average number of customers, divided by the number of months during the period being measured. CCU does not include any depreciation and amortization expense. Management uses CCU as a tool to evaluate the non-selling cash expenses associated with ongoing business operations on a per customer basis, to track changes in these non-selling cash costs over time, and to help evaluate how changes in our business operations affect non-selling cash costs per customer. In addition, CCU provides management with a useful measure to compare our non-selling cash costs per customer with those of other wireless communications providers. We believe investors use CCU primarily as a tool to track changes in our non-selling cash costs over time and to compare our non-selling cash costs to those of other wireless communications providers. Other companies may calculate this measure differently.

Churn, which measures customer turnover, is calculated as the net number of customers that disconnect from our service divided by the weighted average number of customers divided by the number of months during the period being measured. Customers who do not pay their first monthly bill are deducted from our gross customer additions in the month that they are disconnected; as a result, these customers are not included in churn. Management uses churn to measure our retention of customers, to measure changes in customer retention over time, and to help evaluate how changes in our business affect customer retention. In addition, churn provides management with a useful measure to compare our customer turnover activity to that of other wireless communications providers. We believe investors use churn primarily as a tool to track changes in our customer retention over time and to compare our customer retention to that of other wireless communications providers. Other companies may calculate this measure differently.

The following table shows metric information for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|-------|---|-------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| ARPU | \$ 41.87 | \$ 39.03 |
| CPGA | \$ 130 | \$ 128 |
| CCU | \$ 19.57 | \$ 18.94 |
| Churn | 3.3% | 3.3% |

Reconciliation of Non-GAAP Financial Measures

We utilize certain financial measures, as described above, that are widely used in the industry but that are not calculated based on GAAP. Certain of these financial measures are considered non-GAAP financial measures within the meaning of Item 10 of Regulation S-K promulgated by the SEC.

Table of Contents

CPGA The following table reconciles total costs used in the calculation of CPGA to selling and marketing expense, which we consider to be the most directly comparable GAAP financial measure to CPGA (in thousands, except gross customer additions and CPGA):

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|---|------------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Selling and marketing expense | \$ 29,102 | \$ 22,995 |
| Less stock-based compensation expense included in selling and marketing expense | (327) | |
| Plus cost of equipment | 58,886 | 49,178 |
| Less equipment revenue | (50,848) | (42,389) |
| Less net loss on equipment transactions unrelated to initial customer acquisition | (521) | (4,012) |
| Total costs used in the calculation of CPGA | \$ 36,292 | \$ 25,772 |
| Gross customer additions | 278,370 | 201,467 |
| CPGA | \$ 130 | \$ 128 |

CCU The following table reconciles total costs used in the calculation of CCU to cost of service, which we consider to be the most directly comparable GAAP financial measure to CCU (in thousands, except weighted-average number of customers and CCU):

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|--|---|------------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Cost of service | \$ 55,204 | \$ 50,197 |
| Plus general and administrative expense | 49,582 | 36,035 |
| Less stock-based compensation expense included in cost of service and general and administrative expense | (4,399) | |
| Plus net loss on equipment transactions unrelated to initial customer acquisition | 521 | 4,012 |
| Total costs used in the calculation of CCU | \$ 100,908 | \$ 90,244 |
| Weighted-average number of customers | 1,718,349 | 1,588,372 |
| CCU | \$ 19.57 | \$ 18.94 |

Liquidity and Capital Resources*Overview*

Our principal sources of liquidity are our existing unrestricted cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments, cash generated from operations, and cash available from borrowings under our \$110 million revolving credit facility (which was undrawn at March 31, 2006). At March 31, 2006, we had a total of \$366.0 million in unrestricted cash,

cash equivalents and short-term investments. We currently are seeking to replace our existing \$710 million senior secured credit facility with a new senior secured credit facility consisting of a term loan of up to \$900 million and a revolving credit facility of up to \$200 million. From time to time, we may also generate additional liquidity through the sale of assets that are not material to or are not required for the ongoing operation of our business. We believe that our existing unrestricted cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments, liquidity under our revolving credit facility and our anticipated cash flows from operations will be sufficient to meet the projected operating and capital requirements for our existing business, including the build-out and launch of the wireless licenses that we and ANB 1 License acquired in Auction #58 and the acquisition of the wireless licenses that we have agreed to acquire in North Carolina, South Carolina and Rochester, New York.

Table of Contents

We also expect that we will use a portion of the expected proceeds from the term loan under our new senior secured facility to finance the build-out and initial operating costs for our pending license acquisitions in North Carolina, South Carolina and Rochester, New York. We do not intend to commence the build-out of any of these licenses until we have sufficient funds available to us to pay for all of the related build-out and initial operating costs associated with any such license.

We are seeking opportunities to enhance our current market clusters and expand into new geographic markets by acquiring additional spectrum. From time to time, we may purchase spectrum and related assets from third parties, such as our pending license acquisitions in North Carolina, South Carolina and Rochester, New York. We also plan to participate as a bidder in Auction #66, and may participate directly and with other entities. In our recent purchases of wireless licenses, we have focused on areas that we believe present attractive growth prospects for our service offering based on an analysis of demographic, economic and other factors. We also believe that we have been financially disciplined with respect to prices we were willing to pay for such licenses. We expect to employ a similar approach to target markets and acquisition prices with respect to our potential purchases of licenses in Auction #66. See Our Plans for Auction #66 below.

In anticipation of our participation in Auction #66, we intend to expand our access to sources of capital. As noted above, we are currently seeking to replace our existing \$710 million senior secured credit facility with a new senior secured credit facility, which we expect will result in up to approximately \$200 million of additional term loan proceeds that would be available to finance purchases of licenses in Auction #66 and/or the related build-out and initial operating costs for such licenses. Furthermore, we have announced our intention to conduct a forward equity sale of approximately \$250.0 million of our common stock in connection with an underwritten public offering of common stock. If the forward sale agreements are physically settled, then we will receive up to \$250.0 million in gross proceeds from the sale of common stock upon settlement of the forward sale agreements, with the number of shares delivered at the settlement date, and thus the net proceeds from such sale, determined at the discretion of our management generally within 12 months after completion of the expected offering. If the forward sale agreements are not physically settled, then depending on the price of Leap common stock at the time of settlement and the relevant settlement method, we may receive no proceeds from the settlement of the forward sale agreements.

We also are in discussions to obtain a bridge loan which would allow us to borrow additional capital, as needed, to finance the purchase of licenses in Auction #66 and/or the related build-out and initial operating costs of such licenses. We currently expect to obtain commitments for approximately \$600 million under the bridge loan (or, if our proposed forward equity sale is not completed prior to the commencement of Auction #66, approximately \$850 million under the bridge loan). However, depending on the prices of licenses in the auction, especially if license prices are attractive, we may seek additional capital to purchase licenses by expanding the bridge loan or through other borrowings. Although we anticipate that our new senior secured credit facility will permit us to incur up to \$1.2 billion of unsecured debt which could be used for the bridge loan, we currently expect to only obtain commitments in the range of amounts noted above. Following the completion of Auction #66, when the capital requirements associated with our auction activity will be clearer, we expect to repay the bridge loan with proceeds from one or more offerings of unsecured debt securities, convertible debt securities and/or equity securities, although we cannot assure you that the financing will be available to us on acceptable terms or at all.

We do not intend to bid on licenses in Auction #66 unless we have access to funds to pay the full purchase price for such licenses. Depending on which licenses, if any, we ultimately acquire in Auction #66, we may require significant additional capital in the future to finance the build-out and initial operating costs associated with such licenses. However, we generally will not commence the build-out of any individual license until we have sufficient funds available to us to pay for all of the related build-out and initial operating costs associated with such license.

We cannot assure you that our bidding strategy will be successful in Auction #66 or that spectrum in the auction that meets our internally developed criteria for strategic expansion will be available to us at acceptable prices. Accordingly, we may not utilize all or a significant portion of the anticipated additional financing described above.

Table of Contents

Cash Flows

Cash provided by operating activities was \$38.3 million during the three months ended March 31, 2006 compared to \$23.5 million during the three months ended March 31, 2005. The increase was primarily attributable to higher net income (net of depreciation and amortization expense and non-cash stock-based compensation expense) in the three months ended March 31, 2006, the timing of payments on accounts payable, and to interest payments on Cricket's 13% senior secured pay-in-kind notes and FCC debt made in the three months ended March 31, 2005.

Cash used in investing activities was \$30.7 million during the three months ended March 31, 2006 compared to \$221.6 million during the three months ended March 31, 2005. This decrease was due primarily to a decrease in payments by subsidiaries of Cricket and ANB 1 License for the purchase of wireless licenses totaling \$212.0 million and a net decrease in the purchase of investments of \$11.2 million, partially offset by an increase in purchases of property and equipment of \$38.2 million.

Cash used in financing activities was \$0.7 million during the three months ended March 31, 2006 compared to cash provided by financing activities of \$79.2 million during the three months ended March 31, 2005. This decrease was due primarily to a decrease in borrowings under the term loan of \$500 million, partially offset by a decrease in the payments on Cricket's 13% senior secured pay-in-kind notes, FCC debt and term loan of \$412.5 million and a decrease in the payment of debt issuance costs of \$6.7 million.

Secured Credit Facility

Long-term debt as of March 31, 2006 consists of our senior secured credit agreement (the Credit Agreement), which includes \$600 million of fully-drawn term loans and an undrawn \$110 million revolving credit facility available until January 2010. Under the Credit Agreement, the term loans bear interest at the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus 2.5 percent, with interest periods of one, two, three or six months, or bank base rate plus 1.5 percent, as selected by Cricket. Outstanding borrowings under \$500 million of the term loans must be repaid in 20 quarterly payments of \$1.25 million each, which commenced on March 31, 2005, followed by four quarterly payments of \$118.75 million each, commencing March 31, 2010. Outstanding borrowings under \$100 million of the term loans must be repaid in 18 quarterly payments of approximately \$278,000 each, which commenced on September 30, 2005, followed by four quarterly payments of \$23.75 million each, commencing March 31, 2010.

The maturity date for outstanding borrowings under the revolving credit facility is January 10, 2010. The commitment of the lenders under the revolving credit facility may be reduced in the event mandatory prepayments are required under the Credit Agreement and by one-twelfth of the original aggregate revolving credit commitment on January 1, 2008 and by one-sixth of the original aggregate revolving credit commitment on January 1, 2009 (each such amount to be net of all prior reductions) based on certain leverage ratios and other tests. The commitment fee on the revolving credit facility is payable quarterly at a rate of 1.0 percent per annum when the utilization of the facility (as specified in the Credit Agreement) is less than 50 percent and at 0.75 percent per annum when the utilization exceeds 50 percent. Borrowings under the revolving credit facility would currently accrue interest at LIBOR plus 2.5 percent, with interest periods of one, two, three or six months, or bank base rate plus 1.5 percent, as selected by Cricket, with the rate subject to adjustment based on the Company's leverage ratio.

The facilities under the Credit Agreement are guaranteed by Leap and all of its direct and indirect domestic subsidiaries (other than Cricket, which is the primary obligor, ANB 1 and ANB 1 License) and are secured by all present and future personal property and owned real property of Leap, Cricket and such direct and indirect domestic subsidiaries. Under the Credit Agreement, the Company is subject to certain limitations, including limitations on its ability to: incur additional debt or sell assets, with restrictions on the use of proceeds; make certain investments and acquisitions; grant liens; and pay dividends and make certain other restricted payments. In addition, the Company will

be required to pay down the facilities under certain circumstances if it issues debt or equity, sells assets or property, receives certain extraordinary receipts or generates excess cash flow (as defined in the Credit Agreement). The Company is also subject to financial covenants which include a minimum interest coverage ratio, a maximum total leverage ratio, a maximum senior secured leverage ratio and a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio. The Credit Agreement allows the Company to invest up to \$325 million in ANB 1 and ANB 1 License and up to

Table of Contents

\$60 million in other joint ventures and allows the Company to provide limited guarantees for the benefit of ANB 1 License and other joint ventures.

Affiliates of Highland Capital Management, L.P. (a beneficial stockholder of Leap and an affiliate of James D. Dondero, a director of Leap) participated in the syndication of the Credit Agreement in the following amounts: \$109 million of the \$600 million term loans and \$30 million of the \$110 million revolving credit facility.

At March 31, 2006, the effective interest rate on the term loans was 6.8%, including the effect of interest rate swaps, and the outstanding indebtedness was \$592.9 million. The terms of the Credit Agreement require the Company to enter into interest rate hedging agreements in an amount equal to at least 50% of its outstanding indebtedness. In accordance with this requirement, in April 2005 the Company entered into interest rate swap agreements with respect to \$250 million of its debt. These swap agreements effectively fix the interest rate on \$250 million of the outstanding indebtedness at 6.7% through June 2007. In July 2005, the Company entered into another interest rate swap agreement with respect to a further \$105 million of its outstanding indebtedness. This swap agreement effectively fixes the interest rate on \$105 million of the outstanding indebtedness at 6.8% through June 2009. The \$5.7 million fair value of the swap agreements at March 31, 2006 was recorded in other assets in the consolidated balance sheet with a corresponding increase in other comprehensive income, net of tax.

As described above, we currently intend to increase the size of our term loan and revolving credit facility by up to \$300 million and \$90 million, respectively, in anticipation of our participation in Auction #66.

Capital Expenditures and Other Asset Acquisitions and Dispositions

2006 Capital Expenditures

During the three months ended March 31, 2006, we and ANB 1 incurred approximately \$60.9 million in capital expenditures. These capital expenditures were primarily for: (i) expansion and improvement of our existing wireless networks, (ii) costs associated with the build-out of markets covered by licenses acquired in Auction #58, (iii) costs incurred by ANB 1 License in connection with the build-out of licenses ANB 1 License acquired in Auction #58, and (iv) expenditures for EV-DO technology. We and ANB 1 currently expect to incur between \$430 million and \$500 million in capital expenditures, including capitalized interest, for the year ending December 31, 2006. We may revise our estimate of capital expenditures for 2006 in the coming quarters, after our proposed financing activities are completed and depending on the timing of our pending purchases of spectrum in the Carolinas and Rochester, New York and the potential launch of some of our markets ahead of schedule.

Auction #58 Properties and Build-Out

We currently expect to launch commercial operations in the markets covered by the licenses we have acquired as a result of Auction #58. Pursuant to a management services agreement, we are also providing services to ANB 1 License with respect to the build-out and launch of the licenses it acquired in connection with Auction #58. Under our senior secured credit facility with ANB 1 License, as amended, we have committed to loan ANB 1 License up to \$225.8 million in additional funds to finance the build-out and launch of its networks and working capital requirements, of which \$123.8 million was drawn at March 31, 2006. Under Cricket's Credit Agreement, we are permitted to invest up to an aggregate of \$325 million in loans to and equity investments in ANB 1 and ANB 1 License. See Item 1. Business-Arrangements with Alaska Native Broadband in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 for further discussion of our arrangements with Alaska Native Broadband.

Significant Acquisitions and Dispositions

In November 2005, we signed an agreement to sell our wireless licenses and operating assets in our Toledo and Sandusky, Ohio markets in exchange for \$28.5 million and an equity interest in LCW Wireless, a designated entity which owns a wireless license in the Portland, Oregon market. We also agreed to contribute to the joint venture approximately \$25 million and two wireless licenses and related operating assets in Eugene and Salem, Oregon, which would increase our non-controlling equity interest in LCW Wireless to 73.3%. We received the final FCC consent required for these transactions on April 26, 2006. Completion of these transactions is subject to customary closing conditions, including third party consents. Although we expect to satisfy these other conditions, we cannot

Table of Contents

assure you that they will be satisfied. See Item 1. Business Arrangements with LCW Wireless in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 for further discussion of our arrangements with LCW Wireless.

On March 1, 2006, Cricket Licensee (Reaction), Inc. entered into an agreement with a debtor-in-possession for the purchase of 13 wireless licenses in North Carolina and South Carolina for an aggregate purchase price of \$31.8 million. Completion of this transaction is subject to customary closing conditions, including FCC approval and the receipt of an FCC order agreeing to extend certain build-out requirements with respect to certain of the licenses. Although we expect to receive such approvals and order and to satisfy the other conditions, we cannot assure you that such approvals and order will be granted or that the other conditions will be satisfied.

On May 9, 2006, we entered into a license swap agreement, whereby we will exchange our wireless license in Grand Rapids, Michigan for a wireless license in Rochester, New York. This new Rochester, New York market will form a new market cluster with our existing Buffalo-Niagara Falls and Syracuse markets in upstate New York. Completion of this transaction is subject to customary closing conditions, including FCC approval. Although we expect to receive such approval and satisfy the other conditions, we cannot assure you that such approval will be granted or that the other conditions will be satisfied.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We had no material off-balance sheet arrangements at March 31, 2006.

Our Plans for Auction #66

We are seeking opportunities to enhance our current market clusters and expand into new geographic markets by acquiring additional spectrum. As a result, we plan to participate (directly and/or by partnering with another entity) as a bidder in Auction #66. We expect to employ a focused and disciplined approach to our potential purchases of licenses in Auction #66.

We have recently announced a purchase of spectrum at prices substantially below the prices at which the spectrum had been sold previously. We have also chosen to forego purchasing spectrum in markets that, although they possessed many of the characteristics of our most successful markets, were priced too aggressively to fit well within our strategy. As we have in the past, we expect to be a disciplined bidder in Auction #66 and to limit the prices we are willing to pay for licenses to amounts at which we believe we can earn at least our targeted return on our investments in licenses and the associated build-out and initial operating costs.

We cannot assure you that our bidding strategy will be successful in Auction #66 or that spectrum in the auction that meets our internally developed criteria for strategic expansion will be available to us at acceptable prices. In anticipation of our participation in Auction #66, we currently intend to expand our access to sources of capital to finance purchases of licenses and/or the related build-out and initial operating costs for such licenses. Although we are seeking to have access to approximately \$1,050 million in additional capital for Auction #66 through a combination of additional secured debt, bridge loans and forward or current sales of our common stock, we cannot assure you that such funds will be available to us on acceptable terms, or at all. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Liquidity and Capital Resources.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

Interest Rate Risk: As of March 31, 2006, we had \$592.9 million in outstanding floating rate debt under our secured Credit Agreement. Changes in interest rates would not significantly affect the fair value of our outstanding indebtedness. The terms of our Credit Agreement require that we enter into interest rate hedging agreements in an

amount equal to at least 50% of our outstanding indebtedness. In accordance with this requirement, we entered into interest rate swap agreements with respect to \$250 million of our indebtedness in April 2005, and with respect to an additional \$105 million of our indebtedness in July 2005. The swap agreements effectively fix the interest rate on \$250 million of our indebtedness at 6.7% through June 2007, and on \$105 million of our indebtedness at 6.8% through June 2009.

Table of Contents

As of March 31, 2006, net of the effect of the interest rate swap agreements described above, our outstanding floating rate indebtedness totaled \$237.9 million. The primary base interest rate is the three month LIBOR. Assuming the outstanding balance on our floating rate indebtedness remains constant over a year, a 100 basis point increase in the interest rate would decrease pre-tax income and cash flow, net of the effect of the swap agreements, by approximately \$2.4 million.

Hedging Policy: Our policy is to maintain interest rate hedges when required by credit agreements. We do not currently engage in any hedging activities against foreign currency exchange rates or for speculative purposes.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the Company's Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified by the SEC and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including its chief executive officer (CEO) and chief financial officer (CFO), as appropriate, to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management is required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

Management, with participation by the Company's CEO and CFO, has designed the Company's disclosure controls and procedures to provide reasonable assurance of achieving the desired objectives. As required by SEC Rule 13a-15(b), in connection with filing this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, management conducted an evaluation, with the participation of the Company's CEO and CFO, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined under Rule 13a-15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as of March 31, 2006, the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon that evaluation, the Company's CEO and CFO concluded that two control deficiencies, each of which constituted a material weakness, as discussed below, existed in the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of March 31, 2006. As a result of these material weaknesses, the Company's CEO and CFO concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were not effective at the reasonable assurance level as of March 31, 2006.

In light of these material weaknesses, the Company performed additional analyses and procedures in order to conclude that its consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2005 as well as its condensed consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q were fairly stated in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for such financial statements. Accordingly, management believes that despite the Company's material weaknesses, the Company's consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2005 as well as its condensed consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q are fairly stated, in all material respects, in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

The material weaknesses and the steps the Company has taken to remediate the material weaknesses are described more fully as follows:

Insufficient Staffing in the Accounting, Financial Reporting and Tax Functions. The Company did not maintain a sufficient complement of personnel with the appropriate skills, training and Company-specific experience to identify and address the application of generally accepted accounting principles in complex or non-routine transactions. The Company has also experienced staff turnover, and as a result, has experienced a lack of knowledge transfer to new employees within its accounting, financial reporting and tax functions. In addition, the Company does not have a

full-time leader of its tax function. This control deficiency could result in a misstatement of accounts and disclosures that would result in a material misstatement to the Company's interim or annual consolidated financial statements that would not be prevented or detected. Accordingly, management has determined that this control deficiency constitutes a material weakness.

Table of Contents

The Company has taken the following actions to remediate the material weakness related to insufficient staffing in its accounting, financial reporting and tax functions:

The Company hired a new vice president, chief accounting officer in May 2005. This individual is a certified public accountant with over 19 years of experience as an accounting professional, including over 14 years of public accounting experience with Pricewaterhouse Coopers, LLP. He possesses a strong background in technical accounting and the application of generally accepted accounting principles.

The Company has hired a number of key accounting personnel since February 2005 that are appropriately qualified and experienced to identify and apply technical accounting literature, including several new directors and managers.

Based on the new leadership and management in the accounting department and on its identification of certain of the historical errors in the Company's accounting for income taxes and the timely completion of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, the Company believes that it has made substantial progress in addressing this material weakness as of March 31, 2006. However, the material weakness was not yet remediated as of such date. The Company expects that this material weakness will be fully remediated once it has filled the remaining key open management positions, including a full-time tax department leader, with qualified personnel and those personnel have had sufficient time in their positions.

This material weakness contributed to the following control deficiency, which is considered to be a material weakness.

Errors in the Accounting for Income Taxes. The Company did not maintain effective controls over its accounting for income taxes. Specifically, the Company did not have adequate controls designed and in place to ensure the completeness and accuracy of the deferred income tax provision and the related deferred tax assets and liabilities and the related goodwill in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. This control deficiency resulted in the restatement of the Company's consolidated financial statements for the five months ended December 31, 2004, the two months ended September 30, 2004 and the quarters ended March 31, 2005, June 30, 2005 and September 30, 2005. This control deficiency could result in a misstatement of accounts and disclosures that would result in a material misstatement to the Company's interim or annual consolidated financial statements that would not be prevented or detected. Accordingly, management has determined that this control deficiency constitutes a material weakness.

The Company has taken the following actions to remediate the material weakness related to its accounting for income taxes:

The Company has initiated a search for a qualified full-time tax department leader and continues to make this a priority. The Company has been actively recruiting for this position for several months, but has experienced difficulty in finding qualified applicants. Nevertheless, the Company is striving to fill the position as soon as possible.

As part of its 2005 annual income tax provision, the Company improved its internal control over income tax accounting to establish detailed procedures for the preparation and review of the income tax provision, including review by the Company's chief accounting officer.

The Company used experienced qualified consultants to assist management in interpreting and applying income tax accounting literature and preparing the Company's income tax provision for the quarter ended March 31, 2006 and the year ended December 31, 2005, and will continue to use such consultants in the future to obtain access to as much income tax accounting expertise as it needs. The Company recognizes, however, that a

full-time tax department leader with appropriate tax accounting expertise is important for the Company to maintain effective internal controls on an ongoing basis.

Table of Contents

As a result of the remediation initiatives described above, the Company identified certain of the errors that gave rise to the restatements of the consolidated financial statements for deferred income taxes.

The Company expects that the material weakness related to its accounting for income taxes will be remediated once it has hired a full-time leader of the tax department, that person has had sufficient time in his or her position, and the Company demonstrates continued accurate and timely preparation of its income tax provisions.

(b) Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the Company's fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2006 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

**PART II
OTHER INFORMATION**

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

We are involved in certain legal proceedings that are described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or the SEC, on March 27, 2006. There have been no material developments in the status of those legal proceedings during the three months ended March 31, 2006 except as noted in the following paragraph with respect to outstanding bankruptcy claims.

Although our plan of reorganization became effective and we emerged from bankruptcy in August 2004, a tax claim of approximately \$4.9 million Australian dollars (approximately \$3.8 million U.S. dollars as of May 5, 2006) asserted by the Australian government against Leap remains pending in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of California in Case Nos. 03-03470-All to 03-035335-All (jointly administered). We have objected to this claim and are seeking to resolve it through appropriate court proceedings. We do not believe that the resolution of this claim will have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial statements.

We are subject to other claims and legal actions that arise in the ordinary course of business. We do not believe that any of these other pending claims or legal actions will have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial statements.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Risks Related to Our Business and Industry

We Have Experienced Net Losses, and We May Not Be Profitable in the Future.

We experienced net losses of \$8.4 million and \$49.3 million (excluding reorganization items, net) for the five months ended December 31, 2004 and the seven months ended July 31, 2004, respectively. In addition, we experienced net losses of \$597.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2003, \$664.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2002 and \$483.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2001. Although we had net income of \$30.0 million and \$17.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2005 and the three months ended March 31, 2006, respectively, we may not generate profits in the future on a consistent basis, or at all. If we fail to achieve consistent profitability, that failure could have a negative effect on our financial condition.

We May Not Be Successful in Increasing Our Customer Base Which Would Negatively Affect Our Business Plans and Financial Outlook.

Our growth on a quarter-by-quarter basis has varied substantially in the past. We believe that this uneven growth generally reflects seasonal trends in customer activity, promotional activity, the competition in the wireless telecommunications market, our reduction in spending on capital investments and advertising while we were in bankruptcy, and varying national economic conditions. Our current business plans assume that we will increase our customer base over time, providing us with increased economies of scale. If we are unable to attract and retain a growing customer base, our current business plans and financial outlook may be harmed.

If We Experience High Rates of Customer Turnover, Our Ability to Become Profitable Will Decrease.

Because we do not require customers to sign fixed-term contracts or pass a credit check, our service is available to a broader customer base than many other wireless providers and, as a result, some of our customers may be more likely

to terminate service due to an inability to pay than the average industry customer, particularly during economic downturns or during periods of high gasoline prices. In addition, our rate of customer turnover may be affected by other factors, including the size of our calling areas, our handset or service offerings, customer care concerns, number portability and other competitive factors. Our strategies to address customer turnover may not be successful. A high rate of customer turnover would reduce revenues and increase the total marketing expenditures required to attract the minimum number of replacement customers required to sustain our business plan, which, in turn, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents

We Have Made Significant Investment, and Will Continue to Invest, in Joint Ventures, including ANB 1 and LCW Wireless, That We Do Not Control.

In November 2004, we acquired a 75% non-controlling interest in ANB 1, whose wholly owned subsidiary was awarded certain licenses in Auction #58. In November 2005, we entered into an agreement pursuant to which we will acquire a 73.3% non-controlling interest in LCW Wireless, which owns a wireless license for the Portland, Oregon market and to which we expect to contribute two wireless licenses and our operating assets in Eugene and Salem, Oregon. Both ANB 1 License and LCW Wireless hold these wireless licenses as very small business designated entities under the FCC's rules. Our participation in these joint ventures is structured as a non-controlling interest in order to comply with FCC rules and regulations. We have agreements with our joint venture partner in ANB 1 and we plan to have similar agreements in connection with future joint venture arrangements we may enter into that are intended to allow us to actively participate in the development of the business through the joint venture. However, these agreements do not provide us with control over the business strategy, financial goals, build-out plans or other operational aspects of any such joint venture. The FCC's rules restrict our ability to acquire controlling interests in such entities during the period that such entities must maintain their eligibility as a designated entity, as defined by the FCC. The entities that control the joint ventures may have interests and goals that are inconsistent or different from ours which could result in the joint venture taking actions that negatively impact our business or financial condition. In addition, if any of the other members of a joint venture files for bankruptcy or otherwise fails to perform its obligations or does not manage the joint venture effectively, we may lose our equity investment in, and any present or future rights to acquire the assets (including wireless licenses) of, such entity.

The FCC recently implemented rule changes aimed at addressing alleged abuses of its designated entity program, and has sought comment on further rule changes. In that proceeding, the FCC has re-affirmed its goals of ensuring that only legitimate small businesses reap the benefits of the program, and that such small businesses are not controlled or manipulated by larger wireless carriers or other investors that do not meet the small business size tests. While we do not believe that the FCC's recent rule changes materially affect our current joint venture with ANB 1 and proposed joint venture with LCW Wireless, the scope and applicability of these rule changes to such current designated entity structures remains in flux, and parties have already begun to seek reconsideration by the agency of its rule changes. In addition, we cannot predict how further rule changes or increased regulatory scrutiny by the FCC flowing from this proceeding will affect our current or future business ventures with designated entities or our participation with such entities in future FCC spectrum auctions.

We Face Increasing Competition Which Could Have a Material Adverse Effect on Demand for the Cricket Service.

In general, the telecommunications industry is very competitive. Some competitors have announced rate plans substantially similar to Cricket's service plans (and have also introduced products that consumers perceive to be similar to Cricket's service plans) in markets in which we offer wireless service. In addition, the competitive pressures of the wireless telecommunications market have caused other carriers to offer service plans with large bundles of minutes of use at low prices which are competing with the predictable and unlimited Cricket calling plans. Some competitors also offer prepaid wireless plans that are being advertised heavily to demographic segments that are strongly represented in Cricket's customer base. These competitive offerings could adversely affect our ability to maintain our pricing and increase or maintain our market penetration. Our competitors may attract more customers because of their stronger market presence and geographic reach. Potential customers may perceive the Cricket service to be less appealing than other wireless plans, which offer more features and options. In addition, existing carriers and potential non-traditional carriers are exploring or have announced the launch of service using new technologies and/or alternative delivery plans.

In addition, some of our competitors are able to offer their customers roaming services on a nationwide basis and at lower rates. We currently offer roaming services on a prepaid basis. Many competitors have substantially greater financial and other resources than we have, and we may not be able to compete successfully. Because of their size and bargaining power, our larger competitors may be able to purchase equipment, supplies and services at lower prices than we can. As consolidation in the industry creates even larger competitors, any purchasing advantages our competitors have may increase, as well as their bargaining power as wholesale providers of roaming services. For example, in connection with the offering of our Travel Time roaming service, we have encountered problems with

Table of Contents

certain large wireless carriers in negotiating terms for roaming arrangements that we believe are reasonable, and believe that consolidation has contributed significantly to such carriers' control over the terms and conditions of wholesale roaming services.

We also compete as a wireless alternative to landline service providers in the telecommunications industry. Wireline carriers are also offering unlimited national calling plans and bundled offerings that include wireless and data services. We may not be successful in the long term, or continue to be successful, in our efforts to persuade potential customers to adopt our wireless service in addition to, or in replacement of, their current landline service.

The FCC is pursuing policies designed to increase the number of wireless licenses available in each of our markets. For example, the FCC has adopted rules that allow the partitioning, disaggregation or leasing of PCS and other wireless licenses, and continues to allocate and auction additional spectrum that can be used for wireless services, which may increase the number of our competitors.

We Have Identified Material Weaknesses in Our Internal Control Over Financial Reporting, and Our Business and Stock Price May Be Adversely Affected If We Do Not Remediate All of These Material Weaknesses, or If We Have Other Material Weaknesses in Our Internal Control Over Financial Reporting.

In connection with their evaluations of our internal controls and procedures, our CEO and CFO have concluded that certain material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting existed as of September 30, 2004, December 31, 2004, March 31, 2005, June 30, 2005, September 30, 2005, December 31, 2005 and March 31, 2006 with respect to turnover and staffing levels in our accounting, financial reporting and tax departments and the preparation of our income tax provision, and as of December 31, 2004 and March 31, 2005 with respect to the application of lease-related accounting principles, fresh-start reporting oversight, and account reconciliation procedures. We believe we have adequately remediated the material weaknesses associated with lease accounting, fresh-start reporting oversight and account reconciliation procedures.

Although we are engaged in remediation efforts with respect to the material weaknesses related to staffing levels and income tax provision preparation, the existence of one or more material weaknesses could result in errors in our financial statements, and substantial costs and resources may be required to rectify any internal control deficiencies. If we cannot produce reliable financial reports, investors could lose confidence in our reported financial information, the market price of Leap's common stock could decline significantly, we may be unable to obtain additional financing to operate and expand our business, and our business and financial condition could be harmed. For a description of these material weaknesses and the steps we are undertaking to remediate them, see Item 4. Controls and Procedures contained in Part I of this report. We cannot assure you that we will be able to remediate these material weaknesses in a timely manner.

Our Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Was Not Effective as of December 31, 2005, and Our Business May Be Adversely Affected if We Are Not Able to Implement Effective Control Over Financial Reporting.

Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 requires companies to do a comprehensive evaluation of their internal control over financial reporting. To comply with this statute, we are required to document and test our internal control over financial reporting; our management is required to assess and issue a report concerning our internal control over financial reporting; and our independent registered public accounting firm is required to attest to and report on management's assessment. We were required to comply with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act in connection with the filing of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2005. We conducted a rigorous review of our internal control over financial reporting in order to become compliant with the requirements of Section 404. The standards that must be met for management to assess our internal control over financial reporting are new and require significant documentation and testing. Our assessment identified the need for remediation of some

aspects of our internal control over financial reporting. Our internal control over financial reporting has been subject to certain material weaknesses in the past and is currently subject to material weaknesses related to staffing levels and preparation of our income tax provision as described in Item 4. Controls and Procedures in Part I of this report. Our management concluded and our independent registered public accounting

Table of Contents

firm has attested and reported that our internal control over financial reporting was not effective as of December 31, 2005. If we are unable to implement effective control over financial reporting, investors could lose confidence in our reported financial information and the market price of Leap's common stock could decline significantly, we may be unable to obtain additional financing to operate and expand our business, and our business and financial condition could be harmed.

Our Primary Business Strategy May Not Succeed in the Long Term.

A major element of our business strategy is to offer consumers service plans that allow unlimited calls for a flat monthly rate without entering into a fixed-term contract or passing a credit check. However, unlike national wireless carriers, we do not seek to provide ubiquitous coverage across the U.S. or all major metropolitan centers, and instead have a smaller network footprint covering only the principal population centers of our various markets. This strategy may not prove to be successful in the long term. From time to time, we also evaluate our service offerings and the demands of our target customers and may modify, change or adjust our service offerings or offer new services. We cannot assure you that these service offerings will be successful or prove to be profitable.

We Expect to Incur Substantial Costs in Connection with the Build-Out of Our New Markets, and any Delays or Cost Increases in the Build-Out of Our New Markets Could Adversely Affect Our Business.

Our ability to achieve our strategic objectives will depend in part on the successful, timely and cost-effective build-out of the networks associated with newly acquired FCC licenses, including those owned by ANB 1 License and LCW Wireless and any licenses we may acquire in Auction #66 or from third parties, into new markets that complement our clustering strategy or provide strategic expansion opportunities. Large scale construction projects such as the build-out of our new markets will require significant capital expenditures and may suffer cost-overruns. In addition, we may experience higher operating expenses for a period of time as we build out and after we launch our service in new markets. Any significant capital expenditures or increased operating expenses, including in connection with the build-out and launch of markets for any licenses that we may acquire in Auction #66, would negatively impact our earnings, OIBDA and free cash flow for those periods in which we incur such capital expenditures or increased operating expenses. In addition, the build-out of the networks may be delayed or adversely affected by a variety of factors, uncertainties and contingencies, such as natural disasters, difficulties in obtaining zoning permits or other regulatory approvals, our relationships with our joint venture partners, and the timely performance by third parties of their contractual obligations to construct portions of the networks. Any failure to complete the build-out of our new markets on budget or on time could delay the implementation of our clustering and strategic expansion strategies, and could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

If We Are Unable to Manage Our Planned Growth, Our Operations Could Be Adversely Impacted.

We have experienced growth in a relatively short period of time and expect to continue to experience growth in the future in our existing and new markets. The management of such growth will require, among other things, continued development of our financial and management controls and management information systems, stringent control of costs, diligent management of our network infrastructure and its growth, increased spending associated with marketing activities and acquisition of new customers, the ability to attract and retain qualified management personnel and the training of new personnel. Failure to successfully manage our expected growth and development could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our Indebtedness Could Adversely Affect Our Financial Health.

We have now and will continue to have a significant amount of indebtedness. As of March 31, 2006, our total outstanding indebtedness under our secured credit facility was \$592.9 million. We also had \$110 million available for

borrowing under our revolving credit facility (which forms part of our secured credit facility). We plan to raise additional funds in the future, and we expect to obtain much of such capital through debt financing. The existing indebtedness under our secured credit facility bears interest at a variable rate, but we have entered into interest rate swap agreements with respect to \$355 million of our indebtedness.

Table of Contents

Our substantial indebtedness could have important consequences. For example, it could:

make it more difficult for us to satisfy our debt obligations;

increase our vulnerability to general adverse economic and industry conditions;

impair our ability to obtain additional financing in the future for working capital needs, capital expenditures, building out our network, acquisitions and general corporate purposes;

require us to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flows from operations to the payment of principal and interest on our indebtedness, thereby reducing the availability of our cash flows to fund working capital needs, capital expenditures, acquisitions and other general corporate purposes;

limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industry in which we operate;

place us at a disadvantage compared to our competitors that have less indebtedness; and

expose us to higher interest expense in the event of increases in interest rates because our indebtedness under our secured credit facility bears interest at a variable rate. For a description of our secured credit facility, see Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources—Secured Credit Facility.

Despite Current Indebtedness Levels, We May Incur Substantially More Indebtedness. This Could Further Increase the Risks Associated with Our Leverage.

We may incur substantial additional indebtedness in the future. To increase our flexibility to engage in strategic market expansion, including through participation in the upcoming Auction #66, we currently intend to raise additional funds by increasing the size of the term loan by up to \$300 million and by increasing the revolving credit facility under our secured credit facility by up to \$90 million.

We also are in discussions to obtain a bridge loan which would allow us to borrow additional capital, as needed, to finance the purchase of licenses in Auction #66 and/or the related build-out and initial operating costs of such licenses. We currently expect to obtain commitments for approximately \$600 million under the bridge loan (or, if our proposed forward equity sale is not completed prior to the commencement of Auction #66, approximately \$850 million under the bridge loan). However, depending on the prices of licenses in the auction, especially if license prices are attractive, we may seek additional capital to purchase licenses by expanding the bridge loan or through other borrowings. Although we anticipate that our new senior secured credit facility will permit us to incur up to \$1.2 billion of unsecured debt which could be used for the bridge loan, we currently expect to obtain commitments in the range of amounts noted above. Following the completion of Auction #66, when the capital requirements associated with our auction activity will be clearer, we expect to repay the bridge loan with proceeds from one or more offerings of unsecured debt securities, convertible debt securities and/or equity securities, although we cannot assure you that the financing will be available to us on acceptable terms or at all.

We do not intend to bid on licenses in Auction #66 unless we have access to funds to pay the full purchase price for such licenses. Depending on which licenses, if any, we ultimately acquire in Auction #66, we may require significant additional capital in the future to finance the build-out and initial operating costs associated with such licenses. However, we generally will not commence the build-out of any individual license until we have sufficient funds available to us to pay for all of the related build-out and initial operating costs associated with such license.

If new indebtedness is added to our current levels of indebtedness, the related risks that we now face could intensify. See Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Liquidity and Capital Resources. Furthermore, any licenses that we acquire in Auction #66 and the subsequent build-out of the networks covered by those licenses may significantly reduce our free cash flow, increasing the risk that we may not be able to service our indebtedness.

Table of Contents

To Service Our Indebtedness and Fund Our Working Capital and Capital Expenditures, We Will Require a Significant Amount of Cash. Our Ability to Generate Cash Depends on Many Factors Beyond Our Control.

Our ability to make payments on our indebtedness will depend upon our future operating performance and on our ability to generate cash flow in the future, which is subject to general economic, financial, competitive, legislative, regulatory and other factors that are beyond our control. We cannot assure you that our business will generate sufficient cash flow from operations, or that future borrowings, including borrowings under our revolving credit facility, will be available to us in an amount sufficient to enable us to pay our indebtedness or to fund our other liquidity needs. If the cash flow from our operating activities is insufficient, we may take actions, such as delaying or reducing capital expenditures (including expenditures to build out our newly acquired wireless licenses), attempting to restructure or refinance our indebtedness prior to maturity, selling assets or operations or seeking additional equity capital. Any or all of these actions may be insufficient to allow us to service our debt obligations. Further, we may be unable to take any of these actions on commercially reasonable terms, or at all.

Covenants in Our Secured Credit Agreement and Other Credit Agreements or Indentures that we may Enter Into in the Future May Limit Our Ability to Operate Our Business.

Under our senior secured credit agreement, referred to in this prospectus as the Credit Agreement, we are subject to certain limitations, including limitations on our ability to: incur additional debt or sell assets, with restrictions on the use of proceeds; make certain investments and acquisitions; grant liens; and pay dividends and make certain other restricted payments. In addition, we will be required to pay down the facilities under certain circumstances if we issue debt or equity, sell assets or property, receive certain extraordinary receipts or generate excess cash flow (as defined in the Credit Agreement). We are also subject to financial covenants which include a minimum interest coverage ratio, a maximum total leverage ratio, a maximum senior secured leverage ratio and a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio. The restrictions in our Credit Agreement could limit our ability to obtain debt financing, repurchase stock, refinance or pay principal or interest on our outstanding indebtedness, complete acquisitions for cash or debt or react to changes in our operating environment. Any credit agreement or indenture that we may enter into in the future may have similar restrictions.

If we default under the Credit Agreement because of a covenant breach or otherwise, all outstanding amounts could become immediately due and payable. Our failure to timely file our Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal year ended December 31, 2004 and our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2005 constituted defaults under our Credit Agreement, and the restatement of certain of the historical consolidated financial information contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005 may have constituted a default under our Credit Agreement. Although we were able to obtain limited waivers under our Credit Agreement with respect to these events, we cannot assure you that we will be able to obtain a waiver in the future should a default occur.

Rises in Interest Rates Could Adversely Affect our Financial Condition.

An increase in prevailing interest rates would have an immediate effect on the interest rates charged on our variable rate debt, which rise and fall upon changes in prevailing interest rates. As of March 31, 2006, we estimate that approximately 40% of our debt was variable rate debt. If prevailing interest rates or other factors result in higher interest rates on our variable rate debt, the increased interest expense would adversely affect our cash flow and our ability to service our debt.

The Wireless Industry is Experiencing Rapid Technological Change, and We May Lose Customers if We Fail to Keep Up with These Changes.

The wireless communications industry is experiencing significant technological change, as evidenced by the ongoing improvements in the capacity and quality of digital technology, the development and commercial acceptance of wireless data services, shorter development cycles for new products and enhancements and changes in end-user requirements and preferences. In the future, competitors may seek to provide competing wireless telecommunications service through the use of developing technologies such as Wi-Fi, Wi-Max, and Voice over

Table of Contents

Internet Protocol, or VoIP. The cost of implementing or competing against future technological innovations may be prohibitive to us, and we may lose customers if we fail to keep up with these changes.

For example, we have committed a substantial amount of capital to upgrade our network with 1xEV-DO technology to offer advanced data services. However, if such upgrades, technologies or services do not become commercially acceptable, our revenues and competitive position could be materially and adversely affected. We cannot assure you that there will be widespread demand for advanced data services or that this demand will develop at a level that will allow us to earn a reasonable return on our investment.

The Loss of Key Personnel and Difficulty Attracting and Retaining Qualified Personnel Could Harm Our Business.

We believe our success depends heavily on the contributions of our employees and on attracting, motivating and retaining our officers and other management and technical personnel. We do not, however, generally provide employment contracts to our employees. If we are unable to attract and retain the qualified employees that we need, our business may be harmed.

We have experienced higher than normal employee turnover in the past, in part because of our bankruptcy, including turnover of individuals at the most senior management levels. We may have difficulty attracting and retaining key personnel in future periods, particularly if we were to experience poor operating or financial performance. The loss of key individuals in the future may have a material adverse impact on our ability to effectively manage and operate our business.

Risks Associated with Wireless Handsets Could Pose Product Liability, Health and Safety Risks That Could Adversely Affect Our Business.

We do not manufacture handsets or other equipment sold by us and generally rely on our suppliers to provide us with safe equipment. Our suppliers are required by applicable law to manufacture their handsets to meet certain governmentally imposed safety criteria. However, even if the handsets we sell meet the regulatory safety criteria, we could be held liable with the equipment manufacturers and suppliers for any harm caused by products we sell if such products are later found to have design or manufacturing defects. We generally have indemnification agreements with the manufacturers who supply us with handsets to protect us from direct losses associated with product liability, but we cannot guarantee that we will be fully protected against all losses associated with a product that is found to be defective.

Media reports have suggested that the use of wireless handsets may be linked to various health concerns, including cancer, and may interfere with various electronic medical devices, including hearing aids and pacemakers. Certain class action lawsuits have been filed in the industry claiming damages for alleged health problems arising from the use of wireless handsets. In addition, interest groups have requested that the FCC investigate claims that wireless technologies pose health concerns and cause interference with airbags, hearing aids and other medical devices. The media has also reported incidents of handset battery malfunction, including reports of batteries that have overheated. Malfunctions have caused at least one major handset manufacturer to recall certain batteries used in its handsets, including batteries in a handset sold by Cricket and other wireless providers.

Concerns over radio frequency emissions and defective products may discourage the use of wireless handsets, which could decrease demand for our services. In addition, if one or more Cricket customers were harmed by a defective product provided to us by the manufacturer and subsequently sold in connection with our services, our ability to add and maintain customers for Cricket service could be materially adversely affected by negative public reactions.

There also are some safety risks associated with the use of wireless handsets while driving. Concerns over these safety risks and the effect of any legislation that has been and may be adopted in response to these risks could limit our ability to sell our wireless service.

Table of Contents

We Rely Heavily on Third Parties to Provide Specialized Services; a Failure by Such Parties to Provide the Agreed Services Could Materially Adversely Affect Our Business, Results of Operations and Financial Condition.

We depend heavily on suppliers and contractors with specialized expertise in order for us to efficiently operate our business. In the past, our suppliers, contractors and third-party retailers have not always performed at the levels we expect or at the levels required by their contracts. If key suppliers, contractors or third-party retailers fail to comply with their contracts, fail to meet our performance expectations or refuse or are unable to supply us in the future, our business could be severely disrupted. Generally, there are multiple sources for the types of products we purchase. However, some suppliers, including software suppliers, are the exclusive sources of their specific products. In addition, we currently purchase a substantial majority of the handsets we sell from one supplier. Because of the costs and time lags that can be associated with transitioning from one supplier to another, our business could be substantially disrupted if we were required to replace the products or services of one or more major suppliers with products or services from another source, especially if the replacement became necessary on short notice. Any such disruption could have a material adverse affect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

System Failures Could Result in Higher Churn, Reduced Revenue and Increased Costs, and Could Harm Our Reputation.

Our technical infrastructure (including our network infrastructure and ancillary functions supporting our networks such as billing and customer care) is vulnerable to damage or interruption from technology failures, power loss, floods, windstorms, fires, human error, terrorism, intentional wrongdoing, or similar events. Unanticipated problems at our facilities, system failures, hardware or software failures, computer viruses or hacker attacks could affect the quality of our services and cause service interruptions. In addition, we are in the process of upgrading some of our systems, including our billing system, and we cannot assure you that we will not experience delays or interruptions while we transition our data and existing systems onto our new systems. If any of the above events were to occur, we could experience higher churn, reduced revenues and increased costs, any of which could harm our reputation and have a material adverse effect on our business.

We May Not be Successful in Protecting and Enforcing Our Intellectual Property Rights.

We rely on a combination of patent, service mark, trademark, and trade secret laws and contractual restrictions to establish and protect our proprietary rights, all of which only offer limited protection. We endeavor to enter into agreements with our employees and contractors and agreements with parties with whom we do business in order to limit access to and disclosure of our proprietary information. Despite our efforts, the steps we have taken to protect our intellectual property may not prevent the misappropriation of our proprietary rights. Moreover, others may independently develop processes and technologies that are competitive to ours. The enforcement of our intellectual property rights may depend on any legal actions that we may undertake against such infringers being successful, but we cannot be sure that any such actions will be successful, even when our rights have been infringed.

We cannot assure you that our pending, or any future, patent applications will be granted, that any existing or future patents will not be challenged, invalidated or circumvented, that any existing or future patents will be enforceable, or that the rights granted under any patent that may issue will provide competitive advantages to us. Similarly, we cannot assure you that any trademark or service mark registrations will be issued with respect to pending or future applications or that any registered trademarks or service marks will be enforceable or provide adequate protection of our brands.

We May Be Subject to Claims of Infringement Regarding Telecommunications Technologies That Are Protected by Patents and Other Intellectual Property Rights.

Telecommunications technologies are protected by a wide array of patents and other intellectual property rights. As a result, third parties may assert infringement claims against us from time to time based on our general business operations or the specific operation of our wireless network. We generally have indemnification agreements with the manufacturers and suppliers who provide us with the equipment and technology that we

Table of Contents

use in our business to protect us against possible infringement claims, but we cannot guarantee that we will be fully protected against all losses associated with infringement claims. Whether or not an infringement claim was valid or successful, it could adversely affect our business by diverting management attention, involving us in costly and time-consuming litigation, requiring us to enter into royalty or licensing agreements (which may not be available on acceptable terms, or at all), or requiring us to redesign our business operations or systems to avoid claims of infringement.

A third party with a large patent portfolio has contacted us and suggested that we need to obtain a license under a number of its patents in connection with our current business operations. We understand that the third party has raised similar issues with other telecommunications companies, and has obtained license agreements from one or more of such companies. If we cannot reach a mutually agreeable resolution with the third party, we may be forced to enter into a licensing or royalty agreement with the third party. We do not currently expect that such an agreement would materially adversely affect our business, but we cannot provide assurance to our investors about the effect of any such license.

Regulation by Government Agencies May Increase Our Costs of Providing Service or Require Us to Change Our Services.

The FCC regulates the licensing, construction, modification, operation, ownership, sale and interconnection of wireless communications systems, as do some state and local regulatory agencies. We cannot assure you that the FCC or any state or local agencies having jurisdiction over our business will not adopt regulations or take other enforcement or other actions that would adversely affect our business, impose new costs or require changes in current or planned operations. In particular, state regulatory agencies are increasingly focused on the quality of service and support that wireless carriers provide to their customers and several agencies have proposed or enacted new and potentially burdensome regulations in this area.

In addition, we cannot assure you that the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, or the Communications Act, from which the FCC obtains its authority, will not be further amended in a manner that could be adverse to us. The FCC recently implemented rule changes and sought comment on further rule changes focused on addressing alleged abuses of its designated entity program, which gives certain categories of small businesses preferential treatment in FCC spectrum auctions based on size. In that proceeding, the FCC has re-affirmed its goals of ensuring that only legitimate small businesses benefit from the program, and that such small businesses are not controlled or manipulated by larger wireless carriers or other investors that do not meet the small business size tests. We cannot predict the degree to which rule changes or increased regulatory scrutiny that may follow from this proceeding will affect our current or future business ventures or our participation in future FCC spectrum auctions.

Our operations are subject to various other regulations, including those regulations promulgated by the Federal Trade Commission, the Federal Aviation Administration, the Environmental Protection Agency, the Occupational Safety and Health Administration and state and local regulatory agencies and legislative bodies. Adverse decisions or regulations of these regulatory bodies could negatively impact our operations and costs of doing business. Because of our smaller size, governmental regulations and orders can significantly increase our costs and affect our competitive position compared to other larger telecommunications providers. We are unable to predict the scope, pace or financial impact of regulations and other policy changes that could be adopted by the various governmental entities that oversee portions of our business.

If Call Volume under Our Cricket Flat Price Plans Exceeds Our Expectations, Our Costs of Providing Service Could Increase, Which Could Have a Material Adverse Effect on Our Competitive Position.

During the year ended December 31, 2005, Cricket customers used their handsets approximately 1,450 minutes per month, and some markets were experiencing substantially higher call volumes. We offer service plans that bundle certain features, long distance and unlimited local service for a fixed monthly fee to more effectively compete with other telecommunications providers. If customers exceed expected usage, we could face capacity problems and our costs of providing the services could increase. Although we own less spectrum in many of our markets than our competitors, we seek to design our network to accommodate our expected high call volume, and we consistently assess and try to implement technological improvements to increase the efficiency of our wireless

Table of Contents

spectrum. However, if future wireless use by Cricket customers exceeds the capacity of our network, service quality may suffer. We may be forced to raise the price of Cricket service to reduce volume or otherwise limit the number of new customers, or incur substantial capital expenditures to improve network capacity.

We May Be Unable to Acquire Additional Spectrum in the Future at a Reasonable Cost or on a Timely Basis.

Because we offer unlimited calling services for a fixed fee, our customers' average minutes of use per month is substantially above the U.S. wireless customer average. We intend to meet this demand by utilizing spectrum efficient technologies. There may come a point where we need to acquire additional spectrum in order to maintain an acceptable grade of service or provide new services to meet increasing customer demands. We also intend to acquire additional spectrum in order to enter new strategic markets. However, we cannot assure you that we will be able to acquire additional spectrum at auction, including at Auction #66, or in the after-market at a reasonable cost, or that additional spectrum would be made available by the FCC on a timely basis. If such additional spectrum is not available to us at that time or at a reasonable cost, our results of operations could be adversely affected. In addition, although we are seeking to have access to approximately \$1,050 million in additional capital for Auction #66 through a combination of additional secured debt, bridge loans and this offering, we cannot assure you that such funds will be available to us on acceptable terms, or at all.

Our Wireless Licenses are Subject to Renewal and Potential Revocation in the Event that We Violate Applicable Laws.

Our wireless licenses are subject to renewal upon the expiration of the 10-year period for which they are granted, commencing for some of our wireless licenses in 2007. The FCC will award a renewal expectancy to a wireless licensee that has provided substantial service during its past license term and has substantially complied with applicable FCC rules and policies and the Communications Act. The FCC has routinely renewed wireless licenses in the past. However, the Communications Act provides that licenses may be revoked for cause and license renewal applications denied if the FCC determines that a renewal would not serve the public interest. FCC rules provide that applications competing with a license renewal application may be considered in comparative hearings, and establish the qualifications for competing applications and the standards to be applied in hearings. We cannot assure you that the FCC will renew our wireless licenses upon their expiration.

Future Declines in the Fair Value of Our Wireless Licenses Could Result in Future Impairment Charges.

During the three months ended June 30, 2003, we recorded an impairment charge of \$171.1 million to reduce the carrying value of our wireless licenses to their estimated fair value. However, as a result of our adoption of fresh-start reporting under American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' Statement of Position 90-7, Financial Reporting by Entities in Reorganization under the Bankruptcy Code, or SOP 90-7, we increased the carrying value of our wireless licenses to \$652.6 million at July 31, 2004, the fair value estimated by management based in part on information provided by an independent valuation consultant. During the year ended December 31, 2005, we recorded impairment charges of \$12.0 million.

The market values of wireless licenses have varied dramatically over the last several years, and may vary significantly in the future. In particular, valuation swings could occur if:

- consolidation in the wireless industry allows or requires carriers to sell significant portions of their wireless spectrum holdings;

- a sudden large sale of spectrum by one or more wireless providers occurs; or

market prices decline as a result of the sales prices in upcoming FCC auctions, including Auction #66.

In addition, the price of wireless licenses could decline as a result of the FCC's pursuit of policies designed to increase the number of wireless licenses available in each of our markets. For example, the FCC has announced that it intends to auction an additional 90 MHz of spectrum in the 1700 MHz to 2100 MHz band in Auction #66 and additional spectrum in the 700 MHz and 2.5 GHz bands in subsequent auctions. If the market value of wireless

Table of Contents

licenses were to decline significantly, the value of our wireless licenses could be subject to non-cash impairment charges. A significant impairment loss could have a material adverse effect on our operating income and on the carrying value of our wireless licenses on our balance sheet.

Declines in Our Operating Performance Could Ultimately Result in an Impairment of Our Indefinite-Lived Assets, Including Goodwill, or Our Long-Lived Assets, Including Property and Equipment.

We assess potential impairments to our long-lived assets, including property and equipment and certain intangible assets, when there is evidence that events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. We assess potential impairments to indefinite-lived intangible assets, including goodwill and wireless licenses, annually and when there is evidence that events or changes in circumstances indicate that an impairment condition may exist. If we do not achieve our planned operating results, this may ultimately result in a non-cash impairment charge related to our long-lived and/or our indefinite-lived intangible assets. A significant impairment loss could have a material adverse effect on our operating results and on the carrying value of our goodwill or wireless licenses and/or our long-lived assets on our balance sheet.

We May Incur Higher Than Anticipated Inter-carrier Compensation Costs.

When our customers use our service to call customers of other carriers, we are required under the current inter-carrier compensation scheme to pay the carrier that serves the called party. Similarly, when a customer of another carrier calls one of our customers, that carrier is required to pay us. While in most cases we have been successful in negotiating agreements with other carriers that impose reasonable reciprocal compensation arrangements, some carriers have claimed a right to unilaterally impose what we believe to be unreasonably high charges on us. The FCC is actively considering possible regulatory approaches to address this situation but we cannot assure you that the FCC rulings will be beneficial to us. An adverse ruling or FCC inaction could result in carriers successfully collecting higher inter-carrier fees from us, which could adversely affect our business.

The FCC also is considering making various significant changes to the inter-carrier compensation scheme to which we are subject. We cannot predict with any certainty the likely outcome of this FCC proceeding. Some of the alternatives that are under active consideration by the FCC could severely increase the interconnection costs we pay. If we are unable to cost-effectively provide our products and services to customers, our competitive position and business prospects could be materially adversely affected.

Because Our Consolidated Financial Statements Reflect Fresh-Start Reporting Adjustments Made upon Our Emergence from Bankruptcy, Financial Information in Our Current and Future Financial Statements Will Not Be Comparable to Our Financial Information for Periods Prior to Our Emergence from Bankruptcy.

As a result of adopting fresh-start reporting on July 31, 2004, the carrying values of our wireless licenses and our property and equipment, and the related depreciation and amortization expense, among other things, changed considerably from that reflected in our historical consolidated financial statements. Thus, our current and future balance sheets and results of operations will not be comparable in many respects to our balance sheets and consolidated statements of operations data for periods prior to our adoption of fresh-start reporting. You are not able to compare information reflecting our post-emergence balance sheet data, results of operations and changes in financial condition to information for periods prior to our emergence from bankruptcy without making adjustments for fresh-start reporting.

If We Experience High Rates of Credit Card Subscription or Dealer Fraud, Our Ability to Become Profitable Will Decrease.

Our operating costs can increase substantially as a result of customer credit card and subscription fraud and dealer fraud. We have implemented a number of strategies and processes to detect and prevent efforts to defraud us, and we believe that our efforts have substantially reduced the types of fraud we have identified. However, if our strategies are not successful in detecting and controlling fraud in the future, it could have a material adverse impact on our financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents

Risks Related to Ownership of Our Common Stock

Our Stock Price May Be Volatile, and You May Lose All or Some of Your Investment.

The trading prices of the securities of telecommunications companies have been highly volatile. Accordingly, the trading price of Leap common stock is likely to be subject to wide fluctuations. Factors affecting the trading price of Leap common stock may include, among other things:

variations in our operating results;

announcements of technological innovations, new services or service enhancements, strategic alliances or significant agreements by us or by our competitors;

recruitment or departure of key personnel;

changes in the estimates of our operating results or changes in recommendations by any securities analysts that elect to follow Leap common stock; and

market conditions in our industry and the economy as a whole.

The 16,860,077 Shares of Leap Common Stock Registered for Resale By Our Shelf Registration Statement on Form S-1 May Adversely Affect The Market Price of Leap's Common Stock.

As of May 8, 2006, 61,224,279 shares of Leap common stock were issued and outstanding. Our resale shelf Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended, registers for resale 16,860,077 shares, or approximately 27.5%, of Leap's outstanding common stock. We are unable to predict the potential effect that sales into the market of any material portion of such shares may have on the then prevailing market price of Leap's common stock. If any of Leap's stockholders cause a large number of securities to be sold in the public market, these sales could reduce the trading price of Leap's common stock. These sales also could impede our ability to raise future capital.

Your Ownership Interest in Leap Will Be Diluted Upon Issuance of Shares We Have Reserved for Future Issuances, and Future Issuances or Sales of Such Shares May Adversely Affect The Market Price of Leap's Common Stock.

As of May 8, 2006, 61,224,279 shares of Leap common stock were issued and outstanding, and 5,006,591 additional shares of Leap common stock were reserved for issuance, including 3,614,621 shares reserved for issuance upon exercise of awards granted or available for grant under Leap's 2004 Stock Option, Restricted Stock and Deferred Stock Unit Plan, 791,970 shares reserved for issuance under Leap's Employee Stock Purchase Plan, and 600,000 shares reserved for issuance upon exercise of outstanding warrants.

In addition, upon the closing of the LCW Wireless transaction, Leap will be obligated to reserve up to five percent of its outstanding shares, or 3,061,214 shares as of May 8, 2006, for potential issuance to CSM upon the exercise of CSM's option to put its entire equity interest in LCW Wireless to Cricket. Under the amended and restated limited liability company agreement with CSM and WLPCS Management, LLC, or WLPCS, which is referred to in this report as the LCW LLC Agreement, the purchase price for CSM's equity interest will be calculated on a *pro rata* basis using either the appraised value of LCW Wireless or a multiple of Leap's enterprise value divided by its adjusted EBITDA and applied to LCW Wireless' adjusted EBITDA to impute an enterprise value and equity value for LCW Wireless. Cricket may satisfy the put price either in cash or in Leap common stock, or a combination thereof, as determined by Cricket in its discretion. However, the covenants in Cricket's \$710 million senior secured credit facility

do not permit Cricket to satisfy any substantial portion of its put obligations to CSM in cash. If Cricket satisfies its put obligations to CSM with Leap common stock, the obligations of the parties are conditioned upon the block of Leap common stock issuable to CSM not constituting more than five percent of Leap's outstanding common stock at the time of issuance. Dilution of the outstanding number of shares of Leap's common stock could adversely affect prevailing market prices for Leap's common stock.

We have agreed to prepare and file a resale shelf registration statement for any shares of Leap common stock issued to CSM in connection with the put, and to use our reasonable efforts to cause such registration statement to be declared effective by the SEC. In addition, we have registered all shares of common stock that we may issue under

Table of Contents

our stock option, restricted stock and deferred stock unit plan and under our employee stock purchase plan. When we issue shares under these stock plans, they can be freely sold in the public market. If any of Leap's stockholders cause a large number of securities to be sold in the public market, these sales could reduce the trading price of Leap's common stock. These sales also could impede our ability to raise future capital. See Item 1. Business Arrangements with LCW Wireless in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 for further discussion of our arrangements with LCW Wireless.

Our Directors and Affiliated Entities Have Substantial Influence over Our Affairs.

Our directors and entities affiliated with them beneficially owned in the aggregate approximately 27.2% of Leap common stock as of May 8, 2006. These stockholders have the ability to exert substantial influence over all matters requiring approval by our stockholders. These stockholders will be able to influence the election and removal of directors and any merger, consolidation or sale of all or substantially all of Leap's assets and other matters. This concentration of ownership could have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a change in control or impeding a merger or consolidation, takeover or other business combination.

Provisions in Our Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws or Delaware Law Might Discourage, Delay or Prevent a Change in Control of Our Company or Changes in Our Management and, Therefore, Depress The Trading Price of Our Common Stock.

Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and bylaws contain provisions that could depress the trading price of Leap common stock by acting to discourage, delay or prevent a change in control of our company or changes in our management that our stockholders may deem advantageous. These provisions:

require super-majority voting to amend some provisions in our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and bylaws;

authorize the issuance of blank check preferred stock that our board of directors could issue to increase the number of outstanding shares to discourage a takeover attempt;

prohibit stockholder action by written consent, and require that all stockholder actions be taken at a meeting of our stockholders;

provide that the board of directors is expressly authorized to make, alter or repeal our bylaws; and

establish advance notice requirements for nominations for elections to our board or for proposing matters that can be acted upon by stockholders at stockholder meetings.

Additionally, we are subject to Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, which generally prohibits a Delaware corporation from engaging in any of a broad range of business combinations with any interested stockholder for a period of three years following the date on which the stockholder became an interested stockholder and which may discourage, delay or prevent a change in control of our company.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

None.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.

None.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

None.

Item 5. Other Information.

None.

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits.

Index to Exhibits:

| Exhibit Number | Description of Exhibit |
|---------------------------|--|
| 10.3.9(1)+ | Amendment No. 9 to Amended and Restated System Equipment Purchase Agreement, effective as of January 11, 2006, between Cricket Communications, Inc. and Lucent Technologies, Inc. |
| 10.8.4(1) | Amendment No. 4 dated January 9, 2006, to the Credit Agreement, dated as of December 22, 2004, among Cricket Communications, Inc., Alaska Native Broadband 1 License LLC, and Alaska Native Broadband 1, LLC. |
| 10.8.5(2) | Amendment No. 5, dated April 24, 2006, to the Credit Agreement, dated as of December 22, 2004, among Cricket Communications, Inc., Alaska Native Broadband 1 License, LLC, and Alaska Native Broadband 1, LLC. |
| 10.10.2(1)# | Second Amendment to Amended and Restated Executive Employment Agreement among Leap Wireless International, Inc., Cricket Communications, Inc. and S. Douglas Hutcheson, effective as of February 17, 2006. |
| 10.11.7(1) | Letter Waiver, dated as of March 6, 2006, among Leap Wireless International, Inc., Cricket Communications, Inc., Bank of America, N.A., and a syndicate of lenders. |
| 31.1* | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31.2* | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32*** | Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |

* Filed herewith.

*** These certifications are being furnished solely to accompany this quarterly report pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, and are not being filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and are not to be incorporated by reference into any filing of Leap Wireless International, Inc., whether made before or after the date hereof, regardless of any general incorporation language in such filing.

+ Portions of this exhibit (indicated by asterisk) have been omitted pursuant to a request for confidential treatment pursuant to Rule 24b-2 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement in which one or more executive officers or directors participate.

(1) Filed as an exhibit to Leap's Annual Report on Form 10-K, for the year ended 2005, filed with the SEC on March 27, 2006, and incorporated herein by reference.

(2) Filed as an exhibit to Leap's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated April 24, 2006, as filed with the SEC on April 27, 2006, and incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

LEAP WIRELESS INTERNATIONAL, INC.

Date: May 10, 2006

By: /s/ S. Douglas Hutcheson
S. Douglas Hutcheson
Chief Executive Officer and President
(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: May 10, 2006

By: /s/ Dean M. Luvisa
Dean M. Luvisa
Vice President, Finance and
Acting Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)