GREAT SOUTHERN BANCORP INC Form 10-K March 10, 2014

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013

Commission File Number 0-18082

GREAT SOUTHERN BANCORP, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland 43-1524856 (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or (IRS Employer Identification Number)

organization)

1451 E. Battlefield, Springfield, Missouri (Address of Principal Executive Offices)

65804 (Zip Code)

(417) 887-4400 Registrant's telephone number, including area code

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class

Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered

Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share

The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None.

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer,

as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is not required to file reports

pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange

Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period

that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted

electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the

Yes [X] No []

Yes [X] No []

Yes [] No [X]

Yes [] No [X]

preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).
Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item
405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained,
to the best of the Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or
information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form
10-K. []
Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer,
an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting
company. See definitions of "accelerated filer," "large accelerated filer"
and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.
(Check one):
Large accelerated filer [] Accelerated filer [X] Non-accelerated filer [](Do not check if a smaller reporting
•
company)
Smaller reporting company []
Indicated by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as
defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes [] No [X]
The aggregate market value of the common stock of the Registrant held by non-affiliates of the Registrant on June 30,
2013, computed by reference to the closing price of such shares on that date, was \$277,917,052. At March 7, 2014,
13,688,883 shares of the Registrant's common stock were outstanding.
13,000,003 shares of the Registrant's common stock were outstanding.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
ITEM 1.	BUSINESS	1
ITEM	RISK FACTORS	50
1A.		
ITEM	UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS	61
1B.		
	PROPERTIES.	61
ITEM 3.	LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.	61
	MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES.	61
ITEM	EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT.	61
4A.		
ITEM 5.	MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED	63
	STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF	
	EQUITY	
	SECURITIES	
	SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA	64
ITEM 7.	MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF	67
	FINANCIAL	
	CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATION	
	QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT	98
	MARKET RISK	
ITEM 8.	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY	102
	INFORMATION	
ITEM 9.	CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH	194
	ACCOUNTANTS ON	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE	101
ITEM	CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.	194
9A.	OTHER INCOME A TROM	105
ITEM	OTHER INFORMATION.	195
9B.	DIRECTORS EVECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORROLATE	106
ITEM	DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE	196
10.	GOVERNANCE.	100
ITEM	EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION.	196
11.	CECUDITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN DEVICE OF A L	100
ITEM 12.	SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL	196
12.	OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS	
ITEM	CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED	106
ITEM 13.	TRANSACTIONS, AND	196
13.	DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE.	
ITEM	PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES.	197
11 EM 14.	FRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES.	197
ITEM	EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES.	198
11 EW	LAHIDITO AND PHANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES.	170
SIGNAT	TIRES	
	TO EXHIBITS	

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS.

THE COMPANY

Great Southern Bancorp, Inc.

Great Southern Bancorp, Inc. ("Bancorp" or "Company") is a bank holding company and a financial holding company and the parent of Great Southern Bank ("Great Southern" or the "Bank"). Bancorp was incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware in July 1989 as a unitary savings and loan holding company. After receiving the approval of the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis (the "Federal Reserve Board" or "FRB"), the Company became a one-bank holding company on June 30, 1998, upon the conversion of Great Southern to a Missouri-chartered trust company. In 2004, Bancorp was re-incorporated under the laws of the State of Maryland.

As a Maryland corporation, the Company is authorized to engage in any activity that is permitted by the Maryland General Corporation Law and is not prohibited by law or regulatory policy. The Company currently conducts its business as a financial holding company. Through the financial holding company structure, it is possible to expand the size and scope of the financial services offered by the Company beyond those offered by the Bank. The financial holding company structure provides the Company with greater flexibility than the Bank has to diversify its business activities, through existing or newly formed subsidiaries, or through acquisitions of or mergers with other financial institutions as well as other companies. At December 31, 2013, Bancorp's consolidated assets were \$3.56 billion, consolidated net loans were \$2.44 billion, consolidated deposits were \$2.81 billion and consolidated total stockholders' equity was \$381 million. For details about the Company's assets, revenues and profits for each of the last five fiscal years, see Item 6. "Selected Consolidated Financial Data." The assets of the Company consist primarily of the stock of Great Southern, available-for-sale securities, minority interests in a local trust company and a merchant banking company and cash.

Through the Bank and subsidiaries of the Bank, the Company has historically offered insurance, travel, investment and related services, which are discussed further below. The travel and investment services divisions were sold on November 30, 2012. The activities of the Company are funded by retained earnings and through dividends from Great Southern. Activities of the Company may also be funded through borrowings from third parties, sales of additional securities or through income generated by other activities of the Company.

The executive offices of the Company are located at 1451 East Battlefield, Springfield, Missouri 65804, and its telephone number at that address is (417) 887-4400.

Great Southern Bank

Great Southern was formed as a Missouri-chartered mutual savings and loan association in 1923, and, in 1989, converted to a Missouri-chartered stock savings and loan association. In 1994, Great Southern changed to a federal savings bank charter and then, on June 30, 1998, changed to a Missouri-chartered trust company (the equivalent of a commercial bank charter). Headquartered in Springfield, Missouri, Great Southern offers a broad range of banking services through its 96 banking centers located in southern and central Missouri; the Kansas City, Missouri area; the St. Louis, Missouri area; eastern Kansas; northwestern Arkansas; eastern Nebraska, the Minneapolis, Minnesota area and western and central Iowa. The size and complexity of the Bank's operations increased substantially in 2009 with the completion of two Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC")-assisted transactions, and again in 2011 and 2012 with the completion of another FDIC-assisted transaction in each year. In 2009, the Bank entered into two separate purchase and assumption agreements including loss sharing with the FDIC to assume all of the deposits

(excluding brokered deposits) and certain liabilities and acquire certain assets of TeamBank, N.A. and Vantus Bank. In these two transactions we acquired assets with a fair value of approximately \$628.2 million (approximately 17.3% of the Company's total assets at acquisition) and \$294.2 million (approximately 8.8% of the Company's total assets at acquisition), respectively, and assumed liabilities with a fair value of \$610.2 million (approximately 16.8% of the Company's total assets at acquisition) and \$440.0 million (approximately 13.2% of the Company's total assets at acquisition), respectively. They also resulted in gains of \$43.9 million and \$45.9 million, respectively, which were included in Noninterest Income in the Company's Consolidated Statement of Income for the year ended December 31, 2009. Prior to these acquisitions, the Company operated banking centers in Missouri with loan production offices in Arkansas and Kansas. These acquisitions added 31 new banking centers and expanded our footprint to cover five states – Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, Arkansas and Nebraska. In 2011, the Bank entered into a purchase and assumption agreement including loss sharing with the FDIC to assume all of the deposits and certain liabilities and acquire certain assets of Sun Security Bank, which added locations in southern Missouri and St. Louis. In this transaction we acquired assets with a fair value of approximately \$248.9 million (approximately 8.1% of the Company's total assets at acquisition) and assumed liabilities with a fair value of \$345.8 million (approximately 10.1% of the Company's total assets at acquisition). It also resulted in a gain of \$16.5 million which was included in Noninterest Income in the Company's Consolidated Statement of Income for the year ended December 31, 2011. In 2012, the Bank entered into a purchase and assumption agreement including loss sharing with the FDIC to assume all of the deposits and certain liabilities of Inter Savings Bank, FSB ("InterBank"), which added four locations in the greater Minneapolis, Minnesota area. In this transaction we acquired assets

with a fair value of approximately \$364.2 million (approximately 9.4% of the Company's total assets at acquisition) and assumed liabilities with a fair value of approximately \$458.7 million (approximately 11.9% of the Company's total assets at acquisition). It also resulted in a gain of \$31.3 million which was included in Noninterest Income in the Company's Consolidated Statement of Income for the year ended December 31, 2012. The acquisition added four new banking centers in the Minneapolis metropolitan area.

The loss sharing agreements related to the FDIC-assisted transactions added to the complexity of our operations by creating the need for new employees and processes to ensure compliance with the loss sharing agreements and the collection of problem assets acquired. See Note 4 included in Item 8. "Financial Statements and Supplementary Information" for a more detailed discussion of these FDIC-assisted transactions and the loss sharing agreements. At December 31, 2013, the Bank had total assets of \$3.56 billion, net loans of \$2.44 billion, deposits of \$2.81 billion and stockholders' equity of \$380.7 million, or 10.4% of total assets. Its deposits are insured by the Deposit Insurance Fund ("DIF") to the maximum levels permitted by the FDIC.

Great Southern is principally engaged in the business of originating residential and commercial real estate loans, construction loans, other commercial loans and consumer loans and funding these loans by attracting deposits from the general public, originating brokered deposits and borrowings from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Des Moines (the "FHLBank") and others.

For many years, Great Southern has followed a strategy of emphasizing loan origination through residential, commercial and consumer lending activities in its market areas. The goal of this strategy is to be one of the leading providers of financial services in its market areas, while simultaneously diversifying assets and reducing interest rate risk by originating and holding adjustable-rate loans and fixed-rate loans, primarily with terms of five years or less, in its portfolio and by selling longer-term fixed-rate single-family mortgage loans in the secondary market. The Bank continues to place primary emphasis on residential mortgage and other real estate lending while also expanding and increasing its originations of commercial business and consumer loans.

The corporate office of the Bank is located at 1451 East Battlefield, Springfield, Missouri 65804 and its telephone number at that address is (417) 887-4400.

Forward-Looking Statements

When used in this Annual Report and in other documents filed or furnished by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"), in the Company's press releases or other public or shareholder communications, and in oral statements made with the approval of an authorized executive officer, the words or phrases "will likely result," "are expected to," "will continue," "is anticipated," "estimate," "project," "intends" or similar expressions are intended to identify "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Such statements are subject to certain risks and uncertainties, including, among other things, (i) non-interest expense reductions from the Great Southern banking center consolidation might be less than anticipated and the costs of the consolidation and impairment of the value of the affected premises might be greater than expected; (ii) expected cost savings, synergies and other benefits from the Company's merger and acquisition activities, might not be realized within the anticipated time frames or at all, and costs or difficulties relating to integration matters, including but not limited to customer and employee retention, might be greater than expected; (iii) changes in economic conditions, either nationally or in the Company's market areas; (iv) fluctuations in interest rates; (v) the risks of lending and investing activities, including changes in the level and direction of loan delinquencies and write-offs and changes in estimates of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses; (vi) the possibility of other-than-temporary impairments of securities held in the Company's securities portfolio; (vii) the Company's ability to access cost-effective funding; (viii)

fluctuations in real estate values and both residential and commercial real estate market conditions; (ix) demand for loans and deposits in the Company's market areas; (x) legislative or regulatory changes that adversely affect the Company's business, including, without limitation, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act and its implementing regulations, and the overdraft protection regulations and customers' responses thereto; (xi) monetary and fiscal policies of the Federal Reserve Board and the U.S. Government and other governmental initiatives affecting the financial services industry; (xii) results of examinations of the Company and Great Southern by their regulators, including the possibility that the regulators may, among other things, require the Company to increase its allowance for loan losses or to write-down assets; (xiii) the uncertainties arising from the Company's participation in the Small Business Lending Fund program, including uncertainties concerning the potential future redemption by us of the U.S. Treasury's preferred stock investment under the program, including the timing of, regulatory approvals for, and conditions placed upon, any such redemption; (xiv) costs and effects of litigation, including settlements and judgments; and (xv) competition. The Company wishes to advise readers that the factors listed above and other risks described from time to time in the Company's other filings with the SEC could affect the Company's financial performance and could cause the Company's actual results for future periods to differ materially from any opinions or statements expressed with respect to future periods in any current statements.

The Company does not undertake-and specifically declines any obligation- to publicly release the result of any revisions which may be made to any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of such statements or to reflect the occurrence of anticipated or unanticipated events.

Internet Website

Bancorp maintains a website at www.greatsouthernbank.com. The information contained on that website is not included as part of, or incorporated by reference into, this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Bancorp currently makes available on or through its website Bancorp's Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and Current Reports on Form 8-K or amendments to these reports. These materials are also available free of charge (other than a user's regular internet access charges) on the Securities and Exchange Commission's website at www.sec.gov.

Market Areas

During 2013, the Company reduced its banking center network from 107 to 96 banking centers. A total of 12 offices were consolidated into other Great Southern banking centers, including 11 facilities in southeast and central Missouri in October and one drive-thru facility in Sioux City, Iowa, in December. A full-service banking center in Omaha, Neb., was opened in October, adding to the network total. The Company also relocated three existing banking centers to nearby sites - one each in Springfield, Mo., Ava, Mo., and Maple Grove, Minn. At the end of 2013, the Company operated 96 full-service banking centers serving more than 137,000 households in six states – Missouri, Arkansas, Iowa, Kansas, Minnesota and Nebraska.

Great Southern's largest concentration of loans and deposits are in the Springfield, Mo., and St. Louis, Mo., market areas. In the last several years, the Company's loan and deposit portfolios have become more diversified because of its participation in four FDIC-assisted acquisitions and some organic growth. The FDIC-assisted acquisitions significantly expanded the Company's geographic footprint, which prior to 2009 was primarily in southwest and central Missouri, by adding operations in Iowa, Kansas, Minnesota and Nebraska. Besides the Springfield and St. Louis market areas, the Company has loan and deposit concentrations in the following market areas: Kansas City; Branson, Mo.; Sioux City, Iowa; Des Moines, Iowa; Northwest Arkansas; Omaha, Neb.; and Minneapolis, Minn. Loans and deposits are also generated in banking centers in rural markets in Missouri, Iowa, Kansas and Nebraska.

As of December 31, 2013, the Company's total loan portfolio balance, excluding loans covered by FDIC loss sharing agreements, was \$2.1 billion. Geographically, the loan portfolio consists of loans collateralized by property (real estate and other assets) located in the following regions (including loan balance and percentage of total loans): St. Louis (\$428 million, 20%); Springfield (\$418 million, 20%); Kansas City (\$150 million, 7%); Branson (\$118 million, 6%); Northwest Arkansas (\$75 million, 3%); other Missouri regions (\$224 million, 11%), and other states and regions (\$692 million, 33%). The Company's net book balance of its portfolio of loans covered by FDIC loss sharing agreements was \$386 million as of December 31, 2013. The FDIC loss sharing agreements, which were a part of two FDIC-assisted transactions completed in 2009, one FDIC-assisted transaction completed in 2011, and one FDIC-assisted transaction completed in 2012, provide the Company at least 80% protection against losses on the loans in this portfolio. The FDIC loss sharing agreements are subject to limitations on the types of losses covered and the length of time losses are covered and are conditioned upon the Bank complying with its requirements in the agreements with the FDIC. These limitations are described in detail in Note 4 of the accompanying audited financial statements, see Item 8 "Financial Statements and Supplementary Information". Geographically, the total loan portfolio covered by FDIC loss sharing agreements consists of loans collateralized by property (real estate and other assets) located in the following regions (including gross loan balance and percentage of total loans): Minneapolis (\$269 million, 56%); St. Louis (\$38 million, 8%); Kansas City (\$27 million, 6%); Sioux City, Iowa (\$22 million, 5%); Des Moines, Iowa (\$14 million, 3%); other Missouri regions (\$43 million, 9%); and other regions (\$63 million, 13%).

Lending Activities

General

From its beginnings in 1923 through the early 1980s, Great Southern primarily made long-term, fixed-rate residential real estate loans that it retained in its loan portfolio. Beginning in the early 1980s, Great Southern increased its efforts to originate short-term and adjustable-rate loans. Beginning in the mid-1980s, Great Southern increased its efforts to originate commercial real estate and other residential loans, primarily with adjustable rates or shorter-term fixed rates. In addition, some competitor banking organizations merged with larger institutions and changed their business practices or moved operations away from the Springfield, Mo. area, and others consolidated operations from the Springfield, Mo. area to larger cities. This provided Great Southern expanded opportunities in residential and commercial real estate lending as well as in the origination of commercial business and consumer loans, primarily in indirect automobile lending.

In addition to origination of these loans, the Bank has expanded and enlarged its relationships with smaller banks to purchase participations (at par, generally with no servicing costs) in loans the smaller banks originate but are unable to retain in their portfolios due to capital limitations. The Bank uses the same underwriting guidelines in evaluating these participations as it does in its direct loan originations. At December 31, 2013, the balance of participation loans purchased and held in the portfolio, excluding those covered by loss sharing agreements, was \$51.2 million, or 2.23% of the total loan portfolio. All of these participation loans were performing at December 31, 2013.

One of the principal historical lending activities of Great Southern is the origination of fixed and adjustable-rate conventional residential real estate loans to enable borrowers to purchase or refinance owner-occupied homes. Great Southern originates a variety of conventional, residential real estate mortgage loans, principally in compliance with Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae standards for resale in the secondary market. Great Southern promptly sells most of the fixed-rate residential mortgage loans that it originates. To date, Great Southern has not experienced difficulties selling these loans in the secondary market and has had minimal requests for repurchase. Depending on market conditions, the ongoing servicing of these loans is at times retained by Great Southern, but generally servicing is released to the purchaser of the loan. Great Southern retains substantially all of the adjustable-rate mortgage loans that it originates in its portfolio.

Another principal lending activity of Great Southern is the origination of commercial real estate, multi-family and commercial construction loans. Since the early 1990s, commercial real estate, multi-family and commercial construction loans have represented the largest percentage of the loan portfolio. Since the economic recession beginning in 2008, the commercial real estate and multi-family portfolios have exhibited slow growth while commercial construction lending has declined significantly. At December 31, 2013, commercial real estate, multi-family and commercial construction loans accounted for approximately 52%, 29% and 11%, respectively, of the total portfolio, excluding those loans covered by loss sharing agreements. Of the portfolio of loans covered by loss sharing agreements, commercial real estate loans (net of fair value discounts) accounted for approximately 5% of the total portfolio at December 31, 2012.

In addition, Great Southern in recent years has increased its emphasis on the origination of other commercial loans, home equity loans and consumer loans, and is also an issuer of letters of credit. Letters of credit are contingent obligations and are not included in the Bank's loan portfolio. See "-- Other Commercial Lending," "- Classified Assets," and "Loan Delinquencies and Defaults" below.

The percentage of collateral value Great Southern will loan on real estate and other property varies based on factors including, but not limited to, the type of property and its location and the borrower's credit history. As a general rule, Great Southern will loan up to 95% of the appraised value on one-to four-family residential properties. Typically, private mortgage insurance is required for loan amounts above the 80% level. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, loans secured by second liens on residential properties were \$166.8 million, or 6.5%, and \$195.9 million, or 7.7%, respectively, of our total loan portfolio. A large portion of this decrease is attributable to payments received on the loans acquired in the InterBank transaction. For commercial real estate and other residential real property loans, Great Southern may loan up to 85% of the appraised value. The origination of loans secured by other property is considered and determined on an individual basis by management with the assistance of any industry guides and other information which may be available. Collateral values are reappraised or reassessed as loans are renewed or when significant events indicating potential impairment occur. On a quarterly basis, management reviews impaired loans to determine whether updated appraisals or reassessments are necessary based on loan performance, collateral type and guarantor support. While it is not specifically required by our policy, we seek to obtain cross-collateralization of loans to a borrower when it is available and it is most frequently done on commercial loans.

Loan applications are approved at various levels of authority, depending on the type, amount and loan-to-value ratio of the loan. Loan commitments of more than \$750,000 (or loans exceeding the Freddie Mac loan limit in the case of fixed-rate, one- to four-family residential loans for resale) must be approved by Great Southern's loan committee. The loan committee is comprised of the Chief Executive Officer of the Bank, the Chief Lending Officer of the Bank (chairman of the committee), and other senior officers of the Bank involved in lending activities. All loans, regardless of size or type, are required to conform to certain minimum underwriting standards to assure portfolio quality. These standards and procedures include, but are not limited to, an analysis of the borrower's financial condition, collateral,

repayment ability, verification of liquid assets and credit history as required by loan type. It has been, and continues to be, our practice to verify information from potential borrowers regarding assets, income or payment ability and credit ratings as applicable and as required by the authority approving the loan. Underwriting standards also include loan-to-value ratios which vary depending on collateral type, debt service coverage ratios or debt payment to income ratios, where applicable, credit histories, use of guaranties and other recommended terms relating to equity requirements, amortization, and maturity. Generally, deviations from approved underwriting standards can only be waived when doing so is not in violation of regulations or statutes and when appropriate lending authority is obtained. The loan committee reviews all new loan originations in excess of lender approval authorities. For loans originated and held, most lenders have approval authorities of \$250,000 or below while nine senior lenders have approval authority of varying amounts up to \$1 million. Lender approval authorities are also subject to loans-to-one borrower limits of \$500,000 or below for most lenders and of varying amounts up to \$3 million for nine senior lenders. These standards, as well as our collateral requirements, have not significantly changed in recent years. However, the Bank has changed the composition of its loan portfolio in response to economic conditions to reduce risk using strategies such as limiting lending on construction and land development loan types.

In general, state banking laws restrict loans to a single borrower and related entities to no more than 25% of a bank's unimpaired capital and unimpaired surplus, plus an additional 10% if the loan is collateralized by certain readily marketable collateral. (Real estate is not included in the definition of "readily marketable collateral"). As computed on the basis of the Bank's unimpaired capital and

surplus at December 31, 2013, this limit was approximately \$101.5 million. See "Government Supervision and Regulation." At December 31, 2013, the Bank was in compliance with the loans-to-one borrower limit. At December 31, 2013, the Bank's largest relationship for purposes of this limit totaled \$30.0 million. All loans included in this relationship were current at December 31, 2013. Our policy does not set a loans-to-one borrower limit that is below the legal limits described; however, we do recognize the need to limit credit risk to any one borrower or group of related borrowers upon consideration of various risk factors. Extensions of credit to borrowers whose past due loans were charged-off or whose loans are classified as substandard require appropriate lending approval for total credit relationships of \$250,000 or less or Loan Committee or Special Assets Committee approval on total credit relationships over \$250,000.

Great Southern is permitted under applicable regulations to originate or purchase loans and loan participations secured by real estate located in any part of the United States. In addition to the market areas where the Company has a presence, the Bank has made or purchased loans, secured primarily by commercial real estate, in other states, primarily Oklahoma, Illinois, Texas and Michigan. At December 31, 2013, loans in these states comprised 2% each, respectively, of the total loan portfolio.

Loan Portfolio Composition

The following tables set forth information concerning the composition of the Bank's loan portfolio in dollar amounts and in percentages (before deductions for loans in process, deferred fees and discounts and allowance for loan losses) as of the dates indicated. The tables are based on information prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and are qualified by reference to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements and the notes thereto contained in Item 8 of this report.

The loans acquired in the four FDIC-assisted transactions are covered by loss sharing agreements between the FDIC and the Bank which afford the Bank at least 80% protection from potential principal losses. Because of these loss sharing agreements, the composition of the loans acquired from the former TeamBank, Vantus Bank, Sun Security Bank and InterBank is shown below in tables separate from the legacy Great Southern portfolio. These loans were initially recorded at their fair value at the acquisition date and are recorded by the Company at their discounted value. The following tables reflect the loan balances excluding discounts.

Legacy Great Southern Loan Portfolio Composition:

Less:

	2013		2012		December 2011	2010	2010 2009			
	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount (Dollars In Th	% ousands)	Amount	%	Amount	%
Real Estate					(Donars III III	ousunus)				
Loans:										
One- to four-family(1)	\$242,281	10.5 %	\$256,146	127 0	% \$266,694	140 %	\$257,261	15 1 %	\$248,892	14.
Other	\$242,201	10.5 %	\$230,140	12.7	0 \$200,094	14.0 %	\$237,201	13.1 %	\$240,092	14.
residential	325,599	14.2	267,518	13.2	243,743	12.8	207,059	12.2	185,757	10.
Commercial(2) Residential construction:	822,920	35.8	736,139	36.4	699,607	36.7	599,025	35.2	633,373	35.
One- to four-	45.200	0.1	50.040	2.5	7 0.000		106 100	6.0	1.47.067	0.0
family Other	47,308	2.1	52,249	2.5	78,900	4.1	106,128	6.2	147,367	8.3
residential	32,988	1.4	27,556	1.4	27,826	1.5	10,000	0.6	22,012	1.3
Commercial	236,635	10.3	198,145	9.8	166,749	8.8	163,214	9.6	187,663	10.
Total real estate	1 707 721	742	1 507 750	76.0	1 402 510	77.0	1 2 42 607	70.0	1 405 064	0.0
loans	1,707,731	74.3	1,537,753	76.0	1,483,519	77.9	1,342,687	78.9	1,425,064	80.
Other Loans: Consumer loans: Guaranteed										
student loans Automobile,									10,808	0.6
boat, etc. Home equity	215,778	9.4	164,748	8.1	135,480	7.1	124,441	7.3	126,227	7.2
improvement	58,297	2.5	54,317	2.7	47,395	2.5	47,534	2.8	47,954	2.7
Other	1,184	0.1	1,585	0.1	1,147	0.1	1,184	0.1	1,330	0.1
Total consumer										
loans	275,259	12.0	220,650	10.9	184,022	9.7	173,159	10.2	186,319	10.
Other commercial										
loans	315,269	13.7	264,631	13.1	236,384	12.4	185,880	10.9	151,278	8.6
Total other loans	590,528	25.7	485,281	24.0	420,406	22.1	359,039	21.1	337,597	19.
Total loans	2,298,259	100.0%	2,023,034	100.0%	6 1,903,925	100.0%	1,701,726	100.0%	1,762,661	100

Edgar Filing: GREAT SOUTHERN BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Loans in					
process	194,544	157,574	103,424	63,108	54,729
Deferred fees					
and discounts	2,994	2,192	2,726	2,541	2,161
Allowance for					
loan losses	40,116	40,649	41,232	41,487	40,101
Total legacy					
loans					
receivable,					
net	\$2,060,605	\$1,822,619	\$1,756,543	\$1,594,590	\$1,665,670

⁽¹⁾ Includes loans held for sale.

⁽²⁾ Total commercial real estate loans included industrial revenue bonds of \$42.2 million, \$43.8 million, \$59.8 million, \$64.6 million and \$61.0 million at December 31, 2013, 2012, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Former TeamBank, N.A. Loan Portfolio Composition:

	December 31,										
	201	3	2012 2011 2010					200	2009		
	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	
					In Thousands))					
Real Estate Loans: Residential One- to four-			· ·								
family Other	\$15,050	28.1 %	\$19,610	22.6	% \$21,944	17.0 %	% \$25,646	17.8	% \$35,146	17.6 %	
residential	1,163	2.2	4,520	5.2	5,968	4.6	6,412	4.4	7,992	4.0	
Commercial(1)	24,682	46.1	41,471	47.8	73,209	56.8	75,515	52.2	93,942	47.0	
Construction	6,996	13.0	12,670	14.7	14,544	11.3	19,708	13.6	32,043	16.1	
Total real estate loans	47,891	89.4	78,271	90.3	115,665	89.7	127,281	88.0	169,123	84.7	
Other Loans: Consumer loans: Home equity and											
improvement	4,190	7.8	4,989	5.8	4,964	3.9	5,608	3.9	6,511	3.2	
Other	73	0.2	159	0.1	420	0.3	850	0.6	2,521	1.3	
Total consumer loans	4,263	8.0	5,148	5.9	5,384	4.2	6,458	4.5	9,032	4.5	
Other commercial loans	1,404	2.6	3,243	3.8	7,826	6.1	10,894	7.5	21,619	10.8	
Total other loans	5,667	10.6	8,391	9.7	13,210	10.3	17,352	12.0	30,651	15.3	
Total loans	53,558	100.0%	\$86,662	100.0	% \$128,875	100.09	% \$144,633	100.0	\$199,774	100.0%	

⁽¹⁾ Total commercial real estate loans included industrial revenue bonds of \$2.1 million, \$2.3 million, \$2.5 million, \$3.0 million and \$2.5 million at December 31, 2013, 2012, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Former Vantus Bank Loan Portfolio Composition:

	201	2	2012	,	Decemb 201	-	2010	0 2009			
	Amount	3 %	Amount	<u>~</u>	Amount	ı %	Amount	, %	Amount	%	
	Amount	70			In Thousands)	70	Amount	70	Amount	70	
Real Estate			(L	onais	III Tilousalius)						
Loans: Residential One- to four-											
family Other	\$18,999	31.7	% \$26,160	24.7	% \$33,030	26.9	% \$45,932	28.7	% \$64,430	28.5 %	
residential	6,423	10.7	15,434	14.6	17,012	13.8	16,866	10.5	19,241	8.5	
Commercial(1)	15,421	25.7	35,431	33.5	39,413	32.0	53,189	33.2	71,963	31.9	
Construction	319	0.5	1,552	1.5	3,471	2.8	7,298	4.6	10,550	4.7	
Total real estate loans	41,162	68.6	78,577	74.3	92,926	75.5	123,285	77.0	166,184	73.6	
Other Loans: Consumer loans: Student loans Home equity and	510	0.9	512	0.5	505	0.4	1,276	0.8	1,063	0.5	
improvement	5,845	9.7	7,270	6.9	5,668	4.6	5,933	3.7	9,353	4.1	
Other	10,182	17.0	14,434	13.6	18,951	15.4	25,348	15.8	35,030	15.5	
Total consumer loans	16,537	27.6	22,216	21.0	25,124	20.4	32,557	20.3	45,446	20.1	
Other commercial loans	2,315	3.8	4,967	4.7	4,986	4.1	4,321	2.7	14,320	6.3	
Total other loans	18,852	31.4	27,183	25.7	30,110	24.5	36,878	23.0	59,766	26.4	
Total loans	60,014	100.0	% \$105,760	100.0	\$123,036	100.0	% \$160,163	100.0	\$225,950	100.0%	

⁽¹⁾ Total commercial real estate loans included industrial revenue bonds of \$1.8 million, \$2.0 million, \$3.0 million, \$5.7 million and \$9.0 million at December 31, 2013, 2012, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Former Sun Security Bank Loan Portfolio Composition:

	December	r 31, 2013	December	r 31, 2012	December 31, 2011		
	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	
	(Dollars In Th	nousands)					
Real Estate Loans:							
Residential							
One- to four- family	\$41,529	52.8	% \$55,422	43.5	% \$52,491	36.3	%
Other residential	5,488	7.0	6,615	5.2	2,897	2.0	
Commercial(1)	27,426	34.9	45,267	35.5	45,183	31.3	
Construction	1,273	1.5	4,471	3.5	24,645	17.0	
Total real estate loans	75,716	96.2	111,775	87.7	125,216	86.6	
Other Loans:							
Consumer loans:							
Student loans							
Home equity and							
improvement	425	0.5	1,291	1.0			
Other	433	0.6	904	0.7	3,438	2.4	
Total consumer							
loans	858	1.1	2,195	1.7	3,438	2.4	
Other commercial							
loans	2,124	2.7	13,448	10.6	15,972	11.0	
	,		-, -		- 7		
Total other loans	2,982	3.8	15,643	12.3	19,410	13.4	
Total loans	\$78,698	100.0	% \$127,418	100.0	% \$144,626	100.0	%

⁽¹⁾ Total commercial real estate loans included industrial revenue bonds of \$292,000, \$373,000 and \$574,000, at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Former InterBank Loan Portfolio Composition:

	Decemb	er 31, 2013	Decemb	per 31, 2012	
	Amount	%	Amount	%	
	(Dollars In Thou	ısands)			
Real Estate Loans:					
Residential					
One- to four- family	\$179,574	63.0	% \$215,768	60.5	%
Other residential	29,517	10.5	45,879	12.9	
Commercial(1)	27,530	9.8	33,202	9.3	
Construction	612		134		
Total real estate loans	237,233	83.3	294,983	82.7	

Edgar Filing: GREAT SOUTHERN BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Other Loans:

Consumer loans:					
Student loans					
Home equity and improvement	47,675	16.7	61,752	17.3	
Other	4	0.0	41	0.0	
Total consumer loans	47,679	16.7	61,793	17.3	
Total Consumor Totals	.,,,,,	10.7	01,770	17.5	
Other commercial loans	65		70		
Total other loans	47,744	16.7	61,863	17.3	
Total loans	\$284,977	100.0	% \$356,846	100.0	%

⁽¹⁾ Total commercial real estate loans included industrial revenue bonds of \$0, at December 31, 2013 and 2012.

Through December 31, 2013, gross loan balances (due from the borrower) related to TeamBank were reduced approximately \$382.6 million since the transaction date because of \$248.6 million of principal repayments, \$61.5 million of transfers to foreclosed assets and \$72.5 million of charge-downs to customer loan balances. Gross loan balances (due from the borrower) related to Vantus Bank were reduced approximately \$271.5 million since the transaction date because of \$226.6 million of principal repayments, \$16.3 million of transfers to foreclosed assets and \$28.6 million of charge-downs to customer loan balances. Gross loan balances (due from the borrower) related to Sun Security Bank were reduced approximately \$155.9 million since the transaction date because of \$98.7 million of principal repayments, \$26.1 million of transfers to foreclosed assets and \$31.1 million of charge-offs to customer loan balances. Gross loan balances (due from the borrower) related to InterBank were reduced approximately \$108.3 million since the transaction date because of \$79.9 million of principal repayments, \$9.2 million of transfers to foreclosed assets and \$19.2 million of charge-offs to customer loan balances. Based upon the collectability analyses performed during the acquisitions, we expected certain levels of foreclosures and charge-offs and actual results through December 31, 2013, related to TeamBank, Vantus Bank, Sun Security Bank and InterBank portfolios, have been better than our expectations. As a result, cash flows expected to be received from the acquired loan pools have increased, resulting in adjustments that were made to the related accretable yield which are discussed in Note 4 of the accompanying audited financial statements, included in Item 8 of this Report.

The following tables show the fixed- and adjustable-rate composition of the Bank's loan portfolio at the dates indicated. Amounts shown for TeamBank, Vantus Bank, Sun Security Bank and InterBank represent unpaid principal balances, before fair value discounts. The tables are based on information prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

Legacy Great Southern Loan Portfolio Composition by Fixed- and Adjustable-Rates:

					December		2010 20			
	2013)	2012	,	2011	=	2010	2010		
	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	9
1 D					(Dollars In The	ousands))			-
Fixed-Rate										ļ
Loans:										ļ
Real Estate										•
Loans One- to four-										ļ
family	\$94,566	4.1	% \$103,442	5.1	% \$127,736	6.7 %	% \$109,703	6.5	% \$92,164	5.2
Other	Ψ / Τ, 200	~⊤.1	/// Ψ105, 112	J.1	/// ψ12/,/30	0.7 ,.	υ φιον, του	0.5	/0 Ψ <i>72</i> ,101	٥.٠
residential	209,008	9.1	146,661	7.2	129,505	6.8	118,727	7.0	79,152	4.5
Commercial	397,618	17.2	330,196	16.3	·	16.9	255,678	15.0	211,862	12
Residential	- ,		•		•		•		•	ļ
construction:										ļ
One- to four-										ļ
family	17,270	0.8	18,024	0.9	28,177	1.4	27,168	1.6	26,547	1.5
Other										ļ
residential	2,162	0.1	7,716	0.4	1,078	0.1	2,450	0.1	2,693	0.2
Commercial	. = < 1.45			- 2						ا ا
construction	156,142	6.8	126,756	6.3	88,671	4.7	76,383	4.5	29,941	1.7
Total real										
estate loans	876,766	38.1	732,795	36.2	696,393	36.6	590,109	34.7	442,359	25
Consumer	215,628	9.4	166,520	8.2	137,045	7.2	126,636	7.4	139,812	7.9
Other										
commercial	189,899	8.3	131,523	6.5	100,107	5.2	74,206	4.4	43,271	2.5
Total fixed				_						_
rate loans	1,282,293	55.8	1,030,838	50.9	933,545	49.0	790,951	46.5	625,442	35
Adjustable-Rate	2									
Loans:										
Real Estate										
Loans										ļ
One- to four-										ļ
family	147,715	6.4	152,704	7.5	138,958	7.3	147,558	8.7	156,728	8.9
Other	*** *04	~ .		- 0					: 2 5 6 2 7	- 1
residential	116,591	5.1	120,857	6.0	114,238	6.0	88,332	5.2	106,605	6.1
Commercial	425,302	18.5	405,943	20.1	378,381	19.9	343,347	20.2	421,511	23
Residential construction:										
Construction.	30,038	1.3	34,225	1.7	50,723	2.6	78,960	4.6	121,312	6.9
	30,036	1.5	34,223	1.7	30,723	2.0	70,500	4.0	141,314	0.7

Edgar Filing: GREAT SOUTHERN BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

One- to four- family Other										
residential Commercial	30,826	1.3	19,840	1.0	26,748	1.4	7,550	0.4	19,319	1.1
construction	80,493	3.5	71,389	3.5	78,078	4.1	86,831	5.1	157,229	8.9
Total real										
estate loans	830,965	36.1	804,958	39.8	787,126	41.3	752,578	44.2	982,704	55
Consumer Other	59,631	2.6	54,130	2.7	46,977	2.5	46,523	2.7	46,508	2.6
commercial Total	125,370	5.5	133,108	6.6	136,277	7.2	111,674	6.6	108,007	6.1
adjustable										
-rate loans	1,015,966	44.2	992,196	49.1	970,380	51.0	910,775	53.5	1,137,219	64
Total Loans	2,298,259	100.0%	2,023,034	100.0%	1,903,925	100.0%	1,701,726	100.0%	1,762,661	10
Less:										
Loans in	194,544		157,574		103,424		63,108		54,729	
process Deferred fees	194,344		137,374		103,424		03,108		34,729	
and discounts	2,994		2,192		2,726		2,541		2,161	
Allowance for										
loan losses	40,116		40,649		41,232		41,487		40,101	
	40,110		TU,UT)		,				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Total legacy	40,110		40,047		. 1,202				·	
loans	40,110		10,017		. 1,202				ŕ	
	\$2,060,605		\$1,822,619		\$1,756,543		\$1,594,590		\$1,665,670	

Former TeamBank, N.A. Loan Portfolio Composition by Fixed- and Adjustable-Rates:

	2013 2012			2.	Decem 201		2010	10 2009			
	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount (Dollars In	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	
Fixed-Rate					(Donais in	Tilousaiius))				
Loans: Real Estate											
Loans One- to four-											
family Other	\$3,596	6.7 %	\$5,420	6.3 %	\$7,739	4.7 %	\$11,943	5.4 %	\$20,449	6.3 %	
residential	1,012	1.9	3,902	4.5	5,288	3.2	5,330	2.4	5,955	1.8	
Commercial	4,854	9.1	17,125	19.8	53,344	32.1	52,018	23.5	65,801	20.1	
Construction	1,346	2.5	2,637	3.0	14,631	8.8	26,992	12.2	41,305	12.6	
Total real											
estate loans	10,808	20.2	29,084	33.6	81,002	48.8	96,283	43.5	133,510	40.8	
Consumer	73	0.1	159	0.2	444	0.3	1,021	0.5	2,450	0.8	
Other							,		,		
commercial	668	1.3	1,557	1.8	4,897	2.9	9,751	4.4	16,028	4.9	
Total											
fixed-rate loans	11,549	21.6	30,800	35.6	86,343	52.0	107,055	48.4	151,988	46.5	
Adjustable-Rate	;										
Loans:											
Real Estate											
Loans											
One- to four-											
family Other	11,454	21.4	14,189	16.4	17,380	10.5	20,702	9.3	23,466	7.2	
residential	151	0.3	618	0.7	998	0.6	1,617	0.7	2,126	0.7	
Commercial	19,828	37.0	24,346	28.1	36,011	21.7	49,088	22.2	64,414	19.7	
Construction	5,650	10.5	10,034	11.5	13,951	8.4	28,602	12.9	65,615	20.1	
Total real											
estate loans	37,083	69.2	49,187	56.7	68,340	41.2	100,009	45.1	155,621	47.7	
Consumer	4,190	7.8	4,989	5.8	5,722	3.4	6,716	3.0	7,606	2.3	
Other	,		,		,		,		,		
commercial	736	1.4	1,686	1.9	5,598	3.4	7,699	3.5	11,553	3.5	
Total											
adjustable-rate											
loans	42,009	78.4	55,862	64.4	79,660	48.0	114,424	51.6	174,780	53.5	
Total Loans Less:	53,558	100.0%	86,662	100.0%	6 166,003	100.0%	221,479	100.0%	326,768	100.0%	

Edgar Filing: GREAT SOUTHERN BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Loans in					
process	5	5	1,719	2,190	
Fair value					
discounts	3,691	9,042	35,409	74,656	126,994
Total loans					
receivable, net	\$49,862	\$77,615	\$128,875	\$144,633	\$199,774

Former Vantus Bank Loan Portfolio Composition by Fixed- and Adjustable-Rates:

	201	3	2012)	Decemb 201		2010)	2009)
	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	· %	Amount	, %	Amount	, %
	7 Infount	70	Timount		Dollars In T		rimount	70	7 Hillouin	70
Fixed-Rate Loans: Real Estate Loans One- to four-						,				
family Other	\$9,204	15.3 %	\$13,111	12.4 %	\$22,134	14.8 %	\$35,384	17.0 %	\$47,653	16.4 %
residential Commercial Construction	4,783 4,773 288	8.0 8.0 0.5	7,542 13,136 792	7.1 12.4 0.7	6,477 22,744 581	4.3 15.2 0.4	6,885 33,505 3,204	3.3 16.1 1.5	9,086 47,845 8,658	3.1 16.4 3.0
Total real estate loans Consumer Other commercial	19,048 10,692 742	31.8 17.8	34,581 14,941 2,097	32.6 14.1 2.0	51,936 21,083 3,454	34.7 14.1 2.3	78,978 29,093 5,089	37.9 2.4 14.0	113,242 38,459 7,218	38.9 13.2 2.5
Total fixed-rate loans	30,482	50.8	51,619	48.7	76,473	51.1	113,160	54.3	158,919	54.6
Adjustable-Rate Loans: Real Estate Loans One- to four-										
family Other	9,795	16.3	13,049	12.3	15,876	10.6	19,109	9.2	25,419	8.7
residential Commercial Construction	1,640 10,648 31	2.7 17.7 0.1	7,892 22,295 760	7.5 21.1 0.8	12,133 25,808 4,031	8.1 17.3 2.7	12,183 35,770 7,655	5.9 17.2 3.7	12,568 49,896 9,145	4.3 17.2 3.2
Total real estate loans Consumer Other	22,114 5,845	36.8 9.7	43,996 7,275	41.7 6.9	57,848 8,639	38.7 5.8	74,717 10,866	36.0 5.2	97,028 14,950	33.4 5.1
commercial Total adjustable-rate	1,573	2.7	2,870	2.7	6,510	4.4	9,420	4.5	20,039	6.9
loans	29,532	49.2	54,141	51.3	72,997	48.9	95,003	45.7	132,017	45.4
Total Loans Less:	60,014	100.0%	105,760	100.0%	149,470	100.0%	208,163	100.0%	290,936	100.0%
	3		1,851		255		83			

Loans in					
process					
Fair value					
discounts	2,091	8,426	26,179	47,917	64,986
Total loans					
receivable, net	\$57,920	\$95,483	\$123,036	\$160,163	\$225,950

Former Sun Security Bank Loan Portfolio Composition by Fixed- and Adjustable-Rates:

	Decemb Amount (Dollars In T	er 31, 2013 % Thousands)		December 31, 2012 Amount %			December Amount	er 31, 2011 %	
Fixed-Rate Loans: Real Estate Loans Residential									
One- to four- family	\$33,335	42.4	%	\$45,667	35.8	%	\$66,635	30.6	%
Other residential	1,468	1.9		2,491	2.0		16,790	7.7	
Commercial	22,171	28.2		36,759	28.8		57,576	26.5	
Construction	637	0.7		2,714	2.2		25,191	11.6	
Total real estate									
loans	57,611	73.2		87,631	68.8		166,192	76.4	
Consumer loans	798	1.0		2,042	1.6		3,690	1.7	
Other commercial				,-			-,		
loans	1,781	2.3		7,875	6.2		20,737	9.5	
Total fixed-rate									
loans	60,190	76.5		97,548	76.6		190,619	87.6	
Adjustable-Rate Loans: Real Estate Loans Residential One- to four- family Other residential	8,194 4,020	10.4 5.1		9,755 4,124	7.7 3.2		4,212 690	1.9	
Commercial	5,255	6.7		8,508	6.7		4,816	2.2	
Construction	636	0.8		1,757	1.3		9,427	4.4	
Total real estate									
loans	18,105	23.0		24,144	18.9		19,145	8.8	
Consumer loans Other commercial	60	0.1		153	0.1				
loans	343	0.4		5,573	4.4		7,785	3.6	
Total adjustable-rate									
loans	18,508	23.5		29,870	23.4		26,930	12.4	
Total loans	78,698	100.0	%	127,418	100.0	%	217,549	100.0	%
Less:									
Loans in process	174			485					
Fair value discounts	13,681			35,414			72,923		
Total loans receivable, net	\$64,843			\$91,519			\$144,626		

Former InterBank Loan Portfolio Composition by Fixed- and Adjustable-Rates:

	December 31, 2013			December 31, 2012		
	Amount	%		Amount	%	
	(Dollars In The	ousands)				
Fixed-Rate Loans:						
Real Estate Loans						
Residential						
One- to four- family	\$77,181	27.1	%	\$88,573	24.8	%
Other residential	3,059	1.1		4,866	1.4	
Commercial	997	0.3		2,049	0.6	
Construction	489	0.2				
Total real estate loans	81,726	28.7		95,488	26.8	
Consumer loans	846	0.3		673	0.2	
Other commercial loans				4		
Total fixed-rate loans	82,572	29.0		96,165	27.0	
Adjustable-Rate Loans:						
Real Estate Loans						
Residential						
One- to four- family	102,393	35.9		127,195	35.6	
Other residential	26,458	9.3		41,014	11.5	
Commercial	26,533	9.3		31,153	8.8	
Construction	123	0.1		133		
Total real estate loans	155,507	54.6		199,495	55.9	
Consumer loans	46,833	16.4		61,120	17.1	
Other commercial loans	65			66		
Total adjustable-rate loans	202,405	71.0		260,681	73.0	
Total loans	284,977	100.0	%	356,846	100.0	%
Less:						
Loans in process	2			2		
Fair value discounts	71,436			97,612		
Total loans receivable, net	\$213,539			\$259,232		

The following tables present the contractual maturities of loans at December 31, 2013. Amounts shown for TeamBank, Vantus Bank, Sun Security Bank and InterBank represent unpaid principal balances, before fair value discounts. The tables are based on information prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

Legacy Great Southern Loan Portfolio Composition by Contractual Maturities:

	Less Than One Year		One to Five After Five Years Years (In Thousands)		Years	Total
Real Estate Loans:			(111 1110		2145)	
Residential						
One- to four- family	\$	32,191	\$ 94,227	\$	115,863	\$ 242,281
Other residential		55,466	214,015		56,118	325,599
Commercial		175,379	479,680		167,861	822,920
Residential construction:						
One- to four- family		29,641	16,089		1,578	47,308
Other residential		1,808	26,440		4,740	32,988
Commercial construction		170,069	50,433		16,133	236,635
Total real estate loans		464,554	880,884		362,293	1,707,731
Other Loans:						
Consumer loans:						
Automobile and other		22,330	96,327		98,305	216,962
Home equity and improvement		7,917	17,148		33,232	58,297
Total consumer loans		30,247	113,475		131,537	275,259
Other commercial loans		122,718	104,544		88,007	315,269
Total other loans		152,965	218,019		219,544	590,528
Total legacy loans	\$	617,519	\$ 1,098,903	\$	581,837	\$ 2,298,259

As of December 31, 2013, loans due after December 31, 2014 with fixed interest rates totaled \$884.2 million and loans due after December 31, 2014 with adjustable rates totaled \$796.5 million.

Former TeamBank N.A. Loan Portfolio Composition by Contractual Maturities:

	Less Than One Year		One to Five After Five Years Years (In Thousands)		Years	Total
Real Estate Loans:					,	
Residential						
One- to four- family	\$	10,637	\$ 3,152	\$	1,261	\$ 15,050
Other residential			420		743	1,163
Commercial		14,083	9,510		1,089	24,682
Construction		6,911	85			6,996
Total real estate loans		31,631	13,167		3,093	47,891
Other Loans:						
Consumer loans:						
Home equity and improvement		4,190				4,190
Automobile and other		13	60			73
Total consumer loans		4,203	60			4,263
Other commercial loans		1,181	223			1,404
Total other loans		5,384	283			5,667
Total loans	\$	37,015	\$ 13,450	\$	3,093	\$ 53,558

As of December 31, 2013, loans due after December 31, 2014 with fixed interest rates totaled \$7.2 million and loans due after December 31, 2014 with adjustable rates totaled \$9.3 million.

Former Vantus Bank Loan Portfolio Composition by Contractual Maturities:

	Less Than One Year		One to Five A Years (In Thousand		After Five Years		Total	
Real Estate Loans:			(III THO	asai	143)			
Residential								
One- to four- family	\$	10,086	\$ 6,203	\$	2,710	\$	18,999	
Other residential		3,317	2,001		1,105		6,423	
Commercial		8,450	6,971				15,421	
Construction		71	229		19		319	
Total real estate loans		21,924	15,404		3,834		41,162	
Other Loans:								
Consumer loans:								
Student loans		510					510	
Home equity and improvement		5,827			18		5,845	
Automobile and other		160	1,669		8,353		10,182	
Total consumer loans		6,497	1,669		8,371		16,537	

Edgar Filing: GREAT SOUTHERN BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Other commercial loans	1,432	883		2,315
Total other loans	7,929	2,552	8,371	18,852
Total loans	\$ 29,853 \$	17,956 \$	12,205 \$	60,014

As of December 31, 2013, loans due after December 31, 2014 with fixed interest rates totaled \$22.0 million and loans due after December 31, 2014 with adjustable rates totaled \$8.2 million.

Former Sun Security Bank Loan Portfolio Composition by Contractual Maturities:

	Less Than One Year		Or	One to Five Years		fter Five Years	Total
				(In Tho	usands)		
Real Estate Loans:							
Residential							
One- to four- family	\$	12,264	\$	14,273	\$	14,992	\$ 41,529
Other residential		5,109		379			5,488
Commercial		9,716		15,511		2,199	27,426
Construction		846		397		30	1,273
Total real estate loans		27,935		30,560		17,221	75,716
Other Loans:							
Consumer loans:							
Student loans							
Home equity and							
improvement		322		103			425
Automobile and other		336		84		13	433
Total consumer loans		658		187		13	858
Other commercial loans		1,518		606			2,124
Total other loans		2,176		793		13	2,982
Total loans	\$	30,111	\$	31,353	\$	17,234	\$ 78,698

As of December 31, 2013, loans due after December 31, 2014 with fixed interest rates totaled \$36.7 million and loans due after December 31, 2014 with adjustable rates totaled \$11.9 million.

Former InterBank Loan Portfolio Composition by Contractual Maturities:

	Less Than One Year		Oı	ne to Five Years	A	After Five Years		Total		
	(In Thousands)									
Real Estate Loans:										
Residential										
One- to four- family	\$	2,530	\$	23,214	\$	153,830	\$	179,574		
Other residential		3,031		25,761		725		29,517		
Commercial		2,602		24,928				27,530		
Construction		405		84		123		612		
Total real estate loans		8,568		73,987		154,678		237,233		

Other Loans:				
Consumer loans:				
Student loans				
Home equity and				
improvement	1,348	1,621	44,706	47,675
Automobile and other		4		4
Total consumer loans	1,348	1,625	44,706	47,679
Other commercial loans			65	65
Total other loans	1,348	1,625	44,771	47,744
Total loans	\$ 9,916	\$ 75,612	199,449	284,977

As of December 31, 2013, loans due after December 31, 2014 with fixed interest rates totaled \$78.8 million and loans due after December 31, 2014 with adjustable rates totaled \$196.3 million.

At December 31, 2013, \$166.8 million, or 6.5%, of total loans were secured by junior lien mortgages and \$14.2 million, or 4.3% of total loans, were interest only residential real estate loans. At December 31, 2012, \$195.9 million, or 7.7%, of total loans were secured by junior lien mortgages and \$22.7 million, or 5.7% of total loans, were interest only residential real estate loans. While high loan-to-value ratio mortgage loans are occasionally originated and held, they are typically either considered low risk based on analyses performed or are required to have private mortgage insurance. The Company does not originate or hold option ARM loans or significant amounts of loans with initial teaser rates or subprime loans in its residential real estate portfolio.

To monitor and control risks related to concentrations of credit in the composition of the loan portfolio, management reviews the loan portfolio by loan types, industries and market areas on a monthly basis for credit quality and known and anticipated market conditions. Changes in loan portfolio composition may be made by management based on the performance of each area of business, known and anticipated market conditions, credit demands, the deposit structure of the Bank and the expertise and/or depth of the lending staff. Loan portfolio industry and market areas are monitored regularly for credit quality and trends. Reports detailed by industry and geography are provided to the Board of Directors on a monthly and quarterly basis.

In response to the economic recession that began in 2008, the composition of the Bank's loan portfolio has changed over the past five years; construction and land development loan types were limited to reduce the risk, commercial real estate loan types were stabilized and emphasis was placed on increasing our multi-family, commercial business and consumer loan portfolios.

Environmental Issues

Loans secured by real property, whether commercial, residential or other, may have a material, negative effect on the financial position and results of operations of the lender if the collateral is environmentally contaminated. The result can be, but is not necessarily limited to, liability for the cost of cleaning up the contamination imposed on the lender by certain federal and state laws, a reduction in the borrower's ability to pay because of the liability imposed upon it for any clean up costs, a reduction in the value of the collateral because of the presence of contamination or a subordination of security interests in the collateral to a super priority lien securing the cleanup costs by certain state laws.

Management is aware of the risk that the Bank may be negatively affected by environmentally contaminated collateral and attempts to control this risk through commercially reasonable methods, consistent with guidelines arising from applicable government or regulatory rules and regulations, and to a more limited extent, publications of the lending industry. Management currently is unaware (without, in many circumstances, specific inquiry or investigation of existing collateral, some of which was accepted as collateral before risk controlling measures were implemented) of any environmental contamination of real property securing loans in the Bank's portfolio that would subject the Bank to any material risk. No assurance can be made, however, that the Bank will not be adversely affected by environmental contamination.

Residential Real Estate Lending

At December 31, 2013 and 2012, loans secured by residential real estate, excluding that which is under construction and excluding those covered by loss sharing agreements, totaled \$568 million and \$523 million, respectively, and represented approximately 21.2% and 20.6%, respectively, of the Bank's total loan portfolio. At December 31, 2013

and 2012, loans (net of fair value discounts) secured by residential real estate and covered by loss sharing agreements totaled \$241 million and \$305 million, respectively, and represented approximately 9.0% and 12.0%, respectively, of the Bank's total loan portfolio. The Bank's legacy one- to four-family residential real estate loan portfolio has been fairly stable since 2009. Overall, mortgage rates were very low throughout 2011, 2012 and the first half of 2013. One-to four-family residential real estate loans increased significantly in 2012 with the FDIC-assisted acquisition of InterBank. Since 2009, other residential real estate loan balances continued to increase as there was less competition to finance these projects by non-bank entities and the Bank has emphasized this type of loan. In 2013, the Bank acquired a portfolio of multi-family loans totaling \$86 million.

The Bank currently is originating one- to four-family adjustable-rate residential mortgage loans primarily with one-year adjustment periods. Rate adjustments on loans originated prior to July 2001 are based upon changes in prevailing rates for one-year U.S. Treasury

securities. Rate adjustments on loans originated since July 2001 are based upon changes in the average of interbank offered rates for twelve month U.S. Dollar-denominated deposits in the London Market (LIBOR) or changes in prevailing rates for one-year U.S. Treasury securities. Rate adjustments are generally limited to 2% maximum annually as well as a maximum aggregate adjustment over the life of the loan. Accordingly, the interest rates on these loans typically may not be as rate sensitive as is the Bank's cost of funds. Generally, the Bank's adjustable-rate mortgage loans are not convertible into fixed-rate loans, do not permit negative amortization of principal and carry no prepayment penalty. The Bank also currently is originating other residential (multi-family) mortgage loans with interest rates that are generally either adjustable with changes to the prime rate of interest or fixed for short periods of time (three to seven years).

The Bank's portfolio of adjustable-rate mortgage loans also includes a number of loans with different adjustment periods, without limitations on periodic rate increases and rate increases over the life of the loans, or which are tied to other short-term market indices. These loans were originated prior to the industry standardization of adjustable-rate loans. Since the adjustable-rate mortgage loans currently held in the Bank's portfolio have not been subject to an interest rate environment which causes them to adjust to the maximum, these loans entail unquantifiable risks resulting from potential increased payment obligations on the borrower as a result of upward repricing. Many of these loans experienced upward interest rate adjustments in 2006 and 2008; however, the indices used by Great Southern for these types of loans have decreased since 2009. Compared to fixed-rate mortgage loans, these loans are subject to increased risk of delinquency or default if a higher, fully-indexed rate of interest subsequently comes into effect in replacement of a lower rate currently in effect. Prior to 2009, the Bank did not experience a significant increase in delinquencies in adjustable-rate mortgage loans due to a relatively low interest rate environment and favorable economic conditions. However, since 2009, delinquencies on mortgage loans have generally increased.

In underwriting one- to four-family residential real estate loans, Great Southern evaluates the borrower's ability to make monthly payments and the value of the property securing the loan. It is the policy of Great Southern that generally all one- to four-family residential loans in excess of 80% of the appraised value of the property be insured by a private mortgage insurance company approved by Great Southern for the amount of the loan in excess of 80% of the appraised value. In addition, Great Southern requires borrowers to obtain title and fire and casualty insurance in an amount not less than the amount of the loan. Real estate loans originated by the Bank generally contain a "due on sale" clause allowing the Bank to declare the unpaid principal balance due and payable upon the sale of the property securing the loan. The Bank may enforce these due on sale clauses to the extent permitted by law.

Commercial Real Estate and Construction Lending

Commercial real estate lending has been a significant part of Great Southern's business activities since the mid-1980s. Great Southern does commercial real estate lending in order to increase the potential yield on, and the proportion of interest rate sensitive loans in, its portfolio. At December 31, 2008, commercial real estate loans and commercial construction loans each made up about one fourth of the total loan portfolio. The economic recession that began in 2008 resulted in reduced activity in the market caused by the downturn in the economy and reduced real estate values. In response, Great Southern began limiting residential and commercial land development lending to reduce the risk in the portfolio and began originating an increased amount of commercial real estate loans. Since December 31, 2008, the commercial land development construction loan portfolio has decreased significantly and, overall, commercial real estate loans have trended upward. The increase in commercial real estate loans in 2013 indicates some economic improvement with increased investor activity in sales, purchases and refinancing of these types of properties. Both commercial real estate occupancy and rental rates show improvement in the Bank's market areas. Excluding loans covered by loss sharing agreements, over the last three years, commercial real estate loans made up approximately 35-40% of the total loan portfolio while commercial construction loans were 8 - 10%. Great

Southern expects to continue to limit lending on land development loans in 2014 with modest increases in commercial construction and commercial real estate anticipated as the economy continues to improve. See "Government Supervision and Regulation" below.

At December 31, 2013 and 2012, loans secured by commercial real estate, excluding that which is under construction and excluding loans covered under loss sharing agreements, totaled \$823 million and \$736 million, respectively, or approximately 30.7% and 28.9%, respectively, of the Bank's total loan portfolio. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, loans (net of fair value discounts) secured by commercial real estate and covered by loss sharing agreements totaled \$85 million and \$131 million, respectively, and represented approximately 3.2% and 5.1%, respectively, of the Bank's total loan portfolio. In addition, at December 31, 2013 and 2012, construction loans, excluding loans covered under loss sharing agreements, secured by projects under construction and the land on which the projects are located aggregated \$317 million and \$278 million, respectively, or 11.8% and 10.9%, respectively, of the Bank's total loan portfolio. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, construction loans (net of fair value discounts) covered by loss sharing agreements totaled \$8 million and \$15 million, respectively, and represented approximately 0.3% and 0.6%, respectively, of the Bank's total loan portfolio. A majority of the Bank's commercial real estate loans have been originated with adjustable rates of interest, most of which are tied to the Bank's prime rate. A large majority of the Bank's commercial real estate loans (both fixed and adjustable) mature in five years or less. Substantially all of these loans were originated with loan commitments which did not exceed 80% of the appraised value of the properties securing the loans.

The Bank's construction loans generally have a term of eighteen months or less. The construction loan agreements for one- to four-family projects generally provide that principal reductions are required as individual condominium units or single-family houses are built and sold to a third party. This insures that the remaining loan balance, as a proportion to the value of the remaining security, does not increase, assuming that the value of the remaining security does not decrease. Loan proceeds are disbursed in increments as construction progresses. Generally, the amount of each disbursement is based on the construction cost estimate with inspections of the project performed in connection with each disbursement request. Normally, Great Southern's commercial real estate and other residential construction loans are made either as the initial stage of a combination loan (i.e., with a commitment from the Bank to provide permanent financing upon completion of the project) or with a commitment from a third party to provide permanent financing.

The Bank's commercial real estate and construction loan portfolios consist of loans with diverse collateral types. The following table sets forth loans that were secured by certain types of collateral at December 31, 2013, excluding loans covered by loss sharing agreements. These collateral types represent the five highest percentage concentrations of commercial real estate and construction loan types in the loan portfolio.

			Non-Performing
		Percentage of	Loans at
		Total Loan	December 31,
Collateral Type	Loan Balance	Portfolio	2013
	(D	ollars In Thousa	ands)
Retail (Varied Projects)	\$204,014	8.9	% \$96
Office Industry	\$151,892	6.6	% \$96
Health Care Facilities	\$127,373	5.5	% \$0
Motels/Hotels	\$110,836	4.8	% \$4,094
Warehouses	\$85,521	3.7	% \$0

Commercial real estate lending and construction lending generally affords the Bank an opportunity to receive interest at rates higher than those obtainable from residential mortgage lending and to receive higher origination and other loan fees. In addition, commercial real estate loans and construction loans are generally made with adjustable rates of interest or, if made on a fixed-rate basis, for relatively short terms. Nevertheless, commercial real estate lending entails significant additional risks as compared with residential mortgage lending. Commercial real estate loans typically involve large loan balances to single borrowers or groups of related borrowers. In addition, the payment experience on loans secured by commercial properties is typically dependent on the successful operation of the related real estate project and thus may be subject, to a greater extent, to adverse conditions in the real estate market or in the economy generally.

Construction loans also involve additional risks attributable to the fact that loan funds are advanced upon the security of the project under construction, which is of uncertain value prior to the completion of construction. Moreover, because of the uncertainties inherent in estimating construction costs, delays arising from labor problems, material shortages, and other unpredictable contingencies, it is relatively difficult to evaluate accurately the total loan funds required to complete a project, and the related loan-to-value ratios. See also the discussion under the headings "-Classified Assets" and "- Loan Delinquencies and Defaults" below.

The Company executes interest rate swaps with certain commercial banking customers to facilitate their respective risk management strategies. The Company began offering this service during 2011. Those interest rate swaps are

simultaneously hedged by offsetting interest rate swaps that the Company executes with a third party, such that the Company minimizes its net risk exposure resulting from such transactions. As the interest rate swaps associated with this program do not meet the strict hedge accounting requirements, changes in the fair value of both the customer swaps and the offsetting swaps are recognized directly in earnings. As of December 31, 2013, the Company had 24 interest rate swaps totaling \$114.0 million in notional amount with commercial customers, and 24 interest rate swaps with the same notional amount with third parties related to this program. As of December 31, 2012, the Company had 16 interest rate swaps totaling \$81.7 million in notional amount with commercial customers, and 16 interest rate swaps with the same notional amount with a third party related to this program. During the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company recognized a net gain of \$295,000 and a net loss of \$38,000, respectively, in noninterest income related to changes in the fair value of these swaps.

Other Commercial Lending

At December 31, 2013 and 2012, Great Southern had \$315 million and \$265 million, respectively, in other commercial loans outstanding, excluding loans covered by loss sharing agreements, or 11.7% and 10.4%, respectively, of the Bank's total loan portfolio. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, other commercial loans (net of fair value discounts) covered by loss sharing agreements totaled \$5 million and \$15 million, respectively, and represented approximately 0.2% and 0.6%, respectively, of the Bank's total loan portfolio.

Great Southern's other commercial lending activities encompass loans with a variety of purposes and security, including loans to finance accounts receivable, inventory and equipment.

Great Southern expects to continue to originate loans in this category subject to market conditions and applicable regulatory restrictions. See "Government Supervision and Regulation" below.

Unlike residential mortgage loans, which generally are made on the basis of the borrower's ability to make repayment from his or her employment and other income and which are secured by real property, the value of which tends to be more easily ascertainable, other commercial loans are of higher risk and typically are made on the basis of the borrower's ability to make repayment from the cash flow of the borrower's business. Commercial loans are generally secured by business assets, such as accounts receivable, equipment and inventory. As a result, the availability of funds for the repayment of other commercial loans may be substantially dependent on the success of the business itself. Further, the collateral securing the loans may depreciate over time, may be difficult to appraise and may fluctuate in value based on the success of the business.

The Bank's management recognizes the generally increased risks associated with other commercial lending. Great Southern's commercial lending policy emphasizes complete credit file documentation and analysis of the borrower's character, capacity to repay the loan, the adequacy of the borrower's capital and collateral as well as an evaluation of the industry conditions affecting the borrower. Review of the borrower's past, present and future cash flows is also an important aspect of Great Southern's credit analysis. In addition, the Bank generally obtains personal guarantees from the borrowers on these types of loans. Historically, the majority of Great Southern's commercial loans have been to borrowers in southwestern and central Missouri. With the acquisitions in 2009, 2011 and 2012, geographic concentrations for commercial loans expanded to include the greater Kansas City, Mo. area, western and central Iowa, and the Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minn. area. Great Southern has continued its commercial lending in all of these geographic areas.

As part of its commercial lending activities, Great Southern issues letters of credit and receives fees averaging approximately 1% of the amount of the letter of credit per year. At December 31, 2013, Great Southern had 91 letters of credit outstanding in the aggregate amount of \$28.4 million. Approximately 43% of the aggregate amount of these letters of credit was secured, including one \$2.9 million letter of credit secured by real estate which was issued to enhance the issuance of housing revenue refunding bonds and was current.

Consumer Lending

Great Southern management views consumer lending as an important component of its business strategy. Specifically, consumer loans generally have short terms to maturity, thus reducing Great Southern's exposure to changes in interest rates, and carry higher rates of interest than do residential mortgage loans. In addition, Great Southern believes that the offering of consumer loan products helps to expand and create stronger ties to its existing customer base.

Great Southern offers a variety of secured consumer loans, including automobile loans, boat loans, home equity loans and loans secured by savings deposits. In addition, Great Southern also offers home improvement loans and unsecured consumer loans. Consumer loans, excluding those covered by loss sharing agreements, totaled \$275 million and \$221 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, or 10.3% and 8.7%, respectively, of the Bank's total loan portfolio. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, consumer loans (net of fair value discounts) covered by loss sharing agreements totaled \$47 million and \$61 million, respectively, and represented approximately 1.8% and 2.4%, respectively, of the Bank's total loan portfolio.

The underwriting standards employed by the Bank for consumer loans include a determination of the applicant's payment history on other debts and an assessment of ability to meet existing obligations and payments on the proposed loan. Although creditworthiness of the applicant is of primary consideration, the underwriting process also includes a comparison of the value of the security, if any, in relation to the proposed loan amount.

Beginning in 1998, the Bank implemented indirect lending relationships, primarily with automobile dealerships. Through these dealer relationships, the dealer completes the application with the consumer and then submits it to the Bank for credit approval. While the Bank's initial concentrated effort was on automobiles, the program has evolved for use with other tangible products where financing of the product is provided through the seller, including boats and manufactured homes. At December 31, 2012 and 2012, the Bank had \$226 million and \$180 million, respectively, of indirect auto, boat, modular home and recreational vehicle loans in its portfolio, including loans totaling \$11 million and \$15 million, respectively, which are covered by loss sharing agreements.

The Company acquired student loans through the Vantus Bank FDIC-assisted transaction totaling \$1.9 million at the acquisition date of September 4, 2009, of which \$842,000 were guaranteed by Iowa Student Loans. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, the balance of these student loans was \$510,000 and \$512,000, respectively, none of which was guaranteed. The student loans are administered by Iowa Student Loan Liquidity Corporation.

Consumer loans may entail greater risk than do residential mortgage loans, particularly in the case of consumer loans that are unsecured or secured by rapidly depreciable assets such as automobiles. In such cases, any repossessed collateral for a defaulted consumer loan may not provide an adequate source of repayment of the outstanding loan balance as a result of the greater likelihood of damage, loss or depreciation. The remaining deficiency often does not warrant further substantial collection efforts against the borrower. In addition, consumer loan collections are dependent on the borrower's continuing financial strength, and thus are more likely to be adversely affected by job loss, divorce, illness or personal bankruptcy. Furthermore, the application of various federal and state laws, including federal and state consumer bankruptcy and insolvency laws, may limit the amount which can be recovered on these loans. These loans may also give rise to claims and defenses by a consumer loan borrower against an assignee of these loans such as the Bank, and a borrower may be able to assert against the assignee claims and defenses which it has against the seller of the underlying collateral.

Originations, Purchases, Sales and Servicing of Loans

The Bank originates loans through internal loan production personnel located in the Bank's main and branch offices, as well as loan production offices. Walk-in customers and referrals from existing customers of the Company are also important sources of loan originations.

Great Southern may also purchase whole loans and participation interests in loans (generally without recourse, except in cases of breach of representation, warranty or covenant) from other banks, thrift institutions and life insurance companies (originators). The purchase transaction is governed by a participation agreement entered into by the originator and participant (Great Southern) containing guidelines as to ownership, control and servicing rights, among others. The originator may retain all rights with respect to enforcement, collection and administration of the loan. This may limit Great Southern's ability to control its credit risk when it purchases participations in these loans. For instance, the terms of participation agreements vary; however, generally Great Southern may not have direct access to the borrower, and the institution administering the loan may have some discretion in the administration of performing loans and the collection of non-performing loans.

Over the years, a number of banks, both locally and regionally, have sought to diversify the risk in their portfolios. In order to take advantage of this situation, Great Southern purchases participations in commercial real estate, commercial construction and other commercial loans. Great Southern subjects these loans to its normal underwriting standards used for originated loans and rejects any credits that do not meet those guidelines. The originating bank retains the servicing of these loans. Excluding those loans acquired and covered by loss sharing agreements with the FDIC, the Bank purchased \$26.8 million and \$12.3 of these loans in the fiscal years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Of the total \$51.2 million of purchased participation loans outstanding at December 31, 2013, \$8.0 million was purchased from one institution, secured by one property located in Texas. None of the loans in this relationship were non-performing at December 31, 2013. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, loans (net of fair value discounts) which were covered by loss sharing agreements with the FDIC included purchased and participation loans of \$8.1 million and \$25.6 million, respectively. These amounts represent the undiscounted balance of these loans.

In October 2013, the Bank purchased \$86.1 million of multi-family residential loans, which were auctioned by an unrelated FDIC-insured financial institution. The Bank paid \$87.9 million for the loans, which resulted in a 2.125% premium over the principal balances of the portfolio. The Bank's purchased loan portfolio totaled \$83.4 million at December 31, 2013.

From time to time, Great Southern also sells non-residential loan participations generally without recourse to private investors, such as other banks, thrift institutions and life insurance companies (participants). The sales transaction is

governed by a participation agreement entered into by the originator (Great Southern) and participant containing guidelines as to ownership, control and servicing rights, among others. Great Southern retains servicing rights for these participations sold. These participations are sold with a provision for repurchase upon breach of representation, warranty or covenant.

Great Southern also sells whole residential real estate loans without recourse to Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae as well as to private investors, such as other banks, thrift institutions, mortgage companies and life insurance companies. Whole real estate loans are sold with a provision for repurchase upon breach of representation, warranty or covenant. These representations, warranties and covenants include those regarding the compliance of loan originations with all applicable legal requirements, mortgage title insurance policies when applicable, enforceable liens on collateral, collateral type, borrower credit worthiness, private mortgage insurance when required and compliance with all applicable federal regulations. A minimal number of repurchase requests have been received to date based on a breach of representations, warranties and covenants as outlined in the investor contracts. These loans are generally sold for cash in amounts equal to the unpaid principal amount of the loans adjusted for current market yields to the buyer. The sale amounts generally produce gains to the Bank and allow a margin for servicing income on loans when the servicing is retained by the Bank. However, residential real estate loans sold in recent years have primarily been with Great Southern releasing control of the servicing of the loans.

The Bank sold one- to four-family whole real estate loans and loan participations in aggregate amounts of \$210.8 million, \$263.3 million and \$187.8 million during fiscal 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively. Sales of whole real estate loans and participations in real

estate loans can be beneficial to the Bank since these sales generally generate income at the time of sale, produce future servicing income on loans where servicing is retained, provide funds for additional lending and other investments, and increase liquidity.

The Bank sold guaranteed student loans in aggregate amounts of \$-0-, \$-0- and \$799,000 during fiscal 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. During 2010, the federal government made changes to the student loan program which removed banks from the origination and servicing functions. As a result, the Company was required to sell all of the guaranteed student loans it had remaining prior to December 31, 2010.

Gains, losses and transfer fees on sales of loans and loan participations are recognized at the time of the sale. When real estate loans and loan participations sold have an average contractual interest rate that differs from the agreed upon yield to the purchaser (less the agreed upon servicing fee), resulting gains or losses are recognized in an amount equal to the present value of the differential over the estimated remaining life of the loans. Any resulting discount or premium is accreted or amortized over the same estimated life using a method approximating the level yield interest method. When real estate loans and loan participations are sold with servicing released, as the Bank primarily does, an additional fee is received for the servicing rights. Net gains and transfer fees on sales of loans for fiscal 2103, 2012 and 2011 were \$4.9 million, \$5.5 million and \$3.5 million, respectively. These gains were from the sale of fixed-rate residential loans.

Although most loans currently sold by the Bank are sold with servicing released, the Bank had the servicing rights for approximately \$166.2 million and \$158.4 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, of loans owned by others. The servicing of these loans generated fees (net of amortization of the servicing rights) to the Bank for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, of \$350,000, \$132,000 and \$1,000, respectively.

In addition to interest earned on loans and loan origination fees, the Bank receives fees for loan commitments, letters of credit, prepayments, modifications, late payments, transfers of loans due to changes of property ownership and other miscellaneous services. The fees vary from time to time, generally depending on the supply of funds and other competitive conditions in the market. Fees from prepayments, commitments, letters of credit and late payments totaled \$1.5 million, \$1.2 million and \$808,000 for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 201, respectively. Loan origination fees, net of related costs, are accounted for in accordance with FASB ASC 310-20, Receivables – Nonrefundable Fees and Other Costs. Loan fees and certain direct loan origination costs are deferred, and the net fee or cost is recognized in interest income using the level-yield method over the contractual life of the loan. For further discussion of this matter, see Note 1 of the accompanying audited financial statements.

Loan Delinquencies and Defaults

For legacy loans when a borrower fails to make a required payment on a loan, the Bank attempts to cause the delinquency to be cured by contacting the borrower. In the case of loans secured by residential real estate, a late notice is sent 15 days after the due date. If the delinquency is not cured by the 30th day, a delinquent notice is sent to the borrower.

Additional written contacts are made with the borrower 45 and 60 days after the due date. If the delinquency continues for a period of 65 days, the Bank usually institutes appropriate action to foreclose on the collateral. The actual time it takes to foreclose on the collateral varies depending on the particular circumstances and the applicable governing law. If foreclosed upon, the property is sold at public auction and may be purchased by the Bank. Delinquent consumer loans are handled in a generally similar manner, except that initial contacts are made when the payment is five days

past due and appropriate action may be taken to collect any loan payment that is delinquent for more than 15 days. The Bank's procedures for repossession and sale of consumer collateral are subject to various requirements under the applicable consumer protection laws as well as other applicable laws and the determination by the Bank that it would be beneficial from a cost basis.

Delinquent commercial business loans and loans secured by commercial real estate are initially handled by the loan officer in charge of the loan, who is responsible for contacting the borrower. The President and Senior Lending Officer also work with the commercial loan officers to see that necessary steps are taken to collect delinquent loans. In addition, the Bank has a Problem Loan Committee which meets at least quarterly and reviews all classified assets, as well as other loans which management feels may present possible collection problems. If an acceptable workout of a delinquent commercial loan cannot be agreed upon, the Bank may initiate foreclosure proceedings on any collateral securing the loan. However, in all cases, whether a commercial or other loan, the prevailing circumstances may be such that management may determine it is in the best interest of the Bank not to foreclose on the collateral.

These processes are generally the same for loans covered by loss sharing agreements.

The following tables set forth our loans by aging category:

D 1	2	1	α	۱ 1	1
December	4		71) (- 4
December	J.	ι,	~	, ,	LJ.

	December 31, 2013									
One to four family	30-59 Days Past Due # Amount		60-89 Days Past Due # Amount		Over 90 Days # Amount (Dollars In Tho		Total Past Due # Amount busands)		Current Amount	Total Loans Receivable Amount
One- to four-family residential construction		\$		\$		\$		\$ —	\$34,662	\$34,662
Subdivision	_	Φ—	_	Φ—	_	\$ —	_	\$ —	\$34,002	\$34,002
construction		_		_	2	871	2	871	39,538	40,409
Land development Commercial	3	145	1	38	5	338	9	521	57,320	57,841
construction	_		_		_	_	_		184,019	184,019
Owner occupied one- to four-family	1 4	1 222	2	244	20	2.014	47	4.501	04.542	00 122
residential Non-owner occupied one- to four-family	14	1,233	3	344	30	3,014	47	4,591	84,542	89,133
residential Commercial real	13	1,562	1	171	9	843	23	2,576	143,332	145,908
estate	12	2,856	1	131	5	6,205	18	9,192	771,498	780,690
Other residential	_		_	_	_	_	_		325,599	325,599
Commercial business	2	17	1	19	9	5,208	12	5,244	310,025	315,269
Industrial revenue	2	17	1	1)		3,200	12	3,244	310,023	313,207
bonds					1	2,023	1	2,023	40,207	42,230
Consumer auto	130	955	19	127	27	168	176	1,250	133,467	134,717
Consumer other Home equity lines of	66	1,258	15	333	20	732	101	2,323	79,937	82,260
credit	8	168	1	16	19	504	28	688	57,595	58,283
FDIC-supported loans, net of discounts										
(TeamBank) FDIC-supported loans,	15	414	3	130	22	1,396	40	1,940	47,922	49,862
net of discounts (Vantus Bank) FDIC-supported loans,	23	675	3	31	28	2,356	54	3,062	54,858	57,920
net of discounts (Sun Security Bank)	12	510	6	121	53	4,241	71	4,872	59,971	64,843

Edgar Filing: GREAT SOUTHERN BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

FDIC-supported loans, net of discounts										
(InterBank)	44	6,024	14	1,567	104	16,768	162	24,359	189,180	213,539
	342	15,817	68	3,028	334	44,667	744	63,512	2,613,672	2,677,184
Less FDIC-supported loans, net of										
discounts	94	7,623	26	1,849	207	24,761	327	34,233	351,931	386,164
Total	248	\$8,194	42	\$ 1,179	127	\$19,906	417	\$29,279	\$2,261,741	\$2,291,020

December 31, 2012

	December 31, 2012									
One- to	30-59 Days Past Due # Amount		60-89 Days Past Due # Amount		#	Over 90 Days Total Past Due # Amount # Amount (Dollars In Thousands)			Current Amount	Total Loans Receivable Amount
four-family residential										
construction Subdivision	1	\$178		\$—		\$ —	1	\$178	\$28,893	\$29,071
construction	4	478		_	1	3	5	481	35,324	35,805
Land development Commercial		_	_	_	3	2,471	3	2,471	60,088	62,559
construction Owner occupied one- to four-family	_	_		_	_	_		_	150,515	150,515
residential Non-owner occupied one- to four-family	34	3,305	6	263	19	2,352	59	5,920	77,939	83,859
residential Commercial real	24	2,600		_	12	1,905	36	4,505	140,953	145,458
estate	6	1,346	5	726	9	8,324	20	10,396	681,981	692,377
Other residential Commercial	1	3,741	_	_	_	_	1	3,741	263,777	267,518
business Industrial revenue	15	2,094	3	153	8	4,139	26	6,386	258,245	264,631
bonds	_				1	2,110	1	2,110	41,652	43,762
Consumer auto	105	690	11	73	30	120	146	883	81,727	82,610
Consumer other Home equity lines of	71	1,522	9	242	28	834	108	2,598	81,217	83,815
credit FDIC-supported loans, net of discounts	7	185	6	146	11	220	24	551	53,674	54,225
(TeamBank) FDIC-supported loans, net of discounts	26	1,608	8	2,077	52	8,020	86	11,705	65,910	77,615
(Vantus Bank)	41	1,545	10	669	47	5,641	98	7,855	87,628	95,483
FDIC-supported loans, net of discounts	29	1,539	9	384	118	21,342	156	23,265	68,254	91,519

(Sun Security										
Bank)										
FDIC-supported										
loans,										
net of discounts										
(InterBank)	92	10,212	32	4,662	223	33,928	347	48,802	210,430	259,232
	456	31,043	99	9,395	562	91,409	1,117	131,847	2,388,207	2,520,054
Less										
FDIC-supported										
loans, net of										
discounts	188	14,904	59	7,792	440	68,931	687	91,627	432,222	523,849
Total	268	\$16,139	40	\$1,603	122	\$22,478	430	\$40,220	\$1,955,985	\$1,996,205

Classified Assets

Federal regulations provide for the classification of loans and other assets such as debt and equity securities considered to be of lesser quality as "substandard," "doubtful" or "loss" assets. The regulations require insured institutions to classify their own assets and to establish prudent specific allocations for losses from assets classified "substandard" or "doubtful." "Substandard" assets include those characterized by the distinct possibility that the Bank will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. Assets classified as "doubtful," have all the weaknesses inherent in those classified as "substandard" with the added characteristic that the weaknesses present make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions and values, highly questionable and improbable. For the portion of assets classified as "loss," an institution is required to either establish specific allowances of 100% of the amount classified or charge such amount off its books. Assets that do not currently expose the insured institution to sufficient risk to warrant classification in one of the aforementioned categories but possess a potential weakness (referred to as "special mention" assets), are required to be listed on the Bank's watch list and monitored for further deterioration. In addition, a bank's regulators may require the establishment of a general allowance for losses based on the general quality of the asset portfolio of the bank. Following are the total classified assets at December 31, 2013 and 2012, per the Bank's internal asset classification list, excluding assets acquired through FDIC-assisted transactions which are covered by loss sharing agreements. The allowances for loan losses reflected below are the portions of the Bank's total allowances for loan losses relating to these classified loans. There were no significant off-balance sheet items classified at December 31, 2013 and 2012.

			December 31, 201	3	
				Total	Allowance
Asset Category	Substandard	Doubtful	Loss	Classified	for Losses
			(In Thousands)		
Investment securities	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Loans	46,273	2,398		48,671	7,444
Foreclosed assets	42,397			42,397	
Total	\$88,670	\$2,398	\$	\$91,068	\$7,444
			December 31, 201	2	
				Total	Allowance
Asset Category	Substandard	Doubtful	Loss	Classified	for Losses
			(In Thousands)		
Investment securities	\$416	\$	\$	\$416	\$
Loans	70,735	1,000		71,735	11,397
Foreclosed assets	50,144			50,144	
Total	\$121,295	\$1,000	\$	\$122,295	\$11,397

Non-Performing Assets

The table below sets forth the amounts and categories of gross non-performing assets (classified loans which are not performing under regulatory guidelines and all foreclosed assets, including assets acquired in settlement of loans) in the Bank's loan portfolio as of the dates indicated. Loans generally are placed on non-accrual status when the loan becomes 90 days delinquent or when the collection of principal, interest, or both, otherwise becomes doubtful.

Former TeamBank, Vantus Bank, Sun Security Bank and InterBank non-performing assets, including foreclosed assets, are not included in the totals of non-performing assets below due to the respective loss sharing agreements with the FDIC, which substantially cover principal losses that may be incurred in these portfolios. In addition, these covered assets were recorded at their estimated fair values as of March 20, 2009, for TeamBank, September 4, 2009, for Vantus Bank, October 7, 2011 for Sun Security Bank and April 27, 2012 for InterBank. The total book value of these non-performing assets (net of discounts) was \$33.8 million and \$87.7 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The Company does generate some yield on the non-performing loans due to the accretion of a portion of the discount on these loans. No material additional losses or changes to these estimated fair values have been identified as of December 31, 2013.

Edgar Filing: GREAT SOUTHERN BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

	2013	2012 (Do	December 31, 2011 ollars In Thousa	2010 nds)	2009
Non-accruing loans: One- to four-family residential One- to four-family construction Other residential Commercial real estate Other commercial Commercial construction Consumer	\$ 3,506 6,205(1) 7,231(6) 1,209 1,147	\$ 4,020 8,324(2 6,249(7 2,474 699		3,832	743
Total gross non-accruing loans	19,298	21,766	27,091	28,833	26,000
Loans over 90 days delinquent still accruing interest:					
One- to four-family residential	351	237	40		103
Commercial real estate					
Other commercial					
Commercial construction					
Consumer	257	475	366	587	387
Total loans over 90 days delinquent still accruing interest	608	712	406	587	490
Other impaired loans					
Total gross non-performing loans	19,906	22,478	27,497	29,420	26,490
Foreclosed assets:					
One- to four-family residential	744	1,200	1,849	2,896	5,662
One- to four-family construction	600	627	1,630	2,510	1,372
Other residential	5,900	7,232	7,853	4,178	
Commercial real estate	3,135	2,738	2,290	4,565	2,143
Commercial construction	30,972	37,716	31,954	34,433	28,586
Other commercial	79	160	85		
Total foreclosed assets	41,430	49,673	45,661	48,582	37,763
Repossessions	967	471	1,211	318	572
Total gross non-performing assets Total gross non-performing assets as a	\$ 62,303	\$ 72,622	\$ 74,369	\$ 78,320	\$ 64,825
percentage of average total assets	1.75%	1.81%	2.13%	2.30%	1.90%

⁽¹⁾ One relationship was \$4.1 million of this total at December 31, 2013.

- (2) One relationship was \$3.7 million of this total at December 31, 2012.
- (3) The largest loan in this category had a balance of \$2.5 million at December 31, 2011.
- (4) The largest two loans in this category were \$1.4 million and \$1.0 million, respectively, at December 31, 2010.
- (5) One relationship was \$2.8 million of this total at December 31, 2009.
- (6) One relationship was \$2.7 million of this total at December 31, 2013.
- (7) One relationship was \$2.6 million of this total at December 31, 2012.
- (8) One relationship was \$3.6 million of this total at December 31, 2011.
- (9) The largest loan in this category had a balance of \$2.0 million at December 31, 2010.
- (10) A portion of one relationship was \$4.0 million of this total at December 31, 2009. The total relationship was \$5.3 million.

See Item 7. "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Non-performing Assets" for further information.

Gross impaired loans totaled \$78.4 million at December 31, 2013 and \$102.6 million at December 31, 2012. A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Bank will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors considered by management in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. See Note 3 "Loans" of the accompanying audited financial statements included in Item 8 for additional information including further detail of non-accruing loans and impaired loans and details of troubled debt restructurings. See also Note 15 "Disclosures About Fair Value of Financial Instruments" of the accompanying audited financial statements included in Item 8 for additional information.

For the year ended December 31, 2013, gross interest income which would have been recorded had the non-accruing loans been current in accordance with their original terms amounted to \$1.6 million. No interest income was included on these loans for the year ended December 31, 2013. For the year ended December 31, 2012, gross interest income which would have been recorded had the non-accruing loans been current in accordance with their original terms amounted to \$1.8 million. No interest income was included on these loans for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Restructured Troubled Debt

Included in impaired loans at December 31, 2013 and 2012, were loans modified in troubled debt restructurings as follows:

	_	Total Restructured roubled Debt	Accruing Interest Thousands)	T	estructured roubled Debt Nonaccruing and More Than 30 Days Past Due
Commercial real estate One- to four-family residential Other residential Construction Commercial Consumer	\$	24,799 5,618 10,983 10,874 1,520 310	\$ 22,822 4,356 10,983 9,738 1,509 149	\$	1,977 1,262 — 1,136 11 161
	\$	54,104	\$ 49,557	\$	4,547

I	December 31, 2012	2
		Restructured
		Troubled Debt
Total		Nonaccruing
Restructured	Accruing	and More Than
		30 Days Past
Troubled Debt	Interest	Due
	(In Thousands)	

Commercial real estate	\$26,931	\$22,528	\$4,403
One- to four-family residential	7,089	4,199	2,890
Other residential	7,918	7,918	
Construction	2,807	2,554	253
Commercial	1,875	875	1,000
Consumer	148	66	82
	\$46,768	\$38,140	\$8,628

Allowances for Losses on Loans and Foreclosed Assets

Great Southern maintains an allowance for loan losses to absorb losses known and inherent in the loan portfolio based upon ongoing, monthly assessments of the loan portfolio. Our methodology for assessing the appropriateness of the allowance consists of several key elements, which include a formula allowance, specific allowances for identified problem loans and portfolio segments and economic conditions that may lead to a concern about the loan portfolio or segments of the loan portfolio.

The formula allowance is calculated by applying loss factors to outstanding loans based on the internal risk evaluation of such loans or pools of loans. Changes in risk evaluations of both performing and non-performing loans affect the amount of the formula allowance. Loss factors are based both on our historical loss experience and on significant factors that, in management's judgment, affect the collectability of the portfolio as of the evaluation date. Loan loss factors for portfolio segments are representative of the credit risks associated with loans in those segments. The greater the credit risks associated with a particular segment, the greater the loss factor.

The appropriateness of the allowance is reviewed by management based upon its evaluation of then-existing economic and business conditions affecting our key lending areas. Other conditions that management considers in determining the appropriateness of the allowance include, but are not limited to, changes to our underwriting standards (if any), credit quality trends (including changes in non-performing loans expected to result from existing economic and other market conditions), trends in collateral values, loan volumes and concentrations, and recent loss experience in particular segments of the portfolio that existed as of the balance sheet date and the impact that such conditions were believed to have had on the collectability of those loans.

Senior management reviews these conditions weekly in discussions with our credit officers. To the extent that any of these conditions are evident in a specifically identifiable problem loan or portfolio segment as of the evaluation date, management's estimate of the effect of such condition may be reflected as a specific allowance applicable to such loan or portfolio segment. Where any of these conditions are not evident in a specifically identifiable problem loan or portfolio segment as of the evaluation date, management's evaluation of the loss related to these conditions is reflected in the unallocated allowance associated with our loan portfolio. The evaluation of the inherent loss with respect to these conditions is subject to a higher degree of uncertainty because they are not identified with specific problem loans or portfolio segments.

The amounts actually observed in respect to these losses can vary significantly from the estimated amounts. Our methodology permits adjustments to any loss factor used in the computation of the formula allowances in the event that, in management's judgment, significant factors which affect the collectability of the portfolio, as of the evaluation date, are not reflected in the current loss factors. By assessing the estimated losses inherent in our loan portfolio on a monthly basis, we can adjust specific and inherent loss estimates based upon more current information.

On a quarterly basis, senior management presents a formal assessment of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses to Great Southern's board of directors for the board's approval of the allowance. Assessing the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses is inherently subjective as it requires making material estimates including the amount and timing of future cash flows expected to be received on impaired loans or changes in the market value of collateral securing loans that may be susceptible to significant change. In the opinion of management, the allowance when taken as a whole is adequate to absorb reasonable estimated loan losses inherent in Great Southern's loan portfolio.

Allowances for estimated losses on foreclosed assets (real estate and other assets acquired through foreclosure) are charged to expense, when in the opinion of management, any significant and permanent decline in the market value of the underlying asset reduces the market value to less than the carrying value of the asset. Senior management assesses the market value of each foreclosed asset individually.

At December 31, 2013 and 2012, Great Southern had an allowance for losses on loans of \$40.1 million and \$40.6 million, respectively, of which \$7.4 million and \$11.4 million, respectively, had been allocated for specific loans. All loans with specific allowances were considered to be impaired loans. The allowance and the activity within the allowance during 2013 and 2012 are discussed further in Note 3 "Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses" of the accompanying audited financial statements and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and

Results of Operations" contained in Item 8 and Item 7 of this Report, respectively.

The allocation of the allowance for losses on loans at the dates indicated is summarized as follows. The table is based on information prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

					Decer	mber 31,				
	2013	3	2012	2	201	1	201	0	20	009
		% of		% of		% of				
		Loans		Loans		Loans		% of	% of	
		to		to		to		Loans		Loan
		Total		Total		Total		to		to
		Loans		Loans		Loans		Total		Total
	Amount	(2)	Amount	(2)	Amount	(2)		Loans(2)	Amount	Loans(
				((Dollars In	ı Thousa	nds)			
One- to four-family residential										
and construction	\$ 6,235	15.6%	\$ 6,820	15.2%	\$11,424	18.1%	\$11,453	21.3%	\$11,698	22.
Other residential and construction	2,678	6.7	4,327	14.6	3,088	14.3	3,866	12.8	3,006	11.
Commercial real estate	16,935	42.2	17,433	36.4	18,390	36.7	14,336	35.2	9,281	32.
Commercial construction	4,464	11.1	3,938	9.8	2,952	8.8	5,852	9.6	9,663	10.
Other commercial	6,449	16.1	5,093	13.1	2,974	12.4	2,481	10.9	3,590	12.
Consumer and overdrafts	3,349	8.3	3,021	10.9	2,374	9.7	2,669	10.2	2,863	10.
Loans covered by loss sharing										
agreements (1)	6		17		30		830			
Total	\$40,116	100.0%	\$40,649	100.0%	\$41,232	100.0%	\$41,487	100.0%	\$40,101	100.

⁽¹⁾ Associated with these allowances at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, are receivables from the FDIC totaling \$5,000, \$14,000 and \$24,000, respectively, under the loss sharing agreements which will be collected if the losses are realized.

The following table sets forth an analysis of activity in the Bank's allowance for losses on loans showing the details of the activity by types of loans. The table is based on information prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

	December 31,									
	2013			2012	2012 2011			2010		2009
	(Dollars In Thousands)									
Balance at beginning of period	\$	40,649	\$	41,232	\$	41,487	\$	40,101	\$	29,163
Charge-offs:										
One- to four-family residential		2,196		3,203		2,666		3,069		2,714
Other residential		3,248		3,579		8,019		1,214		1,878
Commercial real estate		9,836		18,010		13,862		11,495		9,235
Construction		788		18,027		9,770		17,407		6,977
Consumer, overdrafts and other										
loans		4,072		3,082		3,496		4,084		4,700
Other commercial		3,312		2,390		2,842		2,779		4,935
Total charge-offs		23,452		48,291		40,655		40,048		30,439

Recoveries:

⁽²⁾ Excludes loans covered by loss sharing agreements.

Edgar Filing: GREAT SOUTHERN BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

One- to four-family residential	113		227		38		162		776
Other residential	43		347		1,547		151		
Commercial real estate	2,412		701		57		606		19
Construction	172		882		455		561		1,207
Consumer, overdrafts and other									
loans	1,023		307		1,891		2,295		2,173
Other commercial	1,770		1,381		1,076		2,029		1,402
Total recoveries	5,533		3,845		5,064		5,804		5,577
Net charge-offs	17,919		44,446		35,591		34,244		24,862
Provision for losses on loans	17,386		43,863		35,336		35,630		35,800
Balance at end of period	\$ 40,116	\$	40,649	\$	41,232	\$	41,487	\$	40,101
Ratio of net charge-offs to average									
loans									
outstanding	0.91%)	2.43%	Ó	2.09%	ó	2.05%	Ó	1.44%

Investment Activities

Excluding securities issued by the United States Government, or its agencies, there were no investment securities in excess of 10% of the Company's stockholders' equity at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Agencies, for this purpose, primarily include Freddie Mac, Fannie Mae, Ginnie Mae and FHLBank.

As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Bank held approximately \$805,000 and \$920,000, respectively, in principal amount of investment securities which the Bank intends to hold until maturity. As of such dates, these securities had fair values of approximately \$912,000 and \$1.1 million, respectively. In addition, as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company held approximately \$555.3 million and \$807.0 million, respectively, in principal amount of investment securities which the Company classified as available-for-sale. See Notes 1 and 2 of the accompanying audited financial statements included in Item 8 of this Report.

The amortized cost and fair values of, and gross unrealized gains and losses on, investment securities at the dates indicated are summarized as follows.

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses busands)	Fair Value
AVAILABLE-FOR-SALE SECURITIES: U.S. government agencies Mortgage-backed securities Small Business Administration loan pools States and political subdivisions Equity securities	\$20,000 365,020 43,461 122,113 847 \$551,441	\$— 4,824 1,394 2,549 2,022 \$10,789	\$2,745 2,266 — 1,938 — \$6,949	\$17,255 367,578 44,855 122,724 2,869 \$555,281
HELD-TO-MATURITY SECURITIES States and political subdivisions	\$805	\$107	\$—	\$912
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	r 31, 2012 Gross Unrealized Losses ousands)	Fair Value
AVAILABLE-FOR-SALE SECURITIES: U.S. government agencies Collateralized mortgage obligations Mortgage-backed securities Small Business Administration loan pools States and political subdivisions	\$30,000 3,939 582,039 50,198 114,372	\$40 576 14,861 1,295 8,506	\$— 8 814 —	\$30,040 4,507 596,086 51,493 122,878

Equity securities	847 \$781,395	1,159 \$26,437		2,006 \$807,010
HELD-TO-MATURITY SECURITIES				
States and political subdivisions	\$920	\$164	\$ —	\$1,084

		Decembe Gross		
	Amortized	Unrealized	Unrealized	Fair
	Cost	Gains	Losses	Value
		(In Tho	ousands)	
AVAILABLE-FOR-SALE SECURITIES:				
U.S. government agencies	\$20,000	\$60	\$ —	\$20,060
Collateralized mortgage obligations	5,220	_	380	4,840
Mortgage-backed securities	628,729	13,728	802	641,655
Small Business Administration loan pools	55,422	1,070	_	56,492
States and political subdivisions	145,663	5,478	903	150,238
Corporate bonds	50	245	_	295
Equity securities	1,230	601	_	1,831
	\$856,314	\$21,182	\$2,085	\$875,411
HELD-TO-MATURITY SECURITIES				
States and political subdivisions	\$1,865	\$236	\$ —	\$2,101

Additional details of the Company's mortgage-backed securities at December 31, 2013, are described as follows:

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
Mortgage-backed securities		(III IIIC	ousands)	
FHLMC fixed	\$3,562	\$328	\$ —	\$3,890
FHLMC hybrid ARM	15,828	959	<u>—</u>	16,787
Total FHLMC	19,390	1,287	_	20,677
FNMA fixed	11,590	292	489	11,393
FNMA hybrid ARM	32,967	980	62	33,885
Total FNMA	44,557	1,272	551	45,278
GNMA fixed	4,671		370	4,301
GNMA hybrid ARM	296,402	2,265	1,345	297,322
Total GNMA	301,073	2,265	1,715	301,623
	\$365,020	\$4,824	\$2,266	\$367,578
Total fixed	\$19,823	\$620	\$859	\$19,584

Total hybrid ARM	345,197	4,204	1,407	347,994
	\$365,020	\$4,824	\$2,266	\$367,578

The following tables present the contractual maturities and weighted average tax-equivalent yields of available-for-sale securities at December 31, 2013. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

			Tax-Equivalent						
					Amortized				
			Co	ost	Yield	Fa	ir Value		
				(Dol	llars In Thou	ısands)			
One year or less			\$	110	7	.34% \$	110		
After one through five years				1,001	4	.19%	984		
After five through ten years				9,893	5	.59%	10,032		
After ten years				174,570	4	.03%	173,708		
Securities not due on a single maturity	date			365,020	2	.04%	367,578		
Equity securities				847	0	.00%	2,869		
Total			\$	551,441	2	.74% \$	555,281		
					Securities				
		After	After		Not Due				
		One	Five		on a				
	One	Through	Through	After	Single				
	Year	Five	Ten	Ten	Maturity	Equity			
	or Less	Years	Years	Years	Date	Securities	Total		
				(In Thousa	nds)				
U.S. government agencies	\$	\$	\$	\$17,255	\$	\$	\$17,255		
Mortgage-backed securities					367,578		367,578		
Small Business Administration loan					•		ŕ		
pools				44,855			44,855		
States and political subdivisions	110	984	10,032	111,598			122,724		
Equity securities						2,869	2,869		
Total	\$110	\$984	\$10,032	\$173,708	\$367,578	\$ 2,869	\$555,281		

The following table presents the contractual maturities and weighted average tax-equivalent yields of held-to-maturity securities at December 31, 2013. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

	Tax-Equivalent			
		Amortized	Approximate	
	Cost	Yield Fair Va		
	1	(Dollars In Thousands)		
After one through five years	\$ 805	7.37%	\$ 912	

The following table shows our investments' gross unrealized losses and fair values, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively:

2013
Less than 12 Months 12 Months or More Total

Edgar Filing: GREAT SOUTHERN BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Description of Securities	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Value	Unrealized Losses ousands)	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
U.S. government agencies Mortgage-backed	\$20,000	\$(2,745) \$—	\$ —	\$20,000	\$(2,745)
securities States and political	127,901	(1,871) 39,255	(395) 167,156	(2,266)
subdivisions	50,401 \$198,302	(1,938 \$(6,554) —) \$39,255	 \$(395	50,401) \$237,557	(1,938) \$(6,949)

Description of Securities	Less than 12 Months Fair Unrealized Value Losses			12 Moi Fair Value	2012 nths or More Unrealized Losses housands)	Total Fair Unrealized Value Losses			
Collateralized mortgage obligations Mortgage-backed	\$ —	\$ —		\$414	\$(8)	\$414	\$(8)
securities	106,136 \$106,136	(814 \$(814)	 \$414	\$(8)	106,136 \$106,550	(814 \$(822)
Description of Securities	Less than Fair Value	n 12 Months Unrealized Losses			2011 hths or More Unrealized Losses	or More Unrealized		Total Unrealize Losses	
Collateralized mortgage				(In T	housands)				
obligations Mortgage-backed	\$3,760	\$(110)	\$1,460	\$(270)	\$5,220	\$(380)
securities States and political	61,720	(365)	91,824	(437)	153,544	(802)
subdivisions	6,436 \$71,916	(44 \$(519)	7,381 \$100,665	(859 \$(1,566)	13,817 \$172,581	(903 \$(2,085)

On at least a quarterly basis, the Company evaluates the securities portfolio to determine if an other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) needs to be recorded. For debt securities with fair values below carrying value, when the Company does not intend to sell a debt security, and it is more likely than not the Company will not have to sell the security before recovery of its cost basis, it recognizes the credit component of an OTTI of a debt security in earnings and the remaining portion in other comprehensive income. For held-to-maturity debt securities, the amount of an OTTI recorded in other comprehensive income for the noncredit portion of a previous OTTI is amortized prospectively over the remaining life of the security on the basis of the timing of future estimated cash flows of the security. Details of the OTTI impairments recorded in 2012 and 2011 are included in Note 2 of the accompanying audited financial statements, which are included in Item 8 of this Report.

The Company's consolidated statements of income as of December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, reflect the full impairment (that is, the difference between the security's amortized cost basis and fair value) on debt securities that the Company intends to sell or would more likely than not be required to sell before the expected recovery of the amortized cost basis. For available-for-sale and held-to-maturity debt securities that management has no intent to sell and believes that it more likely than not will not be required to sell prior to recovery, only the credit loss component of the impairment is recognized in earnings, while the noncredit loss is recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income. The credit loss component recognized in earnings is identified as the amount of principal cash flows not

expected to be received over the remaining term of the security as projected based on cash flow projections.

For equity securities, when the Company has decided to sell an impaired available-for-sale security and the Company does not expect the fair value of the security to fully recover before the expected time of sale, the security is deemed other-than-temporarily impaired in the period in which the decision to sell is made. The Company recognizes an impairment loss when the impairment is deemed other than temporary even if a decision to sell has not been made.

Sources of Funds

General. Deposit accounts have traditionally been the principal source of the Bank's funds for use in lending and for other general business purposes. In addition to deposits, the Bank obtains funds through advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Des Moines ("FHLBank") and other borrowings, loan repayments, loan sales, and cash flows generated from operations. Scheduled loan payments are a relatively stable source of funds, while deposit inflows and outflows and the related costs of such funds have varied widely. Borrowings such as FHLBank advances may be used on a short-term basis to compensate for seasonal reductions in deposits or deposit inflows at less than projected levels and may be used on a longer-term basis to support expanded lending activities. The availability of funds from loan sales is influenced by general interest rates as well as the volume of originations.

Deposits. The Bank attracts both short-term and long-term deposits from the general public by offering a wide variety of accounts and rates and also purchases brokered deposits from time to time. The Bank offers regular savings accounts, checking accounts, various money market accounts, fixed-interest rate certificates with varying maturities, certificates of deposit in minimum amounts of \$100,000 ("Jumbo" accounts), brokered certificates and individual retirement accounts. In 2011, the Bank increased its deposits through internal growth and through the assumption of deposits in an FDIC-assisted transaction. The Bank has maintained a high percentage of those deposits through 2013. In 2012, deposits increased again through internal growth and the assumption of deposits in an FDIC-assisted transaction. The Bank has maintained a high percentage of those organic and assumed non-time deposits through 2013 as well. Time deposits assumed in the 2012 transaction have decreased, as the Bank reduced rates paid on these types of deposits. Approximately 50% of the time deposits assumed in the 2012 transaction have renewed at the new rates upon maturity. In 2013, total deposits decreased primarily as a result of decreases in time deposits. In addition, interest-bearing demand and savings deposits also decreased. These transaction accounts decreased mainly due to planned reductions in certain account types, including accounts with collateralized deposit balances. Also, some deposit types which had previously paid a low rate of interest were switched to non-interest-bearing demand deposit types. Since 2010, retail certificates of deposit have trended downward because of customer preference to have immediate access to funds during the current low interest rate environment.

The following table sets forth the dollar amount of deposits, by interest rate range, in the various types of deposit programs offered by the Bank at the dates indicated.

	December 31,								
	2013				2012	2	20	11	
		Percent				Percent		Percent	
		of				of		of	
		Amount	Total	A	Amount	Total	Amount	Total	
				$(\Gamma$	Oollars In T	housands)			
Time deposits:									
0.00% - 0.99%	\$	669,698	23.84%	\$	666,573	21.14%	\$ 432,778	14.61%	
1.00% - 1.99%		251,118	8.94		426,589	13.53	628,063	21.19	
2.00% - 2.99%		61,042	2.17		90,539	2.87	158,696	5.35	
3.00% - 3.99%		9,413	0.34		13,240	0.42	17,228	0.58	
4.00% - 4.99%		1,852	0.07		5,190	0.16	26,526	0.90	
5.00% and above		819	0.03		1,816	0.06	5,708	0.19	
Total time deposits Non-interest-bearing demand		993,942	35.39	1	,203,947	38.18	1,268,999	42.82	
deposits Interest-bearing demand and		522,805	18.61		385,778	12.23	330,813	11.16	
savings deposits									
(0.20%-0.33%-0.61%)		1,291,879	46.00	1	,563,468	49.59	1,363,727	46.02	
Total Deposits	\$ 2	2,808,626	100.00 %	\$3	3,153,193	100.00 %	\$ 2,963,539	100.00 %	

A table showing maturity information for the Bank's time deposits as of December 31, 2013, is presented in Note 8 of the accompanying audited financial statements, which are included in Item 8 of this Report.

The variety of deposit accounts offered by the Bank has allowed it to be competitive in obtaining funds and has allowed it to respond with flexibility to changes in consumer demand. The Bank has become more susceptible to short-term fluctuations in deposit flows, as customers have become more interest rate conscious and the Bank's deposit mix has changed to a smaller percentage of time deposits. The Bank manages the pricing of its deposits in keeping with its asset/liability management and profitability objectives. Based on its experience, management believes that its certificate accounts are relatively stable sources of deposits, while its checking accounts have proven to be more volatile. In the past three years, the Bank successfully increased its checking accounts both internally and through acquisitions. The ability of the Bank to attract and maintain deposits, and the rates paid on these deposits, has been and will continue to be significantly affected by money market conditions.

The following table sets forth the time remaining until maturity of the Bank's time deposits as of December 31, 2013. The table is based on information prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

	3 Months or Less		Over 3 to 6 Months		Maturity Over 6 to 12 Months Thousands)		Over 12 Months		Total
Time deposits: Less than \$100,000 \$100,000 or more Brokered Public funds(1)	\$	112,970 58,903 28,132 2,179	\$ 93,414 39,971 18,104 3,078	\$	159,044 98,092 26,358 1,892	\$	154,403 140,706 53,684 3,012	\$	519,831 337,672 126,278 10,161
Total	\$	202,184	\$ 154,567	\$	285,386	\$	351,805	\$	993,942

⁽¹⁾ Deposits from governmental and other public entities.

Brokered deposits. Brokered deposits are marketed through national brokerage firms to their customers in \$1,000 increments. The Bank maintains only one account for the total deposit amount while the detailed records of owners are maintained by the Depository Trust Company under the name of CEDE & Co. The deposits are transferable just like a stock or bond investment and the customer can open the account with only a phone call, just like buying a stock or bond. This provides a large deposit for the Bank at a lower operating cost since the Bank only has one account to maintain versus several accounts with multiple interest and maturity dates. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Bank had approximately \$126.3 million and \$119.1 million in brokered deposits, respectively.

Included in the brokered deposits total at December 31, 2013 and 2012, was \$76.3 million and \$109.1 million, respectively in Certificate of Deposit Account Registry Service (CDARS) customer deposit accounts. There were no CDARS purchased funds at December 31, 2013 or 2012. CDARS customer deposit accounts are accounts that are just like any other deposit account on the Company's books, except that the account total exceeds the FDIC deposit insurance maximum. When a customer places a large deposit with a CDARS Network bank, that bank uses CDARS to place the funds into deposit accounts issued by other banks in the CDARS Network. This occurs in increments of less than the standard FDIC insurance maximum, so that both principal and interest are eligible for complete FDIC protection. Other Network Members do the same thing with their customers' funds.

Unlike non-brokered deposits where the deposit amount can be withdrawn prior to maturity with a penalty for any reason, including increasing interest rates, a brokered deposit (excluding CDARS) can only be withdrawn in the event of the death, or court declared mental incompetence, of the depositor. This allows the Bank to better manage the maturity of its deposits. Currently, the rates offered by the Bank for brokered deposits are comparable to that offered for retail certificates of deposit of similar size and maturity. Because the Bank has kept higher levels of liquidity since the economic recession began in 2008, we have gradually reduced the amount of brokered deposits (excluding CDARS) utilized since December 31, 2008.

The Company may use interest rate swaps from time to time to manage its interest rate risks from recorded financial liabilities. In the past, the Company entered into interest rate swap agreements with the objective of economically hedging against the effects of changes in the fair value of its liabilities for fixed rate brokered certificates of deposit

caused by changes in market interest rates. These interest rate swaps allowed the Company to create funding of varying maturities at a variable rate that in the past has approximated three-month LIBOR. The Company did not utilize these types of interest rate swaps in 2013, 2012 or 2011.

Borrowings. Great Southern's other sources of funds include advances from the FHLBank, a Qualified Loan Review ("QLR") arrangement with the FRB, customer repurchase agreements and other borrowings.

As a member of the FHLBank, the Bank is required to own capital stock in the FHLBank and is authorized to apply for advances from the FHLBank. Each FHLBank credit program has its own interest rate, which may be fixed or variable, and range of maturities. The FHLBank may prescribe the acceptable uses for these advances, as well as other risks on availability, limitations on the size of the advances and repayment provisions. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Bank's FHLBank advances outstanding were \$126.8 million and \$126.7 million, respectively.

The FRB has a QLR program where the Bank can borrow on a temporary basis using commercial loans pledged to the FRB. Under the QLR program, the Bank can borrow any amount up to a calculated collateral value of the commercial loans pledged, for virtually any reason that creates a temporary cash need. Examples of this could be: (1) the need to fund for late outgoing wires or cash letter settlements, (2) the need to disburse one or several loans but the permanent source of funds will not be available for a few days; (3) a temporary spike in interest rates on other funding sources that are being used; or (4) the need to purchase a security for collateral pledging purposes a few days prior to the funds becoming available on an existing security that is maturing. The Bank had commercial

loans pledged to the FRB at December 31, 2013 that would have allowed approximately \$418.9 million to be borrowed under the above arrangement. There were no outstanding borrowings from the FRB at December 31, 2013 or 2012 and the facility was not used during 2013 or 2012.

The Bank enters into sales of securities under agreements to repurchase (reverse repurchase agreements). Reverse repurchase agreements are treated as financings, and the obligations to repurchase securities sold are reflected as a liability in the statements of financial condition. The dollar amount of securities underlying the agreements remains in the asset accounts. Securities underlying the agreements are being held by the Bank during the agreement period. The agreements generally are written on a one-month or less term.

In September 2008, the Company entered into a structured repo borrowing transaction for \$50 million. This borrowing now bears interest at a fixed rate of 4.34%. This borrowing matures September 15, 2015, and has a call provision that allows the repo counterparty to call the borrowing quarterly beginning September 15, 2012. The Company pledges investment securities to collateralize this borrowing.

In November 2006, Great Southern Capital Trust II ("Trust II"), a statutory trust formed by the Company for the purpose of issuing the securities, issued \$25,000,000 aggregate liquidation amount of floating rate cumulative trust preferred securities. The Trust II securities bear a floating distribution rate equal to 90-day LIBOR plus 1.60%. The Trust II securities were redeemable at the Company's option beginning in February 2012, and if not sooner redeemed, mature on February 1, 2037. The Trust II securities were sold in a private transaction exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The gross proceeds of the offering were used to purchase Junior Subordinated Debentures from the Company totaling \$25.8 million and bearing an interest rate identical to the distribution rate on the Trust II securities. The initial interest rate on the Trust II debentures was 6.98%. The interest rate was 1.84% and 1.91% at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

In July 2007, Great Southern Capital Trust III ("Trust III"), a statutory trust formed by the Company for the purpose of issuing the securities, issued \$5,000,000 aggregate liquidation amount of floating rate cumulative trust preferred securities. The Trust III securities bear a floating distribution rate equal to 90-day LIBOR plus 1.40%. The Trust III securities were redeemable at the Company's option beginning in October 2012, and if not sooner redeemed, mature on October 1, 2037. The Trust III securities were sold in a private transaction exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The gross proceeds of the offering were used to purchase Junior Subordinated Debentures from the Company totaling \$5.2 million and bearing an interest rate identical to the distribution rate on the Trust III securities. The initial interest rate on the Trust III debentures was 6.76%. The interest rate was 1.65% and 1.76% at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

In 2013, the Company entered into two interest rate cap agreements for a portion of its Junior Subordinated Debentures associated with its trust preferred securities. Under the agreements, with notional amounts of \$25 million and \$5 million, respectively, the Company will pay interest on its Junior Subordinated Debentures in accordance with the original terms at a floating rate based on LIBOR. Should interest rise above a certain threshold, the counterparty will reimburse the Company for interest paid such that the Company will have an effective interest rate on the portion of its Junior Subordinated Debentures no higher than 2.37% for the first agreement and no higher than 2.17% on the second agreement. The effective portion of the gain or loss on the derivative is reported as a component of other comprehensive income and reclassified into earnings in the same period or periods during which the hedged transaction affects earnings. The fair value of the interest rate caps at December 31, 2013 was \$685,000.

Under the terms of the preferred stock the Company issued to the U.S. Treasury pursuant to the SBLF program (the "SBLF Preferred Stock"), if a dividend is not declared and paid on the SBLF Preferred Stock for any dividend period,

then from the last day of that dividend period until the last day of the third dividend period immediately following it, neither we nor any of our subsidiaries may redeem, purchase or acquire any trust preferred securities issued by us or by any of our affiliates. In addition, under the terms of the SBLF Preferred Stock, neither we nor any of our subsidiaries may redeem, purchase or acquire any trust preferred securities if the Company's Tier 1 capital would not be at least equal to the "Tier 1 Dividend Threshold" under the terms of the SBLF Preferred Stock, as described under "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Capital Resources."

The following table sets forth the maximum month-end balances, average daily balances and weighted average interest rates of FHLBank advances during the periods indicated.

	2013		led December 31, 2012 s In Thousands)	2011
FHLBank Advances:				
Maximum balance	\$ 128,125	\$	181,780 \$	184,437
Average balance	127,561		145,464	159,148
Weighted average interest rate	3.11%)	3.05%	3.29%

The following table sets forth certain information as to the Company's FHLBank advances at the dates indicated.

	2013	December 31, 2012 ars In Thousands)	2011	
FHLBank advances	\$ 126,757	\$ 126,730 \$	184,437	
Weighted average interest rate of FHLBank advances	3.85%	3.89%	4.02%	

The following tables set forth the maximum month-end balances, average daily balances and weighted average interest rates of other borrowings during the periods indicated.

	Year Ended December 31, 2013					
				Weighted		
				Average		
	Maximum		Average	Interest		
	Balance		Balance	Rate		
	(Dollars In Thousands)					
Other Borrowings:						
Securities sold under reverse repurchase agreements	\$ 219,415	\$	179,667	0.03%		
Other	1,128		713			
Total		\$	180,380	0.03%		
Total maximum month-end balance	\$ 220,543					

Year Ended December 31, 2012						
		Weighted				
		Average				
Maximum	Average	Interest				
Balance	Balance	Rate				
	(Dollars In Thousands)					

Ithor	Borrowings:	
1 // // //	DULLUWILIS	

Securities sold under reverse repurchase agreements Other	\$ 225,859 772	\$ 212,092 560	0.15%
Total Total maximum month-end balance	\$ 226,381	\$ 212,652	0.15%

Year Ended December 31, 2011

		Maximum Balance	Dolla	Average Balance rs In Thousands)	Weighted Average Interest Rate
Other Borrowings: Securities sold under reverse repurchase agreements	\$		\$	250,149	0.27%
Other	Ф	778	φ	678	0.21%
Total			\$	250,827	0.27%
Total maximum month-end balance	\$	277,688			

The following tables set forth year-end balances and weighted average interest rates of the Company's other borrowings at the dates indicated.

				Decem	iber 31,				
	20	13		2012			2011		
		Weighted	l		Weighted	l		Weight	ed
		Average			Average			Averag	ge
		Interest			Interest			Interes	st
	Balance	Rate		Balance	Rate		Balance	Rate	
	(Dollars In Thousands)								
Other borrowings:									
Securities sold under reverse									
repurchase agreements	\$134,981	0.04	%	\$179,644	0.07	%	\$216,737	0.22	%
Other	1,128	_		772			660	_	
Total	\$136,109	0.04	%	\$180,416	0.07	%	\$217,397	0.22	%

The following table sets forth the maximum month-end balances, average daily balances and weighted average interest rates of structured repurchase agreements during the periods indicated.

		2013		2012	2011		
		(Dollars In Thousands)					
Structured repurchase agreements:							
Maximum balance	\$	53,034	\$	53,086 \$	53,138		
Average balance		52,218		53,066	53,117		
Weighted average interest rate		4.34%	,	4.33%	4.31%		

The following table sets forth certain information as to the Company's structured repurchase agreements at the dates indicated.

	December 31,	
2013	2012	2011
	(Dollars In Thousands)	

Structured repurchase agreements	\$ 50,000 \$	53,039 \$	53,090
Weighted average interest			
rate of structured repurchase agreements	4.34%	4.36%	4.31%

The following table sets forth the maximum month-end balances, average daily balances and weighted average interest rates of subordinated debentures issued to capital trusts during the periods indicated.

	Year Ended December 31,						
		2013		2	2011		
		(Dollars In Thousands)					
Subordinated debentures:							
Maximum balance	\$	30,929	\$	30,929 \$	30,929		
Average balance		30,929		30,929	30,929		
Weighted average interest rate		1.81%		1.99%	1.84%		

The following table sets forth certain information as to the Company's subordinated debentures issued to capital trusts at the dates indicated.

		2013	ember 31, 2012 In Thousands)	2011
Subordinated debentures Weighted average interest rate of subordinated debentures	\$	30,929	\$ 30,929 \$	30,929
	1.81		1.89%	1.99%

Subsidiaries

Great Southern. As a Missouri-chartered trust company, Great Southern may invest up to 3%, which was equal to \$106.5 million at December 31, 2013, of its assets in service corporations. At December 31, 2013, the Bank's total investment in Great Southern Real Estate Development Corporation ("Real Estate Development") was \$2.4 million. Real Estate Development was incorporated and organized in 2003 under the laws of the State of Missouri. At December 31, 2013, the Bank's total investment in Great Southern Financial Corporation ("GSFC") was \$6.2 million. GSFC is incorporated under the laws of the State of Missouri, and, until November 30, 2012 did business as Great Southern Insurance and Great Southern Travel. At December 31, 2013, the Bank's total investment in Great Southern Community Development Company, L.L.C. ("CDC") and its subsidiary Great Southern CDE, L.L.C. ("CDE") was \$2.6 million. CDC and CDE were incorporated and organized in 2010 under the laws of the State of Missouri. At December 31, 2013, the Bank's total investment in GS, L.L.C. ("GSLLC") was \$41.9 million. GSLLC was incorporated and organized in 2005 under the laws of the State of Missouri. At December 31, 2013, the Bank's total investment in GSSC, L.L.C. ("GSSCLLC") was \$20.3 million. GSSCLLC was incorporated and organized in 2009 under the laws of the State of Missouri. These subsidiaries are primarily engaged in the activities described below. At December 31, 2013, the Bank's total investment in GSRE Holding, L.L.C. ("GSRE Holding") was \$1.5 million. GSRE Holding was incorporated and organized in 2009 under the laws of the State of Missouri. At December 31, 2013, the Bank's total investment in GSRE Holding II, L.L.C. ("GSRE Holding II") was \$-0-. GSRE Holding II was incorporated and organized in 2009 under the laws of the State of Missouri. At December 31, 2013, the Bank's total investment in GSRE Holding III, L.L.C. ("GSRE Holding III") was \$-0-. GSRE Holding III was incorporated and organized in 2012 under the laws of the State of Missouri. In addition, Great Southern has four other subsidiary companies that are not considered service corporations, GSB One, L.L.C., GSB Two, L.L.C., VFP Conclusion Holding, L.L.C. and VFP Conclusion Holding II, L.L.C. These companies are also described below.

Great Southern Real Estate Development Corporation. Generally, the purpose of Real Estate Development is to hold real estate assets which have been obtained through foreclosure by the Bank and which require ongoing operation of a business or completion of construction. In 2013 and 2012, Real Estate Development did not hold any significant real

estate assets. Real Estate Development had net income (loss) of \$(13,650) and -0- in the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

General Insurance Agency and Travel Agency. The Company sold these business units on November 30, 2012.

Great Southern Community Development Company, L.L.C. and Great Southern CDE, L.L.C. Generally, the purpose of CDC is to invest in community development projects that have a public benefit, and are permissible under Missouri and Kansas law. These include such activities as investing in real estate and investing in other community development entities. It also serves as parent to subsidiary CDE which invests in limited liability entities for the purpose of acquiring federal tax credits to be utilized by Great Southern. CDC had consolidated net losses of \$(375,000) and \$(206,000) in years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

GS, L.L.C. GS, L.L.C. was organized in 2005. GSLLC is a limited liability company that invests in multiple limited liability entities for the purpose of acquiring state and federal tax credits which are utilized by Great Southern. GSLLC had net losses of \$(5.1 million) and \$(4.3 million) in the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, which primarily resulted from the cost to acquire tax credits. These losses were offset by the tax credits utilized by Great Southern.

GSSC, L.L.C. GSSC, L.L.C. was organized in 2008. GSSCLLC is a limited liability company that invests in multiple limited liability entities for the purpose of acquiring state tax credits which are utilized by Great Southern or sold to third parties. GSSCLLC had net income of \$32,000 and \$805,000 in the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

GSRE Holding, L.L.C. Generally, the purpose of GSRE Holding is to hold real estate assets which have been obtained through foreclosure by the Bank and which require ongoing operation of a business or completion of construction. At December 31, 2013, GSRE Holding held only cash of \$1.5 million. GSRE Holding had net losses of \$(2,000) in each of the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012.

GSRE Holding II, L.L.C. Generally, the purpose of GSRE Holding II is to hold real estate assets which have been obtained through foreclosure by the Bank and which require ongoing operation of a business or completion of construction. In 2013 and 2012, GSRE Holding II did not hold any significant real estate assets. GSRE Holding II had net income of \$-0- in each of the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012.

GSRE Holding III, L.L.C. Generally, the purpose of GSRE Holding III is to hold real estate assets which have been obtained through foreclosure by the Bank and which require ongoing operation of a business or completion of construction. In 2013 and 2012, GSRE Holding III did not hold any significant real estate assets. GSRE Holding III had net income of \$-0- in each of the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012.

GSB One, L.L.C. At December 31, 2013, the Bank's total investment in GSB One, L.L.C. ("GSB One") and GSB Two, L.L.C. ("GSB Two") was \$983 million. The capital contribution was made by transferring participations in loans to GSB Two. GSB One is a Missouri limited liability company that was formed in March of 1998. Currently the only activity of this company is the ownership of GSB Two.

GSB Two, L.L.C. This is a Missouri limited liability company that was formed in March of 1998. GSB Two is a real estate investment trust ("REIT"). It holds participations in real estate mortgages from the Bank. The Bank continues to service the loans in return for a management and servicing fee from GSB Two. GSB Two had net income of \$42.4 million and \$43.0 million in the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

VFP Conclusion Holding, L.L.C. VFP Conclusion Holding, L.L.C. ("VFP") is a Missouri limited liability company that was formed in August of 2011. Generally, the purpose of VFP is to hold real estate assets which have been obtained through foreclosure by the Bank. The real estate assets obtained through foreclosure were formerly collateral to a participation loan sold by the Bank. The Bank has a 50 percent interest in VFP and at December 31, 2013 its investment totaled \$3.9 million. Two other entities also have interests in VFP as a result of their participation in the loan sold by the Bank. VFP had net losses of \$(58,000) and \$(9,000) in the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

VFP Conclusion Holding II, L.L.C. VFP Conclusion Holding II, L.L.C. ("VFP II") is a Missouri limited liability company that was formed in September of 2012. Generally, the purpose of VFP II is to hold real estate assets which have been obtained through foreclosure by the Bank. The real estate assets obtained through foreclosure were

formerly collateral to a participation loan sold by the Bank. The Bank has a 50 percent interest in VFP II and at December 31, 2013 its investment totaled \$2.2 million. One other entity also has an interest in VFP II as a result of its participation in the loan sold by the Bank. VFP II had net income of \$35,000 and \$13,000 for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Competition

The banking industry in the Company's market areas is highly competitive. In addition to competing with other commercial and savings banks and savings and loan associations, the Company competes with credit unions, finance companies, leasing companies, mortgage companies, insurance companies, brokerage and investment banking firms and many other financial service firms. Competition is based on a number of factors including, among others, customer service, quality and range of products and services offered, price, reputation, interest rates on loans and deposits, lending limits and customer convenience. Our ability to continue to compete effectively also depends in large part on our ability to attract new employees and retain and motivate our existing employees, while managing compensation and other costs.

A substantial number of the commercial banks operating in most of the Company's market areas are branches or subsidiaries of large organizations affiliated with statewide, regional or national banking companies and as a result they may have greater resources with

which to compete. Additionally, the Company faces competition from a large number of community banks, many of which have senior management who were previously with other local banks or investor groups with strong local business and community ties.

The Company faces strong competition in attracting deposits throughout its six-state footprint. The Company attracts a significant amount of deposits through its branch offices primarily from the communities in which those branch offices are located. Of our total 96 branch offices, 65.7% of our franchise (based on FDIC market share deposits) is located in Missouri where our total market share at June 30, 2013, was 1.3% or eighth in the state. The financial institutions with the top three market share positions in Missouri at June 30, 2013, were Scottrade, U.S. Bank and Commerce Bank, which had a combined market share of 30.7%. We also have branch offices in the states of Iowa, Kansas, Minnesota, Nebraska and Arkansas which make up 11.1%, 11.0%, 9.6%, 2.1%, and 0.5% of our total franchise (based on deposits). In Iowa and Kansas, we have 0.5% and 0.5%, respectively, of the deposit market share at June 30, 2013, with rankings of 37th and 40th in these states, respectively. The leading market share positions in these states are held by Wells Fargo Bank and Bank of America, respectively. The Company's market share for our primary metropolitan statistical areas was as follows at June 30, 2013:

Metropolitan	Number of	Percentage of Total		Institution with Leading
Statistical Area	Branch Offices	Market Share	Rank	Market Share Position
Springfield,				
MO	24	12.4%	2	Commerce Bank
				Security National Bank
Sioux City, IA	7	5.7	5	of Sioux City
Kansas City,				
MO	11	0.4	37	UMB Bank
St. Louis, MO	7	0.4	44	Scottrade Bank
				First National Bank of
Omaha, NE	3	0.2	43	Omaha
NW Arkansas	1	0.2	33	Arvest Bank
Minneapolis,				
MN	4	0.2	22	Wells Fargo Bank

Our most direct competition for deposits has historically come from other commercial banks, savings institutions and credit unions located in our market areas. The Bank competes for these deposits by offering a variety of deposit accounts at competitive rates, convenient business hours, and convenient branch, ATM and mobile services. Our ability to attract and retain customer deposits depends on our ability to generally provide a rate of return, liquidity and risk comparable to that offered by competing investment opportunities.

Competition in originating real estate loans comes primarily from other commercial banks, savings institutions and mortgage bankers making loans secured by real estate located in the Bank's market area. The specific institutions are similar to those discussed above in regards to deposit market share. Commercial banks and finance companies provide vigorous competition in commercial and consumer lending. The Bank competes for real estate and other loans principally on the basis of the interest rates and loan fees it charges, the types of loans it originates, the quality of services it provides to borrowers and the locations of our branch office network.

Many of our competitors have substantially greater resources, name recognition and market presence that benefit them in attracting business. In addition, larger competitors (including nationwide banks that have a significant presence in our market areas) may be able to price loans and deposits more aggressively than we do due to their greater economies of scale. Smaller and newer competitors may also be more aggressive than we are in terms of pricing loan and deposit products in order to obtain a larger share of the market. In addition, some competitors located outside of our market areas conduct business primarily over the Internet, which may enable them to realize certain savings and offer products and services at more favorable rates and with greater convenience to certain customers.

We also depend, from time to time, on outside funding sources, including brokered deposits, where we face nationwide competition, and Federal Home Loan Bank advances. Some of the financial institutions and financial services organizations with which we compete are not subject to the same degree of regulation as is imposed on insured depositary institutions and their holding companies. As a result, these non-bank competitors have certain advantages over us in accessing funding and in providing various services.

Despite the highly competitive environment and the challenges it presents to us, management believes the Company will continue to be competitive because of its strong commitment to quality customer service, competitive products and pricing, convenient local branches and active community involvement.

Employees

At December 31, 2013, the Bank and its affiliates had a total of 1,163 employees, including 276 part-time employees. None of the Bank's employees are represented by any collective bargaining agreement. Management considers its employee relations to be good.

Government Supervision and Regulation

General

The Company and its subsidiaries are subject to supervision and examination by applicable federal and state banking agencies. The earnings of the Bank's subsidiaries, and therefore the earnings of the Company, are affected by general economic conditions, management policies, federal and state legislation, and actions of various regulatory authorities, including the FRB, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the "FDIC") and the Missouri Division of Finance (the "MDF"). The following is a brief summary of certain aspects of the regulation of the Company and the Bank and does not purport to fully discuss such regulation. Such regulation is intended primarily for the protection of depositors and the Deposit Insurance Fund, and not for the protection of stockholders.

Significant Legislation Impacting the Financial Services Industry

On July 21, 2010, sweeping financial regulatory reform legislation entitled the "Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act" (the "Dodd-Frank Act") was signed into law. The Dodd-Frank Act implements far-reaching changes across the financial regulatory landscape, including provisions that, among other things:

- Centralize responsibility for consumer financial protection by creating a new agency, the
 Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, with broad rulemaking authority for a wide range of
 consumer protection laws that apply to all banks. These laws are enforced by the Bureau for
 banks with more than \$10 billion in assets and by the federal banking regulators for other banks.
- Require new capital rules and apply to bank holding companies the same leverage and risk-based capital requirements that apply to insured depository institutions.
- · Change the assessment base for federal deposit insurance from the amount of insured deposits to consolidated average assets less Tier 1 capital.
- · Increase the minimum ratio of net worth to insured deposits of the Deposit Insurance Fund from 1.15% to 1.35% and require the FDIC, in setting assessments, to offset the effect of the increase on institutions with assets of less than \$10 billion.
- · Provide for new disclosure and other requirements relating to executive compensation and corporate governance and a prohibition on compensation arrangements that encourage inappropriate risks or that could provide excessive compensation.
- · Make permanent the \$250 thousand limit for federal deposit insurance.
- Repeal the federal prohibitions on the payment of interest on demand deposits, thereby permitting depository institutions to pay interest on business transaction and other accounts.
- · Increase the authority of the FRB to examine the Company and its non-bank subsidiaries.
- · Require all bank holding companies to serve as a source of financial strength to their depository institution subsidiaries in the event such subsidiaries suffer from financial distress.

Many aspects of the Dodd-Frank Act are subject to rulemaking and will take effect over several years, making it difficult to anticipate the overall financial impact on the Company and the financial services industry more generally. Provisions in the legislation that affect deposit insurance assessments, and payment of interest on demand deposits could increase the costs associated with deposits. Revisions to the capital requirements of the Company and the

Bank could require the Company and the Bank to seek additional sources of capital in the future. See "New Capital Rules" below.

Bank Holding Company Regulation

The Company is a bank holding company that has elected to be treated as a financial holding company by the FRB. Financial holding companies are subject to comprehensive regulation by the FRB under the Bank Holding Company Act and the regulations of the FRB. The Company is required to file reports with the FRB and such additional information as the FRB may require, and is subject to regular examinations by the FRB. The FRB also has extensive enforcement authority over financial holding companies, including, among other things, the ability to assess civil money penalties, to issue cease and desist or removal orders and to require that a holding company divest subsidiaries (including its bank subsidiaries). In general, enforcement actions may be initiated for violations of law and regulations and unsafe or unsound practices.

Under FRB policy and the Dodd-Frank Act, a bank holding company must serve as a source of strength for its subsidiary banks. Accordingly, the FRB may require, and has required in the past, that a bank holding company contribute additional capital to an undercapitalized subsidiary bank.

Under the Bank Holding Company Act, a financial holding company must obtain FRB approval before: (i) acquiring, directly or indirectly, ownership or control of any voting shares of another bank or bank holding company if, after such acquisition, it would own or control more than 5% of such shares (unless it already owns or controls the majority of such shares); (ii) acquiring all or substantially all of the assets of another bank or bank or financial holding company; or (iii) merging or consolidating with another bank or financial holding company.

The Bank Holding Company Act also prohibits a financial holding company generally from engaging directly or indirectly in activities other than those involving banking, activities closely related to banking that are permitted for a bank holding company, securities, insurance and merchant banking.

Volcker Rule

The federal banking agencies have adopted regulations, effective April 15, 2014, with a conformance period for certain features lasting until July 21, 2015, to implement the provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act known as the Volcker Rule. Under the regulations, FDIC-insured depository institutions, their holding companies, subsidiaries and affiliates (collectively, "banking entities"), are generally prohibited, subject to certain exemptions, from proprietary trading of securities and other financial instruments and from acquiring or retaining an ownership interest in a "covered fund."

Trading in certain government obligations is not prohibited. These include, among others, obligations of or guaranteed by the United States or an agency or government-sponsored entity of the United States, obligations of a State of the United States or a political subdivision thereof, and municipal securities. Proprietary trading generally does not include transactions under repurchase and reverse repurchase agreements, securities lending transactions and purchases and sales for the purpose of liquidity management if the liquidity management plan meets specified criteria; nor does it generally include transactions undertaken in a fiduciary capacity.

The term "covered fund" can include, in addition to many private equity and hedge funds and other entities, certain collateralized mortgage obligations, collateralized debt obligations and collateralized loan obligations, and other items, but it does not include wholly owned subsidiaries, certain joint ventures, or loan securitizations generally if the underlying assets are solely loans. The term "ownership interest" includes not only an equity interest or a partnership interest, but also an interest that has the right to participate in selection or removal of a general partner, managing member, director, trustee or investment manager or advisor; to receive a share of income, gains or profits of the fund; to receive underlying fund assets after all other interests have been redeemed; to receive all or a portion of excess spread; or to receive income on a pass-through basis or income determined by reference to the performance of fund assets. In addition, "ownership interest" includes an interest under which amounts payable can be reduced based on losses arising from underlying fund assets.

Activities eligible for exemptions include, among others, certain brokerage, underwriting and marketing activities, and risk-mitigating hedging activities with respect to specific risks and subject to specified conditions.

Interstate Banking and Branching

Federal law allows the FRB to approve an application of a bank holding company to acquire control of, or acquire all or substantially all of the assets of, a bank located in a state other than such holding company's home state, without

regard to whether the transaction is prohibited by the laws of any state. The FRB may not approve the acquisition of a bank that has not been in existence for the minimum time period (not exceeding five years) specified by the statutory law of the host state. Federal law also prohibits the FRB from approving such an application if the applicant (and its depository institution affiliates) controls or would control more than 10% of the insured deposits in the United States or if the applicant would control 30% or more of the deposits in any state in which the target bank maintains a branch and in which the applicant or any of its depository institution affiliates controls a depository institution or branch immediately prior to the acquisition of the target bank. Federal law does not affect the authority of states to limit the percentage of total insured deposits in the state which may be held or controlled by a bank or bank holding company to the extent such limitation does not discriminate against out-of-state banks or bank holding companies. Individual states may also waive the 30% state-wide concentration limit. Missouri law prohibits a bank holding company from acquiring a depository institution if total deposits would exceed 13% of statewide deposits excluding bank certificates of deposit of \$100,000 or more.

The federal banking agencies are generally authorized to approve interstate bank merger transactions and de novo branching without regard to whether such transactions are prohibited by the law of any state. Interstate acquisitions of branches are generally permitted only if the law of the state in which the branch is located permits such acquisitions. Interstate mergers and branch acquisitions are also subject to the nationwide and statewide insured deposit concentration amounts described above.

As required by federal law, federal regulations prohibit any out-of-state bank from using the interstate branching authority primarily for the purpose of deposit production, including guidelines to ensure that interstate branches operated by an out-of-state bank in a host state reasonably help to meet the credit needs of the communities which they serve.

Certain Transactions with Affiliates and Other Persons

Transactions involving the Bank and its affiliates are subject to sections 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act, and regulations thereunder, which impose certain quantitative limits and collateral requirements on such transactions, and require all such transactions to be on terms at least as favorable to the Bank as are available in transactions with non-affiliates.

All loans by the Bank to the principal stockholders, directors and executive officers of the Bank or any affiliate are subject to regulations restricting loans and other transactions with insiders of the Bank. Transactions involving such persons must be on terms and conditions comparable to those for similar transactions with non-insiders. A bank may allow favorable rate loans to insiders pursuant to an employee benefit program available to bank employees generally. The Bank has such a program.

Dividends

The FRB has issued a policy statement on the payment of cash dividends by bank holding companies, which expresses the FRB's view that a bank holding company should pay cash dividends only to the extent that its net income for the past year is sufficient to cover both the cash dividends and a rate of earnings retention that is consistent with the holding company's capital needs, asset quality and overall financial condition. The FRB also indicated that it would be inappropriate for a company experiencing serious financial problems to borrow funds to pay dividends. Furthermore, a bank holding company may be prohibited from paying any dividends if the holding company's bank subsidiary is not adequately capitalized.

A bank holding company is required to give the FRB prior written notice of any purchase or redemption of its outstanding equity securities if the gross consideration for the purchase or redemption, when combined with the net consideration paid for all such purchases or redemptions during the preceding 12 months, is equal to 10% or more of the company's consolidated net worth. The FRB may disapprove such a purchase or redemption if it determines that the proposal would constitute an unsafe or unsound practice or would violate any law, regulation, FRB order, or any condition imposed by, or written agreement with, the FRB. This notification requirement does not apply to any company that meets the well-capitalized standard for bank holding companies, is well-managed, and is not subject to any unresolved supervisory issues. Under Missouri law, the Bank may pay dividends from certain undivided profits and may not pay dividends if its capital is impaired.

Capital

General. The federal banking agencies have adopted various capital-related regulations. Under the current regulations, a bank will be well capitalized if it has: (i) a total risk-based capital ratio of 10% or greater; (ii) a Tier 1 risk-based ratio of 6% or greater; (iii) a leverage ratio of 5% or greater; and (iv) is not subject to a regulatory requirement to maintain any specific capital measure. A bank will be adequately capitalized if it is not "well capitalized" and has: (i) a total risk-based capital ratio of 8% or greater; (ii) a Tier 1 risk-based ratio of 4% or greater; and (iii) a leverage ratio of 4% or greater. As of December 31, 2013, the Bank was "well capitalized." An institution that is not well-capitalized is subject to certain restrictions on brokered deposits and interest rates on deposits. See

"New Capital Rules" below.

The federal banking regulators are required to take prompt corrective action if an institution fails to satisfy the requirements to qualify as adequately capitalized. All institutions, regardless of their capital levels, will be restricted from making any capital distribution or paying any management fees that would cause the institution to fail to satisfy the requirements to qualify as adequately capitalized. An institution that is not at least adequately capitalized will be: (i) subject to increased monitoring by the appropriate federal banking regulator; (ii) required to submit an acceptable capital restoration plan (including certain guarantees by any company controlling the institution) within 45 days; (iii) subject to asset growth limits; and (iv) required to obtain prior regulatory approval for acquisitions, branching and new lines of business. Additional restrictions and appointment of a receiver or conservator, can apply, depending on the institution's capital level. The FDIC has jurisdiction over the Bank for purposes of prompt corrective action. When the FDIC as receiver liquidates an institution, the claims of depositors and the FDIC as their successor (for deposits covered by FDIC insurance) have priority over other unsecured claims against the institution, including claims of stockholders.

The federal banking agencies take into consideration concentrations of credit risk and risks from non-traditional activities, as well as an institution's ability to manage those risks, when determining the adequacy of an institution's capital. This evaluation will generally be made as part of the institution's regular safety and soundness examination. Under their regulations, the federal banking agencies consider interest rate risk (when the interest rate sensitivity of an institution's assets does not match the sensitivity of its liabilities or

its off-balance-sheet position) in the evaluation of a bank's capital adequacy. The banking agencies have issued guidance on evaluating interest rate risk.

The FRB has established capital regulations for bank holding companies that generally parallel the capital regulations for banks. To be considered "well capitalized," a bank holding company must have, on a consolidated basis, a total risk-based capital ratio of 10.0% or greater and a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of 6.0% or greater and must not be subject to an individual order, directive or agreement under which the FRB requires it to maintain a specific capital level. As of December 31, 2013, the Company was "well capitalized." See "New Capital Rules" below.

New Capital Rules. Effective January 1, 2015 (with some changes transitioned into full effectiveness over two to four years), the Company and the Bank will be subject to new capital regulations adopted by the FRB and the FDIC, which create a new required ratio for common equity Tier 1 ("CET1") capital, increase the minimum leverage and Tier 1 capital ratios, change the risk-weightings of certain assets for purposes of the risk-based capital ratios, create an additional capital conservation buffer over the required capital ratios, and change what qualifies as capital for purposes of meeting the capital requirements.

Under the new capital regulations, the minimum capital ratios are: (1) a CET1 capital ratio of 4.5% of risk-weighted assets; (2) a Tier 1 capital ratio of 6.0% of risk-weighted assets; (3) a total capital ratio of 8.0% of risk-weighted assets; and (4) a leverage ratio (the ratio of Tier 1 capital to average total adjusted assets) of 4.0%. CET1 generally consists of common stock; retained earnings; accumulated other comprehensive income ("AOCI") unless we elect to exclude AOCI from regulatory capital, as discussed below; and certain minority interests; all subject to applicable regulatory adjustments and deductions.

There are a number of changes in what constitutes regulatory capital, subject to transition periods. These changes include the phasing-out of certain instruments as qualifying capital. Mortgage servicing and deferred tax assets over designated percentages of CET1 will be deducted from capital. In addition, Tier 1 capital will include AOCI, which includes all unrealized gains and losses on available for sale debt and equity securities. Because of our asset size, we have the one-time option of deciding in the first quarter of 2015 whether to permanently opt-out of the inclusion of unrealized gains and losses on available for sale debt and equity securities in our capital calculations. We are considering whether to elect this option.

The new requirements also include changes in the risk-weighting of assets to better reflect credit risk and other risk exposure. These include a 150% risk weight (up from 100%) for certain high volatility commercial real estate acquisition, development and construction loans and for non-residential mortgage loans that are 90 days past due or otherwise in nonaccrual status; a 20% (up from 0%) credit conversion factor for the unused portion of a commitment with an original maturity of one year or less that is not unconditionally cancellable (currently set at 0%); and a 250% risk weight (up from 100%) for mortgage servicing and deferred tax assets that are not deducted from capital.

In addition to the minimum CET1, Tier 1 and total capital ratios, the Company and the Bank will have to maintain a capital conservation buffer consisting of additional CET1 capital greater than 2.5% of risk-weighted assets above the required minimum levels in order to avoid limitations on paying dividends, engaging in share repurchases, and paying discretionary bonuses. The new capital conservation buffer requirement is to be phased in beginning on January 1, 2016 when a buffer greater than 0.625% of risk-weighted assets will be required, which amount will increase each year until the buffer requirement is fully implemented on January 1, 2019.

The FDIC's prompt corrective action standards change when these new capital regulations become effective. Under the new standards, in order to be considered well-capitalized, the Bank must have a ratio of CET1 capital to

risk-weighted assets of 6.5% (new), a ratio of Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets of 8% (increased from 6%), a ratio of total capital to risk-weighted assets of 10% (unchanged), and a leverage ratio of 5% (unchanged); and in order to be considered adequately capitalized, it must have the minimum capital ratios described above.

Although we continue to evaluate the impact that the new capital rules will have on the Company and the Bank, we anticipate that the Company and the Bank will remain well-capitalized under the new capital rules, and will meet the capital conservation buffer requirement.

Insurance of Accounts and Regulation by the FDIC

Great Southern is a member of the DIF, which is administered by the FDIC. Deposits are insured up to the applicable limits by the FDIC, backed by the full faith and credit of the United States Government. The general deposit insurance limit is \$250,000. Under the Dodd-Frank Act, non-interest bearing deposit transaction accounts and IOLTA accounts received unlimited deposit insurance through December 31, 2012, and the Bank paid no additional fees or premiums for this coverage.

The FDIC assesses deposit insurance premiums on all FDIC-insured institutions quarterly based on annualized rates for four risk categories. Each institution is assigned to one of four risk categories based on its capital, supervisory ratings and other factors. Well capitalized institutions that are financially sound with only a few minor weaknesses are assigned to Risk Category I. Risk Categories II, III and IV present progressively greater risks to the DIF.

As required by the Dodd-Frank Act, the FDIC has adopted rules under which insurance premium assessments are based on an institution's total assets minus its tangible equity instead of its deposits, which was the assessment base previously. Under these rules, an institution with total assets of less than \$10 billion will be assigned to a Risk Category as described above, and a range of initial base assessment rates will apply to each category, subject to adjustment downward based on unsecured debt issued by the institution and, except for an institution in Risk Category I, adjustment upward if the institution's brokered deposits exceed 10% of its domestic deposits, to produce total base assessment rates. Total base assessment rates range from 2.5 to 9 basis points for Risk Category I, 9 to 24 basis points for Risk Category II, 18 to 33 basis points for Risk Category III, and 30 to 45 basis points for Risk Category IV, all subject to further adjustment upward if the institution holds more than a de minimis amount of unsecured debt issued by another FDIC-insured institution. The FDIC may increase or decrease its rates by 2.0 basis points without further rulemaking. In an emergency, the FDIC may also impose a special assessment.

As a result of a decline in the reserve ratio (the ratio of the net worth of the DIF to estimated insured deposits) and concerns about expected failure costs and available liquid assets in the DIF, the FDIC adopted a rule requiring each insured institution to prepay on December 30, 2009 the estimated amount of its quarterly assessments for the fourth quarter of 2009 and all quarters through the end of 2012 (in addition to the regular quarterly assessment for the third quarter due on December 30, 2009). The prepaid amount was recorded as an asset with a zero risk weight and the institution will continue to record quarterly expenses for deposit insurance. For purposes of calculating the prepaid amount, assessments are measured at the institution's assessment rate as of September 30, 2009, with a uniform increase of 3 basis points effective January 1, 2011, and are based on the institution's assessment base for the third quarter of 2009, with growth assumed quarterly at annual rate of 5%. We prepaid \$13.2 million, which was expensed in the normal course of business throughout the three-year period. Collection of the prepayment does not preclude the FDIC from changing assessment rates or revising the risk-based assessment system in the future.

The FDIC also collects assessments against the assessable deposits of insured institutions to service the debt on bonds issued during the 1980s to resolve the thrift bailout. For the quarter ended December 31, 2013, the assessment rate was 0.64 basis points applied to the same assessment base as is used for deposit insurance assessments. For the first quarter of 2014, the rate is 0.62 basis points.

The Dodd-Frank Act establishes 1.35% as the minimum reserve ratio. The FDIC has adopted a plan under which it will meet this ratio by September 30, 2020, the deadline imposed by the Dodd-Frank Act. The Dodd-Frank requires the FDIC to offset the effect on institutions with assets less than \$10 billion of the increase in the statutory minimum reserve ratio to 1.35% from the former statutory minimum of 1.15%. The FDIC has not yet announced how it will implement this offset. In addition to the statutory minimum ratio, the FDIC must designate a reserve ratio, known as the designated reserve ratio or DRR, which may exceed the statutory minimum. The FDIC has established 2.0% as the DRR.

The FDIC is authorized to conduct examinations of and to require reporting by FDIC-insured institutions, and is the primary federal banking regulator of state banks that are not members of the Federal Reserve, such as the Bank. The FDIC examines the Bank regularly. The FDIC may prohibit any insured institution from engaging in any activity the FDIC determines by regulation or order to pose a serious threat to the DIF. The FDIC also has the authority to take enforcement actions against banks and savings associations.

Federal Reserve System

The FRB requires all depository institutions to maintain reserves against their transaction accounts (primarily NOW and Super NOW checking accounts) and non-personal time deposits. At December 31, 2013, the Bank was in compliance with these reserve requirements.

Banks are authorized to borrow from the FRB "discount window," but FRB regulations only allow this borrowing for short periods of time and generally require banks to exhaust other reasonable alternative sources of funds where practical, including FHLBank advances, before borrowing from the FRB. See "Sources of Funds Borrowings" above.

Federal Home Loan Bank System

The Bank is a member of the FHLBank of Des Moines, which is one of 12 regional FHLBanks.

As a member, Great Southern is required to purchase and maintain stock in the FHLBank of Des Moines in an amount equal to the greater of 1% of its outstanding home loans or 5% of its outstanding FHLBank advances. At December 31, 2013, Great Southern had

\$9.8 million in FHLBank stock, which was in compliance with this requirement. In past years, the Bank has received dividends on its FHLBank stock. Over the past five years, such dividends have averaged 2.80% and were 3.50% for year the ended December 31, 2013.

Legislative and Regulatory Proposals

Any changes in the extensive regulatory scheme to which the Company or the Bank is and will be subject, whether by any of the federal banking agencies or Congress, or the Missouri legislature or MDF, could have a material effect on the Company or the Bank, and the Company and the Bank cannot predict what, if any, future actions may be taken by legislative or regulatory authorities or what impact such actions may have.

Federal and State Taxation

General

The following discussion contains a summary of certain federal and state income tax provisions applicable to the Company and the Bank. It is not a comprehensive description of the federal income tax laws that may affect the Company and the Bank. The following discussion is based upon current provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (the "Code") and Treasury and judicial interpretations thereof.

The Company and its subsidiaries file a consolidated federal income tax return using the accrual method of accounting, with the exception of GSB Two which files a separate return as a REIT. All corporations joining in the consolidated federal income tax return are jointly and severally liable for taxes due and payable by the consolidated group. The following discussion primarily focuses upon the taxation of the Bank, since the federal income tax law contains certain special provisions with respect to banks.

Financial institutions, such as the Bank, are subject, with certain exceptions, to the provisions of the Code generally applicable to corporations.

Bad Debt Deduction

As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, retained earnings included approximately \$17.5 million for which no deferred income tax liability has been recognized. This amount represents an allocation of income to bad debt deductions for tax purposes only for tax years prior to 1988. If the Bank were to liquidate, the entire amount would have to be recaptured and would create income for tax purposes only, which would be subject to the then-current corporate income tax rate. The unrecorded deferred income tax liability on the above amount was approximately \$6.5 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012.

The Bank is required to follow the specific charge-off method which only allows a bad debt deduction equal to actual charge-offs, net of recoveries, experienced during the fiscal year of the deduction. In a year where recoveries exceed charge-offs, the Bank would be required to include the net recoveries in taxable income.

Interest Deduction

In the case of a financial institution, such as the Bank, no deduction is allowed for the pro rata portion of its interest expense which is allocable to tax-exempt interest on obligations acquired after August 7, 1986. A limited class of tax-exempt obligations acquired after August 7, 1986 will not be subject to this complete disallowance rule. For

certain tax exempt obligations issued in 2009 and 2010, an amount of tax-exempt obligations that are not generally considered part of the "limited class of tax-exempt obligations" noted above may be treated as part of the "limited class of tax-exempt obligations to the extent of two percent of a financial institutions total assets. For tax-exempt obligations acquired after December 31, 1982 and before August 8, 1986 and for obligations acquired after August 7, 1986 that are not subject to the complete disallowance rule, 80% of interest incurred to purchase or carry such obligations will be deductible. No portion of the interest expense allocable to tax-exempt obligations acquired by a financial institution before January 1, 1983, which is otherwise deductible, will be disallowed. There are two significant changes for bonds issued in 2009 and 2010 which include (1) the annual limit for bonds that may be designated as bank qualified is increased from \$10 million to \$30 million and (2) the annual limitation is considered at the organization level rather than the issuer level. The interest expense disallowance rules cited above have not significantly impacted the Bank.

FDIC-Assisted Bank Transactions

During 2009, 2011 and 2012, the Bank acquired assets and liabilities of four unrelated failed institutions in transactions with the FDIC. As part of these transactions, the Bank and the FDIC entered into loss sharing agreements whereby the FDIC agreed to share losses incurred associated with the assets purchased by the Bank.

The Bank recognized financial statement gains associated with these transactions. The ultimate tax treatment of these transactions is similar to the financial statement treatment; however, the approaches to valuing the acquired assets and liabilities is different, and results in carrying value differences in the underlying assets and liabilities, for tax purposes. In addition, any gain recognized on the transactions for tax purposes is recognized over a six year period.

Alternative Minimum Tax

Corporations generally are subject to a 20% corporate alternative minimum tax ("AMT"). A corporation must pay the AMT to the extent it exceeds that corporation's regular federal income tax liability The AMT is imposed on "alternative minimum taxable income," defined as taxable income with certain adjustments and tax preference items, less any available exemption. Such adjustments and items include, but are not limited to, (i) net interest received on certain tax-exempt bonds issued after August 7, 1986; and (ii) 75% of the difference between adjusted current earnings and alternative minimum taxable income, as otherwise determined with certain adjustments. Net operating loss carryovers may be utilized, subject to adjustment, to offset up to 90% of the alternative minimum taxable income, as otherwise determined. Any AMT paid may be credited against future regular federal income tax liabilities to the extent the regular federal income tax liability exceeds the AMT liability. In addition, certain credits may be used to reduce AMT obligations. The Company has invested in certain partnerships that generate tax credits (low-income housing and rehabilitation tax credits) that may be used to reduce their AMT.

State Taxation

Missouri-based banks, such as the Bank, are subject to a franchise tax which is imposed on the larger of (i) the bank's taxable income at the rate of 7% of the taxable income (determined without regard for any net operating losses) - income-based calculation; or (ii) the bank's assets at a rate of .020% of total assets less deposits and the investment in greater than 50% owned subsidiaries - asset-based calculation. Missouri-based banks are entitled to a credit against the income-based franchise tax for all other state or local taxes on banks, except taxes on real estate, unemployment taxes, bank tax, and taxes on tangible personal property owned by the Bank and held for lease or rental to others.

The Company and all subsidiaries are subject to a Missouri income tax that is imposed on the corporation's taxable income at the rate of 6.25%. The return is filed on a consolidated basis by all members of the consolidated group including the Bank, but excluding GSB Two. As a REIT, GSB Two files a separate Missouri income tax return.

The Bank also has full service offices in Kansas, Iowa, Minnesota, Nebraska and Arkansas, and has had loan production offices in some of these states. As a result, the Bank is subject to franchise and income taxes that are imposed on the corporation's taxable income attributable to those states. In addition, until November 30, 2012, Great Southern Travel had locations in each of these states except Minnesota and Nebraska.

As a Maryland corporation, the Company is required to file an annual report with and pay an annual fee to the State of Maryland.

Examinations

The Company and its consolidated subsidiaries have not been audited recently by the Internal Revenue Service or the State of Missouri with respect to income or franchise tax returns, and as such, tax years through December 31, 2005, have been closed without audit. The Company, through one of its subsidiaries, is a partner in two partnerships currently under Internal Revenue Service examinations for 2006 and 2007. As a result, the Company's 2006 and subsequent tax years remain open for examination. It is too early in the examination process to predict the outcome of

the underlying partnership examinations; however, the Company does not currently expect significant adjustments to its financial statements from these examinations.

The Company is currently under examination with the State of Kansas for its 2010 through 2012 tax years. The Company does not currently expect significant adjustments to its financial statements from this state examination.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

An investment in the common stock of the Company is speculative in nature and is subject to certain risks inherent in the business of the Company and the Bank. The material risks and uncertainties that management believes affect the Company and the Bank are described below. You should carefully consider the risks described below, as well as the other information included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, before making an investment in the Company's common stock. The risks described below are not the only ones we face in our business. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us or that we currently believe to be immaterial may also impair our business operations. If any of the following risks occur, our business, financial condition or operating results could be materially harmed. In such an event, our common stock could decline in value.

References to "we," "us," and "our" in this "Risk Factors" section refer to the Company and its subsidiaries, including the Bank, unless otherwise specified or unless the context otherwise requires.

Risks Relating to the Company and the Bank

Difficult market conditions and economic trends have adversely affected our industry and our business.

The United States experienced a severe economic recession in 2008 and 2009. While economic growth has resumed, the rate of this growth has been slow and unemployment, although improving, remains at high levels. Many lending institutions, including us, have experienced declines in the performance of their loans, including construction loans and commercial real estate loans, in the previous few years. In addition, the values of real estate collateral supporting many loans have declined and may continue to decline. Bank and bank holding company stock prices were negatively affected, as was the ability of banks and bank holding companies to raise capital and borrow in the debt markets. These conditions may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. In addition, as a result of the foregoing factors, there is a potential for new laws and regulations regarding lending and funding practices and capital and liquidity standards (some have already been proposed or implemented), and bank regulatory agencies have been and are expected to continue to be very aggressive in responding to concerns and trends identified in examinations.

Adverse developments in the financial industry and the impact of new legislation and regulations in response to those developments could restrict our business operations, including our ability to originate loans, and adversely impact our results of operations and financial condition. Overall, during the past few years, the general business environment has had an adverse effect on our business. Until there is a sustained improvement in conditions, we expect our business, financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected.

Since our business is primarily concentrated in Missouri, Iowa, Kansas and Minnesota, a significant downturn in these state or local economies, particularly in Springfield, St. Louis or Branson, may adversely affect our business.

Our lending and deposit gathering activities historically have been concentrated primarily in the Springfield and Branson, Missouri areas. Our success depends heavily on the general economic condition of Springfield and Branson and their surrounding areas. Although we believe the economy in these areas has been favorable relative to other areas, we do not know whether these conditions will continue. Our greatest concentration of loans and deposits has traditionally been in the Greater Springfield area. With a population of approximately 420,000, the Greater Springfield area is the third largest metropolitan area in Missouri.

Another large concentration of loans contiguous to Springfield is in the Branson area. The region is a vacation and entertainment center, attracting tourists to its lakes, theme parks, resorts, country music and novelty shows and other recreational facilities. The Branson area experienced rapid growth in the early 1990s, with stable to slightly negative growth trends occurring in the late 1990s and into the early 2000s. Branson experienced growth again in the late 2000s as a result of a large retail, hotel, and convention center project which was constructed in Branson's historic downtown. In addition, several large national retailers opened new stores in Branson. In 2010 through 2013, Branson experienced some negative growth trends with fewer visitors and the closing of some motels and shows. Residential construction has been very limited in the past few years and little to no growth has occurred in any of Branson's commercial real estate market segments. At December 31, 2013, approximately 6% of our loan portfolio (excluding

loans covered by loss sharing agreements) and approximately 12% of our non-performing loans consisted of loans to borrowers in or secured by properties in the two-county region that includes the Branson area.

In addition to the concentrations in the southwest Missouri area, we also have a concentration of loans to borrowers in or secured by properties in the St. Louis, Missouri metropolitan area. At December 31, 2013, approximately 20% of our loan portfolio (excluding loans covered by loss sharing agreements) consisted of loans for apartments, condominiums, residential and commercial land developments, industrial revenue bonds and other types of commercial properties in the St. Louis, Missouri metropolitan area.

With the FDIC-assisted transactions that were completed in 2009, we now have additional concentrations of loans in Western and Central Iowa and in Eastern Kansas. The FDIC-assisted transaction completed in 2011 added to our concentrations in Missouri, particularly in St. Louis. As a result of the FDIC-assisted transaction completed in 2012, approximately 56% of our purchased loans consist of loans to borrowers in or secured by properties in the Minneapolis, Minnesota metropolitan area. The loans acquired in the FDIC-assisted transactions are subject to loss sharing agreements with the FDIC.

Adverse changes in regional and general economic conditions could reduce our growth rate, impair our ability to collect loans, increase loan delinquencies, increase problem assets and foreclosures, increase claims and lawsuits, decrease demand for our products and services, and decrease the value of collateral for loans, especially real estate, thereby having a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Our loan portfolio possesses increased risk due to our relatively high concentration of commercial and residential construction, commercial real estate, multi-family and other commercial loans.

Our commercial and residential construction, commercial real estate, multi-family and other commercial loans accounted for approximately 71.3% of our total loan portfolio as of December 31, 2013. Generally, we consider these types of loans to involve a higher degree of risk compared to first mortgage loans on one- to four-family, owner-occupied residential properties. At December 31, 2013, we had \$338.9 million of loans secured by apartments, \$110.8 million of loans secured by motels, \$127.4 million of loans secured by healthcare facilities, \$204.0 million of loans secured by retail-related projects, and \$237.4 million of loans secured by office/warehouse facilities, which are particularly sensitive to certain risks, including the following:

- large loan balances owed by a single borrower;
- payments that are dependent on the successful operation of the project; and
- loans that are more directly impacted by adverse conditions in the real estate market or the economy generally.

The risks associated with construction lending include the borrower's inability to complete the construction process on time and within budget, the sale of the project within projected absorption periods, the economic risks associated with real estate collateral, and the potential of a rising interest rate environment. These loans may include financing the development and/or construction of residential subdivisions. This activity may involve financing land purchases, infrastructure development (e.g., roads, utilities, etc.), as well as construction of residences or multi-family dwellings for subsequent sale by the developer/builder. Because the sale of developed properties is critical to the success of the developer's business, loan repayment may be especially subject to the volatility of real estate market values. Management has established underwriting and monitoring criteria to help minimize the inherent risks of commercial real estate construction lending. However, there is no guarantee that these controls and procedures will reduce losses on this type of lending.

Commercial and multi-family real estate lending typically involves higher loan principal amounts and the repayment of the loans generally is dependent, in large part, on the successful operation of the property securing the loan or the business conducted on the property securing the loan. Other commercial loans are typically made on the basis of the borrower's ability to make repayment from the cash flow of the borrower's business or investment. These loans may therefore be more adversely affected by conditions in the real estate markets or in the economy generally. For example, if the cash flow from the borrower's project is reduced due to leases not being obtained or renewed, the borrower's ability to repay the loan may be impaired. In addition, many commercial and multi-family real estate loans are not fully amortized over the loan period, but have balloon payments due at maturity. A borrower's ability to make a balloon payment typically will depend on being able to either refinance the loan or complete a timely sale of the underlying property.

We plan to continue to originate commercial real estate and construction loans based on economic and market conditions. In the current economic situation, we do not anticipate that there will be significant demand for these types of loans in the near term. Because of the increased risks related to these types of loans, we may determine it necessary to increase the level of our provision for loan losses. Increased provisions for loan losses would adversely impact our operating results. See "Item 1. Business-The Company-Lending Activities-Commercial Real Estate and Construction Lending," "-Other Commercial Lending," "-Residential Real Estate Lending" and "-Allowance for Losses on Loans and Foreclosed Assets" and "Item 7. Management's Discussion of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Non-performing Assets" in this Report.

A slowdown in the residential or commercial real estate markets may adversely affect our earnings and liquidity position.

The overall credit quality of our construction loan portfolio is impacted by trends in real estate values. We continually monitor changes in key regional and national economic factors because changes in these factors can impact our residential and commercial construction loan portfolio and the ability of our borrowers to repay their loans. Across the United States over the past few years, the residential real estate market has experienced significant adverse trends, including accelerated price depreciation and rising delinquency and default rates, and weaknesses have arisen in the commercial real estate market as well. The conditions in the residential real estate market have led to significant increases in loan delinquencies and credit losses as well as higher provisioning for loan losses which in turn have had a negative effect on earnings for many banks across the country. Likewise, we have also experienced delinquencies in our construction loan portfolio. The current condition of both the residential and the commercial real estate markets could continue to negatively impact real estate values and the ability of our borrowers to liquidate properties. Despite reduced sales prices, the lack of liquidity in the real estate market and tightening of credit standards within the banking industry may continue to diminish all sales, further reducing our borrowers' cash flows and weakening their ability to repay their debt obligations to us. As a result, we may experience a further material adverse impact on our financial condition and results of operations.

Our allowance for loan losses may prove to be insufficient to absorb potential losses in our loan portfolio.

Lending money is a substantial part of our business. However, every loan we make carries a certain risk of non-payment. This risk is affected by, among other things:

• cash flows of the borrower and/or the project being financed;

- in the case of a collateralized loan, the changes and uncertainties as to the future value of the collateral;
 - the credit history of a particular borrower;
 - changes in economic and industry conditions; and
 - the duration of the loan.

We maintain an allowance for loan losses that we believe reflects a reasonable estimate of known and inherent losses within the loan portfolio. We make various assumptions and judgments about the collectability of our loan portfolio. Through a periodic review and consideration of the loan portfolio, management determines the amount of the allowance for loan losses by considering general market conditions, credit quality of the loan portfolio, the collateral supporting the loans and performance of customers relative to their financial obligations with us. The amount of future losses is susceptible to changes in economic, operating and other conditions, including changes in interest rates, which may be beyond our control, and these losses may exceed current estimates. Growing loan portfolios are, by their nature, unseasoned. As a result, estimating loan loss allowances for growing portfolios is more difficult, and may be more susceptible to changes in estimates, and to losses exceeding estimates, than more seasoned portfolios. We cannot fully predict the amount or timing of losses or whether the loss allowance will be adequate in the future. Excessive loan losses and significant additions to our allowance for loan losses could have a material adverse impact on our financial condition and results of operations.

In addition, bank regulators periodically review our allowance for loan losses and may require us to increase our provision for loan losses or recognize further loan charge-offs. Any increase in our allowance for loan losses or loan charge-offs as required by these regulatory authorities might have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

We may be adversely affected by interest rate changes.

Our earnings are largely dependent upon our net interest income. Net interest income is the difference between interest income earned on interest-earning assets such as loans and securities and interest expense paid on interest-bearing liabilities such as deposits and borrowed funds. Interest rates are highly sensitive to many factors that are beyond our control, including general economic conditions and policies of various governmental and regulatory agencies, in particular, the FRB. Changes in monetary policy, including changes in interest rates, could influence not only the interest we receive on loans and securities and the amount of interest we pay on deposits and borrowings, but these changes could also affect our ability to originate loans and obtain deposits, the fair values of our financial assets and liabilities and the average duration of our loan and mortgage-backed securities portfolios. If the interest rates paid on deposits and other borrowings increase at a faster rate than the interest rates received on loans and other investments, our net interest income, and therefore earnings, could be adversely affected. In addition, a substantial portion of our loans (approximately 47.1% of our total loan portfolio as of December 31, 2013) have adjustable rates of interest. While the higher payment amounts we would receive on these loans in a rising interest rate environment may increase our interest income, some borrowers may be unable to afford the higher payment amounts, which may result in a higher rate of default. Earnings could also be adversely affected if the interest rates received on loans and other investments fall more quickly than the interest rates paid on deposits and other borrowings.

We generally seek to maintain a neutral position in terms of the volume of assets and liabilities that mature or re-price during any period. As such, we have adopted asset and liability management strategies to attempt to minimize the

potential adverse effects of changes in interest rates on net interest income, primarily by altering the mix and maturity of loans, investments and funding sources, including interest rate derivatives, so that it may reasonably maintain its net interest income and net interest margin. However, interest rate fluctuations, the level and shape of the interest rate yield curve, maintaining excess liquidity levels, loan prepayments, loan production and deposit flows are constantly changing and influence the ability to maintain a neutral position. Accordingly, we may not be successful in maintaining a neutral position and, as a result, our net interest margin may be adversely impacted.

The fair value of our investment securities can fluctuate due to market conditions outside of our control.

Factors beyond our control can significantly influence the fair value of securities in our investment securities portfolio and can cause potential adverse changes to the fair value of these securities. These factors include, but are not limited to, rating agency downgrades of the securities, defaults by the issuer or with respect to the underlying securities, changes in market rates of interest and instability in the credit markets. Any of these mentioned factors could cause an other-than-temporary impairment or permanent impairment of these assets, which would lead to accounting charges which could have a material negative effect on our financial condition and/or results of operations.

Conditions in the financial markets may limit our access to additional funding to meet our liquidity needs.

Liquidity is essential to our business, as we must maintain sufficient funds to respond to the needs of depositors and borrowers. An inability to raise funds through deposits, borrowings, the sale or pledging as collateral of loans and other assets could have a substantial adverse effect on our liquidity. Our access to funding sources in amounts adequate to finance our activities could be impaired by factors that affect us specifically or the financial services industry in general. Factors that could negatively affect our access to liquidity sources include a decrease in the level of our business activity due to a market downturn or regulatory action

against us. Our ability to borrow could also be impaired by factors that are not specific to us, such as severe disruption of the financial markets or negative news and expectations about the prospects for the financial services industry as a whole.

Our operations may depend upon our continued ability to access brokered deposits and Federal Home Loan Bank advances.

Due to the high level of competition for deposits in our markets, we have from time to time utilized a sizable amount of certificates of deposit obtained through deposit brokers and advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Des Moines to help fund our asset base. Brokered deposits are marketed through national brokerage firms that solicit funds from their customers for deposit in banks, including our bank. Brokered deposits and Federal Home Loan Bank advances may generally be more sensitive to changes in interest rates and volatility in the capital markets than retail deposits attracted through our branch network, and our reliance on these sources of funds increases the sensitivity of our portfolio to these external factors. Our brokered deposits and Federal Home Loan Bank advances totaled \$50.0 million and \$126.8 million at December 31, 2013, compared with \$10.0 million and \$126.7 million at December 31, 2012. We expect to continue to utilize brokered deposits from time to time as a supplemental funding source. In addition to these brokered deposit totals at December 31, 2013 and 2012, were Great Southern Bank customer deposits totaling \$76.3 million and \$109.1 million, respectively, that were part of the CDARS program which allows bank customers to maintain balances in an insured manner that would otherwise exceed the FDIC deposit insurance limit. The FDIC considers these customer accounts to be brokered deposits due to the fees paid in the CDARS program.

Bank regulators can restrict our access to these sources of funds in certain circumstances. For example, if the Bank's regulatory capital ratios declined below the "well capitalized" status, banking regulators would require the Bank to obtain their approval prior to obtaining or renewing brokered deposits. The regulators might not approve our acceptance of brokered deposits in amounts that we desire or at all. In addition, the availability of brokered deposits and the rates paid on these brokered deposits may be volatile as the balance of the supply of and the demand for brokered deposits changes. Market credit and liquidity concerns may also impact the availability and cost of brokered deposits. Similarly, Federal Home Loan Bank advances are only available to borrowers that meet certain conditions. If Great Southern were to cease meeting these conditions, our access to Federal Home Loan Bank advances could be significantly reduced or eliminated.

Certain Federal Home Loan Banks, including the Federal Home Loan Bank of Des Moines, have experienced lower earnings from time to time and paid out lower dividends to their members. Future problems at the Federal Home Loan Banks may impact the collateral necessary to secure borrowings and limit the borrowings extended to its member banks, as well as require additional capital contributions by its member banks. Should this occur, our short term liquidity needs could be negatively impacted. Should Great Southern be restricted from using Federal Home Loan Bank advances due to weakness in the system or with the Federal Home Loan Bank of Des Moines, Great Southern may be forced to find alternative funding sources. These alternative funding sources may include the utilization of existing lines of credit with third party banks or the Federal Reserve Bank along with seeking other lines of credit, borrowing under repurchase agreement lines, increasing deposit rates to attract additional funds, accessing additional brokered deposits, or selling loans or investment securities in order to maintain adequate levels of liquidity. At December 31, 2013, the Bank owned \$9.8 million of stock in the Federal Home Loan Bank of Des Moines, which declared and paid an annualized dividend approximating 3.50% during the fourth quarter of 2013. The Federal Home Loan Bank of Des Moines may eliminate or reduce dividend payments at any time in the future in order for it to maintain or restore its retained earnings.

Our strategy of pursuing acquisitions exposes us to financial, execution and operational risks that could adversely affect us.

We pursue a strategy of supplementing internal growth by acquiring other financial institutions that we believe will help us fulfill our strategic objectives and enhance our earnings. There are risks associated with this strategy, however, including the following:

- We may be exposed to potential asset quality issues or unknown or contingent liabilities of the banks or businesses we acquire. If these issues or liabilities exceed our estimates, our earnings and financial condition may be adversely affected;
- Prices at which acquisitions can be made fluctuate with market conditions. We have experienced times during which acquisitions could not be made in specific markets at prices our management considered acceptable and expect that we will experience this condition in the future in one or more markets;
- The acquisition of other entities generally requires integration of systems, procedures and personnel of the acquired entity in order to make the transaction economically feasible. This integration process is complicated and time consuming and can also be disruptive to the customers of the acquired business. If the integration process is not conducted successfully and with minimal effect on the acquired business and its customers, we may not realize the anticipated economic benefits of particular acquisitions within the expected time frame, and we may lose customers or employees of the acquired business. We may also experience greater than anticipated customer losses even if the integration process is successful;
- Great Southern Bank entered into loss sharing agreements with the FDIC as part of the TeamBank, N.A., Vantus Bank, Sun Security Bank and Inter Savings Bank, FSB transactions. These loss sharing agreements require that Great Southern

Bank follow certain servicing procedures as specified in the agreement. A failure to follow these procedures or any other breach of the agreement by Great Southern Bank could result in the loss of FDIC reimbursement of losses on covered loans and other real estate owned, which could have a material negative effect on our financial condition and results of operations. In addition, the loss-share agreements protect Great Southern Bank against losses for limited periods of time (generally ten years for single family residential real estate loans and five years for commercial loans). To the extent Great Southern Bank continues to hold any of the covered loans following the expiration of the applicable loss-share period, it will absorb 100% of any losses. The loss-share agreements begin to expire with respect to commercial loans as follows: TeamBank, N.A. in 2014; Vantus Bank in 2014; Sun Security Bank in 2016 and InterBank in 2017:

- To finance an acquisition, we may borrow funds, thereby increasing our leverage and diminishing our liquidity, or raise additional capital, which could dilute the interests of our existing stockholders; and
- We completed two significant acquisitions in 2009, completed one significant acquisition in 2011, completed one significant acquisition in 2012 and have opened additional banking offices in recent years that enhanced our rate of growth. In addition, in 2014, we have agreed to acquire certain loans, deposits and branches from Boulevard Bank, as discussed in Note 30 of Item 8. "Financial Statements and Supplementary Information". We may not be able to continue to sustain our past rate of growth or to grow at all in the future.

Our growth or future losses may require us to raise additional capital in the future, but that capital may not be available when it is needed or the cost of that capital may be very high.

We are required by federal and state regulatory authorities to maintain adequate levels of capital to support our operations. In addition, we may elect to raise additional capital to support the growth of our business or to finance acquisitions, if any, or we may elect to raise additional capital for other reasons. Should we be required by regulatory authorities or otherwise elect to raise additional capital, we may seek to do so through the issuance of, among other things, our common stock or securities convertible into our common stock, which could dilute your ownership interest in the Company.

Our ability to raise additional capital, if needed or desired, will depend on conditions in the capital markets at that time, which are outside our control, and on our financial condition and performance. Accordingly, we cannot make assurances of our ability to raise additional capital if needed or desired, or if the terms will be acceptable to us. If we cannot raise additional capital when needed or desired, our ability to further expand our operations through internal growth and acquisitions could be materially impaired and our financial condition and liquidity could be materially adversely affected.

Our future success is dependent on our ability to compete effectively in the highly competitive banking industry.

We face substantial competition in all phases of our operations from a variety of different competitors. Our future growth and success will depend on our ability to compete effectively in this highly competitive environment. To date, we have grown our business successfully by focusing on our geographic market and emphasizing the high level of service and responsiveness desired by our customers. We compete for loans, deposits and other financial services with other commercial banks, thrifts, credit unions, consumer finance companies, insurance companies and brokerage firms. Many of our competitors offer products and services that we do not offer, and many have substantially greater resources, name recognition and market presence that benefit them in attracting business. In addition, larger competitors (including certain nationwide banks that have a significant presence in our market area) may be able to

price loans and deposits more aggressively than we do, and smaller and newer competitors may also be more aggressive in terms of pricing loan and deposit products than us in order to obtain a larger share of the market. As we have grown, we have become dependent from time to time on outside funding sources, including funds borrowed from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Des Moines and brokered deposits, where we face nationwide competition. Some of the financial institutions and financial services organizations with which we compete are not subject to the same degree of regulation as is imposed on insured depositary institutions and their holding companies. As a result, these non-bank competitors have certain advantages over us in accessing funding and in providing various services.

We also experience competition from a variety of institutions outside of our market areas. Some of these institutions conduct business primarily over the Internet and may thus be able to realize certain cost savings and offer products and services at more favorable rates and with greater convenience to the customer.

Our business may be adversely affected by the highly regulated environment in which we operate, including the various capital adequacy guidelines we are required to meet.

We are subject to extensive federal and state legislation, regulation, examination and supervision. Recently enacted, proposed and future legislation and regulations have had, will continue to have, or may have an adverse effect on our business and operations. For example, a federal rule which took effect on July 1, 2010 prohibits a financial institution from automatically enrolling customers in overdraft protection programs, on ATM and one-time debit card transactions, unless a consumer consents, or opts in, to the overdraft service. This rule has adversely affected, and is likely to continue to adversely affect, the results of our operations by reducing the amount of our non-interest income.

Our success depends on our continued ability to maintain compliance with the various regulations to which we are subject. Some of these regulations may increase our costs and thus place other financial institutions in stronger, more favorable competitive positions. We cannot predict what restrictions may be imposed upon us with future legislation. See "Item 1.-The Company -Government Supervision and Regulation" in this Report.

The Company and the Bank are required to meet certain regulatory capital adequacy guidelines and other regulatory requirements imposed by the FRB, the FDIC and the Missouri Division of Finance. If the Company or the Bank fails to meet these minimum capital guidelines and other regulatory requirements, our financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected and could compromise the status of the Company as a financial holding company. See "Item 1.-The Company -Government Supervision and Regulation" in this Report.

Financial reform legislation will, among other things, tighten capital standards, create a new Consumer Financial Protection Bureau and result in new regulations that are expected to increase our costs of operations.

On July 21, 2010 the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the "Dodd-Frank Act") was signed into law. This law significantly changes the current bank regulatory structure and affects the lending, deposit, investment, trading and operating activities of financial institutions and their holding companies. The Dodd-Frank Act requires various federal agencies to adopt a broad range of new implementing rules and regulations, and to prepare numerous studies and reports for Congress. The federal agencies are given significant discretion in drafting the implementing rules and regulations, and consequently, many of the details and much of the impact of the Dodd-Frank Act may not be known for many months or years.

Among the many requirements in the Dodd-Frank Act for new banking regulations is a requirement for new capital regulations. Generally, trust preferred securities are no longer eligible as Tier 1 capital, but the Company's currently outstanding trust preferred securities were grandfathered and will continue to qualify as Tier 1 capital. See "Item 1. Business—Government Supervision and Regulation-Capital-New Capital Rules" and "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations-Effect of Federal Laws and Regulations-New Capital Rules.

Certain provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act are expected to have a near term impact on us. For example, one year after the date of its enactment, the Dodd-Frank Act eliminated the federal prohibitions on paying interest on demand deposits, thus allowing businesses to have interest bearing checking accounts. This significant change to existing law has not had an adverse impact on our interest expense to date.

The Dodd-Frank Act created a new Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection with broad powers to supervise and enforce consumer protection laws. The Bureau has broad rule-making authority for a wide range of consumer protection laws that apply to all banks, including the authority to prohibit "unfair, deceptive or abusive acts and practices".

Additional provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act are described in this report under "Item 1. Business—Government Supervision and Regulation-Significant Legislation Impacting the Financial Services Industry" and "Item 7. - Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Effect of Federal Laws and Regulations-Significant Legislation Impacting the Financial Services Industry."

Many aspects of the Dodd-Frank Act are subject to rulemaking and have taken and will continue to take effect over several years, making it difficult to anticipate the overall financial impact on the Company. However, compliance with this law and its implementing regulations have resulted in and will continue to result in additional operating costs

that could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Our exposure to operational risks may adversely affect us.

Similar to other financial institutions, we are exposed to many types of operational risk, including reputational risk, legal and compliance risk, the risk of fraud or theft by employees or outsiders, the risk that sensitive customer or Company data is compromised, unauthorized transactions by employees or operational errors, including clerical or record-keeping errors. If any of these risks occur, it could result in material adverse consequences for us.

We continually encounter technological change, and we may have fewer resources than many of our competitors to continue to invest in technological improvements.

The financial services industry is undergoing rapid technological changes, with frequent introductions of new technology-driven products and services. Our future success will depend, in part, upon our ability to address the needs of our clients by using technology to provide products and services that will satisfy client demands for convenience, as well as to create additional efficiencies in our operations. Many of our competitors have substantially greater resources to invest in technological improvements. We may not be able to effectively implement new technology-driven products and services or be successful in marketing these products and services to our clients.

We are also subject to security-related risks in connection with our use of technology, and our security measures may not be sufficient to mitigate the risk of a cyber attack or to protect us from systems failures or interruptions.

Communications and information systems are essential to the conduct of our business, as we use such systems to manage our client relationships, our general ledger and virtually all other aspects of our business. Our operations rely on the secure processing, storage, and transmission of confidential and other information in our computer systems and networks. Although we take protective measures and endeavor to modify them as circumstances warrant, the security of our computer systems, software, and networks may be vulnerable to breaches, unauthorized access, misuse, computer viruses, or other malicious code and cyber attacks that could have a security impact. If one or more of these events occur, this could jeopardize our or our clients' confidential and other information processed and stored in, and transmitted through, our computer systems and networks, or otherwise cause interruptions or malfunctions in our operations or the operations of our clients or counterparties. We may be required to expend significant additional resources to modify our protective measures or to investigate and remediate vulnerabilities or other exposures, and we may be subject to litigation and financial losses that are either not insured against or not fully covered through any insurance maintained by us. We could also suffer significant reputational damage.

As a service to our clients, we currently offer an Internet PC banking product and a smartphone application for iPhone and Android users. Use of these services involves the transmission of confidential information over public networks. We cannot be sure that advances in computer capabilities, new discoveries in the field of cryptography or other developments will not result in a compromise or breach in the commercially available encryption and authentication technology that we use to protect our clients' transaction data. If we were to experience such a breach or compromise, we could suffer losses and reputational damage and our results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

While we have established policies and procedures to prevent or limit the impact of systems failures and interruptions, there can be no assurance that such events will not occur or that they will be adequately addressed if they do. In addition, we outsource certain aspects of our data processing and other operational functions to certain third-party providers. If our third-party providers encounter difficulties, or if we have difficulty in communicating with them, our ability to adequately process and account for transactions could be affected, and our business operations could be adversely impacted. Threats to information security also exist in the processing of client information through various other vendors and their personnel.

The occurrence of any systems failure or interruption could damage our reputation and result in a loss of clients and business, or could expose us to legal liability. Any of these occurrences could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Our accounting policies and methods impact how we report our financial condition and results of operations. Application of these policies and methods may require management to make estimates about matters that are uncertain.

Our accounting policies and methods are fundamental to how we record and report our financial condition and results of operations. Our management must exercise judgment in selecting and applying many of these accounting policies and methods so they comply with generally accepted accounting principles and reflect management's judgment of the most appropriate manner to report our financial condition and results of operations. In some cases, management must select the accounting policy or method to apply from two or more alternatives, any of which might be reasonable under the circumstances yet might result in our reporting materially different amounts than would have been reported under a different alternative. Our significant accounting policies are described in Note 1 to our Consolidated Financial Statements contained in Item 8 of this Report. These accounting policies are critical to presenting our financial condition and results of operations. They may require management to make difficult, subjective or complex judgments about matters that are uncertain. Materially different amounts could be reported under different conditions

or using different assumptions.

Changes in accounting standards could materially impact our consolidated financial statements.

The accounting standard setters, including the Financial Accounting Standards Board, Securities and Exchange Commission and other regulatory bodies, from time to time may change the financial accounting and reporting standards that govern the preparation of our consolidated financial statements. These changes can be hard to predict and can materially impact how we record and report our financial condition and results of operations. In some cases, we could be required to apply a new or revised standard retroactively, resulting in changes to previously reported financial results, or a cumulative charge to retained earnings.

Our controls and procedures may be ineffective.

We regularly review and update our internal controls, disclosure controls and procedures and corporate governance policies and procedures. As a result, we may incur increased costs to maintain and improve our controls and procedures. Any system of controls, however well designed and operated, is based in part on certain assumptions and can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurances that the objectives of the system are met. Any failure or circumvention of our controls or procedures or failure to comply with regulations related to controls and procedures could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

Risks Relating to our Common Stock

The price of our common stock may fluctuate significantly, and this may make it difficult for you to resell our common stock when you want or at prices you find attractive.

We cannot predict how our common stock will trade in the future. The market value of our common stock will likely continue to fluctuate in response to a number of factors including the following, most of which are beyond our control, as well as the other factors described in this "Risk Factors" section:

- actual or anticipated quarterly fluctuations in our operating and financial results;
- developments related to investigations, proceedings or litigation that involve us;
 - changes in financial estimates and recommendations by financial analysts;
 - dispositions, acquisitions and financings;
- actions of our current stockholders, including sales of common stock by existing stockholders and our directors and executive officers:
 - fluctuations in the stock price and operating results of our competitors;
 - regulatory developments; and
 - other developments related to the financial services industry.

The market value of our common stock may also be affected by conditions affecting the financial markets in general, including price and trading fluctuations. These conditions may result in (i) volatility in the level of, and fluctuations in, the market prices of stocks generally and, in turn, our common stock and (ii) sales of substantial amounts of our common stock in the market, in each case that could be unrelated or disproportionate to changes in our operating performance. These broad market fluctuations may adversely affect the market value of our common stock. Our common stock also has a low average daily trading volume relative to many other stocks, which may limit an investor's ability to quickly accumulate or divest themselves of large blocks of our stock. This can lead to significant price swings even when a relatively small number of shares are being traded.

There may be future sales of additional common stock or other dilution of our equity, which may adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

We are not restricted from issuing additional common stock or preferred stock, including any securities that are convertible into or exchangeable for, or that represent the right to receive, common stock or preferred stock or any substantially similar securities. The market value of our common stock could decline as a result of sales by us of a large number of shares of common stock or preferred stock or similar securities in the market or the perception that such sales could occur.

Our board of directors is authorized to cause us to issue additional common stock, as well as classes or series of preferred stock, generally without any action on the part of the stockholders. In addition, the board has the power,

generally without stockholder approval, to set the terms of any such classes or series of preferred stock that may be issued, including voting rights, dividend rights and preferences over the common stock with respect to dividends or upon the liquidation, dissolution or winding-up of our business and other terms. If we issue preferred stock in the future that has a preference over the common stock with respect to the payment of dividends or upon liquidation, dissolution or winding-up, or if we issue preferred stock with voting rights that dilute the voting power of the common stock, the rights of holders of the common stock or the market value of the common stock could be adversely affected.

Our SBLF Preferred Stock impacts net income available to our common stockholders and earnings per common share.

The dividends declared on the SBLF Preferred Stock reduce the net income available to common stockholders and our earnings per common share. The SBLF Preferred Stock will also receive preferential treatment in the event of liquidation, dissolution or winding up of Great Southern Bancorp.

The dividend rate on the SBLF Preferred Stock fluctuated initially from 1% to 5% based on our level of "Qualified Small Business Lending," or "QSBL," as compared to our "baseline" level. The cost of the capital we received from the SBLF Preferred Stock could have increased significantly if the level of our "QSBL" as of September 30, 2013 did not represent an increase from our "baseline" level. This cost also will increase significantly if we have not redeemed the SBLF Preferred Stock before the fourth anniversary of the SBLF transaction.

The per annum dividend rate on the SBLF Preferred Stock fluctuated between 1% and 5% on a quarterly basis during the first ten quarters during which the SBLF Preferred Stock was outstanding, based upon changes in the amount of "QSBL" by the Bank from an adjusted "baseline" level (\$201.4 million, which represents the average of the Bank's quarter-end QSBL for the four quarters ended June 30, 2011, as adjusted for the Sun Security Bank and InterBank FDIC-assisted acquisitions). For the eleventh dividend period to

the fourth anniversary of the SBLF transaction, the dividend rate is fixed at between 1% and 5%, based upon the percentage increase in QSBL from the baseline level to the level as of the end of the ninth dividend period (i.e., as of September 30, 2013). At September 30, 2013, our increase in QSBL from the baseline level was such that our dividend rate has been fixed at 1% until the fourth anniversary date. From and after the fourth anniversary of the SBLF transaction, the dividend rate will be fixed at 9.00%, regardless of the level of QSBL. This increase in the dividend rate could have a material negative effect on our liquidity.

Regulatory and contractual restrictions may limit or prevent us from paying dividends on and repurchasing our common stock.

Great Southern Bancorp, Inc. is an entity separate and distinct from its principal subsidiary, Great Southern Bank, and derives substantially all of its revenue in the form of dividends from that subsidiary. Accordingly, Great Southern Bancorp, Inc. is and will be dependent upon dividends from the Bank to pay the principal of and interest on its indebtedness, to satisfy its other cash needs and to pay dividends on its common and preferred stock. The Bank's ability to pay dividends is subject to its ability to earn net income and to meet certain regulatory requirements. In the event the Bank is unable to pay dividends to Great Southern Bancorp, Inc., Great Southern Bancorp, Inc. may not be able to pay dividends on its common or preferred stock. Also, Great Southern Bancorp, Inc.'s right to participate in a distribution of assets upon a subsidiary's liquidation or reorganization is subject to the prior claims of the subsidiary's creditors. This includes claims under the liquidation account maintained for the benefit of certain eligible deposit account holders of the Bank established in connection with the Bank's conversion from the mutual to the stock form of ownership.

Under the terms of the SBLF Preferred Stock and the securities purchase agreement between us and the Treasury in connection with the SBLF transaction our ability to pay dividends on or repurchase our common stock is subject to a limit requiring us generally not to reduce our Tier 1 capital from the level on the SBLF closing date by more than 10%. In addition, if we fail to pay an SBLF dividend, there are further restrictions on our ability to pay dividends on or repurchase our common stock. As described below in the next risk factor, the terms of our outstanding junior subordinated debt securities prohibit us from paying dividends on or repurchasing our common stock at any time when we have elected to defer the payment of interest on such debt securities or certain events of default under the terms of those debt securities have occurred and are continuing. These restrictions could have a negative effect on the value of our common stock. Moreover, holders of our common stock are entitled to receive dividends only when, as and if declared by our board of directors. Although we have historically paid cash dividends on our common stock, we are not required to do so and our board of directors could reduce, suspend or eliminate our common stock cash dividend in the future.

If we defer payments of interest on our outstanding junior subordinated debt securities or if certain defaults relating to those debt securities occur, we will be prohibited from declaring or paying dividends or distributions on, and from making liquidation payments with respect to, our common stock.

As of December 31, 2013, we had outstanding \$30.9 million aggregate principal amount of junior subordinated debt securities issued in connection with the sale of trust preferred securities by certain of our subsidiaries that are statutory business trusts. We have also guaranteed those trust preferred securities. There are currently two separate series of these junior subordinated debt securities outstanding, each series having been issued under a separate indenture and with a separate guarantee. Each of these indentures, together with the related guarantee, prohibits us, subject to limited exceptions, from declaring or paying any dividends or distributions on, or redeeming, repurchasing, acquiring or making any liquidation payments with respect to, any of our capital stock (including the SBLF Preferred Stock and our common stock) at any time when (i) there shall have occurred and be continuing an event of default under the

indenture or any event, act or condition that with notice or lapse of time or both would constitute an event of default under the indenture; or (ii) we are in default with respect to payment of any obligations under the related guarantee; or (iii) we have deferred payment of interest on the junior subordinated debt securities outstanding under that indenture. In that regard, we are entitled, at our option but subject to certain conditions, to defer payments of interest on the junior subordinated debt securities of each series from time to time for up to five years.

Events of default under each indenture generally consist of our failure to pay interest on the junior subordinated debt securities outstanding under that indenture under certain circumstances, our failure to pay any principal of or premium on such junior subordinated debt securities when due, our failure to comply with certain covenants under the indenture, and certain events of bankruptcy, insolvency or liquidation relating to us or Great Southern Bank.

As a result of these provisions, if we were to elect to defer payments of interest on any series of junior subordinated debt securities, or if any of the other events described in clause (i) or (ii) of the first paragraph of this risk factor were to occur, we would be prohibited from declaring or paying any dividends on the SBLF Preferred Stock and our common stock, from redeeming, repurchasing or otherwise acquiring any of the SBLF Preferred Stock or our common stock, and from making any payments to holders of the SBLF Preferred Stock or our common stock in the event of our liquidation, which would likely have a material adverse effect on the market value of our common stock. Moreover, without notice to or consent from the holders of our common stock or the SBLF Preferred Stock, we may issue additional series of junior subordinated debt securities in the future with terms similar to those of our existing junior subordinated debt securities or enter into other financing agreements that limit our ability to purchase or to pay dividends or distributions on our capital stock, including our common stock.

Holders of the SBLF Preferred Stock have limited voting rights.

Until and unless we fail to pay full dividends on the SBLF Preferred Stock for six or more dividend periods, whether or not consecutive, and the aggregate liquidation preference amount of the then-outstanding shares of SBLF Preferred Stock is at least \$25.0 million, the holders of the SBLF Preferred Stock will have no voting rights except with respect to certain fundamental changes in the terms of the SBLF Preferred Stock and except as may be required by law. If, however, dividends on the SBLF Preferred Stock are not paid in full for six dividend periods, whether or not consecutive, and if the aggregate liquidation preference amount of the then-outstanding shares of SBLF Preferred Stock is at least \$25.0 million, then the total number of positions on the Great Southern Bancorp Board of Directors will automatically increase by two and the holders of the SBLF Preferred Stock, acting as a single class, will have the right, but not the obligation, to elect two individuals to serve in the new director positions. This right and the terms of such directors will end when we have paid full dividends for at least four consecutive dividend periods.

The voting limitation provision in our charter could limit your voting rights as a holder of our common stock.

Our charter provides that any person or group who acquires beneficial ownership of our common stock in excess of 10.0% of the outstanding shares may not vote the excess shares. Accordingly, if you acquire beneficial ownership of more than 10.0% of the outstanding shares of our common stock, your voting rights with respect to the common stock will not be commensurate with your economic interest in our company.

Anti-takeover provisions could adversely impact our stockholders.

Provisions in our charter and bylaws, the corporate law of the State of Maryland and federal regulations could delay or prevent a third party from acquiring us, despite the possible benefit to our stockholders, or otherwise adversely affect the market price of any class of our equity securities, including our common stock. These provisions include: a prohibition on voting shares of common stock beneficially owned in excess of 10% of total shares outstanding, supermajority voting requirements for certain business combinations with any person who beneficially owns 10% or more of our outstanding common stock; the election of directors to staggered terms of three years; advance notice requirements for nominations for election to our board of directors and for proposing matters that stockholders may act on at stockholder meetings, a requirement that only directors may fill a vacancy in our board of directors, and supermajority voting requirements to remove any of our directors. Our charter also authorizes our board of directors to issue preferred stock, and preferred stock could be issued as a defensive measure in response to a takeover proposal. In addition, because we are a bank holding company, purchasers of 10% or more of our common stock may be required to obtain approvals under the Change in Bank Control Act of 1978, as amended, or the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended (and in certain cases such approvals may be required at a lesser percentage of ownership). Specifically, under regulations adopted by the Federal Reserve Board, (a) any other bank holding company may be required to obtain the approval of the Federal Reserve Board to acquire or retain 5% or more of our common stock and (b) any person other than a bank holding company may be required to obtain the approval of the Federal Reserve Board to acquire or retain 10% or more of our common stock.

These provisions may discourage potential takeover attempts, discourage bids for our common stock at a premium over market price or adversely affect the market price of, and the voting and other rights of the holders of, our common stock. These provisions also could discourage proxy contests and make it more difficult for holders of our common stock to elect directors other than the candidates nominated by our board of directors.

Three members of the Turner family may exert substantial influence over the Company through their board and management positions and their ownership of the Company's stock.

The Company's Chairman of the Board, William V. Turner, and the Company's Director, President and Chief Executive Officer, Joseph W. Turner, are father and son, respectively. Julie Turner Brown, a director of the Company, is the sister of Joseph Turner and the daughter of William Turner. These three Turner family members hold three of the Company's eight Board positions. As of December 31, 2013, they collectively beneficially owned approximately 2,144,902 shares of the Company's common stock (excluding 80,200 shares underlying stock options exercisable as of or within 60 days after that date), representing approximately 15.7% of total shares outstanding, though they are subject to the voting limitation provision in our charter which precludes any person or group with beneficial ownership in excess of 10% of total shares outstanding from voting shares in excess of that threshold. Through their board and management positions and their ownership of the Company's stock, these three members of the Turner family may exert substantial influence over the direction of the Company and the outcome of Board and stockholder votes.

In addition to the Turner family members, we are aware of two other beneficial owners of more than five percent of the outstanding shares of our common stock, one of whom is a director of the Company.

As of December 31, 2013, one of the Company's directors, Earl A. Steinert, beneficially owned 938,000 shares of our common stock, representing approximately 6.9% of total shares outstanding. We are aware of one other beneficial owner of more than five percent of our common stock. We believe that this holder beneficially owns 1,307,540 shares, representing approximately 9.6% of total shares outstanding. The shares that can be voted by the Turner family members (1,367,371 shares, per the ten percent voting limitation in our charter), the shares beneficially owned by Mr. Steinert (938,000) and the shares beneficially owned by the other greater than five

percent beneficial owner (1,307,540) total 3,612,911, representing approximately 26.4% of total shares outstanding. While they have no agreement to do so, to the extent they vote in the same manner, these stockholders may be able to exercise influence over the management and business affairs of our Company. For example, using their collective voting power, these stockholders may be able to affect the outcome of director elections or block significant transactions, such as a merger or acquisition, or any other matter that might otherwise be favored by other stockholders.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES.

The Company's corporate offices and operations center are located in Springfield, Missouri. At December 31, 2013, the Company operated 96 retail banking centers and over 200 automated teller machines ("ATMs") in Missouri, Iowa, Minnesota, Nebraska, Kansas and Arkansas. Of the 96 banking center, the Company owns 84 of its locations and 12 were leased for various terms. The majority of our banking center locations are in southwest and central Missouri, including the Springfield, Mo. metropolitan area, with additional concentrations in the Sioux City, Iowa, Des Moines, Iowa, Minneapolis, Minn., St. Louis Mo. and Kansas City, Mo. metropolitan areas. The ATMs are located at various banking centers and primarily convenience stores and retail centers located throughout southwest and central Missouri. At December 31, 2013, the Company also operated three loan production offices that serve market areas in which banking centers were also operated. The Company owns two of its loan production office locations and 1 location is leased. All buildings which are owned are owned free of encumbrances or mortgages. In the opinion of management, the facilities are adequate and suitable for the needs of the Company. The aggregate net book value of the Company's premises and equipment was \$104.5 million and \$102.3 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. See also Note 6 and Note 16 of the accompanying audited financial statements.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

In the normal course of business, the Company and its subsidiaries are subject to pending and threatened legal actions, some of which seek substantial relief or damages. While the ultimate outcome of such legal proceedings cannot be predicted with certainty, after reviewing pending and threatened litigation with counsel, management believes at this time that, except as noted below, the outcome of such litigation will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition or results of operations.

On November 22, 2010, a suit was filed against the Bank in Missouri state court in Springfield by a customer alleging that the fees associated with the Bank's automated overdraft program in connection with its debit card and ATM cards constitute unlawful interest in violation of Missouri's usury laws. The suit seeks class-action status for Bank customers who have paid overdraft fees on their checking accounts. The Court denied a motion to dismiss filed by the Bank and litigation is ongoing. At this stage of the litigation, it is not possible for management of the Bank to determine the probability of a material adverse outcome or reasonably estimate the amount of any potential loss.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

ITEM 4A. EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT.

Pursuant to General Instruction G(3) of Form 10-K and Instruction 3 to Item 401(b) of Regulation S-K, the following list is included as an unnumbered item in Part I of this Form 10-K in lieu of being included in the Registrant's Definitive Proxy Statement.

The following information as to the business experience during the past five years is supplied with respect to executive officers of the Company and its subsidiaries who are not directors of the Company and its subsidiaries. There are no arrangements or understandings between the persons named and any other person pursuant to which such officers were selected. The executive officers are elected annually and serve at the discretion of their respective Boards of Directors.

Steven G. Mitchem. Mr. Mitchem, age 62, is Senior Vice President and Chief Lending Officer of the Bank. He joined the Bank in 1990 and is responsible for all lending activities of the Bank. Prior to joining the Bank, Mr. Mitchem was a Senior Bank Examiner for the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

Rex A. Copeland. Mr. Copeland, age 49, is Treasurer of the Company and Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Bank. He joined the Bank in 2000 and is responsible for the financial functions of the Company, including the internal and external financial reporting of the Company and its subsidiaries. Mr. Copeland is a Certified Public Accountant. Prior to joining the Bank, Mr. Copeland served other financial services companies in the areas of corporate accounting, internal audit and independent public accounting.

Douglas W. Marrs. Mr. Marrs, age 56, is Secretary of the Company and Secretary, Vice President - Operations of the Bank. He joined the Bank in 1996 and is responsible for all operations functions of the Bank. Prior to joining the Bank, Mr. Marrs was a bank officer in the areas of operations and data processing at a commercial bank.

Linton J. Thomason. Mr. Thomason, age 58, is Vice President - Information Services of the Bank. He joined the Bank in 1997 and is responsible for information services for the Company and all of its subsidiaries and all treasury management sales/operations of the Bank. Prior to joining the Bank, Mr. Thomason was a bank officer in the areas of technology and data processing, operations and treasury management at a commercial bank.

PART II

Responses incorporated by reference into the items under Part II of this Form 10-K are done so pursuant to Rule 12b-23 and General Instruction G(2) for Form 10-K.

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES.

Market Information. The Company's Common Stock is listed on The NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol "GSBC."

As of December 31, 2013 there were 13,673,709 total shares of common stock outstanding and approximately 2,000 shareholders of record.

High/Low Stock Price

	2013			,	2012		2011			
	Hig	h	L	ow	High		Low	High		Low
First Quarter Second	\$ 27.	34	\$ 2	3.31	\$ 25.18	\$	20.60	\$ 24.44	\$	19.27
Quarter	28.	00	2	2.60	27.71		21.25	22.36		16.69
Third Quarter	31.	00	2	5.71	31.81		27.22	20.43		15.01
Fourth Quarter	31.	23	2	5.87	31.49		24.25	24.32		15.65

The last sale price of the Company's Common Stock on December 31, 2013 was \$30.41.

Dividend Declarations

	2013	2012	2011
First Quarter	\$.18	\$.18	\$.18
Second Quarter	.18	.18	.18
Third Quarter	.18	.18	.18
Fourth Quarter	.18	.18	.18

The Company's ability to pay dividends is substantially dependent on the dividend payments it receives from the Bank. For a description of the regulatory restrictions on the ability of the Bank to pay dividends to the Company, and the ability of the Company to pay dividends to its stockholders, see "Item 1. Business - Government Supervision and Regulation - Dividends." The terms of the SBLF preferred stock also contain restrictions on the ability of the Company to pay dividends on its common stock, as described under "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Capital Resources".

Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

On November 15, 2006, the Company's Board of Directors authorized management to repurchase up to 700,000 shares of the Company's outstanding common stock, under a program of open market purchases or privately negotiated transactions. The plan does not have an expiration date. From the date we issued our CPP Preferred Stock

(December 5, 2008) until the date we redeemed it in connection with our issuance of the SBLF Preferred Stock (August 18, 2011), we were generally precluded from purchasing shares of the Company's stock without the Treasury's consent. Our participation in the SBLF program does not preclude us from purchasing shares of the Company's stock, provided that after giving effect to such purchase, (i) the dollar amount of the Company's Tier 1 capital would be at least equal to the "Tier 1 Dividend Threshold" under the terms of the SBLF Preferred Stock and (ii) full dividends on all outstanding shares of SBLF Preferred Stock for the most recently completed dividend period have been or are contemporaneously declared and paid, as described under "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Capital Resources."

As indicated below, no shares were purchased during the fourth quarter of 2013.

			Total Number	Maximum
			of Shares	Number of
			Purchased as	Shares that
			Part of	May Yet Be
	Total Number	Average	Publicly	Purchased
	of Shares	Price	Announced	Under the
	Purchased	Per Share	Plan	Plan (1)
October 1, 2013 - October 31, 2013 November 1, 2013 - November 30,		\$		396,562
2013 December 1, 2013 - December 31,				396,562
2013				396,562
		\$		
(1)	Amount represen	nts the number of sh	ares available to be re	epurchased under

ITEM 6. SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA

The following table sets forth selected consolidated financial information and other financial data of the Company. The selected balance sheet and statement of operations data, insofar as they relate to the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, 2011, 2010, and 2009, are derived from our Consolidated Financial Statements, which have been audited by BKD, LLP. See Item 7. "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," and Item 8. "Financial Statements and Supplementary Information." Results for past periods are not necessarily indicative of results that may be expected for any future period.

the November 2006 plan as of the last calendar day of the month shown.

	2013	2012 (I	December 31, 2011 Dollars In Thousa	2010	2009
Summary Statement of Condition					
Information:	42.560.250	Φ2.055.102	Φ2.700.012	Φ2 411 505	Φ2 C41 110
Assets	\$3,560,250	\$3,955,182	\$3,790,012	\$3,411,505	\$3,641,119
Loans receivable, net	2,446,769	2,346,467	2,153,081	1,899,386	2,091,394
Allowance for loan losses	40,116	40,649	41,232	41,487	40,101
Available-for-sale securities	555,281	807,010	875,411	769,546	764,291
Other real estate owned, net	53,514	68,874	67,621	60,262	41,660
Deposits	2,808,626	3,153,193	2,963,539	2,595,893	2,713,961
Total borrowings	343,795	391,114	485,853	495,554	591,908
Stockholders' equity (retained earnings substantially					
restricted)	380,698	369,874	324,587	304,009	298,908
Common stockholders' equity	322,755	311,931	266,644	247,529	242,891

Edgar Filing: GREAT SOUTHERN BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Average loans receivable	2,403,544	2,326,273	2,007,914	2,019,361	2,028,067
Average total assets	3,789,876	4,005,613	3,496,860	3,528,043	3,403,059
Average deposits	2,996,941	3,199,683	2,671,710	2,661,164	2,483,264
Average stockholders' equity	378,650	352,282	316,486	309,558	274,684
Number of deposit accounts	192,323	197,733	189,288	171,278	173,842
Number of full-service offices	96	107	104	75	72

	For the Year Ended December 31,								
		2013		2012	(In	2011	2010		2009
Summary Statement of Operations					(11)	n Thousands)			
Information:									
Interest income:									
Loans	\$	163,903	\$	170,163	\$	171,201	\$ 145,832	\$	123,463
Investment securities and other		14,892	'	23,345	·	27,466	27,359		32,405
		178,795		193,508		198,667	173,191		155,868
Interest expense:		,		,		,	, .		,
Deposits		12,346		20,720		26,370	38,427		54,087
Federal Home Loan Bank advances		3,972		4,430		5,242	5,516		5,352
Short-term borrowings and repurchase									•
agreements		2,324		2,610		2,965	3,329		6,393
Subordinated debentures issued to									
capital trust		561		617		569	578		773
•		19,203		28,377		35,146	47,850		66,605
Net interest income		159,592		165,131		163,521	125,341		89,263
Provision for loan losses		17,386		43,863		35,336	35,630		35,800
Net interest income after provision for									
loan losses		142,206		121,268		128,185	89,711		53,463
Noninterest income:									
Commissions		1,065		1,036		896	767		309
Service charges and ATM fees		18,227		19,087		18,063	18,652		17,669
Net realized gains on sales of loans		4,915		5,505		3,524	3,765		2,889
Net realized gains on sales of									
available-for-sale securities		243		2,666		483	8,787		2,787
Recognized impairment of									
available-for-sale securities				(680))	(615)			(4,308)
Late charges and fees on loans		1,264		1,028		651	767		672
Gain (loss) on derivative interest rate									
products		295		(38))	(10)			1,184
Gain recognized on business									
acquisitions				31,312		16,486			89,795
Accretion (amortization) of									
income/expense related to									
business acquisition		(25,260)		(18,693))	(37,797)	(10,427)		2,733
Other income		4,566		4,779		2,450	2,018		2,497
		5,315		46,002		4,131	24,329		116,227
Noninterest expense:									
Salaries and employee benefits		52,468		51,262		43,606	39,908		35,684
Net occupancy expense		20,658		20,179		15,220	13,480		11,720
Postage		3,315		3,301		3,096	3,231		2,721
Insurance		4,189		4,476		4,840	4,463		5,617
Advertising		2,165		1,572		1,316	1,754		1,349
Office supplies and printing		1,303		1,389		1,268	1,447		1,124
Telephone		2,868		2,768		2,270	2,158		1,642
		4,348		4,323		3,803	2,832		2,741

Edgar Filing: GREAT SOUTHERN BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Legal, audit and other professional					
fees					
Expense on foreclosed assets	4,068	8,748	11,846	4,914	4,959
Partnership tax credit	6,879	5,782	3,985	1,240	
Other operating expenses	8,128	8,760	6,226	6,723	4,145
	110,389	112,560	97,476	82,150	71,702
Income (loss) from continuing					
operations					
before income taxes	37,132	54,710	35,840	31,890	97,988
Provision (credit) for income taxes	3,403	10,623	5,183	8,590	32,983
Net income (loss) from continuing					
operations	33,729	44,087	29,657	23,300	65,005
Discontinued Operations					
Income from discontinued operations,					
net of income taxes		4,619	612	565	42
Net income (loss)	33,729	48,706	30,269	23,865	65,047
Preferred stock dividends and discount					
accretion	579	608	2,798	3,403	3,353
Non-cash deemed preferred stock					
dividend			1,212		
Net income (loss) available to common					
shareholders	\$ 33,150	\$ 48,098	\$ 26,259	\$ 20,462	\$ 61,694

Per Common Share Data:		2013		2012		ear Ended De 2011 shares in tho		2010		2009
Basic earnings (loss) per common	Φ	2.42	Ф	2.55	ф	1.05	Ф	1.50	Ф	4.61
share Diluted earnings (loss) per	\$	2.43	\$	3.55	\$	1.95	\$	1.52	\$	4.61
common share		2.42		3.54		1.93		1.46		4.44
Diluted earnings (loss) from										
continuing operations per		2.42		2.20		1.00		1.40		4 44
common share Cash dividends declared		2.42 0.72		3.20 0.72		1.89 0.72		1.42 0.72		4.44 0.72
Book value per common share		23.60		22.94		19.78		18.40		18.12
Book value per common share		23.00		22.74		17.70		10.40		10.12
Average shares outstanding		13,635		13,534		13,462		13,434		13,390
Year-end actual shares outstanding		13,674		13,596		13,480		13,454		13,406
Average fully diluted shares										
outstanding		13,715		13,592		13,626		14,046		13,382
Earnings Performance Ratios:										
Return on average assets(1)		0.89%		1.22%		0.87%		0.68%		1.91%
Return on average stockholders'		0.05 /6		1,22,4		0.07,75		0.0070		11,717,0
equity(2)		10.52		16.55		11.67		9.42		29.72
Non-interest income to average										
total assets		0.14		1.49		0.35		0.91		3.61
Non-interest expense to average										
total assets		2.91		2.98		2.99		2.52		2.30
Average interest rate spread(3)		4.60		4.53		5.06		3.81		2.98
Year-end interest rate spread		3.88		3.57		3.68		3.81		3.56
Net interest margin(4)		4.70		4.61		5.17		3.93		3.03
Efficiency ratio(5)		66.94		53.03		59.54		56.52		36.88
Net overhead ratio(6)		2.77		1.48		2.64		1.61		(1.31)
Common dividend pay-out ratio(7)		29.75		20.34		37.31		49.32		16.22
Asset Quality Ratios (8):										
Allowance for loan										
losses/year-end loans		1.92%		2.21%		2.33%		2.48%		2.35%
Non-performing assets/year-end										
loans and foreclosed assets		2.46		2.98		3.31		3.93		2.99
Allowance for loan										
losses/non-performing loans		201.53		180.84		149.95		141.02		151.38
Net charge-offs/average loans		0.91		2.43		2.09		2.05		1.44
Gross non-performing assets/year										
end assets		1.75		1.84		1.96		2.30		1.79
		0.80		0.94		1.25		1.52		1.24

Edgar Filing: GREAT SOUTHERN BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Non-performing loans/year-end loans

Balance Sheet Ratios: Loans to deposits Average interest-earning assets as a percentage	87.12%	74.42%	72.65%	73.17%	77.06%
of average interest-bearing					
liabilities	116.03	110.12	110.55	108.22	102.17
Capital Ratios:					
Average common stockholders'					
equity to average assets	8.5%	7.4%	7.4%	7.2%	6.4%
Year-end tangible common					
stockholders' equity to assets	8.9	7.7	6.9	7.1	6.5
Great Southern Bancorp, Inc.:					
Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio	15.6	15.7	14.8	16.8	15.0
Total risk-based capital ratio	16.9	16.9	16.1	18.0	16.3
Tier 1 leverage ratio	11.3	9.5	9.2	9.5	8.6
Great Southern Bank:					
Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio	14.2	14.7	14.1	14.6	12.9
Total risk-based capital ratio	15.4	15.9	15.3	15.8	14.2
Tier 1 leverage ratio	10.2	8.9	8.6	8.3	7.4
Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges					
and Preferred Stock					
Dividend Requirement (9):					
Including deposit interest	2.84x	3.09x	1.78x	1.53x	2.30x
Excluding deposit interest	5.87x	8.24x	3.30x	2.99x	6.29x

(1)	Net income (loss) divided by average total assets.
(2)	Net income (loss) divided by average stockholders' equity.
	Yield on average interest-earning assets less rate on average interest-bearing
(3)	liabilities.
(4)	Net interest income divided by average interest-earning assets.
	Non-interest expense divided by the sum of net interest income plus
(5)	non-interest income.
(6)	Non-interest expense less non-interest income divided by average total assets.
(7)	Cash dividends per common share divided by earnings per common share.
(8)	Excludes assets covered by FDIC loss sharing agreements.
(9)	In computing the ratio of earnings to fixed charges and preferred stock
	dividend requirement: (a) earnings have been based on income before income
	taxes and fixed charges, and (b) fixed charges consist of interest and
	amortization of debt discount and expense including amounts capitalized and
	the estimated interest portion of rents.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATION

Forward-looking Statements

When used in this Annual Report and in other documents filed or furnished by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"), in the Company's press releases or other public or shareholder communications, and in oral statements made with the approval of an authorized executive officer, the words or phrases "will likely result," "are expected to," "will continue," "is anticipated," "estimate," "project," "intends" or similar expressions are intended to identify "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Such statements are subject to certain risks and uncertainties, including, among other things, (i) non-interest expense reductions from the Great Southern banking center consolidation might be less than anticipated and the costs of the consolidation and impairment of the value of the affected premises might be greater than expected; (ii) expected cost savings, synergies and other benefits from the Company's merger and acquisition activities, might not be realized within the anticipated time frames or at all, and costs or difficulties relating to integration matters, including but not limited to customer and employee retention, might be greater than expected; (iii) changes in economic conditions, either nationally or in the Company's market areas; (iv) fluctuations in interest rates; (v) the risks of lending and investing activities, including changes in the level and direction of loan delinquencies and write-offs and changes in estimates of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses; (vi) the possibility of other-than-temporary impairments of securities held in the Company's securities portfolio; (vii) the Company's ability to access cost-effective funding; (viii) fluctuations in real estate values and both residential and commercial real estate market conditions; (ix) demand for loans and deposits in the Company's market areas; (x) legislative or regulatory changes that adversely affect the Company's business, including, without limitation, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act and its implementing regulations, and the overdraft protection regulations and customers' responses thereto; (xi) monetary and fiscal policies of the Federal Reserve Board and the U.S. Government and other governmental initiatives affecting the financial services industry; (xii) results of examinations of the Company and Great Southern by their regulators, including the possibility that the regulators may, among other things, require the Company to increase its allowance for loan losses or to write-down assets; (xiii) the uncertainties arising from the Company's participation in the Small Business Lending Fund program, including uncertainties concerning the potential future

redemption by us of the U.S. Treasury's preferred stock investment under the program, including the timing of, regulatory approvals for, and conditions placed upon, any such redemption; (xiv) costs and effects of litigation, including settlements and judgments; and (xv) competition. The Company wishes to advise readers that the factors listed above and other risks described from time to time in the Company's other filings with the SEC could affect the Company's financial performance and could cause the Company's actual results for future periods to differ materially from any opinions or statements expressed with respect to future periods in any current statements.

The Company does not undertake-and specifically declines any obligation- to publicly release the result of any revisions which may be made to any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of such statements or to reflect the occurrence of anticipated or unanticipated events.

Critical Accounting Policies, Judgments and Estimates

The accounting and reporting policies of the Company conform with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States and general practices within the financial services industry. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and the accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Allowance for Loan Losses and Valuation of Foreclosed Assets

The Company believes that the determination of the allowance for loan losses involves a higher degree of judgment and complexity than its other significant accounting policies. The allowance for loan losses is calculated with the objective of maintaining an allowance level believed by management to be sufficient to absorb estimated loan losses. Management's determination of the adequacy of the allowance is based on periodic evaluations of the loan portfolio and other relevant factors. However, this evaluation is inherently subjective as it requires material estimates of, among others, expected default probabilities, loss once loans default, expected commitment usage, the amounts and timing of expected future cash flows on impaired loans, value of collateral, estimated losses, and general amounts for historical loss experience.

The process also considers economic conditions, uncertainties in estimating losses and inherent risks in the loan portfolio. All of these factors may be susceptible to significant change. To the extent actual outcomes differ from management estimates, additional provisions for loan losses may be required which would adversely impact earnings in future periods. In addition, the Bank's regulators could require additional provisions for loan losses as part of their examination process.

Additional discussion of the allowance for loan losses is included in "Item 1. Business - Allowances for Losses on Loans and Foreclosed Assets." Inherent in this process is the evaluation of individual significant credit relationships. From time to time certain credit relationships may deteriorate due to payment performance, cash flow of the borrower, value of collateral, or other factors. In these instances, management may have to revise its loss estimates and assumptions for these specific credits due to changing circumstances. In some cases, additional losses may be realized; in other instances, the factors that led to the deterioration may improve or the credit may be refinanced elsewhere and allocated allowances may be released from the particular credit. For the periods included in the financial statements contained in this report, management's overall methodology for evaluating the allowance for loan losses has not changed significantly.

In addition, the Company considers that the determination of the valuations of foreclosed assets held for sale involves a high degree of judgment and complexity. The carrying value of foreclosed assets reflects management's best estimate of the amount to be realized from the sales of the assets. While the estimate is generally based on a valuation by an independent appraiser or recent sales of similar properties, the amount that the Company realizes from the sales of the assets could differ materially from the carrying value reflected in the financial statements, resulting in losses that could adversely impact earnings in future periods.

Carrying Value of FDIC-covered Loans and Indemnification Asset

The Company considers that the determination of the carrying value of loans acquired in the FDIC-assisted transactions and the carrying value of the related FDIC indemnification assets involve a high degree of judgment and complexity. The carrying value of the acquired loans and the FDIC indemnification assets reflect management's best ongoing estimates of the amounts to be realized on each of these assets. The Company determined initial fair value accounting estimates of the assumed assets and liabilities in accordance with FASB ASC 805, Business Combinations. However, the amount that the Company realizes on these assets could differ materially from the carrying value reflected in its financial statements, based upon the timing of collections on the acquired loans in future periods. Because of the loss sharing agreements with the FDIC on these assets, the Company should not incur any significant losses. To the extent the actual values realized for the acquired loans are different from the estimates, the indemnification asset will generally be impacted in an offsetting manner due to the loss sharing support from the FDIC. Subsequent to the initial valuation, the Company continues to monitor identified loan pools and related loss sharing assets for changes in estimated cash flows projected for the loan pools, anticipated credit losses and changes in the accretable yield. Analysis of these variables requires significant estimates and a high degree of judgment. See

Note 4 of the accompanying audited financial statements for additional information regarding the TeamBank, Vantus Bank, Sun Security Bank and InterBank FDIC-assisted transactions.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Goodwill and intangible assets that have indefinite useful lives are subject to an impairment test at least annually and more frequently if circumstances indicate their value may not be recoverable. Goodwill is tested for impairment using a process that estimates the fair value of each of the Company's reporting units compared with its carrying value. The Company defines reporting units as a level below each of its operating segments for which there is discrete financial information that is regularly reviewed. As of December 31, 2013, the Company has one reporting unit to which goodwill has been allocated – the Bank. If the fair value of a reporting unit exceeds its carrying value, then no impairment is recorded. If the carrying value amount exceeds the fair value of a reporting unit, further testing is completed comparing the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill to its carrying value to measure the amount of impairment. Intangible assets that are not amortized will be tested for impairment at least annually by comparing the fair values of those assets to their carrying values. At December 31, 2013, goodwill consisted of \$379,000 at the Bank reporting unit. Other identifiable intangible assets that are subject to amortization are amortized on a straight-line basis over a period of seven years. At December 31, 2013, the amortizable intangible assets consisted of core deposit intangibles of \$4.2 million. These amortizable

intangible assets are reviewed for impairment if circumstances indicate their value may not be recoverable based on a comparison of fair value. See Note 1 of the accompanying audited financial statements for additional information.

For purposes of testing goodwill for impairment, the Company used a market approach to value its reporting unit. The market approach applies a market multiple, based on observed purchase transactions for each reporting unit, to the metrics appropriate for the valuation of the operating unit. Significant judgment is applied when goodwill is assessed for impairment. This judgment may include developing cash flow projections, selecting appropriate discount rates, identifying relevant market comparables and incorporating general economic and market conditions.

Based on the Company's goodwill impairment testing, management does not believe any of its goodwill or other intangible assets are impaired as of December 31, 2013. While the Company believes no impairment existed at December 31, 2013, different conditions or assumptions used to measure fair value of the reporting unit, or changes in cash flows or profitability, if significantly negative or unfavorable, could have a material adverse effect on the outcome of the Company's impairment evaluation in the future.

Current Economic Conditions

Economic conditions during the period 2008 to 2012 presented financial institutions with unprecedented circumstances and challenges which, in some cases, resulted in large declines in the fair value of investments and other assets, constraints on liquidity and significant credit quality problems, including severe volatility in the valuation of real estate and other collateral supporting loans.

Given the potential volatility of economic conditions, the values of assets and liabilities recorded in the financial statements could change rapidly, resulting in material future adjustments in asset values, the allowance for loan losses, or capital that could negatively impact the Company's ability to meet regulatory capital requirements and maintain sufficient liquidity.

The economic downturn elevated unemployment levels and negatively impacted consumer confidence. This downturn had a detrimental impact on industry-wide performance nationally as well as the Company's Midwest market areas. Over the past two years, several economic indicators have shown some improvement, including increasing consumer confidence levels and a continued decline in unemployment levels.

The national unemployment rate declined from 7.8% as of December 2012 to 6.7% in December 2013. The unemployment rate at 6.7% remains high, and although job growth slowed in December, it is anticipated to accelerate from near 180,000 per month last year to over 200,000 monthly in 2014, according to economists. Unemployment levels in our market areas decreased during 2013 in all but two of the states in which the Company has offices and all but one state had unemployment levels lower than the National unemployment rate. Unemployment rates at December 31, 2013 were: Missouri at 5.9%, Arkansas at 7.4%, Kansas at 4.9%, Iowa at 4.2%, Nebraska at 3.6%, Minnesota at 4.6%, Oklahoma at 5.4% and Texas at 6.0%. Four out of these eight states had unemployment rates among the ten lowest in the country. Of the metropolitan areas in which Great Southern Bank does business, the St. Louis market area continues to carry the highest level of unemployment at 6.5% which is an improvement over the 7.0% rate reported as of December 2012. The unemployment rate at 4.6% for the Springfield market area was below the national and state average for December 2013. Metropolitan areas in Iowa and Nebraska boasted unemployment levels ranging from 3.6% - 4.0%; ranking them among the lowest unemployment levels in the nation.

Real GDP growth slowed in the fourth quarter of 2013 to 2.3% from 4.1% in the previous quarter. Although growth slowed slightly, progress was noted, with consumption accelerating and driving growth. Consumer spending

expanded at a moderate rate but remains constrained by high unemployment, modest income growth, reduced housing wealth and tight credit. Reduced government spending and the government shutdown in the 2013 4th quarter had an impact on the level of economic improvement.

Sales of newly built, single-family homes fell 7% to a seasonally adjusted annual rate of 414,000 units in December 2013, according to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development and the U.S. Census Bureau. Despite the monthly drop, home sales in 2013 were up 16.4% over the previous year. December's decline in new-home sales followed elevated levels in the previous two months, resulting in a fourth quarter which was still much stronger than the third quarter according to the National Association of Home Builders. Builders are still constrained by tight credit conditions for home buyers, and a limited supply of labor and buildable lots.

Regionally, the Midwest posted a gain in new-home sales activity for 2013 at 17.6%. At December 31, 2013, the median existing home price in the Midwest stood at \$150,700, a 7.0% increase from the year before. Building permits have increased across our market areas, and foreclosure filings have decreased to their lowest level since 2007.

The performance of commercial real estate markets also improved substantially in the Company's market areas as shown by increased real estate sales activity and financing of those activities. According to real estate services firm CoStar Group, retail, office and industrial types of commercial real estate properties continue to show improvement in occupancy, absorption and rental income both nationally and in our market areas.

While current economic indicators for the Midwest show improvement in employment, housing starts and prices, commercial real estate occupancy, absorption and rental income, Bank management will continue to closely monitor regional, national and global economic conditions as these could have significant impacts on our market areas.

General

The profitability of the Company and, more specifically, the profitability of its primary subsidiary, the Bank, depends primarily on its net interest income, as well as provisions for loan losses and the level of non-interest income and non-interest expense. Net interest income is the difference between the interest income the Bank earns on its loans and investment portfolio, and the interest it pays on interest-bearing liabilities, which consists mainly of interest paid on deposits and borrowings. Net interest income is affected by the relative amounts of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities and the interest rates earned or paid on these balances. When interest-earning assets approximate or exceed interest-bearing liabilities, any positive interest rate spread will generate net interest income.

In the year ended December 31, 2013, Great Southern's total assets decreased \$394.9 million, or 10.0%, from \$3.96 billion at December 31, 2012, to \$3.56 billion at December 31, 2013. Full details of the current year changes in total assets are provided in the "Comparison of Financial Condition at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012" section of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Loans. In the year ended December 31, 2013, Great Southern's net loans increased \$119.9 million, or 5.2%, from \$2.32 billion at December 31, 2012, to \$2.44 billion at December 31, 2013. The increase was partially due to the purchase of \$86.1 million of multi-family residential loans in October 2013. In addition, there were increases in commercial real estate, other residential, commercial business, consumer and construction loans. Excluding loans covered by loss sharing agreements, commercial real estate loans increased \$88.3 million, other residential loans increased \$58.1 million, other commercial loans increased \$50.6 million, consumer auto loans increased \$52.1 million and commercial construction loans increased \$33.5 million. Partially offsetting these increases was a decrease in net loans acquired through the FDIC-assisted transactions of \$137.7 million, or 26.3%, primarily because of loan repayments. As loan demand is affected by a variety of factors, including general economic conditions, and because of the competition we face and our focus on pricing discipline and credit quality, we cannot be assured that our loan growth will match or exceed the level of increases achieved in prior years. Based upon the current lending environment and economic conditions, the Company does not expect to grow the overall loan portfolio significantly at this time. The Company's strategy continues to be focused on maintaining credit risk and interest rate risk at appropriate levels.

Of the total loan portfolio at December 31, 2013 and 2012, 76.0.0% and 73.0%, respectively, was secured by real estate, as this is the Bank's primary focus in its lending efforts. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, commercial real estate and commercial construction loans were 42.7% and 45.1% of the Bank's total loan portfolio (excluding loans acquired through FDIC-assisted transactions), respectively. Commercial real estate and commercial construction

loans generally afford the Bank an opportunity to increase the yield on, and the proportion of interest rate sensitive loans in its portfolio. They do, however, present somewhat greater risk to the Bank because they may be more adversely affected by conditions in the real estate markets or in the economy generally. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, loans made in the Springfield, Mo. metropolitan statistical area (Springfield MSA) were 20% and 24% of the Bank's total loan portfolio (excluding loans acquired through FDIC-assisted transactions), respectively. The Company's headquarters are located in Springfield and we have operated in this market since 1923. Because of our large presence and experience in the Springfield MSA, many lending opportunities exist. However, if the economic conditions of the Springfield MSA were worse than those of other market areas in which we operate or the national economy overall, the performance of these loans could decline comparatively. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, loans made in the St. Louis, Mo. metropolitan statistical area (St. Louis MSA) were 20% and 21% of the Bank's total loan portfolio (excluding loans acquired through FDIC-assisted transactions), respectively. The Company's expansion into the St. Louis MSA in May 2009 provided an opportunity to not only expand its markets and provide diversification from the Springfield MSA, but also provided access to a larger economy with increased lending opportunities despite higher levels of competition. Loans made in the St. Louis MSA are primarily commercial real estate, commercial business and multi-family residential loans which are less likely to be impacted by the higher levels of unemployment rates, as mentioned above under

"Current Economic Conditions," than if the focus were on one- to four-family residential and consumer loans. For further discussions of the Bank's loan portfolio, and specifically, commercial real estate and commercial construction loans, see "Item 1. Business – Lending Activities."

The percentage of fixed-rate loans in our loan portfolio (excluding loans acquired through FDIC-assisted transactions) has increased from 21% in 2008 to 53% in 2013 due to customer preference for fixed rate loans during this period of low interest rates. Of the total amount of fixed rate loans in our portfolio, 74% mature within one to five years and therefore are not considered to create significant long-term interest rate risk for the Company. Fixed rate loans make up only a portion of our balance sheet and our overall interest rate risk strategy. As of December 31, 2013, our interest rate risk models indicated a one-year interest rate earnings sensitivity position that is fairly neutral. For further discussion of our interest rate sensitivity gap and the processes used to manage our exposure to interest rate risk, see "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk – How We Measure the Risks to Us Associated with Interest Rate Changes." For discussion of the risk factors associated with interest rate changes, see "Risk Factors – We may be adversely affected by interest rate changes."

While our policy allows us to lend up to 95% of the appraised value on one- to four-family residential properties, originations of loans with loan-to-value ratios at that level are minimal. When they are made at those levels, private mortgage insurance is typically required for loan amounts above the 80% level or our analyses determined minimal risk to be involved and therefore these loans are not considered to have more risk to us than other residential loans. We consider these lending practices to be consistent with or more conservative than what we believe to be the norm for banks our size. At December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, an estimated 0.4% and 0.2%, respectively, of total owner occupied one- to four-family residential loans had loan-to-value ratios above 100% at origination. At December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, an estimated 0.5% and 0.8%, respectively, of total non-owner occupied one- to four-family residential loans had loan-to-value ratios above 100% at origination.

At December 31, 2013, troubled debt restructurings totaled \$54.1 million, or 2.3% of total loans, up \$7.3 million from \$46.8 million, or 2.0% of total loans, at December 31, 2012. At December 31, 2011, troubled debt restructurings totaled \$58.1 million, or 2.7% of total loans. At December 31, 2010, troubled debt restructurings totaled \$20.4 million, or 1.1% of total loans. At December 31, 2009, troubled debt restructurings totaled \$11.6 million, or 0.5% of total loans. This increase over the past five years is primarily due to the economic downturn that was experienced and the resulting increased number of borrowers experiencing financial difficulty. Concessions granted to borrowers experiencing financial difficulties may include a reduction in the interest rate on the loan, payment extensions, forgiveness of principal, forbearance or other actions intended to maximize collection. While the types of concessions made have not changed as a result of the economic recession, the number of concessions granted has increased as reflected in the increase in troubled debt restructurings. During the year ended December 31, 2013, four loans totaling \$3.5 million were each restructured into multiple new loans. During the year ended December 31, 2012, eleven loans totaling \$38.0 million were each restructured into multiple new loans. During the year ended December 31, 2011, twelve loans totaling \$41.0 million were each restructured into multiple new loans. For further information on troubled debt restructurings, see Note 3 of the accompanying audited financial statements.

The loss sharing agreements with the FDIC are subject to limitations on the types of losses covered and the length of time losses are covered, and are conditioned upon the Bank complying with its requirements in the agreements with the FDIC, including requirements regarding servicing and other loan administration matters. The loss sharing agreements extend for ten years for single family real estate loans and for five years for other loans. At December 31, 2013, approximately five years remain on the loss sharing agreement for single family real estate loans acquired from TeamBank and the remaining loans have an estimated average life of two to ten years. At December 31, 2013, approximately five and one half years remain on the loss sharing agreement for single family real estate loans acquired

from Vantus Bank and the remaining loans have an estimated average life of two to twelve years. At December 31, 2013, approximately eight years remain on the loss sharing agreement for single family real estate loans acquired from Sun Security Bank and the remaining loans have an estimated average life of five to twelve years. At December 31, 2013, approximately eight and one half years remain on the loss sharing agreement for single family real estate loans acquired from InterBank and the remaining loans have an estimated average life of five to fourteen years. At December 31, 2013, approximately three months remain on the loss sharing agreement for non-single family loans acquired from TeamBank and the remaining loans have an estimated average life of one to six years. At December 31, 2013, approximately nine months remain on the loss sharing agreement for non-single family loans acquired from Vantus Bank and the remaining loans have an estimated average life of one to six years. At December 31, 2013, approximately three years remain on the loss sharing agreement for non-single family loans acquired from Sun Security Bank and the remaining loans have an estimated average life of one to two years. At December 31, 2013, approximately three and one half years remain on the loss sharing agreement for non-single family loans acquired from InterBank and the remaining loans have an estimated average life of two to three years. While the expected repayments for certain of the acquired loans extend beyond the terms of the loss sharing agreements, the Bank has identified and will continue to identify problem loans and will make every effort to resolve them within the time limits of the agreements. The Company may sell any loans remaining at the end of the loss sharing agreement subject

to the approval of the FDIC. Acquired loans are currently included in the analysis and estimation of the allowance for loan losses. However, when the loss sharing agreements end, the allowance for loan losses related to any acquired loans retained in the portfolio may need to increase if additional weakness or losses are determined to be in the portfolio subsequent to the end of the loss sharing agreements. The loss sharing agreements and their related limitations are described in detail in Note 4 of the accompanying audited financial statements.

The level of non-performing loans and foreclosed assets affects our net interest income and net income. We generally do not accrue interest income on these loans and do not recognize interest income until the loans are repaid or interest payments have been made for a period of time sufficient to provide evidence of performance on the loans. Generally, the higher the level of non-performing assets, the greater the negative impact on interest income and net income.

Available-for-sale Securities. In the year ended December 31, 2013, available-for-sale securities decreased \$251.7 million, or 31.2%, from \$807.0 million at December 31, 2012, to \$555.3 million at December 31, 2013. The decrease was due to net sales and repayments of mortgage-backed securities, which decreased \$228.5 million from \$596.1 million at December 31, 2012 to \$367.6 million at December 31, 2013, and U.S. government agencies, which decreased \$12.7 million from \$30.0 million at December 31, 2012 to \$17.3 million at December 31, 2013. The Company has utilized cash flow from its securities and excess cash equivalents to fund loans and reduce certain deposit types.

Cash and Cash Equivalents. Cash and cash equivalents totaled \$227.9 million at December 31, 2013, a decrease of \$176.2 million, or 43.6%, from \$404.1 million at December 31, 2012. The decrease in cash and cash equivalents during 2013 was primarily due to decreases in deposits, primarily decreases in retail certificates of deposit, certain collateralized transaction accounts and CDARS customer deposits.

Other Real Estate Owned. Other real estate owned totaled \$53.5 million at December 31, 2013, a decrease of \$15.4 million, or 22.3%, from \$68.9 million at December 31, 2012. Of the total at December 31, 2013, \$51.4 million was foreclosed assets and \$2.1 million was other real estate owned not acquired through foreclosure, which is made up 13 properties. Twelve of these properties were branch locations that have been closed and are held for sale, and one is land which was acquired for a potential branch location. Foreclosed assets, excluding those covered by loss sharing agreements with the FDIC, decreased from \$50.1 million, or 1.3% of total assets, at December 31, 2012 to \$42.4 million, or 1.2% of total assets, at December 31, 2013. The Company's foreclosed assets began increasing as the United States economy slowed due to a severe economic recession in 2008 and 2009, and continued to increase through 2012. During 2013, the Company's foreclosed assets decreased primarily in the areas of subdivision and commercial construction. See "Non-performing Assets – Foreclosed Assets" for additional information on the Company's foreclosed assets.

Deposits. The Company attracts deposit accounts through its retail branch network, correspondent banking and corporate services areas, and brokered deposits. The Company then utilizes these deposit funds, along with Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLBank) advances and other borrowings, to meet loan demand or otherwise fund its activities. In the year ended December 31, 2013, total deposit balances decreased \$344.6 million, or 10.9%. Transaction accounts decreased \$134.6 million, while retail certificates of deposit decreased \$217.2 million. Great Southern Bank customer deposits totaling \$76.3 million and \$109.1 million, at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, were part of the CDARS program which allows bank customers to maintain balances in an insured manner that would otherwise exceed the FDIC deposit insurance limit. The FDIC considers these customer accounts to be brokered deposits due to the fees paid in the CDARS program. The Company did not actively try to grow CDARS customer

deposits during the current period and decreased interest rates offered on these deposits during the year ended December 31, 2013.

Our deposit balances may fluctuate from time to time depending on customer preferences and our relative need for funding. We do not consider our retail certificates of deposit to be guaranteed long-term funding because customers can withdraw their funds at any time with minimal interest penalty. When loan demand begins trending upward, we can increase rates paid on deposits to increase deposit balances and may again utilize brokered deposits to provide necessary funding. Because the Federal Funds rate is already very low, there may be a negative impact on the Company's net interest income due to the Company's inability to lower its funding costs significantly in the current low interest rate environment, although interest rates on assets may decline further. The level of competition for deposits in our markets is high. While it is our goal to gain checking account and retail certificate of deposit market share in our branch footprint, we cannot be assured of this in future periods. In addition, while we have been generally lowering our deposit rates over the past several quarters, increasing rates paid on deposits can help to attract deposits if needed; however, this could negatively impact the Company's net interest margin.

Our ability to fund growth in future periods may also depend on our ability to continue to access brokered deposits and FHLBank advances. In times when our loan demand has outpaced our generation of new deposits, we have utilized brokered deposits and FHLBank advances to fund these loans. These funding sources have been attractive to us because we can create either fixed or

variable rate funding, if desired, which more closely matches the interest rate nature of much of our loan portfolio. While we do not currently anticipate that our ability to access these sources will be reduced or eliminated in future periods, if this should happen, the limitation on our ability to fund additional loans could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Net Interest Income and Interest Rate Risk Management. Our net interest income may be affected positively or negatively by interest rate changes in the market. A large portion of our loan portfolio is tied to the "prime rate" of interest and adjusts immediately when this rate adjusts (subject to the effect of loan interest rate floors, which are discussed below). We monitor our sensitivity to interest rate changes on an ongoing basis (see "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk"). In addition, our net interest income may be impacted by changes in the cash flows expected to be received from acquired loan pools. As described in Note 4 of the accompanying audited financial statements, the Company's evaluation of cash flows expected to be received from acquired loan pools is on-going and increases in cash flow expectations are recognized as increases in accretable yield through interest income. Decreases in cash flow expectations are recognized as impairments through the allowance for loan losses.

The current level and shape of the interest rate yield curve poses challenges for interest rate risk management. The FRB last changed interest rates on December 16, 2008. Great Southern has a significant portfolio of loans which are tied to a "prime rate" of interest. Some of these loans are tied to some national index of "prime," while most are indexed to "Great Southern prime." The Company has elected to leave its "Great Southern prime rate" of interest at 5.00%. This does not affect a large number of customers, as a majority of the loans indexed to "Great Southern prime" are already at interest rate floors which are provided for in individual loan documents. But for the interest rate floors, a rate cut by the FRB generally would have an anticipated immediate negative impact on the Company's net interest income due to the large total balance of loans which generally adjust immediately as the Federal Funds rate adjusts. Loans at their floor rates are subject to the risk that borrowers will seek to refinance elsewhere at the lower market rate, however. Because the Federal Funds rate is already very low, there may also be a negative impact on the Company's net interest income due to the Company's inability to lower its funding costs significantly in the current environment, although interest rates on assets may decline further. Conversely, interest rate increases would normally result in increased interest rates on our prime-based loans. The interest rate floors in effect may limit the immediate increase in interest rates on these loans, until such time as rates rise above the floors. However, the Company may have to increase rates paid on deposits to maintain deposit balances and pay higher rates on borrowings. The impact of the low rate environment on our net interest margin in future periods is expected to be fairly neutral. As our time deposits mature in future periods, we expect to be able to continue to reduce rates somewhat as they renew. However, any margin gained by these rate reductions is likely to be offset by reduced yields from our investment securities as payments are made on our mortgage-backed securities and the proceeds are reinvested at lower rates. Similarly, interest rates on adjustable rate loans may reset lower according to their contractual terms and new loans may be originated at lower market rates. For further discussion of the processes used to manage our exposure to interest rate risk, see "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk - How We Measure the Risks to Us Associated with Interest Rate Changes."

The negative impact of declining loan interest rates has been mitigated by the positive effects of the Company's loans which have interest rate floors. At December 31, 2013, the Company had a portfolio (excluding the loans acquired in the FDIC-assisted transactions) of prime-based loans totaling approximately \$502 million with rates that change immediately with changes to the prime rate of interest. Of this total, \$464 million also had interest rate floors. These floors were at varying rates, with \$11 million of these loans having floor rates of 7.0% or greater and another \$273 million of these loans having floor rates between 5.0% and 7.0%. In addition, \$181 million of these loans have floor rates between 3.25% and 5.0%. At December 31, 2013, all of these loans were at their floor rates. The loan yield for the total loan portfolio was approximately 185 basis points, 214 basis points and 261 basis points higher than the

national "prime rate of interest" at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, partly because of these interest rate floors. While interest rate floors have had an overall positive effect on the Company's results during this period, they do subject the Company to the risk that borrowers will elect to refinance their loans with other lenders. To the extent economic conditions improve, the risk that borrowers will seek to refinance their loans increases.

Non-Interest Income and Operating Expenses. The Company's profitability is also affected by the level of its non-interest income and operating expenses. Non-interest income consists primarily of service charges and ATM fees, accretion income (net of amortization) related to the FDIC-assisted acquisitions, late charges and prepayment fees on loans, gains on sales of loans and available-for-sale investments and other general operating income. In 2012, 2011 and 2009, non-interest income was also affected by the gains recognized on the FDIC-assisted transactions. In 2013, 2012 and 2011, increases in the cash flows expected to be collected from the FDIC-covered loan portfolios resulted in amortization (expense) recorded relating to reductions of expected reimbursements under the loss sharing agreements with the FDIC, which are recorded as indemnification assets. Non-interest income may also be affected by the Company's interest rate derivative activities, if the Company chooses to implement derivatives. Operating expenses consist primarily of salaries and employee benefits, occupancy-related expenses, expenses related to foreclosed assets, postage, FDIC deposit insurance, advertising and public relations, telephone, professional fees, office expenses and other general operating expenses. Details of the current period changes in non-interest income and non-interest expense are provided under "Results of Operations and Comparison for the Years Ended December 31, 2013 and 2012."

Business Initiatives

During 2013, the Company reduced its banking center network from 107 to 96 banking centers, a net reduction of 11. The Company added one banking center to its network in 2013 with a new facility in a commercial district of Omaha, Neb. This full-service banking center, which includes a commercial lending team, brings the total to four banking centers operating in the greater Omaha metropolitan area.

A total of 12 offices were consolidated into other Great Southern banking centers in 2013. In October, 11 Missouri banking centers were closed and consolidated into other proximate Great Southern banking center locations. Consolidation of these banking centers, which included the transfer of deposits and other banking center operations, was a result of a performance review of the entire banking center network. The affected banking centers were acquired in 2011, as part of the FDIC-assisted acquisition of the former 27-branch Sun Security Bank. Six of the 11 banking centers were located in southeastern Missouri and five were located in central Missouri. Great Southern ATMs remain operational at each of the affected banking center sites. To date, overall deposit retention at the consolidated banking centers surpassed expectations. In December 2013, a drive-thru facility in Sioux City, Iowa, was consolidated into the nearby Downtown Sioux City banking center, which was remodeled to include drive-thru services for customers.

In addition, the Company relocated three existing banking centers to nearby sites in 2013 - one each in Springfield, Mo., Maple Grove, Minn., and Ava, Mo. In March, a new banking center in Downtown Springfield opened, which replaced a leased facility two blocks away. In October, a new banking center with a commercial lending team opened in Maple Grove, Minn., replacing a leased office a short distance away. The Company operates four banking centers in the Minneapolis market – one each in Edina, Lakeville, Maple Grove and Roseville. Finally, in November, a new and larger banking center in Ava, Mo., opened replacing the bank-owned property less than a mile away.

In October 2013, the Company completed an acquisition of loans with an aggregate principal amount totaling \$86.1 million. The acquired loan portfolio, which was auctioned by an unrelated FDIC-insured financial institution, included 119 loans with collateral securing the notes consisting primarily of multi-family real estate in Minnesota, Michigan, Wisconsin, Illinois and Indiana. The Bank paid \$87.9 million for the loans, which resulted in a 2.125% premium over the principal balances of the portfolio. The process of bidding on the portfolio was competitive in nature with numerous institutions bidding on all or a portion of the loans. The Bank estimates the average yield of the portfolio to be approximately 4.3% based on the weighted average maturity of the portfolio (less than four years), with an average yield potentially as high as 4.7% if loan balances are retained beyond the initial maturity dates.

On the technology front in 2013, the Company introduced Mobile Check Deposit, a smartphone application-based service enabling customers to conveniently deposit a paper check to their checking account by utilizing a smartphone camera. A new mobile-ready and more interactive Company website, www.GreatSouthernBank.com, was also launched.

In 2014, several initiatives are underway. On January 14, 2014, the Company announced that it signed a definitive agreement to purchase two branches in Neosho, Mo., from Boulevard Bank, representing approximately \$65 million of deposits and \$6 million of loans. Great Southern currently operates one banking center in Neosho. Subject to separate regulatory approval and after conversion of all Neosho locations to one operating system, the Bank expects to relocate the current Great Southern office into the Boulevard Bank branch directly across the street. This transaction will ultimately represent a net increase of one banking center to the Great Southern franchise. Terms of this agreement call for Great Southern to acquire the loans at par and pay a two percent premium on approximately \$55 million of the deposits. The Company will pay book value of approximately \$700,000 for the real and personal property associated with these two branches. The Company anticipates that the effects of this transaction, including the consolidation of

its existing banking center in Neosho, will be slightly accretive to earnings.

Subsequent to the Neosho announcement, the Company announced on January 31, 2014, that it reached a separate definitive agreement to purchase additional depository and loan accounts serviced from Boulevard Bank's branch in St. Louis, Mo. Great Southern currently operates seven banking centers in the greater St. Louis area. This transaction, representing approximately \$39 million in depository accounts and \$6 million in commercial loans, does not include a physical branch location or personnel. Loans will be acquired at par value and deposits are being assumed with no significant additional premium. The combined Neosho and St. Louis transactions represent approximately \$104 million in deposits and \$12 million in loans. Both acquisitions are expected to be simultaneously completed in late March 2014, pending regulatory approval.

In the second quarter of 2014, the Company expects to add two new full-service banking centers to its network: a north St. Louis office and a Fayetteville, Ark., facility.

In the first quarter of 2014, the Company opened commercial loan production offices in Tulsa, Okla., and Dallas, Texas. The Tulsa office is located in southeast Tulsa at 4200 E. Skelly Dr. and the Dallas office is in Preston Center (north Dallas) at 8201 Preston Rd. The new offices are the first physical presence the Company will have in each market. They will provide a wide variety of the Bank's commercial lending services including fixed and variable-rate commercial real estate loans for new and existing property. Competitive commercial construction and portfolio financing will also be available.

Effect of Federal Laws and Regulations

General. Federal legislation and regulation significantly affect the operations of the Company and the Bank, and have increased competition among commercial banks, savings institutions, mortgage banking enterprises and other financial institutions. In particular, the capital requirements and operations of regulated banking organizations such as the Company and the Bank have been and will be subject to changes in applicable statutes and regulations from time to time, which changes could, under certain circumstances, adversely affect the Company or the Bank.

Significant Legislation Impacting the Financial Services Industry. On July 21, 2010, sweeping financial regulatory reform legislation entitled the "Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act" (the "Dodd-Frank Act") was signed into law. The Dodd-Frank Act implements far-reaching changes across the financial regulatory landscape, including provisions that, among other things, centralize responsibility for consumer financial protection by creating a new agency, the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, with broad rulemaking authority for a wide range of consumer protection laws that apply to all banks, require new capital rules (discussed below), change the assessment base for federal deposit insurance, repeal the federal prohibitions on the payment of interest on demand deposits, amend the account balance limit for federal deposit insurance protection, and increase the authority of the Federal Reserve Board to examine the Company and its non-bank subsidiaries.

Many aspects of the Dodd-Frank Act are subject to rulemaking and will take effect over several years, making it difficult to anticipate the overall financial impact on the Company and the financial services industry more generally. Provisions in the legislation that affect deposit insurance assessments, and payment of interest on demand deposits could increase the costs associated with deposits. Provisions in the legislation that require revisions to the capital requirements of the Company and the Bank could require the Company and the Bank to seek additional sources of capital in the future.

A provision of the Dodd-Frank Act, commonly referred to as the "Durbin Amendment," directed the FRB to analyze the debit card payments system and fix the interchange rates based upon their estimate of actual costs. The FRB has established the interchange rate for all debit transactions for issuers with over \$10 billion in assets, effective October 1, 2011, at \$0.21 per transaction. An additional five basis points of the transaction amount and an additional \$0.01 may be collected by the issuer for fraud prevention and recovery, provided the issuer performs certain actions. Although the Bank is currently exempt from the provisions of the rule on the basis of asset size, there is some uncertainty about the long-term impact there will be on the interchange rates for issuers below the \$10 billion level of assets.

New Capital Rules. The federal banking agencies have adopted new regulatory capital rules that substantially amend the risk-based capital rules applicable to the Bank and the Company. The new rules would implement the "Basel III" regulatory capital reforms and changes required by the Dodd-Frank Act. "Basel III" refers to various documents released by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision. For the Company and the Bank, the general effective date of the new rules is January 1, 2015, and, for certain provisions, various phase-in periods and later effective dates apply. The chief features of the new rules are summarized below.

The new rules refine the definitions of what constitutes regulatory capital and add a new regulatory capital element, common equity Tier 1 capital. The minimum capital ratios are (i) a common equity Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of 4.5%; (ii) a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of 6%; (iii) a total risk-based capital ratio of 8%; and (iv) a Tier 1 leverage ratio of 4%. In addition to the minimum capital ratios, the new rules include a capital conservation buffer, under which a banking organization must have capital more than 2.5% above each of its minimum risk-based capital ratios in order to avoid restrictions on paying dividends, engaging in share repurchases, and paying certain discretionary bonuses.

Effective January 1, 2015, the new rules also revise the prompt corrective action framework, which is designed to place restrictions on insured depository institutions if their capital levels show signs of weakness. Under the new prompt corrective action requirements, insured depository institutions would be required to meet the following in order to qualify as "well capitalized:" (i) a common equity Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of at least 6.5%; (ii) a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of at least 8%; (iii) a total risk-based capital ratio of at least 10%; and (iv) a Tier 1 leverage ratio of 5%.

Basel III also contains provisions on liquidity include complex criteria establishing a liquidity coverage ratio ("LCR") and net stable funding ratio ("NSFR"). The purpose of the LCR is to ensure that a bank maintains adequate unencumbered, high quality liquid assets to meet its liquidity needs for 30 days under a severe liquidity stress scenario. The purpose of the NSFR is to promote more medium and long-term funding of assets and activities, using a one-year horizon. The federal banking agencies published proposed regulations on these provisions of Basel III on October 24, 2012. As proposed, these regulations will not apply to a bank holding company that has less than \$50 billion of total consolidated assets and is not internationally active.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 1 to the accompanying audited financial statements, which are included in Item 8 of this Report, for a description of recent accounting pronouncements including the respective dates of adoption and expected effects on the Company's financial position and results of operations.

Comparison of Financial Condition at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012

During the year ended December 31, 2013, total assets decreased by \$394.9 million to \$3.56 billion. Most of the decrease was attributable to decreases in available-for-sale-securities, cash and cash equivalents, and the FDIC indemnification asset. The Company chose to reduce certain deposit categories and utilize cash to repay these deposits. In addition, the Company chose to sell certain investment securities due to significant liquidity and also elected to not reinvest the monthly repayments received on mortgage-backed securities in new investment securities.

Net loans increased \$119.9 million to \$2.44 billion at December 31, 2013. Commercial real estate loans increased \$88.3 million, or 12.8%, multi-family residential loans increased \$58.1 million, or 21.7%, commercial business loans increased \$50.6 million, or 19.1%, consumer auto loans increased \$52.1 million, or 63.1%, and commercial construction loans increased \$33.5 million, or 22.3%. Partially offsetting these increases was a decrease in net loans acquired through the FDIC-assisted transactions of \$137.7 million, or 26.3%, primarily because of loan repayments. As disclosed previously by the Company, the Company completed an acquisition of multi-family real estate loans with an aggregate principal amount totaling \$86.1 million on October 25, 2013. The remaining increase in loans during 2013 was primarily due to financing loans which had been previously financed by other lenders and increased business activity. The Company's strategy continues to be focused on maintaining credit risk and interest rate risk at appropriate levels given the current credit and economic environments.

Related to the loans purchased in the 2012, 2011 and 2009 FDIC-assisted transactions, the Company recorded indemnification assets which represent payments expected to be received from the FDIC through loss sharing agreements. The total balance of the FDIC indemnification asset decreased \$44.6 million to \$72.7 million at December 31, 2013. The decrease was primarily due to the billing and collection of realized losses from the FDIC as well as estimated improved cash flows to be collected from the loan obligors, resulting in reductions in payments expected to be received from the FDIC. The expected improved cash flows are further discussed in the "Interest Income – Loans" section below.

Securities available for sale decreased \$251.7 million, or 31.2%, as compared to December 31, 2012. The decrease was primarily due to paydowns on mortgage-backed securities, which decreased \$228.5 million from \$596.1 million at December 31, 2012 to \$367.6 million at December 31, 2013, and calls, maturities and sales of securities with proceeds used to fund new loans and pay off maturing deposits. The available-for-sale securities portfolio was 15.6% and 20.4% of total assets at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, cash and cash equivalents decreased \$176.2 million to \$227.9 million. The decrease during 2013 was due to decreases in deposits, primarily due to decreases in retail certificates of deposit, certain collateralized transaction accounts and CDARS customer deposits.

Total liabilities decreased \$405.8 million from \$3.58 billion at December 31, 2012 to \$3.18 billion at December 31, 2013. The decrease was primarily attributable to decreases in deposits, securities sold under reverse repurchase agreements with customers, and current and deferred income taxes. In the year ended December 31, 2013, total deposit balances decreased \$344.6 million, or 10.9%. Transaction accounts decreased \$134.6 million and retail certificates of deposit decreased \$217.2 million. Transaction accounts decreased mainly due to planned reductions in certain account types, including accounts with collateralized deposit balances. Since the second quarter of 2010, retail certificates of deposit have trended downward because of customer preference to have immediate access to funds during the current low interest rate environment, when excluding the effect of the deposits added from the 2011 and 2012 FDIC-assisted acquisitions. In addition, at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, Great Southern Bank customer deposits totaling \$76.3 million and \$109.1 million, respectively, were part of the CDARS program which allows bank customers to maintain

balances in an insured manner that would otherwise exceed the FDIC deposit insurance limit. The FDIC counts these deposits as brokered, but these are deposit accounts that we generate with customers in our local markets. The Company did not actively try to grow CDARS customer deposits during the current period and decreased interest rates offered on these deposits during the year ended December 31, 2013.

Securities sold under reverse repurchase agreements with customers decreased \$44.7 million, or 24.9%, from December 31, 2012 as these balances fluctuate over time.

Current and deferred income taxes decreased \$21.6 million from December 31, 2012 as these balances fluctuate with changes in net income and utilization of tax credits.

Total stockholders' equity increased \$10.8 million from \$369.9 million at December 31, 2012 to \$380.7 million at December 31, 2013. The Company recorded net income of \$33.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, common and preferred dividends declared were \$10.4 million and accumulated other comprehensive income decreased \$14.2 million. The decrease in accumulated other comprehensive income resulted from decreases in the fair value of the Company's available-for-sale investment securities. In addition, total stockholders' equity increased \$1.7 million due to stock option exercises.

Results of Operations and Comparison for the Years Ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

General

Net income decreased \$15.0 million, or 30.8%, during the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to the year ended December 31, 2012. Net income from continuing operations decreased \$10.4 million, or 23.5%, during the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to the year ended December 31, 2012. Net income was \$33.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to \$48.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. Net income from continuing operations was \$33.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to \$44.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. This decrease was due to a decrease in non-interest income of \$40.7 million, or 88.5%, and a decrease in net interest income of \$5.5 million, or 3.4%, partially offset by a decrease in the provision for loan losses of \$26.5 million, or 60.4%, a decrease in provision for income taxes of \$7.2 million, or 68.0%, and a decrease in non-interest expense of \$2.2 million, or 1.9%. Non-interest income for the year ended December 31, 2012 included a gain recognized on business acquisition of \$31.3 million. Net income available to common shareholders was \$33.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to \$48.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Total Interest Income

Total interest income decreased \$14.7 million, or 7.6%, during the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to the year ended December 31, 2012. The decrease was due to an \$8.4 million, or 36.2%, decrease in interest income on investments and other interest-earning assets, and a decrease in interest income on loans of \$6.3 million, or 3.7%. Interest income from investment securities and other interest-earning assets decreased during the year ended December 31, 2013 due to lower average rates of interest and lower average balances. The lower average investment yields were primarily a result of lower yields on mortgage-backed securities as interest rates reset downward. Prepayments on the mortgages underlying these securities resulted in amortization of premiums which also reduced yields. In addition, investments had lower average balances in 2013 as a result of increased prepayments and normal monthly payments on mortgage-backed securities. Cash flows from investments were used to fund loans and reduce certain deposit types. In 2013, few investment securities were purchased to offset these reductions. Interest income on loans is affected by variations in the adjustments to accretable yield due to increases in

expected cash flows to be received from the FDIC-acquired loan pools as discussed below in "Interest Income – Loans" and in Note 4 of the accompanying audited financial statements, which are included in Item 8 of this Report. In 2013, many higher yielding loans matured or were repaid. These loans were replaced with new loans that were generally at rates lower than those that repaid during the year, resulting in lower overall yields in the loan portfolio. Higher average balances of loans partially offset the lower interest income on loans.

Interest Income - Loans

During the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to the year ended December 31, 2012, interest income on loans decreased due to lower average interest rates, partially offset by higher average balances. Interest income decreased \$11.8 million as the result of lower average interest rates on loans. The average yield on loans decreased from 7.31% during the year ended December 31, 2012 to 6.82% during the year ended December 31, 2013. This decrease was due to lower overall loan rates, and a lower amount of accretion income in the current year in conjunction with the fair value of the loan pools acquired in the FDIC-assisted transactions, as the additional yield accretion was less in 2013 than in 2012. On an on-going basis the Company estimates the cash flows expected to be collected from the acquired loan pools. This cash flows estimate has increased, based on the payment histories and reduced loss expectations of the loan pools, resulting in a total of \$169.6 million of adjustments to be spread on a level-yield basis over the remaining expected lives of the loan pools. The increases in expected cash flows also reduced the amount of expected reimbursements under the loss

sharing agreements with the FDIC, which are recorded as indemnification assets. Therefore, the expected indemnification assets have also been reduced, resulting in a total of \$142.4 million of adjustments to be amortized on a comparable basis over the remainder of the loss sharing agreements or the remaining expected life of the loan pools, whichever is shorter. For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, the adjustments increased interest income by \$35.2 million and \$36.2 million, respectively, and decreased non-interest income by \$29.5 million and \$29.9 million, respectively. The net impact to pre-tax income was \$5.8 million and \$6.3 million, respectively, for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012. As of December 31, 2013, the remaining accretable yield adjustment that will affect interest income is \$30.4 million and the remaining adjustment to the indemnification assets, including the effects of the clawback liability related to InterBank, that will affect non-interest income (expense) is \$(24.6) million. Of the remaining adjustments, we expect to recognize \$19.0 million of interest income and \$(14.7) million of non-interest income (expense) during 2014. Additional adjustments may be recorded in future periods from the FDIC-assisted transactions, as the Company continues to estimate expected cash flows from the acquired loan pools. Excluding the yield accretion, the average yield on loans was 5.35% for the year ended December 31, 2013, down from 5.76% for the year ended December 31, 2012, as a result of normal amortization of higher-rate loans and new loans that were made at current lower market rates.

Interest income increased \$5.5 million as a result of higher average loan balances which increased from \$2.33 billion during the year ended December 31, 2012 to \$2.40 billion during the year ended December 31, 2013. The higher average balances were primarily due to increases in commercial real estate loans, commercial business loans, and other consumer loans, partially offset by decreases in construction and other residential loans.

Interest Income - Investments and Other Interest-earning Assets

Interest income on investments decreased \$5.1 million as a result of a decrease in average interest rates from 2.68% during the year ended December 31, 2012 to 2.01% during the year ended December 31, 2013. The majority of the Company's securities in 2012 and 2013 were mortgage-backed securities which are backed by hybrid ARMs that have fixed rates of interest for a period of time (generally one to ten years) and then adjust annually. The actual amount of securities that reprice and the actual interest rate changes on these securities are subject to the level of prepayments on these securities and the changes that actually occur in market interest rates (primarily treasury rates and LIBOR rates). Mortgage-backed securities are also subject to reduced yields due to more rapid prepayments in the underlying mortgages. As a result, premiums on these securities may be amortized against interest income more quickly, thereby reducing the yield recorded. Interest income on investments decreased \$3.1 million as a result of a decrease in average balances from \$846.2 million during the year ended December 31, 2012, to \$717.8 million during the year ended December 31, 2013. Average balances of securities decreased due primarily to the normal monthly payments received on the portfolio of mortgage-backed securities and the sale of securities during 2013, with proceeds being used to fund new loan originations and deposit outflows, while average interest-earning deposits decreased due to decreases in the Bank's customer deposits. Interest income on other interest-earning assets decreased \$238,000 mainly due to lower average balances.

Average balances of interest-earning deposits decreased primarily due to decreases in the Bank's customer deposit balances. The Company's interest-earning deposits and non-interest-earning cash equivalents currently earn very low or no yield and therefore negatively impact the Company's net interest margin. At December 31, 2013, the Company had cash and cash equivalents of \$227.9 million compared to \$404.1 million at December 31, 2012. See "Net Interest Income" for additional information on the impact of this interest activity.

Total Interest Expense

Total interest expense decreased \$9.2 million, or 32.3%, during the year ended December 31, 2013, when compared with the year ended December 31, 2012, due to a decrease in interest expense on deposits of \$8.4 million, or 40.4%, a decrease in interest expense on FHLBank advances of \$458,000, or 10.3%, a decrease in interest expense on short-term and structured repo borrowings of \$286,000, or 11.0% and a decrease in interest expense on subordinated debentures issued to capital trust of \$56,000, or 9.1%.

Interest Expense - Deposits

Interest on demand deposits decreased \$3.5 million due to a decrease in average rates from 0.49% during the year ended December 31, 2012, to 0.24% during the year ended December 31, 2013. The average interest rates decreased due to lower overall market rates of interest since 2012 and because the Company chose to pay lower rates during 2013 when compared to 2012. Market rates of interest on checking and money market accounts have been decreasing since late 2008 when the FRB began reducing short-term interest rates. Interest on demand deposits increased \$38,000 due to a small increase in average balances from the year ended December 31, 2012, to the year ended December 31, 2013. The small increase in average balances of demand deposits was primarily a result of the InterBank acquisition in April of 2012, and customer preference to transition from time deposits to demand deposits. Average noninterest-bearing demand balances increased from \$386 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, to \$460 million for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Interest expense on time deposits decreased \$2.6 million due to a decrease in average balances of time deposits from \$1.36 billion during the year ended December 31, 2012, to \$1.07 billion during the year ended December 31, 2013. The decrease in average balances of time deposits was primarily due to some customers choosing not to renew their deposits with us upon maturity. Also contributing to the decrease was the decrease in CDARS deposits of \$32.8 million from December 31, 2012 to December 31, 2013. Interest expense on time deposits decreased \$2.3 million as a result of a decrease in average rates of interest from 1.00% during the year ended December 31, 2012, to 0.82% during the year ended December 31, 2013. A large portion of the Company's certificate of deposit portfolio matures within one to two years and so it reprices fairly quickly; this is consistent with the portfolio over the past several years.

Interest Expense - FHLBank Advances, Short-term Borrowings and Structured Repurchase Agreements and Subordinated Debentures Issued to Capital Trust

During the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to the year ended December 31, 2012, interest expense on FHLBank advances decreased due to lower average balances. Interest expense on FHLBank advances decreased \$556,000 due to a decrease in average balances from \$145 million during the year ended December 31, 2012, to \$128 million during the year ended December 31, 2013. This decrease was primarily due to repayments of maturing advances. Interest expense on FHLBank advances increased \$98,000 due to an increase in average interest rates from 3.05% in the year ended December 31, 2012, to 3.11% in the year ended December 31, 2013. Advances in the 2012 period included some short-term advances which carried very low rates of interest. Most of the remaining advances are fixed-rate and are subject to penalty if paid off prior to maturity.

Interest expense on short-term borrowings and structured repurchase agreements decreased \$330,000 due to a decrease in average balances from \$266 million during the year ended December 31, 2012, to \$233 million during the year ended December 31, 2013. The decrease in balances of short-term borrowings was primarily due to decreases in securities sold under repurchase agreements with the Company's deposit customers which tend to fluctuate. Interest expense on short-term borrowings and structured repurchase agreements increased \$44,000 due to a slight increase in average rates on short-term borrowings and structured repurchase agreements from the year ended December 31, 2012, to the year ended December 31, 2013.

Interest expense on subordinated debentures issued to capital trusts decreased \$56,000 due to a decrease in average rates from 1.99% in the year ended December 31, 2012, to 1.81% in the year ended December 31, 2013. These are variable-rate debentures which bear interest at an average rate of three-month LIBOR plus 1.57%, adjusting quarterly.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income for the year ended December 31, 2013 decreased \$5.5 million to \$159.6 million compared to \$165.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. Net interest margin was 4.70% for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to 4.61% in 2012, an increase of nine basis points. The Company's margin was positively impacted in both years by the increases in expected cash flows to be received from the loan pools acquired in the FDIC-assisted transactions and the resulting increases to accretable yield which was discussed previously in "Interest Income – Loans" and is discussed in Note 4 of the accompanying audited financial statements, which are included in Item 8 of this Report. The impact of these changes on the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 were increases in interest income of \$35.2 million and \$36.2 million, respectively, and increases in net interest margin of 104 basis points and 101 basis points, respectively. Excluding the positive impact of the additional yield accretion, net interest margin increased six basis points during the year ended December 31, 2013. During 2012 and 2013, market rates on checking and savings deposits decreased and retail time deposits renewed at lower rates of interest. The Company has

also experienced decreases in yields on loans and investments, excluding the yield accretion income discussed above, when compared to the previous year. Existing loans continue to repay, and in many cases new loans are originated at rates which are lower than the rates on those repaying loans and may be lower than existing average portfolio rates. In addition, premium amortization on the Company's mortgage-backed securities investments was higher in 2013 compared to 2012.

The Company's overall interest rate spread increased seven basis points, or 1.8%, from 4.53% during the year ended December 31, 2012, to 4.60% during the year ended December 31, 2013. The increase was due to a 21 basis point decrease in the weighted average rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities, partially offset by a 14 basis point decrease in the weighted average yield on interest-earning assets. The Company's overall net interest margin increased nine basis points, or 2.0%, from 4.61% for the year ended December 31, 2012, to 4.70% for the year ended December 31, 2013. In comparing the two years, the yield on loans decreased 49 basis points while the yield on investment securities and other interest-earning assets decreased 67 basis points. The rate paid on deposits decreased 25 basis points, the rate paid on FHLBank advances increased six basis points, the rate paid on short-term borrowings increased two basis points and the rate paid on subordinated debentures issued to capital trust decreased 18 basis points.

For additional information on net interest income components, refer to the "Average Balances, Interest Rates and Yields" table in this Report.

Provision for Loan Losses and Allowance for Loan Losses

Management records a provision for loan losses in an amount it believes sufficient to result in an allowance for loan losses that will cover current net charge-offs as well as risks believed to be inherent in the loan portfolio of the Bank. The amount of provision charged against current income is based on several factors, including, but not limited to, past loss experience, current portfolio mix, actual and potential losses identified in the loan portfolio, economic conditions, and internal as well as external reviews. Based on the Company's current assessment of these factors and their expected impact on the loan portfolio, management believes that provision expenses and net charge-offs for 2014 will likely continue to be less than those for 2013, or similar to the latter half of 2013. However, the levels of non-performing assets, potential problem loans, loan loss provisions and net charge-offs fluctuate from period to period and are difficult to predict.

Weak economic conditions, higher inflation or interest rates, or other factors may lead to increased losses in the portfolio and/or requirements for an increase in loan loss provision expense. Management long ago established various controls in an attempt to limit future losses, such as a watch list of possible problem loans, documented loan administration policies and a loan review staff to review the quality and anticipated collectability of the portfolio. Additional procedures provide for frequent management review of the loan portfolio based on loan size, loan type, delinquencies, on-going correspondence with borrowers and problem loan work-outs. Management determines which loans are potentially uncollectible, or represent a greater risk of loss, and makes additional provisions to expense, if necessary, to maintain the allowance at a satisfactory level.

The provision for loan losses decreased \$26.5 million to \$17.4 million during the year ended December 31, 2013 when compared with the year ended December 31, 2012. At December 31, 2013, the allowance for loan losses was \$40.1 million, a decrease of \$533,000 from December 31, 2012. Total net charge-offs were \$17.9 million and \$44.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Ten relationships made up \$12.7 million of the net charge-off total for the year ended December 31, 2013. The decrease in net charge-offs and provision for loan losses in 2013 were consistent with our expectations, as indicated in previous filings. General market conditions, and more specifically, real estate absorption rates and unique circumstances related to individual borrowers and projects also contributed to the level of provisions and charge-offs. As properties were categorized as potential problem loans, non-performing loans or foreclosed assets, evaluations were made of the values of these assets with corresponding charge-offs as appropriate.

Loans acquired in the 2009, 2011 and 2012 FDIC-assisted transactions are covered by loss sharing agreements between the FDIC and Great Southern Bank which afford Great Southern Bank at least 80% protection from losses in the acquired portfolio of loans. The FDIC loss sharing agreements are subject to limitations on the types of losses covered and the length of time losses are covered and are conditioned upon the Bank complying with its requirements in the agreements with the FDIC. These limitations are described in detail in Note 4 of the accompanying audited financial statements, which are included in Item 8 of this Report. The acquired loans were grouped into pools based on common characteristics and were recorded at their estimated fair values, which incorporated estimated credit losses at the acquisition dates. These loan pools are systematically reviewed by the Company to determine the risk of losses that may exceed those identified at the time of the acquisition. Techniques used in determining risk of loss are similar to those used to determine the risk of loss for the legacy Great Southern Bank portfolio, with most focus being placed on those loan pools which include the larger loan relationships and those loan pools which exhibit higher risk characteristics. Review of the acquired loan portfolio also includes meetings with customers, review of financial information and collateral valuations to determine if any additional losses are apparent. Included in the net charge-off total for the year ended December 31, 2013, were charge-offs of \$2.2 million and net recoveries of \$1.1 million related to loans covered by the loss sharing agreements with the FDIC. In the three months ended March 31, 2013,

the Bank recorded \$2.2 million in net charge-offs (with a corresponding provision for loan losses) related to the covered loans. Under these agreements, the FDIC will reimburse the Bank for 80% of the losses, so the Bank expected reimbursement of \$1.8 million of this charge-off and recorded income of this amount in the three months ended March 31, 2013. During the three months ended June 30, 2013, these covered loans were resolved more favorably than originally anticipated, with the Bank experiencing a recovery of \$1.1 million of the previously recorded charge-off. The Bank expected to reimburse, and has reimbursed, the FDIC \$0.9 million of this recovery and recorded expense of this amount in the three months ended June 30, 2013.

The Bank's allowance for loan losses as a percentage of total loans, excluding loans covered by the FDIC loss sharing agreements, was 1.92% and 2.21% at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Management considers the allowance for loan losses adequate to cover losses inherent in the Company's loan portfolio at December 31, 2013, based on recent reviews of the Company's loan portfolio and current economic conditions. If economic conditions were to deteriorate or management's assessment of the loan portfolio were to change, it is possible that additional loan loss provisions would be required, thereby adversely affecting future results of operations and financial condition.

Non-performing Assets

Former TeamBank, Vantus Bank, Sun Security Bank and InterBank non-performing assets, including foreclosed assets, are not included in the totals or in the discussion of non-performing loans, potential problem loans and foreclosed assets below due to the respective loss sharing agreements with the FDIC, which cover at least 80% of principal losses that may be incurred in these portfolios for the applicable terms under the agreement. In addition, FDIC-supported TeamBank, Vantus Bank, Sun Security Bank and InterBank assets were initially recorded at their estimated fair values as of their acquisition dates of March 20, 2009, September 4, 2009, October 7, 2011, and April 27, 2012, respectively. The overall performance of the FDIC-covered loan pools has been better than original expectations as of the acquisition dates.

As a result of changes in balances and composition of the loan portfolio, changes in economic and market conditions that occur from time to time, and other factors specific to a borrower's circumstances, the level of non-performing assets will fluctuate. Non-performing assets, excluding FDIC-covered non-performing assets, at December 31, 2013 were \$62.3 million, a decrease of \$10.3 million from \$72.6 million at December 31, 2012. Non-performing assets as a percentage of total assets were 1.75% at December 31, 2013, compared to 1.84% at December 31, 2012.

Compared to December 31, 2012, non-performing loans decreased \$2.6 million to \$19.9 million and foreclosed assets decreased \$7.7 million to \$42.4 million. Other commercial loans comprised \$7.2 million, or 36.3%, of the total \$19.9 million of non-performing loans at December 31, 2013. Commercial real estate loans comprised \$6.2 million, or 31.2%, of the total \$19.9 million of non-performing loans at December 31, 2013. One-to four-family residential loans comprised \$4.4 million, or 21.9% of the total \$19.9 million of non-performing loans at December 31, 2013.

Non-performing Loans. Activity in the non-performing loans category during the year ended December 31, 2013, was as follows:

				Transfe	r							
				to								
						Transfers						
	Beginning		Removed	Potentia	al	to						Ending
			from									
	Balance,		Non-	Probler	n	Foreclosed	l					Balance,
	January											December
	1	Additions	Performing	Loans		Assets	C	harge-Of	fs	Payments	8	31
				(In	Th	ousands)						
One- to four-family												
construction	\$	\$	\$	\$		\$	\$			\$		\$
Subdivision												
construction	2	1,293		(2)	(281)	(133)	(8)	871
Land development	2,471	525				(2,236)	(288)	(134)	338
Commercial												
construction												
One- to four-family												
residential	4,581	4,792		(705)	(1,683)	(1,419)	(1,-00)	4,361
Other residential		4,535				(350)	(866)	(3,319)	
Commercial real												
estate	8,324	12,158		(92)	(= ,= = =)	(4,179)	(4,617)	6,205
Other commercial	6,248	7,272				(126)	(2,725))	(3,438)	7,231
Consumer	852	1,238	(399)	(35)	(43)	(166)	(547)	900
Total	\$22,478	\$31,813	\$ (399)	\$(834)	\$ (10,108) \$	(9,776)	\$(13,268)	\$ 19,906

At December 31, 2013, the non-performing other commercial category included nine loans, seven of which were added during the year. The largest relationship in this category is comprised of three loans totaling \$2.7 million, or 37.2% of the total category, and is collateralized by inventory and assets of a business. The non-performing commercial real estate category included five loans, three of which were added during the year, and were collateralized by hotel buildings and a theater in Branson, Mo. \$9.6 million of the \$12.2 million of additions to non-performing commercial real estate were loans transferred from potential problem loans to non-performing loans during the year. The largest relationship in this category is comprised of two loans totaling \$4.1 million, or 66.0% of the total category, a portion of which was added during the year, and is collateralized by two hotel buildings. The non-performing one- to four-family residential category included 58 loans, 42 of which were added during the year.

Foreclosed Assets. Of the total \$53.5 million of other real estate owned at December 31, 2013, \$9.0 million represents the fair value of foreclosed assets covered by FDIC loss sharing agreements and \$2.1 million represents properties which were not acquired through foreclosure. The foreclosed assets covered by FDIC loss sharing agreements and the properties not acquired through foreclosure are not included in the following table and discussion of foreclosed assets. Foreclosed assets have increased since the economic recession began in 2008. During the year, economic growth was slow and the market for land development properties did not experience a recovery. Because of this, we experienced continued higher levels of additions to foreclosed assets during 2013. Because sales of foreclosed properties exceeded additions, total foreclosed assets decreased. Activity in foreclosed assets during the year ended

December 31, 2013, was as follows:

	Beginning Balance, January 1	Additions	Proceeds from Sale (In 7	s	Capitalized Costs ousands)	W	ORE Expense /rite-Dowr	ıs	Ending Balance, December 31
One- to four-family									
construction	\$627	\$600	\$(627)	\$	\$			\$600
Subdivision construction	17,146	832	(5,659)	26		(193)	12,152
Land development	14,284	4,353	(1,935)	45		(59)	16,688
Commercial construction	6,511	113	(4,254)			(238)	2,132
One- to four-family residential	975	2,550	(2,693)			(88))	744
Other residential	7,232	350	(1,864)	387		(205)	5,900
Commercial real estate	2,738	8,995	(8,518)			(80)	3,135
Commercial business	160		(81)					79
Consumer	471	3,662	(3,166)					967
Total	\$50,144	\$21,455	\$(28,797)	\$458	\$	(863)	\$42,397

At December 31, 2013, the land development category of foreclosed assets included 29 properties, the largest of which was located in northwest Arkansas and had a balance of \$2.3 million, or 13.7% of the total category. Of the total dollar amount in the land

development category of foreclosed assets, 35.1% and 36.9% was located in northwest Arkansas and in the Branson, Mo., area, respectively, including the largest property previously mentioned. The subdivision construction category of foreclosed assets included 35 properties, the largest of which was located in the St. Louis, Mo. metropolitan area and had a balance of \$3.2 million, or 26.5% of the total category. Of the total dollar amount in the subdivision construction category of foreclosed assets, 16.4% and 14.9% is located in Branson, Mo., and Springfield, Mo., respectively. The other residential category of foreclosed assets included 17 properties, 13 of which were all part of the same condominium community, which was located in Branson, Mo. and had a balance of \$2.4 million, or 40.7% of the total category. Of the total dollar amount in the other residential category of foreclosed assets, 88.1% was located in the Branson, Mo., area, including the largest related group of properties previously mentioned.

Potential Problem Loans. Potential problem loans decreased \$22.4 million during the year ended December 31, 2013 from \$49.4 million at December 31, 2012 to \$27.0 million at December 31, 2013. This decrease was due to \$16.2 million in loans transferred to the non-performing category, \$9.3 million in loans removed from potential problem loans due to improvements in the credits, \$7.2 million in charge-offs, \$7.5 million in loans transferred to foreclosed assets, and \$3.9 million in payments on potential problem loans, partially offset by the addition of \$21.7 million of loans to potential problem loans. Potential problem loans are loans which management has identified through routine internal review procedures as having possible credit problems that may cause the borrowers difficulty in complying with current repayment terms. These loans are not reflected in non-performing assets, but are considered in determining the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses. Activity in the potential problem loans category during the year ended December 31, 2013, was as follows:

	Beginning Balance, January 1	Additions	Remove from Potentia Problem	1	Transfers to Non- Performin (In T	g	Transfer to Foreclose Assets ousands)	ed	Charge-Of	fs	Payment		Ending Balance, December 31
One- to four-family													
construction	\$	\$	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$
Subdivision													
construction	1,652	1,894	(76)	(765)	(36)	(149)	(319)	2,201
Land development	9,458	5,025			(158)	(2,081)	(1,089)	(298)	10,857
Commercial													
construction													
One- to four-family													
residential	5,386	1,150	(1,136)	(503)	(754)	(965)	(985)	2,193
Other residential	8,487	1,347	(4,414)	(713)			(2,181)	(570)	1,956
Commercial real													
estate	21,913	8,736	(3,535)	(9,639)	(4,605)	(2,352)	(1,638)	8,880
Other commercial	2,398	3,267	(73)	(4,426)			(431)	(18)	717
Consumer	129	283	(77)	(18)			(4)	(130)	183
Total	\$49,423	\$21,702	\$(9,311)	\$ (16,222)	\$ (7,476)	\$ (7,171)	\$(3,958)	\$ 26,987

At December 31, 2013, the land development category included four loans, the largest of which was added during the current year. This relationship totaled \$5.0 million, or 46.1% of the total category, and was collateralized by property located in the Lake of the Ozarks, Mo. area. The second largest relationship in this category totaled \$3.8 million, or 35.4% of the total category, and was collateralized by property in the Branson, Mo. area. The commercial real estate category of potential problem loans included 11 loans, 10 of which were added during the current year. The largest addition during the year totaled \$1.9 million and was collateralized by a hotel. The largest relationship in this category, which was added during a previous year, had a balance of \$5.0 million, or 55.8% of the total category. The relationship was collateralized by properties located near Branson, Missouri. The one- to four-family residential category of potential problem loans included 21 loans, nine of which were added during the current year. The subdivision construction category of potential problem loans included six loans, four of which were added during the current year. The largest relationship in this category, which was added during the current year, had a balance of \$1.8 million, or 80.2% of the total category, and was collateralized by properties in the Branson, Mo., area. The other residential category of potential problem loans included one loan which was added in a previous year, and was collateralized by properties located in the Branson, Mo., area. The other commercial category of potential problem loans included four loans, one of which was added in the current year. The largest relationship in this category, which was added during a previous year, had a balance of \$660,000, or 92.1% of the total category, and was collateralized by collector automobiles.

Non-Interest Income

Non-interest income for the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$5.3 million compared with \$46.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The decrease of \$40.7 million, or 88.5%, was primarily the result of the following items:

InterBank FDIC-assisted acquisition: During the year ended December 31, 2012, the Bank recognized a one-time gain on the FDIC-assisted acquisition of InterBank of \$31.3 million (pre-tax).

Amortization of income related to business acquisitions: The net amortization expense related to business acquisitions was \$25.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$18.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The amortization expense for the year ended December 31, 2013 was made up of the following items: \$29.5 million of amortization expense related to the changes in cash flows expected to be collected from the FDIC-covered loan portfolios and \$712,000 of amortization of the clawback liability related to InterBank. Offsetting the expense was income from the accretion of the discount related to the indemnification assets for all of the acquisitions of \$2.7 million and \$2.2 million of other loss share items. The amortization expense for the year ended December 31, 2012 was made up of the following items: \$29.9 million of amortization expense related to the changes in cash flows expected to be collected from the FDIC-covered loan portfolios and \$103,000 of amortization of the clawback liability related to InterBank. Offsetting the expense was income from the accretion of the discount related to the indemnification assets for all of the acquisitions of \$9.5 million and \$1.8 million of income from other loss share items.

Net realized gains on sales of available-for-sale securities: Net realized gains on sales of available-for-sale securities decreased \$2.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, when compared to the year ended December 31, 2012, partially offset by a decrease in recognized impairment of available-for-sale securities of \$680,000. No impairment loss was recognized during the 2013 period. The Company realized significant gains on the sale of \$78 million of certain mortgage-backed and municipal securities in the 2012 period.

Service charges and ATM fees: Service charges and ATM fees decreased \$860,000 in the year ended December 31, 2013, when compared to the year ended December 31, 2012, primarily due to a decrease in overdraft activity, and therefore overdraft charges, in the current period compared to the prior period.

Non-Interest Expense

Total non-interest expense decreased \$2.2 million, or 1.9%, from \$112.6 million in the year ended December 31, 2012, to \$110.4 million in the year ended December 31, 2013. The Company's efficiency ratio for the year ended December 31, 2013, was 66.9%, up from 53.0% in 2012. The increase in the ratio in 2013 compared to 2012 was primarily due to decreases in net interest income and decreases in non-interest income resulting from decreased gains on sales of single-family loans and increased amortization expense related to business acquisitions, as well as decreases in non-interest income resulting from the acquisition gain in 2012. The Company's ratio of non-interest expense to average assets decreased from 2.98% for the year ended December 31, 2012, to 2.91% for the year ended December 31, 2013. The decrease in this ratio was due to a decrease in non-interest expense in the 2013 period compared to the 2012 period. Average assets for the year ended December 31, 2013, decreased \$216 million, or 5.4%, from the year ended December 31, 2012. The following were key items related to the decrease in non-interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2012:

Foreclosure-related expenses: Expenses on foreclosed assets decreased \$4.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, when compared to the year ended December 31, 2012, due primarily to large write-downs of carrying values of foreclosed assets and losses on sales of assets in 2012.

Other non-interest expense: Other non-interest expense decreased \$632,000 for the year ended December 31, 2013, when compared to the year ended December 31, 2012, due primarily to InterBank one-time acquisition related

expenses incurred in 2012.

Partially offsetting the decrease in non-interest expense was an increase in the following items:

Salaries and employee benefits: Salaries and employee benefits increased \$1.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, when compared to the year ended December 31, 2012, primarily due to the internal growth of the Company and the increased number of employees, and salary increases for existing employees.

Partnership tax credit: The partnership tax credit expense increased \$1.1 million from the prior year period. The Company has invested in certain federal low-income housing tax credits and federal new market tax credits. These credits are typically purchased at 70-90% of the amount of the credit and are generally utilized to offset taxes payable over ten-year and seven-year periods, respectively. During the year ended December 31, 2013, tax credits used to reduce the Company's tax expense totaled \$9.5 million, up \$2.1 million from \$7.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. These tax credits resulted in corresponding amortization expense of \$6.9 million during the year ended December 31, 2013, up \$1.1 million from \$5.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The net result of these transactions was an increase to non-interest expense and a decrease to income tax expense, which positively impacted the Company's effective tax rate, but negatively impacted the Company's non-interest expense and efficiency ratio.

Advertising: Advertising expense increased \$593,000 for the year ended December 31, 2013, when compared to the year ended December 31, 2012, due to additional marketing campaigns across the franchise in the current year period, including business banking and mobile banking promotions, and loan campaigns.

Provision for Income Taxes

Provision for income taxes as a percentage of pre-tax income (from continuing operations) was 9.2% and 19.4% for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The effective tax rates (as compared to the statutory federal tax rate of 35.0%) were primarily affected by the tax credits noted above and tax-exempt investment securities and loans which reduce the Company's effective tax rate. In future periods, the Company expects the effective tax rate to be less than 12% of pre-tax net income, assuming it continues to maintain or increase its use of investment tax credits. The Company's effective tax rate may fluctuate as it is impacted by the level and timing of the Company's utilization of tax credits and the level of tax-exempt investments and loans and the overall level of pretax income. At this time, the Company expects to utilize a larger amount of tax credits in 2014 than it did in 2013.

Average Balances, Interest Rates and Yields

The following table presents, for the periods indicated, the total dollar amount of interest income from average interest-earning assets and the resulting yields, as well as the interest expense on average interest-bearing liabilities, expressed both in dollars and rates, and the net interest margin. Average balances of loans receivable include the average balances of non-accrual loans for each period. Interest income on loans includes interest received on non-accrual loans on a cash basis. Interest income on loans includes the amortization of net loan fees which were deferred in accordance with accounting standards. Fees included in interest income were \$3.4 million, \$3.2 million and \$2.3 million for 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Tax-exempt income was not calculated on a tax equivalent basis. The table does not reflect any effect of income taxes.

	Dec. 31, 2013(2)		ar Ended ber 31, 2013	3		ear Ended aber 31, 2012	<u>.</u>	Year Ended December 31, 2011			
	Yield/ Rate	Average Balance	Interest	Yield/ Rate	Average Balance (Dollars	Interest In Thousand	Yield/ Rate	Average Balance	Interest		
Interest-earning assets: Loans receivable: One- to					(Donard	in mousand	us)				
four-family											
residential		\$472,127	\$35,072		\$463,096	\$31,643		\$321,325	\$25,076		
Other residential Commercial real	4.73	312,362	23,963	7.67	314,630	18,807	5.98	256,170	15,536		
estate	4.70	813,147	51,175	6.29	785,181	56,428	7.19	690,413	54,698		
Construction Commercial	4.50	208,254	14,413	6.92	219,309	20,802	9.49	265,102	33,966		
business	4.97	249,647	14,505	5.81	228,109	19,439	8.52	194,622	20,953		
Other loans Industrial revenue	6.02	297,852	21,947	7.37	259,684	19,739	7.60	210,857	16,898		
bonds (1)	5.64	50,155	2,828	5.64	56,264	3,305	5.87	69,425	4,074		
Total loans receivable	5.10	2,403,544	163,903	6.82	2,326,273	170,163	7.31	2,007,914	171,201		
Investment securities (1) Other	2.73	717,806	14,459	2.01	846,197	22,674	2.68	841,308	26,962		
interest-earning assets	0.22	276,394	433	0.16	413,092	671	0.16	311,493	504		
Total interest-earning assets Non-interest-earning assets:	4.49	3,397,744	178,795	5.26	3,585,562	193,508	5.40	3,160,715	198,667		
Cash and cash equivalents		88,678			84,035			75,019			
Other non-earning assets Total assets		303,454 \$3,789,876			336,016 \$4,005,613			261,126 \$3,496,860			
Interest-bearing liabilities: Interest-bearing demand											
and savings	0.20	\$1,464,029	3,551	0.24	\$1,456,172	7,087	0.49	\$1,111,045	7,975		

Time deposits Total deposits	0.69 0.41	1,073,110 2,537,139	8,795 12,346	0.82 0.49	1,357,741 2,813,913	13,633 20,720	1.00 0.74	1,253,937 2,364,982	18,395 26,370
Short-term borrowings and repurchase		, ,	ŕ		, ,	·		, ,	·
agreements Subordinated debentures	1.20	232,598	2,324	1.00	265,718	2,610	0.98	303,944	2,965
issued to capital									
trust	1.81	30,929	561	1.81	30,929	617	1.99	30,929	569
FHLB advances	3.13	127,561	3,972	3.11	145,464	4,430	3.05	159,148	5,242
Total									
interest-bearing									
liabilities	0.61	2,928,227	19,203	0.66	3,256,024	28,377	0.87	2,859,003	35,146
Non-interest-bearing									
liabilities:									
Demand deposits		459,802			385,770			306,728	
Other liabilities		23,197			11,537			14,693	
Total liabilities		3,411,226			3,653,331			3,180,424	
Stockholders' equity		378,650			352,282			316,436	
Total liabilities									
and									
stockholders'									
equity		\$3,789,876			\$4,005,613			\$3,496,860	
Net interest income:									
Interest rate spread	3.88%		\$159,592	4.60%		\$165,131	4.53%		\$163,521
Net interest margin*				4.70%			4.61%		
Average									
interest-earning									
assets									
to average									
interest-bearing									
liabilities		116.0 %)		110.1	%		110.6 %)

^{*} Defined as the Company's net interest income divided by total interest-earning assets.

⁽¹⁾ Of the total average balances of investment securities, average tax-exempt investment securities were \$80.9 million, \$134.7 million and \$106.8 million for 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. In addition, average tax-exempt industrial revenue bonds were \$38.3 million, \$22.1 million and \$43.8 million in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Interest income on tax-exempt assets included in this table was \$5.1 million, \$5.8 million and \$6.8 million for 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Interest income net of disallowed interest expense related to tax-exempt assets was \$4.9 million, \$5.5 million and \$6.4 million for 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

⁽²⁾ The yield/rate on loans at December 31, 2013 does not include the impact of the accretable yield (income) on loans acquired in the FDIC-assisted transactions. See "Net Interest Income" for a discussion of the effect on 2013 results of operations.

Rate/Volume Analysis

The following table presents the dollar amount of changes in interest income and interest expense for major components of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities for the periods shown. For each category of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, information is provided on changes attributable to (i) changes in rate (i.e., changes in rate multiplied by old volume) and (ii) changes in volume (i.e., changes in volume multiplied by old rate). For purposes of this table, changes attributable to both rate and volume, which cannot be segregated, have been allocated proportionately to volume and rate. Tax-exempt income was not calculated on a tax equivalent basis.

			Year End	ed			Year Ended								
	De	ece	mber 31, 2	201	3 vs.		De	ece	mber 31, 2	201	2 vs.				
	I	Dec	cember 31	, 2	012		I	De	cember 31	, 20)11				
	Increase	e (1	Decrease)		Total		Increase		Total						
	Ι)ue	e to		Increase		Ι		Increase						
	Rate		Volume	;	(Decrease	(;	Rate		Volume		(Decrease))			
					(In T	ho	usands)								
Interest-earning assets:															
Loans receivable	\$(11,786)	\$5,526		\$ (6,260)	\$(26,148)	\$25,110		\$ (1,038)			
Investment securities	(5,099)	(3,116)	(8,215)	(4,444)	156		(4,288)			
Other interest-earning assets	(23)	(215)	(238)	2		165		167				
Total interest-earning assets	(16,908)	2,195		(14,713)	(30,590)	25,431		(5,159)			
Interest-bearing liabilities:															
Demand deposits	(3,574)	38		(3,536)	(2,974)	2,086		(888))			
Time deposits	(2,260)	(2,578)	(4,838)	(6,456)	1,694		(4,762)			
Total deposits	(5,834)	(2,540)	(8,374)	(9,430)	3,780		(5,650)			
Short-term borrowings and structured															
repo	44		(330)	(286)	21		(376)	(355)			
Subordinated debentures issued to															
capital trust	(56)			(56)	48				48				
FHLBank advances	98		(556)	(458)	(379)	(433)	(812)			
Total interest-bearing liabilities	(5,748)	(3,426)	(9,174)	(9,740)	2,971		(6,769)			
Net interest income	\$(11,160)	\$5,621		\$ (5,539)	\$(20,850	20,850) \$22,460 \$1				1,610			

Results of Operations and Comparison for the Years Ended December 31, 2012 and 2011

General

Net income increased \$18.4 million, or 60.9%, during the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to the year ended December 31, 2011. Net income from continuing operations increased \$14.4 million, or 48.7%, during the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to the year ended December 31, 2011. Net income was \$48.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to \$30.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. Net income from continuing operations was \$44.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to \$29.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. This increase was primarily due to an increase in non-interest income of \$41.9 million, or 1013.6%, and an increase in net interest income of \$1.6 million, or 1.0%, partially offset by an increase in non-interest expense of \$15.1 million, or 15.5%, an increase in provision for income taxes of \$5.4 million, or 104.9%, and an increase in the provision for loan losses of \$8.5 million, or 24.1%. Non-interest income for the year ended December 31, 2012 included a gain recognized on business acquisition of \$31.3 million, and also included net amortization expense of the FDIC indemnification asset of \$18.7 million. Net income available to common shareholders was \$48.1

million for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to \$26.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Total Interest Income

Total interest income decreased \$5.2 million, or 2.6%, during the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the year ended December 31, 2011. The decrease was primarily due to a \$4.1 million, or 15.0%, decrease in interest income on investments and other interest-earning assets, while interest income on loans decreased \$1.0 million, or 0.6%. Interest income on loans decreased primarily due to variations in the adjustments to accretable yield due to increases in expected cash flows to be received from the FDIC-acquired loan pools as discussed below in "Interest Income – Loans" and in Note 4 of the accompanying audited financial statements, which are

included in Item 8 of this Report. Interest income from investment securities and other interest-earning assets decreased during the year ended December 31, 2012 primarily due to lower average rates of interest. The lower average investment yields were primarily a result of lower yields on mortgage-backed securities as interest rates reset downward. Prepayments on the mortgages underlying these securities resulted in amortization of premiums which also reduced yields.

Interest Income - Loans

During the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the year ended December 31, 2011, interest income on loans decreased due to lower average interest rates, partially offset by higher average balances. Interest income decreased \$26.1 million as the result of lower average interest rates on loans. The average yield on loans decreased from 8.53% during the year ended December 31, 2011 to 7.31% during the year ended December 31, 2012. This decrease was partially due to fluctuation in the additional yield accretion recognized in conjunction with the fair value of the loan pools acquired in the FDIC-assisted transactions, as the additional yield accretion was less in 2012 than in 2011. On an on-going basis the Company estimates the cash flows expected to be collected from the acquired loan pools. The cash flows estimate for the 2012 and 2011 FDIC-assisted transactions increased during 2012. The cash flows estimate for the 2009 FDIC-assisted transactions has increased each quarter since the third quarter of 2010, based on the payment histories and reduced loss expectations of the loan pools. These adjustments resulted in a total of \$128.6 million of adjustments to date to be spread on a level-yield basis over the remaining expected lives of the loan pools. The increases in expected cash flows also reduced the amount of expected reimbursements under the loss sharing agreements with the FDIC, which are recorded as indemnification assets. Therefore, the expected indemnification assets for the FDIC-assisted transactions have also been reduced, resulting in a total of \$109.8 million of adjustments to date to be amortized on a comparable basis over the remainder of the loss sharing agreements or the remaining expected life of the loan pools, whichever is shorter. The adjustments increased interest income by \$36.2 million and decreased non-interest income by \$29.9 million during the year ended December 31, 2012, for a net impact of \$6.3 million to pre-tax income. Because the adjustments will be recognized over the estimated remaining lives of the loan pools and the remainder of the loss sharing agreements, respectively, they will impact future periods as well. The remaining accretable yield adjustment that will affect interest income is \$23.7 million and the remaining adjustment to the indemnification assets that will affect non-interest income (expense) is \$(18.9) million. Of the remaining adjustments, we expect to recognize \$13.2 million of interest income and \$(11.2) million of non-interest income (expense) in 2013. Additional adjustments may be recorded in future periods as the Company continues to estimate expected cash flows from the acquired loan pools. For further discussion about these adjustments, see Note 4 of the accompanying audited financial statements, which are included in Item 8 of this Report. Apart from the yield accretion, the average yield on loans was 5.76% for the year ended December 31, 2012, down from 6.08% for the year ended December 31, 2011, as a result of both normal amortization of higher-rate loans and new loans that were made at current lower market rates.

Interest income increased \$25.1 million as a result of higher average loan balances which increased from \$2.01 billion during the year ended December 31, 2011 to \$2.33 billion during the year ended December 31, 2012. The higher average balances were primarily due to the loans acquired in the InterBank FDIC-assisted transaction.

Interest Income - Investments and Other Interest-earning Assets

Interest income on investments decreased \$4.4 million as a result of a decrease in average interest rates from 3.20% during the year ended December 31, 2011 to 2.68% during the year ended December 31, 2012. The majority of the Company's securities in 2011 and 2012 were mortgage-backed securities which are backed by hybrid ARMs that have fixed rates of interest for a period of time (generally one to ten years) and then adjust annually. The actual amount of

securities that reprice and the actual interest rate changes on these securities are subject to the level of prepayments on these securities and the changes that actually occur in market interest rates (primarily treasury rates and LIBOR rates). Mortgage-backed securities are also subject to reduced yields due to more rapid prepayments in the underlying mortgages. As a result, premiums on these securities may be amortized against interest income more quickly, thereby reducing the yield recorded. Interest income on investments increased \$156,000 as a result of an increase in average balances from \$841.3 million during the year ended December 31, 2011, to \$846.2 million during the year ended December 31, 2012. Average balances of securities increased due to purchases made for pledging to secure public-fund deposits. Interest income on other interest-earning assets increased \$167,000 mainly due to higher average balances. Average balances of interest-earning deposits increased due to repayment of loans and the cash received from the FDIC in the InterBank FDIC-assisted transaction.

The Company's interest-earning deposits and non-interest-earning cash equivalents currently earn very low or no yield and therefore negatively impact the Company's net interest margin. At December 31, 2012, the Company had cash and cash equivalents of \$404.1 million compared to \$380.2 million at December 31, 2011. See "Net Interest Income" for additional information on the impact of this interest activity.

Total Interest Expense

Total interest expense decreased \$6.8 million, or 19.3%, during the year ended December 31, 2012, when compared with the year ended December 31, 2011, due to a decrease in interest expense on deposits of \$5.7 million, or 21.4%, a decrease in interest expense on FHLBank advances of \$812,000, or 15.5%, and a decrease in interest expense on short-term and structured repo borrowings of \$355,000, or 12.0%. These decreases were partially offset by an increase in interest expense on subordinated debentures issued to capital trust of \$48,000, or 8.4%.

Interest Expense - Deposits

Interest on demand deposits decreased \$3.0 million due to a decrease in average rates from 0.72% during the year ended December 31, 2011, to 0.49% during the year ended December 31, 2012. The average interest rates decreased due to lower overall market rates of interest since 2011 and because the Company chose to pay lower rates during 2012 when compared to 2011. Market rates of interest on checking and money market accounts have been decreasing since late 2008 when the FRB began reducing short-term interest rates. Interest on demand deposits increased \$2.1 million due to an increase in average balances from \$1.11 billion during the year ended December 31, 2011, to \$1.46 billion during the year ended December 31, 2012. The increase in average balances of demand deposits was primarily a result of demand deposits assumed in the Sun Security Bank and InterBank FDIC-assisted transactions in 2011 and 2012. Also contributing to the increase was customer preference to transition from time deposits to demand deposits as well as organic growth in the Company's deposit base, particularly in interest-bearing checking accounts. Average noninterest-bearing demand balances increased from \$307 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, to \$386 million for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Interest expense on time deposits decreased \$6.5 million as a result of a decrease in average rates of interest from 1.47% during the year ended December 31, 2011, to 1.00% during the year ended December 31, 2012. A large portion of the Company's certificate of deposit portfolio matures within one year and so it reprices fairly quickly; this is consistent with the portfolio over the past several years. Interest expense on deposits increased \$1.7 million due to an increase in average balances of time deposits from \$1.25 billion during the year ended December 31, 2011, to \$1.36 billion during the year ended December 31, 2012. The increase in average balances of time deposits was primarily a result of time deposits assumed in the Sun Security Bank and InterBank FDIC-assisted transactions during 2011 and 2012. As previously mentioned, the increase in average balances of time deposits was partly offset by the customer preference to transition from time deposits to demand deposits. Also offsetting the increase was the reduction of the balance of brokered deposits, primarily CDARS accounts, of \$145.5 million from December 31, 2011 to December 31, 2012.

The Dodd-Frank Act repealed the federal prohibitions on the payment of interest on demand deposits, thereby permitting depository institutions to pay interest on business transaction and other accounts beginning July 21, 2011. Although the ultimate impact of this legislation on the Company has not yet been fully determined, the Company expects interest costs associated with demand deposits may increase as a result of competitor responses to this change.

Interest Expense - FHLBank Advances, Short-term Borrowings and Structured Repurchase Agreements and Subordinated Debentures Issued to Capital Trust

During the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the year ended December 31, 2011, interest expense on FHLBank advances decreased due to lower average interest rates and lower average balances. Interest expense on FHLBank advances decreased \$433,000 due to a decrease in average balances from \$159 million during the year ended December 31, 2011, to \$145 million during the year ended December 31, 2012. Interest expense on FHLBank advances decreased \$379,000 due to a decrease in average interest rates from 3.29% in the year ended December 31, 2011, to 3.05% in the year ended December 31, 2012. Most of the remaining advances are fixed-rate and are subject to penalty if paid off prior to maturity.

Interest expense on short-term borrowings and structured repurchase agreements decreased \$376,000 due to a decrease in average balances from \$304 million during the year ended December 31, 2011, to \$266 million during the year ended December 31, 2012. The decrease in balances of short-term borrowings was primarily due to decreases in

securities sold under repurchase agreements with the Company's deposit customers which tend to fluctuate. Interest expense on short-term borrowings and structured repurchase agreements increased \$21,000 due to a slight increase in average rates on short-term borrowings and structured repurchase agreements from the year ended December 31, 2011, to the year ended December 31, 2012.

Interest expense on subordinated debentures issued to capital trust increased \$48,000 due to an increase in average rates from 1.84% in the year ended December 31, 2011, to 1.99% in the year ended December 31, 2012. These debentures are not subject to an interest rate swap; however, they are variable-rate debentures and bear interest at an average rate of three-month LIBOR plus 1.57%, adjusting quarterly.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income for the year ended December 31, 2012 increased \$1.6 million to \$165.1 million compared to \$163.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. Net interest margin was 4.61% for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to 5.17% in 2011, a decrease of 56 basis points. The Company's margin was positively impacted primarily by the increases in expected cash flows to be received from the loan pools acquired in the FDIC-assisted transactions and the resulting increases to accretable yield which was discussed previously in "Interest Income – Loans" and is discussed in Note 4 of the accompanying audited financial statements, which are included in Item 8 of this Report. The impact of these changes on the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 were increases in interest income of \$36.2 million and \$49.2 million, respectively, and increases in net interest margin of 101 basis points and 156 basis points, respectively. Excluding the positive impact of the additional yield accretion, net interest margin decreased one basis point

during the year ended December 31, 2012. During 2011 and 2012, lower-rate transaction deposits increased as customers added to existing accounts or new customer accounts were opened, while higher-rate brokered deposits decreased and retail time deposits renewed at lower rates of interest. While retail certificates of deposit increased over the year-ago quarter because of the deposits assumed in the Sun Security Bank and InterBank FDIC-assisted acquisitions, those assumed were at relatively low market rates. The former InterBank generally paid above-market rates on its certificates of deposit. We have elected to reduce those rates as deposits have matured. The Company has also experienced decreases in yield on loans and investments, excluding the yield accretion income discussed above, when compared to the year-ago quarter. Existing loans continue to repay, and in many cases new loans originated are at rates which are lower than the rates on those repaying loans and may be lower than existing portfolio rates.

The Company's overall interest rate spread decreased 53 basis points, or 10.5%, from 5.06% during the year ended December 31, 2011, to 4.53% during the year ended December 31, 2012. The decrease was due to an 89 basis point decrease in the weighted average yield on interest-earning assets partially offset by a 36 basis point decrease in the weighted average rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities. The Company's overall net interest margin decreased 56 basis points, or 10.8%, from 5.17% for the year ended December 31, 2011, to 4.61% for the year ended December 31, 2012. In comparing the two years, the yield on loans decreased 122 basis points while the yield on investment securities and other interest-earning assets decreased 53 basis points. The rate paid on deposits decreased 38 basis points, the rate paid on FHLBank advances decreased 24 basis points, the rate paid on short-term borrowings remained unchanged, and the rate paid on subordinated debentures issued to capital trust increased 15 basis points.

For additional information on net interest income components, refer to the "Average Balances, Interest Rates and Yields" table in this Report.

Provision for Loan Losses and Allowance for Loan Losses

The provision for loan losses increased \$8.6 million, from \$35.3 million during the year ended December 31, 2011, to \$43.9 million during the year ended December 31, 2012. The allowance for loan losses decreased \$583,000, or 1.4%, to \$40.6 million at December 31, 2012, compared to \$41.2 million at December 31, 2011. Net charge-offs were \$44.5 million in the year ended December 31, 2012, versus \$35.6 million in the year ended December 31, 2011. Eleven relationships made up \$28.4 million of the net charge-off total for the year ended December 31, 2012. General market conditions, and more specifically, real estate, absorption rates and unique circumstances related to individual borrowers and projects also contributed to the level of provisions and charge-offs in both 2011 and 2012. As properties were categorized as potential problem loans, non-performing loans or foreclosed assets, evaluations were made of the value of these assets with corresponding charge-offs as appropriate.

Management records a provision for loan losses in an amount it believes sufficient to result in an allowance for loan losses that will cover current net charge-offs as well as risks believed to be inherent in the loan portfolio of the Bank. The amount of provision charged against current income is based on several factors, including, but not limited to, past loss experience, current portfolio mix, actual and potential losses identified in the loan portfolio, economic conditions, and internal as well as external reviews.

Weak economic conditions, higher inflation or interest rates, or other factors may lead to increased losses in the portfolio and/or requirements for an increase in loan loss provision expense. Management long ago established various controls in an attempt to limit future losses, such as a watch list of possible problem loans, documented loan administration policies and a loan review staff to review the quality and anticipated collectability of the portfolio. Additional procedures provide for frequent management review of the loan portfolio based on loan size, loan type, delinquencies, on-going correspondence with borrowers, and problem loan work-outs. Management determines which

loans are potentially uncollectible, or represent a greater risk of loss, and makes additional provisions to expense, if necessary, to maintain the allowance at a satisfactory level.

Loans acquired in the 2009, 2011 and 2012 FDIC-assisted transactions are covered by loss sharing agreements between the FDIC and Great Southern Bank which afford Great Southern Bank at least 80% protection from losses in the acquired portfolio of loans. The FDIC loss sharing agreements are subject to limitations on the types of losses covered and the length of time losses are covered and are conditioned upon the Bank complying with its requirements in the agreements with the FDIC. These limitations are described in detail in Note 4 of the accompanying audited financial statements, which are included in Item 8 of this Report. The acquired loans were grouped into pools based on common characteristics and were recorded at their estimated fair values, which incorporated estimated credit losses at the acquisition dates. These loan pools are systematically reviewed by the Company to determine the risk of losses that may exceed those identified at the time of the acquisition. Techniques used in determining risk of loss are similar to those used to determine the risk of loss for the legacy Great Southern Bank portfolio, with most focus being placed on those loan pools which include the larger loan relationships and those loan pools which exhibit higher risk characteristics. Review of the acquired loan portfolio also includes meetings with customers, review of financial information and collateral valuations to determine if any additional losses are apparent. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, an allowance for loan losses was established for loan pools exhibiting risks of loss totaling \$17,000 and \$30,000, respectively. Because of the loss sharing agreements, only 20% of the anticipated losses would be ultimately borne by the Bank.

The Bank's allowance for loan losses as a percentage of total loans, excluding loans supported by the FDIC loss sharing agreements, was 2.21% and 2.33% at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Management considers the allowance for loan losses adequate to cover losses inherent in the Company's loan portfolio at December 31, 2012, based on recent reviews of the Company's loan portfolio and current economic conditions. If economic conditions remain weak or deteriorate further, it is possible that additional loan loss provisions would be required, thereby adversely affecting future results of operations and financial condition.

Non-performing Assets

Former TeamBank, Vantus Bank, Sun Security Bank and InterBank non-performing assets, including foreclosed assets, are not included in the totals and in the discussion of non-performing loans, potential problem loans and foreclosed assets below due to the respective loss sharing agreements with the FDIC, which cover at least 80% of principal losses that may be incurred in these portfolios. In addition, FDIC-supported TeamBank, Vantus Bank, Sun Security Bank and InterBank assets were initially recorded at their estimated fair values as of their acquisition dates of March 20, 2009, September 4, 2009, October 7, 2011 and April 27, 2012, respectively. The overall performance of the FDIC-covered loan pools has been better than original expectations as of the acquisition dates.

As a result of changes in balances and composition of the loan portfolio, changes in economic and market conditions that occur from time to time, and other factors specific to a borrower's circumstances, the level of non-performing assets will fluctuate. Non-performing assets, excluding FDIC-covered non-performing assets, at December 31, 2012 were \$72.6 million, a decrease of \$1.8 million from \$74.4 million at December 31, 2011. Non-performing assets as a percentage of total assets were 1.84% at December 31, 2012, compared to 1.96% at December 31, 2011.

Compared to December 31, 2011, non-performing loans decreased \$5.0 million to \$22.5 million and foreclosed assets increased \$3.2 million to \$50.1 million. Commercial real estate loans comprised \$8.3 million, or 37.0%, of the total \$22.5 million of non-performing loans at December 31, 2012. Other commercial loans comprised \$6.2 million, or 27.8%, of the total \$22.5 million of non-performing loans at December 31, 2012. One-to-four family residential loans comprised \$4.3 million, or 18.9% of the total \$22.5 million of non-performing loans at December 31, 2012.

Non-performing Loans. Activity in the non-performing loans category during the year ended December 31, 2012, was as follows:

				Transfer	S							
	Beginning		Removed	to		Transfers						Ending
	Balance,		from	Potentia	.1	to						Balance,
	January		Non-	Problem	1	Foreclosed	l					December
	1	Additions	Performing	Loans		Assets	C	harge-Of	fs	Payment	S	31
				(In T	Γho	ousands)						
One- to four-family												
construction	\$186	\$	\$	\$(172)	\$	\$			\$(14)	\$
Subdivision												
construction	6,661	3,465	(196)	(191)	(3,403)	(3,008)	(3,326)	2
Land development	2,655	8,586	(832)			(4,348)	(3,112)	(478)	2,471
Commercial												
construction												
	7,238	6,828	(797)	(1,247)	(4,423)	(1,488)	(1,854)	4.257

One- to four-family												
residential												
Other residential		4,219					(2,950)	(1,269)		
Commercial real												
estate	6,204	12,459					(5,978)	(3,312)	(1,049)	8,324
Other commercial	3,472	5,855			(50)	(18)	(2,047)	(964)	6,248
Consumer	1,081	2,364	(134)	(611)	(249)	(363)	(912)	1,176
Total	\$27,497	\$43,776	\$ (1,959)	\$(2,271)	\$ (21,369) \$	(14,599)	\$(8,597)	\$22,478

At December 31, 2012, the land development category of non-performing loans included three loans. The largest relationship in this category, which was added during the year, totaled \$2.1 million, or 84.5% of the total category, and was collateralized by land located in the Rogers, Arkansas area. The one- to four-family residential category included 28 loans, 21 of which were added during the year. None of the loans added to the one- to four-family residential category during 2012 were included in borrower relationships that were larger than \$700,000. The commercial real estate category included nine loans, seven of which were added during the year. The largest two relationships in this category, which were added during the year, totaled \$5.7 million, or 68.2% of the total category, and are collateralized by hotels. The other commercial category included nine loans, five of which were added during the year. The largest relationship in this category, which was added during the year, totaled \$2.6 million, or 41.9% of the total category, and was collateralized by stock.

Foreclosed Assets. Of the total \$68.9 million of foreclosed assets at December 31, 2012, \$18.7 million represents the fair value of foreclosed assets acquired in the FDIC-assisted transactions in 2009, 2011 and 2012. These acquired foreclosed assets are subject to

the loss sharing agreements with the FDIC and, therefore, are not included in the following table and discussion of foreclosed assets. Foreclosed assets have increased since the economic recession began in 2008. During the year, economic growth was slow and real estate markets did not experience a recovery. Because of this, we experienced continued higher levels of additions to foreclosed assets during 2012. Because sales of foreclosed properties have been slower than additions, total foreclosed assets increased. Activity in foreclosed assets during the year ended December 31, 2012, was as follows:

								Ending	
	Beginning		Proceeds	5		ORE		Balance,	
	Balance,		from		Capitalized	Expense		December	
	January 1	Additions	Sales		Costs	Write-Dow	ns	31	
			(In '	Γhc	ousands)				
One- to four-family									
construction	\$1,630	\$27	\$(1,296)	\$327	\$ (61)	\$627	
Subdivision construction	15,573	6,770	(4,273)	35	(958)	17,147	
Land development	13,634	2,355	(565)	125	(1,491)	14,058	
Commercial construction	2,747	3,764						6,511	
One- to four-family residential	1,849	5,066	(5,499)	11	(227)	1,200	
Other residential	7,853	4,633	(3,278)	12	(1,988)	7,232	
Commercial real estate	2,290	6,559	(4,876)		(1,235)	2,738	
Commercial business	85	90	(15)				160	
Consumer	1,211	2,658	(3,398)				471	
Total	\$46,872	\$31,922	\$(23,200)	\$510	\$ (5,960)	\$50,144	

At December 31, 2012, the subdivision construction category of foreclosed assets included 46 properties, the largest of which was located in the St. Louis, Mo. metropolitan area and had a balance of \$3.6 million, or 20.6% of the total category. Of the total dollar amount in the subdivision construction category, 16.4% and 15.6% is located in Springfield, Mo., and Branson, Mo., respectively. The land development category of foreclosed assets included 26 properties, the largest of which had a balance of \$2.3 million, or 16.3% of the total category. Of the total dollar amount in the land development category, 42.1% and 32.0% was located in the Branson, Mo. area and in northwest Arkansas, respectively, including the largest property previously mentioned.

As discussed below in the non-interest expense section, the \$6.0 million in write-downs of foreclosed assets was primarily the result of management's evaluation of the foreclosed assets portfolio and decision to more aggressively market certain properties by reducing the asking prices. Management obtained broker pricing or used recent appraisals that were discounted based on internal experience selling or attempting to sell similar properties to determine the new asking prices. The majority of these write-downs were made in the subdivision construction and land development categories where properties are more speculative in nature and market activity has been very slow.

Potential Problem Loans. Potential problem loans decreased \$4.9 million during the year ended December 31, 2012 from \$54.3 million at December 31, 2011 to \$49.4 million at December 31, 2012. Potential problem loans are loans which management has identified through routine internal review procedures as having possible credit problems that may cause the borrowers difficulty in complying with current repayment terms. These loans are not reflected in non-performing assets, but are considered in determining the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses. Activity in the potential problem loans category during the year ended December 31, 2012, was as follows:

Edgar Filing: GREAT SOUTHERN BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

	Balance, January 1		from Potential Problem	Transfers to Non- Performin (In T	g g	Transfers to Foreclose Assets usands)]	Balance, December 31
One- to four-family												
construction	\$144	\$691	\$	\$ (142)	\$	\$			\$(283)	\$410
Subdivision												
construction	6,024	8,364	(918)	(2,931)	(3,553)	(4,539)	(795)	1,652
Land development	3,691	23,223	(3,450)	(6,919)	(804)	(6,588)	(339)	8,814
Commercial												
construction												
One- to four-family												
residential	7,665	6,647	(4,045)	(4,044)	(177)	(199)	(871)	4,976
Other residential	7,640	21,228	(10,521)	(4,852)	(2,602)	(1,478)	(928)	8,487
Commercial real												
estate	25,799	20,220	(5,699)	(5,413)	(842)	(9,370)	(2,782)	21,913
Other commercial	3,318	4,934	(825)	(2,774)			(1,136)	(475)	3,042
Consumer	45	367	(26)	(94)	(20)	(20)	(123)	129
Total	\$54,326	\$85,674	\$(25,484)	\$ (27,169)	\$ (7,998) \$	(23,330)	\$(6,596)	\$49,423

At December 31, 2012, the commercial real estate category of potential problem loans included 16 loans. The largest two relationships in this category, which were added during 2011 and 2012, respectively, had balances of \$5.0 million and \$4.4 million, respectively, or 42.8% of the total category. One relationship was collateralized by properties located in southwest Missouri and the other relationship was collateralized by property located in St. Louis, Mo. The land development category included seven loans, five of which were added during the year. The largest relationship in this category, which was added during the year, was \$6.0 million, or 67.9% of the total category and is collateralized by property in the Branson, Mo., area. The other residential category included five loans, all of which were added during the year. The largest relationship in this category, totaled \$3.7 million, or 44.1% of the total category, and was collateralized by condominiums located in the St. Louis area. The one- to four-family residential category included 42 loans, 22 of which were added during the year. The largest relationship in this category, which was added during 2011 and included fifteen loans, totaled \$1.1 million, or 22.8% of the total category, and was collateralized by over 30 separate properties in southwest Missouri.

Non-Interest Income

Non-interest income for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$46.0 million compared with \$4.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The increase of \$41.9 million, or 1013.6%, was primarily the result of the following items:

Initial gains recognized on business acquisitions: The initial gain recognized on business acquisitions increased \$14.8 million from the year ended December 31, 2011. During the quarter ended June 30, 2012, the Bank recognized a one-time gain on the FDIC-assisted acquisition of InterBank of \$31.3 million (pre-tax). In the prior year, the Bank recognized a one-time gain of \$16.5 million (pre-tax) on the FDIC-assisted acquisition of Sun Security Bank.

Amortization of indemnification asset: There was a smaller decrease to non-interest income from amortization related to business acquisitions compared to the year ended December 31, 2011. The net amortization, an amount which reduces net interest income, decreased \$19.1 million from the prior year. As previously described under "Net Interest Income," due to the increase in cash flows expected to be collected from the TeamBank, Vantus Bank, Sun Security Bank and InterBank FDIC-covered loan portfolios, \$29.9 million of amortization (decrease in non-interest income) was recorded in the year ended December 31, 2012, relating to reductions of expected reimbursements under the loss sharing agreements with the FDIC, which are recorded as indemnification assets. This amortization (decrease in non-interest income) amount was down \$13.9 million from the \$43.8 million that was recorded in the year ended December 31, 2011, relating to reductions of expected reimbursements under the loss sharing agreements with the FDIC. Offsetting this, the Bank had additional income from the accretion of the discount on the indemnification assets related to the FDIC-assisted acquisitions involving Sun Security Bank, which was completed in October 2011, and InterBank which was completed in April 2012. Income from the accretion of the discount was \$11.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, an increase of \$5.1 million from the \$6.0 million recognized in the prior year.

Securities Gains and Impairments: Realized gains on sales of available-for-sale securities, net of impairment losses, increased \$2.2 million from the year ended December 31, 2011. During the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, losses totaling \$680,000 and \$615,000, respectively, were recorded as a result of impairment write-downs in the value of an investment in a non-agency CMO. The impairment write-downs recognized during 2012 reduced the book value of this security to zero.

Gains on sales of single-family loans: Gains on sales of single-family loans increased \$2.0 million from the year ended December 31, 2011. This was due to an increase in originations (primarily refinancings) of fixed-rate loans due to lower fixed rates, which were then sold in the secondary market.

Tax credits: The Bank sold or utilized several state tax credits during the year ended December 31, 2012, which resulted in a gain of \$1.1 million.

Interest rate derivative income: The Company recognized non-interest income of \$1.2 million during the period related to its matched book interest rate derivatives program. The Company provides interest rate derivatives to certain qualifying customers in order to facilitate their respective interest rate management objectives. Those interest rate swaps are economically hedged by offsetting interest rate swaps that the Company executes with a third party, such that the Company minimizes its net risk exposure resulting from such transactions. However, the Company does not account for these transactions as hedges. The Company earns non-interest income related to the derivatives it provides to its customers, which represents compensation for credit risk and administrative costs associated with making a market in derivatives.

Service charges and ATM fees: Service charges and ATM fees during the year ended December 31, 2012 increased by \$1.0 million compared to the year ended December 31, 2011.

Non-Interest Expense

Total non-interest expense increased \$15.1 million, or 15.5%, from \$97.5 million in the year ended December 31, 2011, to \$112.6 million in the year ended December 31, 2012. The Company's efficiency ratio for the year ended December 31, 2012, was 53.03%, down from 59.54% in 2011 due to the gain recognized on the FDIC-assisted acquisition, partially offset by increases in non-interest expense described below. The Company's ratio of non-interest expense to average assets decreased from 2.99% for the year ended December 31, 2011, to 2.98% for the year ended December 31, 2012. The following were key items related to the increase in non-interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2011:

Sun Security Bank FDIC-assisted transaction: Non-interest expense increased \$4.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 when compared to the year ended December 31, 2011, due to the operating costs related to the operations acquired in the FDIC-assisted acquisition involving the former Sun Security Bank on October 7, 2011. Of this amount, \$497,000 related to non-recurring acquisition-related costs incurred during the first quarter of 2012, primarily salaries (\$127,000) and occupancy and equipment expenses (\$215,000).

InterBank FDIC-assisted acquisition: Non-interest expense increased \$4.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, when compared to the year ended December 31, 2011, due to operating costs related to the operations acquired in the FDIC-assisted acquisition involving the former InterBank on April 27, 2012. Of this amount, \$2.4 million related to non-recurring acquisition-related expenses incurred during the second and third quarters of 2012, primarily related to salaries and benefits (\$587,000), computer license and support (\$541,000) and legal and other professional fees (\$424,000).

Other operating expenses: Other operating expenses increased \$2.5 million from the prior year primarily due to increases in expenses to originate loans, amortization of the core deposit intangible, contributions and other expenses.

Partnership tax credit: The Company has invested in certain federal low-income housing tax credits and federal new market tax credits. These credits are typically purchased at 70-90% of the amount of the credit and are generally utilized to offset taxes payable over ten-year and seven-year periods, respectively. During the year ended December 31, 2012, tax credits used to reduce the Company's tax expense totaled \$7.4 million, up \$2.7 million from \$4.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. These tax credits resulted in corresponding amortization of \$5.8 million during the year ended December 31, 2012, up \$1.8 million from \$4.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The net result of these transactions was an increase to non-interest expense and a decrease to income tax expense, which positively impacted the Company's effective tax rate, but negatively impacted the Company's non-interest expense and efficiency ratio.

New banking centers: Continued internal growth of the Company since the year ended December 31, 2011, caused an increase in non-interest expense during the year ended December 31, 2012. The Company opened two retail banking centers in the St. Louis, Mo., market area – one in O'Fallon, Mo., in February 2012 and one in Affton, Mo., in December 2011. The operation of these two new locations increased non-interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2012, by \$568,000 over the same period in 2011.

Foreclosure-related expenses: Partially offsetting the above increases was a decrease in expenses on foreclosed assets of \$3.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, when compared to the year ended December 31, 2011, primarily due to the prior year write-downs of carrying values discussed previously. The discount on foreclosed assets acquired through the 2009, 2011 and 2012 FDIC-assisted acquisitions recognized as income decreased \$356,000. These amounts were partially offset by an increase in expenses on foreclosed properties of \$941,000 due to

higher levels of foreclosed properties held.

Provision for Income Taxes

Provision for income taxes as a percentage of pre-tax income (from continuing operations) was 19.4% and 14.9% for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The effective tax rates (as compared to the statutory federal tax rate of 35.0%) were primarily affected by the tax credits noted above and by higher balances and rates of tax-exempt investment securities and loans which reduce the Company's effective tax rate. The Company's tax rate, however, was higher than in recent periods in the year ended December 31, 2012, due to the significant gain recognized on the FDIC-assisted transaction completed in 2012, and the gains recognized on the sales of the Travel and Insurance business units in 2012. In future periods, the Company expects the effective tax rate to be approximately 12%-18% of pre-tax net income, assuming it continues to maintain or increase its use of investment tax credits. The Company's effective tax rate may fluctuate as it is impacted by the level and timing of the Company's utilization of tax credits and the level of tax-exempt investments and loans.

Liquidity

Liquidity is a measure of the Company's ability to generate sufficient cash to meet present and future financial obligations in a timely manner through either the sale or maturity of existing assets or the acquisition of additional funds through liability management. These obligations include the credit needs of customers, funding deposit withdrawals and the day-to-day operations of the Company. Liquid assets include cash, interest-bearing deposits with financial institutions and certain investment securities and loans. As a result of the Company's management of the ability to generate liquidity primarily through liability funding, management believes that the Company maintains overall liquidity sufficient to satisfy its depositors' requirements and meet its customers' credit needs. At December 31, 2013, the Company had commitments of approximately \$91.4 million to fund loan originations, \$333.9 million of unused lines of credit and unadvanced loans, and \$28.4 million of outstanding letters of credit.

The following table summarizes the Company's fixed and determinable contractual obligations by payment date as of December 31, 2013. Additional information regarding these contractual obligations is discussed further in Notes 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 16 and 19 of the accompanying audited financial statements, which are included in Item 8 of this Report.

		Payme	ents Due In:	
		Over One to)	
	One Year or	Five	Over Five	
	Less	Years	Years	Total
		(In T	'housands)	
Deposits without a stated maturity	\$1,814,684	\$	\$	\$1,814,684
Time and brokered certificates of deposit	642,137	346,024	5,781	993,942
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	2,315	123,913	529	126,757
Short-term borrowings	136,109			136,109
Structured repurchase agreements		50,000		50,000
Subordinated debentures			30,929	30,929
Operating leases	858	1,788	1,089	3,735
Dividends declared but not paid	2,606			2,606
	\$2,598,709	\$521,725	\$38,328	\$3,158,762

The Company's primary sources of funds are customer deposits, FHLBank advances, other borrowings, loan repayments, unpledged securities, proceeds from sales of loans and available-for-sale securities and funds provided from operations. The Company utilizes particular sources of funds based on the comparative costs and availability at the time. The Company has from time to time chosen not to pay rates on deposits as high as the rates paid by certain of its competitors and, when believed to be appropriate, supplements deposits with less expensive alternative sources of funds.

At December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company had these available secured lines and on-balance sheet liquidity:

	December 31,	December 31,
	2013	2012
Federal Home Loan Bank line	\$407.4 million	\$426.5 million

Federal Reserve Bank line \$418.9 million \$446.6 million

Interest-Bearing and

Non-Interest-Bearing

Deposits \$227.9 million \$404.1 million Unpledged Securities \$91.7 million \$72.0 million

Statements of Cash Flows. During the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, the Company had positive cash flows from operating activities. The Company experienced positive cash flows from investing activities during 2013 and 2012 and negative cash flows from investing activities during 2011. The Company experienced negative cash flows from financing activities during 2013, 2012 and 2011.

Cash flows from operating activities for the periods covered by the Statements of Cash Flows have been primarily related to changes in accrued and deferred assets, credits and other liabilities, the provision for loan losses, impairments of investment securities, depreciation, gains on the purchase of additional business units and the amortization of deferred loan origination fees and discounts (premiums) on loans and investments, all of which are non-cash or non-operating adjustments to operating cash flows. Net income adjusted for non-cash and non-operating items and the origination and sale of loans held-for-sale were the primary sources of cash flows from operating activities. Operating activities provided cash flows of \$93.9 million, \$146.9 million and \$101.4 million during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

During the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, investing activities provided cash of \$124.7 million and \$241.4 million, primarily due to the cash received from the FDIC-assisted acquisition and the repayment of investment securities. During the year ended December 31, 2011, investing activities used cash of \$147.9 million primarily due to the net increase in loans and investment securities for the year.

Changes in cash flows from financing activities during the periods covered by the Statements of Cash Flows are due to changes in deposits after interest credited, changes in FHLBank advances, changes in short-term borrowings, and dividend payments to stockholders. Financing activities used cash flows of \$394.8 million and \$364.4 million during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, primarily due to the repayment of advances from the FHLBank, reductions in customer repurchase agreements and reduction of time deposit balances. In 2011, the change in cash flows from financing activities was also impacted by the issuance of preferred stock through the Company's participation in the SBLF program as well as the redemption of preferred stock and the repurchase of common stock warrants which were both issued in conjunction with the Company's participation in the CPP. Financing activities used cash flows of \$3.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, primarily due to reductions of brokered deposit balances and reductions in customer repurchase agreements primarily offset by increases in transaction deposits. Financing activities in the future are expected to primarily include changes in deposits, changes in FHLBank advances, changes in short-term borrowings and dividend payments to stockholders.

Capital Resources

Management continuously reviews the capital position of the Company and the Bank to ensure compliance with minimum regulatory requirements, as well as to explore ways to increase capital either by retained earnings or other means.

Total stockholders' equity at December 31, 2013, was \$380.7 million, or 10.7% of total assets. At December 31, 2013, common stockholders' equity was \$322.8 million, or 9.1% of total assets, equivalent to a book value of \$23.60 per common share. At December 31, 2012, the Company's total stockholders' equity was \$369.9 million, or 9.4% of total assets. At December 31, 2012, common stockholders' equity was \$311.9 million, or 7.9% of total assets, equivalent to a book value of \$22.94 per common share.

At December 31, 2013, the Company's tangible common equity to total assets ratio was 8.9% as compared to 7.7% at December 31, 2012. The Company's tangible common equity to total risk-weighted assets ratio was 12.3% at December 31, 2013, compared to 12.7% at December 31, 2012.

Banks are required to maintain minimum risk-based capital ratios. These ratios compare capital, as defined by the risk-based regulations, to assets adjusted for their relative risk as defined by the regulations. Guidelines require banks to have a minimum Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio, as defined, of 4.00%, a minimum total risk-based capital ratio of

8.00%, and a minimum 4.00% Tier 1 leverage ratio. On December 31, 2013, the Bank's Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio was 14.2%, total risk-based capital ratio was 15.4% and the Tier 1 leverage ratio was 10.2%. As of December 31, 2013, the Bank was "well capitalized" as defined by the Federal banking agencies' capital-related regulations. The FRB has established capital regulations for bank holding companies that generally parallel the capital regulations for banks. On December 31, 2013, the Company's Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio was 15.6%, total risk-based capital ratio was 16.9% and the Tier 1 leverage ratio was 11.3%. As of December 31, 2013, the Company was "well capitalized" under the capital ratios described above. These ratios are the current capital requirements. As discussed in "Effect of Federal Laws and Regulations," the Company and the Bank will be subject to new capital requirements due to the changes from "Basel III" and the Dodd-Frank Act for which the provisions generally become effective beginning January 1, 2015.

On August 18, 2011, the Company entered into a Small Business Lending Fund-Securities Purchase Agreement ("Purchase Agreement") with the Secretary of the Treasury, pursuant to which the Company sold 57,943 shares of the Company's Senior Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A (the "SBLF Preferred Stock") to the Secretary of the Treasury for a purchase price of \$57,943,000. The SBLF Preferred Stock was issued pursuant to Treasury's SBLF program, a \$30 billion fund established under the Small Business Jobs Act of 2010 that was created to encourage lending to small businesses by providing Tier 1 capital to qualified community banks and holding companies with assets of less than \$10 billion. As required by the Purchase Agreement, the proceeds from the sale of the SBLF Preferred Stock were used to redeem the 58,000 shares of preferred stock, previously issued to the Treasury pursuant to the CPP, at a redemption price of \$58.0 million plus the accrued dividends owed on the preferred shares.

The SBLF Preferred Stock qualifies as Tier 1 capital. The holder of the SBLF Preferred Stock is entitled to receive non-cumulative dividends, payable quarterly, on each January 1, April 1, July 1 and October 1. The dividend rate, as a percentage of the liquidation amount, can fluctuate between one percent (1%) and five percent (5%) per annum on a quarterly basis during the first 10 quarters during which the SBLF Preferred Stock is outstanding, based upon changes in the level of "Qualified Small Business Lending" or "QSBL" (as defined in the Purchase Agreement) by the Bank over the adjusted baseline level calculated under the terms of the SBLF Preferred Stock (\$201,374,000). The initial dividend rate through September 30, 2011, was 5% and the dividend rate for the fourth quarter of 2011 was 2.6%. Based upon the increase in the Bank's level of QSBL over the adjusted baseline level, the dividend rate for all of 2013 and 2012 was approximately 1.0%. For the tenth calendar quarter through four and one half years after issuance, the dividend rate will be fixed at between one percent (1%) and seven percent (7%) based upon the level of qualifying loans. The Company has now reached the tenth calendar quarter and the dividend rate will be 1.0% until four and one half years after the issuance, which is March 2016. After four and one half years from issuance, the dividend rate will increase to 9% (including a quarterly lending incentive fee of 0.5%).

The SBLF Preferred Stock is non-voting, except in limited circumstances. In the event that the Company misses five dividend payments, whether or not consecutive, the holder of the SBLF Preferred Stock will have the right, but not the obligation, to appoint a representative as an observer on the Company's Board of Directors. In the event that the Company misses six dividend payments, whether or not consecutive, and if the then outstanding aggregate liquidation amount of the SBLF Preferred Stock is at least \$25,000,000, then the holder of the SBLF Preferred Stock will have the right to designate two directors to the Board of Directors of the Company.

The SBLF Preferred Stock may be redeemed at any time at the Company's option, at a redemption price of 100% of the liquidation amount plus accrued but unpaid dividends to the date of redemption for the current period, subject to the approval of its federal banking regulator.

Dividends. During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company declared common stock cash dividends of \$0.72 per share (29.8% of net income per common share) and paid common stock cash dividends of \$0.54 per share. The quarterly dividend that would normally have been paid in January 2013 was paid in December 2012. During the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company declared and paid common stock cash dividends of \$0.72 per share (20.3% of net income per common share). The Board of Directors meets regularly to consider the level and the timing of dividend payments. In addition, the Company paid preferred dividends as described below.

As a result of the issuance of preferred stock to the Treasury pursuant to the CPP in December 2008, during the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company paid preferred stock cash dividends of \$725,000 on each of February 15, 2011, May 16, 2011 and August 15, 2011. In addition, previously accrued but unpaid preferred stock cash dividends of \$24,167 were paid on August 18, 2011 in conjunction with the redemption of the CPP Preferred Stock on the same date. The redemption of the CPP Preferred Stock resulted in a non-cash deemed preferred stock dividend that reduced net income available to common shareholders in the year ended December 31, 2011 by \$1.2 million. This amount represents the difference between the repurchase price and the carrying amount of the CPP Preferred Stock, or the accelerated accretion of the applicable discount on the CPP Preferred Stock.

The terms of the SBLF Preferred Stock impose limits on the ability of the Company to pay dividends and repurchase shares of common stock. Under the terms of the SBLF Preferred Stock, no repurchases may be effected, and no dividends may be declared or paid on preferred shares ranking pari passu with the SBLF Preferred Stock, junior preferred shares, or other junior securities (including the common stock) during the current quarter and for the next three quarters following the failure to declare and pay dividends on the SBLF Preferred Stock, except that, in any such quarter in which the dividend is paid, dividend payments on shares ranking pari passu may be paid to the extent

necessary to avoid any resulting material covenant breach.

Under the terms of the SBLF Preferred Stock, the Company may only declare and pay a dividend on the common stock or other stock junior to the SBLF Preferred Stock, or repurchase shares of any such class or series of stock, if, after payment of such dividend, or after giving effect to such repurchase, (i) the dollar amount of the Company's Tier 1 Capital would be at least equal to the "Tier 1 Dividend Threshold" and (ii) full dividends on all outstanding shares of SBLF Preferred Stock for the most recently completed dividend period have been or are contemporaneously declared and paid. As of December 31, 2013, we satisfied this condition.

The "Tier 1 Dividend Threshold" means 90% of \$272.7 million, which was the Company's consolidated Tier 1 capital as of June 30, 2011, less the \$58 million in TARP preferred stock then-outstanding and repaid on August 18, 2011, plus the \$58 million in SBLF Preferred Stock issued and minus the net loan charge-offs by the Bank since August 18, 2011. The Tier 1 Dividend Threshold is subject to reduction, beginning on the first day of the eleventh dividend period following the date of issuance of the SBLF Preferred Stock, by \$5.8 million (ten percent of the aggregate liquidation amount of the SBLF Preferred Stock initially issued, without regard to any subsequent partial redemptions) for each one percent increase in qualified small business lending from the adjusted baseline level under the terms of the SBLF preferred stock (i.e., \$201.4 million) to the ninth dividend period.

Common Stock Repurchases and Issuances. The Company has been in various buy-back programs since May 1990. Our ability to repurchase common stock is currently limited, but allowed, under the terms of the SBLF preferred stock as noted above, under "-Dividends" and was previously generally precluded due to our participation in the CPP beginning in December 2008. Therefore, during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company did not repurchase any shares of its common stock. During the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company issued 106,367 shares of stock at an average price of \$19.69 per share and 116,479 shares of stock at an average price of \$19.49 per share, respectively, to cover stock option exercises.

Management has historically utilized stock buy-back programs from time to time as long as management believed that repurchasing the stock would contribute to the overall growth of shareholder value. The number of shares of stock that will be repurchased at any particular time and the prices that will be paid are subject to many factors, several of which are outside of the control of the Company. The primary factors, however, are the number of shares available in the market from sellers at any given time, the price of the stock within the market as determined by the market and the projected impact on the Company's earnings per share and capital.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Asset and Liability Management and Market Risk

A principal operating objective of the Company is to produce stable earnings by achieving a favorable interest rate spread that can be sustained during fluctuations in prevailing interest rates. The Company has sought to reduce its exposure to adverse changes in interest rates by attempting to achieve a closer match between the periods in which its interest-bearing liabilities and interest-earning assets can be expected to reprice through the origination of adjustable-rate mortgages and loans with shorter terms to maturity and the purchase of other shorter term interest-earning assets.

Our Risk When Interest Rates Change

The rates of interest we earn on assets and pay on liabilities generally are established contractually for a period of time. Market interest rates change over time. Accordingly, our results of operations, like those of other financial institutions, are impacted by changes in interest rates and the interest rate sensitivity of our assets and liabilities. The risk associated with changes in interest rates and our ability to adapt to these changes is known as interest rate risk and is our most significant market risk.

How We Measure the Risk to Us Associated with Interest Rate Changes

In an attempt to manage our exposure to changes in interest rates and comply with applicable regulations, we monitor Great Southern's interest rate risk. In monitoring interest rate risk we regularly analyze and manage assets and liabilities based on their payment streams and interest rates, the timing of their maturities and their sensitivity to actual or potential changes in market interest rates.

The ability to maximize net interest income is largely dependent upon the achievement of a positive interest rate spread that can be sustained despite fluctuations in prevailing interest rates. Interest rate sensitivity is a measure of the difference between amounts of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities which either reprice or mature within a given period of time. The difference, or the interest rate repricing "gap," provides an indication of the extent to which an institution's interest rate spread will be affected by changes in interest rates. A gap is considered positive

when the amount of interest-rate sensitive assets exceeds the amount of interest-rate sensitive liabilities repricing during the same period, and is considered negative when the amount of interest-rate sensitive liabilities exceeds the amount of interest-rate sensitive assets during the same period. Generally, during a period of rising interest rates, a negative gap within shorter repricing periods would adversely affect net interest income, while a positive gap within shorter repricing periods would result in an increase in net interest income. During a period of falling interest rates, the opposite would be true. At December 31, 2013, Great Southern's interest rate risk models indicate that, generally, rising interest rates are expected to have a positive impact on the Company's net interest income, while declining interest rates would have a negative impact on net interest income. We model various interest rate scenarios for rising and falling rates, including both parallel and non-parallel shifts in rates. The results of our modeling indicate that net interest income is not likely to be materially affected either positively or negatively in the first twelve months following a rate change, regardless of any changes in interest rates, because our portfolios are relatively well matched in a twelve-month horizon. The effects of interest rate changes, if any, are expected to be more impacting to net interest income in the 12 to 36 months following a rate change. As the Federal Funds rate is now very low, the Company's interest rate floors have been reached on most of its "prime rate" loans. As discussed under "General-Net Interest Income and Interest Rate Risk Management," at December 31, 2013, there were \$502 million of adjustable rate loans which were tied to a national prime rate of interest which had interest rate floors. In addition, Great Southern has elected to leave its "Great Southern Prime Rate" at 5.00% for those loans that are indexed to "Great Southern Prime" rather than a national prime rate of interest. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, there were \$248 million and \$376 million, respectively, of loans indexed to "Great Southern Prime." While these interest rate floors

and, to a lesser extent, the utilization of the "Great Southern Prime" rate have helped keep the rate on our loan portfolio higher in this very low interest rate environment, they will also reduce the positive effect to our loan rates when market interest rates, specifically the "prime rate," begin to increase. The interest rate on these loans will not increase until the loan floors are reached. Also, a significant portion of our retail certificates of deposit mature in the next twelve months and we expect that they will be replaced with new certificates of deposit at somewhat lower interest rates.

Interest rate risk exposure estimates (the sensitivity gap) are not exact measures of an institution's actual interest rate risk. They are only indicators of interest rate risk exposure produced in a simplified modeling environment designed to allow management to gauge the Bank's sensitivity to changes in interest rates. They do not necessarily indicate the impact of general interest rate movements on the Bank's net interest income because the repricing of certain categories of assets and liabilities is subject to competitive and other factors beyond the Bank's control. As a result, certain assets and liabilities indicated as maturing or otherwise repricing within a stated period may in fact mature or reprice at different times and in different amounts and cause a change, which potentially could be material, in the Bank's interest rate risk.

In order to minimize the potential for adverse effects of material and prolonged increases and decreases in interest rates on Great Southern's results of operations, Great Southern has adopted asset and liability management policies to better match the maturities and repricing terms of Great Southern's interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. Management recommends and the Board of Directors sets the asset and liability policies of Great Southern which are implemented by the asset and liability committee. The asset and liability committee is chaired by the Chief Financial Officer and is comprised of members of Great Southern's senior management. The purpose of the asset and liability committee is to communicate, coordinate and control asset/liability management consistent with Great Southern's business plan and board-approved policies. The asset and liability committee establishes and monitors the volume and mix of assets and funding sources taking into account relative costs and spreads, interest rate sensitivity and liquidity needs. The objectives are to manage assets and funding sources to produce results that are consistent with liquidity, capital adequacy, growth, risk and profitability goals. The asset and liability committee meets on a monthly basis to review, among other things, economic conditions and interest rate outlook, current and projected liquidity needs and capital positions and anticipated changes in the volume and mix of assets and liabilities. At each meeting, the asset and liability committee recommends appropriate strategy changes based on this review. The Chief Financial Officer or his designee is responsible for reviewing and reporting on the effects of the policy implementations and strategies to the Board of Directors at their monthly meetings.

In order to manage its assets and liabilities and achieve the desired liquidity, credit quality, interest rate risk, profitability and capital targets, Great Southern has focused its strategies on originating adjustable rate loans, and managing its deposits and borrowings to establish stable relationships with both retail customers and wholesale funding sources.

At times, depending on the level of general interest rates, the relationship between long- and short-term interest rates, market conditions and competitive factors, we may determine to increase our interest rate risk position somewhat in order to maintain or increase our net interest margin.

The asset and liability committee regularly reviews interest rate risk by forecasting the impact of alternative interest rate environments on net interest income and market value of portfolio equity, which is defined as the net present value of an institution's existing assets, liabilities and off-balance sheet instruments, and evaluating such impacts against the maximum potential changes in net interest income and market value of portfolio equity that are authorized by the Board of Directors of Great Southern.

In the normal course of business, the Company may use derivative financial instruments (primarily interest rate swaps) from time to time to assist in its interest rate risk management. In the fourth quarter of 2011, the Company began executing interest rate swaps with commercial banking customers to facilitate their respective risk management strategies. Those interest rate swaps are simultaneously hedged by offsetting interest rate swaps that the Company executes with a third party, such that the Company minimizes its net risk exposure resulting from such transactions. Because the interest rate swaps associated with this program do not meet the strict hedge accounting requirements, changes in the fair value of both the customer swaps and the offsetting swaps are recognized directly in earnings. These interest rate derivatives result from a service provided to certain qualifying customers and, therefore, are not used to manage interest rate risk in the Company's assets or liabilities. The Company manages a matched book with respect to its derivative instruments in order to minimize its net risk exposure resulting from such transactions.

In 2013, the Company entered into two interest rate cap agreements related to its floating rate debt associated with its trust preferred securities. The agreements provide that the counterparty will reimburse the Company if interest rates rise above a certain threshold, thus creating a cap on the effective interest rate paid by the Company. These agreements are classified as hedging instruments, and the effective portion of the gain or loss on the derivative is reported as a component of other comprehensive income and reclassified into earnings in the same period or periods during which the hedged transaction affects earnings.

The Company's interest rate derivatives and hedging activities are discussed further in Note 17 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, which are included in Item 8 of this Report.

The following tables illustrate the expected maturities and repricing, respectively, of the Bank's financial instruments at December 31, 2013. These schedules do not reflect the effects of possible prepayments or enforcement of due-on-sale clauses. The tables are based on information prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

Maturities

Decem	ber	3.	l,
-------	-----	----	----

		2014		2015		2016		2017 (Dollars In	ı T	2018 housands)		Thereafter		To
Financial Assets:	ф	121 750											ф	1.
Interest bearing deposits	\$	131,758 0.22%											\$	1
Weighted average rate		0.22%									\$	2 960	\$	
Available-for-sale equity securities											Ф	2,869	Ф	
Weighted average rate	Φ	24,382	\$	6.050	\$	0.107	\$	12 274	\$	 5 924	\$	402.975	\$	5.
Available-for-sale debt securities(1)	Э	,	Э	- /	Э	8,107	Ф	,	Э	5,824	Э	., .,	Э	3.
Weighted average rate		3.14%		6.21%		5.94%		6.30%	φ	5.08% 805		2.50%	Φ	
Held-to-maturity securities									\$	7.37%			\$	
Weighted average rate	Φ	227.700	Φ	155 002	φ	120 (77	Φ	166.702	Φ		Φ	 521 542	Φ	1.2
Adjustable rate loans	\$	237,709		155,902	Э	130,677	Э	166,703 4.12%	\$	86,025 4.05%	\$	531,542	Э	1,3
Weighted average rate	Φ	4.94%		4.35%	Φ	4.56%	φ		Φ.		Φ	4.04%	Φ	1.0
Fixed rate loans	\$	243,413	Þ	119,421	Э	169,127 5.71%	Э	180,382 5.51%	Э.	225,726	\$	334,151	Э	1,2
Weighted average rate Federal Home Loan Bank stock		5.32%		5.47%		3./1%		3.31%		4.80%	Φ	6.68%	Φ	
											\$	9,822	\$	
Weighted average rate												1.88%		
Total financial assets	\$	637,262	\$	282,173	\$.	307,911	\$	360,459	\$	318,380	\$	1,372,259	\$	3,2
Financial Liabilities:														
Time deposits	\$	642,137	\$	178,726	\$	79,267	\$	56,112	\$	31,919	\$	5,781	\$	9
Weighted average rate		0.59%		1.07%		1.33%		1.73%		1.58%		2.67%		
Interest-bearing demand	\$	1,291,879											\$	1,2
Weighted average rate		0.20%												
Non-interest-bearing demand	\$	522,805											\$	5
Weighted average rate														
Federal Home Loan Bank	\$	3,170	\$	10,905	\$	25,884	\$	86,185	\$	84	\$	529	\$	1
Weighted average rate		1.02%		3.87%		3.81%		3.92%		5.06%		5.51%		
Short-term borrowings	\$	136,109											\$	1
Weighted average rate		0.04%												
Structured repurchase agreements	\$		\$	50,000									\$	
Weighted average rate				4.34%										
Subordinated debentures											\$	30,929	\$	

Weighted average rate						1.81%)
Total financial liabilities	\$ 2,596,100	\$ 239,631	\$ 105,151	\$ 142,297	\$ 32,003	\$ 37,239	\$ 3,1:

(1)

Available-for-sale debt securities include approximately \$412 million of mortgage-backed securities, collateralized mortgage obligations and SBA loan pools which pay interest and principal monthly to the Company. Of this total, \$393 million represents securities that have variable rates of interest after a fixed interest period. These securities will experience rate changes at varying times over the next ten years. This table does not show the effect of these monthly repayments of principal or rate changes.

Repricing

Decem	her	31.	

		2014	2015	2016	(D	2017 Pollars In Th	101		Th	nereafter		Total
Financial Assets:		= = =										
Interest bearing deposits	\$,									\$	*
Weighted average rate		0.22%										0.1
Available-for-sale equity securities									\$	2,869	\$	2,8
Weighted average rate												
Available-for-sale debt securities(1)	\$	172,348	\$ 152,810	\$,	\$,	\$			108,837	\$	*
Weighted average rate		1.65%	2.11%	2.59%		3.70%		4.47%)	4.80%		2.1
Held-to-maturity securities							\$				\$	-
Weighted average rate								7.37%				7.1
Adjustable rate loans	\$	1,144,772	\$,	\$,	\$,	\$			1,955		1,308,5
Weighted average rate		4.29%	4.43%	4.56%		3.79%		4.32%		3.59%		4.1
Fixed rate loans	\$	242,268	\$ 119,494	170,056		8 180,382	\$			334,291		1,272,22
Weighted average rate		4.98%	5.48%	5.70%		5.51%		4.80%)	6.68%	Ö	5.0
Federal Home Loan Bank stock	\$	9,822									\$	9,82
Weighted average rate		1.88%										1.3
Total financial assets	\$	1,700,968	\$ 331,433	\$ 295,454	\$	8 226,672	\$	275,965	\$4	447,952	\$	3,278,4
Financial Liabilities:												
Time deposits(3)	\$	- ,	\$ 178,726	\$,	\$	/	\$,	\$	5,781	\$	*
Weighted average rate		0.59%	1.07%	1.33%		1.73%		1.58%)	2.67%		0.8
Interest-bearing demand	\$	1,291,879									\$	1,291,8
Weighted average rate		0.20%										0.2
Non-interest-bearing demand(2)									\$ 5	522,805	\$	522,8
Weighted average rate												ļ
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	\$	123,170	\$ 905	\$ 884	\$,	\$	84	\$	529	\$	126,7
Weighted average rate		3.83%	5.06%	5.06%		5.36%		5.06%)	5.51%	O	3.
Short-term borrowings	\$	136,109									\$	136,1
Weighted average rate		0.04%										0.0
Structured repurchase agreements			\$ 50,000								\$	50,0
Weighted average rate			4.34%									4.3
Subordinated debentures	\$	30,929									\$	30,9
Weighted average rate		1.81%										1.3
Total financial liabilities	\$ 2	2,224,224	\$ 229,631	\$ 80,151	\$	5 57,297	\$	32,003	\$ 5	529,115	\$	3,152,42
Periodic repricing GAP	\$	(523,256)	\$ 101,802	\$ 215,303	\$	5 169,375	\$	243,962	\$ ((81,163)	\$	126,0
Cumulative repricing GAP	\$	(523,256)	\$ (421,454)	\$ (206,151)	\$	6 (36,776)	\$	207,186	\$ 1	126,023		

Available-for-sale debt securities include approximately \$412 million of mortgage-backed securities, collateralized mortgage obligations and SBA loan pools which pay interest and principal monthly to the Company. Of this total, \$393 million represents securities that have variable rates of interest after a fixed interest period. These securities will experience rate changes at varying times over the next ten years. This table does not show the effect of these monthly repayments of principal or rate changes.

(2) Non-interest-bearing demand is included in this table in the column labeled

Non-interest-bearing demand is included in this table in the column labeled "Thereafter" since there is no interest rate related to these liabilities and therefore there is nothing to reprice.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Audit Committee, Board of Directors and Stockholders Great Southern Bancorp, Inc. Springfield, Missouri

We have audited the accompanying consolidated statements of financial condition of Great Southern Bancorp, Inc. as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2013. The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. Our audits included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Great Southern Bancorp, Inc. as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2013, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Great Southern Bancorp, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) and our report dated March 10, 2014, expressed an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

BKD, LLP

/s/ BKD, LLP

Springfield, Missouri March 10, 2014

Great Southern Bancorp, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition December 31, 2013 and 2012 (In Thousands, Except Per Share Data)

	2013	2012
Assets		
Cash	\$ 96,167	\$ 107,949
Interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions	131,758	295,855
Federal funds sold		337
Cash and cash equivalents	227,925	404,141
Available-for-sale securities	555,281	807,010
Held-to-maturity securities	805	920
Mortgage loans held for sale	7,239	26,829
Loans receivable, net of allowance for loan losses of \$40,116		
and \$40,649 at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively	2,439,530	2,319,638
FDIC indemnification asset	72,705	117,263
Interest receivable	11,408	12,755
Prepaid expenses and other assets	72,904	79,560
Other real estate owned, net	53,514	68,874
Premises and equipment, net	104,534	102,286
Goodwill and other intangible assets	4,583	5,811
Federal Home Loan Bank stock	9,822	10,095
Total assets	\$ 3,560,250	\$ 3,955,182
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		
Liabilities		
Deposits	\$ 2,808,626	\$ 3,153,193
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	126,757	126,730
Securities sold under reverse repurchase agreements		
with customers	134,981	179,644
Short-term borrowings	1,128	772
Structured repurchase agreements	50,000	53,039
Subordinated debentures issued to capital trust	30,929	30,929
Accrued interest payable	1,099	1,322
Advances from borrowers for taxes and insurance	3,721	2,154
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	18,502	12,128
Current and deferred income taxes	3,809	25,397
Total liabilities	3,179,552	3,585,308
Commitments and Contingencies	_	_
Stockholders' Equity		
Capital stock		
Serial preferred stock – SBLF, \$.01 par value; authorized		
1,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 2013 and 2012		
– 57,943 shares	57,943	57,943
Common stock, \$.01 par value; authorized 20,000,000	137	136

shares; issued and outstanding		
2013 – 13,673,709 shares, 2012 – 13,596,335 shares		
Additional paid-in capital	19,567	18,394
Retained earnings	300,589	276,751
Accumulated other comprehensive income, net of income		
taxes of \$1,326 and \$8,965 at December 31, 2013 and		
2012, respectively	2,462	16,650
Total stockholders' equity	380,698	369,874
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 3,560,250	\$ 3,955,182

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Great Southern Bancorp, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Income Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 (In Thousands, Except Per Share Data)

	2013	2012	2011
Interest Income			
Loans	\$163,903	\$170,163	\$171,201
Investment securities and other	14,892	23,345	27,466
	178,795	193,508	198,667
Interest Expense			
Deposits	12,346	20,720	26,370
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	3,972	4,430	5,242
Short-term borrowings and repurchase agreements	2,324	2,610	2,965
Subordinated debentures issued to capital trust	561	617	569
	19,203	28,377	35,146
Net Interest Income	159,592	165,131	163,521
Provision for Loan Losses	17,386	43,863	35,336
Net Interest Income After Provision for Loan Losses	142,206	121,268	128,185
Noninterest Income			
Commissions	1,065	1,036	896
Service charges and ATM fees	18,227	19,087	18,063
Net gains on loan sales	4,915	5,505	3,524
Net realized gains on sales of available-for-sale securities	243	2,666	483
Recognized impairment of available-for-sale securities		(680) (615)
Late charges and fees on loans	1,264	1,028	651
Gain (loss) on derivative interest rate products	295	(38) (10
Gain recognized on business acquisitions		31,312	16,486
Accretion (amortization) of income/expense related to			
business acquisitions	(25,260) (18,693) (37,797)
Other income	4,566	4,779	2,450
	5,315	46,002	4,131
Noninterest Expense			
Salaries and employee benefits	52,468	51,262	43,606
Net occupancy expense	20,658	20,179	15,220
Postage	3,315	3,301	3,096
Insurance	4,189	4,476	4,840
Advertising	2,165	1,572	1,316
Office supplies and printing	1,303	1,389	1,268
Telephone	2,868	2,768	2,270
Legal, audit and other professional fees	4,348	4,323	3,803
Expense on foreclosed assets	4,068	8,748	11,846
Partnership tax credit	6,879	5,782	3,985
Other operating expenses	8,128	8,760	6,226
	110,389	112,560	97,476

Edgar Filing: GREAT SOUTHERN BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Income from Continuing Operations Before Income Taxes	\$37,132	\$54,710	\$34,840
Provision for Income Taxes	3,403	10,623	5,183
Net Income from Continuing Operations	33,729	44,087	29,657
Discontinued Operations Income from discontinued operations (including gain on disposal in 2012 of \$6,114), net of income taxes of \$2,487 and \$330, for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively	_	4,619	612
Net Income Preferred stock dividends and discount accretion	33,729 579	48,706 608	30,269
	517	000	2,798
Non-cash deemed preferred stock dividend	_		1,212
Net Income Available to Common Shareholders	\$33,150	\$48,098	
•	\$33,150		1,212 \$26,259
Net Income Available to Common Shareholders Earnings Per Common Share Basic	_	_	1,212
Net Income Available to Common Shareholders Earnings Per Common Share	\$33,150 \$2.43	\$48,098 \$3.55	1,212 \$26,259 \$1.95
Net Income Available to Common Shareholders Earnings Per Common Share Basic Diluted	\$33,150 \$2.43	\$48,098 \$3.55	1,212 \$26,259 \$1.95

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Great Southern Bancorp, Inc. Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 (In Thousands)

	2013	2012	2011	
Net Income	\$33,729	\$48,706	\$30,269	
Unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on available-for-sale securities, net of taxes (credit) of \$(7,516), \$3,444 and \$4,508 for 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively	(13,959) 6,398	8,373	
Noncredit component of unrealized gain (loss) on available-for-sale debt securities for which a portion of an other-than-temporary impairment has been recognized, net of taxes (credit) of \$(20), \$8 and \$287 for 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively	(37) 14	533	
Other-than-temporary impairment loss recognized in earnings on available for sale securities, net of taxes (credit) of \$0, \$(238) and \$(215) for 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively	_	(442) (400)
Less: reclassification adjustment for gains included in net income, net of taxes of \$(85), \$(933) and \$(169) for 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively	(158) (1,733) (314)
Change in fair value of cash flow hedge, net of taxes (credit) of \$(19), \$0 and \$0 for 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively	(34) —	_	
Other comprehensive income (loss)	(14,188) 4,237	8,192	
Comprehensive Income	\$19,541	\$52,943	\$38,461	

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Great Southern Bancorp, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 (In Thousands, Except Per Share Data)

	CPP Preferred Stock	SBLF Preferred Stock			Additional Paid-in Capital		Other omprehensi Income (Loss)		Total
Balance, January 1, 2011 Net income Stock issued under Stock Option Plan Common dividends	\$56,480 —	\$ <u> </u>	\$ 134 —	\$ 2,452 —	\$ 20,701 —	\$220,021 30,269	\$ 4,221 —	\$ <u> </u>	\$304,009 30,269
	_	_		_	466	_	_	331	797
declared, \$.72 per share Preferred stock	_	_	_	_	_	(9,697)	_	_	(9,697)
discount accretion CPP preferred	1,520	_		_		(1,520)	_	_	_
stock dividends accrued (5%) SBLF preferred stock dividends accrued (3.4%) CPP preferred stock redeemed SBLF preferred stock issued Common stock warrants repurchased Other comprehensive income Reclassification of treasury stock per Maryland law	_	_	_	_	_	(1,772)	_	_	(1,772)
		_		_	_	(718)	_		(718)
	(58,000)	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	(58,000)
	_	57,943		_	_	_	_	_	57,943
	_		_	(2,452)	(3,984)	_	_	_	(6,436)
	_	_	_	_	_	_	8,192	_	8,192
		_	_	_	_	331	_	(331)	_
Balance, December 31, 2011 Net income Stock issued under Stock Option Plan Common dividends declared, \$.72 per		57,943 —	134		17,183 —	236,914 48,706	12,413	<u> </u>	324,587 48,706
			_		1,211 —	<u>(9,753</u>)		1,493 —	2,704 (9,753)

share SBLF preferred stock dividends									
accrued (1.0%) Other	_	_		_	_	(607)	_	_	(607)
comprehensive income Reclassification of	_	_	_	_	_	_	4,237	_	4,237
treasury stock per Maryland law		_	2		_	1,491	_	(1,493)	_
Balance, December									
31, 2012		57,943	136	_	18,394	276,751	16,650	_	369,874
Net income	_	_	_	_	_	33,729	_		33,729
Stock issued under									
Stock Option Plan	_	_	_	_	1,173			512	1,685
Common dividends									
declared, \$.72 per									
share						(9,823)			(9,823)
SBLF preferred									
stock dividends						(570			(570
accrued (1.0%) Other	_	_	_			(579)			(579)
							(14,188)		(14,188)
comprehensive loss Reclassification of	_	_	_	_			(14,100)	_	(14,100)
treasury stock per									
Maryland law		_	1	_	_	511	_	(512)	_
J 2011-0-10 10 11			-					(0.1-)	
Balance, December									
31, 2013	\$—	\$57,943	\$ 137	\$ <i>-</i>	\$ 19,567	\$300,589	\$ 2,462	\$	\$380,698

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Great Southern Bancorp, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 (In Thousands)

	(In Thousands)							
		2013		2012		2011	-	
Operating Activities								
Net income	\$	33,729	\$	48,706	\$	30,269		
Proceeds from sales of loans held for sale		215,744		269,817		191,476		
Originations of loans held for sale		(198,910)	(264,179)	(195,081)	
Items not requiring (providing) cash								
Depreciation		8,036		7,159		5,099		
Amortization		8,107		7,039		4,361		
Compensation expense for stock option								
grants		443		435		486		
Provision for loan losses		17,386		43,863		35,336		
Net gains on loan sales		(4,915)	(5,505)	(3,524)	
Net realized (gains) losses and impairment								
on available-for-sale securities		(243)	(1,986)	132		
(Gain) loss on sale of premises and								
equipment		(60)	264		202		
Loss on sale/write-down of foreclosed								
assets		1,259		4,968		13,712		
Gain on purchase of additional business								
units		_		(31,312)	(16,486)	
Gain on sale of business units		_		(6,114)	_		
Amortization of deferred income,								
premiums and discounts		29,510		18,004		48,627		
(Gain) loss on derivative interest rate								
products		(294)	39		10		
Deferred income taxes		(8,839)	13,252		(9,304)	
Changes in								
Interest receivable		1,347		2,765		373		
Prepaid expenses and other assets		(7,530)	31,412		(6,712)	
Accrued expenses and other liabilities		4,260		(3,124)	(18)	
Income taxes refundable/payable		(5,109)	11,413		2,474		
Net cash provided by operating activities		93,921		146,916		101,432		
Investing Activities								
Net change in loans	\$	(33,180) \$	(1,425) \$	(173,026)	
Purchase of loans		(129,422)	(23,457)	(2,100)	
Proceeds from sale of student loans						799		
Cash received from purchase of additional								
business								
units				75,328		66,837		
Cash received from FDIC loss sharing								
reimbursements		28,511		49,369		6,709		
Proceeds from sale of business units				7,800		_		

Edgar Filing: GREAT SOUTHERN BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Purchase of additional business units					(1)
Purchase of premises and equipment	(13,853)	(27,825)	(19,425)
Proceeds from sale of premises and equipment	1,518		1,728		1,007	
Proceeds from sale of foreclosed assets	48,900		51,225		21,774	
Capitalized costs on foreclosed assets	(457)	(510)	(267)
Proceeds from maturities, calls and repayments						
of						
held-to-maturity securities	115		945		100	
Proceeds from sale of available-for-sale						
securities	108,487		78,094		21,001	
Proceeds from maturities, calls and repayments						
of						
available-for-sale securities	210,798		182,900		151,731	
Purchase of available-for-sale securities	(97,000)	(155,339)	(224,614)
Purchase of held-to-maturity securities					(840)
Redemption of Federal Home Loan Bank						
stock	273		2,578		2,462	
Net cash provided by (used in) investing						
activities	124,690		241,411		(147,853)

Financing Activities				
Net decrease in certificates of deposit	\$(208,702) \$(421,977) \$(144,072)
Net increase (decrease) in checking and savings				
accounts	(134,562) 156,867	231,875	
Proceeds from Federal Home Loan Bank advances	1,980	800	_	
Repayments of Federal Home Loan Bank advances	(1,081) (52,993) (32,293)
Net decrease in short-term borrowings	(44,307) (36,981) (40,561)
Repayments of reverse repurchase borrowings	(3,000) —	_	
Redemption of CPP preferred stock	_	_	(58,000)
Proceeds from issuance of SBLF preferred stock	_	_	57,943	
Repurchase of common stock warrants	_	_	(6,436)
Advances to borrowers for taxes and insurance	1,567	571	169	
Dividends paid	(7,964) (12,991) (12,237)
Stock options exercised	1,242	2,269	311	
Net cash used in financing activities	(394,827) (364,435) (3,301)
,	(176.016) 22.002	(40.722	,
Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents	(176,216) 23,892	(49,722)
Cash and Cash Equivalents, Beginning of Year	404,141	380,249	429,971	
Cash and Cash Equivalents, Deginning of Tear	101,141	300,249	129,971	
Cash and Cash Equivalents, End of Year	\$227,925	\$404,141	\$380,249	

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Great Southern Bancorp, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Note 1: Nature of Operations and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Nature of Operations and Operating Segments

Great Southern Bancorp, Inc. ("GSBC" or the "Company") operates as a one-bank holding company. GSBC's business primarily consists of the operations of Great Southern Bank (the "Bank"), which provides a full range of financial services to customers primarily located in Missouri, Iowa, Kansas, Minnesota, Nebraska and Arkansas. The Company and the Bank are subject to the regulation of certain federal and state agencies and undergo periodic examinations by those regulatory agencies.

The Company's banking operation is its only reportable segment. The banking operation is principally engaged in the business of originating residential and commercial real estate loans, construction loans, commercial business loans and consumer loans and funding these loans through attracting deposits from the general public, accepting brokered deposits and borrowing from the Federal Home Loan Bank and others. The operating results of this segment are regularly reviewed by management to make decisions about resource allocations and to assess performance. Selected information is not presented separately for the Company's reportable segment, as there is no material difference between that information and the corresponding information in the consolidated financial statements.

Effective November 30, 2012, Great Southern Bank sold its Great Southern Travel and Great Southern Insurance divisions. The 2012 operations of the two divisions have been reclassified to include all revenues and expenses in discontinued operations. The 2011 operations have been restated to reflect the reclassification of revenues and expenses in discontinued operations. The discontinued operations are discussed further in Note 29.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant change relate to the determination of the allowance for loan losses and the valuation of real estate acquired in connection with foreclosures or in satisfaction of loans, the valuation of loans acquired with indication of impairment, the valuation of the FDIC indemnification asset and other-than-temporary impairments (OTTI) and fair values of financial instruments. In connection with the determination of the allowance for loan losses and the valuation of foreclosed assets held for sale, management obtains independent appraisals for significant properties. The valuation of the FDIC indemnification asset is determined in relation to the fair value of assets acquired through FDIC-assisted transactions for which cash flows are monitored on an ongoing basis.

Great Southern Bancorp, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Great Southern Bancorp, Inc., its wholly owned subsidiary, the Bank, and the Bank's wholly owned subsidiaries, Great Southern Real Estate Development Corporation, GSB One LLC (including its wholly owned subsidiary, GSB Two LLC), Great Southern Financial Corporation, Great Southern Community Development Company, LLC (including its wholly owned subsidiary, Great Southern CDE, LLC), GS, LLC, GSSC, LLC, GS-RE Holding, LLC (including its wholly owned subsidiary, GS RE Management, LLC), GS-RE Holding II, LLC, GS-RE Holding III, LLC, VFP Conclusion Holding, LLC and VFP Conclusion Holding II, LLC. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Federal Home Loan Bank Stock

Federal Home Loan Bank common stock is a required investment for institutions that are members of the Federal Home Loan Bank system. The required investment in common stock is based on a predetermined formula, carried at cost and evaluated for impairment.

Securities

Available-for-sale securities, which include any security for which the Company has no immediate plan to sell but which may be sold in the future, are carried at fair value. Unrealized gains and losses are recorded, net of related income tax effects, in other comprehensive income.

Held-to-maturity securities, which include any security for which the Company has the positive intent and ability to hold until maturity, are carried at historical cost adjusted for amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts.

Amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts are recorded as interest income from securities. Realized gains and losses are recorded as net security gains (losses). Gains and losses on sales of securities are determined on the specific-identification method.

For debt securities with fair value below carrying value when the Company does not intend to sell a debt security, and it is more likely than not the Company will not have to sell the security before recovery of its cost basis, it recognizes the credit component of an other-than-temporary impairment of a debt security in earnings and the remaining portion in other comprehensive income. For held-to-maturity debt securities, the amount of an other-than-temporary impairment recorded in other comprehensive income for the noncredit portion of a previous other-than-temporary impairment is amortized prospectively over the remaining life of the security on the basis of the timing of future estimated cash flows of the security.

The Company's consolidated statements of income reflect the full impairment (that is, the difference between the security's amortized cost basis and fair value) on debt securities that the Company intends to sell or would more likely than not be required to sell before the expected recovery of the amortized cost basis. For available-for-sale and held-to-maturity debt securities that management has no intent to sell and believes that it more likely than not will not

be required to sell

Great Southern Bancorp, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

prior to recovery, only the credit loss component of the impairment is recognized in earnings, while the noncredit loss is recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income. The credit loss component recognized in earnings is identified as the amount of principal cash flows not expected to be received over the remaining term of the security as projected based on cash flow projections.

For equity securities, when the Company has decided to sell an impaired available-for-sale security and the Company does not expect the fair value of the security to fully recover before the expected time of sale, the security is deemed other-than-temporarily impaired in the period in which the decision to sell is made. The Company recognizes an impairment loss when the impairment is deemed other-than-temporary even if a decision to sell has not been made.

Mortgage Loans Held for Sale

Mortgage loans originated and intended for sale in the secondary market are carried at the lower of cost or fair value in the aggregate. Write-downs to fair value are recognized as a charge to earnings at the time the decline in value occurs. Nonbinding forward commitments to sell individual mortgage loans are generally obtained to reduce market risk on mortgage loans in the process of origination and mortgage loans held for sale. Gains and losses resulting from sales of mortgage loans are recognized when the respective loans are sold to investors. Fees received from borrowers to guarantee the funding of mortgage loans held for sale and fees paid to investors to ensure the ultimate sale of such mortgage loans are recognized as income or expense when the loans are sold or when it becomes evident that the commitment will not be used.

Loans

Loans that management has the intent and ability to hold for the foreseeable future or until maturity or payoff are reported at their outstanding principal balances adjusted for any charge-offs, the allowance for loan losses, any deferred fees or costs on originated loans and unamortized premiums or discounts on purchased loans. Interest income is reported on the interest method and includes amortization of net deferred loan fees and costs over the loan term. Past due status is based on the contractual terms of a loan. Generally, loans are placed on nonaccrual status at 90 days past due and interest is considered a loss, unless the loan is well secured and in the process of collection. Payments received on nonaccrual loans are applied to principal until the loans are returned to accrual status. Loans are returned to accrual status when all payments contractually due are brought current, payment performance is sustained for a period of time, generally six months, and future payments are reasonably assured. With the exception of consumer loans, charge-offs on loans are recorded when available information indicates a loan is not fully collectible and the loss is reasonably quantifiable. Consumer loans are charged-off at specified delinquency dates consistent with regulatory guidelines.

Discounts and premiums on purchased loans are amortized to income using the interest method over the remaining period to contractual maturity, adjusted for anticipated prepayments.

Great Southern Bancorp, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses is established as losses are estimated to have occurred through a provision for loan losses charged to earnings. Loan losses are charged against the allowance when management believes the uncollectibility of a loan balance is confirmed. Subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the allowance.

The allowance for loan losses is evaluated on a regular basis by management and is based upon management's periodic review of the collectibility of the loans in light of historical experience, the nature and volume of the loan portfolio, adverse situations that may affect the borrower's ability to repay, estimated value of any underlying collateral and prevailing economic conditions. This evaluation is inherently subjective as it requires estimates that are susceptible to significant revision as more information becomes available.

The allowance consists of allocated and general components. The allocated component relates to loans that are classified as impaired. For those loans that are classified as impaired, an allowance is established when the discounted cash flows (or collateral value or observable market price) of the impaired loan is lower than the carrying value of that loan. The general component covers nonclassified loans and is based on historical charge-off experience and expected loss given default derived from the Company's internal risk rating process. Other adjustments may be made to the allowance for pools of loans after an assessment of internal or external influences on credit quality that are not fully reflected in the historical loss or risk rating data.

A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Bank will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. The Company determines which loans are reviewed for impairment based on various analyses including annual reviews of large loan relationships, calculations of loan debt coverage ratios as financial information is obtained, weekly past-due meetings, quarterly reviews of all loans over \$1.0 million and quarterly reviews of watch list credits by management. In accordance with regulatory guidelines, impairment in the consumer loan portfolio is primarily identified by past-due status. Factors considered by management in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Loans that experience insignificant payment delays and payment shortfalls generally are not classified as impaired. Management determines the significance of payment delays and payment shortfalls on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration all of the circumstances surrounding the loan and the borrower, including the length of the delay, the reasons for the delay, the borrower's prior payment record and the amount of the shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed. Payments made on impaired loans are treated in accordance with the accrual status of the loan. If loans are performing in accordance with their contractual terms but the ultimate collectability of principal and interest is questionable, payments are applied to principal only. Impairment is measured on a loan-by-loan basis for commercial and construction loans by either the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, the loan's obtainable market price or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent.

Great Southern Bancorp, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Large groups of smaller balance homogenous loans are collectively evaluated for impairment. Accordingly, the Bank does not separately identify consumer loans for impairment disclosures unless they have been specifically identified through the classification process.

Loans Acquired in Business Combinations

Loans acquired in business combinations with evidence of credit deterioration since origination and for which it is probable that all contractually required payments will not be collected are considered to be credit impaired. Evidence of credit quality deterioration as of purchase dates may include information such as past-due and nonaccrual status, borrower credit scores and recent loan to value percentages. Acquired credit-impaired loans are accounted for under the accounting guidance for loans and debt securities acquired with deteriorated credit quality (FASB ASC 310-30) and initially measured at fair value, which includes estimated future credit losses expected to be incurred over the life of the loans. Accordingly, allowances for credit losses related to these loans are not carried over and recorded at the acquisition dates. Loans acquired through business combinations that do not meet the specific criteria of FASB ASC 310-30, but for which a discount is attributable, at least in part to credit quality, are also accounted for under this guidance. As a result, related discounts are recognized subsequently through accretion based on the expected cash flows of the acquired loans. For purposes of applying FASB ASC 310-30, loans acquired in business combinations are aggregated into pools of loans with common risk characteristics.

The expected cash flows of the acquired loan pools in excess of the fair values recorded is referred to as the accretable yield and is recognized in interest income over the remaining estimated lives of the loan pools. The Company continues to evaluate the fair value of the loans including cash flows expected to be collected. Increases in the Company's cash flow expectations are recognized as increases to the accretable yield while decreases are recognized as impairments through the allowance for loan losses.

FDIC Indemnification Asset

Through two FDIC-assisted transactions during 2009, one during 2011 and one during 2012, the Bank acquired certain loans and foreclosed assets which are covered under loss sharing agreements with the FDIC. These agreements commit the FDIC to reimburse the Bank for a portion of realized losses on these covered assets. Therefore, as of the dates of acquisitions, the Company calculated the amount of such reimbursements it expects to receive from the FDIC using the present value of anticipated cash flows from the covered assets based on the credit adjustments estimated for each pool of loans and the estimated losses on foreclosed assets. In accordance with FASB ASC 805, each FDIC Indemnification Asset was initially recorded at its fair value, and is measured separately from the loan assets and foreclosed assets because the loss sharing agreements are not contractually embedded in them or transferrable with them in the event of disposal. The balance of the FDIC Indemnification Asset increases and decreases as the expected and actual cash flows from the covered assets fluctuate, as loans are paid off or impaired and as loans and foreclosed assets are sold. There are no contractual interest rates on these contractual receivables from the FDIC; however, a discount was recorded against the initial balance of the FDIC Indemnification Asset in conjunction with the fair value measurement as this receivable will be collected over the terms of the loss sharing agreements. This discount will be accreted to income over future periods. These acquisitions and agreements are more fully discussed in Note 4.

Great Southern Bancorp, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Foreclosed Assets Held for Sale

Assets acquired through, or in lieu of, loan foreclosure are held for sale and are initially recorded at fair value less estimated cost to sell at the date of foreclosure, establishing a new cost basis. Subsequent to foreclosure, valuations are periodically performed by management and the assets are carried at the lower of carrying amount or fair value less estimated cost to sell. Revenue and expenses from operations and changes in the valuation allowance are included in net expense on foreclosed assets.

Premises and Equipment

Premises and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is charged to expense using the straight-line and accelerated methods over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Leasehold improvements are capitalized and amortized using the straight-line and accelerated methods over the terms of the respective leases or the estimated useful lives of the improvements, whichever is shorter.

Long-Lived Asset Impairment

The Company evaluates the recoverability of the carrying value of long-lived assets whenever events or circumstances indicate the carrying amount may not be recoverable. If a long-lived asset is tested for recoverability and the undiscounted estimated future cash flows expected to result from the use and eventual disposition of the asset is less than the carrying amount of the asset, the asset cost is adjusted to fair value and an impairment loss is recognized as the amount by which the carrying amount of a long-lived asset exceeds its fair value.

No asset impairment was recognized during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Goodwill is evaluated annually for impairment or more frequently if impairment indicators are present. A qualitative assessment is performed to determine whether the existence of events or circumstances leads to a determination that it is more likely than not the fair value is less than the carrying amount, including goodwill. If, based on the evaluation, it is determined to be more likely than not that the fair value is less than the carrying value, then goodwill is tested further for impairment. If the implied fair value of goodwill is lower than its carrying amount, a goodwill impairment is indicated and goodwill is written down to its implied fair value. Subsequent increases in goodwill value are not recognized in the financial statements.

Intangible assets are being amortized on the straight-line basis over periods ranging from three to seven years. Such assets are periodically evaluated as to the recoverability of their carrying value.

A summary of goodwill and intangible assets is as follows:

	2012	December 31,	2012
	2013	(In Thousands)	2012
Goodwill – Branch acquisitions	\$ 379	\$	379
Deposit intangibles			
TeamBank	947		1,368
Vantus Bank	829		1,141
Sun Security Bank	1,665		2,015
InterBank	763		908
	\$ 4,583	\$	5,811

Loan Servicing and Origination Fee Income

Loan servicing income represents fees earned for servicing real estate mortgage loans owned by various investors. The fees are generally calculated on the outstanding principal balances of the loans serviced and are recorded as income when earned. Loan origination fees, net of direct loan origination costs, are recognized as income using the level-yield method over the contractual life of the loan.

Mortgage Servicing Rights

Mortgage servicing assets are recognized separately when rights are acquired through purchase or through sale of financial assets. Under the servicing assets and liabilities accounting guidance (FASB ASC 860-50), servicing rights resulting from the sale or securitization of loans originated by the Company are initially measured at fair value at the date of transfer. In 2009, the Company acquired mortgage servicing rights as part of two FDIC-assisted transactions. These mortgage servicing assets were initially recorded at their fair values as part of the acquisition valuation. The initial fair values recorded for the mortgage servicing assets, acquired in 2009, totaled \$923,000. Mortgage servicing assets were \$211,000 and \$152,000 at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The Company has elected to measure the mortgage servicing rights for mortgage loans using the amortization method, whereby servicing rights are amortized in proportion to and over the period of estimated net servicing income. The amortized assets are assessed for impairment or increased obligation based on fair value at each reporting date.

Great Southern Bancorp, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Fair value is based on a valuation model that calculates the present value of estimated future net servicing income. The valuation model incorporates assumptions that market participants would use in estimating future net servicing income, such as the cost to service, the discount rate, the custodial earnings rate, an inflation rate, ancillary income, prepayment speeds and default rates and losses. These variables change from quarter to quarter as market conditions and projected interest rates change, and may have an adverse impact on the value of the mortgage servicing right and may result in a reduction to noninterest income.

Each class of separately recognized servicing assets subsequently measured using the amortization method are evaluated and measured for impairment. Impairment is determined by stratifying rights into tranches based on predominant characteristics, such as interest rate, loan type and investor type. Impairment is recognized through a valuation allowance for an individual tranche, to the extent that fair value is less than the carrying amount of the servicing assets for that tranche. The valuation allowance is adjusted to reflect changes in the measurement of impairment after the initial measurement of impairment. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, no valuation allowance was recorded. Fair value in excess of the carrying amount of servicing assets is not recognized.

Stockholders' Equity

At the 2004 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, the Company's stockholders approved the Company's reincorporation to the State of Maryland. This reincorporation was completed in June 2004. Under Maryland law, there is no concept of "Treasury Shares." Instead, shares purchased by the Company constitute authorized but unissued shares under Maryland law. Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America state that accounting for treasury stock shall conform to state law. The cost of shares purchased by the Company has been allocated to common stock and retained earnings balances.

Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share are computed based on the weighted average number of shares outstanding during each year. Diluted earnings per share are computed using the weighted average common shares and all potential dilutive common shares outstanding during the period.

Great Southern Bancorp, Inc. Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Earnings per share (EPS) were computed as follows:

	2013	2012 (In Thousands, Except F	Per Share Data)	2011
Net income	\$ 33,729	\$ 48,706	\$	30,269
Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 33,150	\$ 48,098	\$	26,259
Net income from continuing operations	\$ 33,729	\$ 44,087	\$	29,657
Net income from continuing operations available to common shareholders	\$ 33,150	\$ 43,479	\$	25,647
Average common shares outstanding	13,635	13,534		13,462
Average common share stock options and warrants outstanding	80	58		164
Average diluted common shares	13,715	13,592		13,626
Earnings per common share – basic	\$ 2.43	\$ 3.55	\$	1.95
Earnings per common share – diluted	\$ 2.42	\$ 3.54	\$	1.93
Earnings from continuing operations per common share – basic	\$ 2.43	\$ 3.21	\$	1.91
Earnings from continuing operations per common share – diluted	\$ 2.42	\$ 3.20	\$	1.89
Earnings from discontinued operations per common share, net of tax – basic	\$ _	\$ 0.34	\$	0.04
Earnings from discontinued operations per common share, net of tax – diluted	\$ _	\$ 0.34	\$	0.04

Options to purchase 243,510, 444,770 and 479,098 shares of common stock were outstanding at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, but were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share for that year because the options' exercise price was greater than the average market price of the common shares for the years ended

December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Great Southern Bancorp, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Stock Option Plans

The Company has stock-based employee compensation plans, which are described more fully in Note 21. In accordance with FASB ASC 718, Compensation – Stock Compensation, compensation cost related to share-based payment transactions is recognized in the Company's consolidated financial statements based on the grant-date fair value of the award using the modified prospective transition method. For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, share-based compensation expense totaling \$443,000, \$435,000 and \$486,000, respectively, was included in salaries and employee benefits expense in the consolidated statements of income.

Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents. At December 31, 2013, cash equivalents consisted of interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions. At December 31, 2012, cash equivalents consisted of interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions and federal funds sold. At December 31, 2013, nearly all of the interest-bearing deposits were uninsured with most of these balances held at the Federal Home Loan Bank or the Federal Reserve Bank.

Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes in accordance with income tax accounting guidance (FASB ASC 740, Income Taxes). The income tax accounting guidance results in two components of income tax expense: current and deferred. Current income tax expense reflects taxes to be paid or refunded for the current period by applying the provisions of the enacted tax law to the taxable income or excess of deductions over revenues. The Company determines deferred income taxes using the liability (or balance sheet) method. Under this method, the net deferred tax asset or liability is based on the tax effects of the differences between the book and tax bases of assets and liabilities, and enacted changes in tax rates and laws are recognized in the period in which they occur.

Deferred income tax expense results from changes in deferred tax assets and liabilities between periods. Deferred tax assets are recognized if it is more likely than not, based on the technical merits, that the tax position will be realized or sustained upon examination. The term more likely than not means a likelihood of more than 50 percent; the terms examined and upon examination also include resolution of the related appeals or litigation processes, if any. A tax position that meets the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold is initially and subsequently measured as the largest amount of tax benefit that has a greater than 50 percent likelihood of being realized upon settlement with a taxing authority that has full knowledge of all relevant information. The determination of whether or not a tax position has met the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold considers the facts, circumstances and information available at the reporting date and is subject to management's judgment. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance if, based on the weight of evidence available, it is more likely than not that some portion or all of a deferred tax asset will not be realized. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, no valuation allowance was established.

Great Southern Bancorp, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

The Company recognizes interest and penalties on income taxes as a component of income tax expense.

The Company files consolidated income tax returns with its subsidiaries.

Derivatives and Hedging Activities

FASB ASC 815, Derivatives and Hedging, provides the disclosure requirements for derivatives and hedging activities with the intent to provide users of financial statements with an enhanced understanding of: (a) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, (b) how the entity accounts for derivative instruments and related hedged items and (c) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, financial performance and cash flows. Further, qualitative disclosures are required that explain the Company's objectives and strategies for using derivatives, as well as quantitative disclosures about the fair value of and gains and losses on derivative instruments, and disclosures about credit-risk-related contingent features in derivative instruments. For detailed disclosures on derivatives and hedging activities, see Note 17.

As required by FASB ASC 815, the Company records all derivatives in the statement of financial condition at fair value. The accounting for changes in the fair value of derivatives depends on the intended use of the derivative, whether the Company has elected to designate a derivative in a hedging relationship and apply hedge accounting and whether the hedging relationship has satisfied the criteria necessary to apply hedge accounting.

Restriction on Cash and Due From Banks

The Bank is required to maintain reserve funds in cash and/or on deposit with the Federal Reserve Bank. The reserve required at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, was \$71.0 million and \$125.5 million.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In July 2012, the FASB issued ASU No. 2012-02 to amend FASB ASC Topic 350, Intangibles – Goodwill and Other. The Update clarifies the process of performing an impairment test for indefinite-lived intangible assets by simplifying how an entity tests those assets for impairment and improves consistency in impairment testing guidance among long-lived asset categories. The Update was effective for the Company January 1, 2013, and did not have a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In October 2012, the FASB issued ASU No. 2012-06 to amend FASB ASC Topic 805, Business Combinations. The Update addresses the diversity in practice when subsequently measuring an indemnification asset recognized in a government-assisted (Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation or National Credit Union Administration) acquisition of a financial institution that includes a loss-sharing agreement (indemnification agreement). When a reporting entity recognizes an indemnification asset as a result of a government-assisted acquisition of a financial institution and subsequently a change in the cash flows expected to be collected on the indemnification asset occurs (as a result of a change in cash flows expected to be collected on the assets subject to indemnification), the reporting entity should subsequently account for the change in the

Great Southern Bancorp, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

measurement of the indemnification asset on the same basis as the change in the assets subject to indemnification. Any amortization of changes in value should be limited to the contractual term of the indemnification agreement (that is, the lesser of the term of the indemnification agreement and the remaining life of the indemnified assets). The Update was effective for the Company January 1, 2013, and did not have a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In January 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-01 to amend FASB ASC Topic 210, Clarifying the Scope of Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities. The Update applies to derivatives accounted for in accordance with Topic 815, Derivatives and Hedging and holder of financial instruments that are either offset in accordance with section 210-20-45 or 815-10-45 or subject to a master netting arrangement. The Update clarifies implementation issues related to the issuance of ASU 2011-11. The Update was effective for the Company January 1, 2013, and did not have a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-02 to amend FASB ASC Topic 220, Reporting Items Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income. The objective of this update is to improve the reporting of reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income. The amendments in this Update require an entity to disaggregate the total change of each component of other comprehensive income (e.g., unrealized gains or losses on available-for-sale investment securities) and separately present reclassification adjustments and current period other comprehensive income. The Update does not change the current requirements for reporting of net income or other comprehensive income. The Update was effective for the Company January 1, 2013, and did not have a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-10 to amend FASB ASC Topic 815, Derivatives and Hedging. The Update permits the Fed Funds Effective Swap Rate to be used as a U.S. benchmark interest rate for hedge accounting purposes under Topic 815, in addition to interest rates on treasury obligations of the U.S. Government and LIBOR rates, which were previously allowed. The Update was effective prospectively for qualifying new or redesignated hedging relationships entered into on or after July 17, 2013. The Update did not have a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-11 to amend FASB ASC Topic 740, Income Taxes. The objective of this Update is to provide explicit guidance on the financial statement presentation of an unrecognized tax benefit when a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward exist. An unrecognized tax benefit, or a portion of an unrecognized tax benefit, should be presented in the financial statements as a reduction to a deferred tax asset for a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward, except in specific situations as described in the Update. The Update will be effective for the Company beginning January 1, 2014, and is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In January 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-01 to amend FASB ASC Topic 323, Investments – Equity Method and Joint Ventures. The objective of this Update is to provide guidance on accounting for investments by a reporting entity in flow-through limited liability entities that manage or invest in affordable housing projects that qualify for the low-income housing tax credit. The amendments in the Update permit reporting entities to make an accounting policy election to account for their investments in qualified affordable housing projects using the proportional amortization method if certain conditions are met. Under the proportional amortization method, an entity amortizes the initial cost of the investment in proportion to the tax credits and other tax benefits received and recognizes the net investment performance in the income statement as a component of income tax expense (benefit). The Update will be effective for the Company beginning January 1, 2015; however, early adoption is permitted. The Company does have significant investments in such qualified affordable housing projects and is currently reviewing the provisions of this Update to determine what, if any, impacts it may have on the Company's financial position or results of operations. Based on its preliminary review, the Company may elect to adopt this Update early during the three months ending March 31, 2014. The Company expects that there will be no material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations, except that the investment amortization expense which is currently included in Other Non-interest Expense in the Consolidated Statements of Income would be removed from Other Non-interest Expense and included in Provision for Income Taxes in the Consolidated Statements of Income. This would have the effect of reducing Non-interest Expense and increasing Provision for Income Taxes, but is not expected to have any impact on Net Income.

In January 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-04 to amend FASB ASC Topic 310, Receivables – Troubled Debt Restructurings by Creditors. The objective of the amendments in this Update is to reduce diversity by clarifying when an in substance repossession or foreclosure occurs, that is, when a creditor should be considered to have received physical possession of residential real estate property collateralizing a consumer mortgage loan such that the loan receivable should be derecognized and the real estate property recognized. The amendments in this Update clarify that an in substance repossession or foreclosure occurs, and a creditor is considered to have received physical possession of residential real estate property collateralizing a consumer mortgage loan, upon either (1) the creditor obtaining legal title to the residential real estate property upon completion of a foreclosure or (2) the borrower conveying all interest in the residential real estate property to the creditor to satisfy that loan through completion of a deed in lieu of foreclosure or through a similar legal agreement. Additionally, the amendments require interim and annual disclosure of both (1) the amount of foreclosed residential real estate property held by the creditor and (2) the recorded investment in consumer mortgage loans collateralized by residential real estate property that are in the process of foreclosure according to local requirements of the applicable jurisdiction. The Update will be effective for the Company beginning January 1, 2015, and is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

Note 2: Investments in Debt and Equity Securities

The amortized cost and fair values of securities classified as available-for-sale were as follows:

	Amortized Cost	Ţ	Decembe Gross Inrealized Gains (In The		Gross Jnrealized Losses	Fair Value
U.S. government agencies Mortgage-backed securities Small Business	\$ 20,000 365,020	\$	 4,824	\$	2,745 2,266	\$ 17,255 367,578
Administration loan pools	43,461		1,394		_	44,855
States and political subdivisions Equity securities	122,113 847		2,549 2,022		1,938	122,724 2,869
	\$ 551,441	\$	10,789	\$	6,949	\$ 555,281
			Decembe	er 31, 20	012	
	Amortized	1	Gross Unrealized	т	Gross Jnrealized	Fair
	Cost		Gains	,	Losses	Value
			(In Th	ousands	s)	
U.S. government agencies Collateralized mortgage	\$ 30,000	\$	40	\$	_	\$ 30,040
obligations	3,939		576		8	4,507
Mortgage-backed securities Small Business	582,039		14,861		814	596,086
Administration loan pools States and political	50,198		1,295		_	51,493
subdivisions	114,372		8,506		_	122,878
Equity securities	847		1,159		_	2,006
	\$ 781,395	\$	26,437	\$	822	\$ 807,010

Additional details of the Company's mortgage-backed securities at December 31, 2013, are described as follows:

Martaga hookad agamitiga		Amortized Cost	Ţ	Gross Unrealized Gains (In The	U ousand	Gross Unrealized Losses s)		Fair Value
Mortgage-backed securities FHLMC fixed	\$	3,562	\$	328	\$	_	\$	3,890
FHLMC hybrid ARM	·	15,828	·	959	,		,	16,787
Total FHLMC		19,390		1,287		_		20,677
FNMA fixed		11,590		292		489		11,393
FNMA hybrid ARM		32,967		980		62		33,885
Total FNMA		44,557		1,272		551		45,278
GNMA fixed		4,671		_		370		4,301
GNMA hybrid ARM		296,402		2,265		1,345		297,322
Total GNMA		301,073		2,265		1,715		301,623
	\$	365,020	\$	4,824	\$	2,266	\$	367,578
Total fixed	\$	19,823	\$	620	\$	859	\$	19,584
Total hybrid ARM		345,197		4,204		1,407		347,994
	\$	365,020	\$	4,824	\$	2,266	\$	367,578

The amortized cost and fair value of available-for-sale securities at December 31, 2013, by contractual maturity, are shown below. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

	Amortized Cost		Fair Value
		(In Thousands)	
One year or less	\$ 110	\$	110
After one through five years	1,001		984
After five through ten years	9,893		10,032
After ten years	174,570		173,708
Securities not due on a single maturity date	365,020		367,578
Equity securities	847		2,869

\$ 551,441 \$ 555,281

The amortized cost and fair values of securities classified as held to maturity were as follows:

				Gross		Gross				
	A	Amortized	Ţ	Unrealized	Uı	nrealized		Fair		
		Cost		Gains		Losses		Value		
				(In T	housands)					
States and political										
subdivisions	\$	805	\$	107	\$		\$	912		
			December 31, 2012							
				Gross		Gross				
	A	Amortized	Ţ	Unrealized	Uı	nrealized		Fair		
		Cost		Gains		Losses		Value		
	(In Thousands)									
States and political										
subdivisions	\$	920	\$	164	\$		\$	1,084		

The held-to-maturity securities at December 31, 2013, by contractual maturity, are shown below. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

	Amortized		Fair
	Cost		Value
		(In Thousands)	
After one through five years	\$ 805	\$	912

The amortized cost and fair values of securities pledged as collateral was as follows at December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	2013					2012			
		Amortized Cost		Fair Value		Amortized Cost		Fair Value	
				s)					
Public deposits	\$	228,776	\$	230,318	\$	459,751	\$	473,679	
Collateralized borrowing									
accounts		171,071		168,813		187,700		189,862	
Structured repurchase									
agreements		60,352		61,026		64,298		66,575	
Other		1,403		1,437		3,760		3,897	

\$ 461,602 \$ 461,594 \$ 715,509 \$ 734,013

Certain investments in debt securities are reported in the financial statements at an amount less than their historical cost. Total fair value of these investments at December 31, 2013 and 2012, was approximately \$237.6 million and \$106.6 million, respectively, which is approximately 42.7% and 13.2% of the Company's available-for-sale and held-to-maturity investment portfolio, respectively.

Based on evaluation of available evidence, including recent changes in market interest rates, credit rating information and information obtained from regulatory filings, management believes the declines in fair value for these debt securities are temporary.

The following table shows the Company's gross unrealized losses and fair value, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position at December 31, 2013 and 2012:

					2013					
	Less than	12 Months		12 Months or More				Total		
	Fair	Unrealized		Fair	Unrealized		Fair	Unre	alized	
Description of Securities	Value	Losses		Value	Losses		Value	Lo	sses	
				(In T	Γhousands)					
U.S. government										
agencies	\$20,000	\$(2,745)	\$ —	\$ —		\$20,000	\$(2,74	15)
Mortgage-backed	, -,	, , , ,		,	•		, -,	1 ()		
securities	127,901	(1,871)	39,255	(395)	167,156	(2,26	6)
States and political	,	. ,		,	`	_	,			
subdivisions	50,401	(1,938)	_			50,401	(1,93	88)
		, ,						, ,		
	\$198,302	\$(6,554)	\$39,255	\$(395)	\$237,557	\$(6,94	19)
					2012					
		12 Months		12 Months or More				Total		
	Fair	Unrealized		Fair	Unrealized		Fair		alized	
Description of Securities	Value	Losses		Value	Losses		Value	Lo	sses	
				(In '	Thousands)					
Collateralized mortgage										
obligations	\$	\$—		\$414	\$(8)	\$414	\$(8)
Mortgage-backed						_				
securities	106,136	(814)	_			106,136	(814)
		`					•	`		
	\$106,136	\$(814)	\$414	\$(8)	\$106,550	\$(822)

Great Southern Bancorp, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Other-than-Temporary Impairment

Upon acquisition of a security, the Company decides whether it is within the scope of the accounting guidance for beneficial interests in securitized financial assets or will be evaluated for impairment under the accounting guidance for investments in debt and equity securities.

The accounting guidance for beneficial interests in securitized financial assets provides incremental impairment guidance for a subset of the debt securities within the scope of the guidance for investments in debt and equity securities. For securities where the security is a beneficial interest in securitized financial assets, the Company uses the beneficial interests in securitized financial asset impairment model. For securities where the security is not a beneficial interest in securitized financial assets, the Company uses the debt and equity securities impairment model. The Company does not currently have securities within the scope of this guidance for beneficial interests in securitized financial assets.

The Company routinely conducts periodic reviews to identify and evaluate each investment security to determine whether an other-than-temporary impairment has occurred. The Company considers the length of time a security has been in an unrealized loss position, the relative amount of the unrealized loss compared to the carrying value of the security, the type of security and other factors. If certain criteria are met, the Company performs additional review and evaluation using observable market values or various inputs in economic models to determine if an unrealized loss is other than temporary. The Company uses quoted market prices for marketable equity securities and uses broker pricing quotes based on observable inputs for equity investments that are not traded on a stock exchange. For nonagency collateralized mortgage obligations, to determine if the unrealized loss is other than temporary, the Company projects total estimated defaults of the underlying assets (mortgages) and multiplies that calculated amount by an estimate of realizable value upon sale in the marketplace (severity) in order to determine the projected collateral loss. The Company also evaluates any current credit enhancement underlying these securities to determine the impact on cash flows. If the Company determines that a given security position will be subject to a write-down or loss, the Company records the expected credit loss as a charge to earnings.

During 2013, no securities were determined to have impairment that had become other than temporary. During 2012, the Company determined that the impairment of a nonagency collateralized mortgage obligation with a book value of \$680,000 had become other than temporary. Consequently, the Company recorded a total of \$680,000 of pre-tax charges to income. During 2011, the Company determined that the impairment of a nonagency collateralized mortgage obligation with a book value of \$1.8 million had become other than temporary. Consequently, the Company recorded a total of \$615,000 of pre-tax charges to income. This was the same nonagency collateralized mortgage obligation that was also determined to be impaired during 2012.

Credit Losses Recognized on Investments

Certain debt securities have experienced fair value deterioration due to credit losses, as well as due to other market factors, but are not otherwise other-than-temporarily impaired.

The following table provides information about debt securities for which only a credit loss was recognized in income and other losses are recorded in other comprehensive income.

Accumulated Credit Losses						
	2013			2012		
		(In Thou	ısands)			
\$	4,176		\$	3,598		
	(4,176)				
				680		
				(102)	
\$			\$	4,176		
		2013 \$ 4,176 (4,176	2013 (In Thouse \$ 4,176 (4,176)	2013 (In Thousands) \$ 4,176	2013 2012 (In Thousands) \$ 4,176 \$ 3,598 (4,176) —	

Note 3: Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses

Classes of loans at December 31, 2013 and 2012, included:

	2013		2012	
		(In Thousands)		
One- to four-family residential construction	\$ 34,662	\$	29,071	
Subdivision construction	40,409		35,805	
Land development	57,841		62,559	
Commercial construction	184,019		150,515	
Owner occupied one- to four-family residential	89,133		83,859	
Non-owner occupied one- to four-family residential	145,908		145,458	
Commercial real estate	780,690		692,377	
Other residential	325,599		267,518	
Commercial business	315,269		264,631	
Industrial revenue bonds	42,230		43,762	
Consumer auto	134,717		82,610	
Consumer other	82,260		83,815	
Home equity lines of credit	58,283		54,225	
FDIC-supported loans, net of discounts (TeamBank)	49,862		77,615	
FDIC-supported loans, net of discounts (Vantus Bank)	57,920		95,483	
FDIC-supported loans, net of discounts				
(Sun Security Bank)	64,843		91,519	
FDIC-supported loans, net of discounts (InterBank)	213,539		259,232	
	2,677,184		2,520,054	
Undisbursed portion of loans in process	(194,544)	(157,574)
Allowance for loan losses	(40,116)	(40,649)

Deferred loan fees and gains, net (2,994) (2,193) \$ 2,439,530 \$ 2,319,638

Classes of loans by aging were as follows:

D 1	2.1	2012	
December	3 I	-2013	
December	91,	4013	

			L	occumber 51,	2013		
						Total	Total Loans > 90 Days Past
	20.50	60.00				Total	Past
	30-59 Days	60-89 Days	Over 90	Total Past		Loans	Due and Still
	Past Due	Past Due	Days	Due	Current	Receivable	Accruing
			•	(In Thousand	ds)		
One- to four-family							
residential construction	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$34,662	\$34,662	\$ —
Subdivision construction			871	871	39,538	40,409	
Land development	145	38	338	521	57,320	57,841	
Commercial construction					184,019	184,019	
Owner occupied one- to					•	,	
four-							
family residential	1,233	344	3,014	4,591	84,542	89,133	211
Non-owner occupied one-			•	,	,	,	
to							
four-family residential	1,562	171	843	2,576	143,332	145,908	140
Commercial real estate	2,856	131	6,205	9,192	771,498	780,690	
Other residential				_	325,599	325,599	
Commercial business	17	19	5,208	5,244	310,025	315,269	
Industrial revenue bonds			2,023	2,023	40,207	42,230	
Consumer auto	955	127	168	1,250	133,467	134,717	
Consumer other	1,258	333	732	2,323	79,937	82,260	257
Home equity lines of	,			,	,	,	
credit	168	16	504	688	57,595	58,283	
FDIC-supported loans,					7	,	
net of							
discounts (TeamBank)	414	130	1,396	1,940	47,922	49,862	6
FDIC-supported loans,			,	,	,	,	
net of							
discounts (Vantus Bank)	675	31	2,356	3,062	54,858	57,920	42
FDIC-supported loans,			,	,	,	,	
net of discounts							
(Sun Security Bank)	510	121	4,241	4,872	59,971	64,843	147
FDIC-supported loans,			,	, -	7	,	
net of							

Edgar Filing: GREAT SOUTHERN BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

discounts (InterBank)	6,024 15,817	1,567 3,028	16,768 44,667	24,359 63,512	189,180 2,613,672	213,539 2,677,184	20 823
Less FDIC-supported loans,							
net of discounts	7,623	1,849	24,761	34,233	351,931	386,164	215
Total legacy loans	\$8,194	\$1,179	\$19,906	\$29,279	\$2,261,741	\$2,291,020	\$608

December 31, 2012

			ւ	occumber 51,	2012		
							Total Loans > 90 Days
	20.50	60.00				Total	Past
	30-59 Days	60-89 Days	Over 90	Total Past		Loans	Due and Still
	Past Due	Past Due	Days	Due (In Thousand	Current	Receivable	Accruing
One- to four-family				(III IIIousuii			
residential construction	\$178	\$ —	\$ —	\$178	\$28,893	\$29,071	\$ —
Subdivision construction	478	·	3	481	35,324	35,805	· <u> </u>
Land development			2,471	2,471	60,088	62,559	
Commercial construction					150,515	150,515	
Owner occupied one- to					,	,	
four-							
family residential	3,305	263	2,352	5,920	77,939	83,859	237
Non-owner occupied							
one- to							
four-family residential	2,600		1,905	4,505	140,953	145,458	
Commercial real estate	1,346	726	8,324	10,396	681,981	692,377	
Other residential	3,741			3,741	263,777	267,518	
Commercial business	2,094	153	4,139	6,386	258,245	264,631	
Industrial revenue bonds			2,110	2,110	41,652	43,762	
Consumer auto	690	73	120	883	81,727	82,610	26
Consumer other	1,522	242	834	2,598	81,217	83,815	449
Home equity lines of							
credit	185	146	220	551	53,674	54,225	
FDIC-supported loans,							
net of							
discounts (TeamBank)	1,608	2,077	8,020	11,705	65,910	77,615	173
FDIC-supported loans,							
net of							
discounts (Vantus Bank)	1,545	669	5,641	7,855	87,628	95,483	_
FDIC-supported loans,							
net of discounts							
(Sun Security Bank)	1,539	384	21,342	23,265	68,254	91,519	1,274
FDIC-supported loans,							
net of							
discounts (InterBank)	10,212	4,662	33,928	48,802	210,430	259,232	347

Edgar Filing: GREAT SOUTHERN BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

	31,043	9,395	91,409	131,847	2,388,207	2,520,054	2,506
Less FDIC-supported							
loans, net of discounts	14,904	7,792	68,931	91.627	432,222	523,849	1,794
	<i>)-</i> -	,,,,	/	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	- ,	,	,
Total legacy loans	\$16,139	\$1,603	\$22,478	\$40,220	\$1,955,985	\$1,996,205	\$712

Nonaccruing loans are summarized as follows:

		December 31,	
	2013		2012
		(In Thousands)	
One- to four-family residential construction	\$ 	\$	_
Subdivision construction	871		3
Land development	338		2,471
Commercial construction			
Owner occupied one- to four-family residential	2,803		2,115
Non-owner occupied one- to four-family			
residential	703		1,905
Commercial real estate	6,205		8,324
Other residential	_		
Commercial business	5,208		6,249
Industrial revenue bonds	2,023		
Consumer auto	168		94
Consumer other	475		385
Home equity lines of credit	504		220
Total	\$ 19,298	\$	21,766

The following table presents the activity in the allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment for the year ended December 31, 2013. Also presented are the balance in the allowance for loan losses and the recorded investment in loans based on portfolio segment and impairment method as of December 31, 2013:

Allowance for Loan	One- to Four- Family Residential and Construction	Other Residential	Real Estate	Commercial Construction (In Thousands	Business	Consumer	Total
Losses							
Balance, January 1, 2013	\$6,822	\$4,327	\$ 17,441	\$ 3,938	\$ 5,096	\$3,025	\$40,649
Provision charged to expense Losses charged off Recoveries	1,496 (2,196) 113	1,556 (3,248) 43	6,922 (9,836) 2,412	1,142 (788) 172	4,404 (4,072) 1,023	1,866 (3,312) 1,770	17,386 (23,452) 5,533
Balance,							
December 31, 2013	\$6,235	\$ 2,678	\$ 16,939	\$ 4,464	\$ 6,451	\$3,349	\$40,116
Ending balance: Individually evaluated							
for impairment Collectively evaluated	\$2,501	\$—	\$ 90	\$ 473	\$ 4,162	\$218	\$7,444
for impairment Loans acquired and accounted for under	\$3,734	\$2,678	\$ 16,845	\$ 3,991	\$ 2,287	\$3,131	\$32,666
ASC 310-30	\$ —	\$ <i>-</i>	\$ 4	\$ —	\$ 2	\$	\$6
Loans Individually evaluated							
for impairment Collectively evaluated	\$13,055	\$10,983	\$ 31,591	\$ 12,628	\$ 8,755	\$1,389	\$78,401
for impairment Loans acquired and accounted for under	\$297,057	\$314,616	\$ 791,329	\$ 229,232	\$ 306,514	\$273,871	\$2,212,619
ASC 310-30	\$206,964	\$35,095	\$ 84,591	\$ 6,989	\$ 4,883	\$47,642	\$386,164

The following table presents the activity in the allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment for the year ended December 31, 2012. Also presented are the balance in the allowance for loan losses and the recorded investment in loans based on portfolio segment and impairment method as of December 31, 2012:

	One- to Four- Family Residential and Construction	Other Residential	Commercial Real Estate	Commercial Construction (In Thousands	Business	Consumer	Total
Allowance for Loan Losses				(======================================	,		
Balance, January 1, 2012	\$11,424	\$3,088	\$ 18,390	\$ 2,982	\$ 2,974	\$2,374	\$41,232
Provision charged to expense Losses charged off Recoveries	(1,626) (3,203) 227	,	16,360 (18,010) 701	18,101 (18,027) 882	4,897 (3,082) 307	1,660 (2,390) 1,381	43,863 (48,291) 3,845
Balance, December 31, 2012	\$6,822	\$4,327	\$ 17,441	\$ 3,938	\$ 5,096	\$3,025	\$40,649
Ending balance: Individually evaluated							
for impairment Collectively evaluated	\$2,288	\$ 1,089	\$ 4,990	\$ 96	\$ 2,778	\$156	\$11,397
for impairment Loans acquired and	\$4,532	\$3,239	\$ 12,443	\$ 3,842	\$ 2,315	\$2,864	\$29,235
accounted for under ASC 310-30	\$1	\$—	\$ 9	\$ —	\$ 4	\$3	\$17
Loans Individually evaluated							
for impairment Collectively evaluated	\$14,691	\$ 16,405	\$ 48,476	\$ 12,009	\$ 10,064	\$980	\$102,625
for impairment Loans acquired and	\$279,502	\$251,113	\$ 687,663	\$ 201,065	\$ 254,567	\$219,670	\$1,893,580

accounted for under

ASC 310-30 \$278,889 \$53,280 \$129,128 \$7,997 \$14,939 \$39,616 \$523,849

The following table presents the activity in the allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment for the year ended December 31, 2011. Also presented are the balance in the allowance for loan losses and the recorded investment in loans based on portfolio segment and impairment method as of December 31, 2011:

Allowance for Loan	One- to Four- Family Residential and Construction	Other Residential	Real Estate	Commercial Construction (In Thousands	Business	Consumer	Total
Losses							
Balance, January 1, 2011 Provision charged to	\$11,483	\$3,866	\$ 14,336	\$ 5,852	\$ 3,281	\$2,669	\$41,487
expense	7,995	5,693	17,859	1,020	1,459	1,310	35,336
Losses charged off Recoveries	(8,333) 279	(8,018) 1,547	(13,862) 57	(4,103) 213	(2,842) 1,076	(3,496) 1,891	(40,654) 5,063
Balance,							
December 31, 2011	\$11,424	\$3,088	\$ 18,390	\$ 2,982	\$ 2,974	\$2,374	\$41,232
Ending balance: Individually evaluated for							
impairment Collectively evaluated for	\$4,989	\$89	\$ 3,584	\$ 594	\$ 736	\$38	\$10,030
impairment Loans acquired and accounted for under	\$6,435	\$ 2,999	\$ 14,806	\$ 2,358	\$ 2,238	\$2,336	\$31,172
ASC 310-30	\$ —	\$—	\$ —	\$ 30	\$ —	\$ —	\$30
Loans Individually evaluated for							
impairment Collectively evaluated for	\$39,519	\$ 20,802	\$ 99,254	\$ 27,592	\$ 10,720	\$839	\$198,726
impairment Loans acquired and accounted for under	\$283,371	\$ 222,940	\$ 600,353	\$ 160,768	\$ 225,665	\$183,183	\$1,676,280
ASC 310-30	\$109,909	\$25,877	\$ 157,805	\$ 40,215	\$ 28,784	\$33,947	\$396,537

The portfolio segments used in the preceding three tables correspond to the loan classes used in all other tables in Note 3 as follows:

- The one- to four-family residential and construction segment includes the one- to four-family residential construction, subdivision construction, owner occupied one- to four-family residential and non-owner occupied one- to four-family residential classes.
 - The other residential segment corresponds to the other residential class.
 - The commercial real estate segment includes the commercial real estate and industrial revenue bonds classes
 - The commercial construction segment includes the land development and commercial construction classes.
 - The commercial business segment corresponds to the commercial business class.

• The consumer segment includes the consumer auto, consumer other and home equity lines of credit classes.

The weighted average interest rate on loans receivable at December 31, 2013 and 2012, was 5.10% and 5.39%, respectively.

Loans serviced for others are not included in the accompanying consolidated statements of financial condition. The unpaid principal balances of loans serviced for others were \$166.2 million and \$158.4 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. In addition, available lines of credit on these loans were \$15.7 million and \$15.7 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

A loan is considered impaired, in accordance with the impairment accounting guidance (FASB ASC 310-10-35-16), when based on current information and events, it is probable the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due from the borrower in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan. Impaired loans include not only nonperforming loans but also include loans modified in troubled debt restructurings where concessions have been granted to borrowers experiencing financial difficulties.

The following summarizes information regarding impaired loans at and during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011:

				Year Ended			
		December 31, 20)13	Decembe	December 31, 2013		
				Average			
		Unpaid		Investment	Interest		
	Recorded	Principal	Specific	in Impaired	Income		
	Balance	Balance	Allowance	Loans	Recognized		
			(In Thousands)				
One- to four-family residential							
construction	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$36	\$ —		
Subdivision construction	3,502	3,531	1,659	3,315	163		
Land development	12,628	13,042	473	13,389	560		
Commercial construction	_		_	_	_		
Owner occupied one- to							
four-family							
residential	5,802	6,117	593	5,101	251		
Non-owner occupied one- to							
four-family							
residential	3,751	4,003	249	4,797	195		
Commercial real estate	31,591	34,032	90	42,242	1,632		
Other residential	10,983	10,983		13,837	434		
Commercial business	6,057	6,077	4,162	6,821	179		
Industrial revenue bonds	2,698	2,778	_	2,700	27		

Edgar Filing: GREAT SOUTHERN BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Consumer auto Consumer other	216 604	231 700	32 91	145 630	16 63
Home equity lines of credit	569	706	95	391	38
Total	\$78,401	\$82,200	\$7,444	\$93,404	\$3,558

	December 31, 20	012	Decembe	Ended or 31, 2012	
	Unpaid		Investment	Interest	
Recorded	Principal	Specific	in Impaired	Income	
Balance	Balance	Allowance	Loans	Recognized	
		(In Thousands)			
\$410	\$410	\$239	\$679	\$22	
2,577	2,580	688	8,399	143	
12,009	13,204	96	12,614	656	
	_	_	383	_	
5,627	6,037	550	5,174	295	
6,077	6,290	811	10,045	330	
48,476	49,779	4,990	45,181	2,176	
16,405	16,405	1,089	16,951	836	
7,279	8,615	2,778	4,851	329	
2,785	2,865	_	3,034	5	
143	170	22	157	17	
602		89	654	65	
235	248	45	162	15	
\$102,625	\$107,285	\$11,397	\$108,284	\$4,889	
			Year Ended		
	December 31, 20	011		er 31, 2011	
	Unpaid		Investment	Interest	
Recorded	_	Specific		Income	
Balance	Balance	Allowance	Loans	Recognized	
		(In Thousands)		•	
	Recorded Balance \$410 2,577 12,009 5,627 6,077 48,476 16,405 7,279 2,785 143 602 235 \$102,625	Recorded Balance \$410 \$410 2,577 2,580 12,009 13,204 — 5,627 6,037 6,077 6,290 48,476 49,779 16,405 16,405 7,279 8,615 2,785 2,865 143 170 602 682 235 248 \$102,625 \$107,285 December 31, 20 Unpaid Recorded Principal	Recorded Balance Principal Balance Specific Allowance (In Thousands) \$410 \$410 \$239 2,577 2,580 688 12,009 13,204 96 — — 5,627 6,037 550 6,077 6,290 811 48,476 49,779 4,990 16,405 16,405 1,089 7,279 8,615 2,778 2,785 2,865 — 143 170 22 602 682 89 235 248 45 December 31, 2011 Unpaid Recorded Principal Specific Balance Allowance	December 31, 2012 December Balance Unpaid Balance Principal Balance Specific Allowance (In Thousands) Specific Allowance (In Thousands) Specific Allowance (In Thousands)	

\$917

14,730

7,317

\$873

12,999

7,150

\$12

2,953

594

\$1,939

10,154

9,983

One- to four-family residential

Subdivision construction

Land development

construction

\$39

282

Edgar Filing: GREAT SOUTHERN BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Commercial construction		_	_	308	
Owner occupied one- to					
four-family					
residential	5,481	6,105	776	4,748	76
Non-owner occupied one- to					
four-family					
residential	11,259	11,768	1,249	9,658	425
Commercial real estate	49,961	55,233	3,562	34,403	1,616
Other residential	12,102	12,102	89	9,475	454
Commercial business	4,679	5,483	736	4,173	125
Industrial revenue bonds	2,110	2,190	22	2,137	
Consumer auto	147	168	3	192	6
Consumer other	579	680	22	544	10
Home equity lines of credit	174	184	12	227	1
Total	\$107,514	\$116,877	\$10,030	\$87,941	\$3,413

At December 31, 2013, \$18.0 million of impaired loans had specific valuation allowances totaling \$7.4 million. At December 31, 2012, \$43.4 million of impaired loans had specific valuation allowances totaling \$11.4 million. At December 31, 2011, all impaired loans had specific valuation allowances totaling \$10.0 million. Previous to the third quarter of 2012, the Company reported all impaired loans as having specific valuation allowances, even though in many instances the allowance assigned to a particular loan was actually only the general valuation percentage used for that particular category of loans. In the third quarter of 2012, the Company began reporting specific valuation allowances on impaired loans only if the recorded loan balance was greater than the calculated fair value of the collateral supporting the loan. This change was also factored into the general valuation allowances recorded by the Company, and did not result in a significant change to the overall allowance for loan losses recorded by the Company. For impaired loans which were nonaccruing, interest of approximately \$1.6 million, \$1.8 million and \$2.4 million would have been recognized on an accrual basis during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Included in certain loan categories in the impaired loans are troubled debt restructurings that were classified as impaired. Troubled debt restructurings are loans that are modified by granting concessions to borrowers experiencing financial difficulties. These concessions could include a reduction in the interest rate on the loan, payment extensions, forgiveness of principal, forbearance or other actions intended to maximize collection. The types of concessions made are factored into the estimation of the allowance for loan losses for troubled debt restructurings primarily using a discounted cash flows or collateral adequacy approach.

The following table presents newly restructured loans during 2013 and 2012 by type of modification:

		TD 4.1		
	Interest Only	Term (In T	Combination housands)	Total Modification
Mortgage loans on real estate:				
One- to four-family				
residential construction	\$ —	\$286	\$ —	\$286
Subdivision construction	_	2,067	568	2,635
Land development	3,842	2,078		5,920
Residential one-to-four family	<u> </u>	1,499		1,499
Commercial	2,120	2,212		4,332
Other residential	1,956	1,874		3,830
Commercial	660	34	_	694
Consumer	_	241	_	241
	\$8,578	\$10,291	\$568	\$19,437

		Total			
	Interest Only	Term (In T	Combination Chousands)	Modification	
Mortgage loans on real estate:					
Residential one-to-four family	\$1,291	\$3,199	\$392	\$4,882	
Commercial	773	5,405		6,178	
Construction and land development	183	309	_	492	
Other residential	_	3,977		3,977	
Home equity lines of credit	_	19		19	
Commercial	24	3,615		3,639	
Consumer		39		39	
	\$2,271	\$16,563	\$392	\$19,226	

At December 31, 2013, the Company had \$54.1 million of loans that were modified in troubled debt restructurings and impaired, as follows: \$10.9 million of construction and land development loans, \$16.6 million of single family and multi-family residential mortgage loans, \$24.8 million of commercial real estate loans, \$1.5 million of commercial business loans and \$310,000 of consumer loans. Of the total troubled debt restructurings at December 31, 2013, \$49.6 million were accruing interest and \$22.1 million were classified as substandard using the Company's internal grading system which is described below. The Company had troubled debt restructurings which were modified in the previous 12 months and subsequently defaulted during the year ended December 31, 2013 of approximately \$1.4 million, including three commercial real estate loans totaling \$912,000, three non-owner occupied residential mortgage loan totaling \$260,000, two owner occupied residential mortgage loan totaling \$187,000, three consumer loans totaling \$41,000, and one commercial business loan totaling \$13,000. When loans modified as troubled debt restructuring have subsequent payment defaults, the defaults are factored into the determination of the allowance for loan losses to ensure specific valuation allowances reflect amounts considered uncollectible. At December 31, 2012, the Company had \$2.8 million of construction loans, \$7.1 million of residential mortgage loans, \$26.9 million of commercial real estate loans, \$7.9 million of other residential loans, \$1.9 million of commercial business loans and \$167,000 of consumer loans that were modified in troubled debt restructurings and impaired. Of the total troubled debt restructurings at December 31, 2012, \$38.1 million were accruing interest and \$14.6 million were classified as substandard and \$1.0 million were classified as doubtful using the Company's internal grading system.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, borrowers with loans designated as troubled debt restructurings totaling \$2.3 million met the criteria for placement back on accrual status. The \$2.3 million was made up of \$2.2 million of residential mortgage loans, \$92,000 of commercial real estate loans and \$8,000 of consumer loans. This criteria is a minimum of six months of payment performance under existing or modified terms.

The Company reviews the credit quality of its loan portfolio using an internal grading system that classifies loans as "Satisfactory," "Watch," "Special Mention" and "Substandard." Substandard loans are characterized by the distinct possibility that the Bank will sustain some loss if certain deficiencies are not corrected. Special mention loans possess potential weaknesses that deserve management's close attention but do not expose the Bank to a degree of risk that warrants substandard classification. Loans classified as watch are being monitored because of indications of potential weaknesses or deficiencies that may require future classification as special mention or substandard. Loans not meeting any of the criteria previously described are considered satisfactory. The FDIC-covered loans are evaluated using this internal grading system. However, since these loans are accounted for in pools and are currently covered through loss sharing agreements with the FDIC, all of the loan pools were considered satisfactory at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. See Note 4 for further discussion of the acquired loan pools and loss sharing agreements. The loan grading system is presented by loan class below:

	December 31	, 2013				
			Special			
	Satisfactory	Watch	Mention	Substandard	Doubtful	Total
	(In Thousand	ls)				
One- to four-family residential						
construction	\$34,364	\$298	\$ —	\$—	\$ —	\$34,662
Subdivision construction	36,524	706		3,179		40,409
Land development	45,606	1,148	_	11,087		57,841
Commercial construction	184,019	_	_	_	_	184,019
Owner occupied one- to-four-						
family residential	84,931	503	_	3,699	_	89,133
Non-owner occupied one- to-						
four-family residential	137,003	6,718	_	2,187	_	145,908
Commercial real estate	727,668	37,937	_	15,085	_	780,690
Other residential	311,320	12,323	_	1,956	_	325,599
Commercial business	307,540	1,803	_	3,528	2,398	315,269
Industrial revenue bonds	39,532	675	_	2,023	_	42,230
Consumer auto	134,516	_	_	201	_	134,717
Consumer other	81,769	6	_	485	_	82,260
Home equity lines of credit	57,713	_	_	570	_	58,283
FDIC-supported loans, net of						
discounts (TeamBank)	49,702			160		49,862
FDIC-supported loans, net of						
discounts (Vantus Bank)	57,290	_	_	630	_	57,920
FDIC-supported loans, net of						
discounts (Sun Security Bank)	63,360			1,483		64,843
FDIC-supported loans, net of						
discounts (InterBank)	213,539	_				213,539

Total \$2,566,396 \$62,117 \$— \$46,273 \$2,398 \$2,677,184

	December 31, 2012		Special				
	Satisfactory	Watch	Mention	Substandard	Doubtful	Total	
	(In Thousand	ls)					
One- to four-family residential							
construction	\$28,662	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 409	\$ —	\$29,071	
Subdivision construction	31,156	2,993	_	1,656	_	35,805	
Land development	47,388	3,887		11,284		62,559	
Commercial construction	150,515					150,515	
Owner occupied one- to-four-							
family residential	79,411	792		3,656		83,859	
Non-owner occupied one- to-							
four-family residential	132,073	7,884		5,501		145,458	
Commercial real estate	619,387	42,753		30,237	_	692,377	
Other residential	252,238	6,793	_	8,487	_	267,518	
Commercial business	253,165	4,286	_	6,180	1,000	264,631	
Industrial revenue bonds	40,977	675	_	2,110	_	43,762	
Consumer auto	82,467			143		82,610	
Consumer other	83,250		_	565	_	83,815	
Home equity lines of credit	52,076	_	1,913	236	_	54,225	
FDIC-supported loans, net of							
discounts (TeamBank)	77,568	_		47	_	77,615	
FDIC-supported loans, net of							
discounts (Vantus Bank)	95,281	_		202		95,483	
FDIC-supported loans, net of							
discounts (Sun Security Bank)	91,519	_		_		91,519	
FDIC-supported loans, net of							
discounts (InterBank)	259,210			22		259,232	

Certain of the Bank's real estate loans are pledged as collateral for borrowings as set forth in Notes 9 and 11.

\$2,376,343 \$70,063

Total

Certain directors and executive officers of the Company and the Bank are customers of and had transactions with the Bank in the ordinary course of business. Except for the interest rates on loans secured by personal residences, in the opinion of management, all loans included in such transactions were made on substantially the same terms as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with unrelated parties. Generally, residential first mortgage loans and home equity lines of credit to all employees and directors have been granted at interest rates equal to the Bank's cost of funds, subject to annual adjustments in the case of residential first mortgage loans and monthly adjustments in the case of home equity lines of credit. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, loans outstanding to these directors and

\$1,913

\$70,735

\$1,000

\$2,520,054

executive officers are summarized as follows:

	2012	December 31,	2010	
	2013	(In Thousands)	2012	2
Balance, beginning of year New loans Payments	\$ 4,295 4,835 (2,037	\$	2,294 5,121 (3,120)
Balance, end of year	\$ 7,093	\$	4,295	

Note 4: Acquired Loans, Loss Sharing Agreements and FDIC Indemnification Assets

TeamBank

On March 20, 2009, Great Southern Bank entered into a purchase and assumption agreement with loss share with the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) to assume all of the deposits (excluding brokered deposits) and acquire certain assets of TeamBank, N.A., a full service commercial bank headquartered in Paola, Kansas.

The loans, commitments and foreclosed assets purchased in the TeamBank transaction are covered by a loss sharing agreement between the FDIC and Great Southern Bank. Under the loss sharing agreement, the Bank shares in the losses on assets covered under the agreement (referred to as covered assets). On losses up to \$115.0 million, the FDIC agreed to reimburse the Bank for 80% of the losses. On losses exceeding \$115.0 million, the FDIC agreed to reimburse the Bank for 95% of the losses. Realized losses covered by the loss sharing agreement include loan contractual balances (and related unfunded commitments that were acquired), accrued interest on loans for up to 90 days, the book value of foreclosed real estate acquired, and certain direct costs, less cash or other consideration received by Great Southern. This agreement extends for ten years for 1-4 family real estate loans and for five years for other loans. The value of this loss sharing agreement was considered in determining fair values of loans and foreclosed assets acquired. The loss sharing agreement is subject to the Bank following servicing procedures as specified in the agreement with the FDIC. The expected reimbursements under the loss sharing agreement were recorded as an indemnification asset at their preliminary estimated fair value on the acquisition date. Based upon the acquisition date fair values of the net assets acquired, no goodwill was recorded.

The Bank recorded a preliminary one-time gain of \$27.8 million (pre-tax) based upon the initial estimated fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in accordance with FASB ASC 805, Business Combinations. FASB ASC 805 allows a measurement period of up to one year to adjust initial fair value estimates as of the acquisition date. Subsequent to the initial fair value estimate calculations in the first quarter of 2009, additional information was obtained about the fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of March 20, 2009, which resulted in adjustments to the initial fair value estimates. Most significantly, additional information was obtained on the

Great Southern Bancorp, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

credit quality of certain loans as of the acquisition date which resulted in increased fair value estimates of the acquired loan pools. The fair values of these loan pools were adjusted and the provisional fair values finalized. These adjustments resulted in a \$16.1 million increase to the initial one-time gain of \$27.8 million. Thus, the final gain was \$43.9 million related to the fair value of the acquired assets and assumed liabilities. This gain was included in Noninterest Income in the Company's Consolidated Statement of Income for the year ended December 31, 2009.

The Bank originally recorded the fair value of the acquired loans at their preliminary fair value of \$222.8 million and the related FDIC indemnification asset was originally recorded at its preliminary fair value of \$153.6 million. As discussed above, these initial fair values were adjusted during the measurement period, resulting in a final fair value at the acquisition date of \$264.4 million for acquired loans and \$128.3 million for the FDIC indemnification asset. A discount was recorded in conjunction with the fair value of the acquired loans and the amount accreted to yield during 2013, 2012 and 2011 was \$134,000, \$1.2 million and \$2.5 million, respectively.

In addition to the loan and FDIC indemnification assets noted above, the acquisition consisted of other assets with a fair value of approximately \$235.5 million, including \$111.8 million of investment securities, \$83.4 million of cash and cash equivalents, \$2.9 million of foreclosed assets and \$3.9 million of FHLB stock. Liabilities with a fair value of \$610.2 million were also assumed, including \$515.7 million of deposits, \$80.9 million of FHLB advances and \$2.3 million of repurchase agreements with a commercial bank. A customer-related core deposit intangible asset of \$2.9 million was also recorded. In addition to the excess of liabilities over assets, the Bank received approximately \$42.4 million in cash from the FDIC and entered into the loss sharing agreement with the FDIC.

Vantus Bank

On September 4, 2009, Great Southern Bank entered into a purchase and assumption agreement with loss share with the FDIC to assume all of the deposits and acquire certain assets of Vantus Bank, a full service thrift headquartered in Sioux City, Iowa.

The loans, commitments and foreclosed assets purchased in the Vantus Bank transaction are covered by a loss sharing agreement between the FDIC and Great Southern Bank. Under the loss sharing agreement, the Bank shares in the losses on assets covered under the agreement (referred to as covered assets). On losses up to \$102.0 million, the FDIC agreed to reimburse the Bank for 80% of the losses. On losses exceeding \$102.0 million, the FDIC agreed to reimburse the Bank for 95% of the losses. Realized losses covered by the loss sharing agreement include loan contractual balances (and related unfunded commitments that were acquired), accrued interest on loans for up to 90 days, the book value of foreclosed real estate acquired, and certain direct costs, less cash or other consideration received by Great Southern. This agreement extends for ten years for 1-4 family real estate loans and for five years for other loans. The value of this loss sharing agreement was considered in determining fair values of loans and foreclosed assets acquired. The loss sharing agreement is subject to the Bank following servicing procedures as specified in the agreement with the FDIC. The expected reimbursements under the loss sharing agreement were recorded as an indemnification asset at their preliminary estimated fair value of \$62.2 million on the acquisition date. Based upon the acquisition date fair values of the net assets acquired, no goodwill was recorded. The transaction resulted in a preliminary one-time gain of \$45.9 million, which was included in Noninterest Income in the

Company's Consolidated Statement of

Income for the year ended December 31, 2009. During 2010, the Company continued to analyze its estimates of the fair values of the loans acquired and the indemnification asset recorded. The Company finalized its analysis of these assets without adjustments to the initial fair value estimates. The Bank recorded the fair value of the acquired loans at their estimated fair value of \$247.0 million and the related FDIC indemnification asset was recorded at its estimated fair value of \$62.2 million. A discount was recorded in conjunction with the fair value of the acquired loans and the amount accreted to yield during 2013, 2012 and 2011 was \$104,000, \$399,000 and \$928,000, respectively.

In addition to the loan and FDIC indemnification assets noted above, the acquisition consisted of other assets with a fair value of approximately \$47.2 million, including \$23.1 million of investment securities, \$12.8 million of cash and cash equivalents, \$2.2 million of foreclosed assets and \$5.9 million of FHLB stock. Liabilities with a fair value of \$444.0 million were also assumed, including \$352.7 million of deposits, \$74.6 million of FHLB advances, \$10.0 million of borrowings from the Federal Reserve Bank and \$3.2 million of repurchase agreements with a commercial bank. A customer-related core deposit intangible asset of \$2.2 million was also recorded. In addition to the excess of liabilities over assets, the Bank received approximately \$131.3 million in cash from the FDIC and entered into the loss sharing agreement with the FDIC.

Sun Security Bank

On October 7, 2011, Great Southern Bank entered into a purchase and assumption agreement with loss share with the FDIC to assume all of the deposits and acquire certain assets of Sun Security Bank, a full service bank headquartered in Ellington, Missouri.

The loans and foreclosed assets purchased in the Sun Security Bank transaction are covered by a loss sharing agreement between the FDIC and Great Southern Bank. Under the loss sharing agreement, the FDIC has agreed to cover 80% of the losses on the loans (excluding approximately \$4 million of consumer loans) and foreclosed assets purchased subject to certain limitations. Realized losses covered by the loss sharing agreement include loan contractual balances (and related unfunded commitments that were acquired), accrued interest on loans for up to 90 days, the book value of foreclosed real estate acquired, and certain direct costs, less cash or other consideration received by Great Southern. This agreement extends for ten years for 1-4 family real estate loans and for five years for other loans. The value of this loss sharing agreement was considered in determining fair values of loans and foreclosed assets acquired. The loss sharing agreement is subject to the Bank following servicing procedures as specified in the agreement with the FDIC. The expected reimbursements under the loss sharing agreement were recorded as an indemnification asset at their preliminary estimated fair value of \$67.4 million on the acquisition date. Based upon the acquisition date fair values of the net assets acquired, no goodwill was recorded. The transaction resulted in a preliminary one-time gain of \$16.5 million, which was included in Noninterest Income in the Company's Consolidated Statement of Income for the year ended December 31, 2011. During 2012, the Company continued to analyze its estimates of the fair values of the loans acquired and the indemnification asset recorded. The Company finalized its analysis of these assets without adjustments to the initial fair value estimates. The Bank recorded the fair value of the acquired loans at their estimated fair value of \$163.7 million and the related FDIC indemnification asset was recorded at its estimated fair value of \$67.4 million. A discount was recorded in conjunction with the fair value of the acquired loans and the amount accreted to yield during 2013, 2012 and 2011 was \$974,000, \$1.6 million and \$140,000, respectively.

In addition to the loan and FDIC indemnification assets noted above, the acquisition consisted of other assets with a fair value of approximately \$85.2 million, including \$45.3 million of investment securities, \$26.1 million of cash and cash equivalents, \$9.1 million of foreclosed assets, \$3.0 million of FHLB stock and \$1.8 million of other assets. Liabilities with a fair value of \$345.8 million were also assumed, including \$280.9 million of deposits, \$64.3 million of FHLB advances and \$632,000 of other liabilities. A customer-related core deposit intangible asset of \$2.5 million was also recorded. Net of the excess of assets over liabilities, the Bank received approximately \$40.8 million in cash from the FDIC and entered into the loss sharing agreement with the FDIC.

InterBank

On April 27, 2012, Great Southern Bank entered into a purchase and assumption agreement with loss share with the FDIC to assume all of the deposits and acquire certain assets of Inter Savings Bank, FSB ("InterBank"), a full service bank headquartered in Maple Grove, Minnesota.

The loans and foreclosed assets purchased in the InterBank transaction are covered by a loss sharing agreement between the FDIC and Great Southern Bank. Under the loss sharing agreement, the FDIC has agreed to cover 80% of the losses on the loans (excluding approximately \$60,000 of consumer loans) and foreclosed assets purchased subject to certain limitations. Realized losses covered by the loss sharing agreement include loan contractual balances (and related unfunded commitments that were acquired), accrued interest on loans for up to 90 days, the book value of foreclosed real estate acquired, and certain direct costs, less cash or other consideration received by Great Southern. This agreement extends for ten years for 1-4 family real estate loans and for five years for other loans. The value of this loss sharing agreement was considered in determining fair values of loans and foreclosed assets acquired. The loss sharing agreement is subject to the Bank following servicing procedures as specified in the agreement with the FDIC. The expected reimbursements under the loss sharing agreement were recorded as an indemnification asset at their preliminary estimated fair value of \$84.0 million on the acquisition date. Based upon the acquisition date fair values of the net assets acquired, no goodwill was recorded. The transaction resulted in a preliminary one-time gain of \$31.3 million, which was included in Noninterest Income in the Company's Consolidated Statement of Income for the year ended December 31, 2012. During 2012, the Company continued to analyze its estimates of the fair values of the loans acquired and the indemnification asset recorded. The Company finalized its analysis of these assets without adjustments to the initial fair value estimates. The Bank recorded the fair value of the acquired loans at their estimated fair value of \$285.5 million and the related FDIC indemnification asset was recorded at its estimated fair value of \$84.0 million. A premium was recorded in conjunction with the fair value of the acquired loans and the amount amortized to yield during 2013 and 2012 was \$636,000 and \$564,000, respectively.

In addition to the loan and FDIC indemnification assets noted above, the acquisition consisted of other assets with a fair value of approximately \$79.8 million, including \$34.9 million of investment securities, \$34.5 million of cash and cash equivalents, \$6.2 million of foreclosed assets, \$585,000 of FHLB stock and \$2.6 million of other assets. Liabilities with a fair value of \$458.7 million were also assumed, including \$456.3 million of deposits and \$2.4 million of other liabilities. A customer-related core deposit intangible asset of \$1.0 million was also recorded. Net of the excess

Great Southern Bancorp, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

of assets over liabilities, the Bank received approximately \$40.8 million in cash from the FDIC and entered into the loss sharing agreement with the FDIC.

Fair Value and Expected Cash Flows

At the time of these acquisitions, the Company determined the fair value of the loan portfolios based on several assumptions. Factors considered in the valuations were projected cash flows for the loans, type of loan and related collateral, classification status, fixed or variable interest rate, term of loan, current discount rates and whether or not the loan was amortizing. Loans were grouped together according to similar characteristics and were treated in the aggregate when applying various valuation techniques. Management also estimated the amount of credit losses that were expected to be realized for the loan portfolios. The discounted cash flow approach was used to value each pool of loans. For nonperforming loans, fair value was estimated by calculating the present value of the recoverable cash flows using a discount rate based on comparable corporate bond rates. This valuation of the acquired loans is a significant component leading to the valuation of the loss sharing assets recorded.

The amount of the estimated cash flows expected to be received from the acquired loan pools in excess of the fair values recorded for the loan pools is referred to as the accretable yield. The accretable yield is recognized as interest income over the estimated lives of the loans. The Company continues to evaluate the fair value of the loans including cash flows expected to be collected. Increases in the Company's cash flow expectations are recognized as increases to the accretable yield while decreases are recognized as impairments through the allowance for loan losses. During the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, increases in expected cash flows related to the acquired loan portfolios resulted in adjustments to the accretable yield to be spread over the estimated remaining lives of the loans on a level-yield basis. The increases in expected cash flows also reduced the amount of expected reimbursements under the loss sharing agreements. This resulted in corresponding adjustments during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, to the indemnification assets to be amortized on a level-yield basis over the remainder of the loss sharing agreements or the remaining expected lives of the loan pools, whichever is shorter. The amounts of these adjustments were as follows:

	Б	December 31, December 3 2013 December 3 2012 (In Thousand		2012	•	Decem 20			
Increase in accretable yield due to									
increased									
cash flow expectations	\$	40,947		\$	42,567		\$	27,069	
Decrease in FDIC indemnification asset									
as a result of accretable yield increase		(32,597)		(34,054)		(23,821)

The adjustments, along with those made in previous years, impacted the Company's Consolidated Statements of Income as follows:

	December 31, 2013			Year Ended December 31, 2012 (In Thousands)			December 31, 2011		
Interest income Noninterest income	\$	35,211 (29,451)	\$	36,186 (29,864)	\$	49,208 (43,835)
Net impact to pre-tax income	\$	5,760		\$	6,322		\$	5,373	

Prior to January 1, 2010, the Company's estimate of cash flows expected to be received from the acquired loan pools related to TeamBank and Vantus Bank had not materially changed, other than the adjustment of the provisional fair value measurements of the former TeamBank loan portfolio. On an on-going basis the Company estimates the cash flows expected to be collected from the acquired loan pools. For the loan pools acquired in 2012 and 2011, the cash flow estimates have increased, beginning in 2012. For the loan pools acquired in 2009, the cash flow estimates have increased, beginning with the fourth quarter of 2010, based on payment histories and reduced loss expectations of the loan pools. This resulted in increased income that was spread on a level-yield basis over the remaining expected lives of the loan pools.

The loss sharing asset is measured separately from the loan portfolio because it is not contractually embedded in the loans and is not transferable with the loans should the Bank choose to dispose of them. Fair value was estimated using projected cash flows available for loss sharing based on the credit adjustments estimated for each loan pool (as discussed above) and the loss sharing percentages outlined in the Purchase and Assumption Agreement with the FDIC. These cash flows were discounted to reflect the uncertainty of the timing and receipt of the loss sharing reimbursement from the FDIC. The loss sharing asset is also separately measured from the related foreclosed real estate.

The loss sharing agreement on the InterBank transaction includes a clawback provision whereby if credit loss performance is better than certain pre-established thresholds, then a portion of the monetary benefit is shared with the FDIC. The pre-established threshold for credit losses is \$115.7 million for this transaction. The monetary benefit required to be paid to the FDIC under the clawback provision, if any, will occur shortly after the termination of the loss sharing agreement, which in the case of InterBank is 10 years from the acquisition date.

At December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Bank's internal estimate of credit performance is expected to be better than the threshold set by the FDIC in the loss sharing agreement. Therefore, a separate clawback liability totaling \$3.7 million and \$1.1 million was recorded at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. As changes in the fair values of the loans and foreclosed assets are determined due to changes in expected cash flows, changes in the amount of the clawback liability will occur.

TeamBank FDIC Indemnification Asset

The following tables present the balances of the FDIC indemnification asset related to the TeamBank transaction at December 31, 2013 and 2012. Gross loan balances (due from the borrower) were reduced approximately \$382.6 million since the transaction date because of \$248.6 million of repayments by the borrower, \$61.5 million of transfers to foreclosed assets and \$72.5 million of charge-downs to customer loan balances. Based upon the collectability analyses performed during the acquisition, we expected certain levels of foreclosures and charge-offs and actual results have been better than our expectations. As a result, cash flows expected to be received from the acquired loan pools have increased, resulting in adjustments that were made to the related accretable yield as described above.

December 31, 2013				
Loans			Foreclosed Assets	
	(In Thous	ands)		
\$ 53,553		\$	664	
(2,882)			
(49,862)		(647)
809			17	
82	%		76	%
665			13	
593				
(10)		_	
\$ 1,248		\$	13	
	Loans \$ 53,553 (2,882 (49,862 809 82 665 593 (10	Loans (In Thouse) \$ 53,553 (2,882) (49,862) 809 82 % 665 593 (10)	Loans (In Thousands) \$ 53,553	Loans (In Thousands) \$ 53,553 \$ 664 (2,882)

		2			
	Loans	(In Thou	sands)	Foreclosed Assets	
Initial basis for loss sharing determination,					
net of activity since acquisition date	\$ 86,657		\$	9,056	
Noncredit premium/(discount), net of					
activity since acquisition date	(134)			
Reclassification from nonaccretable discount					
to accretable discount due to change in					
expected losses (net of accretion to date)	(5,120)			
Original estimated fair value of assets, net of					
activity since acquisition date	(77,615)		(7,669)
Expected loss remaining	3,788			1,387	
Assumed loss sharing recovery percentage	81	%		82	%
Expected loss sharing value	3,051			1,141	
Indemnification asset to be amortized resulting from					
change in expected losses	4,036			_	
Accretable discount on FDIC indemnification asset	(332)			
FDIC indemnification asset	\$ 6,755		\$	1,141	

Vantus Bank FDIC Indemnification Asset

The following tables present the balances of the FDIC indemnification asset related to the Vantus Bank transaction at December 31, 2013 and 2012. Gross loan balances (due from the borrower) were reduced approximately \$271.5 million since the transaction date because of \$226.6 million of repayments by the borrower, \$16.3 million of transfers to foreclosed assets and \$28.6 million of charge-downs to customer loan balances. Based upon the collectability analyses performed during the acquisition, we expected certain levels of foreclosures and charge-offs and actual results have been better than our expectations. As a result, cash flows expected to be received from the acquired loan pools have increased, resulting in adjustments that were made to the related accretable yield as described above.

]	December 31	, 2013	3 Foreclosed	
	Loans	(In Thousa	nds)	Assets	
Initial basis for loss sharing determination, net of activity since acquisition date Reclassification from nonaccretable discount	\$ 60,011	`	\$	1,986	
to accretable discount due to change in expected losses (net of accretion to date) Original estimated fair value of assets, net of	(1,202)		_	
activity since acquisition date Expected loss remaining	(57,920 889)		(1,092 894)
Assumed loss sharing recovery percentage Expected loss sharing value	78 690	%		80 716	%
Indemnification asset to be amortized resulting from change in expected losses Accretable discount on FDIC indemnification asset	919 (32)			
FDIC indemnification asset	\$ 1,577		\$	716	
		December 31	, 2012		
	Loans	(In Thousa	nde)	Foreclosed Assets	
Initial basis for loss sharing determination,		(III THOUSA	iius)		
net of activity since acquisition date Noncredit premium/(discount), net of	\$ 103,910		\$	4,383	
activity since acquisition date Reclassification from nonaccretable discount	(104)		_	
to accretable discount due to change in expected losses (net of accretion to date) Original estimated fair value of assets, net of	(5,429)		_	
activity since acquisition date Expected loss remaining	(95,483 2,894)		(3,214 1,169)
Assumed loss sharing recovery percentage Expected loss sharing value Indemnification asset to be amortized resulting from	78 2,270	%		80 935	%
Indemnification asset to be amortized resulting from change in expected losses	4,343			_	
Accretable discount on FDIC indemnification asset	(240)			
FDIC indemnification asset	\$ 6,373		\$	935	

Sun Security Bank FDIC Indemnification Asset

The following tables present the balances of the FDIC indemnification asset related to the Sun Security Bank transaction at December 31, 2013 and 2012. Gross loan balances (due from the borrower) were reduced approximately \$155.9 million since the transaction date because of \$98.7 million of repayments by the borrower, \$26.1 million of transfers to foreclosed assets and \$31.1 million of charge-downs to customer loan balances. Based upon the collectability analyses performed during the acquisition, we expected certain levels of foreclosures and charge-offs and actual results have been better than our expectations. As a result, cash flows expected to be received from the acquired loan pools have increased, resulting in adjustments that were made to the related accretable yield as described above. Of the \$8.5 million expected loss remaining, \$540,000 is non-loss share discount.

	December 31, 2013				
	Loans	(In Thous	canda)	Foreclosed Assets	
Initial basis for loss sharing determination,		(III THOU:	sanus)		
net of activity since acquisition date Noncredit premium/(discount), net of	\$ 78,524		\$	3,582	
activity since acquisition date	(105)		_	
Reclassification from nonaccretable discount to accretable discount due to change in expected losses (net of accretion to date)	(5,062)		_	
Original estimated fair value of assets, net of	(64.042	,		(2.102	`
activity since acquisition date Expected loss remaining	(64,843 8,514)		(2,193 1,389)
Assumed loss sharing recovery percentage Expected loss sharing value	70 5,974	%		80 1,111	%
Indemnification asset to be amortized resulting from	·			-,	
change in expected losses Accretable discount on FDIC indemnification asset	4,049 (680)		(93)
FDIC indemnification asset	\$ 9,343		\$	1,018	

	December 31, 2012				
	Loans	(In Thou	sands)	Foreclosed Assets	
Initial basis for loss sharing determination,					
net of activity since acquisition date	\$ 126,933		\$	10,980	
Noncredit premium/(discount), net of					
activity since acquisition date	(1,079)		_	
Reclassification from nonaccretable discount					
to accretable discount due to change in					
expected losses (net of accretion to date)	(4,182)			
Original estimated fair value of assets, net of					
activity since acquisition date	(91,519)		(6,227)
Expected loss remaining	30,153			4,753	
Assumed loss sharing recovery percentage	76	%		80	%
Expected loss sharing value	23,017			3,785	
Indemnification asset to be amortized resulting from					
change in expected losses	3,345			_	
Accretable discount on FDIC indemnification asset	(2,867)		(561)
FDIC indemnification asset	\$ 23,495		\$	3,224	

InterBank FDIC Indemnification Asset

The following tables present the balances of the FDIC indemnification asset related to the InterBank transaction at December 31, 2012. Gross loan balances (due from the borrower) were reduced approximately \$108.3 million since the transaction date because of \$79.9 million of repayments by the borrower, \$9.2 million of transfers to foreclosed assets and \$19.2 million of charge-offs to customer loan balances. Based upon the collectability analyses performed during the acquisition, we expected certain levels of foreclosures and charge-offs and actual results have been better than our expectations. As a result, cash flows expected to be received from the acquired loan pools have increased, resulting in adjustments that were made to the related accretable yield as described above.

	December 31, 2013 Forec				
	Loans	(In Thouse	nda)	Assets	
Initial basis for loss sharing determination,		(In Thousa	nus)		
net of activity since acquisition date	\$ 284,975		\$	6,543	
Noncredit premium/(discount), net of activity since acquisition date	1,905				
Reclassification from nonaccretable discount	1,903				
to accretable discount due to change in					
expected losses (net of accretion to date)	(21,218)		_	
Original estimated fair value of assets, net of activity since acquisition date	(213,539)		(5,073)
Expected loss remaining	52,123	,		1,470	,
Assumed loss sharing recovery percentage	82	%		80	%
Expected loss sharing value	42,654			1,176	
FDIC loss share clawback Indemnification asset to be amortized resulting from	2,893			_	
change in expected losses	16,974			_	
Accretable discount on FDIC indemnification asset	(4,874)		(33)
FDIC indemnification asset	\$ 57,647		\$	1,143	
	Ι	December 31	, 2012	2	
	т			Foreclosed	
	Loans	(In Thousa	nds)	Assets	
Initial basis for loss sharing determination,		(III IIIOusu	nas)		
net of activity since acquisition date	\$ 356,844		\$	2,001	
Noncredit premium/(discount), net of activity since acquisition date	2,541			_	
Reclassification from nonaccretable discount	2,541				
to accretable discount due to change in					
expected losses (net of accretion to date)	(9,897)		_	
Original estimated fair value of assets, net of activity since acquisition date	(259,232)		(1,620)
Expected loss remaining	90,256	,		381	,
Assumed loss sharing recovery percentage	81	%		80	%
Expected loss sharing value FDIC loss share clawback	73,151 1,000			304	
Indemnification asset to be amortized resulting from	2,000				
change in expected losses	7,871				

Accretable discount on FDIC indemnification asset	(6,893)	(93)
FDIC indemnification asset	\$ 75,129		\$ 211	

Changes in the accretable yield for acquired loan pools were as follows for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011:

					Sun			
			Vantus		Security			
	TeamBank		Bank		Bank		InterBank	
			(In	Thou	sands)			
Balance, January 1, 2011	\$36,765		\$35,796		\$		\$ —	
Additions					14,990			
Accretion	(40,010)	(30,908)	(2,221)		
Reclassification from nonaccretable	` '	ĺ				ĺ		
difference(1)	17,907		17,079		_		_	
Balance, December 31, 2011	14,662		21,967		12,769			
Additions			<u> </u>		<u> </u>		46,078	
Accretion	(20,129)	(21,437)	(15,851)	(11,998)
Reclassification from nonaccretable	` '	ĺ				ĺ		
difference(1)	17,595		13,008		14,341		8,494	
Balance, December 31, 2012	12,128		13,538		11,259		42,574	
Accretion	(9,473)	(8,940)	(16,885)	(28,667)
Reclassification from nonaccretable	•						•	
difference(1)	4,747		1,127		16,739		26,188	
Balance, December 31, 2013	\$7,402		\$5,725		\$11,113		\$40,095	

⁽¹⁾ Represents increases in estimated cash flows expected to be received from the acquired loan pools, primarily due to lower estimated credit losses. The numbers also include changes in expected accretion of the loan pools for TeamBank, Vantus Bank, Sun Security Bank and InterBank for the year ended December 31, 2013, totaling \$2.3 million, \$611,000, \$4.8 million and \$146,000, respectively; for TeamBank, Vantus Bank, Sun Security Bank and InterBank for the year ended December 31, 2012, totaling \$5.2 million, \$4.4 million, \$3.6 million and \$2.4 million, respectively; and for TeamBank and Vantus Bank for the year ended December 31, 2011, totaling \$3.5 million and \$4.4 million, respectively.

Note 5: Other Real Estate Owned

Major classifications of foreclosed assets at December 31, 2013 and 2012, were as follows:

	2013	2012
	(Ir	Thousands)
Foreclosed assets held for sale		
One- to four-family construction	\$600	\$627
Subdivision construction	12,152	17,147
Land development	16,688	14,058
Commercial construction	2,132	6,511
One- to four-family residential	744	1,200
Other residential	5,900	7,232
Commercial real estate	3,135	2,738
Commercial business	79	160
Consumer	967	471
	42,397	50,144
FDIC-supported foreclosed assets, net of discounts	9,006	18,730
Foreclosed assets held for sale, net	51,403	68,874
Other real estate owned not acquired through		
foreclosure	2,111	_
Other real estate owned	\$53,514	\$68,874

Other real estate owned not acquired through foreclosure includes 13 properties, 12 of which were branch locations that have been closed and are held for sale, and one of which is land which was acquired for a potential branch location.

Expenses applicable to foreclosed assets for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, included the following:

	2013	2012 (In Thousa	2011 nds)	
Net gain on sales of real estate Valuation write-downs Operating expenses, net of rental	\$(231 1,384) \$(1,603 6,786) \$(1,504 10,437)
income	2,915	3,565	2,913	
	\$4,068	\$8,748	\$11,846	

Great Southern Bancorp, Inc. Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Note 6: Premises and Equipment

Major classifications of premises and equipment at December 31, 2013 and 2012, stated at cost, were as follows:

	2013	2012	
		(In Thousands)	
Land	\$ 29,348	\$	27,618
Buildings and improvements	71,026		66,446
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	44,143		41,676
	144,517		135,740
Less accumulated depreciation	39,983		33,454
	\$ 104,534	\$	102,286

Note 7: Investments in Limited Partnerships

Investments in Affordable Housing Partnerships

The Company has invested in certain limited partnerships that were formed to develop and operate apartments and single-family houses designed as high-quality affordable housing for lower income tenants throughout Missouri and contiguous states. At December 31, 2013, the Company had fifteen investments, with a net carrying value of \$34.2 million. At December 31, 2012, the Company had eleven investments, with a net carrying value of \$33.9 million. Due to the Company's inability to exercise any significant influence over any of the investments in Affordable Housing Partnerships, they all are accounted for using the cost method. Each of the partnerships must meet the regulatory requirements for affordable housing for a minimum 15-year compliance period to fully utilize the tax credits. If the partnerships cease to qualify during the compliance period, the credits may be denied for any period in which the projects are not in compliance and a portion of the credits previously taken may be subject to recapture with interest.

The remaining federal affordable housing tax credits to be utilized over a maximum of 15 years were \$44.7 million as of December 31, 2013, assuming no tax credit recapture events occur and all projects currently under construction are completed as planned. Amortization of the investments in partnerships is expected to be approximately \$34.3 million, assuming all projects currently under construction are completed and funded as planned. The Company's usage of federal affordable housing tax credits approximated \$7.1 million, \$5.2 million and \$2.6 million during 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Investment amortization amounted to \$5.0 million, \$4.6 million and \$1.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Great Southern Bancorp, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Investments in Community Development Entities

The Company has invested in certain limited partnerships that were formed to develop and operate business and real estate projects located in low-income communities. At December 31, 2013, the Company had four investments, with a net carrying value of \$6.8 million. At December 31, 2012, the Company had three investments, with a net carrying value of \$6.8 million. Due to the Company's inability to exercise any significant influence over any of the investments in qualified Community Development Entities, they are all accounted for using the cost method. Each of the partnerships provides federal New Market Tax Credits over a seven-year credit allowance period. In each of the first three years, credits totaling five percent of the original investment are allowed on the credit allowance dates and for the final four years, credits totaling six percent of the original investment are allowed on the credit allowance dates. Each of the partnerships must be invested in a qualified Community Development Entity on each of the credit allowance dates during the seven-year period to utilize the tax credits. If the Community Development Entities cease to qualify during the seven-year period, the credits may be denied for any credit allowance date and a portion of the credits previously taken may be subject to recapture with interest. The investments in the Community Development Entities cannot be redeemed before the end of the seven-year period.

The remaining federal New Market Tax Credits to be utilized over a maximum of seven years were \$9.6 million as of December 31, 2013. Amortization of the investments in partnerships is expected to be approximately \$6.7 million. The Company's usage of federal New Market Tax Credits approximated \$2.3 million, \$1.7 million and \$1.7 million during 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Investment amortization amounted to \$1.6 million, \$1.1 million and \$1.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Investments in Limited Partnerships for Federal Rehabilitation/Historic Tax Credits

From time to time, the Company has invested in certain limited partnerships that were formed to provide certain federal rehabilitation/historic tax credits. The Company utilizes these credits in their entirety in the year the project is placed in service and the impact to the Consolidated Statements of Income has not been material.

Investments in Limited Partnerships for State Tax Credits

From time to time, the Company has invested in certain limited partnerships that were formed to provide certain state tax credits. The Company has primarily syndicated these tax credits and the impact to the Consolidated Statements of Income has not been material.

Note 8: Deposits

Deposits at December 31, 2013 and 2012, are summarized as follows:

	Weighted Average Interest Rate	`	usands, Excerest Rates)	2012 ept
Noninterest-bearing accounts Interest-bearing checking and	_	\$ 522,805	\$	385,778
savings accounts	0.20% - 0.33	1,291,879		1,563,468
-		1,814,684		1,949,246
Certificate accounts	0%99%	669,698		666,573
	1% - 1.99%	251,118		426,589
	2% - 2.99%	61,042		90,539
	3% - 3.99%	9,413		13,240
	4% - 4.99%	1,852		5,190
	5% and above	819		1,816
		993,942		1,203,947
		\$ 2,808,626	\$	3,153,193

The weighted average interest rate on certificates of deposit was 0.69% and 1.00% at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The aggregate amount of certificates of deposit originated by the Bank in denominations greater than \$100,000 was approximately \$345.1 million and \$449.0 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The Bank utilizes brokered deposits as an additional funding source. The aggregate amount of brokered deposits was approximately \$126.3 million and \$119.1 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

At December 31, 2013, scheduled maturities of certificates of deposit were as follows:

	Retail	Brokered (In Thousands)	Total
2014	\$569,543	\$72,594	\$642,137
2015	150,042	28,684	178,726
2016	54,267	25,000	79,267
2017	56,112	_	56,112

2018	31,919		31,919
Thereafter	5,781	_	5,781
	\$867,664	\$126,278	\$993,942

Great Southern Bancorp, Inc. Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

A summary of interest expense on deposits for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, is as follows:

	2013	2012 (In Thousar	2011 nds)	
Checking and savings accounts Certificate accounts	\$3,551 8,871	\$7,087 13,715	\$7,976 18,467	,
Early withdrawal penalties	(76 \$12,346	\$20,720) (73 \$26,370)

Note 9: Advances From Federal Home Loan Bank

Advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank at December 31, 2013 and 2012, consisted of the following:

	December 31, 2013		December 31, 2012		
		Weighted		Weighte	d
		Average		Average	•
		Interest		Interest	
Due In	Amount	Rate	Amount	Rate	
		((In Thousands)		
2013	\$ —	_	% \$1,081	1.71	%
2014	2,315	1.02	335	5.46	
2015	10,065	3.87	10,065	3.87	
2016	25,070	3.81	25,070	3.81	
2017	85,825	3.92	85,825	3.92	
2018	81	5.06	81	5.06	
2019 and thereafter	529	5.51	529	5.51	
	123,885	3.85	122,986	3.89	
Unamortized fair value					
adjustment	2,872		3,744		
	\$126,757		\$126,730		

Included in the Bank's FHLB advances at December 31, 2013 and 2012, is a \$10.0 million advance with a maturity date of October 26, 2015. The interest rate on this advance is 3.86%. The advance has a call provision that allows the

Federal Home Loan Bank of Topeka to call the advance quarterly.

Great Southern Bancorp, Inc. Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Included in the Bank's FHLB advances at December 31, 2013 and 2012, is a \$25.0 million advance with a maturity date of December 7, 2016. The interest rate on this advance is 3.81%. The advance has a call provision that allows the Federal Home Loan Bank of Des Moines to call the advance quarterly.

Included in the Bank's FHLB advances at December 31, 2013 and 2012, is a \$30.0 million advance with a maturity date of March 29, 2017. The interest rate on this advance is 4.07%. The advance has a call provision that allows the Federal Home Loan Bank of Des Moines to call the advance quarterly.

Included in the Bank's FHLB advances at December 31, 2013 and 2012, is a \$25.0 million advance with a maturity date of June 20, 2017. The interest rate on this advance is 4.57%. The advance has a call provision that allows the Federal Home Loan Bank of Des Moines to call the advance quarterly.

Included in the Bank's FHLB advances at December 31, 2013 and 2012, is a \$30.0 million advance with a maturity date of November 24, 2017. The interest rate on this advance is 3.20%. The advance has a call provision that allows the Federal Home Loan Bank of Des Moines to call the advance quarterly.

The Bank has pledged FHLB stock, investment securities and first mortgage loans free of pledges, liens and encumbrances as collateral for outstanding advances. No investment securities were specifically pledged as collateral for advances at December 31, 2013 and 2012. Loans with carrying values of approximately \$878.5 million and \$905.8 million were pledged as collateral for outstanding advances at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The Bank had potentially available \$407.4 million remaining on its line of credit under a borrowing arrangement with the FHLB of Des Moines at December 31, 2013.

Note 10: Short-Term Borrowings

Short-term borrowings at December 31, 2013 and 2012, are summarized as follows:

	2013	2012	
	(In Thousands)		
Notes payable – Community Development			
Equity Funds	\$1,128	\$772	
Securities sold under reverse repurchase agreements	134,981	179,644	
	\$136,109	\$180,416	

Great Southern Bancorp, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

The Bank enters into sales of securities under agreements to repurchase (reverse repurchase agreements). Reverse repurchase agreements are treated as financings, and the obligations to repurchase securities sold are reflected as a liability in the statements of financial condition. The dollar amount of securities underlying the agreements remains in the asset accounts. Securities underlying the agreements are being held by the Bank during the agreement period. All agreements are written on a one-month or less term.

Short-term borrowings had weighted average interest rates of 0.04% and 0.07% at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Short-term borrowings averaged approximately \$180.4 million and \$212.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The maximum amounts outstanding at any month end were \$220.1 million and \$226.4 million, respectively, during those same periods.

Note 11:

Federal Reserve Bank Borrowings

At December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Bank had \$418.9 million and \$446.6 million, respectively, available under a line-of-credit borrowing arrangement with the Federal Reserve Bank. The line is secured primarily by commercial loans. There were no amounts borrowed under this arrangement at December 31, 2013 or 2012.

Note 12:

Structured Repurchase Agreements

In September 2008, the Company entered into a structured repurchase borrowing transaction for \$50 million. This borrowing bears interest at a fixed rate of 4.34%, matures September 15, 2015, and has a call provision that allows the repurchase counterparty to call the borrowing quarterly. The Company pledges investment securities to collateralize this borrowing.

As part of the September 4, 2009, FDIC-assisted transaction involving Vantus Bank, the Company assumed \$3.0 million in repurchase agreements with commercial banks. These agreements were recorded at their estimated fair value which was derived using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies interest rates currently being offered on similar borrowings to the scheduled contractual maturity on the outstanding borrowing. As of September 4, 2009, the fair value of the repurchase agreements was \$3.2 million with an effective interest rate of 2.84%. These borrowings bear interest at a fixed rate of 4.68% and matured in 2013. While the borrowings were outstanding, the Company pledged investment securities to collateralize the borrowings in an amount of at least 110% of the total borrowings outstanding. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, the book value of these repurchase agreements was \$-0- and \$3.0 million, respectively.

Great Southern Bancorp, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Note 13: Subordinated Debentures Issued to Capital Trusts

In November 2006, Great Southern Capital Trust II (Trust II), a statutory trust formed by the Company for the purpose of issuing the securities, issued a \$25.0 million aggregate liquidation amount of floating rate cumulative trust preferred securities. The Trust II securities bear a floating distribution rate equal to 90-day LIBOR plus 1.60%. The Trust II securities are redeemable at the Company's option beginning in February 2012, and if not sooner redeemed, mature on February 1, 2037. The Trust II securities were sold in a private transaction exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The gross proceeds of the offering were used to purchase Junior Subordinated Debentures from the Company totaling \$25.8 million and bearing an interest rate identical to the distribution rate on the Trust II securities. The initial interest rate on the Trust II debentures was 6.98%. The interest rate was 1.84% and 1.91% at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

In July 2007, Great Southern Capital Trust III (Trust III), a statutory trust formed by the Company for the purpose of issuing the securities, issued a \$5.0 million aggregate liquidation amount of floating rate cumulative trust preferred securities. The Trust III securities bear a floating distribution rate equal to 90-day LIBOR plus 1.40%. The Trust III securities are redeemable at the Company's option beginning October 2012, and if not sooner redeemed, mature on October 1, 2037. The Trust III securities were sold in a private transaction exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The gross proceeds of the offering were used to purchase Junior Subordinated Debentures from the Company totaling \$5.2 million and bearing an interest rate identical to the distribution rate on the Trust III securities. The initial interest rate on the Trust III debentures was 6.76%. The interest rate was 1.65% and 1.76% at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

At December 31, 2013 and 2012, subordinated debentures issued to capital trusts are summarized as follows:

2013 2012 (In Thousands)

Subordinated debentures \$30,929 \$30,929

Note 14: Income Taxes

The Company files a consolidated federal income tax return. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, retained earnings included approximately \$17.5 million for which no deferred income tax liability had been recognized. This amount represents an allocation of income to bad debt deductions for tax purposes only for tax years prior to 1988. If the Bank were to liquidate, the entire amount would have to be recaptured and would create income for tax purposes only, which would be subject to the then-current corporate income tax rate. The unrecorded deferred income tax liability on the above amount was approximately \$6.5 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012.

Great Southern Bancorp, Inc. Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

During the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, the provision for income taxes included these components:

2013	2012 (In Thousan	2011 ads)	
\$12,242 (8,839	\$(142) 13,252) \$14,817 (9,304)
3,403	13,110	5,513	
	(2,487 \$10,623	, ,)
	\$12,242 (8,839 3,403	(In Thousard \$12,242 \$(142 (8,839) 13,252 3,403 13,110 — (2,487	(In Thousands) \$12,242

The tax effects of temporary differences related to deferred taxes shown on the statements of financial condition were:

		December 31,		
	2013		2012	2
		(In Thousands)		
Deferred tax assets				
Allowance for loan losses	\$ 14,041	\$	14,227	
Interest on nonperforming loans	210		549	
Accrued expenses	599		611	
Realized impairment on available-for-sale				
securities			1,247	
Write-down of foreclosed assets	3,697		4,119	
	18,547		20,753	
Deferred tax liabilities				
Tax depreciation in excess of book depreciation	(3,619)	(3,717)
FHLB stock dividends	(1,656)	(2,091)
Partnership tax credits	(3,068)	(3,241)
Prepaid expenses	(598)	(1,134)
Unrealized gain on available-for-sale securities	(1,344)	(8,965)
Difference in basis for acquired assets and				
liabilities	(12,049)	(21,619)
Other	(256)	(274)
	(22,590)	(41,041)

)

Net deferred tax liability \$ (4,043) \$ (20,288)

Great Southern Bancorp, Inc. Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Reconciliations of the Company's effective tax rates from continuing operations to the statutory corporate tax rates were as follows:

	2013		2012		2011	
Tax at statutory rate	35.0	%	35.0	%	35.0	%
Nontaxable interest and						
dividends	(4.6)	(3.5)	(6.3)
Tax credits	(22.8)	(12.5)	(15.2)
State taxes	1.6		0.5		0.7	
Other	_		(0.1)	0.7	
	9.2	%	19.4	%	14.9	%

The Company and its consolidated subsidiaries have not been audited recently by the Internal Revenue Service or the state taxing authorities with respect to income or franchise tax returns, and as such, tax years through December 31, 2005, have been closed without audit. The Company, through one of its subsidiaries, is a partner in two partnerships currently under Internal Revenue Service examinations for 2006 and 2007. As a result, the Company's 2006 and subsequent tax years remain open for examination. It is too early in the examination process to predict the outcome of the underlying partnership examinations; however, the Company does not expect significant adjustments to its financial statements from these examinations.

Note 15: Disclosures About Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Fair value measurements must maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. There is a hierarchy of three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities

Level Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities

Level 3Unobservable inputs supported by little or no market activity and are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities

Recurring Measurements

The following table presents the fair value measurements of assets recognized in the accompanying balance sheets measured at fair value on a recurring basis and the level within the fair value hierarchy in which the fair value measurements fall at December 31, 2013 and 2012:

		Fair Value Measurements Using Quoted Prices				
	Fair Value	in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) ousands)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)		
December 31, 2013		(1	o u ouriuo)			
U.S. government agencies	\$17,255	\$ —	\$17,255	\$ —		
Mortgage-backed securities	367,578		367,578			
Small Business Administration loan						
pools	44,855	_	44,855	_		
States and political subdivisions	122,724	_	122,724	_		
Equity securities	2,869	_	2,869	_		
Mortgage servicing rights	211	_	_	211		
Interest rate derivative asset	2,544			2,544		
Interest rate derivative liability	(1,613) —		(1,613)		
December 31, 2012						
U.S. government agencies	30,040	_	30,040	_		
Collateralized mortgage obligations	4,507		4,507			
Mortgage-backed securities	596,086		596,086			
Small Business Administration loan						
pools	51,493		51,493			
States and political subdivisions	122,878	_	122,878	_		
Equity securities	2,006	_	2,006	_		
Mortgage servicing rights	152	_	_	152		
Interest rate derivative asset	2,112	_	_	2,112		
Interest rate derivative liability	(2,160) —	_	(2,160)		

The following is a description of inputs and valuation methodologies used for assets recorded at fair value on a recurring basis and recognized in the accompanying statements of financial condition at December 31, 2013 and 2012, as well as the general classification of such assets pursuant to the valuation hierarchy. There have been no significant changes in the valuation techniques during the year ended December 31, 2013. For assets classified within Level 3 of

the fair value hierarchy, the process used to develop the reported fair value is described below.

Great Southern Bancorp, Inc. Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Available-for-Sale Securities

Investment securities available for sale are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. The fair values used by the Company are obtained from an independent pricing service, which represent either quoted market prices for the identical asset or fair values determined by pricing models, or other model-based valuation techniques, that consider observable market data, such as interest rate volatilities, LIBOR yield curve, credit spreads and prices from market makers and live trading systems. Recurring Level 1 securities include exchange traded equity securities. Recurring Level 2 securities include U.S. government agency securities, mortgage-backed securities, corporate debt securities, collateralized mortgage obligations, state and municipal bonds and U.S. government agency equity securities. Inputs used for valuing Level 2 securities include observable data that may include dealer quotes, benchmark yields, market spreads, live trading levels and market consensus prepayment speeds, among other things. Additional inputs include indicative values derived from the independent pricing service's proprietary computerized models. There were no Recurring Level 3 securities at both December 31, 2013 and 2012.

Mortgage Servicing Rights

Mortgage servicing rights do not trade in an active, open market with readily observable prices. Accordingly, fair value is estimated using discounted cash flow models. Due to the nature of the valuation inputs, mortgage servicing rights are classified within Level 3 of the hierarchy.

Interest Rate Swap Agreements

The fair value is estimated using forward-looking interest rate curves and is calculated using discounted cash flows that are observable or that can be corroborated by observable market data and, therefore, are classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy.

Level 3 Reconciliation

The following is a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances of recurring fair value measurements recognized in the accompanying statements of financial condition using significant unobservable (Level 3) inputs.

	Mortgage	
	Servicing	
	Rights	
	(In Thousands)	
Balance, January 1, 2012	\$292	
Additions	117	
Amortization	(257)	ı
Balance, December 31, 2012	152	
Additions	239	
Amortization	(180	,

\$211

	Interest Rate Derivative Asset (In Thousands)
Balance, January 1, 2012 Net change in fair value Balance, December 31, 2012 Net change in fair value	\$111 2,001 2,112 (253)
Balance, December 31, 2013	\$1,859
	Interest Rate Cap Derivative Asset Designated as Hedging Instrument (In Thousands)
Balance, January 1, 2012 Net change in fair value Balance, December 31, 2012 Additions Net change in fair value	\$— — 738 (53)
Balance, December 31, 2013	\$685
	Interest Rate Swap Liability (In Thousands)
Balance, January 1, 2012 Net change in fair value Balance, December 31, 2012 Net change in fair value	\$121 2,039 2,160 (547)
Balance, December 31, 2013	\$1,613

Nonrecurring Measurements

The following tables present the fair value measurement of assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis and the level within the fair value hierarchy in which the fair value measurements fall at December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	Fair Value Measurements Using Quoted Prices in Active Markets Other Significant for Identical Observable Unobservable			Ü
	T	Assets	Inputs	Inputs
	Fair Value	(Level 1) (In The	(Level 2) ousands)	(Level 3)
December 31, 2013		(III III	ousunus)	
Impaired loans				
One- to four-family residential construction	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Subdivision construction	145		_	145
Land development	1,474		_	1,474
Owner occupied one- to four-family				
residential	349		_	349
Non-owner occupied one- to four-family				
residential	388	_	_	388
Commercial real estate	5,224			5,224
Other residential	1,440		_	1,440
Commercial business	61		_	61
Consumer auto	19			19
Consumer other	275			275
Home equity lines of credit	70			70
Total impaired loans	\$9,445	\$—	\$ —	\$9,445
Foreclosed assets held for sale	\$2,169	\$ —	\$—	\$2,169
December 31, 2012				
Impaired loans				
One- to four-family residential construction	\$171	\$ —	\$ —	\$171
Subdivision construction	1,482	_	_	1,482
Land development	1,463	_	_	1,463
Owner occupied one- to four-family				
residential	2,638	_	_	2,638
Non-owner occupied one- to four-family				
residential	2,392		_	2,392

Edgar Filing: GREAT SOUTHERN BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Commercial real estate	21,764		_	21,764
Other residential	4,162		_	4,162
Commercial business	2,186		_	2,186
Consumer auto	51		_	51
Consumer other	286		_	286
Home equity lines of credit	44		_	44
Total impaired loans	\$36,639	\$ —	\$ —	\$36,639
Foreclosed assets held for sale	\$11,360	\$ —	\$ —	\$11,360

Great Southern Bancorp, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Following is a description of the valuation methodologies used for assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis and recognized in the accompanying statements of financial condition, as well as the general classification of such assets pursuant to the valuation hierarchy. For assets classified within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy, the process used to develop the reported fair value is described below.

Loans Held for Sale

Mortgage loans held for sale are recorded at the lower of carrying value or fair value. The fair value of mortgage loans held for sale is based on what secondary markets are currently offering for portfolios with similar characteristics. As such, the Company classifies mortgage loans held for sale as Nonrecurring Level 2. Write-downs to fair value typically do not occur as the Company generally enters into commitments to sell individual mortgage loans at the time the loan is originated to reduce market risk. The Company typically does not have commercial loans held for sale. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, the aggregate fair value of mortgage loans held for sale exceeded their cost. Accordingly, no mortgage loans held for sale were marked down and reported at fair value.

Impaired Loans

A loan is considered to be impaired when it is probable that all of the principal and interest due may not be collected according to its contractual terms. Generally, when a loan is considered impaired, the amount of reserve required under FASB ASC 310, Receivables, is measured based on the fair value of the underlying collateral. The Company makes such measurements on all material loans deemed impaired using the fair value of the collateral for collateral dependent loans. The fair value of collateral used by the Company is determined by obtaining an observable market price or by obtaining an appraised value from an independent, licensed or certified appraiser, using observable market data. This data includes information such as selling price of similar properties and capitalization rates of similar properties sold within the market, expected future cash flows or earnings of the subject property based on current market expectations, and other relevant factors. All appraised values are adjusted for market-related trends based on the Company's experience in sales and other appraisals of similar property types as well as estimated selling costs. Each quarter management reviews all collateral dependent impaired loans on a loan-by-loan basis to determine whether updated appraisals are necessary based on loan performance, collateral type and guarantor support. At times, the Company measures the fair value of collateral dependent impaired loans using appraisals with dates prior to one year from the date of review. These appraisals are discounted by applying current, observable market data about similar property types such as sales contracts, estimations of value by individuals familiar with the market, other appraisals, sales or collateral assessments based on current market activity until updated appraisals are obtained. Depending on the length of time since an appraisal was performed and the data provided through our reviews, these appraisals are typically discounted 10-40%. The policy described above is the same for all types of collateral dependent impaired loans.

Great Southern Bancorp, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

The Company records impaired loans as Nonrecurring Level 3. If a loan's fair value as estimated by the Company is less than its carrying value, the Company either records a charge-off for the portion of the loan that exceeds the fair value or establishes a reserve within the allowance for loan losses specific to the loan. Loans for which such charge-offs or reserves were recorded during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, are shown in the table above (net of reserves).

Foreclosed Assets Held for Sale

Foreclosed assets held for sale are initially recorded at fair value less estimated cost to sell at the date of foreclosure. Subsequent to foreclosure, valuations are periodically performed by management and the assets are carried at the lower of carrying amount or fair value less estimated cost to sell. Foreclosed assets held for sale are classified within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. The foreclosed assets represented in the table above have been re-measured during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, subsequent to their initial transfer to foreclosed assets.

The following disclosure relates to financial assets for which it is not practicable for the Company to estimate the fair value at December 31, 2013 and 2012.

FDIC Indemnification Asset

As part of the Purchase and Assumption Agreements, the Bank and the FDIC entered into loss sharing agreements. These agreements cover realized losses on loans and foreclosed real estate subject to certain limitations which are more fully described in Note 4.

Under the TeamBank agreement, the FDIC agreed to reimburse the Bank for 80% of the first \$115 million in realized losses and 95% for realized losses that exceed \$115 million. The indemnification asset was originally recorded at fair value on the acquisition date (March 20, 2009) and at December 31, 2013 and 2012, the carrying value was \$1.3 million and \$7.9 million, respectively.

Under the Vantus Bank agreement, the FDIC agreed to reimburse the Bank for 80% of the first \$102 million in realized losses and 95% for realized losses that exceed \$102 million. The indemnification asset was originally recorded at fair value on the acquisition date (September 4, 2009) and at December 31, 2013 and 2012, the carrying value of the FDIC indemnification asset was \$2.3 million and \$7.3 million, respectively.

Under the Sun Security Bank agreement, the FDIC agreed to reimburse the Bank for 80% of realized losses. The indemnification asset was originally recorded at fair value on the acquisition date (October 7, 2011) and at December 31, 2013 and 2012, the carrying value of the FDIC indemnification asset was \$10.4 million and \$26.8 million, respectively.

Under the InterBank agreement, the FDIC agreed to reimburse the Bank for 80% of realized losses. The indemnification asset was originally recorded at fair value on the acquisition date (April 27, 2012) and at December 31, 2013 and 2012, the carrying value of the FDIC indemnification asset was \$58.8 million and \$75.3 million, respectively.

Great Southern Bancorp, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

From the dates of acquisition, each of the four agreements extends ten years for 1-4 family real estate loans and five years for other loans. The loss sharing assets are measured separately from the loan portfolios because they are not contractually embedded in the loans and are not transferable with the loans should the Bank choose to dispose of them. Fair values on the acquisition dates were estimated using projected cash flows available for loss sharing based on the credit adjustments estimated for each loan pool and the loss sharing percentages. These cash flows were discounted to reflect the uncertainty of the timing and receipt of the loss sharing reimbursements from the FDIC. The loss sharing assets are also separately measured from the related foreclosed real estate. Although the assets are contractual receivables from the FDIC, they do not have effective interest rates. The Bank will collect the assets over the next several years. The amount ultimately collected will depend on the timing and amount of collections and charge-offs on the acquired assets covered by the loss sharing agreements. While the assets were recorded at their estimated fair values on the acquisition dates, it is not practicable to complete fair value analyses on a quarterly or annual basis. Estimating the fair value of the FDIC indemnification asset would involve preparing fair value analyses of the entire portfolios of loans and foreclosed assets covered by the loss sharing agreements from all three acquisitions on a quarterly or annual basis.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The following methods were used to estimate the fair value of all other financial instruments recognized in the accompanying statements of financial condition at amounts other than fair value.

Cash and Cash Equivalents and Federal Home Loan Bank Stock

The carrying amount approximates fair value.

Loans and Interest Receivable

The fair value of loans is estimated by discounting the future cash flows using the current rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the same remaining maturities. Loans with similar characteristics are aggregated for purposes of the calculations. The carrying amount of accrued interest receivable approximates its fair value.

Deposits and Accrued Interest Payable

The fair value of demand deposits and savings accounts is the amount payable on demand at the reporting date, i.e., their carrying amounts. The fair value of fixed maturity certificates of deposit is estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies the rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities. The carrying amount of accrued interest payable approximates its fair value.

Great Southern Bancorp, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Federal Home Loan Bank Advances

Rates currently available to the Company for debt with similar terms and remaining maturities are used to estimate fair value of existing advances.

Short-Term Borrowings

The carrying amount approximates fair value.

Subordinated Debentures Issued to Capital Trusts

The subordinated debentures have floating rates that reset quarterly. The carrying amount of these debentures approximates their fair value.

Structured Repurchase Agreements

Structured repurchase agreements are collateralized borrowings from a counterparty. In addition to the principal amount owed, the counterparty also determines an amount that would be owed by either party in the event the agreement is terminated prior to maturity by the Company. The fair values of the structured repurchase agreements are estimated based on the amount the Company would be required to pay to terminate the agreement at the reporting date.

Commitments to Originate Loans, Letters of Credit and Lines of Credit

The fair value of commitments is estimated using the fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the present creditworthiness of the counterparties. For fixed rate loan commitments, fair value also considers the difference between current levels of interest rates and the committed rates. The fair value of letters of credit is based on fees currently charged for similar agreements or on the estimated cost to terminate them or otherwise settle the obligations with the counterparties at the reporting date.

The following table presents estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments. The fair values of certain of these instruments were calculated by discounting expected cash flows, which method involves significant judgments by management and uncertainties. Fair value is the estimated amount at which financial assets or liabilities could be exchanged in a current transaction between willing parties, other than in a forced or liquidation sale. Because no market exists for certain of these financial instruments and because management does not intend to sell these financial instruments, the Company does not know whether the fair values shown below represent values at which the respective financial instruments could be sold individually or in the aggregate.

	December 31, 2013		December 31, 2012			
	Carrying	Fair	Hierarchy	Carrying	Fair	Hierarchy
	Amount	Value	Level	Amount	Value	Level
Financial assets						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$227,925	\$227,925	1	\$404,141	\$404,141	1
Held-to-maturity securities	805	912	2	920	1,084	2
Mortgage loans held for sale	7,239	7,239	2	26,829	26,829	2
Loans, net of allowance for loan						
losses	2,439,530	2,442,917	3	2,319,638	2,326,051	3
Accrued interest receivable	11,408	11,408	3	12,755	12,755	3
Investment in FHLB stock	9,822	9,822	3	10,095	10,095	3
Financial liabilities						
Deposits	2,808,626	2,813,779	3	3,153,193	3,162,288	3
FHLB advances	126,757	131,281	3	126,730	131,280	3
Short-term borrowings	136,109	136,109	3	180,416	180,416	3
Structured repurchase						
agreements	50,000	53,485	3	53,039	58,901	3
Subordinated debentures	30,929	30,929	3	30,929	30,929	3
Accrued interest payable	1,099	1,099	3	1,322	1,322	3
Unrecognized financial						
instruments (net of						
contractual value)						
Commitments to originate loans			3		_	3
Letters of credit	76	76	3	84	84	3
Lines of credit	_		3		_	3

Note 16: Operating Leases

The Company has entered into various operating leases at several of its locations. Some of the leases have renewal options.

At December 31, 2013, future minimum lease payments were as follows (in thousands):

2014	\$858
2015	536
2016	429
2017	420
2018	403
Thereafter	1,089

\$3,735

Rental expense was \$1.0 million, \$1.7 million and \$1.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Note 17: Derivatives and Hedging Activities

Risk Management Objective of Using Derivatives

The Company is exposed to certain risks arising from both its business operations and economic conditions. The Company principally manages its exposures to a wide variety of business and operational risks through management of its core business activities. The Company manages economic risks, including interest rate, liquidity and credit risk, primarily by managing the amount, sources and duration of its assets and liabilities. In the normal course of business, the Company may use derivative financial instruments (primarily interest rate swaps) from time to time to assist in its interest rate risk management. The Company has interest rate derivatives that result from a service provided to certain qualifying loan customers that are not used to manage interest rate risk in the Company's assets or liabilities and are not designated in a qualifying hedging relationship. The Company manages a matched book with respect to its derivative instruments in order to minimize its net risk exposure resulting from such transactions. In addition, the Company has interest rate derivatives that are designated in a qualified hedging relationship.

Nondesignated Hedges

The Company has interest rate swaps that are not designated in qualifying hedging relationship. Derivatives not designated as hedges are not speculative and result from a service the Company provides to certain loan customers, which the Company began offering during the fourth quarter of 2011. The Company executes interest rate swaps with commercial banking customers to facilitate their respective risk management strategies. Those interest rate swaps are simultaneously hedged by offsetting interest rate swaps that the Company executes with a third party, such that the Company minimizes its net risk exposure resulting from such transactions. As the interest rate swaps associated with this program do not meet the strict hedge accounting requirements, changes in the fair value of both the customer swaps and the offsetting swaps are recognized directly in earnings. As of December 31, 2013, the Company had 24 interest rate swaps totaling \$114.0 million in notional amount with commercial customers, and 24 interest rate swaps with the same notional amount with third parties related to this program. As of December 31, 2012, the Company had 16 interest rate swaps totaling \$81.7 million in notional amount with commercial customers, and 16 interest rate swaps with the same notional amount with third parties related to this program. During the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company recognized a net gain of \$295,000 and a net loss of \$38,000, respectively, in noninterest income related to changes in the fair value of these swaps.

Cash Flow Hedges

As a strategy to maintain acceptable levels of exposure to the risk of changes in future cash flows due to interest rate fluctuations, the Company entered into two interest rate cap agreements for a portion of its floating rate debt associated with its trust preferred securities. The agreement with a notional amount of \$25 million states that the Company will pay interest on its trust preferred debt in accordance with the original debt terms at a rate of 3-month LIBOR + 1.60%. Should interest rates rise above a certain threshold, the counterparty will reimburse the Company

for interest paid

such that the Company will have an effective interest rate on that portion of its trust preferred securities no higher than 2.37%. The second agreement with a notional amount of \$5 million states that the Company will pay interest on its trust preferred debt in accordance with the original debt terms at a rate of 3-month LIBOR + 1.40%. Should interest rates rise above a certain threshold, the counterparty will reimburse the Company for interest paid such that the Company will have an effective interest rate on that portion of its trust preferred securities no higher than 2.17%. The agreements were effective on August 1, 2013 and July 1, 2013, respectively, and have a term of four years.

The effective portion of the gain or loss on the derivative is reported as a component of other comprehensive income and reclassified into earnings in the same period or periods during which the hedged transaction affects earnings. Gains and losses on the derivative representing either hedge ineffectiveness or hedge components excluded from the assessment of effectiveness are recognized in current earnings.

The table below presents the fair value of the Company's derivative financial instruments as well as their classification on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition:

	Location in	Fair	Value
	Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments Interest rate caps	Prepaid expenses and other assets	\$685	s—
Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments		\$685	\$ —
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments			
Asset Derivatives Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments			
Interest rate products	Prepaid expenses and other assets	\$1,859	\$2,112
Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments		\$1,859	\$2,112
Liability Derivatives Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments			
Interest rate products	Accrued expenses and other liabilities	\$1,613	\$2,160

Total derivatives not Designated as hedging instruments

\$1,613

\$2,160

Great Southern Bancorp, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

The following tables present the effect of derivative instruments on the statements of comprehensive income:

Year Ended December 31
Amount of Gain (Loss)
Recognized in OCI
2013
2012

Cash Flow Hedges

\$(34

) \$—

Interest rate cap, net of income taxes

Agreements with Derivative Counterparties

The Company has agreements with its derivative counterparties. If the Company defaults on any of its indebtedness, including default where repayment of the indebtedness has not been accelerated by the lender, then the Company could also be declared in default on its derivative obligations. If the Bank fails to maintain its status as a well-capitalized institution, then the counterparty could terminate the derivative positions and the Company would be required to settle its obligations under the agreements. Similarly, the Company could be required to settle its obligations under certain of its agreements if certain regulatory events occurred, such as the issuance of a formal directive, or if the Company's credit rating is downgraded below a specified level.

As of December 31, 2013, the termination value of derivatives in a net liability position, which included accrued interest but excluded any adjustment for nonperformance risk, related to these agreements was \$480,000. The Company has minimum collateral posting thresholds with its derivative counterparties. At December 31, 2013, the Company's activity with its derivative counterparties had met the level at which the minimum collateral posting thresholds take effect and the Company had posted \$778,000 of collateral to satisfy the agreement. As of December 31, 2012, the termination value of derivatives in a net liability position, which included accrued interest but excluded any adjustment for nonperformance risk, related to these agreements was \$2.2 million. At December 31, 2012, the Company's activity with its derivative counterparties had met the level at which the minimum collateral posting thresholds take effect and the Company had posted \$2.9 million of collateral to satisfy the agreement. If the Company had breached any of these provisions at December 31, 2013 and 2012, it could have been required to settle its obligations under the agreements at the termination value.

Great Southern Bancorp, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Note 18: Commitments and Credit Risk

Commitments to Originate Loans

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since a significant portion of the commitments may expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. The Bank evaluates each customer's creditworthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary by the Bank upon extension of credit, is based on management's credit evaluation of the counterparty. Collateral held varies but may include accounts receivable, inventory, property and equipment, commercial real estate and residential real estate.

At December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Bank had outstanding commitments to originate loans and fund commercial construction loans aggregating approximately \$84.4 million and \$168.0 million, respectively. The commitments extend over varying periods of time with the majority being disbursed within a 30- to 180-day period.

Mortgage loans in the process of origination represent amounts that the Bank plans to fund within a normal period of 60 to 90 days, many of which are intended for sale to investors in the secondary market. Total mortgage loans in the process of origination amounted to approximately \$7.0 million and \$31.6 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Letters of Credit

Standby letters of credit are irrevocable conditional commitments issued by the Bank to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. Financial standby letters of credit are primarily issued to support public and private borrowing arrangements, including commercial paper, bond financing and similar transactions. Performance standby letters of credit are issued to guarantee performance of certain customers under nonfinancial contractual obligations. The credit risk involved in issuing standby letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loans to customers. Fees for letters of credit issued are initially recorded by the Bank as deferred revenue and are included in earnings at the termination of the respective agreements. Should the Bank be obligated to perform under the standby letters of credit, the Bank may seek recourse from the customer for reimbursement of amounts paid.

The Company had total outstanding standby letters of credit amounting to approximately \$28.4 million and \$25.4 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, with \$25.4 million and \$22.5 million, respectively, of the letters of credit having terms up to five years and \$3.0 million and \$2.9 million, respectively, of the letters of credit having terms over five years. Of the amount having terms over five years, \$2.9 million and \$2.9 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, consisted of an outstanding letter of credit to guarantee the payment of principal and interest on a Multifamily Housing Refunding Revenue Bond Issue.

Great Southern Bancorp, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Purchased Letters of Credit

The Company has purchased letters of credit from the Federal Home Loan Bank as security for certain public deposits. The amount of the letters of credit was \$14.9 million and \$13.3 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and they expire in less than one year from issuance.

Lines of Credit

Lines of credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Lines of credit generally have fixed expiration dates. Since a portion of the line may expire without being drawn upon, the total unused lines do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. The Bank evaluates each customer's creditworthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary by the Bank upon extension of credit, is based on management's credit evaluation of the counterparty. Collateral held varies but may include accounts receivable, inventory, property and equipment, commercial real estate and residential real estate. The Bank uses the same credit policies in granting lines of credit as it does for on-balance-sheet instruments.

At December 31, 2013, the Bank had granted unused lines of credit to borrowers aggregating approximately \$249.9 million and \$84.0 million for commercial lines and open-end consumer lines, respectively. At December 31, 2012, the Bank had granted unused lines of credit to borrowers aggregating approximately \$207.2 million and \$79.5 million for commercial lines and open end consumer lines, respectively.

Credit Risk

The Bank grants collateralized commercial, real estate and consumer loans primarily to customers in the southwest and central portions of Missouri, the greater Kansas City, Missouri, area, the greater Minneapolis, Minnesota, area, and the western and central portions of Iowa. Although the Bank has a diversified portfolio, loans aggregating approximately \$130.0 million and \$151.5 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, are secured by motels, restaurants, recreational facilities, other commercial properties and residential mortgages in the Branson, Missouri, area. Residential mortgages account for approximately \$44.8 million and \$54.1 million of this total at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

In addition, loans (excluding those covered by loss sharing agreements) aggregating approximately \$428.1 million and \$389.9 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, are secured primarily by apartments, condominiums, residential and commercial land developments, industrial revenue bonds and other types of commercial properties in the St. Louis, Missouri, area.

Note 19: Additional Cash Flow Information

	2013	2012 (In Thousands)	2011
Noncash Investing and Financing Activities			
Real estate acquired in settlement of			
loans	\$45,941	\$82,954	\$59,927
Sale and financing of foreclosed assets	\$11,303	\$11,855	\$11,755
Conversion of foreclosed assets to			
premises and equipment		_	\$2,669
Conversion of premises and equipment			
to foreclosed assets	\$2,111	_	
Dividends declared but not paid	\$2,606	\$168	\$2,799
Additional Cash Payment Information			
Interest paid	\$19,426	\$29,332	\$36,634
Income taxes paid	\$17,351	\$33	\$13,233
Income taxes refunded	_	\$11,646	\$4,975

Note 20: Employee Benefits

The Company participates in the Pentegra Defined Benefit Plan for Financial Institutions (Pentegra DB Plan), a multiemployer defined benefit pension plan covering all employees who have met minimum service requirements. Effective July 1, 2006, this plan was closed to new participants. Employees already in the plan continue to accrue benefits. The Pentegra DB Plan's Employer Identification Number is 13-5645888 and the Plan Number is 333. The Company's policy is to fund pension cost accrued. Employer contributions charged to expense for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, were approximately \$744,000, \$895,000 and \$1.0 million, respectively. The Company's contributions to the Pentegra DB Plan were not more than 5% of the total contributions to the plan. The funded status of the plan as of July 1, 2013 and 2012, was 102.24% and 111.88%, respectively. The funded status was calculated by taking the market value of plan assets, which reflected contributions received through June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, divided by the funding target. No collective bargaining agreements are in place that require contributions to the Pentegra DB Plan.

The Company has a defined contribution retirement plan covering substantially all employees. The Company matches 100% of the employee's contribution on the first 3% of the employee's compensation and also matches an additional 50% of the employee's contribution on the next 2% of the employee's compensation. During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company matched 100% of the employee's contribution on the first 4% of the employee's compensation, and plus an additional 50% of the employee's contribution on the next 2% of the employee's compensation. Employer contributions charged to expense for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, were approximately \$870,000, \$1.2 million and \$1.0 million, respectively.

Great Southern Bancorp, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Note 21: Stock Option Plan

The Company established the 1997 Stock Option and Incentive Plan for employees and directors of the Company and its subsidiaries. Under the plan, stock options or other awards could be granted with respect to 1,600,000 (adjusted for stock splits) shares of common stock. Upon stockholders' approval of the 2003 Stock Option and Incentive Plan (the "2003 Plan"), the 1997 Stock Option and Incentive Plan was frozen; therefore, no new stock options or other awards may be granted under this plan. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, no options were outstanding under this plan. On May 15, 2013, the Company's stockholders approved the Great Southern Bancorp, Inc. 2013 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2013 Plan"). Upon the stockholders' approval of the 2013 Plan, the Company's 2003 Plan was frozen. As a result, no new stock options or other awards may be granted under this plan; however, existing outstanding awards under the 2003 Plan were not affected. At December 31, 2013, 583,207 options were outstanding under the 2003 Plan.

During 2013, the Company established the 2013 Plan, which provides for the grant from time to time to directors, emeritus directors, officers, employees and advisory directors of stock options, stock appreciation rights and restricted stock awards. The number of shares of Common Stock available for awards under the 2013 Plan is 700,000, all of which may be utilized for stock options and stock appreciation rights and no more than 100,000 of which may be utilized for restricted stock awards. At December 31, 2013, 116,500 options were outstanding under the 2013 Plan.

Stock options may be either incentive stock options or nonqualified stock options, and the option price must be at least equal to the fair value of the Company's common stock on the date of grant. Options generally are granted for a 10-year term and generally become exercisable in four cumulative annual installments of 25% commencing two years from the date of grant. The Stock Option Committee may accelerate a participant's right to purchase shares under the plan.

Stock awards may be granted to key officers and employees upon terms and conditions determined solely at the discretion of the Stock Option Committee.

The table below summarizes transactions under the Company's stock option plans:

					Weighted
	Available to		Shares Under		Average Exercise
	Grant		Option		Price
Balance, January 1, 2011	462,453		743,796		\$23.592
Granted	(120,100)	120,100		19.349
Exercised			(25,856)	12.053
Forfeited from terminated plan(s)	_		(4,000)	12.898
Forfeited from current plan(s)	24,987		(24,987)	23.349
Balance, December 31, 2011	367,340		809,053		23.391
Granted	(105,200)	105,200		24.759
Exercised	_		(116,479)	19.488
Forfeited from current plan(s)	64,482		(64,482)	23.168
Balance, December 31, 2012	326,622		733,292		24.227
Granted from 2003 Plan	(3,100)	3,100		23.957
Exercised	_		(106,367)	19.687
Forfeited from terminated plan(s)	46,818		(46,818)	27.202
Termination of 2003 Plan	(370,340)	_		
	_		583,207		
Available to grant from 2013 Plan	700,000		_		
Granted from 2013 Plan	(116,500)	116,500		29.515
Balance, December 31, 2013	583,500		699,707		\$25.597

The Company's stock option grants contain terms that provide for a graded vesting schedule whereby portions of the options vest in increments over the requisite service period. These options typically vest one-fourth at the end of years two, three, four and five from the grant date. As provided for under FASB ASC 718, the Company has elected to recognize compensation expense for options with graded vesting schedules on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period for the entire option grant. In addition, ASC 718 requires companies to recognize compensation expense based on the estimated number of stock options for which service is expected to be rendered. Because the historical forfeitures of its share-based awards have not been material, the Company has not adjusted for forfeitures in its share-based compensation expensed under ASC 718.

The fair value of each option award is estimated on the date of the grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions:

	December 31, 2013		December 31, 2012		December 31, 2011	
Expected dividends per share	\$0.72		\$0.72		\$0.72	
Risk-free interest rate	1.53	%	0.65	%	0.93	%
Expected life of options	5 years		5 years		5 years	
Expected volatility	24.80	%	28.83	%	27.99	%
Weighted average fair value of						
options granted during year	\$5.22		\$4.55		\$3.15	

Expected volatilities are based on the historical volatility of the Company's stock, based on the monthly closing stock price. The expected term of options granted is based on actual historical exercise behavior of all employees and directors and approximates the graded vesting period of the options. Expected dividends are based on the annualized dividends declared at the time of the option grant. The risk-free interest rate is based on the five-year treasury rate on the grant date of the options.

The following table presents the activity related to options under all plans for the year ended December 31, 2013.

	Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term
Options outstanding, January 1, 2013	733,292	\$24.227	5.34
Granted	119,600	29.371	
Exercised	(106,367) 19.687	
Forfeited	(46,818) 27.202	
Options outstanding, December 31, 2013	699,707	25.597	5.93
Options exercisable, December 31, 2013	359,749	26.356	3.28

For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, options granted were 119,600, 105,200, and 120,100, respectively. The total intrinsic value (amount by which the fair value of the underlying stock exceeds the exercise price of an option on exercise date) of options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, was \$858,000, \$1.0 million and \$145,000, respectively. Cash received from the exercise of options for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, was \$1.2 million, \$2.3 million and \$311,000, respectively. The actual tax benefit realized for the tax deductions from option exercises totaled \$764,000, \$888,000 and \$97,000 for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The following table presents the activity related to nonvested options under all plans for the year ended December 31, 2013.

			Weighted	Weighted
			Average	Average
			Exercise	Grant Date
	Options		Price	Fair Value
Nonvested options, January 1, 2013	300,703	\$	21.442	\$4.596
Granted	119,600		29.371	5.212
Vested this period	(65,389)	18.437	4.768
Nonvested options forfeited	(14,956)	21.715	4.790
Nonvested options, December 31, 2013	339,958		24.794	4.768

At December 31, 2012, there was \$1.5 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested options granted under the Company's plans. This compensation cost is expected to be recognized through 2018, with the majority of this expense recognized in 2014 and 2015.

The following table further summarizes information about stock options outstanding at December 31, 2013:

		•	Options Outstandir	ng				
			Weighted			Options Ex	kerc1s	
			Average		Weighted			Weighted
			Remaining		Average			Average
	Range of	Number	Contractual		Exercise	Number		Exercise
Ex	ercise Prices	Outstanding	Life		Price	Exercisable		Price
	8.360 to							
\$	\$19.960	126,372	7.20 years	\$	16.924	51,163	\$	13.489
Ф	319.900 20.120 to	120,372	7.20 years	Ф	10.924	31,103	Ф	13.469
Φ		207.050	7.50		22.272	50.001		22.065
\$	\$25.000	207,050	7.53 years		23.373	58,801		22.065
	25.480 to							
\$	\$36.390	366,285	4.58 years		29.846	249,785		30.001

699,707 5.93 years 25.597 359,749 26.356

Great Southern Bancorp, Inc. Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Note 22: Significant Estimates and Concentrations

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require disclosure of certain significant estimates and current vulnerabilities due to certain concentrations. Estimates related to the allowance for loan losses are reflected in Note 3. Estimates used in valuing acquired loans, loss sharing agreements and FDIC indemnification assets and in continuing to monitor related cash flows of acquired loans are discussed in Note 4. Current vulnerabilities due to certain concentrations of credit risk are discussed in the footnotes on loans, deposits and on commitments and credit risk.

Other significant estimates not discussed in those footnotes include valuations of foreclosed assets held for sale. The carrying value of foreclosed assets reflects management's best estimate of the amount to be realized from the sales of the assets. While the estimate is generally based on a valuation by an independent appraiser or recent sales of similar properties, the amount that the Company realizes from the sales of the assets could differ materially in the near term from the carrying value reflected in these financial statements.

Note 23: Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

The components of accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI), included in stockholders' equity, are as follows:

	2013	2012
Net unrealized gain (loss) on available-for-sale securities Net unrealized gain (loss) on available-for-sale securities for which a portion of an other-than-temporary impairment has	\$3,841	\$25,593
been recognized in income	_	22
Net unrealized gain (loss) on derivatives used for cash flow hedges	(53 3,788) — 25,615
Tax effect	(1,326) (8,965)
Net-of-tax amount	\$2,462	\$16,650

Great Southern Bancorp, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Changes in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (AOCI) by Component

Amounts reclassified from AOCI and the affected line items in the statements of income during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, were as follows:

				declassified AOCI	
		2013		2012	Affected Line Item in the Statements of Income
Unrealized gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities	\$243			\$2,666	Net realized gains on available-for-sale securities (total reclassified amount before tax) Total reclassified amount before tax
Income taxes	(85)	(933) Tax (expense) benefit
Total reclassifications out of AOCI	\$158			\$1,733	

Note 24: Regulatory Matters

The Company and the Bank are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can result in certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct and material effect on the Company's financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Company and the Bank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of the Company's and the Bank's assets, liabilities and certain off-balance-sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The Company's and the Bank's capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings and other factors.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Bank to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the table below) of Total and Tier I Capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk-weighted assets (as defined) and of Tier I Capital (as defined) to adjusted tangible assets (as defined). Management believes, as of December 31, 2013, that the Bank meets all capital adequacy requirements to which it is subject.

As of December 31, 2013, the most recent notification from the Bank's regulators categorized the Bank as well capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. To be categorized as well capitalized the Bank must maintain minimum total risk-based, Tier I risk-based and Tier 1 leverage capital ratios as set forth in the table. There are no conditions or events since that notification that management believes have changed the Bank's category.

Great Southern Bancorp, Inc. Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

The Company's and the Bank's actual capital amounts and ratios are presented in the following table. No amount was deducted from capital for interest-rate risk.

	Aci	tual		For C Adequacy	•		To Be Capitaliz Prompt C Action P	ed Under Corrective	
	Amount	Ratio		Amount	Ratio		Amount	Ratio	
				(In Tho	usands)				
As of December 31, 2013									
Total risk-based capital	*				• • •				
Great Southern Bancorp, Inc.	\$436,156	16.9		\$3 207,075	³ 8.0	%	N/A	N/A	
Great Southern Bank	\$398,292	15.4	%	\$3 206,850	³ 8.0	%	\$ ³ 258,562	³ 10.0	%
Tier I risk-based capital									
Great Southern Bancorp, Inc.	\$403,705	15.6	%	\$³ 103,538	³ 4.0	%	N/A	N/A	
Great Southern Bank	\$365,876	14.2	%	\$3 103,425	³ 4.0	%	\$ ³ 155,137	³ 6.0	%
Tier I leverage capital									
Great Southern Bancorp, Inc.	\$403,705	11.3	%	\$³ 143,057	³ 4.0	%	N/A	N/A	
Great Southern Bank	\$365,876	10.2		\$ ³ 142,865	³ 4.0	%		³ 5.0	%
As of December 31, 2012									
Total risk-based capital	¢ 407 725	16.9	07	¢3 102 01 <i>6</i>	³ 8.0	01	N/A	N/A	
Great Southern Bancorp, Inc. Great Southern Bank	\$407,725			\$3 192,816 \$3 102,646	³ 8.0	%			01
Great Southern Bank	\$383,859	15.9	%	\$3 192,646	3 8.0	%	\$3 240,808	³ 10.0	%
Tier I risk-based capital									
Great Southern Bancorp, Inc.	\$377,468	15.7	%	\$3 96,408	³ 4.0	%	N/A	N/A	
Great Southern Bank	\$353,628	14.7	%	\$3 96,323	³ 4.0	%	\$³ 144,485	³ 6.0	%
Tier I leverage capital									
Great Southern Bancorp, Inc.	\$377,468	9.5	%	\$³ 159,359	³ 4.0	%	N/A	N/A	
Great Southern Bank	\$353,628	8.9	%	\$3 159,120	³ 4.0	%	\$3 198,900	³ 5.0	%

The Company and the Bank are subject to certain restrictions on the amount of dividends that may be declared without prior regulatory approval. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company and the Bank exceeded their minimum capital requirements. The entities may not pay dividends which would reduce capital below the minimum requirements shown above.

Note 25: Litigation Matters

In the normal course of business, the Company and its subsidiaries are subject to pending and threatened legal actions, some of which seek substantial relief or damages. While the ultimate outcome of such legal proceedings cannot be predicted with certainty, after reviewing pending and threatened litigation with counsel, management believes at this time that, except as noted below, the outcome of such litigation will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition or results of operations.

On November 22, 2010, a suit was filed against the Bank in Missouri state court in Springfield by a customer alleging that the fees associated with the Bank's automated overdraft program in connection with its debit card and ATM cards constitute unlawful interest in violation of Missouri's usury laws. The suit seeks class-action status for Bank customers who have paid overdraft fees on their checking accounts. The Court denied a motion to dismiss filed by the Bank and litigation is ongoing. At this stage of the litigation, it is not possible for management of the Bank to determine the probability of a material adverse outcome or reasonably estimate the amount of any potential loss.

2012

Note 26: Summary of Unaudited Quarterly Operating Results

Following is a summary of unaudited quarterly operating results for the years 2013, 2012 and 2011:

		2013			
	Three N	Months Ended			
March 31	June 30	September 30	December 31		
	(In Thousands, Except Per Share Data)				
\$47,356	\$43,481	\$43,019	\$44,939		
5,224	4,980	4,555	4,445		
8,225	3,671	2,677	2,813		
34	97	110	2		
2,924	2,327	929	(864)		
26,942	27,617	27,178	28,651		
1,495	1,316	1,099	(507)		
8,394	8,224	8,439	8,673		
_	_	_	_		
8,394	8,224	8,439	8,673		
8,249	8,079	8,294	8,528		
0.60	0.59	0.61	0.62		
	\$47,356 5,224 8,225 34 2,924 26,942 1,495 8,394 — 8,394 8,249	March 31 June 30 (In Thousands, E \$47,356 \$43,481 5,224 4,980 8,225 3,671 34 97 2,924 2,327 26,942 27,617 1,495 1,316 8,394 8,224 — 8,394 8,224 — 8,394 8,224 8,249 8,079	March 31 Three Months Ended June 30 September 30 (In Thousands, Except Per Share Data) \$47,356 \$43,481 \$43,019 5,224 4,980 4,555 8,225 3,671 2,677 34 97 110 2,924 2,327 929 26,942 27,617 27,178 1,495 1,316 1,099 8,394 8,224 8,439 — — — 8,394 8,224 8,439 8,249 8,079 8,294		

	March 31	Three I June 30	2012 Months Ended September 30	December 31	
		(In Thousands,	Except Per Share Date	ta)	
Interest income	\$44,677	\$48,221	\$50,159	\$50,451	
Interest expense	7,904	7,744	6,904	5,825	
Provision for loan losses	10,077	17,600	8,400	7,786	
Net realized gains (losses) and					
impairment					
on available-for-sale securities	28	1,251	507	200	
Noninterest income	6,087	35,848	2,085	1,982	
Noninterest expense	24,984	28,157	29,152	30,267	
Provision for income taxes	661	9,039	746	177	
Net income from continuing operations	7,138	21,529	7,042	8,378	
Discontinued operations	359	127	63	4,070	
Net income	7,497	21,656	7,105	12,448	
Net income available to common	7 252	21 512	6.055	12 270	
shareholders	7,353 0.54	21,512 1.58	6,955 0.51	12,278 0.90	
Earnings per common share – diluted	0.34	1.38	0.31	0.90	
			2011		
			Months Ended		
	March 31 June 30 September 30 De				
		(In Thousands, Except Per Share Data)			
Interest income	\$49,040	\$49,144	\$49,965	\$50,518	
Interest expense	9,679	8,852	8,325	8,290	
Provision for loan losses	8,200	8,431	8,500	10,205	
Net realized gains (losses) and					
impairment					
on available-for-sale securities		(400) 483	(215)	
Noninterest income	(4,006) (4,375) (3,010) 15,522	
Noninterest expense	19,820	20,277	21,218	36,161	
Provision for income taxes	1,731	1,550	2,462	(560)	
Net income from continuing operations	5,604	5,659	6,450	11,944	
Discontinued operations	289	231	3	89	
Net income	5,893	5,890	6,453	12,033	
Net income available to common	5 0.40	5 100	4.442	11.660	
shareholders Earnings per common share – diluted	5,048 0.36	5,108 0.37	4,443 0.33	11,660 0.85	

Great Southern Bancorp, Inc. Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

Note 27: Condensed Parent Company Statements

The condensed statements of financial condition at December 31, 2013 and 2012, and statements of income, comprehensive income and cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, for the parent company, Great Southern Bancorp, Inc., were as follows:

December 31, 2013 2012 (In Thousands)

Statements of Financial Condition

Assets

Cash	\$38,965	\$23,430
Available-for-sale securities	2,869	2,006
Investment in subsidiary bank	371,590	375,281