OFFICE DEPOT INC
Form PRRN14A
May 30, 2013
UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

**SCHEDULE 14A** 

(Rule 14a-101)

INFORMATION REQUIRED IN CONSENT STATEMENT SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Consent Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

(Amendment No. 2)

Filed by the Registrant o

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant x

Check the appropriate box:

xPreliminary Proxy Statement

oConfidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

oDefinitive Proxy Statement

oDefinitive Additional Materials

oSoliciting Material Under Rule 14a-12

OFFICE DEPOT, INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

STARBOARD VALUE AND OPPORTUNITY MASTER FUND LTD

STARBOARD VALUE AND OPPORTUNITY S LLC

STARBOARD VALUE LP

STARBOARD VALUE GP LLC

STARBOARD PRINCIPAL CO LP

STARBOARD PRINCIPAL CO GP LLC

JEFFREY C. SMITH

MARK R. MITCHELL

PETER A. FELD

T-S CAPITAL PARTNERS, LLC

ROBERT TELLES

JAMES P. FOGARTY

CYNTHIA T. JAMISON

ROBERT L. NARDELLI DAVID N. SIEGEL JOSEPH S. VASSALLUZZO (Name of Persons(s) Filing Consent Statement, if Other Than the Registrant)

Payment o	f Filing Fee (Ch	neck the appropriate box):	
x No fee required.		iired.	
0	Fee comput	•	ge Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
	(1)	Title of each class of s	securities to which transaction applies:
	(2)	Aggregate number of	securities to which transaction applies:
	(3)	_	underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to 11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated etermined):
	(4)	Proposed maximum as	ggregate value of transaction:
	(5)	Total fee paid:	
0 0	Check box filing for w	• •	as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the d previously. Identify the previous filing by registration
	(2)		Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
	(3)		Filing Party:
	(4)		Date Filed:
			Persons who are to respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB control number.
2			

# PRELIMINARY COPY SUBJECT TO COMPLETION DATED MAY 30, 2013

### STARBOARD VALUE AND OPPORTUNITY MASTER FUND LTD

, 2013

Dear Fellow Office Depot Stockholder:

Starboard Value and Opportunity Master Fund Ltd ("Starboard V&O Fund") and the other participants in this solicitation (collectively, "Starboard" or "we") are the beneficial owners of an aggregate of 42,284,089 shares of common stock, \$0.01 par value per share (the "Common Stock"), of Office Depot, Inc., a Delaware corporation ("Office Depot" or the "Company"), representing approximately 14.8% of the outstanding shares of Common Stock of the Company. Through the enclosed Consent Statement, we are soliciting your consent for a number of proposals, the ultimate effect of which would be, if we are successful, to remove three current members of the Board of Directors of Office Depot (the "Board") and to elect to the Board four highly qualified director nominees that we have identified. By providing your consent, you will help to enable the proposals we have made to reconstitute the Board succeed. We urge all stockholders to support this effort.

We believe the Board must be reconstituted at this critical time for Office Depot to help ensure that the Board is composed of the individuals who are in the best position to protect the interests of stockholders. The individuals we have nominated are highly qualified, capable and ready to serve stockholders to help Office Depot address the challenges ahead and evaluate open-mindedly all alternative strategies to make Office Depot a stronger, more profitable, and ultimately more valuable company.

We understand that undertaking a consent solicitation is a rather extraordinary action for seeking board representation. Unfortunately, since the Board has been unwilling to work constructively with us to add any of our highly qualified director candidates to the Board and has informed us that it has no plans to hold its 2013 annual meeting of stockholders (the "2013 Annual Meeting") prior to the vote later this year on the proposed merger with OfficeMax Incorporated ("OfficeMax" and the proposed merger, the "OfficeMax Merger"), the consent solicitation is the only alternative available to us at this time for providing stockholders the opportunity to elect directors whom they believe will serve and protect their best interests in the boardroom.

Starboard is an investment management firm that seeks to invest in undervalued and underperforming public companies. Our approach to such investments is to actively engage and work closely with management teams and boards of directors in a constructive manner to identify and execute on opportunities to unlock value for the benefit of all stockholders. Starboard believes it has established a strong track record of creating stockholder value at many public companies over the past ten years.

Since our initial investment in Office Depot, we have conducted extensive due diligence on the Company and each of its business units; we have carefully analyzed the Company's operating and financial performance; and we have reviewed the competitive landscape in the office supply superstore (OSS) sector in which the Company operates. We have strong views regarding the current state and future direction of Office Depot and how to create substantial value for stockholders. Through four public letters and numerous private communications, we have demonstrated the causes for our concerns with Office Depot and have clearly articulated our views on the challenges Office Depot faces, and the future opportunities it can hope to capture. Unfortunately, there has been little progress in addressing the issues we have identified, and instead the Board has adopted a 15% "poison pill" plan and failed to monetize the Company's valuable joint venture interest in Office Depot de Mexico. Starboard has repeatedly set forth in letters to the Board and other communications its strong belief that Office Depot's joint venture interest in Office Depot de

Mexico has substantial hidden value and it is incumbent upon the Board to monetize the asset's value for the benefit of stockholders. To date, the Board has not reached a definitive agreement for the sale of the asset.

The period between now and the vote to approve the OfficeMax Merger is a critical time for the future of the Company. Now more than ever, Office Depot needs a well-qualified, committed Board to protect the interests of Office Depot's stockholders. We strongly believe that any benefits contemplated by the OfficeMax Merger, if approved, will be most fully realized through the effective guidance of a significantly reconfigured Board. In our view, a newly reconstituted Board that possesses the appropriate skill sets to oversee a turnaround of Office Depot with a goal of substantially improving operating performance is necessary, whether as a stand-alone company or as a merged company.

Stockholders cannot afford to simply continue with the status quo and hope for improved results down the road if the Company is merged. Instead, now is the time to act to immediately improve the current operating performance of the business on a stand-alone basis and to be in position to maximize the longer term synergies with OfficeMax if the OfficeMax Merger is approved.

While we are in favor of the OfficeMax Merger, we have made it clear to the Board over the past several months that in light of, among other things, Office Depot's consistent underperformance, the Board's failure to monetize the JV Interest and the incumbent directors' lack of meaningful retail operating experience, we are uncomfortable with the execution and experience of the Board as currently composed. We believe it is critically important to substantially improve the Board as soon as possible. There is always a chance that the OfficeMax Merger may not be consummated, and Office Depot should not wait to plan and build a strategy for a far improved company. To that end, we have made every effort to work constructively with the Board to reconstitute, or even just add to, the Board with a group of highly qualified director candidates. Despite the Board's continued indications that it wishes to work with us to address our concerns, there has been little-to-no progress in our discussions to date.

It has also become clear to us that the Board intends to continue to delay holding the Company's 2013 Annual Meeting. We note that the Company held its 2012 annual meeting on April 26, 2012 and has held its annual meeting during the latter half of April for at least the past six years. We also note that in contrast to Office Depot, OfficeMax has set an annual meeting date of April 29, 2013, consistent with its previous years' annual meetings, and has already filed its proxy materials. Office Depot's stockholders deserve the opportunity now, without further delay, to elect the directors they want to represent their best interests (i) during the pendency of the OfficeMax Merger, (ii) in selecting the future CEO of the Company and (iii) in selecting the directors who would be eligible to serve on the pro forma board should the OfficeMax Merger be approved.

This consent solicitation is, therefore, a last resort means to allow stockholders to elect their representation on the
Board without further delay. We are seeking your support for the removal of four current directors of Office Depot
[],[],and the election of our four nominees,
[],[] and []. We hope it is clear to you that the extraordinary
action of launching this consent solicitation in this situation is frustrating for us and was not at all our preference, but
represents the only way to effect the much-needed change in the Office Depot boardroom.

Also, while it appears that we are seeking the removal of four current directors, we note that one of the directors we are seeking to remove is a BC Partners Designee (as defined below) and pursuant to the terms of the Investor Rights Agreement, dated as of June 23, 2009, among the Company, BC Partners, Inc. (together with its affiliates, "BC Partners") and certain funds advised by BC Partners (the "Investor Rights Agreement"), the Board is required to promptly elect to the Board a replacement director designated by BC Partners in the event of the death, disability, resignation or removal of any BC Partners Designee. Therefore, the net effect of our proposals, if approved by stockholders, will be to remove three current members of the Board and to elect our four highly qualified director nominees to the Board.

The Board is currently composed of ten directors, all of whom are elected annually and three of whom are designated by BC Partners pursuant to the terms of the Investor Rights Agreement and the Certificates of Designations of the Company's Preferred Stock held by BC Partners (the "Preferred Stock"). Under the terms of the Investor Rights Agreement, for so long as BC Partners' ownership percentage is at 5%, 10% and 15% of the outstanding voting securities of the Company, BC Partners has the right to nominate for election to the Board one, two and three directors, respectively, (the "BC Partners Designees" and each, a "BC Partners Designee"). According to publicly disclosed information, BC Partners is currently entitled to nominate three BC Partners Designees. Furthermore, pursuant to the Investor Rights Agreement and the Certificates of Designations of the Preferred Stock, in the event of the removal of a BC Partners Designee, BC Partners has the sole ability to fill the resulting vacancy. We are seeking to remove one of the BC Partners Designees on the Board, [\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_]. Accordingly, we believe that if Starboard is successful in removing [\_\_\_\_\_\_\_], then BC Partners will have the right to designate a replacement director to serve as the BC Partners Designee on the Board. If stockholders approve all the other proposals, the Board size will be increased to eleven members and our four nominees will be elected to the Board.

We do not believe that the current Board has served the best interests of the Company's stockholders, and we do not have confidence in the ability of the current Board to improve the Company's operating performance and enhance stockholder value. Without change to the current Board, we also fear that the Company's intrinsic value may continue to sharply deteriorate under the continued stewardship of the current Board. From 2007 to 2012, Office Depot's revenue has declined from \$15.5 billion to \$10.7 billion. Over that same time, general and administrative expenses have increased from \$646 million to \$673 million. This has caused adjusted operating income to decline from \$522 million to \$97 million in 2012. With the right Board leadership and improved oversight, we believe significant opportunities exist to greatly improve operating performance and enhance stockholder value.

If elected to the Board, our nominees, subject to their fiduciary duties as directors, will work with the other members of the Board to explore more vigorously all opportunities to enhance stockholder value, including, but not limited to, adjusting operating expenses to appropriately reflect current business prospects, applying more stringent methods for allocating capital to growth initiatives, fully evaluating each of the Company's business segments to identify financial and strategic opportunities for value creation, and any other opportunities to unlock value that the nominees may identify.

If you have any questions or require any assistance with your consent, please contact Okapi Partners LLC, which is assisting us, at its address and toll-free numbers listed below.

Thank you for your support.

Jeffrey C. Smith Starboard Value and Opportunity Master Fund Ltd

If you have any questions, require assistance in voting your WHITE consent card, or need additional copies of Starboard's consent materials, please contact Okapi Partners at the phone numbers or email listed below.

#### OKAPI PARTNERS LLC

437 Madison Avenue, 28th Floor New York, N.Y. 10022 (212) 297-0720

Stockholders Call Toll-Free at: 877-869-0171

E-mail: info@okapipartners.com

OFFICE DEPOT, INC.

PRELIMINARY COPY SUBJECT TO COMPLETION DATED MAY 30, 2013

CONSENT STATEMENT	
CONSENT STATEMENT	
CONSENT STATEMENT	

STARBOARD VALUE AND OPPORTUNITY MASTER FUND LTD

#### PLEASE SIGN, DATE AND MAIL THE ENCLOSED WHITE CONSENT CARD TODAY

Starboard Value and Opportunity Master Fund Ltd ("Starboard V&O Fund"), Starboard Value and Opportunity S LLC ("Starboard LLC"), Starboard Value LP ("Starboard Value LP"), Starboard Value GP LLC ("Starboard Value GP"), Starboard Principal Co LP ("Principal Co"), Starboard Principal Co GP LLC ("Principal GP"), Jeffrey C. Smith, Mark R. Mitchell, and Peter A. Feld (collectively, "Starboard" or "we") are significant stockholders of Office Depot, Inc., a Delaware corporation ("Office Depot" or the "Company"), owning approximately 14.8% of the outstanding shares of common stock, \$0.01 par value per share (the "Common Stock"), of the Company. We are seeking to change a meaningful minority of the Board of Directors of the Company (the "Board") because we believe that the Board must be significantly and immediately reconstituted so that the interests of the stockholders, the true owners of Office Depot, are more appropriately represented in the boardroom.

A solicitation of written consents is a process that allows a company's stockholders to act by submitting written consents to any proposed stockholder actions in lieu of voting in person or by proxy at an annual or special meeting of stockholders. We are soliciting written consents from the holders of shares of the Common Stock to take the following actions (each, as more fully described in this Consent Statement, a "Proposal" and together, the "Proposals"), in the following order, without a stockholders' meeting, as authorized by Delaware law:

Proposal No. 1 – Repeal any provision of the Amended and Restated Bylaws of Office Depot ("the Bylaws") in effect at the time this proposal becomes effective, including any amendments thereto, which were not included in the Bylaws that became effective on February 22, 2013 and were filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") on that date (the "Bylaw Restoration Proposal");

Proposal No. 2 – Remove without cause four members of the Board,,,	and
, a designee of BC Partners, Inc., including any person (other than those elected by this cor	ısent
solicitation) elected or appointed to the Board to fill any vacancy on the Board or any newly-created director	ships
after, 2013 and prior to the effectiveness of the Proposals (the "Removal Proposal");	

Proposal No. 3 – Amend Article III, Section 4 of the Bylaws, as set forth on Schedule III to this Consent Statement, to provide that any vacancies on the Board resulting from the removal of directors by the stockholders of Office Depot may be filled by the stockholders of the Company (the "Vacancy Proposal");

Proposal No. 4 – Amend Article III, Section 2 of the Bylaws, as set forth on Schedule IV to this Consent Statement, to provide that the number of directors which shall constitute the Board may be established by the stockholders of the Company (the "Board Size Bylaw Proposal");

Proposal No. 5 – In the event that the BC Partners director is removed pursuant to Proposal No. 2, increase the size of the Board to eleven members (the "Board Size Proposal"); and

Proposal No. 6 –Elect Starboard's four nominees,,,	and	, to serve as
directors of Office Depot (or, if any such nominee is unable or unwilling to serve as other person designated as a nominee by the remaining nominee or nominees) (t "Nominees") (the "Election Proposal").	a director of the	Company, any
This Consent Statement and the enclosed WHITE consent card are first being sent Office Depot on or about	or given to the s	tockholders of
We are soliciting your consent in favor of the adoption of the Removal Proposal, the Size Bylaw Proposal, the Board Size Proposal and the Election Proposal because stockholders will be best served by directors who are committed to safeguarding and all Office Depot stockholders. In addition, we are also soliciting your consent in fav Restoration Proposal to ensure that the incumbent Board does not limit the effect of you incumbent members of the Board and the election of the Nominees through changes SEC on or before February 22, 2013.	ause we believe d promoting the b yor of the adoption our consent to the	Office Depot est interests of n of the Bylaw removal of the
The effectiveness of each of the Proposals, except the election of directors in Prop consent of the holders of record of a majority of the shares of outstanding voting secu on the Record Date. Each Proposal will be effective without further action when w requisite number of consents. The Bylaw Restoration Proposal, the Removal Proposal Board Size Bylaw Proposal are not subject to, or conditioned upon, the effectiveness of No. 5, referred to as the Board Size Proposal, is conditioned upon the BC Partners directly the Removal Proposal and the approval of the Board Size Bylaw Proposal. Proposal appointees is conditioned, in part, upon the effectiveness of the Removal Proposal, and there are Starboard Nominees can be elected pursuant to the Removal Proposal, and there are Starboard Nominees can be elected pursuant to Proposal No. 6. If fewer than four dithe Removal Proposal and there are more Starboard Nominees receiving a plura vacancies existing after the Removal Proposal, then Starboard intends to fill the vacancies existing after the Removal Proposal, then Starboard intends to fill the vacancies existing after the Removal Proposal, but either of the Board Size Bylaw Proposal or the Board Size Bylaw Proposal or the Board Size	rities as of the cleve deliver to Offical, the Vacancy Proof the other Proportion of the other Proportion of the other Proportion of the other Proposal No. 6 to elect a fine of the other proposal of the other proposal of the foliotectors are removed in the foliotectors are removed proposal are not	ose of business ce Depot such coposal and the coposal. Proposal wed pursuant to the Starboard members of (or fill, none of the wed pursuant to than there are llowing order; wed pursuant to
On, 2013, Starboard delivered to the Secretary of Office Depot a written record date in accordance with the Bylaws for determining stockholders entitled to groposals (the "Record Date"). According to the Company, as of the Record Date Common Stock outstanding, each of which is entitled to one consent on each Proposal Company, as of the Record Date, there were shares of 10% Series A Redee Perpetual Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share and shares of 10% Se Convertible Participating Perpetual Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share are entitled to consents. Seconomic interest represents approximately 11.7% of the voting authority of Office consent on an as converted basis.	en request for the give their written e, there were al. In addition, acemable Convertibleries B Redeemabhare (together, Starboard believe	consent to the shares of coording to the le Participating ble Conditional the "Preferred s that its 14.8%
9		

In addition, none of the Proposals will be effective unless the delivery of the written consents complies with Section 228(c) of the Delaware General Corporation Law ("DGCL"). For the Proposals to be effective, properly completed and unrevoked written consents must be delivered to Office Depot within 60 days of the earliest dated written consent delivered to Office Depot. Starboard delivered a written consent to Office Depot on
WE URGE YOU TO ACT TODAY TO ENSURE THAT YOUR CONSENT WILL COUNT.
Starboard reserves the right to submit to Office Depot consents at any time within 60 days of the earliest dated written consent delivered to Office Depot. See "Consent Procedures" for additional information regarding such procedures.
As of
As of the Record Date, there were shares of Common Stock outstanding and shares of Preferred Stock outstanding, as reported in, filed with the SEC on The mailing address of the principal executive offices of Office Depot is 6600 North Military Trail, Boca Raton, Florida 33496.
The failure to sign and return a consent will have the same effect as voting against the Proposals. Please note that in addition to signing the enclosed WHITE consent card, you must also date it to ensure its validity.
THIS CONSENT SOLICITATION IS BEING MADE BY STARBOARD AND NOT BY OR ON BEHALF OF THE COMPANY.STARBOARD URGES YOU TO SIGN, DATE AND RETURN THE WHITE CONSENT CARD IN FAVOR OF THE PROPOSALS DESCRIBED HEREIN
Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Consent Materials for this Consent Solicitation
This Consent Statement is available at
10

#### **IMPORTANT**

#### PLEASE READ THIS CAREFULLY

If your shares of Common Stock are registered in your own name, please submit your consent to us today by signing, dating and returning the enclosed WHITE consent card in the postage-paid envelope provided.

If you hold your shares in "street" name with a bank, broker firm, dealer, trust company or other nominee, only they can exercise your right to consent with respect to your shares of Common Stock and only upon receipt of your specific instructions. Accordingly, it is critical that you promptly give instructions to consent to the Proposals to your bank, broker firm, dealer, trust company or other nominee. Please follow the instructions to consent provided on the enclosed WHITE consent card. If your bank, broker firm, dealer, trust company or other nominee provides for consent instructions to be delivered to them by telephone or Internet, instructions will be included on the enclosed WHITE consent card. Starboard urges you to confirm in writing your instructions to the person responsible for your account and provide a copy of those instructions to Starboard Value LP, c/o Okapi Partners LLC, 437 Madison Avenue, 28th Floor, New York, NY 10022 so that we will be aware of all instructions given and can attempt to ensure that such instructions are followed.

Execution and delivery of a consent by a record holder of shares of Common Stock will be presumed to be a consent with respect to all shares held by such record holder unless the consent specifies otherwise.

Only holders of record of voting securities of the Company as of the close of business on the Record Date will be entitled to consent to the Proposals. If you are a stockholder of record as of the close of business on the Record Date, you will retain your right to consent even if you sell your shares of Common Stock after the Record Date.

IF YOU TAKE NO ACTION, YOU WILL IN EFFECT BE REJECTING THE PROPOSALS. ABSTENTIONS, FAILURES TO CONSENT AND BROKER NON-VOTES WILL HAVE THE SAME EFFECT AS WITHHOLDING CONSENT.

If you have any questions regarding your WHITE consent card,

or need assistance in voting your Shares, please call:

OKAPI PARTNERS LLC 437 Madison Avenue, 28th Floor New York, N.Y. 10022 (212) 297-0720

Stockholders Call Toll-Free at: 877-869-0171

E-mail: info@okapipartners.com

#### BACKGROUND TO THE SOLICITATION

The following is a chronology of material events leading up to this proxy solicitation.

- ·Between June 2012 and September 2012, representatives of Starboard had conversations with the investor relations personnel of Office Depot to discuss the business fundamentals.
- ·On September 5, 2012, representatives of Starboard met with Office Depot's Chairman of the Board and CEO, Neil Austrian, and other members of Office Depot's management team at a Goldman Sachs conference to discuss the business fundamentals.
- ·On September 17, 2012, Starboard disclosed a 13.3% interest in Office Depot and delivered a letter to Office Depot's Chairman and CEO, Neil Austrian, and the Board (the "September 17 Letter"). Starboard's comprehensive letter demonstrated that based on its detailed research and analysis, Office Depot is deeply undervalued and a substantial opportunity exists to improve its performance and valuation based on actions that are within the control of the Board and management team. Starboard outlined a number of opportunities to meaningfully improve operating performance and dramatically increase EBITDA. The letter stated that the Company could achieve substantial margin improvement by, among other things: (i) meaningfully reducing general and administrative ("G&A") expenses to historical G&A expense-to-sales and G&A expense per store ratios; (ii) significantly lowering advertising expenses, which are substantially higher than peer levels and do not appear to be generating an adequate return on advertising dollars invested; (iii) increasing the mix of higher-margin services in its North American Retail Division, which carry gross margins two times greater than its average store gross margin; (iv) increasing private label direct sourced penetration of stock-keeping units (SKUs), which carries significantly higher gross margins than sourcing through an agent; (v) reducing the number of SKUs in order to lower procurement expense; (vi) downsizing to smaller store formats to drive substantially higher operating margins; and (vii) increasing the mix of significantly higher-margin small-to medium-sized business customers in the Company's North American Business Solutions Division. Starboard further estimated that Office Depot de Mexico, a non-core and highly profitable 50/50 joint venture (the "JV Interest") between the Company and Gigante S.A.B. de C.V. ("Gigante"), which is not consolidated in the Company's financial statements, could be worth more than 50% of Office Depot's entire enterprise value. Starboard stressed that management must act with a sense of urgency and discipline to reduce expenses and execute on strategic initiatives and expressed its hope for constructive dialogue with the Board and senior management to address the challenges and opportunities facing Office Depot, and to help ensure that it is run with the best interests of all stockholders as the primary objective.
- ·On October 2, 2012, Jeff Smith, CEO of Starboard Value, had a conversation with Neil Austrian, in the course of which Mr. Smith expressed Starboard's desire to constructively work with the Company and help it unlock value for stockholders.
- ·On October 12, 2012, in an amendment to its Schedule 13D, Starboard disclosed aggregate ownership of 42,100,000 shares of Common Stock, or 14.8% of the outstanding shares of Common Stock.
- ·On October 30, 2012, the Company announced that effective October 24, 2012, the Board had adopted a "poison pill" rights plan (the "Poison Pill") with a 15% ownership limitation.

- •On November 7, 2012, representatives of Starboard met with members of the Board at the Company's executive headquarters in Boca Raton, Florida. During the meeting, Starboard discussed with the Board the challenges facing the Company and its views on how to improve profitability and unlock value for stockholders.
- •On November 16, 2012, Starboard delivered a letter to the independent members of the Board (the "November 16 Letter"). In the letter, Starboard denounced the adoption by the Board of the Poison Pill. Starboard outlined in the letter its belief that the effect of the Poison Pill is to preserve and entrench the Board by limiting the influence of stockholders over Board composition and other matters, while allowing the Board to maintain and increase its effective voting control over the Company. Specifically, Starboard explained how the Poison Pill, when taken together with the voting agreement provisions under the Investor Rights Agreement, dated as of June 23, 2009 (the "Investor Rights Agreement") with BC Partners, Inc. (together with its affiliates, "BC Partners"), effectively provides the Board with current voting authority over securities representing in excess of 22% of the securities eligible to vote while limiting common stockholders to economic ownership of only 15% and maximum voting authority of only 11.7% in the third quarter of 2012. Further, Starboard highlighted specific exemptions under the Poison Pill that allow the Board to further increase its effective voting authority while at the same time diluting common stockholders' voting authority. One such exemption paves the way for the Board to continue to pay in-kind quarterly dividends to BC Partners on its Preferred Stock. Another exemption permits BC Partners to acquire another 2% of common shares. Starboard found these exemptions particularly egregious since BC Partners is required by the Investor Rights Agreement to vote with the Board on the election of directors and other matters that are up for stockholder vote. Starboard called on the Board to immediately: (i) take any actions necessary to ensure that BC Partners' preferred stock votes on a pro-rata basis in accordance with all stockholders, not solely in accordance with the recommendation of the Board; and (ii) revoke the ill-advised Poison Pill.
- •On December 4, 2012, representatives of Starboard met with members of the management team of Office Depot at the Company's executive headquarters. During the meeting, Starboard discussed the challenges facing the Company and its views on how to improve profitability and unlock value for stockholders. Starboard also expressed its continued desire to work constructively with the Company for the benefit of all stockholders.
- •During the months of December 2012 through February 2013, Mr. Smith had several discussions with members of the Board. During those discussions, Mr. Smith stated his views on how to unlock value for stockholders. Mr. Smith also expressed his willingness to join the Board alone because Starboard believed his experience and interest in Office Depot could be valuable in the event that the Board were to undertake any potential negotiations with either OfficeMax Incorporated ("OfficeMax") or relating to the JV Interest.
- On January 24, 2013, the Company announced that following discussions with Starboard the Board has amended and restated the Company's Bylaws to extend the deadline for stockholders to nominate candidates for election to the Board at the 2013 Annual Meeting to the close of business on February 25, 2013.

- ·On February 20, 2013, Office Depot announced its entry, together with its wholly owned direct subsidiaries Dogwood Merger Sub Inc. and Dogwood Merger Sub LLC, into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the "Merger Agreement") with OfficeMax and its subsidiaries, Mapleby Holdings Merger Corporation and Mapleby Merger Corporation, pursuant to which the companies would combine in an all-stock merger of equals transaction intended to qualify as a tax-free reorganization (the "OfficeMax Merger"). Under the Merger Agreement, each share of OfficeMax common stock would be converted into the right to receive 2.69 shares of Office Depot Common Stock.
- ·Between February 20, 2013 and February 22, 2013, Mr. Smith had conversations with certain members of the Board. Mr. Smith discussed Starboard's continued desire to work constructively with the Company to improve the Board through the addition of directors with significant retail operating experience. Mr. Smith also stated that while Starboard was highly encouraged by the announcement of the OfficeMax Merger, there was still uncertainty as to whether the OfficeMax Merger would be approved by stockholders and that the work of Office Depot's directors was not finished. Mr. Smith also noted Office Depot's poor quarterly results announced on February 20, 2013. Mr. Smith reiterated that Office Depot needed the best Board and management team possible to improve the operating performance of the Company to help the chances of the OfficeMax Merger's success and put Office Depot in a stronger position as a stand-alone entity if the OfficeMax Merger was not approved.
- On February 22, 2013, Office Depot announced that on February 15, 2013 the Board received an offer from the Company's joint venture partner, Gigante, to purchase its JV Interest for \$690.5 million. Gigante's offer was initially set to expire on February 28, 2013.
- ·Also on February 22, 2013, the Company announced that after further discussions with Starboard, the Board has amended and restated the Company's Bylaws to amend the deadline for stockholder nominations of candidates for election to the Board at the 2013 Annual Meeting to no later than the tenth day following the day on which public announcement of the date of the 2013 Annual Meeting is made.
- On February 27, 2013, Starboard delivered another letter to the Board (the "February 27 Letter"). In the letter, Starboard restated its belief that the significant value of the JV Interest is not fully reflected in the stock price of the Company. Starboard noted that since Gigante's offer to purchase the JV Interest for \$690.5 million is set to expire on February 28, 2013, Starboard believes the Board should promptly obtain consent from OfficeMax under the Merger Agreement with OfficeMax to immediately explore a sale of the JV Interest to maximize value for stockholders. Starboard stated in the letter it believes it is the Board's fiduciary duty to monetize the Company's interest in the joint venture given the clear benefit to both Office Depot and OfficeMax as a combined company and to Office Depot as a stand-alone company. Starboard stated further that it recognizes OfficeMax is potentially conflicted as a sale of the JV Interest, while beneficial to the combined company, would also be beneficial to Office Depot as a stand-alone business and, therefore, may strengthen a competitor should the OfficeMax Merger not be completed. Starboard noted in the February 27 Letter that if OfficeMax did not consent to Office Depot's negotiations with Gigante or any other potential buyer regarding the sale of the JV Interest, Starboard would view this as both unreasonable and potentially anti-competitive.
- •On March 6, 2013, representatives of Starboard met with members of the Board. During the meeting, Starboard discussed its continued desire to work constructively with the Company to improve the Board with directors that have significant retail operating experience and can assist to unlock value for stockholders.

- ·On March 11, 2013, Mr. Smith spoke to a member of the Board to reiterate the importance of unlocking value for Office Depot stockholders by exploring alternatives for the JV Interest.
- ·On March 12, 2013, Starboard delivered a private letter to the Board reiterating its strong belief that it is incumbent upon the Board to immediately seek to monetize the JV Interest by exploring a sale of the JV Interest to Gigante, whose offer was then set to expire on March 15, 2013. Starboard noted it expects the Board to send a formal written request