

CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST

Form 10-K

February 15, 2013

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number: 1-12110

CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Texas

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

76-6088377

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

3 Greenway Plaza, Suite 1300

Houston, Texas

(Address of principal executive offices)

77046

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (713) 354-2500

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class

Common Shares of Beneficial Interest, \$.01 par value

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

Name of each exchange on which registered

New York Stock Exchange

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST - Form 10-K

10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in the Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes

No

The aggregate market value of voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant was \$5,493,647,249 based on a June 30, 2012 share price of \$67.67.

On February 8, 2013, 84,482,957 common shares of the registrant were outstanding, net of treasury shares and shares held in our deferred compensation arrangements.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the registrant's Proxy Statement in connection with its Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held May 10, 2013 are incorporated by reference in Part III.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>PART I</u>	
Item 1. <u>Business</u>	1
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	3
Item 1B. <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	8
Item 2. <u>Properties</u>	8
Item 3. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	14
Item 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	14
<u>PART II</u>	
Item 5. <u>Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	15
Item 6. <u>Selected Financial Data</u>	18
Item 7. <u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	20
Item 7A. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	40
Item 8. <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	40
Item 9. <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	40
Item 9A. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	40
Item 9B. <u>Other Information</u>	43
<u>PART III</u>	
Item 10. <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	43
Item 11. <u>Executive Compensation</u>	43
Item 12. <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	43
Item 13. <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	43
Item 14. <u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	44

PART IV

Item 15.	<u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>	<u>45</u>
----------	---	-----------

	<u>SIGNATURES</u>	<u>50</u>
--	-------------------	-----------

Table of Contents

PART I

Item 1. Business

General

Formed on May 25, 1993, Camden Property Trust, a Texas real estate investment trust (“REIT”), is primarily engaged in the ownership, management, development, acquisition, and construction of multifamily apartment communities. Unless the context requires otherwise, “we,” “our,” “us,” and the “Company” refer to Camden Property Trust and its consolidated subsidiaries. Our multifamily apartment communities are referred to as “communities,” “multifamily communities,” “properties,” or “multifamily properties” in the following discussion.

Our corporate offices are located at 3 Greenway Plaza, Suite 1300, Houston, Texas 77046 and our telephone number is (713) 354-2500. Our website is located at www.camdenliving.com. On our website we make available free of charge our annual, quarterly, and current reports, and amendments to such reports, filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”). We also make available, free of charge on our website, our Guidelines on Governance, Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, Code of Ethical Conduct for Senior Financial Officers, and the charters of each of our Audit, Compensation, Nominating, and Corporate Governance Committees. Copies are also available, without charge, from Investor Relations, 3 Greenway Plaza, Suite 1300, Houston, Texas 77046. References to our website in this report are provided as a convenience and do not constitute, and should not be viewed as, an incorporation by reference of the information contained on, or available through our website, therefore such information should not be considered part of this report. Our annual, quarterly, and current reports, proxy statements, and other information are electronically filed with the SEC. You may read and copy any materials we file with the SEC at the SEC’s Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20549. Please contact the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 for further information about the operation of the SEC’s Public Reference Room. The SEC also maintains a website at www.sec.gov which contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC.

Financial Information about Segments

We are primarily engaged in the ownership, management, development, acquisition, and construction of multifamily apartment communities. As each of our communities has similar economic characteristics, residents, amenities, and services, our operations have been aggregated into one reportable segment. See our consolidated financial statements and notes included thereto in Item 15 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for certain information required by Item 1.

Narrative Description of Business

As of December 31, 2012, we owned interests in, operated, or were developing 202 multifamily properties comprising 68,620 apartment homes across the United States. Of these 202 properties, nine properties were under development and when completed will consist of a total of 2,845 apartment homes. In addition, we own land parcels we may develop into multifamily apartment communities.

Operating and Business Strategy

We believe producing consistent earnings growth through property operations, development and acquisitions, achieving market balance, and recycling capital are crucial factors to our success. We rely heavily on our sophisticated property management capabilities and innovative operating strategies to help us maximize the earnings potential of our communities.

Real Estate Investments and Market Balance. We believe we are well positioned in our current markets and have the expertise to take advantage of new opportunities as they arise. These capabilities, combined with what we believe is a conservative financial structure, should allow us to concentrate our growth efforts toward selective opportunities to enhance our strategy of having a geographically diverse portfolio of assets which meet the requirements of our residents.

We continue to operate in our core markets which we believe provides an advantage due to economies of scale. We believe, where possible, it is best to operate with a strong base of properties in order to benefit from the personnel allocation and the market strength associated with managing multiple properties in the same market. However, consistent with our goal of generating sustained earnings growth, we intend to selectively dispose of properties and redeploy capital for various strategic reasons, including if we determine a property cannot meet long-term earnings

growth expectations.

We try to maximize capital appreciation of our properties by investing in markets characterized by conditions favorable to multifamily property appreciation. These markets generally feature one or more of the following:

1

Table of Contents

• Strong economic growth leading to household formation and job growth, which in turn should lead to high demand for our apartments;

• An attractive quality of life, which may lead to high demand and retention for our apartments and allow us to more readily increase rents;

• High barriers to entry where, because of, among other factors, land scarcity or government regulation, it is difficult or costly to build new apartment properties leading to low supply; and

• High single family home prices making our apartments a more economical housing choice.

Subject to market conditions, we intend to continue to look for opportunities to acquire existing communities, expand our development pipeline, and complete selective dispositions. We have two discretionary investment funds (the “funds”), both of which were closed to future investment as of December 31, 2012.

We intend to continue to focus on strengthening our capital and liquidity positions by generating positive cash flows from operations, maintaining appropriate debt levels and leverage ratios, and controlling overhead costs. We intend to meet our liquidity requirements through cash flows generated from operations, available cash balances, draws on our unsecured credit facility, proceeds from property dispositions, equity issued from our at-the-market share offering program, the use of debt and equity offerings under our automatic shelf registration statement and secured mortgages. Sophisticated Property Management. We believe the depth of our organization enables us to deliver quality services, promote resident satisfaction, and retain residents, thereby reducing our operating expenses. We manage our properties utilizing a staff of professionals and support personnel, including certified property managers, experienced apartment managers and leasing agents, and trained apartment maintenance technicians. Our on-site personnel are trained to deliver high quality services to our residents, and we strive to motivate our on-site employees through incentive compensation arrangements based upon property operational results, rental rate increases, occupancy levels, and level of lease renewals achieved.

Operations. We believe an intense focus on operations is necessary to realize consistent, sustained earnings growth. Ensuring resident satisfaction, increasing rents as market conditions allow, maximizing rent collections, maintaining property occupancy at optimal levels, and controlling operating costs comprise our principal strategies to maximize property financial results. We believe our web-based property management and revenue management systems strengthen on-site operations and allow us to quickly adjust rental rates as local market conditions change. Lease terms are generally staggered based on vacancy exposure by apartment type so lease expirations are matched to each property's seasonal rental patterns. We generally offer leases ranging from six to fifteen months with individual property marketing plans structured to respond to local market conditions. In addition, we conduct ongoing customer service surveys to help ensure timely response to residents' changing needs and a high level of satisfaction.

Investments in Joint Ventures. We have entered into, and may continue in the future to enter into, joint ventures through which we own an indirect economic interest of less than 100% of the community or land owned directly by the joint venture. See Note 8, “Investments in Joint Ventures,” and Note 14, “Commitments and Contingencies,” in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of our investments in joint ventures.

Competition

There are numerous housing alternatives which compete with our communities in attracting residents. Our properties compete directly with other multifamily properties as well as with condominiums and single-family homes which are available for rent or purchase in the markets in which our communities are located. This competitive environment could have a material adverse effect on our ability to lease apartment homes at our present communities or any newly developed or acquired community, as well as in the rents charged.

Employees

At December 31, 2012, we had approximately 1,825 employees, including executive, administrative, and community personnel. Our employee headcount has historically not varied significantly throughout the year.

Qualification as a Real Estate Investment Trust

As of December 31, 2012, we met the qualification of a REIT under Sections 856-860 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the “Code”). As a result, we, with the exception of our taxable REIT subsidiaries, will not be subject to federal income tax to the extent we continue to meet certain requirements of the Code.

Table of Contents

Item 1A. Risk Factors

In addition to the other information contained in this Form 10-K, the following risk factors should be considered carefully in evaluating our business. Our business, financial condition, or results of operations could be materially adversely affected by any of these risks. Additional risks not presently known to us, or which we currently consider immaterial, may also impair our business and operations.

Risks Associated with Capital Markets, Credit Markets, and Real Estate

Volatility in capital and credit markets, or other unfavorable changes in economic conditions, could adversely impact us.

The capital and credit markets are subject to volatility and disruption, as particularly experienced in the latter half of 2008 through most of 2010, during which spreads on prospective debt financings fluctuated and made it more expensive to borrow money. In the event of renewed market disruption or volatility, we may not be able to obtain new debt financing or refinance our existing debt on favorable terms or at all, which would adversely affect our liquidity, our ability to make distributions to shareholders, acquire and dispose of assets and continue our development pipeline. Other weakened economic conditions, including job losses and high unemployment rates, could adversely affect rental rates and occupancy levels. Unfavorable changes in economic conditions may have a material adverse impact on our cash flows and operating results.

Additional key economic risks which may adversely affect conditions in the markets in which we operate include the following:

- local conditions, such as an oversupply of apartments or other housing available for rent, or a reduction in demand for apartments in the area;

- declines in the financial condition of our tenants, which may make it more difficult for us to collect rents from some tenants;

- declines in market rental rates;

- low mortgage interest rates and home pricing, making alternative housing more affordable;

- government or builder incentives which enable home buyers to put little or no money down, making alternative housing options more attractive;

- regional economic downturns which affect one or more of our geographical markets; and

- increased operating costs, if these costs cannot be passed through to residents.

Short-term leases expose us to the effects of declining market rents.

Substantially all of our apartment leases are for a term of fifteen months or less. As these leases generally permit the residents to leave at the end of the lease term without penalty, our rental revenues are impacted by declines in market rents more quickly than if our leases were for longer terms.

We face risks associated with land holdings and related activities.

We hold land for future development and may in the future acquire additional land holdings. The risks inherent in purchasing, owning, and developing land increase as demand for apartments, or rental rates, decrease. Real estate markets are highly uncertain and, as a result, the value of undeveloped land has fluctuated significantly and may continue to fluctuate. In addition, carrying costs can be significant and can result in losses or reduced profitability. As a result, we hold certain land, and may in the future acquire additional land, in our development pipeline at a cost we may not be able to fully recover or at a cost which precludes our developing a profitable multifamily community. If there are subsequent changes in the fair value of our land holdings which we determine is less than the carrying basis of our land holdings reflected in our financial statements plus estimated costs to sell, we may be required to take future impairment charges which would reduce our net income.

Difficulties of selling real estate could limit our flexibility.

We intend to continue to evaluate the potential disposition of assets which may no longer meet our investment objectives. When we decide to sell an asset, we may encounter difficulty in finding buyers in a timely manner as real estate investments generally cannot be disposed of quickly, especially when market conditions are poor. These factors may limit our ability to vary our portfolio promptly in response to changes in economic or other conditions and may also limit our ability to utilize sales proceeds as a source of liquidity, which would adversely affect our ability to make

distributions to shareholders or repay debt. In addition, the provisions of the Code relating to REITs limit our ability to earn a gain on the sale of property (unless we own the property

3

Table of Contents

through a subsidiary which will incur a taxable gain upon sale) if we have held the property less than two years, and this limitation may affect our ability to sell properties without adversely affecting returns to shareholders.

We could be negatively impacted by the condition of Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac.

Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac are a major source of financing for secured multifamily real estate. We and other multifamily companies have utilized Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac to finance growth by purchasing or guaranteeing apartment loans. In February 2011, the Obama administration released a report calling for the winding down of the role Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac play in the mortgage market. A final decision by the government to eliminate Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac, or reduce their role in the mortgage market, may adversely affect interest rates, capital availability, and the development of multifamily communities.

Compliance or failure to comply with laws, including those requiring access to our properties by disabled persons, could result in substantial cost.

The Americans with Disabilities Act (“ADA”), the Fair Housing Amendments Act of 1988 (“FHAA”), and other federal, state, and local laws, rules, and regulations, generally require public accommodations and apartment homes be made accessible to disabled persons. Noncompliance could result in the imposition of fines by the government or the award of damages to private litigants. These laws may require us to modify our existing properties. These laws may also restrict renovations by requiring improved access to such buildings by disabled persons or may require us to add other structural features which increase our construction costs. Legislation or regulations adopted in the future may impose further costs and obligations or restrictions on us with respect to improved access by disabled persons. We may incur unanticipated expenses which may be material to our financial condition or results of operations to comply with ADA, FHAA, and other federal, state, and local laws, or in connection with lawsuits brought by the government or private litigants.

Competition could limit our ability to lease apartments or increase or maintain rental income.

There are numerous housing alternatives which compete with our properties in attracting residents. Our properties compete directly with other multifamily properties as well as condominiums and single family homes which are available for rent or purchase in the markets in which our properties are located. This competitive environment could have a material adverse effect on our ability to lease apartment homes at our present properties or any newly developed or acquired property, as well as on the rents realized.

Risks Associated with Our Operations

Development and construction risks could impact our profitability.

We intend to continue to develop and construct multifamily apartment communities for our portfolio, with annual development starts expected in the range of \$250 to \$400 million. Our development and construction activities may be exposed to a number of risks which may increase our construction costs and decrease our profitability, including the following:

- inability to obtain, or delays in obtaining, necessary zoning, land-use, building, occupancy, and other required permits and authorizations;
- increased materials and/or labor costs, problems with subcontractors, or other costs including those costs due to errors and omissions which occur in the design or construction process;
- inability to obtain financing with favorable terms for the development of a community;
- inability to complete construction and lease-up of a community on schedule;
- the expected occupancy and rental rates may differ from the actual results; and
- incurring costs related to the abandonment of development opportunities which we have pursued and subsequently deemed unfeasible.

Our inability to successfully implement our development and construction strategy could adversely affect our results of operations and our ability to satisfy our financial obligations and pay distributions to shareholders.

One of our wholly-owned subsidiaries is engaged in the business of providing general contracting services under construction contracts entered into between it and third parties (including nonconsolidated subsidiaries). The terms of those construction contracts generally require this subsidiary to estimate the time and costs to complete a project, and to assume the risk these estimates may be greater than anticipated. As a result, profitability on those contracts is

dependent on the ability to accurately predict such factors. The time and costs necessary to complete a project may be affected by a variety of factors, including those listed above, many of which are beyond this subsidiary's control. In addition, the terms of those contracts generally require this subsidiary to warrant its work for a period of time during which it may be required to repair, replace, or rebuild non-conforming work. Further,

4

Table of Contents

trailing liabilities, based on various legal theories such as claims of negligent construction, may result from such projects, and these trailing liabilities may go on for a number of years depending on the length of the statutes of repose in various jurisdictions.

Our acquisition strategy may not produce the cash flows expected.

We may acquire additional operating properties on a selective basis. Our acquisition activities are subject to a number of risks, including the following:

- we may not be able to successfully integrate acquired properties into our existing operations;
- our estimates of the costs, if any, of repositioning or redeveloping the acquired property may prove inaccurate;
- the expected occupancy and rental rates may differ from the actual results; and
- we may not be able to obtain adequate financing.

With respect to acquisitions of operating properties, we may not be able to identify suitable candidates on terms acceptable to us and may not achieve expected returns or other benefits as a result of integration challenges, such as personnel and technology.

Competition could adversely affect our ability to acquire properties.

We expect other real estate investors, including insurance companies, pension and investment funds, private investors, and other multifamily REITs, will compete with us to acquire additional operating properties. This competition could increase prices for the type of properties we would likely pursue and adversely affect our ability to acquire these properties or the profitability of such properties upon acquisition.

Losses from catastrophes may exceed our insurance coverage.

We carry comprehensive property and liability insurance on our properties, which we believe is of the type and amount customarily obtained on similar real property assets by similar types of owners. We intend to obtain similar coverage for properties we acquire or develop in the future. However, some losses, generally of a catastrophic nature, such as losses from floods, hurricanes, or earthquakes, may be subject to coverage limitations. We exercise our discretion in determining amounts, coverage limits, and deductible provisions of insurance to maintain appropriate insurance on our investments at a reasonable cost and on suitable terms. If we suffer a catastrophic loss, our insurance coverage may not be sufficient to pay the full current market value or current replacement value of our lost investment, as well as the anticipated future revenues from the property. Inflation, changes in building codes and ordinances, environmental considerations, and other factors also may reduce the feasibility of using insurance proceeds to replace a property after it has been damaged or destroyed.

Investments through joint ventures and discretionary funds involve risks not present in investments in which we are the sole investor.

We have invested and may continue to invest as a joint venture partner in joint ventures. These investments involve risks, including the possibility the other joint venture partner may have business goals which are inconsistent with ours, possess the ability to take action or withhold consent contrary to our requests, or become insolvent and require us to assume and fulfill the joint venture's financial obligations. We and our joint venture partner may each have the right to initiate a buy-sell arrangement, which could cause us to sell our interest, or acquire our joint venture partner's interest, at a time when we otherwise would not have entered into such a transaction. Each joint venture agreement is individually negotiated, and our ability to operate, finance, and/or dispose of a community in our sole discretion may be limited to varying degrees depending on the terms of the joint venture agreement.

The risks associated with our discretionary funds, which we manage as the general partner and advisor and which as of December 31, 2012 were closed for future investments, include the following:

- one of our wholly-owned subsidiaries is the general partner of the funds and has unlimited liability for the third party debts, obligations, and liabilities of the funds pursuant to partnership law;
- investors in the funds (other than us), by majority vote, may remove our subsidiary as the general partner of the funds
- with or without cause and the funds' advisory boards, by a majority vote of their members, may remove our subsidiary as the general partner of the funds at any time for cause;
- while we have broad discretion to manage the funds and make investment decisions on behalf of the funds, the investors or the advisory boards must approve certain matters, and as a result we may be unable to cause the funds to

make certain investments or implement certain decisions we consider beneficial;
our ability to dispose of all or a portion of our investments in the funds is subject to significant restrictions; and

5

Table of Contents

• we may be liable if the funds fail to comply with various tax or other regulatory matters.

Tax matters, including failure to qualify as a REIT, could have adverse consequences.

We may not continue to qualify as a REIT in the future. The Internal Revenue Service may challenge our qualification as a REIT for prior years and new legislation, regulations, administrative interpretations, or court decisions may change the tax laws or the application of the tax laws with respect to qualification as a REIT or the federal tax consequences of such qualification.

For any taxable year we fail to qualify as a REIT and do not qualify under statutory relief provisions:

• we would be subject to federal income tax on our taxable income at regular corporate rates, including any applicable alternative minimum tax;

• we would be disqualified from treatment as a REIT for the four taxable years following the year in which we failed to qualify, thereby reducing our net income, including any distributions to shareholders, as we would be required to pay significant income taxes for the year or years involved; and

• our ability to expand our business and raise capital would be impaired, which may adversely affect the value of our common shares.

We may face other tax liabilities in the future which may impact our cash flow. These potential tax liabilities may be calculated on our income or property values at either the corporate or individual property levels. Any additional tax expense incurred would decrease the cash available for cash distributions to our common shareholders, perpetual preferred unit holders, and non-controlling interest holders.

We rely on information technology in our operations, and any breach, interruption or security failure of that technology could have a negative impact to our business and/or financial condition.

Information security risks have generally increased in recent years due to the rise in new technologies and the increased sophistication and activities of perpetrators of cyber attacks. A failure in or breach of our operational or information security systems, or those of our third party service providers, as a result of cyber attacks or information security breaches could disrupt our business, result in the disclosure or misuse of confidential or proprietary information, damage our reputation, and/or subject us to possible financial liabilities, any of which could have a negative impact on our financial condition and results of operations.

We depend on our key personnel.

Our success depends in part on our ability to attract and retain the services of executive officers and other personnel. There is substantial competition for qualified personnel in the real estate industry, and the loss of several of our key personnel could have an adverse effect on us.

Litigation risks could affect our business.

As a large publicly-traded owner of multifamily properties, we are at risk of becoming involved in legal proceedings, including consumer, employment, tort, or commercial litigation, which if decided adversely to or settled by us, could result in liability which is material to our financial condition or results of operations.

Risks Associated with Our Indebtedness and Financing

Insufficient cash flows could limit our ability to make required payments for debt obligations or pay distributions to shareholders.

Substantially all of our income is derived from rental and other income from our multifamily communities. As a result, our performance depends in large part on our ability to collect rent from residents, which could be negatively affected by a number of factors, including the following:

• delay in resident lease commencements;

• decline in occupancy;

• failure of residents to make rental payments when due;

• the attractiveness of our properties to residents and potential residents;

• our ability to adequately manage and maintain our communities;

• competition from other available apartments and housing alternatives; and

Table of Contents

changes in market rents.

Cash flow could be insufficient to meet required payments of principal and interest with respect to debt financing. In order for us to continue to qualify as a REIT we must meet a number of organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement to distribute annual dividends to our shareholders equal to a minimum of 90% of our REIT taxable income, computed without regard to the dividends paid deduction and our net capital gains. This requirement limits the cash available to meet required principal payments on our debt.

We have significant debt, which could have important adverse consequences.

As of December 31, 2012, we had outstanding debt of approximately \$2.5 billion. This indebtedness could have important consequences, including:

- if a property is mortgaged to secure payment of indebtedness, and if we are unable to meet our mortgage obligations, we could sustain a loss as a result of foreclosure on the mortgaged property;
- our vulnerability to general adverse economic and industry conditions is increased; and
- our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in business and industry conditions is limited.

The mortgages on our properties subject to secured debt, our unsecured credit facility, and the indenture under which our unsecured debt was issued, contain customary restrictions, requirements, and other limitations, as well as certain financial and operating covenants including maintenance of certain financial ratios. Maintaining compliance with these provisions could limit our financial flexibility. A default in these provisions, if uncured, could require us to repay the indebtedness before the scheduled maturity date, which could adversely affect our liquidity and increase our financing costs.

We may be unable to renew, repay, or refinance our outstanding debt.

We are subject to the risk that indebtedness on our properties or our unsecured indebtedness will not be renewed, repaid, or refinanced when due or the terms of any renewal or refinancing will not be as favorable as the existing terms of such indebtedness. If we are unable to refinance our indebtedness on acceptable terms, or at all, we might be forced to dispose of one or more of the properties on disadvantageous terms, which might result in losses to us. Such losses could have a material adverse effect on us and our ability to make distributions to our shareholders and pay amounts due on our debt. Furthermore, if a property is mortgaged to secure payment of indebtedness and we are unable to meet mortgage payments, the mortgagee could foreclose on the property, appoint a receiver and exercise rights under an assignment of rents and leases, or pursue other remedies, all with a consequent loss of our revenues and asset value. Foreclosures could also create taxable income without accompanying cash proceeds, thereby hindering our ability to meet the REIT distribution requirements of the Code.

Variable rate debt is subject to interest rate risk.

We have mortgage debt with varying interest rates dependent upon various market indexes. In addition, we have a revolving credit facility bearing interest at a variable rate on all amounts drawn on the facility. We may incur additional variable rate debt in the future. Increases in interest rates on variable rate debt would increase our interest expense, unless we make arrangements which hedge the risk of rising interest rates, which would adversely affect net income and cash available for payment of our debt obligations and distributions to shareholders.

Issuances of additional debt may adversely impact our financial condition.

Our capital requirements depend on numerous factors, including the rental and occupancy rates of our multifamily properties, dividend payment rates to our equity holders, development and capital expenditures, costs of operations, and potential acquisitions. If our capital requirements vary materially from our plans, we may require additional financing earlier than anticipated. If we issue more debt, we could become more leveraged, resulting in increased risk of default on our obligations and an increase in our debt service requirements, both of which could adversely affect our financial condition and ability to access debt and equity capital markets in the future.

Failure to maintain our current credit ratings could adversely affect our cost of funds, related margins, liquidity, and access to capital markets.

Moody's, Fitch, and Standard & Poors, the major debt rating agencies, routinely evaluate our debt and have given us ratings of Baa1, BBB+, and BBB, respectively, with stable, stable, and positive outlooks, respectively, on our senior unsecured debt. These ratings are based on a number of factors, which include their assessment of our financial

strength, liquidity, capital structure, asset quality, and sustainability of cash flow and earnings. Due to changes in market conditions, we may not be able to maintain our current credit ratings, which could adversely affect our cost of funds and related margins, liquidity, and access to capital markets.

7

Table of Contents

Risks Associated with Our Shares

Share ownership limits and our ability to issue additional equity securities may prevent takeovers beneficial to shareholders.

For us to maintain our qualification as a REIT, we must have 100 or more shareholders during the year and not more than 50% in value of our outstanding shares may be owned, directly or indirectly, by five or fewer individuals. As defined for federal income tax purposes, the term “individuals” includes a number of specified entities. To minimize the possibility of us failing to qualify as a REIT under this test, our declaration of trust includes restrictions on transfers of our shares and ownership limits. The ownership limits, as well as our ability to issue other classes of equity securities, may delay, defer, or prevent a change in control. These provisions may also deter tender offers for our common shares which may be attractive to you or limit your opportunity to receive a premium for your shares which might otherwise exist if a third party were attempting to effect a change in control transaction.

Our share price will fluctuate.

The market price and trading volume of our common shares are subject to fluctuation due to general market conditions, the risks discussed in this report and other matters, including the following:

- operating results which vary from the expectations of securities analysts and investors;
- investor interest in our property portfolio;
- the reputation and performance of REITs;
- the attractiveness of REITs as compared to other investment vehicles;
- the results of our financial condition and operations;
- the perception of our growth and earnings potential;
- dividend payment rates;
- increases in market interest rates, which may lead purchasers of our common shares to demand a higher yield; and
- changes in financial markets and national economic and general market conditions.

The form, timing and/or amount of dividend distributions in future periods may vary and be impacted by economic and other considerations.

The form, timing and/or amount of dividend distributions will be declared at the discretion of our Board of Trust Managers and will depend on actual cash from operations, our financial condition, capital requirements, the annual distribution requirements under the REIT provisions of the Code and other factors as the Board of Trust Managers may consider relevant. The Board of Trust Managers may modify the form, timing and/or amount of dividends from time to time.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

The Properties

Our properties typically consist of mid-rise buildings or two and three story buildings in a landscaped setting and provide residents with a variety of amenities. Most of the properties have one or more swimming pools and a clubhouse and many have whirlpool spas, weight room facilities, and controlled-access gates. Many of the apartment homes offer additional amenities common to multifamily rental properties.

Operating Properties (including properties held through unconsolidated joint ventures)

The 193 operating properties in which we owned interests and operated at December 31, 2012 averaged 937 square feet of living area per apartment home. For the year ended December 31, 2012, no single operating property accounted for greater than 1.6% of our total revenues. Our operating properties had a weighted average occupancy rate of approximately 95% for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, and an average annual rental revenue per apartment home of \$1,045 and \$970 for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Resident lease terms generally range from six to fifteen months. 176 of our operating properties have over 200 apartment homes, with the largest having 904 apartment homes. Our operating properties have an average age of 12 years (calculated on the basis of investment dollars). Our operating properties were constructed and placed in service as follows:

Table of Contents

Year Placed in Service	Number of Operating Properties
2006-2012	47
2001-2005	31
1996-2000	55
1991-1995	20
1986-1990	27
Prior to 1986	13

Property Table

The following table sets forth information with respect to our 193 operating properties at December 31, 2012:

Property and Location	OPERATING PROPERTIES				
	Year Placed In Service	Average Apartment Size (Sq. Ft.)	Number of Apartments	2012 Average Occupancy (1)	2012 Average Monthly Rental Rate per Apartment (2)
ARIZONA					
Phoenix					
Camden Copper Square	2000	786	332	92.8	% \$ 884
Camden Fountain Palms (3)	1986/1996	1,050	192	90.8	685
Camden Legacy	1996	1,067	428	94.0	949
Camden Montierra (4)	1999	1,071	249	94.2	1,191
Camden Pecos Ranch (3)	2001	924	272	93.7	849
Camden San Marcos (4)	1995	984	320	93.8	1,050
Camden San Paloma	1993/1994	1,042	324	94.1	978
Camden Sierra (3)	1997	925	288	91.5	681
Camden Towne Center (3)	1998	871	240	92.6	676
CALIFORNIA					
Los Angeles/Orange County					
Camden Crown Valley	2001	1,009	380	95.6	1,586
Camden Harbor View	2004	975	538	95.2	1,962
Camden Main & Jamboree (5)	2008	1,011	290	96.1	1,806
Camden Martinique	1986	794	714	95.5	1,346
Camden Parkside (3)	1972	836	421	95.6	1,242
Camden Sea Palms	1990	891	138	97.2	1,507
San Diego/Inland Empire					
Camden Landmark (4)	2006	982	469	94.0	1,321
Camden Old Creek	2007	1,037	350	94.4	1,608
Camden Sierra at Otay Ranch	2003	962	422	93.4	1,509
Camden Tuscany	2003	896	160	94.7	1,996
Camden Vineyards	2002	1,053	264	93.0	1,236
COLORADO					
Denver					
Camden Belleview Station (4)	2009	888	270	93.0	1,283
Camden Caley	2000	925	218	95.1	985
Camden Centennial	1985	744	276	94.9	759
Camden Denver West (6)	1997	1,015	320	94.9	1,153
Camden Highlands Ridge	1996	1,149	342	95.3	1,236

Table of Contents

OPERATING PROPERTIES					
Property and Location	Year Placed In Service	Average Apartment Size (Sq. Ft.)	Number of Apartments	2012 Average Occupancy (1)	2012 Average Monthly Rental Rate per Apartment (2)
Camden Interlocken	1999	1,022	340	96.0	% \$ 1,210
Camden Lakeway	1997	932	451	94.4	984
Camden Pinnacle	1985	748	224	94.7	786
WASHINGTON DC METRO					
Camden Ashburn Farms	2000	1,062	162	97.4	1,477
Camden Clearbrook	2007	1,048	297	95.2	1,345
Camden College Park (5)	2008	942	508	95.1	1,575
Camden Dulles Station	2009	984	366	96.6	1,624
Camden Fair Lakes	1999	1,056	530	95.9	1,636
Camden Fairfax Corner	2006	934	488	96.4	1,669
Camden Falls Grove	2004	996	268	95.8	1,673
Camden Grand Parc	2002	674	105	95.5	2,443
Camden Lansdowne	2002	1,006	690	95.4	1,420
Camden Largo Town Center	2000/2007	1,027	245	94.3	1,603
Camden Monument Place	2007	856	368	95.8	1,509
Camden Potomac Yard	2008	835	378	95.4	1,985
Camden Roosevelt	2003	856	198	97.1	2,456
Camden Russett	2000	992	426	93.7	1,379
Camden Silo Creek	2004	975	284	96.3	1,429
Camden Summerfield	2008	957	291	93.5	1,573
Camden Summerfield II (7)	2012	936	187	95.0	1,550
FLORIDA					
Southeast Florida					
Camden Aventura	1995	1,108	379	94.2	1,553
Camden Brickell	2003	937	405	96.3	1,638
Camden Doral	1999	1,120	260	96.4	1,548
Camden Doral Villas	2000	1,253	232	93.8	1,678
Camden Las Olas	2004	1,043	420	95.2	1,741
Camden Plantation	1997	1,201	502	95.2	1,307
Camden Portofino	1995	1,112	322	95.6	1,348
Orlando					
Camden Club	1986	1,077	436	96.2	866
Camden Hunter's Creek	2000	1,075	270	96.2	1,005
Camden Lago Vista	2005	955	366	95.0	902
Camden LaVina (7)	2012	970	420	94.7	1,059
Camden Lee Vista	2000	937	492	95.6	875
Camden Orange Court	2008	817	268	96.1	1,118
Camden Renaissance	1996/1998	899	578	94.2	803
Camden Reserve	1990/1991	824	526	95.5	740
Camden Town Square (8)	2012	986	438	Lease-up	840
Camden World Gateway	2000	979	408	95.3	972
Tampa/St. Petersburg					
Camden Bay	1997/2001	943	760	94.3	875

Table of Contents

OPERATING PROPERTIES					
Property and Location	Year Placed In Service	Average Apartment Size (Sq. Ft.)	Number of Apartments	2012 Average Occupancy (1)	2012 Average Monthly Rental Rate per Apartment (2)
Camden Bay Pointe	1984	771	368	93.9	% \$ 704
Camden Bayside	1987/1989	748	832	96.0	775
Camden Citrus Park	1985	704	247	95.1	687
Camden Lakes	1982/1983	732	688	94.4	705
Camden Lakeside	1986	729	228	95.2	749
Camden Live Oaks (9)	1990	1,093	770	94.2	782
Camden Montague (7)	2012	975	192	97.3	827
Camden Preserve	1996	942	276	95.0	1,073
Camden Providence Lakes	1996	1,024	260	94.7	910
Camden Royal Palms	2006	1,017	352	94.4	947
Camden Visconti (10)	2007	1,125	450	94.9	1,126
Camden Westchase Park (7)	2012	993	348	95.9	887
Camden Westshore	1986	728	278	95.3	850
Camden Woods	1986	1,223	444	94.6	853
GEORGIA					
Atlanta					
Camden Brookwood	2002	912	359	95.7	987
Camden Creekstone (4)	2002	990	223	94.9	967
Camden Deerfield	2000	1,187	292	94.8	979
Camden Dunwoody	1997	1,007	324	95.0	908
Camden Midtown Atlanta	2001	935	296	95.1	1,019
Camden Peachtree City	2001	1,027	399	95.9	932
Camden Phipps (10)	1996	1,018	234	95.4	1,211
Camden River	1997	1,103	352	95.1	904
Camden Shiloh	1999/2002	1,143	232	95.5	876
Camden St. Clair	1997	999	336	95.9	934
Camden Stockbridge	2003	1,009	304	94.2	756
NEVADA					
Las Vegas					
Camden Bel Air	1988/1995	943	528	92.5	713
Camden Breeze	1989	846	320	93.9	720
Camden Canyon	1995	987	200	94.4	860
Camden Commons	1988	936	376	92.4	747
Camden Cove	1990	898	124	92.7	710
Camden Del Mar	1995	986	560	95.4	893
Camden Fairways	1989	896	320	95.4	877
Camden Hills	1991	439	184	90.3	491
Camden Legends	1994	792	113	94.9	827
Camden Palisades	1991	905	624	93.3	723
Camden Pines (3)	1997	982	315	92.9	792
Camden Pointe	1996	983	252	94.0	730
Camden Summit (3)	1995	1,187	234	93.8	1,079
Camden Tiara (3)	1996	1,043	400	93.9	861

Table of Contents

OPERATING PROPERTIES					
Property and Location	Year Placed In Service	Average Apartment Size (Sq. Ft.)	Number of Apartments	2012 Average Occupancy (1)	2012 Average Monthly Rental Rate per Apartment (2)
Camden Vintage	1994	978	368	94.0	% \$ 704
Oasis Bay (10)	1990	876	128	96.1	745
Oasis Crossings (10)	1996	983	72	95.3	749
Oasis Emerald (10)	1988	873	132	92.9	608
Oasis Gateway (10)	1997	1,146	360	93.6	776
Oasis Island (10)	1990	901	118	90.3	613
Oasis Landing (10)	1990	938	144	92.4	671
Oasis Meadows (10)	1996	1,031	383	90.3	718
Oasis Palms (10)	1989	880	208	91.8	684
Oasis Pearl (10)	1989	930	90	91.0	687
Oasis Place (10)	1992	440	240	87.1	482
Oasis Ridge (10)	1984	391	477	86.3	413
Oasis Sierra (10)	1998	923	208	94.2	782
Oasis Springs (10)	1988	838	304	90.5	576
Oasis Vinings (10)	1994	1,152	234	91.8	709
NORTH CAROLINA					
Charlotte					
Camden Ballantyne	1998	1,045	400	95.6	977
Camden Cotton Mills	2002	905	180	96.2	1,256
Camden Dilworth	2006	857	145	97.5	1,220
Camden Fairview	1983	1,036	135	96.4	900
Camden Foxcroft	1979	940	156	97.7	817
Camden Grandview	2000	1,057	266	96.3	1,364
Camden Habersham	1986	773	240	95.7	685
Camden Pinehurst	1967	1,147	407	96.0	821
Camden Sedgebrook	1999	972	368	95.9	881
Camden Simsbury	1985	874	100	96.7	880
Camden South End Square	2003	882	299	96.3	1,156
Camden Stonecrest	2001	1,098	306	95.5	1,030
Camden Touchstone	1986	899	132	97.7	779
Raleigh					
Camden Asbury Village (4) (10)	2009	1,009	350	93.1	926
Camden Crest	2001	1,013	438	95.2	814
Camden Governor's Village	1999	1,046	242	95.0	914
Camden Lake Pine	1999	1,066	446	94.7	861
Camden Manor Park	2006	966	484	96.5	895
Camden Overlook	2001	1,060	320	95.9	954
Camden Reunion Park	2000/2004	972	420	95.1	748
Camden Westwood	1999	1,027	354	94.7	816
TEXAS					
Austin					
Camden Amber Oaks (10)	2009	862	348	95.0	863
Camden Amber Oaks II (7) (10)	2012	910	244	94.7	891

Table of Contents

OPERATING PROPERTIES					
Property and Location	Year Placed In Service	Average Apartment Size (Sq. Ft.)	Number of Apartments	2012 Average Occupancy (1)	2012 Average Monthly Rental Rate per Apartment (2)
Camden Brushy Creek (10)	2008	882	272	95.7	% \$ 865
Camden Cedar Hills	2008	911	208	95.1	1,024
Camden Gaines Ranch	1997	955	390	95.3	1,102
Camden Huntingdon	1995	903	398	95.5	825
Camden Ridgecrest	1995	855	284	95.0	755
Camden Shadow Brook (10)	2009	909	496	96.3	912
Camden Stoneleigh	2001	908	390	95.2	977
Corpus Christi					
Camden Breakers	1996	868	288	95.7	1,008
Camden Copper Ridge	1986	775	344	95.4	735
Camden Miramar (11)	1994-2011	488	855	78.5	1,000
Camden South Bay (10)	2007	1,055	270	95.0	1,124
Dallas/Fort Worth					
Camden Addison (3)	1996	942	456	96.0	846
Camden Belmont (4)	2010/2012	945	477	93.8	1,350
Camden Buckingham	1997	919	464	95.7	881
Camden Centreport	1997	911	268	95.5	858
Camden Cimarron	1992	772	286	95.6	884
Camden Design District (10)	2009	939	355	94.4	1,186
Camden Farmers Market	2001/2005	932	904	94.9	999
Camden Gardens	1983	652	256	96.3	605
Camden Glen Lakes	1979	877	424	95.7	811
Camden Henderson (4)	2012	967	106	85.1	1,496
Camden Legacy Creek	1995	831	240	95.6	920
Camden Legacy Park	1996	871	276	95.2	940
Camden Panther Creek (10)	2009	946	295	94.6	979
Camden Riverwalk (10)	2008	982	600	94.9	1,188
Camden Springs	1987	713	304	95.2	610
Camden Valley Park	1986	743	516	95.7	806
Houston					
Camden City Centre	2007	932	379	96.9	1,426
Camden Cypress Creek (10)	2009	993	310	95.6	1,087
Camden Downs at Cinco Ranch (10)	2004	1,075	318	96.5	1,082
Camden Grand Harbor (10)	2008	959	300	96.3	1,017
Camden Greenway	1999	861	756	96.1	1,162
Camden Heights (10)	2004	927	352	97.0	1,292
Camden Holly Springs (3)	1999	934	548	96.0	985
Camden Lakemont (10)	2007	904	312	96.5	891
Camden Midtown	1999	844	337	96.4	1,412
Camden Northpointe (10)	2008	940	384	95.3	960
Camden Oak Crest	2003	870	364	96.3	897
Camden Park (3)	1995	866	288	96.0	846
Camden Piney Point (10)	2004	919	318	96.3	1,077

Table of Contents

OPERATING PROPERTIES					
Property and Location	Year Placed In Service	Average Apartment Size (Sq. Ft.)	Number of Apartments	2012 Average Occupancy (1)	2012 Average Monthly Rental Rate per Apartment (2)
Camden Plaza	2007	915	271	96.5	% \$ 1,383
Camden Royal Oaks	2006	923	236	88.8	1,182
Camden Royal Oaks II (8)	2012	1,054	104	Lease-up	1,177
Camden Spring Creek (10)	2004	1,080	304	95.7	1,021
Camden Stonebridge	1993	845	204	96.8	875
Camden Sugar Grove (3)	1997	921	380	95.3	908
Camden Travis Street	2010	819	253	97.4	1,411
Camden Vanderbilt	1996/1997	863	894	97.5	1,236
Camden Whispering Oaks	2008	934	274	96.7	1,058
Camden Woodson Park (10)	2008	916	248	96.4	994
Camden Yorktown (10)	2008	995	306	95.4	985
San Antonio					
Camden Braun Station (10)	2006	827	240	94.4	832
Camden Westover Hills (10)	2010	959	288	95.7	1,060

(1) Represents average physical occupancy for the year except as noted.

(2) The average monthly rental rate per apartment incorporates tenant concessions calculated on a straight-line basis over the life of the lease.

(3) Property formerly owned through a joint venture in which we owned a 20% interest. We acquired the remaining ownership interest in January 2012 from an unaffiliated third party.

(4) Property acquired during 2012—average occupancy calculated from date at which property was acquired.

(5) Property owned through a fully consolidated joint venture in which we own a 99.99% interest. The remaining interest is owned by an unaffiliated third party.

(6) Property formerly owned through a joint venture in which we owned a 50% interest. We acquired the remaining ownership interest in December 2012 from an unaffiliated third party.

(7) Development property stabilized during 2012—average occupancy calculated from date at which occupancy exceeded 90% through December 31, 2012.

(8) Property under lease-up at December 31, 2012.

(9) Property was included in properties held for sale at December 31, 2012. We sold this property in January 2013.

(10) Property owned through one of our joint ventures in which we own a 20% interest. The remaining interest is owned by an unaffiliated third party.

(11) Miramar is a student housing project for Texas A&M at Corpus Christi. Average occupancy includes summer months which are normally subject to high vacancies.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

For discussion regarding legal proceedings, see Note 14, "Commitments and Contingencies," in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

None.

Table of Contents

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The high and low closing prices per share of our common shares, as reported on the New York Stock Exchange composite tape under the symbol "CPT," and distributions per share declared for the quarters indicated are as follows:

	High	Low	Distributions
2012 Quarters:			
First	\$65.75	\$59.61	\$0.56
Second	68.84	63.09	0.56
Third	71.59	64.49	0.56
Fourth	68.21	62.70	0.56
2011 Quarters:			
First	\$59.17	\$53.47	\$0.49
Second	65.26	56.40	0.49
Third	69.32	55.26	0.49
Fourth	62.35	53.09	0.49

In the first quarter of 2013, the Company's Board of Trust Managers increased the quarterly dividend rate from \$0.56 to \$0.63 per common share. Future dividend payments are paid at the discretion of the Board of Trust Managers and depend on cash flows generated from operations, the Company's financial condition and capital requirements, distribution requirements under the REIT provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and other factors which may be deemed relevant by our Board of Trust Managers. Assuming dividend distributions for the remainder of 2013 are similar to those declared for the first quarter 2013, the annualized dividend rate for 2013 would be \$2.52.

Table of Contents

This graph assumes the investment of \$100 on December 31, 2007 and quarterly reinvestment of dividends. (Source: SNL Financial LC)

Index	Years Ended December 31,				
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Camden Property Trust	69.91	101.34	134.26	160.11	181.58
FTSE NAREIT Equity	62.27	79.70	101.99	110.45	130.39
S&P 500	63.00	79.68	91.68	93.61	108.59
Russell 2000	66.21	84.20	106.82	102.36	119.09
MSCI US REIT (RMS) Index	62.03	79.78	102.50	111.41	131.20

As of February 8, 2013, there were approximately 516 shareholders of record and approximately 23,779 beneficial owners of our common shares.

In March 2010, we announced the creation of an at-the-market (“ATM”) share offering program through which we could, but had no obligation to, sell common shares having an aggregate offering price of up to \$250 million (the “2010 ATM program”), in amounts and at times as we determined, into the existing trading market at current market prices as well as through negotiated transactions. The net proceeds resulting from the 2010 ATM program were used for general corporate purposes, which included repayment of notes payable, the repayment of borrowings under our unsecured line of credit, and funding for development activities. During the year ended December 31, 2010, we issued approximately 4.9 million common shares at an average price of \$48.37 per share for total net consideration of approximately \$231.7 million. During the year ended December 31, 2011, we issued approximately 0.3 million common shares at an average price of \$55.81 per share for total net consideration of approximately

Table of Contents

\$13.8 million. The 2010 ATM program was terminated in the second quarter of 2011, and no further common shares are available for sale under this program.

In May 2011, we created an ATM share offering program through which we could, but had no obligation to, sell common shares having an aggregate offering price of up to \$300 million (the "2011 ATM program"), in amounts and at times as we determined, into the existing trading market at current market prices as well as through negotiated transactions. The net proceeds resulting from the 2011 ATM program were used to redeem all of our outstanding redeemable perpetual preferred units as further discussed in Note 5, "Operating Partnerships," and for other general corporate purposes, which included funding for development activities, financing of acquisitions, repayment of notes payable and borrowings under our \$500 million unsecured line of credit. During the year ended December 31, 2011, we issued approximately 1.5 million common shares at an average price of \$62.98 per share for total net consideration of approximately \$92.8 million. During the year ended December 31, 2012, we issued approximately 2.0 million common shares at an average price of \$66.01 per share for total net consideration of approximately \$128.1 million. The 2011 ATM program was terminated in the second quarter of 2012, and no further common shares are available for sale under this program.

In May 2012, we created an ATM share offering program through which we can, but have no obligation to, sell common shares having an aggregate offering price of up to \$300 million (the "2012 ATM program"), in amounts and at times as we determine, into the existing trading market at current market prices as well as through negotiated transactions. Actual sales from time to time may depend on a variety of factors including, among others, market conditions, the trading price of our common shares, and determinations by management of the appropriate sources of funding for us. We intend to use the net proceeds from the 2012 ATM program for general corporate purposes, which may include funding for development activities, financing for acquisitions, the redemption or other repurchase of outstanding debt or equity securities, reducing future borrowings under our \$500 million unsecured line of credit, and the repayment of other indebtedness. During the year ended December 31, 2012, we issued approximately 2.6 million common shares at an average price of \$67.63 per share for total net consideration of approximately \$173.6 million. As of the date of this filing, we had common shares having an aggregate offering price of up to \$123.6 million remaining available for sale under the 2012 ATM program.

We currently have an automatic shelf registration statement which allows us to offer, from time to time, an unlimited amount of common shares, preferred shares, debt securities, or warrants. In January 2012, we issued 6,612,500 common shares in a public equity offering and received approximately \$391.6 million in net proceeds. We utilized a portion of these proceeds to fund the acquisition of the remaining 80% interest we did not own in twelve real estate joint ventures for approximately \$99.5 million and the repayment of approximately \$272.6 million in mortgage debt associated with these joint ventures.

See Part III, Item 12, for a description of securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans.

In January 2008, our Board of Trust Managers approved an increase of the April 2007 repurchase plan to allow for the repurchase of up to \$500 million of our common equity securities through open market purchases, block purchases, and privately negotiated transactions. Under this program, we have repurchased 4.3 million shares for a total of approximately \$230.2 million from April 2007 through December 31, 2012. The remaining dollar value of our common equity securities authorized to be repurchased under the program was approximately \$269.8 million as of December 31, 2012. There were no repurchases of our equity securities during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

Table of Contents

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following table provides selected financial data relating to our historical financial condition and results of operations as of and for each of the years ended December 31, 2008 through 2012. This data should be read in conjunction with Item 7, “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” and the consolidated financial statements and related notes. Prior year amounts have been reclassified for discontinued operations.

COMPARATIVE SUMMARY OF SELECTED FINANCIAL AND PROPERTY DATA

(in thousands, except per share amounts and property data)	Year Ended December 31,				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
Operating Data (a)					
Total property revenues	\$727,908	\$621,074	\$568,072	\$567,957	\$567,335
Total property expenses	269,669	240,128	226,778	221,451	214,228
Total non-property income (loss)	16,407	21,395	28,337	25,443	(19,540)
Total other expenses	381,694	358,268	358,921	361,974	316,945
Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders	161,665	13,172	(295)	(84,925)	(31,146)
Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders	283,390	49,379	23,216	(50,800)	70,973
Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders per share:					
Basic	\$1.90	\$0.17	\$(0.01)	\$(1.35)	\$(0.57)
Diluted	1.88	0.17	(0.01)	(1.35)	(0.57)
Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders per share:					
Basic	\$3.35	\$0.67	\$0.33	\$(0.80)	\$1.28
Diluted	3.30	0.66	0.33	(0.80)	1.28
Distributions declared per common share	\$2.24	\$1.96	\$1.80	\$2.05	\$2.80
Balance Sheet Data (at end of year)					
Total real estate assets, at cost (b)	\$6,749,523	\$5,875,515	\$5,675,309	\$5,505,168	\$5,491,593
Total assets	5,385,172	4,622,075	4,699,737	4,607,999	4,730,342
Notes payable	2,510,468	2,432,112	2,563,754	2,625,199	2,832,396
Perpetual preferred units	—	97,925	97,925	97,925	97,925
Equity	2,626,708	1,827,768	1,757,373	1,609,013	1,501,356
Other Data					
Cash flows provided by (used in):					
Operating activities	\$324,267	\$244,834	\$224,036	\$217,688	\$216,958
Investing activities	(527,685)	(187,364)	35,150	(69,516)	(37,374)
Financing activities	174,928	(172,886)	(152,767)	(91,423)	(173,074)
Funds from operations – diluted (c)	313,337	207,535	194,309	109,947	169,585
Property Data					
Number of operating properties (at the end of year) (d)	193	196	186	183	181
Number of operating apartment homes (at end of year) (d)	65,775	66,997	63,316	63,286	62,903
Number of operating apartment homes (weighted average) (d)(e)	54,194	50,905	50,794	50,608	51,277
	\$1,182	\$1,121	\$1,051	\$1,065	\$1,087

Weighted average monthly total property revenue
per apartment home

Properties under development (at end of period)	9	10	2	2	5
---	---	----	---	---	---

(a) Excludes discontinued operations.

(b) Includes properties held for sale at book value.

Management considers Funds from Operations (“FFO”) to be an appropriate measure of the financial performance of (c) an equity REIT. The National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (“NAREIT”) currently defines FFO as net income (computed in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America

Table of Contents

("GAAP")), excluding gains (or losses) associated with the sale of previously depreciated operating properties, real estate depreciation and amortization, impairments of depreciable assets, and adjustments for unconsolidated joint ventures. Our calculation of diluted FFO also assumes conversion of all potentially dilutive securities, including certain non-controlling interests, which are convertible into common shares. We consider FFO to be an appropriate supplemental measure of operating performance because, by excluding gains or losses on dispositions of operating properties and excluding depreciation, FFO can assist in the comparison of the operating performance of a company's real estate between periods or as compared to different companies.

(d) Includes discontinued operations.

(e) Excludes apartment homes owned in joint ventures.

Table of Contents

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes appearing elsewhere in this report. Historical results and trends which might appear in the consolidated financial statements should not be interpreted as being indicative of future operations.

We consider portions of this report to be "forward-looking" within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, both as amended, with respect to our expectations for future periods. Forward-looking statements do not discuss historical fact, but instead include statements related to expectations, projections, intentions, or other items relating to the future; forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performances, results, or events. Although we believe the expectations reflected in our forward-looking statements are based upon reasonable assumptions, we can give no assurance our expectations will be achieved. Any statements contained herein which are not statements of historical fact should be deemed forward-looking statements. Reliance should not be placed on these forward-looking statements as they are subject to known and unknown risks, uncertainties, and other factors beyond our control and could differ materially from our actual results and performance.

Factors that may cause our actual results or performance to differ materially from those contemplated by forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, the following:

- volatility in capital and credit markets, or other unfavorable changes in economic conditions, could adversely impact us;
- short-term leases expose us to the effects of declining market rents;
- we face risks associated with land holdings and related activities;
- difficulties of selling real estate could limit our flexibility;
- we could be negatively impacted by the condition of Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac;
- compliance or failure to comply with laws, including those requiring access to our properties by disabled persons, could result in substantial cost;
- competition could limit our ability to lease apartments or increase or maintain rental income;
- development and construction risks could impact our profitability;
- our acquisition strategy may not produce the cash flows expected;
- competition could adversely affect our ability to acquire properties;
- losses from catastrophes may exceed our insurance coverage;
- investments through joint ventures and discretionary funds involve risks not present in investments in which we are the sole investor;
- tax matters, including failure to qualify as a REIT, could have adverse consequences;
- we rely on information technology in our operations, and any breach, interruption or security failure of that technology could have a negative impact to our business and/or financial condition;
- we depend on our key personnel;
- litigation risks could affect our business;
- insufficient cash flows could limit our ability to make required payments for debt obligations or pay distributions to shareholders;
- we have significant debt, which could have important adverse consequences;
- we may be unable to renew, repay, or refinance our outstanding debt;
- variable rate debt is subject to interest rate risk;
- we may incur losses on interest rate hedging arrangements;
- issuances of additional debt may adversely impact our financial condition;
- failure to maintain our current credit ratings could adversely affect our cost of funds, related margins, liquidity, and access to capital markets;

Table of Contents

share ownership limits and our ability to issue additional equity securities may prevent takeovers beneficial to shareholders;

our share price will fluctuate; and

the form, timing and/or amount of dividend distributions in future periods may vary and be impacted by economic or other considerations.

These forward-looking statements represent our estimates and assumptions as of the date of this report, and we assume no obligation to update or supplement forward-looking statements because of subsequent events.

Executive Summary

We are primarily engaged in the ownership, management, development, acquisition and construction of multifamily apartment communities. As of December 31, 2012, we owned interests in, operated, or were developing 202 multifamily properties comprising 68,620 apartment homes across the United States as detailed in the following Property Portfolio table. In addition, we own other land parcels we may develop into multifamily apartment communities.

Property Operations

Our results for the year ended December 31, 2012 reflect an increase in rental revenue as compared to 2011, which we believe was primarily due to a gradually improving economy, favorable demographics, a modest supply of new multifamily housing, and a decrease in home ownership rates which have resulted in increases in realized rental rates and average occupancy levels. Same store revenues increased 6.5% in 2012, following a 5.5% increase in 2011. We believe U.S. economic and employment growth will continue during 2013 and the supply of new multifamily homes, although increasing, will continue to be below historical levels. However, we believe significant risks to the economy remain prevalent, and while there have been increases in employment levels in the majority of our markets, the unemployment rate remains at higher than historical levels. If economic conditions in the United States were to worsen, our operating results could be adversely affected.

Development Activity

During the year ended December 31, 2012, we completed construction of seven development projects, including one community containing 244 units owned by one of our discretionary funds in which we have a 20% ownership interest. As of December 31, 2012, five of these projects reached stabilization. At December 31, 2012, we had a total of nine development projects under construction containing 2,845 units, including two development projects containing 576 units owned by one of our discretionary funds, with initial occupancy expected within the next 24 months. Excluding the development projects owned by one of our discretionary funds, we have remaining expected costs to complete of approximately \$353.9 million on the seven consolidated projects under construction as of December 31, 2012.

Acquisitions

During the year ended December 31, 2012, we acquired twenty operating properties in nine transactions totaling approximately \$770.2 million, including the assumption of approximately \$298.8 million in secured debt. Thirteen of these operating properties were owned by former unconsolidated joint ventures in which we acquired the remaining ownership interests. We also acquired approximately 22.6 acres of land in four transactions for approximately \$33.6 million and intend to utilize these land holdings for development of multifamily apartment communities. We funded these acquisitions through cash generated from operations, proceeds from our at-the-market share offering programs ("ATM programs"), proceeds from an equity offering completed in January 2012, proceeds from a debt offering completed in December 2012 and proceeds from property dispositions.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, one of our discretionary funds acquired one operating property and two land holdings totaling 18.7 acres, which it intends to utilize for development of multifamily apartment communities.

Dispositions

During the year ended December 31, 2012, we sold eleven operating properties consisting of 3,213 units for approximately \$233.2 million and recognized a gain of approximately \$115.1 million on these transactions. During January 2013, we sold one operating property consisting of 770 units.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, two of our unconsolidated joint ventures sold seven operating properties consisting of 2,406 units for approximately \$232.8 million. Our proportionate share of the gains on these transactions was approximately \$17.4 million.

Table of Contents

Future Outlook

Subject to market conditions, we intend to continue to look for opportunities to expand our development pipeline and acquire existing communities. We continually evaluate our operating property and land development portfolio and plan to continue our practice of selective dispositions as market conditions warrant and opportunities develop. We also intend to continue to strengthen our capital and liquidity positions by continuing to focus on our core fundamentals which we believe are generating positive cash flows from operations, maintaining appropriate debt levels and leverage ratios, and controlling overhead costs. We intend to meet our liquidity requirements through cash flows generated from operations, available cash balances, draws on our unsecured credit facility, proceeds from property dispositions, equity issued from our ATM program, the use of debt and equity offerings under our automatic shelf registration statement and secured mortgages.

As of December 31, 2012, we had approximately \$26.7 million in cash and cash equivalents and no balances outstanding on our \$500 million unsecured line of credit. As of the date of this filing, we had common shares having an aggregate offering price of up to \$123.6 million remaining available for sale under our ATM program. We believe payments on debt maturing in 2013 are manageable at \$229.2 million, which represents approximately 9% of our total outstanding debt and includes scheduled principal amortizations of approximately \$3.4 million. In January 2013, we repaid a \$26.1 million secured conventional mortgage note which was scheduled to mature in April 2013. We believe we are well-positioned with a strong balance sheet and sufficient liquidity to cover near-term debt maturities and new development funding requirements. We will, however, continue to assess and take further actions we believe are prudent to meet our objectives and capital requirements.

Property Portfolio

Our multifamily property portfolio is summarized as follows:

	December 31, 2012		December 31, 2011	
	Apartment Homes	Properties	Apartment Homes	Properties
Operating Properties				
Houston, Texas	8,440	24	9,354	26
Las Vegas, Nevada	8,016	29	8,016	29
Tampa, Florida (1)	6,493	15	5,953	13
Dallas, Texas	6,227	16	5,979	15
Washington, D.C. Metro	5,791	17	5,604	16
Orlando, Florida	4,202	10	3,564	9
Atlanta, Georgia	3,351	11	3,546	12
Charlotte, North Carolina	3,134	13	3,574	15
Raleigh, North Carolina	3,054	8	2,704	7
Austin, Texas	3,030	9	3,222	10
Phoenix, Arizona	2,645	9	2,433	8
Southeast Florida	2,520	7	2,520	7
Los Angeles/Orange County, California	2,481	6	2,481	6
Denver, Colorado	2,441	8	2,171	7
San Diego/Inland Empire, California	1,665	5	1,196	4
Other	2,285	6	4,680	12
Total Operating Properties	65,775	193	66,997	196

Table of Contents

	December 31, 2012		December 31, 2011	
	Apartment Homes	Properties	Apartment Homes	Properties
Properties Under Development				
Washington, D.C. Metro	596	2	783	3
Denver, Colorado	424	1	—	—
Atlanta, Georgia	379	1	—	—
Austin, Texas	314	1	244	1
Los Angeles/Orange County, California	303	1	—	—
Orlando, Florida	300	1	858	2
Houston, Texas	268	1	372	2
Southeast Florida	261	1	—	—
Tampa, Florida	—	—	540	2
Total Properties Under Development	2,845	9	2,797	10
Total Properties	68,620	202	69,794	206
Less: Unconsolidated Joint Venture Properties (2)				
Houston, Texas	3,152	10	4,368	13
Las Vegas, Nevada	3,098	14	4,047	17
Austin, Texas	1,360	4	1,613	5
Dallas, Texas	1,250	3	1,706	4
Tampa, Florida	450	1	450	1
Raleigh, North Carolina	350	1	—	—
Orlando, Florida	300	1	—	—
Washington, D. C. Metro	276	1	276	1
Atlanta, Georgia	234	1	344	2
Denver, Colorado	—	—	320	1
Phoenix, Arizona	—	—	992	4
Los Angeles/Orange County, California	—	—	421	1
Other	798	3	2,841	8
Total Unconsolidated Joint Venture Properties	11,268	39	17,378	57
Total Properties Fully Consolidated	57,352	163	52,416	149

(1) Includes one property consisting of 770 apartment homes which was included in properties held for sale at December 31, 2012. This property was sold in January 2013.

(2) Refer to Note 8, "Investments in Joint Ventures," in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of our unconsolidated joint venture investments.

Table of Contents

Acquisitions

During the year ended December 31, 2012, we completed acquisitions of twenty operating properties and one of our unconsolidated joint ventures completed an acquisition of one operating property as follows:

Acquisitions of Operating Properties	Location	Number of Apartment Homes	Date of Acquisition (1)
Camden Addison	Dallas, TX	456	1/25/2012
Camden Holly Springs	Houston, TX	548	1/25/2012
Camden Park	Houston, TX	288	1/25/2012
Camden Sugar Grove	Houston, TX	380	1/25/2012
Camden Parkside	Fullerton, CA	421	1/25/2012
Camden Fountain Palms	Phoenix, AZ	192	1/25/2012
Camden Pecos Ranch	Phoenix, AZ	272	1/25/2012
Camden Sierra	Phoenix, AZ	288	1/25/2012
Camden Towne Center	Phoenix, AZ	240	1/25/2012
Camden Pines	Las Vegas, NV	315	1/25/2012
Camden Summit	Las Vegas, NV	234	1/25/2012
Camden Tiara	Las Vegas, NV	400	1/25/2012
Camden Belmont	Dallas, TX	477	6/28/2012
Camden Creekstone	Atlanta, GA	223	7/12/2012
Camden Landmark	Ontario, CA	469	9/27/2012
Camden Henderson	Dallas, TX	106	9/28/2012
Camden Montierra	Scottsdale, AZ	249	12/11/2012
Camden San Marcos	Scottsdale, AZ	320	12/11/2012
Camden Belleview Station	Denver, CO	270	12/20/2012
Camden Denver West	Denver, CO	320	12/27/2012
Consolidated total		6,468	
Camden Asbury Village (2)	Raleigh, NC	350	1/27/2012

(1) The properties acquired on January 25, 2012 were former unconsolidated joint ventures in which we acquired the remaining 80% ownership interests. The 4,034 apartment homes were previously included in our unconsolidated joint venture property count. The property acquired on December 27, 2012 was also a former unconsolidated joint venture in which we acquired the remaining 50% ownership interest and the 320 apartment homes were previously included in our unconsolidated joint venture property count.

(2) Property owned through an unconsolidated joint venture in which we own a 20% interest.

Table of Contents

During the year ended December 31, 2012, we acquired the remaining non-controlling ownership interest in three fully consolidated joint ventures, consisting of 680 units located in Houston, Texas and Charlotte, North Carolina, for approximately \$16.5 million. The apartment homes were previously included in our consolidated property count.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, we acquired four land tracts and one of our unconsolidated joint ventures acquired two land tracts as follows:

Location of Land Tract Acquisitions	Acreage	Date of Acquisition
Dallas, TX	4.7	5/8/2012
Austin, TX	12.0	8/23/2012
Plantation, FL	2.4	11/1/2012
Charlotte, NC	3.5	11/30/2012
Consolidated total	22.6	
Orange County, FL (1)	15.0	3/22/2012
Charlotte, NC (1)	3.7	9/28/2012
Unconsolidated total	18.7	

(1) Land tract owned through an unconsolidated joint venture in which we own a 20% interest.

Dispositions

During the year ended December 31, 2012, we sold eleven operating properties and two of our unconsolidated joint ventures sold seven operating properties as follows:

Dispositions of Operating Properties	Location	Number of Apartment Homes	Date of Disposition
Camden Vista Valley	Phoenix, AZ	357	11/12/2012
Camden Landings	Orlando, FL	220	3/7/2012
Camden Creek	Houston, TX	456	3/16/2012
Camden Laurel Ridge	Austin, TX	183	10/12/2012
Camden Steeplechase	Houston, TX	290	10/23/2012
Camden Sweetwater	Lawrenceville, GA	308	11/29/2012
Camden Valleybrook	Philadelphia, PA	352	11/30/2012
Camden Forest	Charlotte, NC	208	12/6/2012
Camden Park Commons	Charlotte, NC	232	12/6/2012
Camden Baytown	Baytown, TX	272	12/13/2012
Camden Westview	Dallas, TX	335	12/18/2012
Consolidated total		3,213	
Camden South Congress (1)	Austin, TX	253	8/30/2012
Camden Passage (2)	Kansas City, MO	596	10/30/2012
Camden Ivy Hall (1)	Atlanta, GA	110	11/15/2012
Camden Cedar Lakes (2)	St. Louis, MO	420	11/21/2012
Camden Cove West (2)	St. Louis, MO	276	11/21/2012
Camden Cross Creek (2)	St. Louis, MO	591	11/21/2012
Camden Westchase (2)	St. Louis, MO	160	11/21/2012
Unconsolidated total		2,406	

(1) Property formerly owned through an unconsolidated joint venture in which we own a 20% interest.

(2) Property formerly owned through an unconsolidated joint venture in which we own a 15% interest.

During January 2013, we sold one operating property, Camden Live Oaks, consisting of 770 apartment homes.

Table of Contents

Stabilized Communities

We generally consider a property stabilized once it reaches 90% occupancy at the beginning of a period. During the year ended December 31, 2012, stabilization was achieved at four recently completed consolidated development properties and one completed development property owned by one of our unconsolidated joint ventures as follows:

Stabilized Property and Location	Number of Apartment Homes	Total Cost Incurred	% Occupied at 1/27/13	Date of Construction Completion	Date of Stabilization
Camden LaVina Orlando, FL	420	\$55.5	93	% 1Q12	3Q12
Camden Summerfield II Landover, MD	187	25.0	92	% 1Q12	3Q12
Camden Montague Tampa, FL	192	20.1	95	% 2Q12	3Q12
Camden Westchase Park Tampa, FL	348	48.4	97	% 3Q12	4Q12
Consolidated total	1,147	\$149.0			
Camden Amber Oaks II (1) Austin, TX	244	\$22.3	95	% 3Q12	4Q12

(1) Property owned through an unconsolidated joint venture in which we own a 20% interest.

Development and Lease-Up Properties

At December 31, 2012, we had two consolidated completed properties in lease-up as follows:

(\$ in millions) Property and Location	Number of Apartment Homes	Cost Incurred	% Leased at 1/27/13	Date of Construction Completion	Estimated Date of Stabilization
Camden Royal Oaks II Houston, TX	104	\$13.3	81	% 1Q12	3Q13
Camden Town Square Orlando, FL	438	58.7	72	% 4Q12	3Q13
Consolidated total	542	\$72.0			

Our consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2012 included approximately \$334.5 million related to properties under development and land. Of this amount, approximately \$196.1 million related to our projects currently under development. In addition, we had approximately \$138.4 million primarily invested in land held for future development, which included approximately \$85.9 million related to projects we expect to begin constructing during the next two years, and approximately \$52.5 million invested in land tracts for which we may develop in the future.

Table of Contents

Communities Under Construction. At December 31, 2012, we had seven consolidated properties and one of our unconsolidated joint ventures had two properties in various stages of construction as follows:

(\$ in millions) Property and Location	Number of Apartment Homes	Estimated Cost	Cost Incurred	Included in Properties Under Development	Estimated Date of Construction Completion	Estimated Date of Stabilization
Camden City Centre II Houston, TX	268	\$36.0	\$28.8	\$28.8	2Q13	3Q14
Camden NOMA Washington, DC	320	110.0	71.6	71.6	2Q14	2Q15
Camden Lamar Heights Austin, TX	314	47.0	10.5	10.5	2Q14	3Q15
Camden Flatirons Denver, CO	424	78.0	20.4	20.4	4Q14	4Q16
Camden Glendale Glendale, CA	303	115.0	33.8	33.8	3Q15	1Q16
Camden Boca Raton Boca Raton, FL	261	54.0	7.7	7.7	4Q14	1Q16
Camden Paces Atlanta, GA	379	110.0	23.3	23.3	1Q15	1Q17
Consolidated total	2,269	\$550.0	\$196.1	\$196.1		
Camden South Capitol (1) Washington, DC	276	\$88.0	\$70.0	\$70.0	4Q13	3Q14
Camden Waterford Lakes (1) Orlando, FL	300	40.0	6.5	6.5	3Q14	4Q15
Unconsolidated total	576	\$128.0	\$76.5	\$76.5		

(1) Property owned through an unconsolidated joint venture in which we own a 20% interest.

Development Pipeline Communities. At December 31, 2012, we had the following communities undergoing development activities:

(\$ in millions) Property and Location	Projected Homes	Total Estimated Cost (1)	Cost to Date
Camden La Frontera Austin, TX	300	\$32.0	\$4.8
Camden Victory Park Dallas, TX	425	70.0	14.7
Camden Hollywood Los Angeles, CA	299	125.0	18.7
Camden Centro Charlotte, NC	324	56.0	8.6
Camden Lincoln Station Denver, CO	275	48.0	5.2
Camden Atlantic Plantation, FL	286	62.0	9.4
Camden McGowen Station Houston, TX	251	40.0	7.1
Camden Buckhead			

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST - Form 10-K

Atlanta, GA	390	70.0	17.4
Total	2,550	\$503.0	\$85.9

(1) Represents our best estimate of the total costs we expect to incur on these projects. However, forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance, results, or events. Although we believe these expectations are based upon reasonable assumptions, future events rarely develop exactly as forecasted, and the best estimates routinely require adjustment.

Table of Contents

Land Holdings. At December 31, 2012, we had the following land tracts:

Location	Acreage	Cost to Date
Washington, DC	0.9	\$ 17.3
Atlanta, GA	5.0	10.1
Dallas, TX	7.2	8.6
Houston, TX	13.2	6.9
Las Vegas, NV	19.6	4.2
Other	4.8	5.4
Total	50.7	\$ 52.5

Geographic Diversification

At December 31, 2012 and 2011, our real estate assets by various markets, excluding depreciation, investments in joint ventures and properties held for sale, were as follows:

(in thousands)	2012		2011		
Washington, D.C. Metro	\$ 1,276,153	19.1	% \$ 1,234,401	21.2	%
Los Angeles/Orange County, California	621,480	9.3	452,451	7.8	
Houston, Texas	546,761	8.2	452,830	7.8	
Southeast Florida	482,213	7.2	462,384	8.0	
Dallas, Texas	447,539	6.7	302,299	5.2	
Orlando, Florida	445,112	6.7	422,811	7.3	
Tampa, Florida	412,010	6.2	436,922	7.5	
Las Vegas, Nevada	411,270	6.2	315,330	5.4	
Atlanta, Georgia	384,658	5.8	369,107	6.3	
Charlotte, North Carolina	330,849	5.0	331,518	5.7	
Denver, Colorado	322,534	4.8	193,285	3.3	
Phoenix, Arizona	278,671	4.2	98,698	1.7	
Raleigh, North Carolina	248,458	3.7	243,114	4.2	
San Diego/Inland Empire, California	230,515	3.4	228,582	3.9	
Austin, Texas	161,841	2.4	156,833	2.7	
Other	73,850	1.1	118,975	2.0	
Total	\$ 6,673,914	100.0	% \$ 5,819,540	100.0	%

Results of Operations

Changes in revenues and expenses related to our operating properties from period to period are due primarily to the performance of stabilized properties in the portfolio, the lease-up of newly constructed properties, acquisitions, and dispositions. Where appropriate, comparisons of income and expense for communities included in continuing operations are made on a dollars-per-weighted average apartment home basis in order to adjust for such changes in the number of apartment homes owned during each period. Selected weighted averages for the years ended December 31 are as follows:

(\$ in thousands)	2012	2011	2010	
Average monthly property revenue per apartment home	\$ 1,182	\$ 1,121	\$ 1,051	
Annualized total property expenses per apartment home	\$ 5,256	\$ 5,201	\$ 5,036	
Weighted average number of operating apartment homes owned 100%	51,308	46,167	45,030	
Weighted average occupancy of operating apartment homes owned 100% *	95.2	% 94.7	% 93.8	%

* The student housing community is excluded from this calculation.

Table of Contents

Property-level operating results

The following tables present the property-level revenues and property-level expenses, excluding discontinued operations, for the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to 2011 and for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to 2010:

(\$ in thousands)	Apartment Homes at 12/31/12	Year Ended December 31,		Change		
		2012	2011	\$	%	
Property revenues:						
Same store communities	44,774	\$636,904	\$598,003	\$38,901	6.5	%
Non-same store communities	8,997	82,733	18,028	64,705	358.9	
Development and lease-up communities	2,811	2,161	1	2,160	*	
Other	—	6,110	5,042	1,068	21.2	
Total property revenues	56,582	\$727,908	\$621,074	\$106,834	17.2	%
Property expenses:						
Same store communities	44,774	\$234,391	\$229,434	\$4,957	2.2	%
Non-same store communities	8,997	31,208	6,537	24,671	377.4	
Development and lease-up communities	2,811	1,035	—	1,035	*	
Other	—	3,035	4,157	(1,122)	(27.0))
Total property expenses	56,582	\$269,669	\$240,128	\$29,541	12.3	%

* Not a meaningful percentage.

Same store communities are communities we owned and were stabilized as of January 1, 2011, excluding communities under major redevelopment. Non-same store communities are stabilized communities we have acquired or developed after January 1, 2011 or communities which underwent major redevelopment after January 1, 2011. Development and lease-up communities are non-stabilized communities we have acquired or developed after January 1, 2011, excluding communities under major redevelopment. Other includes results from non-multifamily rental properties, above/below market lease amortization related to acquired communities, and expenses primarily relating to land holdings not under active development. Properties held for sale are excluded from the above results.

(\$ in thousands)	Apartment Homes at 12/31/11	Year Ended December 31,		Change		
		2011	2010	\$	%	
Property revenues:						
Same store communities	42,538	\$560,423	\$530,840	\$29,583	5.6	%
Non-same store communities	3,618	54,887	32,967	21,920	66.5	
Development and lease-up communities	2,277	715	—	715	*	
Other	—	5,049	4,265	784	18.4	
Total property revenues	48,433	\$621,074	\$568,072	\$53,002	9.3	%
Property expenses:						
Same store communities	42,538	\$215,374	\$208,938	\$6,436	3.1	%
Non-same store communities	3,618	20,571	12,922	7,649	59.2	
Development and lease-up communities	2,277	222	—	222	*	
Other	—	3,961	4,918	(957)	(19.5))
Total property expenses	48,433	\$240,128	\$226,778	\$13,350	5.9	%

* Not a meaningful percentage.

Same store communities are communities we owned and which were stabilized as of January 1, 2010, excluding communities under major redevelopment. Non-same store communities are stabilized communities we have acquired or developed after January 1, 2010 or communities which underwent major redevelopment after January 1, 2010. Development and lease-up communities are non-stabilized communities we have developed or acquired after

January 1, 2010, excluding communities under major redevelopment. Other includes results from non-multifamily rental properties, above/below market lease amortization related to acquired communities, and expenses primarily relating to land holdings not under active development. Properties held for sale are excluded from the above results.

Table of Contents

Same store analysis:

Same store property revenues for the year ended December 31, 2012 increased approximately \$38.9 million, or 6.5%, from 2011. Same store rental revenues increased approximately \$33.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to 2011, primarily due to a 5.7% increase in average rental rates and a 0.6% increase in average occupancy for our same store portfolio, from 94.7% in 2011 to 95.3% in 2012. During the year ended December 31, 2012, average rental rates on new leases were 3.9% higher than expiring lease rates and average renewal rates were 7.9% higher than expiring lease rates. We believe the increase to rental revenue was due in part to a gradually improving economy, favorable demographics, a modest supply of new multifamily housing, and a decline in home ownership rates. Additionally, there was a \$5.3 million increase in other property revenue during the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to 2011 primarily due to increases in miscellaneous fees and charges and revenues from ancillary income from our utility rebilling programs.

Same store property revenues for the year ended December 31, 2011 increased approximately \$29.6 million, or 5.6%, from 2010. Same store rental revenues increased approximately \$23.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to 2010, primarily due to a 4.6% increase in average rental rates and a 0.7% increase in average occupancy for our same store portfolio. During the year ended December 31, 2011, average rental rates on new leases were 3.7% higher than expiring lease rates and average renewal rates were 8.0% higher than expiring lease rates. We believe the increase to rental revenue was due in part to the continued decline in home ownership rates and the limited supply of new rental housing. Additionally, there was a \$5.7 million increase in other property revenue during the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to 2010 primarily due to increases in revenues from our utility rebilling programs and miscellaneous fees and charges.

Property expenses from our same store communities increased approximately \$5.0 million, or 2.2%, for the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to 2011. The increase was due to a \$1.9 million, or 3%, increase in real estate taxes as a result of higher property valuations and property tax rates at a number of our communities, offset partially by refunds received on successful protests of prior year tax assessments. The increase was also due to a \$3.0 million, or 5.5%, increase in salaries and benefit expenses due to increases in salaries and incentive compensation and higher medical benefit costs. Utility expenses, including costs associated with our utility rebilling programs, increased approximately \$0.2 million during the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to the same period in 2011. Excluding the expenses associated with our utility rebilling programs, same store property expenses for the year ended December 31, 2012 increased approximately \$4.7 million, or 2.2%, as compared to 2011.

Property expenses from our same store communities increased approximately \$6.4 million, or 3.1%, for the year ended December 31, 2011, as compared to 2010. The increase was primarily due to increases in utility expenses relating to costs associated with our utility rebilling programs, higher water costs, increased salaries and benefits due to increases in annual compensation and higher medical benefit costs, and higher repairs and maintenance expenses. The increase was also due to slightly higher real estate taxes as a result of increasing property valuations and property tax rates at a number of our communities. Excluding the expenses associated with our utility rebilling programs, same store property expenses for 2011 increased approximately \$5.4 million, or 2.8%, from 2010.

Non-same store and development and lease-up analysis:

Property revenues from non-same store and development and lease-up communities increased approximately \$66.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to 2011 and increased approximately \$22.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to 2010. The increase in 2012 as compared to 2011 was primarily due to approximately \$44.9 million of revenues recognized in 2012 related to twelve joint venture communities we consolidated during January 2012 and one joint venture community we consolidated during December 2012, which were previously accounted for in accordance with the equity method of accounting. The increase in revenues was also due to approximately \$7.8 million in revenues related to the acquisition of seven properties during 2012. The increase for non-same store and development and lease-up communities was also related to four properties in our development pipeline reaching stabilization and the completion and partial lease-up of two properties in our development pipeline during 2012. The increase in 2011 as compared to 2010 was primarily due to approximately \$18.0 million of revenues during 2011 related to three joint venture communities we consolidated during the second half of 2010, which were previously accounted for in accordance with the equity method of accounting. The increase in revenues for 2011 for

non-same store and development and lease-up communities was also due to two properties in our development and re-development pipelines reaching stabilization during the second and third quarters of 2010 and the completion and partial lease-up of two properties in our development pipeline during 2011.

Property expenses from non-same store and development and lease-up communities increased approximately \$25.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to 2011 and increased approximately \$7.9 million for 2011 as compared to 2010. The increase in 2012 as compared to 2011 was primarily due to \$17.9 million of expenses during 2012 relating to twelve joint venture communities we consolidated during January 2012 and one joint venture community we consolidated during December 2012, \$3.1 million of expenses related to the acquisition of seven properties during 2012, and \$3.8 million of expenses related to

Table of Contents

four properties in our development pipeline reaching stabilization, and the partial lease-up of two properties in our development pipeline during 2012. The increase in 2011 as compared to 2010 was primarily due to approximately \$7.1 million of expenses recognized during 2011 related to three joint venture communities we consolidated during the second half of 2010 and the completion and partial lease-up of two properties in our development pipeline during 2011.

Other property analysis:

Other property revenues increased approximately \$1.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to 2011 and increased \$0.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to 2010. The increase in 2012 was primarily due to revenues of approximately \$1.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 from above and below market lease amortization related to our 2012 acquisitions. The increase in 2011 as compared to 2010 was primarily related to increases in rental income from our non-multifamily rental properties.

Other property expenses decreased approximately \$1.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to 2011 and decreased \$1.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to 2010. The decrease in 2012 was primarily related to decreases in property taxes expensed on four land holdings for projects which were approved during 2012 and the second half of 2011 for development activities. As a result, we started capitalizing expenses, including property taxes, on these development projects. The decrease in 2011 as compared to 2010 was primarily related to decreases in property taxes expensed on land holdings for projects which were approved during 2011 and the second half of 2010 for development activities. As a result, we started capitalizing expenses, including property taxes, on these development projects.

Non-property income

(\$ in thousands)	Year Ended December 31,		Change		Year Ended December 31,		Change		
	2012	2011	\$	%	2011	2010	\$	%	
Fee and asset management	\$12,345	\$9,973	\$2,372	23.8	% \$9,973	\$8,172	\$1,801	22.0	%
Interest and other income (loss)	(710)	4,649	(5,359)	(115.3)	4,649	8,584	(3,935)	(45.8)	
Income on deferred compensation plans	4,772	6,773	(2,001)	(29.5)	6,773	11,581	(4,808)	(41.5)	
Total non-property income	\$16,407	\$21,395	\$(4,988)	(23.3)%	\$21,395	\$28,337	\$(6,942)	(24.5)%	

Fee and asset management income increased approximately \$2.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to 2011 and increased approximately \$1.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to 2010. The increase for 2012 was primarily due to an increase in property management, development and construction fees due to acquisitions completed and development communities started by our funds in 2011 and 2012. The increase was partially offset by a decrease in property management fees due to our consolidation of twelve joint venture communities in January 2012, which were previously accounted for in accordance with the equity method of accounting, and the sale of seven operating properties by two of our unconsolidated joint ventures during the third and fourth quarters of 2012.

The increase in fee and asset management income for 2011 as compared to 2010 was primarily related to an increase in property management, development and construction fees due to acquisitions completed and development communities started by our funds during 2011 and the fourth quarter of 2010. The increase was partially offset by a decrease in construction fees due to a reduction in third party construction activities during 2011 as compared to 2010. The increase was further offset by a decrease due to our consolidation of three joint venture communities during the second half of 2010, which were previously accounted for in accordance with the equity method of accounting.

Interest and other income (loss) decreased approximately \$5.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to 2011 and decreased approximately \$3.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to 2010. The decrease during 2012 as compared to 2011 was primarily due to a \$4.3 million gain recognized in 2011 relating to the sale of an available-for-sale investment, and an increase in losses recognized on non-designated hedges of approximately \$0.6 million during the year ended December 31, 2012. The decrease during 2011 as compared to

2010 was primarily due to \$2.7 million recognized in 2010 relating to the expiration of an indemnification provision in an operating joint venture agreement which expired in January 2010, and approximately \$4.2 million recognized in 2010 as a result of the dissolution of a joint venture and purchase by our joint venture partner of the third party debt made by this joint venture from the note holder, which relieved us from our guarantee of our proportionate interest of this debt; we had previously recorded a charge for this guarantee obligation. The decrease in 2011 as compared to 2010 was also due to a decline in interest income on our mezzanine loan portfolio due to lower balances of outstanding mezzanine loans due in part to the conversion of mezzanine loans into additional equity interests in certain of our joint ventures in 2010. These decreases were partially offset by a \$4.3 million gain relating to the sale of an available-for-sale investment recognized in 2011.

Table of Contents

Our deferred compensation plans earned income of approximately \$4.8 million, \$6.8 million and \$11.6 million in 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The changes were related to the performance of the investments held in the deferred compensation plans for participants and were directly offset by the expense related to these plans, as discussed below.

Other expenses

(\$ in thousands)	Year Ended December 31,		Change		Year Ended December 31,		Change		
	2012	2011	\$	%	2011	2010	\$	%	
Property management	\$21,796	\$20,686	\$1,110	5.4	% \$20,686	\$19,982	\$704	3.5	%
Fee and asset management	6,631	5,935	696	11.7	5,935	4,841	1,094	22.6	
General and administrative	37,528	35,456	2,072	5.8	35,456	30,762	4,694	15.3	
Interest	104,282	112,414	(8,132)	(7.2)	112,414	125,893	(13,479)	(10.7))
Depreciation and amortization	203,077	171,127	31,950	18.7	171,127	161,760	9,367	5.8	
Amortization of deferred financing costs	3,608	5,877	(2,269)	(38.6)	5,877	4,102	1,775	43.3	
Expense on deferred compensation plans	4,772	6,773	(2,001)	(29.5)	6,773	11,581	(4,808)	(41.5))
Total other expenses	\$381,694	\$358,268	\$23,426	6.5	% \$358,268	\$358,921	\$(653)	(0.2))%

Property management expense, which represents regional supervision and accounting costs related to property operations, increased approximately \$1.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to 2011 and increased approximately \$0.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to 2010. The increases as compared to the prior year periods were primarily due to higher salaries, benefits and incentive compensation for our property management personnel. The increase in 2012 as compared to 2011 was partially offset by a decrease in administrative costs. Property management expenses were 3.0%, 3.3%, and 3.5% of total property revenues for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively.

Fee and asset management expense, which represents expenses related to third party construction projects and property management of our joint ventures, increased approximately \$0.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to 2011 and increased approximately \$1.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to 2010. The increase in 2012 as compared to 2011 primarily related to an increase in expenses related to the management of acquisitions completed and development communities started by our funds during 2011 and 2012. The increase was partially offset by a decrease in expenses resulting from our consolidation of twelve joint venture communities in January 2012, which were previously accounted for in accordance with the equity method of accounting, and the sale of seven operating properties by two of our unconsolidated joint ventures during the third and fourth quarters of 2012.

The increase in fee and asset management expense for 2011 as compared to 2010 was primarily related to an increase in expenses related to the management of acquisitions completed and development communities started by our funds during 2011 and the fourth quarter of 2010. The increase was partially offset by a decrease in expenses resulting from our consolidation of three joint venture communities during the second half of 2010, which were previously accounted for in accordance with the equity method of accounting.

General and administrative expenses increased approximately \$2.1 million during the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to 2011 and increased approximately \$4.7 million during the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to 2010. General and administrative expenses were 5.1%, 5.6% and 5.3% of total revenues, excluding income on deferred compensation plans, for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The increase in 2012 as compared to 2011 was primarily due to increases in salaries, benefits and incentive compensation expenses of

approximately \$2.4 million and an increase in professional fees of approximately \$1.5 million primarily relating to higher consulting costs, legal expenses and audit costs. The increase was also due to an increase in expensed costs related to our acquisitions completed in 2012 of approximately \$0.6 million. These increases were offset by approximately \$2.1 million in one-time bonuses awarded to all non-executive employees in the first quarter of 2011. The increase in general and administrative expenses for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to 2010 was primarily due to approximately \$2.1 million in one-time bonuses awarded to all non-executive employees in the first quarter of 2011 mentioned above and increases in salaries, benefits and incentive compensation of approximately \$3.2 million, offset partially by approximately \$0.5 million decrease in other discretionary expenses.

Table of Contents

Interest expense decreased approximately \$8.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to 2011 and decreased approximately \$13.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to 2010. The decrease in interest expense in 2012 as compared to 2011 was primarily due to the repayment of our \$500 million term loan in June 2011, the retirement of two unsecured notes payable during the first half of 2011, the retirement of four secured notes payable and one unsecured note payable during 2012, and higher capitalized interest of approximately \$3.7 million as compared to 2011 due to higher average balances in our development pipeline. These decreases were partially offset by an increase in interest expense related to our issuance in June 2011 of \$500 million senior unsecured notes payable and our issuance in December 2012 of \$350 million senior unsecured notes payable. The decrease in interest expense in 2011 as compared to 2010 was primarily due to the repayment of our \$500 million term loan in June 2011, the retirement of four unsecured notes payable during 2010, the retirement of two unsecured notes payable during 2011, and higher capitalized interest of approximately \$3.1 million as compared to 2010 primarily due to higher average balances in our development pipeline. These decreases were partially offset by additional interest expense related to the issuance in June 2011 of \$500 million in senior unsecured notes payable and the increase in secured notes payable relating to debt assumed in connection with the consolidation of two joint venture communities during the second half of 2010, which were previously accounted for using the equity method of accounting.

Depreciation and amortization expense increased approximately \$32.0 million during the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to 2011 and increased approximately \$9.4 million during the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to 2010. The increase in 2012 was primarily due to the consolidation of twelve joint venture communities in January 2012, which were previously accounted for using the equity method of accounting and the acquisition of seven operating properties during 2012. The increases were also due to the completion of units in our development pipeline and an increase in capital improvements placed in service throughout 2011 and 2012. The increase in 2011 as compared to 2010 was primarily due to depreciation on capital improvements placed in service throughout 2011 and 2010. The increase was also due to the consolidation of three joint venture communities during the second half of 2010, which were previously accounted for using the equity method of accounting.

Amortization of deferred financing costs decreased approximately \$2.3 million during the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to 2011 and increased approximately \$1.8 million during the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to 2010. The decrease for 2012 was due to lower amortization of financing costs as a result of an amendment to our \$500 million credit facility in September 2011 which extended the maturity date three years. The decrease was also due to lower amortization and the write-off of approximately \$0.5 million of unamortized loan costs associated with the repayment of the \$500 million term loan in June 2011. This decrease was partially offset by higher amortization of financing costs associated with the issuance in June 2011 of \$500 million senior unsecured notes payable. The increase for 2011 as compared to 2010 was due to the amortization of additional financing costs incurred on our \$500 million unsecured credit facility we entered into in August 2010 and on our issuance in June 2011 of \$500 million senior unsecured notes payable. The increase was also due to the write-off of approximately \$0.5 million of unamortized loan costs associated with the \$500 million term loan we repaid in June 2011.

Our deferred compensation plans incurred expenses of approximately \$4.8 million, \$6.8 million and \$11.6 million in 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The changes were related to the performance of the investments held in the deferred compensation plans for plan participants and were directly offset by the income related to these plans, as discussed above.

Other

	Year Ended		Change	Year Ended		Change
	December 31,			December 31,		
(\$ in thousands)	2012	2011	\$	2011	2010	\$
Gain on acquisition of controlling interest in joint ventures	\$57,418	\$—	\$57,418	\$—	\$—	\$—
Gain on sale of properties, including land	—	4,748	(4,748)	4,748	236	4,512
	—	1,136	(1,136)	1,136	—	1,136

Gain on sale of unconsolidated joint venture interests

Loss on discontinuation of hedging relationship—	(29,791)	29,791	(29,791)	—	(29,791)
Impairment provision on technology investment	—	—	—	(1,000)	1,000
Equity in income (loss) of joint ventures	20,175	5,679	14,496	5,679	(839) 6,518
Income tax expense – current	(1,208)	(2,220)	1,012	(2,220)	(1,581) (639)

As of December 31, 2011, we held a 20% ownership interest in twelve unconsolidated joint ventures which owned twelve apartment communities containing 4,034 apartment homes located in Dallas, Houston, Las Vegas, Phoenix, and Southern California. In January 2012, we acquired the remaining 80% ownership interests in these joint ventures resulting in these entities being wholly-owned. In December 2012, we acquired the remaining 50% ownership interest in another unconsolidated joint venture which owned one apartment community, containing 320 apartment homes located in Denver, Colorado. We previously accounted for

Table of Contents

these 13 joint ventures under the equity method of accounting. Our acquisitions of these remaining ownership interests resulted in a gain of approximately \$57.4 million, which represented the difference between the fair market value of our previously owned equity interests and the cost basis in our investment on the date of acquisition.

Gain on sale of properties, including land, totaled approximately \$4.7 million and \$0.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The gain in 2011 was due to a sale of one of our land development properties located in Washington, DC in April 2011 to one of the funds and the sale of one of our development properties located in Austin, Texas to this fund in June 2011. The gain in 2010 was due to a gain on the sale of a land parcel in Houston, Texas to an unaffiliated third party.

Gain on sale of unconsolidated joint venture interests totaled approximately \$1.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 due to the sale of our ownership interests in three unconsolidated joint ventures in March 2011. The loss on discontinuation of hedging relationship during the year ended December 31, 2011 was due to the discontinuation of a cash flow hedge associated with the repayment of our \$500 million term loan in June 2011. During the fourth quarter of 2010, we wrote-off a \$1.0 million investment associated with a technology investment which we determined was no longer recoverable.

Equity in income (loss) of joint ventures increased approximately \$14.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to 2011, and increased approximately \$6.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to 2010. The increase in 2012 as compared to 2011 was primarily due to a \$17.4 million gain relating to our proportionate share of the gain on the sale of seven operating properties by two of our unconsolidated joint ventures in 2012. The increase was also due to an increase in earnings recognized in 2012 relating to 18 acquisitions of operating properties completed by the funds during 2011. These increases were partially offset by the acquisition and consolidation by us of twelve operating joint ventures in January 2012 which were previously accounted for in accordance with the equity method of accounting. These increases were further offset by a \$6.4 million gain relating to our proportionate share of the gain on sale of four operating properties by one of our unconsolidated joint ventures during the fourth quarter of 2011.

The increase in 2011 as compared to 2010 was primarily due to a \$6.4 million gain related to our proportionate share of the gain on sale of four operating properties by one of our unconsolidated joint ventures during the fourth quarter of 2011. The increase was also due to two development properties held by our joint ventures which were sold in March 2011. These two development properties reached stabilization in late 2010 and early 2011 and we recognized our proportionate interest in losses in 2010 during the lease-up phase of operations. These increases were partially offset by our proportionate interest in overall losses recognized by the funds relating to acquisitions of operating properties during 2010 and 2011, which resulted in additional amortization expense for in-place leases over the underlying lease term.

We had current income tax expense of approximately \$1.2 million, \$2.2 million, and \$1.6 million for the tax years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively. The decrease in income tax expense during 2012 as compared to 2011 and the increase in income tax expense during 2011 as compared to 2010 was due to approximately \$1.0 million associated with income taxes from the gain recognized on the sale of our available-for-sale investment during the first quarter of 2011 by a taxable REIT subsidiary. The increase in 2011 as compared to 2010 was partially offset by a decrease in taxable income related to our third party construction activities conducted in a taxable REIT subsidiary.

Funds from Operations (“FFO”)

Management considers FFO to be an appropriate measure of the financial performance of an equity REIT. The National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (“NAREIT”) currently defines FFO as net income (computed in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”)), excluding gains (or losses) associated with previously depreciated operating properties, real estate depreciation and amortization, impairments of depreciable assets, and adjustments for unconsolidated joint ventures. Our calculation of diluted FFO also assumes conversion of all potentially dilutive securities, including certain non-controlling interests, which are convertible into common shares. We consider FFO to be an appropriate supplemental measure of operating performance because, by excluding gains or losses on dispositions of operating properties, and depreciation, FFO can assist in the comparison of the operating performance of a company’s real estate investments between periods or as

compared to different companies.

To facilitate a clear understanding of our consolidated historical operating results, we believe FFO should be examined in conjunction with net income attributable to common shareholders as presented in the consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income and data included elsewhere in this report. FFO is not defined by GAAP and should not be considered as an alternative to net income attributable to common shareholders as an indication of our operating performance. Additionally, FFO as disclosed by other REITs may not be comparable to our calculation.

34

Table of Contents

Reconciliations of net income attributable to common shareholders to diluted FFO for the years ended December 31 are as follows:

(in thousands)	2012	2011	2010
Funds from operations			
Net income attributable to common shareholders (1)	\$283,390	\$49,379	\$23,216
Real estate depreciation and amortization, including discontinued operations	205,437	177,187	170,660
Adjustments for unconsolidated joint ventures	7,939	10,534	8,943
Gain on acquisition of controlling interests in joint ventures	(57,418)) —	—
Gain on sale of unconsolidated joint venture properties (2)	(17,418)) (6,394)) —
Gain on sale of unconsolidated joint venture interests	—	(1,136)) —
Gain on sale of properties and discontinued operations, net of tax	(115,068)) (24,621)) (9,614)
Income allocated to non-controlling interests	6,475	2,586	1,104
Funds from operations – diluted	\$313,337	\$207,535	\$194,309
Weighted average shares – basic	83,772	72,756	68,608
Incremental shares issuable from assumed conversion of:			
Common share options and share awards granted	647	706	348
Common units	2,200	2,466	2,596
Weighted average shares – diluted	86,619	75,928	71,552

(1) Includes a \$29.8 million charge related to a loss on discontinuation of a hedging relationship for the year ended December 31, 2011.

(2) The gain in 2012 represents our proportionate share of the gain on sale of seven operating properties sold during 2012 by two of our unconsolidated joint ventures. The gain in 2011 represents our proportionate share of the gain on sale of four operating properties sold by an unconsolidated joint venture during 2011.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Financial Condition and Sources of Liquidity

We intend to maintain a strong balance sheet and preserve our financial flexibility, which we believe should enhance our ability to identify and capitalize on investment opportunities as they become available. We intend to maintain what management believes is a conservative capital structure by:

- extending and sequencing the maturity dates of our debt where practicable;
- managing interest rate exposure using what management believes to be prudent levels of fixed and floating rate debt;
- maintaining what management believes to be conservative coverage ratios; and
- using what management believes to be a prudent combination of debt and equity.

Our interest expense coverage ratio, net of capitalized interest, was approximately 4.0, 3.2, and 2.6 times for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively. This ratio is a method for calculating the amount of operating cash flows available to cover interest expense and is calculated by dividing interest expense for the period into the sum of property revenues and expenses, non-property income, other expenses, income from discontinued operations after adding back depreciation, amortization, and interest expense from both continuing and discontinued operations. At December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, approximately 76.5%, 71.7%, and 71.1%, respectively, of our properties (based on invested capital) were unencumbered. Our weighted average maturity of debt was approximately 7.0 years at December 31, 2012.

We intend to continue to focus on strengthening our capital and liquidity positions by continuing to focus on our core fundamentals which are generating positive cash flows from operations, maintaining appropriate debt levels and leverage ratios, and controlling overhead costs.

Our primary source of liquidity is cash flow generated from operations. Other sources include available cash balances, the availability under our unsecured credit facility and other short-term borrowings, proceeds from dispositions, equity

issued from our ATM program, the use of debt and equity offerings under our automatic shelf registration statement and secured mortgages.

35

Table of Contents

We believe our liquidity and financial condition are sufficient to meet all of our reasonably anticipated cash needs during 2013 including:

- normal recurring operating expenses;
- current debt service requirements, including debt maturities;
- recurring capital expenditures;
- initial funding of property developments, acquisitions, joint venture investments; and
- the minimum dividend payments required to maintain our REIT qualification under the Code.

Factors which could increase or decrease our future liquidity include but are not limited to volatility in capital and credit markets, sources of financing, our ability to complete asset purchases or sales, the effect our debt level and changes in credit ratings could have on our costs of funds and our ability to access capital markets.

Cash Flows

Certain sources and uses of cash, such as the level of discretionary capital expenditures, and repurchases of debt and common shares, are within our control and are adjusted as necessary based upon, among other factors, market conditions. The following is a discussion of our cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011.

Net cash provided by operating activities was approximately \$324.3 million during the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to approximately \$244.8 million during the year ended December 31, 2011. The increase was primarily due to growth in property revenues directly attributable to increased rental and occupancy rates from our same store communities and the growth in non-same store communities as we consolidated twelve joint ventures in the first quarter of 2012 and one unconsolidated joint venture in December 2012. The increase in non-same store revenues also related to acquisitions of seven operating properties completed in 2012. This increase in revenues was partially offset by the increase in property expenses from our same store and non-same store communities related to the above noted activity. See further discussions of our 2012 operations as compared to 2011 in our “Results of Operations.”

Net cash used by investing activities during the year ended December 31, 2012 totaled approximately \$527.7 million as compared to approximately \$187.4 million during the year ended December 31, 2011. Cash outflows for property development and capital improvements were approximately \$290.7 million during 2012 as compared to approximately \$227.8 million during 2011 due primarily to an increase in construction and development activity in 2012 as compared to 2011. The property development and capital improvements during 2012 included expenditures for new development, including land, of approximately \$169.6 million, capitalized interest, real estate taxes, and other capitalized indirect costs of approximately \$20.3 million, approximately \$37.7 million related to redevelopment expenditures, and approximately \$63.0 million of other capital expenditures. The property development and capital improvements during 2011 included expenditures for new development, including land, of approximately \$145.3 million, capitalized interest, real estate taxes, and other capitalized indirect costs of approximately \$14.2 million, approximately \$14.5 million related to redevelopment expenditures, and approximately \$53.7 million of other capital expenditures.

Additional cash outflows used in investing activities during the year ended December 31, 2012 related to acquisitions of seven operating properties and the controlling interests in thirteen former joint ventures, net of cash acquired totaling approximately \$465.4 million. During 2012, we also used approximately \$7.0 million for investments in joint ventures relating to acquisitions of an operating property and two land development properties by one of our funds, in which we own a 20% interest. During 2011, cash outflows for investments in joint ventures were approximately \$46.0 million due to eighteen acquisitions of operating properties completed by our funds. During the year ended December 31, 2012, cash outflows were partially offset by proceeds of approximately \$226.9 million from the sale of eleven operating properties. Cash outflows were further offset by distributions of investments from joint ventures of approximately \$17.4 million during the year ended December 31, 2012, which included \$14.2 million in distributions of investments from two unconsolidated joint ventures relating to the sale of seven operating properties in the third and fourth quarters of 2012. Distributions of investments from joint ventures received during the year ended December 31, 2011 was approximately \$6.0 million. During 2011, cash outflows were partially offset by proceeds of approximately \$19.3 million from the sale of our interests in three unconsolidated joint ventures in March 2011, approximately \$19.1 million from the sale of two land development properties to one of our joint ventures, and

approximately \$38.2 million from the sale of two operating properties to unaffiliated third parties. These outflows were further offset by proceeds received from the sale of our available-for-sale investment of \$4.5 million during February 2011, and payments received on notes receivable from affiliates of approximately \$3.3 million. Net cash provided by financing activities totaled approximately \$174.9 million during the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to \$172.9 million used during the year ended December 31, 2011. During 2012, we received net proceeds of approximately \$693.4 million from the issuance of 11.2 million shares from our ATM programs and through an equity offering

Table of Contents

completed in January 2012. Cash inflows during 2012 also included proceeds of approximately \$346.3 million relating to issuance of \$350 million unsecured notes payable completed in December 2012 and proceeds of approximately \$13.0 million from common share options exercised during the period. The inflow during 2012 was partially offset by approximately \$272.6 million used to repay the mortgage debt of twelve former joint ventures we acquired in January 2012, and \$295.0 million used to repay maturing secured and unsecured notes payable. Cash inflows during this period were also offset by approximately \$100.0 million used to redeem our perpetual preferred units and approximately \$189.0 million used for distributions paid to common shareholders, perpetual preferred unit holders, and non-controlling interest holders. During 2011 we used approximately \$627.6 million to repay our outstanding \$500 million term loan and maturing secured and unsecured notes. We also used approximately \$152.2 million during this period for distributions paid to common shareholders, perpetual preferred unit holders, and non-controlling interest holders. The cash outflows were partially offset by net proceeds of approximately \$495.7 million provided from the issuance of two series of unsecured notes completed in June 2011, net proceeds of approximately \$106.6 million from the issuance of 1.7 million common shares under our ATM programs, and net proceeds of approximately \$11.4 million provided from common share options exercised during the period.

Financial Flexibility

We have a \$500 million unsecured credit facility which matures in September 2015 with an option to extend at our election to September 2016. Additionally, we have the option to increase this credit facility to \$750 million by either adding additional banks to the credit facility or obtaining the agreement of the existing banks in the credit facility to increase their commitments. The interest rate is based upon LIBOR plus a margin which is subject to change as our credit ratings change. Advances under the line of credit may be priced at the scheduled rates, or we may enter into bid rate loans with participating banks at rates below the scheduled rates. These bid rate loans have terms of 180 days or less and may not exceed the lesser of \$250 million or the remaining amount available under the line of credit. The line of credit is subject to customary financial covenants and limitations. We are in compliance with all such financial covenants and limitations.

Our line of credit provides us with the ability to issue up to \$100 million in letters of credit. While our issuance of letters of credit does not increase our borrowings outstanding under our line of credit, it does reduce the amount available. At December 31, 2012, we had no balances outstanding on our \$500 million unsecured line of credit. However, we had outstanding letters of credit totaling approximately \$11.0 million, leaving approximately \$489.0 million available under our unsecured line of credit. As an alternative to our unsecured line of credit, from time to time, we may borrow using an unsecured overnight borrowing facility. Our use of short-term borrowings does not decrease the amount available under our unsecured line of credit.

We currently have an automatic shelf registration statement with the SEC which allows us to offer, from time to time, an unlimited amount of common shares, preferred shares, debt securities, or warrants. On May 11, 2012, the shareholders of the Company approved an amendment to our Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust to increase our total number of authorized shares from 110.0 million to 185.0 million shares of beneficial interest, consisting of 175.0 million common shares and 10.0 million preferred shares.

In May 2012, we created an ATM program through which we can, but have no obligation to, sell common shares having an aggregate offering price of up to \$300 million (the "2012 ATM program"), in amounts and at times as we determine, into the existing trading market at current market prices as well as through negotiated transactions. Actual sales from time to time may depend on a variety of factors, including, among others, market conditions, the trading price of our common shares, and determinations by management of the appropriate sources of funding for us. We intend to use the net proceeds from the 2012 ATM program for general corporate purposes, which may include funding for development activities, financing for acquisitions, the redemption or other repurchase of outstanding debt or equity securities, reducing future borrowings under our \$500 million unsecured line of credit, and the repayment of other indebtedness. As of the date of this filing, we had common shares having an aggregate offering price of up to \$123.6 million remaining available for sale under the 2012 ATM program.

We believe our ability to access capital markets is enhanced by our senior unsecured debt ratings by Moody's, Fitch, and Standard and Poors, which are currently Baa1, BBB+ and BBB, respectively, with stable, stable and positive outlooks, respectively, as well as by our ability to borrow on a secured basis from various institutions including banks,

Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, or life insurance companies. However, we may not be able to maintain our current credit ratings and may not be able to borrow on a secured or unsecured basis in the future.

Future Cash Requirements and Contractual Obligations

One of our principal long-term liquidity requirements includes the repayment of maturing debt, including any future borrowings under our unsecured line of credit. During 2013, approximately \$229.2 million of debt, including scheduled principal amortizations of approximately \$3.4 million, is scheduled to mature. In January 2013, we repaid a \$26.1 million secured third party note payable which was scheduled to mature in April 2013. See Note 9, "Notes Payable," in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of scheduled maturities.

Table of Contents

We intend to incur approximately \$353.9 million of additional expected costs to complete our consolidated communities under construction. Of this amount, we expect approximately \$200.7 million will be incurred during 2013 and the remainder of the costs to be incurred during 2014. Additionally, we also expect to incur between approximately \$50 million and \$60 million of additional redevelopment expenditures and \$60 million and \$64 million of additional other capital expenditures during 2013.

We intend to meet our near-term liquidity requirements through cash flows generated from operations, available cash balances, draws on our unsecured credit facility, and proceeds from property dispositions. We continually evaluate our operating property and land development portfolio and plan to continue our practice of selective dispositions as market conditions warrant and opportunities develop. We also intend to meet our near-term liquidity requirements through equity issued from our ATM program, the use of debt and equity offerings under our automatic shelf registration statement and secured mortgages.

In order for us to continue to qualify as a REIT, we are required to distribute annual dividends to our shareholders equal to a minimum of 90% of our REIT taxable income, computed without regard to the dividends paid deduction and our net capital gains. In December 2012, we announced our Board of Trust Managers had declared a dividend distribution of \$0.56 per share to our common shareholders of record as of December 17, 2012. The dividend was subsequently paid on January 17, 2013. We paid equivalent amounts per unit to holders of common operating partnership units. When aggregated with previous 2012 dividends, this distribution to common shareholders and holders of common operating partnership units equates to an annual dividend rate of \$2.24 per share or unit for the year ended December 31, 2012.

In the first quarter of 2013, the Company's Board of Trust Managers increased the quarterly dividend rate from \$0.56 to \$0.63 per common share. Future dividend payments are paid at the discretion of the Board of Trust Managers and depend on cash flows generated from operations, the Company's financial condition and capital requirements, distribution requirements under the REIT provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and other factors which may be deemed relevant by our Board of Trust Managers. Assuming dividend distributions for the remainder of 2013 are similar to those declared for the first quarter 2013, the annualized dividend rate for 2013 would be \$2.52.

The following table summarizes our known contractual cash obligations as of December 31, 2012:

(in millions)	Total	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Thereafter
Debt maturities (1)	\$2,510.5	\$229.2	\$35.4	\$252.0	\$2.3	\$249.2	\$1,742.4
Interest payments (2)	719.4	112.3	101.5	93.8	89.1	81.3	241.4
Non-cancelable lease payments	7.7	2.5	2.4	1.5	0.4	0.3	0.6
Postretirement benefit obligations	4.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	2.8
	\$3,241.6	\$344.2	\$139.5	\$347.5	\$92.1	\$331.1	\$1,987.2

(1) Includes scheduled principal amortizations.

Includes contractual interest payments for our senior unsecured notes and secured notes. The interest payments on (2) certain secured notes with floating interest rates were calculated based on the interest rates in effect as of December 31, 2012 or the most recent practicable date.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The joint ventures in which we have an interest have been funded in part with secured, third party debt. As of December 31, 2012, we have no outstanding guarantees related to loans of our unconsolidated joint ventures.

Inflation

Substantially all of our apartment leases are for a term generally ranging from six to fifteen months. In an inflationary environment, we may realize increased rents at the commencement of new leases or upon the renewal of existing leases. We believe the short-term nature of our leases generally minimizes our risk from the adverse effects of inflation.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of our financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make certain estimates and assumptions. These estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the balance sheet date, and the amounts of revenues and expenses recognized during the reporting period. These estimates are based on historical experience and other assumptions believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. The following is a discussion of our critical accounting estimates. For a discussion of all of our significant accounting policies, see Note 2 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Use of Estimates. In the application of GAAP, management is required to make estimates and assumptions which affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, results of operations during the reporting periods, and related disclosures. Our more significant estimates include estimates supporting our impairment analysis related to the carrying values of our real estate assets, and estimates related to the valuation of our investments in joint ventures. These estimates are based on historical experience and other assumptions believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Future events rarely develop exactly as forecasted, and the best estimates routinely require adjustment.

Principles of Consolidation. We may enter into various joint venture agreements with unrelated third parties to hold or develop real estate assets. We must determine for each of these joint ventures whether to consolidate the entity or account for our investment under the equity or cost basis of accounting. Investments acquired or created are continuously evaluated based on the accounting guidance relating to variable interest entities (“VIEs”), which requires the consolidation of VIEs in which we are considered to be the primary beneficiary. If the investment is determined not to be a VIE, then the investment is evaluated for consolidation (primarily using a voting interest model) under the remaining consolidation guidance relating to real estate entities. If we are the general partner in a limited partnership, or manager of a limited liability company, we also consider the consolidation guidance relating to the rights of limited partners (non-managing members) to assess whether any rights held by the limited partners overcome the presumption of control by us. We evaluate our accounting for investments on a quarterly basis or when a reconsideration event (as defined by GAAP) with respect to our investments occurs. The analysis required to identify VIEs and primary beneficiaries is complex and requires substantial management judgment. Accordingly, we believe the decisions made to choose an appropriate accounting framework are critical.

Asset Impairment. Long-lived assets are reviewed for impairment annually or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Impairment exists if estimated future undiscounted cash flows associated with long-lived assets are not sufficient to recover the carrying value of such assets. We consider projected future discounted and undiscounted cash flows, trends, strategic decisions regarding future development plans, and other factors in our assessment of whether impairment conditions exist. When impairment exists, the long-lived asset is adjusted to its fair value. While we believe our estimates of future cash flows are reasonable, different assumptions regarding a number of factors, including market rents, economic conditions, and occupancies could significantly affect these estimates. In estimating fair value, management uses appraisals, management estimates, and discounted cash flow calculations which maximize inputs from a marketplace participant’s perspective.

In addition, we evaluate our equity investments in joint ventures and if we believe there is an other than temporary decline in market value of our investment below our carrying value, we will record an impairment charge.

The value of our properties under development depends on market conditions, including estimates of the project start date as well as estimates of demand for multifamily communities. We have reviewed market trends and other marketplace information and have incorporated this information as well as our current outlook into the assumptions we use in our impairment analyses. Due to the judgment and assumptions applied in the impairment analyses, it is possible actual results could differ substantially from those estimated.

We believe the carrying value of our operating real estate assets, properties under development, and land is currently recoverable. However, if market conditions deteriorate or if changes in our development strategy significantly affect any key assumptions used in our fair value estimates, we may need to take material charges in future periods for impairments related to existing assets. Any such material non-cash charges could have an adverse effect on our consolidated financial position and results of operations.

Cost Capitalization. Real estate assets are carried at cost plus capitalized carrying charges. Carrying charges are primarily interest and real estate taxes which are capitalized as part of properties under development. Capitalized interest is generally based on our weighted average interest rate of our unsecured debt. Expenditures directly related to the development and improvement of real estate assets are capitalized at cost as land and buildings and improvements. Indirect development costs, including salaries and benefits and other related costs directly attributable to the development of properties, are also capitalized. We begin capitalizing development, construction, and carrying costs when the development of the future real estate asset is probable and activities necessary to get the underlying real

estate asset ready for its intended use have been initiated. All construction and carrying costs are capitalized and reported in the balance sheet as properties under development until the apartment homes are substantially completed. Upon substantial completion of the apartment homes, the total capitalized development cost for the apartment homes and the associated land is transferred to buildings and improvements and land, respectively. Included in capitalized costs are indirect costs associated with our development and redevelopment activities. The estimates used by management require judgment, and accordingly we believe cost capitalization to be a critical accounting estimate.

Table of Contents

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We are exposed to certain market risks inherent in our operations. These risks generally arise from transactions entered into in the normal course of business. We believe our primary market risk exposure relates to interest rate risk. Derivatives are not entered into for speculative purposes.

The table below provides information about our liabilities sensitive to changes in interest rates as of December 31, 2012 and 2011:

	December 31, 2012				December 31, 2011			
	Amount (in millions)	Weighted Average Maturity (in years)	Weighted Average Interest Rate	% Of Total	Amount (in millions)	Weighted Average Maturity (in years)	Weighted Average Interest Rate	% Of Total
Fixed rate debt	\$2,297.8	6.9	4.8	% 91.5	% \$2,186.6	6.7	5.3	% 89.9
Variable rate debt	212.7	7.5	1.1	8.5	245.5	7.6	1.1	10.1

We have historically used variable rate indebtedness available under our revolving credit facility to initially fund acquisitions and our development pipeline. To the extent we utilize our revolving credit facility and increase our variable rate indebtedness, our exposure to increases in interest rates will also increase.

For fixed rate debt, interest rate changes affect the fair market value but do not impact net income attributable to common shareholders or cash flows. Conversely, for floating rate debt, interest rate changes generally do not affect the fair market value but do impact net income attributable to common shareholders and cash flows, assuming other factors are held constant. Holding other variables constant, a one percentage point variance in interest rates would change the unrealized fair market value of the fixed rate debt by approximately \$140.3 million. The net income attributable to common shareholders and cash flows impact on the next year resulting from a one percentage point variance in interest rates on floating rate debt would be approximately \$2.1 million, holding all other variables constant.

We have entered into, and may enter into in the future, interest rate swaps and caps to protect ourselves against fluctuations in the rates of our floating rate debt. In connection with the repayment of the \$500 million loan in June 2011, we discontinued the hedging relationship on the \$500 million interest rate swap on May 31, 2011. Upon repayment of the loan, which eliminated the probable future variable monthly interest payments being hedged, we recognized a non-cash charge of approximately \$29.8 million which included the accelerated reclassification of amounts previously recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss related to this swap. This interest rate swap matured in October 2012 and settled. The changes in fair value of this swap were marked to market through earnings in other income and other expense. During 2012, we recorded a net loss of approximately \$0.7 million related to this derivative instrument through the settlement date.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Our response to this item is included in a separate section at the end of this report beginning on page F-1.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures. We carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report pursuant to Securities Exchange Act ("Exchange Act") Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e). Based on the evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded the disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report are effective to ensure information required to be disclosed by us in our Exchange Act filings is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms and is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in internal controls. There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting (identified in connection with the evaluation required by paragraph (d) in Rules 13a-15 and 15d-15 under the Exchange Act) during our most recent fiscal quarter which have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as follows:

A process designed by, or under the supervision of, the Company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the Company's board of trust managers, management, and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and includes those policies and procedures that:

• Pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company;

• Provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and board of trust managers of the Company; and

• Provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control-Integrated Framework.

Based on our assessment, management concluded our internal control over financial reporting is effective as of December 31, 2012.

Deloitte & Touche LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, has issued an attestation report regarding the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting, which is included herein.

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Trust Managers and Shareholders of
Camden Property Trust
Houston, Texas

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of Camden Property Trust and subsidiaries (the “Company”) as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company’s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management’s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company’s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company’s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company’s principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company’s board of trust managers, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company’s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and the board of trust managers of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company’s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on the criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule as of and for the year ended December 31, 2012 of the Company and our report dated February 15, 2013 expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements and financial statement schedule.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP
Houston, Texas
February 15, 2013

Table of Contents

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers, and Corporate Governance

Information with respect to this Item 10 is incorporated by reference from our Proxy Statement, which we expect to file on or about March 22, 2013 in connection with the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held May 10, 2013.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

Information with respect to this Item 11 is incorporated by reference from our Proxy Statement, which we expect to file on or about March 22, 2013 in connection with the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held May 10, 2013.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

Information with respect to this Item 12 is incorporated by reference from our Proxy Statement, which we expect to file on or about March 22, 2013 in connection with the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held May 10, 2013 to the extent not set forth below.

The following table gives information about the equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2012.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

Plan Category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a)	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (b)	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans(excluding securities reflected in column (a))(c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	838,754	\$ 42.36	2,281,762
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	—	—	—
Total	838,754	\$ 42.36	2,281,762

Incentive Compensation. During the second quarter of 2011, our Board of Trust Managers adopted, and on May 11, 2011 our shareholders approved, the 2011 Share Incentive Plan of Camden Property Trust (the “2011 Share Plan”). Under the 2011 Share Plan, we may issue up to a total of approximately 9.1 million fungible units (the “Fungible Pool Limit”), which is comprised of approximately 5.8 million new fungible units plus approximately 3.3 million fungible units previously available for issuance under our 2002 share incentive plan based on a 3.45 to 1.0 fungible unit-to full value award conversion ratio. Fungible units represent the baseline for the number of shares available for issuance under the 2011 Share Plan. Different types of awards are counted differently against the Fungible Pool Limit, as follows:

Each share issued or to be issued in connection with an award, other than an option, right or other award which does not deliver the full value at grant of the underlying shares, will be counted against the Fungible Pool Limit as 3.45 fungible pool units;

Options and other awards which do not deliver the full value at grant of the underlying shares and which expire more than five years from date of grant will be counted against the Fungible Pool Limit as one fungible pool unit; and

Options, rights and other awards which do not deliver the full value at date of grant and expire five years or less from the date of grant will be counted against the Fungible Pool Limit as 0.83 of a fungible pool unit.

As of December 31, 2012, approximately 7.9 million fungible units were available under the 2011 Share Plan, which results in approximately 2.3 million common shares which could be granted pursuant to full value awards based on the 3.45 to 1.0 fungible unit-to-full value award conversion ratio.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence

Information with respect to this Item 13 is incorporated herein by reference from our Proxy Statement, which we expect to file on or about March 22, 2013 in connection with the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held May 10, 2013.

Table of Contents

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

Information with respect to this Item 14 is incorporated herein by reference from our Proxy Statement, which we expect to file on or about March 22, 2013 in connection with the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held May 10, 2013.

44

Table of Contents

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

The following documents are filed as part of this report:

(1) Financial Statements:

<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>F-1</u>
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2012 and 2011</u>	<u>F-2</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010</u>	<u>F-3</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Equity and Perpetual Preferred Units for the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010</u>	<u>F-5</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010</u>	<u>F-7</u>
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>F-9</u>

(2) Financial Statement Schedules:

<u>Schedule III – Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation</u>	<u>S-1</u>
--	------------

All other schedules have been omitted since the required information is presented in the financial statements and the related notes or is not applicable.

(3) Index to Exhibits:

The following exhibits are filed as part of or incorporated by reference into this report:

Exhibit No.	Description	Filed Herewith or Incorporated Herein by Reference (1)
3.1	Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust of Camden Property Trust	Exhibit 3.1 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1993
3.2	Amendment to the Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust of Camden Property Trust	Exhibit 3.1 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1997
3.3	Amendment to the Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust of Camden Property Trust	Exhibit 3.1 to Form 8-K filed on May 14, 2012
3.4	Second Amended and Restated Bylaws of Camden Property Trust	Exhibit 3.3 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997
3.5	Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Bylaws of Camden Property Trust	Exhibit 99.2 to Form 8-K filed on May 4, 2006
4.1	Specimen certificate for Common Shares of Beneficial Interest	Form S-11 filed on September 15, 1993 (Registration No. 33-68736)
4.2	Indenture for Senior Debt Securities dated as of February 11, 2003 between Camden Property Trust and U. S. Bank National Association, as successor to SunTrust Bank, as Trustee	Exhibit 4.1 to Form S-3 filed on February 12, 2003 (Registration No. 333-103119)

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST - Form 10-K

4.3 First Supplemental Indenture dated as of May 4,
2007 between the Company and U.S. Bank
National Association, as successor to SunTrust
Bank, as trustee Exhibit 4.2 to Form 8-K filed on May 7, 2007

4.4 Second Supplemental Indenture dated as of June 3,
2011 between the Company and U.S. Bank
National Association, as successor to Sun Trust
Bank, as Trustee. Exhibit 4.3 to Form 8-K filed on June 3, 2011

45

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Exhibit No.	Description	Filed Herewith or Incorporated Herein by Reference (1)
4.5	Registration Rights Agreement dated as of February 28, 2005 between Camden Property Trust and the holders named therein	Form S-4 filed on November 24, 2004 (Registration No. 333-120733)
4.6	Form of Camden Property Trust 5.375% Note due 2013	Exhibit 4.2 to Form 8-K filed on December 9, 2003
4.7	Form of Camden Property Trust 5.00% Note due 2015	Exhibit 4.2 to Form 8-K filed on June 7, 2005
4.8	Form of Camden Property Trust 5.700% Note due 2017	Exhibit 4.3 to Form 8-K filed on May 7, 2007
4.9	Form of Camden Property Trust 4.625% Note due 2021	Exhibit 4.4 to Form 8-K filed on May 31, 2011
4.10	Form of Camden Property Trust 2.95% Note due 2022	Exhibit 4.4 to Form 8-K filed on December 7, 2012
4.11	Form of Camden Property Trust 4.875% Note due 2023	Exhibit 4.5 to Form 8-K filed on May 31, 2011
10.1	Form of Indemnification Agreement between Camden Property Trust and certain of its trust managers and executive officers	Form S-11 filed on July 9, 1993 (Registration No. 33-63588)
10.2	Second Amended and Restated Employment Agreement dated July 11, 2003 between Camden Property Trust and Richard J. Campo	Exhibit 10.1 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2003
10.3	Second Amended and Restated Employment Agreement dated July 11, 2003 between Camden Property Trust and D. Keith Oden	Exhibit 10.2 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2003
10.4	Form of First Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Employment Agreements, effective as of January 1, 2008, between Camden Property Trust and each of Richard J. Campo and D. Keith Oden.	Exhibit 99.1 to Form 8-K filed on November 30, 2007
10.5	Second Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated as of March 14, 2008, between Camden Property Trust and D. Keith Oden.	Exhibit 99.1 to Form 8-K filed on March 18, 2008
10.6	Form of Employment Agreement by and between Camden Property Trust and certain senior executive officers	Exhibit 10.13 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1996

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST - Form 10-K

10.7	Form of First Amendment to Employment Agreement, effective as of January 1, 2008, between the Company and Dennis M. Steen	Exhibit 99.1 to Form 8-K filed on November 30, 2007
10.8	Second Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated November 3, 2008, between Camden Property Trust and H. Malcolm Stewart	Exhibit 99.1 to Form 8-K filed on November 4, 2008
10.9	Second Amended and Restated Camden Property Trust Key Employee Share Option Plan (KEYSOP) SM , effective as of January 1, 2008	Exhibit 99.5 to Form 8-K filed on November 30, 2007
10.10	Amendment No. 1 to Second Amended and Restated Camden Property Trust Key Employee Share Option Plan, effective as of January 1, 2008	Exhibit 99.1 to Form 8-K filed on December 8, 2008
10.11	Form of Amended and Restated Master Exchange Agreement between Camden Property Trust and certain key employees	Exhibit 10.7 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003
10.12	Form of Amended and Restated Master Exchange Agreement between Camden Property Trust and certain trust managers	Exhibit 10.8 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Exhibit No.	Description	Filed Herewith or Incorporated Herein by Reference (1)
10.13	Form of Amended and Restated Master Exchange Agreement between Camden Property Trust and certain key employees	Exhibit 10.9 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003
10.14	Form of Master Exchange Agreement between Camden Property Trust and certain trust managers	Exhibit 10.10 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003
10.15	Form of Amendment No. 1 to Amended and Restated Master Exchange Agreement (Trust Managers) effective November 27, 2007	Exhibit 10.1 to Form 10-Q filed on July 30, 2010
10.16	Form of Amendment No. 1 to Amended and Restated Master Exchange Agreement (Key Employees) effective November 27, 2007	Exhibit 10.2 to Form 10-Q filed on July 30, 2010
10.17	Form of Third Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Camden Operating, L.P.	Exhibit 10.1 to Form S-4 filed on February 26, 1997 (Registration No. 333-22411)
10.18	First Amendment to Third Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Camden Operating, L.P., dated as of February 23, 1999	Exhibit 99.2 to Form 8-K filed on March 10, 1999
10.19	Form of Second Amendment to Third Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Camden Operating, L.P., dated as of August 13, 1999	Exhibit 10.15 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999
10.20	Form of Third Amendment to Third Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Camden Operating, L.P., dated as of September 7, 1999	Exhibit 10.16 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999
10.21	Form of Fourth Amendment to Third Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Camden Operating, L.P., dated as of January 7, 2000	Exhibit 10.17 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999
10.22	Form of Amendment to Third Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Camden Operating, L.P., dated as of December 1, 2003	Exhibit 10.19 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003
10.23	Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Sierra-Nevada Multifamily Investments, LLC, adopted as of June 29, 1998 by Camden Subsidiary, Inc. and TMT-Nevada, L.L.C.	Exhibit 99.1 to Form 8-K filed on July 15, 1998

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST - Form 10-K

10.24	Amended and Restated 1993 Share Incentive Plan of Camden Property Trust	Exhibit 10.18 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999
10.25	Camden Property Trust 1999 Employee Share Purchase Plan	Exhibit 10.19 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999
10.26	Amended and Restated 2002 Share Incentive Plan of Camden Property Trust	Exhibit 10.1 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2002
10.27	Amendment to Amended and Restated 2002 Share Incentive Plan of Camden Property Trust	Exhibit 99.1 to Form 8-K filed on May 4, 2006
10.28	Amendment to Amended and Restated 2002 Share Incentive Plan of Camden Property Trust, effective as of January 1, 2008	Exhibit 99.1 to Form 8-K filed on July 29, 2008
10.29	Camden Property Trust 2011 Share Incentive Plan, effective as of May 11, 2011	Exhibit 99.1 to Form 8-K filed on May 12, 2011
10.30	Amendment No. 1 to 2011 Share Incentive Plan of Camden Property Trust	Exhibit 99.1 to Form 8-K filed on August 6, 2012
10.31	Camden Property Trust Short Term Incentive Plan	Exhibit 10.2 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2002
10.32	Amended and Restated Camden Property Trust Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan, effective as of January 1, 2008	Exhibit 99.6 to Form 8-K filed on November 30, 2007

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Exhibit No.	Description	Filed Herewith or Incorporated Herein by Reference (1)
10.33	Amendment No. 1 to Amended and Restated Camden Property Trust Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan, effective as of January 1, 2008	Exhibit 99.2 to Form 8-K filed on July 29, 2008
10.34	Amendment No. 2 to Amended and Restated Camden Property Trust Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan, effective as of January 1, 2008	Exhibit 99.2 to Form 8-K filed on December 8, 2008
10.35	Form of Second Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Camden Summit Partnership, L.P. among Camden Summit, Inc., as general partner, and the persons whose names are set forth on Exhibit A thereto	Exhibit 10.4 to Form S-4 filed on November 24, 2004 (Registration No. 333-120733)
10.36	Form of Tax, Asset and Income Support Agreement among Camden Property Trust, Camden Summit, Inc., Camden Summit Partnership, L.P. and each of the limited partners who has executed a signature page thereto	Exhibit 10.5 to Form S-4 filed on November 24, 2004 (Registration No. 333-120733)
10.37	Employment Agreement dated February 15, 1999, by and among William B. McGuire, Jr., Summit Properties Inc. and Summit Management Company, as restated on August 24, 2001	Exhibit 10.1 to Summit Properties Inc.'s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2001 (File No. 000-12792)
10.38	Amendment Agreement, dated as of June 19, 2004, among William B. McGuire, Jr., Summit Properties Inc. and Summit Management Company	Exhibit 10.8.2 to Summit Properties Inc.'s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2004 (File No. 001-12792)
10.39	Amendment Agreement, dated as of June 19, 2004, among William F. Paulsen, Summit Properties Inc. and Summit Management Company	Exhibit 10.8.2 to Summit Properties Inc.'s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2004 (File No. 001-12792)
10.40	Separation Agreement, dated as of February 28, 2005, between Camden Property Trust and William B. McGuire, Jr.	Exhibit 99.1 to Form 8-K filed on April 28, 2005
10.41	Separation Agreement, dated as of February 28, 2005, between Camden Property Trust and William F. Paulsen	Exhibit 99.2 to Form 8-K filed on April 28, 2005
10.42	Master Credit Agreement, dated as of September 24, 2008, among CSP Community Owner, LLC, CPT Community Owner, LLC, and Red Mortgage Capital, Inc. (2)	Exhibit 10.4 to Form 10-Q filed on July 30, 2010
10.43		Exhibit 10.5 to Form 10-Q filed on July 30, 2010

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST - Form 10-K

Form of Master Credit Facility Agreement, dated as of April 17, 2009, among Summit Russett, LLC, 2009 CPT Community Owner, LLC, 2009 CUSA Community Owner, LLC, 2009 CSP Community Owner LLC, and 2009 COLP Community Owner, LLC, as borrowers, Camden Property Trust, as guarantor, and Red Mortgage Capital, Inc., as lender. (2)

- 10.44 Distribution Agency Agreement, dated May 18, 2012, between Camden Property Trust and Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC Exhibit 1.1 to Form 8-K filed on May 18, 2012
- 10.45 Distribution Agency Agreement, dated May 18, 2012, between Camden Property Trust and Deutsche Bank Securities Inc. Exhibit 1.2 to Form 8-K filed on May 18, 2012
- 10.46 Distribution Agency Agreement, dated May 18, 2012, between Camden Property Trust and Jefferies & Company, Inc. Exhibit 1.3 to Form 8-K filed on May 18, 2012

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Exhibit No.	Description	Filed Herewith or Incorporated Herein by Reference (1)
10.47	Distribution Agency Agreement, dated May 18, 2012, between Camden Property Trust and Mitsubishi UFJ Securities (USA) Inc.	Exhibit 1.4 to Form 8-K filed on May 18, 2012
10.48	Distribution Agency Agreement, dated May 18, 2012, between Camden Property Trust and Scotia Capital (USA) Inc.	Exhibit 1.5 to Form 8-K filed on May 18, 2012
10.49	Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of September 22, 2011 among Camden Property Trust, each lender from time to time party thereto, Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent, Swing Line Lender and Letter of Credit Issuer, and JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Syndication Agent	Exhibit 99.1 to Form 8-K filed on September 26, 2011
12.1	Statement Regarding Computation of Ratios	Filed Herewith
21.1	List of Significant Subsidiaries	Filed Herewith
23.1	Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP	Filed Herewith
24.1	Powers of Attorney for Richard J. Campo, D. Keith Oden, Scott S. Ingraham, Lewis A. Levey, William B. McGuire, Jr., F. Gardner Parker, William F. Paulsen, Frances Aldrich Sevilla-Secasa, Steven A. Webster, and Kelvin R. Westbrook	Filed Herewith
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act	Filed Herewith
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act	Filed Herewith
32.1	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	Filed Herewith
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document	Filed Herewith
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document	Filed Herewith
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document	Filed Herewith
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document	Filed Herewith

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST - Form 10-K

101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document Filed Herewith

101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document Filed Herewith

(1) Unless otherwise indicated, all references to reports or registration statements are to reports or registration statements filed by Camden Property Trust (File No. 1-12110).

(2) Portions of the exhibit have been omitted pursuant to a request for confidential treatment.

49

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Camden Property Trust has duly caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

February 15, 2013

CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST

By: /s/ Michael P. Gallagher
Michael P. Gallagher
Vice President — Chief Accounting Officer

Table of Contents

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this Report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of Camden Property Trust and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Name	Title	Date
/s/ Richard J. Campo Richard J. Campo	Chairman of the Board of Trust Managers and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)	February 15, 2013
/s/ D. Keith Oden D. Keith Oden	President and Trust Manager	February 15, 2013
/s/ Dennis M. Steen Dennis M. Steen	Senior Vice President - Finance and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)	February 15, 2013
/s/ Michael P. Gallagher Michael P. Gallagher	Vice President - Chief Accounting Officer (Principal Accounting Officer)	February 15, 2013
* Scott S. Ingraham	Trust Manager	February 15, 2013
* Lewis A. Levey	Trust Manager	February 15, 2013
* William B. McGuire, Jr.	Trust Manager	February 15, 2013
* F. Gardner Parker	Trust Manager	February 15, 2013
* William F. Paulsen	Trust Manager	February 15, 2013
* Frances Aldrich Sevilla-Sacasa	Trust Manager	February 15, 2013
* Steven A. Webster	Trust Manager	February 15, 2013
* Kelvin R. Westbrook	Trust Manager	February 15, 2013

*By: /s/ Dennis M. Steen
Dennis M. Steen
Attorney-in-fact

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Trust Managers and Shareholders of
Camden Property Trust
Houston, Texas

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Camden Property Trust and subsidiaries (the “Company”) as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the related consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income, equity and perpetual preferred units, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15. These financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Camden Property Trust and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, such financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on the criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 15, 2013 expressed an unqualified opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Houston, Texas
February 15, 2013

Table of ContentsCAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(in thousands, except per share amounts)	December 31,	
	2012	2011
Assets		
Real estate assets, at cost		
Land	\$949,777	\$768,016
Buildings and improvements	5,389,674	4,751,654
	6,339,451	5,519,670
Accumulated depreciation	(1,518,896) (1,432,799)
Net operating real estate assets	4,820,555	4,086,871
Properties under development, including land	334,463	299,870
Investments in joint ventures	45,092	44,844
Properties held for sale	30,517	11,131
Total real estate assets	5,230,627	4,442,716
Accounts receivable – affiliates	33,625	31,035
Other assets, net	88,260	88,089
Cash and cash equivalents	26,669	55,159
Restricted cash	5,991	5,076
Total assets	\$5,385,172	\$4,622,075
Liabilities and equity		
Liabilities		
Notes payable		
Unsecured	\$1,538,212	\$1,380,755
Secured	972,256	1,051,357
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	101,896	93,747
Accrued real estate taxes	28,452	21,883
Distributions payable	49,969	39,364
Other liabilities	67,679	109,276
Total liabilities	2,758,464	2,696,382
Commitments and contingencies		
Perpetual preferred units	—	97,925
Equity		
Common shares of beneficial interest; \$0.01 par value per share; 175,000 and 100,000 shares authorized; 99,106 and 87,377 issued; 96,201 and 84,517 outstanding at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively	962	845
Additional paid-in capital	3,587,505	2,901,024
Distributions in excess of net income attributable to common shareholders	(598,951) (690,466)
Treasury shares, at cost (11,771 and 12,509 common shares, at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively)	(425,355) (452,003)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(1,062) (683)
Total common equity	2,563,099	1,758,717
Non-controlling interests	63,609	69,051
Total equity	2,626,708	1,827,768
Total liabilities and equity	\$5,385,172	\$4,622,075

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of ContentsCAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(in thousands, except per share amounts)	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Property revenues			
Rental revenues	\$626,127	\$533,937	\$488,895
Other property revenues	101,781	87,137	79,177
Total property revenues	727,908	621,074	568,072
Property expenses			
Property operating and maintenance	196,811	175,000	163,628
Real estate taxes	72,858	65,128	63,150
Total property expenses	269,669	240,128	226,778
Non-property income			
Fee and asset management	12,345	9,973	8,172
Interest and other income (loss)	(710) 4,649	8,584
Income on deferred compensation plans	4,772	6,773	11,581
Total non-property income	16,407	21,395	28,337
Other expenses			
Property management	21,796	20,686	19,982
Fee and asset management	6,631	5,935	4,841
General and administrative	37,528	35,456	30,762
Interest	104,282	112,414	125,893
Depreciation and amortization	203,077	171,127	161,760
Amortization of deferred financing costs	3,608	5,877	4,102
Expense on deferred compensation plans	4,772	6,773	11,581
Total other expenses	381,694	358,268	358,921
Gain on acquisition of controlling interest in joint ventures	57,418	—	—
Gain on sale of properties, including land	—	4,748	236
Gain on sale of unconsolidated joint venture interests	—	1,136	—
Loss on discontinuation of hedging relationship	—	(29,791) —
Impairment provision on technology investment	—	—	(1,000
Equity in income (loss) of joint ventures	20,175	5,679	(839
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	170,545	25,845	9,107
Income tax expense – current	(1,208) (2,220) (1,581
Income from continuing operations	169,337	23,625	7,526
Income from discontinued operations	9,495	11,715	14,002
Gain on sale of discontinued operations, net of tax	115,068	24,621	9,614
Net income	293,900	59,961	31,142
Less income allocated to non-controlling interests from continuing operations	(4,821) (3,453) (821
Less income, including gain on sale, allocated to non-controlling interests from discontinued operations	(2,838) (129) (105
Less income allocated to perpetual preferred units	(776) (7,000) (7,000
Less write off of original issuance costs of redeemed perpetual preferred units	(2,075) —	—
Net income attributable to common shareholders	\$283,390	\$49,379	\$23,216
See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.			

Table of ContentsCAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (Continued)

(In thousands, except per share amounts)	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Earnings per share – basic			
Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders	\$ 1.90	\$ 0.17	\$(0.01)
Income from discontinued operations, including gain on sale, attributable to common shareholders	1.45	0.50	0.34
Net income attributable to common shareholders	\$3.35	\$0.67	\$0.33
Earnings per share – diluted			
Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders	\$ 1.88	\$ 0.17	\$(0.01)
Income from discontinued operations, including gain on sale, attributable to common shareholders	1.42	0.49	0.34
Net income attributable to common shareholders	\$3.30	\$0.66	\$0.33
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding – basic	83,772	72,756	68,608
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding – diluted	85,556	73,462	68,608
Net income attributable to common shareholders			
Income from continuing operations	\$ 169,337	\$ 23,625	\$ 7,526
Less income allocated to non-controlling interests from continuing operations	(4,821)	(3,453)	(821)
Less income allocated to perpetual preferred units	(776)	(7,000)	(7,000)
Less write off original issuance costs of redeemed perpetual preferred units	(2,075)	—	—
Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders	161,665	13,172	(295)
Income from discontinued operations, including gain on sale	124,563	36,336	23,616
Less income, including gain on sale, allocated to non-controlling interests from discontinued operations	(2,838)	(129)	(105)
Income from discontinued operations, including gain on sale, attributable to common shareholders	121,725	36,207	23,511
Net income attributable to common shareholders	\$283,390	\$49,379	\$23,216
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income			
Net income	\$293,900	\$59,961	\$31,142
Other comprehensive income			
Unrealized loss on cash flow hedging activities	—	(2,692)	(19,059)
Reclassification of net losses on cash flow hedging activities	—	39,657	23,385
Unrealized gain on available-for-sale securities, net of tax	—	—	3,306
Reclassification of gain on available-for-sale investment to earnings, net of tax	—	(3,306)	—
Reclassification of prior service cost and net loss on post retirement obligation	30	—	—
Unrealized gain (loss) and unamortized prior service cost on postretirement obligation	(409)	(884)	65
Comprehensive income	293,521	92,736	38,839
	(4,821)	(3,453)	(821)

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST - Form 10-K

Less income allocated to non-controlling interests from continuing operations				
Less income, including gain on sale, allocated to non-controlling interests from discontinued operations	(2,838) (129) (105)
Less income allocated to perpetual preferred units	(776) (7,000) (7,000)
Less write off of original issuance costs of redeemed perpetual preferred units	(2,075) —	—	
Comprehensive income attributable to common shareholders	\$283,011	\$82,154	\$30,913	
See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.				

F-4

Table of ContentsCAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY AND PERPETUAL PREFERRED UNITS

(in thousands, except per share amounts)	Common Shareholders				Notes receivable secured by common shares	Treasury shares, at cost	Accumulated other comprehensive loss	Non-control interests	Total equity	Perpetual preferred units
	Common shares of beneficial interest	Additional paid-in capital	Distributions in excess of net income							
Equity, December 31, 2009	\$ 770	\$ 2,525,656	\$ (492,571)	\$ (101)	\$ (462,188)	\$ (41,155)	\$ 78,602	\$ 1,609,013	\$ 97,925	
Net income			23,216				926	24,142	7,000	
Other comprehensive income						7,697		7,697		
Common shares issued (4,868 shares)	49	231,602						231,651		
Net share awards	4	11,609						11,613		
Employee share purchase plan		232			933			1,165		
Repayment of employee notes receivable, net				101				101		
Common share options exercised (41 shares)		2,997						2,997		
Conversions and redemptions of operating partnership units (279 shares)	3	3,525					(3,553)	(25)		
Cash distributions declared to perpetual preferred units									(7,000)	
Cash distributions declared to equity holders (\$1.80 per share)			(125,962)				(5,046)	(131,008)		
Other	(2)	4					25	27		
Equity, December 31, 2010	\$ 824	\$ 2,775,625	\$ (595,317)	\$ —	\$ (461,255)	\$ (33,458)	\$ 70,954	\$ 1,757,373	\$ 97,925	
Net income			49,379			32,775	3,582	52,961	7,000	
								32,775		

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST - Form 10-K

Other comprehensive income									
Common shares issued (1,751 shares)	18	106,553						106,571	
Net share awards	3	12,592		812				13,407	
Employee share purchase plan		446		1,334				1,780	
Common share options exercised (68 shares)		5,216		7,106				12,322	
Conversions and redemptions of operating partnership units (66 shares)	1	591				(592)			
Cash distributions declared to perpetual preferred units									(7,000)
Cash distributions declared to equity holders (\$1.96 per share)			(144,528)			(4,893)		(149,421)	
Other	(1)								1
Equity, December 31, 2011	\$845	\$2,901,024	\$(690,466)	\$—	\$(452,003)	\$(683)	\$69,051	\$1,827,768	\$97,925

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of ContentsCAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY AND PERPETUAL PREFERRED UNITS (Continued)

(in thousands, except per share amounts)	Common Shareholders				Accumulated other comprehensive loss	Non-controlling interests	Total equity	Perpetual preferred units
	Common shares of beneficial interest	Additional paid-in capital	Distributions in excess of net income	Treasury shares, at cost				
Equity, December 31, 2011	\$845	\$2,901,024	\$(690,466)	\$(452,003)	\$(683)	\$69,051	\$1,827,768	\$97,925
Net income			283,390			7,659	291,049	2,851
Other comprehensive income					(379)		(379)	
Common shares issued (11,192 shares)	112	693,243					693,355	
Net share awards		1,008		14,138			15,146	
Employee share purchase plan		617		717			1,334	
Common share options exercised		2,173		11,793			13,966	
Conversions of operating partnership units (558 shares)		8,988				(9,143)	(149)	
Cash distributions declared to perpetual preferred units								(776)
Cash distributions declared to equity holders (\$2.24 per share)			(191,875)			(7,025)	(198,900)	
Redemption of perpetual preferred units								(100,000)
Purchase of non-controlling interests		(19,549)				3,067	(16,482)	
Other	(1)	1						
Equity, December 31, 2012	\$962	\$3,587,505	\$(598,951)	\$(425,355)	\$(1,062)	\$63,609	\$2,626,708	\$—

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of ContentsCAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(in thousands)	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Cash flows from operating activities			
Net income	\$293,900	\$59,961	\$31,142
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash from operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization, including discontinued operations	209,872	181,791	174,465
Gain on acquisition of controlling interest in joint ventures	(57,418)) —	—
Gain on sale of discontinued operations, net of tax	(115,068)) (24,621)) (9,614)
Gain on sale of properties, including land	—	(4,748)) (236)
Gain on sale of unconsolidated joint venture interests	—	(1,136)) —
Gain on sale of available-for-sale investment	—	(4,301)) —
Loss on discontinuation of hedging relationship	—	29,791	—
Impairment provision on technology investment	—	—	1,000
Distributions of income from joint ventures	6,321	5,329	6,524
Equity in (income) loss of joint ventures	(20,175)) (5,679)) 839
Share-based compensation	13,086	12,039	11,306
Amortization of deferred financing costs	3,608	5,877	4,102
Net change in operating accounts	(9,859)) (9,469)) 4,508
Net cash from operating activities	\$324,267	\$244,834	\$224,036
Cash flows from investing activities			
Development and capital improvements	\$(290,728)) \$(227,755)) \$(63,739)
Acquisition of operating properties, including joint venture interests, net of cash acquired	(465,400)) —	—
Proceeds from sales of properties, including land and discontinued operations	226,869	57,312	102,819
Proceeds from sale of joint venture interests	—	19,310	—
Proceeds from sale of available-for-sale investment	—	4,510	—
Decrease in notes receivable – affiliates	—	3,279	637
Investments in joint ventures	(7,006)) (46,037)) (6,467)
Distributions of investments from joint ventures	17,417	6,005	28
Other	(8,837)) (3,988)) 1,872
Net cash from investing activities	\$(527,685)) \$(187,364)) \$35,150
See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.			

Table of ContentsCAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Continued)

(in thousands)	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Cash flows from financing activities			
Borrowings on unsecured line of credit	\$ 603,000	\$ 8,000	\$ 37,000
Repayments on unsecured line of credit	(603,000) (8,000) (37,000
Repayment of notes payable	(567,575) (627,623) (306,692
Proceeds from notes payable	346,308	495,705	57,748
Proceeds from issuance of common shares	693,355	106,571	231,651
Redemption of perpetual preferred units	(100,000) —	—
Distributions to common shareholders, perpetual preferred units, and non-controlling interests	(189,018) (152,242) (135,626
Purchase of non-controlling interests	(16,482) —	—
Payment of deferred financing costs	(3,737) (9,288) (6,564
Common share options exercised	13,038	11,397	1,435
Net (increase) decrease in accounts receivable – affiliates	(2,586) 860	4,217
Other	1,625	1,734	1,064
Net cash from financing activities	\$ 174,928	\$ (172,886) \$(152,767
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(28,490) (115,416) 106,419
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	55,159	170,575	64,156
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$ 26,669	\$ 55,159	\$ 170,575
Supplemental information			
Cash paid for interest, net of interest capitalized	\$ 106,405	\$ 114,615	\$ 128,742
Cash paid for income taxes	1,561	2,664	1,169
Supplemental schedule of non-cash investing and financing activities			
Distributions declared but not paid	\$ 49,969	\$ 39,364	\$ 35,295
Value of shares issued under benefit plans, net of cancellations	20,933	18,629	14,401
Conversion of operating partnership units to common shares	9,143	592	3,536
Accrual associated with construction and capital expenditures	18,993	16,754	6,590
Conversion of mezzanine notes to joint venture equity	—	—	43,279
Change of fair value of available-for-sale investments, net of tax	—	—	3,306
Acquisition of operating properties, including joint venture interests:			