VORNADO REALTY TRUST
Form 10-K
February 23, 2011

UNITED	<b>STATES</b>
--------	---------------

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D. C. 20549

**FORM 10-K** 

X ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Fiscal Year Ended:December 31, 2010

OR

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period to

from

Commission File Number: 1 11954

**VORNADO REALTY TRUST** 

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

#### Maryland

#### 22 1657560

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

888 Seventh Avenue, New York, New York

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

**10019** (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number including area code: (212) 894 7000

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class

Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered

Common Shares of beneficial interest,

\$.04 par value per share New York Stock Exchange

Series A Convertible Preferred Shares

of beneficial interest, no par value

New York Stock Exchange

Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares of beneficial

interest, no par value:

8.5% Series B New York Stock Exchange

8.5% Series C New York Stock Exchange

7.0% Series E New York Stock Exchange

6.75% Series F New York Stock Exchange

6.625% Series G New York Stock Exchange

6.75% Series H New York Stock Exchange

6.625% Series I New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: NONE

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

YES x NO o

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.

YES o NO x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

YES x NO o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10 K or any amendment to this Form 10 K. o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

x Large Accelerated Filer

o Non-Accelerated Filer (Do not check if smaller reporting company)

o Accelerated Filer

o Smaller Reporting Company

Indicate by check	mark whether the re	gistrant is a shell of	company (as	defined in Rule	12b-2 of the Exch	ange Act).

# YES o NO x

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common shares held by non affiliates of the registrant, i.e. by persons other than officers and trustees of Vornado Realty Trust, was \$11,920,063,000 at June 30, 2010.

As of December 31, 2010, there were 183,661,875 of the registrant's common shares of beneficial interest outstanding.

# Documents Incorporated by Reference

Part III: Portions of Proxy Statement for Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on May 26, 2011.

This Annual Report on Form 10-K omits financial statements required under Rule 3-09 of Regulation S-X, for Toys "R" Us, Inc. An amendment to this Annual Report on Form 10-K will be filed as promptly as practicable following the availability of such financial statements.

# **INDEX**

	Item	Financial Information:	Page Number
PART I.	1.	Business	4
	1A.	Risk Factors	10
	1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	23
	2.	Properties	23
	3.	Legal Proceedings	60
PART II.	5.	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	61
	6.	Selected Financial Data	63
	7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	65
	7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	112
	8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	113
	9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	167
	9A.	Controls and Procedures	167
PART III.	9B.	Other Information	169
	10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance <sup>(1)</sup>	169
	11.	Executive Compensation <sup>(1)</sup>	170
	12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters <sup>(1)</sup>	170
	13.		170

Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence<sup>(1)</sup>

	14.	Principal Accounting Fees and Services <sup>(1)</sup>	170
PART IV.	15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	171
Signatures			172

(1) These items are omitted in whole or in part because the registrant will file a definitive Proxy Statement pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 with the Securities and Exchange Commission not later than 120 days after December 31, 2010, portions of which are incorporated by reference herein.

2

# **Forward-Looking Statements**

Certain statements contained herein constitute forward looking statements as such term is defined in Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Forward-looking statements are not guarantees of performance. They represent our intentions, plans, expectations and beliefs and are subject to numerous assumptions, risks and uncertainties. Our future results, financial condition and business may differ materially from those expressed in these forward-looking statements. You can find many of these statements by looking for words such as "approximates," "believes," "expects," "anticipates," "estimates," "intends," "plans," "may" or other similar expressions in this Annual Report on Form 10 K. We also note the following forward-looking statements: in the case of our development projects, the estimated completion date, estimated project cost and cost to complete; and estimates of future capital expenditures, dividends to common and preferred shareholders and operating partnership distributions. Many of the factors that will determine the outcome of these and our other forward-looking statements are beyond our ability to control or predict. For further discussion of factors that could materially affect the outcome of our forward-looking statements, see "Item 1A. Risk Factors" in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

For these statements, we claim the protection of the safe harbor for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. You are cautioned not to place undue reliance on our forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K or the date of any document incorporated by reference. All subsequent written and oral forward-looking statements attributable to us or any person acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements contained or referred to in this section. We do not undertake any obligation to release publicly any revisions to our forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances occurring after the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

3

# **PART I**

#### ITEM 1. BUSINESS

# The Company

Vornado Realty Trust ("Vornado") is a fully integrated real estate investment trust ("REIT") and conducts its business through, and substantially all of its interests in properties are held by, Vornado Realty L.P., a Delaware limited partnership (the "Operating Partnership"). Vornado is the sole general partner of, and owned approximately 93.2% of the common limited partnership interest in the Operating Partnership at December 31, 2010. All references to "we," "us," "our," the "Company" and "Vornado" refer to Vornado Realty Trust and its consolidated subsidiaries, including the Operating Partnership.

As of December 31, 2010, we own:

# **Office Properties:**

- (i) all or portions of 28 properties aggregating 17.4 million square feet in the New York City metropolitan area (primarily Manhattan);
- (ii) all or portions of 82 properties aggregating 21.1 million square feet in the Washington, DC / Northern Virginia area;
- (iii) a 70% controlling interest in 555 California Street, a three-building complex aggregating 1.8 million square feet in San Francisco's financial district, known as the Bank of America Center:

#### **Retail Properties:**

(iv) 161 properties aggregating 25.6 million square feet primarily in Manhattan, the northeast states, California and Puerto Rico;

PART I 8

## **Merchandise Mart Properties:**

(v)	properties aggregating 6.9 million square feet of showroom and office space, including the 3.5 million
square f	ot Merchandise Mart in Chicago;

#### **Toys "R" Us, Inc. ("Toys"):**

(vi) a 32.7% interest in Toys which owns and/or operates 1,589 stores worldwide, including 857 stores in the United States and 732 stores internationally;

## **Other Investments:**

- (vii) 32.4% of the common stock of Alexander's, Inc. (NYSE: ALX), which has seven properties aggregating 3.2 million square feet in the greater New York metropolitan area;
- (viii) the Hotel Pennsylvania containing 1.4 million square feet in New York City;
- (ix) a 9.9% economic interest in J.C. Penney Company, Inc. (NYSE: JCP), a major retailer that operates 1,108 department stores nationwide;
- (x) a 26.2% equity interest in LNR Property Corporation, an industry leading servicer and special servicer of commercial mortgage loans and CMBS, and a diversified real estate, investment and finance company;
- (xi) a 36.4% interest in our real estate investment fund in which we are the general partner and investment manager with aggregate equity commitments of \$550 million, of which we committed \$200 million; and
- (xii) other real estate and investments, including marketable securities and mezzanine loans on real estate.

4

#### **Objectives and Strategy**

Our business objective is to maximize shareholder value. We intend to achieve this objective by continuing to pursue our investment philosophy and executing our operating strategies through:

- Maintaining a superior team of operating and investment professionals and an entrepreneurial spirit;
- Investing in properties in select markets, such as New York City and Washington, DC, where we believe there is a high likelihood of capital appreciation;
- Acquiring quality properties at a discount to replacement cost and where there is a significant potential for higher rents;
- Investing in retail properties in select under-stored locations such as the New York City metropolitan area;
- Developing and redeveloping our existing properties to increase returns and maximize value; and
- Investing in operating companies that have a significant real estate component.

We expect to finance our growth, acquisitions and investments using internally generated funds, proceeds from possible asset sales and by accessing the public and private capital markets. We may also offer Vornado common or preferred shares or Operating Partnership units in exchange for property and may repurchase or otherwise reacquire our shares or any other securities in the future.

#### **BUSINESS ENVIRONMENT**

Substantially all businesses, including ours, were negatively affected by the 2008/2009 economic recession and illiquidity and volatility in the capital and financial markets. Although there are signs of an economic recovery and greater stability in the capital and financial markets, it is not possible for us to predict whether these trends will continue in the future or quantify the impact of these or any other trends on our financial results.

#### **ACQUISITIONS** and investments

Other Investments: 10

Vornado Capital Partners, L.P. and Vornado Capital Partners Parallel, L.P. (the "Fund")

On July 6, 2010, we completed an initial closing of the Fund with aggregate equity commitments of \$550,000,000, of which we committed \$200,000,000. We expect to close on an additional \$250,000,000 of equity commitments in the first quarter of 2011. We are the general partner and investment manager of the Fund and it is our exclusive investment vehicle during the three-year investment period for all investments that fit within the Fund's investment parameters, including debt, equity and other interests in real estate, and excluding (i) investments in vacant land and ground-up development; (ii) investments acquired by merger or primarily for our securities or properties; (iii) properties which can be combined with or relate to our existing properties; (iv) securities of commercial mortgage loan servicers and investments derived from any such investments; (v) noncontrolling interests in equity and debt securities; and (vi) investments located outside of North America. The Fund has a term of eight years. We consolidate the accounts of the Fund into our consolidated financial statements. In 2010, we incurred \$6,482,000 for organization costs of the Fund, net of the Fund's reimbursement to us, which are included in "general and administrative" expenses on our consolidated statement of income.

The Fund is accounted for under the AICPA Investment Company Guide and its investments are reported on its balance sheet at fair value, with changes in value each period recognized in earnings. As of December 31, 2010, the Fund received \$146,789,000 of capital from partners, including \$53,378,000 from us. During the second half of 2010, the Fund made four investments aggregating approximately \$145,000,000 and reimbursed us for \$1,500,000 of organization costs.

5

Other Investments:

# **ACQUISITIONS** and investments – continued

Investment in J.C. Penney Company, Inc. ("J.C. Penney") (NYSE: JCP)

We own an economic interest in 23,400,000 J.C. Penney common shares, or 9.9% of J.C. Penney's outstanding common shares. Below are the details of our investment.

We own 18,584,010 common shares at an average price of \$25.70 per share, or \$477,678,000 in the aggregate. These shares, which have an aggregate fair value of \$600,449,000 at December 31, 2010, are included in marketable equity securities on our consolidated balance sheet and are classified as "available for sale." Of these shares, 15,500,000 were acquired through the exercise of a call option that originated on September 28, 2010 and settled on November 9, 2010. During the period in which the call option was outstanding and classified as a derivative instrument, we recognized \$112,537,000 of income from the mark-to-market of the underlying common shares, which is included in "interest and other investment income (loss), net" on our consolidated statement of income. During the period from November 10 through December 31, 2010, we recognized \$10,234,000 from the mark-to-market of the common shares classified as available-for-sale, which is included in "accumulated other comprehensive income" (a component of shareholders' equity on our consolidated balance sheet).

We also own an economic interest in 4,815,990 common shares through a forward contract executed on October 7, 2010, at a weighted average strike price of \$28.65 per share, or \$137,989,000 in the aggregate. The contract may be settled, at our election, in cash or common shares, in whole or in part, at any time prior to October 9, 2012. The counterparty may accelerate settlement, in whole or in part, upon one year's notice to us. The strike price per share increases at an annual rate of LIBOR plus 80 basis points and decreases for dividends received on the shares. The contract is a derivative instrument that does not qualify for hedge accounting treatment. Mark-to-market adjustments on the underlying common shares are recognized in "interest and other investment income (loss), net" on our consolidated statement of income. During the period from October 7, 2010 through December 31, 2010, we recognized \$17,616,000 of income from the mark-to-market of this position, based on J.C. Penney's closing share price of \$32.31 per share at December 31, 2010.

As of December 31, 2010, the aggregate economic net gain on our investment in J.C. Penney was \$140,387,000, based on J.C. Penney's closing share price of \$32.31 per share and our weighted average cost of \$26.31 per share.

Investment in LNR Property Corporation ("LNR")

On July 29, 2010, as a part of LNR's recapitalization, we acquired a 26.2% equity interest in LNR for \$116,000,000 in cash and conversion into equity of our \$15,000,000 mezzanine loan (the then current carrying amount) made to LNR's parent, Riley Holdco Corp. The recapitalization involved an infusion of a total of \$417,000,000 in new cash equity

Other Investments:

and the reduction of LNR's total debt to \$425,000,000 from \$1.3 billion, excluding liabilities related to the consolidated CMBS and CDO trusts described below. We account for our equity interest in LNR under the equity method on a one-quarter lag basis.

LNR consolidates certain commercial mortgage-backed securities ("CMBS") and Collateralized Debt Obligation ("CDO") trusts for which it is the primary beneficiary. The assets of these trusts (primarily commercial mortgage loans), which aggregate approximately \$142 billion as of September 30, 2010, are the sole source of repayment of the related liabilities, which are non-recourse to LNR and its equity holders, including us. Changes in the fair value of these assets each period are offset by changes in the fair value of the related liabilities through LNR's consolidated income statement.

510 Fifth Avenue

On October 8, 2010, we acquired 510 Fifth Avenue, a 59,000 square foot retail property located at 43<sup>rd</sup> Street and Fifth Avenue in New York, for \$57,000,000, comprised of \$24,700,000 in cash and \$32,300,000 of existing debt.

San Jose, California

On October 15, 2010, we acquired the 55% interest that we did not already own of a 646,000 square foot retail property located in San Jose, California, for \$97,000,000, consisting of \$27,000,000 in cash and \$70,000,000 of existing debt.

Atlantic City, New Jersey

On November 4, 2010, we acquired 11.3 acres of the land under a portion of the Borgata Hotel and Casino complex for \$83,000,000 in cash. The land is leased to the partnership that controls the Borgata Hotel and Casino complex through December 2070. In January 2011, we completed a 10-year \$60,000,000 financing of this land. The loan has a fixed interest rate of 5.14% and amortizes beginning in the third year, based on a 30-year schedule.

6

# **Dispositions**

On October 20, 2010, we sold a 45% ownership interest in 1299 Pennsylvania Avenue (the Warner Building) and 1101 17<sup>th</sup> Street, for \$236,700,000, comprised of \$91,000,000 in cash and the assumption of existing mortgage debt. We retained the remaining 55% ownership interest and continue to manage and lease the properties. Based on the Warner Building's implied fair value of \$445,000,000, we recognized a net gain of \$54,000,000 in the fourth quarter of 2010. The gain on 1101 17<sup>th</sup> Street, based on an implied fair value of \$81,000,000, will be recognized when we monetize our investment.

On January 12, 2011, we sold 1140 Connecticut Avenue and contracted to sell 1227 25<sup>th</sup> Street, subject to customary closing conditions, for an aggregate price of \$127,000,000. We will retain net proceeds of approximately \$107,000,000, after repaying an existing mortgage and recognize a net gain of approximately \$44,000,000 in the first quarter of 2011.

In March 2010, we ceased making debt service payments on the mortgage loan secured by the High Point Complex in North Carolina as a result of insufficient cash flow and the loan went into default. In November 2010, the property was placed in receivership. While the receivership process is inherently lengthy, we anticipate that the property will be sold in the first half of 2011, at which time the assets and liabilities will be removed from our consolidated balance sheet and we will recognize a net gain of approximately \$80,000,000.

# **Financing Activities**

On February 11, 2011, we completed a \$425,000,000 refinancing of Two Penn Plaza, a 1.6 million square foot Manhattan office building. The seven-year loan bears interest at LIBOR plus 2.00%, which was swapped for the term of the loan to a fixed rate of 5.13%. The loan amortizes based on a 30-year schedule beginning in the fourth year. We retained net proceeds of approximately \$139,000,000 after repaying the existing loan and closing costs.

On February 10, 2011, we completed a \$150,000,000 financing of 2121 Crystal Drive, a 506,000 square foot office building located in Crystal City, Arlington, Virginia. The 12-year fixed rate loan bears interest at 5.51% and amortizes based on a 30-year schedule beginning in third year. This property was previously unencumbered.

On January 10, 2011, we completed a \$75,000,000 financing of North Bergen (Tonnelle Avenue), a 410,000 square foot strip shopping center. The seven-year fixed rate loan bears interest rate at 4.59%, provides for interest only payments during the first five years of the term and amortizes based on a 25-year schedule. This property was previously unencumbered.

Dispositions 14

In December 2010, we acquired the mortgage loan secured by the Springfield Mall, located in Fairfax County, Virginia for \$115,000,000 in cash. The loan had an outstanding balance of \$171,500,000. In a separate transaction, we acquired our partner's interest in the partnership that owns the mall in exchange for \$25,000,000 in Operating Partnership units. These transactions resulted in a \$102,932,000 net gain on early extinguishment of debt.

In August 2010, we sold \$660,000,000 of 10-year mortgage notes in a single issuer securitization. The notes are comprised of a \$600,000,000 fixed rate component and a \$60,000,000 variable rate component and are cross-collateralized by 40 of our strip shopping centers. The \$600,000,000 fixed rate portion bears interest at an initial rate of 4.18% and a weighted average rate of 4.31% over the 10-year term and amortizes based on a 30-year schedule. The variable rate portion bears interest at LIBOR plus 1.36%, with a 1% floor (2.36% at December 31, 2010).

In March 2010, we completed a public offering of \$500,000,000 aggregate principal amount of 4.25% senior unsecured notes due April 1, 2015 and retained net proceeds of approximately \$496,000,000. The notes were sold at 99.834% of their face amount to yield 4.287%. The notes can be redeemed without penalty beginning January 1, 2015.

In 2010, through open market repurchases and tender offers, we purchased \$270,491,000 aggregate face amount (\$264,476,000 aggregate carrying amount) of our convertible senior debentures and \$17,000,000 aggregate face amount (\$16,981,000 aggregate carrying amount) of our senior unsecured notes for \$274,857,000 and \$17,382,000 in cash, respectively, resulting in a net loss of \$10,381,000 and \$401,000, respectively.

7

# **Development and Redevelopment Projects**

We expended \$156,775,000 in 2010 to complete development projects.

On October 1, 2010, Arlington County adopted a new Sector Plan for Crystal City that provides for additional density and increased building heights which would permit us to grow our assets in Crystal City from 8.0 million square feet currently to as much as 11.5 million square feet.

During 2010, we entered into agreements with Cuyahoga County, Ohio (the "County") to develop and operate the Cleveland Medical Mart and Convention Center (the "Facility"), a 1,000,000 square foot showroom, trade show and conference center in Cleveland's central business district. The County will fund the development of the Facility, using proceeds from the issuance of general obligation bonds and other sources, up to the development budget of \$465,000,000 and maintain effective control of the property. During the 17-year development and operating period, we will receive net settled payments of approximately \$10,000,000 per year, which is net of our \$36,000,000 annual obligation to the County. Our obligation has been pledged by the County to the bondholders, but is payable by us only to the extent that we first receive at least an equal payment from the County. We engaged a contractor to construct the Facility pursuant to a guaranteed maximum price contract. Although we are ultimately responsible for cost overruns, the contractor is responsible for all costs incurred in excess of its contract and has provided a completion guaranty. Construction of the Facility is expected to be completed in 2013. Subsequent thereto, we are required to fund \$11,500,000, primarily for tenant improvements, are responsible for all operating expenses and are entitled to the net operating income, if any, of the Facility. The County may terminate the operating agreement five years from the completion of development and periodically thereafter, if we fail to achieve certain performance thresholds. We plan to account for these agreements using criteria set forth in ASC 605-25, Multiple-Element Arrangements, as we are providing development, marketing, leasing, and other property management related services over the 17-year term. We plan to recognize development fees using the percentage of completion method of accounting.

We are also evaluating other development and redevelopment opportunities for which final plans, budgeted costs and financing have yet to be determined. These projects include the Springfield Mall in Springfield, Virginia and the Hotel Pennsylvania and 220 Central Park South in Manhattan.

There can be no assurance that any of our development projects will commence, or if commenced, be completed on schedule or within budget.

# **Segment Data**

We operate in the following business segments: New York Office Properties, Washington, DC Office Properties, Retail Properties, Merchandise Mart Properties and Toys "R" Us. Financial information related to these business segments for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 is set forth in Note 22 – Segment Information to our consolidated financial statements in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The Merchandise Mart Properties segment has trade show operations in Canada and Switzerland. The Toys segment has 732 locations internationally.

#### **SEASONALITY**

Our revenues and expenses are subject to seasonality during the year which impacts quarterly net earnings, cash flows and funds from operations, and therefore impacts comparisons of the current quarter to the previous quarter. The business of Toys is highly seasonal. Historically, Toys' fourth quarter net income, which we record on a one-quarter lag basis in our first quarter, accounts for more than 80% of its fiscal year net income. The New York and Washington, DC Office Properties and Merchandise Mart Properties segments have historically experienced higher utility costs in the first and third quarters of the year. The Merchandise Mart Properties segment has also experienced higher earnings in the second and fourth quarters of the year due to major trade shows occurring in those quarters. The Retail Properties segment revenue in the fourth quarter is typically higher due to the recognition of percentage rental income.

8

#### tenants ACCOUNTING FOR over 10% of revenues

None of our tenants accounted for more than 10% of total revenues in any of the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008.

#### **Certain Activities**

We are not required to base our acquisitions and investments on specific allocations by type of property. We have historically held our properties for long term investment; however, it is possible that properties in the portfolio may be sold as circumstances warrant. Further, we have not adopted a policy that limits the amount or percentage of assets which could be invested in a specific property or property type. While we may seek the vote of our shareholders in connection with any particular material transaction, generally our activities are reviewed and may be modified from time to time by our Board of Trustees without the vote of shareholders.

#### **Employees**

As of December 31, 2010, we have approximately 4,780 employees, of which 317 are corporate staff. The New York Office Properties segment has 126 employees and an additional 2,680 employees of Building Maintenance Services LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary, which provides cleaning, security and engineering services primarily to our New York Office and Washington, DC Office properties. The Washington, DC Office Properties, Retail Properties and Merchandise Mart Properties segments have 400, 176 and 576 employees, respectively, and the Hotel Pennsylvania has 505 employees. The foregoing does not include employees of partially owned entities, including Toys or Alexander's, of which we own 32.7% and 32.4%, respectively.

#### principal executive offices

Our principal executive offices are located at 888 Seventh Avenue, New York, New York 10019; telephone (212) 894 7000.

#### MATERIALS AVAILABLE ON OUR WEBSITE

Copies of our Annual Report on Form 10 K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10 Q, Current Reports on Form 8 K, and amendments to those reports, as well as Reports on Forms 3, 4 and 5 regarding officers, trustees or 10% beneficial owners of us, filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a), 15(d) or 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 are available free of charge through our website (www.vno.com) as soon as reasonably practicable after they are

electronically filed with, or furnished to, the Securities and Exchange Commission. Also available on our website are copies of our Audit Committee Charter, Compensation Committee Charter, Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee Charter, Code of Business Conduct and Ethics and Corporate Governance Guidelines. In the event of any changes to these charters or the code or guidelines, changed copies will also be made available on our website. Copies of these documents are also available directly from us free of charge. Our website also includes other financial information about us, including certain non-GAAP financial measures, none of which is a part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

9

#### ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Material factors that may adversely affect our business, operations and financial condition are summarized below.

#### Real Estate Investments' Value and Income Fluctuate Due to Various Factors.

The value of real estate fluctuates depending on conditions in the general economy and the real estate business. These conditions may also adversely impact our revenues and cash flows.

The factors that affect the value of our real estate investments include, among other things:

- national, regional and local economic conditions;
- competition from other available space;
- local conditions such as an oversupply of space or a reduction in demand for real estate in the area;
- how well we manage our properties;
- the development and/or redevelopment of our properties;
- changes in market rental rates;
- the timing and costs associated with property improvements and rentals;
- whether we are able to pass all or portions of any increases in operating costs through to tenants;
- changes in real estate taxes and other expenses;
- whether tenants and users such as customers and shoppers consider a property attractive;
- the financial condition of our tenants, including the extent of tenant bankruptcies or defaults;
- availability of financing on acceptable terms or at all;
- fluctuations in interest rates;
- our ability to obtain adequate insurance;
- changes in zoning laws and taxation;
- government regulation;

- consequences of any armed conflict involving, or terrorist attack against, the United States;
- potential liability under environmental or other laws or regulations;
- natural disasters;
- general competitive factors; and
- climate changes.

The rents we receive and the occupancy levels at our properties may decline as a result of adverse changes in any of these factors. If rental revenues and/or occupancy levels decline, we generally would expect to have less cash available to pay indebtedness and for distribution to shareholders. In addition, some of our major expenses, including mortgage payments, real estate taxes and maintenance costs generally do not decline when the related rents decline.

# Capital markets and economic conditions can materially affect our financial condition and results of operations and the value of our debt and equity securities.

There are many factors that can affect the value of our debt and equity securities, including the state of the capital markets and the economy, which have recently negatively affected substantially all businesses, including ours. Demand for office and retail space may decline nationwide as it did in 2008 and 2009, due to bankruptcies, downsizing, layoffs and cost cutting. The cost and availability of credit may be adversely affected by illiquid credit markets and wider credit spreads, which may adversely affect our liquidity and financial condition, and the liquidity and financial condition of our tenants. Our inability or the inability of our tenants to timely refinance maturing liabilities and access the capital markets to meet liquidity needs may materially affect our financial condition and results of operations and the value of our debt and equity securities.

#### Real estate is a competitive business.

Our business segments – New York Office Properties, Washington, DC Office Properties, Retail Properties, Merchandise Mart Properties and Toys – operate in a highly competitive environment. We have a large concentration of properties in the New York City metropolitan area and in the Washington, DC / Northern Virginia area. We compete with a large number of property owners and developers, some of which may be willing to accept lower returns on their investments. Principal factors of competition are rents charged, attractiveness of location, the quality of the property and the breadth and quality of services provided. Our success depends upon, among other factors, trends of the national, regional and local economies, financial condition and operating results of current and prospective tenants and customers, availability and cost of capital, construction and renovation costs, taxes, governmental regulation, legislation and population trends.

We depend on leasing space to tenants on economically favorable terms and collecting rent from tenants who may not be able to pay.

Our financial results depend significantly on leasing space in our properties to tenants on economically favorable terms. In addition, because a majority of our income comes from renting of real property, our income, funds available to pay indebtedness and funds available for distribution to shareholders will decrease if a significant number of our tenants cannot pay their rent or if we are not able to maintain occupancy levels on favorable terms. If a tenant does not pay its rent, we may not be able to enforce our rights as landlord without delays and may incur substantial legal costs. During periods of economic adversity, there may be an increase in the number of tenants that cannot pay their rent and an increase in vacancy rates.

#### Bankruptcy or insolvency of tenants may decrease our revenue, net income and available cash.

From time to time, some of our tenants have declared bankruptcy, and other tenants may declare bankruptcy or become insolvent in the future. In the case of our shopping centers, the bankruptcy or insolvency of a major tenant could cause us to suffer lower revenues and operational difficulties, including leasing the remainder of the property. As a result, the bankruptcy or insolvency of a major tenant could result in decreased revenue, net income and funds available for the payment of indebtedness or for distribution to shareholders.

#### We may incur costs to comply with environmental laws.

Our operations and properties are subject to various federal, state and local laws and regulations concerning the protection of the environment, including air and water quality, hazardous or toxic substances and health and safety. Under some environmental laws, a current or previous owner or operator of real estate may be required to investigate and clean up hazardous or toxic substances released at a property. The owner or operator may also be held liable to a governmental entity or to third parties for property damage or personal injuries and for investigation and clean-up costs incurred by those parties because of the contamination. These laws often impose liability without regard to whether the owner or operator knew of the release of the substances or caused the release. The presence of contamination or the failure to remediate contamination may impair our ability to sell or lease real estate or to borrow using the real estate as collateral. Other laws and regulations govern indoor and outdoor air quality including those that can require the abatement or removal of asbestos-containing materials in the event of damage, demolition, renovation or remodeling and also govern emissions of and exposure to asbestos fibers in the air. The maintenance and removal of lead paint and certain electrical equipment containing polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and underground storage tanks are also regulated by federal and state laws. We are also subject to risks associated with human exposure to chemical or biological contaminants such as molds, pollens, viruses and bacteria which, above certain levels, can be alleged to be connected to allergic or other health effects and symptoms in susceptible individuals. We could incur fines for environmental compliance and be held liable for the costs of remedial action with respect to the foregoing regulated substances or tanks or related claims arising out of environmental contamination or human exposure to contamination at or from our properties.

Each of our properties has been subject to varying degrees of environmental assessment. The environmental assessments did not, as of this date, reveal any environmental condition material to our business. However, identification of new compliance concerns or undiscovered areas of contamination, changes in the extent or known scope of contamination, discovery of additional sites, human exposure to the contamination or changes in clean-up or compliance requirements could result in significant costs to us.

#### Inflation or deflation may adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Although neither inflation nor deflation has materially impacted our operations in the recent past, increased inflation could have a pronounced negative impact on our mortgages and interest rates and general and administrative expenses, as these costs could increase at a rate higher than our rents. Inflation could also have an adverse effect on consumer spending which could impact our tenants' sales and, in turn, our percentage rents, where applicable. Conversely, deflation could lead to downward pressure on rents and other sources of income. In addition, we own residential properties which are leased to tenants with one-year lease terms. Because these are short-term leases, declines in market rents will impact our residential properties faster than if the leases were for longer terms.

11

#### Some of our potential losses may not be covered by insurance.

We maintain general liability insurance with limits of \$300,000,000 per occurrence and all risk property and rental value insurance with limits of \$2.0 billion per occurrence, including coverage for terrorist acts, with sub-limits for certain perils such as floods. Our California properties have earthquake insurance with coverage of \$150,000,000 per occurrence, subject to a deductible in the amount of 5% of the value of the affected property, up to a \$150,000,000 annual aggregate.

Penn Plaza Insurance Company, LLC ("PPIC"), our wholly owned consolidated subsidiary, acts as a re-insurer with respect to a portion of our earthquake insurance coverage and as a direct insurer for coverage for acts of terrorism, including nuclear, biological, chemical and radiological ("NBCR") acts, as defined by TRIPRA. Coverage for acts of terrorism (excluding NBCR acts) is fully reinsured by third party insurance companies and the Federal government with no exposure to PPIC. Our coverage for NBCR losses is up to \$2 billion per occurrence, for which PPIC is responsible for a deductible of \$3,200,000 and 15% of the balance of a covered loss and the Federal government is responsible for the remaining 85% of a covered loss. We are ultimately responsible for any loss borne by PPIC.

We continue to monitor the state of the insurance market and the scope and costs of coverage for acts of terrorism. However, we cannot anticipate what coverage will be available on commercially reasonable terms in future policy years.

Our debt instruments, consisting of mortgage loans secured by our properties which are non-recourse to us, senior unsecured notes, exchangeable senior debentures, convertible senior debentures and revolving credit agreements, contain customary covenants requiring us to maintain insurance. Although we believe that we have adequate insurance coverage for purposes of these agreements, we may not be able to obtain an equivalent amount of coverage at reasonable costs in the future. Further, if lenders insist on greater coverage than we are able to obtain it could adversely affect our ability to finance our properties and expand our portfolio.

### Because we operate a hotel, we face the risks associated with the hospitality industry.

We own and operate the Hotel Pennsylvania in New York City. The following factors, among others, are common to the hotel industry and may reduce the revenues generated by the hotel, which would reduce cash available for distribution to our shareholders:

• our hotel competes for guests with other hotels, a number of which have greater marketing and financial resources;

- if there is an increase in operating costs resulting from inflation and other factors, we may not be able to offset such increase by increasing room rates;
- our hotel is subject to the fluctuating and seasonal demands of business travelers and tourism;
- our hotel is subject to general and local economic and social conditions that may affect demand for travel in general, including war and terrorism; and
- physical condition, which may require substantial additional capital.

Because of the ownership structure of the Hotel Pennsylvania, we face potential adverse effects from changes to the applicable tax laws.

Under the Internal Revenue Code, REITs like us are not allowed to operate hotels directly or indirectly. Accordingly, we lease the Hotel Pennsylvania to our taxable REIT subsidiary ("TRS"). While the TRS structure allows the economic benefits of ownership to flow to us, the TRS is subject to tax on its income from the operations of the hotel at the federal and state level. In addition, the TRS is subject to detailed tax regulations that affect how it may be capitalized and operated. If the tax laws applicable to a TRS are modified, we may be forced to modify the structure for owning the hotel, and such changes may adversely affect the cash flows from the hotel. In addition, the Internal Revenue Service, the United States Treasury Department and Congress frequently review federal income tax legislation, and we cannot predict whether, when or to what extent new federal tax laws, regulations, interpretations or rulings will be adopted. Any such actions may prospectively or retroactively modify the tax treatment of the TRS and, therefore, may adversely affect our after-tax returns from the hotel.

12

Compliance or failure to comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act or other safety regulations and requirements could result in substantial costs.

The Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA") generally requires that public buildings, including our properties, meet certain federal requirements related to access and use by disabled persons. Noncompliance could result in the imposition of fines by the federal government or the award of damages to private litigants. From time to time persons have asserted claims against us with respect to some of our properties under the ADA, but to date such claims have not resulted in any material expense or liability. If, under the ADA, we are required to make substantial alterations and capital expenditures in one or more of our properties, including the removal of access barriers, it could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations, as well as the amount of cash available for distribution to shareholders.

Our properties are subject to various federal, state and local regulatory requirements, such as state and local fire and life safety requirements. If we fail to comply with these requirements, we could incur fines or private damage awards. We do not know whether existing requirements will change or whether compliance with future requirements will require significant unanticipated expenditures that will affect our cash flow and results of operations.

Our business and operations would suffer in the event of system failures.

Despite system redundancy, the implementation of security measures and the existence of a disaster recovery plan for our internal information technology systems, our systems are vulnerable to damages from any number of sources, including computer viruses, unauthorized access, energy blackouts, natural disasters, terrorism, war and telecommunication failures. Any system failure or accident that causes interruptions in our operations could result in a material disruption to our business. We may also incur additional costs to remedy damages caused by such disruptions.

We face risks associated with our tenants being designated "Prohibited Persons" by the Office of Foreign Assets Control.

Pursuant to Executive Order 13224 and other laws, the Office of Foreign Assets Control of the United States Department of the Treasury ("OFAC") maintains a list of persons designated as terrorists or who are otherwise blocked or banned ("Prohibited Persons") from conducting business or engaging in transactions in the United States. Our leases, loans and other agreements may require us to comply with OFAC requirements. If a tenant or other party with whom we conduct business is placed on the OFAC list we may be required to terminate the lease or other agreement. Any such termination could result in a loss of revenue or otherwise negatively affect our financial results and cash flows.

13

Our Investments Are Concentrated in the New York CITY METROPOLITAN AREA and Washington, DC / NORTHERN VIRGINIA Area. Circumstances Affecting These Areas Generally Could Adversely Affect Our Business.

A significant portion of our properties are located in the New York City / New Jersey metropolitan area and Washington, DC / Northern Virginia area and are affected by the economic cycles and risks inherent to those areas.

During 2010, approximately 74% of our EBITDA, excluding items that affect comparability, came from properties located in the New York City / New Jersey metropolitan areas and the Washington, DC / Northern Virginia area. We may continue to concentrate a significant portion of our future acquisitions in these areas or in other geographic real estate markets in the United States or abroad. Real estate markets are subject to economic downturns and we cannot predict how economic conditions will impact these markets in either the short or long term. Declines in the economy or a decline in the real estate markets in these areas could hurt our financial performance and the value of our properties. The factors affecting economic conditions in these regions include:

- financial performance and productivity of the publishing, advertising, financial, technology, retail, insurance and real estate industries;
- space needs of the United States Government, including the effect of a deficit reduction plan and/or base closures and repositioning under the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 2005, as amended;
- business layoffs or downsizing;
- industry slowdowns;
- relocations of businesses;
- changing demographics;
- increased telecommuting and use of alternative work places;
- infrastructure quality; and
- any oversupply of, or reduced demand for, real estate.

It is impossible for us to assess the future effects of trends in the economic and investment climates of the geographic areas in which we concentrate, and more generally of the United States, or the real estate markets in these areas. Local, national or global economic downturns, would negatively affect our businesses and profitability.

Terrorist attacks, such as those of September 11, 2001 in New York City and the Washington, DC area, may adversely affect the value of our properties and our ability to generate cash flow.

We have significant investments in large metropolitan areas, including the New York, Washington, DC, Chicago, Boston and San Francisco metropolitan areas. In the aftermath of a terrorist attack, tenants in these areas may choose to relocate their businesses to less populated, lower-profile areas of the United States that may be perceived to be less likely targets of future terrorist activity and fewer customers may choose to patronize businesses in these areas. This, in turn, would trigger a decrease in the demand for space in these areas, which could increase vacancies in our properties and force us to lease space on less favorable terms. As a result, the value of our properties and the level of our revenues and cash flows could decline materially.

We May Acquire or Sell Assets or Entities or Develop Properties. Our Failure or Inability to Consummate These Transactions or Manage the Results of These Transactions Could Adversely Affect Our Operations and Financial Results.

We have grown rapidly since 1999 through acquisitions. We may not be able to maintain this rapid growth and our failure to do so could adversely affect our stock price.

We have experienced rapid growth since 1999, increasing our total assets from approximately \$5.5 billion at December 31, 1999 to approximately \$20.5 billion at December 31, 2010. We may not be able to maintain a similar rate of growth in the future or manage growth effectively. Our failure to do so may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations as well as the amount of cash available for distributions to shareholders.

14

# We may acquire or develop properties or acquire other real estate related companies and this may create risks.

We may acquire or develop properties or acquire other real estate related companies when we believe that an acquisition or development is consistent with our business strategy. We may not, however, succeed in consummating desired acquisitions or in completing developments on time or within budget. In addition, we may face competition in pursuing acquisition or development opportunities that could increase our costs. When we do pursue a project or acquisition, we may not succeed in leasing newly developed or acquired properties at rents sufficient to cover costs of acquisition or development and operations. Difficulties in integrating acquisitions may prove costly or time-consuming and could divert management's attention. Acquisitions or developments in new markets or industries where we do not have the same level of market knowledge may result in weaker than anticipated performance. We may also abandon acquisition or development opportunities that we have begun pursuing and consequently fail to recover expenses already incurred and have devoted management time to a matter not consummated. Furthermore, acquisitions of new properties or companies will expose us to the liabilities of those properties or companies, some of which we may not be aware at the time of acquisition. Development of our existing properties presents similar risks.

From time to time we have made, and in the future we may seek to make, one or more material acquisitions. The announcement of such a material acquisition may result in a rapid and significant decline in the price of our common shares.

We are continuously looking at material transactions that we will believe will maximize shareholder value. However, an announcement by us of one or more significant acquisitions could result in a quick and significant decline in the price of our common shares and convertible and exchangeable securities.

# It may be difficult to buy and sell real estate quickly, which may limit our flexibility.

Real estate investments are relatively difficult to buy and sell quickly. Consequently, we may have limited ability to vary our portfolio promptly in response to changes in economic or other conditions.

We may not be permitted to dispose of certain properties or pay down the debt associated with those properties when we might otherwise desire to do so without incurring additional costs.

As part of an acquisition of a property, or a portfolio of properties, we may agree, and in the past have agreed, not to dispose of the acquired properties or reduce the mortgage indebtedness for a long-term period, unless we pay certain of the resulting tax costs of the seller. These agreements could result in us holding on to properties that we would otherwise sell and not pay down or refinance.

From time to time we make investments in companies over which we do not have sole control. Some of these companies operate in industries that differ from our current operations, with different risks than investing in real estate.

From time to time we make debt or equity investments in other companies that we may not control or over which we may not have sole control. These investments include but are not limited to, Alexander's, Inc. ("Alexander's"), Toys "R" Us ("Toys"), Lexington Realty Trust ("Lexington"), J.C. Penney Company, Inc. ("J.C. Penney"), LNR Property Corporation ("LNR") and other equity and mezzanine investments. Although these businesses generally have a significant real estate component, some of them operate in businesses that are different from our primary lines of business including, without limitation, operating or managing toy stores and department stores. Consequently, investments in these businesses, among other risks, subjects us to the operating and financial risks of industries other than real estate and to the risk that we do not have sole control over the operations of these businesses. From time to time we may make additional investments in or acquire other entities that may subject us to similar risks. Investments in entities over which we do not have sole control, including joint ventures, present additional risks such as having differing objectives than our partners or the entities in which we invest, or becoming involved in disputes, or competing with those persons. In addition, we rely on the internal controls and financial reporting controls of these entities and their failure to maintain effectiveness or comply with applicable standards may adversely affect us.

15

#### We are subject to risks that affect the general retail environment.

A substantial portion of our properties are in the retail shopping center real estate market and we have a significant investment in retailers such as Toys and J.C. Penney. This means that we are subject to factors that affect the retail environment generally, including the level of consumer spending and consumer confidence, the threat of terrorism and increasing competition from discount retailers, outlet malls, retail websites and catalog companies. These factors could adversely affect the financial condition of our retail tenants and the retailers in which we hold an investment and the willingness of retailers to lease space in our shopping centers, and in turn, adversely affect us.

Our investment in Toys subjects us to risks that are different from our other lines of business and may result in increased seasonality and volatility in our reported earnings.

Because Toys is a retailer, its operations subject us to the risks of a retail company that are different than those presented by our other lines of business. The business of Toys is highly seasonal. Historically, Toys fourth quarter net income accounts for more than 80% of its fiscal year net income. In addition, our fiscal year ends on December 31 whereas, as is common for retailers, Toys' fiscal year ends on the Saturday nearest to January 31. Therefore, we record our pro-rata share of Toys' net earnings on a one-quarter lag basis. For example, our financial results for the year ended December 31, 2010 include Toys' financial results for its first, second and third quarters ended October 30, 2010, as well as Toys' fourth quarter results of 2009. Because of the seasonality of Toys, our reported net income shows increased volatility. We may also, in the future and from time to time, invest in other businesses that may report financial results that are more volatile than our historical financial results.

#### We depend upon our anchor tenants to attract shoppers.

We own several regional malls and other shopping centers that are typically anchored by well-known department stores and other tenants who generate shopping traffic at the mall or shopping center. The value of our properties would be adversely affected if tenants or anchors failed to meet their contractual obligations, sought concessions in order to continue operations or ceased their operations, including as a result of bankruptcy. If the sales of stores operating in our properties were to decline significantly due to economic conditions, closing of anchors or for other reasons, tenants may be unable to pay their minimum rents or expense recovery charges. In the event of a default by a tenant or anchor, we may experience delays and costs in enforcing our rights as landlord.

Our decision to dispose of real estate assets would change the holding period assumption in our valuation analyses, which could result in material impairment losses and adversely affect our financial results.

We evaluate real estate assets for impairment based on the projected cash flow of the asset over our anticipated holding period. If we change our intended holding period, due to our intention to sell or otherwise dispose of an asset,

then under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, we must reevaluate whether that asset is impaired. Depending on the carrying value of the property at the time we change our intention and the amount that we estimate we would receive on disposal, we may record an impairment loss that would adversely affect our financial results. This loss could be material to our results of operations in the period that it is recognized.

We invest in subordinated or mezzanine debt of certain entities that have significant real estate assets. These investments involve greater risk of loss than investments in senior mortgage loans.

We invest, and may in the future invest, in subordinated or mezzanine debt of certain entities that have significant real estate assets. These investments, which are subordinate to the mortgage loans secured by the real property, are generally secured by pledges of the equity interests of the entities owning the underlying real estate. These investments involve greater risk of loss than investments in senior mortgage loans which are secured by real property. If a borrower defaults on debt to us or on debt senior to us, or declares bankruptcy, we may not be able to recover some or all of our investment. In addition, there may be significant delays and costs associated with the process of foreclosing on collateral securing or supporting these investments. The value of the assets securing or supporting our investments could deteriorate over time due to factors beyond our control, including acts or omissions by owners, changes in business, economic or market conditions, or foreclosure. Such deteriorations in value may result in the recognition of impairment losses and/or valuation allowances on our statements of income. As of December 31, 2010, our investments in mezzanine debt securities have an aggregate carrying amount of \$202,412,000, net of a \$73,216,000 valuation allowance.

16

We evaluate the collectability of both interest and principal of each of our loans whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate such amounts may not be recoverable. A loan is impaired when it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the existing contractual terms. When a loan is impaired, the amount of the loss accrual is calculated by comparing the carrying amount of the investment to the estimated fair value of the loan or, as a practical expedient, to the value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. There can be no assurance that our estimates of collectible amounts will not change over time or that they will be representative of the amounts we will actually collect, including amounts we would collect if we chose to sell these investments before their maturity. If we collect less than our estimates, we will record impairment losses which could be material.

We invest in marketable equity securities of companies that have significant real estate assets. The value of these investments may decline as a result of operating performance or economic or market conditions.

We invest in marketable equity securities of publicly-traded real estate companies or companies that have significant real estate assets, such as J.C. Penney. As of December 31, 2010, our marketable securities have an aggregate carrying amount of \$766,116,000. Significant declines in the value of these investments due to operating performance or economic or market conditions may result in the recognition of impairment losses which could be material.

#### Our Organizational and Financial Structure Gives Rise to Operational and Financial Risks.

We May Not Be Able to Obtain Capital to Make Investments.

We depend primarily on external financing to fund the growth of our business. This is because one of the requirements of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, for a REIT is that it distributes 90% of its taxable income, excluding net capital gains, to its shareholders. There is a separate requirement to distribute net capital gains or pay a corporate level tax in lieu thereof. Our access to debt or equity financing depends on the willingness of third parties to lend or make equity investments and on conditions in the capital markets generally. Although we believe that we will be able to finance any investments we may wish to make in the foreseeable future, there can be no assurance that new financing will be available or available on acceptable terms. For information about our available sources of funds, see "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations — Liquidity and Capital Resources" and the notes to the consolidated financial statements in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Vornado Realty Trust ("Vornado") depends on dividends and distributions from its direct and indirect subsidiaries. The creditors and preferred security holders of these subsidiaries are entitled to amounts payable to them by the subsidiaries before the subsidiaries may pay any dividends or distributions to Vornado.

Substantially all of Vornado's assets are held through its Operating Partnership that holds substantially all of its properties and assets through subsidiaries. The Operating Partnership's cash flow is dependent on cash distributions to it by its subsidiaries, and in turn, substantially all of Vornado's cash flow is dependent on cash distributions to it by the Operating Partnership. The creditors of each of Vornado's direct and indirect subsidiaries are entitled to payment of that subsidiary's obligations to them, when due and payable, before distributions may be made by that subsidiary to its equity holders. Thus, the Operating Partnership's ability to make distributions to holders of its units depends on its subsidiaries' ability first to satisfy their obligations to their creditors and then to make distributions to the Operating Partnership's ability first to satisfy its obligations to its creditors and make distributions payable to holders of preferred units and then to make distributions to Vornado.

Furthermore, the holders of preferred units of the Operating Partnership are entitled to receive preferred distributions before payment of distributions to holders of Class A units of the Operating Partnership, including Vornado. Thus, Vornado's ability to pay cash dividends to its shareholders and satisfy its debt obligations depends on the Operating Partnership's ability first to satisfy its obligations to its creditors and make distributions to holders of its preferred units and then to holders of its Class A units, including Vornado. As of December 31, 2010, there were seven series of preferred units of the Operating Partnership not held by Vornado with a total liquidation value of \$316,165,000.

In addition, Vornado's participation in any distribution of the assets of any of its direct or indirect subsidiaries upon the liquidation, reorganization or insolvency, is only after the claims of the creditors, including trade creditors and preferred security holders, are satisfied.

17

We have outstanding debt, and the amount of debt and its cost may increase and refinancing may not be available on acceptable terms.

As of December 31, 2010, we had approximately \$13.8 billion of total debt outstanding, including our pro rata share of debt of partially owned entities, and excluding \$37 billion for our pro rata share of LNR's liabilities related to its consolidated CMBS and CDO trusts, which are non-recourse to LNR and its equity holders, including us. Our ratio of total debt to total enterprise value was approximately 44%. When we say "enterprise value" in the preceding sentence, we mean market equity value of our common and preferred shares plus total debt outstanding, including our pro rata share of debt of partially owned entities, and excluding LNR's liabilities related to its consolidated CMBS and CDO trusts. In the future, we may incur additional debt to finance acquisitions or property developments and thus increase our ratio of total debt to total enterprise value. If our level of indebtedness increases, there may be an increased risk of a credit rating downgrade or a default on our obligations that could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations. In addition, in a rising interest rate environment, the cost of existing variable rate debt and any new debt or other market rate security or instrument may increase. Furthermore, we may not be able to refinance existing indebtedness in sufficient amounts or on acceptable terms.

# Covenants in our debt instruments could adversely affect our financial condition and our acquisitions and development activities.

The mortgages on our properties contain customary covenants such as those that limit our ability, without the prior consent of the lender, to further mortgage the applicable property or to discontinue insurance coverage. Our unsecured credit facilities, unsecured debt securities and other loans that we may obtain in the future contain, or may contain, customary restrictions, requirements and other limitations on our ability to incur indebtedness, including covenants that limit our ability to incur debt based upon the level of our ratio of total debt to total assets, our ratio of secured debt to total assets, our ratio of EBITDA to interest expense, and fixed charges, and that require us to maintain a certain level of unencumbered assets to unsecured debt. Our ability to borrow is subject to compliance with these and other covenants. In addition, failure to comply with our covenants could cause a default under the applicable debt instrument, and we may then be required to repay such debt with capital from other sources. Under those circumstances, other sources of capital may not be available to us, or may be available only on unattractive terms.

We rely on debt financing, including borrowings under our unsecured credit facilities, issuances of unsecured debt securities and debt secured by individual properties, to finance acquisitions and development activities and for working capital. If we are unable to obtain debt financing from these or other sources, or refinance existing indebtedness upon maturity, our financial condition and results of operations would likely be adversely affected. If we breach covenants in our debt agreements, the lenders can declare a default and, if the debt is secured, can take possession of the property securing the defaulted loan.

Vornado may fail to qualify or remain qualified as a REIT and may be required to pay income taxes at corporate rates.

Although we believe that we will remain organized and will continue to operate so as to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, we may fail to remain qualified in this way. Qualification as a REIT for federal income tax purposes is governed by highly technical and complex provisions of the Internal Revenue Code for which there are only limited judicial or administrative interpretations. Our qualification as a REIT also depends on various facts and circumstances that are not entirely within our control. In addition, legislation, new regulations, administrative interpretations or court decisions may significantly change the tax laws with respect to the requirements for qualification as a REIT or the federal income tax consequences of qualifying as a REIT.

If, with respect to any taxable year, we fail to maintain our qualification as a REIT and do not qualify under statutory relief provisions, we could not deduct distributions to shareholders in computing our taxable income and would have to pay federal income tax on our taxable income at regular corporate rates. The federal income tax payable would include any applicable alternative minimum tax. If we had to pay federal income tax, the amount of money available to distribute to shareholders and pay our indebtedness would be reduced for the year or years involved, and we would no longer be required to make distributions to shareholders. In addition, we would also be disqualified from treatment as a REIT for the four taxable years following the year during which qualification was lost, unless we were entitled to relief under the relevant statutory provisions. Although we currently intend to operate in a manner designed to allow us to qualify as a REIT, future economic, market, legal, tax or other considerations may cause us to revoke the REIT election or fail to qualify as a REIT.

18

#### We face possible adverse changes in tax laws, which may result in an increase in our tax liability.

From time to time changes in state and local tax laws or regulations are enacted, which may result in an increase in our tax liability. The shortfall in tax revenues for states and municipalities in recent years may lead to an increase in the frequency and size of such changes. If such changes occur, we may be required to pay additional taxes on our assets or income. These increased tax costs could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations and the amount of cash available for payment of dividends.

#### Loss of our key personnel could harm our operations and adversely affect the value of our common shares.

We are dependent on the efforts of Steven Roth, the Chairman of the Board of Trustees of Vornado, and Michael D. Fascitelli, the President and Chief Executive Officer of Vornado. While we believe that we could find replacements for these and other key personnel, the loss of their services could harm our operations and adversely affect the value of our common shares.

#### Vornado's charter documents and applicable law may hinder any attempt to acquire us.

#### Our Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust sets limits on the ownership of our shares.

Generally, for Vornado to maintain its qualification as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code, not more than 50% in value of the outstanding shares of beneficial interest of Vornado may be owned, directly or indirectly, by five or fewer individuals at any time during the last half of Vornado's taxable year. The Internal Revenue Code defines "individuals" for purposes of the requirement described in the preceding sentence to include some types of entities. Under Vornado's Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust, as amended, no person may own more than 6.7% of the outstanding common shares of any class, or 9.9% of the outstanding preferred shares of any class, with some exceptions for persons who held common shares in excess of the 6.7% limit before Vornado adopted the limit and other persons approved by Vornado's Board of Trustees. These restrictions on transferability and ownership may delay, deter or prevent a change in control of Vornado or other transaction that might involve a premium price or otherwise be in the best interest of the shareholders. We refer to Vornado's Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust, as amended, as the "declaration of trust."

#### Vornado has a classified Board of Trustees and that may reduce the likelihood of certain takeover transactions.

Vornado's Board of Trustees is divided into three classes of trustees. Trustees of each class are chosen for three-year staggered terms. Staggered terms of trustees may reduce the possibility of a tender offer or an attempt to change

control of Vornado, even though a tender offer or change in control might be in the best interest of Vornado's shareholders.

We may issue additional shares in a manner that could adversely affect the likelihood of certain takeover transactions.

Vornado's declaration of trust authorizes the Board of Trustees to:

- cause Vornado to issue additional authorized but unissued common shares or preferred shares;
- classify or reclassify, in one or more series, any unissued preferred shares;
- set the preferences, rights and other terms of any classified or reclassified shares that Vornado issues; and
- increase, without shareholder approval, the number of shares of beneficial interest that Vornado may issue.

The Board of Trustees could establish a series of preferred shares whose terms could delay, deter or prevent a change in control of Vornado or other transaction that might involve a premium price or otherwise be in the best interest of Vornado's shareholders, although the Board of Trustees does not now intend to establish a series of preferred shares of this kind. Vornado's declaration of trust and bylaws contain other provisions that may delay, deter or prevent a change in control of Vornado or other transaction that might involve a premium price or otherwise be in the best interest of our shareholders.

19

The Maryland General Corporation Law contains provisions that may reduce the likelihood of certain takeover transactions.

Under the Maryland General Corporation Law, as amended, which we refer to as the "MGCL," as applicable to REITs, certain "business combinations," including certain mergers, consolidations, share exchanges and asset transfers and certain issuances and reclassifications of equity securities, between a Maryland REIT and any person who beneficially owns ten percent or more of the voting power of the trust's shares or an affiliate or an associate, as defined in the MGCL, of the trust who, at any time within the two-year period before the date in question, was the beneficial owner of ten percent or more of the voting power of the then outstanding voting shares of beneficial interest of the trust, which we refer to as an "interested shareholder," or an affiliate of the interested shareholder, are prohibited for five years after the most recent date on which the interested shareholder becomes an interested shareholder. After that five-year period, any business combination of these kinds must be recommended by the board of trustees of the trust and approved by the affirmative vote of at least (a) 80% of the votes entitled to be cast by holders of outstanding voting shares of beneficial interest of the trust and (b) two-thirds of the votes entitled to be cast by holders of voting shares of beneficial interest of the trust other than shares held by the interested shareholder with whom, or with whose affiliate, the business combination is to be effected or held by an affiliate or associate of the interested shareholder. These supermajority voting requirements do not apply if the trust's common shareholders receive a minimum price, as defined in the MGCL, for their shares and the consideration is received in cash or in the same form as previously paid by the interested shareholder for its common shares.

The provisions of the MGCL do not apply, however, to business combinations that are approved or exempted by the board of trustees of the applicable trust before the interested shareholder becomes an interested shareholder, and a person is not an interested shareholder if the board of trustees approved in advance the transaction by which the person otherwise would have become an interested shareholder.

In approving a transaction, the Board may provide that its approval is subject to compliance, at or after the time of approval, with any terms and conditions determined by the Board. Vornado's Board has adopted a resolution exempting any business combination between Vornado and any trustee or officer of Vornado or its affiliates. As a result, any trustee or officer of Vornado or its affiliates may be able to enter into business combinations with Vornado that may not be in the best interest of Vornado's shareholders. With respect to business combinations with other persons, the business combination provisions of the MGCL may have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a change in control of Vornado or other transaction that might involve a premium price or otherwise be in the best interest of the shareholders. The business combination statute may discourage others from trying to acquire control of Vornado and increase the difficulty of consummating any offer.

#### We may change our policies without obtaining the approval of our shareholders.

Our operating and financial policies, including our policies with respect to acquisitions of real estate or other companies, growth, operations, indebtedness, capitalization and dividends, are exclusively determined by our Board

of Trustees. Accordingly, our shareholders do not control these policies.

#### Our Ownership Structure and Related-Party Transactions May Give Rise to Conflicts of Interest.

Steven Roth and Interstate Properties may exercise substantial influence over us. They and some of our other trustees and officers have interests or positions in other entities that may compete with us.

As of December 31, 2010, Interstate Properties, a New Jersey general partnership, and its partners owned approximately 7.0% of the common shares of Vornado and approximately 27.2% of the common stock of Alexander's, which is described below. Steven Roth, David Mandelbaum and Russell B. Wight, Jr. are the three partners of Interstate Properties. Mr. Roth is the Chairman of the Board of Vornado, the managing general partner of Interstate Properties and the Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Alexander's. Messrs. Wight and Mandelbaum are trustees of Vornado and also directors of Alexander's.

Because of these overlapping interests, Mr. Roth and Interstate Properties and its partners may have substantial influence over Vornado and on the outcome of any matters submitted to Vornado's shareholders for approval. In addition, certain decisions concerning our operations or financial structure may present conflicts of interest among Messrs. Roth, Mandelbaum and Wight and Interstate Properties and our other equity or debt holders. In addition, Mr. Roth, Interstate Properties and its partners, and Alexander's currently and may in the future engage in a wide variety of activities in the real estate business which may result in conflicts of interest with respect to matters affecting us, such as which of these entities or persons, if any, may take advantage of potential business opportunities, the business focus of these entities, the types of properties and geographic locations in which these entities make investments, potential competition between business activities conducted, or sought to be conducted, competition for properties and tenants, possible corporate transactions such as acquisitions and other strategic decisions affecting the future of these entities.

20

We currently manage and lease the real estate assets of Interstate Properties under a management agreement for which we receive an annual fee equal to 4% of base rent and percentage rent. The management agreement has a one-year term and is automatically renewable unless terminated by either of the parties on 60 days' notice at the end of the term. Because of the relationship among Vornado, Interstate Properties and Messrs. Roth, Mandelbaum and Wight, as described above, the terms of the management agreement and any future agreements between us and Interstate Properties may not be comparable to those we could have negotiated with an unaffiliated third party.

#### There may be conflicts of interest between Alexander's and us.

As of December 31, 2010, we owned 32.4% of the outstanding common stock of Alexander's. Alexander's is a REIT engaged in leasing, managing, developing and redeveloping properties, focusing primarily on the locations where its department stores operated before they ceased operations in 1992. Alexander's has seven properties, which are located in the greater New York metropolitan area. In addition to the 2.3% that they indirectly own through Vornado, Interstate Properties, which is described above, and its partners owned 27.2% of the outstanding common stock of Alexander's as of December 31, 2010. Mr. Roth is the Chairman of the Board of Vornado, the managing general partner of Interstate, and the Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Alexander's. Messrs. Wight and Mandelbaum are trustees of Vornado and also directors of Alexander's and general partners of Interstate. Michael D. Fascitelli is the President and Chief Executive Officer of Vornado and the President of Alexander's and Dr. Richard West is a trustee of Vornado and a director of Alexander's. In addition, Joseph Macnow, our Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, holds the same position with Alexander's. Alexander's common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "ALX."

We manage, develop and lease Alexander's properties under management and development agreements and leasing agreements under which we receive annual fees from Alexander's. These agreements have a one-year term expiring in March of each year and are all automatically renewable. Because Vornado and Alexander's share common senior management and because certain of the trustees of Vornado constitute a majority of the directors of Alexander's, the terms of the foregoing agreements and any future agreements between us and Alexander's may not be comparable to those we could have negotiated with an unaffiliated third party.

For a description of Interstate Properties' ownership of Vornado and Alexander's, see "Steven Roth and Interstate Properties may exercise substantial influence over us. They and some of our other trustees and officers have interests or positions in other entities that may compete with us" above.

The Number of Shares of Vornado Realty Trust and the Market for Those Shares Give Rise to Various Risks.

The trading price of our common shares has been volatile and may fluctuate.

The trading price of our common shares has been volatile and may continue to fluctuate widely as a result of a number of factors, many of which are outside our control. In addition, the stock market is subject to fluctuations in the share prices and trading volumes that affect the market prices of the shares of many companies. These broad market fluctuations have in the past and may in the future adversely affect the market price of our common shares. Among the factors that could affect the price of our common shares are:

- our financial condition and performance;
- the financial condition of our tenants, including the extent of tenant bankruptcies or defaults;
- the reputation of REITs and real estate investments generally and the attractiveness of REIT equity securities in comparison to other equity securities, including securities issued by other real estate companies, and fixed income securities:
- uncertainty and volatility in the equity and credit markets;
- changes in revenue or earnings estimates or publication of research reports and recommendations by financial analysts or actions taken by rating agencies with respect to our securities or those of other real estate investment trusts;
- failure to meet analysts' revenue or earnings estimates;
- speculation in the press or investment community;
- strategic actions by us or our competitors, such as acquisitions or restructurings;
- the extent of institutional interest in us;
- the extent of short-selling of our common shares and the shares of our competitors;
- fluctuations in the stock price and operating results of our competitors;
- general financial and economic market conditions and, in particular, developments related to market conditions for real estate investment trusts and other real estate related companies;

- domestic and international economic factors unrelated to our performance; and
- all other risk factors addressed elsewhere in this document.

A significant decline in our stock price could result in substantial losses for shareholders.

#### Vornado has many shares available for future sale, which could hurt the market price of its shares.

The interests of our current shareholders could be diluted if we issue additional equity securities. As of December 31, 2010, we had authorized but unissued, 66,338,125 common shares of beneficial interest, \$.04 par value and 77,659,991 preferred shares of beneficial interest, no par value; of which 39,203,325 common shares are reserved for issuance upon redemption of Class A Operating Partnership units, convertible securities and employee stock options and 7,200,000 preferred shares are reserved for issuance upon redemption of preferred Operating Partnership units. Any shares not reserved may be issued from time to time in public or private offerings or in connection with acquisitions. In addition, common and preferred shares reserved may be sold upon issuance in the public market after registration under the Securities Act or under Rule 144 under the Securities Act or other available exemptions from registration. We cannot predict the effect that future sales of our common and preferred shares or Operating Partnership Class A and preferred units will have on the market prices of our outstanding shares.

#### Increased market interest rates may hurt the value of our common and preferred shares.

We believe that investors consider the distribution rate on REIT shares, expressed as a percentage of the price of the shares, relative to market interest rates as an important factor in deciding whether to buy or sell the shares. If market interest rates go up, prospective purchasers of REIT shares may expect a higher distribution rate. Higher interest rates would likely increase our borrowing costs and might decrease funds available for distribution. Thus, higher market interest rates could cause the market price of our common and preferred shares to decline.

22

#### Item 1b. unresolved staff comments

There are no unresolved comments from the staff of the Securities Exchange Commission as of the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

#### Item 2. Properties

We operate in five business segments: New York Office Properties, Washington, DC Office Properties, Retail Properties, Merchandise Mart Properties and Toys "R" Us. The following pages provide details of our real estate properties.

23

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES - Continued

					Square F	Out of Service		
	%	%	Annualized Rent PSF	Total	Owned By	Under	Encumbrance (in	es
Property NEW YORK OFFICE: New York City: Penn Plaza:	Ownership	Occupancy	(1)	Property		Development	thousands)	Major Tenants
One Penn Plaza (ground leased through 2098)	100.0 %	96.2 %	\$ 54.61	2,461,000	-	-	\$ -	BMG Columbia House, Buck Consultants, Cisco, Kmart, MWB Leasing, Parsons Brinkerhoff, United Health Care, United States Customs Department, URS Corporation Group Consulting
Two Penn Plaza	100.0 %	99.1 %	47.25	1,588,000	-	-	277,347	LMW Associates EMC, Forest Electric, IBI, Madison Square Garden, McGraw-Hill Co. Inc.
Eleven Penn Plaza	100.0 %	94.2 %	51.47	1,068,000	-	-	199,320	Macy's, Madison Square Garden, Rainbow Media Holdings
100 West 33rd Street	100.0 %	93.7 %	46.29	847,000	-	-	159,361	Bank of America Draft FCB
	100.0 %	99.2 %	34.53	635,000	-	-	-	
								Į.

330 West 34th Street								City of New York, Interieurs Inc.,
(ground leased through 2148)								The Bank of New York
Total Penn Plaza		96.6 %	49.33	6,599,000	-	-	636,028	
East Side:								J.P. Morgan
909 Third Avenue	100.0 %	92.5 %	57.26 <sup>(2</sup>	2) 1,327,000	-	-	207,045	Securities Inc., Citibank, Forest Laboratories, Geller &
leased through 2063)								Company, Morrison Cohen LLP, Robeco USA Inc., United States Pos Office, The Procter & Gamble Distributing LLC
150 East 58th Street	100.0 %	94.2 %	60.35	536,000	-	-	-	Castle Harlan, Tournesol Realty LLC (Peter Marino), Various showroom tenant
Total East Side		92.9 %	58.15	1,863,000	-	-	207,045	
West Side:								
888 Seventh Avenue (ground leased through 2067)	100.0 %	95.6 %	78.13	858,000	-	-	318,554	Kaplan Management LLC, New Line Realty, Soros Fund, TPG-Axon Capital,
								Vornado Executive

49

								Headquarters
1740 Broadway	100.0 %	99.3 %	60.21	596,000	-	-	-	Davis & Gilbert, Limited Brands, Dept. of Taxation of the State of N.Y.
57th Street	50.0 %	91.5 %	44.65	188,000	-	-	22,922	Various
825 Seventh Avenue	50.0 %	100.0 %	45.44	165,000	-	-	20,565	Young & Rubicam
Total West Side		96.8 %	65.75	1,807,000	-	-	362,041	
Park Avenue:								
350 Park Avenue	100.0 %	92.5 %	75.30	555,000	-	-	430,000	Tweedy Browne Company, MFA Financial Inc., M&T Bank, Ziff Brothers Investment Inc., Kissinger Associates, Inc.
Grand Central: 90 Park Avenue	100.0 %	97.4 %	59.41	906,000	-	-	-	Alston & Bird, Amster, Rothstein & Ebenstein, Capital One N.A. First Manhattan Consulting, Sanofi-Synthelab Inc., STWB Inc.
330 Madison Avenue	25.0 %	100.0 %	54.09	802,000	-	181,000	150,000	Acordia Northeas Inc., Artio Global Management, Dean Witter Reynolds Inc., HSBC Bank AFS
Total Grand Central		98.6 %	56.91	1,708,000	-	181,000	150,000	

50

24

### ITEM 2. PROPERTIES - Continued

					Square Fe	eet Out of Service		
	%	A. %	Annualized	Total	Owned		Encumbrances	
Property NEW YORK OFFICE (Continued): Madison/Fifth:	Ownership	Occupancy	Rent PSF (1)	Property	By Tenant	Development	(in thousands)	Major Tenants
640 Fifth Avenue	100.0 %	97.1 %	\$ 75.76	323,000	-		\$ -	ROC Capital Management LP, Citibank N.A., Fidelity Investments, Hennes & Mauritz, Janus Capital Group Inc., GSL Enterprises Inc., Scout Capital Management, Legg Mason Investment Counsel
595 Madison Avenue	100.0 %	88.9 %	64.76	319,000	-	-	-	Beauvais Carpets, Coach, Levin Capital Strategies LP, Prada, Cosmetech Mably Int'l LLC.
689 Fifth Avenue	100.0 %	94.1 %	69.81	89,000	-	-	-	Elizabeth Arden, Red Door Salons,

	_	_						
								Zara, Yamaha Artist Services Inc.
Total Madison/Fifth		93.2 %	70.24	731,000	-	-	-	
United Nations:								
866 United Nations Plaza	100.0 %	94.7 %	54.36	358,000	-	-	44,978	Fross Zelnick, Mission of Japan, The United Nations, Mission of Finland
Midtown South:								
770 Broadway	100.0 %	99.8 %	52.14	1,071,000	-	-	353,000	AOL, J. Crew, Kmart, Structure Tone Nielsen Company (US Inc.
Rockefeller Center:								
1290 Avenue of the Americas	70.0 %	94.2 %	60.03	2,061,000		-	424,136	AXA Equitabl Life Insurance Bank of New York Mellon, Broadpoint Gleacher Securities Group, Bryan Cave LLP, Microsoft Corporation, Morrison & Foerster LLP, Warner Music Group, Cushman & Wakefield, Fitzpatrick, Cella, Harper & Scinto
<b>Downtown:</b> 20 Broad Street	100.0 %	97.6 %	52.15	472,000	-	-	-	

53

								New York Stock Exchange
(ground leased through 2081)								C
40 Fulton Street	100.0 %	76.4 %	34.25	249,000	-	-	-	Graphnet Inc. Market News International Inc., Sapient Corp.
40-42 Thompson Street	100.0 %	100.0 %	46.81	29,000	-	-	-	Crown Management
Total Downtown		90.7 %	46.00	750,000	-	-	-	
Total New York City		95.8 %	55.52	17,503,000	-	181,000	2,607,228	
New Jersey								X7 1.1
Paramus	100.0 %	87.1 %	20.28	132,000	-	-	-	Vornado's Administrativ Headquarters
Total New York City Office		95.7 %	\$ 55.51	17,635,000	-	181,000	\$ 2,607,228	
Vornado's Ownership Interest		95.6 %	\$ 55.45	16,239,000	-	45,000	\$ 2,347,771	

<sup>(1)</sup> Annualized Rent PSF excludes retail rent in office buildings, ground rent, storage rent and garages.

25

<sup>(2)</sup> Excludes US Post Office leased through 2038 (including five five-year renewal options for which the annual escalated rent is \$11.12 PSF).

### ITEM 2. PROPERTIES - Continued

					Square F	Out of		
	%	%	Annualized	Total	Owned By	Service ] Under	Encumbrance	es
Property C WASHINGTON DC	_	Occupancy	Rent PSF (1)	Property	Tenant	Development	(in thousands)	Major Tenants
OFFICE: Crystal City: 2011-2451 Crystal Drive - 5 buildings	100.0 %	98.9 %	\$ 40.29	2,298,000	-	-	\$ 127,720	General Services Administration, Lockheed Martin, Conservation International, Boeing, Smithsonian Institution, Natl. Consumer Coop. Bank, Archstone Trust, Council on Foundations, Vornado / Charles E. Smith Headquarters, KBR, General Dynamics, Scitor
S. Clark Street / 12th Street - 5 buildings	100.0 %	96.6 %	40.06	1,510,000	-	-	145,389	Corp., Food Marketing Institute  General Services Administration,  SAIC, Inc., Boeing, L-3 Communications, The Int'l Justice Mission
1550-1750 Crystal Drive / 241-251 18th Street	100.0 %	96.1 %	40.46	1,482,000	-	-	124,883	General Services Administration,

- 4 buildings								Alion Science & Technologies, Booz Allen, SAIC, Inc., Arete Associates, L-3 Communications, Battelle Memorial Institute
1800, 1851 and 1901 South Bell Street - 3 buildings	100.0 %	97.2 %	35.34	868,000	-	-	10,099	General Services Administration, Lockheed Martin
2100 / 2200 Crystal Drive - 2 buildings	100.0 %	100.0 %	31.82	529,000	-	-	-	General Services Administration, Public Broadcasting Service
223 23rd Street / 2221 South Clark Street - 2 buildings	100.0 %	51.7 %	39.01	309,000	-	147,000	-	General Services Administration
2001 Jefferson Davis Highway	100.0 %	77.4 %	36.21	162,000	-	-	-	National Crime Prevention, Institute for Psychology, Qinetiq North America
Crystal City Shops at 2100	100.0 %	58.9 %	33.29	81,000	-	-	-	Various
Crystal Drive Retail	100.0 %	88.5 %	44.46	57,000	-	-	-	Various
Total Crystal City	100.0 %	95.6 %	38.89	7,296,000	-	147,000	408,091	
Central Business District:								
Universal Buildings	100.0 %	94.9 %	45.13	615,000	-	-	103,049	Academy for Educational Development

1825-1875 Connecticut Avenue, NW - 2 buildings								
Warner Building - 1299 Pennsylvania	55.0 %	99.0 %	67.68	604,000	-	-	292,700	Howrey LLP, Baker Botts, LLP,
Avenue, NW								General Electric
409 3rd Street, NW	100.0 %	97.3 %	39.39	403,000	-	-	-	General Services Administration
2101 L Street, NW	100.0 %	91.0 %	57.12	380,000	-	-	150,000	Greenberg Traurig, LLP, US Green Building Council, American Insurance Association, RTKL Associates, Cassidy & Turley
1750 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW	100.0 %	97.0 %	46.16	257,000	-	-	45,132	General Services Administration, PA Consulting Group Holdings
1150 17th Street, NW	100.0 %	87.1 %	45.71	233,000	-	-	28,728	American Enterprise Institute
Bowen Building - 875 15th Street, NW	100.0 %	100.0 %	65.99	231,000	-	-	115,022	Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Walker LLP,
								Millennium Challenge Corporation
1101 17th Street, NW	55.0 %	94.9 %	44.95	213,000	-	-	-	AFSME
1730 M Street, NW	100.0 %	88.9 %	43.05	203,000	-	-	14,853	General Services Administration

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES - Continued

				i	Square Fe	eet Out of Service		
	<b>%</b>	<b>%</b>	Annualized Rent	Total	Owned By	Under	Encumbrance:	S
Property WASHINGTON, DC OFFICE (Continued):	Ownership	Occupancy		Property	Tenant	Development		Major Te
1726 M Street, NW	100.0 %	75.3 %	\$ 39.32	90,000	-	-	\$ -	Aptima, Inc Nelnet Corporation
Kaempfer Interests:								
401 M Street, SW	2.5 %	100.0 %	46.85	2,100,000	-	1,471,000	217,106	District of Columbia
1501 K Street, NW	5.0 %	98.2 %	57.93	379,000	-	-	100,250	Sidley Aus LLP, UBS
1399 New York Avenue, NW	2.5 %	94.8 %	88.31	123,000	-	-	39,087	Bloomberg
Total Central Business District		95.6 %	52.73	5,831,000	-	1,471,000	1,105,927	
I-395 Corridor: Skyline Place - 7 buildings	100.0 %	92.2 %	33.21	2,117,000	-	-	543,300	General Se Administra SAIC, Inc., Northrop Grumman, Resource Manageme Booz Allen Corporation Intellidyne,
One Skyline Tower	100.0 %	100.0 %	32.73	518,000	-	-	134,700	General Se Administra

	Lugari	illig. VOI il		LALII IIIOO	1 - 1 01111 10-	11		
Total I-395 Corridor	100.0 %	93.7 %	33.11	2,635,000	-	-	678,000	
Rosslyn / Ballston:								
2200 / 2300 Clarendon Blvd	100.0 %	94.9 %	39.31	631,000	-	_	59,278	Arlington General Se Administra
(Courthouse Plaza) - 2 buildings								AMC Thea
Rosslyn Plaza - Office - 4 buildings	46.0 %	86.2 %	32.72	725,000	-	-	56,680	General Se Administra
Total Rosslyn / Ballston		91.9 %	35.88	1,356,000	-	-	115,958	
<b>Reston:</b> Reston Executive - 3 buildings	100.0 %	75.1 %	31.71	493,000	-	-	93,000	SAIC, Inc. Quadramed
Commerce Executive - 3 buildings	100.0 %`	98.3 %	28.96	397,000	-	-	-	L-3 Communic SAIC, Inc. BT North America
Total Reston		85.4 %	30.31	890,000	-	-	93,000	
Rockville/Bethesda: Democracy Plaza One	100.0 %	87.2 %	41.38	214,000	-	-	-	National Ir
Tysons Corner:								
Fairfax Square - 3 buildings	20.0 %	85.4 %	37.39	523,000	-	-	71,764	EDS Information Services, E Company, Womble C
Total Tysons Corner		85.4 %	37.39	523,000	-	-	71,764	
Pentagon City:								
Fashion Centre Mall	7.5 %	98.4 %	38.25	818,000	-	-	146,453	Macy's, Nordstrom
Washington Tower	7.5 %	100.0 %	45.80	170,000	-	-	40,000	The Rand Corporatio
Total Pentagon City		98.7 %	39.56	988,000	-	-	186,453	
Total Washington, DC office properties		94.2 %	\$ 40.68	19,733,000	-	1,618,000	\$ 2,659,193	
Financing Activities								60

Vornado's

Ownership Interest 94.0 % \$ 39.43 15,115,000 - 184,000 \$ 1,921,965

27

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES - Continued

	Square Feet								
						Out of			
					O	Service	E	~	
	%	%	Annualized	Total	Owned By	Under	Encumbrance	S	
	70	70	Rent	Total	Бу	Onder	(in	Major	
Property WASHINGTON, DC OFFICE (Continued): Other: For rent	Ownership	Occupancy		Property	Tenant	Development	thousands)	Tenants	
residential: Riverhouse (1,680 units)	100.0 %	95.2 %	\$ -	1,802,000	-	-	\$ 259,546		
West End 25 (283 units)	100.0 %	95.7 %	-	272,000	-	-	95,220		
220 20th Street (265 units)	100.0 %	96.0 %	-	272,000	-	-	83,573		
Rosslyn Plaza (196 units)	43.7 %	99.1 %	-	253,000	-	-	-		
Crystal City Hotel	100.0 %	100.0 %	-	266,000	-	-	-		
Warehouses	100.0 %	100.0 %	-	160,000	-	-	-		
Other - 3 buildings	100.0 %	100.0 %	-	11,000	-	2,000	-		
Total Other				3,036,000	-	2,000	438,339		
Total Washington, DC Properties		94.5 %	\$ 40.68	22,769,000	(2)	1,620,000	\$ 3,097,532		
Vornado's Ownership Interest		94.3 %	\$ 39.42	18,009,000	-	186,000	\$ 2,360,304		

- (1) Annualized Rent PSF excludes ground rent, storage rent and garages.
- (2) Excludes 24,000 square feet representing our 7.5% pro rata share of the Ritz Carlton building which is owned by the ground lessee on land leased by us.

28

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES - Continued

	%	% 1	Annualize Rent	d Total	Squa In Ser Owned by	are Feet rvice Owned By	Out of Service F Under	Encumbrances (in	Ma
Property RETAIL:	Ownership	Occupancy		Property	Company	Tenant	Development	•	Tena
STRIP SHOPPING CENTERS: New Jersey: Wayne Town Center, Wayne (ground leased through 2064)	100.0 %	100.0%	\$ 0.41	717,000	-	227,000	490,000	\$ -	J.C. Pe
North Bergen (Tonnelle Avenue)	100.0 %	100.0 %	23.72	410,000	185,000	206,000	19,000	-	Wal-M BJ's Wholes Club
Totowa								(2)	Depot, Bath &
	100.0 %	100.0 %	18.59	317,000	178,000	139,000	-	26,171	Beyond Marsha
Garfield	100.0 %	100.0 %	25.54	302,000	20,000	145,000	137,000	-	Wal-M
Bricktown	100.0 %	98.7 %	17.03	279,000	276,000	3,000	-	33,755	Kohl's, ShopRi Marsha
Union (Route 22 and Morris Avenue)	100.0 %	100.0 %	25.87	276,000	113,000	163,000	-	34,160	Lowe's "R" Us
Hackensack	100.0 %	95.9 %	21.16	275,000	209,000	66,000	-	(2) 42,845	The Ho Depot ( Pathma

									Lowe's
Bergen Town Center - East, Paramus	100.0 %	-	-	272,000	-	-	272,000	-	(under developed by tena
East Hanover (240 Route								(2)	Sporting
10 West)	100.0 %	98.6 %	17.91	268,000	262,000	6,000	-	30,107	Goods, Marsha
Cherry Hill	100.0 %	97.5 %	15.79	263,000	51,000	212,000	-	14,649	Wal-M Toys "l
Jersey City	100.0 %	100.0 %	21.05	236,000	66,000	170,000	-	21,423	Lowe's Richard Son
East Brunswick (325 - 333 Route 18 South)	100.0 %	100.0 %	15.95	232,000	222,000	10,000	-	26,287	Kohl's, Dick's Sportin Goods, Richard Son, T.J. Ma
Union (2445 Springfield Avenue)	100.0 %	100.0 %	17.85	232,000	232,000	-	-	30,108	The Ho
Middletown	100.0 %	83.1 %	14.47	231,000	179,000	52,000	-	18,354	Kohl's, & Shop
Woodbridge	100.0 %	100.0 %	18.30	227,000	87,000	140,000	-	21,828 (2)	Wal-M Syms
North Plainfield (ground leased through 2060)	100.0 %	57.3 %	6.93	219,000	219,000	-	-	-	Kmart
Marlton	100.0 %	100.0 %	12.32	211,000	207,000	4,000	-	18,239	Kohl's ShopRi PetSma

208,000

206,000

2,000

Financing Activities

Manalapan

100.0 % 97.8 % 15.30

22,234 (2) Best B

Bed Ba Beyond

Babies

										Us
East Rutherford	100.0 %	97.9 %	31.36	197,000	42,000	155,000	-	- 14	,359	2) Lowe's
East Brunswick (339-341 Route 18 South)	100.0 %	100.0 %		196,000	33,000	163,000		_ 12	,449	Lowe's Fitness not comme
South)	100.0 /6	100.0 /6	_	170,000	33,000	103,000		12	, , , , ,	
Bordentown	100.0 %	90.9 %	7.17	179,000	179,000	-	-	-	-	ShopRi
Morris Plains	100.0 %	100.0 %	19.50	177,000	176,000	1,000		- 22	,581	2) Kohl's, ShopRi
Dover	100.0 %	93.9 %	11.25	173,000	167,000	6,000	-	- 13	,896	ShopRi T.J. Ma
Delran	100.0 %	76.6 %	4.25	171,000	168,000	3,000		-	-	Sam's C
Lodi (Route 17 North)	100.0 %	100.0 %	10.60	171,000	171,000	-		- 11	,985	Nations  Wholes Liquida
Watchung	100.0 %	97.3 %	23.19	170,000	54,000	116,000		- 15	,923	BJ's Wholes
Lawnside	100.0 %	100.0 %	12.82	145,000	142,000	3,000		- 11	,291	The Ho Depot, PetSma
Hazlet	100.0 %	100.0 %	2.44	123,000	123,000	-	-	-	-	Stop &

29

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES - Continued

					Squa	are Feet		
					In Se	ervice	Out of Service	E
	%	%	Annualized Rent	Total	Owned by	Owned By	Under	Encumbrances (in
Property RETAIL (Continued):	Ownership	Occupancy		Property	Company	Tenant	Development	*
Kearny	100.0 %	100.0 %	\$ 14.24	104,000	32,000	72,000	-	\$ - 1
Turnersville	100.0 %	100.0 %	6.25	96,000	89,000	7,000	-	- 1
Lodi (Washington Street)	100.0 %	47.8 %	23.31	85,000	85,000	-	-	9,881
Carlstadt (ground leased through 2050)	100.0 %	90.7 %	22.22	78,000	78,000	-	-	7,442
East Hanover (200 Route 10 West)	100.0 %	86.9 %	22.57	76,000	76,000	-	-	(2) 10,306
North Bergen (Kennedy Boulevard)	100.0 %	100.0 %	29.78	62,000	6,000	56,000	-	5,385
South Plainfield (ground leased through 2039)	100.0 %	100.0 %	21.14	56,000	56,000	-	-	5,414
Englewood	100.0 %	100.0 %	30.73	41,000	41,000	-	-	12,222
Eatontown	100.0 %	100.0 %	26.14	30,000	30,000	-	-	- 1

67

East Hanover (280 Route 10 West)	100.0 %	94.0 %	32.00	26,000	26,000	-	-	(2) ] 4,806
Montclair	100.0 %	100.0 %	23.34	18,000	18,000	-	-	2,779
Total New Jersey				7,549,000	4,504,000	2,127,000	918,000	500,879
New York:								1
Poughkeepsie	100.0 %	78.9 %	7.62	522,000	519,000	3,000	-	- ; ]
Bronx (Bruckner Boulevard)	100.0 %	95.4 %	20.98	500,000	386,000	114,000	-	] ] , - ]
Buffalo (Amherst)	100.0 %	59.8 %	5.64	296,000	227,000	69,000	-	-
Huntington	100.0 %	96.5 %	13.26	208,000	208,000	-	-	(2) ] 17,602
Rochester	100.0 %	100.0 %	-	205,000	-	205,000	-	4,632 (2)
Mt. Kisco	100.0 %	98.4 %	21.04	189,000	72,000	117,000	-	29,382
Freeport (437 East Sunrise Highway)	100.0 %	100.0 %	17.70	173,000	173,000	-	-	22,581
Staten Island	100.0 %	95.7 %	17.52	165,000	165,000	_	_	17,400
Rochester (Henrietta)	100.0 %	89.2 %	3.31	158,000	158,000	-	-	-
Financing Activi	ties							68

(ground leased through 2056)								
Albany (Menands)	100.0 %	74.0 %	9.00	140,000	140,000	-	-	-
New Hyde Park (ground and building leased through 2029)	100.0 %	100.0 %	18.73	101,000	101,000	-	-	-
North Syracuse (ground and building leased through 2014)	100.0 %	100.0 %	-	98,000	-	98,000	-	-
Inwood	100.0 %	97.8 %	20.65	96,000	96,000	-	-	-
Bronx (1750-1780 Gun Hill Road)	100.0 %	52.6 %	43.23	83,000	83,000	-	-	-

Financing Activities 69

30

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES - Continued

					Squar	re Feet	0-4-6	
					In Ser		Out of Service	Engumbuanga
	<b>%</b>	<b>%</b>	Annualized Rent	Total	Owned by	Owned By	Under	Encumbrances
Property RETAIL (Continued):	Ownership	Occupancy		Property	Company	Tenant	Developmen	(in t thousands)
West Babylon	100.0 %	85.7 %	\$ 11.82	79,000	79,000	-	-	\$ -
Queens	100.0 %	100.0 %	36.26	56,000	56,000	-	-	-
Commack (ground and building leased through 2021)	100.0 %	100.0 %	20.11	47,000	47,000	-	-	-
Dewitt (ground leased through 2041)	100.0 %	100.0 %	18.60	46,000	46,000	-	-	-
Freeport (240 West Sunrise Highway) (ground and building leased through 2040)	100.0 %	100.0 %	18.44	44,000	44,000	-	-	-
Oceanside	100.0 %	100.0 %	27.83	16,000	16,000	-	-	-
Total New York				3,222,000	2,616,000	606,000	-	91,597
<b>Pennsylvania:</b> Allentown	100.0 %	99.6 %	15.10	627,000	270,000	357,000	-	31,670 (2)

Philadelphia	100.0 %	78.1 %	12.52	430,000	430,000	-	-	-
Wilkes-Barre				(4)		(4)		
	100.0 %	83.3 %	13.26	329,000	204,000	125,000	-	20,727
Lancaster	100.0 %	100.0 %	4.52	228,000	58,000	170,000	-	5,703
Bensalem	100.0 %	98.9 %	11.15	185,000	177,000	8,000	-	15,720
Broomall	100.0 %	100.0 %	10.73	169,000	147,000	22,000	-	(2) 11,291
Bethlehem	100.0 %	87.1 %	5.82	167,000	164,000	3,000	-	5,906 (2)
Upper Moreland	100.0 %	100.0 %	2.00	122,000	122,000	-	-	-
York	100.0 %	100.0 %	8.16	110,000	110,000	-	-	5,501 (2)
Levittown	100.0 %	100.0 %	6.25	105,000	105,000	-	-	-
Glenolden	100.0 %	93.5 %	26.00	102,000	10,000	92,000	-	7,238 (2)
Wilkes-Barre  (ground and building leased through 2040)	100.0 %	50.1 %	6.53	81,000	81,000	-	-	-

71

Wyomissing (ground and	100.0 %	89.0 %	14.47	79,000	79,000	-	-	-
building leased through 2065)								
Springfield (ground and building leased through 2025)	100.0 %	100.0 %	19.00	41,000	41,000	-	-	-
Total Pennsylvania				2,775,000	1,998,000	777,000	-	103,756
California:								
San Jose				(4	4)	(4)		
	100.0 %	93.1 %	29.24	649,000	486,000	163,000	-	120,863
Beverly Connection, Los Angeles	100.0 %	75.3 %	36.17	306,000	306,000	-	-	100,000
Pasadena (ground leased through 2077)	100.0 %	62.1 %	30.45	133,000	133,000	-	-	-
31								

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES - Continued

					Squar	re Feet	Out of			
					In Ser	vice Owned	Service	End	cumbrance	ec
	%	% A	Annualized Rent	Total	Owned by	By	Under	1211	(in	CS
Property RETAIL (Continued): San Francisco (2675 Geary	Ownership	Occupancy		Property	Company	Tenant	Developmen	t tl	•	]
Street) (ground and building leased through 2043)	100.0 %	100.0 %	\$ 45.76	55,000	55,000	-	-	- \$	-	
Redding	100.0 %	100.0 %	10.53	45,000	45,000	-	-	-	-	J
Signal Hill	100.0 %	100.0 %	21.89	45,000	45,000	-	-	-	-	]
Vallejo (ground leased through 2043)	100.0 %	100.0 %	15.92	45,000	45,000	-	-	-	-	]
Merced	100.0 %	100.0 %	13.27	31,000	31,000	-	-	•	-	1
San Francisco (3700 Geary Boulevard)	100.0 %	100.0 %	30.00	30,000	30,000	-	-	-	-	(
Walnut Creek (1149 South Main Street)	100.0 %	100.0 %	45.11	29,000	29,000	-	-	-	-	]
Total California				1,368,000	1,205,000	163,000	-		220,863	
Maryland:										
Baltimore (Towson)										(2) Y
	100.0 %	86.0 %	15.33	150,000	150,000	-	-	-	16,502	Š

Annapolis (ground and building leased through 2042)	100.0 %	100.0 %	8.99	128,000	128,000	-	-	-
Glen Burnie	100.0 %	78.5 %	10.42	121,000	65,000	56,000	-	-
Rockville	100.0 %	99.3 %	23.39	94,000	94,000	-	-	-
Wheaton (ground leased through 2060)	100.0 %	100.0 %	13.58	66,000	66,000	-	-	-
Total Maryland				559,000	503,000	56,000	-	16,502
Massachusetts: Chicopee	100.0 %	100.0 %	-	224,000	-	224,000	-	8,772 (2)
Springfield	100.0 %	97.3 %	15.09	152,000	33,000	119,000	-	6,051 (2)
Milford (ground and building leased through 2019)	100.0 %	100.0 %	8.01	83,000	83,000	-	-	-
Cambridge (ground and building leased through 2033)	100.0 %	100.0 %	19.84	48,000	48,000	-	-	-
Dorchester	100.0 %	100.0 %	29.85	45,000	45,000	-	-	-
Total Massachusetts				552,000	209,000	343,000	-	14,823
Florida:								
Tampa (Hyde Park Village)	75.0 %	79.2 %	20.52	262,000	262,000	-	-	21,862

Tampa (1702 North Dale Mabry)	100.0 %	100.0 %	19.80	45,000	45,000	-	-	-
Miami (ground and building leased through 2034)	100.0 %	100.0 %	13.17	33,000	33,000	-	-	-
Total Florida				340,000	340,000	-	-	21,862
32								

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES - Continued

					Squa	are Feet	Out of		
	%	<b>%</b> A	Annualized Rent	l Total	In Second	rvice Owned By	Service	Encumbrances (in	Ma
Property RETAIL (Continued): Connecticut:	Ownership	Occupancy		Property	Company	Tenant	Development	,	Ten
Newington	100.0 %	100.0 %	\$ 14.45	188,000	43,000	145,000	-	\$ 11,870 (2)	Wal-M Staple:
Waterbury	100.0 %	100.0 %	14.99	148,000	143,000	5,000	-	14,765 (2)	ShopR
Total Connecticut				336,000	186,000	150,000	-	26,635	
Michigan: Roseville	100.0 %	100.0 %	5.31	119,000	119,000	-	-	-	J.C. Pe
Battle Creek	100.0 %	-	-	47,000	47,000	-	-	-	
Midland (ground leased through 2043)	100.0 %	83.6 %	8.38	31,000	31,000	-	-	-	PetSm
Total Michigan				197,000	197,000	-	-	-	
Virginia:									DI'a
Norfolk	100.0 %	100.0 %	6.44	114,000	114,000	_	_	_	BJ's Whole Club
(ground and building leased through 2069)				•	,				
Tyson's Corner	100.0 %	100.0 %	35.57	38,000	38,000	-	-	-	Best B

		.ugai i iiiig.	VOLUVA	BO HEALT	1 111001	1 OIIII 10 IX			
(ground and building leased through 2035)									
Total Virginia				152,000	152,000	-	-	-	
Illinois:									
Lansing	100.0 %	100.0 %	10.00	47,000	47,000	-	-	-	Forma
Arlington Heights (ground and building leased through 2043)	100.0 %	100.0 %	9.00	46,000	46,000	-	-	-	RVI
Chicago (ground and building leased through 2051)	100.0 %	100.0 %	10.94	41,000	41,000	-	-	-	Best B
Total Illinois				134,000	134,000	-	-	-	
Texas: San Antonio (ground and building leased through 2041)	100.0 %	100.0 %	9.06	43,000	43,000	-	-	-	Best B
Texarkana (ground leased									Home
through 2043)	100.0 %	100.0 %	4.39	31,000	31,000	-	-	-	
Total Texas				74,000	74,000	-	-	-	
Ohio: Springdale (ground and building leased through 2046)	100.0 %	-	-	47,000	47,000	-	-	-	
Washington: Bellingham	100.0 %	100.0 %	-	46,000	46,000	-	-	-	Savers not comme

<b>Utah:</b> Ogden	100.0 %	-	-	46,000	46,000	-	-	-	
Tennessee: Antioch	100.0 %	100.0 %	6.96	45,000	45,000	-	-	-	Best l
South Carolina: Charleston (ground leased through 2063)	100.0 %	100.0 %	13.51	45,000	45,000	-	-	-	Best l

33

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES - Continued

					Squa	re Feet	Out of
					In Se	ervice	Service Encu
	%	%	Annualized Rent	Total	Owned by	Owned By	Under
Property RETAIL (Continued): Wisconsin:	Ownership	Occupancy		Property	Company	Tenant	Development tho
Fond Du Lac (ground leased through 2073)	100.0 %	100.0 %	\$ 7.12	43,000	43,000	-	- \$
Washington, DC							
3040 M Street	100.0 %	100.0 %	46.36	42,000	42,000	-	-
New Hampshire: Salem (ground leased through 2102)	100.0 %	100.0 %	-	37,000	-	37,000	-
Kentucky: Owensboro (ground and building leased through 2046)	100.0 %	100.0 %	6.96	32,000	32,000	-	-
Iowa: Dubuque (ground leased through 2043)	100.0 %	100.0 %	9.00	31,000	31,000	-	-
CALIFORNIA SUPERMARKETS Colton (1904 North	100.0 %	100.0 %	4.44	72,000	72,000		
Rancho Avenue)	100.0 %	100.0 %	4.44	73,000	73,000	-	-
Riverside (9155 Jurupa Road)	100.0 %	100.0 %	6.00	42,000	42,000	-	-
	100.0 %	100.0 %	7.23	40,000	40,000	-	-

	Eugai F	illig. VORIV	ADO NE	ALIT INUST	FOIII 10-IX			
San Bernadino (1522 East Highland Avenue)								
Riverside (5571 Mission Boulevard)	100.0 %	100.0 %	4.97	39,000	39,000	-	-	
Mojave (ground leased through 2079)	100.0 %	100.0 %	6.55	34,000	34,000	-	-	
Corona (ground leased through 2079)	100.0 %	100.0 %	7.76	33,000	33,000	-	-	
Yucaipa	100.0 %	100.0 %	4.13	31,000	31,000	-	-	
Barstow	100.0 %	100.0 %	7.15	30,000	30,000	-	-	
Moreno Valley	100.0 %	-	-	30,000	30,000	-	-	
San Bernadino (648 West 4th Street)	100.0 %	100.0 %	6.74	30,000	30,000	-	-	
Desert Hot Springs	100.0 %	100.0 %	5.61	29,000	29,000	-	-	
Rialto	100.0 %	100.0 %	5.74	29,000	29,000	-	-	
Total California Supermarkets				440,000	440,000	-	-	
Total Strip Shopping Centers		92.1 % \$	\$ 15.71	18,112,000	12,935,000	4,259,000	918,000	\$ 9
Vornado's Ownership Interest		92.1 % \$	\$ 15.68	17,784,000	12,870,000	3,996,000	918,000	\$ 9
REGIONAL MALLS:								
Green Acres Mall, Valley Stream, NY			(5	5)				

Financing Activities 80

1,827,000

1,748,000

79,000

91.2 % \$ 45.15

100.0 %

(10% ground and building leased

through 2039)

Monmouth Mall, Eatontown, NJ

(5) (4)

50.0 % 87.1 % 36.84 1,461,000 742,000 719,000

34

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES - Continued

					_	are Feet ervice	Out of Service	T 1
	%	%	Annualized Rent	Total	Owned by	Owned By	Under	Encumbra (in
Property RETAIL (Continued): Springfield	Ownership	Occupancy		Property	Company	Tenant	Development	
Mall, Springfield, VA	97.5 %	100.0 %	\$ 24.09	1,408,000	532,000	390,000	486,000	\$
Broadway Mall, Hicksville, NY			(5)	) (4	1)	(	4)	
mersyme, tv i	100.0 %	87.5 %	34.18	1,142,000	766,000	376,000	-	90,22
Bergen Town Center - West, Paramus, NJ	100.0 %	99.0 %	(5) 45.52	930,000	853,000	13,000	64,000	279,04

Montehiedra, Puerto Rico			(5)					
Puerto Rico	100.0 %	91.9 %	41.16	540,000	540,000	-	-	120,00
	100 0 %	89 1 %	55.74 (5)	495 000 (4)	356 000	139 000 (4)	_	57.73

Las	Catalinas,
Pue	rto Rico

Total Regional Malls		91.9 %	\$ 39.37	7,803,000	5,537,000	1,716,000	550,000	\$ 1,046,48
Vornado's Ownership Interest		92.2 %	\$ 39.73	6,018,000	5,153,000	327,000	538,000	\$ 964,24
MANHATTAN STREET RETAIL								
Manhattan Mall								
	100.0 %	97.5 %	\$ 85.90	243,000	243,000	-	-	\$ 72,63
A Union Course								
4 Union Square South	100.0 %	100.0 %	55.07	203,000	203,000	-	-	75,00
1540 Broadway								
	100.0 %	100.0 %	115.03	160,000	160,000	-	-	
478-486								
Broadway	100.0 %	100.0 %	98.57	85,000	85,000	-	-	
25 West 14th Street	100.0 %	100.0 %	58.67	62,000	62,000	-	-	
510 5th Avenue	100.0 %	66.0 %	53.00	59,000	59,000	-	-	32,18
155 Spring Street	100.0 %	100.0 %	88.92	46,000	46,000	-	-	
435 Seventh Avenue	100.0 %	100.0 %	165.32	43,000	43,000	-	-	51,84
Financing Activitie	es							83

692 Broadway	100.0 %	43.3 %	43.33	35,000	35,000	-	-
1135 Third Avenue	100.0 %	100.0 %	98.43	25,000	25,000	-	-
715 Lexington (ground leased through 2041)	100.0 %	100.0 %	155.56	23,000	23,000	-	-
7 West 34th Street	100.0 %	100.0 %	197.53	21,000	21,000	-	-
828-850 Madison Avenue	100.0 %	100.0 %	332.12	18,000	18,000	-	-
484 Eighth Avenue	100.0 %	100.0 %	87.27	14,000	14,000	-	-
40 East 66th Street	100.0 %	100.0 %	387.85	12,000	12,000	-	-
431 Seventh Avenue	100.0 %	75.0 %	49.38	10,000	10,000	-	-
387 West Broadway	100.0 %	100.0 %	135.54	9,000	9,000	-	-
677-679 Madison Avenue	100.0 %	100.0 %	346.23	8,000	8,000	-	-
148 Spring Street	100.0 %	100.0 %	87.17	7,000	7,000	-	-

80,0

35

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES - Continued

					Squa	re Feet	Out of	
					In Se	ervice	Service	Engumbuone
	%	<b>%</b>	Annualized Rent	Total	Owned by	Owned By	Under	Encumbranc (in
Property RETAIL (Continued): 150 Spring	Ownership	Occupancy		Property	Company	Tenant	Development	,
Street	100.0 %	100.0 %	\$ 113.30	7,000	7,000	-	-	\$ -
488 8th Avenue	100.0 %	100.0 %	60.85	6,000	6,000	-	-	-
968 Third Avenue	50.0 %	100.0 %	175.81	6,000	6,000	-	-	-
386 West Broadway	100.0 %	-	-	4,000	4,000	-	-	4,197
825 Seventh Avenue	100.0 %	100.0 %	181.55	4,000	4,000	-	-	-
Total Manhattan Street Retail		95.3 %	\$ 100.18	1,110,000	1,110,000	-	-	\$ 315,869
Vornado's Ownership Interest		95.3 %	\$ 99.95	1,107,000	1,107,000	-	-	\$ 315,869
Total Retail Space		92.3 %		27,025,000	19,582,000	5,975,000	1,468,000	\$ 2,359,268
Vornado's Ownership Interest		92.3 %		24,909,000	19,130,000	4,323,000	1,456,000	\$ 2,271,566

<sup>(1)</sup> Annualized Rent PSF excludes ground rent, storage rent and garages.

- (2) These encumbrances are cross-collaterized under a blanket mortgage in the amount of \$657,138 as of December 31, 2010.
- (3) The leases for these former Bradlees locations are guaranteed by Stop and Shop (70% as to Totowa).
- (4) Includes square footage of anchors who own the land and building.
- (5) Annualized Base Rent shown is for mall tenants only.

36

## ITEM 2. PROPERTIES - Continued

			Annualize		Square Fo	eet Out of Service		
	%	%	Rent	a Total	Owned By	Under	Encumbrance	es
Property MERCHANDISE MART: Illinois:	Ownership	Occupancy	PSF (1)	Property	Tenant	Developme	nt (in thousands)	Major Tenants
Merchandise Mart, Chicago	100.0 %	93.7 %	\$ 30.16	3,492,000			- \$550,000	American Intercontinental University (AIU), Baker, Knapp & Tubbs, Royal Bank of Canada, CCC Information Services, Ogilvy Group (WPP), Chicago Teachers Union, Office of the Special Deputy Receiver, Publicis Groupe, Bankers Life & Casualty, Holly Hunt Ltd., Merchandise Mart Headquarters, Steelcase, Chicago School of Professional Psychology
350 West Mart Center, Chicago	100.0 %	89.2 %	25.31	1,242,000	-			21st Century Telecom/RCN,

Ameritech, Chicago Sun-Times,

Vornado's Ownership Interest		92.5 %	\$29.33	6,893,000	-	-	\$ 674,250	
Total Merchandise Mart		92.5 %	\$29.33	6,902,000	-	-	\$ 686,515	
Washington, DC Washington Design Center	100.0 %	93.1 %	37.68	393,000	-	-	43,447	General Services Administration
New York 7 West 34th Street	100.0 %	94.6 %	39.03	419,000	-	-	-	Kurt Adler
Massachusetts  Boston Design Center  (ground leased through 2060)	100.0 %	96.8 %	29.23	553,000	-	-	68,538	Boston Brewing/Fitch Puma, Robert Allen
California L.A. Mart	100.0 %	87.9 %	21.30	784,000	-	-	-	Penstan Investments, County of L.A Dept of Children & Family Services
Total Illinois		92.5 %	28.99	4,753,000	-	-	574,530	
Other	50.0 %	93.9 %	33.77	19,000	_	_	24,530	Sun-Times, Comcast, Fiserv Solutions, Ogilvy Group (WPP), Illinois Institute of Art, Ronin Capital, Upshot, Getco Holdings, TCS Education Systems

(1) Annualized Rent PSF excludes ground rent, storage rent and garages.

37

## ITEM 2. PROPERTIES - Continued

	%	%	Annualized	Total	Owned By	Service I Under	Encumbrances	
Property 555 CALIFORNIA	Ownership	Occupancy	Rent PSF (1)	Property	Tenant	Development	(in thousands)	Major Tenants
STREET:  555 California Street	70.0 %	91.7 %	\$ 56.35	1,503,000	-	-	\$ 640,911	Bank of America, N.A., Dodge & Cox, Goldman Sachs & Co., Jones
								Day, Kirkland & Ellis LLP, Morgan Stanley & Co. Inc., McKinsey & Company Inc., UBS Financial Services
315 Montgomery Street	70.0 %	100.0 %	40.97	228,000	-	-	-	Bank of America, N.A.
345 Montgomery Street	70.0 %	100.0 %	98.25	64,000	-	-	-	Bank of America, N.A.
		93.0 %	\$ 55.97	1,795,000	-	-	\$ 640,911	

Total 555 California Street

Vornado's Ownership Interest

93.0 % \$ 55.97 1,257,000 - \$ 448,169

(1) Annualized Rent PSF excludes ground rent, storage rent and garages.

(2) Cross-collateralized by 555 California Street and 315 and 345 Montgomery Street.

38

### ITEM 2. PROPERTIES - Continued

		Square Feet Out of Service						
		A	nnualize	ed		201 /100		
					Owned		Encumbranc	ees
	%	%	Rent PSF	Total	By	Under	(in	Major
Property WAREHOUSES: NEW JERSEY	Ownership	Occupancy	(1)	Property	Tenant	Developmen	`	Tenants
East Hanover - Five Buildings								Five Star Group Inc., Foremost Groups
	100.0 %	62.6 %	\$ 5.61	942,000	_		- \$24,358	Inc., Fidelity Paper & Supply Inc., Givaudan Flavors Corp., Gardner Industries
Edison	100.0 %	-	-	272,000	-			
Total Warehouses		48.6 %	\$ 5.61	1,214,000	-		- \$24,358	
Vornado's Ownership Interest		48.6 %	\$ 5.61	1,214,000	-		- \$24,358	

<sup>(1)</sup> Annualized Rent PSF excludes ground rent, storage rent and garages.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES - Continued

					Squa	are Feet	Out of	
			Annualized	I	In Ser	rvice Owned	Service	Encumbrance
	%	%		Total	Owned by	By	Under	
Property ALEXANDER'S INC.: New York: 731 Lexington	Ownership	Occupancy	Rent PSF (1)	Property	Company	Tenant	Development	(in t thousands)
Avenue, Manhattan Office	32.4 %	100.0 %	\$ 82.14	885,000	885,000	-	-	\$ 351,751
Retail	32.4 %	100.0 %	161.23	174,000	174,000	-	-	320,000
				1,059,000	1,059,000	-	-	671,751
Kings Plaza Regional Shopping Center, Brooklyn (24.3	32.4 %	93.6 %	41.86	1,096,000	757,000	339,000	-	151,214
Rego Park I, Queens (4.8 acres)	32.4 %	85.4 %	32.28	343,000	343,000	-	-	78,246

Vornado's Ownership Interest		96.5 % \$		1,063,000	932,000	110,000	21,000	\$ 403,837
Total Alexander's		96.5 % \$	57.97	3,280,000	2,876,000	339,000	65,000	\$ 1,246,411
Property to be Developed: Rego Park III (adjacent to Rego Park II), Queens, NY (3.4 acres)	32.4 %	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New Jersey:  Paramus, New Jersey  (30.3 acres ground leased to IKEA through 2041)	32.4 %	100.0 %	-	-	-	-	-	68,000
Park I),  Queens (6.6 acres) (89.4% of total square feet is in service)  Flushing, Queens <sup>(3)</sup> (1.0 acre)	32.4 % 32.4 %	100.0 %	38.01 14.99	615,000 167,000	550,000 167,000	-	65,000	277,200
Rego Park II (adjacent to Rego								

<sup>(1)</sup> Annualized Rent PSF excludes ground rent, storage rent and garages.

<sup>(2)</sup> Owned by Macy's, Inc.

<sup>(3)</sup> Leased by Alexander's through January 2037.

### **New York Office Properties**

As of December 31, 2010, our portfolio consisted of 28 office properties in Manhattan aggregating 17.4 million square feet, of which we own 16.2 million square feet, which is comprised of 15.2 million square feet of office space, 821,000 square feet of retail space and 183,000 square feet of showroom space. In addition, we own 1,107,000 square feet of retail space in New York City that is not part of our office buildings and is included in our Retail Properties segment. The New York Office Properties segment also includes 6 garages totaling 368,000 square feet (1,739 spaces) which are managed by, or leased to, third parties. The garage space is excluded from the statistics provided in this section.

Occupancy and average annual escalated rent per square foot:

	Rentable	Occupancy	Average Annual Escalated Rent
As of December 31,	Square Feet	Rate	per Square Foot
2010	16,194,000	95.6 %	\$ 55.45
2009	16,173,000	95.5 %	55.00
2008	16,108,000	96.7 %	53.08
2007	15,994,000	97.6 %	49.34
2006	13,692,000	97.5 %	46.33

2010 New York Office Properties rental revenue by tenants' industry:

Industry	Percentage
Finance	16 %
Retail	15 %
Legal Services	9 %
Banking	7 %
Communications	5 %
Insurance	5 %
Technology	5 %
Publishing	4 %
Government	4 %
Real Estate	4 %
Advertising	3 %
Pharmaceutical	3 %
Not-for-Profit	2 %
Engineering	2 %

Service Contractors	1 %
Health Services	1 %
Other	14 %
	100 %

New York Office Properties lease terms generally range from five to seven years for smaller tenants to as long as 15 years for major tenants, and may provide for extension options at market rates. Leases typically provide for periodic step ups in rent over the term of the lease and pass through to tenants their share of increases in real estate taxes and operating expenses over a base year. Electricity is provided to tenants on a sub-metered basis or included in rent based on surveys and adjusted for subsequent utility rate increases. Leases also typically provide for tenant improvement allowances for all or a portion of the tenant's initial construction costs of its premises.

41

### **NEW YORK OFFICE PROPERTIES – CONTINUED**

Tenants accounting for 2% or more of 2010 New York Office Properties total revenues:

			Percentage of New York	Percentage	
	Square Feet	2010	Office Properties	of Total Company	
Tenant	Leased	Revenues	Revenues	Revenues	
Macy's, Inc.	537,000	\$ 29,166,000	2.6 %	1.0 %	
McGraw-Hill Companies,					
Inc.	480,000	22,859,000	2.1 %	0.8 %	
Limited Brands	368,000	22,219,000	2.0 %	0.8 %	

2010 New York Office Properties Leasing Activity:

	g	Average Initial
	Square	Rent Per
	_	Square Foot
Location	Feet	(1)
One Penn Plaza	346,000	\$ 48.31
90 Park Avenue	152,000	55.75
40 Fulton Street	123,000	31.84
866 United Nations		
Plaza	113,000	49.67
909 Third		
Avenue	80,000	47.69
Two Penn		
Plaza	69,000	47.54
595 Madison		
Ave	62,000	59.34
640 Fifth		
Avenue	49,000	53.03
Manhattan		
Mall	47,000	38.17
Eleven Penn		
Plaza	46,000	44.10
350 Park Avenue	45,000	103.77
150 East 58th Street	37,000	51.82
20 Broad Street	36,000	31.32

Edgar Filing: VORNADO REALTY TRUST - Form 10-K

	57th Street	22,000	41.46
	330 Madison Avenue	21,000	65.17
	888 Seventh Avenue	16,000	61.09
	1290 Avenue of		
	Americas	9,000	50.00
	689 Fifth Avenue	4,000	58.00
Total		1,277,000	49.81

<sup>(1)</sup> Most leases include periodic step-ups in rent, which are not reflected in the initial rent per square foot leased.

In addition to the office space noted above, during 2010 we leased 23,000 square feet of retail space contained in office buildings at an average initial rent of \$111.19, a 42.6% increase over the prior escalated rent per square foot.

42

#### **NEW YORK OFFICE PROPERTIES - CONTINUED**

Lease expirations as of December 31, 2010, assuming none of the tenants exercise renewal options:

Office Space:	Number	Sanora	Percentage of New York Office		Annual Es	calat	ed
	of	Square Feet of	Properties Properties		Rent of Expir		
Year	Expiring Leases	Expiring Leases	Square Feet		Total	Pŧ	er Square Foot
Office Space:	Leases	Leases	Square rect		10tai		root
Month to month	57	72,000	0.4 %	\$	2,967,000	\$	41.21
2011	93	1,047,000	6.3 %	Ψ	57,452,000	Ψ	54.87
2012	98	1,807,000	10.9 %		96,304,000		53.29
2013	72	940,000 (1)	5.6 %		47,646,000		50.69
2014	89	812,000	4.9 %		46,838,000		57.68
2015	104	2,120,000	12.7 %		121,246,000		57.19
2016	62	1,043,000	6.3 %		56,721,000		54.38
2017	41	894,000	5.4 %		50,585,000		56.58
2018	35	778,000	4.7 %		50,115,000		64.42
2019	31	649,000	3.9 %		38,404,000		59.17
2020	28	1,287,000	7.7 %		68,742,000		53.41
Retail Space:							
(contained in office							
buildings)							
Month to month	3	2,000	- %	\$	205,000	\$	102.50
2011	7	48,000	0.3 %		2,349,000		49.75
2012	6	23,000	0.1 %		4,507,000		195.96
2013	17	52,000	0.3 %		8,284,000		159.31
2014	10	77,000	0.5 %		19,335,000		251.10
2015	12	39,000	0.2 %		7,930,000		203.33
2016	4	319,000	1.9 %		17,950,000		56.27
2017	1	4,000	- %		412,000		103.00
2018	8	128,000	0.8 %		13,360,000		104.38
2019	7	33,000	0.2 %		8,344,000		252.85
2020	6	21,000	0.1 %		2,548,000		121.33

<sup>(1)</sup> Excludes 492,000 square feet at 909 Third Avenue leased to the U.S. Post Office through 2038 (including five 5-year renewal options) for which the annual escalated rent is \$11.12 per square foot.

### **Washington, DC Office Properties**

As of December 31, 2010, our portfolio consisted of 82 properties aggregating 21.1 million square feet, of which we own 17.8 million square feet, which is comprised of 74 office buildings, 7 residential properties, a hotel property and 20.8 acres of undeveloped land. In addition, the Washington, DC Office Properties segment includes 57 garages totaling approximately 9.4 million square feet (31,419 spaces) which are managed by or leased to third parties. The garage space is excluded from the statistics provided in this section.

As of December 31, 2010, 32% percent of the space in our Washington, DC Office Properties segment was leased to various agencies of the U.S. Government.

Occupancy and average annual escalated rent per square foot:

As of December	Rentable	Occupancy	Average Annual Escalated Rent per Square
31,	<b>Square Feet</b>	Rate	Foot
2010	17,823,000	94.3 %	\$ 39.42
2009	17,646,000	93.3 %	38.37
2008	16,981,000	94.1 %	37.03
2007	16,715,000	94.0 %	34.47
2006	15,181,000	92.7 %	32.08

2010 Washington, DC Office Properties rental revenue by tenants' industry:

Industry	Percentage
U.S. Government	37 %
Government Contractors	24 %
Legal Services	7 %
Membership	
Organizations	6 %
Real Estate	3 %
Manufacturing	3 %
Computer and Data	
Processing	3 %
Business Services	2 %

Edgar Filing: VORNADO REALTY TRUST - Form 10-K

Television Broadcasting	1 %
Health Services	1 %
Communication	1 %
Education	1 %
Other	11 %
	100 %

Washington, DC Office Properties lease terms generally range from five to seven years, and may provide for extension options at either pre-negotiated or market rates. Leases typically provide for periodic step-ups in rent over the term of the lease and pass through to tenants, the tenants' share of increases in real estate taxes and certain property operating expenses over a base year. Periodic step-ups in rent are usually based upon either fixed percentage increases or the consumer price index. Leases also typically provide for tenant improvement allowances for all or a portion of the tenant's initial construction costs of its premises.

44

## WASHINGTON, DC OFFICE PROPERTIES – CONTINUED

Tenants accounting for 2% or more of Washington, DC Office Properties total revenues:

			Percentage	
			of	Percentage
			Washington,	
			DC	of Total
			Office	
	Square Feet	2010	<b>Properties</b>	Company
Tenant	Leased	Revenues	Revenues	Revenues
U.S. Government	6,277,000	\$ 191,804,000	28.9 %	6.9 %
Howrey LLP	327,000	17,013,000	2.6 %	0.6 %
Academy for Educational				
Development	368,000	16,824,000	2.5 %	0.6 %
Boeing	378,000	15,978,000	2.4 %	0.6 %
SAIC, Inc.	433,000	14,711,000	2.2 %	0.5 %

2010 Washington, DC Office Properties Leasing Activity:

		Average Initial
	Square	Rent Per
Location	Feet	Square Foot (1)
Skyline Place / One Skyline Tower	368,000	\$ 36.70
2011-2451 Crystal Drive	230,000	41.30
1550-1750 Crystal Drive / 241-251 18th		
Street	154,000	41.45
S. Clark Street / 12th Street	147,000	41.93
1800, 1851 and 1901 South Bell Street	135,000	37.73
Reston Executive	120,000	29.62
1750 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW	100,000	44.02
Commerce Executive	97,000	28.98
Partially Owned Entities	55,000	34.09
2001 Jefferson Davis Highway and 223 23rd		
Street / 2221 South		
Clark Street	55,000	36.01
Universal Buildings (1825-1875 Connecticut		
Avenue, NW)	44,000	43.93
1101 17th Street, NW	42,000	42.98
	38,000	40.24

Edgar Filing: VORNADO REALTY TRUST - Form 10-K

2200 / 2300 Clarendon Blvd (Courthouse					
Plaza)					
1150 17th Street, NW	29,000	43.06			
1140 Connecticut Avenue, NW	25,000	42.20			
1730 M Street, NW	22,000	42.18			
1726 M Street, NW	19,000	39.68			
409 3rd Steet, NW	8,000	39.32			
1227 25th Street, NW	5,000	41.50			
Democracy Plaza One	4,000	34.90			

<sup>(1)</sup> Most leases (excluding US Government leases) include periodic step-ups in rent which are not reflected in the initial rent per square foot leased.

1,697,000

38.41

45

#### WASHINGTON, DC OFFICE PROPERTIES - CONTINUED

Lease expirations as of December 31, 2010, assuming none of the tenants exercise renewal options:

			Percentage of Washington, DC		Annual Es	scalato	ed
	Number of Expiring	Square Feet of Expiring	Office Properties		Rent of Expiring Leases Per Squar		er Square
Year	Leases	Leases	<b>Square Feet</b>		Total		Foot
Month to	0.4	<b>5</b> 06.000	4.2.64	Ф	20.025.000	Ф	25.51
month	94	586,000	4.2 %	\$	20,825,000	\$	35.51
2011	317	1,918,000	13.6 %		69,924,000		36.45
2012	264	2,894,000	20.5 %		112,206,000		38.78
2013	168	929,000	6.6 %		35,997,000		38.75
2014	139	1,396,000	9.9 %		51,900,000		37.18
2015	130	1,417,000	10.1 %		54,178,000		38.24
2016	71	1,026,000	7.3 %		38,878,000		37.90
2017	45	392,000	2.8 %		13,988,000		35.71
2018	47	840,000	6.0 %		38,887,000		46.30
2019	43	1,029,000	7.3 %		40,503,000		39.35
2020	58	928,000	6.6 %		43,239,000		46.57

#### **Base Realignment and Closure ("BRAC")**

The lease expiration table above includes 2,395,000 square feet occupied by the Department of Defense subject to the BRAC statute. Of this amount, 348,000 square feet at 1851 South Bell Street will be taken out of service for redevelopment and approximately 286,000 square feet is expected to be relet for approximately 10 years. The remaining space is scheduled to expire as follows:

Annual Escalated Rent of Expiring Leases

**Square Feet of Expiring Leases Crystal** 

Year Per Square Foot Total City Skyline Rosslyn

Edgar Filing: VORNADO REALTY TRUST - Form 10-K

-	446,000	-	446,000	\$ 28.41	2011
10,000	158,000	653,000	821,000	39.96	2012
140,000	-	-	140,000	35.96	2013
-	201,000	128,000	329,000	32.82	2014
-	5,000	20,000	25,000	40.21	2015
150,000	810,000	801,000	1,761,000		

46

#### **RETAIL PROPERTIES**

As of December 31, 2010, our portfolio consisted of 161 retail properties, of which 130 are strip shopping centers located primarily in the Northeast, Mid-Atlantic and California; 7 are regional malls located in New York, New Jersey, Virginia and San Juan, Puerto Rico; and 24 are retail properties located in Manhattan ("Manhattan Street Retail"). Our strip shopping centers and malls are generally located on major highways in mature, densely populated areas, and therefore attract consumers from a regional, rather than a neighborhood market place.

Strip Shopping Centers

Our strip shopping centers contain an aggregate of 17.2 million square feet, of which we own 16.9 million square feet. These properties are substantially (approximately 80%) leased to large stores (over 20,000 square feet). Tenants include destination retailers such as discount department stores, supermarkets, home improvement stores, discount apparel stores and membership warehouse clubs. Tenants typically offer basic consumer necessities such as food, health and beauty aids, moderately priced clothing, building materials and home improvement supplies, and compete primarily on the basis of price and location.

Regional Malls

The Green Acres Mall in Valley Stream, Long Island, New York contains 1.8 million square feet, and is anchored by Macy's, Sears, Wal-Mart, Kohl's, J.C. Penney, Best Buy and BJ's Wholesale Club.

The Monmouth Mall in Eatontown, New Jersey, in which we own a 50% interest, contains 1.5 million square feet and is anchored by Macy's, Lord & Taylor and J.C. Penney, two of which own their stores aggregating 457,000 square feet.

The Springfield Mall in Springfield, Virginia, contains 1.4 million square feet and is anchored by Macy's, J.C. Penney and Target, two of which own their stores aggregating 390,000 square feet. We continue to evaluate plans to renovate and reposition the mall.

The Bergen Town Center in Paramus, New Jersey contains 930,000 square feet and is	anchored by Century 21, Whole
Foods and Target.	

The Broadway Mall in Hicksville, Long Island, New York contains 1.1 million square feet and is anchored by Macy's, Ikea, National Amusements and Target, which owns its store containing 141,000 square feet.

The Montehiedra Mall in San Juan, Puerto Rico contains 540,000 square feet and is anchored by Home Depot, Kmart, and Marshalls.

The Las Catalinas Mall in San Juan, Puerto Rico, contains 495,000 square feet and is anchored by Kmart and Sears, which owns its 139,000 square foot store.

Manhattan Street Retail

Manhattan Street Retail is comprised of 24 properties containing 1.1 million square feet. In addition, we own 821,000 square feet of retail space in certain of our New York office buildings, which is part of our New York Office Properties segment. Our Manhattan Street Retail properties include (i) properties in the Penn Plaza district, such as the Manhattan Mall which contains 243,000 square feet, anchored by JC Penney; (ii) 4 Union Square which contains 203,000 square feet, anchored by Whole Foods Market, Filenes Basement and DSW; (iii) 1540 Broadway in Times Square which contains 160,000 square feet, anchored by Forever 21 and Disney, (iv) 510 Fifth Avenue which contains 59,000 square feet, anchored by Joe Fresh; and (v) properties on Madison Avenue and in So-Ho occupied by retailers including H&M, Top Shop, Madewell, GAP, Gucci, Chloe and Cartier.

#### **RETAIL PROPERTIES - CONTINUED**

Occupancy and average annual net rent per square foot:

As of December 31, 2010, the aggregate occupancy rate for the entire Retail Properties segment of 25.6 million square feet was 92.3%. Details of our ownership interest in the strip shopping centers, regional malls and Manhattan Street retail for the past five years are provided below.

#### **Strip Shopping Centers:**

	Rentable	Occupancy	Average Annual Net Rent per
As of December 31,	Square Feet	Rate	Square Foot
2010	16,866,000	92.1 %	\$ 15.68
2009	16,107,000	91.5 %	15.30
2008	15,755,000	91.9 %	14.52
2007	15,463,000	94.1 %	14.12
2006	12,933,000	92.9 %	13.48

#### **Regional Malls:**

			Average Annual Net Rer Per Square Foot		
As of	Rentable	Occupancy		Mall and Anchor	
December		<b>T</b>	14 H W	<b></b>	
31,	Square Feet	Rate	Mall Tenants	Tenants	
2010	5,480,000	92.2 %	\$ 39.73	\$ 21.47	
2009	5,439,000	91.1 %	39.56	20.67	
2008	5,232,000	93.0 %	37.59	20.38	
2007	5,528,000	96.1 %	34.94	19.11	
2006	5,640,000	93.4 %	32.64	18.12	

For the years ending December 31, 2010 and 2009, mall sales per square foot, including partially owned malls, were \$461.00 and \$466.00, respectively.

#### **Manhattan Street Retail:**

	Rentable	Occupancy	Average Annual Net Rent per
As of December	_	_	
31,	Square Feet	Rate	per Square Foot
2010	1,107,000	95.3 %	\$ 99.95
2009	1,007,000	95.3 %	96.37
2008	874,000	90.4 %	97.18
2007	943,000	86.8 %	89.86
2006	691,000	83.6 %	83.53

#### **RETAIL PROPERTIES - CONTINUED**

2010 Retail Properties rental revenue by type of retailer

Industry	Percentage
Discount Stores	14 %
Women's Apparel	11 %
Family Apparel	10 %
Supermarkets	9 %
Home Improvement	6 %
Restaurants	6 %
Department Stores	5 %
Home Entertainment and	
Electronics	5 %
Personal Services	4 %
Banking and Other	
Business Services	3 %
Home Furnishings	3 %
Jewelry	2 %
Membership Warehouse	
Clubs	2 %
Other	20 %
	100 %

Retail Properties lease terms generally range from five years or less in some instances for smaller tenants to as long as 25 years for major tenants. Leases generally provide for reimbursements of real estate taxes, insurance and common area maintenance charges (including roof and structure in strip shopping centers, unless it is the tenant's direct responsibility), and percentage rents based on tenant sales volume. Percentage rents accounted for less than 1% of the Retail Properties total revenues during 2010.

*Tenants accounting for 2% or more of 2010 Retail Properties total revenues:* 

		2010	Percentage of Retail	Percentage of Total
_	Square Feet	2010	Properties	Company
Tenant	Leased	Revenues	Revenues	Revenues
The Home Depot, Inc	1,135,000	\$ 20,037,000	3.3 %	0.7 %
Wal-Mart/Sam's Club	1,754,000	19,640,000	3.2 %	0.7 %

Forever 21	149,000	15,712,000	2.6 %	0.6 %
Best Buy Co. Inc.	664,000	15,538,000	2.6 %	0.6 %
J.C. Penney	787,000	14,885,000	2.4 %	0.5 %
Stop & Shop Companies, Inc.				
(Stop & Shop)	729,000	14,853,000	2.4 %	0.5 %

#### **RETAIL PROPERTIES - CONTINUED**

Lease expirations as of December 31, 2010, assuming none of the tenants exercise renewal options:

		Square	Percentage of Retail	9		Annual Net Rei	
	Number of Expiring	Feet of Expiring	Properties		of Expiring Leases		ses r Square
Year	Leases	Leases	<b>Square Feet</b>		Total		Foot
Strip			-				
Shopping							
Centers:							
Month to							
month	24	81,000	0.4 %	\$	1,404,000	\$	17.37
2011	58	690,000	3.2 %		6,608,000		9.58
2012	65	1,148,000	5.4 %		14,601,000		12.72
2013	108	1,899,000	8.9 %		24,600,000		12.96
2014	102	1,445,000	6.8 %		20,248,000		14.01
2015	68	699,000	3.3 %		14,801,000		21.17
2016	52	807,000	3.8 %		11,688,000		14.48
2017	36	340,000	1.6 %		4,937,000		14.53
2018	54	1,008,000	4.7 %		17,316,000		17.18
2019	43	911,000	4.3 %		16,828,000		18.46
2020	35	849,000	4.0 %		11,108,000		13.08
Regional							
Malls:							
Month to							
month	65	155,000	0.7 %	\$	4,141,000	\$	26.80
2011	62	206,000	1.0 %		6,963,000		33.96
2012	47	225,000	1.1 %		5,560,000		24.71
2013	55	270,000	1.3 %		7,530,000		27.86
2014	42	335,000	1.6 %		6,391,000		19.05
2015	45	234,000	1.1 %		7,395,000		31.60
2016	36	394,000	1.8 %		4,801,000		12.19
2017	34	439,000	2.1 %		6,372,000		14.53
2018	40	91,000	0.4 %		4,723,000		52.03
2019	37	164,000	0.8 %		5,769,000		35.22
2020	32	140,000	0.7 %		5,044,000		36.13
Manhattan Street	t Retail:						
Month to							
month	4	4,000	- %	\$	184,000	\$	41.15
2011	12	101,000	0.5 %		6,679,000		66.48

2012	8	36,000	0.2 %	2,074,000	57.18
2013	7	32,000	0.1 %	4,601,000	145.42
2014	7	28,000	0.1 %	4,034,000	142.99
2015	6	23,000	0.1 %	2,519,000	110.76
2016	7	19,000	0.1 %	3,513,000	185.72
2017	4	10,000	- %	1,447,000	152.21
2018	15	123,000	0.6 %	19,822,000	160.62
2019	11	62,000	0.3 %	9,998,000	161.75
2020	7	67,000	0.3 %	5,315,000	79.61

## **RETAIL PROPERTIES – CONTINUED**

2010 Retail Properties Leasing Activity:

		Average Initial Rent Per
Location	<b>Square Feet</b>	Square Foot (1)
Strip Shopping Centers:		
Marlton, NJ	104,000	\$ 9.11
Bordentown, NJ	57,000	6.50
Bergen Town Center - East,		
Paramus, NJ	54,000	42.60
Amherst, NY	50,000	12.19
Lansing, IL	47,000	10.00
Bellingham, WA	46,000	4.73
Broomall, PA	41,000	14.12
Chicago, IL	41,000	12.03
Huntington, NY	37,000	19.73
East Brunswick (339-341 Route		
18 South), NJ	33,000	20.00
3040 M Street, Washington, DC	32,000	29.13
Newington, CT	27,000	20.29
Tampa (Hyde Park Village), FL	25,000	25.57
Redding, CA	22,000	17.50
Commack, NY	19,000	18.47
Bronx (1750-1780 Gun Hill		
Road), NY	19,000	20.00
Glen Burnie, MD	18,000	13.25
Poughkeepsie, NY	17,000	16.95
Queens, NY	15,000	25.00
Bricktown, NJ	14,000	20.70
Staten Island, NY	10,000	24.11
Other	142,000	36.95
	870,000	19.85
Regional Malls:		
Bergen Town Center - West,		
Paramus, NJ	126,000	34.85
Green Acres Mall, Valley		
Stream, NY	59,000	34.73
Springfield Mall, Springfield,		
VA	35,000	17.82
Monmouth Mall, Eatontown, NJ	28,000	25.92
Broadway Mall, Hicksville, NY	28,000	37.20

Edgar Filing: VORNADO REALTY TRUST - Form 10-K

Las Catalinas Mall, Puerto Rico Montehiedra, Puerto Rico	22,000 18,000 316,000	57.65 35.34 33.98
Manhattan Street Retail:		
692 Broadway, New York, NY	15,000	43.33
Other	8,000	95.86
	23,000	62.04
	1,209,000	24.36

<sup>(1)</sup> Most leases include periodic step-ups in rent, which are not reflected in the initial rent per square foot leased.

#### MERCHANDISE MART PROPERTIES

As of December 31, 2010, we own 6 Merchandise Mart Properties containing an aggregate of 6.9 million square feet. The Merchandise Mart Properties segment also contains 6 garages totaling 908,000 square feet (2,965 spaces). The garage space is excluded from the statistics provided in this section.

Square feet by location and use as of December 31, 2010:

Amounts in thousands)				Showroom		
	Total	Off as	Total	Downsonout	Temporary Trade	Dotosi
Ci i nii i	Total	Office	Total	Permanent	Show	Retail
Chicago, Illinois:						
Merchandise Mart	3,492	1,033	2,392	1,810	582	67
350 West Mart	3,492	1,033	2,392	1,610	362	07
Center	1,242	1,159	83	83	_	_
Other	1,242	1,137	-	-	_	10
Total Chicago,	10	-	-	-	-	10
Illinois	4,744	2,192	2,475	1,893	582	77
Los Angeles, California:	7,777	2,172	2,473	1,075	362	7.7
L.A. Mart	784	170	614	560	54	_
Boston, Massachusetts:	704	170	014	300	34	
Boston Design						
Center	553	126	423	423	_	4
New York, New York:	333	120	723	723	_	
7 West 34th Street						
/ West 54th Street	419	10	409	362	47	_
Washington, DC:						
Washington						
Design Center	393	110	283	283	-	-
Total Merchandise Mart						
Properties	6,893	2,608	4,204	3,521	683	81
Occupancy rate	92.5%	91.5%	93.2%			91.0%

In March 2010, we ceased making debt service payments on the mortgage loan secured by the High Point Complex in North Carolina as a result of insufficient cash flow and the loan went into default. In November 2010, the property

was placed in receivership. While the receivership process is inherently lengthy, we anticipate that the property will be sold in the first half of 2011, at which time the assets and liabilities will be removed from our consolidated balance sheet and we will recognize a net gain of approximately \$80,000,000. Accordingly, we have reclassified the results of operations of the property to "(loss) income from discontinued operations," and the related assets and liabilities to "assets related to discontinued operations" and "liabilities related to discontinued operations" for all periods presented in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

#### MERCHANDISE MART PROPERTIES - CONTINUED

Office Space

Occupancy and average annual escalated rent per square foot:

	Rentable		Average Annual Escalated Rent
As of December		Occupancy	
31,	<b>Square Feet</b>	Rate	Per Square Foot
2010	2,608,000	91.5 %	\$ 25.31
2009	2,432,000	88.8 %	23.86
2008	2,393,000	96.4 %	25.18
2007	2,724,000	97.1 %	26.86
2006	2,702,000	97.4 %	25.64
2000	2,702,000	97.4 %	23.04

2010 Merchandise Mart Properties office rental revenues by tenants' industry:

Industry	Percentage
Advertising and	
Marketing	17 %
Education	13 %
Telecommunications	12 %
Government	11 %
Financial Services	8 %
Banking	7 %
Business Services	6 %
Publications	6 %
Insurance	5 %
Information Research	5 %
Other	10 %
	100 %

Office lease terms generally range from three to seven years for smaller tenants to as long as 15 years for major tenants. Leases typically provide for periodic step-ups in rent over the term of the lease and pass through to tenants their share of increases in real estate taxes and operating expenses over a base year. Electricity is provided to tenants on a sub-metered basis or included in rent and adjusted for subsequent utility rate increases. Leases also typically provide for tenant improvement allowances for all or a portion of the tenant's initial construction of its premises.

Office tenants accounting for 2% or more of Merchandise Mart Properties' 2010 total revenues

			Percentage of Merchandise Mart	Percentage of Total
Tenant	Square Feet Leased	2010 Revenues	Properties Revenues	Company Revenues
Ogilvy Group	Leaseu	ACTORIUCS	Revenues	Revenues
(WPP) Ameritech	270,000	\$ 7,537,000	3.1 %	0.3 %
(AT&T)	171,000	4,924,000	2.0 %	0.2 %

#### MERCHANDISE MART PROPERTIES- CONTINUED

2010 leasing activity – Merchandise Mart Properties office space:

		Average Initial Rent Per
	Square Feet	Square Foot (1)
350 West Mart Center	193,000	\$ 27.64
L.A. Mart	142,000	31.98
Merchandise Mart	29,000	23.87
Total	364,000	29.04

<sup>(1)</sup> Most leases include periodic step-ups in rent, which are not reflected in the initial rent per square foot leased.

Lease expirations for Merchandise Mart Properties office space as of December 31, 2010, assuming none of the tenants exercise renewal options:

	Number of	Square Feet of	Percentage of Merchandise Mart Properties Office	Annual E	 
	Expiring	Expiring		•	 er Square
Year	Leases	Leases	<b>Square Feet</b>	Total	Foot
2011	18	69,000	3.0 %	\$ 1,961,000	\$ 28.35
2012	10	107,000	4.7 %	3,164,000	29.58
2013	18	80,000	3.5 %	3,163,000	39.51
2014	5	106,000	4.6 %	3,132,000	29.51
2015	12	189,000	8.3 %	5,735,000	30.33
2016	5	138,000	6.0 %	3,678,000	26.70
2017	4	76,000	3.3 %	1,594,000	21.05
2018	10	287,000	12.6 %	8,517,000	29.64
2019	4	8,000	0.4 %	334,000	40.73
2020	6	310,000	13.5 %	9,106,000	29.41

#### **MERCHANDISE MART PROPERTIES - CONTINUED**

#### **Showroom Space**

The showrooms provide manufacturers and wholesalers with permanent and temporary space in which to display products for buyers, specifiers and end users. The showrooms are also used for hosting trade shows for the [contract furniture, casual furniture,] gift, carpet, crafts, apparel and design industries. Merchandise Mart Properties own and operate five of the leading furniture and gift trade shows, including the contract furniture industry's largest trade show, NeoCon, which attracts over 50,000 attendees each June and is hosted at the Merchandise Mart building in Chicago.

Occupancy and average escalated rent per square foot:

	Rentable			erage Annual scalated Rent
As of December 31,	Square Feet	Occupancy Rate	Per	Square Foot
2010	4,204,000	93.2 %	\$	31.43
2009	4,351,000	89.4 %		31.56
2008	4,377,000	93.3 %		30.84
2007	4,385,000	89.3 %		30.43
2006	4,388,000	91.5 %		29.25

2010 Merchandise Mart Properties showroom rental revenues by tenants' industry:

Industry	Percentage
Residential Design	34 %
Contract Furnishing	22 %
Gift	22 %
Casual Furniture	8 %
Apparel	6 %
Building Products	5 %
Art	3 %
	100 %

2010 Leasing Activity – Merchandise Mart Properties showroom space:

		Average Initial Rent Per		
	Square			
	Feet	Squa	re Foot (1)	
Merchandise Mart	297,000	\$	38.83	
L.A. Mart	105,000		21.95	
7 West 34th Street	89,000		41.09	
Boston Design				
Center	81,000		39.07	
Washington				
Design Center	24,000		38.20	
350 West Mart				
Center	14,000		29.18	
Total	610,000		36.03	

<sup>(1)</sup> Most leases include periodic step-ups in rent which are not reflected in the initial rent per square foot leased.

#### MERCHANDISE MART PROPERTIES- CONTINUED

Lease expirations for the Merchandise Mart Properties showroom space as of December 31, 2010, assuming none of the tenants exercise renewal options:

			Percentage of			
			Merchandise			
			Mart	Annual E	scalate	ed
		Square	Properties'			
	Number of	Feet of	Showroom	Rent of Expi	iring L	eases
	Expiring	Expiring		-	Pe	r Square
Year	Leases	Leases	<b>Square Feet</b>	Total		Foot
2011	125	390,000	9.2 %	\$12,655,000	\$	32.45
2012	116	300,000	7.1 %	10,635,000		35.47
2013	154	454,000	10.7 %	16,163,000		35.58
2014	111	381,000	9.0 %	14,249,000		37.43
2015	95	288,000	6.8 %	10,719,000		37.20
2016	45	198,000	4.7 %	6,751,000		34.12
2017	48	356,000	8.4 %	12,521,000		35.19
2018	36	260,000	6.1 %	9,082,000		34.97
2019	21	109,000	2.6 %	3,994,000		36.62
2020	26	120,000	2.8 %	4,589,000		38.19

#### **Retail Space**

The Merchandise Mart Properties segment also contains approximately 91,000 square feet of retail space, of which we own 81,000 square feet that was 91.0% occupied at December 31, 2010.

#### TOYS "R" US, INC. ("TOYS")

As of December 31, 2010 we own a 32.7% interest in Toys, a worldwide specialty retailer of toys and baby products, which has a significant real estate component. Toys had \$5.9 billion of outstanding debt at October 30, 2010, of which our pro rata share was \$1.9 billion, none of which is recourse to us.

The following table sets forth the total number of stores operated by Toys as of December 31, 2010:

			Building Owned on Leased	
	Total	Owned	Ground	Leased
Domestic	857	297	229	331
International	522	79	26	417
Subtotal	1,379	376	255	748
Franchised stores	210			
Total	1,589			

#### **OTHER INVESTMENTS**

#### **555 California Street Complex**

As of December 31, 2010, we own a 70% controlling interest in a three-building complex containing 1.8 million square feet, known as The Bank of America building, located at California and Montgomery Streets in San Francisco's financial district ("555 California Street"), which we acquired in 2007.

Occupancy and average annual rent per square foot as of December 31, 2010:

As of	Rentable		Average Annual Escalated Rent
December 31,	<b>Square Feet</b>	<b>Occupancy Rate</b>	Per Square Foot
2010	1,795,000	93.0 %	\$ 55.97
2009	1,794,000	94.8 %	57.25
2008	1,789,000	94.0 %	57.98
2007	1,789,000	95.0 %	59.84

2010 rental revenue by tenants' industry:

Industry	Percentage
Banking	42 %
Finance	41 %
Legal Services	13 %
Retail	1 %
Others	3 %
	100 %

Lease terms generally range from five to seven years for smaller tenants to as long as 15 years for major tenants, and may provide for extension options at market rates. Leases typically provide for periodic step ups in rent over the term of the lease and pass through to tenants their share of increases in real estate taxes and operating expenses over a base year. Leases also typically provide for tenant improvement allowances for all or a portion of the tenant's initial construction costs of its premises.

Tenants accounting for 2% or more of 555 California Street's revenues:

			Percentage of 555 California	
	Square	2010	Street Complex's	Percentage of Total Company
T4	Feet	D	-	2 0
Tenant	Leased	Revenues	Revenues	Revenues
Bank of America	659,000	\$ 36,673,000	34.7 %	1.3 %
UBS Financial				
Services	107,000	7,007,000	6.6 %	0.3 %
Morgan Stanley &				
Company, Inc.	89,000	6,289,000	5.9 %	0.2 %
Kirkland & Ellis LLP	125,000	6,217,000	5.9 %	0.2 %
Goldman, Sachs &	,	, ,		
Co.	82,000	4,229,000	4.0 %	0.2 %
McKinsey &				
Company Inc.	54,000	4,171,000	3.9 %	0.2 %
Dodge & Cox	62,000	3,935,000	3.7 %	0.1 %
Jones Day	81,000	3,467,000	3.3 %	0.1 %

2010 leasing activity:

During 2010 we leased 202,000 square feet at a weighted average rent initial rent of \$54.81 per square foot.

#### OTHER INVESTMENTS - CONTINUED

#### Alexander's, Inc. ("Alexander's")

As of December 31, 2010, we own 32.4% of the outstanding common stock of Alexander's, which has seven properties in the greater New York metropolitan area. Alexander's had \$1.2 billion of outstanding debt at December 31, 2010, of which our pro rata share was \$404 million, none of which is recourse to us.

#### **Lexington Realty Trust ("Lexington")**

As of December 31, 2010, we own 12.8% of the outstanding common shares of Lexington, which has interests in 229 properties, encompassing approximately 43.0 million square feet across 42 states, generally net-leased to major corporations. Lexington had approximately \$1.9 billion of outstanding debt at September 30, 2010, of which our pro rata share was \$265 million, none of which is recourse to us.

#### Vornado Capital Partners, L.P. and Vornado Capital Partners Parallel, L.P. (the "Fund")

On July 6, 2010, we completed an initial closing of the Fund with aggregate equity commitments of \$550,000,000, of which we committed \$200,000,000. We expect to close on an additional \$250,000,000 of equity commitments in the first quarter of 2011. We are the general partner and investment manager of the Fund and it is our exclusive investment vehicle during the three-year investment period for all investments that fit within the Fund's investment parameters, including debt, equity and other interests in real estate, and excluding (i) investments in vacant land and ground-up development; (ii) investments acquired by merger or primarily for our securities or properties; (iii) properties which can be combined with or relate to our existing properties; (iv) securities of commercial mortgage loan servicers and investments derived from any such investments; (v) noncontrolling interests in equity and debt securities; and (vi) investments located outside of North America. The Fund has a term of eight years.

#### OTHER INVESTMENTS - CONTINUED

#### **Hotel Pennsylvania**

We own the Hotel Pennsylvania which is located in New York City on Seventh Avenue opposite Madison Square Garden and consists of a hotel portion containing 1,000,000 square feet of hotel space with 1,700 rooms and a commercial portion containing 400,000 square feet of retail and office space.

			Year En	de	d December	31,	,		
		2010	2009		2008		2007		2006
Rental information:									
Hotel:									
Average occupancy rate	9	83.2 %	71.5 %		84.1 %		84.4 %	o o	82.1 %
Average daily rate	\$	143.28	\$ 133.20	\$	171.32	\$	154.78	\$	133.33
Revenue per available									
room	\$	119.23	\$ 95.18	\$	144.01	\$	130.70	\$	109.53
Commercial:									
Office space:									
Average									
occupancy rate		33.4 %	30.4 %		30.4 %		57.0 %	ó	41.2 %
Annual rent per									
square foot	\$	7.52	\$ 20.54	\$	18.78	\$	22.23	\$	16.42
Retail space:									
Average									
occupancy rate		62.3 %	70.7 %		69.5 %		73.3 %	ó	79.9 %
Annual rent per									
square foot	\$	31.42	\$ 35.05	\$	41.75	\$	33.63	\$	27.54

#### Warehouse/Industrial Properties

As of December 31, 2010, we own 6 warehouse/industrial properties in New Jersey containing approximately 1.2 million square feet. Average lease terms range from three to five years. The following table sets forth the occupancy rate and average annual rent per square foot at the end of each of the past five years.

Edgar Filing: VORNADO REALTY TRUST - Form 10-K

Dogombor 21	Occupancy Pate		rage Annual Rent
December 31,	Occupancy Rate	r	er Square Foot
2010	48.6 %	\$	5.61
2009	69.4 %		5.40
2008	100.0 %		4.70
2007	100.0 %		4.70
2006	96.9 %		4.17

#### Item 3. Legal Proceedings

We are from time to time involved in legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. In our opinion, after consultation with legal counsel, the outcome of such matters, including the matters referred to below, are not expected to have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

On January 8, 2003, Stop & Shop filed a complaint with the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey ("USDC-NJ") claiming that we had no right to reallocate and therefore continue to collect the \$5,000,000 of annual rent from Stop & Shop pursuant to the Master Agreement and Guaranty, because of the expiration of the East Brunswick, Jersey City, Middletown, Union and Woodbridge leases to which the \$5,000,000 of additional rent was previously allocated. Stop & Shop asserted that a prior order of the Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York dated February 6, 2001, as modified on appeal to the District Court for the Southern District of New York on February 13, 2001, froze our right to reallocate which effectively terminated our right to collect the additional rent from Stop & Shop. On March 3, 2003, after we moved to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction, Stop & Shop voluntarily withdrew its complaint. On March 26, 2003, Stop & Shop filed a new complaint in New York State Supreme Court, asserting substantially the same claims as in its USDC-NJ complaint. We removed the action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. In January 2005 that court remanded the action to the New York State Supreme Court. On February 14, 2005, we served an answer in which we asserted a counterclaim seeking a judgment for all the unpaid additional rent accruing through the date of the judgment and a declaration that Stop & Shop will continue to be liable for the additional rent as long as any of the leases subject to the Master Agreement and Guaranty remain in effect. On May 17, 2005, we filed a motion for summary judgment. On July 15, 2005, Stop & Shop opposed our motion and filed a cross-motion for summary judgment. On December 13, 2005, the Court issued its decision denying the motions for summary judgment. Both parties appealed the Court's decision and on December 14, 2006, the Appellate Court division issued a decision affirming the Court's decision. On January 16, 2007, we filed a motion for the reconsideration of one aspect of the Appellate Court's decision which was denied on March 13, 2007. Discovery is complete and a trial was held in November 2010, with closing arguments expected in March 2011. We intend to continue to vigorously pursue our claims against Stop & Shop.

In July 2005, we acquired H Street Building Corporation ("H Street") which has a subsidiary that owns, among other things, a 50% tenancy in common interest in land located in Arlington County, Virginia, known as "Pentagon Row," leased to two tenants, Street Retail, Inc. and Post Apartment Homes, L.P. In April 2007, H Street acquired the remaining 50% interest in that fee. On September 25, 2008, both tenants filed suit against us and the former owners claiming the right of first offer to purchase the fee interest, damages in excess of \$75,000,000 and punitive damages. In April 2010, the Trial Court entered judgment in favor of the tenants, that we sell the land to the tenants for a net sales price of \$14,992,000, representing the Trial Court's allocation of our purchase price for H Street. The request for damages and punitive damages was denied. As a result of the Trial Court's decision, we recorded a \$10,056,000 loss accrual in the first quarter of 2010. We filed a motion to appeal the Trial Court's decision, which the appeals court refused to hear. Accordingly, in the fourth quarter of 2010, we sold the property to the tenants for \$14,992,000 in cash (our reduced carrying amount).

#### **PART II**

# Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related STOCKholder Matters and issuer purchases of equity securities

Vornado's common shares are traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "VNO."

Quarterly high and low sales prices of the common shares and dividends paid per share for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 were as follows:

Quarter	D	r Ended ber 31, 20	10		Year Ended December 31, 2009					
	High	Low	Div	idends		High		Low	Div	vidends
1st	\$ 78.40	\$ 61.25	\$	0.65	\$	62.33	\$	27.01	\$	0.95
2nd	86.79	70.06		0.65		54.00		32.00		0.95
3rd	89.06	68.59		0.65		70.23		39.65		0.65
4th	91.67	78.06		0.65		73.96		56.54		0.65

On January 12, 2011, we increased our quarterly common dividend to \$0.69 per common share (an indicated annual rate of \$2.76 per common share). On February 1, 2011, there were 1,277 holders of record of our common shares.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

During the fourth quarter of 2010, we issued 19,074 common shares upon the redemption of Class A units of the Operating Partnership held by persons who received units, in private placements in earlier periods, in exchange for

their interests in limited partnerships that owned real estate. The common shares were issued without registration

under the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance on Section 4 (2) of that Act.
Information relating to compensation plans under which our equity securities are authorized for issuance is set forth under Part III, Item 12 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K and such information is incorporated by reference herein.
Recent Purchases of Equity Securities
We did not repurchase any of our equity securities during the fourth quarter of 2010
61

#### Performance Graph

The following graph is a comparison of the five-year cumulative return of our common shares, the Standard & Poor's 500 Index (the "S&P 500 Index") and the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts' ("NAREIT") All Equity Index (excluding health care real estate investment trusts), a peer group index. The graph assumes that \$100 was invested on December 31, 2005 in our common shares, the S&P 500 Index and the NAREIT All Equity Index and that all dividends were reinvested without the payment of any commissions. There can be no assurance that the performance of our shares will continue in line with the same or similar trends depicted in the graph below.

Edgar Filing: VORNADO REALTY TRUST - Form 10-K

	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Vornado Realty Trust	100	151	113	81	100	124
S&P 500 Index	100	116	122	77	97	112
The NAREIT All Equity						
Index	100	135	114	71	91	116

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

## Year Ended December 31,

	Tear Ended December 51,							
(in thousands, except per share amounts)  Operating Data:		2010		2009		2008	2007	2006
Revenues:								
Property rentals Tenant expense	\$	2,271,357	\$	2,182,194	\$	2,160,073	\$ 1,923,622	\$ 1,494,314
reimbursements Fee and other		360,448		357,186		353,602	319,847	258,641
income		147,922		157,312		126,816	109,663	103,312
Total revenues		2,779,727		2,696,692		2,640,491	2,353,132	1,856,267
Expenses:		, ,		, ,		, ,	, ,	, ,
Operating Depreciation and		1,099,478		1,067,229		1,048,537	932,865	722,405
amortization General and		530,704		531,637		529,134	433,030	311,230
administrative Impairment losses and acquisition		214,225		231,010		193,969	188,777	179,751
costs		129,458		75,963		81,447	10,375	
		1,973,865		1,905,839		1,853,087	1,565,047	1,213,386
Total expenses Operating income		805,862		790,853		787,404	788,085	642,881
Income (loss) applicable to Toys		803,802		190,833		767,404	700,003	042,001
"R" Us Income (loss) from partially owned		71,624		92,300		2,380	(14,337)	(47,520)
entities (Loss) from Real		22,438		(19,910)		(159,207)	82,480	45,825
Estate Fund Interest and other investment income		(303)		-		-	-	-
(loss), net Interest and debt		235,315		(116,350)		(2,747)	226,242	255,242
expense Net gain (loss) on early extinguishment		(560,270)		(617,994)		(619,531)	(583,281)	(379,753)
of debt Net gain on dispositions of wholly owned and partially		94,789		(25,915)		9,820	-	-

owned assets	81,432	5,641	7,757	39,493	76,073
Income before	01,432	3,041	1,131	39,493	70,073
income taxes	750,887	108,625	25,876	538,682	592,748
Income tax (expense)					
benefit	(22,476)	(20,642)	204,644	(9,057)	(345)
Income from	700 411	07.002	220 520	520 (25	502 402
continuing operations (Loss) income from	728,411	87,983	230,520	529,625	592,403
discontinued					
operations	(20,380)	40,467	180,925	78,208	40,953
Net income	708,031	128,450	411,445	607,833	633,356
Net (income) loss	·		·		•
attributable to					
noncontrolling					
interests					
in consolidated	(4.020)	2 020	2.262	2.404	1 262
subsidiaries	(4,920)	2,839	3,263	3,494	1,363
Net (income) attributable to					
noncontrolling					
interests in					
the Operating					
Partnership,					
including unit					
distributions	(55,228)	(25,120)	(55,411)	(69,788)	(79,937)
Net income					
attributable to Vornado	647,883	106,169	359,297	541,539	554,782
Preferred share	047,003	100,109	339,291	341,339	334,762
dividends	(55,534)	(57,076)	(57,091)	(57,177)	(57,511)
Discount on preferred	, , ,	, , ,	, , ,	, , ,	, , ,
share redemptions	4,382	-	-	-	-
Net income					
attributable to	ф. <b>5</b> 06 <b>521</b>	Φ 40.002	ф. 202.20 <i>6</i>	ф. 40.4.2.62	<b>407.071</b>
common shareholders	\$ 596,731	\$ 49,093	\$ 302,206	\$ 484,362	\$ 497,271
Income from					
continuing					
operations, net -					
basic	3.38	0.07	0.89	2.71	3.20
Income from					
continuing					
operations, net -	2.25	0.07	0.07	2.60	2.04
diluted Net income per	3.35	0.07	0.87	2.60	3.04
common share -					
basic	3.27	0.28	1.96	3.18	3.49
Net income per	·	3.20		2.10	2
common share -					
diluted	3.24	0.28	1.91	3.05	3.31
	2.60	3.20	(1) 3.65	3.45	3.79

Dividends per common share

### **Balance Sheet Data:**

unce sheet Data.					
Total assets	20,517,471	20,185,472	21,418,048	22,478,717	17,954,384
Real estate, at					
cost	17,674,922	17,574,245	17,432,906	16,622,740	11,216,340
Accumulated					
depreciation	(2,763,997)	(2,441,344)	(2,117,643)	(1,765,443)	(1,409,317)
Debt	10,893,639	10,685,703	12,180,835	11,461,067	8,164,062
Total equity	6,830,405	6,649,406	6,214,652	6,011,240	5,006,596

Paid in a combination of cash (1) and Vornado common shares.

(Amounts in thousands)	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
Other Data:					
Funds From Operations ("FFO") <sup>(1)</sup> :	ф <i>(47</i> , 992	¢ 107 170	¢ 250 207	¢ 541.520	¢ 554.702
Net income attributable to Vornado	\$ 647,883	\$ 106,169	\$ 359,297	\$ 541,539	\$ 554,782
Depreciation and amortization of real property	505,806	508,572	509,367	451,313	337,730
Net gain on sales of real estate	(57,248)	(45,282)	(57,523)	(60,811)	(33,769)
Proportionate share of adjustments	(37,240)	(43,202)	(37,323)	(00,011)	(33,707)
to equity in net income					
of Toys to arrive at FFO:					
Depreciation and					
amortization of real					
property	70,174	65,358	66,435	85,244	60,445
Net gain on sales of					
real estate	-	(164)	(719)	(3,012)	(2,178)
Income tax effect of					
above adjustments	(24,561)	(22,819)	(23,223)	(28,781)	(21,038)
Proportionate share of adjustments					
to equity in net income of partially owned entities,					
excluding Toys, to arrive at					
FFO:					
Depreciation and					
amortization of real					
property	78,151	75,200	49,513	48,770	45,184
Net gain on sales of	•				
real estate	(5,784)	(1,188)	(8,759)	(12,451)	(10,988)
Noncontrolling interests' share of					
above adjustments	(39,565)	(45,344)	(49,683)	(46,664)	(39,809)
FFO	1,174,856	640,502	844,705	975,147	890,359
Preferred share dividends	(55,534)	(57,076)	(57,091)	(57,177)	(57,511)
Discount on preferred share	4.202				
redemptions	4,382	-	-	-	-
FFO attributable to common shareholders	1,123,704	583,426	787,614	917,970	832,848
Interest on 3.875% exchangeable	1,123,704	363,420	767,014	917,970	032,040
senior debentures	25,917	_	25,261	24,958	24,671
Convertible preferred share	23,717		23,201	21,550	21,071
dividends	160	170	189	277	631
FFO attributable to common shareholders	- *				
plus assumed conversions(1)	\$ 1,149,781	\$ 583,596	\$ 813,064	\$ 943,205	\$ 858,150

\_\_\_\_\_

(1) FFO is computed in accordance with the definition adopted by the Board of Governors of the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts ("NAREIT"). NAREIT defines FFO as GAAP net income or loss adjusted to exclude net gains from sales of depreciated real estate assets, depreciation and amortization expense from real estate assets, extraordinary items and other specified non-cash items, including the pro rata share of such adjustments of unconsolidated subsidiaries. FFO and FFO per diluted share are used by management, investors and analysts to facilitate meaningful comparisons of operating performance between periods and among our peers because it excludes the effect of real estate depreciation and amortization and net gains on sales, which are based on historical costs and implicitly assume that the value of real estate diminishes predictably over time, rather than fluctuating based on existing market conditions. FFO does not represent cash generated from operating activities and is not necessarily indicative of cash available to fund cash requirements and should not be considered as an alternative to net income as a performance measure or cash flows as a liquidity measure. FFO may not be comparable to similarly titled measures employed by other companies.

## ITEM MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

	Page
Overview	66
Overview - Leasing activity	72
Critical Accounting Policies	74
Results of Operations:	
Years Ended December 31, 2010 and 2009	81
Years Ended December 31, 2009 and 2008	87
Supplemental Information:	
Net Income and EBITDA by Segment for the Three Months	
Ended	
December 31, 2010 and 2009	93
Changes in EBITDA by segment for the Three Months Ended	
December 31, 2010 as compared to	
December 31, 2009	96
Changes in EBITDA by segment for the Three Months Ended	
December 31, 2010 as compared to	
September 30, 2010	97
Related Party Transactions	98
Liquidity and Capital Resources	99
Certain Future Cash Requirements	100
Financing Activities and Contractual Obligations	102
Cash Flows for the Year Ended December 31, 2010	105
Cash Flows for the Year Ended December 31, 2009	107
Printical Accounting Policies Described Printical Accounting Policies Described Printical Accounting Policies Described Printical Accounting Policies Described Presented December 31, 2010 and 2009 Described Printical Information:  Net Income and EBITDA by Segment for the Three Months Ended  December 31, 2010 and 2009  Changes in EBITDA by segment for the Three Months Ended December 31, 2010 as compared to December 31, 2009  Changes in EBITDA by segment for the Three Months Ended December 31, 2010 as compared to September 30, 2010 December 31, 2010 as compared to September 30, 2010 December 31, 2010	
Funds From Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2010 and 2009	111

#### Overview

Vornado Realty Trust ("Vornado") is a fully integrated real estate investment trust ("REIT") and conducts its business through, and substantially all of its interests in properties are held by, Vornado Realty L.P., a Delaware limited partnership (the "Operating Partnership"). Vornado is the sole general partner of, and owned approximately 93.2% of the common limited partnership interest in the Operating Partnership at December 31, 2010. All references to "we," "us," "our," the "Company" and "Vornado" refer to Vornado Realty Trust and its consolidated subsidiaries, including the Operating Partnership.

We own and operate office, retail and showroom properties (our "core" operations) with large concentrations of office and retail properties in the New York City metropolitan area and in the Washington, DC / Northern Virginia area. In addition, we have a 32.7% interest in Toys "R" Us, Inc. ("Toys") which has a significant real estate component, a 32.4% interest in Alexander's, Inc. (NYSE: ALX) ("Alexander's"), which has seven properties in the greater New York metropolitan area, as well as interests in other real estate and related investments.

Our business objective is to maximize shareholder value, which we measure by the total return provided to our shareholders. Below is a table comparing our performance to the Morgan Stanley REIT Index ("RMS") and the SNL REIT Index ("SNL") for the following periods ended December 31, 2010:

		Total Return(1)	
	Vornado	RMS	SNL
One-year	23.2%	28.5%	28.9%
Three-year	5.3%	2.5%	5.4%
Five-year	15.1%	13.5%	17.6%
Ten-year	255.7%	174.9%	191.1%

(1) Past performance is not necessarily indicative of how we will perform in the future.

We intend to achieve our business objective by continuing to pursue our investment philosophy and executing our operating strategies through:

- Maintaining a superior team of operating and investment professionals and an entrepreneurial spirit;
- Investing in properties in select markets, such as New York City and Washington, DC, where we believe there is a high likelihood of capital appreciation;
- Acquiring quality properties at a discount to replacement cost and where there is a significant potential for higher rents;

- Investing in retail properties in select under-stored locations such as the New York City metropolitan area;
- Developing and redeveloping existing properties to increase returns and maximize value; and
- Investing in operating companies that have a significant real estate component.

We expect to finance our growth, acquisitions and investments using internally generated funds, proceeds from possible asset sales and by accessing the public and private capital markets. We may also offer Vornado common or preferred shares or Operating Partnership units in exchange for property and may repurchase or otherwise reacquire our shares or any other securities in the future.

We compete with a large number of real estate property owners and developers, some of which may be willing to accept lower returns on their investments. Principal factors of competition are rents charged, attractiveness of location, the quality of the property and the breadth and the quality of services provided. Our success depends upon, among other factors, trends of the national, regional and local economies, the financial condition and operating results of current and prospective tenants and customers, availability and cost of capital, construction and renovation costs, taxes, governmental regulations, legislation and population trends. See "Risk Factors" in Item 1A for additional information regarding these factors.

Substantially all businesses, including ours, were negatively affected by the 2008/2009 economic recession and illiquidity and volatility in the capital and financial markets. Although there are signs of an economic recovery and greater stability in the capital and financial markets, it is not possible for us to predict whether these trends will continue in the future or quantify the impact of these or any other trends on our financial results.

#### **Overview - continued**

### Year Ended December 31, 2010 Financial Results Summary

Net income attributable to common shareholders for the year ended December 31, 2010 was \$596,731,000, or \$3.24 per diluted share, compared to \$49,093,000, or \$0.28 per diluted share, for the year ended December 31, 2009. Net income for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 include \$63,032,000 and \$46,634,000, respectively, for our share of net gains on sale of real estate. In addition, the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 include certain items that affect comparability which are listed in the table below. The aggregate of net gains on sale of real estate and the items in the table below, net of amounts attributable to noncontrolling interests, increased net income attributable to common shareholders for the year ended December 31, 2010 by \$175,844,000, or \$0.95 per diluted share, and decreased net income attributable to common shareholders for the year ended December 31, 2009 by \$235,965,000, or \$1.36 per diluted share.

Funds from operations attributable to common shareholders plus assumed conversions ("FFO") for the year ended December 31, 2010 was \$1,149,781,000, or \$6.05 per diluted share, compared to \$583,596,000, or \$3.36 per diluted share, for the prior year. FFO for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 includes certain items that affect comparability which are listed in the table below. The aggregate of these items, net of amounts attributable to noncontrolling interests, increased FFO for the year ended December 31, 2010 by \$127,722,000, or \$0.67 per diluted share, and decreased FFO for the year ended December 31, 2009 by \$265,007,000, or \$1.53 per diluted share.

	For the Yea Decembe	
(Amounts in thousands)	2010	2009
Items that affect comparability (income) expense:		
(Income) from the mark-to-market of derivative positions in		
marketable equity securities	\$ (130,153)	\$ -
Net (gain) loss on early extinguishment of debt	(92,150)	25,915
Non-cash asset write-downs:		
Real estate - development related	94,513	80,834
Other real estate assets	33,000	6,989
Partially owned entities	11,481	36,941
Marketable equity securities	-	3,361
Non-cash mezzanine loans receivable loss accrual (reversal)	(53,100)	190,738
Litigation loss accrual and acquisitions costs	17,001	-
Default interest and fees accrued on three loans in special		
servicing	15,079	-
Net (gain) resulting from Lexington's stock issuance	(13,710)	-
Discount on redemption of preferred units and shares	(11,354)	-
Real Estate Fund organization costs	6,482	-
Our share of partially owned entities:		

Toys - purchase accounting adjustments		
and litigation settlement income	-	(24,146)
Alexander's - income tax benefit and stock		
appreciation rights	(641)	(24,773)
Income from terminated sale of land	-	(27,089)
Write-off of unamortized costs from the voluntary surrender of		
equity awards	-	32,588
FFO attributable to discontinued operations	(11,086)	(21,240)
Other, net	(2,492)	8,063
	(137,130)	288,181
Noncontrolling interests' share of above adjustments	9,408	(23,174)
Items that affect comparability, net (income) expense	\$ (127,722)	\$ 265,007

The percentage increase (decrease) in GAAP basis and cash basis same store Earnings Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization ("EBITDA") of our operating segments for the year ended December 31, 2010 over the year ended December 31, 2009 is summarized below.

	New York	Washington, DC		Merchandise
Same Store EBITDA: December 31, 2010 vs. December 31, 2009	Office	Office	Retail	Mart
GAAP basis Cash Basis	1.7% 2.3%	5.2% 10.0%	8.6% 9.6%	(3.3%) (2.3%)

#### **Overview - continued**

#### Quarter Ended December 31, 2010 Financial Results Summary

Net income attributable to common shareholders for the quarter ended December 31, 2010 was \$243,414,000, or \$1.31per diluted share, compared to a net loss of \$151,192,000, or \$0.84 per diluted share, for the quarter ended December 31, 2009. Net income for the quarter ended December 31, 2010 and net loss for the quarter ended December 31, 2009 include \$62,718,000 and \$2,632,000, respectively, of net gains on sale of real estate. In addition, the quarters ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 include certain other items that affect comparability which are listed in the table below. The aggregate of net gains on sale of real estate and the items in the table below, net of amounts attributable to noncontrolling interests, increased net income attributable to common shareholders for the quarter ended December 31, 2010 by \$169,634,000, or \$0.89 and increased net loss attributable to common shareholders for the quarter ended December 31, 2009 by \$184,253,000, or \$1.02 per diluted share.

FFO for the quarter ended December 31, 2010 was \$335,759,000, or \$1.76 per diluted share, compared to \$20,000, or \$0.00 per diluted share, for the prior year's quarter. FFO for the quarter ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 include certain items that affect comparability which are listed in the table below. The aggregate of these items, net of amounts attributable to noncontrolling interests, increased FFO for the quarter ended December 31, 2010 by \$111,589,000, or \$0.59 per diluted share and decreased FFO for the quarter ended December 31, 2009 by \$186,105,000, or \$1.02 per diluted share.

	Fo	For the Three Months Ended December 31,				
(Amounts in thousands)		010	20	009		
Items that affect comparability (income) expense:						
(Income) from the mark-to-market of derivative positions in						
marketable equity securities	\$	(97,904)	\$	-		
Net (gain) loss on early extinguishment of debt		(93,946)		52,911		
Non-cash asset write-downs:						
Real estate - development related		94,513		80,834		
Other real estate assets		28,000		6,989		
Partially owned entities		11,481		17,820		
Marketable equity securities		-		3,361		
Non-cash mezzanine loans receivable loss accrual (reversal)		(60,000)		68,000		
Net (gain) resulting from Lexington's stock issuance		(7,712)		-		
Acquisition costs		4,094		-		
Income from terminated sale of land		-		(27,089)		
FFO attributable to discontinued operations		(1,124)		(3,625)		
Other, net		3,174		2,204		

	(119,424)	201,405
Noncontrolling interests' share of above adjustments	7,835	(15,300)
Items that affect comparability, net (income) expense	\$ (111,589)	\$ 186,105

The percentage increase in GAAP basis and cash basis same store EBITDA of our operating segments for the quarter ended December 31, 2010 over the quarter ended December 31, 2009 and the trailing quarter ended September 30, 2010 are summarized below.

	New York	Washington, DC		Merchandise
Same Store EBITDA:	Office	Office	Retail	Mart
December 31, 2010 vs.				
December 31, 2009				
GAAP basis	0.1%	5.4%	5.8%	(4.2%)
Cash Basis	(0.9%)	10.0%	5.6%	(6.1%)
December 31, 2010 vs.				
September 30, 2010				
GAAP basis	(0.8%)	(0.9%)	2.3%	11.1% (1)
Cash Basis	(3.1%)	(0.9%)	4.9%	7.9% (1)

## (1) Primarily from the timing of trade shows.

Calculations of same store EBITDA, reconciliations of our net income to EBITDA and FFO and the reasons we consider these non-GAAP financial measures useful are provided in the following pages of Management's Discussion and Analysis of the Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

#### Overview - continued

### 2010 Acquisitions and Investments

Vornado Capital Partners, L.P. and Vornado Capital Partners Parallel, L.P. (the "Fund")

On July 6, 2010, we completed an initial closing of the Fund with aggregate equity commitments of \$550,000,000, of which we committed \$200,000,000. We expect to close on an additional \$250,000,000 of equity commitments in the first quarter of 2011. We are the general partner and investment manager of the Fund and it is our exclusive investment vehicle during the three-year investment period for all investments that fit within the Fund's investment parameters, including debt, equity and other interests in real estate, and excluding (i) investments in vacant land and ground-up development; (ii) investments acquired by merger or primarily for our securities or properties; (iii) properties which can be combined with or relate to our existing properties; (iv) securities of commercial mortgage loan servicers and investments derived from any such investments; (v) noncontrolling interests in equity and debt securities; and (vi) investments located outside of North America. The Fund has a term of eight years. We consolidate the accounts of the Fund into our consolidated financial statements. In 2010, we incurred \$6,482,000 for organization costs of the Fund, net of the Fund's reimbursement to us, which are included in "general and administrative" expenses on our consolidated statement of income.

The Fund is accounted for under the AICPA Investment Company Guide and its investments are reported on its balance sheet at fair value, with changes in value each period recognized in earnings. As of December 31, 2010, the Fund received \$146,789,000 of capital from partners, including \$53,378,000 from us. During the second half of 2010, the Fund made four investments aggregating approximately \$145,000,000 and reimbursed us for \$1,500,000 of organization costs.

Investment in J.C. Penney Company, Inc. ("J.C. Penney") (NYSE: JCP)

We own an economic interest in 23,400,000 J.C. Penney common shares, or 9.9% of J.C. Penney's outstanding common shares. Below are the details of our investment.

We own 18,584,010 common shares at an average price of \$25.70 per share, or \$477,678,000 in the aggregate. These shares, which have an aggregate fair value of \$600,449,000 at December 31, 2010, are included in marketable equity securities on our consolidated balance sheet and are classified as "available for sale." Of these shares, 15,500,000 were

acquired through the exercise of a call option that originated on September 28, 2010 and settled on November 9, 2010. During the period in which the call option was outstanding and classified as a derivative instrument, we recognized \$112,537,000 of income from the mark-to-market of the underlying common shares, which is included in "interest and other investment income (loss), net" on our consolidated statement of income. During the period from November 10 through December 31, 2010, we recognized \$10,234,000 from the mark-to-market of the common shares classified as available-for-sale, which is included in "accumulated other comprehensive income" (a component of shareholders' equity on our consolidated balance sheet).

We also own an economic interest in 4,815,990 common shares through a forward contract executed on October 7, 2010, at a weighted average strike price of \$28.65 per share, or \$137,989,000 in the aggregate. The contract may be settled, at our election, in cash or common shares, in whole or in part, at any time prior to October 9, 2012. The counterparty may accelerate settlement, in whole or in part, upon one year's notice to us. The strike price per share increases at an annual rate of LIBOR plus 80 basis points and decreases for dividends received on the shares. The contract is a derivative instrument that does not qualify for hedge accounting treatment. Mark-to-market adjustments on the underlying common shares are recognized in "interest and other investment income (loss), net" on our consolidated statement of income. During the period from October 7, 2010 through December 31, 2010, we recognized \$17,616,000 of income from the mark-to-market of this position, based on J.C. Penney's closing share price of \$32.31 at December 31, 2010.

As of December 31, 2010, the aggregate economic net gain on our investment in J.C. Penney was \$140,387,000, based on J.C. Penney's closing share price of \$32.31 per share and our weighted average cost of \$26.31 per share.

#### Overview - continued

## 2010 Acquisitions and Investments – continued

Investment in LNR Property Corporation ("LNR")

On July 29, 2010, as a part of LNR's recapitalization, we acquired a 26.2% equity interest in LNR for \$116,000,000 in cash and conversion into equity of our \$15,000,000 mezzanine loan (the then current carrying amount) made to LNR's parent, Riley Holdco Corp. The recapitalization involved an infusion of a total of \$417,000,000 in new cash equity and the reduction of LNR's total debt to \$425,000,000 from \$1.3 billion, excluding liabilities related to the consolidated CMBS and CDO trusts described below. We account for our equity interest in LNR under the equity method on a one-quarter lag basis.

LNR consolidates certain commercial mortgage-backed securities ("CMBS") and Collateralized Debt Obligation ("CDO") trusts for which it is the primary beneficiary. The assets of these trusts (primarily commercial mortgage loans), which aggregate approximately \$142 billion as of September 30, 2010, are the sole source of repayment of the related liabilities, which are non-recourse to LNR and its equity holders, including us. Changes in the fair value of these assets each period are offset by changes in the fair value of the related liabilities through LNR's consolidated income statement.

## 510 Fifth Avenue

On October 8, 2010, we acquired 510 Fifth Avenue, a 59,000 square foot retail property located at 43<sup>rd</sup> Street and Fifth Avenue in New York, for \$57,000,000, comprised of \$24,700,000 in cash and \$32,300,000 of existing debt. We consolidate the accounts of this property into our consolidated financial statements from the date of the acquisition.

San Jose, California

On October 15, 2010, we acquired the 55% interest that we did not already own of a 646,000 square foot retail property located in San Jose, California, for \$97,000,000, consisting of \$27,000,000 in cash and \$70,000,000 of existing debt. We consolidate the accounts of the property into our consolidated financial statements from the date of this acquisition.

Atlantic City, New Jersey

On November 4, 2010, we acquired 11.3 acres of the land under a portion of the Borgata Hotel and Casino complex for \$83,000,000 in cash. The land is leased to the partnership that controls the Borgata Hotel and Casino complex through December 2070. In January 2011, we completed a 10-year \$60,000,000 financing of this land. The loan has a fixed interest rate of 5.14% and amortizes beginning in the third year, based on a 30-year schedule.

#### Overview - continued

### **2010 Dispositions**

On October 20, 2010, we sold a 45% ownership interest in 1299 Pennsylvania Avenue (the Warner Building) and 1101 17<sup>th</sup> Street, for \$236,700,000, comprised of \$91,000,000 in cash and the assumption of existing mortgage debt. We retained the remaining 55% ownership interest and continue to manage and lease the properties. Based on the Warner Building's implied fair value of \$445,000,000, we recognized a net gain of \$54,000,000 in the fourth quarter of 2010. The gain on 1101 17<sup>th</sup> Street, based on an implied fair value of \$81,000,000, will be recognized when we monetize our investment. We share control over major decisions with our joint venture partner. Accordingly, these properties are accounted for under the equity method from the date of the sale.

On January 12, 2011, we sold 1140 Connecticut Avenue and contracted to sell 1227 25<sup>th</sup> Street, subject to customary closing conditions, for an aggregate price of \$127,000,000. We will retain net proceeds of approximately \$107,000,000, after repaying an existing mortgage and recognize a net gain of approximately \$44,000,000 in the first quarter of 2011.

In March 2010, we ceased making debt service payments on the mortgage loan secured by the High Point Complex in North Carolina as a result of insufficient cash flow and the loan went into default. In November 2010, the property was placed in receivership. While the receivership process is inherently lengthy, we anticipate that the property will be sold in the first half of 2011, at which time the assets and liabilities will be removed from our consolidated balance sheet and we will recognize a net gain of approximately \$80,000,000. Accordingly, we have reclassified the results of operations of the property to "(loss) income from discontinued operations," and the related assets and liabilities to "assets related to discontinued operations" for all periods presented in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

## 2010 Financing Activities

On February 11, 2011, we completed a \$425,000,000 refinancing of Two Penn Plaza, a 1.6 million square foot Manhattan office building. The seven-year loan bears interest at LIBOR plus 2.00%, which was swapped for the term of the loan to a fixed rate of 5.13%. The loan amortizes based on a 30-year schedule beginning in the fourth year. We retained net proceeds of approximately \$139,000,000 after repaying the existing loan and closing costs.

On February 10, 2011, we completed a \$150,000,000 financing of 2121 Crystal Drive, a 506,000 square foot office building located in Crystal City, Arlington, Virginia. The 12-year fixed rate loan bears interest at 5.51% and amortizes based on a 30-year schedule beginning in third year. This property was previously unencumbered.

On January 10, 2011, we completed a \$75,000,000 financing of North Bergen (Tonnelle Avenue), a 410,000 square foot strip shopping center. The seven-year fixed rate loan bears interest rate at 4.59%, provides for interest only payments during the first five years of the term and amortizes based on a 25-year schedule. This property was previously unencumbered.

In December 2010, we acquired the mortgage loan secured by the Springfield Mall, located in Fairfax County, Virginia for \$115,000,000 in cash. The loan had an outstanding balance of \$171,500,000. In a separate transaction, we acquired our partner's interest in the partnership that owns the mall in exchange for \$25,000,000 in Operating Partnership units. These transactions resulted in a \$102,932,000 net gain on early extinguishment of debt.

In August 2010, we sold \$660,000,000 of 10-year mortgage notes in a single issuer securitization. The notes are comprised of a \$600,000,000 fixed rate component and a \$60,000,000 variable rate component and are cross-collateralized by 40 of our strip shopping centers. The \$600,000,000 fixed rate portion bears interest at an initial rate of 4.18% and a weighted average rate of 4.31% over the 10-year term and amortizes based on a 30-year schedule. The variable rate portion bears interest at LIBOR plus 1.36%, with a 1% floor (2.36% at December 31, 2010).

In March 2010, we completed a public offering of \$500,000,000 aggregate principal amount of 4.25% senior unsecured notes due April 1, 2015 and retained net proceeds of approximately \$496,000,000. The notes were sold at 99.834% of their face amount to yield 4.287%. The notes can be redeemed without penalty beginning January 1, 2015.

In 2010, through open market repurchases and tender offers, we purchased \$270,491,000 aggregate face amount (\$264,476,000 aggregate carrying amount) of our convertible senior debentures and \$17,000,000 aggregate face amount (\$16,981,000 aggregate carrying amount) of our senior unsecured notes for \$274,857,000 and \$17,382,000 in cash, respectively, resulting in a net loss of \$10,381,000 and \$401,000, respectively.

## **Overview - continued**

## **Leasing Activity**

The leasing activity presented below is based on leases signed during the period and is not intended to coincide with the commencement of rental revenue in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"). Tenant improvements and leasing commissions presented below are based on square feet leased during the period.

(Canada fact in the area de)	Nove	y York		hington, DC				Merchan	dias M	[aw4
(Square feet in thousands)		y rork Office		ffice	Do	tail <sup>(3)</sup>	•	Merchan Office		ıarı wroom
As of December 31, 2010:	U	16,194	U	17,823	Ke	23,453	·	2,608	5110	
Square feet (in service)		28		82		161		2,008		4,204
Number of properties		_		_		_		_		6
Occupancy rate		95.6%		94.3%(2)		92.3%		91.5%		93.2%
Leasing Activity:										
Quarter Ended December 31,										
2010:										
Total square feet leased		243		408		187		35		117
Initial rent (1)	\$	55.70	\$	38.77	\$	25.86	\$	27.92	\$	37.32
Weighted average lease term	·		·		·		·			
(years)		6.7		4.1		6.9		11.8		4.6
Relet space (included										
above):										
Square feet		193		352		44		22		117
Initial rent - cash basis (1)	\$	50.15	\$	38.83	\$	30.44	\$	27.85	\$	37.32
Prior escalated rent -	Ψ	00.10	Ψ	20.02	Ψ		Ψ	27.00	Ψ	07.02
cash basis	\$	50.81	\$	39.52	\$	26.99	\$	34.82	\$	38.62
Percentage (decrease)	Ψ	20.01	Ψ	37.32	Ψ	20.55	Ψ	5 1.02	Ψ	20.02
increase:										
mercuse.								(20.0%)		
Cash basis		(1.3%)		(1.7%)		12.8%		(20.070)		(3.4%)
GAAP basis		4.3%		5.7%		19.7%		8.4%		3.2%
Tenant improvements and										
leasing										
commissions:										
Per square foot	\$	41.49	\$	16.74	\$	10.17	\$	70.17	\$	3.97
Per square foot per	·		,		•				·	
annum:	\$	6.19	\$	4.08	\$	1.47	\$	5.95	\$	0.86
	·	11.1%	,	10.5%		5.7%	·	21.3%	·	2.6%

Percentage of initial rent

# Year Ended December 31, 2010:

010.					
Total square feet leased	1,277	1,697	1,209	364	610
Initial rent (1)	\$ 49.81	\$ 38.41	\$ 24.36	\$ 29.04	\$ 36.03
Weighted average lease term					
(years)	7.5	4.4	8.5	13.4	4.1
Relet space (included					
above):					
Square feet	1,061	1,385	392	87	610
Initial rent - cash basis (1)	\$ 49.65	\$ 38.51	\$ 18.09	\$ 26.49	\$ 36.03
Prior escalated rent -					
cash basis	\$ 51.91	\$ 36.71	\$ 16.76	\$ 27.32	\$ 36.80
Percentage (decrease)					
increase:					
Cash basis	(4.4%)	4.9%	7.9%	(3.0%)	(2.1%)
GAAP basis	(1.9%)	10.0%	13.4%	14.9%	4.0%
Tenant improvements and					
leasing					
commissions:					
Per square foot	\$ 50.29	\$ 12.85	\$ 11.98	\$ 88.22	\$ 4.11
Per square foot per					
annum:	\$ 6.70	\$ 2.92	\$ 1.41	\$ 6.58	\$ 1.00
Percentage of					
initial rent	13.5%	7.6%	5.8%	22.7%	3.9%

See notes on the following table

## **Overview - continued**

Washington,											
(Square feet in thousands)		w York		DC				Merchai			
As of December 31, 2009:	(	Office		Office	F	Retail <sup>(3)</sup>		Office	Showroom		
Square feet (in service)		16,173		17,646		22,553		2,432		4,351	
Number of properties		28		82		162		6		6	
Occupancy rate		95.5%		93.3%(2)		91.6%		88.8%		89.4%	
<b>Leasing Activity:</b>											
Year Ended December 31, 2009:											
Total square feet leased		1,448		3,158		1,139		203		754	
Initial rent (1)	\$	52.25	\$	40.26	\$	23.28	\$	34.76	\$	37.04	
Weighted average lease term			·		·		·		·		
(years)		8.8		4.3		9.7		7.1		4.2	
Relet space (included above):											
Square feet		1,304		2,849		472		203		754	
Initial rent - cash basis (1)	\$	52.42	\$	40.13	\$	17.99	\$	34.76	\$	37.04	
Prior escalated rent - cash											
basis	\$	52.16	\$	34.56	\$	16.67	\$	33.75	\$	37.29	
Percentage (decrease)											
increase:											
Cash basis		0.5%		16.1%		7.9%		3.0%		(0.7%)	
GAAP basis		4.7%		18.9%		16.4%		18.0%		8.2%	
Tenant improvements and											
leasing											
commissions:											
Per square foot	\$	48.48	\$	9.03	\$	8.00	\$	34.30	\$	3.15	
Per square foot per											
annum:	\$	5.51	\$	2.10	\$	0.82	\$	4.83	\$	0.75	
Percentage of											
initial rent		10.5%		5.2%		3.5%		13.9%		2.7%	

December 31, 2010 94.0% 94.7%

<sup>(1)</sup> Most leases include periodic step-ups in rent which are not reflected in the initial rent per square foot leased.

<sup>(2)</sup> Excluding residential and other properties, occupancy rates for the office properties were as follows.

December 31, 2009

Mall sales per square foot, including partially owned malls, for the trailing twelve months ended December 31, (3) 2010 and 2009 were \$461 and

\$466, respectively.

## Recently Issued Accounting Literature

In the fourth quarter of 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued an update to the guidance contained in Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 310, *Receivables*. The new guidance requires companies to provide more information about the credit quality of their financing receivables in the disclosures to financial statements including, but not limited to, significant purchases and sales of financing receivables, aging information and credit quality indicators. The adoption of this accounting guidance did not have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

On January 21, 2010, the FASB issued an update to ASC 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, adding new requirements for disclosures about transfers into and out of Levels 1 and 2 fair value measurements and additional disclosures about the activity within Level 3 fair value measurements. The adoption of this guidance on January 1, 2010 did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued an update to ASC 810, Consolidation, which modifies the existing quantitative guidance used in determining the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity ("VIE") by requiring entities to qualitatively assess whether an enterprise is a primary beneficiary, based on whether the entity has (i) power over the significant activities of the VIE, and (ii) an obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits that could be potentially significant to the VIE. The adoption of this guidance on January 1, 2010 did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

#### **Critical Accounting Policies**

In preparing the consolidated financial statements we have made estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Set forth below is a summary of the accounting policies that we believe are critical to the preparation of our consolidated financial statements. The summary should be read in conjunction with the more complete discussion of our accounting policies included in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Real Estate

Real estate is carried at cost, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the carrying amounts of real estate, net of accumulated depreciation, were \$14.9 billion and \$15.1 billion, respectively. Maintenance and repairs are expensed as incurred. Depreciation requires an estimate by management of

the useful life of each property and improvement as well as an allocation of the costs associated with a property to its various components. If we do not allocate these costs appropriately or incorrectly estimate the useful lives of our real estate, depreciation expense may be misstated. As real estate is undergoing development activities, all property operating expenses, including interest expense, are capitalized to the cost of real property to the extent we believe such costs are recoverable through the value of the property.

Upon the acquisition of real estate, we assess the fair value of acquired assets (including land, buildings and improvements, identified intangibles such as acquired above and below-market leases and acquired in-place leases and tenant relationships) and acquired liabilities and we allocate purchase price based on these assessments. We assess fair value based on estimated cash flow projections that utilize appropriate discount and capitalization rates and available market information. Estimates of future cash flows are based on a number of factors, including historical operating results, known trends and market/economic conditions.

Our properties, including any related intangible assets, are individually reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. An impairment exists when the carrying amount of an asset exceeds the aggregate projected future cash flows over the anticipated holding period on an undiscounted basis. An impairment loss is measured based on the excess of the property's carrying amount over its estimated fair value. Impairment analyses are based on our current plans, intended holding periods and available market information at the time the analyses are prepared. If our estimates of the projected future cash flows, anticipated holding periods, or market conditions change, our evaluation of impairment losses may be different and such differences could be material to our consolidated financial statements. The evaluation of anticipated cash flows is subjective and is based, in part, on assumptions regarding future occupancy, rental rates and capital requirements that could differ materially from actual results. Plans to hold properties over longer periods decrease the likelihood of recording impairment losses.

## **Critical Accounting Policies – continued**

Identified Intangibles

As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the carrying amounts of identified intangible assets (including acquired above-market leases, tenant relationships and acquired in-place leases) were \$348,745,000 and \$439,549,000, respectively. The carrying amounts of identified intangible liabilities, a component of "deferred credit" on our consolidated balance sheets, were \$528,905,000 and \$606,390,000, respectively. Identified intangibles are recorded at their estimated fair value, separate and apart from goodwill. Identified intangibles that are determined to have finite lives are amortized over the period in which they are expected to contribute directly or indirectly to the future cash flows of the property or business acquired. Intangible assets that are subject to amortization are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. An impairment loss is measured based on the excess of the carrying amount of the identified intangible over its estimated fair value. If intangible assets are impaired or estimated useful lives change, the impact to our consolidated financial statements could be material.

Mezzanine Loans Receivable

As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the carrying amounts of mezzanine loans receivable were \$202,412,000 and \$203,286,000, respectively, net of valuation allowances of \$73,216,000 and \$190,738,000, respectively. We invest in mezzanine loans of entities that have significant real estate assets. These investments, which are subordinate to the mortgage loans secured by the real property, are generally secured by pledges of the equity interests of the entities owning the underlying real estate. We record these investments at the stated principal amount net of any unamortized discount or premium. We accrete or amortize any discount or premium over the life of the related receivable utilizing the effective interest method or straight-line method, if the result is not materially different. We evaluate the collectability of both interest and principal of each of our loans whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate such amounts may not be recoverable. A loan is impaired when it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the existing contractual terms. When a loan is impaired, the amount of the loss accrual is calculated by comparing the carrying amount of the investment to the estimated fair value of the loan or, as a practical expedient, to the value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. If our estimates of the collectability of both interest and principal or the fair value of our loans change based on market conditions or otherwise, our evaluation of impairment losses may be different and such differences could be material to our consolidated financial statements.

Partially Owned Entities

As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the carrying amounts of investments in partially owned entities, including Alexander's and Toys "R" Us, were \$1.4 billion and \$1.2 billion, respectively. In determining whether we have a controlling interest in a partially owned entity and the requirement to consolidate the accounts of that entity, we consider factors such as ownership interest, board representation, management representation, authority to make decisions, and contractual and substantive participating rights of the partners/members as well as whether the entity is a variable interest entity in which we have the power over significant activities of the entity and the obligation to absorb losses or receive benefits that could potentially be significant to the entity. We account for investments on the equity method when the requirements for consolidation are not met and we have significant influence over the operations of the investee. Equity method investments are initially recorded at cost and subsequently adjusted for our share of net income or loss and cash contributions and distributions each period. Investments that do not qualify for consolidation or equity method accounting are accounted for on the cost method.

Investments in partially owned entities are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. An impairment loss is measured based on the excess of the carrying amount of an investment over its estimated fair value. Impairment analyses are based on current plans, intended holding periods and available information at the time the analyses are prepared. The ultimate realization of our investments in partially owned entities is dependent on a number of factors, including the performance of each investment and market conditions. If our estimates of the projected future cash flows, the nature of development activities for properties for which such activities are planned and the estimated fair value of the investment change based on market conditions or otherwise, our evaluation of impairment losses may be different and such differences could be material to our consolidated financial statements. The evaluation of anticipated cash flows is subjective and is based, in part, on assumptions regarding future occupancy, rental rates and capital requirements that could differ materially from actual results.

## **Critical Accounting Policies – continued**

Allowance For Doubtful Accounts

We periodically evaluate the collectability of amounts due from tenants and maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts (\$62,979,000 and \$46,708,000 as of December 31, 2010 and 2009) for estimated losses resulting from the inability of tenants to make required payments under their lease agreements. We also maintain an allowance for receivables arising from the straight-lining of rents (\$7,323,000 and \$4,672,000 as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively). This receivable arises from earnings recognized in excess of amounts currently due under the lease agreements. Management exercises judgment in establishing these allowances and considers payment history and current credit status in developing these estimates. These estimates may differ from actual results, which could be material to our consolidated financial statements.

Revenue Recognition

We have the following revenue sources and revenue recognition policies:

- Base Rent income arising from tenant leases. These rents are recognized over the non-cancelable term of the related leases on a straight-line basis which includes the effects of rent steps and rent abatements under the leases. We commence rental revenue recognition when the tenant takes possession of the leased space and the leased space is substantially ready for its intended use. In addition, in circumstances where we provide a tenant improvement allowance for improvements that are owned by the tenant, we recognize the allowance as a reduction of rental revenue on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease.
- Percentage Rent income arising from retail tenant leases that is contingent upon tenant sales exceeding defined thresholds. These rents are recognized only after the contingency has been removed (i.e., when tenant sales thresholds have been achieved).
- Hotel Revenue income arising from the operation of the Hotel Pennsylvania which consists of rooms revenue, food and beverage revenue, and banquet revenue. Income is recognized when rooms are occupied. Food and beverage and banquet revenue are recognized when the services have been rendered.

•	Trade Shows Revenue –	<ul><li>income a</li></ul>	arising from	m the ope	ration of	trade show	s, including	g rentals o	f booths.	This r	evenue
is 1	ecognized when the trade	e shows har	ve occurre	ed.							

- Expense Reimbursements revenue arising from tenant leases which provide for the recovery of all or a portion of the operating expenses and real estate taxes of the respective property. This revenue is accrued in the same periods as the expenses are incurred.
- Management, Leasing and Other Fees income arising from contractual agreements with third parties or with partially owned entities. This revenue is recognized as the related services are performed under the respective agreements.

Before we recognize revenue, we assess, among other things, its collectibility. If our assessment of the collectibility of revenue changes, the impact on our consolidated financial statements could be material.

Income Taxes

We operate in a manner intended to enable us to continue to qualify as a Real Estate Investment Trust ("REIT") under Sections 856-860 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. Under those sections, a REIT which distributes at least 90% of its REIT taxable income as a dividend to its shareholders each year and which meets certain other conditions will not be taxed on that portion of its taxable income which is distributed to its shareholders. We distribute to our shareholders 100% of our taxable income. Therefore, no provision for Federal income taxes is required. If we fail to distribute the required amount of income to our shareholders, or fail to meet other REIT requirements, we may fail to qualify as a REIT which may result in substantial adverse tax consequences.

## Net Income and EBITDA by Segment for the Years Ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008.

(Amounts in							
thousands)	usands) For the Year Ended December 31, 2010						
			Washington,				
		New York	DC		Merchandise		2 (2)
_	Total	Office	Office	Retail	Mart	Toys	Other <sup>(3)</sup>
Property	ф <b>2 12</b> 0 20 4	Φ 555.1.40	Φ 766041	<b># 200 400</b>	<b>4.210.002</b>	Φ.	ф. 160 <b>.72</b> 0
rentals	\$ 2,129,284	\$ 775,142	\$ 566,041	\$ 398,489	\$ 219,882	\$ -	\$ 169,730
Straight-line							
rent	75 071	24 212	5,849	20.070	2.756		2.075
adjustments Amortization	75,871	34,212	3,849	29,079	2,756	-	3,975
of acquired							
below-							
market							
leases,							
net	66,202	36,081	2,326	22,213	(75)	_	5,657
Total rentals	2,271,357	845,435	574,216	449,781	222,563	_	179,362
Tenant	_,	0.10,100	,	, ,	,		
expense							
reimbursements							
	360,448	137,624	51,963	145,905	13,998	-	10,958
Fee and other							
income:							
Tenant							
cleaning	50.052	00 661					(20.611)
fees Management	58,053	88,664	-	-	-	-	(30,611)
Management and leasing							
fees	20,117	6,192	15,934	1,029	156	_	(3,194)
Lease	20,117	0,172	13,731	1,025	130		(3,171)
termination							
fees	14,826	4,270	1,148	7,641	467	-	1,300
Other	54,926	22,283	21,427	4,172	3,904	-	3,140
Total revenues					•		
	2,779,727	1,104,468	664,688	608,528	241,088	-	160,955
Operating	1 000 470	470 177	212 025	224 240	125.062		(5.162
expenses	1,099,478	470,177	213,935	224,340	125,863	-	65,163
Depreciation							
and amortization	530,704	176,931	142,720	110,416	46,155		54,482
General and	330,704	170,931	142,720	110,410	40,133	-	34,462
administrative							
administrative	214,225	18,621	25,464	29,610	26,953	-	113,577
Impairment	129,458	-	-	72,500	20,000	-	36,958
losses and							

	_	-					
acquisition							
costs Total expenses							
-	1,973,865	665,729	382,119	436,866	218,971	-	270,180
Operating income (loss)	805,862	438,739	282,569	171,662	22,117	_	(109,225)
Income	,	,	, , , , , , ,	, ,	,		( , - ,
applicable to	<b>51</b> (24					71.624	
Toys Income (loss)	71,624	-	-	-	-	71,624	-
from partially							
owned							
entities	22,438	(6,354)	(564)	9,401	(179)	-	20,134
(Loss) from Real Estate							
Fund	(303)	-	-	-	-	-	(303)
Interest and							
other investment							
income, net	235,315	608	157	180	47	_	234,323
Interest and							
debt expense	(560,270)	(132,279)	(130,540)	(85,281)	(37,932)	-	(174,238)
Net gain (loss) on early							
extinguishment							
of debt	94,789	-	-	105,571	-	-	(10,782)
Net gain on disposition of							
wholly							
owned and							
partially owned							
assets	81,432	-	54,742	_	765	_	25,925
Income (loss)							
before income	750 007	200 714	206.264	201 522	(15 192)	71.624	(14.166)
taxes Income tax	750,887	300,714	206,364	201,533	(15,182)	71,624	(14,166)
expense	(22,476)	(2,167)	(1,816)	(37)	(173)	-	(18,283)
Income (loss)							
from continuing							
operations	728,411	298,547	204,548	201,496	(15,355)	71,624	(32,449)
(Loss) from	,	,	,	,	, ,	,	( , ,
discontinued	(20, 200)		(4.401)	(2.627)	(12.0(0)		
operations Net income	(20,380)	-	(4,481)	(2,637)	(13,262)	-	-
(loss)	708,031	298,547	200,067	198,859	(28,617)	71,624	(32,449)
Net (income)					•		
loss							
attributable to							

noncontrolling interests in consolidated subsidiaries	(4,920)	(9,559)	_	(778)	_	-	5,417
Net (income) attributable to noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership, including unit distributions							
Net income (loss) attributable to	(55,228)	-	-	-	-	-	(55,228)
Vornado	647,883	288,988	200,067	198,081	(28,617)	71,624	(82,260)
Interest and debt expense <sup>(2)</sup> Depreciation and	828,082	126,209	136,174	92,653	61,379	177,272	234,395
and amortization <sup>(2)</sup> Income tax (benefit)	729,426	170,505	159,283	114,335	51,064	131,284	102,955
expense <sup>(2)</sup> EBITDA <sup>(1)</sup>	(23,036) \$ 2,182,355	\$ 2,167 587,869	\$ 2,027 497,551	37 \$ 405,106	\$ 232 84,058	(45,418) \$ 334,762	\$ 17,919 273,009

See notes on page 80.

## Net Income and EBITDA by Segment for the Years Ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 - continued

(Amounts in thousands)			For the Year E Washington,	nded Decem	·		
	Total	New York Office	DC Office	Retail	Merchandise Mart	Toys	Other(3)
Property rentals Straight-line rent	\$ 2,021,072	\$ 758,557	\$ 526,683	\$ 362,689	\$ 213,911	\$ -	\$ 159,232
adjustments Amortization of acquired below- market leases,	89,168	36,805	22,683	27,104	2,107	-	469
net	71,954	40,129	3,452	22,993	89	_	5,291
Total rentals	2,182,194	835,491	552,818	412,786	216,107	_	164,992
Tenant	_,,_	,		,,,,,,	,		
expense							
reimbursements	357,186	136,541	60,620	134,670	15,517	-	9,838
Fee and other							
income:							
Tenant							
cleaning							
fees	53,824	75,549	-	-	-	-	(21,725)
Management							
and leasing							
fees	11,456	4,211	8,183	1,731	88	-	(2,757)
Lease							
termination							
fees	4,888	1,840	2,224	464	221	-	139
Other	87,144	18,868	47,745	2,619	9,458	-	8,454
Total revenues	2,696,692	1,072,500	671,590	552,270	241,391	-	158,941
Operating expenses Depreciation and	1,067,229	452,370	220,333	204,224	125,602	-	64,700
amortization General and	531,637	173,923	142,415	101,353	51,064	-	62,882
administrative	231,010	22,820	26,205	30,339	31,017	-	120,629

Impairment losses and acquisition							
costs	75,963	-	24,875	11,789	-	-	39,299
Total expenses	1,905,839	649,113	413,828	347,705	207,683	-	287,510
Operating income (loss) Income	790,853	423,387	257,762	204,565	33,708	-	(128,569)
applicable to Toys (Loss) income	92,300	-	-	-	-	92,300	-
from partially owned entities	(19,910)	5,817	4,850	4,728	151	-	(35,456)
Interest and other investment (loss)							
income, net Interest and	(116,350)	876	786	69	95	-	(118,176)
debt expense Net (loss) gain on early extinguishment	(617,994)	(133,647)	(128,039)	(89,070)	(38,009)	-	(229,229)
of debt Net gain on disposition of wholly owned and partially owned	(25,915)	-	-	769	-	-	(26,684)
assets Income (loss)	5,641	-	-	-	-	-	5,641
before income taxes	108,625	296,433	135,359	121,061	(4,055)	92,300	(532,473)
Income tax expense Income (loss) from	(20,642)	(1,332)	(1,482)	(319)	(2,140)	-	(15,369)
continuing operations Income (loss) from	87,983	295,101	133,877	120,742	(6,195)	92,300	(547,842)
discontinued operations Net income	40,467	-	52,308	(6,791)	(5,050)	-	-
(loss) Net loss (income)	128,450	295,101	186,185	113,951	(11,245)	92,300	(547,842)

Edgar Filing: VORNADO REALTY TRUST - Form 10-K

attributable to noncontrolling interests in consolidated subsidiaries	g 2,839	(9,098)	_	915	-	-	11,022
Net (income) attributable to							
noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership, including unit distributions							(25, 120)
Net income	(25,120)	-	-	-	-	-	(25,120)
(loss) attributable to							
Vornado	106,169	286,003	186,185	114,866	(11,245)	92,300	(561,940)
Interest and debt expense <sup>(2)</sup> Depreciation and	826,827	126,968	132,610	95,990	52,862	127,390	291,007
amortization <sup>(2)</sup> Income tax	728,815	168,517	152,747	105,903	56,702	132,227	112,719
expense (benefit) <sup>(2)</sup>	10,193	1,332	1,590	319	2,208	(13,185)	17,929
EBITDA <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 1,672,004	\$ 582,820	\$ -	\$ 317,078	\$ 100,527	\$ 338,732	\$ (140,285)

See notes on page 80.

## Net Income and EBITDA by Segment for the Years Ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 - continued

(Amounts in thousands)	For the Year Ended December 31, 2008 Washington,							
		New York	DC		Merchandise			
	Total	Office	Office	Retail	Mart	Toys	Other <sup>(3)</sup>	
Property rentals	\$ 1,975,838	\$ 722,445	\$ 497,735	\$ 342,714	\$ 215,854	\$ -	197,090 \$	
Straight-line rent	, ,	,		·				
adjustments Amortization of acquired below-	88,703	42,766	15,720	20,384	8,516	-	1,317	
market leases,								
net	95,532	60,355	3,998	26,546	161	-	4,472 202,879	
Total rentals Tenant expense	2,160,073	825,566	517,453	389,644	224,531	-	_0_,019	
Fee and other	353,602	135,788	57,793	127,903	18,055	-	14,063	
income: Tenant cleaning fees							(15,417)	
Management	56,416	71,833	-	-	-	-		
and leasing fees Lease termination	13,397	6,411	8,940	1,673	349	-	(3,976)	
fees	8,465	3,088	2,635	2,281	461	_	_	
Other	48,538	15,699	22,350	2,543	6,811	-	1,135 198,684	
Total revenues Operating	2,640,491	1,058,385	609,171	524,044	250,207	-	,	
expenses Depreciation and	1,048,537	439,012	211,687	198,802	127,437	-	71,599	
amortization General and	529,134	190,925	135,351	90,974	46,823	-	65,061	
administrative	193,969 81,447	20,217	26,522	29,836 595	29,252	-	88,142 80,852	

Impairment losses and acquisition costs							
Total expenses Operating	1,853,087	650,154	373,560	320,207	203,512	-	305,654 (106,970)
income (loss) Income	787,404	408,231	235,611	203,837	46,695	-	(100,970)
applicable to Toys (Loss) income from partially owned	2,380	-	-	-	-	2,380	(182,939)
entities Interest and other investment (loss)	(159,207)	6,082	6,173	10,371	1,106	-	(102,737)
income, net Interest and	(2,747)	2,288	2,108	464	329	-	(7,936) (231,135)
debt expense Net gain on early extinguishment	(619,531)	(139,146)	(125,141)	(85,895)	(38,214)	-	(231,133)
of debt Net gain on disposition of wholly owned and partially owned assets	9,820	-	-	-	-	-	9,820
Income (loss)	7,757	-	-	-	-	-	7,757
before income taxes Income tax	25,876	277,455	118,751	128,777	9,916	2,380	(511,403)
benefit (expense) Income (loss) from continuing	204,644	-	221,080	(82)	(1,206)	-	(15,148)
operations Income from	230,520	277,455	339,831	128,695	8,710	2,380	(526,551)
discontinued operations	180,925	-	64,849	3,001	1,163	-	111,912
Net income (loss)	411,445	277,455	404,680	131,696	9,873	2,380	(414,639)

Edgar Filing: VORNADO REALTY TRUST - Form 10-K

Net loss (income) attributable to noncontrolling interests in consolidated subsidiaries	3,263	(4,762)	-	157	(125)	-	7,993
Net (income) attributable to noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership,							
including unit distributions Net income (loss) attributable to	(55,411)	-	-	-	-	-	(55,411)
							(462,057)
Vornado Interest and	359,297	272,693	404,680	131,853	9,748	2,380	255,740
debt expense <sup>(2)</sup> Depreciation and	821,940	132,406	130,310	102,600	53,072	147,812	233,740
amortization <sup>(2)</sup> Income tax (benefit)	710,526	181,699	143,989	98,238	52,357	136,634	97,609
expense <sup>(2)</sup>	(142,415)	-	(220,965)	82	1,260	59,652	17,556
EBITDA <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 1,749,348	\$ 586,798	\$ 458,014	\$ 332,773	\$ 116,437	\$ 346,478	(91,152) \$

See notes on the following page.

## Net Income and EBITDA by Segment for the Years Ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 - continued

## Notes to preceding tabular information:

- (1) EBITDA represents "Earnings Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization." We consider EBITDA a supplemental measure for making decisions and assessing the unlevered performance of our segments as it relates to the total return on assets as opposed to the levered return on equity. As properties are bought and sold based on a multiple of EBITDA, we utilize these measures to make investment decisions as well as to compare the performance of our assets to that of our peers. EBITDA should not be considered a substitute for net income. EBITDA may not be comparable to similarly titled measures employed by other companies.
- (2) Interest and debt expense, depreciation and amortization and income tax (benefit) expense in the reconciliation of our net income (loss) to EBITDA includes our share of these items from partially owned entities.
- (3) The components of Other EBITDA are summarized below. The totals for each of the columns below agree to the total EBITDA for the "other" column in the preceding EBITDA by segment reconciliations.

(Amounts in thousands)	For the Year Ended December 31,							
	2010	2009	2008					
Alexander's	\$ 57,425	\$ 81,703	\$ 64,683					
Lexington Realty Trust ("Lexington")	55,304	50,024	35,150					
555 California Street	46,782	44,757	48,316					
Hotel Pennsylvania	23,763	15,108	42,269					
LNR (acquired in July 2010)	6,116	-	-					
Industrial warehouses	2,528	4,737	5,264					
Other investments	31,587	6,981	6,321					
	223,505	203,310	202,003					
Corporate general and administrative expenses (1)	(90,343)	(79,843)	(91,967)					
Investment income and other, net <sup>(1)</sup>	65,499	78,593	109,519					
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests in								
the Operating Partnership,								
including unit distributions	(55,228)	(25,120)	(55,411)					
Income (loss) from the mark-to-market of derivative								
positions in marketable equity								
securities	130,153	-	(33,740)					
Net (loss) gain on early extinguishment of debt	(10,782)	(26,684)	-					
Real Estate Fund organization costs	(5,937)	-	-					
-	53,100	(190,738)	10,300					

Non-cash mezzanine loans receivable loss (accrual) reversal

Non-cash asset write-downs:

Investment in Lexington	-	(19,121)	(107,882)
Marketable equity securities	-	(3,361)	(76,352)
Real estate - primarily development projects:			
Wholly owned entities (including			
acquisition costs)	(36,958)	(39,299)	(80,852)
Partially owned entities	-	(17,820)	(96,037)
Write-off of unamortized costs from the voluntary			
surrender of equity awards	-	(20,202)	-
Discontinued operations of Americold (including a			
\$112,690 net gain on sale)	-	-	129,267
	\$ 273,009	\$ (140,285)	\$ (91,152)

The amounts in these captions (for this table only) exclude the mark-to-market of our deferred compensation plan assets and offsetting liability.

## Results of Operations - Year Ended December 31, 2010 Compared to December 31, 2009

## Revenues

Our revenues, which consist of property rentals, tenant expense reimbursements, hotel revenues, trade shows revenues, amortization of acquired below-market leases, net of above-market leases and fee income, were \$2,779,727,000 for the year ended December 31, 2010, compared to \$2,696,692,000 in the prior year, an increase of \$83,035,000. Below are the details of the increase (decrease) by segment:

## (Amounts in thousands)

		New York	Washington, DC		Merchandise	
Increase						
(decrease) due						
to:	Total	Office	Office	Retail	Mart	Other
Property						
rentals:						
Acquisitions						
and other \$	(1,713)	\$ -	\$ (6,890)	\$ 4,161	\$ 2,064	\$ (1,048)
Development/re	edevelopment		10.216	2 400		
	12,716	-	10,316	2,400	-	-
Amortization						
of acquired						
below-market						
leases,						
net	(5,752)	(4,048)	(1,126)	(780)	(164)	366
Hotel	(3,732)	(4,040)	(1,120)	(700)	(104)	300
Pennsylvania						
Tellisylvallia	15,622	-	-	_	-	15,622 (1)
Trade						
shows	5,044	-	-	_	5,044	-
Leasing						
activity (see						
page 72)	63,246	13,992	19,098	31,214	(488)	(570)
	89,163	9,944	21,398	36,995	6,456	14,370
Tenant						
expense						
reimbursements:						
	1,079	_	(3,236)	4,564	_	(249)
	1,017		(3,230)	7,507		(27)

#### Acquisitions/development Operations 2,183 1,369 1,083 (5,421)6,671 (1,519)3,262 1,083 (8,657)11,235 (1,519)1,120 Fee and other income: Lease cancellation fee income 9,938 2,430 (1,076)7,177 246 1,161 Management and leasing 8,661 1,981 **(2)** 68 fees 7,751 (702)(437)**BMS** cleaning fees 4,229 13,115 (8,886)(3) 3,415 (5,554)(5,314)**(6)** Other (32,218)(26,318)1,553 20,941 (9,390)(19,643)8,028 (5,240)(13,476)Total increase (decrease) in \$ 31,968 (6,902)\$ 56,258 revenues \$ 83,035 \$ (303)\$ 2,014

- (1) Primarily from higher REVPAR.
- (2) Primarily from leasing fees in connection with our management of a development project.
- Primarily from the elimination of inter-company fees from operating segments upon consolidation. See note (3) (3) on page 82.
- (4) Primarily from income in the prior year resulting from a forfeited non-refundable purchase deposit. See note (5) on page 87.
- (5) Primarily from income in the prior year resulting from the surrender and build-out of tenant space.
- (6) Primariy from \$5,402 of income in the prior year resulting from the termination of a lease with a partially owned entity.

## Results of Operations - Year Ended December 31, 2010 Compared to December 31, 2009 - continued

## **Expenses**

Our expenses, which consist primarily of operating, depreciation and amortization and general and administrative expenses, were \$1,973,865,000 for the year ended December 31, 2010, compared to \$1,905,839,000 in the prior year, an increase of \$68,026,000. Below are the details of the increase (decrease) by segment:

# (Amounts in thousands)

		New York		Washington, DC			Merchandise								
Increase															
(decrease) due															
to:	Total	Office			Office	]	Retail			Mart					
Operating:															
Acquisitions															
and other	\$ (6,291)	\$ (4,688)		\$	(3,890)	\$	1,213		\$	1,770	\$	(696)			
Development/1	redevelopment 3,425	-			2,941		484			-		-			
Hotel activity	11,041	-			-		-			-		11,041			
Trade shows															
activity	(1,063)	-			-		-			(1,063)		-			
Operations	25,137	22,495	(1)		(5,449)		18,419	<b>(2)</b>		(446)		(9,882)	(3)		
	32,249	17,807			(6,398)		20,116			261		463			
Depreciation															
and															
amortization:															
Acquisitions/d	evelopment														
	(682)	-			(2,207)		2,132			-		(607)			
Operations	(251)	3,008			2,512		6,931			(4,909)		(7,793)			
	(933)	3,008			305		9,063			(4,909)		(8,400)			

# General and administrative:

Write-off of unamortized costs from

Edgar Filing: VORNADO REALTY TRUST - Form 10-K

the voluntary surrender of equity awards (4) Mark-to-marke of deferred compensation	(32,588)	(3,451)		(3,131)	(4,793)		(1,011)		(20,202	()	
plan liability <sup>(5)</sup> Real Estate Fund	(1,457)	-		-	-		-		(1,457	<b>'</b> )	
organization	5.027								5.02	7	
costs	5,937	(749)		2 200	1 06 1		(2.052)	(6)	5,93		`
Operations	11,323 (16,785)	(748) (4,199)		2,390 (741)	4,064 (729)		(3,053) (4,064)	(0)	8,67 (7,052	O	,
Impairment losses and acquisition costs	53,495	-		(24,875)	60,711	(8)	20,000		(2,341	)	
Total increase (decrease) in expenses	\$ 68,026	\$ 16,616	9	\$ (31,709)	\$ 89,161		\$ 11,288		\$ (17,330	))	

- (1) Results from increases in (i) BMS operating expenses of \$13,459, (ii) reimbursable operating expenses of \$5,953 and (iii) non-reimbursable operating expenses of \$3,083.
- (2) Results from increases in (i) reimbursable operating expenses of \$8,604, (ii) bad debt reserves of \$8,505, of which \$5,300 results from a true-up of prior year's billings and (iii) non-reimbursable operating expenses of \$1,310.
- (3) Primarily from the elimination of inter-company fees from operating segments upon consolidation. See note (3) on page 81.
- (4) On March 31, 2009, our nine most senior executives voluntarily surrendered their 2007 and 2008 stock option awards and their 2008 out-performance plan awards. Accordingly, we recognized \$32,588 of expense in the first quarter of 2009, representing the unamortized portion of these awards.
- (5) This decrease in expense is entirely offset by a corresponding decrease in income from the mark-to-market of the deferred compensation plan assets, a component of "interest and other investment income (loss), net" on our consolidated statements of income.
- (6) Primarily due to \$2,800 of pension plan termination costs in 2009.
- (7) Primarily from higher payroll costs and stock-based compensation expense as a result of awards granted in March 2010.

(8) Results from a \$64,500 non-cash impairment loss on the Springfield Mall.

#### Results of Operations - Year Ended December 31, 2010 Compared to December 31, 2009 - continued

#### **Income Applicable to Toys**

In the year ended December 31, 2010, we recognized net income of \$71,624,000 from our investment in Toys, comprised of \$61,819,000 for our 32.7% share of Toys' net income (\$16,401,000 before our share of Toys' income tax benefit) and \$9,805,000 of interest and other income.

In the year ended December 31, 2009, we recognized net income of \$92,300,000 from our investment in Toys, comprised of (i) \$71,601,000 for our 32.7% share of Toys' net income (\$58,416,000 before our share of Toys' income tax benefit), (ii) \$13,946,000 for our share of income from previously recognized deferred financing cost amortization expense, which we initially recorded as a reduction of the basis of our investment in Toys, and (iii) \$6,753,000 of interest and other income.

#### Income (Loss) from Partially Owned Entities

Summarized below are the components of income (loss) from partially owned entities for the year ended December 31, 2010 and 2009.

	For the Year Ended December 31,									
(Amounts in thousands)		2010		2009						
Equity in Net Income (Loss): Alexander's - 32.4% share of equity in net income (1)	\$	29,184	\$	53,529						
Lexington - 12.8% share in 2010 and 15.2% share in 2009 of equity in net income (loss) (2)		11,018		(25,665)						
LNR - 26.2% share of equity in net income (acquired in July 2010)		1,973		-						

India real estate ventures - 4% to 36.5% range in our share of equity in net income (loss)	2,581	(1,636)
Other, net (3)	(22,318) \$ 22,438	(46,138) \$ (19,910)

- (1) 2009 includes an aggregate of \$24,773 of income for our share of an income tax benefit and the reversal of stock appreciation rights compensation expense.
- (2) 2010 includes a \$13,710 net gain resulting from Lexington's 2010 stock issuance and 2009 includes \$19,121 of expense for our share of impairment losses recorded by Lexington.
- (3) Represents our equity in net income or loss of partially owned office buildings in New York and Washington, DC, the Monmouth Mall, Verde Realty Operating Partnership, 85 10th Avenue Associates and others. 2010 includes \$11,481 of impairment losses related to our investment in properties on West 57th Street. 2009 includes \$17,820 of impairment losses, substantially all of which relates to our investment in Verde, and \$7,650 of expense for our share of the Downtown Crossing, Boston lease termination payment.

#### Loss from Real Estate Fund

In the year ended December 31, 2010, we recognized a \$303,000 loss from our Real Estate Fund.

#### Results of Operations - Year Ended December 31, 2010 Compared to December 31, 2009 - continued

#### Interest and Other Investment Income (Loss), net

Interest and other investment income (loss), net (comprised of the mark-to-market of derivative positions in marketable equity securities, interest income on mezzanine loans receivable, other interest income and dividend income) was income of \$235,315,000 in the year ended December 31, 2010, compared to a loss of \$116,350,000 in the prior year, an increase in income of \$351,665,000. This increase resulted from:

(Amounts in thousands)	
Mezzanine loans (\$53,100 loss reversal in 2010, compared to \$190,738 loss accrual in	
2009)	\$ 243,838
Mark-to-market of derivative positions in marketable equity	
securities	130,153
Lower average mezzanine loan investments (\$136,795 in 2010, compared to \$345,000 in	
2009)	(21,862)
Marketable securities - impairment losses in 2009	3,361
Decrease in the value of investments in our deferred compensation plan (offset by a	
corresponding	
decrease in the liability for plan assets in general and	
administrative expenses)	(1,457)
Other, net (primarily lower average yields on investments)	(2,368)
	\$ 351,665

#### **Interest and Debt Expense**

Interest and debt expense was \$560,270,000 for the year ended December 31, 2010, compared to \$617,994,000 in the prior year, a decrease of \$57,724,000. This decrease was primarily due to savings of (i) \$93,765,000 from the acquisition, retirement and repayment of an aggregate of \$2.1 billion of our convertible senior debentures and senior unsecured notes in 2009 and (ii) \$30,639,000 from the repayment of \$400,000,000 of cross-collateralized debt secured by 42 of our strip shopping centers, partially offset by (iii) \$43,515,000 from the issuance of \$460,000,000 and 500,000,000 of senior unsecured notes in September 2009 and March 2010, respectively, (iv) \$16,392,000 of lower capitalized interest, and (v) \$9,813,000 from the issuance of \$660,000,000 of cross-collateralized debt secured by 40 of our strip shopping centers.

#### Net Gain (Loss) on Early Extinguishment of Debt

In the year ended December 31, 2010, we recognized a \$94,789,000 net gain on the early extinguishment of debt (primarily from our acquisition of the mortgage loan secured by the Springfield Mall), compared to a \$25,915,000 net loss in the prior year (primarily from the acquisition of our convertible senior debentures and related write-off of the unamortized debt discount).

# Net Gain on Disposition of Wholly Owned and Partially Owned Assets

In the year ended December 31, 2010, we recognized an \$81,432,000 net gain on disposition of wholly owned and partially owned assets (primarily from the sale of a 45% interest in the Warner Building and sales of marketable securities), compared to a \$5,641,000 net gain in the prior year (primarily from the sales of marketable securities and residential condominiums).

#### **Income Tax Expense**

Income tax expense was \$22,476,000 in the year ended December 31, 2010, compared to \$20,642,000 in the prior year, an increase of \$1,834,000. This increase resulted primarily from higher income at 1290 Avenue of Americas and 555 California Street, which are subject to federal withholding taxes on dividends paid to foreign corporations.

#### Results of Operations - Year Ended December 31, 2010 Compared to December 31, 2009 - continued

#### (Loss) Income from Discontinued Operations

The table below sets forth the combined results of discontinued operations for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 which include (i) four properties in our Washington, DC Office segment, (ii) 20 properties in our Retail segment and (iii) the High Point Complex in North Carolina, which is in receivership.

	For the Year Ended December 31,									
(Amounts in thousands)	20	)10	20	009						
Total revenues	\$	43,871	\$	55,752						
Total expenses		51,701		48,709						
		(7,830)		7,043						
Litigation loss accrual and impairment losses		(15,056)		(11,860)						
Net gain on sale of 1999 K Street		-		41,211						
Net gain on sales of other real estate		2,506		4,073						
(Loss) income from discontinued operations	\$	(20,380)	\$	40,467						

#### Net (Income) Loss Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Subsidiaries

In the year ended December 31, 2010, we had \$4,920,000 of net income attributable to noncontrolling interests in consolidated subsidiaries, compared to \$2,839,000 of a net loss in the prior year, an increase in income of 7,759,000. This increase resulted primarily from higher income at 1290 Avenue of the Americas and 555 California Street.

Net Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests in the Operating Partnership, including Unit Distributions

Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership, including unit distributions for the year ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 is comprised of (i) allocations of income to redeemable noncontrolling interests of \$44,033,000 and \$5,834,000, respectively, (ii) preferred unit distributions of the Operating Partnership of \$18,167,000 and \$19,286,000, respectively and (iii) a net gain of \$6,972,000 on the redemption of all of the Series D-12 perpetual preferred units in the current year. The increase of \$38,199,000 in allocations of income to redeemable noncontrolling interests resulted primarily from higher net income subject to allocation to unitholders.

#### **Preferred Share Dividends**

Preferred share dividends were \$55,534,000 for the year ended December 31, 2010, compared to \$57,076,000 for the prior year, a decrease of \$1,542,000. This decrease resulted from the redemption of Series D-10 preferred shares in the current year.

#### **Discount on Preferred Share Redemptions**

Discount on preferred share redemptions of \$4,382,000 in the year ended December 31, 2010 resulted from the redemption of Series D-10 preferred shares.

#### Results of Operations - Year Ended December 31, 2010 Compared to December 31, 2009 - continued

#### Same Store EBITDA

Same store EBITDA represents EBITDA from property level operations which are owned by us in both the current and prior year reporting periods. Same store EBITDA excludes segment-level overhead expenses, which are expenses that we do not consider to be property-level expenses, as well as other non-operating items. We present same store EBITDA on both a GAAP basis and a cash basis, which excludes income from the straight-lining of rents, amortization of below-market leases, net of above-market leases and other non-cash adjustments. We present these non-GAAP measures to (i) facilitate meaningful comparisons of the operational performance of our properties and segments, (ii) make decisions on whether to buy, sell or refinance properties, and (iii) compare the performance of our properties and segments to those of our peers. Same store EBITDA should not be considered as an alternative to net income or cash flow from operations and may not be comparable to similarly titled measures employed by other companies.

Below are the same store EBITDA results on a GAAP and cash basis for each of our segments for the year ended December 31, 2010, compared to the year ended December 31, 2009.

	Washington,												
	Ne	w York		DC		M	erchandise						
(Amounts in thousands)	(	Office		Office		Retail		Mart					
EBITDA for the year ended December 31, 2010	\$	587,869	\$	497,551	\$	405,106	\$	84,058					
Add-back: non-property level overhead													
expenses included above		18,621		25,464		29,610		26,953					
Less: EBITDA from acquisitions,													
dispositions													
and other non-operating													
income or expenses		6,578		(58,001)		(55,339)		14,036					
GAAP basis same store EBITDA for the year													
ended December 31, 2010		613,068		465,014		379,377		125,047					
Less: Adjustments for straight-line rents,													
amortization of													
below-market leases, net and													
other													
non-cash adjustments		(62,962)		(5,184)		(40,362)		(2,681)					
Cash basis same store EBITDA for the year													
ended December 31, 2010	\$	550,106	\$	459,830	\$	339,015	\$	122,366					

EBITDA for the ye		582,820	\$ 473,132	\$ 317,078	\$ 100,527	
Add-back:	non-property level overhead expenses included above		22,820	26,205	30,339	31,017
Less: EBI	ΓDA from acquisitions,		22,020	20,203	30,337	31,017
disposition	•					
	and other non-operating					
	income or expenses		(2,741)	(57,302)	1,774	(2,203)
GAAP basis same s	store EBITDA for the year					
	ended December 31, 2009		602,899	442,035	349,191	129,341
Less: Adju	stments for straight-line rents amortization of	8,				
	below-market leases, net an	d				
	other					
	non-cash adjustments		(65,069)	(23,940)	(39,871)	(4,036)
Cash basis same sto	ore EBITDA for the year					
	ended December 31, 2009	\$	537,830	\$ 418,095	\$ 309,320	\$ 125,305
Increase (decrease) EBITDA for	in GAAP basis same store					
EDITDA 101	the year ended December					
	31, 2010 over the					
	year ended December 31,					
	2009	\$	10,169	\$ 22,979	\$ 30,186	\$ (4,294)
						, , ,
Increase (decrease) EBITDA for	in Cash basis same store					
	the year ended December					
	31, 2010 over the					
	year ended December 31,					
	2009	\$	12,276	\$ 41,735	\$ 29,695	\$ (2,939)
07.	); CAAD1 ;					
•	se) in GAAP basis same store		1 70%	5 20%	8.6%	(2.20%)
EBITDA			1.7%	5.2%	0.0%	(3.3%)
% increase (decreas	se) in Cash basis same store					
EBITDA	,		2.3%	10.0%	9.6%	(2.3%)
						, ,

## Results of Operations - Year Ended December 31, 2009 Compared to December 31, 2008

#### Revenues

Our revenues, which consist of property rentals, tenant expense reimbursements, hotel revenues, trade shows revenues, amortization of acquired below-market leases, net of above-market leases and fee income, were \$2,696,692,000 for the year ended December 31, 2009, compared to \$2,640,491,000 for the year ended December 31, 2008, an increase of \$56,201,000. Below are the details of the increase (decrease) by segment:

# (Amounts in thousands)

		New York		Washington, DC	Merchandise									
Increase		New York		DC		Merchandis	е							
(decrease) due														
to:	Total	Office		Office	Retail	Mart		Other						
Property														
rentals:														
Acquisitions														
and other \$	,	\$ -		\$ -	\$ 11,309	\$ 5,430		\$ (3,604)						
Development/r	edevelopment 2,805	: -		1,333	1,472	-		-						
Amortization of acquired														
below-market														
leases,														
net	(23,578)	(20,226)	(1)	(546)	(3,553)	(72)		819						
Hotel														
Pennsylvania	(32,248)	-		-	-	-		(32,248)	(2)					
Trade														
shows	(6,606)	-		-	-	(6,606)	(3)	-						
Leasing activity														
(see page														
72)	68,613	30,151		34,578	13,914	(7,176)		(2,854)						
	22,121	9,925		35,365	23,142	(8,424)		(37,887)						

Tenant expense reimbursements:

Acquisitions	/dev	velopment (7)	_	(215)		1,182	_	(974)	
Operations		(1)		(213)		1,102	_	(7/4)	
Operations		3,591	753	3,042		5,585	(2,538)	(3,251)	
		3,584	753	2,827		6,767	(2,538)	(4,225)	
Fee and other									
income:									
Lease									
cancellation									
fee income									
		(3,577)	(1,248)	(411)		(1,817)	(240)	139	
Management and leasing	t								
fees		(1,941)	(2,200)	(757)		58	(261)	1,219	
BMS				, ,			, ,		
cleaning									
fees		2,096	8,404	_		_	_	(6,308)	<b>(4)</b>
Other		33,918	(1,519)	25,395	(5)	76	2,647	7,319	(6)
		30,496	3,437	24,227		(1,683)	2,146	2,369	
Total increase									
(decrease) in									
revenues	\$	56,201	\$ 14,115	\$ 62,419		\$ 28,226	\$ (8,816)	\$ (39,743)	

- (1) Primarily from a lease modification that reduced the term of a portion of AXA Equitable Life Company's ("AXA") space at 1290 Avenue of the Americas, which resulted in additional amortization of approximately \$12,000 in 2008.
- (2) Primarily from lower REVPAR.
- (3) Primarily from lower exhibitor occupancy.
- (4) Primarily from the elimination of inter-company fees from operating segments upon consolidation. See note (3) on page 88.
- (5) In December 2009, our agreement to sell an 8.6 acre parcel of land in the Pentagon City area of Arlington, Virginia, was terminated by the buyer. Accordingly, we recognized \$27,089 of income, representing the buyer's forfeited non-refundable purchase deposit. In connection therewith, we wrote down the carrying amount of the land to its fair value and recognized a \$24,875 impairment loss which is included as a component of "impairment and other losses" on our consolidated statement of income.
- (6) 2009 includes \$5,402 of income previously deferred resulting from the termination of a lease with a partially owned entity.

## Results of Operations - Year Ended December 31, 2009 Compared to December 31, 2008 - continued

## **Expenses**

Our expenses, which consist primarily of operating, depreciation and amortization and general and administrative expenses, were \$1,905,839,000 for the year ended December 31, 2009, compared to \$1,853,087,000 for the year ended December 31, 2008, an increase of \$52,752,000. Below are the details of the increase (decrease) by segment:

# (Amounts in thousands)

				Washington,				
		New York		DC		I	Merchandise	
Increase (decrease)								
due to:	Total	Office		Office	Retail		Mart	Other
Operating:								
Acquisitions and								
other	\$ 12,883	\$ -		\$ -	\$ 6,367		\$ 5,226	\$ 1,290
Development/rede	evelopment 4,433	-		2,114	2,319		-	-
Hotel activity	(5,734)	-		-	-		-	(5,734)
Trade shows								
activity	(3,484)	-		-	-		(3,484)	-
Operations	10,594	13,358	<b>(1)</b>	6,532	(3,264)	<b>(2)</b>	(3,577)	(2,455) $(3)$
	18,692	13,358		8,646	5,422		(1,835)	(6,899)
Depreciation and amortization:								
Acquisitions/deve	•			(2.27.1)	0.206			(2.220)
Operations (due to additions to buildings and	4,693	-		(2,374)	9,306		-	(2,239)
improvements)	(2,190)	(17,002)	<b>(4)</b>	9,438	1,073		4,241	60
•	2,503	(17,002)		7,064	10,379		4,241	(2,179)

# General and administrative:

Write-off of unamortized costs

from the voluntary surrender of equity awards (5)	32,588	3,451	3,131	4,793		1,011		20,202	
Mark-to-market of deferred compensation plan liability (6)	23,710	_	_	_		_		23,710	
Operations	(19,257)	(848)	(3,448)	(4,290)		754		(11,425)	<b>(7)</b>
1	37,041	2,603	(317)	503		1,765		32,487	
Impairment losses and acquisition costs	(5,484)	-	24,875	11,194		-		(41,553)	
Total increase (decrease) in expenses	\$ 52,752	\$ (1,041)	\$ 40,268	\$ 27,498	\$	4,171	(	\$ (18,144)	

- (1) Results from a \$7,025 increase in BMS operating expenses and a \$6,333 increase in property level operating expenses, primarily due to higher real estate taxes.
- (2) Primarily from a \$8,190 decrease in bad debt expense partially offset by an increase in real estate taxes which are reimbursed by tenants.
- (3) Results primarily from an increase in the elimination of inter-company fees of our operating segments upon consolidation.
- (4) Primarily from a lease modification that reduced the term of a portion of AXA's space at 1290 Avenue of the Americas, which resulted in additional depreciation of approximately \$16,000 in 2008.
- (5) On March 31, 2009, our nine most senior executives voluntarily surrendered their 2007 and 2008 stock option awards and their 2008 out-performance plan awards. Accordingly, we recognized \$32,588 of expense in the first quarter of 2009, representing the unamortized portion of these awards.
- (6) This increase in expense is entirely offset by a corresponding increase in income from the mark-to-market of the deferred compensation plan assets, a component of "interest and other investment income (loss), net" on our consolidated statement of income.
- (7) Primarily from lower payroll and stock-based compensation expense.

#### Results of Operations - Year Ended December 31, 2009 Compared to December 31, 2008 - continued

#### **Income Applicable to Toys**

In the year ended December 31, 2009, we recognized net income of \$92,300,000 from our investment in Toys, comprised of (i) \$71,601,000 for our 32.7% share of Toys' net income (\$58,416,000 before our share of Toys' income tax benefit), (ii) \$13,946,000 for our share of income from the reversal of previously recognized deferred financing cost amortization expense, which we initially recorded as a reduction of the basis of our investment in Toys, and (iii) \$6,753,000 of interest and other income.

In the year ended December 31, 2008, we recognized \$2,380,000 of income from our investment in Toys, comprised of (i) \$9,115,000 for our 32.7% share of Toys' net income (\$53,867,000 before our share of Toys' income tax expense), (ii) \$8,165,000 of interest and other income, partially offset by (iii) \$14,900,000 for our share of a non-cash charge adjusting Toys purchase accounting basis income tax expense resulting from the audit of Toys fiscal 2006 and 2007 purchase accounting financial statements.

#### Loss from Partially Owned Entities

Summarized below are the components of loss from partially owned entities for the year ended December 31, 2009 and 2008.

	For the Year Ended December 31,							
(Amounts in thousands)	2009	2008						
Equity in Net Income (Loss): Alexander's - 32.4% share of equity in net income (1)	\$ 53,529	\$ 36,671						
Lexington (2)	(25,665)	(105,630)						
India real estate ventures - 4% to 36.5% range in our share of equity in net loss	(1,636)	(3,336)						
Other, net (3)	(46,138) \$ (19,910)	(86,912) \$ (159,207)						

- (1) 2009 includes an aggregate of \$24,773 of income for our share of an income tax benefit and the reversal of stock appreciation rights compensation expense compared to \$6,583 for our share of such income in 2008.
- (2) 2009 includes \$19,121 for our share of impairment losses recorded by Lexington on its investment in Concord Debt Holdings LLC. 2008 includes \$107,882 of impairment losses on our investment in Lexington.
- (3) Represents our equity in net income or loss of partially owned office buildings in New York and Washington, DC, the Monmouth Mall, Verde Realty Operating Partnership, 85 10th Avenue Associates and others. 2009 includes \$17,820 of impairment losses, substantially all of which relates to our investment in Verde, and \$7,650 of expense for our share of Downtown Crossing, Boston lease termination payment. 2008 includes \$96,037 of non-cash charges for the write-off of our share of certain partially owned entities' development costs, including \$37,000 for Downtown Crossing, Boston and \$23,000 for the "arena move"/Moynihan East portions of the Farley project.

## Results of Operations - Year Ended December 31, 2009 Compared to December 31, 2008 - continued

#### Interest and Other Investment (Loss) Income, net

Interest and other investment (loss) income, net was a loss of \$116,350,000 for the year ended December 31, 2009, compared to a loss of \$2,747,000 for the year ended December 31, 2008, an increase in loss of \$113,603,000. This increase resulted primarily from:

(Amounts in thousands)	
Mezzanine loans - \$190,738 loss accrual in 2009, compared to \$10,300 of loss reversal	
in 2008	\$ (201,038)
Marketable equity securities - impairment losses of \$3,361 in 2009, compared to	
\$76,742 in 2008	73,381
Derivative positions in marketable equity securities in 2008	33,602
Lower average yield on investments (0.4% in 2009 compared to 2.3% in 2008)	(22,306)
Increase in value of investments in the deferred compensation plan (offset by a	
corresponding	
increase in the liability for plan assets in general and administrative	
expenses)	23,710
Lower average mezzanine loan investments - \$345,000 in 2009, compared to \$481,000	
in 2008	(12,540)
Other, net	(8,412)
	\$ (113,603)

## **Interest and Debt Expense**

Interest and debt expense was \$617,994,000 for the year ended December 31, 2009, compared to \$619,531,000 for the year ended December 31, 2008, a decrease of \$1,537,000. This decrease resulted primarily from savings of (i) \$17,561,000 from a decrease in outstanding debt of approximately \$1.5 billion, the full year effect of which is approximately \$100,000,000, (ii) \$27,830,000 from lower average interest rates on variable rate debt (1.61% in 2009 as compared to 3.88% in 2008), (iii) \$1,953,000 from other items, partially offset by (iv) a decrease in capitalized interest of \$45,807,000.

#### Net (Loss) Gain on Early Extinguishment of Debt

In the year ended December 31, 2009, we recognized a \$25,915,000 net loss on early extinguishment of debt (primarily from the acquisition of our convertible senior debentures and related write-off of the unamortized debt discount), compared to a \$9,820,000 net gain in the prior year (primarily from the acquisition of our senior unsecured notes and convertible senior debentures).

#### Net Gain on Disposition of Wholly Owned and Partially Owned Assets

In the year ended December 31, 2009, we recognized a \$5,641,000 net gain on disposition of wholly owned and partially owned assets, compared to a \$7,757,000 net gain in the prior year. The current year and prior year net gain resulted primarily from the sales of marketable securities and residential condominiums.

## Income Tax Expense

Income tax expense was \$20,642,000 for the year ended December 31, 2009, compared to an income tax benefit of \$204,644,000 for the year ended December 31, 2008. The income tax benefit for the year ended December 31, 2008 was the result of a \$222,174,000 reversal of deferred taxes recorded in connection with our acquisition of H Street. We were required to record these deferred tax liabilities because H Street and its partially owned entities were operated as C Corporations at the time they were acquired. As of January 16, 2008, we had completed all of the actions necessary to enable these entities to elect REIT status effective for the tax year beginning on January 1, 2008 and reversed the deferred tax liabilities.

# Results of Operations - Year Ended December 31, 2009 Compared to December 31, 2008 - continued

### **Income from Discontinued Operations**

The table below sets forth the combined results of operations of assets related to discontinued operations for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008.

	For the Year Ended December 31,									
(Amounts in thousands)	2009		2008							
Total revenues	\$ 53	5,752	\$	278,986						
Total expenses	43	8,709		268,274						
•	,	7,043		10,712						
Net gain on sale of 1999 K Street	4	1,211		-						
Net gain on sales of other real estate	2	4,073		692						
Net gain on sale of Americold		-		112,690						
Net gain on sale of Tyson Dulles Plaza		-		56,831						
Impairment losses	(11	1,860)		_						
Income from discontinued operations	\$ 40	0,467	\$	180,925						

## Net Loss Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Subsidiaries

Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interests in consolidated subsidiaries was \$2,839,000 in the year ended December 31, 2009, compared to \$3,263,000 for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Net Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests in the Operating Partnership, including Unit Distributions

Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership, including unit distributions for the year ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 is comprised of allocations of income to redeemable noncontrolling interests of \$5,834,000 and \$33,327,000, respectively, and preferred unit distributions of the Operating Partnership of \$19,286,000 and \$22,084,000, respectively. The decrease of \$27,493,000 in allocations of income to redeemable noncontrolling interests resulted primarily from lower net income subject to allocation to unitholders. The decrease of \$2,798,000 in preferred unit distributions was primarily due to a write-off of unit issuance costs in 2008.

#### **Preferred Share Dividends**

Preferred share dividends were \$57,076,000 for the year ended December 31, 2009, compared to \$57,091,000 for the the year ended December 31, 2008.

# Results of Operations - Year Ended December 31, 2009 Compared to December 31, 2008 - continued

## Same Store EBITDA

Below are the same store EBITDA results on a GAAP and cash basis for each of our segments for the year ended December 31, 2009, compared to the year ended December 31, 2008.

(Amounts in thousands)	New York Office	W	ashington, DC Office		Retail	M	erchandise Mart
EBITDA for the year ended December 31, 2009	\$ 582,820	\$	473,132	\$	317,078	\$	100,527
Add-back: non-property level overhead							
expenses included above	22,820		26,205		30,339		31,017
Less: EBITDA from acquisitions,							
dispositions							
and other non-operating	(2.279)		(52 612)		(1.160)		(2.260)
income or expenses GAAP basis same store EBITDA for the year	(2,278)		(52,613)		(1,169)		(2,369)
ended December 31, 2009	603,362		446,724		346,248		129,175
Less: Adjustments for straight-line rents,	003,302		440,724		340,240		127,173
amortization of							
below-market leases, net and							
other							
non-cash adjustments	(65,069)		(25,931)		(38,396)		(4,340)
Cash basis same store EBITDA for the year							
ended December 31, 2009	\$ 538,293	\$	420,793	\$	307,852	\$	124,835
EBITDA for the year ended December 31, 2008	\$ 586,798	\$	458,014	\$	332,773	\$	116,437
Add-back: non-property level overhead	Ç 200,790	4	.50,01.	Ψ	002,770	4	110,107
expenses included above	20,217		26,522		29,836		29,252
Less: EBITDA from acquisitions,							
dispositions							
and other non-operating							
income or expenses	(8,431)		(65,820)		(28,814)		276
GAAP basis same store EBITDA for the year	500 504		410.716		222 705		1.45.065
ended December 31, 2008 Less: Adjustments for straight-line rents,	598,584		418,716		333,795		145,965
amortization of							
below-market leases, net and							
other							

	0 0				
Cook hoois some sta	non-cash adjustments	(88,163)	(20,354)	(37,267)	(9,408)
Cash basis same sic	ore EBITDA for the year ended December 31, 2008	\$ 510,421	\$ 398,362	\$ 296,528	\$ 136,557
Increase (decrease) EBITDA for	in GAAP basis same store the year ended December 31, 2009 over the year ended December 31, 2008	\$ 4,778	\$ 28,008	\$ 12,453	\$ (16,790)
Increase (decrease) EBITDA for	in Cash basis same store				
	the year ended December 31, 2009 over the year ended December 31, 2008	\$ 27,872	\$ 22,431	\$ 11,324	\$ (11,722)
					, , ,
% increase (decreas EBITDA	se) in GAAP basis same store	0.8%	6.7%	3.7%	(11.5%)
% increase (decrease EBITDA	se) in Cash basis same store	5.5%	5.6%	3.8%	(8.6%)

# **Supplemental Information**

(Amounts in

# Net Income and EBITDA by Segment for the Three Months Ended December 31, 2010 and 2009

Below is a summary of net income and a reconciliation of net income to EBITDA<sup>(1)</sup> by segment for the three months ended December 31, 2010 and 2009.

thousands)	For the Three Months Ended December 31, 2010 Washington,											
	Total	New York Office	DC Office	Retail	Merchandise Mart	Toys	Other <sup>(3)</sup> 47,578					
Property rentals Straight-line rent	\$ 546,557	\$ 192,185	\$ 139,824	\$ 107,341	\$ 59,629	\$ -	\$					
adjustments Amortization of acquired below- market	21,272	11,596	330	7,059	842	-	1,445					
leases, net	17,231	8,831	490	6,759	16	-	1,135 50,158					
Total rentals Tenant expense	585,060	212,612	140,644	121,159	60,487	-	23,020					
reimbursements Fee and other income:	85,350	31,498	9,371	36,741	2,587	-	5,153 (8,566)					
Tenant cleaning fees Management and leasing	17,320	25,886	-	-	-	-	(8,300)					
fees Lease termination	4,042	1,914	2,682	270	125	-	(949)					
fees	4,714	25	(108)	3,459	38	_	1,300					
Other	16,471	7,855	4,975	1,401	383	-	1,857 48,953					
Total revenues Operating	712,957	279,790	157,564	163,030	63,620	-	20,313					
expenses Depreciation and	283,653	119,750	50,838	62,013	30,739	-	12,789					
amortization General and	130,883	44,718	33,726	28,207	11,443	-	35,092					
administrative Impairment losses and	60,791 126,607	4,761	7,385	7,019 72,500	6,534 20,000	-	34,107					

acquisition costs

Total expenses Operating income (loss) (Loss) applicable to Toys Income (loss) from partially owned	601,934 111,023 (30,685)	169,229 110,561	91,949 65,615	169,739 (6,709)	68,716 (5,096)	(30,685)	102,301 (53,348)
entities Income from Real Estate Fund	8,638 1,107	(10,699)	535	6,048	(418)	-	13,172 1,107
Interest and other investment  income, net Interest and debt expense Net gain (loss) on early	169,639 (136,752)	142 (33,253)	27 (28,948)	37 (23,070)	12 (9,549)	-	169,421 (41,932)
of debt Net gain on disposition of wholly	96,585	-	-	105,571	-	-	(8,986)
owned and partially owned assets Income (loss)	68,673	-	54,742	-	-	-	13,931
before income taxes Income tax expense Income (loss)	288,228 (6,483)	66,751 (497)	91,971 (724)	81,877	(15,051) (291)	(30,685)	93,365 (4,971)
operations Income (loss) from	281,745	66,254	91,247	81,877	(15,342)	(30,685)	88,394
discontinued operations Net income (loss) Net (income) loss attributable to	399 282,144	66,254	1,295 92,542	2,953 84,830	(3,849) (19,191)	(30,685)	- 88,394

Edgar Filing: VORNADO REALTY TRUST - Form 10-K

noncontrolling interests in consolidated subsidiaries Net (income) attributable to noncontrolling interests in the	(3,430)	(2,269)	-	(1,673)	-	-	512
Operating Partnership, including unit distributions Net income (loss) attributable to	(21,741)	-	-	-	-	-	(21,741)
Vornado	256,973	63,985	92,542	83,157	(19,191)	(30,685)	67,165
Interest and debt							58,597
expense <sup>(2)</sup> Depreciation and	216,089	31,805	31,819	24,378	16,009	53,481	26,059
amortization <sup>(2)</sup> Income tax (benefit)	180,026	43,164	38,354	29,000	12,015	31,434	20,037
expense <sup>(2)</sup>	(36,589)	497	866	-	291	(43,504)	5,261
EBITDA <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 616,499	\$ 139,451	\$ 163,581	\$ 136,535	\$ 9,124	\$ 10,726	157,082 \$

See notes on page 95.

# **Supplemental Information – continued**

# Net Income and EBITDA by Segment for the Three Months Ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 - continued

(Amounts in											
thousands)		For th	ne T	hree Montl	hs End	ed Dec	ember 31,	2009			
			Wa	ashington,			·				
		New York		DC		-	Merchand	ise			
	Total	Office		Office	Ret	tail	Mart		Toys		Other <sup>(3)</sup>
Property rentals Straight-line rent	\$ 518,897	\$ 189,673	\$	135,746	\$ 90	6,188	\$ 54,24	1 \$		-	\$ 43,049
adjustments Amortization of acquired below- market leases, net	21,939	10,281		4,672		6,369	24	7		-	370
icases, net	16,076	9,611		664	4	4,694	1	8		_	1,089
Total rentals	556,912	209,565		141,082		7,251	54,50			_	44,508
Tenant expense	,	,		,		. ,	- 1,- 0				,
reimbursements Fee and other	89,711	32,932		15,572	3:	5,551	2,37	8		-	3,278
income:											
Tenant											
cleaning fees	16,790	22,970		_		_		-		_	(6,180)
Management	,	•									, ,
and leasing											
fees	3,201	848		2,247		483	6	3		_	(440)
Lease	,			,							,
termination											
fees	1,169	316		308		364	18	1		_	_
Other	38,769	2,607		32,637		381	3,31			_	(175)
Total revenues	706,552	269,238		191,846	144	4,030	60,44			_	40,991
Operating	,	,		, , , ,		,	,				- ,
expenses	267,672	111,818		57,480	50	0,037	32,63	0		_	15,707
Depreciation and	,	,		,		,	,				,
amortization	138,639	44,039		38,684	20	6,111	14,10	7		_	15,698
General and	,	,		/		- ,	, -				- ,
administrative	51,083	4,232		5,668		5,425	6,33	6		_	29,422
Impairment	,	•		,		ĺ	,				•
losses and											
acquisition costs	75,963	_		24,875	1	1,789		_		_	39,299
1	<i>)</i>			<i>y</i>		, :=					100,126
Total expenses	533,357	160,089		126,707	9.	3,362	53,07	3		_	-, -
	173,195	109,149		65,139		0,668	7,37			_	

Operating income (loss) (Loss) applicable to Toys (Loss) income from partially owned	(26,597)	-	-	-	-	(26,597)	(59,135)
entities Interest and other investment (loss)	(16,830)	1,332	(654)	1,564	(35)	-	(19,037)
income, net	(52,726)	164	216	19	12	-	(53,137)
Interest and debt expense Net (loss) on early extinguishment	(155,152)	(33,529)	(34,636)	(22,710)	(9,569)	-	(54,708)
of debt  Net gain on disposition of wholly owned and partially	(52,911)	-	-	-	-	-	(52,911)
owned assets (Loss) income	1,209	-	-	-	-	-	1,209
before income taxes	(129,812)	77,116	30,065	29,541	(2,218)	(26,597)	(237,719)
Income tax expense (Loss) income from continuing	(4,935)	(487)	(316)	(3)	(385)	-	(3,744)
operations (Loss) income from	(134,747)	76,629	29,749	29,538	(2,603)	(26,597)	(241,463)
discontinued operations	(8,703)	-	1,870	(9,800)	(773)	-	-
Net (loss) income	(143,450)	76,629	31,619	19,738	(3,376)	(26,597)	241,463)
Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests in consolidated subsidiaries Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interests in the	(603)	(2,660)	-	285	-	-	1,772

Edgar Filing: VORNADO REALTY TRUST - Form 10-K

Operating Partnership, including unit distributions Net (loss) income	7,130	-	-	-	-	-	7,130
attributable to							
Vornado	(136,923)	73,969	31,619	20,023	(3,376)	(26,597)	(232,561)
Interest and debt							
expense <sup>(2)</sup>	214,411	31,910	35,792	24,494	13,299	37,493	71,423
Depreciation and amortization <sup>(2)</sup> Income tax	189,261	42,686	42,484	27,179	15,499	30,859	30,554
(benefit)							
expense <sup>(2)</sup>	(13,611)	487	348	3	388	(20,520)	5,683
EBITDA <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 253,138	\$ 149,052	\$ 110,243	\$ 71,699	\$ 25,810	\$ 21,235	(124,901)

See notes on the following page.

#### **Supplemental Information – continued**

Net Income and EBITDA by Segment for the Three Months Ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 - continued

#### Notes to preceding tabular information:

- (1) EBITDA represents "Earnings Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization." We consider EBITDA a supplemental measure for making decisions and assessing the unlevered performance of our segments as it relates to the total return on assets as opposed to the levered return on equity. As properties are bought and sold based on a multiple of EBITDA, we utilize their measures to make investment decisions as well as to compare the performance of our assets to that of our peers. EBITDA should not be considered a substitute for net income. EBITDA may not be comparable to similarly titled measures employed by other companies.
- (2) Interest and debt expense, depreciation and amortization and income tax expense in the reconciliation of net income (loss) to EBITDA includes our share of these items from partially owned entities.
- (3) The tables below provide information about EBITDA from certain investments that are included in the "other" column of the preceding EBITDA by segment reconciliations. The totals for each of the columns below agree to the total EBITDA for the "other" column in the preceding EBITDA by segment reconciliations.

	For the Thr	ee Mon	ths
(Amounts in thousands)	<b>Ended Dec</b>	ember 3	81,
	2010		2009
Lexington	\$ 17,929	\$	15,774
Alexander's	15,478		16,474
555 California Street	12,361		12,872
Hotel Pennsylvania	9,514		7,285
LNR (acquired in July 2010)	6,116		-
Industrial warehouses	461		835
Other investments	8,205		5,077
	70,064		58,317
Corporate general and administrative expenses (1)	(29,675)		(23,190)
Investment income and other, net (1)	23,623		14,233
Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests in the			
Operating Partnership,			
including unit distributions	(21,741)		7,130
Income from the mark-to-market of derivative positions in			
marketable equity securities	97,904		-

Net (loss) on early extinguishment of debt	(8,986)	(52,911)
Non-cash mezzanine loans receivable loss (accrual) reversal	60,000	(68,000)
Non-cash asset write-downs:		
Marketable equity securities	-	(3,361)
Real estate - primarily development projects:		
Wholly owned entities (including acquisition costs)	(34,107)	(39,299)
Partially owned entities	-	(17,820)
	\$ 157,082	\$ (124,901)

(1) The amounts in these captions (for this table only) exclude the mark-to-market of our deferred compensation plan assets and offsetting liability.

## Results of Operations - Three Months Ended December 31, 2010 Compared to December 31, 2009 - continued

#### Same Store EBITDA

Same store EBITDA represents EBITDA from property level operations which are owned by us in both the current and prior year reporting periods. Same store EBITDA excludes segment-level overhead expenses, which are expenses that we do not consider to be property-level expenses, as well as other non-operating items. We present same store EBITDA on both a GAAP basis and a cash basis, which excludes income from the straight-lining of rents, amortization of below-market leases, net of above-market leases and other non-cash adjustments. We present these non-GAAP measures to (i) facilitate meaningful comparisons of the operational performance of our properties and segments, (ii) make decisions on whether to buy, sell or refinance properties, and (iii) compare the performance of our properties and segments to those of our peers. Same store EBITDA should not be considered as an alternative to net income or cash flow from operations and may not be comparable to similarly titled measures employed by other companies.

Below are the same store EBITDA results on a GAAP and cash basis for each of our segments for the three months ended December 31, 2010, compared to the three months ended December 31, 2009.

(Amounts in thousands)	New York Office		Washington, DC Office			Retail	Merchandise Mart	
EBITDA for the three months ended December		Office		Office		Ketan		Mart
31, 2010	\$	139,451	\$	163,581	\$	136,535	\$	9,124
Add-back: non-property level overhead	Ψ	137,431	Ψ	105,561	Ψ	150,555	Ψ	7,12∓
, , , ,		4,761		7,385		7,019		6,534
expenses included above		4,701		1,363		7,019		0,334
Less: EBITDA from acquisitions,								
dispositions								
and other non-operating								
income or expenses		8,975		(55,271)		(44,793)		15,973
GAAP basis same store EBITDA for the three								
months								
ended December 31, 2010		153,187		115,695		98,761		31,631
Less: Adjustments for straight-line rents	,							
amortization of								
below-market leases, net and	h							
other								
non-cash adjustments		(17,930)		(47)		(9,212)		(858)
Cash basis same store EBITDA for the three		(17,750)		(47)		(7,212)		(030)
months	Φ	125 257	ф	115 (40	Ф	00.540	ф	20.772
ended December 31, 2010	\$	135,257	\$	115,648	\$	89,549	\$	30,773

EBITDA for the thr 31, 2009	ee months ended December	\$	149,052	\$	110,243	\$	71,699	\$	25,810
·	non-property level overhead	Ψ	1.5,052	Ψ	110,213	Ψ	71,077	Ψ	20,010
Less: EBIT	expenses included above TDA from acquisitions,		4,232		5,668		5,425		6,336
uisposition	and other non-operating								
	income or expenses		(325)		(6,104)		16,213		880
GAAP basis same s months	tore EBITDA for the three								
montais	ended December 31, 2009		152,959		109,807		93,337		33,026
Less: Adju	stments for straight-line rents,	,	ŕ		,		,		,
	amortization of								
	below-market leases, net and other	1							
	non-cash adjustments		(16,414)		(4,628)		(8,568)		(265)
	re EBITDA for the three								
months	ended December 31, 2009	\$	136,545	\$	105,179	\$	84,769	\$	32,761
	ended December 31, 2009	φ	130,343	φ	105,179	φ	04,709	φ	32,701
Increase (decrease) store EBITDA	increase in GAAP basis same								
	for the three months ended								
	December 31, 2010 over the three months ended								
	December 31, 2009	\$	228	\$	5,888	\$	5,424	\$	(1,395)
(Decrease) increase EBITDA for	in Cash basis same store								
EBITETTION	the three months ended								
	December 31, 2010 over the								
	three months ended	Ф	(1.200)	Ф	10.460	\$	4 790	\$	(1.000)
	December 31, 2009	\$	(1,288)	\$	10,469	Ф	4,780	Ф	(1,988)
or	): CAADI :								
% increase (decreas	e) in GAAP basis same store		0.1%		5.4%		5.8%		(4.2%)
LDITDA			0.170		3.470		3.070		(4.270)
· ·	se in Cash basis same store		(0.0%)		10.00		F 601		(6.161)
EBITDA			(0.9%)		10.0%		5.6%		(6.1%)

## **Supplemental Information – continued**

Our revenues and expenses are subject to seasonality during the year which impacts quarterly net earnings, cash flows and funds from operations, and therefore impacts comparisons of the current quarter to the previous quarter. The business of Toys is highly seasonal. Historically, Toys' fourth quarter net income, which we record on a one-quarter lag basis in our first quarter, accounts for more than 80% of Toys' fiscal year net income. The Office and Merchandise Mart segments have historically experienced higher utility costs in the first and third quarters of the year. The Merchandise Mart segment also has experienced higher earnings in the second and fourth quarters of the year due to major trade shows occurring in those quarters. The Retail segment revenue in the fourth quarter is typically higher due to the recognition of percentage rental income.

Below are the same store EBITDA results on a GAAP and cash basis for each of our segments for the three months ended December 31, 2010, compared to the three months ended September 30, 2010.

(Amounts in thousands)	New York Office		W	Vashington, DC Office	I	Retail	Merchandise Mart		
EBITDA for the three months ended									
December 31, 2010	\$	139,451	\$	163,581	\$	136,535	\$	9,124	
Add-back: non-property level overhead expenses									
included above		4,761		7,385		7,019		6,534	
Less: EBITDA from acquisitions,		1,701		7,505		7,017		0,22.	
dispositions									
and other non-operating									
income or expenses		9,229		(55,271)		(44,793)		15,973	
GAAP basis same store EBITDA for the									
three months									
ended December 31, 2010		153,441		115,695		98,761		31,631	
Less: Adjustments for straight-line									
rents, amortization of									
below-market leases, net									
and other non-cash									
adjustments		(17,930)		(67)		(9,212)		(858)	
Cash basis same store EBITDA for the									
three months									
ended December 31, 2010	\$	135,511	\$	115,628	\$	89,549	\$	30,773	
EBITDA for the three months ended									
September 30, 2010 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	149,285	\$	113,205	\$	88,431	\$	21,330	

Add-back: non-property level overhead expenses included above Less: EBITDA from acquisitions, dispositions	4,514	5,984	8,843	6,064
and other non-operating income or expenses GAAP basis same store EBITDA for the	839	(2,494)	(732)	1,083
three months ended September 30, 2010 Less: Adjustments for straight-line rents, amortization of below-market leases, net and other non-cash	154,638	116,695	96,542	28,477
adjustments Cash basis same store EBITDA for the	(14,845)	18	(11,136)	44
three months ended September 30, 2010	\$ 139,793	\$ 116,713	\$ 85,406	\$ 28,521
(Decrease) increase in GAAP basis same store EBITDA for the three months ended December 31, 2010 over the three months ended September 30, 2010	\$ (1,197)	\$ (1,000)	\$ 2,219	\$ 3,154
(Decrease) increase in Cash basis same store EBITDA for the three months ended December 31, 2010 over the				
three months ended September 30, 2010	\$ (4,282)	\$ (1,085)	\$ 4,143	\$ 2,252
% (decrease) increase in GAAP basis same store EBITDA	(0.8%)	(0.9%)	2.3%	11.1%
% (decrease) increase in Cash basis same store EBITDA	(3.1%)	(0.9%)	4.9%	7.9%

Below is the reconciliation of net income (loss) to EBITDA for the three months ended September 30,2010

			W	ashington,				
	N	lew York		DC			Merc	handise
(Amounts in thousands)	Office			Office	R	etail	Mart	
Net income (loss) attributable to Vornado								
for the three months								
ended September 30, 2010	\$	74,076	\$	36,516	\$	34,010	\$	(6,621)

Interest and debt expense		31,817		34,241		26,395		15,883
Depreciation and amortization		42,531		41,394		28,024		12,782
Income tax expense (benefit)		861		1,054		2		(714)
EBITDA for the three months ended	¢		¢		¢		¢	
September 30, 2010	Ф	149,285	Ф	113,205	Ф	88,431	Ф	21,330

### **Related Party Transactions**

Transactions with Affiliates and Officers and Trustees

Alexander's

We own 32.4% of Alexander's. Steven Roth, the Chairman of our Board, and Michael D. Fascitelli, our President and Chief Executive Officer, are officers and directors of Alexander's. We provide various services to Alexander's in accordance with management, development and leasing agreements. These agreements are described in Note 5 - Investments in Partially Owned Entities to our consolidated financial statements in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

On March 2, 2009, Mr. Roth and Mr. Fascitelli each exercised 150,000 stock appreciation rights which were scheduled to expire on March 4, 2009 and each received gross proceeds of \$11,419,000.

Interstate Properties ("Interstate")

Interstate is a general partnership in which Mr. Roth is the managing general partner. David Mandelbaum and Russell B. Wight, Jr., Trustees of Vornado and Directors of Alexander's, are Interstate's two other partners. As of December 31, 2010, Interstate and its partners beneficially owned approximately 7.0% of the common shares of beneficial interest of Vornado and 27.2% of Alexander's common stock.

We manage and lease the real estate assets of Interstate pursuant to a management agreement for which we receive an annual fee equal to 4% of annual base rent and percentage rent. The management agreement has a term of one year and is automatically renewable unless terminated by either of the parties on 60 days' notice at the end of the term. We believe, based upon comparable fees charged by other real estate companies, that the management agreement terms are fair to us.

### **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

We anticipate that cash flow from continuing operations over the next twelve months will be adequate to fund our business operations, cash distributions to unitholders of the Operating Partnership, cash dividends to shareholders, debt amortization and recurring capital expenditures. Capital requirements for development expenditures and acquisitions (excluding Fund acquisitions as described below) may require funding from borrowings and/or equity offerings. We may from time to time purchase or retire outstanding debt securities. Such purchases, if any, will depend on prevailing market conditions, liquidity requirements and other factors. The amounts involved in connection with these transactions could be material to our consolidated financial statements.

We have raised, and may continue to raise, capital for future Real Estate acquisitions through our real estate Fund. We are the general partner and investment manager of the Fund and it is our exclusive investment vehicle for all investments that fit within the Fund's investment parameters during its three-year investment period.

Acquisitions and Investments

Details of 2010 acquisitions and investments are provided in the "Overview" of Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Conditions and Results of Operations. There were no significant acquisitions or investments during 2009.

#### **Dispositions**

Details of 2010 dispositions are provided in the "Overview" of Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Conditions and Results of Operations.

On September 1, 2009, we sold 1999 K Street, a newly developed 250,000 square foot office building in Washington's Central Business District, for \$207,800,000 in cash, which resulted in a net gain of \$41,211,000, which is included as a component of "(loss) income from discontinued operations" on our consolidated statement of income.

During 2009, we sold 15 retail properties in separate transactions for an aggregate of \$55,000,000 in cash, which resulted in net gains aggregating \$4,073,000, which is included as a component of "(loss) income from discontinued operations" on our consolidated statement of income.

#### Mezzanine Loans

On January 28, 2010, we were repaid the entire \$99,314,000 balance of the Equinox loan including accrued interest. This loan, which we acquired in 2006 for \$57,500,000, was scheduled to mature in February 2013.

On June 1, 2009, we were repaid the entire \$41,758,000 balance of the Charles Square Hotel loan including accrued interest. This loan was scheduled to mature in September 2009.

#### Financing Activities

Details of 2010 financings are provided in the "Overview" of Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Conditions and Results of Operations.

In April 2009, we sold 17,250,000 common shares, including underwriters' over-allotment, in an underwritten public offering pursuant to an effective registration statement at an initial public offering price of \$43.00 per share. We received net proceeds of \$710,226,000, after underwriters' discount and offering expenses and contributed the net proceeds to the Operating Partnership in exchange for 17,250,000 Class A units of the Operating Partnership.

On September 30, 2009, we completed a public offering of \$460,000,000 principal amount of 7.875% callable senior unsecured 30-year notes (NYSE: VNOD) due October 1, 2039. The notes were sold to the public at par and may be redeemed at our option, in whole or in part, beginning in October 2014 at a price equal to the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest. We received net proceeds of approximately \$446,000,000 from the offering which were used to repay debt and for general corporate purposes.

During 2009, we purchased \$1,912,724,000 (aggregate face amount) of our convertible senior debentures and \$352,740,000 (aggregate face amount) of our senior unsecured notes for \$1,877,510,000 and \$343,694,000 in cash, respectively. This debt was acquired through tender offers and in the open market and has been retired. We also repaid \$650,285,000 of existing property level debt and completed \$277,000,000 of property level financings. In connection with the above, we recognized an aggregate net loss of \$25,915,000 from the early extinguishment of debt on our consolidated statement of income.

L	iguidity	y and	<b>Capital</b>	<b>Resources</b> -	- continued
---	----------	-------	----------------	--------------------	-------------

Certain Future Cash Requirements

Development and Redevelopment Expenditures

We expended \$156,775,000 in 2010 to complete development projects.

On October 1, 2010, Arlington County adopted a new Sector Plan for Crystal City that provides for additional density and increased building heights which would permit us to grow our assets in Crystal City from 8.0 million square feet currently to as much as 11.5 million square feet.

During 2010, we entered into agreements with Cuyahoga County, Ohio (the "County") to develop and operate the Cleveland Medical Mart and Convention Center (the "Facility"), a 1,000,000 square foot showroom, trade show and conference center in Cleveland's central business district. The County will fund the development of the Facility, using proceeds from the issuance of general obligation bonds and other sources, up to the development budget of \$465,000,000 and maintain effective control of the property. During the 17-year development and operating period, we will receive net settled payments of approximately \$10,000,000 per year, which is net of our \$36,000,000 annual obligation to the County. Our obligation has been pledged by the County to the bondholders, but is payable by us only to the extent that we first receive at least an equal payment from the County. We engaged a contractor to construct the Facility pursuant to a guaranteed maximum price contract. Although we are ultimately responsible for cost overruns, the contractor is responsible for all costs incurred in excess of its contract and has provided a completion guaranty. Construction of the Facility is expected to be completed in 2013. Subsequent thereto, we are required to fund \$11,500,000, primarily for tenant improvements, are responsible for all operating expenses and are entitled to the net operating income, if any, of the Facility. The County may terminate the operating agreement five years from the completion of development and periodically thereafter, if we fail to achieve certain performance thresholds. We plan to account for these agreements using criteria set forth in ASC 605-25, Multiple-Element Arrangements, as we are providing development, marketing, leasing, and other property management related services over the 17-year term. We plan to recognize development fees using the percentage of completion method of accounting.

We are also evaluating other development and redevelopment opportunities for which final plans, budgeted costs and financing have yet to be determined. These projects include the Springfield Mall in Springfield, Virginia and the Hotel Pennsylvania and 220 Central Park South in Manhattan.

schedule or within budget.	iat any of our development	projects will commence, or	n commenced, be completed on
100			

### Liquidity and Capital Resources - continued

Other Capital Expenditures

The following table summarizes other anticipated 2011 capital expenditures.

				New York	0 /			Merchandise				
(Amounts in millions, except											(	Other
square foot data)		Total	Office		Office		Retail			Mart	<b>(1)</b>	
Expenditures to maintain												
assets	\$	71.0	\$	25.0	\$	18.0	\$	5.0	\$	10.0	\$	13.0
Tenant improvements		135.0		40.0		45.0		11.0		37.0		2.0
Leasing commissions		34.0		12.0		10.0		4.0		7.0		1.0
Total tenant improvements and												
leasing commissions		169.0		52.0		55.0		15.0		44.0		3.0
Per square foot			\$	52.00	\$	36.50	\$	15.00	\$	<i>44.00</i> <sup>(2)</sup>	\$	50.00
Per square foot per												
annum			\$	5.75	\$	5.33	\$	2.24	\$	$4.40^{-(2)}$	\$	5.60
Total capital expenditures and												
leasing												
commissions	\$	240.0	\$	77.0	\$	73.0	\$	20.0	\$	54.0	\$	16.0
Square feet budgeted	!											
to be leased												
(in thousands)				1,000		1,500		1,000		1,000		
Weighted average												
lease term				9		7		7		10		

- (1) Primarily 555 California Street, Hotel Pennsylvania and Warehouses.
- (2) Tenant improvements and leasing commissions per square foot budgeted for 2011 leasing activity are \$74 (\$5.00 per annum) and \$21 (\$4.00 per annum) for Merchandise Mart office and showroom space, respectively.

The table above excludes anticipated capital expenditures of each of our partially owned non-consolidated subsidiaries, as these entities fund their capital expenditures without additional equity contributions from us.

### **Liquidity and Capital Resources – continued**

#### Dividends

On January 12, 2011, we increased our quarterly common dividend to \$0.69 per common share (an indicated annual rate of \$2.76 per common share. This dividend policy, if continued for all of 2011, would require us to pay out approximately \$507,000,000 of cash for common share dividends. In addition, during 2011, we expect to pay approximately \$57,000,000 of cash dividends on outstanding preferred shares and approximately \$53,000,000 of cash distributions to unitholders of the Operating Partnership.

#### Financing Activities and Contractual Obligations

We believe that we have complied with the financial covenants required by our revolving credit facilities and our senior unsecured notes and that as of December 31, 2010 we have the ability to incur a substantial amount of additional indebtedness. We have an effective shelf registration for the offering of our equity securities and debt securities that is not limited in amount due to our status as a "well-known seasoned issuer."

Our credit facilities contain financial covenants that require us to maintain minimum interest coverage and maximum debt to market capitalization ratios, and provides for higher interest rates in the event of a decline in our ratings below Baa3/BBB. Our credit facilities also contain customary conditions precedent to borrowing, including representations and warranties and also contain customary events of default that could give rise to accelerated repayment, including such items as failure to pay interest or principal.

Below is a schedule of our contractual obligations and commitments at December 31, 2010.

(Amounts in thousands)		Less than			
Contractual cash obligations (principal and interest <sup>(1)</sup> ):	Total	1 Year	1 – 3 Years	3 – 5 Years	Thereafter
Mortgages and notes payable Senior unsecured notes	\$ 9,885,682	\$ 2,226,459	\$ 2,939,211	\$ 1,246,902	\$ 3,473,110
due 2039 (PINES)	1,501,469	36,225	72,450	72,450	1,320,344

Edgar Filing: VORNADO REALTY TRUST - Form 10-K

Operating leases		1,193,361		30,542		62,263		61,732	1,03	38,824
Revolving credit facilities		884,313		211,249		673,064		-		-
Exchangeable senior debentures due 2025		525,007		19,374		505,633		-		-
Senior unsecured notes due 2015 Convertible senior		606,250		21,250		42,500	:	542,500		-
debentures due 2026 Senior unsecured notes		184,731		184,731		-		-		-
due 2011 Purchase obligations,		124,820		124,820		-		-		-
primarily construction										
commitments		129,109		117,609		-		11,500		-
Capital lease obligations Convertible senior		20,253		706		1,413		1,413		16,721
debentures due 2027 Total contractual		10,598		292		10,306		-		-
cash obligations	\$ 1	5,065,593	\$ 2	2,973,257	\$ 4	,306,840	\$ 1,9	936,497	\$ 5,84	18,999
Commitments:										
Capital commitments to										
partially owned entities	\$	199,953	\$	199,953	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
Standby letters of credit		30,015		28,080		1,935		_		_
Other guarantees Total commitments		146		146		-		-		-
	\$	230,114	\$	228,179	\$	1,935	\$	-	\$	-

<sup>(1)</sup> Interest on variable rate debt is computed using rates in effect December 31, 2010.

#### **Liquidity and Capital Resources – continued**

Financing Activities and Contractual Obligations – continued

Insurance

We maintain general liability insurance with limits of \$300,000,000 per occurrence and all risk property and rental value insurance with limits of \$2.0 billion per occurrence, including coverage for terrorist acts, with sub-limits for certain perils such as floods. Our California properties have earthquake insurance with coverage of \$150,000,000 per occurrence, subject to a deductible in the amount of 5% of the value of the affected property, up to a \$150,000,000 annual aggregate.

Penn Plaza Insurance Company, LLC ("PPIC"), our wholly owned consolidated subsidiary, acts as a re-insurer with respect to a portion of our earthquake insurance coverage and as a direct insurer for coverage for acts of terrorism, including nuclear, biological, chemical and radiological ("NBCR") acts, as defined by TRIPRA. Coverage for acts of terrorism (excluding NBCR acts) is fully reinsured by third party insurance companies and the Federal government with no exposure to PPIC. Our coverage for NBCR losses is up to \$2 billion per occurrence, for which PPIC is responsible for a deductible of \$3,200,000 and 15% of the balance of a covered loss and the Federal government is responsible for the remaining 85% of a covered loss. We are ultimately responsible for any loss borne by PPIC.

We continue to monitor the state of the insurance market and the scope and costs of coverage for acts of terrorism. However, we cannot anticipate what coverage will be available on commercially reasonable terms in future policy years.

Our debt instruments, consisting of mortgage loans secured by our properties which are non-recourse to us, senior unsecured notes, exchangeable senior debentures, convertible senior debentures and revolving credit agreements contain customary covenants requiring us to maintain insurance. Although we believe that we have adequate insurance coverage for purposes of these agreements, we may not be able to obtain an equivalent amount of coverage at reasonable costs in the future. Further, if lenders insist on greater coverage than we are able to obtain it could adversely affect our ability to finance our properties and expand our portfolio.

Other Commitments and Contingencies

Our mortgage loans are non-recourse to us. However, in certain cases we have provided guarantees or master leased tenant space. These guarantees and master leases terminate either upon the satisfaction of specified circumstances or repayment of the underlying loans. As of December 31, 2010, the aggregate dollar amount of these guarantees and master leases is approximately \$263,178,000.

At December 31, 2010, \$12,198,000 of letters of credit were outstanding under one of our revolving credit facilities. Our credit facilities contain financial covenants that require us to maintain minimum interest coverage and maximum debt to market capitalization ratios, and provide for higher interest rates in the event of a decline in our ratings below Baa3/BBB. Our credit facilities also contain customary conditions precedent to borrowing, including representations and warranties and also contain customary events of default that could give rise to accelerated repayment, including such items as failure to pay interest or principal.

Each of our properties has been subjected to varying degrees of environmental assessment at various times. The environmental assessments did not reveal any material environmental contamination. However, there can be no assurance that the identification of new areas of contamination, changes in the extent or known scope of contamination, the discovery of additional sites, or changes in cleanup requirements would not result in significant costs to us.

We are committed to fund additional capital to certain of our partially owned entities aggregating approximately \$199,953,000, of which \$146,622,000 is committed to our real estate Fund. In addition, we have agreed in principle to contribute up to \$52,000,000 to a new investment management fund which will be managed by LNR.

As part of the process of obtaining the required approvals to demolish and develop our 220 Central Park South property into a new residential tower, we have committed to fund the estimated project cost of approximately \$400,000,000 to \$425,000,000.

#### **Liquidity and Capital Resources – continued**

Litigation

We are from time to time involved in legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. In our opinion, after consultation with legal counsel, the outcome of such matters, including the matters referred to below, are not expected to have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

On January 8, 2003, Stop & Shop filed a complaint with the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey ("USDC-NJ") claiming that we had no right to reallocate and therefore continue to collect the \$5,000,000 of annual rent from Stop & Shop pursuant to the Master Agreement and Guaranty, because of the expiration of the East Brunswick, Jersey City, Middletown, Union and Woodbridge leases to which the \$5,000,000 of additional rent was previously allocated. Stop & Shop asserted that a prior order of the Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York dated February 6, 2001, as modified on appeal to the District Court for the Southern District of New York on February 13, 2001, froze our right to reallocate which effectively terminated our right to collect the additional rent from Stop & Shop. On March 3, 2003, after we moved to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction, Stop & Shop voluntarily withdrew its complaint. On March 26, 2003, Stop & Shop filed a new complaint in New York State Supreme Court, asserting substantially the same claims as in its USDC-NJ complaint. We removed the action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. In January 2005 that court remanded the action to the New York State Supreme Court. On February 14, 2005, we served an answer in which we asserted a counterclaim seeking a judgment for all the unpaid additional rent accruing through the date of the judgment and a declaration that Stop & Shop will continue to be liable for the additional rent as long as any of the leases subject to the Master Agreement and Guaranty remain in effect. On May 17, 2005, we filed a motion for summary judgment. On July 15, 2005, Stop & Shop opposed our motion and filed a cross-motion for summary judgment. On December 13, 2005, the Court issued its decision denying the motions for summary judgment. Both parties appealed the Court's decision and on December 14, 2006, the Appellate Court division issued a decision affirming the Court's decision. On January 16, 2007, we filed a motion for the reconsideration of one aspect of the Appellate Court's decision which was denied on March 13, 2007. Discovery is complete and a trial was held in November 2010, with closing arguments expected in March 2011. We intend to continue to vigorously pursue our claims against Stop & Shop.

In July 2005, we acquired H Street Building Corporation ("H Street") which has a subsidiary that owns, among other things, a 50% tenancy in common interest in land located in Arlington County, Virginia, known as "Pentagon Row," leased to two tenants, Street Retail, Inc. and Post Apartment Homes, L.P. In April 2007, H Street acquired the remaining 50% interest in that fee. On September 25, 2008, both tenants filed suit against us and the former owners claiming the right of first offer to purchase the fee interest, damages in excess of \$75,000,000 and punitive damages. In April 2010, the Trial Court entered judgment in favor of the tenants, that we sell the land to the tenants for a net sales price of \$14,992,000, representing the Trial Court's allocation of our purchase price for H Street. The request for damages and punitive damages was denied. As a result of the Trial Court's decision, we recorded a \$10,056,000 loss accrual in the first quarter of 2010. We filed a motion to appeal the Trial Court's decision, which the appeals court

refused to hear. Accordingly, in the fourth quarter of 2010, we sold the property to the tenants for \$14,992,000 in cash (our reduced carrying amount) and reclassified the results of operations of this property to "(loss) income from discontinued operations," and the related assets and liabilities to "assets related to discontinued operations" and "liabilities related to discontinued operations" for all periods presented in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

#### **Liquidity and Capital Resources – continued**

Cash Flows for the Year Ended December 31, 2010

Property rental income is our primary source of cash flow and is dependent upon the occupancy and rental rates of our properties. Other sources of liquidity to fund cash requirements include proceeds from debt financings, including mortgage loans, senior unsecured borrowings, and our revolving credit facilities; proceeds from the issuance of common and preferred equity; and asset sales. Our cash requirements include property operating expenses, capital improvements, tenant improvements, leasing commissions, distributions to common and preferred shareholders, as well as acquisition and development costs. Our cash and cash equivalents were \$690,789,000 at December 31, 2010, a \$155,310,000 increase over the balance at December 31, 2009. This increase was primarily due to cash flows from operating activities as discussed below, partially offset by our investment in J.C. Penney Company, Inc.

Our consolidated outstanding debt was \$10,893,639,000 at December 31, 2010, a \$207,936,000 increase over the balance at December 31, 2009. As of December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, \$874,000,000 and \$852,218,000, respectively, was outstanding under our revolving credit facilities. During 2011 and 2012, \$2,070,534,000 and \$2,102,531,000 of our outstanding debt matures, respectively. We may refinance our maturing debt as it comes due or choose to repay it.

Cash flows provided by operating activities of \$771,086,000 was comprised of (i) net income of \$708,031,000, (ii) \$127,922,000 of non-cash adjustments, including depreciation and amortization expense, the effect of straight-lining of rental income, equity in net income of partially owned entities, income from the mark-to-market of derivative positions in marketable equity securities, litigation loss accrual and impairment losses, net gain on early extinguishment of debt, (iii) distributions of income from partially owned entities of \$61,037,000, (iv) interest received on repayment on mezzanine loan of \$40,467,000, partially offset by (v) the net change in operating assets and liabilities of \$166,371,000, of which \$144,423,000 relates to Real Estate Fund investments.

Net cash used in investing activities of \$520,361,000 was comprised of (i) purchases of marketable equity securities, including J.C. Penney Company, Inc. common shares, of \$504,096,000, (ii) acquisitions of real estate of \$173,413,000, (iii) investments in partially owned entities of \$165,170,000, (iv) development and redevelopment expenditures of \$156,775,000, (v) additions to real estate of \$144,794,000, (vi) investments in mezzanine loans receivable and other of \$85,336,000, partially offset by (vii) proceeds from the sale of real estate and related investments of \$280,462,000, (viii) restricted cash of \$138,586,000, (ix) proceeds from sales of real estate and related investments of \$127,736,000, (x) proceeds received from repayment of mezzanine loans receivable of \$70,762,000, (xi) distributions of capital from investments in partially owned entities of \$51,677,000, and (xii) proceeds from maturing short-term investments of \$40,000,000.

Net cash used in financing activities of \$95,415,000 was comprised of (i) repayments of borrowing, including the purchase of our senior unsecured notes, of \$2,004,718,000, (ii) dividends paid on common shares of \$474,299,000 (iii) purchases of outstanding preferred units of \$78,954,000, (iv) dividends paid on preferred shares of \$55,669,000, (v) distributions to noncontrolling interests of \$53,842,000, (vi) repurchase of shares related to stock compensation agreements and related tax withholdings of \$25,660,000, (vii) debt issuance costs of \$14,980,000 partially offset by (viii) proceeds from borrowings of \$2,481,883,000, (ix) contributions from noncontrolling interests of \$103,831,000 and (x) proceeds received from exercise of employee share options of \$26,993,000.

#### LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES - continued

### Capital Expenditures

Our capital expenditures consist of expenditures to maintain assets, tenant improvement allowances and leasing commissions. Recurring capital improvements include expenditures to maintain a property's competitive position within the market and tenant improvements and leasing commissions necessary to re-lease expiring leases or renew or extend existing leases. Non-recurring capital improvements include expenditures completed in the year of acquisition and the following two years that were planned at the time of acquisition as well as tenant improvements and leasing commissions for space that was vacant at the time of acquisition of a property. Our development and redevelopment expenditures include all hard and soft costs associated with the development or redevelopment of a property, including tenant improvements, leasing commissions, capitalized interest and operating costs until the property is substantially complete and ready for its intended use.

Below are the details of capital expenditures, leasing commissions and development and redevelopment expenditures and a reconciliation of total expenditures on an accrual basis to the cash expended in the year ended December 31, 2010.

(Amounts in thousands)	Total	New York Office	Washington, DC Office	Retail	Merchandise Mart	Other
Capital Expenditures (accrual						
basis):						
Expenditures to maintain assets	\$ 53,051 116,939	\$ 20,472	\$ 17,532	\$ 4,838	\$ 6,099	\$ 4,110
Tenant improvements		50,387	17,464	9,827	31,742	7,519
Leasing commissions Non-recurring capital	30,351	15,325	6,044	2,215	4,761	2,006
expenditures	5,381	-	-	915	-	4,466
Total capital expenditures and leasing	205,722					
commissions (accrual basis) Adjustments to reconcile to cash basis:	203,722	86,184	41,040	17,795	42,602	18,101
Expenditures in the current year applicable to prior periods Expenditures to be made in future	64,216	35,080	13,296	6,698	4,825	4,317

periods for the current period  Total capital expenditures and	(87,289)	(	35,051)	(13,989)	(	(11,358)	(	20,580)	(6,311)
leasing	182,649								
commissions (cash basis)	\$ 102,049	\$	86,213	\$ 40,347	\$	13,135	\$ 2	26,847	\$ 16,107
Tenant improvements and									
leasing commissions:									
Per square foot per annum	\$ 3.89	\$	6.70	\$ 2.92	\$	1.41	\$	4.69	\$ -
Percentage of initial rent	10.5%		13.5%	7.6%		5.8%		14.0%	-
Development and									
Redevelopment									
Expenditures:									
220 Central Park South	\$ 46,769	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 46,769
Bergen Town Center	18,783		-	-		18,783		-	-
Residential condominiums	15,600		-	-		-		-	15,600
West End 25	9,997		-	9,997		-		-	-
1540 Broadway	8,091		-	-		8,091		-	-
Green Acres Mall	7,679		-	-		7,679		-	-
220 20th Street	4,097		-	4,097		-		-	-
Beverly Connection	3,695		-	-		3,695		-	-
Poughkeepsie, New York	3,054		-	-		3,054		-	-
Other	39,010		5,705	12,495		12,621		2,667	5,522
	\$ 156,775	\$	5,705	\$ 26,589	\$	53,923	\$	2,667	\$ 67,891

### LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES - continued

Cash Flows for the Year Ended December 31, 2009

Our cash and cash equivalents were \$535,479,000 at December 31, 2009, a \$991,374,000 decrease over the balance at December 31, 2008. This decrease was the result of the acquisition of our convertible senior debentures and senior unsecured notes during 2009, partially offset by cash flows from operating activities as discussed below.

Our consolidated outstanding debt was \$10,685,703,000 at December 31, 2009, a \$1,495,132,000 decrease from the balance at December 31, 2008. This decrease resulted primarily from the acquisition of our convertible senior debentures and senior unsecured notes during 2009. As of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, \$852,218,000 and \$358,468,000, respectively, was outstanding under our revolving credit facilities.

Our share of debt of unconsolidated subsidiaries was \$3,149,640,000 at December 31, 2009, a \$46,945,000 decrease from the balance at December 31, 2008.

Cash flows provided by operating activities of \$633,579,000 was comprised of (i) net income of \$128,450,000, (ii) \$620,523,000 of non-cash adjustments, including depreciation and amortization expense, non-cash impairment losses, the effect of straight-lining of rental income, equity in net income of partially owned entities and (iii) distributions of income from partially owned entities of \$30,473,000, partially offset by (iv) the net change in operating assets and liabilities of \$145,867,000.

Net cash used in investing activities of \$242,201,000 was comprised of (i) development and redevelopment expenditures of \$465,205,000, (ii) additions to real estate of \$216,669,000, (iii) purchases of marketable equity securities of \$90,089,000, (iv) purchases of short-term investments of \$55,000,000, (v) investments in partially owned entities of \$38,266,000, partially offset by, (vi) proceeds from the sale of real estate (primarily 1999 K Street) of \$367,698,000, (vii) proceeds from restricted cash of \$111,788,000, (viii) proceeds from the sale of marketable securities of \$64,355,000, (ix) proceeds received from repayments on mezzanine loans receivable of \$47,397,000, (x) proceeds from maturing short-term investments of \$15,000,000 and (xi) distributions of capital from partially owned entities of \$16,790,000.

Net cash used in financing activities of \$1,382,752,000 was primarily comprised of (i) acquisition and retirement of convertible senior debentures and senior unsecured notes of \$2,221,204,000, (ii) repayment of borrowings of \$2,075,236,000, (iii) dividends paid on common shares of \$262,397,000, (iv) dividends paid on preferred shares of \$57,076,000, (v) distributions to noncontrolling interests of \$42,451,000, (vi) repurchase of shares related to stock

compensation arrangements and related tax withholdings of \$32,203,000, (vii) redemption of redeemable noncontrolling interests of \$24,330,000, (viii) debt issuance and other costs of \$30,186,000, partially offset by, (ix) proceeds from borrowings of \$2,648,175,000 and (xi) proceeds from issuance of common shares of \$710,226,000.

### LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES - continued

### Capital Expenditures

Below are the details of capital expenditures, leasing commissions and development and redevelopment expenditures and a reconciliation of total expenditures on an accrual basis to the cash expended in the year ended December 31, 2009.

(Amounts in thousands) Capital Expenditures (accrual basis):	Total	N	New York Office	W	ashington, DC Office		Retail	Me	erchandise Mart	:	Other
Expenditures to maintain assets \$	41,858	\$	15,559	\$	17,185	\$	3,406	\$	5,708	\$	
Tenant improvements	76,514	Ψ	44,808	Ψ	18,348	ψ	4,190	Ψ	9,168	Ψ	_
Leasing commissions	28,913		15,432		10,040		1,710		1,731		-
Non-recurring capital	20,913		13,432		10,040		1,710		1,731		_
expenditures	35,917		20,741				53				15,123
Total capital expenditures and	33,917		20,741		-		33		-		13,123
leasing											
commissions (accrual											
basis)	183,202		96,540		45,573		9,359		16,607		15,123
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	165,202		90,340		45,575		9,339		10,007		13,123
Adjustments to reconcile to cash basis:											
Expenditures in the											
current year											
applicable to	120 500		67,002		60.200		4.202		5 224		962
prior periods	138,590		67,903		60,208		4,293		5,224		902
Expenditures to be											
made in future											
periods for the	(75.207)		(40.516)		(21, (27)		(5.044)		(5,000)		(2.110)
current period	(75,397)		(40,516)		(21,627)		(5,244)		(5,900)		(2,110)
Total capital expenditures and											
leasing	046 205	ф	102.007	ф	04.154	ф	0.400	Φ	15.021	ф	12.075
commissions (cash basis) \$	246,395	\$	123,927	\$	84,154	\$	8,408	\$	15,931	\$	13,975
Tenant improvements and leasing											
commissions:											
Per square foot per annum \$	2.79	\$	5.51	\$	2.10	\$	0.82	\$	2.03	\$	_
Percentage of initial rent	7.1%	Ψ	10.5%	Ψ	5.2%	Ψ	3.5%		5.5%	Ψ	_
G V	,,,,,		_ 3.2 /0		2.2 70		2.2 70		2.2 /0		
Development and Redevelopment											
<b>Expenditures:</b>											
West End 25 \$	64,865	\$	-	\$	64,865	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-

Edgar Filing: VORNADO REALTY TRUST - Form 10-K

Bergen Town Center	57,843	-	-	57,843	-	-
Residential condominiums	49,586	-	-	-	-	49,586
220 20th Street	39,256	-	39,256	-	-	-
1999 K Street (sold in						
September 2009)	31,874	-	31,874	-	-	-
North Bergen, New Jersey	25,764	-	-	25,764	-	-
Manhattan Mall	21,459	-	-	21,459	-	-
Poughkeepsie, New York	20,280	-	-	20,280	-	-
Garfield, New Jersey	16,577	-	-	16,577	-	-
1540 Broadway	15,544	-	-	15,544	-	-
2101 L Street	12,923	-	12,923	-	-	-
Beverly Connection	12,854	-	-	12,854	-	-
40 East 66th Street	10,520	-	-	-	-	10,520
One Penn Plaza	9,839	9,839	-	-	-	-
Other	76,021	11,790	22,849	28,438	6,409	6,535
	\$ 465,205	\$ 21,629	\$ 171,767	\$ 198,759	\$ 6,409	\$ 66,641

### LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES - continued

Cash Flow for the Year Ended December 31, 2008

Cash and cash equivalents were \$1,526,853,000 at December 31, 2008, a \$372,258,000 increase over the balance at December 31, 2007. This increase resulted from \$817,812,000 of net cash provided by operating activities and \$7,677,000 of net cash provided by financing activities, partially offset by \$453,231,000 of net cash used in investing activities.

Our consolidated outstanding debt was \$12,180,835,000 at December 31, 2008, a \$719,768,000 increase over the balance at December 31, 2007. This increase resulted primarily from debt associated with property refinancings. As of December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, \$358,468,000 and \$405,656,000, respectively, was outstanding under our revolving credit facilities.

Our share of debt of unconsolidated subsidiaries was \$3,196,585,000 at December 31, 2008, a \$93,288,000 decrease from the balance at December 31, 2007.

Cash flows provided by operating activities of \$817,812,000 was comprised of (i) net income of \$411,445,000, (ii) \$401,571,000 of non-cash adjustments, including depreciation and amortization expense, non-cash impairment losses, the effect of straight-lining of rental income, equity in net income of partially owned entities, and (iii) distributions of income from partially owned entities of \$44,690,000, partially offset by (iv) the net change in operating assets and liabilities of \$39,894,000.

Net cash used in investing activities of \$453,231,000 was primarily comprised of (i) development and redevelopment expenditures of \$598,688,000, (ii) additions to real estate of \$207,885,000, (iii) investments in partially owned entities of \$156,227,000, (iv) purchases of marketable equity securities of \$164,886,000, partially offset by, (v) proceeds from the sale of real estate (primarily Americold and Tysons Dulles Plaza) of \$390,468,000, (vi) distributions of capital from partially owned entities of \$218,367,000, (vii) proceeds received from repayments on mezzanine loans receivable of \$52,470,000 and (viii) proceeds from the sale of marketable securities of \$51,185,000.

Net cash provided by financing activities of \$7,677,000 was primarily comprised of (i) proceeds from borrowings of \$1,721,974,000 and (ii) proceeds received from exercises of employee share options of \$29,377,000, partially offset by, (iii) repayments of borrowings of \$993,665,000, (iv) dividends paid on common shares of \$561,981,000, (v)

distributions to noncontrolling interests of \$	885,419,000 and (vi) dividends paid	on preferred shares of \$57,112,000.
109		

### LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES - continued

### Capital Expenditures

Below are the details of capital expenditures, leasing commissions and development and redevelopment expenditures and a reconciliation of total expenditures on an accrual basis to the cash expended in the year ended December 31, 2008.

	<b></b>	N	New York	W	ashington, DC	<b>D</b> . <b>H</b>	Me	erchandise	:	
(Amounts in thousands)	Total		Office		Office	Retail		Mart		Other
Capital Expenditures										
(accrual basis):										
Expenditures to maintain										
assets \$	50,137	\$	23,380	\$	10,341	\$ 4,024	\$	10,730	\$	1,662
Tenant improvements	57,573		23,433		17,223	7,881		9,036		-
Leasing commissions	29,642		16,037		6,385	3,145		4,075		-
Non-recurring capital										
expenditures	70,860		28,773		20,888	4,109		11,146		5,944
Total capital expenditures and										
leasing										
commissions (accrual										
basis)	208,212		91,623		54,837	19,159		34,987		7,606
Adjustments to reconcile to										
cash basis:										
Expenditures in the										
current year										
applicable to										
prior periods	114,778		57,001		15,539	9,590		28,576		4,072
Expenditures to be										
made in future										
periods for the										
current period	(78,614)		(33,571)		(22,076)	(15,135)		(7,729)		(103)
Total capital expenditures and										
leasing										
commissions (cash basis) \$	244,376	\$	115,053	\$	48,300	\$ 13,614	\$	55,834	\$	11,575
Tenant improvements and leasing										
commissions:										
Per square foot per annum \$	3.12	\$	5.35	\$	2.16	\$ 2.03	\$	3.07	\$	-
Percentage of initial rent	7.0%		7.5%		5.6%	5.3%		9.7%		-

Development and Redevelopment Expenditures:

Edgar Filing: VORNADO REALTY TRUST - Form 10-K

Bergen Town Center	\$ 126,673	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 126,673	\$ -	\$ -
Residential condominiums	61,867	-	-	-	-	61,867
Manhattan Mall	51,474	-	-	51,474	-	-
1999 K Street (sold in						
September 2009)	45,742	-	45,742	-	-	-
40 East 66th Street	41,827	-	-	-	-	41,827
220 20th Street	36,014	-	36,014	-	-	-
220 Central Park South	30,533	-	-	-	-	30,533
West End 25	24,002	-	24,002	-	-	-
478-486 Broadway	17,182	-	-	17,182	-	-
Hotel Pennsylvania	15,591	-	-	-	-	15,591
2101 L Street	14,992	-	14,992	-	-	-
Springfield Mall	12,948	-	-	12,948	-	-
Garfield, New Jersey	12,775	-	-	12,775	-	-
North Bergen, New Jersey	10,749	-	-	10,749	-	-
Poughkeepsie, New York	10,404	-	-	10,404	-	-
Green Acres Mall	3,914	-	-	3,914	-	-
Other	82,001	25,959	27,106	20,226	8,710	-
	\$ 598,688	\$ 25,959	\$ 147,856	\$ 266,345	\$ 8,710	\$ 149,818

### **Funds From Operations ("FFO")**

FFO is computed in accordance with the definition adopted by the Board of Governors of the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts ("NAREIT"). NAREIT defines FFO as GAAP net income or loss adjusted to exclude net gains from sales of depreciated real estate assets, depreciation and amortization expense from real estate assets, extraordinary items and other specified non-cash items, including the pro rata share of such adjustments of unconsolidated subsidiaries. FFO and FFO per diluted share are used by management, investors and analysts to facilitate meaningful comparisons of operating performance between periods and among our peers because it excludes the effect of real estate depreciation and amortization and net gains on sales, which are based on historical costs and implicitly assume that the value of real estate diminishes predictably over time, rather than fluctuating based on existing market conditions. FFO does not represent cash generated from operating activities and is not necessarily indicative of cash available to fund cash requirements and should not be considered as an alternative to net income as a performance measure or cash flows as a liquidity measure. FFO may not be comparable to similarly titled measures employed by other companies. The calculations of both the numerator and denominator used in the computation of income per share are disclosed in Note 16 – *Income per Share*, in the notes to our consolidated financial statements on page 156 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

FFO attributable to common shareholders plus assumed conversions was \$1,149,781,000, or \$6.05 per diluted share for the year ended December 31, 2010, compared to \$583,596,000 or \$3.36 per diluted share for the year ended December 31, 2009. FFO attributable to common shareholders plus assumed conversions was \$335,759,000 or \$1.76 per diluted share for the three months ended December 31, 2010 compared to \$20,000, or \$0.00 per diluted share for the three months ended December 31, 2009. Details of certain items that affect comparability are discussed in the financial results summary of our "Overview."

(Amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)	For The Year Ended December 31,					For The Three Months Ended December 31,			
<b>Reconciliation of our net income (loss) to FFO:</b>		2010		2009		2010	2009		
Net income (loss) attributable to Vornado	\$	647,883	\$	106,169	\$	256,973	\$ (136,923)		
Depreciation and amortization of real property		505,806		508,572		124,024	133,023		
Net gain on sales of real estate		(57,248)		(45,282)		(57,248)	(2,629)		
Proportionate share of adjustments to equity in net									
income of									
Toys, to arrive at FFO:									
Depreciation and amortization									
of real property		70,174		65,358		16,878	15,527		
Net gain on sales of real estate		-		(164)		-	-		
Income tax effect of above									
adjustments		(24,561)		(22,819)		(5,907)	(5,435)		
Proportionate share of adjustments to equity in net									
income of									
partially owned entities, excluding Toys,									
to arrive at FFO:									
		78,151		75,200		19,596	22,692		

Depreciation and amortization of real property						
Net gain on sales of real estate	(5	,784)		(1,188)	(5,470)	(3)
Noncontrolling interests' share of above adjustments	-	,565)	(	45,344)	(6,080)	(11,963)
FFO	•	4,856	,	640,502	342,766	14,289
Preferred share dividends	(55	,534)	(	57,076)	(13,559)	(14,269)
Discount on preferred share redemptions	4	4,382		-	-	-
FFO attributable to common shareholders	1,12	3,704		583,426	329,207	20
Interest on 3.875% exchangeable senior debentures	2:	5,917		-	6,512	-
Convertible preferred share dividends		160		170	40	-
FFO attributable to common shareholders plus						
assumed conversions	\$ 1,149	9,781	\$ :	583,596	\$ 335,759	\$ 20
Reconciliation of Weighted Average Shares						
Weighted average common shares						
outstanding	182	2,340		171,595	183,308	179,832
Effect of dilutive securities:						
3.875% exchangeable senior						
debentures	:	5,736		-	5,736	-
Employee stock options and						
restricted share awards		1,747		1,908	1,735	2,627
Convertible preferred shares		71		75	70	-
Denominator for FFO per diluted share		9,894		173,578	190,849	182,459
FFO attributable to common shareholders plus						
assumed conversions per diluted share	\$	6.05	\$	3.36	\$ 1.76	\$ -

### ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We have exposure to fluctuations in market interest rates. Market interest rates are sensitive to many factors that are beyond our control. Our exposure to a change in interest rates on our consolidated and non-consolidated debt (all of which arises out of non-trading activity) is as follows:

(Amounts in thousands, except								
per share amounts)			2010				2009	
	D	ecember 31,	Weighted Average Interest		ect of 1% nange In	D	ecember 31,	Weighted Average Interest
Consolidated debt:		Balance	Rate	Ba	se Rates		Balance	Rate
Variable rate	\$	2,903,510	1.76%	\$	29,035	\$	2,657,972	1.67%
Fixed rate		7,990,129	5.66%		-		8,027,731	5.87%
	\$	10,893,639	4.62%		29,035	\$	10,685,703	4.83%
Pro-rata share of debt of non-								
consolidated entities								
(non-recourse):								
Variable rate – excluding Toys	\$	345,308	1.39%		3,453	\$	331,980	2.87%
Variable rate – Toys		501,623	4.95%		5,016		852,040	3.45%
Fixed rate (including								
\$1,421,820 and								
\$1,077,919 of								
Toys debt in 2010	)							
and 2009)		2,428,986 (1)	6.86%		-		1,965,620	7.16%
	\$	3,275,917	5.99%		8,469	\$	3,149,640	5.70%
Redeemable noncontrolling								
interests' share of above					(2,682)			
Total change in annual net								
income				\$	34,822			
Per share-diluted				\$	0.19			

We may utilize various financial instruments to mitigate the impact of interest rate fluctuations on our cash flows and earnings, including hedging strategies, depending on our analysis of the interest rate environment and the costs and risks of such strategies. As of December 31, 2010, variable rate debt with an aggregate principal amount of \$564,707,181 and a weighted average interest rate of 2.84% was subject to LIBOR caps. These caps are based on a notional amount of \$558,844,181 and cap LIBOR at a weighted average rate of 5.68%.

<sup>(1)</sup> Excludes \$37 billion for our 26.2% pro rata shares of liabilities related to consolidated CMBS and CDO trusts which are non-recourse to LNR and its equity holders, including us.

As of December 31, 2010, we have investments in mezzanine loans with an aggregate carrying amount of \$138,434,000 that are based on variable interest rates which partially mitigate our exposure to a change in interest rates on our variable rate debt.

Fair Value of Debt

The estimated fair value of our consolidated debt is calculated based on current market prices and discounted cash flows at the current rate at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings for the remaining term of such debt. As of December 31, 2010, the estimated fair value of our consolidated debt was \$11,190,189,000.

Derivative Instruments

We have, and may in the future enter into, derivative positions that do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment, including our economic interest in J.C. Penney common shares. Because these derivatives do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment, the gains or losses resulting from their mark-to-market at the end of each reporting period are recognized as an increase or decrease in "interest and other investment income (loss), net" on our consolidated statements of income. In addition, we are, and may in the future be, subject to additional expense based on the notional amount of the derivative positions and a specified spread over LIBOR. Because the market value of these instruments can vary significantly between periods, we may experience significant fluctuations in the amount of our investment income or expense in any given period. During the year ended December 31, 2010 we recognized \$130,153,000 of income from derivative instruments.

### ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

### INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Page
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	114
Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2010 and 2009	115
Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008	116
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008	117
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008	120
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	122

#### REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Shareholders and Board of Trustees

Vornado Realty Trust

New York, New York

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Vornado Realty Trust (the "Company") as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of income, changes in equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010. Our audits also included the financial statement schedules listed in the Index at Item 15. These financial statements and financial statement schedules are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statements and financial statement schedules based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Vornado Realty Trust at December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, such financial statement schedules, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 23, 2011 expressed an unqualified opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

### /s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Parsippany, New Jersey

February 23, 2011

### PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

### **Item 1. Financial Statements**

# VORNADO REALTY TRUST CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Amounts in thousands, except share and per share amounts)  ASSETS	December 31, 2010	December 31, 2009
Real estate, at cost:		
Land	\$ 4,598,303	\$ 4,472,655
Buildings and improvements	12,733,487	12,660,987
Development costs and construction in progress	218,156	313,184
Leasehold improvements and equipment	124,976	127,419
Total	17,674,922	17,574,245
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	(2,763,997)	(2,441,344)
Real estate, net	14,910,925	15,132,901
Cash and cash equivalents	690,789	535,479
Restricted cash	200,822	293,950
Short-term investments	-	40,000
Marketable securities	766,116	380,652
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of		
\$62,979 and \$46,708	157,146	157,325
Investments in partially owned entities	927,672	799,832
Investment in Toys "R" Us	447,334	409,453
Mezzanine loans receivable, net of allowance of \$73,216 and		
\$190,738	202,412	203,286
Real Estate Fund investments	144,423	-
Receivable arising from the straight-lining of rents, net of		
allowance of \$7,323 and \$4,672	720,806	670,225
Deferred leasing and financing costs, net of accumulated		
amortization of \$223,131 and \$182,106	368,314	310,884
Identified intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of		
\$338,508 and \$311,118	348,745	439,549
Assets related to discontinued operations	234,464	337,711
Due from officers	13,187	13,150
Other assets	384,316	461,075
	\$ 20,517,471	\$ 20,185,472
LIABILITIES, REDEEMABLE NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS AND EQUITY		
Notes and mortgages payable	\$ 8,259,298	\$ 8,191,854
Senior unsecured notes	1,082,928	711,716
Exchangeable senior debentures	491,000	484,457
Convertible senior debentures	186,413	445,458

Revolving credit facility debt	874,000	852,218
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	438,479	475,242
Deferred compensation plan	91,549	80,443
Deferred credit	583,369	655,283
Deferred tax liabilities	13,278	16,495
Liabilities related to discontinued operations	255,922	282,770
Other liabilities	82,856	88,502
Total liabilities	12,359,092	12,284,438
Commitments and contingencies		
Redeemable noncontrolling interests:		
Class A units - 12,804,202 and 13,892,313 units		
outstanding	1,066,974	971,628
Series D cumulative redeemable preferred units -		
10,400,001 and 11,200,000 units outstanding	261,000	280,000
Total redeemable noncontrolling		
interests	1,327,974	1,251,628
Vornado shareholders' equity:		
Preferred shares of beneficial interest: no par value		
per share; authorized 110,000,000		
shares; issued and outstanding		
32,340,009 and 33,952,324 shares	783,088	823,686
Common shares of beneficial interest: \$.04 par value		
per share; authorized,		
250,000,000 shares; issued and		
outstanding 183,661,875 and		
181,214,161 shares	7,317	7,218
Additional capital	6,932,728	6,961,007
Earnings less than distributions	(1,480,876)	(1,577,591)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	73,453	28,449
Total Vornado shareholders' equity	6,315,710	6,242,769
Noncontrolling interest in consolidated subsidiaries	514,695	406,637
Total equity	6,830,405	6,649,406
	\$ 20,517,471	\$ 20,185,472

See notes to the consolidated financial statements.

# VORNADO REALTY TRUST CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

	2	2010	Year Endo	ed December 31 2009	•	2008
(Amounts in thousands, except per share						
amounts)						
REVENUES:						
Property rentals	\$	2,271,357	\$	2,182,194	\$	2,160,073
Tenant expense						
reimbursements		360,448		357,186		353,602
Fee and other income		147,922		157,312		126,816
Total revenues		2,779,727		2,696,692		2,640,491
EXPENSES:						
Operating		1,099,478		1,067,229		1,048,537
Depreciation and amortization		530,704		531,637		529,134
General and administrative		214,225		231,010		193,969
Impairment losses and						
acquisition costs		129,458		75,963		81,447
Total expenses		1,973,865		1,905,839		1,853,087
Operating income		805,862		790,853		787,404
Income applicable to Toys "R" Us		71,624		92,300		2,380
Income (loss) from partially owned entities		22,438		(19,910)		(159,207)
(Loss) from Real Estate Fund (includes				, , ,		
\$805 of expenses that are						
attributable to noncontrolling						
interests)		(303)		-		_
Interest and other investment income (loss),		` ,				
net		235,315		(116,350)		(2,747)
Interest and debt expense (including		,				( ) ,
amortization of deferred						
financing costs of \$18,542,						
\$17,593 and \$17,409						
respectively)		(560,270)		(617,994)		(619,531)
Net gain (loss) on early extinguishment of		( , ,		( ) /		( ) )
debt		94,789		(25,915)		9,820
Net gain on disposition of wholly owned		2 1,1 02		(== ,, == )		,,,_,
and partially owned assets		81,432		5,641		7,757
Income before income taxes		750,887		108,625		25,876
Income tax (expense) benefit		(22,476)		(20,642)		204,644
Income from continuing operations		728,411		87,983		230,520
(Loss) income from discontinued operations		(20,380)		40,467		180,925
Net income		708,031		128,450		411,445
Net (income) loss attributable to		700,051		120,130		111,113
noncontrolling interests in						
consolidated subsidiaries		(4,920)		2,839		3,263
consolidated substitutions		(7,520)		2,039		3,203

Edgar Filing: VORNADO REALTY TRUST - Form 10-K

Net (income	e) attributable to noncontrolling			
interests in				
	the Operating Partnership,			
	including unit distributions	(55,228)	(25,120)	(55,411)
Net income	attributable to Vornado	647,883	106,169	359,297
Preferred sh	nare dividends	(55,534)	(57,076)	(57,091)
Discount or	n preferred share redemptions	4,382	-	_
NET INCO	OME attributable to common			
shareholde	rs	\$ 596,731	\$ 49,093	\$ 302,206
INCOME I	PER COMMON SHARE -			
<b>BASIC:</b>				
	Income from continuing			
	operations, net	\$ 3.38	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.89
	(Loss) income from			
	discontinued operations, net	(0.11)	0.21	1.07
	Net income per common share	\$ 3.27	\$ 0.28	\$ 1.96
	Weighted average shares	182,340	171,595	153,900
INCOME I	PER COMMON SHARE -			
DILUTED	:			
	Income from continuing			
	operations, net	\$ 3.35	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.87
	(Loss) income from			
	discontinued operations, net	(0.11)	0.21	1.04
	Net income per common share	\$ 3.24	\$ 0.28	\$ 1.91
	Weighted average shares	184,159	173,503	158,119

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

# VORNADO REALTY TRUST CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN EQUITY

# Accumulated

(Amounts in										
thousands)	Preferr	ed Shares	Common	Shares	Additional		Earnings Less Than C	_	Non- iv <b>c</b> ontrolling	Tot
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Capital	Di	istributions	Income (Loss)	Interests	Equ
Balance, December 31,					_			, ,		
2007	33,980	\$ 825,095	153,077	\$ 6,140	\$ 5,491,112	\$	(757,177)	\$ 29,772		\$ 6,01
Net income	-	-	-	-	-		359,297	-	3,263	362
Dividends paid										
on common							(561 001)			(561
shares	-	-	-	-	-		(561,981)	-	-	(561
Dividends paid on preferred										
shares	_	_	_	_	_		(57,091)	_	_	(57
Conversion of	_	_	_	_	_		(37,071)	_	_	(37
Series A										
preferred										
shares to										
common										
shares	(26)	(1,312)	36	2	1,310		_	-	_	
Deferred	. ,				•					
compensation										
shares										
and options	-	-	(5)	1	11,410		-	-	-	1
Common										
shares issued:										
Upon										
redemption of										
Class A										
units, at										
redemption value			1,012	40	92.200					82
Under	-	-	1,012	40	82,290		-	-	-	0.
employees'										
share										
option plan	_	_	1,025	7	26,897		(30,345)	_	_	(3
In connection			1,023	,	20,077		(30,343)			(3
with dividend										
reinvestment										
plan	_	_	34	1	2,373		_	_	-	,
Change in				-	_, •					-
unrealized net										

gain									
or loss on									
securities							(20.150)		(20
available-for-sale	-	-	-	-	-	-	(20,150)	-	(20
Sale of									
securities							ć 1 <b>2</b> 0		
available-for-sale	-	-	-	-	-	-	6,128	-	(
Change in									
pension plans	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,251	-	(
Adjustments to									
carry									
redeemable									
Class A units									
at redemption									
value	-	-	-	-	400,647	-	-	-	400
Conversion of									
Series F-1									
preferred									
units	-	-	107	4	9,996	-	-	-	10
Other	-	24	-	-	(59)	(43)	(25,900)	(6,648)	(32
Balance,									
December 31,									
2008	33,954	\$ 823,807	155,286	\$ 6,195	\$ 6,025,976	\$ (1,047,340)	\$ (6,899)	\$ 412,913	\$ 6,214

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN EQUITY - CONTINUED

# Accumulated

(Amounts in		Accumulaccu						u	
thousands)	Preferr	ed Shares	Common	Shares	Additional	Earnings Less ThanC	Other omprehens Income	Non- i <b>vo</b> ntrolling	Total
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Capital	Distributions	(Loss)	Interests	Equit
Balance, December 31, 2008 Net income	33,954	\$ 823,807		\$ 6,195	_	\$ (1,047,340)	\$ (6,899)		\$ 6,214,0
(loss) Dividends paid on common	-	-	-	-	-	106,169	-	(2,839)	103,3
shares Dividends paid on preferred	-	-	6,441	258	285,338	(547,993)	-	-	(262,3
shares Common shares issued: In connection with April 2009	-	-	-	-	-	(57,076)	-	-	(57,0
public offering Upon redemption of Class A units, at redemption	-	-	17,250	690	709,536	-	-	-	710,2
value Under employees' share	-	-	1,768	70	90,885	-	-	-	90,9
option plan Conversion of Series A preferred shares to common	-	-	468	4	1,713	(31,355)	-	-	(29,6
shares Deferred compensation shares	(2)	(89)	2	-	89	-	-	-	

and options	_	-	(1)	1	13,091	-	-	-	13,0
Change in									
unrealized net									
gain									
or loss on									
securities							C 1 47		
available-for-sale	e -	-	-	-	-	-	6,147	-	6,1
Sale of securities									
available-for-sale	_	_		_	_	_	7,715		7,7
Our share of	-	-	-	_	-	-	1,115	-	1,1
partially									
owned									
entities OCI									
adjustments	_	-	_	_	-	-	22,052	_	22,0
Voluntary							•		
surrender of									
equity									
awards on									
March 31,									
2009	-	-	-	-	32,588	-	-	-	32,5
Adjustments									
to carry									
redeemable									
Class A units at									
redemption									
value	_	_	_	_	(167,049)	_	_	_	(167,0
Allocation of	-	_			(107,072)				(107,0
cash paid to									
the equity									
componenet									
upon									
repurchase of									
convertible									
senior									
debentures	-	-	-	-	(30,159)	-	-	-	(30,1)
Other	-	(32)	-	-	(1,001)	4	(566)	(3,437)	(5,0
Balance,									
December 31,									
2009	33,952	\$ 823,686	181,214	\$ 7,218	\$ 6,961,007	\$ (1,577,591)	\$ 28,449	\$ 406,637	\$ 6,649,4

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN EQUITY - CONTINUED

# Accumulated

(Amounts in thousands)			_			Earnings	Other	Non-	_
	Preferr	ed Shares	Common	Shares	Additional	Less Than C	Comprehensi Income	iv <b>e</b> ontrolling	Tot
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Capital	Distributions	(Loss)	Interests	Equ
Balance,									
December 31, 2009	22.052	¢ 922 696	101 214	¢ 7 210	¢ 6 061 007	¢ (1 577 501)	¢ 20.440	¢ 406 627	¢ 6 6 1
Net income	33,932	\$ 823,686	181,214	\$ 7,218	\$ 0,901,007	\$ (1,577,591) 647,883	\$ 28,449	\$ 406,637 4,920	\$ 6,649 652
Dividends	-	-	-	-	-	047,003	-	4,920	03.
paid on									
common									
shares	_	_	_	_	_	(474,299)	_	_	(474
Dividends						(171,222)			(.,.
paid on									
preferred									
shares	-	-	-	-	-	(55,669)	-	-	(55
Redemption of									
preferred									
shares	(1,600)	(39,982)	-	-	-	4,382	-	-	(35
Common									
shares issued:									
Upon									
redemption									
of Class A									
units, at									
redemption value			1,548	62	126,702				120
Under	-	-	1,540	02	120,702	-	-	-	12
employees'									
share									
option plan	_	_	812	33	25,290	(25,584)	_	_	
Under					,,	(== ,= = 1)			
dividend									
reinvestment									
plan	-	-	22	1	1,656	-	-	-	
Limited									
partners'									
contributions:									
Real Estate									
Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	93,583	9:
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8,783	1
Conversion of									
Series A									

Edgar Filing: VORNADO REALTY TRUST - Form 10-K

preferred shares to common									
shares	(12)	(616)	18	1	615	-	-	-	
Deferred .									
compensation									
shares			40		0.245				
and options	-	-	48	2	9,345	-	-	-	3
Change in									
unrealized net									
gain or loss on									
securities									
available-for-sale							46,447		4.
Sale of	_	-	_	_	-	-	40,447	-	40
securities									
available-for-sale	_	_	_	_	_	_	(13,160)		(13
Our share of							(13,100)		(13
partially									
owned									
entities OCI									
adjustments	_	_	_	_	-	-	11,853	_	1
Adjustments							•		
to carry									
redeemable									
Class A units									
at									
redemption									
value	-	-	-	-	(191,826)	-	-	-	(191
Other	-	-	-	-	(61)	2	(136)	772	
Balance,									
December 31,									
2010	32,340	\$ 783,088	183,662	\$ 7,317	\$ 6,932,728	\$ (1,480,876)	\$ 73,453	\$ 514,695	\$ 6,830

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2010	2009	2008		
(Amounts in thousands)					
<b>Cash Flows from Operating Activities:</b>					
Net income	\$ 708,031	\$ 128,450	\$ 411,445		
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash					
provided by operating activities:					
Depreciation and amortization (including					
amortization of deferred financing costs)	556,312	559,053	577,338		
(Income) loss from the mark-to-market of					
derivative positions in marketable securities	(130,153)	-	33,740		
Litigation loss accrual and impairment losses	137,367	91,184	157,799		
Net (gain) loss on early extinguishment of	,	,	,		
debt	(97,728)	25,915	(9,820)		
Equity in net (income) loss of partially owned	, , ,	,	,		
entities, including Toys "R" Us	(94,062)	(72,390)	156,459		
Straight-lining of rental income	(76,926)	(98,355)	(91,060)		
Amortization of below-market leases, net	(66,202)	(72,481)	(96,176)		
Net gain on sale of real estate	(2,506)	(45,284)	(57,523)		
Distributions of income from partially owned	( , ,		, , ,		
entities	61,037	30,473	44,690		
Mezzanine loans loss accrual (reversal)	(53,100)	190,738	(10,300)		
Interest received on repayment of mezzanine	, ,	,	, , ,		
loan	40,467	_	-		
Other non-cash adjustments	36,352	15,196	83,735		
Net gain on disposition of wholly owned and	,	,	,		
partially owned assets	(81,432)	(5,641)	(7,757)		
Write-off of unamortized costs from the	, , ,	, , ,	, , ,		
voluntary surrender of equity awards	-	32,588	-		
Reversal of H Street deferred tax liability	-	-	(222,174)		
Net gain on sale of Americold Realty Trust	-	-	(112,690)		
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			,		
Real Estate Fund investments	(144,423)	-	-		
Other assets	(66,736)	(61,878)	(27,382)		
Prepaid assets	6,321	(90,519)	(12,449)		
Accounts payable and accrued		, , ,	, , ,		
expenses	2,645	(3,606)	(5,207)		
Accounts receivable, net	2,019	15,383	(1,646)		
Other liabilities	33,803	(5,247)	6,790		
Net cash provided by operating activities	771,086	633,579	817,812		
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:	•	•	•		
Purchases of marketable securities including					
J.C. Penney Company, Inc. common					
shares and other	(504,096)	(90,089)	(164,886)		

Edgar Filing: VORNADO REALTY TRUST - Form 10-K

Proceeds from sales of, and return of			
investment in, marketable securities	280,462	64,355	51,185
Acquisitions of real estate and other	(173,413)	-	(42,642)
Investments in partially owned entities	(165,170)	(38,266)	(156,227)
Development costs and construction in			
progress	(156,775)	(465,205)	(598,688)
Additions to real estate	(144,794)	(216,669)	(207,885)
Restricted cash	138,586	111,788	12,004
Proceeds from sales of real estate and related			
investments	127,736	367,698	390,468
Investments in mezzanine loans receivable			
and other	(85,336)	-	(7,397)
Proceeds from repayment of mezzanine loans			
receivable	70,762	47,397	52,470
Distributions of capital from partially owned			
entities	51,677	16,790	218,367
Proceeds from maturing short-term			
investments	40,000	15,000	-
Purchases of short-term investments	-	(55,000)	-
Net cash used in investing activities	(520,361)	(242,201)	(453,231)

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS - CONTINUED

		2010	Year End	ed December 2009	31,	2008
(Amounts in thousands)						
<b>Cash Flows from Financing Activities:</b>						
Proceeds from borrowings	\$	2,481,883	3 \$	2,648,175	\$	1,721,974
Repayments of borrowings	. (	1,564,143		(2,075,236)	·	(993,665)
Dividends paid on common shares	`	(474,299	-	(262,397)		(561,981)
Contributions from noncontrolling interests		103,83	*	2,180		-
Purchases of outstanding preferred units and shares		(78,954		(24,330)		_
Dividends paid on preferred shares		(55,669	-	(57,076)		(57,112)
Distributions to noncontrolling interests		(53,842	-	(42,451)		(85,419)
Repurchase of shares related to stock		(,	,	( -, )		(00,100)
compensation agreements and related						
tax witholdings		(25,660	)	(32,203)		(31,198)
Debt issuance and other costs		(14,980	-	(30,186)		(14,299)
Acquisition of convertible senior debentures and		(1.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,	(20,100)		(1.,=>>)
senior unsecured notes		(440,575	)	(2,221,204)		_
Proceeds from issuance of common shares		(110,070	<i>-</i>	710,226		_
Proceeds received from exercise of employee share				710,220		
options		26,993	3	1,750		29,377
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities		(95,415		(1,382,752)		7,677
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		155,310	-	(991,374)		372,258
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period		535,479		1,526,853		1,154,595
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$	690,789		535,479	\$	1,526,853
Cush and cush equivalents at one of period	Ψ	070,70	γ	333,177	Ψ	1,320,033
Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information:						
Cash payments for interest (including capitalized						
interest of \$864, \$17,256 and \$63,063)	\$	549,32	7 \$	648,829	\$	658,376
Cash payments for income taxes	\$	23,960	) \$	21,775	\$	22,005
Non-Cash Investing and Financing Activities:  Adjustments to carry redeemable Class A units at						
redemption value Redemption of Class A Operating Partnership units	\$	(191,826	) \$	(167,049)	\$	400,647
for common shares, at redemption value  Net unrealized gain (loss) on securities available		126,764	4	90,955		82,330
for sale		46,44	7	6,147		(20,150)
Dividends paid in common shares		10,11	, -	285,596		(20,120)
Unit distributions paid in Class A units			_	23,876		_
Financing assumed in acquisitions		102,610	5	-2,070		_
Increase in assets and liabilities resulting from the consolidation of investments		102,010	-			

previously accounted for on the equity method:

Real estate, net	102,804	-	197,600
Notes and mortgages payable	57,563	-	100,000

Notes and mortgages payable
Decrease in assets and liabilities resulting from the

deconsolidation of investments

that were previously consolidated:

Real estate, net	(401,857)	-	2,069
Notes and mortgages payable	(316,490)	-	_

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

# VORNADO REALTY TRUST NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

# 1. Organization and Business

Vornado Realty Trust ("Vornado") is a fully integrated real estate investment trust ("REIT") and conducts its business through, and substantially all of its interests in properties are held by, Vornado Realty L.P., a Delaware limited partnership (the "Operating Partnership"). Vornado is the sole general partner of, and owned approximately 93.2% of the common limited partnership interest in the Operating Partnership at December 31, 2010. All references to "we," "us," "our," the "Company" and "Vornado" refer to Vornado Realty Trust and its consolidated subsidiaries, including the Operating Partnership.

As of December 31, 2010, we own:

Office Properties:

- (i) all or portions of 28 properties aggregating 17.4 million square feet in the New York City metropolitan area (primarily Manhattan);
- (ii) all or portions of 82 properties aggregating 21.1 million square feet in the Washington, DC / Northern Virginia area;
- (iii) a 70% controlling interest in 555 California Street, a three-building complex aggregating 1.8 million square feet in San Francisco's financial district, known as the Bank of America center;

# **Retail Properties:**

(iv) 161 properties aggregating 25.6 million square feet primarily in Manhattan, the northeast states, California and Puerto Rico;

Merchandise Mart Properties:

(v) 6 properties aggregating 6.9 million square feet of showroom and office space, including the 3.5 million square foot Merchandise Mart in Chicago;

Toys "R" Us, Inc. ("Toys"):

(vi) a 32.7% interest in Toys which owns and/or operates 1,589 stores worldwide, including 857 stores in the United States and 732 stores internationally;

# Other Investments:

(vii) 32.4% of the common stock of Alexander's, Inc. (NYSE: ALX), which has smillion square feet in the greater New York metropolitan area;	seven properties aggregating 3.2
(viii) the Hotel Pennsylvania containing 1.4 million square feet in New York City	;
(ix) a 9.9% economic interest in J.C. Penney Company, Inc. (NYSE:JCP), a major department stores nationwide;	or retailer that operates 1,108
(x) a 26.2% equity interest in LNR Property Corporation, an industry leading se commercial mortgage loans and CMBS and a diversified real estate, investment and	•
(xi) a 36.4% interest in our real estate investment fund in which we are the gener manager with aggregate equity commitments of \$550 million, of which we committee	•
(xii) other real estate and investments, including marketable securities and mezza	nine loans on real estate.
122	

# VORNADO REALTY TRUST NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

#### 2. Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies

#### Basis of Presentation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Vornado and the Operating Partnership. All significant inter-company amounts have been eliminated. We account for unconsolidated partially owned entities on the equity method of accounting. Our consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, which require us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates.

### Recently Issued Accounting Literature

In the fourth quarter of 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued an update to the guidance contained in Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 310, *Receivables*. The new guidance requires companies to provide more information about the credit quality of their financing receivables in the disclosures to financial statements including, but not limited to, significant purchases and sales of financing receivables, aging information and credit quality indicators. The adoption of this accounting guidance did not have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

On January 21, 2010, the FASB issued an update to ASC 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*, adding new requirements for disclosures about transfers into and out of Levels 1 and 2 fair value measurements and additional disclosures about the activity within Level 3 fair value measurements. The adoption of this guidance on January 1, 2010 did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued an update to ASC 810, *Consolidation*, which modifies the existing quantitative guidance used in determining the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity ("VIE") by requiring entities to qualitatively assess whether an enterprise is a primary beneficiary, based on whether the entity has (i) power over the significant activities of the VIE, and (ii) an obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits that could be potentially significant to the VIE. The adoption of this guidance on January 1, 2010 did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

#### Significant Accounting Policies

Real Estate: Real estate is carried at cost, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization. Betterments, major renewals and certain costs directly related to the improvement and leasing of real estate are capitalized. Maintenance and repairs are expensed as incurred. For redevelopment of existing operating properties, the net book value of the existing property under redevelopment plus the cost for the construction and improvements incurred in connection with the redevelopment are capitalized to the extent the capitalized costs of the property do not exceed the estimated fair value of the redeveloped property when complete. If the cost of the redeveloped property, including the undepreciated net book value of the property carried forward, exceeds the estimated fair value of redeveloped property, the excess is charged to expense. Depreciation is provided on a straight-line basis over estimated useful lives which range from 7 to 40 years. Tenant allowances are amortized on a straight-line basis over the lives of the related leases, which approximate the useful lives of the assets. Additions to real estate include interest expense capitalized during construction of \$864,000 and \$17,256,000, for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Upon the acquisition of real estate, we assess the fair value of acquired assets (including land, buildings and improvements, identified intangibles such as acquired above and below-market leases and acquired in-place leases and tenant relationships) and acquired liabilities and we allocate the purchase price based on these assessments. We assess fair value based on estimated cash flow projections that utilize appropriate discount and capitalization rates and available market information. Estimates of future cash flows are based on a number of factors including historical operating results, known trends, and market/economic conditions.

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

#### 2. Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies – continued

Our properties, including any related intangible assets, are individually reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. An impairment exists when the carrying amount of an asset exceeds the aggregate projected future cash flows over the anticipated holding period on an undiscounted basis. An impairment loss is measured based on the excess of the property's carrying amount over its estimated fair value. Impairment analyses are based on our current plans, intended holding periods and available market information at the time the analyses are prepared. If our estimates of the projected future cash flows, anticipated holding periods, or market conditions change, our evaluation of impairment losses may be different and such differences could be material to our consolidated financial statements. The evaluation of anticipated cash flows is subjective and is based, in part, on assumptions regarding future occupancy, rental rates and capital requirements that could differ materially from actual results. Plans to hold properties over longer periods decrease the likelihood of recording impairment losses. The table below summarizes non-cash impairment losses and acquisition costs recognized in the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008.

(Amounts in thousands)	For the Year Ended December 31,					
		2010		2009		2008
Springfield Mall	\$	64,500	\$	-	\$	-
Condominium units held for sale						
(see page 126)		30,013		13,667		23,625
Other real estate assets		28,000		6,989		1,645
Acquisition costs		6,945		-		3,009
Undeveloped land		-		38,347		12,500
Real estate - development						
related		-		16,960		40,668
	\$	129,458	\$	75,963	\$	81,447

Partially Owned Entities: In determining whether we have a controlling interest in a partially owned entity and the requirement to consolidate the accounts of that entity, we consider factors such as ownership interest, board representation, management representation, authority to make decisions, and contractual and substantive participating rights of the partners/members as well as whether the entity is a variable interest entity in which we have power over significant activities of the entity and the obligation to absorb losses or receive benefits that could potentially be significant to the entity. We have concluded that we do not control a partially owned entity if the entity is not considered a variable interest entity and the approval of all of the partners/members is contractually required with respect to major decisions, such as operating and capital budgets, the sale, exchange or other disposition of real property, the hiring of a chief executive officer, the commencement, compromise or settlement of any lawsuit, legal

proceeding or arbitration or the placement of new or additional financing secured by assets of the venture. We account for investments on the equity method when the requirements for consolidation are not met, and we have significant influence over the operations of the investee. Equity method investments are initially recorded at cost and subsequently adjusted for our share of net income or loss and cash contributions and distributions each period. Investments that do not qualify for consolidation or equity method accounting are accounted for on the cost method. Investments in partially owned entities are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. An impairment loss is measured based on the excess of the carrying amount of an investment over its estimated fair value. Impairment analyses are based on current plans, intended holding periods and available information at the time the analyses are prepared.

The table below summarizes non-cash impairment losses recognized on investments in partially owned entities in the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008.

(Amounts in thousands)	For t	he Year I	Ended Decem	ber 31,	
	2010		2009		2008
Investment in Lexington					
Realty Trust	\$ -	\$	-	\$	107,882
Other	11,481		17,820		96,037
	\$ 11,481	\$	17,820	\$	203,919

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

#### 2. Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies – continued

**Identified Intangibles:** We record acquired intangible assets (including acquired above-market leases, tenant relationships and acquired in-place leases) and acquired intangible liabilities (including below-market leases) at their estimated fair value separate and apart from goodwill. We amortize identified intangibles that have finite lives over the period they are expected to contribute directly or indirectly to the future cash flows of the property or business acquired. Intangible assets that are subject to amortization are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying amount may not be recoverable. An impairment loss is measured based on the excess of carrying amount of the identified intangible over its estimated fair value. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the carrying amounts of identified intangible assets were \$348,745,000 and \$439,549,000, respectively. The carrying amounts of identified intangible liabilities, a component of "deferred credit" on our consolidated balance sheets, were \$528,905,000 and \$606,390,000, respectively.

Mezzanine Loans Receivable: We invest in mezzanine loans of entities that have significant real estate assets. These investments, which are subordinate to the mortgage loans secured by the real property, are generally secured by pledges of the equity interests of the entities owning the underlying real estate. We record these investments at the stated principal amount net of any unamortized discount or premium. We accrete or amortize any discount or premium over the life of the related receivable utilizing the effective interest method or straight-line method, if the result is not materially different. We evaluate the collectability of both interest and principal of each of our loans whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate such amounts may not be recoverable. A loan is impaired when it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the existing contractual terms. When a loan is impaired, the amount of the loss accrual is calculated by comparing the carrying amount of the investment to the estimated fair value of the loan or, as a practical expedient, to the value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. Interest on impaired loans is recognized when received in cash. In the year ended December 31, 2009 we recorded a \$190,738,000 loss accrual on our portfolio of mezzanine loans, \$53,100,000 of which was reversed in 2010. In 2008, upon sale of a sub-participation in a loan, we reversed \$10,300,000 of a \$57,000,000 loss accrual recognized in 2007.

Cash and Cash Equivalents: Cash and cash equivalents consist of highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less. The majority of our cash and cash equivalents are held at major commercial banks which may at times exceed the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation limit. To date, we have not experienced any losses on our invested cash.

**Restricted Cash:** Restricted cash consists of security deposits, cash restricted in connection with our deferred compensation plan and cash escrowed under loan agreements for debt service, real estate taxes, property insurance and capital improvements.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts: We periodically evaluate the collectibility of amounts due from tenants and maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of tenants to make required payments under the lease agreements. We also maintain an allowance for receivables arising from the straight-lining of rents. This receivable arises from earnings recognized in excess of amounts currently due under the lease agreements. Management exercises judgment in establishing these allowances and considers payment history and current credit status in developing these estimates. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, we had \$62,979,000 and \$46,708,000, respectively, in allowances for doubtful accounts. In addition, as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, we had \$7,323,000 and \$4,672,000, respectively, in allowances for receivables arising from the straight-lining of rents.

**Deferred Charges:** Direct financing costs are deferred and amortized over the terms of the related agreements as a component of interest expense. Direct costs related to successful leasing activities are capitalized and amortized on a straight line basis over the lives of the related leases. All other deferred charges are amortized on a straight line basis, which approximates the effective interest rate method, in accordance with the terms of the agreements to which they relate.

**Stock-Based Compensation:** Stock-based compensation consists of awards to certain employees and officers and consists of stock options, restricted stock, restricted Operating Partnership units and out-performance plan awards. We account for all stock-based compensation in accordance with ASC 718, *Compensation – Stock Compensation*.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

### 2. Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies – continued

**Revenue Recognition:** We have the following revenue sources and revenue recognition policies:

- Base Rent income arising from tenant leases. These rents are recognized over the non-cancelable term of the related leases on a straight-line basis which includes the effects of rent steps and rent abatements under the leases. We commence rental revenue recognition when the tenant takes possession of the leased space and the leased space is substantially ready for its intended use. In addition, in circumstances in which we provide a tenant improvement allowance for improvements that are owned by the tenant, we recognize the allowance as a reduction of rental revenue on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease.
- Percentage Rent income arising from retail tenant leases that is contingent upon tenant sales exceeding defined thresholds. These rents are recognized only after the contingency has been removed (i.e., when tenant sales thresholds have been achieved).
- Hotel Revenue income arising from the operation of the Hotel Pennsylvania which consists of rooms revenue, food and beverage revenue, and banquet revenue. Income is recognized when rooms are occupied. Food and beverage and banquet revenue is recognized when the services have been rendered.
- Trade Shows Revenue income arising from the operation of trade shows, including rentals of booths. This revenue is recognized when the trade shows have occurred.
- Expense Reimbursements revenue arising from tenant leases which provide for the recovery of all or a portion of the operating expenses and real estate taxes of the respective property. This revenue is accrued in the same periods as the expenses are incurred.
- Management, Leasing and Other Fees income arising from contractual agreements with third parties or with partially owned entities. This revenue is recognized as the related services are performed under the respective agreements.

Condominium Units Held For Sale: Condominium units held for sale are carried at the lower of cost or expected net sales proceeds. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, condominiums held for sale, which are included in "other assets" on our consolidated balance sheet, aggregate \$84,397,000 and \$187,050,000, respectively and consist of substantially completed units at our 40 East 66th Street property in Manhattan, The Bryant in Boston and Granite Park in Pasadena. Revenue from condominium unit sales is recognized upon closing of the sale (the "completed contract method"), as all conditions for full profit recognition have been met at that time. We use the relative sales value method to allocate costs to individual condominium units. Net gains on sales of condominiums units are included in "net gains on disposition of wholly owned and partially owned assets" on our consolidated statements of income. In the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, we recognized non-cash impairment losses related to certain of these

condominiums aggregating \$30,013,000, \$13,667,000 and \$23,625,000, respectively, based on our assessments of the expected net sales proceeds associated with these condominium projects. These losses are included in "impairment losses and acquisition costs" on our consolidated statements of income.

**Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities:** ASC 815, *Derivatives and Hedging*, as amended, establishes accounting and reporting standards for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts, and for hedging activities. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, our derivative instruments consisted primarily of a portion of our investment in J.C. Penney common shares (see Note 4 – Marketable Securities and Derivative Instruments) and interest rate caps. We record all derivatives on the balance sheet at fair value. The accounting for changes in the fair value of derivatives depends on the intended use of the derivative and the resulting designation. Derivatives used to hedge the exposure to changes in the fair value of an asset, liability, or firm commitment attributable to a particular risk, such as interest rate risk, are considered fair value hedges. Derivatives used to hedge the exposure to variability in expected future cash flows, or other types of forecasted transactions, are considered cash flow hedges.

For derivatives designated as fair value hedges, changes in the fair value of the derivative and the hedged item related to the hedged risk are recognized in earnings. For derivatives designated as cash flow hedges, the effective portion of changes in the fair value of the derivative is initially reported in other comprehensive income (loss) (outside of earnings) and subsequently reclassified to earnings when the hedged transaction affects earnings, and the ineffective portion of changes in the fair value of the derivative is recognized directly in earnings. We assess the effectiveness of each hedging relationship by comparing the changes in fair value or cash flows of the derivative hedging instrument with the changes in fair value or cash flows of the designated hedged item or transaction. For derivatives not designated as hedges, changes in fair value are recognized in earnings.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

#### 2. Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies – continued

**Income Per Share:** Basic income per share is computed based on weighted average shares outstanding. Diluted income per share considers the effect of all potentially dilutive share equivalents, including outstanding employee stock options, restricted shares and convertible or redeemable securities.

**Income Taxes:** We operate in a manner intended to enable us to continue to qualify as a REIT under Sections 856 860 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. Under those sections, a REIT which distributes at least 90% of its REIT taxable income as a dividend to its shareholders each year and which meets certain other conditions will not be taxed on that portion of its taxable income which is distributed to its shareholders. We distribute to shareholders 100% of taxable income and therefore, no provision for Federal income taxes is required. Dividends distributed for the year ended December 31, 2010, were characterized, for federal income tax income tax purposes, as 95.9% ordinary income, 2.8% as long term capital gain and 1.3% as return of capital. Dividend distributions for the year ended December 31, 2009, were characterized, for Federal income tax purposes, as 63.9% ordinary income, 0.9% long-term capital gain and 35.2% return of capital. Dividend distributions for the year ended December 31, 2008 were characterized, for Federal income tax purposes, as 70.8% ordinary income and 29.2% return of capital.

We have elected to treat certain consolidated subsidiaries, and may in the future elect to treat newly formed subsidiaries, as taxable REIT subsidiaries pursuant to an amendment to the Internal Revenue Code that became effective January 1, 2001. Taxable REIT subsidiaries may participate in non-real estate related activities and/or perform non-customary services for tenants and are subject to Federal and State income tax at regular corporate tax rates. Our taxable REIT subsidiaries had a combined current income tax liability of approximately \$24,858,000 and \$20,025,000 for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and have immaterial differences between the financial reporting and tax basis of assets and liabilities.

In connection with purchase accounting for H Street, in July 2005 and April 2007 we recorded an aggregate of \$222,174,000 of deferred tax liabilities representing the differences between the tax basis and the book basis of the acquired assets and liabilities multiplied by the effective tax rate. We were required to record these deferred tax liabilities because H Street and its partially owned entities were operated as C Corporations at the time they were acquired. As of January 16, 2008, we had completed all of the actions necessary to enable these entities to elect REIT status effective for the tax year beginning on January 1, 2008. Consequently, in the first quarter of 2008, we reversed

the deferred tax liabilities and recognized an income tax benefit of \$222,174,000 in our consolidated statement of income.

The following table reconciles net income attributable to common shareholders to estimated taxable income for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008.

(Amounts in thousands)	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Net income attributable to common			
shareholders	\$ 596,731	\$ 49,093	\$ 302,206
Book to tax differences (unaudited):			
Depreciation and			
amortization	216,473	247,023	233,426
Mezzanine loans			
receivable	(104,727)	171,380	(51,893)
Straight-line rent			
adjustments	(70,606)	(83,959)	(82,901)
Earnings of partially			
owned entities	(62,315)	(82,382)	(50,855)
Stock options	(48,399)	(32,643)	(71,995)
Sale of real estate	12,899	3,923	3,687
Reversal of deferred tax			
liability	-	-	(202,267)
Derivatives	(121,120)	-	43,218
Other, net	48,915	81,936	171,763
Estimable taxable income	\$ 467,851	\$ 354,371	\$ 294,389

The net basis of our assets and liabilities for tax reporting purposes is approximately \$3.3 billion lower than its amount reported in our consolidated financial statements.

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

# 3. Acquisitions

Vornado Capital Partners, L.P. and Vornado Capital Partners Parallel, L.P. (the "Fund")

On July 6, 2010, we completed an initial closing of the Fund with aggregate equity commitments of \$550,000,000, of which we committed \$200,000,000. We expect to close on an additional \$250,000,000 of equity commitments in the first quarter of 2011. We are the general partner and investment manager of the Fund and it is our exclusive investment vehicle during the three-year investment period for all investments that fit within the Fund's investment parameters, including debt, equity and other interests in real estate, and excluding (i) investments in vacant land and ground-up development; (ii) investments acquired by merger or primarily for our securities or properties; (iii) properties which can be combined with or relate to our existing properties; (iv) securities of commercial mortgage loan servicers and investments derived from any such investments; (v) noncontrolling interests in equity and debt securities; and (vi) investments located outside of North America. The Fund has a term of eight years. We consolidate the accounts of the Fund into our consolidated financial statements. In 2010, we incurred \$6,482,000 for organization costs of the Fund, net of the Fund's reimbursement to us, which are included in "general and administrative" expenses on our consolidated statement of income.

The Fund is accounted for under the AICPA Investment Company Guide and its investments are reported on its balance sheet at fair value, with changes in value each period recognized in earnings. As of December 31, 2010, the Fund received \$146,789,000 of capital from partners, including \$53,378,000 from us. During the second half of 2010, the Fund made four investments aggregating approximately \$145,000,000 and reimbursed us for \$1,500,000 of organization costs.

### Other

On October 8, 2010, we acquired 510 Fifth Avenue, a 59,000 square foot retail property located at 43<sup>rd</sup> Street and Fifth Avenue in New York, for \$57,000,000, comprised of \$24,700,000 in cash and \$32,300,000 of existing debt. We consolidate the accounts of this property into our consolidated financial statements from the date of the acquisition.

On October 15, 2010, we acquired the 55% interest that we did not already own of a 646,000 square foot retail property located in San Jose, California, for \$97,000,000, consisting of \$27,000,000 in cash and \$70,000,000 of existing debt. We consolidate the accounts of the property into our consolidated financial statements from the date of this acquisition.

On November 4, 2010, we acquired 11.3 acres of the land under a portion of the Borgata Hotel and Casino complex for \$83,000,000 in cash. The land is leased to the partnership that controls the Borgata Hotel and Casino complex through December 2070. In January 2011, we completed a 10-year \$60,000,000 financing of this land. The loan has a fixed interest rate of 5.14% and amortizes beginning in the third year, based on a 30-year schedule.

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

#### 4. Marketable Securities and Derivative Instruments

Marketable Securities

Our portfolio of marketable securities is comprised of debt and equity securities that are classified as available for sale. Available for sale securities are presented on our consolidated balance sheets at fair value at the end of each reporting period. Gains and losses resulting from the mark-to-market of these securities are recognized as an increase or decrease in "accumulated other comprehensive income" (a component of shareholders' equity on our consolidated balance sheet) and not recognized in income. Gains and losses are recognized in earnings only upon the sale of the securities and are recorded based on the weighted average cost of such securities.

We evaluate our portfolio of marketable securities for impairment each reporting period. For each of the securities in our portfolio with unrealized losses, we review the underlying cause of the decline in value and the estimated recovery period, as well as the severity and duration of the decline. In our evaluation, we consider our ability and intent to hold these investments for a reasonable period of time sufficient for us to recover our cost basis. We also evaluate the near-term prospects for each of these investments in relation to the severity and duration of the decline. During 2009 and 2008, we concluded that certain of our investments in marketable securities were "other-than-temporarily" impaired and recognized an aggregate of \$3,361,000 and \$76,352,000, respectively, of non-cash impairment losses. These charges are included as a component of "interest and other investment income (loss), net" on our consolidated statements of income. Our conclusions were based on the severity and duration of the decline in the market value of these securities and our inability to forecast a recovery in the near term. No impairment losses were recognized in the year ended December 31, 2010.

The carrying amount of marketable securities classified as available for sale and their corresponding fair values at December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 are as follows:

	As of December 31, 2010		As of December 31, 2009	
	Carrying	Fair	Carrying	Fair
(Amounts in thousands)	Amount	Value	Amount	Value
Equity securities	\$ 647,848	\$ 647,848	\$ 79,925	\$ 79,925
Debt securities	118,268	118,268	300,727	319,393
	\$ 766,116	\$ 766,116	\$ 380,652	\$ 399,318

During 2010, 2009 and 2008 we sold certain of our marketable securities for aggregate proceeds of \$281,486,000, \$64,355,000 and \$51,185,000, respectively. In connection therewith, we recognized \$22,604,000, \$3,834,000 and \$2,028,000, respectively, of net gains which are included as a component of "net gain on disposition of wholly owned and partially owned assets" on our consolidated statements of income. At December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, our marketable securities portfolio had \$45,089,000 and \$13,026,000, respectively, of gross unrealized gains. There were no unrealized losses at December 31, 2010 and \$1,223,000 of gross unrealized losses at December 31, 2009.

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

#### 4. Marketable Securities and Derivative Instruments - continued

Investment in J.C. Penney Company, Inc. ("J.C. Penney") (NYSE: JCP)

We own an economic interest in 23,400,000 J.C. Penney common shares, or 9.9% of J.C. Penney's outstanding common shares. Below are the details of our investment.

We own 18,584,010 common shares at an average price of \$25.70 per share, or \$477,678,000 in the aggregate. These shares, which have an aggregate fair value of \$600,449,000 at December 31, 2010, are included in marketable equity securities on our consolidated balance sheet and are classified as "available for sale." Of these shares, 15,500,000 were acquired through the exercise of a call option that originated on September 28, 2010 and settled on November 9, 2010. During the period in which the call option was outstanding and classified as a derivative instrument, we recognized \$112,537,000 of income from the mark-to-market of the underlying common shares, which is included in "interest and other investment income (loss), net" on our consolidated statement of income. During the period from November 10 through December 31, 2010, we recognized \$10,234,000 from the mark-to-market of the common shares classified as available-for-sale, which is included in "accumulated other comprehensive income" (a component of shareholders' equity on our consolidated balance sheet).

We also own an economic interest in 4,815,990 common shares through a forward contract executed on October 7, 2010, at a weighted average strike price of \$28.65 per share, or \$137,989,000 in the aggregate. The contract may be settled, at our election, in cash or common shares, in whole or in part, at any time prior to October 9, 2012. The counterparty may accelerate settlement, in whole or in part, upon one year's notice to us. The strike price per share increases at an annual rate of LIBOR plus 80 basis points and decreases for dividends received on the shares. The contract is a derivative instrument that does not qualify for hedge accounting treatment. Mark-to-market adjustments on the underlying common shares are recognized in "interest and other investment income (loss), net" on our consolidated statement of income. During the period from October 7, 2010 through December 31, 2010, we recognized \$17,616,000 of income from the mark-to-market of this position, based on J.C.Penney's closing share price of \$32.31 per share at December 31, 2010.

As of December 31, 2010, the aggregate economic net gain on our investment in J.C. Penney was \$140,387,000, based on J.C. Penney's closing share price of \$32.31 per share and our weighted average cost of \$26.31 per share.

# 5. Investments in Partially Owned Entities

The following is a summary of condensed combined financial information for all of our partially owned entities, including Toys "R" Us, Alexander's, Inc., Lexington Realty Trust and LNR Property Corporation, as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 and for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008.

(Amounts in thousands)		December 31,	
Balance Sheet:		2010	2009
Assets <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	165,183,000	\$ 23,512,000
Liabilities <sup>(1)</sup>		160,203,000	18,365,000
Noncontrolling			
interests		124,000	230,000
Equity		4,856,000	4,917,000
	For the Years	<b>Ended December 31,</b>	
Income Statement:	2010	2009	2008
Total revenue	\$ 15,074,000 \$	14,397,000	\$ 15,313,000
Net income (loss)	63,000	103,000	(54,000)

(1) 2010 includes \$142 billion of assets and liabilities of LNR related to consolidated CMBS and CDO trusts which are non-recourse to LNR and its equity holders, including us.

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

#### 5. Investments in Partially Owned Entities - continued

LNR Property Corporation ("LNR")

(Amounts in thousands)

**Income Statement:** 

On July 29, 2010, as a part of LNR's recapitalization, we acquired a 26.2% equity interest in LNR for \$116,000,000 in cash and conversion into equity of our \$15,000,000 mezzanine loan (the then current carrying amount) made to LNR's parent, Riley Holdco Corp. The recapitalization involved an infusion of a total of \$417,000,000 in new cash equity and the reduction of LNR's total debt to \$425,000,000 from \$1.3 billion, excluding liabilities related to the consolidated CMBS and CDO trusts described below. We account for our equity interest in LNR under the equity method on a one-quarter lag basis because we file our consolidated financial statements on Form 10-K and 10-Q prior to receiving LNR's financial statements.

LNR consolidates certain commercial mortgage-backed securities ("CMBS") and Collateralized Debt Obligation ("CDO") trusts for which it is the primary beneficiary. The assets of these trusts (primarily commercial mortgage loans), which aggregate approximately \$142 billion as of September 30, 2010, are the sole source of repayment of the related liabilities, which are non-recourse to LNR and its equity holders, including us. Changes in the fair value of these assets each period are offset by changes in the fair value of the related liabilities through LNR's consolidated income statement. As of December 31, 2010, the carrying amount of our investment in LNR does not materially differ from our share of LNR's equity.

Below is a summary of LNR's latest available financial information:

(Tillounts in tilousulus)		AS UI
Balance Sheet:		<b>September 30, 2010</b>
	Assets	\$ 143,266,000
	Liabilities	142,720,000
	Noncontrolling interests	37,000
	LNR Property Corporation equity	509,000

For the Period July 29, 2010 to

As of

Total revenue \$ 23,000
Net income attributable to LNR \$ 8,000

Toys "R" Us ("Toys")

As of December 31, 2010, we own 32.7% of Toys. The business of Toys is highly seasonal. Historically, Toys' fourth quarter net income accounts for more than 80% of its fiscal year net income. We account for our investment in Toys under the equity method and record our 32.7% share of Toys net income or loss on a one-quarter lag basis because Toys' fiscal year ends on the Saturday nearest January 31, and our fiscal year ends on December 31. As of December 31, 2010, the carrying amount of our investment in Toys does not differ materially from our share of the equity in the net assets of Toys on a purchase accounting basis.

On May 28, 2010, Toys filed a registration statement with the SEC for the offering and sale of its common stock. The offering, if completed, would result in a reduction of our percentage ownership of Toys' equity. The size of the offering and its completion are subject to market and other conditions. In August 2010, in connection with certain financing and refinancing transactions, Toys paid us an aggregate of \$9,600,000 for our share of advisory fees. Since Toys has capitalized these fees and is amortizing them over the term of the related debt, we recorded the fees as a reduction of the basis of our investment in Toys and will amortize the fees into income over the term of the related debt.

Below is a summary of Toys' latest available financial information on a purchase accounting basis:

(Amounts in thousands)	Balan	Balance as of		
<b>Balance Sheet:</b>	October 30, 2010	October 31, 2009		
Assets	\$ 12,810,000	\$ 12,589,000		
Liabilities	11,317,000	11,198,000		
Noncontrolling interests	-	112,000		
Toys "R" Us, Inc. equity	1,493,000	1,279,000		

	For	the Twelve Months E	Ended
<b>Income Statement:</b>	October 30, 2010	October 31, 2009	November 1, 2008
Total revenues	\$ 13,749,000	\$ 13,172,000	\$ 14,090,000
Net (loss) income			
attributable to Toys	189,000	216,000	(13,000)

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

### 5. Investments in Partially Owned Entities - continued

Alexander's, Inc. ("Alexander's") (NYSE: ALX)

At December 31, 2010 and 2009, we owned 32.4%, respectively, of the outstanding common shares of Alexander's. We manage, lease and develop Alexander's properties pursuant to the agreements described below which expire in March of each year and are automatically renewable. At December 31, 2010 the market value ("fair value" pursuant to ASC 820) of our investment in Alexander's, based on Alexander's 2010 closing share price of \$412.28, was \$681,939,000, or \$495,128,000 in excess of the carrying amount on our consolidated balance sheet.

As of December 31, 2010, the carrying amount of our investment in Alexander's excluding amounts owed to us, exceeds our share of the equity in the net assets of Alexander's by approximately \$59,823,000. The majority of this basis difference resulted from the excess of our purchase price for the Alexander's common stock acquired over the book value of Alexander's net assets. Substantially all of this basis difference was allocated, based on our estimates of the fair values of Alexander's assets and liabilities, to real estate (land and buildings). We are amortizing the basis difference related to the buildings into earnings as additional depreciation expense over their estimated useful lives. This depreciation is not material to our share of equity in Alexander's net income or loss. The basis difference related to the land will be recognized upon disposition of our investment.

Management and Development Agreements

We receive an annual fee for managing Alexander's and all of its properties equal to the sum of (i) \$3,000,000, (ii) 3% of the gross income from the Kings Plaza Regional Shopping Center, (iii) \$0.50 per square foot of the tenant-occupied office and retail space at 731 Lexington Avenue and (iv) \$248,000, escalating at 3% per annum, for managing the common area of 731 Lexington Avenue.

In addition, we are entitled to a development fee of 6% of development costs, as defined, with a minimum guaranteed payment of \$750,000 per annum. During the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, we recognized \$711,000, \$2,710,000 and \$4,101,000, respectively, of development fee income.

#### Leasing Agreements

We provide Alexander's with leasing services for a fee of 3% of rent for the first ten years of a lease term, 2% of rent for the eleventh through twentieth year of a lease term and 1% of rent for the twenty-first through thirtieth year of a lease term, subject to the payment of rents by Alexander's tenants. In the event third-party real estate brokers are used, our fee increases by 1% and we are responsible for the fees to the third-parties. We are also entitled to a commission upon the sale of any of Alexander's assets equal to 3% of gross proceeds, as defined, for asset sales less than \$50,000,000, or 1% of gross proceeds, as defined, for asset sales of \$50,000,000 or more. The total of these amounts is payable to us in annual installments in an amount not to exceed \$4,000,000 with interest on the unpaid balance at one-year LIBOR plus 1.0% (1.99% at December 31, 2010).

#### Other Agreements

Building Maintenance Services ("BMS"), our wholly-owned subsidiary, supervises the cleaning, engineering and security services at Alexander's 731 Lexington Avenue and Kings Plaza properties for an annual fee of the costs for such services plus 6%. During the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, we recognized \$2,775,000, \$2,552,000 and \$2,083,000 of income, respectively, under these agreements.

Below is a summary of Alexander's latest available financial information:

(Amounts in thousands)	Balance as of					
		December 3	l, December 31,			
<b>Balance Sheet:</b>		2010	2009			
Assets		\$ 1,679,0	000 \$ 1,704,000			
Liabilities		1,335,0	1,389,000			
Noncontrolling interests		3,0	2,000			
Stockholders' equity		341,0	313,000			
		For the Year E	nded			
	December 3	1, December 3	l, December 31,			
<b>Income Statement:</b>	2010	2009	2008			
Total revenues	\$ 242,0	000 \$ 224,0	000 \$ 211,000			
Net income attributable						
to Alexander's	67,0	000 132,0	76,000			

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

#### 5. Investments in Partially Owned Entities - continued

Lexington Realty Trust ("Lexington") (NYSE: LXP)

As of December 31, 2010, we own 18,468,969 Lexington common shares, or approximately 12.8% of Lexington's common equity. We account for our investment in Lexington on the equity method because we believe we have the ability to exercise significant influence over Lexington's operating and financial policies, based on, among other factors, our representation on Lexington's Board of Trustees and the level of our ownership in Lexington as compared to other shareholders. We record our pro rata share of Lexington's net income or loss on a one-quarter lag basis because we file our consolidated financial statements on Form 10-K and 10-Q prior to the time that Lexington files its financial statements.

Based on Lexington's December 31, 2010 closing share price of \$7.95, the market value ("fair value" pursuant to ASC 820) of our investment in Lexington was \$146,828,000, or \$89,558,000 in excess of the December 31, 2010 carrying amount on our consolidated balance sheet. As of December 31, 2010, the carrying amount of our investment in Lexington was less than our share of the equity in the net assets of Lexington by approximately \$63,871,000. This basis difference resulted primarily from \$107,882,000 of non-cash impairment charges recognized during 2008, partially offset by purchase accounting for our acquisition of an additional 8,000,000 common shares of Lexington in October 2008, of which the majority relates to our estimate of the fair values of Lexington's real estate (land and buildings) as compared to the carrying amounts in Lexington's consolidated financial statements. The basis difference related to the buildings is being amortized over their estimated useful lives as an adjustment to our equity in net income or loss of Lexington. This amortization is not material to our share of equity in Lexington's net income or loss. The basis difference attributable to the land will be recognized upon disposition of our investment.

Below is a summary of Lexington's latest available financial information:

(Amounts in thousands)	Balance as of				
	September 30,	September 30,			
<b>Balance Sheet:</b>	2010	2009			
Assets	\$ 3,385,000	\$ 3,702,000			
Liabilities	2,115,000	2,344,000			

Noncontrolling interests	71,000	94,000
Shareholders' equity	1,199,000	1,264,000

	For the Twelve Months Ended September 30,						
<b>Income Statement:</b>	2010		2009		2008		
Total revenues	\$	351,000	\$	375,000	\$	447,000	
Net (loss) income							
attributable to Lexington		(90,000)		(178,000)		49,000	

Other

On October 20, 2010, we sold a 45% ownership interest in 1299 Pennsylvania Avenue (the Warner Building) and 1101 17<sup>th</sup> Street for \$236,700,000, comprised of \$91,000,000 in cash and the assumption of existing mortgage debt. We retained the remaining 55% ownership interest and continue to manage and lease the properties. Based on the Warner Building's implied fair value of \$445,000,000, we recognized a net gain of \$54,000,000 in the fourth quarter of 2010, which is included as a component of "net gains on dispositions of wholly owned and partially owned assets," on our consolidated statement of income. The gain on 1101 17<sup>th</sup> Street, based on an implied fair value of \$81,000,000, will be recognized when we monetize our investment. We share control over major decisions with our joint venture partner. Accordingly, these properties are accounted for under the equity method from the date of sale.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

# 5. Investments in Partially Owned Entities - continued

Investments in partially owned entities as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 and income recognized from these investments for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 are as follows:

	Percentage		
	Ownership as	A 6D	1 21
(Amounts in thousands)	of	As of Dece	mber 31,
Investments:	December 31, 2010	2010	2009
	32.7 %	\$ 447,334	\$ 409,453
Toys	32.1 %	\$ 447,334	\$ 409,433
Alexander's	32.4 %	\$ 186,811	\$ 193,174
Partially owned office buildings	(1)	181,838	158,444
LNR (see page 131)	26.2 %	132,973	-
India real estate ventures	4%-36.5%	127,193	93,322
Lexington	12.8 %	57,270	55,106
Other equity method investments	(2)	241,587	299,786
		\$ 927,672	\$ 799,832
	For th	e Years Ended Decem	ber 31.
Our Share of Net Income (Loss):	2010	2009	2008
<b>Toys</b> – 32.7% share of:			
Equity in net income before			
income taxes (3)	\$ 16,401	\$ 58,416	\$ 53,867
Income tax benefit (expense)	,	,	•
	45,418	13,185	(44,752)
Equity in net income	61,819	71,601	9,115
Non-cash purchase price accounting	,	,	,
adjustments	_	13,946	(14,900)
Interest and other income	9,805	6,753	8,165
	\$ 71,624	\$ 92,300	\$ 2,380
Alexander's – 32.4% share of:  Equity in net income before income taxes and reversal of stock appreciation rights compensation expense	\$ 20,059	\$ 17,991	\$ 17,484

Income tax benefit and reversal of stock			
appreciation rights			
compensation expense	-	24,773	6,583
Equity in net income	20,059	42,764	24,067
Management, leasing and development			
fees	9,125	10,765	12,604
	29,184	53,529	36,671
<b>Lexington</b> – 12.8% share in 2010, 15.2% share in 2009			
and 17.2% share in 2008 of equity in net income (loss) (4)	11,018	(25,665)	(105,630)
<b>LNR</b> – 26.2% share of equity in net income (see page 131)	1,973	-	-
<b>India real estate ventures</b> – 4% to 36.5% range in our			
share of equity in net income (loss)	2,581	(1,636)	(3,336)
Other, net (5)	(22,318)	(46,138)	(86,912)
	\$ 22,438	\$ (19,910)	\$ (159,207)

- (1) Includes interests in 330 Madison Avenue (25%), 825 Seventh Avenue (50%), Warner Building (55%), Fairfax Square (20%), Kaempfer equity interests in three office buildings (2.5% to 5.0%), Rosslyn Plaza (46%) and West 57th Street properties (50%).
- (2) Includes interests in Monmouth Mall, Verde Realty Operating Partnership ("Verde"), 85 10th Avenue Associates and redevelopment ventures including Harlem Park and Farley.
- (3) 2009 includes \$10,200 for our share of income from a litigation settlement.
- (4) 2010 includes a \$13,710 net gain resulting from Lexington's 2010 stock issuance. 2009 includes \$19,121 for our share of impairment losses recorded by Lexington. 2008 includes \$107,882 of impairment losses on our investment in Lexington.
- (5) 2010 includes \$11,481 of impairment losses related to our investment in properties on West 57th Street. 2009 includes \$17,820 of impairment losses, substantially all of which relates to our investment in Verde, and \$7,650 of expense for our share of the Downtown Crossing, Boston lease termination payment. 2008 includes \$96,037 of non-cash charges for the write-off of our share of certain partially owned entities' development costs, including \$37,000 for Downtown Crossing, Boston and \$23,000 for the "arena move"/Moynihan East portions of the Farley Project.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

# 5. Investments in Partially Owned Entities – continued

Below is a summary of the debt of our partially owned entities as of December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009; none of which is recourse to us.

	Interest Rate at December		100% of Partially Owned Entities' Debt at			
(Amounts in thousands)	Maturity	31, 2010	December 31, 2010		December 31, 2009	
Toys (32.7% interest) (as of October 30, 2010 and October 31, 2009,						
respectively):						
Senior unsecured notes (Face value –						
\$950,000)	07/17	10.75%	\$	928,045	\$	925,931
Senior unsecured notes (Face value –						
\$725,000)	12/17	8.50%		715,577		-
\$700 million secured term loan facility	09/16	6.00%		689,757		-
Senior U.K. real estate facility	04/13	5.02%		561,559		578,982
\$1.85 billion credit facility	08/15	3.04%		519,810		418,777
7.625% bonds (Face value – \$500,000)	08/11	8.82%		495,943		490,613
7.875% senior notes (Face value –						
\$400,000)	04/13	9.50%		386,167		381,293
7.375% senior secured notes	09/16	7.38%		350,000		-
7.375% senior notes (Face value –						
\$400,000)	10/18	9.99%		343,528		338,989
Japan bank loans	01/11-08/14	1.20%-2.85%		180,500		172,902
Spanish real estate facility	02/13	4.51%		179,511		191,436
Japan borrowings	03/11	0.81%		141,360		168,720
Junior U.K. real estate facility	04/13	6.84%		98,266		101,861
French real estate facility	02/13	4.51%		86,599		92,353
European and Australian asset-based						
revolving credit facility	10/12	5.32%		25,767		102,760
8.750% debentures (Face value – \$21,600	) 09/21	9.17%		21,054		21,022
Mortgage loan	n/a	n/a		-		800,000
\$800 million secured term loan facility	n/a	n/a		-		797,911
\$181 million unsecured term loan facility	n/a	n/a		-		180,456

	Other	Various	Various	156,853 5,880,296	136,206 5,900,212
Alexan	der's (32.4% interest):				
	731 Lexington Avenue mortgage note				
	payable, collaterallized by				
	the office space (prepayable				
	without penalty after 12/13)	02/14	5.33%	351,751	362,989
	731 Lexington Avenue mortgage note				
	payable, collateralized by				
	the retail space (prepayable				
	without penalty after 12/13)	07/15	4.93%	320,000	320,000
	Rego Park construction loan payable	12/11	1.46%	277,200	266,411
	Kings Plaza Regional Shopping Center				
	mortgage note payable	06/11	7.46%	151,214	183,319
	Rego Park mortgage note payable				
	(prepayable without penalty)	03/12	0.75%	78,246	78,246
	Paramus mortgage note payable				
	(prepayable without penalty)	10/11	5.92%	68,000	68,000
				1,246,411	1,278,965
Lexing	ton (12.8% interest) (as of September 30,				
2010 ar					
Septer	mber 30, 2009, respectively):				
	Mortgage loans collateralized by				
	Lexington's real estate (various				
	prepayment terms)	2010-2037	5.82%	1,927,729	2,132,253
LNR (2	26.2% interest) (as of September 30,				
2010):					
	Mortgage notes payable	2011-2043	5.75%	508,547	-
	Liabilities of consolidated CMBS and				
	CDO trusts	n/a	6.06%	142,001,333	-
				142,509,880	-

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

# 5. Investments in Partially Owned Entities - continued

		Interest Rate at December	100% of Partially Owned Enti		s' Debt at
(Amounts in thousands)	Maturity	31, 2010		ember 31, 2010	mber 31, 2009
Partially owned office buildings:					
Warner Building (55% interest)					
mortgage note payable <sup>(1)</sup>	05/16	6.26%	\$	292,700	\$ -
330 Madison Avenue (25% interest)					
mortgage note payable	06/15	1.79%		150,000	150,000
Kaempfer Properties (2.5% and 5.0%					
interests in two partnerships)					
mortgage notes payable,					
collateralized by the					
partnerships' real estate	11/11-12/11	5.87%		139,337	141,547
Fairfax Square (20% interest)					
mortgage note payable (prepayable					
without penalty after 07/14)	10/14	7,000		71.764	70.500
Decelor Diseas (ACC) interests and acceptance	12/14	7.00%		71,764	72,500
Rosslyn Plaza (46% interest) mortgage		1.000		<i>56</i> 690	56 600
note payable	12/11	1.26%		56,680	56,680
330 West 34th Street (34.8% interest)					
mortgage note payable,					
collateralized by land; we					
obtained a fee interest in the	<del>,</del>				
land upon					
foreclosure of our \$9,041 mezzanine loan in 2010	07/22	5 71 <i>0</i> 7		50 150	
	07722	5.71%		50,150	-
West 57th Street (50% interest)					
mortgage note payable (prepayable without penalty)	02/14	4.94%		22.022	20,000
825 Seventh Avenue (50% interest)	02/14	4.94%		22,922	29,000
mortgage note payable (prepayable without penalty after 04/14)					
without penalty after 04/14)	10/14	8.07%		20,565	20,773
India Real Estate Ventures:				,	,

	TCG Urban Infrastructure Holdings (25% interest) mortgage notes payable, collateralized by the entity's real estate (various prepayment terms) India Property Fund L.P. (36.5%	2010-2022	13.43%	196,319	178,553
	interest) revolving credit facility, repaid upon maturity in 03/10	n/a	n/a	<u>-</u>	77,000
Other:					,
	Verde Realty Operating Partnership (8.3% interest) mortgage notes payable, collateralized by the partnerships' real estate (various	2010 2025	E 9.50/	<b>5</b> 91 096	607.020
	prepayment terms) Green Courte Real Estate Partners, LLC (8.3% interest) (as of September 30, 2010 and 2009), mortgage notes payable, collateralized by the partnerships' real estate (various	2010-2025	5.85%	581,086	607,089
	prepayment terms) Waterfront Associates (2.5% interest) up to \$250 million construction	2011-2018	5.50%	296,991	304,481
			2.26% -		
	and land loan payable Monmouth Mall (50% interest) mortgage note payable (prepayable without penalty after 07/15)	09/11	3.76%	217,106	183,742
	without penalty after 07/13)	09/15	5.44%	164,474	165,000
	Wells/Kinzie Garage (50% interest) mortgage note payable Orleans Hubbard Garage (50%	12/17	5.00%	15,022	14,657
	interest) mortgage note payable San Jose, California (45% interest)	12/17	5.00%	9,508	10,101
	construction loan <sup>(2)</sup> Other	03/13	n/a	418,339	132,570 425,717

- (1) On October 20, 2010, we sold a 45% ownership interest in this property and share control over major decisions with our joint venture partner. Accordingly, we account for this property under the equity method from the date of sale and no longer consolidate its accounts into our consolidated financial statements.
- On October 15, 2010, we acquired the remaining 55% interest in this property for \$97,000, consisting of \$27,000 in cash and the assumption of \$70,000 of existing debt. Accordingly we consolidate the accounts of this property into our consolidated financial statements, from the date

of acquisition.

Based on our ownership interest in the partially owned entities above, our pro rata share of the debt of these partially owned entities, was \$40,443,346,000 and \$3,149,640,000 as of December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. Excluding our pro rata share of LNR's liabilities related to consolidated CMBS and CDO trusts which are non-recourse to LNR and its equity holders, including us, our pro rata share of partially owned entities debt is \$3,275,917,000 and \$3,149,640,000 at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

#### 6. Mezzanine Loans Receivable

The following is a summary of our investments in mezzanine loans as of December 31, 2010 and 2009.

		Interest Rate				
(Amounts in thousands)		as of		Carrying A	mount as of	
<b>Mezzanine Loans</b>		December	Dece	ember 31,		nber 31,
Receivable:	Maturity	31, 2010		2010	2	009
Tharaldson Lodging Companies (1)	04/11	4.56%	\$	71,084	\$	74,701
280 Park Avenue (2)	06/16	10.25%		66,513		73,750
Equinox (3)	n/a	n/a		-		97,968
Riley HoldCo Corp.						
(see discussion of LNR in Note						
5)	n/a	n/a		-		74,437
Other, net	11/11-8/15	1.36% - 8.95%		138,031		73,168
** 1				275,628		394,024
Valuation allowance						
(4)				(73,216)		(190,738)
			\$	202,412	\$	203,286

- On June 16, 2006, we acquired an 81.5% interest in a \$95,968 mezzanine loan to Tharaldson Lodging Companies for \$78,166 in cash. The loan is secured by a 107 hotel property portfolio with brands including Fairfield Inn, Residence Inn, Comfort Inn and Courtyard by Marriott. The loan is subordinate to \$671,778 of debt and is senior to approximately \$192,000 of other debt and equity. The loan provides for a 0.75% placement fee and bears interest at LIBOR plus 4.25% (4.56% at December 31, 2010). The borrower has a one-year extension option.
- On June 30, 2006, we made a \$73,750 mezzanine loan secured by the equity interests in 280 Park Avenue, a 1.2 million square foot office building, located between 48th and 49th Streets in Manhattan. The loan bears interest at 10.25% and matures in June 2016. The loan is subordinate to \$1.036 billion of other debt and is senior to approximately \$260,000 of equity and interest reserves.

(3)

In January 2010, Equinox prepaid the entire balance of this loan which was scheduled to mature in February 2013. We received \$99,314, including accrued interest, for our 50% interest in the loan which we acquired in 2006 for \$57,500.

(4) Represents loan loss accruals on certain mezzanine loans based on our estimate of the net realizable value of each loan. Our estimates are based on the present value of expected cash flows, discounted at each loan's effective interest rate, or if a loan is collateralized, based on the fair value of the underlying collateral, adjusted for estimated costs to sell. The excess of the carrying amount over the net realizable value of a loan is recognized as a reduction of "interest and other investment income (loss), net" in our consolidated statements of income.

The following is a reconciliation of our valuation allowance for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009.

		nlance at Addition ginning of		ditions			Balance at	
	_	Year	(Re	versals)	(Wr	rite-offs)	End	of Year
<b>Year Ended December</b>								
31, 2010:								
Valuation								
Allowance	\$	190,738	\$	(53,100)	\$	(64,422)	\$	73,216
Year Ended December								
31, 2009:								
Valuation								
Allowance	\$	46,700	\$	190,738	\$	(46,700)	\$	190,738

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

#### 7. Discontinued Operations

In accordance with the provisions of ASC 360, *Property, Plant, and Equipment*, we have reclassified the revenues and expenses of properties and businesses sold or held for sale to "(loss) income from discontinued operations" and the related assets and liabilities to "assets related to discontinued operations" and "liabilities related to discontinued operations" for all periods presented in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. The net gains resulting from the sale of the properties below are included in "(loss) income from discontinued operations" on our consolidated statements of income.

On January 12, 2011, we sold 1140 Connecticut Avenue and contracted to sell 1227 25<sup>th</sup> Street, subject to customary closing conditions, for an aggregate price of \$127,000,000. We will retain net proceeds of approximately \$107,000,000, after repaying an existing mortgage and recognize a net gain of approximately \$44,000,000 in the first quarter of 2011.

In December 2010, pursuant to a Court judgment, we sold the fee interest in land located in Arlington County, Virginia, known as Pentagon Row, to the tenants for an aggregate of \$14,992,000 in cash. See *Litigation*, in Note 19 - Commitments and Contingencies, for further details.

In March 2010, we ceased making debt service payments on the mortgage loan secured by the Cannery, a retail property in California as a result of insufficient cash flow, and the loan went into default. On October 14, 2010, the special servicer foreclosed on the property, and the property and related debt were removed from our consolidated balance sheet.

In March 2010, we ceased making debt service payments on the mortgage loan secured by the High Point Complex in North Carolina as a result of insufficient cash flow and the loan went into default. In November 2010, the property was placed in receivership. While the receivership process is inherently lengthy, we anticipate that the property will be sold in the first half of 2011, at which time the assets and liabilities will be removed from our consolidated balance sheet and we will recognize a net gain of approximately \$80,000,000.

On September 1, 2009, we sold 1999 K Street, a newly developed 250,000 square foot office building, in Washington's Central Business District, for \$207,800,000 in cash which resulted in a net gain of approximately \$41,211,000.

In 2009, we sold 15 retail properties in separate transactions for an aggregate of \$55,000,000 in cash which resulted in net gains aggregating \$4,073,000.

On June 10, 2008, we sold our Tysons Dulles Plaza office building complex for \$152,800,000 in cash which resulted in a net gain of \$56,831,000.

On March 31, 2008, we sold our 47.6% interest in Americold, our Temperature Controlled Logistics segment for \$220,000,000 in cash which resulted in a net gain of \$112,690,000.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

## 7. Discontinued Operations- continued

The tables below set forth the assets and liabilities related to discontinued operations at December 31, 2010 and 2009, and their combined results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008.

		Assets Rela	ated to			Liabilities R	Related	l to
(Amounts in								
thousands)	Disc	ontinued Ope	eration	is as of	Disc	ontinued Op	eratio	ons as of
		Decembe	er 31,			Decemb	er 31,	
	20	010	2	2009	2	2010	4	2009
High Point	\$	154,563	\$	151,065	\$	236,974	\$	218,225
1227 25th Street		43,630		43,173		-		-
1140 Connecticut								
Avenue		36,271		36,811		18,948		19,431
Pentagon Row		-		51,140		-		26,547
Retail properties		-		55,522		-		18,567
Total	\$	234,464	\$	337,711	\$	255,922	\$	282,770

(Amounts in thousands)	For the Y	ear Ended Decemb	oer 31,
	2010	2009	2008
Total revenues	\$ 43,871	\$ 55,752	\$ 278,986
Total expenses	51,701	48,709	268,274
	(7,830)	7,043	10,712
Litigation loss accrual and impairment losses	(15,056)	(11,860)	-
Net gain on sales of real estate	2,506	45,284	170,213
(Loss) income from discontinued operations	\$ (20,380)	\$ 40,467	\$ 180,925

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

## 8. Identified Intangible Assets and Liabilities

The following summarizes our identified intangible assets (primarily acquired above-market leases) and liabilities (primarily acquired below-market leases) as of December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

	Balance as o			s of	
	Dece	mber 31,	Decer	nber 31,	
(Amounts in thousands)	2	2010	2	009	
Identified intangible assets:					
Gross amount	\$	687,253	\$	750,667	
Accumulated amortization		(338,508)		(311,118)	
Net	\$	348,745	\$	439,549	
Identified intangible liabilities (included in					
deferred credit):					
Gross amount	\$	870,623	\$	913,896	
Accumulated amortization		(341,718)		(307,506)	
Net	\$	528,905	\$	606,390	

Amortization of acquired below-market leases, net of acquired above-market leases resulted in an increase to rental income of \$66,202,000, \$71,954,000 and \$95,532,000 for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Estimated annual amortization of acquired below-market leases, net of acquired above-market leases for each of the five succeeding years commencing January 1, 2011 is as follows:

(Amounts in thousands)	
2011	\$ 62,020
2012	51,581
2013	43,652
2014	37,800
2015	35,029

Amortization of all other identified intangible assets (a component of depreciation and amortization expense) was \$60,224,000, \$64,229,000 and \$85,865,000 for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Estimated annual amortization of all other identified intangible assets including acquired in-place leases, customer relationships, and third party contracts for each of the five succeeding years commencing January 1, 2011 is as follows:

(Amounts in thousands)	
2011	\$ 49,907
2012	44,737
2013	37,241
2014	18,844
2015	13,888

We are a tenant under ground leases for certain properties. Amortization of these acquired below-market leases, net of above-market leases resulted in an increase to rent expense of \$2,036,000, \$1,831,000 and \$2,654,000 for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Estimated annual amortization of these below-market leases, net of above-market leases for each of the five succeeding years commencing January 1, 2011 is as follows:

(Amounts in thousands)	
2011	\$ 1,865
2012	1,865
2013	1,865
2014	1,865
2015	1,865

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

## 9. Debt

The following is a summary of our debt:

(Amounts in thousands)		Interest Rate at December	Balance at		t	
	Maturity	31,	Dec	cember 31,	De	cember 31,
Notes and mortgages payable:	(1)	2010		2010		2009
Fixed rate:						
New York Office:						
350 Park Avenue	01/12	5.48%	\$	430,000	\$	430,000
1290 Avenue of the Amer		5.97%		424,136		434,643
770 Broadway	03/16	5.65%		353,000		353,000
888 Seventh Avenue	01/16	5.71%		318,554		318,554
Two Penn Plaza <sup>(2)</sup>	02/11	4.97%		277,347		282,492
909 Third Avenue	04/15	5.64%		207,045		210,660
Eleven Penn Plaza	12/11	5.20%		199,320		203,198
Washington, DC Office:						
Skyline Place	02/17	5.74%		678,000		678,000
River House Apartments	04/15	5.43%		195,546		195,546
Bowen Building	06/16	6.14%		115,022		115,022
1215 Clark Street, 200 12th	th					
Street and 251 18th Street	01/25	7.09%		110,931		113,267
Universal Buildings	04/14	6.38%		103,049		106,630
Reston Executive I, II, and	d III 01/13	5.57%		93,000		93,000
2011 Crystal Drive	08/17	7.30%		81,362		82,178
1550 and 1750 Crystal Dr	ive 11/14	7.08%		79,411		81,822
1235 Clark Street	07/12	6.75%		52,314		53,252
2231 Crystal Drive	08/13	7.08%		46,358		48,533
1750 Pennsylvania Avenu	e 06/12	7.26%		45,132		45,877
1225 Clark Street	08/13	7.08%		27,616		28,925
1800, 1851 and 1901 Sout	th Bell					
Street	12/11	6.91%		10,099		19,338
Warner Building <sup>(3)</sup>	n/a	n/a		_		292,700
1730 M and 1150 17th Str	reet <sup>(4)</sup> n/a	n/a		-		67,826
241 18th Street <sup>(5)</sup>	n/a	n/a		-		45,609

D	
R of or	ı٠
IX CLAIL	Ι.

	Cross-collateralized mortgages				
	on 40 strip shopping centers <sup>(6)</sup>	09/20	4.18%	597,138	-
	Montehiedra Town Center	07/16	6.04%	120,000	120,000
	Broadway Mall	07/13	5.30%	90,227	92,601
	828-850 Madison Avenue				
	Condominium	06/18	5.29%	80,000	80,000
	Las Catalinas Mall	11/13	6.97%	57,737	59,304
	510 5th Avenue	01/16	5.60%	32,189	-
	Springfield Mall (including				
	present value of purchase				
	option) <sup>(7)</sup>	n/a	n/a	-	242,583
	Other	03/12-05/36	5.10%-7.33%	101,251	138,696
Merchand	ise Mart:				
	Merchandise Mart	12/16	5.57%	550,000	550,000
	Boston Design Center	09/15	5.02%	68,538	69,667
	Washington Design Center	11/11	6.95%	43,447	44,247
Other:					
	555 California Street	09/11	5.79%	640,911	664,117
	Industrial Warehouses	10/11	6.95%	24,358	24,813
Total fixed rate no	tes and mortgages payable		5.65%	\$ 6,253,038	\$ 6,386,100

See notes on page 143.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

## 9. Debt - continued

(Amounts in thousands)		Spread over	Interest Rate at December	Balar	nce at
		Spreau over	31,	December 31,	December 31,
Notes and months are months.	Maturity	I IDOD	2010	2010	2000
Notes and mortgages payable: Variable rate:	(1)	LIBOR	2010	2010	2009
New York Office:					
Manhattan Mall	02/12	L+55	0.81%	\$ 232,000	\$ 232,000
866 UN Plaza	05/11	L+40	0.71%	44,978	44,978
Washington, DC Office:	03/11	ETHO	0.7176	77,770	41,570
2101 L Street	02/13	L+120	1.49%	150,000	150,000
West End 25	3 <b></b> , - 2		27.75	,	,
(construction loan)(8)	02/11	L+130	1.60%	95,220	85,735
220 20th Street <sup>(9)</sup>	01/11	L+115	1.43%	83,573	75,629
River House Apartments	04/18	n/a (10)	1.66%	64,000	64,000
2200/2300 Clarendon	0 1/10	11/ C	1.00 /0	01,000	01,000
Boulevard	01/15	L+75	1.01%	59,278	65,133
1730 M and 1150 17th	01,10	2.,6	110176	57,270	35,155
Street <sup>(4)</sup>	06/14	L+140	1.66%	43,581	_
Retail:				•	
Green Acres Mall	02/13	L+140	1.69%	335,000	335,000
Bergen Town Center	02/12	T 150	1.700	250 044	261.002
(construction loan)	03/13	L+150	1.79%	279,044	261,903
San Jose Strip Center <sup>(11)</sup>	03/13 07/12	L+400 L+350 (12)	4.32%	120,863	100,000
Beverly Connection <sup>(12)</sup>	04/14	L+325	5.00%	100,000	100,000
4 Union Square South Cross-collateralized	04/14	L+323	3.54%	75,000	75,000
mortgages on 40 strip					
shopping centers <sup>(6)</sup>	09/20	L+136 (6)	2.36%	60,000	_
435 Seventh Avenue <sup>(13)</sup>	08/14	L+300 (13)	5.00%	51,844	52,000
Other	11/12	L+375	4.02%	21,862	22,758
Other:	11/12	<b>L</b> 1373	1.0270	21,002	22,730
2		L+235–L+245	5		
220 Central Park South	04/11		2.64%	123,750	123,750
Other <sup>(14)</sup>	11/11-02/12	Various	2.79%-4.00%	66,267	117,868

Edgar Filing: VORNADO REALTY TRUST - Form 10-K

Total variable rate notes and mortgages payable			2.17%	2,006,260	1,805,754
Total notes and mortgages payable			4.80%	\$ 8,259,298	\$ 8,191,854
Senior unsecured notes:					
Senior unsecured notes due 2015 <sup>(15)</sup>	04/15		4.25%	\$ 499,296	\$ -
Senior unsecured notes due 2039 <sup>(16)</sup>	10/39		7.88%	460,000	446,134
Senior unsecured notes due 2011 (17)	02/11		5.60%	100,382	117,342
Floating rate senior unsecured notes due 2011	12/11	L+200	2.26%	23,250	-
Senior unsecured notes due 2010	n/a		n/a	-	148,240
Total senior unsecured notes			5.87%	\$ 1,082,928	\$ 711,716
3.88% exchangeable senior debentures due 2025					
(see page 145)	04/12		5.32%	\$ 491,000	\$ 484,457
Convertible senior debentures: (see					
page 145) 3.63% due 2026 <sup>(18)(19)</sup>	11/11		5.32%	\$ 176,499	\$ 424,207
2.85% due 2027 <sup>(18)</sup> (19)	04/12		5.45%	9,914	21,251
Total convertible senior				•	•
debentures (20)			5.33%	\$ 186,413	\$ 445,458
Unsecured revolving credit					
facilities: \$1.595 billion unsecured					
revolving credit facility	09/12	L+55	0.80%	\$ 669,000	\$ 427,218
\$1.000 billion unsecured	07/12	L133	0.0076	Ψ 002,000	φ 427,210
revolving credit facility					
(\$12,198 reserved for					
outstanding letters of					
credit)	06/11	L+55	0.80%	205,000	425,000
Total unsecured revolving credit					
facilities			0.80%	\$ 874,000	\$ 852,218
See notes on the following page.					

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

#### 9. Debt - continued

(7)

Notes to preceding tabular information (Amounts in thousands):

Notes to preceding tabular informati	on (Amounts in thousands):
(1)	Represents the extended maturity for certain loans in which we have the unilateral right, ability and intent to extend. In the case of our convertible and exchangeable debt, represents the earliest date holders may require us to repurchase the debentures.
(2)	On February 11, 2011, we completed a \$425,000 refinancing of this loan. The seven-year loan bears interest at LIBOR plus 2.00%, which was swapped for this term of the loan to a fixed rate of 5.13%. The loan amortizes based on a 30-year schedule beginning in the fourth year. We retained net proceeds of approximately \$139,000, after repaying the existing loan and closing costs.
(3)	On October 20, 2010, we sold a 45% ownership interest in this property to a joint venture and share control over major decisions with our joint venture partner. Accordingly, we account for this property under the equity method of accounting and no longer consolidate its accounts into our consolidated financial statements.
(4)	On June 1, 2010, we refinanced this loan. The new loan, which is guaranteed by the Operating Partnership, has a rate of LIBOR plus 1.40% (1.66% at December 31, 2010) and matures in June 2011 with three one-year extension options.
(5)	On September 1, 2010, we repaid the \$44,900 outstanding balance of this loan which was scheduled to mature in October 2010.
(6)	In August 2010, we sold \$660,000 of 10-year mortgage notes in a single issuer securitization. The notes are comprised of a \$600,000 fixed rate component and a \$60,000 variable rate component and are cross-collateralized by 40 of our strip shopping centers. The variable rate portion of the debt has a LIBOR floor of 1.00%.

In December 2010, we acquired this loan, which had an outstanding balance of \$171,500, for \$115,000 in cash. In a separate transaction, we acquired our partner's interest in the partnership that owns the mall for \$25,000 in Operating Partnership units. These transactions resulted in a net gain on early

	extinguishment of debt of \$102,932 in our consolidated statement of income.
(8)	In February 2011, we repaid a portion of this loan and extended the maturity to August 2011.
(9)	On January 18, 2011, we repaid the outstanding balance of this construction loan and closed on a new \$76,100 mortgage financing at a fixed rate of 4.61%. The new loan has a 7-year term and amortizes based on a 30-year schedule.
(10)	This loan bears interest at the Freddie Mac Reference Note Rate plus 1.53%.
(11)	On October 15, 2010, we acquired the remaining 55% interest we did not own in this property. Accordingly, we consolidate the accounts of this property into our consolidated financial statements from the date of acquisition.
(12)	This loan has a LIBOR floor of 1.50%. The spread over LIBOR increases to 500 bps in July 2011.
(13)	This loan has a LIBOR floor of 2.00%.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

## 9. Debt - continued

Notes to preceding tabular information (Amounts in thousands):

Notes to preceding tabular information (Amounts in thousands).						
(14)	In October 2010, we repaid a \$36,000 loan which matured on September 30, 2010.					
(15)	On March 26, 2010, we completed a public offering of \$500,000 aggregate principal amount of 4.25% senior unsecured notes due April 1, 2015. Interest on the notes is payable semi-annually commencing on October 1, 2010. The notes were sold at 99.834% of their face amount to yield 4.287%. The notes can be redeemed without penalty beginning January 1, 2015. We retained net proceeds of approximately \$496,000.					
(16)	These notes may be redeemed at our option in whole or in part beginning on October 1, 2014, at a price equal to the principal amount plus accrued interest. In 2010, we reclassified \$13,866 of deferred financing costs to "deferred leasing and financing costs" on our consolidated balance sheet.					
(17)	In the third quarter of 2010, we purchased \$17,000 aggregate face amount (\$16,981 aggregate carrying amount) of these senior unsecured notes for \$17,382 in cash, resulting in a net loss of \$401 and in February 2011, upon maturity, we repaid the \$100,000 balance of these notes.					
(18)	In 2010, we purchased \$68,418 aggregate face amount (\$66,916 aggregate carrying amount) of our convertible senior debentures for \$68,804 in cash, resulting in a net loss of \$1,888.					
(19)	On October 1 2010, pursuant to our September 2, 2010 tender offer, we purchased \$189,827 aggregate face amount of our 3.63% convertible senior debentures and \$12,246 aggregate face amount of our 2.85% convertible senior debentures for an aggregate of \$206,053 in cash, resulting in a net loss of \$8,493.					
(20)	The net proceeds from the offering of these debentures were contributed to the Operating Partnership in the form of an inter-company loan and the					

Operating Partnership fully and unconditionally guaranteed payment of these debentures. There are no restrictions which limit the Operating Partnership from making distributions to Vornado and Vornado has no independent assets

or operations outside of the Operating Partnership.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

## 9. Debt – continued

Pursuant to the provisions of ASC 470-20, *Debt with Conversion and Other Options*, below is a summary of required disclosures related to our convertible and exchangeable senior debentures.

(Amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)	2.85% Convertible Senior Debentures due 2027		3.63% Co Senior Debo 20		3.88% Exchangeable Senior Debentures due 2025		
•	December 31,	December 31,	December 31,	December 31,	December 31,	December 31,	
Balance Sheet:	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009	
Principal amount of debt component Unamortized discount	\$ (319)	\$ 22,479 (1,228)	\$ 179,052 \$ (2,553)	\$ 437,297 (13,090)	\$ 499,982 (8,982)	\$ 499,982 (15,525)	
Carrying amount of debt component Carrying amount of	\$ 9,914	\$ 21,251	176,499 \$	\$ 424,207	\$ 491,000	\$ 484,457	
equity component Effective interest rate	\$ 956 5.45%	\$ 2,104 5.45%	\$ 9,604 5.32%	\$ 23,457 5.32%	\$ 32,301 5.32%	\$ 32,301 5.32%	
Maturity date (period through which discount is being			11/15/11				
amortized) Conversion price per	4/1/12		11/13/11		4/15/12		
share, as adjusted Number of shares on which the	\$ 157.18		\$ 148.46		\$ 87.17		
aggregate consideration to be delivered upon conversion is							
determined	_ (1)		_ (1)		5,736		

Our convertible senior debentures require that upon conversion, the entire principal amount is to be settled in cash, and at our option, any excess value above the principal amount may be settled in cash or common shares. Based on the December 31, 2010 closing share price of our common shares and the conversion prices in the table above, there was no excess value; accordingly, no common shares would be issued if these securities were settled on this date. The number of common shares on which the aggregate consideration that would be delivered upon conversion is 65 and 1,206 common shares, respectively.

(Amounts in thousands) For the Year Ended Decem			ıber 31,		
Income Statement:	2010 2009		2	2008	
2.85% Convertible Senior Debentures due 2027:					
Coupon interest	\$	553	\$ 33,743	\$	39,853
Discount amortization – original issue		80	4,596		5,190
Discount amortization – ASC 470-20					
implementation		374	21,514		24,296
	\$	1,007	\$ 59,853	\$	69,339
3.63% Convertible Senior Debentures due 2026:					
Coupon interest	\$	13,015	\$ 32,654	\$	36,216
Discount amortization – original issue		1,520	3,606		3,820
Discount amortization – ASC 470-20					
implementation		4,069	9,651		10,224
	\$	18,604	\$ 45,911	\$	50,260
3.88% Exchangeable Senior Debentures due 2025:					
Coupon interest	\$	19,374	\$ 19,428	\$	19,374
Discount amortization – original issue		1,544	1,464		1,389
Discount amortization – ASC 470-20					
implementation		4,999	4,741		4,497
	\$	25,917	\$ 25,633	\$	25,260

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

#### 9. Debt – continued

The net carrying amount of properties collateralizing the notes and mortgages payable amounted to \$10.7 billion in December 31, 2010. As of December 31, 2010, the principal repayments required for the next five years and thereafter are as follows:

		Senior Unsecured
		Debt and
(Amounts in thousands)		<b>Revolving Credit</b>
Year Ending December 31,	Mortgages Payable	<b>Facilities</b>
2011	\$ 1,854,915	\$ 328,635
2012	928,512	669,000
2013	1,482,785	-
2014	342,707	-
2015	516,328	500,000
Thereafter	3,116,181	460,000

We may refinance our maturing debt as it comes due or choose to repay it.

#### 10. Redeemable Noncontrolling Interests

Redeemable noncontrolling interests on our consolidated balance sheets represent Operating Partnership units held by third parties and are comprised of Class A units and Series D-10, D-11, D-14, D-15 and D-16 (collectively, "Series D") cumulative redeemable preferred units. Class A units of the Operating Partnership may be tendered for redemption to the Operating Partnership for cash; we, at our option, may assume that obligation and pay the holder either cash or Vornado common shares on a one-for-one basis. Because the number of Vornado common shares outstanding at all times equals the number of Class A units owned by Vornado, the redemption value of each Class A unit is equivalent to the market value of one Vornado common share, and the quarterly distribution to a Class A unitholder is equal to

the quarterly dividend paid to a Vornado common shareholder. Below are the details of Operating Partnership units held by third-parties that are included in "redeemable noncontrolling interests" as of December 31, 2010 and 2009:

	Outstanding Units at December December		Per Unit		Preferred or Annual		Conversion Rate Into	
Unit Series	31, 2010	31, 2009	_	Liquidation Distribution Preference Rate		Class A Units		
Common:								
Class A	12,804,202	13,892,313		N/A	\$	2.76	N/A	
Perpetual Preferred: (1)								
7.00% D-10								
Cumulative								
Redeemable	3,200,000	3,200,000	\$	25.00	\$	1.75	N/A	
7.20% D-11								
Cumulative								
Redeemable	1,400,000	1,400,000	\$	25.00	\$	1.80	N/A	
6.55% D-12								
Cumulative								
Redeemable (2)	-	800,000	\$	25.00	\$	1.637	N/A	
6.75% D-14								
Cumulative								
Redeemable	4,000,000	4,000,000	\$	25.00	\$	1.6875	N/A	
6.875% D-15								
Cumulative								
Redeemable	1,800,000	1,800,000	\$	25.00	\$	1.71875	N/A	
5.00% D-16								
Cumulative								
Redeemable (3)	1	-	\$ 1,0	00,000,000	\$	50,000.00	N/A	

<sup>(1)</sup> Holders may tender units for redemption to the Operating Partnership for cash at their stated redemption amount; we, at our option, may assume that obligation and pay the holders either cash or Vornado preferred shares on a one-for-one basis. These units are redeemable at our option after the 5th anniversary of the date of issuance (ranging from November 2008 to December 2011).

(3) Issued in connection with the acquisition of our partner's interest in the Springfield Mall in December 2010.

<sup>(2)</sup> In 2010, we redeemed all of the outstanding Series D-12 cumulative redeemable preferred units for \$16.25 per unit in cash, or \$13,000,000 in the aggregate. In connection therewith, we recognized a \$6,972,000 net gain which is included as a component of "net income attributable to noncontrolling interests" on our consolidated statement of income.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

### 10. Redeemable Noncontrolling Interests - continued

Redeemable noncontrolling interests on our consolidated balance sheets are recorded at the greater of their carrying amount or redemption value at the end of each reporting period. Changes in the value from period to period are charged to "additional capital" in our consolidated statements of changes in equity. Below is a table summarizing the activity of redeemable noncontrolling interests.

(Amounts in thousands)	
Balance at December 31, 2008	\$ 1,177,978
Net income	25,120
Distributions	(42,451)
Conversion of Class A units into common shares, at redemption	
value	(90,955)
Adjustment to carry redeemable Class A units at redemption value	167,049
Other, net	14,887
Balance at December 31, 2009	\$ 1,251,628
Net income	55,228
Distributions	(53,515)
Conversion of Class A units into common shares, at redemption	
value	(126,764)
Adjustment to carry redeemable Class A units at redemption value	191,826
Redemption of Series D-12 redeemable units	(13,000)
Other, net	22,571
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$ 1,327,974

As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the aggregate redemption value of redeemable Class A units was \$1,066,974,000 and \$971,628,000, respectively.

Redeemable noncontrolling interests exclude our Series G convertible preferred units and Series D-13 cumulative redeemable preferred units, as they are accounted for as liabilities in accordance with ASC 480, *Distinguishing Liabilities and Equity*, because of their possible settlement by issuing a variable number of Vornado common shares. Accordingly the fair value of these units is included as a component of "other liabilities" on our consolidated balance

sheets and aggregated \$55,097,000 and \$60,271,000 as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

## 11. Shareholders' Equity

Preferred Shares

The following table sets forth the details of our preferred shares of beneficial interest outstanding as of December 31, 2010 and 2009:

	December 31,		
(Amounts in thousands, except share and per share amounts)	2010		2009
6.5% Series A: liquidation preference \$50.00 per			
share; authorized 5,750,000 shares; issued and			
outstanding 40,009 and 52,324 shares	2075	Φ.	0 (70
\$ 22.00	2,057	\$	2,673
7.0% Series D-10: liquidation preference \$25.00 per			
share; authorized 4,800,000 shares; issued and			20.002
outstanding 1,600,000 shares <sup>(1)</sup>	-		39,982
7.0% Series E: liquidation preference \$25.00 per			
share; authorized 3,450,000 shares; issued and	72.240		<b>72.24</b> 0
outstanding 3,000,000 shares	72,248		72,248
6.75% Series F: liquidation preference \$25.00 per			
share; authorized 6,000,000 shares; issued and	144.720		1.4.4.720
outstanding 6,000,000 shares	144,720		144,720
6.625% Series G: liquidation preference \$25.00 per			
share; authorized 9,200,000 shares; issued and	102 125		102 125
outstanding 8,000,000 shares	193,135		193,135
6.75% Series H: liquidation preference \$25.00 per			
share; authorized 4,600,000 shares; issued and	100.540		100.540
outstanding 4,500,000 shares	108,549		108,549
6.625% Series I: liquidation preference \$25.00 per			
share; authorized 12,050,000 shares; issued and	262.270		262 270
outstanding 10,800,000 shares	262,379	¢	262,379
\$	783,088	\$	823,686

(1)

In September 2010, we purchased all of the outstanding Series D-10 preferred shares for \$22.25 per share in cash, or \$35,600 in the aggregate. In connection therewith, the \$4,382 discount was included as "discount on preferred share redemptions" on our consolidated statement of income.

Series A Convertible Preferred Shares of Beneficial Interest

Holders of Series A Preferred Shares of beneficial interest are entitled to receive dividends in an amount equivalent to \$3.25 per annum per share. These dividends are cumulative and payable quarterly in arrears. The Series A Preferred Shares are convertible at any time at the option of their respective holders at a conversion rate of 1.4334 common shares per Series A Preferred Share, subject to adjustment in certain circumstances. In addition, upon the satisfaction of certain conditions we, at our option, may redeem the \$3.25 Series A Preferred Shares at a current conversion rate of 1.4334 common shares per Series A Preferred Share, subject to adjustment in certain circumstances. At no time will the Series A Preferred Shares be redeemable for cash.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

#### 11. Shareholders' Equity - continued

Series E Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares of Beneficial Interest

Holders of Series E Preferred Shares of beneficial interest are entitled to receive dividends at an annual rate of 7.0% of the liquidation preference of \$25.00 per share, or \$1.75 per Series E Preferred Share per annum. These dividends are cumulative and payable quarterly in arrears. The Series E Preferred Shares are not convertible into, or exchangeable for, any other property or any other security of the Company. We, at our option, may redeem Series E Preferred Shares at a redemption price of \$25.00 per share, plus any accrued and unpaid dividends through the date of redemption. The Series E Preferred Shares have no maturity date and will remain outstanding indefinitely unless redeemed by us.

Series F Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares of Beneficial Interest

Holders of Series F Preferred Shares of beneficial interest are entitled to receive dividends at an annual rate of 6.75% of the liquidation preference of \$25.00 per share, or \$1.6875 per Series F Preferred Share per annum. These dividends are cumulative and payable quarterly in arrears. The Series F Preferred Shares are not convertible into, or exchangeable for, any other property or any other security of the Company. We, at our option, may redeem Series F Preferred Shares at a redemption price of \$25.00 per share, plus any accrued and unpaid dividends through the date of redemption. The Series F Preferred Shares have no maturity date and will remain outstanding indefinitely unless redeemed by us.

Series G Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares of Beneficial Interest

Holders of Series G Preferred Shares of beneficial interest are entitled to receive dividends at an annual rate of 6.625% of the liquidation preference of \$25.00 per share, or \$1.656 per Series G Preferred Share per annum. These dividends are cumulative and payable quarterly in arrears. The Series G Preferred Shares are not convertible into, or

exchangeable for, any other property or any other security of the Company. We, at our option, may redeem Series G Preferred Shares at a redemption price of \$25.00 per share, plus any accrued and unpaid dividends through the date of redemption. The Series G Preferred Shares have no maturity date and will remain outstanding indefinitely unless redeemed by us.

Series H Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares of Beneficial Interest

Holders of Series H Preferred Shares of beneficial interest are entitled to receive dividends at an annual rate of 6.75% of the liquidation preference of \$25.00 per share, or \$1.6875 per Series H Preferred Share per annum. The dividends are cumulative and payable quarterly in arrears. The Series H Preferred Shares are not convertible into, or exchangeable for, any other property or any other security of the Company. On or after June 17, 2010 (or sooner under limited circumstances), we, at our option, may redeem Series H Preferred Shares at a redemption price of \$25.00 per share, plus any accrued and unpaid dividends through the date of redemption. The Series H Preferred Shares have no maturity date and will remain outstanding indefinitely unless redeemed by us.

Series I Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares of Beneficial Interest

Holders of Series I Preferred Shares of beneficial interest are entitled to receive dividends at an annual rate of 6.625% of the liquidation preference of \$25.00 per share, or \$1.656 per Series I Preferred Share per annum. The dividends are cumulative and payable quarterly in arrears. The Series I Preferred Shares are not convertible into, or exchangeable for, any other property or any other security of the Company. On or after August 31, 2010 (or sooner under limited circumstances), we, at our option, may redeem Series I Preferred Shares at a redemption price of \$25.00 per share, plus any accrued and unpaid dividends through the date of redemption. The Series I Preferred Shares have no maturity date and will remain outstanding indefinitely unless redeemed by us.

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

Accumulated other comprehensive income was \$73,453,000 and \$28,449,000 as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and primarily consists of accumulated unrealized gains from the mark-to-market of marketable securities classified as available-for-sale.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

#### 12. Fair Value Measurements

ASC 820, Fair Value Measurement and Disclosures defines fair value and establishes a framework for measuring fair value. The objective of fair value is to determine the price that would be received upon the sale of an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date (the exit price). ASC 820 establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes observable and unobservable inputs used to measure fair value into three levels: Level 1 – quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for assets or liabilities; Level 2 – observable prices that are based on inputs not quoted in active markets, but corroborated by market data; and Level 3 – unobservable inputs that are used when little or no market data is available. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to Level 1 inputs and the lowest priority to Level 3 inputs. In determining fair value, we utilize valuation techniques that maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs to the extent possible as well as consider counterparty credit risk in our assessment of fair value. Considerable judgment is necessary to interpret Level 2 and 3 inputs in determining the fair value of our financial and non-financial assets and liabilities. Accordingly, our fair value estimates, which are made at the end of each reporting period, may be different than the amounts that may ultimately be realized upon sale or disposition of these assets.

Fair Value Measurements on a Recurring Basis

Financial assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value in our consolidated financial statements consist of (i) marketable securities, (ii) derivative positions in marketable equity securities (iii) the assets of our deferred compensation plan, which are primarily marketable equity securities and equity investments in limited partnerships, (iv) Real Estate Fund investments, (v) short-term investments (CDARS classified as available-for-sale), and (vi) mandatorily redeemable instruments (Series G convertible preferred units and Series D-13 cumulative redeemable preferred units). The tables below aggregate the fair values of financial assets and liabilities by the levels in the fair value hierarchy at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

	As of December 31, 2010						
(Amounts in thousands)		Total	Ι	Level 1	L	evel 2	Level 3
Marketable securities	\$	766,116	\$	766,116	\$	-	\$ -
Real Estate Fund investments		144,423		-		-	144,423
Deferred compensation plan assets							
(included in other assets)		91,549		43,699		-	47,850
Derivative positions in marketable							
equity securities		17,616		-		17,616	-
Total assets	\$ 1	1,019,704	\$	809,815	\$	17,616	\$ 192,273

Mandatorily redeemable instruments (included in other liabilities)	\$ 55,097	\$	55,097	\$	-	\$	-
		As	of Decembe	r 31, 20	09		
(Amounts in thousands)	Total	L	evel 1	Leve	el 2	Leve	13
Deferred compensation plan assets							
(included in other assets)	\$ 80,443	\$	40,854	\$	-	\$ 39	,589
Marketable equity securities	79,925		79,925		-		-
Short-term investments	40,000		40,000		-		-
Total assets	\$ 200,368	\$	160,779	\$	-	\$ 39	,589
Mandatorily redeemable instruments							
(included in other liabilities)	\$ 60,271	\$	60,271	\$	-	\$	-

The table below summarizes the changes in the fair value of the level 3 assets above for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009.

	For The Years Ended December 31,					
(Amounts in thousands)	20	10	2009			
Beginning balance	\$	39,589	\$	34,176		
Total realized/unrealized gains		3,527		4,187		
Purchases, sales, other settlements and						
issuances, net		149,157		1,226		
Ending balance	\$	192,273	\$	39,589		

Purchases in the year ended December 31, 2010, include the investments of our consolidated Real Estate Fund.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

#### 12. Fair Value Measurements - continued

Fair Value Measurements on a Nonrecurring Basis

Non-financial assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis in our consolidated financial statements consist of real estate assets and investments in partially owned entities that have been written-down to estimated fair value during 2010 and 2009. See Note 2 – *Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies* for details of impairment losses recognized during 2010 and 2009. The fair values of these assets are determined using widely accepted valuation techniques, including (i) discounted cash flow analysis, which considers, among other things, leasing assumptions, growth rates, discount rates and terminal capitalization rates, (ii) income capitalization approach, which considers prevailing market capitalization rates and (iii) comparable sales activity. In general, we consider multiple valuation techniques when measuring fair values. However, in certain circumstances, a single valuation technique may be appropriate. The tables below aggregate the fair values of these assets by the levels in the fair value hierarchy.

	As of December 31, 2010					
(Amounts in thousands)	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3		
Real estate assets	\$ 381,889	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 381,889		
Investments in partially owned entities	11,413	-	-	11,413		
		As of Decemb	er 31, 2009			
(Amounts in thousands)	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3		
Real estate assets	\$ 169,861	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 169,861		
Investments in partially owned entities	36,052	-	-	36,052		

Financial Assets and Liabilities not Measured at Fair Value

Financial assets and liabilities that are not measured at fair value in our consolidated financial statements include mezzanine loans receivable and debt. Estimates of the fair values of these instruments are based on our assessments of available market information and valuation methodologies, including discounted cash flow analyses. The table below summarizes the carrying amounts and fair values of these financial instruments as of December 31, 2010 and

# 2009.

		As of December 31, 2010			As of December 31, 200			, 2009
		arrying		Fair	C	Carrying	ying Fair	
(Amounts in thousands)	A	Amount		Value	A	Amount		Value
Mezzanine loans								
receivable	\$	202,412	\$	197,581	\$	203,286	\$	192,612
Debt:								
Notes and								
mortgages payable	\$	8,259,298	\$	8,450,812	\$	8,191,854	\$	7,858,873
Senior unsecured								
notes		1,082,928		1,119,512		711,716		718,302
Exchangeable								
senior debentures		491,000		554,355		484,457		547,480
Convertible senior								
debentures		186,413		191,510		445,458		461,275
Revolving credit								
facility debt		874,000		874,000		852,218		852,218
	\$	10,893,639	\$	11,190,189	\$	10,685,703	\$	10,438,148

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

### 13. Stock-based Compensation

On May 13, 2010, our shareholders approved the 2010 Omnibus Share Plan (the "Plan"), which replaces the 2002 Omnibus Share Plan. Under the Plan, the Compensation Committee of the Board (the "Committee") may grant eligible participants awards of stock options, stock appreciation rights, performance shares, restricted shares and other stock-based awards and operating partnership units, certain of which may provide for dividends or dividend equivalents and voting rights prior to vesting. Awards may be granted up to a maximum of 6,000,000 shares, if all awards granted are Full Value Awards, as defined, and up to 12,000,000 shares, if all of the awards granted are Not Full Value Awards, as defined. Full Value Awards are awards of securities, such as restricted shares, that, if all vesting requirements are met, do not require the payment of an exercise price or strike price to acquire the securities. Not Full Value Awards are awards of securities, such as options, that do require the payment of an exercise price or strike price. This means, for example, if the Committee were to award only restricted shares, it could award up to 6,000,000 restricted shares. On the other hand, if the Committee were to award only stock options, it could award options to purchase up to 12,000,000 shares (at the applicable exercise price). The Committee may also issue any combination of awards under the Plan, with reductions in availability of future awards made in accordance with the above limitations.

The Plan provides for grants of incentive and non-qualified stock options, restricted stock, restricted Operating Partnership units and out-performance plan awards. As of December 31, 2010, we have approximately 5,942,000 shares available for future grants under the Plan, if all awards granted are Full Value Awards, as defined.

In the third quarter of 2010, we recognized \$2,800,000 of expense resulting from accelerating the vesting of certain Operating Partnership units and 2006 out-performance plan units, which were scheduled to fully vest in the first quarter of 2011. In the first quarter of 2009, our nine most senior executives voluntarily surrendered their 2008 out-performance plan awards and their 2007 and 2008 stock option awards resulting in \$32,588,000 of expense, representing the write-off of the unamortized portion of these awards, which is included in "general and administrative expenses" on our consolidated statement of income.

Out-Performance Plans

On March 31, 2008, the Compensation Committee of our Board of Trustees approved a \$75,000,000 out-performance plan (the "2008 OPP"). Under the 2008 OPP, the total return to our shareholders (the "Total Return") resulting from both share appreciation and dividends for the four-year period from March 31, 2008 to March 31, 2012 must exceed both an absolute and a relative hurdle. The initial value from which to determine the Total Return is \$86.20 per share, a 0.93% premium to the trailing 10-day average closing price on the New York Stock Exchange for our common shares on the date the plan was adopted. During the four-year performance period, participants are entitled to receive 10% of the common dividends paid on Vornado's common shares for each 2008 OPP unit awarded, regardless of whether the units are ultimately earned. The fair value of the 2008 OPP awards on the date of grant, as adjusted for estimated forfeitures, was approximately \$21,600,000, and is being amortized into expense over a five-year period beginning on the date of grant through the final vesting period, using a graded vesting attribution model, with the exception of an aggregate of \$13,722,000 which was accelerated into expense in the first quarter of 2009 as a result of the voluntary surrender of such awards discussed above.

On April 25, 2006, our Compensation Committee approved a \$100,000,000 Out-performance plan (the "2006 OPP"), under which 91% of the total Out-Performance Plan was awarded. The fair value of the awards on the date of grant, as adjusted for estimated forfeitures, was approximately \$46,141,000 and is being amortized into expense over the five-year vesting period beginning on the date of grant, using a graded vesting attribution model. As of January 12, 2007, the maximum performance threshold under the Out-Performance Plan was achieved, concluding the performance period.

During the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, we recognized \$5,062,000, \$23,493,000 and \$16,021,000 of compensation expense, respectively, for these plans. As of December 31, 2010, there was \$1,250,000 of total unrecognized compensation cost related these plans, which will be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.47 years. Distributions paid on unvested OPP Units are charged to "net income attributable to noncontrolling interests" on our consolidated statements of income and amounted to \$815,000, \$1,935,000 and \$2,918,000 in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

## 13. Stock-based Compensation - continued

Stock Options

Stock options are granted at an exercise price equal to 100% of the average of the high and low market price of our common shares on the NYSE on the date of grant, generally vest pro-rata over four years and expire 10 years from the date of grant. Compensation expense related to stock option awards is recognized on a straight-line basis over the vesting period with the exception of an aggregate of \$18,866,000 which was accelerated into expense in the first quarter of 2009 as a result of voluntary surrenders. During the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, we recognized \$7,916,000, \$25,911,000 and \$9,051,000, of compensation expense, respectively, for these options. As of December 31, 2010 there was \$17,606,000 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested stock options, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.0 years.

Below is a summary of our stock option activity under the Plan for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Shares	Ave Exe	erage ercise	Weighted- Average Remaining Contractual Term		aggregate Intrinsic Value
6,179,806	\$	47.90			
1,204,095		73.00			
(1,856,837)		36.84			
(38,184)		86.15			
5,488,880		56.89	5.1	\$	157,071,000
t					
5,459,567	\$	56.73	5.1	\$	156,252,000
3,196,309	\$	54.92	2.7	\$	98,859,000
	6,179,806 1,204,095 (1,856,837) (38,184) 5,488,880 t	Shares P:  6,179,806 1,204,095 (1,856,837) (38,184) 5,488,880 t  5,459,567 \$	6,179,806 \$ 47.90 1,204,095 73.00 (1,856,837) 36.84 (38,184) 86.15 5,488,880 56.89 t  5,459,567 \$ 56.73	Weighted-Average Exercise         Average Remaining Contractual Term           Shares         Price         Term           6,179,806         \$ 47.90         1,204,095         73.00           (1,856,837)         36.84         (38,184)         86.15           5,488,880         56.89         5.1           t         5,459,567         \$ 56.73         5.1	Weighted-Average Average Exercise         Average Remaining Contractual         Average Exercise Contractual           Shares         Price         Term           6,179,806         \$ 47.90           1,204,095         73.00           (1,856,837)         36.84           (38,184)         86.15           5,488,880         56.89           5         5.1           5         \$ 56.73

The fair value of each option grant is estimated on the date of grant using an option-pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions for grants in the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008.

		December 31,	
	2010	2009	2008
Expected volatility	35.00%	28.00%	19.00%
Expected life	7.9 years	7.0 years	7.7 years
Risk free interest			
rate	3.60%	2.30%	3.20%
Expected dividend			
yield	4.90%	4.60%	4.80%

The weighted average grant date fair value of options granted during the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 was \$16.96, \$5.67 and \$6.80, respectively. Cash received from option exercises for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 was \$25,338,000, \$1,749,000 and \$27,587,000, respectively. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 was \$60,923,000, \$62,139,000 and \$79,997,000, respectively.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

### 13. Stock-based Compensation - continued

Restricted Stock

Restricted stock awards are granted at the average of the high and low market price of our common shares on the NYSE on the date of grant and generally vest over four years. Restricted stock awards granted in 2010, 2009 and 2008 had a fair value of \$3,922,000, \$496,000 and \$595,000, respectively. Compensation expense related to restricted stock awards is recognized on a straight-line basis over the vesting period. During the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, we recognized \$1,432,000, \$2,063,000 and \$3,201,000 of compensation expense, respectively, for the portion of restricted stock awards that vested during each year. The fair value of restricted stock that vested during the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 was \$2,186,000, \$3,272,000 and \$4,472,000, respectively. As of December 31, 2010, there was \$4,419,000 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested restricted stock, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.1 years. Dividends paid on unvested restricted stock are charged directly to retained earnings and amounted to \$115,000, \$161,000 and \$308,000 for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Below is a summary of our restricted stock activity under the Plan for the year ended December 31, 2010.

		W	eighted-Average Grant-Date	
Non-vested Shares	Shares	Fair Value		
Non-vested at January 1,				
2010	55,618	\$	76.69	
Granted	48,682		80.55	
Vested	(27,795)		78.08	
Forfeited	(957)		82.12	
Non-vested at December				
31, 2010	75,548		78.60	

OP Units are granted at the average of the high and low market price of our common shares on the NYSE on the date of grant, vest ratably over four years and are subject to a taxable book-up event, as defined. OP Units granted in 2010, 2009 and 2008 had a fair value of \$31,437,000, \$10,691,000, and \$7,167,000, respectively. Compensation expense related to OP Units is recognized ratably over the vesting period using a graded vesting attribution model. During the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, we recognized \$20,204,000, \$8,347,000, and \$6,257,000, of compensation expense, respectively, for the portion of OP Units that vested during last year. The fair value of OP Units that vested during the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 was \$14,087,000, \$4,020,000 and \$1,952,000, respectively. As of December 31, 2010, there was \$18,138,000 of total remaining unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested OP units, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.6 years. Distributions paid on unvested OP Units are charged to "net income attributable to noncontrolling interests" on our consolidated statements of income and amounted to \$2,285,000, \$1,583,000, and \$938,000 in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Below is a summary of restricted OP unit activity under the Plan for the year ended December 31, 2010.

	<b>T</b> T •	Weighted-Average Grant-Date			
Non-Vested Units	Units		Fair Value		
Non-vested at January 1,					
2010	508,080	\$	46.55		
Granted	461,865		68.07		
Vested	(247,333)		56.96		
Forfeited	(2,155)		42.20		
Non-vested at December					
31, 2010	720,457		56.78		

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

#### 14. Fee and Other Income

The following table sets forth the details of our fee and other income:

(Amounts in thousands)	For the Years Ended December 31,							
	2010		2009		2008			
Tenant cleaning fees	\$	58,053	\$	53,824	\$	56,416		
Management and leasing fees		20,117		11,456		13,397		
Lease termination fees		14,826		4,888		8,465		
Other income		54,926		87,144 (1)		48,538		
	\$	147,922	\$	157,312	\$	126,816		

In December 2009, an agreement to sell an 8.6 acre parcel of land in the Pentagon City area of
(1) Arlington, Virginia, was terminated and
we recognized \$27,089 of income representing the buyer's non-refundable purchase deposit, which is
included in other income.

Fee and other income above includes management fee income from Interstate Properties, a related party, of \$815,000, \$782,000, and \$803,000 for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively. The above table excludes fee income from partially owned entities which is included in income from partially owned entities (see Note 5 – Investments in Partially Owned Entities).

#### 15. Interest and Other Investment Income (Loss), Net

The following table sets forth the details of our interest and other investment income (loss):

(Amounts in thousands)

For the Year Ended December 31, 2010 2009 2008

Income (loss) from the mark-to-market of derivative			
positions in marketable equity securities	\$ 130,153	\$ -	\$ (33,602)
Mezzanine loans receivable loss reversal (accrual)	53,100	(190,738)	10,300
Dividends and interest on marketable securities	25,772	25,908	24,658
Interest on mezzanine loans	10,319	32,181	44,721
Mark-to-market of investments in our deferred			
compensation plan (1)	8,049	9,506	(14,204)
Impairment losses on marketable equity securities	-	(3,361)	(76,742)
Other, net	7,922	10,154	42,122
	\$ 235,315	\$ (116,350)	\$ (2,747)

\_\_\_\_

<sup>(1)</sup> This income (loss) is entirely offset by the expense (income) resulting from the mark-to-market of the deferred compensation plan liability, which is included in "general and administrative" expense.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

#### 16. Income Per Share

The following table provides a reconciliation of both net income and the number of common shares used in the computation of (i) basic income per common share - which utilizes the weighted average number of common shares outstanding without regard to dilutive potential common shares, and (ii) diluted income per common share - which includes the weighted average common shares and potentially dilutive share equivalents. Potentially dilutive share equivalents include our Series A convertible preferred shares, employee stock options, restricted stock and exchangeable senior debentures due 2025.

(Amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)	Year	Ended December 3	81,
	2010	2009	2008
Numerator:			
Income from continuing operations, net of			
income attributable to noncontrolling interests	¢ ((0.200	¢ (0.117	¢ 104.462
(I ass) income from discontinued energians	\$ 668,289	\$ 69,117	\$ 194,462
(Loss) income from discontinued operations,			
net of income attributable to noncontrolling	(20, 406)	27.052	164.025
interests	(20,406)	37,052	164,835
Net income attributable to Vornado	647,883	106,169	359,297
Preferred share dividends	(55,534)	(57,076)	(57,091)
Discount on preferred share redemptions	4,382	-	-
Net income attributable to common			
shareholders	596,731	49,093	302,206
Earnings allocated to unvested participating			
securities	(120)	(184)	(328)
Numerator for basic income per share	596,611	48,909	301,878
Impact of assumed conversions:			
Convertible preferred share dividends			
	160	<del>-</del>	-
Numerator for diluted income per share	\$ 596,771	\$ 48,909	\$ 301,878
Denominator:			
Denominator for basic income per share –			
weighted average shares	182,340	171,595	153,900
Effect of dilutive securities <sup>(1)</sup> :	,	,	,
Employee stock options and			
restricted share awards	1,748	1,908	4,219
Convertible preferred shares	71	-,	-
2011. 21.1012 p.121212 31.1123	. 1		

184,159		1	173,503	1	58,119
\$	3.38	\$	0.07	\$	0.89
	(0.11)		0.21		1.07
\$	3.27	\$	0.28	\$	1.96
\$	3.35	\$	0.07	\$	0.87
	(0.11)		0.21		1.04
\$	3.24	\$	0.28	\$	1.91
	\$ \$ \$	\$ 3.38 (0.11) \$ 3.27 \$ 3.35 (0.11)	\$ 3.38 \$ (0.11) \$ 3.27 \$ \$ (0.11)	\$ 3.38 \$ 0.07 (0.11) 0.21 \$ 3.27 \$ 0.28 \$ 3.35 \$ 0.07 (0.11) 0.21	\$ 3.38 \$ 0.07 \$ \$ (0.11) \$ 0.21 \$ \$ 3.27 \$ 0.28 \$ \$ \$ (0.11) \$ 0.21

(1) The effect of dilutive securities in the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 excludes an aggregate of 19,684, 21,276 and 25,501 weighted average common share equivalents, respectively, as their effect was anti-dilutive.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

### 17. Comprehensive Income

(Amounts in thousands)	For the Years Ended December 31,								
	2	2010	2	009	2	2008			
Net income	\$	708,031	\$	128,450	\$	411,445			
Other comprehensive income (loss)		45,004		35,348		(36,671)			
Comprehensive income		753,035		163,798		374,774			
Less: Comprehensive income attributable to									
noncontrolling interests		63,343		25,144		48,701			
Comprehensive income attributable to Vornado	\$	689,692	\$	138,654	\$	326,073			

Substantially all of other comprehensive income for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 relates to income from the mark-to-market of marketable securities classified as available-for-sale and our share of other comprehensive income or loss of partially owned entities.

#### 18. Leases

As lessor:

We lease space to tenants under operating leases. Most of the leases provide for the payment of fixed base rentals payable monthly in advance. Office building leases generally require the tenants to reimburse us for operating costs and real estate taxes above their base year costs. Shopping center leases provide for pass-through to tenants the tenant's share of real estate taxes, insurance and maintenance. Shopping center leases also provide for the payment by the lessee of additional rent based on a percentage of the tenants' sales. As of December 31, 2010, future base rental revenue under non-cancelable operating leases, excluding rents for leases with an original term of less than one year and rents resulting from the exercise of renewal options, is as follows:

(Amounts in thousands)

**Year Ending December 31:** 

2011 \$ 1,872,000 2012 \$ 1,693,000

2013	1,568,000
2014	1,446,000
2015	1,258,000
Thereafter	6,206,000

These amounts do not include rentals based on tenants' sales. These percentage rents approximated \$8,534,000, \$9,051,000 and \$7,322,000, for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

None of our tenants accounted for more than 10% of total revenues in any of the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008.

#### Former Bradlees Locations

Pursuant to the Master Agreement and Guaranty, dated May 1, 1992, we are due \$5,000,000 per annum of additional rent from Stop & Shop which was allocated to certain Bradlees former locations. On December 31, 2002, prior to the expiration of the leases to which the additional rent was allocated, we reallocated this rent to other former Bradlees leases also guaranteed by Stop & Shop. Stop & Shop is contesting our right to reallocate and claims that we are no longer entitled to the additional rent. At December 31, 2010, we were due an aggregate of \$40,417,000. We believe the additional rent provision of the guaranty expires, at the earliest, in 2012, and we are vigorously contesting Stop & Shop's position.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

#### 18. Leases - continued

As lessee:

We are a tenant under operating leases for certain properties. These leases have terms that expire during the next thirty years. Future minimum lease payments under operating leases at December 31, 2010 are as follows:

(Amounts in thousands)	
Year Ending December 31:	
2011	\$ 30,564
2012	31,072
2013	31,254
2014	31,575
2015	30,230
Thereafter	1.061.662

Rent expense was \$36,872,000, \$35,463,000 and \$29,320,000 for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

We are also a lessee under capital leases for real estate. Lease terms generally range from 5-20 years with renewal or purchase options. Capitalized leases are recorded at the present value of future minimum lease payments or the fair market value of the property. Capitalized leases are depreciated on a straight-line basis over the estimated life of the asset or life of the related lease, whichever is shorter. Amortization expense on capital leases is included in "depreciation and amortization" on our consolidated statements of income. As of December 31, 2010, future minimum lease payments under capital leases are as follows:

Year Ending December 31:									
\$	706								
	707								
	706								
	\$								

(Amounts in thousands)

2014

2015	706
Thereafter	16,721
Total minimum obligations	20,253
Interest portion	(13,539)
Present value of net minimum payments	\$ 6,714

At December 31, 2010 and 2009, \$6,714,000 and \$6,753,000, respectively, representing the present value of net minimum payments are included in "Other Liabilities" on our consolidated balance sheets. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, property leased under capital leases had a total cost of \$6,216,000 and \$6,216,000, respectively, and accumulated depreciation of \$2,029,000 and \$1,873,000, respectively.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

### 19. Commitments and Contingencies

Insurance

We maintain general liability insurance with limits of \$300,000,000 per occurrence and all risk property and rental value insurance with limits of \$2.0 billion per occurrence, including coverage for terrorist acts, with sub-limits for certain perils such as floods. Our California properties have earthquake insurance with coverage of \$150,000,000 per occurrence, subject to a deductible in the amount of 5% of the value of the affected property, up to a \$150,000,000 annual aggregate.

Penn Plaza Insurance Company, LLC ("PPIC"), our wholly owned consolidated subsidiary, acts as a re-insurer with respect to a portion of our earthquake insurance coverage and as a direct insurer for coverage for acts of terrorism, including nuclear, biological, chemical and radiological ("NBCR") acts, as defined by TRIPRA. Coverage for acts of terrorism (excluding NBCR acts) is fully reinsured by third party insurance companies and the Federal government with no exposure to PPIC. Our coverage for NBCR losses is up to \$2 billion per occurrence, for which PPIC is responsible for a deductible of \$3,200,000 and 15% of the balance of a covered loss and the Federal government is responsible for the remaining 85% of a covered loss. We are ultimately responsible for any loss borne by PPIC.

We continue to monitor the state of the insurance market and the scope and costs of coverage for acts of terrorism. However, we cannot anticipate what coverage will be available on commercially reasonable terms in future policy years.

Our debt instruments, consisting of mortgage loans secured by our properties which are non-recourse to us, senior unsecured notes, exchangeable senior debentures, convertible senior debentures and revolving credit agreements contain customary covenants requiring us to maintain insurance. Although we believe that we have adequate insurance coverage for purposes of these agreements, we may not be able to obtain an equivalent amount of coverage at reasonable costs in the future. Further, if lenders insist on greater coverage than we are able to obtain it could adversely affect our ability to finance our properties and expand our portfolio.

Other Commitments and Contingencies

Our mortgage loans are non-recourse to us. However, in certain cases we have provided guarantees or master leased tenant space. These guarantees and master leases terminate either upon the satisfaction of specified circumstances or repayment of the underlying loans. As of December 31, 2010, the aggregate dollar amount of these guarantees and master leases is approximately \$263,178,000.

At December 31, 2010, \$12,198,000 of letters of credit were outstanding under one of our revolving credit facilities. Our credit facilities contain financial covenants that require us to maintain minimum interest coverage and maximum debt to market capitalization ratios, and provide for higher interest rates in the event of a decline in our ratings below Baa3/BBB. Our credit facilities also contain customary conditions precedent to borrowing, including representations and warranties and also contain customary events of default that could give rise to accelerated repayment, including such items as failure to pay interest or principal.

Each of our properties has been subjected to varying degrees of environmental assessment at various times. The environmental assessments did not reveal any material environmental contamination. However, there can be no assurance that the identification of new areas of contamination, changes in the extent or known scope of contamination, the discovery of additional sites, or changes in cleanup requirements would not result in significant costs to us.

We are committed to fund additional capital to certain of our partially owned entities aggregating approximately \$199,953,000, of which \$146,622,000 is committed to our real estate Fund. In addition, we have agreed in principle to contribute up to \$52,000,000 to a new investment management fund which will be managed by LNR.

As part of the process of obtaining the required approvals to demolish and develop our 220 Central Park South property into a new residential tower, we have committed to fund the estimated project cost of approximately \$400,000,000 to \$425,000,000.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

### 19. Commitments and Contingencies - continued

During 2010, we entered into agreements with Cuyahoga County, Ohio (the "County") to develop and operate the Cleveland Medical Mart and Convention Center (the "Facility"), a 1,000,000 square foot showroom, trade show and conference center in Cleveland's central business district. The County will fund the development of the Facility, using proceeds from the issuance of general obligation bonds and other sources, up to the development budget of \$465,000,000 and maintain effective control of the property. During the 17-year development and operating period, we will receive net settled payments of approximately \$10,000,000 per year, which is net of our \$36,000,000 annual obligation to the County. Our obligation has been pledged by the County to the bondholders, but is payable by us only to the extent that we first receive at least an equal payment from the County. We engaged a contractor to construct the Facility pursuant to a guaranteed maximum price contract. Although we are ultimately responsible for cost overruns, the contractor is responsible for all costs incurred in excess of its contract and has provided a completion guaranty. Construction of the Facility is expected to be completed in 2013. Subsequent thereto, we are required to fund \$11,500,000, primarily for tenant improvements, are responsible for all operating expenses and are entitled to the net operating income, if any, of the Facility. The County may terminate the operating agreement five years from the completion of development and periodically thereafter, if we fail to achieve certain performance thresholds. We plan to account for these agreements using criteria set forth in ASC 605-25, Multiple-Element Arrangements, as we are providing development, marketing, leasing, and other property management related services over the 17-year term. We plan to recognize development fees using the percentage of completion method of accounting.

Litigation

We are from time to time involved in legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. In our opinion, after consultation with legal counsel, the outcome of such matters, including the matters referred to below, are not expected to have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

On January 8, 2003, Stop & Shop filed a complaint with the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey ("USDC-NJ") claiming that we had no right to reallocate and therefore continue to collect the \$5,000,000 of annual rent from Stop & Shop pursuant to the Master Agreement and Guaranty, because of the expiration of the East Brunswick, Jersey City, Middletown, Union and Woodbridge leases to which the \$5,000,000 of additional rent was previously allocated. Stop & Shop asserted that a prior order of the Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York dated February 6, 2001, as modified on appeal to the District Court for the Southern District of New York on February

13, 2001, froze our right to reallocate which effectively terminated our right to collect the additional rent from Stop & Shop. On March 3, 2003, after we moved to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction, Stop & Shop voluntarily withdrew its complaint. On March 26, 2003, Stop & Shop filed a new complaint in New York State Supreme Court, asserting substantially the same claims as in its USDC-NJ complaint. We removed the action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. In January 2005 that court remanded the action to the New York State Supreme Court. On February 14, 2005, we served an answer in which we asserted a counterclaim seeking a judgment for all the unpaid additional rent accruing through the date of the judgment and a declaration that Stop & Shop will continue to be liable for the additional rent as long as any of the leases subject to the Master Agreement and Guaranty remain in effect. On May 17, 2005, we filed a motion for summary judgment. On July 15, 2005, Stop & Shop opposed our motion and filed a cross-motion for summary judgment. On December 13, 2005, the Court issued its decision denying the motions for summary judgment. Both parties appealed the Court's decision and on December 14, 2006, the Appellate Court division issued a decision affirming the Court's decision. On January 16, 2007, we filed a motion for the reconsideration of one aspect of the Appellate Court's decision which was denied on March 13, 2007. Discovery is complete and a trial was held in November 2010, with closing arguments expected in March 2011. We intend to continue to vigorously pursue our claims against Stop & Shop.

In July 2005, we acquired H Street Building Corporation ("H Street") which has a subsidiary that owns, among other things, a 50% tenancy in common interest in land located in Arlington County, Virginia, known as "Pentagon Row," leased to two tenants, Street Retail, Inc. and Post Apartment Homes, L.P. In April 2007, H Street acquired the remaining 50% interest in that fee. On September 25, 2008, both tenants filed suit against us and the former owners claiming the right of first offer to purchase the fee interest, damages in excess of \$75,000,000 and punitive damages. In April 2010, the Trial Court entered judgment in favor of the tenants, that we sell the land to the tenants for a net sales price of \$14,992,000, representing the Trial Court's allocation of our purchase price for H Street. The request for damages and punitive damages was denied. As a result of the Trial Court's decision, we recorded a \$10,056,000 loss accrual in the first quarter of 2010. We filed a motion to appeal the Trial Court's decision, which the appeals court refused to hear. Accordingly, in the fourth quarter of 2010, we sold the property to the tenants for \$14,992,000 in cash (our reduced carrying amount) and reclassified the results of operations of this property to "(loss) income from discontinued operations," and the related assets and liabilities to "assets related to discontinued operations" for all periods presented in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

20. Related Party Transactions
Transactions with Affiliates and Officers and Trustees
Alexander's
We own 32.4% of Alexander's. Steven Roth, the Chairman of our Board, and Michael D. Fascitelli, our President and Chief Executive Officer, are officers and directors of Alexander's. We provide various services to Alexander's in accordance with management, development and leasing agreements. These agreements are described in Note 5 - Investments in Partially Owned Entities.
On March 2, 2009, Mr. Roth and Mr. Fascitelli each exercised 150,000 stock appreciation rights which were scheduled to expire on March 4, 2009 and each received gross proceeds of \$11,419,000.
Interstate Properties ("Interstate")
Interstate is a general partnership in which Mr. Roth is the managing general partner. David Mandelbaum and Russell B. Wight, Jr., Trustees of Vornado and Directors of Alexander's, are Interstate's two other partners. As of December 31, 2010, Interstate and its partners beneficially owned approximately 7.0% of the common shares of beneficial interest of Vornado and 27.2% of Alexander's common stock.

We manage and lease the real estate assets of Interstate pursuant to a management agreement for which we receive an annual fee equal to 4% of annual base rent and percentage rent. The management agreement has a term of one year and is automatically renewable unless terminated by either of the parties on 60 days' notice at the end of the term. We believe, based upon comparable fees charged by other real estate companies, that the management agreement terms

are fair to us. We earned \$815,000, \$782,000, and \$803,000 of management fees under the agreement for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

## 21. Summary of Quarterly Results (Unaudited)

The following summary represents the results of operations for each quarter in 2010 and 2009:

to Comm Sharehol		
Revenues (1)	Basic	Diluted
(Amounts in thousands, except per share amounts) 2010		
December 31 \$ 712,957 \$ 24.5 September 30 697,467 9.5 June 30 683,989 57	5,192 0. 7,840 0.	33 \$ 1.31 52 0.52 32 0.31 10 1.09
September 30 661,331 120 June 30 661,207 (51	,904) (0.3	71 0.70

<sup>(1)</sup> Fluctuations among quarters resulted primarily from non-cash impairment losses, mark-to-market of derivative instruments, net gains on sale of real estate and from seasonality of business operations.

<sup>(2)</sup> The total for the year may differ from the sum of the quarters as a result of weighting.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

# 22. Segment Information

The financial information summarized below is presented by reportable operating segment, consistent with how we review and manage our businesses.

(Amounts in thousands)										
<b>D</b>	Total	New York Office	DC Office	Retail	Merchandise Mart	Toys	Other <sup>(3)</sup>			
Property rentals \$ Straight-line rent	2,129,284	\$ 775,142	\$ 566,041	\$ 398,489	\$ 219,882	\$ -	\$ 169,730			
adjustments Amortization of acquired below- market	75,871	34,212	5,849	29,079	2,756	-	3,975			
leases, net	66,202	36,081	2,326	22,213	(75)	-	5,657			
Total rentals	2,271,357	845,435	574,216	449,781	222,563	-	179,362			
Tenant expense reimbursements Fee and other	360,448	137,624	51,963	145,905	13,998	-	10,958			
income: Tenant cleaning fees Management and	58,053	88,664	-	-	-	-	(30,611)			
leasing fees Lease	20,117	6,192	15,934	1,029	156	-	(3,194)			
termination fees	14,826	4,270	1,148	7,641	467	-	1,300			

		0					
Other	54,926	22,283	21,427	4,172	3,904	-	3,140
Total revenues	2,779,727	1,104,468	664,688	608,528	241,088	_	160,955
Operating expenses Depreciation	1,099,478	470,177	213,935	224,340	125,863	-	65,163
and amortization General and	530,704	176,931	142,720	110,416	46,155	-	54,482
administrative  Impairment	214,225	18,621	25,464	29,610	26,953	-	113,577
losses and acquisition							
costs Total	129,458	-	-	72,500	20,000	-	36,958
expenses Operating	1,973,865	665,729	382,119	436,866	218,971	-	270,180
income (loss) Income	805,862	438,739	282,569	171,662	22,117	-	(109,225)
applicable to Toys Income (loss) from	71,624	-	-	-	-	71,624	-
partially owned							
entities (Loss) from	22,438	(6,354)	(564)	9,401	(179)	-	20,134
Real Estate Fund Interest and other investment	(303)	-	-	-	-	-	(303)
income, net Interest and debt	235,315	608	157	180	47	-	234,323
expense Net gain (loss) on early extinguishment	(560,270)	(132,279)	(130,540)	(85,281)	(37,932)	-	(174,238)
of debt Net gain on disposition	94,789	-	-	105,571	-	-	(10,782)
of wholly owned and	81,432	-	54,742	-	765	-	25,925

partially owned assets Income (loss) before income							
taxes Income tax	750,887	300,714	206,364	201,533	(15,182)	71,624	(14,166)
expense Income (loss) from continuing	(22,476)	(2,167)	(1,816)	(37)	(173)	-	(18,283)
operations (Loss) from	728,411	298,547	204,548	201,496	(15,355)	71,624	(32,449)
discontinued operations Net income	(20,380)	-	(4,481)	(2,637)	(13,262)	-	-
(loss) Net	708,031	298,547	200,067	198,859	(28,617)	71,624	(32,449)
(income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests in consolidated subsidiaries  Net (income) attributable to noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership, including unit	(4,920)	(9,559)	-	(778)	-		5,417
distributions  Net income (loss) attributable	(55,228)	-	-	-	-	-	(55,228)
to Vornado Interest and	647,883	288,988	200,067	198,081	(28,617)	71,624	(82,260)
debt expense <sup>(2)</sup>	828,082 729,426	126,209 170,505	136,174 159,283	92,653 114,335	61,379 51,064	177,272 131,284	234,395 102,955

Depreciation and amortization <sup>(2)</sup> Income tax (benefit) expense <sup>(2)</sup> EBITDA <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ (23,036) 2,182,355	\$	2,167 587,869	\$	2,027 497,551	\$	37 405,106	\$	232 84,058	(45,418) \$ 334,762	\$ 17,919 273,009
Balance Sheet Data:											
Real estate at cost Investments in partially owned	\$ 17,674,922	\$ 5	5,522,291	\$ 4	4,237,438	\$ 4	4,891,526	\$ 1	,131,528	\$ -	\$ 1,892,139
entities	1,375,006		97,743		149,295		11,831		4,183	447,334	664,620
Total assets	20,517,471		5,743,781	3	3,872,209	2	4,284,871	1	,435,714	447,334	4,733,562
See notes on page 166.											

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

# 22. Segment Information – continued

(Amounts in thousands)			For the Year F Washington,	Ended Decemb	per 31, 2009		
	Total	New York Office	DC Office	Retail	Merchandise Mart	Toys	Other <sup>(3)</sup>
Property rentals \$ Straight-line rent	2,021,072	\$ 758,557	\$ 526,683	\$ 362,689	\$ 213,911	\$ -	\$ 159,232
adjustments Amortization of acquired below- market leases,	89,168	36,805	22,683	27,104	2,107	-	469
net	71,954	40,129	3,452	22,993	89	-	5,291
Total rentals	2,182,194	835,491	552,818	412,786	216,107	_	164,992
Tenant expense reimbursements	357,186	136,541	60,620	134,670	15,517	-	9,838
Fee and other income: Tenant							
cleaning fees Management and	53,824	75,549	-	-	-	-	(21,725)
leasing fees Lease termination	11,456	4,211	8,183	1,731	88	-	(2,757)
fees	4,888	1,840	2,224	464	221	_	139
Other	87,144	18,868	47,745	2,619	9,458	-	8,454

Edgar Filing: VORNADO REALTY TRUST - Form 10-K

Total revenues Operating	2,696,692	1,072,500	671,590	552,270	241,391	-	158,941
expenses Depreciation and	1,067,229	452,370	220,333	204,224	125,602	-	64,700
amortization General and	531,637	173,923	142,415	101,353	51,064	-	62,882
administrative	231,010	22,820	26,205	30,339	31,017	-	120,629
Impairment losses and acquisition							
costs Total	75,963	-	24,875	11,789	-	-	39,299
expenses Operating income	1,905,839	649,113	413,828	347,705	207,683	-	287,510
(loss) Income	790,853	423,387	257,762	204,565	33,708	-	(128,569)
applicable to Toys (Loss)	92,300	-	-	-	-	92,300	-
income from partially owned	(10.010)	5.017	4.050	4.700	151		(05.456)
entities Interest and other investment	(19,910)	5,817	4,850	4,728	151	-	(35,456)
(loss) income,							
net Interest and	(116,350)	876	786	69	95	-	(118,176)
debt expense Net (loss)	(617,994)	(133,647)	(128,039)	(89,070)	(38,009)	-	(229,229)
gain on early extinguishment							
of debt Net gain on disposition of wholly owned and partially	(25,915)	-	-	769	-	-	(26,684)
owned assets	5,641	-	-	-	-	-	5,641
Income (loss) before	108,625	296,433	135,359	121,061	(4,055)	92,300	(532,473)

income taxes Income tax expense Income (loss) from	(20,642)	(1,332)	(1,482)	(319)	(2,140)	-	(15,369)
continuing operations	87,983	295,101	133,877	120,742	(6,195)	92,300	(547,842)
Income (loss) from discontinued							
operations Net income	40,467	-	52,308	(6,791)	(5,050)	-	-
(loss) Net loss (income) attributable	128,450	295,101	186,185	113,951	(11,245)	92,300	(547,842)
to noncontrolling interests in							
consolidated subsidiaries	2,839	(9,098)	-	915	-	-	11,022
Net (income) attributable to noncontrolling interests in the Operating							
Partnership, including unit distributions	(25,120)	_	_	_	-	<u>-</u>	(25,120)
Net income (loss) attributable to	` ' '						· · · · · ·
Vornado Interest and debt	106,169	286,003	186,185	114,866	(11,245)	92,300	(561,940)
expense <sup>(2)</sup> Depreciation	826,827	126,968	132,610	95,990	52,862	127,390	291,007
and amortization <sup>(2)</sup> Income tax	728,815	168,517	152,747	105,903	56,702	132,227	112,719
expense (benefit) <sup>(2)</sup>	10,193	1,332	1,590	319	2,208	(13,185)	17,929

EBITDA <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 1,672,004	\$ 582,820	\$ 473,132	\$ 317,078	\$ 100,527	\$ 338,732	\$ (140,285)
Balance Sheet Data:							
Real estate at cost Investments in partially owned	\$ 17,574,245	\$ 5,438,655	\$ 4,593,749	\$ 4,626,178	\$ 1,146,997	\$ -	\$ 1,768,666
entities	1,209,285	128,961	119,182	22,955	6,520	409,453	522,214
Total assets	20,185,472	5,538,362	4,138,752	3,511,987	1,455,000	409,453	5,131,918
See notes on							

164

page 166.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

# 22. Segment Information – continued

(Amounts in thousands)			For the Year I Washington,	Ended Decemb	per 31, 2008		
	Total	New York Office	DC Office	Retail	Merchandise Mart	Toys	Other <sup>(3)</sup>
Property rentals \$ Straight-line rent	1,975,838	\$ 722,445	\$ 497,735	\$ 342,714	\$ 215,854	\$ -	\$ 197,090
adjustments Amortization of acquired below- market leases,	88,703	42,766	15,720	20,384	8,516	-	1,317
net	95,532	60,355	3,998	26,546	161	-	4,472
Total rentals	2,160,073	825,566	517,453	389,644	224,531	-	202,879
Tenant expense reimbursements	353,602	135,788	57,793	127,903	18,055	-	14,063
Fee and other income: Tenant cleaning							
fees Management and leasing	56,416	71,833	-	-	-	-	(15,417)
fees Lease termination	13,397	6,411	8,940	1,673	349	-	(3,976)
fees	8,465	3,088	2,635	2,281	461	-	-
Other	48,538	15,699	22,350	2,543	6,811	-	1,135

Edgar Filing: VORNADO REALTY TRUST - Form 10-K

Total							
Total revenues Operating	2,640,491	1,058,385	609,171	524,044	250,207	-	198,684
expenses Depreciation and	1,048,537	439,012	211,687	198,802	127,437	-	71,599
amortization General and	529,134	190,925	135,351	90,974	46,823	-	65,061
administrative	193,969	20,217	26,522	29,836	29,252	-	88,142
Impairment losses and acquisition							
costs Total	81,447	-	-	595	-	-	80,852
expenses Operating income	1,853,087	650,154	373,560	320,207	203,512	-	305,654
(loss) Income	787,404	408,231	235,611	203,837	46,695	-	(106,970)
applicable to Toys (Loss) income from	2,380	-	-	-	-	2,380	-
partially owned entities Interest and other investment	(159,207)	6,082	6,173	10,371	1,106	-	(182,939)
(loss) income, net	(2,747)	2,288	2,108	464	329	-	(7,936)
Interest and debt expense	(619,531)	(139,146)	(125,141)	(85,895)	(38,214)	-	(231,135)
Net gain on early extinguishment							
of debt Net gain on disposition of wholly owned and partially owned	9,820	-	-	-	-	-	9,820
assets Income (loss) before income	7,757 25,876	277,455	118,751	128,777	9,916	2,380	7,757 (511,403)

taxes Income tax benefit (expense) Income (loss) from continuing operations	204,644	-	221,080	(82)	(1,206)	2 220	(15,148)
Income from discontinued	230,520	277,455	339,831	128,695	8,710	2,380	(526,551)
operations Net income	180,925	-	64,849	3,001	1,163	-	111,912
(loss) Net loss (income) attributable to noncontrolling interests in	411,445	277,455	404,680	131,696	9,873	2,380	(414,639)
consolidated subsidiaries	3,263	(4,762)	-	157	(125)	_	7,993
Net (income) attributable to noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership, including unit distributions	(55,411)	_	_	_	<u>-</u>	_	(55,411)
Net income (loss) attributable to	(55,411)		_		_		(55,411)
Vornado Interest and debt	359,297	272,693	404,680	131,853	9,748	2,380	(462,057)
expense <sup>(2)</sup> Depreciation	821,940	132,406	130,310	102,600	53,072	147,812	255,740
amortization <sup>(2)</sup> Income tax (benefit)	710,526	181,699	143,989	98,238	52,357	136,634	97,609
expense <sup>(2)</sup> EBITDA <sup>(1)</sup> \$	(142,415) 1,749,348	\$ 586,798	\$ (220,965) 458,014	\$ 82 332,773	\$ 1,260 116,437	59,652 \$ 346,478	\$ 17,556 (91,152)

# **Balance Sheet Data:**

Real estate at cost	\$ 17,432,906	\$ 5,362,129	\$ 4,443,887	\$ 4,469,378	\$ 1,149,357	\$ -	\$ 2,008,155
Investments							
in partially							
owned							
entities	1,083,250	129,934	115,121	20,079	6,969	293,096	518,051
Total assets	21,418,048	5,287,544	3,934,039	3,733,586	1,468,470	293,096	6,701,313

See notes on the following page.

#### **VORNADO REALTY TRUST**

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

#### 22. Segment Information - continued

(2)

(3)

#### **Notes to preceding tabular information:**

EBITDA represents "Earnings Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization." We consider EBITDA a supplemental measure for making decisions and assessing the unlevered performance of our segments as it relates to the total return on assets as opposed to the levered return on equity. As properties are bought and sold based on a multiple of EBITDA, we utilize this measure to make investment decisions as well as to compare the

performance of our assets to that of our peers. EBITDA should not be considered a substitute for net income. EBITDA may not be comparable to similarly titled measures employed by other companies.

Interest and debt expense, depreciation and amortization and income tax expense in the reconciliation of net income (loss) to EBITDA includes our share of these items from partially owned entities.

The components of other EBITDA are summarized below. The totals for each of the columns below agree to the total EBITDA for the "other" column in the preceding EBITDA by segment reconciliations.

(Amounts in thousands)	For the Year Ended December 31,						
	2010	2009	2008				
Alexander's	\$ 57,425	\$ 81,703	\$ 64,683				
Lexington	55,304	50,024	35,150				
555 California Street	46,782	44,757	48,316				
Hotel Pennsylvania	23,763	15,108	42,269				
LNR (acquired in July 2010)	6,116	-	-				
Industrial warehouses	2,528	4,737	5,264				
Other investments	31,587	6,981	6,321				
	223,505	203,310	202,003				
Corporate general and administrative expenses (1)	(90,343)	(79,843)	(91,967)				
Investment income and other, net (1)	65,499	78,593	109,519				
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests in							
the Operating Partnership,							
including unit distributions	(55,228)	(25,120)	(55,411)				

Income (loss) from the mark-to-market of derivative positions in marketable equity securities 130,153 (33,740)Net (loss) gain on early extinguishment of debt (10,782)(26,684)Real Estate Fund organization costs (5,937)Non-cash mezzanine loans receivable loss (accrual) reversal 53,100 10,300 (190,738)Non-cash asset write-downs: Investment in Lexington (107,882)(19,121)Marketable equity securities (76,352)(3,361)Real estate - primarily development projects: Wholly owned entities (including acquisition costs) (36,958)(39,299)(80,852)Partially owned entities (17,820)(96,037)Write-off of unamortized costs from the voluntary surrender of equity awards (20,202)Discontinued operations of Americold (including a \$112,690 net gain on sale) 129,267 \$ 273,009 \$ (140,285) (91,152)

The amounts in these captions (for this table only) exclude the mark-to-market of our deferred compensation plan assets and offsetting liability.

#### VORNADO REALTY TRUST

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

item 9. changes in and disagreements with accountants on accounting and financial disclosure

None.

#### Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures: Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rule 13a 15 (e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) as of the end of the period covered by this annual report on Form 10-K. Based on such evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of the end of such period, our disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting: There have not been any changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) during the fourth quarter of the fiscal year to which this report relates that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

#### Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Management of Vornado Realty Trust, together with its consolidated subsidiaries (the "Company"), is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Our internal control over financial reporting is a process designed under the supervision of our principal executive and principal financial officers to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of our financial statements for external reporting purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

As of December 31, 2010, management conducted an assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework established in *Internal Control – Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on this assessment, management has determined that our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010 was effective.

Our internal control over financial reporting includes policies and procedures that pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect transactions and dispositions of assets; provide reasonable assurances that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States, and that receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and our trustees; and provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on our financial statements.

The effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010 has been audited by Deloitte & Touche LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report appearing on page 168, which expresses an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010.

#### REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Shareholders and Board of Trustees

Vornado Realty Trust

New York, New York

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of Vornado Realty Trust, together with its consolidated subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company's board of trustees, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and trustees of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedules as of and for the year ended December 31, 2010 of the Company and our report dated February 23, 2011 expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements and financial statement schedules.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Parsippany, New Jersey

February 23, 2011

#### Item 9B. Other Information

None.

#### **PART III**

#### Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

Information relating to trustees of the Registrant, including its audit committee and audit committee financial expert, will be contained in a definitive Proxy Statement involving the election of trustees under the caption "Election of Trustees" which the Registrant will file with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 not later than 120 days after December 31, 2010, and such information is incorporated herein by reference. Also incorporated herein by reference is the information under the caption "16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance" of the Proxy Statement.

The following is a list of the names, ages, principal occupations and positions with Vornado of the executive officers of Vornado and the positions held by such officers during the past five years. All executive officers of Vornado have terms of office that run until the next succeeding meeting of the Board of Trustees of Vornado following the Annual Meeting of Shareholders unless they are removed sooner by the Board.

Name	Age	PRINCIPAL OCCUPATION, POSITION AND OFFICE (Current and during past five years with Vornado unless otherwise stated)
Steven Roth	69	Chairman of the Board; Chief Executive Officer from May 1989 to May 2009; Managing General Partner of Interstate Properties, an owner of shopping centers and an investor in securities and partnerships; Chief Executive Officer of Alexander's, Inc. since March 1995, a Director since 1989,
Michael D. Fascitelli	54	and Chairman since May 2004.  Chief Executive Officer since May 2009; President and a Trustee since December 1996; President of Alexander's Inc. since August 2000 and Director since December 1996; Partner at Goldman, Sachs & Co. in charge of its real estate practice from December 1992 to December 1996; and Vice President at Goldman, Sachs & Co., prior to December 1992.
Michael J. Franco	42	

Executive Vice President - Co-Head of Acquisitions and Capital Markets since November 2010;

Managing Director (2003-2010) and Executive Director (2001-2003) of the Real Estate Investing

Group of Morgan Stanley.

David R.

Greenbaum

President of the New York City Office Division since April 1997 (date of our acquisition); President

of Mendik Realty (the predecessor to the New York Office division) from 1990 until April 1997.

Christopher President of the Merchandise Mart Division since September 2000; Executive Vice Kennedy
47 President of the Merchandise Mart Division from April 1998 to September 2000; Executive

Vice President of

Merchandise Mart Properties, Inc. from 1994 to April 1998.

Executive Vice President - Finance and Administration since January 1998 and Joseph Macnow 65 Chief Financial Officer

since March 2001; Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Company from 1985 to January

1998; Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Alexander's Inc. since August 1995.

President of Vornado/Charles E. Smith L.P. (our Washington, DC Office division)

Mitchell N. Schear 52 since April 2003;

President of the Kaempfer Company from 1998 to April 2003 (d.

President of the Kaempfer Company from 1998 to April 2003 (date acquired by us).

Executive Vice President - Co-Head of Acquisitions and Capital Markets since Wendy Silverstein 50 November 2010;

Executive Vice President of Capital Markets since 1998; Senior Credit Officer of Citicorp Real Estate

and Citibank, N.A. from 1986 to 1998.

The Registrant has adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics that applies to, among others, Michael Fascitelli, its principal executive officer, and Joseph Macnow, its principal financial and accounting officer. This Code is available on our website at www.vno.com.

#### Item 11. Executive Compensation

Information relating to executive officer and director compensation will be contained in the Proxy Statement referred to above in Item 10, "Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance," under the caption "Executive Compensation" and such information is incorporated herein by reference.

# Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

Information relating to security ownership of certain beneficial owners and management will be contained in the Proxy Statement referred to in Item 10, "Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance," under the caption "Principal Security Holders" and such information is incorporated herein by reference.

#### Equity compensation plan information

The following table provides information as of December 31, 2010 regarding our equity compensation plans.

	Number of			Number of securities remaining available for future		
	securities to be		Weighted-average	issuance		
	issued upon exercise of		exercise price of	under equity compensation plans (excluding		
	outstanding options, warrants and		outstanding options, warrants and	securities reflected in		
Plan Category	rights		rights	the second column)		
Equity compensation						
plans approved by security holders	6,596,962 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	56.89	5,942,459 <sup>(2)</sup>		
Equity compensation awards not approved by security holders	-	ψ	-	5,942,439		

Total 6,596,962 \$ 56.89 5,	,942,459
-----------------------------	----------

#### Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

Information relating to certain relationships and related transactions will be contained in the Proxy Statement referred to in Item 10, "Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance," under the caption "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions" and such information is incorporated herein by reference.

#### Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

Information relating to Principal Accounting fees and services will be contained in the Proxy Statement referred to in Item 10, "Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance," under the caption "Ratification of Selection of Independent Auditors" and such information is incorporated herein by reference.

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes 75,548 restricted common shares, 920,391 restricted Operating Partnership units and 112,143 Out-Performance Plan units which do not have an option exercise price.

<sup>(2)</sup> All of the shares available for future issuance under plans approved by the security holders may be issued as Full Value Awards or Not Full Value Awards, as defined.

#### **PART IV**

#### Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

- (a) The following documents are filed as part of this report:
- 1. The consolidated financial statements are set forth in Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The following financial statement schedules should be read in conjunction with the financial statements included in Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

	Pages in this
	<b>Annual Report</b>
	on Form 10-K
IIValuation and Qualifying Accountsyears ended December 31, 2010,	
2009 and 2008	173
IIIReal Estate and Accumulated Depreciation as of December 31, 2010	174

Schedules other than those listed above are omitted because they are not applicable or the information required is included in the consolidated financial statements or the notes thereto.

The following exhibits listed on the Exhibit Index are filed with this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Exhibit No.	
10.42	Form of Vornado Realty Trust 2010 Omnibus Share Plan Stock Option Agreement
10.43	Form of Vornado Realty Trust 2010 Omnibus share Plan Restricted LTIP Unit Agreement
10.44	Form of Vornado Realty Trust 2010 Omnibus Share Plan Restricted Stock Agreement
10.45	Letter Agreement between Vornado Realty Trust and Michelle Felman, dated
	December 21, 2010
10.46	Waiver and Release between Vornado Realty Trust and Michelle Felman, dated
	December 21, 2010
12	Computation of Ratios
21	Subsidiaries of Registrant
23	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

31.1	Rule 13a-14 (a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer
31.2	Rule 13a-14 (a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer
32.1	Section 1350 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer
32.2	Section 1350 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase

#### **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

#### **VORNADO REALTY TRUST**

(Registrant)

Date: February 23, 2011 By: /s/ Joseph Macnow

Joseph Macnow, Executive Vice President -

Finance and Administration and

Chief Financial Officer (duly authorized officer and principal financial and accounting officer)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated:

	Signature	Title	Date
Ву:	/s/Steven Roth (Steven Roth)	Chairman of the Board of Trustees	February 23, 2011
By:	/s/Michael D. Fascitelli (Michael D. Fascitelli)	President and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)	February 23, 2011
By:	/s/Candace L. Beinecke (Candace L. Beinecke)	Trustee	February 23, 2011
By:	/s/Anthony W. Deering (Anthony W. Deering)	Trustee	February 23, 2011
By:	/s/Robert P. Kogod (Robert P. Kogod)	Trustee	February 23, 2011
By:	/s/Michael Lynne (Michael Lynne)	Trustee	February 23, 2011
Ву:	/s/David Mandelbaum (David Mandelbaum)	Trustee	February 23, 2011
By:	/s/Ronald G. Targan	Trustee	February 23, 2011

(Ronald G. Targan)

By: /s/Richard R. West Trustee February 23, 2011

(Richard R. West)

By: /s/Russell B. Wight Trustee February 23, 2011

(Russell B. Wight, Jr.)

By: /s/Joseph Macnow Executive Vice President — Finance and February 23, 2011

(Joseph Macnow) Administration and Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

# SCHEDULE II VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS December 31, 2010 (Amounts in Thousands)

Column A		Column B  Balance at  Beginning  of Year		Column C Additions Charged Against Operations		Column D Uncollectible Accounts Written-off		Column E  Balance at End of Year	
Description									
	nded December 31,				•				
2010:	indea December 31,								
	Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$	242,118	\$	(23,465)	\$	(75,135)	\$	143,518
Year E 2009:	nded December 31,								
	Allowance for doubtful accounts nded December 31,	\$	85,307	\$	216,712	\$	(59,901)	\$	242,118
2008:									
	Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$	79,227	\$	20,931	\$	(14,851)	\$	85,307

#### VORNADO REALTY TRUST AND SUBSIDIARIES SCHEDULE III

#### REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

(Amounts in thousands)

COLUMN C A B		COI	LUMN C	COLUMN D	(Amounts)	COLUMN F				
		Initial cost	to company (1 Building and	) Costs capitalized subsequent to		Gross amount at which carried at close of period  Buildings  and				
<b>Description cu</b> Office Buildings <b>New</b>	ımbrances	s Land	improvement	s acquisition	Land	improvements	Total (2)	amortization		
York Manhattan 1290 Avenue of the										
Americas 350 Park	424,136	\$ 515,539	923,653	\$ 68,603	\$ 515,539	\$ 992,256	\$ 1,507,795	\$ 100,556		
Avenue One Penn	430,000	265,889	363,381	25,400	265,889	388,781	654,670	38,798		
Plaza 100 W.33rd St	-	-	412,169	148,019	-	560,188	560,188	176,108		
(Manhattar Mall) Two Penn	159,361	242,776	5 247,970	3,608	242,776	251,578	494,354	24,828		
Plaza 770	277,347	53,615	164,903	78,470	52,689	244,299	296,988	93,497		
Broadway 90 Park	353,000	52,898	95,686	73,942	52,898	169,628	222,526	55,274		
Avenue 888 Seventh	-	8,000	175,890	32,211	8,000	208,101	216,101	70,516		
Avenue	318,554	38,224	117,269 25,992	90,982 111,463	38,224	208,251 137,455	208,251 175,679	68,690 46,395		

		-	-					
640								
Fifth								
Avenue								
Eleven								
Penn	100.000	10.222	05.050	15.504	10.222	120.702	171 116	15 100
Plaza	199,320	40,333	85,259	45,524	40,333	130,783	171,116	45,132
1740 Broadway		26 071	102,890	36,891	26,971	120 781	166,752	42 261
Broadway 909	-	26,971	102,090	30,071	20,971	139,781	100,732	42,261
Third								
Avenue	207,045	_	120,723	43,689	_	164,412	164,412	43,510
150	-0.,-		<del></del>	- <del>-</del>		± ,		
East								
58th								
Street	-	39,303	80,216	28,657	39,303	108,873	148,176	37,385
595								
Madison		(2.721	<b>62</b> 000	15 500	62.721	70.200	141 110	20.061
Avenue	-	62,731	62,888	15,500	62,731	78,388	141,119	20,961
866 United								
Nations								
Plaza	44,978	32,196	37,534	10,635	32,196	48,169	80,365	18,228
20	,	- ,	/	,	- ,	,	- ,	ĺ
Broad								
Street	-	-	28,760	25,953	-	54,713	54,713	14,878
40								
Fulton		15.500	26.200	5.550	15 500	22.146	45.050	10.000
Street	-	15,732	26,388	5,758	15,732	32,146	47,878	10,999
689 Eifth								
Fifth Avenue	_	19,721	13,446	10,844	19,721	24,290	44,011	9,315
330	-	19,121	13,440	10,077	17,141	∠ <del>+</del> ,∠,0	77,011	7,515
West								
34th								
Street	-	-	8,599	12,764	-	21,363	21,363	9,426
40-42								
Thompson	ı							
Street	-	6,503	10,057	721	6,503	10,778	17,281	1,414
1540								
Broadway		4,086	8,914		4,086	8,914	13,000	1,009
Garage Other	-	4,000	5,548	65,866	4,086 36,106	35,308	71,414	3,547
Total	=	_	2,270	05,000	30,100	33,300	/ 1, 717	3,371
New								
	2,413,741	1,424,517	3,118,135	935,500	1,459,697	4,018,455	5,478,152	932,727
*** 1 *								
Washington DC	n,							
2011-2451	I							
Crystal	=							
Drive	127,720	100,935	409,920	116,865	100,228	527,492	627,720	134,079
	. ,	,	,-	-,	,	, .	,	- ,

		- 3	,		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-		
2001 Jefferson Davis Highway, 2100/2200 Crystal Drive, 223 23rd Street, 2221 South Clark Street, Crystal City Shops at 2100, 220 20th								
20th Street 1550-1750 Crystal Drive/ 241-251 18th	83,573	57,213	131,206	184,306	57,070	315,655	372,725	58,484
Street	124,883	64,817	218,330	51,019	64,652	269,514	334,166	72,441
Riverhous Apartmen Skyline Place (6		118,421	125,078	53,308	138,696	158,111	296,807	14,724
buildings) 1215, 1225 S. Clark Street/ 200, 201 12th Street	442,500	41,986	221,869	22,325	41,862	244,318	286,180	61,383
S.	93,075	47,594	177,373	25,482	47,465	202,984	250,449	52,564

**COLUMN** 

**COLUMN** 

#### VORNADO REALTY TRUST AND SUBSIDIARIES SCHEDULE III

## REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

(Amounts in thousands)

COLUMN A	B	COLUMN C		D	COLUMN E				
		Initial cost	to company (1)	Costs	Gross amount at which carried at close of period				
			Building and	capitalized subsequent to		Buildings and		dep	
Description 1800, 1851 and 1901 South Bell	Encumbrances	s Land	improvements	acquisition	Land	improvements	Total (2)	amo	
Street 1229-1231	10,099	37,551	118,806	26,418	37,551	145,224	182,775		
25th Street	95,220	67,049	5,039	105,288	68,198	109,178	177,376		
2101 L Stree 2200-2300 Courthhouse	·	32,815	51,642	80,965	39,768	125,654	165,422		
Plaza Bowen	59,278	-	105,475	26,748	-	132,223	132,223		
Building 1875 Connecticut	115,022	30,077	98,962	1,695	30,176	100,558	130,734		
Ave NW One Skyline	51,900	36,303	82,004	1,428	35,886	83,849	119,735		
Tower Reston	134,700	12,266	75,343	31,684	12,231	107,062	119,293		
Executive H Street - North 10-1D	93,000	15,424	85,722	8,150	15,380	93,916	109,296		
Land Parcel	-	104,473	55	(12,230)	87,666	4,632	92,298		
409 3rd Stree 1825 Connecticut	et -	10,719	69,658	7,229	10,719	76,887	87,606		
Ave NW	51,149	33,090	61,316	(6,211)	32,726	55,469	88,195		
Warehouses Commerce	· -	106,946	·	(23,394)	83,400		84,878		
Executive	-	13,401	58,705	13,902	13,363	72,645	86,008		
	52,314	15,826	53,894	13,803	15,826	67,697	83,523		

C

Edgar Filing: VORNADO REALTY TRUST - Form 10-K

1235 S. Clark							
Street							
Seven Skyline							
Place	100,800	10,292	58,351	(3,318)	10,262	55,063	65,325
1150 17th							
Street	28,728	23,359	24,876	14,363	24,723	37,875	62,598
Crystal City							
Hotel	-	8,000	47,191	5,556	8,000	52,747	60,747
1750							
Pennsylvania							
Avenue	45,132	20,020	30,032	1,270	21,170	30,152	51,322
1730 M Street	14,853	10,095	17,541	9,308	10,687	26,257	36,944
Democracy							
Plaza I	-	-	33,628	(75)	-	33,553	33,553
1726 M Street	-	9,450	22,062	1,686	9,455	23,743	33,198
Crystal Drive							
Retail	-	-	20,465	5,792	-	26,257	26,257
1109 South							
Capitol Street	-	11,541	178	26	11,597	148	11,745
South Capitol	-	4,009	6,273	(3,271)	-	7,011	7,011
H Street	-	1,763	641	41	1,763	682	2,445
Other	-	-	51,767	(44,150)	-	7,617	7,617
Total							
Washington,							
DC	2,133,492	1,045,435	2,464,728	716,008	1,030,520	3,195,651	4,226,171
New Jersey				22.22.4	1.022	22 201	22.22.4
Paramus	-	-	-	23,334	1,033	22,301	23,334
California							
555 California							
Street	640,911	221,903	893,324	22,853	221,903	916,177	1,138,080
511001	010,711	221,703	0,5,527	22,033	221,703	) 10,111	1,120,000
Total Office							
Buildings	5,188,144	2,691,855	6,476,187	1,697,695	2,713,153	8,152,584	10,865,737
	,	, ,	-, -,	, ,	, ,	-, - <del>-,-</del>	- , ,

#### VORNADO REALTY TRUST AND SUBSIDIARIES SCHEDULE III

#### REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

(Amounts in thousands)

					(Amounts in thousands)				
	COLUMN			COLUMN				COLUMN	
COLUMN A	В	COI	LUMN C	D	•	COLUMN F	E	F	
	]	Initial cos	st to company (1)	Costs		amount at		Accumulated	
			Building and	capitalized subsequent to		Buildings and		depreciation and	
Description Shopping Centers California	Encumbrances	Land	improvements		Land in	nprovement	s Total (2)	amortization	
Los Angeles (Beverly	\$								
Connection)	100,000	72,996	131,510	16,954	72,996	148,464	221,460	14,275	
San Jose	120,863	51,846	•	_	51,846	122,688	174,534	767	
Sacramento	, -	3,897	•	528	3,897	31,898	35,795	4,074	
Walnut Cree	ek	,	,		,	,	,	,	
(1149 S. Ma	in								
St)	-	2,699	19,930	-	2,699	19,930	22,629	2,555	
Pasadena	-	-	18,337	546	-	18,883	18,883	1,878	
San Francisc	co								
(3700 Geary	,								
Blvd)	-	11,857	4,444	27	11,857	4,471	16,328	578	
Signal Hill	-	9,652	2,940	1	9,652	2,941	12,593	313	
Walnut Cree	ek								
(1556 Moun	t								
Diablo Blvd	) -	5,909		740	5,908	741	6,649	-	
Redding	-	2,900		490	2,900	3,347	6,247	314	
Merced	-	1,725	1,907	215	1,725	2,122	3,847	289	
San Bernadino (1522 E. Highland									
Ave)	-	1,651	1,810	-	1,651	1,810	3,461	290	
Corona	-	_	3,073	-	-	3,073	3,073	493	
Vallejo	-	_	2.045	-	_	2,945	2,945	311	
,	-	1,597	•	-	1,597	1,119	2,716	180	

Edgar Filing: VORNADO REALTY TRUST - Form 10-K

San								
Bernadino								
(648 W. 4th								
St)			2.250			2.250	2.250	261
Mojave	-	-	2,250	-	-	2,250	2,250	361
Barstow	-	856	1,367	-	856	1,367	2,223	219
Colton (1904								
Ranchero						a = .		
Ave)	-	1,239	954	-	1,239	954	2,193	153
Moreno								
Valley	-	639	1,156	-	639	1,156	1,795	186
Rialto	-	434	1,173	-	434	1,173	1,607	188
Desert Hot								
Springs	-	197	1,355	-	197	1,355	1,552	217
Yucaipa	-	663	426	-	663	426	1,089	68
Riverside								
(9155 Jurupa								
Road)	-	251	783	-	251	783	1,034	126
Riverside								
(5571								
Mission Blvd)	-	209	704	-	209	704	913	113
Total								
California	220,863	171,217	355,098	19,501	171,216	374,600	545,816	27,948
Connecticut								
Waterbury	14,765	667	4,504	4,787	667	9,291	9,958	5,295
Newington	11,870	2,421	1,200	860	2,421	2,060	4,481	642
Total								
Connecticut	26,635	3,088	5,704	5,647	3,088	11,351	14,439	5,937
Florida								
Tampa (Hyde								
Park)	21,862	8,000	23,293	13,476	8,000	36,769	44,769	5,015
Tampa	-	3,651	2,388	2,134	3,650	4,523	8,173	312
Total								
Florida	21,862	11,651	25,681	15,610	11,650	41,292	52,942	5,327

#### **VORNADO REALTY TRUST** AND SUBSIDIARIES **SCHEDULE III**

## REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

(Amounts in thousands)									
COLUMN	<b>COLUMN</b>	<b>COLUMN</b>	COLUMN	<b>COLUMN</b>	<b>COLUMN</b>	<b>COLUMN</b>	<b>COLUMN</b>		
$\mathbf{A}$	В	$\mathbf{C}$	D	${f E}$	${f F}$	G	H	<b>COLUMN I</b>	
				Gross					
				amount at				Life on	
				which				which	
		Initial							
		cost to		carried at					
		company		close of					
		(1)		period				depreciation	
			Costs		Accumulated			in latest	
		Building							