

Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC  
Form 10-K/A  
February 06, 2003

=====

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

AMENDMENT NO. 2  
TO  
FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2001

OR

TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD \_\_\_\_\_ TO \_\_\_\_\_

COMMISSION FILE NUMBER 0-21743

NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  
(EXACT NAME OF ISSUER IN ITS CHARTER)

DELAWARE  
(STATE OR OTHER JURISDICTION OF  
INCORPORATION OR ORGANIZATION)

36-3680347  
(I.R.S. EMPLOYER  
IDENTIFICATION NO.)

2201 SECOND STREET, SUITE 600  
FORT MYERS, FLORIDA  
(ADDRESS OF PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICES)

33901  
(ZIP CODE)

ISSUER'S TELEPHONE NUMBER (INCLUDING AREA CODE) 941-337-3434

SECURITIES REGISTERED UNDER SECTION 12(b) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT:

TITLE OF EACH CLASS	NAME OF EACH EXCHANGE ON WHICH REGISTERED
COMMON STOCK, PAR VALUE \$.01	NASDAQ SMALL CAP MARKET

Check whether the issuer (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Check if disclosure of delinquent filers in response to Item 405 of Regulation S-X is not contained in this form, and no disclosure will be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K

Issuer's consolidated revenue for its most recent fiscal year was \$8,142,000.

## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the issuer based on the price at which shares of common stock closed on the Nasdaq SmallCap Stock Market on March 13, 2002 (\$0.29) was \$9,694,000. Determination of stock ownership by non-affiliates is made solely for purposes of responding to the requirements of the form and the registrant is not bound by this determination for any other purpose.

As of March 13, 2002, there were outstanding 36,927,392\_shares of the issuer's Common Stock.

### DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Certain portions of the registrant's definitive Proxy Statement pursuant to Regulation 14A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, are incorporated by reference into Part III of the Form 10-K.

=====

## PART I

### CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements and information relating to NeoMedia. NeoMedia intends to identify forward-looking statements in this prospectus by using words such as "believes," "intends," "expects," "may," "will," "should," "plan," "projected," "contemplates," "anticipates," "estimates," "predicts," "potential," "continue," or similar terminology. These statements are based on the Company's beliefs as well as assumptions the Company made using information currently available to us. The Company undertakes no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events, or otherwise. Because these statements reflect the Company's current views concerning future events, these statements involve risks, uncertainties, and assumptions. Actual future results may differ significantly from the results discussed in the forward-looking statements.

### ITEM 1. BUSINESS

#### GENERAL

NeoMedia Technologies, Inc. ("NeoMedia" or "the Company") develops proprietary technologies that link physical information and objects to the Internet marketed under the "PaperClickTM" brand name. The primary focus of the Company is to develop and commercialize such technologies. The Company has also developed an extensive patent portfolio covering convergence of the physical world and the Internet.

#### COMPANY STRUCTURE

The Company is structured and evaluated by its Board of Directors and Management as two distinct business units:

NeoMedia Internet Switching Services (NISS) (formerly named NeoMedia Application Services), and

NeoMedia Consulting and Integration Services (NCIS) (formerly named NeoMedia SI)

NISS (physical world-to-Internet offerings) is the core business and is

## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

based in the United States, with development and operating facilities in Fort Myers, Florida. NISS develops and supports the Company's physical world to Internet core technology, including our linking "switch" and our application platforms. NISS also manages the Company's valuable intellectual property portfolio, including the identification and execution of licensing opportunities surrounding the patents.

NCIS (systems integration service offerings) is the original business line upon which the Company was organized. This unit resells client-server equipment and related software, and general and specialized consulting services targeted at software driven print applications, especially at process automation of production print facilities through its integrated document factory solution. Systems integration services also identifies prospects for custom applications based on our products and services. This unit recently moved its business offerings to a much higher Value-Add called Storage Area Networks (SAN). The operations are based in Lisle, Illinois.

### COMPANY HISTORY

NeoMedia Technologies, Inc. ("NeoMedia"), was incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware on July 29, 1996, to acquire by tax-free merger Dev-Tech Associates, Inc. ("Dev-Tech"), NeoMedia's predecessor, which was organized in Illinois in December 1989. In March 1996, Dev-Tech's common stock was split, with an aggregate of 2,551,120 shares of common stock being issued in exchange for the 164 then issued and outstanding shares of common stock. On August 5, 1996, NeoMedia acquired all of the shares of Dev-Tech in exchange for the issuance of shares of NeoMedia's common stock to Dev-Tech's stockholders ("Dev-Tech Merger").

NeoMedia also has the following wholly-owned subsidiaries: NeoMedia Migration, Inc., incorporated in Delaware; Distribuidora Vallarta, S.A., incorporated in Guatemala; NeoMedia Technologies of Canada, Inc., incorporated in Canada; NeoMedia Tech, Inc., incorporated in Delaware; NeoMedia EDV GMBH, incorporated in Austria; NeoMedia Technologies Holding Company B.V., incorporated in the Netherlands; NeoMedia Technologies de Mexico S.A. de C.V., incorporated in Mexico; NeoMedia Migration de Mexico S.A. de C.V., incorporated in Mexico; NeoMedia Technologies do Brazil Ltd., incorporated in Brazil, and NeoMedia Technologies UK Limited, incorporated in the United Kingdom.

### RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

In January 2001 the Company entered into an agreement with A.T. Cross Company, a major international manufacturer of fine writing instruments and pen computing products, granting a worldwide, non-exclusive license of the Company's patents surrounding the manufacture, use, and sale of devices used in print-to-internet technologies.

In February 2001 the Company won Best of Show at the Internet World Wireless 2001 in the "Commerce" category. According to the IWW announcement, this award exemplifies the Company's outstanding achievements as a business leader in the internet marketplace, and represents broad industry recognition and appreciation of the Company's achievements.

In March 2001, the Company was granted its fourth patent in nineteen months by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. The new patent extends the Company's IP Portfolio with voice link to the web.

In March 2001, the Company purchased all of the net assets of Qode.com, Inc. (Qode), except for cash. In consideration for these assets, NeoMedia issued 274,699 shares of common stock, valued at \$1,359,760. On August 31, 2001, the

## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

Company signed a non-binding letter of intent to sell the assets and liabilities of the Qode business unit to The Finx Group, Inc., a holding company based in Elmsford, NY. The final contract is contingent upon the completion of due diligence and definitive terms and conditions stated in the letter of intent. The Company intends to sell the assets and liabilities of Qode, which consist of all inventory, equipment and the ownership and operation of the comprehensive universal internet database along with the corresponding patents. The Finx Group will assume \$620,000 in Qode payables and \$800,000 in long-term leases in exchange for 500,000 shares of the Finx Group, right to use and sell Qode services, and up to \$5 million in affiliate revenues over the next five years. (see Footnote 2 of the accompanying financial statements for further detail)

In January 2002, the Company entered into an agreement with the law firm of Baniak Pine and Gannon, under which the firm will seek potential licensees of the Company's patent portfolio.

### INDUSTRY OVERVIEW

#### NEOMEDIA INTERNET SWITCHING SERVICES

The goal of our Internet Switching Services business segment is to promote mass adoption of our switch and background computer process to link physical world objects to the Internet. Our switching platform is a state-of-the-art open and extensible cross-media publishing tool serving customers in a variety of industrial, commercial, and educational applications. This business segment is also responsible for licensing our intellectual property to others as a means of promoting this new market as well as providing a revenue and cash resource. We have been developing our physical world-to-Internet technology and offerings since 1996 and consider ourselves an innovator and pioneer in this industry. In the past year, we have seen similar technologies and concepts emerge in the marketplace, and see these events as a positive validation of the physical world-to-internet concept.

Press from competitors such as AirClic, Inc. and Digimarc, Inc. is expected to continue to raise consumer awareness of physical-to-Web convergence. We believe the key to the adoption of physical world-to-Internet technologies in the marketplace will be in the development of real world applications that provide the end user a valuable experience. Our service offering, however, differs from those of AirClic and other competitors in that, unlike their products and services, our products do not require the use of a proprietary or specified device, and we offer our service on a private label basis. We are

2

positioned to provide solutions that preserve the customer's brand and also provide tailored solutions to fit the customer needs.

#### NEOMEDIA CONSULTING AND INTEGRATION SERVICES

The technology and equipment resale business is becoming a commodity industry for products undifferentiated by value added proprietary elements and services. Resale operations are also being compressed as equipment manufacturers consolidate their distribution channels.

Proprietary products, such as NeoMedia encoders, systems integration services and Integrated Document Factory solutions offer a competitive value-add to our NCIS business. We have unique offerings, which, to the extent that they meet market needs, offer the potential for growth in this industry. In addition, our recent high-end storage Area Network Solution allows us to participate in the higher-margin area of the open systems marketplace.

## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

The NCIS division also sells migration products (tools designed to "migrate" software code from one platform to another platform) primarily to mid-sized to large corporations and government agencies. The products include proprietary products and software tools to migrate Wang, HP3000, Data General, DEC and IBM DOS/VSE platforms (legacy systems) to a Unix or NT open system platform.

### STRATEGY

NeoMedia has spent the past five years inventing and patenting the now confirmed "space" of linking the physical and Internet environments and developing and implementing five generations of continuously refined switch technology that seamlessly bridges these environments.

The Company is now entering a new phase of operations. This market has been validated with the emergence of other competitors, and the Company is turning its attention to the next stage of market development in this space; the implementation of service applications providing complete product solutions to traditional businesses with offerings related to commerce, publishing, extended media publishing, e-learning and others.

While pursuing these goals NeoMedia must remain aware of strategic issues, opportunities and constraints that will govern the interplay of competition and alliances in this rapidly emerging market.

### PRODUCTS/SERVICES

#### NEOMEDIA INTERNET SWITCHING SERVICES

PAPERCLICK™ SWITCHING SERVICE. PaperClick™ is a state-of-the-art application-switching platform that links physical objects to digital media through the use of scanned UPC, EAN, or custom PaperClick™ codes. This dynamic open solution serves a wide variety of customers in industrial, commercial, and educational applications.

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LICENSING. The Company currently holds four U.S. patents relating to the physical world-to-Internet marketplace. NeoMedia intends to license this intellectual property portfolio to companies endeavoring to tap the potential of this emerging market. To date, the Company has entered into such agreements with Digital:Convergence, A.T. Cross Company, and Symbol Technologies. During January 2002, NeoMedia announced that it had entered into an agreement with Baniak Pine and Gannon, a law firm specializing in patent licensing and litigation, under which the firm will represent NeoMedia in seeking out potential licensees of the Company's patent portfolio.

#### NEOMEDIA CONSULTING AND INTEGRATION SERVICES

3

NCIS is a group of highly skilled application developers thoroughly familiar with MSS and other associated NeoMedia technologies who contract to develop custom applications for clients.

PRODUCT SALES AND EQUIPMENT RE-SALES. NCIS markets and sells proprietary software products, including high-density symbology encoders (e.g. PDF417 and UPS Maxicode) and resells client-server hardware and related systems such as Sun Microsystems, IBM and others, as well as related applications software and services.

## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

INTEGRATED DOCUMENT FACTORY (IDF). The IDF solution provides design and implementation of a collection of tested hardware and software solutions utilizing Xerox's printers and Sun servers to turn document creation, production, and printing into an assembly line manufacturing process. The system particularly assists financial service concerns such as banks, insurance companies, and brokerage firms as well as helps to manage high-volume printing of statements on a frequent basis.

STORAGE AREA NETWORKS (SAN). SAN is a Storage Management solutions and consultancy offering consisting of tools and services that insure data integrity, efficiency and accessibility, achieved through moving data backup, access and archival functions off of traditional LANs/WANs that are added on to a highly reliable independent managed network.

SYSTEM INTEGRATION SERVICES SYSTEMS. Integration Services is responsible for customer identification, pre and post sales relationship support, proposals, and account management surrounding custom application development for solutions involving the metered switch services (MSS). These customized solutions are built and integrated via the NAS business unit of the Company.

### STRATEGIC RELATIONSHIPS

#### NEOMEDIA INTERNET SWITCHING SERVICES

In January 2001, the Company entered into patent license with A.T. Cross Company, a major international manufacturer of fine writing instruments and pen computing products. Cross obtained the rights under the Company's print-to-Internet patents for personal portable scanning devices used to link bar codes on documents and other physical consumer goods to corresponding Internet content. Cross will pay a royalty per device to the Company for license rights granted under this agreement.

In May 2001, the Company entered into an agreement with Symbol Technologies, Inc., granting Symbol a worldwide, non-exclusive license of the Company's patents surrounding the sale and use of scanning devices used in physical world-to-Internet technologies.

#### NEOMEDIA CONSULTING AND INTEGRATION SERVICES

Although the NCIS business of segment the Company provides services and products to a spectrum of customers, ranging from closely held companies to Fortune 500 companies, for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000, one customer, Ameritech Services, Inc. ("Ameritech"), accounted for 36.6% and 29.9%, respectively, of NeoMedia NCIS revenue. The Company expects sales to Ameritech as a percentage of total sales to decline in the future. Furthermore, the Company does not have a written agreement with Ameritech and, therefore, there are no contractual provisions to prevent Ameritech from terminating its relationship with the Company at any time. Accordingly, the loss of this customer, or a significant reduction by it in buying the products and services offered by NeoMedia, absent diversification, would materially and adversely affect of NeoMedia NCIS's revenues and results of operations. In addition, a single supplier provides the equipment and software, which is re-marketed to this customer. Accordingly, the loss of this supplier would materially adversely affect NeoMedia NCIS. For these reasons, the Company is seeking, and continues to seek, to diversify its sources of revenue and vendors from whom it purchases.

### SALES AND MARKETING

#### NEOMEDIA INTERNET SWITCHING SERVICES

PAPERCLICKTM. While the Company eliminated the majority of its sales and marketing staff during the third quarter of 2001, the Company continues to promote its PaperClickTM line of products to potential customers in a wide array of industries. Upon receipt of sufficient financing, the Company plans to re-focus its efforts on the sale of PaperClickTM licenses through the hiring of additional sales and marketing staff. NeoMedia has refocused its sales efforts by focusing on signing up channel partners who have industry market presence. NeoMedia is currently negotiating with a number of industry-focused companies who will be our "go-to-market" partners.

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LICENSING. During January 2002, the Company engaged Baniak Pine and Gannon, a law firm specializing in intellectual property licensing and litigation. The firm will assist NeoMedia in seeking out potential licensees of the Company's intellectual property portfolio, including any resulting litigation.

#### NEOMEDIA CONSULTING AND INTEGRATION SERVICES

NCIS markets its products and services, as well as those for which it acts as a remarketer, primarily through its direct sales force, which was composed of 8 personnel as of December 31, 2001. In addition, the business unit also relies upon its strategic alliances with industry leaders to help market its products and services, provide lead referrals and establish informal co-marketing arrangements. Representatives of the Company attend seminars and trade shows, both as speakers and participants, to help market its products and services.

#### RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

##### NEOMEDIA INTERNET SWITCHING SERVICES

NeoMedia believes that its success in the Internet environment depends upon its ability to quickly develop new products and services, as well as make enhancements to its existing products. NISS employed 3, 24 and 19 persons in the area of product development as of December 31, 2001, 2000, and 1999, respectively. During the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, NeoMedia NAS incurred total software development costs of \$2,064,000, \$2,888,000 and \$1,722,000, respectively, of which \$1,515,000, \$1,787,639 and \$807,000, respectively, were capitalized as software development costs and \$549,000, \$1,101,000 and \$915,000, respectively, were expensed as research and development costs.

##### NEOMEDIA CONSULTING AND INTEGRATION SERVICES

All significant research and development relating to NeoMedia NCIS products was discontinued at the end of 1999 when the Company discontinued its Y2K business. All employees that were in this area were reassigned or released during the fourth quarter of 1999.

#### INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS

The Company received its first patent from the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office in August 1999. The patent, number 5,933,829, was allowed for the process invented by the Company for "automatic access of electronic information through secure machine-readable codes on printed documents." The Company received its second patent, number 5,978,773, in November 1999. The patent was allowed for the broad and innovative process that allows familiar print media such as magazines, catalogs, advertisements, even product labels themselves, to become the user interface to the Web. The Company's third U.S. patent, 6,108,656, which

## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

issued in August 2000, is a continuation of 5,933,829 and contains additional claims that broaden scope and coverage. The Company's fourth patent, 6,199,048, issued on March 6, 2001 and is a continuation of 5,978,773. The 6,199,048 patent substantially extends the Company's patent coverage to address voice portals, multi-media and web portal applications.

5

In addition to these issued patents, the Company continues to develop, acquire and prosecute a substantial portfolio of domestic and international patent applications that include broad claims that apply to its core business and markets.

The Company's proprietary technology based on these patents enables everyone, regardless of training or experience, to easily access the World Wide Web on the Internet. These patents and their related proprietary technologies, along with other pending applications, enhance the use of the Internet for E-commerce by making it much more user friendly as well as secure. The Company also has numerous other domestic and international patents and continuations pending in these and other related areas.

The Company relies upon its patents, copyright and trademark laws, trade secrets, confidentiality procedures and contractual provisions, all of which afford only limited protection, to protect its proprietary technology and products. Although the Company takes steps to protect its trade secrets, such as requiring employees with access to the Company's proprietary information to execute confidentiality and non-disclosure agreements, it may be possible for unauthorized parties to copy or reverse engineer all or part of any one of the Company's proprietary technology and products. Furthermore, just as there can be no assurance that a misappropriation of the Company's proprietary technology and products will not occur, there can be no assurance that copyright, trademark and trade secret laws will be available in all circumstances to protect the Company's rights. In addition, although the laws of the United States may protect the Company's proprietary rights in its technology and products, the laws of foreign countries where the Company's products may be used may not protect its proprietary rights at all or to the same extent as the laws of the United States.

The Company does not believe that its proprietary technology and products infringe upon the rights of any third parties; however, there can be no assurance that a third party will not in the future claim infringement by the Company. Similarly, infringement claims could be asserted against products and technologies which the Company licenses from third parties.

The Company may provide some of its products to end users using non-exclusive, non-transferable licenses which provide that the licensee may use the software solely for internal operations on designated computers at specific sites or by a specified number of users. The Company generally does not make source codes available for its products.

Due to the difficulty of doing so, the Company has never policed, nor has it ever attempted to police, the unauthorized use of its products. Even though piracy of the Company's proprietary rights could materially adversely affect it, the Company believes that the threat of piracy, or the unavailability of protection under applicable laws, is less significant to its competitive and financial well being than its ability to respond to the rapid change in technology which characterizes the computer industry.

During January 2002, the Company engaged Baniak Pine and Gannon, a law firm specializing in intellectual property licensing and litigation. The firm will



## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

assist NeoMedia in seeking out potential licensees of the Company's intellectual property portfolio, including any resulting litigation.

### COMPETITION

#### NEOMEDIA INTERNET SWITCHING SERVICES

The markets in which the Company competes are relatively new. Recent competitors in the print-to-web market include Digital:Convergence, Digimarc, and AirClic. The Company has a significant portfolio of both invented and acquired patents to support its proprietary technologies and provide a barrier to entry for potential competitors.

#### NEOMEDIA CONSULTING AND INTEGRATION SERVICES.

The largest competition, in terms of number of competitors, is for customers desiring systems integration, including the re-marketing of another party's products, and document solutions. These competitors range from local, small privately held companies to large national and international organizations, including large consulting firms. A large number of companies act as re-marketers of another party's products, and therefore, the competition in

6

this area is intense. In some instances, the Company, in acting as a re-marketer, may compete with the original manufacturer.

### PRODUCT LIABILITY INSURANCE

The Company has never had any product liability claim asserted against it. However, the Company could be subject to product liability claims in connection with the use of the products and services that it sells. There can be no assurance that the Company would have sufficient resources to satisfy any liability resulting from these claims or would be able to have its customers indemnify or insure the Company against such claims. Although the Company maintains insurance against such claims, there can be no assurance that such coverage will be adequate in terms and scope to protect the Company against material adverse effects in the event of a successful claim.

### GOVERNMENT REGULATION

Existing or future legislation could limit the growth of use of the Internet, which would curtail the Company's revenue growth. Statutes and regulations directly applicable to Internet communications, commerce and advertising are becoming more prevalent. Congress recently passed laws regarding children's online privacy, copyrights and taxation. The law remains largely unsettled, even in areas where there has been legislative action. It may take years to determine whether and how existing laws governing intellectual property, privacy, libel and taxation apply to the Internet, e-commerce and online advertising. In addition, the growth and development of e-commerce may prompt calls for more stringent consumer protection laws, both in the United States and abroad.

The Company's website allows for the storage of demographic data from our users. The European Union recently adopted a directive addressing data privacy that may limit the collection and use of certain information regarding Internet users. This directive may limit our ability to collect and use information in certain European countries. In addition, the Federal Trade Commission and several state governments have investigated the use by certain Internet companies of personal information. The Company could incur significant

## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

additional expenses if new regulations regarding the use of personal information are introduced or if our privacy practices are investigated.

### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COMPLIANCE

The Company has no knowledge of any federal, state or local environmental compliance regulations which affect its business activities. The Company has not expended any capital to comply with any environmental protection statutes and does not anticipate that such expenditures will be necessary in the future.

### EMPLOYEES

As of December 31, 2001, the Company employed 21 persons. Of the 21 employees, 9 were located at the Company's headquarters in Fort Myers, FL, and 12 at other domestic locations. Of the 21 employees, 3 are dedicated to the NISS business unit, 12 are dedicated to the NCIS business unit, and 6 provide shared services used by both business units. None of the Company's employees are represented by a labor union or bound by a collective bargaining agreement. The Company believes that its employee relations are good.

The Company's success depends to a significant extent on the performance of its senior management and certain key employees. Competition for highly skilled employees, including sales, technical and management personnel, is intense in the computer industry. The Company's failure to attract additional qualified employees or to retain the services of key personnel could materially adversely affect the Company's business.

### SAFE HARBOR PROVISION OF THE PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION ACT OF 1995

The Company operates in a dynamic and rapidly changing environment that involves numerous risks and uncertainties. The market for software products is

7

generally characterized by rapidly changing technology, frequent new product introductions and changes in customer requirements which can render existing products obsolete or unmarketable. The statements contained in this document that are not historical facts may be forward-looking statements (as such term is defined in the rules promulgated pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) that are subject to a variety of risks and uncertainties more fully described in the Company's filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The forward-looking statements are based on the beliefs of the management of the Company, as well as assumptions made by, and information currently available to, the Company's management. Accordingly, these statements are subject to significant risks, uncertainties and contingencies which could cause the Company's actual growth, results, performance and business prospects and opportunities in 2001 and beyond to differ materially from those expressed in, or implied by, any such forward-looking statements. Wherever possible, words such as "anticipate," "plan," "expect," "believe," "estimate," and similar expressions have been used to identify these forward-looking statements, but are not the exclusive means of identifying such statements. These risks, uncertainties and contingencies include, but are not limited to, the Company's limited operating history on which expectations regarding its future performance can be based, competition from, among others, high technology companies that have greater financial, technical and marketing resources and distribution capabilities than the Company, the availability of sufficient capital, the effectiveness of the Company's efforts to control operating expenses and general economic and business conditions affecting the Company and its customers in the United States and other countries in which the Company sells and anticipates to sell its products and services. The Company is not obligated to update or revise

## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

these forward-looking statements to reflect new events or circumstances.

### RISK FACTORS

#### RISKS SPECIFIC TO NEOMEDIA

THE COMPANY HAD A RETAINED DEFICIT; THE COMPANY ANTICIPATES FUTURE LOSSES.

The Company has incurred substantial losses since our inception, and anticipates continuing to incur substantial losses for the foreseeable future. The Company incurred a loss of \$25,469,000 in the year ended December 31, 2001, \$5,409,000 in the year ended December 31, 2000, \$10,472,000 in the year ended December 31, 1999, \$11,495,000 in the year ended December 31, 1998, and \$5,973,000 in the year ended December 31, 1997. The Company's accumulated losses were approximately \$63,344,000 as of December 31, 2001. As of December 31, 2001 and 2000, we had a working capital (deficit) of approximately \$(5,163,000) and \$8,426,000, respectively. The Company had stockholders' equity of \$(263,000) and \$19,110,000 at December 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively. The Company generated revenues of \$8,142,000 and \$27,565,000 for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000. In addition, during years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000, the Company recorded negative cash flows from operations of \$5,202,000 and \$6,775,000, respectively. To succeed, the Company must develop new client and customer relationships and substantially increase its revenue derived from improved products and additional value-added services. The Company has expended and will continue to expend substantial resources to develop and improve its products, increase its value-added services and to market its products and services. These development and marketing expenses must be incurred well in advance of the recognition of revenue. As a result, the Company may not be able to achieve or sustain profitability.

THE COMPANY'S AUDITORS HAVE QUALIFIED THEIR REPORT ON THE COMPANY FINANCIAL STATEMENTS WITH RESPECT TO THE COMPANY'S ABILITY TO CONTINUE AS A GOING CONCERN.

The report of Stonefield Josephson, Inc., the Company's current independent auditors, with respect to the Company's financial statements and the related notes for the year ended December 31, 2001, indicate that, at the date of their report, the Company had suffered recurring losses from operations and the Company's current cash position raised substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. The Company's financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from this uncertainty. The report of Arthur Andersen LLP, the Company's former independent auditors, with respect to the Company's financial statements and the related notes for the years ended December 31, 2000 and 1999, indicate that, at the date of their report, the Company had suffered recurring losses from operations and the Company's current cash position raised substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. The Company's financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from this uncertainty.

8

BECAUSE THE PHYSICAL WORLD - TO - INTERNET MARKET IN WHICH THE COMPANY OPERATES HAS EXISTED FOR A SHORT PERIOD OF TIME, THERE IS LIMITED INFORMATION UPON WHICH INVESTORS CAN EVALUATE THE BUSINESS.

The physical world-to-Internet market in which the Company operates is a recently developed market. Further, the Company has conducted operations in this market only since March 1996. Consequently, the Company may be deemed to have a relatively limited operating history upon which investors may base an evaluation of the Company's primary business and determine its prospects for achieving

## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

intended business objectives. To date, the Company has sold our physical world-to-Internet products to only 12 companies. Further, Digital:Convergence Corporation, the Company's primary customer for the Company's physical world-to-Internet products, is facing pressing financial difficulties and is presently being sued by the Company for default on a promissory note issued to the Company in lieu of payment. The Company is prone to all of the risks inherent to the establishment of any new business venture, including unforeseen changes in its business plan. Investors should consider the likelihood of the company's future success to be highly speculative in light of the Company's limited operating history in its primary market, as well as the limited resources, problems, expenses, risks, and complications frequently encountered by similarly situated companies in the early stages of development, particularly companies in new and rapidly evolving markets, such as the physical world-to-Internet space. To address these risks, the Company must, among other things,

- o Maintain and increase the Company's client base;
- o Implement and successfully execute the Company's business and marketing strategy;
- o Continue to develop and upgrade the Company's products;
- o Continually update and improve the Company's service offerings and features;
- o Respond to industry and competitive developments; and
- o Attract, retain, and motivate qualified personnel.

The Company may not be successful in addressing these risks. If the Company were unable to do so, the Company's business, prospects, financial condition, and results of operations would be materially and adversely affected.

FLUCTUATIONS IN THE COMPANY'S OPERATING RESULTS MAY AFFECT THE COMPANY'S STOCK PRICE.

As a result of the emerging and evolving nature of the markets in which the Company competes, as well as the current nature of the public markets and the Company's current financial condition, the Company believes its operating results may fluctuate materially, as a result of which quarter-to-quarter comparisons of the Company's results of operations may not be meaningful. If in some future quarter, whether as a result of such a fluctuation or otherwise, the Company results of operations fall below the expectations of securities analysts and investors, the trading price of the Company's common stock would likely be materially and adversely affected. Investors should not rely on the Company's results of any interim period as an indication of the Company's future performance. Additionally, the Company's quarterly results of operations may fluctuate significantly in the future as a result of a variety of factors, many of which are outside the Company's control. Factors that may cause the Company's quarterly results to fluctuate include, among others:

- o The Company's ability to retain existing clients and customers;
- o The Company's ability to attract new clients and customers at a steady rate;
- o The Company's ability to maintain client satisfaction;
- o The Company's ability to motivate potential clients and customers to acquire and implement new technologies;

## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

- o The extent to which the Company's products gain market acceptance;
- o The timing and size of client and customer purchases;
- o Introductions of products and services by competitors;
- o Price competition in the markets in which the Company competes;
- o The pricing of hardware and software which the Company resells or integrates into it's products;
- o The level of use of the Internet and online services and the rate of market acceptance of physical world-to-Internet marketing;
- o The Company's ability to upgrade and develop it's systems and infrastructure in a timely and effective manner;

9

- o The Company's ability to attract, train, and retain skilled management, strategic, technical, and creative professionals;
- o The amount and timing of operating costs and capital expenditures relating to the expansion of the Company's business, operations, and infrastructure;
- o Unanticipated technical, legal, and regulatory difficulties with respect to use of the Internet; and
- o General economic conditions and economic conditions specific to Internet technology usage and electronic commerce.

THE COMPANY IS UNCERTAIN OF THE SUCCESS OF THE COMPANY'S INTERNET SWITCHING SERVICES BUSINESS UNIT AND THE FAILURE OF THIS UNIT WOULD NEGATIVELY AFFECT THE PRICE OF THE COMPANY'S STOCK.

The Company provides products and services that provide a seamless link from physical objects, including printed material, to the Internet. The Company can provide no assurance that:

- o This application services business unit will ever achieve profitability;
- o The Company's current product offerings will not be adversely affected by the focusing of the Company resources on the physical world-to-Internet space; or
- o The products the Company develops will obtain market acceptance.

In the event that the application Services business unit should never achieve profitability, that the Company's current product offerings should so suffer, or that the Company's products fail to obtain market acceptance, the Company's business, prospects, financial condition, and results of operations would be materially adversely affected.

THE COMPANY DEPENDS ON THE RESALE OF SOFTWARE AND EQUIPMENT FOR REVENUE AND A REDUCTION IN THESE SALES WOULD MATERIALLY ADVERSELY AFFECT THE COMPANY'S OPERATIONS AND THE VALUE OF THE COMPANY'S STOCK.

During the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000, 1999, 1998, and 1997, we derived 73%, 66%, 78%, 72%, and 78%, respectively, of the Company's revenues from the resale of computer software and technology equipment. A loss or a reduction of this revenue would have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, prospects, financial condition, and results of operations, as well as the Company's stock price. The Company can provide no assurance that:

## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

- o The market for the Company's products and services will continue;
- o The Company will be successful in marketing these products due to competition and other factors; o The Company will continue to be able to obtain short-term financing for the purchase of the products that the Company resells; or
- o The Company's relationship with companies whose products and services it sells will continue, the Company's relationship with Sun Microsystems Computer Company.

Further, the technology and equipment resale business is becoming a commodity industry for products undifferentiated by value-added proprietary elements and services. A large number of companies act as re-marketers of another party's products, and therefore, the competition in this area is intense. Resale operations are also being compressed as equipment manufacturers consolidate their distribution channels. In some instances, the Company, in acting as a re-marketer, may compete with the original manufacturer. An inability to effectively compete and generate revenues in this industry would have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, prospects, financial condition, and results of operations.

A LARGE PERCENTAGE OF THE COMPANY'S ASSETS ARE INTANGIBLE ASSETS, WHICH WILL HAVE LITTLE OR NO VALUE IF THE COMPANY'S OPERATIONS ARE UNSUCCESSFUL.

At December 31, 2001, approximately 56% of the Company's total assets were intangible assets, consisting primarily of rights related to the Company's patents and other intellectual property. If the Company operations are unsuccessful, these assets will have little or no value, which will materially adversely affect the value of the Company's stock and the ability of the Company's stockholders to recoup their investments in the Company's capital stock.

10

THE COMPANY'S MARKETING STRATEGY HAS NOT BEEN TESTED AND MAY NOT RESULT IN SUCCESS.

To date, the Company has conducted limited-marketing efforts directly. All of the Company's marketing efforts have been largely untested in the marketplace, and may not result in sales of the Company's products and services. To penetrate the markets in which the Company competes, the Company will have to exert significant efforts to create awareness of, and demand for, the Company's products and services. With respect to the Company's marketing efforts conducted directly, the Company intends to expand the Company's sales staff upon receipt of adequate financing. The Company's failure to further develop its marketing capabilities and successfully market its products and services would have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, prospects, financial condition, and results of operations.

THE COMPANY RELIES ON INTERNALLY DEVELOPED SYSTEMS, WHICH ARE INEFFICIENT, WHICH MAY PUT THE COMPANY AT A COMPETITIVE DISADVANTAGE.

The Company uses internally developed technologies for a portion of its systems integration services, as well as the technologies required to interconnect its clients' and customers' physical world-to-Internet systems and hardware with the Company's own. As the Company has developed these systems in order to integrate disparate systems and hardware on a case-by-case basis, these systems are inefficient and require a significant amount of customization. Such client and customer specific customization is time-consuming and costly and may place the Company at a competitive disadvantage when compared to competitors with more efficient systems. The Company intends to upgrade and expand its

## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

systems and technologies and to integrate newly-developed and purchased technologies with it's own in order to improve the efficiency of the company's systems and technologies, although the Company is unable to predict whether these upgrades will improve the Company's competitive position when compared to it's competitors.

THE COMPANY HAS LIMITED HUMAN RESOURCES; THE COMPANY NEEDS TO ATTRACT AND RETAIN HIGHLY SKILLED PERSONNEL; AND THE COMPANY MAY BE UNABLE TO EFFECTIVELY MANAGE COMPANY GROWTH WITH THE COMPANY'S LIMITED RESOURCES.

The Company's future success will depend in large part on the Company's ability to attract, train, and retain additional highly skilled executive level management, creative, technical, and sales personnel. Competition is intense for these types of personnel from other technology companies and more established organizations, many of which have significantly larger operations and greater financial, marketing, human, and other resources than the Company has currently. The Company may not be successful in attracting and retaining qualified personnel on a timely basis, on competitive terms, or at all. Due to the Company's current working capital deficiency, the Company is currently unable to afford a directors' and officers' liability insurance policy with a term of greater than six months. The Company's existing policy expires on July 25, 2002. To the extent that sufficient resources are available, the Company intends to maintain a directors' and officers' liability insurance policy at all times. However, any inability to maintain such liability insurance in the future would materially adversely affect the Company's ability to attract and retain qualified director and officer candidates. If the Company is not successful in attracting and retaining qualified personnel, it's business, prospects, financial condition, and results of operations would be materially adversely affected.

THE COMPANY DEPENDS UPON THE COMPANY'S SENIOR MANAGEMENT AND THEIR LOSS OR UNAVAILABILITY COULD PUT THE COMPANY AT A COMPETITIVE DISADVANTAGE.

The Company's success depends largely on the skills of certain key management and technical personnel. The loss or unavailability of any of these individuals for any significant period of time could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, prospects, financial condition, and results of operations. None of the Company's key management or technical personnel is presently subject to employment agreements. The Company has recently awarded stock options to key members of management. All key management personnel are required to sign non-solicitation and confidentiality agreements. However, there is no guarantee that these option incentives or contractual restrictions will discourage the Company's key management and technical personnel from leaving. If the Company were not successful in retaining it's key personnel, the Company's business, prospects, financial condition, and results of operations would be materially adversely affected.

THE COMPANY MAY BE UNABLE TO PROTECT THE COMPANY'S INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS AND THE COMPANY MAY BE LIABLE FOR INFRINGING THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS OF OTHERS.

The Company's success in the physical world-to-Internet and the value-added systems integration markets is dependent upon the Company's proprietary technology, including the Company's patents and other intellectual property, and on the Company's ability to protect the Company's proprietary technology and other intellectual property rights. In addition, the Company must conduct the Company's operations without infringing on the proprietary rights of third parties. The Company also intends to rely upon unpatented trade secrets and the know-how and expertise of the Company's employees, as well as the Company's

## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

patents. To protect the Company's proprietary technology and other intellectual property, the Company relies primarily on a combination of the protections provided by applicable patent, copyright, trademark, and trade secret laws as well as on confidentiality procedures and licensing arrangements. The Company has four patents for its physical world-to-Internet technology. The Company also has several trademarks relating to the Company's proprietary products. Although the Company believes that the Company has taken appropriate steps to protect the Company's unpatented proprietary rights, including requiring that the Company's employees and third parties who are granted access to the Company's proprietary technology enter into confidentiality agreements with the Company, the Company can provide no assurance that these measures will be sufficient to protect the Company's rights against third parties. Others may independently develop or otherwise acquire patented or unpatented technologies or products similar or superior to the Company.

The Company licenses from third parties certain software tools that it includes in the Company's services and products. If any of these licenses were terminated, the Company could be required to seek licenses for similar software from other third parties or develop these tools internally. The Company may not be able to obtain such licenses or develop such tools in a timely fashion, on acceptable terms, or at all. Companies participating in the software and Internet technology industries are frequently involved in disputes relating to intellectual property. The Company may in the future be required to defend the Company's intellectual property rights against infringement, duplication, discovery, and misappropriation by third parties or to defend against third-party claims of infringement. Likewise, disputes may arise in the future with respect to ownership of technology developed by employees who were previously employed by other companies. Any such litigation or disputes could result in substantial costs to, and a diversion of effort by, the Company. An adverse determination could subject the Company to significant liabilities to third parties, require the Company to seek licenses from, or pay royalties to, third parties, or require the Company to develop appropriate alternative technology. Some or all of these licenses may not be available to the Company on acceptable terms or at all, and the Company may be unable to develop alternate technology at an acceptable price or at all. Any of these events could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, prospects, financial condition, and results of operations. See "Risks Specific To NeoMedia - Currently Pending Legal Actions Threaten To Divest The Company Of Critical Intellectual Property."

### CURRENTLY PENDING LEGAL ACTIONS THREATEN TO DIVEST THE COMPANY OF CRITICAL INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY.

On September 6, 2001, AirClic, Inc. ("AirClic") filed suit against the Company in the Court of Common Pleas, Montgomery County, Pennsylvania, seeking, among other things, the accelerated repayment of a \$500,000 loan it advanced to the Company under the terms of a letter of intent entered into between AirClic and the Company. The letter of intent was subsequently abandoned on the basis of the Company's alleged breach of certain representations made by the Company in the promissory note issued by the Company to AirClic in respect of such advance. The note issued by the Company in respect of AirClic's \$500,000 advance is secured by substantially all of the Company's intellectual property, including its core physical world-to-Internet technologies. If the Company is deemed to have defaulted under the note, and does not pay the judgment, AirClic, which is one of NeoMedia's key competitors, could acquire the Company's core intellectual property, which would have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, prospects, financial condition, and results of operations. The Company is vigorously defending this lawsuit and has interposed counterclaims against AirClic. The lawsuit is in its preliminary stages and, as such, at this time it is difficult to assess the outcome. Whether or not AirClic is successful in asserting its claims that the Company breached certain representations made by the Company in the note, the note became due and payable in accordance with its



## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

terms on January 11, 2002. Based on the cash currently available to the Company, payment of the note and related interest would have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition. If the Company fails to pay such note, AirClic could proceed against the Company's intellectual property securing the note, which would have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, prospects, financial condition, and results of operations. The Company is aggressively seeking bridge financing to enable it to pay the principal and interest remaining under the note following the resolution of the counterclaims

12

against AirClic. The Company has not accrued any additional liability over and above the note payable and related accrued interest.

AirClic has also filed suit against the Company in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. In this second action, AirClic seeks a declaration that certain core intellectual property securing the note issued by the Company to AirClic, some of which is patented and others for which a patent application is pending is invalid and unenforceable. Any declaration that the Company's core patented or patentable technology is invalid and unenforceable would have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, prospects, financial condition, and results of operations. The Company is vigorously defending against this lawsuit as well. The Company has not accrued any liability in connection with this matter. See "Risks Specific to NeoMedia - The Company May Be Unable To Protect It's Intellectual Property Rights And The Company May Be Liable For Infringing The Intellectual Property Rights Of Others".

THE COMPANY IS EXPOSED TO PRODUCT LIABILITY CLAIMS FOR WHICH INSURANCE COVERAGE IS LIMITED, POTENTIALLY INADEQUATE AND IN SOME CASES UNAVAILABLE, AND AN UNINSURED CLAIM COULD HAVE A MATERIAL ADVERSE EFFECT ON THE COMPANY'S BUSINESS, PROSPECTS, FINANCIAL CONDITION, AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS, AS WELL AS THE VALUE OF THE COMPANY STOCK.

Many of the Company's projects are critical to the operations of the Company's clients' businesses. Any failure in a client's information system could result in a claim for substantial damages against the Company, regardless of the Company's responsibility for such failure. The Company could, therefore, be subject to claims in connection with the products and services that it sells. The Company currently maintains product liability and errors and omissions insurance. There can be no assurance that:

- o The Company has contractually limited our liability for such claims adequately or at all;
- o The Company would have sufficient resources to satisfy any liability resulting from any such claim;
- o The Company coverage, if available, will be adequate in term and scope to protect it against material adverse effects in the event of a successful claim; or
- o The Company insurer will not disclaim coverage as to any future claim.

The successful assertion of one or more large claims against the Company that exceed available insurance coverage could materially adversely affect the Company's business, prospects, financial condition, and results of operations.

THE COMPANY CANNOT PREDICT ITS FUTURE CAPITAL NEEDS AND THE COMPANY MAY NOT BE ABLE TO SECURE ADDITIONAL FINANCING.

The Company expects to receive up to \$500,000 attributable to the payment of

## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

the exercise prices of options for shares of common stock that the Company is in the process of registering for public resale. The Company also expects to receive up to \$3,230,000, plus interest at a rate of 6% per annum, upon repayment of promissory notes issued to the Company, in each case as consideration for 19,000,000 shares of Company common stock, sold at \$0.17 per share. Despite the anticipated infusion of such capital, and because the Company cannot reliably predict when or if such warrant exercises, cash payments and note repayments will occur, if at all, the Company is unable to determine whether and for how long the Company will be able to meet its capital requirements. The Company anticipates offerings up to 10,000,000 additional shares of common stock within six months of the date of this filing and offering of convertible debt and preferred stock securities in order to obtain short-term financing. As is typical with short-term, bridge financing, this capital may be obtained upon terms highly unfavorable to the Company. Further, the Company cannot be certain that anticipated revenues from operations would be sufficient to satisfy its capital requirements. The Company believes that it will have sufficient capital to sustain operations through December 31, 2002. The Company's belief is based on its operating plan, which in turn is based on assumptions that may prove to be incorrect. If capital raised from financing efforts and the Company's financial resources are insufficient it may require additional financing in order to execute on the Company operating plan and continue as a going concern. The Company cannot predict whether this additional financing will be in the form of equity or debt, or be in another form. The Company may not be able to obtain the necessary additional capital on a timely basis, on acceptable terms, or at all. In any of these events, the Company may be unable to implement its current plans for expansion, repay its debt obligations as they become due or respond to competitive pressures, any of which circumstances would have a material adverse effect on its business, prospects, financial condition and results of operations. In the event that any future financing should take the

13

form of a sale of equity securities, the holders of the common stock may experience additional dilution.

BECAUSE THE COMPANY WILL NOT PAY CASH DIVIDENDS, INVESTORS MAY HAVE TO SELL THEIR SHARES IN ORDER TO REALIZE THEIR INVESTMENT.

The Company has not paid any cash dividends on our common stock and do not intend to pay cash dividends in the foreseeable future. The Company intends to retain future earnings, if any, for reinvestment in the development and marketing of its products and services. Any credit agreements into which the Company may enter with institutional lenders may restrict the Company's ability to pay dividends. Whether the Company pays cash dividends in the future will be at the discretion of the Company's Board of Directors and will be dependent upon the Company's financial condition, results of operations, capital requirements, and any other factors that the Board of Directors decides is relevant. As a result, investors may have to sell their shares of common stock to realize their investment.

SOME PROVISIONS OF THE COMPANY'S CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION AND BY-LAWS MAY DETER TAKEOVER ATTEMPTS, WHICH MAY LIMIT THE OPPORTUNITY OF THE COMPANY'S STOCKHOLDERS TO SELL THEIR SHARES AT A PREMIUM TO THE THEN MARKET PRICE.

Some of the provisions of the Company's certificate of incorporation and by-laws could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire us, even if doing so might be beneficial to the Company's stockholders by providing them with the opportunity to sell their shares at a premium to the then market price. On December 10, 1999, the Company's Board of Directors adopted a stockholders rights plan and declared a non-taxable dividend of one right to acquire Series A

## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

Preferred Stock of the Company, par value \$0.01 per share, on each outstanding share of the Company's common stock to stockholders of record on December 10, 1999 and each share of common stock issued thereafter until a pre-defined hostile takeover date. The stockholder rights plan was adopted as an anti-takeover measure commonly referred to as a "poison pill." The stockholder rights plan was designed to enable all stockholders not engaged in a hostile takeover attempt to receive fair and equal treatment in any proposed takeover of the corporation and to guard against partial or two-tiered tender offers, open market accumulations and other hostile tactics to gain control of NeoMedia. The stockholders rights plan, which is similar to plans adopted by many leading public companies, was not adopted in response to any effort to acquire control of NeoMedia at the time of adoption. This stockholders rights plan may have the effect of rendering more difficult, delaying, discouraging, preventing, or rendering more costly an acquisition of NeoMedia or a change in control of NeoMedia. Certain of our directors, officers and principal stockholders, Charles W. Fritz, William E. Fritz and The Fritz Family Limited Partnership were exempted from triggering the "poison pill" as a result of their significant holdings at the time of the plan's adoption, which otherwise might have triggered the "poison pill."

In addition, the Company's certificate of incorporation authorizes the Board of Directors to designate and issue preferred stock, in one or more series, the terms of which may be determined at the time of issuance by the Board of Directors, without further action by stockholders, and may include voting rights, including the right to vote as a series on particular matters, preferences as to dividends and liquidation, conversion, and redemption rights, and sinking fund provisions.

The Company is authorized to issue a total of 10,000,000 shares of Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share. The Company's designated Preferred Stock is comprised of 200,000 shares of Series A Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, which shares are issuable in connection with the Company's stockholders rights plan, and 500,000 shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, of which 452,289 shares were issued as of December 31, 2001. The 452,489 Series B shares outstanding as of December 31, 2001 automatically converted into the same number of common shares on January 2, 2002.

THE COMPANY'S COMMON STOCK TRADES SPORADICALLY, THE OFFERING PRICE OF THE COMMON STOCK IS ARBITRARY, THE MARKET PRICE OF THE SECURITIES MAY BE VOLATILE, AND THE COMPANY MUST SATISFY THE APPLICABLE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE COMMON STOCK TO TRADE ON THE NASDAQ SMALL CAP MARKET.

The Company's common stock currently trades sporadically on the Nasdaq Small Cap Market. The market for the Company's common stock may continue to be

14

an inactive market. Accordingly, unless and until an active public market develops, investors may have difficulty selling their shares of common stock into which the preferred stock offered is automatically convertible at a price that is attractive to the investor.

The Company's common stock has traded as low as \$0.11 and as high as \$6.75 between June 30, 2000 and March 5, 2002. From time to time after this filing, the market price of the common stock may experience significant volatility. The Company's quarterly results, failure to meet analysts expectations, announcements by the Company or the Company's competitors regarding acquisitions or dispositions, loss of existing clients, new procedures or technology, changes in general conditions in the economy, and general market conditions could cause

## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

the market price of the common stock to fluctuate substantially. In addition, the stock market has experienced significant price and volume fluctuations that have particularly affected the trading prices of equity securities of many technology companies. These price and volume fluctuations often have been unrelated to the operating performance of the affected companies. In the past, following periods of volatility in the market price of a company's securities, securities class action litigation has often been instituted against such a company. This type of litigation, regardless of the outcome, could result in substantial costs and a diversion of management's attention and resources, which could materially adversely affect the Company's business, prospects, financial condition, and results of operations. The Nasdaq Stock Market has net capital surplus and stock price maintenance criterion for trading on the Nasdaq Small Cap Market. The Company is currently below the minimum requirements for Net Tangible Assets and Stockholder equity. The Company's ability to continue to be listed on the Nasdaq Stock Market will depend on whether the Company is able to maintain net tangible assets of at least \$2,000,000 and maintain a minimum stock price of \$1.00.

If the Company cannot maintain the standards for continued listing, the Company's common stock could be subject to delisting from the Nasdaq Small Cap Market. Trading, if any, in the common stock would then be conducted in the over-the-counter market on the OTC Bulletin Board established for securities that do not meet the Nasdaq Small Cap Market listing requirements, or in what are commonly referred to as the "pink sheets." As a result, an investor may find it more difficult to dispose of, or to obtain accurate quotations as to the price of, the Company's shares.

INVESTORS MAY SUFFER SIGNIFICANT ADDITIONAL DILUTION IF OUTSTANDING OPTIONS AND WARRANTS ARE EXERCISED.

The Company also has outstanding stock options to purchase approximately 5.0 million shares of common stock and warrants to purchase approximately 3.2 million shares of common stock, some of which may in the future, but do not currently, have exercise prices at or significantly below the price at which the preferred stock converts into common stock or the price of the Company's common shares on the public market. To the extent such options or warrants are exercised, there will be further dilution. In addition, in the event that any future financing should be in the form of, be convertible into, or exchangeable for, equity securities, and upon the exercise of options and warrants, investors may experience additional dilution.

FUTURE SALES OF COMMON STOCK BY THE COMPANY'S EXISTING STOCKHOLDERS COULD ADVERSELY AFFECT THE COMPANY'S STOCK PRICE.

The market price of the Company's common stock could decline as a result of sales of a large number of shares of the Company's common stock in the market as a result of offerings of common stock or securities convertible, exercisable or exchangeable for common stock, or the perception that these sales could occur. These sales also might make it more difficult for the Company to sell equity securities in the future at a time and at a price that the Company deems appropriate. The Company's officers and directors are not currently subject to lock-up agreements preventing them from selling their shares. Two of the Company's officers and directors, Charles W. Fritz and William E. Fritz intend to sell an aggregate of 3,945,551 shares of common stock in connection with a registration that we are currently in the process of making effective. Additionally, shares issued upon the exercise of stock options granted under the Company's stock option plans will be eligible for resale in the public market from time to time subject to vesting.

In addition, the Company may offer for sale up to 10,000,000 additional shares of common stock within six months from the date of this filing, as necessary to raise capital to sustain Company operations. While applicable law

## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

provides that unregistered securities may not generally be resold within one year of their purchase, market conditions may require the Company to register such shares for public sale earlier than such shares would otherwise become freely tradable, thereby creating the possibility of further dilution to purchasers of the Company shares.

15

### RISKS RELATING TO THE COMPANY'S INDUSTRY

INTERNET SECURITY POSES RISKS TO THE COMPANY'S ENTIRE BUSINESS.

Concerns over the security of the Internet and other electronic transactions and the privacy of consumers and merchants may inhibit the growth of the Internet and other online services generally, especially as a means of conducting commercial transactions, which may have a material adverse effect on the Company's physical world-to-Internet business.

THE COMPANY WILL ONLY BE ABLE TO EXECUTE ITS PHYSICAL WORLD-TO-INTERNET BUSINESS PLAN IF INTERNET USAGE AND ELECTRONIC COMMERCE CONTINUE TO GROW.

The Company's future revenues and any future profits are substantially dependent upon the widespread acceptance and use of the Internet and other online services as an effective medium of information and commerce. If use of the Internet and other online services does not continue to grow or grows more slowly than the Company expects, if the infrastructure for the Internet and other online services does not effectively support the growth that may occur, or if the Internet and other online services do not become a viable commercial marketplace, the Company's physical world-to-Internet business, and therefore the Company's business, prospects, financial condition, and results of operations, could be materially adversely affected. Rapid growth in the use of, and interest in, the Internet, the Web, and online services is a recent phenomenon, and may not continue on a lasting basis. In addition, customers may not adopt, and continue to use, the Internet and other online services as a medium of information retrieval or commerce. Demand and market acceptance for recently introduced services and products over the Internet are subject to a high level of uncertainty, and few services and products have generated profits. For the Company to be successful, consumers and businesses must be willing to accept and use novel and cost efficient ways of conducting business and exchanging information.

In addition, the public in general may not accept the Internet and other online services as a viable commercial or information marketplace for a number of reasons, including potentially inadequate development of the necessary network infrastructure or delayed development of enabling technologies and performance improvements. To the extent that the Internet and other online networks continue to experience significant growth in the number of users, their frequency of use, or in their bandwidth requirements, the infrastructure for the Internet and online networks may be unable to support the demands placed upon them. In addition, the Internet or other online networks could lose their viability due to delays in the development or adoption of new standards and protocols required to handle increased levels of Internet activity, or due to increased governmental regulation. Significant issues concerning the commercial and informational use of the Internet and online networks technologies, including security, reliability, cost, ease of use, and quality of service, remain unresolved and may inhibit the growth of Internet business solutions that utilize these technologies. Changes in, or insufficient availability of, telecommunications services to support the Internet or other online services also could result in slower response times and adversely affect usage of the Internet and other online networks generally and the Company's physical world-to-Internet product and networks in particular.

## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

THE COMPANY MAY NOT BE ABLE TO ADAPT AS THE INTERNET, PHYSICAL WORLD-TO-INTERNET, EQUIPMENT RESALES, AND SYSTEMS INTEGRATIONS MARKETS, AND CUSTOMER DEMANDS, CONTINUE TO EVOLVE.

The Company may not be able to adapt as the Internet, physical world-to-Internet, equipment resales and systems integration markets, and consumer demands, continue to evolve. The Company's failure to respond in a timely manner to changing market conditions or client requirements would have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, prospects, financial condition, and results of operations. The Internet, physical world-to-Internet, equipment resales, and systems integration markets are characterized by:

- o Rapid technological change;
- o Changes in user and customer requirements and preferences;
- o Frequent new product and service introductions embodying new technologies; and
- o The emergence of new industry standards and practices that could render proprietary technology and hardware and software infrastructure obsolete.

16

The Company's success will depend, in part, on its ability to:

- o Enhance and improve the responsiveness and functionality of the Company's products and services;
- o License or develop technologies useful in the Company's business on a timely basis;
- o Enhance the Company's existing services, and develop new services and technologies that address the increasingly sophisticated and varied needs of the Company's prospective or current customers; and
- o Respond to technological advances and emerging industry standards and practices on a cost-effective and timely basis.

THE COMPANY MAY NOT BE ABLE TO COMPETE EFFECTIVELY IN THE MARKETS IN WHICH IT COMPETES.

While the market for physical world-to-Internet technology is relatively new, it is already highly competitive and characterized by an increasing number of entrants that have introduced or developed products and services similar to those offered by the Company. NeoMedia believes that competition will intensify and increase in the future. The Company's target market is rapidly evolving and is subject to continuous technological change. As a result, the Company's competitors may be better positioned to address these developments or may react more favorably to these changes, which could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, prospects, financial condition, and results of operations.

In addition, the equipment resales and systems integration markets are increasingly competitive. The Company competes in these industries on the basis of a number of factors, including the attractiveness of the services offered, the breadth and quality of these services, creative design and systems engineering expertise, pricing, technological innovation, and understanding clients' needs. A number of these factors are beyond the Company's control. Existing or future competitors may develop or offer products or services that provide significant technological, creative, performance, price, or other advantages over the products and services offered by NeoMedia.

Many of the Company's competitors have longer operating histories, larger customer bases, and longer relationships with clients, and significantly greater

## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

financial, technical, marketing, and public relations resources than the Company does. Based on total assets and annual revenues, the Company is significantly smaller than its two largest competitors in the physical world-to-Internet industry, the primary focus of the Company's business. Similarly, the Company competes against significantly larger and better-financed companies in the Company's systems integration and resales businesses, including the manufacturers of the equipment and technologies that the Company integrates and resells. If NeoMedia competes with its primary competitors for the same geographical or institutional markets, their financial strength could prevent the Company from capturing those markets. The Company may not successfully compete in any market in which it conducts or may conduct operations. In addition, based on the increasing consolidation, price competition, and participation of equipment manufacturers in the systems integration and equipment resales markets, the Company believes that it will not be able to compete effectively in these markets in the future. It is for this reason, that the Company has increasingly focused its business plan on competing in the emerging market for physical world-to-Internet products.

### REGULATORY AND LEGAL UNCERTAINTIES COULD HARM THE COMPANY'S BUSINESS.

The Company is not currently subject to direct regulation by any government agency other than laws or regulations applicable generally to electronic commerce. Any new legislation or regulation, the application of laws and regulations from jurisdictions whose laws do not currently apply to the Company's business, or the application of existing laws and regulations to the Internet and other online services, could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, prospects, financial condition, and results of operations. Due to the increasing popularity and use of the Internet and other online services, federal, state, and local governments may adopt laws and regulations, or amend existing laws and regulations, with respect to the Internet or other online services covering issues such as taxation, user privacy, pricing, content, copyrights, distribution, and characteristics and quality of products and services. The growth and development of the market for electronic commerce may prompt calls for more stringent consumer protection laws to impose additional burdens on companies conducting business online. The adoption of any additional laws or regulations may decrease the growth of the Internet or other online services, which could, in turn, decrease the demand for the Company's services and increase the Company's cost of doing business, or otherwise have a material adverse effect on its business, prospects, financial condition, and results of operations. Moreover, the relevant governmental authorities have not

17

resolved the applicability to the Internet and other online services of existing laws in various jurisdictions governing issues such as property ownership and personal privacy and it may take time to resolve these issues definitively.

Certain of the Company's proprietary technology allow for the storage of demographic data from its users. In 2000, the European Union adopted a directive addressing data privacy that may limit the collection and use of certain information regarding Internet users. This directive may limit the Company's ability to collect and use information collected by the Company's technology in certain European countries. In addition, the Federal Trade Commission and several state governments have investigated the use by certain Internet companies of personal information. The Company could incur significant additional expenses if new regulations regarding the use of personal information are introduced or if the Company's privacy practices are investigated.

## ITEM 2. DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTIES

## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

The Company's principal executive, development and administrative office is located at 2201 Second Street, Suite 600, Fort Myers, Florida 33901. The Company occupies approximately 15,000 square feet under terms of a written lease from an unaffiliated party which expired on January 31, 2001. The Company signed a renewal for three years at this facility during the first quarter of 2001. The Company has a sales facility at 2150 Western Court, Suite 230, Lisle, Illinois 60532, where the Company occupies approximately 6,000 square feet under the terms of a written lease from an unaffiliated party expiring on October 31, 2003. In March 2001, with the acquisition of the assets of Qode.com, Inc., the Company added an additional 8,388 square feet office lease at 4850 N. State Road 7, Suite 104, Ft. Lauderdale, Florida, with monthly rent totaling approximately \$9,200. The lease expires March, 2005. This lease is being terminated subject to a settlement agreement between the Company and Headway associates, the landlord (see "Legal Proceedings").

During 2001, we closed our office in Monterrey, Mexico, which was primarily used for sales and consulting efforts.

The Company believes that its existing office space is adequate to meet its current and short-term requirements.

### ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Company is involved in the following legal actions arising in the normal course of business, both as claimant and defendant.

On September 6, 2001, AirClic, Inc. ("AirClic") filed suit against the Company in the Court of Common Pleas, Montgomery County, Pennsylvania, seeking, among other things, the accelerated repayment of a \$500,000 loan it advanced to the Company under the terms of a letter of intent entered into between AirClic and the Company. The letter of intent was subsequently abandoned on the basis of the Company's alleged breach of certain representations made by the Company in the promissory note issued by the Company to AirClic in respect of such advance. The note issued by the Company in respect of AirClic's \$500,000 advance is secured by substantially all of the Company's intellectual property, including its core physical world-to-Internet technologies. If the Company is deemed to have defaulted under the note, and does not pay the judgment, AirClic, which is one of the NeoMedia's key competitors, could acquire the Company's core intellectual property, which would have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, prospects, financial condition, and results of operations. The Company is vigorously defending this lawsuit and has interposed counterclaims against AirClic. The lawsuit is in its preliminary stages and, as such, at this time it is difficult to assess the outcome. Whether or not AirClic is successful in asserting its claims that the Company breached certain representations made by the Company in the note, the note became due and payable in accordance with its terms on January 11, 2002. Based on the cash currently available to the Company, payment of the note and related interest would have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition. If the Company fails to pay such note, AirClic could proceed against the Company's intellectual property securing the note, which would have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, prospects, financial condition, and results of operations. The Company is aggressively seeking bridge financing to enable it to pay the principal and interest remaining under the note following the resolution of the

18

counterclaims against AirClic. The Company has not accrued any additional liability over and above the note payable and related accrued interest.

AirClic has also filed suit against the Company in the United States



## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. In this second action, AirClic seeks a declaration that certain core intellectual property securing the note issued by the Company to AirClic, some of which is patented and others for which a patent application is pending is invalid and unenforceable. Any declaration that the Company's core patented or patentable technology is invalid and unenforceable would have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, prospects, financial condition, and results of operations. The Company is vigorously defending against this lawsuit as well. The Company has not accrued any liability in connection with this matter.

On June 26, 2001, the Company filed a \$3 million lawsuit in the U.S. District Court, Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, against Digital:Convergence Corporation for breach of contract regarding a \$3 million promissory note due on June 24, 2001 that was not paid. The Company is seeking payment of the \$3 million note plus interest and attorneys fees. The Company has not accrued any gain contingency related to this matter.

In April 2001, the former President and director of NeoMedia filed a lawsuit against the Company and several of its directors. The suit was filed in the Circuit Court of the Twentieth Judicial Circuit for Sarasota, Florida. The claim alleges the individual was fraudulently induced into accepting employment and that the Company breached the employment agreement. The individual's employment with the Company ended in January 2001. The Company believes the claim is without merit and is vigorously defending itself. Final outcome of this matter is uncertain and a range of loss cannot reasonably be estimated. The Company has accrued approximately \$347,000 in severance and incentive payments relating to this matter.

On August 20, 2001, Ripfire, Inc. filed suit against the Company in the San Francisco County Superior Court seeking payment of \$138,000 under a software license agreement entered into between the Company and Ripfire in May 2001 relating to implementation of the Qode Universal Commerce Solution. The Company has entered into a letter of intent with the Finx Group, Inc. to sell certain assets and liabilities relating to Qode. As part of the letter of intent, the Finx Group will assume all liabilities up to \$138,000 relating to the Ripfire contract. Accordingly, the Company has not accrued a liability in the accompanying financial statements. The Company, along with the Finx Group, is currently negotiating settlement of this matter.

On October 3, 2001, Headway Associates, Ltd. filed a complaint for damages in the Circuit Court of the Seventeenth Judicial Circuit for Broward County, Florida. Headway Associates, Ltd. is seeking payment of all amounts due under the terms the lease agreement of the Ft. Lauderdale office of NeoMedia's Qode business unit. The lease commenced on March 3, 2000 and terminates on March 31, 2005. On February 25, 2002, Headway agreed to accept \$100,000 cash payment over a two-month period for settlement of all past-due and future amounts owed under the lease. This amount is accrued in the accompanying financial statements.

On November 30, 2001, Orsus Solutions USA, Inc., filed a summons seeking payment in full of approximately \$525,000 relating to a software and services contract associated with implementation of the Qode Universal Commerce Solution. The Company has entered into a letter of intent with the Finx Group, Inc. to sell certain assets and liabilities relating to Qode. As part of the letter of intent, the Finx Group will assume all liabilities up to \$530,000 relating to the Orsus contract. Accordingly, the Company has not accrued a liability in the accompanying financial statements. The Company, along with the Finx Group, is currently negotiating settlement of this matter.

On March 20, 2002, IOS Capital, Inc. filed a summons seeking full payment of approximately \$38,700 relating to past due and future payments under an office equipment lease. The Company has returned the equipment and intends to settle the past due amounts. As of December 31, 2001, the Company had recorded a

## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

liability of approximately \$10,000 relating to this matter.

### ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

On October 24, 2001, the Company filed a proxy statement with the SEC to request a shareholder vote that would increase the number of the Company's authorized shares of common stock from 50,000,000 shares to 100,000,000 and

19

increase the number of the Company's authorized shares of preferred stock from 10,000,000 shares to 25,000,000. The proxy also requested approval to sell 19,000,000 shares of common stock to accredited investors in exchange for limited recourse promissory notes. On December 11, 2001, the Company's shareholders approved the sale of 19,000,000 shares at \$0.08 per share in exchange for limited recourse promissory notes. The price has since been increased to \$0.17 per share. The proposition to increase the number of authorized common and preferred shares did not pass as a result of the failure to secure favorable votes from holders of a majority of the outstanding shares.

## PART II

### ITEM 5. MARKET FOR COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

(a) MARKET INFORMATION. NeoMedia's common stock began trading on The Nasdaq Small Cap Stock Market under the symbol "NEOM" on November 25, 1996, the date of its initial public offering. Prior to such time there was no established public trading market for NeoMedia's common stock.

Set forth below is the range of high and low sales prices for the common stock and warrants for the three-month periods indicated as reported by NASDAQ. The quotations do not include retail markups, markdowns or commissions and may not represent actual transactions.

TYPE OF SECURITY	PERIOD ENDED	HIGH	LOW
-----	-----	----	---
	Common Stock March 31, 2000	\$ 14.50	\$5.69
	June 30, 2000	\$ 11.13	\$5.00
	September 30, 2000	\$ 6.75	\$4.13
	December 31, 2000	\$ 6.50	\$1.94
	March 31, 2001	\$ 6.00	\$2.50
	June 30, 2001	\$ 4.50	\$1.76
	September 30, 2001	\$ 1.85	\$0.16
	December 31, 2001	\$ 0.24	\$0.11

NeoMedia's stock price has been and will continue to be subject to significant volatility. Past financial performance should not be considered a reliable indicator of future performance, and investors should not use historical trends to anticipate results or trends in future periods. If revenues or earnings in any quarter fail to meet expectations of the investment community, there could be an immediate and significant impact on NeoMedia's stock price. In addition, NeoMedia's stock price may be affected by broader market trends that may be unrelated to NeoMedia's performance.

(b) HOLDERS. As of March 13, 2002, there were 158 registered shareholders and approximately 3,000 beneficial shareholders of record of NeoMedia's common stock. NeoMedia believes that it has a greater number of shareholders because a substantial number of NeoMedia's common stock is held of record in street name by broker-dealers for their customers.

## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

(c) DIVIDENDS. As of March 15, 2002, NeoMedia has not paid any dividends on its common stock and does not expect to pay a cash dividend in the foreseeable future, but intends to devote all funds to the operation of its businesses. As of March 15, 2002, NeoMedia has a letter of credit with Bank One, Chicago, Illinois, the terms of which require Bank One's written permission prior to the declaration of cash dividends.

(d) RECENT ISSUANCES OF UNREGISTERED SECURITIES.

In November 1998, NeoMedia borrowed \$500,000, in two separate notes from unrelated third parties. These notes were due in November, 1999 with an interest rate of 20%. One \$250,000 note was extended until January 6, 2000, and the other was extended until February 25, 2000. These notes were secured by 375,000 shares of NeoMedia's common stock by placing them in an escrow account. These shares were considered issued but not outstanding for 1999. As part of obtaining the financing, 37,500 stock warrants, exercisable at \$2.00 per share, were issued to the lender. These warrants were exercised in February 2000. During 2000, both notes were repaid and the 375,000 shares securing the notes have been released from escrow and retired by the Company.

20

In January 1999, NeoMedia issued 82,372 shares of NeoMedia's common stock to an individual related party at a price of \$3.04 per share. In connection with the sale, NeoMedia also issued 8,237 warrants with an exercise price of \$3.04. The gross proceeds of such transaction were approximately \$250,000.

In January 1999, NeoMedia issued warrants to purchase 230,000 shares of common stock at a price of \$2.13 per share to an outside consultant for services performed.

In January and February 1999, NeoMedia issued an aggregate of 145,000 shares of NeoMedia's common stock at a price of \$3.50 per share to five individual and one institutional unrelated parties. In connection with the sale, NeoMedia also issued an aggregate of 3,000 warrants with an exercise price of \$3.50. The gross proceeds of such transaction were approximately \$507,500. In connection with the sale, NeoMedia also issued as a commission 280,000 warrants to purchase shares of NeoMedia common stock at an exercise price of \$2.13 per share

In January 1999, NeoMedia issued 42,857 shares of NeoMedia's common stock at a price of \$3.50 per share to an individual related party. In connection with the sale, NeoMedia also issued 4,286 warrants with an exercise price of \$3.50. The gross proceeds of such transaction were approximately \$150,000.

In February 1999, NeoMedia issued 250,000 shares of NeoMedia's common stock at a price of \$4.00 per share to AT Cross Company, an unrelated party. In connection with the sale, NeoMedia also issued 100,000 warrants with an exercise price of \$5.00. The gross proceeds of such transaction were \$1,000,000.

In April 1999, NeoMedia issued an aggregate of 1,000,000 shares of NeoMedia's common stock at a price of \$3.45 per share to two individual and three institutional unrelated parties. The gross proceeds of such transaction were approximately \$3,450,000. In connection with the sale, NeoMedia issued as a commission 175,000 warrants to purchase shares of NeoMedia common stock at an exercise price of \$3.45 per share.

In April 1999, NeoMedia issued warrants to purchase 50,000 shares of

## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

common stock at a price of \$0.01 per share to an outside institution for services performed.

In May 1999, NeoMedia issued an aggregate of 65,000 shares of NeoMedia's common stock at a price of \$4.75 per share to two individual unrelated parties. In connection with the sale, NeoMedia also issued an aggregate of 6,500 warrants with an exercise price of \$5.00. The gross proceeds of such transaction were approximately \$309,000. In connection with the sale, NeoMedia paid commissions of \$3,375 cash plus 3,250 warrants to purchase shares of NeoMedia common stock at an exercise price of \$5.00 per share.

In June 1999, NeoMedia issued 250,000 shares of NeoMedia's common stock at a price of \$4.00 per share to AT Cross Company, an unrelated party. In connection with the sale, NeoMedia also issued 100,000 warrants with an exercise price of \$7.00. The gross proceeds of such transaction were approximately \$1,000,000.

In September 1999, NeoMedia issued an aggregate of 210,000 shares of NeoMedia's common stock at a price of \$7.00 per share to one individual and two institutional unrelated parties. The gross proceeds of such transaction were approximately \$1,470,000. In connection with the sale, NeoMedia issued as a commission 105,000 warrants to purchase shares of NeoMedia common stock at an exercise price of \$6.00 per share.

In September 1999, NeoMedia issued an aggregate of 275,231 shares of NeoMedia's common stock at a price of \$5.75 per share to two individual and three institutional unrelated parties. In connection with the sale, NeoMedia also issued an aggregate of 27,523 warrants with an exercise price of \$6.75. The gross proceeds of such transaction were approximately \$1,583,000. In connection with the sale, NeoMedia paid commissions of \$30,000 cash, and also issued 11,172 shares of its common stock valued at \$6.19 per share and 10,000 warrants to purchase shares of NeoMedia common stock at an exercise price of \$6.19 per share.

In October 1999, NeoMedia issued 15,000 shares of NeoMedia's common stock at a price of \$4.38 per share to an individual unrelated party. In connection with the sale, NeoMedia also issued 1,500 warrants with an exercise price of \$4.38. The gross proceeds of such transaction were approximately \$66,000.

21

In November 1999, NeoMedia issued an aggregate of 143,334 shares of NeoMedia's common stock at a price of \$3.75 per share to two individual and two institutional unrelated parties. In connection with the sale, NeoMedia also issued an aggregate of 5,067 warrants with an exercise price of \$5.50, 1,267 warrants with an exercise price of \$4.75, 5,333 warrants with an exercise price of \$4.67, and 2,667 warrants with an exercise price of \$5.84. The gross proceeds of such transaction were approximately \$538,000. In connection with the sale, NeoMedia paid commissions of approximately \$35,000.

In January 2000, NeoMedia issued an aggregate of 301,368 shares of NeoMedia's common stock at a price of \$3.75 per share to ten individual and four institutional unrelated parties. In connection with the sale, NeoMedia also issued an aggregate of 12,570 warrants with an exercise price of \$7.19, 5,400 warrants with an exercise price of \$6.44, and 12,167 warrants with an exercise price of \$7.37. The gross proceeds of such transaction were approximately \$1,130,000. In connection with the sale, NeoMedia issued as commissions 9,502 shares of its common stock valued at \$7.09 per share.

In February 2000, NeoMedia issued 39,535 shares of NeoMedia's common

## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

stock at a price of \$6.88 per share to one individual and one institutional unrelated party. In connection with the sale, NeoMedia also issued 2,500 warrants with an exercise price of \$12.74 and 1,454 warrants with an exercise price of \$9.56. The gross proceeds of such transaction were approximately \$272,000.

In February 2000, NeoMedia issued 50,000 shares of NeoMedia's common stock at a price of \$6.00 per share to an institutional unrelated party. In connection with the sale, NeoMedia also issued 2,982 warrants with an exercise price of \$10.06. The gross proceeds of such transaction were approximately \$300,000.

In February 2000, NeoMedia issued 37,500 shares of NeoMedia common stock upon the exercise of outstanding warrants at a price of \$2.00 per share. The gross proceeds of such transaction were approximately \$75,000.

In March 2000, NeoMedia issued an aggregate of 1,000,000 shares of NeoMedia's common stock at a price of \$7.50 per share to 20 individual and one institutional unrelated party. The gross proceeds of such transaction were approximately \$7,500,000. In connection with the sale, NeoMedia issued as a commission 125,000 warrants to purchase shares of NeoMedia common stock at an exercise price of \$7.50 per share, 125,000 warrants to purchase shares of NeoMedia common stock at an exercise price of \$15.00 per share, and 100,000 warrants to purchase shares of NeoMedia common stock at an exercise price of \$7.20 per share.

In May 2000, NeoMedia issued 187,500 shares of NeoMedia common stock upon the exercise of outstanding warrants at a price of \$7.38 per share. The gross proceeds of such transaction were approximately \$1,383,000.

In October 2000, NeoMedia issued warrants to purchase 1,400,000 shares of common stock at a price of \$6.00 per share to Digital:Convergence Corporation as consideration for a 10-year intellectual property license agreement.

In March and April 2001, NeoMedia issued 316,500 shares of NeoMedia's common stock at a price of \$3.40 per share to four unrelated institutional parties. The gross proceeds of such transaction were approximately \$1,076,000. In connection with the sale, NeoMedia issued as a commission 50,000 warrants to purchase shares of NeoMedia common stock at an exercise price of \$3.56 per share.

In March 2001, NeoMedia issued 18,000 shares of NeoMedia's common stock at a price of \$3.41 per share to an institutional unrelated party. The gross proceeds of such transaction were \$61,000.

In March 2001, NeoMedia issued 156,250 shares of NeoMedia's common stock at a price of \$3.20 per share to an institutional unrelated party. The gross proceeds of such transaction were \$500,000.

In March 2001, NeoMedia issued an aggregate of 170,000 shares of NeoMedia common stock upon the exercise of outstanding warrants at a price of \$2.13 per share. The gross proceeds of such transaction were approximately \$362,000.

In March 2001, NeoMedia placed 1,676,500 shares of NeoMedia common stock in escrow in exchange for certain assets and liabilities of Qode.com, Inc.. The

shares are subject to earn-out under a sale and purchase agreement with

## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

Qode.com, Inc. In connection with the sale and purchase agreement, the Company also issued 274,699 shares of NeoMedia common stock to certain of Qode.com, Inc.'s debtholders.

In April 2001, NeoMedia issued warrants to purchase 50,000 shares of common stock at a price of \$0.01 per share to an outside institution for services performed

In May 2001, NeoMedia issued an aggregate of 320,050 shares of NeoMedia common stock upon the exercise of outstanding warrants at a price of \$2.00 per share. The gross proceeds of such transaction were \$641,000.

In June 2001, NeoMedia issued an aggregate of 4,100 shares of NeoMedia common stock upon the exercise of outstanding warrants at a price of \$2.00 per share. The gross proceeds of such transaction were \$8,000.

In July 2001, NeoMedia issued an aggregate of 11,300 shares of NeoMedia common stock upon the exercise of outstanding warrants at a price of \$2.00 per share. The gross proceeds of such transaction were \$23,000.

In September 2001, the Company released from escrow 35,074 shares of its common stock under the terms of the Company's sale and purchase agreement with Qode.com, Inc. The shares were payment for first and second contract quarter performance under the earn-out plan included in the sale and purchase agreement.

In November 2001, NeoMedia issued an aggregate of 3,000,000 shares of our common stock to two unrelated parties at a price of \$0.08 per share. These shares were subsequently cancelled and re-issued as part of a 19 million share offering during the first quarter of 2002.

In January 2002, the Company issued 452,489 shares of common stock to About.com, Inc. The shares were issued upon conversion of 452,489 shares of Series B Preferred Stock issued to About.com, Inc. as payment for advertising expense incurred during 2001.

In January 2002, NeoMedia issued 1,646,987 shares of common stock to two unrelated vendors as settlement of past-due accounts payable and future payments under equipment lease agreements. There were no cash proceeds to NeoMedia in these transactions.

In February 2002, NeoMedia issued 19,000,000 shares of NeoMedia's common stock at a price of \$0.17 per share to five individuals and two institutional unrelated parties. The shares were issued in exchange for limited recourse promissory notes maturing at the earlier of i.) 90 days from the date of issuance, or ii.) 30 days from the date of registration of the shares. The gross proceeds of such transaction will be approximately \$3,230,000 upon maturity of the notes.

The above issuances of securities were made by the Company in reliance on exemptions from registration contained in Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and the rules and regulations thereunder, as offerings not involving a public offering.

### ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following data should be read in conjunction with "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and the consolidated financial statements and the related notes appearing in Item 8.

Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

	RESULTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,			
	1996 (ACTUAL) -----	1997 (ACTUAL) -----	1998 (ACTUAL) -----	1999 (ACTUAL) -----
	(in thousands, except share			
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS DATA:				
Revenues	\$17,518	\$24,434	\$23,478	\$25,256
Cost of sales	14,948	20,070	19,149	22,470
Gross margin	2,570	4,364	4,329	2,786
Operating expenses	4,949	10,268	15,945	13,032
Digital Convergence write-off	--	--	--	--
Loss on impairment of assets	--	--	--	--
Loss from operations	(2,379)	(5,904)	(11,616)	(10,246)
Interest expense/(income)	540	147	(121)	226
Income tax provision/(benefit)	156	(78)	--	--
Loss from continuing operations	(3,075)	(5,973)	(11,495)	(10,472)
Loss from operations and disposal of discontinued business unit	--	--	--	--
Net loss	(\$3,075)	(\$5,973)	(\$11,495)	\$10,472)
LOSS PER SHARE DATA:				
Loss per share from				
continuing operations	(\$0.72)	(\$0.90)	(\$1.34)	(\$1.01)
Net loss per share	(\$0.72)	(\$0.90)	(\$1.34)	(\$1.01)
Weighted average common shares outstanding (basic and diluted)	4,266,753	6,615,107	8,560,841	0,377,4713
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET DATA:				
Total assets	\$11,266	\$19,799	\$12,630	\$13,657
Long-term debt	1,589	915	801	676

(a) - Includes \$22,518,000 of assets related to Digital Convergence license contract that were written off during 2001

(b) - Includes \$13,503,000 of long-term deferred revenue related to Digital Convergence license contract that was written off during 2001

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL  
CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

OVERVIEW

During 2001, the company's continued focus was aimed toward its Internet Switching Systems (NISS, formerly NAS) business. NISS consists of the patented PaperClick™ technology that enables users to link directly from the physical to the digital world. NeoMedia's mission is to invent, develop, and commercialize technologies and products that effectively leverage the integration of the physical and electronic to provide clear functional value for the Company's end-users, competitive advantage for their business partners and

## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

return-on-investment for their investors. Additionally, the Company has retained the services of the intellectual property law firm of Baniak Pine & Gannon to pursue license agreements surrounding NeoMedia's valuable patent portfolio.

In March 2001, the Company purchased substantially all the assets of Qode.com, Inc. In August 2001, the Company entered into a letter of intent with a third party to purchase the assets and assume certain of the liabilities related to the business unit. The discontinuation of the Qode business unit resulted in a loss from disposal of discontinued business unit of \$3.1 million in 2001. Additionally, the loss from operations of the business unit during 2001 was \$3.6 million. (see also Footnote 2 in the accompanying financial statements)

### RESULTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2001 AS COMPARED TO THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2000

**NET SALES.** Total net sales for the year ended December 31, 2001 were \$8.1 million, which represented a \$19.5 million, or 70.1%, decrease from \$27.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2000. This decrease primarily resulted from reduced resales of Sun Microsystems equipment due to increased competition and general economic conditions. Additionally, we recognized \$7.8 million of revenue in 2000 related to the DC license contract. No revenue was recognized related to this contract in 2001. The Company expects net sales in 2002 will increase significantly from 2001, due to a resurgence in demand for software and technology equipment and services, combined with anticipated revenue streams from intellectual property licenses.

Total net sales during the fourth quarter of 2001 were \$4.5 million, compared with \$0.9 million in the third quarter of 2001, \$1.2 million in the second quarter of 2001, and \$1.5 million in the first quarter of 2001. The fourth-quarter increase is primarily due to a large Storage Area Network (SAN) sale of \$1.1 million in that quarter. Additionally, sales from the Company's Consulting and Integration Services business unit have been historically higher in the fourth quarter of the calendar year.

**LICENSE FEES.** License fees were \$0.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2001, compared with \$8.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2000, a decrease of \$7.8 million, or 92.9%. The decrease resulted primarily from the recognition of \$7.8 million revenue during 2000 related to the Digital:Convergence license contract. No revenue was recognized related to this contract in 2001. The Company is anticipating license revenue growth in 2002 compared with 2001 as it aggressively pursues license contracts relating to its intellectual property.

**RESALES OF SOFTWARE AND TECHNOLOGY EQUIPMENT AND SERVICE FEES.** Resales of software and technology equipment and service fees decreased by \$11.5 million, or 63.4%, to \$7.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2001, as compared to \$19.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2000. This decrease primarily resulted from fewer sales of Sun Microsystems hardware due to increased competition and general economic conditions. The Company believes that resurgent demand for such products, combined with the Company's movement into higher margin and Value-Add products and services such as Storage Area Networks, will result in increased revenue from resales of software and technology equipment and service fees during 2002.

**COST OF SALES.** Cost of resales as a percentage of related resales was 86.0% in 2001, compared to 90% in 2000. This decrease is substantially due to a sales mix of higher-margin products such as service fees and maintenance contracts.



## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

**SALES AND MARKETING.** A portion of the compensation to the sales and marketing staff constitutes salary and is fixed in nature and the remainder of this compensation, which is paid as a commission, is directly related to sales volume. Sales and marketing expenses were \$2.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2001, compared to \$6.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2000, a decrease of \$4.0 million or 61.5%. This decrease primarily resulted from fewer marketing personnel in 2001, coupled with a decrease in sales commissions from reduced sales. Sales and marketing expense will continue to decrease in 2002 as the Company moves away from its applications service provider model.

**GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE.** General and administrative expenses decreased by \$2.2 million, or 30.1%, to \$4.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2001, compared to \$7.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2000. The decrease is

25

primarily related to a reduction in personnel as a result of the Company's cost reduction initiative. General and administrative expenses will continue to decline in 2002 as the Company realizes the full-year benefit of cost-reduction measures begun in the fourth quarter of 2001.

**RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT.** During the year ended December 31, 2001, NeoMedia charged to expense \$0.5 million of research and development costs, a decrease of \$0.6 million or 54.5% compared to \$1.1 million charged to expense for the year ended December 31, 2000. This decrease is predominately associated with decreased personnel devoted to NeoMedia's development during the second half of 2001, combined with increased capitalization of software development costs associated with NeoMedia's "switching" platform and the Qode Universal Commerce Solution during the first half of 2001. Research and development expense will continue to decrease in 2002 as the Company moves away from its applications service provider model

**LOSS ON IMPAIRMENT OF ASSETS.** During the third quarter of 2001, the Company wrote off all assets associated with its discontinued MLM/Affinity product line, resulting in an impairment charge of \$2.9 million.

**LOSS ON DIGITAL:CONVERGENCE.** During the second quarter of 2001, the Company wrote off all assets and liabilities relating to its intellectual property license with Digital:Convergence, resulting in a net charge of \$7.4 million.

**INTEREST EXPENSE (INCOME), NET.** Interest expense/(income) consists primarily of interest paid to creditors as part of financed purchases, notes payable and NeoMedia's asset-based collateralized line of credit net of interest earned on cash equivalent investments. Interest (income) decreased by \$153,000, or 87.9%, to \$(21,000) for the year ended December 31, 2001 from \$(174,000) for the year ended December 31, 2000, due to reduced cash balances throughout 2001 as compared to 2000.

**LOSS FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS.** During the year ended December 31, 2001, the Company's loss from continuing operations increased by \$13.4 million or 248.1% from \$5.4 million in 2000 to \$18.8 million in 2001. This increase is primarily due to the loss on the Digital:Convergence license contract of \$7.4 in the second quarter of 2001 and an impairment loss of \$2.8 million in the third quarter of 2001 related to the discontinuation of the Company's MLM/Affinity product line.

**LOSS FROM OPERATIONS AND DISPOSAL OF DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS.** The Company discontinued operations of its Qode business unit in 2001, resulting in a loss from operations of discontinued business units of \$3.6 million. There was no loss from this business unit during 2000. The business unit's assets were purchased in March 2001 and the implementation was cancelled during the second

## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

quarter of 2001.

LOSS ON DISPOSAL OF DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS. The Company sustained a loss of \$3.1 million in 2001 from the disposal of the Qode business unit in 2001.

NET LOSS. The net loss for the year ended December 31, 2001 was \$25.5 million, which represented a \$20.1 million, or 372.2% increase from a \$5.4 million loss for the year ended December 31, 2000. The increase in net loss is due primarily to the loss on the Digital:Convergence contract, an impairment loss of in the third quarter of 2001 related to the discontinuation of the Company's MLM/Affinity product line and the discontinuation of the Company's Qode business unit, and reduced resales of software and technology equipment and service fees resulting from increased competition and general economic conditions, offset by lower expenses as a result of the Company's cost reduction effort.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2000 AS COMPARED TO THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1999

NET SALES. Total net sales for the year ended December 31, 2000 were \$27.6 million, which represented a \$2.3 million, or 9.1%, increase from \$25.3 million for the year ended December 31, 1999. This increase primarily resulted from intellectual property (IP) license contract signed with Digital Convergence offset by decreased sales of Y2K licenses and services from \$3.3 million in 1999 to \$0.1 million in 2000.

LICENSE FEES. Total license fees increased from \$2.4 million to \$8.4 million, or 250.0%, for the years ended December 31, 1999 and December 31, 2000. The increase was due to a license agreement, entered into during the fourth of quarter of 2000, between NeoMedia and Digital Convergence Corporation ("DC"), granting DC a worldwide, non-exclusive license of the Company's patent portfolio for directly linking documents, objects, and transactions directly to the Internet. Revenue from this agreement totaled \$7.8 million in 2000. This was offset by a decrease of \$1.8 million due to the discontinuation of the Company's

26

Y2K product line. Cost of sales as a percentage of related sales was 15.4% during 2000 compared to 73.7% during 1999. This decrease in the cost of sales as a percentage of related sales was primarily due to DC license sale in 2000 and the discontinuation of Y2K licenses on which the Company paid royalties.

RESALES OF SOFTWARE AND TECHNOLOGY EQUIPMENT AND SERVICE FEES. Resales of software and technology equipment and service fees decreased by \$3.7 million, or 16.1%, to \$19.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2000, as compared to \$22.8 million for the year ended December 31, 1999. This decrease primarily resulted from decreased resales of IBM equipment due to discontinuation of sales in our Canadian market. Also contributing to the decrease was reduced service revenue from Y2K products of \$1.6 million. Cost of sales as a percentage of related sales decreased to 90.0% during 2000 from 90.5% during 1999.

SALES AND MARKETING. A portion of the compensation to the sales and marketing staff constitutes salary and is fixed in nature and the remainder of this compensation, which is paid as a commission, is directly related to sales volume. Sales and marketing expenses decreased \$0.3 million, or 4.4%, to \$6.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2000 from \$6.8 million for the year ended December 31, 1999, due to a decrease in NeoMedia's NAS direct sales force, offset by personnel additions in marketing.

GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE. General and administrative expenses increased \$1.7 million, or 32.1%, to \$7.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2000,

## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

from \$5.3 million for the year ended December 31, 1999. This increase was due to the accrual of executive performance incentive in 2000. No performance incentive expense was incurred in 1999. Also, increased legal costs of \$0.5 million were expensed in 2000.

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT. During the year ended December 31, 2000, NeoMedia charged to expense \$1,101,000 of research and development expenses, an increase of \$114,000 or 11.6% compared to \$986,000 charged to expense for the year ended December 31, 1999. This increase was due to increased resources directed toward the development of the ASP business. To the extent the Company can obtain additional capital, it will continue to make significant investments in research and development.

INTEREST (INCOME) EXPENSE, NET. Interest expense consists primarily of interest paid to creditors as part of financed purchases, capitalized leases and NeoMedia's asset-based collateralized line of credit net of interest earned on cash equivalent investments. Interest expense decreased by \$400,000, or 177%, to income of \$(174,000) for the year ended December 31, 2000 from \$226,000 of expense for the year ended December 31, 1999. This was due to reduced interest expense resulting from the repayment of notes in the first quarter of 2000, as well as interest income from higher cash balances during 2000.

NET LOSS. The net loss for the year ended December 31, 2000 was \$5.4 million, which represented a \$5.1 million, or 48.6% decrease from a \$10.5 million loss for the year ended December 31, 1999. The decrease was primarily due to revenue from the licensing of NeoMedia's intellectual property in 2000. This was offset by a 97% decrease of Y2K revenue in 2000 along with increased general and administrative expenses.

### LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

NeoMedia anticipates that its existing cash balances and funds available from borrowings under its existing financing agreement will have to be supplemented with additional funds, through loans and/or capital contributions, to finance NeoMedia's operations in 2002. During the first quarter of 2002, the Company has successfully sold 19 million shares of its common stock at a price of \$0.17 per share in exchange for limited recourse promissory notes maturing no later than May 2002, resulting in proceeds of \$3,230,000 to the Company. The Company expects to obtain an additional \$1.0 million of debt and/or equity financing during the first quarter and second quarters of 2002. Management believes that this additional financing will be sufficient to sustain operations through the first half of 2002, however, there can be no assurances that these additional financings will be obtained. If necessary funds are not available, NeoMedia's business and operations would be materially adversely affected and in such event, NeoMedia would attempt to reduce costs and adjust its business plan.

Net cash used in operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2001, 2000, and 1999 was \$5.2 million, \$6.8 million and \$7.0 million, respectively.

During 2001, trade accounts receivable inclusive of costs in excess of billings increased \$0.9 million, while accounts payable, accrued expenses and deferred revenue increased \$3.0 million. During 2000, trade accounts receivable inclusive of costs in excess billings increased \$1.0 million, while accounts payable, accrued expenses and deferred revenue increased \$1.1 million. During 1999, trade accounts receivable inclusive of costs in excess of billings decreased \$2.5 million, while accounts payable, accrued expenses and deferred revenue decreased \$1.7 million. NeoMedia's net cash flow used in investing activities for the

## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, was \$3.0, \$2.6 million and \$2.1 million, respectively. This increase resulted from higher capitalized software development costs coupled with an increase in acquisition costs related to long-term and intangible assets.

During the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999 the Company's net loss totaled approximately \$25,469,000, \$5,409,000 and \$10,472,000, respectively. As of December 31, 2001 the Company had accumulated losses from operations of approximately \$63,344,000, had a working capital deficit of approximately \$5,163,000, and approximately \$134,000 in unrestricted cash balances.

Management believes it will need to raise additional capital to sustain the Company's operations in 2002. The failure of management to accomplish these initiatives will adversely effect the Company's business, financial conditions, and results of operations and its ability to continue as a going concern.

Subsequent to December 31, 2001, the Company has undertaken the following initiatives:

- o During February 2002, the Company sold 19 million shares of its common stock at \$0.17 per share in exchange for promissory notes maturing at the earlier of i) 90 days from the date of issuance, or ii) 30 days from registration of the shares. Proceeds from this transaction will be \$3,230,000.
- o During March 2002, the Company repriced 1.2 million of its common stock warrants for a period of six months. During the term of the warrant repricing program, participating holders are entitled to exercise qualified warrants at an exercise price per share equal to the greater of (1) \$0.12 or (2) 50% of the last sale price of shares of Common Stock on the NASDAQ Small Cap Market on the trading date immediately preceding the date of exercise.

### CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") recently issued Financial Reporting Release No. 60, "CAUTIONARY ADVICE REGARDING DISCLOSURE ABOUT CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES" ("FRR 60"), suggesting companies provide additional disclosure and commentary on their most critical accounting policies. In FRR 60, the SEC defined the most critical accounting policies as the ones that are most important to the portrayal of a company's financial condition and operating results, and require management to make its most difficult and subjective judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates of matters that are inherently uncertain. Based on this definition, our most critical accounting policies include: inventory valuation, which affects our cost of sales and gross margin; the valuation of purchased intangibles and goodwill, which affects our amortization and write-offs of goodwill and other intangibles; the valuation of strategic equity investments, which affects our other income and expense; and valuation of deferred income taxes, which affects our income tax expense and benefit. The Company also has other key accounting policies, such as our policies for revenue recognition, including the deferral of a portion of revenues on sales to distributors, and allowance for bad debt. The methods, estimates and judgments the Company uses in applying these most critical accounting policies have a significant impact on the results the Company reports in our financial statements.

### INVENTORY VALUATION

## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

Our policy is to value inventories at the lower of cost or market on a part-by-part basis. This policy requires us to make estimates regarding the market value of our inventories, including an assessment of excess or obsolete inventories. The Company determines excess and obsolete inventories based on an estimate of the future demand for our products within a specified time horizon, generally 12 months. The estimates the Company uses for demand are also used for near-term capacity planning and inventory purchasing and are consistent with revenue forecasts. If our demand forecast is greater than its actual demand the

28

Company may be required to take additional excess inventory charges, which will decrease gross margin and net operating results in the future.

### INTANGIBLE ASSET VALUATION

The determination of the fair value of certain acquired assets and liabilities is subjective in nature and often involves the use of significant estimates and assumptions. Determining the fair values and useful lives of intangible assets especially requires the exercise of judgment. While there are a number of different generally accepted valuation methods to estimate the value of intangible assets acquired, the Company primarily uses the discounted cash flow method. This method requires significant management judgment to forecast the future operating results used in the analysis. In addition, other significant estimates are required such as residual growth rates and discount factors. The estimates the Company has used are consistent with the plans and estimates that the Company uses to manage its business, based on available historical information and industry averages. The judgments made in determining the estimated useful lives assigned to each class of assets acquired can also significantly affect our net operating results.

### ALLOWANCE FOR BAD DEBT

The Company maintains an allowance for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of our customers to make required payments. Our allowance for doubtful accounts is based on our assessment of the collectibility of specific customer accounts, the aging of accounts receivable, our history of bad debts, and the general condition of the industry. If a major customer's credit worthiness deteriorates, or our customers' actual defaults exceed our historical experience, our estimates could change and impact our reported results.

### INTANGIBLE ASSETS

At the end of each quarter the Company performs impairment tests on each of its intangible assets, which include capitalized patent costs, capitalized software development costs, and purchased software. In doing so, the Company evaluates the carrying value of each intangible asset with respect to several factors, including historical revenue generated from each intangible asset, application of the assets in our current business plan, and projected revenue to be derived from the asset. Revenue is projected using the expected present value of revenues over the lives of each intangible asset group. If the book value of any assets group exceeds the expected revenue, then an impairment charge is taken and the asset balance is adjusted to match the expected revenue. As of December 31, 2001, the expected present value of revenues over the lives of each intangible asset group exceeded their respective carrying values, and no impairment charge was taken in the fourth quarter of 2001.

### FINANCING AGREEMENTS

## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

As of December 31, 2001, the Company was party to a commercial financing agreement with GE Access that provides short-term financing for certain computer hardware and software purchases. This arrangement allows the Company to re-sell high-dollar technology equipment and software without committing cash resources to financing the purchase. There are no debt covenants specified in the credit agreement. Termination of this agreement would materially adversely affect the Company's financial condition. Management expects the agreement to remain in place in the near future.

### RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In June of 1998, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the "FASB") issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard ("SFAS") No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities." The FASB later issued in June 1999 SFAS No. 137, which deferred the effective date for SFAS No. 133 to all fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2000, with earlier application encouraged. SFAS No. 133 establishes accounting and reporting standards for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts, and for hedging activities. It requires that an entity recognize all derivatives as either assets or liabilities in the statement of financial position and measure those instruments at fair value. The adoption of

29

SFAS No. 133 did not have a material impact on our financial position or results of operations.

On December 3, 1999, the Securities and Exchange Commission staff released Staff Accounting Bulletin ("SAB") No. 101, Revenue Recognition. This SAB provides guidance on the recognition, presentation and disclosure of revenue in financial statements. We implemented SAB No. 101 for the quarter ended June 30, 2000. It did not have a material impact on our results of operations.

On July 21, 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statements of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141 (SFAS No. 141), "Business Combinations", and No. 142 (SFAS No. 142), "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets." SFAS No. 141 addresses financial accounting and reporting for goodwill and other intangible assets acquired in a business combination at acquisition. SFAS No. 141 requires the purchase method of accounting to be used for all business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001 and establishes specific criteria for the recognition of intangible assets separately from goodwill; SFAS No. 142 addresses financial accounting and reporting for goodwill and other intangible assets subsequent to their acquisition. SFAS No. 142 provides that goodwill and intangible assets which have indefinite useful lives will not be amortized, but rather will be tested at least annually for impairment. It also provides that intangible assets that have finite useful lives will continue to be amortized over their useful lives, but those lives will no longer be limited to forty years. SFAS No. 141 is effective for all business combinations after June 30, 2001. The provisions of SFAS No. 142 are effective beginning January 1, 2002. The Company is considering the provisions of SFAS No. 141 and No. 142 and at present has not determined the impact of adopting SFAS No. 141 and SFAS No. 142.

In October 2001, the FASB recently issued SFAS No. 143, "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations," which requires companies to record the fair value of a liability for asset retirement obligations in the period in which they are incurred. The statement applies to a company's legal obligations associated with the retirement of a tangible long-lived asset that results from the acquisition, construction, and development or through the normal operation of a long-lived

## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

asset. When a liability is initially recorded, the company would capitalize the cost, thereby increasing the carrying amount of the related asset. The capitalized asset retirement cost is depreciated over the life of the respective asset while the liability is accreted to its present value. Upon settlement of the liability, the obligation is settled at its recorded amount or the company incurs a gain or loss. The statement is effective for fiscal years beginning after June 30, 2002. The Company does not expect the adoption to have a material impact to the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In October 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets". Statement 144 addresses the accounting and reporting for the impairment or disposal of long-lived assets. The statement provides a single accounting model for long-lived assets to be disposed of. New criteria must be met to classify the asset as an asset held-for-sale. This statement also focuses on reporting the effects of a disposal of a segment of a business. This statement is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001. The Company does not expect the adoption to have a material impact to its financial position or results of operations.

### ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Market risk is the potential loss arising from adverse changes in market rates and prices, such as foreign currency exchange, interest rates and a decline in the stock market. The Company does not enter into derivatives or other financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes. The Company has limited exposure to market risks related to changes in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates. The Company does not currently invest in equity instruments of public or private companies for business or strategic purposes.

The Company generally conducts business, including sales to foreign customers, in U.S. dollars, and as a result, has limited foreign currency exchange rate risk. The effect of an immediate 10 percent change in foreign exchange rates would not have a material impact on the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

### ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Financial Statements to this Form 10-K are attached commencing on page F-1.

30

### ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES

On July 7, 1999, the Company filed a Report on Form 8-K reporting that KPMG LLP had resigned as the Company's independent auditors. In connection with the audit of the Company's financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1998 and in the subsequent interim periods, there were no disagreements with KPMG LLP on any matters of accounting principles or practice, financial statement disclosure, or auditing scope and procedures which, if not resolved to the satisfaction of KPMG LLP would have caused KPMG LLP to make reference to the matter in their report. Effective July 14, 1999, the Company engaged Arthur Andersen LLP to audit the Company's consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ending December 31, 1999.

## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

On October 29, 2001, the Company filed a Report on Form 8-K reporting that it had dismissed Arthur Andersen LLP as its independent auditors. In connection with the audit of the Company's financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2000 and 1999 and in the subsequent interim periods, there were no disagreements with Arthur Andersen LLP on any matters of accounting principles or practice, financial statement disclosure, or auditing scope and procedures which, if not resolved to the satisfaction of Arthur Andersen LLP would have caused Arthur Andersen LLP to make reference to the matter in their report. Effective October 25, 2001 the Company engaged Stonefield Josephson, Inc. as its new independent accountants.

31

### REPORT OF INDEPENDENT CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

To NeoMedia Technologies, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of NeoMedia Technologies, Inc. (a Delaware corporation) and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2000 and 1999, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of NeoMedia Technologies, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2000 and 1999, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company has suffered recurring losses from operations and the current cash position of the Company raises substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans in regards to these matters are also described in Note 3. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ ARTHUR ANDERSEN LLP

Tampa, Florida  
March 30, 2001

F-1

### REPORT OF INDEPENDENT CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS



Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

To the Board of Directors and  
Stockholders of Neomedia Technologies, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Neomedia Technologies, Inc. (a Delaware corporation) and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2001, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity and cash flows for the year then ended. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall consolidated financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Neomedia Technologies, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2001, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company's significant operating losses and current cash flow position raise substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ STONEFIELD JOSEPHSON, INC.

Irvine, California  
March 28, 2002

F-2

NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS  
(IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SHARE DATA)

	DECEMBER 31,	
	2001	2000
	----	----
ASSETS		
Current assets:		

Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

Cash and cash equivalents.....	\$ 134	\$4,453
Restricted cash.....	--	750
Short-term investments.....	--	--
Trade accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$65 and \$484 in 2001 and 2000.....	2,583	4,370
Digital Convergence receivable.....		5,144
Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts.....	43	89
Inventories.....	197	116
Assets held for sale.....	210	--
Prepaid expenses and other current assets.....	582	946
	-----	-----
Total current assets.....	3,749	15,868
Property and equipment, net.....	205	365
Digital Convergence receivable, net of current portion.....	--	10,288
Prepaid - Digital Convergence.....	--	4,116
Capitalized patents, net.....	2,500	2,661
Capitalized and purchased software costs and other intangible assets, net	1,828	6,382
Other long-term assets.....	757	914
	-----	-----
Total assets.....	\$ 9,039	\$ 40,594
	=====	=====
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable.....	\$2,886	\$1,187
Amounts due under financing agreements.....	2,283	1,114
Accrued expenses.....	1,922	2,691
Stock liability.....	--	--
Current portion of long-term debt.....	149	137
Note Payable.....	750	--
Sales taxes payable.....	135	261
Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts.....	13	49
Deferred revenues - Digital Convergence.....	--	1,543
Deferred revenues.....	767	449
Other.....	7	11
	-----	-----
Total current liabilities.....	8,912	7,442
Long-term debt, net of current portion.....	390	539
Long-term deferred revenue - Digital Convergence.....	--	13,503
	-----	-----
Total liabilities.....	9,302	21,484
	-----	-----
Shareholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$.01 par value, 10,000,000 shares authorized, 452,289 issued and outstanding in 2001, none issued and outstanding in 2000.....	5	--
Additional paid-in capital, preferred stock.....	878	--
Common stock, \$.01 par value, 50,000,000 shares authorized, 20,446,343 shares issued and 18,804,917 outstanding in 2001, 14,460,384 shares issued and outstanding in 2000	188	145
Additional paid-in capital.....	63,029	57,619
Stock subscriptions receivable.....	(240)	--
Accumulated deficit.....	(63,344)	(37,875)
Treasury stock, at cost, 201,230 shares of common stock...	(779)	(779)
	-----	-----
Total shareholders' equity.....	(263)	19,110
	-----	-----
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity.....	\$ 9,039	\$ 40,594
	=====	=====

# Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated balance sheets.

F-3

## NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE DATA)

	YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31	
	2001	2000
<b>NET SALES:</b>		
License fees.....	\$ 576	\$8,417
Resales of software and technology equipment and service fees	7,566	19,148
	8,142	27,565
<b>COST OF SALES:</b>		
License fees.....	2,355	1,296
Resales of software and technology equipment and service fees	6,511	17,237
	8,866	18,533
<b>GROSS PROFIT.....</b>	<b>(724)</b>	<b>9,032</b>
Sales and marketing expenses.....	2,519	6,504
General and administrative expenses.....	4,772	7,010
Research and development costs.....	549	1,101
Loss on impairment of assets.....	2,871	--
Loss on Digital:Convergence license contract.....	7,354	--
	(18,789)	(5,583)
Loss from operations.....	(18,789)	(5,583)
Interest (income) expense, net.....	(21)	(174)
	(18,768)	(5,409)
Loss from continuing operations.....	(18,768)	(5,409)
Discontinued operations (Note 1):		
Loss from operations of discontinued business unit.....	(3,613)	--
Loss on disposal of discontinued business unit, including provision of \$439 in 2001 for operating losses during phase-out period	(3,088)	--
	\$ (25,469)	\$ (5,409)
<b>NET LOSS.....</b>	<b>\$ (25,469)</b>	<b>\$ (5,409)</b>

Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

NET LOSS PER SHARE FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS-- BASIC AND DILUTED.....	\$ (1.14)	\$ (0.39)
NET LOSS PER SHARE FROM DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS-- BASIC AND DILUTED.....	\$ (0.41)	\$ --
NET LOSS PER SHARE--BASIC AND DILUTED.....	\$ (1.55)	\$ (0.39)
Weighted average number of common shares--basic and diluted	16,410,246	13,931,104

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-4

NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
(IN THOUSANDS)

	YEARS ENDED DEC 2001	2000
	----	----
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net loss.....	\$ (25,469)	\$ (5,400)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization.....	3,369	2,300
Loss on disposal of discontinued business units.....	2,649	--
Loss on disposal of and impairment of assets.....	2,871	--
Effect of loss on Digital:Convergence contract.....	7,354	--
Preferred stock issued to pay advertising expense.....	882	--
Expense associated with warrant repricing.....	947	--
Fair value of stock based compensation granted for professional services.....	69	400
Changes in operating assets and liabilities		
Trade accounts receivable, net.....	(709)	1,500
Digital Convergence receivable.....	--	(2,700)
Prepaid - Digital Convergence.....	118	--
Costs and estimates earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts.....	46	(100)
Other current assets.....	(109)	(100)
Other long-term assets.....	--	(100)
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and stock liability..	2,502	(2,600)
Billings in excess of costs and estimates earnings on uncompleted contracts.....	(36)	(100)
Deferred revenue.....	318	100
Other current liabilities.....	(4)	--
Net cash used in operating activities.....	(5,202)	(6,700)

Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:

Capitalization of software development and purchased intangible assets	(2,883)	(2,3
(Increase)/decrease in value of life insurance policies.....	158	(1
Acquisition of property and equipment.....	(81)	(1
	-----	-----
Net cash used in investing activities.....	(2,806)	(2,6
	-----	-----

CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:

Net proceeds from issuance of common stock, net of issuance costs of \$149 in 2001, \$74 in 2000, and \$148 in 1999.....	1,638	9,2
Net proceeds from exercise of stock warrants.....	1,045	2,8
Net proceeds from exercise of stock options.....	138	5
Common stock repurchased.....	--	(7
Borrowings under notes payable and long-term debt.....	504	
Change in restricted cash.....	750	1
Repayments on notes payable and long-term debt.....	(386)	(6
	-----	-----
Net cash provided by financing activities.....	3,689	11,4
	-----	-----
NET INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS.....	(4,319)	1,9
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING OF YEAR.....	4,453	2,4
	-----	-----
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF YEAR.....	\$ 134	\$4,4
	=====	=====

SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION:

Interest paid/(received) during the year .....	\$ (61)	\$1
Non-cash investing and financing activities:		
Net assets acquired as part of Qode purchase agreement in exchange for common stock and forgiveness of note.....	1,800	
Shares earned by Qode.com under purchase agreement.....	13	

F-5

Accounts payable converted to note payable.....	246	
Common stock issued in exchange for note receivable.....	240	
Net assets classified as "Liabilities held for sale".....	210	
Daystar assets purchased with shares of common stock.....	--	3,5
Conversion of short-term debt to equity.....	--	
Issuance costs for shares issued through private placement	149	
Stock liability due upon issuance of patent.....	--	
Warrants issued for license contract.....	--	4,7
Deferred revenue relating to license contract.....	--	15,4

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-6

NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY  
(IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SHARE DATA)

Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

	Common Stock				Preferred S		
	Shares	Amount	Additional Paid-in Capital	Stock Subscription Receivable	Shares	Amount	Cap
BALANCE, DECEMBER 31, 1998.....	8,699,08	\$87	\$25,168	-	-	-	-
Exercise of employee options...	611,854	6	1,055	-	-	-	-
Issuance of common stock through Private placement, net of placement, net of \$260 of	1,978,794	20	8,039	-	-	-	-
Issuance costs.....	1,978,794	20	8,039	-	-	-	-
Fair value of warrants issued for professional services rendered.....	-	-	28	-	-	-	-
Exercise of warrants..... warrants.....	231,764	1	74	-	-	-	-
Fair value of stock granted in Conjunction with financing Net Loss.....	501,897	5	2,003	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BALANCE, DECEMBER 31, 1999.....	12,023,389	\$119	\$36,367	-	-	-	-
Exercise of employee options...	182,787	2	535	-	-	-	-
Issuance of common stock through Private placement, net of \$170 of	1,415,259	15	9,188	-	-	-	-
Issuance costs.....	1,415,259	15	9,188	-	-	-	-
Fair value of warrants issued for Professional services rendered	-	-	253	-	-	-	-
Fair value of stock issued for professional Services rendered..	21,500	1	183	-	-	-	-
Fair value of warrants issued Related to license agreement With Digital Convergence...	-	-	4,704	-	-	-	-
Exercise of warrants.....	495,600	5	2,872	-	-	-	-
Stock issued to purchase assets purchase assets.....	321,829	3	3,517	-	-	-	-
Treasury stock at cost.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net Loss.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BALANCE, DECEMBER 31, 2000.....	14,460,384	\$145	\$57,619	-	-	-	-
Exercise of employee options...	38,560	-	138	-	-	-	-
Issuance of Common Stock through private Placement, Net of \$149 of issuance costs.....	3,490,750	35	1,843	-	-	-	-
Expense associated with warrant repricing..	-	-	947	-	-	-	-
Fair value of options issued for Professional services rendered	-	-	69	-	-	-	-
Exercise of Warrants.....	505,450	5	1,040	-	-	-	-

Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

Stock issued to purchase assets	309,773	3	1,373	-	-	-	-
purchase assets.....							
Issuance of Preferred Stock for	-	-	-	-	452,489	5	87
services.....							
Stock Subscription Receivable..				(240)			
Net Loss.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

---

BALANCE, DECEMBER 31, 2001 18,804,917 \$188 \$63,029 (240) 452,489 \$5 \$87

Total  
Stockholders'  
Equity

---

BALANCE, DECEMBER 31, 1998..... \$3,261

Exercise of employee options... 1,061

Issuance of common stock through  
Private placement, net of

placement, net of \$260 of  
Issuance costs..... 8,059

Fair value of warrants issued  
for professional services

rendered..... 28

Exercise of warrants..... 75

warrants.....

Fair value of stock granted in  
Conjunction with financing 2,008

Net Loss..... (10,472)

---

BALANCE, DECEMBER 31, 1999..... \$4,020

Exercise of employee options... 537

Issuance of common stock through  
Private placement, net of

\$170 of  
Issuance costs..... 9,203

Fair value of warrants issued for  
Professional services rendered 253

Fair value of stock issued for  
professional Services rendered.. 184

Fair value of warrants issued  
Related to license agreement

With Digital Convergence... 4,704

Exercise of warrants..... 2,877

Stock issued to purchase assets  
purchase assets..... 3,520

Treasury stock at cost..... (779)

Net Loss..... (5,409)

---

BALANCE, DECEMBER 31,  
2000..... \$19,110

---

Exercise of employee options... 138

Issuance of Common Stock through  
private Placement, Net  
of \$149 of issuance costs..... 1,878

Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

Expense associated with warrant repricing..	947
Fair value of options issued for Professional services rendered	69
Exercise of Warrants.....	1,045
Stock issued to purchase assets purchase assets.....	1,376
Issuance of Preferred Stock for services.....	883
Stock Subscription Receivable..	(240)
Net Loss.....	(25,469)
	-----
BALANCE, DECEMBER 31, 2001	(\$263)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-7

NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND NATURE OF BUSINESS OPERATIONS

BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The consolidated financial statements include the financial statements of NeoMedia Technologies, Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, NeoMedia Migration, Inc., a Delaware corporation; Distribuidora Vallarta, S.A. incorporated in Guatemala; NeoMedia Technologies of Canada, Inc. incorporated in Canada; NeoMedia Tech, Inc. incorporated in Delaware; NeoMedia EDV GmbH incorporated in Austria; NeoMedia Technologies Holding Company B.V. incorporated in the Netherlands; NeoMedia Technologies de Mexico S.A. de C.V. incorporated in Mexico; NeoMedia Migration de Mexico S.A. de C.V. incorporated in Mexico; NeoMedia Technologies do Brasil Ltd. incorporated in Brazil and NeoMedia Technologies UK Limited incorporated in the United Kingdom, and are collectively referred to as "NeoMedia" or the "Company". The consolidated financial statements of NeoMedia are presented on a consolidated basis for all periods presented. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in preparation of the consolidated financial statements.

NATURE OF BUSINESS OPERATIONS

The Company is structured and evaluated by its Board of Directors and Management as two distinct business units:

NeoMedia Internet Switching Services (NISS), and

NeoMedia Consulting and Integration Services (NCIS)

NEOMEDIA INTERNET SWITCHING SERVICES (NISS)

NISS (physical world-to-Internet offerings) is the core business and is



## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

based in the United States, with development and operating facilities in Fort Myers, Florida. NISS develops and supports the Company's physical world to Internet core technology, including our linking "switch" and application platforms. NISS also manages the Company's valuable intellectual property portfolio, including the identification and execution of licensing opportunities surrounding the patents.

### NEOMEDIA CONSULTING AND INTEGRATION SERVICES (NCIS)

NCIS (systems integration service offerings) is the original business line upon which the Company was organized. This unit resells client-server equipment and related software. The unit also provides general and specialized consulting services targeted at software driven print applications, and especially at process automation of production print facilities through its integrated document factory solution. Systems integration services also identifies prospects for custom applications based on NeoMedia's products and services. The operations are based in Lisle, Illinois.

## 2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

### CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

For the purposes of the consolidated balance sheets and consolidated statements of cash flows, all highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less are considered cash equivalents.

### ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make

F-8

## NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reported period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

### REVENUE RECOGNITION

License fees, including Intellectual Property license, represent revenue from the licensing of NeoMedia's proprietary software tools and applications products. NeoMedia licenses its development tools and application products pursuant to non-exclusive and non-transferable license agreements. Resales of software and technology equipment represent revenue from the resale of purchased third party hardware and software products and from consulting, education, maintenance and post contract customer support services.

Under American Institute of Certified Public Accountants ("AICPA") Statement of Position 97-2 "Software Revenue Recognition" ("SOP 97-2"), as amended, license revenue is recognized if persuasive evidence of an agreement exists, delivery has occurred, pricing is fixed and determinable, and collectibility is probable.

Software and technology equipment resale revenue is recognized when all of

## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

the components necessary to run software or hardware have been shipped. Service revenues include maintenance fees for providing system updates for software products, user documentation and technical support and are recognized over the life of the contract. Software license revenue from long-term contracts has been recognized on a percentage of completion basis, along with the associated services being provided. Other service revenues, including training and consulting, are recognized as the services are performed. The Company uses stand-alone pricing to determine an element's vendor specific objective evidence (VSOE) in order to allocate an arrangement fee amongst various pieces of a multi-element contract. NeoMedia records an allowance for uncollectible accounts on a customer-by-customer basis as appropriate.

### PURCHASE AND DISPOSAL OF QODE.COM, INC.

On March 1, 2001, NeoMedia purchased all of the net assets of Qode.com, Inc. (Qode), except for cash. Qode is a development stage company, as defined in Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 7, "Accounting and Reporting By Development Stage Enterprises". In consideration for these assets, NeoMedia issued 274,699 shares of common stock, valued at \$1,359,760. Additionally, the Company placed in escrow 1,676,500 shares of its common stock valued at \$8,298,675. Stock issued was valued at \$4.95 per share, which is the average closing price for the few days before and after the measurement date of March 1, 2001. As of December 31, 2001 the Company had released 35,074 shares of common stock from escrow for performance for the period March 1, 2001 to August 31, 2001. The remaining 1,641,426 shares held in escrow as contingent compensation will not be issued due to the business unit not attaining certain performance targets.

The Company accounted for this purchase using the purchase method of accounting in accordance with Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 16, "Business Combinations". The excess fair market value of the net assets acquired over the purchase price was allocated to reduce proportionately the values assigned to noncurrent assets. The accompanying consolidated statements of operations include the operations of Qode from March 1, 2001, through September 30, 2001.

F-9

### NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The purchase price at the original purchase date was calculated and allocated as follows:

Original Shares: 274,699 issued at \$4.95	1,360,000
Contingent shares: 35,074 issued at \$0.39	\$ 13,000
	-----
Total purchase price	\$ 1,373,000
	-----

#### PURCHASE PRICE ALLOCATED AS FOLLOWS:

##### ASSETS PURCHASED

Trade receivables	\$ 5,000
Inventory	144,000
Prepaid expenses	49,000
Furniture & fixtures	913,000

## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

Capitalized development costs	2,132,000
Capitalized software	83,000
Refundable deposits - non-current	38,000
 LIABILITIES ASSUMED	
Accounts payable	(981,000)
Forgiveness of note receivable	(440,000)
Interest receivable	(10,000)
Current portion of long-term debt	(117,000)
Note payable	(24,000)
Capitalized lease obligation	(419,000)
	-----
Total purchase price allocated	\$ 1,373,000
	=====

During the third quarter of 2001, the Company issued an additional 35,074 shares under the terms of the earn-out with Qode.com, Inc. (see explanation below). The value of these shares in the amount of \$13,000 was allocated \$9,000 to capitalized development costs and \$4,000 to furniture and fixtures.

### CONTINGENT CONSIDERATION

In accordance with the purchase of the assets of Qode.com, Inc., NeoMedia has placed 1,676,500 shares of its common stock in escrow for a period of one year, subject to downward adjustment, based upon the achievement of certain performance targets over the period of March 1, 2001 to February 28, 2002. As of March 1, 2002, these performance targets were not met and therefore, the remaining 1,641,426 shares held in escrow were not issued. The criteria used to determine the number of shares released from escrow is a weighted combination of revenue, page views, and fully allocated earnings before taxes relating to the Qode Universal Commerce Solution.

At the end of each of certain interim periods as outlined in the purchase agreement, the number of cumulative shares earned by Qode.com is calculated based on revenue and page views and the shares are released. The resulting financial impact on NeoMedia is a proportionate increase in the long-term assets acquired from Qode, with a corresponding increase in depreciation expense from that point forward. The amount of the increase in long-term assets is dependent upon the number of shares released from escrow, as well as the value of NeoMedia stock at the time of measurement. The first such measurement date is July 1, 2001. At the end of the 12-month measurement period (February 28, 2002), the final number of shares issued to Qode under the earn-out was 309,773, allocated as outlined in the table above.

F-10

NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

### INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Intangible assets acquired from Qode.com include:

- i). Purchased software licenses relating to the development of the Qode Universal Commerce Solution, amortized on a straight-line basis over three years.
- ii). Capitalized software development costs relating to the development of the Qode Universal Commerce Solution.

### PROFORMA INFORMATION

Proforma results of operations as though the companies had combined at the beginning of the period is as follows:

	YEAR ENDED	
	December 31, 2001	December 31, 2000
Revenue	\$ 8,228	\$ 27,776
Net Loss	\$ (20,959)	\$ (14,297)
EPS - basic and diluted	\$ (1.28)	\$ (1.01)

### DISPOSAL OF QODE BUSINESS UNIT

On August 31, 2001, the Company signed a non-binding letter of intent to sell the assets and liabilities of its Ft. Lauderdale-based Qode business unit, which it acquired in March 2001, to The Finx Group, Inc., a holding company based in Elmsford, NY. The final contract is contingent upon the completion of due diligence and definitive terms and conditions stated in the letter of intent. The Company intends to sell the assets and liabilities of Qode, which consist of all inventory, equipment and the ownership and operation of the comprehensive universal internet database along with the corresponding patents. The Finx Group will assume \$620,000 in Qode payables and \$800,000 in long-term leases in exchange for 500,000 shares of the Finx Group, right to use and sell Qode services, and up to \$5 million in affiliate revenues over the next five years. As of December 31, 2001, the transaction had not been consummated due to the encumbrance of certain of NeoMedia's Qode-related assets under the Company's note payable to Airclic, Inc. The Finx group has taken possession of certain Qode system assets and has taken over ongoing expenses related to the business unit. Management believes that the sale will be completed immediately upon resolution of Airclic litigation. During the third and fourth quarters of 2001, the company recorded a \$2.6 million expense from the write-down of the Qode assets/liabilities to the following net realizable value:

December 31, 2001	
(Balances in Thousands)	
Inventory	\$ 144
Equipment	265
Intangible Assets	1,027
	-----
Assets	1,436
	-----
Accounts Payable	\$1,108
Note Payable	15
Capital Lease	103
	-----

## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

Liabilities	1,226
	-----
Net Realizable Value	\$ 210
	-----

F-11

### NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The loss for discontinued operations during the phase-out period from August 31, 2001 (measurement date) to September 30, 2001 was \$439,000. No further loss is anticipated.

On May 31, 2001, three creditors of Qode.com, Inc. filed in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court an involuntary bankruptcy petition for Qode.com, Inc. Qode.com, Inc. has converted the proceedings to Chapter 11, U.S. Code to re-organize its debts.

#### DIGITAL:CONVERGENCE CORPORATION INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LICENSE AGREEMENT

The Company entered into an agreement with a competitor, Digital:Convergence Corporation ("DC"), a private company located in the US, in October 2000, granting them a worldwide, non-exclusive license of the Company's extensive patent portfolio for directly linking documents, objects, transaction and voice commands to the internet. The agreement provided for annual license fees over a period of ten years in excess of \$100 million through a combination of cash and equity. The Company recognized \$7.8 million of revenue in 2000 related to this contract, including a \$5.0 million cash payment received in October 2000 for royalties earned before contract execution, \$2.5 million related to the \$10 million of payments in DC common stock and cash expected to be received in the first year of the contract, and \$0.3 million related to DC stock received by NeoMedia to be recognized over the life of the contract.

As part of the contract, the Company issued to DC a warrant to purchase 1.4 million shares of NeoMedia common stock.

In the first quarter of 2001, DC issued the Company an interest bearing \$3 million note payable in lieu of a \$3 million cash payment due in January 2001. The Company also received shares of DC stock in January with a contractual value of \$2 million as part of the first contract-year royalties due. The note was originally due on April 24, 2001, however, on that date the Company agreed to extend it until June 24, 2001. The Company also partially wrote down, in the first quarter of 2001, the value of the remaining DC stock receivable, and DC stock that had been received in January, to a value that management believed was reasonable at the time (50% of the valuation stipulated in the contract). The write-down consisted of a reduction in assets of \$7.7 million and a corresponding reduction in liabilities of \$7.7 million. The DC stock received in January 2001 was valued at \$1 million and the DC receivable was valued at \$9.2 million. In April 2001, the Company received additional shares of DC stock with a \$5 million value based on the valuation method stipulated in the contract. No revenue was recognized related to these shares and the shares were not recorded as an asset due to DC's worsening financial condition. All assets and liabilities relating to the contract were subsequently written off in the second quarter (see below).

Also in April, an agreement was entered into with DC whereby for a period from the date of registration of the shares underlying the warrant to purchase 1.4 million shares of the Company's common stock until October 24, 2001, if the Company would identify a purchaser for the Company's shares, DC would exercise

## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

the warrant and purchase 1.4 million shares of common stock and sell the shares to the identified purchaser. One third of the net proceeds received by DC on the sale of the Company's common stock shall be paid to the Company toward repayment of DC's obligations under the note to the Company in the amount of \$3 million. In consideration for this, the warrant exercise price was reduced during this period to 38 percent of the closing sale price of the Company's common stock on the day prior to the date of exercise, subject to a minimum price. Because the exercise of the warrants at this reduced price is contingent upon the Company finding a purchaser of the underlying 1.4 million shares, the value of this re-pricing will be measured and recorded at the time the shares are sold. As of October 24, the Company was not able to locate a purchaser and therefore, the warrant was not exercised.

On June 24, 2001, DC did not pay the note that was due, and on June 26, 2001, the Company filed a \$3 million lawsuit against DC for breach of contract regarding the \$3 million promissory note. It was also learned in the second quarter of 2001 that DC's capital raising efforts and business operations were having difficulty, and the Company decided to write off all remaining amounts related to the DC contract. The following table represents balance sheet balances at December 31, 2000 and March 31, 2001, as well as all amounts written off during the second quarter of 2001:

F-12

### NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	December 31, 2000 Balances	March 31, 2000 Balances (Unaudited)	Write-off June 30, 2001
-----			
(Dollars in thousands)			
-----			
<b>ASSETS</b>			
Available for sale securities - Digital Convergence	\$ -	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,000
Trade Accounts Receivable	2,500	1,500	1,500
Digital Convergence receivable	5,144	5,144	5,144
Prepaid expenses (current portion)	470	470	470
Digital Convergence receivable, net of current portion	10,288	2,572	2,572
Prepaid DC (long-term portion)	4,116	3,998	3,998
	-----	-----	-----
Total assets	\$22,518	\$14,684	\$ 14,684
	=====	=====	=====
<b>LIABILITIES</b>			
Deferred revenues DC	\$ 1,543	772	772
Long-term deferred revenues - DC	13,503	6,558	6,558
	-----	-----	-----
Total liabilities	\$15,046	7,330	7,330
	=====	=====	=====

## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

The net effect of the write-off is a \$7,354,000 non-cash charge to income during the second quarter, which is included in Loss on Digital:Convergence License Contract in the consolidated statements of operations for the year ending December 31, 2001. Any future revenues related to this contract will be recorded as payments are received.

### AIRCLIC, INC. RELATIONSHIP

On July 3, 2001, NeoMedia signed a non-binding letter of intent with AirClic, Inc. to cross-license the companies' intellectual property. The terms of the proposed agreement called for NeoMedia to: (i) acquire an equity interest in AirClic, and (ii) issue a significant equity interest in NeoMedia to AirClic, which interest would likely have exceeded 50% of NeoMedia's outstanding equity securities. Further terms of the agreement called for NeoMedia to acquire AirClic's Connect2 comparison shopping business unit, which was to be combined with NeoMedia's Qode business unit. AirClic has loaned NeoMedia \$500,000 under a secured note due on the earlier of (i) the date on which NeoMedia raises \$5 million in equity financing from a source other than AirClic, (ii) a change in control of NeoMedia, or (iii) January 11, 2002.

During the negotiation of a definitive set of agreements between the companies, it was determined that the consummation of the transaction as provided in the non-binding letter of intent would not be completed. As a result, additional notes aggregating \$1,500,000 will not be executed between the companies.

On September 6, 2001, AirClic filed suit against the Company in the Court of Common Pleas, Montgomery County, PA, for breach of contract relating to the July 3, 2001 non-binding letter of intent signed by the Company and AirClic. AirClic claims that the Company violated express representations and warranties relating to the Company's assets and state of business affairs. AirClic seeks a judgment to accelerate repayment of the \$500,000 note due January 11, 2002, and to relieve AirClic from any obligation to make further loans to the Company as outlined in the letter of intent. AirClic has also filed suit against the Company in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. In this second action, AirClic seeks a declaration that certain core intellectual property securing the note issued by us to AirClic, some of which is patented and others for which a patent application is pending, is invalid and in the public domain. (see "Legal Proceedings" in Footnote 11)

### ADVERTISING EXPENSE

F-13

### NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

During the year ended December 31, 2001, the Company entered into a one-year license agreement with About.com, Inc. to provide the Qode Universal Commerce SolutionTM to About.com's users. In June 2001, About.com ran banner ads on its site promoting the Qode Universal Commerce SolutionTM. As part of this transaction, About.com received 452,489 shares of our Series B Convertible Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, of the 500,000 total Series B Convertible Preferred shares the Company is authorized to issue, in consideration for these promotions. The Company recorded an advertising expense of \$882,000 associated with this transaction in sales and marketing expense in

## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. The agreement with About.com was terminated on August 31, 2001, in anticipation of the sale of the Qode assets to the Finx Group.

### SEVERANCE EXPENSE

During the third quarter of 2001, the Company laid off 55 employees, including the chief technology officer and the chief operating officer, representing a 60% decrease in its total workforce. In connection with the layoffs, the Company recognized a severance expense of approximately \$494,000 during the third quarter of 2001. The layoffs were part of a company-wide cost reduction initiative.

### EXECUTIVE INCENTIVE EXPENSE

In June 2001, the Company's compensation committee approved an adjustment, relating to the Digital:Convergence patent license fees, to the 2000 executive incentive plan that reduced the bonus payout by approximately \$1.1 million. This was recorded as a negative expense in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations.

### WARRANT REPRICING PROGRAM

In May 2001, the Company re-priced approximately 1.5 million additional warrants subject to a limited exercise period and other conditions, including certain warrants issued in connection with NeoMedia's initial public offering in 1996, which will expire at the end of 2001. The repricing program allowed the warrant exercise price to be reduced to 33 percent of the closing sale price of the Company's common stock (subject to a minimum) on the day prior to the date of exercise for a period of six months from the date the repricing program began. The exercise of the warrants and sale of the underlying common stock was at the discretion of a broker selected by the Company, within the parameters of the repricing arrangement. In accordance with FASB Interpretation, FIN 44, Accounting for Certain Transactions Involving Stock Transactions, the award was accounted for as variable from the date of modifications on May 1, 2001. Accordingly, \$181,000 was recorded as compensation in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations.

### WARRANT ISSUANCE

In June 2001, the Board of Directors' approved the issuance of 414,000 warrants for Charles W. Fritz, NeoMedia's Chairman, CEO, and president at a exercise price of \$2.09. However, the warrants were not issued during 2001. The Company does not intend to issue these warrants in 2002.

### VALUATION AND RESERVES

Allowance for doubtful accounts activity for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000 was as follows:

	(dollars in thousands)	
	2001	2000
	-----	-----
Beginning balance.....	\$484	\$888
Bad debt expense.....	(169)	303
Write-off of uncollectible accounts.....	(68)	(17)
Collection of accounts previously written off.....	(182)	(75)



NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Adjustment to general allowance.....	-	(615)
	-----	
Ending balance.....	\$65	\$484
	=====	

INVENTORIES

Inventory is stated at the lower of cost or market, and at December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999 was comprised of purchased computer technology resale products. Cost is determined using the first-in, first-out method.

PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

Property and equipment are carried at cost less allowance for accumulated depreciation. Repairs and maintenance are charged to expense as incurred. Depreciation is generally computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the related assets. The estimated useful lives range from three to five years for equipment and seven years for furniture and fixtures. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of the life of the lease or the useful lives of the related assets. Upon retirement or sale, cost and accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and any gain or loss is reflected in the consolidated statements of operations.

Depreciation expense was \$249,000, \$263,000 and \$367,000 for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999 respectively.

CAPITALIZED AND PURCHASED SOFTWARE COSTS AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Intangible assets consist of capitalized software development costs and patents.

Software development costs are accounted for in accordance with Statement of Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 86, "Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software to be Sold, Leased, or Otherwise Marketed." Costs associated with the planning and designing phase of software development, including coding and testing activities necessary to establish technological feasibility, are classified as research and development and expensed as incurred. Once technological feasibility has been determined, additional costs incurred in development, including coding, testing, quality assurance and documentation are capitalized. Once a product is made available for sale, capitalization is stopped unless the related costs are associated with a technologically feasible enhancement to the product. Amortization of purchased and developed software is provided on a product-by-product basis over the estimated economic life of the software, generally three years, using the straight-line method.

In accordance with SFAS No. 86, at the end of each quarterly reporting period, the Company evaluates each of its software products for impairment by adjusting the unamortized capitalized costs of each computer software product to its net realizable value. Net realizable value is equal to the estimated future gross revenues from each product reduced by the estimated future costs of completing and disposing of that product, including the costs of performing maintenance and customer support required to satisfy the Company's

## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

responsibility set forth at the time of sale. It is reasonably possible that the estimates underlying the impairment analysis could change in the near term, and the effect of the change could be material to the financial statements.

Patents (including patents pending and intellectual property) and acquired customer lists are stated at cost, less accumulated amortization. Patents are generally amortized over periods ranging from five to seventeen years.

Intangible assets activity for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000 was as follows:

	DECEMBER 31,	
	2001	2000
Beginning Balance	\$9,043	\$ 5,296

F-15

### NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Additions	2,493	5,837
Intangible Assets Moved		
To "Assets Held for Sale"	(1,027)	---
Amortization/Write-offs	(6,181)	(2,090)
	\$4,328	\$ 9,043
Ending Balance	=====	=====

Amortization expense of intangible assets was \$3,120,000 \$2,073,000 and \$1,662,000 for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively.

#### LOSS ON IMPAIRMENT OF ASSETS

In connection with the Company's reduction in work force during the third quarter 2001, the Company sold the rights to its Pacer Advantage end-user software product for \$40,000 cash. Accordingly, the Company wrote off all its assets aggregating \$2.9 million related to the MLM/Affinity program including assets pertaining to the purchase of Daystar services, LLC and a customer list purchased in 1998. Revenue related to the MLM/Affinity program was \$92,000, \$259,000, and \$0 for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000, and 1999, respectively. Net loss allocated to the MLM/Affinity program was \$832,000, \$1,075,000, and \$0 for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000, and 1999, respectively.

#### EVALUATION OF LONG-LIVED ASSETS

The Company periodically performs an evaluation of the carrying value of its long-lived assets, including intangible assets, in accordance with SFAS No. 121 "Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed Of". This evaluation consists primarily of a comparison to the future undiscounted net cash flows from the associated assets in comparison to the carrying value of the assets. As of December 31, 2001, the Company is of the opinion that no impairment of its long-lived assets has occurred.

#### INCOME TAXES

In accordance with SFAS No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes", income

Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

taxes are accounted for using the assets and liabilities approach. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities, and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance when, in the opinion of management, it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be recognized. The Company has recorded a 100% valuation allowance as of December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999.

COMPUTATION OF NET LOSS PER SHARE

Basic net loss per share is computed by dividing net loss by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period. The Company has excluded all outstanding stock options and warrants from the calculation of diluted net loss per share because these securities are anti-dilutive for all years presented. The shares excluded from the calculation of diluted net loss per share are detailed in the table below:

	DECEMBER 31, 2001 -----	DECEMBER 31, 2000 -----	DECEMBER 31, 1999 -----
Outstanding Stock Options.....	4,214,000	4,294,000	3,400,000
Outstanding Warrants.....	3,240,000	3,968,000	2,600,000

.....  
FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The Company believes that the fair value of its financial instruments approximate carrying value.

CONCENTRATIONS OF CREDIT RISK

F-16

NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Financial instruments that potentially subject NeoMedia to concentrations of credit risk consist primarily of trade accounts receivable with customers. Credit risk is generally minimized as a result of the large number and diverse nature of NeoMedia's customers, which are located throughout the United States. NeoMedia extends credit to its customers as determined on an individual basis and has included an allowance for doubtful accounts of \$65,000, \$484,000 and \$888,000 in its December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999 consolidated balance sheets, respectively. NeoMedia had net sales to one major customer in the telecommunications industry (Ameritech) of \$2,983,000, \$5,824,000 and \$5,843,000 during the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively, resulting in trade accounts receivable of \$1,499,000, \$229,000 and \$225,000 as of December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively. In addition, a single company supplies the equipment and software, which is re-marketed to this customer. Accordingly, the loss of this supplier or customer would materially adversely affect NeoMedia SI. Revenue generated from the remarketing of computer software and technology

## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

equipment has accounted for a significant percentage of NeoMedia's revenue. Such sales accounted for approximately 73%, 66% and 78% of NeoMedia's revenue for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively. NeoMedia had license fees to one major customer (DC) of \$7,768,000 during the year ended December 31, 2000, resulting in an accounts receivable of \$2,500,000 as of December 31, 2000. Revenue generated from this licensing agreement accounted for approximately 28% of NeoMedia revenue for the year ended December 31, 2000. No revenue was recognized under this agreement during the year ended December 31, 2001.

### RECLASSIFICATIONS

Certain reclassifications have been made to the 1999 and 2000 financial statements to conform to the 2001 presentation.

### COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

For the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, the Company did not have other comprehensive income and therefore has not included the statement of comprehensive income in the accompanying financial statements.

### RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

On July 21, 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statements of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141 (SFAS No. 141), "Business Combinations", and No. 142 (SFAS No. 142), "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets." SFAS No. 141 addresses financial accounting and reporting for goodwill and other intangible assets acquired in a business combination at acquisition. SFAS No. 141 requires the purchase method of accounting to be used for all business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001 and establishes specific criteria for the recognition of intangible assets separately from goodwill; SFAS No. 142 addresses financial accounting and reporting for goodwill and other intangible assets subsequent to their acquisition. SFAS No. 142 provides that goodwill and intangible assets which have indefinite useful lives will not be amortized but rather will be tested at least annually for impairment. It also provides that intangible assets that have finite useful lives will continue to be amortized over their useful lives, but those lives will no longer be limited to forty years. SFAS No. 141 is effective for all business combinations after June 30, 2001. The provisions of SFAS No. 142 are effective beginning January 1, 2002. The Company is considering the provisions of SFAS No. 141 and No. 142 and at present has not determined the impact of adopting SFAS No. 141 and SFAS No. 142. The Company does not expect the adoption to have a material impact to the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In October 2001, the FASB recently issued SFAS No. 143, "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations," which requires companies to record the fair value of a liability for asset retirement obligations in the period in which they are incurred. The statement applies to a company's legal obligations associated with the retirement of a tangible long-lived asset that results from the acquisition, construction, and development or through the normal operation of a long-lived asset. When a liability is initially recorded, the company would capitalize the cost, thereby increasing the carrying amount of the related asset. The capitalized asset retirement cost is depreciated over the life of the respective asset while the liability is accreted to its present value. Upon settlement of the liability, the obligation is settled at its recorded amount or the company

F-17

NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

incurs a gain or loss. The statement is effective for fiscal years beginning after June 30, 2002. The Company does not expect the adoption to have a material impact to the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In October 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets". Statement 144 addresses the accounting and reporting for the impairment or disposal of long-lived assets. The statement provides a single accounting model for long-lived assets to be disposed of. New criteria must be met to classify the asset as an asset held-for-sale. This statement also focuses on reporting the effects of a disposal of a segment of a business. This statement is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001. The Company does not expect the adoption to have a material impact to the Company's financial position or results of operations.

### 3. LIQUIDITY

During the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999 the Company's net loss totaled approximately \$25,469,000, \$5,409,000 and \$10,472,000 respectively. As of December 31, 2001 the Company had an accumulated deficit of approximately \$63,344,000 and approximately \$134,000 in unrestricted cash balances. As of December 31, 2001, the working capital was negative \$5,163,000 and cash flow from operations was negative \$5,202,000. The Company's unrestricted cash balance as of March 12, 2002 was approximately \$134,000 (unaudited).

The Company cannot be certain that anticipated revenues from operations will be sufficient to satisfy its ongoing capital requirements. Management's belief is based on the Company's operating plan, which in turn is based on assumptions that may prove to be incorrect. If the Company's financial resources are insufficient the Company may require additional financing in order to execute its operating plan and continue as a going concern. The Company cannot predict whether this additional financing will be in the form of equity or debt, or be in another form. The Company may not be able to obtain the necessary additional capital on a timely basis, on acceptable terms, or at all. In any of these events, the Company may be unable to implement its current plans for expansion, repay its debt obligations as they become due or respond to competitive pressures, any of which circumstances would have a material adverse effect on its business, prospects, financial condition and results of operations.

Subsequent to December 31, 2001, the Company has undertaken the following initiatives:

- o During February 2002, the Company sold 19 million shares of its common stock at \$0.17 per share in exchange for promissory notes maturing at the earlier of i) 90 days from the date of issuance, or ii) 30 days from registration of the shares. Proceeds from this transaction will be \$3,230,000.
- o During March 2002, the Company repriced 1.2 million of its common stock warrants for a period of six months. During the term of the warrant repricing program, participating holders are entitled to exercise qualified warrants at an exercise price per share equal to the greater of (1) \$0.12 or (2) 50% of the last sale price of shares of Common Stock on the NASDAQ Small Cap Market on the trading date immediately preceding the date of exercise.

Should these financing sources fail to materialize, management would seek alternate funding sources through sale of common and/or preferred stock. Management's plan is to secure adequate funding to bridge to revenue generation from the Company's valuable intellectual property portfolio and PaperClickTM

Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

internet "switching" software. To this end, the Company has retained the law firm of Baniak Pine & Gannon to pursue potential license agreements, and plans to implement a sales strategy for PaperClick™ upon receipt of adequate funding.

4. CONTRACT ACCOUNTING

NeoMedia periodically enters into long-term software development and consultation agreements with certain customers. As of December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, certain contracts were not completed and information regarding these uncompleted contracts was as follows:

F-18

NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	2001 ----	2000 ----
Costs Incurred on Contracts.....	\$ 50	\$ 321
Profit to Date.....	15	1,087
	-----	-----
Total Costs and Estimated Earnings.....	65	1,408
Less - Billings to Date.....	(35)	(1,368)
	-----	-----
Costs and Estimated Earnings in Excess of Billings.....	\$ 30	\$ 40
	30	40
	=====	=====

The above are included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets under the following captions:

	2001 ----	2000 ----
Costs and Estimated Earnings in Excess of Billings.....	\$ 43	\$ 89
Billing in Excess of Costs and Estimated Earnings.....	(13)	(49)
	-----	-----
Costs and Estimated Earnings in Excess of Billings, Net	\$ 30	\$ 40
	=====	=====

5. PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

As of December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, property and equipment consisted of the following:

Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

	2001	2000
	-----	-----
	(IN THOUSANDS)	
Furniture and fixtures.....	\$ 643	\$ 314
Leasehold improvements.....	109	124
Equipment.....	326	504
	-----	-----
Total.....	1,078	942
Less accumulated depreciation.....	(608)	(577)
Less property and equipment held for sale.....	(265)	---
	-----	-----
Total property and equipment, net.....	\$ 205	\$ 365
	=====	=====

6. CAPITALIZED PATENTS, CAPITALIZED AND PURCHASED SOFTWARE COSTS, AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

As of December 31, 2001 and 2000 , intangible assets consisted of the following:

	2001	2000
	----	----
	(IN THOUSANDS)	
Capitalized and purchased software costs.....	\$ 8,520	\$ 6,418
Customer list.....	---	1,143
Repurchased license rights and other.....	---	3,520
Patents and related costs.....	3,125	3,026
	-----	-----
Total.....	11,645	14,107
Less accumulated amortization:		
Capitalized and purchased software costs	(5,665)	(3,590)
Customer list	-	(609)
Repurchased license rights and other	-	(500)
Patents and related costs	(625)	(365)
Less capitalized software held for sale.....	(1,027)	--
	-----	-----

F-19

NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Total intangible assets, net.....	\$ 4,328	\$ 9,043
	=====	=====

At December 31, 1999, the Company had a liability of \$1,862,500 to the seller of a patent purchased by the Company in 1998. The liability was settled by the Company in cash during 2000. The patent is being amortized over seventeen years.

7. FINANCING AGREEMENTS

The Company has entered into two separate financing agreements during the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000.

IBM COMMERCIAL CREDIT. During the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000, the Company had an agreement with IBM Commercial Credit that provided short-term financing for certain computer hardware and software purchases. Under the

Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

agreement, there were generally no financing charges for amounts paid within 30 or 45 days, depending on the vendor used to source the product. Under this agreement there were two separate lines of credit. The first line had credit availability of \$750,000. The second line had credit availability of up to \$2,000,000, based upon the Company's customer credit rating. Borrowings were collateralized by all inventory, property and equipment, and accounts receivable. In addition, as of December 31, 2000, a \$750,000 letter of credit was issued to the benefit of the commercial finance company. At December 31, 2000, NeoMedia collateralized this letter with a restricted cash balance of \$750,000. This line of credit during 2001. As of December 31, 2001 and 2000, amounts due under this financing agreement included in "Amounts due under financing agreements" were \$0 and \$1,101,000, respectively.

GE ACCESS. The Company has an agreement with GE Access that provides short-term financing for certain computer hardware and software purchases. Under the agreement, there are generally no financing charges for amounts paid within 30 days. Under this agreement there are two separate lines of credit. The first line has credit availability of up to \$1,500,000 as of December 31, 2001. The second line has unlimited credit availability, based upon the Company's customer credit rating. Under this second agreement, the financing company finances the purchase pending credit approval of NeoMedia's customer. Payments are then remitted directly to the finance company, at which time the finance company forwards to NeoMedia the Company's margin on the sale. Borrowings are collateralized by all inventory, property and equipment, and accounts receivable. As of December 31, 2001 and 2000, amounts due under this financing agreement included in "Amounts due under financing agreements" were \$2,283,000 and \$13,000, respectively.

8. LONG-TERM DEBT

As of December 31, 2001 and 2000, long-term debt consisted of the following:

	2001	2000
	----	----
	(IN THOUSANDS)	
Note payable to International Digital Scientific, Inc. (IDSI), non-interest bearing with interest imputed at 9%, due with minimum monthly installments of \$16,000 through March 2005.....	\$624	\$ 816
Less: unamortized discount.....	(84)	(140)
	---	----
Total long-term debt.....	540	676
Less: current portion.....	(150)	(137)
	----	----
Long-term debt, net of current portion.....	\$390	\$ 539
	----	=====

The long-term debt repayments for each of the next five fiscal years ending December 31 are as follows:

	(IN THOUSANDS)	
2002.....	\$	192



Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

2003.....	192
2004.....	192
2005.....	48
2006.....	----
Total.....	\$ 624

In October 1994, the Company purchased, via seller financing, certain computer software from IDSI. The aggregate purchase price was \$2,000,000 and was funded by the seller with an uncollateralized note payable, without interest, in an amount equal to the greater of: (i) 5% of the collected gross revenues of NeoMedia Migration for the preceding month; or (ii) the minimum installment payment as defined, until paid in full. The minimum installment payment is the amount necessary to provide an average monthly payment for the most recent twelve month period of \$16,000 per month. The present value of \$2,000,000 discounted at 9% (the Company's then incremental borrowing rate) for 125 months was approximately \$1,295,000, the capitalized cost of the assets acquired. The discount is being accreted to interest expense over the term of the note. The software acquired was amortized over its estimated useful life of three years. As of December 31, 2001 and 2000, the balance of the note payable, net of unamortized discount, was \$540,000 and \$676,000, respectively.

9. INCOME TAXES

For the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, the components of income tax expense were as follows:

	2001	2000	1999
	----	----	----
	(IN THOUSANDS)		
Current	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Deferred	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	----	----	----
Income tax expense/(benefit)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	====	====	====

As of December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, the types of temporary differences between the tax basis of assets and liabilities and their financial reporting amounts which gave rise to deferred taxes, and their tax effects were as follows:

	2001	2000	1999
	----	----	----
	(IN THOUSANDS)		
Accrued employee benefits .....	\$ 62	\$ 30	\$ 31
Provisions for doubtful accounts .....	26	182	337
Deferred revenue .....	--	13	-
Capitalized software development costs and fixed assets...	676	284	98
Net operating loss carryforwards (NOL) .....	22,916	15,021	12,724
Research and Development Credit	--	--	91
Accruals .....	470	864	51

Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

Loss on disposal of Qode business unit .....	1,060	--	--
Other .....	8	--	17
Alternative minimum tax credit carryforward .....	45	45	45
	-----	-----	-----
Total deferred tax assets .....	25,255	16,456	13,385
Valuation Allowance	\$25,255)	(16,456)	(13,385)
	-----	-----	-----
Net deferred income tax asset .....	\$--	\$ --	\$ --
	=====	=====	=====

F-21

NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Because it is more likely than not that NeoMedia will not realize the benefit of its deferred tax assets, a valuation reserve has been established against them.

For the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, the income tax benefit differed from the amount computed by applying the statutory federal rate of 34% as follows:

	2001	2000	1999
	----	----	----
	(IN THOUSANDS)		
Benefit at federal statutory rate .....	\$8,659)	\$(1,839)	\$(3,561)
State income taxes, net of federal .....	(1,009)	(196)	(380)
Foreign income taxes, net of federal .....	--	--	61
Exercise of non-qualified stock options .....	(17)	(176)	(1,874)
Permanent difference - write-off of Digital Convergence stock.	1,190	--	--
Permanent and other, net .....	(304)	(860)	(12)
Change in valuation allowance .....	8,799	3,071	5,766
	-----	-----	-----
Income tax expense/(benefit) .....	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --
	=====	=====	=====

As of December 31, 2001, NeoMedia had net operating loss carryforwards for federal tax purposes totaling approximately \$57.3 million which may be used to offset future taxable income, or, if unused expire between 2011 and 2020. As a result of certain of NeoMedia's equity activities occurring during the year ended December 31, 1997, NeoMedia anticipates that the annual usage of its pre-1998 net operating loss carryforwards may be further restricted pursuant to the provisions of Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code.

10. TRANSACTIONS WITH RELATED PARTIES

In January 1999, Edna Fritz, spouse of William Fritz, purchased 82,372 shares of the Company's common stock at a price of \$3.03 per share. In January 1999, William Fritz purchased 42,857 shares of the Company's common stock at a price of \$3.50 per share. As part of these purchases, Edna Fritz received a total of 8,237 warrants to purchase stock at \$3.04 per share and William Fritz received 4,286 warrants to purchase stock at \$3.50 per share.

In June 1999, the Company sold a license for the right to utilize its

Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

Neolink Information Server to Daystar Services L.L.C. ("Daystar") a Tennessee limited liability company, owned in part by an officer and one of the Company's board members, for \$500,000. The original business purpose of the sale was to generate revenue through the sale of an exclusive license to Daystar. In April 2000, in anticipation of either a potential acquisition of the Company by Digital:Convergence ("DC") (which subsequently did not occur), or a long-term intellectual property license with DC, the Company purchased substantially all the assets of Daystar, including the rights to the license it sold to Daystar in 1999, for approximately \$3.5 million of our common stock. In order to enter into a 10-year intellectual property license agreement with DC, the Company was required to re-purchase the exclusive license agreement. Additional Daystar assets purchased were to be employed in our MLM/Affinity licensing program. The assets purchased were recorded as intangible assets at approximately \$3.5 million on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. The Company believes this transaction was conducted on terms as good as favorable as those would have been derived from an arm's length negotiation.

In July 1999, the Company paid professional fees in the amount of \$73,000 to James J. Keil, a director of the Company, for services related to the recruitment of the Company's President and Chief Operating Officer and one sales representative.

During the years ended December 31, 1999 and 1998, the Company leased from William E. Fritz a trade show booth for rental payments totaling \$31,000 and \$34,000, respectively. The lease expired during 1999.

During each of the years ended December 31, 2000 and 1999, the Company leased office and residential facilities from related parties for rental payments totaling \$5,000 and \$13,000, respectively. The lease expired during 2000.

F-22

NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

During October 2001, the Company borrowed \$4,000 from Charles W. Fritz, its Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, under a note payable bearing interest at 10% per annum with a term of six months.

The Company believes this transaction was conducted on terms as good as favorable as those would have been derived from an arm's length negotiation.

11. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

NeoMedia leases its office facilities and certain office and computer equipment under various operating leases. These leases provide for minimum rents and generally include options to renew for additional periods. For the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, NeoMedia's rent expense was \$1,246,000, \$1,067,000 and \$1,268,000, respectively.

The following is a schedule of the future minimum lease payments under non-cancelable operating leases as of December 31, 2001:

	PAYMENTS ----- (IN THOUSANDS)
2002.....	\$ 844

Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

2003.....	473
2004.....	56
2005.....	2
2006.....	--
	-----
Total.....	\$ 1,375
	=====

Of the \$844,000 minimum lease payment due in 2002, approximately \$205,000 relates to leases for Qode Universal Commerce Solution equipment that will be assumed by the Finx Group, Inc. upon consummation of The Finx Group's letter of intent with the Company.

As of December 31, 2001, none of the Company's employees were under contract. Additionally, the Company was not party to any long-term consulting agreements as of December 31, 2001.

LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Company is involved in various legal actions arising in the normal course of business, both as claimant and defendant. While it is not possible to determine with certainty the outcome of these matters, it is the opinion of management that the eventual resolution of the following legal actions could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position or operating results.

On September 6, 2001, AirClic, Inc. ("AirClic") filed suit against the Company in the Court of Common Pleas, Montgomery County, Pennsylvania, seeking, among other things, the accelerated repayment of a \$500,000 loan it advanced to the Company under the terms of a letter of intent entered into between AirClic and the Company. The letter of intent was subsequently abandoned on the basis of the Company's alleged breach of certain representations made by the Company in the promissory note issued by the Company to AirClic in respect of such advance. The note issued by the Company in respect of AirClic's \$500,000 advance is secured by substantially all of the Company's intellectual property, including its core physical world-to-Internet technologies. If the Company is deemed to have defaulted under the note, and does not pay the judgment, AirClic, which is one of the NeoMedia's key competitors, could acquire the Company's core intellectual property, which would have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, prospects, financial condition, and results of operations. The Company is vigorously defending this lawsuit and has interposed counterclaims against AirClic. The lawsuit is in its preliminary stages and, as such, at this time it is difficult to assess the outcome. Whether or not AirClic is successful in asserting its claims that the Company breached certain representations made by the Company in the note, the note became due and payable in accordance with its terms on January 11, 2002. Based on the cash currently available to the Company, payment of the note and related interest would have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition. If the Company fails to pay such note, AirClic could proceed against the Company's intellectual

NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

property securing the note, which would have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, prospects, financial condition, and results of operations. The Company is aggressively seeking bridge financing to enable it to pay the principal and interest remaining under the note following the resolution of the counterclaims against AirClic. The Company has not accrued any additional

## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

liability over and above the note payable and related accrued interest.

AirClic has also filed suit against the Company in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. In this second action, AirClic seeks a declaration that certain core intellectual property securing the note issued by the Company to AirClic, some of which is patented and others for which a patent application is pending is invalid and unenforceable. Any declaration that the Company's core patented or patentable technology is invalid and unenforceable would have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, prospects, financial condition, and results of operations. The Company is vigorously defending against this lawsuit as well. The Company has not accrued any liability in connection with this matter.

On June 26, 2001, the Company filed a \$3 million lawsuit in the U.S. District Court, Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, against Digital:Convergence Corporation for breach of contract regarding a \$3 million promissory note due on June 24, 2001 that was not paid. The Company is seeking payment of the \$3 million note plus interest and attorneys fees. The Company has not accrued any gain contingency related to this matter.

In April 2001, the former President and director of NeoMedia filed a lawsuit against the Company and several of its directors. The suit was filed in the Circuit Court of the Twentieth Judicial Circuit for Sarasota, Florida. The claim alleges the individual was fraudulently induced into accepting employment and that the Company breached the employment agreement. The individual's employment with the Company ended in January 2001. The Company believes the claim is without merit and is vigorously defending itself. Final outcome of this matter is uncertain and a range of loss cannot reasonably be estimated. The Company has accrued approximately \$347,000 in severance and incentive payments payable to Mr. Goins. The Company has not accrued any additional liability related to the suit.

On August 20, 2001, Ripfire, Inc. filed suit against the Company in the San Francisco County Superior Court seeking payment of \$138,000 under a software license agreement entered into between the Company and Ripfire in May 2001 relating to implementation of the Qode Universal Commerce Solution. The Company has entered into a letter of intent with the Finx Group, Inc. to sell certain assets and liabilities relating to Qode. As part of the letter of intent, the Finx Group will assume all liabilities up to \$138,000 relating to the Ripfire contract. Accordingly, the Company has not accrued a liability in the accompanying financial statements. The Company, along with the Finx Group, is currently negotiating settlement of this matter.

On October 3, 2001, Headway Associates, Ltd. filed a complaint for damages in the Circuit Court of the Seventeenth Judicial Circuit for Broward County, Florida. Headway Associates, Ltd. is seeking payment of all amounts due under the terms the lease agreement of the Ft. Lauderdale office of NeoMedia's Qode business unit. The lease commenced on March 3, 2000 and terminates on March 31, 2005. On February 25, 2002, Headway agreed to accept \$100,000 cash payment over a two-month period for settlement of all past-due and future amounts owed under the lease. This amount is accrued in the accompanying financial statements.

On November 30, 2001, Orsus Solutions USA, Inc., filed a summons seeking payment in full of approximately \$525,000 relating to a software and services contract associated with implementation of the Qode Universal Commerce Solution. The Company has entered into a letter of intent with the Finx Group, Inc. to sell certain assets and liabilities relating to Qode. As part of the letter of intent, the Finx Group will assume all liabilities up to \$530,000 relating to the Orsus contract. Accordingly, the Company has not accrued a liability in the accompanying financial statements. The Company, along with the Finx Group, is currently negotiating settlement of this matter.

## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

On March 20, 2002, IOS Capital, Inc. filed a summons seeking full payment of approximately \$38,700 relating to past due and future payments under an office equipment lease. The Company has returned the equipment and intends to settle the past due amounts. As of December 31, 2001, the Company had recorded a liability of approximately \$10,000 relating to this matter.

F-24

### NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### 12. DEFINED CONTRIBUTION SAVINGS PLAN

NeoMedia maintains a defined contribution 401(k) savings plan. Participants may make elective contributions up to established limits. All amounts contributed by participants and earnings on these contributions are fully vested at all times. The plan provides for matching and discretionary contributions by NeoMedia, although no such contributions to the plan have been made to date.

#### 13. EMPLOYEE STOCK OPTION PLAN

Effective February 1, 1996, NeoMedia adopted the 1996 Stock Option Plan making available for grant to employees of NeoMedia options to purchase up to 1,500,000 shares of NeoMedia's common stock. The stock option committee of the board of directors has the authority to determine to whom options will be granted, the number of options, the related term, and exercise price. The option exercise price shall be equal to or in excess of the fair market value per share of NeoMedia's common stock on the date of grant. These options granted expired ten years from the date of grant. These options vest 100% one year from the date of grant.

Effective March 27, 1998, NeoMedia adopted the 1998 Stock Option Plan making available for grant to employees of NeoMedia options to purchase up to 8,000,000 shares of NeoMedia's common stock. The stock option committee of the board of directors has the authority to determine to whom options will be granted, the number of options, the related term, and exercise price. The option exercise price may be less than the fair market value per share of NeoMedia's common stock on the date of grant. Options granted during 2000 and 1999 were granted at an exercise price equal to fair market value on the date of grant. Options generally vest 20% upon grant and 20% per year thereafter. The options expire ten years from the date of grant.

Effective January 1, 1996, NeoMedia adopted SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" defines a fair-value based method of accounting for an employee stock option or similar equity instrument and encourages all entities to adopt that method of accounting for all of their employee stock compensation plans. However, SFAS 123 also allows an entity to continue to measure compensation cost for stock-based compensation plans using the intrinsic-value method of accounting prescribed by Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees" ("APB 25"). Entities electing to continue using the accounting method in APB 25 must make pro forma disclosures of net income and earnings per share as if the fair-value method of accounting had been adopted. Because NeoMedia elected to continue using the accounting method in APB 25, no compensation expense was recognized in the consolidated statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 2000 and 1999 for stock-based employee compensation.

For grants in 2001, 2000 and 1999, the following assumptions were used: (i) no expected dividends; (ii) a risk-free interest rate of 4.5% for 2001, 6% for 2000 and 5% for 1999; (iii) expected volatility of 135% for 2001, 80% for 2000

## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

and 70% for 1999 and (iv) an expected life of 5 years for options granted in 2001 and 4 years for options granted in 2000 and 1999. The fair-value was determined using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model.

The estimated fair value of grants of stock options and warrants to non-employees of NeoMedia is charged to expense in the consolidated financial statements. These options vest in the same manner as the employee options granted under the 1998 Stock Option Plan.

Utilizing the assumptions detailed above, our net loss and loss per share, as reported, would have been the following pro forma amounts (\$ in thousands except per share data).

	2001 ----	2000 ----	1999 ----
NET LOSS			
As reported.....	\$25,469	\$5,409	\$10,472
Pro forma.....	\$27,888	\$7,498	\$11,731
NET LOSS PER SHARE			
As reported.....	\$1.55	\$0.39	\$ 1.01
Pro forma.....	\$1.70	\$0.54	\$ 1.13

F-25

### NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

A summary of the status of NeoMedia's 1996 and 1998 stock option plans as of and for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999 is as follows:

	2001 -----		2000 -----		
	SHARES (IN THOUSANDS)	WEIGHTED AVERAGE EXERCISE PRICE	SHARES (IN THOUSANDS)	WEIGHTED AVERAGE EXERCISE PRICE	SHARES (IN THOUSANDS)
Outstanding at beginning of year	4,294	\$ 4.71	3,418	\$ 4.43	3,164
Granted .....	3,499	2.00	1,192	4.87	1,721
Exercised .....	(38)	3.60	(170)	2.83	(599)
Forfeited .....	(3,541)	4.13	(146)	5.78	(868)
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Outstanding at end of year .....	4,214	\$ 2.96	4,294	\$ 4.71	3,418
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====
Options exercisable at year-end.....	2,452		2,140		1,398
Weighted-average fair value of					

## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

options granted during the year.....	\$ 1.81	\$ 3.05	\$ 2.68
granted during the year Available for grant at the end of the year.....	4,158	4,116	5,162

The following table summarizes information about NeoMedia's stock options outstanding as of December 31, 2001:

OPTIONS OUTSTANDING				OPTIONS EXERCISABLE	
RANGE OF EXERCISE PRICES	NUMBER OUTSTANDING	WEIGHTED-AVERAGE REMAINING CONTRACTUAL LIFE	WEIGHTED-AVERAGE EXERCISE PRICE	NUMBER EXERCISABLE	WEIGHTED-AVERAGE EXERCISE PRICE
(IN THOUSANDS)			(IN THOUSANDS)		
\$ -- to \$ 0.84	1,441	9.4 years	\$ 0.24	667	\$ 0.28
1.88 to 2.91	767	8.0 years	2.52	429	2.52
3.25 to 4.98	1,055	7.9 years	3.81	619	3.76
5.06 to 7.88	836	7.2 years	6.15	632	6.25
8.44 to 10.88	115	7.7 years	8.89	105	8.92
\$0.84 to \$10.88	4,214	8.3 years	\$ 2.96	2,452	\$ 3.46

In December 1999, the Company issued 20,000 options to buy shares of the Company's common stock to an outside consultant at a price of \$7.00 per share for consulting services rendered, and recognized \$28,200 in expense in its 1999 consolidated financial statements. These options vest in the same manner as the employee options granted under the 1998 Stock Option Plan. All these options were outstanding at December 31, 2000 and 1999. Of these options, 8,000 and 4,000 were vested at December 31, 2000 and 1999, respectively.

F-26

### NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

In October 2000, the Company issued 80,000 warrants to buy shares of the Company's common stock to an outside consultant at a price of \$4.13 per share for consulting services rendered, and recognized approximately \$253,000 in expense in its 2000 consolidated financial statements. These warrants vest in the same manner as the employee options granted under the 1998 Stock Option Plan. All these warrants were outstanding at December 31, 2001. Of these warrants, 16,000 were vested at December 31, 2001.

In September 2001, the Company issued 150,000 options to buy shares of the Company's common stock to an outside consultant at a price of \$0.20 per share for consulting services rendered, and recognized \$18,800 in expense in the 2001 consolidated financial statements. The warrants vest 40% upon grant and the remaining 60% one year from the grant date. As of December 31, 2001, all 150,000



## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

warrants were outstanding and 60,000 were vested.

### WARRANTS

Warrant activity as of December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, is as follows:

Balance December 31, 1998	1,639,832
Warrants issued	1,118,630
Warrants exercised	82,100
	-----
Balance December 31, 1999	2,676,362
Warrants issued	1,787,073
Warrants exercised	495,600
	-----
Balance December 31, 2000	3,967,835
Warrants issued	887,512
Warrants exercised	505,450
Warrants expired	1,110,000
	-----
Balance December 31, 2001	3,239,897
	-----

During 2000, the Company issued 1,400,000 warrants as part of a ten year license of the Company's intellectual property. These warrants were immediately vested and exercisable. The associated expense is being recognized over the life of the contract. During 2000, \$118,000 was recorded as a reduction of the license revenue related to the contract.

During 2001, the Company re-priced approximately 1.5 million additional warrants subject to a limited exercise period and other conditions, including certain warrants issued in connection with NeoMedia's initial public offering in 1996, which expired at the end of 2001. The repricing program allowed the warrant exercise price to be reduced to 33 percent of the closing sale price of the Company's common stock (subject to a minimum) on the day prior to the date of exercise for a period of six months from the date the repricing program began. The exercise of the warrants and sale of the underlying common stock was at the discretion of a broker selected by the Company, within the parameters of the repricing arrangement. In accordance with FASB Interpretation, FIN 44, Accounting for Certain Transactions Involving Stock Transactions, the award was accounted for as variable from the date of modifications on May 1, 2001. Accordingly, \$181,000 was recorded in during 2001 as compensation expense.

In June 2001, the Board of Directors approved the issuance of 404,900 warrants to an outside consultant at an exercise price of \$2.09. The Company recognized an expense of approximately \$742,000 related to this transaction, which is included in general and administrative expense in the accompanying

Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

consolidated statements of operations. The Company used the Black-Scholes option-pricing model to value the shares, with the following assumptions: (i) no expected dividends (ii) a risk-free interest rate of 4.5% (iii) expected volatility of 135% and (iv) an expected life of 3 years.

The following table summarizes information about warrants outstanding at December 31, 2001, all of which are exercisable:

Range of Exercise Prices	Warrants Outstanding	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)	Average Exercise Price
\$0.10 to \$5.50	927	2.6	\$2.51
\$5.51 to \$6.99	1,558	3.5	\$6.02
\$7.00 to \$9.99	524	1.0	\$8.04
\$10.00 to \$15.00	231	1.1	\$12.74
	---	---	---
\$0.10 to \$15.00	3,240	2.7	\$5.82
	----	---	----

14. SEGMENT INFORMATION

Beginning with the year ended December 31, 1999, the Company adopted SFAS No. 131, "Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information" (SFAS 131). SFAS 131 supersedes Financial Accounting Standards Board's SFAS No. 14, "Financial Reporting for Segments of a Business Enterprise." SFAS 131 establishes standards for the way that business enterprises report information about operating segments in annual financial statements. SFAS 131 also establishes standards for related disclosures about products and services, geographic areas and major customers.

The Company is organized into two business segments: (a) NeoMedia ASP, and (b) NeoMedia SI. Performance is evaluated and resources allocated based on specific segment requirements and measurable factors. Management uses the Company's internal income statements to evaluate each business unit's performance. Assets of the business units are not available for management of the business segments or for disclosure.

Operational results for the two segments for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999 are presented below (in thousands):

	NEOMEDIA ISS (FORMERLY NEOMEDIA ASP)	NEOMEDIA CIS (FORMERLY NEOMEDIA SI)	CONSOLIDATED
YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2001			
Net Sales			
Code Business Unit.....	\$13	\$-	\$13
Paperclick/Amway/MLM.....	140		140

Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

Software and equipment resales and related services.....	-	8,002	8,002
	-----	-----	-----
Total gross sales.....	153	8,002	8,155
Less: Qode Business Unit.....	(13)	-	(13)
	-----	-----	-----
Total net sales.....	140	8,002	8,142
	-----	-----	-----
Loss from Continuing Operations.....	(17,639)	(1,129)	(18,768)
Loss from operations of and disposal of discontinued business unit.....	(6,701)	-	(6,701)
Net Loss.....	(24,340)	(1,129)	(25,469)

F-28

NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2000			
Net Sales.....	\$8,083	\$19,482	\$27,565
Net Loss.....	(4,225)	(1,184)	(5,409)
YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1999			
Net Sales.....	\$795	\$24,461	\$25,256
Net Loss.....	(5,916)	(4,556)	(10,472)

15. QUARTERLY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

The summarized quarterly financial data presented below reflects all adjustments which, in the opinion of management, are of a normal and recurring nature necessary to present fairly the results of operations for the periods presented.

	(dollars in thousands except per share)			
	TOTAL	FOURTH	THIRD	SECOND
	-----	-----	-----	-----
2001				
----				
Total net sales.....	\$8,142	\$4,459	\$908	\$1,237
Gross profit.....	(\$724)	\$597	(\$503)	(\$404)
(Loss) before income taxes and discontinued operations.....	(\$18,768)	\$771	(\$5,072)	(\$11,042)
Net (loss).....	(\$25,469)	(\$1,692)	(\$9,310)	(\$11,042)
Net (loss) per share:				
basic and diluted.....	(\$1.55)	(\$0.11)	(\$0.60)	(\$0.72)

Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

2000

----

Total net sales.....	\$27,565	\$9,875	\$4,049	\$9,547	
Gross profit.....	\$9,032	\$7,571	\$42	\$879	
(Loss) before income taxes and discontinued operations.....	(\$5,409)	\$2,667	(\$3,555)	(\$2,085)	(\$
Net (loss).....	(\$5,409)	\$2,667	(\$3,555)	(\$2,085)	(\$
Net (loss) per share: basic and diluted.....	(\$0.39)	\$0.21	(\$0.25)	(\$0.15)	(

1999

----

Total net sales.....	\$25,256	\$5,091	\$5,019	\$7,342	
Gross profit.....	\$2,786	(\$156)	(\$11)	\$1,269	
(Loss) before income taxes and discontinued operations.....	(\$10,472)	(\$3,881)	(\$2,937)	(\$1,888)	(\$
Net (loss).....	(\$10,472)	(\$3,881)	(\$2,937)	(\$1,888)	(\$
Net (loss) per share: basic and diluted.....	(\$1.01)	(\$0.34)	(\$0.27)	(\$0.19)	(

16. COMMON STOCK

On October 24, 2001, the Company filed a proxy statement with the SEC to request a shareholder vote to increase the number of the Company's authorized

F-29

NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

shares of common stock from 50,000,000 shares to 100,000,000 and increase the number of the Company's authorized shares of preferred stock from 10,000,000 shares to 25,000,000. The shareholder meeting was held on December 11, 2001. This resolution did not pass as a result of the failure to secure favorable votes from holders of a majority of the outstanding shares.

The proxy also requested approval to sell 19,000,000 shares of common stock to accredited investors in exchange for limited recourse promissory notes accruing interest at a rate of 6% per annum, with a term of three months, providing for mandatory repayment of principal in the amount of the proceeds of any sale of the shares of common stock (or other securities or assets issued in respect of such shares of common stock) purchased by means of such promissory notes, with sole recourse under the event of default under the promissory note limited to recovery of the shares of common stock purchased (or other assets or securities issued in respect thereof) by means of such promissory note. This resolution passed. During the fourth quarter of 2001, the Company issued 3,000,000 of the 19,000,000 shares at \$0.08 per share in exchange for limited recourse promissory notes as described above. The Company has recorded a stock subscription receivable of \$240,000 as of December 31, 2001 with respect to these shares. Subsequent to December 31, 2001, the Company has cancelled the 3,000,000 shares issued in 2001 and re-issued them, along with 16,000,000 additional shares, at a price of \$0.17 per share, subject to the same terms described above.

During the year ended December 31, 2001, the Company issued through private placements 3,490,750 shares of the Company's Common Stock for proceeds of

## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

\$1,637,000.

During the year ended December 31, 2000, the Company issued through private placements 1,415,279 shares of the Company's Common Stock for proceeds of \$9,203,000. In connection with these private placements, the Company also issued 387,073 warrants with strike prices ranging from \$6.00 to \$12.74. These warrants were immediately vested and have a life of three to five years.

In 1999, an unrelated third party converted their \$2.0 million note receivable from the Company into shares of the Company's common stock at a price of \$4.00 per share. The unrelated third party also received 200,000 warrants. These warrants were 100% vested upon issuance. Of these warrants, 100,000 were issued at \$5.00 and 100,000 were issued at \$7.00. All 200,000 warrants had a three-year expiration and were subsequently exercised in 2000.

### 17. PREFERRED STOCK

In June 2001, the Company entered into a one-year license agreement with About.com, Inc. to provide the Qode Universal Commerce Solution™ to About.com's estimated 36 million worldwide users. The Company and About.com intended to promote the co-branded shopping service throughout the About.com network. In June 2001, About.com ran banner ads on its site promoting the Qode Universal Commerce Solution™. As part of the emerging About.com and NeoMedia relationship, About.com received 452,489 shares of our Series B Convertible Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, of the 500,000 total Series B Convertible Preferred shares which we are authorized to issue, in consideration for these promotions. Each share was convertible into one share of NeoMedia common stock. We recorded an expense of \$882,000 associated with this transaction in the second quarter in sales and marketing expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. The agreement with About.com was terminated on August 31, 2001, in anticipation of the sale of the Qode assets to the Finx Group, Inc. Subsequent to December 31, 2001, the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock issued to About.com automatically converted to 452,289 shares of NeoMedia common stock on January 2, 2002.

### 18. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Subsequent to December 31, 2001, the following events have occurred:

- o During January 2002, certain of the Company's shareholders filed a complaint with the Securities and Exchange Commission, alleging that the shareholders were not included in the special shareholders meeting of November 25, 2001, to vote on the issuance of 19 million

F-30

NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

shares of NeoMedia common stock. On March 11, the Company filed its response claiming that the Company had fully complied with all of its obligations under the laws and regulations administered by the Securities and Exchange Commission, as well as with its obligation under Delaware General Corporation Law.

- o During February 2002, the Company sold 19 million shares of its common stock at \$0.17 per share in exchange for promissory notes

## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

maturing at the earlier of i) 90 days from the date of issuance, or ii) 30 days from registration of the shares. During 2001, the Company had issued 3 million shares to unrelated investors at \$0.08 per share payable in the same manner. Those shares were cancelled and re-issued as part of the 19 million share offering in 2002.

- o During February 2002, the Company issued 1,646,987 shares of its common stock to two separate vendors as settlement of past due liabilities and future payments relating to equipment leases.
- o During March 2002, the Company requested a hearing before a Nasdaq listing qualifications panel to review a staff determination issued by Nasdaq. The determination indicated that as of December 31, 2001 the Company did not comply with either the minimum \$2 million net tangible assets or the minimum \$2.5 million stockholders' equity requirement for continued listing, and that the company's shares were therefore subject to delisting. NeoMedia responded by requesting a hearing before a Nasdaq listing panel to review the staff determination. The hearing is scheduled for April 18, 2002.
- o During March 2002, the Company repriced 1.2 million of its common stock warrants for a period of six months. During the term of the warrant repricing program, participating holders are entitled to exercise qualified warrants at an exercise price per share equal to the greater of (1) \$0.12 or (2) 50% of the last sale price of shares of Common Stock on the NASDAQ Small Cap Market on the trading date immediately preceding the date of exercise. The Company is accounting for the repricing using variable accounting at each reporting date, in accordance with FIN 44. Under this treatment, expense is recognized for unexercised stock warrants repriced below market based on the closing price of NeoMedia stock on the last day of each reporting period. Additionally, if any warrants are exercised pursuant to the repricing program, expense is recognized for the difference between the market price of NeoMedia stock at the time of exercise and the restated exercise price.

F-31

### PART III

#### ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, PROMOTERS AND CONTROL PERSONS; COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 16(A) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT

##### DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

As of March 14, 2002, NeoMedia's directors and executive officers were:

NAME	AGE	POSITION HELD
Charles W. Fritz.....	45	President, Chief Executive Officer, Director and Chairman of the Board
William E. Fritz.....	71	Secretary and Director
Charles T. Jensen.....	58	Chief Financial Officer, Vice-President, Treasurer, and Director
A. Hayes Barclay.....	71	Director
James J. Keil.....	72	Director

## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

The following is certain summary information with respect to the directors and executive officers of NeoMedia:

CHARLES W. FRITZ, age 45, is a founder of NeoMedia and has served as an officer and as a Director since its inception. On August 6, 1996, Mr. Fritz was appointed Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Directors. On April 2, 2001, Mr. Fritz was appointed as President. Mr. Fritz is currently a member of the Compensation Committee. Prior to founding NeoMedia, Mr. Fritz was an Account Executive with IBM Corporation from 1986 to 1988, Director of Marketing and Strategic Alliances for the Information Consulting Group from 1988-1989, and a Consultant for McKinsey & Company. Mr. Fritz holds an M.B.A. from Rollins College and a B.A. in finance from the University of Florida. Mr. Fritz is the son of William E. Fritz, a Director of NeoMedia, and its Secretary.

WILLIAM E. FRITZ, age 71, is a founder of NeoMedia and has served as Secretary and Director since its inception. Mr. Fritz also served as Treasurer of NeoMedia from its inception until May 1, 1996. Mr. Fritz, who has over thirty-two years in establishing and operating privately owned companies, currently is, and for at least the past eleven years has been, an officer and either the sole stockholder or a majority stockholder, of G.T. Enterprises, Inc. (formerly Gen-Tech, Inc.), D.M., Inc. (formerly Dev-Mark, Inc.) and EDSCO, three railroad freight car equipment manufacturing companies. Mr. Fritz holds a B.S.M.E. and a Bachelor of Naval Science degree from the University of Wisconsin. Mr. Fritz is the father of Charles W. Fritz, NeoMedia's Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board.

CHARLES T. JENSEN, age 58, has been Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer and Vice President of NeoMedia since May 1, 1996. Mr. Jensen has been a Director since August 6, 1996, and currently is a member of the Compensation Committee. Prior to joining NeoMedia in November 1995, Mr. Jensen was Chief Financial Officer of Jack M. Berry, Inc., a Florida corporation which grows and processes citrus products, from December, 1994, to October, 1995, and at Viking Range Corporation, a Mississippi corporation which manufactures gas ranges, from November 1993, to December 1994. From December, 1992, to February, 1994, Mr. Jensen was Treasurer of Lin Jensen, Inc., a Virginia corporation specializing in ladies clothing and accessories. Prior to that, from January, 1982, to March, 1993, Mr. Jensen was Controller and Vice-President of Finance of The Pinkerton Tobacco Co., a tobacco manufacturer. Mr. Jensen holds a B.B.A. in accounting from Western Michigan University and is a Certified Public Accountant.

III-1

A. HAYES BARCLAY, age 71, has been a Director of NeoMedia since August 6, 1996, and currently is a member of the Stock Option Committee and the Audit Committee. Mr. Barclay has practiced law for approximately 37 years and since 1967, has been an officer, owner and employee of the law firm of Barclay & Damisch, Ltd. and its predecessor, with offices in Chicago, Wheaton and Arlington Heights, Illinois. Mr. Barclay holds a B.A. degree from Wheaton College, a B.S. from the University of Illinois and a J.D. from the Illinois Institute of Technology - Chicago Kent College of Law.

JAMES J. KEIL, age 74, has been a Director of NeoMedia since August 6, 1996. Mr. Keil currently is a member of the Compensation Committee, the Stock Option Committee and the Audit Committee. He is founder and president of Keil & Keil Associates, a business and marketing consulting firm located in Washington, D.C., specializing in marketing, sales, document application strategies, recruiting and Electronic Commerce projects. Prior to forming Keil & Keil Associates in 1990, Mr. Keil worked for approximately 38 years at IBM

## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

Corporation and Xerox Corporation in various marketing, sales and senior executive positions. From 1989-1995, Mr. Keil was on the Board of Directors of Elixir Technologies Corporation (a non-public corporation), and from 1990-1992 was the Chairman of its Board of Directors. From 1992-1996, Mr. Keil served on the Board of Directors of Document Sciences Corporation. Mr. Keil holds a B.S. degree from the University of Dayton and did Masters level studies at the Harvard Business School and the University of Chicago in 1961/62.

During July, 2001, the Company laid off 55 employees, including Rudolph Mosny, Chief Operating Officer and Executive Vice President - International, and Robert Durst, Chief Technical Officer and Executive Vice President, each of whom were also directors.

During September 2001, Michael Tanner, an outside director, resigned from the Board of Directors.

During January 2002, Paul Reece, an outside director, resigned from the Board of Directors.

### MEETINGS AND COMMITTEES OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

All directors shall be elected on an annual basis, each to hold office until the next annual meeting of shareholders or until that director's successor has been elected and qualified or until the earlier of death or resignation. At present, NeoMedia's by-laws provide for not less than one director nor more than nine. Currently, there are five directors. NeoMedia's by-laws permit the Board of Directors to fill any vacancy and such director may serve until the next annual meeting of shareholders or until his successor is elected and qualified. From January 1, 2001, through December 31, 2001, NeoMedia held 13 directors' meetings. During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2001, all incumbent directors attended more than 75 percent of the combined total of meetings of the Board and the Committees on which they served during 2001.

Our board of directors currently has audit, stock option, and compensation committees. At least a majority of the members of each committee are independent directors. The audit committee reviews the scope of our audit, recommends to our board of directors the engagement of our independent auditors, reviews the financial statements, and reviews any transactions between us and any of our officers, directors or other related parties. Our stock option committee administers the 1996 and 1998 stock option plans and the 1996 management incentive plan. Our compensation committee evaluates our compensation policies and approves executive compensation and executive employment contracts. Messrs. Keil (chairman) and Barclay are members of the audit committee. Messrs. Keil (chairman) and Barclay are members of the stock option committee. Messrs. Keil (chairman), Charles W. Fritz, Jensen, Reece, and Tanner are members of the compensation committee.

### DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

#### III-2

Directors are reimbursed for expenses actually incurred in connection with attending meetings of the Board of Directors. Non-employee directors receive fees of \$2,000 per meeting attended. Upon election or re-election as a director, non-employee directors receive options to purchase 15,000 shares of NeoMedia's common stock under the 1998 Stock Option Plan. Non-employee directors may elect to receive options to purchase an additional 3,000 shares of NeoMedia's common stock under the 1998 Stock Option Plan in lieu of the director fee of \$2,000. These options are immediately vested. NeoMedia anticipates that the Board of Directors will meet at least five times a year.



## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

### SECTION 16(A) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires NeoMedia's officers and directors, and persons who own more than ten percent of a registered class of NeoMedia's equity securities, to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership with the Securities and Exchange Commission and with the NASDAQ SmallCap Market. Officers, directors and greater than ten-percent shareholders are required by SEC regulation to furnish NeoMedia with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file.

Based solely on a review of the copies of such forms furnished to NeoMedia, NeoMedia believes that during 2001 all Section 16(a) filing requirements applicable to NeoMedia's officers, directors and ten percent beneficial owners were complied with.

III-3

### ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to the compensation paid to (i) NeoMedia's Chief Executive Officer and (ii) each of NeoMedia's other executive officers who received aggregate cash compensation in excess of \$100,000 for services rendered to NeoMedia (collectively, "the Named Executive Officers") during the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999:

#### SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

NAME AND PRINCIPAL POSITION	YEAR	ANNUAL COMPENSATION (1)			LONG-TERM COMPENSATION	
		SALARY	OTHER ANNUAL COMPENSATION	BONUS	SECURITIES UNDERLYING WARRANTS AND OPTIONS	ALL OTHER COMPENSATION
Charles W. Fritz..... Chief Executive Officer	2001	\$221,758	\$ -	\$ -	400,000 (2)	\$21,532 (5), (6)
	2000	250,000	-	148,800(8)	49,000 (2)	22,502 (5), (6)
	1999	250,000	-	-	400,000 (2)	84,914 (5), (6)
Charles T. Jensen..... Chief Financial Officer, Vice President & Treasurer	2001	144,239	-	-	240,000 (2)	17,794 (5), (6)
	2000	150,000	-	87,860(8)	37,000 (2)	29,767 (5), (6)
	1999	150,000	-	-	180,000 (2)	42,712 (5), (6)
Robert T. Durst, Jr..... Executive Vice President, Chief Technical Officer	2001	95,755	-	-	120,000 (2)	9,455 (5), (6)
	2000	170,000	-	99,708(8)	37,000 (2)	13,127 (5), (6)
	1999	170,000	-	-	210,000 (2)	13,876 (5), (6)
William F. Goins (3)..... President & Chief Operating Officer	2001	13,963	-	-	120,000 (2)	-
	2000	180,000	-	131,790(8)	40,000 (2)	11,699 (6)
	1999	75,000	25,000(7)	-	200,000 (2)	625 (6)

Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

Rudolf Y. Mosny (4).....	2001	91,383	-	-	500,000 (2)	-
President & Chief	2000	-	-	-	100,000 (2)	-
Operating Officer	1999	-	-	-	-	-

1. In accordance with the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, other compensation in the form of perquisites and other personal benefits has been omitted in those instances where the aggregate amount of such perquisites and other personal benefits constituted less than the lesser of \$50,000 or 10% of the total of annual salary and bonuses for the Named Executive Officer for such year.
2. Represents options granted under NeoMedia's 1998 Stock Option Plan and warrants granted at the discretion of NeoMedia's Board of Directors.
3. Mr. Goins' employment with NeoMedia ended during January 2001.
4. Mr. Mosny's employment with NeoMedia ended during July 2001.

III-4

5. Includes life insurance premiums where policy benefits are payable to beneficiary of the Named Executive Officer.
6. Automobile expenses attributable to personal use and the corresponding income tax effects.
7. Represents sign-on bonus.
8. In June 2001, the Company's compensation committee approved an adjustment, relating to the Digital:Convergence patent license fees, to the 2000 executive incentive plan that reduced the bonus payout by approximately \$1.1 million. The original amount recorded in 2000 and reported in the 2000 form 10-KSB was \$430,800 for Charles W. Fritz, \$193,860 for Charles T. Jensen, \$219,708 for Robert T. Durst, and \$290,790 for William F. Goins. The adjusted amounts are presented in the table above.

EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENTS

The Company entered into five year employment agreements ending April 30, 2001 with each of Charles W. Fritz, its chief executive officer and chairman of the board of directors, and Charles T. Jensen, its vice president, chief financial officer and treasurer, and with Robert T. Durst, Jr., its executive vice-president and chief technical officer, ending March 31, 2001. The employment agreements provided for an annual salary of \$170,000 for Mr. Fritz, \$140,000 for Mr. Durst and \$110,000 for Mr. Jensen, subject to annual review by the board of directors which may increase but not decrease such salary, and participation in all benefits and plans available to executive employees of NeoMedia. Effective as of January 1, 1998, the board of directors increased the annual salary of Mr. Fritz to \$250,000, Mr. Durst to \$170,000, and Mr. Jensen to \$150,000. During the period May 15, 2001 through July 15, 2001, these individuals, along with other officers of NeoMedia, temporarily had their salaries reduced by 20% in the effort to reduce expenses. In addition, during the year ended December 31, 2001, the board granted Messrs. Fritz, Durst and Jensen options to purchase 400,000, 120,000 and 240,000 shares of common stock, respectively, under the 1998 stock option plan. During the year ended December 31, 2000, the board granted Messrs. Fritz, Durst and Jensen options to purchase 49,000, 37,000, and 37,000 shares of common stock, respectively, under the 1998 stock option plan. During the year ended December 31, 1999, the board of directors granted to Messrs. Fritz, Durst and Jensen options to purchase

## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

400,000, 210,000 and 180,000 shares of common stock, respectively, under the 1998 stock option plan. The Company plans to renegotiate new employment agreements with Messrs. Fritz and Jensen. In the interim, the Company has put into place agreements that provide for six months severance in the event of termination related to a change of control. Mr. Durst was laid off during July 2001 as part of a company-wide cost reduction effort.

During the year ended December 31, 1999, the Company entered into a one-year employment agreement ending July 31, 2000, with William F. Goins, its president and chief operating officer. The agreement was renewed through July 31, 2001. The agreement provides for an annual salary of \$180,000, subject to periodic review by the board of directors which may increase but not decrease such salary, and participation in all benefits and plans available to our executive employees. In addition, during the year ended December 31, 2001, 2000, and 1999, the board of directors granted to Mr. Goins options to purchase up to 120,000, 40,000, and 200,000 shares of our common stock, respectively, under the 1998 stock option plan. As of January 31, 2001, Mr. Goins was no longer employed by the Company. The Company is involved in litigation with Mr. Goins regarding his departure with company.

During July, 2001, the Company laid off 55 employees, including Rudolph Mosny, Chief Operating Officer, Executive Vice President - International, and director.

### INCENTIVE PLAN FOR MANAGEMENT

#### III-5

Effective as of January 1, 1996, NeoMedia adopted an Annual Incentive Plan for Management ("Incentive Plan"), which provides for annual bonuses to eligible employees based upon the attainment of certain corporate and/or individual performance goals during the year. The Incentive Plan is designed to provide additional incentive to NeoMedia's management to achieve these growth and profitability goals. Participation in the Incentive Plan is limited to those employees holding positions assigned to incentive eligible salary grades and whose participation is authorized by NeoMedia's Compensation Committee which administers the Incentive Plan, including determination of employees eligible for participation or exclusion. The Board of Directors can amend, modify or terminate the Incentive Plan for the next plan year at any time prior to the commencement of such next plan year.

To be eligible for consideration for inclusion in the Incentive Plan, an employee must be on NeoMedia's payroll for the last three months of the year involved. Death, total and permanent disability or retirement are exceptions to such minimum employment, and awards in such cases are granted on a pro-rata basis. In addition, where employment is terminated due to job elimination, a pro rata award may be considered. Employees who voluntarily terminate their employment, or who are terminated by NeoMedia for unacceptable performance, prior to the end of the year are not eligible to participate in the Incentive Plan. All awards are subject to any governmental regulations in effect at the time of payment.

Performance goals are determined for both NeoMedia's and/or the employee's performance during the year, and if performance goals are attained, eligible employees are entitled to an award based upon a specified percentage of their base salary.

### STOCK OPTION PLANS

Effective as of February 1, 1996 (and amended and restated effective July 18, 1996 and further amended through November 18, 1996), NeoMedia adopted its

Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

1996 Stock Option Plan ("1996 Stock Option Plan"). The 1996 Stock Option Plan provides for the granting of non-qualified stock options and "incentive stock options" within the meaning of Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and provides for the issuance of a maximum of 1,500,000 shares of common stock. All 1,500,000 options were granted under NeoMedia's 1996 Stock Option Plan.

Effective March 27, 1998, NeoMedia adopted its 1998 Stock Option Plan ("1998 Stock Option Plan"). The 1998 Stock Option Plan provides for the granting of non-qualified stock options and provides for the issuance of a maximum of 8,000,000 shares of common stock.

401(K) PLAN

NeoMedia maintains a 401(k) Profit Sharing Plan and Trust (the "401(k) Plan"). All employees of NeoMedia who are 21 years of age and who have completed three months of service are eligible to participate in the 401(k) Plan. The 401(k) Plan provides that each participant may make elective contributions of up to 20% of such participant's pre-tax salary (up to a statutorily prescribed annual limit, which is \$10,500 for 2000) to the 401(k) Plan, although the percentage elected by certain highly compensated participants may be required to be lower. All amounts contributed to the 401(k) Plan by employee participants and earnings on these contributions are fully vested at all times. The 401(k) Plan also provides for matching and discretionary contributions by NeoMedia. To date, NeoMedia has not made any such contributions.

OPTIONS GRANTED IN THE LAST FISCAL YEAR

The following presents certain information on stock options for the Named Executive Officers for the year ended December 31, 2001:

III-6

NAME	NUMBER OF SECURITIES UNDERLYING OPTIONS GRANTED (1)	% OF TOTAL OPTIONS GRANTED TO EMPLOYEES	EXERCISE PRICE	EXPIRATION DATE
Charles W. Fritz.....	200,000	5.7%	\$2.50	1/2/11
	200,000	5.7%	\$0.20	9/13/11
Robert T. Durst.....	120,000	3.4%	\$2.50	1/2/11
Charles T. Jensen.....	90,000	2.6%	\$2.50	1/2/11
	150,000	4.3%	\$0.20	9/13/11
William F. Goins(2).....	120,000	3.4%	\$2.50	1/2/11
Rudolf Y. Mosny (3).....	500,000	14.3%	\$3.25	4/5/11

Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

- (1) Options granted under the 1998 Stock Option Plan.
- (2) Mr. Goins' employment with NeoMedia ended in January 2001
- (3) Options have special vesting depending on price of NeoMedia common stock. Options were forfeited upon Mr. Mosny's termination in July 2001.

AGGREGATE OPTION/SAR EXERCISES IN LAST FISCAL YEAR AND FISCAL YEAR-END OPTIONS/SAR VALUES

The following table sets forth options exercised by NeoMedia Named Executive Officers during fiscal 2001, and the number and value of all unexercised options at fiscal year end.

NAME	SHARES ACQUIRED ON EXERCISE	VALUE REALIZED	NUMBER OF UNEXERCISED SECURITIES UNDERLYING OPTIONS/SARS AT DECEMBER 31, 2001		VALUE OF UNEXERCISED THE-MONEY OPTIONS/SARS DECEMBER 31, 2001 (	
			EXERCISABLE	UNEXERCISABLE	EXERCISABLE	UNEXERCISABLE
Charles W. Fritz (2)	-	-	699,600	549,400	-	-
Robert T. Durst	-	-	-	-	-	-
Charles T. Jensen	-	-	413,186	292,200	-	-
William F. Goins(3)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rudolf Y. Mosny(4)	-	-	-	-	-	-

(1) The value of the in the money options is calculated by the difference between the market price of the stock at December 31, 2001 (\$0.14) and the exercise price of the options.

(2) Includes stock options and warrants.

III-7

(3) Mr Goins' employment with NeoMedia ended in January 2001.

(4) Mr. Mosny's employment with NeoMedia ended in July 2001.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth certain information regarding beneficial ownership of NeoMedia's common stock as of March 15, 2002, (i) by each person or entity known by NeoMedia to own beneficially more than five percent of NeoMedia's Common Stock, (ii) by each of NeoMedia's directors and nominees, (iii) by each executive officer of NeoMedia named in the Summary Compensation

Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

Table, and (iv) by all executive officers and directors of NeoMedia as a group.

	AMOUNT AND NATURE OF BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP (1)	PERCENT OF CLASS (1)
	-----	-----
Charles W. Fritz (2) (3).....	2,555,930	7.0%
Fritz Family Limited Partnership (2) (4)....	1,511,742	4.1%
Chandler T. Fritz 1994 Trust (2) (5) (6).....	58,489	*
Charles W. Fritz 1994 Trust (2) (5) (7).....	58,489	*
Debra F. Schiafone 1994 Trust (2) (5) (8)....	48,489	*
William & Edna Fritz (2) (4) (5).....	430,310	1.2%
Charles Jensen (2) (9).....	500,686	1.4%
A. Hayes Barclay (10) (11).....	169,000	*
James J. Keil (12) (13).....	123,000	*
	-----	
ALL EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND DIRECTORS AS A GROUP (6 PERSONS) (14).....	5,456,135	15.0%

/\*/ denotes ownership of less than one percent of issued and outstanding shares of our common stock.

- (1) Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, and includes generally voting power and/or investment power with respect to securities. Options to purchase shares of common stock currently exercisable or exercisable within sixty days of March 15, 2002 are deemed outstanding for computing the beneficial ownership percentage of the person holding such options but are not deemed outstanding for computing the beneficial ownership percentage of any other person. Except as indicated by footnote, to our knowledge, the persons named in the table above have the sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of common stock shown as beneficially owned by them.
- (2) The address of the referenced holder(s) is c/o NeoMedia Technologies, Inc., 2201 Second Street, Suite 600, Fort Myers, FL 33901
- (3) Shares beneficially owned include 400 shares of common stock, 100 shares owned by each of Mr. Fritz's four minor children for an aggregate of 400 shares, 140,775 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of warrants to purchase common stock which are currently exercisable, 829,600 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of options granted under our 1998 employee stock option plan, 42,186 shares of common stock owned by Mr. Charles W. Fritz directly, and 1,542,969 shares of common stock held by the CW/LA II Family Limited Partnership, a family limited partnership for the benefit of Mr. Fritz's family.

III-8

- (4) William E. Fritz, our corporate secretary, and his wife, Edna Fritz, are the general partners of the Fritz Family Limited Partnership and therefore each are deemed to be the beneficial owner of the 1,511,742 shares held in the Fritz Family Partnership. As trustee of each of the Chandler R. Fritz 1994 Trust, Charles W. Fritz 1994 Trust and Debra F. Schiafone 1994 Trust, William E. Fritz is deemed to be the beneficial owner of the shares of NeoMedia held in each trust. Accordingly, Mr. William E. Fritz is deemed to be the beneficial owner of an aggregate of 2,070,519 shares, 165,467 of which as a result of being trustee of the Chandler T. Fritz 1994 Trust, Charles W. Fritz 1994 Trust and Debra F. Schiafone 1994 Trust, 1,511,742

## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

shares as a result of being co-general partner of the Fritz Family Partnership, 268,787 shares owned by Mr. Fritz or his spouse, 12,523 shares to be issued upon the exercise of warrants held by Mr. Fritz or his spouse and 149,000 shares to be issued upon the exercise of options held by Mr. Fritz or his spouse. Mr. William E. Fritz may be deemed to be a parent and promoter of NeoMedia, as those terms are defined in the Securities Act.

- (5) William E. Fritz is the trustee of this Trust and therefore is deemed to be the beneficial owner of such shares.
- (6) Chandler T. Fritz, son of William E. Fritz, is the primary beneficiary of this trust.
- (7) Charles W. Fritz, son of William E. Fritz and our president and chief executive officer, is the primary beneficiary of this trust.
- (8) Debra F. Schiafone, daughter of William E. Fritz, is the primary beneficiary of this trust.
- (9) Includes 499,186 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of options granted under our 1996 and 1998 stock option plans.
- (10) Includes 164,000 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of options granted under our 1996 and 1998 stock option plans.
- (11) c/o Barclay & Damisch Ltd. 115 West Wesley Street Wheaton, IL 60187
- (12) Includes 123,000 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of options granted under NeoMedia's 1996 and 1998 stock option plans.
- (13) The address of the referenced individual is c/o Keil & Keil Associates 733 15th Street, N.W. Washington 20005
- (14) Includes an aggregate of 1,764,786 currently exercisable options to purchase shares of common stock granted under our 1996 stock option plan and 1998 stock option plan and 153,298 currently exercisable warrants to purchase shares of common stock.

### ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

In April, 2000, the Company purchased substantially all of the assets of DayStar Services, L.L.C., a Tennessee limited liability company ("DayStar"). The assets consisted of DayStar's rights under a license agreement between DayStar and the Company dated June 30, 1999, for the Company's NeoLink Information Server ("NeoLink") and DayStar's rights under an Agent Agreement between DayStar and the Company dated June 30, 1999, for NeoLink. The assets purchased also included all of DayStar's software and hardware and source codes used in the operation of the DayStar website and existing customer/vendor relationships. The purchase price for the assets was \$4,000,000; \$3,520,000 paid through the transfer of shares of NeoMedia's Common Stock and \$480,000 paid through the forgiveness of a receivable due from DayStar. William Fritz and Charles Fritz,

III-9

officers, directors and principal shareholders of the Company are also principal equity holders of DayStar.

During 2000, NeoMedia leased office and residential facilities from related parties for rental payments totaling \$5,000. This lease expired in 2000.

## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

In April 2000, the NeoMedia paid professional fees in the amount of \$8,000 to a director of the company for consulting services rendered.

During October 2001, the Company borrowed \$4,000 from Charles W. Fritz, its Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, under a note payable bearing interest at 10% per annum with a term of six months.

### ITEM 14. EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

#### (a) Exhibits

(1) The following exhibits required by Item 601 of Regulation S-K to be filed herewith are hereby incorporated by reference:

#### EXHIBIT

NO.	DESCRIPTION
---	-----
3.1	Restated Certificate of Incorporation of DevSys, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to NeoMedia's Registration Statement, No. 333-5534 (the "Registration Statement")).
3.2	By-laws of DevSys, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.4 to NeoMedia's Registration Statement).
3.3	Certificate of Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation of DevSys, Inc. changing its name to NeoMedia Technologies, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.13 to NeoMedia's Registration Statement).
3.4	Form of Certificate of Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation of NeoMedia Technologies, Inc. authorizing a reverse stock split (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.14 to NeoMedia's Registration Statement).
4.1	Form of Certificate for Common Stock of DevSys, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to NeoMedia's Registration Statement).
4.2	Form of Joseph Charles' Warrant Agreement (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to NeoMedia's Registration Statement).
4.3	Form of Principal Stockholder's Warrant (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.6 to NeoMedia's Registration Statement).
4.4	Form of Placement Agent's Warrant for the Purchase of Shares of Common Stock and Warrants (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.8 to NeoMedia's Registration Statement).
4.5	Form of Warrant to Charles W. Fritz (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.10 to NeoMedia's Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 1997)
4.6	Form of Warrant to Dominick & Dominick, Incorporated (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.11 to NeoMedia's Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 1997)
4.7	Form of Warrant to Compass Capital, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.12 to NeoMedia's Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 1997)
4.8	Form of Warrant to Thornhill Capital, L.L.C. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.10 to NeoMedia's Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 1997)
4.9	Form of Warrant to Southeast Research Partners, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.14 to NeoMedia's Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 1997)
4.10	Form of Warrant to Joseph Charles & Associates, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.15 to NeoMedia's Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 1997)
10.1	Form of Nonsolicitation and Confidentiality Agreement (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to NeoMedia's Registration Statement).



## Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

III-10

- 10.2 Employment Agreement dated May 1, 1996 between Dev-Tech Associates, Inc. and Charles W. Fritz (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to NeoMedia's Registration Statement).
- 10.3 Employment Agreement dated April 1, 1996 between Dev-Tech Associates, Inc. and Robert T. Durst, Jr. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to NeoMedia's Registration Statement).
- 10.4 Employment Agreement dated May 1, 1996 between Dev-Tech Associates, Inc. and Charles T. Jensen (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to NeoMedia's Registration Statement).
- 10.5 Dev-Tech Associates, Inc. Annual Incentive Plan for Management (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.43 to NeoMedia's Registration Statement).
- 10.6 Dev-Tech Associates, Inc. 401(k) Plan and amendments thereto (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.50 to NeoMedia's Registration Statement).
- 10.7 First Amendment and Restatement of NeoMedia Technologies, Inc. 1996 Stock Option Plan (As Established Effective February 1, 1996, and as amended through November 18, 1996) (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.60 to NeoMedia's Registration Statement).
- 10.8 Agreement of Lease Between First Union National Bank of Florida and NeoMedia Technologies, Inc. Dated November 27, 1996 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.43 to NeoMedia's Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 1996).
- 10.9 Agreement for Wholesale Financing (Security Agreement) Between IBM Credit Corporation and NeoMedia Technologies, Inc. Dated February 20, 1997 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.47 to NeoMedia's Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 1996).
- 10.10 Collateralized Guaranty Between IBM Credit Corporation and NeoMedia Migration, Inc. Dated February 20, 1997 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.48 to NeoMedia's Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 1996).
- 10.11 NeoMedia Technologies, Inc. 1998 Stock Option Plan (Incorporated by reference to Appendix A to NeoMedia's Form 14A Filed on February 18, 1998).
- 10.12 Amendment to NeoMedia Technologies 1998 Stock Option Plan (Incorporated by reference to text of NeoMedia form 14A filed on July 2, 1999)
- 10.13 Employment Agreement dated August 2, 1999 between NeoMedia Technologies, Inc. and William Goins (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.32 of NeoMedia's Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 1999.)
- 10.14 Licensing Agreement between Digital:Convergence Corporation and NeoMedia Technologies, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of NeoMedia Form 10-QSB filed on October 30, 2000)
- 10.15 Sale and Purchase Agreement between Qode.com, Inc. and NeoMedia Technologies, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of NeoMedia Form 8K filed on March 15, 2001)
- 10.16 Patent License Agreement - Devices between A.T. Cross Company and NeoMedia Technologies, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 23.5 of NeoMedia Form S1/A filed November 16, 2001).
- 10.17 License Agreement between Symbol Technologies, Inc. and NeoMedia Technologies, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 23.6 of NeoMedia Form S1/A filed November 16, 2001).
- 10.18 Sponsorship and Advertising Agreement between About.com, Inc. and NeoMedia Technologies, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 23.7 of NeoMedia Form S1/A filed November 16, 2001).
- 10.19 Proposed Transaction Agreement between AirClic, Inc. and NeoMedia Technologies, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 23.8 of NeoMedia Form S1/A filed November 16, 2001).

Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

21 Subsidiaries (Incorporated by reference to description of Company's subsidiaries contained in Part I, Item I of this form 10-K.

(2) The following exhibits required by Item 601 of Regulation S-K are hereby filed herewith:

III-11

EXHIBIT

NO. ---	DESCRIPTION -----
3.5	Form of Certificate of Amendment to Restated Certificate of Incorporation of NeoMedia Technologies, Inc. increasing authorized capital and creating preferred stock.
23.1	Consent of Arthur Andersen LLP
23.2	Consent of Stonefield Josephson, Inc.

(b) Reports on Form 8-K

Form 8-K filed October 29, 2001 to disclose that the Company had dismissed Arthur Andersen LLP as its independent accountants and engaged Stonefield Josephson, Inc. as its new independent accountants.

III-12

EXHIBIT 23.1

CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

As independent certified public accountants, we hereby consent to the incorporation of our report, included in this Form 10-K, into the Company's previously filed Registration Statements File nos. 333-80591, 333-42477, 333-36098, 333-51811, 333-77659, and 333-33738.

/S/ ARTHUR ANDERSEN LLP

Tampa, Florida,  
March 26, 2002

III-13

EXHIBIT 23.2

Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

Consent of Independent Certified Public Accountants  
-----

Board of Directors  
Neomedia Technologies, Inc.

We consent to the incorporation by reference of our independent auditors' report dated March 28, 2002 on the consolidated statement of balance sheet as of December 31, 2001 and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity and cash flows for the year then ended, included in this Form 10-K/Amendment No. 2, into the Company's previously filed Registration Statements (File Nos. 333-80591, 333-42477, 333-36098, 333-51811, 333-77659, and 333-33738, 333-101588, 333-99183, 333-91284, and 333-85422).

/s/ Stonefield Josephson, Inc.  
Irvine, California  
February 6, 2003

III-14

SIGNATURES

In accordance with Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized in the City of Fort Myers, State of Florida, on the 6th day of February, 2003.

NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  
REGISTRANT

By: /s/ CHARLES T. JENSEN

-----  
Charles T. Jensen  
President, Acting Chief Executive  
Officer, Chief Operating Officer and  
Director

In accordance with the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities indicated on February 6, 2003.

SIGNATURES

TITLE

/s/ CHARLES T. JENSEN

President, Acting Chief Executive Officer,  
Chief Operating Officer and Director

-----  
Charles T. Jensen

/s/ CHARLES W. FRITZ

Chairman of the Board

-----  
Charles W. Fritz

Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

/s/ WILLIAM E. FRITZ Secretary and Director

-----  
William E. Fritz

/s/ DAVID A. DODGE Vice President, Chief Financial Officer  
----- and Controller

David A. Dodge

/s/ A. HAYES BARCLAY Director

-----  
A. Hayes Barclay

/s/ JAMES J. KEIL Director

-----  
James J. Keil

III-15

CERTIFICATION  
PURSUANT TO SECTION 302

I, Charles T. Jensen, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this amended annual report on Form 10-K of NeoMedia Technologies, Inc.;

2. Based on my knowledge, this amended annual report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this amended annual report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this amended annual report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this amended annual report;

4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14) for the registrant and we have:

a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this amended annual report is being prepared;

b) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this amended annual report (the "Evaluation Date"); and

c) presented in this amended annual report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the Evaluation Date;

Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):

a) all significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data and have identified for the registrant's auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and

b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls; and

6. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have indicated in this amended annual report whether or not there were significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Date: February 6, 2003

By: /s/ Charles T. Jensen

-----  
Charles T. Jensen  
President, Chief Operating  
Officer, and Acting Chief  
Executive Officer

CERTIFICATION  
PURSUANT TO SECTION 302

I, David A. Dodge, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this amended annual report on Form 10-K of NeoMedia Technologies, Inc.;

2. Based on my knowledge, this amended annual report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this amended annual report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this amended annual report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this amended annual report;

4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14) for the registrant and we have:

a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this amended annual report is being prepared;

Edgar Filing: NEOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K/A

b) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this amended annual report (the "Evaluation Date"); and

c) presented in this amended annual report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the Evaluation Date;

5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):

a) all significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data and have identified for the registrant's auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and

b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls; and

6. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have indicated in this amended annual report whether or not there were significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Date: February 6, 2003

By: /s/ David A. Dodge

-----  
David A. Dodge  
Vice President, Chief Financial  
Officer, Controller and  
Principle Accounting Officer