WILMINGTON TRUST CORP Form 10-Q May 15, 2003

FORM 10-Q SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

(Mark One)

[X] QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

EXCHAN	GE ACT OF 1934	, ,	
F	or the Quarterly Period ended Ma	arch 31, 2003	
	OR		
	TION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION GE ACT OF 1934	13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECU	JRITIES
	For The Transition Period From	to	
	Commission File Number: 1-1465	9	
	WILMINGTON TRUS		
	(Exact name of registrant as		
1	Delaware	51-03281	.54
	other jurisdiction of tion or organization)	(I.R.S. Employer Ider	ntification No.)
	Square North, 1100 North Market		
	ess of principal executive offic		(Zip Code)
	(302) 653	1-1000	
	(Registrant's telephone numb		2)
	Not Appl:	icable	
	(Former name, former address if changed since		·- ·
Tı			
required to 1934 durino registrant	ndicate by check mark whether the obe filed by Sections 13 or 15 g the preceding 12 months (or fowas required to file such reportant for the past 90 days.	(d) of the Securities Ex or such shorter period t	change Act of hat the
required to 1934 durino registrant	o be filed by Sections 13 or 15 g the preceding 12 months (or for was required to file such report or the past 90 days.	(d) of the Securities Ex or such shorter period t	change Act of hat the
required to 1934 during registrant filing requ [X] Yes	o be filed by Sections 13 or 15 g the preceding 12 months (or for was required to file such report uirements for the past 90 days. [] No y check mark whether the regists Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act)	(d) of the Securities Exor such shorter period trts) and (2) has been sures, and it is an accelerated f	schange Act of hat the ubject to such

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Number of shares of issuer's common stock (\$1.00 par value) outstanding at March 31, 2003-65,704,655 shares

Wilmington Trust Corporation and Subsidiaries Form 10-Q Index

		Page
Part I.	Financial Information	
	<pre>Item 1 - Financial Statements (unaudited)</pre>	
	Consolidated Statements of Condition Consolidated Statements of Income Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	1 3 5 7
	<pre>Item 2 - Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition</pre>	15
	Item 3 - Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	34
	Item 4 - Controls and Procedures	35
Part II.	Other Information	
	<pre>Item 1 - Legal Proceedings Item 2 - Changes in Securities and Use of Proceeds Item 3 - Defaults Upon Senior Securities Item 4 - Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders Item 5 - Other Information Item 6 - Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K</pre>	36 36 36 36 36

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CONDITION (unaudited) Wilmington Trust Corporation and Subsidiaries

(in thousands)	March 31, 2003
ASSETS	
Cash and due from banks	\$ 207,303
Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell	3,832
Investment securities available for sale:	

U.S. Treasury and government agencies Obligations of state and political subdivisions Other securities	451,648 13,010 1,075,452
Total investment securities available for sale	1,540,110
Investment securities held to maturity: Obligations of state and political subdivisions Other securities	3,546 1,093
Total investment securities held to maturity (market values of \$4,960 and \$5,131, respectively)	4,639
Loans:	
Commercial, financial, and agricultural	2,153,971
Real estate-construction	604,042
Mortgage-commercial	1,054,861
Mortgage-residential Consumer	627,129 1,568,462
Unearned income	(291)
Total loans net of unearned income	6,008,174
Reserve for loan losses	(86,010)
Net loans	5,922,164
Premises and equipment, net	154,007
Goodwill, net of accumulated amortization	
of \$29,785 in 2003 and 2002	240,072
Other intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization	
of \$8,246 in 2003 and \$8,125 in 2002	21,394
Accrued interest receivable Other assets	38,544 135,929
Total assets	\$8,267,994

1

(in thousands)	March 31, 2003
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	
Deposits:	
Noninterest-bearing demand	\$ 919 , 080
<pre>Interest-bearing:</pre>	
Savings	366,495
Interest-bearing demand	2,129,982
Certificates under \$100,000	867 , 455
Certificates \$100,000 and over	2,294,047
Total deposits	6,577,059

Federal funds purchased and securities sold

Short-term borrowings:

under agreements to repurchase U.S. Treasury demand Line of credit	603,940 10,663 24,000
Total short-term borrowings	638,603
Accrued interest payable Other liabilities Long-term debt	28,855 108,150 160,500
Total liabilities	7,513,167
Minority interest	21
Stockholders' equity: Common stock (\$1.00 par value) authorized 150,000,000 shares; issued 78,528,346 Capital surplus Retained earnings Accumulated other comprehensive income	78,528 49,965 896,827 (1,837)
Total contributed capital and retained earnings Less: Treasury stock, at cost, 12,823,691 and 12,900,601 shares, respectively	1,023,483 (268,677)
Total stockholders' equity	754,806
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$8,267,994
	=======================================

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

2

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (unaudited) Wilmington Trust Corporation and Subsidiaries

	For the three March
(in thousands; except per share data)	2003
NET INTEREST INCOME	
Interest and fees on loans	\$ 77 , 067
Interest and dividends on investment securities:	
Taxable interest	13,685
Tax-exempt interest	230
Dividends	1,722
Interest on federal funds sold and securities	
purchased under agreements to resell	84
Total interest income	92,788
Interest on deposits	18,633
Interest on short-term borrowings	3,219
Interest on long-term debt	2,641

Total interest expense	24,493
Net interest income Provision for loan losses	68,295 (4,935)
Net interest income after provision	
for loan losses	63,360
NONINTEREST INCOME	
Advisory fees	48,011
Service charges on deposit accounts	7,293
Loan fees and late charges	2,012
Card fees	2,636
Securities losses	(1)
Other noninterest income	1,212
Total noninterest income	61,163
Net interest and noninterest income	124,523
NONINTEREST EXPENSE	
Salaries and employment benefits	48,870
Net occupancy	5,395
Furniture and equipment	6,014
Stationery and supplies	1,277
Advertising and contributions	1,851
Servicing and consulting fees	3,994
Travel, entertainment, and training	1,480
Originating and processing fees	1,827
3	

Other noninterest expense	8,925
Total noninterest expense	79,633
NET INCOME Income before income taxes and minority interest Income tax expense	44,890 15,287
Net income before minority interest Minority interest	29 , 603 226
Net income	\$ 29,377
Net income per share: Basic	\$ 0.45
Diluted	\$ 0.44
Weighted average shares outstanding: Basic Diluted	65,692 66,174

*Reflects the two-for-one stock split in the form of a 100% stock dividend paid on June 17, 2002.

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

4

under agreements to repurchase

	For the t
(in thousands)	2003
OPERATING ACTIVITIES	
Net income	\$ 29 , 377
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by	Ψ 23 , 311
Operating activities:	
Provision for loan losses	4,935
Provision for depreciation	4,727
Amortization of other intangible assets	625
Minority interest in net income	16
Compensation expense - nonemployee stock options	
Amortization of investment securities available for sale	
discounts and premiums	2,478
Amortization of investment securities held to maturity	2,110
discounts and premiums	
Deferred income taxes	(978
Originations of residential mortgages available for sale	(55,068
Gross proceeds from sales of loans	56,263
Gains on sales of loans	(1,195
Securities losses	(1,193)
Decrease/(increase) in other assets	6,970
(Decrease)/increase in other liabilities	(24,342
Net cash provided by operating activities	23,809
INVESTING ACTIVITIES	
Proceeds from sales of investment securities available for sale	135
Proceeds from maturities of investment securities available for sale	258,419
Proceeds from maturities of investment securities held to maturity	148
Purchases of investment securities available for sale	(458,031
Investments in affiliates	
Cash paid for purchase of subsidiary	
Purchases of loans	(276
Net decrease/(increase) in loans	13,124
Purchases of premises and equipment	(5,492
Dispositions of premises and equipment	1,924
Net cash used for investing activities	(190,049
FINANCING ACTIVITIES	
Net increase/(decrease) in demand, savings, and interest-bearing	
demand deposits	43,109
Net increase/(decrease) in certificates of deposit	196,857
Net (decrease)/increase in federal funds purchased and securities sold	

(54,888)

Net decrease in U.S. Treasury demand	(31,226)
Net decrease in line of credit	(10,000)

5

Cash dividends	(16,753)
Proceeds from common stock issued under employment benefit plans, net of income taxes	1,876
Payments for common stock acquired through buybacks	1,876 (465)
	 (100)
Net cash provided by/(used for) financing activities	 128 , 510
Effect of foreign currency translation on cash	 (17)
Decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(37,747)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	248,882
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 211,135
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION	
Cash paid during the period for:	
Interest	\$ 25,346
Taxes	1,049
Loans transferred during the period:	
To other real estate owned	\$ 816
From other real estate owned	
In conjunction with the acquisition of Balentine, liabilities were	
assumed as follows:	
Fair value of assets acquired	\$
Common stock issued	
Cash paid	
Liabilities assumed	\$

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

6

Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

Note 1 - Stock-Based Compensation Plans

At March 31, 2003, the Corporation had three types of stock-based compensation plans, which are described in Note 15 to the consolidated financial statements included in the Corporation's Annual Report to Shareholders for 2002. The Corporation applies Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25 and related interpretations in accounting for these plans. No stock-based compensation cost has been recognized in the accompanying consolidated financial statements for those plans. If compensation cost for the Corporation's three types of stock-based compensation plans had been determined based on the fair value at the grant dates for awards under those plans consistent with the methods outlined in Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," the Corporation's net income would

have been as follows:

	For	the	three March
(in thousands, except per share amounts)		2003	
Net income: As reported Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards,	\$	29,3	77
net of related tax effects		(3	96)
Pro forma net income	\$	28 , 9	81
Basic earnings per share: As reported Pro forma	\$	0. 0.	45 44
Diluted earnings per share: As reported Pro forma	\$	0. 0.	

Note 2 - Accounting and Reporting Policies

The accounting and reporting policies of Wilmington Trust Corporation (the Corporation), a holding company that owns all of the issued and outstanding shares of capital stock of Wilmington Trust Company, Wilmington Trust of Pennsylvania, Wilmington Trust FSB, WT Investments, Inc., Rodney Square Management Corporation, and Wilmington Trust (UK) Limited, conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) and practices in the banking industry for interim financial information. The information for the interim periods is unaudited and includes all adjustments that are of a normal recurring nature and that management believes to be necessary for fair presentation. Results for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the full year. The consolidated financial statements presented herein should be read in conjunction with the notes to the consolidated financial statements included in the Corporation's Annual Report to Shareholders for 2002. Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to current year presentation.

Note 3 - Comprehensive Income

The following table depicts other comprehensive income as required by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 130:

	For the three month March 31,
(in thousands)	2003
Net income	\$ 29 , 377 \$

Other comprehensive income, net of income taxes:		
Net unrealized holding gains/(losses) on securities	(505)	
Reclassification adjustment for securities gains included in net income	1	
Net unrealized holding gains arising during the period on derivatives		
used for cash flow hedge		
Reclassification adjustment for derivitive gains included in net income	(30)	
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(94)	
Minimum pension liability adjustment		
Total comprehensive income	\$ 28 , 749 \$	ż

7

Note 4 - Earnings Per Share

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted net earnings per share:

	For	the three Marc
(in thousands; except per share data)		2003
Numerator: Net income	\$	29 , 377
Denominator: Denominator for basic earnings per share - weighted-average shares		65 , 692
Effect of dilutive securities: Employee stock options		482
Denominator for diluted earnings per share - adjusted weighted-average shares and assumed conversions		66,174
Basic earnings per share	\$	0.45
Diluted earnings per share	\$	0.44
Cash dividends per share	\$	0.255

The number of anti-dilutive stock options excluded was 2.0 million for the period ended March 31, 2003, and 439,000 for the corresponding period of 2002.

*Reflects the two-for-one stock split in the form of a 100% stock dividend paid on June 17, 2002.

Note 5 - Segment Reporting

For the purposes of reporting our results, we divide our business activities into two segments. Our banking and advisory fee-based segments comprise the services we provide to customers. The banking and advisory fee-based segments are managed separately but have overlapping markets, customers, and systems. The

Corporation's strategy to develop full relationships across a broad product array allows these two segments to market separate products and services to a common base of customers.

The banking segment includes lending, deposit-taking, and branch banking in our primary banking markets of Delaware, Pennsylvania, and Maryland, along with institutional deposit-taking on a national basis. Lending activities include commercial loans, commercial and residential mortgages, and construction and consumer loans. Deposit products include demand checking, certificates of deposit, negotiable order of withdrawal accounts, and various savings and money market accounts.

The advisory fee-based segment includes wealth advisory services, asset management, mutual fund, corporate client services to individuals and corporations in the United States and more than 50 other countries, and the results of Balentine & Company. Wealth advisory service activities include investment management, trust services, private banking, estate settlement, financial planning, and tax preparation. Asset management activities include a broad range of portfolio management services, including fixed-income, short-term cash management, and contributions resulting from affiliations with Cramer Rosenthal McGlynn, LLC and Roxbury Capital Management, LLC.

8

Corporate client services include custody services, trusteeships for capital leases, collateralized securities, corporate restructurings and bankruptcies, and entity management services.

The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies. The Corporation evaluates performance based on profit or loss from operations before income taxes and without including nonrecurring gains and losses. The Corporation generally records intersegment sales and transfers as if the sales or transfers were to third parties (i.e., at current market prices). Profit or loss from infrequent events such as the sale of a business are reported separately for each segment.

Financial data by segment for March 31, 2003 vs. March 31, 2002 is as follows:

Quarter ended March 31, 2003 (in thousands)	Banking 31, 2003 (in thousands) Business	
Net interest income Provision for loan losses	\$ 60,875 (4,935)	\$ 7,420 \$
Net interest income after provision Total advisory fees:	55 , 940	7,420
Wealth advisory services	667	32,968
Corporate client services	391	14,536
Affiliate managers		(223)
Amortization of other intangibles		(328)
Other noninterest income	12,547	606
Securities losses	(1)	
Net interest and noninterest income Noninterest expense	69,544 (36,956)	54,979 (42,677)

Segment profit from operations	32,588	12,302	
Segment profit before income taxes	\$ 32,588	\$ 12,302	\$
Intersegment revenue	\$	•	==== \$
Depreciation and amortization	•	3,056	
Investment in equity method investees		241,164	
Segment average assets	6,625,794	1,533,454	8
Quarter ended March 31, 2002 (in thousands)			
Net interest income	\$ 56 , 078	\$ 9,100	\$
Provision for loan losses	(5,146)	(149)	
Net interest income after provision	50 , 932	8,951	
Total advisory fees:			
Wealth advisory services	1,587	28,821	
Corporate client services	784	13,675	
Affiliate managers		7,870	
Amortization of other intangibles and goodwill		(82)	
Other noninterest income	11,401	615	

9

Securities gains			
Net interest and noninterest income Noninterest expense	64,704 (37,660)	59,850 (37,620)	
Segment profit from operations	27,044	22,230	
Segment profit before income taxes	\$ 27,044	\$ 22,230	\$ ====
Intersegment revenue Depreciation and amortization Investment in equity method investees Segment average assets	\$ 6,510 6,242,005	\$ 3,376 226,126 1,133,757	\$
beginerie average abbeeb	0,212,000	1,133,131	′

Note 6 - Derivative and Hedging Activities

The Corporation previously has entered into interest rate swap and interest rate floor contracts in managing interest rate risk to reduce the impact of fluctuations in interest rates of identifiable asset categories, principally floating-rate commercial loans and commercial mortgage loans.

Swaps are contracts to exchange, at specified intervals, the difference between fixed- and floating-rate interest amounts computed on contractual notional principal amounts.

Floors are contracts that generate interest payments to the Corporation based on the difference between the floating-rate index and a predetermined strike rate of the specific floor when the index is below the strike rate. When the index is equal to or above the strike rate, no payments are made or received by the Corporation.

Changes in the fair value of the floors attributed to the change in "time value" are excluded in assessing the hedge's effectiveness and are recorded to "Other noninterest income" in the Consolidated Statements of Income. Changes in the fair value that are determined to be ineffective are also recorded to "Other noninterest income" in the Consolidated Statements of Income. The effective portion of the change in fair value is recorded in "Other comprehensive income" in the Consolidated Statements of Condition. For the first quarter of 2003, approximately \$77,000 of gains in "Accumulated other comprehensive income" were reclassified to earnings. During the 12 months ending March 31, 2004, approximately \$308,000 of gains in "Accumulated other comprehensive income" are expected to be reclassified to earnings.

The Corporation does not hold or issue derivative financial instruments for trading purposes.

Note 7 - Subsequent Event

The Corporation issued \$250 million of unsecured subordinated notes due April 15, 2013. Semi-annual interest payments are due on April and October 15th of each year beginning October 15, 2003 at a rate of 4.875%. The notes are not redeemable prior to maturity and will not be subject to any sinking fund.

1 0

Note 8 - Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

A summary of goodwill and other intangible assets at March 31 is as follows:

2003 Net Gross Gross carrying Accumulated carrying amount amortization amount carrying (in thousands) amount \$ 269,857 \$ 29,785 \$ 240,072 \$ 269,958 Goodwill (nonamortizing) ______ Other intangibles Amortizing:

 Mortgage servicing rights
 \$ 5,810
 \$ 3,246
 \$ 2,564
 \$ 5,400

 Customer lists
 15,137
 3,345
 11,792
 15,209

 Acquisition costs
 1,140
 1,026
 114
 1,635

 629 93 722 Other intangibles 722 Nonamortizing Other intangible assets 6,831 --6**,**831 6,831 \$ 29,640 \$ 8,246 \$ 21,394 \$ 29,797 Total other intangibles

Amortization expense of other intangible assets for the three months ended March 31 is as follows:

(in thousands)

Amortization expense

The estimated amortization expense of other intangible assets for each of the five succeeding fiscal years is as follows:

Estimated annual amortization expense (in thousands)

For the year ended December 31, 2004 For the year ended December 31, 2005 For the year ended December 31, 2006 For the year ended December 31, 2007 For the year ended December 31, 2008

11

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the three months ended March 31 are as follows:

2003

(in thousands)	nking siness	e-Based ousiness	 Total	nking siness
Balance as of January 1, 2002 Goodwill acquired	\$ 3 , 752	\$ 236,421	\$ 240,173	\$ 3 , 752
Impairment loss Decrease in carrying value due to foreign currency				
translation adjustments	 	 (101)	 (101)	
Balance as of March 31, 2003	\$ 3 , 752	\$ 236,320	\$ 240,072	\$ 3 , 752

The goodwill acquired above includes \$4.2 million recorded in connection with the acquisition of Balentine Holdings, Inc. and \$2.0 million recorded in connection with the Corporation's investment in Camden Partners Holdings, LLC.

During 2002, a loss was recognized for \$1.2 million, the Corporation's remaining investment in Clemente Capital, Inc. (Clemente), a global investment management adviser. Clemente's financial performance and account retention led to the Corporation's writeoff of this investment. \$576,000 of this loss was recognized during the first quarter of 2002. This loss is recorded in the "Other noninterest expense" line of the Corporation's Consolidated Statements of Income.

Other intangible assets acquired in the first three months of 2003 are as follows:

Mortgage servicing rights

Ş

410

12

Note 9 - Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2002, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the FASB) issued SFAS No. 146, "Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities." This Statement addresses financial accounting and reporting for costs associated with exit or disposal activities and nullifies Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 94-3, "Liability Recognition for Certain Employee Termination Benefits and Other Costs to Exit an Activity (including Certain Costs Incurred in a Restructuring)." The provisions of this Statement are effective for exit or disposal activities that are initiated after December 31, 2002, with early application encouraged. The adoption of this Statement did not have an impact on the Corporation's consolidated earnings, financial condition, or equity.

In December 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 148, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation-Transition and Disclosure." This Statement amends SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," to provide alternative methods of transition for an entity that voluntarily changes to the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation. It also amends the disclosure provisions of that Statement to require prominent disclosure about the effects on reported net income of an entity's accounting policy decisions with respect to stock-based employee compensation. Finally, this Statement amends APB

13

Opinion No. 28, "Interim Financial Reporting," to require disclosure about those effects in interim financial information. The requirements for SFAS No. 148 are effective for financial statements for fiscal years ended and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2002. The Corporation uses the "intrinsic value" approach to accounting for stock-based compensation as permitted under APB Opinion No. 25. The Corporation has adopted the disclosure provisions of SFAS No. 148. The disclosure provisions had no impact on the Corporation's consolidated earnings, financial condition, or equity. On April 22, 2003, the FASB announced its intention to require that all companies expense the value of employee stock options. The FASB plans to issue a new exposure draft later this year that could become effective in 2004. Until the new Statement is issued, the provisions of Statement No. 123 remain in effect. See "Stock-based employee compensation" under "Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates."

In April 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 149, "Amendments of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities," which establishes accounting and reporting standards for derivative instruments, including derivatives embedded in other contracts and hedging activities. This Statement amends Statement No. 133 for decisions made by the FASB as part of its Derivatives Implementation Group process. This Statement also amends Statement No. 133 to incorporate clarifications of the definition of a derivative. This Statement is effective for contracts entered into or modified and hedging relationships designated after June 30, 2003. The provisions of this Statement are not expected to have a

material impact on the Corporation's consolidated earnings, financial condition, or equity.

In November 2002, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 45, "Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others." This is an interpretation of FASB Nos. 5, 57, and 107, and the rescission of FASB Interpretation No. 34. This Interpretation elaborates on the disclosures required to be made by a guarantor in both its interim and annual financial statements about its obligations under certain guarantees that it has issued. It also clarifies that a guarantor is required to recognize, at the inception of the guarantee, a liability for the fair value of the obligation undertaken in issuing the guarantee. The initial recognition and measurement provisions are to be applied on a prospective basis to guarantees issued or modified after December 31, 2002, irrespective of the guarantor's year-end. Accounting for guarantees issued prior to the date of this Interpretation's initial application will not be revised or restated to reflect the effect of the recognition and measurement provisions of the Interpretation.

On January 17, 2003, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 46, "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities," an interpretation of Accounting Research Bulletin (ARB) No. 51, "Consolidated Financial Statements." This Interpretation addresses the consolidation by business enterprises of variable interest entities to which the normal conditions for consolidation do not apply, due to the entities' lack of a voting interest or lack of control through ownership of a voting interest. The Interpretation requires that an enterprise review its degree of involvement in a variable interest entity to determine if it is the primary beneficiary. Certain disclosures about the variable interest entity and the enterprise's involvement are required by both the primary beneficiary and by the enterprise that has a significant interest in a variable interest entity. Enterprises with variable interests in variable interest entities created after January 31, 2003, must apply the provisions of the Interpretation to those entities immediately. Enterprises with a variable interest in a variable interest entity before February 1, 2002, must apply the provisions of the Interpretation to those entities no later than the beginning of the first interim or annual reporting period beginning after June 15, 2003. If it is reasonably possible that an enterprise will consolidate or disclose information about the variable interest entity when this Interpretation becomes effective, the enterprise must make similar disclosures in all financial statements issued after January 31, 2002, regardless of the date on which the variable interest entity was created. The Corporation does not expect this Interpretation to have an impact on its consolidated earnings, financial condition, or equity.

14

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

COMPANY OVERVIEW

Wilmington Trust Corporation (the Corporation) is a financial services holding company. Through its primary wholly owned subsidiaries, Wilmington Trust Company, Wilmington Trust of Pennsylvania, and Wilmington Trust FSB, which serves as the platform for the Corporation's activities beyond Delaware and Pennsylvania, the Corporation engages in regional banking services for clients throughout the Delaware Valley region; specialty trust and administrative services for national and international corporate clients; and wealth advisory services for high-net-worth individuals and families throughout the United States and in many foreign countries.

The Corporation and its affiliates have offices in California, Delaware,

Florida, Georgia, Maryland, Nevada, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, the Cayman Islands, the Channel Islands, and London, and other affiliates in Dublin and Milan.

SUMMARY OF RESULTS FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2003

During the first quarter of 2003, all of the Corporation's core businesses experienced growth and sales momentum was good. Loan balances rose in the face of an interest rate environment that led many clients to refinance existing loans. Fee-based revenue from the wealth advisory and corporate client businesses increased. Credit quality remained stable and expense growth was limited.

Despite this success, the convergence of three economic factors prevented the Corporation from surpassing its performance for the first quarter of 2002:

- The lowest interest rates in 40 years caused a 22-basis-point drop in the net interest margin, due primarily to a high level of refinancings in the loan portfolio and repricings in the investment portfolio.
- Persistent volatility and declines in the equity markets offset the growth in advisory revenue, a large portion of which is tied to market valuations.
- The contribution from the affiliate asset managers dropped from \$7.9 million for the 2002 first quarter to a negative \$223,000 for the first quarter of 2003, because of lower equity market valuations and business that was lost as more clients shifted their investments to less risky alternatives.

As a result, net income was \$29.4 million, which was 8.5% lower than the \$32.1 million recorded for the first quarter of 2002. Earnings per share, on a diluted basis, were \$0.44 for the 2003 first quarter, which was 8.3% lower than the \$0.48 reported for the 2002 first quarter. The 2002 first quarter earnings per share number has been revised to reflect the 2-for-1 stock split in the form of a 100% stock dividend that was paid on June 17, 2002.

Loan balances for the quarter were \$6.0 billion on a period-end as well as on an average basis. On a period-end basis, this amount was equal to balances at December 31, 2002, and 8.5% higher than balances at March 31, 2002. On average, loan balances rose 1.4% from the 2002 fourth quarter and 9.4% from the 2002 first quarter.

The provision for loan losses was 11.2% lower than for the fourth quarter of 2002, and 6.8% lower than for the year-ago first quarter.

Net interest income was 4.8% higher than for the year-ago first quarter due primarily to loan growth. The net interest margin declined from 3.97% to 3.75%, as asset yields declined at a more rapid pace than deposit costs due primarily to refinancing activity and maturing investments.

Noninterest income was 5.4% lower than for the first quarter of 2002, due primarily to equity market declines that masked growth in advisory revenue and contributed to lower revenue from the affiliate asset managers.

15

Noninterest expenses were 5.8% higher than for the year-ago first quarter. Noninterest revenue and noninterest expenses included results from the acquisition of SPV Management Limited, which were not consolidated until April 2002.

Assets under management at Wilmington Trust totaled \$22.6 billion. Assets under

management at value-style affiliate asset manager Cramer Rosenthal McGlynn were \$3.2 billion. Assets under management at value-style affiliate asset manager Roxbury Capital Management were \$3.2 billion. Combined assets under management totaled \$28.9 billion. On an annualized basis, the first quarter 2003 return on average assets was 1.46% and return on average stockholders' equity was 15.96%, compared with 1.76% and 18.73%, respectively, for the 2002 first quarter.

The Corporation's Board of Directors raised the quarterly cash dividend 5.9%, from \$0.255 to \$0.27, or \$1.08 on an annualized basis. This marks the 22nd consecutive year that Wilmington Trust has raised its dividend.

STATEMENT OF CONDITION

This section discusses changes in the balance sheet from the prior period, which comprised the period ended December 31, 2002.

Total assets, total earning assets, the investment portfolio, loan balances, and core deposit balances all rose, both on a period-end and average-balance basis. The increases were due to growth in the Corporation's regional banking business and additions to the investment portfolio.

At March 31, 2003, total assets were \$8.3 billion, which was 1.7% higher than the \$8.1 billion recorded at December 31, 2002.

Total earning assets at March 31, 2003, were \$7.6 billion, compared with \$7.4 billion at December 31, 2002.

The size of the investment portfolio increased 15%, from \$1.3 billion at December 31, 2002, to \$1.5 billion at March 31, 2003. Investments in mortgage-backed securities accounted for the majority of the increase, and were \$213.8 million higher than at the end of 2002.

The Corporation is using mortgage-backed securities to help offset lower residential mortgage loan balances, which are declining due to prepayments, refinancings, and the sale of all new production into the secondary market. The Corporation believes that duration and risk can be better managed with mortgage-backed securities than by retaining residential mortgages.

At March 31, 2003, mortgage-backed securities represented 30%, the largest percentage, of the investment portfolio. Treasuries accounted for 20%; collateralized mortgage obligations, 15%; corporate issues, 14%; agencies, 9%; money market preferred stocks, 8%; municipal bonds, 1%; and other securities, 3%.

Period-end loan balances at March 31, 2003, were \$6.0 billion, which was even with December 31, 2002, period-end balances. Average balances also reached \$6.0 billion, which was 1.4% higher than average balances for the fourth quarter of 2002. The Corporation regards average balances as a better indicator of trends in the regional banking business than period-end balances.

The growth in loan balances on average occurred predominantly in the Delaware Valley region, where the Corporation focuses its regional banking business. This region is defined as the area along the I-95 corridor from Princeton to Baltimore, the state of Delaware, and Maryland's Eastern Shore. Compared to other parts of the United States, this region's economic health is relatively strong. According to the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, Delaware's unemployment rate is the fifth lowest in the nation.

16

The growth in loan balances on average was split evenly between activity in

Delaware and southeastern Pennsylvania. In Delaware, the growth represented new business development. In southeastern Pennsylvania, much of the growth occurred from gains in market share, as more clients preferred the geographic proximity of the Corporation's headquarters and accessibility of its management.

In the commercial loan portfolio, period-end balances at March 31, 2003, were \$3.8 billion, the same as at December 31, 2002, and represented 63.5% of the overall loan portfolio. Commercial loan balances, on average, also totaled \$3.8 billion, which was 3.0% higher than the \$3.7 billion recorded for the fourth quarter of 2002.

Commercial loan balances are reported in three categories:

- Commercial, financial, and agricultural loans, which accounted for 56.5% of the total commercial portfolio at March 31, 2003;
- Commercial mortgage, which accounted for 27.7%; and
- Real estate construction, which represented 15.8%.

Period-end commercial loan balances at March 31, 2003, and December 31, 2002, reflect a reclassification of approximately \$192 million, or 5%, of the commercial portfolio. The \$192 million was removed from the commercial, financial, and agricultural category. Of that amount, approximately \$102 million was reclassified as commercial mortgage loans, and the remaining \$90 million was classified as real estate construction loans. During the quarter, an analysis revealed inconsistencies in the way certain banks were classified.

The Corporation targets its commercial lending activity to privately held businesses with annual sales of \$5 million to \$250 million, and where the opportunity exists for a combined credit and financial advisory relationship.

In the retail loan portfolio, retail loan balances at period-end and on average for the 2003 first quarter were \$2.2 billion, essentially unchanged from the prior period. Consumer balances were slightly higher, due to increased collateral lending, primarily to wealth advisory clients. The growth in consumer balances was offset by a decline in residential mortgage balances, which occurred because:

- the low interest rate environment prompted a high volume of prepayments and refinancings, and
- the Corporation continues to sell new residential mortgage production into the secondary market.

Between December 31, 2002, and March 31, 2003, the composition of the overall loan portfolio remained relatively unchanged:

Loan portfolio composition	March 31, 2003	December 31, 2002
Commercial, financial, and agricultural	36%	39%
Real estate construction	10%	8%
Commercial mortgage	18%	16%
Residential mortgage	10%	11%
Consumer	26%	26%

The reserve for loan losses at March 31, 2003, was \$86.0 million, or 1.43% of loans outstanding at period end. This compares with the reserve for loan losses at December 31, 2002, of \$85.2 million, which was 1.41% of period-end loans outstanding. For the first quarter of 2003, the provision for loan losses was \$4.9 million, which was 6.8% lower than the first quarter 2002 provision of \$5.3

million. The levels of the reserve and provision for loan losses reflect the internal portfolio risk ratings and the level of net charge-offs.

Core deposits - demand deposits, savings, interest-bearing demand deposits, certificates of deposit under \$100,000, and local certificates \$100,000 and over - were \$4.4 billion at period end and \$4.2 billion, on average, for the 2003 first quarter. This was slightly higher than the corresponding balances for the prior period. The \$270.5 million decline in noninterest-bearing

17

demand deposit balances was more than offset by the \$296.5 million increase in interest-bearing demand deposits balances, which occurred because money market account balances rose 16.3%, or \$289.5 million.

Balances for national certificates of deposit in amounts of \$100,000 or more rose 10% to \$2.3 billion. Of this amount, \$2.2 billion represented wholesale funding that was purchased to leverage the investment portfolio and support loan growth. The low interest rate environment made these certificates an attractive source of funding. In comparison, the December 31, 2002, balance for these CDs included \$1.94 billion in wholesale funding.

Stockholders' equity rose 1.8% to \$754.8 million. Earnings of \$29.4 million contributed to the increase, as did \$2.0 million of stock issued under employee benefit programs. Offsetting the increase were \$16.8 million in cash dividends and treasury stock acquisitions of \$465,000. The Corporation bought back 16,008 of its shares during the quarter, which brought the total purchased under the current 8 million-share program to 64,742.

STATEMENT OF INCOME

The Corporation's mix of businesses generates a diversified stream of interest income and noninterest income. The regional banking business primarily produces net interest income and related banking fees. The wealth advisory and corporate client businesses primarily produce noninterest income from fees charged for services provided. Both interest revenue and noninterest revenue were lower for the 2003 first quarter than for the 2002 first quarter, due to economic factors that offset sales growth and business momentum.

While the interest rate environment drove the decline in interest income, it also caused a reduction in interest expense. As a result, net interest income rose 4.8% from \$65.2 million for the 2002 first quarter to \$68.3 million for the 2003 first quarter.

This improvement was not sufficient to offset the lower noninterest income and higher noninterest expenses, which led net income for the first quarter of 2003 to decline to \$29.4 million, or 8.5%, lower than the \$32.1 million recorded for the first quarter of 2002.

Management believes that by having a revenue stream that is balanced between net interest income and fee income, the Corporation will be better positioned to weather economic cycles. Management measures this balance using a ratio of fee income as a percentage of operating revenue.

Management calculates operating revenue by adding net interest income before the loan loss provision (which is not a revenue item) and total fee income.

Management excludes from this calculation securities gains and/or losses and amortization expense related to goodwill and other intangible assets from affiliate asset manager acquisitions. Because of these adjustments, what management considers to be operating revenue does not equal the sum of net

interest income and noninterest income as reported in the consolidated income statements. The use of operating revenue, therefore, is deemed to be a non-GAAP performance measure.

By excluding securities gains and losses, as well as amortization expense, management removes them from both the numerator and denominator of the operating revenue calculation. Management believes that securities transactions are discretionary and not reflective of day-to-day business activity. In addition, management believes that netting affiliate manager amortization against fee income masks the true growth of affiliate manager fees in relation to other sources of revenue. Accordingly, management believes that, in certain circumstances, its discussion of operating revenue and total fees provides a more meaningful measurement of its ongoing revenue.

For the first quarter of 2003, total fees comprised 47.4% of operating revenue, versus 49.8% for the first quarter of 2002. On a GAAP basis, first quarter 2003 noninterest income accounted for 49.1% of net interest and noninterest income, versus 51.9% for the 2002 first quarter.

18

Net interest and noninterest income

Revenue (in thousands)	Three Months 2003	% of Operating Revenue	Three Months 2
Net interest income		53%	\$ 65,178
Fee income:			
Advisory fees	48,339	37%	52 , 737
Service charges	7,293	6%	6,885
Other income	5,860	4%	5,131
Total fee income	61,492	47%	·
Total operating revenue		100%	
Provision for loan losses	(4,935)		(5,295)
Affiliate managers, other			
<pre>intangibles, and goodwill amortization</pre>	(328)		(82)
Securities gains or (losses)	(1)		
Net interest and noninterest income	\$ 124,523		\$ 124,554

Net interest income, expenses, and margin

Net interest income is the difference between the interest income received on earning assets, such as loans and investment securities, and the expense of

interest paid on liabilities, such as deposits and short-term borrowings.

Due to low levels of interest rates, first quarter 2003 interest income declined to \$92.8 million, which was \$3.5 million, or 3.6%, lower than the \$96.3 million recorded for the 2002 first quarter.

Likewise, interest expense was lower, and declined 21.2%, or \$6.6 million, from \$31.1 million for the first quarter of 2002 to \$24.5 million for the first quarter of 2003.

To compute the net interest margin, annualized net interest income on a fully tax-equivalent (FTE) basis is divided by the average of total earning assets during the quarter. Interest rate movements, and the relative levels of earnings assets and interest-bearing liabilities held by the Corporation, affect the net interest margin and the resulting net interest income.

On an FTE basis, interest income for the 2003 first quarter was \$94.0 million, which was 3.6%, or \$3.5 million, lower than for the first quarter of 2002. Interest expense was \$24.5 million, compared with \$31.1 million for the 2002 first quarter. Net interest income, on an FTE basis, was \$69.6 million, which was 4.6% higher than for the first quarter of 2002.

The net interest margin was 3.75%, which was 22 basis points lower than for the first quarter of 2002.

A combination of factors led to the decline in the margin, including an interest rate environment that was more challenging than expected, the company's interest-rate sensitivity positioning, and a flattening yield curve:

- First quarter 2003 was the first quarter to reflect fully the rate reduction made by the Federal Reserve Board in November 2002, which brought the prime rate to 4.25%, its lowest since 1959.
- Loan yields fell, as clients paid off loans or refinanced them at lower rates and new loans came on at lower spreads.
- The average rate earned on loans fell 77 basis points, from 5.98% for the 2002 first quarter to 5.21% for the 2003 first quarter.
- The Corporation's average prime lending rate was 4.25%, which was 50 basis points lower than the 4.75% for the 2002 first quarter.
- Approximately \$1 billion of the total commercial loan portfolio is tied to LIBOR rates. The 3-month LIBOR declined 10 basis points during the quarter, from 1.38% at December 31, 2002, to 1.28% at March 31, 2003.

19

- The average yield on earning assets fell 76 basis points, from 5.83% for the 2002 first quarter to 5.07% for the 2003 first quarter.
- Deposit pricing on many products continued to reach new lows. The average rate paid on interest-bearing deposits fell to 1.36%, which was 64 basis points lower than the 2.00% for the 2002 first quarter.
- Yields from investment securities declined 68 basis points from the 2002 first quarter and 25 basis points from the 2002 fourth quarter. Prepayments and calls exceeded \$150 million, as issuers redeemed instruments prior to their scheduled maturities. Approximately \$108 million, on average, was added to the portfolio during the quarter, but these investments were made at yields lower than those of the prepayments and calls.

Roughly 8 basis points of the margin decline can be accounted for as follows:

- Approximately \$108 million, on average, in new commercial mortgage loans was added during the quarter, with lower spreads. This accounted for 2

- basis points of the decline.
- Approximately \$80 million of total commercial loan balances refinanced during the quarter, accounting for 2 basis points of the decline.
- Turnover in the investment portfolio, plus additions to the portfolio during the quarter, accounted for 4 basis points of the decline.

Additional loan and investment repricing could compress the net interest margin by 10 additional basis points during the second quarter of 2003. Any action by the Federal Reserve to lower key interest rates might further compress the margin.

The following tables present comparative net interest income data and a rate/volume analysis of the changes in net interest income for the first quarters of 2003 and 2002.

20

QUARTERLY ANALYSIS OF EARNINGS

	200	20		
<pre>(in thousands; rates on tax-equivalent basis)</pre>	Average balance	Income/ expense	Average Rate	_
Earning assets				
Federal funds sold and				
securities purchased under	÷ 04.061	A O A	1 400	÷ 15 001
agreements to resell	\$ 24,061	\$ 84	1.40%	\$ 15,091
U.S. Treasury and government				
agencies	460,914	4,177	3.73	573 , 879
State and municipal	16,546	354	8.97	17 , 515
Preferred stock	114,021	2,200		80,394
Asset-backed securities	642,614	7,835		430,532
Other	227,475	1,841		143,263
Total investment securities	1,461,570	16,407	4.55	1,245,583
Commercial, financial, and				
agricultural	2,214,827	25,061	4.53	1,811,611
Real estate-construction	544,643	6,066	4.45	421,034
Mortgage-commercial	1,007,982	14,179		1,020,595
Mortgage-residential	648,964	11,051		841,819
Consumer	1,561,231	21,201	5.49	1,369,422
Total loans	5,977,647	77,558	5.21	5,464,481
Total earning assets	\$7,463,278	94,049	5.07	\$6,725,155
	=========	========		
Funds supporting earning assets	ć 257 200	202	0 00	¢ 240 671
Savings	\$ 357,289	203		
Interest-bearing demand	2,062,931	2,400		1,539,153
Certificates under \$100,000	874,629	6,574		896,695
Certificates \$100,000 and over	2,217,365	9,456	1.71	1,751,245

Total interest-bearing

deposits	5,512,214	18,633	1.36	4,535,764
Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase U.S. Treasury demand	778,733 8,069	3 , 199 20		918,163 56,946
Total short-term borrowings	786,802	3,219	1.64	975,109
Long-term debt	160,500	2,641	6.58	160,500
Total interest-bearing liabilities	6,459,516	24,493	1.52	5,671,373
Other noninterest funds	1,003,762			1,053,782
Total funds used to support earning assets	\$7,463,278 ========	24 , 493	1.32	\$6,725,155

21

Net interest income/yield	69 , 556	3.75
Tax-equivalent adjustment	(1,261)	
Net interest income	\$ 68,295	
	=======	

Average rates are calculated using average balances based on historical cost and do not reflect the market valuation adjustment required by SFAS No. 115, "Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities," effective January 1, 1994.

22

RATE-VOLUME ANALYSIS OF NET INTEREST INCOME

	 For the	three	mont	hs ended	 d Mar	ch 31,
			rease	3/2002 (Decreachange	,	
(in thousands)	Volu	ıme (1)	Ra	te(2)	T	otal
Interest income: Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell	\$	67	\$	(95)	\$	(28)

U.S. Treasury and					
government agencies		(1,283)		(873)	(2, 156)
State and municipal *		(24)		0	(24)
Preferred stock *		643		(192)	451
Asset-backed securities		3,169		(1,649)	1,520
Other *		757		(206)	551
Total investment					
Securities		3,262		(2,920)	342
Commercial, financial, and					
agricultural *		5,041		(2,915)	2,126
Real estate-construction		1,542		(803)	739
Mortgage-commercial *		(203)		(2,242)	(2,445)
Mortgage-residential		(3,367)		(492)	(3,859)
Consumer		3,017		(3,422)	(405)
Total loans		6 , 030		(9 , 874)	 (3,844)
Total interest income	\$	9 , 359	\$ (12,889)	\$ (3,530)
Interest expense:	==:	======	====	======	
Savings	\$	5	\$	(18)	\$ (13)
Interest-bearing demand		852		(945)	(93)
Certificates under \$100,000		(205)		(1,565)	(1,770)
Certificates \$100,000 and over		3,065		(5,103)	(2,038)
Total interest-bearing					
deposits		3,717		(7,631)	(3,914)

23

Changes in net	interest income			\$ 3 , 067
	Total interest expense	\$ 2 , 675	\$ (9 , 272)	\$ (6 , 597)
Long-term	debt	 	 	
	Total short-term borrowings	 (1,042)	 (1,641)	 (2,683)
secu agre	rities sold under ements to repurchase sury demand	 (864) (178)	 (1,631)	 (2,495) (188)
Federal f	unds purchased and			

^{*} Variances are calculated on a fully tax-equivalent basis, which includes the effects of any disallowed interest expense.

⁽¹⁾ Changes attributable to volume are defined as change in average balance multiplied by the prior year's rate.

(2) Changes attributable to rate are defined as a change in rate multiplied by the average balance in the applicable period of the prior year. A change in rate/volume (change in rate multiplied by change in volume) has been allocated to the change in rate.

24

Noninterest income and expenses

Noninterest income comprises fees generated by the advisory business, service charges on deposit accounts, other operating income, the amortization of goodwill associated with affiliate asset manager acquisitions and investments, and securities gains or losses. The majority of noninterest income is generated by the two advisory businesses, wealth advisory services and corporate client services.

For the 2003 first quarter, total noninterest income totaled \$61.2 million, which was \$3.5 million, or 5.4%, lower than for the 2002 first quarter. Of that amount, \$48.3 million was advisory fee income, which comprises the contributions from wealth advisory services, corporate client services, and affiliate asset managers. Advisory fees were \$4.4 million, or 8.3%, lower than for the year-ago first quarter, due primarily to the effects of the extended downturn in equity markets.

As a result of an internal management reorganization that took effect at the beginning of 2003, some fees that previously were reported as corporate client fees are now recorded as wealth advisory fees, including discount brokerage trading fees and institutional asset management fees. Amounts stated for the 2002 first quarter reflect this revision.

Wealth advisory fees rose despite the declines in equity markets, and reached \$33.6 million, which was \$2.3 million, or 7.4%, ahead of the \$31.3 million recorded for the 2002 first quarter. Wealth advisory business development continued, with most of the growth occurring because of client demand for the open-architecture investment process of Balentine & Company, the Corporation's investment counseling firm. Since results from Balentine were first consolidated in the year-ago first quarter, the 2003 first quarter results demonstrate the momentum in the wealth advisory business, as does a comparison of the growth in wealth advisory fees to the performance of the major equity market indices:

Changes in wealth advisory fees vs. changes in equity market indices

First quarter 2003 vs. first quarter 2002

Wealth advisory fees	7.4%
Dow Jones Industrial Average (average)	(19.2)%
S&P 500 (average)	(23.9)%
NASDAQ Composite Index (average)	(28.9)%

Approximately 70% of wealth advisory fees are tied to the market value of assets held in client portfolios. Wealth advisory fees are calculated using market values on the last day of each month, meaning that first quarter fees reflect valuations on the last days of December 2002, January 2003, and February 2003. The declines in the indices shown above reflect the average of the market closes on those days. Of the three indices noted, the S&P 500 most closely matches the composition of client portfolios.

Corporate client fees for the 2003 first quarter were \$14.9 million, which was 10.1% higher than the \$13.6 million recorded for the 2002 first quarter. This marked the highest-ever level of first quarter fees recorded for this business.

The corporate client business prices fees according to the complexity of the services provided, which include trustee and related administrative services for financing structures that clients create in preferred jurisdictions; administrative services that help clients establish and maintain legal residency requirements in preferred jurisdictions; and trustee services for retirement plans. Many of these services are performed on a multi-year contract basis, which generates an annuity-like stream of income and accounts for the majority of corporate client fees. Approximately 25% of corporate client fees are tied to asset valuations, most of which are associated with the retirement plan assets for which the Corporation serves as trustee.

The entity management component of the corporate client business generated most of the 2003 first quarter growth. Fees from SPV Management Limited, the Corporation's European entity management services firm, were \$1.4 million. SPV Management's results were first consolidated in the second quarter of 2002.

25

Fees from the two affiliate asset managers, Cramer Rosenthal McGlynn (CRM) and Roxbury Capital Management (RCM), were \$8.1 million lower than for the 2002 first quarter. Both affiliates were affected by persistent declines in the equity markets and lost business due to investor caution.

On a combined basis, the Corporation's share of affiliate operations was a loss of \$0.2 million for the 2003 first quarter, versus \$7.9 million of income that was recorded for the 2002 first quarter. All of the revenue of the affiliate managers is based on equity market valuations. The Corporation's share of operating results is recorded according to a formula based on the Corporation's ownership position in each.

Fees from CRM, a value-style manager, were \$0.7 million for the first quarter of 2003, which was 80.8% lower than the \$3.5 million recorded for the 2002 first quarter. At March 31, 2003, the Corporation held a 63.47% equity interest in CRM. At March 31, 2002, the Corporation's position was a 56% equity interest.

RCM, the growth-style manager, recorded a loss of 0.9 million for the first quarter of 2003. For the first quarter of 2002, fees from RCM were 4.3 million. At March 31, 2003, the Corporation held a 40.91% interest in RCM's common shares and a 30% preferred interest in its gross fees. This position is unchanged from March 31, 2002.

The levels of assets under management at Wilmington Trust, CRM, and RCM further illustrate the impact of market declines and the economic environment:

Changes in assets under management

Company	March 31, 2003	March 31, 2002	Change
Wilmington Trust	\$22.6 billion	\$24.2 billion	(6.6)%
Cramer Rosenthal McGlynn	\$ 3.2 billion	\$ 6.9 billion	(53.6)%
Roxbury Capital Management	\$ 3.2 billion	\$ 5.1 billion	(37.3)%

At March 31, 2003, the composition of assets under management at Wilmington Trust was equities, 54%; fixed income investments, 26%; cash and equivalent instruments, 12%; mutual funds, 5%; and miscellaneous assets, 3%.

Service charges on deposit accounts for the 2003 first quarter were \$7.3 million, which was \$408,000, or 5.9%, more than for the 2002 first quarter. A higher volume of returned items accounted for most of the increase.

Other noninterest income for the 2003 first quarter was \$1.2 million, which was \$366,000, or 43.3%, higher than for the 2002 first quarter. The increase was due to more favorable results on the sale of leased autos and residential mortgages.

Noninterest expenses for the 2003 first quarter were \$79.6 million, which was \$4.4 million, or 5.8%, higher than for the 2002 first quarter. Approximately \$1 million of that amount represented expenses from SPV Management, which were not consolidated until the second quarter of 2002.

Salary and benefit expenses rose 4%, from \$47.0 million in the 2002 first quarter to \$48.9 million in the 2003 first quarter. Contributing to the increase were staff expenses related to SPV Management, incentive payments that reflected the increased volume in sales, and higher pension expense.

Pension expense for the 2003 first quarter nearly doubled from the 2002 first quarter to \$1.5 million, as the performance of financial markets prompted changes in actuarial assumptions, and the Corporation lowered its discount rate and growth assumptions. For 2003, the discount rate was lowered from 7.25% to 6.75%, and the assumption on returns was reduced from 9.5% to 8.5%.

26

Net occupancy expense rose \$756,000, or 16.3%, from \$4.6 million in the 2002 first quarter to \$5.4 million in the 2003 first quarter. The SPV Management acquisition added \$190,000.

Furniture and equipment expense declined \$574,000, or 8.7%, from \$6.6 million for the 2002 first quarter to \$6.0 million for the 2003 first quarter. The reduction was due primarily to reduced depreciation expense and the timing of annual maintenance contract renewals.

Servicing and consulting expense was \$4.0 million, which was \$1.4 million, or 52%, higher than for the 2002 first quarter. Much of the increase reflected the fees paid by Balentine to third-party investment managers. Audit and regulatory examination fees also added to the increase.

The provision for income taxes was \$15.3 million, which was \$1.8 million, or 10.7%, lower than the \$17.1 million recorded for the 2002 first quarter, as pre-tax income declined \$4.4 million, or 8.8%.

The Corporation's effective tax rate for the 2003 first quarter was 34.2%, compared with 34.7% for the 2002 first quarter, due to the decline in pre-tax income and lower revenue from the affiliate asset managers, which reduced California and New York state taxes.

LIQUIDITY

A financial institution's liquidity demonstrates its ability to meet, in a timely manner, cash flow requirements that may arise from increases in demand for loans or other assets, or from decreases in deposits or other funding sources. Liquidity management, therefore, contains both asset and liability

components.

The maturity and marketability of loans and investments provide liquidity, as do time deposits at other banks, federal funds sold, and securities purchased under agreements to resell. Liquidity also results from internally generated capital, core deposits, large certificates of deposit, federal funds purchased, securities sold under agreements to repurchase, and other credit facilities.

For the first quarter of 2003, the proportion of funding provided by core deposits was 61.3%, compared with 62.1% for the fourth quarter of 2002.

The Corporation is a guarantor for 63.47% — its ownership interest — of two obligations of its affiliate, CRM. The guaranty is for two lines of credit, at LIBOR plus 2%, which total \$8 million and expire on December 8, 2003. At March \$1, 2003, the balance of these two lines was \$3 million.

Management continuously monitors the Corporation's existing and projected liquidity requirements, and believes that its standing in the national markets will enable it to obtain additional funding if the need arises. Wilmington Trust Company is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh, and has \$1 billion in available borrowing capacity secured by collateral.

ASSET QUALITY AND LOAN LOSS PROVISION

Credit quality remained stable and the composition of the portfolio remained well diversified and relatively unchanged. The internal analysis of portfolio risk ratings also was relatively unchanged, and more than 95% of loans in the portfolio received a pass rating.

The provision for loan losses was reduced from the year-ago quarter to reflect the Corporation's internal portfolio risk rating and low net charge-off ratio. For the first quarter of 2003, the provision for loan losses was \$4.9 million. This was \$360,000, or 6.8%, lower than the provision for the 2002 first quarter.

Due to growth in the loan portfolio, the reserve for loan losses was increased. The reserve for loan losses at the end of the 2003 first quarter was \$86.0 million, which was \$853,000, or 1.0%, higher than the \$85.2 million recorded for the 2002 year-

27

end. The period-end ratio of the reserve to loans outstanding was 1.43%, which was 2 basis points higher than at December 31, 2002.

The Corporation believes that the most meaningful measure of asset quality is the level of net charge-offs. Net charge-offs totaled \$4.1 million for the 2003 first quarter, which was \$194,000, or 4.5%, lower than for the 2002 first quarter. The ratio of net charge-offs to loans on average was 7 basis points, which was 1 basis point lower than for the 2002 first quarter.

Period-end loans past due 90 days totaled \$8.3 million, which was \$4.2 million, or 33.6%, lower than for the 2002 year-end. The ratio of period-end loans past due 90 days to total loans was 14 basis points, which was 7 basis points lower than for the 2002 year-end.

Nonaccruing loans at March 31, 2003, totaled \$64.6 million, which was \$22.3 million, or 52.6%, higher than the \$42.4 million recorded at December 31, 2002. Almost all of the increase represented a single relationship with a Delaware-based client who operates a specialty restaurant and entertainment business in several locations throughout the U.S.

Other real estate owned (OREO) rose from \$3.1 million at December 31, 2002, to \$3.9 million at March 31, 2003. Almost all of this increase represented a residential construction project at a beach resort in Maryland on which the Corporation does not expect to incur a loss.

The increase in nonaccuring loans and OREO accounted for the increase in the ratio of period-end nonperforming assets to loans, which rose from 75 basis points at December 31, 2002, to 114 basis points at March 31, 2003.

The following table presents a comparison of risk elements in the Corporation's loan portfolio:

(in thousands)	March 31, 2003	December 31, 2002	March 31, 2002
Nonaccruing loans	\$ 64,642	\$ 42,352	\$ 33,932
Past due 90 days or more	8,304	12,497	6,981
Total	\$ 72,946	\$ 54,849	\$ 40,913
Percent of loans at period end	1.21%	0.91%	0.74%
Other real estate owned	\$ 3,934	\$ 3,118	\$ 504

The following table shows changes in the internal risk rating analysis:

Category	March 31, 2003	December 31, 2002
Pass	95.52%	95.65%
Watchlisted	2.48%	2.57%
Substandard	1.79%	1.53%
Doubtful	0.21%	0.25%

28

If the economy in markets where the Corporation lends remains sluggish or deteriorates further, the ability of some borrowers to repay their loans in full may be impaired. In that event, management would expect levels of nonperforming assets, credit losses, and the provision for loan losses to increase.

To minimize the likelihood and impact of such conditions, management continually monitors the entire loan portfolio to identify potential problem loans and avoid disproportionately high concentrations of loans to individual borrowers and industry sectors. An integral part of this process is a regular analysis of all past due loans.

At March 31, 2003, loans past due 90 days or more totaled \$8.3 million. Of this amount, approximately 50% was in the commercial portfolio; 31% was in the residential mortgage portfolio; and 19% was in the consumer portfolio. The

corresponding ratios at December 31, 2002, were 68%, 23%, and 9%, respectively.

As a result of the scrutiny of the portfolio, management has identified approximately \$32.2 million in loans about which serious doubt exists as to the borrowers' ability to continue to repay their loans on a timely basis. Currently these loans either are performing in accordance with their terms, or are less than 90 days past due. This compares with the \$36.2 million of loans about which management had similar doubts at December 31, 2002.

In light of current levels of past due, nonaccruing, and problem loans, management believes that the reserve for loan losses is a reasonable estimate of the known and inherent losses in the loan portfolio. The methodology used to calculate the reserve has provided a high degree of reserve adequacy over an extended period of time and the Corporation believes the methodology is sound. The reserve reflects estimated credit losses specifically identified, as well as estimated, probable losses inherent in the remainder of the portfolio based on loan type and risk rating classification.

The reserve methodology includes an analysis of the business climate and its potential effect on credit losses, which serves as the basis for the unallocated portion of the reserve. The business climate analysis reviews shifts in current market conditions; the average loan size and complexity within the portfolio; trends in delinquency; the direction of risk rating migration within the portfolio; the level of loans about which management has serious doubt; the potential for recoveries; and bankruptcy trends.

The allocated and unallocated portions of the reserve are assessed quarterly as part of the regular application of the reserve methodology. In accordance with the growth in loan balances, a portion of the reserve is allocated to new loans within the parameters of the reserve methodology.

At March 31, 2003, approximately \$6.1 million, or 7%, of the reserve for loan losses was unallocated. This was the same amount and percentage as at December 31, 2002.

CAPITAL RESOURCES

Management reviews the Corporation's capital position and makes adjustments as needed to assure that the capital base is sufficient to satisfy existing and impending regulatory requirements; to meet appropriate standards of safety; and to provide for future growth.

The Corporation's capital rose \$13.5 million in the first three months of 2003, due primarily to earnings and stock issuances. First quarter 2003 earnings of \$29.4 million, net of \$16.8 million in cash dividends, added \$12.6 million to capital. The issuance of common stock under employment benefit plans added \$2 million.

The Corporation's repurchase of 16,008 of its shares reduced capital \$465,000. These purchases brought the total number of shares bought under the current 8-million-share program to 64,742, at a cost of \$1.8 million.

29

The annualized capital generation rate for the 2003 first quarter was 6.9%, compared with an annualized rate of 9.6% for the 2002 first quarter, and 9.8% for the 2002 full year.

Minimum levels of capital for a bank holding company are established by the Federal Reserve Board's risk-based capital guidelines. These guidelines are

intended to reflect the varying degrees of risk associated with different onand off-balance sheet items. The following table shows that the Corporation exceeded the guidelines for both well-capitalized and adequately capitalized institutions and changes in the ratios for the 3-month periods ended March 31, 2003, and December 31, 2002.

			Guidelines fo
	Wilmington Trust	Wilmington Trust	adequately capital
Capital ratio	March 31, 2003	December 31, 2002	institutions
Total risk-based capital	10.20%	10.15%	8%
Tier 1 risk-based capital	7.11%	7.03%	4%
Tier 1 leverage capital	6.15%	6.08%	4%

On April 17, 2003, the Corporation's Board of Directors increased the quarterly dividend from 0.255 per share to 0.27 per share. This marked the 22nd consecutive year that the Corporation has raised its dividend.

In April, the Corporation issued \$250 million of 10-year 4.875% subordinated notes.

INFLATION

The Corporation's asset and liability structure is substantially different from that of an industrial company, since virtually all of the assets and liabilities of a financial institution are monetary in nature. Accordingly, changes in interest rates may have a significant impact on a bank holding company's performance. Interest rates do not necessarily move in the same direction or at the same magnitude as the prices of goods and services. The impact, therefore, of inflation on a bank holding company's financial performance is indeterminable.

OTHER INFORMATION

Accounting pronouncements

In June 2002, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 146, "Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities." This Statement addresses financial accounting and reporting for costs associated with exit or disposal activities and nullifies Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 94-3, "Liability Recognition for Certain Employee Termination Benefits and Other Costs to Exit an Activity (including Certain Costs Incurred in a Restructuring)." The provisions of this Statement are effective for exit or disposal activities that are initiated after December 31, 2002, with early application encouraged. The adoption of this Statement did not have an impact on the Corporation's consolidated earnings, financial condition, or equity.

In December 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 148, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation-Transition and Disclosure." This Statement amends SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," to provide alternative methods of transition for an entity that voluntarily changes to the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation. It also amends the disclosure provisions of that Statement to require prominent disclosure about the effects on reported net income of an entity's accounting policy decisions with respect to stock-based employee compensation. Finally, this Statement amends Accounting Principles Board (APB)

30

Opinion No. 28, "Interim Financial Reporting," to require disclosure about those effects in interim financial information. The requirements for SFAS No. 148 are effective for financial statements for fiscal years ended and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2002. The Corporation uses the "intrinsic value" approach to accounting for stock-based compensation as permitted under APB Opinion No. 25. The Corporation has adopted the disclosure provisions of SFAS No. 148. The disclosure provisions had no impact on the Corporation's consolidated earnings, financial condition, or equity. On April 22, 2003, the FASB announced its intention to require that all companies expense the value of employee stock options. The FASB plans to issue a new exposure draft later this year that could become effective in 2004. Until the new Statement is issued, the provisions of Statement No. 123 remain in effect. See "Stock-based employee compensation" under "Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates."

In April 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 149, "Amendments of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities," which establishes accounting and reporting standards for derivative instruments, including derivatives embedded in other contracts and hedging activities. This Statement amends Statement No. 133 for decisions made by the FASB as part of its Derivatives Implementation Group process. This Statement also amends Statement No. 133 to incorporate clarifications of the definition of a derivative. This Statement is effective for contracts entered into or modified and hedging relationships designated after June 30, 2003. The provisions of this Statement are not expected to have a material impact on the Corporation's consolidated earnings, financial condition, or equity.

In November 2002, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 45, "Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others." This is an interpretation of FASB Nos. 5, 57, and 107, and the rescission of FASB Interpretation No. 34. This Interpretation elaborates on the disclosures required to be made by a guarantor in both its interim and annual financial statements about its obligations under certain guarantees that it has issued. It also clarifies that a guarantor is required to recognize, at the inception of the guarantee, a liability for the fair value of the obligation undertaken in issuing the guarantee. The initial recognition and measurement provisions are to be applied on a prospective basis to guarantees issued or modified after December 31, 2002, irrespective of the guarantor's year-end. Accounting for guarantees issued prior to the date of this Interpretation's initial application will not be revised or restated to reflect the effect of the recognition and measurement provisions of the Interpretation.

On January 17, 2003, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 46, "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities," an interpretation of Accounting Research Bulletin (ARB) No. 51, "Consolidated Financial Statements." This Interpretation addresses the consolidation by business enterprises of variable interest entities to which the normal conditions for consolidation do not apply, due to the entities' lack of a voting interest or lack of control through ownership of a voting interest. The Interpretation requires that an enterprise review its degree of involvement in a variable interest entity to determine if it is the primary beneficiary. Certain disclosures about the variable interest entity and the enterprise's involvement are required by both the primary beneficiary and by the enterprise that has a significant interest in a variable interest entity. Enterprises with variable interests in variable interest entities created after January 31, 2003, must apply the provisions of the Interpretation to those entities immediately. Enterprises with a variable interest in a variable interest entity before February 1, 2002, must apply the provisions of the Interpretation to those entities no later than the beginning of the first interim or annual reporting period beginning after June 15, 2003. If it is reasonably possible that an enterprise will consolidate or disclose information about the variable interest

entity when this Interpretation becomes effective, the enterprise must make similar disclosures in all financial statements issued after January 31, 2002, regardless of the date on which the variable interest entity was created. The Corporation does not expect this Interpretation to have an impact on its consolidated earnings, financial condition, or equity.

31

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Discussion and analysis of the financial condition and results of operations are based on the consolidated financial statements of the Corporation, which are prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, and expenses, as well as related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and during the reporting period. Management evaluates estimates on an ongoing basis, including those estimates related to the reserve for loan losses, stock-based employee compensation, consolidation of certain variable interest entities, affiliate fee income, impairment of goodwill, recognition of corporate trust fees, loan origination fees, and mortgage servicing assets. Management bases its estimates on historical experience and various other factors and assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. This forms the basis for making judgments about the carrying value of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Management believes the following critical accounting policies affect its more significant judgments and the estimates that are used in preparation of its consolidated financial statements: reserve for loan losses, stock-based employee compensation, consolidation of certain variable interest entities, and impairment of goodwill.

Reserve for loan losses

The Corporation maintains a reserve for loan losses that is management's best estimate of known and inherent estimated losses, based on subjective judgments regarding the collectibility of loans within the portfolio. The reserve is reduced by actual credit losses, and is increased by the provision for loan losses and recoveries from loans previously charged-off. Personnel independent of the various lending functions evaluate the reserve on a quarterly basis. The level is determined by assigning specific reserves to individually identified problem credits, and a general reserve to all other loans. In evaluating the reserve, specific consideration is given to current micro- and macro-economic factors, historical net loss experience, current delinquency trends, and movement within the internally reported loan quality classifications. The methodology used to determine the necessary level of the reserve has been applied on a basis consistent with prior periods.

A portion of the reserve is not specifically allocated to either the commercial or retail portfolio, and represents probable or inherent losses that could be caused by certain business conditions not accounted for otherwise. Typically, business conditions, including current economic and market conditions, portfolio complexity, payment performance, loan portfolio risk rating migration, the level of serious doubt loans, litigation impact, and bankruptcy trends, are the core of the unallocated reserve position. The determination of the reserve is inherently subjective, and it requires material estimates, including the amounts and timing of future cash flows expected to be received on impaired loans, that may be susceptible to significant change. Because future events affecting

borrowers and collateral cannot be predicted with certainty, there can be no assurance that increases to the reserve will not be necessary, should the quality of any loans deteriorate as a result of the factors discussed above.

Management believes that it uses the best information available to make determinations about the reserve and that it has established its existing reserve for loan losses in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. If circumstances differ substantially from the assumptions used in making the determinations, future adjustments to the reserve may be necessary and results of operations could be affected.

In addition, various regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review the Corporation's banking affiliates' reserve for losses on loans. Such agencies may require the Corporation to

32

recognize additions to the reserve based on their judgments about information available to them at the time of their examination.

Stock-based employee compensation

The Corporation accounts for its stock-based employee compensation plans under the "intrinsic value" approach, in accordance with the provisions of APB Opinion No. 25, rather than the "fair value" approach prescribed in SFAS No. 123. The "intrinsic value" approach limits the compensation expense to the excess of a stock option's market price on the grant date over the option's exercise price. Since the Corporation's stock-based employee compensation option plans have exercise prices equal to market values on the grant date, no compensation expense is recognized in the financial statements. The "fair value" approach under SFAS No. 123 takes into account the time value of the option and will generally result in compensation expense being recorded. Each year since the inception of SFAS No. 123, the Corporation has disclosed, in the notes to the financial statements contained in its annual report to shareholders, what the earnings impact would have been had the Corporation elected the "fair value" approach under SFAS No. 123. Such disclosure is now included in the Corporation's interim financial statements in accordance with SFAS No. 148. Future earnings would be impacted if any change in generally accepted accounting principles were to limit the continued use of the "intrinsic value" approach.

Consolidation of certain variable interest entities

In September 2000, FASB issued SFAS No. 140, "Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities," which defines a "qualifying special purpose entity" and precludes its consolidation in the financial statements of a transferor or affiliate. On January 17, 2003, the FASB issued Interpretation 46, "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, an interpretation of ARB No. 51, Consolidated Financial Statements." This Interpretation addresses the consolidation by business enterprises of variable interest entities to which the normal conditions for consolidation do not apply, due to the entity's lack of a voting interest or lack of control through ownership of a voting interest. The Interpretation requires that an enterprise review its degree of involvement in a variable interest entity to determine if it is the primary beneficiary. The primary beneficiary is required to consolidate the variable interest entity into its consolidated financial statements.

The Corporation, through its corporate client business and its U.K. subsidiary, SPV Management, acts as agent/trustee in more than 8,000 transactions involving variable interest entities. The Corporation acts in a fee-for-service capacity

in each of these transactions. The Corporation believes that, under existing and proposed generally accepted accounting principles relating to consolidations, its capacity in relation to these variable interest entities precludes it from consolidating them into its financial statements. A change in generally accepted accounting principles relating to the rules for consolidation that would require consolidation of variable interest entities, due to the Corporation's capacity as service provider or trustee could significantly increase the assets and liabilities shown in the Corporation's consolidated statement of condition and consequently could have an adverse affect on its regulatory capital ratios.

Impairment of goodwill

Through a series of acquisitions, the Corporation has accumulated goodwill with a net carrying value of \$240 million at March 31, 2003. Through 2001, this goodwill was subject to periodic amortization, in accordance with the provisions of APB No. 17, "Intangible Assets." This treatment provided for a gradual reduction in the book value of the assets over their useful lives. Amortization could be changed if later events and circumstances warranted a revised estimate of the useful lives of the assets. Additionally, under APB No. 17, estimations of value and future benefits could indicate that the unamortized cost should be reduced by a reduction in net income.

The 2002 adoption of SFAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets," eliminated the requirement to amortize goodwill, and substituted impairment testing. The purpose of impairment testing is to ensure that an amount presented in the financial statements for goodwill does not exceed its actual fair value. A methodology that is consistent with how the acquired entity or business was originally valued is to be utilized in testing for

33

impairment on an annual basis. If this testing indicates that the fair value of the asset is less than its book value, an impairment expense must be recorded in the period that the asset has been determined to have a permanent reduction in value. There may be more volatility in reported income than under the previous standard, because impairment losses are likely to occur irregularly and in varying amounts. A major portion of the goodwill on the Corporation's books is related to its affiliate asset manager acquisitions. A decline in the fair value of the investment in either of these firms could incur an impairment expense.

Cautionary statement

Estimates, predictions, opinions, or statements of belief in this report might be construed to be forward-looking statements with the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Examples of such statements could relate to identification of trends, statements about the adequacy of the reserve for loan losses, credit quality, the impact of FASB pronouncements on the Corporation, and the effects of asset sensitivity, interest rate changes, and information concerning market risk described in Item 3 below. Forward-looking statements are based on current expectations and assessments of potential developments. The Corporation's ability to achieve the results reflected in those statements could be affected by, among other things, changes in national or regional economic conditions, changes in market interest rates, significant changes in banking laws or regulations, increased competition in our businesses, higher-than-expected credit losses, the effects of acquisitions and integration of acquired businesses, unanticipated changes in regulatory, judicial, or legislative tax treatment of business transactions, and economic uncertainty created by unrest in other parts of the world.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.

Net interest income is an important determinant of the Corporation's financial performance. Through management of its interest rate risk, the Corporation seeks to maximize the growth of net interest income on a consistent basis by minimizing the effects of fluctuations associated with changing market interest rates.

The Corporation employs simulation models to assess interest rate exposure and the effect of variations in interest rates on net interest income. The models evaluate numerous factors, including:

- the composition of assets, liabilities, and off-balance sheet instruments;
- their respective repricing and maturity characteristics;
- the level of market interest rates; and
- other external factors.

The simulations compare multiple interest rate scenarios against a stable interest rate environment. As a general rule, the model employs scenarios in which rates gradually move up or down 250 basis points over one year. The Corporation's objective is to keep market interest rate changes from reducing net interest income by 10% or more within a one-year period.

Because of 2003's low interest rate levels, as of March 31, 2003, the declining rate scenario in the simulation model gradually moved down only 125 basis points, until the federal funds rate equaled zero. This ensured that negative rates were not created within the model. The rising rate scenario remained able to accommodate a 250-basis-point upside move.

As of March 31, 2003, the simulation projected that a gradual 250-basis-point increase in market interest rates would cause net interest income to rise 6.16% over a one-year period. At December 31, 2002, the scenario predicted an 8.53% increase.

If interest rates were to experience a gradual decline of 125 basis points, the model projected that net interest income would decrease 5.73% over a one-year period. At December 31, 2002, the model employed a 125-basis-point-decline scenario and projected that net interest income would decrease 7.17%.

The preceding paragraphs contain certain forward-looking statements regarding the anticipated effects on the Corporation's net interest income resulting from hypothetical changes in market interest rates. The assumptions the

34

Corporation uses regarding the effects of changes in interest rates on the adjustment of retail deposit rates and the prepayment of residential mortgages, asset-backed securities, and collateralized mortgage obligations play a significant role in the results the simulation model projects. Rate and prepayment assumptions used in the Corporation's simulation model differ for both assets and liabilities in rising, as compared to declining, interest rate environments. Nevertheless, these assumptions are inherently uncertain and, as a result, the simulation model cannot predict precisely the impact of changes in interest rates on net interest income. Management reviews the exposure to interest rate risk regularly, and may employ a variety of strategies as needed to adjust its sensitivity. This includes changing the relative proportions of fixed-rate and floating-rate assets and liabilities; changing the number and maturity of funding sources; securitizing assets; and utilizing derivative contracts such as interest rate swaps and interest rate floors.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

Within 90 days prior to the date of this report, the Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of the Corporation and its Chief Financial Officer conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the Corporation's disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-14. Based on that evaluation, the Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Corporation's disclosure controls and procedures are effective in alerting them on a timely basis to material information about the Corporation (including its consolidated subsidiaries) required to be included in the periodic filings it makes with the Securities and Exchange Commission. There have been no significant changes in the Corporation's internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect those controls subsequent to the date of that evaluation.

35

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

The Corporation and its subsidiaries are subject to various legal proceedings that arise from time to time in the ordinary course of their businesses and operations. Some of these seek relief or damages in amounts that may be substantial. Because of the complex nature of some of these proceedings, it may be a number of years before they are ultimately resolved. While it is not feasible to predict the outcome of these proceedings, the Corporation's management does not believe the ultimate resolution of any of them will have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's consolidated financial condition. Further, the Corporation's management believes that some of the claims may be covered by insurance, and has advised its insurance carriers of the proceedings.

The Corporation has not accrued any expenses associated with the outcome of these proceedings as of March 31, 2003.

ITEM 2. CHANGE IN SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS.

Not Applicable

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES.

Not Applicable

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS.

At the Corporation's Annual Shareholders' Meeting held on April 17, 2003 (the Annual Meeting), the nominees for directors of the Corporation proposed were elected. The votes cast for those nominees were as follows:

	For	Withheld
Ted T. Cecala Richard R. Collins Hugh E. Miller	56,481,096 55,997,483 55,977,518	750,399 1,234,011 1,253,976

David P. Roselle 56,809,940 421,554 Thomas P. Sweeney 56,822,579 408,916

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION.

Not Applicable

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K.

Exhibit Number	Exhibit
3.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Corporation(1)
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Corporation(2)
	_

36

- (1) Incorporated by reference to the corresponding exhibit to the Annual Report on Form 10-K of Wilmington Trust Corporation filed March 30, 1996.
- (2) Incorporated by reference to the corresponding exhibit to the Annual Report on Form 10-K of Wilmington Trust Corporation filed on March 27, 2003.

The Corporation filed a current report on Form 8-K on April 3, 2003 under Item 5 reporting the pricing of \$250 million of its debt securities and furnished a current report on Form 8-K on April 17, 2003 under Item 12 reporting its financial condition and results of operations for the first quarter of 2003.

37

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Date: May 14, 2003 /s/ Ted T. Cecala

Name: Ted T. Cecala

Title: Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer (Authorized Officer)

/s/ David R. Gibson

Name: David R. Gibson

Title: Executive Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

CERTIFICATIONS

I, Ted T. Cecala, Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Wilmington Trust Corporation, hereby certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Wilmington Trust Corporation;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this quarterly report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this quarterly report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this quarterly report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this quarterly report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14) for the registrant and we have:
 - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this quarterly report is being prepared;
 - b) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this quarterly report (the "Evaluation Date"); and

38

- c) presented in this quarterly report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the Evaluation Date.
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
 - a) all significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data and have identified for the registrant's auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and
 - any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls; and
- 6. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have indicated in this quarterly report whether or not there were significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation, including

any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Date: May 14, 2003 /s/ Ted T. Cecala

Ted T. Cecala Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATIONS

I, David R. Gibson, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Wilmington Trust Corporation, certify that:

- I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Wilmington Trust Corporation;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this quarterly report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this quarterly report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this quarterly report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this quarterly report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14) for the registrant and we have:
 - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this quarterly report is being prepared;
 - b) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this quarterly report (the "Evaluation Date"); and
 - c) presented in this quarterly report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the Evaluation Date.

39

- 5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
 - a) all significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data and have identified for the registrant's auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and

- b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls; and
- 6. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have indicated in this quarterly report whether or not there were significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Date: May 14, 2003 /s/ David R. Gibson

David R. Gibson Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

40

CERTIFICATIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES - OXLEY ACT OF 2002

The undersigned certify that, to their knowledge, the Form 10-Q of Wilmington Trust Corporation (the "Corporation") for the first quarter of 2003 fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and that the information contained in that report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operation of the Corporation.

/s/ Ted T. Cecala

Ted. T. Cecala Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer

/s/ David R. Gibson

David R. Gibson Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer