

FLANDERS CORP
Form 10-K
March 07, 2007

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the year ended December 31, 2006

or

Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 0-27958

FLANDERS CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

North Carolina

13-3368271

Edgar Filing: FLANDERS CORP - Form 10-K

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

2399 26th Avenue North, St. Petersburg, FL

(Address of principal executive offices)

(IRS Employer ID Number)

33734

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (727) 822-4411

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Title of each class

Common Stock, \$.001 per share par value

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer (as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act).

YES

NO

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.

YES

NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

YES

NO

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

YES ___

NO

As of June 30, 2006, the aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was approximately \$177.4 million.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

YES

NO ___

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act).

YES ___

NO

As of February 22, 2007, the number of shares outstanding of the registrant's common stock was 26,356,640 shares.

Documents incorporated into this report on Form 10-K by reference: None.

FLANDERS CORPORATION

FORM 10-K

Edgar Filing: FLANDERS CORP - Form 10-K
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Item 1.

Business

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

Item 2.

Properties

Item 3.

Legal Proceedings

Item 4.

Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

PART II

Item 5.

Market for Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters

Item 6.

Selected Financial Data

Item 7.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Item 7A.

Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Item 8.

Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Item 9.

Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

Item 9A.

Controls and Procedures

Item 9B

Other

PART III

Item 10.

Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance of the Registrant

Item 11.

Executive Compensation

Item 12.

Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

Item 13.

Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

Item 14.

Principal Accountant Fees and Services

PART IV

Item 15.

Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules and Reports on Form 8-K

SIGNATURES

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Report of Independent Registered Public Accountant Firm

F-2

Consolidated Balance Sheets

F-5

Consolidated Statements of Operations

F-6

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity

F-7

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

F-8

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

F-10

Report of Independent Registered Public Accountant Firm on Schedule

F-32

Financial Statement Schedule

F-33

PART I

Item 1.

Business

OVERVIEW

We design, manufacture and market air filters and related products, and are focused on providing complete environmental filtration systems for end uses ranging from controlling contaminants in residences and commercial office buildings through specialized manufacturing environments for semiconductors, pharmaceuticals, chemical, biological, radiological and nuclear processing. Currently, we believe, based on available trade and industry data, that we are one of the largest domestic manufacturers of air filters that are utilized by many industries including those associated with commercial and residential heating, ventilation and air conditioning systems (commonly known as HVAC systems), semiconductor manufacturing, ultra-pure materials, chemical, biological, radiological and materials processing, biotechnology, pharmaceuticals, synthetics, nuclear power and nuclear materials processing. We also design and manufacture much of our own production equipment to automate our processes in order to decrease labor costs associated with our standard products. Additionally, we produce glass-based air filter media, synthetic non woven pleat air filtration media, HEPA air filtration media for many of our products. Our vertical integration includes not only filtration media but expanded wire and the filter frame. By controlling the critical elements found in

our products we ensure quality and on time delivery. Our customers include Abbott Laboratories, The Home Depot, Inc., Motorola, Inc., Merck & Co., Inc., Upjohn Co., Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., Westinghouse Electric Corp. , Ace, True Value, Intel, etc.

The majority of our revenues come from the sale of after-market replacement filters, since air filters are typically placed in equipment designed to last much longer than the filters.

GENERAL DEVELOPMENT OF BUSINESS

Flanders Corporation was originally incorporated on July 2, 1986 in the State of Nevada, but is currently incorporated in the State of North Carolina. Our principal executive offices are currently located at 2399 26th Avenue North, St. Petersburg, FL 33713. The Company's internet website address is www.flanderscorp.com. The information contained on our website is not part of our reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission and is not incorporated by reference into this report. The Company's annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, and current reports on Form 8-K, and all amendments thereto, are available free of charge on the Company's website as soon as reasonably practicable after such reports are electronically filed with, or furnished to, the Securities and Exchange Commission.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS AND ASSOCIATED RISKS

This annual report, including all documents incorporated herein by reference, includes certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of that term in Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, including, among others, those statements preceded by, following or including the words believe, expect, anticipate or similar expressions. These forward-looking statements are based largely on the current expectations of management and are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties. Actual results could differ materially from these forward-looking statements. In addition to the other risks described in the Factors That May Affect Future Results discussion under Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in Part II of this annual report, important factors to consider in evaluating such forward-looking statements include risks associated with demand for our products, market acceptance, economic conditions, competitive products and pricing, difficulties in product development, commercialization and technology. In light of these risks and uncertainties, there can be no assurance that the events contemplated by the forward-looking statements contained in this annual report will, in fact, occur. Accordingly, readers should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements speak as of the date of this report and we do not undertake any obligation to revise or update the forward-looking statements.

STRATEGY

We have embarked on a program to increase earnings, and hence shareholder value, by improving our operating efficiency. We are seeking to grow at rates in excess of our market's general rate of growth, primarily through the introduction of qualitatively superior new products to our major marketplaces through existing customers.

INTRODUCE NEW PRODUCTS

In the last three years, we have focused our development efforts on products which address the actual technical requirements of maintaining clean air to promote health. Maintaining ultra-clean air in residential and commercial settings requires continuous and complete replacement of used air contaminated by contact with hair, skin, carpet, solvents, cigarette smoke and other common particle sources with air filtered through a combination of pre-filters, High Efficiency Particulate Air (commonly called HEPA) filtration, and odor removal, as well as controlling all air inlets. This typically requires upgraded and augmented blowers for central or zoned HVAC systems necessary to push air through more effective filters, additional filtration placed at building air inlets, and enough additional HVAC capacity to generate over-pressure so that the majority of air leaks push clean air out, rather than allow dirty air inside.

We have developed 5 new patents associated with our new nested filter concept during 2005. This concept enables us to stack 4 filters into a space normally holding only 1 filter. This provides labor savings associated with handling of inventory, storage space savings as well as significant freight savings. This product rolled out into retail stores during the second quarter of 2006.

During the fourth quarter of 2006 we began manufacturing our own air filtration medias for our Merv 6, Merv 8, Merv 10, and Merv 11. (MERV stands for Minimum Efficiency Reporting Values) retail and commercial pleated products. These new medias meet the new anticipated reporting standards to be effective July of this year by using mechanical means of filtration instead of relying on electrically charged medias. Electrically charged medias tend to discharge in many environments and do not benefit the end user.

We have also been able to provide an upgrade path for government buildings, large commercial office buildings and other public venues wishing to utilize HEPA filtration as part of a program to harden buildings against bioterrorist attacks.

Most currently available air filters for commercial, industrial and residential use are primarily useful for protecting motors, coils and other mechanical components from airborne grease condensation and other contaminants which

reduce the life and energy-efficiency of the HVAC equipment and have little or no effect on reducing airborne contamination which may be harmful to humans. In fact, standard pleated filters, even those with high-MERV ratings, offer no appreciable benefit in terms of better air quality for the inhabitants than the cheapest spun-glass filters. These pleated filters are accompanied by increased heating and cooling costs caused by the decreased amount of air flowing through the system, and decreased efficiency, which may be accompanied by more frequent equipment breakdowns as equipment is stressed by attempting to push higher volumes of air through tighter filters. Our new products are designed to offer end-users substantial and measurable benefits to health and productivity through substantially cleaner air, and are properly engineered to reduce detrimental effects on equipment life.

Co-branding with major name-brands. During the past four years, we have developed and co-marketed products which utilize, and are branded with, Church and Dwight's Arm&Hammer® products. We believe the brand-name recognition associated with these products will enable us to gain entrance with major retailers who are not currently our customers or to increase the number of our products carried by current customers who only carry portions of our product line. We will continue to look for appropriately branded technologies which might produce similarly beneficial products and branding opportunities.

Security Products for Government Buildings and Commercial Office Buildings. We have adapted our containment control technology to be used in hardening government facilities, large commercial office buildings and public venues against anthrax attacks and other bioterrorist incidents. While these systems do not offer complete protection against bioterrorist attacks, any credible multi-layered defense requires HEPA filtration and related technologies adapted to the unique requirements of these facilities. Marketing for these products will include analysis and diagnostic services offered through our IAQ (Indoor Air Quality) Diagnostic Group, adapted sales literature, technical seminars and electronic multimedia presentations.

IMPROVE OPERATING EFFICIENCY

Centralize Overhead Functions. During 2006, we continued our ongoing programs to centralize functions and eliminate duplication of efforts between subsidiaries in the following areas: purchasing, production planning, shipping coordination, accounting and personnel management, risk management and benefit plan administration.

Complete Vertical and Systems Integration. During the past six years, we have continued to complete the development and redesigning of numerous systems and products which were only partially completed when we acquired the companies which originally claimed to have fully developed them. These products include the automated machinery necessary for high-speed production of our pleated filters, acquired with Precisionaire, and the mass-production processes for bonded carbon high-mass zero-density products. Additionally, the glass technology previously purchased, was not as developed as the seller had represented, resulting in significant additional cost to develop this technology and the machines are still not producing at promised run rates. We are currently trying to address this issue which may require an additional acquisition or the expenditures of additional resources to meet or reach expected results. We have also completed systems integration efforts which were only partially in place when

the companies were acquired, particularly including inventory shop-floor control, procurement oversight, and financial reporting systems at Precisionaire. We believe that complete dissemination and duplication of these products and systems throughout Flanders will result in further gains in operating efficiency and augment our bottom line. During 2006, we completed our first fully automated line. The new fully automated line is the key to our operations in the United States. These new automated lines will replace our semi automated lines found in most of our plants. The current SAM lines will be moved to Mexico and or China to service these markets. These fully automated production lines should significantly reduce our labor related costs and complete our vertical integration.

Strategic Global Containment Systems, Inc. (GCS) is a wholly owned subsidiary of Flanders Corporation which manufactures critical environments for the nuclear, pharmaceutical and semi conductor. Contingent on expanding demand for highly critical containment, we plan to expand our operations in Aiken, SC. This facility could accommodate the requirements for various nuclear containment projects scheduled at the Westinghouse Savannah River Site in Aiken, SC as well as other projects scheduled worldwide over the next ten years. Expansion into South Carolina would provide a state of the art facility with room necessary to provide in place testing, integration, process control verification and final testing and final installation which is expected to provide GCS a unique position in this developing market. This expansion is currently on hold until demand for these critical products exceeds our current capacity.

Additionally, during 2004, Flanders Complete Service Division (Flanders CSD), an air filtration service provider was formed. Flanders CSD offers weekly, monthly and quarterly service contracts for clean room and glove box certification; commercial, industrial, retail and residential surveys, onsite service; and complete filtration management including mold remediation and analysis. Flanders CSD continues to expand its footprint and currently enjoys various regional contracts and should expands its base over the next year to be ready to take on national contracts.

Strategic Acquisitions. We continue to search for opportunities to acquire new businesses, although our criteria for evaluating these businesses has moved toward acquiring raw material suppliers, distributors and regional resellers, and away from acquiring competing air filter manufacturers in the United States. We will continue to search for opportunities to acquire companies in Europe and the Pacific Rim to expand our technologies in these geographic areas. We are looking for potential acquisitions with the following characteristics: (i) dominant positions in local or regional markets, (ii) a stable customer base distinct from our existing customers, and (iii) a history of consistent and healthy earnings. Acquiring resellers and distributors with these characteristics allows us to increase operating margins by removing at least one layer of middlemen, and their compounding mark-ups and commissions from the sales and distribution process, allowing us to earn higher margins while maintaining competitive pricing with end users. We are evaluating various air filter sales and service organizations that may help us enter new markets that we do not currently enjoy.

Optimization of Mature Products. Now that we have completed the rationalization and consolidation of our product lines, we should be able to stabilize designs and complete efficiency studies on our manufacturing processes and supply chains which should enable us to duplicate our most successful processes across all plants.

INCREASE MARKET SHARE

Use Strategically Located Facilities Throughout the United States to Increase Market Share. Through acquisition and the establishment of new plants, we have placed facilities within one day's over-the-road shipping to most major population centers in the United States. We believe this ability to regionalize production and distribution has improved our business in several ways: (i) decreased cost of products to customers by reducing the average distance between our plants and both our customers and our major raw materials suppliers, hence decreasing freight expenses; (ii) increased responsiveness by decreasing the average time required to ship products to customers; and (iii) increased our share of national accounts' total business by having manufacturing facilities in closer proximity to customers' regional distribution centers. The ability to service all major population centers with regional manufacturing centers is critical for our business, allowing us to compete on price against less broadly based competitors without sacrificing margins as well as the ability to respond more rapidly than most of our competition. We will continue to review the market for new locations for expansion.

Continued Emphasis on Quality and Performance. A continued emphasis on product quality and on-time shipments has allowed us to capture market share in serving several industries in recent years. We are expanding our NQA1 Quality Assurance Program to include a more expanded approach to allow us to provide many other products related to our current product line.

Utilize High Efficiency Production and Logistics Systems to Dominate Niche Markets. During the past several years we have invested heavily in upgrading and opening new production facilities, scheduling capacity, and logistics management capabilities. We intend to continue using these advantages to capture market share in niche markets with specialized products tailored to their exact requirements. Many end users with specialized air filtration needs are currently making do with standard products. It has been our experience that minor changes made to our standard products to meet specialized requirements may offer significant operational savings to these end users, although the actual filters cost more.

AIR FILTER MARKET BACKGROUND

The air filtration market is mature, with market growth driven by a gradual trend toward higher efficiency filters for residential, commercial and industrial applications.

Management is of the belief that concerns about anthrax and other harmful microbes will accelerate this trend over the next five years as commercial buildings in large U.S. cities upgrade their ventilation systems to install more efficient filters. Other growth drivers include an increasing propensity towards using higher-performance filters in commercial and residential spaces instead of current low-efficiency models, and the use of HEPA filters in new applications.

Management believes the forces driving the air filtration market are evolving, beginning in the past decade and continuing for the next several years, from preserving machinery and equipment to maintaining and or facilitating indoor air quality. In addition, we expect many technology industries to increase their reliance on air filters to remove microscopic and gaseous contaminants from sensitive manufacturing processes associated with semiconductor manufacturing, pharmaceutical production, ultra-pure materials manufacturing, nuclear power and materials processing, and biotechnology. Companies are devoting resources to air filtration products to enhance process efficiency and employee productivity.

Air filters are used in many different applications, including the following:

- *Commercial and Residential HVAC Systems.* Replacement filters are an essential requirement for the efficient operation of commercial and residential HVAC systems.
- *Residential air cleaners.* Stand-alone air cleaners which produce ultra-clean air in a defined area are also gaining in popularity among allergy sufferers and asthmatics, although follow-up sales of replacement filtration cartridges have been limited.
- *General Industrial.* Air filters are used in standard industrial settings to provide cleaner work environments; for example, auto makers use air filtration systems to remove oil mist contaminants from the air in their plants and industrial paint booth users utilize air filtration to remove paint particles from the air.
- *Semiconductors.* HEPA and carbon filters are necessary to meet the increasingly stringent manufacturing environment requirements of semiconductor manufacturers, where microscopic airborne contaminants can ruin microchips during production, having a large impact on manufacturing yield and profitability. Carbon filters are also being increasingly used to filter gaseous contaminants from semiconductor manufacturing areas.
- *Pharmaceuticals.* Pharmaceutical companies are increasingly using cleanrooms to prevent cross-contamination between different products and different lots of the same product being manufactured at the same facility. The increasing use of cultured microbes for drug production is also expected to increase demand for high-end containment environments.
- *Biotechnology.* Containment systems for the manipulation of viruses and bacteria using genetic engineering techniques are critical to the biotechnology industry.
- *Nuclear Power and Materials Processing.* Filtration systems are necessary to radioactive containment procedures for all nuclear facilities, containment systems are necessary to provide shielding.
- *Chemical, Biological and Radiological Safe Environments.* Filtration systems are necessary to provide a safe environment for those working in sensitive areas that may be subject to exposure to such substances.

RECENT TRENDS

Recent trends in the air filter industry, as well as changes in laws and governmental regulations during the past five years, all encourage an increased awareness of the benefits of the use of air filtration products. Some of these trends and changes are:

Security Initiatives to Counter Terrorist Threats. We believe, initiatives to harden buildings against bioterrorist attacks and other security initiatives will result in many governmental and commercial facilities upgrading their HVAC systems to incorporate HEPA filters and other types of upgraded air control systems. We have seen orders increase in this area over the past quarter.

Semiconductor Downturn and Economic Recovery. Sales of air filtration products for semiconductor facilities, historically a major market, are expected to be slow again during 2007, with most analysts pushing recovery for this sector out until 2008. The strengthening economy is also having a positive effect on sales of all of our products.

Indoor Air Quality and Health. We believe there is an increase in public concern regarding the effects of indoor air quality on employee productivity and health, as well as an increase in interest in standards for detecting and solving IAQ problems. For example, the American Society of Heating Refrigeration and Air-Conditioning Engineers (ASHRAE) has recently established certain minimum standards for ventilation and indoor air quality for commercial and industrial settings. The World Health Organization has recently been studying the effects of air quality on human health, including widely publicized epidemiological studies indicating that airborne contaminants kill more people than automobile accidents. We are seeing a greater interest in upgrading residential filtration systems as well as commercial systems to address both energy savings and better indoor quality.

Lack of Legitimate Competing Products. We believe there is an increase in public and regulatory frustration with spurious and misleading claims made by certain manufacturers in the air filtration industry. This trend is evidenced by recent rulings by the Federal Trade Commission disallowing claims of cleaning the air in an entire room made by several manufacturers of area HEPA filtration systems as well as medical benefits claimed by manufacturers of passive electrostatic washable synthetic filters and other articles in consumer reports. ASHARE (American Society of Heating, Air, and Refrigeration Engineers) is tightening the test standards to help the consumer more easily rate air filters. We hope that as the public becomes more interested in real filtration products that we will see continued strength in this market.

Hazardous Working Environments. Several studies recognize that air quality in working facilities has an impact upon human health. OSHA regulations, in particular, have made IAQ a consideration in a wide variety of industries, ranging from those industries using spray-paint booths to those using automobile assembly lines. We are encouraged by the awareness and increased interest in improving indoor air quality in working environments.

Sick Building Syndrome. Sick Building Syndrome, which is characterized by lethargy, frequent headaches, eye irritation and fatigue, has recently been shown to be a valid concern and is a major design consideration in new and renovated commercial and industrial buildings. The identification of sick buildings, and solutions for mitigation, involve complex issues which need to be examined on a case-by-case basis by qualified engineers. Solutions typically include improving the HVAC and filtration systems of the affected buildings.

MARKETING

Much of our marketing effort consists of personal visits to customers and distributors through an extensive tiered network of contract salespeople. Periodic visits are enhanced by mass mailings announcing new products, participation in trade shows for exposure and lead generation, technical articles and advertisements in trade periodicals, and newly redesigned catalogs containing all Flanders products. We have realigned our product offerings into groups focused on: foremarket high purity sales, generally consisting of sales of products for new or upgraded facilities; retail sales, generally consisting of sales through retailers for use in residences and small businesses; air filter sales and service, generally consisting of sales to air filter service companies who maintain industrial and commercial HVAC systems; and after-market sales, generally consisting of sales to wholesalers and distributors for use by industrial end users; and containment sales, generally consisting of sales to government agencies or highly specialized industrial environments.

Besides developing new sales leads and contacts, we are also focused on increasing the effectiveness of our existing distributors and contract salesmen by allowing them to offer our products as a complete single-source for air filtration products.

Expansion: Global Containment Systems, Inc. (GCS) is a wholly owned subsidiary of Flanders Corporation which manufactures critical environments for the nuclear, pharmaceutical and semi conductor industries. Contingent on expanding demand for highly critical containment, we plan to expand our operations in Aiken, SC. This facility could accommodate the requirements for various nuclear containment projects scheduled at the Westinghouse Savannah River Site in Aiken, SC as well as other projects scheduled worldwide over the next ten years. Expansion into South Carolina would provide a state of the art facility with room necessary to provide in place testing, integration, process control verification and final testing and final installation which is expected to provide GCS a unique position in this developing market. This expansion is currently on hold until demand for these critical products exceeds our current capacity.

Additionally, during 2004, Flanders Complete Service Division (Flanders CSD), an air filtration service provider was formed. Flanders CSD offers weekly, monthly and quarterly service contracts for clean room and glove box certification; commercial, industrial, retail and residential surveys; and complete filtration management including mold remediation and analysis. The company is currently negotiating several large contracts and if they are executed, the company expects to have national coverage by the end of 2007.

PRODUCTS

We design, manufacture and market a broad range of air filters and related products, including:

-

Residential heating and air conditioning filters, typically sold through retailers under the Flanders~Precisionaire brand name.

•

Residential air cleaners, developed in 2000, which offer different filtration types ranging from single-room HEPA units which clean the air in a room to near-cleanroom levels to in-duct electrostatic precipitators which remove large quantities of airborne contaminants from entire residences without negatively impacting the efficiency of HVAC systems.

•

Industrial specialty filters which fall under specifications that are categorized by efficiency ratings established by ASHRAE and used in a wide variety of industries, including paint facilities, automobile factories, chemical treatment plants, mushroom farms, coal mines, oil refineries and power plants.

•

Commercial and industrial filters for use in office and general manufacturing environments, typically sold through wholesalers and distributors.

•

High Efficiency Particulate Air (HEPA) filters (at least 99.97% efficient to 99.999997% efficient) in various grades, for use in semiconductor facilities, nuclear containment vessels, disease containment facilities, and other critical applications.

•

Absolute Isolation Barriers which are customized stand-alone units, typically manufactured of stainless steel, used in various industries which require absolute control over contaminants, atmospheric composition and containment.

•

Carbon filters, both in bonded panels, various impregnated medias, and activated charcoal beds, used to remove gaseous contaminants, odors and toxic chemical vapors in various commercial and industrial applications.

•

Specialized air filter housings for use in multi-stage filtration applications.

•

Other related products, including ductwork and equipment cleaning chemicals, custom air handlers and specialized filter housings.

•

Surface finishing filters for any paint booth or surface finishing application in the overall surface finishing market.

MANUFACTURING

We manufacture air filters, housings, Absolute Isolation Barriers and related equipment at several facilities in the United States and Mexico, which range in size from 18,000 square feet to approximately 600,000 square feet. The major plants are:

-

Eleven separate manufacturing and warehousing facilities located in Washington, North Carolina (2 plants) ; Bartow, Florida; Salt Lake City, Utah; Momence, Illinois; Smithfield, North Carolina; Tijuana, Mexico; Matamoros, Mexico; Clarkton, North Carolina; Stafford, Texas; and Auburn, Pennsylvania, produce a broad range of HEPA, commercial, residential and industrial filters.

-

Other facilities in Bath and Washington, North Carolina, Salt Lake City, Utah, and Stafford, Texas, manufacture HEPA filters, high-end containment environments, housings, custom filter assemblies and other custom filtration products and systems which require extensive custom design, production and lot tracking, including products used in the production and containment of potentially dangerous biologically engineered microorganisms.

-

Fifteen direct offices spread across the US that provide a distribution point as well as light manufacturing.

In addition, we design and manufacture much of our automated production equipment as well as the glass-based media used in many of our products.

Our manufacturing operations are subject to periodic inspection by regulatory authorities. Because of the nature of some of our products, these agencies include the Department of Energy, Department of Defense and other agencies responsible for overseeing sensitive technologies. One of the considerations in deciding which types of products each facility will manufacture is the segregation of highly-regulated products to a minimal number of facilities to reduce the overhead associated with regulatory monitoring and compliance.

Each of our manufacturing facilities utilizes testing and design strategies appropriate to the products manufactured. These range from standard statistical process quality controls for residential replacement filters to individual testing and certification with patented proprietary particle scanning technologies for each laminar-grade HEPA filter. We believe that our ability to comprehensively test and certify HEPA filters is a competitive advantage.

SOURCE AND AVAILABILITY OF RAW MATERIALS

Our principal raw materials are cardboard, fiberglass fibers, recyclable waste-glass, extruded glass, sheet metal, extruded aluminum, stainless steel, various grades of mild rolled steel, adhesives, resins and wood. All of these raw materials are readily available in sufficient quantities from many suppliers.

COMPETITION

The air filtration market is fragmented and highly competitive. There are many companies which compete in our market areas. We believe that the principal competitive factors in the air filtration business include product performance, name recognition, price, product knowledge, reputation, customized design, timely delivery and product maintenance. We believe that we compete favorably in all of these categories. Competitors include companies with resources, assets, financial strength and market share which may be greater than ours. Major competitors include American Air Filter International, Camfil Farr Company, Donaldson Company, Inc. and Airguard Corporation.

PATENTS, TRADEMARKS AND LICENSES

The Company and its subsidiaries currently holds approximately (30) Thirty patents relating to filtration technology including patents relating to HEPA filters and fabrication methods, filter leak testing methods, filter assembly, laminar flow cleanrooms, components of isolation barriers, and the baking soda impregnation method used in the manufacture of the Arm & Hammer® infused Filters.

In addition, the Company maintains twenty-five (25) trademark registrations including the following: FLANDERS®, PRECISIONAIRE®, EZ FLOW®, SMILIE®, AIRVELOPE®, CHANNEL-CEIL®, PUREFORM®, ECONO-CELL®, GAS-PAK®, PUREFRAME®, DIMPLE PLEAT®, BLU-JEL®, VLSI®, KWIK KUT®, SUPER-FLOW®, NATURALAIRE®, AIRPURE®, PURESEAL®, FLANDERS ABSOLUTE ISOLATION®, FLANDERS/CSC®, TECH-SORB®, NATURALAIRE FILTER FRAGRANCE®, AIRIA®, and BECAUSE WE KNOW AIR FORWARDS AND BACKWARDS®. The Company also has applied for federal trademark protection for the SWISSAIRE™ mark (Serial No. 76/475,934) for its new paintbooth product line. Although management believes that the patents and trademarks associated with our various product lines and subsidiaries are valuable, we do not consider any of them to be essential to our business.

The Company developed 5 new patents associated with its new nested filter concept during 2005. This concept enables us to stack 4 filters into a space normally holding only 1 filter. This also provides labor savings associated with handling of inventory, storage space savings as well as significant freight savings. This product rolled out into retail stores during the first quarter of 2006.

The Company currently holds a license for the intellectual property mark of Arm & Hammer® from Church & Dwight Company for labeling uses on our baking soda infused product line. The Company is party to a Royalty Agreement with Church & Dwight for the use of said mark which management believes to be a reasonable and necessary agreement that is in the best interest of the Company. Furthermore, the Company holds a license for the intellectual property mark of Lysol™ from Reckitt Benckiser, Inc. for labeling uses on our new line of antimicrobial-treated filter product line. The Company is party to a Royalty Agreement with Reckitt Benckiser for the use of the Lysol™ mark which management believes to be a reasonable and necessary agreement that is in the best interest of the Company. This agreement terminates July 31, 2007 and is not expected to be renewed.

CUSTOMERS

We are not dependent upon any single customer. One customer, Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., accounted for 13%, 15% and 15% of net sales during 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The Home Depot, Inc., accounted for 16%, 15% and 16% of net sales during 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. No other single customer accounted for 10% or more of net sales during the past three years. Other significant customers include Abbott Laboratories, Motorola, Inc., Intel Corporation, Merck & Co., Inc., Upjohn Co., Westinghouse Electric Corp., and several U.S. government agencies.

BACKLOG

We had approximately \$44.2 million of firm backlog on December 31, 2006, compared to \$26.0 million on December 31, 2005. Firm backlog includes orders received and not yet begun and the unfinished, unbilled portion of special orders. Orders are typically not cancelable without penalty, except for certain stable filter supply contracts to nuclear facilities operated by the United States government. Backlog varies from week to week, based on the timing and mix of orders received. The difference in backlog between December 31, 2006 and 2005 is not considered to be meaningful, and is within the normal range of week-to-week variation. All backlog at December 31, 2006, is expected to be shipped by the end of the second quarter of 2007.

EMPLOYEES

The Company employed 3,067 full-time employees on December 31, 2006; 2,646 in manufacturing, 42 in development and technical staff, 92 in sales and marketing, 19 in services, and the remaining 202 in support staff and administration. None of these are represented by a union. The Company believes that its relationship with its employees is satisfactory.

GOVERNMENT REGULATION

Although we believe our operations are in material compliance with applicable environmental laws and regulations, risks of significant costs and liabilities are inherent in manufacturing operations, and we cannot assure that significant costs and liabilities will not be incurred. Moreover, it is possible that other developments, such as increasingly strict environmental laws and regulations and enforcement policies, and claims for damages to property or persons resulting from our operations, could result in substantial costs and liabilities to us. We believe that changes in environmental laws and regulations will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows in the near term.

We are also subject to the requirements of OSHA and comparable state statutes. We believe we are in material compliance with OSHA and state requirements, including general industry standards, record keeping requirements and monitoring of occupational exposures. In general, we expect to increase our expenditures to comply with stricter industry and regulatory safety standards such as those described above. Although such expenditures cannot be accurately estimated at this time, we do not believe that they will have future material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

SEASONALITY

Historically, our business has been seasonal, with a substantial percentage of sales occurring during the second and third quarters of each year. We believe that this will continue in future years. In addition, demand for our general commercial and industrial products appears to be highly influenced by the weather, with higher sales generally associated with extremes of either hot or cold weather, and lower sales generally associated with temperate weather. Because of these seasonal and weather-related demand fluctuations, quarter-to-quarter performance may not be a good predictor of future results.

EXPORT SALES

We sell products to end users outside of the United States through domestic specialty cleanroom contractors. These sales are counted as domestic sales. We also sell products through foreign distributors, primarily in Europe, and through Flanders International, Ltd., a wholly-owned subsidiary located in Singapore which sells to customers in the Pacific Rim. Sales through foreign distributors and Flanders International amounted to less than 5% of net sales for each of the last three fiscal years. Assets held outside the United States are negligible.

Item 1A.

Risk Factors

Investors should carefully consider the risks described below and all other information in this Form 10-K. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones facing the Company. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to the Company or that it currently deems immaterial may also impair the Company's business and operations.

If any of the following risks actually occur, the Company's business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially adversely affected. In such case, the trading price of the Company's common stock could decline and investors may lose all or part of their investment.

Failure to Manage Future Growth Could Adversely Impact Our Business Due to the Strain on Our Management, Financial and Other Resources

If our business expands in the future, the additional growth will place burdens on management to manage such growth while maintaining profitability. Our ability to compete effectively and manage future growth depends on our ability to:

- recruit, train and manage our work force, particularly in the areas of corporate management, accounting, research and development and operations,
- manage production and inventory levels to meet product demand,
- manage and improve production quality,
- expand both the range of customers and the geographic scope of our customer base, and
- improve financial and management controls, reporting systems and procedures.

Any failure to manage growth effectively could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Any Delay in Procuring Financing for New Products or Failure to Adequately Ramp-Up Production Capacity to Meet Demand Could Adversely Impact Our Business Due to Strain on Financial Resources.

During 2007 and 2008, we plan to introduce and market various products which, if successful, may require additional financing and/or capital. This financing may need to be available on short notice. Any failure to obtain such financing, or delay in financing, could cause the failure of the new products due to inability to deliver on time, and could adversely impact relationships with current major accounts. In addition, delays in an untried supply chain, new production chains, and other delays common to the launch of a new product line could also adversely impact the success of the products, as well as current relationships with major accounts.

Our Business May Suffer If Our Competitive Strategy is Not Successful

Our continued success depends on our ability to compete in an industry that is highly competitive. This competition may increase as new competitors enter the market. Several of our competitors may have longer operating histories and greater financial, marketing and other resources than we do. Additionally, our competitors may introduce new products or enhancements to products that could cause a decline in sales or loss of market acceptance of our existing products. Under our current competitive strategy, we endeavor to remain competitive by:

- increasing our market share,
- expanding our market through the introduction of new products which require periodic replacement, and
- improving operating efficiencies.

Although our executive management team continues to review and monitor our strategic plans, we have no assurance that we will be able to follow our current strategy or that this strategy will be successful.

Our Market Share May Not Continue to Increase if We are Unable to Acquire Additional Synergistic Businesses

In the past several years, we have significantly increased our market share by acquiring synergistic businesses. Although we intend to continue to increase our market share in this manner, we have no assurance that future

acquisition opportunities will be available, and do not anticipate that future acquisitions will be of a size which would result in immediate significant increases in the size of our business. Additionally, in the future we may not have access to the substantial debt or equity financing to finance potential acquisitions. Moreover, these types of transactions may result in potentially dilutive issuances of equity securities, the incurrence of additional debt and other acquisition-related expenses, all of which could adversely affect our profitability or cash flows. Our strategy of growth through acquisition also exposes us to the potential risks inherent in assessing the value, strengths, weaknesses, and potential profitability of acquisition candidates and in integrating the operations of acquired companies. We do not currently have any binding agreements with respect to future acquisitions.

Our Business May Suffer if Our Strategy to Increase the Size and Customer Base of the Air Filtration Market is Unsuccessful

We are developing new products as part of our strategy to increase the size and customer base of the air filtration market. We have no assurance that this strategy will be successful. We have no guarantee that any new products we develop will gain acceptance in the marketplace, or that these products will be successful. Additionally, we have no assurance we will be able to recoup the expenditures associated with the development of these products. To succeed in this area we must:

- increase public awareness of the issues surrounding indoor air quality,
- adequately address the unknown requirements of the potential customer base,
- develop new products that are competitive in terms of price, performance and quality, and
- avoid significant increases in current expenditure levels in development, marketing and consumer education.

We May Experience Critical Equipment Failure Which Could Have a Material Adverse Effect on Our Business

If we experience extended periods of downtime due to the malfunction or failure of our automated production equipment, our business, financial condition and operations may suffer. We design and manufacture much of the automated production equipment used in our facilities. We also use other technologically advanced equipment for which manufacturers may have limited production capability or service experience. If we are unable to quickly repair our equipment or quickly obtain new equipment or parts from outside manufacturers, we could experience extended

periods of downtime in the event of malfunction or equipment failure.

Our Plan to Centralize Overhead Functions May Not Produce the Anticipated Benefits to Our Operating Results

We are currently completing the implementation of plans to centralize overhead functions and eliminate duplication of efforts between our subsidiaries in the following areas:

- purchasing,
- production planning,
- shipping coordination,
- marketing,
- accounting,
- personnel management,
- risk management, and
- benefit plan administration.

We have no assurance that cutting overhead in this fashion will have the anticipated benefits to our operating results. Additionally, we have no assurance that these reorganizations will not significantly disrupt the operations of the affected subsidiaries.

Our Success Depends on Our Ability to Retain and Attract Key Personnel

Our success and future operating results depend in part upon our ability to retain our executives and key personnel, many of whom would be difficult to replace. Our success also depends on our ability to attract highly qualified engineering, manufacturing, technical, sales and support personnel for our operations. Competition for such personnel, particularly qualified engineers, is intense, and there can be no assurance that we will be successful in attracting or retaining such personnel. Our failure to attract or retain such persons could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our Current Distribution Channels May be Unavailable if Our Manufacturers' Representatives Decide to Work Primarily With One of Our Competitors

We provide our manufacturers' representatives with the ability to offer a full product line of air filtration products to existing and new customers. Some of our competitors offer similar arrangements. We do not have exclusive relationships with all of our representatives. Consequently, if our representatives decide to work primarily with one of our competitors, our current distribution channels, and hence, our sales, could be significantly reduced.

Management Controls a Significant Percentage of Our Stock

As of December 31, 2006, our directors and executive officers beneficially held approximately 53.69% of our outstanding common stock. As a result, such shareholders effectively control or significantly influence all matters requiring shareholder approval. These matters include the election of directors and approval of significant corporate transactions. Such concentration of ownership may also have the effect of delaying or preventing a change in control that may otherwise be advantageous to the non-affiliated shareholders.

We May be Required to Issue Stock in the Future That Will Dilute the Value of Our Existing Stock

We have granted options to purchase a total of 5,752,000 shares of common stock to various parties with exercise prices ranging from \$1.50 to \$11.72 per share. The majority of these options are currently exercisable. Additionally, if the option holders exercise their options, the interests of current shareholders may be diluted.

Our Shareholders May Not Realize Certain Opportunities Because of Our Charter Provisions and North Carolina Law

Our Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws contain provisions that are designed to provide our Board of Directors with time to consider whether a hostile takeover offer is in our best interest and the best interests of our shareholders. These provisions may discourage potential acquisition proposals and could delay or prevent a change of control in our business. Additionally, we are subject to the Control Shares Acquisition Act of the State of North Carolina. This act provides that any person who acquires control shares of a publicly held North Carolina corporation will not have voting rights with respect to the acquired shares unless a majority of the disinterested shareholders of the corporation vote to grant such rights. This could deprive shareholders of opportunities to realize takeover premiums for their shares or other advantages that large accumulations of stock would typically provide.

Our Business Can be Significantly Affected by Environmental Laws

The constantly changing body of environmental laws and regulations may significantly influence our business and products. These laws and regulations require that various environmental standards be met and impose liability for the failure to comply with such standards. While we endeavor at each of our facilities to assure compliance with environmental laws and regulations, and are currently not aware of any ongoing issues of this nature, we cannot be certain that our operations or activities, or historical operations by others at our locations, will not result in civil or criminal enforcement actions or private actions that could have a materially adverse effect on our business. We have, in the past, and may, in the future, purchase or lease properties with unresolved potential violations of federal or state environmental regulations. In these transactions, we have been successful in obtaining sufficient indemnification and mitigating the impact of the issues without recognizing significant expenses associated with litigation and cleanup. However, purchasing or leasing these properties requires us to weigh the cost of resolving these issues and the likelihood of litigation against the potential economic and business benefits of the transaction. If we fail to correctly identify, resolve and obtain indemnification against these risks, they could have a material adverse impact on our financial position.

Because of the foregoing factors, as well as other variables affecting our operating results, past financial performance should not be considered a reliable indicator of future performance, and investors should not use historical trends to anticipate results or trends in future periods.

Item 1B.

Unresolved Staff Comments

Not applicable

Item 2.**Properties**

The following table lists our principal facilities. Management believes that these properties are adequate for its current operational needs. We may, at some point, relocate, reorganize or consolidate various facilities for reasons of operating efficiencies or may open new plants to take advantage of perceived new economic opportunities. We are of the opinion that all properties are well maintained and appropriately insured.

| <u>Principal Facility</u> | <u>Location</u> | <u>Approximate Floor</u> | <u>Monthly</u> | <u>Lease/Type</u> |
|--|----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| | | <u>Space (sq. ft.)</u> | <u>Payment</u> | |
| Manufacturing and office facility | Washington, North Carolina | 285,000 | N/A | Owned |
| Manufacturing, service and office facility | Bath, North Carolina | 46,000 | N/A | Owned |
| Manufacturing plant | Bartow, Florida | 175,000 | N/A | Owned |
| Warehouse | Bartow, Florida | 60,000 | \$15,671 | Leased |
| Manufacturing plant | Terrell, Texas | 168,000 | \$23,980 ¹ | Owned |
| Manufacturing plant | Auburn, Pennsylvania | 92,000 | \$5,857 ² | Owned |
| Office space and headquarters | St. Petersburg, Florida | 18,000 | N/A | Owned |
| Manufacturing plant | Momence, Illinois | 211,000 | \$133,584 | Owned |
| Sales office and warehouse | Singapore | 3,800 | \$2,100 | Leased |
| Manufacturing and warehouse | Smithfield, North Carolina | 415,000 | \$79,816 | Leased |
| Manufacturing plant | Smithfield, North Carolina | 474,000 | \$11,981 | Owned |
| Manufacturing and office facility | Stafford, Texas | 18,000 | N/A | Owned |
| Manufacturing plant | Salt Lake City, Utah | 192,000 | N/A | Owned |
| Manufacturing plant and office facility | San Diego, California | 96,000 | \$49,914 | Leased |
| Manufacturing plant | Tijuana, Mexico | 127,000 | \$46,651 | Leased |
| Direct Sales Office | Phoenix, Arizona | 22,000 | \$6,697 | Leased |
| Direct Sales Office | | 20,000 | \$8,650 | Leased |

Edgar Filing: FLANDERS CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------|----------|--------|
| | Santa Fe Springs, California | | | |
| Direct Sales Office | Hayward, California | 10,000 | \$5,900 | Leased |
| Direct Sales Office | Salt Lake City, Utah | 15,000 | \$3,139 | Leased |
| Direct Sales Office | Sanford, North Carolina | 1,000 | \$950 | Leased |
| Direct Sales Office & Warehouse | Kent, Washington | 12,500 | \$5,640 | Leased |
| Manufacturing plant | Washington, North Carolina | 110,000 | \$12,500 | Leased |
| Direct Sales Office & Warehouse | Philadelphia, Pennsylvania | 26,000 | \$16,000 | Leased |
| Manufacturing Plant | Matamoros, Mexico | 86,651 | \$30,328 | Leased |
| Manufacturing Plant | Beaufort County, North Carolina | 120,000 | \$27,000 | Leased |
| Direct Sales Office & Warehouse | Cerritos, California | 21,735 | \$11,270 | Leased |
| Direct Sales Office & Warehouse | Dallas, Texas | 120,000 | \$32,000 | Leased |
| Direct Sales Office & Warehouse | Clarkton, North Carolina | 350,000 | \$49,321 | Leased |
| Direct Sales Office & Warehouse | Greenville, North Carolina | 50,000 | \$12,000 | Leased |
| Direct Sales Office & Warehouse | Tucker, Georgia | 34,600 | \$16,000 | Leased |
| Direct Sales Office & Warehouse | Raleigh, North Carolina | 24,000 | \$14,000 | Leased |
| Direct Sales Office & Warehouse | Las Vegas, Nevada | 10,106 | \$8,006 | Leased |
| Direct Sales Office & Warehouse | Chaska, Minnesota | 28,000 | \$14,000 | Leased |
| Direct Sales Office & Warehouse | Boise, Idaho | 20,306 | \$18,500 | Leased |

¹ Property encumbered by a mortgage on which monthly payments are made.

² Property encumbered by a mortgage on which monthly payments are made.

³ Property is pledged as security for an Economic Development Revenue Bond with a face value of \$6,000,000. The obligation is paid quarterly rather than monthly by paying a portion of principal and interest on the entire amount. The payment shown above is for the entire fourth quarter of 2005.

⁴ The property and building is owned by a partnership consisting of two of the Company's officers and directors.

⁵ The property is used as security for a two Industrial Revenue Bonds with face value of \$4,500,000 and \$4,000,000. Monthly payments are for interest only on the bonds, and vary from month to month based on the interest rate during the period.

⁶ The Tijuana plant has 2 temporary buildings measuring 45,000 sq. ft. and 40,000 sq. ft.

Item 3.

Legal Proceedings

From time to time, the Company is a party as plaintiff or defendant to various legal proceedings related to our normal business operations. In the opinion of management, although the outcome of any legal proceeding cannot be predicted with certainty, the ultimate liability of the Company in connection with its legal proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position, but could be material to the results of operations in any one future accounting period. The Company makes appropriate reserves for litigation, even if not material. Defense costs are expensed as incurred.

Item 4.

Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

The Company held its annual meeting of shareholders on December 14, 2006. During the meeting, holders of 23,992,641 shares, representing ninety-one percent (91%) of the 26,356,640 shares outstanding on the record date, attended either in person or by proxy. Holders in excess of 90% of the totals vote cast voted for the election of members to the Board of Directors for Robert R. Amerson, Steven K. Clark, William Mitchum, Jr., Robert Kelly Barnhill, Sr., David M. Mock, and Peter Fredericks. As a result of the meeting, Messrs. Amerson, Clark, Mitchum, Barnhill, Mock, and Fredericks were elected for an additional one-year term as directors.

PART II**Item 5.****Market for Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters****PRICE RANGE OF COMMON STOCK**

The Company's common stock is listed on the Nasdaq National Market System under the symbol FLDR. The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high and low sale prices of the Company's common stock as reported by the Nasdaq National Market System. Such quotations do not include retail mark-ups, mark-downs, or other fees or commissions.

| | High | Low |
|--|-------|-------|
| 2006 | | |
| Fourth Quarter ended December 31, 2006 | \$ | \$ |
| | 10.27 | 8.42 |
| Third Quarter ended September 30, 2006 | \$ | \$ |
| | 10.36 | 8.56 |
| Second Quarter ended June 30, 2006 | \$ | \$ |
| | 11.99 | 9.49 |
| First Quarter ended March 31, 2006 | \$ | \$ |
| | 12.10 | 10.29 |
| 2005 | | |
| Fourth Quarter ended December 31, 2005 | \$ | \$ |
| | 12.46 | 9.81 |
| Third Quarter ended September 30, 2005 | \$ | \$ |
| | 14.09 | 8.85 |

Edgar Filing: FLANDERS CORP - Form 10-K

| | | |
|--|-------|------|
| Second Quarter ended June 30, 2005 | \$ | \$ |
| | 11.67 | 7.77 |
| First Quarter ended March 31, 2005 | \$ | \$ |
| | 11.43 | 8.55 |
| 2004 | | |
| Fourth Quarter ended December 31, 2004 | \$ | \$ |
| | 10.17 | 8.17 |
| Third Quarter ended September 30, 2004 | \$ | \$ |
| | 10.47 | 8.36 |
| Second Quarter ended June 30, 2004 | \$ | \$ |
| | 9.99 | 6.12 |
| First Quarter ended March 31, 2004 | \$ | \$ |
| | 7.26 | 5.21 |

EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION

The following table provides information about our Equity Compensation Plans.

| Plan Category | Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options | Weighted average price of outstanding options | Number of securities remaining available for future issuance |
|--|--|---|--|
| Long Term Incentive plan approved by security holders | 1,475,000 | \$8.77 | 183,000 |
| Long Term Incentive plan not approved by security holders | | | |
| Directors and Officers plan approved by security holders | 277,000 | \$7.43 | 20,000 |
| Directors and Officers plan not approved by security holders | | | |
| Other equity compensation plan approved by security holders | 4,000,000 | \$5.00 | |

Other equity compensation plan not approved by security holders

APPROXIMATE NUMBER OF EQUITY SECURITYHOLDERS

On February 22, 2007, Flanders' common stock closed at \$7.98. As of February 22, 2007, there were approximately 131 holders of record of the Company's common stock. The Company estimates there are approximately 4 beneficial owners (holders of more than 5% of the common stock) of the Company's common stock.

DIVIDENDS

We have not declared or paid cash dividends on our common stock. Currently, we retain any future earnings, except those used to repurchase stock, to finance the growth and development of the business, however, we are currently considering paying cash dividends in the future. The Board of Directors may decide to declare a dividend, based upon its evaluation of our earnings, financial position, capital requirements and any other factors the Board of Directors may consider to be relevant. Under the terms of our revolving credit line we cannot pay dividends without the prior written consent of the bank. The Company also has a stock repurchase program that is currently subject to restriction under the Company's line of credit facility. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Liquidity and Capital Resources and Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements - Note G.

SALES OF UNREGISTERED SECURITIES

The Company did not sell any unregistered securities during 2006, 2005 or 2004.

Item 6.

Selected Financial Data

The following financial data is derived from, and should be read in conjunction with, the Consolidated Financial Statements and notes thereto. Information concerning significant trends in the financial condition and results of

operations is contained in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

SELECTED HISTORICAL OPERATIONS DATA (In thousands, except per share data)

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|---------|---------|---------|----------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 |
| | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Net sales | 238,378 | 229,276 | 199,933 | 182,780 | 184,211 |
| Gross profit | 48,725 | 52,917 | 48,288 | 43,271 | 40,821 |
| Operating expenses | 40,868 | 35,297 | 33,569 | 32,112 | 29,591 |
| Operating income from continuing operations | 7,857 | 17,620 | 14,719 | 11,159 | 11,230 |
| Earnings from continuing operations before income taxes | 8,208 | 17,245 | 14,351 | 11,251 | 7,740 |
| Provision for income taxes | 2,683 | 4,739 | 4,581 | 3,505 | 3,001 |
| Earnings from continuing operations | 5,525 | 12,506 | 9,770 | 7,746 | 4,739 |
| Cumulative effect of accounting change | — | — | — | — | (27,681) |
| Extraordinary Loss | (3,475) | — | — | — | — |
| | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Net earnings (loss) | 2,050 | 12,506 | 9,770 | 7,746 | (22,942) |
| Earnings per share from continuing operations | | | | | |
| | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Basic | .21 | 0.48 | 0.37 | 0.30 | 0.18 |
| | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Diluted | .20 | 0.45 | 0.36 | 0.29 | 0.18 |
| Net earnings (loss) per share | | | | | |
| | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Basic | .08 | 0.48 | 0.37 | 0.30 | (0.88) |
| | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Diluted | .07 | 0.45 | 0.36 | 0.29 | (0.88) |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | | | | | |
| Basic | 26,340 | 26,309 | 26,201 | 26,033 | 26,033 |
| Diluted | 27,725 | 27,807 | 27,289 | 26,428 | 26,033 |

SELECTED HISTORICAL BALANCE SHEET DATA (In thousands)

| | December 31, | | | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 |
| Working capital | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| | 67,967 | 60,595 | 54,212 | 45,547 | 41,389 |
| Total assets | 207,104 | 172,216 | 159,670 | 145,415 | 141,671 |
| Long-term obligations ¹ | 42,880 | 27,293 | 23,059 | 26,290 | 35,475 |
| Total shareholders' equity | 107,379 | 105,534 | 93,161 | 80,709 | 72,928 |

1

Long-term obligations include long-term notes payable, long-term debt, including current maturities, convertible debt, and committed capital.

Item 7.**Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with Item 6 Selected Financial Data and our Consolidated Financial Statements, all included herein. The information set forth in this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations includes forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Many factors, including but not limited to those discussed below under Factors That May Affect Future Results could cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in these forward-looking statements.

OVERVIEW

Flanders is a full-range air filtration product company engaged in designing, manufacturing and marketing air filtration products and certain related products and services. Our focus has evolved from expansion through acquisition to increasing the quality and efficiency of our high-volume replacement filtration products, and using these benefits to compete more effectively in the marketplace. We also design and manufacture much of our own

production equipment as well as glass-based media for many of our air filtration products.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

The following discussion and analysis is based upon our consolidated financial statements which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of our financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of revenues and expenses, and assets and liabilities during the periods reported. Estimates are used when accounting for certain items such as revenues, allowances for returns, early payment discounts, customer discounts, doubtful accounts, employee compensation programs, depreciation and amortization periods, taxes, inventory values, insurance programs, and valuations of investments, goodwill, other intangible assets and long-lived assets. We base our estimates on historical experience, where applicable, and other assumptions that we believe are reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may differ from our estimates under different assumptions or conditions. We believe that the following critical accounting policies affect our more significant judgments and estimates used in preparation of our consolidated financial statements.

We maintain allowances for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of our customers to make required payments. We base our estimates on the aging of our accounts receivable balances and our historical write-off experience, net of recoveries. If the financial condition of our customers were to deteriorate, additional allowances may be required.

We value our inventories at the lower of cost or market. We write down inventory balances for estimated obsolescence or unmarketable inventory equal to the difference between the cost of the inventory and the estimated market value based upon assumptions about future demand and market conditions. If actual market conditions are less favorable than those projected by management, additional inventory write-downs may be required.

Estimates of our insurance costs are developed by management's evaluation of the likelihood and probable amount of potential claims based on historical experience and evaluation of each claim. Changes in the key assumptions may occur in the future, which would result in changes to related insurance costs.

Goodwill is reviewed for possible impairment at least annually or more frequently upon the occurrence of an event or when circumstances indicate that the Company's carrying amount is greater than the fair value. In accordance with SFAS 142, the Company examined goodwill for impairment and determined that the Company's carrying amount did not exceed the fair value, thus, there was no impairment.

Generally, sales are recognized when shipments are made to customers. Rebates, allowances for damaged goods and other advertising and marketing program rebates are accrued pursuant to contractual provisions and included in

accrued expenses. An insignificant amount of our revenues fall under the percentage-of-completion method of accounting used for long-term contracts. Under this method, sales and gross profit are recognized as work is performed based on the relationship between actual costs incurred and total estimated costs at completion. Sales and gross profit are adjusted prospectively for revisions in estimated total contract costs and contract values. Estimated losses are recorded when identified.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (thousands omitted, except per share amounts)

2006 Compared to 2005

The following table summarizes the Company's results of operations as a percentage of net sales for 2006 and 2005.

| | 2006 | | 2005 | |
|-------------------------------|---------|--------|---------|--------|
| Net sales | \$ | | \$ | |
| | 238,378 | 100.0% | 229,276 | 100.0% |
| Gross profit | | | | |
| | 48,725 | 20.4 | 52,917 | 23.1 |
| Operating expenses | | | | |
| | 40,868 | 17.1 | 35,297 | 15.4 |
| Operating income | | | | |
| | 7,857 | 3.3 | 17,620 | 7.7 |
| Nonoperating income (expense) | | | | |
| | 351 | .2 | (375) | (.2) |
| Earnings before income taxes | | | | |
| | 8,208 | 3.4 | 17,245 | 7.5 |
| Provision for income taxes | | | | |
| | 2,683 | 1.1 | 4,739 | 2.1 |
| Extraordinary items | | | | |
| | (3,475) | (1.5) | 0 | 0.0 |
| Net earnings | 2,050 | .1 | \$ | 5.5 |

Net Sales: Net sales for 2006 increased by \$9,102 or 4.0%, to \$238,378, from \$229,276 for 2005. The increase in net sales was due to our success in increasing our market share in our industrial division which was partially offset by a downturn in our retail sector as well as delays in shipping containment. The Company introduced two new products, Energy Air and our new nested product which increased sales but was offset by the plant fire in Texas and plant flood in Pennsylvania which reduced manufacturing capacity.

Gross Profit: Gross profit for 2006 decreased \$4,192 or 7.9%, to \$48,725 which made up 20.4% of net sales, from \$52,917 for 2005, which made up 23.1% of net sales. The US economy experienced cost increases due to inflation including increases in in-bound shipping costs due to increased fuel costs, raw material costs, especially in the cost of metal. These costs were partially offset by vertical integration of certain manufacturing processes. The gross profit during the quarter has decreased due to the start up of three new plants in Clarkton, North Carolina, Dallas, Texas and Matamoros, Mexico as well as new production lines for the EnergyAire and nested filters. The plant fire in Texas and the plant flood in Auburn, Pennsylvania increased the overall cost of production. Additionally, the product mix has shifted towards lower margin items, however it is anticipated that this trend will reverse during the near term.

Operating Expenses: Operating expenses for 2006 increased \$5,571, or 15.8%, to \$40,868, from \$35,297 in 2005. Operating expenses as a percentage of sales increased in 2006 to 17.1% from 15.4% in 2005. The increase in operating expenses was primarily caused by an increase in the number of sales locations resulting in increased salaries and commissions of \$2,150, rents of \$987 and freight out of \$359. Additionally, bad debt expense increased by \$969.

Nonoperating Income (Expenses): Nonoperating expense increased approximately \$726 to \$351 of income for 2006, compared to (\$375) of expense in 2005. The increase was due to favorable litigation.

Provision for Income Taxes: Our effective state and federal tax rate, adjusted for the effect of certain credits and adjustments, was approximately 38% and 38% for 2006 and 2005, respectively. The IRS is currently examining the Company's federal income tax returns of 2002, 2003, and 2004. The IRS has proposed certain changes resulting in additional liabilities due. The Company disagrees with the proposed changes and is vigorously contesting these proposed changes and believes any final changes will be immaterial.

Extraordinary Items: In 2006, a warehouse in Texas was damaged by a fire and a warehouse in Pennsylvania was damaged by a flood. The Company reported an extraordinary loss on the fire of \$3,475 (net of income taxes of \$2,315).

2005 Compared to 2004

The following table summarizes the Company's results of operations as a percentage of net sales for 2005 and 2004 (dollar amounts in thousands).

| | 2005 | | 2004 | | |
|------------------------------|---------|--------|---------|---------|--------|
| Net sales | \$ | | \$ | \$ | |
| | 229,276 | 100.0% | 194,072 | 199,933 | 100.0% |
| Gross profit | | | | | |
| | 52,917 | 23.1 | 36,917 | 48,288 | 24.2 |
| Operating expenses | | | | | |
| | 35,297 | 15.4 | 40,733 | 33,569 | 16.8 |
| Operating income | | | | | |
| | 17,620 | 7.7 | (3,816) | 14,719 | 7.4 |
| Nonoperating expense | (375) | (.2) | | (368) | (.2) |
| Earnings before income taxes | | | | | |
| | 17,245 | 7.5 | (6,940) | 14,351 | 7.2 |
| Provision for income taxes | | | | | |
| | 4,739 | 2.1 | (2,443) | 4,581 | 2.3 |
| | \$ | | \$ | \$ | |
| Net earnings | 12,506 | 5.5 | (7,199) | 9,770 | 4.9 |

Net Sales: Net sales for 2005 increased by \$29,343 or 14.7%, to \$229,276, from \$199,933 for 2004. The increase in net sales was due to our success in increasing our market share across generally all lines of our business.

Gross Profit: Gross profit for 2005 increased \$4,629 or 9.6%, to \$52,917 which made up 23.1% of net sales, from \$48,288 for 2004, which made up 24.2% of net sales. The gross profit increase was principally due to a higher sales volume while the decrease in gross profit percentage was primarily attributable to increases in direct labor, transportation, raw material costs, and initial costs associated with new product offerings.

Operating Expenses: Operating expenses for 2005 increased \$1,728, or 5.1%, to \$35,297, from \$33,569 in 2004. The increase in operating expenses was primarily caused by a higher sales volume in 2005. Operating expenses as a percentage of sales decreased in 2005 to 15.4% from 16.8% due primarily to the higher sales volume covering certain fixed overhead costs..

Nonoperating expense: Nonoperating expense increased approximately \$7 to (\$375) of expense for 2005, compared to (\$368) in 2004. The increase was due to an increase in interest expense of \$95 partially offset by an increase in other income of \$88.

Provision for Income Taxes: Our effective state and federal tax rate, adjusted for the effect of certain credits and adjustments, was approximately 38% and 39% for 2005 and 2004, respectively.

EFFECTS OF INFLATION

The Company's business and operations have not been materially affected by inflation during the periods for which financial information is presented.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES (dollar amounts in thousands)

Working capital was \$67,967 at December 31, 2006, compared to \$60,595 at December 31, 2005. This included cash and cash equivalents of \$568 and \$695 at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The primary reason for the increase in working capital was the net earnings of the company plus borrowings on the line of credit to fund increases to inventory and accounts receivable partially offset by amounts used for acquisitions and to purchase equipment.

Trade receivables increased \$3,594, or 7.2% at December 31, 2006 from \$50,146 at December 31, 2005. The increase in accounts receivable is attributable to increased sales volume and the aging of various accounts along with the timing differences in shipments and payments received.

Inventories increased \$19,521 or 49.5% to \$58,939 at December 31, 2006 from \$39,418 at December 31, 2005. The increased inventory was due to the stocking of three new plants and also carrying a larger supply of raw materials enabling the Company to maintain its on-time delivery and in stock percentages during the year. Also, higher levels of inventory balance labor requirements and facilitate longer product runs, thereby, increasing operating efficiencies.

Continuing operations consumed \$4,088 of cash in 2006, compared to generating \$7,274 of cash in 2005. The decrease in cash flows was primarily related to the increase in inventory partially offset by increase in payables. Investing activities for continuing operations consumed \$11,400 of cash during 2006, compared to consuming \$11,080 during 2005. The increase in cash used in investing activities was primarily attributable to the acquisition of equipment due to the fire and flood offset by insurance proceeds received. Financing activities provided \$15,480 of

cash in 2006 and \$2,615 of cash in 2005, consisting primarily of proceeds from the revolving credit agreement.

On October 17, 2002, we signed agreements for a new credit facility with Fleet Capital Corporation, which replaced and repaid our previous \$30 million revolving credit facility. The new \$40 million facility consists of a \$7 million term loan and a \$33 million revolving credit line, both of which expire on October 17, 2009. The term loan bears interest, at our option, at either (i) LIBOR plus between 2.5% and 3%, dependent on the Company's fixed charge coverage during the prior twelve months; or (ii) the greater of the Federal Funds Effective Rate plus 0.5% or Fleet's base rate, plus between 0.5% and 1%, dependent on the Company's fixed charge coverage during the prior twelve months. The \$33 million revolving credit facility bears interest at 0.25% less than the term loan. Up to \$11 million of the revolving credit facility may be used to issue letters of credit. The facility is collateralized by substantially all of the Company's assets. The line of credit agreement requires maintenance of certain financial ratios, and restricts capital expenditures, dividends and share repurchases. Unless this credit facility is renewed, it will expire on October 17, 2009. In 2006 we signed a new \$4 million term loan which expires in August 2010. This term loan bears interest, at our option, at either (i) LIBOR plus between 2.5% and 3%, dependent on the Company's fixed charge coverage during the prior twelve months; or (ii) the greater of the Federal Funds Effective Rate plus 0.5% or Fleet's base rate, plus between 0.5% and 1%, dependent on the Company's fixed charge coverage during the prior twelve months. There are no prepayment penalties on any of the credit facilities with Fleet Capital Corporation.

In connection with the amended working capital credit facility and notes payable to a regional development authority and bank, the Company has agreed to certain restrictive covenants which include, among other things, not paying dividends or repurchasing its stock without prior written consent, and maintenance of certain financial ratios at all times including: a minimum current ratio, minimum tangible net worth, a maximum ratio of total liabilities to tangible net worth and a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio.

As of December 31, 2006 we had the following fixed obligations and commitments

| Year ended December 31, | Operating leases | Capital leases | Long-term debt | Other long-term obligations |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|
| 2007 | 4,552 | 615 | 4,460 | |
| 2008 | 3,836 | 545 | 1,327 | |
| 2009 | 3,695 | 568 | 1,940 | |
| 2010 | 3,643 | 257 | 379 | |
| 2011 | 3,303 | 2 | 394 | |
| Thereafter | 52,419 | 0 | 32,393 | 1,325 |

We believe that our cash on hand, cash generated by operations, and cash available from our existing credit facilities is sufficient to meet the capital demands of our current operations during the 2007 fiscal year. Any major increases in

sales, particularly in new products, may require substantial capital investment for the manufacture of filtration products. Failure to obtain sufficient capital could materially adversely impact our growth potential.

We are currently considering paying cash dividends in the future to holders of our common stock. Under the terms of our revolving credit line we cannot pay dividends without the prior written consent of the bank.

In 1998, the Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to two million shares of our common stock, which repurchase was completed in September 2000. On September 22, 2000, the Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to an additional two million shares of common stock through open market or negotiated transactions. Further repurchases under this program are restricted under our current line of credit agreement, and require prior consent of Fleet Capital Corporation. As of February 22, 2007 approximately 569,000 shares had been repurchased in the open market under this authorization.

Outlook

Global Containment Systems, Inc. (GCS) is a wholly owned subsidiary of Flanders Corporation which manufactures critical environments for the nuclear, pharmaceutical and semi conductor. Contingent on expanding demand for highly critical containment, we plan to expand our operations in Aiken, SC. This facility could accommodate the requirements for various nuclear containment projects scheduled at the Westinghouse Savannah River Site in Aiken, SC as well as other projects scheduled worldwide over the next ten years. Expansion into South Carolina would provide a state of the art facility with room necessary to provide in place testing, integration, process control verification and final testing and final installation which is expected to provide GCS a unique position in this developing market. This expansion is currently on hold until demand for these critical products exceeds our current capacity.

Additionally, during 2004, Flanders Complete Service Division (Flanders CSD), an air filtration service provider was formed. Flanders CSD offers weekly, monthly and quarterly service contracts for clean room and glove box certification; commercial, industrial, retail and residential surveys; and complete filtration management including mold remediation and analysis. The company is currently negotiating several large contracts and if they are executed, the company expects to have national coverage by the end of 2007.

During the past three years, we have captured additional market share among big box retailers like The Home Depot and Wal-Mart, capitalizing on our ability to service national accounts from regional distribution centers and our improved on-time delivery performance. We anticipate additional market gains among these types of retailers during the next two years and are introducing new products focused on their marketing and end-user requirements. Sales to these retail outlets, while seasonal, also tend to follow progress in the overall economy. Additional gains in market share in this market may not have a significant impact on revenues without some recovery in the overall U.S.

economy. Additionally, significant revenue enhancement to these customers is largely dependent upon the success of the new products we are introducing to this marketplace.

During the past three years, we introduced air filtration products which use the Arm&Hammer® and Lysol™ brand name. These products are expected to contribute to our expansion in the retail marketplace, but the extent to which they will do so, and their impact on the bottom line, is currently indeterminable.

We have adapted our biocontainment products for use as part of a system for hardening government buildings, commercial office complexes and public venues against airborne bioweapons such as anthrax and smallpox. There is currently an increase of interest in these products over the past quarter. Any interest towards hardening these types of facilities against airborne bioweapons could have a significant impact on our business.

Sales of air filtration products for semiconductor facilities, historically a major market, are expected to be slow again during 2007, with most analysts pushing recovery for this sector out until 2008. However, the strengthening economy is also having a positive effect on sales of all our products.

We have collected data that indicates that residential filter users replace their filters, on average, approximately one and a half times per year. Manufacturers of residential furnace and air conditioning systems recommend that these filters be changed every month. A minor trend toward increased maintenance of these residential heating and cooling systems could have a positive impact on our business.

Our most common products, in terms of both unit and dollar volume, are residential throw-away spun-glass filters, which usually sell for prices under \$1.00. Any increase in consumer concern regarding air pollution, airborne pollens, allergens, and other residential airborne contaminants could result in replacement of some of these products with higher value products. Our higher value products include our NaturalAire® higher-efficiency filters for residential use, and our Arm&Hammer® co-branded product, with associated sales prices typically over \$5.00 each. Any such trend would have a beneficial effect on our business.

We believe there is currently a gradually increasing public awareness of the issues surrounding indoor air quality and that this trend will continue for the next several years. We also believe there is an increase in public concern regarding the effects of indoor air quality on employee productivity, as well as an increase in interest by standards-making bodies in creating specifications and techniques for detecting, defining and solving indoor air quality problems. We further believe there will be an increase in interest in our Absolute Isolation Barriers in the future because these products may be used in both semiconductor and pharmaceutical manufacturing plants to prevent cross-contamination between different lots and different processes being performed at the same facility. These products also increase production yields in many applications.

Currently, the largest domestic market for air filtration products is for mid-range ASHRAE-rated products and HVAC systems, typically used in commercial and industrial buildings. To date, our penetration of this market has been relatively small. We believe our ability to offer a one stop supply of air filtration products to HVAC distributors and wholesalers may increase our share of this market. We also believe that our recently developed modular air handlers and environmental tobacco smoke systems will enable us to expand sales to these customers. We intend our new products to serve as high profile entrants with distributors and manufacturers representatives, who can then be motivated to carry our complete product line.

We have continually looked for cost reductions in our products. During the past five years, we have continued to complete the development and redesigning of numerous systems and products which were only partially completed when we acquired the companies which originally claimed to have fully developed them. These products include the automated machinery necessary for high-speed production of our pleated filters, acquired with Precisionaire, and the mass-production processes for bonded carbon high-mass zero-density products. During 2006, we built our first fully automated production lines which are expected to significantly reduce our labor related costs

This Outlook section, and other portions of this document, include certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of that term in Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, including, among others, those statements preceded by, following or including the words believe, expect, intend, anticipate or similar expressions. These forward-looking statements are based largely on the current expectations of management and are subject to a number of assumptions, risks and uncertainties. Our actual results could differ materially from these forward-looking statements. Important factors to consider in evaluating such forward-looking statements include those discussed in Item 1A. Risk Factors as well as:

- the shortage of reliable market data regarding the air filtration market,
- changes in external competitive market factors or in our internal budgeting process which might impact trends in our results of operations,
- anticipated working capital or other cash requirements,
- changes in our business strategy or an inability to execute our strategy due to unanticipated changes in the market,
- product obsolescence due to the development of new technologies, and
-

various competitive factors that may prevent us from competing successfully in the marketplace.

In light of these risks and uncertainties, there can be no assurance that the events contemplated by the forward-looking statements contained in this Form 10-K will in fact occur.

Item 7A.

Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk (dollar amounts in thousands)

We are exposed to various market risks, primarily changes in interest rates. Market risk is the potential loss arising from adverse change in market rates and prices, such as foreign currency exchange and interest rates. For Flanders, these exposures are primarily related to changes in interest rates. We do not hold any derivatives or other financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

The fair value of the Company's total long-term debt, including capital leases and current maturities of long-term debt, at December 31, 2006 was \$42,880. Market risk was estimated as the potential decrease (increase) in future earnings and cash flows resulting from a hypothetical 10% increase (decrease) in the Company's estimated weighted average borrowing rate at December 31, 2006. Although most of the interest on the Company's debt is indexed to a market rate, there would be no material effect on the future earnings or cash flows related to the Company's total debt for such a hypothetical change.

We have only a limited involvement with derivative financial instruments. We have two interest-rate swap agreements to hedge against the potential impact on earnings from increases in market interest rates of two variable rate bonds. Under the interest rate swap agreements, we receive or make payments on a monthly basis, based on the differential between 5.14% and a tax exempt interest rate as determined by a remarketing agent. This interest rate swap is accounted for as a cash flow hedge in accordance with SFAS 133 and SFAS 138. Gains or losses related to inefficiencies of the cash flow hedge were included in net income during the period related to hedge ineffectiveness. The tax affected fair market value of the interest rate swap of \$698 is included in Accumulated other comprehensive loss on the balance sheet. The interest rate swap contracts expire in 2013 and 2015.

The Company's financial position is not materially affected by fluctuations in currencies against the U.S. dollar, since assets held outside the United States are negligible. Risks due to changes in foreign currency exchange rates are negligible, as the preponderance of our foreign sales occur over short periods of time or are demarcated in U.S. dollars.

Item 8.

Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Beginning at page F-1.

Item 9.

Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

Not applicable

Item 9A.

Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our President and Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of our year ended December 31, 2006 pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(b). Based upon that evaluation, our President and Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of the end of our year ended December 31, 2006, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

The term disclosure controls and procedures, as defined under the Exchange Act, means controls and other procedures of an issuer that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the issuer in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by an issuer in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the issuer's management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the year ended December 31, 2006 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

MANAGEMENT'S ANNUAL REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Our management, under the supervision and with the participation of our President and Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f). Our management has assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006. Management's evaluation was based on criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in *Internal Control-Integrated Framework*. Internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Our internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that:

- (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect our transactions and dispositions of our assets;
- (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and board of directors; and
- (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on our financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and may not prevent or detect all misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Based on the COSO criteria and our management's evaluation, our management believes our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006 was effective.

Our independent registered public accounting firm, Pender Newkirk & Co., LLC, has audited the financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and has issued an attestation report on management's assessment of our internal control over financial reporting as well as on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. This report appears in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Important Considerations

The effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures and our internal control over financial reporting is subject to various inherent limitations, including cost limitations, judgments used in decision making, assumptions about the likelihood of future events, the soundness of our systems, the possibility of human error, and the risk of fraud. Moreover, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions and the risk that the degree of compliance with policies or procedures may deteriorate over time. Because of these limitations, there can be no assurance that any system of disclosure controls and procedures or internal control over financial reporting will be successful in preventing all errors or fraud or in making all material information known in a timely manner to the appropriate levels of management.

Item 9B. Other

Not applicable

PART III

Item 10.

Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance of the Registrant

IDENTIFICATION OF DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Set forth below is information regarding (i) the current directors of the Company, who will serve until the next annual meeting of shareholders or until their successors are elected or appointed and qualified, and (ii) the current executive officers of the Company, who are elected to serve at the discretion of the Board of Directors.

Name

Age

Title

Steven K. Clark

54

Chief Executive Officer and Director

James L. Buddy Mercer

62

Vice President Operations

John W. Hodson

44

Chief Financial Officer

Robert Amerson

56

Chairman of the Board

Robert Kelly Barnhill. Sr.

67

Independent Director

William D. Mitchum, Jr.

59

Independent Director

David M. Mock

54

Independent Director

Peter Fredericks

49

Independent Director

Steven K. Clark. Mr. Clark was the Chief Financial officer and Director from December 1995 through December of 2004. He became the Chief Executive officer in December 2004. Mr. Clark acted as a consultant from November, 1995 through December, 1995. From July 1992 through October 1995, he was the Chief Financial Officer of Daw Technologies, Inc., a specialty cleanroom contractor and customer of the Company. Prior to this he was a senior partner of Miller and Clark, an accounting and management services firm. Mr. Clark spent four years with Price Waterhouse, and an additional four years with Arthur Andersen, both accounting firms. He has Bachelor of Arts degrees in Accounting and Political Science and a Master of Business Administration Degree, all from the University of Utah.

James L. Buddy Mercer. Mr. Mercer has been Vice President Operations for the Company since December 2001. He has direct responsibility for all plant manufacturing operations. Prior to December 2001, beginning in 1998, he was a general manager for Precisionaire, a subsidiary of the Company. From 1967 through 1997, Mr. Mercer worked at Purolator Air Products, a competitor, working in several positions, up through plant manager.

John W. Hodson. Mr. Hodson has been the Chief Financial Officer of Precisionaire, Inc. and several other Flanders Subsidiaries since October 1999. He became the Chief Financial Officer of Flanders Corporation in December of 2004. He has direct responsibility for overseeing the Corporate accounting department, the financial reporting process

and the day to day financial operations of the company. From 1986 through October 1999 he worked primarily as a public accountant for various CPA firms including Price Waterhouse and several smaller firms. He is a Certified Public Accountant in the states of Florida and North Carolina and has a Bachelor of Science degree in Accounting from the University of Central Florida.

Robert Amerson. Mr. Amerson has been President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company since 1987 but retired from these positions in December of 2004 and now Mr. Amerson is the Chairman of the Board of Directors. He has held a director position since 1988. Mr. Amerson has a Bachelor of Science degree in Business Administration from Atlantic Christian College.

Robert Kelly Barnhill, Sr. Mr. Barnhill was appointed as a director in December of 2004. Mr. Barnhill is President of Hendrix-Barnhill Co. Inc., a licensed contractor specializing in the construction of public utilities. Mr. Barnhill has served on the Board of Governors of Greenville Country Club and is the former President of the University City Kiwanis Club. Mr. Barnhill also served as the Pitt County Commissioner and currently serves on the North Carolina Licensing Board for General Contractors, the North Carolina State Engineering Foundation, and is the Vice Chairman of the Pitt Memorial Hospital Foundation. Mr. Barnhill received his degree in Civil Engineering from the North Carolina State University.

William D. Mitchum, Jr. Mr. Mitchum was appointed as a director in December of 2004. Mr. Mitchum is the President and Chief Executive Officer of Mercer Glass Co., Inc., duties he has held since 1981. Prior to this he was the Executive Vice President of Hardware Suppliers of America, Inc. and Eastern Millwork. Mr. Mitchum has served as Director of Local Board BB&T and Local Board First Union National. He is currently Director of Regional Advisory Board Wachovia Bank. Mr. Mitchum received his Bachelor of Science and MBA degrees from East Carolina University.

David M. Mock. Mr. Mock was appointed as a Director in August 2003 and affirmed by the shareholders in December 2003. Mr. Mock is a general partner with GMG Capital Partner, a New York-based investment firm, which he co-founded in 1997. Prior to joining GMS/GMG Partners, Mr. Mock was a private investor pursuing an investment strategy similar to that of GMG. Mr. Mock is currently Chairman of the Board of Captus Networks as well as serving as Director or Executive Officer to several other companies including Alloptic, Inc., Forum Systems, and Connecting Point, Inc. Mr. Mock holds an Bachelor of Arts Degree in Accounting from the University of Utah and is considered an audit committee financial expert.

Peter Fredericks. Mr. Fredericks has been an independent director since April 2002. Mr. Fredericks is a private equity investor, and has been involved in business management, equity investment, and consulting since 1982. Mr.

Fredericks' experience includes working as a strategy consultant with the Boston Consulting Group. Mr. Fredericks received his Bachelor of Arts degree in Economics with distinction from Stanford University, his Masters in Business Administration from Harvard University, where he was a Baker Scholar, and his Ph.D. from the Vienna University of Economics and Business Administration.

Our Corporate Governance Practices

At Flanders Corporation we have always believed in strong and effective corporate governance procedures and practices. In that spirit, we have summarized several of our corporate governance practices below.

Adopting Governance Guidelines

The Board of Directors has adopted a set of corporate governance guidelines to establish a framework within which it will conduct its business and to guide management in its running of your Company. The governance guidelines can be found on our website at www.flanderscorp.com and are summarized below.

Monitoring Board Effectiveness

It is important that our Board of Directors and its Committees are performing effectively and in the best interest of the Company and its stockholders. The Board of Directors and each Committee are responsible for annually assessing their effectiveness in fulfilling their obligations. In addition, our Nominating and Governance Committee is charged with annually reviewing the Board of Directors and its membership.

Conducting Formal Independent Director Sessions

At the conclusion of each regularly scheduled Board meeting, the independent Directors meet without Flanders Corporation management or any non-independent Directors.

Hiring Outside Advisors

The Board and each of its Committees may retain outside advisors and consultants of their choosing at the Company's expense, without management's consent.

Avoiding Conflicts of Interest

Flanders Corporation expects its Directors, executives and employees to conduct themselves with the highest degree of integrity, ethics and honesty. Flanders Corporation's credibility and reputation depend upon the good judgment, ethical standards and personal integrity of each Director, executive and employee. In order to provide assurances to Flanders Corporation and its stockholders, Flanders Corporation has implemented standards of business conduct which provide clear conflict of interest guidelines to its employees, as well as an explanation of reporting and investigatory procedures.

**Providing
Transparency**

Flanders Corporation believes it is important that stockholders understand our governance practices. In order to help ensure transparency of our practices, we have posted information regarding our corporate governance procedures on our website at www.flanderscorp.com.

**Communications
with the Board of
Directors**

Although Flanders Corporation does not have a formal policy regarding communications with the Board of Directors, stockholders may communicate with the Board of Directors by writing to the Company at Flanders Corporation, Attention: Investor Relations, 2399 26th Avenue North, Saint Petersburg, FL 33713. Stockholders who would like their submission directed to a member of the Board may so specify, and the communication will be forwarded, as appropriate.

**Standards of
Business Conduct**

The Board of Directors has adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics for all of the Company's employees and Directors, including the Company's principal executive and senior financial officers. You can obtain a copy of our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics via our website at www.flanderscorp.com, or by making a written request to the Company at Flanders Corporation, Attention: Investor Relations, 2399 26th Avenue North, Saint Petersburg, FL 33713. We will disclose any amendments to the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, or waiver of a provision therefrom, on our website at the same address.

**Ensuring Auditor
Independence**

Flanders Corporation has taken a number of steps to ensure the continued independence of our outside auditors. Our independent auditors report directly to the Audit Committee, which also has the ability to pre-approve or reject any non-audit services proposed to be conducted by our outside auditors.

Item 11.

Executive Compensation

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Overview

The goal of our named executive officer compensation program is the same as our goal for operating the company—to create long-term value for our shareowners. Toward this goal, we have designed and implemented our compensation programs for our named executives to reward them for sustained financial and operating performance and leadership

excellence, to align their interests with those of our shareowners and to encourage them to remain with the company for long and productive careers. Most of our compensation elements simultaneously fulfill one or more of our performance, alignment and retention objectives. These elements consist of salary and annual bonus, equity incentive compensation, a long-term performance program driven by the achievement of objective financial performance criteria, contingent earnings on deferred salary, retirement and other benefits. In deciding on the type and amount of compensation for each executive, we focus on both current pay and the opportunity for future compensation. We combine the compensation elements for each executive in a manner we believe optimizes the executive's contribution to the company.

Compensation Objectives

Performance. Our four executives who are identified in the Summary Compensation Table (whom we refer to as our named executives) have held different positions and been promoted to increasing levels of responsibility. The amount of compensation for each named executive reflects his superior management experience, continued high performance and exceptional career of service to the company over a long period of time. A key element of compensation that depends upon the named executive's performance is:

- equity incentive compensation in the form of stock options, the value of which is contingent upon the performance of the Flanders Corporation share price.

Base salary and bonus are designed to reward annual achievements and be commensurate with the executive's scope of responsibilities, demonstrated leadership abilities, and management experience and effectiveness. Our other elements of compensation focus on motivating and challenging the executive to achieve superior, longer-term, sustained results.

Alignment. We seek to align the interests of the named executives with those of our investors by evaluating executive performance on the basis of key financial measurements which we believe closely correlate to long-term shareowner value, including revenue, organic revenue, operating profit, earnings per share, operating margins, return on total equity or total capital, cash flow from operating activities and total shareholder return. A key element of compensation that align the interests of the named executives with shareowners is:

- equity incentive compensation, which links a significant portion of compensation to shareowner value because the total value of those awards corresponds to stock price appreciation and dividend rate.

Implementing Our Objectives

Long-Term Incentive Plan

During 1996, the Company adopted the Long Term Incentive Plan (LTI Plan) to assist the Company in securing and retaining key employees and consultants. The LTI Plan authorizes grants of incentive stock options, nonqualified stock options, stock appreciation rights (SARs), restricted stock performance shares and dividend equivalents to officers and key employees of the Company and outside consultants to the Company. There are 1,987,000 shares of Common Stock reserved for award under the LTI Plan. During 2006, 2005 and 2004, the Company awarded options to purchase 0, 665,000 and 630,000 shares of Common Stock under the LTI Plan, respectively.

The LTI Plan is administered by the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee determines the total number and type of awards granted in any year, the number and selection of employees or consultants to receive awards, the number and type of awards granted to each grantee and the other terms and provisions of the awards, subject to the limitations set forth in the LTI Plan.

Stock Option Grants. The Compensation Committee has the authority to select individuals who are to receive options under the LTI Plan and to specify the terms and conditions of each option so granted (incentive or nonqualified), the exercise price (which must be at least equal to the fair market value of the common stock on the date of grant with respect to incentive stock options), the vesting provisions and the option term. Unless otherwise provided by the Compensation Committee, any option granted under the LTI Plan expires the earlier of five years from the date of grant or, three months after the optionee's termination of service with the Company if the termination of employment is attributable to (i) disability, (ii) retirement, or (iii) any other reason, or 15 months after the optionee's death. We do not have a program for the timing of Stock Option grants. As of December 31, 2006, there are 1,475,000 options outstanding under the LTI Plan.

Stock Appreciation Rights. The Compensation Committee may grant SARs separately or in tandem with a stock option award. A SAR is an incentive award that permits the holder to receive (per share covered thereby) an equal amount by which the fair market value of a share of common stock on the date of exercise exceeds the fair market value of such share on the date the SAR was granted. Under the LTI Plan, the Company may pay such amount in cash, in common stock or a combination of both. Unless otherwise provided by the Compensation Committee at the time of grant, the provisions of the LTI Plan relating to the termination of employment of a holder of a stock option will apply equally, to the extent applicable, to the holder of a SAR. A SAR granted in tandem with a related option will generally have the same terms and provisions as the related option with respect to exercisability. A SAR granted separately will have such terms as the Compensation Committee may determine, subject to the provisions of the LTI Plan. As of December 31, 2006, no SARs are outstanding under the LTI Plan.

Performance Shares. The Compensation Committee is authorized under the LTI Plan to grant performance shares to selected employees. Performance shares are rights granted to employees to receive cash, stock, or other property, the payment of which is contingent upon achieving certain performance goals established by the Compensation Committee. As of December 31, 2006, no performance shares are outstanding under the LTI Plan.

Restricted Stock Awards. The Compensation Committee is authorized under the LTI Plan to issue shares of restricted common stock to eligible participants on such terms and conditions and subject to such restrictions, if any, outstanding under the LTI Plan. As of December 31, 2006, no restricted shares have been awarded under the LTI Plan.

Dividend Equivalents. The Compensation Committee may also grant dividend equivalent rights to participants subject to such terms and conditions as may be selected by the Compensation Committee. Dividend equivalent rights entitle the holder to receive payments equal to dividends with respect to all or a portion of the number of shares of stock subject to an option award or SARs, as determined by the Committee. As of December 31, 2006, no dividend equivalents are outstanding under the LTI Plan.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance. Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires the Company's officers and directors, and persons who own more than ten percent of a registered class of the Company's equity securities, to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership with the Securities and Exchange

Commission. Officers and directors are required by SEC regulation to furnish the Company with copies of all Section 16(a) forms filed. Based solely upon review of copies of such forms, or written representations that there were no unreported holdings or transactions, other than a late Form 5/A for Robert Amerson filed on February 22, 2007 related to the disposition of 500,000 shares in settlement of litigation, the Company believes that for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2006 all Section 16(a) filing requirements applicable to its officers and directors were complied with on a timely basis.

REPORT OF THE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

General

The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors is currently composed of four independent directors, Messrs. Fredericks, Mitchum, Barnhill and Mock who have no interlocking relationships (as defined by the SEC), the Board Chairman and CEO, Mr. Amerson, who recuses himself from votes and discussions on his own compensation.

We are engaged in highly competitive businesses and compete nationally for personnel at the executive and technical staff level. Outstanding candidates are aggressively recruited, often at premium salaries. Highly qualified employees are essential to our success. We are committed to providing competitive compensation that helps attract, retain, and motivate the highly skilled people we require. We strongly believe that a considerable portion of the compensation for the Chief Executive Officer and other top executives must be tied to the achievement of business objectives, completing acquisitions, and to business unit and overall financial performance, both current and long-term.

Executive Compensation

Our executive compensation program is administered by the Compensation Committee. The role of the Compensation Committee is to review and approve salaries and other compensation of the executive officers of the Company, to administer the Long-Term Incentive Plan, and to review and approve stock option grants to all employees including the executive officers of the Company.

General Compensation Philosophy

Our compensation philosophy is that total cash compensation should vary with the performance of the Company and any long-term incentive should be closely aligned with the interest of the stockholders. Total cash compensation for the executive officers consists of the following components:

Base salary

An executive officer bonus that is related to growth in sales and operating earnings of the Company.

Long-term incentives are realized through the granting of stock options to executives and key employees through the LTI Plan. We have also granted certain non-qualified options to our executive officers. We have no other long-term incentive plans for our officers and employees.

Base Salary and Executive Officer Bonus Target

Current base salaries for the executive officers were determined by arms length negotiations with the Board of Directors. Messr. Clark has an employment contracts with the Company which sets a base salary and allows for bonus targets and levels to be set at the sole discretion of this committee. During 2006, none of the executive officers reached their bonus targets, hence no bonuses were awarded to executive officers in 2006, nor will bonuses be awarded in 2007 for performance in 2006.

Chief Executive Officer Compensation

The current base salary for the Chief Executive Officer of \$350,000 is set according to his employment contract, which also includes provision for annual bonuses at the sole discretion of this committee. No bonuses were awarded to the Chief Executive Officer in 2006 and none were accrued based upon 2006 performance.

Stock Options

Stock options are granted to aid in the retention of executive and key employees and to align the interests of executive and key employees with those of the stockholders. The level of stock options granted (i.e., the number of shares subject to each stock option grant) is based on the employee's ability to impact future corporate results. An employee's ability to impact future corporate results depends on the level and amount of job responsibility of the individual. Therefore, the level of stock options granted is proportional to the Compensation Committee's evaluation of each employee's job responsibility. For example, Steven K. Clark, as the Chief Executive Officer, has the highest level of responsibility and would typically be awarded the highest level of stock options. Stock options are granted at a price not less than the fair market value on the date granted.

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

The following table sets forth the aggregate cash compensation paid by the Company for services rendered during the last three years to the Company's Named Executive Officers.

| Name and Principal Position | Year | Salary (\$) | Bonus (\$) | Stock Awards (\$) | Option Awards (\$) | Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$) | Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation (\$) | All Other Compensation (\$) |
|--|-------------------|-------------|------------|-------------------|--------------------|---|---|-----------------------------|
| Steven K. Clark Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer) | 2006 ¹ | 350,000 | — | — | — | — | — | 8,389 |
| | 2005 | 328,846 | — | — | — | — | — | 9,574 |
| | 2004 | 250,000 | — | — | — | — | — | 11,041 |
| Robert Amerson Chairman of the Board of Directors ² | 2006 ¹ | 350,000 | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| | 2005 | 328,846 | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| | 2004 | 259,615 | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| John W. Hodson Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer) | 2006 | 127,153 | — | — | — | — | — | 3,857 |
| | 2005 | 107,346 | — | — | — | — | — | 4,431 |
| | 2004 | 107,154 | — | — | — | — | — | 4,050 |
| James B. "Buddy" Mercer Vice President Operations | 2006 | 119,899 | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| | 2005 | 118,247 | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| | 2004 | 118,247 | — | — | — | — | — | — |

¹ Mr. Amerson and Mr. Clark each had an annual salary of \$350,000, plus a possible bonus each year, under their respective Employment Agreements, as amended.

² Mr. Amerson is the Ex-CEO of the Company.

GRANTS OF PLAN BASED AWARDS TABLE

The following tables set forth the number of securities underlying stock options granted to the named executive officers under the Company's stock option plans during the year ended December 31, 2006.

| Name | Grant Date | Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards | | | Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards | | | All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units (#) | All Other Option Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units (#) | Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards (\$ / Sh) |
|--------------|------------|---|-------------|--------------|---|-------------|--------------|--|---|---|
| | | Threshold (\$) | Target (\$) | Maximum (\$) | Threshold (\$) | Target (\$) | Maximum (\$) | | | |
| None Granted | | | | | | | | | | |

OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED TABLE

The following table sets forth the aggregate number and value of stock options at year end by the Company's Named Executive Officers.

| Name | Option Awards | | Stock Awards | |
|-------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|
| | Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#) | Value Realized on Exercise (\$) | Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#) | Value Realized on Vesting (\$) |
| Steven K. Clark | – | \$ – | – | \$ – |
| Robert R. Amerson | – | \$ – | – | \$ – |
| John W. Hodson | – | \$ – | – | \$ – |
| James L. "Buddy" Mercer | – | \$ – | – | \$ – |

OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR END TABLE

The following table discloses information regarding outstanding awards under stock option plans as of December 31, 2006. The table omits any Stock Award columns because the Company does not have any Stock Award Plans.

| Name | Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable | Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable | Equity Incentive Plan awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Unearned Options | Option Exercise Price (\$) | Option Expiration Date |
|-------------------|---|---|--|----------------------------|------------------------|
| Robert R. Amerson | 1,000,000 | | | \$ 2.50 | 12/22/09 |
| | 1,000,000 | | | \$ 7.50 | 11/07/11 |
| Steven K. Clark | 1,000,000 | | | \$ 2.50 | 12/22/09 |
| | 1,000,000 | | | \$ 7.50 | 11/07/11 |
| | 500,000 | | | \$ 8.60 | 8/24/09 |
| | 500,000 | | | \$ 11.10 | 12/6/10 |
| John W. Hodson | 40,000 | | | \$ 1.50 | 10/31/07 |
| | 20,000 | | | \$ 2.52 | 5/23/08 |
| | 20,000 | | | \$ 5.00 | 10/3/08 |
| | 20,000 | | | \$ 5.21 | 3/15/09 |
| | 20,000 | | | \$ 8.60 | 8/24/09 |
| | 30,000 | | | \$ 11.10 | 12/6/10 |
| James L. Mercer | 60,000 | | | \$ 2.52 | 5/23/08 |
| | 20,000 | | | \$ 5.00 | 10/3/08 |
| | 20,000 | | | \$ 5.21 | 3/15/09 |
| | 20,000 | | | \$ 8.60 | 8/24/09 |

The Pension Benefits Table and the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Table are omitted as they are inapplicable as the Company has no pension plans and deferred compensation arrangements.

COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS

Directors who are Company employees receive no additional or special remuneration for serving as Directors. The Company's non-employee Directors are paid \$500 plus out-of-pocket expenses for each meeting of the Board of Directors. After being a Director for at least six months, each non-employee Director receives an automatic option to purchase 5,000 shares of the Company's common stock on the first business day at the beginning of the following year. Each current non-employee Director serving also received an option to purchase 50,000 shares of common stock upon their appointment to the Board.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION TABLE

The following table set forth all material Director compensation information during the year ended December 31, 2006.

| Name | Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$) ¹ | Stock Awards (\$) | Option Awards (\$) ² | All Other Compensation | Total ³ |
|----------------------------|---|-------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| William D. Mitchum, Jr. | 2,000 | | \$ 28,491 | | \$ 30,491 |
| David Mock | 2,000 | | \$ 28,491 | | \$ 30,491 |
| Robert Kelly Barnhill, Sr. | 2,000 | | \$ 28,491 | | \$ 30,491 |
| Peter Fredericks | 2,000 | | \$ 28,491 | | \$ 30,491 |

¹ This column reports the amount of cash compensation earned in 2006 for Board and committee service.

² This column represents the dollar amount recognized for financial statement reporting purposes with respect to the 2006 fiscal year for the fair value of stock options granted to the directors in 2006. The fair value was estimated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model in accordance with SFAS 123R. The weighted average fair value per option was \$5.70.

³ The Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation and the Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation columns are not applicable and are omitted from this table.

EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENTS

Messrs. Amerson and Clark have employment agreements effective as of December 15, 1995 (Employment Agreements). The Employment Agreements, as amended, provide for an annual base salary of \$350,000 for both Mr. Amerson and Mr. Clark and terminate in 2010. The Employment Agreements also provide that the executive shall be entitled to the following termination payments: (i) 100% of his current base salary if the employment is terminated as a result of his death or disability; (ii) up to 200% of his current base salary if the employment is terminated by the Company for any reason other than death, disability or for cause, or (iii) up to 250% of the executive's gross income during the year preceding his termination if the Employment Agreement is terminated by the executive for good reason or by the Company for any reason other than death, disability or cause and the termination occurs within two years after a change of control of the Company has occurred.

Messr. Hodson has a three year employment contract dated January 1, 2005. The employment contract provides for an annual base salary of 120K and a discretionary bonus. The Employment Agreement also provides for 200% of his base salary upon termination by the company for any reason other than death or disability. This contract terminates December 31, 2007.

OTHER INFORMATION REGARDING THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Board Meetings and Committees

During 2006, the Board of Directors met four times and also executed various consent resolutions and written actions in lieu of meetings. Additionally, all directors were present, either in person or by proxy, at the Fiscal 2005 Annual Meeting of the Shareholders held in December of 2006.

The Board of Directors currently has an Audit Committee and a Compensation Committee. The Audit Committee reviews the results and scope of the audit and other services provided by the Company's independent auditors, reviews and evaluates the Company's internal audit and control functions, monitors transactions between the Company and its employees, officers and directors, and completes other functions as required by the Public Company Accounting Reform and Investor Protection Act (the Sarbanes-Oxley Act) passed in 2002. David Mock is considered the audit committee financial expert. The Compensation Committee administers the Company's equity incentive plans and designates compensation levels for executive officers and directors of the Company. The Audit Committee met four times during 2006. The Compensation Committee met one time during 2006.

Currently, the Audit Committee consists of Messrs. Mock, Fredericks, Barnhill, and Mitchum, with Mr. Mock serving as Chair. The Compensation Committee consists of Messrs. Mitchum, Barnhill, Fredericks, Mock, Clark and Amerson. Mr. Mitchum serves as Chair and Mr. Clark and Mr. Amerson serve as non-voting advisory members.

Item 12.

Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

The following table sets forth all individuals known by the Company to beneficially own 5% or more of the Company's common stock, and all officers and directors of the registrant, with the amount and percentage of stock beneficially owned, as of December 31, 2006. Except as indicated in the following footnotes, each listed beneficial

owner has sole voting and investment power over the shares of common stock held in their names.

| Name and Address of Beneficial Owner | Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned | Percentage of Outstanding Shares of Common Stock ⁽¹⁾ |
|---|---|---|
| Robert R. Amerson ⁽²⁾ 531 Flanders Filters Road Washington, NC 27889 | 7,059,870 | 28.70% |
| | 5,995,183 | 22.76% |
| Steven K. Clark ⁽³⁾ 2399 26th Avenue North Saint Petersburg, Florida 33713 | | |
| John W. Hodson ⁽⁴⁾ | 172,952 | * |
| William D. Mitchum, Jr. ⁽⁵⁾ | 74,900 | * |
| David Mock ⁽⁶⁾ | 97,700 | * |
| James L. Buddy Mercer | 120,000 | * |
| Robert Kelly Barnhill, Sr. ⁽⁸⁾ | 60,000 | * |
| Peter Fredericks ⁽⁹⁾ | 77,810 | * |
| Heartland Advisors 789 North Water Street Milwaukee, WI 53202 | 1,754,044 | 6.67% |
| Atlas Master Fund, Ltd PO Box 908 GT George Town, Grand Cayman Cayman Islands, British West Indies | 1,317,997 | 5.00% |
| Officers and Directors as a group | 14,143,515 | 53.69% |

(8 persons) (2), (3), (4), (5), (6), (7), (8), (9)

*

Represents less than 1% of the total issued and outstanding shares of common stock.

(1)

Applicable percentage of ownership is based on 26,342,670 shares of common stock outstanding as of December 31, 2006, together with all applicable options for unissued securities for such shareholders exercisable within 60 days. Shares of common stock subject to options exercisable within 60 days are deemed outstanding for computing the percentage ownership of the person holding such options, but are not deemed outstanding for computing the percentage of any other person.

(2)

Includes 1,000,000 shares which are subject to an option to purchase such shares from the Company at \$2.50 per share. These options expire in 2009. Also includes 1,000,000 shares which are subject to an option to purchase such shares from the Company at \$7.50 per share. These options expire in 2011. These options expire in 2011.

(3)

Includes 1,000,000 shares which are subject to an option to purchase such shares from the Company at \$2.50 per share. These options expire in 2009. Also includes 500,000 shares which are subject to an option to purchase such shares from the Company at \$8.60 per share. These options expire in 2009. Also includes 1,000,000 shares which are subject to an option to purchase such shares from the Company at \$7.50 per share. These options expire in 2011. Also includes 500,000 shares which are subject to an option to purchase such shares from the Company at \$11.10 per share. These options expire in 2010.

(4)

Includes 40,000 shares which are subject to an option to purchase such shares from the Company at \$1.50 per share. These options expire in 2007. Also includes 20,000 shares which are subject to an option to purchase such shares from the Company at \$5.00 per share. These options expire in 2008. Also includes 20,000 shares which are subject to an option to purchase such shares from the Company at \$2.52 per share. These options expire in 2008. Also includes 20,000 shares which are subject to an option to purchase such shares from the Company at \$5.21 share. These options expire in 2009. Also includes 20,000 shares which are subject to an option to purchase such shares from the Company at \$8.60 per share. These options expire in 2009. Also includes 30,000 shares which are subject to an option to purchase such shares from the Company at \$11.10 per share. These options expire in 2010.

(5)

Includes 55,000 shares which are subject to an option to purchase such shares from the Company at \$11.01 per share. These options expire in 2010. Also includes 5,000 shares which are subject to an option to purchase such shares from the Company at \$11.72 per share. These options expire in 2011.

(6)

Includes 50,000 shares which are subject to an option to purchase such shares from the Company at \$4.37 per share. These options expire in 2008. Also includes 5,000 shares which are subject to an option to purchase such shares from the Company at \$11.01 per share. These options expire in 2010. Also includes 5,000 shares which are subject to an option to purchase such shares from the Company at \$11.72 per share. These options expire in 2011.

(7)

Includes 60,000 shares which are subject to an option to purchase such shares from the Company at \$2.52 per share. Also includes 20,000 shares which are subject to an option to purchase such shares from the Company at \$5.00 per share. These options expire in 2008. Also includes 20,000 shares which are subject to an option to purchase such shares from the Company at \$5.21 per share. Also includes 20,000 shares which are subject to an option to purchase such shares from the Company at \$8.60 per share. These options expire in 2009.

(8)

Includes 55,000 shares which are subject to an option to purchase such shares from the Company at \$11.01 per share. These options expire in 2010. Also includes 5,000 shares which are subject to an option to purchase such shares from the Company at \$11.72 per share. These options expire in 2011.

(9)

Includes 27,000 shares which are subject to an option to purchase such shares from the Company at \$1.74 per share. These options expire in 2012. Also includes 5,000 shares which are subject to an option to purchase such shares from the Company at \$1.77 per share. These options expire in 2013. Also includes 5,000 shares which are subject to an option to purchase such shares from the Company at \$6.49 per share. These options expire in 2014. Also includes 5,000 shares which are subject to an option to purchase such shares from the Company at \$11.01 per share. These options expire in 2015. Also includes 5,000 shares which are subject to an option to purchase such shares from the Company at \$11.72 per share. These options expire in 2016.

Item 13.

Certain Relationships and Related Transactions (dollar amounts in thousands)

Item 12.

Review and Approval of Related Person Transactions.

The Audit Committee reviews all relationships and transactions in which the company and our directors and executive officers or their immediate family members are participants to determine whether such persons have a direct or indirect material interest. The company's legal consultant is primarily responsible for the development and implementation of processes and controls to obtain information from the directors and executive officers with respect to related person transactions and for then determining, based on the facts and circumstances, whether the company or a related person has a direct or indirect material interest in the transaction. As required under SEC rules, transactions that are determined to be directly or indirectly material to the company or a related person are disclosed in the company's proxy statement. In addition, the Audit Committee reviews and approves or ratifies any related person transaction that is required to be disclosed. As set forth in the Audit Committee's key practices, in the course of its review and approval or ratification of a disclosable related party transaction, the committee considers:

the nature of the related person's interest in the transaction;

the material terms of the transaction, including, without limitation, the amount and type of transaction;

the importance of the transaction to the related person;

the importance of the transaction to the company;

whether the transaction would impair the judgment of a director or executive officer to act in the best interest of the company; and

any other matters the committee deems appropriate.

Any member of the Audit Committee who is a related person with respect to a transaction under review may not participate in the deliberations or vote respecting approval or ratification of the transaction, provided, however, that such director may be counted in determining the presence of a quorum at a meeting of the committee that considers the transaction.

At December 31, 2006, Steven K. Clark owed the Company \$5,194 of principal and accrued interest which he previously borrowed to make certain payments under an indemnity agreement he entered into with the Company, to exercise options and to purchase certain shares. This debt is evidenced by a note which bears interest at LIBOR plus 1%, and is due in full on December 31, 2010, or on demand by the Company if he terminates employment, and is secured by common stock.

At December 31, 2006, Robert R. Amerson owed the Company \$3,066 of principal and accrued interest, which he previously borrowed, the majority of which was used to settle claims, to make certain payments under an indemnity agreement he entered into with the Company, to purchase certain shares and for other unspecified reasons. This debt is evidenced by a note which bears interest at LIBOR plus 1%, and is due in full on December 31, 2010, or on demand by the Company if he terminates employment, and is secured by common stock.

On January 2, 2001, the Company purchased and leased back \$797 in manufacturing equipment from Superior Diecutting, Inc., a vendor 50% owned by two officer and directors. The Company also loaned this supplier \$500, secured by a building and used to pay off an existing mortgage, and \$400 to repay a credit line secured by inventory, receivables and other current assets. The Company made payments of \$16,301, \$10,951 and \$6,933 in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, to this supplier for purchases of raw materials. At December 31, 2006 and 2005, the Company owed a total of \$2,237 and \$3,873, respectively, to this vendor. At December 31, 2006, Superior Diecutting, Inc. owed the Company \$0 due to the Company purchasing inventory on behalf of Superior Diecutting, Inc. The accounts and operations of this related entity have been consolidated, thus, the financial effects of these transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

As of December 31, 2006 the Company has entered into nine operating leases expiring either in 2025 or 2026 with Wal-Pat II. Wal-Pat II is owned by Robert R. Amerson and Steven K. Clark (fifty percent each). The monthly payments to Wal-Pat II total \$250. The Company made payments of \$2,816, \$1,067 and \$1,041 in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The Company believes these leases are at prevailing market rates.

Item 14.

Principal Accountant Fees and Services (dollar amounts in thousands)

Audit Related Fees

Our principal accountants billed us an aggregate of \$261 and \$266 in fees and expenses for professional services rendered in connection with the audits of our financial statements for the calendar years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and reviews of the financial statements included in our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q during such calendar years.

Our principal accountants did not bill us any additional fees that are not disclosed under audit fees in each of the last two calendar years for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of our audit or review of our financial statements.

Tax Fees

Our principal accountants billed us an aggregate of \$26 and \$61 in fees and expenses for tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning during calendar years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

All Other Fees

Our principal accountants billed us an aggregate of \$24 and \$34 in fees and expenses during calendar years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively, for products and services other than those products and services described above. These services consist of the following:

-

Audit of profit sharing plan and discussions regarding Sarbanes Oxley 404.

Audit Committee Pre-Approval Process, Policies and Procedures

The appointment of Pender Newkirk & Co., LLP was approved by our Audit Committee and full Board of Directors. Our principal auditors have performed their audit procedures in accordance with pre-approved policies and procedures established by our Audit Committee. Our principal auditors have informed our Audit Committee of the scope and nature of each service provided. With respect to the provisions of services other than audit, review, or attest services, our principal accountants brought such services to the attention of our Audit Committee, or one or more members of our Audit Committee for the members of our Board of Directors to whom authority to grant such approval had been delegated by the Audit Committee, prior to commencing such services. Such services primarily consisted of tax related services.

Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers

The code of ethics established by the Company for its Senior Financial Officers is required to be signed by each such officer, is maintained on file by the Company, and incorporates the following:

Senior Financial Officers hold an important and elevated role in corporate governance. While members of the management team, they are uniquely capable and empowered to ensure that all stakeholder's interests are appropriately

balanced, protected and preserved. This Code provides principles to which Flanders Corporation Officers are expected to adhere and advocate. They embody rules regarding individual and peer responsibilities, as well as responsibilities to employers, the public and other stakeholders. Our Senior Financial Officers agree by their signature below that they will:

1.

Act with honesty and integrity, avoiding actual or apparent conflicts of interest in personal and professional relationships.

2.

Will make reasonable efforts to comply with rules and regulations of federal, state, provincial and local governments, and other appropriate private and public regulatory agencies as known to them and will make reasonable efforts to maintain or obtain a professional level of knowledge of applicable rules and regulations.

3.

Act in good faith, responsibly, with due care, competence and diligence, without misrepresenting material facts or allowing one's independent judgment to be subordinated.

4.

Respect the confidentiality of information acquired in the course of one's work except when authorized or otherwise legally obligated to disclose. Confidential information acquired in the course of one's work will not be used for personal advantage.

PART IV

Item 15.

Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules and Reports on Form 8-K

The following constitutes a list of Financial Statements, Financial Statement Schedules and Exhibits used in this report.

(a)(1)

Financial Statements: Financial Statements are included beginning at page F-1 as follows:

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

F-2

Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2006 and 2005

F-5

Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

F-6

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

F-7

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

F-8

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

F-10

(a)(2)

Financial Statement Schedules

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on schedule

F-34

Schedule II. Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

F-35

All schedules not listed have been omitted because they are not applicable or the information has been otherwise supplied in the Registrant's financial statements and schedules.

(a)(3)

Exhibits:

3.1

Articles of Incorporation for Flanders Corporation, filed with the Form 8-A dated March 8, 1996, incorporated herein by reference.

3.2

Bylaws of Flanders Corporation, filed with the Form 8-A dated March 8, 1996, incorporated herein by reference.

10.1

Loan and Security Agreement, dated October 9, 2002, by and among Fleet Capital Corporation, Flanders Corporation, Flanders/Precisionaire Corp., Flanders Filters, Inc., Flanders/CSC Corporation, Precisionaire, Inc., Precisionaire of Utah, Inc., Eco-Air Products, Inc., Air Seal Filter Housings, Inc. and Flanders Realty Corp., filed with the Form 8-K/A dated October 21, 2002.

10.2

First Amendment to Loan and Security Agreement, dated October 18, 2002, by and among Flanders Corporation, Flanders/Precisionaire Corp., Flanders Filters, Inc., Flanders/CSC Corporation, Precisionaire, Inc., Precisionaire of Utah, Inc., Eco-Air Products, Inc., Air Seal Filter Housings, Inc., Flanders Realty Corp. and Fleet Capital Corporation, filed with the Form 8-K/A dated October 21, 2002.

10.3

Second Amendment to Loan and Security Agreement, dated November 19, 2002, by and among Flanders Corporation, Flanders/Precisionaire Corp., Flanders Filters, Inc., Flanders/CSC Corporation, Precisionaire, Inc., Precisionaire of Utah, Inc., Eco-Air Products, Inc., Air Seal Filter Housings, Inc., Flanders Realty Corp. and Fleet Capital Corporation, filed with the Form 8-K/A dated October 21, 2002.

10.3.1

Third Amendment to Loan and Security Agreement, dated September 6, 2003 by and among Flanders Corporation, Flanders/Precisionaire Corp., Flanders Filters, Inc., Flanders/CSC Corporation, Precisionaire, Inc., Precisionaire of Utah, Inc., Eco-Air Products, Inc., Air Seal Filter Housings, Inc., Flanders Realty Corp. and Fleet Capital Corporation.

10.3.2

Fourth Amendment to Loan and Security Agreement, dated December 8, 2003, by and among Flanders Corporation, Flanders/Precisionaire Corp., Flanders Filters, Inc., Flanders/CSC Corporation, Precisionaire, Inc., Precisionaire of Utah, Inc., Eco-Air Products, Inc., Air Seal Filter Housings, Inc., Flanders Realty Corp. and Fleet Capital Corporation.

10.4

Item 12.

Edgar Filing: FLANDERS CORP - Form 10-K

Support Agreement and Guaranty dated October 18, 2002, between Fleet Capital Corporation and Steven K. Clark, filed with the Form 8-K/A dated October 21, 2002.

10.5

Support Agreement and Guaranty dated October 18, 2002, between Fleet Capital Corporation and Robert Amerson, filed with the Form 8-K/A dated October 21, 2002.

10.6

Continuing Guaranty Agreement dated October 18, 2002, between Fleet Capital Corporation and Superior Diecutting, Inc., filed with the Form 8-K/A dated October 21, 2002.

10.7

Amended and Restated Continuing Guaranty dated November 26, 2002, between Fleet Capital Corporation and Superior Diecutting, Inc., filed with the Form 8-K/A dated October 21, 2002.

10.8

Loan Agreement between Will-Kankakee Regional Development Authority and Flanders Corporation dated December 15, 1997, filed with the December 31, 1997 Form 10-K, and incorporated herein by reference.

10.9

Loan Agreement between Flanders Corporation and the Johnston County Industrial Facilities and Pollution Control Financing Authority, dated April 1, 1998, filed with the Form 10-Q dated March 31, 1998, and incorporated herein by reference.

10.10

Loan Agreement between Flanders Corporation and the Johnston County Industrial Facilities and Pollution Control Financing Authority, dated March 1, 2000, filed with the Form 10-K dated December 31, 1999, and incorporated herein by reference.

10.11

Flanders Corporation 1996 Director Option Plan, filed with the Form 10-K dated December 31, 1995, and incorporated herein by reference.

10.12

Employment Agreement between Elite Acquisitions, Inc., Flanders Filters, Inc., and Steven K. Clark, filed with the December 31, 1995 Form 10-K, incorporated herein by reference.

10.13

Amendment to Employment Agreement between Elite Acquisitions, Inc., Flanders Filters, Inc., and Steven K. Clark, filed with Form S-1 dated October 21, 1996 (Reg. No. 333-14655) and incorporated herein by reference.

10.14

Item 12.

Edgar Filing: FLANDERS CORP - Form 10-K

Amendment to Employment Agreement between Elite Acquisitions, Inc., Flanders Filters, Inc., and Steven K. Clark, filed with the Form 10-K dated December 31, 1997 and incorporated herein by reference.

10.15

Amendment to Employment Agreement between Elite Acquisitions, Inc., Flanders Filters, Inc., and Steven K. Clark, filed with the Form 10-K dated December 31, 1999, and incorporated herein by reference.

10.16

Employment Agreement between Elite Acquisitions, Inc., Flanders Filters, Inc. and Robert R. Amerson, filed with the December 31, 1995 Form 10-K, incorporated herein by reference.

10.17

Amendment to Employment Agreement between Elite Acquisitions, Inc., Flanders Filters, Inc., and Robert R. Amerson, filed with Form S-1 dated October 21, 1996 (Reg. No. 333-14655) and incorporated herein by reference.

10.18

Amendment to Employment Agreement between Elite Acquisitions, Inc., Flanders Filters, Inc., and Robert R. Amerson, filed with the Form 10-K dated December 31, 1997 and incorporated herein by reference.

10.19

Amendment to Employment Agreement between Elite Acquisitions, Inc., Flanders Filters, Inc., and Robert R. Amerson, filed with the Form 10-K dated December 31, 1999, and incorporated herein by reference.

10.20

Stock Option Agreement between Flanders Corporation and Robert R. Amerson dated February 22, 1996, filed with Form S-8 on July 21, 1997, incorporated herein by reference.

10.21

Amendment to Stock Option Agreement between Flanders Corporation and Robert R. Amerson dated December 22, 1999, filed with the Form 10-K dated December 31, 1999, and incorporated herein by reference.

10.22

Stock Option Agreement between Flanders Corporation and Robert R. Amerson dated November 7, 2001, filed with Form 10-K for December 31, 2001, incorporated herein by reference.

10.23

Stock Option Agreement between Flanders Corporation and Steven K. Clark dated February 22, 1996, filed with Form S-8 on July 21, 1997, incorporated herein by reference.

10.24

Edgar Filing: FLANDERS CORP - Form 10-K

Amendment to Stock Option Agreement between Flanders Corporation and Steven K. Clark dated December 22, 1999, filed with the Form 10-K dated December 31, 1999, and incorporated herein by reference.

10.25

Stock Option Agreement between Flanders Corporation and Steven K. Clark dated November 7, 2001, filed with Form 10-K dated December 31, 2001, incorporated by reference.

10.26

Note Agreement between Steven K. Clark and Flanders Corporation, dated April 24, 1999, filed with the Form 10-K dated December 31, 1999, and incorporated herein by reference.

10.27

Note Agreement between Robert R. Amerson and Flanders Corporation, dated April 24, 1999, filed with the Form 10-K dated December 31, 1999, and incorporated herein by reference.

10.28

Stock Option Agreement between Flanders Corporation and Steven K. Clark dated August 24, 2004, filed with Form 10-K dated December 31, 2004, incorporated by reference.

10.29

Stock Option Agreement between Flanders Corporation and Steven K. Clark dated December 7, 2005, filed with Form 10-K dated December 31, 2005, incorporated by reference.

21

Subsidiaries of the Registrant.

23.1

Consent of Pender Newkirk LLP for incorporation by reference of their report into Form S-8 filed on July 21, 1997, filed herewith.

23.2

Consent of Grant Thornton LLP for incorporation by reference of their report into Form S-8 filed on July 21, 1997, filed herewith.

24

Power of Attorney (included on Signature page of this report).

32

Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

(b)

Form 8-K dated February 15 , 2006

Form 8-K dated April 24, 2006

Form 8-K dated January 27, 2005

Form 8-K dated July 20, 2006

Form 8-K dated October 23, 2006

(c)

Financial Statement Schedules: See (a) (2) above.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 of 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Dated this 5th day of March, 2007.

FLANDERS CORPORATION

By /s/ Steven K. Clark

Steven K. Clark

Item 12.

President, Chief Executive Officer,
and Director

By /s/ John W. Hodson

John W. Hodson

Chief Financial Officer

KNOW ALL PERSONS BY THESE PRESENTS that each person whose signature appears below constitutes and appoints Steven K. Clark, his attorney-in-fact, to sign any amendments to this report, and to file the same, with all exhibits thereto, and other documents in connection therewith, with the Commission, hereby ratifying and confirming all the said attorney-in-fact may lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| Signature | Title | Date |
|------------------|--|-------|
| <u>/s/</u> _____ | President, Chief Executive Officer _____ And Director | _____ |
| Steven K. Clark | Chief Financial Officer | |
| <u>/s/</u> _____ | | _____ |
| John W. Hodson | | |

/s/ _____

Director

William Mitchum, Jr.

/s/ _____

Director

Robert Kelly Barnhill, Sr.

/s/ _____

Director

David M. Mock

/s/ _____

Director

Peter Fredericks

FLANDERS CORPORATION

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Board of Directors

Flanders Corporation and Subsidiaries

St. Petersburg, Florida

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Flanders Corporation and Subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005 and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the three years ended December 31, 2006. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall consolidated financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005 and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Oversight Board (United States), the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 23, 2007 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

Pender Newkirk & Company, LLP

Certified Public Accountants

Tampa, Florida

February 23, 2007

MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

The management of the Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) or 15d-(f) promulgated under the Exchange Act as a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the Company's principal executive and principal financial officers and effected by the Company's board of directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and includes those policies and procedures that:

-

Pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company;

-

Provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and

-

Provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become

inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

The Company's management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006. In making this assessment, the Company's management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control-Integrated Framework.

Based on our assessment, management believes that, as of December 31, 2006, the Company's internal control over financial reporting is effective based on those criteria.

The Company's independent registered public accounting firm has issued an audit report on our assessment of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Board of Directors

Flanders Corporation and Subsidiaries

St. Petersburg, Florida

We have audited management's assessment, included in the accompanying Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting included in Item 9A, that Flanders Corporation and subsidiaries (the Company) maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on management's assessment and an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management's assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we

considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, management's assessment that the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on the COSO criteria. Also, in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on the COSO criteria .

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the balance sheets of the Company as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the related statements of operations, stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006 and our report dated February 23, 2007 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

Pender Newkirk & Company, LLP

Certified Public Accountants

Tampa, Florida

February 23, 2007

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**December 31,**

(In thousands, except per share data)

| ASSETS | 2006 | 2005 |
|--|-------------|-------------|
| Current assets | \$ | \$ |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 568 | 695 |
| Receivables: | | |
| Trade, less allowance for doubtful accounts: \$4,313 in 2006 and \$4,018 in 2005 | 53,740 | 50,146 |
| Other | 1,200 | 637 |
| Inventories | 58,939 | 39,418 |
| Deferred taxes | 3,332 | 3,260 |
| Other current assets | 3,472 | 1,673 |
| Total current assets | 121,251 | 95,829 |
| Related party receivables | 428 | 395 |
| Property and equipment, net | 77,297 | 67,618 |
| Intangible assets, net | 5,975 | 5,800 |
| Other assets | 2,153 | 2,574 |
| | \$ | \$ |
| | 207,104 | 172,216 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities | \$ | \$ |
| Current maturities of long-term debt and capital lease obligations | 5,075 | 3,561 |
| Accounts payable | 29,442 | 13,436 |
| Accrued expenses | 18,767 | 18,237 |
| Total current liabilities | 53,284 | 35,234 |
| Long-term capital lease obligations, less current maturities | 1,372 | 2,023 |
| Long-term debt, less current maturities | 36,433 | 21,709 |
| Long-term liabilities, other | 1,325 | 1,392 |
| Deferred taxes | 7,311 | 6,324 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | |
| Stockholders equity | | |
| Preferred stock, \$.001 par value, 10,000 shares authorized; none issued | 26 | 26 |

| | | |
|--|---------|---------|
| Common stock, \$.001 par value; 50,000 shares authorized; issued and outstanding: 26,343 | | |
| Additional paid-in capital | 90,872 | 90,758 |
| Notes receivable secured by common shares | (7,441) | (6,987) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (698) | (833) |
| Retained earnings | 24,620 | 22,570 |
| | 107,379 | 105,534 |
| | \$ | \$ |
| | 207,104 | 172,216 |

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

Year Ended December 31,

(In thousands, except per share data)

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Net sales | 238,378 | 229,276 | 199,933 |
| Cost of goods sold | 189,653 | 176,359 | 151,645 |
| Gross profit | 48,725 | 52,917 | 48,288 |
| Operating expenses | 40,868 | 35,297 | 33,569 |
| Operating income | 7,857 | 17,620 | 14,719 |
| Non operating income (expense) | | | |
| Other income, net | 2,400 | 1,297 | 1,209 |
| Interest expense | (2,049) | (1,672) | (1,577) |
| | 351 | (375) | (368) |
| Earnings from operations before income taxes | 8,208 | 17,245 | 14,351 |

Edgar Filing: FLANDERS CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|---------|--------|--------|
| Provision for income taxes | 2,683 | 4,739 | 4,581 |
| Income before extraordinary items | 5,525 | 12,506 | 9,770 |
| Extraordinary Items: | | | |
| Extraordinary Loss Flood loss (net of taxes \$1,012) | (1,518) | 0 | 0 |
| Extraordinary Loss Fire loss (net of taxes\$1,305) | (1,957) | 0 | 0 |
| | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Net earnings | 2,050 | 12,506 | 9,770 |
| | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Income before extraordinary items Basic earnings per share | .21 | 0.48 | .37 |
| | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Extraordinary items | (.13) | .0 | .0 |
| | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Net earnings per share | .08 | 0.48 | .37 |
| | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Income before extraordinary items Diluted earnings per share | .20 | .45 | .36 |
| | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Extraordinary items | (.13) | .0 | .0 |
| | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Net earnings per share | .07 | .45 | .36 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | | | |
| Basic | 26,340 | 26,309 | 26,201 |
| Diluted | 27,725 | 27,807 | 27,289 |

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

Edgar Filing: FLANDERS CORP - Form 10-K

(In thousands)

| | Common Stock | Additional Paid-In Capital | Notes Receivable | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss | Retained Earnings | Total |
|---|-------------------------|---|-----------------------------|---|------------------------------|--------------|
| Balance, January 1, 2004 | 26 | 90,527 | (9,028) | (1,110) | 294 | 80,709 |
| Accrued interest on notes receivable secured by common shares | | | (309) | | | (309) |
| Proceeds from notes receivable secured by common shares | | | 2,687 | | | 2,687 |
| Purchase and retirement of 19 shares of common stock | | (88) | | | | (88) |
| Issuance of 238 shares of common stock upon exercise | | | | | | |
| of options | 1 | 319 | | | | 319 |
| Comprehensive earnings | | | | | | |
| Net earnings | | | | | 9,770 | 9,770 |
| Gain on cash flow hedges | | | | 73 | | 73 |
| Total comprehensive earnings, net of tax | | | | | | 9,843 |
| | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Balance, December 31, 2004 | 26 | 90,758 | (6,650) | (1,037) | 10,064 | 93,161 |
| Accrued interest on notes receivable secured by common shares | | | (337) | | | (337) |
| Purchase and retirement of 4 shares of common stock | | (46) | | | | (46) |
| Issuance of 18 shares of common stock upon exercise | | | | | | |
| of options | | 46 | | | | 46 |
| Comprehensive earnings | | | | | | |
| Net earnings | | | | | 12,506 | 12,506 |
| Gain on cash flow hedges | | | | 204 | | 204 |
| Total comprehensive earnings, net of tax | | | | | | 12,710 |

Edgar Filing: FLANDERS CORP - Form 10-K

| | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
|--|-------|-----------|------------|----------|-----------|------------|
| Balance, December 31, 2005 | 26 | 90,758 | (6,987) | (833) | 22,570 | 105,534 |
| Stock option award compensation | | 114 | | | | 114 |
| Accrued interest on notes receivable secured by common shares | | | (454) | | | (454) |
| Purchase and retirement of 13 shares of common stock | | (148) | | | | (148) |
| Issuance of 38 shares of common stock upon exercise of options | | 148 | | | | 148 |
| Comprehensive earnings | | | | | | |
| Net earnings | | | | | 2,050 | 2,050 |
| Gain on cash flow hedges | | | | 135 | | 135 |
| Total Comprehensive earnings, net of tax | | | | | | 2,185 |
| Balance, December 31, 2006 | \$ 26 | \$ 90,872 | \$ (7,441) | \$ (698) | \$ 24,620 | \$ 107,379 |

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

Year Ended December 31,

(In thousands)

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|---|-------|--------|-------|
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Net Earnings | 2,050 | 12,506 | 9,770 |

Edgar Filing: FLANDERS CORP - Form 10-K

Adjustments to reconcile earnings from continuing operations to net cash (used in) provided by operating activities:

| | | | |
|---|----------|---------|----------|
| Depreciation and amortization | 8,087 | 8,527 | 8,165 |
| Provision for doubtful accounts and notes | 3,063 | 2,094 | 2,039 |
| Provision for obsolete inventory | 146 | 85 | 69 |
| Loss on sale of property and equipment | 1 | 123 | 138 |
| Compensation expense | 114 | 0 | 0 |
| Extraordinary Loss | 5,792 | 0 | 0 |
| Proceeds from insurance claim on inventory | 2,312 | 0 | 0 |
| Deferred taxes | 825 | (5,581) | 1,377 |
| Minority interest | 66 | 216 | 0 |
| Accrued interest on notes receivable secured by common shares | (454) | (337) | (309) |
| Change in working capital components: | | | |
| Receivables | (7,021) | (8,845) | (10,882) |
| Inventories | (21,843) | 1,302 | (6,527) |
| Other current assets | (13,234) | (550) | 181 |
| Accounts payable | 15,479 | (5,685) | 4,093 |
| Accrued expenses | 529 | 3,419 | (350) |
| Income taxes, net | 0 | 0 | 386 |
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities | (4,088) | 7,274 | 8,150 |

CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES

| | | | |
|---|----------|----------|---------|
| Acquisitions, net of cash acquired | (154) | (2,939) | |
| Purchase of property and equipment | (20,490) | (8,136) | (7,563) |
| Purchase of technology | | (451) | |
| Proceeds from sale of property and equipment | 306 | 195 | 172 |
| Proceeds from insurance claim on building and equipment | 8,688 | | |
| Disbursements on notes receivables | (33) | (21) | (13) |
| Decrease in other assets | 164 | 272 | 267 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (11,519) | (11,080) | (7,137) |

- Continued -

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS Continued

Years Ended December 31,

Edgar Filing: FLANDERS CORP - Form 10-K

(In thousands)

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|---|---------|---------|---------|
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Net proceeds from (payments on) revolving credit agreement | 19,408 | 5,458 | (654) |
| Principal payments on long-term borrowings | (3,928) | (2,843) | (2,576) |
| Payment of debt issuance costs | | | (1) |
| Proceeds from exercise of options | | | 319 |
| Proceeds from notes receivable secured by common shares | | | 2,687 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 15,480 | 2,615 | (225) |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | (127) | (1,191) | 788 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | | | |
| Beginning of year | 695 | 1,886 | 1,098 |
| | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| End of year | 568 | 695 | 1,886 |
| SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION | | | |
| Interest paid, net of \$474, \$116 and \$39 interest capitalized to property and equipment for 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively: | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| | 1,897 | 1,656 | 1,614 |
| | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Income taxes paid | 2,426 | 6,910 | 4,044 |
| SUPPLEMENTAL SCHEDULE OF NONCASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Purchase and retirement of common stock | | | 88 |
| | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Accounts receivable in exchange for notes receivable | 20 | | 3,395 |
| ACQUISITION OF COMPANIES | | | |
| | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Working Capital surplus (deficit) acquired, net of cash and cash equivalents received | 215 | (1,187) | |
| | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Fair value of other assets acquired, principally property and equipment | 74 | 614 | |

Edgar Filing: FLANDERS CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|------------------------|-----|---------|----|
| | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Goodwill | 295 | 4,601 | |
| | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Minority interest | | 220 | |
| | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Long-term debt assumed | | (1,302) | |

FLANDERS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

Note A. Nature of Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The nature of the business and a summary of the significant accounting policies consistently applied in the preparation of the accompanying consolidated financial statements follows.

Nature of business

The Company designs, manufactures and sells air filters and related products. It is focused on providing complete environmental filtration systems for end uses ranging from controlling contaminants in residences and commercial

office buildings through specialized manufacturing environments for semiconductors and pharmaceuticals. The Company also designs and manufactures much of its own production equipment to automate processes to decrease labor costs associated with its standard products. The Company also produces glass-based air filter media for many of its products. The vast majority of the Company's current revenues come from the sale of after-market replacement filters, since air filters are typically placed in equipment designed to last much longer than the filters.

The Company sells some products for end users outside of the United States through domestic specialty clean room contractors. These sales are accounted for as domestic sales. The Company also sells products through foreign distributors, primarily in Europe, and through a wholly-owned subsidiary, which sells to customers in the Pacific Rim. Sales through foreign distributors and its wholly owned foreign subsidiary total less than 5% of net sales. Assets held outside the United States are negligible.

The Company has one reportable segment which is air filtration systems.

1.

Principles of consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts and operations of the Company and its subsidiaries, all of which are wholly owned except for Superior Diecutting, Inc. of which 50% is owned by two officers and directors and 50% is owned by other shareholders unrelated to the Company or any of its officers and directors and Air Filter Sales and Service, Inc. which is consolidated in accordance with FIN 46. The Company is the primary beneficiary of Superior Diecutting, Inc. and Air Filter Sales and Service, Inc., which both qualify as a variable interest entity.

Accordingly, the assets and liabilities and revenues and expenses of both companies have been included in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. Superior Diecutting, Inc. is a manufacturer of the cardboard frame around some of the Company's air filtration products. This frame provides the air filter media with additional stability.

Air Filter Sales and Service, Inc. is a direct sales office of the Company which sells air filtration products of the Company to end users. As of December 31, 2006, Superior Diecutting, Inc. and Air Filter Sales and Service, Inc. had assets of \$8,643 and \$771, liabilities of \$5,023 and \$691, revenues of \$24,206 and \$2,815, and expenses of \$22,647 and \$2,706, respectively. Creditors and beneficial holders of Superior Diecutting, Inc. and Air Filter Sales and Service, Inc. have no recourse to the assets or general credit of the Company.

3.

Significant customers

Net sales for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 included sales to the following major customers, together with the receivables due from those customers:

| | Amount of Net Sales | | | Trade Receivable Balance | |
|------------|---------------------|--------|--------|--------------------------|-------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | As of December 31, | |
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Customer A | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| | 30,922 | 33,905 | 29,149 | 5,018 | 6,562 |
| Customer B | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| | 38,549 | 34,432 | 31,581 | 11,906 | 9,194 |

4.

Use of estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

F-#

FLANDERS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

Note A. Nature of Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies Continued

5.

Cash and cash equivalents

The Company maintains its cash in bank deposit accounts, which at times, exceed federally insured limits. The Company has not experienced any losses in such accounts. The Company believes it is not exposed to any significant credit risk on cash and cash equivalents. For purposes of reporting cash flows, the Company considers all cash accounts which are not subject to withdrawal restrictions or designated for equipment acquisitions and certificates of deposit which have an original maturity of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents.

6.

Accounts Receivable

The Company provides an allowance for losses on trade receivables based on a review of the current status of existing receivables and management's evaluation of periodic aging of accounts. The Company charges off accounts receivable against the allowance for losses when an account is deemed to be uncollectible. It is the Company's policy to accrue interest on past due receivables. The provision for doubtful accounts and notes was \$3,063, \$2,094, and \$2,039 for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

7.

Fair value of financial instruments

The carrying amount of cash equivalents, trade receivables and trade payables approximates fair value at December 31, 2006 and 2005 because of the short maturity of these instruments. Based on the borrowing rates currently available to the Company for bank loans with similar maturities and similar collateral requirements, the fair value of notes payable and long-term debt approximates the carrying amounts at December 31, 2006 and 2005.

8.

Inventories

Inventories are valued at lower of cost (first-in, first-out method) or market.

9.

Comprehensive income

FAS 130, Reporting Comprehensive Income, requires disclosure of comprehensive income in addition to the existing income statement. Other comprehensive income (loss) is defined as the change in equity during a period, from transactions and other events, excluding changes resulting from investments by owners (e.g., supplemental stock offerings) and distributions to owners (e.g., dividends). An analysis of the changes in the components of accumulated comprehensive income is presented in the statement of changes in stockholders' equity.

10.

Derivative financial instruments

Effective January 1, 2001, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities (SFAS 133) as amended by SFAS 138, Accounting for Certain Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities -- an Amendment to FASB Statement No. 133. SFAS 133 and SFAS 138 established new accounting and reporting standards for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts, and for hedging activities. All derivatives, whether designated in hedging relationships or not, are required to be recorded on the balance sheet at fair value. If the derivative is a cash flow hedge, the effective portions of changes in the fair value of the derivative are recorded in other comprehensive income and are recognized in the income statement when the hedged item affects earnings. Ineffective portions of changes in the fair value of cash flow hedges are recognized in earnings.

Note A. Nature of Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies Continued

The Company has only limited involvement with derivative financial instruments. The Company has two interest rate swap agreements to hedge against the potential impact on earnings from increases in market interest rates of two variable rate bonds. Under the interest rate swap agreements, the Company receives or makes payments on a monthly basis, based on the differential between 5.14% and a tax exempt interest rate as determined by a remarketing agent. This interest rate swap is accounted for as a cash flow hedge in accordance with SFAS 133 and SFAS 138. The tax effected fair market value of the interest rate swap of \$698 and \$833 is included in accumulated other comprehensive loss at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The interest rate swap contracts expire in 2013 and 2015.

11.

Goodwill

As of January 1, 2002, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (SFAS) No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets (SFAS 142). SFAS 142 changes the accounting for goodwill from an amortization method to an impairment-only approach. Thus, effective January 1, 2002, the Company has ceased amortization of goodwill, including goodwill recorded in past business combinations. The Company does not have any intangible assets with indefinite lives other than goodwill.

SFAS 142 requires that goodwill be tested for impairment annually, or more frequently if circumstances indicate potential impairment, by comparing the fair value of the asset to its carrying amount. Such testing requires, as an initial step, that each of the Company's reporting units, as defined in SFAS 142, be identified and that the Company's assets and liabilities, including the existing goodwill and intangible assets, be assigned to those reporting units. The Company has determined it has only one reporting unit and that there is no impairment as of December 31, 2006.

12.

Trademarks and trade names

Trademarks and trade names are being amortized on a straight line basis over 17 years. At each balance sheet date, the Company evaluates the value of trademarks and trade names for impairment. Based upon its most recent analysis, the Company believes that no impairment of trademarks and trade names exists at December 31, 2006.

13.

Property and equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost. Depreciation is computed by the straight-line method over estimated useful lives. Amortization of property and equipment held under capital leases is included in depreciation expense. The carrying amount of all long-lived assets is evaluated periodically to determine if adjustment to the depreciation and amortization period or the unamortized balance is warranted. Based upon its most recent analysis, the Company believes that no impairment of property and equipment exists at December 31, 2006.

14.

Debt issuance costs

The costs related to the issuance of debt are capitalized and amortized on a straight-line basis over the term of the related debt, which approximates the effective interest method.

15.

Revenue recognition

Generally, sales are recognized when shipments are made to customers. Rebates, allowances for damaged goods and other advertising and marketing program rebates, are accrued pursuant to contractual provisions and included in accrued expenses. An insignificant amount of our revenues fall under the percentage-of-completion method of accounting used for long-term contracts. Under this method, sales and gross profit are recognized as work is performed based on the relationship between actual costs incurred and total estimated costs at completion. Sales and gross profit are adjusted prospectively for revisions in estimated total contract costs and contract values. Estimated losses are recorded when identified.

Note A.

Nature of Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies Continued

16.

Income taxes

Deferred taxes are provided on the liability method whereby deferred tax assets are recognized for deductible temporary differences and operating loss and tax credit carry forwards and deferred tax liabilities are recognized for taxable temporary differences. Temporary differences are the differences between the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and their tax bases. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance when, in the opinion of management, it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are adjusted for the effects of changes in tax laws and rates on the date of enactment.

17.

Earnings per share

Basic EPS is calculated by dividing earnings available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during each period. Diluted EPS is similarly calculated, except that the denominator includes common shares that may be issued subject to existing rights with dilutive potential, except when their

inclusion would be antidilutive.

FLANDERS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

Note A. Nature of Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies Continued

18.

Stock Options

In December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123 (Revised 2004), Share-Based Payment (SFAS 123R). SFAS 123R requires all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, to be recognized as compensation expense in the consolidated financial statements based on their fair values. That expense will be recognized over the period during which an employee is required to provide services in exchange for the award, known as the requisite service period (usually the vesting period). We adopted SFAS 123R effective beginning January 1, 2006 using the Modified Prospective Application Method. Under this method, SFAS 123R applies to new awards and to awards modified, repurchased or cancelled after the effective date. Prior to the adoption of SFAS 123(R) we accounted for stock option grants using the intrinsic value method prescribed in APB Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and accordingly, recognized no compensation expense for stock option grants.

Compensation cost is also recognized for the unvested portion of awards granted prior to adoption. Prior periods were not restated to reflect the impact of adopting the new standard, and there is no cumulative effect.

As a result of adopting SFAS 123(R), our earnings before income taxes and net earnings for the year ended December 31, 2006 were \$190 and \$114 lower, respectively, than if we had continued to account for stock based compensation under APB Opinion No. 25 for our stock option grants. There was no impact on cash flows from operating or

financing activities or basic or diluted earnings per share.

The value of each grant is estimated at the grant date using the Black-Scholes option model with the following weighted average assumptions for options granted in 2006, 2005 and 2004: Dividend rate of 0%; risk-free interest rate of 2.38%, 4.38% and 3.63%, respectively; expected lives of 5 years; and expected price volatility of 51%, 110% and 102%, respectively.

As of December 31, 2006, there was no unrecognized stock-based compensation expense related to nonvested stock options.

During 2006, there was \$0 of cash received from the exercise of stock options.

At December 31, 2006, the Company has three stock-based compensation plans. The following table illustrates the effect on net income and earnings per share if the Company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123R, to stock-based employee compensation prior to January 1, 2006.

| | 2005 | 2004 |
|---|--------------|-------------|
| Net earnings, as reported | \$ 12,506 | \$ 9,770 |
| Deduct: Total stock-based compensation expense determined under fair value based methods for all awards, net of taxes | (4,112) | (2,454) |
| Pro forma net earnings | \$ 8,394 | \$ 7,316 |
| Basic earnings per share: | | |
| As reported | \$.48 | \$.37 |
| Pro forma | \$.32 | \$.28 |

| | | |
|----------------------------|-----|-----|
| Diluted earnings per share | | |
| As reported | \$ | \$ |
| | .45 | .36 |
| Pro forma | \$ | \$ |
| | .30 | .27 |

The following table represents our nonvested stock option activity for the year ended December 31, 2006:

| | Number of Options | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|--|
| Nonvested options - January 31, 2006 | 140 | \$ 2.53 |
| Granted | - | \$ - |
| Vested | (140) | \$ (2.53) |
| Forfeited | - | \$ - |
| Nonvested options - December 31, 2006 | - | \$ - |

The aggregate intrinsic value of options outstanding at December 31, 2006, based on the Company's closing stock price of \$9.90 as of the last business day of the period ended December 31, 2006, which would have been received by the optionees had all options been exercised on that date was \$22,820. The aggregate intrinsic value of options exercisable at December 31, 2006, based on the Company's closing stock price of \$9.90 as of the last business day of the period ended December 31, 2006, which would have been received by the optionees had all options exercisable been exercised on that date was \$22,820. The aggregate intrinsic value of options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 were \$294, 153 and 1,061, respectively. Intrinsic value is the amount by which the fair value of the underlying stock exceeds the exercise price of the options.

19.

Outbound shipping expenses

Outbound shipping expenses are included in operating expenses, not in cost of goods sold. Outbound shipping expenses were \$9,813, \$9,455, and \$8,224 for the years ending December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

20.

Advertising

The costs of advertising are expensed as incurred. Advertising expense was \$2,853, \$2,543, and \$2,422 for the years ending December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

FLANDERS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

Note A.

Nature of Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies Continued

21.

Impact of Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2006, FASB issued SFAS No. 155. This accounting standard permits fair value re-measurement for any hybrid financial instrument containing an embedded derivative that otherwise would require bifurcation; clarifies which interest-only strips and principal-only strips are not subject to the requirements of SFAS No. 133; establishes a requirement to evaluate interests in securitized financial assets to identify them as freestanding derivatives or as hybrid financial instruments containing an embedded derivative requiring bifurcation; clarifies that concentrations of credit risk in the form of subordination are not embedded derivatives; and amends SFAS No. 140 to eliminate the prohibition on a qualifying special-purpose entity from holding a derivative financial instrument pertaining to a beneficial interest other than another derivative financial instrument. SFAS No. 155 is effective for all financial

instruments acquired or issued after the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year beginning after September 15, 2006. We currently are assessing the impact of SFAS No. 155 on our results of operations and financial position.

In March 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 156, which addresses the accounting for servicing assets and liabilities. SFAS No. 156 is effective at the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year beginning after September 15, 2006. We do not expect SFAS No. 156 to have a material effect on our results of operations or financial position.

In July 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes (FIN 48), effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. FIN 48 requires a two-step approach to determine how to recognize tax benefits in the financial statements where recognition and measurement of a tax benefit must be evaluated separately. A tax benefit will be recognized only if it meets a more-likely-than-not recognition threshold. For tax positions that meet this threshold, the tax benefit recognized is based on the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than 50 percent likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement with the taxing authority. We are currently evaluating the impact of adopting FIN 48, and have not yet determined the significance of this new rule to our overall results of operations, cash flows or financial position.

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) 157 Fair Value Measurements. SFAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and expanded disclosures about fair value measurements. This Statement is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. We are currently evaluating the impact of adopting SFAS No. 157 on our result of operations and financial position.

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) 158 Employers Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other postretirement Plans an amendment of FASB Statements no. 87, 88, 106, and 132(R). SFAS 158 improves financial reporting by requiring an employer to recognize the overfunded or underfunded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan as an asset or liability in its statement of financial position. Also, requires an employer to measure the funded status of a plan as of the date of its year-end statement of financial position, with limited exceptions. An employer that is a business entity and sponsors one or more single-employer defined benefit plans is required to recognize the funded status of a benefit plan in its statement of financial position; recognize other components not recognized by FASB 87 or 106; measure defined benefit plan assets and obligations as of the date of the employer's fiscal year-end statement of financial position.; and disclose addition information. The effective dates for publicly traded companies are required to initially recognize the funded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan and to provide the required disclosures as of the end of the fiscal year ending after December 15, 2006. A non-publicly traded company is required to adopt the recognition and disclosure provisions of this statement as of the end of the fiscal year ending after June 15, 2007. SFAS No. 158 did not have a material effect on our results of operations or financial position.

On September 13, 2006, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) staff issued Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) 108, interpretive guidance on how errors that carryover or reversal of prior year misstatements should be considered from a materiality perspective and be considered in quantifying a current year misstatement. The SEC staff believes that public companies should use both the current year income statement approach and the balance sheet

approach. Then evaluate whether either approach results in quantifying a misstatement that, when all relevant quantitative and qualitative factors are considered, is material. SAB 108 also discusses when it would be appropriate to record a one-time cumulative adjustment for prior year misstatements that were considered immaterial along with required disclosures. There is no official effective date, but it is encouraged to use November 15, 2006 as a reference point. We do not expect SAB 108 to have a material effect on our results of operations or financial position.

Note B. Inventories

Inventories consist of the following at December 31:

| | 2006 | 2005 |
|------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | \$ | \$ |
| Finished goods | 31,786 | 19,497 |
| Work in progress | 5,535 | 3,183 |
| Raw materials | 23,241 | 18,214 |
| | 60,562 | 40,894 |
| Less allowances | 1,623 | 1,476 |
| | \$ | \$ |
| | 58,939 | 39,418 |

Note C. Other Assets

Other assets consist of the following at December 31:

| | 2006 | 2005 |
|---------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | \$ | \$ |
| Real estate held for sale | 52 | 52 |
| Notes receivable | 910 | 1,181 |
| Deposits | 421 | 343 |
| Deferred expenses | 365 | 598 |
| Investment, at cost | 405 | 400 |
| | \$ | \$ |
| | 2,153 | 2,574 |

Notes receivable consists of the following at December 31,

| | 2006 | 2005 |
|---|-------------|-------------|
| Note receivable, which is interest free for first year and 9% per annum thereafter, with a second year interest free if \$450 is paid during the first year. \$4 due each week beginning July1, 2004. | \$ 224 | \$ 524 |
| Senior note receivable, which is interest free for first year and 5% per annum thereafter. \$6.5 due each month beginning January 31, 2005. | 352 | 429 |
| Junior note receivable, which is interest free. \$5 due each quarter beginning March 31, 2005. | 265 | 285 |
| Various other notes receivable | 420 | 322 |
| Less: Current portion of notes receivable | (351) | (379) |
| Total | \$ 910 | \$ 1,181 |

FLANDERS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

Note D. Intangible Assets

Intangible assets consist of the following at December 31:

| | 2006 | 2005 |
|----------|-------------|-------------|
| | \$ | \$ |
| Goodwill | 5,002 | 4,682 |

Edgar Filing: FLANDERS CORP - Form 10-K

| | | |
|---|-------|-------|
| | \$ | \$ |
| Trademarks and trade names, net of accumulated amortization of \$1,256 and \$1,042 in 2006 and 2005, respectively | 973 | 1,118 |
| | \$ | \$ |
| | 5,975 | 5,800 |

Amortization expense was \$147, \$141 and \$179 in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Estimated amortization expense for each of the ensuing years through December 31, 2011 is as follows:

| | |
|------|-----|
| 2007 | \$ |
| | 128 |
| 2008 | \$ |
| | 128 |
| 2009 | \$ |
| | 103 |
| 2010 | \$ |
| | 91 |
| 2011 | \$ |
| | 91 |

Note E. Property and Equipment

Property and equipment and estimated useful lives consist of the following at December 31:

| | 2006 | 2005 | Estimated Useful Lives |
|-------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------------------|
| | \$ | \$ | |
| Land | 1,673 | 1,905 | |
| Buildings | 40,155 | 40,225 | 15-40 years |
| Machinery and equipment | 72,097 | 70,644 | 5 -15 years |
| Office equipment | 10,309 | 10,281 | 5-7 years |

| | | | |
|-------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Vehicles | 2,026 | 2,145 | 5 years |
| Construction in progress | 15,641 | 5,916 | |
| | 141,901 | 131,116 | |
| Less accumulated depreciation | 64,604 | 63,498 | |
| | \$ | \$ | |
| | 77,297 | 67,618 | |

Total depreciation expense charged to operations totaled \$7,717, \$8,170, and \$7,771 for 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

FLANDERS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

Note F. Accrued Expenses

Accrued expenses at December 31, 2006 and 2005 were as follows:

| | <u>2006</u> | <u>2005</u> |
|--------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Income tax payable | \$ | \$ |
| | 0 | 3,063 |
| Bank overdraft | \$ | |
| | 1,646 | 3,303 |

| | | |
|------------------------|--------|--------|
| Other accrued expenses | \$ | |
| | 17,121 | 11,871 |
| | \$ | \$ |
| | 18,767 | 18,237 |

Note G. Pledged Assets and Debt

A summary of the Company's debt, and collateral pledged thereon, consists of the following at December 31:

| | 2006 | 2005 |
|---|-----------|----------|
| Long-term debt: | | |
| Prime plus 0 to .50 percent (8.25% at December 31, 2006) revolving line of credit; interest rate dependent on fixed charge coverage*. | \$ 20,866 | \$ 5,458 |
| Prime plus 0 to .50 percent term note agreement; interest rate dependent on fixed charge coverage*. | 1,168 | 2,567 |
| LIBOR plus 2.25% note (mortgage) payable to a bank, due in monthly payments of \$14 including interest through October 2007, at which time all unpaid principal is due, collateralized by certain land, building and improvements. | 1,877 | 1,952 |
| Prime plus 0 to .50 percent term note agreement due to bank; interest rate dependent on fixed charge coverage*. | 3,583 | 0 |
| Prime plus 0.25 percent (8.25% at December 31, 2006) notes payable to a mortgage company due in monthly payments of \$30 including interest through January 2006, at which time all unpaid principal is due, collateralized by a deed of trust on land and buildings. | 0 | 24 |
| 6.5 percent note payable to a regional development authority, due in varying quarterly installments, plus interest, through December 2017, collateralized by a security agreement and financing statement on real and personal property. | 4,190 | 4,445 |
| Note payable to a bank with interest at prime plus 0.25 percent, with rate adjusted annually (8.25% at December 31, 2006), due in monthly payments of \$7 including interest through June 2017 subject to a call option in June 2007, collateralized by a deed of trust on real property. | 604 | 648 |
| Industrial revenue bond with a variable tax exempt interest rate as determined by a remarketing agent, with rate effectively fixed at 5.14% by an interest-rate swap, collateralized by a \$4,000 letter of credit that expires April 1, 2015. | 4,000 | 4,000 |
| Industrial revenue bond with a variable tax exempt interest rate as determined by a remarketing agent, with rate effectively fixed at 5.14% by an interest-rate swap, collateralized by a \$4,500 | 4,500 | 4,500 |

letter of credit that expires April 1, 2013.

| | | |
|--|--------|--------|
| Note payable with no interest | 0 | 158 |
| Prime plus 1.5 percent revolving line of credit up to \$600. | 0 | 586 |
| Prime plus 2.0 percent revolving line of credit up to \$100. | 100 | 0 |
| Note payable to a bank with interest at prime plus 2.50 percent (8.25% at December 31, 2006), due in 5 regular payments of \$7 and one irregular payment of \$383 due in May 2006, collateralized by certain assets. | 0 | 394 |
| Various obligations under capital lease agreements | 1,992 | 2,561 |
| | \$ | \$ |
| | 42,880 | 27,293 |

Note G. Pledged Assets and Debts Continued

| | | |
|---|--------------|--------------|
| Less current maturities | <u>5,075</u> | <u>3,561</u> |
| | 37,805 | 23,732 |
| Less long-term capital lease obligations, less current maturities | 1,372 | 2,023 |
| | \$ 36,433 | \$ 21,709 |

Aggregate maturities required on long-term debt and capital lease obligations as of December 31, 2006 are due in future years as follows:

| | |
|--------------------------|--------|
| Year Ending December 31, | \$ |
| 2007 | 5,075 |
| 2008 | 1,872 |
| 2009 | 1,924 |
| 2010 | 1,220 |
| 2011 | 396 |
| Later years | 32,393 |
| | \$ |
| | 42,880 |

*

Our current revolving credit agreement with a bank provides a maximum line of credit of \$33 million (subject to availability) and bears interest at either (i) LIBOR plus between 1.75% and 2.25%, dependent on the Company's fixed charge coverage during the prior twelve months; or (ii) the bank's base rate, plus between 0% and .5%, dependent on the Company's fixed charge coverage during the prior twelve months. Up to \$11 million of this credit facility may be used to issue letters of credit of which the Company has two letters of credit associated with its industrial revenue bonds and two associated with its workers compensation policy. The revolving credit agreement is part of a combined facility with a bank that also includes a \$7 million facility to guarantee letters of credit, and two term loans at an interest rate 0.75% higher than the revolving facility. The term loans are due in monthly principal payments of \$116 plus interest and \$83 plus interest. The line of credit and one of the term loans are due in 2009. The other term loan is due in 2010. The combined facility is collateralized by substantially all of the Company's assets, requires maintenance of certain financial ratios, and restricts capital expenditures, payment of dividends and share repurchases.

Note H. Leases

The Company leases certain facilities and equipment under long-term non-cancelable operating leases, which may be renewed in the ordinary course of business, including a building lease with a related party. The Company leases certain manufacturing and warehousing space under a capital lease with an original term of ten years with two consecutive ten-year renewal options. Leased capital assets are included in property and equipment as follows at December 31:

Edgar Filing: FLANDERS CORP - Form 10-K

| | 2006 | 2005 |
|--------------------------|---------|-------|
| | \$ | \$ |
| Buildings | 3,700 | 3,700 |
| Machinery and equipment | 490 | 490 |
| Office equipment | 204 | 204 |
| Accumulated depreciation | (1,221) | (997) |
| | \$ | \$ |
| | 3,173 | 3,397 |

Future minimum payments, by year and in aggregate, under capital leases and operating leases consist of the following at December 31, 2006:

Year Ending December 31,

| | Capital leases | Operating leases |
|---------------------------------------|----------------|------------------|
| | \$ | \$ |
| 2007 | 774 | 5,118 |
| 2008 | 647 | 4,536 |
| 2009 | 621 | 4,568 |
| 2010 | 261 | 3,957 |
| 2011 | 2 | 3,303 |
| Later years | 0 | 52,419 |
| | \$ | \$ |
| Total minimum lease payments | 2,305 | 73,901 |
| Less amount representing interest | 313 | |
| Present value of net minimum payments | 1,992 | |
| Current portion | 614 | |
| | \$ | |
| Long-term portion | 1,378 | |

Total rent expense charged to operations was approximately \$5,138, \$3,539, and \$3,360 for 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

FLANDERS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

Note I. Income Taxes

The Company's provision (benefit) for income taxes is as follows for the years ended December 31:

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|-----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Current: | | | |
| | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Federal | 5,785 | 8,834 | 3,449 |
| State | 496 | 757 | 296 |
| Foreign | 90 | 34 | 150 |
| | 6,371 | 9,625 | 3,895 |
| Deferred: | | | |
| Federal | (3,397) | (4,500) | 632 |
| State | (291) | (386) | 54 |
| | (3,688) | (4,886) | 686 |
| Total provision | \$ | \$ | \$ |

| | | | |
|--|-------|-------|-------|
| | 2,683 | 4,739 | 4,581 |
|--|-------|-------|-------|

The income tax provision for continuing operations differs from the amount of tax determined by applying the Federal statutory rate as follows:

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Income tax provision at statutory rate: | 2,873 | 6,036 | 5,068 |
| Increase (decrease) in income taxes due to: | | | |
| Nondeductible expenses | 32 | 28 | 19 |
| State income taxes net | 378 | 683 | 561 |
| Credits and adjustments | (600) | (2,008) | (1,067) |
| | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| | 2,683 | 4,739 | 4,581 |

FLANDERS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

Note I. Income Taxes Continued

The components giving rise to the deferred tax assets and liabilities included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets at December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005 were comprised of the following:

| | 2006 | 2005 |
|---|----------|----------|
| Deferred tax assets/(liabilities): | | |
| | \$ | \$ |
| Accounts receivable allowance | 609 | 906 |
| Inventory allowance and capitalization | 1,743 | 1,340 |
| Accrued expenses | 410 | 573 |
| Deferred expenses | 1 | 41 |
| State credits | 400 | 400 |
| Foreign Income | 169 | |
| | \$ | \$ |
| Net Current Asset | 3,332 | 3,260 |
| Deferred tax assets/(liabilities): | | |
| | \$ | \$ |
| Property and equipment | (12,226) | (10,744) |
| Interest rate swap | 466 | 555 |
| State net operating loss carry forwards | 283 | 299 |
| State credits | 4,166 | 3,566 |
| | \$ | \$ |
| Net Noncurrent Liability | (7,311) | (6,324) |

The IRS is currently examining the Company's federal income tax returns of 2002, 2003, and 2004. The IRS has proposed certain changes resulting in additional liabilities due. The Company disagrees with the proposed changes and is vigorously contesting these proposed changes and believes any final changes will be immaterial.

Note J. Commitments and Contingencies

1.

Employment Agreements

Messrs. Amerson and Clark have employment agreements effective as of December 15, 1995 (Employment Agreements). The Employment Agreements, as amended, provide for an annual base salary of \$350 for both Mr. Amerson and Mr. Clark and terminate in 2010. The Employment Agreements also provide that the executive shall be entitled to the following termination payments: (i) 100% of his current base salary if the employment is terminated as a result of his death or disability; (ii) up to 200% of his current base salary if the employment is terminated by the Company for any reason other than death, disability or for cause, or (iii) up to 250% of the executive s gross income during the year preceding his termination if the Employment Agreement is terminated by the executive for good reason or by the Company for any reason other than death, disability or cause and the termination occurs within two years after a change of control of the Company has occurred.

Messr. Hodson has a three year employment contract dated January 1, 2005. The employment contract provides for an annual base salary of 120K and a discretionary bonus. The Employment Agreement also provides for 200% of his base salary upon termination by the company for any reason other than death or disability.

FLANDERS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

Continued

2.

Litigation

Item 12.

From time to time, the Company is a party as plaintiff or defendant to various legal proceedings related to our normal business operations. In the opinion of management, although the outcome of any legal proceeding cannot be predicted with certainty, the ultimate liability of the Company in connection with its legal proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position, but could be material to the results of operations in any one future accounting period. The Company makes appropriate reserves for litigation, even if not material. Defense costs are expensed as incurred.

3.

Self-Insurance

During all periods presented, workers' compensation claims incurred by employees were fully insured through a high deductible policy with a policy year ending each May. The deductible per employee was \$500 in 2006 and \$500 in 2005, with no limit in the aggregate. The Company continuously monitors and estimates the estimated costs of claims incurred based on historical loss information and other information provided by its carrier's claims management personnel. Included in the liabilities in the accompanying balance sheets are accrued workers' compensation expenses of approximately \$201 and \$669 as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

The Company provides medical benefits to its employees under a self-insured program. Through June 30, 2002, the Company paid for 100% of an employee's health costs as the services were incurred. In July 2002, the Company changed the program that provides medical benefits to its employees once certain deductibles are met. The benefits to the employees are limited to \$35 per year with a \$1,000 lifetime benefit. The Company estimates the amount of incurred but unreported claims based on historical information. Included in the liabilities in the accompanying balance sheets are estimated accrued health insurance expenses of approximately \$200 and \$212 as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The employer's portion of claims charged to operations totaled approximately \$1,085, \$971 and \$893 for 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

FLANDERS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

4.

License and Royalty Agreements

The Company has secured licensing and royalty agreements with two companies allowing the Company to use their trade names and brands on its products. Costs associated with these agreements are expensed as incurred.

5.

Gain Contingency

The Company is negotiating with its insurance carrier on collecting amounts the Company considers due under its business interruption policy, as it relates to the fire and flood that occurred in 2006. The Company has received a total of \$11 million in proceeds, however during the fourth quarter of 2006 it became uncertain if the Company would collect any additional proceeds from the insurance carrier on its business interruption policy. Therefore, no estimate can be made as to the time or the amount, if any, of ultimate recovery from the business interruption policy, thus, the Company wrote off all costs that were believed to be reimbursable from the insurance carrier.

The financial statements of the Company were impacted by lost profits resulting from the fire and flood. The Company believes the lost profits are recoverable based on the insurance policy terms, however, because the insurance coverage associated with the recovery of lost profits is similar to a gain contingency, gains on lost profits are recognized only when cash is received. These lost profits are considered contingent gain due to the subjective nature of the coverage and longer time periods used to measure the lost profits as compared to other types of coverage.

Note K. Employee Benefit Plans

The Company has a defined contribution 401(k) salary reduction plan intended to qualify under section 401(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (Salary Savings Plan). The Salary Savings Plan allows eligible employees, as defined in the plan document, to defer up to fifteen percent of their eligible compensation, with the Company contributing an amount determined at the discretion of the Company's Board of Directors. The Company contributed approximately \$100, \$97 and \$90 to the Salary Savings Plan for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

During 1996, the Company adopted the Long Term Incentive Plan (LTI Plan) to assist the Company in securing and retaining key employees and consultants. The LTI Plan authorizes grants of incentive stock options, nonqualified stock options, stock appreciation rights (SARs), restricted stock performance shares and dividend equivalents to officers, key employees of the Company and outside consultants to the Company. There are 1,987,000 shares of Common Stock reserved for award under the LTI Plan. During 2006, 2005 and 2004, the Company awarded options to purchase 0, 670,000 and 645,000 shares of Common Stock under the LTI Plan, respectively.

During 1996, the Company also adopted the 1996 Director Option Plan which provides for the grant of 50,000 stock options to outside directors of the Company who were elected or appointed after February 1, 1996, and who were not existing directors on the effective date of the plan. Each such outside director who is serving as a director on January 1 of each calendar year will automatically be granted an option to acquire up to 5,000 shares of Common Stock on such date, assuming such outside director had been serving for at least six months prior to the date of grant. The Company has reserved 500,000 shares of its Common Stock for issuance under the 1996 Director Option Plan that expires in 2006. During 2006, 2005 and 2004, the Company awarded options to purchase 20,000, 125,000 and 15,000 shares, respectively, of Common Stock under the 1996 Director Option Plan.

FLANDERS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

Note L. Extraordinary Items

In 2006, a warehouse in Texas was damaged by a fire and a warehouse in Pennsylvania was damaged by a flood. The Company reported an extraordinary loss on the fire of \$3,475 (net of income taxes of \$2,315).

Note M. Related Party Transactions and Balances

At December 31, 2006 and 2005, the Company had notes receivable secured by common stock classified as contra-equity of \$7,441 and \$6,987, respectively, due from various directors, officers, shareholders and employees,

with interest thereon at LIBOR plus 1%, maturing at various dates to December 2010, of which \$7,441 is callable on demand by the Company if the officers or employees terminate employment with the Company.

As of December 31, 2006 the Company has entered into nine operating leases expiring either in 2025 or 2026 with Wal-Pat II. Wal-Pat II is owned by Robert R. Amerson and Steven K. Clark (fifty percent each). The monthly payments to Wal-Pat II total \$250. The Company made payments of \$2,816, \$1,067 and \$1,041 in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The Company believes these leases are at prevailing market rates.

On January 2, 2001, the Company purchased and leased back \$797 in manufacturing equipment from Superior Diecutting, Inc., a vendor 50% owned by two officer and directors. The Company also loaned this supplier \$500, secured by a building and used to pay off an existing mortgage, and \$400 to repay a credit line secured by inventory, receivables and other current assets. The Company made payments of \$16,301, \$10,951 and \$6,933 in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, to this supplier for purchases of raw materials. At December 31, 2006 and 2005, the Company owed a total of \$2,237 and \$3,873, respectively, to this vendor. At December 31, 2006, Superior Diecutting, Inc. owed the Company \$0 due to the Company purchasing inventory on behalf of Superior Diecutting, Inc. The accounts and operations of this related entity have been consolidated, thus, the financial effects of these transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

FLANDERS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

Note N. Stock Options and Warrants

Edgar Filing: FLANDERS CORP - Form 10-K

During 2006, the Company granted options to purchase: 0 shares of common stock under its LTI Plan; 20,000 shares of common stock under its 1996 Director Option Plan at a weighted average exercise price of \$2.50 per share. All options granted during 2006 were non-qualified fixed price options.

The following table summarizes the activity related to all Company stock options and warrants for 2006, 2005 and 2004:

| | Shares (In thousands) | | Exercise Price | | Weighted Average | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|---------|----------------|---------|------------------|---------|
| | Stock | | per Share | | Exercise Price | |
| | Warrants | Options | Warrants | Options | Warrants | Options |
| Outstanding at January 1, 2004 | | 4,535 | 1.50 | 7.50 | | 4.75 |
| Granted | | 660 | 5.21 | 8.60 | | 8.14 |
| Exercised | | (177) | 1.65 | 6.49 | | 2.18 |
| Canceled or expired | | (3) | 4.75 | 4.75 | | 4.75 |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2004 | | 5,015 | 1.50 | 8.60 | | 5.28 |
| Granted | | 795 | 8.85 | 11.10 | | 10.75 |
| Exercised | | (18) | 1.74 | 1.74 | | 2.54 |
| Canceled or expired | | | | | | |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2005 | | 5,792 | 1.50 | 11.10 | | 6.04 |
| Granted | | 20 | 11.72 | 11.72 | | 11.72 |
| Exercised | | (38) | 2.40 | 5.21 | | 3.90 |
| Canceled or expired | | (22) | 2.50 | 2.50 | | 2.50 |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2006 | | 5,752 | 1.50 | 11.72 | | 6.08 |
| Exercisable at December 31, 2006 | | 5,752 | 1.50 | 11.72 | | 6.08 |
| Exercisable at December 31, 2005 | | 5,652 | 1.50 | 11.10 | | 6.10 |
| Exercisable at December 31, 2004 | | 4,835 | 1.74 | 8.60 | | 5.36 |

The warrants and options expire at various dates ranging from February 2007 to January 2011. A further summary of information related to options outstanding at December 31, 2006 is as follows:

| Range of Exercise Prices | Number Outstanding / Exercisable | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years) | Weighted Average |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|
| | | | Exercise Price Outstanding / Exercisable |
| \$1.50 to 2.00 | 77 / 77 | .56 | \$.85 / .85 |

Edgar Filing: FLANDERS CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|-----------------|---------------|------|---------------|
| \$2.50 to 4.37 | 2,180 / 2,180 | 2.87 | \$2.58 / 2.58 |
| \$5.00 to 6.49 | 130 / 130 | 1.99 | \$5.16 / 5.16 |
| \$7.50 to 11.72 | 3,365 / 3,365 | 4.23 | \$8.49 / 8.49 |

Prior to January 1, 2006, as permitted under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, grants to employees under the LTI Plan and other grants to employees of options were accounted for following APB Opinion No. 25 and related Interpretations. Accordingly, no compensation cost had been recognized for grants to employees under the LTI Plan, since all options granted had an exercise price at or above the quoted market price of the Company's common stock on the date of grant.

FLANDERS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

Note O. Earnings per Share

The following table shows the shares (in thousands) used in computing net earnings per common share including dilutive potential common stock.

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Common shares outstanding at beginning of year | 26,317 | 26,303 | 26,084 |
| Net weighted average common shares issued and canceled during year | 23 | 6 | 117 |
| Weighted average number of common shares used in basic EPS | 26,340 | 26,309 | 26,201 |
| Dilutive effect of stock options and warrants | 1,385 | 1,498 | 1,089 |
| Weighted average number of common shares and dilutive potential shares used in diluted EPS | 27,725 | 27,807 | 27,290 |

As of December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, options and warrants to purchase 730,000, 580,000 and 0 shares, respectively, of the Company's common stock described in Note N were excluded from the computation of diluted EPS because the market price of the underlying stock was less than the exercise price.

FLANDERS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

Note P. Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)

| | Quarters Ended | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| | March 31, 2006 | June 30, 2006 | September 30, 2006 | December 31, 2006 |
| Net sales | \$ 50,872 | \$ 60,765 | \$ 66,400 | \$ 60,341 |
| Gross profit | \$ 11,494 | \$ 13,805 | \$ 13,452 | \$ 9,974 |
| Operating income | \$ 2,456 | \$ 2,627 | \$ 2,696 | \$ 78 |
| Net Earnings / (Loss) | \$ 1,984 | \$ 2,536 | \$ 6,234 | \$ (8,704) |
| Net Earnings / (Loss) per share: | \$.08 | \$.10 | \$.24 | \$ (.34) |
| Basic | .07 | .09 | .23 | (.32) |
| Diluted | Common stock prices: | | | |

Edgar Filing: FLANDERS CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|-------------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| High | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| | 12.10 | 11.99 | 10.36 | 10.27 |
| Low | 10.29 | 9.49 | 8.56 | 8.42 |
| | March 31, | June 30, | September 30, | December 31, |
| | 2005 | 2005 | 2005 | 2005 |
| Net sales | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| | 49,795 | 55,548 | 63,364 | 60,569 |
| Gross profit | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| | 11,013 | 13,631 | 14,569 | 13,704 |
| Operating income | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| | 3,620 | 5,226 | 4,775 | 3,999 |
| Net Earnings | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| | 2,460 | 3,464 | 3,708 | 2,874 |
| Net Earnings per share: | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Basic | .09 | .13 | .14 | .12 |
| Diluted | .09 | .12 | .13 | .11 |
| Common stock prices: | | | | |
| High | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| | 11.43 | 11.67 | 14.09 | 12.46 |
| Low | 8.55 | 7.77 | 8.85 | 9.81 |

FLANDERS CORPORATION
FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULE

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTING FIRM
ON SCHEDULE

Board of Directors

Flanders Corporation

In connection with our audits of the consolidated financial statements of Flanders Corporation and Subsidiaries referred to in our report dated February 23, 2007, which is included in the Company's Annual Report of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006, we have also audited Schedule II for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006. In our opinion, this schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

/s/ Pender Newkirk & Company, LLP

Pender Newkirk & Company, LLC

Certified Public Accountants

Tampa, Florida

February 23, 2007

FLANDERS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
SCHEDULE II VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS

Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

| | Balance at Beginning of Period | Additions Charged to Cost and Expense | Deductions | Balance at End of Period |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|------------------------|--------------------------------|
| For the year ended December 31, 2006 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | 4,018 | 3,063 | (2,768) ⁽¹⁾ | 4,313 |
| Allowance for inventory | 1,477 | 146 | | 1,623 |
| | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Total | 5,495 | 3,209 | (2,768) | 5,936 |
| For the year ended December 31, 2005 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | 3,300 | 2,094 | (1,376) ⁽¹⁾ | 4,018 |
| Allowance for inventory | 1,392 | 85 | | 1,477 |
| | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Total | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |

Edgar Filing: FLANDERS CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------|-------|------------------------|-------|
| | 4,692 | 2,179 | (1,376) | 5,495 |
| For the year ended December 31, 2004 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | 2,949 | 1,875 | (1,524) ⁽¹⁾ | 3,300 |
| Allowance for inventory | 1,323 | 69 | | 1,392 |
| | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Total | 4,272 | 1,944 | (1,524) | 4,692 |

(1)

Uncollected receivables written-off, net of recoveries.

Footnotes