

PARK OHIO HOLDINGS CORP
Form 10-Q
May 11, 2015
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q
(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2015

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number: 000-03134

Park-Ohio Holdings Corp.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Ohio

34-1867219

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or
organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

6065 Parkland Boulevard, Cleveland, Ohio

44124

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

(440) 947-2000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Not applicable

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding twelve months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

Number of shares outstanding of registrant's Common Stock, par value \$1.00 per share, as of April 30, 2015, 12,494,971 shares of the registrant's common stock, \$1 par value, were outstanding.

The Exhibit Index is located on page 33.

Table of Contents

Park-Ohio Holdings Corp. and Subsidiaries

Index

	Page
<u>Part I. Financial Information</u>	
Item 1. <u>Financial Statements</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Condensed consolidated balance sheets — March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Condensed consolidated statements of income — Three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income (loss) — Three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>Condensed consolidated statement of shareholders' equity — Three months ended March 31, 2015</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>Condensed consolidated statements of cash flows — Three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014</u>	<u>7</u>
<u>Notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements — March 31, 2015</u>	<u>8</u>
Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>19</u>
Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk</u>	<u>27</u>
Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>27</u>
<u>Part II. Other Information</u>	
Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>28</u>
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	<u>29</u>
Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	<u>30</u>
Item 5. <u>Other Information</u>	<u>30</u>
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	<u>30</u>
<u>Signatures</u>	<u>32</u>
<u>Exhibit Index</u>	<u>33</u>

Table of Contents

Part I. Financial Information

Item 1. Financial Statements

Park-Ohio Holdings Corp. and Subsidiaries

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

	(Unaudited)	
	March 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
	(In millions, except share and per share data)	
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$46.7	\$58.0
Accounts receivable, less allowances for doubtful accounts of \$3.8 million at March 31, 2015 and \$4.1 million at December 31, 2014	218.0	208.0
Inventories, net	249.5	238.4
Deferred tax assets	28.9	28.9
Unbilled contract revenue	31.9	26.8
Other current assets	18.6	22.1
Total current assets	593.6	582.2
Property, plant and equipment, net	144.3	141.1
Goodwill	86.9	89.5
Intangible assets, net	85.2	88.1
Other long-term assets	76.9	73.3
Total assets	\$986.9	\$974.2
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Trade accounts payable	\$162.3	\$160.3
Accrued expenses and other	108.0	103.6
Total current liabilities	270.3	263.9
Long-term liabilities, less current portion:		
Debt	435.2	434.4
Deferred tax liabilities	43.8	43.9
Other postretirement benefits and other long-term liabilities	40.8	40.1
Total long-term liabilities	519.8	518.4
Park-Ohio Holdings Corp. and Subsidiaries shareholders' equity:		
Capital stock, par value \$1 a share		
Serial preferred stock: Authorized -- 632,470 shares: Issued and outstanding -- none	—	—
Common stock: Authorized -- 40,000,000 shares; Issued -- 14,528,821 shares in 2015 and 14,513,821 in 2014	14.5	14.5
Additional paid-in capital	91.4	89.8
Retained earnings	135.7	126.5
Treasury stock, at cost, 2,033,248 shares in 2015 and 2,014,692 shares in 2014	(32.3) (31.2
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(19.1) (14.0
Total Park-Ohio Holdings Corp. and Subsidiaries shareholders' equity	190.2	185.6
Noncontrolling interest	6.6	6.3
Total equity	196.8	191.9
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$986.9	\$974.2

Refer to the accompanying notes to these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of ContentsPark-Ohio Holdings Corp. and Subsidiaries
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income (Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2015	2014
	(In millions, except earnings per share data)	
Net sales	\$374.7	\$317.8
Cost of sales	316.3	261.8
Gross profit	58.4	56.0
Selling, general and administrative expenses	34.1	33.8
Operating income	24.3	22.2
Interest expense	6.8	6.3
Income before income taxes	17.5	15.9
Income tax expense	6.4	5.6
Net income	11.1	10.3
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	(0.3) (0.2
Net income attributable to ParkOhio common shareholders	\$10.8	\$10.1
Earnings per common share attributable to ParkOhio common shareholders:		
Basic	\$0.89	\$0.84
Diluted	\$0.87	\$0.82
Weighted-average shares used to compute earnings per share:		
Basic	12.2	12.0
Diluted	12.4	12.3
Dividend per common share	\$0.125	\$—

Refer to the accompanying notes to these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Park-Ohio Holdings Corp. and Subsidiaries

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) (Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2015	2014
	(In millions)	
Net income	\$ 11.1	\$ 10.3
Other comprehensive (loss) income:		
Foreign currency translation (loss)	(5.3) (0.4
Pension and postretirement benefit adjustments, net of tax	0.2	(0.1
Total other comprehensive (loss)	(5.1) (0.5
Total comprehensive income, net of tax	6.0	9.8
Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interest	(0.3) (0.2
Comprehensive income attributable to ParkOhio common shareholders	\$ 5.7	\$ 9.6

Refer to the accompanying notes to these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Park-Ohio Holdings Corp. and Subsidiaries

Condensed Consolidated Statement of Shareholders' Equity (Unaudited)

	Shares	Common Stock	Additional Paid-In Capital	Retained Earnings	Treasury Stock	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Noncontrolling Interest	Total
	(In whole shares)	(In millions)						
Balance at January 1, 2015	14,513,821	\$14.5	\$89.8	\$126.5	\$(31.2)	\$(14.0)	\$6.3	\$191.9
Other comprehensive income (loss)	—	—	—	10.8	—	(5.1)	0.3	6.0
Share-based compensation expense and award activity	15,000	—	1.6	—	—	—	—	1.6
Dividends	—	—	—	(1.6)	—	—	—	(1.6)
Purchase of treasury stock (18,556 shares)	—	—	—	—	(1.1)	—	—	(1.1)
Balance at March 31, 2015	14,528,821	\$14.5	\$91.4	\$135.7	\$(32.3)	\$(19.1)	\$6.6	\$196.8

Refer to the accompanying notes to these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of ContentsPark-Ohio Holdings Corp. and Subsidiaries
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2015	2014
	(In millions)	
OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net income	\$ 11.1	\$ 10.3
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	7.0	5.3
Share-based compensation	1.6	1.3
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, excluding business acquisitions:		
Accounts receivable	(12.8) (17.2
Inventories and other current assets	(15.8) (6.2
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	11.1	11.7
Other	(1.2) (0.4
Net cash provided by operating activities	1.0	4.8
INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Purchases of property, plant and equipment	(11.5) (3.1
Net cash used by investing activities	(11.5) (3.1
FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Proceeds from term loans and other debt	2.4	—
Payments on term loans and other debt	(0.1) (1.1
Proceeds from revolving credit facility, net	1.7	3.5
Dividends	(1.6) —
Purchase of treasury stock	(1.1) (0.8
Net cash provided by financing activities	1.3	1.6
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	(2.1) 0.5
(Decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(11.3) 3.8
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	58.0	55.2
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$46.7	\$59.0
Income taxes paid	\$1.3	\$1.4
Interest paid	\$1.3	\$1.1

Refer to the accompanying notes to these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Park-Ohio Holdings Corp. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
March 31, 2015

NOTE 1 — Basis of Presentation

The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Park-Ohio Holdings Corp. and its subsidiaries (collectively, “we”, “our” or the “Company”). All significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. Certain amounts in the prior years’ financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the three-month period ended March 31, 2015 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2015. The balance sheet at December 31, 2014 has been derived from the audited financial statements at that date, but does not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

NOTE 2 — New Accounting Pronouncements

Accounting Pronouncements Adopted

In April 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-08, “Presentation of Financial Statements (Topic 205) and Property, Plant, and Equipment (Topic 360): Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of Components of an Entity,” which raises the threshold for disposals to qualify as discontinued operations and requires new disclosures for discontinued operations and for individually material disposal transactions that do not meet the definition of a discontinued operation. The ASU is effective prospectively for reporting periods beginning with the first quarter of 2015. The adoption had no effect on our consolidated financial statement as it only applies to future disposals.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements Not Yet Adopted

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, “Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606),” which was the result of a joint project by the FASB and International Accounting Standards Board to clarify the principles for recognizing revenue and to develop a common revenue standard for U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles and International Financial Reporting Standards. The issuance of a comprehensive and converged standard on revenue recognition is expected to enable financial statement users to better understand and consistently analyze an entity’s revenue across industries, transactions, and geographies. The ASU will require additional disclosures to help financial statement users better understand the nature, amount, timing, and potential uncertainty of the revenue that is recognized. The ASU is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim

periods within that reporting period, however, in April 2015, the FASB proposed a one-year deferral of this standard with a new effective date of December 15, 2017. The ASU will require either retrospective application to each prior reporting period presented or retrospective application with the cumulative effect of initially applying the standard recognized at the date of adoption. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting this guidance.

Table of Contents

Park-Ohio Holdings Corp. and Subsidiaries
 Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
 March 31, 2015

In April 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-03, "Interest-Imputation of Interest: Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs." The amendment requires an entity to present debt issuance costs in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the related debt liability rather than as an asset. Amortization of the debt issuance costs will continue to be reported as interest expense. This ASU is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015 and interim periods within those fiscal years. Early adoption is permitted. The new guidance will be applied retrospectively to each prior period presented. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting this guidance.

NOTE 3 — Segments

The Company operates through three reportable segments: Supply Technologies, Assembly Components and Engineered Products. Supply Technologies provides our customers with Total Supply Management™ services for a broad range of high-volume, specialty production components. Total Supply Management™ manages the efficiencies of every aspect of supplying production parts and materials to our customers' manufacturing floor, from strategic planning to program implementation, and includes such services as engineering and design support, part usage and cost analysis, supplier selection, quality assurance, bar coding, product packaging and tracking, just-in-time and point-of-use delivery, electronic billing services and ongoing technical support. Assembly Components manufactures cast aluminum components, automotive and industrial rubber and thermoplastic products, gasoline direct injection systems, fuel filler and hydraulic assemblies for automotive, agricultural equipment, construction equipment, heavy-duty truck and marine equipment industries. Assembly Components also provides value-added services such as design and engineering, machining and assembly. Engineered Products operates a diverse group of niche manufacturing businesses that design and manufacture a broad range of high quality products engineered for specific customer applications.

The Company primarily evaluates performance and allocates resources based on segment operating income as well as projected future performance. Segment operating income is defined as revenues less expenses identifiable to the product lines included within each segment. Segment operating income reconciles to consolidated income before income taxes by deducting corporate costs that are not attributable to the segments and net interest expense.

Results by business segment were as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2015	2014
	(In millions)	
Net sales:		
Supply Technologies	\$151.4	\$134.4
Assembly Components	140.5	108.1
Engineered Products	82.8	75.3
	\$374.7	\$317.8
Income before income taxes:		
Supply Technologies	\$14.2	\$10.1
Assembly Components	10.6	8.1
Engineered Products	6.2	10.6
Total segment operating income	31.0	28.8

Edgar Filing: PARK OHIO HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

Corporate costs	(6.7) (6.6)
Interest expense	(6.8) (6.3)
Income before income taxes	\$17.5	\$15.9	

9

Table of Contents

Park-Ohio Holdings Corp. and Subsidiaries
 Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
 March 31, 2015

	March 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
	(In millions)	
Identifiable assets:		
Supply Technologies	\$285.2	\$277.6
Assembly Components	347.5	340.5
Engineered Products	241.8	246.9
General corporate	112.4	109.2
	\$986.9	\$974.2

NOTE 4 — Acquisitions

The following table summarizes the Company's recent acquisitions:

Description	Date of Transaction	Purchase Consideration (In millions)	Acquired	Segment
Saet S.p.A An Italian based leader in the design, manufacturing and testing of induction heating equipment and heat treat solutions through its locations in Italy, China, India and Tennessee.	December 4, 2014	\$ 22.1	100% of equity	Engineered Products
Autoform Tool & Manufacturing An Indiana supplier of high end pressure fuel lines used in Gasoline Direct Injection systems across a large number of engine platforms.	October 10, 2014	\$ 48.9	100% of equity	Assembly Components
Apollo Group Limited A U.K. supply chain management services company providing Class C production components and supply chain solutions to aerospace customers worldwide.	June 10, 2014	\$ 6.5	* 100% of equity	Supply Technologies

* Purchase consideration is net of cash acquired.

The acquisitions of Apollo and Autoform were accounted for under the acquisition method of accounting. The purchase price allocations were preliminary as of March 31, 2015. The Apollo purchase agreement provides for payment of contingent consideration of approximately \$2.4 million based on achievement of certain EBITDA targets over two years. The fair value of the earn-out was approximately \$1.1 million at the date of the acquisition for a total purchase consideration of \$6.5 million and at March 31, 2015, the fair value of the earn-out was approximately \$1.6 million. On the acquisition date, a liability was recognized for the estimate of the acquisition date fair value of the earn-out. Any change in the fair value of the earn-out subsequent to the acquisition date will be recognized in selling, general and administrative expenses. Management's valuation of the fair value of tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed are based on estimates and assumptions. The purchase price allocation relating to these acquisitions is subject to further adjustment until all pertinent information regarding finalization of the intangible assets and deferred income tax assets and liabilities for Apollo and Autoform are fully evaluated by the Company and independent valuations are complete. Revisions to these estimates as fair values are finalized will be reflected in the financial statements throughout the measurement period. Based on the preliminary purchase price allocation for these acquisitions, goodwill of \$5.6 million was recorded.

The acquisition of Saet was accounted for under the acquisition method of accounting. The entire purchase price allocation for Saet is preliminary. At March 31, 2015, the fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed

have been preliminarily estimated based on their carrying values and the excess consideration of \$23.2 million has been preliminarily recorded as goodwill, pending finalization of the fair value. These preliminary estimates will be revised during the measurement period in 2015 as all pertinent information regarding finalization of the third-party valuations for inventories, intangible assets, goodwill, tangible assets, other liabilities and deferred income tax assets and liabilities acquired are fully evaluated by the Company.

NOTE 5 — Accounts Receivable

10

Table of Contents

Park-Ohio Holdings Corp. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
March 31, 2015

We sell accounts receivable to reduce accounts receivable concentration risk and to provide additional financing capacity. The following table summarizes accounts receivable sold and the losses recorded on the sales of accounts receivable.

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2015	2014
	(In millions)	
Accounts receivable sold	\$26.1	\$23.0
Loss on sale of accounts receivable	\$(0.1) \$(0.1

The loss on the sale of accounts receivable is recorded in selling, general and administrative expenses. These losses represent the implicit interest on the transaction.

NOTE 6 — Inventories

The components of inventory consist of the following:

	March 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
	(In millions)	
Finished goods	\$151.0	\$146.0
Work in process	34.2	19.8
Raw materials and supplies	64.3	72.6
Inventories, net	\$249.5	\$238.4

NOTE 7 — Goodwill

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill by segment for the periods ended March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 were as follows:

	Supply Technologies (In millions)	Assembly Components	Engineered Products	Total
Balance at January 1, 2014	\$6.4	\$49.0	\$5.0	\$60.4
Acquisitions	0.7	5.0	23.2	28.9
Foreign currency translation	0.5	—	(0.3) 0.2
Balance at December 31, 2014	7.6	54.0	27.9	89.5
Foreign currency translation	(0.4) —	(2.1) (2.5
Acquisition adjustments	—	(0.1) —	(0.1
Balance at March 31, 2015	\$7.2	\$53.9	\$25.8	\$86.9

During the first quarter of 2015, we adjusted the preliminary goodwill recorded for Autoform primarily to reflect the adjustments to the determination of fair value of acquired intangible assets. The 2014 condensed consolidated financial statements have not been retroactively adjusted as these measurement period adjustments did not have a material impact on such statements.

The increase in goodwill from January 1, 2014 is due to the acquisitions of Apollo, Autoform and Saet. The goodwill associated with the Apollo and Saet transactions is not deductible for income tax purposes.

Table of Contents

Park-Ohio Holdings Corp. and Subsidiaries
 Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
 March 31, 2015

NOTE 8 — Other Intangible Assets

Information regarding other intangible assets as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 follows:

	March 31, 2015			December 31, 2014			
	Weighted Average Useful Life	Acquisition Cost	Accumulated Amortization	Net	Acquisition Cost	Accumulated Amortization	Net
	(In millions)						
Non-contractual customer relationships	11.5 years	\$76.2	\$ 14.7	\$61.5	\$77.3	\$ 13.2	\$64.1
Indefinite-lived tradenames	*	14.0	—	14.0	14.0	—	14.0
Other	17.6 years	12.2	2.5	9.7	12.3	2.3	10.0
Total		\$102.4	\$ 17.2	\$85.2	\$103.6	\$ 15.5	\$88.1

* Not applicable, tradenames have an indefinite life.

Information regarding amortization expense of other intangibles assets follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2015	2014
	(In millions)	
Amortization expense	\$1.7	\$1.1

NOTE 9 — Accrued Warranty Costs

The Company estimates the amount of warranty claims on sold products that may be incurred based on current and historical data. The actual warranty expense could differ from the estimates made by the Company based on product performance. The following table presents the changes in the Company's product warranty liability for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014:

	2015	2014
	(In millions)	
Balance at January 1,	\$6.9	\$5.4
Claims paid	(1.2) (0.8
Warranty expense, net	0.3	0.5
Balance at March 31,	\$6.0	\$5.1

NOTE 10 — Financing Arrangements

Long-term debt consists of the following:

Table of Contents

Park-Ohio Holdings Corp. and Subsidiaries
 Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
 March 31, 2015

	Issuance Date	Maturity Date	Interest Rate at March 31, 2015		Carrying Value at	
					March 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
					(In millions)	
Senior Notes	April 1, 2011	April 1, 2021	8.125	%	\$250.0	\$250.0
Revolving credit	—	July 31, 2019	1.69	%	163.7	162.0
Term loan	—	July 31, 2019	2.31	%	31.2	28.8
Other	Various	Various	Various		2.9	3.0
Total debt					447.8	443.8
Less current maturities					12.6	9.4
Total long-term debt, net of current portion					\$435.2	\$434.4

On July 31, 2014, the Company entered into a sixth amendment and restatement of the credit agreement (the “Amended Credit Agreement”). The Amended Credit Agreement, among other things, increased the revolving credit facility to \$230.0 million, provided a term loan for \$16.1 million and extended the maturity date of the borrowings under the Amended Credit Agreement to July 31, 2019. The revolving credit facility includes a Canadian sub-limit of \$15.0 million and a European sub-limit of \$10.0 million (which may be increased to \$25.0 million) for borrowings in those locations.

The Amended Credit Agreement was further amended in accordance with Amendments No. 1, 2 and 3 to the Amended Credit Agreement, dated October 24, 2014, January 20, 2015 and March 12, 2015, respectively (the “Amendments”). The Amendments:

- increase the revolving credit facility from \$230.0 million to \$275.0 million;
- increase the inventory advance rate from 50% to 60%, reducing back to 50% on a pro-rata quarterly basis over 36 months commencing April 1, 2015;
- reload the term loan up to \$35.0 million from \$15.5 million, of which \$31.2 million has been borrowed and is outstanding as of March 31, 2015;
- increase the Canadian sub-limit up to \$25.0 million from \$15.0 million;
- increase the European sub-limit up to \$25.0 million from \$10.0 million; and
- provide minor pricing adjustments including pricing the first \$22.0 million drawn on the revolver at LIBOR + 3.50%, reducing automatically on a pro-rata quarterly basis over 36 months commencing April 1, 2015.

At the Company’s election, domestic amounts borrowed under the revolving credit facility may be borrowed at either:

- LIBOR plus 1.5% to 2.5%; or
- the bank’s prime lending rate minus 0.25% to 1.25%.

At the Company's election, amounts borrowed under the term loan may be borrowed at either:

- LIBOR plus 2.0% to 3.0%; or
- the bank’s prime lending rate minus 0.75% to plus 0.25%.

The LIBOR-based interest rate is dependent on the Company's debt service coverage ratio, as defined in the Amended Credit Agreement.

Amounts borrowed under the Canadian revolving credit facility provided by the Amended Credit Agreement may be borrowed at either:

- the Canadian deposit offered rate plus 1.5% to 2.5%;

Table of Contents

Park-Ohio Holdings Corp. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

March 31, 2015

- the Canadian prime lending rate plus 0.0% to 1.0%; or
- the US base rate plus 0.0% to 1.0%.

Under the Amended Credit Agreement, a detailed borrowing base formula provides borrowing availability to the Company based on percentages of eligible accounts receivable and inventory. The term loan is amortized based on a seven-year schedule with the balance due at maturity. The Amended Credit Agreement also reduced the commitment fee for the revolving credit facility. Additionally, the Company has the option, pursuant to the Amended Credit Agreement, to increase the availability under the revolving credit facility by \$50.0 million.

The following table represents fair value information of the Company's Senior Notes, classified as Level 1, at March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014. The fair value was estimated using quoted market prices.

	March 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
	(In millions)	
Carrying amount	\$250.0	\$250.0
Fair value	\$267.5	\$266.3

Table of Contents

Park-Ohio Holdings Corp. and Subsidiaries
 Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
 March 31, 2015

NOTE 11 — Income Taxes

The Company's tax provision for interim periods is determined using an estimate of its annual effective income tax rate, adjusted for discrete items, if any, that are taken into account in the relevant period. Each quarter, the Company updates the estimated annual effective income tax rate, and if the estimated income tax rate changes, a cumulative adjustment is made.

The effective tax rate for the first three months of 2015 and 2014 was 36.6% and 35.2%, respectively. The Company recognizes accrued interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense.

NOTE 12 — Stock-Based Compensation

A summary of stock option activity as of March 31, 2015 and changes during the first three months of 2015 is presented below:

	2015		Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price		(In millions)
	(In whole shares)			
Outstanding - beginning of year	143,500	\$ 16.76		
Granted	—	—		
Exercised	—	—		
Canceled or expired	—	—		
Outstanding - end of period	143,500	\$ 16.76	1.5 years	\$5.2
Options exercisable	143,500	\$ 16.76	1.5 years	\$5.2

A summary of restricted share and performance share activity for the three months ended March 31, 2015 is as follows:

	2015 Time-Based		Performance-Based	
	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
	(In whole shares)		(In whole shares)	
Outstanding - beginning of year	344,932	\$33.55	28,000	\$20.30
Granted	1,000	58.74	—	—
Vested	(41,666) 20.34	(14,000) 20.30
Canceled or expired	—	—	—	—

Edgar Filing: PARK OHIO HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

Outstanding - end of period	304,266	\$35.37	14,000	\$20.30
-----------------------------	---------	---------	--------	---------

Total stock-based compensation expense included in selling, general and administrative expenses during the first three months of 2015 and 2014 was \$1.6 million and \$1.3 million, respectively. As of March 31, 2015, there was \$8.3 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested stock-based compensation, which cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.9 years.

Table of Contents

Park-Ohio Holdings Corp. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
March 31, 2015

NOTE 13 — Commitments, Contingencies and Litigation Judgment

The Company is subject to various pending and threatened legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business. Although the Company cannot precisely predict the amount of any liability that may ultimately arise with respect to any of these matters, the Company records provisions when it considers the liability probable and reasonably estimable. Our provisions are based on historical experience and legal advice, reviewed quarterly and adjusted according to developments. Estimating probable losses requires the analysis of multiple forecasted factors that often depend on judgments about potential actions by third parties, such as regulators, courts, and state and federal legislatures. Changes in the amounts of our loss provisions, which can be material, affect our financial condition. Due to the inherent uncertainties in the process undertaken to estimate potential losses, we are unable to estimate an additional range of loss in excess of our accruals. While it is reasonably possible that such excess liabilities, if they were to occur, could be material to operating results in any given quarter or year of their recognition, we do not believe that it is reasonably possible that such excess liabilities would have a material adverse effect on our long-term results of operations, liquidity or consolidated financial position.

Our subsidiaries are involved in a number of contractual and warranty related disputes. We believe that appropriate liabilities for these contingencies have been recorded; however, actual results may differ materially from our estimates.

IPSCO Tubulars Inc. d/b/a TMK IPSCO sued Ajax Tocco Magnethermic Corporation (“ATM”), a subsidiary of Park-Ohio Holdings Corporation, in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Arkansas claiming that equipment supplied by ATM for heat treating certain steel pipe at IPSCO's Blytheville, Arkansas facility did not perform as required by the contract. The complaint alleged causes of action for breach of contract, gross negligence and constructive fraud. IPSCO sought approximately \$10.0 million in damages plus an unspecified amount of punitive damages. ATM denied the allegations. ATM subsequently obtained summary judgment on the constructive fraud claim, which was dismissed by the district court prior to trial. The remaining claims were the subject of a bench trial that occurred in May 2013. After IPSCO presented its case, the district court entered partial judgment in favor of ATM, dismissing the gross negligence claim, a portion of the breach of contract claim, and any claim for punitive damages. The trial proceeded with respect to the remainder of IPSCO's claim for breach of contract. In September 2013, the district court issued a judgment in favor of IPSCO in the amount of \$5.2 million, which the Company recognized and accrued for at that time. IPSCO subsequently filed a motion seeking to recover \$3.8 million in attorneys' fees and costs. The district court reserved ruling on that issue pending an appeal. In October 2013, ATM filed an appeal with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit seeking reversal of the judgment in favor of IPSCO. In November 2013, IPSCO filed a cross-appeal seeking reversal of the dismissal of its claim for gross negligence and punitive damages. The Eighth Circuit issued an opinion in March 2015 affirming in part, reversing in part, and remanding the case. It affirmed the district court's determination that ATM was liable for breach of contract. It also affirmed the district court's dismissal of IPSCO's claim for gross negligence and punitive damages. However, the Eighth Circuit reversed nearly all of the damages awarded by the district court and remanded for further findings on the issue of damages, including whether consequential damages are barred under the express language of the contract. Because IPSCO did not appeal the award of \$5.2 million in its favor, those damages may be decreased, but cannot be increased, on remand. IPSCO's motion to recover attorney's fees and costs is stayed pending the outcome of the proceedings on remand.

In August 2013, the Company received a subpoena from the staff of the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) in connection with the staff's investigation of a third party. At that time, the Company also learned that the U.S.

Department of Justice (“DOJ”) is conducting a criminal investigation of the third party. In connection with its initial response to the staff’s subpoena, the Company disclosed to the staff of the SEC that, in November 2007, the third party participated in a payment on behalf of the Company to a foreign tax official that implicates the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act.

The Board of Directors of the Company has formed a special committee to review the Company’s transactions with the third party and to make any recommendations to the Board of Directors with respect thereto.

The Company intends to cooperate fully with the SEC and the DOJ in connection with their investigations of the third party and with the SEC in light of the Company’s disclosure. The Company is unable to predict the outcome or impact of the special committee’s investigation or the length, scope or results of the SEC’s review or the impact on its results of operations.

Table of Contents

Park-Ohio Holdings Corp. and Subsidiaries
 Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
 March 31, 2015

NOTE 14 — Pension Plans and Other Postretirement Benefits

The components of net periodic benefit (gains) costs recognized during interim periods were as follows:

	Pension Benefits		Postretirement Benefits	
	Three Months Ended March 31,		Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
	(In millions)			
Service costs	\$0.6	\$0.6	\$—	\$0.2
Interest costs	0.6	0.6	0.1	—
Expected return on plan assets	(2.5) (2.6) —	—
Recognized net actuarial loss	—	—	0.2	0.1
Net periodic benefit (gains) costs	\$ (1.3) \$ (1.4) \$ 0.3	\$ 0.3

NOTE 15 — Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

The components of and changes in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 were as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2015		
	Cumulative Translation Adjustment	Pension and Postretirement Benefits	Total
	(In millions)		
Beginning balance	\$ (5.1) \$ (8.9) \$ (14.0
Foreign currency translation adjustments ^(a)	(5.3) —	(5.3
Recognition of actuarial loss ^(b)	—	0.2	0.2
Ending balance	\$ (10.4) \$ (8.7) \$ (19.1

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2014		
	Cumulative Translation Adjustment	Pension and Postretirement Benefits	Total
	(In millions)		
Beginning balance	\$ 2.8	\$ 0.6	\$ 3.4
Foreign currency translation adjustments ^(a)	(0.4) —	(0.4
Recognition of actuarial loss ^(b)	—	(0.1) (0.1
Ending balance	\$ 2.4	\$ 0.5	\$ 2.9

(a) No income taxes are provided on foreign currency translation adjustments as foreign earnings are considered permanently invested.

(b) The recognition of actuarial losses are reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) and included in the computation of net periodic benefit cost in selling, general and administrative expenses.

NOTE 16 — Weighted-Average Number of Shares Used in Computing Earnings Per Share

The following table sets forth the weighted-average number of shares used in the computation of earnings per share:

17

Table of Contents

Park-Ohio Holdings Corp. and Subsidiaries
 Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
 March 31, 2015

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2015	2014
	(In whole shares)	
Weighted average basic shares outstanding	12,165,884	12,023,453
Plus dilutive impact of employee stock awards	257,574	307,567
Weighted average diluted shares outstanding	12,423,458	12,331,020

Earnings per common share is computed as net income less net income attributable to noncontrolling interests divided by the weighted average basic shares outstanding. Diluted earnings per common share is computed as net income less net income attributable to noncontrolling interests divided by the weighted average diluted shares outstanding.

Outstanding stock options with exercise prices greater than the average price of the common shares are anti-dilutive and are excluded in the computation of diluted earnings per share. For the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, there were no anti-dilutive shares.

NOTE 17— Subsequent Event

On April 30, 2015, the Company's Board of Directors declared a quarterly dividend of \$0.125 per common share. The dividend will be paid on May 29, 2015 to shareholders of record as of the close of business on May 15, 2015 and will result in a cash outlay of approximately \$1.6 million.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Our condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Park-Ohio Holdings Corp. and its subsidiaries (collectively, "we," "our," or the "Company"). All significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW

We are an industrial Total Supply Management™ and diversified manufacturing business, operating in three segments: Supply Technologies, Assembly Components and Engineered Products.

Our Supply Technologies business provides our customers with Total Supply Management™, a proactive solutions approach that manages the efficiencies of every aspect of supplying production parts and materials to our customers' manufacturing floor, from strategic planning to program implementation. Total Supply Management™ includes such services as engineering and design support, part usage and cost analysis, supplier selection, quality assurance, bar coding, product packaging and tracking, just-in-time and point-of-use delivery, electronic billing services and ongoing technical support. Our Supply Technologies business services customers in the following principal industries: heavy-duty truck; automotive, truck and vehicle parts; power sports and recreational equipment; bus and coaches; electrical distribution and controls; agricultural and construction equipment; consumer electronics; HVAC; lawn and garden; semiconductor equipment; aerospace and defense; and plumbing.

Assembly Components manufactures parts and assemblies and provides value-added design, engineering and assembly services that are incorporated into our customer's end products. Our product offerings include cast and machined aluminum engine, transmission, brake, suspension and other components, such as pump housings, clutch retainers/pistons, control arms, knuckles, master cylinders, pinion housings, brake calipers, oil pans and flywheel spacers, industrial hose and injected molded rubber components, gasoline direct injection systems and fuel filler assemblies. Our products are primarily used in the following industries: automotive; agricultural; construction; heavy-duty truck; and marine original equipment manufacturers ("OEMs"), on a sole-source basis.

Engineered Products operates a diverse group of niche manufacturing businesses that design and manufacture a broad range of highly-engineered products including induction heating and melting systems, pipe threading systems, industrial oven systems, and forged and machined products. Engineered Products also produces and provides services and spare parts for the equipment it manufactures. The principal customers of Engineered Products are OEMs, sub-assemblers and end users in the ferrous and non-ferrous metals, silicon, coatings, forging, foundry, heavy-duty truck, construction equipment, automotive, oil and gas, locomotive and rail manufacturing, and aerospace and defense industries.

Sales, segment operating income and other relevant financial data for these three segments are provided in Note 3 to the condensed consolidated financial statements, included elsewhere herein.

Primary Factors Affecting 2015 Results

The following factors most affected our consolidated results for the three months ended March 31, 2015:

Our 2014 strategic bolt-on acquisitions of Apollo, Autoform and Saet added a combined \$27.1 million of incremental revenues in the three months ended March 31, 2015, compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014. These acquisitions have been successfully integrated into our segments and the earnings results of these combined acquisitions have been accretive to us for the three months ended March 31, 2015.

In addition to our net sales growth associated with acquisitions, during the first quarter of 2015, our organic net sales growth was \$29.8 million, or 9.4%. Our organic net sales growth for the first quarter of 2015 is primarily due to strong performance in the Supply Technologies segment and our Aluminum business unit of the Assembly Components segment.

Table of Contents

Overall, we had excellent net sales growth of 17.9% for the first quarter of 2015 when compared to the same period in the prior year. However, we experienced a 200 basis point reduction in gross margin in the first quarter of 2015, compared to the first quarter of 2014. The gross margin decline is attributable to unfavorable sales mix that we experienced in our Engineered Products segment. Even though net sales in the Engineered Products segment increased 10% to approximately \$83.0 million in the first quarter of 2015 compared to 2014, our higher margin aftermarket product sales declined 18%, and on a sequential basis, aftermarket sales declined 11%. Within the aftermarket product line, oil and gas aftermarket product sales declined 60% compared to the prior year and 49% sequentially. The oil and gas industry has been adversely affected by the uncertainty in the related markets and declining prices of oil since the fourth quarter of 2014.

Subsequent Events

On April 30, 2015, the Company's Board of Directors declared a quarterly dividend of \$0.125 per common share. The dividend will be paid on May 29, 2015 to shareholders of record as of the close of business on May 15, 2015 and will result in a cash outlay of approximately \$1.6 million.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Three Months Ended March 31, 2015 Compared with Three Months Ended March 31, 2014

	Three Months Ended March 31,		\$ Change	% Change	
	2015	2014			
	(Dollars in millions, except per share data)				
Net sales	\$374.7	\$317.8	\$56.9	17.9	%
Cost of sales	316.3	261.8	54.5	20.8	%
Gross profit	58.4	56.0	2.4	4.3	%
Gross profit as a percentage of net sales	15.6	% 17.6	%		
SG&A expenses	34.1	33.8	0.3	0.9	%
SG&A as a percentage of net sales	9.1	% 10.6	%		
Operating income	24.3	22.2	2.1	9.5	%
Interest expense	6.8	6.3	0.5	7.9	%
Income before income taxes	17.5	15.9	1.6	10.1	%
Income tax expense	6.4	5.6	0.8	14.3	%
Net income	11.1	10.3	0.8	7.8	%
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	(0.3)	(0.2)	(0.1)	50.0	%
Net income attributable to ParkOhio common shareholders	\$10.8	\$10.1	\$0.7	6.9	%
Earnings per common share attributable to ParkOhio common shareholders:					
Basic	\$0.89	\$0.84	\$0.05	6.0	%
Diluted	\$0.87	\$0.82	\$0.05	6.1	%

Net Sales:

Net sales increased \$56.9 million, or 17.9%, to \$374.7 million in the first three months of 2015, compared to \$317.8 million in the same period in 2014, mainly due to the incremental sales from acquisitions of \$27.1 million and organic volume increases from each of our segments. Organic net sales growth was \$29.8 million, or 9.4%, compared to the same period in 2014.

Table of Contents

The factors explaining the changes in segment revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the prior year comparable period are contained within the “Segment Analysis” section.

Cost of Sales & Gross Profit:

Cost of sales increased \$54.5 million to \$316.3 million in the first three months of 2015, compared to \$261.8 million in the same period in 2014. The increase in cost of sales was primarily due to incremental cost of sales from acquisitions of \$23.3 million and the increase in organic net sales volumes.

The gross profit margin percentage was 15.6% in the first three months of 2015 compared to 17.6% in the same period in 2014. The decrease in gross margin percentage of 200 basis points is largely due to unfavorable sales mix that we experienced in our Engineered Products segment. Even though net sales in the Engineered Products segment increased 10% to approximately \$83.0 million in the first quarter of 2015 compared to 2014, our higher margin aftermarket product sales declined 18%, and on a sequential basis, aftermarket sales declined 11%. Within the aftermarket product line, oil and gas aftermarket product sales declined 60% compared to the prior year and 49% sequentially. The oil and gas industry has been adversely affected by the uncertainty in the related markets and declining prices of oil since the fourth quarter of 2014.

SG&A Expenses:

Consolidated SG&A expenses increased \$0.3 million to \$34.1 million in the first three months of 2015, compared to \$33.8 million in the same period in 2014. Even though we incurred \$2.7 million of incremental SG&A costs in the first quarter of 2015 associated with recent acquisitions, SG&A expenses as a percent of sales decreased 150 basis points to 9.1% in the first three months of 2015 compared to 10.6% in the first three months of 2014 due to a \$1.6 million reduction in professional fees, good cost controls and the impact of realized foreign currency translation gains.

Interest Expense:

	Three Months Ended March 31,			
	2015	2014	\$ Change	% Change
	(Dollars in millions)			
Interest expense	\$6.8	\$6.3	\$0.5	7.9 %
Average outstanding borrowings	\$445.7	\$382.4	\$63.3	16.6 %
Average borrowing rate	6.10	% 6.59	%	

Interest expense increased \$0.5 million in the first three months of 2015 compared to the same period in 2014. Average borrowings in the first three months of 2015 were higher when compared to the same period in 2014 due to additional borrowings to fund acquisitions. The average borrowing rate in the first three months of 2015 has decreased 49 basis points in the first three months of 2015 compared to the same period in 2014, reflecting lower interest rates on the revolving credit facility in 2015 compared to 2014.

Income Tax Expense:

The provision for income taxes was \$6.4 million, at a 36.6% effective income tax rate, in the first three months of 2015. This was comparable to income taxes of \$5.6 million, at a 35.2% effective income tax rate, in the corresponding period of 2014.

Net Income:

Net income increased \$0.8 million to \$11.1 million for the first three months of 2015, compared to \$10.3 million for the first three months of 2014, due to the reasons described above.

Table of Contents

Net Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interest:

As a result of the sale of the 25% equity interest in a small forging business in the third quarter of 2013, income of \$0.3 million for the first three months of 2015 and \$0.2 million for the first three months of 2014 was attributable to the noncontrolling interest and was deducted from net income to derive net income attributable to ParkOhio common shareholders.

Net Income Attributable to ParkOhio Common Shareholders:

Net income attributable to ParkOhio common shareholders increased \$0.7 million to \$10.8 million in the first three months of 2015, compared to \$10.1 million in the same period of 2014, due to the reasons described above.

SEGMENT ANALYSIS

We primarily evaluate performance and allocate resources based on segment operating income as well as projected future performance. Segment operating income is defined as revenues less expenses identifiable to the business units and product lines included within each segment. Segment operating income will reconcile to consolidated income from continuing operations before income taxes by deducting corporate costs that are not attributable to the segments and net interest expense.

The proportion of consolidated revenues and segment operating income attributed to each segment was as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31,			
	2015	2014	% Change	
Revenues:				
Supply Technologies	40	% 42	% (2)%
Assembly Components	38	% 34	% 4	%
Engineered Products	22	% 24	% (2)%
Segment Operating Income:				
Supply Technologies	46	% 35	% 11	%
Assembly Components	34	% 28	% 6	%
Engineered Products	20	% 37	% (17)%

Supply Technologies Segment

Three Months Ended March 31, 2015 Compared with Three Months Ended March 31, 2014

	Three Months Ended March 31,			
	2015	2014	\$ Change	% Change
	(Dollars in millions)			
Net sales	\$151.4	\$134.4	\$17.0	13 %
Segment operating income	\$14.2	\$10.1	\$4.1	41 %
Segment operating income margin	9.4	% 7.5	%	

Table of Contents

Net Sales: The majority of our growth in the first three months of 2015 was organic growth in our diversified markets. This growth was driven by the heavy-duty truck market, which was up 24%; the power sports and recreational equipment market, which increased 24%; the semiconductor market, which was up 58%; and the consumer electronics market, which was up 13%. In addition, our automotive business of the fastener manufacturing division generated sales increases of 23% in the first three months of 2015.

Segment Operating Income: With increases in net sales, segment operating income increased \$4.1 million, or 41%, to \$14.2 million. Segment operating income margin was 9.4%, which was a 190 basis points increase compared to the prior year's first three months segment operating income margin of 7.5%. These improvements were driven largely by improved operating leverage, the full integration of the late 2013 and 2014 European acquisitions and the continued focus on more highly engineered products in the portfolio.

Assembly Components Segment

Three Months Ended March 31, 2015 Compared with Three Months Ended March 31, 2014

	Three Months Ended March 31,		\$ Change	% Change
	2015	2014		
	(Dollars in millions)			
Net sales	\$140.5	\$108.1	\$32.4	30 %
Segment operating income	\$10.6	\$8.1	\$2.5	31 %
Segment operating income margin	7.5	% 7.5	%	

Net Sales: The significant increase in net sales is primarily due to incremental sales from new programs with our automotive customers in our aluminum business. The aluminum business revenues increased 35%. Also contributing to the overall increase in net sales was the incremental revenues in 2015 associated with the acquisition of Autoform of approximately \$14.9 million.

Segment Operating Income: On the contribution from the Autoform acquisition in its first year of ownership and the improved performance of our 2013 Bates acquisition, segment operating income increased 31% in the first three months of 2015 compared to the same period in 2014. Our segment operating income margin was 7.5%, in the first three months of 2015 and 2014. While the Aluminum business did generate modest dollar profitability improvements sequentially and year over year, margins and total return still significantly lag our expectations.

Engineered Products Segment

Three Months Ended March 31, 2015 Compared with Three Months Ended March 31, 2014

	Three Months Ended March 31,		\$ Change	% Change
	2015	2014		
	(Dollars in millions)			
Net sales	\$82.8	\$75.3	\$7.5	10 %
Segment operating income	\$6.2	\$10.6	\$(4.4)	(42) %
Segment operating income margin	7.5	% 14.1	%	

Table of Contents

Net Sales: Our industrial equipment business net sales increased in the first three months of 2015 by 16%. This business unit can experience volatility in the timing of completed jobs and the associated revenue recognition related to percentage of completion accounting based on the jobs' complexity and size. However, our aftermarket business decreased 18% in the first three months of 2015 compared to the first three months of 2014. Our forging business sales declined 7% year over year as sales were unfavorably impacted by reduced demand for some of its rail and aircraft forging products during the first quarter of 2015.

Segment Operating Income: Although sales increased in 2015, segment operating income decreased 42% in the first three months of 2015. In addition, segment operating income margin declined 660 basis points to 7.5% in the first three months of 2015 compared to 14.1% in the first three months of 2014. The operating margin decline was primarily due to unfavorable sales mix that we experienced in our Engineered Products segment. Even though net sales in the Engineered Products segment increased 10% to approximately \$83.0 million in the first quarter of 2015 compared to 2014, our higher margin aftermarket product sales declined 18%, and on a sequential basis, aftermarket sales declined 11%. Within the aftermarket product line, oil and gas aftermarket product sales declined 60% compared to the prior year and 49% sequentially. The oil and gas industry has been adversely affected by the uncertainty in the related markets and declining prices of oil since the fourth quarter of 2014.

Liquidity and Sources of Capital

Our liquidity needs are primarily for working capital, capital expenditures and acquisitions. Our primary sources of liquidity have been funds provided by operations and funds available from existing bank credit arrangements and the sale of our debt securities. On April 7, 2011, we completed the sale of \$250.0 million aggregate principal amount of 8.125% Senior Notes due 2021 (the "Senior Notes"). The Senior Notes bear an interest rate of 8.125% per annum payable semi-annually in arrears on April 1 and October 1 of each year. The Senior Notes mature on April 1, 2021.

The Company is a party to a credit and security agreement, dated November 5, 2003, as amended and restated (the "Credit Agreement"), with a group of banks, under which it may borrow or issue standby letters of credit or commercial letters of credit. As of March 31, 2015, we had \$194.9 million outstanding and approximately \$83.2 million of unused borrowing availability under the revolving credit facility provided by the Credit Agreement. Also, as of March 31, 2015, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$46.7 million. On July 31, 2014, the Company entered into a sixth amendment and restatement of the credit agreement (the "Amended Credit Agreement"), which was further amended on October 24, 2014, January 20, 2015 and March 12, 2015. Please refer to Note 10 - Financing Arrangements for further discussion.

Current financial resources (working capital and available bank borrowing arrangements) and anticipated funds from operations are expected to be adequate to meet current cash requirements for at least the next twelve months, including but not limited to, our ability to maintain current operations and capital expenditure requirements, service our debt, pay dividends, pursue acquisitions, and repurchase shares. The future availability of bank borrowings under the revolving credit facility provided by the Credit Agreement are based on our ability to meet a debt service ratio covenant, which could be materially impacted by negative economic trends. Failure to meet the debt service ratio could materially impact the availability and interest rate of future borrowings.

The Company had cash and cash equivalents held by foreign subsidiaries of \$34.8 million at March 31, 2015 and \$44.5 million at December 31, 2014. For each of our foreign subsidiaries, we make a determination regarding the amount of earnings intended for permanent reinvestment, with the balance, if any, available to be repatriated to the United States. The cash held by foreign subsidiaries for permanent reinvestment is generally used to finance the foreign subsidiaries' operational activities and/or future foreign investments. At March 31, 2015, management believed that sufficient liquidity was available in the United States, and it is our current intention to permanently reinvest

undistributed earnings of our foreign subsidiaries outside of the United States. Although we have no intention to repatriate the approximately \$98.6 million of undistributed earnings of our foreign subsidiaries, as of March 31, 2015, if we were to repatriate these earnings, there would potentially be an adverse tax impact.

At March 31, 2015, our debt service coverage ratio was 2.0, and, therefore, we were in compliance with the debt service coverage ratio covenant contained in the revolving credit facility provided by the Credit Agreement. We were also in compliance with the other covenants contained in the revolving credit facility as of March 31, 2015. The debt service coverage ratio is calculated at the end of each fiscal quarter and is based on the most recently ended four fiscal quarters of consolidated EBITDA minus cash taxes paid, minus unfunded capital expenditures, plus cash tax refunds to consolidated debt charges that are consolidated cash interest expense plus scheduled principal payments on indebtedness plus scheduled reductions in our term debt as defined in the Credit Agreement. The debt service coverage ratio must be greater than 1.0 and not less than 1.1 for any two consecutive fiscal quarters. While we expect to remain in compliance throughout 2015, declines in sales volumes in 2015 could adversely impact our ability to remain in compliance with certain of these financial covenants. Additionally, to the extent our customers are adversely affected by declines in the economy in general, they may be unable to pay their accounts payable to

Table of Contents

us on a timely basis or at all, which would make the accounts receivable ineligible for purposes of the revolving credit facility and could reduce our borrowing base and our ability to borrow under such facility.

The ratio of current assets to current liabilities was 2.20 at March 31, 2015, compared to 2.21 at December 31, 2014. Working capital increased by \$5.0 million to \$323.3 million at March 31, 2015, from \$318.3 million at December 31, 2014. Accounts receivable increased \$10.0 million to \$218.0 million at March 31, 2015, from \$208.0 million at December 31, 2014, primarily resulting from sales volume increases at the end of the respective quarter. Inventory increased by \$11.1 million at March 31, 2015, to \$249.5 million from \$238.4 million at December 31, 2014, primarily resulting from increases in customer demand and the west coast port inefficiencies. Accounts payable increased \$2.0 million to \$162.3 million at March 31, 2015, from \$160.3 million at December 31, 2014, primarily as a result of the timing of payments. Accrued expenses remained relatively flat and only slightly increased by \$4.4 million to \$108.0 million at March 31, 2015, from \$103.6 million at December 31, 2014.

The Company paid dividends of \$1.6 million during the three months ended March 31, 2015. In April 2015, our Board of Directors declared a dividend of \$0.125 per common share payable on May 29, 2015 to our common shareholders of record as of May 15, 2015, which will result in a cash outlay of approximately \$1.6 million in the second quarter of 2015. Although we currently intend to pay a quarterly dividend on an ongoing basis, all future dividend declarations will be at the discretion of our Board of Directors and dependent upon the then-existing conditions, including our operating results and financial condition, capital requirements, contractual restrictions, business prospects and other factors that our Board of Directors may deem relevant.

The following table summarizes the major components of cash flow:

	Three Months Ended		\$ Change
	2015	2014	
Net cash provided (used) by:	(In millions)		
Operating activities	\$1.0	\$4.8	\$(3.8)
Investing activities	(11.5)	(3.1)	(8.4)
Financing activities	1.3	1.6	(0.3)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	(2.1)	0.5	(2.6)
(Decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	\$(11.3)	\$3.8	\$(15.1)

Operating Activities

Cash provided by operating activities decreased \$3.8 million to \$1.0 million in the first three months of 2015 compared to \$4.8 million in the first three months of 2014. The decrease in operating cash flows was primarily the result of increases in working capital used to support the growth of our results of operations.

Investing Activities

Our purchases of property, plant and equipment were \$11.5 million in the first three months of 2015 compared to \$3.1 million in the first three months of 2014. The increases in capital expenditure spending for the first three months of 2015 compared to the same period in 2014 were primarily associated with capital spending in our aluminum business of the Assembly Components segment as the programs initiated in 2014 were ramping up and capital spending has increased.

Financing Activities

Cash provided by financing activities of \$1.3 million and cash provided of \$1.6 million by financing activities in the first three months of 2015 and 2014, respectively, primarily consisted of net borrowings on debt instruments, offset by

dividends and purchase of treasury shares.

25

Table of Contents

We do not have off-balance sheet arrangements, financing or other relationships with unconsolidated entities or other persons. There are occasions whereupon we enter into forward contracts on foreign currencies, purely for the purpose of hedging exposure to changes in the value of accounts receivable in those currencies against the U.S. dollar. At March 31, 2015, none were outstanding. We currently have no other derivative instruments.

Seasonality; Variability of Operating Results

The timing of orders placed by our customers has varied with, among other factors, orders for customers' finished goods, customer production schedules, competitive conditions and general economic conditions. The variability of the level and timing of orders has, from time to time, resulted in significant periodic and quarterly fluctuations in the operations of our business units. Such variability is particularly evident at the capital equipment business unit, included in the Engineered Products segment, which typically ships a few large systems per year.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our critical accounting policies are described in Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, and in the notes to our Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended December 31, 2014 contained in our 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K. There were no new accounting policies or updates to existing accounting policies as a result of new accounting pronouncements discussed in the notes to our condensed consolidated financial statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. The application of our critical accounting policies may require management to make judgments and estimates about the amounts reflected in the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. Management uses historical experience and all available information to make these estimates and judgments, and different amounts could be reported using different assumptions and estimates.

Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains certain statements that are "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act and Section 21E of the Exchange Act. The words "believes", "anticipates", "plans", "expects", "intends", "estimates" and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements.

These forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results, performance and achievements, or industry results, to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. These factors include, but are not limited to the following: our substantial indebtedness; the uncertainty of the global economic environment; general business conditions and competitive factors, including pricing pressures and product innovation; demand for our products and services; raw material availability and pricing; fluctuations in energy costs; component part availability and pricing; changes in our relationships with customers and suppliers; the financial condition of our customers, including the impact of any bankruptcies; our ability to successfully integrate recent and future acquisitions into existing operations; the amounts and timing, if any, of purchases of our common stock; changes in general domestic economic conditions such as inflation rates, interest rates, tax rates, unemployment rates, higher labor and healthcare costs, recessions and changing government policies, laws and regulations, including the uncertainties related to any global financial crises; adverse impacts to us, our suppliers and customers from acts of terrorism or hostilities; our ability to meet various covenants, including financial covenants, contained in the agreements governing our indebtedness; disruptions, uncertainties or volatility in the credit markets that may limit our access to capital; potential disruption due to a partial or complete reconfiguration of the European Union; increasingly stringent domestic and foreign governmental regulations, including those affecting the environment; inherent uncertainties involved in assessing our potential liability for environmental remediation-related activities; the outcome of pending and future litigation and other claims and disputes with customers; the outcome of the review being

conducted by the special committee of our Board of Directors; our dependence on the automotive and heavy-duty truck industries, which are highly cyclical; the dependence of the automotive industry on consumer spending, which could be lower due to the effects of the recent financial crises; our ability to continue to pay cash dividends; our ability to negotiate contracts with labor unions; our dependence on key management; our dependence on information systems; and the other factors we describe under the “Item 1A. Risk Factors” included in the Company’s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014. Any forward-looking statement speaks only as of the date on

Table of Contents

which such statement is made, and we undertake no obligation to update any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as required by law. In light of these and other uncertainties, the inclusion of a forward-looking statement herein should not be regarded as a representation by us that our plans and objectives will be achieved.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk

We are exposed to market risk, including changes in interest rates. We are subject to interest rate risk on borrowings under the floating rate revolving credit facility and term loan provided by our Credit Agreement, which consisted of borrowings of \$194.9 million at March 31, 2015. A 100-basis-point increase in the interest rate would have resulted in an increase in interest expense of approximately \$0.5 million during the three-month period ended March 31, 2015.

Our foreign subsidiaries generally conduct business in local currencies. During the first three months of 2015, we recorded an unfavorable foreign currency translation adjustment of \$5.3 million related to net assets located outside the United States. This foreign currency translation adjustment resulted primarily from the strengthening of the U.S. dollar. Our foreign operations are also subject to other customary risks of operating in a global environment, such as unstable political situations, the effect of local laws and taxes, tariff increases and regulations and requirements for export licenses, the potential imposition of trade or foreign exchange restrictions and transportation delays.

The Company periodically enters into forward contracts on foreign currencies, primarily the Euro and the British pound sterling, purely for the purpose of hedging exposure to changes in the value of accounts receivable in those currencies against the U.S. dollar. We currently use no other derivative instruments. At March 31, 2015, there were no such currency hedge contracts outstanding.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures.

Under the supervision of and with the participation of our management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, we evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15(d)-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report. Based on that evaluation, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer have concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Changes in internal control over financial reporting.

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the first quarter of 2015 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

Part II. Other Information

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

We are subject to various pending and threatened lawsuits in which claims for monetary damages are asserted in the ordinary course of business. While any litigation involves an element of uncertainty, in the opinion of management, liabilities, if any, arising from currently pending or threatened litigation are not expected to have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, liquidity or results of operations.

In addition to the routine lawsuits and asserted claims noted above, we were a party to the lawsuits and legal proceedings described below as of March 31, 2015:

We were a co-defendant in approximately 255 cases asserting claims on behalf of approximately 614 plaintiffs alleging personal injury as a result of exposure to asbestos. These asbestos cases generally relate to production and sale of asbestos-containing products and allege various theories of liability, including negligence, gross negligence and strict liability, and seek compensatory and, in some cases, punitive damages.

In every asbestos case in which we are named as a party, the complaints are filed against multiple named defendants. In substantially all of the asbestos cases, the plaintiffs either claim damages in excess of a specified amount, typically a minimum amount sufficient to establish jurisdiction of the court in which the case was filed (jurisdictional minimums generally range from \$25,000 to \$75,000), or do not specify the monetary damages sought. To the extent that any specific amount of damages is sought, the amount applies to claims against all named defendants.

There are only seven asbestos cases, involving 26 plaintiffs, that plead specified damages. In each of the seven cases, the plaintiff is seeking compensatory and punitive damages based on a variety of potentially alternative causes of action. In three cases, the plaintiff has alleged compensatory damages in the amount of \$3.0 million for four separate causes of action and \$1.0 million for another cause of action and punitive damages in the amount of \$10.0 million. In the fourth case, the plaintiff has alleged against each named defendant, compensatory and punitive damages, each in the amount of \$10.0 million, for seven separate causes of action. In the fifth case, the plaintiff has alleged compensatory damages in the amount of \$20.0 million for three separate causes of action and \$5.0 million for another cause of action and punitive damages in the amount of \$20.0 million. In the sixth case, the plaintiff has alleged compensatory damages in the amount of \$10.0 million for five separate causes of action and \$5.0 million for another cause of action and punitive damages in the amount of \$10.0 million for each cause of action. In the remaining case, the plaintiffs have alleged against each named defendant compensatory and punitive damages, each in the amount of \$50.0 million, for four separate causes of action.

Historically, we have been dismissed from asbestos cases on the basis that the plaintiff incorrectly sued one of our subsidiaries or because the plaintiff failed to identify any asbestos-containing product manufactured or sold by us or our subsidiaries. We intend to vigorously defend these asbestos cases, and believe we will continue to be successful in being dismissed from such cases. However, it is not possible to predict the ultimate outcome of asbestos-related lawsuits, claims and proceedings due to the unpredictable nature of personal injury litigation. Despite this uncertainty, and although our results of operations and cash flows for a particular period could be adversely affected by asbestos-related lawsuits, claims and proceedings, management believes that the ultimate resolution of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, liquidity or results of operations. Among the factors management considered in reaching this conclusion were: (a) our historical success in being dismissed from these types of lawsuits on the bases mentioned above; (b) many cases have been improperly filed against one of our subsidiaries; (c) in many cases the plaintiffs have been unable to establish any causal relationship to us or our products or premises; (d) in many cases, the plaintiffs have been unable to demonstrate that they have suffered any identifiable injury or compensable loss at all or that any injuries that they have incurred did in fact result from alleged exposure to

asbestos; and (e) the complaints assert claims against multiple defendants and, in most cases, the damages alleged are not attributed to individual defendants. Additionally, we do not believe that the amounts claimed in any of the asbestos cases are meaningful indicators of our potential exposure because the amounts claimed typically bear no relation to the extent of the plaintiff's injury, if any.

Table of Contents

Our cost of defending these lawsuits has not been material to date and, based upon available information, our management does not expect its future costs for asbestos-related lawsuits to have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, liquidity or financial position.

IPSCO Tubulars Inc. d/b/a TMK IPSCO sued Ajax Tocco Magnethermic Corporation (“ATM”), a subsidiary of Park-Ohio Holdings Corporation, in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Arkansas claiming that equipment supplied by ATM for heat treating certain steel pipe at IPSCO's Blytheville, Arkansas facility did not perform as required by the contract. The complaint alleged causes of action for breach of contract, gross negligence and constructive fraud. IPSCO sought approximately \$10.0 million in damages plus an unspecified amount of punitive damages. ATM denied the allegations. ATM subsequently obtained summary judgment on the constructive fraud claim, which was dismissed by the district court prior to trial. The remaining claims were the subject of a bench trial that occurred in May 2013. After IPSCO presented its case, the district court entered partial judgment in favor of ATM, dismissing the gross negligence claim, a portion of the breach of contract claim, and any claim for punitive damages. The trial proceeded with respect to the remainder of IPSCO's claim for breach of contract. In September 2013, the district court issued a judgment in favor of IPSCO in the amount of \$5.2 million, which the Company recognized and accrued for at that time. IPSCO subsequently filed a motion seeking to recover \$3.8 million in attorneys' fees and costs. The district court reserved ruling on that issue pending an appeal. In October 2013, ATM filed an appeal with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit seeking reversal of the judgment in favor of IPSCO. In November 2013, IPSCO filed a cross-appeal seeking reversal of the dismissal of its claim for gross negligence and punitive damages. The Eighth Circuit issued an opinion in March 2015 affirming in part, reversing in part, and remanding the case. It affirmed the district court's determination that ATM was liable for breach of contract. It also affirmed the district court's dismissal of IPSCO's claim for gross negligence and punitive damages. However, the Eighth Circuit reversed nearly all of the damages awarded by the district court and remanded for further findings on the issue of damages, including whether consequential damages are barred under the express language of the contract. Because IPSCO did not appeal the award of \$5.2 million in its favor, those damages may be decreased, but cannot be increased, on remand. IPSCO's motion to recover attorney's fees and costs is stayed pending the outcome of the proceedings on remand.

In August 2013, the Company received a subpoena from the staff of the SEC in connection with the staff's investigation of a third party. At that time, the Company also learned that the Department of Justice (“DOJ”) is conducting a criminal investigation of the third party. In connection with its initial response to the staff's subpoena, the Company disclosed to the staff of the SEC that, in November 2007, the third party participated in a payment on behalf of the Company to a foreign tax official that implicates the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act.

The Board of Directors of the Company has formed a special committee to review the Company's transactions with the third party and to make any recommendations to the Board of Directors with respect thereto.

The Company intends to cooperate fully with the SEC and the DOJ in connection with their investigations of the third party and with the SEC in light of the Company's disclosure. The Company is unable to predict the outcome or impact of the special committee's investigation or the length, scope or results of the SEC's review or the impact on its results of operations.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes in the risk factors previously disclosed in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

The table below summarizes the information regarding our repurchases of the Company's common stock during the quarter ended March 31, 2015.

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased (2)	Average Price Paid Per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans (1)	Maximum Number of Shares That May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Program (1)
January 1 — January 31, 2015	—	\$—	—	988,334
February 1 — February 28, 2015	3,829	57.75	—	988,334
March 1 — March 31, 2015	18,556	57.92	—	988,334
Total	22,385	\$57.89	—	988,334

(1) On March 4, 2013, we announced a share repurchase program whereby we may repurchase up to 1.0 million shares of our outstanding common stock.

(2) We acquired these shares of common stock from recipients of restricted stock awards at the time the awards vest to settle the recipient's minimum withholding tax liabilities.

Item 5. Other Information

On March 12, 2015, we entered into Amendment No. 3 to the Sixth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (the "Credit Agreement") with the other loan parties thereto, the lenders party thereto, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., Toronto Branch, as Canadian Agent, JP Morgan Europe Limited, as European Agent, RBS Business Capital, as Syndication Agent, KeyBank National Association and First National Bank of Pennsylvania, as Co-Documentation Agents, U.S. Bank National Association, as Co-Documentation Agent and Joint Bookrunner, PNC Bank, National Association, as Joint Bookrunner, and J.P. Morgan Securities Inc., as Sole Lead Arranger and Bookrunning Manager. The Credit Agreement, as amended, provided for a \$250.0 million revolving credit facility, with the option to increase the availability under the revolving credit facility by up to \$50.0 million with the consent of the lenders. Among other things, Amendment No. 3 increased the revolving credit facility from \$250.0 million to \$275.0 million and increased the basket for capital lease transactions from \$20.0 million to \$50.0 million.

Item 6. Exhibits

The following exhibits are included herein:

Table of Contents

10.1	Amendment No. 2 to the Sixth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated January 20, 2015, among Park-Ohio Industries, Inc. and RB&W Corporation of Canada, as borrowers, the Ex-Im Borrowers party to the Credit Agreement (as defined therein) the other Loan Parties party to the Credit Agreement, the lenders party to the Credit Agreement, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., Toronto Branch, as Canadian Agent and JPMorgan Europe Limited, as European Agent.
10.2	Amendment No. 3 to the Sixth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated March 12, 2015, among Park-Ohio Industries, Inc. and RB&W Corporation of Canada, as borrowers, the Ex-Im Borrowers party to the Credit Agreement (as defined therein) the other Loan Parties party to the Credit Agreement, the lenders party to the Credit Agreement, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., Toronto Branch, as Canadian Agent and JPMorgan Europe Limited, as European Agent.
31.1	Principal Executive Officer's Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Principal Financial Officer's Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32	Certification requirement under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

PARK-OHIO HOLDINGS CORP.

(Registrant)

By: /s/ W. Scott Emerick
Name: W. Scott Emerick
Title: Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)
Date: May 11, 2015

32

Table of Contents

Exhibit Index

Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q
Park-Ohio Holdings Corp. and Subsidiaries
For the Quarter Ended March 31, 2015

Exhibit

- 10.1 Amendment No. 2 to the Sixth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated January 20, 2015, among Park-Ohio Industries, Inc. and RB&W Corporation of Canada, as borrowers, the Ex-Im Borrowers party to the Credit Agreement (as defined therein) the other Loan Parties party to the Credit Agreement, the lenders party to the Credit Agreement, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., Toronto Branch, as Canadian Agent and JPMorgan Europe Limited, as European Agent.
- 10.2 Amendment No. 3 to the Sixth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated March 12, 2015, among Park-Ohio Industries, Inc. and RB&W Corporation of Canada, as borrowers, the Ex-Im Borrowers party to the Credit Agreement (as defined therein) the other Loan Parties party to the Credit Agreement, the lenders party to the Credit Agreement, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., Toronto Branch, as Canadian Agent and JPMorgan Europe Limited, as European Agent.
- 31.1 Principal Executive Officer's Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 31.2 Principal Financial Officer's Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32 Certification requirement under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 101.INS XBRL Instance Document
- 101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
- 101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
- 101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
- 101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
- 101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document