

FIRST MIDWEST BANCORP INC
Form 10-Q
May 06, 2011

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q
(Mark One)

Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of
1934
For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2011

or

Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____.

Commission File Number 0-10967

FIRST MIDWEST BANCORP, INC.
(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

36-3161078
(IRS Employer Identification No.)

One Pierce Place, Suite 1500
Itasca, Illinois 60143-9768
(Address of principal executive offices) (zip code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (630) 875-7450

Edgar Filing: FIRST MIDWEST BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No .

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No .

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer .

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No .

As of May 6, 2011, there were 74,537,461 shares of \$.01 par value common stock outstanding.

FIRST MIDWEST BANCORP, INC.

FORM 10-Q

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|-----------|
| Part I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION | |
| Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited) | |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition</u> | <u>5</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Income</u> | <u>6</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity</u> | <u>7</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u> | <u>8</u> |
| <u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | <u>9</u> |
| Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | <u>35</u> |
| Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | <u>60</u> |
| Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | <u>61</u> |
| Part II. <u>OTHER INFORMATION</u> | |
| Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | <u>61</u> |
| Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u> | <u>62</u> |
| Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | <u>62</u> |
| Item 3. <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> | <u>62</u> |
| Item 4. <u>Removed and Reserved</u> | <u>62</u> |
| Item 5. <u>Other Information</u> | <u>62</u> |
| Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u> | <u>63</u> |

First Midwest Bancorp, Inc. (the “Company”) is a bank holding company headquartered in the Chicago suburb of Itasca, Illinois with operations throughout the greater Chicago metropolitan area as well as central and western Illinois and eastern Iowa. Our principal subsidiary is First Midwest Bank, which provides a broad range of commercial and retail banking services to consumer, commercial and industrial, and public or governmental customers. We are committed to meeting the financial needs of the people and businesses in the communities where we live and work by providing customized banking solutions, quality products, and innovative services that fulfill those financial needs.

AVAILABLE INFORMATION

We file annual, quarterly, and current reports; proxy statements; and other information with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”), and we make this information available free of charge on or through the investor relations section of our web site at www.firstmidwest.com/aboutinvestor_overview.asp. You may read and copy materials we file with the SEC from its Public Reference Room at 100 F. Street, NE, Washington DC 20549. You may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. In addition, the SEC maintains an Internet site at <http://www.sec.gov> that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC. The following documents are also posted on our web site or are available in print upon the request of any stockholder to our Corporate Secretary:

- Certificate of Incorporation,
 - Company By-laws,
- Charters for our Audit, Compensation, and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committees,
 - Related Person Transaction Policies and Procedures,
 - Corporate Governance Guidelines,
- Code of Ethics and Standards of Conduct (the “Code”), which governs our directors, officers, and employees,
 - Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers, and
 - Luxury Policy.

Within the time period required by the SEC and the Nasdaq Stock Market, we will post on our web site any amendment to the Code and any waiver applicable to any executive officer, director, or senior financial officer (as defined in the Code). In addition, our web site includes information concerning purchases and sales of our securities by our executive officers and directors. The Company’s accounting and reporting policies conform to U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”) and general practice within the banking industry. We post on our website any disclosure relating to certain non-GAAP financial measures (as defined in the SEC’s Regulation G) that we may make public orally, telephonically, by webcast, by broadcast, or by similar means from time to time.

Our Corporate Secretary can be contacted by writing to First Midwest Bancorp, Inc., One Pierce Place, Itasca, Illinois 60143, Attn: Corporate Secretary. The Company’s Investor Relations Department can be contacted by telephone at (630) 875-7533 or by e-mail at investor.relations@firstmidwest.com.

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT PURSUANT TO THE PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995

We include or incorporate by reference in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, and from time to time our management may make, statements that may constitute “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements are not historical facts, but instead represent only management’s beliefs regarding future events, many of which, by their nature, are inherently uncertain and outside our control. Although we believe the expectations reflected in any forward-looking statements are reasonable, it is possible that our actual results and financial condition may differ, possibly materially, from the anticipated results and financial condition indicated in such statements. In some cases, you can identify these

statements by forward-looking words such as “may,” “might,” “will,” “should,” “expect,” “plan,” “anticipate,” “believe,” “predict,” “probable,” “potential,” or “continue,” and the negative of these terms and other comparable terminology. We caution you not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this report, or when made.

Forward-looking statements are subject to known and unknown risks, uncertainties, and assumptions and may contain projections relating to our future financial performance including our growth strategies and anticipated trends in our business. For a detailed discussion of these and other risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results and events to differ materially from such forward-looking statements, you should refer to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 and the sections entitled “Risk Factors” in Part II Item 1A of this report and “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations,” as well as our subsequent periodic and current reports filed with the SEC. However,

these risks and uncertainties are not exhaustive. Other sections of this report describe additional factors that could adversely impact our business and financial performance.

Since mid-2007 the financial services industry and the securities markets in general have been materially and adversely affected by significant declines in the values of nearly all asset classes and by a serious lack of liquidity. While liquidity has improved and market volatility has generally lessened, the overall loss of investor confidence has brought a new level of risk to financial institutions in addition to the risks normally associated with competition and free market economies. The Company has attempted to list those risks in item 1A, "Risk Factors," in its 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K and consider them as it makes disclosures regarding forward-looking statements. Nevertheless, given the uncertain economic times, new risks and uncertainties may emerge quickly and unpredictably, and it is not possible to predict all risks and uncertainties. We cannot assess the impact of all factors on our business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements. We are under no duty to update any of these forward-looking statements after the date of this report to conform our prior statements to actual results or revised expectations, and we do not intend to do so.

PART 1. FINANCIAL INFORMATION (Unaudited)

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

FIRST MIDWEST BANCORP, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION
(Amounts in thousands, except per share data)

| | March 31, 2011 (Unaudited) | December 31, 2010 |
|--|----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 104,982 | \$ 102,495 |
| Interest-bearing deposits in other banks | 421,478 | 483,281 |
| Trading securities, at fair value | 16,227 | 15,282 |
| Securities available-for-sale, at fair value | 1,057,758 | 1,057,802 |
| Securities held-to-maturity, at amortized cost | 81,218 | 81,320 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank and Federal Reserve Bank stock, at cost | 61,338 | 61,338 |
| Loans, excluding covered loans | 5,095,543 | 5,100,560 |
| Covered loans | 352,357 | 374,640 |
| Allowance for loan losses | (142,503) | (142,572) |
| Net loans | 5,305,397 | 5,332,628 |
| Other real estate owned ("OREO"), excluding covered OREO | 33,863 | 31,069 |
| Covered OREO | 28,871 | 29,698 |
| Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") indemnification asset | 78,468 | 88,981 |
| Premises, furniture, and equipment | 138,119 | 140,907 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 30,721 | 29,953 |
| Investment in bank owned life insurance ("BOLI") | 197,889 | 197,644 |
| Goodwill and other intangible assets | 290,135 | 291,383 |
| Other assets | 198,524 | 203,192 |
| Total assets | \$ 8,044,988 | \$8,146,973 |
| Liabilities | | |
| Demand deposits | \$ 1,346,698 | \$1,329,505 |
| Savings deposits | 935,452 | 871,166 |
| NOW accounts | 1,036,941 | 1,073,211 |
| Money market deposits | 1,226,579 | 1,245,610 |
| Time deposits | 1,874,224 | 1,991,984 |
| Total deposits | 6,419,894 | 6,511,476 |
| Borrowed funds | 273,342 | 303,974 |
| Subordinated debt | 137,746 | 137,744 |
| Accrued interest payable and other liabilities | 90,130 | 81,734 |
| Total liabilities | 6,921,112 | 7,034,928 |
| Stockholders' Equity | | |
| Preferred stock | 191,050 | 190,882 |
| Common stock | 858 | 858 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 422,405 | 437,550 |
| Retained earnings | 794,569 | 787,678 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax | (24,373) | (27,739) |
| Treasury stock, at cost | (260,633) | (277,184) |
| Total stockholders' equity | 1,123,876 | 1,112,045 |

| | | |
|--|--------------|-------------|
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 8,044,988 | \$8,146,973 |
|--|--------------|-------------|

| | March 31, 2011 | | December 31, 2010 | |
|--|------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|
| | Preferred Shares | Common Shares | Preferred Shares | Common Shares |
| Par Value | None | \$ 0.01 | None | \$ 0.01 |
| Shares authorized | 1,000 | 100,000 | 1,000 | 100,000 |
| Shares issued | 193 | 85,787 | 193 | 85,787 |
| Shares outstanding | 193 | 74,543 | 193 | 74,096 |
| Treasury shares | - | 11,244 | - | 11,691 |
| See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements. | | | | |

FIRST MIDWEST BANCORP, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME
(Amounts in thousands, except per share data)
(Unaudited)

| | Quarters Ended March 31, | |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Interest Income | | |
| Loans | \$ 62,917 | \$ 64,480 |
| Investment securities | 9,865 | 13,952 |
| Covered loans | 7,822 | 2,962 |
| Federal funds sold and other short-term investments | 679 | 385 |
| Total interest income | 81,283 | 81,779 |
| Interest Expense | | |
| Deposits | 7,671 | 10,545 |
| Borrowed funds | 680 | 1,010 |
| Subordinated debt | 2,286 | 2,286 |
| Total interest expense | 10,637 | 13,841 |
| Net interest income | 70,646 | 67,938 |
| Provision for loan losses | 19,492 | 18,350 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 51,154 | 49,588 |
| Noninterest Income | | |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | 8,144 | 8,381 |
| Trust and investment advisory fees | 4,116 | 3,593 |
| Other service charges, commissions, and fees | 4,914 | 4,172 |
| Card-based fees | 4,529 | 3,893 |
| BOLI income | 252 | 248 |
| Securities gains, net | 540 | 3,057 |
| Other income | 1,722 | 977 |
| Total noninterest income | 24,217 | 24,321 |
| Noninterest Expense | | |
| Salaries and wages | 25,665 | 22,136 |
| Retirement and other employee benefits | 6,858 | 4,748 |
| OREO expense, net | 3,931 | 10,787 |
| FDIC premiums | 2,725 | 2,532 |
| Net occupancy and equipment expense | 9,103 | 8,168 |
| Technology and related costs | 2,623 | 2,483 |
| Professional services | 5,119 | 6,540 |
| Other expenses | 9,099 | 8,079 |
| Total noninterest expense | 65,123 | 65,473 |
| Income before income tax expense | 10,248 | 8,436 |
| Income tax expense | 30 | 355 |
| Net income | 10,218 | 8,081 |
| Preferred dividends | (2,581) | (2,572) |
| Net income applicable to non-vested restricted shares | (140) | (81) |
| Net income applicable to common shares | \$ 7,497 | \$ 5,428 |
| Per Common Share Data | | |
| Basic earnings per common share | \$ 0.10 | \$ 0.08 |
| Diluted earnings per common share | \$ 0.10 | \$ 0.08 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST MIDWEST BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|----|--------|----|--------|
| Dividends declared per common share | \$ | 0.01 | \$ | 0.01 |
| Weighted-average common shares outstanding | | 73,151 | | 70,469 |
| Weighted-average diluted common shares outstanding | | 73,151 | | 70,469 |
| See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements. | | | | |

FIRST MIDWEST BANCORP, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

(Amounts in thousands, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

| | Common Shares Outstanding | Preferred Stock | Common Stock | Additional Paid-in Capital | Retained Earnings | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss | Treasury Stock | Total |
|---|---------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|---|-------------------|--------------|
| Balance at January 1, 2010 | 54,793 | \$ 190,233 | \$ 670 | \$ 252,322 | \$ 810,626 | \$ (18,666) | \$ (293,664) | \$ 941,521 |
| Comprehensive income (loss): | | | | | | | | |
| Net income | - | - | - | - | 8,081 | - | - | 8,081 |
| Other comprehensive loss (1): | | | | | | | | |
| Unrealized losses on securities | - | - | - | - | - | (212) | - | (212) |
| Total comprehensive income | | | | | | | | 7,869 |
| Common dividends declared (\$0.01 per common share) | - | - | - | - | (740) | - | - | (740) |
| Preferred dividends declared (\$12.50 per preferred share) | - | - | - | - | (2,413) | - | - | (2,413) |
| Accretion on preferred stock | - | 159 | - | - | (159) | - | - | - |
| Issuance of common stock | 18,818 | - | 188 | 196,197 | - | - | - | 196,385 |
| Share-based compensation expense | - | - | - | 1,419 | - | - | - | 1,419 |
| Restricted stock activity | 436 | - | - | (15,188) | - | - | 14,912 | (276) |
| Treasury stock issued to benefit plans | (1) | - | - | (46) | - | - | 49 | 3 |
| Balance at March 31, 2010 | 74,046 | \$ 190,392 | \$ 858 | \$ 434,704 | \$ 815,395 | \$ (18,878) | \$ (278,703) | \$ 1,143,768 |
| Balance at January 1, 2011 | 74,096 | \$ 190,882 | \$ 858 | \$ 437,550 | \$ 787,678 | \$ (27,739) | \$ (277,184) | \$ 1,112,045 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------|------------|--------|------------|------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | | | | |
| Net income | - | - | - | - | 10,218 | - | - | 10,218 |
| Other comprehensive income (1): | | | | | | | | |
| Unrealized gains on securities | - | - | - | - | - | 3,366 | - | 3,366 |
| Total comprehensive income | | | | | | | | 13,584 |
| Common dividends declared (\$0.01 per common share) | - | - | - | - | (746) | - | - | (746) |
| Preferred dividends declared (\$12.50 per preferred share) | - | - | - | - | (2,413) | - | - | (2,413) |
| Accretion on preferred stock | - | 168 | - | - | (168) | - | - | - |
| Share-based compensation expense | - | - | - | 1,625 | - | - | - | 1,625 |
| Restricted stock activity | 449 | - | - | (16,760) | - | - | 16,552 | (208) |
| Treasury stock purchased for benefit plans | (2) | - | - | (10) | - | - | (1) | (11) |
| Balance at March 31, 2011 | 74,543 | \$ 191,050 | \$ 858 | \$ 422,405 | \$ 794,569 | \$ (24,373) | \$ (260,633) | \$ 1,123,876 |

(1) Net of taxes and reclassification adjustments.

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

FIRST MIDWEST BANCORP, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(Dollar amounts in thousands)
(Unaudited)

| | Quarters Ended March 31, | |
|--|-----------------------------|------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$ 52,953 | \$ 58,668 |
| Investing Activities | | |
| Proceeds from maturities, repayments, and calls of securities available-for-sale | 75,166 | 55,514 |
| Proceeds from sales of securities available-for-sale | 44,212 | 80,983 |
| Purchases of securities available-for-sale | (115,792) | (24,287) |
| Proceeds from maturities, repayments, and calls of securities held-to-maturity | 2,516 | 14,403 |
| Purchases of securities held-to-maturity | (2,414) | (15,664) |
| Purchase of Federal Reserve Bank stock | - | (3,000) |
| Net decrease (increase) in loans | 2,019 | (47,723) |
| Proceeds from claims on BOLI | 7 | 9 |
| Proceeds from sales of OREO | 8,239 | 16,914 |
| Purchases of premises, furniture, and equipment, net of proceeds from sales | (476) | (3,655) |
| Net cash provided by investing activities | 13,477 | 73,494 |
| Financing Activities | | |
| Net decrease in deposit accounts | (91,582) | (21,175) |
| Net decrease in borrowed funds | (30,632) | (304,013) |
| Proceeds from the issuance of common stock | - | 196,385 |
| Cash dividends paid | (3,155) | (2,962) |
| Restricted stock activity | (165) | (669) |
| Excess tax expense related to share-based compensation | (212) | (193) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (125,746) | (132,627) |
| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents | (59,316) | (465) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 585,776 | 127,379 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 526,460 | \$ 126,914 |
| Supplemental Disclosures: | | |
| Non-cash transfers of loans to OREO | \$ 12,433 | \$ 36,761 |
| Non-cash transfer of loans held-for-investment to loans held-for-sale | \$ 3,800 | \$ - |
| Non-cash transfer of OREO to premises, furniture, and equipment | \$ - | \$ 6,580 |
| Dividends declared but unpaid | \$ 746 | \$ 740 |

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Presentation - The accompanying unaudited consolidated interim financial statements of First Midwest Bancorp, Inc. (the "Company"), a Delaware corporation, have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission for quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and do not include certain information and footnote disclosures required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") for complete annual financial statements. Accordingly, these financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Company's 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K ("2010 10-K").

The accompanying unaudited consolidated interim financial statements have been prepared in accordance with GAAP and reflect all adjustments that are, in the opinion of management, necessary for the fair presentation of the financial position and results of operations for the periods presented. All such adjustments are of a normal recurring nature. The results of operations for the quarter ended March 31, 2011 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2011.

Certain reclassifications have been made to prior periods to conform to the current period presentation. GAAP requires management to make certain estimates and assumptions. Although these and other estimates and assumptions are based on the best available information, actual results could be materially different from these estimates.

Principles of Consolidation - The consolidated financial statements include the accounts and results of operations of the Company and its subsidiaries after elimination of all significant intercompany accounts and transactions.

The Company owns an interest in certain variable interest entities ("VIE"s) as described in Note 22, "Variable Interest Entities," contained in the Company's 2010 10-K. A VIE is a partnership, limited liability company, trust, or other legal entity that does not have sufficient equity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support from other parties, or whose investors lack certain characteristics associated with owning a controlling financial interest. The VIEs are not consolidated in the Company's financial statements since the Company is not the primary beneficiary of any of the VIEs.

The accounting policies related to loans and the allowance for credit losses are presented below. For a summary of all other significant accounting policies, please refer to Note 1, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies," contained in the Company's 2010 10-K.

Loans - Loans are carried at the principal amount outstanding, including certain net deferred loan origination fees. Loans held-for-sale are carried at the lower of aggregate cost or fair value and included in other assets in the Consolidated Statements of Condition. Interest income on loans is accrued based on principal amounts outstanding. Loan and lease origination fees, fees for commitments that are expected to be exercised, and certain direct loan origination costs are deferred and the net amount amortized over the estimated life of the related loans or commitments as a yield adjustment. Fees related to standby letters of credit, whose ultimate exercise is remote, are amortized into fee income over the estimated life of the commitment. Other credit-related fees are recognized as fee income when earned.

Purchased Impaired Loans - Purchased impaired loans are recorded at their estimated fair values on the respective purchase dates and are accounted for prospectively based on expected cash flows in accordance with applicable authoritative accounting guidance. No allowance for credit losses is recorded on these loans at the acquisition date. In determining the acquisition date fair value of purchased impaired loans, and in subsequent accounting, the Company

generally aggregates purchased consumer loans and certain smaller balance commercial loans into pools of loans with common risk characteristics such as delinquency status, credit score, and internal risk rating. Larger balance commercial loans are usually accounted for on an individual basis. Expected future cash flows in excess of the fair value of loans at the purchase date (“accretable yield”) are recorded as interest income over the life of the loans if the timing and amount of the future cash flows can be reasonably estimated. The non-accretable yield represents estimated losses in the portfolio and is equal to the difference between contractually required payments and the cash flows expected to be collected at acquisition.

Subsequent to the purchase date, increases in cash flows for purchased impaired loans over those expected at the purchase date are recognized as interest income prospectively. The present value of any decreases in expected cash flows after the purchase date is recognized by recording a charge-off through the allowance for loan losses.

Non-accrual loans - Generally, commercial loans and loans secured by real estate are placed on non-accrual status: (a) when either principal or interest payments become 90 days or more past due based on contractual terms unless the loan is

sufficiently collateralized such that full repayment of both principal and interest is expected and is in the process of collection within a reasonable period; or (b) when an individual analysis of a borrower's creditworthiness indicates a credit should be placed on non-accrual status whether or not the loan is 90 days or more past due. When a loan is placed on non-accrual status, unpaid interest credited to income in the current year is reversed, and unpaid interest accrued in prior years is charged against the allowance for loan losses. Both principal and interest payments are applied to the principal on the loan. Future interest income may only be recorded on a cash basis after recovery of principal is reasonably assured. Non-accrual loans are returned to accrual status when the financial position of the borrower and other relevant factors indicate there is no longer doubt that the Company will collect all principal and interest due.

Commercial loans and loans secured by real estate are generally charged-off when deemed uncollectible. A loss is recorded at that time if the net realizable value can be quantified and it is less than the associated principal and interest outstanding. Consumer loans that are not secured by real estate are subject to mandatory charge-off at a specified delinquency date and are usually not classified as non-accrual prior to being charged-off. Closed-end consumer loans, which include installment, automobile, and single payment loans are generally charged-off in full no later than the end of the month in which the loan becomes 120 days past due.

Generally, purchased impaired loans are considered accruing loans. However, the timing and amount of future cash flows for some loans may not be reasonably estimable. Those loans would be classified as non-accrual loans and interest income would not be recognized until the timing and amount of the future cash flows could be reasonably estimated. As of March 31, 2011, the Company did not have any purchased impaired loans classified as non-accrual loans.

Restructured Loans - In cases where a borrower experiences financial difficulties and the Company makes certain concessions or modifications to contractual terms, the loan is classified as a restructured loan. Restructured loans are loans for which the original contractual terms have been modified, including forgiveness of principal or interest, due to deterioration in the borrower's financial condition. Loans granted concessions or modifications are classified as restructured loans unless the modification is short-term, or results in only an insignificant delay or shortfall in the payments to be received. The Company's restructured loans are determined on a case-by-case basis in connection with ongoing loan collection processes. The allowance for loan losses on restructured loans is determined by discounting the restructured cash flows at the original effective rate of the loan before modification or is based on the underlying collateral value.

The Company does not accrue interest on any restructured loan unless and until it believes collection of all principal and interest under the modified terms is reasonably assured. Generally, six months of consecutive payment performance by the borrower under the restructured terms is required before a restructured loan is returned to accrual status assuming the loan is restructured at reasonable market terms (e.g., not at below market terms). However, the period could vary depending upon the individual facts and circumstances of the loan.

For a restructured loan to begin accruing interest, the borrower must demonstrate both some level of performance and the capacity to perform under the modified terms. A history of timely payments and adherence to financial covenants generally serve as sufficient evidence of the borrower's performance. An evaluation of the borrower's current creditworthiness is used to assess whether the borrower has the capacity to repay the loan under the modified terms. This evaluation includes an estimate of expected cash flows, evidence of strong financial position, and estimates of the value of collateral, if applicable. In accordance with industry regulation, restructured loans that have been returned to accrual status continue to be separately reported as restructured until after the calendar year in which the restructuring occurred if the loan was restructured at market rates and terms.

On occasion, the Company may also restructure a loan into two separate notes, and charge-off one of the restructured notes. If the borrower demonstrates an ongoing ability to comply with the restructured terms of the remaining loan,

the restructured loan may be classified as an accruing loan. Otherwise, the remaining restructured loan would be placed on non-accrual status.

Impaired Loans - Impaired loans consist of corporate non-accrual loans and restructured loans in accordance with applicable authoritative accounting guidance.

With the exception of loans that were restructured and still accruing interest, a loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all contractual principal and interest due according to the terms of the loan agreement. Loans deemed to be impaired are classified as non-accrual and are exclusive of smaller homogeneous loans, such as home equity, installment, and 1-4 family mortgages. When a loan is designated as impaired, any subsequent principal and interest payments received are applied to the principal on the loan. Future interest income may only be recorded on a cash basis after recovery of principal is reasonably assured.

Certain impaired loans with balances under a specified threshold are not individually evaluated for impairment. For all other impaired loans, impairment is measured by estimating the value of the loan based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's initial effective interest rate or the fair value of the underlying collateral less costs to sell, if repayment of the loan is collateral-dependent. The Company evaluates the collectability of both principal and interest when assessing the need for loss accrual. All impaired loans are included in non-performing assets. Purchased credit impaired loans are not reported as impaired loans provided that they continue to perform in accordance with expected cash flows.

90-Day Past Due Loans - 90 days or more past due loans are loans for which principal or interest payments become 90 days or more past due, but that still accrue interest. The Company continues to accrue interest if it determines these loans are well secured and in the process of collection.

Allowance for Credit Losses - The allowance for credit losses includes the allowance for loan losses and the reserve for unfunded commitments and is maintained by management at a level believed adequate to absorb estimated losses inherent in the existing loan portfolio. Determination of the allowance for credit losses is inherently subjective, as it requires significant estimates, including the amounts and timing of expected future cash flows on impaired loans, estimated losses on pools of homogeneous loans based on historical loss experience, consideration of current economic trends, and other factors, all of which may be susceptible to significant change.

The allowance for loan losses takes into consideration such internal and external qualitative factors as changes in the nature, volume, size, and current risk characteristics of the loan portfolio; an assessment of individual problem loans; actual and anticipated loss experience; current economic conditions that affect the borrower's ability to pay; and other pertinent factors. Credit exposures deemed to be uncollectible are charged-off against the allowance for loan losses, while recoveries of amounts previously charged-off are credited to the allowance for loan losses. Additions to the allowance for loan losses are established through the provision for loan losses charged to expense. The amount charged to operating expense in any given period is dependent upon a number of factors including historic loan growth, changes in the composition of the loan portfolio, net charge-off levels, and the Company's assessment of the allowance for loan losses based on the methodology discussed below.

The allowance for loan losses consists of (i) specific reserves established for probable losses on individual loans for which the recorded investment in the loan exceeds the value of the loan, (ii) reserves based on historical credit loss experience for each loan category, and (iii) the impact of other internal and external qualitative factors.

The specific reserves component of the allowance for loan losses is based on a periodic analysis of impaired loans exceeding a fixed dollar amount where the internal credit rating is at or below a predetermined classification, as well as other loans regardless of internal credit rating that management believes are subject to a higher risk of loss. The value of the loan is measured based on the present value of expected future cash flows, discounted at the loan's initial effective interest rate, or the fair value of the underlying collateral less costs to sell, if repayment of the loan is collateral-dependent. If the resulting amount is less than the recorded book value, the Company either establishes a valuation allowance (i.e., a specific reserve) as a component of the allowance for loan losses or charges-off the impaired balance if it determines that such amount is a confirmed loss.

The component of the allowance for loan losses based on historical credit loss experience is determined using a loss migration analysis that examines actual loss experience over a rolling 8-quarter period and, for corporate loans, the related internal rating of loans charged-off. The loss migration analysis is performed quarterly and loss factors are updated regularly based on actual experience. The loss component based upon historical loss experience is then adjusted for management's estimate of those losses inherent in the loan portfolio that have yet to be manifested in historical charge-off experience. Management takes into consideration many internal and external qualitative factors when estimating this adjustment, including:

- Changes in the composition of the loan portfolio and trends in volume and terms of loans, as well as trends in delinquent and non-accrual loans that could indicate historical averages do not reflect current conditions;
- Changes in credit policies and procedures, including underwriting standards and collection, charge-off, and recovery practices not considered elsewhere in estimating credit losses;
 - Changes in the experience, ability, and depth of the credit management and other relevant staff;
 - Changes in the quality of the Company's loan review system and its Board oversight;
- The existence and effect of any concentration of credit, and changes in the level of concentrations, whether it is by market, loan type, or risk taking;
 - Changes in the value of underlying collateral for collateral-dependent loans;
- Changes in the national and local economy that affect the collectability of the portfolio, including the condition of various market segments; and

- The effect of other external factors such as competition and legal and regulatory requirements on the level of estimated credit losses in the Company's existing portfolio.

The Company also maintains a reserve for unfunded credit commitments to provide for the risk of loss inherent in these arrangements. The reserve for unfunded credit commitments is computed based on a loss migration analysis similar to that used to determine the allowance for loan losses, taking into consideration probabilities of future funding requirements. This reserve for unfunded commitments is included in other liabilities in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition.

The establishment of the allowance for credit losses involves a high degree of judgment and includes a level of imprecision given the difficulty of identifying all of the factors impacting loan repayment and the timing of when losses actually occur. While management utilizes its best judgment and information available, the ultimate adequacy of the allowance for credit losses is dependent upon a variety of factors beyond the Company's control, including the performance of its loan portfolio, the economy, changes in interest rates and property values, and the interpretation by regulatory authorities of loan classifications. While each component of the allowance for credit losses is determined separately, the entire balance is available for the entire loan portfolio.

2. RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

Credit Quality and Allowance for Credit Losses Disclosures: In July 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued guidance that requires companies to provide more information about the credit risks inherent in its loan and lease portfolios and how management considers those credit risks in determining the allowance for credit losses. A company is required to disclose its accounting policies, the methods it uses to determine the components of the allowance for credit losses, and qualitative and quantitative information about the credit quality of its loan portfolio, such as aging information and credit quality indicators. Both new and existing disclosures are required, either by portfolio segment or class, based on how a company develops its allowance for credit losses and how it manages its credit exposure. The guidance is effective for all financing receivables, including loans and trade accounts receivables. However, short-term trade accounts receivables, receivables measured at fair value or lower of cost or fair value, and debt securities are exempt from these disclosure requirements. For public companies, any period-end disclosure requirements were effective for periods ending on or after December 15, 2010. This disclosure is presented in Note 1, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies," and Note 6, "Past Due Loans, Allowance for Credit Losses, and Impaired Loans." Any disclosures about activity that occurs during a reporting period, excluding disclosures related to troubled debt restructurings ("TDRs"), were effective for the Company beginning January 1, 2011 and included in Note 6, "Past Due Loans, Allowance for Credit Losses, and Impaired Loans." Disclosures related to TDRs will be effective for the Company beginning with second quarter 2011. As this guidance affects only disclosures, the adoption of this guidance on December 31, 2010 for period-end disclosures, and on January 1, 2011 for intra-period activity did not impact the Company's financial position, results of operations, or liquidity.

Clarification to Accounting for Troubled Debt Restructurings: In April 2011, the FASB issued guidance to clarify the accounting for TDRs. Given the recent economic downturn, many banks have seen an increase in the number of loan modifications. Diversity in practice exists in terms of identifying whether a loan modification qualifies as a TDR, such that the FASB was asked to provide guidance. This new guidance was developed to assist creditors in determining whether a loan modification meets the criteria to be considered a TDR, both for purposes of recording an impairment and for disclosure of TDRs. The guidance is effective for the Company beginning in second quarter 2011, and prior periods presented will be restated to apply this guidance to restructurings occurring on or after January 1, 2011. Since the new guidance applies primarily to disclosures, it is not expected to impact the Company's financial position, results of operations, or liquidity.

Statement of Comprehensive Income: In April 2011, the FASB issued accounting guidance requiring companies to include a statement of comprehensive income as part of its interim and annual financial statements. The new guidance

gives companies the option to present net income and comprehensive income either in one continuous statement or in two separate but consecutive statements. This approach represents a change from current GAAP, which allows companies to report other comprehensive income (“OCI”) and its components in the statement of shareholder’s equity. The guidance also allows companies to present OCI either net of tax with details in the notes or shown gross of tax (with tax effects shown parenthetically). The Company’s disclosure of OCI for the quarters ended March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010 is presented in Note 8, “Comprehensive Income.” Under the new guidance, the information set forth in Note 8 would be shown in the new statement of comprehensive income. This guidance is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. Since the new guidance impacts disclosures only, it will not have an impact on the Company’s financial position, results of operations, or liquidity.

3. SECURITIES

Securities available-for-sale are carried at fair value with unrealized gains and losses, net of related deferred income taxes, recorded in stockholders' equity as a separate component of other comprehensive loss. Securities classified as held-to-maturity are securities management has the positive intent and ability to hold to maturity and are stated at cost. Trading securities are reported at fair value. Trading gains, net, represents changes in the fair value of the trading securities portfolio and are included as a component of noninterest income in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

Securities Portfolio
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

| | March 31, 2011 | | | December 31, 2010 | | | Fair Value | |
|--|---------------------|------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Gross Gains | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Gross Gains | | Unrealized Losses |
| Securities Available-for-Sale | | | | | | | | |
| U.S. agency securities | \$ 12,929 | \$ 6 | \$ (139) | \$ 12,796 | \$ 18,000 | \$ 7 | \$ (121) | \$ 17,886 |
| Collateralized residential mortgage obligations ("CMOs") | 403,010 | 2,885 | (2,743) | 403,152 | 377,692 | 4,261 | (2,364) | 379,589 |
| Other residential mortgage-backed securities | 96,523 | 5,479 | (95) | 101,907 | 100,780 | 5,732 | (61) | 106,451 |
| Municipal securities | 490,501 | 5,546 | (8,097) | 487,950 | 512,063 | 4,728 | (12,800) | 503,991 |
| Collateralized debt obligations ("CDOs") | 49,695 | - | (33,502) | 16,193 | 49,695 | - | (34,837) | 14,858 |
| Corporate debt securities | 29,958 | 2,852 | - | 32,810 | 29,936 | 2,409 | - | 32,345 |
| Equity securities: | | | | | | | | |
| Hedge fund investment | 1,245 | 708 | - | 1,953 | 1,245 | 438 | - | 1,683 |
| Other equity securities | 895 | 108 | (6) | 997 | 889 | 110 | - | 999 |
| Total equity securities | 2,140 | 816 | (6) | 2,950 | 2,134 | 548 | - | 2,682 |
| Total | \$ 1,084,756 | \$ 17,584 | \$ (44,582) | \$ 1,057,758 | \$ 1,090,300 | \$ 17,685 | \$ (50,183) | \$ 1,057,802 |
| Securities Held-to-Maturity | | | | | | | | |
| Municipal securities | \$ 81,218 | \$ 698 | \$ - | \$ 81,916 | \$ 81,320 | \$ 1,205 | \$ - | \$ 82,525 |
| Trading Securities (1) | | | | \$ 16,227 | | | | \$ 15,282 |

(1) Trading securities held by the Company represent diversified investment securities held in a grantor trust under deferred compensation arrangements in which plan participants may direct amounts earned to be invested in securities other than Company stock.

Remaining Contractual Maturity of Securities
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

| | March 31, 2011 | | | |
|---|--------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|
| | Available-for-Sale | | Held-to-Maturity | |
| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
| One year or less | \$ 10,697 | \$ 10,086 | \$ 8,756 | \$ 8,831 |
| One year to five years | 139,177 | 131,220 | 25,281 | 25,498 |
| Five years to ten years | 121,270 | 114,337 | 14,446 | 14,571 |
| After ten years | 311,939 | 294,106 | 32,735 | 33,016 |
| Collateralized residential mortgage obligations | 403,010 | 403,152 | - | - |
| Other residential mortgage-backed securities | 96,523 | 101,907 | - | - |
| Equity securities | 2,140 | 2,950 | - | - |
| Total | \$ 1,084,756 | \$ 1,057,758 | \$ 81,218 | \$ 81,916 |

Purchases and sales of securities are recognized on a trade date basis. Realized securities gains or losses are reported in securities gains, net in the Consolidated Statements of Income. The cost of securities sold is based on the specific identification method.

Securities Gains (Losses)
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

| | Quarters Ended March 31, | |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Proceeds from sales | \$ 44,212 | \$ 80,983 |
| Gains (losses) on sales of securities: | | |
| Gross realized gains | \$ 808 | \$ 5,820 |
| Gross realized losses | (268) | - |
| Net realized gains on securities sales | 540 | 5,820 |
| Non-cash impairment charges (1) | - | (2,763) |
| Net realized gains | \$ 540 | \$ 3,057 |
| Income tax expense on net realized gains | \$ 221 | \$ 1,192 |
| Trading gains, net (2) | \$ 744 | \$ 461 |

(1) No portion of the other-than-temporary impairment charge was recognized in other comprehensive income during the periods presented.

(2) All trading gains relate to trading securities still held as of March 31, 2011.

The non-cash impairment charges in the table above relate to other-than-temporary (“OTTI”) charges on CDOs. Accounting guidance requires that only the credit portion of an OTTI charge be recognized through income. In deriving the credit component of the impairment on the CDOs, projected cash flows were discounted at the contractual rate ranging from the London Interbank Offered Rate (“LIBOR”) plus 125 basis points to LIBOR plus 160 basis points. Fair values are computed by discounting future projected cash flows at higher rates, ranging from LIBOR plus 1,200 basis points to LIBOR plus 1,300 basis points. The higher rates are used to account for other market factors such as liquidity. If a decline in fair value below carrying value is not attributable to credit loss and the Company does not intend to sell the security or believe it would not be more likely than not required to sell the security prior to recovery, the Company records the decline in fair value in other comprehensive loss.

Changes in the amount of credit losses recognized in earnings on CDOs and other securities are summarized in the following table.

Changes in Credit Losses Recognized in Earnings
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

| | Quarters Ended March 31, | |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 35,756 | \$ 30,946 |
| Credit losses included in earnings (1) | | |
| Losses recognized on securities that previously had credit losses | - | 2,520 |
| Losses recognized on securities that did not previously have credit losses | - | 243 |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 35,756 | \$ 33,709 |

(1)Included in securities gains, net in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

Securities in an Unrealized Loss Position

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

| | Number of Securities | Less Than 12 Months | | 12 Months or Longer | | Total | |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------|----------------------|
| | | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| As of March 31, 2011 | | | | | | | |
| U.S. agency securities | 3 | \$ 7,031 | \$ 139 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 7,031 | \$ 139 |
| CMOs | 22 | 156,854 | 2,386 | 10,850 | 357 | 167,704 | 2,743 |
| Other residential | | | | | | | |
| mortgage- backed securities | 8 | 5,798 | 64 | 477 | 31 | 6,275 | 95 |
| Municipal securities | 365 | 45,693 | 1,305 | 159,777 | 6,792 | 205,470 | 8,097 |
| CDOs | 6 | - | - | 16,193 | 33,502 | 16,193 | 33,502 |
| Equity security | 1 | - | - | 25 | 6 | 25 | 6 |
| Total | 405 | \$ 215,376 | \$ 3,894 | \$ 187,322 | \$ 40,688 | \$ 402,698 | \$ 44,582 |
| As of December 31, 2010 | | | | | | | |
| U.S. agency securities | 4 | \$ 9,096 | \$ 120 | \$ - | \$ 1 | \$ 9,096 | \$ 121 |
| CMOs | 19 | 131,056 | 1,727 | 7,843 | 637 | 138,899 | 2,364 |
| Other residential | | | | | | | |
| mortgage- backed securities | 5 | 6,084 | 51 | 159 | 10 | 6,243 | 61 |
| Municipal securities | 479 | 99,537 | 3,142 | 166,403 | 9,658 | 265,940 | 12,800 |
| CDOs | 6 | - | - | 14,858 | 34,837 | 14,858 | 34,837 |
| Total | 513 | \$ 245,773 | \$ 5,040 | \$ 189,263 | \$ 45,143 | \$ 435,036 | \$ 50,183 |

Approximately 98% of CMOs and other mortgage-backed securities are either backed by U.S. government-owned agencies or issued by U.S. government-sponsored enterprises. Municipal securities are issued by municipal authorities, and the majority is supported by third-party insurance or some other form of credit enhancement. Management does not believe any individual unrealized loss as of March 31, 2011 represents an other-than-temporary impairment. The unrealized losses associated with these securities are not believed to be attributed to credit quality, but rather to changes in interest rates and temporary market movements. In addition, the Company does not intend to sell the securities with unrealized losses, and it is not more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell them before recovery of their amortized cost bases, which may be at maturity.

The unrealized losses on CDOs as of March 31, 2011 reflect the market's unfavorable bias toward structured investment vehicles given the current interest rate and liquidity environment. Management does not believe the unrealized losses on the CDOs represent an other-than-temporary impairment. In addition, the Company does not intend to sell the CDOs with unrealized losses, and it is not more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell them before recovery of their amortized cost bases, which may be at maturity.

Significant judgment is required to calculate the fair value of the CDOs, all of which are pooled. Generally, fair value determinations are based on several factors regarding current market and economic conditions relating to such securities and the underlying collateral. For these reasons and due to the illiquidity in the secondary market for these CDOs, the Company estimates the fair value of these securities using discounted cash flow analyses with the assistance of a structured credit valuation firm.

Prepayment assumptions are a key factor in estimating the cash flows. Prepayments may occur on the collateral underlying the Company's CDOs based on call options or other factors. Most of the collateral underlying the CDOs have a 5-year call option (on the fifth anniversary of issuance, the issuer has the right to call the security at par). In addition, most underlying indentures trigger an issuer call right if a capital treatment event occurs, such as a regulatory change that affects its status as Tier 1 capital (as defined in federal regulations). The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the "Dodd-Frank Act") constituted such an event for certain holding companies. Specifically, companies with \$15 billion or more in consolidated assets can no longer include hybrid capital instruments, such as trust-preferred securities, in Tier 1 capital beginning January 1, 2013. As of March 31, 2011, the Company has assumed a 15% prepayment rate for those banks with greater than \$15 billion in assets in year 3 (the start of the phase out period for Tier 1 capital treatment), followed by an annual prepayment rate of 1%.

Edgar Filing: FIRST MIDWEST BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

For additional discussion of the CDO valuation methodology, refer to Note 12, "Fair Value."

Certain Characteristics and Metrics of the CDOs as of March 31, 2011
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

| Number | Class | Original Par | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Lowest Credit Rating Assigned to the Security | | Number of Banks/ Insurers | % of Banks/ Insurers Currently Performing | Actual | Expected | Excess Subordination as a % of the Remaining Performing Collateral (2) |
|--------|-------|--------------|----------------|------------|---|-------|---------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| | | | | | Moody's | Fitch | | | Deferrals and Defaults as a % of the Original Collateral (1) | Deferrals and Defaults as a % of the Remaining Performing Collateral (1) | |
| 1 | C-1 | \$ 17,500 | \$ 7,140 | \$ 3,208 | Ca | C | 47 | 78.7% | 11.9% | 21.0% | - |
| 2 | C-1 | 15,000 | 7,657 | 2,800 | Ca | C | 58 | 87.9% | 6.1% | 19.1% | - |
| 3 | C-1 | 15,000 | 13,480 | 3,702 | Ca | C | 73 | 69.9% | 18.4% | 16.8% | 3.8% |
| 4 | B1 | 15,000 | 13,922 | 4,305 | Ca | C | 64 | 59.4% | 35.0% | 24.2% | - |
| 5 | C | 10,000 | 1,317 | 146 | Ca | C | 56 | 62.5% | 40.3% | 29.8% | - |
| 6 | C | 6,500 | 6,179 | 2,032 | Ca | C | 77 | 68.8% | 22.8% | 14.2% | 10.2% |
| 7 (3) | N/A | 6,750 | - | - | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | | \$85,750 | \$49,695 | \$16,193 | | | | | | | |

(1)Deferrals and defaults are provided net of recoveries. No recovery is assumed for collateral that has already defaulted. For deferring collateral, the Company assumes a recovery rate of 10% of par for banks, thrifts, and other depository institutions and 15% of par for insurance companies.

(2)Excess subordination represents additional defaults in excess of current defaults that the CDO can absorb before the security experiences any credit impairment. The excess subordination percentage is calculated by dividing the amount of potential additional loss that can be absorbed (before the receipt of all expected future principal and interest payments is affected) by the total balance of performing collateral. Even with excess subordination, the CDO could experience an OTTI charge if future deterioration of underlying collateral in excess of current excess subordination is anticipated.

(3)Characteristics and metrics are not reported for this CDO since the security had an amortized cost and fair value of zero as of March 31, 2011.

Credit-Related CDO Impairment Losses
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

| Number | Quarters Ended March 31, | | |
|--------|--------------------------|----------|--------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | Life-to-Date |
| 1 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 10,360 |
| 2 | - | - | 7,343 |
| 3 | - | - | 1,159 |
| 4 | - | 684 | 1,078 |
| 5 | - | 1,836 | 8,570 |
| 6 | - | 243 | 243 |
| 7 | - | - | 6,750 |
| | \$ - | \$ 2,763 | \$ 35,503 |

For the equity security with an unrealized loss, the Company has evaluated the near-term prospects of the investment in relation to the severity and duration of the impairment and, based on that evaluation, has the ability and intent to hold this investment until a recovery of fair value.

For additional details of the securities available-for-sale portfolio and the related impact of unrealized gains (losses) thereon, see Note 8, "Comprehensive Income."

The carrying value of securities available-for-sale that were pledged to secure deposits and for other purposes as permitted or required by law totaled \$692.5 million at March 31, 2011 and \$808.3 million at December 31, 2010. No securities held-to-maturity were pledged as of March 31, 2011 or December 31, 2010.

4. LOANS

Loan Portfolio
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

| | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 1,493,465 | \$ 1,465,903 |
| Agricultural | 234,898 | 227,756 |
| Commercial real estate: | | |
| Office, retail, and industrial | 1,205,880 | 1,203,613 |
| Multi-family | 344,645 | 349,862 |
| Residential construction | 151,887 | 174,690 |
| Commercial construction | 153,392 | 164,472 |
| Other commercial real estate | 850,334 | 856,357 |
| Total commercial real estate | 2,706,138 | 2,748,994 |
| Total corporate loans | 4,434,501 | 4,442,653 |
| Home equity | 434,138 | 445,243 |
| 1-4 family mortgages | 178,538 | 160,890 |
| Installment loans | 48,366 | 51,774 |
| Total consumer loans | 661,042 | 657,907 |
| Total loans, excluding covered loans | 5,095,543 | 5,100,560 |
| Covered loans (1) | 352,357 | 374,640 |
| Total loans | \$ 5,447,900 | \$ 5,475,200 |
| Deferred loan fees included in total loans | \$ 8,021 | \$ 8,042 |
| Overdrawn demand deposits included in total loans | \$ 2,240 | \$ 4,281 |

(1)For information on covered loans, refer to Note 5, "Covered Assets."

The Company primarily lends to small and mid-sized businesses, commercial real estate customers, and consumers in the markets in which the Company operates. Within these areas, the Company diversifies its loan portfolio by loan type, industry, and borrower.

It is the Company's policy to review each prospective credit in order to determine the appropriateness and the adequacy of security or collateral prior to making a loan. In the event of borrower default, the Company seeks recovery in compliance with state lending laws, the Company's lending standards, and credit monitoring and remediation procedures.

5. COVERED ASSETS

In 2009 and 2010, the Company acquired the majority of the assets of three financial institutions in Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC")-assisted transactions. Most loans and other real estate owned ("OREO") acquired in the acquisitions are covered by loss sharing agreements with the FDIC (the "Agreements"), whereby the FDIC will reimburse the Company for the majority of the losses incurred on these assets. A detailed discussion of these transactions is presented in Note 5, "Covered Assets" contained in the Company's 2010 10-K.

Covered Assets
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

| | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|--|-------------------|----------------------|
| Covered impaired loans | \$ 311,606 | \$ 337,784 |
| Other covered loans (1) | 40,751 | 36,856 |
| Total covered loans | 352,357 | 374,640 |
| FDIC indemnification asset | 78,468 | 88,981 |
| Covered OREO | 28,871 | 29,698 |
| Total covered assets | \$ 459,696 | \$ 493,319 |
| Covered loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing interest | \$ 91,165 | \$ 86,910 |

(1) These loans are open-end consumer loans that are not categorized as impaired loans.

The loans purchased in the three FDIC-assisted transactions were recorded at their estimated fair values on the respective purchase dates and are accounted for prospectively based on expected cash flows. An allowance for loan losses was not recorded on these loans at the acquisition date. Except for leases and revolving loans, including lines of credit and credit card loans, management determined that a significant portion of the acquired loans (“purchased impaired loans”) had evidence of credit deterioration since origination, and it was probable at the date of acquisition that the Company would not collect all contractually required principal and interest payments. Evidence of credit quality deterioration included factors such as past due and non-accrual status. Other key considerations and indicators include the past performance of the troubled institutions’ credit underwriting standards, completeness and accuracy of credit files, maintenance of risk ratings, and age of appraisals.

Although some loans were contractually 90 days or more past due at the acquisition date, none of the purchased impaired loans at March 31, 2011 or December 31, 2010 were classified as non-performing loans since the loans continued to perform substantially in accordance with the Company’s expectations of cash flows. Interest income is being recognized on all purchased loans through accretion of the difference between the carrying amount of the loans and the expected cash flows.

The Company has also modified certain loans according to provisions in the Agreements. Losses associated with modifications on these loans are generally eligible for reimbursement under the Agreements. Acquired loans restructured after acquisition date are not considered troubled debt restructurings for purposes of the Company’s accounting and disclosure since the loans evidenced credit deterioration as of the acquisition date.

In connection with the Agreements, the Company recorded an indemnification asset. To maintain eligibility for the loss share reimbursement, the Company is required to follow certain servicing procedures as specified in the Agreements.

The accounting policies related to purchased impaired loans are presented in Note 1, “Summary of Significant Accounting Policies.” Accounting for the related FDIC indemnification assets is presented in Note 1, “Summary of Significant Accounting Policies” contained in the Company’s 2010 10-K.

Changes in FDIC Indemnification Asset
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

| | Quarters Ended March 31, | |
|---|--------------------------|-----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 88,981 | \$ 67,945 |
| (Amortization) accretion | (2,242) | 1,437 |
| Expected reimbursements from the FDIC for changes in expected credit losses | 2,513 | (240) |
| Payments received from the FDIC | (10,784) | (14,551) |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 78,468 | \$ 54,591 |

Changes in the accretable balance for purchased impaired loans were as follows.

Changes in Accretable Yield
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

| | Quarters Ended March 31, | |
|---|--------------------------|----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 63,837 | \$ 9,298 |
| Accretion | (8,424) | (1,503) |
| Reclassifications to non-accretable difference, net (1) | (4,182) | - |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 51,231 | \$ 7,795 |

(1) Amount represents a decrease in the estimated cash flows to be collected on the underlying portfolio.

6. PAST DUE LOANS, ALLOWANCE FOR CREDIT LOSSES, AND IMPAIRED LOANS

The following table presents an aging analysis of the Company's past due loans as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010. The aging is determined without regard to accrual status. The table also presents non-performing loans, consisting of non-accrual loans (most of which are past due) and loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing interest, as of each balance sheet date.

Aging Analysis of Past Due Loans and Non-Performing Loans by Class
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

| | Aging Analysis | | | | Total Loans | Non-accrual Loans | Non-performing Loans 90 Days Past Due Loans, Still Accruing Interest |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------------|---|
| | Current | 30-89 Days Past Due | 90 Days or More Past Due | Total Past Due | | | |
| March 31, 2011 | | | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 1,449,701 | \$ 12,420 | \$ 31,344 | \$ 43,764 | \$ 1,493,465 | \$ 54,561 | \$ 1,629 |
| Agricultural | 233,716 | 11 | 1,171 | 1,182 | 234,898 | 1,171 | - |
| Commercial real estate: | | | | | | | |
| Office, retail, and industrial | 1,183,746 | 5,488 | 16,646 | 22,134 | 1,205,880 | 16,753 | 1,666 |
| Multi-family | 337,747 | 2,720 | 4,178 | 6,898 | 344,645 | 6,787 | - |
| Residential construction | 123,265 | 3,075 | 25,547 | 28,622 | 151,887 | 36,326 | - |
| Commercial construction | 132,412 | - | 20,980 | 20,980 | 153,392 | 20,980 | - |
| Other commercial real estate | 812,944 | 9,042 | 28,348 | 37,390 | 850,334 | 38,732 | 565 |
| Total commercial real estate | 2,590,114 | 20,325 | 95,699 | 116,024 | 2,706,138 | 119,578 | 2,231 |
| Total corporate loans | 4,273,531 | 32,756 | 128,214 | 160,970 | 4,434,501 | 175,310 | 3,860 |
| Home equity | 419,940 | 5,779 | 8,419 | 14,198 | 434,138 | 7,854 | 744 |
| 1-4 family mortgages | 172,380 | 2,475 | 3,683 | 6,158 | 178,538 | 3,362 | 511 |
| Installment loans | 47,902 | 311 | 153 | 464 | 48,366 | 37 | 116 |
| Total consumer loans | 640,222 | 8,565 | 12,255 | 20,820 | 661,042 | 11,253 | 1,371 |
| Total loans, excluding covered loans | 4,913,753 | 41,321 | 140,469 | 181,790 | 5,095,543 | 186,563 | 5,231 |
| Covered loans | 250,793 | 10,399 | 91,165 | 101,564 | 352,357 | - | 91,165 |
| Total loans | \$ 5,164,546 | \$ 51,720 | \$ 231,634 | \$ 283,354 | \$ 5,447,900 | \$ 186,563 | \$ 96,396 |
| December 31, 2010 | | | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 1,428,841 | \$ 7,706 | \$ 29,356 | \$ 37,062 | \$ 1,465,903 | \$ 50,088 | \$ 1,552 |
| Agricultural | 225,007 | 65 | 2,684 | 2,749 | 227,756 | 2,497 | 187 |

| | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|-----------|------------|------------|--------------|------------|-----------|
| Commercial real estate: | | | | | | | |
| Office, retail, and industrial | 1,183,952 | 4,009 | 15,652 | 19,661 | 1,203,613 | 19,573 | - |
| Multi-family | 345,018 | 2,811 | 2,033 | 4,844 | 349,862 | 6,203 | - |
| Residential construction | 139,499 | 1,320 | 33,871 | 35,191 | 174,690 | 52,122 | 200 |
| Commercial construction | 140,044 | 4,000 | 20,428 | 24,428 | 164,472 | 28,685 | - |
| Other commercial real estate | 813,333 | 9,091 | 33,933 | 43,024 | 856,357 | 40,605 | 345 |
| Total commercial real estate | 2,621,846 | 21,231 | 105,917 | 127,148 | 2,748,994 | 147,188 | 545 |
| Total corporate loans | 4,275,694 | 29,002 | 137,957 | 166,959 | 4,442,653 | 199,773 | 2,284 |
| Home equity | 431,446 | 4,715 | 9,082 | 13,797 | 445,243 | 7,948 | 1,870 |
| 1-4 family mortgages | 154,999 | 2,523 | 3,368 | 5,891 | 160,890 | 3,902 | 4 |
| Installment loans | 50,899 | 742 | 133 | 875 | 51,774 | 159 | 86 |
| Total consumer loans | 637,344 | 7,980 | 12,583 | 20,563 | 657,907 | 12,009 | 1,960 |
| Total loans, excluding covered loans | 4,913,038 | 36,982 | 150,540 | 187,522 | 5,100,560 | 211,782 | 4,244 |
| Covered loans | 269,285 | 18,445 | 86,910 | 105,355 | 374,640 | - | 86,910 |
| Total loans | \$ 5,182,323 | \$ 55,427 | \$ 237,450 | \$ 292,877 | \$ 5,475,200 | \$ 211,782 | \$ 91,154 |

The Company maintains an allowance for credit losses at a level believed adequate by management to absorb probable losses inherent in the loan portfolio.

Edgar Filing: FIRST MIDWEST BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Allowance for Credit Losses
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

| | Commercial, Industrial, and Agricultural | Office, Retail, and Industrial | Multi- Family | Residential Construction | Other Commercial Real Estate | Consumer | Covered Loans | Total Allowance |
|---------------------|---|--------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------|------------------|--------------------|
| Quarter ended | | | | | | | | |
| March 31, 2011 | | | | | | | | |
| Balance at | | | | | | | | |
| beginning of period | \$ 49,545 | \$ 20,758 | \$ 3,996 | \$ 27,933 | \$ 29,869 | \$ 12,971 | \$ - | \$ 145,072 |
| Loans charged-off | (4,974) | (1,199) | (549) | (5,422) | (5,662) | (2,671) | (1,104) | (21,581) |
| Recoveries of | | | | | | | | |
| loans previously | | | | | | | | |
| charged-off | 1,837 | 16 | - | 4 | 43 | 108 | 12 | 2,020 |
| Net loans | | | | | | | | |
| charged-off | (3,137) | (1,183) | (549) | (5,418) | (5,619) | (2,563) | (1,092) | (19,561) |
| Provision for | | | | | | | | |
| loan losses | 4,181 | 57 | 1,205 | 4,873 | 5,795 | 2,289 | 1,092 | 19,492 |
| Balance at end of | | | | | | | | |
| period | \$ 50,589 | \$ 19,632 | \$ 4,652 | \$ 27,388 | \$ 30,045 | \$ 12,697 | \$ - | \$ 145,003 |
| Allowance for loan | | | | | | | | |
| losses | \$ 50,589 | \$ 19,632 | \$ 4,652 | \$ 27,036 | \$ 28,484 | \$ 12,110 | \$ - | \$ 142,503 |
| Reserve for | | | | | | | | |
| unfunded | | | | | | | | |
| commitments | - | - | - | 352 | 1,561 | 587 | - | 2,500 |
| Total allowance for | | | | | | | | |
| credit losses | \$ 50,589 | \$ 19,632 | \$ 4,652 | \$ 27,388 | \$ 30,045 | \$ 12,697 | \$ - | \$ 145,003 |
| Quarter ended | | | | | | | | |
| March 31, 2010 | | | | | | | | |
| Balance at | | | | | | | | |
| beginning of period | \$ 54,452 | \$ 20,164 | \$ 4,555 | \$ 33,078 | \$ 21,084 | \$ 11,475 | \$ - | \$ 144,808 |
| Loans charged-off | (5,477) | (1,852) | (627) | (4,557) | (4,808) | (2,676) | - | (19,997) |
| Recoveries of | | | | | | | | |
| loans previously | | | | | | | | |
| charged-off | 873 | 208 | 115 | 105 | 89 | 273 | - | 1,663 |
| Net loans | | | | | | | | |
| charged-off | (4,604) | (1,644) | (512) | (4,452) | (4,719) | (2,403) | - | (18,334) |
| Provision for | | | | | | | | |
| loan losses | 7,554 | 1,256 | 636 | 416 | 4,639 | 3,849 | - | 18,350 |
| Balance at end of | | | | | | | | |
| period | \$ 57,402 | \$ 19,776 | \$ 4,679 | \$ 29,042 | \$ 21,004 | \$ 12,921 | \$ - | \$ 144,824 |
| Allowance for loan | | | | | | | | |
| losses | \$ 57,402 | \$ 19,776 | \$ 4,679 | \$ 29,042 | \$ 21,004 | \$ 12,921 | \$ - | \$ 144,824 |
| Reserve for | | | | | | | | |
| unfunded | | | | | | | | |
| commitments | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total allowance for | | | | | | | | |
| credit losses | \$ 57,402 | \$ 19,776 | \$ 4,679 | \$ 29,042 | \$ 21,004 | \$ 12,921 | \$ - | \$ 144,824 |

A portion of the Company's allowance for credit losses is allocated to loans deemed impaired. Impaired loans consist of corporate non-accrual loans and restructured loans. Smaller homogeneous loans such as home equity, installment, and 1-4 family mortgages are not individually assessed for impairment.

Impaired Loans
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

| | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|
| Impaired loans individually evaluated for impairment: | | |
| Impaired loans with a related allowance for credit losses (1) | \$ 29,588 | \$ 13,790 |
| Impaired loans with no specific related allowance (2) | 132,231 | 173,534 |
| Total impaired loans individually evaluated for impairment | 161,819 | 187,324 |
| Corporate non-accrual loans not individually evaluated for impairment | | |
| (3) | 13,491 | 12,449 |
| Total corporate non-accrual loans | 175,310 | 199,773 |
| Restructured loans, still accruing interest | 14,120 | 22,371 |
| Total impaired loans | \$ 189,430 | \$ 222,144 |
| Valuation allowance related to impaired loans | \$ 7,541 | \$ 6,343 |

(1) These impaired loans require a valuation allowance because the present value of expected future cash flows or related collateral less estimated selling costs is less than the recorded investment in the loans.

(2) No specific allowance for credit losses is allocated to these loans since they are deemed to be sufficiently collateralized or had charge-offs. However, while each component of the allowance for credit losses is determined separately, the entire balance is available for the entire loan portfolio.

(3) These are loans with balances under a specified threshold.

The table below provides a break-down of loans and the related allowance for credit losses by portfolio segment. Loans individually evaluated for impairment include corporate non-accrual loans with the exception of certain loans with balances under a specified threshold.

The present value of any decreases in expected cash flows of covered loans after the purchase date is recognized by recording a charge-off through the allowance for credit losses. Since covered loans are accounted for as purchased impaired loans and the carrying values of those loans are periodically adjusted for any changes in expected future cash flows, the covered loans are not included in the calculation of the allowance for credit losses and are not displayed in this table.

Loans and Related Allowance for Credit Losses by Portfolio Segment
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

| | Loans | | | Allowance For Credit Losses | | |
|--|--|--|--------------|--|--|------------|
| | Individually Evaluated For Impairment | Collectively Evaluated For Impairment | Total | Individually Evaluated For Impairment | Collectively Evaluated For Impairment | Total |
| March 31, 2011 | | | | | | |
| Commercial, industrial, and agricultural | \$ 47,372 | \$ 1,680,991 | \$ 1,728,363 | \$ 3,306 | \$ 47,283 | \$ 50,589 |
| Commercial real estate: | | | | | | |
| Office, retail, and industrial | 15,840 | 1,190,040 | 1,205,880 | 867 | 18,765 | 19,632 |
| Multi-family | 6,126 | 338,519 | 344,645 | 519 | 4,133 | 4,652 |
| Residential construction | 35,261 | 116,626 | 151,887 | 1,261 | 26,127 | 27,388 |
| Other commercial real estate | 57,220 | 946,506 | 1,003,726 | 1,588 | 28,457 | 30,045 |
| Total commercial real estate | 114,447 | 2,591,691 | 2,706,138 | 4,235 | 77,482 | 81,717 |
| Total corporate loans | 161,819 | 4,272,682 | 4,434,501 | 7,541 | 124,765 | 132,306 |
| Consumer | - | 661,042 | 661,042 | - | 12,697 | 12,697 |
| Total | \$ 161,819 | \$ 4,933,724 | \$ 5,095,543 | \$ 7,541 | \$ 137,462 | \$ 145,003 |
| December 31, 2010 | | | | | | |
| Commercial, industrial, and agricultural | \$ 43,365 | \$ 1,650,294 | \$ 1,693,659 | \$ 2,650 | \$ 46,895 | \$ 49,545 |
| Commercial real estate: | | | | | | |
| Office, retail, and industrial | 18,076 | 1,185,537 | 1,203,613 | - | 20,758 | 20,758 |
| Multi-family | 5,696 | 344,166 | 349,862 | 497 | 3,499 | 3,996 |
| Residential construction | 51,269 | 123,421 | 174,690 | - | 27,933 | 27,933 |
| Other commercial real estate | 68,918 | 951,911 | 1,020,829 | 3,196 | 26,673 | 29,869 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST MIDWEST BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|------------|--------------|--------------|----------|------------|------------|
| Total commercial real estate | 143,959 | 2,605,035 | 2,748,994 | 3,693 | 78,863 | 82,556 |
| Total corporate loans | 187,324 | 4,255,329 | 4,442,653 | 6,343 | 125,758 | 132,101 |
| Consumer | - | 657,907 | 657,907 | - | 12,971 | 12,971 |
| Total | \$ 187,324 | \$ 4,913,236 | \$ 5,100,560 | \$ 6,343 | \$ 138,729 | \$ 145,072 |

22

Edgar Filing: FIRST MIDWEST BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

The following table presents loans individually evaluated for impairment by class of loan as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

Impaired Loans Individually Evaluated by Class
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

| | March 31, 2011 | | | | December 31, 2010 | | | |
|---|---|---|--------------------------------|--|---|-----------|--------------------------------|--|
| | Recorded Investment In | | Unpaid Principal Balance | Allowance for Credit Losses Allocated | Recorded Investment In | | Unpaid Principal Balance | Allowance for Credit Losses Allocated |
| Loans with No Specific Related Allowance (1) | Loans with a Related Allowance for Credit Losses | Loans with No Specific Related Allowance (1) | | | Loans with a Related Allowance for Credit Losses | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 40,556 | \$ 6,104 | \$ 58,088 | \$ 3,306 | \$ 40,715 | \$ 2,650 | \$ 53,353 | \$ 2,650 |
| Agricultural | 712 | - | 910 | - | 2,447 | - | 2,982 | - |
| Commercial real estate: | | | | | | | | |
| Office, retail, and industrial | 14,766 | 1,074 | 24,396 | 867 | 18,076 | - | 26,193 | - |
| Multi-family Residential construction | 5,001 | 1,125 | 7,847 | 519 | 4,565 | 1,131 | 7,322 | 497 |
| Commercial construction | 25,943 | 9,318 | 96,246 | 1,261 | 51,269 | - | 129,698 | - |
| Other commercial real estate | 18,900 | 2,080 | 27,498 | 401 | 28,685 | - | 38,404 | - |
| Total commercial real estate | 26,353 | 9,887 | 58,770 | 1,187 | 27,777 | 10,009 | 60,465 | 3,196 |
| Total commercial real estate | 90,963 | 23,484 | 214,757 | 4,235 | 130,372 | 11,140 | 262,082 | 3,693 |
| Total impaired loans individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 132,231 | \$ 29,588 | \$ 273,755 | \$ 7,541 | \$ 173,534 | \$ 13,790 | \$ 318,417 | \$ 6,343 |

| | Quarter Ended March 31, 2011 | | Quarter Ended March 31, 2010 | |
|--------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| | Average Recorded Investment Balance | Interest Income Recognized (2) | Average Recorded Investment Balance | Interest Income Recognized (2) |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 51,024 | \$ 6 | \$ 37,502 | \$ 9 |
| Agricultural | 2,515 | - | 2,098 | - |
| Commercial real estate: | | | | |
| Office, retail, and industrial | 17,910 | 12 | 20,426 | 7 |

| | | | | |
|--|------------|-------|------------|-------|
| Multi-family | 6,650 | 2 | 8,068 | - |
| Residential construction | 49,823 | - | 83,189 | |
| Commercial construction | 25,391 | - | 20,118 | - |
| Other commercial real estate | 44,339 | 17 | 31,717 | 3 |
| Total commercial real estate | 144,113 | 31 | 163,518 | 10 |
| Total impaired loans individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 197,652 | \$ 37 | \$ 203,118 | \$ 19 |

(1)No specific allowance for credit losses is allocated to these loans since they are deemed to be sufficiently collateralized or had charge-offs. However, while each component of the allowance for credit losses is determined separately, the entire balance is available for the entire loan portfolio.

(2)Recorded using the cash basis of accounting.

Corporate loans and commitments are assessed for risk and assigned ratings based on various characteristics such as the borrower's cash flow, leverage, collateral, management characteristics, and other factors. Ratings for commercial credits are reviewed periodically. Consumer loans are assessed for credit quality based on the aging status of the loan and payment activity. The assessment of consumer loans is completed at the end of each reporting period. Loans are analyzed on an

individual basis when the internal credit rating is at or below a predetermined classification and the loan exceeds a fixed dollar amount.

Credit Quality Indicators by Class, Excluding Covered Loans
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

| | Pass | Special Mention (1) | Substandard / Accrual (2) | Substandard / Non-accrual (3) | Total |
|--------------------------------|--------------|------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|
| March 31, 2011 | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 1,304,999 | \$ 87,017 | \$ 46,888 | \$ 54,561 | \$ 1,493,465 |
| Agricultural | 217,900 | 15,570 | 257 | 1,171 | 234,898 |
| Commercial real estate: | | | | | |
| Office, retail, and industrial | 1,031,263 | 115,959 | 41,905 | 16,753 | 1,205,880 |
| Multi-family | 293,947 | 21,324 | 22,587 | 6,787 | 344,645 |
| Residential construction | 57,466 | 30,966 | 27,129 | 36,326 | 151,887 |
| Commercial construction | 72,181 | 41,086 | 19,145 | 20,980 | 153,392 |
| Other commercial real estate | 699,116 | 87,555 | 24,931 | 38,732 | 850,334 |
| Total commercial real estate | 2,153,973 | 296,890 | 135,697 | 119,578 | 2,706,138 |
| Total corporate loans | \$ 3,676,872 | \$ 399,477 | \$ 182,842 | \$ 175,310 | \$ 4,434,501 |
| December 31, 2010 | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 1,303,142 | \$ 83,259 | \$ 29,414 | \$ 50,088 | \$ 1,465,903 |
| Agricultural | 209,317 | 15,667 | 275 | 2,497 | 227,756 |
| Commercial real estate: | | | | | |
| Office, retail, and industrial | 1,026,124 | 123,800 | 34,116 | 19,573 | 1,203,613 |
| Multi-family | 307,845 | 20,643 | 15,171 | 6,203 | 349,862 |
| Residential construction | 57,209 | 35,950 | 29,409 | 52,122 | 174,690 |
| Commercial construction | 85,305 | 35,750 | 14,732 | 28,685 | 164,472 |
| Other commercial real estate | 697,971 | 89,247 | 28,534 | 40,605 | 856,357 |
| Total commercial real estate | 2,174,454 | 305,390 | 121,962 | 147,188 | 2,748,994 |
| Total corporate loans | \$ 3,686,913 | \$ 404,316 | \$ 151,651 | \$ 199,773 | \$ 4,442,653 |

| | Performing | Non-accrual | Total |
|--------------------------|------------|-------------|------------|
| March 31, 2011 | | | |
| Home equity | \$ 426,284 | \$ 7,854 | \$ 434,138 |
| 1-4 family mortgages | 175,176 | 3,362 | 178,538 |
| Installment loans | 48,329 | 37 | 48,366 |
| Total consumer loans | \$ 649,789 | \$ 11,253 | \$ 661,042 |
| December 31, 2010 | | | |
| Home equity | \$ 437,295 | \$ 7,948 | \$ 445,243 |
| 1-4 family mortgages | 156,988 | 3,902 | 160,890 |
| Installment loans | 51,615 | 159 | 51,774 |
| Total consumer loans | \$ 645,898 | \$ 12,009 | \$ 657,907 |

(1) Loans categorized as special mention have potential weaknesses that deserve the close attention of management. If left uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may result in the deterioration of repayment prospects or in the credit position of the Company at some future date.

(2)

Loans categorized as substandard/accrual continue to accrue interest, but exhibit a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that may jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. These loans continue to accrue interest because they are well secured and collection of principal and interest is expected within a reasonable time.

- (3) Loans categorized as substandard/non-accrual exhibit a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that may jeopardize the liquidation of the debt and are characterized by the distinct possibility that the Company could sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. These loans have been placed on non-accrual status.

7. EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE

Basic and Diluted Earnings per Common Share
(Amounts in thousands, except per share data)

| | Quarters Ended March 31, | |
|---|--------------------------|----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Net income | \$ 10,218 | \$ 8,081 |
| Preferred dividends | (2,413) | (2,413) |
| Accretion on preferred stock | (168) | (159) |
| Net income applicable to non-vested restricted shares | (140) | (81) |
| Net income applicable to common shares | \$ 7,497 | \$ 5,428 |
| Weighted-average common shares outstanding: | | |
| Weighted-average common shares outstanding (basic) | 73,151 | 70,469 |
| Dilutive effect of common stock equivalents | - | - |
| Weighted-average diluted common shares outstanding | 73,151 | 70,469 |
| Basic earnings per common share | \$ 0.10 | \$ 0.08 |
| Diluted earnings per common share | \$ 0.10 | \$ 0.08 |
| Anti-dilutive shares not included in the computation of diluted earnings per common share (1) | 3,733 | 3,886 |

(1) Represents outstanding stock options and common stock warrants for which the exercise price is greater than the average market price of the Company's common stock.

8. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Comprehensive income is the total of reported net income and all other revenues, expenses, gains, and losses that are not included in reported net income under GAAP. The Company includes the following items, net of tax, in other comprehensive income (loss) in the Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity: changes in unrealized gains or losses on securities available-for-sale, changes in the fair value of derivatives designated under cash flow hedges (when applicable), and changes in the funded status of the Company's pension plan.

Components of Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

| | Quarter Ended March 31, 2011 | | | Quarter Ended March 31, 2010 | | |
|--|------------------------------|------------|------------|------------------------------|------------|------------|
| | Before Tax | Tax Effect | Net of Tax | Before Tax | Tax Effect | Net of Tax |
| Securities available-for-sale: | | | | | | |
| Unrealized holding gains | \$ 6,040 | \$ 2,355 | \$ 3,685 | \$ 2,699 | \$ 1,046 | \$ 1,653 |
| Less: Reclassification of net gains included in net income | 540 | 221 | 319 | 3,057 | 1,192 | 1,865 |
| Net unrealized holding gains (losses) | 5,500 | 2,134 | 3,366 | (358) | (146) | (212) |
| Funded status of pension plan: | | | | | | |
| Unrealized holding losses | - | - | - | - | - | - |

| | | | | | | |
|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Total other comprehensive income (loss) | \$ 5,500 | \$ 2,134 | \$ 3,366 | \$ (358) | \$ (146) | \$ (212) |
|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|

Activity in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

| | Accumulated Unrealized Loss on Securities Available-for-Sale | Accumulated Unrealized Loss on Under-funded Pension Obligation | Total Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss |
|----------------------------|---|---|--|
| Balance at January 1, 2010 | \$ (13,015) | \$ (5,651) | \$ (18,666) |
| Other comprehensive loss | (212) | - | (212) |
| Balance at March 31, 2010 | \$ (13,227) | \$ (5,651) | \$ (18,878) |
| Balance at January 1, 2011 | \$ (19,806) | \$ (7,933) | \$ (27,739) |
| Other comprehensive income | 3,366 | - | 3,366 |
| Balance at March 31, 2011 | \$ (16,440) | \$ (7,933) | \$ (24,373) |

9. INCOME TAXES

Income Tax Expense
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

| | Quarters Ended March 31, | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Income before income tax expense | \$ 10,248 | \$ 8,436 |
| Income tax expense: | | |
| Federal income tax expense (benefit) | \$ 1,014 | \$ (38) |
| State income tax (benefit) expense | (984) | 393 |
| Total income tax expense | \$ 30 | \$ 355 |
| Effective tax rate | 0.3% | 4.2% |

Federal income tax expense (benefit) and the related effective income tax rate are primarily influenced by the amount of tax-exempt income derived from investment securities and bank owned life insurance in relation to pre-tax income. State income tax (benefit) expense and the related effective tax rate are influenced by state tax rules relating to consolidated/combined reporting and sourcing of income and expense.

The decrease in income tax expense and effective income tax rate from first quarter 2010 to first quarter 2011 resulted from a state income tax benefit for first quarter 2011 compared to state income tax expense for first quarter 2010, partially offset by an increase in federal income tax expense. Federal income tax expense increased as a result of an increase in pre-tax income and a decrease in tax-exempt income from investment securities.

Effective January 1, 2011, the Illinois corporate income tax rate increased from 7.3% to 9.5%. As a result of the rate change, the Company increased the carrying value of certain state deferred tax assets and recorded a \$1.6 million decrease to state income tax expense. This benefit was partially offset by increased current period state income tax expense resulting from the rate increase.

10. DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES

In the ordinary course of business, the Company enters into derivative transactions as part of its overall interest rate risk management strategy to minimize significant unplanned fluctuations in earnings and cash flows caused by interest

rate volatility. To achieve its interest rate risk management objectives, the Company primarily uses interest rate swaps with indices that relate to the pricing of specific assets and liabilities. The nature and volume of the derivative instruments used to manage interest rate risk depend on the level and type of assets and liabilities held and the risk management strategies for the current and anticipated interest rate environment.

All derivative instruments are recorded at fair value as either other assets or other liabilities in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition. Subsequent changes in a derivative's fair value are recognized in earnings unless specific hedge accounting criteria are met. The accounting policies related to derivative instruments and hedging activities are presented in Note 1, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies," contained in the Company's 2010 10-K.

During first quarter 2011 and 2010, the Company hedged the fair value of fixed rate commercial real estate loans through the use of pay fixed, receive variable interest rate swaps. These derivative contracts were designated as fair value hedges and are valued using observable market prices, if available, or third party cash flow projection models. The fair value and notional amounts of the fair value hedges and the amount of hedge ineffectiveness recognized thereon were not material for any period presented.

The Company's derivative portfolio also includes derivative instruments not designated in a hedge relationship consisting of commitments to originate 1-4 family mortgage loans and foreign exchange contracts. The fair value of these instruments was not material for any period presented. The Company had no other derivative instruments as of March 31, 2011 or December 31, 2010. The Company does not enter into derivative transactions for purely speculative purposes.

11. COMMITMENTS, GUARANTEES, AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

Credit Commitments and Guarantees

In the normal course of business, the Company enters into a variety of financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk to meet the financing needs of its customers and to conduct lending activities. These instruments include commitments to extend credit and standby and commercial letters of credit. These instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amount recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition.

Contractual or Notional Amounts of Financial Instruments (Dollar amounts in thousands)

| | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|--|-------------------|----------------------|
| Commitments to extend credit: | | |
| Home equity lines | \$ 278,044 | \$ 275,826 |
| Credit card lines to businesses | 26,439 | 26,376 |
| 1-4 family real estate construction | 25,305 | 26,682 |
| Commercial real estate | 169,750 | 175,608 |
| Commercial and industrial | 549,224 | 553,168 |
| Overdraft protection program (1) | 173,990 | 169,824 |
| All other commitments | 136,101 | 97,299 |
| Total commitments | \$ 1,358,853 | \$ 1,324,783 |
| Letters of credit: | | |
| 1-4 family real estate construction | \$ 11,515 | \$ 10,551 |
| Commercial real estate | 54,284 | 54,896 |
| All other | 73,884 | 74,594 |
| Total letters of credit | \$ 139,683 | \$ 140,041 |
| Unamortized fees associated with letters of credit (2) (3) | \$ 773 | \$ 696 |
| Remaining weighted-average term (in months) | 11.0 | 12.2 |
| Remaining lives (in years) | 0.1 to 9.2 | 0.1 to 9.5 |
| Recourse on securitized assets: | | |
| Unpaid principal balance of assets securitized | \$ 7,364 | \$ 7,424 |
| Cap on recourse obligation | \$ 2,208 | \$ 2,208 |
| Carrying value of recourse obligation (2) | \$ 148 | \$ 148 |

(1)

Federal regulation regarding electronic fund transfers require consumers to affirmatively consent to the institution's overdraft service for automated teller machine and one-time debit card transactions before overdraft fees may be assessed on the account. Consumers are provided a specific line for the amount they may overdraw.

(2)Included in other liabilities in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition.

(3)The Company will amortize these amounts into income over the commitment period.

Letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Company to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. Standby letters of credit generally are contingent upon the failure of the customer to perform according to the terms of the underlying contract with the third party and are most often issued in favor of a municipality where construction is taking place to ensure the borrower adequately completes the construction.

The maximum potential future payments guaranteed by the Company under standby letters of credit arrangements are equal to the contractual amount of the commitment. If a commitment is funded, the Company may seek recourse through the liquidation of the underlying collateral including real estate, production plants and property, marketable securities, or cash.

Pursuant to the securitization of certain 1-4 family mortgage loans in 2004, the Company is contractually obligated to repurchase at recorded value any non-performing loans, defined as loans past due greater than 90 days. According to the securitization agreement, the Company's recourse obligation will end on November 30, 2011. No loans were required to be repurchased during the quarters ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, and the Company incurred no charge-offs during those periods on previously repurchased loans.

Legal Proceedings

As of March 31, 2011, there were certain legal proceedings pending against the Company and its subsidiaries in the ordinary course of business. The Company does not believe that liabilities, individually or in the aggregate, arising from these proceedings, if any, would have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial condition of the Company as of March 31, 2011.

12. FAIR VALUE

The Company measures, monitors, and discloses certain of its assets and liabilities at fair value in accordance with fair value accounting guidance. Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Fair value is used on a recurring basis to account for trading securities, securities available-for-sale, mortgage servicing rights, derivative assets, and derivative liabilities. It is also used on an annual basis to disclose the fair value of pension plan assets. In addition, fair value is used on a non-recurring basis (i) to apply lower-of-cost-or-market accounting to OREO, loans held-for-sale (excluding mortgage loans held-for-sale), and assets held-for-sale; (ii) to evaluate assets or liabilities for impairment, including collateral-dependent impaired loans, goodwill, and other intangibles; and (iii) for disclosure purposes.

Depending upon the nature of the asset or liability, the Company uses various valuation techniques and assumptions when estimating fair value. The Company maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. GAAP establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value into three broad levels based on the observability of the inputs. The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are defined as follows:

- Level 1 – Unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities traded in active markets.
- Level 2 – Observable inputs other than level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar instruments; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.
- Level 3 – Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

The categorization of an asset or liability within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. Transfers between levels of the fair value hierarchy are recognized on the actual date of circumstance that resulted in the transfer. There were no transfers of assets or liabilities between levels of the fair value hierarchy during the periods presented.

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value

The following table provides the hierarchy level and fair value for each class of assets and liabilities measured at fair value.

28

Fair Value Measurements
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

| | March 31, 2011 | | | |
|--|----------------|--------------|------------|--------------|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
| Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis | | | | |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Trading securities: | | | | |
| Money market funds | \$ 1,221 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 1,221 |
| Mutual funds | 15,006 | - | - | 15,006 |
| Total trading securities | 16,227 | - | - | 16,227 |
| Securities available-for-sale: | | | | |
| U.S. agency securities | - | 12,796 | - | 12,796 |
| CMOs | - | 403,152 | - | 403,152 |
| Other residential mortgage-backed securities | - | 101,907 | - | 101,907 |
| Municipal securities | - | 487,950 | - | 487,950 |
| CDOs | - | - | 16,193 | 16,193 |
| Corporate debt securities | - | 32,810 | - | 32,810 |
| Hedge fund investment | - | 1,953 | - | 1,953 |
| Other equity securities | 40 | 957 | - | 997 |
| Total securities available-for-sale | 40 | 1,041,525 | 16,193 | 1,057,758 |
| Mortgage servicing rights (1) | - | - | 845 | 845 |
| Total assets | \$ 16,267 | \$ 1,041,525 | \$ 17,038 | \$ 1,074,830 |
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| Derivative liabilities (1) | \$ - | \$ 1,592 | \$ - | \$ 1,592 |
| Assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis | | | | |
| Collateral-dependent impaired loans (2) | | | | |
| OREO (3) | - | - | 62,734 | 62,734 |
| Loan held-for-sale (4) | - | - | 3,800 | 3,800 |
| Asset held-for-sale (5) | - | - | 5,900 | 5,900 |
| Total assets | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 136,307 | \$ 136,307 |

Refer to the following page for footnotes.

| | December 31, 2010 | | | | |
|--|-------------------|--------------|------------|--|--------------|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | | Total |
| Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis | | | | | |
| Assets: | | | | | |
| Trading securities: | | | | | |
| Money market funds | \$ 1,196 | \$ - | \$ - | | \$ 1,196 |
| Mutual funds | 14,086 | - | - | | 14,086 |
| Total trading securities | 15,282 | - | - | | 15,282 |
| Securities available-for-sale: | | | | | |
| U.S. agency securities | - | 17,886 | - | | 17,886 |
| CMOs | - | 379,589 | - | | 379,589 |
| Other residential mortgage-backed securities | - | 106,451 | - | | 106,451 |
| Municipal securities | - | 503,991 | - | | 503,991 |
| CDOs | - | - | 14,858 | | 14,858 |
| Corporate debt securities | - | 32,345 | - | | 32,345 |
| Hedge fund investment | - | 1,683 | - | | 1,683 |
| Other equity securities | 38 | 961 | - | | 999 |
| Total securities available-for-sale | 38 | 1,042,906 | 14,858 | | 1,057,802 |
| Mortgage servicing rights (1) | - | - | 942 | | 942 |
| Total assets | \$ 15,320 | \$ 1,042,906 | \$ 15,800 | | \$ 1,074,026 |
| Liabilities: | | | | | |
| Derivative liabilities (1) | \$ - | \$ 1,833 | \$ - | | \$ 1,833 |
| Assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis | | | | | |
| Collateral-dependent impaired loans (2) | | | | | |
| OREO (3) | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 125,258 | | \$ 125,258 |
| Total assets | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 186,025 | | \$ 186,025 |

(1)Mortgage servicing rights are included in other assets, and derivative liabilities are included in other liabilities in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition.

(2)Represents the carrying value of loans for which adjustments are based on the appraised or market-quoted value of the collateral, net of selling costs. Collateral-dependent loans for which no fair value adjustments were necessary during first quarter 2011 are not included.

(3)Represents the estimated fair value, net of selling costs, based on appraised value and includes covered OREO.

(4)Included in other assets in the Consolidated Statements of Condition.

(5)Included in premises, furniture, and equipment in the Consolidated Statements of Condition.

Valuation Methodologies

The following describes the valuation methodologies used by the Company for assets and liabilities measured at fair value.

Trading Securities – Trading securities represent diversified investment securities held in a rabbi trust and are invested in money market and mutual funds. The fair value of these money market and mutual funds is based on quoted market prices in active exchange markets and classified in level 1 of the fair value hierarchy. All trading securities are reported at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses included in noninterest income.

Securities Available-for-Sale – Securities available-for-sale are primarily fixed income instruments that are not quoted on an exchange, but may be traded in active markets. The fair value of these securities is based on quoted prices in active markets obtained from external pricing services or dealer market participants. The Company has evaluated the methodologies used by its external pricing services to develop the fair values to determine whether such valuations are representative of an exit price in the Company’s principal markets. Examples of such securities measured at fair value are U.S. agency securities, municipal bonds, CMOs, and other mortgage-backed securities. These securities are generally classified in level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. In certain cases, where there is limited market activity or less transparent inputs to the valuation, securities are

classified in level 3. For instance, in the valuation of CDOs, the determination of fair value requires benchmarking to similar instruments or analyzing default and recovery rates.

The Company's CMOs and other mortgage-backed securities are classified in level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. Their fair value is based on quoted market prices obtained from external pricing services or dealer market participants where trading in an active market exists. Substantially all of these securities are either backed by U.S. government-owned agencies or issued by U.S. government-sponsored enterprises.

| | Collateralized Mortgage Obligations | Other Mortgage- Backed Securities |
|--|---|--|
| Weighted-average coupon rate | 4.9% | 5.3% |
| Weighted-average maturity (in years) | 2.7 | 4.1 |
| Information on underlying residential mortgages: | | |
| Origination dates | 2000 to 2010 | 2000 to 2010 |
| Weighted-average coupon rate | 5.8% | 5.8% |
| Weighted-average maturity (in years) | 9.3 | 7.5 |

Due to the illiquidity in the secondary market for the Company's CDOs, the Company estimates the value of these securities using discounted cash flow analyses with the assistance of a structured credit valuation firm, and classifies these investments in level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. The valuation for each of the CDOs relies on historical financial data for the obligors of the underlying collateral. The valuation firm performs a credit analysis of each of the entities comprising the collateral underlying each CDO in order to estimate the entities' likelihood of default on their trust-preferred obligations. Cash flows are modeled according to the contractual terms of the CDO, discounted to their present values, and are used to derive the estimated fair value of the individual CDO, as well as any credit loss or impairment. The discount rates used in the discounted cash flow analyses range from LIBOR plus 1,200 to LIBOR plus 1,300 basis points, depending upon the specific CDO and reflects the higher risk inherent in these securities given the current market environment.

The Company's hedge fund investment is classified in level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. The fair value is derived from monthly and annual financial statements provided by hedge fund management. The majority of the hedge fund's investment portfolio is held in securities that are freely tradable and are listed on national securities exchanges.

Carrying Value of Level 3 Securities Available-for-Sale
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

| | Quarters Ended March 31, | |
|---|--------------------------|------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 14,858 | \$ 11,728 |
| Total income (losses): | | |
| Included in earnings (1) | - | (2,763) |
| Included in other comprehensive income | 1,335 | 3,213 |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 16,193 | \$ 12,178 |
| Change in unrealized losses recognized in earnings relating to securities still held at end of period | \$ - | \$ (2,763) |

(1) Included in securities gains, net in the Consolidated Statements of Income and related to securities still held at the end of the period.

Mortgage Servicing Rights – The Company records its mortgage servicing rights at fair value in other assets in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition. Mortgage servicing rights do not trade in an active market with readily observable prices. Accordingly, the Company determines the fair value of mortgage servicing rights by estimating the present value of the future cash flows associated with the mortgage loans being serviced. Key economic assumptions used in measuring the fair value of mortgage servicing rights at March 31, 2011 included prepayment speeds, maturities, and discount rates. While market-based data is used to determine the assumptions, the Company incorporates its own estimates of the assumptions market participants would use in determining the fair value of mortgage servicing rights, which results in a level 3 classification in the fair value hierarchy.

Carrying Value of Mortgage Servicing Rights

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

| | Quarters Ended March 31, | |
|--|--------------------------|----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 942 | \$ 1,238 |
| Total gains (losses) included in earnings (1): | | |
| Due to changes in valuation inputs and assumptions (2) | (38) | 25 |
| Other changes in fair value (3) | (59) | (66) |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 845 | \$ 1,197 |
| Key economic assumptions used in measuring fair value, at end of period: | | |
| Weighted-average prepayment speed | 20.0% | 15.1% |
| Weighted-average discount rate | 11.5% | 11.4% |
| Weighted-average maturity, in months | 200.4 | 207.2 |
| Contractual servicing fees earned during the period (1) | \$ 63 | \$ 84 |

| | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|
| Total amount of loans being serviced for the benefit of others at end of period (4) | \$ 91,495 | \$ 114,720 |

(1) Included in other service charges, commissions, and fees in the Consolidated Statements of Income and relate to assets still held at the end of the period.

(2) Principally reflects changes in prepayment speed assumptions.

(3) Primarily represents changes in expected cash flows over time due to payoffs and paydowns.

(4) These loans are serviced for and owned by third parties and are not included in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition.

Derivative Assets and Derivative Liabilities – The interest rate swaps entered into by the Company are executed in the dealer market, and pricing is based on market quotes obtained from the counterparty that transacted the derivative contract. The market quotes were developed by the counterparty using market observable inputs, which primarily include LIBOR for swaps. Therefore, derivatives are classified in level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. For its derivative assets and liabilities, the Company also considers non-performance risk, including the likelihood of default by itself and its counterparties, when evaluating whether the market quotes from the counterparty are representative of an exit price. The Company has a policy of executing derivative transactions only with counterparties above a certain credit rating. Credit risk is also mitigated through the pledging of collateral when certain thresholds are reached.

Collateral-Dependent Impaired Loans – The carrying value of impaired loans is disclosed in Note 6, “Past Due Loans, Allowance for Credit Losses, and Impaired Loans.” The Company does not record loans at fair value on a recurring basis. However, from time to time, fair value adjustments are recorded in the form of specific reserves or charge-offs on these loans to reflect (i) specific reserves or partial write-downs that are based on the current appraised value of the underlying collateral or (ii) the full charge-off of the loan’s carrying value. The fair value adjustments are primarily determined by current appraised values of underlying collateral, net of estimated selling costs. For collateral-dependent impaired loans, new appraisals are required every six months for construction loans, and annually for all other commercial real estate loans. In limited circumstances, such as cases of outdated appraisals, the appraised values may be reduced by a certain percentage depending upon the specific facts and circumstances or an internal valuation may be used when the underlying collateral is located in areas where comparable sales data is limited,

outdated, or unavailable. Accordingly, collateral-dependent impaired loans are classified in level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

Other Real Estate Owned – OREO includes properties acquired through foreclosure in partial or total satisfaction of certain loans. Upon initial transfer into OREO, a current appraisal is required (generally less than six months old for residential and commercial land and less than one year old for all other commercial property). Properties are recorded at the lower of the recorded investment in the loans for which the properties previously served as collateral or the fair value, which represents the current appraised value of the properties less estimated selling costs. Fair value assumes an orderly disposition except where a specific disposition strategy is expected, which would require the use of other appraised values such as forced liquidation or as-completed/stabilized values.

In certain circumstances, the current appraised value may not represent an accurate measurement of the property's current fair value due to imprecision, subjectivity, outdated market information, or other factors. In these cases, the fair value is determined based on the lower of the (i) current appraised value, (ii) internal valuation, (iii) current listing price, or (iv) signed sales contract. Any appraisal that is greater than twelve months old is adjusted to account for estimated declines in the real estate market until an updated appraisal can be obtained. Given these valuation methods, OREO is classified in level 3. Any write-downs in the carrying value of a property at the time of initial transfer into OREO are charged against the allowance for credit losses.

Subsequent to the initial transfer, periodic impairment analyses of OREO are performed and new appraisals are obtained annually unless circumstances warrant an earlier appraisal. Quarterly impairment analyses take into consideration current real estate market trends and adjustments to listing prices. Any write-downs of the properties subsequent to initial transfer, as well as gains or losses on disposition and income or expense from the operations of OREO, are recognized in operating results in the period in which they occur.

Loan Held-for-Sale – The loan held-for-sale is a commercial construction loan. During first quarter 2011, the Company determined that this loan met the held-for-sale criteria and transferred it into the held-for-sale category at its estimated fair value, less costs to sell. The fair value was determined by the sales contract price. Accordingly, the loan held-for-sale is classified in level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

Asset Held-for-Sale – In first quarter 2011, the Company entered into an agreement to sell a warehouse and classified it as held-for-sale. Based on the sales contract price, the Company wrote-down the book value of the warehouse and classified it in level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

Fair Value Measurements Recorded for
Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Non-Recurring Basis
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

| | Quarter Ended March 31, 2011 | | | |
|--|---|-------|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| | Collateral- Dependent Impaired Loans | OREO | Loan Held-for- Sale | Asset Held-for- Sale |
| Write-downs charged to allowance for loan losses | \$ 16,810 | \$ - | \$ 200 | \$ - |
| Write-downs charged to earnings | - | 1,112 | - | 310 |

Fair Value Disclosure of Other Assets and Liabilities

GAAP requires disclosure of the estimated fair values of certain financial instruments, both assets and liabilities, on and off-balance sheet, for which it is practical to estimate the fair value. Since the estimated fair values provided herein exclude disclosure of the fair value of certain other financial instruments and all non-financial instruments, any aggregation of the estimated fair value amounts presented would not represent the underlying value of the Company. Examples of non-financial instruments having significant value include the future earnings potential of significant customer relationships and the value of the Company's trust division operations and other fee-generating businesses. In addition, other significant assets including premises, furniture, and equipment and goodwill are not considered financial instruments and, therefore, have not been valued.

Various methodologies and assumptions have been utilized in management's determination of the estimated fair value of the Company's financial instruments, which are detailed below. The fair value estimates are made at a discrete point in time based on relevant market information. Since no market exists for a significant portion of these financial

instruments, fair value estimates are based on judgments regarding future expected economic conditions, loss experience, and risk characteristics of the financial instruments. These estimates are subjective, involve uncertainties, and cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect the estimates.

In addition to the valuation methodology explained above for financial instruments recorded at fair value, the following methods and assumptions were used in estimating the fair value of financial instruments that are carried at cost in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition.

Short-Term Financial Assets and Liabilities – For financial instruments with a shorter-term or with no stated maturity, prevailing market rates, and limited credit risk, the carrying amounts approximate fair value. Those financial instruments

include cash and due from banks, federal funds sold and other short-term investments, mortgages held-for-sale, accrued interest receivable, and accrued interest payable.

Securities Held-to-Maturity - The fair value of securities held-to-maturity is based on quoted market prices or dealer quotes. If a quoted market price is not available, fair value is estimated using quoted market prices for similar securities.

Loans, net of Allowance for Loan Losses – The fair value of loans is estimated using present value techniques by discounting the future cash flows of the remaining maturities of the loans, and prepayment assumptions were considered based on historical experience and current economic and lending conditions. The discount rate was based on the LIBOR yield curve, with rate adjustments for liquidity and credit risk. The primary impact of credit risk on the fair value of the loan portfolio, however, was estimated through the use of the allowance for loan losses, which is believed to represent the current fair value of estimated inherent losses for purposes of the fair value calculation.

Covered Loans (included in Loans, net of Allowance for Loan Losses) – The fair value of the covered loan portfolio is determined by discounting the expected cash flows at a market interest rate based on certain input assumptions. The market interest rate (discount rate) is derived from LIBOR swap rates over the expected weighted-average life of the asset. The expected cash flows are based on contractual terms and default timing assumptions.

FDIC Indemnification Asset – The fair value of the FDIC indemnification asset is calculated by discounting the cash flows expected to be received from the FDIC. These cash flows are estimated by multiplying expected losses by the reimbursement rates set forth in the Agreements.

Investment in Bank Owned Life Insurance – The fair value of investments in bank owned life insurance is based on each policy's respective cash surrender value.

Deposit Liabilities - The fair values disclosed for demand deposits, savings deposits, NOW accounts, and money market deposits are, by definition, equal to the amount payable on demand at the reporting date (i.e., their carrying amounts). The fair value for fixed-rate time deposits was estimated using present value techniques by discounting the future cash flows based on the LIBOR yield curve, plus or minus the spread associated with current pricing.

Borrowed Funds - The fair value of repurchase agreements and FHLB advances is estimated by discounting the agreements based on maturities using the rates currently offered for repurchase agreements of similar remaining maturities. The carrying amounts of federal funds purchased, federal term auction facilities, and other borrowed funds approximate their fair value due to their short-term nature.

Subordinated Debt - The fair value of subordinated debt was determined using available market quotes.

Standby Letters of Credit – The fair value of standby letters of credit represent deferred fees arising from the related off-balance sheet financial instruments. These deferred fees approximate the fair value of these instruments and are based on several factors, including the remaining terms of the agreement and the credit standing of the customer.

Commitments - Given the limited interest rate exposure posed by the commitments outstanding at year-end due to their variable nature, combined with the general short-term nature of the commitment periods entered into, termination clauses provided in the agreements, and the market rate of fees charged, the Company has estimated the fair value of commitments outstanding to be immaterial.

Financial Instruments

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

| | March 31, 2011 | | December 31, 2010 | |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| | Carrying Amount | Estimated Fair Value | Carrying Amount | Estimated Fair Value |
| Financial Assets: | | | | |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 104,982 | \$ 104,982 | \$ 102,495 | \$ 102,495 |
| Interest-bearing deposits in other banks | 421,478 | 421,478 | 483,281 | 483,281 |
| Loans held-for-sale | 3,800 | 3,800 | 236 | 236 |
| Trading securities | 16,227 | 16,227 | 15,282 | 15,282 |
| Securities available-for-sale | 1,057,758 | 1,057,758 | 1,057,802 | 1,057,802 |
| Securities held-to-maturity | 81,218 | 81,916 | 81,320 | 82,525 |
| Loans, net of allowance for loan losses | 5,305,397 | 5,303,277 | 5,332,628 | 5,326,741 |
| FDIC indemnification asset | 78,468 | 78,468 | 88,981 | 88,981 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 30,721 | 30,721 | 29,953 | 29,953 |
| Investment in bank owned life insurance | 197,889 | 197,889 | 197,644 | 197,644 |
| Financial Liabilities: | | | | |
| Deposits | \$6,419,894 | \$6,419,921 | \$6,511,476 | \$6,512,626 |
| Borrowed funds | 273,342 | 275,832 | 303,974 | 306,703 |
| Subordinated debt | 137,746 | 132,960 | 137,744 | 122,261 |
| Accrued interest payable | 6,417 | 6,417 | 4,557 | 4,557 |
| Derivative liabilities | 1,592 | 1,592 | 1,833 | 1,833 |
| Standby letters of credit | 773 | 773 | 696 | 696 |

13. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The Company has evaluated the impact of events that have occurred subsequent to March 31, 2011 through the date its consolidated financial statements were issued. Based on the evaluation, management does not believe any subsequent events have occurred that would require further disclosure or adjustment to the financial statements.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis is intended to address the significant factors affecting our results of operations and financial condition for the quarters ended March 31, 2011 and 2010. When we use the terms "First Midwest," the "Company," "we," "us," and "our," we mean First Midwest Bancorp, Inc., a Delaware Corporation, and its consolidated subsidiaries. When we use the term "Bank," we are referring to our wholly owned banking subsidiary, First Midwest Bank. Management's discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes presented elsewhere in this report, as well as in our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K ("2010 10-K"). Results of operations for the quarter ended March 31, 2011 are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for the year ending December 31, 2011. Unless otherwise stated, all earnings per common share data included in this section and throughout the remainder of this discussion are presented on a diluted basis.

PERFORMANCE OVERVIEW

General Overview

Our banking network is located primarily in suburban metropolitan Chicago with additional locations in central and western Illinois and eastern Iowa. We provide a full range of business and retail banking and trust and advisory

services through some 100 banking offices. Our primary sources of revenue are net interest income and fees from financial services provided to customers. Business volumes tend to be influenced by overall economic factors affecting our market, including market interest rates, business spending, consumer confidence, competitive conditions within the marketplace, and certain seasonal factors.

Table 1

Selected Financial Data (1)
(Dollar and share amounts in thousands, except per share data)

| | Quarters Ended March 31, | | % Change |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------|----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | |
| Operating Results | | | |
| Interest income | \$ 81,283 | \$ 81,779 | (0.6) |
| Interest expense | 10,637 | 13,841 | (23.1) |
| Net interest income | 70,646 | 67,938 | 4.0 |
| Fee-based revenues | 21,703 | 20,039 | 8.3 |
| Other noninterest income | 1,974 | 1,225 | 61.1 |
| Noninterest expense, excluding losses realized on other real estate owned (“OREO”) and integration costs associated with Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (“FDIC”)-assisted transactions (2) | | | |
| Pre-tax, pre-provision core operating earnings (3) | (62,896) | (57,465) | 9.5 |
| Provision for loan losses | 31,427 | 31,737 | (1.0) |
| Securities gains, net | (19,492) | (18,350) | 6.2 |
| Securities impairment losses | 540 | 5,820 | (90.7) |
| Integration costs associated with FDIC-assisted transactions | - | (2,763) | (100.0) |
| Write-downs of OREO (2) | - | (129) | (100.0) |
| Losses on sales of OREO, net (2) | (1,112) | (2,338) | (52.4) |
| Income before income tax expense | (1,115) | (5,541) | (79.9) |
| Income tax expense | 10,248 | 8,436 | 21.5 |
| Net income | (30) | (355) | (91.5) |
| Preferred dividends | 10,218 | 8,081 | 26.4 |
| Net income applicable to non-vested restricted shares | (2,581) | (2,572) | 0.3 |
| Net income applicable to common shares | (140) | (81) | 72.8 |
| Weighted-average diluted common shares outstanding | \$ 7,497 | \$ 5,428 | 38.1 |
| Diluted earnings per common share | 73,151 | 70,469 | |
| | \$ 0.10 | \$ 0.08 | |
| Performance Ratios (1) | | | |
| Return on average common equity | 3.27% | 2.38% | |
| Return on average assets | 0.51% | 0.43% | |
| Net interest margin – tax equivalent | 4.15% | 4.28% | |
| Efficiency ratio | 62.40% | 58.41% | |

(1) All ratios are presented on an annualized basis.

(2) For further discussion of losses realized on OREO and integration costs associated with FDIC-assisted transactions, see the section titled “Noninterest Expense.”

(3) Our accounting and reporting policies conform to U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”) and general practice within the banking industry. As a supplement to GAAP, we have provided this non-GAAP performance result. We believe that this non-GAAP financial measure is useful because it allows investors to assess our operating performance. Although this non-GAAP financial measure is intended to enhance investors’ understanding of our business and performance, this non-GAAP financial measure should not be considered an alternative to GAAP.

| | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 | March 31, 2010 | March 31, 2011 Change From December 31, 2010 | March 31, 2010 |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|---|-------------------|
| Balance Sheet Highlights | | | | | |
| Total assets | \$ 8,044,988 | \$ 8,146,973 | \$ 7,592,907 | \$ (101,975) | \$ 452,091 |
| Total loans | 5,447,900 | 5,475,200 | 5,340,243 | (27,300) | 107,657 |
| Total deposits | 6,419,894 | 6,511,476 | 5,864,104 | (91,582) | 555,790 |
| Transactional deposits | 4,545,670 | 4,519,492 | 3,948,025 | 26,178 | 597,645 |
| Loans to deposits ratio | 84.9% | 84.1% | 91.1% | | |
| Transactional deposits to total deposits | 70.8% | 69.4% | 67.3% | | |

| | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 | March 31, 2010 | March 31, 2011 Change From December 31, 2010 | March 31, 2010 |
|--|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|---|-------------------|
| Asset Quality Highlights (1) | | | | | |
| Non-accrual loans | \$ 186,563 | \$ 211,782 | \$ 216,073 | \$ (25,219) | \$ (29,510) |
| 90 days or more past due loans (still accruing interest) | 5,231 | 4,244 | 7,995 | 987 | (2,764) |
| Total non-performing loans | 191,794 | 216,026 | 224,068 | (24,232) | (32,274) |
| Restructured loans (still accruing interest) | 14,120 | 22,371 | 5,168 | (8,251) | 8,952 |
| Other real estate owned | 33,863 | 31,069 | 62,565 | 2,794 | (28,702) |
| Total non-performing assets | \$ 239,777 | \$ 269,466 | \$ 291,801 | \$ (29,689) | \$ (52,024) |
| 30-89 days past due loans (still accruing interest) | \$ 28,927 | \$ 23,646 | \$ 28,018 | \$ 5,281 | \$ 909 |
| Allowance for credit losses | \$ 145,003 | \$ 145,072 | \$ 144,824 | \$ (69) | \$ 179 |
| Allowance for credit losses as a percent of loans | 2.85% | 2.84% | 2.79% | 0.01% | 0.05% |

(1)Excludes covered loans and covered OREO. For a discussion of covered assets, refer to Note 5 of "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements" in Item 1 of this Form 10-Q. Asset quality, including covered loans and covered OREO, is included in the section titled "Loan Portfolio and Credit Quality" elsewhere in this report.

Net income for first quarter 2011 was \$10.2 million, before adjustments for preferred dividends and non-vested restricted shares, and \$7.5 million, or \$0.10 per share, applicable to common shareholders after such adjustments. This compares to net income of \$8.1 million and net income applicable to common shareholders of \$5.4 million, or \$0.08

per share, for first quarter 2010.

Pre-tax, pre-provision core operating earnings were \$31.4 million for first quarter 2011, relatively flat compared to \$31.7 million for first quarter 2010, as higher net interest income and fee-based revenues offset higher noninterest expense, excluding losses recognized on other real estate owned (“OREO”). A discussion of net interest income and noninterest income and expense is presented in the following section titled “Earnings Performance.”

Non-performing assets, excluding covered loans and covered OREO, were \$239.8 million at March 31, 2011, decreasing \$29.7 million, or 11.0%, from December 31, 2010 and \$52.0 million, or 17.8%, from March 31, 2010. The reductions were substantially due to remediation activities, dispositions, and charge-offs, partially offset by loans downgraded to non-accrual status. For a detailed discussion of non-performing assets, refer to the section titled “Loan Portfolio and Credit Quality” elsewhere in this report.

EARNINGS PERFORMANCE

Net Interest Income

Net interest income is our primary source of revenue. Net interest income equals the difference between interest income plus fees earned on interest-earning assets and interest expense incurred on interest-bearing liabilities. The level of interest rates and the volume and mix of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities impact net interest income. Net interest margin represents net interest income as a percentage of total average interest-earning assets. The accounting policies underlying the recognition of interest income on loans, securities, and other interest-earning assets are presented in Note 1 of “Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements” contained in our 2010 10-K.

Our accounting and reporting policies conform to GAAP and general practice within the banking industry. For purposes of this discussion, both net interest income and net interest margin have been adjusted to a fully tax-equivalent basis to more appropriately compare the returns on certain tax-exempt loans and securities to those on taxable interest-earning assets. Although we believe that these non-GAAP financial measures enhance investors’ understanding of our business and performance, these non-GAAP financial measures should not be considered an alternative to GAAP. The effect of such adjustment is presented at the end of Table 2.

Table 2 summarizes our average interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities for the quarters ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, the related interest income and interest expense for each earning asset category and funding source, and the average interest rates earned and paid. Table 2 also details changes from the prior year in income generated by earning assets and expense incurred for each funding source and analyzes the extent to which any changes are attributable to volume and rate changes.

Table 2
Net Interest Income and Margin Analysis
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

| | Quarters Ended March 31, | | | | | | Attribution of Change in Net Interest Income (1) | | |
|--|--------------------------|----------|-----------------------|--------------------|----------|-----------------------|---|----------------|---------|
| | 2011 | | | 2010 | | | Volume | Yield/ Rate | Total |
| | Average Balance | Interest | Yield/ Rate (%) | Average Balance | Interest | Yield/ Rate (%) | | | |
| Assets: | | | | | | | | | |
| Federal funds sold and other short-term investments | \$ 467,880 | \$ 292 | 0.25 | \$ 40,993 | \$ 27 | 0.27 | \$ 226 | \$ (1) | \$ 265 |
| Trading securities | 15,372 | 30 | 0.78 | 14,282 | 30 | 0.84 | - | - | - |
| Investment securities (2) | 1,166,991 | 13,048 | 4.47 | 1,298,832 | 17,879 | 5.51 | (1,688) | (3,143) | (4,831) |
| Federal Home Loan Bank and Federal Reserve Bank stock | 61,338 | 357 | 2.33 | 58,495 | 328 | 2.24 | 17 | 12 | 29 |
| Loans, excluding covered loans (2) | 5,075,840 | 63,301 | 5.06 | 5,197,499 | 64,805 | 5.06 | (1,678) | 174 | (1,504) |
| Covered interest-earning assets (3) | 444,242 | 7,822 | 7.14 | 208,663 | 2,962 | 5.76 | 4,007 | 853 | 4,860 |
| Total loans | 5,520,082 | 71,123 | 5.23 | 5,406,162 | 67,767 | 5.08 | 2,329 | 1,027 | 3,356 |
| Total interest-earning assets (2) | 7,231,663 | 84,850 | 4.75 | 6,818,764 | 86,031 | 5.10 | 924 | (2,105) | (1,181) |
| Cash and due from banks | 121,494 | | | 112,437 | | | | | |
| Allowance for loan losses | (148,051) | | | (152,487) | | | | | |
| Other assets | 889,845 | | | 887,067 | | | | | |
| Total assets | \$ 8,094,951 | | | \$ 7,665,781 | | | | | |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' | | | | | | | | | |
| Equity: | | | | | | | | | |
| Savings deposits | \$ 901,205 | 476 | 0.21 | \$ 759,786 | 614 | 0.33 | 159 | (297) | (138) |
| NOW accounts | 1,044,280 | 320 | 0.12 | 922,179 | 509 | 0.22 | 80 | (269) | (189) |
| Money market deposits | 1,240,439 | 860 | 0.28 | 1,110,519 | 1,788 | 0.65 | 240 | (1,168) | (928) |
| Time deposits | 1,937,890 | 6,015 | 1.26 | 1,956,745 | 7,634 | 1.58 | (73) | (1,546) | (1,619) |
| Borrowed funds | 285,847 | 680 | 0.96 | 477,323 | 1,010 | 0.86 | (479) | 149 | (330) |
| Subordinated debt | 137,745 | 2,286 | 6.73 | 137,736 | 2,286 | 6.73 | - | - | - |
| Total interest-bearing | 5,547,406 | 10,637 | 0.78 | 5,364,288 | 13,841 | 1.05 | (73) | (3,131) | (3,204) |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------|------|--------------|------|--------|----------|----------|--|--|
| liabilities | | | | | | | | | |
| Demand deposits | 1,342,013 | | 1,124,320 | | | | | | |
| Other liabilities | 83,217 | | 57,307 | | | | | | |
| Stockholders' equity - common | 929,315 | | 926,866 | | | | | | |
| Stockholders' equity - preferred | 193,000 | | 193,000 | | | | | | |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 8,094,951 | | \$ 7,665,781 | | | | | | |
| Net interest income/margin (2) | \$ 74,213 | 4.15 | \$ 72,190 | 4.28 | \$ 997 | \$ 1,026 | \$ 2,023 | | |
| Net interest income (GAAP) | \$ 70,646 | | \$ 67,938 | | | | | | |
| Tax equivalent adjustment | 3,567 | | 4,252 | | | | | | |
| Tax-equivalent net interest income | \$ 74,213 | | \$ 72,190 | | | | | | |

- (1) For purposes of this table, changes which are not due solely to volume changes or rate changes are allocated to such categories on the basis of the percentage relationship of each to the sum of the two.
- (2) Interest income and yields are presented on a tax-equivalent basis, assuming a federal income tax rate of 35%.
- (3) Covered interest-earning assets consist of loans acquired through FDIC-assisted transactions and the related FDIC indemnification asset. For additional discussion, please refer to the section titled "Covered Assets."

Average interest-earning assets for first quarter 2011 rose \$412.9 million, or 6.1%, from first quarter 2010. This increase was due primarily to the addition of covered assets and the investment of deposits acquired in Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC")-assisted transactions in short-term investments, and was partially offset by reductions in loans resulting from sales, paydowns, and charge-offs. We continue to maintain an elevated level of short-term investments as we manage our liquidity within the current interest rate environment.

First quarter 2011 tax-equivalent net interest income increased \$2.0 million, or 2.8%, compared to first quarter 2010. Interest expense declined \$3.2 million, as we used proceeds from securities sales and maturities and transactional deposits acquired

from FDIC-assisted transactions to reduce higher-costing time deposits and borrowed funds. Tax-equivalent interest income declined \$1.2 million due to a 35 basis point decline in tax-equivalent yield.

Tax-equivalent net interest margin declined 13 basis points to 4.15% for first quarter 2011 from 4.28% for first quarter 2010, reflecting the decline in interest rates over the period. The reduction in margin resulted from 35 basis point decline in the average yield on interest-earning assets, partially offset by a 27 basis point decline in the average rate paid for interest-bearing liabilities, led by a 32 basis point decline in the average rate paid for time deposits.

Interest earned on covered loans is generally recognized through the accretion of the discount taken on expected future cash flows. We realized actual cash flows in excess of estimates on certain loans of \$954,000 for first quarter 2011 and \$13,000 in first quarter 2010. This additional income is included in interest on covered interest-earning assets in the table above and increased net interest margin by 5 basis points for first quarter 2011.

We continue to use multiple interest rate scenarios to rigorously assess the direction and magnitude of changes in interest rates and their impact on net interest income. A description and analysis of our market risk and interest rate sensitivity profile and management policies is included in Item 3, “Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk,” of this Form 10-Q.

Noninterest Income

Table 3
Noninterest Income Analysis
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

| | Quarters Ended | | | % Change |
|---|----------------|-----------|--|----------|
| | March 31, | | | |
| | 2011 | 2010 | | |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | \$ 8,144 | \$ 8,381 | | (2.8) |
| Trust and investment advisory fees | 4,116 | 3,593 | | 14.6 |
| Other service charges, commissions, and fees | 4,914 | 4,172 | | 17.8 |
| Card-based fees (1) | 4,529 | 3,893 | | 16.3 |
| Total fee-based revenues | 21,703 | 20,039 | | 8.3 |
| Bank owned life insurance (“BOLI”) income (2) | 252 | 248 | | 1.6 |
| Other income (3) | 978 | 516 | | 89.5 |
| Total operating revenues | 22,933 | 20,803 | | 10.2 |
| Trading gains, net (4) | 744 | 461 | | 61.3 |
| Gains on securities sales, net (5) | 540 | 5,820 | | (90.7) |
| Securities impairment losses (5) | - | (2,763) | | N/M |
| Total noninterest income | \$ 24,217 | \$ 24,321 | | (0.4) |

N/M – Not meaningful.

- (1) Card-based fees consist of debit and credit card interchange fees charged for processing transactions as well as various fees charged on both customer and non-customer automated teller machine (“ATM”) and point-of-sale transactions processed through the ATM and point-of-sale networks.
- (2) BOLI income represents benefit payments received and the change in cash surrender value (“CSV”) of the policies, net of premiums paid. The change in CSV is attributed to earnings or losses credited to the policies based on investments made by the insurer. For a further discussion of our investment in BOLI, see the section “Investment in Bank

Owned Life Insurance” and Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements of our 2010 10-K.

- (3) Other income consists of various items including safe deposit box rentals, miscellaneous recoveries, and gains on the sales of various assets.
- (4) Trading gains, net result from the change in fair value of trading securities. Our trading securities represent diversified investment securities held in a grantor trust under deferred compensation arrangements in which plan participants may direct amounts earned to be invested in securities other than Company stock. These changes are substantially offset by an adjustment to salaries and wages expense.
- (5) For a discussion of these items, see the section titled “Investment Portfolio Management” elsewhere in this report.

Total noninterest income for first quarter 2011 was relatively flat compared to the first quarter 2010. The 8.3% increase in fee-based revenues was largely offset by lower gains on securities sales, net of securities impairment losses.

Fee-based revenues for first quarter 2011 rose 8.3% compared to first quarter 2010 from increases in all categories except for service charges on deposits, which experienced declines in fees charged on customer deposits with insufficient funds resulting from lower volumes and certain fee reductions enacted as part of a formal overdraft program.

An increase in trust assets under management drove the increase in trust and investment advisory fees from first quarter 2010 to first quarter 2011. During this period, trust assets under management increased 14.7% from \$4.0 billion to \$4.6 billion. Approximately \$500 million of the increase in trust assets under management was generated equally from ongoing sales efforts and improved market values. The remaining \$102.7 million of the increase resulted from the addition of managed assets acquired in an FDIC-assisted transaction.

Increased merchant fees led the increase in other service charges, commissions, and fees. The increase in merchant fees was due primarily to a 25% volume increase resulting from customers acquired in an FDIC-assisted transaction.

The increase in card-based fees from first quarter 2010 to first quarter 2011 was attributed to both volume and rate increases. The increase in rates earned on card-based fees resulted from the migration from multi-merchant networks to an exclusive MasterCard network in most areas, which drove higher transaction yields and incentives.

The year-over-year increase in other income resulted from \$169,000 in interest received for a prior year income tax refund and \$206,000 in settlement items relating to prior year FDIC-assisted transactions.

Noninterest Expense

Table 4
Noninterest Expense Analysis
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

| | Quarters Ended | | |
|--|----------------|-----------|----------|
| | March 31, | | % Change |
| | 2011 | 2010 | |
| Compensation expense: | | | |
| Salaries and wages | \$ 25,665 | \$ 22,136 | 15.9 |
| Retirement and other employee benefits | 6,858 | 4,748 | 44.4 |
| Total compensation expense | 32,523 | 26,884 | 21.0 |
| OREO expense, net: | | | |
| Write-downs of OREO | 1,112 | 2,338 | (52.4) |
| Losses on sales of OREO, net (1) | 1,115 | 5,541 | (79.9) |
| OREO operating expense, net (2) | 1,704 | 2,908 | (41.4) |
| Total OREO expense | 3,931 | 10,787 | (63.6) |
| Loan remediation costs | 2,848 | 3,224 | (11.7) |
| Other professional services | 2,271 | 3,316 | (31.5) |
| Total professional services | 5,119 | 6,540 | (21.7) |
| FDIC premiums | 2,725 | 2,532 | 7.6 |
| Net occupancy expense | 6,784 | 6,040 | 12.3 |
| Equipment expense | 2,319 | 2,128 | 9.0 |
| Technology and related costs | 2,623 | 2,483 | 5.6 |
| Advertising and promotions | 1,079 | 1,059 | 1.9 |
| Merchant card expense | 2,088 | 1,650 | 26.5 |
| Other expenses | 5,932 | 5,370 | 10.5 |
| Total noninterest expense | \$ 65,123 | \$ 65,473 | (0.5) |
| Average full-time equivalent ("FTE") employees | 1,845 | 1,729 | |
| Efficiency ratio (3) | 62.40% | 58.41% | |

(1)For a discussion of sales of OREO properties, refer to the section titled "Non-performing assets."

(2)OREO operating expense, net, consists of real estate taxes, commissions on sales, insurance, and maintenance, net of any rental income.

(3)The efficiency ratio expresses noninterest expense, excluding OREO expense, as a percentage of tax-equivalent net interest income plus total fees and other income.

Total noninterest expense for first quarter 2011 was relatively flat compared to first quarter 2010. The increase in salaries and benefits from first quarter 2010 to first quarter 2011 was more than offset by the decline in OREO expense.

The increase in salaries and wages for first quarter 2011 compared to first quarter 2010 was driven by the timing of certain compensation accruals, annual merit increases, and an increase in overall staffing levels, including additional staff employed through the Company's FDIC-assisted transactions.

The 44.4% rise in retirement and other employee benefits for first quarter 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 resulted from increased employee insurance and payroll taxes and the timing of certain other benefit accruals.

OREO expenses were elevated in 2010 due to higher levels of write-downs and losses on sales of OREO and additional OREO operating expenses incurred due to greater average OREO balances during the year. Loan remediation costs declined from first quarter 2010 to first quarter 2011 due to lower legal fees to facilitate property forecloses.

An increase in the rates charged for property taxes as well as property taxes associated with branches acquired through FDIC-assisted transactions resulted in an increase in net occupancy and equipment expense from first quarter 2010 to first quarter 2011.

Technology and related costs for first quarter 2011 compared to first quarter 2010 were impacted by additional costs for servicing larger volumes of transactions relating to the customers added through FDIC-assisted acquisitions and higher monthly fees for improved network access.

Merchant card expense increased from first quarter 2010 to first quarter 2011 in concert with the increased merchant fee income previously described.

The efficiency ratio for first quarter 2011 increased to 62.40% compared to 58.41% for first quarter 2010. The increased ratio resulted from the increase in noninterest expense, excluding OREO, partially offset by an increase in tax-equivalent net interest income.

Income Taxes

Our accounting policies underlying the recognition of income taxes in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition and Income are included in Notes 1 and 16 to the Consolidated Financial Statements of our 2010 10-K.

Federal income tax expense, and the related effective income tax rate, is primarily influenced by the amount of tax-exempt income derived from investment securities and bank owned life insurance in relation to pre-tax income. State income tax expense, and the related effective tax rate, is influenced by the amount of state tax-exempt income in relation to pre-tax income, and state tax rules related to consolidated/combined reporting and sourcing of income and expense.

The decrease in income tax expense and effective tax rate from first quarter 2010 to first quarter 2011 resulted from a state income tax benefit for first quarter 2011 compared to state income tax expense for first quarter 2010, partially offset by an increase in federal tax expense.

Effective January 1, 2011, the Illinois corporate income tax rate increased from 7.3% to 9.5%. As a result of this rate change, we increased the carrying value of certain state deferred tax assets and recorded a \$1.6 million decrease to state tax expense. This benefit was partially offset by a \$108,000 increase in first quarter 2011 state income tax expense resulting from the rate increase. The rate increase is expected to result in an approximate 1% increase to our effective income tax rate in 2011.

Federal tax expense increased as a result of an increase in pre-tax income and a decrease in tax-exempt income from investment securities.

As of March 31, 2011, net deferred tax assets totaled \$109.9 million. In assessing the realization of deferred tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that all or some portion of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. We have determined that it is more likely than not that all deferred tax assets will be fully realized through carryback to taxable income in prior years, future reversals of existing deferred tax liabilities, and future taxable income.

Audits of the Company's 2006-2007 Illinois tax returns were closed during the quarter without significant adjustments. There were no pending tax audits as of March 31, 2011.

FINANCIAL CONDITION

Investment Portfolio Management

We manage our investment portfolio to maximize the return on invested funds within acceptable risk guidelines, to meet pledging and liquidity requirements, and to adjust balance sheet interest rate sensitivity to insulate net interest

income against the impact of changes in interest rates.

We adjust the size and composition of our securities portfolio according to a number of factors, including expected loan growth, anticipated changes in collateralized public funds on account, the interest rate environment, and the related value of various segments of the securities markets. The following table provides a valuation summary of our investment portfolio.

Table 5

Investment Portfolio Valuation Summary
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

| | March 31, 2011 | | | December 31, 2010 | | |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|
| | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | % of Total Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | % of Total Amortized Cost |
| Available-for-Sale | | | | | | |
| U.S. agency securities | \$ 12,796 | \$ 12,929 | 1.1 | \$ 17,886 | 18,000 | 1.5 |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations (“CMOs”) | 403,152 | 403,010 | 34.6 | 379,589 | 377,692 | 32.3 |
| Other mortgage-backed securities | 101,907 | 96,523 | 8.3 | 106,451 | 100,780 | 8.6 |
| Municipal securities | 487,950 | 490,501 | 42.0 | 503,991 | 512,063 | 43.7 |
| Collateralized debt obligations (“CDOs”) | 16,193 | 49,695 | 4.2 | 14,858 | 49,695 | 4.2 |
| Corporate debt securities | 32,810 | 29,958 | 2.6 | 32,345 | 29,936 | 2.6 |
| Equity securities | 2,950 | 2,140 | 0.2 | 2,682 | 2,134 | 0.2 |
| Total available-for-sale | 1,057,758 | 1,084,756 | 93.0 | 1,057,802 | 1,090,300 | 93.1 |
| Held-to-Maturity | | | | | | |
| Municipal securities | 81,916 | 81,218 | 7.0 | 82,525 | 81,320 | 6.9 |
| Total securities | \$ 1,139,674 | \$ 1,165,974 | 100.0 | \$ 1,140,327 | \$ 1,171,620 | 100.0 |

| | March 31, 2011 | | | December 31, 2010 | | |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| | Effective Duration (1) | Average Life (2) | Yield to Maturity | Effective Duration (1) | Average Life (2) | Yield to Maturity |
| Available-for-Sale | | | | | | |
| U.S. agency securities | 2.28% | 2.20 | 3.37% | 1.91% | 0.58 | 3.22% |
| CMOs | 1.51% | 2.72 | 2.59% | 0.74% | 2.52 | 2.31% |
| Other mortgage-backed securities | 2.54% | 4.06 | 4.75% | 2.36% | 3.85 | 4.62% |
| Municipal securities | 5.31% | 7.18 | 6.16% | 5.35% | 8.01 | 6.15% |
| CDOs | 0.25% | 8.73 | 0.00% | 0.25% | 8.78 | 0.00% |
| Other securities | 6.37% | 10.81 | 6.86% | 6.58% | 11.18 | 6.85% |
| Total available-for-sale | 3.41% | 5.35 | 4.41% | 3.22% | 5.72 | 4.37% |
| Held-to-Maturity | | | | | | |
| Municipal securities | 5.65% | 9.74 | 6.57% | 5.78% | 9.99 | 6.61% |
| Total securities | 3.57% | 5.66 | 4.56% | 3.40% | 6.02 | 4.52% |

(1) The effective duration of the securities portfolio represents the estimated percentage change in the fair value of the securities portfolio given a 100 basis point change up or down in the

level of interest rates. This measure is used as a gauge of the portfolio's price volatility at a single point in time and is not intended to be a precise predictor of future fair values, as such values will be influenced by a number of factors.

- (2) Average life is presented in years and represents the weighted-average time to receive all future cash flows, using the dollar amount of principal paydowns, including estimated principal prepayments, as the weighting factor.

Portfolio Composition

As of March 31, 2011, our securities portfolio totaled \$1.1 billion and was relatively unchanged compared to December 31, 2010. Approximately 95% of our \$1.1 billion available-for-sale portfolio is comprised of municipals, CMOs, and other mortgage-backed securities as of March 31, 2011. The remainder consists of seven CDOs with a fair value of \$16.2 million and an aggregate unrealized loss of \$33.5 million, and miscellaneous other securities totaling \$35.8 million.

Investments in municipal securities comprised 46.1%, or \$488.0 million, of the total available-for-sale securities portfolio at March 31, 2011. This type of security has historically experienced very low default rates and provided a predictable cash flow since it generally is not subject to significant prepayment risk. Most of our municipal portfolio carries third-party bond insurance or other types of credit enhancement, and the majority is general obligations of local municipalities. Available-for-

sale municipal securities declined 3.2% from \$504.0 million at December 31, 2010. The decline was driven by sales, maturities, paydowns, and management's investment strategy not to reinvest proceeds into the portfolio.

Securities Sales

Net securities gains were \$540,000 for first quarter 2011 resulting from the sale of \$44.2 million in CMOs and municipal securities. Net securities gains were \$3.1 million for first quarter 2010 and were net of an other-than-temporary impairment charge of \$2.8 million associated with our investment in CDOs.

Unrealized Gains and Losses

Unrealized gains and losses on securities available-for-sale represent the difference between the aggregate cost and fair value of the portfolio and are reported, on an after-tax basis, as a separate component of stockholders' equity in accumulated other comprehensive loss. This balance sheet component will fluctuate as current market interest rates and conditions change, thereby affecting the aggregate fair value of the portfolio. Net unrealized losses at March 31, 2011 were \$27.0 million, down from \$32.5 million at December 31, 2010, and reflect the impact of the change in interest rates on our securities portfolio, which primarily consist of fixed-rate securities.

CMOs and other mortgage-backed securities are either backed by U.S. government-owned agencies or issued by U.S. government-sponsored enterprises. We do not believe any individual unrealized loss on these types of securities as of March 31, 2011 represents an other-than-temporary impairment, since the unrealized losses associated with these securities are not believed to be attributable to credit quality, but rather to changes in interest rates and temporary market movements.

As of March 31, 2011, net unrealized losses in the municipal securities portfolio totaled \$2.6 million compared to \$8.1 million as of December 31, 2010. The change in fair value of municipal securities reflects the impact of the change in market interest rates on these fixed-rate investments. The unrealized loss in the portfolio relates to securities that carry investment grade ratings, with the bulk of them supported by the general revenues of the issuing governmental entity and supported by third-party insurance. We do not believe the unrealized loss on any of these securities is other-than-temporary.

Our investments in CDOs are supported by the credit of the underlying banks and insurance companies. The unrealized loss on these securities decreased \$1.3 million since December 31, 2010. The unrealized loss reflects the difference between amortized cost and fair value that we determined did not relate to credit and reflects the market's unfavorable bias against these investments. We do not believe the unrealized losses on the CDOs as of March 31, 2011 represent other-than-temporary impairment. We currently have no evidence that would suggest further reductions in net cash flows on these investments from what has already been recognized. In addition, we do not intend to sell the CDOs with unrealized losses, and it is not more likely than not that we will be required to sell them before recovery of their amortized cost bases, which may be at maturity. Our estimation of cash flows for these investments and resulting fair values were based upon cash flow modeling, as described in Note 12 of "Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements," in Item 1 of this Form 10-Q.

Effective Duration

The effective duration of the available-for-sale portfolio was 3.41% as of March 31, 2011 compared to 3.22% as of December 31, 2010, with the increase primarily related to CMOs and other mortgage-backed securities. The effective duration increased on these types of securities due to an increase in interest rates for these types of investments.

LOAN PORTFOLIO AND CREDIT QUALITY

Portfolio Composition

Table 6
Loan Portfolio
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

| | March 31, 2011 | % of Total | December 31, 2010 | % of Total | Annualized % Change |
|---|-------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------|------------------------|
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 1,493,465 | 29.3 | \$ 1,465,903 | 28.7 | 7.6 |
| Agricultural | 234,898 | 4.6 | 227,756 | 4.5 | 12.4 |
| Commercial real estate: | | | | | |
| Office | 412,256 | 8.1 | 396,836 | 7.8 | 15.6 |
| Retail | 320,313 | 6.2 | 328,751 | 6.4 | (10.4) |
| Industrial | 473,311 | 9.3 | 478,026 | 9.4 | (4.0) |
| Multi-family | 344,645 | 6.8 | 349,862 | 6.9 | (6.0) |
| Residential construction | 151,887 | 3.0 | 174,690 | 3.4 | (52.4) |
| Commercial construction | 153,392 | 3.0 | 164,472 | 3.2 | (26.8) |
| Other commercial real estate | 850,334 | 16.7 | 856,357 | 16.8 | (2.8) |
| Total commercial real estate | 2,706,138 | 53.1 | 2,748,994 | 53.9 | (6.4) |
| Total corporate loans | 4,434,501 | 87.0 | 4,442,653 | 87.1 | (0.8) |
| Home equity | 434,138 | 8.5 | 445,243 | 8.7 | (10.0) |
| 1-4 family mortgages | 178,538 | 3.5 | 160,890 | 3.2 | 44.0 |
| Installment loans | 48,366 | 1.0 | 51,774 | 1.0 | (6.6) |
| Total consumer loans | 661,042 | 13.0 | 657,907 | 12.9 | 2.0 |
| Total loans, excluding covered loans | 5,095,543 | 100.0 | 5,100,560 | 100.0 | (0.4) |
| Covered loans (1) | 352,357 | | 374,640 | | (26.6) |
| Total loans | \$ 5,447,900 | | \$ 5,475,200 | | (2.0) |

(1) For a detailed discussion of our covered loans and the related accounting policy for covered loans, refer to Notes 1 and 5 of "Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements" in Item 1 of this Form 10-Q.

Total loans of \$5.4 billion as of March 31, 2011 remained relatively unchanged from December 31, 2010. A decline in the construction loan portfolios from December 31, 2010 resulting from continued efforts to remediate and reduce exposure to these lending categories was substantially offset by 7.6% annualized growth in commercial and industrial loans.

Non-performing Assets

The following table presents our loan portfolio by performing and non-performing status.

Table 7
Loan Portfolio by Performing/Non-Performing Status
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

| | Total Loans | Current | Past Due | | Non-accrual | Restructured |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|--------------|------------------------|---------------------|-------------|--------------|
| | | | 30-89 Days Past Due | 90 Days Past Due | | |
| As of March 31, 2011 | | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 1,493,465 | \$ 1,425,383 | \$ 7,106 | \$ 1,629 | \$ 54,561 | \$ 4,786 |
| Agricultural | 234,898 | 233,716 | 11 | - | 1,171 | - |
| Commercial real estate: | | | | | | |
| Office | 412,256 | 405,245 | 3,404 | - | 3,607 | - |
| Retail | 320,313 | 310,765 | 474 | 1,666 | 7,408 | - |
| Industrial | 473,311 | 464,709 | 1,036 | - | 5,738 | 1,828 |
| Multi-family | 344,645 | 335,244 | 2,614 | - | 6,787 | - |
| Residential construction | 151,887 | 115,361 | 200 | - | 36,326 | - |
| Commercial construction | 153,392 | 132,412 | - | - | 20,980 | - |
| Other commercial real estate | 850,334 | 800,770 | 5,838 | 565 | 38,732 | 4,429 |
| Total commercial real estate | 2,706,138 | 2,564,506 | 13,566 | 2,231 | 119,578 | 6,257 |
| Total corporate loans | 4,434,501 | 4,223,605 | 20,683 | 3,860 | 175,310 | 11,043 |
| Home equity | 434,138 | 418,760 | 5,648 | 744 | 7,854 | 1,132 |
| 1-4 family mortgages | 178,538 | 170,590 | 2,285 | 511 | 3,362 | 1,790 |
| Installment loans | 48,366 | 47,747 | 311 | 116 | 37 | 155 |
| Total consumer loans | 661,042 | 637,097 | 8,244 | 1,371 | 11,253 | 3,077 |
| Total loans, excluding covered loans | 5,095,543 | 4,860,702 | 28,927 | 5,231 | 186,563 | 14,120 |
| Covered loans | 352,357 | 250,793 | 10,399 | 91,165 | - | - |
| Total loans | \$ 5,447,900 | \$ 5,111,495 | \$ 39,326 | \$ 96,396 | \$ 186,563 | \$ 14,120 |
| As of December 31, 2010 | | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 1,465,903 | \$ 1,403,409 | \$ 5,398 | \$ 1,552 | \$ 50,088 | \$ 5,456 |
| Agricultural | 227,756 | 223,021 | 65 | 187 | 2,497 | 1,986 |
| Commercial real estate: | | | | | | |
| Office | 396,836 | 389,936 | 1,671 | - | 5,087 | 142 |
| Retail | 328,751 | 320,477 | 447 | - | 7,827 | - |
| Industrial | 478,026 | 468,995 | 461 | - | 6,659 | 1,911 |
| Multi-family | 349,862 | 343,070 | 486 | - | 6,203 | 103 |
| Residential construction | 174,690 | 122,317 | 51 | 200 | 52,122 | - |

Edgar Filing: FIRST MIDWEST BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| Commercial construction | 164,472 | 135,787 | - | - | 28,685 | - |
| Other commercial real estate | 856,357 | 802,461 | 8,115 | 345 | 40,605 | 4,831 |
| Total commercial real estate | 2,748,994 | 2,583,043 | 11,231 | 545 | 147,188 | 6,987 |
| Total corporate loans | 4,442,653 | 4,209,473 | 16,694 | 2,284 | 199,773 | 14,429 |
| Home equity | 445,243 | 428,726 | 4,055 | 1,870 | 7,948 | 2,644 |
| 1-4 family mortgages | 160,890 | 149,419 | 2,267 | 4 | 3,902 | 5,298 |
| Installment loans | 51,774 | 50,899 | 630 | 86 | 159 | |
| Total consumer loans | 657,907 | 629,044 | 6,952 | 1,960 | 12,009 | 7,942 |
| Total loans, excluding covered loans | 5,100,560 | 4,838,517 | 23,646 | 4,244 | 211,782 | 22,371 |
| Covered loans | 374,640 | 269,285 | 18,445 | 86,910 | - | - |
| Total loans | \$ 5,475,200 | \$ 5,107,802 | \$ 42,091 | \$ 91,154 | \$ 211,782 | \$ 22,371 |

The following table provides a comparison of our non-performing assets and past due loans to prior periods.

Table 8
Non-performing Assets and Past Due Loans
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

| | 2011 | | 2010 | | |
|---|------------|-------------|--------------|------------|------------|
| | March 31 | December 31 | September 30 | June 30 | March 31 |
| Non-performing assets, excluding covered loans and covered OREO | | | | | |
| Non-accrual loans | \$ 186,563 | \$ 211,782 | \$ 211,366 | \$ 193,689 | \$ 216,073 |
| 90 days or more past due loans | 5,231 | 4,244 | 9,136 | 6,280 | 7,995 |
| Total non-performing loans | 191,794 | 216,026 | 220,502 | 199,969 | 224,068 |
| Restructured loans (still accruing interest) | 14,120 | 22,371 | 11,002 | 9,030 | 5,168 |
| Other real estate owned | 33,863 | 31,069 | 52,044 | 57,023 | 62,565 |
| Total non-performing assets | \$ 239,777 | \$ 269,466 | \$ 283,548 | \$ 266,022 | \$ 291,801 |
| 30-89 days past due loans | \$ 28,927 | \$ 23,646 | \$ 41,590 | \$ 32,012 | \$ 28,018 |
| Non-accrual loans to total loans | 3.66% | 4.15% | 4.09% | 3.72% | 4.16% |
| Non-performing loans to total loans | 3.76% | 4.24% | 4.27% | 3.84% | 4.31% |
| Non-performing assets to loans plus OREO | 4.67% | 5.25% | 5.44% | 5.05% | 5.55% |
| Covered assets (1) | | | | | |
| Non-accrual loans | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| 90 days or more past due loans | 91,165 | 86,910 | 74,777 | 47,912 | 52,464 |
| Total non-performing loans | 91,165 | 86,910 | 74,777 | 47,912 | 52,464 |
| Restructured loans (still accruing interest) | - | - | - | - | - |
| Other real estate owned | 28,871 | 29,698 | 31,550 | 10,657 | 8,649 |
| Total non-performing assets | \$ 120,036 | \$ 116,608 | \$ 106,327 | \$ 58,569 | \$ 61,113 |
| 30-89 days past due loans | \$ 10,399 | \$ 18,445 | \$ 24,005 | \$ 13,725 | \$ 10,175 |
| Non-performing assets, including covered loans and covered OREO | | | | | |
| Non-accrual loans | \$ 186,563 | \$ 211,782 | \$ 211,366 | \$ 193,689 | \$ 216,073 |
| 90 days or more past due loans | 96,396 | 91,154 | 83,913 | 54,192 | 60,459 |
| Total non-performing loans | 282,959 | 302,936 | 295,279 | 247,881 | 276,532 |
| Restructured loans (still accruing interest) | 14,120 | 22,371 | 11,002 | 9,030 | 5,168 |
| Other real estate owned | 62,734 | 60,767 | 83,594 | 67,680 | 71,214 |
| Total non-performing assets | \$ 359,813 | \$ 386,074 | \$ 389,875 | \$ 324,591 | \$ 352,914 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST MIDWEST BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----|--------|----|--------|----|--------|----|--------|----|--------|
| 30-89 days past due loans | \$ | 39,326 | \$ | 42,091 | \$ | 65,595 | \$ | 45,737 | \$ | 38,193 |
| Non-accrual loans to total loans | | 3.42% | | 3.87% | | 3.80% | | 3.60% | | 4.05% |
| Non-performing loans to total loans | | 5.19% | | 5.53% | | 5.31% | | 4.61% | | 5.18% |
| Non-performing assets to loans plus OREO | | 6.53% | | 6.97% | | 6.90% | | 5.97% | | 6.52% |

(1)For a discussion of covered assets, refer to Note 5 of “Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements” in Item 1 of this Form 10-Q.

Non-performing assets, excluding covered loans and covered OREO, were \$239.8 million at March 31, 2011, decreasing \$29.7 million, or 11.0%, from December 31, 2010. The reduction was substantially due to remediation activities, dispositions, charge-offs, and the return of restructured loans to performing status offset by loans downgraded to non-accrual status.

During first quarter 2011, we reduced our non-performing assets by \$39.6 million through \$30.0 million in sales, partial sales, paydowns, and transfers to held-for-sale and the return of \$9.6 million of restructured loans to performing status as a result of satisfactory payment performance after the modifications of the loans. Over half of the sales, partial sales, and paydowns were related to non-performing assets in the construction portfolio.

Two-thirds of the total disposals represented non-performing assets we identified as part of our shift in disposition strategy in fourth quarter 2010. We received proceeds of \$15.9 million from the disposition of these assets, which represented 84.0% of the recorded investment of those assets. The resulting loss of \$3.0 million pertained primarily to two residential construction projects sold at auction.

Loans downgraded to non-accrual status totaled \$25.9 million for first quarter 2011, which approximated one-fourth of the inflow for fourth quarter 2010. A small portion of the inflow, or 11.3%, related to construction loans.

Management continues to pursue the remediation of non-performing assets in accordance with the previously-established disposition strategies. It is anticipated that additional loan sales will be realized throughout the remainder of the year. As management works to dispose of non-performing assets, our efforts could be impacted by a number of factors, including but not limited to, the pace and timing of the overall recovery of the economy, illiquidity in the real estate market, and higher levels of foreclosed real estate coming into the market.

Covered loans and covered OREO were recorded at their estimated fair values at the time of acquisition. These assets are covered by loss sharing agreements with the FDIC, whereby the FDIC will reimburse us for the majority of the losses incurred on these assets, substantially mitigating the risk of loss. Although non-performing covered loans are past due based on contractual terms, they continue to perform in accordance with our expectations of cash flows.

Non-accrual Loans

Generally, loans are placed on non-accrual status if principal or interest payments become 90 days or more past due or management deems the collectability of the principal or interest to be in question. Loans to customers whose financial condition has deteriorated are considered for non-accrual status whether or not the loan is 90 days or more past due. Once interest accruals are discontinued, accrued but uncollected interest credited to income in the current year is reversed, and unpaid interest accrued in prior years is charged against the allowance for loan losses. Subsequent receipts on non-accrual loans are recorded as a reduction of principal, and interest income is recorded only after principal recovery is reasonably assured. Classification of a loan as non-accrual does not preclude the ultimate collection of loan principal or interest. We continue to accrue interest on certain loans 90 days or more past due when we determine these loans are well secured and in the process of collection.

Non-accrual loans declined from \$211.8 million at December 31, 2010 to \$186.6 million at March 31, 2011 as sales, payments, charge-offs, and transfers to OREO exceeded the amount of loans downgraded from performing to non-accrual during first quarter 2011.

Included in the sales of non-performing assets described above for first quarter 2011, we sold six primarily construction non-accrual loans with a book value of \$7.3 million for proceeds of \$4.3 million in a bulk sale. Charge-offs of \$3.0 million were taken on these loans based on the sales prices once the loans were identified for sale. We also transferred a \$3.8 million commercial construction loan to held-for-sale status as of March 31, 2011, and recorded a charge-off of \$200,000.

Construction Portfolio

Total construction loans of \$305.3 million consist of residential construction and commercial construction loans. The residential construction portfolio totals \$151.9 million at March 31, 2011 with 23.9% classified as non-performing compared to 30.0% as of December 31, 2010. This portfolio represents loans to developers of residential properties and, as such, is particularly susceptible to declining real estate values.

The following table provides details on the nature of these construction portfolios.

Table 9

Construction Loans by Type, Excluding Covered Loans
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

| Underlying Collateral As of March 31, 2011 | Residential Construction | | Commercial Construction | | Combined | | Non- performing Loans |
|---|-----------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| | Amount | Percent of Total | Amount | Percent of Total | Amount | Percent of Total | |
| Raw Land | \$ 37,896 | 25.0 | \$ 43,317 | 28.2 | \$ 81,213 | 26.6 | \$ 35,621 |
| Developed Land | 72,221 | 47.5 | 58,654 | 38.2 | 130,875 | 42.9 | 10,639 |
| Construction | 14,186 | 9.3 | 12,193 | 8.0 | 26,379 | 8.6 | 1,899 |
| Substantially completed structures | 22,714 | 15.0 | 36,477 | 23.8 | 59,191 | 19.4 | 5,586 |
| Mixed and other | 4,870 | 3.2 | 2,751 | 1.8 | 7,621 | 2.5 | 3,561 |
| Total | \$ 151,887 | 100.0 | \$ 153,392 | 100.0 | \$ 305,279 | 100.0 | \$ 57,306 |
| Weighted-average maturity (in years) | 0.46 | | 0.53 | | 0.50 | | |
| Non-accrual loans | \$ 36,326 | | \$ 20,980 | | \$ 57,306 | | |
| 90-days past due loans | - | | - | | - | | |
| Total non-performing loans | \$ 36,326 | | \$ 20,980 | | \$ 57,306 | | |
| Non-performing loans as a percent of total loans | 23.9% | | 13.7% | | 18.8% | | |
| As of December 31, 2010 | | | | | | | |
| Raw land | \$ 35,401 | 20.3 | \$ 46,995 | 28.6 | \$ 82,396 | 24.3 | \$ 38,605 |
| Developed land | 83,229 | 47.6 | 71,856 | 43.7 | 155,085 | 45.7 | 23,320 |
| Construction | 14,077 | 8.1 | 22,882 | 13.9 | 36,959 | 10.9 | 1,570 |
| Substantially completed structures | 32,538 | 18.6 | 22,284 | 13.5 | 54,822 | 16.2 | 10,576 |
| Mixed and other | 9,445 | 5.4 | 455 | 0.3 | 9,900 | 2.9 | 6,936 |
| Total | \$ 174,690 | 100.0 | \$ 164,472 | 100.0 | \$ 339,162 | 100.0 | \$ 81,007 |
| Weighted-average maturity (in years) | 0.49 | | 0.68 | | 0.58 | | |
| Non-accrual loans | \$ 52,122 | | \$ 28,685 | | \$ 80,807 | | |
| 90-days past due loans | 200 | | - | | 200 | | |
| Total non-performing loans | \$ 52,322 | | \$ 28,685 | | \$ 81,007 | | |
| Non-performing loans as | 30.0% | | 17.4% | | 23.9% | | |

a percent of total
loans

Total construction loans decreased by \$33.9 million, and non-performing construction loans decreased by \$23.7 million from December 31, 2010 to March 31, 2011. The decline in the portfolio was due to principal paydowns, charge-offs, reclassification of completed construction projects into other loan categories, and transfers of loan collateral into OREO resulting from our ongoing efforts to reduce and mitigate exposure to this lending category.

For the non-performing construction loans of \$57.3 million as of March 31, 2011, 53.4% is comprised of five credits, principally representing raw land, the largest of which was \$17.5 million. Life-to-date charge-offs on these five credits totaled \$18.6 million. We had valuation allowances related to two of these loans totaling \$340,000 as of March 31, 2011.

Restructured Loans

Restructured loans are loans for which the original contractual terms have been modified, including forgiveness of principal or interest, due to deterioration in the borrower's financial condition. We do not accrue interest on any restructured loan unless and until we believe collection of all principal and interest under the modified terms is reasonably assured. Generally, six months of consecutive payment performance by the borrower under the restructured terms is required before a restructured loan is returned to accrual status assuming the loan is restructured at market terms consistent with the credit risk of the borrower. However, the period could vary depending upon the individual facts and circumstances of the loan.

For a restructured loan to begin accruing interest, the borrower must demonstrate both some level of performance and the capacity to perform under the modified terms. A history of timely payments and adherence to financial covenants generally serve as sufficient evidence of the borrower's performance. An evaluation of the borrower's current creditworthiness is used to assess whether the borrower has the capacity to repay the loan under the modified terms. This evaluation includes an estimate of expected cash flows, evidence of strong financial position, and estimates of the value of collateral, if applicable. Once the borrower demonstrates the ability to meet the modified terms of the restructured loan and we are reasonably assured we will receive the full principal and interest under the restructured terms, we will return the loan to accrual status. However, in accordance with industry regulation, these restructured loans continue to be separately reported as restructured until after the calendar year in which the restructuring occurred if the loan was restructured at market rates and terms.

On occasion, we may also restructure a loan into two instruments, and charge-off one of the restructured loans. If the borrower demonstrates an ongoing ability to comply with the restructured terms of the remaining loan, the restructured loan may be classified as an accruing loan. Otherwise, the restructured loan would be placed on non-accrual status.

Loan modifications are generally performed at the request of the individual borrower and may include reduction in interest rates, changes in payments, and maturity date extensions. Although we do not have formal, standardized loan modification programs for our commercial or consumer loan portfolios, we do participate in the U.S. Department of the Treasury's Home Affordable Modification Program ("HAMP") and comply with Regulation Z, the Federal Truth in Lending Act. HAMP gives qualifying homeowners an opportunity to refinance into more affordable monthly payments, with the U.S. Department of the Treasury ("Treasury") compensating us for a portion of the reduction in monthly amounts due from borrowers participating in this program.

At March 31, 2011, we had restructured loans totaling \$43.1 million, a decrease of \$18.1 million from December 31, 2010. These totals include loans that were restructured at market terms and continued to accrue interest, which totaled \$14.1 million as of March 31, 2011, a decrease of \$8.3 million from December 31, 2010. To the extent these loans continue to perform, they will no longer be classified as non-performing. In January 2011, \$9.8 million of restructured loans were returned to performing status as a result of satisfactory payment performance after the modification of the loans.

Table 10

Restructured Loans by Type
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

| | March 31, 2011 | | December 31, 2010 | | September 30, 2010 | | June 30, 2010 | |
|---|-----------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|--------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------|
| | Number of Loans | Amount | Number of Loans | Amount | Number of Loans | Amount | Number of Loans | Amount |
| Commercial and industrial | 28 | \$ 22,569 | 46 | \$ 23,404 | 35 | \$ 18,612 | 33 | \$ 18,682 |
| Agricultural | - | - | 1 | 1,986 | - | - | - | - |
| Commercial real estate: | | | | | | | | |
| Office | - | - | 1 | 142 | 1 | 142 | 1 | 142 |
| Retail | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Industrial | - | 1,828 | 2 | 1,911 | - | 70 | - | - |
| Multi-family | 8 | 3,078 | 9 | 3,193 | 8 | 3,619 | 9 | 4,860 |
| Residential construction | 4 | 4,539 | 4 | 8,323 | 6 | 1,900 | 1 | 1,423 |
| Commercial construction | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Other commercial real estate | 7 | 6,588 | 13 | 7,229 | 4 | 2,415 | 8 | 3,001 |
| Total commercial real estate loans | 20 | 16,033 | 29 | 20,798 | 20 | 8,146 | 19 | 9,426 |
| Home equity loans | 22 | 1,641 | 50 | 3,233 | 43 | 2,603 | 39 | 2,260 |
| 1-4 family mortgages | 23 | 2,944 | 49 | 6,703 | 44 | 5,898 | 37 | 5,330 |
| Total consumer loans | 45 | 4,585 | 99 | 9,936 | 87 | 8,501 | 76 | 7,590 |
| Total restructured loans | 93 | \$ 43,187 | 175 | \$ 56,124 | 142 | \$ 35,259 | 128 | \$ 35,698 |
| Restructured loans, still accruing interest | 53 | \$ 14,120 | 120 | \$ 22,371 | 104 | \$ 11,002 | 81 | \$ 9,030 |
| Restructured loans included in non-accrual | 40 | 29,067 | 55 | 33,753 | 38 | 24,257 | 47 | 26,668 |
| Total restructured loans | 93 | \$ 43,187 | 175 | \$ 56,124 | 142 | \$ 35,259 | 128 | \$ 35,698 |
| Year-to-date charge-offs on restructured loans | | \$ 63 | | \$ 11,534 | | \$ 926 | | \$ 793 |
| Valuation allowance related to restructured loans | | \$ 519 | | \$ - | | \$ - | | \$ - |

OREO

OREO consists of properties acquired as the result of borrower defaults on loans. OREO is recorded at the lower of the outstanding loan balance or the fair value of the property received, less estimated selling costs. Write-downs occurring at foreclosure are charged against the allowance for loan losses. On a periodic basis, the carrying values of OREO may be adjusted to reflect reductions in value resulting from new appraisals, new list prices, changes in market conditions, and/or changes in disposition strategies. Write-downs are recorded for these subsequent declines in value and are included in other noninterest expense along with expenses to maintain the properties.

Other real estate owned, excluding covered OREO, was \$33.9 million at March 31, 2011 compared to \$31.1 million at December 31, 2010 and \$62.6 million at March 31, 2010.

Table 11
OREO Properties by Type
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

| | March 31, 2011 | | December 31, 2010 | | March 31, 2010 | |
|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------|----------------------|-----------|----------------------|-----------|
| | Number of Properties | Amount | Number of Properties | Amount | Number of Properties | Amount |
| Single-family homes | 13 | \$ 1,336 | 6 | \$ 1,113 | 22 | \$ 6,224 |
| Land parcels: | | | | | | |
| Raw land | 5 | 7,467 | 5 | 7,467 | 4 | 7,953 |
| Farmland | 3 | 3,562 | 2 | 4,657 | 4 | 13,045 |
| Commercial lots | 16 | 7,165 | 14 | 4,096 | 16 | 4,710 |
| Single-family lots | 24 | 5,659 | 27 | 7,564 | 46 | 20,293 |
| Total land parcels | 48 | 23,853 | 48 | 23,784 | 70 | 46,001 |
| Multi-family units | 3 | 343 | 4 | 714 | 3 | 1,062 |
| Commercial properties | 13 | 8,331 | 12 | 5,458 | 13 | 9,278 |
| Total OREO properties | 77 | \$ 33,863 | 70 | \$ 31,069 | 108 | \$ 62,565 |
| Covered OREO | 46 | \$ 28,871 | 44 | \$ 29,698 | 8 | \$ 8,649 |

The following table summarizes reductions to OREO properties during the quarters ended March 31, 2011 and 2010.

Table 12
OREO Sales
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

| | Quarter Ended March 31, 2011 | | | Quarter Ended March 31, 2010 | | |
|--|------------------------------|--------------|------------|------------------------------|--------------|------------|
| | OREO | Covered OREO | Total | OREO | Covered OREO | Total |
| OREO sales | | | | | | |
| Proceeds from sales | \$ 7,114 | \$ 1,125 | \$ 8,239 | \$ 16,914 | \$ 291 | \$ 17,205 |
| Less: Basis of properties sold | 8,210 | 1,144 | 9,354 | 22,415 | 331 | 22,746 |
| Losses on sales of OREO, net | \$ (1,096) | \$ (19) | \$ (1,115) | \$ (5,501) | \$ (40) | \$ (5,541) |
| OREO transfers and write-downs | | | | | | |
| OREO transferred to premises, furniture, and equipment (at fair value) | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 6,580 | \$ - | \$ 6,580 |

| | | | | | | |
|------------------|----------|-------|----------|----------|------|----------|
| OREO write-downs | \$ 1,101 | \$ 11 | \$ 1,112 | \$ 2,338 | \$ - | \$ 2,338 |
|------------------|----------|-------|----------|----------|------|----------|

OREO sales, excluding covered OREO, consisted of 28 properties for the quarter ended March 31, 2011, with the majority classified as farmland; office, retail, and industrial; and 1-4 family.

Allowance for Credit Losses

The allowance for credit losses includes the allowance for loan losses and the reserve for unfunded commitments and represents management's best estimate of probable losses inherent in the existing loan portfolio. Determination of the allowance for loan losses is inherently subjective, as it requires significant estimates, including the amounts and timing of expected future cash flows on impaired loans, estimated losses on pools of homogenous loans based on historical loss experience, consideration of current economic trends, and other factors, all of which may be susceptible to significant change.

The allowance for loan losses is established through a provision for loan losses charged to expense and takes into consideration such internal and external qualitative factors as changes in the nature, volume, size and current risk characteristics of the loan portfolio, an assessment of individual problem loans, actual and anticipated loss experience, current economic conditions that affect the borrower's ability to pay, and other pertinent factors. The allowance for loan losses consists of (i) specific reserves established for probable losses on individual loans for which the recorded investment in the loan exceeds the value of the loan, (ii) reserves based on historical credit loss experience for each loan category, and (iii) the impact of other internal and external qualitative factors.

We also maintain a reserve for unfunded credit commitments to provide for the risk of loss inherent in these arrangements. The reserve for unfunded credit commitments is computed based on a loss migration analysis similar to that used to determine the allowance for loan losses.

The establishment of the allowance for credit losses involves a high degree of judgment and includes a level of imprecision given the difficulty of identifying all the factors impacting loan repayment and the timing of when losses actually occur. While management utilizes its best judgment and information available, the ultimate adequacy of the allowance for credit losses is dependent upon a variety of factors beyond our control, including the performance of our loan portfolio, the economy, changes in interest rates and property values, and the interpretation by regulatory authorities of loan classifications. While each component of the allowance for credit losses is determined separately, the entire balance is available for the entire loan portfolio. Management believes that the allowance for credit losses of \$145.0 million is an appropriate estimate of credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio as of March 31, 2011.

The accounting policies underlying the establishment and maintenance of the allowance for credit losses are discussed in Note 1 of "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements" in Item 1 of this Form 10-Q.

Table 13

Allowance for Credit Losses
And Summary of Loan Loss Experience
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

| | Quarters Ended | | | | |
|--|------------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|------------|
| | 2011 March 31 | December 31 | September 30 | 2010 June 30 | March 31 |
| Change in allowance for credit losses: | | | | | |
| Balance at beginning of quarter | \$ 145,072 | \$ 145,019 | \$ 145,477 | \$ 144,824 | \$ 144,808 |
| Loans charged-off: | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | (4,965) | (10,269) | (13,968) | (5,896) | (5,336) |
| Agricultural | (9) | (125) | (489) | (546) | (141) |
| Office, retail, and industrial | (1,199) | (2,888) | (3,205) | (2,377) | (1,852) |
| Multi-family | (549) | (1,017) | (412) | (732) | (627) |
| Residential construction | (5,422) | (36,435) | (4,571) | (10,048) | (4,557) |
| Commercial construction | (261) | (7,743) | (228) | (115) | (270) |
| Other commercial real estate | (5,401) | (12,354) | (10,417) | (1,560) | (4,538) |
| Consumer | (2,424) | (2,416) | (2,139) | (2,546) | (2,508) |
| 1-4 family mortgages | (247) | (238) | (364) | (261) | (168) |
| Total loans charged-off | (20,477) | (73,845) | (35,793) | (24,081) | (19,997) |
| Recoveries on loans previously charged-off: | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | 1,837 | 431 | 706 | 3,217 | 873 |
| Agricultural | - | - | - | - | - |
| Office, retail, and industrial | 16 | - | 380 | 24 | 208 |
| Multi-family | - | (189) | 190 | 247 | 115 |
| Residential construction | 4 | 500 | 111 | 54 | 105 |
| Commercial construction | - | - | - | - | - |
| Other commercial real estate | 43 | 152 | 200 | 53 | 89 |
| Consumer | 107 | 42 | 160 | 264 | 225 |
| 1-4 family mortgages | 1 | - | 1 | - | 48 |
| Total recoveries on loans previously charged-off | 2,008 | 936 | 1,748 | 3,859 | 1,663 |
| Net loans charged-off, excluding covered assets | (18,469) | (72,909) | (34,045) | (20,222) | (18,334) |
| | (1,092) | (935) | 11 | (651) | - |

| | | | | | |
|--|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Net (charge-offs) recoveries on covered loans | | | | | |
| Net loans charged off | (19,561) | (73,844) | (34,034) | (20,873) | (18,334) |
| Provision charged to operating expense: | | | | | |
| Provision, excluding provision for covered loans | 18,400 | 72,962 | 33,587 | 20,875 | 18,350 |
| Provision for covered loans | 7,501 | 14,410 | (424) | 13,023 | - |
| Less: expected reimbursement from the FDIC | (6,409) | (13,475) | 413 | (12,372) | - |
| Net provision for covered loans | 1,092 | 935 | (11) | 651 | - |
| Total provision charged to operating expense | 19,492 | 73,897 | 33,576 | 21,526 | 18,350 |
| Balance at end of quarter | \$ 145,003 | \$ 145,072 | \$ 145,019 | \$ 145,477 | \$ 144,824 |
| Allowance for loan losses | \$ 142,503 | \$ 142,572 | \$ 144,569 | \$ 145,027 | \$ 144,824 |
| Reserve for unfunded commitments | 2,500 | 2,500 | 450 | 450 | - |
| Total allowance for credit losses | \$ 145,003 | \$ 145,072 | \$ 145,019 | \$ 145,477 | \$ 144,824 |

| | Quarters Ended | | | | |
|---|------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|
| | 2011 March 31 | December 31 | September 30 | 2010 June 30 | March 31 |
| Average loans, excluding covered loans | \$ 5,075,840 | \$ 5,155,416 | \$ 5,207,419 | \$ 5,204,566 | \$ 5,197,499 |
| Net loans charged-off to average loans, excluding covered loans, annualized | 1.48% | 5.61% | 2.59% | 1.56% | 1.43% |
| Allowance for credit losses at end of period as a percent of: | | | | | |
| Total loans, excluding covered loans | 2.85% | 2.84% | 2.81% | 2.79% | 2.79% |
| Non-performing loans, excluding covered loans | 76% | 67% | 66% | 73% | 65% |
| Average loans, including covered loans | \$ 5,438,978 | \$ 5,545,953 | \$ 5,494,712 | \$ 5,376,861 | \$ 5,342,656 |
| Net loans charged-off to average loans, annualized | 1.46% | 5.28% | 2.46% | 1.56% | 1.39% |
| Allowance for credit losses at end of period as a percent of: | | | | | |
| Total loans | 2.66% | 2.65% | 2.61% | 2.71% | 2.71% |
| Non-performing loans | 51% | 48% | 49% | 59% | 52% |

The allowance for credit losses represented 2.85% of total loans outstanding, excluding covered loans, at March 31, 2011 compared to 2.84% at December 31, 2010 and 2.79% at March 31, 2010.

Net charge-offs for first quarter 2011 were up \$1.2 million, or 6.7%, from first quarter 2010. This increase was primarily attributed to net charge-offs taken on covered loans during first quarter 2011.

GOODWILL

Goodwill is included in goodwill and other intangible assets in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition. The carrying value of goodwill was \$270.5 million as of March 31, 2011 and \$270.8 million as of December 31, 2010. The \$292,000 decrease resulted from a purchase accounting adjustment (an adjustment to the initial goodwill recorded at the time an acquisition is completed) related to a 2010 FDIC-assisted transaction. Goodwill is tested at least annually for impairment or when events or circumstances indicate a need to perform interim tests. Impairment testing is performed by comparing the carrying value of goodwill with our anticipated discounted future cash flows. During 2010, we performed an analysis of goodwill at our annual assessment date of October 1, 2010. We determined that goodwill had not been impaired.

FUNDING AND LIQUIDITY MANAGEMENT

The following table provides a comparison of average funding sources for the quarter ended March 31, 2011, December 31, 2010, and March 31, 2010. We believe that average balances, rather than period-end balances, are more meaningful in analyzing funding sources because of the inherent fluctuations that may occur on a monthly basis within most funding categories.

Table 14

Funding Sources – Average Balances
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

| | Quarters Ended | | | First Quarter 2011 % Change From | |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 | March 31, 2010 | Fourth Quarter 2010 | First Quarter 2010 |
| Demand deposits | \$ 1,342,013 | \$ 1,348,188 | \$ 1,124,320 | (0.5%) | 19.4% |
| Savings deposits | 901,205 | 864,334 | 759,786 | 4.3% | 18.6% |
| NOW accounts | 1,044,280 | 1,075,642 | 922,179 | (2.9%) | 13.2% |
| Money market accounts | 1,240,439 | 1,302,325 | 1,110,519 | (4.8%) | 11.7% |
| Transactional deposits | 4,527,937 | 4,590,489 | 3,916,804 | (1.4%) | 15.6% |
| Time deposits | 1,914,486 | 2,045,330 | 1,942,838 | (6.4%) | (1.5%) |
| Brokered deposits | 23,404 | 24,059 | 13,907 | (2.7%) | 68.3% |
| Total time deposits | 1,937,890 | 2,069,389 | 1,956,745 | (6.4%) | (1.0%) |
| Total deposits | 6,465,827 | 6,659,878 | 5,873,549 | (2.9%) | 10.1% |
| Repurchase agreements | 148,347 | 143,549 | 223,686 | 3.3% | (33.7%) |
| Federal funds purchased | - | 1 | 17,500 | (100.0%) | (100.0%) |
| Federal Home Loan Bank (“FHLB”) advances | 137,500 | 137,500 | 153,915 | - | (10.7%) |
| Federal term auction facilities | - | - | 82,222 | - | (100.0%) |
| Total borrowed funds | 285,847 | 281,050 | 477,323 | 1.7% | (40.1%) |
| Subordinated debt | 137,745 | 137,743 | 137,736 | - | - |
| Total funding sources | \$ 6,889,419 | \$ 7,078,671 | \$ 6,488,608 | (2.7%) | 6.2% |
| Average interest rate paid on borrowed funds | 0.96% | 1.00% | 0.86% | | |
| Weighted-average maturity of FHLB advances | 24.6 months | 27.6 months | 35.7 months | | |
| Weighted-average interest rate of FHLB advances | 1.95% | 1.95% | 2.00% | | |

Average funding sources for first quarter 2011 declined \$189.3 million, or 2.7%, from fourth quarter 2010. The reduction in core transactional deposits from fourth quarter 2010 to first quarter 2011 resulted from seasonal declines in public funds balances. Typically, public funds balances gradually decline through the fall and winter months until the summer when public fund customers receive the majority of their property tax revenues.

Average funding sources increased \$400.8 million, or 6.2%, from first quarter 2010 to first quarter 2011. The growth during this period resulted from a \$217.7 million, or 19.4%, rise in average demand deposits and a \$393.4 million, or 14.1%, increase in average interest-bearing transactional deposits (collectively core transactional deposits). The addition of core transactional deposits reflected ongoing sales efforts, customers’ desires to maintain liquidity in today’s low interest rate environment, and the acquisition of deposits through FDIC-assisted transactions. This increase was partially offset by a decline in more expensive short-term borrowings.

Table 15

Borrowed Funds
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

| | March 31, 2011 | | March 31, 2010 | |
|---|----------------|----------|----------------|----------|
| | Amount | Rate (%) | Amount | Rate (%) |
| At period-end: | | | | |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | \$ 135,842 | 0.02 | \$ 194,355 | 0.09 |
| Federal funds purchased | - | - | 45,000 | 0.10 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank (“FHLB”) advances | 137,500 | 1.95 | 147,808 | 2.00 |
| Total borrowed funds | \$ 273,342 | 0.99 | \$ 387,163 | 0.82 |
| Average for the year-to-date period: | | | | |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | \$ 148,347 | 0.03 | \$ 223,686 | 0.32 |
| Federal funds purchased | - | - | 17,500 | 0.14 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances | 137,500 | 1.98 | 153,915 | 2.04 |
| Federal term auction facilities | - | - | 82,222 | 0.25 |
| Total borrowed funds | \$ 285,847 | 0.96 | \$ 477,323 | 0.86 |
| Maximum amount outstanding at the end of any day during the period: | | | | |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | \$ 174,810 | | \$ 254,055 | |
| Federal funds purchased | - | | 60,000 | |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances | 137,500 | | 215,786 | |
| Federal term auction facilities | - | | 300,000 | |

Average borrowed funds totaled \$285.8 million for first quarter 2011, decreasing \$191.5 million, or 40.1%, from first quarter 2010. The increase in core transactional deposits reduced our reliance on these higher-costing funds.

Securities sold under agreements to repurchase, federal funds purchased, and term auction facilities generally mature within 1 to 90 days from the transaction date.

MANAGEMENT OF CAPITAL

Capital Measurements

The Federal Reserve Board (“FRB”), the primary regulator of the Company and the Bank, establishes minimum capital requirements that must be met by member institutions. We have managed our capital ratios to consistently maintain such measurements in excess of the FRB minimum levels to be considered “well-capitalized,” which is the highest capital category established.

Capital resources of financial institutions are also regularly measured by tangible equity ratios, which are non-GAAP measures. Tangible common equity equals total shareholders’ equity as defined by GAAP less goodwill and other intangible assets and preferred stock, which does not benefit common shareholders. Tangible assets equal total assets as defined by GAAP less goodwill and other intangible assets. The tangible equity ratios are a valuable indicator of a financial institution’s capital strength since they eliminate intangible assets from shareholders’ equity.

The following table presents our consolidated measures of capital as of the dates presented and the capital guidelines established by the FRB to be considered “well-capitalized.” All regulatory mandated ratios for characterization as “well-capitalized” were exceeded as of March 31, 2011.

Table 16

Capital Measurements
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

| | March 31, 2011 | 2010 | December 31, 2010 | Regulatory Minimum For “Well- Capitalized” | Excess Over Required Minimums at March 31, 2011 | |
|--|-------------------|--------|-------------------------|--|--|------------|
| Regulatory capital ratios: | | | | | | |
| Total capital to risk-weighted assets | 16.36% | 17.23% | 16.18% | 10.00% | 64% | \$ 400,070 |
| Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets | 14.29% | 15.17% | 14.11% | 6.00% | 138% | \$ 521,783 |
| Tier 1 leverage to average assets | 11.53% | 13.06% | 11.16% | 5.00% | 131% | \$ 509,471 |
| Regulatory capital ratios, excluding preferred stock (1): | | | | | | |
| Total capital to risk-weighted assets | 13.29% | 14.20% | 13.12% | 10.00% | 33% | \$ 207,070 |
| Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets | 11.22% | 12.14% | 11.06% | 6.00% | 87% | \$ 328,783 |
| Tier 1 leverage to average assets | 9.06% | 10.45% | 8.74% | 5.00% | 81% | \$ 316,471 |
| Tier 1 common capital to risk-weighted assets (2) (3) | 9.88% | 10.81% | 9.72% | N/A (3) | (3) | N/A (3) |
| Tangible common equity ratios: | | | | | | |
| Tangible common equity to tangible assets | 8.26% | 9.17% | 7.99% | N/A (3) | (3) | N/A (3) |
| Tangible common equity, excluding other comprehensive loss, to tangible assets | 8.58% | 9.42% | 8.34% | N/A (3) | (3) | N/A (3) |
| Tangible common equity to risk-weighted assets | 10.18% | 10.52% | 9.93% | N/A (3) | (3) | N/A (3) |
| Regulatory capital ratios, Bank only (4): | | | | | | |
| Total capital to risk-weighted assets | 14.22% | 13.71% | 13.87% | 10.00% | 42% | \$ 259,619 |
| Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets | 12.96% | 12.45% | 12.61% | 6.00% | 116% | \$ 427,879 |
| Tier 1 leverage to average assets | 10.36% | 10.46% | 9.88% | 5.00% | 107% | \$ 412,423 |

(1)These ratios exclude the impact of \$193.0 million in preferred shares issued to the Treasury in December 2008 as part of its Capital Purchase Plan (“CPP”). For additional discussion of the preferred share issuance and the CPP, refer to Note 12 to the Consolidated Financial Statements of our 2010 Form 10-K.

(2)Excludes the impact of preferred shares and trust-preferred securities.

(3)Ratio is not subject to formal Federal Reserve regulatory guidance.

(4)Ratio presented pertains to our wholly owned banking subsidiary, First Midwest Bank.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with GAAP and are consistent with predominant practices in the financial services industry. Critical accounting policies are those policies that management believes are the most important to our financial position and results of operations. Application of critical accounting policies requires management to make estimates, assumptions, and judgments based on information available as of the date of the financial statements that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Future changes in information may affect these estimates, assumptions, and judgments, which, in turn, may affect amounts reported in the financial statements.

We have numerous accounting policies, of which the most significant are presented in Note 1, “Summary of Significant Accounting Policies,” to the Consolidated Financial Statements of our 2010 10-K. These policies, along with the disclosures presented in the other financial statement notes and in this discussion, provide information on how significant assets and liabilities are valued in the financial statements and how those values are determined. Based on the valuation techniques used and the sensitivity of financial statement amounts to the methods, assumptions, and estimates underlying those amounts, management has determined that our accounting policies with respect to the allowance for credit losses, evaluation of impairment of securities, and income taxes are the accounting areas requiring subjective or complex judgments that are most important to our financial position and results of operations, and, therefore, are considered to be critical accounting policies, as discussed in our 2010 10-K.

**ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE
DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

Market risk is the risk of loss arising from adverse changes in the fair value of financial instruments due to changes in interest rates, exchange rates, and equity prices. Interest rate risk is our primary market risk and is the result of repricing, basis, and option risk. A description and analysis of our interest rate risk management policies is included in Item 7A, “Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk,” contained in our 2010 10-K.

We seek to achieve consistent growth in net interest income and net income while managing volatility that arises from shifts in interest rates. The Bank’s Asset and Liability Committee (“ALCO”) oversees financial risk management by developing programs to measure and manage interest rate risks within authorized limits set by the Bank’s Board of Directors. ALCO also approves the Bank’s asset and liability management policies, oversees the formulation and implementation of strategies to improve balance sheet positioning and earnings, and reviews the Bank’s interest rate sensitivity position. Management uses net interest income and economic value of equity simulation modeling tools to analyze and capture short-term and long-term interest rate exposures.

Net Interest Income Sensitivity

The analysis of net interest income sensitivities assesses the magnitude of changes in net interest income resulting from changes in interest rates over a 12-month horizon using multiple rate scenarios. These scenarios include, but are not limited to, a “most likely” forecast, a flat to inverted or unchanged rate environment, a gradual increase and decrease of 200 basis points that occur in equal steps over a six-month time horizon, and immediate increases and decreases of 200 and 300 basis points.

This simulation analysis is based on actual cash flows and repricing characteristics for balance sheet and off-balance sheet instruments and incorporates market-based assumptions regarding the effect of changing interest rates on the prepayment rates of certain assets and liabilities. This simulation analysis includes management’s projections for activity levels in each of the product lines we offer. The analysis also incorporates assumptions based on the historical behavior of deposit rates and balances in relation to interest rates. Because these assumptions are inherently uncertain, the simulation analysis cannot definitively measure net interest income or predict the impact of the fluctuation in interest rates on net interest income. Actual results may differ from simulated results due to timing, magnitude, and frequency of interest rate changes as well as changes in market conditions and management strategies.

We monitor and manage interest rate risk within approved policy limits. Our current interest rate risk policy limits are determined by measuring the change in net interest income over a 12-month horizon assuming a 200 basis point gradual increase and decrease in all interest rates compared to net interest income in an unchanging interest rate environment. Current policy limits this exposure to plus or minus 8% of the anticipated level of net interest income over the corresponding 12-month horizon assuming no change in current interest rates. We were within policy limits as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

**Analysis of Net Interest Income Sensitivity
(Dollar amounts in thousands)**

| | Gradual Change in Rates (1) | | Immediate Change in Rates | | | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|---------------------------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| | -200 | +200 | -200 | +200 | -300 (2) | +300 |
| March 31, 2011: | | | | | | |
| Dollar change | \$ (16,009) | \$ 8,133 | \$ (22,381) | \$ 11,585 | \$ N/M | \$ 23,619 |
| Percent change | -5.4% | +2.8% | -7.6% | +3.9% | N/M | +8.0% |
| December 31, 2010: | | | | | | |
| Dollar change | \$ (13,609) | \$ 7,393 | \$ (18,736) | \$ 10,072 | \$ N/M | \$ 21,148 |

| | | | | | | |
|----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----|-------|
| Percent change | -4.7% | +2.5% | -6.4% | +3.4% | N/M | +7.2% |
|----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----|-------|

(1)The gradual change in rates reflects an assumed uniform change in interest rates across all terms that occurs in equal steps over a six-month horizon.

(2)N/M – Due to the low level of interest rates as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, in management’s judgment, an assumed 300 basis point drop in interest rates was deemed not meaningful in the existing interest rate environment.

Overall, in rising interest rate scenarios, interest rate risk volatility is more positive at March 31, 2011 than at December 31, 2010 and in declining interest rate scenarios, interest rate risk volatility is more negative at March 31, 2011 compared to December 31, 2010. The increase in positive exposure to rising interest rates resulted from a change in balance sheet strategy

to reinvest a greater portion of securities cash flows into shorter-term investments. A decrease in short-term borrowings and a lower level of interest rate floors on loans drove the increase in negative exposure to falling interest rates.

Economic Value of Equity

In addition to the simulation analysis, management uses an economic value of equity sensitivity technique to understand the risk in both shorter-term and longer-term positions and to study the impact of longer-term cash flows on earnings and capital. In determining the economic value of equity, we discount present values of expected cash flows on all assets, liabilities, and off-balance sheet contracts under different interest rate scenarios. The discounted present value of all cash flows represents our economic value of equity. Economic value of equity does not represent the true fair value of asset, liability, or derivative positions because certain factors are not considered, such as credit risk, liquidity risk, and the impact of future changes to the balance sheet. Our policy guidelines call for preventative measures to be taken in the event that an immediate increase or decrease in interest rates of 200 basis points is estimated to reduce the economic value of equity by more than 20%.

Analysis of Economic Value of Equity (Dollar amounts in thousands)

| | Immediate Change in Rates | |
|--------------------|---------------------------|-----------|
| | -200 | +200 |
| March 31, 2011: | | |
| Dollar change | \$ (155,256) | \$ 62,317 |
| Percent change | -9.5% | +3.8% |
| December 31, 2010: | | |
| Dollar change | \$ (148,859) | \$ 61,708 |
| Percent change | -9.2% | +3.8% |

As of March 31, 2011, the estimated sensitivity of the economic value of equity to changes in rising interest rates is flat compared to December 31, 2010, and the estimated sensitivity to falling rates is more negative compared to December 31, 2010. Market rates, as measured by the London Interbank Offered Rate yield curve, changed very little during first quarter 2011, which resulted in only minimal change in the estimated sensitivity of the economic value of equity during this period.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

At the end of the period covered by this report, (the "Evaluation Date"), the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer and its Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"). Based on that evaluation, the President and Chief Executive Officer and Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer concluded that as of the Evaluation Date, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms. There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the most recent fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Company disclosed any material pending litigation matters relating to the Company in Item 3 of Part I of its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. For the quarter ended March 31, 2011, there were no material developments with regard to any previously disclosed matters, and no other matters were reported during the period, although there were certain legal proceedings pending against the Company and its subsidiaries in the ordinary course of business at March 31, 2011. Based on presently available information, the Company believes that any liabilities arising from these proceedings would not have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial position of the Company.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

The Company provided a discussion of certain risks and uncertainties faced by the Company in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. However, these factors may not be the only risks or uncertainties the Company faces. Additional risks that the Company does not yet know of or that it currently thinks are immaterial may also impair its business operations.

Based on currently available information, the Company has not identified any new or material changes in the Company's risk factors as previously disclosed, except as discussed under the heading "Regulatory Developments" of Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

The following table summarizes the Company's monthly common stock purchases during first quarter 2011. The Company's Board of Directors approved a stock repurchase program on November 27, 2007. Up to 2.5 million shares of the Company's common stock may be repurchased, and the total remaining authorization under the program was 2,494,747 shares as of March 31, 2011. The repurchase program has no set expiration or termination date. Any repurchases are subject to limitations imposed as part of the U.S. Treasury's Capital Purchase Plan under the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities
(Number of shares in thousands)

| | Total Number of Shares Purchased (1) | Average Price Paid per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of a Publicly Announced Plan or Program | Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plan or Program |
|--------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| January 1 – January 31, 2011 | - | \$ - | - | 2,494,747 |
| February 1 – February 28, 2011 | 11,786 | 12.43 | - | 2,494,747 |
| March 1 – March 31, 2011 | - | - | - | 2,494,747 |
| Total | 11,786 | \$ 12.43 | - | |

(1) Consists of shares acquired pursuant to the Company's share-based compensation plans and not the Company's repurchase program approved by its Board of Directors on November 27, 2007. Under the terms of these plans, the Company accepts shares of common stock from option holders if they elect to surrender previously owned shares upon exercise to cover the exercise price of the stock options or, in the case of restricted shares of common stock, the withholding of shares to satisfy tax withholding obligations associated with the vesting of restricted shares.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

ITEM 4. REMOVED AND RESERVED

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

62

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

| Exhibit Number | Description of Documents | Sequential Page # |
|-------------------|---|----------------------|
| 3.1 | Restated Certificate of Incorporation of First Midwest Bancorp, Inc. is herein incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 27, 2009. | |
| 3.2 | Restated By-laws of First Midwest Bancorp, Inc. is herein incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 27, 2009. | |
| 11 | Statement re: <u>Computation of Per Share Earnings</u> - The computation of basic and diluted earnings per common share is included in <u>Note 7</u> of the Company's Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in "ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS" of this document. | |
| 15 | Acknowledgment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm. | |
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. | |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. | |
| 32.1 (1) | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. | |
| 32.2 (1) | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. | |
| 99 | Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm. | |
| 101 (1) | Interactive Data File. | |

(1)Furnished, not filed.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

First Midwest Bancorp, Inc.

/s/ PAUL F. CLEMENS

Paul F. Clemens

Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer,
and Principal Accounting Officer*

Date: May 6, 2011

* Duly authorized to sign on behalf of the Registrant.

63
