

FOSTER L B CO
Form 10-Q
August 09, 2011

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, DC 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2011

Or

Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number:0-10436

L. B. Foster Company
(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Pennsylvania
(State of Incorporation)

25-1324733
(I. R. S. Employer Identification No.)

415 Holiday Drive, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania
(Address of principal executive offices)

15220
(Zip Code)

(412) 928-3417
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by checkmark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Edgar Filing: FOSTER L B CO - Form 10-Q

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

| Class | Outstanding at August 1, 2011 |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Common Stock, Par Value \$.01 | 10,277,566 Shares |

Table of Contents

L.B. FOSTER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

INDEX

| PART I. Financial Information | Page |
|--|-----------|
| Item 1. Financial Statements: | |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets</u> | <u>3</u> |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations</u> | <u>4</u> |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u> | <u>5</u> |
| <u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | <u>7</u> |
| <u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | <u>23</u> |
| <u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> | <u>37</u> |
| <u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u> | <u>37</u> |
| <u>PART II. Other Information</u> | |
| <u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u> | <u>37</u> |
| <u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u> | <u>37</u> |
| <u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | <u>38</u> |
| <u>Item 5. Other Information</u> | <u>38</u> |
| <u>Item 6. Exhibits</u> | <u>39</u> |
| <u>Signature</u> | <u>43</u> |

Table of Contents

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

L. B. FOSTER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In Thousands)

| | June 30, 2011 (Unaudited) | December 31, 2010 |
|--|---------------------------------|-------------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current Assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$45,695 | \$74,800 |
| Accounts and notes receivable: | | |
| Trade | 83,120 | 66,908 |
| Other | 932 | 2,789 |
| | 84,052 | 69,697 |
| Inventories | 95,731 | 90,367 |
| Current deferred tax assets | 1,699 | 911 |
| Prepaid income taxes | 2,518 | 972 |
| Other current assets | 3,011 | 2,535 |
| Total Current Assets | 232,706 | 239,282 |
| Property, Plant & Equipment - At Cost | 126,727 | 119,738 |
| Less Accumulated Depreciation | (78,130) | (73,402) |
| | 48,597 | 46,336 |
| Other Assets: | | |
| Goodwill | 44,369 | 44,369 |
| Other intangibles - net | 43,777 | 45,079 |
| Investments | 2,605 | 1,987 |
| Other assets | 1,697 | 1,663 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$373,751 | \$378,716 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Current Liabilities: | | |
| Current maturities of long-term debt | \$2,369 | \$2,402 |
| Accounts payable - trade | 55,901 | 45,533 |
| Deferred revenue | 6,910 | 16,868 |
| Accrued payroll and employee benefits | 6,670 | 9,054 |
| Other accrued liabilities | 14,222 | 22,962 |
| Total Current Liabilities | 86,072 | 96,819 |
| Long-Term Debt | 762 | 2,399 |
| Deferred Tax Liabilities | 12,207 | 11,863 |
| Other Long-Term Liabilities | 11,711 | 11,888 |
| STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY: | | |
| Common stock, issued 10,277,566 shares at 6/30/2011 and 10,277,138 shares at 12/31/2010 | 111 | 111 |

Edgar Filing: FOSTER L B CO - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|------------------|------------------|
| Paid-in capital | 47,388 | 47,286 |
| Retained earnings | 239,816 | 233,279 |
| Treasury stock - at cost, Common Stock, 838,213 shares at 6/30/2011 and 814,249 shares at 12/31/2010 | (24,160) | (23,861) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (156) | (1,068) |
| Total Stockholders' Equity | 262,999 | 255,747 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | \$373,751 | \$378,716 |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

L. B. FOSTER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
 (In Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (Unaudited) | | (Unaudited) | |
| Net Sales | \$173,701 | \$119,504 | \$290,806 | \$201,506 |
| Cost of Goods Sold | 147,408 | 99,189 | 247,047 | 169,118 |
| Gross Profit | 26,293 | 20,315 | 43,759 | 32,388 |
| Selling and Administrative Expenses | 16,644 | 10,679 | 32,325 | 19,869 |
| Amortization Expense | 707 | 95 | 1,411 | 98 |
| Interest Expense | 135 | 241 | 273 | 486 |
| Interest Income | (60) | (107) | (150) | (181) |
| Equity in (Income)/Loss of Nonconsolidated Investment | (196) | 94 | (283) | 241 |
| Other (Income)/Expense | (95) | (51) | 41 | (153) |
| | 17,135 | 10,951 | 33,617 | 20,360 |
| Income Before Income Taxes | 9,158 | 9,364 | 10,142 | 12,028 |
| Income Tax Expense | 2,785 | 3,377 | 3,090 | 4,288 |
| Net Income | \$6,373 | \$5,987 | \$7,052 | \$7,740 |
| Basic Earnings Per Share | \$0.62 | \$0.59 | \$0.69 | \$0.76 |
| Diluted Earnings Per Share | \$0.61 | \$0.58 | \$0.68 | \$0.75 |
| Dividends Paid Per Share | \$0.05 | \$0.00 | \$0.05 | \$0.00 |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

L. B. FOSTER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(In Thousands)

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|------------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (Unaudited) | |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Net income | \$7,052 | \$7,740 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash (used) provided by operating activities: | | |
| Deferred income taxes | (859) | (43) |
| Depreciation and amortization | 5,994 | 4,396 |
| Equity in (income)/losses of nonconsolidated investment | (283) | 241 |
| Loss on sale of property, plant and equipment | 32 | 1 |
| Deferred gain amortization on sale-leaseback | (107) | (107) |
| Stock-based compensation | 1,255 | 799 |
| Excess tax benefit from share-based compensation | (331) | (623) |
| Unrealized loss on derivative mark-to-market | 0 | 11 |
| Change in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Accounts receivable | (13,971) | (757) |
| Inventories | (4,991) | 5,736 |
| Other current assets | (256) | 55 |
| Prepaid income tax | (1,502) | 871 |
| Other noncurrent assets | (398) | 72 |
| Accounts payable - trade | 10,396 | (12,666) |
| Deferred revenue | (10,283) | 13,087 |
| Accrued payroll and employee benefits | (2,697) | (1,029) |
| Other current liabilities | 819 | (1,075) |
| Other liabilities | (235) | 3 |
| Net Cash (Used) Provided by Operating Activities | (10,365) | 16,712 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Proceeds from the sale of property, plant and equipment | 8 | 0 |
| Capital expenditures on property, plant and equipment | (6,642) | (2,700) |
| Acquisitions | (8,952) | (5,050) |
| Capital contributions to equity method investment | (335) | (500) |
| Net Cash Used by Investing Activities | (15,921) | (8,250) |

Table of Contents

L. B. FOSTER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
 (In Thousands)

| | Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 2010 (Unaudited) | |
|--|---|-----------|
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Repayments of long-term debt, term loan | 0 | (1,428) |
| Repayments of other long-term debt | (1,670) | (1,456) |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options and stock awards | 74 | 272 |
| Treasury stock acquisitions | (1,569) | 0 |
| Cash dividends on common stock paid to shareholders | (515) | 0 |
| Excess tax benefit from share-based compensation | 331 | 623 |
| Net Cash Used by Financing Activities | (3,349) | (1,989) |
| | | |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | 530 | 0 |
| | | |
| Net (Decrease)/Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents | (29,105) | 6,473 |
| | | |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period | 74,800 | 124,845 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period | \$45,695 | \$131,318 |
| | | |
| Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information: | | |
| | | |
| Interest Paid | \$223 | \$398 |
| Income Taxes Paid | \$5,158 | \$3,199 |
| Capital Expenditures Funded through Capital Leases | \$0 | \$144 |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

L. B. FOSTER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all estimates and adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. However, actual results could differ from those estimates. The results of operations for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ended December 31, 2011. Amounts included in the balance sheet as of December 31, 2010 were derived from our audited balance sheet. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

2. NEW ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-05, "Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income." This update will require companies to present the components of net income and other comprehensive income either as one continuous statement or as two consecutive statements. It eliminates the option to present components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders' equity. The standard does not change the items which must be reported in other comprehensive income, how such items are measured or when they must be reclassified to net income. The update is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The update requires only new disclosures and will have no impact on the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

3. BUSINESS SEGMENTS

The Company is organized and evaluated by product group, which is the basis for identifying reportable segments. The Company is engaged in the manufacture, fabrication and distribution of rail, construction and tubular products and services.

The following table illustrates revenues and profits of the Company by segment:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 | | Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 | |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|
| | Net Sales | Segment Profit | Net Sales | Segment Profit/ |
| | In thousands | | | |
| Rail products | \$91,014 | \$3,574 | \$155,588 | \$4,299 |
| Construction products | 73,026 | 5,784 | 119,806 | 7,478 |
| Tubular products | 9,661 | 1,978 | 15,412 | 2,722 |
| Total | \$173,701 | \$11,336 | \$290,806 | \$14,499 |
| | Three Months Ended June 30, 2010 | | Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 | |

Edgar Filing: FOSTER L B CO - Form 10-Q

| | Net Sales | Segment Profit | Net Sales | Segment Profit |
|-----------------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------|
| | In thousands | | | |
| Rail products | \$52,685 | \$3,011 | \$93,745 | \$4,999 |
| Construction products | 59,179 | 6,800 | 95,381 | 8,326 |
| Tubular products | 7,640 | 962 | 12,380 | 804 |
| Total | \$119,504 | \$10,773 | \$201,506 | \$14,129 |

7

Table of Contents

Segment profits, as shown above, include internal cost of capital charges for assets used in the segment at a rate of, generally, 1% per month. There has been no change in the measurement of segment profit from December 31, 2010.

The following table provides a reconciliation of reportable segment net profit to the Company's consolidated total:

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|----------|------------------|-----------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | In thousands | | | |
| Income for reportable segments | \$11,336 | \$10,773 | \$14,499 | \$14,129 |
| Cost of capital for reportable segments | 4,119 | 4,158 | 7,453 | 8,056 |
| Interest expense | (135) | (241) | (273) | (486) |
| Interest income | 60 | 107 | 150 | 181 |
| Other income/(expense) | 95 | 51 | (41) | 153 |
| LIFO (expense)/credit | (565) | 452 | (696) | 751 |
| Equity in income/(loss) of nonconsolidated investment | 196 | (94) | 283 | (241) |
| Amortization expense | (707) | (95) | (1,411) | (98) |
| Corporate expense and other unallocated charges | (5,241) | (5,747) | (9,822) | (10,417) |
| Income before income taxes | \$9,158 | \$9,364 | \$10,142 | \$12,028 |

4. ACQUISITIONS

Portec Rail Products, Inc.

On December 15, 2010, Portec Rail became a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company pursuant to the terms of the Agreement and Plan of Merger, through the merger of the Company's subsidiary with and into Portec Rail, with Portec Rail surviving as a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company. The merger was consummated pursuant to Section 31D-11-1105 of the West Virginia Business Corporation Act without a vote or meeting of Portec Rail's stockholders. All outstanding shares of common stock of Portec Rail were canceled and converted into the right to receive consideration equal to \$11.80 per Share, net to the holder in cash, without interest thereon. The total consideration paid in cash by the Company for the Shares was approximately \$113,322,000, including a final payment of \$8,952,000 made in January 2011.

The Company recorded its acquisition of Portec Rail in accordance with ASC 805, "Business Combinations." The Company is in the process of completing its fair market appraisals, including the valuation of property, plant & equipment and certain identified intangible assets. Accordingly, the preliminary purchase price allocation is subject to change.

Table of Contents

The following table presents the preliminary allocation of the aggregate purchase price based on estimated fair values:

| | In thousands |
|---|-----------------|
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$16,455 |
| Accounts receivable | 19,857 |
| Inventories | 21,048 |
| Assets held for sale – insulated joint business | 10,179 |
| Other current assets | 3,009 |
| Property, plant & equipment | 10,998 |
| Identified intangible assets | 43,670 |
| Other assets | 411 |
| Total identifiable assets acquired | 125,627 |
| Debt obligations | (7,492) |
| Accounts payable – trade | (10,885) |
| Deferred revenue | (2,211) |
| Accrued payroll and employee benefits | (4,373) |
| Other accrued liabilities | (8,156) |
| Other long-term liabilities | (7,066) |
| Deferred tax liabilities | (13,280) |
| Net identifiable assets acquired | 72,164 |
| Goodwill | 41,158 |
| Net assets acquired | \$113,322 |

Due to the timing of the closing, the above purchase price allocation is based on a preliminary valuation. The measurement period for purchase price allocations ends as soon as information on the facts and circumstances becomes available. If new information is obtained about facts and circumstances that existed as of the acquisition date that, if known, would have affected the measurement recognized for assets assumed or liabilities assumed, the Company will adjust the amounts recognized as of the acquisition date.

The \$43,670,000 of acquired identified intangible assets will be amortized over their respective, expected useful lives. Of the amount preliminarily allocated to identifiable intangible assets, \$6,280,000 was assigned to trademarks (8-20 year useful lives), \$18,880,000 was assigned to acquired technology (8-25 year useful lives), \$18,160,000 was assigned to customer relationships (25-year useful life), and \$350,000 was assigned to supplier relationships (5-year useful life). The fair value of the acquired identifiable intangible assets is preliminary pending completion of the final valuations for these assets.

The Company repaid all of the outstanding debt of Portec Rail in December 2010.

The acquisition of Portec Rail will help the Company become a strategic provider of products and services below the wheel for the Class I, transit, shortline and regional railroads and contractors in North America, as well as to governmental agencies and rail contractors globally. It will broaden the Company's offerings by adding Portec Rail's friction management and wayside detection products and services. This acquisition will also assist the Company's international expansion as Portec Rail currently has a strong presence in Canada and the United Kingdom, and has continued to improve its presence in Europe, Brazil, Southeast Asia, China and Australia.

The amount allocated to goodwill reflects the premium paid to acquire Portec Rail. More information regarding goodwill can be found in Note 5, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets."

Table of Contents

The unaudited pro forma results for the period presented below are prepared as if the transaction occurred as of January 1, 2009. Pro forma adjustments exclude operating results of the divested rail joint business, and include depreciation and amortization and other adjustments in connection with the acquisition.

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2010 | For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 |
|------------------------------|---|---|
| | In thousands, except per share data | In thousands, except per share data |
| Total net sales | \$ 144,896 | \$ 245,215 |
| Earnings before income taxes | \$ 9,742 | \$ 12,027 |
| Net income | \$ 5,693 | \$ 7,134 |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ 0.56 | \$ 0.70 |
| Dilutive earnings per share | \$ 0.55 | \$ 0.69 |

Acquisition costs were approximately \$684,000 and \$1,155,000 for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2010, and were classified as "Selling and Administrative Expenses."

5. GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

The carrying amount of goodwill for the periods ended June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 was \$44,369,000.

As part of our procedures to determine fair values of all acquired assets, we preliminarily determined the fair value of technology, intellectual property, goodwill and other intangible assets.

The components of the Company's intangible assets are as follows:

| | Weighted Average Amortization Period In Years | June 30, 2011 | | |
|------------------------|---|-------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| | | Gross | | Net |
| | | Carrying Value | Accumulated Amortization | Carrying Amount |
| In thousands | | | | |
| Non-compete agreements | 5 | \$ 380 | \$ (358) | \$ 22 |
| Patents | 10 | 125 | (119) | 6 |
| Customer relationships | 23 | 19,960 | (859) | 19,101 |
| Supplier relationships | 5 | 350 | (36) | 314 |
| Trademarks | 17 | 6,280 | (231) | 6,049 |
| Technology | 18 | 18,985 | (700) | 18,285 |
| | 20 | \$ 46,080 | \$ (2,303) | \$ 43,777 |

| | Weighted Average Amortization Period In Years | December 31, 2010 | | |
|--|---|-------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| | | Gross | | Net |
| | | Carrying Value | Accumulated Amortization | Carrying Amount |

Edgar Filing: FOSTER L B CO - Form 10-Q

| | In thousands | | | |
|------------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Non-compete agreements | 5 | \$ 380 | \$ (355) | \$ 25 |
| Patents | 10 | 125 | (113) | 12 |
| Customer relationships | 23 | 19,960 | (316) | 19,644 |
| Supplier relationships | 5 | 350 | (3) | 347 |
| Trademarks | 17 | 6,280 | (16) | 6,264 |
| Technology | 18 | 18,880 | (93) | 18,787 |
| | 20 | \$ 45,975 | \$ (896) | \$ 45,079 |

Identified intangible assets of \$2,305,000 are attributable to the Company's Construction Products segment and \$43,775,000 are attributable to the Company's Rail Products segment.

Table of Contents

In conjunction with the acquisition of Portec Rail, the Company recorded the fair values of the acquired intangible assets in accordance with ASC 805, Business Combinations. As part of our procedures to determine fair values of all acquired assets the Company is evaluating the technology and intellectual property along with other intangible assets that could be assigned a fair value under the acquisition. The Company developed historical and projected cash flows of the technology-based product lines along with an estimate of future costs to maintain these technologies. These estimates and other assumptions were used to determine the present value of the discounted cash flows of these various technologies. In addition, the Company evaluated the future lives of the identified intangible assets to determine if they have definite or indefinite lives.

As a result of the Portec Rail acquisition, the Company preliminarily assigned a fair value of \$6,280,000 to trademarks, \$18,880,000 to technology-based product lines, \$18,160,000 to customer relationships and \$350,000 to a supplier relationship. Several factors were considered that contributed to the fair value of these intangible assets, including, but not limited to, the Company's position within the global railway supply industry, the level of competition that exists, the life-cycle of the product categories, and the acceptance of the technologies within the global railway supply industry. These identified intangible assets are being amortized over their respective useful lives identified in the above table. The fair values of these identified intangible assets are estimates as of June 30, 2011 and are subject to adjustment during the measurement period.

Intangible assets are amortized over their useful lives ranging from 5 to 25 years, with a total weighted average amortization period of approximately 20 years. Amortization expense for three month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 was \$707,000 and \$95,000, respectively. Amortization expense for six month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 was \$1,411,000 and \$98,000, respectively.

Estimated amortization expense for the remainder of 2011 and the fiscal years 2012 and thereafter is as follows:

| | In thousands |
|---------------------|-----------------|
| 2011 | \$1,344 |
| 2012 | 2,742 |
| 2013 | 2,742 |
| 2014 | 2,557 |
| 2015 | 2,376 |
| 2016 and thereafter | 32,016 |
| | \$43,777 |

6. ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

Credit is extended based upon an evaluation of the customer's financial condition and, generally, collateral is not required. Credit terms are consistent with industry standards and practices. Trade accounts receivable at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 have been reduced by an allowance for doubtful accounts of (\$1,623,000) and (\$1,601,000), respectively.

7. INVENTORIES

Inventories of the Company at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 are summarized in the following table:

June 30,

| | 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|------------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|
| | In thousands | |
| Finished goods | \$ 66,573 | \$ 71,634 |
| Work-in-process | 9,144 | 5,346 |
| Raw materials | 28,710 | 21,387 |
| Total inventories at current costs | 104,427 | 98,367 |
| Less: LIFO reserve | (8,696) | (8,000) |
| | \$ 95,731 | \$ 90,367 |

Table of Contents

In connection with the Company's ongoing exit from its former Grand Island, NE concrete tie facility, for the six month period ended June 30, 2011, the Company recorded charges within cost of goods sold of \$780,000 related to inventory it does not expect to sell prior to departing Grand Island, NE. For the six month period ended June 30, 2010, the Company recorded charges of \$340,000.

Inventories of the Company are generally valued at the lower of last-in, first-out (LIFO) cost or market. Other inventories of the Company are valued at average cost or market, whichever is lower. An actual valuation of inventory under the LIFO method is made at the end of each year based on the inventory levels and costs at that time. Accordingly, interim LIFO calculations are based on management's estimates of expected year-end levels and costs.

8. INVESTMENTS

Investments of the Company consist of a nonconsolidated equity method investment of \$2,605,000 and \$1,987,000 at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

The Company is a member of a joint venture with L B Industries, Inc. and James Legg until June 30, 2019. The Company and L B Industries, Inc. each have a 45% ownership interest in the joint venture, L B Pipe & Coupling Products, LLC (JV), which commenced operations in January 2010. The venture manufactures, markets and sells various products for the energy, utility and construction markets. Under the terms of the JV agreement, as amended, the Company was initially required to make capital contributions totaling \$2,200,000. The Company fulfilled these commitments during 2010. In April 2011, the Company entered into a third amendment to the JV agreement. Under the terms of this amendment, the Company is required to make additional capital contributions totaling \$680,000, bringing the Company's total required capital contributions to \$2,880,000. The other JV members are required to make proportionate contributions in accordance with the ownership percentages in the JV agreement.

Under applicable guidance for variable interest entities in ASC 810, "Consolidation," the Company determined that the JV is a variable interest entity, as the JV has not demonstrated that it has sufficient equity to support its operations without additional financial support. The Company concluded that it is not the primary beneficiary of the variable interest entity, as the Company does not have a controlling financial interest and does not have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the JV. Accordingly, the Company concluded that the equity method of accounting remains appropriate.

The Company recorded equity in the income of the JV of approximately \$196,000 and \$283,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011. Approximately (\$94,000) and (\$241,000) of losses of the JV were recorded for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010.

The Company's exposure to loss results from its capital contributions, net of the Company's share of the venture's gains or losses, and its net investment in the direct financing lease covering the facility used by the JV for its operations. The carrying amounts with the maximum exposure to loss of the Company at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, are as follows:

| | June 30, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|--|------------------|-------------------------|
| | In thousands | |
| Nonconsolidated equity method investment | \$2,605 | \$1,987 |
| Net investment in direct financing lease | 1,005 | 1,108 |

| | |
|---------|---------|
| \$3,610 | \$3,005 |
|---------|---------|

The Company is leasing 5 acres of land and the facility to the JV over a period of 9.5 years, with a 5.5 year renewal period. Monthly rent over the term of the lease is approximately \$10,000, with a balloon payment of approximately \$488,000 which is required to be paid either at the termination of the lease, allocated over the renewal period or during the initial term of the lease. This lease qualifies as a direct financing lease under the applicable guidance in ASC 840-30, "Leases." The Company maintained a net investment in this direct financing lease of approximately \$1,005,000 and \$1,018,000 at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

Table of Contents

The following is a schedule of the direct financing minimum lease payments for the remainder of 2011 and the fiscal years 2012 and thereafter:

| | In thousands |
|---------------------|-----------------|
| 2011 | \$24 |
| 2012 | 51 |
| 2013 | 54 |
| 2014 | 58 |
| 2015 | 63 |
| 2016 and thereafter | 755 |
| | \$1,005 |

9. DEFERRED REVENUE

Deferred revenue consists of customer payments received for which the sales process has been substantially completed but the right to recognize revenue has not yet been met. The Company has significantly fulfilled its obligations under the contract and the customer has paid, but due to the Company's continuing involvement with the material while in storage, revenue is precluded from being recognized until the customer takes possession.

10. BORROWINGS

United States

On May 2, 2011, the Company, its domestic subsidiaries, and certain Canadian subsidiaries entered into a new \$125,000,000 Revolving Credit Facility Credit Agreement (Credit Agreement) with PNC Bank, N.A., Bank of America, N.A., Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. and Citizens Bank of Pennsylvania. This Credit Agreement replaced a prior revolving credit facility with a maximum credit line of \$90,000,000 and a \$20,000,000 term loan. The Credit Agreement provides for a five-year, unsecured revolving credit facility that permits borrowing up to \$125,000,000 for the U.S. borrowers and a sublimit of the equivalent of \$15,000,000 U.S. dollars that is available to the Canadian borrowers. Providing no event of default exists, the Credit Agreement contains a provision that provides for an increase in the revolver facility of \$50,000,000 that can be allocated to existing or new lenders if the Company's borrowing requirements should grow. The Credit Agreement includes a sublimit of \$20,000,000 for the issuance of trade and standby letters of credit.

Borrowings under the Credit Agreement will bear interest at rates based upon either the base rate or LIBOR based rate plus applicable margins. Applicable margins are dictated by the ratio of the Company's Indebtedness less cash on hand to the Company's consolidated EBITDA. The base rate is the highest of (a) PNC Bank's prime rate or (b) the Federal Funds Rate plus .50% or (c) the daily LIBOR rate plus 1.00%. The base rate spread ranges from 0.00% to 1.00%. LIBOR based rates are determined by dividing the published LIBOR rate by a number equal to 1.00 minus the percentage prescribed by the Federal Reserve for determining the maximum reserve requirements with respect to any Eurocurrency funding by banks on such day. The LIBOR based rate spread ranges from 1.00% to 2.00%.

The Credit Agreement includes two financial covenants: (a) the Leverage Ratio, defined as the Company's Indebtedness less cash on hand divided by the Company's consolidated EBITDA, which must not exceed 3.00 to 1.00 and (b) Minimum Interest Coverage, defined as consolidated EBITDA less Capital Expenditures divided by consolidated interest expense, which must be no less than 3.00 to 1.00.

The Credit Agreement contains certain limitations regarding share repurchases, dividends, loans to other parties, and other activities when borrowings are outstanding. The Company is permitted to acquire the stock or assets of other entities with limited restrictions providing that the Leverage Ratio does not exceed 2.50 to 1.00 after giving effect to the acquisition.

Other restrictions exist at all times including, but not limited to, limitation of the Company's sale of assets, other indebtedness incurred by either the borrowers or the non-borrower subsidiaries of the Company, guaranties, and liens.

As of June 30, 2011, the Company was in compliance with the Agreement's covenants.

The Company had no outstanding borrowings under the revolving credit facility at June 30, 2011 or either the term loan or revolving credit facility at December 31, 2010 and, as of June 30, 2011, had available borrowing capacity of \$123,850,000.

At June 30, 2011 the Company had outstanding letters of credit of approximately \$1,150,000.

Table of Contents

United Kingdom

A subsidiary of the Company has a working capital facility with NatWest Bank for its United Kingdom operations which includes an overdraft availability of \$2,200,000 (£1,500,000 pounds sterling). This credit facility supports the working capital requirements and is collateralized by substantially all of the assets of its United Kingdom operations. The interest rate on this facility is the financial institution's base rate plus 1.50%. Outstanding performance bonds reduce our availability under this credit facility. There were no borrowings or performance bonds outstanding on this facility as of June 30, 2011 or December 31, 2010. The expiration date of this credit facility is August 31, 2011.

The United Kingdom loan agreements contain certain financial covenants that require it to maintain senior interest and cash flow coverage ratios. The subsidiary was in compliance with these financial covenants as of June 30, 2011.

11. EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per common share:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---------|------------------------------|---------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | In thousands, except per share data | | | |
| Numerator: | | | | |
| Numerator for basic and diluted earnings per common share | | | | |
| - | | | | |
| net income available to common stockholders: | \$6,373 | \$5,987 | \$7,052 | \$7,740 |
| Denominator: | | | | |
| Weighted average shares | 10,303 | 10,190 | 10,294 | 10,181 |
| Denominator for basic earnings per common share | 10,303 | 10,190 | 10,294 | 10,181 |
| Effect of dilutive securities: | | | | |
| Employee stock options | 33 | 82 | 37 | 85 |
| Other stock compensation plans | 82 | 41 | 79 | 38 |
| Dilutive potential common shares | 115 | 123 | 116 | 123 |
| Denominator for diluted earnings per common share - adjusted weighted average shares and assumed conversions | 10,418 | 10,313 | 10,410 | 10,304 |
| Basic earnings per common share | \$0.62 | \$0.59 | \$0.69 | \$0.76 |
| Diluted earnings per common share | \$0.61 | \$0.58 | \$0.68 | \$0.75 |

12. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

The Company applies the provisions of ASC 718, "Compensation – Stock Compensation," to account for the Company's share-based compensation. Share-based compensation cost is measured at the grant date based on the calculated fair value of the award and is recognized over the employees' requisite service period. The Company recorded stock compensation expense of \$866,000 and \$584,000 for the three month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and \$1,255,000 and \$799,000 for the six month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, related to restricted stock awards and performance unit awards as discussed below.

Stock Option Awards

The Company recorded no stock compensation expense related to stock option awards for the three or six month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010. There were no nonvested awards at June 30, 2011 and 2010. There were no stock options granted during the first six months of 2011 or 2010.

Table of Contents

At June 30, 2011, common stock options outstanding under the plans had option prices ranging from \$3.65 to \$14.77, with a weighted average exercise price of \$8.17 per share. At June 30, 2010, common stock options outstanding under the plans had option prices ranging from \$2.75 to \$14.77, with a weighted average exercise price of \$6.68 per share.

The weighted average remaining contractual life of the stock options outstanding at June 30, 2011 and 2010 was 3.0 and 3.1 years, respectively.

Options exercised during the three month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 totaled 11,000 and 50,000 shares, respectively. The weighted average exercise price per share of the options exercised during the three month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 were \$4.10 and \$3.90, respectively. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the three month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 were \$383,000 and \$1,112,000.

Options exercised during the six month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 totaled 21,000 and 70,000 shares, respectively. The weighted average exercise price per share of the options exercised during the six month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 were \$3.48 and \$3.90, respectively. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the six month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 were \$755,000 and \$1,638,000, respectively.

A summary of the option activity as of June 30, 2011 is presented below.

| | Shares | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
|--|-----------|--|---|---------------------------------|
| Outstanding and Exercisable at January 1, 2011 | 80,950 | \$6.95 | 2.7 | |
| Granted | - | - | - | |
| Canceled | - | - | - | |
| Exercised | (21,000) | 3.48 | - | |
| Outstanding and Exercisable at June 30, 2011 | 59,950 | \$8.17 | 3.0 | \$1,483,163 |

The total intrinsic value of options outstanding and exercisable at June 30, 2010 was \$2,135,000.

Shares issued as a result of stock option exercises generally are previously issued shares which have been reacquired by the Company and held as Treasury shares or authorized but previously unissued common stock.

Restricted Stock Awards

During the six month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 there were 10,500 and 12,000, respectively, fully vested restricted stock awards granted to the outside directors of the Company. The weighted average fair value per share of these restricted stock awards was \$35.24 and \$28.32, respectively. Compensation expense recorded by the Company related to these restricted stock awards was approximately \$370,000 and \$340,000, respectively, for the six month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

For the six month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, the Company granted approximately 25,000 and 32,000 shares, respectively, of restricted stock to individuals who are not outside directors:

| Grant Date | Units | Grant Date Fair Value | Aggregate Fair Value | Vesting Date |
|----------------|--------|--------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|
| March 3, 2010 | 12,185 | \$31.92 | \$388,945 | March 3, 2014 |
| May 28, 2010 | 2,500 | 28.07 | 70,175 | February 28, 2012 |
| May 28, 2010 | 17,500 | 28.07 | 491,225 | May 28, 2014 |
| March 15, 2011 | 24,836 | 38.46 | 955,193 | March 15, 2015 |

Table of Contents

These awards are subject to forfeiture, cannot be transferred until four years after their grant date and will be converted into common stock of the Company based upon conversion multiples as defined in the underlying plan. These forfeitable restricted stock awards time-vest after a four year holding period, unless indicated otherwise by the underlying agreement.

Performance Unit Awards

Under separate three year incentive programs pursuant to the 2006 Omnibus Plan, as amended, the Company granted the following performance units during the six month periods ended June 30:

| Incentive Plan | Grant Date | Units | Grant Date | Aggregate Fair | Vesting Date |
|----------------|----------------|--------|------------|----------------|----------------|
| | | | Fair Value | Value | |
| 2009 – 2011 | March 3, 2009 | 52,672 | \$20.63 | \$1,086,623 | March 3, 2012 |
| 2010 – 2012 | March 2, 2010 | 36,541 | 31.83 | 1,163,100 | March 2, 2013 |
| 2011 – 2013 | March 15, 2011 | 34,002 | 38.46 | 1,046,174 | March 15, 2014 |

On March 15, 2011, the Company awarded pursuant to the 2006 Omnibus Incentive Plan, as Amended and Restated, 1,500 shares of special performance units to an employee director. Based on the fiscal 2012 performance of the Company's newly acquired subsidiary, these units may be converted into up to 3,000 shares of the Company's common stock on March 15, 2012. The grant date fair value of these awards was \$38.46 and the aggregate fair value was \$58,000. Also on March 15, 2011, the Company awarded pursuant to the 2006 Omnibus Incentive Plan, as Amended and Restated, 1,000 shares of special performance units to an employee with a vesting date of March 15, 2013. The grant date fair value of these awards was \$38.46 and the aggregate fair value was \$38,000.

These awards can be earned based upon the Company's performance relative to performance conditions established under the programs. These awards are subject to forfeiture, cannot be transferred until three years after their grant date and will be converted into common stock of the Company based upon conversion multiples as defined in the underlying plan. These forfeitable performance share unit awards vest after a three year holding period, unless indicated otherwise by the underlying agreement. The aggregate fair value in the above table is based upon reaching 100% of the performance targets as defined in the underlying plan. The number of shares awarded under the 2009 – 2011 Three Year Incentive Plan was determined using an average grant date fair value of \$23.21 over a ten day period in February 2009. The number of shares awarded under the 2010 – 2012 Three Year Incentive Plan was determined using an average grant date fair value of \$29.39 over a ten day period in February 2010. The number of shares awarded under the 2011 – 2013 Three Year Incentive Plan was determined using an average grant date fair value of \$40.25 over a ten day period in February 2011.

For restricted stock and performance awards granted to employees, the Company recorded compensation expense of \$495,000 and \$244,000, respectively, for the three month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010. For the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, the Company recorded compensation expense of \$885,000 and \$459,000, respectively, for these awards. Shares issued as a result of restricted stock awards generally are previously issued shares which have been reacquired by the Company and held as Treasury shares or authorized but previously unissued common stock.

The excess tax benefit realized for the tax deduction from stock-based compensation approximated \$331,000 and \$623,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. This excess tax benefit is included in cash flows from financing activities in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

13. RETIREMENT PLANS

Retirement Plans

With the acquisition of Portec Rail, the Company has six plans which cover its hourly and salaried employees in the United States; three defined benefit plans (one active/two frozen) and three defined contribution plans. Employees are eligible to participate in the appropriate plan based on employment classification. Funding for the Company's domestic defined benefit and defined contribution plans are governed by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA), applicable plan policy and investment guidelines. The Company policy is to contribute at least the minimum funding standards of ERISA.

Table of Contents

Portec Rail maintains two defined contribution plans for its employees in Canada, as well as a post-retirement benefit plan. In the United Kingdom, Portec Rail maintains both a defined contribution plan and a defined benefit plan. These plans are discussed in further detail below.

United States Defined Benefit Plans

Net periodic pension costs for both plans for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 are as follows:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------|------------------------------|--------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | In thousands | | | |
| Service cost | \$8 | \$7 | \$15 | \$13 |
| Interest cost | 200 | 203 | 402 | 407 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (191) | (232) | (383) | (464) |
| Recognized net actuarial loss | 28 | 75 | 56 | 148 |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$45 | \$53 | \$90 | \$104 |

The Company expects to contribute approximately \$1,048,000 to its United States defined benefit plans in 2011. For the six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company contributed approximately \$769,000 to these plans.

United Kingdom Defined Benefit Plans

Net periodic pension costs for the United Kingdom defined benefit pension plans are as follows for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 | | Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|--|
| | In thousands | | | |
| Interest cost | \$ 68 | | \$ 135 | |
| Expected return on plan assets | (74) | | (148) | |
| Amortization of transition amount | (12) | | (24) | |
| Amortization of prior service costs | 6 | | 11 | |
| Recognized net actuarial loss | 28 | | 56 | |
| Net periodic cost | \$ 16 | | \$ 30 | |

United Kingdom regulations require trustees to adopt a prudent approach to funding required contributions to defined benefit pension plans. The Company anticipates making contributions of \$224,000 to the Portec Rail pension plan during 2011. For the six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company contributed approximately \$112,000 to the Portec Rail Plan.

Defined Contribution Plans

The Company has a defined contribution plan that covers all non-union hourly and all salaried employees. This plan permits both pretax and after-tax employee contributions. Participants can contribute, subject to statutory limitations, between 1% and 75% of eligible pre-tax pay and between 1% and 100% of eligible after-tax pay. The Company's

employer match is 100% of the first 1% of deferred eligible compensation and up to 50% of the next 6%, based on years of service, of deferred eligible compensation, for a total maximum potential match of 4%. The Company may also make discretionary contributions to the Plan. The expense associated with this plan for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 was \$394,000 and \$857,000, respectively. The expense associated with this plan for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 was \$472,000 and \$782,000, respectively.

The Company also has a defined contribution plan for union hourly employees with contributions made by both the participants and the Company based on various formulas. The expense associated with this active plan for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 was \$15,000 and \$9,000, respectively. The expense associated with this active plan for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 was \$28,000 and \$16,000, respectively.

Table of Contents

The Company also maintains several defined contribution benefit plans for its domestic and foreign employees of its wholly-owned subsidiary Portec Rail. Portec Rail's expense associated with these benefit plans for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 was \$144,000 and \$293,000, respectively.

14. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

FASB ASC 820, "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures," defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. ASC 820 does not require any new fair value measurements, but it does apply to existing accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements. The Company applies the provisions of ASC 820 to all its assets and liabilities that are being measured and reported on a fair value basis.

ASC 820 discusses valuation techniques, such as the market approach (comparable market prices), the income approach (present value of future income or cash flow) and the cost approach (cost to replace the service capacity of an asset or replacement cost). ASC 820 enables readers of financial statements to assess the inputs used to develop those measurements by establishing a hierarchy, which prioritizes those inputs used, for ranking the quality and reliability of the information used to determine fair values. The standard requires that each asset and liability carried at fair value be classified into one of the following categories:

Level 1: Quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2: Observable market based inputs or unobservable inputs that are corroborated by market data.

Level 3: Unobservable inputs that are not corroborated by market data.

The Company has an established process for determining fair value for its financial assets and liabilities, principally cash and cash equivalents and Interlocking Deck Systems International, LLC (IDSI) acquisition notes. Fair value is based on quoted market prices, where available. If quoted market prices are not available, fair value is based on assumptions that use as inputs market-based parameters. The following sections describe the valuation methodologies used by the Company to measure different financial instruments at fair value, including an indication of the level in the fair value hierarchy in which each instrument is generally classified. Where appropriate the description includes details of the key inputs to the valuations and any significant assumptions.

Cash equivalents. Included within "Cash and cash equivalents" are principally investments in tax-free and taxable money market funds with municipal bond issuances as the underlying securities as well as government agency obligations and corporate bonds. The Company uses quoted market prices to determine the fair value of these investments and they are classified in Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy. The carrying amounts approximate fair value because of the short maturity of the instruments.

IDSI acquisition notes. The Company issued non-interest bearing notes associated with its acquisition of IDSI. The Company determined the fair value of these notes by computing the present value of the note payments using an interest rate formula applicable to the Company's long-term debt. The short-term note is included within "Current maturities of other long-term debt" and is classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy at June 30, 2011. The short-term note was included within "Current maturities of other long-term debt", the long-term note was included within "Other long-term debt" and both were classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy at December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

The following assets and liabilities of the Company were measured at fair value on a recurring basis subject to the disclosure requirements of ASC Topic 820 at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

| | June 30, 2011 | Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using | | |
|--|----------------------|---|---|--|
| | | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| In thousands | | | | |
| Assets | | | | |
| Money market funds | \$ 23,480 | \$ 23,480 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |
| Cash equivalents at fair value | 23,480 | 23,480 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Assets | \$ 23,480 | \$ 23,480 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |
| Liabilities | | | | |
| IDSI acquisition short-term note | \$ (935) | \$ 0 | \$ (935) | \$ 0 |
| Total current maturities of other long-term debt | (935) | 0 | (935) | 0 |
| Total Liabilities | \$ (935) | \$ 0 | \$ (935) | \$ 0 |
| | December 31, 2010 | Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using | | |
| | | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| In thousands | | | | |
| Assets | | | | |
| Money market funds | \$ 55,959 | \$ 55,959 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |
| Cash equivalents at fair value | 55,959 | 55,959 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Assets | \$ 55,959 | \$ 55,959 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |
| Liabilities | | | | |
| IDSI acquisition short-term note | \$ (955) | \$ 0 | \$ (955) | \$ 0 |
| Total current maturities of other long-term debt | (955) | 0 | (955) | 0 |
| IDSI acquisition long-term note | (925) | 0 | (925) | 0 |
| Total other long-term debt | (925) | 0 | (925) | 0 |
| Total Liabilities | \$ (1,880) | \$ 0 | \$ (1,880) | \$ 0 |

Table of Contents

15. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Comprehensive income represents net income plus certain stockholders' equity changes not reflected in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. The components of comprehensive income, net of tax, were as follows:

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|---------|------------------|---------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | In thousands | | | |
| Net income | \$6,373 | \$5,987 | \$7,052 | \$7,740 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | 138 | 0 | 912 | 0 |
| Market value adjustments for investments | 0 | (27) | 0 | 76 |
| Unrealized derivative gains on cash flow hedges | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| Comprehensive income | \$6,511 | \$5,960 | \$7,964 | \$7,827 |

Table of Contents

16. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

Environmental and Legal Proceedings

The Company is subject to national, state, foreign, provincial and/or local laws and regulations relating to the protection of the environment, and the Company's efforts to comply with environmental regulations may have an adverse effect on its future earnings. In the opinion of management, compliance with the present environmental protection laws will not have a material adverse effect on the financial condition, results of operations, cash flows, competitive position, or capital expenditures of the Company.

The Company is subject to legal proceedings and claims that arise in the ordinary course of its business. In the opinion of management, the amount of ultimate liability with respect to these actions will not materially affect the financial condition or liquidity of the Company. The resolution, in any reporting period, of one or more of these matters could have a material effect on the Company's results of operations for that period.

Niagara Mohawk Litigation: In July 1999, Portec, Inc., the predecessor of Portec Rail Products, Inc. (collectively "Portec Rail"), was named as a defendant in Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation v. Chevron, et al. venued in the United States District Court, Northern District of New York. The plaintiff, Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation ("Niagara Mohawk") initially sought contribution from nine named defendants for costs it has incurred, and is expected to incur, in connection with the environmental investigation and remediation of property located in Troy, New York under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, also known as "CERCLA" or "Superfund ("CERCLA"), and other causes of action. Portec Rail has not been named as a liable party by the NYSDEC. The basis of the action stems from Niagara Mohawk's agreement with the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation ("NYDEC"), pursuant to an Order on Consent, to environmentally remediate certain properties including, in part, the property identified as the Troy Water Street Site. The defendants consist of companies that at the time were industrial in nature, or owners of companies industrial in nature, and who owned or operated their businesses on portions of the Troy Water Street site or on properties contiguous, or otherwise in close proximity, to the Troy Water Street Site, and a local county government and its sewer district. Niagara Mohawk alleges that the defendants either owned or operated on portions of, or released hazardous materials directly to, the Troy Water Street site or released hazardous materials that migrated onto the Troy Water Street Site, and therefore the defendants should be responsible for a portion of the costs of remediation.

Portec Rail believes that Niagara Mohawk's case against Portec Rail, Inc. is without merit. Because Niagara Mohawk is seeking unspecified monetary contribution from the defendants, it is unable to determine the extent to which Portec Rail would have to make a contribution, or whether such contribution would have a material adverse effect on its financial condition or results of operations. However, total clean up costs at the Troy Water Street site are expected to be substantial. If liability for a portion of the cleanup costs is attributed to Portec Rail, such liability could have a material adverse affect on the Company's results of operations.

As of July 2011, Niagara Mohawk and Portec Rail are in the process of negotiating a tentative confidential settlement, conditioned, in part, on Portec Rail's satisfactory review of certain additional Niagara Mohawk disclosures. If the case is not settled, however, ongoing litigation may be protracted, and Portec Rail may incur additional ongoing legal expenses, which are not estimable at this time and may be material to the Company's results of operations. Should Portec Rail ultimately be held liable, damages may be assessed by the Court in accordance with CERCLA.

City of Clearfield Litigation: In November 2005, the City of Clearfield, Utah, filed suit in the Second District Court, Davis County, Utah, against the Utah Department of Transportation, a general contractor, four design engineers and/or consultants, a bonding company and the Company. The City alleged that the design and engineering of an overpass in

2000 had been faulty and that the Company had provided the mechanical stabilized earth wall system for the project. The City alleged that the embankment to the overpass began, in 2001, to fail and slide away from the stabilized earth wall system, resulting in damage in excess of \$3,000,000. The City has agreed to settle its claims against several of the defendants and this settlement has been challenged by other defendants. The Company believes that it has meritorious defenses to these claims, that the Company's products complied with all applicable specifications and that other factors accounted for any alleged failure. The Company has referred this matter to its insurance carrier, which, although it reserved its right to deny coverage, has undertaken the defense of this claim.

Table of Contents

Portec Rail Shareholder Litigation: On February 19, 2010, and through March 3, 2010, a total of five lawsuits initiated by purported shareholders of Portec Rail were filed against several defendants including the Company and certain members of Portec Rails' Board of Directors. These lawsuits are directly related to the Agreement and Plan of Merger with L.B. Foster Company and Foster Thomas Company, which was signed on February 16, 2010.

The lawsuits alleged, among other things, that Portec Rail's directors breached their fiduciary duties and L.B. Foster and Purchaser aided and abetted such alleged breaches of fiduciary duties. Based on these allegations, the lawsuits sought, among other relief, injunctive relief enjoining the defendants from consummating the Offer and the Merger. They also sought recovery of the costs of the action, including reasonable legal fees.

On November 19, 2010, Plaintiffs in the consolidated Pennsylvania lawsuit filed a motion for an award of payment of attorneys' fees and costs, relating to an injunction entered in March 2010. The Company and Foster filed objections to that motion and it was argued before the Court on January 31, 2011. On March 31, 2011, the Court ruled that plaintiffs were entitled to payment of attorneys' fees and costs but has not yet determined the amount.

In the two lawsuits pending in West Virginia, Motions to Dismiss the lawsuits were filed in April 2010 on behalf of the Company and the Director Defendants. In both West Virginia cases, Plaintiffs filed Motions for Preliminary Injunctions. On April 5, 2011, the Circuit Court of Kanawha County, West Virginia issued a stipulation of dismissal which included that each of the parties in both cases would be responsible for their own attorneys' fees and costs.

As of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company maintained environmental and litigation reserves approximating \$2,796,000 and \$2,799,000, respectively.

Product Liability Claims

The Company is subject to product warranty claims that arise in the ordinary course of its business. For certain manufactured products, the Company maintains a product liability accrual which is adjusted on a monthly basis as a percentage of cost of sales. This product liability accrual is periodically adjusted based on the identification or resolution of known individual product liability claims. The following table sets forth the Company's product warranty accrual at June 30, 2011:

| | In thousands |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | \$4,413 |
| Additions to warranty liability | 1,184 |
| Warranty liability utilized | (868) |
| Balance at June 30, 2011 | \$4,729 |

Included within the above table are concrete tie warranty reserves of approximately \$2,490,000 and \$1,966,000, respectively, as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010. For the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011, the Company recorded approximately \$646,000 in additional concrete tie warranty claims within cost of goods sold. This concrete tie warranty charge related to ties supplied to a Midwestern transit agency and were manufactured by the Company's Grand Island, NE facility. During the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2010, there were no additional concrete tie warranty claims recorded.

While the Company believes this is a reasonable estimate of these potential warranty claims, these estimates could change due to new information and future events. There can be no assurance at this point that future potential costs

pertaining to these claims or other potential future claims will not have a material impact on the Company's results of operations. The warranty accrual is included within "Other accrued liabilities" on the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Separate from these warranty issues, the Company recorded, within cost of goods sold, approximately \$2,976,000 for a charge related to its exit from the Grand Island, NE tie manufacturing facility. This charge is to fulfill a customer contractual obligation that could not be sourced from Grand Island, NE.

Table of Contents

17. DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES

The Company does not purchase or hold any derivative financial instruments for trading purposes. The Company uses derivative financial instruments to manage interest rate exposure on variable-rate debt, primarily by using interest rate collars and variable interest rate swaps. The Company's primary source of variable-rate debt comes from its revolving credit agreement.

At contract inception, the Company designates its derivative instruments as hedges. The Company recognizes all derivative instruments on the balance sheet at fair value. Fluctuations in the fair values of derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income and reclassified into earnings within Other Income as the underlying hedged items affect earnings. To the extent that a change in the derivative does not perfectly offset the change in value of the risk being hedged, the ineffective portion is recognized in earnings immediately.

The Company is subject to exposures to changes in foreign currency exchange rates. The Company manages its exposure to changes in foreign currency exchange rates on firm sale and purchase commitments by entering into foreign currency forward contracts. The Company's risk management objective is to reduce its exposure to the effects of changes in exchange rates on these transactions over the duration of the transactions. The Company did not engage in any foreign currency hedging transactions during the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011, and no foreign currency hedges remained outstanding as of December 31, 2010. Realized gains or losses from foreign currency hedges did not exceed \$0.1 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011.

18. INCOME TAXES

The Company's effective income tax rate for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 was 30.4% and 36.1%, respectively. The Company's effective income tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 was 30.5% and 35.7%, respectively. The decline in the effective tax rate is principally attributable to the impact of relatively lower statutory income tax rates in the foreign jurisdictions associated with the Canadian and United Kingdom locations acquired in the Portec Rail business combination, effective December 15, 2010, and the release of \$128,000 of reserves for uncertain tax positions due to the expiration of the statute of limitations.

19. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On July 12, 2011 the Union Pacific Railroad (UPRR) notified the Company and CXT Incorporated, a subsidiary of the Company (CXT), of a warranty claim under CXT's 2005 supply contract relating to the sale of prestressed concrete railroad ties for the UPRR. The UPRR has asserted that a significant percentage of concrete ties manufactured in 2006 through 2010 at CXT's Grand Island, Nebraska facility fail to meet contract specifications, have workmanship defects and are cracking and failing prematurely. Approximately 1,600,000 ties were sold from Grand Island to the UPRR during the period the UPRR has claimed nonconformance. The 2005 contract calls for each concrete tie which fails to conform to the specifications or has a material defect in workmanship to be replaced with 1.5 new concrete ties, provided, that UPRR within five years of a concrete tie's production, notifies CXT of such failure to conform or such defect in workmanship. The UPRR's notice does not specify how many ties manufactured during this period are defective nor which specifications it claims were not met or the nature of the alleged workmanship defects. CXT believes it uses sound workmanship processes in the manufacture of concrete ties and has not agreed with the assertions in the UPRR's warranty claim notice. The UPRR has also notified CXT that ties have failed a certain test that is specified in the 2005 contract. CXT has not been able as yet to verify this test failure or the test protocols used. CXT is in the process of reviewing the warranty claim asserted in UPRR's notice and related matters and will conduct a thorough battery of tests of a sample of the concrete ties in question.

Based on the non-specific nature of the UPRR's assertion and the Company's current inability to verify the claims, the Company is unable to determine a range of reasonably possible outcomes regarding this potential exposure matter. As a result, no accruals were made in the second quarter as a result of this claim as the impact, if any, cannot be reasonably estimated at this time.

No assurances can be given regarding the ultimate outcome of this matter. The ultimate resolution of this matter could have a material, adverse impact on the Company's financial statements, results of operations, liquidity and capital resources.

Table of Contents

Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Overview

General

L. B. Foster Company is a leading manufacturer, fabricator and distributor of products for the rail, construction, utility and energy markets. The Company is comprised of three business segments: Rail Products, Construction Products and Tubular Products.

Recent Developments

On July 12, 2011 the Union Pacific Railroad (UPRR) notified us and our subsidiary, CXT Incorporated (CXT), of a warranty claim under CXT's 2005 supply contract relating to the sale of prestressed concrete railroad ties for the UPRR. The UPRR has asserted that a significant percentage of concrete ties manufactured in 2006 through 2010 at CXT's Grand Island, Nebraska facility fail to meet contract specifications, have workmanship defects and are cracking and failing prematurely. Approximately 1.6 million ties were sold from Grand Island to the UPRR during the period the UPRR has claimed nonconformance. The 2005 contract calls for each concrete tie which fails to conform to the specifications or has a material defect in workmanship to be replaced with 1.5 new concrete ties, provided, that UPRR within five years of a concrete tie's production, notifies CXT of such failure to conform or such defect in workmanship. The UPRR's notice does not specify how many ties manufactured during this period are defective nor which specifications it claims were not met or the nature of the alleged workmanship defects. CXT believes it uses sound workmanship processes in the manufacture of concrete ties and has not agreed with the assertions in the UPRR's warranty claim notice. The UPRR has also notified CXT that ties have failed a certain test that is specified in the 2005 contract. CXT has not been able as yet to verify this test failure or the test protocols used. CXT is in the process of reviewing the warranty claim asserted in UPRR's notice and related matters and will conduct a thorough battery of tests of a sample of the concrete ties in question.

Based on the non-specific nature of the UPRR's assertion and our current inability to verify the claims, we are unable to determine a range of reasonably possible outcomes regarding this potential exposure matter. As a result, no accruals were made in the second quarter of 2011 as a result of this claim as the impact, if any, cannot be reasonably estimated at this time. No assurances can be given regarding the ultimate outcome of this matter. The ultimate resolution of this matter could have a material, adverse impact on our financial statements, results of operations, liquidity and capital resources.

We closed on our acquisition of Portec Rail on December 15, 2010 and our 2011 results include the results of operations of Portec Rail within our Rail Products segment. For the three months ended June 30, 2011, Portec Rail delivered net sales of \$28.4 million, gross profit of \$9.8 million, total expenses of \$6.7 million and net income of \$2.4 million. For the six months ended June 30, 2011, Portec Rail delivered net sales of \$51.5 million, gross profit of \$14.8 million, total expenses of \$13.0 million and net income of \$1.5 million.

In December 2010, the UPRR opted not to extend the supply agreement and lease for our Grand Island, NE tie plant. Production for the remaining orders was completed during the first quarter of 2011 and we believe the dismantling of the facility and the winding down of operations will be completed in the third quarter of 2011. Sales to the UPRR from this facility approximated \$0.8 million and \$3.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Sales to the UPRR from this facility approximated \$2.8 million and \$8.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. We do not believe that the closure of this facility will have a significant, adverse impact on our results of operations or our liquidity.

Separate from any concrete tie warranty issues, we recorded, within cost of goods sold, approximately \$3.0 million for a charge related to our exit from the Grand Island, NE tie manufacturing facility. This charge is to fulfill a customer contractual obligation that could not be sourced from Grand Island, NE and was recorded during the second quarter of 2011.

We are in the process of winding down our operations at our former Grand Island, NE tie facility and do not believe that we will be able to sell the remaining inventory at this location as a portion of the remaining industrial ties were rejected and there are no remaining orders for the residual. As a result, in the second quarter of 2011, we recorded a charge within cost of goods sold of approximately \$0.8 million for our estimate of the amount of concrete ties which we do not believe we will be able to sell before vacating the premises.

Table of Contents

Unrelated to the UPRR warranty claim, in the second quarter of 2011, we recorded a charge of approximately \$0.6 within cost of goods sold for concrete ties supplied to a Midwestern transit agency.

The cumulative charge we recorded during the second quarter of 2011 within cost of goods sold for the preceding concrete tie issues was approximately \$4.4 million and was recorded by CXT in our Rail Products segment. Excluding these 2011 concrete tie charges and the impact of Portec Rail, our Rail Products gross profit margin would have increased slightly to approximately 13.4% over the 2010 period.

Under the \$25.0 million share repurchase authorization made by our Board of Director's in May 2011, we repurchased 48,043 shares for approximately \$1.5 million in June 2011.

During the 2011 second quarter we made capital contributions to our investment in our JV totaling approximately \$0.3 million, leaving approximately \$0.3 million remaining, in connection with the third amendment to the JV agreement.

Critical Accounting Policies

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. When more than one accounting principle, or method of its application, is generally accepted, management selects the principle or method that is appropriate in the Company's specific circumstances. Application of these accounting principles requires management to make estimates about the future resolution of existing uncertainties. As a result, actual results could differ from these estimates. In preparing these financial statements, management has made its best estimates and judgments of the amounts and disclosures included in the financial statements giving due regard to materiality. There have been no material changes in the Company's critical accounting policies or estimates since December 31, 2010. For more information regarding the Company's critical accounting policies, please see the Management's Discussion & Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-05, "Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income." This update will require companies to present the components of net income and other comprehensive income either as one continuous statement or as two consecutive statements. It eliminates the option to present components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders' equity. The standard does not change the items which must be reported in other comprehensive income, how such items are measured or when they must be reclassified to net income. The update is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The update requires only new disclosures and will have no impact on the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

Edgar Filing: FOSTER L B CO - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|----------|----------|-----|---|-----|---|-------|---|
| Income Tax Expense | 2,785 | 3,377 | 1.6 | | 2.8 | | (17.5 |) |
| Net Income | \$ 6,373 | \$ 5,987 | 3.7 | % | 5.0 | % | 6.4 | % |

** Results of calculation are not meaningful for presentation purposes.

Second Quarter 2011 Compared to Second Quarter 2010 – Company Analysis

Diluted earnings per share for the second quarter of 2011 was \$0.61 which compares to diluted earnings per share for the second quarter of 2010 of \$0.58.

The addition of Portec Rail resulted in the increase of selling and administrative expenses of approximately \$6.1 million. Exclusive of the impact of Portec Rail, selling and administrative expenses in the 2011 period decreased approximately \$0.2 million. As a result of the acquisition of Portec Rail amortization expense increased by approximately \$0.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011.

Included in net income for the current quarter is our 45% share of the income from our equity investment in the JV, which is reported as “Equity in (Income)/Loss of Nonconsolidated Investment.” As the JV had not yet commenced significant revenue-generating activities, we recorded our share of its start-up related expenses in the prior year quarter. The effective income tax rate in the second quarter of 2011 was 30.4% compared to 36.1% in the prior year quarter. The decrease was primarily due to lower statutory tax rates related to the foreign operations acquired in the Portec Rail business combination and the release of \$0.1 million of reserves for uncertain tax positions due to the expiration of the statute of limitations.

Table of Contents

Results of Operations – Segment Analysis

Rail Products

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Increase/ (Decrease) 2011 vs. 2010 | | Percent Increase/(Decrease) 2011 vs. 2010 | |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|--|---|---|---|
| | 2011 | 2010 | | | | |
| Dollars in thousands | | | | | | |
| Net Sales | \$ 91,014 | \$ 52,685 | \$ 38,329 | | 72.8 | % |
| Gross Profit | \$ 13,762 | \$ 6,839 | \$ 6,923 | | 101.2 | % |
| Gross Profit Percentage | 15.1 | % | 13.0 | % | 2.1 | % |

Second Quarter 2011 Compared to Second Quarter 2010

The increase in overall sales within our Rail Products segment is due primarily to our acquisition of Portec Rail, which increased our 2011 second quarter sales by \$28.4 million. Exclusive of the impact of Portec Rail, our Rail Products segment 2011 sales increased approximately \$9.9 million over the 2010 second quarter. Improved volumes within our rail distribution business and our transit division delivered increased sales. Our operational tie plants both experienced concrete tie volume increases of at least 85% in the 2011 second quarter over the prior year quarter mitigating the loss of sales from the closure of our Grand Island, NE facility.

Portec Rail delivered approximately \$9.8 million in gross profit and is responsible for the increase in our Rail Products segment gross profit and gross margin. Exclusive of the impact of Portec Rail, our Rail Products gross profit margin would have decreased to 6.4% as compared to the 2010 quarter due to approximately \$4.4 million in charges relating to concrete ties manufactured in Grand Island, NE. These charges consist of \$3.0 million for an unfulfilled customer contractual obligation, \$0.8 million for inventory and \$0.6 million for warranty issues. Excluding these 2011 charges and the impact of Portec Rail, our Rail Products gross profit margin would have increased slightly to approximately 13.4% over the 2010 period.

Construction Products

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Increase/ (Decrease) 2011 vs. 2010 | | Percent Increase/(Decrease) 2011 vs. 2010 | |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|--|---|---|---|
| | 2011 | 2010 | | | | |
| Dollars in thousands | | | | | | |
| Net Sales | \$ 73,026 | \$ 59,179 | \$ 13,847 | | 23.4 | % |
| Gross Profit | \$ 11,123 | \$ 11,917 | \$ (794) | | (6.7) | % |
| Gross Profit Percentage | 15.2 | % | 20.1 | % | (4.9) | % |

Second Quarter 2011 Compared to Second Quarter 2010

Our Construction Products segment continues to experience mixed results with improved sales from our piling and fabricated products divisions offset by a decline in our concrete buildings division. Our piling division continues to see increased sales volumes outpace the impact of slightly lower selling prices. Our fabricated bridge division is

benefitting from customer preference for our steel grid decking solution. Offsetting these increases was our concrete buildings division which is beginning to see its sales volumes normalize as the prior year period benefitted significantly from federal stimulus legislation.

Increased unfavorable manufacturing variances due to reduced sales volumes within our concrete buildings division drove the reduction in our Construction Products gross margin. Additionally, the sales growth within our piling division came at the expense of lower margins due to an intensely competitive market.

While our fabricated products division backlog has increased as of June 30, 2011, overall our Construction Products segment backlog has decreased approximately 30.9% from June 30, 2010.

Table of Contents

Tubular Products

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | | | Increase/ (Decrease) | Percent Increase/(Decrease) | |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | | | 2011 vs. 2010 | 2011 vs. 2010 | |
| | Dollars in thousands | | | | | | |
| Net Sales | \$ 9,661 | \$ 7,640 | | | \$ 2,021 | 26.5 | % |
| Gross Profit | \$ 2,487 | \$ 1,545 | | | \$ 942 | 61.0 | % |
| Gross Profit Percentage | 25.7 | % | 20.2 | % | 5.5 | % | 27.3 |

Second Quarter 2011 Compared to Second Quarter 2010

Our threaded products division led the increase in both sales and gross profit during the 2011 second quarter. Sales volumes have increased over the prior year period as this division works to enter new markets. In addition to the volume related growth in gross profit, this division was negatively impacted in the prior year period by a decision to exit the micropile market. Our coated products division also delivered sales and gross profit growth mainly due to the 2010 period being impacted unfavorably by a recessionary climate.

Table of Contents

Year-to-date Results of Operations

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | | Percent of Total Net Sales Period Ended June 30, | | Percent Increase/(Decrease) 2011 vs. 2010 |
|--|------------------------------|------|---|------|--|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 | |

Net Sales:

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | | Percent of Total Net Sales Period Ended June 30, | | Percent Increase/(Decrease) 2011 vs. 2010 |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|------------|---|---------|--|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 | |
| | Dollars in thousands | | | | |
| Rail Products | \$ 155,588 | \$ 93,745 | 53.5 % | 46.5 % | 66.0 % |
| Construction Products | 119,806 | 95,381 | 41.2 | 47.3 | 25.6 |
| Tubular Products | 15,412 | 12,380 | 5.3 | 6.1 | 24.5 |
| Total Net Sales | \$ 290,806 | \$ 201,506 | 100.0 % | 100.0 % | 44.3 % |

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | | Gross Profit Percentage Period Ended June 30, | | Percent Increase/(Decrease) 2011 vs. 2010 |
|--|------------------------------|------|--|------|--|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 | |

Gross Profit:

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | | Gross Profit Percentage Period Ended June 30, | | Percent Increase/(Decrease) 2011 vs. 2010 |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|-----------|--|--------|--|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 | |
| | Dollars in thousands | | | | |
| Rail Products | \$ 24,283 | \$ 12,633 | 15.6 % | 13.5 % | 92.2 % |
| Construction Products | 17,459 | 17,911 | 14.6 | 18.8 | (2.5) |
| Tubular Products | 3,661 | 1,926 | 23.8 | 15.6 | 90.1 |
| LIFO (Expense)/Credit | (696) | 751 | (0.2) | 0.4 | (192.7) |
| Other | (948) | (833) | (0.3) | (0.4) | 13.8 |
| Total Gross Profit | \$ 43,759 | \$ 32,388 | 15.0 % | 16.1 % | 35.1 % |

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | | Percent of Total Net Sales Period Ended June 30, | | Percent Increase/(Decrease) 2011 vs. 2010 |
|--|------------------------------|------|---|------|--|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 | |

Expenses:

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | | Percent of Total Net Sales Period Ended June 30, | | Percent Increase/(Decrease) 2011 vs. 2010 |
|---|------------------------------|-----------|---|--------|--|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 | |
| | Dollars in thousands | | | | |
| Selling and Administrative Expenses | \$ 32,325 | \$ 19,869 | 11.1 % | 9.9 % | 62.7 % |
| Amortization Expense | 1,411 | 98 | 0.5 | 0.0 | ** |
| Interest Expense | 273 | 486 | 0.1 | 0.2 | ** |
| Interest Income | (150) | (181) | (0.1) | (0.1) | ** |
| Equity in (Income)/Loss of Nonconsolidated Investment | (283) | 241 | (0.1) | 0.1 | ** |
| Other Expense/(Income) | 41 | (153) | 0.0 | (0.1) | ** |
| Total Expenses | 33,617 | 20,360 | 11.6 | 10.1 | 65.1 |

Edgar Filing: FOSTER L B CO - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|----------------------------|----------|----------|-------|-------|----------|
| Income Before Income Taxes | 10,142 | 12,028 | 3.5 | 6.0 | (15,7) |
| Income Tax Expense | 3,090 | 4,288 | 1.1 | 2.1 | (27.9) |
| Net Income | \$ 7,052 | \$ 7,740 | 2.4 % | 3.8 % | (8.9) % |

** Results of calculation are not meaningful for presentation purposes.

First Six Months of 2011 Compared to First Six Months of 2010 – Company Analysis

Diluted earnings per share for the first half of 2011 was \$0.68 which compares to diluted earnings per share for the first six months of 2010 of \$0.75.

Approximately \$11.8 million of the increase in selling and administrative expenses relates to the integration of Portec Rail. Exclusive of the impact of Portec Rail, selling and administrative expenses in the 2011 period increased due primarily to higher travel and salary expenses. The increase in amortization expense resulted from our acquisitions of Portec Rail and Interlocking Deck Systems International, LLC.

Included in net income for the current period is our 45% share of the income from our equity investment in the JV, which is reported as “Equity in (Income)/Loss of Nonconsolidated Investment.” As the JV had not yet commenced significant revenue-generating activities, we recorded our share of its start-up related expenses as of June 30, 2010. The effective income tax rate in the first six months of 2011 was 30.5% compared to 35.7% in the prior year period. The decrease was primarily due to lower statutory tax rates related to the foreign operations acquired in the Portec Rail business combination and the release of \$0.1 million of reserves for uncertain tax positions due to the expiration of the statute of limitations.

Table of Contents

Results of Operations – Segment Analysis

Rail Products

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | | Increase/ (Decrease) 2011 vs. 2010 | | Percent Increase/(Decrease) 2011 vs. 2010 | |
|----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|--|---|---|---|
| | 2011 | 2010 | | | | |
| Dollars in thousands | | | | | | |
| Net Sales | \$ 155,588 | \$ 93,745 | \$ 61,843 | | 66.0 | % |
| Gross Profit | \$ 24,283 | \$ 12,633 | \$ 11,650 | | 92.2 | % |
| Gross Profit Percentage | 15.6 | % | 13.5 | % | 2.1 | % |
| | | | | | 15.8 | % |

First Six Months of 2011 Compared to First Six Months of 2010

Our acquisition of Portec Rail increased our Rail Products segment current period sales by approximately \$51.5 million. Exclusive of the impact of Portec Rail, our Rail Products segment 2011 sales increased approximately \$10.1 million over the 2010 period. Improved sales volumes within our rail distribution business drove the increase. Despite the closure of our Grand Island, NE facility, our sales of CXT concrete ties improved over the 2010 six month period with our Tucson, AZ plant notably more than doubling its volume.

Portec Rail delivered approximately \$14.8 million in gross profit and is responsible for the increase in our Rail Products segment gross profit margin. Included within Portec Rail's gross profit is a nonrecurring increase in cost of goods sold of approximately \$2.5 million related to recognition of the remaining portion of the inventory step-up to fair value from Portec Rail's purchase price allocation. Exclusive of the impact of Portec Rail, our Rail Products gross profit margin would have decreased to 9.1% as compared to the 2010 period. This decrease is due to \$4.4 million of charges related to Grand Island concrete tie matters. Exclusive of the impact of both Portec Rail and the concrete tie matters, our 2011 Rail Products gross profit margin would have been flat with the 2010 period.

We believe that while the industrial market we participate in remains soft, these impacts will be mitigated by our expectations that Class 1 railroad capital spending will increase over its 2010 levels. Additionally, we expect sales and gross profit growth within our Rail Products segment resulting from our acquisition of Portec Rail in December 2010.

Construction Products

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | | Increase/ (Decrease) 2011 vs. 2010 | | Percent Increase/(Decrease) 2011 vs. 2010 | |
|----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|--|---|---|---|
| | 2011 | 2010 | | | | |
| Dollars in thousands | | | | | | |
| Net Sales | \$ 119,806 | \$ 95,381 | \$ 24,425 | | 25.6 | % |
| Gross Profit | \$ 17,459 | \$ 17,911 | \$ (452) | | (2.5) | % |
| Gross Profit Percentage | 14.6 | % | 18.8 | % | (4.2) | % |
| | | | | | (22.4) | % |

First Six Months of 2011 Compared to First Six Months of 2010

Our piling division continues to see increased sales volumes outpace the impact of lower selling prices. Our fabricated bridge division has increased its capacity in order to satisfy current customer preference for our bridge decking solution. Offsetting these increases was our concrete buildings division which is beginning to see its sales volumes normalize as the prior year period benefitted significantly from federal stimulus legislation.

Increased unfavorable manufacturing variances due to reduced sales volumes within our concrete buildings division drove the reduction in our Construction Products gross margin. Additionally, the sales growth within our piling division was accompanied by lower pricing due to an intensely competitive market.

We continue to see a mixed outlook in our heavy civil and public works construction markets as we experience increased volumes that have been partially offset by declines in pricing. Also, we do not believe that our Construction Products segment will have the opportunities in 2011 generated from the stimulus bill in 2010. Finally, most states continue to face budget deficits in 2011 as they did in 2010 and we are concerned about the likelihood of a satisfactory resolution of transportation legislation that expired in September 2009.

Table of Contents

Tubular Products

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | | Increase/ (Decrease) 2011 vs. 2010 | | Percent Increase/(Decrease) 2011 vs. 2010 | |
|----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|--|---|---|---|
| | 2011 | 2010 | | | | |
| | Dollars in thousands | | | | | |
| Net Sales | \$ 15,412 | \$ 12,380 | \$ 3,032 | | 24.5 | % |
| Gross Profit | \$ 3,661 | \$ 1,926 | \$ 1,735 | | 90.1 | % |
| Gross Profit Percentage | 23.8 | % | 15.6 | % | 8.2 | % |
| | | | | | 52.7 | % |

First Six Months of 2011 Compared to First Six Months of 2010

While both our threaded products and coated products divisions reported growth in sales, only threaded products has delivered gross profit expansion. Threaded products has been able to penetrate new sales markets while coated products prior year period was impacted by reduced market demand.

While our coated products gross profit has been flat compared to the prior year period, our threaded products division's growth in gross profit and gross margin came from increased volumes which reduced unfavorable manufacturing expenses. To a lesser extent, this division also was negatively impacted in the 2010 period by a decision to exit the micropile market.

While the energy markets we participate in are getting stronger as evidenced by the growth in our tubular backlog, we will continued to be challenged by lower natural gas prices.

Table of Contents

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our capitalization is as follows:

| Debt: | June 30, | December |
|--|----------------|----------------|
| | 2011 | 31, 2010 |
| In millions | | |
| Capital leases and interim lease financing | \$2.2 | \$2.9 |
| IDSI acquisition notes | 0.9 | 1.9 |
| Total Debt | 3.1 | 4.8 |
| Equity | 263.0 | 255.7 |
| Total Capitalization | \$266.1 | \$260.5 |

Total debt as a percentage of capitalization was approximately 1.2% as of June 30, 2011 compared to 1.8% at December 31, 2010. This measure reflects a strong financial position as there is minimal leverage and our cash and cash equivalents of approximately \$45.7 million more than adequately covers our total debt obligations.

Our need for liquidity relates primarily to seasonal working capital requirements, capital expenditures, debt service obligations, common stock share repurchases, JV capital contributions and possible accretive acquisitions. The following table summarizes the year-to-date impact of these items:

| | June 30, | |
|--|------------------|---------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| In millions | | |
| Liquidity needs: | | |
| Working capital and other assets and liabilities | \$(23.1) | \$4.3 |
| Common stock purchases | (1.6) | - |
| Dividends paid to common shareholders | (0.5) | - |
| Capital expenditures | (6.6) | (2.7) |
| JV capital contributions | (0.3) | (0.5) |
| Acquisitions | (9.0) | (5.1) |
| Repayments of term loan | - | (1.4) |
| Other long-term debt repayments | (1.7) | (1.4) |
| Cash interest paid | (0.2) | (0.4) |
| Net liquidity requirements | (43.0) | (7.2) |
| Liquidity sources: | | |
| Internally generated cash flows before interest paid | 13.0 | 12.8 |
| Equity transactions | 0.4 | 0.9 |
| Foreign exchange effects | 0.5 | - |
| Net liquidity sources | 13.9 | 13.7 |
| Net Change in Cash | \$(29.1) | \$6.5 |

Cash Flow from Operating Activities

During the 2011 period, cash used by operations was \$10.4 million compared to \$16.7 million of cash provided by operations in the 2010 period. For the six months ended June 30, 2011, net income and adjustments to net income provided \$12.8 million while working capital and other assets and liabilities used \$23.1 million. In the prior year period, working capital and other assets and liabilities provided \$4.3 million, resulting in a decrease of \$27.4 million when compared to the prior year. The increase in liquidity requirements principally reflects higher working capital needs driven by significantly higher sales in the second quarter of 2011 as compared to the 2010 quarter.

Table of Contents

Cash Flow from Investing Activities

In January 2011, we made our final, net payment of approximately \$9.0 million for the remaining outstanding shares of common stock related to our acquisition of Portec Rail. Capital expenditures were \$6.6 million for the first six months of 2011 compared to \$2.7 million for the same 2010 period. Current period expenditures were primarily used for the Kenova, WV facility, other yard upgrades and plant and operating equipment. We anticipate total capital spending in 2011 will range between \$7.5 million and \$8.5 million and will be funded by cash flow from operations.

Cash used by investing activities for the first half of 2010 also included our IDSI asset purchase of \$5.1 million.

Cash Flow from Financing Activities

Under the \$25.0 million share repurchase authorization made by our Board of Director's in May 2011, we repurchased 48,043 shares for approximately \$1.5 million in June 2011. We also paid approximately \$0.5 million in dividends to common stock shareholders under a quarterly dividend plan originally approved by our Board of Director's in March 2011.

Financial Condition

As of June 30, 2011, we had approximately \$45.7 million in cash and cash equivalents and a credit facility with \$123.9 million of availability while carrying only \$3.1 million in total debt. As of June 30, 2011 we were in compliance with all of the Credit Agreement's covenants. We believe this capacity will afford us the flexibility to take advantage of opportunities as we explore both organic and external investment opportunities while working to successfully integrate the operations of Portec Rail.

Included within cash and cash equivalents are primarily investments in tax-free and taxable money market funds. The money market funds include municipal bond issuances as the underlying securities as well as government agency obligations and corporate bonds. Our priority continues to be the maintenance of our principal balances.

Borrowings under our credit agreement bear interest at rates based upon either the base rate or LIBOR based rate plus applicable margins. Applicable margins are dictated by the ratio of our indebtedness less cash on hand to our consolidated EBITDA. The base rate is the highest of (a) PNC Bank's prime rate or (b) the Federal Funds Rate plus .50% or (c) the daily LIBOR rate plus 1.00%. The base rate spread ranges from 0.00% to 1.00%. LIBOR based rates are determined by dividing the published LIBOR rate by a number equal to 1.00 minus the percentage prescribed by the Federal Reserve for determining the maximum reserve requirements with respect to any Eurocurrency funding by banks on such day. The LIBOR based rate spread ranges from 1.00% to 2.00%.

We are also in the process of negotiating an extension for our United Kingdom working capital facility.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company's off-balance sheet arrangements include operating leases, purchase obligations and standby letters of credit. A schedule of the Company's required payments under financial instruments and other commitments as of December 31, 2010 is included in the "Liquidity and Capital Resources" section of the Company's 2010 Annual Report filed on Form 10-K. These arrangements provide the Company with increased flexibility relative to the utilization and investment of cash resources. There were no material changes to these arrangements during the period ended June 30, 2011.

Outlook

We believe that the economy appears to be growing much slower than we originally anticipated. Additionally, the cessation of stimulus legislation, the lack of a new surface transportation bill and 46 out of 50 states with budget deficits will present challenges to many of the end markets to which we sell given our reliance on government funding and we anticipate that these conditions and challenges will exacerbate the competitive environment in our markets. However, we expect to be profitable and generate cash flows in excess of our capital expenditures, debt service, dividends and share repurchases.

Table of Contents

We completed the acquisition of Portec Rail in December 2010. Possible impacts from the merger could result in the loss of key employees, result in the disruption of one or more of its ongoing businesses or identify inconsistencies in standards, controls, procedures and policies that adversely affect one or more of its operations' ability to maintain relationships with customers, suppliers or creditors. Employee retention before, during or after the combination may be challenging as employees may experience uncertainty about future roles until strategies with regard to the combined company are announced or executed.

The application of acquisition accounting guidance required us to write-up Portec Rail inventory by approximately \$3.2 million to fair value less costs to sell. This had a temporary negative impact on our Rail Products segments' gross profit as this increase was recognized in cost of goods sold. We recognized the remaining approximately \$2.5 million of this reduction during the first quarter of 2011.

We have received increased orders from the UPRR for concrete ties at our Tucson, AZ facility increasing capacity utilization to approximately 90%.

As of June 30, 2011, we maintained a warranty reserve approximating \$2.5 million for potential warranty claims associated with concrete railroad ties. While we believe this is a reasonable estimate of our potential contingencies related to identified concrete tie warranty matters, we may incur future charges associated with new customer claims or further development of information for existing customer claims, including the July 2011 product claim by the UPRR. Thus, there can be no assurance that future potential costs pertaining to warranty claims will not have a material impact on our results of operations and financial condition.

Our agreement with the UPRR calls for their purchasing concrete ties from our Tucson, AZ facility through 2012. In December 2010, the UPRR opted not to exercise their right to extend the supply agreement for the Grand Island, NE plant. We do not believe that the closure of this facility will have a significant, adverse impact on our results of operations or our liquidity.

Rail distribution sales will be negatively impacted in 2011 as we have decided to significantly decrease our involvement in the scrap rail and relay rail distribution business. We do not expect the decreased emphasis in these products to have a significant impact on our results of operations or our financial condition.

Certain of our businesses rely heavily on spending authorized by the federal highway and transportation funding bill, SAFETEA-LU, enacted in August 2005. This legislation authorized \$286 billion for United States transportation improvement spending over a six-year period and expired in September 2009. On March 4, 2011, the United States Congress extended this legislation through September 30, 2011. While certain estimates of the amounts that may be authorized under successor legislation to SAFETEA-LU range from \$230 to \$550 billion, there is significant uncertainty as to the timing of the renewal of this multi-year surface transportation legislation and how such legislation will actually be funded. SAFETEA-LU was not approved until nearly two years after the previous authorization expired. This delay had a material detrimental impact upon the demand and spending levels in certain markets where we participated during 2003 to 2005.

We entered into a joint venture to manufacture, market and sell various products for the energy, utility and construction markets. In connection with the amended joint venture agreement we are required to make capital contributions of \$2.9 million, of which there remains approximately \$0.3 million. No assurances can be given that additional capital contributions will not be required or that the joint venture will perform in accordance with our expectations.

Table of Contents

Although backlog is not necessarily indicative of future operating results, total Company backlog at June 30, 2011, was approximately \$191.4 million. Backlog as of June 30, 2010 does not include Portec Rail as we did not complete the acquisition until December 15, 2010. The following table provides the backlog by business segment:

| | June 30, 2011 | Backlog December 31, 2010 | June 30, 2010 |
|-----------------------|------------------|------------------------------------|------------------|
| | In thousands | | |
| Rail Products | \$98,549 | \$86,404 | \$78,180 |
| Construction Products | 85,230 | 102,173 | 123,408 |
| Tubular Products | 7,617 | 720 | 5,654 |
| Total Backlog | \$191,396 | \$189,297 | \$207,242 |

We continue to evaluate the overall performance of our operations. A decision to down-size or terminate an existing operation could have a material adverse effect on near-term earnings but would not be expected to have a material adverse effect on the financial condition of the Company.

Market Risk and Risk Management Policies

The Company does not purchase or hold any derivative financial instruments for trading purposes. The Company uses derivative financial instruments to manage interest rate exposure on variable-rate debt, primarily by using interest rate collars and variable interest rate swaps. The Company's primary source of variable-rate debt comes from its revolving credit agreement.

At contract inception, the Company designates its derivative instruments as hedges. The Company recognizes all derivative instruments on the balance sheet at fair value. Fluctuations in the fair values of derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income and reclassified into earnings within Other Income as the underlying hedged items affect earnings. To the extent that a change in the derivative does not perfectly offset the change in value of the risk being hedged, the ineffective portion is recognized in earnings immediately.

The Company is subject to exposures to changes in foreign currency exchange rates. The Company manages its exposure to changes in foreign currency exchange rates on firm sale and purchase commitments by entering into foreign currency forward contracts. The Company's risk management objective is to reduce its exposure to the effects of changes in exchange rates on these transactions over the duration of the transactions. The Company did not engage in any foreign currency hedging transactions during the six month period ended June 30, 2011, and no foreign currency hedges remained outstanding as of December 31, 2010. Realized gains or losses from foreign currency hedges did not exceed \$0.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011.

Forward-Looking Statements

This Form 10-Q contains "forward looking" statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. When we use the words "believe," "intend," "expect," "may," "should," "anticipate," "could," "estimate," "plan," "predict," "project," or their negatives, or other similar expressions, the statements which include those words are usually forward-looking statements. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, forward-looking statements contained in this report include the matters discussed in the sections captioned "Outlook" in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. The Company

cautions readers that various factors could cause the actual results of the Company to differ materially from those indicated by forward-looking statements made in this Form 10-Q as well as those made from time to time in news releases, reports, proxy statements, registration statements and other written communications, as well as oral statements made from time to time by representatives of the Company. For a discussion of some of the specific risk factors, that may cause such differences, see Item 1A, "Risk Factors" in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 as updated by this Form 10-Q.

Table of Contents

Additional factors that may materially affect our financial results are the following:

There are no assurances that the closing of the merger agreement involving L. B. Foster and Portec Rail will generate the expected benefits of the transaction, including potential synergies and cost savings, future financial and operating results, and the combined company's plans and objectives. Risks and uncertainties include: the potential that market segment growth will not follow historical patterns or be otherwise unsatisfactory; general industry conditions and competition; business and economic conditions, such as interest rate and currency exchange rate fluctuations; technological advances and patents attained by competitors; and domestic and foreign governmental laws and regulations.

Statements relating to the value of the Company's share of potential future contingent payments related to the DM&E merger with the Canadian Pacific Railway Limited (CP) are forward-looking statements and are subject to numerous contingencies and risk factors. No assurances can be given that any of these payments will be made and the Company does not know whether the CP will construct the PRB expansion.

Our agreement with the UPRR includes their purchasing concrete ties from our Tucson, AZ facility through 2012.

Our businesses could be affected adversely by significant changes in the price of steel, concrete, and other raw materials or the availability of existing and new piling and rail products. Our operating results may also be affected negatively by adverse weather conditions.

A substantial portion of our operations are heavily dependent on governmental funding of infrastructure projects. Many of these projects have "Buy America" or "Buy American" provisions. Significant changes in the level of government funding of these projects could have a favorable or unfavorable impact on our operating results. Additionally, government actions concerning "Buy America" provisions, taxation, tariffs, the environment, or other matters could impact our operating results.

Unexpected events including production delays or other problems encountered at our manufacturing facilities, equipment failures, failure to meet product specifications, concrete railroad tie warranty issues and the availability of existing and new piling and rail products may cause our operating costs to increase or otherwise impact our financial performance.

Table of Contents

Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

See the "Market Risk and Risk Management Policies" section under Item 2, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

- a) L. B. Foster Company (the Company) carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a - 15(e) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) as of June 30, 2011. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective to timely alert them to material information relating to the Company (including its consolidated subsidiaries) required to be included in the Company's periodic SEC filings.
- b) There have been no significant changes in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting that occurred in the period covered by this report that have materially affected or are likely to materially affect the Company's internal controls over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Paragraphs 3 through 10 of Note 16, "Commitments and Contingent Liabilities", to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 1 of the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q are incorporated herein by reference.

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

The following risk factor replaces the risk factor in our 2010 Form 10-K labeled "An adverse outcome in any pending or future litigation could negatively impact our operations and profitability":

An adverse outcome in any pending or future litigation or pending or future warranty claim against the Company or its subsidiaries or our determination that a customer has a substantial product warranty claim could negatively impact our financial results and/or our financial condition.

We and our subsidiaries are party from time to time to various legal proceedings, including proceedings presently pending related to environmental matters and our acquisition of Portec. In addition, from time to time our customers assert claims against us relating to the warranties which apply to products we sell them. There is the potential that a result materially adverse to us or our subsidiaries in pending or future legal proceedings or pending or future product warranty claims could materially exceed any accruals we have taken and materially adversely affect our financial results and/or financial condition. With respect to product warranty claims, there is also the potential that our investigation of the likely validity of such claims could result in our having to take additional material accruals which could materially adversely affect our results of operation. For example, in July, 2011 the Union Pacific Railroad ("UPRR") sent a product warrant claim notice regarding 1.6 million concrete ties manufactured for them over several years, which neither quantifies the number of ties with respect to which they assert a claim other than to describe it as a significant portion nor specifies the nature of the alleged defect. We are investigating this claim and have not recorded at this time any provision regarding it, based on the non-specific nature of the UPRR's assertion and the

Company's current inability to verify the claims. If we were to determine in the future that a significant number of these ties had defects covered by the product warranty or were to settle a claim with the UPRR regarding a significant number of these ties, it could materially adversely affect our financial statements, results of operations, liquidity and capital resources.

Table of Contents

Item 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

The Company's purchases of equity securities for the three month period ended June 30, 2011 were as follows:

| | Total Number Of Shares Purchased (1) | Average Price Paid per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs | Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs |
|-----------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| April 1, 2011 – April 30, 2011 | - | - | - | - |
| May 1, 2011 – May 31, 2011 | - | - | - | - |
| June 1, 2011 – June 30, 2011 | 48,043 | \$ 32.17 | 48,043 | \$ 23,451,891 |
| Total | 48,043 | \$ 32.17 | 48,043 | \$ 23,451,891 |

(1) On May 23, 2011, the Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$25.0 million of the Company's common shares until December 31, 2013 at which time this authorization will expire.

Item 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

Table of Contents

Item 6. EXHIBITS

The Exhibits marked with an asterisk are filed herewith. All exhibits are incorporated herein by reference:

- 2.1 Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated February 16, 2010, by and among L.B. Foster Company, Foster Thomas Company and Portec Rail Products, Inc. filed as Exhibit 2.1 to Form 8-K on February 17, 2010.
- 2.1 First Amendment to Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of May 13, 2010, by and among Portec Rail Products, Inc., L.B. Foster Company and Foster Thomas Company filed as Exhibit 2.1 to Form 8-K on May 13, 2010.
- 2.1 Second Amendment to Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated August 30, 2010, by and among Portec Rail Products, Inc., L.B. Foster Company and Foster Thomas Company filed as Exhibit 2.1 to Form 8-K on August 30, 2010.
- 3.1 Restated Certificate of Incorporation, filed as Exhibit 3.1 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2003.
- 3.2 Bylaws of the Registrant, as amended and filed as Exhibit 3.2 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.
- 4.0 Rights Amendment, dated as of May 15, 1997 between L. B. Foster Company and American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, including the form of Rights Certificate and the Summary of Rights attached thereto, filed as Exhibit 4.0 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002.
- 4.1 Rights Amendment, dated as of October 24, 2006, between L. B. Foster Company and American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, including the form of Rights Certificate and the Summary of Rights attached thereto, filed as Exhibit 4B to Form 8-K on October 27, 2006.
- 10.0 \$125,000,000 Revolving Credit Facility Credit Agreement dated May 2, 2011, between Registrant and PNC Bank, N.A., Bank of America, N.A., Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., and Citizens Bank of Pennsylvania, filed as Exhibit 10.0 to Form 8-K on May 4, 2011.
- 10.1 Form of Tender and Voting Agreement, dated February 16, 2010, by and among L.B. Foster Company, Foster Thomas Company and identified persons for the indicated number of shares of Portec Rail Products, Inc. filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K on February 17, 2010.

Table of Contents

- 10.1 Lease between Salient Systems, Inc. and Schottenstein Stores Corporation, dated October 12, 2004, filed as exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K on October, 12, 2004.
- 10.12 Lease between CXT Incorporated and Pentzer Development Corporation, dated April 1, 1993, filed as Exhibit 10.12 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004.
 - 10.12.1 Second Amendment dated March 12, 1996 to lease between CXT Incorporated and Crown West Realty, LLC, successor, filed as Exhibit 10.12.1 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004.
 - 10.12.2 Third Amendment dated November 7, 2002 to lease between CXT Incorporated and Crown West Realty, LLC, filed as Exhibit 10.12.2 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002.
 - 10.12.3 Fourth Amendment dated December 15, 2003 to lease between CXT Incorporated and Crown West Realty, LLC, filed as Exhibit 10.12.3 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003.
 - 10.12.4 Fifth Amendment dated June 29, 2004 to lease between CXT Incorporated and Park SPE, LLC, filed as Exhibit 10.12.4 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004.
 - 10.12.5 Sixth Amendment dated May 9, 2006 to lease between CXT Incorporated and Park SPE, LLC, filed as Exhibit 10.12.5 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2006.
 - 10.12.6 Seventh Amendment dated April 28, 2008 to lease between CXT Incorporated and Park SPE, LLC, filed as Exhibit 10.12.6 to Form 8-K on May 2, 2008.
 - 10.12.7 Eighth Amendment dated July 6, 2010 to lease between CXT Incorporated and Park SPE, LLC filed as Exhibit 10.12.7 to Form 8-K on July 7, 2010.
- 10.13 Lease between CXT Incorporated and Crown West Realty, LLC, dated December 20, 1996, filed as Exhibit 10.13 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004.
 - 10.13.1 Amendment dated June 29, 2001 between CXT Incorporated and Crown West Realty, filed as Exhibit 10.13.1 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.
- 10.14 Lease of property in Tucson, AZ between CXT Incorporated and the Union Pacific Railroad Company dated May 27, 2005, filed as Exhibit 10.14 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005.
- 10.15 Lease of property in Grand Island, NE between CXT Incorporated and the Union Pacific Railroad Company, dated May 27, 2005, and filed as Exhibit 10.15 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005.
 - 10.15.1 Industry Track Contract between CXT Incorporated and the Union Pacific Railroad Company, dated May 27, 2005, filed as Exhibit 10.15 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005.
- 10.16 Lease Agreement dated March 3, 2008 between CCI-B Langfield I, LLC, as Lessor, and Registrant as Lessee, related to Registrant's threading operation in Harris County, Texas and filed as Exhibit 10.16 to Form 8-K on March 7, 2008.

- 10.16.1 First Amendment dated April 1, 2008 to lease between CCI-B Langfield I, LLC, as Lessor, and Registrant as Lessee, related to Registrant's threading operation in Harris County, Texas, filed as Exhibit 10.16.1 to Form 8-K on May 1, 2008.

Table of Contents

- 10.16.2 Second Amendment dated January 6, 2009 to lease between CCI-B Langfield I, LLC, as Lessor, and Registrant as Lessee, related to Registrant's threading operation in Harris County, Texas, filed as Exhibit 10.16.2 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.
- 10.17 Lease between Registrant and the City of Hillsboro, TX dated February 22, 2002, and filed as Exhibit 10.17 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.
- 10.19 Lease between Registrant and American Cast Iron Pipe Company for pipe-coating facility in Birmingham, AL, dated December 11, 1991, filed as Exhibit 10.19 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002.
- 10.19.1 Amendment to Lease between Registrant and American Cast Iron Pipe Company for pipe-coating facility in Birmingham, AL dated November 15, 2000, and filed as Exhibit 10.19.1 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2006.
- 10.19.2 Third Amendment dated July 6, 2010 to lease between CXT Incorporated and Park SPE, LLC filed as Exhibit 10.19.2 to Form 8-K on July 7, 2010.
- ^10.21 Agreement for Purchase and Sales of Concrete Ties between CXT Incorporated and the Union Pacific Railroad dated January 24, 2005, and filed as Exhibit 10.21 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004.
- ^10.21.1 Amendment to Agreement for Purchase and Sales of Concrete Ties between CXT Incorporated and the Union Pacific Railroad dated October 28, 2005, and filed as Exhibit 10.21.1 to Form 8-K on November 14, 2005.
- 10.24 Asset Purchase Agreement by and between the Registrant and The Reinforced Earth Company dated February 15, 2006, filed as Exhibit 10.24 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.
- 10.25 Asset Purchase Agreement between Interlocking Deck Systems International, LLC and the Registrant dated March 23, 2010 filed as Exhibit 10.25 to Form 8-K on March 29, 2010.
- 10.33.2 Amended and Restated 1985 Long-Term Incentive Plan as of May 25, 2005, filed as Exhibit 10.33.2 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. **
- 10.34 Amended and Restated 1998 Long-Term Incentive Plan as of May 25, 2005, filed as Exhibit 10.34 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. **
- 10.34.1 Amendment, effective May 24, 2006, to Amended and Restated 1998 Long-Term Incentive Plan as of May 25, 2005, filed as Exhibit 10.34.1 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. **
- 10.45 Medical Reimbursement Plan (MRP1) effective January 1, 2006, filed as Exhibit 10.45 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. **

- 10.45.1 Medical Reimbursement Plan (MRP2) effective January 1, 2006, filed as Exhibit 10.45.1 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. **
- 10.45.2 Amendments to MRP2 filed as Exhibit 10.45.2 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. **
- 10.46 Leased Vehicle Plan as amended and restated on September 1, 2007, filed as Exhibit 10.46 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. **
- 10.51 Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan as Amended and Restated on January 1, 2009, filed as Exhibit 10.51 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. **

Table of Contents

| | |
|------------|--|
| 10.53 | Directors' resolution dated March 6, 2008, under which directors' compensation was established, filed as Exhibit 10.53 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008. ** |
| 10.55 | Management Incentive Compensation Plan for 2007, filed as Exhibit 10.55 to Form 8-K on March 8, 2007. ** |
| 10.57.1 | 2006 Omnibus Plan, as amended and restated March 6, 2008, filed as exhibit 10.57.1 to Form 8-K on March 12, 2008. ** |
| 10.58 | Special Bonus Arrangement, effective May 24, 2006, filed as Exhibit 10.58 to Form 8-K on May 31, 2006. ** |
| 10.59 | Executive Annual Incentive Compensation Plan, filed as Exhibit 10.59 to Form 8-K on March 12, 2008. ** |
| 10.60 | Letter agreement on Lee B. Foster II's retirement, filed as Exhibit 10.59 to Form 8-K on April 22, 2008. ** |
| 10.61 | Restricted Stock Agreement between Registrant and Stan L. Hasselbusch dated May 28, 2010 filed as Exhibit 10.61 to Form 8-K on June 1, 2010. ** |
| 10.62 | Restricted Stock Agreement between Registrant and David J. Russo dated May 28, 2010 filed as Exhibit 10.62 to Form 8-K on June 1, 2010. ** |
| 10.63 | Restricted Stock Agreement between Registrant and Stan L. Hasselbusch dated March 15, 2011 filed as Exhibit 10.63 to Form 8-K on March 21, 2011. ** |
| 19 | Exhibits marked with an asterisk are filed herewith. |
| *31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| *31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| *32.0 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| ***101.INS | XBRL Instance Document. |
| ***101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document. |
| ***101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document. |

***101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document.

***101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document.

* Exhibits marked with an asterisk are filed herewith.

** Identifies management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement required to be filed as an Exhibit.

*** In accordance with SEC Release 33-8238, the certifications contained in Exhibits 32 are being furnished and not filed. In accordance with Rule 406T of Regulation S-T promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission, Exhibit 101 is deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, is deemed not filed for purposes of section 18 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

^ Portions of the exhibit have been omitted pursuant to a confidential treatment request.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

L.B. FOSTER COMPANY
(Registrant)

Date: August 9, 2011

By: /s/ David J. Russo
David J. Russo
Senior Vice President,
Chief Financial and Accounting Officer and Treasurer
(Duly Authorized Officer of Registrant)

Table of Contents