

NATIONAL HOLDINGS CORP
Form 10-Q
May 15, 2012

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Quarter Ended March 31, 2012

Commission File Number 001-12629

NATIONAL HOLDINGS CORPORATION
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or
organization)

36-4128138
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

120 Broadway, 27th Floor, New York, NY 10271

(Address including zip code of principal executive offices)
Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (212) 417-8000

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "accelerated filer", "large accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (check one).

Large Accelerated Filer	<input type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated Filer	<input type="checkbox"/>
Non-Accelerated Filer	<input type="checkbox"/>	Smaller Reporting Company	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). YES NO

As of May 11, 2012 there were 26,488,530 shares of the registrant's common stock outstanding.

NATIONAL HOLDINGS CORPORATION

FORM 10-Q
QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2012

INDEX

PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1 – Financial Statements

Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition as of March 31, 2012 and September 30, 2011 (Audited) 5

Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three months and Six months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 6

Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 7

Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements 8

Item 2 – Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations 27

Item 3 – Quantitative & Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk 38

Item 4 – Controls and Procedures 38

PART II – OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1 – Legal Proceedings 40

Item 1A – Risk Factors 40

Item 2 – Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds 40

Item 3 - Defaults Upon Senior Securities 40

Item 5 - Other Information 40

Item 6 – Exhibits 40

Signatures 40

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

The following information provides cautionary statements under the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (the Reform Act). We identify important factors that could cause our actual results to differ materially from those projected in forward-looking statements we make in this report or in other documents that reference this report. All statements that express or involve discussions as to: expectations, beliefs, plans, objectives, assumptions or future events or performance (often, but not always, identified through the use of words or phrases such as we or our management believes, expects, anticipates or hopes and words or phrases such as will result, are expected to, will continue, is anticipated, estimated, projection and outlook, and words of similar import) are not statements of historical facts and may be forward-looking. These forward-looking statements are based largely on our expectations and are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties including, but not limited to, economic, competitive, regulatory, growth strategies, available financing and other factors discussed elsewhere in this report and in the documents filed by us with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). Many of these factors are beyond our control. Actual results could differ materially from the forward-looking statements we make in this report or in other documents that reference this report. In light of these risks and uncertainties, there can be no assurance that the results anticipated in the forward-looking information contained in this report or other documents that reference this report will, in fact, occur.

These forward-looking statements involve estimates, assumptions and uncertainties, and, accordingly, actual results could differ materially from those expressed in the forward-looking statements. These uncertainties include, among others, the following: (i) the inability of our broker-dealer operations to operate profitably in the face of intense competition from larger full service and discount brokers; (ii) a general decrease in merger and acquisition activities and our potential inability to receive success fees as a result of transactions not being completed; (iii) increased competition from business development portals; (iv) technological changes; (v) our potential inability to implement our growth strategy through acquisitions or joint ventures; and (vi) our potential inability to secure additional debt or equity financing.

Any forward-looking statement speaks only as of the date on which such statement is made, and we undertake no obligation to update any forward-looking statement or statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date on which such statement is made or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events. New factors emerge from time to time and it is not possible for our management to predict all of such factors, nor can our management assess the impact of each such factor on the business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements.

PART I.

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM I.

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

4

NATIONAL HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION

ASSETS

	March 31, 2012 (Unaudited)	September 30, 2011 (Audited)
Current Assets		
Cash	\$8,953,000	\$6,698,000
Deposit with clearing organizations	1,107,000	1,157,000
Receivables from broker dealers and clearing organizations	3,521,000	2,714,000
Other receivables, net of allowance for uncollectible accounts of \$419,000 and \$310,000, respectively	289,000	299,000
Advances to registered representatives - Current portion	447,000	719,000
Securities owned: marketable – at market value	880,000	454,000
Securities owned: nonmarketable – at fair value	58,000	24,000
Other assets	775,000	647,000
Total Current Assets	16,030,000	12,712,000
Advances to registered representatives - Long term portion	312,000	233,000
Fixed assets, net	779,000	974,000
Intangible assets, net	776,000	1,087,000
Investment in unconsolidated joint venture	-	1,051,000
Other assets	315,000	304,000
Total Assets	\$18,212,000	\$16,361,000

LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT

Current Liabilities		
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities	\$14,594,000	\$10,754,000
Payable to broker dealers and clearing organizations	157,000	139,000
Securities sold, but not yet purchased, at market	-	2,000
Convertible notes payable, net of debt discount of \$49,000 and \$247,000, respectively	6,051,000	5,753,000
Total Current Liabilities	20,802,000	16,648,000
Accrued expenses and other liabilities - Long term portion	1,161,000	1,078,000
Total Liabilities, before subordinated borrowings and other liabilities	21,963,000	17,726,000
Subordinated borrowings	40,000	100,000
Total Liabilities	22,003,000	17,826,000
National Holdings Corporation Stockholders' Deficit		
Series A 9% cumulative convertible preferred stock, \$.01 par value, 50,000 shares authorized; -0- and 46,050 shares issued and outstanding (liquidation preference: \$4,605,000) at March 31, 2012 and September 30, 2011, respectively	-	-
Series C and D, convertible preferred stock, \$.01 par value, 10,000,000 shares authorized, 94,167 issued and outstanding Series E, convertible preferred stock, \$.01 par value, 200,000 shares authorized, none issued and outstanding	6,156,000	6,156,000
	522,000	409,000

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

Common stock, \$.02 par value, 150,000,000 shares authorized; 26,088,530 and 17,276,704 shares issued and outstanding at March 31,2012 and September 30, 2011, respectively

Additional paid-in capital	46,128,000	45,066,000
Accumulated deficit	(56,612,000)	(53,128,000)
Total National Holdings Corporation Stockholders' Deficit	(3,806,000)	(1,497,000)
Non Controlling Interest	15,000	32,000
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Deficit	\$ 18,212,000	\$ 16,361,000

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited consolidated financial statements.

NATIONAL HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(Unaudited)

	Three-month Period Ended March 31,		Six-month Period Ended March 31,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Revenues				
Commissions	\$20,484,000	\$24,058,000	\$33,687,000	\$47,216,000
Net dealer inventory gains	3,686,000	3,972,000	6,762,000	7,592,000
Investment banking	4,232,000	495,000	8,987,000	3,271,000
Total commission and fee revenues	28,402,000	28,525,000	49,436,000	58,079,000
Interest and dividends	663,000	939,000	1,366,000	1,848,000
Transfer fees and clearing services	2,141,000	2,252,000	3,971,000	4,988,000
Other	2,003,000	1,937,000	3,835,000	3,712,000
Total Revenues	33,209,000	33,653,000	58,608,000	68,627,000
Operating Expenses				
Commissions, compensation and fees	29,682,000	30,018,000	52,412,000	61,182,000
Clearing fees	374,000	489,000	834,000	1,158,000
Communications	1,162,000	1,132,000	2,362,000	2,268,000
Occupancy, equipment and other administrative costs	1,345,000	1,314,000	2,206,000	2,096,000
Professional fees	643,000	680,000	1,174,000	989,000
Interest	276,000	289,000	552,000	585,000
Taxes, licenses, registration	440,000	467,000	803,000	804,000
Total Operating Expenses	33,922,000	34,389,000	60,343,000	69,082,000
Net Loss from Operations	(713,000)	(736,000)	(1,735,000)	(455,000)
Other Expense				
Loss on disposition of unconsolidated joint venture	(1,051,000)	-	(1,051,000)	-
Increase in fair value of derivative liabilities	-	(2,221,000)	-	(1,603,000)
Total Other Expense	(1,051,000)	(2,221,000)	(1,051,000)	(1,603,000)
Net loss before non-controlling interest	(1,764,000)	(2,957,000)	(2,786,000)	(2,058,000)
Non-controlling interest	(4,000)	(15,000)	(17,000)	(4,000)
Net loss	(1,760,000)	(2,942,000)	(2,769,000)	(2,054,000)
Preferred stock dividends	-	(103,000)	(93,000)	(207,000)
Net loss attributable to common stockholders	\$(1,760,000)	\$(3,045,000)	\$(2,862,000)	\$(2,261,000)
LOSS PER COMMON SHARE				
Net loss attributable to common stockholders: Basic	\$(0.07)	\$(0.04)	\$(0.12)	\$(0.03)
Net loss attributable to common stockholders: Diluted	\$(0.07)	\$(0.04)	\$(0.12)	\$(0.03)
Weighted average number of shares outstanding: Basic	26,088,530	20,232,815	23,855,581	18,943,242
	26,088,530	20,232,815	23,855,581	18,943,242

Weighted average number of shares outstanding:
Diluted

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited consolidated financial statements.

6

NATIONAL HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(Unaudited)

	Six-month period ended March 31,	
	2012	2011
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net loss	\$(2,769,000)	\$(2,054,000)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities		
Depreciation and amortization	599,000	639,000
Amortization of forgivable loans to brokers	143,000	228,000
Loss on disposition of unconsolidated joint venture	1,051,000	-
Amortization of note discount	198,000	198,000
Fair value of options	10,000	200,000
Provision bad debt	109,000	(14,000)
Net realized and unrealized loss/gain on securities	53,000	(71,000)
Non-controlling interest	(17,000)	(4,000)
Increase in fair value of derivative liabilities	-	1,603,000
Changes in assets and liabilities		
Deposits with clearing organizations	50,000	-
Receivables from broker-dealers, clearing organizations and others	(807,000)	331,000
Other receivables	(99,000)	(45,000)
Advances to registered representatives	50,000	190,000
Securities owned: marketable, at market value	(479,000)	(784,000)
Securities owned: non-marketable, at fair value	(34,000)	36,000
Other assets	(139,000)	(112,000)
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities	4,923,000	1,272,000
Payable to broker dealers and clearing organizations	18,000	(1,000)
Securities sold, but not yet purchased, at market	(2,000)	(1,000)
Net cash provided by operating activities	2,858,000	1,611,000
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Redemption of note receivable	-	500,000
Capital contribution to unconsolidated joint venture	(550,000)	-
Purchase of fixed assets	(93,000)	(31,000)
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(643,000)	469,000
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Repayment of subordinated borrowings	(60,000)	(550,000)
Repayment of notes payable	(3,000,000)	(500,000)
Proceeds from issuance of convertible note payable	3,100,000	-
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	-	1,585,000
Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock	-	1,334,000
Payment of financing costs	-	(169,000)
Net cash provided by financing activities	40,000	1,700,000
NET INCREASE IN CASH	2,255,000	3,780,000

CASH BALANCE

Beginning of the period	6,698,000	5,390,000
End of the period	\$8,953,000	\$9,170,000

SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION

Cash paid during the period for:

Interest	\$240,000	\$192,000
Income taxes	\$-	\$-

SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF NONCASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES

Preferred stock dividends	\$715,000	\$-
Fair value of shares of common stock to satisfy liabilities	\$450,000	\$-
Conversion of Series A Preferred Stock to shares of common stock	\$83,000	\$-
Reclassification of liability contracts to equity		6,464,000
Embedded conversion features upon issuance	\$-	\$530,000

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited consolidated financial statements.

NATIONAL HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
MARCH 31, 2012
(UNAUDITED)

NOTE 1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND GOING CONCERN

The accompanying consolidated financial statements of National Holdings Corporation (“National” or the “Company”) have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”) for interim financial statements and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and disclosures required for annual financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. The consolidated financial statements as of March 31, 2012 and for the six months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 are unaudited. The results of operations for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations for the fiscal year 2012. These consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related footnotes included thereto in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011.

Going Concern

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis. For the six-months ended March 31, 2012, the Company has a net loss of \$2,769,000 and a working capital deficit of approximately \$4,772,000 as of March 31, 2012. For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011 the Company had a net loss of \$4,713,000 and had a working capital deficit of \$3,936,000 as of September 30, 2011.

One of our convertible notes amounting to \$3,000,000 matures on June 30, 2012. The Company has also secured other convertible promissory notes aggregating \$4,000,000 during March and April 2012, which may mature as early as January 2013, or, if certain conditions are met, in March 2015. Such notes are unsecured, are solely the obligation of the parent company and not any of its operating subsidiaries, including our broker-dealer subsidiaries. Such notes are due to entities affiliated to one of the Company’s directors and one of the Company’s former directors. Our plan is to satisfy our obligations under the \$3,000,000 convertible note by either: 1) providing incentives to the holders to either extend the maturity of the notes or convert the notes into our shares of common stock, 2) securing additional financing between now and the maturity dates to repay the notes, 3) repay the notes in cash and other available current assets at maturity, or 4) a combination of the aforementioned options. Additionally, we intend to satisfy our obligations under the \$4,000,000 convertible promissory note by either: 1) securing the acceptance of the holder of its capital restructuring, which would allow the Company to firmly extend the maturity of the note to March 2015, 2) encourage the holder to convert its note to the Company’s Series E Preferred Stock in due course. While the Company believes that it will ultimately satisfy its obligations under such convertible notes, it cannot guarantee that it will be able to do so at favorable terms, or at all. Should the Company default on the convertible notes and the lender forecloses on the debt, the operations of our subsidiaries will not be initially impacted. Following a default, the lender could potentially take action which could adversely affect the operations of one of more of the operating subsidiaries.

NOTE 2. CONSOLIDATION

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of National and its wholly owned subsidiaries. National operates primarily through National Securities Corporation (“National Securities”), vFinance Investments, Inc. (“vFinance Investments”) and EquityStation, Inc. (“EquityStation”) (collectively, the “Broker Dealer Subsidiaries”). The Broker Dealer Subsidiaries conduct a national securities brokerage business through their main offices in New York, New York, Boca Raton, Florida, and Seattle, Washington.

Through its Broker Dealer Subsidiaries, the Company (1) offers full service retail brokerage to approximately 39,000 high net worth and institutional clients, (2) provides investment banking, merger, acquisition and advisory services to micro, small and mid-cap high growth companies, and (3) engages in trading securities, including making markets in over 5,000 securities, providing liquidity in both foreign and domestic issues on a variety of exchanges and also providing execution and technical analysis in the United States Treasury marketplace. The Broker Dealer Subsidiaries are introducing brokers and clear all transactions through clearing organizations on a fully disclosed basis. They are registered with the SEC, are members of FINRA and Securities Investor Protection Corporation ("SIPC"). National Securities and vFinance Investments are also members of the National Futures Association ("NFA").

In July 1994, National Securities formed a wholly owned subsidiary, National Asset Management, Inc., a Washington corporation ("NAM"). NAM is a federally-registered investment adviser providing asset management advisory services to high net worth clients for a fee based upon a percentage of assets managed. In March 2009, all of the issued and outstanding stock of NAM was transferred from National Securities to National. National formed a new wholly owned subsidiary, National Insurance Corporation, a Washington corporation ("National Insurance") in the first quarter of fiscal year 2006. National Insurance provides fixed insurance products to its clients, including life insurance, disability insurance, long-term care insurance and fixed annuities. National Insurance finalized certain requisite state registrations during the first quarter of fiscal year 2007 and commenced business operations that to date have been immaterial. All significant inter-company accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

NOTE 3. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from these estimates. Furthermore, the Company has been named as a defendant in various customer arbitrations. These claims result from the actions of brokers affiliated with the Company. We may have established liabilities for potential losses from such complaints, legal actions, government investigations and proceedings where necessary in accordance with GAAP. In establishing these liabilities, our management uses its judgment to determine the probability that losses have been incurred and a reasonable estimate of the amount of losses. In making these decisions, we base our judgments on our knowledge of the situations, consultations with legal counsel and our historical experience in resolving similar matters. In many lawsuits, arbitrations and regulatory proceedings, it is not possible to determine whether a liability has been incurred or to estimate the amount of that liability until the matter is close to resolution. However, accruals are reviewed regularly and are adjusted to reflect our estimates of the impact of developments, rulings, advice of counsel and any other information pertinent to a particular matter. Because of the inherent difficulty in predicting the ultimate outcome of legal and regulatory actions, we cannot predict with certainty the eventual loss or range of loss related to such matters. If our judgments prove to be incorrect, our liability for losses and contingencies may not accurately reflect actual losses that result from these actions, which could materially affect results in the period other expenses are ultimately determined. As of March 31, 2012 and September 30, 2011, we accrued approximately \$201,000 and \$310,000 respectively for these matters. These claims may be covered by our errors and omissions insurance policy. While we will vigorously defend ourselves in these matters, and will assert insurance coverage and indemnification to the maximum extent possible, there can be no assurance that these lawsuits and arbitrations will not have a material adverse impact on our financial position.

Reclassifications

Certain items in the 2011 financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the presentation in the 2012 financial statements. Such reclassifications did not have a material impact on the presentation of the overall financial statements.

Revenue Recognition

The Company generally acts as an agent in executing customer orders to buy or sell listed and over-the-counter securities in which it may or may not make a market, and charges commissions based on the services the Company provides to its customers. In executing customer orders to buy or sell a security in which the Company makes a market, the Company may sell to, or purchase from, customers at a price that is substantially equal to the current inter-dealer market price plus or minus a mark-up or mark-down. The Company may also act as agent and execute a customer's purchase or sale order with another broker-dealer market-maker at the best inter-dealer market price available and charge a commission. Mark-ups, mark-downs and commissions are generally priced competitively based on the services it provides to its customers. In each instance the commission charges, mark-ups or mark-downs, are in compliance with guidelines established by FINRA.

Customer security transactions and the related commission income and expense are recorded on a trade date basis. Customers who are financing their transaction on margin are charged interest. The Company's margin requirements are in accordance with the terms and conditions mandated by its clearing firms, National Financial Services LLC ("NFS"), Legent Clearing LLC ("Legent"), ICBC (formerly known as Fortis Securities, LLC ("ICBC") and Rosenthal Collins Group, LLC. ("Rosenthal"). The interest is billed on the average daily balance of the margin account.

Investment banking revenues include gains, losses, and fees, net of syndicate expenses, arising from securities offerings in which the Company acts as an underwriter or agent. Investment banking revenues also include fees earned from providing financial advisory services. Investment banking management fees are recorded on the offering date, sales concessions on the settlement date, and underwriting fees at the time the underwriting is completed and the income is reasonably determinable.

Net trading profits result from mark-ups and mark-downs in securities transactions entered into for the account of the Company. Some of these transactions may involve the Company taking a position in securities that may expose the Company to losses. Net trading profits are recorded on a trade date basis.

Clearing and other brokerage income are fees charged to the broker on customer's security transactions and are recognized as of the trade date.

Other revenue consists primarily of investment advisory fees which are account management fees for high net worth clients. These fees are determined based on a percentage of the customers assets under management, are billed monthly in arrears, quarterly in arrears and are recognized when collected. Some fees are collected quarterly in advance, and those are accounted for as deferred revenue until earned.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid temporary cash investments with an original maturity of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents.

Fixed Assets

Fixed assets are recorded at cost. Depreciation is calculated using the straight-line method based on the estimated useful lives of the related assets, which range from three to five years. Leasehold improvements are amortized using the straight-line method over the shorter of the estimated useful lives of the assets or the terms of the leases. Maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred; costs of major additions and betterments that extend the useful life of the asset are capitalized. When assets are retired or otherwise disposed of, the costs and related accumulated depreciation or amortization are removed from the accounts and any gain or loss on disposal is recognized.

Income Taxes

The Company recognizes deferred tax assets and liabilities based on the difference between the financial statements carrying amounts and the tax basis of assets and liabilities, using the effective tax rates in the years in which the differences are expected to reverse. A valuation allowance related to deferred tax assets is also recorded when it is more likely than not that some or all of the deferred tax asset may not be realized.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Effective January 1, 2008, the Company adopted FASB Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) 820-Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, or ASC 820, for assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis. ASC 820 establishes a common definition for fair value to be applied to existing generally accepted accounting principles that require the use of fair value measurements establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosure about such fair value measurements. The adoption of ASC 820 did not have an impact on the Company’s financial position or operating results, but did expand certain disclosures.

ASC 820 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Additionally, ASC 820 requires the use of valuation techniques that maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. These inputs are prioritized below:

- Level 1: Observable inputs such as quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities
- Level 2: Observable market-based inputs or unobservable inputs that are corroborated by market data
- Level 3: Unobservable inputs for which there is little or no market data, which require the use of the reporting entity’s own assumptions.

The Company had securities owned- nonmarketable and subordinated borrowings as Level 2 assets and liabilities and did not have any Level 3 assets as of March 31, 2012 and September 30, 2011. The carrying amounts of the convertible promissory notes at March 31, 2012 and September 30, 2011 approximate their respective fair value based on the Company’s incremental borrowing rate.

Cash and cash equivalents include money market securities that are considered to be highly liquid and easily tradable as of March 31, 2012 and September 30, 2011, respectively. These securities are valued using inputs observable in active markets for identical securities and are therefore classified as Level 1 within our fair value hierarchy. The fair value of the derivative liabilities is based on the quoted market prices of the Company’s stock.

In addition, FASB ASC 825-10-25 Fair Value Option, or ASC 825-10-25, was effective for January 1, 2008. ASC 825-10-25 expands opportunities to use fair value measurements in financial reporting and permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. The Company did not elect the fair value options for any of its qualifying financial instruments. The fair value of the derivative liabilities are classified as Level 2 within our fair value hierarchy.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

The Company reviews long-lived assets for impairment at least once a year or earlier if circumstances and situations change such that there is an indication that the carrying amounts may not be recovered, in accordance with professional standards. In such circumstances, the Company will estimate the future cash flows expected to result from the use of the asset and its eventual disposition. Future cash flows are the future cash inflows expected to be generated by an asset less the future outflows expected to be necessary to obtain those inflows. If the sum of the expected future cash flows (undiscounted and without interest charges) is less than the carrying amount of the asset, the Company will recognize an impairment loss to adjust to the fair value of the asset.

Common Stock Purchase Warrants

The Company accounts for the issuance of common stock purchase warrants issued in connection with capital financing transactions in accordance with professional standards for "Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments Indexed to, and Potentially Settled in, a Company's Own Stock". In accordance with professional standards, the Company classifies as equity any contracts that (i) require physical settlement or net-share settlement or (ii) gives the Company a choice of net-cash settlement or settlement in its own shares (physical settlement or net-share settlement). The Company classifies as assets or liabilities any contracts that (i) require net-cash settlement (including a requirement to net-cash settle the contract if an event occurs and if that event is outside the control of the Company) or (ii) gives the counterparty a choice of net-cash settlement or settlement in shares (physical settlement or net-share settlement).

The Company assessed the classification of its derivative financial instruments as of March 31, 2011, which consist of common stock purchase warrants, and determined that such warrants are equity contracts.

Convertible Instruments

The Company evaluates and accounts for conversion options embedded in its convertible instruments in accordance with professional standards for "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities".

Professional standards generally provide three criteria that, if met, require companies to bifurcate conversion options from their host instruments and account for them as free standing derivative financial instruments. These three criteria include circumstances in which (i) the economic characteristics and risks of the embedded derivative instrument are not clearly and closely related to the economic characteristics and risks of the host contract, (ii) the hybrid instrument that embodies both the embedded derivative instrument and the host contract is not re-measured at fair value under otherwise applicable generally accepted accounting principles with changes in fair value reported in earnings as they occur and (iii) a separate instrument with the same terms as the embedded derivative instrument would be considered a derivative instrument. Professional standards also provide an exception to this rule when the host instrument is deemed to be conventional as defined under professional standards as "The Meaning of "Conventional Convertible Debt Instrument."

The Company accounts for convertible instruments (when it has determined that the embedded conversion options should not be bifurcated from their host instruments) in accordance with professional standards for "Accounting for Convertible Securities with Beneficial Conversion Features," as those professional standards pertain to "Certain Convertible Instruments." Accordingly, the Company records, when necessary, discounts to convertible notes for the intrinsic value of conversion options embedded in debt instruments based upon the differences between the fair value of the underlying common stock at the commitment date of the note transaction and the effective conversion price embedded in the note. Debt discounts under these arrangements are amortized over the term of the related debt to their earliest date of redemption. The Company also records when necessary deemed dividends for the intrinsic value of

conversion options embedded in preferred shares based upon the differences between the fair value of the underlying common stock at the commitment date of the note transaction and the effective conversion price embedded in the note.

The Company evaluated the conversion option embedded in the Series A Preferred Stock and determined, in accordance with the provisions of these statements, that such conversion option does not meet the criteria requiring bifurcation of these instruments. The characteristics of the common stock that is issuable upon a holder's exercise of the conversion option embedded in the convertible preferred stock are deemed to be clearly and closely related to the characteristics of the preferred shares. Additionally, the Company's conversion options, if free standing, would not be considered derivatives subject to the accounting guidelines prescribed in accordance with professional standards.

Pursuant to 815-40-25-22, if the number of currently authorized but unissued shares, less the maximum number of shares that could be required to be delivered during the contract period under existing commitments, including outstanding convertible debt or instruments, outstanding stock options and warrants, exceeds the maximum number of shares that could be required to be delivered under share settlement of the contract, then the Company would be required to record a derivative liability. Certain warrants issued in September 2010 in connection with the issuance of the Series D Preferred Stock, even if not all vested, combined with the number of outstanding shares and common share equivalents under existing commitments, exceeded the maximum number of authorized shares. Accordingly, the share settlement of the exercise of such warrants was not within the control of the Company and was classified as liability.

Additionally, the Company needs to determine whether the instruments issued in the transactions are considered indexed to the Company's own stock. While the terms of the Series C Preferred Stock and Series D Preferred Stock transactions along with related warrants do not provide variability involving sales volume, stock index, commodity price, revenue targets, among other things, they do provide for variability involving future equity offerings and issuance of equity-linked financial instruments. While the instruments do not contain an exercise contingency, other than the passage of time for the warrants, the settlement of the warrants associated with the Series C Preferred Stock and the Series D Preferred Stock would not equal the difference between the fair value of a fixed number of the Company's common stock and a fixed stock price. Accordingly, they were not indexed to the Company's stock price.

The Company accounted for the embedded conversion features included in its Series C Preferred Stock and the Series D Preferred Stock as well as the related warrants and the warrants issued in connection with the issuance of the Company's shares of common stock during the three month period ended March 31, 2011 as derivative liabilities through March 31, 2011. At March 31, 2011, all such financial instruments were reclassified as equity contracts.

Net Loss per Common Share

Basic net loss per share is computed on the basis of the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. Diluted net income (loss) per share is computed on the basis of the weighted average number of common shares outstanding plus the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common shares were exercised or converted.

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	March 31, 2012	March 31, 2011	March 31, 2012	March 31, 2011
Numerator:				
Net loss	\$(1,760,000)	\$(2,942,000)	\$(2,769,000)	\$(2,054,000)
Preferred stock dividends	-	(103,000)	(93,000)	(207,000)
Increase in fair value of derivative liabilities	-	2,221,000	-	1,603,000
Numerator for basic earnings per share- loss attributable to common stockholders - as adjusted	(1,760,000)	(824,000)	(2,862,000)	(658,000)
Numerator for diluted earnings per share-net loss attributable to common stockholders - as adjusted	\$(1,760,000)	\$(824,000)	\$(2,862,000)	\$(658,000)
Denominator:				
Denominator for basic earnings per share--weighted average shares	26,088,530	20,232,815	23,855,581	18,943,242
Effect of dilutive securities:				
Assumed conversion of Series A preferred stock	-	-	-	-
Assumed conversion of Series C and D preferred stock	-	-	-	-
Stock options	-	-	-	-
Warrants	-	-	-	-
Dilutive potential common shares	-	-	-	-
Denominator for diluted earnings per share--adjusted weighted-average shares and assumed conversions	26,088,530	20,232,815	23,855,581	18,943,242
Loss per share:				
Net loss available to common stockholders				
Basic and diluted	\$(0.07)	\$(0.04)	\$(0.12)	\$(0.03)

The weighted-average anti-dilutive common share equivalents are as follows:

	Three-month period ended		Six-month period ended	
	March 31, 2012	March 31, 2011	March 31, 2012	March 31, 2011
Series A Preferred Stock	-	3,684,000	1,842,000	3,684,000
Series C and D Preferred Stock	9,416,692	9,416,692	9,416,692	9,416,692
Convertible notes payable	3,443,889	3,375,000	3,409,065	3,375,000
Options	2,809,611	4,764,837	3,143,164	4,601,317
Warrants	14,842,941	15,048,942	14,884,607	14,067,275
	30,513,133	36,289,471	32,695,528	35,144,284

The anti-dilutive common shares outstanding at March 31, 2012 and 2011 are as follows:

	March 31,	
	2012	2011
Series A Preferred Stock	-	3,684,000
Series C Preferred Stock	3,416,692	3,416,692
Series D Preferred Stock	6,000,000	6,000,000
Convertible notes payable	7,700,000	3,375,000
Options	2,544,910	4,274,277
Warrants	14,717,941	15,173,941
	34,379,543	35,923,910

Stock-Based Compensation

Effective October 1, 2005, the Company adopted ASC Topic 718 accounting for “Share Based Payment.” This topic addresses all forms of share based payment (“SBP”) awards including shares issued under employee stock purchase plans, stock options, restricted stock and stock appreciation rights. Under Topic 718, SBP awards will result in a charge to operations that will be measured at fair value on the awards grant date, based on the estimated number of awards expected to vest over the service period.

The Black-Scholes option valuation model is used to estimate the fair value of the options granted. The model includes subjective input assumptions that can materially affect the fair value estimates. The model was developed for use in estimating the fair value of traded options that have no vesting restrictions and that are fully transferable. For example, the expected volatility is estimated based on the most recent historical period of time equal to the weighted average life of the options granted. Options issued under the Company's option plans have characteristics that differ from traded options.

The Company did not grant options during the three and six-month periods ended March 31, 2012 and 2011.

The following activity occurred under our plan:

	Three-month period ended March 31,	
	2012	2011
Fair value of options recognized as expense:	\$4,000	\$100,000

	Six-month period ended March 31,	
	2012	2011
Fair value of options recognized as expense:	\$10,000	\$200,000

The total compensation cost related to non-vested options not yet recognized amounted to approximately \$4,745 at March 31, 2012 and the Company expects that it will be recognized over the following weighted-average period of 11 months.

Concentrations of Credit Risk

The Company is engaged in trading and providing a broad range of securities brokerage and investment services to a diverse group of retail and institutional clientele, as well as corporate finance and investment banking services to corporations and businesses. Counterparties to the Company's business activities include broker-dealers and clearing organizations, banks and other financial institutions. The Company primarily uses clearing brokers to process transactions and maintain customer accounts on a fee basis for the Company. The Company uses three clearing brokers for substantially all of its business. The Company permits the clearing firms to extend credit to its clientele secured by cash and securities in the client's account. The Company's exposure to credit risk associated with the non-performance by its customers and counterparties in fulfilling their contractual obligations can be directly impacted by volatile or illiquid trading markets, which may impair the ability of customers and counterparties to satisfy their obligations to the Company. The Company has agreed to indemnify the clearing brokers for losses they incur while extending credit to the Company's clients. It is the Company's policy to review, as necessary, the credit standing of its customers and counterparties. Amounts due from customers that are considered uncollectible by the clearing broker are charged back to the Company by the clearing broker when such amounts become determinable. Upon notification of a charge back, such amounts, in total or in part, are then either (i) collected from the customers, (ii) charged to the broker initiating the transaction and included in other receivables in the accompanying consolidated statements of financial condition, and/or (iii) charged as an expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of financial condition, based on the particular facts and circumstances.

The Company maintains cash with major financial institutions. All interest bearing accounts are insured up to \$250,000. On October 14, 2008 the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (“FDIC”) announced its temporary Transaction Account Guarantee Program, which provides full coverage for non-interest bearing transaction deposit accounts at FDIC-insured institutions that agree to participate in the program. The transaction account guarantee applies to all personal and business checking deposit accounts that do not earn interest at participating institutions. This unlimited insurance coverage is temporary and will remain in effect for participating institutions until December 31, 2012. As a result of this coverage the Company believes it is not exposed to any significant credit risks for cash.

Other Receivables

The Company extends unsecured credit in the normal course of business to its registered representatives. The determination of the amount of uncollectible accounts is based on the amount of credit extended and the length of time each receivable has been outstanding, as it relates to each individual registered representative. The allowance for doubtful accounts reflecting the amount of loss that can be reasonably estimated by management is included in operating expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

Advances to Registered Representatives

Advances are given to certain registered representatives as an incentive for their affiliation with the Broker Dealer Subsidiaries. The representative signs an independent contractor agreement with the Broker Dealer Subsidiaries for a specified term, typically a three-year period. The advance is then amortized on a straight-line basis over the life of the broker’s agreement with the Broker Dealer Subsidiaries, and is included in commission expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. In the event a representative’s affiliation terminates prior to the fulfillment of their contract, the representative is required to repay the unamortized balance.

Securities Owned

Marketable securities which consist of publicly traded unrestricted common stock and bonds are valued at the closing price on the valuation date. Non-marketable securities consist partly of restricted common stock and of non-tradable warrants exercisable into freely tradable common stock of public companies. They are carried at fair value as determined based on the market price of the underlying security.

Other Assets

Other assets consist primarily of reimbursable prepaid expenses and lease deposits.

Legal and Other Contingencies

The outcomes of legal proceedings and claims brought against us are subject to significant uncertainty. ASC 450-20, Accounting for Contingencies, requires that an estimated loss from a loss contingency such as a legal proceeding or claim should be accrued by a charge to income if it is probable that an asset has been impaired or a liability has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. Disclosure of a contingency is required if there is at least a reasonable possibility that a loss has been incurred. In determining whether a loss should be accrued we evaluate, among other factors, the degree of probability of an unfavorable outcome and the ability to make a reasonable estimate of the amount of loss. Changes in these factors could materially impact our results of operations, financial position, or our cash flows.

Recent Accounting Guidance Adopted

Accounting Standard Update (“ASU”) No. 2010-06 provides amendments to ASC Subtopic 820-10 that requires new disclosures as follows:

- Transfers in and out of Level 1 and 2. A reporting entity should disclose separately the amounts of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and describe the reasons for the transfers.
 - Activity in Level 3 fair value measurements. In the reconciliation for fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3), a reporting entity should present separately information about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements (that is, on a gross basis rather than as one net number.)

ASU No. 2010-06 provides amendments to ASC Subtopic 820-10 that clarifies existing disclosures as follows:

- Level of disaggregation. A reporting entity should provide fair value measurement disclosures for each class of assets and liabilities. A class is often a subset of assets or liabilities within a line item in the statement of financial position. A reporting entity needs to use judgment in determining the appropriate classes of assets and liabilities.
- Disclosures about inputs and valuation techniques. A reporting entity should provide disclosures about the valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value for both recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements. Those disclosures are required for fair value measurements that fall in either Level 2 or Level 3.

The new guidance is effective for the Company beginning October 1, 2011 and has financial statement presentation changes only.

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-04, Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs. The guidance amends the accounting and disclosure requirements on fair value measurements. The new guidance limits the highest-and-best-use measure to nonfinancial assets, permits certain financial assets and liabilities with offsetting positions in market or counterparty credit risks to be measured at a net basis, and provides guidance on the applicability of premiums and discounts. Additionally, the new guidance expands the disclosures on Level 3 inputs by requiring quantitative disclosure of the unobservable inputs and assumptions, as well as description of the valuation processes and the sensitivity of the fair value to changes in unobservable inputs. The new guidance became effective for the Company beginning January 1, 2012 but is not expected to have a material impact on our Fair Value disclosures.

Recent Accounting Guidance Not Yet Adopted

In September 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-08, Testing Goodwill for Impairment. The new guidance provides an entity the option to first perform a qualitative assessment to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If an entity determines that this is the case, it is required to perform the currently prescribed two-step goodwill impairment test to identify potential goodwill impairment and measure the amount of goodwill impairment loss to be recognized for that reporting unit (if any). If an entity determines that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, the two-step goodwill impairment test is not required. The new guidance will be effective for the Company beginning October 1, 2012. Earlier adoption is permitted.

A variety of proposed or otherwise potential accounting standards are currently under study by standard setting organizations and various regulatory agencies. Due to the tentative and preliminary nature of those proposed standards, management has not determined whether implementation of such proposed standards would be material to the Company's consolidated financial statements.

NOTE 4. CLEARING AGREEMENTS

On February 1, 2010, National Securities Corporation and vFinance Investments, Inc. entered into separate but coterminous clearing agreements with National Financial Services, LLC with a termination date of February 1, 2015. The clearing agreement includes a termination fee if either broker dealer terminates the agreement without cause. In November 2011, a letter terminating the clearing agreement between EquityStation and Penson Financial Services, Inc. ("Penson"), a former clearing firm of the Company was sent by EquityStation. This agreement and relationship ended shortly after December 31, 2011 and as of the date of this filing the clearing deposit held by Penson has been returned to EquityStation. The Broker Dealer Subsidiaries currently have clearing agreements with NFS, Legent, ICBC and Rosenthal.

NOTE 5. BROKER-DEALERS AND CLEARING ORGANIZATIONS RECEIVABLES AND PAYABLES

At March 31, 2012 and September 30, 2011, the receivables of \$3,521,000 and \$2,714,000, respectively, from broker-dealers and clearing organizations represent net amounts due for fees and commissions. At March 31, 2012 and September 30, 2011, the amounts payable to broker-dealers and clearing organizations of \$157,000 and \$139,000, respectively, represent amounts owed to clearing firms or other broker dealers for fees on transactions and payables to other broker dealers associated with tri-party clearing agreements.

NOTE 6. SECURITIES OWNED AND SECURITIES SOLD, BUT NOT YET PURCHASED AT FAIR VALUE

The following tables show the fair market values of securities owned by the Company, and securities sold but not yet purchased by the Company, as of March 31, 2012 and September 30, 2011:

Fair Value Measurements

As of March 31, 2012

Securities owned at fair value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Corporate stocks	\$76,000	-	-	\$76,000

Kindred's ability to integrate the operations of the acquired operations and realize the anticipated revenues, economies of scale, cost synergies and productivity gains in connection with the merger and any other acquisitions that may be undertaken during 2011, as and when planned, including the potential for unanticipated issues, expenses and liabilities associated with those acquisitions and the risk that RehabCare fails to meet its expected financial and operating targets;

Corporate bonds

the potential for diversion of management time and resources in seeking to complete the merger and integrate its operations;

the potential failure of Kindred to retain key employees of RehabCare;

the impact of Kindred's significantly increased levels of indebtedness as a result of the merger on Kindred's funding costs, operating flexibility and ability to fund ongoing operations with additional borrowings, particularly in light of ongoing volatility in the credit and capital markets;

the potential for dilution to Kindred stockholders as a result of the merger;

Table of Contents

the ability of Kindred to operate pursuant to the terms of its debt obligations, including Kindred's obligations under financing undertaken to complete the merger, and the ability of Kindred to operate pursuant to its master lease agreements with Ventas, Inc.;

the calculations of, and factors that would impact the calculations of, the acquisition price in accordance with the methodologies of the provisions of the authoritative guidance for business combinations, the allocation of this acquisition price to the net assets acquired, and the effect of this allocation on future results, including Kindred's earnings per share, when calculated on a GAAP basis;

general economic conditions are less favorable than expected;

changes in both companies' businesses during the period between now and the completion of the merger might have adverse impacts on Kindred;

liability for litigation, administrative actions, and similar disputes;

the inability to obtain, renew or modify permits in a timely manner, comply with government regulations or make capital expenditures required to maintain compliance;

changes in laws and regulations or interpretations or applications thereof;

the impact of healthcare reform, which will initiate significant reforms to the United States healthcare system, including potential material changes to the delivery of healthcare services and the reimbursement paid for such services by the government or other third party payors;

changes in the reimbursement rates or the methods or timing of payment from third party payors, including commercial payors and the Medicare and Medicaid programs, changes arising from and related to the Medicare prospective payment system for LTAC hospitals, including potential changes in the Medicare payment rules, the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003, and changes in Medicare and Medicaid reimbursements for nursing centers, and the expiration of the Medicare Part B therapy cap exception process; and

the effects of additional legislative changes and government regulations, interpretation of regulations and changes in the nature and enforcement of regulations governing the healthcare industry.

Additional factors that may affect future results are contained in Kindred's and RehabCare's filings with the SEC, which are available at the SEC's website at www.sec.gov. Many of these factors are beyond the control of Kindred or RehabCare.

Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date hereof and are not guarantees of performance or results. There can be no assurance that forward-looking statements will prove to be accurate. Stockholders should also understand that it is not possible to predict or identify all risk factors and that neither this list nor the factors identified in Kindred's and RehabCare's SEC filings should be considered a complete statement of all potential risks and uncertainties. Kindred and RehabCare undertake no obligation to publicly update or release any revisions to these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date hereof except as required by law.

Table of Contents

THE MERGER

The following is a description of the material aspects of the merger, which may not contain all of the information that is important to you and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the merger agreement attached to this joint proxy statement/prospectus as Annex A. We encourage you to read carefully this entire joint proxy statement/prospectus, including the merger agreement attached to this joint proxy statement/prospectus as Annex A, for a more complete understanding of the merger.

Overview

The Kindred board of directors and the RehabCare board of directors have each unanimously approved the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, including the merger. Pursuant to the merger agreement, RehabCare will be merged with and into Kindred, with Kindred surviving the merger, provided that either Kindred or RehabCare may, prior to the effective time, elect to change the method of effecting the merger to provide for the subsidiary merger election.

At the effective time, each share of RehabCare common stock outstanding immediately prior to the effective time, other than shares owned by Kindred or RehabCare or their respective wholly owned subsidiaries, or shares owned by stockholders who have properly exercised and perfected appraisal rights under Delaware law will be converted into the right to receive 0.471 shares of Kindred common stock and \$26.00 in cash, without interest. Kindred will not issue any fractional shares as a result of the merger. Instead, RehabCare stockholders will receive cash in lieu of fractional shares, if any, of Kindred common stock. Each share of Kindred common stock outstanding immediately prior to the effective time will remain outstanding and will not be affected by the merger. Upon completion of the transaction, RehabCare stockholders will own approximately 23% of Kindred's outstanding common stock.

As a result of the merger, RehabCare will cease to be a publicly traded company.

Background of the Merger

As part of its continuing evaluation of strategic alternatives, the RehabCare board of directors and management regularly evaluate RehabCare's business strategy and prospects for growth and consider opportunities to improve RehabCare's operations and financial performance in order to create value for RehabCare stockholders. As part of this process, the RehabCare board of directors, in consultation with RehabCare's management and outside legal and financial advisors, evaluates and pursues a number of opportunities to expand and diversify RehabCare's business.

In late 2007 through early 2008, senior management of RehabCare and Kindred engaged in preliminary discussions regarding a possible acquisition of RehabCare by Kindred. The parties considered the complementary aspects of their businesses and the potential benefits that a strategic business combination of RehabCare and Kindred could provide, including cost and revenue related synergies and the related potential benefits to stockholders, employees, patients and other important constituents of both companies. The parties were unable to agree on a mutually acceptable valuation for RehabCare, and those discussions were discontinued.

During the spring and summer of 2010, RehabCare's stock price dropped significantly, which management believed was caused by the general uncertainty surrounding healthcare reform. RehabCare's board of directors and management believed that the uncertainties that RehabCare was facing could limit its ability to grow, including by limiting its ability to finance large transactions which otherwise might be desirable for RehabCare's growth. Consequently, as part of its normal evaluation of strategic alternatives, the RehabCare board of directors requested that CGMI attend the regularly scheduled meeting of the RehabCare board of directors on August 2 and August 3, 2010 to discuss potential strategic alternatives for RehabCare.

Table of Contents

The RehabCare board of directors held a regularly scheduled meeting on August 2 and August 3, 2010 and a special meeting on August 18, 2010. As part of those meetings, CGMI provided a general overview of various strategic alternatives, including analyzing various standalone alternatives, potential acquisition targets, and potential financial and strategic partners. The RehabCare board of directors discussed with management and CGMI the risks and benefits of pursuing those strategic alternatives, including the execution risks associated with pursuing the standalone alternatives and potential acquisitions, and the confidentiality risks associated with commencing a process to gauge interest in the acquisition of RehabCare. The RehabCare board of directors reviewed several healthcare companies and financial sponsors to determine if any of them would be likely partners for a business combination. With input from CGMI and RehabCare management, the RehabCare board of directors concluded that, other than Kindred, there were no logical strategic acquirors of RehabCare at that time. While the RehabCare board of directors believed that Kindred could be a potential strategic acquiror, the board was skeptical of Kindred's ability and willingness to complete an acquisition of RehabCare at an acceptable price, based in large part on the parties' prior discussions with respect to a potential transaction. At the conclusion of the August 18, 2010 meeting, the RehabCare board of directors engaged CGMI to contact certain financial sponsors to determine interest in a potential acquisition of RehabCare. Based on the RehabCare board of directors' analysis, after consultation with RehabCare management and CGMI, of the potential strategic partners and their respective ability and anticipated interest in pursuing a strategic combination, as well as concerns regarding sharing sensitive information with competitors, CGMI was directed by the RehabCare board of directors not to contact potential strategic acquirors at such time.

On September 27, 2010, RehabCare entered into a formal engagement letter with CGMI to serve as its financial advisor in connection with a possible strategic transaction.

As directed by the RehabCare board of directors, beginning on October 1, 2010, CGMI contacted nine financial sponsors to evaluate potential interest in the acquisition of RehabCare. Eight of the nine financial sponsors contacted by CGMI executed confidentiality agreements with RehabCare, and CGMI, as directed by the RehabCare board of directors, thereafter delivered additional information regarding RehabCare to those parties, including RehabCare management's financial model, a business overview and a preliminary financing analysis performed by CGMI. Management presentations were made to five of those financial sponsors, including Party A and Party B.

Throughout 2010, as part of CGMI's regular client coverage of Kindred, CGMI regularly communicated and met with Kindred senior management to discuss various potential transactions and various potential acquisition candidates, including RehabCare. As part of these conversations, CGMI or its affiliates discussed providing acquisition financing to Kindred.

On October 7, 2010, Paul Diaz, Kindred's President and Chief Executive Officer, met with representatives of CGMI, as part of this regular client coverage, to review an analysis of potential targets, including RehabCare and other potential targets, for Kindred's consideration of possible strategic alternatives. Mr. Diaz subsequently asked CGMI to prepare an analysis of a possible combination of Kindred and RehabCare for consideration by the Kindred board of directors. CGMI asked for RehabCare's permission to prepare such analysis, noting that Kindred had actively considered the combination of RehabCare and Kindred as a strategic alternative for several years. After receiving permission from Dr. John Short, President and Chief Executive Officer of RehabCare and a member of RehabCare's board of directors, CGMI prepared an analysis based on publicly available information with respect to RehabCare, including an accretion/dilution analysis of a possible combination at purchase prices ranging from \$30.00 to \$35.00 per share of RehabCare common stock. Mr. Diaz included this analysis in written materials provided to the Kindred board of directors, which discussed a possible combination with RehabCare at its regularly scheduled October 27 and 28, 2010 meeting, which CGMI did not attend. During this period, CGMI also indicated to Mr. Diaz that it had been engaged by the RehabCare board of directors to advise it in connection with strategic alternatives.

On October 28, 2010, Mr. Diaz contacted CGMI to express the Kindred board of directors' interest in exploring a combination with RehabCare and to inquire about appropriate next steps. CGMI reminded Mr. Diaz

Table of Contents

that it would not be able to represent Kindred in connection with a possible transaction with RehabCare, but that it would inform RehabCare of Kindred's interest. Following discussions with Dr. Short, CGMI indicated to Mr. Diaz that he should contact Dr. Short directly to communicate Kindred's desire to explore a potential transaction.

On October 29, 2010, Party A submitted a preliminary indication of interest to acquire RehabCare at a price ranging from \$25.00 to \$27.00 per share. On November 1, 2010, Party B submitted its preliminary indication of interest to acquire RehabCare at a price ranging from \$27.00 to \$30.00 per share. The other seven financial sponsors did not submit indications of interest and withdrew from the process.

On November 2, 2010, the RehabCare board of directors held a regularly scheduled meeting. At this meeting, CGMI updated the RehabCare board of directors on the status of the process with the financial sponsors, including the details of the indications of interest delivered by Party A and Party B. CGMI also discussed its contact with Mr. Diaz and Kindred's interest in pursuing a possible combination, and provided the RehabCare board of directors with preliminary pro forma financial analysis of the two companies on a combined basis, using publicly available information with respect to Kindred. The RehabCare board of directors discussed in detail the proposals received from Party A and Party B, and determined that these proposals did not provide adequate value to the RehabCare stockholders and would not be pursued further at such time. The RehabCare board of directors further discussed the contact from Kindred and the advantages and disadvantages of pursuing a transaction with Kindred. The RehabCare board of directors engaged in a preliminary discussion of the benefits of a transaction with Kindred, including the potential that such a combination would present compelling synergies, but also considered the parties' prior failed discussions. The RehabCare board of directors also discussed various other strategic alternatives, including the potential benefits and the execution risks of continuing as a stand alone entity and implementing its current strategic plans. The RehabCare board of directors tabled further discussion of pursuing a transaction with Kindred pending further contact from Kindred.

On November 4, 2010, Mr. Diaz called Dr. Short to inform him of Kindred's renewed interest in acquiring RehabCare. Mr. Diaz verbally expressed to Dr. Short that Kindred would be interested in pursuing an all cash acquisition of RehabCare, at a price ranging from \$32.00 to \$34.00 per share.

The RehabCare board of directors was informed of the verbal expression of interest made by Kindred during a special meeting held on November 13, 2010. After consideration of Kindred's proposal, the RehabCare board of directors determined to engage in further discussions with Kindred to explore a potential transaction, established a special committee of the RehabCare board of directors (whom we refer to as the RehabCare special committee), consisting of Harry Rich, Anthony Pizsel and Theodore Wight, to supervise the process and any negotiations, and authorized the RehabCare special committee to retain legal counsel to represent the RehabCare board of directors in connection with consideration of a potential transaction. In addition, the RehabCare board of directors asked CGMI to prepare, and review with its internal credit committee, an analysis of the ability to finance an acquisition in the proposed range of prices.

On or about November 16, 2010, Dr. Short contacted Mr. Diaz and informed him that the RehabCare board of directors had determined to pursue discussions regarding a potential transaction. Dr. Short conveyed to Mr. Diaz that three threshold issues to be addressed were the commitment of the Kindred board of directors to the proposed transaction, Kindred's ability to finance the transaction, and the need to achieve a price for RehabCare stockholders above the \$32.00 to \$34.00 per share range. Concurrently, CGMI informed Kindred that its internal credit committee would be analyzing the financeability of the acquisition in the proposed range of prices.

On November 27, 2010, RehabCare and Kindred entered into a mutual confidentiality agreement that contained reciprocal standstill obligations of the parties. RehabCare began preparation of a data room containing due diligence materials for Kindred's review.

Dr. Short and Mr. Diaz met on November 29, 2010 in Washington, D.C. at an industry meeting of the American Hospital Association and discussed the key topics to be addressed at an upcoming meeting of representatives of their respective boards of directors. Dr. Short and Mr. Diaz also discussed potential accretion and synergies if a business combination were to occur.

Table of Contents

At a November 30, 2010 meeting of the RehabCare special committee, CGMI presented its analysis of Kindred's ability to finance an acquisition of RehabCare at various prices. Based on this preliminary assessment, CGMI believed Kindred would likely need to include an equity component as part of the transaction consideration if it was to enter into a transaction at a price at or above its initial range.

On December 2, 2010, the members of the RehabCare special committee and Dr. Short met with Edward L. Kuntz, chairman of the Kindred board of directors, Dr. Thomas Cooper, Kindred's lead independent director, and Mr. Diaz to discuss the terms of a potential transaction. Among the topics discussed were transaction value, the availability of financing for the transaction, and RehabCare's request for a go shop feature to be included in any formal proposal to be made by Kindred. In addition, in light of the previous, failed discussions between the parties regarding a business combination, the RehabCare special committee sought assurances that the full Kindred board of directors supported the proposed transaction.

The RehabCare special committee held meetings on December 7, 2010 and December 10, 2010, with Bryan Cave LLP (whom we refer to as Bryan Cave), counsel to the RehabCare board of directors. During these meetings, Bryan Cave reviewed and discussed with the RehabCare special committee the terms of the proposed merger agreement to be provided to Kindred, and a potential timeline for the transaction. The draft merger agreement was delivered to Morgan Stanley, financial advisor to Kindred, following the RehabCare special committee meeting on December 10, 2010.

On December 12, 2010, Mr. Diaz and Dr. Short had a call to continue their discussions on the potential transaction and to discuss an agenda for the meeting between the Kindred and RehabCare management teams. Mr. Diaz updated the Kindred board of directors on the status of the discussions at the regularly scheduled Kindred board of directors meeting on December 15 and 16, 2010. On December 16, 2010, members of Kindred's management team, including representatives from Morgan Stanley, met with representatives of RehabCare's management team, including representatives from CGMI, to discuss RehabCare's businesses and operations and the potential synergies from a combination of the companies.

On or about December 16, 2010, Kindred asked each of JPMorgan, Morgan Stanley and CGMI to independently develop and submit a proposal for the potential financing of a transaction. Kindred, with a market capitalization at the time of under \$700 million, believed that these were the three banks most familiar with its operations and credit profile and therefore would be the banks that would be able to provide financing commitments that would be most favorable to Kindred, from perspectives of conditionality and pricing, as well as from the perspective of the speed with which they could make decisions relating to financing commitments to Kindred. In particular, Kindred took into account the roles, under Kindred's credit facility, of JPMorgan, as bookrunner, lead arranger and a lender, and of an affiliate of CGMI, as syndication agent and a lender.

Upon receipt of Kindred's invitation in December to submit a proposal for the potential financing of a transaction, CGMI informed Mr. Rich and Dr. Short of Kindred's request, as well as the internal procedures that CGMI and its affiliates intended to put in place in the event RehabCare consented to CGMI's or its affiliates' potential participation in the financing. These procedures would include having separate teams of representatives participate in each part of the transaction, and a requirement that RehabCare would engage a separate financial advisor to analyze the proposed transaction from a financial point of view for the RehabCare board of directors and, if appropriate, deliver an opinion to the RehabCare board of directors. Moreover, CGMI agreed that it would reduce its fees in connection with the fees that would be paid for the second financial advisor and that CGMI and its affiliates would neither lead Kindred's financing syndicate nor provide more than 50% of the total amount financed.

At meetings of the RehabCare special committee held on December 17, 2010 and December 23, 2010, Bryan Cave updated the RehabCare special committee on recent conversations with Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP (whom we refer to as Cleary Gottlieb), legal counsel to Kindred, regarding process, timing and potential transaction structure. In addition, Mr. Rich advised the RehabCare special committee that the leveraged

Table of Contents

finance group of CGMI had been invited to submit a proposal to Kindred to participate in the financing syndication for the proposed transaction, and described the internal procedures CGMI had informed him would be put in place at CGMI and its affiliates regarding the potential dual roles in the transaction. The RehabCare special committee discussed the desirability of permitting CGMI's leveraged finance group to participate in Kindred's financing syndicate to enhance the certainty of Kindred's ability to finance the transaction, and discussed with Bryan Cave the need to engage an additional financial advisor to the RehabCare board of directors in the event CGMI and its affiliates participated in Kindred's financing syndicate. The RehabCare special committee concluded that if acceptable deal terms were reached with Kindred, and CGMI was invited to participate in Kindred's financing syndicate, the RehabCare board of directors would likely consent to CGMI's and its affiliates participation in Kindred's financing syndicate subject to the conditions previously discussed and subject to the requirement that the fees and expenses of the second financial advisor be fully credited against the amount RehabCare had previously agreed to pay CGMI for its financial advisory services. The RehabCare special committee directed Mr. Rich to contact certain parties to discuss potential engagement to evaluate the fairness of the proposed transaction from a financial point of view, and to confirm to CGMI that it and its affiliates were authorized to submit a proposal to Kindred, subject to the conditions discussed.

Throughout December 2010, Kindred continued its due diligence review of RehabCare and participated in various diligence meetings and telephone conferences with RehabCare management. Subsequent to the December invitation to JPMorgan, Morgan Stanley and CGMI to submit proposals to finance the transaction, senior management of Kindred engaged in regular communications with these prospective sources of debt financing, RehabCare and RehabCare's advisors regarding the proposed acquisition financing.

On December 28, 2010, Kindred delivered a written proposal to RehabCare, which proposal was for a price of \$32.00 per share, payable \$27.00 in cash and \$5.00 in Kindred common stock. Kindred's proposal included indicative terms of the debt financing commitments it expected to receive, and provided a summary of key issues identified in the draft merger agreement previously provided by RehabCare, including Kindred's requirement that the closing of the transaction be conditioned on its receipt of financing and that the go shop provision proposed by RehabCare be replaced with a window shop provision.

During a regular meeting of the RehabCare board of directors held on December 29, 2010, the RehabCare board of directors reviewed the proposal delivered by Kindred. Bryan Cave also reviewed with the RehabCare board of directors the legal duties applicable to its consideration of a potential transaction. During the meeting, CGMI reviewed with the RehabCare board of directors certain financial items relating to Kindred's proposal, and Bryan Cave reviewed various legal aspects. CGMI also described to the RehabCare board of directors its preliminary analysis of the indicative financing terms included in Kindred's proposal and confirmed CGMI's belief that at or above the price proposed by Kindred, Kindred would need to complete an equity offering before closing or include stock as a part of the consideration. The RehabCare board of directors discussed its disappointment over the price per share and other aspects of Kindred's proposal. After extensive discussion of the offer and the stand alone prospects of RehabCare, the RehabCare board of directors determined that it would not accept Kindred's offer. Dr. Short also presented an alternative transaction to the RehabCare board of directors to be considered in the event that Kindred was not able to improve its offer to a level acceptable to the RehabCare board of directors, pursuant to which RehabCare would offer to acquire Kindred. After continuing discussions regarding the advantages and disadvantages of a potential transaction with Kindred and the proposed alternative transaction, the RehabCare board of directors instructed Dr. Short to contact Mr. Diaz to determine if Kindred would be willing to increase its offer to a level that the RehabCare board of directors might find attractive, and to express RehabCare's willingness to alternatively explore an acquisition of Kindred. In addition, the RehabCare board of directors, along with Bryan Cave, discussed Kindred's invitation to CGMI's leveraged finance group to submit a proposal to participate in Kindred's financing syndicate and the desirability of permitting CGMI's leveraged finance group to participate in such financing, if chosen by Kindred to do so, in order to enhance the certainty of Kindred's ability to finance the transaction. After this discussion, the RehabCare board of directors acknowledged that, subject to satisfaction of the conditions the RehabCare special committee had previously discussed with CGMI, it would consent to CGMI's leveraged finance group participating in Kindred's financing syndicate if it were invited to do so.

Table of Contents

Dr. Short contacted Mr. Diaz and informed him that the proposal delivered by Kindred on December 28, 2010 was viewed as inadequate and was not supported by the RehabCare board of directors. Dr. Short communicated to Mr. Diaz that the RehabCare board of directors would be willing to continue discussions with Kindred regarding a potential transaction at a price of \$35.50 per share or greater, as well as RehabCare's willingness to explore the alternative structure if Kindred was unable to improve the terms of its offer. RehabCare delivered a letter to Kindred on December 31, 2010 reflecting the principal elements of the conversation between Dr. Short and Mr. Diaz on December 28, 2010, as well as providing RehabCare's response to the key issues in the merger agreement identified by Kindred in its December 28, 2010 proposal.

During the week of January 3, 2011, representatives of RehabCare and Kindred continued to communicate regarding terms of a potential transaction. Given the proposed equity component of the merger consideration, they also discussed whether Kindred would consider providing an appropriate level of representation from the current RehabCare board of directors on the post-transaction Kindred board of directors. In addition, Kindred continued its due diligence process.

On January 7, 2011, Kindred delivered a revised proposal to RehabCare, increasing its offer price to \$35.00 per share, with \$26.00 payable in cash and \$9.00 payable in Kindred common stock. Kindred noted that its ongoing discussions with JPMorgan, Morgan Stanley and CGMI regarding acquisition financing reaffirmed its confidence that it would obtain a firm commitment on financing in the next few weeks. In addition, the revised proposal provided an updated transaction timeline, an outline of certain terms that Kindred would be including in its markup of the merger agreement, and a description of open due diligence items.

At meetings of the RehabCare special committee held on January 9 and January 10, 2011, CGMI and Bryan Cave discussed the terms of Kindred's revised proposal with the RehabCare special committee and Dr. Short. CGMI noted that the increased stock portion of the consideration proposed by Kindred would obviate the need for Kindred to undertake an equity offering between signing and closing the proposed transaction and would assist in the certainty of Kindred obtaining financing for the transaction. CGMI also described the fixed exchange ratio structure proposed by Kindred relating to the stock component, and discussed other potential alternative structures for the stock portion of the proposal that could be considered. The RehabCare special committee considered the accretive nature of the transaction on Kindred common stock, which provided an opportunity for even further value to the RehabCare stockholders from the consideration proposed by Kindred. Bryan Cave described various other aspects of the revised offer, including Kindred's proposed reverse termination fee structure related to its financing, the need for Kindred stockholder approval due to the stock component of the transaction consideration, and Kindred's refusal to allow for a go shop period following the execution of the merger agreement in light of the substantial premium it was offering. The RehabCare special committee discussed the appropriateness of requesting two seats on the Kindred board of directors upon completion of the transaction, given the substantial amount of Kindred common stock that RehabCare stockholders would receive under Kindred's proposal. The RehabCare special committee also discussed the need to undertake a due diligence review of Kindred in light of the amount of Kindred common stock that would be delivered to RehabCare stockholders. The RehabCare special committee discussed the advantages and disadvantages of moving forward with a transaction on the terms contained in Kindred's revised proposal. Based on the foregoing, the RehabCare special committee determined the revised offer price, including the mix of cash and stock consideration, to be adequate to continue discussions with Kindred and, after consultation with CGMI and Bryan Cave, developed a response to Kindred's revised proposal, and directed Dr. Short to present that response to Mr. Diaz.

On January 11, 2011, Dr. Short and Mr. Diaz met at an industry conference in San Francisco, California. Dr. Short informed Mr. Diaz that the RehabCare special committee had determined that Kindred's revised offer price was adequate to continue discussions. Dr. Short and Mr. Diaz then discussed the remaining key issues in Kindred's revised proposal. Mr. Diaz indicated that Kindred would respond shortly with a revised draft of the merger agreement, which would reflect his discussion with Dr. Short.

Table of Contents

Beginning January 11, 2011, RehabCare began contacting additional financial advisors with respect to a potential engagement to evaluate the fairness of the proposed consideration to be received by RehabCare stockholders from a financial point of view and to deliver a fairness opinion if appropriate.

Cleary Gottlieb delivered a revised draft of the merger agreement to Bryan Cave on January 13, 2011. Between January 13 and January 17, 2011, the RehabCare special committee of the RehabCare board of directors, in consultation with CGMI and Bryan Cave, continued to evaluate a transaction based on the terms proposed by Kindred in its revised merger agreement. During this period CGMI was informed that RBC had been selected as a second financial advisor to RehabCare and its board of directors, and CGMI agreed to reduce the fee it was to receive for its financial advisory services by the full amount of the fees and expenses that would be paid to RBC for its services.

On January 17, 2011, Dr. Short and Mr. Diaz met in New York and discussed certain of the key open issues in the revised draft of the merger agreement provided by Kindred. Bryan Cave delivered a revised draft of the merger agreement to Cleary Gottlieb on January 20, 2011.

From January 20 through January 26, 2011, the parties and their respective advisors continued to engage in negotiations regarding the terms of the proposed transaction.

On or about January 24, 2011, Kindred selected JPMorgan to be the lead lender in the provision of debt financing commitments in connection with the proposed transaction. In addition, Kindred selected the leveraged finance groups at Morgan Stanley and CGMI, respectively, to provide financing commitments in connection with the proposed transaction. At this time, RehabCare confirmed its consent to allow CGMI's leveraged finance group to provide this financing commitment to Kindred.

On January 24, 2011, RBC was engaged by the RehabCare board of directors to evaluate the fairness of the proposed consideration to be received by RehabCare stockholders from a financial point of view.

Following receipt of a list of principal open issues delivered by Kindred on January 26, 2011, members of RehabCare's senior management, together with Bryan Cave and CGMI, participated in a conference call with members of Kindred's senior management, Cleary Gottlieb and Morgan Stanley to discuss those open issues. The parties resolved certain of the issues on that call, and instructed Bryan Cave and Cleary Gottlieb to continue negotiating the remaining open terms of the merger agreement. Cleary Gottlieb delivered a revised draft of the merger agreement on January 28, 2011 and an initial draft of the debt commitment letter on January 29, 2011.

At meetings of the RehabCare special committee held on February 1 and February 2, 2011, Dr. Short, Bryan Cave and CGMI updated the RehabCare special committee on the status of the ongoing negotiations with Kindred. The RehabCare special committee also reviewed the reverse due diligence regarding Kindred performed by its legal and financial advisors. The RehabCare special committee reviewed and discussed the current draft of the merger agreement and Kindred's debt financing commitment, and the remaining open issues. The RehabCare special committee then directed Dr. Short, together with Bryan Cave and CGMI, to continue negotiations with Kindred.

From February 1 through February 6, 2011, RehabCare's senior management and legal and financial advisors continued to negotiate with Kindred's senior management and its legal and financial advisors to finalize the terms of the proposed transaction, including exchanging several drafts of the merger agreement and debt commitment letters.

On February 6, 2011, Kindred held a special meeting of its board of directors at which the Kindred board of directors reviewed and discussed the proposed transaction with RehabCare. Kindred's management, Cleary Gottlieb and Morgan Stanley participated in the meeting. The Kindred board of directors discussed with management, Cleary Gottlieb and Morgan Stanley the proposed terms of the merger agreement, as well as the

Table of Contents

proposed terms of the debt commitment letters, both of which had been provided to the Kindred board of directors prior to the meeting. Morgan Stanley reviewed with the Kindred board of directors a presentation setting forth its financial analysis of the proposed transaction and rendered its oral opinion, which was confirmed in writing on February 7, 2011, to the Kindred board of directors that, as of that date, and based upon and subject to the assumptions made, matters considered and qualifications and limitation on the scope of review undertaken by Morgan Stanley, the merger consideration was fair from a financial point of view to Kindred as discussed in the section entitled **Opinion of Kindred's Financial Advisor** beginning on page 49 (such opinion is attached hereto as Annex D). Following review of such presentation, and further discussion among Kindred's board of directors and management, the Kindred board of directors voted unanimously to approve the merger, the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement.

On February 7, 2011, RehabCare held a regularly scheduled meeting of its board of directors at which, in consultation with Bryan Cave, CGMI and RBC, the RehabCare board of directors reviewed and discussed the final terms and conditions of the merger agreement and debt commitment letters. Bryan Cave reviewed with the RehabCare board of directors the terms and conditions contained in the merger agreement which had been provided to the directors prior to the meeting. CGMI and Bryan Cave also reviewed the debt commitment letters being obtained by Kindred. CGMI and RBC separately presented financial analyses of the proposed transaction, and each delivered its oral opinion to the RehabCare board of directors, which opinions were confirmed by delivery of written opinions dated February 7, 2011, to the effect that, as of such date and based upon and subject to the factors, assumptions and limitations set forth therein, the merger consideration to be received by RehabCare stockholders was fair, from a financial point of view, to holders of RehabCare common stock (other than shares of RehabCare common stock owned by RehabCare, Kindred or their wholly owned subsidiaries, or as to which dissenters' rights are perfected) as discussed in the sections entitled **Opinion of RehabCare's Financial Advisor - CGMI** beginning on page 55 (such opinion is attached hereto as Annex B) and **Opinion of RehabCare's Financial Advisor - RBC** beginning on page 62 (such opinion is attached hereto as Annex C). Following further review and discussion among the members of the RehabCare board of directors, including consideration of the factors described under **RehabCare's Reasons for the Merger and Recommendation of RehabCare's Board of Directors** beginning on page 45, the RehabCare board of directors determined that the merger, the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement were advisable and fair to and in the best interests of RehabCare and its stockholders, and the RehabCare board of directors voted unanimously to approve the merger, the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement.

The merger agreement was executed by RehabCare and Kindred on February 7, 2011. On February 8, 2011, prior to the commencement of trading on the NYSE, RehabCare and Kindred issued a joint press release announcing the signing of the merger agreement.

Kindred's Reasons for the Merger and Recommendation of Kindred's Board of Directors

At the meeting of the Kindred board of directors on February 6, 2011, after careful consideration, including detailed discussions with Kindred's management and its legal and financial advisors, the Kindred board of directors unanimously determined that the merger is advisable and fair to and in the best interests of Kindred and its stockholders, approved the merger agreement and recommended that the Kindred stockholders vote **FOR** the adoption of the merger agreement.

Table of Contents

In evaluating the merger, the Kindred board of directors consulted with Kindred's management, as well as Kindred's legal and financial advisors and, in reaching a conclusion to approve the merger and related transactions and to recommend that Kindred stockholders adopt the merger agreement in connection with the merger, the Kindred board of directors reviewed a significant amount of information and considered a number of factors including:

its knowledge of Kindred's business, operations, financial condition, earnings and prospects both before and after the merger;

its knowledge of the current environment in the healthcare industry, including reimbursement and regulatory risks, economic conditions, the potential for continued consolidation, current financial market conditions and the likely effects of these factors on Kindred's and RehabCare's potential growth, development, productivity and strategic direction;

Kindred management's expectation that the combined company will achieve operating synergies of approximately \$40 million within a period of two years following consummation of the merger, with \$25 million expected in the first year after the closing;

the strategic nature of the acquisition, which will create a combined company:

which will be the largest post-acute healthcare services company in the United States with over \$6 billion in annual revenues and with increased geographic diversity;

which will operate 118 LTAC hospitals with 8,492 licensed beds, 226 nursing and rehabilitation centers with 27,442 licensed beds, 121 inpatient rehabilitation hospitals and 1,808 hospital, nursing center and assisted living rehabilitation therapy services across the country;

well-equipped to respond to economic, regulatory, legislative and other industry developments and with the financial strength to make continued strategic investments in the healthcare industry;

that enhances Kindred's cluster market strategy;

with the prospects for an expanded customer base and product offerings to allow for new business relationships and transactions not available to either company on a stand-alone basis;

Kindred management's view, based upon due diligence and discussions with RehabCare's management, that Kindred and RehabCare share complementary core values with respect to culture, regulatory compliance and customer satisfaction;

that the merger will join two experienced healthcare industry management teams with complementary values, established track records, and technical and operational expertise;

that, because the exchange ratio is fixed (and will not be adjusted for fluctuations in the market price of Kindred common stock or RehabCare common stock), the per share value of the merger consideration could be more or less than its implied value upon execution of the merger agreement;

the premiums paid by the acquiring entities in selected transactions;

information concerning the financial conditions, results of operations, prospects and businesses of Kindred and RehabCare, including the respective companies' cash flows from operations, recent performance of common shares and the ratio of Kindred's stock price to RehabCare's stock price over various periods;

that Dr. Short would serve on the Kindred board of directors as non-executive vice chairman, bringing his extensive experience and expertise to Kindred;

the structure of the merger and the terms and conditions of the merger agreement, including the following:

the parties' expectation that significant delays in obtaining regulatory approvals for the transaction are unlikely;

Table of Contents

that RehabCare agreed to pay a termination fee of \$26 million to Kindred if the merger is not consummated for certain reasons as more fully described below in *The Merger Agreement – Termination Fees and Expenses* beginning on page 114;

the probability that the conditions to completion of the merger would be satisfied; and

that, subject to certain exceptions, RehabCare is prohibited from taking certain actions that would be deemed to be a solicitation under the merger agreement, including solicitation, initiation, encouragement of any inquiries or the making of any proposals for certain types of business combination or acquisition of RehabCare (or entering into any agreements for such business combinations or acquisitions of RehabCare or any requirement to abandon, terminate or fail to consummate the merger).

Morgan Stanley’s oral opinion, dated February 6, 2011, subsequently confirmed in writing on February 7, 2011 to the Kindred board of directors as to the fairness, from a financial point of view and as of the date of the opinion, to Kindred of the merger consideration provided for in the merger agreement, as more fully described below in *Opinion of Kindred’s Financial Advisor* beginning on page 49.

The Kindred board of directors also considered the potential adverse impact of other factors weighing negatively against the proposed transaction, including, without limitation, the following:

the risks and contingencies relating to the announcement and pendency of the merger and the risks and costs to Kindred if the merger does not close timely or does not close at all, including the impact on Kindred’s relationships with employees and with third parties;

the risk that the substantial additional indebtedness to be incurred in the merger could adversely effect Kindred’s operational flexibility and increase its vulnerability to a downturn in general economic conditions or Kindred’s business;

the potential dilution to Kindred stockholders;

the risk of diverting management focus, employee attention and resources from other strategic opportunities and from operational matters while working to complete the merger and integrate the RehabCare operations;

the challenges of combining the businesses, operations and workforces of RehabCare and Kindred and realizing the anticipated cost savings and operating synergies;

the risk that the parties may incur significant costs and delays resulting from seeking governmental consents and approvals necessary for completion of the merger;

the terms and conditions of the merger agreement, including:

that Kindred must pay to RehabCare a termination fee of \$62 million if the merger agreement is terminated under circumstances specified in the merger agreement, as more fully described below in *The Merger Agreement – Termination Fees and Expenses* beginning on page 114;

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

that Kindred is subject to certain restrictions on the conduct of its business prior to the completion of the merger;

that, under certain circumstances and subject to certain conditions more fully described below in The Merger Agreement Covenants and Agreements No Solicitation beginning on page 102, RehabCare may furnish information to, and conduct negotiations with, third parties (not affiliated with Kindred) in connection with unsolicited proposals for a business combination or acquisition of RehabCare that would reasonably expected to be a superior proposal and the RehabCare board of directors can terminate the merger agreement in order to accept a superior proposal or, under certain circumstances, change its recommendation prior to Kindred stockholders adoption of the merger agreement; and

the risks described in the section entitled Risk Factors beginning on page 27.

Table of Contents

The Kindred board of directors concluded that the anticipated benefits of the merger would outweigh the preceding considerations.

The reasons set forth above are not intended to be exhaustive, but include material facts considered by the Kindred board of directors in approving the merger agreement. In view of the wide variety of factors considered in connection with its evaluation of the merger and the complexity of these matters, the Kindred board of directors did not find it useful to and did not attempt to quantify or assign any relative or specific weights to the various factors that it considered in reaching its determination to approve the merger and the merger agreement and to make its recommendations to Kindred stockholders. In addition, individual members of the Kindred board of directors may have given differing weights to different factors. The Kindred board of directors carefully considered all of the factors described above as a whole.

RehabCare's Reasons for the Merger and Recommendation of RehabCare's Board of Directors

At a meeting on February 7, 2011, after careful consideration, including detailed presentations by RehabCare's management and its legal and financial advisors, the RehabCare board of directors unanimously determined that the merger is fair to, and in the best interests of, RehabCare and its stockholders and approved and declared advisable the merger agreement, the merger and other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement. The RehabCare board of directors resolved that the merger agreement be submitted for consideration by the RehabCare stockholders at a special meeting of its stockholders, and recommended that the RehabCare stockholders vote **FOR** the adoption of the merger agreement.

The merger agreement was finalized and executed on behalf of RehabCare on February 7, 2011.

In evaluating the merger agreement, the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, the RehabCare board of directors consulted with certain members of RehabCare's senior management and its legal and financial advisors and reviewed a significant amount of information and considered a number of factors, including but not limited to the material factors discussed below (not in any relative order of importance).

Financial Considerations

The RehabCare board of directors considered the financial terms of the merger based on, among other things, the following factors:

the financial terms of the merger, including:

the fact that the value of the merger consideration represents approximately a 38.1% premium over the \$25.47 closing price of RehabCare common stock on the NYSE on February 7, 2011, the last trading day prior to the public announcement of the merger agreement, approximately a 42.3% premium over RehabCare's volume-weighted average daily closing price of \$24.73 during the 30 trading days ending on February 7, 2011, and approximately a 60.4% premium over RehabCare's volume-weighted average daily closing price of \$21.93 during the 90 trading days ending on February 7, 2011 (each based upon the closing price of \$19.48 per share of Kindred common stock on the NYSE on February 7, 2011);

that a fixed ratio for the merger consideration provides RehabCare stockholders the opportunity to benefit from any increase in the trading price of Kindred common stock between the announcement of the merger agreement and the completion of the merger;

the various background data and analyses relating to the combination of RehabCare and Kindred, reviewed with the RehabCare board of directors by RehabCare's outside financial and legal advisors and management, as well as:

CGMI's opinion rendered to the RehabCare board of directors, dated as of February 7, 2011, that, based on and subject to the various factors, assumptions and limitations described in its opinion, the merger consideration to be received by the stockholders of RehabCare in the merger was fair, from a financial point of view, to such stockholders, as more fully described below in "Opinion of RehabCare's Financial Advisor - CGMI" beginning on page 55; and

Table of Contents

RBC's opinion rendered to the RehabCare board of directors, dated February 7, 2011, that, based upon and subject to the factors and assumptions made, matters considered and limits of the review undertaken by RBC set forth in its opinion, the RehabCare merger consideration to be received by the stockholders of RehabCare in the merger was fair, from a financial point of view, as of the date of the opinion, to such stockholders, as more fully described below in *Opinion of RehabCare's Financial Advisor RBC* beginning on page 62.

Strategic Considerations

The RehabCare board of directors considered a number of strategic advantages of the merger in comparison to a stand-alone strategy, including, but not limited to the following factors:

the view of RehabCare's prospects and potential future financial performance as an independent company and as a combined company, including RehabCare's dependence upon the continued relevance and economic viability of its services and succession considerations;

RehabCare's ability to compete with its current and potential future competitors within its markets, including other larger companies that may have significantly greater resources or market presence;

the concern of RehabCare's management and board that the value of RehabCare's stock in the market reflected continuing concern over healthcare reform legislation and Medicare regulations, despite RehabCare's performance against expectations;

RehabCare's management's view, based on due diligence and discussions with Kindred's management, that RehabCare and Kindred share complementary core values with respect to integrity, safety standards and practices, community development, participation in government affairs and customer satisfaction;

its knowledge of RehabCare's business, operations, financial condition, earnings and prospects and of Kindred's business, operations, financial condition, earnings and prospects, taking into account the results of RehabCare's due diligence of Kindred;

its knowledge of the current environment of the healthcare industry, including economic conditions, the potential for changing laws and regulations, current financial market conditions and the possible effects of these factors on RehabCare's and Kindred's potential growth, development, productivity and strategic options;

RehabCare's management's expectations of synergies that are anticipated to result in cost savings through administrative, sales, purchasing of goods and services and operating synergies;

information concerning the financial conditions, results of operation, prospects and businesses of RehabCare and Kindred, including the respective companies' reserves, cash flows from operations, recent performance of common shares and the ratio of per share prices over various periods; and

the significant information technology costs that RehabCare would incur during the next three to five years, and that merging information technology platforms with Kindred could save RehabCare approximately \$30 million to \$50 million over the course of that period.

Other Considerations

The RehabCare board of directors also considered the following factors, among others:

the fact that the cash portion of the merger consideration will provide RehabCare stockholders with immediate value in cash for their shares, and that the Kindred common stock issued to RehabCare stockholders will be registered, and can be freely traded after issuance;

that the merger consideration would enable RehabCare stockholders to own approximately 23% of the outstanding stock of Kindred, which will provide such stockholders the opportunity to participate in any future earnings or growth of Kindred and future appreciation in the value of Kindred common

Table of Contents

stock following the merger should such stockholders determine to retain the Kindred common stock payable in the merger;

the judgment of the RehabCare board of directors, after consultation with management and its advisors, that continuing discussions with Kindred or soliciting interest from additional third parties would be unlikely to lead to a better offer and could lead to the loss of Kindred's proposed offer;

the structure of the merger and the terms and conditions of the merger agreement, including the following:

the limited conditions to the parties' obligations to complete the merger and the probability that such conditions would be satisfied, including in light of the parties' agreement to use reasonable best efforts, as more fully described below in "The Merger Agreement - Covenants and Agreements - Reasonable Best Efforts; Covenants and Agreements" beginning on page 106;

the provisions that allow RehabCare, under certain circumstances, to engage in negotiations with, and provide information to, third parties, prior to the adoption of the merger agreement by its stockholders, in response to an unsolicited takeover proposal that RehabCare's board of directors determines in good faith, after consultation with outside legal counsel and its financial advisors, constitutes or would reasonably be expected to lead to a superior proposal (as defined on page 104);

the provisions that allow RehabCare, under certain circumstances, to terminate the merger agreement prior to the adoption of the merger agreement by its stockholders, in order to enter into an alternative transaction in response to an unsolicited takeover proposal that RehabCare's board of directors determines in good faith, after consultation with outside legal counsel and financial advisors, constitutes a superior proposal (as defined on page 104);

the fact that the termination date under the merger agreement allows for time that is expected to be sufficient to complete the merger;

the fact that there is a date certain for terminating the transaction if the merger has not been consummated;

the ability of RehabCare to obtain a termination fee of \$62 million from Kindred if the merger is not consummated for certain reasons as more fully described below in "The Merger Agreement - Termination Fees and Expenses" beginning on page 114;

the level of effort that Kindred must use under the merger agreement to obtain the proceeds of the financing under the terms and conditions described in the debt commitment letter, including using its reasonable best efforts to enforce its rights under the debt commitment letter;

the likelihood that the merger would be completed based on, among other things, the receipt of an executed debt commitment letter from the debt commitment parties for the merger, and the terms of the debt commitment letter and the reputation of the debt commitment parties, which, in the reasonable judgment of the RehabCare board of directors, increases the likelihood of such financing being completed;

the availability of appraisal rights under the DGCL to holders of RehabCare common stock who comply with all of the required procedures under the DGCL, which allows such holders to seek appraisal of the fair value of their shares of RehabCare common stock as determined by the Court of Chancery; and

the belief by the RehabCare board of directors that the merger is more favorable to RehabCare stockholders than the alternatives to the merger, which belief was formed based on the review by the RehabCare board of directors, with assistance of its financial advisors, of the strategic alternatives available to RehabCare.

Table of Contents

Consideration of Risks and Other Potentially Negative Factors

The RehabCare board of directors also considered a variety of risks and other potentially negative factors, including, without limitation, the following:

the risks and contingencies relating to the announcement and pendency of the merger and the risks and costs to RehabCare if the merger does not close timely or does not close at all, including the impact on RehabCare's relationships with employees and with third parties;

the risk of diverting management focus, employee attention and resources from other strategic opportunities and from operational matters while working to complete the merger;

the fact that RehabCare stockholders will have a smaller ongoing equity participation in Kindred (and, as a result, a smaller opportunity to participate in any future earnings or growth of Kindred and future appreciation in the value of Kindred common stock following the merger) than they currently have in RehabCare;

the fact that a fixed RehabCare exchange ratio means that RehabCare stockholders could be adversely affected by a decrease in the trading price of Kindred common stock between the announcement of the merger agreement and the completion of the merger;

the challenges of combining the businesses, policies, processes, systems, operations and workforces of Kindred and RehabCare and realizing the anticipated cost savings and operating synergies;

the risk that the parties may incur significant costs and unexpected delays resulting from seeking governmental consents and approvals necessary for completion of the merger;

the fact that, while RehabCare expects that the merger will be consummated, there can be no assurance that all conditions to the parties' obligations to complete the merger agreement (including the condition that the parties obtain all required regulatory approvals) will be satisfied, and, as a result, the merger may not be consummated;

the risk that Kindred may not be able to obtain the financing contemplated by the debt commitment letter;

the fact that the merger consideration would be taxable to RehabCare stockholders that are U.S. holders for U.S. federal income tax purposes;

the fact that RehabCare's directors and executive officers have interests in the merger that are different from, or in addition to, the RehabCare stockholders, as described below in [Interests of RehabCare Directors and Executive Officers in the Merger](#) beginning on page 76;

the terms and conditions of the merger agreement, including:

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

that RehabCare generally conduct its business only in the ordinary course and that RehabCare is subject to a variety of other restrictions on the conduct of its business prior to the completion of the merger, any of which may delay or prevent RehabCare from pursuing business opportunities that may arise or may delay or preclude RehabCare from taking actions that would be advisable if it were to remain an independent company;

the non-solicitation covenants and the requirement that RehabCare must pay to Kindred a termination fee of \$26 million if the merger agreement is terminated under circumstances specified in the merger agreement, as described below in The Merger Agreement Termination Fees and Expenses beginning on page 114; and

the risks described in the section entitled Risk Factors beginning on page 27.

RehabCare's board of directors concluded that the anticipated benefits of the merger would outweigh the preceding considerations.

The reasons set forth above are not intended to be exhaustive, but include material facts considered by the RehabCare board of directors in approving the merger agreement. In view of the wide variety of factors

Table of Contents

considered in connection with its evaluation of the merger and the complexity of these matters, the RehabCare board of directors did not find it useful to and did not attempt to quantify or assign any relative or specific weights to the various factors that it considered in reaching its determination to approve the merger and the merger agreement and to make its recommendations to RehabCare stockholders. In addition, individual members of the RehabCare board of directors may have given differing weights to different factors. The RehabCare board of directors carefully considered all of the factors described above as a whole.

The RehabCare board of directors unanimously recommends that you vote FOR the proposal to adopt the merger agreement and FOR the proposal to adjourn or postpone the special meeting, if necessary or appropriate, to solicit additional proxies.

The RehabCare stockholders should be aware that RehabCare's directors and executive officers have interests in the merger that are different from, or in addition to, the RehabCare stockholders. The RehabCare board of directors was aware of and considered these interests, among other matters, in evaluating and negotiating the merger agreement and the merger, and in recommending that the merger agreement be adopted by the RehabCare stockholders, as described below in *Interests of RehabCare Directors and Executive Officers in the Merger* beginning on page 76.

Opinion of Kindred's Financial Advisor

Morgan Stanley was retained by the Kindred board of directors to provide it with financial advisory services and a financial opinion in connection with the proposed transaction. The Kindred board of directors selected Morgan Stanley to act as its financial advisor based on Morgan Stanley's qualifications, expertise and reputation and its knowledge of the business and affairs of Kindred. On February 6, 2011, Morgan Stanley rendered its oral opinion, subsequently confirmed in writing on February 7, 2011, to the Kindred board of directors that, as of that date, and based upon and subject to the assumptions made, matters considered and qualifications and limitations on the scope of review undertaken by Morgan Stanley as set forth in its opinion, the merger consideration to be paid by Kindred pursuant to the merger agreement was fair from a financial point of view to Kindred.

The full text of Morgan Stanley's written fairness opinion, delivered following the Kindred board meeting and dated February 7, 2011, is attached as Annex D to this joint proxy statement/prospectus. You should read the opinion in its entirety for a discussion of the assumptions made, procedures followed, factors considered and limitations upon the review undertaken by Morgan Stanley in rendering the opinion. This summary is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of such opinion. Morgan Stanley's opinion is directed to the Kindred board of directors and addresses only the fairness from a financial point of view of the merger consideration pursuant to the merger agreement to Kindred as of the date of the opinion. It does not address any other aspects of the merger and does not constitute a recommendation to any stockholder of Kindred or RehabCare on how to vote at any stockholders' meeting related to the merger or take any other action with respect to the proposed transaction.

In arriving at its opinion, Morgan Stanley, among other things:

reviewed certain publicly available financial statements and other business and financial information of Kindred and RehabCare, respectively;

reviewed certain internal financial statements and other financial and operating data concerning Kindred and RehabCare, respectively;

reviewed certain financial projections prepared by the managements of Kindred and RehabCare, respectively;

reviewed information relating to certain strategic, financial and operational benefits anticipated from the merger, prepared by the managements of Kindred and RehabCare, respectively;

discussed the past and current operations and financial condition and the prospects of RehabCare, with senior executives of RehabCare;

Table of Contents

discussed the past and current operations and financial condition and the prospects of Kindred, including information relating to certain strategic, financial and operational benefits anticipated from the merger, with senior executives of Kindred;

reviewed the pro forma impact of the merger on Kindred's earnings per share, cash flow, consolidated capitalization and financial ratios;

reviewed the reported prices and trading activity for Kindred common stock and RehabCare common stock;

compared the financial performance of Kindred and RehabCare and the prices and trading activity of Kindred common stock and RehabCare common stock with that of certain other publicly-traded companies comparable with Kindred and RehabCare, respectively, and their securities;

reviewed the financial terms, to the extent publicly available, of certain comparable acquisition transactions;

participated in certain discussions and negotiations among representatives of Kindred and RehabCare and their financial and legal advisors;

reviewed the merger agreement, the draft debt commitment letter from the debt commitment parties dated February 6, 2011 and certain related documents; and

performed such other analyses, reviewed such other information and considered such other factors as Morgan Stanley deemed appropriate.

In arriving at its opinion, Morgan Stanley assumed and relied upon, without independent verification, the accuracy and completeness of the information that was publicly available or supplied or otherwise made available to Morgan Stanley by Kindred and RehabCare, and formed a substantial basis for this opinion. With respect to the financial projections, including information relating to certain strategic, financial and operational benefits anticipated from the merger, Morgan Stanley assumed that they had been reasonably prepared on bases reflecting the best currently available estimates and judgments of the respective managements of Kindred and RehabCare of the future financial performance of Kindred and RehabCare. In addition, Morgan Stanley assumed that the merger will be consummated in accordance with the terms set forth in the merger agreement without any waiver, amendment or delay of any terms or conditions, including, among other things, that Kindred will obtain debt financing in accordance with the terms set forth in the debt commitment letter. Morgan Stanley relied upon, without independent verification, the assessment by the managements of Kindred and RehabCare of: (i) the timing and risks associated with the integration of Kindred and RehabCare; (ii) their ability to retain key employees of Kindred and RehabCare, respectively and (iii) the validity of, and risks associated with, Kindred's and RehabCare's existing and future technologies, intellectual property, products, services and business models. Morgan Stanley assumed that in connection with the receipt of all the necessary governmental, regulatory or other approvals and consents required for the proposed merger, no delays, limitations, conditions or restrictions would be imposed that would have a material adverse effect on the contemplated benefits expected to be derived in the proposed merger. Morgan Stanley is not a legal, tax, regulatory or actuarial advisor. They are financial advisors only and relied upon, without independent verification, the assessment of Kindred and RehabCare and their legal, tax, regulatory or actuarial advisors with respect to legal, tax, regulatory or actuarial matters. Morgan Stanley expressed no opinion with respect to the fairness of the amount or nature of the compensation to any of RehabCare's officers, directors or employees, or any class of such persons, relative to the merger consideration to be paid to the holders of shares of RehabCare common stock in the transaction. Morgan Stanley's opinion did not address the relative merits of the merger as compared to any other alternative business transaction, or other alternatives, or whether or not such alternatives could be achieved or were available. Morgan Stanley did not make any independent valuation or appraisal of the assets or liabilities of RehabCare, nor was Morgan Stanley furnished with any such appraisals. Morgan Stanley's opinion was necessarily based on financial, economic, market and other conditions as in effect on, and the information made available to Morgan Stanley as of, the date

of the opinion. Events occurring after the date of the opinion may affect Morgan Stanley's opinion and the assumptions used in preparing it, and Morgan Stanley did not assume any obligation to update, revise or reaffirm its opinion.

Table of Contents

The following is a summary of the material financial analyses performed by Morgan Stanley in connection with preparation of its written opinion to the Kindred board of directors. The financial analyses summarized below include information presented in tabular format. In order to fully understand the financial analyses used by Morgan Stanley, the tables must be read together with the text of each summary. The tables alone do not constitute a complete description of the financial analyses. The analyses listed in the tables and described below must be considered as a whole; considering any portion of such analyses and of the factors considered, without considering all analyses and factors, could create a misleading or incomplete view of the process underlying Morgan Stanley's fairness opinion.

For purposes of its financial analyses, Morgan Stanley relied on two sets of projections with Kindred's authorization: a case based on consensus Wall Street research analyst estimates (which we refer to as the "street case") and projections from RehabCare management that were adjusted by Kindred management (which we refer to as the "base case").

RehabCare Trading Range Analysis

Morgan Stanley reviewed the historical trading range of RehabCare common stock for various periods ending February 1, 2011.

Morgan Stanley noted that, as of February 1, 2011, the closing price of RehabCare common stock was \$25.10.

Morgan Stanley also noted that, for the last twelve months ended February 1, 2011 the maximum closing price for a share of RehabCare common stock, rounded to the nearest \$1.00, was \$32.00 and the minimum closing price for a share of RehabCare common stock, rounded to the nearest \$1.00, was \$16.00.

RehabCare Equity Research Price Target Analysis

Morgan Stanley reviewed the price targets for the RehabCare common stock prepared and published by equity research analysts. These targets reflect each analyst's estimate of the future public market-trading price of RehabCare common stock and are not discounted to reflect present value. The range of undiscounted price targets for the RehabCare common stock as of February 1, 2011 was \$25.00 to \$32.00.

In order to better compare the published price targets with the merger consideration, Morgan Stanley discounted the published price targets by an assumed cost of equity of 10.5% for an illustrative one-year period. On a discounted basis, rounded to the nearest \$1.00, the range of price targets for the RehabCare common stock as of February 1, 2011 was \$23.00 to \$29.00. Including a provision for synergy value at the high end of this range, the incremental range for RehabCare common stock, rounded to the nearest \$1.00, was \$34.00 to \$40.00.

The public market trading price targets published by securities research analysts do not necessarily reflect current market trading prices for the RehabCare common stock and these estimates are subject to uncertainties, including the future financial performance of RehabCare and future financial market conditions.

Comparable Company Analysis

Morgan Stanley reviewed and compared, using publicly available information, certain current and historical financial information for RehabCare corresponding to current and historical financial information, ratios and public market multiples for other companies that share similar business characteristics with RehabCare. The following list sets forth the selected comparable companies that were reviewed in connection with this analysis:

The Ensign Group, Inc.

HealthSouth Corp.

Kindred

National Healthcare Corp.

Table of Contents

Select Medical Holdings Corp.

Skilled Healthcare Group, Inc.

Sun Healthcare Group Inc.

Morgan Stanley analyzed the following statistics for comparative purposes:

the ratio of the aggregate value, defined as market capitalization plus total debt and minority interest less cash and cash equivalents, to estimated earnings before interest, income taxes, depreciation, amortization and rent expense (which we refer to as EBITDAR) for calendar year 2011; and

the ratio of stock price to estimated earnings per share (which we refer to as EPS) for calendar year 2011.

The following table reflects the results of the analysis and the corresponding multiples for RehabCare based on the representative ranges of EBITDAR and EPS estimates for these companies:

	Aggregate Value / 2011E EBITDAR	Stock Price / 2011E EPS
Range Derived from Comparable Companies	6.0x - 7.0x	8.5x - 11.5x
Implied Per Share Value of RehabCare Common Stock, Rounded to the Nearest \$1.00		
- Street Case	\$23 - \$32	\$23 - \$32
- Base Case	\$22 - \$31	\$23 - \$30
Implied Per Share Value of RehabCare Common Stock, Rounded to the Nearest \$1.00, Including a Provision of Synergy Value at the High End of the Range		
- Street Case	\$37 - \$43	\$37 - \$43
- Base Case	\$36 - \$41	\$36 - \$41

No company utilized in the comparable company analysis is identical to RehabCare. In evaluating the comparable companies, Morgan Stanley made judgments and assumptions with regard to industry performance, general business, economic, market and financial conditions and other matters, many of which are beyond the control of Kindred or RehabCare, such as the impact of competition on the businesses of RehabCare and the industry generally, industry growth and the absence of any adverse material change in the financial condition and prospects of RehabCare or the industry or in the financial markets in general. Mathematical analysis (such as determining the average or median) is not in itself a meaningful method of using comparable company data.

Discounted Cash Flow Analysis

Morgan Stanley performed a discounted cash flow analysis, which is designed to provide an implied value of a company by calculating the present value of the estimated future cash flows and terminal value of the company. Morgan Stanley calculated a range of implied equity values per share of RehabCare common stock based on estimates of future cash flows for calendar years 2011 through 2015. In preparing its analysis, Morgan Stanley relied upon the street case and base case projections with respect to the future financial performance of RehabCare. Morgan Stanley first calculated the estimated unlevered free cash flows of RehabCare for the period from January 1, 2011 to December 31, 2015.

Morgan Stanley then calculated a terminal value for RehabCare by assuming an exit valuation of 6.0x to 7.0x of aggregate value to next-twelve-months ratio of earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (which we refer to as EBITDA). These values were then discounted to present values as of December 31, 2010 assuming a range of discount rates between 8.5% and 9.5% to calculate an aggregate value for RehabCare. This aggregate value was further adjusted for RehabCare's total debt, minority interest, cash and cash equivalents, to calculate a range of implied equity value per share, rounded to the nearest \$1.00.

Table of Contents

	Excluding Synergies	Including Synergies
Implied Per Share Value of RehabCare Common Stock, Rounded to the Nearest \$1.00		
- Street Case	\$ 34 - \$42	\$ 48 - \$53
- Base Case	\$ 33 - \$41	\$ 46 - \$51

Premiums Paid Analysis

Morgan Stanley reviewed the premiums paid in certain acquisition transactions from 1990 to 2010. The acquisition transactions reviewed by Morgan Stanley were limited to those transactions with a transaction value greater than \$1 billion where the target company was a U.S.-based public company. Morgan Stanley reviewed the premium paid to the target company's stock price four weeks prior to the announcement date for each transaction. In certain cases, the premium was adjusted to reflect the presence of information or speculation in the public domain regarding a transaction prior to the formal announcement date. Morgan Stanley noted that the 21-year average premium paid in selected transactions with all cash consideration was 39% and for all selected transactions was 36%.

Morgan Stanley applied a premium range of 30% to 50% to RehabCare's stock price as of February 1, 2011 of \$25.10. The range of implied RehabCare's stock prices, rounded to the nearest \$1.00, was \$33.00 to \$38.00.

Morgan Stanley also reviewed the price paid and calculated the ratio of aggregate value to last-twelve months EBITDA (based on publicly available information), of 15 selected transactions in the healthcare services/facilities sectors since June 30, 2003 (listed below). Based on its analysis of these transactions, Morgan Stanley selected a representative range of financial multiples implied by the precedent transactions and applied this range of multiples to the relevant RehabCare financial statistic to imply a value per share based on such range of multiples.

For this analysis Morgan Stanley reviewed the following transactions:

Healthcare Services / Facilities Precedent Transactions

Acquiror	Target	Announcement Date
Kindred	Vista Healthcare, LLC	08/24/10
Onex Corporation	Res-Care Inc.	08/16/10
Select Medical Holdings Corp.	Regency Hospital Company, LLC	06/21/10
Gentiva Health Services Inc.	Odyssey Healthcare Inc.	05/24/10
Universal Health Services Inc.	Psychiatric Solutions, Inc.	05/18/10
RehabCare	Triumph Healthcare LLC	11/03/09
The Carlyle Group	ManorCare, Inc.	07/02/07
Select Medical Holdings Corp.	HealthSouth's Outpatient Rehab Division	01/29/07
Psychiatric Solutions, Inc.	Horizon Health Corp.	12/20/06
Extencare Health Services, Inc.	Tendercare Michigan, Inc.	11/01/06
RehabCare	Symphony Health Services LLC	05/03/06
The Carlyle Group	LifeCare Holdings, Inc.	07/21/05
Select Medical Holdings Corp.	SemperCare, Inc.	11/19/04
Thoma Cressey Bravo / Welsh, Carson, Anderson & Stowe	Select Medical Corp.	10/18/04
Select Medical Corp.	Kessler Rehab Corp.	06/30/03

Morgan Stanley applied a multiple range of 7.5x to 11.5x to RehabCare's 2010 estimated (which we refer to as 2010E) EBITDA. The range of implied RehabCare's stock prices, rounded to the nearest \$1.00, was \$34.00 to \$60.00.

Table of Contents

No company or transaction utilized in the premiums paid analysis is identical to Kindred, RehabCare or this specific transaction. In evaluating the precedent transactions, Morgan Stanley made judgments and assumptions with regard to general business, market and financial conditions and other matters, which are beyond the control of Kindred and RehabCare, such as the impact of competition on the business of Kindred, RehabCare or the industry generally, industry growth and the absence of any material adverse change in the financial condition of Kindred, RehabCare or the industry or in the financial markets in general, which could affect the public trading value of the companies and the value of the transactions to which they are being compared.

Illustrative Financial Sponsor Analysis

Morgan Stanley performed a hypothetical leveraged buyout analysis to determine the prices at which a financial sponsor might effect a leveraged buyout of RehabCare. Morgan Stanley assumed a transaction date of December 31, 2010 and a ratio of total debt to last-twelve-months EBITDA at the transaction date of 4.5x. Morgan Stanley also assumed a subsequent exit transaction by the financial sponsor at December 31, 2015 with a valuation of RehabCare realized by the financial sponsor in such subsequent exit transaction based on a 6.0x to 7.0x aggregate value to next-twelve-months EBITDA ratio and RehabCare's estimated total debt and cash and cash equivalents balances as of December 31, 2015. The implied acquisition price per share paid by the financial sponsor was based on a target range of internal rates of return for the financial sponsor between December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2015 of 20% to 25%.

Based on this analysis, the range of implied acquisition prices per share is shown in the table below.

Implied Per Share Value of Kindred Common Stock, Rounded to the Nearest \$1.00

- Street Case	\$ 24 - \$30
- Base Case	\$ 24 - \$31

General

In connection with the review of the merger by the Kindred board of directors, Morgan Stanley performed a variety of financial and comparative analyses for purposes of rendering its opinion. The preparation of a financial opinion is a complex process and is not necessarily susceptible to a partial analysis or summary description. In arriving at its opinion, Morgan Stanley considered the results of all of its analyses as a whole and did not attribute any particular weight to any analysis or factor it considered. Morgan Stanley believes that selecting any portion of its analyses, without considering all analyses as a whole, would create an incomplete view of the process underlying its analyses and opinion. In addition, Morgan Stanley may have given various analyses and factors more or less weight than other analyses and factors, and may have deemed various assumptions more or less probable than other assumptions. As a result, the ranges of valuations resulting from any particular analysis described above should not be taken to be Morgan Stanley's view of the actual value of RehabCare. In performing its analyses, Morgan Stanley made numerous assumptions with respect to industry performance, general business, regulatory, economic, market and financial conditions and other matters. Many of these assumptions are beyond the control of Kindred or RehabCare. Any estimates contained in Morgan Stanley's analyses are not necessarily indicative of future results or actual values, which may be significantly more or less favorable than those suggested by such estimates.

Morgan Stanley conducted the analyses described above solely as part of its analysis of the fairness of the merger consideration pursuant to the merger agreement from a financial point of view, to be paid by Kindred and in connection with the delivery of its opinion to the Kindred board of directors. These analyses do not purport to be appraisals or to reflect the prices at which the RehabCare common stock might actually trade.

The merger consideration was determined through arm's-length negotiations between Kindred and RehabCare and was approved by the Kindred board of directors. Morgan Stanley provided advice to the Kindred

Table of Contents

board of directors during these negotiations. Morgan Stanley did not, however, recommend any specific merger consideration to the Kindred board of directors or that any specific merger consideration constituted the only appropriate consideration for the merger.

Morgan Stanley's opinion and its presentation to the Kindred board of directors was one of many factors taken into consideration by the Kindred board of directors in deciding to approve and declare advisable the merger agreement and to recommend that Kindred stockholders approve the proposal to adopt the merger agreement. Consequently, the analyses as described above should not be viewed as determinative of the opinion of the Kindred board of directors with respect to the merger consideration or of whether the Kindred board of directors would have been willing to recommend a different merger consideration.

Morgan Stanley acted as financial advisor to the Kindred board of directors in connection with this transaction and will receive a one-time fee of \$12 million for its services, which fee is contingent upon the closing of the merger. Morgan Stanley is also entitled to receive a portion of any termination fee paid by RehabCare to Kindred pursuant to the merger agreement. In addition, Morgan Stanley expects to receive fees from Kindred for the provision of financing services related to the merger pursuant to the terms of the debt commitment letter. In the two years prior to the date hereof, Morgan Stanley provided financing services for Kindred and received fees in connection with such services. Morgan Stanley may also seek to provide financing or advisory services to Kindred and RehabCare in the future and expects to receive fees for the rendering of these services. Under the terms of its engagement letter, in addition to the fees described above, Kindred has also agreed to reimburse Morgan Stanley for its expenses incurred in performing its services. In addition, Kindred has agreed to indemnify Morgan Stanley and its affiliates, their respective directors, officers, agents and employees and each person, if any, controlling Morgan Stanley or any of its affiliates against certain liabilities and expenses, including certain liabilities under the federal securities laws, related to or arising out of Morgan Stanley's engagement.

Morgan Stanley is a global financial services firm engaged in the securities, investment management and individual wealth management businesses. Its securities business is engaged in securities underwriting, trading and brokerage activities, foreign exchange, commodities and derivatives trading, prime brokerage, as well as providing investment banking, financing and financial advisory services. Morgan Stanley, its affiliates, directors and officers may at any time invest on a principal basis or manage funds that invest, hold long or short positions, finance positions, and may trade or otherwise structure and effect transactions, for their own account or the accounts of its customers, in debt or equity securities or loans of Kindred, RehabCare, or any other company, or any currency or commodity, that may be involved in this transaction, or any related derivative instrument.

Morgan Stanley's opinion was approved by a committee of Morgan Stanley investment banking and other professionals in accordance with its customary practice. Morgan Stanley's opinion did not in any manner address the prices at which Kindred common stock will trade at any time and Morgan Stanley expressed no opinion or recommendation as to how the stockholders of Kindred and RehabCare should vote at the stockholders' meetings to be held in connection with the merger.

Opinion of RehabCare's Financial Advisor - CGMI

CGMI was retained to act as financial advisor to RehabCare in connection with the merger. In connection with this engagement, RehabCare requested CGMI to evaluate the fairness, from a financial point of view, of the merger consideration to be received in the merger by holders of RehabCare common stock. On February 7, 2011, at a meeting of the RehabCare board of directors, CGMI rendered to the RehabCare board of directors an oral opinion, which was confirmed by delivery of a written opinion dated February 7, 2011, to the effect that, as of that date and based on and subject to the matters described in its opinion, the merger consideration was fair, from a financial point of view, to the holders of RehabCare common stock (other than shares of RehabCare common stock owned by RehabCare, Kindred or their wholly owned subsidiaries, or as to which dissenters' rights are perfected).

Table of Contents

The full text of CGMI's written opinion, dated February 7, 2011, which describes the assumptions made, procedures followed, matters considered and limitations on the review undertaken, is attached to this joint proxy statement/prospectus as **Annex B** and is incorporated by reference in its entirety into this joint proxy statement/prospectus. You are urged to read the opinion in its entirety. CGMI's opinion was provided to the RehabCare board of directors in connection with its evaluation of the merger consideration from a financial point of view. CGMI's opinion does not address any other aspects or implications of the merger and does not constitute a recommendation to any stockholder as to how such stockholder should vote or act on any matters relating to the proposed merger. CGMI's opinion does not address the underlying business decision of RehabCare to effect the merger, the relative merits of the merger as compared to any alternative business strategies that might exist for RehabCare or the effect of any other transaction in which RehabCare may engage. The following is a summary of CGMI's opinion and the methodology that CGMI used to render its opinion.

In arriving at its opinion, CGMI, among other things:

reviewed the merger agreement;

held discussions with certain senior officers, directors and other representatives and advisors of RehabCare and certain senior officers and other representatives and advisors of Kindred concerning the businesses, operations and prospects of RehabCare and Kindred;

examined certain publicly available business and financial information relating to RehabCare and Kindred;

examined certain financial forecasts and other information and data relating to RehabCare and Kindred which were provided to or discussed with CGMI by the respective managements of RehabCare and Kindred, including information relating to the potential strategic implications and operational benefits (including the amount, timing and achievability thereof) anticipated by the managements of RehabCare and Kindred to result from the merger;

reviewed the financial terms of the merger as set forth in the merger agreement in relation to, among other things, current and historical market prices of RehabCare common stock and Kindred common stock, the historical and projected earnings and other operating data of RehabCare and Kindred and the capitalization and financial condition of RehabCare and Kindred;

considered, to the extent publicly available, the financial terms of certain other transactions which CGMI considered relevant in evaluating the merger;

analyzed certain financial, stock market and other publicly available information relating to the businesses of RehabCare, Kindred and other companies whose operations CGMI considered relevant in evaluating those of RehabCare and Kindred;

evaluated certain potential pro forma financial effects of the merger on Kindred; and

conducted such other analyses and examinations and considered such other information and financial, economic and market criteria as CGMI deemed appropriate in arriving at its opinion.

In rendering its opinion, CGMI assumed and relied, without independent verification, upon the accuracy and completeness of all financial and other information and data publicly available or provided to or otherwise reviewed by or discussed with CGMI and upon the assurances of the managements of RehabCare and Kindred that they were not aware of any relevant information that was omitted or that remained undisclosed to CGMI. With respect to financial forecasts and other information and data relating to RehabCare and Kindred provided to or otherwise reviewed by or discussed with CGMI, CGMI was advised by the respective managements of RehabCare and Kindred that such forecasts and other

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

information and data were reasonably prepared on bases reflecting the best currently available estimates and judgments of the managements of RehabCare and Kindred as to the future financial performance of RehabCare and Kindred, the potential strategic implications and operational benefits (including the amount, timing and achievability thereof) anticipated to result from the merger and the other matters

Table of Contents

covered thereby, and CGMI assumed, with RehabCare's consent, that the financial results (including such potential strategic implications and operational benefits anticipated to result from the merger) reflected in such forecasts and other information and data would be realized in the amounts and at the time anticipated.

CGMI assumed, with RehabCare's consent, that the merger would be consummated in accordance with the terms of the merger agreement, without waiver, modification or amendment of any material term, condition or agreement, including, among other things, that Kindred would obtain financing for the merger in accordance with the terms set forth in the debt commitment letter (which letter is described under "Financing Relating to the Merger" beginning on page 85) and that, in the course of obtaining the necessary regulatory or third party approvals, consents and releases for the merger, no delay, limitation, restriction or condition would be imposed that would have an adverse effect on RehabCare, Kindred or the contemplated benefits of the merger. CGMI also assumed, with RehabCare's consent, that the subsidiary merger election would occur and that the merger would be treated as a qualified stock purchase for U.S. federal income tax purposes. CGMI expressed no opinion as to what the value of Kindred common stock actually would be when issued pursuant to the merger or the price at which Kindred common stock would trade at any time subsequent to the announcement of the merger.

CGMI did not make, and it was not provided with, an independent evaluation or appraisal of the assets or liabilities (contingent or otherwise) of RehabCare or Kindred, and CGMI did not make any physical inspection of the properties or assets of RehabCare or Kindred. CGMI's opinion does not address RehabCare's underlying business decision to effect the merger, the relative merits of the merger as compared to any alternative business strategies that might exist for RehabCare or the effect of any other transaction in which RehabCare might engage. CGMI expressed no view as to, and its opinion does not address, the fairness (financial or otherwise) of the amount or nature or any other aspect of any compensation to any officers, directors or employees of any parties to the merger, or any class of such persons, relative to the merger consideration. CGMI's opinion was necessarily based upon information available to CGMI, and financial, stock market and other conditions and circumstances existing, as of the date of its opinion.

In preparing its opinion, CGMI performed a variety of financial and comparative analyses, including those described below. The summary of these analyses is not a complete description of the analyses underlying CGMI's opinion. The preparation of a financial opinion is a complex analytical process involving various determinations as to the most appropriate and relevant methods of financial analysis and the application of those methods to the particular circumstances and, therefore, a financial opinion is not readily susceptible to summary description. CGMI arrived at its ultimate opinion based on the results of all analyses undertaken by it and assessed as a whole, and did not draw, in isolation, conclusions from or with regard to any one factor or method of analysis for purposes of its opinion. Accordingly, CGMI believes that its analyses must be considered as a whole and that selecting portions of its analyses and factors or focusing on information presented in tabular format, without considering all analyses and factors or the narrative description of the analyses, could create a misleading or incomplete view of the processes underlying its analyses and opinion. Results of analyses where the merger consideration is lower in some instances (if any) than the implied ratios or values should be evaluated in combination with those instances in which the merger consideration is greater than the implied ratios or values.

In its analyses, CGMI considered industry performance, general business, economic, market and financial conditions and other matters existing as of the date of its opinion, many of which are beyond the control of RehabCare and Kindred. No company, business or transaction used in those analyses as a comparison is identical or directly comparable to RehabCare, Kindred or the merger, and an evaluation of those analyses is not entirely mathematical. Rather, the analyses involve complex considerations and judgments concerning financial and operating characteristics and other factors that could affect the acquisition, public trading or other values of the companies, business segments or transactions analyzed.

The estimates contained in CGMI's analyses and the valuation ranges resulting from any particular analysis are not necessarily indicative of actual values or predictive of future results or values, which may be significantly more or less favorable than those suggested by its analyses. In addition, analyses relating to the value of

Table of Contents

businesses or securities do not necessarily purport to be appraisals or to reflect the prices at which businesses or securities actually may be sold. Accordingly, the estimates used in, and the results derived from, CGMI's analyses are inherently subject to substantial uncertainty.

The type and amount of consideration payable in the merger was determined through negotiations between RehabCare and Kindred, and the decision to enter into the merger was solely that of the RehabCare board of directors. CGMI's opinion was only one of many factors considered by the RehabCare board of directors in its evaluation of the merger and should not be viewed as determinative of the views of the RehabCare board of directors or RehabCare management with respect to the merger or the merger consideration.

The following is a summary of the material financial analyses presented to the RehabCare board of directors in connection with the delivery of CGMI's opinion. The financial analyses summarized below include information presented in tabular format. In order to fully understand CGMI's financial analyses, the tables must be read together with the text of each summary. The tables alone do not constitute a complete description of the financial analyses. Considering the data below without considering the full narrative description of the financial analyses, including the methodologies and assumptions underlying the analyses, could create a misleading or incomplete view of CGMI's financial analyses.

Valuation Analyses of RehabCare

In connection with CGMI's financial analysis summarized below, CGMI reviewed financial forecasts and other information and data relating to RehabCare which were prepared by RehabCare's management, referred to as Case A and Case B. For purposes of the financial analyses summarized below, 2010 and 2011 estimates for RehabCare are identical for Case A and Case B and, accordingly, CGMI did not differentiate these cases for purposes of its analyses with respect to those calendar years. In addition, for purposes of the financial analyses summarized below, the term implied merger consideration refers to the total implied value of \$35.00 per share calculated by (1) multiplying the closing price of Kindred common stock on February 4, 2011 (\$19.22) by an assumed exchange ratio of 0.473, which had a value of \$9.00 per share as of such date, the time CGMI performed its analysis and (2) adding the per share cash consideration of \$26.00. The assumed exchange ratio was calculated based on Kindred's 10-day volume-weighted average stock price as of February 4, 2011. The final exchange ratio of 0.471 included in the merger agreement was calculated on February 7, 2011. The value of the merger consideration is subject to change based on Kindred's stock price.

Historical Trading Range. CGMI observed that during the 52-week period ended February 4, 2011, RehabCare common stock traded in a range of \$15.88 to \$31.93 per share and the closing price per share of RehabCare common stock on February 4, 2011 was \$25.19. CGMI noted that the implied merger consideration was above the 52-week trading range of RehabCare common stock.

Research Price Targets. CGMI compared the implied merger consideration to the 12-month price per share targets for RehabCare's common stock of nine Wall Street research analysts, as of February 4, 2011, found in publicly available equity research on RehabCare. As of that date, the nine research analysts who covered RehabCare and reported price targets published price per share targets for RehabCare's common stock between \$25.00 and \$32.00 (with a mean price target of \$29.33 and a median price target of \$30.00). CGMI noted that the implied merger consideration was above that range.

Premia Paid Analysis. CGMI reviewed publicly available data relating to transactions involving U.S. public targets in excess of \$500 million announced since January 1, 2006, excluding transactions where the target's principal business was as a financial institution or in real estate. CGMI reviewed the implied premia paid in the transactions over the closing stock prices of the target companies in such transactions one trading day prior to public announcement of the relevant transaction based on information publicly available at that time. CGMI observed the interquartile range of premia among the selected transactions of 13.9% (for transactions in the 25th percentile) to 39.9% (for transactions in the 75th percentile). CGMI applied such selected premia to the closing

Table of Contents

price of RehabCare's common stock on February 4, 2011 of \$25.19 per share. This analysis indicated the following implied per share equity value reference range for RehabCare, as compared to the implied merger consideration:

Selected Per Share Equity Reference Range for RehabCare's Common Stock		Implied Merger Consideration
\$28.75	\$35.25	\$ 35.00

Selected Company Trading Analysis. CGMI reviewed financial and stock market information and public market trading multiples of RehabCare and the following five selected publicly held healthcare companies:

HealthSouth Corporation

Kindred

Select Medical Holdings Corporation

Skilled Healthcare Group, Inc.

Sun Healthcare Group, Inc.

CGMI reviewed, among other information, adjusted enterprise values of the selected companies, calculated as market value based on closing stock prices on February 4, 2011, plus debt, less cash, adjusted for rent capitalized at 8.0x (which multiple was selected based on industry convention) and other adjustments, as a multiple of calendar year 2011 estimated EBITDAR. Based on its professional judgment, CGMI selected the high and low multiples of the peer group for its range of 2011 estimated EBITDAR multiples of 6.2x to 7.5x and applied such selected multiples to corresponding data of RehabCare. CGMI also noted the mean and median 2011 estimated EBITDAR multiples in the peer group of 6.9x and 7.0x, respectively. Financial data for the selected companies were based on information available from FactSet and public filings. Financial data for RehabCare were based both on RehabCare management's estimates and publicly available research analysts' estimates relating to RehabCare, referred to as RehabCare consensus estimates. This analysis indicated the following implied per share equity value reference ranges for RehabCare, as compared to the implied merger consideration:

Implied per Share Equity Value Reference Ranges for RehabCare Based on:				Implied Merger Consideration
RehabCare Management Estimates		RehabCare Consensus Estimates		
\$26.00	\$38.75	\$24.50	\$36.75	\$ 35.00

Precedent Transaction Multiples. Using publicly available information, Wall Street research reports and information provided by RehabCare management, CGMI reviewed financial data for the following four selected transactions. These transactions were selected generally because, as is the case with this transaction, they involved the acquisition of healthcare companies that CGMI deemed appropriate based on their general comparability (including attributes such as business and size).

Announcement Date

August 24, 2010
 June 21, 2010
 November 3, 2009
 July 21, 2005

Acquiror

Kindred
 Select Medical Holdings Corporation
 RehabCare
 The Carlyle Group

Target

Vista Healthcare, LLC (5 hospitals)
 Regency Hospital Company, L.L.C.
 Triumph Healthcare LLC
 LifeCare Holdings, Inc.

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

As part of its selected comparable transaction analysis, CGMI calculated and analyzed ratio of the transaction value to the target company's EBITDA as measured for the last twelve months (which we refer to as "LTM") prior to the announcement of the transaction. Based on its professional judgment, CGMI selected the high and low multiples from the selected transactions for its range of selected 2010 EBITDA multiples of 6.4x to 7.6x and applied such selected multiples to corresponding data of RehabCare. CGMI also noted the mean 2010

Table of Contents

EBITDA multiple of 6.9x. This analysis implied the following per share equity value reference range for RehabCare, as compared to the implied merger consideration:

Implied per Share Equity Value Reference Range for RehabCare		Implied Merger Consideration	
\$26.25	\$34.00	\$	35.00

Discounted Cash Flow Analysis. CGMI performed a discounted cash flow analysis to calculate the estimated present value of the standalone unlevered, after-tax free cash flow that RehabCare could generate for calendar year 2011 through calendar year 2020. The analysis was conducted on both the Company's Case A and Case B projections. CGMI calculated terminal values for RehabCare by applying to RehabCare's fiscal year 2020 estimated free cash flows a range of perpetuity growth rates of 1.75% to 2.25%, which range was selected taking into consideration long-term growth expectations for the industry. The present value of the cash flows and terminal values were then calculated using discount rates ranging from 9.8% to 11.4% based on RehabCare's weighted average cost of capital calculation. Based on this analysis, CGMI calculated the following implied per share equity reference ranges for RehabCare common stock, shown as compared to the implied merger consideration:

Implied per Share Equity Value Reference Ranges for RehabCare Based on:				Implied Merger Consideration	
Case A		Case B			
\$35.50	\$47.75	\$28.00	\$38.25	\$	35.00

Valuation Analyses of Kindred

Historical Trading Range. CGMI reviewed the daily closing prices per share of Kindred common stock to derive a 52-week trading range for Kindred for the period ended February 4, 2011. CGMI observed that the 52-week trading range for Kindred common stock for such period was \$11.50 to \$20.97 per share. CGMI noted that the closing price per share of Kindred common stock on February 4, 2011 was within that range.

Research Price Targets. CGMI reviewed the 12-month price per share targets for Kindred's common stock of ten Wall Street analysts, as of February 4, 2011, found in publicly available equity research on Kindred. As of that date, the ten research analysts who covered Kindred and reported price targets published price per share targets for Kindred's common stock between \$16.00 and \$26.00 (with a mean price target of \$21.40 and a median price target of \$22.00). CGMI noted that the closing price per share of Kindred's common stock on February 4, 2011 was within that range.

Historical Price to Earnings Analysis. Based on publicly available information, CGMI reviewed the multiple of Kindred's historical stock price to its earnings per share over a 5 year period and observed Kindred's 1-year, 3-year and 5-year average price to earnings multiples (11.0x, 12.8x and 15.1x, respectively) based on such information. Based on its professional judgment, CGMI selected the high and low multiples from the selected averages for its range of 2011 price to earnings multiples of 11.0x to 15.1x and applied such selected multiples to corresponding data of Kindred. Financial data for Kindred were based both on Kindred management's estimates and publicly available research analysts' estimates relating to Kindred, referred to as Kindred consensus estimates. This analysis indicated the following implied per share equity value reference ranges for Kindred, as compared to the closing price of Kindred common stock on February 4, 2011:

Implied per Share Equity Value Reference Ranges for Kindred Based on:				Closing Price of Kindred common stock on February 4, 2011	
Kindred Management Estimates		Kindred Consensus Estimates			
\$16.75	\$23.25	\$16.75	\$24.25	\$	19.22

Table of Contents

Selected Company Trading Analysis. CGMI reviewed financial and stock market information and public market trading multiples of Kindred and the following five selected publicly held healthcare companies:

HealthSouth Corporation

Select Medical Holdings Corporation

Skilled Healthcare Group, Inc.

Sun Healthcare Group, Inc.

RehabCare

CGMI reviewed, among other information, adjusted enterprise values of the selected companies, calculated as market value based on closing stock prices on February 4, 2011, plus debt, less cash, adjusted for rent capitalized at 8.0x (which multiple was selected based on industry convention) and other adjustments, as a multiple of calendar year 2011 estimated EBITDAR. Based on its professional judgment, CGMI selected the high and low multiples of the peer group for its range of 2011 estimated EBITDAR multiples of 6.2x to 7.5x and applied such selected multiples to corresponding data of Kindred. CGMI also noted the mean and median 2011 estimated EBITDAR multiples in the peer group of 6.9x and 7.0x, respectively. Financial data for the selected companies were based on information available from FactSet and public filings. Financial data for Kindred were based both on Kindred management's estimates and publicly available research analysts' estimates relating to Kindred, referred to as Kindred consensus estimates. This analysis indicated the following implied per share equity value reference ranges for Kindred, as compared to the closing price of Kindred common stock on February 4, 2011:

Implied per Share Equity Value Reference Ranges for Kindred Based on:				Closing Price of Kindred common stock on February 4, 2011
Kindred Management Estimates		Kindred Consensus Estimates		
\$15.25	\$35.00	\$15.25	\$33.75	\$ 19.22
<i>Pro Forma Earnings Per Share Impact</i>				

CGMI calculated potential accretion/dilution of pro forma EPS of Kindred for calendar years 2011 through 2014 based on RehabCare management's Case A and Case B financial models. In each case, CGMI calculated potential accretion/dilution under two possible scenarios: (i) excluding any synergies and (ii) including RehabCare and Kindred's management estimated synergies in connection with the merger. The following table sets forth the results of this analysis:

Case A

	Excluding Synergies	Including Synergies
2011E EPS Accretion / (Dilution)%	15.9%	34.7%
2012E EPS Accretion / (Dilution)%	25.2%	51.7%
2013E EPS Accretion / (Dilution)%	33.6%	58.5%
2014E EPS Accretion / (Dilution)%	42.0%	66.1%

Case B

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

	Excluding Synergies	Including Synergies
2011E EPS Accretion / (Dilution)%	15.9%	34.7%
2012E EPS Accretion / (Dilution)%	15.2%	41.7%
2013E EPS Accretion / (Dilution)%	15.6%	40.5%
2014E EPS Accretion / (Dilution)%	23.2%	47.3%

Table of Contents

Miscellaneous

Under the terms of CGMI's engagement, RehabCare has agreed to pay CGMI for its financial advisory services in connection with the merger an aggregate fee of up to approximately \$15.1 million (assuming a price per share of Kindred common stock of \$23.04 on the date of consummation of the merger), \$2.5 million of which was payable upon delivery by CGMI of the opinion and the remainder of which is payable upon consummation of the merger. CGMI agreed to reduce its aggregate fees by the amount of fees and expenses that would be payable by RehabCare to RBC in connection with the delivery of a fairness opinion by RBC. Subject to certain limitations, RehabCare also has agreed to reimburse CGMI for reasonable travel and other expenses incurred by CGMI in performing its services, including reasonable fees and expenses of its legal counsel, and to indemnify CGMI and related persons against liabilities, including liabilities under the federal securities laws, arising out of its engagement.

Citi, or one of its affiliates engaged in the commercial lending business, will be acting as an arranger and bookrunner in the financing obtained by Kindred in connection with the financing of the merger. Citi or its affiliates could receive compensation ranging from \$10 million to \$15 million in connection with their participation in the debt financing necessary for Kindred to complete the merger. The actual amount of this compensation will depend on a number of factors, including, the amount drawn on the credit facility, the syndication of the revolving credit facility and the fees payable in connection with such syndication.

Citi and its affiliates in the past have provided and currently provide services to RehabCare and Kindred unrelated to the proposed merger, for which services Citi and its affiliates have received and expect to receive compensation, including, without limitation, in July 2007, Citi acted as syndication agent in connection with the issuance of Kindred's \$500 million asset based revolving credit facility (and was a lender under such facility), and continued its participation in the amendment of this facility, in December 2010, to \$600 million. In the ordinary course of its business, Citi and its affiliates may actively trade or hold the securities of RehabCare and Kindred for their own account or for the account of their customers and, accordingly, may at any time hold a long or short position in such securities. In addition, Citi and its affiliates may maintain relationships with RehabCare, Kindred and their respective affiliates.

RehabCare selected CGMI to provide certain financial advisory services in connection with the merger based on CGMI's reputation and experience. CGMI is an internationally recognized investment banking firm which regularly engages in the valuation of businesses and their securities in connection with mergers and acquisitions, negotiated underwritings, competitive bids, secondary distributions of listed and unlisted securities, private placements and valuations for estate, corporate and other purposes. The issuance of CGMI's opinion was authorized by CGMI's fairness opinion committee.

Opinion of RehabCare's Financial Advisor - RBC

On January 24, 2011, RehabCare formally retained RBC to evaluate the fairness, from a financial point of view, of the merger consideration to be received in the merger by the holders of RehabCare common stock. On February 7, 2011, RBC delivered its oral opinion, subsequently confirmed in writing, to the RehabCare board of directors to the effect that, as of such date, based upon and subject to the factors and assumptions made, matters considered and limits of the review undertaken by RBC set forth therein, the merger consideration was fair from a financial point of view to the holders of RehabCare common stock.

The full text of RBC's written opinion, dated February 7, 2011, which, among other things, sets forth the assumptions made, procedures followed, matters considered, and limitations of the review undertaken by RBC in connection with the opinion, is attached as Annex C. RBC provided its opinion for the information and assistance of the RehabCare board of directors in connection with its consideration of the merger. All advice and opinions (written and oral) rendered by RBC were intended for the use and benefit of the RehabCare board of directors. The RBC opinion was not a recommendation to any stockholder as to how such stockholder should vote with respect to the merger. RehabCare stockholders are urged to read the RBC opinion in its entirety.

Table of Contents

For the purposes of rendering its opinion, RBC undertook such review and inquiries as it deemed necessary or appropriate under the circumstances, including the following:

reviewed the financial terms of a draft of the merger agreement;

reviewed and analyzed certain publicly available financial and other data with respect to RehabCare and Kindred and certain other relevant historical operating data relating to RehabCare and Kindred made available to RBC from published sources and from the internal records of RehabCare and Kindred, respectively, and financial projections for RehabCare for the fiscal years ended 2011 through 2020, as prepared by RehabCare, and financial projections for Kindred for the fiscal years ended 2011 through 2015, as prepared by Kindred;

conducted discussions with members of the senior managements of RehabCare and Kindred with respect to the business prospects and financial outlook of RehabCare and Kindred as standalone entities;

reviewed the reported prices and trading activity for RehabCare common stock and Kindred common stock; and

performed such other studies and analyses as it deemed appropriate.

In arriving at its opinion, RBC performed the following analyses in addition to the review, inquiries and analyses referred to in the preceding paragraph:

compared selected market valuation metrics of RehabCare and other comparable publicly traded companies with the financial metrics implied by the merger consideration;

compared selected market valuation metrics of Kindred and other comparable publicly traded companies;

compared the financial metrics of selected precedent transactions with the financial metrics implied by the merger consideration for RehabCare; and

performed a discounted cash flow analysis of RehabCare and Kindred.

RBC employed several analytical methodologies in rendering its opinion, and no one method of analysis should be regarded as critical to the overall conclusion reached. Each analytical technique has inherent strengths and weaknesses, and the nature of the available information may further affect the value of particular techniques. RBC's overall conclusions were based on the analyses and factors presented, taken as a whole, and also on application of RBC's own experience and judgment. Such conclusions may have involved significant elements of subjective judgment and qualitative analysis. RBC therefore gave no opinion as to the value or merit standing alone of any one or more parts of the analysis.

In rendering its opinion, RBC assumed and relied upon the accuracy and completeness of all of the information that was publicly available to it and all of the financial, legal, tax, operating and other information provided to or discussed with it by RehabCare and Kindred (including, without limitation, the financial statements and related notes thereto of RehabCare and Kindred), and did not assume any responsibility for independently verifying, and did not independently verify, such information. RBC assumed that all projections and forecasts provided to RBC by RehabCare and Kindred were reasonably prepared and represented the best currently available estimates and good faith judgments of the managements of RehabCare and Kindred as to the future financial performance of RehabCare and Kindred, respectively, as standalone entities.

RBC expressed no opinion as to such projections and forecasts or the assumptions on which they were based.

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

In rendering its opinion, RBC did not assume any responsibility to perform, and did not perform, an independent evaluation or appraisal of any of the assets or liabilities of RehabCare or Kindred, and RBC was not furnished with any such valuations or appraisals. RBC did not assume any obligation to conduct, and did not

Table of Contents

conduct, a physical inspection of the property or facilities of RehabCare or Kindred. RBC did not investigate, and made no assumption regarding, any litigation or other claims affecting RehabCare or Kindred.

RBC assumed, in all respects material to its analysis, that:

all conditions to the consummation of the merger would be satisfied without waiver thereof;

the merger would be consummated pursuant to the subsidiary merger election; and

the executed version of the merger agreement would not differ, in any respect material to RBC's opinion, from the latest draft reviewed by RBC.

The RBC opinion speaks only as of the date thereof, is based on the conditions as they existed, and information that RBC was supplied, as of the date thereof, and is without regard to any market, economic, financial, legal, or other circumstances or event of any kind or nature which may exist or occur after such date. RBC did not undertake to reaffirm or revise its opinion or otherwise comment upon events occurring after the date thereof and does not have an obligation to update, revise or reaffirm its opinion. RBC did not express an opinion as to prices at which RehabCare common stock or Kindred common stock would trade at any time.

The RBC opinion was provided for the information and assistance of the board of directors of RehabCare in connection with the merger. The RBC opinion did not address the merits of the underlying decision by RehabCare to engage in the merger or the relative merits of the merger compared to any alternative business strategy or transaction in which RehabCare might engage. The RBC opinion addresses solely the fairness of the merger consideration, from a financial point of view, to the holders of RehabCare common stock. The RBC opinion does not in any way address other terms or arrangements of the merger or the merger agreement, including without limitation, the financial or other terms of any other agreement contemplated by, or entered into in connection with, the merger agreement. Further, in rendering its opinion, RBC expressed no opinion about the fairness of the amount or nature of the compensation to any of RehabCare's officers, directors or employees, or class of such persons, relative to the compensation to the public stockholders of RehabCare.

Set forth below is a summary of the material financial analyses performed by RBC in connection with its opinion and reviewed with the RehabCare board of directors at its meeting on February 7, 2011. The following summary, however, does not purport to be a complete description of the financial analyses performed by RBC. The order of analyses described does not represent relative importance or weight given to those analyses by RBC. Some of the summaries of the financial analyses include information presented in tabular format. The tables must be read together with the full text of each summary and are alone not a complete description of RBC's financial analyses.

For purposes of its analyses, RBC reviewed a number of financial and operating metrics, including:

Enterprise value, calculated as the value of the relevant company's outstanding equity securities (taking into account its outstanding options and other convertible securities, as applicable) based on the relevant company's closing stock price (which we refer to as equity value) plus (i) par value of debt less cash and equivalents (which we refer to as net debt) and (ii) noncontrolling interest, net of investment in unconsolidated affiliates (if any);

Adjusted enterprise value, calculated as enterprise value plus the debt equivalent of operating leases estimated at eight times annual rent expense;

Stock price;

EBITDA;

EBITDAR; and

Earnings per share.

Table of Contents

Unless the context indicates otherwise, enterprise values, adjusted enterprise values and stock prices derived from the comparable companies analyses described below were calculated using the closing price of RehabCare common stock or Kindred common stock, as applicable, and the common stock of the selected rehabilitation care services, senior nursing facilities services and long-term acute care hospital companies listed below as of February 4, 2011, and transaction values for the target companies derived from the comparable transactions analysis described below were calculated as of the announcement date of the relevant transactions based on the estimated enterprise value as of such date, using the purchase prices to be paid for the target companies' stock in the selected transactions, instead of closing stock prices. Accordingly, this information may not reflect current or future market conditions.

Preliminary 2010 EBITDA, EBITDAR and earnings per share for RehabCare and Kindred were based on preliminary historical financial information provided by management of RehabCare and Kindred, respectively, for fiscal year 2010. Adjusted 2010 EBITDA, EBITDAR and earnings per share for Kindred were based on preliminary 2010 EBITDA, EBITDAR and earnings per share, adjusted to include full-year impact of Kindred's 2010 acquisitions, based on Kindred management's estimates and RBC assumptions regarding depreciation and amortization as a percentage of EBITDA and RBC assumptions regarding tax rates. Estimates of 2011 EBITDA, EBITDAR and earnings per share for RehabCare and Kindred were based on estimates provided by the managements of RehabCare and Kindred, respectively, for fiscal year 2011. Estimates of 2010 and 2011 EBITDA, EBITDAR and earnings per share for the selected rehabilitation care services, senior nursing facilities services and long-term acute care hospital companies listed below were based on consensus estimates across selected Wall Street research reports (which were referred to as Wall Street consensus estimates) for calendar years 2010 and 2011.

Enterprise value for RehabCare was calculated based on 25.3 million shares of RehabCare common stock outstanding, on a fully diluted basis, \$375 million of net debt and \$16 million noncontrolling interest, net of investment in unconsolidated affiliates. Enterprise value for Kindred was calculated based on 40.5 million shares of Kindred common stock outstanding, on a fully diluted basis, \$385 million of net debt and no noncontrolling interest, net of investment in unconsolidated affiliates.

None of the companies used in the comparable companies or comparable transactions analyses are identical to RehabCare or Kindred. Accordingly, RBC believes the analyses are not simply mathematical. Rather, they involve complex considerations and qualitative judgments, reflected in RBC's opinion, concerning differences in financial and operating characteristics of such companies and other factors that could affect the public trading value of the comparable companies or the acquisition prices of the subject companies in the comparable transactions analysis.

RehabCare Financial Analysis

For each of the implied per share value reference ranges for RehabCare common stock referred to below, RBC compared such ranges to an assumed merger consideration of \$35.00 per share, based on (i) the cash consideration of \$26.00 per share of RehabCare common stock, and (ii) the stock consideration of 0.471 shares of Kindred common stock, valued at \$9.00 per share of RehabCare common stock.

RehabCare Comparable Companies Analysis

RBC reviewed certain financial and stock market information of RehabCare and compared such information to corresponding information for a group of publicly traded companies (a peer group). The peer group to which RehabCare was compared consisted of the following publicly traded rehabilitation care services, senior nursing facilities services and long-term acute care hospital companies:

Kindred

HealthSouth Corp.

Select Medical Holdings Corp.

Table of Contents

Hanger Orthopedic Group

Skilled Healthcare Group Inc.

National Healthcare Corp.

Ensign Group Inc.

Sun Healthcare Group Inc.

U.S. Physical Therapy Inc.

RBC calculated the following multiples based on the reviewed financial and stock market information of RehabCare's peer group:

Enterprise value as a multiple of calendar year (which we refer to as CY) 2010E EBITDA.

Enterprise value as a multiple of CY 2011 estimated (which we refer to as 2011E) EBITDA.

Adjusted enterprise value as a multiple of CY 2010E EBITDAR.

Adjusted enterprise value as a multiple of CY 2011E EBITDAR.

Share price as a multiple of CY 2010E earnings per share.

Share price as a multiple of CY 2011E earnings per share.

The following table sets forth the high, low, mean and median multiples of RehabCare's peer group derived by RBC, based on historical financial information and Wall Street consensus estimates:

	Peer Group High	Peer Group Mean	Peer Group Median	Peer Group Low
Enterprise value as a multiple of CY 2010E EBITDA (1)	10.5x	7.7x	8.0x	4.7x
Enterprise value as a multiple of CY 2011E EBITDA	8.9x	6.5x	6.8x	3.7x
Adjusted enterprise value as a multiple of CY 2010E EBITDAR (1)(2)	9.8x	7.9x	8.0x	6.7x
Adjusted enterprise value as a multiple of CY 2011E EBITDAR (2)	8.8x	7.1x	7.1x	6.1x
	17.2x	14.2x	13.6x	11.7x

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

Price per share as a multiple of CY 2010E
earnings per share (1)

Price per share as a multiple of CY 2011E
earnings per share

14.7x

12.0x

12.0x

9.5x

- (1) CY 2010E EBITDA, EBITDAR and earnings per share were not meaningful for Sun Healthcare Group Inc. due to a REIT spin-off transaction in November 2010.
- (2) Rent or occupancy expense was not disclosed by a majority of the research analysts covering the stock of Select Medical Holdings Corp., Hanger Orthopedic Group and U.S. Physical Therapy Inc. RBC estimated 2010 and 2011 rent/occupancy expense for these companies based on trailing three-year average rent/occupancy expense as percentage of sales multiplied by 2010 and 2011 Wall Street consensus sales estimates.

Table of Contents

RBC applied the following multiple ranges to RehabCare preliminary 2010 and estimated 2011 EBITDA, EBITDAR, earnings per share and other corresponding financial data for RehabCare, after reviewing the comparable companies analysis, which yielded the following implied per share equity value reference ranges for RehabCare common stock:

	Selected Multiple Range	Implied Per Share Equity Value Reference Range
Enterprise value as a multiple of CY 2010E EBITDA	6.0x - 8.5x	\$ 23.62 - \$39.65
Enterprise value as a multiple of CY 2011E EBITDA	5.5x - 8.0x	\$ 25.23 - \$43.44
Adjusted enterprise value as a multiple of CY 2010E EBITDAR	6.7x - 8.5x	\$ 25.56 - \$40.63
Adjusted enterprise value as a multiple of CY 2011E EBITDAR	6.1x - 8.0x	\$ 25.92 - \$43.44
Price per share as a multiple of CY 2010E earnings per share	12.0x - 16.5x	\$ 29.73 - \$40.88
Price per share as a multiple of CY 2011E earnings per share	9.5x - 14.5x	\$ 27.80 - \$42.43

RehabCare Comparable Transactions Analysis

RBC reviewed selected financial information for the following selected, publicly announced rehabilitation care services, senior nursing facilities services and long-term acute care hospital transactions:

Announced	Acquiror	Target / Divestor
08/24/2010	Kindred Healthcare	5 LTAC Hospitals / Vista Healthcare LLC
08/16/2010	Onex Corporation	Res-Care Inc. (75.1%) (1)
06/21/2010	Select Medical Holdings	Regency Hospital Company
11/03/2009	RehabCare Group Inc.	Triumph Healthcare Inc.
07/02/2007	Carlyle Group Inc.	Manor Care, Inc.
01/16/2007	Formation Capital, JER Partners	Genesis HealthCare Corporation
10/19/2006	Sun Healthcare Group Inc.	Harborside Healthcare Corp / Investcorp
07/12/2006	Formation Capital, JER Partners	Tandem Healthcare / Behrman Capital
10/24/2005	Onex Partners and Management	Skilled Healthcare Group
03/22/2006	Vestar Capital and Management	National Mentor Holdings / Madison Dearborn Partners
11/21/2005	Fillmore Capital Partners	Beverly Enterprises
07/21/2005	Carlyle Group Inc.	LifeCare Holdings / GTCR
10/18/2004	Thoma Cressey Bravo/Welsh, Carson, Anderson & Stowe	Select Medical Corp

- (1) Onex and affiliates already owned common stock and convertible preferred stock representing approximately 24.9% of Res-Care Inc. shares on an as converted basis.

RBC calculated certain multiples based on the reviewed financial information of the comparable transactions including:

Enterprise value as a multiple of EBITDA for LTM for which financial information had been made public as of the date of announcement of the applicable transaction.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the high, low, mean and median multiples of the comparable transactions derived by RBC, based on available historical financial information:

	Comparable Transactions High	Comparable Transactions Mean	Comparable Transactions Median	Comparable Transactions Low
Enterprise value as a multiple of LTM EBITDA	12.7x	8.4x	7.6x	5.9x

RBC applied the following multiple range to RehabCare preliminary 2010 EBITDA and other corresponding financial data for RehabCare, after reviewing the comparable transactions, which yielded the following implied per share equity value reference ranges for RehabCare common stock:

	Selected Multiple Range	Implied Per Share Equity Value Reference Range
Enterprise value as a multiple of LTM EBITDA	6.0x - 11.0x	\$ 23.62 - \$55.62

RehabCare Discounted Cash Flow Analysis

RBC performed a discounted cash flow analysis of RehabCare to calculate the estimated present value of the standalone unlevered, after-tax free cash flows that RehabCare was forecasted to generate through the fiscal year ending December 31, 2020, based on estimates provided by RehabCare's management. Management provided RBC with two sets (which we refer to as Case A and Case B) of estimates. Relative to Case B, Case A reflected higher volume growth and more favorable reimbursement environment beginning in 2012. See Summary of RehabCare Projections beginning on page 71 below for a detailed discussion of the estimates and the assumptions underlying such estimates.

For purposes of the RehabCare discounted cash flow analysis, enterprise value was calculated as equity value plus net debt. RBC did not subtract noncontrolling interest, net of investment in unconsolidated affiliates, in order to reach implied per share equity value, as the discounted cash flow analysis excluded distributions to noncontrolling interests. RBC performed its discounted cash flow analysis of RehabCare based on perpetual growth rates ranging from 1.00% to 2.00% and applied discount rates reflecting a weighted-average cost of capital (which we refer to as WACC) ranging from 9.0% to 12.0% (discounted to December 31, 2010). The range of discount rates was based on RBC's estimate of RehabCare's equity cost of capital after taking into account the estimated five-year historical betas of selected comparable publicly traded companies. These calculations indicated the following implied per share equity value reference ranges for RehabCare common stock:

	Implied Per Share Equity Value Reference Range
Case A	\$ 31.36 - \$53.04
Case B	\$ 24.40 - \$42.25

Kindred Financial Analysis

In order to form a view as to the value of the stock component of the merger consideration, RBC performed certain financial analyses with respect to Kindred common stock.

Table of Contents

Kindred Comparable Companies Analysis

RBC reviewed certain financial and stock market information of Kindred and compared such information to corresponding information for a peer group. The peer group to which Kindred was compared consisted of the following publicly traded rehabilitation care services, senior nursing facilities services and long-term acute care hospital companies:

RehabCare

HealthSouth Corp.

Select Medical Holdings Corp.

Hanger Orthopedic Group

Skilled Healthcare Group Inc.

National Healthcare Corp.

Ensign Group Inc.

Sun Healthcare Group Inc.

U.S. Physical Therapy Inc.

RBC calculated the following multiples based on the reviewed financial and stock market information of Kindred's peer group:

Enterprise value as a multiple of CY 2010E EBITDA.

Enterprise value as a multiple of CY 2011E EBITDA.

Adjusted enterprise value as a multiple of CY 2010E EBITDAR.

Adjusted enterprise value as a multiple of CY 2011E EBITDAR.

Share price as a multiple of CY 2010E earnings per share.

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

Share price as a multiple of CY 2011E earnings per share.

The following table sets forth the high, low, mean and median multiples of Kindred's peer group derived by RBC, based on historical financial information and Wall Street consensus estimates:

	Peer Group High	Peer Group Mean	Peer Group Median	Peer Group Low
Enterprise value as a multiple of CY 2010E EBITDA (1)	10.5x	7.9x	8.0x	6.1x
Enterprise value as a multiple of CY 2011E EBITDA	8.9x	6.6x	6.8x	3.7x
Adjusted enterprise value as a multiple of CY 2010E EBITDAR (1)(2)	9.8x	7.9x	8.0x	6.7x
Adjusted enterprise value as a multiple of CY 2011E EBITDAR (2)	8.8x	7.1x	7.1x	6.0x
Price per share as a multiple of CY 2010E earnings per share (1)	17.2x	13.9x	13.6x	10.2x
Price per share as a multiple of CY 2011E earnings per share	14.7x	11.7x	11.8x	8.6x

- (1) CY 2010E EBITDA, EBITDAR and earnings per share were not meaningful for Sun Healthcare Group Inc. due to a REIT spin-off transaction in November 2010.

Table of Contents

- (2) Rent or occupancy expense was not disclosed by a majority of the research analysts covering the stock of Select Medical Holdings Corp., Hanger Orthopedic Group and U.S. Physical Therapy Inc. RBC estimated 2010 and 2011 rent/occupancy expense for these companies based on trailing three-year average rent/occupancy expense as percentage of sales multiplied by 2010 and 2011 Wall Street consensus sales estimates.

RBC applied the following multiple ranges to Kindred adjusted 2010 and estimated 2011 EBITDA, EBITDAR, earnings per share and other corresponding financial data for Kindred, after reviewing the comparable companies analysis, which yielded the following implied per share equity value reference ranges for Kindred common stock:

	Selected Multiple Range	Implied Per Share Equity Value Reference Range
Enterprise value as a multiple of CY 2010E EBITDA	6.1x - 8.5x	\$ 27.95 - \$42.06
Enterprise value as a multiple of CY 2011E EBITDA	5.5x - 8.0x	\$ 27.93 - \$44.22
Adjusted enterprise value as a multiple of CY 2010E EBITDAR	6.7x - 8.5x	\$ 20.17 - \$46.37
Adjusted enterprise value as a multiple of CY 2011E EBITDAR	6.0x - 8.0x	\$ 13.32 - \$44.22
Price per share as a multiple of CY 2010E earnings per share	12.0x - 16.5x	\$ 18.46 - \$25.38
Price per share as a multiple of CY 2011E earnings per share	9.0x - 14.5x	\$ 14.40 - \$23.19

Kindred Discounted Cash Flow Analysis

RBC performed a discounted cash flow analysis of Kindred to calculate the estimated present value of the standalone unlevered, after-tax free cash flows that Kindred was forecasted to generate through the fiscal year ending December 31, 2015, based on estimates provided by Kindred management. RBC performed its discounted cash flow analysis of Kindred based on perpetual growth rates ranging from 1.00% to 2.00% and applied discount rates reflecting a WACC ranging from 8.5% to 11.5% (discounted to December 31, 2010). The range of discount rates was based on RBC's estimate of Kindred's equity cost of capital after taking into account the estimated five-year historical betas of selected comparable publicly traded companies. These calculations indicated an implied per share equity value reference range for Kindred common stock of \$22.76 to \$41.27.

General

The foregoing summary describes all the analyses and factors that RBC deemed material in its presentation to the RehabCare board of directors, but is not a comprehensive description of all analyses performed or factors considered by RBC in connection with preparing its opinion. The preparation of a fairness opinion is a complex process involving the application of subjective business judgment in determining the most appropriate and relevant methods of financial analysis and the application of those methods to the particular circumstances and, therefore, is not readily susceptible to summary description. RBC believes that its analyses must be considered as a whole and that considering any portion of such analyses and of the factors considered without considering all of such analyses and factors could create a misleading view of the process underlying the opinion. In arriving at its fairness determination, RBC did not assign specific weights to any particular analyses.

In conducting its analyses and arriving at its opinion, RBC used a variety of generally accepted valuation methods. The analyses were prepared for the purpose of enabling RBC to provide its opinion to the RehabCare board of directors as to the fairness, from a financial point of view, of the merger consideration to the holders of RehabCare common stock and do not purport to be appraisals or necessarily reflect the prices at which businesses or securities actually may be sold, which are inherently subject to uncertainty. In connection with its analyses, RBC made, and was provided by RehabCare management with, numerous assumptions with respect to industry

Table of Contents

performance, general business and economic conditions and other matters, many of which are beyond the control of RBC or RehabCare. Analyses based on estimates or forecasts of future results are not necessarily indicative of actual past or future values or results, which may be significantly more or less favorable than suggested by such analyses. Because such analyses are inherently subject to uncertainty, being based upon numerous factors or events beyond the control of RehabCare or its advisors, neither RehabCare nor RBC nor any other person assumes responsibility if future results or actual values are materially different from these forecasts or assumptions.

The terms of the merger agreement were determined through arm's length negotiations between Kindred and RehabCare and were approved by the RehabCare board of directors. The decision to enter into the merger agreement was solely that of the RehabCare board of directors. As described above, the opinion and presentation of RBC to the RehabCare board of directors were only one of a number of factors taken into consideration by the RehabCare board of directors in making its determination to approve the merger agreement.

RehabCare selected RBC to provide the opinion based on RBC's qualifications, expertise, reputation and experience in mergers and acquisitions. RehabCare retained RBC pursuant to a letter agreement, dated January 24, 2011, which is referred to below as the engagement letter. RBC has earned a fee of \$250,000 for rendering its opinion, payable upon delivery of the opinion, regardless of whether the merger is consummated. Regardless of whether the merger is completed, RehabCare has agreed to reimburse RBC for all reasonable travel, legal and other out-of-pocket expenses incurred in performing the services described in the engagement letter, including reasonable fees and disbursements of RBC's legal counsel. RehabCare also agreed to indemnify RBC and certain related persons against certain liabilities related to or arising out of any matter contemplated by RBC's engagement, RBC's opinion or otherwise in connection with services provided with respect to a proposed acquisition of RehabCare by Kindred.

In the ordinary course of business, RBC may act as a market maker and broker in the publicly traded securities of RehabCare and Kindred and receive customary compensation, and may also actively trade securities of RehabCare and Kindred for its own account and the accounts of its customers. Accordingly, RBC and its affiliates may hold a long or short position in such securities. RBC has provided investment banking and financial advisory services to RehabCare in the past, for which it received customary fees, including, in the past two years, (i) RBC participated in RehabCare's refinancing related to RehabCare's acquisition of Triumph Healthcare in 2009, (ii) RBC acted as joint lead arranger and joint bookrunner on RehabCare's \$575 million existing credit facility, (iii) RBC's parent, Royal Bank of Canada, is currently a lender under the credit facility, and (iv) RBC acted as a co-manager in RehabCare's \$149 million follow-on offering of RehabCare common stock in 2009.

Summary of RehabCare Projections

RehabCare does not as a matter of course publicly disclose long-term forecasts or internal projections as to future performance, revenues, earnings or financial condition. However, certain prospective financial information, which is referred to as the RehabCare management forecast, was prepared by RehabCare's management and reviewed with, discussed among and provided to members of the RehabCare's board of directors, CGMI and RBC. RehabCare's management provided two alternative sets of the RehabCare management forecast, referred to as the Case A, which reflects more favorable reimbursement environment and higher volume growth, and the Case B, which reflects less favorable reimbursement environment and lower volume growth. These projections were provided to the RehabCare board of directors, CGMI, RBC, and Kindred, and were the basis upon which CGMI and RBC prepared certain analysis of RehabCare as more fully described under Opinion of RehabCare's Financial Advisor CGMI and Opinion of RehabCare's Financial Advisor RBC beginning on pages 55 and 62, respectively. RehabCare management's key assumptions supporting the Case A and Case B are discussed below.

The material portions of the RehabCare management forecast are included below in order to give RehabCare and Kindred stockholders access to this information as well. The inclusion of the prospective

Table of Contents

financial information below should not be regarded as an indication that RehabCare, RehabCare's management team, the RehabCare board of directors or Kindred, or any of their respective representatives considered, or now considers, the RehabCare management forecast to be predictive of actual future results.

RehabCare management's internal financial forecasts, upon which the prospective financial information set forth below is based, are subjective in many respects. The prospective financial information set forth below reflects numerous assumptions with respect to industry performance, competition, general business, economic, regulatory, market and financial conditions and other matters, all of which are difficult to predict and beyond the control of RehabCare and Kindred.

The prospective financial information set forth below also reflects numerous estimates and assumptions related to RehabCare's business that are inherently subject to significant economic, political and competitive uncertainties, all of which are difficult to predict and many of which are beyond the control of RehabCare or Kindred. As a result, although the prospective financial information set forth below was prepared in good faith based on assumptions believed to be reasonable at the time the information was prepared, there can be no assurance that the assumptions made in preparing such information will prove accurate or that the projected results reflected therein will be realized.

The prospective financial information set forth below was not prepared with a view toward public disclosure. Accordingly, the prospective financial information set forth below was not prepared with a view toward complying with the published guidelines of the SEC regarding projections or the guidelines established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants for preparation and presentation of prospective financial information or GAAP. Some of the projections present financial metrics that were not prepared in accordance with GAAP. Neither RehabCare's independent auditor nor any other independent accountants have compiled, examined or performed any procedures with respect to the prospective financial information contained herein, nor have they expressed any opinion or any other form of assurance on such information or its achievability, and they assume no responsibility for, and disclaim any association with, the prospective financial information.

The prospective financial information set forth below does not take into account any circumstances or events occurring since the date such information was prepared or which may occur in the future, and, in particular, does not take into account any revised prospects of RehabCare's business, changes in general business, regulatory or economic conditions, competition or any other transaction or event that has occurred since the date on which such information was prepared or which may occur in the future.

Prospective financial information are forward-looking statements and are based on estimates and assumptions that are inherently subject to factors such as industry performance, competition, general business, economic, regulatory, market and financial conditions, as well as changes to the business, financial condition or results of operation of RehabCare, including the factors described under Cautionary Statement Concerning Forward-Looking Statements beginning on page 33, and other risk factors as disclosed in RehabCare's filings with the SEC that could cause actual results to differ materially from those shown below. Since the prospective financial information set forth below covers multiple years, such information by its nature is subject to greater uncertainty with each successive year. In addition, the projections do not take into account any of the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, including the merger, which might also cause actual results to differ materially.

RehabCare has made publicly available its actual results for the 2010 fiscal year ended December 31, 2010. You should review RehabCare's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 to obtain this information. See Where You Can Find More Information beginning on page 225. You are cautioned not to place undue reliance on the specific portions of the prospective financial information set forth in the RehabCare management forecast. No one has made or makes any representation to any stockholder regarding the information included in the prospective financial information set forth in the RehabCare management forecast.

Table of Contents

For the foregoing reasons, as well as the bases and assumptions on which the prospective financial information set forth in the RehabCare management forecast was compiled, the inclusion of RehabCare's prospective financial information in this joint proxy statement/prospectus should not be regarded as an indication that such information will be predictive of actual future results or events, and it should not be relied on as such. Except as required by applicable securities laws, neither Kindred nor RehabCare have updated nor do Kindred or RehabCare intend to update or otherwise revise the prospective financial information set forth below, including, without limitation, to reflect circumstances existing after the date such information was prepared or to reflect the occurrence of future events, including, without limitation, changes in general economic, regulatory or industry conditions, even in the event that any or all of the assumptions underlying the prospective financial information is shown to be in error.

RehabCare's management employed the following key assumptions in preparing the Case A projections summarized in the table below:

Reimbursement:

Hospital Division, (a) net 1% lower Medicare reimbursement adjustment for LTAC hospitals and 2.4% increase for inpatient rehabilitation facilities (which we refer to as IRFs) for rate year (which we refer to as RY) 2011, (b) 1% Medicare reimbursement increase for LTAC hospitals and IRFs for RY 2012 thru 2014 and (c) commercial insurance rate reflects no increase in fiscal year (FY) 2011 and a 1% increase in FY 2012 thru 2014;

Skilled Nursing Rehabilitation Services (SRS) Division, (a) 1.7% increase in RY 2011 and 1% in RY 2012 thru 2014 for Medicare Part A, (b) Multiple Procedure Payment Reduction (MPPR) decreases Medicare Part B reimbursement by a net \$2 million to \$3 million in FY 2011, (c) approximately 1% increase in Medicare Part B reimbursement in FY 2012 thru 2014; and

Hospital Rehabilitation Services (HRS) Division, 2% increase in revenue per inpatient discharge in FY 2011 thru 2014;

Volume:

Hospital Division, 7.0% increase in patient days in FY 2011 and a 1.5% to 2.5% increase in patient days for FY 2012 thru 2014;

SRS Division, (a) 1.0% growth in same store minutes for FY 2011 thru 2014 and (b) approximately 60 net new contracts per year for FY 2011 thru 2014; and

HRS Division, (a) 3.9% growth in inpatient discharges per unit for FY 2011 and 3.0% increase in inpatient discharges per unit for FY 2012 thru 2014 and (b) four net new IRF contracts for FY 2011 thru 2014;

Consolidated selling, general and administrative expense grows at 2.3% for FY 2011 and 2.0% for FY 2012 thru 2014;

Consolidated EBITDA (1) margin as a percentage of revenue increases from approximately 13.0% in FY 2011 to approximately 14.7% in FY 2014; and

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

Effective tax rate, after consideration of noncontrolling interests, remains constant at 38.25% in FY 2011 thru 2014.

Table of Contents**Case A**

(dollars in millions, except per share amounts)

	Projected Year Ending December 31,			
	2011E	2012E	2013E	2014E
Revenue	\$ 1,438	\$ 1,516	\$ 1,598	\$ 1,674
EBITDAR(1)	\$ 236	\$ 257	\$ 278	\$ 298
EBITDA(2)	\$ 187	\$ 205	\$ 226	\$ 246
EPS	\$ 2.93	\$ 3.40	\$ 3.98	\$ 4.55

RehabCare's management utilized the Case A key assumptions in preparing the Case B projections summarized in the table below with the following exceptions:

Reimbursement:

Hospital Division, (a) productivity and coding adjustments lead to a 1% decrease in LTAC hospital margin and rebasing of prospective payment results in a 0.75% reduction in IRF margin in 2012 and (b) LTAC hospital facility, service and patient criteria is not approved as anticipated in Case A resulting in a 3.7% revenue neutrality rate reduction in 2013;

SRS Division, (a) CMS increases the MPPR reduction from 25% to 50% in 2012 resulting in an additional \$2 million to \$3 million decrease in Medicare Part B reimbursement and (b) RUGs IV rate reduction in 2012 results in a 0.50% decrease in Medicare Part A reimbursement; and

The Hospital Division and SRS Division are successful in mitigating their reimbursement pressures by 50%; and

Volume:

1% decrease in volume growth across the Hospital, SRS and HRS Divisions.

Case B

(dollars in millions, except per share amounts)

	Projected Year Ending December 31,			
	2011E	2012E	2013E	2014E
Revenue	\$ 1,438	\$ 1,475	\$ 1,518	\$ 1,576
EBITDAR(1)	\$ 236	\$ 242	\$ 248	\$ 265
EBITDA(2)	\$ 187	\$ 190	\$ 196	\$ 213
EPS	\$ 2.93	\$ 3.01	\$ 3.21	\$ 3.72

(1) EBITDAR is not a recognized financial measure under GAAP. EBITDAR is a generally accepted metric in the industry in which RehabCare operates and is used by RehabCare's management to measure operating performance.

(2)

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

EBITDA is not a recognized financial measure under GAAP. EBITDA is a generally accepted metric in the industry in which RehabCare operates and is used by RehabCare's management to measure operating performance.

Table of Contents

Stock Ownership of Directors and Executive Officers of Kindred and RehabCare

Kindred

At the close of business on April 25, 2011, the directors and executive officers of Kindred beneficially owned and were entitled to vote approximately 1,298,174 shares of Kindred common stock, collectively representing 3.2% of the shares of Kindred common stock outstanding on that date. Further information about ownership of Kindred common stock by directors and executive officers of Kindred may be found under The Kindred Annual Meeting Stock Ownership and Voting by Kindred's Directors and Executive Officers on page 131 and Security Ownership of Certain Kindred Beneficial Owners and Management beginning on page 134.

RehabCare

At the close of business on April 25, 2011, the directors and executive officers of RehabCare beneficially owned and were entitled to vote approximately 600,927 shares of RehabCare common stock, collectively representing approximately 2.4% of the shares of RehabCare common stock outstanding on that date. Further information about ownership of RehabCare common stock by directors and executive officers of RehabCare may be found in RehabCare's definitive proxy statement for its 2010 annual meeting, which is incorporated by reference in this joint proxy statement/prospectus. See Where You Can Find More Information beginning on page 225.

Merger Consideration

Kindred Stockholders

Each share of Kindred common stock outstanding immediately prior to the effective time will remain outstanding and will not be altered by the merger.

RehabCare Stockholders

At the effective time, each share of RehabCare common stock outstanding immediately prior to the effective time, other than shares owned by Kindred or RehabCare or their respective wholly owned subsidiaries, or shares owned by stockholders who have properly exercised and perfected appraisal rights under Delaware law, will be converted into the right to receive the merger consideration.

Kindred will not issue any fractional shares as a result of the merger. Instead, holders of RehabCare common stock who would otherwise be entitled to receive a fractional share of Kindred common stock will receive an amount in cash (rounded up to the nearest whole cent and without interest) determined by multiplying the fractional share interest by the volume-weighted average price (rounded to the nearest one-tenth of a cent) of one share of Kindred common stock on the NYSE for the five trading days immediately prior to the closing date of the merger.

The exchange ratio is a fixed ratio. Therefore, the number of shares of Kindred common stock to be received by holders of RehabCare common stock as a result of the merger will not change between now and the time the merger is completed to reflect changes to the trading price of Kindred common stock.

Ownership of Kindred After the Merger

Based on the number of shares of Kindred common stock and RehabCare common stock issued and outstanding as of February 7, 2011, after completion of the merger former RehabCare stockholders will own approximately 23% of Kindred's outstanding common stock.

Table of Contents

Interests of RehabCare Directors and Executive Officers in the Merger

When considering the unanimous recommendation of the RehabCare board of directors with respect to the approval of the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, including the merger, RehabCare stockholders should be aware that some directors and executive officers of RehabCare have interests in the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement that may be different from, or in addition to, their interests as stockholders and the interests of RehabCare stockholders generally. Such interests relate to, or arise from, among other things, the following:

the fact that restricted shares held by RehabCare's directors and executive officers will fully vest (except that certain restricted shares subject to performance-based vesting conditions held by Dr. John Short, RehabCare's President and Chief Executive Officer, will vest assuming target level performance) and be treated as described below in *The Merger Agreement - Effect of the Merger on RehabCare's Equity Awards* beginning on page 97;

the fact that stock options held by RehabCare's directors and executive officers will be entitled to a cash payment in connection with cancellation of such stock options;

the fact that RehabCare's executive officers may receive severance payments pursuant to agreements with such executive officers or a severance plan in the event of a qualified termination of employment following the merger;

the fact that payment of cash incentive awards under annual and long-term incentive plans will be accelerated and paid according to the terms and conditions of the incentive plans pursuant to which such award agreements were granted;

the fact that at or prior to the effective time, Kindred will appoint two current members of the RehabCare board of directors to serve as additional members of the Kindred board of directors, such service to be effective as of immediately following the effective time (Kindred anticipates that one of the two current members of the RehabCare board of directors to join the Kindred board of directors will be Dr. John Short, President and Chief Executive Officer of RehabCare, who is expected to be invited to join as non-executive vice chairman);

the fact that three of RehabCare's executive officers may become officers of Kindred, and will be offered new employment agreements with Kindred effective following the effective time; and

the fact that RehabCare's directors and executive officers will be entitled to continued indemnification and insurance coverage by Kindred for acts or omissions occurring prior to the merger for a period of six years following the effective time.

The RehabCare board of directors was aware of the interests of RehabCare's directors and executive officers during its deliberations on the merits of the merger and in deciding to recommend that RehabCare stockholders vote **FOR** the adoption of the merger agreement at the RehabCare special meeting. For purposes of all of the agreements and plans described below, the completion of the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement will constitute a change in control.

Agreements with Executive Officers and Severance Plan

Pursuant to RehabCare's termination compensation agreement with Dr. Short, if Dr. Short is terminated without cause or resigns for good reason (as defined in the agreement) within two years after a change in control, he will be entitled to:

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

a lump-sum cash payment equal to the sum of (i) 2.99 times his then current annual base salary, plus (ii) 2.99 times the average of his annual bonuses paid in the last three fiscal years preceding the calendar year of the completion of the merger;

a lump-sum cash payment equal to his target bonus percentage for the year in which the change in control occurs multiplied by his then-current annual base salary, prorated for the portion of the year prior to the termination date;

Table of Contents

24 months of continued health benefits; and

12 months of outplacement services.

The agreement also provides for gross-up payments to Dr. Short for excise taxes incurred under Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code (which we refer to as the Code) in connection with any change in control payments. All change in control payments are subject to Dr. Short's compliance with the non-competition and confidentiality provisions of the agreement.

Pursuant to RehabCare's termination compensation agreements with Jay W. Shreiner, Patricia M. Henry, and Brock Hardaway, if Mr. Shreiner, Ms. Henry or Mr. Hardaway is terminated without cause or resigns for good reason (as defined in the respective agreement) within two years after a change in control, each will be entitled to:

a lump-sum cash payment equal to the sum of (i) 1.5 times his or her then current annual base salary, plus (ii) 1.5 times the target bonus for the calendar year of the completion of the merger;

a lump-sum cash payment equal to his or her target bonus percentage for the year in which the change in control occurs multiplied by his or her then-current annual base salary, prorated for the portion of the year prior to the termination date;

18 months of continued health benefits; and

12 months of outplacement services.

These agreements also provide for gross-up payments to Mr. Shreiner, Mr. Hardaway and Ms. Henry for excise taxes incurred under Section 4999 of the Code in connection with any change in control payments. All change in control payments are subject to Mr. Shreiner's, Mr. Hardaway's and Ms. Henry's compliance with the non-competition and confidentiality provisions of their respective agreements. As part of Mr. Hardaway's and Ms. Henry's anticipated employment with Kindred following the effective time, Kindred expects to enter into a change in control agreement with them, the terms of which have not been established as of the date of this joint proxy statement/prospectus, but which would replace the change in control benefits described above.

Pursuant to RehabCare's agreements with its other executive officers (except for the Vice President, Treasurer, who does not have an agreement with RehabCare, but has certain rights under a severance plan as described in more detail below) providing for change in control benefits, if such executive officer is terminated without cause or resigns for good reason (as defined in the agreement) within two years after a change in control, he or she will be entitled to:

a lump-sum cash payment equal to the sum of (i) 12 months of his or her then current annual base salary, plus (ii) the target bonus for the calendar year of the completion of the merger;

a lump-sum cash payment equal to his or her target bonus percentage for the year in which the change in control occurs multiplied by his or her then-current annual base salary, prorated for the portion of the year prior to the termination date;

12 months of continued health benefits; and

12 months of outplacement services.

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

The agreements also provide for gross-up payments to the executive officers for excise taxes incurred under Section 4999 of the Code in connection with any change in control payments. All change in control payments are subject to the executive's compliance with the non-competition and confidentiality provisions of the respective agreement. As part of her anticipated employment with Kindred following the effective time, Kindred expects to enter into a change in control agreement with Ms. Welch, the terms of which have not been established as of the date of this joint proxy statement/prospectus, but which would replace the change in control benefits described above.

Table of Contents

Pursuant to RehabCare's Severance Plan for Company Vice Presidents, the Vice President, Treasurer is entitled to certain severance payments if his employment is terminated without cause or if he resigns for good reason (as defined in the severance plan), whether or not in connection with a change in control. Upon such termination or resignation, he will be entitled to:

severance pay equal to nine months' base salary, payable on a monthly basis;

9 months of continued health benefits; and

12 months of outplacement services.

The payments and benefits payable upon termination following a change of control described above will not be increased as a result of the completion of the transaction contemplated by the merger agreement. Three members of the RehabCare management team have agreed to the basic terms of their employment with Kindred following the effective time, as discussed below, and it is possible that certain other members of the RehabCare management team could be presented with, and will discuss with Kindred, proposed terms for terminating their existing agreements and continuing with future employment in a different capacity subsequent to the date of this joint proxy statement/prospectus. It is anticipated that the executive officers of Kindred following the merger will be as set forth in Board of Directors and Management of Kindred Following the Merger on page 83.

For illustrative purposes only, it is currently estimated that, assuming the closing of the merger will occur on June 30, 2011 and the employment of each of RehabCare's executive officers is terminated immediately following consummation of the merger, RehabCare's executive officers would be entitled to receive, in the aggregate, approximately \$13 million in termination payments and benefits, excluding payments related to long-term cash incentive plans and equity-based incentive awards discussed separately below. The following table sets forth, using the same assumptions, such payments for the chief executive officer, the chief financial officer, the three other most highly compensated executives (which we collectively refer to as named executive officers), and other executive officers as a group:

Executive	Cash severance payment	Bonus payment	Value of continued health benefits	Value of outplacement services	Excise Tax Gross-Up Payments	Total Value
Dr. John H. Short, President and Chief Executive Officer	\$ 4,038,139	\$ 369,750	\$ 31,245	\$ 20,000	\$ 3,273,491(1)	\$ 7,732,625
Jay W. Shreiner, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	891,675	97,688	7,813	20,000		1,017,176
Brock Hardaway, Executive Vice President, Operations	1,032,750	113,144	23,801	20,000		1,189,695
Patricia M. Henry, Executive Vice President, Skilled Nursing Rehabilitation Services	877,950	96,184	16,639	20,000		1,010,773
Patricia S. Williams, Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary	379,120	53,402	15,867	20,000		468,389
All other executive officers as a group	1,278,835	195,876	49,547	75,000		1,599,258

- (1) The estimated value of the tax gross-up payment is based on the estimated payments to Dr. Short based on the assumptions described above and related to the tables below regarding estimated payments pursuant to the restricted stock awards. No other executive officer would be expected to receive a tax gross-up payment.

Table of Contents***Long-Term Cash Incentive Plans***

Pursuant to the terms of the RehabCare 2009 through 2011, 2010 through 2012 and 2011 through 2013 Corporate Long Term Cash Incentive Plans, upon a change in control, all executive officers of RehabCare are entitled to a lump sum prorated payment of the long-term cash incentive awards, payable in accordance with the terms and conditions of such plans.

For illustrative purposes only, it is currently estimated that, assuming the closing of the merger will occur on June 30, 2011, and calculating the pro-rated payments under the long-term cash incentive plans based on the target level performance, or if greater and to the extent determinable, actual performance, RehabCare's executive officers would be entitled to receive, in the aggregate, approximately \$994,400 in payments under the long-term cash incentive plans. The following table sets forth, using the same assumptions, such payments for the named executive officers and other executive officers as a group:

Executive Officer	Payment under the long-term cash incentive plans
Dr. John H. Short, President and Chief Executive Officer	\$
Jay W. Shreiner, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	195,720
Brock Hardaway, Executive Vice President, Operations	66,908
Patricia M. Henry, Executive Vice President, Skilled Nursing Rehabilitation Services	193,637
Patricia S. Williams, Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary	133,957
All other executive officers as a group (4 persons)	404,241

Stock Options

Certain of RehabCare's executive officers and directors hold options, issued pursuant to the RehabCare Directors' Stock Option Plan and the Second Amended and Restated 1996 Long-Term Performance Plan, to purchase shares of RehabCare common stock. All such options are currently vested and exercisable. At the effective time, each RehabCare stock option outstanding immediately prior to such time will be canceled in exchange for the right to receive an amount of cash equal to the product of (1) the number of shares of RehabCare common stock subject to the option and (2) the excess, if any of (a) the sum of (i) the exchange ratio, multiplied by the volume-weighted average price of the Kindred common stock as reported by the NYSE for the five trading days prior to the closing date of the merger and (ii) the cash consideration, over (b) the exercise price per share of the RehabCare stock option, without interest and less any applicable taxes. The following chart sets forth, as of March 4, 2011, for each of RehabCare's directors, named executive officers, and all other executive officers as a group:

the number of shares subject to outstanding options for RehabCare common stock held by such person;

the weighted average exercise price for such options; and

the aggregate value of such options (without regard to deductions or withholdings for applicable taxes), assuming the closing of the merger as of March 4, 2011, calculated by multiplying (1) the number of shares of RehabCare common stock subject to the options by (2) the excess, if any, of (a) the sum of (i) 0.471 multiplied by \$25.34 per share of Kindred common stock, i.e. its closing price on the NYSE as of March 4, 2011 and (ii) \$26.00, over (b) the weighted average exercise price per share of such options.

Table of Contents**Non-Employee Directors and Executive Officers**

	Shares	Stock Options Weighted Average Exercise Price	Value
Colleen Conway-Welch, Director	35,800	\$ 22.91	\$ 537,965
Christopher T. Hjelm, Director			
Anthony S. Pizsel, Director			
Suzan L. Rayner, Director			
Harry E. Rich, Director			
Larry Warren, Director			
Theodore M. Wight, Director			
Dr. John H. Short, President and Chief Executive Officer			
Jay W. Shreiner, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer			
Brock Hardaway, Executive Vice President, Operations			
Patricia M. Henry, Executive Vice President, Skilled Nursing Rehabilitation Services			
Patricia S. Williams, Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary			
All other executive officers as a group (4 persons)	5,000	\$ 40.00	\$ 0.00

RehabCare Restricted Stock

RehabCare's directors and executive officers, including its named executive officers and non-employee directors, hold shares of restricted common stock, all of which were granted under the RehabCare Group, Inc. 2006 Equity Incentive Plan. Immediately prior to the completion of the merger, each unvested RehabCare restricted share held by RehabCare's directors and executive officers subject solely to time-based vesting will fully vest and be converted into the right to receive the merger consideration. RehabCare restricted shares held by Dr. Short that are subject to performance-based vesting conditions will vest assuming target level performance (as set forth in the award agreement) and such vested shares will be converted to the right to receive merger consideration. For a more detailed overview of the treatment of these equity-based awards at the effective time, see *The Merger Agreement - Effect of the Merger on RehabCare's Equity Awards* beginning on page 97. The following chart sets forth, as of March 4, 2011, for each of RehabCare's directors, named executive officers, and all other executive officers as a group:

the number of unvested shares of restricted stock held by that person that will fully vest and no longer be subject to forfeiture as a result of the merger; and

assuming the closing of the merger as of March 4, 2011, the total cash value of the merger consideration payable to the director or executive officer with respect to those shares of restricted stock that will vest in connection with the merger, which merger consideration equals \$26.00 in cash and 0.471 shares of Kindred common stock for each share of RehabCare common stock (assuming the value of \$25.34 per share of Kindred common stock, i.e. its closing price on the NYSE as of March 4, 2011).

Table of Contents

Non-employee Directors and Executive Officers Name	Restricted Stock That Will Vest as a Result of the Merger	
	Shares	Value
Colleen Conway-Welch, Director	3,200	\$ 121,392
Christopher T. Hjelm, Director	3,200	121,392
Anthony S. Pizsel, Director	3,200	121,392
Suzan L. Rayner, Director	3,200	121,392
Harry E. Rich, Director	3,200	121,392
Larry Warren, Director	3,200	121,392
Theodore M. Wight, Director	3,200	121,392
Dr. John H. Short, President and Chief Executive Officer(1)	155,998	5,917,806
Jay W. Shreiner, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	50,070	1,899,413
Brock Hardaway, Executive Vice President, Operations	45,650	1,731,739
Patricia M. Henry, Executive Vice President, Skilled Nursing Rehabilitation Services	49,480	1,877,031
Patricia S. Williams, Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary	25,680	974,175
All other executive officers as a group (4 persons)	57,750	\$ 2,190,752

- (1) Dr. Short holds 77,999 RehabCare restricted shares subject solely to time-based vesting conditions, all of which will vest at the effective time; and 136,499 RehabCare restricted shares subject to performance-based vesting conditions, 77,999 of which will vest at the effective time.

Employee Benefits

The merger agreement requires Kindred to continue to provide certain compensation and benefits for the period commencing on the effective time and ending on December 31, 2011, as well as take certain actions in respect of employee benefits provided to the RehabCare's employees, including its executive officers. For a more detailed description of these requirements, see The Merger Agreement Covenants and Agreements Employee Benefit Matters on page 108.

Designation of Directors and Officers of Kindred

On or prior to the effective time, the Kindred board of directors shall appoint two current members of the RehabCare board of directors to serve as members of the Kindred board of directors, such service to be effective as of immediately following the effective time. Kindred anticipates that one of the two current members of the RehabCare board of directors to join the Kindred board of directors will be Dr. John Short, who is expected to be invited to join as non-executive vice chairman.

Following the effective time, Kindred anticipates that three current executive officers of RehabCare, Brock Hardaway, Mary Pat Welc and Patricia Henry, will become officers of Kindred. For a summary of the expected terms of their employment, see below.

New Employment Arrangements with Kindred

Kindred has entered into term sheet agreements with three executive officers of RehabCare, Brock Hardaway, Mary Pat Welc and Patricia Henry, which provide for the terms of each officer's employment with Kindred, effective following the effective time.

The term sheet agreement with Brock Hardaway states that his position with Kindred following the effective time will be Executive Vice President, Operations, Hospital Division. Mr. Hardaway's annual base salary will be \$400,000, with a short-term incentive plan target of 55% of his annual base salary, and a long-term incentive plan target of 30% of his annual base salary. The term sheet agreement includes a recommendation of a grant of 10,000 time-based restricted shares, and provides for a one-time transition performance bonus of \$600,000, to be

Table of Contents

paid in March 2012, subject to his continued employment through such date. The term sheet agreement also includes a sign-on bonus of \$600,000 to be paid 30 days following the closing, which amount would be required to be repaid if Mr. Hardaway voluntarily terminates his employment within one year following the effective time. The term sheet agreement also states that he is eligible for an employment agreement and a change in control agreement, which will replace any benefits he would have been entitled to under his change in control agreement with RehabCare, the terms of which have not been established as of the date of this joint proxy statement/prospectus.

The term sheet agreement with Mary Pat Welc states that her position with Kindred following the effective time will be Senior Vice President, Operations, HRS. Ms. Welc's annual base salary will be \$267,000, with a short-term incentive plan target of 55% of her annual base salary, and a long-term incentive plan target of 30% of her annual base salary. The term sheet agreement includes a recommendation of a grant of 7,500 time-based restricted shares, and provides for a one-time transition performance bonus of \$267,000, to be paid in March 2012, subject to her continued employment through such date. The term sheet agreement also states that she is eligible for an employment agreement and a change in control agreement, which will replace any benefits she would have been entitled to under her termination compensation agreement with RehabCare, the terms of which have not been established as of the date of this filing.

The term sheet agreement with Patricia Henry states that her position with Kindred following the effective time will be Executive Vice President, SRS. Ms. Henry's annual base salary will be \$391,000, with a short-term incentive plan target of 55% of her annual base salary, and a long-term incentive plan target of 30% of her annual base salary. The term sheet agreement includes a recommendation of a grant of 12,000 time-based restricted shares, and provides for a one-time transition performance bonus of \$391,000, to be paid in March 2012, subject to her continued employment through such date. The term sheet agreement also states that she is eligible for an employment agreement and a change in control agreement, which will replace any benefits she would have been entitled to under her change in control agreement with RehabCare, the terms of which have not been established as of the date of this filing.

Indemnification and Insurance

For a period of six years following the effective time, Kindred shall, or in the event of a subsidiary merger election, shall cause the surviving corporation to, indemnify and hold harmless each current and former officer and director of RehabCare and each person who served as a fiduciary under or with respect to any employee benefit plan of RehabCare, against any costs or expenses (including advancing reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses upon receipt of an undertaking to repay such amount if it shall be ultimately determined that the indemnified person is not entitled to be indemnified), judgments, fines, losses, claims, damages, liabilities and amounts paid in settlement in connection with any actual or threatened action or investigation in respect of or arising out of acts or omissions occurring or alleged to have occurred at or prior to the effective time, in connection with such indemnified person's service as an officer or director of RehabCare or as a fiduciary of such plan, to the fullest extent permitted by Delaware law or any other applicable law or provided under RehabCare's organizational documents in effect on the date of merger agreement.

All rights in existence under RehabCare's organizational documents on the date of the merger agreement regarding elimination of liability of directors, indemnification and exculpation of officers, directors and employees and advancement of expenses to them shall survive the merger for a period of six years from the effective time.

For six years after the effective time, Kindred shall, and in the event of a subsidiary merger election, shall cause the surviving corporation to, maintain officers' and directors' liability, fiduciary liability and similar insurance (which we refer to as "D&O insurance") in respect of acts or omissions occurring prior to the effective time covering each indemnified person covered as of the date of the merger agreement by RehabCare's D&O insurance policies on terms with respect to coverage and amount no less favorable than those of such policy in

Table of Contents

effect on the date of the merger agreement, as well as covering claims brought against each indemnified person under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended (which we refer to as ERISA). Alternatively, RehabCare may purchase such tail policy at its option prior to the effective time and pay the premium due thereon when due, provided that the surviving corporation shall not be obligated to pay annual premiums in the aggregate in excess of 300% of the amount per annum RehabCare paid in its last full fiscal year.

For additional information about the indemnification rights of RehabCare directors and executive officers under the merger agreement, see The Merger Agreement Covenants and Agreements Indemnification and Insurance beginning on page 107.

Listing of Kindred Common Stock Issued for Share Consideration; De-listing and Deregistration of RehabCare Common Stock

It is a condition to the merger that the shares of Kindred common stock in connection with the merger be authorized for issuance on the NYSE subject to official notice of issuance. Shares of Kindred common stock are currently traded on the NYSE under the symbol KND. If the merger is completed, RehabCare common stock will no longer be listed on the NYSE, will be deregistered under the Exchange Act and RehabCare will no longer file periodic reports with the SEC.

Board of Directors and Management of Kindred Following the Merger

At the effective time, the directors and officers of Kindred shall continue as the directors of Kindred and the officers of Kindred shall continue as the officers of Kindred. At or prior to the effective time, Kindred will appoint two current members of the RehabCare board of directors to serve as additional members of the Kindred board of directors, such service to be effective as of immediately following the effective time. Kindred anticipates that one of the two current members of the RehabCare board of directors to join the Kindred board of directors will be Dr. John Short, who is expected to be invited to join as non-executive vice chairman. Kindred also anticipates that three current executive officers of RehabCare will become officers of Kindred immediately following the effective time. For more information about their employment arrangements, see Interests of RehabCare Directors and Executive Officers in the Merger New Employment Arrangements with Kindred beginning on page 81.

Regulatory Approvals Required for the Merger

Kindred and RehabCare have agreed to use their reasonable best efforts, subject to specified limitations, to take, or cause to be taken, all actions necessary, proper or advisable under applicable law and regulations to complete the merger in the most expeditious manner practicable. See The Merger Agreement Covenants and Agreements beginning on page 100.

Under the merger agreement, the use of such reasonable best efforts does not require that Kindred be obligated to accept any undertaking, enter into any consent order, make any divestiture or accept any operational restriction, or take or commit to take any action (1) the effectiveness or consummation of which is not conditional on the consummation of the merger, (2) that is not necessary at such time to permit the effective time to occur by the last business day before September 30, 2011, or (3) that individually or in the aggregate would be expected to be material to RehabCare, Kindred or to Kindred's ownership or operation of RehabCare.

The completion of the merger is subject to compliance with the HSR Act. The notifications required under the HSR Act were initially filed with the FTC and the Antitrust Division on February 11, 2011 and the FTC obtained clearance to review the transaction. In order to allow the FTC additional time to review the transaction without issuing a second request, Kindred withdrew its notification of the transaction on March 14, 2011 and refiled the notification on March 16, 2011. On April 8, 2011, the FTC notified Kindred that the FTC had concluded its investigation and granted early termination of the waiting period.

Table of Contents

The obligation of Kindred to consummate the merger is also subject to:

approval by the Illinois Health Facilities and Services Review Board of the change of ownership of the Greater Peoria Specialty Hospital; the application for such approval was declared complete on February 10, 2011 and a hearing of the Planning Board to consider the change of ownership application has been scheduled for May 10, 2011; and

the issuance of a license by the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment.

See The Merger Agreement Conditions to Completion of the Merger beginning on page 110.

Litigation Related to the Merger

Kindred and RehabCare are aware of five lawsuits relating to the merger.

On February 10, 2011, Arthur I. Murphy, Jr., a purported stockholder of RehabCare, filed a purported class action lawsuit in the Circuit Court of St. Louis County, Missouri (which we refer to as the Circuit Court) against RehabCare, RehabCare's directors and Kindred (which we refer to as the Murphy litigation); and on March 2, 2011, Alfred T. Kowalewski, a purported stockholder of RehabCare, filed a purported class action lawsuit in the Circuit Court, Missouri against RehabCare, RehabCare's directors and Kindred (which we refer to as the Kowalewski litigation and, together with the Murphy litigation, the Missouri litigation). On February 15, 2011, the Norfolk County Retirement System, a purported stockholder of RehabCare, filed a purported class action lawsuit in the Court of Chancery against RehabCare, RehabCare's directors and Kindred (which we refer to as the Norfolk County litigation); on February 28, 2011, City of Pontiac General Employees Retirement System, a purported stockholder of RehabCare, filed a purported class action lawsuit in the Court of Chancery against RehabCare, RehabCare's directors and Kindred (which we refer to as the City of Pontiac litigation); and on March 4, 2011, Plumbers & Pipefitters National Pension Fund, a purported stockholder of RehabCare, filed a purported class action lawsuit in the Court of Chancery against RehabCare, RehabCare's directors and Kindred (which we refer to as the Plumbers & Pipefitters litigation and, together with the Norfolk County litigation and the City of Pontiac litigation, the Delaware litigation).

The complaints in the Missouri litigation and Delaware litigation contain similar allegations, including among other things, that RehabCare's directors breached their fiduciary duties to the RehabCare stockholders, including their duties of loyalty, due care, independence, good faith and fair dealing, by entering into a merger agreement which provides for inadequate consideration to RehabCare stockholders, and that RehabCare and Kindred aided and abetted RehabCare's directors alleged breaches of their fiduciary duties. The plaintiffs seek injunctive relief preventing the defendants from consummating the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, or in the event the defendants consummate the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, rescission of such transactions and attorneys' fees and expenses. Kindred, RehabCare and the other defendants have not yet responded to the complaints. Kindred, RehabCare and the other defendants believe that the lawsuits are without merit and intend to defend them.

On March 8, 2011, the plaintiffs in the Kowalewski litigation filed a motion with the Circuit Court to consolidate the Missouri litigation and to appoint lead counsel. On March 31, 2011, the plaintiffs in the Kowalewski litigation filed an amended complaint and a motion for expedited discovery and on April 11, 2011, the plaintiffs in the Murphy litigation filed an amended complaint and a motion for expedited discovery. This April 11, 2011 amended complaint in the Murphy litigation also added CGMI as a named defendant in the litigation. On April 7, 2011, the defendants filed a motion to dismiss and/or stay the Missouri litigation. On April 8, 2011, the Circuit Court held a hearing on the motion to appoint a lead plaintiff; the Circuit Court agreed to consolidate the Missouri litigation but, in light of the defendants' pending motion to dismiss and/or stay the Missouri litigation, the Circuit Court postponed a decision on appointing a lead plaintiff. On April 25, 2011, the Circuit Court granted the defendants' motion to stay the Missouri litigation.

On March 9, 2011, the Court of Chancery consolidated the Delaware litigation under the caption *In Re RehabCare Group, Inc. Shareholders Litigation* and plaintiffs filed a verified consolidated class action complaint

Table of Contents

on April 5, 2011. Defendants commenced document production on March 30, 2011 and substantially completed it by April 22, 2011. Depositions are scheduled to take place between April 25, 2011 and May 5, 2011. All briefs in connection with plaintiffs' motion for a preliminary injunction are due by May 20, 2011 and the Court of Chancery has scheduled a hearing on the motion on May 23, 2011.

Dividend Policy of Kindred Following the Merger

The payment of dividends by Kindred after the merger is subject to the determination of its board of directors. Decisions regarding whether to pay dividends and the amount of any dividends will be based on compliance with the DGCL, compliance with agreements governing Kindred's indebtedness, earnings, cash requirements, results of operations, cash flows and financial condition and other factors that the Kindred board of directors may consider important.

Financing Relating to the Merger

Kindred has entered into a debt commitment letter with the debt commitment parties, pursuant to which the debt commitment parties have committed, subject to customary conditions as further described below, to underwrite senior credit facilities in an aggregate amount of \$1.900 billion, comprising a senior secured asset-based revolving credit facility, a senior secured term loan facility and a senior unsecured bridge loan facility. The new debt financing will be used, in addition to existing cash balances, to fund the cash portion of the merger consideration, refinance certain Kindred and RehabCare existing debt, and pay transaction fees and expenses. A copy of the debt commitment letter was filed as an exhibit to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by Kindred on February 8, 2011, which is incorporated by reference herein. This summary of terms and conditions of the debt financing commitments is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of the debt commitment letter.

The aggregate commitments of the debt commitment parties total \$650 million (of which up to \$400 million may be drawn at closing) under the asset-based revolving credit facility and \$700 million under the term loan facility. The term of the asset-based revolving credit facility and term loan facility are five years and seven years, respectively. Subject to final documentation and satisfaction of closing conditions, Kindred anticipates finalizing these facilities prior to the Kindred annual meeting and the RehabCare special meeting.

The aggregate commitments of the debt commitment parties under the unsecured bridge facility total \$550 million, which will be available if and to the extent Kindred has not completed a planned \$550 million senior unsecured notes offering prior to the closing of the merger. The unsecured bridge facility, if drawn, will mature initially on the first anniversary of the closing of the merger, at which time the maturity of any outstanding loans thereunder will be extended automatically to the eighth anniversary of the closing of the merger and may be exchanged by the lenders for notes due on such eighth anniversary.

The debt financing commitments are subject to:

the non-occurrence of a material adverse effect regarding RehabCare;

the closing occurring no later than September 30, 2011;

the receipt of certain governmental and third party consents required under the merger agreement in connection with the closing;

the consummation of the merger in accordance with the terms of the merger agreement;

the repayment of certain indebtedness of Kindred and RehabCare and the termination of commitments thereunder;

a 30 consecutive day period for marketing of senior notes after delivery by Kindred of an offering memorandum or preliminary prospectus and other marketing materials for such notes; and

other customary financing conditions more fully set forth in the debt commitment letter.

Table of Contents

In the merger agreement, Kindred has agreed to use its reasonable best efforts to obtain debt financing on the terms and conditions described in the debt commitment letter. Kindred may amend, replace or otherwise modify, or waive its rights under the debt commitment letter, unless such amendment, replacement, modification or waiver would:

reduce the aggregate amount of the debt financing; or

amend the conditions to the drawdown of the debt financing in a manner adverse to the interests of RehabCare in any material respect, or which would otherwise in any other respect reasonably be expected to impair, materially delay or prevent the consummation of the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement.

Kindred's obligation to consummate the merger is subject to its consummation of, and receipt of the proceeds from, the debt financing described in the debt commitment letter. However, under certain conditions, Kindred may be required to pay a termination fee of \$62 million if Kindred fails to consummate the debt financing, as described under *The Merger Agreement - Termination Fees and Expenses* beginning on page 114.

RehabCare Stockholders' Rights of Appraisal

If the merger is consummated, dissenting holders of RehabCare common stock who follow the procedures specified in Section 262 within the appropriate time periods will be entitled to have their shares of RehabCare common stock appraised by the Court of Chancery, and to receive the fair value of such shares in cash as determined by the Court of Chancery, together with a fair rate of interest, if any, to be paid on the amount determined to be the fair value, in lieu of the merger consideration that such stockholder would otherwise be entitled to receive pursuant to the merger agreement. The fair value of RehabCare common stock as determined by the Court of Chancery may be more or less than, or the same as, the merger consideration that you are otherwise entitled to receive under the terms of the merger agreement.

This section provides a brief summary of Section 262, which sets forth the procedures for dissenting from the merger and demanding and perfecting appraisal rights. Failure to follow the procedures set forth in Section 262 precisely will result in the loss of appraisal rights. This summary is not a complete statement regarding the appraisal rights of RehabCare stockholders or the procedures that they must follow in order to seek and perfect appraisal rights under Delaware law and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the text of Section 262, a copy of which is attached to this joint proxy statement/prospectus as Annex E. The following summary does not constitute any legal or other advice, nor does it constitute a recommendation that RehabCare stockholders exercise appraisal rights under Section 262.

IF YOU WISH TO EXERCISE APPRAISAL RIGHTS OR WISH TO PRESERVE YOUR RIGHT TO DO SO, YOU SHOULD REVIEW ANNEX E CAREFULLY AND SHOULD CONSULT YOUR LEGAL ADVISOR, AS FAILURE TO TIMELY COMPLY WITH THE PROCEDURES SET FORTH IN ANNEX E WILL RESULT IN THE LOSS OF YOUR APPRAISAL RIGHTS.

Under Section 262, where a merger is to be submitted for adoption at a meeting of stockholders, such as the RehabCare special meeting, not less than 20 days prior to the meeting a constituent corporation, such as RehabCare, must notify each of its stockholders for whom appraisal rights are available that such appraisal rights are available and include in each such notice a copy of Section 262. This joint proxy statement/prospectus constitutes such notice to holders of RehabCare common stock concerning the availability of appraisal rights under Section 262. Appraisal rights are not available to holders of Kindred common stock.

RehabCare stockholders wishing to assert appraisal rights must hold the shares of RehabCare common stock on the date of making the written demand for appraisal rights with respect to such shares and must continuously hold such shares through the effective time. RehabCare stockholders who desire to exercise appraisal rights must also satisfy all of the conditions of Section 262. A written demand for appraisal of shares must be delivered to

Table of Contents

RehabCare before the vote on the merger occurs. This written demand for appraisal of shares must be in addition to and separate from a vote against the proposal to adopt the merger agreement, or an abstention or failure to vote for the proposal to adopt the merger agreement. RehabCare stockholders electing to exercise their appraisal rights must not vote FOR the adoption of the merger agreement. A vote against the adoption of the merger agreement will not constitute a demand for appraisal within the meaning of Section 262. A proxy that is submitted and does not contain voting instructions will, unless revoked, be voted in favor of the proposal to adopt the merger agreement, and it will constitute a waiver of the stockholder's right of appraisal and will nullify any previously delivered written demand for appraisal. Therefore, a RehabCare stockholder who submits a proxy and who wishes to exercise appraisal rights must either submit a proxy containing instructions to vote against the proposal to adopt the merger agreement or abstain from voting on the proposal to adopt the merger agreement.

To be effective, a demand for appraisal by a RehabCare stockholder must be made by, or in the name of, the stockholder of record, fully and correctly, as the stockholder's name appears on the stockholder's stock certificate(s) or in the transfer agent's records, in the case of uncertificated shares. The demand cannot be made by the beneficial owner if he or she does not also hold the shares of RehabCare common stock of record.

The beneficial holder must, in such cases, have the registered owner, such as a bank, brokerage firm or other nominee, submit the required demand in respect of those shares of RehabCare common stock. **If you hold your shares of RehabCare common stock through a bank, brokerage firm or other nominee and you wish to exercise appraisal rights, you should consult with your bank, brokerage firm or the other nominee to determine the appropriate procedures for the making of a demand for appraisal by the nominee.**

If shares of RehabCare common stock are owned of record in a fiduciary capacity, such as by a trustee, guardian or custodian, execution of a demand for appraisal should be made in that capacity. If the shares of RehabCare common stock are owned of record by more than one person, as in a joint tenancy or tenancy in common, the demand should be executed by or for all joint owners. An authorized agent, including an authorized agent for two or more joint owners, may execute the demand for appraisal for a stockholder of record; however, the agent must identify the record owner or owners and expressly disclose the fact that, in executing the demand, he or she is acting as agent for the record owner. A record owner, such as a bank, brokerage firm or other nominee, who holds shares of RehabCare common stock as a nominee for others, may exercise his or her right of appraisal with respect to the shares of RehabCare common stock held for one or more beneficial owners, while not exercising this right for other beneficial owners. In that case, the written demand should state the number of shares of RehabCare common stock as to which appraisal is sought. Where no number of shares of RehabCare common stock is expressly mentioned, the demand will be presumed to cover all shares of RehabCare common stock held in the name of the record owner.

All demands for appraisal should be addressed to RehabCare Group, Inc., 7733 Forsyth Boulevard, Suite 2300, St. Louis, Missouri 63105,

Attention: Patricia S. Williams, Corporate Secretary. The demand must reasonably inform RehabCare of the identity of the RehabCare stockholder as well as the stockholder's intention to demand an appraisal of the fair value of the shares held by the stockholder. A stockholder's failure to make the written demand prior to the taking of the vote on the adoption of the merger agreement at the special meeting will constitute a waiver of appraisal rights.

Within 10 days after the effective time, RehabCare must provide notice of the effective time to all of its stockholders who have complied with Section 262 and have not voted FOR the adoption of the merger agreement. At any time within 60 days after the effective time, any RehabCare stockholder who has not commenced an appraisal proceeding or joined an appraisal proceeding as a named party will have the right to withdraw his, her or its demand for appraisal and to accept the merger consideration specified in the merger agreement. After this period, a RehabCare stockholder may withdraw his, her or its demand for appraisal and receive payment for his, her or its shares as provided in the merger agreement only with the consent of the surviving corporation. Unless the demand is properly withdrawn by the RehabCare stockholder within 60 days after the effective time, no appraisal proceeding in the Court of Chancery will be dismissed as to any RehabCare stockholder without the approval of the Court of Chancery, with such approval conditioned upon such terms as the Court of Chancery deems just. If the surviving corporation does not approve a request to withdraw a demand

Table of Contents

for appraisal when that approval is required, or if the Court of Chancery does not approve the dismissal of an appraisal proceeding, the RehabCare stockholder will be entitled to receive only the appraised value determined in any such appraisal proceeding, which value could be less than, equal to or more than the consideration offered pursuant to the merger agreement.

Within 120 days after the effective time (but not thereafter), either the surviving corporation or any RehabCare stockholder who has complied with the requirements of Section 262 and who is otherwise entitled to appraisal rights may commence an appraisal proceeding by filing a petition in the Court of Chancery demanding a determination of the fair value of the shares of RehabCare common stock owned by stockholders entitled to appraisal rights. The surviving corporation has no obligation to file such a petition if demand for appraisal is made, and holders should not assume that it will file a petition. If no petition for appraisal is filed with the Court of Chancery within 120 days after the effective time, stockholders' rights to appraisal (if available) will cease. Accordingly, it is the obligation of the holders of RehabCare common stock to initiate all necessary action to perfect their appraisal rights in respect of shares of RehabCare common stock within the time prescribed in Section 262.

Within 120 days after the effective time, any RehabCare stockholder who has complied with Section 262 will be entitled, upon written request, to receive from the surviving corporation a statement listing the aggregate number of shares not voted in favor of the merger and with respect to which demands for appraisal have been received and the aggregate number of holders of such shares. The statement must be mailed within 10 days after a written request therefore has been received by the surviving corporation. A person who is the beneficial owner of shares of RehabCare common stock held either in a voting trust or by a nominee on behalf of such person may, in such person's own name, file a petition for appraisal or request from the surviving corporation the statement described in this paragraph.

Upon the filing of any petition by a RehabCare stockholder in accordance with Section 262, service of a copy must be made upon the surviving corporation. The surviving corporation must, within 20 days after service, file in the office of the Delaware Register in Chancery in which the petition was filed a duly verified list containing the names and addresses of all stockholders who have demanded payment for their shares of RehabCare common stock and with whom RehabCare has not reached agreements as to the value of their shares. The Court of Chancery may require the stockholders who have demanded an appraisal for their shares (and who hold stock represented by certificates) to submit their stock certificates to the Register in Chancery for notation of the pendency of the appraisal proceedings and the Court of Chancery may dismiss the proceedings as to any stockholder that fails to comply with such direction.

After notice to the stockholders as required by the Court of Chancery, the Court of Chancery is empowered to conduct a hearing on the petition to determine those stockholders who have complied with Section 262 and who have become entitled to appraisal rights thereunder. After the Court of Chancery determines the holders of RehabCare common stock entitled to appraisal, the appraisal proceeding shall be conducted in accordance with the rules of the Court of Chancery, including any rules specifically governing appraisal proceedings. Through such proceeding, the Court of Chancery shall determine the fair value of shares of RehabCare common stock as of the effective time, after taking into account all relevant factors, exclusive of any element of value arising from the accomplishment or expectation of the merger, together with a fair rate of interest, if any, to be paid upon the amount determined to be the fair value. Unless the Court of Chancery in its discretion determines otherwise for good cause shown, interest from the effective time through the date of payment of the judgment shall be compounded quarterly and shall accrue at 5% over the Federal Reserve discount rate (including any surcharge) as established from time to time during the period between the effective time and the date of payment of the judgment.

RehabCare stockholders considering seeking appraisal of their shares should note that the fair value of their shares determined under Section 262 could be more or less than, or equal to, the consideration they would receive pursuant to the merger agreement if they did not seek appraisal of their shares of RehabCare common stock. Stockholders should be aware that an investment banking opinion as to fairness from a financial point of view is not necessarily an opinion as to fair value under Section 262. Although RehabCare believes that the merger consideration is fair, no representation is made as to the outcome of the appraisal of fair value as

Table of Contents

determined by the Court of Chancery. Moreover, RehabCare does not anticipate offering more than the merger consideration to any stockholder exercising appraisal rights and reserves the right to assert, in any appraisal proceeding, that, for purposes of Section 262, the fair value of a share of RehabCare common stock is less than the merger consideration. In determining fair value, the Court of Chancery is required to take into account all relevant factors. In *Weinberger v. UOP, Inc.*, the Delaware Supreme Court discussed the factors that could be considered in determining fair value in an appraisal proceeding, stating that proof of value by any techniques or methods which are generally considered acceptable in the financial community and otherwise admissible in court should be considered and that fair price obviously requires consideration of all relevant factors involving the value of a company. The Delaware Supreme Court has stated that in making this determination of fair value the court must consider market value, asset value, dividends, earnings prospects, the nature of the enterprise and any other facts which could be ascertained as of the date of the merger which throw any light on future prospects of the merged corporation. Section 262 provides that fair value is to be exclusive of any element of value arising from the accomplishment or expectation of the merger. In *Cede & Co. v. Technicolor, Inc.*, the Delaware Supreme Court stated that such exclusion is a narrow exclusion [that] does not encompass known elements of value, but which rather applies only to the speculative elements of value arising from such accomplishment or expectation. In *Weinberger*, the Delaware Supreme Court construed Section 262 to mean that elements of future value, including the nature of the enterprise, which are known or susceptible of proof as of the date of the merger and not the product of speculation, may be considered.

The costs of the appraisal proceeding (which do not include attorneys fees or the fees and expenses of experts) may be determined by the Court of Chancery and taxed against the parties as the Court of Chancery deems equitable under the circumstances. Upon application of a dissenting stockholder, the Court of Chancery may order all or a portion of the expenses incurred by any dissenting stockholder in connection with the appraisal proceeding, including reasonable attorneys fees and the fees and expenses of experts, to be charged pro rata against the value of all shares entitled to appraisal. In the absence of a contrary determination, each party bears his, her or its own expenses.

Any RehabCare stockholder who has demanded appraisal will not, after the effective time, be entitled to vote for any purpose the shares of RehabCare common stock subject to demand or to receive payment of dividends or other distributions on such shares, except for dividends or distributions payable to stockholders of record at a date prior to the effective time.

Failure by any RehabCare stockholder to comply fully with the procedures of Section 262 of the DGCL (as reproduced in Annex E to this joint proxy statement/prospectus) may result in termination of such stockholder's appraisal rights and the stockholder will be entitled to receive the merger consideration (without interest) for his, her or its shares of RehabCare common stock pursuant to the merger agreement.

THE PROCESS OF DISSENTING REQUIRES STRICT COMPLIANCE WITH TECHNICAL PREREQUISITES. THOSE INDIVIDUALS OR ENTITIES WISHING TO DISSENT AND TO EXERCISE THEIR APPRAISAL RIGHTS SHOULD CONSULT WITH THEIR OWN LEGAL COUNSEL IN CONNECTION WITH COMPLIANCE UNDER SECTION 262 OF THE DGCL. TO THE EXTENT THERE ARE ANY INCONSISTENCIES BETWEEN THE FOREGOING SUMMARY AND SECTION 262 OF THE DGCL, THE DGCL WILL CONTROL.

Accounting Treatment

The merger will be accounted for under the acquisition method of accounting, in conformity with GAAP. Under the acquisition method of accounting, the assets (including identifiable intangible assets) and liabilities (including executory contracts and other commitments) of RehabCare as of the effective time will be recorded at their respective fair values and added to those of Kindred. Any excess of purchase price over the fair value of the assets is recorded as goodwill. Financial statements of Kindred issued after the merger would reflect these fair values and would not be restated retroactively to reflect the historical financial position or results of operations of RehabCare.

Table of Contents

MATERIAL UNITED STATES FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSEQUENCES

The following is a summary of the material U. S. federal income tax consequences of the merger and ownership of Kindred common stock to U.S. holders and non-U.S. holders (as defined below) of RehabCare common stock who hold their stock as a capital asset (generally, assets held for investment). This summary is based on the provisions of the Code, Treasury regulations, administrative rulings and judicial authority, all as in effect as of the date hereof, and all of which are subject to change, possibly with retroactive effect. No assurances can be given that any change in these laws or authorities will not affect the accuracy of the discussion set forth herein.

This summary is not a complete description of all the tax consequences of the merger and, in particular, may not address U.S. federal income tax considerations applicable to holders of RehabCare common stock who are subject to special treatment under U.S. federal income tax law, including, for example certain U.S. expatriates, financial institutions, an S corporation, partnership, limited liability company taxed as a partnership, or other pass-through entity (or an investor in such pass-through entity), dealers in securities, traders in securities that elect mark-to-market treatment, insurance companies, tax-exempt entities, persons whose functional currency is not the U.S. dollar, holders who acquired RehabCare common stock pursuant to the exercise of an employee stock option or right or otherwise as compensation, holders exercising dissenters' rights or appraisal rights, and holders who hold RehabCare common stock as part of a hedge, straddle, constructive sale or conversion transaction.

This summary does not address U.S. federal income tax considerations applicable to holders of options to purchase RehabCare common stock, or holders of debt instruments convertible into RehabCare common stock. In addition, this summary does not address any aspect of state, local or non-U.S. laws or estate, gift, excise or other non-income tax laws. Neither Kindred nor RehabCare has requested a ruling from the Internal Revenue Service (which we refer to as the IRS) in connection with the merger. Accordingly, the discussion below neither binds the IRS nor precludes it from adopting a contrary position. Furthermore, no opinion of counsel has been, or is expected to be, rendered with respect to the tax consequences of the merger.

WE URGE HOLDERS TO CONSULT THEIR OWN TAX ADVISORS REGARDING THE U.S. FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSEQUENCES OF THE MERGER IN LIGHT OF THEIR PERSONAL CIRCUMSTANCES AND THE CONSEQUENCES OF THE MERGER UNDER U.S. FEDERAL NON-INCOME TAX LAWS AND STATE, LOCAL AND NON-U.S. TAX LAWS.

For purposes of this discussion, the term "U.S. holder" means a beneficial holder of RehabCare common stock that is:

a citizen or resident of the U.S.;

a corporation (including any entity treated as a corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes) created or organized under the laws of the U.S. or any of its political subdivisions;

a trust that (i) is subject to the supervision of a court within the U.S. and the control of one or more U.S. persons or (ii) has a valid election in effect under applicable U.S. Treasury regulations to be treated as a U.S. person; or

an estate that is subject to U.S. federal income tax on its income regardless of its source.

If a partnership (including any entity or arrangement, domestic or foreign, treated as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes) holds RehabCare common stock, the tax treatment of a partner will generally depend on the status of the partners and the activities of the partnership. Partnerships and partners in such a partnership should consult their tax advisors about the tax consequences of the merger to them.

U.S. Holders

Tax Consequences of the Merger. The exchange of RehabCare common stock for Kindred common stock and cash in the merger will be a taxable transaction for U.S. federal income tax purposes. A U.S. holder whose

Table of Contents

RehabCare common stock is converted into the right to receive Kindred common stock and cash in the merger will recognize capital gain or loss for U.S. federal income tax purposes equal to the difference, if any, between (1) the sum of (i) the fair market value of Kindred common stock received by such holder in the merger, and (ii) the amount of cash received by such holder in the merger, including any cash received in lieu of fractional shares of Kindred common stock, and (2) the U.S. holder's adjusted tax basis in such RehabCare common stock. A U.S. holder's adjusted tax basis will generally equal the price the U.S. holder paid for such RehabCare common stock. Gain or loss will be determined separately for each block of RehabCare common stock. A block of stock is generally a group of shares acquired at the same cost in a single transaction. Such gain or loss will be long-term capital gain or loss provided that a U.S. holder's holding period for such RehabCare common stock is more than one year at the time of the completion of the merger. A U.S. holder's aggregate tax basis in its Kindred common stock received in the merger will equal the fair market value of such stock at the effective time, and the holder's holding period for such stock will begin on the day after the merger.

Ownership of Kindred Common Stock. As a result of the merger, current RehabCare stockholders will hold Kindred common stock. In general, distributions with respect to Kindred common stock will constitute dividends to the extent made out of current or accumulated earnings and profits, as determined under U.S. federal income tax principles. If a distribution exceeds Kindred's current and accumulated earnings and profits, the excess will be treated as a non-taxable return of capital to the extent of a U.S. holder's adjusted tax basis in its shares and thereafter as capital gain from the sale or exchange of such shares. Dividends received by a corporate U.S. holder will be eligible for the dividends-received deduction, provided such a corporate U.S. holder meets certain holding period and other applicable requirements. Dividends received by a non-corporate U.S. holder in tax years beginning on or before December 31, 2012 will qualify for taxation at preferential rates provided such a non-corporate U.S. holder meets certain holding period and other applicable requirements.

Upon the sale or other disposition of Kindred common stock, a U.S. holder will generally recognize capital gain or loss equal to the difference between the amount realized and the adjusted tax basis in its Kindred common stock. Such capital gain or loss will generally be long-term if the selling stockholder's holding period in respect of such shares (as discussed under Tax Consequences of the Merger, above) is more than one year. Certain non-corporate U.S. Holders (including individuals) may be eligible for preferential tax rates in respect of long-term capital gain.

The deductibility of capital losses is subject to limitations.

Non-U.S. Holders

A non-U.S. holder is a beneficial owner of RehabCare common stock (other than an entity treated as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes) that is not a U.S. holder.

Tax Consequences of the Merger. Any gain a non-U.S. holder recognizes from the exchange of RehabCare common stock for Kindred common stock and cash in the merger generally will not be subject to U.S. federal income tax unless (a) the gain is effectively connected with a trade or business conducted by the non-U.S. holder in the United States, or (b) in the case of a non-U.S. holder who is an individual, such holder is present in the United States for 183 days or more in the taxable year of the sale and other conditions are met.

Non-U.S. holders described in (a) above, will be subject to tax on gain recognized at applicable U.S. federal income tax rates and, in addition, non-U.S. holders that are corporations (or treated as corporations for U.S. federal income tax purposes) may be subject to a branch profits tax equal to 30% (or lesser rate under an applicable income tax treaty) on your effectively connected earnings and profits for the taxable year, which would include such gain. Non-U.S. holders described in (b) above, will be subject to a flat 30% tax on any gain recognized, which may be offset by U.S. source capital losses.

Ownership of Kindred Common Stock. As a result of the merger, current RehabCare stockholders will hold Kindred common stock. Dividends paid to non-U.S. holders (to the extent paid out of Kindred's current or accumulated earnings and profits, as determined for U.S. federal income tax purposes) with respect to such

Table of Contents

shares of Kindred common stock will be subject to withholding at a 30% rate or such lower rate as may be specified by an applicable income tax treaty unless the dividends are effectively connected with the conduct of a trade or business within the United States and, if certain tax treaties apply, are attributable to a U.S. permanent establishment, as discussed below. Even if a non-U.S. holder is eligible for a lower treaty rate, Kindred will generally be required to withhold at a 30% rate (rather than the lower treaty rate) on dividend payments unless (1) Kindred has received a valid IRS Form W-8BEN or other documentary evidence establishing entitlement to a lower treaty rate with respect to such payments, and (2) in the case of dividends paid to a foreign financial institution or entity after December 31, 2012, such non-U.S. holder holds the Kindred common stock through a foreign financial institution or entity that has entered into an agreement with the U.S. government to collect and provide to the U.S. tax authorities information about its accountholders (including certain investors in such an institution or entity) and, if required, such non-U.S. holder has provided the withholding agent with a certification identifying its direct and indirect U.S. owners.

If a non-U.S. holder is subject to withholding at a rate in excess of a reduced rate for which it is eligible under a tax treaty or otherwise, it may be able to obtain a refund of or credit for any amounts withheld in excess of the applicable rate. Investors are encouraged to consult with their own tax advisors regarding the possible implications of these withholding requirements.

Dividends that are effectively connected with the conduct of a trade or business within the United States and, if certain tax treaties apply, are attributable to a U.S. permanent establishment, are not subject to withholding tax, but instead are subject to U.S. federal income tax on a net income basis at applicable graduated rates. Special certification and disclosure requirements must be satisfied for effectively connected income to be exempt from withholding. Any such effectively connected dividend received by a non-U.S. holder that is a corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes may be subject to an additional branch profits tax at a 30% rate or such lower rate as may be specified by an applicable income tax treaty.

Any gain a non-U.S. holder recognizes on the sale or other taxable disposition of Kindred common stock generally will not be subject to U.S. federal income tax unless (a) the gain is effectively connected with a trade or business conducted by the non-U.S. holder in the United States, or (b) in the case of a non-U.S. holder who is an individual, such holder is present in the United States for 183 days or more in the taxable year of the sale and other conditions are met. In the case of dispositions of Kindred common stock the proceeds of which are paid to a foreign financial institution or entity after December 31, 2012, a non-U.S. holder may be subject to a 30% withholding tax on the gross proceeds of the sale or disposition unless the non-U.S. holder holds the Kindred common stock through a foreign financial institution or entity that has entered into an agreement with the U.S. government to collect and provide to the U.S. tax authorities information about its accountholders (including certain investors in such an institution or entity) and, if required, the non-U.S. holder has provided the withholding agent with a certification identifying its direct and indirect U.S. owners. Investors are encouraged to consult with their own tax advisors regarding the possible implications of these withholding requirements.

Information Reporting and Backup Withholding

In general, information reporting requirements may apply to the amounts paid to U.S. holders and non-U.S. holders in connection with the consideration received in connection with the merger, dividends paid with respect to Kindred common stock and proceeds received from the sale or exchange of Kindred common stock, unless an exemption applies. Backup withholding may be imposed (currently at a 28% rate) on the above payments if a U.S. holder or non-U.S. holder (1) fails to provide a taxpayer identification number or appropriate certifications or (2) fails to report certain types of income in full.

Any amounts withheld under the backup withholding rules are not additional tax and will be allowed as a refund or credit against applicable U.S. federal income tax liability provided the required information is furnished to the IRS.

Table of Contents

THE FOREGOING DISCUSSION OF UNITED STATES FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSEQUENCES IS FOR GENERAL INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY AND IS NOT INTENDED TO CONSTITUTE A COMPLETE DESCRIPTION OF ALL TAX CONSEQUENCES RELATING TO THE MERGER. TAX MATTERS ARE VERY COMPLICATED, AND THE TAX CONSEQUENCES OF THE MERGER TO HOLDERS WILL DEPEND UPON THE FACTS OF THEIR PARTICULAR SITUATION. BECAUSE INDIVIDUAL CIRCUMSTANCES MAY DIFFER, HOLDERS SHOULD CONSULT THEIR TAX ADVISORS REGARDING THE APPLICABILITY TO THEM OF THE RULES DISCUSSED ABOVE AND THE PARTICULAR TAX EFFECTS TO THEM OF THE MERGER, INCLUDING THE APPLICATION OF STATE, LOCAL AND FOREIGN TAX LAWS.

Table of Contents

THE MERGER AGREEMENT

The following is a summary of certain material provisions of the merger agreement, a copy of which is attached as Annex A to this joint proxy statement/prospectus and is incorporated into this joint proxy statement/prospectus by reference. Kindred and RehabCare urge you to read carefully this entire joint proxy statement/prospectus, including the annexes and the other documents which have been referred to you. You should also review the section titled "Where You Can Find More Information" beginning on page 225.

This summary does not purport to be complete and may not contain all of the information about the merger that is important to you. The merger agreement has been included for your convenience to provide you with information regarding its terms, and we recommend that you read it in its entirety. Except for its status as the contractual document that establishes and governs the legal relations between Kindred and RehabCare with respect to the merger, Kindred and RehabCare do not intend for the merger agreement to be a source of factual, business or operational information about either Kindred or RehabCare. The merger agreement contains representations and warranties that Kindred and RehabCare have made to each other for the principal purpose of establishing the circumstances in which a party to the merger agreement may have the right not to consummate the merger if the representations and warranties of the other party prove to be untrue due to a change in circumstances or otherwise, and allocating risk between the parties to the merger agreement, rather than establishing matters as facts. Those representations and warranties are qualified in several important respects, which you should consider as you read them in the merger agreement.

First, except for the parties themselves, under the terms of the merger agreement, only certain other specifically identified persons are third party beneficiaries of the merger agreement who may enforce it and rely on its terms.

Second, the representations and warranties are qualified in their entirety by certain information of each of Kindred and RehabCare filed with the SEC prior to the date of the merger agreement, as well as by confidential disclosure schedules that each of Kindred and RehabCare prepared and delivered to the other immediately prior to signing the merger agreement.

Third, certain of the representations and warranties made by Kindred, on the one hand, and RehabCare, on the other hand, were made as of a specified date, may be subject to a contractual standard of materiality different from what might be viewed as material to stockholders, and may have been used for the purpose of allocating risk between the parties to the merger agreement rather than as establishing matters as facts.

Fourth, none of the representations or warranties will survive the closing of the merger and they will therefore have no legal effect under the merger agreement after the closing. The parties will not be able to assert the inaccuracy of the representations and warranties as a basis for refusing to close unless all such inaccuracies individually or in the aggregate have, and would reasonably be expected to have, a material adverse effect on the party that made the representations and warranties, except for certain limited representations and warranties that must be true and correct in all, or all material respects. Otherwise, for purposes of the merger agreement, the representations and warranties will be deemed to have been sufficiently accurate to require a closing.

For the foregoing reasons, you should not rely on the representations and warranties as statements of factual information. Moreover, information concerning the subject matter of the representations and warranties may have changed since the date of the merger agreement, and subsequently developed or new information qualifying a representation or warranty may have been included in a filing with the SEC made since the date of the merger agreement (including in this joint proxy statement/prospectus).

The Merger; Closing

Upon the terms and subject to the conditions of the merger agreement, and in accordance with Delaware law, at the effective time, RehabCare will merge with and into Kindred and the separate corporate existence of

Table of Contents

RehabCare will cease and Kindred will continue as the surviving corporation after the merger. However, either of RehabCare or Kindred may, at any time prior to the effective time (with the consent of the other) or immediately prior to the effective time (without the consent of the other), elect to change the method of the transaction by providing for a merger of merger subsidiary with and into RehabCare, and RehabCare will continue as the surviving corporation and a wholly owned subsidiary of Kindred.

Unless Kindred and RehabCare agree otherwise, the closing of the merger will occur as soon as possible, but no later than the third business day following the date on which all of the conditions to the merger, other than conditions that, by their nature are to be satisfied at the closing (but subject to satisfaction, or, to the extent permissible, waiver of those conditions at closing) have been satisfied or, to the extent permissible, waived.

Assuming timely satisfaction of the necessary closing conditions, Kindred and RehabCare are targeting a closing of the merger on or about June 30, 2011. However, we cannot assure you that such timing will occur or that the merger will be completed as expected.

Upon the closing, Kindred (or merger subsidiary) and RehabCare will file a certificate of merger with the Secretary of State of the State of Delaware. The effective time will be the time the certificate of merger is filed or at a later time upon which Kindred and RehabCare shall agree to and specify in the certificate of merger.

Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws of Kindred and the Surviving Corporation

At the effective time, the certificate of incorporation of Kindred in effect at that time (or, in the event of a subsidiary merger election, the certificate of incorporation of RehabCare, as amended as set forth in Annex I of the merger agreement) will, by virtue of the merger, become the certificate of incorporation of the surviving corporation.

At the effective time, the bylaws of Kindred (or, in the event of a subsidiary merger election, of merger subsidiary) will, by virtue of the merger, be the bylaws of the surviving corporation.

Directors and Officers of the Surviving Corporation

At the effective time, the directors and officers of Kindred shall continue as the directors and officers of Kindred. At or prior to the effective time, Kindred will appoint two current members of the RehabCare board of directors to serve as members of the Kindred board of directors, such service to be effective as of immediately following the effective time. Kindred anticipates that one of the two current members of the RehabCare board of directors to join the Kindred board of directors will be Dr. John Short, President and Chief Executive Officer of RehabCare, who is expected to be invited to join as non-executive vice chairman. Kindred also anticipates that Mr. Hardaway, Ms. Henry and Ms. Welc will be officers of Kindred following the effective time. If either party makes the subsidiary merger election, the directors and officers of merger subsidiary shall be the directors and officers of the surviving corporation.

Merger Consideration

Kindred Stockholders

Each share of Kindred common stock outstanding immediately prior to the effective time will remain outstanding and will not be altered by the merger.

RehabCare Stockholders

At the effective time, each share of RehabCare common stock outstanding immediately prior to the effective time (other than shares owned by (i) Kindred or RehabCare or their respective wholly owned subsidiaries (which will be cancelled) or (ii) stockholders who have properly exercised and perfected appraisal rights under the DGCL) will be converted into the right to receive the merger consideration, without interest.

Table of Contents

Fractional Shares

No fraction of a share of Kindred common stock will be issued in the merger. Instead, holders of RehabCare common stock who would otherwise be entitled to receive a fraction of a share of Kindred common stock will receive, upon surrender for exchange of RehabCare common stock, an amount in cash (rounded up to the nearest whole cent), without interest, equal to the product of such fraction multiplied by the volume-weighted average price (rounded to the nearest one-tenth of a cent) of one share of Kindred common stock on the NYSE for the five trading days immediately preceding to the closing date of the merger.

Exchange Procedures

Prior to the effective time, Kindred will enter into an agreement with a commercial bank or trust company (which we refer to as the exchange agent) selected by Kindred and reasonably satisfactory to RehabCare, to pay the merger consideration to the holders of RehabCare common stock in connection with the merger, including the payment of cash for fractional shares. Immediately prior to the effective time, Kindred will deposit with the exchange agent, for the benefit of the holders of RehabCare common stock, book-entry shares representing the total number of shares of Kindred common stock issuable in the merger and cash sufficient to pay the cash consideration. In addition, and from time to time thereafter, Kindred will deposit with the exchange agent immediately available funds sufficient to pay cash in lieu of fractional shares and in respect of any dividends or distributions on Kindred common stock with a record date after the effective time.

At the effective time, each certificate representing shares (or uncertificated shares in book entry form) of RehabCare common stock that has not been surrendered, other than any shares owned by Kindred or RehabCare, will represent only the right to receive, upon such surrender and without any interest, the number of whole shares of Kindred common stock issuable in exchange of such shares of RehabCare common stock, dividends and other distributions on shares of Kindred common stock with a record date after the effective time, and cash, in lieu of fractional shares. Following the effective time, no further registrations of transfers on the stock transfer books of RehabCare of the shares of RehabCare common stock will be made. If, after the effective time, RehabCare stock certificates or shares of RehabCare common stock represented by book-entry are presented to Kindred, the surviving corporation or the exchange agent, for any reason, they will be canceled and exchanged as described above.

Exchange of Shares

Promptly after the effective time, and in any event within two business days after the closing, Kindred will cause the exchange agent to mail to each holder of record of a RehabCare stock certificate or book-entry share whose shares of RehabCare common stock were converted into the right to receive the merger consideration, a letter of transmittal and instructions explaining how to surrender RehabCare stock certificates or book-entry shares in exchange for the merger consideration.

After the effective time, and upon surrender of a RehabCare stock certificate or book-entry share to the exchange agent, together with a letter of transmittal or agent's message, the holder of the RehabCare stock certificate or book-entry share will be entitled to receive the merger consideration, and the RehabCare stock certificates or book entries evidencing book-entry shares so surrendered will be canceled. No interest will be paid or will accrue on any merger consideration payable under the merger agreement. If payment is to be made to a person other than the person in whose name the certificate or book-entry share surrendered is registered, the certificate or book-entry share so surrendered must be properly endorsed or otherwise in proper form for transfer and the person requesting such payment must pay any transfer or other taxes required by the reason of the payment to a person other than the registered holder of the certificate or book-entry share so surrendered, unless the person requesting such payment can establish to the satisfaction of the exchange agent that such tax has been paid or is not applicable.

Table of Contents

REHABCARE STOCK CERTIFICATES SHOULD NOT BE RETURNED WITH THE ENCLOSED PROXY CARD(S). RehabCare stock certificates should be returned with a validly executed transmittal letter and accompanying instructions that will be provided to RehabCare stockholders following the effective time.

Kindred stockholders do not need to send in their stock certificates at any time.

Lost Stock Certificates

If any stock certificate has been lost, stolen or destroyed, upon the making of an affidavit of that fact by the person claiming the stock certificate to be lost, stolen or destroyed and, if required by the surviving corporation, the posting by such person of a bond in a reasonable amount as the surviving corporation (or the exchange agent in accordance with its standard procedures) may direct as indemnity against any claim that may be made against it with respect to the stock certificate, the exchange agent will issue, in exchange for such lost, stolen or destroyed stock certificate, the merger consideration in respect of such shares. These procedures will be described in the letter of transmittal that RehabCare stockholders will receive, which such stockholders should read carefully in its entirety.

Effect of the Merger on RehabCare's Equity Awards

Stock Options

At the effective time, each RehabCare stock option outstanding immediately prior to such time under any RehabCare equity plan, whether or not then exercisable or vested, will become fully vested and will be canceled in exchange for the right to receive an amount of cash equal to the product of (1) the number of shares of RehabCare common stock subject to the option and (2) the excess, if any of (a) the sum of (i) the exchange ratio, multiplied by the volume-weighted average price of the Kindred common stock as reported by the NYSE for the five trading days prior to the closing date of the merger and (ii) the cash consideration, over (b) the exercise price per share of the RehabCare stock option, without interest and less any applicable taxes. This payment will be made as soon as reasonably practicable after the effective time, and in any event no later than the earliest of (1) three business days after the effective time, (2) the end of the year in which the effective time occurs, or (3) the expiration of the original term of the RehabCare stock option. For any RehabCare stock option with an exercise price per share that exceeds the value of the merger consideration, such shares will be canceled as of the effective time for no consideration and will have no further effect.

Restricted Shares

At or immediately prior to the effective time, each RehabCare restricted share that is subject solely to time-based vesting will vest and become free of any other lapsing restrictions, and the holder will be entitled to receive the merger consideration for such shares, subject to applicable withholding for taxes. For each RehabCare restricted share that is subject to performance-based vesting, the number of restricted shares that, according to the underlying award agreement, would vest upon attainment of target performance, will vest and become free of any other lapsing restrictions, and the holder will be entitled to receive the merger consideration for such shares, subject to applicable withholding for taxes. All unvested RehabCare restricted shares as of the effective time, after giving effect to the foregoing, will be canceled for no consideration and will have no further effect.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

The merger agreement provides that as soon as practicable following the date of the merger agreement, the RehabCare board of directors or an appropriate committee of the RehabCare board of directors will take all reasonable actions, including adopting any necessary resolution, to (a) terminate the ESPP as of immediately prior to the closing date, (b) ensure that no new offering period will be commenced after the date of the merger

Table of Contents

agreement, (c) for any offering period with an end date prior to the closing, cause a new exercise date to be set for the business day immediately prior to the closing; (d) prohibit participants in the ESPP from altering their payroll deductions from those in effect on the date of the merger agreement, and (e) provide that the amount of the accumulated contributions of each participant under the ESPP as of immediately prior to the termination date of the ESPP will be refunded to such participant as promptly as practicable following the effective date, without interest. Shares of RehabCare common stock previously purchased pursuant to the ESPP will receive the merger consideration, as described above.

Representations and Warranties

The merger agreement contains customary representations and warranties made by each party to the other, which are subject, in some cases, to specified exceptions and qualifications contained in the merger agreement and the matters contained in the confidential disclosure schedules that each of Kindred and RehabCare prepared and delivered to the other prior to signing the merger agreement. These representations and warranties relate to, among other things:

due organization, good standing and the requisite corporate power and authority to carry on their respective businesses;

capitalization;

ownership of subsidiaries;

corporate power and authority to enter into the merger agreement, the valid and binding nature of the merger agreement and enforceability of the merger agreement;

board of directors approval and recommendation to stockholders to adopt the merger agreement;

the affirmative vote required by stockholders of each party to adopt the merger agreement;

absence of conflicts with organizational documents, breaches of contracts and agreements, liens upon assets and violations of applicable law resulting from the execution and delivery of the merger agreement and consummation of the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement;

absence of required governmental or other third party consents in connection with execution, delivery and performance of the merger agreement and consummation of the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement other than governmental filings specified in the merger agreement;

timely filing of required documents with the SEC since January 1, 2008, compliance of such documents with the requirements of the Securities Act, and the Exchange Act, and the absence of untrue statements of material facts or omissions of material facts in those documents;

compliance of financial statements with GAAP;

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

absence of any transaction that would be required to be disclosed under Item 404 of Regulation S-K promulgated under the Securities Act;

absence, to the knowledge of Kindred or RehabCare, as applicable, since July 1, 2008 of any substantive complaint or allegation regarding improper accounting practices, or reports of material violations of securities laws;

absence of any liabilities other than as and to the extent reflected or reserved against the consolidated audited balance sheet of Kindred or RehabCare, as applicable, as of September 30, 2010, incurred in connection with the merger agreement or that would not have or reasonably be expected to have, individually or in the aggregate, a material adverse effect;

absence of specified changes or events and conduct of business in the ordinary course since September 30, 2010;

Table of Contents

absence since December 31, 2009 of any change, effect, development or event that has had, or would reasonably be expected to have a material adverse effect;

absence of misleading information contained or incorporated into this joint proxy statement/prospectus, the registration statement of which this joint proxy statement/prospectus forms a part, or any other filings made by either party with the SEC in connection with the merger;

compliance with applicable laws, including healthcare regulatory laws, and holding of all necessary permits;

employee benefits matters and ERISA compliance;

labor matters and compliance with labor and employment law;

absence of litigation;

tax matters;

third party reimbursements;

environmental matters and compliance with environmental laws;

intellectual property;

insurance;

major suppliers and customers;

receipt of an opinion from each party's financial advisor(s); and

no brokers' or finders' fees.

Kindred made certain additional representations and warranties to RehabCare in the merger agreement, including with respect to the following matters in connection with the debt financing arrangements:

payment of fees under the debt commitment letter;

sufficiency of funds;

validity and enforceability of the debt commitment letter;

absence of default under the debt commitment letter; and

absence of contingencies related to the funding of financing other than as set forth in the debt commitment letter.

RehabCare also made additional representations and warranties to Kindred in the merger agreement, including with respect to intercompany loans, material contracts, real property and assets absence of unlawful payments, and inapplicability of takeover laws.

Many of the representations and warranties in the merger agreement are qualified as to materiality or material adverse effect. For purposes of the merger agreement, a material adverse effect on Kindred or RehabCare means:

any change, effect, development or event that has had or would reasonably be expected to have a material adverse effect on the financial condition, business, assets, liabilities, or results of operations of such party and its subsidiaries, taken as a whole. In making this determination, no change, effect, development or event (by itself or when aggregated) to the extent resulting from any of the following shall be taken into account when determining whether a material adverse effect has occurred or may occur:

any changes, effects, developments or events in the economy or the financial, credit or securities markets in general (including changes in interest or exchange rates);

Table of Contents

any changes, effects, developments or events in the industries in which such party and its subsidiaries operate;

any changes, effects, developments or events resulting from the announcement or pendency of the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, the identity of Kindred or the performance or compliance with the terms of the merger agreement (including, in each case, any loss of customers, suppliers or employees or any disruption in business relationships resulting therefrom, but excluding the effects of compliance with the operating covenants of RehabCare);

any changes, effects, developments or events resulting from the failure of such party to meet internal forecasts, budgets or financial projections or fluctuations in the trading price or volume of such party's common stock (but not, in each case, the underlying cause of such failure or fluctuations, unless such underlying cause would otherwise be excepted from the determination of a material adverse effect);

acts of God, natural disasters, calamities, national or international political or social conditions, including the engagement by any country in hostility (whether commenced before, on or after the date hereof, and whether or not pursuant to the declaration of a national emergency or war), or the occurrence of a military or terrorist attack; or

any changes in applicable law or GAAP (or any interpretation thereof);

unless, in the case of the first, second, fifth and sixth bullets, the changes, effects, developments or events disproportionately affect such party and its subsidiaries as compared to other companies in the industries in which such party and its subsidiaries operate.

The representations and warranties contained in the merger agreement will expire at the effective time, and not survive the consummation of the merger, but they form the basis of specified conditions to the parties' obligations to complete the merger.

Covenants and Agreements

Operating Covenants

Kindred. Kindred has agreed that from the date of the merger agreement until the earlier to occur of the effective time and the termination of the merger agreement, it shall not, without RehabCare's prior consent (not to be unreasonably withheld, delayed or conditioned):

amend its organizational documents in a manner materially adverse to RehabCare stockholders;

split, combine or reclassify any shares of its capital stock;

redeem or repurchase any shares of its capital stock or other securities at a price materially above market price;

declare, set aside or pay any dividend or make any other distribution (whether in cash, stock, property or any combination thereof) in respect of any shares of its capital stock or other securities (other than dividends or distributions by any of its wholly owned subsidiaries);

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

acquire any equity interest or any material assets of any business organization having a fair market value in excess of \$125 million in the aggregate;

adopt or enter into a plan of complete or partial liquidation or dissolution;

intentionally take any action that is intended, to the knowledge of Kindred at the time the action is taken, to result in any of the conditions to the merger not being satisfied; or

agree, resolve or commit to take, any of the foregoing actions.

Table of Contents

RehabCare. RehabCare has agreed that from the date of the merger agreement until the earlier to occur of the effective time and the termination of the merger agreement, RehabCare shall, and shall cause each of its subsidiaries to:

conduct its business in the ordinary course consistent with past practice and in compliance with all material applicable laws and all material authorizations from governmental authorities; and

use its reasonable best efforts to preserve intact its present business organizations, maintain in effect all material permits, keep available the services of its directors, officers and employees, and maintain satisfactory relationships with its customers, lenders, suppliers and others having material business relationships with it.

In addition, with specified exceptions set forth in the merger agreement or with Kindred's prior consent (not to be unreasonably withheld, conditioned or delayed), RehabCare has agreed, among other things, not to, and not to permit its subsidiaries to:

amend its organizational documents;

(i) split, combine or reclassify any shares of its capital stock, (ii) declare or pay any dividend or make any other distribution in respect of any shares of its capital stock or other securities (other than dividends or distributions by any of its wholly owned subsidiaries) or (iii) redeem, repurchase, cancel or otherwise acquire or offer to redeem, repurchase, or otherwise acquire, any of its securities or any securities of any of its subsidiaries, other than the cancellation of RehabCare stock options in connection with the exercise thereof;

(i) issue, deliver or sell, or authorize the issuance, delivery or sale of, any shares of any of its securities or any securities of its subsidiaries, other than the issuance of any shares upon the exercise of outstanding RehabCare stock options or (ii) amend the terms of any of its securities or securities of its subsidiaries (in each case, whether by merger, consolidation or otherwise);

(i) acquire any equity interest or any material assets of any business organization, (ii) merge or consolidate with any other entity or (iii) adopt a plan of complete or partial liquidation, dissolution, recapitalization or restructuring;

sell, lease, license or otherwise dispose of any subsidiary or any material assets, securities or property;

create or incur any lien on any asset other than permitted liens or any immaterial lien incurred in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practices;

make any loan, advance or investment other than to or in its wholly owned subsidiaries;

incur any indebtedness for borrowed money or guarantees thereof other than under existing credit facilities in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practices;

amend or change its existing credit facility;

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

(i) enter into any contract that would have been deemed a material contract under the merger agreement were RehabCare or any of its subsidiaries a party thereto on the date of the merger agreement other than in the ordinary course consistent with past practices or (ii) terminate or amend in any material respect any material contract or waive any material right thereunder other than in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practice;

terminate, suspend, abrogate, amend or modify in any material respect any material permit;

abandon, cancel or allow to lapse or fail to maintain or protect any material registered intellectual property;

except as required by applicable law or existing employee plans (i) grant or increase any severance or termination pay to (or amend any existing arrangement with) any of their respective directors, officers or employees; (ii) increase benefits payable under any severance or termination pay policies or employment agreements existing as of the date of the merger agreement; (iii) enter into any plan,

Table of Contents

program, policy, contract, arrangement or agreement that would be an employee plan if in existence on the date hereof or otherwise amend, modify or terminate any employee plan (except as expressly required the merger agreement); (iv) establish, adopt or amend (except as required by applicable law) any collective bargaining arrangement; (v) increase the compensation, bonus or other benefits payable to any of their respective directors or executives; or (vi) hire any employee with an annual base salary in excess of \$150,000;

make any material change in any method of accounting or accounting principles or practice, except for any such change required by reason of a concurrent change in GAAP or Regulation S-X under the Securities Act, as approved by its independent registered public accounting firm;

make, change, or rescind any election relating to material taxes, settle or compromise any material claim relating to taxes, or, except as required by applicable law, amend any material tax return;

settle, or offer or propose to settle, any litigation, investigation, arbitration, proceeding or other claim involving or against RehabCare or any of its subsidiaries involving a payment by RehabCare or any of its subsidiaries in excess of \$250,000;

fail to use reasonable best efforts to maintain existing material insurance policies or comparable replacement policies to the extent available for a similar reasonable cost;

subject to certain exceptions, enter into any new lease, sublease, or amend, modify, license in respect of any real property or amend, modify or terminate or surrender any such lease, sublease or license;

acquire any material real property or dispose of, by sale or otherwise, any material owned real property;

except as otherwise provided in the merger agreement, convene any regular (except to the extent required by applicable law or order) or special meeting (or any adjournment or postponement thereof) of the RehabCare stockholders;

intentionally take any action that is intended, to the knowledge of RehabCare at the time the action is taken, to result in any of the conditions to the merger not being satisfied; or

agree, resolve or commit to take, any of the foregoing actions.

No Solicitation

The merger agreement provides that RehabCare will not, and will cause its subsidiaries and its and their respective officers, directors, employees and representatives not to, directly or indirectly:

solicit, initiate or take any action to knowingly facilitate or encourage the submission of any acquisition proposal (as defined on page 104);

enter into or participate in any discussions or negotiations with, furnish any information relating to RehabCare or afford access to the business, properties, assets, books or records of RehabCare to, any party that, to the knowledge of RehabCare, is seeking to make, or has made, an acquisition proposal; or

withhold, withdraw or modify in a manner adverse to Kindred or publicly propose to withhold, withdraw or modify in a manner adverse to Kindred the RehabCare board recommendation, recommend, adopt or approve or publicly propose to recommend, adopt or approve an acquisition proposal or resolve, agree or publicly propose to take any such actions or enter into any agreement in principle, letter of intent, term sheet, merger agreement, acquisition agreement or other similar instrument (whether or not binding) constituting or relating to an acquisition proposal.

RehabCare has agreed, and agreed to cause its subsidiaries and its and their respective officers, directors, employees and representatives, to cease all activities with any parties with respect to an acquisition proposal existing at the time the merger agreement was entered into.

Table of Contents

However, at any time prior to the RehabCare stockholders adopting the merger agreement, RehabCare or the RehabCare board of directors, directly or indirectly through its representatives, may

engage in negotiations or discussions with (including, as a part thereof, making any counterproposal or counter offer to) any party that has made a bona fide unsolicited acquisition proposal that did not arise in connection with any failure of RehabCare to comply with its obligations in the preceding paragraphs and that the RehabCare board of directors believes in good faith (after consultation with a financial advisor of nationally recognized reputation and outside legal counsel) constitutes or would reasonably be expected to lead to a superior proposal (as defined on page 104); and

thereafter furnish to such party nonpublic information relating to RehabCare pursuant to a confidentiality agreement (which agreement may not have terms less favorable to RehabCare than the existing confidentiality agreement between Kindred and RehabCare, subject to certain exceptions) provided that all such information (to the extent that such information has not been previously provided or made available to Kindred) is provided or made available to Kindred within 24 hours following the time it is provided or made available to such other party,

but in each case only if the RehabCare board of directors determines in good faith, after consultation with outside legal counsel, that failure to take such action could reasonably be expected to be inconsistent with its fiduciary duties under applicable law and RehabCare gives Kindred at least 24 hours prior written notice of the identity of such party and the material terms of such acquisition proposal (or, if in writing, a copy of such acquisition proposal) and of RehabCare's intention to participate or engage in discussions or negotiations with, or furnish non-public information to, such third party.

RehabCare has agreed to notify Kindred promptly (but in any event within 24 hours) in the event that it receives any acquisition proposal, any inquiry that would reasonably be expected to lead to an acquisition proposal or any request for information or for access to the business, properties, assets, books or records of RehabCare by any party that, to the knowledge of RehabCare, may be considering making, or has made, an acquisition proposal. RehabCare has also agreed to keep Kindred reasonably informed of any material changes to any acquisition proposal, inquiry or request for information.

In addition, if prior to the adoption of the merger agreement by the RehabCare stockholders, the RehabCare board of directors receives a superior proposal or there occurs an event, fact, circumstance or development, that occurs after the date of the merger agreement that was not known or foreseen by the RehabCare board of directors as of the date of the merger agreement (and not related to any acquisition proposal), that becomes known prior to receipt of the approval of the stockholders of RehabCare (which we refer to as a RehabCare intervening event), then the RehabCare board of directors shall be entitled to make a RehabCare board adverse recommendation change (as defined on page 104), if:

the RehabCare board of directors determines in good faith, after consultation with outside legal counsel, that failure to take such action would reasonably be expected to be inconsistent with its fiduciary duties under applicable law, and in the case of a superior proposal, the RehabCare board of directors approves or recommends such superior proposal;

RehabCare has notified Kindred in writing that it intends to effect a RehabCare board adverse recommendation change, describing in reasonable detail the reasons for such change, including the material terms and conditions of any such superior proposal and a copy of the final form of any related agreements or a description in reasonable detail of such RehabCare intervening event, as the case may be;

a three-business day period commencing with the first business day after the delivery of the notice and other materials under the prior bullet shall have expired and, if requested by Kindred, RehabCare shall have made its representatives available to discuss and negotiate in good faith with Kindred's representatives any proposed modifications to the terms and conditions of the merger agreement during this three-business day period following delivery by RehabCare to Kindred of such notice; and

Table of Contents

if Kindred shall have delivered a binding proposal capable of being accepted by RehabCare to amend the terms of the merger agreement during such three-business day period, the RehabCare board of directors shall have determined in good faith, after consultation with outside legal counsel, that failure to take such action would reasonably be expected to be inconsistent with its fiduciary duties under applicable law.

Any material amendment or modification to any superior proposal, or any change to the amount or form of consideration in a superior proposal, made following delivery of the notice and other materials under the second bullet above will be deemed to be a new superior proposal and shall require delivery of new notice and other materials and a new three-business day period under the third bullet above. However, the obligation of RehabCare to deliver a new notice and other materials and to provide a new three-business day period shall apply only twice with respect to a particular superior proposal regardless of any subsequent amendment, modification or change to such superior proposal, and following the expiration of such final three-business day period, RehabCare shall have no further obligation to keep Kindred informed of any changes with respect to such superior proposal.

The merger agreement does not prohibit RehabCare from complying with the rules and regulations of the Exchange Act with regard to an acquisition proposal so long as any action taken or statement made thereunder is in compliance with RehabCare's non-solicitation obligations.

If the RehabCare board of directors changes its recommendation, Kindred would have the right to terminate the merger agreement and be paid a \$26 million termination fee by RehabCare. See [Termination of the Merger Agreement](#) beginning on page 112 and [Termination Fees and Expenses](#) beginning on page 114.

An acquisition proposal means any offer or proposal (other than an offer or proposal by Kindred or merger subsidiary) relating to (i) any acquisition or purchase, direct or indirect (including by merger), of 20% or more of the voting securities of RehabCare, (ii) any tender offer or exchange offer that, if consummated, would result in a third party beneficially owning 20% or more of the voting securities of RehabCare, or (iii) a sale of assets, including by way of a sale of capital stock of, or other equity security interests in, any subsidiary of RehabCare) equal to 20% or more of the fair market value of RehabCare's consolidated assets or to which 20% or more of RehabCare's net revenues or net income on a consolidated basis are attributable, or a merger, consolidation, share exchange, business combination, reorganization, recapitalization, liquidation, dissolution or similar transaction involving RehabCare or any of its subsidiaries whose assets, individually or in the aggregate, constitute 20% or more of the fair market value of the consolidated assets of RehabCare or to which 20% or more of RehabCare's net revenues or net income on a consolidated basis is attributable.

A RehabCare board adverse recommendation change means withholding, withdrawing or modifying in a manner adverse to Kindred the recommendation by RehabCare board of directors to RehabCare stockholders to approve and adopt the merger agreement, or recommendation, adoption or approving an acquisition proposal, or resolving, agreeing or publicly proposing to take any such action.

A superior proposal means any bona fide, written acquisition proposal, not solicited or initiated in violation of RehabCare's non-solicitation obligations, for at least a majority of the outstanding shares of RehabCare common stock or all or substantially all of the assets of RehabCare and its subsidiaries on terms that the RehabCare board of directors determines in good faith, after consultation with a financial advisor of nationally recognized reputation and outside legal counsel and taking into account all the terms and conditions of the acquisition proposal would result in a transaction (i) that if consummated, is more favorable to the RehabCare stockholders from a financial point of view than the merger or, if applicable, any binding proposal by Kindred capable of being accepted by RehabCare, to amend the terms of this merger agreement taking into account all the terms and conditions of the merger agreement and such binding proposal (including the expected timing and likelihood of consummation) and taking into account any governmental and other approval requirements, (ii) that is reasonably capable of being completed on the terms proposed, taking into account the identity of the person

Table of Contents

making the proposal, any approval requirements and all other financial, legal and other aspects of such proposal and (iii) for which financing, if a cash transaction (whether in whole or in part), is then fully committed or reasonably determined to be available by the RehabCare board of directors.

Kindred Board of Directors Recommendation

The merger agreement provides that until the earlier of the adoption of the merger agreement by the Kindred stockholders or the termination of the merger agreement, Kindred agreed that its board of directors shall not withhold, withdraw or modify its recommendation to the Kindred stockholders to adopt the merger agreement in a manner adverse to RehabCare or publicly propose to withhold, withdraw or modify its recommendation in a manner adverse to the RehabCare.

However, if prior to the adoption of the merger agreement by the Kindred stockholders, there occurs an event, fact, circumstance or development, that was not known or foreseen by the Kindred board of directors as of the date of the merger agreement that becomes known prior to the Kindred stockholders adopting the merger agreement (which we refer to as a Kindred intervening event), the Kindred board of directors shall be entitled to effect a Kindred board adverse recommendation change if:

the Kindred board of directors determines in good faith, after consultation with outside legal counsel to Kindred, that failure to take such action would reasonably be expected to be inconsistent with its fiduciary duties under applicable law;

Kindred has notified RehabCare in writing that it intends to effect a Kindred board adverse recommendation change, describing in reasonable detail the reasons for such change, including a description in reasonable detail of such Kindred intervening event;

a three-business day period commencing with the first business day after the delivery of the notice and other materials under the prior bullet shall have expired and, if requested by RehabCare, Kindred shall have made its representatives available to discuss and negotiate in good faith with RehabCare's representatives any proposed modifications to the terms and conditions of the merger agreement during this three-business day period following delivery by Kindred to RehabCare of such notice; and

if RehabCare shall have delivered a binding proposal capable of being accepted by Kindred to amend the terms of merger agreement during such three-business day period, the Kindred board of directors shall have determined in good faith, after consultation with outside legal counsel, that failure to take such action would reasonably be expected to be inconsistent with its fiduciary duties under applicable law.

Kindred board adverse recommendation change means withholding, withdrawing or modifying in a manner adverse to RehabCare or publicly proposing to withhold, withdraw or modify in a manner adverse to RehabCare the recommendation by Kindred board of directors to Kindred stockholders to adopt the merger agreement.

If the Kindred board of directors changes its recommendation, RehabCare would have the right to terminate the merger agreement and be paid a \$62 million termination fee by Kindred. See Termination of the Merger Agreement beginning on page 112 and Termination Fees and Expenses beginning on page 114.

Stockholder Meetings and Board Recommendations

Unless the merger agreement has been validly terminated, Kindred and RehabCare are required to take all reasonable action necessary to convene a meeting of stockholders as promptly as practicable after the mailing of this joint proxy statement/prospectus (but in no event later than 40 days after the mailing) to consider and vote upon the adoption of the merger agreement (including, in case of Kindred stockholder meeting, the issuance of Kindred common stock in connection with the merger). Kindred and RehabCare agreed to adjourn or postpone

Table of Contents

the respective stockholders meeting (i) to obtain a quorum, (ii) to solicit additional proxies (iii) or for other reasons with consent of the other party, such consent not to be unreasonably withheld. Subject to the provisions of the merger agreement discussed under Covenants and Agreements Kindred Board of Directors Recommendation on page 105, the Kindred board of directors will recommend that stockholders vote to approve the adoption of the merger agreement. Approval of the proposal to adopt the merger agreement by the Kindred stockholders will also constitute approval of the issuance of Kindred common stock to RehabCare stockholders in the merger. Subject to the provisions of the merger agreement discussed under Covenants and Agreements No Solicitation beginning on page 102, RehabCare board of directors will recommend that stockholders vote to approve the adoption of the merger agreement. Both the Kindred board of directors and the RehabCare board of directors are required to include such recommendation in this joint proxy statement/prospectus and use their reasonable best efforts to obtain the required stockholder approval.

Access to Information; Confidentiality

Except under certain circumstances from the date of the merger agreement until the earlier of the effective time and the termination of the merger agreement, each of Kindred and RehabCare will:

afford to the other party, its representatives and, in the case of RehabCare, the debt commitment parties, reasonable access during normal business hours upon reasonable notice to all of their offices, properties, books and records,

furnish to the other party, its representatives and, in the case of Kindred, the debt commitment parties, such financial and operating data and other information as such persons may reasonably request, and

instruct its representatives to cooperate with the other party and its representatives in its investigation.

However, neither party is required to provide the other with any information that, in its judgment, cannot be provided because it would waive a privilege or violate a confidentiality obligation. The information will be held in confidence to the extent required by the provisions of the confidentiality agreement between Kindred and RehabCare.

Reasonable Best Efforts; Covenants and Agreements

Kindred and RehabCare have each agreed to use their reasonable best efforts to take, or cause to be taken, all actions necessary, proper or advisable under applicable law to consummate the merger in the most expeditious manner practicable. This includes:

preparing and filing as promptly as practicable all documentation to effect all necessary filings, notices and other documents;

obtaining and maintaining all approvals and consents that are necessary, proper or advisable to consummate the merger and to fulfill the conditions to the merger;

defending any proceedings threatened or commenced by any governmental authority relating to the merger; and

cooperating to the extent reasonable with the other party in its efforts to comply with its obligations under the merger agreement.

In connection with the efforts referenced above to obtain all requisite approvals and authorizations for the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, each of Kindred and RehabCare agreed to make a notification pursuant to the HSR Act as promptly as practicable (and in any event within 10 business days of the date of the merger agreement), and to obtain all other approvals and authorizations required under the HSR Act and any other applicable antitrust law.

Table of Contents

In addition, each of Kindred and RehabCare has agreed to use its reasonable best efforts to take all necessary actions to obtain any approval relating to the HSR Act or similar non-U.S. laws that are required for the consummation of the merger, which efforts shall include taking all such reasonable actions, including, agreeing to such reasonable undertakings and commitments as may be reasonably requested by any governmental authority, to allow the conditions to the merger to be satisfied on or before September 30, 2011.

Notwithstanding the above provisions, in no event shall (A) Kindred be obligated to propose or agree to accept any undertaking or condition, enter into any consent order, make any divestiture or accept any operational restriction, or take or commit to take any action (1) the effectiveness or consummation of which is not conditional on the consummation of the merger, (2) that is not necessary at such time to permit the effective time to occur by the last business day before September 30, 2011, or (3) that individually or in the aggregate is or would be expected to be material to (x) RehabCare or to Kindred or (y) Kindred's ownership or operation of the business or assets of RehabCare or (B) RehabCare or any of its subsidiaries, without the prior written consent of Kindred, propose or agree to accept any undertaking or condition, enter into any consent decree or make any divestiture or accept any operational restriction. RehabCare has also agreed to commit, subject to completion of the merger, to take any of the foregoing actions with respect to the assets or business of RehabCare.

For additional information on the regulatory consents and approvals required to be obtained, see *The Merger - Regulatory Approvals Required for the Merger* beginning on page 83.

Certain Other Filings

RehabCare and Kindred shall cooperate with one another (i) in connection with the preparation of the registration statement on Form S-4 and this joint proxy statement/prospectus and applications and notices for other required consents, (ii) in determining whether any action by any governmental authority is required, or any consents are required to be obtained from parties to any material contracts and (iii) in taking such actions required in connection therewith or with this joint proxy statement/prospectus and seeking timely to obtain any such actions or consents.

Indemnification and Insurance

The merger agreement contains provisions relating to the indemnification of and insurance for RehabCare's and its subsidiaries' current and former directors and officers. For a period of six years following the effective time, Kindred shall, or in the event of a subsidiary merger election, shall cause the surviving corporation to, indemnify and hold harmless each current and former officer and director of RehabCare and each person who served as a fiduciary under or with respect to any employee benefit plan of RehabCare, against any costs or expenses (including advancing reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses upon receipt of an undertaking to repay such amount if it shall be ultimately determined that the indemnified person is not entitled to be indemnified), judgments, fines, losses, claims, damages, liabilities and amounts paid in settlement in connection with any actual or threatened action or investigation in respect of or arising out of acts or omissions occurring or alleged to have occurred at or prior to the effective time, in connection with such indemnified person's service as an officer or director of RehabCare or as a fiduciary of such plan, to the fullest extent permitted by Delaware law or any other applicable law or provided under RehabCare's organizational documents in effect on the date of the merger agreement, subject to any limitation imposed under applicable law.

All rights in existence under RehabCare's organizational documents on the date of the merger agreement regarding elimination of liability of directors, indemnification and exculpation of officers, directors and employees and advancement of expenses to them shall survive the merger for a period of six years from the effective time.

Kindred shall, or in the event of a subsidiary merger election, shall cause the surviving corporation to, maintain for a period of six years after the effective time D&O insurance in respect of acts or omissions occurring prior to the effective time covering each indemnified person covered as of the date of the merger

Table of Contents

agreement by RehabCare's D&O insurance policies on terms with respect to coverage and amount no less favorable than those of such policy in effect on the date of the merger agreement, as well as covering claims brought against each indemnified person under ERISA. Alternatively, RehabCare may purchase such tail policy at its option prior to the effective time and pay the premium due thereon when due, provided that the surviving corporation shall not be obligated to pay annual premiums in the aggregate in excess of 300% of the amount per annum RehabCare paid in its last full fiscal year.

Employee Benefits Matters

Kindred will, for the period commencing on the effective time and ending on December 31, 2011, provide each active employee of RehabCare who remains an active employee following the effective time with annual base salary, target annual cash bonus opportunities and employee benefits (excluding equity and equity-based compensation) which are no less favorable in the aggregate than the annual base salary, target annual cash bonus opportunities and employee benefits (excluding equity and equity-based compensation) provided by RehabCare immediately prior to the effective time. However, Kindred maintains the right to terminate the practice of granting cash loans to participants of the tax-qualified deferred compensation plan, and to amend the terms and conditions of any RehabCare employee benefit plan to comply with applicable law.

Kindred will, to the extent permitted by law, waive all pre-existing conditions, exclusions and waiting periods with respect to participation and coverage requirements for the former RehabCare employees under any employee benefit plan in which such employees will be eligible to participate following the effective time. Kindred will also credit the former RehabCare employees for their prior service with RehabCare under the new employee benefit plans in which the employees are eligible to participate. Credit will be given to the same extent such service was credited under the similar RehabCare employee benefit plans for purposes of eligibility to participate and vesting under those plans, but not for purposes of benefit accrual. No credit will be given to the extent it would result in the duplication of benefits for the same period of service.

Kindred also agrees to comply with the terms of the RehabCare Corporate Long Term Cash Incentive Plans for 2009 through 2011, 2010 through 2012 and 2011 through 2013.

Kindred's obligations with respect to those employee benefit matters are for the sole benefit of RehabCare, do not create any rights for any RehabCare employees nor to any continued employment and are not intended to constitute an amendment to any RehabCare employee plan.

Financing Matters

Kindred's obligation to consummate the merger is subject to its consummation on the terms and conditions set forth, and receipt of the proceeds from the debt financing described, in the debt commitment letter from the debt commitment parties.

The merger agreement provides that Kindred is obligated to use its reasonable best efforts to obtain the debt financing on the terms and conditions contemplated by the debt commitment letter, including by:

using its reasonable best efforts to negotiate and enter into definitive financing agreements on the terms and conditions contemplated in the debt commitment letter;

paying all fees when due under the debt commitment letter;

using reasonable best efforts to satisfy the conditions applicable to it in the debt commitment letter and the definitive financing agreements; and

enforcing its rights under the debt commitment letter.

Kindred also agreed to keep RehabCare reasonably informed with respect to all material developments concerning the financing and to provide prompt notice of specified events relating thereto.

Table of Contents

Subject to certain specified exceptions, RehabCare is obligated to provide, and to use its reasonable best efforts to cause its representatives to provide, all cooperation reasonably requested by Kindred in connection with the debt financing, including:

providing specified financial and other information relating to RehabCare, including financial information reasonably necessary for Kindred to produce pro forma financial statements and adjustments giving effect to the merger and related transactions;

participating in a reasonable number of meetings, presentations and due diligence sessions;

assisting in the preparation of documents and materials;

executing and delivering comfort letters, surveys, title insurance or other documents relating to the debt financing as may be reasonably requested by Kindred;

reasonably cooperating with Kindred's legal counsel in connection with legal opinions that may be required in connection with the debt financing;

using its reasonable best efforts to assist in the preparation of definitive financing agreements;

taking all actions necessary for RehabCare and its subsidiaries to become guarantors and pledgors under the definitive financing agreements;

using its reasonable best efforts to cooperate with the financing parties' due diligence investigation; and

providing customary know-your-customer information.

Kindred is obligated to reimburse RehabCare for reasonable out of pocket costs it incurs in connection with such cooperation.

RehabCare has agreed to use its reasonable best efforts to negotiate a payoff letter with respect to all obligations under its existing credit facility. RehabCare has also agreed to deliver such letter to Kindred no later than two business days prior to the closing date and to deliver all notices and take all other actions reasonably requested by Kindred to facilitate the termination of commitments and the repayment in full of all obligations under its existing credit facility.

Additional Agreements

The merger agreement contains additional agreements between Kindred and RehabCare relating to, among other things:

that RehabCare shall not settle any stockholder litigation relating to the merger agreement without Kindred's prior written consent (not to be unreasonably withheld, delayed or conditioned) and shall use reasonable best efforts to keep Kindred informed with respect to such litigation;

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

timely filing with the SEC of each form, report and other document required to be filed or furnished by each party under the Exchange Act;

notifying the other party of any event that would reasonably be expected to have a material adverse effect on such party or any breach by such party that could reasonably be expected to cause a closing condition to fail to be satisfied;

requiring the parties to consult with each other regarding public announcements; and

ensuring exemption of certain transactions by RehabCare directors and officers in connection with the merger under Rule 16b-3 of the Exchange Act.

Table of Contents

Conditions to Completion of the Merger

Conditions to Each Party's Obligations

The obligations of each of Kindred (and, in the event of a subsidiary merger election, merger subsidiary) and RehabCare to complete the merger are subject to the satisfaction (or, to the extent permissible, waiver) on or prior to the closing date of the merger of the following conditions:

the adoption of the merger agreement by the Kindred stockholders and by the RehabCare stockholders;

the absence of any order, injunction, decree or other legal restraint issued by any governmental authority, or other rule or regulation that is in effect and prevents or prohibits the consummation of the merger;

the expiration or termination of the waiting periods applicable to the consummation of the merger under the HSR Act (which waiting period was terminated on April 8, 2011) and any similar non-U.S. laws;

the authorization for listing on the NYSE, subject to official notice of issuance, of the shares of Kindred common stock to be issued in the merger; and

the effectiveness of the registration statement on Form S-4 of which this joint proxy statement/prospectus forms a part and absence of any stop order by the SEC or proceedings of the SEC seeking a stop order, suspending the effectiveness of such registration statement.

Conditions to Obligations of Kindred and Merger Subsidiary

The obligation of Kindred (and, in the event of a subsidiary merger election, merger subsidiary) to consummate the merger is further subject to satisfaction (or, to the extent permissible, waiver) of the following conditions:

the representations and warranties of RehabCare set forth in the merger agreement regarding the absence of a material adverse effect on RehabCare since December 31, 2009 must be true and correct in all respects both as of the date of the merger agreement and as of the effective time, as if made at and as of the effective time;

the following representations and warranties of RehabCare set forth in the merger agreement must be true and correct (disregarding any materiality, material adverse effect or similar qualifications) in all material respects both as of the date of the merger agreement and as of the effective time, as if made at and as of the effective time (except that any such representation and warranty that addresses matters as of a particular date need only be true and correct as of such date):

the due incorporation, valid existence and good standing of RehabCare;

the corporate power and authority to enter into the merger agreement and the approval of the merger agreement and the recommendation to adopt the merger agreement by RehabCare's board of directors;

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

that the affirmative vote of a majority of the outstanding shares of RehabCare common stock is the only vote necessary in connection with the consummation of the merger by RehabCare;

the capitalization of RehabCare;

inapplicability of takeover laws;

the expiration or revocation of all waivers of standstills that RehabCare granted on or before the execution of the merger agreement in connection with consideration of a possible acquisition proposal;

the receipt of opinions from RehabCare's financial advisors; and

the absence of brokers' or finders' fees;

all other representations and warranties of RehabCare set forth in the merger agreement must be true and correct (disregarding any materiality, material adverse effect or similar qualifications) both as of

Table of Contents

the date of the merger agreement and as of the effective time, as if made at and as of the effective time (except that any such representation and warranty that addresses matters as of a particular date need only be true and correct as of such date), except where the failure to be true and correct have not had and would not reasonably be expected to have, individually or in the aggregate, a material adverse effect on RehabCare;

RehabCare must have performed in all material respects all obligations required to be performed by it under the merger agreement at or prior to the effective time;

RehabCare must have delivered to Kindred a certificate signed on its behalf by an executive officer certifying as to the matters set forth above in the preceding bullets;

RehabCare must have obtained the regulatory consents and approvals described under *The Merger Regulatory Approvals Required for the Merger* beginning on page 83;

the absence of any pending proceeding by specified governmental authorities seeking to prevent or prohibit the merger or seeking to impose any undertaking, condition or consent decree to compel any divestiture or operational restriction that Kindred would not be obligated to agree to accept under the terms of the merger agreement described above under *Covenants and Agreements Reasonable Best Efforts; Covenants and Agreements* beginning on page 106; and

Kindred must have consummated the financing on the terms contemplated by the debt commitment letter.

Conditions to RehabCare's Obligations

The obligation of RehabCare to consummate the merger is further subject to satisfaction (or, to the extent permissible, waiver) of the following conditions:

the representations and warranties of Kindred set forth in the merger agreement regarding the absence of a material adverse effect on Kindred since December 31, 2009 must be true and correct in all respects both as of the date of the merger agreement and as of the effective time, as if made at and as of the effective time;

the following representations and warranties of Kindred set forth in the merger agreement must be true and correct (disregarding any materiality, material adverse effect or similar qualifications) in all material respects both as of the date of the merger agreement and as of the effective time, as if made at and as of the effective time (except that any such representation and warranty that addresses matters as of a particular date need only be true and correct as of such date):

the due incorporation, valid existence and good standing of Kindred;

the corporate power and authority to enter into the merger agreement and the approval of the merger agreement and the recommendation to adopt the merger agreement by Kindred's board of directors;

that the affirmative vote of a majority of the outstanding shares of Kindred common stock is the only vote necessary in connection with the consummation of the merger by Kindred; and

the capitalization of Kindred;

all other representations and warranties of Kindred set forth in the merger agreement must be true and correct (disregarding any materiality, material adverse effect or similar qualifications) both as of the date of the merger agreement and as of the effective time, as if made at and as of the effective time (except that any such representation and warranty that addresses matters as of a particular date need only be true and correct as of such date), except where the failure to be true and correct have not had and would not reasonably be expected to have, individually or in the aggregate, a material adverse effect on Kindred;

Table of Contents

Kindred must have performed in all material respects all obligations required to be performed by it under the merger agreement at or prior to the effective time; and

Kindred must have delivered to RehabCare a certificate signed on its behalf by an executive officer certifying as to the matters set forth above in the preceding bullets.

Termination of the Merger Agreement

The merger agreement may be terminated at any time before the effective time, whether or not both the Kindred stockholders and the RehabCare stockholders have adopted the merger agreement:

by mutual written agreement of Kindred and RehabCare;

by either Kindred or RehabCare if:

the merger has not been consummated on or before the outside date of September 30, 2011, unless the breach of the merger agreement by the party seeking to terminate resulted in the failure to consummate the merger by the outside date;

any applicable law, judgment or decree that makes consummation of the merger illegal or otherwise prohibited or permanently enjoins consummation of the merger and such enjoinder has become final and non-appealable, unless the breach of the merger agreement by the party seeking to terminate was the direct cause of such action;

the adoption of the merger agreement by the Kindred stockholders was not obtained at the Kindred stockholder meeting (or adjournment or postponement of the meeting); or

the adoption of the merger agreement by the RehabCare stockholders was not obtained at the RehabCare stockholder meeting (or adjournment or postponement of the meeting);

by Kindred if:

RehabCare breaches its representations or warranties or fails to perform any covenants set forth in the merger agreement, which breach or failure would cause the conditions to the closing relating to the accuracy of the representations and warranties of RehabCare or compliance by RehabCare with its obligations under the merger agreement not to be satisfied and such breach, if curable, is not cured by the earlier of the outside date or 30 days after the receipt of written notice thereof or is incapable of being cured, provided that, at the time of the delivery of written notice of breach, Kindred is not in material breach of its obligations under the merger agreement;

the RehabCare board of directors has effected a RehabCare board adverse recommendation change;

RehabCare intentionally and knowingly breaches in any material respect its non-solicitation obligations;

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

a third party commences a tender or exchange offer relating to RehabCare securities, and RehabCare does not disclose a recommendation that its stockholders reject such tender or exchange offer within 10 business days after such offer is first made; or

the RehabCare board of directors fails to publicly confirm its recommendation within 10 business days of a written request by Kindred that it do so, provided that Kindred shall not make such a request more frequently than once in any 30 calendar day period;

by RehabCare if:

Kindred breaches its representations or warranties or fails to perform any covenants set forth in the merger agreement, which breach or failure would cause the conditions to the closing relating to the accuracy of the representations and warranties of Kindred or compliance by Kindred with its obligations under the merger agreement not to be satisfied and such breach, if curable, is not

Table of Contents

cured by the earlier of the outside date or 30 days after the receipt of written notice thereof or is incapable of being cured, provided that, at the time of the delivery of written notice of breach, Kindred is not in material breach of its obligations under the merger agreement;

the Kindred board of directors has effected a Kindred board adverse recommendation change;

Kindred intentionally and knowingly breaches in any material respect any of its obligations related to the Kindred board recommendation;

the Kindred board of directors fails to publicly confirm its recommendation within 10 business days of a written request by RehabCare that it do so, provided that RehabCare shall not make such a request more frequently than once in any 30 calendar day period;

at any time prior to the adoption of the merger agreement by RehabCare stockholders, RehabCare enters into an alternative acquisition agreement with respect to a superior proposal, provided that (1) RehabCare did not violate its non-solicitation obligations under the merger agreement, (2) after receipt of the superior proposal, the RehabCare board of directors determines in good faith, after consultation with outside legal counsel, that failure to effect a RehabCare board adverse recommendation change would reasonably be expected to be inconsistent with its fiduciary duties under applicable law, and the RehabCare board of directors approves or recommends to RehabCare stockholders the superior proposal, (3) RehabCare provides Kindred with a written notice that it intends to change its recommendation, (4) RehabCare thereafter satisfies its obligations to negotiate with Kindred in good faith, during a three-day period following the written notice, to make adjustments to the terms and conditions of the merger agreement, (5) the RehabCare board of directors determines in good faith, after consultation with outside counsel, after such three business day period if Kindred makes a binding proposal to amend the merger agreement, that failure to effect a RehabCare board adverse recommendation change would reasonably be expected to be inconsistent with its fiduciary duties under applicable law, and (6) RehabCare, concurrently with the termination of the merger agreement, pays to Kindred the termination fee discussed under Termination Fees and Expenses beginning on page 114; or

(1) all conditions to the obligation of both Kindred and RehabCare and of Kindred to effect the merger have been satisfied (other than the conditions relating to the authorization of Kindred common stock for listing on the NYSE, the delivery of a certificate signed by an executive officer of RehabCare and the consummation of the financing contemplated by the debt commitment letter) and assuming for such purposes that the effective time shall be deemed to be the time of delivery of RehabCare's notice set forth below and the time RehabCare terminates the merger agreement, (2) the marketing period provided for with respect to the financing to be obtained by Kindred has elapsed, (3) Kindred (and in the event of a merger subsidiary election, merger subsidiary) fails to complete the closing during the period (which may not be less than three business days, commencing with the first business day) following the date on which RehabCare has given written notice to Kindred that it believes the conditions to the merger (other than conditions that by their nature are to be satisfied at the closing) have been satisfied or waived, and (4) RehabCare stands ready, willing and able to consummate the closing following delivery of such notice.

The merger agreement provides that the marketing period is the first period of thirty-five consecutive calendar days after the signing of the merger agreement beginning on the first day on which:

Kindred has received certain required information about RehabCare from RehabCare and such required information is compliant (as defined below);

the closing conditions relating to the absence of governmental orders or pending governmental proceedings, the expiration of applicable waiting periods and receipt of specified consents and approvals have been satisfied and would continue to be satisfied

assuming the closing were to be scheduled for any time during such thirty-five consecutive calendar day period;

Table of Contents

there shall have been no changes, effects, developments or events that, individually or in the aggregate, have had or would reasonably be expected to have a material adverse effect on RehabCare; and

the registration statement of which this joint proxy statement/ prospectus forms a part of shall have been filed with the SEC; provided (w) such period shall end no earlier than five business days after the first date that each of the Kindred stockholders and RehabCare stockholders shall have adopted the merger agreement, (x) that such period shall not include any period that includes the period from and including August 22, 2011 through and including September 6, 2011, (y) if such period either (1) begins prior to May 28, 2011 and ends after May 30, 2011 or (2) begins prior to July 2, 2011 and ends after July 5, 2011, such thirty-five consecutive calendar day period shall be extended by three calendar days and (z) that such period shall end on any earlier date on which the financing is consummated. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the marketing period shall not commence and shall be deemed not to have commenced if, on or prior to the completion of such thirty-five consecutive calendar day period, (x) RehabCare shall have publicly announced any intention to restate any material financial information included in the required information or that any such restatement is under consideration, in which case the marketing period shall not commence unless and until such restatement has been completed and the applicable required information has been amended or RehabCare has announced that it has concluded that no restatement shall be required, and the requirements in the first two bullets above would be satisfied on the first day, throughout and on the last day of such new thirty-five consecutive calendar day period or (y) the required information would not be compliant at any time during such thirty-five consecutive calendar day period, in which case a new thirty-five consecutive calendar day period shall commence upon Kindred and the debt commitment parties receiving updated required information that would be compliant, and the requirements in the first two bullets above would be satisfied on the first day, throughout and on the last day of such new thirty-five consecutive calendar day period.

For purposes of the merger agreement, **compliant** means, with respect to the required information, that:

that such required information, taken as a whole, does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state any material fact necessary in order to make such required information, in light of the circumstances under which it was made, not misleading;

such required information is, and remains throughout the marketing period, compliant in all material respects with all applicable requirements of Regulation S-K and Regulation S-X under the Securities Act (excluding information required by Regulation S-X Rule 3-10 and Regulation S-X Rule 3-16);

RehabCare's auditors have not withdrawn any audit opinion with respect to any financial statements contained in the required information;

RehabCare's auditors have delivered drafts of customary comfort letters and confirmed they are prepared to issue any such comfort letter upon pricing throughout the marketing period; and

the financial statements and other financial information (excluding information required by Regulation S-X Rule 3-10 and Regulation S-X Rule 3-16) included in such required information are, and remain throughout the marketing period, sufficient to permit (A) a registration statement on Form S-3 using such financial statements and financial information to be declared effective by the SEC throughout the marketing period or, if applicable, the relevant debt securities to be offered in a Rule 144A offering and (B) the debt commitment parties to receive customary comfort letters.

Termination Fees and Expenses

RehabCare has agreed to pay Kindred a termination fee of \$26 million in the following circumstances:

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

upon termination of the merger agreement by Kindred if:

the RehabCare board of directors has effected a RehabCare board adverse recommendation change;

Table of Contents

RehabCare intentionally and knowingly breaches in any material respect its non-solicitation obligations;

a third party commences a tender or exchange offer relating to RehabCare securities, and RehabCare does not disclose a recommendation that its stockholders reject such tender or exchange offer within 10 business days after such offer is first made; or

the RehabCare board of directors fails to confirm the RehabCare board recommendation within 10 business days of a valid request by Kindred that it do so;

upon termination by RehabCare to enter into an alternative acquisition agreement with respect to a superior proposal; or

upon termination by either party if (1) the merger has not been consummated on or before the outside date, (2) the RehabCare stockholders fail to adopt the merger agreement at the RehabCare special meeting, or (3) RehabCare breaches its representations, warranties or covenants set forth in the merger agreement, which breach would result in the failure of certain of the conditions to the completion of the merger being satisfied and such breach is not cured by the earlier of the outside date or 30 days after the receipt of written notice thereof or is incapable of being cured, provided that (i) prior to such termination, an acquisition proposal shall have been made to the RehabCare stockholders generally, publicly announced or, in the case of clauses (1) and (3), proposed to the RehabCare board of directors, and (ii) within twelve months following the date of termination, RehabCare shall have entered into a definitive agreement with respect to, or consummated an acquisition proposal including at least 50% of the assets, business or equity of RehabCare and its subsidiaries.

A termination fee of \$62 million would be payable by Kindred to RehabCare upon termination by RehabCare in the following circumstances:

the Kindred board of directors has effected a Kindred board adverse recommendation change;

Kindred intentionally and knowingly breaches in any material respect any of its obligations related to the Kindred board recommendation;

the Kindred board of directors fails to publicly confirm its recommendation within 10 business days of a valid request by RehabCare that it do so; or

(1) all conditions to the obligation of both Kindred and RehabCare and of Kindred to effect the merger have been satisfied (other than the conditions relating to the authorization of Kindred common stock for listing on the NYSE, the delivery of a certificate signed by an executive officer of RehabCare and the consummation of the financing contemplated by the debt commitment letter) and assuming for such purposes that the effective time shall be deemed to be the time of delivery of RehabCare's notice set forth below and the time RehabCare terminates the merger agreement, (2) the marketing period provided for with respect to the financing to be obtained by Kindred has elapsed, (3) Kindred (and in the event of a merger subsidiary election, the merger subsidiary) fails to complete the closing during the period (which may not be less than three business days, commencing with the first business day) following the date on which RehabCare has given written notice to Kindred that it believes the conditions to the merger (other than conditions that by their nature are to be satisfied at the closing) have been satisfied or waived, and (4) RehabCare stands ready, willing and able to consummate the closing following delivery of such notice.

In the event a termination fee becomes payable and is paid, the party that pays such fee will have no further liability or obligation to the other party in connection with the transaction contemplated by the merger agreement.

Table of Contents

Expenses

In general, each of Kindred and RehabCare will bear its own expenses in connection with the merger agreement and the related transactions.

Specific Performance; Damages

Kindred and RehabCare agreed that, prior to the termination of the merger agreement, the parties shall be entitled to an injunction to prevent breaches of the merger agreement or to enforce specifically the performance of the merger agreement, including to cause Kindred to enforce the terms of the debt commitment letter if all conditions to the merger (other than the financing condition and those conditions that by their nature are to be satisfied at closing) and the debt financing have been satisfied and, if all conditions to the merger (other than those conditions that by their nature are to be satisfied at closing) have been satisfied, to cause Kindred to consummate the merger. Subject to the termination fee provisions, Kindred and RehabCare also agreed that damages of a party shall not be limited to reimbursement of expenses or out-of-pocket costs, and may include to the extent proven the benefit of the bargain lost by a party's stockholders.

Amendments, Extensions and Waivers

Amendments

The merger agreement may be amended by the parties at any time prior to the effective time by an instrument in writing signed on behalf of each of the parties. However, after the adoption of the merger agreement at the Kindred annual meeting or the adoption of the merger agreement at the RehabCare special meeting, there will be no amendment to the merger agreement that would require further approval of the stockholders of Kindred or stockholders of RehabCare, as the case may be, without such further approval.

Extensions and Waivers

The failure of any party to the merger agreement to assert any of its rights under the merger agreement or otherwise will not constitute a waiver of those rights.

Table of Contents

THE KINDRED ANNUAL MEETING

Overview

This joint proxy statement/prospectus is being provided to Kindred stockholders as part of a solicitation of proxies by the Kindred board of directors for use at the annual meeting of Kindred stockholders and at any adjournments or postponements thereof. This joint proxy statement/prospectus is first being furnished to stockholders of Kindred on or about April 28, 2011. In addition, this joint proxy statement/prospectus constitutes a prospectus for Kindred in connection with the issuance by Kindred of its common stock in connection with the merger. This joint proxy statement/prospectus provides Kindred stockholders with information they need to know to be able to vote or instruct their vote to be cast at the annual meeting of Kindred stockholders.

Date, Time and Place of the Kindred Annual Meeting

The annual meeting of Kindred stockholders will be held at the offices of Kindred Healthcare, Inc., located at 680 South Fourth Street, Louisville, Kentucky 40202, on May 26, 2011, at 10:00 a.m., local time.

Purposes of the Kindred Annual Meeting

At the Kindred annual meeting, Kindred stockholders will be asked:

to adopt the merger agreement;

to elect the director nominees named in this joint proxy statement/prospectus;

to ratify the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as Kindred's independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal year 2011;

to hold an advisory vote on Kindred's executive compensation program;

to hold an advisory vote on the frequency of stockholder advisory votes on Kindred's executive compensation program;

to approve the Kindred 2011 Stock Incentive Plan;

to approve adjournments or postponements of the Kindred annual meeting, if necessary or appropriate, to permit further solicitation of proxies if there are not sufficient votes at the time of the Kindred annual meeting to adopt the merger agreement; and

to transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting.

Record Date; Outstanding Shares; Shares Entitled to Vote

The record date for the annual meeting of Kindred stockholders is April 26, 2011. This means that you must be a stockholder of record of Kindred common stock at the close of business on April 26, 2011, in order to vote at the Kindred annual meeting. You are entitled to one vote for each share of Kindred common stock you own. At the close of business on April 25, 2011, there were 39,952,468 shares of Kindred common stock outstanding and entitled to vote, held by approximately 472 holders of record.

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

A complete list of Kindred stockholders entitled to vote at the Kindred annual meeting will be available for inspection at the principal place of business of Kindred during regular business hours for a period of no less than ten days before the annual meeting and at the place of the Kindred annual meeting during the meeting.

Quorum and Vote Required

A quorum of stockholders is necessary to hold a valid annual meeting of Kindred. The required quorum for the transaction of business at the Kindred annual meeting is a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of Kindred common stock entitled to vote at the Kindred annual meeting, whether in person or by proxy. Shares of

Table of Contents

Kindred common stock represented at the annual meeting but not voted, including abstentions and broker non-votes, will be counted for purposes of determining whether a quorum is present at the Kindred annual meeting.

Under rules of the NYSE, matters subject to stockholder vote are classified as routine or non-routine. In the case of non-routine matters, brokers may not vote shares held in street name for which they have not received instructions from the beneficial owner (which are referred to as broker non-votes), whereas they may vote those shares in their discretion in the case of any routine matter. The ratification of the appointment of the independent registered public accounting firm (proposal 3) is a routine matter. All other matters, including the approval and adoption of the merger agreement and the election of directors are non-routine matters, and therefore may not be voted absent specific instructions from the beneficial owner of such shares of Kindred common stock. Abstentions will be counted as shares present and entitled to vote on all routine and non-routine proposals.

Adoption of the merger agreement requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the outstanding shares of Kindred common stock entitled to vote. The required vote of Kindred stockholders on the merger agreement is based upon the number of outstanding shares of Kindred common stock as of the record date, and not the number of shares that are actually voted. **Accordingly, the failure to submit a proxy card or to vote in person at the Kindred annual meeting or the abstention from voting by Kindred stockholders, or the failure of any Kindred stockholder who holds shares in street name through a bank or broker to give voting instructions to such bank or broker, will have the same effect as a vote AGAINST the adoption of the merger agreement.**

Kindred's bylaws provide for majority voting for directors in uncontested elections. This means that each director-nominee listed in this joint proxy statement/prospectus will be elected if the votes cast for such nominee's election exceed the votes cast against such nominee's election (proposal 2). As set forth in Kindred's Corporate Governance Guidelines, Kindred's board of directors expects a director to tender his or her resignation for consideration by the Kindred board of directors if he or she fails to receive the requisite number of votes for re-election.

The affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of Kindred common stock present in person or represented by proxy at Kindred's annual meeting and entitled to vote will be necessary to ratify the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as Kindred's independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal year 2011 (proposal 3), to approve Kindred's executive compensation program (proposal 4), to approve the Kindred 2011 Stock Incentive Plan (proposal 6), and to approve any other matters that may properly come before the Kindred annual meeting for stockholder consideration.

With respect to proposal 5 the advisory vote on the frequency of stockholder advisory votes on Kindred's executive compensation program the choice receiving the most **FOR** votes will be the frequency that has been selected by stockholders.

Abstentions with respect to the election of directors and the vote on the frequency of the advisory vote on Kindred's executive compensation program will have no effect on the outcome of the vote. Abstentions with respect to each of the other proposals will have the same effect as an **AGAINST** vote.

Broker non-votes will not be counted for purposes of determining the number of votes present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote with respect to a particular proposal. Proposals 1, 2, 4, 5, 6 and 7 are non-routine matters, but the ratification of the appointment of the independent registered public accounting firm is a routine matter.

Votes cast by proxy or in person at the annual meeting will be tabulated by the inspectors of election appointed for the annual meeting, who also will determine whether a quorum is present.

Table of Contents

Voting of Proxies

Shares of Kindred common stock represented by duly executed and unrevoked proxies in the form of the enclosed proxy card received by the Corporate Secretary of Kindred will be voted at the annual meeting in accordance with specifications made therein by the Kindred stockholders, unless authority to do so is withheld. If no specification is made, shares represented by duly executed and unrevoked proxies in the form of the enclosed proxy card will be voted **FOR** proposals 1, 2, 3, 4, 6 and 7 and one year on the proposal regarding the advisory vote on the frequency of advisory votes to approve Kindred's executive compensation program. If your shares of Kindred common stock are held in street name by your bank, brokerage firm or other nominee, you should instruct your bank, brokerage firm or other nominee on how to vote your shares of Kindred common stock using the instructions provided by your bank, brokerage firm or other nominee.

ITEM 1 PROPOSAL TO ADOPT THE MERGER AGREEMENT

As discussed elsewhere in this joint proxy statement/prospectus, Kindred stockholders are considering and voting on a proposal to adopt the merger agreement. You should carefully read this joint proxy statement/prospectus in its entirety for more detailed information concerning the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, including the merger. In particular, you are directed to the merger agreement, which is attached as Annex A to this joint proxy statement/prospectus.

The Kindred board of directors unanimously recommends that Kindred stockholders vote FOR the adoption of the merger agreement, and your properly completed, signed and dated proxy will be so voted unless you specify otherwise.

ITEM 2 PROPOSAL TO ELECT DIRECTORS

The Kindred board of directors currently consists of ten persons. The Kindred board of directors has nominated the ten persons listed below to be elected as directors at the Kindred annual meeting. Each director elected at the Kindred annual meeting will serve, subject to the provisions of Kindred's bylaws, until the next annual meeting of stockholders or until his or her successor is duly elected and qualified. The names and ages of the nominees proposed for election as directors, all of whom are presently directors of Kindred, together with certain information concerning the nominees, are set forth below.

The Kindred board of directors unanimously recommends that Kindred stockholders vote FOR the election of the directors listed below, and your properly completed, signed and dated proxy will be so voted unless you specify otherwise.

Nominees for Director

EDWARD L. KUNTZ (65) has served as chairman of the Kindred board of directors since May 2009. He served as the executive chairman of the Kindred board of directors from January 2004 to May 2009 and as chairman of the Kindred board of directors and chief executive officer from January 1999 to December 31, 2003. He served as president of Kindred from November 1998 until January 2002. He also served as chief operating officer and a director of Kindred from November 1998 to January 1999. Mr. Kuntz is a director of Rotech Healthcare, Inc. (OTC BB: ROHI), one of the largest providers of home medical equipment and related products and services in the U.S., where he chairs the executive compensation committee and serves as a member of its audit and nominating and corporate governance committees. Mr. Kuntz served as a director of PharMerica Corporation (NYSE: PMC), a leading institutional pharmacy services company serving healthcare facilities in the U.S., from July 2007 to July 2008. Mr. Kuntz has served as chief executive officer of two publicly traded post-acute healthcare companies. The Kindred board of directors believes that his extensive experience with post-acute care, as well as his familiarity and length of service with Kindred, position him well to serve as chairman of the Kindred board of directors. (1)

Table of Contents

JOEL ACKERMAN (45) has served as a director of Kindred since December 2008. Mr. Ackerman serves as chief executive officer and a director of Champions Biotechnology, Inc. (OTC BB: CSBR), a company engaged in the development of advanced preclinical platforms and predictive tumor specific data to enhance and accelerate the value of oncology drugs, since October 26, 2010. Previously, Mr. Ackerman was a Senior Portfolio Fellow with the Acumen Fund, a non-profit global venture fund that uses entrepreneurial approaches to solve the problems of poverty, from November 2009 to July 2010, and Managing Director and head of the Health Services Group at Warburg Pincus, a global private equity firm, from January 1998 to September 2008. Mr. Ackerman is a director of Coventry Health Care, Inc. (NYSE: CVH), a national managed healthcare company, where he chairs the nominating and corporate governance committee, and Champions Biotechnology, Inc. In his role with Warburg Pincus, Mr. Ackerman gained extensive experience with strategic planning, mergers and acquisitions and capital markets in the healthcare services sector. While at Warburg Pincus, he served as an advisor to senior executives of more than 15 healthcare services companies and reviewed over 500 healthcare services opportunities. The Kindred board of directors believes that his experience at Warburg Pincus and his service on the boards of other healthcare related companies serve him well in advising Kindred on strategic matters. (1)(2)(3)

ANN C. BERZIN (58) has served as a director of Kindred since November 2006. Ms. Berzin is a private investor who previously served as chair and chief executive officer of Financial Guaranty Insurance Company, then a subsidiary of General Electric Capital Corporation, from 1992 to 2001. Ms. Berzin is a director and member of the audit and finance committees of Ingersoll-Rand plc (NYSE: IR), a world leader in creating and sustaining safe, comfortable and efficient environments in commercial, residential and industrial markets. Ms. Berzin is also a director and member of the audit committee of Constellation Energy Group, Inc. (NYSE: CEG), a leading supplier of energy products and services to wholesale and retail electric and natural gas customers in the U.S. Ms. Berzin's role as chief executive officer with Financial Guaranty Insurance Company provided her with valuable experience in operating large organizations as well as successfully implementing growth strategies. The Kindred board of directors believes that this experience, along with her service on the boards of other large, publicly held corporations, allows her to provide valuable insights on operations, corporate strategy and best management practices. (2)(3)

JONATHAN D. BLUM (52) has served as a director of Kindred since December 2008. Mr. Blum has served as the senior vice president Public Affairs for YUM! Brands, Inc. (NYSE: YUM), the world's largest restaurant company and ranked number 216 in the Fortune 500, since 1997. Mr. Blum has gained extensive experience in government and public affairs, corporate brand development and management and corporate communications. The Kindred board of directors believes that as a result of his role at YUM! Brands, Mr. Blum provides valuable insights into public relations matters, corporate compliance and best management practices of multi-site operators with large employee-based operations. (4)(5)

THOMAS P. COOPER, M.D. (66) has served as a director of Kindred since May 2003. Dr. Cooper is the founder and chairman of Vericare Management, Inc., a provider of mental health services to patients in long-term care facilities, since 1991. Dr. Cooper is a director of Hanger Orthopedic Group, Inc. (NYSE: HGR), the world's premier provider of orthotic and prosthetic patient care services, where he serves as the lead independent director and chairs the corporate governance and nominating committee, as well as a member of the executive compensation committee. Dr. Cooper is also a director of IPC The Hospitalist Company, Inc. (NASDAQ: IPCM), a leading provider of hospitalist services in the U.S., where he chairs the quality committee and serves on the executive compensation committee. Dr. Cooper has substantial experience in healthcare from his roles as a practicing physician as well as an entrepreneur in several healthcare ventures. He has held senior management positions in companies that provide mental health services, nurse triage services and physician services. The Kindred board of directors believes that Dr. Cooper brings a unique perspective on physician matters, quality of care issues and the business of healthcare. (1)(4)(5)

PAUL J. DIAZ (49) has served as a director of Kindred since May 2002, as chief executive officer of Kindred since January 1, 2004 and as president of Kindred since January 2002. He served as the chief operating

Table of Contents

officer of Kindred from January 2002 to December 31, 2003. Mr. Diaz is a director of Davita, Inc. (NYSE: DVA), a leading provider of kidney care in the U.S., where he serves on the nominating and governance and public policy committees. Mr. Diaz served as a director of PharMerica Corporation (NYSE: PMC), a leading institutional pharmacy services company serving healthcare facilities in the U.S., from July 2007 to July 2008. Mr. Diaz has served in various executive capacities with other long-term healthcare providers in operational, financial and legal positions.

The Kindred board of directors believes that as the sole management representative on the Kindred board of directors, Mr. Diaz provides a unique perspective regarding the business and strategic direction of Kindred and has experience in all aspects of Kindred's businesses. (1)

ISAAC KAUFMAN (63) has served as a director of Kindred since April 2001. Since September 1998, Mr. Kaufman has served as the senior vice president and chief financial officer of Advanced Medical Management Inc., a manager of medical practices and an outpatient surgical center. Mr. Kaufman is a director of TransWorld Entertainment Corporation (NASDAQ: TWMC), a leading specialty retailer of entertainment software, including music, video and video games and related products, where he chairs the audit committee and serves on the compensation committee and the nominating and governance committee. Mr. Kaufman is also a director of Hanger Orthopedic Group, Inc. (NYSE: HGR), the world's premier provider of orthotic and prosthetic patient care services, where he chairs the audit committee and serves on the corporate governance and nominating committee. Mr. Kaufman has served in senior financial roles with healthcare companies and is a certified public accountant. The Kindred board of directors believes that in these roles, he has gained significant experience in financial and accounting matters, Sarbanes-Oxley compliance as well as corporate governance issues. He also has substantial experience with over 40 years of cumulative service on public company boards and currently chairs the two aforementioned audit committees. (2)(3)

FREDERICK J. KLEISNER (66) has served as a director of Kindred since March 2009. Mr. Kleisner served as president and chief executive officer of Morgans Hotel Group Co. (NASDAQ: MHGC), a hospitality company that owns, operates, acquires, develops and redevelops boutique hotels in the U.S. and Europe, from September 2007 to March 2011, and as a director from February 2006 to March 2011. Since April 2008, Mr. Kleisner has served as a director and Trustee of Innkeepers USA Trust (INKPP.PK). Since October 2007, Mr. Kleisner has served as president and a director of Hard Rock Hotel Holdings, LLC, a destination casino and resort company. From January 2006 to September 2007, Mr. Kleisner served as the chairman, president and chief executive officer of Rex Advisors, LLC, a hotel advisory firm. From March 2000 to January 2006, Mr. Kleisner was the chairman of the board of directors, president and chief executive officer of Wyndham International, Inc., a global hospitality company that operates and develops hotels and vacation ownership resorts. Mr. Kleisner has substantial management experience in operating multi-site locations in the hospitality industry. The Kindred board of directors believes that his current chief executive officer position along with his experience at other hotel operators has provided him with strong operating, market repositioning and financial management experience. (2)(5)

EDDY J. ROGERS, JR. (70) has served as a director of Kindred since August 2003. Mr. Rogers has been a partner with the law firm of Andrews Kurth LLP since October 2001. During his over 40 years of legal practice, Mr. Rogers has focused his practice on venture capital, mergers and acquisitions, executive compensation, restructurings and general corporate matters, and has represented several clients in the healthcare industry. In addition, Mr. Rogers has previously served on the board of directors of other healthcare companies. The Kindred board of directors believes that his legal and business background permits him to provide perspectives on Kindred's compliance profile and important elements of Kindred's strategy, notably acquisitions. (3)(4)

PHYLLIS R. YALE (53) has served as a director of Kindred since January 1, 2010. Ms. Yale has been a partner with Bain & Company Inc., a global management consulting firm, since 1987. Ms. Yale served as a director of Pediatric Services of America, Inc., a comprehensive pediatric home healthcare services provider, from February 2006 to April 2007, and as a director of NeighborCare, Inc., a national institutional pharmacy provider, from October 2003 to July 2005. In her role at Bain, Ms. Yale has obtained a deep knowledge base in several segments of the healthcare industry. The Kindred board of directors believes that her experience includes advising healthcare clients on corporate strategies, marketing, cost and quality management as well as mergers and acquisitions. (1)(4)(5)

Table of Contents

- (1) Member of the Kindred strategic development committee, of which Mr. Diaz is Chair.
- (2) Member of the Kindred audit committee, of which Mr. Kaufman is Chair.
- (3) Member of the Kindred nominating and governance committee, of which Ms. Berzin is Chair.
- (4) Member of the Kindred executive compensation committee, of which Mr. Rogers is Chair.
- (5) Member of the Kindred compliance and quality committee, of which Dr. Cooper is Chair.

As a result of decreased Medicare and Medicaid reimbursement rates introduced by the Balanced Budget Act of 1997 and other issues, Kindred was unable to meet its then existing financial obligations, including rent payable to Kindred's largest landlord, Ventas, Inc., and debt service obligations under its then existing indebtedness. Accordingly, on September 13, 1999, Kindred filed voluntary petitions for protection under the federal Bankruptcy Code. On March 1, 2001, the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware approved Kindred's Fourth Amended Joint Plan of Reorganization. From the date of the bankruptcy filing until it emerged from bankruptcy on April 20, 2001, Kindred operated its businesses as a debtor-in-possession subject to the jurisdiction of the Bankruptcy Court. Mr. Kuntz served as chairman of the Kindred board of directors, president and chief executive officer at the time of Kindred's bankruptcy filing.

The information contained in this joint proxy statement/prospectus concerning the nominees is based upon statements made or confirmed to Kindred by or on behalf of such nominees, except to the extent certain information appears in its records. Directors' ages are given as of January 1, 2011.

SHARES OF KINDRED COMMON STOCK REPRESENTED BY PROXIES DULY EXECUTED AND RETURNED WILL BE VOTED FOR THE ELECTION AS DIRECTORS OF ALL OF THE NOMINEES, UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED. The Kindred board of directors does not contemplate that any of the nominees will be unable to serve as a director. However, in the event that one or more nominees are unable or unwilling to accept or are unavailable to serve, the persons named in the proxies or their substitutes will have the authority, according to their judgment, to vote or refrain from voting for other individuals as directors.

ITEM 3 PROPOSAL TO RATIFY THE APPOINTMENT OF PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LLP AS KINDRED'S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR FISCAL YEAR 2011

The firm of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP has been retained as Kindred's independent registered public accounting firm to audit the consolidated financial statements of Kindred for the 2011 fiscal year and to audit Kindred's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011.

Although Kindred's bylaws do not require that Kindred stockholders ratify the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as Kindred's independent registered public accounting firm, the Kindred board of directors is submitting the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP to Kindred stockholders for ratification as a matter of good corporate governance. If the stockholders fail to ratify the selection, the Kindred audit committee will reconsider whether or not to retain PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP.

Representatives of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP will be present at the Kindred annual meeting and will be afforded the opportunity to make a statement if they desire to do so and to respond to appropriate questions.

The Kindred board of directors unanimously recommends that Kindred stockholders vote FOR the proposal to ratify the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers as Kindred's independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal year 2011, and your properly completed, signed and dated proxy will be so voted unless you specify otherwise.

Table of Contents

Fees Paid to PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

The following information presents the fees for services rendered by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009. The audit committee of the Kindred board of directors approved all of the services related to the fees set forth below.

Audit Fees

The aggregate fees billed by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP for professional services rendered for each of the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 for the audits of the consolidated financial statements of Kindred, audits of Kindred's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, review of interim financial statements included in Kindred's Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and for services that are normally provided by the independent registered public accounting firm in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements for each of the referenced years were \$2,439,830 and \$2,786,611, respectively.

Audit-Related Fees

The aggregate fees billed by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP for each of the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of Kindred's financial statements and are not included in the audit fees listed above were \$292,436 and \$94,100, respectively. For 2010, these fees were primarily related to audits and related services in connection with an acquisition during such year and employee benefit plan audits. For 2009, these fees were primarily related to employee benefit plan audits and attestation services for Kindred's investment portfolio.

Tax Fees

There were no fees billed to Kindred by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP for each of the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 for tax compliance, tax advice or tax planning services.

All Other Fees

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP billed Kindred \$1,500 in each of the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 for the license of research software used by Kindred. Such fees are not otherwise included in the sections captioned *Audit Fees*, *Audit-Related Fees* or *Tax Fees* set forth above.

The Kindred audit committee has considered whether the provision of non-audit services is compatible with maintaining the registered public accounting firm's independence.

Policy on Audit Committee Pre-Approval of Audit and Permissible Non-Audit Services of the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Kindred audit committee is responsible for appointing, evaluating and replacing the independent registered public accounting firm, setting compensation and overseeing the services rendered by the independent registered public accounting firm. The Kindred audit committee has established a policy requiring pre-approval of all audit engagement fees and terms and all permissible non-audit engagements with the independent registered public accounting firm. Such services may be approved at a meeting of the Kindred audit committee or the Kindred audit committee may delegate to one or more of its members the pre-approval of audit engagements and permissible non-audit services provided that any pre-approval by such member or members shall be presented to the Kindred audit committee at each of its scheduled meetings. All of the services described in the sections captioned *Audit Fees*, *Audit-Related Fees*, *Tax Fees*, and *All Other Fees* were approved by the Kindred audit committee in accordance with this policy.

ITEM 4 ADVISORY VOTE ON KINDRED'S EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION PROGRAM

The recently enacted Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 and Section 14A of the Exchange Act entitle Kindred stockholders to vote to approve, on an advisory (nonbinding)

Table of Contents

basis, the compensation of Kindred's (1) chief executive officer, (2) chief financial officer, and (3) three other most highly compensated executive officers during 2010, as well as one additional individual Mr. Battafarano who would have been among the three other most highly compensated executive officers but for the fact that he was not serving as an executive officer of Kindred at the end of 2010 (which are collectively referred to as the named executive officers), as disclosed in this joint proxy statement/prospectus pursuant to the SEC's rules.

As described in detail in this joint proxy statement/prospectus under the heading "Kindred Executive Compensation Compensation Discussion and Analysis" beginning on page 148, Kindred's executive compensation programs are designed to attract, motivate, and retain Kindred's executive officers, who are critical to Kindred's success. Under these programs, Kindred's executive officers are rewarded for the achievement of specific annual, long-term and strategic goals, corporate goals, and the realization of increased stockholder value. Please see "Kindred Executive Compensation Compensation Discussion and Analysis" beginning on page 148 for additional details about Kindred's executive compensation program, including information about the fiscal year 2010 compensation of Kindred's named executive officers.

The Kindred executive compensation committee continually reviews the compensation program for Kindred's executive officers to ensure they achieve the desired goals of aligning Kindred's executive compensation structure with its stockholders' interests and current market practices. Kindred also has several governance policies in place to align executive compensation with stockholder interests and mitigate risks in its compensation plans, including stock ownership guidelines, limited perquisites, and a prohibition against hedging.

Kindred is asking its stockholders to indicate their support for the named executive officer compensation as disclosed in this joint proxy statement/prospectus. This proposal, commonly known as a "say-on-pay" proposal, gives Kindred stockholders the opportunity to express their views on Kindred's executive compensation program. This vote is not intended to address any specific item of compensation, but rather the overall compensation of Kindred's named executive officers and the philosophy, policies and practices described in this joint proxy statement/prospectus. Accordingly, Kindred will ask its stockholders to vote **FOR** the following resolution at the Kindred annual meeting:

RESOLVED, that the compensation paid to Kindred's named executive officers, as disclosed in Kindred's Registration Statement on Form S-4 for the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, compensation tables and narrative discussion, is hereby APPROVED.

The say-on-pay vote is advisory, and therefore not binding on Kindred, the Kindred executive compensation committee or the Kindred board of directors. The Kindred board of directors and the Kindred executive compensation committee value the opinions of Kindred stockholders and to the extent there is any significant vote against the named executive officer compensation as disclosed in this joint proxy statement/prospectus, Kindred will consider its stockholders' concerns and the Kindred executive compensation committee will evaluate whether any actions are necessary to address those concerns.

The Kindred board of directors unanimously recommends that Kindred stockholders vote FOR the approval, on an advisory basis, of Kindred's executive compensation program, and your properly completed, signed and dated proxy will be so voted unless you specify otherwise.

ITEM 5 ADVISORY VOTE ON THE FREQUENCY OF STOCKHOLDER ADVISORY VOTES ON KINDRED'S EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION PROGRAM

This item affords Kindred stockholders the opportunity to cast an advisory vote on how often Kindred should include an advisory vote on executive compensation in its annual proxy statement. By voting on this proposal 5, Kindred stockholders may indicate whether they would prefer to have an advisory vote on executive compensation every year, every two years or every three years.

Table of Contents

After careful consideration of this proposal, the Kindred board of directors has determined that an advisory vote on executive compensation that occurs every year is the most appropriate alternative for Kindred, and therefore the Kindred board of directors recommends that Kindred stockholders vote for the choice of every year for the advisory vote on executive compensation. Kindred stockholders are not, however, voting on the Kindred board of directors' recommendations.

In formulating its recommendation, the Kindred board of directors considered that an annual advisory vote on executive compensation will allow Kindred stockholders to provide Kindred with their direct input on Kindred's compensation philosophy, policies and practices as disclosed in the proxy statement every year. Kindred understands that its stockholders may have different views as to what is the best approach for Kindred, and Kindred looks forward to hearing from its stockholders on this proposal.

Kindred stockholders may cast their votes on the preferred voting frequency by choosing the option of one year, two years, three years or abstain from voting when voting in response to this proposal 5. The option of one year, two years or three years that receives the highest number of votes cast by stockholders will be the frequency for the advisory vote on executive compensation that has been selected by stockholders.

However, because this vote is advisory and not binding on Kindred or its board of directors in any way, the Kindred board of directors may decide that it is in the best interests of its stockholders and Kindred to hold an advisory vote on executive compensation more or less frequently than the preferred frequency selected by its stockholders.

The Kindred board of directors unanimously recommends that Kindred stockholders vote FOR the approval, on an advisory basis, of an annual advisory vote to approve Kindred's executive compensation program, and your properly completed, signed and dated proxy will be so voted unless you specify otherwise.

ITEM 6 PROPOSAL TO APPROVE THE KINDRED 2011 STOCK INCENTIVE PLAN

The Kindred board of directors has determined that it is in Kindred's best interest to adopt a new flexible program of equity incentive awards to supplement those allowed now by the Amended and Restated 2001 Kindred Healthcare, Inc. Stock Incentive Plan (which we refer to as the 2001 Plan), that promotes Kindred's interests and the interests of its stockholders by providing those employees of Kindred who are largely responsible for the management, growth, and protection of the business of Kindred with incentives and rewards to encourage them to continue in their employment and to improve Kindred's growth and profitability.

To that end, on March 21, 2011, the Kindred board of directors adopted the Kindred 2011 Stock Incentive Plan (which we refer to as the Plan), subject to its approval by Kindred stockholders. The material terms of the Plan are described below, and major differences from the terms of the 2001 Plan are noted in the summary. The summary is subject in all respects to the complete text of the Plan, which is attached as Annex F to this joint proxy statement/prospectus.

In General

The Plan provides for the grant of several specific types of stock-based awards to Kindred's employees and to employees of its affiliates: incentive stock options (which we refer to as ISOs) and non-qualified stock options (which we refer to as NQSOs and together with ISOs, as Options); restricted shares; and other equity-based or equity-related awards, each of which is described in detail below. Unlike the 2001 Plan, the Plan does not specifically detail the terms of stand-alone or tandem stock appreciation rights, performance units and stock bonuses, but rather includes these types of awards under a general category of other stock-based awards, leaving to the Kindred executive compensation committee the flexibility to determine the terms and conditions of such awards on a case-by-case basis.

The Plan will be administered by a committee of the Kindred board of directors—typically the Kindred executive compensation committee—which is comprised of two or more non-employee directors, each of whom must be an independent director as required by the listing standards of the NYSE or any other exchange on which Kindred's stock is listed. Under the Plan, the Kindred executive compensation committee has the authority

Table of Contents

to select the employees who may be granted incentive awards (who we refer to as participants), the number and type(s) of such awards granted, and, within certain limitations contained in the Plan, all other terms and conditions of the awards (which are to be contained in and evidenced by a grant agreement), as described in more detail below. Eligible employees are those who are largely responsible for the management, growth or protection of Kindred, which is, as of the date of this filing, approximately ninety employees.

Subject to adjustment as described below, awards under the Plan may be granted with respect to no more than three million shares of Kindred common stock (which we refer to as Plan Shares) in the aggregate, in addition to the 360,600 shares of Kindred common stock remaining available for issuance of awards under the 2001 Plan, which shares shall continue to be used to fulfill awards granted under the 2001 Plan until exhausted. In any calendar year, no participant may be granted awards with respect to more than 500,000 shares. Unlike the 2001 Plan, other than as stated above, the Plan does not restrict the number of Plan Shares with respect to specific types of awards.

Adjustments in the Number of Shares Subject to the Plan

The Plan contains more detail than the 2001 Plan concerning which Plan Shares count against the total number of Plan Shares available for awards under the Plan. Plan Shares that are delivered by a participant in payment of the exercise price of an award, not issued because of net settlement or net exercise of an award, delivered or withheld by Kindred to pay withholding taxes due upon exercise of an award or repurchased by Kindred on the open market will count against the aggregate number of Plan Shares with respect to which awards may be issued and may not again be awarded under the Plan. Awards which, by their terms, may be settled only in cash, will not reduce the number of Plan Shares with respect to which awards may be issued. Plan Shares related to awards that are assumed, replaced, converted or adjusted in the context of a corporate acquisition or merger will not reduce the number available for awarded under the Plan.

In other events in which an outstanding award under the Plan expires, terminates or is cancelled for any reason, the Plan Shares subject to the unexercised, unvested or unpaid portion of such award will again be available for award under the Plan.

The Plan provides for an appropriate adjustment in the number of Plan Shares available to be issued under the Plan and under outstanding awards, in the related exercise price for outstanding awards, upon a change in the capitalization of Kindred, a stock dividend or split, a merger or combination of Plan Shares and certain other similar events, in a manner deemed equitable by the Kindred executive compensation committee.

Options

Each Option will entitle the holder to purchase a specified number of Plan Shares. The exercise price and vesting schedule of each Option will be determined by the Kindred executive compensation committee on the date of grant of such Option and will be set forth in the grant agreement. No Option will be exercisable after the expiration of ten years from the date such Option is granted or provide for rights to dividends or dividend equivalents. The exercise price will not be less than the fair market value of a Plan Share on the date of grant. The exercise price will be paid in cash or in shares of Kindred common stock (owned for at least six months) valued at their fair market value on the date of exercise, or a combination of the two. In addition, Options may be exercised through a registered broker-dealer pursuant to such cashless exercise procedures which are, from time to time, deemed acceptable by Kindred.

Unless otherwise specified by the terms of the individual grant agreement, in the event that the employment of a participant terminates (1) for any reason other than disability, retirement, cause (as such terms are defined in the Plan) or death, Options granted to such participant, to the extent that they were exercisable at the time of termination, will remain exercisable for 90 days after such termination, and those not exercisable at such time will expire at such time; (2) on account of the retirement of the participant, Options granted to such participant, to the extent that they were exercisable at the time of termination, will remain exercisable for two years from termination (in the case of NQSOs) or for 90 days after termination (in the case of ISOs), and those not

Table of Contents

exercisable at such time will expire at such time; (3) on account of the disability or death of the participant, Options granted to such participant will become immediately exercisable and will remain exercisable by such participant or his designated beneficiary, respectively, for two years after termination (in the case of NQSOs) or for one year after termination (in the case of ISOs); and (4) for cause, all outstanding Options granted to such participant (whether or not then exercisable) will expire at the commencement of business on the date of such termination.

However, no Option may be exercised after the expiration of its term.

Unless otherwise specified by the terms of the individual grant agreement, upon the occurrence of a change in control of Kindred (as defined in the Plan), each Option granted under the Plan and outstanding at such time will become fully and immediately exercisable and will remain exercisable until its expiration or cancellation under the Plan.

Restricted Shares

Restricted shares granted under the Plan may not be transferred, pledged, assigned or otherwise encumbered by the participant and will be subject to forfeiture until they vest and become fully transferable without restriction according to the vesting schedule set forth in the individual grant agreement. Vesting is not limited under the Plan, as it is in the 2001 Plan, to a minimum three-year period, and the Plan specifically allows vesting based on the achievement of specific performance goals (over a performance period of at least 12 months), in addition to or in lieu of vesting based on continued service for Kindred. Such individual grant agreements will also provide for any other conditions or limitations deemed advisable by the Kindred executive compensation committee. However, no more than 5% of the maximum aggregate Plan Shares authorized for issuance under the Plan may be covered by service-vested restricted share grants that vest more quickly than pro rata over a service period of at least three years.

Unless otherwise specified by the terms of the individual grant agreement, if a participant's employment terminates prior to the service-based vesting dates of restricted shares for any reason other than death or disability, restricted shares awarded to such participant that have not yet vested will be forfeited on the date of termination without payment of any consideration therefore. If employment of a participant ends on account of death or disability prior to the expiration of any service-based vesting period, restricted shares awarded to such participant will immediately vest. If such a participant held performance-based vesting restricted shares at death or disability, a portion of the Plan Shares will vest, pro rata, based on the portion of the performance period that has elapsed before employment terminated, assuming target performance was achieved.

Unless otherwise specified by the terms of the individual grant agreement, upon the occurrence of a change in control of Kindred (as defined in the Plan), each restricted share granted under the Plan and outstanding at such time will immediately vest as if the service or performance required for vesting were achieved, and will become fully transferable.

Other Stock-Based Awards

Other equity-based or equity-related incentive awards, such as stock appreciation rights, phantom stock, restricted stock units, performance shares, deferred share units, stock bonuses or share-denominated performance units may be granted by the Kindred executive compensation committee under the Plan and will be evidenced by individual grant agreements specifying the terms of the award. Such awards may provide for the transfer of actual Plan Shares to the participant or the payment of cash amounts that are based on the value of Plan Shares. Any awards that provide for payment strictly in cash and in no event may result in the transfer of actual Plan Shares to the participant do not reduce the number of Plan Shares available for issuance under the Plan.

Equity-based or equity-related awards may, in the discretion of the Kindred executive compensation committee, provide for an immediate transfer or payment to the participant or be subject to performance-based or service-based vesting conditions.

Table of Contents

Performance-Based Awards; Approval of Performance Measures

Compensation of persons who are covered employees of Kindred is subject to the tax deduction limits of Section 162(m) of the Code. Awards that qualify as performance-based compensation are exempt from Section 162(m), thus allowing Kindred the full federal tax deduction for such compensation. The Plan allows, but does not require, the Kindred executive compensation committee to grant awards that will be exempt from the deduction limits of Section 162(m) based in performance criteria approved by stockholders. All stock options issued under the Plan will be exempt because the exercise price will never be less than the fair market value on the date of grant.

If the Kindred executive compensation committee desires that an award be exempt from Section 162(m) of the Code, the performance goals must relate to one of the following performance measures: (i) net income or operating net income (before or after taxes, interest, depreciation, amortization, and/or nonrecurring/unusual items), (ii) return on assets, return on capital, return on equity, return on economic capital, return on other measures of capital, return on sales or other financial criteria, (iii) revenue or net sales, (iv) pre-tax profit, gross profit or operating gross profit, (v) cash flow, (vi) productivity or efficiency ratios, (vii) share price or total stockholder return, (viii) earnings per share, (ix) budget and expense management, (x) customer and product measures, including market share, high value client growth, and customer growth, (xi) working capital turnover and targets, (xii) margins, (xiii) account receivable collection days, (xiv) EBITDAR, (xv) economic value added or other value added measurements, (xvi) individual management, performance or quality objectives or (xvii) any combination of the foregoing. Any performance measure(s) may be used to measure the performance of Kindred or a subsidiary as a whole or any business unit of Kindred or any subsidiary or any combination thereof, as the Kindred executive compensation committee may deem appropriate, or any of the above performance measures as compared to the performance of a group of comparator companies, or a published or special index that the Kindred executive compensation committee, in its sole discretion, deems appropriate.

To satisfy the requirements that apply to performance-based compensation, these performance goals must be approved by Kindred stockholders. The Plan proposed for approval by the stockholders also includes the list of performance criteria that may be required to be met for vesting of performance-based awards under the Plan which the Kindred executive compensation committee determines it wishes to exempt from the limitations of Section 162(m) as performance-based compensation.

General Plan Provisions

The Kindred executive compensation committee may accelerate the date on which an award becomes vested, exercisable, or transferrable; extend the term of an award (but not beyond 10 years in the case of Options); waive conditions related to vesting, exercisability, or transferability of an award, or provide for the payment of dividends or dividend equivalents with respect to awards (other than Options and unvested performance-based awards).

During the lifetime of a participant, each incentive award granted to a participant is only exercisable by or payable to the participant. No award is transferable or assignable other than by will or the laws of descent and distribution.

By accepting an award under the Plan, each participant agrees that Kindred may request or demand repayment by the participant of compensation paid under the Plan (in kind, as paid by Kindred under the Plan) to the extent permitted or required by applicable law, Kindred's policy, or an exchange on which Plan Shares are traded.

Change in control is defined under the Plan to include the acquisition of a 50% controlling interest in Kindred, a change in the majority of Kindred board of directors members over a two-year period (unless replacements were elected by or at the recommendation of 2/3rd of the prior directors), or the consummation of a corporate transaction which results in liquidation of Kindred, sale of all or substantially all of its assets or other transaction in which the prior stockholders no longer own at least 50% of Kindred.

Table of Contents

Amendment or Termination of the Plan

The Kindred board of directors may amend the provisions of the Plan at any time and from time to time, but no amendment may be made that would impair the rights of a participant under a previously granted award, without the participant's consent, or that, without the approval of Kindred stockholders, would increase the total number of Plan Shares reserved for the purpose of the Plan or would reduce the exercise price for Options or other purchase rights by repricing or cancelling and replacing such awards.

New Plan Benefits

Since the incentive awards granted under the plan are discretionary, the benefits or amounts that will be received by or allocated to the Kindred named executive officers, all current executive officers as a group, and all associates who are not executive officers, as well as the amounts that would have been so received or allocated had the plan been in effect last year are not presently determinable.

Stockholder approval of the plan is being sought as required by the rules of the NYSE. If such approval is not obtained, the Plan will not take effect and no awards will be made thereunder.

Tax Treatment of Awards

The following is a summary of the principal U.S. federal income tax consequences generally applicable to Kindred and to participants upon the grant and exercise of the most common types of awards expected to be issued under the Plan under the now applicable provisions of the Code and the regulations thereunder.

Incentive Stock Options. A participant is not deemed to have received regular taxable income upon grant or exercise of any ISO. Upon exercise of an ISO, the spread between the fair market value of the Plan Shares received and the exercise price will be an item of adjustment for purposes of the alternative minimum tax, unless the participant disposes of the Plan Shares in the same tax year as the ISO is exercised. If a participant disposes of such Plan Shares within one year after the date of exercise and two years after the date of grant (which we refer to as the ISO holding period) (such disposition we refer to as a disqualifying disposition), any gain on such disqualifying disposition, up to the amount of the spread on exercise, will be ordinary income, with the balance being capital gain. In such event, Kindred would be entitled to a corresponding income tax deduction equal to the amount recognized as ordinary income by the recipient. All other gains upon dispositions of Plan Shares received upon exercise of an ISO will be capital gain in an amount equal to the excess of the proceeds received over the exercise price, and Kindred will not get a tax deduction either upon grant nor for any spread between the exercise price and fair market value at exercise.

If the participant surrenders previously-owned Plan Shares acquired upon the exercise of an ISO which have not satisfied the ISO holding period in payment of any or all of the exercise price of an ISO, such surrender is a disqualifying disposition of the surrendered Plan Shares that will result in the recognition of ordinary income (although not of capital gain) as described in the immediately preceding paragraph. The number of Plan Shares received upon exercise of the ISO equal in number to the previously-owned shares of Kindred common stock so surrendered would have the tax basis, increased by the amount of ordinary income recognized upon the disqualifying disposition, and capital gain holding period applicable to such surrendered Plan Shares. The additional Plan Shares received upon exercise of the ISO would have a tax basis equal to the cash paid on exercise (if any) and a new capital gain holding period commencing on the date following the date of exercise. The ISO holding period with respect to all the Plan Shares acquired pursuant to the ISO would start on the date of exercise.

If the participant surrenders previously-owned Plan Shares (other than any Plan Shares acquired upon the exercise of an ISO which has not satisfied the ISO holding period) in payment of any or all of the exercise price of an ISO, the Plan Shares received upon exercise of the ISO equal in number to the previously-owned Plan Shares so surrendered would have the tax basis and capital gain holding period applicable to such surrendered Plan Shares. The additional Plan Shares received upon exercise of the ISO would have a tax basis equal to the

Table of Contents

cash paid on exercise (if any) and a new capital gain holding period commencing on the date following the date of exercise. The ISO holding period with respect to all the Plan Shares acquired pursuant to the ISO would start on the date of exercise.

Non-Qualified Stock Options. A participant is not taxed upon grant of an NQSO. Generally, a participant will have ordinary income upon exercise of an NQSO in an amount equal to the excess of the fair market value on the date of exercise of the Plan Shares purchased over the exercise price paid upon exercise. Such excess is also subject to applicable income and employment tax withholding. Kindred will be allowed a federal income tax deduction for the amount recognized as ordinary income by the participant upon the participant's exercise of the option.

If the participant surrenders previously-owned shares of Kindred common stock in payment of any or all of the exercise price of an NQSO, the Plan Shares received upon exercise of such NQSO equal in number to the previously-owned Plan Shares so surrendered would have the tax basis and capital gain holding period applicable to such surrendered Plan Shares. The additional Plan Shares received upon exercise would have a tax basis equal to the amount taxable as ordinary income upon such exercise (as described in the immediately preceding paragraph) plus the cash paid on exercise (if any) and a new capital gain holding period commencing on the date following the date of exercise.

In addition, according to proposed regulations issued by the U.S. Treasury Department, the surrender of previously-owned shares of Kindred common stock acquired upon the exercise of an ISO which have not satisfied the ISO holding period in payment of any or all of the exercise price of an NQSO would not be a disqualifying disposition of the surrendered Plan Shares that would result in the recognition of ordinary income. Rather, if the participant surrenders previously-owned shares of Kindred common stock acquired upon the exercise of an ISO in payment of any or all of the exercise price of an NQSO, a number of Plan Shares received upon exercise of the NQSO equal to the number of previously-owned shares of Kindred common stock surrendered would be treated as Plan Shares received upon the exercise of the ISO and only the additional Plan Shares received upon exercise of the NQSO would be treated as such.

Restricted Stock. A participant will recognize ordinary income, and Kindred will be allowed a tax deduction, at the time unrestricted stock is granted. A participant will not recognize income, and Kindred will not be allowed a tax deduction, at the time stock that is subject to a substantial risk of forfeiture within the meaning of the Code is granted, unless the participant makes an election to accelerate recognition of the income to the date of grant as described below. When the restrictions lapse, the participant will recognize ordinary income equal to the fair market value of the common stock as of that date (less any amount he or she paid for the stock), and Kindred will be allowed a corresponding federal income tax deduction at that time. If the participant files an election under Section 83(b) of the Code within 30 days after the date of grant of restricted stock, the participant will recognize ordinary income as of the date of grant equal to the fair market value of the stock as of that date (less any amount paid for the stock), and Kindred will be allowed a corresponding federal income tax deduction at that time. Any appreciation in the stock after the tax date will be taxable to the participant upon disposition at capital gains rates if the holding period for such rates is met.

Other Stock-Based Awards. The tax consequences of other stock-based awards will depend on the awards' terms. In general, stock appreciation rights are taxed like NQSOs and restricted stock unit or performance shares are taxed much like Restricted Stock, except that, if there is no transfer of property at grant, an election to accelerate gain to the date of grant under Section 83(b) of the Code is not available.

The Kindred board of directors unanimously recommends that Kindred stockholders vote FOR the approval of the Kindred 2011 Stock Incentive Plan and the performance measures with respect to which vesting may be determined under it, and your properly completed, signed and dated proxy will be so voted unless you specify otherwise.

Table of Contents

ITEM 7 PROPOSAL TO APPROVE ADJOURNMENT OR POSTPONEMENT OF THE KINDRED ANNUAL MEETING, IF NECESSARY OR APPROPRIATE, TO PERMIT FURTHER SOLICITATION OF PROXIES IF THERE ARE NOT SUFFICIENT VOTES AT THE TIME OF THE KINDRED ANNUAL MEETING TO ADOPT THE MERGER AGREEMENT

Kindred stockholders may be asked to vote on a proposal to adjourn or postpone the Kindred annual meeting if necessary or appropriate, to permit further solicitation of proxies if there are not sufficient votes at the time of the Kindred annual meeting to approve the proposal to adopt the merger agreement.

The Kindred board of directors unanimously recommends that Kindred stockholders vote FOR the proposal to adjourn or postpone the Kindred annual meeting under certain circumstances, and your properly completed, signed and dated proxy will be so voted unless you specify otherwise.

Stock Ownership and Voting by Kindred's Directors and Executive Officers

At the close of business on April 25, 2011, Kindred's directors and executive officers had the right to vote 1,298,174 shares of the then-outstanding Kindred voting stock at the Kindred annual meeting. At the close of business on April 25, 2011, these shares represented approximately 3.2% of the Kindred common stock outstanding and entitled to vote at the meeting. It is expected that Kindred's directors and executive officers will vote their shares **FOR** the election of the director nominees named in this joint proxy statement/prospectus; one year on the proposal regarding the advisory vote on the frequency of advisory votes to approve the executive compensation of its named executive officers; and **FOR** each of the other proposals described in this joint proxy statement/prospectus to be presented at the Kindred annual meeting.

How to Vote

Kindred stockholders may vote in person at the Kindred annual meeting or by proxy. Kindred recommends you submit your proxy even if you plan to attend the annual meeting. If you vote by proxy, you may change your vote if you attend and vote at the annual meeting.

If you own Kindred common stock in your own name, you are an owner of record. This means that you may use the enclosed proxy card(s) to tell the persons named as proxies how to vote your shares. If you properly complete, sign and date your proxy card(s) or submit your voting instructions by telephone or over the internet, your shares will be voted in accordance with your instructions. The named proxies will vote all shares at the meeting for which proxies have been properly submitted (whether by mail, telephone or over the internet) and not revoked. If you sign and return your proxy card(s) but do not mark your card(s) to tell the proxies how to vote your shares on each proposal, your shares will be voted as recommended by the Kindred board of directors. If you receive more than one proxy card, it means that you have multiple accounts at the transfer agent and/or with brokers, banks or other nominees. Please complete, sign and return all the proxy cards you have received to ensure that all your shares are voted.

If you hold shares of Kindred common stock in a stock brokerage account or through a bank, broker or other nominee, or, in other words, in street name, please follow the voting instructions provided by that entity.

If you are an owner of record, you have three voting options:

Internet: You can vote over the internet at the web address shown on your proxy card(s). You will be prompted to enter your Control Number from your proxy card. This number will identify you as a stockholder of record. Follow the simple instructions that will be given to you to record your vote. If you vote over the internet, do not return your proxy card(s).

Telephone: You can vote by telephone by calling the toll-free number on your proxy card(s). You will be prompted to enter your Control Number from your proxy card. This number will identify you as a stockholder of record. Follow the simple instructions that will be given to you to record your vote. If you vote by telephone, do not return your proxy card(s).

Table of Contents

Mail: You can vote by mail by simply signing, dating and mailing your proxy card(s) in the postage-paid envelope included with this joint proxy statement/prospectus.

A number of banks and brokerage firms participate in a program that also permits stockholders whose shares are held in street name to direct their vote by telephone or over the internet. If your shares are held in an account at a bank or brokerage firm that participates in such a program, you may direct the vote of these shares by telephone or over the internet by following the voting instructions enclosed with the proxy form from the bank or brokerage firm. The internet and telephone proxy procedures are designed to authenticate stockholders' identities, to allow stockholders to give their proxy voting instructions and to confirm that those instructions have been properly recorded. Please follow the instructions from your bank or brokerage firm to vote your shares. Directing the voting of your shares will not affect your right to vote in person if you decide to attend the Kindred annual meeting; however, you must first obtain a signed and properly executed legal proxy from your bank, broker or other nominee to vote your shares held in street name at the Kindred annual meeting. Requesting a legal proxy prior to the deadline described above will automatically cancel any voting directions you have previously given by telephone or over the internet with respect to your shares.

Revoking Your Proxy

If you are the owner of record of your shares of Kindred common stock, you can revoke your proxy at any time before its exercise at the Kindred annual meeting by:

sending a written notice to Kindred, at 680 South Fourth Street, Louisville, Kentucky 40202, Attention: Corporate Secretary, bearing a date later than the date of the proxy, that is received prior to the Kindred annual meeting and states that you revoke your proxy;

submitting your proxy again by telephone or over the internet, so long as you do so before the deadline of 11:59 p.m., Eastern Daylight Time, on May 25, 2011;

signing another proxy card(s) bearing a later date and mailing it so that it is received prior to the annual meeting; or

attending the annual meeting and voting in person, although attendance at the annual meeting will not, by itself, revoke a proxy.

If your shares of Kindred common stock are held in street name by your broker, you will need to follow the instructions you receive from your broker to revoke or change your proxy.

Other Voting Matters

Voting in Person

If you plan to attend the Kindred annual meeting and wish to vote in person, Kindred will give you a ballot at the annual meeting. However, if your shares of Kindred common stock are held in street name, you must first obtain from your broker, bank or other nominee a legal proxy authorizing you to vote the shares in person, which you must bring with you to the annual meeting. If your shares of Kindred common stock are held in street name by your broker, bank or other nominee, and you plan to attend the Kindred annual meeting, you must present proof of your ownership of Kindred common stock such as a bank or brokerage account statement, to be admitted to the meeting.

Electronic Access to Proxy Materials

This joint proxy statement/prospectus, notice of annual meeting, proxy card and Form 10-K are available at www.edocumentview.com/knd.

People with Disabilities

Kindred can provide reasonable assistance to help you to participate in the annual meeting if you tell Kindred about your disability and how you plan to attend. Please write to Kindred at 680 South Fourth Street, Louisville, Kentucky 40202-2412, Attention: Corporate Secretary, or call at (502) 596-7300.

Table of Contents

Proxy Solicitations and Expenses

Kindred is soliciting proxies for the Kindred annual meeting from Kindred stockholders. Kindred will bear the entire cost of soliciting proxies from Kindred stockholders. In addition to this mailing, Kindred's directors, officers and employees (who will not receive any additional compensation for their services) may solicit proxies personally, electronically or by telephone. Kindred has also engaged Georgeson Inc., to assist in the solicitation of proxies for a fee estimated not to exceed \$17,000, plus reimbursement of expenses. Kindred and its proxy solicitors will also request that banks, brokerage houses and other custodians, nominees and fiduciaries send proxy materials to the beneficial owners of Kindred common stock and will, if requested, reimburse them for their reasonable out-of-pocket expenses in doing so.

Adjournment or Postponement of the Kindred Annual Meeting

Although it is not currently expected, the Kindred annual meeting may be adjourned or postponed, including for the purpose of soliciting additional proxies, if there are insufficient votes at the time of the Kindred annual meeting to approve the proposal to adopt the merger agreement or if a quorum is not present at the annual meeting. Other than an announcement to be made at the Kindred annual meeting of the time, date and place of an adjourned or postponed meeting, an adjournment or postponement generally may be made without notice. Any adjournment or postponement of the Kindred annual meeting for the purpose of soliciting additional proxies will allow Kindred stockholders who have already sent in their proxies to revoke them at any time prior to their use at the annual meeting as adjourned or postponed.

Stock Certificates

Stockholders should not submit any stock certificates with their proxy cards. Kindred stockholders will not need to send in their share certificates or surrender their book-entry shares.

Other Business

The Kindred board of directors is not aware of any other business to be acted upon at the Kindred annual meeting. If, however, other matters are properly brought before the Kindred annual meeting, your proxies will have discretion to vote or act on those matters according to their best judgment and they intend to vote the shares as the Kindred board of directors may recommend.

Assistance

If you need assistance in completing your proxy card or have questions regarding the Kindred annual meeting, or if you need additional copies of this joint proxy statement/prospectus or the enclosed proxy card or voting instructions, please contact Georgeson Inc., 199 Water Street, 26th Floor, New York, New York 10038, banks and brokers call collect: (212) 440-9800, all others call toll-free: (866) 767-8867.

Table of Contents**SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN KINDRED BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT**

The following table sets forth certain information regarding beneficial ownership of common stock as of April 25, 2011 (except as noted below) by (1) each person who is a director or nominee for director, (2) each of Kindred's named executive officers, (3) all of the persons who are directors and executive officers of Kindred, as a group, and (4) each stockholder known by Kindred to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of its outstanding shares of Kindred common stock.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership (1)	Percent of Class (1)
Directors, Nominees and Named Executive Officers		
Edward L. Kuntz	343,909	*
Paul J. Diaz	1,249,810	3.1%
Joel Ackerman	20,046	*
Ann C. Berzin	41,216	*
Jonathan D. Blum	20,046	*
Thomas P. Cooper, M.D.	59,651	*
Isaac Kaufman	84,396	*
Frederick J. Kleisner	20,046	*
Eddy J. Rogers, Jr.	68,694	*
Phyllis R. Yale	14,246	*
Richard A. Lechleiter	308,222	*
Frank J. Battafarano (2)	195,837	*
Benjamin A. Breier	167,059	*
Lane M. Bowen	149,478	*
Richard E. Chapman	113,932	*
All Directors and Executive Officers as a Group (21 persons)	3,302,265	7.9%
Other Security Holders with More than 5% Ownership		
BlackRock, Inc. (3)	3,639,330	9.1%
Dimensional Fund Advisors LP (4)	3,279,353	8.2%
Wellington Management Company, LLP (5)	2,576,700	6.4%
Ameriprise Financial, Inc. (6)	2,246,410	5.6%

* Denotes less than 1%.

- (1) Includes shares subject to stock options which are exercisable within 60 days from April 25, 2011. The number of shares of Kindred common stock that may be acquired through exercise of stock options, which are exercisable as of, or within 60 days after, April 25, 2011, are as follows: Mr. Kuntz 204,332 shares; Mr. Diaz 844,005 shares; Mr. Ackerman 7,500 shares; Ms. Berzin 26,170 shares; Mr. Blum 7,500 shares; Dr. Cooper 47,105 shares; Mr. Kaufman 69,350 shares; Mr. Kleisner 7,500 shares; Mr. Rogers 53,648 shares; Ms. Yale 14,246 shares; Mr. Lechleiter 196,340 shares; Mr. Battafarano 130,001 shares; Mr. Breier 50,419 shares; Mr. Bowen 69,089 shares; and Mr. Chapman 55,948 shares. Unless otherwise noted, Kindred believes that all persons named in the table have sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of Kindred common stock beneficially owned by them. The total for Mr. Lechleiter includes his indirect beneficial ownership of 800 shares held by his wife as custodian for his children under the Uniform Transfer to Minors Act.
- (2) Mr. Battafarano, Kindred's former chief operating officer, retired from Kindred in August 2010. Mr. Battafarano's stock ownership information is based in part upon representations provided by Mr. Battafarano as of January 6, 2011.
- (3) Based upon a Schedule 13G/A filed by BlackRock, Inc. (which we refer to as BlackRock) with the SEC on February 7, 2011. According to the Schedule 13G/A, BlackRock is a parent holding company for subsidiaries that hold the Kindred common stock. The address of BlackRock is 40 East 52nd Street, New York, New York 10022.

Table of Contents

- (4) Based upon a Schedule 13G/A filed by Dimensional Fund Advisors LP (which we refer to as Dimensional) with the SEC on February 11, 2011. According to the Schedule 13G/A, Dimensional furnishes investment advice to four investment companies and serves as investment manager to certain other commingled group trusts and separate accounts (which are collectively referred to as the Funds). According to the Schedule 13G/A, Dimensional, in its role as investment advisor or manager, possesses investment and/or voting power over these shares of Kindred common stock that are owned by the Funds. Dimensional disclaims beneficial ownership of these shares of Kindred common stock. The address of Dimensional is Palisades West, Building One, 6300 Bee Cave Road, Austin, Texas 78746.
- (5) Based upon a Schedule 13G/A filed by Wellington Management Company, LLP (which we refer to as Wellington) with the SEC on February 14, 2011. According to the Schedule 13G/A, Wellington, in its capacity as investment advisor, may be deemed to beneficially own these shares of Kindred common stock which are held of record by clients of Wellington. The address of Wellington is 75 State Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02109.
- (6) Based upon a Schedule 13G jointly filed by Ameriprise Financial, Inc. (which we refer to as AFI) and Columbia Management Investment Advisers, LLC (which we refer to as CMIA) with the SEC on February 11, 2011. According the Schedule 13G, AFI is the parent holding company of CMIA, a registered investment adviser, and may be deemed to beneficially own the shares of Kindred common stock held by CMIA. The address of AFI is 145 Ameriprise Financial Center, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55474. The address of CMIA is 100 Federal Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02110.

Table of Contents**EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF KINDRED**

Set forth below are the names, ages (as of January 1, 2011) and present and past positions of Kindred's current executive officers:

Name	Age	Position
Paul J. Diaz	49	President and Chief Executive Officer
Benjamin A. Breier	39	Chief Operating Officer
Richard A. Lechleiter	52	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Lane M. Bowen	60	Executive Vice President and President, Nursing Center Division
Jeffrey P. Winter	54	Executive Vice President and President, Hospital Division
Richard E. Chapman	62	Executive Vice President and Chief Administrative and Information Officer
Christopher M. Bird	46	President, Peoplefirst Rehabilitation Division
William M. Altman	51	Senior Vice President, Strategy and Public Policy
Joseph L. Landenwich	46	Senior Vice President of Corporate Legal Affairs and Corporate Secretary
Gregory C. Miller	41	Chief Development Officer
M. Suzanne Riedman	59	General Counsel and Chief Diversity Officer

Paul J. Diaz has served as one of Kindred's directors since May 2002, as Kindred's Chief Executive Officer since January 1, 2004 and as Kindred's President since January 2002. Mr. Diaz served as Kindred's Chief Operating Officer from January 2002 to December 31, 2003.

Benjamin A. Breier has served as Kindred's Chief Operating Officer since August 31, 2010. He served as Kindred's Executive Vice President and President, Hospital Division from March 2008 until August 2010, and as President, Peoplefirst Rehabilitation division from August 2005 to March 2008. Prior to joining Kindred, Mr. Breier served as Senior Vice President, Operations for Concentra, Inc., a leading provider of workers compensation and occupational health services, from December 2003 to August 2005.

Richard A. Lechleiter, a certified public accountant, has served as Kindred's Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer since February 2005. He served as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer from February 2002 to February 2005.

Lane M. Bowen has served as Kindred's Executive Vice President since February 2005 and as President, Nursing Center Division since October 2002.

Jeffrey P. Winter has served as Kindred's Executive Vice President and President, Hospital Division, since November 1, 2010. Prior to joining Kindred, he served as Chief Administrative Officer of Providence Health and Services, California Region. Prior to joining Providence in 2009, Mr. Winter was with Catholic Healthcare West for ten years, most recently as President of Group Operations.

Richard E. Chapman has served as Kindred's Executive Vice President and Chief Administrative and Information Officer since February 2005. He served as Chief Administrative and Information Officer and Senior Vice President from January 2001 to February 2005.

Christopher M. Bird has served as Kindred's President, Peoplefirst Rehabilitation division since April 2008. Prior to joining Kindred, Mr. Bird served as Vice President, Operations and Business Development, Outpatient Services Division with Tenet Healthcare Corp., which owns and operates acute care hospitals and related ancillary healthcare businesses, from May 2006 to April 2008. Mr. Bird served as Division Vice President, Western Division, with DaVita, Inc., a provider of dialysis services for patients suffering from chronic kidney failure, from December 2001 to April 2006.

Table of Contents

William M. Altman, an attorney, has served as Kindred's Senior Vice President, Strategy and Public Policy since January 1, 2008. He served as Senior Vice President, Compliance and Government Programs from April 2002 to December 2007.

Joseph L. Landenwich, an attorney and certified public accountant, has served as Kindred's Senior Vice President of Corporate Legal Affairs and Corporate Secretary since December 2003. Mr. Landenwich served as Vice President of Corporate Legal Affairs and Corporate Secretary from November 1999 to December 2003.

Gregory C. Miller has served as Kindred's Chief Development Officer since February 16, 2011. He served as Senior Vice President, Corporate Development and Financial Planning from January 2005 to February 2011. He served as Kindred's Vice President, Corporate Development and Financial Planning from January 2004 to January 2005.

M. Suzanne Riedman, an attorney, has served as Kindred's General Counsel since August 1999 and as Kindred's Chief Diversity Officer since December 2010. She also held the title of Senior Vice President from August 1999 to February 2011.

Table of Contents

KINDRED CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND BOARD MATTERS

Board Meetings and Committees

During 2010, the Kindred board of directors held nine meetings, including five regular and four special meetings. During 2010, each director attended more than 75% of the total number of meetings held by the Kindred board of directors and each committee of which he or she was a member.

The Kindred board of directors has established an audit committee, a compliance and quality committee, an executive compensation committee, a nominating and governance committee and a strategic development committee. Each committee has a written charter which is available on Kindred's website at www.kindredhealthcare.com. Kindred's corporate governance guidelines also are available on Kindred's website. Information on Kindred's website is not part of this joint proxy statement/prospectus.

Audit Committee

The Kindred audit committee has four members consisting of Mr. Isaac Kaufman (Chair), Mr. Joel Ackerman, Ms. Ann C. Berzin and Mr. Frederick J. Kleisner. Each member of the Kindred audit committee is independent as defined under the listing standards of the NYSE. The Kindred board of directors has determined that each member of the Kindred audit committee qualifies as an audit committee financial expert as defined in Item 407 of Regulation S-K promulgated under the Securities Act and the Exchange Act. The Kindred audit committee held five meetings during 2010. The Kindred audit committee assists the Kindred board of directors in monitoring (1) the adequacy of Kindred's system of internal controls, accounting policies, financial reporting practices, and the quality and integrity of Kindred's financial reporting; (2) the independent registered public accounting firm's qualifications and independence; (3) the performance of Kindred's internal audit function and independent registered public accounting firm; and (4) Kindred's compliance with legal and regulatory requirements.

Compliance and Quality Committee

The Kindred compliance and quality committee has four members consisting of Thomas P. Cooper, M.D. (Chair), Mr. Jonathan D. Blum, Mr. Frederick J. Kleisner and Ms. Phyllis R. Yale. Each member of the Kindred compliance and quality committee is independent as defined under the listing standards of the NYSE. The Kindred compliance and quality committee held five meetings in 2010. The Kindred compliance and quality committee assists the Kindred board of directors in overseeing, monitoring, and evaluating (1) Kindred's compliance with applicable healthcare laws, regulations, policies, professional standards and industry guidelines; (2) Kindred's compliance with the Kindred code of conduct; and (3) Kindred's programs, policies, procedures and performance improvement practices that support and enhance the quality of care provided by Kindred.

Executive Compensation Committee

The Kindred executive compensation committee has four members consisting of Mr. Eddy J. Rogers, Jr. (Chair), Mr. Jonathan D. Blum, Thomas P. Cooper, M.D. and Ms. Phyllis R. Yale. Each member of the Kindred executive compensation committee is independent as defined under the listing standards of the NYSE, and qualifies as an outside director within the meaning of Section 162(m) of the Code, and as a non-employee director within the meaning of Rule 16b-3 under the Exchange Act. The Kindred executive compensation committee held six meetings in 2010.

The Kindred executive compensation committee assists the Kindred board of directors in fulfilling its responsibility to the stockholders, potential stockholders and the investment community by ensuring that Kindred's key executives, officers and Kindred board of directors members are compensated in accordance with Kindred's overall compensation policies and executive compensation program. The Kindred executive compensation committee recommends and approves compensation policies, programs and pay levels that are necessary to support Kindred's objectives and that are rational and reasonable to the value of the services rendered. The Kindred executive compensation committee also reviews and discusses with

Table of Contents

management the compensation discussion and analysis prepared for inclusion in this joint proxy statement/prospectus and, based upon such review, determines whether to recommend to the Kindred board of directors that the compensation discussion and analysis be included in this joint proxy statement/prospectus. Furthermore, the Kindred executive compensation committee prepared the section entitled Kindred Executive Compensation Committee Report on page 145 of this joint proxy statement/prospectus.

The Kindred executive compensation committee's processes and procedures for the consideration and determination of executive compensation, including the role of Kindred's chief executive officer in making recommendations to the Kindred executive compensation committee and the role of compensation consultants in assisting the Kindred executive compensation committee in its functions, are more fully described below in the section entitled Kindred Executive Compensation Compensation Discussion and Analysis beginning on page 148 of this joint proxy statement/prospectus.

Nominating and Governance Committee

The Kindred nominating and governance committee has four members consisting of Ms. Ann C. Berzin (Chair), Mr. Joel Ackerman, Mr. Isaac Kaufman and Mr. Eddy J. Rogers, Jr. Each member of the Kindred nominating and governance committee is independent as defined under the listing standards of the NYSE. The Kindred nominating and governance committee held five meetings in 2010. The Kindred nominating and governance committee assists the Kindred board of directors by (1) identifying individuals qualified to become members of the Kindred board of directors, approving the director nominees for the next annual meeting of stockholders and approving nominees to fill vacancies on the Kindred board of directors; (2) recommending to the Kindred board of directors nominees for director and chair(s) for each committee; (3) leading the Kindred board of directors in its annual review of the Kindred board of directors and senior management's performance; and (4) recommending to the Kindred board of directors the corporate governance guidelines applicable to Kindred. The Kindred nominating and governance committee also recommends to the Kindred board of directors whether or not to accept the expected resignation of any director who fails to receive the required vote for re-election in any uncontested election as set forth in Kindred's bylaws and corporate governance guidelines, or whether other action should be taken.

Strategic Development Committee

The Kindred strategic development committee has five members consisting of Mr. Paul J. Diaz (Chair), Mr. Joel Ackerman, Thomas P. Cooper, M.D., Mr. Edward L. Kuntz and Ms. Phyllis R. Yale. With the exception of Messrs. Diaz and Kuntz, each member of the Kindred strategic development committee is independent as defined under the listing standards of the NYSE. The Kindred strategic development committee was formally established in December 2009 and held one meeting in 2010. The Kindred strategic development committee assists the Kindred board of directors and management in the development and evaluation of Kindred's business and strategic initiatives.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

None of the persons who served on the Kindred executive compensation committee during the last completed fiscal year are, or have been, an employee or officer of Kindred or had any relationship requiring disclosure under Item 404 of Regulation S-K. In addition, none of Kindred's executive officers serves, or has served during the last completed fiscal year, as a member of the board of directors or compensation committee of any other entity that has or has had one or more of its executive officers serving as a member of the Kindred board of directors.

Director Independence

The Kindred board of directors has determined that the following eight directors are independent, as defined under the listing standards of the NYSE: Mr. Joel Ackerman, Ms. Ann C. Berzin, Mr. Jonathan D. Blum, Thomas P. Cooper, M.D., Mr. Isaac Kaufman, Mr. Frederick J. Kleisner, Mr. Eddy J. Rogers, Jr. and Ms. Phyllis R. Yale.

Table of Contents

The independent directors have regularly scheduled meetings at which members of management are not present. Kindred's lead independent director presides as chair of these meetings. Thomas P. Cooper, M.D. has served as Kindred's lead independent director since May 20, 2009.

The Kindred board of directors' independence determination for each director was based upon a review in which each director's independence was evaluated on a case-by-case basis. In performing the independence evaluations, the Kindred board of directors considers any matters that could affect the ability of each outside director to exercise independent judgment in discharging his or her responsibilities as a director, including all transactions and relationships between each such director, the director's family members and organizations with which the director or the director's family members have an affiliation and Kindred, its subsidiaries and its management. Any such matters are evaluated both from the standpoint of the director and from that of persons or organizations with which the director has an affiliation. In addition, the Kindred board of directors also considers any other transactions, relationships or arrangements that could affect director independence.

In 2010, the Kindred board of directors reviewed relationships between Kindred and other entities for which a director of Kindred also serves as a director. This review included analysis of ordinary course business transactions between Kindred and (1) Coventry Health Care, Inc. for which Mr. Ackerman serves as a non-employee director, (2) Champions Biotechnology, Inc. for which Mr. Ackerman serves as chief executive officer and a director, (3) Ingersoll-Rand plc and Constellation Energy Group, Inc. for which Ms. Berzin serves as a non-employee director, (4) Hanger Orthopedic Group, Inc. for which Dr. Cooper and Mr. Kaufman serve as non-employee directors, (5) IPC The Hospitalist Company, Inc. for which Dr. Cooper serves as a non-employee director, and (6) Trident USA Health Service for which Dr. Cooper serves as a non-employee director.

In this review, the Kindred board of directors identified no transactions, relationships or arrangements in which a director of Kindred had or will have a direct or indirect material interest or which otherwise adversely impacted the Kindred board of directors' independence evaluation of the applicable outside directors.

Board Leadership Structure and Role in Risk Oversight

The Kindred board of directors has no policy with respect to the separation of the offices of chairman of the Kindred board of directors and the chief executive officer. The Kindred board of directors believes that this issue is part of the succession planning process and that it is in the best interests of Kindred for the Kindred board of directors to make a determination when it elects a new chief executive officer. Currently, the Kindred board of directors has elected to separate the roles of chairman of the Kindred board of directors and chief executive officer.

In addition, it is the policy of the Kindred board of directors that a lead independent director be chosen annually by the independent directors from among the independent directors. Dr. Cooper currently serves as Kindred's lead independent director. The lead independent director (1) presides at all meetings of the directors at which the chairman of the Kindred board of directors is not present, including all meetings of the independent directors; (2) serves as a liaison between the chairman of the Kindred board of directors and the independent directors; (3) has the authority to call meetings of the independent directors; and (4) is available for direct communication from Kindred stockholders.

The independent directors meet in executive session at least quarterly to consider such matters as they deem appropriate, including, but not limited to, a review of the performance of the chief executive officer.

The Kindred board of directors annually reviews a company-wide enterprise risk assessment, as presented by Kindred's senior strategy, risk management and internal audit executives. This presentation is intended to give the Kindred board of directors a current view of Kindred's primary operational, compliance, financial and strategic risks, on both a company-wide as well as a division-specific basis. In addition to this annual enterprise

Table of Contents

risk assessment, an evaluation of principal areas of risk and corresponding mitigation strategies are examined in further detail during the year by (1) the Kindred board of directors regarding key strategic risks; (2) the Kindred audit committee regarding key financial risks; (3) the Kindred compliance and quality committee regarding key operational and quality risks; and (4) the Kindred executive compensation committee regarding the relationship of Kindred's executive compensation program and risk.

Communications with the Board

Kindred welcomes communications to the Kindred board of directors and/or individual directors including Kindred's lead independent director. Stockholders or other interested parties who wish to communicate with the Kindred board of directors or an individual director, including Kindred's lead independent director, should send their communications to the Kindred board of directors or an individual director, care of the corporate secretary, at Kindred's principal office. All such communications will be forwarded to the Kindred board of directors or the individual director as appropriate.

Policies Governing Director Nominations

It is the policy of the Kindred nominating and governance committee to consider director candidates recommended by stockholders in accordance with the procedures set forth below and who appear to be qualified to serve on the Kindred board of directors. The Kindred nominating and governance committee may choose not to consider an unsolicited recommendation if no vacancy exists on the Kindred board of directors. There have been no material changes to the procedures by which stockholders may recommend director candidates since Kindred last disclosed such procedures.

To submit a recommendation of a director candidate to the Kindred nominating and governance committee, a stockholder should submit the following information in writing, addressed to the chair of the Kindred nominating and governance committee, care of the corporate secretary, at Kindred's principal office:

1. The name of the person recommended as a director candidate;
2. All information relating to such person that is required to be disclosed in solicitations of proxies for election of directors pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Exchange Act;
3. The written consent of the person being recommended as a director candidate to being named in the proxy statement as a nominee and to serving as a director if elected;
4. As to the stockholder making the recommendation, the name and address, as they appear on Kindred's records, of such stockholder; provided, however, that if the stockholder is not a registered holder of Kindred common stock, the stockholder should submit his or her name and address along with a current written statement from the record holder of the shares that reflects ownership of Kindred common stock, and the number and class of all shares of each class of stock of Kindred owned of record or beneficially by such holder; and
5. A statement disclosing whether such stockholder is acting with or on behalf of any other person and, if applicable, the identity of such person.

In order for a director candidate to be considered for nomination at Kindred's annual meeting to be held in 2012, the recommendation must be received in accordance with the requirements for other stockholder proposals.

The Kindred nominating and governance committee has generally identified director nominees based upon suggestions by outside directors, members of management and/or stockholders and outside search firms, and has interviewed and evaluated those persons on its own. On occasion, Kindred engages outside search firms to identify and screen potential director candidates.

Table of Contents

As set forth in its written charter, the Kindred nominating and governance committee generally will seek directors who possess integrity, a high level of education and business experience, broad-based business acumen, an understanding of Kindred's business and the healthcare industry in general, strategic thinking and a willingness to share ideas, a network of contacts and diversity of experiences, expertise and backgrounds. Further, as set forth in Kindred's corporate governance guidelines, the Kindred nominating and governance committee is responsible for annually reviewing with the Kindred board of directors the requisite skills and characteristics of new Kindred board of directors members, as well as the composition of the Kindred board of directors as a whole. This assessment includes a review of the director's independence, as well as consideration of diversity, age, skills, expertise and experience in the context of the needs of the Kindred board of directors. While the corporate governance guidelines do not prescribe diversity standards, as a matter of practice, the Kindred nominating and governance committee considers diversity in the context of the Kindred board of directors as a whole and takes into account the personal characteristics and experience of current and prospective directors to facilitate Kindred's board of directors deliberations that reflect a broad range of perspectives. The Kindred nominating and governance committee uses the above criteria to evaluate potential nominees, and does not evaluate proposed nominees differently depending upon who has made the proposal. The Kindred nominating and governance committee reviews current directors who may be proposed for re-election considering the factors described above and their past contributions to the Kindred board of directors. In so doing, the Kindred nominating and governance committee has determined that the directors proposed for election at the Kindred annual meeting have experience, skills and qualifications consistent with the principles set out in the charter of the Kindred nominating and governance committee as described above under "The Kindred Annual Meeting - Item 2 - Proposal to Elect Directors - Nominees for Director" beginning on page 119.

Director Attendance at Annual Meetings of Stockholders

The Kindred board of directors does not require directors to attend the annual meeting of stockholders. Notwithstanding the foregoing, each of the members of the Kindred board of directors attended the 2010 annual meeting of stockholders.

Code of Business Conduct and Ethics

Kindred has adopted a code of conduct (which we refer to as the "Kindred code of conduct") that serves as its code of ethics and applies to all of Kindred's directors and employees, including the principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer, and certain other persons performing similar functions. The text of the Kindred code of conduct is posted on Kindred's website located at www.kindredhealthcare.com under the "Investors" section and is available in print to any requesting stockholder. Information contained on Kindred's website is not part of this joint proxy statement/prospectus. In addition, Kindred intends to disclose on its website (1) the nature of any amendment to a provision of the Kindred code of conduct that applies to Kindred's principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer, or persons performing similar functions and (2) the nature of any waiver, including an implied waiver, from provisions of the Kindred code of conduct that is granted to one of these specified individuals (which may only be made by the Kindred board of directors or a Kindred board of directors committee), the name of the person to whom the waiver was granted and the date of the waiver. Such disclosure will be made within four business days following the date of the applicable amendment or waiver.

The Kindred code of conduct generally prohibits Kindred's directors, executive officers and employees from engaging in activities that conflict with the interests of Kindred and the residents and patients served by Kindred. Situations that may give rise to a potential conflict of interest under the Kindred code of conduct include (1) having a direct or indirect financial or business interest in any entity that does business with Kindred, (2) having a direct or indirect financial or business interest in any transaction between Kindred and a third party, and (3) serving as a director, officer, employee or consultant of an organization that does business with Kindred.

To facilitate compliance with these rules, the Kindred code of conduct requires that individuals report to their supervisors, or to the Kindred board of directors in the case of directors and executive officers,

Table of Contents

circumstances that may create or appear to create a conflict between the personal interests of the individual and the interests of Kindred, regardless of the amount involved. In addition, each director and executive officer annually confirms to Kindred certain information about potential related person transactions as part of the preparation of Kindred's Annual Report on Form 10-K and its annual proxy statement. Director nominees and persons promoted to executive officer positions also must confirm such information. In addition, management reviews its records and makes additional inquiries of management personnel and, as appropriate, third parties and other resources for purposes of identifying related person transactions, including related person transactions involving beneficial owners of more than 5% of Kindred's voting securities.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires Kindred's directors and executive officers and persons who own more than 10% of Kindred's common stock to file initial stock ownership reports and reports of changes in ownership with the SEC. Based upon a review of these reports and on written representations from Kindred's directors and executive officers that no other reports were required, Kindred believes that the applicable Section 16(a) reporting requirements were complied with for all transactions which occurred in 2010.

Table of Contents

KINDRED AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

The Kindred audit committee is composed of four directors. Each member of the Kindred audit committee is independent and financially literate as defined in the NYSE listing standards. Mr. Isaac Kaufman (Chair), Mr. Joel Ackerman, Ms. Ann C. Berzin and Mr. Frederick J. Kleisner served on the Kindred audit committee for all of 2010.

The Kindred audit committee reviews Kindred's financial reporting processes on behalf of the Kindred board of directors and monitors Kindred's efforts to comply with certain aspects of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. In fulfilling its responsibilities, the Kindred audit committee has reviewed and discussed the audited consolidated financial statements contained in Kindred's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 with Kindred's management and its independent registered public accounting firm, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP. Management is responsible for the financial statements and the underlying financial reporting processes, including the system of internal controls. PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP is responsible for auditing Kindred's financial statements, expressing an opinion on the conformity of such audited consolidated financial statements with GAAP, and expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of Kindred's internal control over financial reporting. Management has represented to PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP and the Kindred audit committee that Kindred's audited consolidated financial statements were prepared in accordance with GAAP.

The Kindred audit committee held five meetings during 2010. The Kindred audit committee discussed with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP the matters required to be discussed by Public Company Accounting Oversight Board AU 380, *Communication with Audit Committees*. In addition, the Kindred audit committee has received the written disclosures and the letter from PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP's communications with the Kindred audit committee concerning independence, and has discussed with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP its independence.

The Kindred audit committee also discussed with Kindred's internal auditors and with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP the overall scope and plans for their respective audits. The Kindred audit committee meets periodically with Kindred's internal auditors and with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, with and without management present, to discuss the results of their examinations, the evaluation of Kindred's internal controls and the overall quality and transparency of Kindred's financial reporting.

In reliance upon the reviews and discussions referenced above and the report of the independent registered public accounting firm with respect to the audited consolidated financial statements, the Kindred audit committee recommended to the Kindred board of directors, and the Kindred board of directors has approved, that the audited consolidated financial statements be included in Kindred's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 filed with the SEC.

All members of the Kindred audit committee listed below submit the foregoing report.

AUDIT COMMITTEE

Isaac Kaufman, Chair

Joel Ackerman

Ann C. Berzin

Frederick J. Kleisner

Table of Contents

KINDRED EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The Kindred executive compensation committee is composed entirely of independent directors satisfying the requirements of the NYSE listing standards. The Kindred executive compensation committee is composed of Mr. Eddy J. Rogers, Jr. (Chair), Mr. Jonathan D. Blum, Thomas P. Cooper, M.D. and Ms. Phyllis R. Yale. The Kindred executive compensation committee is responsible for establishing and administering the policies and programs that govern both annual cash compensation and equity-based incentive compensation plans for the executive officers of Kindred.

The Kindred executive compensation committee has reviewed and discussed the Kindred Executive Compensation Compensation Discussion and Analysis section beginning on page 148 with management. Based upon the foregoing review and discussion with management, the Kindred executive compensation committee recommended to the Kindred board of directors that the Kindred Executive Compensation Compensation Discussion and Analysis section beginning on page 148 be included in this joint proxy statement/prospectus.

All members of the Kindred executive compensation committee listed below submit the foregoing report.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

Eddy J. Rogers, Jr., Chair

Jonathan D. Blum

Thomas P. Cooper, M.D.

Phyllis R. Yale

Table of Contents**SECURITIES AUTHORIZED FOR ISSUANCE UNDER KINDRED EQUITY COMPENSATION PLANS**

The following table represents aggregate equity compensation plan information as of December 31, 2010 with respect to (1) equity plans that were approved by the Kindred stockholders, and (2) equity plans that have been subsequently approved by the Kindred stockholders but had not been approved by the Kindred stockholders at the time of certain equity grants.

Kindred Equity Compensation Plan Information

Plan Category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a)	Weighted average exercise price of outstanding options and rights	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a))
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders (1)	3,189,077(2)	\$ 18.85	1,049,230(3)
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	176,651(4)	\$ 13.28	
Total (5)	3,365,728	\$ 18.56	1,049,230

- (1) The 2001 Stock Incentive Plan has been approved by the Kindred stockholders and is included in these totals. The Directors Plan (as defined below) was approved by the Kindred stockholders on May 18, 2004, and certain amendments to the Directors Plan were approved by the Kindred stockholders on May 31, 2007.
- (2) Represents 3,029,589 shares of Kindred common stock underlying outstanding stock options granted pursuant to the 2001 Stock Incentive Plan and 159,488 shares of common stock underlying stock options granted to non-employee directors pursuant to the Directors Plan after the date on which the Directors Plan was approved by the stockholders. As of April 1, 2011, under the 2001 Stock Incentive Plan, there were 2,972,678 shares of Kindred common stock underlying outstanding stock options (with a weighted average exercise price of \$18.98 and a weighted average remaining contractual life of two years), 784,818 unvested restricted stock awards, and 360,600 shares of Kindred common stock remaining available for issuance of awards. Also as of April 1, 2011, under the Directors Plan, there were 279,546 shares of Kindred common stock underlying outstanding stock options (with a weighted average exercise price of \$15.54 and a weighted average remaining contractual life of four years), 36,648 unvested restricted stock awards, and 132,057 shares of Kindred common stock remaining available for issuance of awards.
- (3) Restricted common stock and other forms of equity awards may be issued under the 2001 Stock Incentive Plan and the Directors Plan.
- (4) This amount includes shares of common stock underlying stock options granted to non-employee directors pursuant to the Directors Plan prior to May 18, 2004, the date on which the Directors Plan was approved by the stockholders.
- (5) The total number of shares of Kindred common stock as of the completion of the merger is projected to be approximately 51,200,000. No outstanding options or other equity awards issued by RehabCare will be assumed by Kindred as a result of the merger, and Kindred does not intend to grant any future equity awards pursuant to the unused shares under any RehabCare equity plan. For more information about the treatment of RehabCare equity awards, see *The Merger Agreement – Effect of the Merger on RehabCare’s Equity Awards* beginning on page 97.

Kindred maintains the Kindred 2001 Equity Plan for Non-Employee Directors (Amended and Restated) (which we refer to as the Directors Plan) to promote Kindred’s interests and the interests of its stockholders by allowing Kindred to attract and retain highly qualified non-employee directors by permitting them to obtain or increase their proprietary interest in Kindred. The Kindred board of directors initially adopted the Directors Plan on May 21, 2001. The Kindred board of directors amended and restated the Directors Plan on March 19, 2004, subject to stockholder approval, which was obtained at Kindred’s annual meeting on May 18, 2004. On May 31, 2007, Kindred stockholders approved additional amendments to the Directors Plan.

Table of Contents

Currently, the Directors Plan gives the Kindred executive compensation committee the authority to grant stock options or restricted shares at its discretion to non-employee directors of Kindred. Unless otherwise provided in the underlying award agreement (1) each stock option awarded under the Directors Plan will have an exercise price equal to the fair market value of the Common Stock on the date such option is granted, will vest in four equal annual installments beginning on the first anniversary of the date of grant and have a ten-year term, and (2) each award of restricted shares will vest in four equal annual installments, beginning on the first anniversary of the date of grant, except in the event of a change in control, in which case all unvested restricted shares shall immediately vest. The Directors Plan expressly prohibits Kindred from lowering the exercise price of previously awarded stock options, except as necessary to prevent dilution or enlargement of the rights of non-employee directors under the Directors Plan in the event of a merger, reorganization, consolidation, recapitalization, spin-off or similar change in corporate structure.

In 2008, the Kindred board of directors approved certain immaterial amendments to the Directors Plan. These amendments permit stock options that are vested at the time of a director's retirement or failure to be nominated for re-election to the Kindred board of directors to remain exercisable for the original life of the option. The Kindred board of directors believes these amendments promote effective processes to encourage and facilitate turnover of members of the Kindred board of directors thereby ensuring the continual assessment and review of the Kindred board of directors consistent with good corporate governance.

Table of Contents

KINDRED EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Executive Summary

Kindred's executive compensation program is designed to (1) motivate and retain executive officers, (2) award the achievement of short-term and long-term performance goals, (3) establish a reasonable and appropriate relationship between executive pay and short-term and long-term performance and (4) align executive officers' interests with those of Kindred stockholders. The primary elements of Kindred's compensation program are base salary, annual cash incentive awards, long-term cash incentive awards, equity-based compensation and other perquisites and benefits. Kindred believes that each element supports one or more of the objectives of Kindred's executive compensation program and provides sufficient flexibility to the Kindred executive compensation committee to structure future awards to address new issues and challenges facing Kindred.

Kindred's executive compensation program generally attempts to target total direct compensation for the named executive officers between the 50th and 75th percentiles of Kindred's peers, depending upon the individual performance of the named executive officer, his level of responsibility, and the performance of Kindred. While the Kindred executive compensation committee uses this range as a measure of competitiveness, it ultimately uses its discretion to provide appropriate compensation to attract and retain qualified and experienced healthcare executives and to respond to issues impacting Kindred, the healthcare industry and the general economy.

During 2010, Kindred's named executive officers took several actions in response to significant changes taking place within the healthcare industry, including the enactment of landmark legislation related to national healthcare reform. Kindred entered 2010 with the expectation of reduced earnings prompted by volume weakness in Kindred's two major divisions and reimbursement rate pressures from government and commercial payors. In response, the senior management team took actions it felt were appropriate with the intention of protecting stockholder value while not wavering from Kindred's strategic operational and growth plan centered on improving the quality of care, pursuing operational efficiencies, maximizing operating cash flows and continued execution of Kindred's cluster market strategy.

Kindred also faced significant regulatory changes that would become effective in the fourth quarter of 2010 in its nursing center and rehabilitation divisions. These changes required extensive planning, training and infrastructure investment across the organization to successfully manage significant clinical and operational challenges. Kindred believes that Kindred successfully navigated these challenges and produced fourth quarter operating results significantly higher than the same period in 2009, bolstering expectations for further growth in fiscal 2011. In addition, Kindred was able to effectuate four transactions in 2010 that furthered its cluster market strategy.

The Kindred executive compensation committee structures the executive compensation program, in part, to address the stated objectives referenced above and remain responsive to the challenges arising from a changing healthcare landscape. The following highlights the key approaches used by the Kindred executive compensation committee during 2010:

Pay for Performance: The Kindred executive compensation committee continued to tie total direct compensation for the named executive officers with various measure of performance. The Kindred executive compensation committee has established several financial and quality goals that encourage the named executive officers to strive for appropriate financial results while maintaining a long-term focus on the quality and customer service objectives of Kindred.

Significant Majority of Executive Officers' Pay is at Risk: The Kindred executive compensation committee generally places a significant portion of total compensation of the named executive officers at risk, by using performance measures in connection with Kindred's short-term and long-term cash

Table of Contents

incentive plans, as well as performance-based equity. For 2010, the average at-risk compensation for Kindred's continuing named executive officers approximated 78% of their total cash and equity compensation.

Reaction to Market Trends: Given a difficult operating environment, the Kindred executive compensation committee elected to freeze base salaries for the Kindred executive officers for 2010. This was the second consecutive year in which the Kindred executive compensation committee froze base salaries in response to the significant financial challenges facing Kindred's operations. In addition, the Kindred executive compensation committee has responded to best practices in executive compensation over the last few years including moving to performance-based equity, amending Kindred's change in control severance agreements to provide a double trigger and modifying Kindred's stock ownership requirements in response to comments from stockholders.

Overview of Major Committee Actions for 2010

In anticipation of a difficult operating environment, the Kindred executive compensation committee elected to freeze base salaries for the named executive officers in 2010 for the second consecutive year. Freezing base salaries also limited the growth in compensation costs associated with

Kindred's short-term and long-term cash incentive plans. In addition, the Kindred executive compensation committee raised the financial performance hurdles in 2010 for Kindred's short-term incentive plan. The goals for 2010 provided that if the participants fail to satisfy 95% of the targeted corporate EBITDAR goal or 92.5% of the targeted divisional EBITDAR goal, or experienced issues with poor survey and quality measures, or significant audit or compliance issues, then all or a portion of any short-term award could be forfeited, at the Kindred executive compensation committee's discretion, even if other component goals of the award had been achieved.

Despite a challenging operating and reimbursement environment in 2010, including declines in patient admissions throughout the United States, Kindred exceeded its earnings guidance for the year and achieved several of its financial goals. Several of the quality metrics used by Kindred to evaluate its clinical and customer satisfaction performance also improved in 2010. During 2010, Kindred also completed several acquisitions that expanded its operations in each of its three divisions and which should increase its profitability in the future. As a result of this performance, the named executive officers generally achieved compensation awards under Kindred's short-term incentive plan slightly below targeted levels and above targeted levels for the long-term cash incentive plan for 2010.

During 2010, the Kindred executive compensation committee also considered and approved changes to the employment agreement for Mr. Benjamin A. Breier in connection with his promotion to chief operating officer. This matter is described in more detail under "Employment and Other Agreements" beginning on page 171. In addition, the Kindred executive compensation committee reviewed the effectiveness of the design of its cash incentive compensation plans and the related incentive goals concluding that the existing structure provides several operational advantages to Kindred. The Kindred board of directors, upon recommendation of the Kindred executive compensation committee, also approved revisions to the stock ownership requirements applicable to the named executive officers. The revised guidelines, among other things, provide for a new one-year post-vesting or post-exercising holding requirement for all net shares awarded to executive officers and directors. The Kindred executive compensation committee made these changes to align the stock ownership requirements with best practices and to respond to concerns raised by Kindred stockholders at last year's annual meeting.

The Kindred executive compensation committee also awarded Mr. Diaz a special cash bonus of \$150,000 in recognition of his exceptional performance in 2010. The Kindred executive compensation committee noted that Mr. Diaz has successfully transitioned Mr. Breier into the role of chief operating officer and filled a key role in hiring a successor for Kindred's hospital division. The Kindred executive compensation committee also noted his substantial efforts to improve Kindred's quality and customer service and his efforts to execute Kindred's cluster market strategy.

Table of Contents

Finally, the Kindred executive compensation committee evaluated the need to increase available shares for potential future equity awards under a new employee stock incentive plan. This matter is discussed in more detail under The Kindred Annual Meeting Item 6 Proposal To Approve the Kindred 2011 Stock Incentive Plan beginning on page 125. The Kindred executive compensation committee believes that equity incentives are an important component of incentive compensation and provide a direct link between the management team and stockholders. On March 21, 2011, the Kindred board of directors approved, subject to stockholder approval, a new stock incentive plan primarily to increase the number of shares available for future awards by three million shares.

Kindred, like many other healthcare providers, will face continuing challenges in 2011 and beyond, particularly in response to healthcare reform.

The Kindred executive compensation committee continues to believe that the existing executive compensation program provides sufficient flexibility to retain and motivate management during challenging times. Moreover, the Kindred executive compensation committee believes the current program also is heavily weighted to achievement of performance measures and at-risk pay. As such, the Kindred executive compensation committee does not believe it is necessary to substantially deviate from its current compensation practices at this time.

Performance-Based Compensation and Performance Measures

The primary elements of Kindred's executive compensation program are designed to promote the achievement of financial and quality goals established annually by the Kindred executive compensation committee and to increase stockholder value. Kindred uses two cash incentive plans: an annual short-term incentive plan and a long-term incentive plan. These plans provide the named executive officers with the opportunity to earn cash awards for achieving financial goals and measures. Kindred also awards performance-based restricted stock units that are subject to achievement of financial goals established annually by the Kindred executive compensation committee.

During 2010, the financial performance measures used by the Kindred executive compensation committee included:

achieving targeted levels of EBITDAR;

achieving targeted levels of earnings per share;

collecting accounts receivable;

achieving patient admission and census goals;

managing costs and improving operating efficiencies;

attaining targeted levels of stock price appreciation; and

implementing strategic goals.

Kindred believes that several of these financial goals are measures generally used by investors to value Kindred common stock. In addition, the Kindred executive compensation committee believes that these financial goals reward management for mitigating the negative impact of unexpected reimbursement reductions or challenges caused by healthcare reform.

As a provider of healthcare services, Kindred also focuses a substantial portion of its short-term cash incentive awards on achieving measurable quality and customer service goals. The goals for 2010 were based upon:

operational and clinical measures of quality care;

customer satisfaction measures obtained from customer satisfaction surveys; and

Table of Contents

achieving targeted employee retention rates.

Kindred believes that measurable quality and customer service goals are critical to promoting a culture of quality throughout Kindred which enhances the services offered by Kindred. Moreover, Kindred believes that there is a direct link between providing quality services and achieving favorable short-term and long-term financial results.

The equity-based component of Kindred's executive compensation program is designed to incentivize the named executive officers to increase the value of Kindred common stock. As such, equity-based compensation directly links a substantial portion of the total direct compensation of the named executive officers to stock price appreciation and stockholder value.

During 2010, the Kindred executive compensation committee, with the assistance of Mercer LLC (which we refer to as Mercer), a global human resources consulting firm, evaluated the performance metrics used in Kindred's short-term and long-term incentive plans, including any risks such performance metrics might create or encourage. The Kindred executive compensation committee concluded that while there are challenges with having a large number of performance metrics, these chosen metrics create several advantages, including:

Performance and financial hurdles that ensure that earnings will be sufficient to justify payouts;

Creating a balanced view of Kindred's performance, focusing on both financial results and how those results are achieved;

Reducing the risk that results could be manipulated to achieve improper payouts; and

Accurately reflecting the complexity of Kindred's operating model with support center payouts being directly linked to the results of Kindred's three operating divisions.

The Executive Compensation Process

During 2010, the Kindred executive compensation committee was comprised of four independent directors. Each director who served on the Kindred executive compensation committee during 2010 is independent as defined under the NYSE listing standards and qualifies as an outside director within the meaning of Section 162(m) of the Code and as a non-employee director within the meaning of Rule 16b-3 under the Exchange Act. The Kindred executive compensation committee meets regularly to review and oversee Kindred's executive compensation program. The Kindred executive compensation committee reviews all components of, and makes all decisions regarding, the compensation of the named executive officers.

During the period commencing in December of the prior fiscal year and ending in February of the upcoming fiscal year, the Kindred executive compensation committee reviews base salaries and incentive compensation targets for the upcoming fiscal year for Kindred's key employees, including the named executive officers. During this time, the Kindred executive compensation committee also determines whether performance targets under each of the incentive plans were achieved for the prior fiscal year. The Kindred executive compensation committee also considers other executive compensation issues and discusses trends and current topics in executive compensation at various times throughout the year.

The 2010 base salaries for the named executive officers were established in February 2010. The 2010 performance goals under Kindred's short-term and long-term cash incentive plans, as well as its performance-based restricted stock units were established in February and March 2010 after review of Kindred's 2010 operating budget. As discussed below, the awards under these plans are formulaic, based upon the achievement of measurable financial and quality goals established annually by the Kindred executive compensation committee. Nevertheless, the Kindred executive compensation committee retains the right to administer these plans in its discretion, including the ability to decrease incentive awards otherwise payable to a named executive officer for unforeseen events or circumstances, such as restatements of Kindred's financial statements.

Table of Contents

The Kindred executive compensation committee generally grants equity-based awards to the named executive officers to coincide with the Kindred executive compensation committee's evaluation of the annual performance of Kindred and each named executive officer. Considering equity-based awards at or after the cash incentive plan awards have been determined allows the Kindred executive compensation committee to consider the value of the equity-based award in relation to the total direct compensation for each named executive officer, thus enabling the Kindred executive compensation committee to award total direct compensation that is competitive within the healthcare industry (and, in particular, Kindred's peer group) and relative to the performance of Kindred and the named executive officer. From time to time, the Kindred executive compensation committee may grant equity-based awards at other times, particularly in connection with promotions, exceptional performance or changes in a named executive officer's level of responsibility.

As chief executive officer, Mr. Diaz participates frequently in the meetings of the Kindred executive compensation committee, and the Kindred executive compensation committee also regularly holds executive sessions not attended by any members of management or any non-independent director. The Kindred executive compensation committee discusses Mr. Diaz's compensation with him and then makes decisions with respect to

Mr. Diaz's compensation without him present. Mr. Diaz provides written evaluations related to the performance of Kindred's other executive officers and discusses the roles and responsibilities of such executive officers with the Kindred executive compensation committee. Members of the Kindred executive compensation committee also frequently interact with Kindred's executive officers and thereby gain an appreciation of the roles and level of responsibility as well as the performance of the executive officers. Mr. Diaz also makes recommendations for the Kindred executive compensation committee's consideration regarding executive compensation, including base salary, incentive targets, performance measures, equity compensation and any special awards for Kindred's executive officers other than himself. The Kindred executive compensation committee is not obligated to accept Mr. Diaz's recommendations with respect to executive compensation. The other named executive officers do not make recommendations on incentive compensation or otherwise significantly participate in the process.

In February 2011, the Kindred executive compensation committee began its annual executive compensation review process. In connection with its review, the Kindred executive compensation committee elected to increase the 2011 base salaries for each of the named executive officers, but kept the base salaries below the 50th percentile of Kindred's peer group. The Kindred executive compensation committee also determined, as discussed below, which performance targets were achieved in 2010 by the named executive officers under the short-term incentive plan and the long-term incentive plan and the performance-based restricted stock units. The Kindred executive compensation committee also established the 2011 goals under these cash incentive plans and for certain tranches of the outstanding performance-based restricted stock units. The Kindred executive compensation committee considered and approved annual equity awards for the officers of Kindred, including the named executive officers and the independent directors. In addition, the Kindred executive compensation committee awarded a special cash bonus to Mr. Diaz for exceptional performance in 2010.

Use of Consultants

The Kindred executive compensation committee retains Frederic W. Cook & Co. (which we refer to as Cook), a national executive compensation consulting firm, to assist it in an independent review of the Kindred executive compensation program which generally includes base salaries, as well as short-term and long-term incentive compensation. Cook is engaged directly by the Kindred executive compensation committee as an independent advisor. Cook provides no other services to Kindred and does not interact with Kindred senior management in performing its services for the Kindred executive compensation committee.

During 2010, Mercer was engaged by management to assist management and the Kindred executive compensation committee in conducting a competitive market review of Kindred's executive compensation program and to assist in the evaluation of other compensation issues. Mercer regularly seeks input from Mr. Diaz and the Chair of the Kindred executive compensation committee, to assist it in analyzing the effectiveness of Kindred's executive compensation strategy. Mercer reviewed Kindred's executive compensation strategy and

Table of Contents

provided compensation benchmarks to the Kindred executive compensation committee for each named executive officer, including comparisons of base salary, cash incentives, equity-based compensation and total direct compensation. Mercer also provided the Kindred executive compensation committee with other relevant market data and made recommendations on potential executive compensation alternatives. Mercer assisted the Kindred executive compensation committee in its review of incentive compensation performance goals. In addition, Mercer was engaged by management to develop recommendations on potential equity award levels for the chief executive officer and for the other participants in Kindred's equity plan as well as to review outside director pay practices and develop recommendations for appropriate levels of outside director pay.

In 2010, Mercer advised the Kindred executive compensation committee on proposed changes to Kindred's stock ownership guidelines and assisted the Kindred executive compensation committee in its evaluation of the need to increase available shares for future equity awards. Mercer made compensation recommendations related to the promotion of Mr. Breier to chief operating officer and with respect to Kindred's employment of a new president for its hospital division. The aggregate fees incurred for 2010 by Kindred for Mercer's services related to executive and outside director compensation were approximately \$192,000.

Kindred's management also engages Mercer to advise it on various other employee benefit and compensation matters impacting Kindred and its employees such as strategic analysis and actuarial support related to Kindred's benefit plans. During 2010, Mercer also assisted Kindred in the analysis and administration of benefit plans for Kindred's employees and on other human resources issues. Kindred paid Mercer approximately \$1.35 million in 2010 for services unrelated to executive and outside director compensation. Kindred did not seek the Kindred executive compensation committee's approval of the engagement of Mercer for these services.

In early 2011, the Kindred executive compensation committee engaged Cook to conduct an independent review of Kindred's executive compensation program covering the following areas: (1) executive compensation benchmarks; (2) executive compensation program design and practices; (3) design recommendations for Kindred's long-term cash incentive plan, and (4) outside directors' compensation. The executive compensation benchmarks review analyzed total direct compensation levels, which included 2010 base salaries and targeted short-term and long-term incentive opportunities for Kindred's 11 most senior executive positions.

Peer Group

Following consultation with Mercer and Cook, the Kindred executive compensation committee revised its peer group of companies during 2010. As part of this process, five companies used in the 2009 peer group were removed (Psychiatric Solutions, Inc., Res-Care, Inc., Sunrise Senior Living, Inc., Qwest Diagnostics, Inc. and Laboratory Centers of America Holdings) and three new companies were added (Select Medical Holdings Corp., Extencicare REIT and RehabCare Group, Inc.). The Kindred executive compensation committee compared each component of compensation for the named executive officers with peer group data assembled by Mercer. Kindred began using the following companies for compensation benchmarking purposes during 2010:

Brookdale Senior Living, Inc.	HealthSouth Corporation	DaVita, Inc.
Universal Health Services, Inc.	Lifepoint Hospitals, Inc.	RehabCare Group, Inc.
Community Health Systems, Inc.	Tenet Healthcare Corp.	Select Medical, Inc.
Health Management Associates, Inc.	Sun Healthcare Group, Inc.	Extencicare REIT

The peer group is periodically reviewed and updated by the Kindred executive compensation committee based upon organic changes in the peer companies and upon recommendations from the independent compensation consultants. The Kindred executive compensation committee believes that these peer companies compete for executives with similar talents and expertise to those of the named executive officers and also reflect

Table of Contents

the diversified nature of Kindred's businesses and the healthcare industry. The Kindred executive compensation committee also considers, to a lesser extent, comparisons of compensation from companies outside the healthcare industry and published compensation surveys.

Components of Executive Compensation

Kindred's executive compensation program generally uses the following components to structure the total direct compensation for the named executive officers:

base salary;

short-term cash incentives;

long-term cash incentives;

equity-based incentive compensation; and

other perquisites and benefits.

Kindred believes that the combination of these elements enables the Kindred executive compensation committee to award total direct compensation that is competitive within the healthcare industry and Kindred's peer group and that promotes the goals of the executive compensation program. This combination of elements also takes into consideration Kindred's capital structure and relatively low number of outstanding shares compared to Kindred's adjusted enterprise value and complexity of its operations.

The Kindred executive compensation committee generally structures base salaries below the 50th percentile of Kindred's peer group because it believes that a greater portion of total direct compensation should be subject to the attainment of performance goals. Historically, Kindred's annual short-term and long-term cash incentive plans have provided the named executive officers with the ability to achieve significant additional cash compensation, typically at or above the median level of the peer group for such awards, provided targeted levels of financial and quality performance or higher are achieved.

The named executive officers are generally awarded equity-based compensation below the median level of the peer group. The Kindred executive compensation committee considers the amount of total cash compensation earned by the named executive officers in determining the amount of equity-based compensation to award. While the Kindred executive compensation committee does not have a set allocation between cash and equity compensation, the Kindred executive compensation committee generally provides for a greater percentage of cash compensation than its peers since equity awards have a greater dilutive impact on stockholders given Kindred's relatively low number of outstanding shares. Moreover, Kindred's capital structure places practical limits on the amount of equity compensation that can be awarded to the named executive officers. The Kindred executive compensation committee uses a mixture of these components so that total direct compensation to the named executive officers (1) awards short-term and long-term performance, (2) is competitive within its peer group, and (3) is aligned with the interests of stockholders.

Table of Contents

The following chart provides a brief summary of Kindred's incentive compensation plans used during 2010.

Summary of Incentive Compensation Programs

	Performance Period	Vesting /Payout Timing	Performance Measures	Target Incentive Opportunity (% of Salary)		
				CEO	COO	Other NEOs
Short-Term Incentive Plan (1)	1 year	Paid in full in the year following the respective performance period	EBITDAR, Growth, Efficiency, Capital, Quality & Service, Employee Measures, Organizational Excellence	100%	75%	75%
Long-Term Incentive Plan (1)	1 year	Pro rata payouts over 3 years after the respective performance period (4 years total)	EBITDAR, EPS, Stock Price Appreciation, Strategic Goals	50%	45%	45%
Equity Compensation	Performance-Based Restricted Stock Units (1)	Each tranche based on 1 year performance	3 year pro rata	EBITDAR, EPS	(2)	(2)
	Service-Based Restricted Stock	n/a	4 year pro rata	n/a	(2)	(2)

(1) No awards are issued unless and until certain minimum levels of performance are achieved.

(2) Awards vary based on peer analysis, Kindred performance and the named executive officer's individual performance as discussed in more detail below.

The Kindred executive compensation committee does not have a pre-established policy for the allocation between fixed compensation, such as base salary, and variable or at risk compensation, such as short-term and long-term cash incentives and equity. However, the Kindred executive compensation committee generally places a significant portion of total direct compensation for the named executive officers at risk. The following chart reflects the mix of fixed and at-risk compensation comprising the total direct compensation earned by the named executive officers for 2010.

Percent of Total Direct Compensation in 2010 (1)

	Fixed		At-Risk		Special Cash Bonus
	Base Salary	Short-term Incentive Plan	Long-term Incentive Plan	Equity Awards	
Mr. Diaz	15.7%	14.9%	10.5%	56.5%	2.4%
Mr. Lechleiter	24.3%	17.3%	14.5%	43.9%	
Mr. Battafarano	58.9%	41.1%			
Mr. Breier	19.3%	13.2%	14.1%	53.4%	
Mr. Bowen	20.8%	17.1%	12.5%	49.6%	
Mr. Chapman	26.6%	19.0%	15.9%	38.5%	

Table of Contents

- (1) This chart excludes all compensation earned by the respective named executive officer in respect of 2010 for the amounts reported as Changes in Pension Value and Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Earnings and All Other Compensation in the 2010 Summary Compensation Table beginning on page 176. The chart also excludes severance compensation paid to Mr. Battafarano as reflected in the All Other Compensation column in the 2010 Summary Compensation Table beginning on page 176. For the equity awards, these amounts reflect a grant date fair value of \$18.01 per share for each share of service-based restricted stock and each share of performance-based restricted stock unit granted in 2010 to the named executive officers other than Mr. Breier. Mr. Breier received a grant of service-based restricted stock and performance-based restricted stock units both with a grant date fair value of \$18.01 per share and an additional service-based restricted stock grant with a grant date fair value of \$18.84 per share during 2010. For purposes of this disclosure, Kindred has assumed that the grant date fair value for each of the three tranches of performance-based restricted stock units granted to the named executive officers during 2010 could be valued at the closing price of Kindred common stock on the date of grant.
- At risk compensation under Kindred's cash incentive plans incentivizes the named executive officers to reach or exceed the financial and quality goals established by the Kindred executive compensation committee. Moreover, at-risk compensation under Kindred's equity incentive plans incentivizes the named executive officers to grow the value of Kindred common stock to enhance the benefit of their equity-based compensation. Kindred's cash and equity incentive plans also promote the retention of the named executive officers and align the interests of the named executive officers with those of Kindred stockholders.

In establishing performance goals, the Kindred executive compensation committee considers the allocation of potential compensation among financial and quality goals. The Kindred executive compensation committee believes that continued emphasis on maintaining or improving the quality of Kindred's services is a key driver in reaching desired financial results and reflects an appropriate risk allocation between financial and quality goals. With respect to the financial goals, the Kindred executive compensation committee aligns these goals with Kindred's operating budgets that have been reviewed and approved by the Kindred board of directors. As noted previously, the Kindred executive compensation committee believes that the performance measures it has chosen appropriately reward performance without encouraging unnecessary and excessive risk taking on the part of Kindred's employees.

Mr. Diaz's compensation is higher than the compensation of the other named executive officers. The Kindred executive compensation committee believes that higher compensation for the chief executive officer is consistent with the practices of other healthcare companies, including Kindred's peer group. In addition, Mr. Diaz's compensation reflects the unique nature and scope of his leadership and strategic responsibilities, the level of accountability for Kindred's overall performance and the competitive market for attracting and retaining talented chief executive officers. The Kindred executive compensation committee annually compares the appropriateness of Mr. Diaz's compensation with other chief executives in its peer group.

Base Salary

Base salaries are provided to the named executive officers to compensate them for their services performed during the year. The base salary for each named executive officer is determined annually by the Kindred executive compensation committee following a review of each individual executive officer's performance, changes in executive officer responsibility, relevant comparable industry data, an assessment of overall company performance, and general market salary increases for all employees. As part of the process, Mr. Diaz provides the Kindred executive compensation committee with a written evaluation of each named executive officer. As noted above, the Kindred executive compensation committee generally attempts to structure base salaries below the 50th percentile of its peer group. Salary adjustments also may be considered in connection with promotions or other changes in job responsibility. As part of its analysis, the Kindred executive compensation committee considered salary comparisons prepared by Mercer and Cook to determine if base salaries for the named

Table of Contents

executive officers are competitive with the base salaries of similarly situated executives in the peer group and the healthcare industry generally. The Kindred executive compensation committee also considers how changes in base salary may impact the total direct compensation for the named executive officers. Moreover, the Kindred executive compensation committee considers general economic conditions and challenges facing Kindred's operations. In addition, the chief executive officer makes recommendations on base salaries for the other named executive officers.

While certain aspects of performance of the named executive officers can be measured in financial and quality metrics, when considering annual base salary increases, the Kindred executive compensation committee also evaluates the named executive officers in other performance areas that are more subjective. These areas include the success of the named executive officer in developing and executing Kindred's strategic objectives, capitalizing on growth opportunities, addressing significant challenges affecting Kindred from a financial and quality perspective, developing key employees, succession planning and exercising leadership.

At its February 2010 meeting, the Kindred executive compensation committee elected not to increase base salaries for 2010 for the named executive officers based upon Kindred's anticipated operational challenges and in an effort to limit expense growth throughout Kindred. The Kindred executive compensation committee noted that recent reductions in Medicare and Medicaid reimbursement have negatively impacted Kindred's financial results. The freeze in base salaries was implemented to reduce compensation costs in response to these market conditions, and also effectively limits potential awards under the short-term and long-term cash incentive plans, as these awards are based on percentages of each named executive officer's base salary. The Kindred executive compensation committee has frozen base salaries for its named executive officers for the past two years but still believes total direct compensation is competitive despite the fact that base salaries for the named executive officers generally are trending well below the 50th percentile of Kindred's peer group.

The initial base salaries for the named executive officers for 2010 were as follows:

	2010 Base Salary
Mr. Diaz	\$ 1,002,290
Mr. Lechleiter	\$ 434,741
Mr. Battafarano	\$ 650,000
Mr. Breier	\$ 400,005
Mr. Bowen	\$ 426,026
Mr. Chapman	\$ 402,355

In connection with his promotion to chief operating officer, the Kindred executive compensation committee agreed to increase Mr. Breier's base salary to \$550,000, which became effective when he assumed his new duties on August 31, 2010. In connection with this promotion, Messrs.

Diaz and Breier met on several occasions to discuss the potential terms of the compensation package for Mr. Breier. In addition, Mercer provided Mr. Diaz with comparative compensation data for a chief operating officer position with the relative experience and qualifications of Mr. Breier. After these discussions, Mr. Diaz made his recommendations to the Kindred executive compensation committee regarding the compensation package, including base salary, for Mr. Breier. Mr. Diaz also provided Mercer's recommendations to the Kindred executive compensation committee regarding the compensation package for Mr. Breier. Mercer noted that its recommendation was based on market compensation levels. The Kindred executive compensation committee believed that his revised base salary was appropriate given Mr. Breier's increased level of responsibility and his past performance with Kindred. See "Employment and Other Agreements" beginning on page 171.

Cash Incentives

Under Kindred's executive compensation program, a significant portion of total cash compensation for the named executive officers is subject to the attainment of measurable financial and quality goals. This approach

Table of Contents

creates a direct incentive for the named executive officers to achieve pre-established financial and quality performance objectives and places a significant percentage of each named executive officer's total direct cash compensation at risk. Kindred uses two cash incentive plans: an annual short-term incentive plan and a long-term incentive plan. The Kindred executive compensation committee believes a strong emphasis on cash compensation is appropriate, given Kindred's existing capital structure. Kindred's cash incentive plans also provide sufficient flexibility to allow the Kindred executive compensation committee to establish non-routine goals that may be integral to the success of Kindred over the short-term or long-term, as appropriate.

Short-term Incentive Plan

Under the short-term incentive plan, the Kindred executive compensation committee establishes annual financial and quality goals for Kindred's key employees, including the named executive officers. These goals are based upon similar financial and quality measures but the actual goals vary depending upon the operational responsibilities of the named executive officer. As such, the goals are tailored for the corporate support center or operating division for which the named executive officer is primarily responsible. Messrs. Diaz, Lechleiter, Battafarano and Chapman are assigned to the corporate support center and as such, the goals under the short-term incentive plan applicable to them and all other corporate support center participants in the plan were generally based upon company-wide measures and aggregated financial and quality goals from each of Kindred's operating divisions. Given his promotion to chief operating officer during 2010, Mr. Breier's short-term incentive plan goals were based on the hospital division goals during the first half of the year and then transitioned to support center goals in the second half of the year. Mr. Bowen led Kindred's nursing center division, and as such, his short-term incentive goals were based primarily on measures tailored to that operating division and its financial and quality objectives.

For 2010, the financial measures for the participating named executive officers were based upon:

achieving targeted levels of EBITDAR;

collecting accounts receivable;

achieving patient admission and census goals; and

managing costs and improving operating efficiencies.

These goals are established based upon the historic operating results of Kindred and its operating divisions and are the key drivers necessary for Kindred to achieve its 2010 operating budget.

For 2010, the quality goals under the short-term incentive plan were based upon:

operational and clinical measures of quality care;

customer satisfaction measures obtained from customer satisfaction surveys; and

achieving targeted employee retention rates.

These goals are established based upon the historic clinical results achieved by the operating divisions and new initiatives to improve the quality of care. The quality goals often include various sub-components. The goals are established with a view to be challenging but achievable with good operational focus on Kindred's businesses.

Annual cash bonuses under the short-term incentive plan are based upon a percentage of the participating named executive officer's base salary. No awards are earned under the short-term incentive plan until certain minimum levels of performance are achieved.

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

In addition, the Kindred executive compensation committee established more difficult performance hurdles for 2010 that would need to be achieved prior to awarding bonuses under the short-term incentive plan. The goals

Table of Contents

for 2010 provided that if the participants fail to satisfy 95% of the targeted corporate EBITDAR goal or 92.5% of the targeted divisional EBITDAR goal, or experienced issues with poor survey and quality measures, or significant audit or compliance issues, then all or a portion of any short-term award could be forfeited, in the Kindred executive compensation committee's discretion, even if other component goals of the award had been achieved.

The following chart reflects the potential award levels for the named executive officers as a percentage of their base salary for 2010:

Short-Term Incentive Plan Table A

	Percentage of Base Salary		
	Minimum	Target	Maximum (1)
Mr. Diaz	40%	100%	135%
Mr. Lechleiter	30%	75%	101%
Mr. Battafarano	30%	75%	101%
Mr. Breier	30%	75%	101%
Mr. Bowen	30%	75%	101%
Mr. Chapman	30%	75%	101%

- (1) The percentage figures listed under "Maximum" include the possible 10% increase in bonus percentage applicable in the event that the maximum EBITDAR goal for the named executive officer is achieved or exceeded.

For purposes of the 2010 calculation of EBITDAR, the costs and expenses of significant merger and acquisition activity and the financial benefits of such transactions were excluded from both the 2010 goal and Kindred's actual 2010 results. The following chart sets forth the minimum, target and maximum goals for 2010 under the short-term incentive plan, as well as the actual levels achieved for 2010.

Table of Contents**Short-Term Incentive Plan Table B****Support Center Goals applicable for Messrs. Diaz, Lechleiter, Battafarano, Breier and Chapman**

	Minimum		2010 Incentive Goals Target		Maximum (1)		Actual Performance Achieved	% of Target Bonus Achieved	Award % of Base Salary	
	Goal	% of Bonus	Goal	% of Bonus	Goal	% of Bonus			Mr. Diaz	Other Named Executive Officers (2)
Financial goals:										
EBITDAR (3) (dollars in millions)	\$ 545.4	14.00%	\$ 574.1	35.00%	\$ 602.8	62.50%	\$ 575.0	35.00%	35.00%	26.25%
Accounts receivable days outstanding	54.4	4.00%	51.7	10.00%	49.2	15.00%	50.9	13.50%	13.50%	10.13%
Hospital admissions	45,556	0.67%	47,954	1.67%	50,352	2.51%	45,059			
Hospital average daily census	3,807	0.67%	4,007	1.67%	4,207	2.51%	3,771			
Hospital revenue (dollars in millions)	\$ 1,945.6	0.66%	\$ 2,048.0	1.66%	\$ 2,150.4	2.49%	\$ 1,949.0			
Hospital operating cost per patient day	\$ 1,217	0.67%	\$ 1,156	1.67%	\$ 1,101	2.51%	\$ 1,178	1.27%	1.27%	0.95%
Hospital total labor cost per patient day	\$ 579	0.67%	\$ 550	1.67%	\$ 524	2.51%	\$ 553	1.47%	1.47%	1.10%
Hospital total labor hours per patient day	19.4	0.33%	18.4	0.83%	17.5	1.25%	18.7	0.63%	0.63%	0.47%
Hospital controllable cost per patient day	\$ 355	0.33%	\$ 337	0.83%	\$ 321	1.25%	\$ 347	0.53%	0.53%	0.40%
Nursing center average daily census	23,173	0.67%	24,393	1.67%	25,613	2.51%	23,585			
Nursing center Medicare census	4,034	0.67%	4,246	1.67%	4,459	2.51%	3,878			
Nursing center managed care census	1,491	0.66%	1,569	1.66%	1,647	2.49%	1,418			
Nursing center hours per patient day	3.28	1.00%	3.36	2.50%	3.45	3.75%	3.43	3.35%	3.35%	2.51%
Nursing center ancillary expense-non-Medicaid admission	\$ 2,545	0.50%	\$ 2,418	1.25%	\$ 2,303	1.88%	\$ 2,415	1.22%	1.22%	0.92%
Nursing center total controllable expense per patient day	\$ 11.72	0.50%	\$ 11.13	1.25%	\$ 10.60	1.88%	\$ 11.55	0.78%	0.78%	0.59%
Net new rehabilitation contracts	25	0.50%	30	1.25%	35	1.86%	71	1.87%	1.87%	1.40%
New rehabilitation contract incremental revenue (dollars in millions)	\$ 10.3	0.50%	\$ 10.8	1.25%	\$ 11.3	1.86%	\$ 28.7	1.87%	1.87%	1.40%
Rehabilitation revenue per minute nursing centers	\$ 1.18	0.50%	\$ 1.20	1.25%	\$ 1.24	1.86%	\$ 1.20	1.24%	1.24%	0.93%
Rehabilitation revenue per patient day hospitals	\$ 59.85	0.50%	\$ 58.43	1.25%	\$ 57.00	1.86%	\$ 58.71	1.24%	1.24%	0.93%
Rehabilitation productivity nursing centers	80.4%	0.50%	82.0%	1.25%	82.8%	1.86%	82.0%	1.24%	1.24%	0.93%
Rehabilitation productivity hospitals	78.4%	0.50%	80.0%	1.25%	80.8%	1.86%	82.0%	1.87%	1.87%	1.40%
Rehabilitation cost per minute nursing centers	\$ 0.83	0.50%	\$ 0.81	1.25%	\$ 0.79	1.87%	\$ 0.82	0.79%	0.79%	0.58%
Rehabilitation direct labor as cost % of revenues hospitals	58.5%	0.50%	57.3%	1.25%	56.2%	1.88%	56.2%	1.88%	1.88%	1.41%
Quality goals:										
Clinical quality index hospitals	2.34	0.67%	2.22	1.67%	2.16	2.51%	1.97	2.50%	2.50%	1.88%
Hospital customer service index	4.17	0.66%	4.39	1.66%	4.52	2.49%	4.45	2.00%	2.00%	1.50%

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

Alternate dispute resolution agreement acceptance % hospitals	82.7%	0.67%	87.0%	1.67%	91.4%	2.51%	88.1%	1.84%	1.84%	1.38%
Nursing center survey	1.103	0.44%	1.070	1.11%	1.039	1.67%	1.100	1.08%	1.08%	0.81%
Clearing tags on first follow-up nursing centers	89.2%	0.44%	92.0%	1.11%	94.8%	1.67%	94.0%	0.82%	0.82%	0.62%
Nursing centers Customer satisfaction	75.2%	0.44%	77.5%	1.11%	79.8%	1.67%	75.2%	0.43%	0.43%	0.32%
Arbitration agreement acceptance rate nursing centers	85.5%	0.34%	90.0%	0.84%	92.7%	1.26%	90.7%	1.08%	1.08%	0.81%
Consistent staffing assignment nursing centers	77.6%	0.33%	80.0%	0.83%	82.4%	1.25%	96.8%	1.25%	1.25%	0.94%
Rehabilitation customer satisfaction	3.56	1.34%	3.75	3.34%	3.85	5.01%	3.96	5.00%	5.00%	3.75%
Functional outcome data entry rehabilitation	75.0%	0.33%	90.0%	0.83%	92.7%	1.25%	90.9%	0.92%	0.92%	0.69%
Handheld utilization rehabilitation	85.5%	0.34%	90.0%	0.83%	94.5%	1.25%	89.2%	0.73%	0.73%	0.55%
Aggregate employee turnover	32.4%	4.00%	30.8%	10.00%	29.9%	15.00%	31.3%	7.60%	7.60%	5.70%
Overall maximum limitation						-25.00%				
Total		40.00%		100.00%		135.00%		95.00%	95.00%	71.25%

Table of Contents**Short-Term Incentive Plan Table B (continued)****Hospital Division goals applicable for Mr. Breier (2)**

	Minimum		2010 Incentive Goals Target		Maximum (1)		Actual	% of Target	Award
	Goal	% of Bonus	Goal	% of Bonus	Goal	% of Bonus	Performance Achieved	Bonus Achieved	% of Base Salary
Financial goals:									
EBITDARM (dollars in millions) (4)	\$ 361.1	7.5%	\$ 380.1	18.75%	\$ 399.1	35.63%	\$ 354.8		
Kindred Consolidated EBITDAR (dollars in millions) (3)	\$ 545.4	2.5%	\$ 574.1	6.25%	\$ 602.8	11.87%	\$ 575.0	6.25%	4.68%
Accounts receivable days outstanding (non-Medicare)	100.3	4.00%	95.3	10.00%	90.8	15.00%	89.8	13.5%	10.13%
Admissions	45,556	2.00%	47,954	5.00%	50,352	7.5%	45,059		
Average daily census	3,807	2.00%	4,007	5.00%	4,207	7.5%	3,771		
Revenue (dollars in millions)	\$ 1,945.6	2.00%	\$ 2,048.0	5.00%	\$ 2,150.4	7.5%	\$ 1,949.0	2.0%	1.50%
Operating costs per patient day	\$ 1,217	2.00%	\$ 1,156	5.00%	\$ 1,101	7.5%	\$ 1,178	3.8%	2.85%
Total labor costs per patient day	\$ 579	2.00%	\$ 550	5.00%	\$ 524	7.5%	\$ 553	4.4%	3.30%
Total labor hours per patient day	19.4	1.00%	18.4	2.50%	17.5	3.75%	18.7	1.90%	1.43%
Controllable costs per patient day	\$ 355	1.00%	\$ 337	2.50%	\$ 321	3.75%	\$ 347	1.60%	1.20%
Quality goals:									
Clinical quality index	2.34	4.00%	2.22	10.00%	2.16	15.00%	1.97	15.00%	11.25%
Customer service index	4.17	4.00%	4.39	10.00%	4.52	15.00%	4.45	12.00%	9.00%
Alternate dispute resolution agreement acceptance %	82.7%	2.00%	87.0%	5.00%	91.4%	7.5%	88.1%	5.5%	4.13%
Aggregate employee turnover	23.2%	3.00%	22.0%	7.5%	21.4%	11.25%	22.0%	7.5%	5.62%
Employee satisfaction	56.1%	1.00%	59.0%	2.5%	60.8%	3.75%	54.5%		
Overall maximum limitation						-25.00%			
Total		40.00%		100.00%		135.00%		73.45%	55.09%

Table of Contents**Short-Term Incentive Plan Table B (continued)****Nursing Center division goals applicable for Mr. Bowen**

	Minimum		2010 Incentive Goals Target		Maximum (1)		Actual Performance Achieved	% of Target Bonus Achieved	Award % of Base Salary
	Goal	% of Bonus	Goal	% of Bonus	Goal	% of Bonus			
Financial goals:									
EBITDARM (dollars in millions) (4)	\$ 262.6	9.00%	\$ 276.4	22.5%	\$ 290.2	41.25%	\$ 304.9	41.25%	30.94%
Kindred Consolidated EBITDAR (dollars in millions) (3)	\$ 545.4	3.00%	\$ 574.1	7.5%	\$ 602.8	13.75%	\$ 575.0	7.50%	5.63%
Accounts receivable days outstanding	45.9	4.00%	43.6	10.00%	41.5	15.0%	43.8	12.20%	9.15%
Average daily census	23,173	2.00%	24,393	5.00%	25,613	7.5%	23,585	2.60%	1.95%
Medicare census	4,034	2.00%	4,246	5.00%	4,459	7.5%	3,878		
Managed care census	1,491	2.00%	1,569	5.00%	1,647	7.5%	1,418		
Hours per patient day	3.28	2.00%	3.36	5.00%	3.45	7.5%	3.43	6.88%	5.15%
Ancillary expense non-Medicaid admission	\$ 2,545	1.00%	\$ 2,418	2.5%	\$ 2,303	3.75%	\$ 2,415	2.50%	1.87%
Total controllable expense per patient day	\$ 11.72	1.00%	\$ 11.13	2.5%	\$ 10.60	3.75%	\$ 11.55	1.30%	0.98%
Quality goals:									
Survey results	1.103	2.00%	1.070	5.00%	1.039	7.5%	1.100	2.00%	1.50%
Clearing tags on first follow-up	89.2%	2.00%	92.0%	5.00%	94.8%	7.5%	94.0%	6.50%	4.87%
Customer satisfaction	75.2%	2.00%	77.5%	5.00%	79.8%	7.5%	75.2%	2.00%	1.50%
Arbitration agreement acceptance rate	85.5%	2.00%	90.0%	5.00%	92.7%	7.5%	90.7%	6.50%	4.87%
Consistent staffing assignment	77.6%	2.00%	80.0%	5.00%	82.4%	7.5%	96.8%	7.50%	5.62%
24/7 registered nurse coverage	92.2%	2.00%	95.0%	5.00%	97.9%	7.5%	98.6%	7.50%	5.62%
Aggregate employee turnover	40.1%	2.00%	38.9%	5.00%	37.8%	7.5%	39.6%	3.20%	2.40%
Overall maximum limitation						-25.00%			
Total		40.00%		100.00%		135.00%		109.43%	82.05%

- (1) Except as noted below, the maximum award level is capped in the aggregate at 125% of the target award for the participating named executive officers. If the maximum EBITDAR goal for the named executive officer was achieved or exceeded, the maximum award level is capped in the aggregate at 135% of the target award.
- (2) For 2010, the support center goals applied to Mr. Breier beginning on July 1, 2010. The hospital division goals applied to Mr. Breier from January 1, 2010 to June 30, 2010.
- (3) Kindred's performance goals include the financial measure EBITDAR from both continuing and discontinued operations. Kindred uses the term operating income (loss) in Kindred's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 23, 2011 (which we refer to as the 2010 Audited Financials) when referring to EBITDAR. Kindred uses EBITDAR as a meaningful measure of operating performance in addition to other measures. Kindred believes that net income is the most comparable measure, in relation to GAAP, to EBITDAR.

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

EBITDAR should be considered in addition to, not as a substitute for, or superior to, financial measures based upon GAAP as an indicator of operating performance. The actual performance achieved towards the 2010 EBITDAR goal can be calculated from the 2010 Audited Financials. EBITDAR for the year ended December 31, 2010 is calculated by eliminating from net income the impact of the following items related to both continuing and discontinued operations: loss on divestiture of operations, interest expense, investment income, income taxes, depreciation, amortization and rent (as set forth in the Consolidated Statement of Operations and Note 4 of the 2010 Audited Financials). For purposes of the 2010 calculation of EBITDAR, the costs and expenses of significant merger and acquisition activity and the financial benefits of such transactions were excluded from both the 2010 goal and Kindred's actual results.

Table of Contents

(4) EBITDARM is defined as divisional earnings before interest, income taxes, depreciation, amortization, rent and corporate overhead. Kindred uses the term operating income (loss) in the 2010 Audited Financials when referring to EBITDARM. Kindred believes that net income is the most comparable measure, in relation to GAAP, to EBITDARM. Kindred uses EBITDARM from both continuing and discontinued operations as a meaningful measure of divisional operating performance in addition to other measures. Net income is reported on a consolidated (rather than a divisional) basis in the 2010 Audited Financials, calculated by adding income from continuing operations and income (loss) from discontinued operations. The actual performance achieved towards the 2010 divisional EBITDARM goals can be calculated by adding divisional EBITDARM from continuing operations to divisional EBITDARM from discontinued operations. A reconciliation of divisional EBITDARM from continuing operations to income from continuing operations is presented in Note 6 of the 2010 Audited Financials. Divisional EBITDARM from discontinued operations is set forth in Note 4 of the 2010 Audited Financials. For purposes of the 2010 calculation of EBITDARM, the costs and expenses of significant merger and acquisition activity and the financial benefits of such transactions were excluded from both the 2010 goal and Kindred's actual results.

To calculate the final awards under the short-term incentive plan, the Kindred executive compensation committee multiplies the target bonus percentage for the named executive officer with the percentage of target achieved and the named executive officer's base salary. The calculations for 2010 were as follows:

Short-Term Incentive Plan 2010 Bonus Calculation							
	Target Bonus % (Table A)	x	% of Target Bonus Achieved (Table B)	x	2010 Base Salary	=	2010 Actual Award
Mr. Diaz	100%		95.0%		\$ 1,002,290		\$ 952,176
Mr. Lechleiter	75%		95.0%		\$ 434,741		\$ 309,753
Mr. Battafarano (1)	75%		95.0%		\$ 650,000		\$ 308,327
Mr. Breier	75%		(2)		\$ (2)		\$ 306,898
Mr. Bowen	75%		109.4%		\$ 426,026		\$ 349,554
Mr. Chapman	75%		95.0%		\$ 402,355		\$ 286,678

- (1) Mr. Battafarano's short-term incentive bonus was pro rated through his date of retirement on August 31, 2010.
- (2) Mr. Breier's short-term incentive bonus was calculated differently than the other named executive officers due to his promotion to chief operating officer. For 2010, Mr. Breier was subject to hospital division goals for the first half of the year and his prior base salary was used. For the second half of 2010, the calculation was based upon support center goals and his new salary as chief operating officer.

The calculation is as follows:

	Target Bonus % (Table A)	% of Target Bonus Achieved (Table B)	2010 Base Salary (1)	Percentage of Year	2010 Actual Award
Hospital division goals	75%	73.5%	\$ 400,005	49.6%	\$ 109,345
Support center goals	75%	95.0%	\$ 550,000	50.4%	\$ 197,553
Total					\$ 306,898

The Kindred executive compensation committee exercised no discretion in 2010 to adjust these awards. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Kindred executive compensation committee retains the right to administer the short-term incentive plan in its discretion, including the ability to reduce awards otherwise payable to a named executive officer for unforeseen events or circumstances, such as restatements of Kindred's financial statements. As noted previously, the Kindred executive compensation committee has established performance goals for 2011 under the short-term incentive plan. These goals are similar to the 2010 goals but reflect certain changes to focus the named executive officers and management on the operational and financial challenges Kindred expects to face in 2011.

Table of Contents*Long-term Incentive Plan*

Kindred's long-term incentive plan provides cash awards to Kindred's key employees, including the named executive officers, upon the attainment of specified performance objectives. For 2010, the performance period under the long-term incentive plan covered one year. For each performance period, the Kindred executive compensation committee selects participants who are in a position to contribute materially to the success of Kindred and establishes the performance goal or goals to be measured under the plan. For 2010, the performance goals for the named executive officers were based upon:

achieving targeted levels of EBITDAR;

achieving targeted levels of earnings per share;

attaining targeted levels of stock price appreciation; and

successfully implementing specified components of Kindred's strategic plan.

These goals were the same for each participant in the long-term incentive plan, including each named executive officer, and reflected company-wide measures. These goals are established with a view to be challenging but achievable with good operational focus on Kindred's businesses.

Cash awards under the long-term incentive plan are payable in three equal annual installments on or about each of the first, second, and third anniversaries of the end of the relevant performance period, provided generally that the participant is employed by Kindred at the time payments are due. This delayed payment feature serves as a significant retention vehicle for Kindred. The awards earned in 2010 will be paid in three equal annual installments commencing on or about December 1, 2011.

Under the long-term incentive plan, participants are eligible to receive cash awards based upon a percentage of their base salary. These percentages vary depending upon the participant's position within Kindred and the extent to which the performance goals established by the Kindred executive compensation committee are attained. The maximum awards available under the long-term incentive plan as a percentage of base salary are 100% for the chief executive officer and 90% for the other named executive officers. No awards are earned under the long-term incentive plan until certain minimum levels of performance are achieved.

The following chart reflects the potential award levels for the named executive officers as a percentage of base salary for 2010:

	Long-Term Incentive Plan		
	% of Base Salary		
	Minimum	Target	Maximum
Mr. Diaz	10%	50%	100%
Mr. Lechleiter	9%	45%	90%
Mr. Battafarano (1)			
Mr. Breier	9%	45%	90%
Mr. Bowen	9%	45%	90%
Mr. Chapman	9%	45%	90%

(1) Mr. Battafarano was not eligible for an award under the long-term incentive plan for 2010 due to his retirement.

The following chart depicts the minimum, target and maximum goals that were achievable under the long-term incentive plan, as well as the actual levels achieved for 2010 for Mr. Diaz and the other participating named executive officers.

Table of Contents**Long-Term Incentive Plan**

	Minimum		2010 Incentive Goals Target		Maximum		Actual Achieved	Award as a % of Base Salary
	Goal	Award % of Salary	Goal	Award % of Salary	Goal	Award % of Salary (1)		
Mr. Diaz:								
EBITDAR (dollars in millions) (2)	\$ 556.8	3.5%	\$ 574.1	17.5%	\$ 591.3	47.3%	\$ 575.0	17.5%
Earnings per share	\$ 1.01	3.5%	\$ 1.28	17.5%	\$ 1.55	47.3%	\$ 1.49	35.4%
Stock price appreciation	\$ 19.38	2.0%	\$ 20.31	10.0%	\$ 22.15	27.0%	\$ 18.37	
Strategic plan (3)	Level I	1.0%	Level II	5.0%	Level III	13.5%	Level III	13.5%
Total								66.4%
Other named executive officers:								
EBITDAR (dollars in millions) (2)	\$ 556.8	3.2%	\$ 574.1	15.8%	\$ 591.3	42.5%	\$ 575.0	15.8%
Earnings per share	\$ 1.01	3.2%	\$ 1.28	15.8%	\$ 1.55	42.5%	\$ 1.49	31.8%
Stock price appreciation	\$ 19.38	1.8%	\$ 20.31	9.0%	\$ 22.15	24.3%	\$ 18.37	
Strategic plan (3)	Level I	0.9%	Level II	4.5%	Level III	12.2%	Level III	12.1%
Total								59.7%

- The maximum award level is capped in the aggregate at 100% of base salary for Mr. Diaz and 90% of base salary for the other participating named executive officers.
- Kindred's performance goals include the financial measure EBITDAR from both continuing and discontinued operations. Kindred uses the term operating income (loss) in the 2010 Audited Financials when referring to EBITDAR. Kindred uses EBITDAR as a meaningful measure of operating performance in addition to other measures. Kindred believes that net income is the most comparable measure, in relation to GAAP, to EBITDAR. EBITDAR should be considered in addition to, not as a substitute for, or superior to, financial measures based upon GAAP as an indicator of operating performance. The actual performance achieved towards the 2010 EBITDAR goal can be calculated from the 2010 Audited Financials. EBITDAR for the year ended December 31, 2010 is calculated by eliminating from net income the impact of the following items related to both continuing and discontinued operations: loss on divestiture of operations, interest expense, investment income, income taxes, depreciation, amortization and rent (as set forth in the Consolidated Statement of Operations and Note 4 of the 2010 Audited Financials). For purposes of the 2010 calculation of EBITDAR, the costs and expenses of significant merger and acquisition activity and the financial benefits of such transactions were excluded from both the 2010 goal and Kindred's actual results.
- The strategic planning goals are approved by the Kindred executive compensation committee and are based upon the achievement of specified levels of Kindred's strategic plan. The minimum target is achieved by satisfying level I objectives, the target goal is achieved by satisfying level I and level II objectives and the maximum goal is achieved by satisfying level I, level II and level III objectives. The Kindred executive compensation committee exercised no discretion in 2010 to adjust these awards. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Kindred executive compensation committee retains the right to administer the long-term incentive plan in its discretion, including the ability to reduce awards otherwise payable to a named executive officer for unforeseen events or circumstances, such as restatements of Kindred's financial statements.

As noted previously, the Kindred executive compensation committee has established performance goals for 2011 under the long-term incentive plan. These goals are similar to the 2010 goals but reflect certain changes to focus the named executive officers and management on the operational and financial challenges Kindred expects to face in 2011.

Table of Contents

Special Cash Bonuses

The Kindred executive compensation committee awarded Mr. Diaz a special cash bonus of \$150,000 in recognition of his exceptional performance in 2010. The Kindred executive compensation committee noted that Mr. Diaz has successfully transitioned Mr. Breier into the role of chief operating officer and filled a key role in hiring a successor for Kindred's hospital division. The Kindred executive compensation committee also noted his substantial efforts to improve Kindred's quality and customer service and his efforts to execute Kindred's cluster market strategy.

Equity-Based Compensation

Consideration of Annual Equity Awards

Kindred uses equity-based compensation as a key component of its overall executive compensation strategy. Such awards provide a direct and long-term link between the results achieved for Kindred stockholders and the total direct compensation provided to the named executive officers. Equity-based compensation is designed to retain the named executive officers through time-based vesting conditions, improve financial results by subjecting a portion of the equity compensation to certain financial goals, and to motivate the named executive officer to enhance the value of Kindred common stock by aligning the financial interests of the named executive officers with those of Kindred stockholders.

Historically, the Kindred executive compensation committee has awarded a mixture of equity awards between stock options, service-based restricted stock and performance-based restricted stock units to achieve Kindred's compensation strategies in relation to the total cost to Kindred. Many companies in Kindred's peer group also allocate equity awards between various forms of equity. The Kindred executive compensation committee believes that such equity awards provide an effective incentive for management to create stockholder value over several years since the full benefit of this element of compensation is primarily realized as a result of appreciation in the price of Kindred common stock. When evaluating equity-based compensation, the Kindred executive compensation committee also considers the limitations imposed by Kindred's capital structure, the accounting costs associated with the form of equity award and the perceived benefits by management of the awards.

In 2010, the Kindred executive compensation committee granted service-based restricted stock and performance-based restricted stock units to its named executive officers. The Kindred executive compensation committee elected to use full value shares in 2010 in order to conserve shares under its existing equity incentive plan. The Kindred executive compensation committee believes that service-based restricted stock promotes retention of the named executive officers and builds their ownership stake in Kindred. The performance-based restricted stock units further enhance Kindred's pay for performance strategies by linking the vesting of the performance-based restricted stock unit to Kindred's financial performance during the applicable performance period. Performance-based restricted stock units are not issued, nor do they entitle the potential recipient to vote or receive distributions, until the relevant performance goals are achieved.

While the Kindred executive compensation committee does not have a formal policy with respect to the timing of grants of equity-based awards in connection with the release of material non-public information, the Kindred executive compensation committee generally considers issues raised by the timing of award grants when making such awards. The exercise price of stock options is equal to the closing price of Kindred common stock on the NYSE on the date of grant. The Kindred executive compensation committee has not granted options with an exercise price that is less than the closing price of Kindred common stock on the NYSE on the grant date and it has not granted stock options that are priced on a date other than the grant date.

The amount of equity awarded to the named executive officers is based upon a number of factors. First, the Kindred executive compensation committee considers an overall assessment of Kindred's performance and the equity granting practices of other companies in the healthcare industry and its peer group. In addition, the

Table of Contents

Kindred executive compensation committee considers information prepared by Mercer and Cook with respect to the form of the equity awards and the relative costs. Based upon this assessment, the Kindred executive compensation committee then establishes an aggregate pool of potential equity awards for all participants in the equity-based incentive plan, including the named executive officers.

Once the aggregate pool of potential equity awards is established, the Kindred executive compensation committee considers benchmarks by position from the peer group in evaluating potential awards to the named executive officers. The chief executive officer also provides an assessment to the Kindred executive compensation committee of the overall level of performance for the other named executive officers. The Kindred executive compensation committee then considers the overall performance of the named executive officer and his actual and potential contribution to Kindred's growth and long-term performance in determining individual awards. The assessment of actual and potential contribution is based upon the Kindred executive compensation committee's subjective evaluation of each named executive officer in light of various operational and strategic challenges and opportunities facing the named executive officer during the relevant year. The Kindred executive compensation committee also considers how the potential equity award impacts a named executive officer's total direct compensation. Based upon these assessments, the Kindred executive compensation committee ranks each named executive officer in one of three award levels—high, middle or low. These award levels are converted to a multiple of base salary, generally within the relevant benchmark, and awards are made from Kindred's aggregate pool of available equity awards established by the Kindred executive compensation committee.

In February 2010, after evaluating the performance of Kindred for 2009, the Kindred executive compensation committee established an aggregate pool of approximately 662,000 shares for potential equity awards to all employee participants. The Kindred executive compensation committee determined that the aggregate pool was appropriate given Kindred's overall performance in 2009 and stock price appreciation, along with the cost of the awards, and the dilutive effect of the awards on stockholders' equity given Kindred's relatively low number of outstanding shares.

In February 2010, the Kindred executive compensation committee granted annual equity awards to the named executive officers from the aggregate pool as follows:

	2010 Equity Grant	
	Service-based Restricted Stock	Performance-based Restricted Stock Units
Mr. Diaz	100,000	100,000
Mr. Lechleiter	21,823	21,823
Mr. Battafarano		
Mr. Breier	24,095	24,095
Mr. Bowen	30,662	25,662
Mr. Chapman	16,158	16,158

The service-based restricted stock vests in equal annual installments over four years. An award of performance-based restricted stock units are divided into three equal annual tranches relating to three consecutive annual performance periods. At the beginning of the relevant performance period, the Kindred executive compensation committee establishes the performance goals for the applicable tranches. If the performance goals are not satisfied in a given year, then the unearned performance-based restricted stock units in such year's tranche will be forfeited by the named executive officer.

As noted above, the individual awards also are based upon the Kindred executive compensation committee's subjective evaluation of the named executive officer's performance during the 2009 calendar year. The Kindred executive compensation committee determined that Messrs. Diaz, Bowen and Breier had performed at the high range during 2009. The Kindred executive compensation committee determined that Mr. Lechleiter and Mr. Chapman had performed at the middle range. The Kindred executive compensation committee determined not to award Mr. Battafarano any equity due to his anticipated retirement in August 2010.

Table of Contents

In making these grants, the Kindred executive compensation committee considered the performance of Mr. Diaz in successfully growing the revenues and volumes in each of Kindred's three operating businesses despite significant Medicare and Medicaid reimbursement reductions. Mr. Diaz also led Kindred's organic development and external growth strategies in key cluster markets. Kindred continued its development of new hospitals, sub-acute units and transitional care centers and units. Mr. Diaz also actively promoted Kindred's ongoing efforts to improve clinical quality and customer service.

The awards for Mr. Breier reflect his efforts to continue the growth of the hospital division despite significant Medicare reimbursement reductions. Under Mr. Breier, the division continued to grow patient admissions, particularly non-government admissions. The division also made important gains in expanding its service lines to capture a more diversified patient population and to take advantage of unused hospital capacity.

In evaluating Mr. Bowen's performance, the Kindred executive compensation committee noted that the nursing center division made significant improvements in employee turnover, quality and customer service. The division also continued its successful development of transitional care centers and units to attract higher acuity patients who require more extensive services including rehabilitation therapy.

With respect to Mr. Lechleiter, the Kindred executive compensation committee recognized solid performance in managing Kindred's cash flows and improving its balance sheet. Under Mr. Lechleiter's leadership, the Kindred executive compensation committee believes Kindred has positioned its balance sheet for future growth. The Kindred executive compensation committee also noted Mr. Chapman's efforts to successfully manage information technology costs and to promote Kindred's investments in employee orientation, continuing clinical education and leadership development programs which have improved Kindred's employee turnover rates.

As described above, the Kindred executive compensation committee granted these equity awards based upon its subjective evaluation that the equity awards were appropriate and desirable considering the foregoing contributions of the named executive officers to Kindred and the Kindred executive compensation committee's desire to award total direct compensation that is competitive within its peer group. The Kindred executive compensation committee also considered the retention benefits of awarding service-based restricted stock as well as the link between Kindred's financial performance and the compensation provided to the named executive officers created by awarding performance-based restricted stock units.

As discussed under "Employment and Other Agreements" beginning on page 171, Mr. Breier also was awarded 20,000 service-based restricted shares on March 30, 2010 in recognition of his promotion to chief operating officer.

During 2010, three tranches of performance-based restricted stock units were eligible for vesting. Each of the tranches were subject to the same performance goals. The following chart depicts the minimum, target and maximum goals established for the 2010 performance period for these tranches of performance-based restricted stock units.

	Minimum		Target		Maximum		Actual Performance Achieved	% of Award Achieved (1)
	Goal	% of Award	Goal	% of Award	Goal	% of Award (1)		
EBITDAR (dollars in millions) (2)	\$ 556.8	10.0%	\$ 574.1	50.0%	\$ 591.3	67.5%	\$ 575.0	50.0%
Earnings per share	\$ 1.01	10.0%	\$ 1.28	50.0%	\$ 1.55	67.5%	\$ 1.49	60.5%
Less maximum limit (1)								110.5%
Total								100.0%

(1) The maximum award level is capped in the aggregate at 100% of the potential award.

(2) Kindred's performance goals include the financial measure EBITDAR from both continuing and discontinued operations. Kindred uses the term operating income (loss) in the 2010 Audited Financials when referring to

Table of Contents

EBITDAR. Kindred uses EBITDAR as a meaningful measure of operating performance in addition to other measures. Kindred believes that net income is the most comparable measure, in relation to GAAP, to EBITDAR. EBITDAR should be considered in addition to, not as a substitute for, or superior to, financial measures based upon GAAP as an indicator of operating performance. The actual performance achieved towards the 2010 EBITDAR goal can be calculated from the 2010 Audited Financials. EBITDAR for the year ended December 31, 2010 is calculated by eliminating from net income the impact of the following items related to both continuing and discontinued operations: loss on divestiture of operations, interest expense, investment income, income taxes, depreciation, amortization and rent (as set forth in the Consolidated Statement of Operations and Note 4 of the 2010 Audited Financials). For purposes of the 2010 calculation of EBITDAR, the costs and expenses of significant merger and acquisition activity and the financial benefits of such transactions were excluded from both the 2010 goal and Kindred's actual results.

Performance-Based Restricted Stock Units Earned in 2010

The following chart reflects the actual performance-based restricted stock units earned for achieving the 2010 goals:

	Mr. Diaz	Mr. Lechleiter	Mr. Battafarano	Mr. Breier	Mr. Bowen	Mr. Chapman
Shares eligible from 2008 grant	8,176	1,414	6,485	3,302	2,203	1,309
Shares eligible from 2009 grant	9,752	4,620	1,609	4,384	2,417	1,417
Shares eligible from 2010 grant	33,333	7,274		8,032	8,554	5,386
Total eligible shares	51,261	13,308	8,094	15,718	13,174	8,112
% of goals achieved	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Shares actually earned	51,261	13,308	8,094	15,718	13,174	8,112

The Kindred executive compensation committee has established performance goals for the 2011 performance period for certain tranches of the outstanding performance-based restricted stock units. These goals are substantially similar to the 2010 goals but reflect revised targets for 2011.

Stock Ownership Guidelines

In 2010, Kindred revised its stock ownership guidelines for its executive officers, including the named executive officers. In response to comments raised by stockholders, the Kindred executive compensation committee reviewed all facets of the guidelines. The Kindred executive compensation committee considered the stock ownership requirements of peer companies as well as Kindred's historic equity grants and its expected ability to grant equity in the future. The Kindred executive compensation committee believes that the revised guidelines will ensure that the named executive officers hold a sufficient amount of Kindred common stock to further strengthen the long-term link between the results achieved for Kindred stockholders and the compensation provided to the named executive officers.

The revised guidelines implemented the following significant changes:

Increased the ownership holding requirement for each named executive officer by 0.5x his base salary.

Requires that 50% of the net shares awarded to the named executive officer be held immediately until the guidelines are satisfied.

Requires that 100% of the net shares awarded to the named executive officer be held to satisfy the guidelines if the executive officer has not satisfied the guidelines within five years.

Prohibits each named executive officer from selling, assigning or otherwise transferring any net shares received upon the exercise of any stock option or vesting of a restricted stock award (whether service-based or performance-based) for a one-year period beginning on the date the underlying stock option is exercised or the restricted stock award is vested.

Allows unvested service-based restricted stock to satisfy the ownership requirements.

Table of Contents

The stock ownership guidelines for the named executive officers are determined as a multiple of the Named executive officer's base salary as follows:

	Multiple of Base Salary
Mr. Diaz	3.0x
Mr. Lechleiter	2.0x
Mr. Breier	2.0x
Mr. Bowen	1.5x
Mr. Chapman	1.5x

The minimum number of shares to be held by the named executive officers will be calculated on each August 3 based upon the average of the high and low price of Kindred common stock on the NYSE on that date. The revised guidelines are not applicable to Mr. Battafarano as a result of his retirement.

If the applicable guideline is not achieved, the named executive officer is required to retain an amount equal to 50% of net shares received under any equity awards. If the applicable guideline is not achieved by August 3, 2015, the named executive officer is required to retain 100% of net shares received under any future equity awards. The Kindred board of directors may, at its discretion, waive the stock ownership guidelines if compliance would create a substantial hardship or prevent a named executive officer from complying with a court order.

In determining whether a named executive officer satisfies the required ownership level, the calculation will include stock held directly by the named executive officer or owned either jointly with, or separately by, his immediate family members residing in the same household, shares held in trust for the benefit of the named executive officer or his immediate family members and service-based restricted stock. Stock ownership does not include unexercised stock options, stock appreciation rights, or the non-vested portion of any performance-based restricted stock units.

Regardless of whether the applicable minimum ownership requirement has been met, each director and executive officer shall be prohibited from selling, assigning or otherwise transferring all net shares received upon the exercise of any stock option or vesting of a restricted stock award for a one year period beginning on the date the underlying stock option is exercised or the restricted stock award is vested.

Stock Trading Restrictions

Kindred maintains a securities trading policy which applies to its employees including the named executive officers. As part of the securities trading policy, Kindred's employees are prohibited from (1) short selling Kindred common stock, (2) purchasing Kindred common stock on margin, and (3) entering into hedging transactions involving Kindred common stock. Named executive officers who violate such prohibitions are subject to disciplinary proceedings including dismissal.

Section 401(k) Plan and Other Perquisites and Benefits

Kindred maintains a Section 401(k) plan (which we refer to as the 401(k) Plan) that is a tax-qualified defined contribution retirement savings plan under which all eligible employees, including the named executive officers, are eligible to contribute the lesser of (1) 30% of their pay or (2) the limit prescribed by the IRS, on a pretax basis. After one year of service, Kindred has historically matched 25% of the first 6% of pay that a participant contributes to the 401(k) Plan and also may provide additional profit sharing contributions based upon Kindred's achievement of financial goals established by the Kindred executive compensation committee. All employee contributions to the 401(k) Plan are fully vested upon contribution and Kindred's matching contribution vests in equal installments over four years or in full immediately once the employee has four years

Table of Contents

of service. Contributions to the 401(k) Plan by the named executive officers are usually limited by IRS rules. For 2010, the Kindred executive compensation committee elected to continue to suspend Kindred's matching contributions. However, the Kindred executive compensation committee elected to provide a profit-sharing match for 2010 based on achievement of targeted EBITDAR goals. For 2010, the Kindred executive compensation committee awarded all employees participating in the 401(k) Plan an aggregate of \$2 million in profit sharing contributions.

In addition, the named executive officers may participate in the Kindred Deferred Compensation Plan (which we refer to as the "DCP"), which is available to certain employees who are deemed "highly compensated" under the applicable IRS regulations. A participant in the DCP may elect to defer up to 25% of such participant's base salary and up to 100% of such participant's award under the short-term incentive plan into the DCP during each plan year. The DCP provides for Kindred to match such participant's account balance with an amount equal to (1) the 401(k) Plan contribution that would be calculated using the matching contribution formula in effect for such plan year, less (2) the amount such participant would receive during the plan year as a matching contribution under the 401(k) Plan if such participant had contributed the maximum amount of elective deferral contribution permissible under the administrative provisions of the 401(k) Plan for persons of such participant's status. For 2010, the Kindred executive compensation committee elected to continue to suspend Kindred's matching contribution. The DCP is discussed in further detail under the heading "Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Table - Fiscal Year 2010" beginning on page 184.

Kindred and the Kindred executive compensation committee believe that, in order to attract and retain qualified executives and other key employees, the provision of certain perquisites and other personal benefits to such executives and other key employees is reasonable and consistent with Kindred's overall executive compensation program. Such benefits provided to the named executive officers include the payment of life insurance premiums, limited personal use of Kindred's aircraft and the ability to receive a discounted cash payment in lieu of accumulated paid time off benefits.

Employment and Other Agreements

For several years, Kindred has maintained employment agreements with its executive officers, including the named executive officers. The Kindred executive compensation committee believes that these arrangements are typical in healthcare companies and ease the consequences of an unexpected termination of employment. The Kindred executive compensation committee also believes that severance terms and benefits under these agreements are generally competitive within the healthcare industry and are important factors in attracting and retaining executive talent. These agreements also support the retention of key employees during periods of uncertainty.

Mr. Diaz

Effective January 1, 2004, Kindred entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Diaz in connection with his promotion to chief executive officer that was revised in December 2008 to ensure documentary compliance with Section 409A of the Code and related regulations (which we refer to as "Section 409A"). The agreement has a three-year term, which is extended automatically each day by one day unless Kindred notifies Mr. Diaz of its intent not to extend the term. Upon such notification, the employment agreement will terminate in three years. Mr. Diaz's employment agreement provides for a base salary and the ability to participate in Kindred's short-term and long-term incentive plans, Kindred's equity-based plans and other employee benefit plans. Mr. Diaz may receive increases in his base salary as approved by the Kindred executive compensation committee.

Mr. Diaz's employment agreement also provides for severance payments if his employment is terminated under certain circumstances. The amount and circumstances giving rise to these severance payments are discussed in further detail under the heading "Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control" beginning on page 185.

Table of Contents

Mr. Battafarano

Effective March 1, 2008, the Kindred board of directors promoted Mr. Battafarano to chief operating officer. In connection with this promotion, Kindred entered into a new employment agreement with Mr. Battafarano, which was revised in December 2008 to ensure documentary compliance with Section 409A. The agreement had a three-year term and provided Mr. Battafarano an annual base salary of \$650,000. The agreement also permitted Mr. Battafarano to participate in Kindred's short-term incentive plan with a target bonus of 75% of base salary, Kindred's long-term incentive plan with a target bonus of 45% of base salary, Kindred's equity-based plan and other employee benefit plans.

Mr. Battafarano retired as Kindred's chief operating officer effective August 31, 2010. In connection with Mr. Battafarano's retirement, Kindred and Mr. Battafarano entered into an Agreement and General Release of Claims dated August 31, 2010 (which we refer to as the Release Agreement). The Release Agreement provides for:

The settlement, waiver, release and discharge of any and all claims or actions arising from Mr. Battafarano's employment with Kindred; and

Certain non-competition and non-solicitation restrictions applicable to Mr. Battafarano for a period of one year beginning August 31, 2010.

Consistent with the terms of his employment agreement discussed above, the Release Agreement also provides for certain payments and benefits to Mr. Battafarano as follows:

Cash severance in the amount of \$622,500 payable on September 15, 2010;

Amounts previously earned by Mr. Battafarano under Kindred's long-term incentive plan totaling \$768,066 and payable over three years consistent with Kindred's long-term incentive plan;

An amount equal to the prorated portion of the award Mr. Battafarano was otherwise eligible to receive under Kindred's short-term incentive plan in respect of the 2010 calendar year based upon Kindred's actual performance in 2010 and payable consistent with Kindred's short-term incentive plan;

For a 38-month period following August 31, 2010, Mr. Battafarano is entitled to continued coverage under Kindred's employee benefit plans; and

For a 36-month period following August 31, 2010, Mr. Battafarano is entitled to additional vesting of stock options and performance-based restricted stock units in accordance with their original terms, including any related performance measures, and the opportunity to exercise the options within such time period (but in no event beyond the expiration of the original term of such options). In addition, any outstanding service-based restricted stock held by Mr. Battafarano as of August 31, 2010 that would have otherwise vested during the 36 month period following August 31, 2010 immediately vested.

Mr. Breier

On March 30, 2010, the Kindred board of directors appointed Mr. Breier to succeed Mr. Battafarano as chief operating officer. Mr. Breier began serving as chief operating officer on August 31, 2010 (which we refer to as the COO Effective Date). Mr. Breier previously served as Kindred's executive vice president and president, hospital division since March 2008.

In connection with his promotion, Kindred entered into a new Employment Agreement (which we refer to as the COO Agreement) with Mr. Breier, pursuant to which Mr. Breier will serve Kindred as chief operating officer. Until the COO Effective Date, however, Mr. Breier

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

continued to serve as executive vice president and president, hospital division pursuant to the terms of his pre-existing employment agreement dated December 18, 2008 (which we refer to as the 2008 Employment Agreement). On the COO Effective Date, the COO Agreement replaced, in all respects, the 2008 Employment Agreement.

Table of Contents

The COO Agreement has a one-year term which is extended automatically each day by one day unless Kindred notifies Mr. Breier of its intent not to extend the term. Upon such notification, the COO Agreement will terminate in one year. The COO Agreement provides that as of the COO Effective Date, Mr. Breier is entitled to an annual base salary of \$550,000 and participation in Kindred's short-term incentive plan with a full-year target bonus of 75% of base salary, Kindred's long-term incentive plan with a full-year target bonus of 45% of base salary, Kindred's equity-based plans and other employee benefit plans. Mr. Breier may receive increases in his base salary as approved by the Kindred executive compensation committee.

The COO Agreement also provides for severance payments under certain circumstances. Following termination for any reason, Mr. Breier is entitled to receive accrued wages through the date of termination, as well as any amounts owed to him pursuant to the terms and conditions of the benefit plans and programs of Kindred. In addition, subject to the execution of a general release of claims satisfactory to Kindred, Mr. Breier is entitled to certain additional payments. If employment is terminated by reason of death or disability, Mr. Breier is entitled to a prorated portion of his short-term incentive target award in the year of termination (based upon actual performance). If Mr. Breier's employment is terminated by Kindred for cause, no additional payments are made under the COO Agreement. If his employment is subject to termination for good reason (as defined in the COO Agreement) or other than for cause (which we refer to collectively as an Involuntary Termination), certain levels of severance payments are provided under the COO Agreement.

If Mr. Breier's employment is subject to an Involuntary Termination, the COO Agreement provides for a cash severance payment equal to the prorated portion of the short-term incentive award earned in the year of termination (based upon actual performance) and two times his base salary and short-term incentive target award in the year of termination. In addition, for a 24 month period following his termination date, Mr. Breier would be entitled to continued coverage under Kindred's employee benefit plans, additional vesting of service-based restricted stock and performance-based restricted stock units and stock options, and the opportunity to exercise the options within such time period (but in no event beyond the expiration of the original term of such options).

In connection with entering into the COO Agreement, Kindred awarded Mr. Breier 20,000 service-based restricted shares of Kindred common stock, vesting in equal annual installments over four years.

In connection with this promotion, Messrs. Diaz and Breier met on several occasions to discuss the potential terms of the compensation package for Mr. Breier. In addition, Mercer provided Mr. Diaz with comparative compensation data for a chief operating officer position with the relative experience and qualifications of Mr. Breier. After these discussions, Mr. Diaz made his recommendations to the Kindred executive compensation committee regarding the compensation package for Mr. Breier. Mr. Diaz also provided Mercer's recommendations to the Kindred executive compensation committee regarding the compensation package for Mr. Breier. Mercer noted that its recommendation was based on market compensation levels and that the equity grants were common practice in the case of promotion. The Kindred executive compensation committee believed that this level of compensation was appropriate given Mr. Breier's increased level of responsibility and his past performance with Kindred. The Kindred executive compensation committee also believed that the termination benefits provided under the new agreement were appropriate to incentivize Mr. Breier to remain with Kindred and consistent with the benefits previously provided under his 2008 Employment Agreement.

Mr. Lechleiter, Mr. Bowen and Mr. Chapman

For several years, Kindred also has had employment agreements with Messrs. Lechleiter, Bowen and Chapman. Each of these agreements were revised in December 2008 to ensure documentary compliance with Section 409A. The agreements for Mr. Lechleiter, Mr. Bowen and Mr. Chapman contain substantially similar terms. These agreements have a one-year term, which is extended automatically each day by one day unless Kindred notifies the named executive officer of its intent not to extend the term. Upon such notification, the employment agreement will terminate in one year.

Table of Contents

The employment agreements provide a base salary and the ability of these named executive officers to participate in Kindred's short-term and long-term cash incentive plans, Kindred's equity-based plans and other employee benefit plans. The named executive officer may receive increases in his base salary as approved by the Kindred executive compensation committee.

The employment agreements also provide for severance payments if the named executive officer's employment is terminated under certain circumstances. The amount and circumstances giving rise to these severance payments are discussed in further detail under the heading "Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control" beginning on page 185.

Change in Control Severance Agreements

For several years, Kindred has had change in control severance agreements with its executive officers, including each of the named executive officers. The agreements for the named executive officers generally contain substantially similar terms. These agreements provide for the payment of severance benefits under certain circumstances. The amount and circumstances giving rise to these severance benefits are discussed in further detail under the heading "Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control" beginning on page 185. The Kindred executive compensation committee has provided change in control severance agreements to its named executive officers since it believes that these arrangements are typical in healthcare companies and to avoid the distraction and loss of key management personnel that may occur in connection with a rumored or actual change in control. The Kindred executive compensation committee believes that such agreements protect Kindred and its stockholders by maintaining employee focus and alignment with stockholders during rumored or actual change in control activities and support the retention of key employees during periods of uncertainty.

Executive Compensation Tax Deductibility

Section 162(m) of the Code generally provides that the compensation paid by publicly held corporations to the chief executive officer and any employee whose compensation is required to be reported to stockholders by reason of such employee being among the three other most highly paid executive officers of Kindred (other than the chief financial officer) in the year for which a deduction is claimed by Kindred (including its subsidiaries) in excess of \$1 million per executive will be deductible by Kindred only if paid pursuant to qualifying performance-based compensation plans approved by stockholders of Kindred. Compensation as defined by the Code includes, among other things, base salary, incentive compensation and gains on stock options and restricted stock. Although Kindred attempts to structure incentive compensation to be deductible for federal income tax purposes, Kindred's primary policy is to maximize the effectiveness of Kindred's executive compensation program. In that regard, the Kindred executive compensation committee intends to remain flexible to take actions that are deemed to be in the best interests of Kindred and its stockholders. Such actions may not always qualify for tax deductibility under the Code.

Beginning on January 1, 2006, Kindred began accounting for equity-based incentive compensation in accordance with the requirements of the authoritative guidance for stock-based compensation, now known as Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718 Stock Compensation (which we refer to as FASB ASC Topic 718).

Proposed 2011 Stock Incentive Plan

On March 21, 2011, the Kindred board of directors approved, subject to stockholder approval, a new 2011 Stock Incentive Plan that will increase the number of shares available for future equity awards by three million shares. As discussed previously, the Kindred executive compensation committee uses equity incentives as a primary component in its overall executive compensation program. The Kindred executive compensation committee believes that equity awards are critical to its ability to appropriately incentivize and retain the named executive officers and other key management personnel. Equity-based compensation also provides an effective incentive for management to create stockholder value over several years since the full benefit of this element of

Table of Contents

compensation is primarily realized as a result of the appreciation in the price of Kindred common stock. Moreover, the Kindred executive compensation committee believes that equity awards are an important strategic tool for attracting highly qualified management personnel. The Kindred executive compensation committee anticipates that the additional number of shares being requested will be sufficient for competitive and effective equity awards.

For more information, see The Kindred Annual Meeting Item 6 Proposal To Approve the Kindred 2011 Stock Incentive Plan beginning on page 125.

Evaluation of Compensation Policies and Practices as They Relate to Risk Management

The Kindred executive compensation committee believes that the performance measures it has selected appropriately reward performance without encouraging unnecessary and excessive risk taking on the part of Kindred's employees. The allocation of potential awards among various financial and quality goals encourages Kindred's employees to balance short-term objectives with long-term operational and clinical performance and financial stability. Moreover, the selected performance measures are aligned with Kindred's key success factors and operational objectives.

In addition, the goals are tied to facility, district, regional, divisional and enterprise performance with no single goal comprising a material portion of the overall award. The Kindred executive compensation committee believes that the incentive plans and goals are administered consistently throughout Kindred's operating divisions. Kindred also has in place various compensating controls such as internal audit functions, a compliance hotline and quality controls to further support the compensation committee's conclusions on the risk assessment.

Table of Contents**2010 Summary Compensation Table**

The following table sets forth certain information regarding compensation for fiscal years 2010, 2009 and 2008 for Kindred's named executive officers.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary	Bonus	Stock Awards (1)	Option Awards (2)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (3)	Change in Pension Value and Non-Qualified Deferred Earnings Compensation (4)	All Other Compensation (5)	Total
Paul J. Diaz President and Chief Executive Officer	2010	\$ 1,002,290	\$ 150,000(6)	\$ 2,724,211(7)		\$ 1,617,195	\$ 2,675	\$ 90,414	\$ 5,586,785
	2009	\$ 1,040,839	\$ 300,000	\$ 706,636	\$ 422,721	\$ 2,161,940	\$ 5,475	\$ 107,893	\$ 4,745,504
	2008	\$ 998,269		\$ 844,692	\$ 593,672	\$ 1,083,575	\$ 696	\$ 90,828	\$ 3,611,732
Richard A. Lechleiter Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2010	\$ 434,741		\$ 632,709(7)		\$ 569,359	\$ 695	\$ 36,304	\$ 1,673,808
	2009	\$ 451,462		\$ 298,925	\$ 128,028	\$ 693,064	\$ 1,739	\$ 38,655	\$ 1,611,873
	2008	\$ 433,147		\$ 146,043	\$ 102,651	\$ 299,606	\$ 270	\$ 24,836	\$ 1,006,553
Benjamin A. Breier Chief Operating Officer	2010	\$ 449,046		\$ 1,093,832(7)		\$ 635,339		\$ 152,739	\$ 2,330,956
	2009	\$ 415,390	\$ 250,000	\$ 312,235	\$ 117,798	\$ 576,008		\$ 63,962	\$ 1,735,393
	2008	\$ 375,752		\$ 405,686	\$ 129,026	\$ 228,549		\$ 63,257	\$ 1,202,270
Lane M. Bowen Executive Vice President and President, Nursing Center Division	2010	\$ 426,026		\$ 789,487(7)		\$ 603,955	\$ 2,507	\$ 36,262	\$ 1,858,237
	2009	\$ 442,411		\$ 177,690	\$ 112,525	\$ 676,614	\$ 4,867	\$ 58,986	\$ 1,473,093
	2008	\$ 424,024		\$ 227,563	\$ 159,961	\$ 348,020	\$ 521	\$ 11,169	\$ 1,171,258
Frank J. Battafarano Former Chief Operating Officer*	2010	\$ 442,500		\$ 145,773(7)		\$ 308,327	\$ 134,864(8)	\$ 793,653	\$ 1,825,117
	2009	\$ 675,000		\$ 191,433	\$ 69,733	\$ 1,149,038	\$ 37,697(9)	\$ 67,682	\$ 2,190,583
	2008	\$ 606,218		\$ 799,103	\$ 249,386	\$ 540,199	\$ 209,957(9)	\$ 59,652	\$ 2,464,515
Richard E. Chapman Executive Vice President and Chief Administrative and Information Officer	2010	\$ 402,355		\$ 437,103(7)		\$ 526,944	\$ 2,793	\$ 9,399	\$ 1,378,594
	2009	\$ 417,830		\$ 104,401	\$ 80,375	\$ 641,435	\$ 5,482	\$ 7,516	\$ 1,257,039
	2008	\$ 400,882		\$ 135,169	\$ 95,004	\$ 277,287	\$ 648	\$ 7,162	\$ 916,152

* Mr. Battafarano retired from Kindred effective August 31, 2010.

(1) Amounts in this column represent the aggregate grant date fair value computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. The aggregate grant date fair value for awards of service-based restricted stock is calculated using the closing price of Kindred common stock on the date of grant, without regard to when and how the service-based restricted stock vests. With respect to performance-based restricted stock units, because each award consists of three tranches and performance goals are established annually at the beginning of each tranche's respective single-year performance period, aggregate grant date fair value is calculated for purposes of FASB ASC Topic 718 using the closing price of Kindred common stock on the date of grant for the first tranche of an award and using the closing price of Kindred common stock on the date performance goals are established for each remaining tranche. During 2010, performance goals were established for the third tranche of the 2008 award, the second tranche of the 2009 award and the first tranche of the 2010 award. Accordingly, the amount in this column for fiscal 2010 represents the aggregate grant date fair value of the first tranche of the 2010 award, the second tranche of the 2009 award, and the third tranche of the 2008 award. The aggregate grant date fair value for the third tranche of the 2009 award and the second and third tranches of the 2010 award will be calculable and reported in subsequent years, using the closing price of Kindred common stock on the date performance goals are established for each tranche. The assumptions used in calculating aggregate grant date fair value with respect to fiscal year 2010 are discussed in Note 13 of Kindred's audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2010 included in Kindred's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 23, 2011.

(2) There were no awards of options to any of the named executive officers during fiscal year 2010. Amounts for 2009 and 2008 represent the aggregate grant date fair value computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. The assumptions used in calculating these amounts with respect to fiscal year 2009 are discussed in Note 14 of Kindred's audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2009 included in Kindred's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 26, 2010. The assumptions used in calculating these amounts with respect to fiscal year 2008 are discussed in Note 14 of Kindred's

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2008 included in Kindred's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 25, 2009.

Table of Contents

- (3) These amounts represent amounts earned under Kindred's short-term incentive plan and the long-term incentive plan. The named executive officers earned the following amounts under Kindred's short-term incentive plan during 2010, 2009 and 2008:

Year	Mr. Diaz	Mr. Lechleiter	Mr. Breier	Mr. Bowen	Mr. Battafarano	Mr. Chapman
2010	\$ 952,176	\$ 309,753	\$ 306,898	\$ 349,554	\$ 308,327	\$ 286,678
2009	1,159,650	301,797	216,003	293,191	564,038	279,315
2008	948,266	246,785	179,948	296,258	461,224	228,401

The named executive officers earned the following amounts under Kindred's long-term incentive plan during 2010, 2009 and 2008:

Year	Mr. Diaz	Mr. Lechleiter	Mr. Breier	Mr. Bowen	Mr. Battafarano	Mr. Chapman
2010	\$ 665,019	\$ 259,606	\$ 328,441	\$ 254,401		\$ 240,266
2009	1,002,290	391,267	360,005	383,423	\$ 585,000	362,120
2008	135,309	52,821	48,601	51,762	78,975	48,886

Amounts earned under the long-term incentive plan are payable in three equal installments on or about each of the first, second and third anniversaries of the end of the relevant performance period, provided generally that the participant is employed by Kindred at the time payments are due.

- (4) These amounts represent the above-market interest earned in the DCP during the respective year. For 2010, above-market interest equals the amount of interest in excess of 120% of the federal long-term rate as of October 1 of the prior year. The federal long-term rate as of October 1, 2009, 2008, and 2007 was 4.1%, 4.32% and 4.88%, respectively.
- (5) The amounts in this column include Kindred's contributions for the respective periods for the benefit of the named executive officers to Kindred's 401(k) Plan, the taxable value of life insurance premiums paid by Kindred, certain transportation-related benefits (which we refer to as TRB), and discounted cash payments in lieu of accumulated paid time off benefits (which we refer to as PTO). These amounts for 2010 were as follows:

	401(k)	DCP	Life	TRB (a)	PTO	Retirement	Total
Mr. Diaz	\$ 800		\$ 1,710	\$ 67,666	\$ 20,238		\$ 90,414
Mr. Lechleiter	800		1,062	34,442			36,304
Mr. Breier	800		433	131,674	19,832		152,739
Mr. Bowen	800		2,986	13,274	19,202		36,262
Mr. Battafarano			3,168	17,374	76,788	\$ 696,323(b)	793,653
Mr. Chapman	800		2,796		5,803		9,399

- (a) For purposes of determining the value of the TRB, Kindred bases the calculation on the aggregate incremental cost to Kindred for the use of Kindred's aircraft or chartered aircraft by each named executive officer and such named executive officer's requested occupants. The aggregate incremental cost for Kindred aircraft is based upon a cost-per-flight-hour charge developed from the annual direct costs to operate Kindred's aircraft. The incremental cost for any chartered aircraft is the actual cost of the chartered aircraft paid by Kindred.
- (b) Retirement related payments include the following for Mr. Battafarano: salary from September 1, 2010 to February 28, 2011 \$322,500, payment in lieu of 2010 long-term incentive plan award \$300,000, continued medical, life and disability benefits \$73,823. In accordance with Section 409A of the Code, certain of these payments were delayed for a period of six-months following Mr. Battafarano's retirement.
- (6) This amount represents a cash bonus awarded to Mr. Diaz for exceptional performance during 2010. See Compensation Discussion and Analysis beginning on page 148 for a more detailed discussion of these awards.
- (7) The amounts in this column include the aggregate grant date fair value for awards of service-based restricted stock and performance-based restricted stock units, computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. As previously discussed, Kindred granted awards of performance-based restricted stock units in 2010, 2009 and 2008. Each award consists of three tranches, the first of which will vest based upon performance during the year of grant, the second of which

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

will vest based upon performance during the year immediately following the year of grant and the third of which will vest based upon performance during the second year immediately following the year of grant. Performance criteria for the relevant tranches are not established until the year of performance with respect to which such tranche may vest. The grant date fair value for future tranches of the 2009 and 2010 awards cannot be determined for purposes of FASB ASC Topic 718 until the date the performance goals of each remaining tranche are established. As a result, the amounts in this column for 2010 include the grant date fair values, calculated pursuant to FASB ASC

Table of Contents

Topic 718, of (i) the first tranche of performance-based restricted stock units granted during 2010, (ii) the second tranche of performance-based restricted stock units granted during 2009, and (iii) the third tranche of performance-based restricted stock units granted during 2008, as follows:

Year/Tranche	Mr. Diaz	Mr. Lechleiter	Mr. Breier	Mr. Bowen	Mr. Battafarano	Mr. Chapman
2010 Tranche 1	\$ 600,327	\$ 131,005	\$ 144,656	\$ 154,058		\$ 97,002
2009 Tranche 2	\$ 175,634	\$ 83,206	\$ 78,956	\$ 43,530	\$ 28,978	\$ 25,520
2008 Tranche 3	\$ 147,250	\$ 25,466	\$ 59,469	\$ 39,676	\$ 116,795	\$ 23,575

The grant date fair value for all performance-based restricted stock units granted to the named executive officers during 2010, assuming for purposes of this disclosure that each of the three tranches could be valued under FASB ASC Topic 718 at the closing price of Kindred common stock on the date of grant (\$18.01), is as follows:

Year	Mr. Diaz	Mr. Lechleiter	Mr. Breier	Mr. Bowen	Mr. Battafarano	Mr. Chapman
2010	\$ 1,801,000	\$ 393,032	\$ 433,951	\$ 462,173		\$ 291,006

As previously discussed, the grant date fair value for tranches 2 and 3 of the 2010 performance-based restricted stock units cannot be calculated until the performance goals for each respective period have been established.

- (8) This amount includes the aggregate increase in actuarial present value of Mr. Battafarano's accumulated benefit under Kindred's Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (which we refer to as the SERP) during 2010 of \$117,458. See the Pension Benefits Table Fiscal Year 2010 on page 183 for a description of the benefit calculation and assumptions used to calculate the 2010 amount. Mr. Battafarano is the only named executive officer participating in the SERP.
- (9) These amounts exclude the aggregate decrease in the actuarial present value of Mr. Battafarano's accumulated benefit under the SERP during 2009 of \$90,795 and include the aggregate increase in actuarial present value during 2008 of \$205,429.

Table of Contents**Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table Fiscal Year 2010**

The following table sets forth information regarding grants of awards under incentive compensation programs to Kindred's named executive officers during fiscal year 2010.

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Possible/Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards			Estimated Future Payouts under Equity Incentive Plan Awards (#) (1)(2)	All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units (#) (1)(3)	All Other Option Awards: Number of Shares of Securities Underlying of Option Awards (#) (1)(4)	Exercise or Base Price (\$) (1)(5)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock Awards (\$) (5)
		Threshold	Target	Maximum					
Paul J. Diaz									
Short-term incentive plan (6)	N/A	\$ 400,916	\$ 1,002,290	\$ 1,252,863					
Long-term incentive plan (7)	N/A	\$ 100,229	\$ 501,145	\$ 1,002,290					
	2/17/10				100,000				\$ 600,327(8)
	2/17/10					100,000			\$ 1,801,000
Richard A. Lechleiter									
Short-term incentive plan (6)	N/A	\$ 130,422	\$ 326,056	\$ 439,088					
Long-term incentive plan (7)	N/A	\$ 39,127	\$ 195,633	\$ 391,267					
	2/17/10				21,823				\$ 131,005(8)
	2/17/10					21,823			\$ 393,032
Benjamin A. Breier									
Short-term incentive plan (6)	N/A	\$ 165,004	\$ 412,511	\$ 555,514					
Long-term incentive plan (7)	N/A	\$ 49,501	\$ 247,506	\$ 495,013					
	2/17/10				24,095				\$ 144,656(8)
	2/17/10					24,095			\$ 433,951
	3/30/10					20,000			\$ 376,800
Lane M. Bowen									
Short-term incentive plan (6)	N/A	\$ 127,808	\$ 319,520	\$ 430,286					
Long-term incentive plan (7)	N/A	\$ 38,342	\$ 191,712	\$ 383,423					
	2/17/10				25,662				\$ 154,058(8)
	2/17/10					30,662			\$ 552,223
Frank J. Battafarano									
Short-term incentive plan (6)	N/A	\$ 195,000	\$ 487,500	\$ 656,500					
Long-term incentive plan (7)	N/A								
Richard E. Chapman									
Short-term incentive plan (6)	N/A	\$ 120,707	\$ 301,766	\$ 406,379					
Long-term incentive plan (7)	N/A	\$ 36,212	\$ 181,060	\$ 362,120					
	2/17/10				16,158				\$ 97,002
	2/17/10					16,158			\$ 291,006

- (1) These amounts reflect awards under the Kindred Healthcare, Inc. 2001 Stock Incentive Plan, Amended and Restated (which we refer to as the Stock Incentive Plan).
- (2) These amounts reflect all performance-based restricted stock units granted during 2010 to the named executive officers, regardless of when, or if, such performance-based restricted stock units vest. These performance-based restricted stock unit grants are divided into three equal tranches corresponding to annual performance periods for 2010, 2011 and 2012. The Kindred executive compensation committee establishes performance goals annually for the current year's tranche. If the performance goals are not satisfied in a given performance period, then some or all of the performance-based restricted stock units in the relevant performance period will be forfeited by the named executive officer. See the Equity-Based Compensation portion of the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section beginning on page 166 for a description of the minimum, target and maximum goals established for the 2010 performance period for the

Table of Contents

performance-based restricted stock units granted in 2010. With respect to the first and second tranches of the performance-based restricted stock units granted during 2010, performance above a minimum threshold in respect of one of either of the two relevant goals would result in an award percentage of 10% of such tranche. Further, because a 100% cap has been established as the maximum award level with respect to the first and second tranches of performance-based restricted stock units granted during 2010, the target award payout for the first and second tranches equals the maximum possible payout. In February 2011, based upon Kindred's performance with respect to the 2010 performance period, each named executive officer (other than Mr. Battafarano) was awarded the following number of shares from the first tranche of the 2010 award: Mr. Diaz 33,333 shares; Mr. Lechleiter 7,274 shares; Mr. Breier 8,032 shares; Mr. Bowen 8,554 shares; and Mr. Chapman 5,386 shares. Threshold, target and maximum performance criteria have not yet been established for the third tranche of the 2010 performance-based restricted stock units.

- (3) The shares of service-based restricted stock granted in 2010 vest in four equal annual installments, beginning on the first anniversary of the date of grant. These share awards entitle each named executive officer to receive dividends if and when declared by the Kindred board of directors. Kindred has not paid, and does not anticipate that it will pay in the foreseeable future, any cash dividends.
- (4) No stock options were granted during 2010.
- (5) These amounts represent the grant date fair value calculated in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, excluding forfeiture assumptions.
- (6) These amounts reflect potential payouts under Kindred's short-term incentive plan. Actual awards for 2010 have been disclosed in the 2010 Summary Compensation Table beginning on page 176 under the column Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation.
- (7) These amounts reflect potential future payouts under Kindred's long-term incentive plan. Actual awards for 2010 have been disclosed in the 2010 Summary Compensation Table beginning on page 176 under the column Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation. Awards under the long-term incentive plan are payable in three equal annual installments on or about each of the first, second and third anniversaries of the end of the relevant performance period for which they are earned, provided generally that the participant is employed by Kindred at the time the payments are due.
- (8) These amounts represent the grant date fair value calculated in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 for the first tranche of performance-based restricted stock units granted in 2010. As previously disclosed, the 2010 grants of performance-based restricted stock units are divided into three equal tranches corresponding to annual performance periods for 2010, 2011 and 2012. Because performance goals for the second and third tranches of performance-based restricted stock units granted in 2010 were not established during 2010, the grant date fair value for these tranches is not included in these amounts. For purposes of FASB ASC Topic 718, a grant date fair value for the second and third tranches cannot be determined until the date performance goals are established for each tranche. The grant date fair value for all performance-based restricted stock units granted to the named executive officers during 2010, assuming for purposes of this disclosure that each of the three tranches could be valued under FASB ASC Topic 718 at the closing price of Kindred common stock on the date of grant, is set forth in footnote 7 to the 2010 Summary Compensation Table beginning on page 176.

Table of Contents**Outstanding Equity Awards at End of Fiscal Year 2010**

The following table sets forth information regarding outstanding equity awards held by Kindred's named executive officers as of December 31, 2010.

Name	Option Awards		Option Awards				Stock Awards			Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (\$)(2)
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Option Grant Date	Option Exercise Price	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)(1)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)(2)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (#)(3)		
Paul J. Diaz	251,896	0	1/28/02	\$ 14.82	1/28/12(4)	151,991	\$ 2,792,075	127,680	\$ 2,345,482	
	6,448	0	7/23/02	\$ 12.16	7/23/12(4)					
	104,684	0	10/28/03	\$ 15.10	10/28/13(5)					
	82,484	0	7/26/04	\$ 18.26	7/26/14(5)					
	76,660	0	4/27/05	\$ 23.76	4/27/12(4)(6)					
	133,371	0	8/10/05	\$ 23.80	8/10/12(4)(6)					
	81,745	0	2/23/06	\$ 16.81	2/23/13(4)					
	41,926	20,963	2/19/08	\$ 25.83	2/19/15(7)					
21,914	43,828	3/20/09	\$ 15.06	3/20/16(7)						
Richard A. Lechleiter	38,733	0	2/25/02	\$ 15.29	2/25/12(4)	38,215	\$ 702,010	32,477	\$ 596,602	
	26,170	0	7/23/02	\$ 12.16	7/23/12(4)					
	29,645	0	7/22/03	\$ 8.43	7/22/13(5)					
	19,897	0	7/26/04	\$ 18.26	7/26/14(5)					
	13,085	0	2/23/05	\$ 23.25	2/23/15(5)(6)					
	12,617	0	4/27/05	\$ 23.76	4/27/12(4)(6)					
	21,952	0	8/10/05	\$ 23.80	8/10/12(4)(6)					
	24,535	0	2/23/06	\$ 16.81	2/23/13(4)					
	7,249	3,625	2/19/08	\$ 25.83	2/19/15(7)					
6,637	13,274	3/20/09	\$ 15.06	3/20/16(7)						
Benjamin A. Breier	16,356	0	8/01/05	\$ 28.41	8/1/12(4)(6)	63,367	\$ 1,164,052	36,165	\$ 664,351	
	8,182	0	2/23/06	\$ 16.81	2/23/13(4)					
	9,112	4,556	2/19/08	\$ 25.83	2/19/15(7)					
	6,107	12,213	3/20/09	\$ 15.06	3/20/16(7)					
Lane M. Bowen	13,085	0	2/23/05	\$ 23.25	2/23/15(5)(6)	45,645	\$ 838,499	32,699	\$ 600,681	
	9,561	0	4/27/05	\$ 23.76	4/27/12(4)(6)					
	9,225	0	8/10/05	\$ 23.80	8/10/12(4)(6)					
	8,606	0	2/23/06	\$ 16.81	2/23/13(4)					
	11,297	5,648	2/19/08	\$ 25.83	2/19/15(7)					
5,384	11,666	3/20/09	\$ 15.06	3/20/16(7)						
Frank J. Battafarano	6,521	0	7/23/02	\$ 12.16	7/23/12(4)			9,703	\$ 178,244	
	17,238	0	7/22/03	\$ 8.43	7/22/13(5)					
	14,421	0	7/26/04	\$ 18.26	7/26/14(5)					
	13,085	0	2/23/05	\$ 23.25	2/23/15(5)(6)					
	13,047	0	4/27/05	\$ 23.76	4/27/12(4)(6)					
	35,985	0	8/10/05	\$ 23.80	8/10/12(4)(6)					
	19,815	0	2/23/06	\$ 16.81	2/23/13(4)					
	17,612	8,806	2/19/08	\$ 25.83	9/1/13(7)					
3,615	7,230	3/20/09	\$ 15.06	9/1/13(7)						

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

Richard E. Chapman	9,561	0	4/27/05	\$ 23.76	4/27/12(4)(6)	24,896	\$ 457,340	20,301	\$ 372,929
	16,634	0	8/10/05	\$ 23.80	8/10/12(4)(6)				
	11,356	0	2/23/06	\$ 16.81	2/23/13(4)				
	6,709	3,355	2/19/08	\$ 25.83	2/19/15(7)				
	4,167	8,333	3/20/09	\$ 15.06	3/20/16(7)				

- (1) These shares represent unvested service-based restricted stock. The unvested service-based restricted stock held by each of the named executive officers as of December 31, 2010 will vest as follows:

Table of Contents

Vesting Date	Mr. Diaz (# of shares)	Mr. Lechleiter (# of shares)	Mr. Breier (# of shares)	Mr. Bowen (# of shares)	Mr. Battafarano (# of shares)	Mr. Chapman (# of shares)
2/17/11	25,000	5,455	6,023	7,665		4,039
2/19/11	6,132	1,060	3,101	1,652		981
3/20/11	7,314	3,465	3,288	1,813		1,063
3/30/11			5,000			
4/25/11	17,785	3,876	3,205	6,241		3,587
2/17/12	25,000	5,456	6,024	7,666		4,040
2/19/12	6,132	1,061	3,102	1,652		982
3/20/12	7,314	3,465	3,288	1,812		1,062
3/30/12			5,000			
2/17/13	25,000	5,456	6,024	7,665		4,039
3/20/13	7,314	3,465	3,288	1,813		1,063
3/30/13			5,000			
2/17/14	25,000	5,456	6,024	7,666		4,040
3/30/14			5,000			
Total	151,991	38,215	63,367	45,645		24,896

- (2) Market value is calculated by multiplying the total number of shares of common stock that have not vested by \$18.37, which was the closing price of the common stock on the NYSE on December 31, 2010.
- (3) These shares represent all unvested performance-based restricted stock units that may be earned under the third tranche of the 2008 performance-based restricted stock unit award, the second and third tranches of the 2009 performance-based restricted stock unit award, and all three tranches of the 2010 performance-based restricted stock unit award. Each award of performance-based restricted stock unit is divided into three equal annual tranches relating to three consecutive annual performance periods. At the beginning of the relevant performance period, the Kindred executive compensation committee establishes the performance goals for the applicable tranche. If the performance goals are not satisfied in a given year, some or all of the performance-based restricted stock unit in such year's tranche will be forfeited by the named executive officer. In February 2011, based upon Kindred's performance with respect to the 2010 performance period, each named executive officer was awarded performance-based restricted stock units from the third tranche of the 2008 award, the second tranche of the 2009 award, and (other than Mr. Battafarano) the first tranche of the 2010 award. A description of these awards is set forth under the Performance-Based Restricted Stock Units Earned in 2010 portion of the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section beginning on page 148.
- (4) As initially granted, these options vest in four equal annual installments beginning on the first anniversary of the date of grant.
- (5) As initially granted, these options vest in three equal annual installments beginning on the first anniversary of the date of grant.
- (6) As initially granted, these options were to vest in either three or four equal annual installments beginning on the first anniversary of the date of grant. On December 14, 2005, the Kindred executive compensation committee accelerated the vesting of all unvested stock options under the Stock Incentive Plan which had exercise prices greater than the closing price of the common stock on December 14, 2005 of \$26.48 per share. Prior to the adjustments associated with the spin-off of Kindred's former pharmacy division, each of these stock options had an exercise price greater than \$26.48.
- (7) The unvested options held by each of the named executive officers as of December 31, 2010 will vest as follows:

Vesting Date	Mr. Diaz (# of options)	Mr. Lechleiter (# of options)	Mr. Breier (# of options)	Mr. Bowen (# of options)	Mr. Battafarano (# of options)	Mr. Chapman (# of options)
2/19/11	20,963	3,625	4,556	5,648	8,806	3,355
3/20/11	21,914	6,637	6,106	5,833	3,615	4,166
3/20/12	21,914	6,637	6,107	5,833	3,615	4,167
Total	64,791	16,899	16,769	17,314	16,036	11,688

Table of Contents**Options Exercised and Stock Vested Table Fiscal Year 2010**

The following table sets forth information regarding each exercise of stock options and vesting of service-based restricted stock during the year ended December 31, 2010.

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise	Value Realized on Exercise	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting	Value Realized on Vesting
Paul J. Diaz			45,053	\$ 838,206
Richard A. Lechleiter			12,268	\$ 229,523
Benjamin A. Breier			11,767	\$ 221,156
Lane M. Bowen			12,417	\$ 229,958
Frank J. Battafarano			33,698	\$ 516,049
Richard E. Chapman			9,210	\$ 171,064

Pension Benefits Table Fiscal Year 2010

The following table sets forth information regarding each plan providing payments or other benefits at, following or in connection with, the retirement of the named executive officers.

Kindred adopted the SERP on January 1, 1998 to attract and retain talented executives by providing additional compensation in the form of a monthly retirement benefit. Upon a participant's normal retirement (defined as any termination of employment on or after a participant attains age 62), such participant would be entitled to receive a monthly retirement benefit for the remainder of such participant's lifetime in an amount equal to one-twelfth of the product of (1) the participant's maximum retirement benefit percentage (between 50%-100% based upon such participant's position within Kindred); (2) the participant's salary and short-term target bonus in the year of termination of employment; and

(3) the participant's vesting percentage which increased 5% for each additional year of service up to a maximum of 100%. Effective December 31, 1999, the SERP was amended to eliminate the accrual of any additional benefits and to freeze accumulated benefits. The SERP was terminated in February 2001. The termination of the SERP had no effect on the future payment of vested benefits under the SERP.

Mr. Battafarano is the only named executive officer participating in the SERP. Pursuant to the provisions of the SERP, Mr. Battafarano has elected to receive a lump sum payment equal to the present value of his accumulated benefit upon retirement in lieu of a monthly retirement benefit.

Name	Plan Name	Number of Years Credited Service	Present Value of Accumulated Benefit	Payments During Last Fiscal Year (1)
Paul J. Diaz				
Richard A. Lechleiter				
Benjamin A. Breier				
Lane M. Bowen				
Frank J. Battafarano	Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan	8	\$ 1,028,516(2)	
Richard A. Chapman				

- (1) Mr. Battafarano retired from Kindred effective August 31, 2010. No benefits are payable under the SERP until age 65. As a result, the SERP is payable at his normal retirement date of September 2015.
- (2) As set forth in the SERP, the present value of any future payment stream is determined using the following assumptions: (i) mortality based upon the 1994 Group Annuity Mortality Table (50% males/50% females); and (ii) a discount rate equal to the rolling average of the yield on U.S. Treasury ten-year notes for the three months preceding a participant's normal retirement date. The following data also was used to calculate this amount: a maximum retirement benefit percentage of 70%; eligible base salary of \$215,000 and short-term target bonus of \$129,000; and a vesting percentage of 40% for eight years of service.

Table of Contents**Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Table Fiscal Year 2010**

Name	Executive Contributions in Last Fiscal Year	Registrant Contributions in Last Fiscal Year	Aggregate Earnings in Last Fiscal Year (1)	Aggregate Withdrawals/Distributions	Aggregate Balance at Last Fiscal Year-End
Paul J. Diaz	\$ 29,644(2)		\$ 12,280		\$ 210,573(3)
Richard A. Lechleiter			\$ 3,190		\$ 52,473(4)
Benjamin A. Breier (5)					
Lane M. Bowen	\$ 36,921(6)		\$ 11,512		\$ 201,601(7)
Frank J. Battafarano	\$ 169,211(8)		\$ 79,913		\$ 1,335,901(9)
Richard E. Chapman	\$ 40,816(10)		\$ 12,825		\$ 230,732

- (1) The amounts reported in this column include above-market interest earned in the DCP during 2010 as reported in the Changes in Pension Value and Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Earnings column of the 2010 Summary Compensation Table beginning on page 176 for 2010 for such named executive officer.
- (2) This amount includes \$20,451 included in the Salary column of the 2010 Summary Compensation Table beginning on page 176 for Mr. Diaz, as well as \$9,193 from the short-term incentive plan award earned in 2009 but actually paid to Mr. Diaz in 2010.
- (3) This amount includes \$148,948 of contributions and above-market interest previously reported as compensation in the Summary Compensation Table of Kindred's previous proxy statements.
- (4) This amount includes \$39,813 of contributions and above-market interest previously reported as compensation in the Summary Compensation Table of Kindred's previous proxy statements.
- (5) Mr. Breier has elected not to participate in the DCP.
- (6) This amount includes \$22,261 included in the Salary column of the 2010 Summary Compensation Table beginning on page 176 for Mr. Bowen, as well as \$14,660 from the short-term incentive plan award earned in 2009 but actually paid to Mr. Bowen in 2010.
- (7) This amount includes \$114,849 of contributions and above-market interest previously reported as compensation in the Summary Compensation Table of Kindred's previous proxy statements.
- (8) This amount represents a portion of Mr. Battafarano's short-term incentive plan award earned in 2009 but actually paid to Mr. Battafarano in 2010.
- (9) This amount includes \$874,894 of contributions and above-market interest previously reported as compensation in the Summary Compensation Table of Kindred's previous proxy statements.
- (10) This amount is included in the Salary column of the 2010 Summary Compensation Table beginning on page 176 for Mr. Chapman.

Kindred maintains the DCP for certain highly compensated employees, including the named executive officers. A participant in the DCP may elect to defer up to 25% of such participant's base salary and up to 100% of such participant's award under the short-term incentive plan into the DCP during each plan year. For 2010, Kindred elected to suspend Kindred's matching contribution. For 2009, Kindred credited such participant's account balance with an amount equal to (1) the 401(k) Plan contribution that would be calculated using the matching contribution formula in effect for such plan year, less (2) the amount such participant would receive during the plan year as a matching contribution under the 401(k) Plan if such participant had contributed the maximum amount of elective deferral contribution permissible under the administrative provisions of the 401(k) Plan for persons of such participant's status. Amounts credited to a participant's account will accrue interest at a fixed rate equal to the interest rate published in Moody's Bond Record under the heading Moody's Seasoned Corporate Bond Yield Baa Average for the month of October immediately preceding each plan year. The effective interest rate for 2010 was 6.29%.

A participant under the DCP is generally entitled to a distribution from such participant's account upon (1) the participant's retirement (defined as any termination of employment on or after a participant attains age 55) or termination of employment (other than as a result of death) prior to retirement, (2) the participant's death, or (3) the occurrence of an unforeseen financial emergency (but only to the extent such distribution is necessary to relieve the unforeseen financial emergency). Upon retirement, or in the event a participant's employment is

Table of Contents

terminated other than as a result of death, a participant will receive 100% of such participant's account balance, payable in a lump sum or in equal monthly installments over a 5, 10 or 15 year period as selected by the participant when the participant initially enters the DCP. Each of the participating named executive officers has elected to receive a lump sum payment equal to his account balance upon retirement or termination of employment. In the event a participant dies before retirement or a termination of employment, such participant's beneficiary will receive 100% of the participant's account balance in a lump sum payment.

Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control

As more fully described below, the following contracts provide for payments and other benefits to the named executive officers upon the occurrence of various employment termination or change in control events:

Employment Agreements in the event of death, Disability, or an Involuntary Termination;

Change in Control Severance Agreements in connection with a termination of employment following a Change in Control;

Equity Plan in the event of death, Disability, or a Change in Control; and

Long-term incentive plan in the event of death, Disability, Retirement, termination of employment without cause, or following a Qualified Change in Control.

In addition to the foregoing, termination and change in control payments are payable under the SERP and the DCP in certain circumstances. See the Pension Benefits Table Fiscal Year 2010 and Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Table Fiscal Year 2010 beginning on pages 183 and 184, respectively, for details regarding the triggering events and amounts payable under the SERP and the DCP, respectively.

In lieu of any payment each named executive officer would otherwise be entitled to under the terms and conditions of the short-term incentive plan, each named executive officer's Employment and Change in Control Severance Agreement expressly provides for a payment under the short-term incentive plan following an Involuntary Termination or Change in Control, respectively.

Definitions

Unless otherwise noted, the term *disability* means the named executive officer shall be unable, or fail, to perform the essential functions of his position for any period of 90 days or more.

Unless otherwise noted, the term *cause* means such named executive officer's (1) conviction of or plea of *nolo contendere* to a crime involving moral turpitude; or (2) willful and material breach of his duties and responsibilities, which is committed in bad faith or without reasonable belief that such breaching conduct is in the best interests of Kindred and its affiliates.

Unless otherwise noted, for purposes of each named executive officer's employment agreement, *good reason* means, without the named executive officer's written consent, (1) a material adverse change in the named executive officer's authority, duties or responsibilities; (2) Kindred shall materially reduce the base salary or the annual bonus opportunity of the named executive officer; (3) Kindred shall require the named executive officer to relocate his principal business office more than 30 miles from its current location; or (4) a material breach of Kindred's obligation to (i) allow the named executive officer to participate in Kindred's employee benefit plans, (ii) require any successor to all or substantially all of the business and/or assets of Kindred to assume the named executive officer's employment agreement, and (iii) with respect to Mr. Diaz, provide liability, life and disability insurance.

Unless otherwise noted, for purposes of each named executive officer's change in control severance agreement, *good reason* means (1) the named executive officer's title, duties, responsibilities or authority is reduced or diminished without his written consent; (2) the named executive officer's compensation is reduced;

Table of Contents

- (3) the named executive officer's benefits are reduced, other than pursuant to a uniform reduction applicable to all managers of Kindred; or
- (4) the named executive officer is asked to relocate his office to a place more than 30 miles from its current location.

Unless otherwise noted, the term **Involuntary Termination** means the named executive officer terminates his employment for good reason or Kindred terminates his employment other than for cause.

Unless otherwise noted, the term **Retirement** means the voluntary termination of a named executive officer's employment after the age of 55.

The term **Qualified Change in Control** means a Change in Control (as defined below) that qualifies as either a change in the (i) ownership of Kindred, (ii) effective control of Kindred, or (iii) ownership of a substantial portion of the assets of Kindred, under Section 409A.

Unless otherwise noted, the term **Change in Control** means:

- (1) an acquisition (other than directly from Kindred) of any voting securities of Kindred by any person immediately after which such person has beneficial ownership of 20% or more of the combined voting power of Kindred's then outstanding voting securities (excluding acquisitions of voting securities by Kindred or any of its subsidiaries, or an employee benefit plan maintained by Kindred or any of its subsidiaries);
- (2) the individuals who constituted the Kindred board of directors cease for any reason to constitute over 50% of the Kindred board of directors; provided, however, that if the election, or nomination for election by Kindred stockholders, of any new director was approved by a vote of over 50% of the Kindred board of directors, such new director shall be considered as though such person were a member of the Kindred board of directors as of such date, unless such individual initially assumed office as a result of either an actual or threatened election or proxy contest;
- (3) consummation of a merger, consolidation or reorganization involving Kindred, unless (a) the stockholders of Kindred, immediately before such merger, consolidation or reorganization, own, directly or indirectly immediately following such merger, consolidation or reorganization, over 50% of the combined voting power of all voting securities of the corporation resulting from such merger, consolidation or reorganization over which any person has beneficial ownership in substantially the same proportion as their ownership of the voting securities immediately before such merger, consolidation or reorganization; (b) the individuals who were members of the Kindred board of directors immediately prior to the execution of the agreement providing for such merger, consolidation or reorganization constitute over 50% of the members of the board of directors of the surviving company; and (c) no person (other than Kindred, any of its subsidiaries, any employee benefit plan maintained by Kindred, the surviving company or any person who, immediately prior to such merger, consolidation or reorganization had beneficial ownership of 20% or more of the then outstanding voting securities) has beneficial ownership of 20% or more of the combined voting power of the surviving company's then outstanding voting securities;
- (4) approval by Kindred stockholders of a complete liquidation or dissolution of Kindred;
- (5) approval by Kindred stockholders of an agreement for the sale or other disposition of all or substantially all of the assets of Kindred to any person (other than a transfer to a subsidiary of Kindred); and
- (6) any other event that the Kindred board of directors shall determine constitutes an effective change in control of Kindred.

Employment Agreement Mr. Diaz

Mr. Diaz's employment agreement provides for severance payments if his employment is terminated under certain circumstances. Following termination for any reason, Mr. Diaz is entitled to receive accrued wages through the date of termination, as well as any amounts owed to him pursuant to the terms and conditions of the

Table of Contents

benefit plans and programs of Kindred. In addition, subject to the execution of a general release of claims satisfactory to Kindred, Mr. Diaz is entitled to the following additional payments. If his employment is terminated by reason of death or Disability, Mr. Diaz is entitled to a prorated portion of his short-term incentive target award in the year of termination. If Mr. Diaz's employment is terminated by Kindred for cause, no additional payments are made under his employment agreement. In the event of an Involuntary Termination, Mr. Diaz's agreement provides for a cash severance payment equal to the prorated portion of the short-term incentive award earned (based upon actual performance) in the year of termination and three times his base salary and short-term incentive target award in the year of termination. In addition, for a three-year period following his termination date, Mr. Diaz would be entitled to continued coverage under Kindred's employee benefit plans, additional vesting of service-based restricted stock and performance-based restricted stock units and stock options, and the opportunity to exercise the options within such time period (but in no event beyond the expiration of the original term of such options). Also, until the end of the second taxable year following the year of termination, Mr. Diaz would be entitled to substantially similar office space and the services of an administrative assistant.

Employment Agreement Mr. Breier

On March 30, 2010, Mr. Breier was promoted to chief operating officer and entered into a new employment agreement with Kindred. The agreement has a one-year term which is extended automatically each day by one day unless Kindred notifies Mr. Breier of its intent not to extend the term. Upon such notification, the agreement will terminate in one year. Mr. Breier's employment agreement provides for severance payments if his employment is terminated under certain circumstances. Following termination for any reason, Mr. Breier is entitled to receive accrued wages through the date of termination, as well as any amounts owed to him pursuant to the terms and conditions of the benefit plans and programs of Kindred. In addition, subject to the execution of a general release of claims satisfactory to Kindred, Mr. Breier is entitled to the following additional payments. If his employment is terminated by reason of death or Disability, Mr. Breier is entitled to a prorated portion of his short-term incentive target award in the year of termination (based upon actual performance). If Mr. Breier's employment is terminated by Kindred for cause, no additional payments are made under his employment agreement. In the event of an Involuntary Termination, Mr. Breier's agreement provides for a cash severance payment equal to the prorated portion of the short-term incentive award earned in the year of termination (based upon actual performance) and two times his base salary and short-term incentive target award in the year of termination. In addition, for a two-year period following his termination date, Mr. Breier would be entitled to continued coverage under Kindred's employee benefit plans, additional vesting of service-based restricted stock and performance-based restricted stock units and stock options, and the opportunity to exercise the options within such time period (but in no event beyond the expiration of the original term of such options).

Agreement and Release of Claims Mr. Battafarano

Mr. Battafarano retired as Kindred's chief operating officer effective August 31, 2010. In connection with Mr. Battafarano's retirement, Kindred and Mr. Battafarano entered into the Release Agreement. The Release Agreement provides for:

The settlement, waiver, release and discharge of any and all claims or actions arising from Mr. Battafarano's employment with Kindred; and

Certain non-competition and non-solicitation restrictions applicable to Mr. Battafarano for a period of one year beginning August 31, 2010.

Consistent with the terms of Mr. Battafarano's prior employment agreement, the Release Agreement also provides for certain payments to Mr. Battafarano as follows:

Cash severance in the amount of \$622,500 payable September 15, 2010;

Amounts previously earned by Mr. Battafarano under Kindred's long-term incentive plan totaling \$768,066 and payable over three years consistent with Kindred's long-term incentive plan;

Table of Contents

An amount equal to the prorated portion of the award Mr. Battafarano was otherwise eligible to receive under Kindred's short-term incentive plan in respect of the 2010 calendar year based upon Kindred's actual performance in 2010 and payable consistent with Kindred's short-term incentive plan;

For a 38 month period following August 31, 2010, Mr. Battafarano is entitled to continued coverage under Kindred's employee benefit plans; and

For a 36 month period following August 31, 2010, Mr. Battafarano is entitled to additional vesting of stock options and performance-based restricted stock units in accordance with their original terms, including any related performance measures, and the opportunity to exercise the options within such time period (but in no event beyond the expiration of the original term of such options). In addition, any outstanding service-based restricted stock held by Mr. Battafarano as of August 31, 2010 that would have otherwise vested during the 36 month period following August 31, 2010 immediately vested.

Employment Agreements Other Named Executive Officers

Each of the other named executive officers' employment agreements provides for severance payments under certain circumstances. Following termination for any reason, such other named executive officer is entitled to receive accrued wages through the date of termination, as well as any amounts owed to him pursuant to the terms and conditions of the benefit plans and programs of Kindred. In addition, subject to the execution of a general release of claims satisfactory to Kindred, such named executive officer is entitled to the following additional payments. If employment is terminated by reason of death or disability, such named executive officer is entitled to a prorated portion of his short-term incentive target award (based upon actual performance) in the year of termination. If such named executive officer's employment is terminated by Kindred for cause, no additional payments are made under his employment agreement. If such named executive officer's employment is subject to an involuntary termination, his employment agreement provides for a cash severance payment equal to the prorated portion of the short-term incentive award earned (based upon actual performance) in the year of termination and one and one-half times his base salary and short-term incentive target award in the year of termination. In addition, for an 18 month period following his termination date, such named executive officer would be entitled to continued coverage under Kindred's employee benefit plans, additional vesting of service-based restricted stock and performance-based restricted stock units and stock options, and the opportunity to exercise the options within such time period (but in no event beyond the expiration of the original term of such options).

Change in Control Severance Agreements

Kindred also has entered into a change in control severance agreement with each of the named executive officers. These agreements provide for the payment of severance benefits under certain circumstances. These benefits become payable at any time within two years after a Change in Control of Kindred if: (a) Kindred terminates the executive's employment without cause or (b) the executive terminates employment with Kindred for Good Reason. The benefits to be afforded the named executive officers include: (a) a lump sum cash severance payment equal to three times base salary and short-term incentive target award as of the termination of employment or Change in Control date, whichever is greater; (b) continuation of health, dental, life and disability insurance coverage for three years; and (c) reimbursement of up to \$5,000 for legal and accounting fees incurred as a result of the Change in Control.

Non-Competition/Non-Solicitation Covenants

Each of the named executive officers is subject to a non-solicitation covenant set forth in his employment agreement and/or change in control agreement. These non-solicitation covenants provide that during the term and for a one-year period thereafter, the named executive officer will not aid, endeavor to solicit or induce any of Kindred's or its affiliates' employees to leave their employment with Kindred or such affiliate in order to accept employment with the named executive officer or any other person or entity.

Table of Contents**Stock Incentive Plan**

Pursuant to the Stock Incentive Plan, upon death, Disability or a Change in Control, any service-based restricted stock and performance-based restricted stock units outstanding as of such date immediately vest, regardless of any other time or performance-related requirement and all outstanding options immediately become fully vested and exercisable until otherwise terminated, exercised or cancelled.

Long-Term Incentive Plan

Pursuant to the long-term incentive plan, if a participating named executive officer is terminated without cause, or upon Retirement, such named executive officer will receive the prorated portion of his award for such year, calculated based upon actual achievement of performance goals, payable in three equal installments on or about the first, second and third anniversary of Retirement or termination without cause. Upon death or Disability, such named executive officer (or his beneficiary) shall be entitled to receive his target award under the long-term incentive plan, payable within thirty days of death or Disability. Also pursuant to the long-term incentive plan, in the event of a Qualified Change in Control, a participating named executive officer will receive, within fifteen days of the Qualified Change in Control, a lump sum payment equal to the maximum award available for such named executive officer for the period in which the Qualified Change in Control occurs, without proration.

Summary of Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control

The following table sets forth the dollar amount of payments and benefits that each named executive officer would receive in various circumstances triggering payments under his employment agreement or his change in control severance agreement, as well as Kindred's Stock Incentive Plan and long-term incentive plan as of December 31, 2010. For purposes of the following tables, all disclosures under the Involuntary Termination, Change in Control, Death/Disability, and Retirement columns assume an eligible triggering event, as described above, has occurred as of December 31, 2010. With respect to Mr. Battafarano, disclosure is provided only for the triggering event that actually occurred (his retirement from Kindred on August 31, 2010), since the several additional scenarios no longer can occur.

	Involuntary Termination	Change in Control	Death/ Disability	Retirement
Paul J. Diaz				
Cash payments	\$ 6,965,916(1)	\$ 6,013,740(2)	\$ 1,002,290(3)	
Extended coverage under employee benefit plans	29,760(4)	29,760(4)		
Equity awards	4,823,378(5)	5,282,628(6)	5,282,628(6)	
Office space and administrative assistant	214,000(7)			
Long-term incentive plan	665,019(8)	1,002,290(9)	501,145(10)	(11)
Reimbursement of legal/accounting fees		5,000		
Total	\$ 12,698,073	\$ 12,333,418	\$ 6,786,063	
Richard A. Lechleiter				
Cash payments	\$ 1,450,949(12)	\$ 2,282,391(2)	\$ 326,056(3)	
Extended coverage under employee benefit plans	13,761(13)	27,522(4)		
Equity awards	944,802(14)	1,342,549(6)	1,342,549(6)	
Long-term incentive plan	259,606(8)	391,267(9)	195,633(10)	(11)
Reimbursement of legal/accounting fees		5,000		
Total	\$ 2,669,118	\$ 4,048,729	\$ 1,864,238	

Table of Contents

	Involuntary Termination	Change in Control	Death/ Disability	Retirement
Benjamin A. Breier				
Cash payments	\$ 2,231,948(15)	\$ 2,887,575(2)	\$ 412,511(3)	
Extended coverage under employee benefit plans	16,662(16)	24,993(4)		
Equity awards	1,403,405(17)	1,868,828(6)	1,868,828(6)	
Long-term incentive plan	328,441(8)	495,013(9)	247,506(10)	(11)
Reimbursement of legal/accounting fees		5,000		
Total	\$ 3,980,456	\$ 5,281,409	\$ 2,528,845	
Lane M. Bowen				
Cash payments	\$ 1,467,873(12)	\$ 2,236,638(2)	\$ 319,520(3)	
Extended coverage under employee benefit plans	8,922(13)	17,844(4)		
Equity awards	1,005,721(14)	1,477,794(6)	1,477,794(6)	
Long-term incentive plan	254,401(8)	383,423(9)	191,712(10)	\$ 254,401(8)
Reimbursement of legal/accounting fees		5,000		
Total	\$ 2,736,917	\$ 4,120,699	\$ 1,989,026	\$ 254,401
Frank J. Battafarano				
Cash payments				\$ 930,827(18)
Extended coverage under employee benefit plans				73,824(19)
Equity awards				308,860(20)
Long-term incentive plan				768,066(21)
Reimbursement of legal/accounting fees				
Total				\$ 2,081,577
Richard E. Chapman				
Cash payments	\$ 1,342,860(12)	\$ 2,112,363(2)	\$ 301,766(3)	
Extended coverage under employee benefit plans	12,204(13)	24,408(4)		
Equity awards	590,972(14)	857,851(6)	857,851(6)	
Long-term incentive plan	240,266(8)	362,120(9)	181,060(10)	\$ 240,266(8)
Reimbursement of legal/accounting fees		5,000		
Total	\$ 2,186,302	\$ 3,361,742	\$ 1,340,677	\$ 240,266

- (1) This amount represents (i) the prorated award earned under the short-term incentive plan in the year of termination, payable on such date as if Mr. Diaz was still employed by Kindred, plus (ii) three times the sum of his base salary and target award under the short-term incentive plan in the year of termination, payable within 14 days of termination.
- (2) These amounts represent three times the sum of such named executive officer's base salary and target award under the short-term incentive plan in the year of termination, payable upon the effective date of termination.
- (3) These amounts represent a prorated portion of such named executive officer's target award under the short-term incentive plan in the year of death or Disability, payable on the same date as if such named executive officer was still employed by Kindred.
- (4) These amounts represent Kindred's cost to provide health, dental, life and long-term disability benefits for a three-year period based upon the named executive officer's current coverage as of December 31, 2010.
- (5) This amount represents the fair value of three years of additional vesting of outstanding stock options, service-based restricted stock and performance-based restricted stock units calculated using the December 31, 2010 closing price of Kindred common stock on the NYSE of \$18.37.

Table of Contents

- (6) These amounts represent the fair value of full vesting for all outstanding stock options, service-based restricted stock and performance-based restricted stock units calculated using the December 31, 2010 closing price of Kindred common stock on the NYSE of \$18.37.
- (7) This amount represents the estimated cost of providing for two years of appropriate office space and an administrative assistant's salary and benefits based upon the 2010 cost for these items.
- (8) These amounts represent the prorated portion of the actual award such named executive officer would have received under the long-term incentive plan in the year of termination without cause or Retirement (if applicable), payable on the same dates as if such named executive officer was still employed by Kindred. Because Kindred has assumed for purposes of this table the eligible triggering event occurred as of December 31, 2010, these amounts represent the actual awards earned by the named executive officers for 2010 under the long-term incentive plan.
- (9) These amounts, payable under the long-term incentive plan following a Change in Control that qualifies as a Qualified Change in Control, represent such named executive officer's maximum award under the long-term incentive plan in the year of termination and are payable within 15 days of such Qualified Change in Control.
- (10) These amounts represent such named executive officer's target award under the long-term incentive plan in the year of death or Disability, payable within 30 days of death or Disability.
- (11) Retirement awards are not payable under the long-term incentive plan until age 55. As such, Messrs. Diaz, Lechleiter and Breier would not be eligible to receive a retirement benefit under the long-term incentive plan if they retired as of December 31, 2010.
- (12) These amounts represent (i) the prorated award earned by such named executive officer under the short-term incentive plan in the year of termination, payable on such date as if the named executive officer was still employed by Kindred, plus (ii) one and one-half times the sum of his base salary and target award under the short-term incentive plan in the year of termination, payable within 14 days of such termination.
- (13) These amounts represent Kindred's cost to provide health, dental, life and long-term disability benefits for an 18 month period based upon the named executive officer's current coverage as of December 31, 2010.
- (14) These amounts represent the fair value of 18 months of additional vesting of outstanding stock options, service-based restricted stock and performance-based restricted stock units calculated using the December 31, 2010 closing price of Kindred common stock on the NYSE of \$18.37.
- (15) This amount represents (i) the prorated award earned under the short-term incentive plan in the year of termination, payable on such date as if Mr. Breier was still employed by Kindred, plus (ii) two times the sum of his base salary and target award under the short-term incentive plan in the year of termination, payable within 14 days of termination.
- (16) These amounts represent Kindred's cost to provide health, dental, life and long-term disability benefits for a two-year period based upon Mr. Breier's current coverage as of December 31, 2010.
- (17) This amount represents the fair value of two years of additional vesting of outstanding stock options, service-based restricted stock and performance-based restricted stock units calculated using the December 31, 2010 closing price of Kindred common stock on the NYSE of \$18.37.
- (18) This amount represents (i) the salary Mr. Battafarano would have earned had he remained an employee until February 28, 2011, the expiration date of his original employment agreement, plus (ii) a payment of \$300,000 in lieu of an award under the long-term incentive plan for 2010, plus (iii) the prorated portion of the actual short-term incentive plan award Mr. Battafarano earned for 2010 (as set forth in footnote 3 to the 2010 Summary Compensation Table beginning on page 176).
- (19) This amount represents Kindred's cost to provide health and dental benefits, life and short-term and long-term disability benefits for a 38 month period following Mr. Battafarano's retirement.
- (20) This amount represents the fair value of 36 months of additional vesting of outstanding stock options, service-based restricted stock and performance-based restricted stock units outstanding on August 31, 2010, the date of Mr. Battafarano's retirement, calculated using the closing price of Kindred common stock on the NYSE on such date of \$11.75.
- (21) This amount represents all amounts previously earned, but not yet paid, under the long-term incentive plan for years prior to 2010, which amounts will be paid on the same schedule as if Mr. Battafarano were still employed.

Table of Contents

Director Compensation

Prior to April 1, 2010, non-employee directors received (1) \$3,000 for each Kindred board of directors meeting attended and \$2,000 for each committee meeting attended unless the meeting was held telephonically; (2) \$1,000 for each telephonic meeting of the Kindred board of directors or any committee meeting attended; (3) a \$12,000 quarterly retainer; and (4) an additional \$4,000 quarterly retainer for the Kindred audit committee chair and an additional \$2,000 quarterly retainer for the other committee chairs. In addition, the lead independent director received an additional quarterly retainer of \$2,000.

Effective April 1, 2010, the quarterly retainer was increased to \$24,000 and per-meeting attendance fees were eliminated.

In addition, pursuant to the Directors Plan, on February 17, 2010, Kindred issued to each non-employee director 4,165 shares of restricted common stock. These shares vest in full on the first anniversary of their grant date. In addition, on January 4, 2010, Kindred issued to Ms. Yale 6,000 shares of restricted common stock in connection with her appointment to the Kindred board of directors. These shares vest in three equal annual installments beginning on the first anniversary of the date of grant.

Pursuant to the Directors Plan, the Kindred executive compensation committee has the authority to grant stock options or restricted shares at its discretion to non-employee directors of Kindred. Unless otherwise provided in the underlying award agreement (1) each stock option awarded under the Directors Plan will have an exercise price equal to the fair market value of the common stock on the date such option is granted, will vest in four equal annual installments beginning on the first anniversary of the date of grant and have a ten-year term, and (2) each award of restricted shares will vest in four equal annual installments, beginning on the first anniversary of the date of grant, except in the event of a change in control, in which case all unvested restricted shares shall immediately vest. The Directors Plan expressly prohibits Kindred from lowering the exercise price of previously awarded stock options, except as necessary to prevent dilution or enlargement of the rights of non-employee directors under the Directors Plan in the event of a merger, reorganization, consolidation, recapitalization, spin-off or similar change in corporate structure.

In 2008, the Kindred board of directors approved certain immaterial amendments to the Directors Plan. These amendments permit stock options that are vested at the time of a director's retirement or failure to be nominated for re-election to the Kindred board of directors to remain exercisable for the original life of the option. The Kindred board of directors believes these amendments promote effective processes to encourage and facilitate turnover of members of the Kindred board of directors thereby ensuring the continual assessment and review of the Kindred board of directors consistent with good corporate governance.

Kindred has also adopted Stock Ownership Guidelines for its non-employee directors. Pursuant to these guidelines, each non-employee director is required to own shares of Kindred common stock valued at two times his or her annual cash retainer. Kindred's annual cash retainer for its non-employee directors was increased from \$48,000 to \$96,000 in 2010. Directors in office as of August 3, 2010 will have five years from such date to attain the requisite level of ownership. Directors elected or appointed after August 3, 2010 will have five years from the date of election or appointment to the Kindred board of directors to attain the requisite level of ownership.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth information regarding the fiscal 2010 compensation for all persons who served as a director of Kindred during 2010.

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash	Stock Awards (1)(2)	Option Awards (1)(3)	All Other Compensation	Total
Edward L. Kuntz (4)		\$ 141,069(5)(6)		\$ 355,387(7)	\$ 496,456
Paul J. Diaz (8)					
Joel Ackerman	\$ 93,000	\$ 75,012(5)			\$ 168,012
Ann C. Berzin	\$ 101,000	\$ 75,012(5)			\$ 176,012
Jonathan D. Blum	\$ 94,000	\$ 75,012(5)			\$ 169,012
Thomas P. Cooper, M.D.	\$ 110,000	\$ 75,012(5)			\$ 185,012
Isaac Kaufman	\$ 109,000	\$ 75,012(5)			\$ 184,012
Frederick J. Kleisner	\$ 92,000	\$ 75,012(5)			\$ 167,012
Eddy J. Rogers, Jr	\$ 102,000	\$ 75,012(5)			\$ 177,012
Phyllis R. Yale	\$ 96,000	\$ 186,732(5)(9)			\$ 282,732

- (1) These amounts represent the aggregate grant date fair value for awards of restricted stock computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. There were no awards of stock options during 2010.
- (2) As of December 31, 2010, each director held the following number of unvested shares of restricted stock: Mr. Kuntz 4,165 shares; Mr. Ackerman 4,165 shares; Ms. Berzin 4,165 shares; Mr. Blum 4,165 shares; Dr. Cooper 4,165 shares; Mr. Kaufman 4,165 shares; Mr. Kleisner 4,165; Mr. Rogers 4,165 shares; and Ms. Yale 10,165 shares.
- (3) As of December 31, 2010, each director held the following number of outstanding, unexercised stock options: Mr. Kuntz 204,332; Mr. Ackerman 15,000; Ms. Berzin 26,170; Mr. Blum 15,000; Dr. Cooper 47,105; Mr. Kaufman 69,350; Mr. Kleisner 15,000; Mr. Rogers 53,648; and Ms. Yale 0.
- (4) During 2010, Mr. Kuntz was compensated as an employee of Kindred and did not receive any additional compensation for serving as a director of Kindred. Additional details about Mr. Kuntz's compensation arrangement are set forth below.
- (5) This amount represents the aggregate grant date fair value, computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, of 4,165 shares of restricted common stock awarded on February 17, 2010, which shares vest in full on the first anniversary of their grant. Grant date fair value is calculated using the closing price per share of Kindred common stock on the date of grant (\$18.01).
- (6) This amount also includes the third tranche (3,391 shares) of performance-based restricted stock units granted to Mr. Kuntz during 2008. Because performance goals for each tranche are established annually, the aggregate grant date fair value is calculated for purposes of FASB ASC Topic 718 using the closing price of Kindred common stock on the date performance goals are established for each tranche. During 2010, performance goals were established for the third tranche of the 2008 award of performance-based restricted stock units. These shares vested in full on February 22, 2010 pursuant to the terms of Mr. Kuntz's employment agreement, discussed below.
- (7) This amount includes compensation awarded to Mr. Kuntz pursuant to the terms of his employment agreement with Kindred, which is discussed below, and includes base salary, contributions for the benefit of Mr. Kuntz to Kindred's 401(k) Plan, and the taxable value of life insurance premiums paid by Kindred, as follows:

Base Salary	401(k)	Life	Total
\$350,000	\$800	\$4,587	\$355,387

- (8) Mr. Diaz is compensated as an executive officer of Kindred and did not receive any additional compensation for serving as a director of Kindred. See the 2010 Summary Compensation Table beginning on page 176.
- (9) This amount also includes the aggregate grant date fair value, computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, of 6,000 shares of restricted stock issued on January 4, 2010 in connection with Ms. Yale's appointment to the Kindred board of directors, which shares vest in three equal annual installments beginning on the first anniversary of the date of grant. Grant date fair value is calculated using the closing price per share of Kindred common stock on the date of grant (\$18.62).
On March 20, 2009, Kindred entered into a new employment agreement with Mr. Kuntz, effective as of May 20, 2009, pursuant to which Mr. Kuntz stepped down as executive chairman of the Kindred board of

Table of Contents

directors and now serves as chairman of the Kindred board of directors. As chairman, Mr. Kuntz will coordinate all matters and committee activities of the Kindred board of directors, act as the principal liaison between the Kindred board of directors and senior management and provide information and guidance to the Kindred board of directors and Kindred's chief executive officer. The new employment agreement replaced and superseded the prior employment agreement between Kindred and Mr. Kuntz which terminated effective May 20, 2009. In addition, the new employment agreement provided that the prior change in control severance agreement between Kindred and Mr. Kuntz terminated effective May 20, 2009.

Pursuant to his new employment agreement, Mr. Kuntz's base salary is \$350,000. During his service as chairman, Mr. Kuntz also will participate in certain employee benefit plans of Kindred. Mr. Kuntz is not eligible to participate in any cash incentive plan of Kindred. During his service as chairman, Mr. Kuntz will continue to vest in any outstanding equity awards in accordance with their original terms and conditions. In addition, Mr. Kuntz will continue to be eligible to participate in Kindred's equity incentive plan for employees, provided that any equity awards that may be granted to Mr. Kuntz will, to the extent permitted by applicable law and the terms and conditions of the equity incentive plan, be substantially comparable to equity awards granted to Kindred's non-employee directors under Kindred's Directors Plan.

Also pursuant to his new employment agreement, all outstanding unvested stock options, service-based restricted stock and performance-based restricted stock units held by Mr. Kuntz prior to March 20, 2009 immediately vested in full as of February 22, 2010. Mr. Kuntz will have an additional one year following the date his employment with Kindred is terminated in which to exercise such stock options; provided that in no event will Mr. Kuntz be entitled to exercise any such option beyond the original expiration date of such option. In addition, on the date Mr. Kuntz's employment is terminated by reason of death or Disability, regardless of whether or not his service as chairman has terminated for any reason other than cause, Mr. Kuntz will be entitled to (1) coverage under certain of Kindred's employee benefit plans for three years, (2) receipt of his computer and office furniture and (3) access to office space and the services of an administrative assistant through the end of 2012. These benefits are consistent with the benefits provided to Mr. Kuntz under the previous employment agreement except that the new agreement removes the cash severance component that was included in Mr. Kuntz's previous employment agreement. The new employment agreement provides for no other severance payments or benefits if Mr. Kuntz's employment as chairman is terminated.

Table of Contents

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED PERSON TRANSACTIONS OF KINDRED

In accordance with the charter for the audit committee of the Kindred board of directors, the Kindred audit committee evaluates each related person transaction involving a director or executive officer for the purpose of determining whether to recommend to the disinterested members of the Kindred board of directors that the transactions are fair, reasonable and within Kindred's policy, and whether they should be ratified and approved by the Kindred board of directors. The Kindred audit committee considers each related person transaction in light of all relevant factors and the controls implemented to protect the interests of Kindred and its stockholders.

Relevant factors include:

the benefits of the transaction to Kindred;

the terms of the transaction and whether the terms have been negotiated at arm's-length and in the ordinary course of Kindred's business;

the direct or indirect nature of the related person's interest in the transaction;

the amount involved and the expected term of the transaction; and

other facts and circumstances that bear on the materiality of the related person transaction under applicable law and listing standards. Approval by Kindred's board of directors of any related person transaction involving a director also must be made in accordance with applicable law and Kindred's organizational documents as from time to time in effect. When a vote of the disinterested directors is required, such vote is called only following full disclosure to such directors of the facts and circumstances of the relevant related person transaction. Transactions that are not approved or ratified as required by the Kindred code of conduct are subject to termination by Kindred, if so directed by an employee's supervisor, the Kindred audit committee or the Kindred board of directors, as applicable, taking into account such factors as such individual or body deems appropriate and relevant. Based upon its review, the Kindred audit committee did not identify any related person transactions under Item 404 of Regulation S-K for 2010 or that are currently proposed.

Table of Contents

THE REHABCARE SPECIAL MEETING

Overview

This joint proxy statement/prospectus is being provided to RehabCare stockholders as part of a solicitation of proxies by the RehabCare board of directors for use at the special meeting of RehabCare stockholders and at any adjournments or postponements thereof. This joint proxy statement/prospectus is first being furnished to stockholders of RehabCare on or about April 28, 2011. In addition, this joint proxy statement/prospectus constitutes a prospectus for Kindred in connection with the issuance by Kindred of its common stock in connection with the merger. This joint proxy statement/prospectus provides RehabCare stockholders with information they need to know to be able to vote or instruct their vote to be cast at the special meeting of RehabCare stockholders.

Date, Time and Place of the RehabCare Special Meeting

The special meeting of RehabCare stockholders will be held at the Pierre Laclede Center, Second Floor, 7733 Forsyth Boulevard, St. Louis, Missouri 63105 on May 26, 2011, at 9:00 a.m., local time.

Purposes of the RehabCare Special Meeting

At the RehabCare special meeting, RehabCare stockholders will be asked:

to adopt the merger agreement;

to approve a proposal to approve adjournments or postponements of the RehabCare special meeting, if necessary or appropriate, to permit further solicitation of proxies if there are not sufficient votes at the time of the RehabCare special meeting to adopt the merger agreement; and

to transact such other business as may properly come before the special meeting.

RehabCare stockholders must approve the proposal to adopt the merger agreement in order for the merger to occur. If RehabCare stockholders fail to approve the proposal to adopt the merger agreement, the merger will not occur. A copy of the merger agreement is attached as Annex A to this joint proxy statement/prospectus, which we encourage you to read carefully in its entirety.

RehabCare Board of Directors Recommendation

The RehabCare board of directors has unanimously determined that the merger agreement and the merger are advisable, fair to and in the best interests of RehabCare and RehabCare's unaffiliated stockholders and has unanimously approved the merger, the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby. The RehabCare board of directors unanimously recommends that you vote **FOR** the adoption of the merger agreement and **FOR** the approval of adjournment or postponement of the special meeting to a later date, if necessary or appropriate, for the purpose of soliciting additional proxies if there are insufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to approve the proposal to adopt the merger agreement.

Record Date; Outstanding Shares; Shares Entitled to Vote

The record date for the special meeting of RehabCare stockholders is April 26, 2011. This means that you must be a stockholder of record of RehabCare common stock at the close of business on April 26, 2011, in order to vote at the RehabCare special meeting. You are entitled to receive notice of, and to vote at, the special meeting if you owned shares of RehabCare common stock at the close of business on the record date. At the close of business on April 25, 2011, there were 25,513,079 shares of RehabCare common stock outstanding and entitled to vote, held by approximately 506 holders of record. Each share of RehabCare common stock entitles its holder to one vote on all matters properly presented at the special meeting.

Table of Contents

Quorum and Vote Required

A quorum of stockholders is necessary to hold a valid special meeting of RehabCare. The required quorum for the transaction of business at the RehabCare special meeting is a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of RehabCare common stock entitled to vote at the RehabCare special meeting, whether in person or by proxy. Shares of RehabCare common stock represented at the special meeting but not voted, including shares of common stock for which a stockholder directs an abstention from voting, as well as broker non-votes, will be counted for purposes of establishing a quorum. Once a share of RehabCare common stock is represented at the special meeting, it will be counted for the purpose of determining a quorum at the special meeting and at any adjourned or postponed special meeting. However, if a new record date is set for the adjourned or postponed special meeting, then a new quorum will have to be established. In the event that a quorum is not present at the special meeting, it is expected that the special meeting will be adjourned or postponed.

Adoption of the merger agreement requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the outstanding shares of RehabCare common stock entitled to vote. The required vote of RehabCare stockholders on the merger agreement is based upon the number of outstanding shares of RehabCare common stock as of the record date, and not the number of shares that are actually voted. Abstentions will not be counted as votes cast in favor of the proposal to adopt the merger agreement, but will count for the purposes of determining whether a quorum is present. **If you fail to submit a proxy or to vote in person at the special meeting, or abstain, it will have the same effect as a vote AGAINST the proposal to adopt the merger agreement.**

Banks, brokerage firms or other nominees who hold shares in street name for customers have the authority to vote on routine proposals when they have not received instructions from beneficial owners. However, banks, brokerage firms or other nominees are precluded from exercising their voting discretion with respect to approving non-routine matters, such as the proposal to adopt the merger agreement, and, as a result, absent specific instructions from the beneficial owner of such shares of RehabCare common stock, banks, brokerage firms or other nominees are not empowered to vote those shares on non-routine matters. **These broker non-votes will be counted for purposes of determining a quorum, but will have the same effect as a vote AGAINST the proposal to adopt the merger agreement.**

Approval of the adjournment or postponement of the special meeting to a later date, if necessary or appropriate, for the purpose of soliciting additional proxies if there are insufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to approve the proposal to adopt the merger agreement requires the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares of RehabCare common stock present, in person or by proxy, and entitled to vote at the special meeting on that matter, even if less than a quorum.

For purposes of the adjournment or postponement proposal, if your shares of RehabCare common stock are present at the special meeting, but are not voted on this proposal, or if you have given a proxy and abstained on the proposal, this will have the same effect as if you voted **AGAINST** the proposal. If you fail to submit a proxy or vote in person at the special meeting, or there are broker non-votes on the issue, as applicable, the shares of RehabCare common stock not voted, will not be counted in respect of, and will not have an effect on, the proposal to adjourn or postpone the special meeting.

ITEM 1 PROPOSAL TO ADOPT THE MERGER AGREEMENT

As discussed elsewhere in this joint proxy statement/prospectus, RehabCare stockholders are considering and voting on a proposal to adopt the merger agreement. You should carefully read this joint proxy statement/prospectus in its entirety for more detailed information concerning the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, including the merger. In particular, you are directed to the merger agreement, which is attached as Annex A to this joint proxy statement/prospectus.

The RehabCare board of directors unanimously recommends that RehabCare stockholders vote FOR the adoption of the merger agreement, and your properly completed, signed and dated proxy will be so voted unless you specify otherwise.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2 PROPOSAL TO APPROVE ADJOURNMENT OR POSTPONEMENT OF THE REHABCARE SPECIAL MEETING, IF NECESSARY OR APPROPRIATE, TO PERMIT FURTHER SOLICITATION OF PROXIES IF THERE ARE NOT SUFFICIENT VOTES AT THE TIME OF THE REHABCARE SPECIAL MEETING TO ADOPT THE MERGER AGREEMENT

RehabCare stockholders may be asked to vote on a proposal to adjourn or postpone the RehabCare special meeting, if necessary or appropriate, to permit further solicitation of proxies if there are not sufficient votes at the time of the RehabCare special meeting to approve the proposal to adopt the merger agreement.

The RehabCare board of directors unanimously recommends that RehabCare stockholders vote FOR the proposal to adjourn or postpone the RehabCare special meeting under certain circumstances, and your properly completed, signed and dated proxy will be so voted unless you specify otherwise.

Stock Ownership and Voting by RehabCare's Directors and Executive Officers

At the close of business on April 25, 2011, RehabCare's directors and executive officers had the right to vote 600,927 shares of the then-outstanding RehabCare voting stock (excluding any shares of RehabCare common stock deliverable upon exercise or conversion of any options or restricted shares) at the RehabCare special meeting. At the close of business on April 25, 2011, these shares represented approximately 2.4% of the RehabCare common stock outstanding and entitled to vote at the special meeting. It is expected that RehabCare's directors and executive officers will vote their shares **FOR** the proposal to adopt the merger agreement and **FOR** the proposal to adjourn or postpone the special meeting to a later date, if necessary or appropriate, for the purpose of soliciting additional proxies if there are insufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to approve the proposal to adopt the merger agreement, although none of them has entered into any agreement requiring them to do so.

How to Vote

You may vote in person at the RehabCare special meeting or by proxy. RehabCare recommends you submit your proxy even if you plan to attend the special meeting. If you vote by proxy, you may change your vote if you attend and vote at the special meeting.

If you own RehabCare common stock in your own name, you are an owner of record. This means that you may use the enclosed proxy card(s) to tell the persons named as proxies how to vote your shares. If you properly complete, sign and date your proxy card(s) or submit your voting instructions by telephone or over the internet, your shares will be voted in accordance with your instructions. The named proxies will vote all shares at the meeting for which proxies have been properly submitted (whether by mail, telephone or over the internet) and not revoked. If you sign and return your proxy card(s) but do not mark your card(s) to tell the proxies how to vote your shares on each proposal, your shares will be voted as recommended by the RehabCare board of directors. If you receive more than one proxy card, it means that you have multiple accounts at the transfer agent and/or with brokers, banks or other nominees. Please complete, sign and return all the proxy cards you have received to ensure that all your shares are voted.

If you are an owner of record, you have three voting options:

Internet: You can vote over the internet at the web address shown on your proxy card(s). You will be prompted to enter your Control Number from your proxy card. This number will identify you as a stockholder of record. Follow the simple instructions that will be given to you to record your vote. If you vote over the internet, do not return your proxy card(s).

Telephone: You can vote by telephone by calling the toll-free number on your proxy card(s). You will be prompted to enter your Control Number from your proxy card. This number will identify you as a stockholder of record. Follow the simple instructions that will be given to you to record your vote. If you vote by telephone, do not return your proxy card(s).

Mail: You can vote by mail by simply signing, dating and mailing your proxy card(s) in the postage-paid envelope included with this joint proxy statement/prospectus.

Table of Contents

Please refer to the instructions on your proxy or voting instruction card to determine the deadlines for voting over the internet or by telephone. If you choose to vote by mailing a proxy card, your proxy card must be filed with RehabCare's Corporate Secretary by the time the special meeting begins. **Please do not send your stock certificates with your proxy card.** When the merger is completed, a separate letter of transmittal will be mailed to you that will enable you to receive the per share merger consideration in exchange for your stock certificates.

If you have any questions or need assistance voting your shares, please call MacKenzie Partners, Inc., RehabCare's proxy solicitor, toll-free at (800) 322-2885.

If you hold shares of RehabCare common stock in a stock brokerage account or through a bank, broker or other nominee, or, in other words, in street name, please follow the voting instructions provided by that entity. With respect to the proposal to adopt the merger agreement, if you do not instruct your bank, broker or other nominee how to vote your shares, your bank, broker or other nominee will not be authorized to vote with respect to this proposal and a broker non-vote will occur, which will have the same effect as a vote **AGAINST** the adoption of the merger agreement. In addition, if you do not instruct your bank, broker or other nominee how to vote your shares with respect to the proposal to adjourn or postpone the meeting to solicit further proxies to approve the proposal to adopt the merger agreement, a broker non-vote will occur.

A number of banks and brokerage firms participate in a program that also permits stockholders whose shares are held in street name to direct their vote by telephone or over the internet. If your shares are held in an account at a bank or brokerage firm that participates in such a program, you may direct the vote of these shares by telephone or over the internet by following the voting instructions enclosed with the proxy form from the bank or brokerage firm. The internet and telephone proxy procedures are designed to authenticate stockholders' identities, to allow stockholders to give their proxy voting instructions and to confirm that those instructions have been properly recorded. Please follow the instructions from your bank or brokerage firm to vote your shares. Directing the voting of your shares will not affect your right to vote in person if you decide to attend the RehabCare special meeting; however, you must first obtain a signed and properly executed legal proxy from your bank, broker or other nominee to vote your shares held in street name at the RehabCare special meeting. Requesting a legal proxy prior to the deadline described above will automatically cancel any voting directions you have previously given by telephone or over the internet with respect to your shares.

It is important that you vote your shares of RehabCare common stock promptly. Whether or not you plan to attend the special meeting, please complete, date, sign and return, as promptly as possible, the enclosed proxy card in the accompanying prepaid reply envelope, or submit your proxy by telephone or over the internet. RehabCare stockholders who attend the special meeting may revoke their proxies by voting in person.

Voting of Proxies

Shares of RehabCare common stock represented by duly executed and unrevoked proxies in the form of the enclosed proxy card received by the Corporate Secretary of RehabCare will be voted at the special meeting in accordance with specifications made therein by the RehabCare stockholders, unless authority to do so is withheld. If no specification is made, shares represented by duly executed and unrevoked proxies in the form of the enclosed proxy card will be voted **FOR** the proposal to adopt the merger agreement and **FOR** the proposal to adjourn or postpone the special meeting to a later date, if necessary or appropriate, for the purpose of soliciting additional proxies if there are insufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to approve the proposal to adopt the merger agreement.

If your shares of RehabCare common stock are held in street name by your bank, brokerage firm or other nominee, you should instruct your bank, brokerage firm or other nominee on how to vote your shares of RehabCare common stock using the instructions provided by your bank, brokerage firm or other nominee. If you fail to submit a proxy or vote in person at the special meeting, or abstain, or do not provide your bank, brokerage

Table of Contents

firm or other nominee with voting instructions, as applicable, your shares will not be voted on the proposal to adopt the merger agreement, which will have the same effect as a vote **AGAINST** the proposal to adopt the merger agreement.

Revoking Your Proxy

If you are the owner of record of your shares, you can revoke your proxy at any time before its exercise at the special meeting by:

sending a written notice to RehabCare at 7733 Forsyth Boulevard, Suite 2300, St. Louis, Missouri 63105, Attention: Corporate Secretary, bearing a date later than the date of the proxy, that is received prior to the RehabCare special meeting and states that you revoke your proxy;

submitting your proxy again by telephone or over the internet so long as you do so before the deadline of 11:59 p.m., Eastern Daylight Time, on May 25, 2011;

signing another proxy card(s) bearing a later date and mailing it so that it is received prior to the special meeting; or

attending the special meeting and voting in person, although attendance at the special meeting will not, by itself, revoke a proxy. If your shares of RehabCare common stock are held in street name by your broker, you will need to follow the instructions you receive from your broker to revoke or change your proxy.

Other Voting Matters

Voting in Person

If you plan to attend the RehabCare special meeting and wish to vote in person, we will give you a ballot at the special meeting. However, if your shares are held in street name, you must first obtain from your broker, bank or other nominee a legal proxy authorizing you to vote the shares in person, which you must bring with you to the special meeting. If your shares are held in street name by your broker, bank or other nominee, and you plan to attend the RehabCare special meeting, you must present proof of your ownership of RehabCare common stock such as a bank or brokerage account statement, to be admitted to the meeting.

Electronic Access to Proxy Materials

This joint proxy statement/prospectus, notice of special meeting and proxy card are available at www.edocumentview.com/RHBG.

People with Disabilities

RehabCare can provide reasonable assistance to help you to participate in the special meeting if you tell RehabCare about your disability and how you plan to attend. Please write to RehabCare at 7733 Forsyth Boulevard, Suite 2300, St. Louis, Missouri 63105, Attention: Corporate Secretary, or call at (800) 677-1238.

Proxy Solicitations and Expenses

RehabCare has engaged MacKenzie Partners, Inc. to assist in the solicitation of proxies for the special meeting. RehabCare estimates that it will pay MacKenzie Partners, Inc. a fee of approximately \$9,000, and will reimburse MacKenzie Partners, Inc. for reasonable out-of-pocket expenses. RehabCare may also reimburse brokers, banks and other custodians, nominees and fiduciaries representing owners of shares held in street name for their expenses in forwarding soliciting materials to such owners of shares of RehabCare common stock and in obtaining voting instructions from those owners. RehabCare's directors, officers and employees may also solicit proxies by telephone, by facsimile, by mail, over the internet or in person, but they will not be paid any additional amounts for soliciting proxies.

Table of Contents

Adjournment or Postponement of the RehabCare Special Meeting

Although it is not currently expected, the RehabCare special meeting may be adjourned or postponed, including for the purpose of soliciting additional proxies, if there are insufficient votes at the time of the RehabCare special meeting to approve the proposal to adopt the merger agreement or if a quorum is not present at the RehabCare special meeting. Other than an announcement to be made at the RehabCare special meeting of the time, date and place of an adjourned or postponed meeting, an adjournment or postponement generally may be made without notice. Any adjournment or postponement of the RehabCare special meeting for the purpose of soliciting additional proxies will allow RehabCare stockholders who have already sent in their proxies to revoke them at any time prior to their use at the special meeting as adjourned or postponed.

Stock Certificates

Stockholders should not submit any stock certificates with their proxy cards. If the merger is completed, RehabCare stockholders will be sent written instructions for sending their stock certificates or, in the case of book-entry shares, for surrendering their book-entry shares.

Other Business

The RehabCare board of directors is not aware of any other business to be acted upon at the RehabCare special meeting. If, however, other matters are properly brought before the RehabCare special meeting, your proxies will have discretion to vote or act on those matters according to their best judgment and they intend to vote the shares as the RehabCare board of directors may recommend.

Assistance

If you need assistance in completing your proxy card or have questions regarding the RehabCare special meeting, or if you need additional copies of this joint proxy statement/prospectus or the enclosed proxy card or voting instructions, please contact MacKenzie Partners, Inc., RehabCare's proxy solicitor, toll-free at (800) 322-2885.

Table of Contents

INFORMATION ABOUT KINDRED

Kindred is a healthcare services company that through its subsidiaries operates hospitals, nursing and rehabilitation centers, assisted living facilities and a contract rehabilitation services business across the United States. At December 31, 2010, Kindred's hospital division operated 89 LTAC hospitals (6,887 licensed beds) in 24 states. Kindred's nursing center division operated 226 nursing and rehabilitation centers and seven assisted living facilities (27,905 licensed beds) in 28 states. Kindred also operated a contract rehabilitation services business that provides rehabilitative services primarily in long-term care settings.

Kindred's hospital division provides long-term acute care services to medically complex patients through the operation of a national network of 89 hospitals with 6,887 licensed beds located in 24 states as of December 31, 2010. Kindred operates the largest network of LTAC hospitals in the United States based upon fiscal 2010 revenues of approximately \$2.0 billion (before eliminations). As a result of Kindred's commitment to the LTAC hospital business, Kindred has developed a comprehensive program of care for medically complex patients that allows Kindred to deliver high quality care in a cost-effective manner.

A number of the hospital division's hospitals also provide skilled nursing, sub-acute and outpatient services. Outpatient services may include diagnostic services, rehabilitation therapy, CT scanning, one-day surgery and laboratory.

Kindred's nursing center division provides quality, cost-effective care through the operation of a national network of 226 nursing and rehabilitation centers and seven assisted living facilities (27,905 licensed beds) located in 28 states. Kindred is the largest publicly held operator of nursing and rehabilitation centers in the United States based upon fiscal 2010 revenues of approximately \$2.2 billion (before eliminations). Through Kindred's nursing and rehabilitation centers, Kindred provides short stay patients and long stay residents with a full range of medical, nursing, rehabilitative, pharmacy and routine services, including daily dietary, social and recreational services.

Consistent with industry trends, patients and residents admitted to Kindred's nursing and rehabilitation centers arrive with greater medical complexity and require a more extensive and costly level of care. This is particularly true with Kindred's Medicare population for whom the average length of stay in 2010 was 34 days. To appropriately care for a higher acuity short stay patient population and a more frail and unstable long stay resident population, Kindred is taking steps to improve the delivery of the clinical and hospitality services offered to its patients and residents by adjusting the level of clinical and hospitality staffing, assisting physician oversight through the selective use of nurse practitioners, enhancing nursing skills via ongoing education and competency evaluations and improving clinical case management through the employment of clinical case managers.

Kindred's rehabilitation division provides rehabilitative services including physical and occupational therapies and speech pathology services, to residents and patients of nursing centers, acute and long-term acute care hospitals, outpatient clinics, home health agencies, assisted living facilities, school districts and hospice providers. Kindred provides rehabilitative services to 541 nursing centers, 97 hospitals and 58 other locations in 40 states under the name *Peoplefirst* Rehabilitation. Approximately 61% of the rehabilitation division's revenues in 2010 were generated from therapy services contracts with Kindred's hospitals and nursing and rehabilitation centers.

Kindred's rehabilitation division employs approximately 10,000 therapists and had revenues of approximately \$505 million (before eliminations) in 2010. It is organized into seven geographic regions.

Kindred's rehabilitation division provides specialized rehabilitation programs designed to meet the individual needs of the residents and patients it serves. Kindred's specialized care programs address complex medical needs, such as wound care, pain management, cognitive retraining, in addition to neurologic, orthopedic, cardiac and pulmonary recovery.

Table of Contents

Additional information about Kindred and its subsidiaries is included in documents incorporated by reference in this joint proxy statement/prospectus. See [Where You Can Find More Information](#) beginning on page 225.

The principal executive office of Kindred is located at 680 South Fourth Street, Louisville, Kentucky 40202, and its telephone number is (502) 596-7300.

Table of Contents

INFORMATION ABOUT REHABCARE

RehabCare is a leading provider of rehabilitation program management services in more than 1,250 hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, outpatient facilities and other long-term care facilities located in 42 states and Puerto Rico. RehabCare also owns and operates 29 long-term acute care hospitals and five rehabilitation hospitals. These hospitals provide total medical care to patients with medically complex diagnoses and to patients in need of rehabilitation.

RehabCare is headquartered in St. Louis, Missouri and was incorporated in 1982. RehabCare has more than 25 years of experience helping healthcare providers grow and become more efficient while effectively and compassionately delivering rehabilitation services to patients. In partnership with healthcare providers, RehabCare provides post-acute program management, medical direction, physical therapy rehabilitation, quality assurance, compliance review, specialty programs and census development services. RehabCare believes its clients place a high value on its extensive experience in assisting them to implement clinical best practices, to address competition for patient services, and to navigate the complexities inherent in managed care contracting and government reimbursement systems. Over the years, RehabCare has diversified its program management services to include management services for inpatient rehabilitation facilities within hospitals, skilled nursing units, outpatient rehabilitation programs, home health, and skilled nursing, long-term care and assisted living facilities.

RehabCare's principal offices are located at 7733 Forsyth Boulevard, Suite 2300, St. Louis, Missouri 63105 and its telephone number is (800) 677-1238. RehabCare's website is www.rehabcare.com. RehabCare common stock is listed on the NYSE and trades under the symbol RHB. Additional information about RehabCare is included in documents incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus. See the section entitled "Where You Can Find More Information" beginning on page 225.

Table of Contents

INFORMATION ABOUT MERGER SUBSIDIARY

Kindred Healthcare Development, Inc., a wholly owned indirect subsidiary of Kindred, is a Delaware corporation formed on January 31, 2011 for the purpose of effecting the merger in case either party elects to change the method of effecting the transaction by providing for a merger of merger subsidiary with and into RehabCare. If either party makes the subsidiary merger election, then merger subsidiary will merge with and into RehabCare at the effective time with RehabCare continuing as the surviving corporation and a wholly owned subsidiary of Kindred.

Table of Contents

COMPARISON OF RIGHTS OF STOCKHOLDERS

Both Kindred and RehabCare are incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware and, accordingly, the rights of the stockholders of each are governed by the DGCL. Upon consummation of the merger, all outstanding shares of RehabCare common stock will be converted into the right to receive the merger consideration, which will include shares of Kindred common stock and cash. Therefore, upon completion of the merger, the rights of the former RehabCare stockholders will be governed by the DGCL, the certificate of incorporation of Kindred, and the bylaws of Kindred, as amended.

The following discussion is a summary of the current rights of Kindred stockholders and the current rights of RehabCare stockholders. While this summary includes the material differences between the two, this summary may not contain all of the information that is important to you.

You are urged to carefully read this entire joint proxy statement/prospectus, the relevant provisions of the DGCL and the other governing documents referred in this joint proxy statement/prospectus for a more complete understanding of the differences between being a stockholder of Kindred and a stockholder of RehabCare. Kindred and RehabCare have filed with the SEC their respective governing documents referenced in this summary of stockholder rights and will send copies of these documents to you, without charge, upon your request. See [Where You Can Find More Information](#) beginning on page 225.

Material Differences in Stockholder Rights

	REHABCARE	KINDRED
Amendment of Charter Documents	<p>Delaware. Unless a greater vote is required by a corporation's board of directors and holders of a majority of the outstanding stock of a corporation entitled to vote thereon and, in cases in which class voting is required, by holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of such class, in order to amend a corporation's certificate of incorporation. Amendments, alterations, changes and repeals of provisions contained in the certificate of incorporation are governed in accordance with the applicable law, including DGCL.</p>	<p>Amendments to the certificate of incorporation are governed in accordance with the DGCL, except that (1) the affirmative vote of two-thirds of the votes entitled to be cast by the holders of all outstanding shares of voting stock is required to amend, modify or repeal the article eighth of the certificate of incorporation, which governs the limitations on director liability and indemnification of directors, officers, agents and employees; (2) the affirmative vote of 95 percent of each class of voting stock, voting separately by class, is required to amend, modify or repeal the article tenth of the certificate of incorporation, which governs the relationship between Kindred and Ventas, Inc.; and (3) the affirmative vote of 95 percent of each class of voting stock, voting separately by class, is required to amend, modify or repeal the article twelfth of the certificate of incorporation, which governs any proposal by Kindred to redeem, repurchase or otherwise reacquire shares or engage in any other transaction, which would increase the beneficial ownership of Ventas, Inc. in excess of 9.99 percent.</p>

Table of Contents

	REHABCARE	KINDRED
Right to Call Special Meetings of the Stockholders	<p>Delaware. The DGCL permits special meetings of stockholders to be called by the board of directors and such other persons, including stockholders, as the certificate of incorporation or bylaws may provide. The DGCL does not require that stockholders be given the right to call special meetings.</p> <p>The bylaws of RehabCare provide that a special meeting of the stockholders for the transaction of any proper business may be called at any time by the board, by the chairman of the board, or by the chief executive officer.</p>	<p>The bylaws of Kindred provide that special meetings of the stockholders may be called by (i) the chairman of the board, if any, (ii) pursuant to a resolution approved by a majority of the board of directors, or (iii) by written request to the chairman of the board by stockholders representing in excess of 50% of the then outstanding common stock entitled to vote.</p>
Amendment and Repeal of Bylaws	<p>Delaware. The DGCL provides that stockholders of a corporation, and, when provided for in the certificate of incorporation, the board of directors of the corporation, have the power to adopt, amend and repeal the bylaws of a corporation.</p> <p>The bylaws of RehabCare may be altered, amended or repealed, and new bylaws may be made, (i) by the board, by vote of a majority of the number of directors then in office as directors, acting at any meetings of the board, or (ii) by the stockholders, at any annual meeting of stockholders, without previous notice, or any special meeting of stockholders, provided that notice of such proposed amendment, modification, repeal or adoption is given in the notice of special meeting. Any bylaws made or altered by the stockholders may be altered or repealed by either the board or the stockholders.</p>	<p>The board of directors may amend or repeal the Kindred bylaws or adopt new bylaws by the affirmative vote of two-thirds of the directors present at any meeting of the board, assuming a quorum is present; provided, however, that the affirmative vote of not less than 80% of all directors then in office shall be required to amend or repeal Section 2.1, which sets forth how the number of directors is determined. The stockholders may amend or repeal the bylaws or adopt new bylaws only by the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of the then outstanding common stock entitled to vote.</p>
Size of the Board of Directors	<p>Delaware. Under DGCL, the number of directors of a corporation shall be fixed by, or in the manner provided in, the bylaws, unless the certificate of incorporation fixes the number of directors.</p> <p>Pursuant to the RehabCare bylaws, the RehabCare board of directors shall consist of between three and fifteen members, as fixed by the directors.</p>	<p>Pursuant to the Kindred bylaws, the Kindred board of directors shall consist of between nine and twelve members, as fixed by the affirmative vote of not less than 80% of all directors then in office.</p>
Election of Directors	<p>Delaware. The DGCL provides that stockholders of a corporation do not have the right to cumulate their votes in the election of directors unless such right is granted in the certificate of incorporation of the corporation.</p> <p>The persons receiving the greatest number of votes, up to the number of directors to be elected, will be directors. The stockholders do not have cumulative voting rights.</p>	<p>Each nominee for a director is elected if the votes cast for such nominee's election exceed the votes cast against such nominee's election, provided that in contested elections, directors are elected by a plurality vote of the shares present in person or represented by proxy at the meeting and entitled to vote. The stockholders do not have cumulative voting rights.</p>

Table of Contents

	REHABCARE	KINDRED
Removal of Directors	<p>Delaware. The DGCL provides that a director or directors may be removed from office, with or without cause, by the holders of a majority of the shares then entitled to vote, except that (1) in the case of a corporation that has a classified board, directors may be removed from office by stockholders only for cause, unless the certificate of incorporation provides otherwise and (2) in the case of a corporation having cumulative voting, if less than the entire board is to be removed, no director may be removed without cause if the votes cast against such removal would be sufficient to elect such director if then cumulatively voted at an election of the entire board of directors or of the class of directors of which the director is a part.</p> <p>The bylaws of RehabCare provide that any director may be removed at any time, either with or without cause, by the affirmative vote of the stockholders being a majority of the voting power of RehabCare given at a special meeting of the stockholders called for the purpose.</p>	<p>The certificate of incorporation and bylaws of Kindred provide that any director, or the entire board of directors, may be removed from office with or without cause but only by the affirmative vote of not less than two-thirds of the votes entitled to be cast by the holders of all outstanding shares of voting stock, voting together as one class.</p>
Vacancies on the Board	<p>Delaware. The DGCL provides that vacancies and newly created directorships resulting from a resignation or any increase in the authorized number of directors to be elected by the stockholders having the right to vote as a single class may be filled by a majority of the directors then in office although less than a quorum or by a sole remaining director, unless the certificate of incorporation or the bylaws of a corporation provide otherwise.</p> <p>Any vacancy in the board, whether because of death, resignation, disqualification, an increase in the number of directors, or any other cause, may be filled by vote of the majority of the remaining directors, although less than a quorum. Each director so chosen to fill a vacancy shall hold office until his successor shall have been elected and shall qualify or until he shall resign or shall have been removed in the manner hereinafter provided.</p>	<p>If the office of any director becomes vacant (due to resignation, removal or otherwise), the remaining directors in office, though less than a quorum, by a majority vote, or the holders of a majority of all the shares of voting stock outstanding, may appoint any qualified person to fill such vacancy. Any directors elected or appointed to fill a vacancy shall hold office until the next annual meeting of stockholders, and until his or her successor is elected and qualified or until his or her earlier resignation or removal.</p>

Table of Contents

	REHABCARE	KINDRED
Provisions Affecting Business Combinations	<p>Delaware. Section 203 of the DGCL provides generally that any person who acquires 15% or more of a corporation's voting stock (thereby becoming an interested stockholder) may not engage in a wide range of business combinations with the corporation for a period of three years following the time the person became an interested stockholder, unless (1) the board of directors of the corporation has approved, prior to that acquisition time, either the business combination or the transaction that resulted in the person becoming an interested stockholder, (2) upon consummation of the transaction that resulted in the person becoming an interested stockholder, that person owned at least 85% of the corporation's voting stock outstanding at the time the transaction commenced (excluding shares owned by persons who are directors and also officers and shares owned by employee stock plans in which participants do not have the right to determine confidentially whether shares will be tendered in a tender or exchange offer), or (3) the person became an interested stockholder on or after the time the business combination is approved by the board of directors and authorized by the affirmative vote (at an annual or special meeting and not by written consent) of at least 66 2/3% of the outstanding voting stock not owned by the interested stockholder.</p>	

These restrictions on interested stockholders do not apply under certain circumstances, including, but not limited to, the following: (1) if the corporation's original certificate of incorporation contains a provision expressly electing not to be governed by Section 203 of the DGCL, or (2) if the corporation, by action of its stockholders taken with the favorable vote of a majority of the outstanding voting power of the corporation, adopts an amendment to its bylaws or certificate of incorporation expressly electing not to be governed by Section 203 of the DGCL, with such amendment to be effective 12 months thereafter, or in certain limited circumstances, immediately.

RehabCare is subject to Section 203 of the DGCL.

Kindred expressly elects in its certificate of incorporation not to be governed by Section 203 of the DGCL.

Certain Similarities in Stockholder Rights

Authorized Capital Stock	<p>Under Delaware law, every corporation may issue one or more classes of stock or one or more series of stock within any class thereof, which classes or series may have such voting powers, full or limited, or no voting powers, and such designations, preferences and relative, participating, optional or other special rights, and qualifications, limitations or restrictions thereof, as shall be stated and expressed in the certificate of incorporation or in the board resolution providing for the issue of such stock adopted pursuant to authority expressly vested in the board by the provisions of the certificate of incorporation.</p>	
---------------------------------	---	--

RehabCare's certificate of incorporation authorizes 70,000,000 shares, consisting of 60,000,000 shares of common stock, \$0.01 par value, and 10,000,000 preferred shares, \$0.10 par value. Kindred's certificate of incorporation authorizes 176,000,000 shares, consisting of 175,000,000 shares of common stock, \$0.25 par value, and 1,000,000 shares of preferred stock, \$0.25 par value.

Both companies' certificate of incorporation authorizes the board to determine the rights and preferences of preferred stock and issue such preferred stock.

Public Market for the Shares	<p>Common shares of RehabCare and Kindred are quoted on the NYSE.</p>	
-------------------------------------	---	--

Table of Contents

Stockholder Action without a Meeting The DGCL provides that any action that may be taken at a meeting of stockholders may be taken without a meeting, without prior notice and without a vote, if the holders of the outstanding stock having not less than the minimum number of votes otherwise required to authorize or take such action at a meeting of stockholders consent in writing, unless otherwise provided by a corporation's certificate of incorporation.

The certificate of incorporation of neither company prohibits stockholder action by written consent and accordingly, stockholders may take action without a meeting.

Notice of Stockholders Meetings Both companies have to give written notice of any meeting not less than 10 nor more than 60 days before the date of the meeting to each stockholder entitled to vote at such meeting.

Stockholder Nominees for Board of Directors The bylaws of both companies provide that nominations of persons for election to the board of directors may be made (a) by or at the direction of the board or (b) by any stockholder entitled to vote for the election of directors at such meeting, provided that the stockholder complies with the conditions and procedures set forth in the bylaws. Such bylaw provisions relate to, among other things, timing of nominations and the requirement to provide certain information about the proponent and nominee.

Stockholder Proposals (other than Nomination of Candidates to the Board of Directors) The bylaws of both companies provide that a stockholder may submit matters for a stockholder meeting only if such stockholder complies with the conditions and procedures set forth in the bylaws. Such bylaw provisions relate to, among other things, timing of submitting the proposal and the requirement to provide certain information about the proponent.

No Classified Board Neither the Kindred board of directors nor the RehabCare board of directors is divided into classes.

No Stockholder Rights Plan Neither Kindred nor RehabCare has an existing stockholder rights plan.

Director and Officer Liability and Indemnification The certificates of incorporation and/or bylaws of both companies, in compliance with DGCL, provide for the following rights with respect to the liability of directors and officers:

The directors of both companies are not liable to the company to the fullest extent permitted by Delaware law;

The companies will indemnify, to fullest extent permitted by law, any person who incurs losses by reason of the fact that he is or was a director or officer;

Both companies will provide advances for reimbursement of expenses incurred by directors and officers in defending any action, suit or proceeding for which the company has a duty to indemnify the director or officer, subject to repayment of such expenses if it shall ultimately be determined that such person is not entitled to be indemnified;

Both companies are entitled to purchase liability insurance for its directors, officers, employees and agents, regardless of whether any such person is otherwise eligible for indemnification by the company.

Table of Contents

Rights of Dissenting Stockholders

The DGCL provides that appraisal rights are available to dissenting stockholders in connection with certain mergers or consolidations. However, the DGCL does not provide for appraisal rights in connection with such mergers or consolidations if (1) the shares of the corporation are (a) listed on a national securities exchange or (b) held of record by more than 2,000 stockholders or (2) the corporation is the surviving corporation and no vote of its stockholders is required for the merger. However, notwithstanding the foregoing, the DGCL provides that appraisal rights will be available to the stockholders of a corporation if the stockholders are required by the terms of a merger agreement to accept for such stock anything except (1) shares of stock of the corporation surviving or resulting from such merger or consolidation, or depository receipts in respect thereof, (2) shares of stock of any other corporation, or depository receipts in respect thereof, which shares of stock (or depository receipts in respect thereof) or depository receipts at the effective date of the merger or consolidation will be either listed on a national securities exchange or held of record by more than 2,000 holders, (3) cash in lieu of fractional shares or fractional depository receipts as described above, or (4) any combination of the shares of stock, depository receipts and cash in lieu of fractional shares or fractional depository receipts as described above. See Summary Appraisal Rights on page 15 and The Merger RehabCare Stockholders Rights of Appraisal beginning on page 86. The DGCL does not provide appraisal rights to stockholders with respect to the sale of all or substantially all of a corporation's assets or an amendment to a corporation's certificate of incorporation, although a corporation's certificate of incorporation may so provide.

The DGCL provides, among other procedural requirements for the exercise of the appraisal rights, that a stockholder's written demand for appraisal of shares must be received before the taking of the vote on the matter giving rise to appraisal rights, when the matter is voted on at a meeting of stockholders.

The appraisal rights of Kindred and RehabCare stockholders are governed in accordance with the DGCL.

Preemptive Rights of Stockholders

The DGCL provides that no stockholder shall have any preemptive rights to purchase additional securities of a corporation unless the corporation's certificate of incorporation expressly grants such rights. The certificates of incorporation of neither Kindred nor RehabCare grants any preemptive rights to their respective stockholders.

Table of Contents

UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED COMBINED FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The following unaudited pro forma condensed combined financial information is based upon the historical audited consolidated financial information of Kindred and RehabCare incorporated by reference in this joint proxy statement/prospectus and has been prepared to reflect the merger in which Kindred will acquire all of the outstanding common stock of RehabCare. The unaudited pro forma condensed combined balance sheet is presented as if the merger and related financing had occurred on December 31, 2010. The unaudited pro forma condensed combined statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2010 was prepared assuming the merger occurred on January 1, 2010. The historical consolidated financial information has been adjusted to give effect to estimated pro forma events that are (1) directly attributable to the merger, (2) factually supportable, and (3) with respect to the statement of operations, expected to have a continuing impact on the combined results of operations. The historical consolidated financial statements of RehabCare have been adjusted to reflect certain reclassifications to conform with Kindred's financial statement presentation.

The unaudited pro forma condensed combined financial information has been prepared for illustrative purposes only and is not necessarily indicative of the consolidated financial position or results of operations in future periods or the results that actually would have been realized had Kindred and RehabCare been a combined company during the periods specified.

The unaudited pro forma condensed combined financial information should be read in conjunction with the accompanying notes to the unaudited pro forma condensed combined financial statements. In addition, the unaudited pro forma condensed combined financial information was based upon and should be read in conjunction with the following historical consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes of Kindred and RehabCare for the applicable periods, which are incorporated by reference in this joint proxy statement/prospectus:

Separate historical financial statements of Kindred as of and for the year ended December 31, 2010 and the related notes included in Kindred's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010; and

Separate historical financial statements of RehabCare as of and for the year ended December 31, 2010 and the related notes included in RehabCare's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

The unaudited pro forma condensed combined financial information has been prepared using the acquisition method of accounting under existing GAAP, which are subject to change and interpretation. The acquisition accounting for certain items, including property and equipment, identifiable intangible assets, leasehold interests and noncontrolling interests, is dependent upon certain valuations and other studies that have yet to commence or progress to a stage where there is sufficient information for a definitive measurement. Accordingly, the pro forma adjustments are based upon estimates and current preliminary information and may differ materially from actual amounts. For purposes of this unaudited pro forma condensed combined financial information, the merger consideration has been preliminarily allocated to the tangible and intangible assets being acquired and liabilities being assumed based upon various estimates of fair value. The merger consideration will be allocated among the fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed based upon their estimated fair values as of the date of the merger. Any excess of the merger consideration over the fair value of RehabCare identifiable net assets will be recorded as goodwill. The final allocation is dependent upon the completion of the aforementioned valuations and other analyses that cannot be completed prior to the merger. The actual amounts recorded at the completion of the merger may differ materially from the information presented in the accompanying unaudited pro forma condensed combined financial information and those differences could have a material impact on the unaudited pro forma condensed combined financial information and the combined company's future results of operations and financial performance. The unaudited pro forma condensed combined statement of operations does not include expenses and certain write-offs related to debt refinancing that we expect to incur in connection with the merger closing, which, at this time, are estimated to be approximately \$92 million. Additionally, the unaudited

Table of Contents

pro forma condensed combined financial information does not reflect the cost of any integration activities or benefits from synergies that may be derived from any integration activities, nor does the unaudited pro forma condensed combined statement of operations include the effects of any other items directly attributable to the merger that are not expected to have a continuing impact on the combined results of operations.

Table of Contents**Kindred and RehabCare****Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Balance Sheet**

As of December 31, 2010

(In thousands)

	Historical		Pro forma adjustments			Pro forma combined
	Kindred	RehabCare	Reclassifications	Merger and financing (i)	Allocation of merger consideration	
ASSETS						
Current assets:						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 17,168	\$ 23,205	\$	\$ (1,531,123)	\$	\$ 40,373
				1,531,123		
Cash-restricted	5,494					5,494
Insurance subsidiary investments	76,753					76,753
Accounts receivable less allowance for loss	631,877	222,179	9,132 (a)			864,783
			1,595 (b)			
Inventories	24,327		5,765 (c)			30,092
Deferred tax assets	13,439	21,034				34,473
Income taxes	42,118		(2,906)(d)	22,688		61,900
Other	24,862	14,559	(1,595)(b)			32,061
			(5,765)(c)			
	836,038	280,977	6,226	22,688		1,145,929
Property and equipment, net	896,547	119,591			13,220 (j)	1,029,358
Goodwill	242,420	559,866			(559,866)(j)	1,042,621
					800,201 (j)	
Intangible assets less accumulated amortization	92,883	127,227			(127,227)(j)	493,883
					401,000 (j)	
Assets held for sale	7,167	10,407				17,574
Insurance subsidiary investments	101,210					101,210
Deferred tax assets	88,816		(88,816)(e)			
Investment in RehabCare				935,598	(935,598)(k)	
Other	72,334	28,501		(16,369)		141,085
				(881)		
				57,500		
Total assets	\$ 2,337,415	\$ 1,126,569	\$ (82,590)	\$ 998,536	\$ (408,270)	\$ 3,971,660
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY						
Current liabilities:						
Accounts payable	\$ 174,495	\$ 16,440	\$	\$	\$	\$ 190,935
Salaries, wages and other compensation	291,116	78,863	8,641 (f)			378,620
Due to third party payors	27,115		368 (g)			27,483
Professional liability risks	41,555		7,261 (h)			48,816
Income taxes		2,906	(2,906)(d)			
Other accrued liabilities	87,012	56,039	9,132 (a)	(564)	(7,281)(l)	128,068
			(8,641)(f)			
			(368)(g)			
			(7,261)(h)			
Long-term debt due within one year	91	9,116		(5,900)		10,307
				7,000		
	621,384	163,364	6,226	536	(7,281)	784,229
Long-term debt	365,556	381,772		(381,000)		1,533,042

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

				(365,000)		
				1,531,123		
				7,591		
				(7,000)		
Professional liability risks	207,669				207,669	
Deferred tax liabilities		54,755	(88,816)(e)	99,109 (j)	65,048	
Deferred credits and other liabilities	111,047	5,695			116,742	
Noncontrolling interests-redeemable				9,098 (m)	9,098	
Equity:						
Stockholders' equity:						
Common stock, par value	9,874	283		2,927	(283)(n)	12,801
Capital in excess of par value	828,593	292,078		278,602	(292,078)(n)	1,107,195
Accumulated other comprehensive income	135					135
Treasury stock		(54,704)		54,704 (n)		
Retained earnings	193,157	262,441		(69,243)	(262,441)(n)	123,914
	1,031,759	500,098		212,286	(500,098)	1,244,045
Noncontrolling interests-nonredeemable		20,885			(9,098)(m)	11,787
Total equity	1,031,759	520,983		212,286	(509,196)	1,255,832
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 2,337,415	\$ 1,126,569	\$ (82,590)	\$ 998,536	\$ (408,270)	\$ 3,971,660

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents**Kindred and RehabCare****Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Statement of Operations****Year ended December 31, 2010****(In thousands, except per share amounts)**

	Historical		Pro forma adjustments		Allocation of merger consideration	Pro forma combined
	Kindred	RehabCare	Reclassifications (o)	Merger and financing		
Revenues	\$ 4,359,697	\$ 1,329,443	\$	\$	\$	\$ 5,689,140
Salaries, wages and benefits	2,505,690		862,602			3,368,292
Supplies	342,197		74,194			416,391
Rent	357,372		49,208			406,580
Other operating expenses	948,609	1,056,671	(877,386)		(996)(s)	1,126,898
Selling, general and administrative		108,618	(108,618)			
Other income	(11,422)	(959)				(12,381)
Depreciation and amortization	121,552	30,595			1,102 (t) (8,178)(u) 12,060 (u)	157,131
Interest expense	7,090	33,167		(37,606)(p) 104,997 (p)		107,648
Investment income	(1,245)	(98)				(1,343)
	4,269,843	1,227,994		67,391	3,988	5,569,216
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	89,854	101,449		(67,391)	(3,988)	119,924
Provision for income taxes	33,708	36,559		(25,946)(q)	1,850 (q)	46,171
Income from continuing operations	56,146	64,890		(41,445)	(5,838)	73,753
Earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests		(3,677)				(3,677)
Income from continuing operations attributable to Kindred	\$ 56,146	\$ 61,213	\$	\$ (41,445)	\$ (5,838)	\$ 70,076
Pro forma earnings from continuing operations per common share:						
Basic	\$ 1.42	\$ 2.53				\$ 1.37(v)
Diluted	\$ 1.42	\$ 2.48				\$ 1.36(v)
Pro forma shares used in computing earnings from continuing operations per common share:						
Basic	38,738	24,231		11,706 (r)		50,444
Diluted	38,954	24,706		11,706 (r)		50,660

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED COMBINED FINANCIAL INFORMATION

NOTE 1 BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited pro forma condensed combined financial information was prepared in accordance with the provisions of the authoritative guidance for business combinations using the acquisition method of accounting in which Kindred acquires all of the outstanding common stock of RehabCare.

The accompanying unaudited pro forma condensed combined financial information presents the pro forma combined financial position and results of operations based upon the historical audited financial statements of Kindred and RehabCare, after giving effect to the merger, related financing activities and other adjustments. The unaudited pro forma condensed combined financial information has been prepared for illustrative purposes only and does not reflect the cost of any integration activities or benefits from synergies that may be derived from any integration activities, nor does the unaudited pro forma condensed combined statement of operations include the effects of any other items directly attributable to the merger that are not expected to have a continuing impact on the combined results of operations.

The accompanying unaudited pro forma condensed combined balance sheet gives effect to the merger and related financing activities as if these transactions had been consummated on December 31, 2010, and includes estimated pro forma adjustments for the preliminary valuations of assets acquired and liabilities assumed. These adjustments are subject to further revision as additional information becomes available. The unaudited pro forma condensed combined statement of operations gives effect to the merger and related financing activities as if these transactions had been consummated on January 1, 2010.

NOTE 2 PRELIMINARY ALLOCATION OF MERGER CONSIDERATION

On February 7, 2011, Kindred entered into a merger agreement with RehabCare, providing for Kindred's acquisition of all of the outstanding common stock of RehabCare. Each share of RehabCare common stock outstanding immediately prior to the effective time (subject to certain exceptions) will be converted into the right to receive 0.471 of a share of Kindred common stock and \$26.00 in cash, without interest. No fractional shares of Kindred common stock will be issued in the merger and RehabCare stockholders will receive cash in lieu of fractional shares. The merger agreement also provides for the vesting and conversion of certain RehabCare stock options and the vesting and lapse of restrictions on RehabCare restricted shares. The value of the equity consideration portion of the preliminary merger consideration is subject to change based upon changes in the market price of Kindred common stock prior to closing. The total value of the transaction, including the assumption and refinancing of RehabCare long-term debt, is approximately \$1.3 billion.

Upon completion of the merger, RehabCare stockholders will own approximately 23% of Kindred's outstanding common stock.

Kindred has obtained a financing commitment from the debt commitment parties in connection with the merger. These funds and existing cash balances are expected to be sufficient to fund the cash consideration to RehabCare stockholders and to refinance Kindred's revolving credit facility and RehabCare's revolving credit and term loan facilities. Subject to certain conditions, Kindred expects to have in place approximately \$1.900 billion of long-term financing, of which approximately \$1.600 billion is expected to be outstanding at the time of consummation of the merger.

Table of Contents**NOTES TO UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED COMBINED FINANCIAL INFORMATION (Continued)****NOTE 2 PRELIMINARY ALLOCATION OF MERGER CONSIDERATION (Continued)**

An estimate of the merger consideration paid to RehabCare stockholders at the effective time and a preliminary allocation of the merger consideration to the assets to be acquired and the liabilities to be assumed follows (in thousands, except per share or per option amounts):

Estimate of merger consideration:	
Cash consideration:	
RehabCare common stock and unvested restricted shares outstanding as of December 31, 2010	24,854
Cash consideration paid per share per the merger agreement	\$ 26
	646,204
RehabCare employee stock options outstanding as of December 31, 2010	605
Weighted average value per outstanding RehabCare stock option as of April 15, 2011	\$ 13.00
	7,865
Total cash consideration	654,069
Kindred equity consideration:	
RehabCare common stock and unvested restricted shares outstanding as of December 31, 2010	24,854
Exchange ratio per merger agreement	0.471
Assumed Kindred common stock issued	11,706
Kindred closing price per share as of April 15, 2011	\$ 24.05
Total equity consideration	281,529
Total merger consideration to RehabCare stockholders and stock option holders	\$ 935,598

Allocation of merger consideration to assets acquired and liabilities assumed:

	Historical balances as of December 31, 2010	Reclassifications and fair value adjustments	Allocation of merger consideration
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 23,205	\$	\$ 23,205
Accounts receivable	222,179	10,727	232,906
Deferred tax assets	21,034		21,034
Other current assets	14,559	(4,501)	10,058
Property and equipment	119,591	13,220	132,811
Identifiable intangible assets	127,227	273,773	401,000
Other assets	38,908		38,908
Current portion of long-term debt	(9,116)		(9,116)

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

Accounts payable and other current liabilities	(154,248)	1,055	(153,193)
Long-term debt, less current portion	(381,772)		(381,772)
Deferred tax liabilities	(54,755)	(99,109)	(153,864)
Other liabilities	(5,695)		(5,695)
Noncontrolling interests nonredeemable	(20,885)	9,098	(11,787)
Noncontrolling interests redeemable		(9,098)	(9,098)
Total identifiable net assets	(59,768)		135,397
Goodwill	559,866		800,201
Net assets	\$ 500,098		\$ 935,598

A change of \$1 in the price of Kindred common stock would increase or decrease the equity consideration, including unvested employee restricted shares and employee stock option consideration, by approximately \$12 million, which would result in a corresponding adjustment to goodwill.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED COMBINED FINANCIAL INFORMATION (Continued)

NOTE 2 PRELIMINARY ALLOCATION OF MERGER CONSIDERATION (Continued)

The final merger consideration allocation for certain items, including property and equipment, identifiable intangible assets, leasehold interests and noncontrolling interests, is dependent upon certain valuations and other studies that have yet to commence or progress to a stage where there is sufficient information for a definitive measurement. The actual amounts recorded at the completion of the merger may differ materially from the information presented herein.

NOTE 3 PRO FORMA ADJUSTMENTS

The unaudited pro forma condensed combined financial information includes the following adjustments to conform the RehabCare balance sheet and statement of operations with the Kindred presentation, to give effect to the merger and Kindred's financing activities and to adjust historical amounts to estimated fair value in connection with the merger consideration allocation. See Note 2.

Unaudited pro forma condensed combined balance sheet adjustments

- a) To reclassify \$9.1 million of RehabCare patient credit balances, which are included in accounts receivable, to other accrued liabilities.
- b) To reclassify \$1.6 million of RehabCare Medicare and Medicaid cost report receivables and other accounts receivable from other current assets to accounts receivable.
- c) To reclassify \$5.8 million of RehabCare inventory from other current assets to inventories.
- d) To reclassify \$2.9 million of RehabCare income taxes payable to offset Kindred's income taxes receivable.
- e) To reclassify \$88.8 million of Kindred long-term deferred tax assets to long-term deferred tax liabilities to conform to the pro forma condensed combined balance sheet presentation after adjustments.
- f) To reclassify \$8.6 million of RehabCare workers compensation liabilities from other accrued liabilities to accrued salaries, wages and other compensation.
- g) To reclassify \$0.4 million of RehabCare Medicare and Medicaid cost report payables from other accrued liabilities to due to third party payors.
- h) To reclassify \$7.3 million of RehabCare professional liability risks from other accrued liabilities to professional liability risks classified as current.

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

- i) Kindred has obtained a financing commitment to fund a substantial portion of the merger consideration. The financing commitment is comprised of a \$650 million senior secured asset backed revolving credit facility with a five-year term, a \$700 million senior secured term loan with a seven-year term and a \$550 million senior unsecured bridge loan facility with a term of one year (which may be extended to eight years). Accessing the bridge loan facility may not be necessary if Kindred is able to complete a planned \$550 million senior unsecured notes offering prior to the closing date of the merger. The unaudited pro forma condensed combined financial information assumes, based upon management's current expectations, that the notes offering is completed upon consummation of the merger and the bridge loan facility is not accessed. Kindred expects to pay various financing costs related to executing these debt instruments that will be amortized over the terms of the loans or expensed at the closing of the merger.

Table of Contents**NOTES TO UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED COMBINED FINANCIAL INFORMATION (Continued)****NOTE 3 PRO FORMA ADJUSTMENTS (Continued)***Unaudited pro forma condensed combined balance sheet adjustments (continued)*

The financing activities related to the merger, including both debt and equity financing and the related uses of funds, follows (in thousands, except per share amounts):

Financing sources:			
New debt:			
\$650 million revolving credit facility		\$ 288,123	
\$700 million term loan (current portion \$7 million)		700,000	
\$550 million senior unsecured notes		550,000	
Less original issue discount on term loan		(7,000)	\$ 1,531,123
Equity exchanged:			
Kindred common stock, \$0.25 par value		2,927	
Capital in excess of par value		278,602	281,529
			\$ 1,812,652
Financing uses:			
Consideration to acquire RehabCare (see Note 2):			
Cash consideration		\$ 654,069	
Equity exchanged		281,529	\$ 935,598
RehabCare long-term debt refinanced			
Current portion of RehabCare long-term debt		381,000	
		5,900	386,900
Kindred revolving credit facility to be refinanced			
Accrued interest related to debt refinanced			365,000
Financing costs paid at merger closing (deferred as long-term assets)			564
Expenses paid at closing			57,500
			67,090
			\$ 1,812,652
Expenses related to merger closing:			
Cash payments:			
Legal and professional fees		\$ 33,000	
Employee change in control payments and other severance agreements			21,100
Bridge loan facility commitment fee			8,250
Other expenses			4,740
			67,090
Non-cash write-offs:			
RehabCare deferred financing costs			16,369
RehabCare original issue discount			7,591
Kindred deferred financing costs			881

	24,841
	91,931
Income tax benefit (1)	(22,688)
Charge to retained earnings	\$ 69,243

- (1) The combined company estimated statutory income tax rate of 38.5% was only applied to expenses related to the merger that are expected to be deductible for income tax purposes.

Table of Contents**NOTES TO UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED COMBINED FINANCIAL INFORMATION (Continued)****NOTE 3 PRO FORMA ADJUSTMENTS (Continued)***Unaudited pro forma condensed combined balance sheet adjustments (continued)*

- j) To adjust the tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed based upon preliminary estimates of fair value and eliminate historical RehabCare balances. The preliminary estimates of fair value for property and equipment and identifiable intangible assets follow (dollars in thousands):

	Historical net book value as of December 31, 2010	Estimated fair value	Estimated fair value adjustment
Property and equipment:			
Land	\$ 6,043	\$ 6,368	\$ 325
Buildings	53,574	59,413	5,839
Buildings and equipment under capital lease obligations	7,270	7,920	650
Equipment	50,763	57,061	6,298
Construction in progress	1,941	2,049	108
	\$ 119,591	\$ 132,811	\$ 13,220
Identifiable intangibles:			
Indefinite lived:			
RehabCare trade name			\$ 141,100
Medicare certifications			122,200
Certificates of need			8,000
Finite lived:			
Customer relationships			110,400
Other trade name			16,500
Non-compete agreements			2,800
			\$ 401,000

The excess of the merger consideration for RehabCare over the fair value of its identifiable net assets of \$800.2 million is recorded as goodwill.
See Note 2.

Adjustments to deferred tax liabilities related to the tax effect of fair value adjustments (in thousands):

Property and equipment	\$ 5,090
Identifiable intangible assets	105,403
Goodwill	(11,384)
	\$ 99,109

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-Q

- k) To allocate the total merger consideration paid to RehabCare stockholders to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed. See Note 2.

- l) To eliminate \$7.3 million of deferred rent liabilities related to straight-line rent accruals in accordance with the provisions of the authoritative guidance for business combinations. In subsequent periods following the date of the merger, lease payments that are not contingent will continue to be accounted for on a straight-line basis.

- m) To reclassify \$9.1 million of RehabCare noncontrolling interests that may be redeemable under the change in control provisions of existing contracts.

- n) To eliminate the historical stockholders' equity balances of RehabCare.

Table of Contents**NOTES TO UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED COMBINED FINANCIAL INFORMATION (Continued)****NOTE 3 PRO FORMA ADJUSTMENTS (Continued)***Unaudited pro forma condensed combined statement of operations adjustments*

- o) To reclassify the RehabCare statement of operations presentation to conform with the Kindred presentation (in thousands):

	Other operating expenses	Selling, general and administrative	Total
Salaries, wages and benefits	\$ 789,507	\$ 73,095	\$ 862,602
Supplies	73,473	721	74,194
Rent	46,559	2,649	49,208
Other operating expenses	(909,539)	32,153	(877,386)
Selling, general and administrative		(108,618)	(108,618)
	\$	\$	\$

- p) To eliminate historical interest expense and deferred financing cost amortization related to Kindred and RehabCare debt obligations that will be refinanced at the closing date of the merger and to add the new interest expense and deferred financing cost amortization, which are computed as follows (in thousands):

	Interest expense	Deferred cost amortization	Total decrease to interest expense
Historical interest expense eliminated:			
RehabCare	\$ 26,947	\$ 4,521	\$ 31,468
Kindred	4,976	1,162	6,138
	\$ 31,923	\$ 5,683	\$ 37,606

	Debt borrowings at merger date	Deferred costs related to financing	Interest expense	Deferred cost amortization	Other fees	Total increase to interest expense
New interest expense:						
\$650 million revolving credit facility	\$ 288,123	\$ 16,250	\$ 8,764	\$ 3,250	\$ 1,909	\$ 13,923
\$700 million term loan	700,000	17,500	37,260	2,500	100	39,860
\$550 million senior unsecured notes	550,000	13,750	48,793	1,719		50,512
Less original issue discount	(7,000)			1,000		1,000
Other costs		10,000		1,572		1,572
Capitalized interest			(1,870)			(1,870)
	\$ 1,531,123	\$ 57,500	\$ 92,947	\$ 10,041	\$ 2,009	\$ 104,997

	Interest rate index and margin per commitment letter	Assumed rate at closing	Term (years)
Interest rates and term:			
\$650 million revolving credit facility	LIBOR (1) + 2.75%	3.0%	5
\$700 million term loan	LIBOR (2) + 3.75%	5.25%	7
\$550 million senior unsecured notes	n/a	8.75%	8

- (1) LIBOR One month London Interbank Offered Rate
(2) LIBOR One month London Interbank Offered Rate with floor of 1.5%

Table of Contents**NOTES TO UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED COMBINED FINANCIAL INFORMATION (Continued)****NOTE 3 PRO FORMA ADJUSTMENTS (Continued)***Unaudited pro forma condensed combined statement of operations adjustments (continued)*

A change in interest rate of 1/8th percent would increase or decrease interest expense by approximately \$2 million. As discussed in pro forma adjustment (i), the pro forma condensed combined financial information assumes the senior unsecured notes offering is completed prior to the merger and the \$550 million bridge loan facility is not accessed. If Kindred were to draw on the bridge loan facility in place of the senior unsecured notes to fund the merger consideration, the incremental interest expense and related fee amortization would approximate \$23 million assuming a weighted average interest rate of approximately 13.1% at closing of the merger. The incremental deferred financing cost related to drawing on the bridge loan facility would be \$8.3 million and would be amortized over the one-year term of the bridge loan facility.

- q) To adjust the income tax provision to reflect the pro forma combined company estimated statutory income tax rate of 38.5%.
- r) The basic and diluted shares used in the computation of earnings from continuing operations per common share were increased by 11.7 million shares to reflect the additional shares issued in the equity consideration to RehabCare stockholders in the merger.
- s) To eliminate \$1.0 million of direct incremental costs of the merger that are reflected in the historical statement of operations of both Kindred and RehabCare.
- t) To record additional depreciation expense of \$1.1 million based upon the estimated fair value of the property and equipment acquired over each asset's estimated remaining useful life. The computation of these amounts follows (dollars in thousands):

	As of December 31, 2010				
	Historical net book value	Estimated fair value adjustment	Estimated fair value	Estimated remaining useful life	Depreciation expense
Land	\$ 6,043	\$ 325	\$ 6,368		\$