

John Bean Technologies CORP
Form 10-Q
August 05, 2010

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2010

or

Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 1-34036

John Bean Technologies Corporation
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

91-1650317
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

200 East Randolph Drive, Chicago, Illinois
(Address of principal executive offices)

60601
(Zip code)

(312) 861-5900
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Edgar Filing: John Bean Technologies CORP - Form 10-Q

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," "non-accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

| Class | Outstanding at August 1, 2010 |
|--|-------------------------------|
| Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share | 28,154,431 |

PART I—FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1.

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

John Bean Technologies Corporation
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income (Unaudited)

(In millions, except per share data)

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--------------------------------|---------|------------------------------|---------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Revenue | \$208.3 | \$230.2 | \$377.3 | \$399.2 |
| Costs and expenses: | | | | |
| Cost of sales | 153.9 | 171.2 | 276.0 | 293.3 |
| Selling, general and administrative expense | 35.4 | 38.0 | 70.6 | 72.8 |
| Research and development expense | 4.3 | 4.5 | 8.6 | 8.5 |
| Total costs and expenses | 193.6 | 213.7 | 355.2 | 374.6 |
| Other (expense) income, net | (0.3) | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.9 |
| Net interest expense | (2.0) | (2.3) | (3.9) | (4.5) |
| Income from continuing operations before income taxes | 12.4 | 14.8 | 18.4 | 21.0 |
| Provision for income taxes | 4.2 | 5.1 | 6.3 | 7.2 |
| Income from continuing operations | 8.2 | 9.7 | 12.1 | 13.8 |
| Loss from discontinued operations, net of taxes | (0.1) | - | - | - |
| Net income | \$8.1 | \$9.7 | \$12.1 | \$13.8 |
| Basic earnings per share | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$0.29 | \$0.35 | \$0.43 | \$0.50 |
| Income from discontinued operations | - | - | - | - |
| Basic earnings per share | \$0.29 | \$0.35 | \$0.43 | \$0.50 |
| Diluted earnings per share | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$0.28 | \$0.34 | \$0.42 | \$0.49 |
| Income from discontinued operations | - | - | - | - |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$0.28 | \$0.34 | \$0.42 | \$0.49 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding: | | | | |
| Basic | 28.2 | 27.6 | 28.2 | 27.6 |
| Diluted | 29.1 | 28.5 | 29.0 | 28.3 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

John Bean Technologies Corporation
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

(In millions, except per share data and number of shares)

| | June 30, 2010 (Unaudited) | December 31, 2009 |
|---|---------------------------------|----------------------|
| Assets: | | |
| Current Assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$8.6 | \$14.4 |
| Trade receivables, net of allowances of \$3.6 and \$5.1, respectively | 141.1 | 149.3 |
| Inventories | 117.1 | 107.0 |
| Other current assets | 33.7 | 32.7 |
| Total current assets | 300.5 | 303.4 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$213.6 and \$218.1, respectively | 117.3 | 126.5 |
| Other assets | 93.6 | 103.2 |
| Total Assets | \$511.4 | \$533.1 |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity: | | |
| Current Liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable, trade and other | \$66.3 | \$65.9 |
| Advance and progress payments | 66.6 | 69.5 |
| Other current liabilities | 86.2 | 98.2 |
| Total current liabilities | 219.1 | 233.6 |
| Long-term debt, less current portion | 130.1 | 131.8 |
| Accrued pension and other postretirement benefits, less current portion | 71.6 | 77.1 |
| Other liabilities | 28.8 | 28.8 |
| Stockholders' equity: | | |
| Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value; 20,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued | - | - |
| Common stock, \$0.01 par value; 120,000,000 shares authorized; 2010: 28,206,573 issued and 28,154,431 outstanding; 2009: 27,663,335 issued and 27,611,193 outstanding | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Common stock held in treasury, at cost; 2010: 52,142 shares; 2009: 52,142 shares | (0.7 |) (0.7 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 55.1 | 53.5 |
| Retained earnings | 52.5 | 44.7 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (45.4 |) (36.0 |
| Total stockholders' equity | 61.8 | 61.8 |
| Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity | \$511.4 | \$533.1 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

John Bean Technologies Corporation
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)

(In millions)

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|------------------------------|---------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Cash Flows From Operating Activities: | | |
| Net income | \$ 12.1 | \$ 13.8 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 11.0 | 10.6 |
| Stock-based compensation | 3.5 | 3.8 |
| Other | 1.3 | 5.9 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Trade receivables, net | 2.3 | 32.3 |
| Inventories | (16.6) | (12.4) |
| Accounts payable, trade and other | 3.9 | (0.7) |
| Advance payments and progress billings | 0.9 | (13.1) |
| Other assets and liabilities, net | (16.5) | (12.3) |
| Cash provided by operating activities | 1.9 | 27.9 |
| Cash Flows From Investing Activities: | | |
| Acquisitions | - | (6.7) |
| Capital expenditures | (7.3) | (9.8) |
| Proceeds from disposal of assets | 1.5 | 0.4 |
| Cash required by investing activities | (5.8) | (16.1) |
| Cash Flows From Financing Activities: | | |
| Net increase (decrease) in short-term debt | 2.3 | (0.1) |
| Net proceeds (payments) on credit facilities | (4.2) | (36.0) |
| Issuance of long-term debt | 2.9 | - |
| Dividends | (4.2) | (3.9) |
| Other | 1.6 | 0.7 |
| Cash required by financing activities | (1.6) | (39.3) |
| Effect of foreign exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | (0.3) | 1.0 |
| Decrease in cash and cash equivalents | (5.8) | (26.5) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 14.4 | 43.6 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$ 8.6 | \$ 17.1 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

John Bean Technologies Corporation
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 1: Description of Business and Basis of Presentation

Description of Business— John Bean Technologies Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries (“JBT Corporation” or “we”) provide global technology solutions for the food processing and air transportation industries. We design, manufacture, test and service technologically sophisticated systems and products for customers through our JBT FoodTech and JBT AeroTech segments. We have manufacturing operations worldwide and are strategically located to facilitate delivery of our products and services to our customers.

Basis of Presentation—The preceding (a) condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2009, which has been derived from audited financial statements, and (b) unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements, and notes thereto (the “statements”), of JBT Corporation have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. As permitted under those rules, certain footnotes or other financial information that are normally required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States can be condensed or omitted. Therefore, these statements should be read in conjunction with our audited annual consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

In the opinion of management, the statements reflect all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) necessary for a fair presentation of our financial condition and operating results as of and for the periods presented. Revenue, expenses, assets and liabilities can vary during each quarter of the year. Therefore, the results and trends in these statements may not be representative of those for the full year or any future period.

Recently adopted accounting pronouncements— On January 1, 2010, we adopted the updated guidance related to revenue recognition for arrangements with multiple deliverables, which addresses the accounting for multiple-deliverable arrangements and provides guidance on whether multiple deliverables exist, how to separate deliverables and how to measure and allocate arrangement consideration between the identified units of accounting. We elected to adopt the updated guidance on a prospective basis for applicable transactions originating or modified after December 31, 2009. The adoption of the updated guidance did not have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations or financial position.

On January 1, 2010, we adopted the updated guidance related to fair value measurements and disclosures, which requires a reporting entity to disclose separately the amounts of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and to describe the reasons for the transfers. In addition, in the reconciliation for fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs, or Level 3, a reporting entity should disclose separately information about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements (that is, on a gross basis rather than one net number). The updated guidance also requires that an entity should provide fair value measurement disclosures for each class of assets and liabilities and disclosures about the valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value for both recurring and non-recurring fair value measurements for Level 2 and Level 3 fair value measurements. The guidance is effective for interim or annual financial reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for the disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements in the roll forward activity in Level 3 fair value measurements, which are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010 and for interim periods within those fiscal years. Therefore, we have not yet adopted the guidance with respect to the roll forward activity in Level 3 fair value measurements. We have updated our disclosures to comply with the updated guidance; however, we do not have any fair value measurements that require the use of unobservable inputs and adoption of the updated guidance did not have an impact on our consolidated results of operations or financial condition.

On April 1, 2009, we adopted the updated guidance related to subsequent events, which establishes general standards of accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. The updated guidance initially required the disclosure of the date through which an entity has evaluated subsequent events and the basis for that date – that is, whether that date represents the date the financial statements were issued or were available to be issued. However, in February 2010, the guidance was amended to remove the requirement to disclose the date through which subsequent events were evaluated. Adoption of the updated guidance did not have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations or financial condition.

Note 2: Inventories

Inventories consisted of the following:

| (In millions) | June 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|--|---------------|-------------------|
| Raw materials | \$64.6 | \$61.7 |
| Work in process | 44.0 | 28.5 |
| Finished goods | 67.5 | 74.6 |
| Gross inventories before LIFO reserves and valuation adjustments | 176.1 | 164.8 |
| LIFO reserves and valuation adjustments | (59.0) | (57.8) |
| Net inventories | \$117.1 | \$107.0 |

Note 3: Pension and Other Postretirement Benefits

Components of net periodic benefit (income) cost were as follows:

| (In millions) | Pension Benefits | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|--------|------------------|--------|
| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
| | June 30, | | June 30. | |
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Service cost | \$0.4 | \$2.1 | \$0.8 | 4.2 |
| Interest cost | 3.5 | 3.8 | 7.1 | 7.5 |
| Expected return on assets | (4.6) | (4.4) | (9.2) | (8.7) |
| Amortization of prior service benefit | - | - | - | (0.1) |
| Amortization of actuarial losses, net | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 1.2 |
| Settlement cost | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Net periodic benefit (income) cost | \$(0.2) | \$2.5 | \$(0.7) | 4.5 |

| (In millions) | Other Postretirement Benefits | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|------------------|----------|
| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Service cost | \$0.1 | \$0.1 | \$0.1 | \$0.1 |
| Interest cost | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Amortization of prior service benefit | (0.3) | (0.3) | (0.5) | (0.5) |
| Net periodic benefit income | \$(0.1) | \$(0.1) | \$(0.2) | \$(0.2) |

Note 4: Stock-based Compensation

Stock-based compensation expense was \$1.9 million and \$2.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and \$3.5 million and \$3.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

In the six months ended June 30, 2010, we granted the following restricted stock awards:

| Shares | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value |
|--------|--|
|--------|--|

Edgar Filing: John Bean Technologies CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|---------|---------|
| Time-based | 273,213 | |
| Performance-based | 91,371 | * |
| Granted during the six months ended June 30, 2010 | 364,584 | \$16.75 |

* Assumes target payout

6

We granted time-based restricted stock awards, most of which vest after three years. The fair value of these time-based awards was determined using the market value of our common stock on the grant date. Compensation cost is recognized over the lesser of the stated vesting period or the period until the employee reaches age 62, the retirement eligible age under the plan. We also granted restricted stock awards with performance-based conditions. The vesting period for these awards is three years.

For current year performance-based awards, actual payouts may vary from zero to 182,742 shares and will be dependent upon our performance relative to prior year with respect to earnings growth and return on investment for the year ending December 31, 2010. Compensation cost is measured based on the current expected outcome of the performance conditions and may be adjusted until the performance period ends.

Note 5: Stockholders' Equity

During the six months ended June 30, 2010 and the year ended December 31, 2009, 0.5 million shares and 0.1 million shares, respectively, were issued in connection with our stock-based compensation plan.

Comprehensive income consisted of the following:

| (In millions) | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--------------------------------|--------|------------------------------|--------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Net income | \$8.1 | \$9.7 | \$12.1 | \$13.8 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | (6.2) | 10.5 | (9.6) | 6.7 |
| Derivatives designated as hedges, net of tax of \$0.1 and \$0.7 for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively | - | - | 0.2 | 1.2 |
| Pension and other postretirement liability adjustments, net of tax of \$0.1 and \$0.2 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively | 0.1 | 0.4 | - | 0.7 |
| Comprehensive income | \$2.0 | \$20.6 | \$2.7 | \$22.4 |

Note 6: Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share ("EPS") are computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted EPS reflects the assumed conversion of all dilutive securities.

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted EPS from continuing operations for the respective periods and our basic and dilutive shares outstanding:

| (In millions, except per share data) | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--------------------------------|--------|------------------------------|--------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Basic earnings per share: | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$8.2 | \$9.7 | \$12.1 | \$13.8 |
| Weighted average number of shares outstanding | 28.2 | 27.6 | 28.2 | 27.6 |
| Basic earnings per share from continuing operations | \$0.29 | \$0.35 | \$0.43 | \$0.50 |
| Diluted earnings per share: | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$8.2 | \$9.7 | \$12.1 | \$13.8 |
| Weighted average number of shares outstanding | 28.2 | 27.6 | 28.2 | 27.6 |
| Effect of dilutive securities: | | | | |

Edgar Filing: John Bean Technologies CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|---|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Options on common stock | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Restricted stock | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| Total shares and dilutive securities | 29.1 | 28.5 | 29.0 | 28.3 |
| Diluted earnings per share from continuing operations | \$0.28 | \$0.34 | \$0.42 | \$0.49 |

7

Note 7: Derivative Financial Instruments and Risk Management

Derivative financial instruments— We hold derivative financial instruments for the purpose of hedging foreign currency risks and interest rate risks of certain identifiable and anticipated transactions.

We manufacture and sell our products in a number of countries throughout the world and, as a result, are exposed to movements in foreign currency exchange rates. Our major foreign currency exposures involve the markets in Western Europe, South America and Asia. The purpose of our foreign currency hedging activities is to manage the economic impact of exchange rate volatility associated with anticipated foreign currency purchases and sales made in the normal course of business. We primarily utilize forward exchange contracts with maturities of less than 2 years. As of June 30, 2010, we held forward exchange contracts with an aggregate notional value of \$284.2 million. Many of our sales and purchase contracts are written contemplating this risk and therefore contain embedded derivatives, which we take into consideration as part of our risk management policy.

Additionally, we have entered into an interest rate swap to hedge a portion of our variable rate debt. The interest rate swap effectively fixes the annual interest rate on a portion of our variable rate debt at 3.675% plus a margin dependent on our leverage ratio. For the periods prior to January 30, 2010, the interest rate swap covered \$50 million of our borrowings and for the period from January 30, 2010 to January 31, 2011, the interest rate swap covers \$25 million of our borrowings.

Our policy is to hold derivatives only for the purpose of hedging risks and not for trading purposes where the objective is solely to generate profit. Generally, we enter into hedging relationships such that changes in the fair values or cash flows of items and transactions being hedged are expected to be offset by corresponding changes in the fair value of the derivatives.

With the exception of the interest rate swap and certain foreign exchange derivatives entered into prior to our spin-off in July 2008, we do not apply hedge accounting. All derivatives are recognized on the balance sheet at their fair value and classified based on the instrument's maturity date. We do not offset fair value amounts for derivative instruments held with the same counterparty. Changes in the fair value of derivative instruments are recorded in current earnings or deferred in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) until the underlying transactions are recognized in earnings, depending on the type of hedging transaction and whether a derivative is designated as, and is effective as, a hedge. Cash flows from derivatives are classified as cash flows from operating activities.

The following table presents the fair value of derivative instruments included within the condensed consolidated balance sheets:

| (In millions) | As of June 30, 2010 | | As of December 31, 2009 | |
|---|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Asset Derivatives (1) | Liability Derivatives (2) | Asset Derivatives (1) | Liability Derivatives (2) |
| Derivatives designated as hedging instruments: | | | | |
| Interest rate swap contract | \$- | \$0.6 | \$- | \$1.2 |
| Foreign exchange contracts | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | - |
| Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments | - | 0.7 | 0.1 | 1.2 |
| Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments: | | | | |
| Foreign exchange contracts | 6.9 | 8.3 | 5.4 | 7.7 |
| Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments | \$6.9 | \$8.3 | \$5.4 | \$7.7 |

- (1) Included in other current assets and other assets on our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.
- (2) Included in other current liabilities and other liabilities on our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The following table presents the effective portion of the gains and losses on derivative instruments affecting the condensed consolidated statements of income:

| Derivatives designated as cash flow hedges (In millions) | Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in OCI on Derivatives (1) | | | | Location of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income | Amount of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (1) | | | |
|---|--|-----------------|---------------------------|--------------|---|---|-----------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | | | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 | | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest rate swap contract | \$- | \$(0.1) | \$- | \$(0.1) | Net interest expense | \$(0.2) | \$(0.3) | \$(0.5) | \$(0.5) |
| Foreign exchange contracts | (0.2) | (0.5) | (0.2) | 0.4 | Revenue | - | (0.3) | - | (1.1) |
| Total | \$(0.2) | \$(0.6) | \$(0.2) | \$0.3 | | \$(0.2) | \$(0.6) | \$(0.5) | \$(1.6) |

(1) For the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, we recorded in other income, net an immaterial amount of ineffectiveness from cash flow hedges.

As of June 30, 2010, we do not expect to reclassify a significant amount of accumulated other comprehensive loss into earnings within the next 12 months. All forecasted transactions are expected to occur by 2013.

The following table presents the location and gain (loss) on derivatives not designated as hedging instruments recognized in the consolidated statements of income:

| Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments (In millions) | Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives | Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives | | | |
|--|---|---|---------------|---------------------------|---------------|
| | | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
| | | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Foreign exchange contracts | Revenue | \$ (0.3) | \$ 0.8 | \$ 0.9 | \$ 0.8 |
| Foreign exchange contracts | Cost of sales | 0.3 | (0.3) | (0.1) | (0.4) |
| Foreign exchange contracts | Other income, net | - | (0.2) | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Total | | \$ 0.0 | \$ 0.3 | \$ 1.0 | \$ 0.7 |

Refer to Note 8: Fair Value of Financial Instruments for a description of how the above financial instruments are valued.

Credit risk — By their nature, financial instruments involve risk including credit risk for non-performance by counterparties. Financial instruments that potentially subject us to credit risk primarily consist of trade receivables and derivative contracts. We manage the credit risk on financial instruments by transacting only with financially secure counterparties, requiring credit approvals and credit limits, and monitoring counterparties' financial condition. Our maximum exposure to credit loss in the event of non-performance by the counterparty is limited to the amount drawn and outstanding on the financial instrument. Allowances for losses are established based on collectability assessments.

Note 8: Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The fair value framework requires the categorization of assets and liabilities into three levels based upon the assumptions (inputs) used to price the assets or liabilities. Level 1 provides the most reliable measure of fair value, whereas Level 3 generally requires significant management judgment. The three levels are defined as follows:

- Level 1: Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities.
- Level 2: Observable inputs other than those included in Level 1. For example, quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets or quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in inactive markets.
- Level 3: Unobservable inputs reflecting management's own assumptions about the inputs used in pricing the asset or liability.

Financial assets and financial liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis are as follows:

| (In millions) | Total | As of June 30, 2010 | | | As of December 31, 2009 | | | |
|---------------------|---------------|---------------------|--------------|------------|-------------------------|---------------|--------------|------------|
| | | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| Assets: | | | | | | | | |
| Investments | \$8.6 | \$8.6 | \$- | \$- | \$10.9 | \$10.9 | \$- | \$- |
| Derivatives | 6.9 | - | 6.9 | - | 5.5 | - | 5.5 | - |
| Total assets | \$15.5 | \$8.6 | \$6.9 | \$- | \$16.4 | \$10.9 | \$5.5 | \$- |
| Liabilities: | | | | | | | | |
| Derivatives | \$9.0 | \$- | \$9.0 | \$- | \$8.9 | \$- | \$8.9 | \$- |

Investments are classified as trading securities and are valued based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that we have the ability to access. Investments are included in other assets in the condensed consolidated balance sheets. For the six months ended June 30, 2010 and the year ended December 31, 2009, we recorded an unrealized gain on investments of \$0.3 million and \$1.9 million, respectively, in other (expense) income, net in the consolidated statements of income. We use the income approach as the valuation technique to measure the fair value of derivative instruments on a recurring basis. This approach calculates the present value of the future cash flow by measuring the change from the derivative contract rate and the published market indicative currency and interest rates, multiplied by the contract notional values, and includes a factor of credit risk.

In addition to the methods and assumptions we use for the financial instruments recorded at fair value as discussed above, we use the following methods and assumptions to estimate the fair value for our other financial instruments that are not marked to market on a recurring basis. The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, trade receivables and accounts payables, as well as amounts included in other current assets and other current liabilities that meet the definition of financial instruments, approximate fair values because of their short-term maturities.

The carrying values and the estimated fair values of our debt financial instruments are summarized in the table below:

| (In millions) | As of June 30, 2010 | | As of December 31, 2009 | |
|--|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| | Carrying Value | Estimated Fair Value | Carrying Value | Estimated Fair Value |
| 6.66% senior unsecured notes due July 31, 2015 | \$75.0 | \$84.7 | \$75.0 | \$83.8 |
| Revolving credit facility, due 2013 | 52.7 | 52.7 | 56.8 | 56.8 |
| 4.5% Brazilian Real loan due December 31, 2012 | 2.9 | 2.5 | - | - |
| Other | 2.7 | 2.7 | 0.4 | 0.4 |

There is no active or observable market for our senior unsecured notes or our Brazilian Real loan. Therefore, the estimated fair values of the notes and the loan are based on discounted cash flows using current interest rates available for debt with similar terms and remaining maturities. The estimates of the all-in interest rates for discounting the notes and the loan are based on a broker quote for notes and a loan with similar terms. We do not have a rate adjustment for risk profile changes, covenant issues or credit rating changes, therefore the broker quote is deemed to be the closest approximation of current market rates. The carrying value of the remaining borrowings approximates their fair value due to their variable interest rates.

Note 9: Commitments and Contingencies

We are involved in legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business. Although the results of litigation cannot be predicted with certainty, we do not believe that the resolution of the proceedings that we are involved in, either individually or taken as a whole will have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

In connection with our spin-off from FMC Technologies in 2008, we entered into a separation and distribution agreement with FMC Technologies (the "Separation and Distribution Agreement"). Under the Separation and Distribution Agreement, we have assumed liabilities related to specified legal proceedings arising from our business prior to the spin-off. As a result, although FMC Technologies will in many cases remain the named defendant, we will manage the litigation and indemnify FMC Technologies for costs, expenses and judgments arising from this existing litigation. We do not believe that any existing litigation we have assumed will have a material effect on our results of operations, financial condition or liquidity. However, we are in the process of reviewing a claim related to a discontinued operation for which we may or may not be liable. If it is determined that we are liable for this claim, we may incur a loss of up to \$0.9 million.

Guarantees and Product Warranties—In the ordinary course of business with customers, vendors and others, we issue standby letters of credit, performance bonds, surety bonds and other guarantees. These financial instruments, which totaled approximately \$100.3 million at June 30, 2010, represent guarantees of our future performance. We also have provided approximately \$14.5 million of bank guarantees and letters of credit to secure a portion of our existing financial obligations. The majority of these financial instruments expire within two years; we expect to replace them through the issuance of new or the extension of existing letters of credit and surety bonds.

We provide warranties of various lengths and terms to certain of our customers based on standard terms and conditions and negotiated agreements. We provide for the estimated cost of warranties at the time revenue is recognized for products where reliable, historical experience of warranty claims and costs exists. We also provide warranty liability when additional specific obligations are identified. The obligation reflected in other current liabilities in the condensed consolidated balance sheets is based on historical experience by product and considers failure rates and the related costs in correcting a product failure. Warranty cost and accrual information is as follows:

| (In millions) | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|--------|------------------|--------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$6.4 | \$7.3 | \$7.3 | \$9.8 |
| Expense for new warranties | 2.0 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 4.5 |
| Adjustments to existing accruals | (0.2) | - | (0.2) | (0.5) |
| Claims paid | (1.3) | (3.0) | (3.2) | (6.4) |
| Balance at end of period | \$6.9 | \$7.4 | \$6.9 | \$7.4 |

Note 10: Business Segment Information

Segment operating profit is defined as total segment revenue less segment operating expenses. The following items have been excluded in computing segment operating profit: corporate staff expense, foreign currency related gains and losses, LIFO provisions, certain employee benefit expenses, interest income and expense associated with corporate investments and income taxes.

| (In millions) | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--------------------------------|----------|------------------------------|----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Revenue | | | | |
| JBT FoodTech | \$ 135.6 | \$ 145.5 | \$ 237.1 | \$ 240.4 |
| JBT AeroTech | 72.0 | 83.7 | 139.4 | 157.3 |
| Other revenue (1) and intercompany eliminations | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 1.5 |
| Total revenue | \$ 208.3 | \$ 230.2 | \$ 377.3 | \$ 399.2 |
| Income before income taxes | | | | |
| Segment operating profit: | | | | |
| JBT FoodTech | \$ 15.2 | \$ 16.5 | \$ 22.9 | \$ 24.3 |
| JBT AeroTech | 3.9 | 6.0 | 8.7 | 11.5 |
| Total segment operating profit | 19.1 | 22.5 | 31.6 | 35.8 |
| Corporate items: | | | | |
| Corporate expense (2) | (4.0) | (4.0) | (7.7) | (7.0) |
| Other expense, net (1) | (0.7) | (1.4) | (1.6) | (3.3) |
| Net interest expense | (2.0) | (2.3) | (3.9) | (4.5) |
| Total corporate items | (6.7) | (7.7) | (13.2) | (14.8) |
| Income from continuing operations before income taxes | \$ 12.4 | \$ 14.8 | \$ 18.4 | \$ 21.0 |

(1) Other revenue comprises certain gains and losses on derivatives related to foreign exchange exposure. Other expense, net, generally includes stock-based compensation, residual expenses associated with other employee benefits, LIFO adjustments, foreign exchange gains and losses, and the impact of unusual or strategic transactions not representative of segment operations.

(2) Corporate expense primarily includes corporate staff expenses.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors
John Bean Technologies Corporation:

We have reviewed the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet of John Bean Technologies Corporation and subsidiaries as of June 30, 2010, the related condensed consolidated statements of income for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, and the related condensed consolidated statements of cash flows for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009. These condensed consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management.

We conducted our reviews in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our reviews, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the condensed consolidated financial statements referred to above for them to be in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We have previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet of John Bean Technologies Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2009, and the related consolidated statements of income, cash flows and changes in stockholders' equity for the year then ended (not presented herein); and in our report dated March 4, 2010, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2009, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Chicago, Illinois
August 5, 2010

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Form 10-Q, our Annual Report on Form 10-K and other materials filed or to be filed by us with the Securities and Exchange Commission, as well as information in oral statements or other written statements made or to be made by us, contain statements that are, or may be considered to be, forward-looking statements. All statements that are not historical facts, including statements about our beliefs or expectations, are forward-looking statements. You can identify these forward-looking statements by the use of forward-looking words such as "outlook," "believes," "expects," "potential," "continues," "may," "will," "should," "seeks," "approximately," "predicts," "intends," "plans," "estimates," "anticipates" or the negative version of those words or other comparable words and phrases. Any forward-looking statements contained in this Form 10-Q are based upon our historical performance and on current plans, estimates and expectations. The inclusion of this forward-looking information should not be regarded as a representation by us or any other person that the future plans, estimates or expectations contemplated by us will be achieved.

We believe that the factors that could cause our actual results to differ materially include but are not limited to the factors we described in our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, including under "Risk Factors" and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations." If one or more of those or other risks or uncertainties materialize, or if our underlying assumptions prove to be incorrect, actual results may vary materially from what we projected. Consequently, actual events and results may vary significantly from those included in or contemplated or implied by our forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements included in this Form 10-Q are made only as of the date hereof, and we undertake no obligation to publicly update or review any forward-looking statement made by us or on our behalf, whether as a result of new information, future developments, subsequent events or circumstances or otherwise.

EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW

We are a global technology solutions provider for the food processing and air transportation industries. We design, manufacture, test and service technologically sophisticated systems and products for customers through our JBT FoodTech and JBT AeroTech segments.

JBT FoodTech markets its solutions and services to multi-national and regional industrial food processing companies. The product offerings of our JBT FoodTech businesses include:

- freezer solutions for the freezing and chilling of meat, seafood, poultry, ready-to-eat meals, fruits, vegetables and bakery products;
- protein processing solutions that portion, coat and cook poultry, meat, seafood, vegetable and bakery products;
- in-container processing solutions for fruits, vegetables, soups, sauces, dairy and pet food products as well as ready-to-eat meals in a wide variety of modern packages; and
- fruit processing solutions that extract, concentrate and aseptically process citrus, tomato and other fruits.

JBT AeroTech markets its solutions and services to domestic and international airport authorities, passenger airlines, air freight and ground handling companies, the United States and certain international defense departments and the material handling industry. The product offerings of our JBT AeroTech businesses include:

- ground support equipment for cargo loading, aircraft deicing and aircraft towing;

Edgar Filing: John Bean Technologies CORP - Form 10-Q

- gate equipment for passenger boarding, on the ground aircraft power and cooling;
- airport services for maintenance of airport equipment, systems and facilities;
- military equipment for cargo loading, aircraft towing and on the ground aircraft cooling;
- and
- automatic guided vehicles for material handling in the automotive, printing, warehouse, and hospital industries.

We have established a large installed base of food processing equipment as well as airport equipment and have built a strong global presence with manufacturing, sourcing, sales and service organizations located on six continents to support our equipment that has been delivered to more than 100 countries.

We have developed close working relationships with our customers, which we believe enhances our competitive advantage, strengthens our market positions and improves our results. We serve customers from around the world. During the first six months of 2010, a significant portion of our total sales were to locations outside of the United States. We evaluate international markets and pursue opportunities that fit our technological capabilities and strategies.

The food processing and air transportation industries in which we operate are susceptible to significant changes in the strength of the global or regional economies and the economic health of companies who make capital commitments for our products and services. We focus on economic and industry-specific drivers and key risk factors affecting each of our businesses as we formulate our strategic plans and make decisions related to allocating capital and human resources. These factors include risks associated with the global economic outlook, product obsolescence, and the competitive environment.

As part of our core mission of being a leading supplier of customized solutions to the food processing and air transportation industries, we address these business related risks through our focus on the four critical strategies of extending our technology leadership; leveraging our installed base; capturing international growth opportunities; and growing through acquisitions.

As we evaluate our operating results, we consider performance indicators like segment revenue and operating profit in addition to the level of inbound orders and order backlog.

CONSOLIDATED RESULTS OF OPERATIONS
THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2010 AND 2009

| (In millions, except %) | Three Months Ended | | Favorable / (Unfavorable) | |
|---|--------------------|---------|---------------------------|---------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | \$ | % |
| Revenue | \$208.3 | \$230.2 | \$(21.9) | (9.5) |
| Costs and expenses: | | | | |
| Cost of sales | 153.9 | 171.2 | 17.3 | 10.1 |
| Selling, general and administrative expense | 35.4 | 38.0 | 2.6 | 6.8 |
| Research and development expense | 4.3 | 4.5 | 0.2 | 4.4 |
| Total costs and expenses | 193.6 | 213.7 | 20.1 | 9.4 |
| Other (expense) income, net | (0.3) | 0.6 | (0.9) | * |
| Net interest expense | (2.0) | (2.3) | 0.3 | 13.0 |
| Income from continuing operations before income taxes | 12.4 | 14.8 | (2.4) | (16.2) |
| Provision for income taxes | 4.2 | 5.1 | 0.9 | 17.6 |
| Income from continuing operations | 8.2 | 9.7 | (1.5) | (15.5) |
| Loss from discontinued operations, net of taxes | (0.1) | - | (0.1) | (100.0) |
| Net income | \$8.1 | \$9.7 | \$(1.6) | (16.5) |

* Not meaningful

Our total revenue of \$208.3 million in the second quarter of 2010 represented a decrease of \$21.9 million compared to the same period in 2009. JBT FoodTech's revenue decreased by \$9.9 million while JBT AeroTech's revenue decreased by \$11.7 million. The decrease in JBT FoodTech's revenue was driven primarily by lower revenue from large orders while the decrease in JBT AeroTech's revenue was driven by lower sales of Halvorsen loaders and the lower level of order backlog at the end of 2009 due to the global recession. However, inbound orders received during the second quarter of 2010 in both of our business segments increased by \$60.1 million compared to the same period in 2009. Refer to Inbound Orders and Order Backlog for further details.

Our cost of sales of \$153.9 million in the second quarter of 2010 represented a decrease of \$17.3 million compared to the same period in 2009, while gross profit (revenue less cost of sales) decreased by \$4.6 million. The decrease in gross profit was driven by lower sales volume, resulting in \$5.7 million of lower gross profit, which was partially offset by the absence of restructuring charges that were recorded in the second quarter of 2009.

Selling, general and administrative expenses were \$2.6 million lower in the second quarter of 2010 compared to the same period in 2009 as a result of \$1.1 million of lower costs related to our non-qualified deferred compensation plan, which are offset by a loss on investments in the non-qualified deferred compensation plan reported in other (expense) income, net, and lower selling and administrative costs due to savings from cost reduction initiatives implemented in 2009.

Other expense, net was \$0.3 million in the second quarter of 2010 compared to other income, net of \$0.6 million in the same period in 2009 as a result of a loss on investments in our non-qualified deferred compensation plan in 2010 compared to a gain in 2009.

Net interest expense was \$0.3 million lower in the second quarter of 2010 compared to the same period in 2009 as a result of a lower overall interest rate on our variable rate debt due to maturity of a \$25 million interest rate swap on January 30, 2010.

Income tax expense in the second quarter of 2010 reflects an effective income tax rate of 34.3%, which is consistent with the same period in 2009.

OPERATING RESULTS OF BUSINESS SEGMENTS
THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2010 AND 2009

| (In millions, except %) | Three Months Ended | | Favorable / (Unfavorable) | |
|--|--------------------|------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| | 2010 | June 30, 2009 | \$ | % |
| Revenue | | | | |
| JBT FoodTech | \$135.6 | \$145.5 | \$(9.9) | (6.8) % |
| JBT AeroTech | 72.0 | 83.7 | (11.7) | (14.0) |
| Other revenue (1) and intercompany eliminations | 0.7 | 1.0 | (0.3) | (30.0) |
| Total revenue | \$208.3 | \$230.2 | \$(21.9) | (9.5) % |
| Income before income taxes | | | | |
| Segment operating profit: | | | | |
| JBT FoodTech | \$15.2 | \$16.5 | \$(1.3) | (7.9) % |
| JBT AeroTech | 3.9 | 6.0 | (2.1) | (35.0) |
| Total segment operating profit | 19.1 | 22.5 | (3.4) | (15.1) |
| Corporate items: | | | | |
| Corporate expense (2) | (4.0) | (4.0) | - | - |
| Other expense, net (1) | (0.7) | (1.4) | 0.7 | 50.0 |
| Net interest expense | (2.0) | (2.3) | 0.3 | 13.0 |
| Total corporate items | (6.7) | (7.7) | 1.0 | 13.0 |
| Income from continuing operations before income taxes | \$12.4 | \$14.8 | \$(2.4) | (16.2) % |

(1) Other revenue comprises certain gains and losses on derivatives related to foreign exchange exposure. Other expense, net, generally includes stock-based compensation, residual expenses associated with other employee benefits, LIFO adjustments, foreign exchange gains and losses, and the impact of unusual or strategic transactions not representative of segment operations.

(2) Corporate expense primarily includes corporate staff expenses.

JBT FoodTech

JBT FoodTech's revenue of \$135.6 million in the second quarter of 2010 represented a decrease of \$9.9 million compared to the same period in 2009. The decrease in revenue was driven by the lack of comparable large orders, as revenue for the second quarter of 2009 included shipments of two large orders totaling \$30.1 million. However, higher sales of freezing and chilling products and protein processing products in Latin America and Asia partially offset the decrease in revenue.

JBT FoodTech's operating profit of \$15.2 million in the second quarter of 2010 represented a decrease of \$1.3 million compared to the same period in 2009. Lower sales volume resulted in a decrease in profit of \$3.1 million. However, gross profit margins improved due to savings from cost reduction initiatives implemented in 2009, resulting in \$1.7 million of higher profit.

JBT AeroTech

JBT AeroTech's revenue of \$72.0 million in the second quarter of 2010 represented a decrease of \$11.7 million compared to the same period in 2009. The decrease in revenue was a result of lower sales of Halvorsen loaders due to completion of a U.S. Air Force order, resulting in \$5.5 million of lower revenue, and the lower level of order backlog

for JBT AeroTech's other products at the end of 2009 due to the global recession, resulting in \$5.1 million of lower revenue.

JBT AeroTech's operating profit of \$3.9 million in the second quarter of 2010 represented a decrease of \$2.1 million compared to the same period in 2009. Lower sales volume resulted in a decrease in profit of \$2.5 million. This decrease was partially offset by \$1.2 million in lower costs resulting primarily from cost reduction initiatives implemented in 2009 and the absence of restructuring charges of \$0.6 million recorded in the second quarter of 2009. Gross profit margins declined due to lower proportion of revenue from Halvorsen loaders and competitive pricing pressure in Airport Services, resulting in \$1.4 million of lower profit.

Corporate Items

Corporate items of \$6.7 million in the second quarter of 2010 represented a decrease of \$1.0 million compared to the same period in 2009. The decrease was driven by lower residual costs associated with our retirement benefits program due to the freeze of our domestic defined benefit pension plan at the end of 2009.

CONSOLIDATED RESULTS OF OPERATIONS
SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2010 AND 2009

| (In millions, except %) | Six Months Ended | | Favorable / (Unfavorable) | |
|---|------------------|------------------|---------------------------|----------|
| | 2010 | June 30, 2009 | \$ | % |
| Revenue | \$377.3 | \$399.2 | \$(21.9) | (5.5) % |
| Costs and expenses: | | | | |
| Cost of sales | 276.0 | 293.3 | 17.3 | 5.9 |
| Selling, general and administrative expense | 70.6 | 72.8 | 2.2 | 3.0 |
| Research and development expense | 8.6 | 8.5 | (0.1) | (1.2) |
| Total costs and expenses | 355.2 | 374.6 | 19.4 | 5.2 |
| Other income, net | 0.2 | 0.9 | (0.7) | (77.8) |
| Net interest expense | (3.9) | (4.5) | 0.6 | 13.3 |
| Income before income taxes | 18.4 | 21.0 | (2.6) | (12.4) |
| Provision for income taxes | 6.3 | 7.2 | 0.9 | 12.5 |
| Net income | \$12.1 | \$13.8 | \$(1.7) | (12.3) % |

Our total revenue of \$377.3 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 represented a decrease of \$21.9 million compared to the same period in 2009. JBT FoodTech's revenue decreased by \$3.3 million while JBT AeroTech's revenue decreased by \$17.9 million. The decrease in JBT FoodTech's revenue was driven by lower revenue from large orders while the decrease in JBT AeroTech's revenue was driven by the lower level of order backlog at the end of 2009 due to the global recession. However, inbound orders received during the six months ended June 30, 2010 in both of our business segments increased by \$115.2 million compared to the same period in 2009. Refer to Inbound Orders and Order Backlog for further details.

Our cost of sales of \$276.0 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 represented a decrease of \$17.3 million compared to the same period in 2009, while gross profit (revenue less cost of sales) decreased by \$4.6 million. The decrease in gross profit was driven by lower sales volume, resulting in \$7.5 million of lower gross profit, a slight decrease in gross profit margins due to competitive pricing pressure, resulting in \$1.4 million of lower gross profit, and the unfavorable impact of foreign currency translation, resulting in \$1.0 million of lower gross profit. These decreases were partially offset by gains on foreign currency transactions, resulting in \$2.2 million of higher gross profit, and the absence of restructuring charges of \$3.1 million recorded in the first half of 2009.

Selling, general and administrative expenses were \$2.2 million lower in the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to the same period in 2009 primarily as a result of lower costs related to our retirement benefits program due to the freeze of our domestic defined benefit pension plan at the end of 2009, and \$1.2 million of lower selling and administrative costs due to savings from cost reduction initiatives implemented in 2009.

Other income, net was \$0.7 million lower in the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to the same period in 2009 primarily as a result of a loss on investments in our non-qualified deferred compensation plan in 2010 compared to a gain in 2009.

Net interest expense was \$0.6 million lower in the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to the same period in 2009 as a result of a lower overall interest rate on our variable rate debt due to maturity of a \$25 million interest rate swap on January 30, 2010.

Income tax expense in the six months ended June 30, 2010 reflects an effective income tax rate of 34.3%, which is consistent with the same period in 2009.

OPERATING RESULTS OF BUSINESS SEGMENTS
SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2010 AND 2009

| (In millions, except %) | Six Months Ended | | Favorable / (Unfavorable) | |
|---|------------------|------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| | 2010 | June 30, 2009 | \$ | % |
| Revenue | | | | |
| JBT FoodTech | \$237.1 | \$240.4 | \$(3.3) | (1.4) % |
| JBT AeroTech | 139.4 | 157.3 | (17.9) | (11.4) |
| Other revenue (1) and intercompany eliminations | 0.8 | 1.5 | (0.7) | (46.7) |
| Total revenue | \$377.3 | \$399.2 | \$(21.9) | (5.5) % |
| Income before income taxes | | | | |
| Segment operating profit: | | | | |
| JBT FoodTech | \$22.9 | \$24.3 | \$(1.4) | (5.8) % |
| JBT AeroTech | 8.7 | 11.5 | (2.8) | (24.3) |
| Total segment operating profit | 31.6 | 35.8 | (4.2) | (11.7) |
| Corporate items: | | | | |
| Corporate expense (2) | (7.7) | (7.0) | (0.7) | (10.0) |
| Other expense, net (1) | (1.6) | (3.3) | 1.7 | 51.5 |
| Net interest expense | (3.9) | (4.5) | 0.6 | 13.3 |
| Total corporate items | (13.2) | (14.8) | 1.6 | 10.8 |
| Income before income taxes | \$18.4 | \$21.0 | \$(2.6) | (12.4) % |

(1) Other revenue comprises certain gains and losses on derivatives related to foreign exchange exposure. Other expense, net, generally includes stock-based compensation, residual expenses associated with other employee benefits, LIFO adjustments, foreign exchange gains and losses, and the impact of unusual or strategic transactions not representative of segment operations.

(2) Corporate expense primarily includes corporate staff expenses.

JBT FoodTech

JBT FoodTech's revenue of \$237.1 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 represented a decrease of \$3.3 million compared to the same period in 2009. The decrease in revenue was driven by the lack of comparable large orders, as revenue for the 2009 period included shipments of two large orders totaling \$30.1 million. The decrease in revenue was partially offset by a \$7.0 million favorable impact of foreign currency translation and higher sales of freezing and chilling products and protein processing products in Asia.

JBT FoodTech's operating profit of \$22.9 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 represented a decrease of \$1.4 million compared to the same period in 2009. Lower sales volume resulted in a decrease in profit of \$3.1 million, which was partially offset by the absence of restructuring charges of \$1.4 million recorded in the first half of 2009.

JBT AeroTech

JBT AeroTech's revenue of \$139.4 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 represented a decrease of \$17.9 million compared to the same period in 2009. The decrease in revenue was a result of the lower level of order backlog for all of JBT AeroTech's products at the end of 2009 due to the global recession. Sales of ground support equipment decreased by \$5.0 million and represented the largest product line decrease.

JBT AeroTech's operating profit of \$8.7 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 represented a decrease of \$2.8 million compared to the same period in 2009. Lower sales volume resulted in a decrease in profit of \$4.2 million. This decrease was partially offset by \$2.2 million of lower costs resulting primarily from cost reduction initiatives implemented in 2009 and the absence of restructuring charges of \$1.7 million recorded in the first half of 2009. Gross profit margins declined due to the lower proportion of revenue from Halvorsen loaders and competitive pricing pressure in Airport Services, resulting in \$2.5 million of lower profit.

Corporate Items

Corporate items of \$13.2 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 represented a decrease of \$1.6 million compared to the same period in 2009. The decrease was driven by lower residual costs associated with our retirement benefits program due to the freeze of our domestic defined benefit pension plan at the end of 2009.

Inbound Orders and Order Backlog

Inbound orders represent the estimated sales value of confirmed customer orders received during the reporting period.

| (In millions) | Inbound Orders | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|---------|------------------|---------|
| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| JBT FoodTech | \$126.8 | \$111.4 | \$255.0 | \$210.4 |
| JBT AeroTech | 114.7 | 69.5 | 229.4 | 157.8 |
| Other and intercompany eliminations | 0.9 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 2.0 |
| Total inbound orders | \$242.4 | \$182.3 | \$485.4 | \$370.2 |

Order backlog is calculated as the estimated sales value of unfilled, confirmed customer orders at the reporting date.

| (In millions) | Order Backlog | | |
|---------------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|
| | June 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 | June 30, 2009 |
| | JBT FoodTech | \$114.6 | \$96.7 |
| JBT AeroTech | 204.7 | 114.7 | 138.0 |
| Intercompany eliminations | - | (0.2) | (0.5) |
| Total order backlog | \$319.3 | \$211.2 | \$256.5 |

As of June 30, 2010, JBT FoodTech's order backlog increased by \$17.9 million since year-end 2009 due to higher demand for freezing and chilling products and protein processing products. Order activity has increased in all regions with notable increases in Western Europe and North America. JBT FoodTech's order backlog decreased by \$4.4 million since June 30, 2009 primarily due to shipments of several large orders in the second half of 2009.

As of June 30, 2010, JBT AeroTech's order backlog increased by \$90.0 million since year-end 2009 and by \$66.7 million since June 30, 2009. Both increases were driven by large orders received in 2010 for gate equipment products and ground support equipment. However, the increase in order backlog from June 30, 2009 was partially offset by a decrease in order backlog for Halvorsen loaders due to completion of production of a U.S. Air Force order.

During 2009, we corrected an immaterial error in the calculation of our order backlog, which resulted in a reduction of \$9.8 million in order backlog reported as of June 30, 2009.

Outlook

We anticipate continued improvement in the market conditions for our product lines in the remainder of 2010. Our full year effective tax rate is expected to be approximately 33% to 35%. We expect our 2010 diluted earnings per share to be in the range of \$1.15 to \$1.30. The lower end of the range assumes the economic recovery stalls while the upper end of the range assumes the pace of recovery continues to accelerate.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Our primary sources of liquidity are cash provided by operating activities and our credit facility. We believe our cash flows from operations and our credit facility will be sufficient to satisfy our future working capital, research and development activities, capital expenditures, pension contributions and other financing requirements. Our ability to generate positive cash flows from operations is dependent on general economic conditions, competitive pressures, and other business and risk factors.

Cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 were as follows:

| (In millions) | Six Months Ended | |
|--|------------------|---------------|
| | 2010 | June 30, 2009 |
| Cash provided by operating activities | \$1.9 | \$27.9 |
| Cash required by investing activities | (5.8) | (16.1) |
| Cash required by financing activities | (1.6) | (39.3) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | (0.3) | 1.0 |
| Decrease in cash and cash equivalents | \$(5.8) | \$(26.5) |

Cash flows provided by operating activities during the six months ended June 30, 2010 were \$1.9 million, representing a \$26.0 million decrease from the same period in 2009. The change in the cash flows is primarily attributable to changes in working capital as our working capital balances can vary significantly depending on the payment and delivery terms on key contracts. The change in working capital was driven primarily by a higher reduction in accounts receivable in the first half of 2009.

Cash flows required by investing activities during the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 were \$5.8 million and \$16.1 million, respectively, primarily consisting of amounts required to fund capital expenditures. Much of our spending supports the maintenance and upgrading of our installed base of leased equipment and facilities. During the 2009 period, we used \$6.7 million to fund an acquisition.

Cash flows required by financing activities during the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 were \$1.6 million and \$39.3 million, respectively. During the 2010 period, our net borrowings increased by \$1.0 million while we reduced our net borrowings by \$36.1 million during the 2009 period.

Financing Arrangements

We have a \$225 million revolving credit facility that expires on July 31, 2013. Borrowings under the credit facility bear interest, at our option, at LIBOR or an alternative base rate, which is the greater of JPMorgan Chase, N.A.'s Prime Rate or Federal Funds Rate plus 50 basis points, plus a margin dependent on our leverage ratio. We are required to make periodic interest payments on the borrowed amounts and pay an annual facility fee ranging from 17.5 to 35 basis points, depending on our leverage ratio. As of June 30, 2010, we had \$52.7 million drawn on the credit facility, \$28.9 million in letters of credit issued under the credit facility and \$143.4 million of additional available funds.

We have an interest rate swap which effectively fixes the annual interest rate on a portion of our borrowings under the credit facility at 3.675% plus a margin dependent on our leverage ratio. For the periods prior to January 30, 2010, the interest rate swap covered \$50 million of our borrowings and for the period from January 30, 2010 to January 31, 2011, the interest rate swap covers \$25 million of our borrowings.

We have \$75 million of 6.66% senior unsecured notes. The senior unsecured notes are due on July 31, 2015 and require us to make semiannual interest payments.

Additionally, in January 2010, our Brazilian subsidiary entered into a R\$5.3 million (\$2.9 million) loan. The loan bears interest of 4.5% and is due in December 2012.

Our credit agreement and notes include restrictive covenants that, if not met, could lead to a renegotiation of our credit lines, requirement to repay our borrowings and/or a significant increase in our cost of financing. At June 30, 2010, we were in compliance with all covenants of our contractual obligations as shown in the following table:

| Debt Instrument / Covenant | Measurement | Result as of June 30, 2010 |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Revolving credit facility | | |
| Interest coverage ratio (1) | Not less than 3.5 | 10.0 |
| Leverage ratio (2) | Not greater than 3.0 | 1.7 |
| Capital expenditures (3) | Not greater than \$33 million | \$7.3 million |
| Dividends paid | Not greater than \$20 million | \$4.2 million |
| 6.66% senior unsecured notes | | |
| Interest coverage ratio (1) | Not less than 2.75 | 10.0 |
| Leverage ratio (2) | Not greater than 3.25 | 1.7 |

- (1) Interest coverage ratio is a comparison of the trailing twelve months Consolidated EBITDA, defined as net income plus interest expense plus income tax expense plus depreciation and amortization plus non-cash expenses and extraordinary, unusual and non-recurring items, to trailing twelve months interest expense.
- (2) Leverage ratio is a comparison of the total indebtedness, defined as total debt plus guarantees of indebtedness of others plus obligations under financial letters of credit issued against the credit facility, to the trailing twelve month Consolidated EBITDA, as defined above.
- (3) Capital expenditures are limited to \$30 million plus 50 percent of the unutilized amount from prior year.

We expect to remain in compliance with all restrictive covenants in the foreseeable future. However, there can be no assurance that continued or increased volatility in the global economic conditions will not impair our ability to meet our restrictive covenants, or the volatility in the capital and credit markets will not impair our ability to access these markets on terms acceptable to us or at all.

Outlook

We plan to meet our cash requirements in future periods with cash generated from operations and borrowings under our credit facilities. We estimate that we will contribute approximately \$11 million in the remainder of 2010 to our pension and other postretirement benefit plans, primarily reflecting discretionary contributions to our U.S. qualified pension plan.

We continue to evaluate acquisitions in the normal course of business which we expect to fund with cash generated from operations or borrowings under our credit facility.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES

Refer to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 for a discussion of our critical accounting estimates. During the six-month period ended June 30, 2010, there were no material changes in our judgments and assumptions associated with the development of our critical accounting estimates.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

There have been no material changes in reported market risks from the information reported in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Under the direction of our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we have evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act")) as of June 30, 2010. We have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were:

- i) effective in ensuring that information required to be disclosed is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms; and
- ii) effective in ensuring that information required to be disclosed is accumulated and communicated to management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

There were no changes in controls identified in the evaluation for the quarter ended June 30, 2010 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act.

PART II—OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

There have been no material legal proceedings identified or material developments in existing legal proceedings noted during the six-month period ended June 30, 2010.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

There have been no material changes in reported risk factors from the information reported in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

We had no unregistered sales of equity securities during the six-month period ended June 30, 2010.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

ITEM 4. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

ITEM 5.

EXHIBITS

(a) Exhibits

| Number in Exhibit Table | Description |
|-------------------------|--|
| 15 | Letter re: Unaudited interim financial information. |
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a). |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) /15d-14(a). |
| 32.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

John Bean Technologies
Corporation
(Registrant)

/s/ Megan J. Donnelly
Megan J. Donnelly
Chief Accounting Officer, and
duly authorized officer

Date: August 5, 2010

EXHIBIT INDEX

| Number in Exhibit Table | Description |
|-------------------------|--|
| 15 | Letter re: Unaudited interim financial information. |
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) /15d-14(a). |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) /15d-14(a). |
| 32.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 26 | |