

PGT, Inc.  
Form 10-K  
March 18, 2010

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF  
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  
For the fiscal year ended January 2, 2010  
OR  
TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)  
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  
For the transition period from to

Commission File Number: 000-52059

PGT, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

20-0634715  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

1070 Technology Drive  
North Venice, Florida  
(Address of principal executive offices)

34275  
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code:  
(941) 480-1600

Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report: Not applicable

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

| Title of Each Class                      | Name of Exchange on Which Registered |
|--|--------------------------------------|
| Common stock, par value \$0.01 per share | NASDAQ Global Market                 |

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12 (g) of the Act: None

Edgar Filing: PGT, Inc. - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "accelerated filer," "large accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company   
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

The aggregate market value of the registrant's common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of July 2, 2009 was approximately \$23,261,535 based on the closing price per share on that date of \$1.54 as reported on the NASDAQ Global Market.

The number of shares of the registrant's common stock, par value \$0.01, outstanding as of March 16, 2010 was 54,005,439.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the Company's Proxy Statement for the Company's 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Form 10-K.

## PGT, INC.

## Table of Contents to Form 10-K

|                 | Page   |
|-----------------|--|
| <u>PART I</u>   |  |
| <u>Item 1.</u>  | <u>Business</u> 2  |
| <u>Item 1A.</u> | <u>Risk Factors</u> 6  |
| <u>Item 1B.</u> | <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> 10  |
| <u>Item 2.</u>  | <u>Properties</u> 10   |
| <u>Item 3.</u>  | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> 10  |
| <u>Item 4.</u>  | <u>Reserved</u> 11   |
| <u>PART II</u>  |  |
| <u>Item 5.</u>  | <u>Market for Registrant’s Common<br/>Equity, Related Stockholder Matters<br/>and Issuer Purchases of Equity<br/>Securities</u> 11 |
| <u>Item 6.</u>  | <u>Selected Financial Data</u> 12  |
| <u>Item 7.</u>  | <u>Management’s Discussion and<br/>Analysis of Financial Condition and<br/>Results of Operation</u> 14                             |
| <u>Item 7A.</u> | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative<br/>Disclosures About Market Risk</u> 31   |
| <u>Item 8.</u>  | <u>Financial Statements and<br/>Supplementary Data</u> 32  |
| <u>Item 9.</u>  | <u>Changes in and Disagreements with<br/>Accountants on Accounting and<br/>Financial Disclosure</u> 67                             |
| <u>Item 9A.</u> | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> 67  |
| <u>Item 9B.</u> | <u>Other Information</u> 69  |
| <u>PART III</u> |  |
| <u>Item 10.</u> | <u>Directors, Executive Officers and<br/>Corporate Governance</u> 69   |
| <u>Item 11.</u> | <u>Executive Compensation</u> 71   |
| <u>Item 12.</u> | <u>Security Ownership of Certain<br/>Beneficial Owners and Management<br/>and Related Stockholder Matters</u> 71                   |
| <u>Item 13.</u> | 71   |

Certain Relationships and Related  
Transactions, and Director  
Independence

|                 |   |    |
|-----------------|---|----|
| <u>Item 14.</u> | <u>Principal Accounting Fees and<br/>Services</u> | 71 |
|-----------------|---|----|

PART IV

|                 |  |    |
|-----------------|--|----|
| <u>Item 15.</u> | <u>Exhibits, Financial Statement<br/>Schedules</u> | 71 |
|-----------------|--|----|

Subsidiaries

- Consent of Ernst & Young LLP
  - Written Statement Pursuant to Section 302
  - Written Statement Pursuant to Section 302
  - Written Statement Pursuant to Section 906
-

## PART I

### Item 1. BUSINESS

#### GENERAL DEVELOPMENT OF BUSINESS

##### Description of the Company

We are the leading U.S. manufacturer and supplier of residential impact-resistant windows and doors and pioneered the U.S. impact-resistant window and door industry. Our impact-resistant products, which are marketed under the WinGuard®, PremierVue™ and PGT Architectural Systems brand names, combine heavy-duty aluminum or vinyl frames with laminated glass to provide protection from hurricane-force winds and wind-borne debris by maintaining their structural integrity and preventing penetration by impacting objects. Impact-resistant windows and doors satisfy increasingly stringent building codes in hurricane-prone coastal states and provide an attractive alternative to shutters and other “active” forms of hurricane protection that require installation and removal before and after each storm. Combining the impact resistance of WinGuard with our insulating glass creates energy efficient windows that can significantly reduce cooling and heating costs. We also manufacture non-impact resistant products in both aluminum and vinyl frames including our new SpectraGuard™ line of products launched over the past two years. Our current market share in Florida, which is the largest U.S. impact-resistant window and door market, is significantly greater than that of any of our competitors.

The geographic regions in which we currently operate include the Southeastern U.S., the Gulf Coast, the Caribbean, Central America and Canada. We distribute our products through multiple channels, including over 1,300 window distributors, building supply distributors, window replacement dealers and enclosure contractors. This broad distribution network provides us with the flexibility to meet demand as it shifts between the residential new construction and repair and remodeling end markets.

We operate manufacturing facilities in North Venice, Florida and in Salisbury, North Carolina, which produce fully-customized windows and doors. We are vertically integrated with glass tempering and laminating facilities in both states, which provide us with a consistent source of impact-resistant laminated glass, shorter lead times, and lower costs relative to third-party sourcing. Our facility in Lexington, North Carolina is vacant and subsequent to January 2, 2010 is being marketed for sale.

##### History

Our subsidiary, PGT Industries, Inc., was founded in 1980 as Vinyl Technology, Inc. The PGT brand was established in 1987, and we introduced our WinGuard branded product line in the aftermath of Hurricane Andrew in 1992.

PGT, Inc. is a Delaware corporation formed on December 16, 2003, as JLL Window Holdings, Inc. by an affiliate of JLL Partners, our largest stockholder, in connection with its acquisition of PGT Holding Company on January 29, 2004. On February 15, 2006, we changed our name to PGT, Inc., and on June 27, 2006 we became a publicly listed company on the NASDAQ National Market under the symbol “PGTI”.

#### FINANCIAL INFORMATION ABOUT INDUSTRY SEGMENTS

The FASB has issued guidance under the Segment Reporting topic of the Codification which defines operating segments as components of an enterprise about which separate financial information is available that is evaluated regularly by the chief operating decision maker in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. Under this definition, we have concluded that we operate as one segment, the manufacture and sale of windows and doors. Additional required information is included in Item 8.

## Table of Contents

### NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

#### Our Products

We manufacture complete lines of premium, fully customizable aluminum and vinyl windows and doors and porch enclosure products targeting both the residential new construction and repair and remodeling end markets. All of our products carry the PGT brand, and our consumer-oriented products carry an additional, trademarked product name, including WinGuard, Eze-Breeze, SpectraGuard, and, introduced in late 2009, PremierVue.

#### Window and door products

**WinGuard.** WinGuard is an impact-resistant product line and combines heavy-duty aluminum or vinyl frames with laminated glass to provide protection from hurricane-force winds and wind-borne debris that satisfy increasingly stringent building codes and primarily target hurricane-prone coastal states in the U.S., as well as the Caribbean and Central America. Combining the impact resistance of WinGuard with our insulating glass creates energy efficient windows that can significantly reduce cooling and heating costs.

**Aluminum.** We offer a complete line of fully customizable, non-impact-resistant aluminum frame windows and doors. These products primarily target regions with warmer climates, where aluminum is often preferred due to its ability to withstand higher temperatures and humidity. Adding our insulating glass creates energy efficient windows that can significantly reduce cooling and heating costs.

**Vinyl.** We offer a complete line of fully customizable, non-impact-resistant vinyl frame windows and doors primarily targeting regions with colder climates, where the energy-efficient characteristics of vinyl frames are critical. In early 2008, we introduced SpectraGuard, a new line of vinyl windows for new construction with insulating glass and unsurpassed wood-like aesthetics, such as brick-mould frames, wood-like trim detail and simulated divided lights. In early 2009, we added to the SpectraGuard line with new vinyl replacement windows combining superior energy performance and protection with unsurpassed wood-like detail and character.

**PremierVue.** Introduced in the Fall of 2009, PremierVue is a complete line of impact-resistant vinyl window products that are tailored for the mid to high end of the replacement market, primarily targeting single and multi-family homes and low to mid-rise condominiums in Florida and other coastal regions of the Southeastern U.S.. Combining structural strength and energy efficiency, these products are designed for flexibility in today's market, offering both laminated and laminated-insulated impact-resistant glass options. PremierVue's large test sizes and high design pressures, combined with vinyl's inherent thermal efficiency, make these products truly unique in the window industry.

**Architectural Systems.** Similar to WinGuard, Architectural Systems products are impact-resistant, offering protection from hurricane-force winds and wind-borne debris for mid- and high-rise buildings rather than single family homes.

#### Porch-enclosure products

**Eze-Breeze.** Eze-Breeze sliding panels for porch enclosures are vinyl-glazed, aluminum-framed products used for enclosing screened-in porches that provide protection from inclement weather.

#### Sales and Marketing

Our sales strategy primarily focuses on attracting and retaining distributors and dealers by consistently providing exceptional customer service, leading product quality, and competitive pricing and using our advanced knowledge of

building code requirements and technical expertise.

Our marketing strategy is designed to reinforce the high quality of our products and focuses on both coastal and inland markets. We support our markets through print and web based advertising, consumer and builder promotions, and selling and collateral materials. We also work with our dealers and distributors to educate consumers and homebuilders on the advantages of using impact-resistant and energy efficient products. We market our products based on quality, building code compliance, outstanding service, shorter lead times, and on-time delivery using our fleet of trucks and trailers.

- 3 -

---



## Table of Contents

### Our Customers

We have a highly diversified customer base that is comprised of over 1,300 window distributors, building supply distributors, window replacement dealers and enclosure contractors. Our largest customer accounts for approximately 4.8% of net sales and our top ten customers account for approximately 18.2% of net sales. Our sales are composed of residential new construction and home repair and remodeling end markets, which represented approximately 27% and 73% of our sales, respectively, during 2009. This compares to 37% and 63% in 2008.

We do not supply our products directly to homebuilders but believe demand for our products is also a function of our relationships with a number of national homebuilders, which we believe are strong.

### Materials and Supplier Relationships

Our primary manufacturing materials include aluminum and vinyl extrusions, glass, and polyvinyl butyral. Although in many instances we have agreements with our suppliers, these agreements are generally terminable by either party on limited notice. All of our materials are typically readily available from other sources. Aluminum and vinyl extrusions accounted for approximately 44% of our material purchases during fiscal year 2009. Sheet glass, which is sourced from two major national suppliers, accounted for approximately 17% of our material purchases during fiscal year 2009. Sheet glass that we purchase comes in various sizes, tints, and thermal properties. Polyvinyl butyral and ionoplast, which are both used as inner layer in laminated glass, accounted for approximately 17% of our material purchases during fiscal year 2009. We are in the process of renegotiating our agreement, which ended in December 2009, with our supplier for the purchase of polyvinyl butyral. We have an agreement with this same supplier for the purchase of ionoplast, which is in effect until 2012.

### Backlog

As of January 2, 2010, backlog was \$8.5 million compared to \$9.3 million at January 3, 2009. Our backlog consists of orders that we have received from customers that have not yet shipped, and we expect that substantially all of our current backlog will be recognized as sales in the first quarter of 2010. The decrease in our backlog resulted from the continuation of the downturn in the housing market and the overall economy, which has had a negative impact on order intake, but also due to a decrease in lead time between order intake and product shipment. Future period to period comparisons of backlog may be negatively affected if sales and the level of order intake decrease further.

### Intellectual Property

We own and have registered trademarks in the United States. In addition, we own several patents and patent applications concerning various aspects of window assembly and related processes. We are not aware of any circumstances that would have a material adverse effect on our ability to use our trademarks and patents. As long as we continue to renew our trademarks when necessary, the trademark protection provided by them is perpetual.

### Manufacturing

Our manufacturing facilities, located in Florida and North Carolina, are capable of producing fully-customized products. The manufacturing process typically begins in one of our glass plants where we cut, temper and laminate sheet glass to meet specific requirements of our customers' orders.

Glass is transported to our window and door assembly lines in a make-to-order sequence where it is combined with an aluminum or vinyl frame. These frames are also fabricated to order, as we start with a piece of extruded material that

we cut and shape into a frame that fits our customers' specifications. After an order has been completed, it is immediately staged for delivery and shipped within an average of 48 hours of completion.

- 4 -

---

## Table of Contents

### Competition

The window and door industry is highly fragmented and the competitive landscape is based on geographic scope. The competition falls into one of two categories: local and regional manufacturers, and national window and door manufacturers.

**Local and Regional Window and Door Manufacturers:** This group of competitors consists of numerous local job shops and small manufacturing facilities that tend to focus on selling products to local or regional dealers and wholesalers. Competitors in this group typically lack marketing support and the service levels and quality controls demanded by larger distributors, as well as the ability to offer a full complement of products.

**National Window and Door Manufacturers:** This group of competitors tends to focus on selling branded products nationally to dealers and wholesalers and has multiple locations.

The principal methods of competition in the window and door industry are the development of long-term relationships with window and door dealers and distributors, and the retention of customers by delivering a full range of high-quality products on time while offering competitive pricing and flexibility in transaction processing. Trade professionals such as contractors, homebuilders, architects and engineers also engage in direct interaction and look to the manufacturer for training and education of product and code. Although some of our competitors may have greater geographic scope and access to greater resources and economies of scale than do we, our leading position in the U.S. impact-resistant window and door market and the high quality of our products position us well to meet the needs of our customers and retain an advantage over our competitors.

### Environmental Considerations

Although our business and facilities are subject to federal, state, and local environmental regulation, environmental regulation does not have a material impact on our operations, and we believe that our facilities are in material compliance with such laws and regulations.

### Employees

As of February 28, 2010, we employed approximately 1,150 people, none of whom were represented by a union. We believe that we have good relations with our employees.

## FINANCIAL INFORMATION ABOUT GEOGRAPHIC AREAS

Our net sales to customers in the United States were \$156.5 million in 2009, \$205.9 million in 2008, and \$263.2 million in 2007. Our net foreign sales, including sales into the Caribbean, Central America and Canada, were \$9.5 million in 2009, \$12.7 million in 2008, and \$15.2 million in 2007.

## AVAILABLE INFORMATION

Our Internet address is [www.pgtindustries.com](http://www.pgtindustries.com). Through our Internet website under “Financial Information” in the Investors section, we make available free of charge, as soon as reasonably practical after such information has been filed with the SEC, our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports filed pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act. Also available through our Internet website under “Corporate Governance” in the Investors section is our Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers. We are not including this or any other information on our website as a part of, nor incorporating it by reference into this Form 10-K, or any of our other SEC filings. The SEC maintains an Internet site

that contains our reports, proxy and information statements, and other information that we file electronically with the SEC at [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov).

- 5 -

---

Table of Contents

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

We are subject to regional and national economic conditions. The unprecedented decline in the economy in Florida and throughout the United States could continue to negatively affect demand for our products which has had, and which could continue to have, an adverse impact on our sales and results of operations.

A continuation of the downturn in our markets could adversely impact our credit agreement. As of January 2, 2010, we had \$68.3 million of outstanding indebtedness. As noted elsewhere in this report, we have experienced a significant deterioration in the various markets in which we compete. A sustained and continued significant deterioration in these markets may adversely impact our ability to meet certain covenants under our credit agreement. Management continues to evaluate what, if any, action or actions may be available or necessary to maintain compliance with these various covenants, including cost saving actions and the prepayment of debt.

The new home construction and repair and remodeling markets have declined. Beginning in the second half of 2006, we saw a significant slowdown in the Florida housing market. This slowdown continued during 2007, 2008, and 2009, and we expect this trend to continue through 2010 and possibly further. Like many building material suppliers in the industry, we have been and will continue to be faced with a challenging operating environment due to this decline in the housing market. Specifically, new single family housing permits in Florida decreased by 49% in 2007, 47% in 2008, and 30% in 2009, each as compared to the prior year. Beginning in the third quarter of 2008, we began to see a decrease in consumer spending for repair and remodeling projects as credit tightened and many homeowners lost substantial equity in their homes. The resulting decline in new home and repair and remodeling construction levels by our customers has decreased demand for our products which has had, and which could continue to have, an adverse impact on our sales and results of operations.

Current economic and credit market conditions have increased the risk that we may not collect a greater percentage of our receivables. Economic and credit conditions have negatively impacted our bad debt expense which has adversely impacted our results of operations. If these conditions persist, our results of operations may continue to be adversely impacted by bad debts. We monitor our customers' credit profiles carefully and make changes in our terms when necessary in response to this heightened risk.

We are subject to fluctuations in the prices of our raw materials. We experience significant fluctuations in the cost of our raw materials, including aluminum extrusion, polyvinyl butyral and glass. A variety of factors over which we have no control, including global demand for aluminum, fluctuations in oil prices, speculation in commodities futures and the creation of new laminates or other products based on new technologies impact the cost of raw materials we purchase for the manufacture of our products. While we attempt to minimize our risk from severe price fluctuations by entering into aluminum forward contracts to hedge these fluctuations in the purchase price of aluminum extrusion we use in production, substantial, prolonged upward trends in aluminum prices could significantly increase the cost of the unhedged portions of our aluminum needs and have an adverse impact on our results of operations. We anticipate that these fluctuations will continue in the future. While we have entered into a three-year supply agreement through early 2012 with a major producer of ionoplast inner layer that we believe provides us with a reliable, single source for ionoplast with stable pricing on favorable terms, if one or both parties to the agreement do not satisfy the terms of the agreement it may be terminated which could result in our inability to obtain ionoplast on commercially reasonable terms having an adverse impact on our results of operations. Additionally, ionoplast accounted for approximately 22% of our inner layer purchases in 2009, and we are currently negotiating for the purchase of polyvinyl butyral (which accounted for approximately 78% of our inner layer purchases in 2009). If we are unable to negotiate a long-term agreement for the supply of polyvinyl butyral on commercially reasonable terms, it may have an adverse impact on our results of operations. While historically we have to some extent been able to pass on significant cost increases to

our customers, our results between periods may be negatively impacted by a delay between the cost increases and price increases in our products.

We depend on third-party suppliers for our raw materials. Our ability to offer a wide variety of products to our customers depends on receipt of adequate material supplies from manufacturers and other suppliers. Generally, our raw materials and supplies are obtainable from various sources and in sufficient quantities. However, it is possible that our competitors or other suppliers may create laminates or products based on new technologies that are not available to us or are more effective than our products at surviving hurricane-force winds and wind-borne debris or that they may have access to products of a similar quality at lower prices. Although in many instances we have agreements with our suppliers, these agreements are generally terminable by either party on limited notice. Moreover, other than with our suppliers of polyvinyl butyral and aluminum, we do not have long-term contracts with the suppliers of our raw materials.

- 6 -

---

## Table of Contents

Transportation costs represent a significant part of our cost structure. Although prices decreased significantly in the fourth quarter of 2008 and stabilized somewhat in 2009, the increase in fuel prices earlier in 2008 had a negative effect on our distribution costs. Another rapid and prolonged increase in fuel prices may significantly increase our costs and have an adverse impact on our results of operations.

The home building industry and the home repair and remodeling sector are regulated. The homebuilding industry and the home repair and remodeling sector are subject to various local, state, and federal statutes, ordinances, rules, and regulations concerning zoning, building design and safety, construction, and similar matters, including regulations that impose restrictive zoning and density requirements in order to limit the number of homes that can be built within the boundaries of a particular area. Increased regulatory restrictions could limit demand for new homes and home repair and remodeling products and could negatively affect our sales and results of operations.

Our operating results are substantially dependent on sales of our WinGuard branded line of products. A majority of our net sales are, and are expected to continue to be, derived from the sales of our WinGuard branded line of products. Accordingly, our future operating results will depend on the demand for WinGuard products by current and future customers, including additions to this product line that are subsequently introduced. If our competitors release new products that are superior to WinGuard products in performance or price, or if we fail to update WinGuard products with any technological advances that are developed by us or our competitors or introduce new products in a timely manner, demand for our products may decline. A decline in demand for WinGuard products as a result of competition, technological change or other factors could have a material adverse effect on our ability to generate sales, which would negatively affect our sales and results of operations.

Changes in building codes could lower the demand for our impact-resistant windows and doors. The market for our impact-resistant windows and doors depends in large part on our ability to satisfy state and local building codes that require protection from wind-borne debris. If the standards in such building codes are raised, we may not be able to meet their requirements, and demand for our products could decline. Conversely, if the standards in such building codes are lowered or are not enforced in certain areas, demand for our impact-resistant products may decrease. Further, if states and regions that are affected by hurricanes but do not currently have such building codes fail to adopt and enforce hurricane protection building codes, our ability to expand our business in such markets may be limited.

Our industry is competitive, and competition may increase as our markets grow or as more states adopt or enforce building codes that require impact-resistant products. The window and door industry is highly competitive. We face significant competition from numerous small, regional producers, as well as a small number of national producers. Some of these competitors make products from alternative materials, including wood. Any of these competitors may (i) foresee the course of market development more accurately than do we, (ii) develop products that are superior to our products, (iii) have the ability to produce similar products at a lower cost, (iv) develop stronger relationships with window distributors, building supply distributors, and window replacement dealers, or (v) adapt more quickly to new technologies or evolving customer requirements than do we. As a result, we may not be able to compete successfully with them.

In addition, while we are skilled at creating finished impact-resistant and other window and door products, the materials we use can be purchased by any existing or potential competitor. New competitors can enter our industry, and existing competitors may increase their efforts in the impact-resistant market. Furthermore, if the market for impact-resistant windows and doors continues to expand, larger competitors could enter, or expand their presence in the market and may be able to compete more effectively. Finally, we may not be able to maintain our costs at a level sufficiently low for us to compete effectively. If we are unable to compete effectively, demand for our products and our profitability may decline.

Our business is currently concentrated in one state. Our business is concentrated geographically in Florida. In fiscal year 2009, approximately 81% of our sales were generated in Florida, and new single family housing permits in

Florida decreased by 30% in 2009 compared to the prior year. A further or prolonged decline in the economy of the state of Florida or of the coastal regions of Florida, a change in state and local building code requirements for hurricane protection, or any other adverse condition in the state could cause a decline in the demand for our products in Florida, which could have an adverse impact on our sales and results of operations.

Our level of indebtedness could adversely affect our ability to raise additional capital to fund our operations, limit our ability to react to changes in the economy or our industry, and prevent us from meeting our obligations under our debt instruments. As of January 2, 2010, our indebtedness under our first lien term loan was \$68.0 million. All of our debt was at a variable interest rate. In the event that interest rates rise, our interest expense would increase. A 1.0% increase in interest rates would result in approximately \$0.7 million of additional interest expense annually.

- 7 -

---



Table of Contents

The level of our debt could have certain consequences, including:

- increasing our vulnerability to general economic and industry conditions;
- requiring a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to be dedicated to the payment of principal and interest on our indebtedness, therefore reducing our ability to use our cash flow to fund our operations, capital expenditures, and future business opportunities;
- exposing us to the risk of increased interest rates because certain of our borrowings, including borrowings under our credit facilities, will be at variable rates of interest;
- limiting our ability to obtain additional financing for working capital, capital expenditures, debt service requirements, acquisitions, and general corporate or other purposes; and
- limiting our ability to adjust to changing market conditions and placing us at a competitive disadvantage compared to our competitors who have less debt.

We may incur additional indebtedness. We may incur additional indebtedness under our credit facilities, which provide for up to \$25 million of revolving credit borrowings, under the current Third Amendment which became effective on March 17, 2010. In addition, we and our subsidiary may be able to incur substantial additional indebtedness in the future, including secured debt, subject to the restrictions contained in the agreements governing our credit facilities. If new debt is added to our current debt levels, the related risks that we now face could intensify.

Our debt instruments contain various covenants that limit our ability to operate our business. Our credit facility contains various provisions that limit our ability to, among other things, transfer or sell assets, including the equity interests of our subsidiary, or use asset sale proceeds; pay dividends or distributions on our capital stock or repurchase our capital stock; make certain restricted payments or investments; create liens to secure debt; enter into transactions with affiliates; merge or consolidate with another company; and engage in unrelated business activities.

In addition, our credit facilities require us to meet specified financial ratios. These covenants may restrict our ability to expand or fully pursue our business strategies. Our ability to comply with these and other provisions of our credit facilities may be affected by changes in our operating and financial performance, changes in general business and economic conditions, adverse regulatory developments, or other events beyond our control. The breach of any of these covenants, including those contained in our credit facilities, could result in a default under our indebtedness, which could cause those and other obligations to become due and payable. If any of our indebtedness is accelerated, we may not be able to repay it.

We may be adversely affected by any disruption in our information technology systems. Our operations are dependent upon our information technology systems, which encompass all of our major business functions. A disruption in our information technology systems for any prolonged period could result in delays in receiving inventory and supplies or filling customer orders and adversely affect our customer service and relationships.

We may be adversely affected by any disruptions to our manufacturing facilities or disruptions to our customer, supplier, or employee base. Any disruption to our facilities resulting from hurricanes and other weather-related events, fire, an act of terrorism, or any other cause could damage a significant portion of our inventory, affect our distribution of products, and materially impair our ability to distribute our products to customers. We could incur significantly higher costs and longer lead times associated with distributing our products to our customers during the time that it takes for us to reopen or replace a damaged facility. In addition, if there are disruptions to our customer and supplier base or to our employees caused by hurricanes, our business could be temporarily adversely affected by higher costs for materials, increased shipping and storage costs, increased labor costs, increased absentee rates, and scheduling issues. Furthermore, some of our direct and indirect suppliers have unionized work forces, and strikes, work stoppages, or slowdowns experienced by these suppliers could result in slowdowns or closures of their facilities. Any interruption in the production or delivery of our supplies could reduce sales of our products and increase our costs.

Table of Contents

The nature of our business exposes us to product liability and warranty claims. We are involved in product liability and product warranty claims relating to the products we manufacture and distribute that, if adversely determined, could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows. In addition, we may be exposed to potential claims arising from the conduct of homebuilders and home remodelers and their sub-contractors. Although we currently maintain what we believe to be suitable and adequate insurance in excess of our self-insured amounts, we may not be able to maintain such insurance on acceptable terms or such insurance may not provide adequate protection against potential liabilities. Product liability claims can be expensive to defend and can divert the attention of management and other personnel for significant periods, regardless of the ultimate outcome. Claims of this nature could also have a negative impact on customer confidence in our products and our company.

We are subject to potential exposure to environmental liabilities and are subject to environmental regulation. We are subject to various federal, state, and local environmental laws, ordinances, and regulations. Although we believe that our facilities are in material compliance with such laws, ordinances, and regulations, as owners and lessees of real property, we can be held liable for the investigation or remediation of contamination on such properties, in some circumstances, without regard to whether we knew of or were responsible for such contamination. Remediation may be required in the future as a result of spills or releases of petroleum products or hazardous substances, the discovery of unknown environmental conditions, or more stringent standards regarding existing residual contamination. More burdensome environmental regulatory requirements may increase our general and administrative costs and may increase the risk that we may incur fines or penalties or be held liable for violations of such regulatory requirements.

We conduct all of our operations through our subsidiary, and rely on payments from our subsidiary to meet all of our obligations. We are a holding company and derive all of our operating income from our subsidiary, PGT Industries, Inc. All of our assets are held by our subsidiary, and we rely on the earnings and cash flows of our subsidiary to meet our debt service obligations. The ability of our subsidiary to make payments to us will depend on its respective operating results and may be restricted by, among other things, the laws of its jurisdiction of organization (which may limit the amount of funds available for distributions to us), the terms of existing and future indebtedness and other agreements of our subsidiary, including our credit facilities, and the covenants of any future outstanding indebtedness we or our subsidiary incur.

We are exposed to risks relating to evaluations of controls required by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. We are required to comply with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. While we have concluded that at January 2, 2010, we have no material weaknesses in our internal controls over financial reporting, we cannot assure you that we will not have a material weakness in the future. A “material weakness” is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Company’s annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. If we fail to maintain a system of internal controls over financial reporting that meets the requirements of Section 404, we might be subject to sanctions or investigation by regulatory authorities such as the SEC or by the NASDAQ Stock Market LLC. Additionally, failure to comply with Section 404 or the report by us of a material weakness may cause investors to lose confidence in our financial statements and our stock price may be adversely affected. If we fail to remedy any material weakness, our financial statements may be inaccurate, we may not have access to the capital markets, and our stock price may be adversely affected.

The controlling position of an affiliate of JLL Partners limits the ability of our minority stockholders to influence corporate matters. An affiliate of JLL Partners owned 52.6% of our outstanding common stock as of January 2, 2010. Accordingly, such affiliate of JLL Partners has significant influence over our management and affairs and over all matters requiring stockholder approval, including the election of directors and significant corporate transactions, such as a merger or other sale of our company or its assets. This concentration of ownership may have the effect of delaying or preventing a transaction such as a merger, consolidation, or other business combination involving us, or

discouraging a potential acquirer from making a tender offer or otherwise attempting to obtain control, even if such a transaction or change of control would benefit minority stockholders. In addition, this concentrated control limits the ability of our minority stockholders to influence corporate matters, and such affiliate of JLL Partners, as a controlling stockholder, could approve certain actions, including a going-private transaction, without approval of minority stockholders, subject to obtaining any required approval of our board of directors for such transaction. As a result, the market price of our common stock could be adversely affected.

- 9 -

---

### Table of Contents

The controlling position of an affiliate of JLL Partners exempts us from certain Nasdaq corporate governance requirements. Although we have satisfied all applicable Nasdaq corporate governance rules, for so long as an affiliate of JLL Partners continues to own more than 50% of our outstanding shares, we will continue to avail ourselves of the Nasdaq Rule 4350(c) "controlled company" exemption that applies to companies in which more than 50% of the stockholder voting power is held by an individual, a group, or another company. This rule grants us an exemption from the requirements that we have a majority of independent directors on our board of directors and that we have independent directors determine the compensation of executive officers and the selection of nominees to the board of directors. However, we intend to comply with such requirements in the event that such affiliate of JLL Partners' ownership falls to or below 50%.

Our directors and officers who are affiliated with JLL Partners do not have any obligation to report corporate opportunities to us. Because some individuals may serve as our directors or officers and as directors, officers, partners, members, managers, or employees of JLL Partners or its affiliates or investment funds and because such affiliates or investment funds may engage in similar lines of business to those in which we engage, our amended and restated certificate of incorporation allocates corporate opportunities between us and JLL Partners and its affiliates and investment funds. Specifically, for so long as JLL Partners and its affiliates and investment funds own at least 15% of our shares of common stock, none of JLL Partners, nor any of its affiliates or investment funds, or their respective directors, officers, partners, members, managers, or employees has any duty to refrain from engaging directly or indirectly in the same or similar business activities or lines of business as do we. In addition, if any of them acquires knowledge of a potential transaction that may be a corporate opportunity for us and for JLL Partners or its affiliates or investment funds, subject to certain exceptions, we will not have any expectancy in such corporate opportunity, and they will not have any obligation to communicate such opportunity to us.

### Item 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

### Item 2. PROPERTIES

We own facilities in one location in Florida and two locations in North Carolina that are capable of producing all of our product lines. In North Venice, Florida, we own a 363,000 square foot facility that contains our corporate headquarters and main manufacturing plant. We also own an adjacent 80,000 square foot facility used for glass tempering and laminating, a 42,000 square foot facility for producing Architectural System products and simulated wood-finished products, and a 3,590 square foot facility used for employee and customer training. In Salisbury, North Carolina, we own a 393,000 square foot manufacturing facility including glass tempering and laminating capabilities. We also own a 225,000 square foot facility in Lexington, North Carolina which is currently vacant and in January 2010 we entered into an agreement to market that location for sale.

We lease four properties in North Venice, Florida. The leases for the fleet maintenance building, glass plant line maintenance building, fleet parking lot, and facility maintenance/test lab in North Venice, Florida expire in January 2012, November 2011, June 2014 and November 2010, respectively. Each of the leases provides for a fixed annual rent. The leases require us to pay taxes, insurance and common area maintenance expenses associated with the properties.

All of our owned properties secure borrowings under our first lien credit agreement. We believe all of these operating facilities are adequate in capacity and condition to service existing customer locations.

### LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Item 3.

We are involved in various claims and lawsuits incidental to the conduct of our business in the ordinary course. We carry insurance coverage in such amounts in excess of our self-insured retention as we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances and that may or may not cover any or all of our liabilities in respect of claims and lawsuits. We do not believe that the ultimate resolution of these matters will have a material adverse impact on our financial position, cash flows or results of operations.

- 10 -

---

Table of Contents

Item 4. RESERVED

## PART II

## Item 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Our Common Stock has been traded on the NASDAQ Global Market ® under the symbol "PGTI". On February 8, 2010, the closing price of our Common Stock as reported on the NASDAQ Global Market was \$1.72. The approximate number of stockholders of record of our Common Stock on that date was 128, although we believe that the number of beneficial owners of our Common Stock is substantially greater.

The table below sets forth the price range of our Common Stock during the periods indicated.

|             | High    | Low     |
|-------------|---------|---------|
| 2009        |         |         |
| 1st Quarter | \$ 1.50 | \$ 0.80 |
| 2nd Quarter | \$ 2.88 | \$ 1.20 |
| 3rd Quarter | \$ 3.19 | \$ 1.50 |
| 4th Quarter | \$ 2.85 | \$ 2.00 |
| 2008        |         |         |
| 1st Quarter | \$ 5.00 | \$ 2.59 |
| 2nd Quarter | \$ 4.25 | \$ 2.18 |
| 3rd Quarter | \$ 5.95 | \$ 3.00 |
| 4th Quarter | \$ 3.98 | \$ 0.85 |

## Dividends

We do not pay a regular dividend. Any determination relating to dividend policy will be made at the discretion of our board of directors. The terms of our senior secured credit facility governing our notes currently restrict our ability to pay dividends.

## Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities

None.

- 11 -

---

Table of Contents  
Performance Graph

The following graphs compare the percentage change in PGT, Inc.'s cumulative total stockholder return on its Common Stock with the cumulative total stockholder return of the Standard & Poor's Building Products Index and the NASDAQ Composite Index over the period from June 27, 2006 (the date we became a public company) to January 2, 2010.

COMPARISON OF 42 MONTH CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURN\*  
AMONG PGT, INC., THE NASDAQ COMPOSITE INDEX,  
AND THE S&P BUILDING PRODUCTS INDEX

|                             | 6/27/2006 | 6/06   | 9/06   | 12/06    | 3/07   | 6/07   | 9/07   | 12/07    |
|-----------------------------|-----------|--------|--------|----------|--------|--------|--------|----------|
| PGT, Inc.                   | 100.00    | 112.86 | 100.43 | 90.36    | 85.71  | 78.07  | 56.64  | 34.50    |
| S&P<br>Building<br>Products | 100.00    | 102.51 | 96.65  | 105.41   | 106.85 | 114.67 | 95.04  | 103.78   |
| NASDAQ<br>Composite         | 100.00    | 103.42 | 107.53 | 115.00   | 115.30 | 123.95 | 128.63 | 126.28   |
|                             | 3/08      | 6/08   | 9/08   | 01/03/09 | 3/09   | 6/09   | 9/09   | 01/02/10 |
| PGT, Inc.                   | 21.21     | 22.71  | 23.29  | 8.43     | 10.71  | 11.71  | 19.71  | 14.93    |
| S&P<br>Building<br>Products | 98.60     | 83.05  | 94.71  | 58.76    | 40.44  | 46.04  | 63.88  | 72.91    |
| NASDAQ<br>Composite         | 108.52    | 109.18 | 99.60  | 75.09    | 76.61  | 86.83  | 97.17  | 109.17   |

\* \$100 invested on 06/27/2006 in stock or in index-including reinvestment of dividends for 42 months ending January 2, 2010.

Item 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following table sets forth selected historical consolidated financial information and other data as of and for the periods indicated and have been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements.

All information included in the following tables should be read in conjunction with "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" contained in Item 7, and with the consolidated financial statements and related notes in Item 8. All years consisted of 52 weeks except for the year ended January 3, 2009 which consisted of 53 weeks. We do not believe the impact on comparability of results is significant.



Table of Contents

|  | Year<br>Ended               | Year<br>Ended               | Year<br>Ended                 | Year<br>Ended                 | Year<br>Ended                 |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Consolidated Selected<br>Financial Data<br>(in thousands except<br>per share data) | January 2,<br>2010          | January 3,<br>2009          | December 29,<br>2007          | December 30,<br>2006          | December 31,<br>2005          |
| Net sales  | \$ 166,000                  | \$ 218,556                  | \$ 278,394                    | \$ 371,598                    | \$ 332,813                    |
| Cost of sales  | 121,622                     | 150,277                     | 187,389                       | 229,867                       | 209,475                       |
| Gross margin   | 44,378                      | 68,279                      | 91,005                        | 141,731                       | 123,338                       |
| Impairment<br>charges(1)   | 742                         | 187,748                     | 826                           | 1,151                         | 7,200                         |
| Stock<br>compensation<br>expense(2)  | -                           | -                           | -                             | 26,898                        | 7,146                         |
| Selling, general and<br>administrative<br>expenses                                 | 51,902                      | 63,109                      | 77,004                        | 86,219                        | 83,634                        |
| (Loss) income from<br>operations   | (8,266 )                    | (182,578)                   | 13,175                        | 27,463                        | 25,358                        |
| Interest expense   | 6,698                       | 9,283                       | 11,404                        | 28,509                        | 13,871                        |
| Other (income)<br>expense, net(3)  | 37                          | (40 )                       | 692                           | (178 )                        | (286 )                        |
| (Loss) income before<br>income taxes   | (15,001 )                   | (191,821)                   | 1,079                         | (868 )                        | 11,773                        |
| Income tax (benefit)<br>expense  | (5,584 )                    | (28,789 )                   | 456                           | 101                           | 3,910                         |
| Net (loss) income  | \$ (9,417 )                 | \$ (163,032)                | \$ 623                        | \$ (969 )                     | \$ 7,863                      |
| Net (loss) income per<br>common share:   |                             |                             |                               |                               |                               |
| Basic  | \$ (0.26 )                  | \$ (5.08 )                  | \$ 0.02                       | \$ (0.04 )                    | \$ 0.47                       |
| Diluted  | \$ (0.26 )                  | \$ (5.08 )                  | \$ 0.02                       | \$ (0.04 )                    | \$ 0.43                       |
| Weighted average<br>shares outstanding:  |                             |                             |                               |                               |                               |
| Basic(4)   | 36,451                      | 32,104                      | 29,247                        | 22,673                        | 16,800                        |
| Diluted(4)   | 36,451                      | 32,104                      | 30,212                        | 22,673                        | 18,376                        |
| Other financial data:  |                             |                             |                               |                               |                               |
| Depreciation   | \$ 10,435                   | \$ 11,518                   | \$ 10,418                     | \$ 9,871                      | \$ 7,503                      |
| Amortization   | 5,731                       | 5,570                       | 5,570                         | 5,742                         | 8,020                         |
|  | As Of<br>January 2,<br>2010 | As Of<br>January 3,<br>2009 | As Of<br>December 29,<br>2007 | As Of<br>December 30,<br>2006 | As Of<br>December 31,<br>2005 |

## Balance Sheet data:

|                                       |          |           |           |           |          |
|---------------------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| Cash and cash equivalents             | \$ 7,417 | \$ 19,628 | \$ 19,479 | \$ 36,981 | \$ 3,270 |
| Total assets                          | 173,630  | 200,617   | 407,865   | 442,794   | 425,553  |
| Total debt, including current portion | 68,268   | 90,366    | 130,000   | 165,488   | 183,525  |
| Shareholders' equity                  | 68,209   | 74,185    | 210,472   | 205,206   | 156,571  |

- (1) In 2009, 2007 and 2006, amount relates to write-down of the value of our Lexington, North Carolina property. In 2008, amount relates to intangible asset impairment charges. See Note 7 in Item 8. In 2005, amount relates to write-down of a trademark in connection with the sale of the related product line.
- (2) Represents compensation expense paid to stock option holders (including applicable payroll taxes) in lieu of adjusting exercise prices in connection with the dividends paid to shareholders in September 2005 and February 2006 of \$7.1 million, including expenses, and \$26.9 million, respectively. These amounts include amounts paid to stock option holders whose other compensation is a component of cost of sales of \$1.3 million and \$5.1 million, respectively.
- (3) Relates to derivative financial instruments.
- (4) Weighted average common shares outstanding for all periods have been restated to give effect to the bonus element in the 2010 rights offering.

## Table of Contents

### Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

We advise you to read Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in conjunction with our Consolidated Financial Statements and the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8. We also advise you read the risk factors in Item 1A. You should consider the information in these items, along with other information included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

## RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

In the first quarter of 2010, we entered into an agreement with a broker to list our Lexington, NC, plant facility. In the second quarter of 2009, we ceased operating out of that facility and do not expect a potential sale to have a material impact on our operations in the future.

On March 12, 2010, we completed our rights offering which generated net proceeds of \$27.5 million used to repay a portion of the outstanding indebtedness under our amended credit agreement in the amount of \$15.0 million, and for general corporate purposes in the amount of \$12.5 million. See "Liquidity and Capital Resources" for further information.

On March 18, 2010 our Board of Directors approved the Amended and Restated 2006 Equity Incentive Plan, and authorized an Equity Exchange. Also, on March 8, 2010, our Board of Directors authorized a stock option exchange to eligible employees. These three items are subject to approval at our annual stockholders' meeting. Additional information appears in our definitive proxy statement for our annual meeting of stockholders under the captions "Amended and Restated 2006 Equity Incentive Plan", "Issuer Tender Offer", and "Equity Exchange".

## EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW

### Sales and Operations

On February 11, 2010, we issued a press release and held a conference call on Friday, February 19, 2010 to review the results of operations for our fiscal year ended January 2, 2010. During the call, we also discussed current market conditions, and progress made regarding certain of our growth initiatives. The overview and estimates contained in this report are consistent with those given in our press release. We are neither updating nor confirming that information.

There have been some positive signs in our industry lately, but certain statistics such as housing starts are still at record lows. Single-Family housing starts in Florida remained at approximately 6,000 per quarter in 2009, compared to 60,000 during the housing boom and a realistic average of 25,000 based on Florida population. Other economic indicators such as continuing high unemployment are likely to hamper the rate of growth for the immediate future.

The slowdown that we first experienced in fiscal 2007 has continued through fiscal 2009. Our 2009 net revenue was 40% lower than net revenue in 2007 and 24% lower than net revenue in 2008. We believe over inflation in the housing market, followed by a significant drop in consumer confidence and a lack of liquidity in the credit markets, slowed the economy to a pace not experienced since the Great Depression. As such, we believe the return of consumer confidence and a sustained stabilization in the housing market are important aspects in the recovery of our business. However, despite these conditions, we maintained a positive cash flow while executing our strategic vision of gaining market share in our core market of Florida and expanding our out-of-state presence.

We continue to believe in our ability to expand our sales into out-of-state markets, which increased 24% during 2009 driven by the introduction of new products and the expansion of our distribution base. Launched in 2008, our SpectraGuard vinyl product line sales grew to \$8.0 million in 2009 compared to \$1.4 million in 2008.

Our sales into the new construction and repair and remodeling markets are down 45% and 12% respectively in 2009. However, our mix of sales between these two end markets has notably shifted over the past two years. Sales into the repair and remodeling market represented 73% in 2009 compared to 54% in 2007 and 63% in 2008. This prominent shift has helped our company somewhat off-set the significant decline in the new home construction market.

- 14 -

---

Table of Contents

We sought to balance between short-term cash flow goals and long-term strategic goals, during the difficult market conditions described above. We consistently re-evaluated our cost structure, identifying opportunities to drive efficiencies and savings initiatives while remaining aware of the resources needed to effectively serve our customers and exceed their expectations.

As a result, we implemented various initiatives to take cost out of our system including reductions in our workforce, reductions in pay, renegotiating supply agreements and cutting discretionary spending. We recorded \$5.4 million in restructuring costs in 2009 and \$2.1 million in 2008 related to our efforts. When combined, these actions are estimated to drive substantial cost savings that will exceed \$25 million annually. These efforts have helped off-set the decline in sales volume which causes an unfavorable deleveraging of our fixed costs.

Liquidity and Cash Flow

We began 2007 with a total net debt balance of \$128 million and ended fiscal 2009 with a net debt of \$61 million. Over the past three years we were able to reduce our debt by combining our ability to generate cash from operations totaling \$54 million, with net proceeds from the fully subscribed 2008 rights offering of \$29.3 million. Due to the focus on reducing debt, our net debt level is currently at its lowest point since 2004.

As we entered into 2010 we announced another rights offering which closed on March 12, 2010. This rights offering was 90% subscribed and generated \$27.5 million of additional capital for our Company, bringing our net debt levels down further to \$34 million.

We used \$15.0 million of the proceeds to further pay down our term debt and make our Third amendment to our credit facility, which we entered into on December 24, 2009, effective. This amendment further secures our position and provides more flexibility to focus on long-term strategic goals.

Acquisition

Pursuant to an asset purchase agreement by and between Hurricane Window and Door Factory, LLC (“Hurricane”) of Ft. Myers, Florida, and our operating subsidiary, PGT Industries, Inc., effective on August 14, 2009, we acquired certain operating assets of Hurricane for approximately \$1.5 million in cash. Hurricane designed and manufactured high-end vinyl impact products for the single- and multi-family residential markets. The products provide long-term energy and structural benefits, while qualifying homeowners for the government’s energy tax credits through the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009. This product line was developed specifically for the hurricane protection market and combines some of the highest structural ratings in the industry with excellent energy efficiency. The acquisition of this business expands our presence in the energy efficient vinyl impact-resistant market, increases our ability to serve the multi-story condominium market, and enhances our ability to offer a complete line of impact products to the customer.

The purchase price paid was allocated to the assets acquired based on their estimated fair value on August 14, 2009. The assets acquired included Hurricane’s inventory, comprised almost entirely of raw materials, and property and equipment, primarily comprised of machinery and other manufacturing equipment. We also acquired the right to use Hurricane’s design technology through the end of 2010 and the option to purchase the technology at any time through the end of 2010 and, if desired, we can extend the right to use and the option to purchase Hurricane’s design technology for an additional one year period through the end of 2011. The allocation of the \$1.5 million cash purchase price to the fair value of the assets acquired as of the August 14, 2009 acquisition date is as follows:

|                |                |
|----------------|----------------|
| (in thousands) | Fair<br>Values |
|----------------|----------------|

Edgar Filing: PGT, Inc. - Form 10-K

|                          |        |
|--------------------------|--------|
| Inventory                | \$ 254 |
| Property and equipment   | 623    |
| Identifiable intangibles | 575    |
| Net assets acquired      | 1,452  |
| Purchase price           | 1,452  |
| Goodwill                 | \$ -   |

- 15 -

---

## Table of Contents

The value of inventory was established based on then current purchase prices of identical materials available from Hurricane's existing vendors. The value of property and equipment was established based on Hurricane's net carrying values which we determined to approximate fair value due to, among other things, their having been in service for less than one year. We engaged a third-party valuation specialist to assist us in estimating the fair value of the identifiable intangible assets consisting of the right to use Hurricane's design technology and the related purchase option. The fair value of the identifiable intangible assets was estimated using an income approach based on projections provided by management. The carrying value of the intangible assets of \$0.4 million is included in other intangible assets, net, in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet at January 2, 2010. The intangible assets are being amortized on the straight-line basis over their estimated lives of approximately 1.4 years through the end of 2010. Amortization expense of \$0.2 million is included in selling, general and administrative expenses in the accompanying condensed consolidated statement of operations for the year ended January 2, 2010. Acquisition costs of less than \$0.1 million are included in selling, general and administrative expenses in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations for the year ended January 2, 2010. Hurricane's operating results prior to the acquisition were insignificant.

## Restructurings

On October 25, 2007, we announced a restructuring as a result of an in-depth analysis of our target markets, internal structure, projected run-rate, and efficiency. The restructuring resulted in a decrease in our workforce of approximately 150 employees and included employees in both Florida and North Carolina. The restructuring was undertaken in an effort to streamline operations, as well as improve processes to drive new product development and sales. As a result of the restructuring, we recorded a restructuring charge of \$2.4 million in 2007, of which \$0.7 million was classified within cost of goods sold and \$1.7 million was classified within selling, general and administrative expenses. The charge related primarily to employee separation costs. Of the \$2.4 million charge, \$1.5 million was disbursed in 2007 and \$0.9 million was disbursed in 2008.

On March 4, 2008, we announced a second restructuring as a result of continued analysis of our target markets, internal structure, projected run-rate, and efficiency. The restructuring resulted in a decrease in our workforce of approximately 300 employees and included employees in both Florida and North Carolina. As a result of the restructuring, we recorded a restructuring charge of \$2.1 million in 2008, of which \$1.1 million is classified within cost of goods sold and \$1.0 million is classified within selling, general and administrative expenses in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations for the year ended January 3, 2009. The charge related primarily to employee separation costs. Of the \$2.1 million, \$1.8 million was disbursed in the first quarter of 2008. The remaining \$0.3 million is classified within accrued liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of January 3, 2009 (Note 8) and was disbursed in 2009.

On January 13, 2009, March 10, 2009, September 24, 2009 and November 12, 2009, we announced restructurings as a result of continued analysis of our target markets, internal structure, projected run-rate, and efficiency. The restructuring resulted in a decrease in our workforce of approximately 260 in the first quarter, 80 in the second quarter and 140 in the fourth quarter for a total of 480 employees in both Florida and North Carolina. As a result of the restructurings, we recorded restructuring charges of \$5.4 million in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations for the year ended January 2, 2010, of which \$3.1 million is classified within cost of goods sold with \$1.4 million charged in the first quarter, \$0.5 million in the third quarter and \$1.2 million in the fourth. The remaining \$2.3 million is classified within selling, general and administrative expenses of which \$1.6 million is charged in the first quarter, \$0.4 million in the second quarter and \$0.3 million in the fourth quarter in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations for the year ended January 2, 2010. The charge related primarily to employee separation costs. Of the \$5.4 million, \$2.6 million was disbursed in the first quarter of 2009, \$0.3 million in the second quarter, \$0.4 million in the third quarter and \$1.2 million in the fourth quarter. The remaining \$0.9 million is classified within accrued liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of January 2, 2010 (Note 8) and is expected to be disbursed in 2010.





Table of Contents

The following table provides information with respect to the accrual for restructuring costs:

|                                       | Beginning<br>of Year | Charged to<br>Expense | Disbursed<br>in Cash | End<br>of Year |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------|
| (in thousands)                        |                      |                       |                      |                |
| Year ended January 2, 2010:           |                      |                       |                      |                |
| 2008 Restructuring                    | \$ 332               | \$ -                  | \$ (332 )            | \$ -           |
| 2009 Restructurings                   | -                    | 5,395                 | (4,497 )             | 898            |
| For the year ended January 2,<br>2010 | \$ 332               | \$ 5,395              | \$ (4,829 )          | \$ 898         |
| Year ended January 3, 2009:           |                      |                       |                      |                |
| 2007 Restructuring                    | \$ 850               | \$ -                  | \$ (850 )            | \$ -           |
| 2008 Restructuring                    | -                    | 2,131                 | (1,799 )             | 332            |
| For the year ended January 3,<br>2009 | \$ 850               | \$ 2,131              | \$ (2,649 )          | \$ 332         |
| Year ended December 29, 2007:         |                      |                       |                      |                |
| 2007 Restructuring                    | \$ -                 | \$ 2,375              | \$ (1,525 )          | \$ 850         |

## Non-GAAP Financial Measures – Items Affecting Comparability

Below is a presentation of EBITDA, a non-GAAP measure, which we believe is useful information for investors (in thousands):

|                              | Year Ended         |                    |                         |
|------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
|                              | January 2,<br>2010 | January 3,<br>2009 | December<br>29,<br>2007 |
| Net (loss) income            | \$ (9,417 )        | \$ (163,032)       | \$ 623                  |
| Interest expense             | 6,698              | 9,283              | 11,404                  |
| Income tax (benefit) expense | (5,584 )           | (28,789 )          | 456                     |
| Depreciation                 | 10,435             | 11,518             | 10,418                  |
| Amortization                 | 5,731              | 5,570              | 5,570                   |
| EBITDA (1)(2)                | \$ 7,863           | \$ (165,450)       | \$ 28,471               |

(1) Includes the impact of the following expenses:

|                           |             |             |             |
|---------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Restructuring charges (a) | \$ (5,395 ) | \$ (2,131 ) | \$ (2,375 ) |
| Impairment charges (b)    | (742 )      | (187,748)   | (826 )      |

(a) Represents charges related to restructuring actions taken in 2009, 2008 and 2007. These charges relate primarily to employee separation costs.

(b) In 2009 and in 2007, represents the write-down of the value of the Lexington, North Carolina property. In 2008, represents goodwill and indefinite lived asset impairment charges.

(2) EBITDA is defined as net income plus interest expense (net of interest income), income taxes, depreciation, and amortization. EBITDA is a measure commonly used in the window and door industry, and we present EBITDA to enhance your understanding of our operating performance. We use EBITDA as one criterion for evaluating our performance relative to that of our peers. We believe that EBITDA is an operating performance measure that provides investors and analysts with a measure of operating results unaffected by differences in capital structures, capital investment cycles, and ages of related assets among otherwise comparable companies. While we believe EBITDA is a useful measure for investors, it is not a measurement presented in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles, or GAAP. You should not consider EBITDA in isolation or as a substitute for net income, cash flows from operations, or any other items calculated in accordance with GAAP.

Table of Contents

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

## Analysis of Selected Items from our Consolidated Statements of Operations

|  | Year Ended         |                    |                         | Percent Change                     |           |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------|
|  | January 2,<br>2010 | January 3,<br>2009 | December<br>29,<br>2007 | Increase / (Decrease)<br>2009-2008 | 2008-2007 |
| (in thousands,<br>except per share<br>amounts) |                    |                    |                         |                                    |           |
| Net sales                                      | \$ 166,000         | \$ 218,556         | \$ 278,394              | (24.0%)                            | (21.5%)   |
| Cost of sales                                  | 121,622            | 150,277            | 187,389                 | (19.1%)                            | (19.8%)   |
| Gross margin                                   | 44,378             | 68,279             | 91,005                  | (35.0%)                            | (25.0%)   |
| As a<br>percentage of<br>sales                 | 26.7%              | 31.2%              | 32.7%                   |                                    |           |
| Impairment<br>charges                          | 742                | 187,748            | 826                     |                                    |           |
| SG&A expenses                                  | 51,902             | 63,109             | 77,004                  | (17.8%)                            | (18.0%)   |
| SG&A expenses<br>as a percentage of<br>sales   | 31.3%              | 28.9%              | 27.7%                   |                                    |           |
| (Loss) income<br>from operations               | (8,266 )           | (182,578)          | 13,175                  |                                    |           |
| Interest expense,<br>net                       | 6,698              | 9,283              | 11,404                  |                                    |           |
| Other expense<br>(income), net                 | 37                 | (40 )              | 692                     |                                    |           |
| Income tax<br>(benefit) expense                | (5,584 )           | (28,789 )          | 456                     |                                    |           |
| Net (loss)<br>income                           | \$ (9,417 )        | \$ (163,032)       | \$ 623                  |                                    |           |
| Net (loss)<br>income per<br>common share:      |                    |                    |                         |                                    |           |
| Diluted  | \$ (0.26 )         | \$ (5.08 )         | \$ 0.02                 |                                    |           |

## 2009 Compared with 2008

The year ended January 2, 2010 consisted of 52 weeks. The year ended January 3, 2009 consisted of 53 weeks. We do not believe the impact on comparability of results is significant.

## Net sales

Net sales for 2009 were \$166.0 million, a \$52.6 million, or 24.0%, decrease in sales from \$218.6 million in the prior year.

The following table shows net sales classified by major product category (in millions):

| Product category: | Year Ended      |                 |          |            | % change |
|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|------------|----------|
|                   | January 2, 2010 | January 3, 2009 | Sales    | % of sales |          |
| WinGuard          |                 |                 |          |            |          |
| Windows and Doors | \$ 108.2        | 65.2%           | \$ 151.8 | 69.4%      | (28.7%)  |
| Other Window and  |                 |                 |          |            |          |
| Door Products     | 57.8            | 34.8%           | 66.8     | 30.6%      | (13.5%)  |
| Total net sales   | \$ 166.0        | 100.0%          | \$ 218.6 | 100.0%     | (24.0%)  |

Net sales of WinGuard Windows and Doors were \$108.2 million in 2009, a decrease of \$43.6 million, or 28.7%, from \$151.8 million in net sales for the prior year. Volume attributed to \$36.5 million of the decline, while the remaining \$7.1 million decline is mainly a result of a shift in mix towards vinyl WinGuard products which carry a lower selling price than aluminum WinGuard products. During 2009, sales of vinyl WinGuard products were up 12% compared to 2008, while sales of aluminum WinGuard were down 33%. The decrease in sales of our WinGuard products was driven mainly by the impact of the credit crisis affecting the consumers' ability and desire to remodel their homes as well as the decline in new home construction. Finally, the decline is also a result, to some extent, of the lack of storm activity during the three most recent hurricane seasons in the coastal markets of Florida we serve.

## Table of Contents

Net sales of Other Window and Door Products were \$57.8 million in 2009, a decrease of \$9.0 million, or 13.5%, from \$66.8 million for the prior year. The decrease was mainly due to a reduction in aluminum non-impact and commercial products sales of 33%, offset by an increase in vinyl non-impact and other sales which were up 46%. The increase in vinyl non-impact sales is a result of our continued strategy to grow in markets outside the state of Florida. To further that goal, we have introduced several new vinyl non-impact products over the past two years, whose sales have exceeded expectations. These new products accounted for \$8.0 million in sales in 2009, and \$1.4 million in sales in 2008.

## Gross margin

Gross margin was \$44.4 million in 2009, a decrease of \$23.9 million, or 35.0%, from \$68.3 million in the prior year. The gross margin percentage was 26.7% in 2009 compared to 31.2% in the prior year. This decrease was largely due to lower overall sales volumes which reduced our ability to leverage fixed costs, a shift in mix towards non-impact products which carry a lower margin, as well as an increase in restructuring charges of \$2.0 million in 2009. Offsetting these items in part is a decrease in the average cost of aluminum of approximately \$0.26 per pound, and overhead cost reductions from the cost savings initiatives. Cost of goods sold includes charges of \$3.1 million in 2009 and \$1.1 million in 2008 related to the restructuring actions taken in each year.

In 2008, we recognized a business interruption insurance recovery of \$0.7 million, classified as a reduction of cost of goods sold in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations for the year ended January 3, 2009, of incremental expenses we incurred relating to a November 2005 fire that idled a major laminated glass manufacturing asset and which required us to purchase laminated glass from an outside vendor at a price exceeding our cost to manufacture. Such amount is included in other current assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet at January 3, 2009 and was received in cash shortly thereafter. The proceeds were used for general corporate purposes.

## Impairment Charges

In 2009, there was an impairment charge of \$0.7 million related to a manufacturing facility for which we entered into an agreement to list the property for sale in January 2010. Impairment charges totaled \$187.7 million in 2008, of which \$169.6 million related to goodwill and \$18.1 million related to our trademarks.

Due to the continued decline in the housing markets, during the second quarter of 2008, we determined that the carrying value of goodwill exceeded its fair value, indicating that it was impaired. Having made this determination, we then began performing the second step of the goodwill impairment test which involves calculating the implied fair value of our goodwill by allocating the fair value to all of our assets and liabilities other than goodwill (including both recognized and unrecognized intangible assets) and comparing it to the carrying amount of goodwill. We recorded a \$92.0 million estimated goodwill impairment charge in the second quarter of 2008. During the third quarter of 2008, we finalized the second step of the goodwill impairment test and, as a result, recorded an additional \$1.3 million goodwill impairment charge.

During the third quarter of 2008, as part of finalizing our goodwill impairment test discussed above, we made certain changes to the assumptions that affected the previous estimate of fair value and, when compared to the carrying value of our trademarks, resulted in a \$0.3 million impairment charge in the third quarter of 2008.

We performed our annual assessment of goodwill impairment as of January 3, 2009. Given a further decline in housing starts and the overall tightening of the credit markets, our revised forecasts indicated additional impairment of our goodwill. After allocating the fair value to our assets and liabilities other than goodwill, we concluded that goodwill had no implied fair value and the remaining carrying value was written-off. After recognizing these charges, we do not have any goodwill remaining on the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of January 3, 2009.

We also performed our annual assessment of our trademarks as of January 3, 2009, which indicated that further impairment was present resulting in an additional impairment charge of \$17.8 million in the fourth quarter of 2008.

- 19 -

---

Table of Contents

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses were \$51.9 million, a decrease of \$11.2 million, or 17.8%, from \$63.1 million in the prior year. This decrease was mainly due to decreases of \$6.7 million in personnel related costs due to lower employment levels, \$1.4 million in marketing costs due to decreased levels of general advertising and promotional costs, \$1.8 million in fuel costs related to lower sales and lower prices for diesel. The remaining \$1.3 million decrease in selling, general and administrative expenses is volume related as the general level of spending in this area has declined with sales. As a percentage of sales, selling, general and administrative expenses increased to 31.3% in 2009 compared to 28.9% for the prior year. This increase was due to the fact that our ability to leverage certain fixed portions of support and administrative costs did not decrease at the same rate as the decrease in net sales.

Charges of \$2.3 million in 2009 and \$1.0 million in 2008 related to the restructuring actions taken in each year are included in selling, general and administrative expenses.

Interest expense

Interest expense was \$6.7 million in 2009, a decrease of \$2.6 million from \$9.3 million in the prior year. During 2009, we prepaid \$22.0 million of debt resulting in a lower average level of debt when compared to 2008. The interest rate on our debt increased from 6.25% at the end of 2008 to 7.25% at the end of 2009 due to an increase in our leverage ratio which increased our interest rates in accordance with our tiered interest rate structure.

Other expenses (income), net

There was other expense of less than \$0.1 million in 2009 compared to other income of less than \$0.1 million in 2008. In both years, the other expense (income) relates to effective over-hedges of aluminum.

Income tax benefit

Our effective combined federal and state tax rate was a benefit of 37.2% and 15.0% for the years ended January 2, 2010 and January 3, 2009, respectively. The 37.2% tax rate in 2009 relates primarily to a loss carry-back receivable of approximately \$3.7 million related to the recently passed legislation allowing companies to carry-back 2009 or 2008 losses up to 5 years, as well as an income tax allocation of \$1.8 million between current operations and other comprehensive income. All other deferred tax assets created in 2009 were fully reserved with additional valuation allowances. The 15.0% effective tax rate in 2008 resulted from the tax effects totaling \$41.3 million related to the write-off of the non-deductible portion of goodwill and \$4.6 million related to the valuation allowance on deferred tax assets recorded in the fourth quarter of 2008. Excluding the effects of these items, our 2009 and 2008 effective tax rates would have been 34.6% and 39.0%, respectively.

2008 Compared with 2007

The year ended January 3, 2009 consisted of 53 weeks. The year ended December 29, 2007 consisted of 52 weeks. We do not believe the impact on comparability of results is significant.

Net sales

Net sales for 2008 were \$218.6 million, a \$59.8 million, or 21.5%, decrease in sales from \$278.4 million in the prior year.

The following table shows net sales classified by major product category (in millions):

Edgar Filing: PGT, Inc. - Form 10-K

| Product category:                 | Year Ended      |            |                   |            | % change |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|------------|-------------------|------------|----------|
|                                   | January 3, 2009 |            | December 29, 2007 |            |          |
|                                   | Sales           | % of sales | Sales             | % of sales |          |
| WinGuard                          |                 |            |                   |            |          |
| Windows and Doors                 | \$ 151.8        | 69.4%      | \$ 189.7          | 68.1%      | (20.0%)  |
| Other Window and<br>Door Products | 66.8            | 30.6%      | 88.7              | 31.9%      | (24.7%)  |
| Total net sales                   | \$ 218.6        | 100.0%     | \$ 278.4          | 100.0%     | (21.5%)  |

- 20 -

---



## Table of Contents

Net sales of WinGuard Windows and Doors were \$151.8 million in 2008, a decrease of \$37.9 million, or 20.0%, from \$189.7 million in net sales for the prior year. Demand for WinGuard branded products is driven by, among other things, increased enforcement of strict building codes mandating the use of impact-resistant products, increased consumer and homebuilder awareness of the advantages provided by impact-resistant windows and doors over “active” forms of hurricane protection, and our successful marketing efforts. The decrease in sales of our WinGuard branded products was driven mainly by the decline in new home construction but also, to some extent, by the lack of storm activity during the two most recent hurricane seasons in the coastal markets of Florida we serve.

Net sales of Other Window and Door Products were \$66.8 million in 2008, a decrease of \$21.9 million, or 24.7%, from \$88.7 million for the prior year. The decrease was mainly due to the decline in new home construction. New housing demand has historically impacted sales of our Other Window and Door Products more than our WinGuard Window and Door Products.

The decline in the housing industry began in the second half of 2006 and continued and intensified throughout 2007 and 2008.

### Gross margin

Gross margin was \$68.3 million in 2008, a decrease of \$22.7 million, or 25.0%, from \$91.0 million in the prior year. The gross margin percentage was 31.2% in 2008 compared to 32.7% in the prior year. This decrease was largely due to lower sales volumes of all of our products, but most significantly of our WinGuard branded windows and doors, sales of which decreased 20.0% compared to the prior year. The decrease in sales as a result of the housing downturn has negatively impacted our gross margin in total and as a percentage of sales and reduced our ability to leverage fixed costs. Cost of goods sold includes charges of \$1.1 million in 2008 and \$0.7 million in 2007 related to the restructuring actions taken in each year.

In 2008, we recognized a business interruption insurance recovery of \$0.7 million, classified as a reduction of cost of goods sold in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations for the year ended January 3, 2009, of incremental expenses we incurred relating to a November 2005 fire that idled a major laminated glass manufacturing asset and which required us to purchase laminated glass from an outside vendor at a price exceeding our cost to manufacture. Such amount is included in other current assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet at January 3, 2009 and was received in cash shortly thereafter. The proceeds were used for general corporate purposes.

### Impairment Charges

Impairment charges totaled \$187.7 million in 2008, of which \$169.6 million related to goodwill and \$18.1 million related to our trademarks. In 2007, there was an impairment charge of \$0.8 million related to a then-idle manufacturing facility which was held for sale and subsequently returned to use.

Due to the continued decline in the housing markets, during the second quarter of 2008, we determined that the carrying value of goodwill exceeded its fair value, indicating that it was impaired. Having made this determination, we then began performing the second step of the goodwill impairment test which involves calculating the implied fair value of our goodwill by allocating the fair value to all of our assets and liabilities other than goodwill (including both recognized and unrecognized intangible assets) and comparing it to the carrying amount of goodwill. We recorded a \$92.0 million estimated goodwill impairment charge in the second quarter of 2008. During the third quarter of 2008, we finalized the second step of the goodwill impairment test and, as a result, recorded an additional \$1.3 million goodwill impairment charge.

During the third quarter of 2008, as part of finalizing our goodwill impairment test discussed above, we made certain changes to the assumptions that affected the previous estimate of fair value and, when compared to the carrying value of our trademarks, resulted in a \$0.3 million impairment charge in the third quarter of 2008.

We performed our annual assessment of goodwill impairment as of January 3, 2009. Given a further decline in housing starts and the overall tightening of the credit markets, our revised forecasts indicated additional impairment of our goodwill. After allocating the fair value to our assets and liabilities other than goodwill, we concluded that goodwill had no implied fair value and the remaining carrying value was written-off. After recognizing these charges, we do not have any goodwill remaining on the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of January 3, 2009.

- 21 -

---

## Table of Contents

We also performed our annual assessment of our trademarks as of January 3, 2009, which indicated that further impairment was present resulting in an additional impairment charge of \$17.8 million in the fourth quarter of 2008.

### Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses were \$63.1 million, a decrease of \$13.9 million, or 18.0% from \$77.0 million in the prior year. This decrease was mainly due to decreases of \$7.1 million in personnel related costs due to lower employment levels, \$3.9 million in marketing costs due to decreased levels of general advertising and promotional costs, \$1.4 million in warranty expense primarily due to the lower sales volume, \$0.8 million in public company costs, primarily related to our compliance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 ("SOX"), \$0.7 million in non-cash stock-based compensation expense and \$0.6 million in operating lease expense. These decreases were partially offset by a \$1.4 million increase in bad debt expense primarily related to collection issues with two customers and a \$1.3 million increase in distribution costs related to the increase in fuel prices during 2008. The remaining \$2.2 million decrease in selling, general and administrative expenses is volume related as the general level of spending in this area has declined with sales. As a percentage of sales, selling, general and administrative expenses increased to 28.9% in 2008 compared to 27.7% for the prior year. This increase was due to the fact that our ability to leverage certain fixed portions of support and administrative costs did not decrease at the same rate as the decrease in net sales.

Charges of \$1.0 million in 2008 and \$1.7 million in 2007 related to the restructuring actions taken in each year are included in selling, general and administrative expenses.

### Interest expense

Interest expense was \$9.3 million in 2008, a decrease of \$2.1 million from \$11.4 million in the prior year. During 2008, we prepaid \$40.0 million of debt resulting in a lower average level of debt when compared to 2007 and the interest rate on our debt decreased from 8.38% at the end of 2007 to 6.25% at the end of 2008 due to a decrease in interest rates.

### Other expenses (income), net

There was other income of less than \$0.1 million in 2008 compared to other expenses of \$0.7 million in 2007. For 2008, the other income relates to effective over-hedges of aluminum. The other expenses in 2007 relate to the ineffective portions of interest and aluminum hedges.

### Income tax expense

Our effective combined federal and state tax rate was 15.0% and 42.3% for the years ended January 3, 2009 and December 29, 2007, respectively. The 15.0% effective tax rate resulted from the tax effects totaling \$41.3 million related to the write-off of the non-deductible portion of goodwill and \$4.6 million related to the valuation allowance on deferred tax assets recorded in the fourth quarter of 2008. Excluding the effects of these items, our 2008 effective tax rate would have been 39.0%. The 42.3% tax rate in 2007 relates primarily to non-deductible expenses.

## LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Our principal source of liquidity is cash flow generated by operations, supplemented by borrowings under our credit facility. This cash generating capability provides us with financial flexibility in meeting operating and investing needs. Our primary capital requirements are to fund working capital needs, and to meet required debt payments, including debt service payments on our credit facilities and fund capital expenditures.



Table of Contents

2010 Rights Offering

On January 29, 2010, the Company filed Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on December 24, 2009 relating to a previously announced offering of rights to purchase 20,382,326 shares of the Company's common stock with an aggregate value of approximately \$30.6 million. The registration statement relating to the rights offering was declared effective by the United States Securities and Exchange Commission on February 10, 2010, and the Company distributed to each holder of record of the Company's common stock as of close of business on February 8, 2010, at no charge, one (1) non-transferable subscription right for every one and three-quarters (1.75) shares of common stock held by such holder under the basic subscription privilege. Each whole subscription right entitled its holder to purchase one share of PGT's common stock at the subscription price of \$1.50 per share. The rights offering also contained an over-subscription privilege that permitted all basic subscribers to purchase additional shares of the Company's common stock up to an amount equal to the amount available to each such holder under the basic subscription privilege. Shares issued to each participant in the over-subscription were determined by calculating each subscriber's percentage of the total shares over-subscribed, multiplied by the number of shares available in the over-subscription privilege. The rights offering expired on March 12, 2010.

The rights offering was 90.0% subscribed resulting in the Company distributing 18,336,368 shares of its common stock, including 15,210,184 shares under the basic subscription privilege and 3,126,184 under the over-subscription privilege, representing a 74.6% basic subscription participation rate. There were requests for 3,126,184 shares under the over-subscription privilege representing an allocation rate of 100% to each over-subscriber. Of the 18,336,368 shares issued, 13,333,332 shares were issued to JLL Partners Fund IV ("JLL") the Company's majority shareholder, including 10,719,389 shares issued under the basic subscription privilege and 2,613,943 shares issued under the over-subscription privilege. Prior to the rights offering, JLL held 18,758,934 shares, or 52.6%, of the Company's outstanding common stock. With the completion of the rights offering, the Company has 54,005,439 total shares of common stock outstanding of which JLL holds 59.4%.

Net proceeds of \$27.5 million from the rights offering were used to repay a portion of the outstanding indebtedness under our amended credit agreement in the amount of \$15.0 million, and for general corporate purposes in the amount of \$12.5 million.

2008 Rights Offering

On August 1, 2008, the Company filed Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on March 28, 2008 relating to a previously announced offering of rights to purchase 7,082,687 shares of the Company's common stock with an aggregate value of approximately \$30 million. The registration statement relating to the rights offering was declared effective by the United States Securities and Exchange Commission on August 4, 2008 and the Company distributed to each holder of record of the Company's common stock as of close of business on August 4, 2008, at no charge, one non-transferable subscription right for every four shares of common stock held by such holder under the basic subscription privilege. Each whole subscription right entitled its holder to purchase one share of PGT's common stock at the subscription price of \$4.20 per share. The rights offering also contained an over-subscription privilege that permitted all basic subscribers to purchase additional shares of the Company's common stock up to an amount equal to the amount available to each under the basic subscription privilege. Shares issued to each participant in the over-subscription were determined by calculating each subscribers' percentage of the total shares over-subscribed, multiplied by the number of shares available in the over-subscription privilege. The rights offering expired on September 4, 2008.

The rights offering was fully subscribed resulting in the Company distributing all 7,082,687 shares of its common stock available, including 6,157,586 shares under the basic subscription privilege and 925,101 under the over-subscription privilege, representing an 86.9% basic subscription participation rate. There were requests for 4,721,763 shares under the over-subscription privilege representing an allocation rate of 19.6% to each

over-subscriber for the 925,101 shares available in the over subscription. Of the 7,082,687 shares issued, 4,295,158 shares were issued to JLL Partners Fund IV (“JLL”) the Company’s majority shareholder, including 3,615,944 shares issued under the basic subscription privilege and 679,214 shares issued under the over-subscription privilege. Prior to the rights offering, JLL held 14,463,776 shares, or 51.1%, of the Company’s outstanding common stock. With the completion of the rights offering, the Company has 35,413,438 total shares of common stock outstanding of which JLL holds 53.0%.

Net proceeds of \$29.3 million from the rights offering were used to repay a portion of the outstanding indebtedness under our amended credit agreement.

- 23 -

---

Table of Contents

## Consolidated Cash Flows

Operating activities. Cash provided by operating activities was \$9.5 million for 2009, compared to cash provided by operating activities of \$19.9 million for the prior year. In 2008, cash provided by operating activities was down \$4.9 million from \$24.8 million in 2007. Both year over year declines are due mainly to the impact of lower sales, offset somewhat by cost savings initiatives. Direct cash flows from operations for 2009, 2008 and 2007 are as follows:

| (in millions)                   | Direct Operating Cash Flows |          |          |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|----------|
|                                 | 2009                        | 2008     | 2007     |
| Collections from customers      | \$ 170.2                    | \$ 224.5 | \$ 288.5 |
| Other collections of cash       | 2.8                         | 3.4      | 4.6      |
| Disbursements to vendors        | (94.7 )                     | (122.5 ) | (156.0 ) |
| Personnel related disbursements | (63.6 )                     | (80.2 )  | (100.0 ) |
| Debt service costs              | (6.2 )                      | (9.1 )   | (12.0 )  |
| Other cash activity, net        | 1.0                         | 3.8      | (0.3 )   |
| Cash from operations            | \$ 9.5                      | \$ 19.9  | \$ 24.8  |

The majority of other cash collections is from scrap aluminum sales. Other cash activity, net, includes \$1.1 million and \$3.3 million in state and federal tax refunds the years ended January 2, 2010 and January 3, 2009, respectively.

Days sales outstanding (DSO), which we calculate as accounts receivable divided by average daily sales, was 41 days at January 2, 2010, compared to 39 days at January 3, 2009. DSO was 39 days at January 3, 2009 compared to 37 days at December 29, 2007. This increase in DSO over the past two years was primarily due to collection issues with three customers, as well as the effect on our customer base of the decline in the housing market in Florida and the overall economy.

Investing activities. Cash from investing activities was \$0.4 million for 2009, compared to cash used of \$8.5 million for 2008. The increase in cash from investing activities was due to the impact of net cash received from excess margin returns for settlements of forward contracts related to our aluminum hedging program. In 2009, we settled \$3.4 million in contracts that were funded by margin calls in 2008, as well as received a return of \$0.7 million in excess margin as a result of the increase in aluminum prices, especially during the fourth quarter of 2009. Capital spending, including the acquisition of Hurricane Window and Door Factory assets totaled \$3.8 million in 2009, which is \$0.7 million lower than total capital spending of \$4.5 million in 2008 due to continued efforts to reduce capital spending.

Cash used in investing activities was \$8.5 million for 2008, compared to \$10.5 million for 2007. The decrease in cash used in investing activities was due to our focused efforts to reduce capital spending in 2008, which resulted in a decrease in capital expenditures of \$6.1 million, to \$4.5 million in 2008 from \$10.6 million in 2007. This decrease in capital spending was partially offset by \$4.1 million of net cash used for margin calls on forward contracts on aluminum hedges as of January 3, 2009.

Financing activities. Cash used in financing activities was \$22.1 million in 2009. With cash generated from operations during 2009 and cash on hand we prepaid \$8.0 million of our long-term debt in June, \$12.0 million in September and another \$2.0 million in December, for a total of \$22 million in debt prepayments in 2009. In December 2009, we also repaid the \$12.0 million of revolver borrowing that occurred in October 2009.

Cash used in financing activities was \$11.2 million in 2008. In June 2008, we prepaid \$10.0 million of our long-term debt with cash generated from operations. Using proceeds from the rights offering, which resulted in \$29.3 million in

net cash proceeds, we prepaid an additional \$20.0 million of our long-term debt in August 2008 and another \$10.0 million in September 2008, for a total of \$40 million in debt prepayments in 2008. Cash proceeds from stock option exercises in 2008 totaled \$0.2 million. Payment of deferred financing costs related to the effectiveness of the amendment of our credit agreement totaled \$0.6 million.

Cash used in financing activities was \$31.8 million in 2007. In 2007, we made a total of \$35.5 million of debt payments including prepayments of \$20.0 million in February 2007, \$5.0 million in June 2007, \$4.5 million in July 2007 and \$6.0 million in September 2007, using cash generated by operations. These financing cash uses were partially offset by proceeds from option exercises of \$1.9 million and the classification of \$1.8 million of related excess tax benefits within financing activities.

- 24 -

---



## Table of Contents

**Capital Expenditures.** Capital expenditures vary depending on prevailing business factors, including current and anticipated market conditions. For 2009, capital expenditures were \$2.3 million, compared to \$4.5 million for 2008. In 2008 and 2009, we reduced certain discretionary capital spending to conserve cash. We anticipate that cash flows from operations and liquidity from the revolving credit facility will be sufficient to execute our business plans.

**Capital Resources.** On February 14, 2006, we entered into a second amended and restated \$235 million senior secured credit facility and a \$115 million second lien term loan due August 14, 2012, with a syndicate of banks. The senior secured credit facility is composed of a \$30 million revolving credit facility and, initially, a \$205 million first lien term loan. As of January 2, 2010, there was \$26.0 million available under the revolving credit facility.

On April 30, 2008, we announced that we entered into an amendment to the credit agreement. The amendment, among other things, relaxes certain financial covenants through the first quarter of 2010, increases the applicable rate on loans and letters of credit, and sets a LIBOR floor. The effectiveness of the amendment was conditioned, among other things, on the repayment of at least \$30 million of loans under the credit agreement no later than August 14, 2008, of which no more than \$15 million was permitted to come from cash on hand. In June 2008, we used cash generated from operations to prepay \$10 million of outstanding borrowings under the credit agreement. Using proceeds from the rights offering, we made an additional prepayment of \$20 million on August 11, 2008, bringing total prepayments of debt at that time to \$30 million as required under the amended credit agreement. Having made the total required prepayment and having satisfied all other conditions to bring the amendment into effect, including the payment of the fees and expenses of the administrative agent and a consent fee to participating lenders of 25 basis points of the then outstanding balance under the credit agreement of \$100 million, the amendment became effective on August 11, 2008.

Under the amendment, the first lien term loan bears interest at a rate equal to an adjusted LIBOR rate plus a margin ranging from 3.5% per annum to 5% per annum or a base rate plus a margin ranging from 2.5% per annum to 4.0% per annum, at our option. The margin in either case is dependent on our leverage ratio. The loans under the revolving credit facility bear interest at a rate equal to an adjusted LIBOR rate plus a margin depending on our leverage ratio ranging from 3.0% per annum to 4.75% per annum or a base rate plus a margin ranging from 2.0% per annum to 3.75% per annum, at our option. The amendment established a floor of 3.25% for adjusted LIBOR. Prior to the effectiveness of the amendment, the first lien term loan bore interest at a rate equal to an adjusted LIBOR rate plus 3.0% per annum or a base rate plus 2.0% per annum, at our option. The loans under the revolving credit facility bore interest initially, at our option, at a rate equal to an adjusted LIBOR rate plus 2.75% per annum or a base rate plus 1.75% per annum, and the margins above LIBOR and base rate could have declined to 2.00% for LIBOR loans and 1.00% for base rate loans if certain leverage ratios were met.

On December 24, 2009, we announced that we entered into a third amendment to the credit agreement. The amendment, among other things, provides a leverage covenant holiday for 2010, increases the maximum leverage amount for the first quarter of 2011 to 6.25 times (then dropping 0.25X per quarter from the second quarter until the end of the term), extends the due date on the revolver loan until the end of 2011, increases the applicable rate on any outstanding revolver loan by 25 basis points, and sets a base rate floor of 4.25%. The effectiveness of the amendment was conditioned, among other things, on the repayment of at least \$17 million of term loan under the credit agreement no later than March 31, 2010, of which no more than \$2 million was permitted to come from cash on hand. In December 2009, the Company used cash generated from operations to prepay \$2 million of outstanding borrowings under the credit agreement. Using proceeds from the second rights offering, the Company made an additional prepayment of \$15 million on March 17, 2010, bringing total prepayments of debt at that time to \$17 million as required under the amended credit agreement. See Note 16 for a discussion of the second rights offering. Having made the total required prepayment and having satisfied all other conditions to bring the amendment into effect, including the payment of the fees and expenses of the administrative agent and a consent fee to participating lenders of 50 basis points of the then outstanding balance of the term loan and the revolving commitment under the credit agreement of \$100 million, the amendment became effective on March 17, 2010. Fees paid to the administrative agent and lenders

totaled \$1.0 million. Such fees are being amortized using the effective interest method over the remaining term of the credit agreement.

Under the third amendment, the first lien term loan bears interest at a rate equal to an adjusted LIBOR rate plus a margin ranging from 3.5% per annum to 5% per annum or a base rate plus a margin ranging from 2.5% per annum to 4.0% per annum, at our option, which is equivalent to the rates in the second amendment. The margin in either case is dependent on our leverage ratio. The loans under the revolving credit facility bear interest at a rate equal to an adjusted LIBOR rate plus a margin depending on our leverage ratio ranging from 3.00% per annum to 5.00% per annum or a base rate plus a margin ranging from 2.00% per annum to 4.00% per annum, at our option. The amendment established a floor of 4.25% for base rate loans and continued the 3.25% floor for adjusted LIBOR established in the previous amendment.

- 25 -

---

Table of Contents

Based on our ability to generate cash flows from operations and our borrowing capacity under the revolver and under the senior secured credit facility, we believe we will have sufficient capital to meet our short-term and long-term needs, including our capital expenditures and our debt obligations in 2010.

Long-term debt consisted of the following:

|   | January 2,<br>2010 | January 3,<br>2009 |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|
|   | (in thousands)     |                    |
| Tranche A2 term note payable to a bank in quarterly installments of \$231,959 beginning November 14, 2009 through November 14, 2011. A lump sum payment of \$87.9 million is due on February 14, 2012. Interest is payable quarterly at LIBOR or the prime rate plus an applicable margin. At January 3, 2009, the rate was 4.00% plus a margin of 2.25%. | \$ -               | \$ 90,000          |
| Tranche A2 term note payable to a bank in quarterly installments of \$177,546 beginning February 14, 2011 through November 14, 2011. A lump sum payment of \$67.3 million is due on February 14, 2012. Interest is payable quarterly at LIBOR or the prime rate plus an applicable margin. At January 2, 2010, the rate was 3.25% plus a margin of 4.00%. | 68,000             | -                  |
|   | \$ 68,000          | \$ 90,000          |

## DISCLOSURES OF CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS AND COMMERCIAL COMMITMENTS

The following summarizes the contractual obligations as of January 2, 2010 (in thousands):

| Contractual Obligations           | Payments Due by Period |          |           |         |         |            |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|----------|-----------|---------|---------|------------|
|                                   | Total                  | Current  | 2-3 Years | 4 Years | 5 Years | Thereafter |
| Long-term debt and capital leases |                        |          |           |         |         |            |
| (1)                               | \$ 79,045              | \$ 5,351 | \$ 73,694 | \$ -    | \$ -    | \$ -       |
| Operating leases                  | 2,689                  | 1,511    | 1,034     | 96      | 48      | -          |
|                                   | 1,388                  | 1,388    | -         | -       | -       | -          |

|   |           |          |           |       |       |      |
|---|-----------|----------|-----------|-------|-------|------|
| S u p p l y<br>agreements                           |           |          |           |       |       |      |
| E q u i p m e n t<br>p u r c h a s e<br>commitments | 51        | 51       | -         | -     | -     | -    |
| Total contractual<br>cash obligations               | \$ 83,173 | \$ 8,301 | \$ 74,728 | \$ 96 | \$ 48 | \$ - |

(1) - Includes estimated future interest expense on our long-term debt assuming the weighted average interest rate of 7.25% as of January 2, 2010 does not change.

The amounts reflected in the table above for operating leases represent future minimum lease payments under non-cancelable operating leases with an initial or remaining term in excess of one year at January 2, 2010. Purchase orders entered into in the ordinary course of business are excluded from the above table. Amounts for which we are liable under purchase orders are reflected on our consolidated balance sheet as accounts payable and accrued liabilities.

We are obligated to purchase certain raw materials used in the production of our products from certain suppliers pursuant to stocking programs. If these programs were cancelled by our Company, we would be required to pay \$1.4 million for various materials.

At January 2, 2010, we had \$4.0 million in standby letters of credit related to its worker's compensation insurance coverage.

#### CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

In preparing our consolidated financial statements, we follow U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. These principles require us to make certain estimates and apply judgments that affect our financial position and results of operations. We continually review our accounting policies and financial information disclosures. Following is a summary of our more significant accounting policies that require the use of estimates and judgments in preparing the financial statements.

## Table of Contents

### Revenue recognition

We recognize sales when all of the following criteria have been met: a valid customer order with a fixed price has been received; the product has been delivered and accepted by the customer; and collectibility is reasonably assured. All sales recognized are net of allowances for discounts and estimated returns, which are estimated using historical experience. We record provisions against gross revenues for estimated returns in the period when the related revenue is recorded. These estimates are based on factors that include, but are not limited to, analysis of credit memorandum activity, and customer demand.

### Allowances for doubtful accounts and notes receivable and related reserves

We evaluate the allowances for doubtful accounts and notes receivable based on specific identification of troubled balances and historical collection experience adjusted for current conditions such as the economic climate. Actual collections can differ from our estimates, requiring adjustments to the allowances.

### Goodwill

The impairment evaluation of goodwill is conducted annually, or more frequently, if events or changes in circumstances indicate that an asset might be impaired. The annual goodwill impairment test is a two-step process. First, we determine if the carrying value of our related reporting unit exceeds fair value determined using a discounted cash flow model, which might indicate that goodwill may be impaired. Second, if we determine that goodwill may be impaired, we compare the implied fair value of the goodwill determined by allocating our reporting unit's fair value to all of its assets and liabilities other than goodwill (including any unrecognized intangible assets) to its carrying amount to determine if there is an impairment loss. As of January 2, 2010, and January 3, 2009, we had no goodwill on our consolidated balance sheet.

### Other intangibles

The impairment evaluation of the carrying amount of intangible assets with indefinite lives is conducted annually, or more frequently, if events or changes in circumstances indicate that an asset might be impaired. The evaluation is performed by comparing the carrying amount of these assets to their estimated fair value. If the estimated fair value is less than the carrying amount of the intangible assets with indefinite lives, then an impairment charge is recorded to reduce the asset to its estimated fair value. The estimated fair value is generally determined on the basis of discounted projected cost savings attributable to ownership of the intangible assets with indefinite lives which, for us, are our trademarks. The fair values of trademarks are highly sensitive to differences between estimated and actual cash flows and changes in the related discount rate used. Estimates made by management are subject to change and include such things as future growth assumptions and the rate of projected estimated cost savings, and other factors, changes in which could materially impact the results of the impairment test.

### Long-lived assets

We review long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of such assets may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of long-lived assets to future undiscounted net cash flows expected to be generated, based on management estimates. Estimates made by management are subject to change and include such things as future growth assumptions, operating and capital expenditure requirements, asset useful lives and other factors, changes in which could materially impact the results of the impairment test. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment recognized is the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds the fair value of the assets. Assets to be disposed of are reported at the lower of the carrying amount or fair value less cost to sell, and depreciation is no longer recorded.

## Warranties

We have warranty obligations with respect to most of our manufactured products. Obligations vary by product components. The reserve for warranties is based on our assessment of the costs that will have to be incurred to satisfy warranty obligations on recorded net sales. The reserve is determined after assessing our warranty history and specific identification of our estimated future warranty obligations. Changes to actual warranty claims incurred and interest rates could have a material impact on our estimated warranty obligations.

- 27 -

---

## Table of Contents

### Self-Insurance Reserves

We are primarily self-insured for employee health benefits and workers' compensation. Our workers' compensation reserves are accrued based on third party actuarial valuations of the expected future liabilities. Health benefits are self-insured by us up to pre-determined stop loss limits. These reserves, including incurred but not reported claims, are based on internal computations. These computations consider our historical claims experience, independent statistics, and trends. Changes to actual workers' compensation or health benefit claims incurred and interest rates could have a material impact on our estimated self-insurance reserves.

### Derivative financial instruments

We utilize derivative financial instruments from time-to-time to hedge the exposure to variability in expected future cash flows that is attributable to a particular risk. The effective portion of the gain or loss on a derivative instrument designated and qualifying as a cash flow hedge is reported as a component of other comprehensive income and reclassified into earnings in the same period which the transaction affects earnings. The remaining gain or loss, if any, is recognized in earnings currently.

We enter into aluminum forward contracts to hedge the fluctuations in the purchase price of aluminum extrusion we use in production. These contracts were in an asset position as of January 2, 2010 and are designated as cash flow hedges since they are highly effective in offsetting changes in the cash flows attributable to forecasted purchases of aluminum. We also consider the credit risk of our counter-party in order to measure the fair value of our financial instruments in an asset position.

As of January 2, 2010, we did not have cash on deposit with our commodities broker related to funding of margin calls on open forward contracts for the purchase of aluminum in a liability position. We net cash collateral from payments of margin calls on deposit with our commodities broker against the liability position of open contracts for the purchase of aluminum on a first-in, first-out basis. In 2008 and 2009 we maintained a line of credit with our commodities broker. Beginning in 2010, we no longer maintain a line of credit to cover the liability position of open contracts for the purchase of aluminum in the event that the price of aluminum falls. Should the price of aluminum fall to a level which causes us to switch to a liability position for open aluminum contracts we would be required to fund daily margin calls to cover the excess. We believe this mitigates non-performance risk as it places a limit on the amount of the liability for open contracts such that an impact, if any, on the fair value of the liability due to consideration of non-performance risk would not be significant. We assess our risk of non-performance when measuring the fair value of our financial instruments in a liability position by evaluating our current liquidity including cash on hand and availability under our revolving credit facility as compared to the maturities of the financial liabilities. In addition, we entered into a master netting arrangement (MNA) with our commodities broker that provides for, among other things, the close-out netting of exchange-traded transactions in the event of the insolvency of either party to the MNA.

Our aluminum hedges qualify as highly effective for reporting purposes. Effectiveness of aluminum forward contracts is determined by comparing the change in the fair value of the forward contract to the change in the expected cash to be paid for the hedged item. Aluminum forward contracts identical to those held by us trade on the London Metal Exchange ("LME"). The prices are used by the metals industry worldwide as the basis for contracts for the movement of physical material throughout the production cycle.

### Stock-Based Compensation

We utilize a fair-value based approach for measuring stock-based compensation to recognize the cost of employee services received in exchange for our Company's equity instruments. We record compensation expense over an award's vesting period based on the award's fair value at the date of grant. Our awards vest based only on service conditions

and compensation expense is recognized on a straight-line basis for each separately vesting portion of an award. Share-based compensation expense is recognized only for those awards that are ultimately expected to vest, and we have applied an estimated forfeiture rate to unvested awards for the purpose of calculating compensation cost. These estimates will be revised in future periods if actual forfeitures differ from the estimates. Changes in forfeiture estimates impact compensation cost in the period in which the change in estimate occurs.

- 28 -

---



## Table of Contents

### Income and Other Taxes

We account for income taxes utilizing the liability method. Deferred income taxes are recorded to reflect consequences on future years of differences between financial reporting and the tax basis of assets and liabilities measured using the enacted statutory tax rates and tax laws applicable to the periods in which differences are expected to affect taxable earnings. We have no material liability for unrecognized tax benefits. However, should we accrue for such liabilities when and if they arise in the future we will recognize interest and penalties associated with uncertain tax positions as part of our income tax provision.

In assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets, we consider whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. We consider the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income, and tax planning strategies in making this assessment.

In 2008, we established a valuation allowance with respect to our net deferred tax assets, excluding the deferred tax liability related to trademarks. Driven by the goodwill and other intangible impairment charges recorded in 2008, our cumulative losses over the last three fiscal years, in addition to the significant downturn in our primary industry of home construction, lead us to conclude that sufficient negative evidence exists that it is deemed more likely than not future taxable income will not be sufficient to realize the related income tax benefits. We also established a valuation allowance for net deferred tax assets created in 2009.

Sales taxes collected from customers have been recorded on a net basis.

### RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

See Note 3 in the notes to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8.

### FORWARD OUTLOOK

The following section contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. The forward-looking statements are based on the beliefs and assumptions of management, together with information available to us when the statements were made. Future results could differ materially from those included in such forward-looking statements as a result of, among other things, the factors set forth in Item 1A., "Risk Factors" and certain economic and business factors which may be beyond our control. Investors are cautioned that all forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties.

#### Net sales

During this housing downturn which started in 2007, and the economic credit crisis, which started in 2008, we have experienced sales decreases across most of our product lines both in the new construction and repair and remodeling markets we serve. However, our decrease in sales has been lower than the decrease in single family housing starts over the same period. This is a result of two main factors:

- Our historical ability to outperform the new construction market due to our strong repair and remodel presence.

-

The success of our recent initiatives to grow in vinyl products and in markets outside the state of Florida. In 2009, our out-of-state sales were 19% of total sales, as opposed to less than 10% in 2006. Also we expect our sales of new non-impact as well as impact vinyl products to continue to gain traction in 2010.

Certain agencies that report housing start information project that single family housing starts in the U.S. will be up 10% or more in 2010. However we will continue to operate with a more conservative view until a stable and predictable growth in the marketplace is achieved.

- 29 -

---

## Table of Contents

### Gross margin

We believe the following factors, which are not all inclusive, may impact our gross margin in 2010:

Our gross margin percentages are heavily influenced by total sales due to operating leverage of fixed costs, as well as product mix, due to the fact that our non-impact products carry a lower margin than our impact products.

During the third and fourth quarters of 2008, we entered into forward contracts for the purchase of aluminum as prices fell to levels not seen since 2002. Some of these contracts will mature in 2010. For contracts that mature in 2010, our hedged price of aluminum on average is \$0.94 per pound, which is currently near the cash price for aluminum. However, since we are only approximately 57% covered in 2010, the fluctuation of aluminum prices, up or down, will impact the price we pay for our cash purchases.

The savings generated from cost reduction initiatives implemented throughout 2009, most of which will benefit cost of goods, are designed to improve profitability and lessen the negative effect on operating results of decreasing sales.

### Selling, general and administrative expenses

Planned cost reductions announced throughout 2009, are designed to improve profitability and lessen the effect of decreasing sales. However, certain costs such as diesel fuel can fluctuate greatly at times. If the cost of diesel fuel were to increase again, our selling, general and administrative costs would increase. In addition, economic and credit conditions may significantly impact our bad debt expense. We continue to monitor our customer's credit profiles carefully and make changes in our terms where necessary in response to this heightened risk.

### Interest expense

We prepaid \$22 million in outstanding borrowings during 2009. We believe this decrease in debt levels for the full year of 2010, coupled with the \$15 million repayment made on March 17, 2010 will result in our paying less interest in 2010 than in 2009.

### Liquidity and capital resources

We had \$7.4 million of cash on hand as of January 2, 2010. While we are confident in our ability to continue to generate cash flow in this unprecedented downturn in the housing market and the economy, it is possible that we may use this cash to fund margin calls related to our forward contracts for aluminum if the price of aluminum falls to levels less than our positions. Our credit facility includes a \$25 million revolving credit facility of which \$21.0 million was available as of March 17, 2010.

Management expects to spend nearly \$4.6 million on capital expenditures in 2010, including capital expenditures related to product line expansions targeted at increasing sales. We expect depreciation to be approximately \$9.0 million and amortization to be approximately \$6.0 million in 2010. On January 2, 2010, we had outstanding purchase commitments on capital projects of approximately \$0.1 million.

### Summary

There have been some positive signs in our industry lately, but certain statistics such as housing starts are still at record lows. Single-Family housing starts in Florida continue to stay around 6,000 per quarter, compared to 60,000 during the housing boom and a realistic average of 25,000 based on Florida population. Other economic indicators

such as unemployment will hamper the rate of growth for the immediate future. We are currently staffed appropriately for our sales levels, and accordingly, we are cautiously optimistic about 2010.

- 30 -

---

Table of Contents

Item 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We experience changes in interest expense when market interest rates change. We are exposed to changes in LIBOR or the base rate of our credit facility's administrative agent. We do not currently use interest rate swaps, caps or futures contracts to mitigate this risk. Changes in our debt could also increase these risks. Based on debt outstanding at January 2, 2010, a 1% increase in interest rates would result in approximately \$0.7 million of additional interest expense annually.

We utilize derivative financial instruments to hedge price movements in our aluminum materials. We are exposed to changes in the price of aluminum as set by the trades on the London Metal Exchange. We have entered into aluminum hedging instruments that settle at various times through the end of 2010 that cover approximately 57% of our anticipated needs during 2010 at an average price of \$0.94 per pound. Short-term changes in the cost of aluminum, which can be significant, are sometimes passed on to our customers through price increases, however, there can be no guarantee that we will be able to continue to pass on such price increases to our customers or that price increases will not negatively impact sales volume, thereby adversely impacting operating margins.

For forward contracts for the purchase of aluminum at January 2, 2010, a 10% decrease in the price of aluminum would decrease the fair value of our forward contracts of aluminum by \$0.6 million.

Table of Contents

Item 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

|   |    |
|---|----|
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>  | 33 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended January 2, 2010, January 3, 2009 and December 29, 2007</u>           | 34 |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of January 2, 2010 and January 3, 2009</u>  | 35 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended January 2, 2010, January 3, 2009 and December 29, 2007</u>           | 36 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the years ended January 2, 2010, January 3, 2009 and December 29, 2007</u> | 37 |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>   | 38 |

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Shareholders of  
PGT, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of PGT, Inc. as of January 2, 2010 and January 3, 2009, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for the years ended January 2, 2010, January 3, 2009 and December 29, 2007. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of PGT, Inc. at January 2, 2010 and January 3, 2009, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years ended January 2, 2010, January 3, 2009 and December 29, 2007, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), PGT, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of January 2, 2010, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated March 18, 2010 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP

Certified Public Accountants  
Tampa, Florida  
March 18, 2010





Table of Contents

PGT, INC.  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS  
(in thousands, except per share amounts)

|  | Year Ended         |                    |                         |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
|  | January 2,<br>2010 | January 3,<br>2009 | December<br>29,<br>2007 |
| Net sales                                    | \$ 166,000         | \$ 218,556         | \$ 278,394              |
| Cost of sales                                | 121,622            | 150,277            | 187,389                 |
| Gross margin                                 | 44,378             | 68,279             | 91,005                  |
| Impairment charges                           | 742                | 187,748            | 826                     |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 51,902             | 63,109             | 77,004                  |
| (Loss) income from operations                | (8,266 )           | (182,578)          | 13,175                  |
| Interest expense, net                        | 6,698              | 9,283              | 11,404                  |
| Other expense (income), net                  | 37                 | (40 )              | 692                     |
| (Loss) income before income taxes            | (15,001 )          | (191,821)          | 1,079                   |
| Income tax (benefit) expense                 | (5,584 )           | (28,789 )          | 456                     |
| Net (loss) income                            | \$ (9,417 )        | \$ (163,032)       | \$ 623                  |
| Net (loss) income per common share:          |                    |                    |                         |
| Basic  | \$ (0.26 )         | \$ (5.08 )         | \$ 0.02                 |
| Diluted                                      | \$ (0.26 )         | \$ (5.08 )         | \$ 0.02                 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding:         |                    |                    |                         |
| Basic  | 36,451             | 32,104             | 29,247                  |
| Diluted                                      | 36,451             | 32,104             | 30,212                  |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.



Table of Contents

PGT, INC.  
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS  
(in thousands, except per share amounts)

|  | January 2,<br>2010 | January 3,<br>2009 |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|
| <b>ASSETS</b>  |                    |                    |
| Current assets:  |                    |                    |
| Cash and cash equivalents  | \$ 7,417           | \$ 19,628          |
| Accounts receivable, net   | 14,213             | 17,321             |
| Inventories  | 9,874              | 9,441              |
| Deferred income taxes, net   | 622                | 1,158              |
| Income tax receivable  | 3,782              | 1,074              |
| Other current assets   | 4,078              | 4,868              |
| Total current assets   | 39,986             | 53,490             |
| Property, plant and equipment, net   | 65,104             | 73,505             |
| Other intangible assets, net   | 67,522             | 72,678             |
| Other assets, net  | 1,018              | 944                |
| Total assets   | \$ 173,630         | \$ 200,617         |
| <b>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>  |                    |                    |
| Current liabilities:   |                    |                    |
| Accounts payable   | \$ 6,759           | \$ 5,730           |
| Accrued liabilities  | 9,848              | 8,852              |
| Current portion of long-term debt and capital lease obligations                        | 105                | 330                |
| Total current liabilities  | 16,712             | 14,912             |
| Long-term debt and capital lease obligations   | 68,163             | 90,036             |
| Deferred income taxes  | 17,937             | 18,473             |
| Other liabilities  | 2,609              | 3,011              |
| Total liabilities  | 105,421            | 126,432            |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 13)  | -                  | -                  |
| Shareholders' equity:  |                    |                    |
| Preferred stock; par value \$.01 per share; 10,000 shares authorized; none outstanding | -                  | -                  |

Edgar Filing: PGT, Inc. - Form 10-K

Common stock; par value \$.01 per share; 200,000  
shares authorized; 35,672 and

|   |            |            |
|---|------------|------------|
| 35,392 shares issued and 35,303 and 35,197 shares<br>outstanding at |            |            |
| January 2, 2010 and January 3, 2009, respectively                   | 353        | 352        |
| Additional paid-in-capital  | 241,682    | 241,177    |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss                                | (1,031 )   | (3,966 )   |
| Accumulated deficit   | (172,795)  | (163,378)  |
| <br>  |            |            |
| Total shareholders' equity  | 68,209     | 74,185     |
| <br>  |            |            |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity                          | \$ 173,630 | \$ 200,617 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

PGT, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
(in thousands)

|  | Year Ended         |                    |                         |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
|  | January 2,<br>2010 | January 3,<br>2009 | December<br>29,<br>2007 |
| Cash flows from operating activities:  |                    |                    |                         |
| Net (loss) income  | \$ (9,417 )        | \$ (163,032)       | \$ 623                  |
| Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to net cash provided by operating activities: |                    |                    |                         |
| Depreciation   | 10,435             | 11,518             | 10,418                  |
| Amortization   | 5,731              | 5,570              | 5,570                   |
| Provision for allowances of doubtful accounts  | 1,722              | 1,526              | (46 )                   |
| Stock-based compensation   | 518                | 798                | 1,479                   |
| Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation plans                                  | -                  | -                  | (1,762 )                |
| Amortization and write-offs of deferred financing costs                                  | 561                | 724                | 724                     |
| Derivative financial instruments   | -                  | (40 )              | 692                     |
| Deferred income taxes  | (1,813 )           | (27,929 )          | (1,423 )                |
| Impairment charges   | 742                | 187,748            | 826                     |
| Loss on disposal of assets   | 98                 | 22                 | 226                     |
| Change in operating assets and liabilities:  |                    |                    |                         |
| Accounts receivable  | 3,011              | 4,304              | 4,647                   |
| Inventories  | (351 )             | (218 )             | 1,874                   |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets  | (2,973 )           | (711 )             | 4,035                   |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities   | 1,240              | (408 )             | (3,063 )                |
| Net cash provided by operating activities  | 9,504              | 19,872             | 24,820                  |
| Cash flows from investing activities:  |                    |                    |                         |
| Purchases of property, plant and equipment   | (2,330 )           | (4,485 )           | (10,569)                |
| Acquisition of business  | (1,452 )           | -                  | -                       |
| Net change in margin account for derivative financial instruments                        | 4,098              | (4,098 )           | -                       |
| Proceeds from sales of equipment   | 79                 | 58                 | 43                      |

|  |             |             |           |
|--|-------------|-------------|-----------|
| Net cash provided by/(used in) investing activities          | 395         | (8,525 )    | (10,526)  |
| Cash flows from financing activities:                        |             |             |           |
| Payments of long-term debt                                   | (22,000)    | (40,000 )   | (35,488)  |
| Payments of financing costs                                  | -           | (634 )      | -         |
| Payments of capital leases                                   | (98 )       | (55 )       | -         |
| Purchases of treasury stock                                  | (6 )        | -           | -         |
| Adjustment to and net proceeds from issuance of common stock | (6 )        | 29,281      | -         |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options                      | -           | 210         | 1,930     |
| Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation plans      | -           | -           | 1,762     |
| Net cash used in financing activities                        | (22,110)    | (11,198 )   | (31,796)  |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents         | (12,211)    | 149         | (17,502)  |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period             | 19,628      | 19,479      | 36,981    |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period                   | \$ 7,417    | \$ 19,628   | \$ 19,479 |
| Supplemental cash flow information:                          |             |             |           |
| Interest paid  | \$ 6,224    | \$ 9,102    | \$ 12,034 |
| Income taxes (refunded) paid                                 | \$ (1,062 ) | \$ (3,270 ) | \$ 2,798  |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

PGT, INC.  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY  
(in thousands except share amounts)

|  | Common stock<br>Shares | Amount | Additional<br>Paid-in<br>Capital<br>Net of<br>Treasury<br>Stock | Accumulated<br>Deficit | Accumulated<br>Other<br>Comprehensive<br>Income<br>(Loss) | Total      |
|--|------------------------|--------|---|------------------------|---|------------|
| Balance at December 30, 2006   | 26,999,051             | \$ 270 | \$ 205,799  | \$ (969)               | \$ 106  | \$ 205,206 |
| Exercise of stock options, including tax benefit of \$1,762 from the exercise of stock options | 609,837                | 6      | 3,686   |                        |   | 3,692      |
| Vesting of restricted stock  | 11,208                 |        |   |                        |   |            |
| Stock-based compensation   |                        |        | 1,479   |                        |   | 1,479      |
| Comprehensive income, net of tax effect:   |                        |        |   |                        |   |            |
| Amortization of ineffective interest rate swap   |                        |        |   |                        | (159 )  | (159 )     |
| Change related to interest rate swap   |                        |        |   |                        | 8   | 8          |
| Change related to aluminum forward contracts   |                        |        |   |                        | (377 )  | (377 )     |
| Net income   |                        |        |   | 623                    |   | 623        |
| Total comprehensive income   |                        |        |   |                        |   | 95         |
| Balance at December 29, 2007   | 27,620,096             | \$ 276 | \$ 210,964  | \$ (346)               | \$ (422 )   | \$ 210,472 |
| Exercise of stock options,   |                        |        |   |                        |   |            |

Edgar Filing: PGT, Inc. - Form 10-K

|                              |            |        |            |              |             |           |
|------------------------------|------------|--------|------------|--------------|-------------|-----------|
| including                    |            |        |            |              |             |           |
| tax benefit of \$0           |            |        |            |              |             |           |
| from the                     |            |        |            |              |             |           |
| exercise of stock            |            |        |            |              |             |           |
| options                      | 479,417    | 5      | 205        |              |             | 210       |
| Vesting of                   |            |        |            |              |             |           |
| restricted stock             | 15,149     |        |            |              |             |           |
| Stock-based                  |            |        |            |              |             |           |
| compensation                 |            |        | 798        |              |             | 798       |
| Issuance of                  |            |        |            |              |             |           |
| common stock                 | 7,082,687  | 71     | 29,210     |              |             | 29,281    |
| Comprehensive                |            |        |            |              |             |           |
| loss, net of tax             |            |        |            |              |             |           |
| effect:                      |            |        |            |              |             |           |
| Change related               |            |        |            |              |             |           |
| to interest rate             |            |        |            |              |             |           |
| swap                         |            |        |            | 74           |             | 74        |
| Change related               |            |        |            |              |             |           |
| to aluminum                  |            |        |            |              |             |           |
| forward                      |            |        |            |              |             |           |
| contracts                    |            |        |            | (3,618 )     |             | (3,618 )  |
| Net loss                     |            |        |            | (163,032)    |             | (163,032) |
| Total                        |            |        |            |              |             |           |
| comprehensive                |            |        |            |              |             |           |
| loss                         |            |        |            |              |             | (166,576) |
| Balance at                   |            |        |            |              |             |           |
| January 3, 2009              | 35,197,349 | \$ 352 | \$ 241,177 | \$ (163,378) | \$ (3,966 ) | \$ 74,185 |
| Vesting of                   |            |        |            |              |             |           |
| restricted stock             | 108,694    | 1      | (1)        |              |             | -         |
| Acquisition of               |            |        |            |              |             |           |
| treasury stock               | (3,339)    |        | (6)        |              |             | (6 )      |
| Stock-based                  |            |        |            |              |             |           |
| compensation                 |            |        | 518        |              |             | 518       |
| Rights offering              |            |        |            |              |             |           |
| costs                        |            |        | (6)        |              |             | (6 )      |
| Comprehensive income, net of |            |        |            |              |             |           |
| tax effect:                  |            |        |            |              |             |           |
| Change related               |            |        |            |              |             |           |
| to aluminum                  |            |        |            |              |             |           |
| forward                      |            |        |            |              |             |           |
| contracts                    |            |        |            | 2,935        |             | 2,935     |
| Net loss                     |            |        |            | (9,417)      |             | (9,417 )  |
| Total                        |            |        |            |              |             |           |
| comprehensive                |            |        |            |              |             |           |
| loss                         |            |        |            |              |             | (6,482 )  |
| Balance at                   |            |        |            |              |             |           |
| January 2, 2010              | 35,302,704 | \$ 353 | \$ 241,682 | \$ (172,795) | \$ (1,031 ) | \$ 68,209 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.





Table of Contents

PGT, INC.  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Description of Business

PGT, Inc. (“PGTI” or the “Company”) is a leading manufacturer of impact-resistant aluminum and vinyl-framed windows and doors and offers a broad range of fully customizable window and door products. The majority of our sales are to customers in the state of Florida; however, we also sell products in over 40 states, the Caribbean and in South and Central America. Products are sold through an authorized dealer and distributor network, which we have approved.

We were incorporated in the state of Delaware on December 16, 2003, as JLL Window Holdings, Inc. On February 15, 2006, our Company was renamed PGT, Inc. On January 29, 2004, we acquired 100% of the outstanding stock of PGT Holding Company, based in North Venice, Florida. We have one manufacturing operation and one glass tempering and laminating plant in North Venice, Florida with an additional manufacturing operation located in Salisbury, North Carolina.

All references to PGTI or our Company apply to the consolidated financial statements of PGT, Inc. unless otherwise noted.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. (“GAAP”). In June 2009, the FASB announced that the FASB Accounting Standards Codification (“Codification”) was the new source of GAAP recognized by the FASB for nongovernmental entities.

Fiscal period

Our fiscal year consists of 52 or 53 weeks ending on the Saturday nearest December 31 of the related year. The periods ended January 2, 2010 and December 29, 2007 consisted of 52 weeks. The period ended January 3, 2009 consisted of 53 weeks.

Principles of consolidation

The consolidated financial statements present the results of the operations, financial position and cash flows of PGTI and its wholly owned subsidiary. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Segment information

We operate as one operating segment, the manufacture and sale of windows and doors.

Use of estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Critical accounting estimates involved in applying our accounting policies are those that require management to make assumptions about matters that are uncertain at the time the accounting estimate is made and those for which different estimates reasonably could have been used for the current period. Critical accounting estimates are also those which are reasonably likely to change from period to period and would have a material impact on the presentation of PGTI's financial condition, changes in financial condition or results of operations. Actual results could materially differ from those estimates.

#### Revenue recognition

PGTI recognizes revenue when a valid customer order with a fixed price has been received, the product has been delivered and accepted by the customer and collectibility is reasonably assured. Revenues are recognized net of allowances for discounts and estimated returns, which are estimated using historical experience.

#### Cost of sales

Cost of sales represents costs directly related to the production of our products. Primary costs include raw materials, direct labor, and manufacturing overhead. Manufacturing overhead and related expenses primarily include salaries, wages, employee benefits, utilities, maintenance, engineering and property taxes.

In the first quarter of 2009, we entered into a contract to continue manufacturing windows and doors for a large multi-story condominium project in Southeast Florida. At the time the contract was executed, the initial project was approximately 35% complete. We recorded an estimated loss on this contract of \$0.9 million in the first quarter of 2009 in cost of goods sold, and the corresponding liability is shown in accrued liabilities on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets at January 2, 2010. In connection with this project, we received a credit against the purchase of materials in the amount of \$0.8 million from an aluminum supplier which was also recorded in cost of goods sold in the first quarter of 2009. As of January 2, 2010, there is \$0.5 million remaining as a receivable for these credits and is shown in other current assets on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

In 2008, we recognized a business interruption insurance recovery of \$0.7 million, classified as a reduction of cost of goods sold in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations for the year ended January 3, 2009, of incremental expenses we incurred relating to a November 2005 fire that idled a major laminated glass manufacturing asset and which required us to purchase laminated glass from an outside vendor at a price exceeding our cost to manufacture. Such amount is included in other current assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet at January 3, 2009 and was received in cash shortly thereafter.

#### Shipping and handling costs

Shipping and handling costs incurred in the purchase of materials used in the manufacturing process are included in cost of sales. Costs relating to shipping and handling of our finished products are included in selling, general and administrative expenses and total \$13.0 million, \$17.7 million, and \$17.3 million for the years ended January 2, 2010, January 3, 2009 and December 29, 2007, respectively.

#### Advertising

We expense advertising costs as incurred. Advertising expense included in selling, general and administrative expenses were \$0.3 million, \$0.6 million and \$1.8 million for the years ended January 2, 2010, January 3, 2009 and December 29, 2007, respectively.

#### Research and development costs

We expense research and development costs as incurred. Research and development costs included in overhead expenses were \$1.4 million, \$1.4 million and \$2.2 million for the years ended January 2, 2010, January 3, 2009 and December 29, 2007, respectively.

#### Cash and cash equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents consist of cash on hand or highly liquid investments with an original maturity date of three months or less.

- 39 -

---

Table of Contents

## Accounts and notes receivable and allowance for doubtful accounts

We extend credit to qualified dealers and distributors, generally on a non-collateralized basis. Accounts receivable are recorded at their gross receivable amount, reduced by an allowance for doubtful accounts that results in the receivable being recorded at its net realizable value. The allowance for doubtful accounts is based on management's assessment of the amount which may become uncollectible in the future and is determined through consideration of Company write-off history, specific identification of uncollectible accounts based in part on the customer's past due balance (based on contractual terms), and consideration of prevailing economic and industry conditions. Uncollectible accounts are written off after repeated attempts to collect from the customer have been unsuccessful.

Accounts receivable consist of the following:

|                                       | January 2,<br>2010 | January 3,<br>2009 |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
|                                       | (in thousands)     |                    |
| Accounts receivable                   | \$ 15,678          | \$ 18,545          |
| Less: Allowance for doubtful accounts | (1,465 )           | (1,224 )           |
|                                       | \$ 14,213          | \$ 17,321          |

|                                 | Balance<br>at<br>Beginning | Costs and<br>expenses | Deductions(1) | Balance at<br>End of<br>Period |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|--------------------------------|
|                                 | of Period                  | (in thousands)        |               |                                |
| Allowance for Doubtful Accounts |                            |                       |               |                                |
| Year ended January 2, 2010      | \$ 1,224                   | \$ 1,332              | \$ (1,091 )   | \$ 1,465                       |
| Year ended January 3, 2009      | \$ 416                     | \$ 1,244              | \$ (436 )     | \$ 1,224                       |
| Year ended December 29, 2007    | \$ 943                     | \$ (160 )             | \$ (367 )     | \$ 416                         |

(1) Represents uncollectible accounts charged against the allowance for doubtful accounts.

As of January 2, 2010 there were \$1.0 million and as of January 3, 2009 there were \$1.1 million of trade notes receivable for which there was an allowance of \$0.5 million and \$0.2 million, respectively, included in other current assets and other assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet.

## Self-Insurance Reserves

We are primarily self-insured for employee health benefits and workers' compensation. Our workers' compensation reserves are accrued based on third party actuarial valuations of the expected future liabilities. Health benefits are self-insured by us up to pre-determined stop loss limits. These reserves, including incurred but not reported claims, are based on internal computations. These computations consider our historical claims experience, independent statistics, and trends.

Warranty expense

We have warranty obligations with respect to most of our manufactured products. Warranty periods, which vary by product components, range from 1 to 10 years. However, the majority of the products sold have warranties on components which range from 1 to 3 years. The reserve for warranties is based on management's assessment of the cost per service call and the number of service calls expected to be incurred to satisfy warranty obligations on recorded net sales. The reserve is determined after assessing Company history and specific identification. Expected future obligations are discounted to a current value using a risk-free rate for obligations with similar maturities which, as of January 2, 2010 and January 3, 2009 was 3.85% and 2.50%, respectively. The undiscounted aggregate of accrued warranty at January 2, 2010 and January 3, 2009 is \$4.3 million and \$4.4 million, respectively. In 2007, we refined our warranty calculations by adding certain data inputs to better reflect the decrease in sales. This change resulted in a decrease in our estimated warranty obligations as of December 2, 2007 of \$0.5 million, which had an approximate \$0.3 million effect on net income, or \$0.01 per diluted share. The following provides information with respect to our warranty accrual.

Table of Contents

| Accrued<br>Warranty                | Beginning of<br>Period | Charged<br>to<br>Expense | Adjustments<br>(in thousands) | Settlements | End of<br>Period |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|------------------|
| Year ended<br>January 2, 2010      | \$ 4,224               | \$ 2,490                 | \$ 21                         | \$ (2,694)  | \$ 4,041         |
| Year ended<br>January 3, 2009      | \$ 4,986               | \$ 3,278                 | \$ (575)                      | \$ (3,465)  | \$ 4,224         |
| Year ended<br>December 29,<br>2007 | \$ 4,934               | \$ 5,568                 | \$ (409)                      | \$ (5,107)  | \$ 4,986         |

## Inventories

Inventories consist principally of raw materials purchased for the manufacture of our products. PGTI has limited finished goods inventory as all products are custom, made-to-order products. Finished goods inventory costs include direct materials, direct labor, and overhead. All inventories are stated at the lower of cost (first-in, first-out method) or market. The reserve for obsolescence is based on management's assessment of the amount of inventory that may become obsolete in the future and is determined through Company history, specific identification and consideration of prevailing economic and industry conditions.

Inventories consist of the following:

|                  | January 2,<br>2010 | January 3,<br>2009 |
|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
|                  | (in thousands)     |                    |
| Finished goods   | \$ 954             | \$ 905             |
| Work in progress | 259                | 342                |
| Raw materials    | 8,661              | 8,194              |
|                  | \$ 9,874           | \$ 9,441           |

## Property, plant and equipment

Property, plant and equipment are recorded at cost and depreciated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the related assets. Depreciable assets are assigned estimated lives as follows:

|                           |               |
|---------------------------|---------------|
| Building and improvements | 5 to 40 years |
| Furniture and equipment   | 3 to 10 years |
| Vehicles                  | 3 to 10 years |
| Computer software         | 3 years       |



Maintenance and repair expenditures are charged to expense as incurred. During the second quarter of 2008, the Company entered into capital leases totaling approximately \$0.4 million for the acquisition of equipment representing a non-cash financing and investing activity. Amortization of assets under capital leases is included in depreciation expense and was not material in 2009 or in 2008.

#### Long-lived assets

We review long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of such assets may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of long-lived assets to future undiscounted net cash flows expected to be generated. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment recognized is the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds the fair value of the assets. Assets to be disposed of are reported at the lower of the carrying amount or fair value less cost to sell, and depreciation is no longer recorded.

We recorded an impairment charge of \$0.8 million in 2007 to adjust the carrying value of the Lexington, North Carolina manufacturing facility to its estimated fair value. In December 2009, we recorded an additional impairment charge of \$0.7 million to reflect a further decline in the market value for this property. The cost basis for depreciation purposes is the carrying value of \$0.7 million and is classified within property, plant and equipment, net, in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets as of January 2, 2010. Subsequent to January 2, 2010 we entered an agreement to list the property for sale with an agent, although as a result of the current market conditions, the expected time of disposal cannot be estimated.

Table of Contents

We determined the fair value of the Lexington property by obtaining recommendations of value from various local real estate agents and by reviewing data from comparable sales and leases executed in the recent past. We categorize this property as being fair valued using Level 2 inputs as follows:

| Description        | Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date of Asset (Liability) Using: |   |   |   |
|--------------------|---|---|---|---|
|                    | January 2, 2010   | Quoted Prices in Active Markets (Level 1)<br>(in thousands) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| Lexington Property | \$ 700  | \$ -  | \$ 700  | \$ -                                      |

## Computer software

We capitalize costs associated with software developed or obtained for internal use when both the preliminary project stage is completed and it is probable that computer software being developed will be completed and placed in service. Capitalized costs include:

- (i) external direct costs of materials and services consumed in developing or obtaining computer software,
- (ii) payroll and other related costs for employees who are directly associated with and who devote time to the software project, and
- (iii) interest costs incurred, when material, while developing internal-use software.

Capitalization of such costs ceases no later than the point at which the project is substantially complete and ready for its intended purpose.

Capitalized software as of January 2, 2010 and January 3, 2009 was \$11.4 million and \$10.6 million, respectively. Accumulated depreciation of capitalized software was \$10.0 million and \$9.0 million as of January 2, 2010 and January 3, 2009, respectively.

Depreciation expense for capitalized software was \$1.1 million, \$1.1 million and \$1.0 million for the years ended January 2, 2010, January 3, 2009 and December 29, 2007, respectively.

We review the carrying value of software and development costs for impairment in accordance with our policy pertaining to the impairment of long-lived assets.

## Goodwill

At the present time, we do not have goodwill on our balance sheet. During the year ended January 3, 2009, the goodwill was found to be fully impaired, and written off accordingly. See Note 7.

Our policy, for goodwill in the past or in the future should any be recorded, is to evaluate impairment of goodwill annually, or more frequently, if events or changes in circumstances indicate that an asset might be impaired. The annual goodwill impairment test is a two-step process. First, we will determine whether the carrying value of our related reporting unit exceeds fair value determined using a discounted cash flow model, which might indicate that goodwill may be impaired. Second, if we determine that goodwill may be impaired, we will compare the implied fair value of the goodwill determined by allocating our single reporting unit's fair value to all of its assets and liabilities other than goodwill (including any unrecognized intangible assets) to its carrying amount to determine if there is an impairment loss.

- 42 -

---

Table of Contents

## Other intangibles

Other intangible assets consist of trademarks and customer-related intangible assets. The useful lives of trademarks were determined to be indefinite and, therefore, these assets are not being amortized. Customer-related intangible assets are being amortized over their estimated useful lives of ten years. The impairment evaluation of intangible assets with indefinite lives is conducted annually, or more frequently, if events or changes in circumstances indicate that an asset might be impaired. The evaluation is performed by comparing the carrying amount of these assets to their estimated fair value. If the estimated fair value is less than the carrying amount of the indefinite-lived intangible assets, then an impairment charge is recorded to reduce the asset to its estimated fair value. The estimated fair value is generally determined on the basis of discounted future projected cost savings attributable to ownership of the intangible assets with indefinite lives which, for us, are our trademarks. See Note 7.

The assumptions used in the estimate of fair value are generally consistent with past performance and are also consistent with the projections and assumptions that are used in our current operating plans. Such assumptions are subject to change as a result of changing economic and competitive conditions.

The determination of fair value used in that assessment is highly sensitive to differences between estimated and actual cash flows and changes in the related discount rate used to evaluate fair value. Estimated cash flows are sensitive to changes in the Florida housing market and changes in the economy among other things.

## Deferred financing costs

Deferred financing costs are amortized using the effective interest method over the life of the debt instrument to which they relate. Unamortized deferred financing costs, included in other assets, net, on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets, totaled \$0.6 million at January 2, 2010 and \$1.2 million at January 3, 2009. In the year ended January 3, 2009, an additional \$0.6 million of financing costs were deferred and are being amortized related to an amendment of our credit facility (Note 9). Amortization of deferred financing costs is included in interest expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. There was \$0.6 million of amortization for the year ended January 2, 2010 and \$0.7 for the years ended January 3, 2009 and December 29, 2007. These amounts include write-offs of deferred financing costs related to the prepayments of portions of our long-term debt during (Note 9) in the amounts of \$0.2 million and \$0.3 million, for the years ended January 2, 2010 and January 3, 2009, respectively. There was \$11.4 million and \$10.8 million in accumulated amortization related to these costs at January 2, 2010 and January 3, 2009, respectively.

Estimated amortization of deferred financing costs is as follows for future fiscal years:

|              | (in<br>thousands) |
|--------------|-------------------|
| 2010         | \$280             |
| 2011         | 279               |
| 2012         | 33                |
| <b>Total</b> | <b>\$592</b>      |

## Derivative financial instruments

We utilize certain derivative instruments, from time to time, including forward contracts and interest rate swaps to manage variability in cash flow associated with commodity market price risk exposure in the aluminum market and

interest rates. We do not enter into derivatives for speculative purposes. Additional information with regard to derivative instruments is contained in Note 11.

We account for derivative instruments in accordance with the guidance under the Derivatives and Hedging topic of the Codification which requires us to recognize all of its derivative instruments as either assets or liabilities in the consolidated balance sheet at fair value. The accounting for changes in the fair value (i.e., gains or losses) of a derivative instrument depends on whether it has been designated and qualifies as part of a hedging relationship based on its effectiveness in hedging against the exposure and further, on the type of hedging relationship. For those derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as hedging instruments, we must designate the hedging instrument, based upon the exposure being hedged, as a fair value hedge or a cash flow hedge.

- 43 -

---

## Table of Contents

Our forward contracts are designated and accounted for as cash flow hedges (i.e., hedging the exposure to variability in expected future cash flows that is attributable to a particular risk). The Derivatives and Hedging topic of the Codification provides that the effective portion of the gain or loss on a derivative instrument designated and qualifying as a cash flow hedging instrument be reported as a component of other comprehensive income and be reclassified into earnings in the same line item in the income statement as the hedged item in the same period or periods during which the transaction affects earnings. The ineffective portion of the gain or loss on these derivative instruments, if any, is recognized in other income/expense in current earnings during the period of change.

For derivative instruments not designated as hedging instruments, the gain or loss is recognized in other income/expense in current earnings during the period of change. When a cash flow hedge is terminated, if the forecasted hedged transaction is still probable of occurrence, amounts previously recorded in other comprehensive income remain in other comprehensive income and are recognized in earnings in the period in which the hedged transaction affects earnings.

As of January 2, 2010, we did not have cash on deposit with our commodities broker related to funding of margin calls on open forward contracts for the purchase of aluminum since we were in a net asset position. The net asset position of \$0.5 million on January 2, 2010 is included in other current assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of that date as it relates to open contracts with scheduled prompt dates in 2010.

As of January 3, 2009, we had \$4.1 million of cash on deposit with our commodities broker related to funding of margin calls on open forward contracts for the purchase of aluminum in a liability position. We net cash collateral from payments of margin calls on deposit with our commodities broker against the liability position of open contracts for the purchase of aluminum on a first-in, first-out basis. The net liability position of \$0.1 million on January 3, 2009 is included in other liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of that date as it relates to open contracts with scheduled prompt dates in November and December 2010. For statement of cash flows presentation, we present net cash receipts from and payments to the margin account as investing activities.

### Financial instruments

Our financial instruments, not including derivative financial instruments discussed in Note 11, include cash, accounts and notes receivable, and accounts payable whose carrying amounts approximate their fair values due to their short-term nature. Our financial instruments also include long-term debt. Based on bid prices for prices for our debt, the fair value of our long-term debt was approximately \$51 million at January 2, 2010 and \$63 million at January 3, 2009, compared to a carrying value of \$68 million and \$90 million at January 2, 2010 and January 3, 2009, respectively.

### Concentrations of credit risk

Financial instruments, which potentially subject us to concentrations of credit risk, consist principally of cash and cash equivalents and trade accounts receivable. Accounts receivable are due primarily from companies in the construction industry located in Florida and the eastern half of the United States. Credit is extended based on an evaluation of the customer's financial condition and credit history, and generally collateral is not required.

We maintain our cash with a single financial institution. The balance exceeds federally insured limits. At January 2, 2010 and January 3, 2009, such balance exceeded the insured limit by \$7.2 million and \$19.3 million, respectively.

### Comprehensive income (loss)

Comprehensive income (loss) is reported on the consolidated statements of shareholders' equity and accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) is reported on the consolidated balance sheets and the statement of shareholders' equity.

Gains and losses on cash flow hedges, to the extent effective, are included in other comprehensive income (loss). Reclassification adjustments reflecting such gains and losses are ratably recorded in income in the same period as the hedged items affect earnings. Additional information with regard to accounting policies associated with derivative instruments is contained in Note 11.

- 44 -

---

Table of Contents

## Stock compensation

We use a fair-value based approach for measuring stock-based compensation and, therefore, record compensation expense over an award's vesting period based on the award's fair value at the date of grant. Our Company's awards vest based only on service conditions and compensation expense is recognized on a straight-line basis for each separately vesting portion of an award. We recorded compensation expense for stock based awards of \$0.5 million before income tax, or \$0.01 per diluted share after-tax effect, \$0.8 million before tax, or \$0.03 per diluted share after-tax effect and \$1.5 million before income tax, or \$0.03 per diluted share after-tax effect, in the years ended January 2, 2010, January 3, 2009 and December 29, 2007, respectively.

## Income and other taxes

We account for income taxes utilizing the liability method. Deferred income taxes are recorded to reflect consequences on future years of differences between financial reporting and the tax basis of assets and liabilities measured using the enacted statutory tax rates and tax laws applicable to the periods in which differences are expected to affect taxable earnings. We have no material liability for unrecognized tax benefits. However, should we accrue for such liabilities when and if they arise in the future we will recognize interest and penalties associated with uncertain tax positions as part of our income tax provision.

Sales taxes collected from customers have been recorded on a net basis.

## Net income (loss) per common share

We present basic and diluted earnings per share. Basic earnings per share is computed using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share is computed using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period, plus the dilutive effect of common stock equivalents. In June 2008, new accounting guidance was issued related to share-based awards that qualify as participating securities. In accordance with this guidance, unvested share-based payment awards that include non-forfeitable rights to dividends, whether paid or unpaid, are considered participating securities. As a result, such awards are required to be included in the calculation of basic earnings per common share pursuant to the "two-class" method. Participating securities are comprised of unvested restricted stock awards, and prior to the application of this guidance, they were excluded from weighted average common shares outstanding in the calculation of basic earnings per share.

In accordance with the new guidance, the basic and diluted earnings per share amounts have been retroactively adjusted for all periods presented to include outstanding unvested restricted stock in the calculation of basic weighted average shares outstanding.

Our weighted average shares outstanding excludes underlying options of 1.2 million, 1.6 million and 2.0 million for the years ended January 2, 2010, January 3, 2009 and December 29, 2007, respectively, because their effects were anti-dilutive. Weighted average shares in all periods shown below have been restated to give effect to the bonus element in the 2010 rights offering. Additionally, periods prior to fiscal 2008 have been restated to give effect to the bonus element in the 2008 rights offering. The table below presents the calculation of basic and diluted earnings per share, including a reconciliation of weighted average common shares:

|  | Year Ended         |                    |                         |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
|  | January 2,<br>2010 | January 3,<br>2009 | December<br>29,<br>2007 |



Edgar Filing: PGT, Inc. - Form 10-K

(in thousands, except per share amounts)

|  |             |              |         |
|--|-------------|--------------|---------|
| Numerator:                                       |             |              |         |
| Net (loss) income                                | \$ (9,417 ) | \$ (163,032) | \$ 623  |
| Denominator:                                     |             |              |         |
| Weighted-average common shares - Basic           | 36,451      | 32,104       | 29,247  |
| Add: Dilutive effect of stock compensation plans | -           | -            | 965     |
| Weighted-average common shares - Diluted         | 36,451      | 32,104       | 30,212  |
| Net (loss) income per common share:              |             |              |         |
| Basic  | \$ (0.26 )  | \$ (5.08 )   | \$ 0.02 |
| Diluted  | \$ (0.26 )  | \$ (5.08 )   | \$ 0.02 |

- 45 -

---

## Table of Contents

### Reclassification

A restructuring charge of \$1.7 million, which in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 29, 2007 was reported as a separate line item in the consolidated statement of operations for that year, has been reclassified to selling, general and administrative expenses in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations for the same period because a discussion of the classification of the 2007 restructuring charge is included in Note 4.

Certain other immaterial amounts have been reclassified in prior years to conform to current year presentation.

### 3. Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

The guidance under the Business Combinations topic of the Codification was issued in December 2007. The guidance establishes principles and requirements for how the acquirer of a business recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, and any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree. It also provides guidance for recognizing and measuring the goodwill acquired in the business combination and determines what information to disclose to enable users of the financial statements to evaluate the nature and financial effects of the business combination. The guidance was effective for us in our fiscal year beginning January 4, 2009. We applied the provisions of the guidance to a recent acquisition and will apply the provisions to future acquisitions, if any.

In March 2008, the FASB issued guidance under the Derivatives and Hedging topic of the Codification. The guidance requires entities that utilize derivative instruments to provide qualitative disclosures about their objectives and strategies for using such instruments, as well as any details of credit-risk-related contingent features contained within derivatives. It also requires entities to disclose additional information about the amounts and location of derivatives located within the financial statements, how the provisions of the guidance have been applied, and the impact that hedges have on an entity's financial position, financial performance, and cash flows. The guidance was effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008. We adopted the guidance effective on January 4, 2009 and have provided the required information in Note 11.

In April 2008, the FASB issued guidance under the Intangibles – Goodwill and Other topic of the Codification which amends the factors that should be considered in developing renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of a recognized intangible asset. The guidance was effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. We adopted the guidance effective on January 4, 2009 with no impact on our consolidated financial position and results of operations.

In April 2009, the FASB issued guidance under the Financial Instruments topic of the Codification that is intended to provide additional application guidance and enhance disclosures about fair value measurements and impairments of securities. The guidance clarifies the objective and method of fair value measurement even when there has been a significant decrease in market activity for the asset being measured and establishes a new model for measuring other-than-temporary impairments for debt securities, including establishing criteria for when to recognize a write-down through earnings versus other comprehensive income. The guidance expands the fair value disclosures required for all financial instruments to interim periods. The adoption of the guidance did not impact our consolidated financial statements but rather resulted in increased interim disclosures related to our financial instruments.

### 4. Restructurings

On October 25, 2007, we announced a restructuring as a result of an in-depth analysis of our target markets, internal structure, projected run-rate, and efficiency. The restructuring resulted in a decrease in our workforce of approximately 150 employees and included employees in both Florida and North Carolina. As a result of the restructuring, we recorded a restructuring charge of \$2.4 million in 2007, of which \$0.7 million was classified within cost of goods sold and \$1.7 million was classified within selling, general and administrative expenses. The charge related primarily to employee separation costs. Of the \$2.4 million charge, \$1.5 million was disbursed in 2007 and \$0.9 million was disbursed in 2008.

- 46 -

---

Table of Contents

On March 4, 2008, we announced a second restructuring as a result of continued analysis of our target markets, internal structure, projected run-rate, and efficiency. The restructuring resulted in a decrease in our workforce of approximately 300 employees and included employees in both Florida and North Carolina. As a result of the restructuring, we recorded a restructuring charge of \$2.1 million in 2008, of which \$1.1 million is classified within cost of goods sold and \$1.0 million is classified within selling, general and administrative expenses in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations for the year ended January 3, 2009. The charge related primarily to employee separation costs. Of the \$2.1 million, \$1.8 million was disbursed in the first quarter of 2008. The remaining \$0.3 million is classified within accrued liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of January 3, 2009 (Note 8) and was disbursed in 2009.

On January 13, 2009, March 10, 2009, September 24, 2009 and November 12, 2009, we announced restructurings as a result of continued analysis of our target markets, internal structure, projected run-rate, and efficiency. The restructuring resulted in a decrease in our workforce of approximately 260 in the first quarter, 80 in the second quarter and 140 in the fourth quarter for a total of 480 employees and included employees in both Florida and North Carolina. As a result of the restructurings, we recorded restructuring charges of \$5.4 million in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations for the year ended January 2, 2010, of which \$3.1 million is classified within cost of goods sold with \$1.4 million charged in the first quarter, \$0.5 million in the third quarter and \$1.2 million in the fourth. The remaining \$2.3 million is classified within selling, general and administrative expenses in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations for the year ended January 2, 2010 of which \$1.6 million is charged in the first quarter, \$0.4 million in the second quarter and \$0.3 million in the fourth quarter. The charges related primarily to employee separation costs. Of the \$5.4 million, \$2.6 million was disbursed in the first quarter of 2009, \$0.3 million in the second quarter, \$0.4 million in the third quarter and \$1.2 million in the fourth quarter. The remaining \$0.9 million is classified within accrued liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of January 2, 2010 (Note 8) and is expected to be disbursed in 2010.

The following table provides information with respect to the accrual for restructuring costs:

|                                       | Beginning<br>of Year | Charged to<br>Expense | Disbursed<br>in Cash | End<br>of Year |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------|
| (in thousands)                        |                      |                       |                      |                |
| Year ended January 2, 2010:           |                      |                       |                      |                |
| 2008 Restructuring                    | \$ 332               | \$ -                  | \$ (332 )            | \$ -           |
| 2009 Restructuring                    | -                    | 5,395                 | (4,497 )             | 898            |
| For the year ended January 2,<br>2010 | \$ 332               | \$ 5,395              | \$ (4,829 )          | \$ 898         |
| Year ended January 3, 2009:           |                      |                       |                      |                |
| 2007 Restructuring                    | \$ 850               | \$ -                  | \$ (850 )            | \$ -           |
| 2008 Restructuring                    | -                    | 2,131                 | (1,799 )             | 332            |
| For the year ended January 3,<br>2009 | \$ 850               | \$ 2,131              | \$ (2,649 )          | \$ 332         |
| Year ended December 29, 2007:         |                      |                       |                      |                |
| 2007 Restructuring                    | \$ -                 | \$ 2,375              | \$ (1,525 )          | \$ 850         |

## 5. Property, Plant and Equipment

The following table presents the composition of property, plant and equipment as of:

|                               | January 2,<br>2010 | January 3,<br>2009 |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
|                               | (in thousands)     |                    |
| Land                          | \$ 3,804           | \$ 4,029           |
| Buildings and improvements    | 47,700             | 48,243             |
| Machinery and equipment       | 49,063             | 45,644             |
| Vehicles                      | 5,915              | 6,310              |
| Software                      | 11,367             | 10,635             |
| Construction in progress      | 511                | 1,989              |
|                               | 118,360            | 116,850            |
| Less accumulated depreciation | (53,256 )          | (43,345 )          |
|                               | \$ 65,104          | \$ 73,505          |

Table of Contents

## 6. Acquisition

Pursuant to an asset purchase agreement by and between Hurricane Window and Door Factory, LLC (“Hurricane”) of Ft. Myers, Florida, and our operating subsidiary, PGT Industries, Inc., effective on August 14, 2009, we acquired certain operating assets of Hurricane for approximately \$1.5 million in cash. Hurricane designs and manufactures high-end vinyl impact products for the single- and multi-family residential markets. The products provide long-term energy and structural benefits, while qualifying homeowners for the government’s energy tax credits through the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009. This product line was developed specifically for the hurricane protection market and combines some of the highest structural ratings in the industry with excellent energy efficiency. The acquisition of this business expands our presence in the energy efficient vinyl impact-resistant market, increases our ability to serve the multi-story condominium market, and enhances our ability to offer a complete line of impact products to the customer.

The purchase price paid was allocated to the assets acquired based on their estimated fair value on August 14, 2009. The assets acquired included Hurricane’s inventory, comprised almost entirely of raw materials, and property and equipment, primarily comprised of machinery and other manufacturing equipment. We also acquired the right to use Hurricane’s design technology through the end of 2010, the option to purchase the technology at any time through the end of 2010 and, if desired, the right to extend the usage of and the option to purchase Hurricane’s design technology for an additional one year period through the end of 2011. The allocation of the \$1.5 million cash purchase price to the fair value of the assets acquired as of the August 14, 2009 acquisition date is as follows:

| (in thousands)           | Fair Values |
|--------------------------|-------------|
| Inventory                | \$ 254      |
| Property and equipment   | 623         |
| Identifiable intangibles | 575         |
| Net assets acquired      | 1,452       |
| Purchase price           | 1,452       |
| Goodwill                 | \$ -        |

The value of inventory was established based on then current purchase prices of identical materials available from Hurricane’s existing vendors. The value of property and equipment was established based on Hurricane’s net carrying values which we determined to approximate fair value due to, among other things, the assets having been in service for less than one year. We engaged a third-party valuation specialist to assist us in estimating the fair value of the identifiable intangible assets consisting of the right to use Hurricane’s design technology and the related purchase option. The fair value of the identifiable intangible assets was estimated using an income approach based on projections provided by management, which we consider to be Level 3 inputs. The carrying value of the intangible assets of \$0.4 million is included in other intangible assets, net, in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet at January 2, 2010. The intangible assets are being amortized on the straight-line basis over their estimated lives, which are based on their contractual lives (approximately 1.4 years through the end of 2010). Amortization expense of \$0.2 million is included in selling, general and administrative expenses in the accompanying condensed consolidated statement of operations for the year ended January 2, 2010. Acquisition costs of less than \$0.1 million are included in selling, general and administrative expenses in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations for the year ended January 2, 2010. Hurricane’s operating results prior to the acquisition were insignificant.

Table of Contents

## 7. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Goodwill and other intangible assets are as follows as of:

|  | January 2,<br>2010<br>(in thousands) | January 3,<br>2009 | Useful Life<br>(in years) |
|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|
| Goodwill   | \$ -                                 | \$ -               | indefinite                |
| Other intangible assets:                           |                                      |                    |                           |
| Trademarks   | \$ 44,400                            | \$ 44,400          | indefinite                |
| Customer relationships                             | 55,700                               | 55,700             | 10                        |
| Less: Accumulated amortization                     | (32,992)                             | (27,422 )          |                           |
| Subtotal   | 22,708                               | 28,278             |                           |
| Hurricane technology                               | 575                                  | -                  | 1.4                       |
| Less: Accumulated amortization                     | (161 )                               | -                  |                           |
| Subtotal   | 414                                  | -                  |                           |
| Other intangible assets, net                       | \$ 67,522                            | \$ 72,678          | 9.9                       |
| Goodwill at December 29, 2007                      |                                      | \$ 169,648         |                           |
| Impairment charges - year ended<br>January 3, 2009 |                                      | (169,648)          |                           |
| Goodwill at January 3, 2009                        |                                      | \$ -               |                           |
| Trademarks at December 29, 2007                    |                                      | \$ 62,500          |                           |
| Impairment charges - year ended<br>January 3, 2009 |                                      | (18,100 )          |                           |
| Trademarks at January 3, 2009                      |                                      | \$ 44,400          |                           |

## Goodwill

As a result of the impairment indicators related to the weakness in the housing market, which we concluded has resulted in the prolonged decline in our market capitalization as compared to our book value, during the second quarter of 2008, we updated the first step of our goodwill impairment test and determined that its carrying value exceeded its fair value, indicating that goodwill was impaired. Having determined that goodwill was impaired, we then began performing the second step of the goodwill impairment test which involves calculating the implied fair value of our goodwill by allocating the fair value of the Company to all of our assets and liabilities, other than goodwill (including both recognized and unrecognized intangible assets), and comparing it to the carrying amount of goodwill. As a result of this process, we recorded a \$92.0 million estimated goodwill impairment charge in the second quarter of 2008. During the third quarter of 2008, we completed the second step of the goodwill impairment

test and, as a result, recorded an additional \$1.3 million goodwill impairment charge.

We performed our annual assessment of goodwill impairment as of January 3, 2009. Given a further decline in housing starts and the overall tightening of the credit markets, our revised forecasts indicated additional impairment of goodwill. After allocating our fair value to our assets and liabilities other than goodwill, we concluded that goodwill had no implied fair value and the remaining carrying value was written-off. After impairment charges totaling \$169.6 million in 2008, goodwill has no carrying value as of January 3, 2009.

We utilized the discounted cash flow method to determine the Company's fair value for both the second quarter 2008 and fourth quarter 2008 goodwill impairment tests.

The amount of goodwill deductible for tax purposes was \$63.8 million at the time of the 2004 acquisition, of which, \$32.1 million and \$37.5 million was unamortized as of January 2, 2010 and January 3, 2009, respectively.

- 49 -

---



Table of Contents

## Indefinite Lived Intangible Asset

As a result of the impairment indicators described above, during the second quarter of 2008, we evaluated our trademarks for impairment and compared their estimated fair value to their carrying value and preliminarily determined that there was no impairment. During the third quarter of 2008, as part of finalizing our goodwill impairment test discussed above, we made certain changes to our assumptions that affected the previous estimate of fair value and, when compared to the carrying value of our trademarks, resulted in a \$0.3 million impairment charge in the third quarter of 2008. We performed our annual assessment of our trademarks as of January 3, 2009. Given a further decline in housing starts and the overall tightening of the credit markets, our revised forecasts indicated additional impairment was present, resulting in an additional impairment charge of \$17.8 million in the fourth quarter of 2008. We utilized the royalty relief discounted cash flow method to determine the Company's fair value for both the third quarter 2008 and fourth quarter 2008 trademark impairment tests.

After impairment charges totaling \$18.1 million in 2008, intangible assets not subject to amortization totaled \$44.4 million at January 3, 2009. No additional impairment was recorded during the year ended January 2, 2010.

## Amortizable Intangible Assets

As a result of the impairment indicators described above, during the second quarter of 2008 and again as of January 3, 2009, October 3, 2009 and January 2, 2010, we tested our amortizable intangible assets, which are our customer relationships and Hurricane technology intangible assets, for impairment by comparing the estimated future undiscounted net cash flows expected to be generated by the asset group containing these assets to their carrying values and determined that there was no impairment.

Estimated amortization of our customer relationships and Hurricane technology intangible assets is as follows for future fiscal years:

|              | (in<br>thousands) |
|--------------|-------------------|
| 2010         | \$5,984           |
| 2011         | 5,570             |
| 2012         | 5,570             |
| 2013         | 5,570             |
| Thereafter   | 428               |
| <b>Total</b> | <b>\$23,122</b>   |

## 8. Accrued Liabilities

Accrued liabilities consisted of the following:

|                              | January 2,<br>2010 | January 3,<br>2009 |
|------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
|                              | (in thousands)     |                    |
| Accrued payroll and benefits | \$ 2,950           | \$ 3,905           |
| Accrued warranty             | 2,550              | 2,734              |

Edgar Filing: PGT, Inc. - Form 10-K

|   |          |          |
|---|----------|----------|
| Accrued restructuring costs             | 898      | 332      |
| Provision for loss contract             | 875      | -        |
| Accrued health claims insurance payable | 846      | 779      |
| Accrued property tax                    | 801      | -        |
| Other                                   | 928      | 1,102    |
|   | \$ 9,848 | \$ 8,852 |

Other accrued liabilities are comprised primarily of unearned revenue related to customer deposits and customer rebates.

Table of Contents

## 9. Long-Term Debt

Long-term debt consists of the following:

|   | January 2,<br>2010 | January 3,<br>2009 |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|
|   | (in thousands)     |                    |
| Tranche A2 term note payable to a bank in quarterly installments of \$231,959 beginning November 14, 2009 through November 14, 2011. A lump sum payment of \$87.9 million is due on February 14, 2012. Interest is payable quarterly at LIBOR or the prime rate plus an applicable margin. At January 3, 2009, the average rate was 4.00% plus a margin of 2.25%. | \$ -               | \$ 90,000          |
| Tranche A2 term note payable to a bank in quarterly installments of \$177,546 beginning February 14, 2011 through November 14, 2011. A lump sum payment of \$67.3 million is due on February 14, 2012. Interest is payable quarterly at LIBOR or the prime rate plus an applicable margin. At January 3, 2010, the average rate was 3.25% plus a margin of 4.00%. | 68,000             | -                  |
| Obligations under capital leases  | 268                | 366                |
|   | 68,268             | 90,366             |
| Less current portion of long-term debt and capital leases   | (105 )             | (330 )             |
|   | \$ 68,163          | \$ 90,036          |

On February 14, 2006, we entered into a second amended and restated \$235 million senior secured credit facility and a \$115 million second lien term loan due August 14, 2012, with a syndicate of banks. The senior secured credit facility is composed of a \$30 million revolving credit facility and, initially, a \$205 million first lien term loan. As of January 2, 2010, there was \$26.0 million available under the revolving credit facility.

On December 24, 2009, we announced that we entered into a third amendment to the credit agreement. The amendment, among other things, provides a leverage covenant holiday for 2010, increases the maximum leverage amount for the first quarter of 2011 to 6.25 times (then dropping 0.25X per quarter from the second quarter until the end of the term), extends the due date on the revolver loan until the end of 2011, increases the applicable rate on any outstanding revolver loan by 25 basis points, and sets a base rate floor of 4.25%. The effectiveness of the amendment

was conditioned, among other things, on the repayment of at least \$17 million of term loan under the credit agreement no later than March 31, 2010, of which no more than \$2 million was permitted to come from cash on hand. In December 2009, the Company used cash generated from operations to prepay \$2 million of outstanding borrowings under the credit agreement. Using proceeds from the 2010 rights offering, the Company made an additional prepayment of \$15 million on March 17, 2010, bringing total prepayments of debt at that time to \$17 million as required under the amended credit agreement. See Note 16 for a discussion of the second rights offering. Having made the total required prepayment and having satisfied all other conditions to bring the amendment into effect, including the payment of the fees and expenses of the administrative agent and a consent fee to participating lenders of 50 basis points of the then outstanding balance of the term loan and the revolving commitment under the credit agreement of \$100 million, the amendment became effective on March 17, 2010. Fees paid to the administrative agent and lenders totaled \$1.0 million. Such fees are being amortized using the effective interest method over the remaining term of the credit agreement.

Under the third amendment, the first lien term loan bears interest at a rate equal to an adjusted LIBOR rate plus a margin ranging from 3.5% per annum to 5% per annum or a base rate plus a margin ranging from 2.5% per annum to 4.0% per annum, at our option, which is equivalent to the rates in the second amendment. The margin in either case is dependent on our leverage ratio. The loans under the revolving credit facility bear interest at a rate equal to an adjusted LIBOR rate plus a margin depending on our leverage ratio ranging from 3.00% per annum to 5.00% per annum or a base rate plus a margin ranging from 2.00% per annum to 4.00% per annum, at our option. The amendment established a floor of 4.25% for base rate loans, and continued the 3.25% floor for adjusted LIBOR established in the previous amendment.

Table of Contents

On April 30, 2008, we announced that we entered into a second amendment to the credit agreement. The amendment, among other things, relaxed certain financial covenants through the first quarter of 2010, increased the applicable rate on loans and letters of credit, and set a LIBOR floor. The effectiveness of the amendment was conditioned, among other things, on the repayment of at least \$30 million of loans under the credit agreement no later than August 14, 2008, of which no more than \$15 million was permitted to come from cash on hand. In June 2008, the Company used cash generated from operations to prepay \$10 million of outstanding borrowings under the credit agreement. Using proceeds from the rights offering, the Company made an additional prepayment of \$20 million on August 11, 2008, bringing total prepayments of debt at that time to \$30 million as required under the amended credit agreement. See Note 16 for a discussion of the rights offering. Having made the total required prepayment and having satisfied all other conditions to bring the amendment into effect, including the payment of the fees and expenses of the administrative agent and a consent fee to participating lenders of 25 basis points of the then outstanding balance under the credit agreement of \$100 million, the amendment became effective on August 11, 2008. Fees paid to the administrative agent and lenders totaling \$0.6 million and were deferred and the unamortized balance of \$0.3 million and \$0.5 million is included in other assets on the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet as of January 2, 2010 and January 3, 2009, respectively. Such fees are being amortized on an effective interest method basis over the remaining term of the credit agreement.

Under the second amendment, the first lien term loan bears interest at a rate equal to an adjusted LIBOR rate plus a margin ranging from 3.5% per annum to 5% per annum or a base rate plus a margin ranging from 2.5% per annum to 4.0% per annum, at our option. The margin in either case is dependent on our leverage ratio. The loans under the revolving credit facility bear interest at a rate equal to an adjusted LIBOR rate plus a margin depending on our leverage ratio ranging from 3.0% per annum to 4.75% per annum or a base rate plus a margin ranging from 2.0% per annum to 3.75% per annum, at our option. The amendment established a floor of 3.25% for adjusted LIBOR. Prior to the effectiveness of the amendment, the first lien term loan bore interest at a rate equal to an adjusted LIBOR rate plus 3.0% per annum or a base rate plus 2.0% per annum, at our option. The loans under the revolving credit facility bore interest initially, at our option, at a rate equal to an adjusted LIBOR rate plus 2.75% per annum or a base rate plus 1.75% per annum, and the margins above LIBOR and base rate could have declined to 2.00% for LIBOR loans and 1.00% for base rate loans if certain leverage ratios were met.

A commitment fee equal to 0.50% per annum accrues on the average daily unused amount of the commitment of each lender under the revolving credit facility and such fee is payable quarterly in arrears. We are also required to pay certain other fees with respect to the senior secured credit facility including (i) letter of credit fees on the aggregate undrawn amount of outstanding letters of credit plus the aggregate principal amount of all letter of credit reimbursement obligations, (ii) a fronting fee to the letter of credit issuing bank and (iii) administrative fees.

The first lien term loan is secured by a perfected first priority pledge of all of the equity interests of our subsidiary and perfected first priority security interests in and mortgages on substantially all of our tangible and intangible assets and those of the guarantors, except, in the case of the stock of a foreign subsidiary, to the extent such pledge would be prohibited by applicable law or would result in materially adverse tax consequences, and subject to such other exceptions as are agreed. The senior secured credit facility contains a number of covenants that, among other things, restrict our ability and the ability of our subsidiaries to (i) dispose of assets; (ii) change our business; (iii) engage in mergers or consolidations; (iv) make certain acquisitions; (v) pay dividends or repurchase or redeem stock; (vi) incur indebtedness or guarantee obligations and issue preferred and other disqualified stock; (vii) make investments and loans; (viii) incur liens; (ix) engage in certain transactions with affiliates; (x) enter into sale and leaseback transactions; (xi) issue stock or stock options under certain conditions; (xii) amend or prepay subordinated indebtedness and loans under the second lien secured credit facility; (xiii) modify or waive material documents; or (xiv) change our fiscal year. In addition, under the senior secured credit facility, we are required to comply with specified financial ratios and tests, including a minimum interest coverage ratio, a maximum leverage ratio, and maximum capital expenditures.

Contractual future maturities of long-term debt and capital leases outstanding as of January 2, 2010 are as follows (in thousands):

|      |                |
|------|----------------|
| 2010 | \$ 105         |
| 2011 | 823            |
| 2012 | 67,340         |
| 2013 | -              |
|      |                |
|      | Total \$68,268 |

Table of Contents

During 2009, we prepaid \$22.0 million of long term debt with cash from operations and cash on hand. During 2008, we prepaid \$40.0 million of long-term debt with cash generated from operations and from the net proceeds of the rights offering, which totaled \$29.3 million. See Note 16.

On an annual basis, we are required to compute excess cash flow, as defined in our credit and security agreement with the bank. In periods where there is excess cash flow, we are required to make prepayments in an aggregate principal amount determined through reference to a grid based on the leverage ratio. No such prepayments were required for the year ended January 2, 2010. The term note and line of credit require that we also maintain compliance with certain restrictive financial covenants, the most restrictive of which requires us to maintain a total leverage ratio, as defined in the credit agreement, as amended, of not greater than certain predetermined amounts. We believe that we were in compliance with all restrictive financial covenants as of January 2, 2010.

## 10. Interest Expense

Interest expense, net consisted of the following (in thousands):

|  | Year Ended         |                    |                         |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
|  | January 2,<br>2010 | January 3,<br>2009 | December<br>29,<br>2007 |
| Long-term debt                           | \$ 5,780           | \$ 8,394           | \$ 11,291               |
| Debt fees                                | 475                | 444                | 425                     |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs | 561                | 724                | 724                     |
| Interest income                          | (53 )              | (165 )             | (807 )                  |
| Interest expense                         | 6,763              | 9,397              | 11,633                  |
| Capitalized interest                     | (65 )              | (114 )             | (229 )                  |
| Interest expense, net                    | \$ 6,698           | \$ 9,283           | \$ 11,404               |

## 11. Derivatives

## Aluminum Forward Contracts

We enter into aluminum forward contracts to hedge the fluctuations in the purchase price of aluminum extrusion we use in production. Our contracts are designated as cash flow hedges since they are highly effective in offsetting changes in the cash flows attributable to forecasted purchases of aluminum.

Guidance under the Financial Instruments topic of the Codification requires us to record our hedge contracts at fair value and consider our credit risk for contracts in a liability position, and our counter-party's credit risk for contracts in an asset position, in determining fair value. We assess our counter-party's risk of non-performance when measuring the fair value of financial instruments in an asset position by evaluating their financial position, including cash on hand, as well as their credit ratings. We assess our risk of non-performance when measuring the fair value of our financial instruments in a liability position by evaluating our credit ratings, our current liquidity including cash on hand and availability under our revolving credit facility as compared to the maturities of the financial liabilities. In addition, we entered into a master netting arrangement (MNA) with our commodities broker that provides for, among

other things, the close-out netting of exchange-traded transactions in the event of the insolvency of either party to the MNA.

In 2008 and 2009 we maintained a line of credit with our commodities broker. Beginning in 2010, we no longer maintain a line of credit to cover the liability position of open contracts for the purchase of aluminum in the event that the price of aluminum falls. Should the price of aluminum fall to a level which causes us to switch to a liability position for open aluminum contracts we would be required to fund daily margin calls to cover the excess.

At January 2, 2010, the fair value of our aluminum forward contracts was in an asset position of \$0.5 million. We had 33 outstanding forward contracts for the purchase of 6.4 million pounds of aluminum at an average price of \$0.94 per pound with maturity dates of between less than one month and 12 months through December 2010. We assessed the risk of non-performance of the counter-party to these contracts and recorded an immaterial adjustment to fair value as of January 2, 2010.

- 53 -

---



Table of Contents

At January 3, 2009, the fair value of our aluminum forward contracts was in a liability position of \$4.2 million. We had 71 outstanding forward contracts for the purchase of 16.1 million pounds of aluminum at an average price of \$1.01 per pound with maturity date of between less than one month and 24 months through December 2010. We also had \$4.1 million of cash on deposit with our counter-party related to the funding of margin calls. We net cash collateral from payments of margin calls on deposit with our commodities broker against the liability position of open contracts for the purchase of aluminum on a first-in, first-out basis. For statement of cash flows presentation, we present net cash receipts from and payments to the margin account as investing activities.

The fair value of our aluminum hedges are classified in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets as follows (in thousands):

|   |                        | January 2,<br>2010 | January 3,<br>2009 |
|---|------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Derivatives in a net asset (liability) position     | Balance Sheet Location |                    |                    |
| Hedging instruments:                                |                        |                    |                    |
| Aluminum forward contracts                          | Other Current Assets   | \$ 512             | \$ -               |
| Aluminum forward contracts                          | Accrued liabilities    | -                  | (3,251 )           |
| Aluminum forward contracts                          | Other liabilities      | -                  | (985 )             |
| Cash on deposit related to payments of margin calls | Accrued liabilities    | -                  | 3,251              |
| Cash on deposit related to payments of margin calls | Other liabilities      | -                  | 847                |
| <b>Total hedging instruments</b>                    |                        | <b>\$ 512</b>      | <b>\$ (138 )</b>   |

Aluminum forward contracts identical to those held by us trade on the London Metal Exchange ("LME"). The LME provides a transparent forum and is the world's largest center for the trading of futures contracts for non-ferrous metals and plastics. The prices are used by the metals industry worldwide as the basis for contracts for the movement of physical material throughout the production cycle. Based on this high degree of volume and liquidity in the LME, the valuation price at any measurement date for contracts with identical terms as to prompt date, trade date and trade price as those we hold at any time we believe represents a contract's exit price to be used for purposes of determining fair value. We categorize these aluminum forward contracts as being valued using Level 2 inputs as follows:

| Description                    | January 2,<br>2010 | Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date<br>of Asset (Liability) Using:   |   |  |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|--|---|--|
|                                |                    | Quoted<br>Prices in<br>Active<br>Markets<br>(Level<br>1)<br>(in thousands) | Significant<br>Other<br>Observable<br>Inputs<br>(Level 2) | Significant<br>Unobservable<br>Inputs<br>(Level 3) |
| Forward contracts for aluminum | \$ 512             | \$ -   | \$ 512  | \$ -   |



Table of Contents

Our aluminum hedges qualify as highly effective for reporting purposes. For the years ended January 2, 2010, January 3, 2009, and December 29, 2007, the ineffective portion of the hedging instruments was not significant. Effectiveness of aluminum forward contracts is determined by comparing the change in the fair value of the forward contract to the change in the expected cash to be paid for the hedged item. At January 2, 2010, these contracts were designated as effective. The effective portion of the gain or loss on our aluminum forward contracts is reported as a component of other comprehensive income and is reclassified into earnings in the same line item in the income statement as the hedged item in the same period or periods during which the transaction affects earnings. For the years ended January 2, 2010, January 3, 2009, and December 29, 2007, no amounts were reclassified to earnings because it was probable that the original forecasted transaction would not occur. The ending accumulated balance for the aluminum forward contracts included in accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax, is \$0.5 million as of January 2, 2010, all of which is expected to be reclassified into earnings in 2010.

## Interest Rate Swap Agreements

On October 29, 2004, our Company entered into a three-year interest rate swap agreement with a notional amount of \$33.5 million that was designated as a cash flow hedge and effectively converted a portion of the floating rate debt to a fixed rate of 3.53%. Also on October 29, 2004, our Company entered into a three-year interest rate cap agreement with a notional amount of \$33.5 million that protected an additional portion of the variable rate debt from an increase in the floating rate to greater than 4.5%.

On September 19, 2005, the hedging relationships involving the interest rate swap and cap agreements were terminated as a result of changes made to the terms of our then existing credit agreement. Accordingly, the changes in fair value of the swap and cap from that point were recorded in other (income) expense, net, and the accumulated balance for the interest rate swap agreement included in other comprehensive income at the time of ineffectiveness of \$0.7 million was being amortized into earnings over the remaining life of the agreement. Changes in the intrinsic value of the swap and cap totaled \$0.1 million in 2006 and are recorded as other expenses in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 30, 2006. The fair value of the interest rate swap agreement of \$0.6 million and the fair value of the interest rate cap of \$0.3 million as of December 30, 2006, recorded in other assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of December 30, 2006, was recognized as other expense in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations in 2007. Amortization of the accumulated balance for the interest rate swap agreement included in other comprehensive income at the time of ineffectiveness totaled \$0.3 million in 2006 and is recorded as other income in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 30, 2006. At December 30, 2006, there was \$0.2 million remaining to be amortized, in accumulated other comprehensive income, which was recognized as other income in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations in 2007.

These interest rate swap and cap agreements expired in October 2007.

On April 14, 2006, we entered into a two-year interest rate swap agreement with a notional amount of \$61.0 million that was designated as a cash flow hedge and effectively converted a portion of the floating rate debt to a fixed rate of 5.345%. Since all of the critical terms of the swap exactly matched those of the hedged debt, no ineffectiveness was identified in the hedging relationship. Consequently, all changes in fair value are recorded as a component of other comprehensive income. We periodically determined the effectiveness of the swap by determining that the critical terms still match, determining that the future interest payments are still probable of occurrence, and evaluating the likelihood of the counterparty's compliance with the terms of the swap. The fair value of the interest rate swap agreement of \$0.1 million as of December 29, 2007, is recorded in accrued liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet. This interest rate swap expired in February 2008.

The following represents the gains (losses) on derivative financial instruments for the years ended January 2, 2010, January 3, 2009 and December 29, 2007, and their classifications within the accompanying consolidated financial

statements (in thousands):

- 55 -

---

Table of Contents

| Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships |   |                 |                   |  |  |                 |                   |
|--|---|-----------------|-------------------|--|--|-----------------|-------------------|
|  | Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in OCI on Derivatives (Effective Portion) |                 |                   | Location of Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion) | Amount of Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion) |                 |                   |
|  | Year Ended  |                 |                   |  | Year Ended   |                 |                   |
|  | January 2, 2010   | January 3, 2009 | December 29, 2007 |  | January 2, 2010  | January 3, 2009 | December 29, 2007 |
| Aluminum contracts                             | \$ 1,373  | \$ (3,938)      | \$ (1,059)        | Cost of sales  | \$ (3,338)   | \$ (320 )       | \$ (401 )         |
| Interest rate swap                             | -   | 74              | 13                |  | -  | -               | -                 |
|  | \$ 1,373  | \$ (3,864)      | \$ (1,046)        |  | \$ (3,338)   | \$ (320 )       | \$ (401 )         |

| Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships |   |                 |                   |  |  |                 |                   |
|--|---|-----------------|-------------------|--|--|-----------------|-------------------|
|  | Recognized in Income on Derivatives (Ineffective Portion) |                 |                   | Location of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives (Ineffective Portion) | Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives (Ineffective Portion) |                 |                   |
|  | Year Ended  |                 |                   |  | Year Ended   |                 |                   |
|  | January 2, 2010   | January 3, 2009 | December 29, 2007 |  | January 2, 2010  | January 3, 2009 | December 29, 2007 |
| Aluminum contracts                             |   |                 |                   | Other income or other expense  | \$ (37 )   | \$ -            | \$ (40 )          |
| Interest rate swap                             |   |                 |                   | Other income or other expense  | -  | -               | 261               |
|  |   |                 |                   |  | \$ (37 )   | \$ -            | \$ 221            |

## 12. Income Taxes

We consider all income sources, including other comprehensive income, in determining the amount of tax benefit allocated to continuing operations (the "Income Tax Allocation"). Accordingly, for the year ended January 2, 2010, we recorded an income tax benefit of \$5.6 million, including a non-cash income tax benefit of \$1.8 million on the loss from continuing operations, with an offsetting non-cash income tax expense of \$1.8 million on other comprehensive income. Our overall tax provision is not impacted by this tax allocation.

The components of income tax expense (benefit) are as follows (in thousands):

|                                     | Year Ended         |                    |                         |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
|                                     | January 2,<br>2010 | January 3,<br>2009 | December<br>29,<br>2007 |
| <b>Current:</b>                     |                    |                    |                         |
| Federal                             | \$ (3,739 )        | \$ (897 )          | \$ 1,729                |
| State                               | (32 )              | 37                 | 150                     |
|                                     | (3,771 )           | (860 )             | 1,879                   |
| <b>Deferred:</b>                    |                    |                    |                         |
| Federal                             | (1,527 )           | (24,064)           | (1,337 )                |
| State                               | (286 )             | (3,865 )           | (86 )                   |
|                                     | (1,813 )           | (27,929)           | (1,423 )                |
| <b>Income tax (benefit) expense</b> | <b>\$ (5,584 )</b> | <b>\$ (28,789)</b> | <b>\$ 456</b>           |

Table of Contents

A reconciliation of the statutory federal income tax rate to our effective rate is provided below:

|   | Year Ended         |                    |                         |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
|   | January 2,<br>2010 | January 3,<br>2009 | December<br>29,<br>2007 |
| Statutory federal income tax rate                     | 35.0%              | 35.0%              | 35.0%                   |
| State income taxes, net of federal income tax benefit | 4.0%               | 4.0%               | 4.0%                    |
| Impairment of non-deductible goodwill                 | -                  | (21.5%)            | -                       |
| Income Tax Allocation                                 | 12.1%              | -                  | -                       |
| Other   | (2.7%)             | (0.2%)             | 2.6%                    |
| Non-deductible expenses                               | (2.0%)             | -                  | 7.1%                    |
| Manufacturing deduction                               | -                  | -                  | (1.5%)                  |
| State tax credits                                     | 0.3%               | 0.1%               | (4.9%)                  |
| Valuation allowance on deferred tax assets            | (9.5%)             | (2.4%)             | -                       |
|   | 37.2%              | 15.0%              | 42.3%                   |

Our effective combined federal and state tax rate was 37.2%, 15.0% and 42.3% for the years ended January 2, 2010, January 3, 2009 and December 29, 2007, respectively. The 37.2% tax rate in 2009 relates primarily to a loss carry-back receivable of approximately \$3.7 million related to the recently passed legislation allowing companies to carry-back 2009 or 2008 losses up to 5 years, as well as an income tax allocation of \$1.8 million between current operations and other comprehensive income. All other deferred tax assets created in 2009 were fully reserved with additional valuation allowances. The 15.0% effective tax rate in 2008 resulted from the tax effects totaling \$41.3 million related to the write-off of the non-deductible portion of goodwill and \$4.6 million related to the valuation allowance on deferred tax assets recorded in the fourth quarter of 2008. Excluding the effects of these items, our 2009 and 2008 effective tax rates would have been 34.6%, 39.0%, respectively. Our 2007 effective tax rate was 42.3%.

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary difference between the carrying amount of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. Significant components of our net deferred tax liability are as follows as of:

|  | January 2,<br>2010 | January 3,<br>2009 |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|
| Deferred tax assets:                               |                    |                    |
| Goodwill   | \$ 12,529          | \$ 14,617          |
| State and federal net operating loss carryforwards | 2,778              | 1,620              |
| Accrued warranty                                   | 1,576              | 1,647              |
| Compensation expense                               | 800                | 978                |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts                    | 680                | 723                |
| Obsolete inventory                                 | 543                | 622                |
| State tax credits                                  | 381                | 330                |
| AMT tax credits                                    | 244                | -                  |
| Derivative financial instruments                   | -                  | 1,652              |
| Other accruals                                     | 1,357              | 1,210              |
| Valuation allowance                                | (5,651 )           | (6,040 )           |

Edgar Filing: PGT, Inc. - Form 10-K

|  |           |           |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| Total deferred tax assets                | \$ 15,237 | \$ 17,359 |
| Deferred tax liabilities:                |           |           |
| Other indefinite lived intangible assets | \$ 17,315 | \$ 17,315 |
| Amortizable intangible assets            | 8,783     | 11,010    |
| Property, plant and equipment            | 6,254     | 6,349     |
| Derivative financial instruments         | 200       | -         |
| Total deferred tax liabilities           | \$ 32,552 | \$ 34,674 |

- 57 -

---



Table of Contents

The following table shows the current and noncurrent deferred tax assets (liabilities), recorded on our consolidated balance sheets at January 2, 2010 and January 3, 2009:

|  | January 2,<br>2010 | January 3,<br>2009 |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|
|  | (in thousands)     |                    |
| Current deferred tax assets, net         | \$ 622             | \$ 1,158           |
| Noncurrent deferred tax liabilities, net | (17,937)           | (18,473)           |
| Total deferred tax liabilities, net      | \$ (17,315)        | \$ (17,315)        |

In assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets, we consider whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. We consider the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income, and tax planning strategies in making this assessment. After consideration of all the evidence, both positive and negative, our Company determined in 2007 that a valuation allowance was not necessary.

In 2008, we established a valuation allowance with respect to the net deferred tax assets, excluding the \$17.3 million deferred tax liability related to trademarks, totaling \$6.0 million at January 3, 2009. Driven by the goodwill and other intangible impairment charges recorded in 2008 totaling \$187.7 million, our cumulative losses over the last three fiscal years, in addition to the significant downturn in our primary industry of home construction, led us to conclude that sufficient negative evidence exists that it is deemed more likely than not future taxable income will not be sufficient to realize the related income tax benefits. Of the \$6.0 million valuation allowance at January 3, 2009, \$1.4 million has been allocated to other comprehensive loss in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet at that date to offset the tax benefit that was recorded in other comprehensive loss for fiscal 2008. The remaining \$4.6 million of the valuation allowance at January 3, 2009 was recorded as deferred tax expense in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations for the year ended January 3, 2009.

We estimate that we have \$5.9 million of federal net operating loss carryforwards and \$29.7 million of state operating loss carryforwards expiring at various dates through 2029.

We adopted the standards related to the Income Tax topic of the Codification, specifically, as it relates to uncertain tax position on January 1, 2007. We did not recognize any material liability for unrecognized tax benefits in conjunction with our implementation and there were no changes to our unrecognized tax benefits during 2007, 2008, or 2009. However, should we accrue for such liabilities when and if they arise in the future we will recognize interest and penalties associated with uncertain tax positions as part of our income tax provision.

### 13. Commitments and Contingencies

We lease production equipment, vehicles, computer equipment, storage units and office equipment under operating leases expiring at various times through 2014. Lease expense was \$2.5 million, \$2.6 million and \$3.3 million for the years ended January 2, 2010, January 3, 2009 and December 29, 2007, respectively. Future minimum lease commitments for non-cancelable operating leases are as follows at January 2, 2010 (in thousands):

|      |         |
|------|---------|
| 2010 | \$1,511 |
| 2011 | 821     |
| 2012 | 213     |

Edgar Filing: PGT, Inc. - Form 10-K

|            |         |
|------------|---------|
| 2013       | 96      |
| Thereafter | 48      |
| Total      | \$2,689 |

Through the terms of certain of our leases, we have the option to purchase the leased equipment for cash in an amount equal to its then fair market value plus all applicable taxes.

- 58 -

---

### Table of Contents

We are obligated to purchase certain raw materials used in the production of our products from certain suppliers pursuant to stocking programs. If these programs were cancelled by us, we would be required to pay \$1.4 million for various materials. During the years ended January 2, 2010, January 3, 2009 and December 29, 2007, we made purchases under these programs totaling \$55.6 million, \$76.3 million and \$92.1 million, respectively.

At January 2, 2010, we had \$4.0 million in standby letters of credit related to our worker's compensation insurance coverage and commitments to purchase equipment of \$0.1 million.

We are a party to various legal proceedings in the ordinary course of business. Although the ultimate disposition of those proceedings cannot be predicted with certainty, management believes the outcome of any claim that is pending or threatened, either individually or on a combined basis, will not have a materially adverse effect on our operations, financial position or cash flows.

#### 14. Employee Benefit Plans

We have a 401(k) plan covering substantially all employees 18 years of age or older who have at least three months of service. Employees may contribute up to 100% of their annual compensation subject to Internal Revenue Code maximum limitations. Through the end of 2007, we agreed to make matching contributions of 100% of the employee's contribution up to 3% of the employee's salary. Effective the first day of our 2008 fiscal year, we suspended the matching contributions portion of the 401(k) plan until such time that management of the Company having the authority to do so determined to reinstate some or all of the matching contribution. For the year ended January 2, 2010 there was no company matching contribution. For the year ended January 3, 2009, based on certain performance metrics of our Company evaluated by management, the Company's management determined to match employee contributions made in 2008 at a rate of up to 0.5% of the employee's salary. Company contributions and earnings thereon vest at the rate of 20% per year of service with us when at least 1,000 hours are worked within the Plan year. We recognized no expense for the year ended January 2, 2010, expense of \$0.2 million for the year ended January 3, 2009 and \$1.9 million for the year ended December 29, 2007.

As a result of the March 2008 restructuring and its combined effect on employee levels due to both restructurings as discussed in Note 4, the Company's 401(k) plan was deemed to have been partially terminated according to IRS rules. These rules require 100% vesting of terminated participants who are no longer eligible to participate in the plan. In 2008, we recorded an adjustment of \$0.1 million to reestablish amounts related to Company matching previously considered to be forfeited upon termination. This amount is included in the total expense of \$0.2 million for the year ended January 3, 2009 discussed above.

#### 15. Related Parties

In the ordinary course of business, we sell windows to Builders FirstSource, Inc., a company controlled by affiliates of JLL Partners, Inc. One of our directors, Floyd F. Sherman, is the president, chief executive officer, and a director of Builders FirstSource, Inc. In addition, Paul S. Levy, Ramsey A. Frank, and Brett N. Milgrim, are directors of Builders FirstSource, Inc. Total net sales to Builders FirstSource, Inc. were \$3.1 million, \$2.7 million and \$2.7 million for the years ended January 2, 2010, January 3, 2009, and December 29, 2007, respectively. As of January 2, 2010, January 3, 2009 and December 29, 2007 there was \$0.4 million, \$0.2 million and \$0.3 million due from Builders FirstSource, Inc. included in accounts receivable in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.



Table of Contents

## 16. Shareholders' Equity

## Rights Offering

## 2010 Rights Offering

On January 29, 2010, the Company filed Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on December 24, 2009 relating to a previously announced offering of rights to purchase 20,382,326 shares of the Company's common stock with an aggregate value of approximately \$30.6 million. The registration statement relating to the rights offering was declared effective by the United States Securities and Exchange Commission on February 10, 2010, and the Company distributed to each holder of record of the Company's common stock as of close of business on February 8, 2010, at no charge, one (1) non-transferable subscription right for every one and three-quarters (1.75) shares of common stock held by such holder under the basic subscription privilege. Each whole subscription right entitled its holder to purchase one share of PGT's common stock at the subscription price of \$1.50 per share. The rights offering also contained an over-subscription privilege that permitted all basic subscribers to purchase additional shares of the Company's common stock up to an amount equal to the amount available to each such holder under the basic subscription privilege. Shares issued to each participant in the over-subscription were determined by calculating each subscriber's percentage of the total shares over-subscribed, multiplied by the number of shares available in the over-subscription privilege. The rights offering expired on March 12, 2010.

The rights offering was 90.0% subscribed resulting in the Company distributing 18,336,368 shares of its common stock, including 15,210,184 shares under the basic subscription privilege and 3,126,184 under the over-subscription privilege, representing a 74.6% basic subscription participation rate. There were requests for 3,126,184 shares under the over-subscription privilege representing an allocation rate of 100% to each over-subscriber. Of the 18,336,368 shares issued, 13,333,332 shares were issued to JLL Partners Fund IV ("JLL") the Company's majority shareholder, including 10,719,389 shares issued under the basic subscription privilege and 2,613,943 shares issued under the over-subscription privilege. Prior to the rights offering, JLL held 18,758,934 shares, or 52.6%, of the Company's outstanding common stock. With the completion of the rights offering, the Company has 54,005,439 total shares of common stock outstanding of which JLL holds 59.4%.

Net proceeds of \$27.5 million from the rights offering were used to repay a portion of the outstanding indebtedness under our amended credit agreement in the amount of \$15.0 million, and for general corporate purposes in the amount of \$12.5 million.

## 2008 Rights Offering

On August 1, 2008, we filed Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on March 28, 2008 relating to a previously announced offering of rights to purchase 7,082,687 shares of the Company's common stock with an aggregate value of approximately \$30 million. The registration statement relating to the rights offering was declared effective by the United States Securities and Exchange Commission on August 4, 2008 and we distributed to each holder of record of the Company's common stock as of close of business on August 4, 2008, at no charge, one non-transferable subscription right for every four shares of common stock held by such holder under the basic subscription privilege. Each whole subscription right entitled its holder to purchase one share of PGT's common stock at the subscription price of \$4.20 per share. The rights offering also contained an over-subscription privilege that permitted all basic subscribers to purchase additional shares of the Company's common stock up to an amount equal to the amount available to each under the basic subscription privilege. Shares issued to each participant in the over-subscription were determined by calculating each subscriber's percentage of the total shares over-subscribed, multiplied by the number of shares available in the over-subscription privilege. The rights offering expired on

September 4, 2008.

The rights offering was fully subscribed resulting in the Company distributing all 7,082,687 shares of its common stock available, including 6,157,586 shares under the basic subscription privilege and 925,101 under the over-subscription privilege, representing an 86.9% basic subscription participation rate. There were requests for 4,721,763 shares under the over-subscription privilege representing an allocation rate of 19.6% to each over-subscriber for the 925,101 shares available in the over subscription. Of the 7,082,687 shares issued, 4,295,158 shares were issued to JLL, the Company's majority shareholder, including 3,615,944 shares issued under the basic subscription privilege and 679,214 shares issued under the over-subscription privilege. Prior to the rights offering, JLL held 14,463,776 shares, or 51.1%, of the Company's outstanding common stock. With the completion of the rights offering, we have 35,413,438 total shares of common stock outstanding of which JLL holds 53.0%.

- 60 -

---

Table of Contents

Net proceeds of \$29.3 million from the rights offering were used to repay a portion of the outstanding indebtedness under our amended credit agreement. See Note 9, Long-Term Debt.

17. Employee Stock Based Compensation

Rollover Plan

In conjunction with the acquisition of PGT Holding Company, we rolled over 2.9 million option shares belonging to option holders of the acquired entity. These options have a ten year term and are fully vested, and include exercise prices of either \$0.38 or \$1.51 per share.

2004 Plan

On January 29, 2004, we adopted the JLL Window Holdings, Inc. 2004 Stock Incentive Plan (the “2004 Plan”), whereby stock-based awards may be granted by the Board of Directors (the Board) to officers, key employees, consultants and advisers of ours.

In conjunction with the 2004 Plan we have granted option shares at various times in 2004 and 2005 at an exercise price of \$8.64 per share. These options have a ten-year life and fully vest after five years. No options or restricted share awards were granted under the 2004 Plan during 2007, 2008 or 2009. There were 332,275, 838,887, and 1,064,389 shares available for grant under the 2004 Plan at December 29, 2007, January 3, 2009, and January 2, 2010, respectively.

2006 Plan

On June 5, 2006, we adopted the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan (the “2006 Plan”) whereby equity-based awards may be granted by the Board to eligible non-employee directors, selected officers and other employees, advisors and consultants of ours. In conjunction with the 2006 Plan we issued option shares at various exercise prices per share including \$3.09, \$3.10, \$4.00, and \$0.92, as well as restricted stock awards. There were 2,622,125, 2,423,294, and 1,989,774 shares available for grant under the 2006 Plan at December 29, 2007, January 3, 2009, and January 2, 2010, respectively.

The compensation cost that was charged against income for stock compensation plans was \$0.5 million, \$0.8 million and \$1.5 million for the years ended January 2, 2010, January 3, 2009 and December 29, 2007 and is included in selling, general and administrative expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. There was no income tax benefit recognized for share-based compensation for the years ended January 2, 2010 and January 3, 2009 as a result of the valuation allowance on deferred taxes. The total income tax benefit recognized for share-based compensation arrangements was \$0.6 million for the year ended December 29, 2007. We currently expect to satisfy share-based awards with registered shares available to be issued.

The fair value of each stock option grant was estimated on the date of grant using a Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions used for grants under the 2006 Plan in the following years:

2009: dividend yield of 0%, expected volatility of 73.2%, risk-free interest rate of 1.7%, and expected life of 5 years.

2008: dividend yield of 0%, expected volatility of 49.9%, risk-free interest rate of 2.6%, and expected life of 5 years.

2007: dividend yield of 0%, expected volatility of 36.0%, risk-free interest rate of 4.7%, and expected life of 5 years.

The expected life of options granted represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding and was determined based on historical experience. Prior to 2008, expected volatility was based on the average historical volatility of a peer group of nine public companies over the past seven years, which were selected on the basis of operational and economic similarity with the principal business operations of ours. In 2008, we changed our measure of expected volatility to be based solely on the Company's common stock as we believe due to current market conditions implied volatility is no longer a reasonable indicator of future volatility of our common stock. The risk-free rate for periods within the contractual term of the options is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve with a maturity equal to the life of the option in effect at the time of grant.

- 61 -

---



Table of Contents

## Stock Options

A summary of the status of our stock options as of January 2, 2010, and the changes during fiscal 2009 is presented below:

|                                | Number of Shares | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Weighted Average Remaining Life |
|--------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Outstanding at January 3, 2009 | 2,437,298        | \$5.18                          |                                 |
| Granted                        | 327,915          | \$0.92                          |                                 |
| Exercised                      | -                | \$0.00                          |                                 |
| Forfeited/Expired              | (429,644)        | \$6.18                          |                                 |
| Outstanding at January 2, 2010 | 2,335,569        | \$4.40                          | 4.6 Yrs                         |
| Exercisable at January 2, 2010 | 1,834,681        | \$4.97                          | 4.3 Yrs                         |

Options granted in 2009 have a seven-year contractual life and vest on a straight-line basis over three years.

The following table summarizes information about employee stock options outstanding at January 2, 2010 (dollars in thousands, except per share amounts):

| Exercise Price | Remaining Contractual Life | Outstanding | Outstanding Intrinsic Value | Exercisable | Exercisable Intrinsic Value |
|----------------|----------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|
| \$ 0.38        | 4.1 Years                  | 75,596      | \$ 130                      | 75,596      | \$ 130                      |
| \$ 1.51        | 4.1 Years                  | 790,742     | 467                         | 790,742     | 467                         |
| \$ 8.64        | 4.4 Years                  | 924,010     | -                           | 881,502     | -                           |
| \$ 3.09        | 5.3 Years                  | 250,496     | -                           | 83,503      | -                           |
| \$ 4.00        | 5.3 Years                  | 10,014      | -                           | 3,338       | -                           |
| \$ 0.92        | 6.1 Years                  | 284,711     | 336                         | -           | -                           |
|                |                            | 2,335,569   | \$ 933                      | 1,834,681   | \$ 597                      |

The weighted-average fair value of options granted during the fiscal years ended January 2, 2010, January 3, 2009 and December 29, 2007 was \$0.56, \$1.35 and \$5.00, respectively. The aggregate intrinsic value of options outstanding and of options exercisable as of January 2, 2010 was \$0.9 million and \$0.6 million, respectively. The aggregate intrinsic value of options outstanding and of options exercisable as of January 3, 2009 was \$0.1 million and \$0.1 million, respectively. The aggregate intrinsic value of options outstanding and of options exercisable as of December 29, 2007 was \$5.1 million and \$5.1 million, respectively. The total fair value of options vested during the fiscal years ended January 2, 2010, January 3, 2009 and December 29, 2007 was \$0.5 million, \$0.2 million and \$0.4 million, respectively.

For the fiscal year ended January 2, 2010, there were no exercises of stock options and therefore no proceeds received or tax benefits recognized. For the fiscal years ended January 3, 2009 and December 27, 2007, we received \$0.2 million and \$1.9 million, respectively, in proceeds from the exercise of 479,417 and 609,837, respectively, stock options for which there was no tax benefit and \$1.8 million realized, respectively. The aggregate intrinsic value of stock options exercised during the fiscal year ended January 3, 2009 and December 27, 2007 was \$1.2 million and \$4.5 million, respectively.

As of January 2, 2010, there was \$0.1 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested stock option compensation arrangements granted which is expected to be recognized in earnings straight-line over a weighted-average period of 1.3 years.

- 62 -

---

Table of Contents

## The Repricing

On March 6, 2008, the board of directors of the Company approved the cancellation and termination of the option agreements of certain employees of the Company, including Jeffrey T. Jackson, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Company, and Mario Ferrucci III, Vice President and General Counsel of the Company and the grant of replacement options under our 2006 Equity Incentive Plan.

The board of directors of the Company determined that, as a result of economic conditions that have adversely affected us and the industry in which we compete, the options held by the Designated Employees had exercise prices that were significantly above the current market price of our common stock and that the grants of replacement options would help us to retain and provide additional incentive to certain employees and align their interests with those of our stockholders.

The replacement options have an exercise price of \$3.09 per share, which was the closing price on the NASDAQ Global Market of the Company's common stock on March 5, 2008, the day before the date on which the board of directors of the Company granted the replacement options and the designated employees executed the replacement option agreements. The replacement options are exercisable with respect to one third of the shares (rounded to the nearest whole share) on each of the first, second, and third anniversaries of March 6, 2008. The replacement options expire on March 6, 2015. During 2009 and 2008, incremental compensation cost totaling \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million was recognized related to the repriced options, respectively.

Mr. Jackson was granted options to purchase an aggregate of 152,675 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$3.09 per share. In connection therewith, Mr. Jackson's option to purchase 115,863 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$12.84 per share and his option to purchase 36,812 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$12.77 per share were cancelled and terminated.

Mr. Ferrucci was granted options to purchase an aggregate of 53,984 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$3.09 per share. In connection therewith, Mr. Ferrucci's option to purchase 36,414 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$14.00 per share and his option to purchase 17,570 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$12.77 per share were cancelled and terminated.

## Non-Vested (Restricted) Share Awards

During the year ended January 2, 2010, we granted 340,057 non-vested share awards at a weighted average fair value of \$1.03 per share.

A summary of the status of non-vested share awards as of January 2, 2010 and changes during the year then ended are presented below:

|                                | Number of<br>Shares | Weighted<br>Average Fair<br>Value |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Outstanding at January 3, 2009 | 194,445             | \$ 7.25                           |
| Granted                        | 340,057             | \$ 1.03                           |
| Vested                         | (108,694)           | \$ 8.96                           |
| Forfeited/Expired              | (59,441)            | \$ 2.43                           |
| Outstanding at January 2, 2010 | 366,367             | \$ 1.75                           |

All of the non-vested share awards granted in 2009 vest at the end of three years. As of January 2, 2010, there was \$0.2 million of total unrecodgnized compensation cost related to non-vested share awards. That cost is expected to be recognized in earnings straight-line over a weighted average period of 1.9 years.

- 63 -

---

Table of Contents

## 18. Accumulated Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income

The following table shows the components of accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income for 2009, 2008 and 2007:

| (in thousands)                  | Ineffective<br>Interest<br>Rate<br>Swap | Interest<br>Rate<br>Swap | Aluminum<br>Forward<br>Contracts | Valuation<br>Allowance | Total       |
|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|-------------|
| Balance at December 30,<br>2006 | \$ 159                                  | \$ (53 )                 | \$ -                             | \$ -                   | \$ 106      |
| Changes in fair value           | -                                       | 13                       | (1,059 )                         | -                      | (1,046 )    |
| Reclassification to earnings    | (261 )                                  | -                        | 441                              | -                      | 180         |
| Tax effect                      | 102                                     | (6 )                     | 242                              | -                      | 338         |
| Balance at December 29,<br>2007 | -                                       | (46 )                    | (376 )                           | -                      | (422 )      |
| Changes in fair value           | -                                       | 74                       | (3,938 )                         | -                      | (3,864 )    |
| Reclassification to earnings    | -                                       | -                        | 320                              | -                      | 320         |
| Tax effect                      | -                                       | (28 )                    | 1,410                            | (1,382 )               | -           |
| Balance at January 3, 2009      | -                                       | -                        | (2,584 )                         | (1,382 )               | (3,966 )    |
| Changes in fair value           | -                                       | -                        | 1,373                            | -                      | 1,373       |
| Reclassification to earnings    | -                                       | -                        | 3,375                            | -                      | 3,375       |
| Tax effect                      | -                                       | -                        | (1,852 )                         | 1,852                  | -           |
| Income tax allocation           | -                                       | -                        | (1,813 )                         | -                      | (1,813 )    |
| Balance at January 2, 2010      | \$ -                                    | \$ -                     | \$ (1,501 )                      | \$ 470                 | \$ (1,031 ) |

## 19. Sales by Product Group

The FASB has issued guidance under the Segment Reporting topic of the Codification which requires us to disclose certain information about our operating segments. Operating segments are defined as components of an enterprise with separate financial information which are evaluated regularly by the chief operating decision maker and are used in resource allocation and performance assessments.

We operate as a single business that manufactures windows and doors. Our chief operating decision maker evaluates performance by reviewing a few major categories of product sales and then considering costs on a total company basis.

Sales by product group are as follows:

| Product category:              | January 2,<br>2010 | Year Ended<br>January 3,<br>2009 | December 29,<br>2007 |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| WinGuard Windows and Doors     | \$ 108.2           | \$ 151.8                         | \$ 189.7             |
| Other Window and Door Products | 57.8               | 66.8                             | 88.7                 |
| Total net sales                | \$ 166.0           | \$ 218.6                         | \$ 278.4             |



Table of Contents

## 20. Unaudited Quarterly Financial Data

The following tables summarize the consolidated quarterly results of operations for 2009 and 2008 (in thousands, except per share amounts):

|  | 2009             |                   |                  |                   |
|--|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|
|  | First<br>Quarter | Second<br>Quarter | Third<br>Quarter | Fourth<br>Quarter |
| Net sales  | \$ 41,514        | \$ 46,867         | \$ 41,615        | \$ 36,004         |
| Gross profit   | 9,895            | 14,620            | 10,863           | 9,000             |
| Net (loss) income  | (6,700 )         | 342               | (3,360 )         | 301               |
| Net (loss) income per share –<br>basic   | \$ (0.19 )       | \$ 0.01           | \$ (0.09 )       | \$ 0.01           |
| Net (loss) income per share –<br>diluted   | \$ (0.19 )       | \$ 0.01           | \$ (0.09 )       | \$ 0.01           |
| Items included in the<br>determination of net income<br>(loss)<br>that may affect comparability,<br>before tax effect: |                  |                   |                  |                   |
| Impairment charge  | \$ -             | \$ -              | \$ -             | \$ (742 )         |
| Restructuring charge   | (3,002 )         | -                 | (903 )           | (1,490 )          |
|  | 2008             |                   |                  |                   |
|  | First<br>Quarter | Second<br>Quarter | Third<br>Quarter | Fourth<br>Quarter |
| Net sales  | \$ 54,836        | \$ 60,100         | \$ 54,330        | \$ 49,290         |
| Gross profit   | 16,071           | 21,491            | 16,198           | 14,519            |
| Net loss   | (1,787 )         | (76,649)          | (1,629 )         | (82,967)          |
| Net loss per share – basic   | \$ (0.06 )       | \$ (2.58 )        | \$ (0.05 )       | \$ (2.29 )        |
| Net loss per share – diluted   | \$ (0.06 )       | \$ (2.58 )        | \$ (0.05 )       | \$ (2.29 )        |
| Items included in the<br>determination of net loss<br>that may affect comparability,<br>before tax effect:             |                  |                   |                  |                   |
| Impairment charges   | \$ -             | \$ (92,000)       | \$ (1,600 )      | \$ (94,148)       |
| Restructuring charge   | (1,752 )         | -                 | -                | (379 )            |

Earnings per share is computed independently for each of the quarters presented; therefore, the sum of the quarterly earnings per share may not equal the annual earnings per share. Except for the fourth quarter of 2008, which consisted of 14 weeks and ended on the last Saturday of the period, each of our fiscal quarters above consists of 13 weeks and ended on the last Saturday of the period.

## 21. Collaborative Arrangement

In view of the risks and costs associated with developing new products and our desire to expand our markets by providing quality unitized curtain wall solutions to the commercial building industry, we entered into a collaborative

arrangement with another company with extensive experience in sales, marketing, engineering and project management of unitized curtain wall solutions and in which costs, revenues and risks are shared. During the third quarter of 2009, this arrangement was terminated. We were not the principal participant in this arrangement. Our obligation under this arrangement was to provide manufacturing expertise, including providing the operating entity with labor for assembly and fabrication of the unitized curtain wall units. We earned revenues and incurred costs of sales and expenses from this activity based on the number of hours of labor provided in the production of materials used in the arrangement. We also recorded a percentage of the joint operating activity's profit or loss into revenue based on our percentage interest in the arrangement, which was insignificant in 2009. Each collaborator's interest was 50 percent.

- 65 -

---



Table of Contents

The following table illustrates the income statement classification and amounts attributable to transactions arising from the collaborative arrangements between participants for each period presented (in thousands):

|                                     | Twelve Months Ended |                    |                         |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
|                                     | January 2,<br>2010  | January 3,<br>2009 | December<br>29,<br>2007 |
| Net sales                           | \$ 1,449            | \$ 1,531           | \$ -                    |
| Cost of sales                       | (1,093 )            | (1,464 )           | -                       |
| Selling, general and administrative | (215 )              | (130 )             | -                       |

In November 2007, the EITF issued guidance under the Broad Transactions – Collaborative Arrangements topic of the Codification. This guidance is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years, and must be applied retrospectively to all prior periods presented for all collaborative arrangements existing as of the effective date. This Issue requires that participants in a collaborative arrangement report costs incurred and revenues generated on a gross or net basis and in the appropriate line items in each company’s financial statements. This guidance also requires disclosure of the nature and purpose of the participant’s collaborative arrangements, the participant’s rights and obligations under these arrangements, the accounting policy for collaborative arrangements, the income statement classification and amounts attributable to transactions arising from collaboration arrangements between participants, and the disclosure related to individually significant collaborative arrangements. We adopted the guidance in the first quarter of 2009.

## 22. Subsequent Events

In the first quarter of 2010, we entered into an agreement with a broker to list our Lexington, NC, plant facility. We do not currently operate out of that facility, and accordingly do not expect a potential sale to have a material impact on our operations in the future. See Note 2 (Long-Lived Assets) for additional information regarding this facility.

On March 18, 2010 our Board of Directors approved the Amended and Restated 2006 Equity Incentive Plan, and authorized an Equity Exchange. Also, on March 8, 2010, our Board of Directors authorized a stock option exchange to eligible employees. These three items are subject to approval at our annual stockholders' meeting. Additional information appears in our definitive proxy statement for our annual meeting of stockholders under the captions “Amended and Restated 2006 Equity Incentive Plan”, “Issuer Tender Offer”, and “Equity Exchange”.

## 2010 Rights Offering

On January 29, 2010, the Company filed Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on December 24, 2009 relating to a previously announced offering of rights to purchase 20,382,326 shares of the Company’s common stock with an aggregate value of approximately \$30.6 million. The registration statement relating to the rights offering was declared effective by the United States Securities and Exchange Commission on February 10, 2010, and the Company distributed to each holder of record of the Company’s common stock as of close of business on February 8, 2010, at no charge, one (1) non-transferable subscription right for every one and three-quarters (1.75) shares of common stock held by such holder under the basic subscription privilege. Each whole subscription right entitled its holder to purchase one share of PGT’s common stock at the subscription price of \$1.50 per share. The rights offering also contained an over-subscription privilege that permitted all basic subscribers to purchase additional shares of the Company’s common stock up to an amount equal to the amount available to each such holder under the basic subscription privilege. Shares issued to each participant in the over-subscription were determined by calculating each subscriber’s percentage of the total shares over-subscribed, multiplied by the number of

shares available in the over-subscription privilege. The rights offering expired on March 12, 2010.

The rights offering was 90.0% subscribed resulting in the Company distributing 18,336,368 shares of its common stock, including 15,210,184 shares under the basic subscription privilege and 3,126,184 under the over-subscription privilege, representing a 74.6% basic subscription participation rate. There were requests for 3,126,184 shares under the over-subscription privilege representing an allocation rate of 100% to each over-subscriber. Of the 18,336,368 shares issued, 13,333,332 shares were issued to JLL Partners Fund IV ("JLL") the Company's majority shareholder, including 10,719,389 shares issued under the basic subscription privilege and 2,613,943 shares issued under the over-subscription privilege. Prior to the rights offering, JLL held 18,758,934 shares, or 52.6%, of the Company's outstanding common stock. With the completion of the rights offering, the Company has 54,005,439 total shares of common stock outstanding of which JLL holds 59.4%.

- 66 -

---

Table of Contents

Net proceeds of \$27.5 million from the rights offering were used to repay a portion of the outstanding indebtedness under our amended credit agreement in the amount of \$15.0 million, and for general corporate purposes in the amount of \$12.5 million.

Item 9.

CHANGES IN AND  
DISAGREEMENTS  
WITH  
ACCOUNTANTS ON  
ACCOUNTING AND  
FINANCIAL  
DISCLOSURE

None.

Item 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our principal executive officer and principal financial officer have evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of January 2, 2010, including controls and procedures to timely alert management to material information relating to the Company and its subsidiaries required to be included in our periodic SEC filings. Based on such evaluation, they have concluded that, as of such date, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in our Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in applicable SEC rules and forms.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

- a. Management's annual report on internal control over financial reporting.

Internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) refers to the process designed by, or under the supervision of, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, and effected by our board of directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over our financial reporting.

We have evaluated the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of January 2, 2010. The evaluation was performed using the internal control evaluation framework developed by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on such evaluation, management concluded that, as of such date, our internal control over financial reporting was effective.

- b. Attestation report of the registered public accounting firm.

Ernst & Young LLP has issued an attestation report on our internal control over financial reporting. Their report follows this Item 9A.

c. Changes in internal control over financial reporting

There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting during our most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

- 67 -

---

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Shareholders of  
PGT, Inc.

We have audited PGT, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of January 2, 2010, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). PGT, Inc.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, PGT, Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of January 2, 2010, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of PGT, Inc. as of January 2, 2010 and January 3, 2009, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years ended January 2, 2010, January 3, 2009 and December 29, 2007 and our report dated March 18, 2010 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP

Certified Public Accountants  
Tampa, Florida  
March 18, 2010

- 68 -

---

Table of Contents

## Item 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

## PART III

## Item 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

## Executive Officers and Significant Employees of the Registrant

| Name                | Age | Position  |
|---------------------|-----|---|
| Rodney Hershberger  | 53  | President, Chief Executive Officer, and Director<br>Executive Vice President and Chief Financial<br>Officer |
| Jeffrey T. Jackson  | 44  | Vice President and General Counsel  |
| Mario Ferrucci III  | 46  | Vice President - Operations   |
| David McCutcheon    | 44  | Vice President - Sales and Marketing  |
| Deborah L. LaPinska | 48  | Vice President - Vinyl Products   |
| Ron Stanek          | 54  | Vice President - Vinyl Products   |
| Mark Davis          | 53  | Vice President - NC Operations  |
| Monte Burns         | 50  |   |

Rodney Hershberger, President, Chief Executive Officer, and Director. Mr. Hershberger, a co-founder of PGT Industries, Inc., has served our Company for nearly 30 years. Mr. Hershberger was named President and Director in 2004 and became our Chief Executive Officer in March 2005. Mr. Hershberger also became President of PGT Industries, Inc. in 2004 and was named Chief Executive Officer of PGT Industries, Inc. in 2005. In 2003 Mr. Hershberger became executive vice president and chief operating officer and oversaw our Company's Florida and North Carolina operations, sales, marketing, and engineering groups. Previously, Mr. Hershberger led the manufacturing, transportation, and logistics operations in Florida and served as vice president of customer service.

Jeffrey T. Jackson, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. Mr. Jackson joined our Company as Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer in November 2005, and his current responsibilities include all aspects of financial reporting, accounting, and internal controls, cash management, human resources, our Company's Florida and North Carolina operations, and the business planning process. Before joining our Company, Mr. Jackson spent two years as Vice President, Corporate Controller for The Hershey Company. From 1999 to 2004 Mr. Jackson was Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer for Mrs. Smith's Bakeries, LLC, a division of Flowers Foods, Inc. Mr. Jackson has over seventeen years of increasing responsibility in various executive management roles with various companies, including Division Chief Financial Officer, Vice President Corporate Controller, and Senior Vice President of Operations. Mr. Jackson holds a B.B.A. from the University of West Georgia and is a Certified Public Accountant in the State of Georgia and the State of California.

Mario Ferrucci III, Vice President – General Counsel. Mr. Ferrucci joined our Company in April 2006 as Vice President and Corporate Counsel, and his current responsibilities include all aspects of the Company's legal and

compliance affairs, information systems and engineering. From 2001 to 2006, Mr. Ferrucci practiced law with the law firm of Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP.

David McCutcheon, Vice President — Florida Operations. Mr. McCutcheon joined our Company in 1997 and was appointed Vice President in 2001. His current responsibilities include all aspects of our Florida operations and manufacturing. Previously, Mr. McCutcheon worked for ten years for General Motors in management positions in manufacturing operations and manufacturing engineering. Mr. McCutcheon holds a B.S.E.E. from Purdue University and an M.B.A. from The Ohio State University.

Deborah L. LaPinska, Vice President — Sales and Marketing. Ms. LaPinska joined our Company in 1991. Ms. LaPinska is responsible for sales and marketing, and field and customer service, as well as incorporating new tools and resources to improve order processing cycle times and sales forecasting. Before she was appointed Vice President in 2003, Ms. LaPinska held the position of Director, National and International Sales. Ms. LaPinska holds a B.A. in business management from Eckerd College.

- 69 -

---



Table of Contents

Ronald Stanek, Vice President – Vinyl Products. Mr. Stanek joined our Company in 2009 and is responsible for the PremierVue sales training program. Mr. Stanek has over 30 years experience in sales and marketing of premium fenestration products. Prior to joining PGT, Mr. Stanek was Managing Member of The Hurricane Window and Door Factory LLC., and a co-founder of Stanek Vinyl Windows Corp.

Mark Davis, Vice President – Vinyl Products. Mr. Davis joined our Company in 2009. He has over 25 years of experience in the construction industry, including 18 years of management in the window and door industry. Before joining PGT, Mr. Davis was a founding partner in The Hurricane Window & Door Factory, LLC., and served on the board of directors and as the senior executive for Stanek Vinyl Windows Corp. He earned a B.A. from The University of Kansas in Lawrence.

Monte Burns, Vice President – NC Operations. Mr. Burns joined our Company in August 1981 and was appointed Vice President in 2009. His current responsibilities include all aspects of our North Carolina operations.

Additional information required by this item appears in our definitive proxy statement for our annual meeting of stockholders under the captions “Proposal 1 — Election of Directors,” “Information Regarding the Board and its Committees,” “Corporate Governance — Director Nomination Process,” “Corporate Governance — Code of Business Conduct and Ethics,” “Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance,” and “Executive Officers of the Registrant,” which information is incorporated herein by reference to Item 10 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Code of Business Conduct and Ethics

PGT, Inc. and its subsidiary endeavor to do business according to the highest ethical and legal standards, complying with both the letter and spirit of the law. Our board of directors has approved a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics that applies to our directors, officers (including our principal executive officer, principal financial officer and controller) and employees. Our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics is administered by a Compliance Committee made up of representatives from our legal, human resources and accounting departments.

Our employees are encouraged to report any suspected violations of laws, regulations and the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, and all unethical business practices. We provide continuously monitored hotlines for anonymous reporting by employees.

Our board of directors has also approved a Supplemental Code of Ethics for the chief executive office, president, and senior financial officers of PGT, Inc., which is administered by our general counsel.

Both of these policies can be found on the governance section of our corporate website at: <http://pgtinc.com>.

Stockholders may request a free copy of these policies by contacting the Corporate Secretary, PGT, Inc., 1070 Technology Drive, North Venice, Florida, 34275, United States of America.

In addition, within five business days of:

- Any amendment to a provision of our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics or our Supplemental Code of Ethics that applies to our chief executive officer, our chief financial officer; or
- The grant of any waiver, including an implicit waiver, from a provision of one of these policies to one of these officers that relates to one or more of the items set forth in Item 406(b) of Regulation S-K

We will provide information regarding any such amendment or waiver (including the nature of any waiver, the name of the person to whom the waiver was granted and the date of the waiver) on our Web site at the Internet address above, and such information will be available on our Web site for at least a 12-month period. In addition, we will disclose any amendments and waivers to our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics or our Supplemental Code of Ethics as required by the listing standards of the NASDAQ Global Market.

Table of Contents

Item 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by this item appears in our definitive proxy statement for our annual meeting of stockholders under the captions “Executive Compensation,” “Employment Agreements”, and “Change in Control Agreements,” “Information Regarding the Board and its Committees — Information on the Compensation of Directors,” “Compensation Committee Report,” and “Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation,” which information is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND  
MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The information required by this item appears in our definitive proxy statement for our annual meeting of stockholders under the caption “Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management” and “Equity Compensation Plan Information,” which information is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR  
INDEPENDENCE

The information required by this item appears in our definitive proxy statement for our annual meeting of stockholders under the caption “Certain Relationships and Related Transactions,” which information is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by this item appears in our definitive proxy statement for our annual meeting of stockholders under the caption “Audit Committee Report — Fees Paid to the Principal Accountant,” which information is incorporated herein by reference.

PART IV

Item 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a) (1) See the index to consolidated financial statements and schedule provided in Item 8 for a list of the financial statements filed as part of this report.

(2) Financial statement schedules are omitted because they are either not applicable or not material.

(3) The following documents are filed, furnished or incorporated by reference as exhibits to this report as required by Item 601 of Regulation S-K.

- 71 -

---

Table of Contents

| Exhibit<br>Number | Description   |
|-------------------|---|
| 3.1*              | Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of PGT, Inc.  |
| 3.2*              | Amended and Restated By-Laws of PGT, Inc.   |
| 4.1               | Form of Specimen Certificate (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Amendment No. 2 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 26, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)  |
| 4.2               | Amended and Restated Security Holders' Agreement, by and among PGT, Inc., JLL Partners Fund IV, L.P., and the stockholders named therein, dated as of June 27, 2006 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 11, 2006, Registration No. 000-52059)  |
| 4.3               | PGT Savings Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to the Company's Form S-8 Registration Statement, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 15, 2007, Registration No. 000-52059)  |
| 10.1              | Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of February 14, 2006 among PGT Industries, Inc., as Borrower, JLL Window Holdings, Inc. and the other Guarantors party thereto, as Guarantors, the lenders party thereto, UBS Securities LLC, as Arranger, Bookmanager, Co-Documentation Agent and Syndication Agent, UBS AG, Stamford Branch, as Issuing Bank, Administrative Agent and Collateral Agent, UBS Loan Finance LLC, as Swingline Lender and General Electric Capital Corporation, as Co-Documentation Agent (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 21, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365) |
| 10.2              | Amendment No. 2 to Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of April 30, 2008 among PGT Industries, Inc., UBS AG, Stamford Branch, as administrative agent and the Lenders, as defined therein, amending the Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of February 14, 2006 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 1, 2008 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 1, 2008, Registration No. 000-52059)  |
| 10.3              | Amended and Restated Pledge and Security Agreement dated as of February 14, 2006, by PGT Industries, Inc., JLL Window Holdings, Inc. and the other Guarantors party thereto in favor of UBS AG, Stamford Branch, as First Lien Collateral Agent (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 21, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)  |
| 10.5              | PGT, Inc. 2004 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 21, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)  |
| 10.6              | Form of PGT, Inc. 2004 Stock Incentive Plan Stock Option Agreement (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 21, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)   |
| 10.7*             | PGT, Inc. 2006 Amended and Restated Equity Incentive Plan   |
| 10.8              |   |

Edgar Filing: PGT, Inc. - Form 10-K

Form of PGT, Inc. 2006 Equity Incentive Plan Non-qualified Stock Option Agreement (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 8, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)

- 10.9 Form of Employment Agreement, dated February 20, 2009, between PGT Industries, Inc. and, individually, Rodney Hershberger, Jeffery T. Jackson, C. Douglas Cross, Mario Ferrucci III, Deborah L. LaPinska and David B. McCutcheon (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 20, 2009, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 26, 2009, Registration No. 000-52059)

- 72 -

---

Table of Contents

- 10.10 Form of Director Indemnification Agreement (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.17 to Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 8, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)
- 10.11 Form of PGT, Inc. Rollover Stock Option Agreement (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.18 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 21, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)
- 10.12 Market Alliance Agreement between PGT Industries, Inc. and E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, dated February 27, 2009, with portions omitted pursuant to a request for confidential treatment (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 27, 2009, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 5, 2009, Registration No. 000-52059)
- 10.13 Form of PGT, Inc. 2006 Management Incentive Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.23 to Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 8, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)
- 10.14 Form of PGT, Inc. 2006 Equity Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Award Agreement (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.24 to Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 8, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)
- 10.15 Form of PGT, Inc. 2006 Equity Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.25 to Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 8, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)
- 10.16 Form of PGT, Inc. 2006 Equity Incentive Plan Incentive Stock Option Agreement (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.26 to Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 8, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365)
- 10.17\* Form of PGT, Inc. 2006 Equity Incentive Plan Replacement Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement
- 21.1\* Subsidiaries of the Registrant
- 23.1\* Consent of Ernst & Young LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
- 31.1\* Certification of chief executive officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 31.2\* Certification of chief financial officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.1\*\* Certification of chief executive officer and chief financial officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

\* Filed herewith.

\*\* Furnished herewith.

Table of Contents

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

PGT, INC.  
(Registrant)

Date: March 18, 2010

/s/ RODNEY HERSHBERGER  
Rodney Hershberger  
President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: March 18, 2010

/s/ JEFFERY T.  
JACKSON  
Jeffery T. Jackson  
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

The undersigned hereby constitute and appoint Mario Ferrucci, III and his substitutes our true and lawful attorneys-in-fact with full power to execute in our name and behalf in the capacities indicated below any and all amendments to this report and to file the same, with all exhibits thereto and other documents in connection therewith, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, and hereby ratify and confirm all that such attorney-in-fact or his substitutes shall lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue thereof. Pursuant to the requirements of the Exchange Act, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| Signature  | Title   | Date           |
|--|---|----------------|
| /s/ RODNEY HERSHBERGER<br>Rodney Hershberger       | President and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer and Director)                  | March 18, 2010 |
| /s/ JEFFREY T. JACKSON<br>Jeffrey T. Jackson       | Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer) | March 18, 2010 |
| /s/ PAUL S. LEVY<br>Paul S. Levy                   | Chairman and Director   | March 18, 2010 |
| /s/ ALEXANDER R. CASTALDI<br>Alexander R. Castaldi | Director  | March 18, 2010 |
| /s/ RICHARD D. FEINTUCH<br>Richard D. Feintuch     | Director  | March 18, 2010 |



Edgar Filing: PGT, Inc. - Form 10-K

|  |          |                   |
|--|----------|-------------------|
| /s/ RAMSEY A. FRANK<br>Ramsey A. Frank     | Director | March 18,<br>2010 |
| /s/ M. JOSEPH MCHUGH<br>M. Joseph McHugh   | Director | March 18,<br>2010 |
| /s/ FLOYD F. SHERMAN<br>Floyd F. Sherman   | Director | March 18,<br>2010 |
| /s/ RANDY L. WHITE<br>Randy L. White       | Director | March 18,<br>2010 |
| /s/ BRETT N. MILGRIM<br>Brett N. Milgrim   | Director | March 18,<br>2010 |
| /s/ WILLIAM J. MORGAN<br>William J. Morgan | Director | March 18,<br>2010 |
| /s/ DANIEL AGROSKIN<br>Daniel Agroskin     | Director | March 18,<br>2010 |

Table of Contents

## APPENDIX

RECONCILIATION OF NON-GAAP FINANCIAL MEASURES TO THEIR GAAP  
EQUIVALENTS

(unaudited - in thousands, except per share amounts)

|   | Year Ended         |                    |                         |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
|   | January 2,<br>2010 | January 3,<br>2009 | December<br>29,<br>2007 |
| Reconciliation to Adjusted Net<br>(Loss) Income and Adjusted Net<br>(Loss) Income per pro forma<br>share (1): |                    |                    |                         |
| Net (loss) income   | \$ (9,417 )        | \$ (163,032)       | \$ 623                  |
| Reconciling items:  |                    |                    |                         |
| Goodwill and intangible<br>impairment charges (2)   | -                  | 187,748            | -                       |
| Asset impairment charges (3)  | 742                | -                  | 826                     |
| Restructuring charges (4)   | 5,395              | 2,131              | 2,375                   |
| Tax effect of reconciling items   | -                  | (28,313)           | (1,248 )                |
| Adjusted net (loss) income  | \$ (3,280 )        | \$ (1,466)         | \$ 2,576                |
| Weighted average shares<br>outstanding:   |                    |                    |                         |
| Diluted shares (5)  | 36,451             | 32,104             | 30,212                  |
| Pro forma diluted shares  | 36,451             | 32,104             | 30,212                  |
| Adjusted net (loss) income per<br>pro forma share - diluted   | \$ (0.09 )         | \$ (0.05)          | \$ 0.09                 |
| Reconciliation to EBITDA and<br>Adjusted EBITDA:  |                    |                    |                         |
| Net (loss) income   | \$ (9,417 )        | \$ (163,032)       | \$ 623                  |
| Reconciling items:  |                    |                    |                         |
| Depreciation and amortization<br>expense  | 16,166             | 17,088             | 15,988                  |
| Interest expense  | 6,698              | 9,283              | 11,404                  |
| Income tax (benefit) expense  | (5,584 )           | (28,789)           | 456                     |
| EBITDA  | 7,863              | (165,450)          | 28,471                  |
| Add:  |                    |                    |                         |
| Goodwill and<br>intangible<br>impairment charges<br>(2)   |                    | 187,748            | -                       |
| Asset impairment<br>charges (3)   | 742                | -                  | 826                     |
|   | 5,395              | 2,131              | 2,375                   |

Edgar Filing: PGT, Inc. - Form 10-K

Restructuring  
charges (4)

|  |    |        |    |        |    |        |   |
|--|----|--------|----|--------|----|--------|---|
| Adjusted EBITDA                              | \$ | 14,000 | \$ | 24,429 | \$ | 31,672 |   |
| Adjusted EBITDA<br>as percentage of<br>sales |    | 8.4    | %  | 11.2   | %  | 11.4   | % |

---

Table of Contents

- (1) The Company's non-GAAP financial measures were explained in its Form 8-K filed February 11, 2010.
  - (2) The Company completed its annual impairment tests in the fourth quarter of 2008, which resulted in additional impairment charges totaling \$94.1 million, of which \$76.3 million related to goodwill and \$178 million related to trademarks. As of the end of 2008, the Company's goodwill had zero carrying value for financial reporting purposes. The non-cash impairment charges taken in the fourth quarter 2008, coupled with prior non-cash impairments, bring total non-cash impairment charges taken in 2008 to \$187.7 million.
    - (3) Represents the write-downs of the value of the Lexington, North Carolina property.
  - (4) Represents charges related to restructuring actions in 2009, 2008 and 2007. These charges relate primarily to employee separation costs. Of the \$5.4 million restructuring charge in 2009, \$3.1 million is included in cost of goods sold and \$2.3 million is included in selling, general and administrative expenses. Of the \$2.1 million restructuring charge in 2008, \$1.1 million was included in cost of goods sold and \$1.0 million was included in selling, general and administrative expenses. Of the \$2.4 million restructuring charge in 2007, \$0.7 million was included in cost of goods sold and \$1.7 million was included in selling, general and administrative expenses.
  - (5) Weighted average common shares outstanding for all periods presented have been restated to give effect to the bonus element of the 2010 rights offering.
-

