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PART 1 - ITEM 1 - FINANCIAL STATEMENTS ENTERPRISE FINANCIAL SERVICES CORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited)
$\left.\begin{array}{l|cc} & \begin{array}{c}\text { At September } \\ \text { (In thousands, except share and per share data) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { At December 31, } \\ \text { Assets }\end{array} \\ \text { Cash and due from banks } & & 2009\end{array}\right]$

Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity

| Deposits: |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Demand deposits | $\$$ | 304,221 |
| Interest-bearing transaction accounts | 187,426 | $\$$ |
| Money market accounts | 704,198 | 142,061 |
| Savings | 10,299 | 690,552 |
| Certificates of deposit: | 8,822 |  |
| \$100k and over | 512,837 | 443,067 |
| Other | 320,889 | 367,256 |
| Total deposits | $2,039,870$ | $1,941,416$ |
| Subordinated debentures | 85,081 | 85,081 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances | 122,300 | 128,100 |
| Other borrowings | 63,196 | 39,338 |
| Accrued interest payable | 1,391 | 2,125 |
| Other liabilities | 11,826 | 5,683 |
| Total liabilities | $2,323,664$ | $2,201,743$ |

Shareholders' equity:

| Preferred stock, $\$ 0.01$ par value; |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| $5,000,000$ shares authorized; |  |
| 35,000 shares issued and outstanding | 32,335 |
| Common stock, $\$ 0.01$ par value; |  |
| $30,000,000$ shares authorized; $14,929,912$ and | 149 |
| $12,958,820$ shares issued, respectively | $(1,743)$ |
| Treasury stock, at cost; 76,000 shares | 133,408 |
| Additional paid in capital | 14,298 |
| Retained earnings | 2,133 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 180,580 |

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.
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## ENTERPRISE FINANCIAL SERVICES CORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited)

| (In thousands, except per share data) | Three months ended September 30, 20102009 |  |  |  | Nine months ended September 30,$2010 \quad 2009$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest and fees on loans | \$ | 30,196 | \$ | 28,750 | \$ | 80,095 | \$ | 86,420 |
| Interest on debt securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable |  | 1,626 |  | 1,421 |  | 5,306 |  | 3,738 |
| Nontaxable |  | 48 |  | 5 |  | 98 |  | 18 |
| Interest on federal funds sold |  | - |  | 2 |  | 9 |  | 4 |
| Interest on interest-bearing deposits |  | 73 |  | 31 |  | 256 |  | 59 |
| Dividends on equity securities |  | 89 |  | 105 |  | 253 |  | 233 |
| Total interest income |  | 32,032 |  | 30,314 |  | 86,017 |  | 90,472 |
| Interest expense: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest-bearing transaction accounts |  | 194 |  | 165 |  | 649 |  | 507 |
| Money market accounts |  | 1,598 |  | 1,535 |  | 4,445 |  | 4,558 |
| Savings |  | 9 |  | 9 |  | 26 |  | 27 |
| Certificates of deposit: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$100 and over |  | 2,223 |  | 3,837 |  | 7,547 |  | 12,217 |
| Other |  | 1,306 |  | 2,055 |  | 4,625 |  | 5,765 |
| Subordinated debentures |  | 1,261 |  | 1,267 |  | 3,729 |  | 3,928 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances |  | 1,081 |  | 1,250 |  | 3,288 |  | 3,568 |
| Notes payable and other borrowings |  | 70 |  | 2,813 |  | 193 |  | 8,176 |
| Total interest expense |  | 7,742 |  | 12,931 |  | 24,502 |  | 38,746 |
| Net interest income |  | 24,290 |  | 17,383 |  | 61,515 |  | 51,726 |
| Provision for loan losses |  | 7,650 |  | 6,480 |  | 30,410 |  | 32,012 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses |  | 16,640 |  | 10,903 |  | 31,105 |  | 19,714 |
| Noninterest income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wealth Management revenue |  | 1,326 |  | 1,135 |  | 3,925 |  | 3,522 |
| Service charges on deposit accounts |  | 1,208 |  | 1,247 |  | 3,594 |  | 3,791 |
| Other service charges and fee income |  | 308 |  | 242 |  | 823 |  | 714 |
| Sale of other real estate |  | 144 |  | 86 |  | 434 |  | 143 |
| State tax credit activity, net |  | 884 |  | 911 |  | 2,253 |  | 973 |
| Sale of investment securities |  | 124 |  | - |  | 1,206 |  | 952 |
| Extinguishment of debt |  | - |  | 5,326 |  |  |  | 5,326 |
| Miscellaneous income |  | 2,057 |  | 126 |  | 2,913 |  | 231 |
| Total noninterest income |  | 6,051 |  | 9,073 |  | 15,148 |  | 15,652 |
| Noninterest expense: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employee compensation and benefits |  | 7,363 |  | 6,744 |  | 20,996 |  | 19,352 |
| Occupancy |  | 901 |  | 1,227 |  | 3,171 |  | 3,520 |
| Furniture and equipment |  | 341 |  | 377 |  | 1,035 |  | 1,065 |
| Data processing |  | 539 |  | 542 |  | 1,670 |  | 1,567 |
| FDIC insurance |  | 1,147 |  | 597 |  | 3,212 |  | 3,222 |
| Goodwill impairment charge |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 45,377 |
| Loan legal and other real estate expense |  | 2,694 |  | 1,100 |  | 5,635 |  | 3,520 |
| Other |  | 2,473 |  | 2,386 |  | 7,540 |  | 7,072 |
| Total noninterest expense |  | 15,458 |  | 12,973 |  | 43,259 |  | 84,695 |
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See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.
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## ENTERPRISE FINANCIAL SERVICES CORP AND SUBSIDIARIES <br> Consolidated Statement of Shareholders' Equity (Unaudited)



See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

## Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) (Unaudited)

| (in thousands) | Three months ended September 30, 20102009 |  |  |  | Nine months ended September 30, 20102009 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net income (loss) | \$ | 4,971 | \$ | 4,687 | \$ | 2,694 | \$ | $(47,101)$ |
| Other comprehensive income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unrealized gain on investment securities arising during the period, net of tax |  | 581 |  | 1,181 |  | 2,091 |  | 1,672 |
| Less reclassification adjustment for realized gain on sale of securities included in net income, net of tax |  | (79) |  |  |  | (772) |  | (609) |
| Reclassification of cash flow hedge, net of tax |  | (40) |  | (40) |  | (119) |  | (119) |
| Total other comprehensive income |  | 462 |  | 1,141 |  | 1,200 |  | 944 |
| Total comprehensive income (loss) | \$ | 5,433 | \$ | 5,828 | \$ | 3,894 | \$ | $(46,157)$ |

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.
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## ENTERPRISE FINANCIAL SERVICES CORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)

| (in thousands) |  | nths ended |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash flows from operating activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Net income (loss) | \$ | 2,694 | \$ | $(47,101)$ |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities |  |  |  |  |
| Depreciation |  | 2,211 |  | 2,688 |
| Provision for loan losses |  | 30,410 |  | 32,012 |
| Deferred income taxes |  | $(4,811)$ |  | $(2,692)$ |
| Net amortization of debt securities |  | 2,433 |  | 740 |
| Amortization of intangible assets |  | 321 |  | 813 |
| Gain on sale of investment securities |  | $(1,206)$ |  | (952) |
| Mortgage loans originated |  | $(60,268)$ |  | $(72,098)$ |
| Proceeds from mortgage loans sold |  | 58,292 |  | 72,080 |
| Gain on sale of other real estate |  | (434) |  | (143) |
| Gain on state tax credits, net |  | $(2,253)$ |  | (973) |
| Excess tax expense on additional share-based compensation from acquisition of Clayco |  | - |  | 364 |
| Excess tax expense (benefit) of share-based compensation |  | 260 |  | (27) |
| Share-based compensation |  | 1,440 |  | 1,529 |
| Goodwill impairment charge |  | - |  | 45,377 |
| Net accretion of loans covered under FDIC loss share and indemnification asset |  | $(8,206)$ |  | - |
| Changes in: |  |  |  |  |
| Accrued interest receivable and income tax receivable |  | 6,222 |  | 543 |
| Accrued interest payable and other liabilities |  | $(1,077)$ |  | (414) |
| Prepaid FDIC insurance |  | 2,241 |  | - |
| Other, net |  | 5,978 |  | 3,047 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities |  | 34,247 |  | 34,793 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Cash received from sale of Millennium Brokerage Group |  | 4,000 |  | - |
| Cash paid for acquisition of Home National Bank |  | $(224,471)$ |  | - |
| Net (increase) decrease in loans |  | $(2,314)$ |  | 48,583 |
| Net cash proceeds received in FDIC-assisted transaction |  | 5,009 |  | - |
| Proceeds from the sale/maturity/redemption/recoveries of: |  |  |  |  |
| Debt securities, available for sale |  | 193,659 |  | 72,323 |
| Other investments |  | 5,375 |  | - |
| State tax credits held for sale |  | 5,100 |  | 3,349 |
| Other real estate |  | 9,991 |  | 11,750 |
| Loans previously charged off |  | 1,894 |  | 607 |
| Payments for the purchase/origination of: |  |  |  |  |
| Available for sale debt securities |  | $(172,001)$ |  | $(171,820)$ |
| Other investments |  | $(5,403)$ |  | $(1,896)$ |
| Bank owned life insurance |  | $(20,000)$ |  | - |
| State tax credits held for sale |  | $(10,779)$ |  | $(11,752)$ |
| Fixed assets |  | (805) |  | (401) |
| Net cash used in investing activities |  | $(210,745)$ |  | $(49,257)$ |
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| Cash flows from financing activities: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net increase in noninterest-bearing deposit accounts |  | 14,562 |  | 10,540 |
| Net increase in interest-bearing deposit accounts |  | 83,891 |  | 50,307 |
| Proceeds from Federal Home Loan Bank advances |  | 52,780 |  | 20,000 |
| Repayments of Federal Home Loan Bank advances |  | $(58,580)$ |  | (956) |
| Net proceeds from federal funds purchased |  | 5,000 |  | $(19,400)$ |
| Net increase in other borrowings |  | 18,857 |  | 11,540 |
| Cash dividends paid on common stock |  | $(2,341)$ |  | $(2,021)$ |
| Cash dividends paid on preferred stock |  | $(1,312)$ |  | $(1,147)$ |
| Excess tax expense on additional share-based compensation from acquisition of Clayco |  | - |  | (364) |
| Excess tax (expense) benefit of share-based compensation |  | (260) |  | 27 |
| Preferred stock issuance cost |  |  |  | (130) |
| Issuance of common stock |  | 14,882 |  | - |
| Proceeds from the exercise of common stock options |  | 365 |  | 362 |
| Net cash provided by financing activities |  | 127,844 |  | 68,758 |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents |  | $(48,654)$ |  | 54,294 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period |  | 106,966 |  | 42,647 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$ | 58,312 | \$ | 96,941 |
| Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information: |  |  |  |  |
| Cash paid during the period for: |  |  |  |  |
| Interest | \$ | 25,236 | \$ | 38,816 |
| Income taxes |  | 1,324 |  | 360 |
| Noncash transactions: |  |  |  |  |
| Transfer to other real estate owned in settlement of loans | \$ | 24,173 | \$ | 22,378 |
| Sales of other real estate financed |  | 6,771 |  | 4,277 |

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

## ENTERPRISE FINANCIAL SERVICES CORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements Unaudited

## NOTE 1—SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The significant accounting policies used by Enterprise Financial Services Corp (the "Company" or "Enterprise") in the preparation of the consolidated financial statements are summarized below:

## Basis of Financial Statement Presentation

Enterprise is a financial holding company that provides a full range of banking and wealth management services to individuals and corporate customers located in the St. Louis, Kansas City and Phoenix metropolitan markets through its banking subsidiary, Enterprise Bank \& Trust ("the Bank").

The consolidated financial statements of the Company and its subsidiaries have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("U.S. GAAP") for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X. They do not include all information and footnotes required by U.S. GAAP for complete financial statements. The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its subsidiaries, all of which are wholly owned. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included.

On December 11, 2009, the Bank entered into an agreement with the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") and acquired certain assets and assumed certain liabilities of Valley Capital Bank N.A. ("Valley Capital"), a full service community bank that was headquartered in Mesa, Arizona.

On January 20, 2010, the Company sold its interest in Millennium Brokerage Group, LLC ("Millennium") for $\$ 4.0$ million in cash. In connection with the sale, the Company recorded a $\$ 1.6$ million pre-tax loss from the sale of Millennium in the fourth quarter of 2009. As a result of the sale, Millennium's financial results are reported as discontinued operations for all periods presented.

On July 9, 2010, the Bank entered into a loan sale agreement with the FDIC to purchase the loans originated and other real estate acquired by the Arizona operations of Home National Bank ("Home National"), of Blackwell Oklahoma.

For more information on the above transactions, see Note 3 - Acquisitions and Divestitures in this report and Note 3 - Acquisitions and Divestitures in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Operating results for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for any other interim period or for the year ending December 31, 2010. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

## Loan Participations

During a review of loan participation agreements in the third quarter of 2009, the Company determined that certain of its loan participation agreements contained language inconsistent with sale accounting treatment. The agreements provided the Company with the unilateral ability to repurchase participated portions of loans at their outstanding loan balance plus accrued interest at any time, which conflicts with sale accounting treatment. As a result, rather than accounting for loans participated to other banks as sales, the Company should have recorded the participated portion of the loans as portfolio loans, and should have recorded secured borrowings from the participating banks to finance such loans. In order to correct the error, the Company recorded the participated portion of such loans as portfolio loans, along with a secured borrowing liability (included in Other borrowings in the consolidated balance sheets) to finance the loans. The Company also recorded incremental interest income on the loans offset by incremental interest expense on the secured borrowing. Additional provisions for loan losses and the related income tax effect were also recorded. The revision did not impact net cash provided by operating activities.

In the fourth quarter of 2009, the Company obtained amended agreements so that all of the Company's loan participation agreements qualify for sale accounting treatment as of December 31, 2009.
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## NOTE 2-EARNINGS (LOSS) PER SHARE

Basic earnings (loss) per common share data is calculated by dividing net income (loss) available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings (loss) per common share gives effect to all dilutive potential common shares outstanding during the period using the treasury stock method and the if-converted method for convertible securities related to the issuance of trust preferred securities. The following table presents a summary of per common share data and amounts for the periods indicated.

| (in thousands, except per share data) | Three months ended September 30, |  |  |  | Nine months ended September 30,$2010 \quad 2009$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net income (loss) from continuing operations | \$ | 4,971 | \$ | 4,758 | \$ | 2,694 | \$ | $(47,051)$ |
| Net loss from discontinued operations |  | - |  | (71) |  | - |  | (50) |
| Net income (loss) |  | 4,971 |  | 4,687 |  | 2,694 |  | $(47,101)$ |
| Preferred stock dividend |  | (437) |  | (438) |  | $(1,312)$ |  | $(1,313)$ |
| Accretion of preferred stock discount |  | (181) |  | (167) |  | (533) |  | (493) |
| Net income (loss) available to common shareholders | \$ | 4,353 | \$ | 4,082 | \$ | 849 | \$ | $(48,907)$ |
| Impact of assumed conversions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest on 9\% convertible trust preferred securities, net of income tax |  | 371 |  | 371 |  | - |  | - |
| Net income (loss) available to common shareholders and assumed conversions | \$ | 4,724 | \$ | 4,453 | \$ | 849 | \$ | $(48,907)$ |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding |  | 14,854 |  | 12,834 |  | 14,710 |  | 12,832 |
| Incremental shares from assumed conversions of convertible trust preferred securities |  | 1,439 |  | 1,439 |  | - |  | - |
| Additional dilutive common stock equivalents |  | - |  | 4 |  | - |  | - |
| Diluted common shares outstanding |  | 16,293 |  | 14,277 |  | 14,710 |  | 12,832 |
| Basic earnings (loss) per common share: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From continuing operations | \$ | 0.29 | \$ | 0.33 | \$ | 0.06 | \$ | (3.81) |
| From discontinued operations |  | - |  | (0.01) |  | - |  | - |
| Total | \$ | 0.29 | \$ | 0.32 | \$ | 0.06 | \$ | (3.81) |
| Diluted earnings (loss) earnings per common share: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From continuing operations | \$ | 0.29 | \$ | 0.32 | \$ | 0.06 | \$ | (3.81) |
| From discontinued operations |  | - |  | (0.01) |  | - |  | - |
| Total | \$ | 0.29 | \$ | 0.31 | \$ | 0.06 | \$ | (3.81) |

For the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, there were 977,000 and 873,000 of weighted average common stock equivalents excluded from the per share calculations because their effect was anti-dilutive. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, there were 2.4 million and 2.3 million of weighted average common stock equivalents excluded from the per share calculation because their effect was anti-dilutive. In addition, at September 30, 2010 and 2009, the Company had outstanding warrants to purchase 324,074 shares of common stock associated with the U.S. Treasury Capital Purchase Program which were excluded from the per common share calculation because their effect was also anti-dilutive.
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## NOTE 3-ACQUISITIONS AND DIVESTITURES

## Acquisition of Home National

On July 9, 2010, the Bank acquired approximately $\$ 256.0$ million in Arizona-originated assets from the FDIC in connection with the failure of Home National, an Oklahoma bank with operations in Arizona. The Bank acquired the loans originated and other real estate at a discount of $12.5 \%$. As part of the purchase transaction, the Bank and the FDIC entered into a loss sharing agreement on the assets acquired. The Bank did not assume any deposits or acquire any branches or other assets of Home National in the transaction.

The following table summarizes the estimated fair values of the assets acquired at the date of acquisition:

| (in thousands) |  | Amount |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Loans | $\$$ | 136,093 |
| Other real estate owned | 5,469 |  |
| Indemnification Asset |  | 82,422 |
| Other assets | $\$ 887$ |  |
| Total | $\$$ | 224,471 |

The FDIC will reimburse the Bank for $80 \%$ of all losses on loans and other real estate covered under the agreement ("Covered Assets"). Reimbursement for losses on single family one-to-four residential mortgage loans are made quarterly until the end of the quarter in which the tenth anniversary of the closing of the acquisition occurs, and reimbursement for losses on non-single family one-to-four residential mortgage loans are made quarterly until the end of the quarter in which the fifth anniversary of the closing of the acquisition occurs. The loss sharing agreement is subject to the servicing procedures as specified in the agreement with the FDIC.

The loans and other real estate acquired are recorded at estimated fair value. As such, there was no allowance for credit losses established related to the acquired loans at July 9, 2010 and no carryover of the related allowance from Home National. The loans are accounted for in accordance with guidance for certain loans acquired in a transfer, when the loans have evidence of credit deterioration and it is probable at the date of acquisition that the acquirer will not collect all contractually required principal and interest payments. The difference between contractually required payments and the cash flows expected to be collected at acquisition is referred to as the non-accretable difference. Subsequent decreases to the expected cash flows will generally result in a provision for loan losses. Subsequent increases in cash flows result in a reversal of the provision for loan losses to the extent of prior charges and an adjustment in accretable yield, which will have a positive impact on interest income.

The reimbursable losses from the FDIC are based on the book value of the Covered Assets as determined by the FDIC as of the date of the acquisition. The expected reimbursements under the loss sharing agreement were recorded as an indemnification asset at their estimated fair value.

At July 9, 2010, the cash flows expected to be collected on the credit-impaired loans acquired in the Home National acquisition were $\$ 171.2$ million. The estimated fair value of the credit-impaired loans was $\$ 121.7$ million, net of an accretable yield of $\$ 49.5$ million. A majority of these loans were valued based on the liquidation value of the underlying collateral because the future cash flows are primarily based on the liquidation of underlying collateral.

For the three months ended September 30, 2010, $\$ 3.0$ million was accreted into interest income from the credit-impaired loans and $\$ 921,000$ was accreted into Other income from the indemnification asset. At September 30, 2010, the remaining accretable difference for the loans was approximately $\$ 47.0$ million and $\$ 9.4$ million for the indemnification asset.

The determination of the initial fair value of loans and other real estate acquired in the transaction and the initial fair value of the related FDIC indemnification asset involve a high degree of judgment and complexity. The carrying value of the acquired loans and other real estate and the FDIC indemnification asset reflect management's best estimate of the fair value of each of these assets as of the date of acquisition. However, the amount that the Bank realizes on these assets could differ materially from the carrying value reflected in these financial statements, based upon the timing and amount of collections on the acquired loans in future periods. To the extent the actual values realized for the acquired loans are different from the estimate, the indemnification asset will generally be affected in an offsetting manner due to the loss sharing support from the FDIC, thus limiting the Bank's loss exposure.

## Edgar Filing: ENTERPRISE FINANCIAL SERVICES CORP - Form 10-Q

## Acquisition of Valley Capital

On December 11, 2009, the Bank entered into a loss sharing agreement with the FDIC and acquired certain assets and assumed certain liabilities of Valley Capital, a full service community bank that was headquartered in Mesa, Arizona.

The loans and foreclosed assets purchased are covered by a loss sharing agreement between the FDIC and the Bank. For further information on the Valley Capital loss sharing agreement, refer to Note 3 - Acquisitions and Divestitures in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

The Bank initially recorded the tangible assets and liabilities at their preliminary fair value of approximately $\$ 42.4$ million, and $\$ 43.4$ million, respectively. Subsequent to the initial fair value estimate, additional information was obtained on the credit quality of certain loans and the valuation of Other real estate as of the acquisition date which resulted in refinements to the initial fair value estimates.

The following table summarizes the estimated fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the date of the acquisition and the impact of the fair value refinements.

|  | Preliminary <br> December 31, |  | Adjusted <br> December 31, |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| (in thousands) | 2009 |  | Refinements | 2009 |

At September 30, 2010, the estimate of the cash flows expected to be collected on the credit-impaired loans acquired in the Valley Capital acquisition was $\$ 9.1$ million. The estimated fair value of the credit-impaired loans was $\$ 7.7$ million, net of an accretable yield of $\$ 1.4$ million. A majority of these loans were valued based on the liquidation value of the underlying collateral because the future cash flows are primarily based on the liquidation of underlying collateral.

At September 30, 2010, the estimate of the cash flows expected to be collected on non-credit-impaired loans acquired in the Valley Capital acquisition was $\$ 5.3$ million. The estimated fair value of the non-credit-impaired loans was $\$ 3.9$ million, net of an accretable yield of $\$ 1.4$ million.

For the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2010, $\$ 397,000$ and $\$ 922,000$, respectively, was accreted into interest income from the credit-impaired and non-credit-impaired loans and $\$ 212,000$ and $\$ 469,000$, respectively, was accreted into Other income from the indemnification asset. At September 30, 2010, the remaining accretable difference for the loans was approximately $\$ 2.3$ million and $\$ 232,000$ for the indemnification asset.

Year-to-date the Bank has received loss share claims of $\$ 5.0$ million under the terms of the loss share agreement from the FDIC.
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## NOTE 4-INVESTMENTS

The following table presents the amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses and fair value of securities available-for-sale:

September 30, 2010

| (in thousands) | Amortized <br> Cost |  | Gross <br> Unrealized <br> Gains |  | Gross <br> Unrealized <br> Losses |  | Fair Value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Available for sale securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Obligations of U.S. Government agencies | \$ | 22,094 | \$ | 313 | \$ | - | \$ | 22,407 |
| Obligations of U.S. Government sponsored enterprises |  | 14,478 |  | 178 |  | - |  | 14,656 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions |  | 12,213 |  | 236 |  | (137) |  | 12,312 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities |  | 209,580 |  | 2,961 |  | (278) |  | 212,263 |
|  | \$ | 258,365 | \$ | 3,688 | \$ | (415) | \$ | 261,638 |

December 31, 2009

| (in thousands) | Amortized |  | Gross |  | Gross |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unrealized |  | Unrealized |  |  |  |
| Available for sale securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Obligations of U.S. Government agencies | , | 26,940 | \$ | 249 | \$ | - | \$ | 27,189 |
| Obligations of U.S. Government sponsored enterprises |  | 75,880 |  | 115 |  | (181) |  | 75,814 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions |  | 3,868 |  | 10 |  | (471) |  | 3,408 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities |  | 174,562 |  | 1,960 |  | (471) |  | 176,050 |
|  | \$ | 281,250 | \$ | 2,334 | \$ | $(1,123)$ |  | 282,46 |

At September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, there were no holdings of securities of any one issuer, other than U.S. government agencies and sponsored enterprises, in an amount greater than $10 \%$ of shareholders' equity. The residential mortgage-backed securities are all issued by U.S. government sponsored enterprises. Available for sale securities having a carrying value of $\$ 167.0$ million and $\$ 66.4$ million at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, were pledged as collateral to secure public deposits and for other purposes as required by law or contract provisions.

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of debt securities classified as available for sale at September 30, 2010, by contractual maturity, are shown below. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties

|  | Amortized <br> Cost |  | Estimated <br> (in thousands) |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Cair Value |  |  |  |  |
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The following table represents a summary of available-for-sale investment securities that had an unrealized loss:

|  |  | r 30, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | n 12 mon |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { mol } \\ & \text { re } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | eal |  |  |  |  |
| (in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ses |  |  |  |  |
| Obligations of the state and political subdivisions | \$ | 2,348 | \$ | 137 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 2,348 | \$ | 137 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities |  | 45,549 |  | 278 |  | - |  | - |  | 45,549 |  | 278 |
|  | \$ | 47,897 | \$ | 415 | \$ | - | S | - | \$ | 47,897 | \$ | 415 |


|  |  | ber 31, 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | an 12 mo |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | ized |  |  |  | realiz |  |  |  | ized |
| (in thousands) |  | alue |  |  | Fa |  |  | ses |  | alue |  |  |
| Obligations of U.S. government sponsored agencies | \$ | 29,557 | \$ | 181 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 29,557 | \$ | 181 |
| Obligations of the state and political subdivisions |  | 2,830 |  | 471 |  | - |  | - |  | 2,830 |  | 471 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities |  | 74,625 |  | 471 |  | - |  | - |  | 74,625 |  | 471 |
|  | \$ | 107,012 | \$ | 1,123 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 107,012 | \$ | 1,123 |

The unrealized losses at both September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, were attributable to changes in market interest rates since the securities were purchased. Management systematically evaluates investment securities for other-than-temporary declines in fair value on a quarterly basis. This analysis requires management to consider various factors, which include (1) the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected compared to the amortized cost of the security, (2) duration and magnitude of the decline in value, (3) the financial condition of the issuer or issuers, (4) structure of the security and (5) the intent to sell the security or whether its more likely than not that the Company would be required to sell the security before its anticipated recovery in market value. At September 30, 2010, management performed its quarterly analysis of all securities with an unrealized loss and concluded no individual securities were other-than-temporarily impaired.

The gross gains and gross losses realized from sales of available-for-sale investment securities were as follows:

|  | Three months ended September 30, |  |  |  | Nine months ended September 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross gains realized | \$ | 124 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,206 | \$ | 952 |
| Gross losses realized |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| Net gains realized | \$ | 124 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,206 | \$ | 952 |

## NOTE 5-GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Goodwill is tested for impairment annually and more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the asset might be impaired. At March 31, 2009, the Company recorded an impairment charge of $\$ 45.4$ million. The impairment charge was primarily driven by the deterioration in the general economic environment and the resulting decline in the Company's share price and market capitalization in the first quarter of 2009 .

At September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the Company's Banking segment had $\$ 2.1$ million of Goodwill from the acquisition of Valley Capital.

The table below summarizes the changes to intangible asset balances. Core deposit intangibles are related to the Banking reporting unit.

Core Deposit<br>Intangible
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| Balance at December 31, 2009 | $\$$ | 1,643 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amortization expense | $\$$ | $(321)$ |
| Balance at September 30, 2010 | $\$, 322$ |  |
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The following table reflects the expected amortization schedule for the core deposit intangibles.

| Year | Core Deposit <br> Intangible |  |
| :---: | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| 2010 | $\$$ | 99 |
| 2011 |  | 358 |
| 2012 |  | 296 |
| 2013 |  | 234 |
| 2014 |  | 172 |
| After 2014 |  | 163 |
|  | $\$$ | 1,322 |

## NOTE 6-DISCLOSURES ABOUT FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The Bank issues financial instruments with off balance sheet risk in the normal course of the business of meeting the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. These instruments may involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest-rate risk in excess of the amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheets.

The Bank's extent of involvement and maximum potential exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other party to the financial instrument for commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit is represented by the contractual amount of these instruments. The Bank uses the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as it does for financial instruments included on its consolidated balance sheets. At September 30, 2010, there was $\$ 1.4$ million of unadvanced commitments on impaired loans. Approximately $\$ 280,000$ of the allowance for loan loss reserve was attributable to the unadvanced commitments on impaired loans.

The contractual amount of off-balance-sheet financial instruments as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 are as follows:

|  | September 30, | December 31, |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (in thousands) | 2010 | 2009 |  |
| Commitments to extend credit | $\$$ | 416,547 | $\$$ |
| Standby letters of credit |  | 29,670 |  |

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments usually have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Of the total commitments to extend credit at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, approximately $\$ 64.6$ million and $\$ 84.3$ million, respectively, represent fixed rate loan commitments. Since certain of the commitments may expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. The Bank evaluates each customer's credit worthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary by the Bank upon extension of credit, is based on management's credit evaluation of the borrower. Collateral held varies, but may include accounts receivable, inventory, premises and equipment, and real estate.

Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Bank to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. These standby letters of credit are issued to support contractual obligations of the Bank's customers. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as the risk involved in extending loans to customers. The approximate remaining term of standby letters of credit range from 6 months to 5 years at September 30, 2010.
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## NOTE 7—DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES

The Company is a party to various derivative financial instruments that are used in the normal course of business to meet the needs of its clients and as part of its risk management activities. These instruments include interest rate swaps, option contracts and foreign exchange forward contracts. The Company does not enter into derivative financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

Interest rate swap contracts involve the exchange of fixed and floating rate interest payment obligations without the exchange of the underlying principal amounts. The Company enters into interest rate swap contracts on behalf of its clients and also utilizes such contracts to reduce or eliminate the exposure to changes in the cash flows or fair value of hedged assets or liabilities due to changes in interest rates. Interest rate option contracts consist of caps and provide for the transfer or reduction of interest rate risk in exchange for a fee. Foreign exchange forward contracts are agreements between two parties to exchange a specified amount of one currency for another currency at a specified foreign exchange rate on a future date. The Company enters into foreign exchange forward contracts with its clients and enters into an offsetting foreign exchange contract with established financial institution counterparties.

All derivative financial instruments, whether designated as hedges or not, are recorded on the consolidated balance sheets at fair value within Other assets or Other liabilities. The accounting for changes in the fair value of a derivative in the consolidated statements of operations depends on whether the contract has been designated as a hedge and qualifies for hedge accounting. At September 30, 2010, the Company did not have any derivatives designated as cash flow or fair value hedges.

Using derivative instruments means assuming counterparty credit risk. Counterparty credit risk relates to the loss the Company could incur if a counterparty were to default on a derivative contract. Notional amounts of derivative financial instruments do not represent credit risk, and are not recorded in the consolidated balance sheets. They are used merely to express the volume of this activity. The overall credit risk and exposure to individual counterparties is monitored. The Company does not anticipate nonperformance by any counterparties. The amount of counterparty credit exposure is the unrealized gains and losses, if any, on such derivative contracts. At September 30, 2010, the Company had accepted cash of $\$ 2.4$ million and $\$ 2.6$ million of pledged securities as collateral in connection with our interest rate swap agreements. At December 31, 2009, we had pledged cash of $\$ 1.5$ million as collateral in connection with our interest rate swap agreements.

Risk Management Instruments. The Company enters into certain derivative contracts to economically hedge state tax credits and certain loans.

- Economic hedge of state tax credits. In November 2008, the Company paid $\$ 2.1$ million to enter into a series of interest rate caps in order to economically hedge changes in the fair value of the state tax credits held for sale. In February 2010, the Company paid $\$ 750,000$ for an additional series of interest rate caps. See Note 9-Fair Value Measurements for further discussion of the fair value of the state tax credits.
- Economic hedge of prime based loans. Previously, the Company had two outstanding interest rate swap agreements whereby the Company paid a variable rate of interest equivalent to the prime rate and received a fixed rate of interest. The interest rate swaps had notional values of $\$ 40.0$ million each and the Company received fixed rates of $4.81 \%$ and $4.25 \%$, respectively. The swaps were designed to hedge the cash flows associated with a portion of prime based loans. The derivatives had previously been designated as cash flow hedges. However, in December 2008, due to a variable rate differential, the Company concluded the cash flow hedges would not be prospectively effective and the hedges were dedesignated. The swaps were terminated in February 2009, at which time the Company recognized a loss of $\$ 530,000$ upon termination. The loss was included in Miscellaneous income in the consolidated statement of operations. The unrealized gain prior to dedesignation was included in Accumulated other comprehensive income and is being amortized over the expected life of the related loans. At September 30, 2010, the amount remaining in Accumulated other comprehensive income was $\$ 139,000$. For the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, $\$ 62,000$ was amortized into Miscellaneous income. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, \$186,000 was amortized into Miscellaneous income. The Company expects to reclassify $\$ 121,000$ of remaining derivative gains from Accumulated other comprehensive income to earnings over the next twelve months.
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The table below summarizes the notional amounts and fair values of the derivative instruments used to manage risk.

| (in thousands) |  |  | Asset Derivatives (Other Assets) |  | Liability Derivatives (Other Liabilities) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Notional Amount |  | Fair Value September |  | Fair Value September |  |
|  | September $30,2010$ | December 31, 2009 | $\begin{aligned} & 30, \\ & 2010 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { December } 31 \text {, } \\ & 2009 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30, \\ & 2010 \end{aligned}$ | December $\text { 31, } 2009$ |
| Non-designated hedging instruments |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest rate cap contracts | \$348,550 | \$ 84,050 | \$ 303 | \$ 1,117 | \$ | \$ |

The following table shows the location and amount of gains and losses related to derivatives used for risk management purposes that were recorded in the consolidated statements of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009.

| (in thousands) | Location of Gain or (Loss) <br> Recognized in Operations on Derivative | Amount of Gain or (Loss) <br> Recognized in Operations on <br> Derivative <br> Three months ended September <br> 30, <br> 2010 <br> 2009 |  |  |  | Amount of Gain or (Loss) <br> Recognized in Operations on Derivative <br> Nine months ended September 30, 20102009 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-designated hedging instruments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest rate cap contracts | State tax credit activity, net | \$ | (324) | \$ | (188) | \$ | $(1,565)$ | \$ | 464 |
| Interest rate swap contracts | Miscellaneous income | \$ | 62 | \$ | 62 | \$ | 186 | \$ | (344) |

Client-Related Derivative Instruments. As an accommodation to certain customers, the Company enters into interest rate swaps to economically hedge changes in fair value of certain loans. During the first quarter of 2010, the Company entered into two new client-related interest rate swaps with notional values of $\$ 40.0$ million each.

During the second quarter of 2010, the Company entered into several foreign exchange forward contracts with clients and entered into offsetting foreign exchange forward contracts with established financial institution counterparties. The table below summarizes the notional amounts and fair values of the client-related derivative instruments.

| (in thousands) |  |  | Asset Derivatives (Other Assets) |  | Liability Derivatives (Other Liabilities) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Notional Amount |  | Fair Value September |  | Fair Value September |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | September $30,2010$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { December 31, } \\ & 2009 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30, \\ & 2010 \end{aligned}$ | December $31,2009$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 2010 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Non-designated hedging instruments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest rate swap contracts | \$ 109,336 | \$ 30,279 | \$ 3,280 | \$ 120 | \$ 4,571 | \$ | 1,105 |
| Foreign exchange forward contracts | \$ 163 | \$ | \$ 163 | \$ | \$ 163 | \$ | - |

Changes in the fair value of client-related derivative instruments are recognized currently in operations. The following table shows the location and amount of gains and losses recorded in the consolidated statements of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009.
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NOTE 8-COMPENSATION PLANS

The Company maintains a number of share-based incentive programs, which are discussed in more detail in Note 17 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. There were no stock options or restricted stock units granted in the first nine months of 2010 . There were 150,000 stock-settled stock appreciation rights issued in the first nine months of 2010. The share-based compensation expense was $\$ 501,000$ and $\$ 1.6$ million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. The share-based compensation expense was $\$ 494,000$ and $\$ 1.6$ million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, respectively.
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Employee Stock Options and Stock-settled Stock Appreciation Rights ("SSAR")
At September 30, 2010, there was $\$ 3,000$ and $\$ 2.1$ million of total unrecognized compensation costs related to stock options and SSAR's, respectively, which is expected to be recognized over weighted average periods of 0.25 and 2.8 years, respectively. Following is a summary of the employee stock option and SSAR activity for the first nine months of 2010.

|  | Shares | Weighted |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Weighted | Average |  |
|  |  | Average | Remaining | Aggregate |
|  |  | Exercise | Contractual | Intrinsic |
| (Dollars in thousands, except share data) |  | Price | Term | Value |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2009 | 803,735 | \$ 16.77 |  |  |
| Granted | 150,000 | 10.24 |  |  |
| Exercised | - | - |  |  |
| Forfeited | $(43,828)$ | 16.20 |  |  |
| Outstanding at September 30, 2010 | 909,907 | \$ 15.72 | 5.7 years |  |
| Exercisable at September 30, 2010 | 558,899 | \$ 15.51 | 4.0 years | \$ |
| Vested and expected to vest at September 30, 2010 | 669,288 | \$ 16.42 | 5.7 years | \$ |

## Restricted Stock Units ("RSU")

At September 30, 2010, there was $\$ 1.1$ million of total unrecognized compensation costs related to the RSU's, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.7 years. A summary of the Company's restricted stock unit activity for the first nine months of 2010 is presented below.

|  | Weighted <br> Average <br> Grant Date |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Cutstanding at December 31, 2009 | Shares |  | Fair Value |

## Stock Plan for Non-Management Directors

Shares are issued twice a year and compensation expense is recorded as the shares are earned, therefore, there is no unrecognized compensation expense related to this plan. The Company recognized $\$ 0$ and $\$ 158,000$ of share-based compensation expense for the directors for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. The Company recognized $\$ 0$ and $\$ 105,000$ of share-based compensation expense for the directors for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, respectively. Pursuant to this plan, the Company issued 16,823 and 8,829 shares in the first nine months of 2010 and 2009, respectively.

## Employee Stock Issuance

Restricted stock was granted to certain key employees as part of their compensation. The restricted stock may be in a form of a one-time award or in paid pro-rata installments. The stock is restricted for 2 years and upon issuance may be fully vested or vest over five years. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, the Company recognized $\$ 5,000$ and $\$ 47,000$ of share-based compensation related to these awards and issued 8,999 shares year to date.

In conjunction with the Company's short-term incentive plan, in February 2010, the Company issued 13,660 restricted shares to certain key employees. The compensation expense related to these shares was expensed in 2009. For further information on the short-term incentive plan, refer to the Compensation Discussion and Analysis in the Company's Proxy Statement for the 2010 annual meeting.
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## Moneta Plan

As of December 31, 2006, the fair value of all Moneta options had been expensed. As a result, there have been no option-related expenses for Moneta in 2010 or 2009 . Following is a summary of the Moneta stock option activity for the first nine months of 2010.

|  | Shares | Weighted |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Weighted | Average |  |
|  |  | Average | Remaining | Aggregate |
|  |  | Exercise | Contractual | Intrinsic |
| (Dollars in thousands, except share data) |  | Price | Term | Value |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2009 | 29,346 | \$ 14.10 |  |  |
| Granted | - | - |  |  |
| Exercised | - | - |  |  |
| Forfeited | $(3,241)$ | 18.25 |  |  |
| Outstanding at September 30, 2010 | 26,105 | 13.58 | 1.4 years | \$ |
| Exercisable at September 30, 2010 | 26,105 | \$ 13.58 | 1.4 years | \$ |

## NOTE 9—FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Below is a description of certain assets and liabilities measured at fair value.

The following table summarizes financial instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of September 30, 2010, segregated by the level of the valuation inputs within the fair value hierarchy utilized to measure fair value.

| (in thousands) | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant <br> Other <br> Observable <br> Inputs <br> (Level 2) | Significant <br> Unobservable <br> Inputs <br> (Level 3) | Total Fair Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |
| Securities available for sale |  |  |  |  |
| Obligations of U.S. Government agencies | \$ - | \$ 22,407 | \$ - | \$ 22,407 |
| Obligations of U.S. Government sponsored enterprises | - | 14,656 | - | 14,656 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions |  | 9,044 | 3,268 | 12,312 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | - | 201,851 | 10,412 | 212,263 |
| Total securities available for sale | \$ - | \$ 247,958 | \$ 13,680 | \$ 261,638 |
| Portfolio loans | - | 16,584 | - | 16,584 |
| State tax credits held for sale | - | - | 33,692 | 33,692 |
| Derivative financial instruments | - | 3,746 | - | 3,746 |
| Total assets | \$ - | \$ 268,288 | \$ 47,372 | \$ 315,660 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Liabilities |  |  |  |  |
| Derivative financial instruments | \$ - | \$ 4,734 | \$ | \$ 4,734 |
| Total liabilities | \$ - | \$ 4,734 | \$ | \$ 4,734 |
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- Securities available for sale. Securities classified as available for sale are reported at fair value utilizing Level 2 and Level 3 inputs. The Company obtains fair value measurements from an independent pricing service. The fair value measurements consider observable data that may include dealer quotes, market spreads, cash flows, the U.S. Treasury yield curve, live trading levels, trade execution data, market consensus prepayment speeds, credit information and the bond's terms and conditions. As of September 30, 2010, Level 3 securities available for sale include three Auction Rate Securities and one newly-issued mortgage-backed security purchased in September, 2010.
- Portfolio Loans. Certain fixed rate portfolio loans are accounted for as trading instruments and reported at fair value. Fair value on these loans is determined using a third party valuation model with observable Level 2 market data inputs.
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- State tax credits held for sale. At September 30, 2010, of the $\$ 61.0$ million of state tax credits held for sale on the consolidated balance sheet, approximately $\$ 33.7$ million were carried at fair value. The remaining $\$ 27.3$ million of state tax credits were accounted for at lower of cost or market. The Company elected not to account for state tax credits purchased in the first nine months of 2010 at fair value in order to limit the volatility of the fair value changes in the consolidated statements of operations.

The fair value of the state tax credits carried at fair value increased by $\$ 2.5$ million in the first nine months of 2010 compared to a $\$ 280,000$ decrease in the first nine months of 2009. These fair value changes are included in State tax credit activity, net in the consolidated statements of operations.

The Company is not aware of an active market that exists for the 10 -year streams of state tax credit financial instruments. However, the Company's principal market for these tax credits consists of Missouri state residents who buy these credits and local and regional accounting firms who broker them. As such, the Company employed a discounted cash flow analysis (income approach) to determine the fair value.

The fair value measurement is calculated using an internal valuation model with observable market data including discounted cash flows based upon the terms and conditions of the tax credits. Assuming that the underlying project remains in compliance with the various federal and state rules governing the tax credit program, each project will generate about 10 years of tax credits. The inputs to the fair value calculation include: the amount of tax credits generated each year, the anticipated sale price of the tax credit, the timing of the sale and a discount rate. The discount rate is defined as the LIBOR swap curve at a point equal to the remaining life in years of credits plus a 205 basis point spread. With the exception of the discount rate, the other inputs to the fair value calculation are observable and readily available. The discount rate is considered a Level 3 input because it is an "unobservable input" and is based on the Company's assumptions. Given the significance of this input to the fair value calculation, the state tax credit assets are reported as Level 3 assets.

- Derivatives. Derivatives are reported at fair value utilizing Level 2 inputs. The Company obtains counterparty quotations to value its interest rate swaps and caps and quoted prices to value its foreign exchange forward contracts. Derivatives with negative fair values are included in Other liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets. Derivatives with positive fair value are included in Other assets in the consolidated balance sheets.

The following tables present the changes in Level 3 financial instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis.


## Edgar Filing: ENTERPRISE FINANCIAL SERVICES CORP - Form 10-Q

| (in thousands) | Nine months ended September 30, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 <br> Securities available for |  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
|  |  | Securities <br> available <br> for <br> sale, <br> at <br> fair State tax credits <br> value held for sale |  |  |  | edits <br> e |
| Beginning balance | \$ 2,830 | \$ - | \$ | 32,485 | \$ | 39,142 |
| Total gains (realized and unrealized): |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Included in earnings | - | - |  | 2,947 |  | 509 |
| Included in other comprehensive income | 20 | - |  | - |  | - |
| Purchases, sales, issuances and settlements, net | 10,830 | - |  | $(1,740)$ |  | $(3,082)$ |
| Transfer in and/or out of Level 3 | - | - |  | - |  | - |
| Ending balance | \$ 13,680 | \$ | \$ | 33,692 | \$ | 36,569 |
| Change in unrealized gains relating to assets still held at the reporting date | \$ 20 | \$ - | \$ | 1,079 | \$ | (280) |

From time to time, the Company measures certain assets at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. These include assets that are measured at the lower of cost or fair value that were recognized at fair value at the end of the period. The following table presents financial instruments measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis as of September 30, 2010.

| (in thousands) | Total Fair <br> Value |  | Quoted <br> Prices <br> in <br> Active <br> Markets <br> for <br> Identical <br> Assets <br> (Level <br> 1) | SignificantOther Significant |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Impaired loans | \$ | 23,426 | \$ - | \$ |  | \$ | 23,426 | \$ | $(28,300)$ |
| Other real estate |  | 9,041 | - |  | - |  | 9,041 |  | $(3,304)$ |
| Total | \$ | 32,466 | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | 32,467 | \$ | $(31,604)$ |

Impaired loans are reported at the fair value of the underlying collateral. Fair values for impaired loans are obtained from current appraisals by qualified licensed appraisers or independent valuation specialists. Other real estate owned is adjusted to fair value upon foreclosure of the underlying loan. Subsequently, foreclosed assets are carried at the lower of carrying value or fair value less costs to sell. Fair value of other real estate is based upon the current appraised values of the properties as determined by qualified licensed appraisers and the Company's judgment of other relevant market conditions.
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Following is a summary of the carrying amounts and fair values of the Company's financial instruments on the consolidated balance sheets at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

| (in thousands) | September 30, 2010 |  |  |  | December 31, 2009 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Carrying <br> Amount |  | Estimated fair value |  | Carrying Amount |  | Estimated fair value |  |
| Balance sheet assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ | 21,125 | \$ | 21,125 | \$ | 16,064 | \$ | 16,064 |
| Federal funds sold |  | 1,599 |  | 1,599 |  | 7,472 |  | 7,472 |
| Interest-bearing deposits |  | 35,588 |  | 35,588 |  | 83,430 |  | 83,430 |
| Securities available for sale |  | 261,638 |  | 261,638 |  | 282,461 |  | 282,461 |
| Other investments |  | 13,217 |  | 13,217 |  | 13,189 |  | 13,189 |
| Loans held for sale |  | 5,910 |  | 5,910 |  | 4,243 |  | 4,243 |
| Derivative financial instruments |  | 3,746 |  | 3,746 |  | 1,237 |  | 1,237 |
| Portfolio loans, net |  | 1,883,845 |  | 1,899,840 |  | 1,789,130 |  | 1,793,498 |
| State tax credits, held for sale |  | 61,007 |  | 62,466 |  | 51,258 |  | 51,258 |
| Accrued interest receivable |  | 7,573 |  | 7,573 |  | 7,751 |  | 7,751 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance sheet liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits |  | 2,039,870 |  | 2,043,217 |  | 1,941,416 |  | 1,944,910 |
| Subordinated debentures |  | 85,081 |  | 44,407 |  | 85,081 |  | 43,060 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances |  | 122,300 |  | 135,705 |  | 128,100 |  | 138,688 |
| Other borrowings |  | 63,196 |  | 63,214 |  | 39,338 |  | 39,360 |
| Derivative financial instruments |  | 4,734 |  | 4,734 |  | 1,105 |  | 1,105 |
| Accrued interest payable |  | 1,391 |  | 1,391 |  | 2,125 |  | 2,125 |

For information regarding the methods and assumptions used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instruments for which it is practical to estimate such value, refer to Note 20 - Fair Value Measurements in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

## NOTE 10—SEGMENT REPORTING

The Company has two primary operating segments, Banking and Wealth Management, which are delineated by the products and services that each segment offers. The segments are evaluated separately on their individual performance, as well as their contribution to the Company as a whole.

The Banking operating segment consists of a full-service commercial bank, with locations in St. Louis, Kansas City, and Phoenix. The majority of the Company's assets and income result from the Banking segment. All banking locations have the same product and service offerings, have similar types and classes of customers and utilize similar service delivery methods. Pricing guidelines and operating policies for products and services are the same across all regions.

The Wealth Management segment includes the Trust division of the Bank and the state tax credit brokerage activities. The Trust division provides estate planning, investment management, and retirement planning as well as consulting on management compensation, strategic planning and management succession issues. State tax credits are part of a fee initiative designed to augment the Company's wealth management segment and banking lines of business.

The Corporate segment's principal activities include the direct ownership of the Company's banking subsidiary and the issuance of debt and equity. Its principal sources of liquidity are dividends from the Bank and stock option exercises.

The financial information for each business segment reflects that information which is specifically identifiable or which is allocated based on an internal allocation method. There were no material intersegment revenues among the three segments. Management periodically makes changes to methods of assigning costs and income to its business segments to better reflect operating results. When appropriate, these changes are reflected in prior year information presented below.
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Following are the financial results for the Company's operating segments.


|  | At December 31, 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Banking |  | Wealth <br> Management |  | Corporate and Intercompany |  | Total |  |
| Loans, less unearned loan fees | \$ | 1,832,125 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 1,832,125 |
| Goodwill |  | 2,064 |  | - |  | - |  | 2,064 |
| Intangibles, net |  | 1,643 |  | - |  | - |  | 1,643 |
| Deposits |  | 1,960,942 |  | - |  | $(19,526)$ |  | 1,941,416 |
| Borrowings |  | 121,442 |  | 48,496 |  | 82,581 |  | 252,519 |
| Total assets |  | 2,287,935 |  | 59,225 |  | 18,495 |  | 2,365,655 |
| Income Statement Information | Three months ended September 30, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net interest income (expense) | \$ | 25,831 | \$ | (380) | \$ | $(1,161)$ | \$ | 24,290 |
| Provision for loan losses |  | 7,650 |  | - |  | - |  | 7,650 |
| Noninterest income |  | 3,746 |  | 2,210 |  | 95 |  | 6,051 |
| Noninterest expense |  | 12,942 |  | 1,610 |  | 906 |  | 15,458 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax expense (benefit) |  | 8,985 |  | 220 |  | $(1,972)$ |  | 7,233 |
| Income tax expense (benefit) |  | 2,955 |  | 81 |  | (774) |  | 2,262 |
| Net income (loss) from continuing operations | \$ | 6,030 | \$ | 139 | \$ | $(1,198)$ | \$ | 4,971 |


| Three months ended September 30, 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net interest income (expense) | \$ | 18,866 | \$ | (316) | \$ | $(1,167)$ | \$ | 17,383 |
| Provision for loan losses |  | 6,480 |  | - |  | - |  | 6,480 |
| Noninterest income |  | 7,014 |  | 2,046 |  | 13 |  | 9,073 |
| Noninterest expense |  | 10,149 |  | 1,730 |  | 1,094 |  | 12,973 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax benefit |  | 9,251 |  | - |  | $(2,248)$ |  | 7,003 |
| Income tax expense (benefit) |  | 3,414 |  | - |  | $(1,169)$ |  | 2,245 |
| Net income (loss) from continuing operations |  | 5,837 |  | - |  | $(1,079)$ |  | 4,758 |
| Loss from discontinued operations before income tax expense |  | - |  | (129) |  | - |  | (129) |
| Income tax benefit |  | - |  | (58) |  | - |  | (58) |
| Net loss from discontinued operations |  | - |  | (71) |  | - |  | (71) |
| Total net income (loss) | \$ | 5,837 | \$ | (71) | \$ | $(1,079)$ | \$ | 4,687 |


| Income Statement Information | Nine months ended September 30, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net interest income (expense) | \$ | 65,972 | \$ | $(1,024)$ | \$ | $(3,433)$ | \$ | 61,515 |
| Provision for loan losses |  | 30,410 |  | - |  | - |  | 30,410 |
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| Noninterest income | 8,829 | 6,178 | 141 | 15,148 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Noninterest expense | 35,345 | 4,964 | 2,950 | 43,259 |  |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax expense (benefit) | 9,046 | 190 | $(6,242)$ | 2,994 |  |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | 2,981 | 70 | $(2,751)$ | 300 |  |
| Net income (loss) from continuing operations | $\$$ | 6,065 | $\$$ | 120 | $\$$ |


| Nine months ended September 30, 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net interest income (expense) | \$ | 56,211 | \$ | (860) | \$ | $(3,625)$ | \$ | 51,726 |
| Provision for loan losses |  | 32,012 |  | - |  | - |  | 32,012 |
| Noninterest income |  | 11,128 |  | 4,496 |  | 29 |  | 15,652 |
| Noninterest expense |  | 30,934 |  | 5,129 |  | 3,255 |  | 39,318 |
| Goodwill impairment |  | 45,377 |  | - |  | - |  | 45,377 |
| Loss from continuing operations before income tax benefit |  | $(40,985)$ |  | $(1,493)$ |  | $(6,851)$ |  | $(49,329)$ |
| Income tax expense (benefit) |  | 1,572 |  | (689) |  | $(3,161)$ |  | $(2,278)$ |
| Net loss from continuing operations |  | $(42,557)$ |  | (804) |  | $(3,690)$ |  | $(47,051)$ |
| Loss from discontinued operations before income tax expense |  | - |  | (93) |  | - |  | (93) |
| Income tax benefit |  | - |  | (43) |  | - |  | (43) |
| Net loss from discontinued operations |  | - |  | (50) |  | - |  | (50) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total net loss | \$ | $(42,557)$ | \$ | (854) | \$ | $(3,690)$ | \$ | $(47,101)$ |
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## ITEM 2: MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Some of the information in this report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws. Forward-looking statements typically are identified with use of terms such as "may," "will," "expect," "anticipate," "estimate," "potential," "could", and similar words, alt some forward-looking statements are expressed differently. Our ability to predict results or the actual effects of future plans or strategies is inherently uncertain. You should be aware that the Company'sactual results could differ materially from those contained in the forward-looking statements due to a number of factors, including: burdens imposed by federal and state regulation, changes in accounting regulation or standards of banks; credit risk; exposure to general and local economic conditions; risks associated with rapid increase or decrease in prevailing interest rates; consolidation within the banking industry; competition from banks and other financial institutions; our ability to attract and retain relationship officers and other key personnel and technological developments; and other risks discussed in more detail in Item 1A: "Risk Factors" on our most recently filed Form 10-K and in Part II, Item IA of this form 10-Q,, all of which could cause the Company's actual results to differ from those set forth in the forward-looking statements.

Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on our forward-looking statements, which reflect management's analysis only as of the date of the statements. The Company does not intend to publicly revise or update forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances that arise after the date of this report. Readers should carefully review all disclosures we file from time to time with the Securities and Exchange Commission which are available on our website at www.enterprisebank.com.

## Introduction

The following discussion describes the significant changes to the financial condition of the Company that have occurred during the first nine months of 2010 compared to the financial condition as of December 31, 2009. In addition, this discussion summarizes the significant factors affecting the consolidated results of operations, liquidity and cash flows of the Company for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to the same periods in 2009. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the accompanying consolidated financial statements included in this report and our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

## Executive Summary

The Company reported net income of $\$ 2.7$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, compared to a $\$ 47.1$ million net loss from the same period in 2009. After deducting dividends on preferred stock, the Company reported net income per fully diluted share of $\$ 0.06$ compared to a net loss of $\$ 3.81$ for the same period in 2009. The net loss reported for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 was driven by $\$ 32.0$ million in loan loss provision and a $\$ 45.4$ million non-cash accounting charge to eliminate banking segment goodwill.

Net income for the quarter ended September 30, 2010 was $\$ 5.0$ million compared to $\$ 4.7$ million for the same period of 2009. After deducting dividends on preferred stock, the Company reported net income per fully diluted share from continuing operations of $\$ 0.29$ compared to $\$ 0.31$ for the third quarter of 2009 . The third quarter 2009 results include a $\$ 5.3$ million pre-tax gain from the extinguishment of debt related to loan participation accounting adjustments.

On July 9, 2010, the Bank acquired approximately $\$ 256.0$ million in assets from the FDIC in connection with the failure of Home National Bank, an Oklahoma bank with operations in Arizona. The Company acquired the loans originated and other real estate at a discount of $12.5 \%$. As part of the purchase transaction, the Bank and the FDIC entered into a loss sharing agreement on the assets acquired. The Bank did not assume any deposits or acquire any branches or other assets of Home National in the transaction. With this acquisition, the Bank's Arizona assets are now approximately $\$ 270.0$ million. The asset purchase contributed approximately $\$ 5.8$ million to the third quarter pre-tax results. See Note 3 - Acquisitions and Divestitures for more information.

Pre-tax, pre-provision income from continuing operations was $\$ 16.4$ million in the third quarter of 2010 , nearly double the comparable figure in the third quarter of 2009 and $70 \%$ higher than in the linked second quarter of 2010. In addition to the acquisition impact, results in the third quarter of 2010 were favorably impacted by lower provision for loan losses, level loan yields, and decreasing deposit costs. In addition, Wealth management revenues continue to improve, with revenues growing steadily in each of the past four quarters.

## Edgar Filing: ENTERPRISE FINANCIAL SERVICES CORP - Form 10-Q

Pre-tax, pre-provision income from continuing operations, which is a non-GAAP (Generally Accepted Accounting Principles) financial measure, is presented because the Company believes adjusting its results to exclude discontinued operations, loan loss provision expense, impairment charges, special FDIC assessments and unusual gains or losses provides shareholders with a more comparable basis for evaluating period-to-period operating results. A schedule reconciling GAAP pre-tax income (loss) to pre-tax, pre-provision income from continuing operations is provided in the table below.

|  | For the Quarter Ended |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (In thousands) | Sep 30, 2010 |  | Jun 30, 2010 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar 31, } \\ & 2010 \end{aligned}$ |  | Dec 31, 2009 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sep } 30, \\ & 2009 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Pre-tax income (loss) from continuing operations | \$ | 7,233 | \$ | 537 | \$ | $(4,776)$ | \$ | 8 | \$ | 7,003 |
| Sales and fair value writedowns of other real estate |  | 1,606 |  | 678 |  | 586 |  | 1,166 |  | 602 |
| Sale of securities |  | (124) |  | (525) |  | (557) |  | (3) |  | - |
| Gain on extinguishment of debt |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | $(2,062)$ |  | $(5,326)$ |
| FDIC special assessment (included in Other noninterest expense) |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |  | (202) |
| Income (loss) before income tax |  | 8,715 |  | 690 |  | $(4,747)$ |  | (891) |  | 2,077 |
| Provision for loan losses |  | 7,650 |  | 8,960 |  | 13,800 |  | 8,400 |  | 6,480 |
| Pre-tax, pre-provision income from continuing operations | \$ | 16,365 | \$ | 9,650 | \$ | 9,053 | \$ | 7,509 | \$ | 8,557 |

Below are additional highlights of our Banking and Wealth Management segments. For more information on our segments, see Note $10-$ Segment Reporting.

## Banking Segment

- Loans - Portfolio loans were $\$ 1.93$ billion at September 30, 2010, including $\$ 134.2$ million of loans covered under FDIC loss share agreements. Excluding the loans covered under loss share, portfolio loans increased $\$ 36.2$ million, or $8 \%$ annualized, during the third quarter of 2010. This growth reversed the trend of net reductions in loans outstanding that the Company, and the banking industry as a whole, has experienced in the recent past. The growth was related to Commercial \& Industrial loans. Excluding loans covered under loss share, more than $30 \%$ of the Company's loan portfolio consisted of Commercial \& Industrial loans at September 30, 2010. Loans decreased by $\$ 182.5$ million, or $9 \%$, from September 30, 2009 and increased by $\$ 98.7$ million, or $5 \%$, from December 31, 2009. A portion of the decline from September 30, 2009 includes the effects of derecognizing $\$ 229.0$ million in loan participations.
- Deposits - Total deposits were $\$ 2.04$ billion at September 30, 2010, an increase of $\$ 186.2$ million or $10 \%$, from September 30, 2009. Total deposits increased $\$ 218.0$ million, or $12 \%$, from June 30, 2010, and $\$ 98.5$ million, or 5\%, from December 31, 2009. Noninterest-bearing demand deposits represented $15 \%$ of total deposits at September 30, 2010 compared to $14 \%$ of total deposits at September 30, 2009 and $15 \%$ of total deposits at December 31, 2009.

Brokered time deposits were $\$ 218.0$ million at September 30, 2010, an increase of $\$ 10.4$ million, or 5\%, from September 30, 2009 and an increase of $\$ 61.8$ million, or $40 \%$, from December 31, 2009. The change in brokered time deposits includes the addition of $\$ 116$ million of brokered time deposits used to partially fund the Home National asset purchase. For the third quarter of 2010, brokered time deposits represented $11 \%$ of total deposits and remained flat with the third quarter of 2009. Excluding brokered time deposits, "core" deposits grew $\$ 175.8$ million, or $11 \%$, from a year ago and increased $\$ 101.4$ million, or $6 \%$, during the quarter. Core deposits include time deposits sold to clients through the reciprocal CDARS program. As of September 30, 2010, the Bank had $\$ 171.5$ million of reciprocal CDARS deposits outstanding compared to $\$ 133.0$ million at September 30, 2009 and $\$ 134.7$ million December 31, 2009.

The Company is continuing to drive core deposit growth through relationship selling while at the same time effectively managing the overall cost of funds.

- Asset quality Nonperforming loans totaled $\$ 51.9$ million at September 30, 2010, an increase of $\$ 5.4$ million from June 30, 2010 and an increase of $\$ 5.0$ million from September 30, 2009. Nonperforming loans increased $\$ 13.4$ million from December 31, 2009.

Provision for loan losses was $\$ 7.7$ million in the third quarter of 2010 compared to $\$ 9.0$ million in the second quarter of 2010 and $\$ 6.5$ million in the third quarter of 2009. The linked quarter decrease in loan loss provision was due to fewer loan risk rating downgrades during the quarter. Excluding the loans under FDIC loss share agreements, the Company's watch list credits as a percentage of total loans have remained relatively flat since year end 2009. The Company continues to monitor loan portfolio risk closely. See Provision for Loan Losses and Nonperforming Assets below for more information.
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- Interest rate margin - The net interest rate margin was $4.13 \%$ in the quarter ended September 30, 2010 compared to $3.46 \%$ in the second quarter of 2010. The net interest margin was favorably impacted by lower deposit costs, slightly improved earning asset mix, and the net interest income generated by the loans acquired in the Home National asset purchase. Absent the purchased loans, the third quarter net interest margin was $3.67 \%$, a $0.21 \%$ improvement over second quarter of 2010 . The net interest rate margin was $2.97 \%$ in the quarter ended September 30, 2009. Approximately $0.32 \%$ of the increase over the prior year quarter was due to the derecognition of the loan participations. For further information, refer to Loan Participations in Note 1 -Summary of Significant Accounting Policies.

For the nine month period ended September 30, 2010, the net interest rate margin was $3.75 \%$ compared to $3.03 \%$ for the nine month period September 30, 2009. Approximately $0.33 \%$ of the increase over the prior year was due to the derecognition of the loan participations. For further information, refer to Loan Participations in Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies.

## Wealth Management Segment

Fee income from the Wealth Management segment, including results from state tax credit brokerage activity, totaled $\$ 2.2$ million in the third quarter of 2010, an increase $8 \%$, from the same quarter of 2009. See Noninterest Income in this section for more information.

## Net Interest Income

Three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009
Net interest income (on a tax-equivalent basis) was $\$ 24.5$ million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 compared to $\$ 17.9$ million for the same period of 2009 , an increase of $\$ 6.7$ million, or $37 \%$. Total interest income increased $\$ 1.5$ million while total interest expense decreased $\$ 5.2$ million.

Average interest-earning assets decreased $\$ 126.3$ million, or $5 \%$, to $\$ 2.3$ billion for the quarter ended September 30, 2010 compared to $\$ 2.4$ billion for the quarter ended September 30, 2009. Loans decreased $\$ 219.6$ million, or $10 \%$, to $\$ 1.9$ billion, including the derecognition of $\$ 229.4$ million of loan participations. Investment securities increased $\$ 75.9$ million, or $42 \%$, to $\$ 258.6$ million from the third quarter of 2009 as increased core deposits were deployed to offset weak loan demand. Total interest income increased $\$ 1.5$ million, or $5 \%$, to $\$ 32.3$ million for the third quarter of 2010, compared to $\$ 30.8$ million for the third quarter of 2009. Interest income on loans, including covered loans, increased $\$ 4.5$ million due to higher rates, but was offset by a decrease of $\$ 3.3$ million due to lower volumes, for a net increase of $\$ 1.2$ million versus the third quarter of 2009. Interest income on investment securities and short term investments increased $\$ 590,000$ due to higher volumes, but was offset by a decrease of $\$ 293,000$ due to lower rates, for a net increase of $\$ 297,000$ compared to the same period in 2009.

For the quarter ended September 30, 2010, average interest-bearing liabilities decreased $\$ 78.4$ million, or $4 \%$, to $\$ 2.0$ billion compared to $\$ 2.1$ billion for the quarter ended September 30, 2009. The decline in interest-bearing liabilities resulted from a $\$ 230.2$ million decrease in borrowings related to the derecognition of loan participations and a $\$ 50.1$ million decrease in brokered certificates of deposit, offset by a $\$ 179.3$ million increase in interest-bearing core deposits and a $\$ 22.6$ million increase in other borrowings. For the third quarter of 2010, interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities decreased $\$ 1.5$ million due to decreases in volume, while the impact of declining rates decreased interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities by $\$ 3.6$ million versus third quarter of 2009 , for a net decrease of $\$ 5.1$ million.

The tax-equivalent net interest rate margin was $4.31 \%$ for the third quarter of 2010 compared to $2.97 \%$ for the same period of 2009. The increase in the margin was due to an increase in the yield on loans, the derecognition of loan participations, improved deposit mix and lower rates on paying liabilities, offset by a shift in earning asset mix from loans to investment securities and other short term investments. The net interest rate margin for the third quarter increased $0.85 \%$ compared to the second quarter of 2010 primarily due to the impact of the Home National asset purchase.

Nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009
Net interest income (on a tax-equivalent basis) was $\$ 62.3$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to $\$ 53.2$ million for the same period of 2009, an increase of $\$ 9.0$ million, or $17 \%$. Total interest income decreased $\$ 5.2$ million offset by a decrease in total interest expense of $\$ 14.2$ million. Average interest-earning assets decreased $\$ 130.0$ million, or $6 \%$, to $\$ 2.2$ billion for the nine months ended September 30 , 2010 compared to $\$ 2.3$ billion for the same period in 2009. Loans decreased $\$ 334.2$ million, or $15 \%$, to $\$ 1.8$ billion, including the derecognition of $\$ 229.4$ million of loan participations. Investment securities increased $\$ 129.8$ million, or $90 \%$, to $\$ 274.3$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to the same period in 2009 as increased core deposits were deployed to offset weak loan demand. Short-term investments, including cash balances at the Federal Reserve, increased $\$ 74.4$ million to $\$ 109.3$ million compared to $\$ 34.9$ million in the same period of 2009.
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Total interest income decreased $\$ 5.2$ million, or $6 \%$, to $\$ 86.8$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, compared to $\$ 92.0$ million for the same period in 2009. Interest income on loans increased $\$ 7.7$ million due to higher rates, but was offset by a decrease of $\$ 14.8$ million due to lower volumes, for a net decrease of $\$ 7.1$ million versus the first nine months of 2009.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, average interest-bearing liabilities decreased $\$ 143.4$ million, or $7 \%$, to $\$ 1.9$ billion compared to $\$ 2.1$ billion for the same period in 2009. The decline in interest-bearing liabilities resulted from a $\$ 231.2$ million decrease in borrowings related to the derecognition of loan participations, a $\$ 49.5$ million decrease in federal funds purchased, and a $\$ 112.1$ million decrease in brokered certificates of deposit, offset by a $\$ 226.8$ million increase in interest-bearing core deposits and a $\$ 22.6$ million increase in other borrowings. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities decreased $\$ 5.0$ million due to decreases in volume, while the impact of declining rates decreased interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities by $\$ 9.2$ million versus the same period of 2009, for a net decrease of $\$ 14.2$ million.

The tax-equivalent net interest rate margin was $3.75 \%$ for nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to $3.03 \%$ for the same period of 2009. The increase in the margin was due to the derecognition of loan participations, lower interest rates paid on core deposits, improved deposit mix and higher yields on loans, offset by lower yields on investments and a less favorable earning asset mix.
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## Average Balance Sheet

The following table presents, for the periods indicated, certain information related to our average interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, as well as, the corresponding interest rates earned and paid, all on a tax equivalent basis.


Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity
Interest-bearing liabilities:

| Interest-bearing transaction accounts | \$ | 187,015 | \$ | 194 | 0.41\% | \$ | 119,315 | \$ | 165 | 0.55\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Money market accounts |  | 723,475 |  | 1,598 | 0.88 |  | 625,952 |  | 1,535 | 0.97 |
| Savings |  | 10,493 |  | 9 | 0.34 |  | 9,272 |  | 9 | 0.39 |
| Certificates of deposit |  | 783,608 |  | 3,529 | 1.79 |  | 820,825 |  | 5,892 | 2.85 |
| Total interest-bearing deposits |  | 1,704,591 |  | 5,330 | 1.24 |  | 1,575,364 |  | 7,601 | 1.91 |
| Subordinated debentures |  | 85,081 |  | 1,261 | 5.88 |  | 85,081 |  | 1,267 | 5.91 |
| Borrowed funds |  | 199,097 |  | 1,151 | 2.29 |  | 406,728 |  | 4,063 | 3.96 |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities |  | 1,988,769 |  | 7,742 | 1.54 |  | 2,067,173 |  | 12,931 | 2.48 |
| Noninterest bearing liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Demand deposits |  | 304,129 |  |  |  |  | 250,866 |  |  |  |
| Other liabilities |  | 20,266 |  |  |  |  | 8,950 |  |  |  |
| Total liabilities |  | 2,313,164 |  |  |  |  | 2,326,989 |  |  |  |
| Shareholders' equity |  | 180,984 |  |  |  |  | 166,174 |  |  |  |
| Total liabilities \& shareholders' equity | \$ | 2,494,148 |  |  |  | \$ | 2,493,163 |  |  |  |
| Net interest income |  |  | \$ | 24,549 |  |  |  | \$ | 17,888 |  |
| Net interest spread |  |  |  |  | 4.13\% |  |  |  |  | 2.64\% |
| Net interest rate margin (4) |  |  |  |  | 4.31 |  |  |  |  | 2.97 |

(1) Average balances include non-accrual loans. The income on such loans is included in interest but is recognized only upon receipt. Loan fees, net of amortization of deferred loan origination fees and costs, included in interest income are approximately $\$ 300,000$ and $\$ 274,000$ for the quarters ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.
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(2) Non-taxable income is presented on a fully tax-equivalent basis using the combined statutory federal and state income tax in effect for the year. The tax-equivalent adjustments were $\$ 259,000$ and $\$ 505,000$ for the quarters ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.
(3) Covered loans are loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements and are recorded at fair value.
(4) Net interest income divided by average total interest-earning assets.
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| (in thousands) | Nine months ended September 30, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Interest <br> Income/ |  | Average |  |  | Interest |  | Average <br> Yield/ |
|  | Average |  |  |  | Yield/ |  | rage |  | me/ |  |
|  |  | nce |  |  | Rate |  | ance |  |  | Rate |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest-earning assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable loans (1) | \$ | 1,751,098 | \$ | 71,902 | 5.49\% | \$ | 2,109,542 | \$ | 83,814 | 5.31\% |
| Tax-exempt loans (2) |  | 29,183 |  | 1,950 | 8.93 |  | 58,942 |  | 4,098 | 9.30 |
| Covered loans (3) |  | 53,957 |  | 6,946 | 17.21 |  | - |  | - | 0.00 |
| Total loans |  | 1,834,238 |  | 80,798 | 5.89 |  | 2,168,484 |  | 87,912 | 5.42 |
| Taxable investments in debt and equity securities |  | 270,049 |  | 5,559 | 2.75 |  | 143,880 |  | 3,972 | 3.69 |
| Non-taxable investments in debt and equity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| securities (2) |  | 4,206 |  | 153 | 4.86 |  | 623 |  | 29 | 6.22 |
| Short-term investments |  | 109,367 |  | 265 | 0.32 |  | 34,926 |  | 62 | 0.24 |
| Total securities and short-term investments |  | 383,622 |  | 5,977 | 2.08 |  | 179,429 |  | 4,063 | 3.03 |
| Total interest-earning assets |  | 2,217,860 |  | 86,775 | 5.23 |  | 2,347,913 |  | 91,975 | 5.24 |
| Noninterest-earning assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks |  | 11,698 |  |  |  |  | 28,899 |  |  |  |
| Other assets |  | 207,410 |  |  |  |  | 146,357 |  |  |  |
| Allowance for loan losses |  | $(45,239)$ |  |  |  |  | $(42,117)$ |  |  |  |
| Total assets | \$ | 2,391,729 |  |  |  | \$ | 2,481,052 |  |  |  |

Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity
Interest-bearing liabilities:

(1) Average balances include non-accrual loans. The income on such loans is included in interest but is recognized only upon receipt. Loan fees, net of amortization of deferred loan origination fees and costs, included in interest income are approximately $\$ 1,246,000$ and $\$ 1,071,000$ for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.
(2) Non-taxable income is presented on a fully tax-equivalent basis using the combined statutory federal and state income tax in effect for the year. The tax-equivalent adjustments were $\$ 758,000$ and $\$ 1,503,000$ for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.
(3) Covered loans are loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements and are recorded at fair value.
(4) Net interest income divided by average total interest-earning assets.
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## Rate/Volume

The following table sets forth, on a tax-equivalent basis for the periods indicated, a summary of the changes in interest income and interest expense resulting from changes in yield/rates and volume.

2010 compared to 2009

| (in thousands) | 3 month <br> Increase (decrease) due to |  |  |  |  |  | 9 month |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Volume(1) |  | Rate(2) |  | Net |  | Volume(1) |  | Rate(2) |  | Net |  |
| Interest earned on: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable loans | \$ | $(2,779)$ | \$ | 4,694 | \$ | 1,915 | \$ | $(12,810)$ | \$ | 7,844 | , | $(4,966)$ |
| Nontaxable loans (3) |  | (550) |  | (190) |  | (740) |  | $(1,994)$ |  | (154) |  | $(2,148)$ |
| Taxable investments in debt and equity securities |  | 513 |  | (325) |  | 188 |  | 2,796 |  | $(1,209)$ |  | 1,587 |
| Nontaxable investments in debt and equity securities (3) |  | 69 |  | (2) |  | 67 |  | 131 |  | (7) |  | 124 |
| Short-term investments |  | 8 |  | 34 |  | 42 |  | 173 |  | 30 |  | 203 |
| Total interest-earning assets | \$ | $(2,739)$ | \$ | 4,211 | \$ | 1,472 | \$ | $(11,704)$ | \$ | 6,504 | \$ | $(5,200)$ |


| Interest paid on: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest-bearing transaction accounts | \$ | 77 | \$ | (48) | \$ | 29 | \$ | 249 | \$ | (107) | \$ | 142 |
| Money market accounts |  | 224 |  | (161) |  | 63 |  | 357 |  | (470) |  | (113) |
| Savings |  | 1 |  | (1) |  | - |  | 2 |  | (3) |  | (1) |
| Certificates of deposit |  | (256) |  | $(2,107)$ |  | $(2,363)$ |  | (144) |  | $(5,666)$ |  | $(5,810)$ |
| Subordinated debentures |  | - |  | (6) |  | (6) |  | - |  | (199) |  | (199) |
| Borrowed funds |  | $(1,595)$ |  | $(1,317)$ |  | $(2,912)$ |  | $(5,516)$ |  | $(2,747)$ |  | $(8,263)$ |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities |  | $(1,549)$ |  | $(3,640)$ |  | $(5,189)$ |  | $(5,052)$ |  | $(9,192)$ |  | $(14,244)$ |
| Net interest income | \$ | $(1,190)$ | \$ | 7,851 | \$ | 6,661 | \$ | $(6,652)$ | \$ | 15,696 | \$ | 9,044 |

(1) Change in volume multiplied by yield/rate of prior period.
(2) Change in yield/rate multiplied by volume of prior period.
(3) Nontaxable income is presented on a fully tax-equivalent basis using the combined statutory federal and state income tax rate in effect for each year. NOTE: The change in interest due to both rate and volume has been allocated to rate and volume changes in proportion to the relationship of the absolute dollar amounts of the change in each.

Provision for Loan Losses and Nonperforming Assets
The provision for loan losses in the third quarter of 2010 was $\$ 7.7$ million compared to $\$ 9.0$ million in the second quarter of 2010 and $\$ 6.5$ million in the second quarter of 2009 . The lower loan loss provision in the third quarter of 2010 compared to the second quarter of 2010 was due to fewer loan risk rating downgrades. The allowance for loan losses as a percentage of total loans was $2.43 \%$ at September 30 , 2010 representing $90 \%$ of nonperforming loans. The loan loss allowance was $2.55 \%$ in the second quarter of 2010 and $2.13 \%$ at September 31, 2009. Management believes that the allowance for loan losses is adequate at September 30, 2010.

For the third quarter of 2010 , the Company recorded net chargeoffs of $\$ 5.9$ million, or $1.23 \%$, of average portfolio loans on an annualized basis, compared to $\$ 7.8$ million, or $1.76 \%$, for the second quarter of 2010 and $\$ 6.2$ million, or $1.16 \%$, for the third quarter of 2009 . Approximately $40 \%$ of the chargeoffs in the third quarter of 2010 were related to investor-owned commercial real estate loans, $11 \%$ were related to commercial and industrial loans, and $45 \%$ were related to construction real estate loans. For the nine month period ended September 30, 2010, the Company recorded net chargeoffs of $\$ 26.4$ million. Approximately $46 \%$ of the chargeoffs for the first nine months of 2010 were related to investor-owned commercial real estate loans, $36 \%$ were related to construction real estate loans, and $10 \%$ were related to commercial and industrial loans.

At September 30, 2010, nonperforming loans were $\$ 51.9$ million, or $2.69 \%$, of total loans. This compares to $\$ 38.5 \mathrm{million}$, or $2.10 \%$, at December 31, 2009 and $\$ 47.0$ million, or $2.22 \%$, at September 30, 2009. The nonperforming loans are comprised of approximately 51 relationships with the largest being a $\$ 5.3$ million loan secured by a commercial office building in St. Louis. Eight relationships comprise almost $\$ 29.0$ million, or $56 \%$ of the total nonperforming loans. Approximately $57 \%$ of the nonperforming loans are located in the St. Louis region with the remainder located in the Kansas City region. At September 30, 2010, there were no performing restructured loans that have been excluded from the nonperforming loan amounts.
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Nonperforming loans based on Call Report codes were as follows:

| (in thousands) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { September } \\ & 30, \\ & 2010 \end{aligned}$ |  | June 30, $2010$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { March } \\ & 31, \\ & 2010 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { December } \\ & 31, \\ & 2009 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Construction, Real Estate/Land Acquisition and Development | \$ | 15,892 | \$ 18,897 | \$ | 20,119 | \$ | 21,682 |
| Commercial Real Estate |  | 21,644 | 18,481 |  | 26,485 |  | 9,384 |
| Residential Real Estate |  | 6,420 | 2,509 |  | 6,401 |  | 4,130 |
| Commercial \& Industrial |  | 7,999 | 6,663 |  | 2,695 |  | 3,254 |
| Consumer \& Other |  | - | - |  | 85 |  | 90 |
| Total | \$ | 51,955 | \$ 46,550 |  | 55,785 | \$ | 38,540 |

The following table summarizes the changes in nonperforming loans by quarter.

| (in thousands) | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 \\ & 3 \mathrm{rd} \text { Qtr } \end{aligned}$ | 2nd Qtr | 1st Qtr | Total Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nonperforming loans beginning of period | \$ 46,550 | \$ 55,785 | \$ 38,540 | \$ 38,540 |
| Additions to nonaccrual loans | 19,373 | 15,440 | 39,663 | 74,476 |
| Additions to restructured loans | 2,286 | 454 | 611 | 3,351 |
| Chargeoffs | $(7,023)$ | $(8,314)$ | $(12,963)$ | $(28,300)$ |
| Other principal reductions | $(1,881)$ | $(4,580)$ | $(2,739)$ | $(9,200)$ |
| Moved to Other real estate | $(7,122)$ | $(11,350)$ | $(5,564)$ | $(24,036)$ |
| Moved to Other bank owned assets | - | - | (955) | (955) |
| Moved to performing | (228) | - | $(1,693)$ | $(1,921)$ |
| Loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing interest | - | (885) | 885 | - |
| Nonperforming loans end of period | \$ 51,955 | \$ 46,550 | \$ 55,785 | \$ 51,955 |

Other real estate
Other real estate was $\$ 34.7$ million at September 30, 2010 compared to $\$ 25.1$ million at December 31, 2009 and $\$ 19.3$ million at September 30, 2009. Other real estate includes $\$ 2.3$ million related to Valley Capital and $\$ 5.5$ million related to Home National, which are covered by FDIC loss sharing agreements. Other real estate not covered by an FDIC loss share agreement totaled $\$ 27.0$ million at September 30, 2010, an increase of $\$ 3.3$ million from June 30, 2010. Third quarter additions include two commercial real estate properties valued at $\$ 3.1$ million and $\$ 1.4$ million, respectively.

The following table summarizes the changes in Other real estate since December 31, 2009.

| (in thousands) | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 \\ & 3 \mathrm{rd} \text { Qtr } \end{aligned}$ | 2nd Qtr | 1st Qtr | Total Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other real estate beginning of period | \$ 25,884 | \$ 20,947 | \$ 25,084 | \$ 25,084 |
| Additions and expenses capitalized to prepare property for sale | 7,122 | 11,350 | 5,564 | 24,036 |
| Additions from FDIC assisted transactions | 5,469 | - | 113 | 5,582 |
| Writedowns in fair value | $(1,750)$ | $(1,364)$ | (574) | $(3,688)$ |
| Sales | $(2,040)$ | $(5,049)$ | $(9,240)$ | $(16,329)$ |
| Other real estate end of period | \$ 34,685 | \$ 25,884 | \$ 20,947 | \$ 34,685 |

At September 30, 2010, Other real estate was comprised of $25 \%$ residential lots, $23 \%$ completed homes, and $52 \%$ commercial real estate. Of the total Other real estate, $27 \%$, or 28 properties, are located in the Kansas City region, $51 \%$, or 25 properties, are located in the St. Louis region and $22 \%$, or 22 properties, are located in the Arizona region related to Valley Capital and Home National.
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Fair value of other real estate is based upon the current appraised values of the properties as determined by qualified licensed appraisers and the Company's judgment of other relevant market conditions. Writedowns in fair value of Other real estate is recorded in Loan legal and other real estate expense which is reported as part of noninterest expense.
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At September 30, 2010, nonperforming assets also included $\$ 850,000$ of non-real estate repossessed assets.

Our nonperforming credits are concentrated in the construction, land development and commercial real estate segments and those areas remain stressed with continued downward pressure on valuations. We continue to monitor our loan portfolio for signs of credit weakness in segments other than real estate. Our commercial and industrial portfolio has shown no significant signs of deterioration. While we have no significant nonperforming assets or past due loans in this sector, certain segments of the commercial and industrial portfolio may be adversely affected should the current low growth economy continue for a protracted period of time.

The following table summarizes changes in the allowance for loan losses arising from loans charged off and recoveries on loans previously charged off, by loan category, and additions to the allowance charged to expense.
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The following table presents the categories of nonperforming assets and other ratios as of the dates indicated.

| (in thousands) | September 30, $2010$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { December } 31 \\ & 2009 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-accrual loans | \$ 47,504 | \$ 37,441 |
| Loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing interest | - | - |
| Restructured loans | 4,451 | 1,099 |
| Total nonperforming loans (1) | 51,955 | 38,540 |
| Foreclosed property (1) | 26,937 | 22,918 |
| Other bank owned assets | 850 | - |
| Total nonperforming assets | \$ 79,742 | \$ 61,458 |
|  |  |  |
| Excludes assets covered under FDIC loss share agreements |  |  |
| Total portfolio loans | \$ 1,796,637 | \$ 1,818,481 |
| Total loans plus foreclosed property | 1,824,424 | 1,841,399 |
| Nonperforming loans to total loans | 2.89\% | 2.12\% |
| Nonperforming assets to total loans plus foreclosed property | 4.37 | 3.34 |
| Nonperforming assets to total assets (1) | 3.18 | 2.60 |
| Includes assets covered under FDIC loss share agreements |  |  |
| Total assets | \$ 2,504,244 | \$ 2,365,655 |
| Total portfolio loans | 1,930,844 | 1,832,125 |
| Total loans plus foreclosed property | 1,966,379 | 1,857,209 |
| Nonperforming loans to total loans | 2.69\% | 2.10\% |
| Nonperforming assets to total loans plus foreclosed property | 4.45 | 3.43 |
| Nonperforming assets to total assets (1) | 3.49 | 2.69 |
|  |  |  |
| Allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loans | 90.00\% | 112.00\% |

(1) Excludes assets covered by FDIC loss share agreements, except for their inclusion in total assets

## Noninterest Income

Noninterest income decreased $\$ 3.0$ million, or $33 \%$, from the third quarter of 2009 compared to the third quarter of 2010. The decrease is primarily due to $\$ 5.3$ million pre-tax gain from the extinguishment of debt during the third quarter of 2009 offset by $\$ 1.4$ million of accretion of the indemnification assets related to the FDIC acquisitions. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, noninterest income decreased $\$ 504,000$, or $3 \%$, from the same period in 2009.

- Wealth Management revenue -For the quarter ended September 30, 2010, Wealth Management revenue from the Trust division increased $\$ 191,000$, or $17 \%$, compared to the same period in 2009. Year-to-date, Trust revenues increased $\$ 403,000$, or $11 \%$, over the same period in 2009. The increase is attributable to higher account values, several estate planning-related insurance sales and generally improving sales momentum in the Trust organization. Assets under administration increased to $\$ 1.4$ billion at September 30, 2010, a $19 \%$ increase from September 30, 2009 primarily due to market value increases.
- Service charges on deposit accounts - Decreases in Service charges on deposit accounts were primarily due to reduced overdraft and service charges on business checking accounts.
- Sale of other real estate - For the quarter ended September 30, 2010, we sold $\$ 2.0$ million of Other real estate for a gain of $\$ 144,000$. Year-to-date through September 30, 2010, we sold $\$ 16.3$ million of Other real estate for a net gain of $\$ 434,000$. For the year to date period in 2009, we sold $\$ 15.7$ million of Other real estate for a net gain of $\$ 143,000$.
- State tax credit brokerage activities -For the quarter ended September 30, 2010, we recorded a gain of $\$ 884,000$ compared to a gain of $\$ 911,000$ in the third quarter of 2009. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we recorded a gain of $\$ 2.3$ million on state tax


## Edgar Filing: ENTERPRISE FINANCIAL SERVICES CORP - Form 10-Q

credit activity compared to a gain of $\$ 973,000$ in the same period in 2009. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, gains of $\$ 1.3$ million from the sale of state tax credits to clients and a positive fair value adjustment of $\$ 2.5$ million were partially offset by a $\$ 1.5$ million negative fair value adjustment on the interest rate caps used to economically hedge the tax credits. See Note 7 - Derivatives Instruments and Hedging Activities above for more information on the interest rate caps. For more detailed information on the fair value treatment of the state tax credits, see Note 9 - Fair Value Measurements.

## Edgar Filing: ENTERPRISE FINANCIAL SERVICES CORP - Form 10-Q

- Sale of investment securities - During the first nine months of 2010, the Company elected to reposition a portion of the investment portfolio and sold approximately $\$ 98.3$ million of securities realizing a $\$ 1.2$ million gain on these sales. We reinvested the proceeds in U.S. Government sponsored enterprises and Residential mortgage-backed securities.
- Miscellaneous income - The year over year increase includes $\$ 1.4$ million related to the accretion of the indemnification asset as part of the Valley Capital and Home National Bank transactions along with $\$ 475,000$ of increases in the cash value of Bank Owned Life Insurance. In the first nine months of 2009, Miscellaneous income included a $\$ 530,000$ loss realized from the termination of two interest rate swaps.


## Noninterest Expense

Noninterest expenses were $\$ 15.5$ million in the third quarter of 2010 , an increase of $\$ 2.5$ million, or $19 \%$, from the third quarter of 2009 . For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, noninterest expense decreased $\$ 41.4$ million, or $49 \%$, from prior year. The year to date decrease is due to a $\$ 45.4$ million goodwill impairment charge related to the banking segment. Excluding the goodwill impairment charge, noninterest expenses increased $\$ 3.9$ million, or $10 \%$, compared to the first nine months of 2009 . The increase resulted from an increase in salaries and benefits and loan, legal and other real estate expense.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, salaries and benefits increased primarily due to the recruitment of several prominent St. Louis bankers and the accrual of higher variable compensation expense.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, increases in loan legal and other real estate were largely due to fair value adjustments on other real estate.

The Company's efficiency ratio in the third quarter of 2010 was $51 \%$ compared to $49 \%$ in the third quarter of 2009. Excluding the 2009 goodwill impairment charge, the year to date efficiency ratio through September 30, was $56 \%$ and $58 \%$, in 2010 and 2009, respectively.

## Income Taxes

In the first quarter of 2010, the Company concluded that changes in the Company's estimated 2010 pre-tax results and changes in projected permanent items produced significant variability in the estimated annual effective tax rate. Accordingly, the Company has determined that the actual effective tax rate for the year to date period is the best estimate of the effective tax rate. The effective tax rate for 2010 could differ significantly from the effective tax rate for the first nine months of 2010.

For the three months ended September 30, 2010, the Company's income tax expense, which includes both federal and state taxes, was $\$ 2.3$ million compared to $\$ 2.2$ million in expense for the same period in 2009. The combined federal and state effective income tax rates for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 were $31.3 \%$ and $10.0 \%$, respectively, compared to $32.1 \%$ and $4.6 \%$ for the same periods in 2009 . The change in the effective tax rate from 2009 is primarily the result of the $\$ 45.4$ million nondeductible goodwill impairment charge in 2009.

The Company recognizes deferred tax assets only to the extent that they are expected to be used to reduce amounts that have been paid or will be paid to tax authorities. Management believes, based on all positive and negative evidence, that the deferred tax asset at September 30, 2010 is more likely-than-not to be realized, and accordingly, no valuation allowance has been recorded.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

## Liquidity management

The objective of liquidity management is to ensure the Company has the ability to generate sufficient cash or cash equivalents in a timely and cost-effective manner to meet its commitments as they become due. Typical demands on liquidity are deposit run-off from demand deposits, maturing time deposits which are not renewed, and fundings under credit commitments to customers. Funds are available from a number of sources, such as from the core deposit base and from loans and securities repayments and maturities. Additionally, liquidity is provided from sales of the securities portfolio, fed funds lines with correspondent banks, the Federal Reserve and the FHLB, the ability to acquire brokered deposits and the ability to sell loan participations to other banks. These alternatives are an important part of our liquidity plan and provide flexibility and efficient execution of the asset-liability management strategy.
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Our Asset-Liability Management Committee oversees our liquidity position, the parameters of which are approved by the Board of Directors. Our liquidity management framework includes measurement of several key elements, such as the loan to deposit ratio, a liquidity ratio, and a dependency ratio. The Company's liquidity framework also incorporates contingency planning to assess the nature and volatility of funding sources and to determine alternatives to these sources. While core deposits and loan and investment repayments are principal sources of liquidity, funding diversification is another key element of liquidity management and is achieved by strategically varying depositor types, terms, funding markets, and instruments.

## Parent Company liquidity

The parent company's liquidity is managed to provide the funds necessary to pay dividends to shareholders, service debt, invest in subsidiaries as necessary, and satisfy other operating requirements. The parent company's primary funding sources to meet its liquidity requirements are dividends and other payments from subsidiaries and proceeds from the issuance of equity (i.e. stock option exercises).

On June 17, 2009, the Company filed a Shelf Registration statement on Form S-3 for up to $\$ 35.0$ million of certain types of our securities. The Registration became effective on July 1, 2009. In January 2010, the Company issued $\$ 15.0$ million in stock through a private offering and separately registered those shares in March 2010. The proceeds of the offering were injected into the Bank to improve the Bank's capital position. Proceeds from any additional offerings would be used for capital expenditures, repayment or refinancing of indebtedness or other securities from time to time, working capital, to make acquisitions, for general corporate purposes, or for the redemption of all or part of the preferred stock held by the U.S. Treasury as a result the Company's participation in the Capital Purchase Program.

As of September 30, 2010, the Company had $\$ 82.6$ million of outstanding subordinated debentures as part of nine Trust Preferred Securities Pools. These securities are classified as debt but are included in regulatory capital and the related interest expense is tax-deductible, which makes them a very attractive source of funding. Management believes our current level of cash at the holding company of approximately $\$ 17.6$ million will be sufficient to meet all projected cash needs for the next 12 months.

## Bank liquidity

On July 9, 2010, the Bank entered into an agreement with the FDIC to purchase approximately $\$ 256$ million of loans originated and other real estate acquired from Home National. The acquisition was initially funded with cash on hand and short-term advances from the Federal Reserve and FHLB. The short-term advances were replaced with $\$ 119$ million of brokered time deposits at a weighted average rate of $1.29 \%$ and a weighted average term of 2 years, $\$ 75$ million of short-term internet time deposits with a weighted average rate of $1.01 \%$ and weighted average term of approximately one year, and $\$ 20$ million of CDARS "one-way buy" deposits, with a rate of $0.30 \%$ and a term of 12 weeks. In total, the funded term portion of this acquisition has a weighted average rate of approximately $1.18 \%$ and a weighted average term of approximately 18 months.

The Bank has a variety of funding sources available to increase financial flexibility. In addition to amounts currently borrowed, at September 30, 2010, the Bank could borrow an additional $\$ 94.1$ million from the FHLB of Des Moines under blanket loan pledges and an additional $\$ 283.7$ million from the Federal Reserve Bank under a pledged loan agreement. The Bank has unsecured federal funds lines with three correspondent banks totaling $\$ 30.0$ million. Under recent industry-wide directive by the Federal Reserve, the availability under the Federal Reserve line is restricted to backup liquidity on a very short term basis (1-2 days).

Of the $\$ 261.6$ million of the securities available for sale at September 30, 2010, $\$ 167.0$ million was pledged as collateral for public deposits, treasury, tax and loan notes, and other requirements. The remaining $\$ 94.6$ million could be pledged or sold to enhance liquidity, if necessary.

The Bank belongs to the Certificate of Deposit Account Registry Service, or CDARS, which allows us to provide our customers with access to additional levels of FDIC insurance coverage. The Bank considers the reciprocal deposits placed through the CDARS program as core funding and does not report the balances as brokered sources in its internal or external financial reports. As of September 30, 2010, the Bank had $\$ 171.5$ million of reciprocal CDARS deposits outstanding. In addition to the reciprocal deposits available through CDARS, the Bank also has access to the "one-way buy" program, which allows it to bid on the excess deposits of other CDARS member banks. The Bank will report any outstanding "one-way buy" funds as brokered funds in its internal and external financial reports. At September 30, 2010, the Bank had outstanding "one-way buy" deposits of $\$ 20$ million which mature in the fourth quarter of 2010.

Because the Bank is "well-capitalized", it has the ability to sell certificates of deposit through various national or regional brokerage firms, if needed. At September 30, 2010, the Bank had $\$ 218.0$ million of brokered certificates of deposit outstanding compared to $\$ 207.6$ million outstanding at September 30, 2009 and $\$ 156.2$ million at December 31, 2009.

Over the normal course of business, the Bank enters into certain forms of off-balance sheet transactions, including unfunded loan commitments and letters of credit. These transactions are managed through the Bank's various risk management processes. Management considers both on-balance sheet and off-balance sheet transactions in its evaluation of the Bank's liquidity. The Bank has $\$ 416.5$ million in unused loan commitments as of September 30, 2010. While this commitment level would be difficult to fund given the Bank's current liquidity resources, the nature of these commitments is such that the likelihood of funding them is low.

## Regulatory capital

The Company and the Bank are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the Federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possible additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Company and its bank affiliate must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance-sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The banking affiliate's capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings and other factors.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Company and its banking affiliate to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the following table) of total and Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets, and of Tier 1 capital to average assets. To be categorized as "well capitalized", banks must maintain minimum total risk-based ( $10 \%$ ), Tier 1 risk-based ( $6 \%$ ) and Tier 1 leverage ratios ( $5 \%$ ). Management believes, as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, that the Company and the Bank met all capital adequacy requirements to which they are subject.

The following table summarizes the Company's risk-based capital and leverage ratios at the dates indicated:

| (Dollars in thousands) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { At September } \\ & 30, \\ & 2010 \end{aligned}$ | At December <br> 31, <br> 2009 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tier 1 capital to risk weighted assets | 11.80\% | 10.67\% |
| Total capital to risk weighted assets | 14.19\% | 13.32\% |
| Leverage ratio (Tier 1 capital to average assets) | 9.46\% | 8.96\% |
| Tangible common equity to tangible assets | 5.79\% | 5.44\% |
| Tier 1 capital | \$ 235,333 | \$ 215,099 |
| Total risk-based capital | \$ 282,919 | \$ 268,454 |
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A reconciliation of shareholders' equity to tangible common equity and total assets to tangible assets is provided in the table below. The Company believes the tangible common equity ratio is an important financial measure of capital strength even though it is considered to be a non-GAAP measure.

| (In thousands) | At September 30,$2010$ |  | At December 31, 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shareholders' equity | \$ | 180,580 | \$ | 163,912 |
| Less: Preferred stock |  | $(32,335)$ |  | $(31,802)$ |
| Less: Goodwill |  | $(2,064)$ |  | $(2,064)$ |
| Less: Intangible assets |  | $(1,322)$ |  | $(1,643)$ |
| Tangible common equity | \$ | 144,859 | \$ | 128,403 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total assets | \$ | 2,504,244 | \$ | 2,365,655 |
| Less: Goodwill |  | $(2,064)$ |  | $(2,064)$ |
| Less: Intangible assets |  | $(1,322)$ |  | $(1,643)$ |
| Tangible assets | \$ | 2,500,858 | \$ | 2,361,948 |
| Tangible common equity to tangible assets |  | 5.79\% |  | 5.44\% |

## Critical Accounting Policies

The impact and any associated risks related to the Company's critical accounting policies on business operations are discussed throughout "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," where such policies affect our reported and expected financial results. For a detailed discussion on the application of these and other accounting policies, see the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

## New Accounting Standards

FASB ASC Topic 860, "Transfers and Servicing" On January 1, 2010, the Company adopted new authoritative guidance under ASC Topic 860 which requires additional information regarding transfers of financial assets and eliminates the concept of a "qualifying special-purpose entity," changes the requirements for derecognizing financial assets, and requires additional disclosures. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations, cash flows or disclosures.

FASB ASU 2009-17, "Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R)" On January 1, 2010, the Company adopted new authoritative guidance under this ASU, which requires ongoing reassessments of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity. Additionally, this guidance requires enhanced disclosures that will provide users of financial statements with more transparent information about an enterprise's involvement in variable interest entities. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations, cash flows or disclosures.

FASB ASU 2010-06, "Improving Disclosures about Fair Value MeasurementsThis ASU requires additional fair value disclosures including disclosing the amounts of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and 2 fair value measurements and to describe the reasons for the transfers. In addition, the guidance also requires disclosures about gross purchases, sales, issuances and settlement activity in the Level 3 rollforward. The Company has applied the disclosure requirements as of January 1, 2010, except for the detailed Level 3 rollforward disclosure, which will be effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2010. ASU 2010-06 concerns disclosure only and will not have a material impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations, cash flows, or disclosures.

FASB ASU 2010-20, "Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit LossesThis ASU amends ASC 310, Receivables by requiring more robust and disaggregated disclosures about the credit quality of an entity's financing receivables and its allowance for credit losses. The objective of enhancing these disclosures is to improve financial statement users' understanding of (1) the nature of an entity's credit risk associated with its financing receivables and (2) the entity's assessment of that risk in estimating its allowance for credit losses as well as changes in the allowance and the reasons for those changes. ASU 2010-20 is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2010 and concerns disclosure only and will not have a material impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations, cash flows, or disclosures.
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## ITEM 3: QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The disclosures set forth in this item are qualified by the section captioned "Safe Harbor Statement Under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 " included in Item 2 - Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of this report and other cautionary statements set forth elsewhere in this report.

Market risk arises from exposure to changes in interest rates and other relevant market rate or price risk. The Company faces market risk in the form of interest rate risk through transactions other than trading activities. Market risk from these activities, in the form of interest rate risk, is measured and managed through a number of methods. The Company uses financial modeling techniques to measure interest rate risk. These techniques measure the sensitivity of future earnings due to changing interest rate environments. Guidelines established by the Asset/Liability Management Committees and approved by the Company's Board of Directors are used to monitor exposure of earnings at risk. General interest rate movements are used to develop sensitivity as the Company feels it has no primary exposure to a specific point on the yield curve. These limits are based on the Company's exposure to a 100 basis points and 200 basis points immediate and sustained parallel rate move, either upward or downward.

Interest rate simulations for September 30, 2010 demonstrate that rising rate risk for the Bank is declining. A rising rate environment will initially have a negative impact on net interest income because the Bank's prime rate is set higher than the market prime rate and will not increase with the cost of our deposits and other interest-bearing liabilities. However, changes to the levels and characteristics of earning assets and earning liabilities in the balance sheet primarily due to the Home National asset purchase are reducing the initial negative impact. The simulations projected that the annual net income of the Bank would decrease by approximately $0.97 \%$ if rates increased by 100 basis points under a parallel rate shock. This is compared to simulations for December 31, 2009 which projected a decrease in annual net income of $1.7 \%$.

The following table represents the Company's estimated interest rate sensitivity and periodic and cumulative gap positions calculated as of September 30, 2010.

| (in thousands) | Year 1 |  | Year 2 |  | Year 3 |  | Year 4 |  | Year 5 |  | 5 years <br> or no stated maturity |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest-Earning Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Securities available for sale | \$ | 87,327 | \$ | 43,456 | \$ | 28,637 | \$ | 21,916 | \$ | 1,573 | \$ | 78,729 | \$ | 261,638 |
| Other investments |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |  | - |  | 13,217 |  | 13,217 |
| Interest-bearing deposits |  | 35,588 |  | - |  | - |  |  |  | - |  | - |  | 35,588 |
| Federal funds sold |  | 1,599 |  | - |  | - |  |  |  | - |  | - |  | 1,599 |
| Loans (1) |  | 1,233,728 |  | 193,636 |  | 322,560 |  | 60,391 |  | 1,266 |  | 119,263 |  | 1,930,844 |
| Loans held for sale |  | 5,910 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 5,910 |
| Total interest-earning assets | \$ | 1,364,152 | \$ | 237,092 | \$ | 351,197 | \$ | 82,307 | \$ | 2,839 | \$ | 211,209 |  | 2,248,796 |


| Interest-Bearing Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Savings, NOW and Money market deposits | \$ | 901,923 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 901,923 |
| Certificates of deposit |  | 633,640 |  | 105,291 |  | 75,914 |  | 15,623 |  | 31 |  | 3,227 |  | 833,726 |
| Subordinated debentures |  | 42,374 |  | 14,433 |  | 28,274 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 85,081 |
| Other borrowings |  | 83,496 |  | 22,000 |  | - |  | - |  | 10,000 |  | 70,000 |  | 185,496 |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | \$ | 1,661,433 | \$ | 141,724 | \$ | 104,188 | \$ | 15,623 | \$ | 10,031 | \$ | 73,227 | \$ | ,006,226 |


| Interest-sensitivity GAP | $\$$ | $(297,281)$ | $\$$ | 95,368 | $\$$ | 247,009 | $\$$ | 66,684 | $\$$ | $(7,192)$ | $\$$ | 137,982 | $\$$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| GAP by period | $\$$ | $(297,281)$ | $\$$ | $(201,913)$ | $\$$ | 45,096 | $\$$ | 111,780 | $\$$ | 104,588 | $\$$ | 242,570 | $\$$ |
| Cumulative GAP | 242,570 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Ratio of interest-earning assets to

| interest-bearing liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Periodic | 0.82 | 1.67 | 3.37 | 5.27 | - | 2.88 | 1.12 |
| Cumulative GAP as of September 30, 2010 | 0.82 | 0.89 | 1.02 | 1.06 | 1.05 | 1.12 | 1.12 |

(1) Adjusted for the impact of the interest rate swaps.
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## ITEM 4: CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

As of September 30, 2010, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and the Chief Financial Officer (CFO), management has evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-15. Based on that evaluation, the CEO and CFO concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of September 30, 2010, to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the Company's periodic SEC filings is processed, recorded, summarized and reported when required. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by an issuer in the reports that it files or submits under the Act is accumulated and communicated to the issuer's management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. There were no changes during the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q in the Company's internal controls that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, those controls.

## PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

## ITEM 1A: RISK FACTORS

Other than the additional risk factor mentioned below, there are no material changes from the risk factors set forth under Part I, Item IA. "Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (the "Dodd-Frank Act"), was signed into law by President Obama on July 21, 2010. The Dodd-Frank Act represents a comprehensive overhaul of the financial services industry within the United States, establishes the new federal Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection (the "BCFP"), and will require the BCFP and other federal agencies to implement many new rules. At this time, it is difficult to predict the extent to which the Dodd-Frank Act or the resulting regulations will impact the Company's business. However, compliance with these new laws and regulations will result in additional costs, which may adversely impact the Company's results of operations, financial condition or liquidity, any of which may impact the market price of the Company's common stock.

## Edgar Filing: ENTERPRISE FINANCIAL SERVICES CORP - Form 10-Q

## ITEM 6: EXHIBITS

Exhibit

| Number | Description |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Registrant hereby agrees to furnish to the Commission, upon request, the instruments defining the rights of holders of each issue of long-term debt of Registrant and its consolidated subsidiaries. |
| *31.1 | Chief Executive Officer's Certification required by Rule 13(a)-14(a). |
| *31.2 | Chief Financial Officer's Certification required by Rule 13(a)-14(a). |
| **32.1 | Chief Executive Officer Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to section § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| **32.2 | Chief Financial Officer Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to section § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| * Filed herewith |  |
| ** Furni <br> Exhibits otherwis | on of this Quarterly Statement on Form 10-Q in any other filing by the Registrant, shall not be deemed incorporated by reference to any other filing unless specifically |
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## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, in the City of Clayton, State of Missouri on the day of November 5, 2010.

ENTERPRISE FINANCIAL SERVICES CORP

By: /s/ Peter F. Benoist
Peter F. Benoist
Chief Executive Officer

By:
/s/ Frank H. Sanfilippo
Frank H. Sanfilippo
Chief Financial Officer

