Mechel OAO Form 20-F May 15, 2014 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 20-F

" REGISTRATION STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OR (g) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

OR

x ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

OR

SHELL COMPANY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission file number 001-32328

MECHEL OAO

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

RUSSIAN FEDERATION

(Jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

Krasnoarmeyskaya Street 1, Moscow 125993, Russian Federation

(Address of principal executive offices)

Vladislav Zlenko, tel.: +7-495-221-8888, e-mail: vladislav.zlenko@mechel.com

(Name, Telephone, E-mail and/or Facsimile number and Address of Company Contact Person)

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class
COMMON AMERICAN DEPOSITARY SHARES, EACH COMMON
ADS REPRESENTING ONE COMMON SHARE
COMMON SHARES, PAR VALUE

Name of Each Exchange on Which Registere NEW YORK STOCK EXCHANGE

NEW YORK STOCK EXCHANGE(1)

10 RUSSIAN RUBLES PER SHARE

REFERRED AMERICAN DEPOSITARY SHARES, EACH PREFERRED ADS

NEW YORK STOCK EXCHANGE

REPRESENTING ONE-HALF OF A PREFERRED SHARE PREFERRED SHARES, PAR VALUE

NEW YORK STOCK EXCHANGE(2)

10 RUSSIAN RUBLES PER SHARE

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

(Title of Class)

Securities for which there is a reporting obligation pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Act:

None

(Title of Class)

Indicate the number of outstanding shares of each of the issuer s classes of capital or common stock as of the close of the period covered by the annual report.

416,270,745 common shares, of which 91,151,675 shares are in the form of common ADSs as of March 31, 2014

138,756,915 preferred shares (including 55,502,766 shares held by Skyblock Limited, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Mechel), of which 23,539,262 shares are in the form of preferred ADSs as of March 31, 2014

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes x No "

If this report is an annual or transition report, indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Yes "No x

Note Checking the box above will not relieve any registrant required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 from their obligations under those Sections.

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check One):

Large accelerated filer x Accelerated filer " Non-accelerated filer " Indicate by check mark which basis of accounting the registrant has used to prepare the financial statements included in this filing:

U.S. GAAP International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board "

If Other has been checked in response to the previous question, indicate by check mark which financial statement item the registrant has elected to follow:

Item 17 " Item 18 "

If this is an annual report, indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes " No x

- (1) Listed, not for trading or quotation purposes, but only in connection with the registration of common ADSs pursuant to the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Commission.
- (2) Listed, not for trading or quotation purposes, but only in connection with the registration of preferred ADSs pursuant to the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>CAUTIONARY</u>	<u>Y NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS</u>	4
Item 1.	Identity of Directors, Senior Management and Advisers	5
Item 2.	Offer Statistics and Expected Timetable	5
Item 3.	Key Information	5
Item 4.	<u>Information on the Company</u>	60
Item 4A.	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	149
Item 5.	Operating and Financial Review and Prospects	149
Item 6.	Directors, Senior Management and Employees	234
Item 7.	Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions	249
Item 8.	Financial Information	253
Item 9.	The Offer and Listing	259
Item 10.	Additional Information	261
Item 11.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	301
Item 12.	Description of Securities Other than Equity Securities	305
Item 13.	Defaults, Dividend Arrearages and Delinquencies	308
Item 14.	Material Modifications to the Rights of Security Holders and Use of Proceeds	308
Item 15.	Controls and Procedures	308
Item 16A.	Audit Committee Financial Expert	310
Item 16B.	Code of Ethics	310
Item 16C.	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	310
Item 16D.	Exemptions from the Listing Standards for Audit Committees	311
Item 16E.	Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers	311
Item 16F.	Change in Registrant s Certifying Accountant	311
Item 16G.	Corporate Governance	311
Item 16H.	Mine Safety Disclosure	312
Item 17.	<u>Financial Statements</u>	313
Item 18.	<u>Financial Statements</u>	313
Item 19.	<u>Exhibits</u>	314
SIGNATURES		317

Unless the context otherwise requires, references to Mechel refer to Mechel OAO, and references to our group, or our refer to Mechel OAO together with its subsidiaries.

Our business consists of four segments: mining, steel, ferroalloys and power. References in this document to segment revenues are to revenues of the segment excluding intersegment sales, unless otherwise noted. References in this document to our sales or our total sales are to third-party sales and do not include intra-group sales, unless otherwise noted.

For the purposes of calculating certain market share data, we have included businesses that are currently part of our group that may not have been part of our group during the period for which such market share data is presented.

References to U.S. dollars, \$ or cents are to the currency of the United States, references to rubles or RUR are to currency of the Russian Federation and references to euro or are to the currency of the member states of the European Union that participate in the European Monetary Union.

1

The term tonne as used herein means a metric tonne. A metric tonne is equal to 1,000 kilograms or 2,204.62 pounds. The term short ton is also used in this document. A short ton is equal to 907 kilograms or 2,000 pounds.

Certain amounts that appear in this document have been subject to rounding adjustments; accordingly, figures shown as totals in certain tables or in the text may not be an arithmetic aggregation of the figures that precede them.

CIS means the Commonwealth of Independent States, its member states being Armenia, Azerbaijan, Belarus, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Moldova, Russia, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan, Ukraine and Uzbekistan.

The following table sets forth by segment the official names and location of our key subsidiaries and their names as used in this document:

Name as Used in This Document Mining Segment	Official Name	Location
Mechel Mining	Mechel Mining OAO	Russia, Moscow
Southern Kuzbass Coal Company	Southern Kuzbass Coal Company OAO	Russia, Kemerovo region
Tomusinsky Open Pit	Tomusinsky Open Pit Mine OAO	Russia, Kemerovo region
Yakutugol	Yakutugol Holding Company OAO	Russia, Sakha Republic
Elgaugol	Elgaugol OOO	Russia, Sakha Republic
Bluestone or Bluestone companies	Bluestone Industries Inc., Dynamic Energy Inc., JCJ Coal Group LLC and other subsidiaries carrying out the Bluestone business	United States, West Virginia
Korshunov Mining Plant	Korshunov Mining Plant OAO	Russia, Irkutsk region
Moscow Coke and Gas Plant	Moscow Coke and Gas Plant OAO	Russia, Moscow region
Mechel Coke	Mechel Coke OOO	Russia, Chelyabinsk region
Port Posiet	Port Posiet OAO	Russia, Primorsk Krai
Port Temryuk	Port Mechel Temryuk OOO	Russia, Krasnodar Krai
Steel Segment		
Chelyabinsk Metallurgical Plant	Chelyabinsk Metallurgical Plant OAO	Russia, Chelyabinsk region
Izhstal	Izhstal OAO	Russia, Republic of Udmurtia
Urals Stampings Plant	Urals Stampings Plant OAO	Russia, Chelyabinsk region
Beloretsk Metallurgical Plant	Beloretsk Metallurgical Plant OAO	Russia, Republic of Bashkortostan
Vyartsilya Metal Products Plant	Vyartsilya Metal Products Plant ZAO	Russia, Republic of Karelia
Mechel Nemunas	Mechel Nemunas UAB	Lithuania
Port Kambarka	Port Kambarka OAO	Russia, Republic of Udmurtia
Ferroalloys Segment		
Bratsk Ferroalloy Plant	Bratsk Ferroalloy Plant OOO	Russia, Irkutsk region

Name as Used in This Document	Official Name	Location
Power Segment		
Southern Kuzbass Power Plant	Southern Kuzbass Power Plant	Russia, Kemerovo
	OAO	region
Kuzbass Power Sales Company	Kuzbass Power Sales Company	Russia, Kemerovo
• •	OAO	region
Mechel Energo	Mechel Energo OOO	Russia, Moscow
Marketing and Distribution		
Mechel Carbon	Mechel Carbon AG	Switzerland, Baar
Mechel Carbon Singapore	Mechel Carbon Singapore Pte. Ltd	Singapore
Mechel Trading	Mechel Trading AG	Switzerland, Baar
Mechel Service Global	Mechel Service Global B.V.	Netherlands
Mechel Service	Mechel Service OOO	Russia, Moscow
Other		
Mecheltrans	Mecheltrans OOO	Russia, Moscow

3

CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Matters discussed in this document may constitute forward-looking statements, as defined in the safe harbor provisions of the U.S. Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. We wish to caution you that these statements are only predictions and that actual events or results may differ materially. Forward-looking statements include statements concerning plans, objectives, goals, strategies, future events or performance, and underlying assumptions and other statements, which are other than statements of historical facts. The words believe, expect, anticipate, intenestimate, forecast, project, will, may, should and similar expressions identify forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements appear in a number of places including, without limitation, Item 3. Key Information Risk Factors, Item 4. Information on the Company and Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects, and include statements regarding:

strategies, outlook and growth prospects;
the ability to maintain sufficient cash and other liquid resources to meet our operating and debt service requirements and our ability to comply with the covenants in our financing agreements;
the impact of competition;
costs of our acquisitions and ability to realize expected synergies and other benefits;
capital expenditures;
growth in demand for our products;
economic outlook and industry trends;
transactions with related parties;
regulatory compliance;
developments in our markets;
future plans and potential for future growth;

the impact of regulatory initiatives; and

the strength of our competitors.

The forward-looking statements in this document are based upon various assumptions, many of which are based, in turn, upon further assumptions, including without limitation, management s examination of historical operating trends, data contained in our records and other data available from third parties. Although we believe that these assumptions were reasonable when made, these assumptions are inherently subject to significant uncertainties and contingencies which are difficult or impossible to predict and are beyond our control and we may not achieve or accomplish these expectations, beliefs or projections. See Item 3. Key Information Risk Factors for a discussion of important factors that, in our view, could cause actual results to differ materially from those discussed in the forward-looking statements.

Except to the extent required by law, neither we, nor any of our agents, employees or advisers intend or have any duty or obligation to supplement, amend, update or revise any of the forward-looking statements contained or incorporated by reference in this document.

4

PART I

Item 1. Identity of Directors, Senior Management and Advisers

Not applicable.

Item 2. Offer Statistics and Expected Timetable

Not applicable.

Item 3. Key Information

Selected Financial Data

The financial data set forth below as of December 31, 2013, 2012, 2011, 2010 and 2009, and for the years then ended, have been derived from our consolidated financial statements. Our reporting currency is the U.S. dollar and we prepare our consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (U.S. GAAP).

Our results of operations for the periods presented are significantly affected by acquisitions. Results of operations of these acquired businesses are included in our consolidated financial statements for the periods after their respective dates of acquisition. The financial data below are retrospectively adjusted for the effect from discontinued operations. See note 1(a) to the consolidated financial statements. The financial data below should be read in conjunction with, and is qualified in its entirety by reference to, our consolidated financial statements and Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects.

	Year Ended December 31,						
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009		
	(In the	ousands of U.S	S. dollars, exce	pt per share d	ata)		
Consolidated statements of operations							
and comprehensive income data:							
Revenue, net	8,576,431	10,630,932	12,287,327	9,520,679	5,518,572		
Cost of goods sold	(5,962,744)	(7,323,467)	(8,010,254)	(5,998,335)	(3,761,381)		
Gross profit	2,613,687	3,307,465	4,277,073	3,522,344	1,757,191		
Selling, distribution and operating expenses	(3,142,788)	(3,730,194)	(2,398,832)	(2,020,198)	(1,512,937)		
Operating (loss) income	(529,101)	(422,729)	1,878,241	1,502,146	244,254		
Other income and (expense), net	(981,653)	(443,472)	(680,556)	(524,125)	(85,616)		
(Loss) income from continuing operations,							
before income tax	(1,510,754)	(866,201)	1,197,685	978,021	158,638		
Income tax expense	(53,642)	(192,845)	(366,212)	(217,525)	(20,893)		
Net (loss) income from continuing							
operations	(1,564,396)	(1,059,046)	831,473	760,496	137,745		
Loss from discontinued operations, net of							
income tax	(1,358,571)	(605,839)	(28,026)	(68,521)	(61,414)		
	()))	())	(-) /	() -	(-)		

Edgar Filing: Mechel OAO - Form 20-F

Net (loss) income	(2,922,967)	(1,664,885)	803,447	691,974	76,331
Less: Net (income) loss attributable to non-controlling interests	(5,047)	317	(75,562)	(34,761)	(2,590)
Net (loss) income attributable to shareholders of Mechel OAO	(2,928,014)	(1,664,568)	727,885	657,213	73,741
Less: Dividends on preferred shares	(127)	(79,056)	(78,281)	(8,780)	(134,498)
Net (loss) income attributable to common shareholders of Mechel OAO	(2,928,141)	(1,743,624)	649,604	648,433	(60,757)

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
	(In	thousands of U.	S. dollars, exce	pt per share data	a)
Net (loss) income	(2,922,967)	(1,664,885)	803,447	691,974	76,331
Currency translation adjustment	(96,848)	70,893	(170,794)	(26,218)	(325,353)
Transfer of currency translation adjustment due to disposal of companies	340,014				
Change in pension benefit obligation	8,244	(17,778)	(7,160)	(9,466)	(10,155)
Adjustment of available-for-sale securities	2,171	(300)	(2,245)	4,838	(5,178)
Comprehensive (loss) income	(2,669,386)	(1,612,070)	623,248	661,128	(264,355)
Less comprehensive loss (income) attributable to non-controlling	-004	(22.27.)	(- 0)		
interests	20,704	(22,851)	(50,527)	(32,498)	6,759
Comprehensive (loss) income attributable to shareholders of Mechel OAO	(2,648,682)	(1,634,921)	572,721	628,630	(257,596)
(Loss) earnings per share from continuing operations	(3.77)	(2.79)	1.63	1.72	(0.15)
Loss per share effect from	(3.77)	(2.19)	1.03	1.72	(0.13)
discontinued operations	(3.26)	(1.40)	(0.07)	(0.16)	0.00
Net (loss) income per share	(7.03)	(4.19)	1.56	1.56	(0.15)
Cash dividends per common share	, , ,	0.24	0.31	0.03	0.18
Cash dividends per preferred share	0.00	0.95	0.94	0.11	1.62
Weighted average number shares outstanding Mining segment statements of operations and comprehensive	416,270,745	416,270,745	416,270,745	416,270,745	416,270,745
income data ⁽¹⁾ :					
Revenue, net	3,309,300	4,011,622	5,186,412	3,741,365	1,826,268
Cost of goods sold	(1,841,770)	(2,128,272)	(2,365,376)	(1,764,466)	(989,534)
Gross profit	1,467,530	1,883,350	2,821,036	1,976,899	836,734
Selling, distribution and operating					
expenses	(1,342,455)	(1,238,467)	(1,175,434)	(895,879)	(622,412)
Operating income	125,075	644,883	1,645,602	1,081,020	214,322
Steel segment statements of operations and comprehensive					

Edgar Filing: Mechel OAO - Form 20-F

income data ⁽¹⁾ :					
Revenue, net	5,198,882	6,685,279	7,433,695	5,944,753	3,503,395
Cost of goods sold	(4,378,584)	(5,644,055)	(6,305,372)	(4,761,155)	(2,940,507)
Gross profit	820,298	1,041,224	1,128,323	1,183,598	562,888
Selling, distribution and operating					
expenses	(1,458,398)	(2,161,110)	(923,171)	(816,582)	(671,516)
Operating (loss) income	(638,100)	(1,119,886)	205,152	367,016	(108,628)
Ferroalloys segment statements of					
operations and comprehensive					
income data ⁽¹⁾ :					
Revenue, net	117,455	106,825	136,567	133,082	89,848
Cost of goods sold	(94,430)	(77,457)	(86,372)	(70,979)	(59,468)
Gross profit	23,025	29,368	50,195	62,103	30,380
Selling, distribution and operating					
expenses	(23,507)	(55,986)	(19,987)	(27,613)	(29,443)
Operating (loss) income	(482)	(26,618)	30,208	34,490	937

		Year E	nded Decembe	er 31,	
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
	(In th	ousands of U.S	S. dollars, exce	pt per share d	ata)
Power segment statements of operations					
and comprehensive income data ⁽¹⁾ :					
Revenue, net	1,190,205	1,185,776	1,168,434	992,458	830,929
Cost of goods sold	(884,423)	(879,833)	(860,598)	(699,632)	(563,969)
Gross profit	305,782	305,943	307,836	292,826	266,960
Selling, distribution and operating					
expenses	(318,426)	(274,631)	(280,240)	(252,282)	(189,566)
Operating (loss) income	(12,644)	31,312	27,596	40,544	77,394
Consolidated balance sheet data (at					
period end):					
Total assets	13,834,510	17,695,303	19,309,799	15,778,164	13,251,425
Equity attributable to shareholders of					
Mechel OAO	517,475	3,177,381	4,990,764	4,642,825	4,049,720
Equity attributable to non-controlling					
interests	294,345	362,276	374,562	308,186	280,968
Long-term debt, net of current portion	7,950,372	7,921,655	6,692,884	5,240,620	4,074,458
Consolidated cash flows data:					
Net cash provided by (used in) operating					
activities	323,532	1,313,631	882,892	(147,704)	561,669
Net cash used in investing activities	(179,589)	(839,137)	(2,618,232)	(1,120,406)	(709,931)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing					
activities	(162,071)	(792,006)	2,079,034	1,210,126	375,434
Non-U.S. GAAP measures ⁽²⁾ :					
Consolidated Adjusted EBITDA	730,323	1,470,725	2,351,649	1,920,295	644,996
Mining Segment Adjusted EBITDA	481,627	999,470	1,976,262	1,362,893	451,606
Steel Segment Adjusted EBITDA	210,167	377,713	332,187	483,380	20,103
Ferroalloys Segment Adjusted EBITDA	8,408	4,777	39,713	40,739	22,643
Power Segment Adjusted EBITDA	33,070	41,189	35,505	54,211	90,415

⁽¹⁾ Segment revenues and cost of goods sold include intersegment sales.

⁽²⁾ Adjusted EBITDA represents net income before depreciation, depletion and amortization, foreign exchange gain/(loss), gain/(loss) from remeasurement of contingent liabilities at fair value, interest expense, interest income, net result on the disposal of non-current assets, impairment of long-lived assets and goodwill and provision for the balances due from related parties, net (loss) income from discontinued operations, net of income taxes, net (loss) income on the disposal of all or part of the interest in any subsidiary, amount attributable to non-controlling interests and income taxes.

Reconciliation of Adjusted EBITDA to net income is as follows for the periods indicated:

	2013	2012	ded December 2011 ands of U.S. do	2010	2009
Consolidated Adjusted EBITDA reconciliation:					
Net (loss) income attributable to					
shareholders of Mechel OAO	(2,928,014)	(1,664,568)	727,885	657,213	73,741
Add:	, , , , ,	, , , , , ,			
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	476,960	475,464	471,158	413,551	365,055
Foreign exchange loss (gain)	164,691	(108,830)	141,957	(7,255)	129,328
Loss (gain) from remeasurement of					
contingent liabilities at fair value	2,053	1,906	1,760	1,630	(494,237)
Interest expense	742,042	652,665	551,302	541,250	481,166
Interest income	(7,339)	(70,456)	(16,780)	(17,163)	(21,054)
Net result on the disposal of non-current					
assets, impairment of long-lived assets and					
goodwill and provision for the balances due from related parties	774,224	1,305,092	4,567	10,262	26,099
Loss from discontinued operations, net of	114,224	1,303,092	4,307	10,202	20,099
income taxes	1,358,571	605,839	28,026	68,521	61,414
Net result on the disposal of subsidiaries	88,445	81,085	20,020	00,321	01,414
Amount attributable to non-controlling	00,113	01,005			
interests	5,047	(317)	75,562	34,761	2,590
Income taxes	53,642	192,845	366,212	217,525	20,893
	22,012	-> -, - 10	000,222		_0,000
Consolidated Adjusted EBITDA	730,323	1,470,725	2,351,649	1,920,295	644,996
Mining Segment Adjusted EBITDA					
reconciliation:					
Net (loss) income attributable to					
shareholders of Mechel OAO	(364,238)	356,575	1,012,599	640,573	555,349
Add:					
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	326,192	317,615	329,327	281,336	225,193
Foreign exchange loss (gain)	109,269	(65,868)	60,753	(14,747)	(68,258)
Loss (gain) from remeasurement of					
contingent liabilities at fair value	2,053	1,906	1,760	1,630	(494,238)
Interest expense	384,069	282,177	312,110	333,485	254,255
Interest income	(52,109)	(98,474)	(129,368)	(116,615)	(47,080)
Net result on the disposal of non-current					
assets, impairment of long-lived assets and					
goodwill and provision for the balances due	26.424	5 627	0.604	0.226	7 105
from related parties (Loss) income from discontinued	26,434	5,637	8,604	8,236	7,105
(Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of income taxes			(1,609)		(185)
operations, not of income taxes			(1,007)		(103)

Edgar Filing: Mechel OAO - Form 20-F

Net result on the disposal of subsidiaries					
Amount attributable to non-controlling					
interests	19,142	45,976	80,050	43,130	13,086
Income taxes	30,815	153,926	302,036	185,865	6,379
Mining Segment Adjusted EBITDA	481,627	999,470	1,976,262	1,362,893	451,606

	2013	Year Ended December 31, 2012 2011 2010 (In thousands of U.S. dollars)		2009	
Steel Segment Adjusted EBITDA				,	
reconciliation:					
Net (loss) income attributable to shareholders					
of Mechel OAO	(1,294,151)	(1,697,333)	(235,252)	155,831	(361,915)
Add:	107.006	4.40.050	107.060	444404	446040
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	135,226	140,973	125,968	111,134	116,913
Foreign exchange (gain) loss	49,472	(46,362)	81,635	11,113	80,512
Loss (gain) from remeasurement of contingent					
liabilities at fair value	358,634	380,737	320,348	228,558	223,981
Interest expense Interest income	(13,121)	(21,889)	(11,813)	(29,247)	(30,885)
Net result on the disposal of non-current assets,	(13,121)	(21,009)	(11,013)	(29,247)	(30,883)
impairment of long-lived assets and goodwill					
and provision for the balances due from related					
parties	705,558	1,276,285	266	2,803	3,037
Income (loss) from discontinued operations,	, , , , , , , ,	-,,		_,,,,,	2,32.
net of income taxes	185,286	258,740	9,607	(5,221)	(2,204)
Net result on the disposal of subsidiaries	91,166	81,085			
Amount attributable to non-controlling					
interests	(17,006)	(25,350)	(9,708)	(12,483)	(13,754)
Income taxes	9,103	30,827	51,136	20,892	4,418
Steel Segment Adjusted EBITDA	210,167	377,713	332,187	483,380	20,103
Ferroalloys Segment Adjusted EBITDA					
reconciliation:					
Net (loss) income attributable to shareholders					
of Mechel OAO	(1,170,883)	(212,531)	64,434	(69,256)	(181,702)
Add:					
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	6,032	6,214	4,000	6,625	6,879
Foreign exchange loss (gain)	6,041	3,398	(262)	(3,593)	117,147
Loss (gain) from remeasurement of contingent					
liabilities at fair value					
Interest expense	15,286	15,366	27,976	93,834	32,457
Interest income	(504)	(44)	(2,109)	(5,340)	(412)
Net result on the disposal of non-current assets, impairment of long-lived assets and goodwill					
and provision for the balances due from related					
parties	908	24,623	600	124	16,163
Income (loss) from discontinued operations,	1 140 102	107.070	(60.701)	10.004	26.222
net of income taxes	1,149,193	186,062	(60,721)	12,224	26,323
Net result on the disposal of subsidiaries	13				
Amount attributable to non-controlling	(496)	(22 828)	(690)	(630)	452
interests Income taxes	2,818	(23,828) 5,517	6,485	6,751	5,336
modific taxes	2,010	3,317	0,403	0,731	3,330

Ferroalloys Segment Adjusted EBITDA 8,408 4,777 39,713 40,739 22,643

9

	Year Ended December 31,					
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009	
	(In thousands of U.S. dollars)					
Power Segment Adjusted EBITDA reconciliation:						
Net (loss) income attributable to shareholders of						
Mechel OAO	(95,795)	(158,856)	(81,877)	(49,009)	1,769	
Add:						
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	9,510	10,662	11,863	14,456	16,070	
Foreign exchange loss (gain)	(91)	1	(169)	(28)	(73)	
Loss (gain) from remeasurement of contingent						
liabilities at fair value						
Interest expense	42,511	24,372	17,584	19,550	27,854	
Interest income	(64)	(37)	(207)	(138)	(57)	
Net result on the disposal of non-current assets,						
impairment of long-lived assets and goodwill and						
provision for the balances due from related parties	41,324	(1,452)	(4,903)	(900)	(206)	
Income from discontinued operations, net of income						
taxes	24,092	161,038	80,749	61,518	37,491	
Net result on the disposal of subsidiaries	(2,732)					
Amount attributable to non-controlling interests	3,407	2,885	5,910	4,745	2,807	
Income taxes	10,908	2,576	6,555	4,017	4,760	
Power Segment Adjusted EBITDA	33,070	41,189	35,505	54,211	90,415	

Adjusted EBITDA is a measure of our operating performance that is not required by, or presented in accordance with, U.S. GAAP. Adjusted EBITDA is not a measure of our operating performance under U.S. GAAP and should not be considered as an alternative to net income, operating income or any other performance measures derived in accordance with U.S. GAAP or as an alternative to cash flow from operating activities or as a measure of our liquidity. In particular, Adjusted EBITDA should not be considered as a measure of discretionary cash available to us to invest in the growth of our business.

Adjusted EBITDA has limitations as an analytical tool, and should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for analysis of our operating results as reported under U.S. GAAP. Some of these limitations are as follows:

Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect the impact of financing income and costs, which are significant and could further increase if we incur more debt, on our operating performance.

Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect the impact of income taxes on our operating performance.

Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect the impact of depreciation, depletion and amortization on our operating performance. The assets of our businesses which are being depreciated, depleted and/or amortized (including, for example, our mineral reserves) will have to be replaced in the future and such depreciation, depletion and amortization expense may approximate the cost to replace these assets in the future. By excluding such expense from Adjusted EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect our future cash

requirements for such replacements.

Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect the impact of foreign exchange gains and losses and gains and losses from remeasurement of contingent liabilities at fair value, which may recur.

Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect the impact of gain/(loss) from remeasurement of contingent liabilities at fair value on our operating performance, which may recur.

Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect the impact of net result on the disposal of non-current assets on our operating performance, which may recur.

Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect the impact of impairment of long-lived assets and goodwill and provision for the balances due from related parties, which may recur.

Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect the impact of net (loss) income from discontinued operations.

10

Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect the impact of net (loss) income on the disposal of all or part of the interest in any subsidiary.

Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect the impact of amounts attributable to non-controlling interests on our operating performance.

Other companies in our industry may calculate Adjusted EBITDA differently or may use it for different purposes than we do, limiting its usefulness as a comparative measure.

We compensate for these limitations by relying primarily on our U.S. GAAP operating results and using Adjusted EBITDA only supplementally. See our consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss) and consolidated statements of cash flows included elsewhere in this document.

Exchange Rates

The following tables show, for the periods indicated, certain information regarding the official exchange rate between the ruble and the U.S. dollar, based on data published by the Central Bank of the Russian Federation (the $\ CBR$).

These rates may differ from the actual rates used in preparation of our financial statements and other financial information provided herein.

		Rubles	per U.S. Dolla	r
Year Ended December 31,	High	Low	Average ⁽¹⁾	Period End
2013	33.47	29.93	31.85	32.73
2012	34.04	28.95	31.09	30.37
2011	32.68	27.26	29.39	32.20
2010	31.78	28.93	30.37	30.48
2009	36.43	28.67	31.72	30.24

(1) The average of the exchange rates on the last business day of each full month during the relevant period.

	Rubles per U	Rubles per U.S. Dollar		
	High	Low		
April 2014	36.08	35.02		
March 2014	36.65	35.45		
February 2014	36.05	34.60		
January 2014	35.24	32.66		
December 2013	33.26	32.63		
November 2013	33.19	32.08		
October 2013	32.48	31.66		

The exchange rate between the ruble and the U.S. dollar on May 15, 2014 was 34.71 rubles per one U.S. dollar.

No representation is made that the ruble or U.S. dollar amounts in this document could have been or can be converted into U.S. dollars or rubles, as the case may be, at any particular rate or at all.

Recent Developments

Receipt of waivers under existing loan agreements

Primarily as a result of the decline in market prices for our products throughout 2013, as of December 31, 2013, we were not in compliance with a number of financial covenants in various loan agreements. In April-May 2014, we received consents from the banks related to certain financial covenant breaches under our loan agreements. See Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects Restrictive Covenants and Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects Description of Certain Indebtedness.

11

In accordance with our projections, we have both the intent and ability to meet the financial covenants during and for the period ending December 31, 2014, and net operating cash flows generated by our group in 2014 are expected to be sufficient to finance capital investments and pay interest on debt in 2014. We are negotiating with some of our creditors to refinance and amend the terms and conditions of the existing debt to extend maturities beyond December 31, 2014. Based on negotiations conducted to date, we believe that our group will successfully refinance or restructure its short-term debt. As a result, no reclassification of long-term debt to short-term liabilities due to covenant violations as of December 31, 2013 was made.

However, no assurances can be made in relation to the above, and our operations and profitability depend on market prices and demand for our products which may continue to deteriorate due to general market conditions or other factors including international sanctions against Russia and Russian individuals or businesses. See Item 3. Key Risk Factors which describe certain risks to our business, including risks relating to our financial condition and financial reporting, risks relating to our business and industry, risks relating to our shares and the trading market, risks relating to the Russian Federation (including economic risks, political and social risks and legal risks and uncertainties) and risks relating to our business in the United States. In particular, see Item 3. Key Information Risk Factors Risks Relating to Our Financial Condition and Financial Reporting We face a pressure on our liquidity, negatively influencing our working capital, which resulted from the lasting global economic slowdown, Item 3. Key Information Risk Factors Risks Relating to Our Financial Condition and Financial Reporting not been in compliance with the financial covenants in certain of our credit facilities. Item 3. Key Information Risk Risks Relating to Our Financial Condition and Financial Reporting We have a substantial amount of outstanding indebtedness with restrictive financial covenants, Item 3. Key Information Risk Factors Risks Relating to Our Business and Industry We operate in cyclical industries, and any local or global downturn, whether or not primarily affecting the mining and/or steel industries, may have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects and Item 3. Key Information Risk Factors Risks Relating to the Russian Federation Emerging markets such as Russia are subject to greater risks than more developed markets, and financial turmoil in developed or other emerging markets or international sanctions against Russia or Russian individuals or businesses could have a material adverse effect on our business and could cause the value of our shares and ADSs to fluctuate widely.

New borrowings and debt restructuring

During the first months of 2014, we have obtained new credit facilities, refinanced, restructured or reached commercial agreements on new credit terms with our creditors.

In April 2014, we have reached agreement with VTB Bank to refinance debt in the amount of 59.79 billion rubles (\$1.8 billion as of December 31, 2013), providing for an extension of the repayment grace period until April 2015 with a maturity due in four years. Of this, in May 2014, we have already signed amendments to loan agreements amounting to 46.5 billion rubles (\$1.5 billion as of December 31, 2013). VTB Bank will also provide a loan to redeem bonds in the amount of up to 3.8 billion rubles (\$116.1 million as of December 31, 2013).

In March 2014, our subsidiary Elgaugol signed two loan agreements for an aggregate amount of \$2.5 billion with State Corporation Bank for Development and Foreign Economic Affairs (Vnesheconombank) (**Vnesheconombank**) for the project financing of the development of the Elga coal deposit. The loans have a tenor of 13.5 years, with a repayment grace period of 3.5 years. The financing is provided without recourse to Mechel or any group company except for Elgaugol and is therefore excluded from our financial covenants calculations under most loan agreements. The management believes that before utilization of the credit lines, this financing will be excluded from the financial covenants calculations under all relevant loan agreements.

Risk Factors

An investment in our shares and ADSs involves a high degree of risk. You should carefully consider the following information about these risks, together with the information contained in this document, before you decide to buy our shares or ADSs. If any of the following risks actually occurs, our business, financial condition, results of operations or prospects could be materially adversely affected. In that case, the value of our shares or ADSs could also decline and you could lose all or part of your investment.

12

Risks Relating to Our Financial Condition and Financial Reporting

We face a pressure on our liquidity, negatively influencing our working capital, which resulted from the lasting global economic slowdown.

As a result of the economic downturn and a sharp decline in demand and prices for our products starting from August 2008 and continuing into the first half of 2009, as well as due to a substantial increase in our total indebtedness in 2007 and early 2008 which was incurred mostly for the acquisition of Yakutugol in 2007 and Oriel Resources in 2008, we experienced a liquidity shortage in late 2008 and early 2009. Since we had significant debt that we did not have the ability to repay without refinancing or restructuring, and our ability to do so was dependent upon cooperation from our lenders, there was substantial doubt as to our ability to continue as a going concern as of June 1, 2009. From late 2008 through 2009, we obtained significant loans from Russian state-owned banks, restructured and refinanced our credit facilities used to finance the acquisitions of Oriel Resources and Yakutugol and issued Russian ruble bonds. Our indebtedness increased during 2010 and 2011 due to financing of the substantial investment program of our subsidiaries (including the construction of the universal rail and structural rolling mill at Chelyabinsk Metallurgical Plant, the construction of the Elga rail line and development of the Elga coal deposit) and financing of the increased level of inventories, primarily, due to expansion of Mechel Service Global s business.

Starting with the second half of 2012 and gradually accentuating during 2013 and into 2014, a second phase of the economic and financial meltdown unfolded. This has resulted in a further decline in demand and prices for our products and we have experienced a new tightening of our liquidity in 2013. To alleviate the pressure on our liquidity, continuing since 2010 we have successfully refinanced and restructured a number of major loans mainly with Russian state-owned banks and issued Russian ruble bonds. Additionally, in December 2013, we have refinanced our \$1.0 billion pre-export facilities with a syndicate of 27 international and Russian banks. Our principal objective in negotiating the debt refinancing and restructuring and resetting the financial covenants was to ensure a stable financial environment to allow the continuation of our financial strategy: lengthening the maturity profile of our debt portfolio and grace repayment periods across our most important credit facilities, allowing us to successfully pass the prolonged commodity price depression.

The weakness in the demand and prices for our products throughout 2013, continued to negatively impact all our business segments. For the year ended December 31, 2013, we had operating loss of \$529.1 million as compared to \$422.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. Net cash provided by operating activities was \$323.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to \$1,313.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. As of December 31, 2013, our total indebtedness was \$9,005.1 million, a decrease of \$352.8 million from December 31, 2012. Short-term portion of our total indebtedness was \$1,484.9 million as of December 31, 2013 as compared to \$1,436.2 million as of December 31, 2012. Working capital deficit amounted to \$740.8 million as of December 31, 2013 as compared to working capital surplus amounted to \$1,767.8 million as of December 31, 2012. Cash and cash equivalents as of December 31, 2013 were \$268.5 million as compared to \$293.6 million as of December 31, 2012. As of December 31, 2013, we had breached certain financial covenants in various loan agreements but we have received appropriate consents from the banks.

In the absence of a significant and sustainable increase in the price levels and demand for our products for the reminder of the year 2014, our plans for 2014 and beyond are conservatively based on continuing our restructuring and refinancing efforts of our loans with our creditors, decreasing the level of inventories, primarily through reducing our purchasing, production and delivery expenses, running down loss-making or non-core operations and selectively dispose of certain assets. On this basis we expect operating cash flows to provide sufficient funds in 2014 to be available for debt servicing and capital expenditure. However, no assurances can be made in relation to the above, and our operations and profitability depend on market prices and demand for our products which may continue to

deteriorate due to general market conditions or other factors including international sanctions against Russia and Russian individuals or businesses. See Risks Relating to Our Business and Industry We operate in cyclical industries, and any local or global downturn, whether or not primarily affecting the mining and/or steel industries, may have an adverse effect on our business, financial

condition, results of operations and prospects and Risks Relating to the Russian Federation Emerging markets such as Russia are subject to greater risks than more developed markets, and financial turmoil in developed or other emerging markets or international sanctions against Russia or Russian individuals or businesses could have a material adverse effect on our business and could cause the value of our shares and ADSs to fluctuate widely.

Our ability to incur additional debt is limited by our restrictive covenants. We have engaged in the refinancing of our debt portfolio with longer term debt, reducing the capital expenditure program and disposing of non-core or loss-making assets. See We have a substantial amount of outstanding indebtedness with restrictive financial covenants and We will require a significant amount of cash to fund our capital investment program. These measures, if successful, should reduce the risk of facing a liquidity shortage in the medium term as well as allow us to reduce our indebtedness over time.

In March 2014, Moody s Investors Service revisited and downgraded our rating to Caa1 with negative outlook because of continuing low coking coal prices, China s lower demand for commodities and Mechel s refinancing risk. So far we have successfully renegotiated the financial covenants under our loan agreements and refinanced or reached agreement with creditors to refinace a significant part of our 2014 debt maturities. Such change of our rating may reduce our opportunities to raise necessary debt financing (including by accessing the debt capital markets), as well as potentially negatively impact the terms of such financing.

Any deterioration in our operating performance, including due to any worsening of prevailing economic conditions, fall in commodity prices (whether due to the cyclical nature of the industry or otherwise) and/or financial, business or other factors, many of which are beyond our control, may adversely and materially affect our cash flow, liquidity and working capital position and may result in an increase in our working capital deficit and in us being unable to meet our obligations as they fall due. If such a situation were to occur, we may be required to further refinance our existing debt and/or to seek additional capital. There is no guarantee that we would be successful in refinancing our debt or in raising additional capital, or that we would be able to do so on a timely basis or on terms which are acceptable to us. Even if we were successful, the terms of such refinancing or new capital may be detrimental to holders of ADSs and shares including due to a dilution of their interest. Any such deterioration, affect or failure could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and the trading price of ADSs and shares.

If significant economic slowdown were to continue, we could face a liquidity shortage and breach our restrictive covenants, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

We have not been in compliance with the financial covenants in certain of our credit facilities.

Most of the loan agreements under which we or our subsidiaries are borrowers contain various representations, undertakings, covenants and events of default. Furthermore, according to the terms of such agreements, certain of our actions aimed at developing our business and pursuing our strategic objectives, such as acquisitions, disposal of assets, corporate restructurings, investments into certain of our subsidiaries and others, require prior notice to or consent from the respective lenders.

In recent years, we have been in breach of covenants in various loan agreements, but we have received waivers, consents and covenant amendments from the relevant lenders for such breaches. As of December 31, 2013, we were not in compliance with certain covenants in some of our credit facilities entered into with several lenders, including certain financial ratios, such as the EBITDA to Net Interest Expense ratio. In April-May 2014, the lenders have provided their consent to cure such breach. In addition, during the year, we obtained consent from our lenders to amend certain of our financial covenants for the period from December 2013 to December 2017, including obtaining a

covenant testing holiday of the net borrowings to EBITDA ratio for the relevant period ending on December 31, 2013 and June 30, 2014. See Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects Liquidity and Capital Resources Debt Financings in 2013. We have restrictions on our ability to

14

pay dividends, incur additional indebtedness and make capital expenditures, as well as expand through further acquisitions and use proceeds from certain disposals. See Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects Liquidity and Capital Resources Restrictive Covenants and Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects Description of Certain Indebtedness.

Some of our credit facilities contain cross-default provisions that may be triggered by a default under one of our other loan and credit facilities. A cross-default provision contemplates that a default on one loan with the principal amount above certain threshold would result in a default on other loans. Although we believe that we have sought and received waivers for the breaches from our lenders under the relevant credit facilities, due to the presence of cross-default provisions in almost all of our credit facilities, the refusal of any one lender to grant or extend a waiver in the future could result in substantially all of our indebtedness being accelerated even if our other lenders have waived covenant defaults under the respective credit facilities. If our indebtedness is accelerated in full or in part, it could be very difficult in the current financing environment for us to refinance our debt or obtain additional financing, and we could lose our assets, including fixed assets and shares in our subsidiaries, if our lenders foreclose on their liens, which would adversely affect our ability to conduct our business and result in a significant decline in the value of our shares.

Our ability to continue to comply with our financial and other loan covenants in the future and to continue to service and refinance our indebtedness will depend on our results of operations and our ability to generate cash in the future and attract new financing and refinance the existing indebtedness, which will depend on lenders credit decisions. This, in turn, is subject to general economic, financial, competitive, legislative and other factors that are beyond our control. We cannot assure you that any potential breach of financial and other covenants in our loan agreements, including defects in security, will not result in new demands from our lenders for acceleration of our loan repayment obligations or related litigation, including as a result of cross-defaults. If we fail to comply with our financial and other covenants contained in any of our loan agreements, including compliance with financial ratios and other covenants, or fail to obtain prior consent of lenders for certain actions, or fail to obtain extensions or waivers in respect of any breaches of our loan agreements or amend our loan agreements, such failure would constitute an event of default under the relevant loan agreement. Any event of default under our loan agreement could result in acceleration of repayment of principal and interest under the relevant loan agreement and any other loan agreement under which a default on such instrument would trigger a cross-default, reduced opportunities for future borrowing, debt service obligations in excess of our ability to pay, liability for damages or inability to further develop our business and pursue our strategic objectives, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

We have a substantial amount of outstanding indebtedness with restrictive financial covenants.

We have a substantial amount of outstanding indebtedness, primarily consisting of debt we incurred in connection with the financing of our acquisitions of Yakutugol and Oriel Resources in 2007 and 2008, as well as debt we incurred to finance our investment program in recent years including the development of the Elga coal deposit and our working capital needs which have been significant in recent years due to the depressed demand and pricing for our main products. Most of this debt has restrictive financial covenants. See Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects Restrictive Covenants and Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects Description of Certain Indebtedness. As of December 31, 2013, our consolidated total debt, including capital lease obligations, was \$9,424.8 million, with a short-term portion of \$1,607.7 million. Our interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$742.0 million, net of the amount capitalized.

In order to secure bank financings, we have pledged shares in certain key subsidiaries, including 50%+2 shares of Yakutugol, 50%+2 shares of Southern Kuzbass Coal Company, 40% of Chelyabinsk Metallurgical Plant, 88.25% of

total shares of Beloretsk Metallurgical Plant, 55%+1 share of Korshunov Mining Plant, 50%+2 shares of Mechel Mining, 50%+1 share of Urals Stampings Plant, 25%+1 share of total common shares of Izhstal, 25% 1 share of Port Posiet and 49% of Elgaugol as of December 31, 2013. As of the date hereof, we have pledged additional 12.5% shares of Mechel Mining and released 65% of total shares of Beloretsk

Metallurgical Plant and 25% 1 share of Port Posiet. Also, property, plant and equipment and certain other assets of our subsidiaries are pledged to lenders. As of December 31, 2013, the carrying value of property, plant and equipment, inventory, cash and accounts receivable pledged under our loan agreements amounted to \$974.5 million. See note 13(i) to the consolidated financial statements.

Our ability to make payments on our indebtedness depends upon our operating performance, which is subject to general economic and market conditions, commodity prices, and financial, business and other factors, many of which we cannot control. If we do not generate sufficient cash flow from operations in order to meet our debt service obligations, we may have to undertake alternative financing plans to alleviate liquidity constraints, such as refinancing or restructuring our debt, reducing or delaying our capital expenditures or seeking additional capital.

The majority of our borrowings are from Russian banks, including state-controlled banks such as Gazprombank, Sberbank and VTB Bank, as well as from Russian ruble bonds which are mostly held by Russian institutions. In each of the recent few years, the Russian state banks have extended the maturity of our borrowings and have also waived financial covenant defaults and amended/reset financial covenants based on the impact of the market conditions on our results of operations. It is possible that these sources of financing may not be available in the future in the amounts we may require or may be expensive and/or contain overly onerous terms. More recently, the economic slowdown in Russia as well as the events in Ukraine and Crimea has introduced additional uncertainty in the overall outlook for the ability of Russian banks to provide sufficient liquidity to Russian companies. In the current environment, if Russian banks and financial institutions are no longer able to continue to refinance our indebtedness or finance our working capital needs, we may not be able to diversify our funding sources and may default on our debt which would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects. See the Russian Federation Emerging markets such as Russia are subject to greater risks than more developed markets, and financial turmoil in developed or other emerging markets or international sanctions against Russia or Russian individuals or businesses could have a material adverse effect on our business and could cause the value of our shares Risks Relating to the Russian Federation Economic risks The Russian banking and ADSs to fluctuate widely and system is still developing, and another banking crisis or international sanctions could place severe liquidity constraints on our business.

Subject to market conditions and improvement of our corporate ratings, we may access the international debt capital markets in order to diversify funding sources, further extend the maturity profile of our debt portfolio and reduce the refinancing risk with the peak repayments in 2015 and 2016. We cannot provide assurance that any refinancing or additional financing would be available on acceptable terms. This is reinforced by the existing uncertainty in the Russian and global economies, including concerns about sovereign debt in Europe and the United States. Any inability to satisfy our debt service obligations or to refinance debt on commercially reasonable terms could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Among other things, high levels of indebtedness, particularly the restrictive financial covenants in our credit facilities could potentially: (1) limit our ability to raise capital through debt financing; (2) limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in the markets in which we compete; (3) disadvantage our group relative to our competitors with superior financial resources; (4) lead to a loss of collateral pledged as security; (5) render us more vulnerable to general adverse economic and industry conditions; (6) require us to dedicate all or a substantial part of our cash flow to service our debt; and (7) limit or eliminate our ability to pay dividends.

We will require a significant amount of cash to fund our capital investment program.

Our business requires maintenance capital expenditures in order to maintain production levels adequate to meet the demand for our products, as well as other capital expenditures to implement our business strategy. We spent \$375.8

million during 2013 on our capital expenditures (including \$51.0 million in maintenance capital

16

expenditures). In planning for 2014, we followed our current investment policy focusing only on those items that are either close to completion or are of major importance for our operations. Our capital investment program currently contemplates capital spending of up to \$1.0 billion in 2014 (including up to \$114.2 million in maintenance capital expenditures). The majority of these planned capital expenditures relate to the development of the Elga coal deposit and which are required to be made pursuant to the terms of the subsoil license. The Elga capital expenditures will be financed from the project financing provided by Vnesheconombank in April 2014. We plan to spend up to \$2.7 billion for the three-year period of 2014-2016 on capital investments (including up to \$0.5 billion in maintenance capital expenditures). See Item 4. Information on the Company Capital Investment Program.

Our ability to undertake and fund planned capital expenditures will depend on our ability to generate cash in the future and access debt and equity financing. This, to a certain extent, is subject to general economic and market conditions, financial, competitive, legislative, regulatory and other factors that are beyond our control. Attracting debt financing for our capital expenditures on commercially reasonable terms may be particularly challenging given our current high levels of indebtedness, restrictive covenants and pledges of shares and assets of our subsidiaries to our current lenders. Any deterioration in our operating performance, including due to any worsening of economic conditions, fall in commodity prices and/or financial, business or other factors, many of which are beyond our control, may adversely and materially affect our cash flow which may leave us unable to conduct our capital expenditure plans as necessary or required, which could adversely affect our operating facilities and ability to comply with applicable regulations.

Inflation could increase our costs and decrease operating margins.

In 2013, 2012 and 2011, the inflation rate in Russia was 6.5%, 6.6% and 6.1%, respectively, according to the Russian Federal State Statistics Service (**Rosstat**). Inflation increases our operating costs on monetary items, which are sensitive to rises in the general price level in Russia, including fuel and energy costs, cost of production services and salaries. However, the impact on the operating margins depends on market demand and prices for the main products. Despite the fact that inflation generally increases the costs of our products as well as the prices for our products, global demand could influence these prices downwards. Therefore, provided that the exchange rate of the ruble against the U.S. dollar remains unchanged, inflation could increase our costs and decrease operating margins.

A non-repayment of a loan by, or loss of accounts receivable from or prepayments to, certain related parties could have an adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

From late 2009 to the end of 2013, we worked closely with certain Russian and foreign metallurgical plants and trading companies, which are disclosed as related parties in our U.S. GAAP financial statements (the related metallurgical plants). See Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions Related Party Transactions Transactions with related metallurgical plants and Transactions with Metallurg-Trust. We supplied raw materials to them and purchased their products pursuant to short-term supply and purchase contracts. By the end of 2013, the volume of transactions with the related metallurgical plants and Metallurg-Trust reduced significantly due to ceasing the partnership with these companies. Revenues from sales to these companies amounted to \$230.0 million, \$730.0 million and \$820.0 million in the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Purchases from these companies amounted to \$613.4 million, \$874.6 million and \$1,557.2 million in the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Revenues from re-sales of products purchased from these companies to third parties amounted to \$570.5 million, \$847.7 million and \$1,293.5 million in the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Substantially all of the revenues from sales to, and revenues from re-sales of products purchased from, these companies were in the steel segment. In the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, these revenues represented 16.2%, 24.6% and 29.6%, respectively, of our group s total steel segment revenues. As of December 31, 2013, trade accounts receivable and other accounts receivable from these companies totaled \$256.5 million, with credit terms varying from 2 to 180 days. In addition, as of December 31, 2013, prepayments to these

companies totaled \$508.8 million. We closely monitor our balances with these companies, including our

17

trade accounts payable to them. As of December 31, 2013, we recorded allowances in the total amount of \$734.9 million against the accounts receivable and prepayments from these companies. The allowance for doubtful accounts was recognized based on our estimates of future cash inflows from these balances.

In November 2011, the owners of the related metallurgical plants (**Estar Group**) entered into an agreement with us pursuant to which \$944.5 million of debt, mostly consisting of accounts receivable owed to us by the Estar Group, was restructured into a loan agreement (the **Estar Loan Agreement**). The Estar Loan Agreement was secured by a pledge of shares in the major related metallurgical plants and/or their parent companies, as well as by suretyships from the related metallurgical plants and/or their parent companies. In September 2012, we extended the term of the loan for additional nine months until June 2013, reducing the amount of the loan to \$876 million. During the year ended December 31, 2013, the loan was repaid only in the amount of \$5.0 million. As the repayment was not effected in time we initiated legal proceedings against the borrower and sureties.

In September 2012, we acquired a 100% stake in Cognor Stahlhandel, a metallurgical trader, and, in November 2012, we acquired a 100% stake in Lomprom Rostov, a scrap collecting and processing company (subsequently renamed to Mechel Vtormet Rostov). Both entities formed part of pledged assets under the Estar Loan Agreement. Upon the acquisitions, the loan under the Estar Loan Agreement was partially repaid and reduced to \$731 million. See Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions Related Party Transactions with related metallurgical plants and Transactions with Metallurg-Trust and note 9 to the consolidated financial statements.

As of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, we evaluated the recoverability of the balances due from the related metallurgical plants and the Estar Group based on the fair value of the pledged assets which we determined to be negligible. This resulted in an \$888.0 million and \$896.4 million provision for amounts due from related parties under the Estar Loan Agreement as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. We have not taken possession of other assets (besides Cognor Stahlhandel and Lomprom Rostov) provided as collateral because these entities are burdened with a substantial amount of debt. Nevertheless, given the liquidity issues faced by these companies and the dependency of their businesses on the general condition of the steel sector as well as the reduction of the volume of transactions with the related metallurgical plants by the end of 2013 made by us, we may fail to collect accounts receivable from and suffer loss of prepayments to these companies, which could have an adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Russian law restrictions on depositary receipt programs limit our access to equity capital and constrain our refinancing options.

Russian companies are limited in their ability to place shares in circulation outside of Russia, including in the form of depositary receipts such as our common American Depositary Shares (common ADSs) and our global depositary shares representing our common shares (GDSs), as well as our preferred American Depositary Shares representing our preferred shares (preferred ADSs , the common ADSs and the preferred ADSs together referred to as ADSs) due to Russian securities regulations. We have received permission from the Russian Federal Financial Markets Service (FFMS) for up to 40% of our common shares to be circulated abroad through depositary receipt programs, which was the maximum amount allowed at that time. Later we also received FFMS permission for a total of 41,627,074 preferred shares to be circulated through depositary receipt programs, representing 30% of the total number of issued preferred shares, which was the maximum amount allowed at that time. Over the last few years, this limit has been gradually reduced by the regulator. Current regulations provide that no more than 25%, 15% or 5% of the total number of outstanding shares of a certain class may be placed or circulated outside the Russian Federation depending on the company s listing status on a Russian stock exchange (A , B or V and I). Our common and preferred shares a listing status A-1 on Closed Joint Stock Company MICEX Stock Exchange (MICEX). It is unclear whether the FFMS s approvals of higher amounts prior to the establishment of these lower limits will be allowed to remain in

place. Our common ADSs and GDSs together currently account for approximately 35% of our common shares, and

18

accordingly we believe we cannot raise additional equity financing through placement of common shares in the form of depositary receipts. If the current limits are enforced Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas (the **depositary**) may be forced to cancel some of our common ADSs and GDSs and deliver a corresponding number of the underlying common shares to holders of common ADSs or GDSs. The Russian government or its agencies may also impose other restrictions on international financings by Russian issuers.

Changes in the exchange rate of the ruble against the U.S. dollar may materially adversely affect our results of operations.

A majority of our sales are denominated in U.S. dollars, whereas the majority of our direct costs are incurred in rubles. Depreciation in real terms of the ruble against the U.S. dollar may result in a decrease in our costs relative to our revenues. Conversely, appreciation in real terms of the ruble against the U.S. dollar may materially adversely affect our results of operations if the prices we are able to charge for our products do not increase sufficiently to compensate for the increase in real terms in our ruble-denominated expenditures. In 2013, the ruble appreciated in real terms against the U.S. dollar by 2.7% as compared with 2012, according to the CBR.

Limitations on the conversion of rubles into foreign currencies in Russia could cause us to default on our obligations.

A significant part of our indebtedness and our major capital expenditures are denominated and payable in various foreign currencies, including the U.S. dollar and euro. Russian legislation currently permits the conversion of ruble revenues into foreign currency without limitation. However, if the Russian authorities impose limitations on the convertibility of the ruble or other restrictions on operations with rubles and foreign currencies in the event of an economic crisis or otherwise, there may be delays or other difficulties in converting rubles into foreign currency to make a payment or delays in or restrictions on the transfer of foreign currency. This, in turn, could limit our ability to meet our payment and debt obligations, which could result in the loss of suppliers, acceleration of debt obligations and cross-defaults and, consequently, have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Our business could be materially adversely affected if creditors of certain of our subsidiaries accelerate their debt.

We have merged and intend to continue to merge certain subsidiaries for operational reasons from time to time. Under Russian law, such mergers are considered to be a reorganization and the merged subsidiaries are required to publish the information regarding this reorganization twice with a monthly interval. Russian law also provides that, for a period of 30 days after date of latest publication, the creditors of merging subsidiaries have a right to file a claim seeking acceleration of the reorganized subsidiaries—indebtedness and demand reimbursement for applicable losses, however, the court may not accept such a claim against subsidiaries existing in the form of an open joint-stock company if it concludes that the creditor had adequate security. In the event that we undertake any such merger and all or part of our subsidiaries—indebtedness is accelerated, we and such subsidiaries may not have the ability to raise the funds necessary for repayment, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

We may incur impairments to goodwill or other long-lived assets which could negatively affect our future profits.

At each reporting date, we review the carrying value of our long-lived assets, including property, plant and equipment, investments, goodwill, licenses to use mineral reserves (inclusive of capitalized costs related to asset retirement obligations and value beyond proven and probable reserves), and intangible assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of such assets may not be fully recoverable.

Recoverability of long-lived assets, excluding goodwill, is assessed by a comparison of the carrying amount of the asset (or the group of assets, including the asset in question, that represents the lowest level of separately-identifiable cash flows) to the total estimated undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by the asset or group of assets. If the estimated future net undiscounted cash flows are less than the carrying amount of the asset or group of assets, the asset or group of assets is considered impaired and impairment charge is recognized equal to the amount required to reduce the carrying amount of the asset or group of assets to their fair value.

Fair value is determined by discounting the cash flows expected to be generated by the asset, when the quoted market prices are not available for the long-lived assets. Estimated future cash flows are based on our assumptions and are subject to risk and uncertainty that are considered in the discount rate applied in the goodwill impairment testing. For assets and groups of assets relating to and including the licenses to use mineral reserves, future cash flows include estimates of recoverable minerals that will be obtained from proven and probable reserves and estimated value beyond proven and probable mineral reserves, mineral prices (considering current and historical prices, price trends and other related factors), production levels, capital and reclamation costs, all based on the life of mine models prepared by our engineers. Our reporting units with goodwill allocated for the testing purposes represent single entities with one component of business in each case.

Goodwill is assessed for impairment by using the fair value based method. We determine fair value by utilizing discounted cash flows. Goodwill is tested for impairment in two steps. Under the first step we compare the fair value of the reporting unit with its carrying value. If the fair value is less than the carrying value, goodwill is impaired. Under the second step the amount of goodwill impairment is measured by the amount that the reporting unit s goodwill carrying value exceeds the implied fair value of goodwill. The implied fair value of goodwill can only be determined by deducting the fair value of all tangible and intangible net assets (including unrecognized intangible assets) of the reporting unit from the fair value of the reporting unit (as determined in the first step). In this step, the fair value of the reporting unit is allocated to all of the reporting unit assets and liabilities (a hypothetical purchase price allocation). If goodwill and another asset (or asset group) of a reporting unit are tested for impairment at the same time, the other asset (or asset group) shall be tested for impairment before goodwill. If the asset group was impaired, the impairment loss would be recognized prior to goodwill being tested for impairment.

When performing impairment tests, we use assumptions that include estimates regarding the discount rates, growth rates and expected changes in selling prices, sales volumes and operating costs, as well as capital expenditures and working capital requirements during the forecasted period. We estimate discount rates using after-tax rates that reflect current market rates for investments of similar risk. The growth rates are based on our growth forecasts, which are largely in line with industry trends. Changes in selling prices and direct costs are based on historical experience and expectations of future changes in the market.

Based on the results of the impairment analysis of long-lived assets, including definite-lived intangibles and goodwill we performed during the year ended December 31, 2013, an impairment loss of \$38.3 million was recognized. This amount includes impairment of goodwill recognized in power, steel and mining segments as shown in the tables below:

Impairment Loss of Goodwill as for the Year Ended December 31, 2013

Company Segment

Edgar Filing: Mechel OAO - Form 20-F

(In millions of U.S. dollars)

	(=== =======	0110 01 0101 4011415)
Kuzbass Power Sales Company	Power	28.1
Ekos-plus	Mining	4.1
WNL Staal	Steel	2.3
Ramateks	Steel	2.2
Mechel Transport	Mining	1.6
Total		38.3

The amount of goodwill on our balance sheet as of December 31, 2013 that is subject to impairment analysis in the future is \$687.8 million or 5% of our total assets. This amount includes goodwill of Yakutugol, Bratsk Ferroalloy Plant and Port Posiet of \$408.9 million, \$89.5 million and \$23.1 million, respectively, the fair values of which were 17%, 29% and 17% over their carrying values, respectively, as of December 31, 2013. See note 23 to the consolidated financial statements.

We continue to monitor relevant circumstances, including consumer levels, general economic conditions and market prices for our products, and the potential impact that such circumstances might have on the valuation of our goodwill and long-lived assets. It is possible that changes in such circumstances, or in the numerous variables associated with our judgments, assumptions and estimates made in assessing the appropriate valuation of goodwill and recoverability other long-lived assets, could in the future require us to further reduce our goodwill and other long-lived assets and record related non-cash impairment charges. If we are required to record additional impairment charges, this could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations or financial position.

Given the competition for qualified accounting personnel in Russia, we may be unable to retain our key accounting staff, which could disrupt our ability to timely and accurately report U.S. GAAP financial information.

Our subsidiaries maintain their books and records in local currencies and prepare accounting reports in accordance with local accounting principles and practices. In particular, each of our Russian subsidiaries maintains its books in rubles and prepares separate unconsolidated financial statements in accordance with Russian accounting standards. For every reporting period, we translate, adjust and combine these Russian statutory financial statements to prepare consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. This is a time-consuming task requiring us to have accounting personnel experienced in internationally accepted accounting standards. We believe there is a shortage in Russia of experienced accounting personnel with knowledge of internationally accepted accounting standards. Moreover, there is an increasing demand for such personnel as more Russian companies are beginning to prepare financial statements on the basis of internationally accepted accounting standards. Such competition makes it difficult for us to hire and retain such personnel, and our key accounting staff may leave us.

Risks Relating to Our Business and Industry

We operate in cyclical industries, and any local or global downturn, whether or not primarily affecting the mining and/or steel industries, may have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Our mining segment sells coal (metallurgical and steam), iron ore and coke. These commodities are traded in markets throughout the world and are influenced by various factors beyond our control, such as global economic cycles and economic growth rates. Prices of these products have varied significantly in the past and continue to be lower than their peaks in recent years due to soft demand.

Our steel segment sells steel products, including semi-finished products, long products of wide range of steel grades, carbon and stainless flat products, wire products, forgings and stampings and others. The steel industry is highly cyclical in nature because the industries in which steel customers operate are subject to changes in general economic conditions. The demand for steel products thus generally correlates to macroeconomic fluctuations in the economies in which steel producers sell products, as well as in the global economy. The prices of steel products are influenced by many factors, including demand, worldwide production capacity, capacity-utilization rates, raw materials costs, exchange rates, trade barriers and improvements in steel-making processes. Steel prices have experienced, and in the future may experience, significant fluctuations as a result of these and other factors, many of which are beyond our control.

Our ferroalloys segment sells ferrosilicon. This ferroalloy product is primarily used in the manufacture of steel. Thus, market demand for ferrosilicon is very closely linked with the market for steel and generally follows the cycles of the steel industry.

21

Our power segment generates and supplies electricity. Power demand in Russia depends on its consumption by the industrial sector. In Russia, the steel and mining industries are major consumers of power and the recent declines in production by steel and mining companies has impacted demand for power. Therefore, the market demand for the power produced by our power segment is affected by many of the same factors and cycles that affect our mining and metals businesses. Due to government price regulation and the current shortage of power generation capacity in Russia, reduced demand for power has not impacted power prices. However, as Russian regulated power prices are set in rubles, if power prices are not increased steadily they may decline on a real dollar basis when ruble devaluation and inflation are taken into account.

As a result of the 2008-2009 global economic crisis and the subsequent 2010-2011 global economic slowdown, the demand and prices for our products sharply declined. The continuing stagnation of the economy of the European region, the 2012-2013 economic slowdowns in the Asian region, as well as the existing uncertainty as to global economic recovery in the near future and international sanctions against Russia and Russian individuals or businesses may have adverse consequences for our customers and our business as a whole. See Item 3. Key Information Risk Factors Risks Relating to the Russian Federation Emerging markets such as Russia are subject to greater risks than more developed markets, and financial turmoil in developed or other emerging markets or international sanctions against Russia or Russian individuals or businesses could have a material adverse effect on our business and could cause the value of our shares and ADSs to fluctuate widely.

Prices for our products, including coal, iron ore, metals, ferrosilicon and power, as well as the prices of coal, iron ore, ferroalloys, power and natural gas and other commodities and materials we purchase from third parties for the production of our products, fluctuate substantially over relatively short periods of time and expose us to commodity price risk. We do not use options, derivatives or swaps to manage commodity price risk. We use our vertically integrated business model and intersegment sales, as well as short-term and long-term purchase and sales contracts with third party suppliers and customers, to manage such risk. In addition, the length and pricing terms of our sales contracts on certain types of products are affected and regulated by orders issued by Russian antimonopoly authorities. In particular, pursuant to a directive issued to us by the Russian Federal Antimonopoly Service (FAS) in August 2008, we entered into long-term contracts for supply of certain grades of our coking coal with a formula of price calculation and with fixed volumes for the entire period of the contract. See Antimonopoly regulation could lead to sanctions with respect to the subsidiaries we have acquired or established or our prices, sales volumes and business practices. Terms of sales of other types of our products may also be affected by regulations of the authorities. We cannot assure you that our strategies and contracting practices will be successful in managing our pricing risk or that they will not result in liabilities. If our strategies to manage commodity price risk and the impact of business cycles and fluctuations in demand are not successful, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

The steel and mining industries are highly competitive, and we may not be able to compete successfully.

We face competition from Russian and international steel and mining companies. Consolidation in the steel and mining sectors globally has led to the creation of several large producers, some of which have greater financial resources and more modern facilities than our group. We also face price-based competition from producers in emerging market countries, including, in particular, Ukraine, Kazakhstan and Turkey. Increased competition could result in more competitive pricing and reduce our operating margins.

Our competitiveness is based in part on our operations in Russia having a lower cost of production than competitors in higher-cost locations. We have been facing a consistent upward trend in the past several years in production costs, particularly with respect to wages and transportation. For example, our rail transportation costs increased consistently during the last three years with rail tariff increases of 8.0% in 2011, 6.0% in 2012 and 7.0% in 2013. See A decrease

in railway infrastructure capacity and an increase in railway tariffs expose us to uncertainties regarding transportation costs of raw materials and steel products, adversely affect our operating margins and production costs continue to increase in the

jurisdictions in which we operate, our competitive advantage will be diminished, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Terrorist attacks and threats, escalation of military activity, as well as massive cyber attacks or incidents, and government regulation in response to such attacks or acts of war may negatively affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Terrorist attacks and threats, escalation of military activity, as well as massive cyber attacks or incidents, and an increase in government regulation in response to such attacks or acts of war may negatively affect our business. There could be delays or losses in transportation and deliveries of our products to our customers, increased government regulation and decreased sales due to disruptions in the businesses of our customers. It is possible that any such occurrences could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Changes in our estimates of reserves or failure to implement mine development plans could result in lower than expected revenues, higher than expected costs or decreased operating margins.

We base our reserve information on engineering, economic and geological data assembled and analyzed by our staff, which includes various engineers and geologists, and which is reviewed by independent mining engineers only periodically, approximately once in three years. The reserve estimates as to both quantity and quality are periodically updated to reflect production from the reserves and new drilling, engineering or other data received. There are numerous uncertainties inherent in estimating quantities and qualities of and costs to mine recoverable reserves, including many factors beyond our control. Estimates of economically recoverable reserves and net cash flows necessarily depend upon a number of variable factors and assumptions, such as geological and mining conditions which may not be fully identified by available exploration data or which may differ from our experience in current operations, projected rates of production in the future, historical production from the area compared with production from other similar producing areas, the assumed effects of regulation and taxes by governmental agencies and assumptions concerning prices, operating costs, mining technology improvements, severance and excise tax, development costs and reclamation costs, all of which may vary considerably from actual results. In addition, it may take many years from the initial phase of drilling before production is possible. During that time, the economic feasibility of exploiting a discovery may change as a result of changes in the market price of the relevant commodity. Mine development plans may have to be revised due to geological and mining conditions and other factors described above, as well as due to shortages in capital funding. Our planned development projects also may not result in significant additional reserves and we may not have continuing success developing new mines or expanding existing mines beyond our existing reserves. In addition, we have not yet applied for all of the permits required, or constructed the mines necessary, to use all of our U.S. reserves. We may be unable to obtain such permits. Some of these permits are becoming increasingly difficult and expensive to obtain and the authorization process continues to lengthen.

The financial performance of our mining segment depends substantially on our ability to mine coal reserves that have the geological characteristics that enable them to be mined at competitive costs and to meet the quality needed by our customers. Actual tonnage recovered from identified reserve areas or properties and revenues and expenditures with respect to our reserves may vary materially from estimates. Replacement reserves may not be available when required or, if available, may not be capable of being mined at costs comparable to those characteristic of the depleting mines. Our ability to obtain other reserves through acquisitions in the future could be limited by restrictions under our existing or future debt agreements, competition from other mining companies for attractive properties, the lack of suitable acquisition candidates or the inability to acquire mining properties on commercially reasonable terms. Furthermore, we may not be able to mine all of our reserves as profitably as we do at our current operations due to increases in wages, power and fuel prices and other factors.

Therefore, changes in our estimates of reserves or failure to implement mine development plans could result in lower than expected revenues, higher than expected costs or decreased operating margins.

23

The calculation of reserves and the development of the Elga coal deposit are subject to certain risks due to the license obligations and capital costs involved in developing the required infrastructure.

The risks associated with the development of the Elga coal deposit have the potential to impact the project s legal or economic viability, including the calculation of reserves. Key risks that have been identified include the following: (1) the subsoil license for the Elga coal deposit could be suspended or terminated if construction deadlines and operational milestones are not met or we could be required to extend the license under less favorable terms; (2) the project requires significant capital expenditures to develop the required production and washing facilities and infrastructure, and increases in planned capital and operating costs could make the project uneconomical because of the project s sensitivity to these costs; (3) the economic viability of the project is dependent upon the full use of the rail line; (4) the project is very sensitive to market prices for coal because of the high initial capital costs; and (5) the insufficient capacity of ports in the Russian Far East where the Elga deposit is located may limit the distribution of coal mined at the Elga deposit. In addition, capital expenditures for the rail line were not considered in the calculation of reserves estimates as we do not plan to use the rail line solely for delivery of coal from the Elga deposit. While we have already invested approximately \$1.9 billion in the development of the Elga coal deposit, its further development requires an additional approximately \$1.9 billion over 2014-2016. In March 2014, our subsidiary Elgaugol signed two loan agreements with Vnesheconombank for a \$2.5 billion project financing to develop the Elga coal deposit. In case of Elgaugol s failure to comply with the construction deadlines, operational milestones and other terms of the loan agreements, Vnesheconombank may suspend or terminate the financing. The realization of any of these risks could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Successful implementation of our strategy to expand our special steel long products sales and coal sales depends on our ability to increase our export sales of these products.

Our strategy to expand our special steel long products sales is dependent on our ability to increase our exports of these products to other countries. We face a number of obstacles to this strategy, including oversupply and low demand, trade barriers and sales and distribution challenges, as well as restrictions imposed by antimonopoly legislation and regulatory orders. See Item 8. Financial Information Litigation Antimonopoly.

Likewise, our strategy to increase our sales of coal, particularly high-grade coking coal, is substantially dependent on our ability to increase our exports of these products through ports in the Russian Far East to other countries, particularly Japan, China, South Korea and other Pacific Rim countries.

Currently, key ports in the Russian Far East have limited cargo-handling capacity, lack adequate port facilities and have old and worn-out equipment. In particular, the limited capacity of the railways connecting to these ports is a critical impediment to the further development of port infrastructure and the entire transportation system in the Russian Far East. Existing railway sections must be reconstructed, the logistics structure improved and the actions of the cargo owners, the ports management and Russian Railways, an open joint-stock company wholly owned by the Russian government, must be better coordinated. Increasing the capacity of the ports in the Russian Far East is one of the key issues identified in the Transportation Strategy of the Russian Federation. In addition, major track repairs by Russian Railways in the summer months result in restriction on cargo volumes and delays.

In particular, the current annual capacity of the Baikal-Amur Mainline to which our Elga deposit is connected by our private rail line, is in the range of 12 to 15 million tonnes, which will need to expand substantially to meet our needs when Elga Open Pit reaches its full planned annual production capacity of 20.0 million tonnes of saleable coal in 2024. Russian Railways plans to double the capacity of the Baikal-Amur Mainline by 2020 as well as increase capacity of the Komsomolsk-on-Amur-to-Sovetskaya Gavan segment, which connects the Baikal-Amur Mainline to Port Vanino, to 32.6 million tonnes by 2016. However, this increase may not be sufficient as third party users of rail

lines may also substantially increase their cargo volumes on the Baikal-Amur and Trans-Siberian Mainlines and further in the direction from Komsomolsk-on-Amur to

24

Sovetskaya Gavan transportation hub. We cannot guarantee that these development projects by Russian Railways will proceed according to current plans. In addition, there is acute competition among Russian coal exporters for existing port capacity. In light of this shortage, Russian coal producers have endeavored to acquire ports or separate terminals to ensure the export of their products.

Our ability to increase coking coal export volumes is also limited by requirements to first satisfy Russian domestic coal demand, pursuant to a FAS directive issued to us in August 2008. See — Antimonopoly regulation could lead to sanctions with respect to the subsidiaries we have acquired or established or our prices, sales volumes and business practices. A failure to successfully manage the obstacles and tasks involved in the implementation of our export sales expansion strategy could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

In the event the title to any company we acquired is successfully challenged, we risk losing our ownership interest in that company or its assets.

Almost all of our Russian assets consist of companies formed during the course of Russian privatizations in the 1990s and early 2000s. In particular, Southern Kuzbass Coal Company and the other mining companies which were subsequently merged into Southern Kuzbass Coal Company, as well as Korshunov Mining Plant and Moscow Coke and Gas Plant, were privatized in the early 1990s. Chelyabinsk Metallurgical Plant was also privatized in the early 1990s. Elgaugol OAO was privatized in 1998 and Yakutugol was privatized in 2002. In general, we acquired shares in these companies from third parties after their respective privatizations, except for a 25%+1 share stake in Yakutugol, which was acquired pursuant to a state auction in 2005. We acquired the remaining stake in Yakutugol and a 68.86% stake in Elgaugol OAO in 2007 from two state-owned companies in a tender process.

The Russian statute of limitations for challenging privatization transactions is three years. However, because Russian privatization legislation is vague, internally inconsistent and in conflict with other legislation, including conflicts between federal and local privatization legislation, and the statute of limitations for challenging certain actions related to privatization may be argued to begin to run only upon the discovery of a violation, many privatizations are vulnerable to challenge. In the event that any title to, or our ownership stakes in, any of the privatized companies acquired by us is subject to challenge as having been improperly privatized and we are unable to defeat this claim, we risk losing our ownership interest in the company or its assets, which could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

In addition, under Russian law transactions in shares may be invalidated on many grounds, including a sale of shares by a person without the right to dispose of such shares, breach of interested party and/or major transaction rules and/or the terms of transaction approvals issued by governmental authorities, or failure to register the share transfer in the securities register. As a result, defects in earlier transactions with shares of our subsidiaries (where such shares were acquired from third parties) may cause our title to such shares to be subject to challenge.

Our business could be adversely affected if we fail to obtain or extend necessary subsoil licenses and mining and other permits or fail to comply with the terms of our subsoil licenses and mining and other permits.

Our business depends on the continuing validity of our subsoil licenses and the issuance of new and extended subsoil licenses and our compliance with the terms thereof. In particular, in estimating our reserves, we have assumed that we will be able to renew our Russian subsoil licenses as and when necessary in the ordinary course of business so that we will be able to exploit the resources under such licenses for the operational life of the relevant subsoil plot. See Item 4. Information on the Company Regulatory Matters Subsoil Licensing in Russia Extension of licenses and Mining Segment Mineral reserves (coal, iron ore and limestone). However, license extension is subject to the licensee being

in compliance with the terms of the license. Our experience with license extensions and publicly available information about current market practice and available

25

court practice suggest that regulatory authorities tend to focus on such terms of the license as production levels, operational milestones and license payments, which are considered to be material terms of the license. Nevertheless, there is no assurance that this approach will be consistently applied by the regulatory authorities and the courts and that there will be no changes to this approach in the future. Regulatory authorities exercise considerable discretion in the timing of license issuance, extension of licenses and monitoring licensees compliance with license terms. Subsoil licenses and related agreements typically contain certain environmental, safety and production commitments. See Item 4. Information on the Company Regulatory Matters Subsoil Licensing in Russia Maintenance and termination of licenses. If regulatory authorities determine that we have violated the material terms of our licenses, it could lead to rejection in license extension or suspension or termination of our subsoil licenses, and to administrative and civil liability. The termination or suspension of certain of our subsoil licenses constitutes an event of default under certain of our credit facilities and loans, and is likely to result in a cross-default in other of our credit facilities and loans. In addition, requirements imposed by relevant authorities may be costly to implement and result in delays in production. Our subsoil licenses expire on dates falling in 2014 through 2037. See the tables setting forth expiry dates of our Russian subsoil licenses in Item 4. Information on the Company Mining Segment and reserves information. Accordingly, these factors may seriously impair our ability to operate our business and realize our reserves which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

We are currently in compliance with the material terms of our Russian subsoil licenses, except for the following. We failed to commence commercial coal production at the Raspadsk license area (part of Olzherassky Open Pit) in 2009 as required by the license due to unfavorable mine economics, but expect to commence such production in the second quarter of 2015 provided coal prices recover sufficiently. In addition, we commenced the development of the coal deposits at the Yerunakovsk-1, Yerunakovsk-2 and Yerunakovsk-3 license areas, but failed to commence commercial production at these license areas in 2011 as required by the licenses due to unfavorable mine economics. Moreover, we cannot fully develop the deposit at the Yerunakovsk-3 license area due to the presence of a third-party sludge pond in this area. The Yerunakovsk-2 and Yerunakovsk-3 license areas are not counted for the purposes of our coal reserves.

Our Bluestone operations in the United States are subject to risks relating to mining and other permits required under U.S. federal and state laws. See Risks Relating to Our Business in the United States We must obtain, maintain and comply with numerous U.S. governmental permits and approvals for our operations in the United States, which can be costly and time consuming, and our failure to obtain, renew or comply with necessary permits and approvals could negatively impact our business. The federal agencies responsible for issuing the necessary permits required to conduct mining operations in the United States have increased their scrutiny of permit applications. This is discussed in greater detail below. This has resulted in the permitting process taking longer and becoming more costly in recent years. In addition, citations for violations of those permits have become more frequent and remediation costs associated with correcting such violations have increased substantially.

Increasing costs of electricity, natural gas and labor could materially adversely affect our operating margins.

In 2013, our Russian operations purchased approximately 5.5 billion kilowatt-hours (**kWh**) of electricity at a total cost of \$425.9 million, implying an average cost of 7.8 cents per kWh. In 2012, our Russian operations purchased approximately 6.0 billion kWh of electricity at a total cost of \$433.3 million, implying an average cost of 7.3 cents per kWh. The restructuring of the Russian power sector that began in 2001 is substantially complete and all government regulation of electricity prices in the wholesale power market, except for the sales to household consumers and similar type of consumers, expired in 2011. According to the Ministry of Economic Development of the Russian Federation, the average increase in market prices on the retail electricity market was 8.5-8.7% in 2013, and is expected to be in the range of 7.0-7.3% in 2014. Further price increases for electricity may also occur in the future as the power generating companies created in the restructuring are financed by and controlled to a greater extent by the private sector.

Our Russian operations also purchase significant amounts of natural gas, primarily for the production of electricity at our own co-generation facilities, from Novatek OAO (**Novatek**), Russia s largest independent producer of natural gas, and Gazprom OAO (**Gazprom**), the government-controlled dominant gas producer and the owner of the unified gas supply system of Russia. Domestic natural gas prices are regulated by the Russian government. In 2013, we purchased 1,999.2 million cubic meters of gas at a total cost of \$208.4 million. In 2012, we purchased 2,214.3 million cubic meters of gas at a total cost of \$206.5 million. Russian domestic natural gas prices are significantly below Western European levels, which provides us with a cost advantage over our competitors, an advantage which is expected to diminish as Russian domestic gas prices approach Western European levels. Starting from the second half of 2013, the Russian Federal Tariff Service (the **FTS**) set wholesale prices of gas produced by Gazprom for domestic consumers on the territory of the Russian Federation, except for households, in the range of \$58.9 to \$142.4 per thousand cubic meters, depending on the region of the Russian Federation where the gas is purchased.

Following raw materials used in the production process and energy related costs, our labor costs are the next most significant operational cost. Labor costs in Russia have historically been significantly lower than those in the more developed market economies of North America and Western Europe for similarly skilled employees. However, the average wage in Russia has been rising in recent years. According to Rosstat, after adjusting for inflation, the average wage in the Russian Federation has risen at the annual rate of 2.8%, 8.4% and 5.2% in 2011, 2012 and 2013, respectively. Moreover, labor costs in Russia are indexed to and adjusted for inflation. We believe our advantage with respect to our competitors with foreign operations that have historically had to pay higher average wages than those paid in Russia may be reduced.

Higher costs of electricity, natural gas and labor could negatively impact our operating margins, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

A decrease in railway infrastructure capacity and an increase in railway tariffs expose us to uncertainties regarding transportation costs of raw materials and steel products.

Railway transportation is our principal means of transporting raw materials and steel products to our facilities and to customers in Russia and abroad. The Russian rail system is controlled by Russian Railways, which is a state-sanctioned monopoly responsible for the management of all Russian railroads. The Russian government sets domestic rail freight prices and the terms of transportation, such as, including, terms related to the type of rolling stock to be used for transportation of certain types of cargo; estimated minimum tonnage for the purposes of determining the applicable tariff and others. These rail freight prices are subject to annual adjustment based on, among other factors, inflation and the funding requirements of Russian Railways capital investment program, which is in turn affected by the acute need to upgrade track infrastructure and passenger- and cargo-handling facilities.

The most significant railcar owners are JSC Freight One, JSC Federal Freight, OJSC Novaya Perevozochnaya Kompaniya, NefteTransService ZAO, Globaltrans and Rail Garant. Our cargoes are currently transported in the railcars owned by our subsidiary Mecheltrans or third party railcar owners, mainly to transport coal products and iron ore concentrate. At present, only these third party railcar owners and Russian Railways possess a sufficiently extensive railcar fleet to service our present and future requirements. Mecheltrans works with third party railcar owners to arrange for transportation and forwarding of cargoes with their railcars. In 2013, our freight volume transported by JSC Federal Freight, OJSC Novaya Perevozochnaya Kompaniya, NefteTransService ZAO, Globaltrans and Rail Garant amounted to 17.9 million tonnes, for which we paid \$164.4 million. In 2012, our freight volume transported by JSC Freight One, OJSC Novaya Perevozochnaya Kompaniya, NefteTransService ZAO and JSC Federal Freight amounted to 9.6 million tonnes, for which we paid \$130.6 million.

In 2013, railway tariffs were indexed once, which resulted in a 7.0% tariff increase. Starting from January 1, 2014, railway tariffs have not been indexed. However, despite the preservation of tariff levels which should have

27

a positive impact on our business, a disruption may occur in the transportation of our raw materials and products due to the oversupply of rolling stock which further aggravates the insufficient capacity of the railway infrastructure. Congestion of the railway infrastructure due to the oversupply of rolling stock may also result in increases in cargo delivery terms. In addition, an increase in prices of rolling stock operators—services may occur in the future due to lower turnover of railcars. All of the above factors may negatively impact our operating margin and could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

We face certain trade restrictions in the export of ferrosilicon to the European Union.

In February 2008, an antidumping duty in the amount of 17.8% was imposed on exports to the European Union of ferrosilicon produced by our subsidiary Bratsk Ferroalloy Plant for a period of five years. In February 2013, the European Commission initiated an expiry review of the antidumping measures applicable to imports of ferrosilicon. In April 2014, the antidumping duty was extended for another five years. The U.S. Department of Commerce has recently initiated an antidumping procedure against imports of ferrosilicon from Russia, including ferrosilicon produced by Bratsk Ferroalloy Plant. We cannot predict the outcome of this procedure and whether the antidumping duty will be imposed. We may face additional antidumping duties and other trade restrictions in the European Union, the United States and other markets in the future. See Item 4. Information on the Company Ferroalloys Segment Trade restrictions.

We benefit from Russia s tariffs and duties on imported steel, many of which have been reduced upon Russia s WTO membership and may be eliminated in the future.

Russia has in place import tariffs with respect to certain imported steel products. These tariffs generally amount to 5-15% of the value of the imports. Almost all of our sales of steel products in Russia were protected by these import tariffs in 2013. The Republic of Belarus, the Republic of Kazakhstan and the Russian Federation entered into a Customs Union and implemented a Common Customs Tariff, which came into force on January 1, 2010, reducing import duties on stainless rolled products from 15% to 10%. Creation of this Customs Union, as well as other actions and decisions of Russian authorities in respect of tariffs and duties, can lead to further reduction of import duties.

On November 20, 2013, the Eurasian Economic Commission initiated an antidumping investigation against imports of steel bars originating in Ukraine. We will benefit from protection of Customs Union s market from low-priced import of steel bars in case of antidumping duties are imposed.

Upon Russia s entry into the World Trade Organization (WTO), the import tariffs and duties of Russia were reduced or eliminated, depending on type of steel products. In particular, according to the WTO accession terms Russian import duties on most types of steel products have been reduced to 5%, causing increased competition in the Russian steel market from foreign producers and exporters.

Our exports to the European Union are subject to REACH regulations.

Chemical substances contained in some of our products, as well as by-products and waste, which we export to or produce in the European Union are subject to regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 on registration, evaluation, authorization and restrictions of use of chemicals (**REACH**) that entered into force on June 1, 2007. Under REACH, we must provide a registration dossier for such substances to the European Chemical Agency (**ECHA**). In addition, we must provide the information about the registered substances usage and utilization to the competent authorities of the E.U. Member States and downstream users upon request. In accordance with REACH, prior to December 1, 2008, we pre-registered substantially all of the substances that we intended to export to or produce in the European Union. As a next step in accordance with the REACH implementation schedule, prior to December 1, 2010, we registered with the

ECHA all of the substances that we export to or produce in the European Union in an amount over 1,000 tonnes per year, and which are subject to REACH registration. We are in compliance with current REACH requirements and we will have to maintain certain resources to ensure compliance with further developing REACH requirements.

28

REACH provides for a special authorization regime for substances of high concern, including those that are identified from scientific evidence as causing probable serious effects to humans or the environment on a case-by-case basis. To obtain authorization, a manufacturer of substances of high concern is generally required to demonstrate that the risk from the use of the substance is adequately controlled. All substances under the authorization regime are subject to restrictions with respect to manufacture, placing on the market or use. The European Commission may amend or withdraw the authorization, even one given for adequate control, if suitable substitutes have become available. Currently, none of our products contain substances which may be subject to the authorization regime. There is no assurance that our products will not be subject to further restrictions or bans if any substance of high concern is detected in our products in excess of statutory thresholds, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

The European Commission has planned several revisions of the REACH Regulation taking place until 2019. Compliance with changes to the existing regulations may lead to increased costs, modifications in operating practices and/or further restrictions affecting our products. Any such changes and/or modifications could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

We are subject to mining risks.

Our operations, like those of other mining companies, are subject to all of the hazards and risks normally associated with the exploration, development and production of natural resources, any of which could result in production shortfalls or damage to persons or property.

In particular, hazards associated with our open pit mining operations include, but are not limited to: (1) flooding of the open pit; (2) collapses of the open pit wall; (3) accidents associated with the operation of large open pit mining and rock transportation equipment; (4) accidents associated with the preparation and ignition of large-scale open pit blasting operations; (5) deterioration of production quality due to weather; and (6) hazards associated with the disposal of mineralized waste water, such as groundwater and waterway contamination.

Hazards associated with our underground mining operations include but are not limited to: (1) underground fires and explosions, including those caused by flammable gas; (2) cave-ins or ground falls; (3) emissions of gases and toxic chemicals; (4) flooding; (5) sinkhole formation and ground subsidence; and (6) other accidents and conditions resulting from drilling, blasting and removing and processing material from an underground mine, including due to human error.

We are at risk of experiencing any and all of these hazards. The occurrence of such hazards could delay production, increase production costs, result in injury to persons or death, and damage to property, as well as liability for us. For example, on May 30, 2008, there was a cave-in at V.I. Lenina Underground (which led to suspension of operation for 17 calendar days) and on July 29, 2008 there was a methane flash (which led to suspension of operation for 67 calendar days). Both accidents involved multiple casualties, and the first accident resulted in five fatalities. In 2010 through 2012, there were a number of occasions of self-heating and spontaneous ignition of coal as well as an increase of coal dust levels each of which resulted in the temporary suspension of mining operations at the longwalls of Sibirginskaya Underground, V.I. Lenina Underground and Olzherasskaya-Novaya Underground. There were no casualties involved in any of these occasions. In 2013, there were also a number of occasions which caused the temporary suspension of mining operations, but had no significant effect on our business. We have been and are still implementing measures to cure the reasons of these occasions and we are cooperating with the competent governmental authorities, in particular, the Russian Federal Service for Ecological, Technological and Nuclear Supervision (Rostekhnadzor).

The risk of occurrence of these hazards is also exacerbated by the significant level of wear of the equipment of our mining enterprises. We are conducting a program of phased replacement and refurbishment of obsolete equipment in order to meet safety requirements at our most hazardous facilities. See Item 8. Financial Information Litigation Environmental and safety.

Abnormal weather conditions and natural hazards could negatively impact our business.

Our production facilities are located in different climate and weather conditions, and abnormal weather changes and natural hazards could affect their operations. Interruptions in electricity supply and transport communication could lead to delays in deliveries of raw materials to our production facilities and finished products to consumers, as well as a suspension of production. In addition, the existence of abnormally low temperatures for a long period of time may limit the work of the crane equipment and mining-and-transport equipment. For example, in 2012 operations at our open pit mines in Russia were suspended for a period of 2 to 7 days due to abnormally low temperatures. In 2013, such suspensions ranged from 2 to 16 days. Negative impact of such abnormal or extreme climate and weather conditions may have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

More stringent environmental laws and regulations or more stringent enforcement or findings that we have violated environmental laws and regulations could result in higher compliance costs and significant fines and penalties, cleanup costs and compensatory damages, or require significant capital investment, or even result in the suspension of our operations, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operation and prospects.

Our operations and properties are subject to environmental laws and regulations in the jurisdictions in which we operate. For instance, our operations generate large amounts of pollutants and waste, some of which are hazardous, such as benzapiren, sulfur oxide, sulfuric acid, nitrogen ammonium, sulfates, nitrites and phenicols. Some of our operations result in the creation of sludges, including sludges containing base elements such as chromium, copper, nickel, mercury and zinc. The creation, storage and disposal of such hazardous waste is subject to environmental regulations, including some requiring the cleanup of contamination and reclamation, such as requirements for cleaning up highly hazardous waste oil and iron slag. In addition, pollution risks and related cleanup costs are often impossible to assess unless environmental audits have been performed and the extent of liability under environmental and civil laws is clearly determinable. Furthermore, new and more stringent regulations have been introduced in a number of countries in response to the impacts of climate change. See — Increased regulations associated with climate change and greenhouse gas emissions may give rise to increased costs and may adversely impact our business and markets.

Generally, there is a greater awareness in Russia of damage caused to the environment by industry than existed during the Soviet era. At the same time, environmental legislation in Russia is generally weaker and less stringently enforced than in the European Union or the United States. However, recent Russian government initiatives indicate that Russia will introduce new water, air and soil quality standards and increase its monitoring and fines for non-compliance with environmental rules, and environmental concerns are increasingly being voiced at the local level. See note 25(b) to the consolidated financial statements.

Based on the current regulatory environment in Russia and elsewhere where we conduct our operations, as of December 31, 2013, we have not created any reserves for environmental liabilities and compliance costs, other than an accrual in the amount of \$59.1 million for asset retirement obligations. Any change in this regulatory environment could result in actual costs and liabilities for which we have not provided. We estimated the total amount of capital investments to address environmental concerns at our various subsidiaries at \$36.3 million as of December 31, 2013. These amounts are not accrued in the consolidated financial statements until actual capital investments are made.

In the course, or as a result, of an environmental investigation by Russian governmental authorities, courts can issue decisions requiring part or all of the production at a facility that has violated environmental standards to be halted for a period of up to 90 days. We have been cited in Russia for various violations of environmental regulations in the past and we have paid certain fines levied by regulatory authorities in connection with these infractions. In June 2013, the Russian Federal Service for the Supervision of Natural Resources (**Rosprirodnadzor**) claimed 398.6 million

rubles from Beloretsk Metallurgical Plant as compensation for damages caused by discharging waste water into the river Belaya and Beloretsk storage reservoir. Beloretsk

30

Metallurgical Plant is currently contesting the claim in court. See Item 8. Financial Information Litigation Environmental and safety. Though our production facilities have not been ordered to suspend operations due to environmental violations during the respective periods since we acquired or established them, there are no assurances that environmental protection authorities will not seek such suspensions in the future. In the event that production at any of our facilities is partially or wholly suspended due to this type of sanction, our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects could be materially adversely affected.

The assets and operations of Bluestone based in West Virginia are subject to U.S. environmental and other regulatory risks. See Risks Relating to Other Countries Where We Operate.

In addition, we are generally not indemnified against environmental liabilities or any required land reclamation expenses of our acquired businesses that arise from activities that occurred prior to our acquisition of such businesses. See We may fail to identify suitable targets, acquire them on acceptable terms, identify all potential liabilities associated with them or successfully integrate them into our group.

Increased regulations associated with climate change and greenhouse gas emissions may give rise to increased costs and may adversely impact our business and markets.

Through our mining and power segments, we are a major producer of carbon-related products such as coal, coal concentrate and energy. Coal and coal-based energy are also significant inputs in many of the operations of our steel and ferroalloys segments. A major by-product of burning coal is carbon dioxide (CO₂), which is considered to be a greenhouse gas and generally a source of concern in connection with global warming and climate change.

The December 1997 Kyoto Protocol established a set of greenhouse gas emission targets for developed countries that have ratified the Kyoto Protocol. In order to give the countries a certain degree of flexibility in meeting their emission reduction targets, the Kyoto Protocol developed mechanisms allowing participating countries to earn and trade emissions credits by way of implementing projects aimed at meeting the Kyoto Protocol targets. The European Union has established greenhouse gas regulations and many other countries, including the United States, are in the process of doing so. The European Union Emissions Trading System (EU ETS), which came into effect on January 1, 2005, has had an impact on greenhouse gas and energy-intensive businesses based in the European Union. Our operations in Lithuania are currently subject to the EU ETS, as are our E.U. based customers.

In the United States, various federal, regional and state initiatives to regulate greenhouse gas emissions have been implemented or are under consideration, and, it appears likely that additional national, regional and state regulation of actual greenhouse gas emissions will be enacted in the future. For example, legislation is under consideration in the U.S. Congress that would create a cap-and-trade system for greenhouse gas emissions. Furthermore, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (**EPA**) has taken the first steps towards implementing a comprehensive greenhouse gas policy that may adversely affect the business of our Bluestone companies.

The Russian Federation ratified the Kyoto Protocol in 2005 and since October 2009 Russia has established a legal procedure for implementing trading mechanisms provided under the Kyoto Protocol. However, Russia, although subject to greenhouse gas emission limits for the period until December 31, 2012, has refused to sign up for the second period of limits and intends to withdraw from the Kyoto Protocol.

The Kyoto Protocol, the EU ETS and current and future regulation of greenhouse gas emissions in the United States could restrict our operations and/or impose significant costs or obligations on us, including requiring additional capital expenditures, modifications in operating practices, and additional reporting obligations. These regulatory programs may also have a negative effect on our production levels, income and cash flows and on our suppliers and customers,

which could result in higher costs and lower sales. Inconsistency of regulations particularly between developed and developing countries may also change the competitive position

31

of some of our assets. Finally, we note that even without further legislation or regulation of greenhouse gas emissions, increased awareness and any adverse publicity in the global marketplace about the greenhouse gasses emitted by companies in the steel manufacturing industry could harm our reputation and reduce customer demand for our products.

Failure to comply with existing laws and regulations could result in substantial additional compliance costs or various sanctions which could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Our operations and properties are subject to regulation by various government entities and agencies in connection with obtaining and renewing various licenses, permits, approvals and authorizations, as well as with ongoing compliance with existing laws, regulations and standards. See Item 4. Information on the Company Regulatory Matters Licensing of Operations in Russia. Governmental authorities in countries where we operate exercise considerable discretion in matters of enforcement and interpretation of applicable laws, regulations and standards, the issuance and renewal of licenses, permits, approvals and authorizations, and in monitoring licensees compliance with the terms thereof which may result in unexpected audits, criminal prosecutions, civil actions and expropriation of property. Authorities have the right to, and frequently do, conduct periodic inspections of our operations and properties throughout the year.

Our failure to comply with existing laws and regulations or to obtain and comply with all approvals, authorizations and permits required for our operations or findings of governmental inspections may result in the imposition of fines or penalties or more severe sanctions including the suspension, amendment or termination of our licenses, permits, approvals and authorizations or in requirements that we cease certain of our business activities, or in criminal and administrative penalties applicable to our officers. Arbitrary government actions directed against other Russian companies (or the consequences of such actions) may generally impact on the Russian economy, including the securities market. Any such actions, decisions, requirements or sanctions could increase our costs and materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

We may fail to identify suitable targets, acquire them on acceptable terms, identify all potential liabilities associated with them or successfully integrate them into our group.

We are a vertically integrated group with operations organized into mining, steel, ferroalloys and power segments, which allows us to benefit from economies of scale, realize synergies, better satisfy the needs of our Russian and international customers, reduce our reliance on third party brokers by distributing and selling our products directly to end users, and compete effectively against other mining and steel producers. Our strategy is to become one of the largest mining companies globally with a strong integration into steel. We intend to enhance the profitability of our business by applying our integration strategy to a larger asset base and, towards that end, on an ongoing basis we need to identify suitable targets that would fit into our operations, acquire them on terms acceptable to us and successfully integrate them into our group. We often compete with Russian and international companies for acquisitions, including for subsoil licenses.

The acquisition and integration of new companies pose significant risks to our existing operations, including:

additional demands placed on our senior management, who are also responsible for managing our existing operations;

increased overall operating complexity of our business, requiring greater personnel and other resources; and

incurrence of debt to finance acquisitions and higher debt service costs related thereto.

32

In addition, new acquisitions may require significant initial cash investments for integration or upgrades. Furthermore, even if we are successful in integrating our existing and new businesses, expected synergies and cost savings may not materialize, resulting in lower than expected operating margins.

We have acquired and established businesses in countries that represent new operating environments for us and which are located at a great distance from our headquarters in Russia. These businesses conduct operations in accordance with local customs and laws. For example, through our acquisition of the Bluestone companies in May 2009, and our establishment of Mechel Bluestone Inc., a Delaware corporation that holds the Bluestone companies, we now have significant operations, assets and employees in the United States which are subject to U.S. federal and state laws and regulations.

In some instances we conduct limited due diligence investigations in connection with our acquisitions and the contractual documentation does not contain representations and warranties and indemnities to protect against unidentified liabilities and other losses. Moreover, these acquired businesses may not have financial reports prepared under internationally accepted accounting standards. Accordingly, these businesses may face risks that we have not yet identified and that are not described in this document and we may not realize the full benefit of our investment, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

The concentration of our shares with our controlling shareholder will limit your ability to influence corporate matters and transactions with the controlling shareholder may present conflicts of interest, potentially resulting in the conclusion of transactions on less favorable terms than could be obtained in arm s length transactions.

Our Chairman, Igor Zyuzin, directly and indirectly owns approximately 67.42% of our common shares. Except in certain cases as provided by the Federal Law On Joint-Stock Companies, dated December 26, 1995, as amended (the **Joint-Stock Companies Law**), resolutions at a general shareholders meeting are adopted by a majority of the voting stock at a meeting where shareholders holding more than half of the voting shares are present or represented. Accordingly, Mr. Zyuzin has the power to control the outcome of most matters to be decided by a majority of the voting stock present at a general shareholders meeting and can control the appointment of the majority of directors and the removal of all of the elected directors. In addition, our controlling shareholder is likely to be able to take actions which require a three-quarters supermajority of the voting stock present at such a general shareholders meeting, such as amendments to our charter, reorganization, significant sales of assets and other major transactions, if other shareholders do not participate in the meeting. Thus, our controlling shareholder can take actions that you may not view as beneficial or prevent actions that you may view as beneficial, and as a result, the value of the shares and ADSs could be materially adversely affected.

We have also engaged and will likely continue to engage in transactions with related parties, including our controlling shareholder, which may present conflicts of interest, potentially resulting in the conclusion of transactions on less favorable terms than could be obtained in arm s length transactions. See Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions Related Party Transactions.

Our competitive position and future prospects depend on our senior management team.

Our ability to maintain our competitive position and to implement our business strategy is dependent on the services of our senior management team and, in particular, Mr. Zyuzin, our Chairman and controlling shareholder. Mr. Zyuzin has provided, and continues to provide, strategic direction to us.

Moreover, competition in Russia, and in the other countries where we operate, for senior management personnel with relevant expertise is intense due to the small number of qualified individuals. The loss or decline in the services of

members of our senior management team or an inability to attract, retain and motivate qualified senior management personnel could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Antimonopoly regulation could lead to sanctions with respect to the subsidiaries we have acquired or established or our prices, sales volumes and business practices.

Our business has grown substantially through the acquisition and founding of companies, many of which required the prior approval or subsequent notification of the FAS or its predecessor agencies. Relevant legislation restricts the acquisition or founding of companies by groups of companies or individuals acting in concert without such approval or notification. This legislation is vague in certain parts and subject to varying interpretations. If the FAS were to conclude that a company was acquired or created in contravention of applicable legislation and that competition has been or could be limited as a result, it could seek redress, including invalidating the transactions that led to or could lead to the limitation of competition, obliging the acquirer or founder to perform activities to restore competition, and seeking the dissolution of the new company created as a result of reorganization. Any of these actions could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

As of March 31, 2014, seven of our companies were included by the FAS in its register of entities with a market share exceeding 35% in the relevant market or with a dominant position in a certain market, including:

Beloretsk Metallurgical Plant as controlling more than 65% of the market for local telephony services in Beloretsk;

Izhstal as controlling more than 35% but less than 65% of the market for graded high-speed steel and its substitute and more than 65% of the market for small shaped graded high-speed steel;

Vyartsilya Metal Products Plant as controlling more than 65% of the market of railroad transportation of cargo for third parties and companies on the track section from Vyartsilya village to Vyartsilya station;

Kuzbass Power Sales Company as controlling more than 50% of the electricity trading market in the Kemerovo region;

Mechel Energo (i) as controlling more than 50% of the electricity trading market within the administrative boundaries of the Kemerovo region except for the area of operations of Metallenergofinance OOO and Oboronenergosbyt OAO and (ii) as controlling more than 50% of the market of steam and heat energy generation in Chelyabinsk within the territory of Mechel Energo s heat grids;

Yakutugol as controlling more than 65% of the coal market of the Sakha Republic (an administrative region of Russia in Eastern Siberia, also known as Yakutia); and

Moscow Coke and Gas Plant as controlling more than 65% of the market for cargo transportation services on the company s rail siding in the Lenin district of the Moscow region from the Obmennaya station to the Zavodskaya station.

When our companies are included in the register of entities with a market share exceeding 35% in the relevant market or with a dominant position in a certain market, this does not by itself result in restrictions on the activities of such entities. However, these entities may be subject to additional FAS oversight by reason of their having been deemed to have a dominant market position.

In 2008, the FAS issued a number of directives to our companies placing certain restrictions on our business practices. On May 13, 2008, the FAS issued a directive ordering Mechel and Southern Kuzbass Coal Company, as a group of companies holding a dominant position in the Russian coking coal market, to fulfill the following requirements:

to avoid unjustified reduction of production volumes and product range at Southern Kuzbass Coal Company;

to provide, to the extent possible, equal supply terms to all customers without discrimination against companies not forming part of this group of companies;

34

not to restrict other companies from supplying coking coal to the same geographical area of operations; and

to notify the FAS prior to any increase in domestic prices of coking coal, steam coal and coking coal concentrate, if such increase amounts to more than 10% of the relevant price used 180 days before the date such increase is planned to take place, with submission to the FAS of the financial and economic reasoning for the planned increase of prices.

In connection with the establishment of Mechel Mining, the subsidiary into which we consolidated certain of our mining assets, we received a directive from the FAS dated June 23, 2008, which contains requirements as to the activities of Mechel Mining and its subsidiaries Yakutugol and Southern Kuzbass Coal Company, as a group of companies holding a dominant position in the Russian coking coal market. The requirements are the same as those described above.

On October 10, 2008, the FAS issued two new directives addressed to Mechel Mining Management with respect to Yakutugol and Southern Kuzbass Coal Company, as a group of companies holding a dominant position in the Russian coking coal market, ordering Mechel Mining Management to fulfill the following requirements:

not to reduce or terminate production of coking coal concentrate without prior approval of the FAS, unless there is no demand for such products;

to perform all contracts related to coking coal concentrate production or other products (works or services) in relation to which these companies are or may be included in the register of entities with a market share exceeding 35% in the relevant market; and

to provide equal supply terms to all customers without discriminating against companies outside of Mechel Mining Management group and to avoid terms of supply which would compensate Mechel Mining Management group for unjustified expenses or yield Mechel Mining Management group any profit that is significantly higher than it could be in a competitive market.

In connection with the consolidation of our ferroalloy assets under our subsidiary Oriel Resources, in October 2008, the FAS issued a directive addressed to Oriel Resources. The requirements under this directive are substantially similar to those described above in connection with the directives dated October 10, 2008, except that it relates to our production and sales of ferrosilicon.

In August 2008, as a result of an antimonopoly investigation into the business of our subsidiaries Mechel Trading House, Southern Kuzbass Coal Company, Yakutugol and Mechel Trading, the FAS found them to have abused their dominant position in the Russian market for certain grades of coking coal concentrate. The FAS issued a directive requiring these subsidiaries and their successors to, among others, refrain from taking any action in the Russian market for certain grades of coking coal concentrate which would or may preclude, limit or eliminate competition and/or violate third parties interests, including fixing and maintaining a monopolistically high or low price, refusing or avoiding to enter into an agreement with certain buyers without good economic or technological reasons where the production or supply of the relevant grades of coking coal concentrate is possible and creating discriminatory conditions for buyers. Furthermore, the FAS initiated administrative proceedings against Mechel Trading House, Southern Kuzbass Coal Company and Yakutugol which resulted in fines being imposed on these companies in the total amount of 797.7 million rubles, which equals nearly 5% of these subsidiaries total sales of coking coal

concentrate (including intra-group sales) for 2007. See Item 8. Financial Information Litigation Antimonopoly.

In the event of a breach of the terms of business conduct set forth by the FAS, the FAS may seek to impose fines for violations of antimonopoly and administrative legislation. Such fines may include an administrative fine of an amount from 300 thousand to one million rubles or, if such violation has led or may lead to the prevention, limitation or elimination of competition, an administrative fine of up to 15% of the proceeds of sale of all goods, works and services on the market where such violation was committed, but not more than 2% of gross proceeds

of sale of all goods, works and services. Russian legislation also provides for criminal liability for violations of antimonopoly legislation in certain cases. Furthermore, for systematic violations, a court may order, pursuant to a suit filed by the FAS, a compulsory split-up or spin-off of the violating company, and no affiliation can be preserved between the new entities established as result of such a mandatory reorganization. The imposition of any such liability on us or our subsidiaries could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Negative publicity associated with any antimonopoly, administrative, criminal or other investigation or prosecution carried out with respect to our business practices, regardless of the outcome, could damage our reputation and result in a significant drop in the price of our shares and ADSs and could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

We may be forced to dispose of our electricity assets as a result of change in Russian law.

Under Russian law, companies and individuals, as well as affiliated entities operating within one wholesale market pricing zone, are prohibited from combining activities relating to electricity distribution and/or dispatching with electricity generation and/or sale, in particular, through simultaneously owning assets which are directly used for electricity distribution and/or dispatching and assets which are directly used for electricity generation and/or sale. Amendments to the law adopted in December 2011 introduced a new enforcement mechanism with respect to affiliated companies which do not comply with the law. The amendments allow the relevant governmental authorities to force the sale of first, electricity generation and/or sale assets and second, electricity distribution assets of such affiliated entities. See Item. 4 Information on the Company Regulatory Matters Regulation of Russian Electricity Market.

Some entities in our group, including Southern Kuzbass Power Plant, Chelyabinsk Metallurgical Plant, Moscow Coke and Gas Plant, Kuzbass Power Sales Company, Mechel Energo, Korshunov Mining Plant, Bratsk Ferroalloy Plant, Beloretsk Metallurgical Plant, Izhstal and Urals Stampings Plant, own assets both for electricity generation and/or sale and for electricity distribution.

We believe that the prohibition described above only applies if assets are both owned and directly used by an entity or affiliated entities.

During 2008 and 2009, we leased our electricity distribution assets to an unaffiliated third party, Electronetwork ZAO, which currently uses them to distribute electricity to us and other customers. Our entities are not involved in electricity distribution activity. We believe that by leasing our electricity distribution assets to an unaffiliated third party and not using them for electricity distribution, we are not in violation of the law.

Given that the regulation is new, there is no official guidance or court practice clarifying this matter and our interpretation of the law may not be upheld by Russian courts. We will closely follow further development of administrative and court practice in this area. We will vigorously defend our position, if it is challenged by the authorities, however there is a risk that the court may come to a view that we are in breach of the law and may order us to dispose of our electricity assets. Disposal of these assets may have a material adverse effect on our business and operations.

In the event that the minority shareholders of our subsidiaries were to successfully challenge past interested party transactions or do not approve interested party transactions in the future, we could be limited in our operational flexibility.

We own less than 100% of the equity interests in some of our subsidiaries. In addition, certain of our wholly-owned subsidiaries have previously had other shareholders. We and our subsidiaries have carried out, and continue to carry out, transactions among our companies and affiliates, as well as transactions with other parties which may be considered to be interested party transactions under Russian law, requiring approval by

disinterested directors, disinterested independent directors or disinterested shareholders depending on the nature and value of the transaction and the parties involved. The provisions of Russian law defining which transactions must be approved as interested party transactions are subject to different interpretations, and these transactions may not always have been properly approved, including by former shareholders. We cannot make any assurances that our and our subsidiaries applications of these rules will not be subject to challenge by shareholders. Any such challenges, if successful, could result in the invalidation of transactions, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

In addition, Russian law requires a three-quarters majority of the voting stock present at a general shareholders meeting to approve certain matters, including, for example, charter amendments, reorganizations, major transactions involving assets in excess of 50% of the assets of the company, acquisition by the company of outstanding shares and certain share issuances. In some cases, minority shareholders may not approve interested party transactions requiring their approval or other matters requiring approval of minority shareholders or supermajority approval. In the event that these minority shareholders were to successfully challenge past interested party transactions, or do not approve interested party transactions or other matters in the future, we could be limited in our operational flexibility and our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects could be materially adversely affected.

Minority shareholder lawsuits, if resolved against our group companies, could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Russian corporate law allows minority shareholders holding as little as a single share in a company to have standing to bring claims against the company challenging decisions of its governing bodies. These features of Russian corporate law are often abused by minority shareholders, who can bring claims in local courts seeking injunctions and other relief for which, in some cases, we may not receive notice. Any such actions by minority shareholders, if resolved against our group companies, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects. See Item 8. Financial Information Litigation Russian securities litigation.

A substantial majority of our employees are represented by trade unions, and our operations depend on good labor relations.

As of December 31, 2013, approximately 60% of all our employees were represented by trade unions. Although we have not experienced any business interruption at any of our companies as a result of labor disputes from the dates of their respective acquisition by us and we consider our relations with our employees to be good, under Russian law unions have the legal right to strike and other Russian companies with large union representation periodically face interruptions due to strikes, lockouts or delays in renegotiations of collective bargaining agreements. Our businesses could also be affected by similar events if our relationships with our labor force and trade unions worsen in the future. We have signed the industry agreements for coal and ore mining and smelting industries and have renegotiated most of related collective bargaining agreements. If we are unable to prolong collective bargaining agreements on similar conditions or our employees are dissatisfied with the terms of the collective bargaining agreements and undertake any industrial action, it could have material adverse effects on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Approximately a quarter of the Bluestone companies—workforce is represented by the United Mine Workers of America (UMWA) labor union and is covered by the Bituminous Coal Wage Agreement of 2011 which expires at the end of 2016. Though we believe the Bluestone companies have a good relationship with the UMWA, there are no assurances that these relations will not deteriorate in the future. Our U.S. employees have the right at any time under the U.S. National Labor Relations Act to form or affiliate with a union and the current presidential administration in the United States has indicated that it will support legislation that may make it easier for employees to unionize. Any

further unionization of employees could adversely affect the stability of our U.S. production and negatively impact the financial performance of our U.S. operations. In addition, due to

37

the increased risk of strikes and other work-related stoppages that may be associated with union operations in the coal industry, our competitors who operate without union labor may have a competitive advantage in areas where they compete with our unionized operations.

Bluestone companies have liabilities with respect to post-retirement benefits for our U.S. employees, which could be more burdensome if certain factors beyond our control are changed or corrected.

The Bluestone companies we acquired have long-term liabilities with respect to pension obligations and post-retirement welfare benefit plans. The Bluestone companies contribute to multi-employer defined benefit pension plans sponsored by the UMWA. In the event of our partial or complete withdrawal from any multi-employer plan which is underfunded, we would be liable for a proportionate share of such plan s unfunded vested benefits. In the event that any other contributing employer withdraws from any plan which is underfunded, and such employer (or any member in its controlled group) cannot satisfy its obligations under the plan at the time of withdrawal, then we, along with the other remaining contributing employers, would be liable for our proportionate share of such plan s unfunded vested benefits. At June 30, 2013, the UMWA Pension Plan reported unfunded vested benefits to be \$5.4 billion. Furthermore, in September 2011, the UMWA Funds reported to the United States Department of the Treasury, as required under the Pension Protection Act of 2006, that the UMWA pension plan is in Seriously Endangered Status for the plan year beginning July 1, 2011 due to funded percentage below 80%. When a pension plan is certified to be in seriously endangered status, federal law requires the plan to adopt a funding improvement plan aimed at restoring the financial health of the plan. The funding improvement plan may include increased contributions to the plan and/or modifications to certain future benefit accruals. Now, it is up to the Bituminous Coal Operators Association (**BCOA**) and the UMWA to negotiate such an improvement plan. As the signatory companies will be bound to whatever the BCOA and the UMWA negotiate as to an improvement plan, Bluestone s signatory companies may see a required higher level of contributions in the future.

The Bluestone companies post-retirement medical obligations have been estimated based on actuarial assumptions, including actuarial estimates, assumed discount rates, estimates of life expectancy, and changes in healthcare costs. If our assumptions relating to these benefits change in the future or are incorrect, we may be required to record additional expenses. In addition, future regulatory and accounting changes relating to these benefits could result in increased obligations or additional costs, which could also have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

We do not carry the types of insurance coverage customary in more economically developed countries for a business of our size and nature, and a significant adverse event could result in substantial property loss and inability to rebuild in a timely manner or at all.

The insurance industry is still developing in Russia, and many forms of insurance protection common in more economically developed countries are not available in Russia on comparable terms, including coverage for business interruption. At present, most of our Russian production facilities are not insured, and we have no coverage for business interruption or for third-party liability, other than insurance required under Russian law, collective agreements, loan agreements or other undertakings. Some of our international production facilities are not covered by comprehensive insurance typical for such operations in Western countries. We cannot assure you that the insurance we have in place is adequate for the potential losses and the liability we may suffer.

Since most of our production facilities lack insurance covering their property, if a significant event were to affect one of our facilities, we could experience substantial financial and property losses, as well as significant disruptions in our production activity, for which we would not be compensated by business interruption insurance.

Since we do not maintain separate funds or otherwise set aside reserves for these types of events, in case of any such loss or third-party claim for damages we may be unable to seek any recovery for lost or damaged property or compensate losses due to disruption of production activity. Any such uninsured loss or event may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

If transactions, corporate decisions or other actions of members of our group and their predecessors-in-interest were to be challenged on the basis of non-compliance with applicable legal requirements, the remedies in the event of any successful challenge could include the invalidation of such transactions, corporate decisions or other actions or the imposition of other liabilities on such group members.

Businesses of our group, or their predecessors-in-interest at different times, have taken a variety of actions relating to the incorporation of entities, share issuances, share disposals and acquisitions, mandatory buy-out offers, acquisition and valuation of property, including land plots, interested party transactions, major transactions, decisions to transfer licenses, meetings of governing bodies, other corporate matters and antimonopoly issues that, if successfully challenged on the basis of non-compliance with applicable legal requirements by competent state authorities, counterparties in such transactions or shareholders of the relevant members of our group or their predecessors-in-interest, could result in the invalidation of such actions, transactions and corporate decisions, restrictions on voting rights or the imposition of other liabilities. As applicable laws of the jurisdictions where our group companies are located are subject to varying interpretations, we may not be able to defend successfully any challenge brought against such actions, decisions or transactions, and the invalidation of any such actions, transactions and corporate decisions or imposition of any restriction or liability could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

We have used certain information in this document that has been sourced from third parties.

We have sourced certain information contained in this document from independent third parties, including private companies, government agencies and other publicly available sources. We believe these sources of information are reliable and that the information fairly and reasonably characterizes the industry in countries where we operate. However, although we take responsibility for compiling and extracting the data, we have not independently verified this information. In addition, the official data published by Russian federal, regional and local governments may be substantially less complete or researched than those of Western countries. Official statistics may also be produced on different bases than those used in Western countries.

Risks Relating to Our Shares and the Trading Market

The price of our shares and ADSs could be volatile and could drop unexpectedly, making it difficult for investors to resell our shares or ADSs at or above the price paid.

The price at which our shares and ADSs trade is influenced by a large number of factors, some of which are specific to us and our operations and some of which are related to the mining, steel and ferroalloys industries and equity markets in general. As a result of these factors, investors may not be able to resell their shares or ADSs at or above the price paid for them. In particular, the following factors, in addition to other risk factors described in this section, may have a material impact on the market price of our shares and ADSs:

investor perception of us as a company;

actual or anticipated fluctuations in our revenues or operating results;

announcement of intended acquisitions, disposals or financings, or speculation about such acquisitions, disposals or financings;

changes in our dividend policy, which could result from changes in our cash flow and capital position;

sales of blocks of our common shares, common ADSs, preferred shares or preferred ADSs by significant shareholders, including the Justice persons;

price and timing of any refinancing of our indebtedness;

potential litigation involving us;

changes in financial estimates and recommendations by securities research analysts;

39

fluctuations in Russian and international capital markets, including those due to events in other emerging markets;

the performance of other companies operating in similar industries;

regulatory developments in the markets where we operate, especially Russia, the European Union and the United States;

international political and economic conditions, including the effects of fluctuations in foreign exchange rates, interest rates and oil prices and other events such as terrorist attacks, military operations, changes in governments and relations between countries, international sanctions, natural disasters and the uncertainty related to these developments;

news or analyst reports related to markets or industries in which we operate; and

general investor perception of investing in Russia.

Our ability to pay dividends depends primarily upon receipt of sufficient funds from our subsidiaries.

Because we are a holding company, our ability to pay dividends depends primarily upon receipt of sufficient funds from our subsidiaries. Under Russian law, dividends may be declared and paid only out of net profits calculated under Russian accounting standards and as long as certain conditions have been met, including if the value of the net assets, calculated under Russian accounting standards, is not less (and would not become less as a result of the proposed dividend payment) than the sum of the charter capital, the reserve fund and the difference between the liquidation value and the par value of the issued and outstanding preferred shares. See Item 10. Additional Information Charter and Certain Requirements of Russian Legislation Description of Capital Stock Dividends. Currently, some of our subsidiaries do not meet this criteria and cannot approve payment of, or pay dividends. See Risks Relating to the Russian Federation One or more of our subsidiaries could be forced into liquidation on the basis of formal non-compliance with certain requirements of Russian law, which could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Furthermore, the payment of dividends by our subsidiaries and/or our ability to repatriate such dividends may, in certain instances, be subject to taxes, statutory restrictions, retained earnings criteria, and covenants in our subsidiaries financing arrangements and are contingent upon the earnings and cash flow of those subsidiaries. See note 18 to the consolidated financial statements.

Upon introduction of a new system of recording the depositary s rights to the shares underlying depositary receipts, the depositary is required to disclose information on ADS and GDS owners in order to exercise voting rights and receive dividends with respect to the shares underlying ADSs and GDSs.

Effective from January 1, 2013, a new system of recording the depositary s rights to the shares underlying depositary receipts was introduced by the Federal Law No. 415-FZ of December 7, 2011, as amended on December 29, 2012 (**Federal Law No. 415-FZ**). Pursuant to the new system, the underlying shares are no longer recorded at the depositary s owner s account opened with a Russian custodian holding a depo account of nominee holder with the

issuer s shareholder register. Instead, the underlying shares are now recorded at a depo account of depositary programs opened with a Russian custodian which in its turn has a depo account of nominee holder opened with the central depositary. On November 6, 2012, the FFMS granted CJSC National Settlement Depositary (NSD) the status of Russian central depositary. Starting from November 6, 2013, the depo accounts of depositary programs should be opened for depositaries, and shares represented by depositary receipts should be recorded in depo accounts of depositary programs.

In addition to the new recording system, the Federal Law No. 415-FZ also sets forth new obligations for a depositary to disclose information on depositary receipt owners in order to exercise voting rights and to receive dividends with respect to the shares represented by depositary receipts. The FFMS by its Order No. 13-7/pz-n dated February 5, 2013 sets forth the requirements for the provision of information about the depositary receipt

40

owners. Such information is provided to the issuer in the form of a list of persons who exercise the rights under the depositary receipts. The list is provided to the issuer by the foreign depositary which opens the depo account of depositary programs. The list is provided for the preparation and holding of a shareholders meeting. Furthermore, any obligations of the depositary to disclose information on depositary receipt owners in order to receive dividends were abolished effective January 1, 2014 pursuant to the Federal Law No. 282-FZ of December 29, 2012 (**Federal Law No. 282-FZ**). Under the Federal Law No. 282-FZ, the payment of dividends on the shares represented by depositary receipts is made to the foreign depositary which opens the depo account of depositary programs.

Currently, it is not clear whether the term depositary receipt owner means a holder registered on the records of the depositary, a securities intermediary or a beneficial owner of a depositary receipt. As a result, the scope of the above reporting obligations, which may affect the rights of our ADS and GDS holders, also remains uncertain. We cannot assure you that the Federal Law No. 415-FZ and the other regulations by the CBR, to whom the powers of the FFMS were delegated, will be compatible with how depositary receipt programs have been customarily operated in the past or with foreign confidentiality regulations, or that the new requirements will not impose additional burdens upon the depositary, ADS and GDS holders or their respective securities intermediaries such that they would consider investments in our ADSs less attractive.

In addition, the Federal Law No. 282-FZ requires the foreign depositary to take all the reasonable steps to provide information on depositary receipt owners to the issuer, state arbitrazh courts, the CBR and governmental investigative authorities upon their request, and depositary receipt owners may not refuse to provide such information to the depositary upon its request. The CBR is entitled to demand the depositary to cure any breach of such disclosure requirements, and if the depositary fails to cure, the CBR may suspend or limit any operations with depo accounts of depositary receipt program for up to six months with respect to the number of securities that does not exceed the number of securities the obligation to provide information for which has not been fulfilled. It is unclear how the CBR will use these new regulatory powers. Any suspension of or limitation on our ADS or GDS programs could have a material adverse effect on the value of the ADSs.

The depositary may be required to take certain actions due to Russian law requirements which could adversely impact the liquidity and the value of the shares and ADSs.

If at any time the depositary believes that the shares deposited with it against issuance of ADSs represent (or, upon accepting any additional shares for deposit, would represent) a percentage of shares which exceeds any threshold or limit established by any applicable law, directive, regulation or permit, or satisfies any condition for making any filing, application, notification or registration or obtaining any approval, license or permit under any applicable law, directive or regulation, or taking any other action, the depositary may (1) close its books to deposits of additional shares in order to prevent such thresholds or limits being exceeded or conditions being satisfied or (2) take such steps as are, in its opinion, necessary or desirable to remedy the consequences of such thresholds or limits being exceeded or conditions being satisfied and to comply with any such law, directive or regulation, including, causing *pro rata* cancellation of ADSs and withdrawal of underlying shares from the depositary receipt program to the extent necessary or desirable to so comply. Any such circumstances may affect the liquidity and the value of the shares and ADSs.

Voting rights with respect to the shares represented by our ADSs are limited by the terms of the relevant deposit agreement for the ADSs and relevant requirements of Russian law.

ADS holders have no direct voting rights with respect to the shares represented by the ADSs. They can only exercise voting rights with respect to the shares represented by ADSs in accordance with the provisions of the deposit agreements relating to the ADSs and relevant requirements of Russian law. Therefore, there are practical limitations upon the ability of ADS holders to exercise their voting rights due to the additional procedural steps which are

involved. For example, the Joint-Stock Companies Law and our charter require us to notify shareholders not less than 30 days prior to the date of any meeting of shareholders and at least 70 days prior to

41

the date of an extraordinary meeting to elect our Board of Directors, *inter alia*, via (i) publication of a notice in the Russian official newspaper *Rossiyskaya Gazeta* and disclosure on our website at *www.mechel.ru* or (ii) disclosure on our website at *www.mechel.ru*. Our common shareholders, as well as our preferred shareholders in cases when they have voting rights, are able to exercise their voting rights by either attending the meeting in person or voting by power of attorney.

For ADS holders, in accordance with the deposit agreements, we will provide the notice to the depositary. The depositary has in turn undertaken, as soon as practicable thereafter, to mail to ADS holders notice of such any meeting of shareholders, copies of voting materials (if and as received by the depositary from us) and a statement as to the manner in which instructions may be given by ADS holders. To exercise their voting rights, ADS holders must then timely instruct the depositary how to vote their shares. As a result of this extra procedural step involving the depositary, the process for exercising voting rights may take longer for ADS holders than for holders of shares. ADSs for which the depositary does not receive timely voting instructions will not be voted at any meeting.

In addition, although securities regulations expressly permit the depositary to split the votes with respect to the shares underlying the ADSs in accordance with instructions from ADS holders, there is little court or regulatory guidance on the application of such regulations, and the depositary may choose to refrain from voting at all unless it receives instructions from all ADS holders to vote the shares in the same manner. Holders of ADSs may thus have significant difficulty in exercising voting rights with respect to the shares underlying the ADSs. There can be no assurance that holders and beneficial owners of ADSs will: (1) receive notice of shareholder meetings to enable the timely return of voting instructions to the depositary; (2) receive notice to enable the timely cancellation of ADSs in respect of shareholder actions; or (3) be given the benefit of dissenting or minority shareholders—rights in respect of an event or action in which the holder or beneficial owner has voted against, abstained from voting or not given voting instructions.

ADS holders may be unable to repatriate their earnings.

Dividends that we may pay in the future on the shares represented by the ADSs will be declared and paid to the depositary in rubles. Such dividends will be converted into U.S. dollars by the depositary and distributed to holders of ADSs, net of the fees and charges of, and expenses incurred by, the depositary, together with taxes withheld and any other governmental charges. The ability to convert rubles into U.S. dollars is subject to the currency markets. Although there is an active market for the conversion of rubles into U.S. dollars, including the interbank currency exchange and over-the-counter and currency futures markets, the functioning of this market in the future is not guaranteed.

ADS holders may not be able to benefit from the United States-Russia income tax treaty.

Under Russian tax legislation, dividends paid to a non-resident holder of shares of a Russian company generally will be subject to a 15% withholding tax. This tax rate may potentially be reduced to 10% or 5% for U.S. holders of the shares that are legal entities and organizations and to 10% for U.S. holders of the shares that are individuals under the Convention between the United States of America and the Russian Federation for the Avoidance of Double Taxation and the Prevention of Fiscal Evasion with respect to Taxes on Income and Capital (the **United States-Russia income tax treaty**), provided a number of conditions are satisfied. In connection with the enactment of amendments to Russian tax legislation, effective from January 1, 2014, the reduced tax rate of 5% established in accordance with certain provisions of the United States-Russia income tax treaty does not apply on dividend payments under ADSs. The general rate of 10% which is established by the treaty and does not account for benefits applies, subject to the submission of certain information to the tax agent. If such information has not been submitted to the tax agent in the prescribed manner and in a certain period of time, a tax rate of 30% is applied. Thus, the tax agent may be obliged to

withhold tax at higher non-treaty rates when paying out dividends, and U.S. ADS holders may be unable to benefit from the United States-Russia income tax treaty. ADS holders may apply for a refund of a portion of the tax withheld under an applicable tax treaty, however, this

process may be time-consuming and no assurance can be given that the Russian tax authorities will grant a refund. See Item 10. Additional Information Taxation Russian Income and Withholding Tax Considerations for additional information.

Capital gains from the sale of ADSs may be subject to Russian income tax.

Under Russian tax legislation, gains realized by foreign organizations from the disposition of Russian shares and securities, as well as financial instruments derived from such shares, with the exception of shares that are traded on an organized securities market, may be subject to Russian profit tax or withholding income tax if immovable property located in Russia constitutes more than 50% of our assets. Gains arising from the sale on foreign exchanges (foreign market operators) of securities or derivatives circulated on such exchanges are not considered Russian source income.

However, no procedural mechanism currently exists to withhold and remit this tax with respect to sales made to persons other than Russian companies and foreign companies with a registered permanent establishment in Russia. Gains arising from the disposition on foreign stock exchanges of the foregoing types of securities listed on these exchanges are not subject to taxation in Russia.

Gains arising from the disposition of the foregoing types of securities and derivatives outside of Russia by U.S. holders who are individuals not resident in Russia for tax purposes will not be considered Russian source income and will not be taxable in Russia. Gains arising from disposition of the foregoing types of securities and derivatives in Russia by U.S. holders who are individuals not resident in Russia for tax purposes may be subject to a withholding tax in Russia based on an annual tax return, which they may be required to submit with the Russian tax authorities.

Holders of ADSs may have limited recourse against us and our directors and executive officers because most of our operations are conducted outside the United States and most of our directors and all of our executive officers reside outside the United States.

Our presence outside the United States may limit ADS holders legal recourse against us. Mechel is incorporated under the laws of the Russian Federation. Most of our directors and executive officers reside outside the United States, principally in Russia. A substantial portion of our assets and the assets of most of our directors and executive officers are located outside the United States. As a result, holders of our ADSs may be limited in their ability to effect service of process within the United States upon us or our directors and executive officers or to enforce in a U.S. court a judgment obtained against us or our directors and executive officers in jurisdictions outside the United States, including actions under the civil liability provisions of U.S. securities laws. In addition, it may be difficult for holders of ADSs to enforce, in original actions brought in courts in jurisdictions outside the United States, liabilities predicated upon U.S. securities laws.

There is no treaty between the United States and the Russian Federation providing for reciprocal recognition and enforcement of foreign court judgments in civil and commercial matters. These limitations may deprive investors of effective legal recourse for claims related to investments in the ADSs. The deposit agreements provide for actions brought by any party thereto against us to be settled by arbitration in accordance with the Commercial Arbitration Rules of the American Arbitration Association, provided that any action under the U.S. federal securities laws or the rules or regulations promulgated thereunder may, but need not, be submitted to arbitration. The Russian Federation is a party to the United Nations (New York) Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards, but it may be difficult to enforce arbitral awards in the Russian Federation due to a number of factors, including the inexperience of Russian courts in international commercial transactions, official and unofficial political resistance to enforcement of awards against Russian companies in favor of foreign investors and Russian courts inability to enforce such orders.

We and the Justice persons may offer additional preferred shares and preferred ADSs in the future, and these and other sales may adversely affect the market price of the preferred shares and preferred ADSs.

As of the date of this document, of the 138,756,915 issued preferred shares, 55,502,766 preferred shares are held by our wholly-owned subsidiary Skyblock Limited, the remaining preferred shares may be held by James C. Justice II, James C. Justice III, James C. Justice Companies Inc. and Jillean L. Justice (collectively, the **Justice persons**) or are held by the public. The Justice persons acquired their preferred shares in connection with the sale of their Bluestone coking coal business located in Beckley, West Virginia to us in May 2009. The Justice persons disposed or may dispose of all or part of the remaining preferred shares they held through one or more offerings or broker trades. It is also possible that we may decide to offer additional preferred shares and preferred ADSs in the future, including the 55,502,766 preferred shares held by our wholly-owned subsidiary Skyblock Limited. Additional offerings or sales of preferred shares and preferred ADSs by us or the Justice persons, or the public perception that such offerings or sales may occur, could have an adverse effect on the market price of our preferred shares and preferred ADSs.

Risks Relating to the Russian Federation

Emerging markets such as Russia are subject to greater risks than more developed markets, and financial turmoil in developed or other emerging markets or international sanctions against Russia or Russian individuals or businesses could have a material adverse effect on our business and could cause the value of our shares and ADSs to fluctuate widely.

Investors in emerging markets such as the Russian Federation should be aware that these markets are subject to greater risk than more developed markets, including in some cases significant legal, economic and political risks. Investors should also note that the value of securities of Russian companies is subject to rapid and wide fluctuations due to various factors. For example, the military conflict in August 2008 between Russia and Georgia involving South Ossetia and Abkhazia and the current situation in Ukraine and Crimea resulted in significant price declines in securities of Russian companies and capital outflows from Russia. The escalation of the present situation or the emergence of new tensions between Russia and other countries may lead to further reductions in the price of Russian securities. Accordingly, investors should exercise particular care in evaluating the risks involved and must decide for themselves whether, in light of those risks, their investment is appropriate. Generally, investment in emerging markets is only suitable for sophisticated investors who fully appreciate the significance of the risks involved.

Recently both the United States and the European Union have ordered sanctions against certain prominent Russian and Ukrainian officials and businessmen and Russian private banks in response to the situation in Ukraine and Crimea and Russia s signing an agreement with the Republic of Crimea on accession of the Republic of Crimea and the city of Sevastopol to the Russian Federation and on forming two new constituent entities within the Russian Federation. While the current sanctions do not target our group or our industry, these sanctions could have the indirect effect of damaging the Russian economy, further accelerating capital flight from Russia, further exacerbating the negative investor sentiment towards Russia and making it harder for Russian companies to access international financial markets for debt and equity financing, all of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial conditions, results of operations and prospects and the value of our shares and ADSs. In particular, we rely in part on financing from international banks, and, as of December 31, 2013, approximately 19.7% of our total borrowings were provided by international banks or their Russian subsidiaries. Moreover, if the next level of sanctions ordered by the United States, the European Union or other governmental or international bodies directly target the Russian economy such as Russian exports or Russian companies access to international financial and banking markets, then such sanctions could have an even more serious material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects and the value of our shares and ADSs. The Ukrainian economy is also facing significant risks during this period of uncertainty. In the year ended December 31, 2013, revenues from exports to Ukraine were

\$52.8 million, or 0.6% of our total revenues, which could decrease in the current year. Overall, the situation in Ukraine and Crimea remains fluid and we cannot predict how the situation will unfold. In particular, an escalation of sanctions may occur or demands for autonomy from other regions may arise and we cannot predict how Russia

44

and other countries will react to these events or to the actions of the others and how any of these developments may impact the Russian economy and Russian companies and the steel and mining industry in Russia and generally. We may also face sanctions which could affect our Bluestone assets situated in the territory of the United States. We cannot assure that such developments will not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects. The value of our shares and ADSs is expected to be highly volatile during the current situation.

Economic risks

Economic instability in Russia could adversely affect our business and the value of our shares and ADSs.

The Russian economy has been subject to abrupt downturns in the past. In particular, on August 17, 1998, in the face of a rapidly deteriorating economic situation, the Russian government defaulted on its ruble-denominated securities, the CBR stopped its support of the ruble and a temporary moratorium was imposed on certain foreign currency payments. These actions resulted in an immediate and severe devaluation of the ruble and a sharp increase in the rate of inflation; a substantial decline in the prices of Russian debt and equity securities; and an inability of Russian issuers to raise funds in the international capital markets. These problems were aggravated by a major banking crisis in the Russian banking sector after the events of August 17, 1998, as evidenced by the termination of the banking licenses of a number of major Russian banks. This further impaired the ability of the banking sector to act as a consistent source of liquidity to Russian companies and resulted in the losses of bank deposits in some cases.

From 2000 to 2008, the Russian economy experienced positive trends, such as annual increases in the gross domestic product, a relatively stable Russian ruble, strong domestic demand, rising real wages and reduced rates of inflation. However, these trends were interrupted by the global financial crisis in late 2008, which led to a substantial decrease in the gross domestic product s growth rate, ruble depreciation and a decline in domestic demand. The Russian government has taken certain anti-crisis measures using the stabilization fund and hard currency reserves in order to soften the impact of the economic crisis on the Russian economy and support the value of the ruble. As a result, following a decline in 2009, the Russian gross domestic product grew by 4.5% in 2010, by 4.3% in 2011, by 3.4% in 2012 and by 1.3% in 2013, according to Rosstat. More recently, the economic slowdown in emerging market economies, including Russia, as well as political and other disturbances in emerging markets have introduced additional uncertainty in the overall outlook for growth of the global economy, and growth in the Russian economy has slowed down including due to capital flight and negative investor sentiment arising from the disturbances in eastern Ukraine. Further economic instability in Russia could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects and the value of our shares and ADSs.

The Russian banking system is still developing, and another banking crisis or international sanctions could place severe liquidity constraints on our business.

A substantial portion of our loans are from Russian banks, including state-owned banks such as Sberbank, VTB Bank and Gazprombank, who in recent years have extended the maturity of our loans, waived breaches of financial covenants and reset our financial covenants to give us more flexibility to operate our business. In addition, Vnesheconombank, another Russian state-owned bank, has provided a project financing for the development of the Elga deposit. Moreover, we rely on the Russian banking system to complete various day-to-day fund transfers and other actions required to conduct our business with customers, suppliers, lenders and other counterparties.

While the impact of the 2008-2009 global financial crisis on the Russian banking system was contained by the actions by the CBR at that time, the risk of further instability remains high due to the continuing weakness of the Russian economy and the potential for a recession in the near future. With few exceptions (notably the state owned banks), the

Russian banking system suffers from weak depositor confidence, high concentration of exposure to certain borrowers and their affiliates, poor credit quality of borrowers and related party transactions. Risk management, corporate governance and transparency and disclosure remain below international best

practices. In the global financial crisis, Russian banks were faced with a number of problems simultaneously, such as withdrawal of deposits by customers, payment defaults by borrowers and deteriorating asset values and ruble depreciation. Russian banks faced and continue to face serious mismatches in their liabilities (consisting in large part of foreign debt) and assets (loans to Russian borrowers and investments in Russian assets and securities). The existing sentiment towards Russian banks could worsen in the near future due to the impact of international sanctions against certain prominent Russian officials and businessmen and Russian private banks in response to the situation in Ukraine and Crimea.

These weaknesses in the Russian banking sector make the sector more susceptible to market downturns or economic slowdowns including due to defaults by Russian borrowers that may occur during such market downturn or economic slowdown. A banking or liquidity crisis or the bankruptcy or insolvency of the banks which lend to us or in which we hold our funds or use for banking transactions could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition and prospects.

The infrastructure in Russia needs significant improvement and investment, which could disrupt normal business activity.

The infrastructure in Russia largely dates back to the Soviet era and has not been adequately funded and maintained since the dissolution of the Soviet Union. Particularly affected are the rail and road networks, power generation and transmission systems, communication systems and building stock. The deterioration of the infrastructure in Russia harms the national economy, disrupts the transportation of goods and supplies, adds costs to doing business and can interrupt business operations. These factors could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

The Russian economy and the value of our shares and ADSs could be materially adversely affected by fluctuations in the global economy.

The global economic crisis, social and political instability in some Middle East countries and recently in Ukraine, the European sovereign debt crisis and other negative developments in various countries have resulted in increased volatility in the capital markets in many countries, including Russia. As has happened in the past, present financial problems in emerging market economies or an increase in the perceived risks associated with investing in emerging market economies could dampen foreign investment in Russia and Russian businesses could face severe liquidity constraints, further materially adversely affecting the Russian economy. In addition, because Russia produces and exports large amounts of oil, the Russian economy is especially vulnerable to the price of oil on the world market and a decline in the price of oil or international sanctions against the Russian oil industry could slow or disrupt the Russian economy or undermine the value of the ruble against foreign currencies. Russia is also one of the world s largest producers and exporters of metal products and its economy is vulnerable to fluctuations in world commodity prices and the imposition of international sanctions, tariffs and/or antidumping measures by any of its principal export markets.

As many of the factors that affect the Russian and global economies affect our business and the business of many of our domestic and international customers, our business could be materially adversely affected by a downturn in the Russian economy or the global economy. In addition to a reduction in demand for our products, we may experience increases in overdue accounts receivable from our customers, some of whom may face liquidity problems and potential bankruptcy. Our suppliers may raise their prices, eliminate or reduce trade financing or reduce their output. A decline in product demand, a decrease in collectibility of accounts receivable or substantial changes in the terms of our suppliers pricing policies or financing terms, or the potential bankruptcy of our customers or contract counterparties may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and

prospects.

In addition, a deterioration in macroeconomic conditions could require us to reassess the value of goodwill on certain of our assets, recorded as the difference between the fair value of the net assets of business acquired and its purchase price. This goodwill is subject to impairment tests on an ongoing basis. The weakening

46

macroeconomic conditions in the countries in which we operate and/or a significant difference between the performance of an acquired company and the business case assumed at the time of acquisition could require us to write down the value of the goodwill or portion of such value. See note 23 to the consolidated financial statements.

Political and social risks

Political and governmental instability could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects and the value of our shares and ADSs.

Since 1991, Russia has sought to transform itself from a one-party state with a centrally-planned economy to a democracy with a market economy. As a result of the sweeping nature of the reforms, and the failure of some of them, the Russian political system remains vulnerable to popular dissatisfaction, including dissatisfaction with the results of privatizations in the 1990s, protests against the results of 2011 and 2012 parliamentary and presidential elections, corruption and the government in general, as well as to demands for autonomy from particular regional and ethnic groups.

Tensions in Russia s relations with other countries and world bodies or conflicts between the government and powerful business groups or among such business groups could disrupt or reverse political, economic and regulatory reforms and also lead to restrictions on our business and a negative impact on Russia s economy and investment climate. Any disruption or reversal of reform policies or economic downturn could lead to social, political or governmental instability or the occurrence of conflicts between various groups, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects and the value of our shares and ADSs.

Corruption and negative publicity could negatively impact our business and the value of our shares and ADSs.

The local press and international press have reported high levels of corruption in Russia, including unlawful demands by government officials and the bribery of government officials for the purpose of initiating investigations by government agencies. Press reports have also described instances in which government officials engaged in selective investigations and prosecutions to further the commercial interests of certain government officials or certain companies or individuals. In addition, there are reports of the Russian media publishing disparaging articles in return for payment. If we, our managers or counterparties are accused of involvement in government corruption, the resulting negative publicity could disrupt our ability to conduct our business and impair our relationships with customers, suppliers and other parties, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations and the value of our shares and ADSs.

Shortage of skilled Russian labor could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Currently the Russian labor market suffers from a general shortage of skilled and trained workers, and we compete with other Russian companies to hire and retain such workers. In Russia, the working age population has declined due to a relatively low birth rate at the end of the 1980s and through the early 1990s. As of January 1, 2014, Rosstat estimated Russia s population at 143.7 million, a decline of 4.8 million from 1992. An increase in migration and a reduction in the natural decline of the population recently resulted in a slowdown in the population decrease followed even by some temporary population growth. However, the birth rate remains relatively low, which together with the aging and high mortality of the population are the main problems of Russia s demographic development. Russia s working age population is estimated to decline by 10-13 million by 2025. If the present trend continues without a migration inflow to Russia, the decreasing working population will become a barrier to economic growth around 2015, according to the National Human Development Report for the Russian Federation produced by the United

Nations Development Program in 2008. A shortage of skilled Russian labor combined with restrictive immigration policies could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

47

Legal risks and uncertainties

Deficiencies in the legal framework relating to subsoil licensing subject our licenses to the risk of governmental challenges and, if our licenses are suspended or terminated, we may be unable to realize our reserves, which could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Most of the existing subsoil licenses in Russia date from the Soviet era. During the period between the dissolution of the Soviet Union in August 1991 and the enactment of the first post-Soviet subsoil licensing law in the summer of 1992, the status of subsoil licenses and Soviet-era mining operations was unclear, as was the status of the regulatory authority governing such operations. The Russian government enacted the Procedure for Subsoil Use Licensing on July 15, 1992, which came into effect on August 20, 1992 (the **Licensing Regulation**). As was common with legislation of this time, the Licensing Regulation was passed without adequate consideration of transition provisions and contained numerous gaps. In an effort to address the problems in the Licensing Regulation, the Ministry of Natural Resources (the **MNR**) issued ministerial acts and instructions that attempted to clarify and, in some cases, modify the Licensing Regulation. Many of these acts contradicted the law and were beyond the scope of the MNR s authority, but subsoil licensees had no option but to deal with the MNR in relation to subsoil issues and comply with its ministerial acts and instructions. Thus, it is possible that licenses applied for and/or issued in reliance on the MNR s acts and instructions could be challenged by the prosecutor general s office as being invalid. In particular, deficiencies of this nature subject subsoil licensees to selective and arbitrary governmental claims.

Legislation on subsoil rights still remains internally inconsistent and vague, and the regulators acts and instructions are often arguably inconsistent with legislation. Subsoil licensees thus continue to face the situation where both failing to comply with the regulator s acts and instructions and choosing to comply with them places them at the risk of being subject to arbitrary governmental claims, whether by the regulator or the prosecutor general s office. Our competitors may also seek to deny our rights to develop certain natural resource deposits by challenging our compliance with tender rules and procedures or compliance with license terms.

An existing provision of the law that a license may be suspended or terminated if the licensee does not comply with the significant or material terms of a license is an example of such a deficiency in the legislation. The MNR (including its successor agency since May 13, 2008, the Ministry of Natural Resources and Ecology) has not issued any interpretive guidance on the meaning of these terms. Similarly, under Russia s civil law system, court decisions interpreting these terms do not have any precedential value for future cases and, in any event, court decisions in this regard have been inconsistent. These deficiencies result in the regulatory authorities, prosecutors and courts having significant discretion over enforcement and interpretation of the law, which may be used to challenge our subsoil rights selectively and arbitrarily.

Moreover, during the tumultuous period of the transformation of the Russian planned economy into a free market economy in the 1990s, documentation relating to subsoil licenses was not properly maintained in accordance with administrative requirements and, in many cases, was lost or destroyed. Thus, in many cases, although it may be clearly evident that a particular enterprise has mined a licensed subsoil area for decades, the historical documentation relating to its subsoil licenses may be incomplete. If, through governmental or other challenges, our licenses are suspended or terminated we would be unable to realize our reserves, which could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Weaknesses relating to the Russian legal system and legislation create an uncertain investment climate.

Russia is still developing the legal framework required to support a market economy. The following weaknesses relating to the Russian legal system create an uncertain investment climate and result in risks with respect to our legal

and business decisions:

inconsistencies between and among the Constitution, federal laws, presidential decrees and governmental, ministerial and local orders, decisions, resolutions and other acts;

48

conflicting local, regional and federal rules and regulations;

rapid enactment of many laws and regulations resulting in their ambiguities and inconsistencies;

the lack of fully developed corporate and securities laws;

substantial gaps in the regulatory structure due to the delay or absence of implementing legislation;

changes in the Russian court system, in particular, the merger of the Supreme Arbitrazh Court with the Russian Supreme Court;

the relative inexperience of judges in interpreting legislation and contradictory judicial interpretations of the law;

the lack of full independence of the judicial system from commercial, political and nationalistic influences;

difficulty in enforcing court orders;

a high degree of discretion or arbitrariness on the part of governmental authorities; and

still-developing bankruptcy procedures that are subject to abuse.

All of these weaknesses could affect our ability to protect our rights under our licenses and under our contracts, or to defend ourselves against claims by others. We make no assurances that regulators, judicial authorities or third parties will not challenge our compliance with applicable laws, decrees and regulations.

One or more of our subsidiaries could be forced into liquidation on the basis of formal non-compliance with certain requirements of Russian law, which could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Certain provisions of Russian law may allow a court to order liquidation of a Russian legal entity on the basis of its formal non-compliance with certain requirements during formation, reorganization or during its operation. There have been cases in the past in which formal deficiencies in the establishment process of a Russian legal entity or non-compliance with provisions of Russian law have been used by Russian courts as a basis for liquidation of a legal entity. For example, under Russian corporate law, if a Russian company s net assets calculated on the basis of Russian accounting standards at the end of its third or any subsequent financial year, fall below its share capital, the company must decrease its share capital to the level of its net assets value or initiate a voluntary liquidation. In addition, if a Russian company s net assets calculated on the basis of Russian accounting standards at the end of its second or any subsequent financial year, fall below the minimum share capital required by law, the company must initiate voluntarily liquidation not later than six months after the end of such financial year. If the company fails to comply

with either of the requirements stated above within the prescribed time limits, the company s creditors may accelerate their claims and demand reimbursement of applicable damages, and governmental authorities may seek involuntary liquidation of the company. Certain Russian companies have negative net assets due to very low historical asset values reflected on their balance sheets prepared in accordance with Russian accounting standards; however, their solvency, i.e., their ability to pay debts as they become due, is not otherwise adversely affected by such negative net assets. Currently, we have the following subsidiaries with negative net assets: Mechel-Steel Management, Metals Recycling, VtorResource-Yuzhny, Thermal Grid Company of Southern Kuzbass, Metallurgshakhtspetsstroy, Yakutugol Trading House, Management Metallurgical Equipment Repair, Shakhtspetsstroy, Sky-Extra, Mechel Engineering, Mechel-Remservice, Maritime Cargo Shipping and Trans-Auto.

If involuntary liquidation were to occur, then we may be forced to reorganize the operations we currently conduct through the affected subsidiaries. Any such liquidation could lead to additional costs, which could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Selective government action could have a material adverse effect on the investment climate in Russia and on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects and the value of our shares and ADSs.

Governmental authorities in Russia have a high degree of discretion. Press reports have cited instances of Russian companies and their major shareholders being subjected to government pressure through prosecutions of violations of regulations and legislation which are either politically motivated or triggered by competing business groups.

In mid-2008, Mechel came under public criticism by the Russian government. Repeated statements were made accusing Mechel of using tax avoidance schemes and other improprieties. Ultimately the allegations regarding tax avoidance were not confirmed by the tax authorities, but the antimonopoly investigation resulted in imposition of a fine and issuance of a FAS directive regarding our business practices. See Risks Relating to Our Business and Industry Antimonopoly regulation could lead to sanctions with respect to the subsidiaries we have acquired or established or our prices, sales volumes and business practices and Item 8. Financial Information Litigation Antimonopoly.

Selective government action, if directed at us or our controlling shareholder, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects and the value of our shares and ADSs.

Due to still-developing law and practice related to minority shareholder protection in Russia, the ability of holders of our shares and ADSs to bring, or recover in, an action against us may be limited.

In general, minority shareholder protection under Russian law derives from supermajority shareholder approval requirements for certain corporate actions, as well as from the ability of a shareholder to demand that the company purchase the shares held by that shareholder if that shareholder voted against or did not participate in voting on certain types of actions. Companies are also required by Russian law to obtain the approval of disinterested shareholders for certain transactions with interested parties. See Item 10. Additional Information Description of Capital Stock Rights attaching to common shares. Disclosure and reporting requirements have also been enacted in Russia. Concepts similar to the fiduciary duties of directors and officers to their companies and shareholders are also expected to be further developed in Russian legislation; for example, amendments to the Russian Code of Administrative Offenses imposing administrative liability on members of a company s board of directors or management board for violations committed in the maintenance of shareholder registers and the convening of general shareholders meetings. While these protections are similar to the types of protections available to minority shareholders in U.S. corporations, in practice, the enforcement of these and other protections has not been effective.

The supermajority shareholder approval requirement is met by a vote of 75% of all voting shares that are present at a general shareholders meeting. Thus, controlling shareholders owning less than 75% of the outstanding shares of a company may hold 75% or more of the voting power if enough minority shareholders are not present at the meeting. In situations where controlling shareholders effectively have 75% or more of the voting power at a general shareholders meeting, they are in a position to approve amendments to a company s charter, reorganizations, significant sales of assets and other major transactions, which could be prejudicial to the interests of minority shareholders. See Risks Relating to Our Business and Industry The concentration of our shares with our controlling shareholder will limit your ability to influence corporate matters and transactions with the controlling shareholder may present conflicts of interest, potentially resulting in the conclusion of transactions on less favorable terms than could be obtained in arm s length transactions.

Shareholder liability under Russian legislation could cause us to become liable for the obligations of our subsidiaries.

The Civil Code of the Russian Federation, as amended (the **Civil Code**), and the Joint-Stock Companies Law generally provide that shareholders in a Russian joint-stock company are not liable for the obligations of the

50

joint-stock company and bear only the risk of loss of their investment. This may not be the case, however, when one entity is capable of determining decisions made by another entity. The entity capable of determining such decisions is deemed an effective parent. The entity whose decisions are capable of being so determined is deemed an effective subsidiary. Under the Joint-Stock Companies Law, an effective parent bears joint and several responsibility for transactions concluded by the effective subsidiary in carrying out these decisions if:

this decision-making capability is provided for in the charter of the effective subsidiary or in a contract between such entities; and

the effective parent gives obligatory directions to the effective subsidiary based on the above-mentioned decision-making capability.

In addition, an effective parent is secondarily liable for an effective subsidiary s debts if an effective subsidiary becomes insolvent or bankrupt due to the fault of an effective parent resulting from its action or inaction. This is the case no matter how the effective parent s ability to determine decisions of the effective subsidiary arises. For example, this liability could arise through ownership of voting securities or by contract. Other shareholders of the effective subsidiary may claim compensation for the effective subsidiary s losses from the effective parent which caused the effective subsidiary to take action or fail to take action knowing that such action or failure to take action would result in losses. Accordingly, we could be liable in some cases for the debts of our subsidiaries. This liability could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Shareholder rights provisions under Russian law could result in significant additional obligations on us.

Russian law provides that shareholders that vote against or do not participate in voting on certain matters have the right to request that the company redeem their shares at value determined in accordance with Russian law. The decisions of a general shareholders meeting that trigger this right include:

decisions with respect to a reorganization;

the approval by shareholders of a major transaction, which, in general terms, is a transaction involving property worth more than 50% of the gross book value of the company s assets calculated according to Russian accounting standards, regardless of whether the transaction is actually consummated, except for transactions undertaken in the ordinary course of business;

the amendment of the company s charter or approval of a new version of the company s charter that limits shareholder rights; and

a filing of an application for delisting of the company s shares or securities convertible into shares. Our and our Russian subsidiaries obligation to purchase shares in these circumstances, which is limited to 10% of our or the subsidiary s net assets, respectively, calculated in accordance with Russian accounting standards at the time the matter at issue is voted upon, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of

operations and prospects due to the need to expend cash on such obligatory share purchases.

The lack of a central and rigorously regulated share registration system in Russia may result in improper record ownership of our shares and ADSs.

Ownership of Russian joint-stock company shares (or, if the shares are held through a nominee or custodian, then the holding of such nominee or custodian) is determined by entries in a share register and is evidenced by extracts from that register. Currently, there is no single central registration system in Russia. Share registers are maintained by the companies themselves or, if a company has more than 50 shareholders, by licensed registrars located throughout Russia. Regulations have been adopted regarding the licensing conditions for such registrars, as well as the procedures to be followed by both companies maintaining their own registers and licensed

registrars when performing the functions of registrar. In practice, however, these regulations have not been strictly enforced, and registrars generally have relatively low levels of capitalization and inadequate insurance coverage. Moreover, registrars are not necessarily subject to effective governmental supervision. Due to the lack of a central and rigorously regulated share registration system in Russia, transactions in respect of a company s shares could be improperly or inaccurately recorded, and share registration could be lost through fraud, negligence or oversight by registrars incapable of compensating shareholders for their misconduct. This creates risks of loss not normally associated with investments in other securities markets. Furthermore, the depositary, under the terms of the deposit agreements governing record keeping and custody of our ADSs, is not liable for the unavailability of shares or for the failure to make any distribution of cash or property with respect thereto due to the unavailability of the shares. See Item 10. Additional Information Description of Capital Stock Registration and transfer of shares.

Characteristics of and changes in the Russian tax system could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects and the value of our shares and ADSs.

Generally, Russian companies are subject to numerous taxes. These taxes include, among others:

```
a profit tax;  a \ value\mbox{-added tax} \ (\ \ \textbf{VAT}\ \ );   a \ mineral \ extraction \ tax; \ and
```

property and land taxes.

Laws related to these taxes have been in force for a short period relative to tax laws in more developed market economies and few precedents with regard to the interpretation of these laws have been established. Global tax reforms commenced in 1999 with the introduction of Part One of the Tax Code of the Russian Federation, as amended (the **Russian Tax Code**), which sets general taxation guidelines. Since then, Russia has been in the process of replacing legislation regulating the application of major taxes such as the corporate profits tax, VAT and property tax with new chapters of the Russian Tax Code.

In practice, the Russian tax authorities generally interpret the tax laws in ways that rarely favor taxpayers, who often have to resort to court proceedings to defend their position against the tax authorities. Events within the Russian Federation suggest that the tax authorities may be taking a more assertive position in their interpretations of the legislation and assessments. Contradictory interpretations of tax regulations exist within government ministries and organizations at the federal, regional and local levels, creating uncertainties and inconsistent enforcement. Tax declarations and documentation such as customs declarations, are subject to review and investigation by relevant authorities, which may impose severe fines, penalties and interest charges. Generally, in a tax audit, taxpayers are subject to inspection with respect to the three calendar years which immediately preceded the year in which the audit is carried out. Previous audits do not completely exclude subsequent claims relating to the audited period because Russian tax law authorizes upper-level tax inspectorates to re-audit taxpayers which were audited by subordinate tax inspectorates. In addition, on July 14, 2005, the Russian Constitutional Court issued a decision that allows the statute of limitations for tax liabilities to be extended beyond the three-year term set forth in the tax laws if a court determines that a taxpayer has obstructed or hindered a tax audit. As a result of the fact that none of the relevant terms are

defined, tax authorities may have broad discretion to argue that a taxpayer has obstructed or hindered a tax audit and ultimately seek back taxes and penalties beyond the three year term. In some instances, new tax regulations have been given retroactive effect.

In May 2009, the Russian President proposed legislative changes in his Budget Message regarding the Budget Policy for 2010-2012 to reform the anti-avoidance mechanism of double tax treaties. A law envisaging the introduction of the concept of an actual recipient of income to the Russian Tax Code was drafted in late 2009. Although the bill neither uses the term beneficial owner nor defines the term actual recipient of income

(which is used in Russian official versions of all double taxation treaties), the intent of the proposed amendments is to introduce a concept of beneficial ownership in the domestic tax legislation, and to combat the abuse of double taxation treaties where the beneficiaries of income reside in jurisdictions that do not have double taxation treaties with Russia. Furthermore, the Russian government proposed legislative changes to the anti-avoidance mechanism with respect to double tax treaties, as well as creating tax incentives to move organizations from offshore to Russia as set forth in Main Directions of the Russian Federation Tax Policy for 2011-2013 dated May 2010 and in Main Directions of the Russian Federation Tax Policy for 2012-2014 dated July 2011. In addition, by its Main Directions of the Russian Federation Tax Policy for 2013-2015 dated May 2012 the Russian government proposed to introduce a term actual recipient of income to the tax legislation. Furthermore, in Main Directions of the Russian Federation Tax Policy for 2014-2016 it is planned to develop a standard intergovernmental agreement on tax information sharing with offshore and low-tax jurisdictions and further to conduct negotiations regarding the conclusion of relevant agreements with these jurisdictions in order to counteract tax minimization schemes. In June 2013, in the Budget message the Russian President pointed out to the Government of the Russian Federation on the necessity of implementation of measures to counteract tax evasion, including with the use of offshore jurisdictions. Currently, the bills providing for creation of the controlled foreign companies profit taxation mechanism and determination of tax residence of organizations are being drafted. In addition, the term beneficial owner is introduced legislatively in the Federal Law On Counteraction of the Legitimization (Laundering) of the Proceeds of Crime and the Financing of Terrorism No. 115-FZ of August 7, 2001, as amended on December 28, 2013. We cannot predict how the above governmental proposals will be implemented in practice, and it is also unclear how, if introduced, it will be interpreted and applied by the tax authorities and/or courts and what impact it may have on taxpayers, including us.

Moreover, on November 16, 2011, the Russian President signed the Law on Amendment of Part One and Part Two of the Tax Code of the Russian Federation in Connection with the Formation of a Combined Taxpayer Group. The main provisions of the law came into force on January 1, 2012. The law provides for formation of a combined taxpayer group for the purposes of profit tax calculation and payment on the basis of the combined business performance of the members of such group. However, the law sets forth a number of requirements for the formation of a combined taxpayer group. Starting from 2013, 16 companies of our group have formed a combined taxpayer group, with Mechel being a responsible party. The formation of the combined taxpayer group allowed us to determine the taxable income with profit and loss offset of all the companies included in the combined taxpayer group and to pay profit tax from total aggregate income under the combined taxpayer group, starting from January 1, 2013. Starting from January 1, 2014, there have been some changes in the composition of the combined taxpayer group as a result the number of members has increased to 20 companies.

However, regardless of being a member of the combined taxpayer group or not, Mechel and our Russian subsidiaries pays Russian taxes on dividends they receive from other companies in our group. Intercompany dividends are subject to a withholding tax of 0% or 9% (depending on whether the recipient of dividends qualifies for Russian participation exemption rules) if being distributed to Russian companies, and 15% (or lower, subject to benefits provided by relevant double tax treaties) if being distributed to foreign companies. Dividends from foreign companies to Russian companies are subject to a tax of 9%. Taxes paid in foreign countries by Russian companies may be offset against payment of these taxes in the Russian Federation up to the maximum amount of the Russian tax liability. In order to apply the offset, the company is required to confirm the payment of taxes in the foreign country. The confirmations must be authorized by the tax authority of the foreign country if taxes were paid by the company itself, and the confirmation must be authorized by the tax agent if taxes were withheld by the tax agent under foreign tax law or an international tax agreement.

In addition, application of current Russian thin capitalization rules and the developing negative court practice on such disputes, including at the level of the Presidium of the Supreme Arbitrazh Court of the Russian Federation, may affect our ability to pay interest on loans in full. In particular, taking into account the requirements of Russian law and

negative court practice on thin capitalization, it is practicable to withhold as a dividend tax a part of the interest on borrowings of our subsidiaries which are either received from Mechel or

53

received from independent banks and guaranteed by Mechel. In addition, part of interest on these borrowings may not be treated as expenses for tax purposes under certain conditions provided by thin capitalization rules.

The foregoing conditions create tax risks in Russia that are more significant than typically found in countries with more developed tax systems, imposing additional burdens and costs on our operations, including management resources. In addition to our tax burden, these risks and uncertainties complicate our tax planning and related business decisions, potentially exposing us to significant fines and penalties and enforcement measures despite our best efforts at compliance. See also Risks Relating to the Russian Federation Legal risks and uncertainties Selective government action could have a material adverse effect on the investment climate in Russia and on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects and the value of our shares and ADSs.

The lack of established practice with respect to Russian new transfer pricing rules exposes our business to the risk of significant additional liabilities.

Russian transfer pricing rules, effective since 1999, gave Russian tax authorities the right to control prices for transactions between affiliated entities and certain other types of transactions between unrelated parties, such as foreign trade transactions or transactions with significant price fluctuations, if the transaction price deviated by more than 20% from the market price.

In July 2011, Russian transfer pricing legislation was substantially amended. The new rules entered into force on January 1, 2012. The new rules require taxpayers to notify the tax authorities on controlled transactions that are performed from January 1, 2012. Controlled transactions mean any transactions between related parties both domestic and cross-border as well as certain transactions between unrelated parties. The tax legislation eliminated the existed 20% safe harbor for price deviations. The rules also introduce specific documentation requirements for proving market prices. The new rules have not been applied in practice yet, therefore we cannot predict now what effect the new transfer pricing rules will have on our business. If the tax authorities impose significant additional tax assessments as a result of changes in transfer pricing regulation and we are unable to successfully challenge them in court or make symmetrical adjustments provided by the new rules, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Expansion of limitations on foreign investment in strategic sectors could affect our ability to attract and/or retain foreign investments.

On April 29, 2008, the Federal Law On the Procedure for Foreign Investment in Companies with Strategic Impact on the National Defense and Security of the Russian Federation was adopted. See Item 4. Information on the Company Regulatory Matters The Strategic Industries Law.

As our subsidiary Southern Urals Nickel Plant holds the subsoil license on land plots with nickel and cobalt ore deposits which are included in the official list of subsoil plots of federal importance first published on March 5, 2009 in the Russian official newspaper *Rossiyskaya Gazeta* and as amended on August 13, 2010 (the **Strategic Subsoil List**), it qualifies as a Strategic Company and is subject to special regulation. Our subsidiaries Port Posiet, Port Kambarka and Port Temryuk are included in the register of natural monopolies, and therefore are also Strategic Companies.

According to the Strategic Industries Law, the activity of a business entity which is deemed to occupy a dominant position in the production and sale of metals and alloys with special features which are used in production of weapons and military equipment is also deemed to be strategic activity. Our subsidiary Urals Stampings Plant produces and sells carbon, alloyed and heat-resistant alloyed stampings. Such products are of a type generally used in the production

of weapons and military equipment. Therefore, Urals Stampings Plant may also qualify as a Strategic Company. Furthermore, entities producing and distributing industrial explosives are

54

also deemed to be Strategic Companies. Thus, our subsidiaries Yakutugol, Vzryvprom and Korshunov Mining Plant also qualify as Strategic Companies, as they hold licenses to produce industrial explosives and licenses to distribute industrial explosives.

Therefore, any transfer, directly or indirectly, to a foreign investor or its group of entities (except for the transfer to a foreign investor controlled by the Russian Federation and/or Russian nationals provided such Russian nationals are Russian tax residents and do not have dual nationality) of a stake, or certain rights, in Port Posiet, Port Kambarka, Port Temryuk, Southern Urals Nickel Plant, Yakutugol, Vzryvprom, Korshunov Mining Plant and, possibly, Urals Stampings Plant, which, according to the Strategic Industries Law, is deemed to transfer control, as described in Item 4. Information on the Company Regulatory Matters The Strategic Industries Law, will be subject to prior approval from the state authorities. Likewise, a sale to a foreign investor or its group of entities of a stake in Mechel which provides control (as defined in the Strategic Industries Law) over Port Posiet, Port Kambarka, Port Temryuk, Southern Urals Nickel Plant, Yakutugol, Vzryvprom, Korshunov Mining Plant and, potentially, Urals Stampings Plant, will also be subject to prior approval in accordance with the Strategic Industries Law.

In addition, in case a foreign investor or its group of entities which is a holder of securities of Port Posiet, Port Kambarka, Port Temryuk, Southern Urals Nickel Plant, Yakutugol, Vzryvprom, Korshunov Mining Plant and, potentially, Urals Stampings Plant, becomes a holder of voting shares in amount which is considered to give them direct or indirect control over these companies in accordance with the Strategic Industries Law due to the allocation of voting shares as a result of certain corporate procedures provided by Russian law (e.g., as a result of a buy-back by the relevant company of its shares, conversion of preferred shares into common shares, or holders of preferred shares becoming entitled to vote at a general shareholders meeting in cases provided under Russian law), such shareholders will have to apply for approval within three months after they acquired such control.

In this connection, there is a risk that the requirement to receive prior or subsequent approvals and the risk of not being granted such approvals might affect our ability to attract foreign investments, create joint ventures with foreign partners with respect to our companies that qualify as Strategic Companies or effect restructuring of our group which might, in turn, materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Risks Relating to Our Business in the United States

New regulatory requirements for obtaining certain permits under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act may result in delays, additional costs or the inability to proceed with certain U.S. mining operations.

For some of our proposed U.S. mining operations, we will need to obtain certain permits issued by the United States Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) under the Clean Water Act § 404 (404 Permits). Such permits are required in order to undertake construction of valley fills, coal refuse disposal areas, and other activities associated with those operations that would have the effect of filling (covering) ephemeral, intermittent or perennial streams. Since approximately 2003, the Corps issuance of 404 Permits for coal-related fill projects (especially large-scale surface mines) has been the subject of continual litigation and other challenges by environmental groups, resulting in several court opinions that had the effect of substantially restricting issuance of such permits and curtailing coal production.

On June 11, 2009, the EPA, Corps, and other U.S. agencies with control over this permitting program issued a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) that identified several steps that will be taken as to pending and future 404 Permit applications, in order to implement an Enhanced Coordinated Review Process for the purpose of significantly reducing the harmful environmental consequences of Appalachian surface coal mining operations. The EPA followed up on the MOU by releasing its Financial Guidance on Improving EPA Review of Appalachian Surface Coal Mining Operations under the Clean Water Act, National Environmental Policy Act, and the Environmental Executive Justice

Order on July 21, 2011. The EPA s final guidance replaced interim

55

guidance released on April 1, 2010. Since release of the MOU and the other guidance documents, few 404 Permits have been issued, and each of those permits that were issued included modifications to the proposed mining plan and additional environmental monitoring provisions that require adaptive management and revisions to mine plans should certain indicia of harm to the aquatic system be observed. Companies with 404 Permit applications that have been pending for a year or longer are currently required to engage in meetings with Corps and EPA staff before those applications are submitted for further processing, and the timeline for issuance of such permits is uncertain. It is also widely expected that some of those permit applications will be denied, or that the EPA will exercise its Clean Water Act veto authority over some 404 Permits that are issued by the Corps. For example, in January 2011, the EPA for the first time exercised its veto power by rescinding a federal Clean Water Act permit held by another coal mining company for a surface mine in Appalachia.

In addition, partly in response to regulatory turmoil created by the EPA s involvement in the U.S. Clean Water Act 404 and National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permitting programs, in August 2010, the West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection (WVDEP) issued its Permitting Guidance for Surface Coal Mining Operations to Protect West Virginia s Narrative Water Quality Standards (WVDEP Narrative WQS Implementation Guidance). The basic narrative water quality standard that this Guidance seeks to implement requires that no significant adverse impact to the chemical, physical, hydrologic, or biological components of aquatic ecosystems shall be allowed. The WVDEP Narrative WQS Implementation Guidance sets forth detailed, lengthy procedures for determining whether a proposed NPDES discharge has a reasonable potential to cause a violation of this narrative standard, and if so, the permit conditions that should be imposed to assure that no such violations occur. The interpretation and application of this guidance in the future may, in turn, be affected by the EPA s activities mentioned above.

Although we have no immediate need for new 404 Permits to continue our current U.S. mining operations in the short term, some of our future mine plans (including the continuation of existing mines) will require the issuance of such permits to proceed. Whether the regulatory environment will be such that 404 Permits for those projects may be expected to be issued in a timely manner, in the form required for such plans to be implemented, is difficult to predict. Our inability to obtain such permits or any unexpected delay or additional costs incurred in connection with securing such permits could have a material adverse effect on the financial performance of our U.S. coal mining operations.

The cost and availability of reliable transportation could negatively impact our U.S. coal mining operations.

The availability and cost of reliable transportation for our U.S. coal is a critical factor in a customer s purchasing decision. Increases in transportation costs could make coal a less competitive source of energy or could make our coal production less competitive than coal produced from other sources.

Our U.S. mines depend on a single railroad carrier, Norfolk Southern. We also have the ability to export coal through the port of New Orleans on the Gulf of Mexico by trucking coal to a river terminal followed by barging via the Mississippi River.

Disruptions to railway transport caused by weather-related problems, flooding, drought, accidents, mechanical difficulties, strikes, lockouts, bottlenecks, and other events could temporarily impair our ability to supply coal to our customers. For example, the snowfall in the winter of 2009-2010, which was the heaviest in the last decade, caused delays in our supplies of coal to customers. Furthermore, improvement works carried on at the Norfolk and Southern Hartland Corridor Tunnel caused delays in railcar deliveries to our mines for up to four days. In addition, after Norfolk Southern made certain cuts in equipment and personnel during the economic slowdown in 2009, it is currently facing difficulties in building up its transportation capacity to meet the increasing demand for railcars.

Similar risks exist in the logistical chain to New Orleans. The 2011 record-breaking flooding of the Mississippi River and its tributaries caused weeks of delay resulting in force majeure conditions. Although we

56

did not miss any shipments, our customers have had to reschedule vessels affecting delivery timelines and inventory levels. In addition, we face labor and fuel cost issues which can adversely affect the truck-haul element of this logistical chain. Transportation providers may face increased regulation or other difficulties in the future that may impair our ability to supply coal to our customers at a competitive cost. If there are disruptions of the transportation services and we are unable to make alternative arrangements to ship our coal, the financial performance of our U.S. coal mining operations could be materially adversely affected.

Defects in title or loss of any leasehold interests in our U.S. properties could limit our ability to conduct mining operations or result in significant cost increases.

We conduct a significant part of our mining operations in the United States on properties that we lease. A title defect or the loss of any lease could adversely affect our ability to mine the associated reserves. In addition, from time to time the rights of third parties for competing uses of adjacent, overlying, or underlying lands such as for oil and gas activity, coalbed methane, production, pipelines, roads, easements and public facilities may affect our ability to operate as planned if our title is not superior or alternative arrangements cannot be negotiated. Title to much of our leased properties and fee mineral rights is not usually verified until we make a commitment to develop a property, which may not occur until after we have obtained necessary permits and completed exploration of the property. Our right to mine some of our reserves may be adversely affected if defects in title or boundaries exist or competing interests cannot be resolved. In order to obtain leases or other rights to conduct our mining operations on property where these defects exist, we may incur unexpected costs or be compelled to leave un-mined the affected reserves, resulting in a material adverse effect on the financial performance of our U.S. coal mining operations.

A shortage of skilled labor in the mining industry could negatively impact the profitability of our U.S. coal mining operations.

Efficient coal mining using modern techniques and equipment requires skilled workers. Ideally, we seek to hire individuals with sufficient level of experience to ensure a minimum level of operational efficiency. In recent years, the U.S. coal mining industry has faced a shortage of skilled workers, thus increasing costs and decreasing productivity. In particular, we are facing difficulties in recruiting skilled workers at our underground operations. Furthermore, the competition from neighboring mining companies for attracting skilled workers is significant. In the event the shortage of experienced labor continues or worsens, it could have an adverse impact on our labor productivity and costs and our ability to expand production in the event there is an increase in the demand for our coal.

The Bluestone companies are subject to extensive U.S. laws, government regulations and other requirements relating to the protection of the environment, health and safety and other matters and face a highly litigious environment.

Like other mining businesses in the United States, our Bluestone companies are subject to a wide range of rules and regulations, including those governing water discharges, air emissions, the management, treatment, storage, disposal and transportation of hazardous materials and waste, protection of plants, wildlife and other natural resources, worker health and safety, reclamation and restoration of properties after mining activities cease, surface subsidence from underground mining, blasting operations, noise, the effects of mining on surface water and groundwater quality and availability, and reporting and recordkeeping. Violations of these requirements can result in fines, penalties, required facility upgrades or operational changes, suspension or revocation of permits and, in severe cases, temporary or permanent shut-down of our mines. We incur substantial costs in order to comply with governmental regulations that apply to our operations in the United States.

We could also become subject to investigation or cleanup obligations, or related third-party personal injury or property damage claims, in connection with on-site or off-site contamination issues or other non-compliance with

U.S. regulatory requirements. In particular, under the U.S. Comprehensive Environmental Response,

57

Compensation and Liability Act (**CERCLA** or commonly known as the **Superfund law**) and analogous state laws, current and former property owners and operators, as well as hazardous waste generators, arrangers and transporters, can be held liable for investigation and cleanup costs at properties where there has been a release or threatened release of hazardous substances. Such laws can also require so-called potentially responsible parties to fund the restoration of damaged natural resources or agree to restrictions on future uses of impacted properties.

Liability under such laws can be strict, joint, several and retroactive. Accordingly, we could theoretically incur material liability (whether as a result of government enforcement, private contribution claims or private personal injury or property damage claims) for known or unknown liabilities at (or caused by migrations from or hazardous waste shipped from) any of our current or former facilities or properties, including those owned or operated by our predecessors or third parties or at third party disposal sites. In addition, lawsuits by employees, customers, suppliers and other private parties may be costly to defend and could lead to judgments for damages.

Currently, eleven of the 46 NPDES permits for our Bluestone operations are pending renewal with the EPA. These permits have been administratively extended for a period of six months and currently Bluestone is not prevented from mining coal. However, should these permits remain unrenewed after the six-month period expires in 2014, there is a significant risk that such permits will be withdrawn and production at some of the Bluestone operations may be suspended for an indefinite period of time.

Changes in U.S. regulations and the passage of new legislation in the United States could materially adversely affect the Bluestone companies operations, increase our costs or limit our ability to produce and sell coal in the United States.

New legislation, regulations and rules adopted or implemented in the future (or changes in interpretations of existing laws and regulations) may materially adversely affect our U.S. operations. Some U.S. commentators expect that the current U.S. administration could implement policies or sponsor legislation that will make the production and/or consumption of coal in the United States more expensive and create additional regulatory burdens, and it remains unclear whether this will affect the business and prospects of the Bluestone companies. In particular, future regulation of greenhouse gases in the United States could occur pursuant to future treaty obligations, statutory or regulatory changes under the U.S. Clean Air Act, federal or state adoption of a greenhouse gas regulatory scheme, or otherwise. In May 2010, the EPA finalized its Greenhouse Gas Tailoring Rule establishing criteria that define when permits under the New Source Review Prevention of Significant Deterioration and Title V operating permit programs are required for new and existing industrial facilities. This final rule tailors the requirements of the Clean Air Act permitting programs to phase in various greenhouse gas related requirements over time. The EPA has also announced plans to establish greenhouse gas standards under the Clean Air Act for fossil fuel fired power plants. In addition, we are required to report to the EPA our annual greenhouse gas emissions from certain of our operations. Many states and regions have undertaken greenhouse gas initiatives, including cap-and-trade programs.

These and other potential U.S. federal, state and regional climate change rules will likely require additional controls on coal-fueled power plants, industrial boilers and manufacturing operations, and may even cause some users of coal to switch from coal to a lower carbon fuel. There can be no assurance at this time that a carbon dioxide cap-and-trade program, a carbon tax or other regulatory regime, if implemented, will not affect the future market for coal in the regions where we operate and reduce the demand for coal.

Furthermore, surface and underground mining are subject to increasing regulation, including pursuant to the federal MINER Act, blast survey and monitoring restrictions, and requirements by the Corps and the U.S. Department of Interior s Office of Surface Mining, which may require us to incur additional costs. Recent underground mining accidents in the United States, culminating in a mine explosion in West Virginia that killed 29 miners in April 2010,

have resulted in calls by government officials for the U.S. Mine Safety and Health Administration to intensify its oversight and enforcement of mine safety, and to impose increasingly punitive

58

measures against mining companies that violate mine safety laws, including, where necessary, closure of hazardous mines. For example, federal and West Virginia authorities have generally been conducting enhanced inspections of coal mines for various safety concerns. Increased oversight, enforcement and regulation of mine safety could cause us to incur increased compliance costs, some of which could be material.

We must obtain, maintain and comply with numerous U.S. governmental permits and approvals for our operations in the United States, which can be costly and time consuming, and our failure to obtain, renew or comply with necessary permits and approvals could negatively impact our business.

Numerous governmental permits and approvals are required for our U.S. coal mining operations and obtaining these permits can take a substantial amount of time. For example, it typically takes up to 18 months to obtain all required permits for new underground operations and up to four years for new surface mine operations. Many of our permits are subject to renewal from time to time, and renewed permits may contain more restrictive conditions than existing permits. In addition, violations of our permits may occur from time to time, permits we need may not be issued or, if issued, may not be issued in a timely fashion.

We may be subject to significant mine reclamation and closure obligations with respect to our U.S. coal mining operations.

The U.S. Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act (SMCRA) and counterpart state rules establish operational, reclamation and closure standards for all aspects of surface mining in the United States, as well as many aspects of underground mining. Our estimated reclamation and mine closure obligations could change significantly if actual amounts (which are dependent on a number of variables, including estimated future retirement costs, estimated proven reserves and assumptions involving profit margins, inflation rates and interest rates) differ significantly from our assumptions, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Extensive environmental regulation in the United States, including the Clean Air Act and similar state and local laws, affect our U.S. customers and could reduce the demand for coal as a fuel source and cause our sales to decline.

The U.S. Clean Air Act and similar state and local laws extensively regulate the amount of sulfur dioxide, particulate matter, nitrogen oxides, mercury and other compounds that are emitted into the air from power plants and other sources. Stricter regulation of such emissions could increase the cost of using coal in the United States, reducing demand and make it a less attractive fuel alternative for future planning.

For example, in order to meet the Clean Air Act limits on sulfur dioxide emissions from power plants, coal users may need to install scrubbers, use sulfur dioxide emission allowances (some of which they may purchase), blend high sulfur coal with low sulfur coal or switch to other fuels. Furthermore, some of the EPA s initiatives to reduce sulfur dioxide, nitrous oxide and mercury emissions have been the subject of litigation in recent years, and there is continued uncertainty over lawsuits by environmental groups and other public resistance during the initial permitting process for new coal-fired power plants, which has had a chilling effect on the construction of such plants. This increasing focus on power plant emissions could adversely impact the demand for coal.

To the extent compliance with these laws and regulations and any new or proposed requirements affect our customers in the United States, an important market for the Bluestone companies, this could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Mining in the Northern and Central Appalachian region of the United States is more complex and involves more regulatory constraints than in other U.S. geographic areas.

The geological characteristics of Northern and Central Appalachian coal reserves, such as depth of overburden and coal seam thickness, make them complex and costly to mine. As such mines become depleted,

59

replacement reserves may not be available when required or, if available, may not be capable of being mined at costs comparable to those characteristic of the depleting mines. In addition, as compared to mines in other areas such as in the western United States, permitting, licensing and other environmental and regulatory requirements are more costly and time consuming to satisfy. These factors could materially adversely affect the mining operations and cost structures of, and customers—ability to use coal produced by, operators in Northern and Central Appalachia, including our Bluestone companies.

Item 4. Information on the Company

Overview

We are a vertically integrated group with revenues of \$8.6 billion in 2013, \$10.6 billion in 2012 and \$12.3 billion in 2011, with operations organized into four industrial segments: mining, steel, ferroalloys and power, each of which has a management company that performs the functions of respective executive management bodies of the companies within the segment, as described below.

Our group includes a number of logistical and marketing companies that help us to deliver and market our products. We have freight seaports in Russia on the Sea of Japan (Port Posiet) and on the Sea of Azov (Port Temryuk) and a freight river port on the Kama River, a tributary of the Volga River in central Russia (Port Kambarka). We have a fleet of freight railcars, locomotives and long-haul trucks, and in December 2011 we finished laying track for the rail line to our Elga coal deposit in the Sakha Republic, providing it with rail access.

We have a network of overseas subsidiaries, branches, warehouses, service centers and agents to market our products internationally, and we have a Russian domestic steel retail and service subsidiary with regional offices in 52 cities throughout Russia.

Mechel OAO is an open joint-stock company incorporated under the laws of the Russian Federation. From the date of our incorporation on March 19, 2003 until July 19, 2005, our corporate name was Mechel Steel Group OAO. We conduct our business through a number of subsidiaries. We are registered with the Federal Tax Service of the Russian Federation under main state registration number (OGRN) 1037703012896. Our principal executive offices are located at Krasnoarmeyskaya Street, 1, Moscow 125993, Russian Federation. Our telephone number is +7 495 221 8888. Our Internet addresses are www.mechel.com and www.mechel.ru. Information posted on our website is not a part of this document. We have appointed C T Corporation System, located at 111 Eighth Avenue, New York, New York 10011, as our authorized agent upon which process may be served for any suit or proceeding arising out of or relating to our shares, ADSs or the deposit agreements.

Mining Segment

Our mining segment produces metallurgical and steam coal, as well as iron ore, coke and limestone.

The segment primarily consists of our coal, iron ore and coke production facilities in Russia and the United States. It also includes limestone operations and certain transportation and logistics facilities and engineering operations.

Our subsidiary Southern Kuzbass Coal Company and its subsidiaries operate coal mines located in the Kuznetsky basin, near Mezhdurechensk in Western Siberia. These mines include four open pit mines and three underground mines. Another our subsidiary, Yakutugol, operates coal mines located in the Sakha Republic in Eastern Siberia, consisting of two open pit mines and one underground mine. Yakutugol also holds subsoil licenses for three iron ore deposits, located in close proximity to its coal mining operations. In August 2013, we established Elgaugol which

holds the subsoil license for the Elga coal deposit, located in the Sakha Republic in Eastern Siberia. Our Bluestone operations include three mining complexes in West Virginia, United States, consisting of open pit and underground mines. Our mining segment also provides coal washing services to our coal mining subsidiaries.

60

Korshunov Mining Plant operates two open pit iron ore mines and a washing plant located near Zheleznogorsk-Ilimsky, a town in the Irkutsk region in Eastern Siberia.

The mining segment also produces significant amounts of coke, both for use by our subsidiaries in the steel segment and for sales to third parties. We have the flexibility to supply our own steel mills with our mining products or to sell such mining products to third parties, depending on price differentials between local suppliers and foreign and domestic customers.

In April 2008, we established Mechel Mining, a wholly-owned subsidiary, in which we consolidated coal, iron ore and coke assets of our mining segment (Southern Kuzbass Coal Company, Korshunov Mining Plant, Yakutugol, Bluestone, Moscow Coke and Gas Plant and Mechel Coke and certain other companies).

Mechel Mining Management, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Mechel Mining, acts as the sole executive body of our subsidiaries in the mining segment.

Steel Segment

Our steel segment produces and sells semi-finished steel products, long products of wide range of steel grades, carbon and stainless flat steel products and high value-added metal products, including wire products, stampings and forgings.

Our steel production facilities in Russia include one integrated steel mill, one steel-making mill, a wire products plant and forgings and stampings mills in the southern Ural Mountains, a wire products plant in northwestern Russia near the border with Finland. We also have a wire products plant in Lithuania.

Mechel-Steel Management, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Mechel, acts as the sole executive body of our main subsidiaries in the steel segment.

Our steel segment also includes our distribution network in Russia and abroad, which consists of Mechel Service Global, and its subsidiaries in Russia, the CIS and Europe.

Ferroalloys Segment

Our ferroalloys segment produces and sells ferrosilicon from our Bratsk Ferroalloy Plant. We also have the subsoil license for the Shevchenko silicate nickel ore deposit in Kazakhstan. We consolidated our ferroalloy assets in Oriel Resources. Mechel Ferroalloys Management, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Oriel Resources, acts as the sole executive body of our subsidiaries in the ferroalloys segment.

Power Segment

The power segment was formed in April 2007, when we acquired a controlling interest in Southern Kuzbass Power Plant located in Kaltan in the Kemerovo region, and it sells electricity and capacity to the wholesale market, as well as supplies electricity within our group. In June 2007, we acquired a controlling interest in Kuzbass Power Sales Company, the largest power distribution company in the Kemerovo region. Our power segment enables us to market high value-added products made from our steam coal, such as electricity and heat energy, and to increase the electric power self-sufficiency of our mining and steel segments. Mechel Energo acts as the sole executive body of Southern Kuzbass Power Plant and Kuzbass Power Sales Company in our power segment.

61

62

Competitive Strengths

Our main competitive strengths are the following:

Leading mining and metals group by production volume with strong positions in key businesses

We are a leading coking coal producer and exporter by volume in Russia.

In 2013, we were the second largest coking coal producer in Russia with a 19.8% market share in the coking coal market in Russia by production volume, according to the Central Dispatching Department of Fuel and Energy Complex (Central Dispatching Department), a Russian information agency reporting on the fuel and energy industry. In 2013, our export sales of coking coal concentrate were the largest by volume among Russian companies, according to RasMin OOO (RasMin), a private information and research company focusing on the coal mining industry.

We have a large coal reserve base and a full-range offering of high-quality coal for blast furnace steel producers.

Our total coal reserves, accounted as per the SEC Industry Guide 7, amounted to 3,187.7 million tonnes as of December 31, 2013.

Our coal reserves allow us to supply steel producers and coke makers globally with a full range of coal grades to make quality metallurgical coke or to use in PCI-assisted and sintering-assisted steel manufacturing. In particular, Southern Kuzbass Coal Company produces semi-hard and semi-soft coking coal, as well as PCI and anthracite. Most of the coking coal grades of Southern Kuzbass Coal Company are sold in Russia, while PCI and anthracite are exported. Yakutugol produces low-volatile hard coking coal used by customers both in the Asia-Pacific region and in Ukraine. Elgaugol produces high-quality hard coking coal of high-volatile content which is planned for export. Our Bluestone coal assets produce low, medium and high-volatile hard coking coal used predominantly by customers in the United States, Canada, Europe, Asia-Pacific region and South America. The ability to serve our customers throughout the world with a broad range of metallurgical coal grades gives us a competitive advantage in winning new sales markets and establishing long-term relationships with the customers.

By volume we are Russia s second largest producer of special steel and long steel products and Russia s largest producer of wire products.

According to Metal Expert, a source for global and steel and raw materials market news and analytics, in 2013, we were Russia s second largest producer of long steel products (excluding square billets) by production volume, third largest producer of reinforcement bars (rebar), largest producer of wire rod and largest producer of wire products. Our long steel products business has particularly benefited from the increased infrastructure and construction activity in Russia over the last 10 years. Our share of Russia s total production volume of rebar in 2013 was approximately 19.0%, according to Metal Expert. According to Metal Expert and Chermet, a Russian ferrous metals industry association (Chermet), we are Russia s second largest producer of special steel by production volume, accounting for 28.0% of Russia s total special steel output in 2013. Our product range in special steel is broader and more comprehensive than other Russian producers, giving us an added advantage in our markets. According to Prommetiz, we are Russia s largest producer of wire products by production volume, accounting for 33.2% of Russia s total wire products output in 2013. Our product range in wire products is broader than other Russian producers and allows to cover all needs of customers, giving us an added advantage in our markets.

High degree of vertical integration

Our steel segment is able to source most of its raw materials from our group companies, which provides a hedge against supply interruptions and market volatility.

We believe that our internal supplies of coke, iron ore concentrate and ferrosilicon give us advantages over other steel producers, such as higher stability of operations, better quality control of end products, reduced

63

production costs, improved flexibility and planning latitude in the production of our steel and value-added steel products and the ability to respond quickly to market demands and cycles. In 2013, we were fully self-sufficient with respect to coke and ferrosilicon. In 2013, we satisfied approximately 23% of our electricity needs internally. In 2013, we were approximately 10% self-sufficient with respect to iron ore concentrate due to the export of most of our iron ore concentrate production. We believe that the level of our self-sufficiency in raw materials gives our steel business a competitive advantage.

We view our ability to source most of our inputs internally not only as a hedge against potential supply interruptions, but as a hedge against market volatility. From an operational perspective, since our mining and power assets produce the same type of inputs that our manufacturing facilities use, we are less dependent on third party vendors and less susceptible to supply bottlenecks. From a financial perspective, this also means that if the market prices of our steel segment s inputs rise, putting pressure on steel segment margins, the margins of our mining and power segments will tend to increase. Similarly, while decreases in commodity prices tend to reduce revenues in our mining segment, they also create an opportunity for increased margins in our steel business.

Furthermore, we work on improving the quality of our steel products and reducing the costs for raw materials. Depending on prevailing market conditions, we evaluate the efficiency of use of our own raw materials or raw materials purchased from third parties, which allows us to generate additional income.

The ability to internally source our materials also gives us better market insight when we negotiate with our outside suppliers and improves our ability to manage our raw materials costs.

Our logistics capability allows us to better manage infrastructure bottlenecks, to market our products to a broader range of customers and to reduce our reliance on trade intermediaries.

We are committed to maximum efficiency in delivering goods to consumers and have been actively developing our own logistics network. Using our own transportation capacity enables us to save costs as we are less exposed to market fluctuations in transportation prices and are able to establish flexible delivery schedules that are convenient for our customers. Our logistics capacities are currently comprised of two seaports and a river port, as well as freight forwarding companies, Mecheltrans and Mecheltrans Auto, which manage rail and motor transportation of our products and carry out the overall coordination of our sea, rail and motor transportation logistics. These companies not only transport our products but also provide transportation services to third parties.

We own two seaports and a river port and we have our own rail rolling stock. Port Posiet in the Russian Far East, on the Sea of Japan, allows us easy access to the Asia-Pacific seaborne markets and provides a delivery terminal for the coal mined by our subsidiaries Yakutugol and Elgaugol in Yakutia. We are in the process of the first stage of the Port Posiet s modernization, which upon completion in 2014 will enable us to expand the cargo-handling capacity of the port up to 7.0 million tonnes per annum. Port Temryuk on the Sea of Azov, an inlet of the Black Sea basin, is primarily used for coal and metal transshipment and provides us access to the emerging market economies of the Black Sea basin and beyond. Port Kambarka on the Kama River in the Republic of Udmurtia (a Russian administrative region also known as Udmurtia) is connected to the Volga River basin and the Caspian Sea, as well as by canal to the Don River and the Baltic Sea. As of December 31, 2013, our subsidiaries Mecheltrans and Mecheltrans Auto owned and leased 12,037 freight transportation units, including 11,988 railcars and 49 long-haul trucks that we use to ship our products.

In June 2008, pursuant to the terms of our subsoil license for the Elga coal deposit we began construction of a private rail line, which we own and control subject to applicable regulation. In December 2011, we finished laying track for the rail line in accordance with the terms of the license. The 321 kilometer-long rail line is now in operation and we

are able to use it for transportation of coal currently produced at the Elga deposit. The rail line connects the Elga coal deposit with the Baikal-Amur Mainline (at the Ulak railway station), which, in turn, provides access to the Russian rail network, in general, and Pacific Ocean ports, in particular. We will further

64

develop the rail line to increase its capacity in line with our subsoil license requirements and coal production plans. We anticipate that the Elga rail line will not only provide an avenue for delivery of coal produced at the Elga coal deposit, but will eventually serve as the transport route for coal, iron ore and other raw materials mined in adjacent deposits.

One of the lowest-cost metallurgical coal producers

According to AME Group Pty Limited (AME), our Russian metallurgical coal operations are in the first, second and third quartiles of the global cash cost curve (FOB basis). Approximately 84% of our coking coal production is mined from open pit mines, which we believe is one of the highest rates among our major Russian competitors. Open pit coal mining is generally considered safer, cheaper and faster than the underground method of mining. Most of our mines and processing facilities have long and established operating histories. We view strict cost management and increases in productivity as fundamental aspects of our day-to-day operations, and continually reassess and improve the efficiency of our mining operations.

Strategically positioned to supply key growth markets

Our mining and logistical assets are well-positioned to expand sales to the Asia-Pacific seaborne markets.

Eastern Siberian coal mines of Yakutugol and our Elga coal deposit, which are part of our mining segment, are strategically located and will enable us to expand exports of our products to key Asian markets. Yakutugol and Elgaugol are located within the shortest distance among Russian coking coal producers to Port Posiet and Port Vanino in the Russian Far East. We view the proximity of these mining and logistical assets to key fast-growing economies as a key competitive advantage which allows us to diversify our sales, provides us with additional growth opportunities and acts as a hedge in the event of a decrease in demand from customers in Russia. Moreover, due to our integration, experience and location in Russia, which has some of the largest deposits of coal and iron ore in the world, we are better positioned than many of our international competitors to secure future production growth.

Our steel mills are well-positioned to supply Russian infrastructure projects.

Russia is our core steel market and we have significant domestic market shares in main types of carbon and special steel long products. We believe we have established a strong reputation and brand image for Mechel within Russia, just as we have with our international customers. The location of a number of our core steel segment assets in the southern Urals positions us advantageously, from a geographical and logistical perspective, to serve the areas in the west of the Urals as this region is one of the most intensive in consumption of long steel products for construction in Russia, according to Metal Expert. The construction industry has been a major source of our revenue and we have captured a large portion of the market. According to Metal Expert, our share of Russia s total production volume of construction rebar in 2013 was approximately 19.0%.

Established distribution and sales platform

We have a non-retail sales and distribution network represented by our Swiss subsidiaries Mechel Trading and Mechel Carbon with representative offices in various countries. In September 2011, Mechel Carbon and Somani Group established Mechel Somani Carbon Private Limited, a joint venture engaged in distribution of metallurgical coals on the Indian market. In January 2012, we established Mechel Carbon Singapore to cover marketing and sales activities in the fast-growing Asia-Pacific market. This network facilitated sales constituting 25.6% of our total sales in 2013, reducing our reliance on the Russian market.

In 2013, following the refocusing of our strategy in the steel segment on the domestic market and the completion of the gradual withdrawal of production from Europe, we started optimizing the distribution network of Mechel Service Global in order to preserve the link between production and sales. The optimization entailed

65

the closure of some of our European service centers and warehouses, keeping those which offer a direct synergy between our Russian-based manufacturing and our consumer markets in Europe. We conduct sales of high-quality steel grades to European customers through Mechel Service Belgium, and commercial-quality steel is sold through service centers in Germany, Austria and the Czech Republic, which also provide customers with a wide range of services for metal processing. The distribution platform in Russia and the CIS also underwent a restructuring, though at a lesser scale. In 2014, we will continue to optimize the Mechel Service Global s structure in order to maximize synergies with our Russian manufacturing.

Mechel Service Global sales accounted for 59.3% of our steel segment sales and 34.3% of our total sales in 2013. More than 95.0% of Mechel Service Global sales were sold domestically. Sales to companies within our group accounted for 2.2% of total sales of Mechel Service Global (including intra-group sales) in 2013.

Our direct access to end customers allows us to obtain real-time market intelligence and improve production planning at our steel facilities, which in its turn allows us to improve the efficiency of our existing operations through the optimization of the sales structure.

Strong and focused management team

Our current management team has significant experience in all aspects of our businesses. Mr. Zyuzin, one of the founders of our group and our controlling shareholder, is our Chairman. Mr. Zyuzin has led our successful transformation from a small coal trading operation to a large integrated mining and metals group. Mr. Zyuzin has over 27 years of experience in the coal mining industry and holds a Ph.D. in technical sciences in the coal mining field. Our divisional management also has long-tenured experience in the mining and metals industry. See Directors and Executive Officers.

Business Strategy

Our goal is to become one of the largest mining companies globally with focus on metallurgical coal and a strong integration into steel. The key elements of our strategy include the following:

Continue to grow the value of our business on the basis of a vertically integrated holding with our mining division forming the backbone of our business model

We intend to maintain the flexibility to source our inputs internally as circumstances require.

Our coking coal and iron ore production form a solid platform for our steel business and provide a significant portion of the raw materials supply for our pig iron production. Steam coal produced in our mining operations can be used to feed our power generating business, which we operate not only as a diversification measure and a way to market another value-added product made from our coal, but also as a way to have more control over our energy efficiency and hedge against increases in electricity prices. However, even as we expand and develop our internal sourcing capability, we intend to adhere to our long-standing approach of purchasing inputs from third party suppliers and selling products, including raw materials, to domestic and international customers in a way that we believe creates the most advantageous profit opportunities for our group.

We plan to expand our logistics capabilities.

We intend to selectively expand our logistics capabilities. We plan to expand our own railcar fleet, balancing transportation security and cost efficiency. Development of Port Posiet will be a key for uninterrupted shipments of

our coal and steel products to our main markets, predominantly sales of coal products to our customers in South-East Asia. Recently, we have expanded the cargo-handling capacity of Port Posiet by constructing a modern transshipment complex at the port. In order to reflect growing production of export-oriented coal in our mining segment, we contemplate further growth of port capacity including acquisitions of ports on our main export routes.

We will leverage synergies among our core businesses.

In addition to synergies derived from our status as an integrated group, we believe that additional cost savings and opportunities will arise as we benefit from optimization of our business combination in line with the changing markets, including economies of scale, continuing integration of recent acquisitions and targeted disposal of the assets which prevent from further efficient improvement of our business. We regularly evaluate the manner in which our subsidiaries source their raw materials needs and transfer products within our group in order to operate in the most efficient way, and we expect to identify and take advantage of further synergies among our core businesses.

We intend to concentrate on realizing the maximum potential from our existing assets, while also considering disposals of non-core assets on a selective basis.

Our strategy has shifted from growing our business through acquisition and expansion opportunities to extracting the maximum value from our existing assets, including recent acquisitions. We now intend to concentrate on efficiency improvements and modernization of the business lines, which we expect will increase the business overall profitability. We may also consider selective disposal of assets which do not fit our main strategy directions in order to minimize opportunity costs and decrease our financial leverage.

Since 2012, we have refocused our strategy to profitable mining and steel assets in Russia. In line with our strategy, in the mining segment we intend to prioritize the development of the Elga coal deposit, one of the largest global metallurgical coal reserves, and to strive to secure our position as one of the largest metallurgical coal producers globally. In the steel segment, we plan to focus on the Russian rail, infrastructure and construction markets, and to leverage the leadership in special and stainless steels and wire products in Russia by relying on our own distribution network which we believe is the largest in Russia. Furthermore, we have evaluated our other assets for potential divestment and decided to dispose of our ferroalloys segment, certain power assets and certain steel assets not integrated with our mining segment and less efficient through their high cost base and exposure to weaker end markets. In December 2012, we suspended operations at Southern Urals Nickel Plant, which mined nickel ore and produced ferronickel, and in July 2013, we made a decision to close the plant. In February 2013, we disposed of our Romanian steel plants. In July 2013, we disposed of Toplofikatsia Rousse, a power plant in Bulgaria. In December 2013, we disposed of Voskhod Mining Plant in Kazakhstan and Tikhvin Ferroalloy Plant in Russia, which mined chrome ore and produced ferrochrome, respectively.

Develop our substantial reserve base in order to become one of the leaders in key raw materials supplies for the global steel industry

We plan to develop our reserves in order to become one of the top three producers of metallurgical coal globally.

We intend to build on our substantial mining experience and significant resource base by developing our existing coal reserves, particularly in order to sell more high-quality metallurgical coal and coal products to third parties. We currently plan to increase our annual saleable coal production from 22.7 million tonnes in 2013 to 29.3 million tonnes in 2016. We intend to develop coking coal reserves of Elgaugol that we believe will solidify our position as a leading global producer of coking coal for the future. We intend to selectively seek additional mining licenses through acquisitions and participation in auctions and tenders in view of our strategic plans and market dynamics. In particular, we believe that obtaining additional mining rights near the Elga coal deposit would allow us to realize more fully the benefits of our private rail line.

We plan to increase metallurgical coal sales to high-growth international markets.

We intend to continue to capitalize on our ability to serve fast-growing Asian and other international markets by leveraging our growth in production and favorable geographic location of our coal producing and

67

logistics assets. In particular, we view Japan, China, South Korea and India as countries to which our international growth strategy will be applied. Following this strategy, in 2011 we established a joint venture in India engaged in distribution of metallurgical coals on the Indian market. In addition, in 2012 we established Mechel Carbon Singapore to promote more effectively our products in the Asia-Pacific region.

We plan to increase production of iron ore in the future to complement the sales of metallurgical coal to our customers.

While our existing iron ore operations will maintain our annual iron ore concentrate production at the level of approximately 4.5 million tonnes, we plan to increase it in the future following the development of the Pionerskoye iron ore deposit, the Sutamskaya iron ore area and the Sivaglinskoye iron ore deposit. Growth of production from these operations will increase our sales of iron ore products to third parties, including exports. Our ability to offer iron ore feed together with metallurgical coal products to our customers will further enhance our competitive strength in our key markets.

Strengthening our position as a major player on our core steel products markets

We plan to increase our focus on our steel products offering to the Russian and CIS construction and engineering industries.

As one of the leaders in long steel production in Russia and the CIS we will continue to maintain our exposure to the construction and engineering sectors. While we expect to benefit from growing demand for our products fuelled by new infrastructure projects in these markets, we will continue to selectively invest in technology and equipment modernization, optimizing our product catalog and cutting production costs with a view to increase steel margins. Following this strategy, in 2013 we launched the universal rail and structural rolling mill at Chelyabinsk Metallurgical Plant, which allows to widen our offer book of high value-added products such as structural shapes and rails. That will significantly improve our competitive advantage as a full product range supplier to the construction sector and as an important supplier to the Russian Railways.

We intend to increase our group s output and improve quality of high value-added steel products.

Chelyabinsk Metallurgical Plant, Izhstal and Urals Stampings Plant form the core of our group s special and stainless steel platform. In some of these products we hold a unique market niche, which serves as the basis for further improvement in our market share and growth of our customer base. Beloretsk Metallurgical Plant is our main wire products production facility. Investments made in Beloretsk Metallurgical Plant have elevated our group as Russia s largest wire products producer. The modernization of Izhstal allowed us to improve the quality of our products and expand the product range.

Capitalize on our domestic and European distribution capabilities.

Our continued focus on the Russian domestic market is a key element of our strategy. We are particularly well-positioned to supply construction and infrastructure projects in Russia from our Chelyabinsk Metallurgical Plant located in the southern Urals and our Beloretsk Metallurgical Plant located in the Republic of Bashkortostan. The geographical reach of our Mechel Service Global production and logistics facilities and sales network provides us with a strong platform for our sales growth. Mechel Service, a Russian subsidiary of Mechel Service Global, has 70 storage sites in 52 cities throughout Russia to serve our end customers. During the past few years, Mechel Service Global s European distribution network generally developed through opening of additional branches and sales offices on the basis of existing companies. Previously opened companies reached the planned sales volumes of metal products

and strengthened their presence in regional markets. In 2012, we limited the further expansion of our distribution network and focused on improving the efficiency of existing offices and optimization of the sales structure. Due to the completion of the gradual withdrawal of our steel production from Europe we have optimized the European part of our distribution network including the closure of certain service centers and warehouses, which did not offer immediate synergy with our production. We plan

68

to complete the restructuring of European companies of Mechel Service Global in 2014. Simultaneously we will continue to improve the quality of services to our customers, as well as inventory and receivables management, which will allow us to maintain stable sales of our products, to minimize potential losses and to improve our cash flow in the current economic slowdown.

Our History and Development

We trace our beginnings to a small coal trading operation in Mezhdurechensk in the southwestern part of Siberia in the early 1990s. See Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects History of Incorporation. Since that time, through strategic acquisitions in Russia and abroad, Mechel has developed into one of the world's leading mining and metals companies, comprising producers of coal, iron ore, coke, steel, rolled products, ferrosilicon, heat energy and electricity, with operations in Russia, Lithuania and the United States. With each of our acquisitions, we implement our operational and management practices. We also devote all the management, technological and logistical resources necessary to integrate new acquisitions into all aspects of our business, including the supply of raw materials and steel, production methodologies and sales and distribution.

After the restructuring of our assets into separate mining, steel, ferroalloys and power segments, we have been implementing operational independence of our segments to be followed by their legal and financial separation from each other. For example, during 2008-2011 we consolidated our major mining assets under Mechel Mining, which has now its separate management and corporate governance.

We intend to retain a controlling voting interest in each of our subsidiary holding companies as we continue to build upon our business model of vertical integration among our assets. See Risk Factors Risks Relating to Our Business and Industry Changes in our subsidiaries management and corporate governance might affect our integrated business model.

Mining Segment

Our mining segment produces coking coal and other types of metallurgical coal (anthracite and coal for pulverized, or finely crushed, coal injection (PCI)), steam coal, middlings, coking coal and steam coal concentrates, as well as coke and chemical products, iron ore, iron ore concentrate and limestone. Our mining segment also includes certain transportation and logistics facilities and engineering operations. Our coal operations consist of Southern Kuzbass Coal Company, Yakutugol, Elgaugol and Bluestone, which together produced 17.1 million tonnes of raw coking coal, 7.9 million tonnes of raw steam coal, 2.5 million tonnes of raw anthracite and 2.9 million tonnes of PCI in 2013. Our coke operations consist of Moscow Coke and Gas Plant and Mechel Coke, which together produced 3.1 million tonnes of coke in 2013. Our iron ore operations consist of Korshunov Mining Plant which produced 12.6 million tonnes of iron ore and 4.3 million tonnes of iron ore concentrate in 2013. Our limestone operations consist of Pugachevsky Open Pit which produced 1.9 million tonnes of limestone in 2013.

Description of key products

Coking coal and metallurgical coal. Southern Kuzbass Coal Company produces high-quality bituminous coal, which is washed to reduce the ash content. The premier product is a high-quality, low phosphorous, low sulfur semi-soft to semi-hard coking coal used to produce coke for the iron and steel industry. Other products produced by Southern Kuzbass Coal Company include PCI and anthracite. Yakutugol produces hard coking coal of low-volatile content. Elgaugol produces high-quality hard coking coal of high-volatile content. Our West Virginia-based Bluestone operations produce a range of metallurgical coals including low, medium and high-volatile hard coking coal. The Bluestone mines blend low, medium and high-volatile hard coking coal in different proportions to meet the

requirements of their customers. The final products are blended at the port, as they are loaded on to the customer s vessels.

69

Steam coal. We produce steam coal products for use in the power generation industry. Southern Kuzbass Coal Company, Yakutugol, Elgaugol and our Bluestone operations produce high-energy steam coal as part of their product mix.

Coke. Coke is used in the blast furnace as a main source of heat, a reducing agent for iron and a raising agent for charging material in the smelting process. It is a product prepared by pyrolysis (heating in the absence of oxygen) of low-ash, low-phosphorus and low-sulfur coal charging material. We offer customers coke from our Moscow Coke and Gas Plant and Mechel Coke.

Chemical products. Chemical products are hydrocarbon products obtained as a by-product of the production of coke. We produce chemical products in our subsidiaries Moscow Coke and Gas Plant and Mechel Coke. We offer our customers coal tar, naphthalene and other compounds. Worldwide, coal tar is used in diverse applications, including in the production of electrode pitch, pitch coke, coal-tar oils, naphthalene, as well as boiler fuel. Naphthalene, a product of the distillation of coal tar, is used by the chemical industry to produce chemical compounds used in synthetic dyes, solvents, plasticizers and other products.

Iron ore concentrate. From our Korshunov Mining Plant we offer iron ore concentrate with a standard iron content of 62%. In 2011 and 2012, Yakutugol obtained subsoil licenses for three iron ore deposits located in Yakutia. These deposits contain high-quality iron ore, which will allow to produce iron ore concentrate with 65% iron content.

Limestone. The processed limestone produced by our Pugachevsky Open Pit is segregated into three main size fractions: 0-40 millimeters, 40-70 millimeters and 70-120 millimeters. Further processing of 0-40 millimeters fraction limestone allows to obtain aggregate limestone of 0-5 millimeters, 5-20 millimeters and 20-40 millimeters categories.

Mining process

Coal. At our Russian and U.S. mines, coal is mined using open pit or underground mining methods. Following a drilling and blasting stage, a combination of shovels and draglines is used for moving coal and waste at our open pit mines. Production at the underground mines is predominantly from longwall mining, a form of underground coal mining where a long wall of coal in a seam is mined in a single slice. After mining, depending upon the amount of impurities in the coal, the coal is processed in a washing plant, where it is crushed and impurities are removed by gravity methods. Coking coal concentrate is then transported to coking plants for conversion to coke for use in pig iron smelting at steel plants. Steam coal is shipped to power utilities which use it in furnaces for steam generation to produce electricity. Among the advantages of our mining business are the high quality of our coking coal and the low level of volatile matter in our steam coal. Coal extracted at each of the Bluestone mining complexes is processed at the on-site coal preparation plants. Coal mined in Central Appalachia typically contains impurities such as rock, shale and clay and occurs in a wide range of particle sizes. The coal preparation plants treat the coal to ensure a consistent quality and to enhance its suitability for particular end users. Steam coal is not processed and is sold as is, as well as some high-quality coking coal which does not need washing.

Iron ore. At our Korshunov Mining Plant, ore is mined using conventional open pit mining method. Following a drilling and blasting stage, ore is hauled by rail hopper cars to the concentrator plant. At the concentrator plant, the ore is crushed and ground to a fine particle size, then separated into an iron ore concentrate slurry and a waste stream using wet magnetic separators. The iron ore is upgraded to a concentrate that contains about 62% elemental iron. Tailings are pumped to a tailings dam facility located adjacent to the washing plant. The concentrate is sent to disk vacuum filters which remove the water from the concentrate to reduce the moisture level, enabling shipment to customers by rail during warmer months, but in colder periods the concentrate must be dried further to prevent freezing in railcars. Korshunov Mining Plant operates its own drying facility with a dry concentrate production

capacity of up to 16,000 tonnes per day. In 2011, Yakutugol

70

obtained the subsoil license for the Pionerskoye iron ore deposit in Yakutia. The deposit has iron ore with high iron content, which we anticipate will allow us to produce iron ore concentrate at the early stages of development of the mine without the need to use complex beneficiation technologies. In 2012, Yakutugol obtained subsoil licenses for the Sutamskaya iron ore area and the Sivaglinskoye iron ore deposit in Yakutia. We plan to develop all new iron ore deposits with the open pit mining method, using excavators and trucks.

Limestone. Limestone is mined using open pit mining method. Following a drilling and blasting stage, mined rock is quarried with shovels and transported to the crushing and screening plant for segregation by size fraction.

Coal production

Our coal production consists of the following mines in Russia and the United States:

Subsidiary (Location)	Surface	Underground		
Yakutugol (Sakha Republic, Russia)	Neryungrinsky Open Pit	Dzhebariki-Khaya Underground		
	Kangalassky Open Pit			
Elgaugol (Sakha Republic, Russia)	Elga Open Pit			
Southern Kuzbass Coal Company	Sibirginsky Open Pit	V.I. Lenina Underground		
(Kuzbass, Russia)		Sibirginskaya Underground		
	Tomusinsky Open Pit	Olzherasskaya-		
		Novaya Underground		
	Olzherassky Open Pit			
	Krasnogorsky Open Pit			
Bluestone (West Virginia,	Job 30	Mine 58		
United States)				
	Job 38	Mine 65		
	Pocahontas No. 11 Contour and Auger No. 2	Ben s Creek 1 (Frontier)		

Our active Russian coal mines are primarily located in the Kuznetsky basin, a major Russian coal-producing region, and in the Sakha Republic in Eastern Siberia.

The table below summarizes our ROM coal production by type of coal and location of mines for the periods indicated.

	2013 % of		2012 % of		2011 % of	
	Tonnes	Production		Production s of tonnes) ⁽¹⁾	Tonnes	Production
Coking Coal						
Yakutugol	9.0		8.8		6.3	
Elgaugol	0.04		0.2			
Southern Kuzbass Coal Company	6.3		5.7		6.8	
Bluestone	1.8		3.2		4.9	
Total Coking Coal	17.14	62.2%	17.9	64.4%	18.0	65.2%
Steam Coal						
Yakutugol	0.9		0.9		1.6	
Elgaugol	0.11		0.1		0.1	
Southern Kuzbass Coal Company	6.3		6.5		5.1	
Bluestone	0.6		0.4		0.5	
Total Steam Coal	7.91	28.7%	7.9	28.4%	7.3	26.5%
Anthracite						
Yakutugol						
Elgaugol						
Southern Kuzbass Coal Company	2.5		2.0		2.3	
Bluestone						
Total Anthracite	2.5	9.1%	2.0	7.2%	2.3	8.3%
Total Coal	27.55	100%	27.8	100%	27.6	100%

(1) Volumes are reported on a wet basis.

The coking coal produced by our Russian mines is predominately low-sulfur (0.3%) bituminous coal. Heating values for coking coal range from 6,861 to 8,488 kcal/kg on a moisture- and ash-free basis. Heating values for steam coal range from 6,627 to 8,286 kcal/kg on a moisture- and ash-free basis.

The table below summarizes our saleable coal production by type of coal and location of mines for the periods indicated.

	2013		2012		2011	
	TD.	% of	T.	% of	/ID	% of
	Tonnes	Production	Tonnes (In millio	Production ons of tonnes)	Tonnes	Production
Coking Coal				,		
Yakutugol	5.7	25%	5.3	25%	4.7	19%
Elgaugol	0.1	0%	0.1	1%		
Southern Kuzbass Coal Company	4.0	18%	4.1	19%	5.1	21%
Bluestone	1.1	5%	1.5	7%	2.8	11%
Total Coking Coal	10.9	48%	11.0	52%	12.6	51%
PCI						
Yakutugol						
Elgaugol						
Southern Kuzbass Coal Company	2.9	13%	2.6	12%	2.1	9%
Bluestone						
Total PCI	2.9	13%	2.6	12%	2.1	9%
Anthracite						
Yakutugol						
Elgaugol						
Southern Kuzbass Coal Company	1.3	6%	1.1	5%	1.1	5%
Bluestone						
Total Anthracite	1.3	6%	1.1	5%	1.1	5%
Steam Coal	1.0	0,0		5 ,c		3 ,c
Yakutugol	3.3	14%	3.5	16%	3.6	14%
Elgaugol	0.2	1%	0.1	1%	0.2	1%
Southern Kuzbass Coal Company	3.5	15%	2.6	12%	4.5	18%
Bluestone	0.6	3%	0.4	2%	0.5	2%
Total Steam Coal	7.6	33%	6.6	31%	8.8	35%
Total Coal	22.7	100%	21.3	100%	24.6	100%

Yakutugol mines

Our Yakutugol coal mines are located in the Sakha Republic. The Sakha Republic is located in Eastern Siberia and covers an area of 3.1 million square kilometers. It has a population of fewer than one million inhabitants. Its capital, Yakutsk, is located on the Lena River in south central Yakutia.

Our Yakutugol mines include two open pit mines and one underground mine: Neryungrinsky Open Pit, Kangalassky Open Pit and Dzhebariki-Khaya Underground. Neryungrinsky Open Pit is located in the South-Yakutsky basin which

covers an area of 25,000 square kilometers and lies near the southern border of Yakutia. Neryungrinsky Open Pit is located near the town of Neryungri, one of the main industrial centers of Yakutia and its second largest city. Kangalassky Open Pit and Dzhebariki-Khaya Underground are located in the Lensky basin which covers an area of 750,000 square kilometers and lies near Yakutsk.

The table below sets forth certain information regarding the subsoil licenses for our Yakutugol coal mines.

Mine	License (plot)	Area (sq. km)	Mining Method	Life of Mine	License Expiry Date	Pr Status ⁽¹⁾ Co		Surface Land Use d Rights
Neryungrinsky Open Pit	12336	15.3	Open pit	2029	Dec 2014	In production	1979	Ownership
•	(Moshchny seam)							
Kangalassky	15017	7.7	Open pit	2450	Dec 2027	In production	1962	Ownership
Open Pit	(Kangalassk)							
Dzhebariki-Khaya	15061	14.8	Underground	2105	Dec 2023	In production	1972	Ownership
Underground	(Dzhebariki-Khaya)						

(1) In production refers to sites that are currently producing coal.

The earliest production at our Yakutugol mines was in 1962, although we acquired these mines and license areas in October 2007. Neryungrinsky Open Pit produces low-volatile hard coking coal which is sold in the Asia-Pacific region, primarily to Japan, South Korea and China, and steam coal which is sold domestically. Neryungrinsky Open Pit has a railway spur connected to the Russian rail system, which is controlled by Russian Railways. Kangalassky Open Pit produces steam coal that is sold as fuel for power plants in Yakutia. It is accessible through an all-weather road from Kangalassy and through a highway from Yakutsk. Dzhebariki-Khaya Underground produces steam coal, most of which is sold to state housing and municipal services. Dzhebariki-Khaya Underground is accessible only through the Aldan River.

The table below summarizes ROM coal production of our Yakutugol mines by mine and type of coal for the periods indicated.

Mine	Tonnes		Tonnes	2012 % of Total Production ns of tonnes)(1)	Tonnes	2011 % of Total Production
Coking Coal				ĺ		
Neryungrinsky Open Pit	9.0		8.8		6.3	
Total Coking Coal	9.0	90.9%	8.8	90.7%	6.3	79.7%
Steam Coal						
Neryungrinsky Open Pit	0.2		0.4		1.1	
Dzhebariki-Khaya Underground	0.6		0.4		0.4	
Kangalassky Open Pit	0.1		0.1		0.1	
Total Steam Coal	0.9	9.1%	0.9	9.3%	1.6	20.3%

Total Coal 9.9 100% 9.7 100% 7.9 100%

(1) Volumes are reported on a wet basis.

74

The table below sets forth coal sales volumes of our Yakutugol mines by type of coal and destinations for the periods indicated.

Coal Type	Region	2013	2012	2011		
		(In thousands of tonnes)				
Coking coal	Asia	5,620.4	4,091.3	3,315.2		
	CIS	58.4	811.4	1,221.9		
	Middle East ⁽¹⁾	0.0	0.1	0.0		
	Europe	0.0	0.0	4.1		
Total		5,678.8	4,902.8	4,541.2		
Steam coal	Russia	771.8	1,087.3	1,875.9		
	Asia	0.0	0.0	132.0		
Total		771.8	1,087.3	2,007.9		
Middlings	Russia	2,126.6	2,315.9	1,848.0		
	Asia	308.8	128.8	72.4		
Total		2,435.4	2,444.7	1,920.4		
Total		8,886.0	8,434.8	8,469.5		

(1) Includes Turkey only. *Elgaugol mine*

Our Elga Open Pit is located in the South-Yakutsky basin of the Toko Coal-Bearing region in the Sakha Republic. This coal region was first discovered and explored in 1952 with the first geological surveys being conducted in 1954 through 1956. The closest inhabited localities are Verkhnezeysk village, located 320 kilometers south of the deposit, and the town of Neryungri, located 415 kilometers to the west. Since 1998, there have been several studies on the Elga coal deposit, including geology and resources, mine planning and feasibility studies. Overburden removal at the Elga deposit commenced in November 2010. Coal mining at Elga Open Pit commenced in August 2011.

Our subsidiary Elgaugol was established on August 14, 2013 under the laws of the Russian Federation with Yakutugol and Mechel Mining as shareholders for raising project financing from Vnesheconombank. In September 2013, Vnesheconombank s Supervisory Board approved a \$2.5 billion project financing for the construction of the first stage of the Elga coal complex and the relevant loan agreements were signed in March 2014.

In August 2013, the board of directors of Yakutugol decided to transfer the subsoil license for the Elga coal deposit to Elgaugol. In January 2014, Elgaugol obtained the respective subsoil license.

The table below sets forth certain information regarding the subsoil license for our Elgaugol mine.

Edgar Filing: Mechel OAO - Form 20-F

				Life	License		Year	Surface
		Area	Mining	of	Expiry		Production I	Land Use
Mine	License (plot)	(sq. km)	Method	Mine	Date	Status ⁽¹⁾	Commenced	Rights
Elga Open Pit	03730	144.1	Open pit	2102	May 2020	In production	2011	Lease
	(Elga)							

⁽¹⁾ In production refers to sites that are currently producing coal. Elga Open Pit produces two types of coal: high-quality hard coking coal (high-volatile) and steam coal. It also produces middlings (by-product of the coking coal washing process).

The table below summarizes ROM coal production of our Elgaugol mine by type of coal for the periods indicated.

Mine	Tonnes	2013 % of Total Production	Tonnes	2012 % of Total Production as of tonnes)(1)	Tonnes	2011 % of Total Production
Coking Coal						
Elga Open Pit	0.04		0.2			
Total Coking Coal	0.04	26.7%	0.2	66.7%		0%
Steam Coal						
Elga Open Pit	0.11		0.1		0.1	
Total Steam Coal	0.11	73.3%	0.1	33.3%	0.1	100%
Total Coal	0.15	100%	0.3	100%	0.1	100%

(1) Volumes are reported on a wet basis.

The table below sets forth coal sales volumes of our Elgaugol mine by type of coal and destinations for the periods indicated.

Coal Type	Region	2013 (In tho	2012 usands of to	2011 nnes)
Steam coal	Russia	95.6	0.0	0.0
	Asia	24.2	0.0	0.0
Total		119.8	0.0	0.0
Middlings	Asia	19.0	0.0	0.0
Total		19.0	0.0	0.0
Total		138.8	0.0	0.0

In 2009, the general scheme of the Elga coal complex development and the plan of initial mine block development were prepared. The plan of initial mine block development was approved by governmental authorities. In 2011, the project documentation of the first stage of the Elga coal complex construction was prepared. The project documentation was approved by governmental authorities. In November 2011, we concluded a contract for engineering, procurement and construction of a permanent housing complex for 3,000 miners and workers who will operate the Elga coal complex. Construction works are in progress, and miners live in a temporary settlement with all necessary amenities. In September 2012, we completed the construction of a seasonal washing plant with a seasonal

capacity of 2.0 million tonnes per annum. In 2013, we commenced construction and installation works aimed at increasing the plant s washing capacity to 2.7 million tonnes per annum and making it capable of year-round operations.

In December 2011, we finished laying track for the rail line to the Elga deposit. The 321 kilometer-long rail line is now in operation and we are able to use it for transportation of coal currently produced at Elga Open Pit. The rail line connects Elga Open Pit with the Baikal-Amur Mainline (at the Ulak railway station), which, in turn, provides access to the Russian rail network, in general, and Pacific Ocean ports, in particular. We will further develop the rail line to increase its capacity in line with the production capacity requirements of the Elga subsoil license and our production plans.

Currently, Elga has an electricity substation with diesel power generators with a total installed capacity of 6 megawatts (MW). Federal Grid Company, the state-owned operator of the unified electricity grid, is

76

installing high-voltage transmission lines to deliver electricity from the Zeysky hydro power plant located 270 kilometers from the site, and we are constructing electricity-receiving infrastructure capable of receiving 134 MW. We expect to start receiving electricity from this power plant in December 2014.

According to the conditions of the subsoil license for the Elga coal deposit, we are required to meet certain construction deadlines and operational milestones. In view of our commitments, we applied to the Federal Agency for Subsoil Use (**Rosnedra**) for and obtained amendments to certain terms of the subsoil license in order to stay in compliance with the terms of the license. The license terms were last amended in June 2013, and we are required to meet the following construction deadlines and operational milestones: (1) complete construction of the first stage of the Elga coal complex with an annual capacity of 9.0 million tonnes by August 1, 2017; (2) commission a coal washing plant with an annual capacity of 9.0 million tonnes by December 31, 2017; (3) reach annual coal production capacity of 9.0 million tonnes by August 1, 2018; and (4) reach annual coal production capacity of 18.0 million tonnes by December 31, 2021. We also have significant contractual commitments for the construction of the rail line. See note 25 to the consolidated financial statements.

If the current conditions of the subsoil license for the Elga coal deposit are not met, our license may be suspended or terminated or we may be required to extend the license under less favorable conditions. We believe that given our substantial progress in developing the project, Vnesheconombank s project financing and our own considerable investments, along with the importance of the project to the region, we will be able to obtain further extensions of the construction deadlines should they be necessary, although we cannot guarantee that such extensions will be granted.

Southern Kuzbass mines

The Kuznetsky basin, or Kuzbass, is located in the southeastern part of Western Siberia and is one of the largest coal mining areas in the world, covering an area of around 70,000 square kilometers. Coal-bearing seams extend over an area of 26,700 square kilometers and reach a depth of 1,800 meters. Coal was discovered in 1721, and systematic mining started in 1851. During the Soviet era, Kuzbass was the second largest regional coal producer. According to the Central Dispatching Department, Kuzbass (Kemerovo region) now accounts for more than 57% of Russia s total coal production.

All of our Southern Kuzbass mines are located in southeast Kuzbass around the town of Mezhdurechensk in the Kemerovo region, with the exception of the Yerunakovskaya mine area, which is located about 100 kilometers northwest of Mezhdurechensk.

The earliest production at our Southern Kuzbass mines was in 1953, although we acquired these mines and license areas starting in the 1990s. The Southern Kuzbass mines include four open pit mines, three underground mines and one underground mine under development: Sibirginsky Open Pit, Tomusinsky Open Pit, Olzherassky Open Pit, Krasnogorsky Open Pit, V.I. Lenina Underground, Sibirginskaya Underground, Olzherasskaya-Novaya Underground and Yerunakovskaya-1 Underground (project).

Our Southern Kuzbass mines and the related washing plants produce semi-soft and semi-hard coking coal, anthracite, PCI and steam coal. Our Kuzbass operations are connected by rail to the Trans-Siberian Mainline and substantially all products are shipped by rail. Products are shipped by rail to Russian and Ukrainian customers, to Baltic ports for European customers, to Port Posiet and Port Vanino for export to Asia and to Port Temryuk for customers in the Black Sea and Mediterranean basins.

77

The table below sets forth certain information regarding the subsoil licenses for our coal mines in Kuzbass, all of which are held by our subsidiary Southern Kuzbass Coal Company, unless otherwise noted.

- 1	A	c	P	n	C	ρ

		Area	Mining	Life of	Expiry	D _r .		Surface Imnd Use
Mine	License (plot)	(sq. km)	Method	Mine	Date	Status ⁽¹⁾ Con		
Krasnogorsky Open Pit	14016 (Tomsk, Sibirginsk)	` • ′	Open pit	2043	Jan 2021	In production		Lease
	13367 (Sorokinsk, Tomsk, Sibirginsk)	2.8			Nov 2025	In production	2012	Lease
Olzherassky Open Pit	01374 (Raspadsk,	9.3	Open pit	2044	Dec 2029	In production	1980	Lease
	Berezovsk, Sosnovsk)				D 0001	5 1	,	•
	12939 (Raspadsk) ⁽²⁾	3.5				Development	n/a	Lease
	12940 (Berezovsk-2, Berezovsk, Olzherassk)					In production		Lease
Tomusinsky Open Pit	13312 (Tomsk) ⁽³⁾	6.7	Open pit	2022		In production	1959	Lease
Sibirginsky Open Pit	13639 (Sibirginsk, Kureinsk, Uregolsk)	17.7	Open pit	2047	Jun 2014	In production	1970	Lease
	01557 (New-Uregolsk	2.4			Apr 2031	In production	2011	Lease
Sibirginskaya Underground	12917 (Sibirginsk, Tomsk)	5.9	Underground	2048	Dec 2024	In production	2002	Lease
	15463 (Sibirginsk-2, Sibirginsk, Kureinsk)	0.9			Dec 2032	Exploration and development	n/a	
V.I. Lenina Underground	14060 (Olzherassk)	10.0	Underground	2033	Jan 2016	In production	1953	Lease
	01701 (Granichny, Olzherassk)	1.2			Feb 2033	Exploration and development	n/a	
Olzherasskaya-Novaya Underground	14199 (Raspadsk)	1.2	Underground	2079	Dec 2021	In production	2008	Lease
	01471 (Olzherassk-2, Raspadsk)	0.03			Jan 2030	In production	2010	Lease
	13366 (Razvedochny, Raspadsk)	14.6			Nov 2025	Exploration and development	n/a	Lease
Yerunakovskaya-1 Underground (project)	13237 (Yerunakovsk-1, Yerunakovsk) ⁽⁴⁾	8.4	Underground	2033	Jun 2025	Development	n/a	Lease
Yerunakovskaya-3 Underground (prospect)	13238 (Yerunakovsk-3, Yerunakovsk) ⁽⁴⁾	7.1	Underground	2115	Jun 2025	Exploration and development	n/a	
Yerunakovskaya-2 Underground (prospect)	13271 (Yerunakovsk-2,	7.3	Underground	2051	Jul 2025	Exploration and	n/a	

Edgar Filing: Mechel OAO - Form 20-F

	Yerunakovsk)(4)(5)					development	
Olzherasskaya-Glubokaya	13365 (Olzherassk)	19.2	Underground	2211	Nov 2025	Exploration	n/a
Underground (prospect)							
Usinskaya Underground	14093 (Olzherassk)	3.6	Underground	2071	Dec 2014	No activity	n/a
(prospect)			-				

- (1) In production refers to sites that are currently producing coal. Development refers to sites where preliminary work is being carried out. Exploration refers to sites where drilling for calculation of mineral reserves is being carried out. Exploration and development refers to sites where preliminary work and drilling for calculation of mineral reserves are being carried out.
- (2) We failed to commence commercial production in 2009 as required by the subsoil license due to unfavorable mine economics. We expect to commence production at the Raspadsk license area in the second quarter of 2015 provided coal prices recover sufficiently.
- (3) License held by Tomusinsky Open Pit, a subsidiary of Southern Kuzbass Coal Company.
- (4) We failed to commercial production in 2011 as required by the subsoil license due to unfavorable mine economics.
- (5) License held by Resurs-Ugol OOO, a subsidiary of Southern Kuzbass Coal Company.

78

The table below summarizes ROM coal production of our Southern Kuzbass mines by mine and type of coal for the periods indicated.

	2013 % of		2012 % of		2011 % of	
7.0	T	Total	TE.	Total	T.	Total
Mine	Tonnes	Production		Production is of tonnes)(1)	Tonnes	Production
Coking Coal			(111 11111101	is of tollies)		
Sibirginsky Open Pit	2.2		2.0		2.5	
Tomusinsky Open Pit	1.4		1.7		1.8	
V.I. Lenina Underground	1.0		0.7		1.4	
Sibirginskaya Underground	1.1		0.7		0.5	
Olzherassky Open Pit	0.6		0.6		0.6	
Total Coking Coal	6.3	41.7%	5.7	40.1%	6.8	47.9%
Steam Coal						
Krasnogorsky Open Pit	3.1		3.7		3.2	
Sibirginsky Open Pit	1.5		1.4		0.9	
Olzherassky Open Pit	0.1		0.6		0.5	
Olzherasskaya-Novaya Underground	1.0		0.4		0.2	
Tomusinsky Open Pit	0.6		0.4		0.3	
Total Steam Coal	6.3	41.7%	6.5	45.8%	5.1	35.9%
Anthracite						
Krasnogorsky Open Pit	2.5		2.0		2.3	
Sibirginsky Open Pit						
Olzherassky Open Pit						
Olzherasskaya-Novaya Underground						
Tomusinsky Open Pit						
Total Anthracite	2.5	16.6%	2.0	14.1%	2.3	16.2%
Total Coal	15.1	100%	14.2	100%	14.2	100%

⁽¹⁾ Volumes are reported on a wet basis.

The table below sets forth Southern Kuzbass mines coal sales volumes by type of coal and destinations for the periods indicated.

Coal Type	Region	2013	2012	2011
		(In tho	usands of t	onnes)
Coking coal	Russia	1,551.8	1,567.2	1,615.2
	Asia	212.6	533.7	428.4
	CIS	56.6	429.2	378.7
	Europe	0.0	20.5	0.0
Total		1,821.0	2,550.6	2,422.3
Anthracite	Europe	1,096.0	1,186.4	1,266.5
	Asia	584.8	343.3	311.9
	CIS	173.6	131.2	78.8
	Russia	41.4	183.0	358.8
	Other	98.7	49.9	28.1
	Middle East ⁽¹⁾	33.0	42.3	25.4
Total		2,027.5	1,936.1	2,069.5
PCI	Asia	1,388.4	1,930.1	1,042.7
rci	Europe	1,036.4	540.5	663.0
	Middle East ⁽¹⁾	557.1	655.6	220.2
	Other	315.5	213.2	33.0
	CIS	10.3	0.0	9.8
	CIS	10.5	0.0	9.0
Total		3,308.2	2,423.3	1,968.7
Steam coal	Middle East ⁽¹⁾	108.3	206.0	131.6
	Russia	49.4	68.0	266.7
	Europe	58.8	54.9	191.1
	CIS	0.0	27.4	96.5
	Asia	0.0	10.5	0.0
	Other	0.0	7.8	0.0
Total		216.5	374.6	685.9
Middlings	Russia	0.0	19.2	33.0
	Europe	0.0	21.5	9.5
Total		0.0	40.7	42.5
Total		7,373.2	7,325.3	7,188.9

⁽¹⁾ Includes Turkey only. *Bluestone mines*

Coal was first discovered in West Virginia in the mid-1700s, and it is part of a coal-rich area known as Central Appalachia, which comprises West Virginia, the eastern part of Kentucky and the southwestern part of Virginia. Presently, West Virginia is the largest coal producing state in the United States east of the Mississippi River and accounts for 10% of the nation s production.

Our Bluestone mines are located in McDowell and Wyoming counties in southern West Virginia, near the city of Beckley. West Virginia coal areas are located within the central portion of the Appalachian Plateau physiographic province, which is a broad upland that extends from Alabama through Pennsylvania. The mines are organized around three mining complexes: Keystone No. 1 and No. 2 (collectively called the **Keystone**), Justice Energy and Dynamic Energy, all of which are located in close proximity to each other. Together, the mining complexes comprise three surface mines and three underground mines.

80

The table below summarizes ROM coal production of our Bluestone mines by complex and type of coal for the periods indicated.

		2013	2012		2011	
		% of Total		% of Total		% of Total
Complex	Tonnes	Production	Tonnes	Production	Tonnes	Production
			(In million	ns of tonnes) ⁽¹⁾		
Coking Coal						
Keystone	0.7		1.8		2.3	
Justice Energy	0.3		0.4		1.0	
Dynamic Energy	0.8		1.0		1.6	
Total Coking Coal	1.8	75.0%	3.2	88.9%	4.9	90.7%
Steam Coal						
Keystone	0.1		0.0		0.1	
Justice Energy	0.1		0.2		0.2	
Dynamic Energy	0.4		0.2		0.2	
Total Steam Coal	0.6	25.0%	0.4	11.1%	0.5	9.3%
Total Coal	2.4	100%	3.6	100%	5.4	100%

(1) Volumes are reported on a wet basis.

The table below sets forth the Bluestone mines coal sales volumes by type of coal and destinations for the periods indicated.

Coal Type	Region	2011 onnes)		
Coking coal	Asia	445.9	378.7	402.8
	Europe	318.3	609.7	1,224.1
	United States	273.4	212.4	426.0
	Other	150.2	101.5	248.6
	CIS	14.6	178.7	263.2
	Middle East ⁽¹⁾	11.0	20.9	75.2
Total		1,213.4	1,501.9	2,639.9
Steam coal	Europe	195.0	119.9	0.0
	United States	158.7	323.1	565.7
	Other	119.5	19.7	0.0
	Asia	69.0	16.6	0.0

Edgar Filing: Mechel OAO - Form 20-F

	Middle East ⁽¹⁾	9.1	0.0	0.0
Total		551.3	479.3	565.7
Total		1,764.7	1,981.2	3,205.6

(1) Includes Turkey only.

The mines in the Keystone complex produce premium low-volatile coking coal. The complex includes one surface mine and two underground mines, as set out in the table below. Seam thickness ranges from a few centimeters to 1.8 meters. Coal from the mines is hauled by dump truck directly to the complex s preparation plant for washing and is then dispatched to its rail loadout facility, which is served by the Norfolk Southern Railway. The complex is comprised of 28,328 hectares, of which 4,975 hectares are owned, 7,910 hectares are leased under long-term leases expiring from 2031 to 2032 and 15,443 hectares are leased in perpetuity.

The mines in the Justice Energy complex produce mid-volatile coking coal. The complex includes one surface mine, as set out in the table below. Seam thickness ranges from a few centimeters to 1.52 meters. Coal

from the mine is hauled by dump truck directly to the complex s preparation plant (which is leased from Natural Resource Partners) for washing and is then dispatched to its rail loadout facility, which is served by the Norfolk Southern Railway. The complex is comprised of 7,485 hectares, of which 602 hectares are owned, 1,334 hectares are leased under long-term leases expiring from 2018 to 2019 and 5,549 hectares are leased in perpetuity.

The mines in the Dynamic Energy complex produce high-volatile coking coal. The complex includes one surface mine and one underground mine, as set out in the table below. Seam thickness ranges from a few centimeters to 2.1 meters, with the majority of seams being more than one meter thick. Coal from the mines is hauled by dump truck directly to the complex s preparation plant (which is leased from Natural Resource Partners) for washing and is then dispatched to its rail loadout facility, which is served by the Norfolk Southern Railway. The complex is comprised of approximately 2,980 hectares, which are leased in perpetuity.

The table below sets forth certain information regarding each of our Bluestone mines.

Complex	Mine	Mining Method ⁽¹⁾	Life of Mine	Permit Expiration Dates ⁽²⁾		Year roduction ommenced
Keystone	Mine 58	Room and pillar	2016		Idle	1998
	Mine 65	Room and pillar	2014	June 2018, June 2014	Idle	1998
	Pocahontas No. 11 Contour and Auger No. 2	Contour and highwall	2031	September 2013 ⁽⁴⁾ July 2014	H), Idle	2013
Justice Energy	Job 38	Mountain top removal	2015	August 2017, August 2018	Idle	1982
Dynamic Energy	Job 30	Mountain top removal	2015	May 2017, April 2015	Idle	1997
	Ben s Creek 1	Room and pillar	2014	December 2017, June 2015	Idle	2007
	(Frontier)					

- (1) Mountain top removal and contour mining are surface mining methods. Room and pillar is an underground mining method. Highwall mining is a specific method of mining which we consider to be an underground mining method.
- (2) Expiration dates listed for the following permits issued by the WVDEP: (1) Article 3 Surface Mining Permit and (2) NPDES Article 11 402 Permit.
- (3) Idle refers to sites that are capable to be productive but temporarily neither active nor being developed.
- (4) The permit expired in 2013. We applied for the renewal of the permit to the WVDEP within the timeframe required by the law. Due to the lack of resources at the WVDEP, a decision on its application was delayed. It is not a violation of law to continue operations during the pendency of the renewal application. We expect the permit to be renewed.

In January-February 2014, we temporarily idled our Bluestone mines due to adverse market conditions. Mining operations are anticipated to resume once market conditions improve.

Coal is transported from our West Virginia mining complexes to customers by means of railways, trucks, barge lines and ocean-going ships from terminal facilities. Most of the Bluestone production is shipped via the Norfolk Southern Railway, so our West Virginia operations are dependent on the capacity of and its relationship with the Norfolk Southern Railway. In 2012, we agreed upon lower freight rates with the Norfolk Southern Railway. These shipments either go directly to coking plants in North America or to port facilities for transloading into ocean going ships. In 2010, all exports of our West Virginia coal were transported through the port of Norfolk, Virginia. In April 2011, we began exporting coal through the port of New Orleans by trucking coal to a local river terminal and transporting it via barge down the Mississippi River to the port.

Most of the coal produced at the Bluestone mines is washed. Some coal extracted from the surface mines is sufficiently clean to bypass the coal washing process. This coal is either blended with washed coal or other clean mined material from different seams to produce a metallurgical quality product. Coal is hauled from the mines to the coal washing facilities by truck.

Coal washing plants

We operate six coal washing plants and one processing unit in Russia: four coal washing plants and one processing unit located near our coal mines in Southern Kuzbass, one coal washing plant located near Neryungrinsky Open Pit and one coal washing plant at Elga Open Pit.

Our four coal washing plants and one processing unit located near our coal mines in Southern Kuzbass have an aggregate annual capacity of approximately 17.0 million tonnes of ROM coal. These are Krasnogorskaya Washing Plant, Sibir Washing Plant, Tomusinskaya Washing Plant, Kuzbasskaya Washing Plant and Sibirginskaya Processing Unit. These washing plants have aggregate storage capacity for saleable products of 131,000 tonnes, of which 45% is covered storage.

Neryungrinskaya Washing Plant located near Neryungrinsky Open Pit has an annual capacity of 9.0 million tonnes. The plant produces coking coal concentrate and middlings.

In October 2012, we launched Elginskaya Washing Plant, a seasonal washing plant for Elga Open Pit, which operates in the warmer months of April to October only, with a seasonal capacity of 2.0 million tonnes per annum. In 2013, we commenced construction and installation works aimed at increasing the plant s washing capacity to 2.7 million tonnes per annum and making it capable of year-round operations.

For our Bluestone mining operations we have four coal washing plants as follows (most of which are temporarily idled but are under care and maintenance):

		Capacity		Year
Complex	Coal Washing Plant (Sho	ort tons per year)	Status	Commissioned
Keystone	KS1	2,000,000(1)	Temporarily idled in February 2011 due to excess capacity	1920s, 1977
	KS2	3,250,000	Temporarily idled in October-November 2013 due to adverse market conditions	2010
Justice Energy	Red Fox ⁽²⁾	1,900,000	Temporarily idled in February 2014 due to adverse market conditions	2006
Dynamic Energy	Coal Mountain ⁽²⁾	1,900,000	In use, keeps processing previously mined coal	2007

- (1) The plant also has a thermal dryer.
- (2) Contracted pursuant to a long-term agreement with Natural Resources Partners and Taggart. The plant is operated by Taggart with its own personnel.

All of the coal feedstock enriched by our washing plants in 2013 (27.5 million tonnes) was supplied by our own mining operations.

Investments in coal companies

We own 16.14% of Mezhdurechye OAO, a Russian coal producer whose production volume accounted for 4.8% of Russian coking coal output and 1.7% of Russian total coal output in 2013, according to the Central Dispatching Department.

83

Coke and chemical products production

The following table lists the various types and grades of coke and chemical products we produce and sell. We also produce and sell coke gas.

Plant Products

Moscow Coke and Gas Plant Coke +40 mm, Coke 25-40 mm, Coke nut 10-25 mm, Coke breeze 0-10 mm,

Coal benzene, Coal tar, Coke gas

Mechel Coke Coke +40 mm, Coke +25 mm, Coke 25-40 mm, Coke nut 10-25 mm, Coke

breeze 0-10 mm, Coal benzene, Coal tar, Ammonium sulfate, Coke gas

We have two coke plants, one of which is located in the city of Chelyabinsk and the other in the Moscow region. Coke is prepared by pyrolysis (heating in the absence of oxygen) of low-ash, low-phosphorus and low-sulfur coal. Coke is used in the blast furnace as a main source of heat, a reducing agent for iron and a raising agent for charging material in the smelting process.

In addition, we produce coke nut, which is smaller in size than metallurgical coke and is principally used as a reducing agent in ferroalloys production and for other purposes, and coke breeze, which is even smaller in size and is principally used for sintering iron ore concentrate prior to its use in blast furnaces or as fuel. Coke production and sales volumes figures presented herein include, among others, coke nut and coke breeze. Additional chemical products, such as coal benzene, coal tar and ammonium sulfate, are obtained as by-products in the coke production process.

The table below summarizes our production of coke, chemical products and coke gas for the periods indicated.

	tho	2013 2012 2011 (Coke and chemical products in thousands of tonnes) (Coke gas in millions of cubic meters)				
Mechel Coke						
Coke (6% moisture)	2,418	2,692	2,552			
Chemical products	128	143	142			
Coke gas	739	852	833			
Moscow Coke and Gas Plant						
Coke (6% moisture)	724	921	1,149			
Chemical products	36	44	53			
Coke gas	313	384	463			
Total						
Coke (6% moisture)	3,142	3,613	3,701			
Chemical products	164	187	195			
Coke gas	1,052	1,236	1,296			

The table below summarizes our sales volumes of coke and chemical products for the periods indicated.

	2013	2012	2011			
	((In thousands of tonnes)				
Coke	1,025	1,387	1,500			
Chemical products	171	193	194			

The following table sets forth the capacity, the capacity utilization rate and the planned increase in capacity for each of Moscow Coke and Gas Plant s principal production areas.

		Capacity Utilization	Planned Increase				
Production Area	Capacity in 2013	Rate in 2013	(2014-2016)				
	(Coke and o	(Coke and chemical products in thousands of tonnes)					
	(Co	ke gas in millions of cubi	c meters)				
Coke (6% moisture)	876	82.6%					
Chemical products	44	82.6%					
Coke gas	379	82.6%					

The following table sets forth the capacity, the capacity utilization rate and the planned increase in capacity for Mechel Coke s principal production area.

Production Area	Capacity in 2013	Capacity Utilization Rate in 2013 (In thousands of tonnes)	Planned Increase (2014-2016)
Coke (6% moisture)	3.134	77.2%	

Our own production facilities purchase a substantial majority of our coke production. For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, purchases of our coke by our own production facilities amounted to 2.0 million tonnes, 2.2 million tonnes and 2.0 million tonnes, respectively, which represented 66%, 61% and 56% of our total coke sales volumes (including intra-group sales) for those periods.

We purchase some coking coal from other producers in order to produce coke. The need to purchase coking coal from third parties for coke production varies from period to period, depending on customers demand for particular products and the availability of suitable coal grades from our own mines.

Iron ore and concentrate production

Our iron ore operations consist of Korshunov Mining Plant which operates Korshunovsky Open Pit, Rudnogorsky Open Pit and Korshunovskaya Washing Plant, and three subsoil licenses held by Yakutugol for the Pionerskoye iron ore deposit, the Sivaglinskoye iron ore deposit and the Sutamskaya iron ore area in Yakutia.

Korshunovskaya Washing Plant is located outside of the town of Zheleznogorsk-Ilimsky, 120 kilometers east of Bratsk in the Irkutsk region. Korshunovsky Open Pit is located near the washing plant and Rudnogorsky Open Pit is located about 85 kilometers to the northwest of the washing plant. We have operated these iron ore mines and the washing plant since 2003 when we acquired Korshunov Mining Plant. Both mines produce a magnetite ore (Fe₃O₄) and the washing plant produces iron ore concentrate with a standard iron content of 62%. Product is shipped by rail to domestic customers as well as for export sales. All of the sites are served by regional public highways and a nearby federal motorway. The area is served by the Baikal-Amur Mainline, which connects the Trans-Siberian Mainline with China and Yakutia.

The table below sets forth certain information regarding the subsoil licenses for our iron ore mines, all of which are held by our subsidiary Korshunov Mining Plant.

Edgar Filing: Mechel OAO - Form 20-F

Mine	License (plot)	Area (sq. km)	Mining Method	License Expiry Date	Status ⁽¹⁾	Year Production Commenced	
Korshunovsky Open Pit	14051 (Korshunovsk)	4.2	Open pit	Apr 2019	In production	1965	Lease
Rudnogorsky Open Pit	14052 (Rudnogorsk)	5.1	Open pit	Jan 2028	In production	1984	Ownership

(1) In production refers to sites that are currently producing iron ore.

85

The table below summarizes our ROM iron ore and iron ore concentrate production for the periods indicated.

	20)13	20	12	20)11
		Grade		Grade		Grade
Mine	Tonnes	(% Fe)	Tonnes	(% Fe)	Tonnes	(% Fe)
		(I	n millions	of tonnes)(1)	
Korshunovsky Open Pit	6.8	24.2%	6.9	24.3%	6.5	25.6%
Rudnogorsky Open Pit	5.8	30.9%	5.7	31.5%	6.1	31.3%
Tatyaninsky Open Pit ⁽²⁾					0.2	27.4%
Total ore production	12.6	27.3%	12.6	27.6%	12.8	28.3%
Iron ore concentrate production	4.3	63.2%	4.4	62.2%	4.5	62.5%

- (1) Volumes are reported on a wet basis.
- (2) Subsoil license for Tatyaninsky Open Pit was surrendered in 2012.

In 2011-2012, we obtained subsoil licenses for three iron ore deposits: the Pionerskoye deposit, the Sivaglinskoye deposit and the Sutamskaya area which are held by Yakutugol. The Pionerskoye deposit is located in Yakutia about 127 kilometers from the town of Neryungri. The area is well connected to the regional transportation network with a federal motorway located 5 kilometers to the east of the deposit. The Sivaglinskoye deposit is 120 kilometers away from Neryungri and located close to the Pionerskoye deposit. The Sutamskaya area is located 210 kilometers south-east of Neryungri. These deposits contain high-quality iron ore, which will allow to produce iron ore concentrate with 65% iron content.

The table below sets forth certain information regarding the subsoil licenses for our iron ore deposits, all of which are held by our subsidiary Yakutugol.

				License		Year	Surface
		Area	Mining	Expiry]	Production	Land Use
Deposit	License (plot)	(sq. km)	Method	Date	Status ⁽¹⁾ C	Commence	d Rights
Pionerskoye	03034 (Pionersk)	9.95	Open pit	Aug 2031	Exploration	n/a	Lease
Sivaglinskoye	03153 (Sivaglinsk)	2.2	Open pit	Mar 2022	No activity	n/a	
Sutamskaya area	03158	731.3	Open pit	Mar 2037	No activity	n/a	
	(Sutamskaya area)						

(1) Exploration refers to sites where drilling for calculation of mineral reserves is being carried out. *Limestone production*

The Pugachevsky limestone quarry is an open pit mine located approximately nine kilometers southwest of Beloretsk in the Ural Mountains. The mine has a railway spur connected to the Russian rail system, which is controlled by Russian Railways. The quarry was developed in 1951 to support Beloretsk Metallurgical Plant s steel-making

facilities, which are currently closed. Pugachevsky Open Pit, which we acquired in 2002, was owned by our Beloretsk Metallurgical Plant until the second half of 2011. In the second half of 2011, a 100% interest in Pugachevsky Open Pit was transferred to our subsidiary Mechel Materials. The current subsoil license is valid until January 2034.

The quarry produces both high-grade flux limestone for use in steel-making and ferronickel production and aggregate limestone for use in road construction. The flux limestone and aggregate limestone are the same grade of limestone, but they are produced in different fraction sizes, which determine their suitability for a particular use. In 2013, approximately 50.8% of the limestone produced at Pugachevsky Open Pit was used internally as auxiliary, with 48.0% shipped to Chelyabinsk Metallurgical Plant, 2.3% to Izhstal, 0.5% to Beloretsk Metallurgical Plant, approximately 15.4% sold to third parties, and approximately 33.8% remained in the warehouse and partly was used for internal needs of the quarry. We are capable of internally sourcing 100% of the limestone requirements of our steel operations.

86

The table below summarizes our limestone production for the periods indicated.

	203	13	2012	2011	
	(In	(In thousands of tonnes)			
Pugachevsky Open Pit	1,8	384	1,997	2,105	
Sales of mining products					

The following table sets forth sales of mining products (by volume) and as a percentage of total sales (including intra-group sales) for the periods indicated.

Product	2013 (In thou	2012 sands of to	2011 nnes) ⁽¹⁾	2013 (% o	2012 of total sal	2011 es,
				including intra-group)		
Coking coal concentrate	8,713.2	8,957.0	9,634.8	79.0%	72.4%	66.8%
Steam coal and middlings	4,113.7	4,505.0	5,337.2	70.4%	75.0%	80.6%
Anthracite and PCI	5,456.7	4,700.1	4,328.5	97.9%	98.7%	99.9%
Iron ore concentrate	3,688.0	4,156.9	2,711.1	88.5%	76.7%	33.1%
Coke	1,024.6	1,386.6	1,500.2	34.4%	38.9%	42.1%
Chemical products	171.4	193.1	194.1	99.6%	42.1%	89.6%

(1) Includes resale of mining products purchased from third parties.

The following table sets forth revenues by product, as further divided between domestic sales and exports (including as a percentage of total mining segment revenues) for the periods indicated. We define exports as sales by our Russian and foreign subsidiaries to customers located outside their respective countries. We define domestic sales as sales by our Russian and foreign subsidiaries to customers located within their respective countries. See note 24 to the consolidated financial statements.

	201	2013 2012		2011		
		% of		% of		% of
Revenues	Amount	Revenues	Amount	Revenues	Amount	Revenues
		(In millions of	f U.S. dollars	s, except for p	percentages)	
Coking coal concentrate	1,096.3	39.4%	1,453.0	43.0%	2,223.4	51.3%
Domestic Sales	18.1%		16.5%		17.2%	
Export	81.9%		83.5%		82.8%	
Steam coal	109.5	3.9%	143.4	4.2%	220.9	5.1%
Domestic Sales	54.4%		51.4%		58.7%	
Export	45.6%		48.6%		41.3%	
Anthracite and PCI	696.5	25.0%	711.8	21.0%	731.1	16.9%
Domestic Sales	0.9%		3.0%		2.5%	
Export	99.1%		97.0%		97.5%	
Middlings	128.2	4.6%	119.9	3.6%	91.1	2.1%
Domestic Sales	77.7%		86.3%		89.4%	
Export	22.3%		13.7%		10.6%	
Coke	215.5	7.8%	364.7	10.8%	524.1	12.1%
Domestic Sales	82.5%		81.7%		67.6%	
Export	17.5%		18.3%		32.4%	
Chemical products	67.7	2.4%	75.9	2.2%	76.9	1.8%
Domestic Sales	86.9%		74.1%		71.0%	
Export	13.1%		25.9%		29.0%	
Iron ore concentrate	411.9	14.8%	444.7	13.1%	370.1	8.5%
Domestic Sales	39.1%		26.5%		46.7%	
Export	60.9%		73.5%		53.3%	
Other ⁽¹⁾	58.5	2.1%	70.4	2.1%	95.8	2.2%
Total	2,784.1	100.0%	3,383.8	100.0%	4,333.4	100.0%
Domestic Sales	29.1%		28.8%		29.4%	
Export	70.9%		71.2%		70.6%	
	10.770		, 1.2 /0		, 0.0 /6	

⁽¹⁾ Includes revenues from transportation, distribution, construction and other miscellaneous services provided to local customers.

Marketing and distribution

Our Russian domestic sales are conducted directly by our own production facilities. Our export sales are conducted by Mechel Carbon, based in Baar, Switzerland, and Mechel Carbon Singapore. Mechel Carbon Singapore was established in January 2012 to cover marketing and sales activities in the fast-growing Asia-Pacific market. Since the

beginning of 2013, our sales to the Asia-Pacific region are being transferred to Mechel Carbon Singapore in order to consolidate these trading operations. During 2014, we plan to accomplish this transfer which will allow us to focus Mechel Carbon s sales on the Atlantic seaborne market and Mechel Carbon Singapore will entirely cover the Asia-Pacific market. We generally do not involve traders in the sales and distribution of our mining products and we have had long-standing relationships with end users of our mining products.

88

The following table sets forth by percentage of sales the regions in which our mining segment products were sold for the periods indicated.

Region ⁽¹⁾	2013	2012	2011
Asia	48.5%	41.5%	33.9%
Russia	27.5%	27.2%	27.4%
Europe	14.1%	14.1%	17.1%
Middle East ⁽²⁾	3.6%	4.7%	3.2%
Other	2.7%	2.1%	3.4%
Other CIS	1.9%	8.8%	12.9%
United States	1.7%	1.6%	2.1%
Total	100%	100%	100%

- (1) The regional breakdown of sales is based on the geographic location of our customers, and not on the location of the end users of our products, as our customers are often distributors that resell and, in some cases, further export our products.
- (2) Includes Turkey and the United Arab Emirates.

The following table sets forth information about the five largest customers of our mining segment, which together accounted for 27.5% of our total mining segment sales in 2013.

Customer	% of Total Mining Segment Sales	Product	% of Total Products Sales
Evraz plc	9.6%	Coking coal concentrate	10.0%
		Iron ore concentrate	37.9%
		Coke	0.1%
ArcelorMittal	6.1%	Coking coal concentrate	2.6%
		PCI and Anthracite	20.2%
		Coke	0.8%
Baosteel Group Corporation	4.6%	Coking coal concentrate	11.2%
-		PCI and Anthracite	0.9%
Far Eastern Generating Company OAO	3.7%	Steam coal	3.9%
		Middlings	77.3%
Shunshun Development (Hongkong)		_	
Co. Ltd.	3.5%	Coking coal concentrate	8.7%
		Middlings	1.5%

Sales by Russian subsidiaries

Domestic sales

We ship our coking coal concentrate from our coal washing facilities, located near our coal mines, by railway directly to our customers, including steel producers. Our largest domestic customer for our coking coal concentrate was Evraz, accounting for 10.0% of our total coking coal concentrate sales and 3.9% of our total mining segment sales in 2013.

Pursuant to a directive from the FAS dated August 14, 2008, we entered into long-term coking coal supply contracts with some of our major domestic customers. These contracts provide for the supply of coking coal concentrate under a fixed price based on the price of premium hard coking coal under one-year contracts under FOB terms from Australian ports, excluding the costs of transshipment and rail transportation, with the application of a coefficient representing the quality of the coal concentrate. Previously, the delivery terms for

89

most of our major domestic customers provided for sale at spot market prices. The long-term contracts were entered into with MMK, EvrazResurs, Severstal, KOKS and Metalltrade for terms of four and five years for a total annual delivery volumes of four to five million tonnes of coking coal. However, MMK, one of our major domestic customers with which we have entered into a five-year contract for delivery of a total of 12 million tonnes of coking coal, has filed a lawsuit in a Russian court seeking invalidation of its five-year contract. Metalltrade also has filed a lawsuit seeking termination of its five-year contract. Both of the petitions were dismissed by the court. In general, the long-term contracts executed in accordance with the FAS directive do not guarantee sale of the volumes fixed under the contracts. In practice, customers may refuse to purchase products under these contracts and we have no means to influence them to take the contracted volumes in full. The long-term contracts with MMK, EvrazResurs, Severstal, KOKS and Metalltrade expired in March 2013 and March 2014.

We sell coking coal concentrate domestically on the basis of annual framework contracts with monthly or quarterly adjustments to price and quantity.

We ship our steam coal from our warehouses by railway directly to our customers, which are predominantly electric power stations. Our supply contracts for steam coal are generally concluded with customers on a long-term basis with quantities and prices either fixed for the whole term or adjusted monthly. Some of our steam coal is consumed within our group; for example, sales of steam coal and middlings from our Southern Kuzbass Coal Company to our Southern Kuzbass Power Plant were \$33.2 million in 2013. In total, 870.6 thousand tonnes of steam coal was sold within our group, including coal purchased from third parties. SUE HCS Sakha Republic (Yakutia) is our largest domestic customer of steam coal, accounting for 19.3% of our total steam coal sales and 0.8% of our total mining segment sales in 2013.

Iron ore concentrate is shipped via railway directly from our Korshunov Mining Plant to customers. Our largest domestic customer, Evraz, accounted for 37.9% of our total iron ore concentrate sales and 5.6% of our total mining segment sales in 2013. We set our prices on a monthly or quarterly basis as agreed with the customers.

The majority of coke is sold domestically to our subsidiaries Chelyabinsk Metallurgical Plant and Bratsk Ferroalloy Plant, which accounted for 65.3% of our total coke sales (including intra-group sales) by volume in 2013, including coke purchased from third parties. Major third party customers include cast iron, steel and ferroalloy plants located in the Central Region and in the Urals of Russia. Sales in Russia are conducted pursuant to framework agreements with monthly adjustments of quantities and prices.

Our subsidiary Mecheltrans is a railway freight forwarding company, which owns its own rail rolling stock, consisting of 731 open cars and 106 pellet cars, leases 3,609 open cars and has 7,542 open cars under equipment finance leases. In 2013, Mecheltrans transported domestically approximately 25.0 million tonnes of our cargo, approximately 68.0% of which was comprised of coal and iron ore concentrate. Our subsidiary Mecheltrans Auto is a motor freight forwarding company, which has 49 long-haul trucks under equipment finance leases. In 2013, Mecheltrans Auto transported domestically approximately 540.0 thousand tonnes of our cargo.

Export sales

We export coking coal concentrate, PCI and anthracite, iron ore concentrate, coke and steam coal.

In 2013, the largest foreign customer of our mining segment was ArcelorMittal, accounting for 6.0% of our total mining segment sales. ArcelorMittal purchases consisted of coking coal concentrate, PCI and anthracite.

We were Russia s largest exporter of coking coal concentrate in 2013, according to RasMin. Our exports of coking coal concentrate are primarily to China, Japan and South Korea. In 2013, Baosteel Group Corporation, Shunshun Development (Hongkong) Co. Ltd., Jiangsu Shagang International Trade Co., Ltd., Winsway Resources and JFE Steel Corporation were our largest foreign customers of coking coal concentrate, accounting

90

for 36.2% of our total coking coal concentrate sales and 14.2% of our total mining segment sales. Shipments are made by rail to seaports and further by sea, except for shipments to Ukraine and northeast China that are made only by rail.

Our exports of PCI and anthracite are primarily to Europe, China and Turkey, which together accounted for 77.7% of our total PCI and anthracite sales and 19.4% of our total mining segment sales in 2013. In 2013, our largest foreign customers of PCI and anthracite were ArcelorMittal, Tata Steel, Gerdau Acominas, Eregli Iron&Steel Works and Iskenderun Iron&Steel Works Company.

Our exports of steam coal are primarily to Europe, Turkey and Morocco, which together accounted for 39.5% of our total steam coal sales and 1.6% of our total mining segment sales in 2013. In 2013, our largest foreign customers of steam coal were Integrity Coal Sales Inc., Toplofikatsia Rousse, Trafigura, Talvex Commodities AG and Finncoal OY.

PCI, anthracite and steam coal are shipped to customers from our warehouses by railway and further by sea from Russian and Baltic ports.

In 2013, we used annual contracts for export sales of coal, except for sales to Chinese customers. Coal not shipped under annual contracts was sold on the spot market.

We sold iron ore concentrate to customers in China during 2013, which accounted for 60.9% of our total iron ore concentrate sales and 9.0% of our total mining segment sales in 2013. We ship iron ore concentrate to China by rail.

We export coke, including coke breeze, primarily to Europe and Turkey, which together accounted for 12.8% of our total coke sales and 1.0% of our total mining segment sales in 2013.

Our Port Posiet processed 4.1 million tonnes of coal in 2013. From Port Posiet we ship primarily coking coal concentrate and PCI to Japan, South Korea and China. Its current cargo-handling capacity is approximately 4.5 million tonnes per annum and its warehousing capacity is limited to 100 thousand tonnes per month for one-time storage of no more than two grades of coal. In order to expand the cargo-handling capacity of Port Posiet we have constructed a modern transshipment complex at the port. The port s proximity to roads and rail links to key product destinations and transshipment points in China and Russia make it a cost-effective link in the logistical chain for bringing our coal products to the market.

In 2013, Mecheltrans transported for export approximately 16.0 million tonnes of our cargo, approximately 88.2% of which was comprised of coal and iron ore concentrate.

Sales by U.S. subsidiaries

Bluestone mining business sold 1.7 million tonnes of coking and steam coal in 2013, 68% of which was sold to the export market. Substantially all of the coal was sold on the spot market. Coal is transported from the mining complexes to customers by means of railroads, trucks, barge lines and ocean-going ships from terminal facilities. A major portion of production is shipped via the Norfolk Southern Railway, so our Bluestone operations are dependent on the capacity of and our relationships with the Norfolk Southern Railway. These shipments either go directly to coking plants in North America or to port facilities for transloading into ocean going ships. In 2013, Bluestone exports went through the port of Norfolk, Virginia.

Market share and competition

Coal

According to the Central Dispatching Department, in 2013, the Russian coal mining industry was represented by 202 companies, which operated 84 underground mines and 118 open pit mines. As a result of the

91

privatization of 1990s and subsequent mergers and acquisitions, the Russian coal mining industry has become more concentrated. Based on the Central Dispatching Department s data and our estimates, the ten largest coal mining companies in Russia produced approximately 75% of the overall coal production volume in 2013.

According to data from the Central Dispatching Department, companies websites and our estimates, in 2013, we were the second largest coking coal producer in Russia, with a 19.8% share of total production by volume, and we had a 7.1% market share with respect to overall Russian coal production by volume. The following table lists the main Russian coking coal producers in 2013, the industrial groups to which they belong, their coking coal production volumes and their share of total Russian production volume.

Group	Company	Coking Coal Production (Thousands of Tonnes)	% of Coking Coal Production by Volume
Evraz plc	Yuzhkuzbassugol Coal Company OAO	11,110	14.4%
•	Raspadskaya OAO	7,824	10.1%
	Evraz Total	18,934	24.5%
Mechel OAO	Yakutugol Holding Company OAO	8,977	11.6%
	Southern Kuzbass Coal Company OAO	6,329	8.2%
	Mechel Total	15,306	19.8%
Severstal OAO	Vorkutaugol OAO	12,117	15.7%
UMMC	Kuzbassrazrezugol Coal Company OAO	5,815	7.5%
Sibuglemet Holding	Mezhdurechye OAO ⁽¹⁾	3,688	4.8%
	Antonovskaya Mine ZAO	656	0.8%
	Bolshevik Mine OAO	638	0.8%
	Sibuglemet Total	4,982	6.4%
SUEK OAO	SUEK-Kuzbass	3,896	5.0%
Stroyservis ZAO	Berezovskiy Open-Cut Mine OOO	1,720	2.2%
·	Shestacki Open-Cut Mine OAO	772	1.0%
	Barzasskoye tovarischestvo OOO	724	0.9%
	Shahta No. 12 OOO	488	0.7%
	Stroyservis Total	3,704	4.8%
MMK OAO	Belon OAO	3,468	4.5%
Other		9,121	11.8%
Total		77,343	100.0%

Source: Central Dispatching Department, companies websites and our estimates.

(1) We own 16.14% of Mezhdurechye OAO.

92

According to data from the Central Dispatching Department, companies websites and our estimates, in 2013, we were the sixth largest steam coal producer in Russia, with a 3.6% share of total production by volume. The following table lists the main Russian steam coal producers in 2013, the groups to which they belong, their steam coal production volumes and their share of total Russian steam coal production volume.

Group	Company	Steam Coal Production (Thousands of Tonnes)	% of Steam Coal Production by Volume
SUEK OAO	SUEK OAO (Kemerovo region)	28,720	10.4%
	SUEK OAO (Krasnoyarsk Krai)	26,518	9.7%
	SUEK OAO (Tugnuysky Open Pit)	12,564	4.6%
	SUEK OAO (Republic of Khakasia)	10,581	3.9%
	Urgalugol OAO	4,639	1.7%
	Primorskugol OAO	4,092	1.5%
	SUEK OAO (Kharanorsky Open Pit)	3,357	1.2%
	SUEK OAO (Chitaugol)	1,435	0.5%
	SUEK OAO (Apsatsky Open Pit)	651	0.2%
	SUEK Total	92,557	33.7%
UMMC	Kuzbassrazrezugol Coal Company OAO	38,037	13.8%
SDS Holding Company	Chernigovets OAO	4,843	1.8%
	Listvyazhnaya Shaft Mine OOO	4,271	1.6%
	Salek ZAO	3,639	1.3%
	Yuzhnaya Shaft Mine OAO	3,126	1.1%
	Mayskoe OOO	2,288	0.8%
	Kiselevsky Open Pit Mine OAO	2,273	0.8%
	Kuprinsky Open Pit Mine ZAO	1,355	0.5%
	Sibenergougol OOO	1,351	0.5%
	Prokopyevsky Open Pit Mine ZAO	694	0.3%
	Energetic Open Pit Mine OOO	624	0.2%
	Ziminka Shaft Mine OOO	20	0.0%
	SDS Total	24,484	8.9%
En+ Group	Vostsibugol OOO (Irkutsk region)	13,306	4.8%
	Vostsibugol OOO (Irbeysky Open Pit Mine)	2,382	0.9%
	Tuvinskaya Mining Company OOO	566	0.2%
	En+ Total	16,254	5.9%
Kuzbasskaya Toplivnaya Co	Kuzbasskaya Toplivnaya Co	10,146	3.7%
Mechel OAO	Southern Kuzbass Coal Company OAO	8,779	3.2%
	Yakutugol Holding Company OAO	1,057	0.4%
	Mechel Total	9,836	3.6%
Russian Coal Co	UK Stepnoy Open Pit Mine OOO	3,854	1.4%
	Amursky Coal OOO	3,033	1.1%
	Zadubrovsky Open Pit Mine OOO	788	0.3%
	RUK OOO (Evtinsky site)	713	0.3%
	Russian Coal Total	8,388	3.1%

Edgar Filing: Mechel OAO - Form 20-F

Zarechnaya Coal Company	Zarechnaya Mine OAO	4,173	1.5%
	Oktyabrskaya Mine OAO	2,011	0.7%
	Alexievskaya Mine OAO	1,353	0.5%
	Karagaylinskoye Mine Office OOO	203	0.1%
	Anzherskoe Shahtoupravlenie OAO	177	0.1%
	Zarechnaya Total	7,917	2.9%
Krasnoyarskkraiugol OAO	Krasnoyarskkraiugol OAO	5,254	1.9%
Other		61,808	22.5%
Total		274,681	100.0%

Source: Central Dispatching Department, companies websites and our estimates.

In the domestic coal market, we compete primarily on the basis of price, as well as on the basis of the quality of coal, which in turn depends upon the quality of our production assets and the quality of our mineral reserves. Competition in the steam coal market is also affected by the fact that most steam power stations were built near specific steam coal sources and had their equipment customized to utilize the particular type of coal produced at the relevant local source. Outside of Russia, competition in the steam coal market is largely driven by coal quality, including volatile matter and calorie content.

According to the U.S. Department of Energy/Energy Information Administration, the total production of coal in the United States in 2013 was 996.0 million short tons. Bluestone s share of total production was 0.2%.

Iron ore

The Russian iron ore market is generally characterized by high demand and limited sources of supply, with product quality as the main factor driving prices. According to Metal Expert, the market is dominated by relatively few producers, with the top three mining groups being Metalloinvest, Severstal Resources and NLMK, representing approximately 68% of total production of iron ore concentrate. We were sixth in production volume in 2013 with 4.3 million tonnes of iron ore concentrate, representing 4.4% of total production of iron ore concentrate in Russia.

Mineral reserves (coal, iron ore and limestone)

Coal and iron ore

Our coal and iron ore reserves are based on exploration drilling and geological data, and are that part of a mineral deposit which could be economically and legally extracted or produced at the time of the reserve determination. Each year we update our reserve calculations based on actual production and other factors, including economic viability and any new exploration data. Our coal and iron ore reserves are presented in accordance with the criteria for internationally recognized reserve and resource categories of the Australasian Code for Reporting Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves (as amended) published by the Joint Ore Reserves Committee (JORC) of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy, Australian Institute of Geoscientists and the Minerals Council of Australia (the JORC Code), and meet the standards set by the SEC in its Industry Guide 7. Information on our mineral reserves has been prepared by our internal mining engineers as of December 31, 2013. To prepare this information our internal mining engineers used resource and reserve estimates, actual and forecast production, operating costs, capital costs, geological plan maps, geological cross sections, mine advance maps in plan and cross section and price projections.

Our coal and iron ore reserve estimates contained herein inherently include a degree of uncertainty and depend to some extent on geological assumptions and statistical inferences which may ultimately prove to have been unreliable. Consequently, reserve estimates should be regularly revised based on actual production experience or new information and should therefore be expected to change. Notably, should we encounter mineralization or formations different from those predicted by past drilling, sampling and similar examinations, reserve estimates may have to be adjusted and mining plans may have to be altered in a way that might adversely affect our operations. Moreover, if the price of metallurgical coal, steam coal or iron ore declines, or stabilizes at a price lower than recent levels, or if production costs increase or recovery rates decrease, it may become uneconomical to recover reserves containing relatively lower grades of mineralization and consequently our reserves may decrease. Conversely, should the price of metallurgical coal, steam coal or iron ore stabilize at a materially higher price than currently assumed, or if production costs decrease or recovery rates increase, it may become economical to recover material at lower grades than that assumed here and consequently our reserves may increase.

The calculation of our reserves in Russia is based on the expected operational life of each deposit based on life-of-mine plans, which in many cases exceed the relevant license period for the deposit. Russian subsoil

94

licenses are issued for defined boundaries and specific periods, generally about 20 years. Our declared reserves are contained within the current license boundary. Our Russian subsoil licenses expire on dates falling in 2014 through 2037. However, in many cases, the life of the deposit is well beyond the license term. Based on Russian law and practice, as evidenced by our experience and publicly available information, including a number of court cases, it is reasonably likely that an incumbent subsoil user will be granted license extension through the end of the expected operational life of the deposit, provided that the licensee is not in violation of the material terms of the license. The cost for the license extension is not substantial. See Regulatory Matters Subsoil Licensing in Russia Extension of licenses. We have received extension of certain of our subsoil licenses which expired and we intend to extend the licenses for all deposits expected to remain productive subsequent to their license expiry dates. However, license extension is not guaranteed and is to a certain extent subject to the discretion of regulatory authorities. See Item 3. Key Information Risk Factors Risks Relating to Our Business and Industry Our business could be adversely affected if we fail to obtain or extend necessary subsoil licenses and mining and other permits or fail to comply with the terms of our subsoil licenses and mining and other permits, Item 3. Key Information Risk Factors Risks Relating to the Russian Federation Legal risks and uncertainties Deficiencies in the legal framework relating to subsoil licensing subject our licenses to the risk of governmental challenges and, if our licenses are suspended or terminated, we may be unable to realize our reserves, which could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects and Regulatory Matters Subsoil Licensing in Russia.

The Bluestone companies mining permits expire in 2014 through 2018. Currently, eleven of our 46 NPDES permits are pending renewal with the state of West Virginia following orders from the EPA. See Item 3. Key Information Risk Factors Risks Relating to Our Business in the United States The Bluestone companies are subject to extensive U.S. laws, government regulations and other requirements relating to the protection of the environment, health and safety and other matters and face a highly litigious environment.

As of December 31, 2013, we had coal reserves totaling 3,187.7 million tonnes, of which approximately 82% was coking coal. The table below summarizes coal reserves.

Company	Proved Reserves ⁽¹⁾ Probable Reserves ⁽¹⁾		Proved Reserves ⁽¹⁾ Probable Reserves ⁽¹⁾		Total	% in Open Pit
		(In thousands of	f tonnes)			
Yakutugol	224,199	1,298	225,497	95.4%		
Elgaugol	1,697,398	490,520	2,187,918	100.0%		
Southern Kuzbass Coal Company	596,729	26,310	623,039	74.4%		
Bluestone	84,308	66,962	151,270	61.7%		
Total	2,602,634	585,090	3,187,724	92.9%		

(1) Reserves include adjustments for loss and dilution modifying factors.

The table below summarizes our reserves by coal type as of December 31, 2013.

Company	Category	Coking Coal	Steam Coal (In thousands		Lignite	Total ⁽¹⁾
	Proved	127,088	13,558	0	83,553	224,199
	Probable	1,298	0	0	0	1,298
Yakutugol	Total	128,386	13,558	0	83,553	225,497
	Proved	1,605,420	91,978	0	0	1,697,398
	Probable	455,316	35,204	0	0	490,520
Elgaugol	Total	2,060,736	127,182	0	0	2,187,918
	Proved	255,815	219,005	121,909	0	596,729
	Probable	19,034	7,173	103	0	26,310
Southern Kuzbass Coal Company	Total	274,849	226,178	122,012	0	623,039
	Proved	84,308	0	0	0	84,308
	Probable	66,962	0	0	0	66,962
Bluestone	Total	151,270	0	0	0	151,270
	Proved	2,072,631	324,541	121,909	83,553	2,602,634
	Probable	542,610	42,377	103	0	585,090
Total		2,615,241	366,918	122,012	83,553	3,187,724

The table below sets forth reserves attributable to our Yakutugol mines as of December 31, 2013.

Mine	Proved Reserverol	oable Reserves	$Total^{(1)(2)}$	Heat Value ⁽³⁾	% Sulfur
	(In tho	usands of tonne	es)	(In kcal/kg)	
Neryungrinsky Open Pit ⁽⁴⁾	130,282	1,298	131,580	8,200	0.30
Kangalassky Open Pit ⁽⁵⁾	83,553	0	83,553	3,837-4,107	0.15-0.85
Dzhebariki-Khaya Underground ⁽⁵⁾	10,364	0	10,364	4,490	0.30
Total	224,199	1,298	225,497		

- (1) Reserves reported on a wet in-situ basis and include adjustments for loss and dilution modifying factors.
- (2) In estimating the reserves, we used \$134 per tonne (FCA basis) for coking coal and \$42-\$51 per tonne (FCA basis) for steam coal.
- (3) Heat value is reported on a moisture- and ash-free basis.

⁽¹⁾ Reserves include adjustments for loss and dilution modifying factors.

- (4) Mined coal is processed at the Neryungrinskaya Washing Plant. The average coal recovery factor is estimated to be 63%.
- (5) Coal is sold as ROM without processing.

The table below sets forth reserves attributable to our Elgaugol mine as of December 31, 2013.

Mine	Proved ReservesPro	bable Reserves	$Total^{(1)(2)}$	Heat Value ⁽³⁾	% Sulfur
	(In the	ousands of tonne	s)	(In kcal	/kg)
Elga Open Pit ⁽⁴⁾	1,697,398	490,520	2,187,918	7,500-8,600	0.30
Total	1,697,398	490,520	2,187,918		

- (1) Reserves reported on a wet in-situ basis and include adjustments for loss and dilution modifying factors.
- (2) In estimating the reserves, we used \$134 per tonne (FCA basis) for coking coal and \$42-\$51 per tonne (FCA basis) for steam coal.
- (3) Heat value is reported on a moisture- and ash-free basis.
- (4) Mined coal is processed at the Elginskaya Washing Plant. The average coal recovery factor is estimated to be 40%.

96

The table below sets forth reserves attributable to our Southern Kuzbass mines as of December 31, 2013.

Mine	Proved Reserves	Probable Reserves	Total ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	Heat Value ⁽⁵⁾	% Sulfur
		housands of		(In kc	
Krasnogorsky Open Pit	212,846	194	213,040	5,800	0.40
Olzherassky Open Pit	56,258	6,424	62,682	8,170	0.25
Tomusinsky Open Pit	12,118	4,400	16,518	8,350	0.30
Sibirginsky Open Pit	171,268	45	171,313	8,483	0.30
Sibirginskaya Underground	41,544	4,024	45,568	8,441	0.29
V.I. Lenina Underground	29,297	11,223	40,520	8,468	0.33
Olzherasskaya-Novaya Underground	36,415	0	36,415	7,900	0.30
Yerunakovskaya-1 Underground (project)	36,983	0	36,983	8,150	0.50
Yerunakovskaya-3 Underground (prospect) ⁽⁶⁾					
Yerunakovskaya-2 Underground (prospect) ⁽⁶⁾					
Olzherasskaya-Glubokaya Underground (prospect) ⁽⁶⁾					
Usinskaya Underground (prospect) ⁽⁶⁾					
Total	596,729	26,310	623,039		

- (1) Reserves reported on a wet in-situ basis and include adjustments for loss and dilution modifying factors.
- (2) In estimating the reserves, we used approximately \$110 per tonne (FCA basis) for coking coal and \$70-\$84 per tonne (FCA basis) for sized and washed steam coal.
- (3) All mines except Tomusinsky Open Pit are 96.6% owned by us. Tomusinsky Open Pit is 74.7% owned by us. Reserves are presented on an assumed 100% basis.
- (4) Mined coal is processed at Krasnogorskaya Washing Plant, Sibir Washing Plant, Tomusinskaya Washing Plant, Kuzbasskaya Washing Plant and Sibirginskaya Processing Unit. The average coal recovery factor is estimated to be 73% (within the range of 53-77%).
- (5) Heat value is reported on a moisture- and ash-free basis.
- (6) Not considered in the review because these prospects presently do not have mine plans.

The table below sets forth reserves attributable to our Bluestone mines as of December 31, 2013.

Complex	Proved Reservero		Total(1)(2)(3)	Heat Value ⁽⁴⁾	% Sulfur
	(In t	housands of tonr	ies)	(In Btu/lb)	
Keystone Surface	36,033	32,995	69,028	14,724	0.75
Keystone Underground	12,675	5,626	18,301	14,724	0.75
Justice Energy Surface	6,529	3,155	9,684	14,626	0.97
Justice Energy Underground	3,526	8,228	11,754	14,626	0.97
Dynamic Energy Surface	10,260	4,414	14,674	14,611	1.01
Dynamic Energy Underground	15,285	12,544	27,829	14,611	1.01
Total	84,308	66,962	151,270		

- (1) Reserves reported on a wet in-situ basis and include adjustments for loss and dilution modifying factors.
- (2) In estimating the reserves, we used \$130 per tonne (FCA basis) for coking coal and \$55 per tonne (FCA basis) for steam coal.
- (3) Mined coal is processed at our local washing plants at the Keystone, Justice Energy and Dynamic Energy operations. The average coal recovery factor is estimated to be within the range of 44.3-53.9%.
- (4) Heat value is reported on a moisture- and ash-free basis.

97

As of December 31, 2013, we had iron ore reserves (proved and probable) totaling 180.6 million tonnes at an average iron grade of 27.1%. The table below summarizes iron ore reserves by mine.

Mine	Proved Reserves Pro	bable Reserves	$Total^{(1)(2)(3)}$	Grade (Fe%) ⁽⁴⁾
		(In thousands	of tonnes)	
Korshunovsky Open Pit	56,680	44,874	101,554	24.2
Rudnogorsky Open Pit	45,510	33,507	79,017	30.9
Total	102,190	78,381	180,571	27.1

- (1) Reserves reported on a wet in-situ basis and include adjustments for loss and dilution modifying factors.
- (2) In estimating the reserves, we used \$120 per tonne (CPT basis).
- (3) All mines are 90.0% owned by us. Reserves are presented on an assumed 100% basis.

maps, geological cross sections, mine advance maps in plan and cross section and price projections.

(4) The average iron ore recovery factor is estimated to be within the range of 74-86%. *Limestone*

Our limestone mineral reserves are based on exploration drilling and geological data, and are that part of a mineral deposit which could be economically and legally extracted or produced at the time of the reserve determination. Each year we update our limestone mineral reserve calculations based on actual production and other factors, including economic viability and any new exploration data. Our reserves, consisting of proven and probable reserves, meet the requirements set by the SEC in its Industry Guide 7. Information on our limestone mineral reserves has been prepared by our internal mining engineers as of December 31, 2013. To prepare this information our internal mining engineers used reserve estimates, actual and forecast production, operating costs, capital costs, geological plan

Proven reserves presented in accordance with Industry Guide 7 may be combined with probable reserves only if the difference in the degree of assurance between the two classes of reserves cannot be readily defined and a statement is made to that effect. Our limestone proven and probable reserves are presented as combined in this document because, though our deposits have been drilled to a high degree of assurance, due to the methodology used in Russia to estimate reserves the degree of assurance between the two categories cannot be readily defined.

The subsoil license for our limestone mineral reserves is issued for defined boundaries and expires in January 2034. Our declared limestone reserves are contained within the current license boundary.

As of December 31, 2013, we had limestone reserves (proven and probable) totaling 14.6 million tonnes at 55.2% calcium oxide. This estimation is made using the tonnages that are expected to be mined, taking into account dilution and losses, an average price of \$7.02 per tonne of commodity limestone and currency conversions are carried out at average official exchange rates of the CBR.

Steel Segment

Our steel segment comprises production and sale of semi-finished steel products, long products of wide range of steel grades, carbon and stainless flat steel products and high value-added metal products, including wire products, stampings and forgings. Within these product groups, we are further able to tailor various steel grades to meet specific

end-user requirements. Our steel segment is supported by our mining segment, which includes iron ore concentrate and coke, and our ferroalloys segment, which includes ferrosilicon.

Our steel segment has production facilities in Russia and Lithuania. Our total steel output was 6.1 million tonnes in 2011, 6.5 million tonnes in 2012 and 4.6 million tonnes in 2013.

98

Description of key products

Pig iron. Pig iron is an iron alloy with usual carbon content of above 2% which is produced from smelting iron ore feed (sinter, pellets and other ore materials) in the blast furnace. Liquid pig iron is used as an intermediate product in the manufacturing of steel. Cold pig iron can be used as charging material for steel manufacturing in electric arc furnaces and in manufacturing of cast iron in cupolas. Cold pig iron is brittle. We sell small volumes of pig iron from our Chelyabinsk Metallurgical Plant to third parties.

Semi-finished products. Semi-finished products typically require further milling before they are useful to end consumers. We offer semi-finished billets, blooms and slabs. Billets and blooms are precursors to long products and have a square cross section. The difference between billets and blooms is that blooms have a larger cross-section which is more than eight inches and is broken down in the mill to produce rails, I-beams, H-beams and sheet piling. Slabs are precursors to flat products and have a rectangular cross section. Such types of products can be produced both by continuous casting of liquid steel and by casting of liquid steel in casting forms with subsequent drafting on blooming mills. We offer our customers billets and blooms produced by Izhstal and Chelyabinsk Metallurgical Plant, as well as slabs produced by Chelyabinsk Metallurgical Plant.

Long steel products. Long steel products are rolled products used in many industrial sectors, particularly in the construction and engineering industries. They include various types of products, for example, rebar, calibrated long steel products and wire rod, which could be supplied both in bars and coils in a wide range of sizes. Our long steel products are manufactured at Chelyabinsk Metallurgical Plant, Izhstal and Beloretsk Metallurgical Plant.

We offer our customers a wide selection of long products produced from various steel grades, including rebar, calibrated long steel products, steel angles, round products, surface-conditioned steel products, wire rod and others.

Flat steel products. Flat steel products are manufactured by multiple drafting slabs in forming rolls with subsequent coiling or cutting into sheets. Plates are shipped after hot rolling or heat treatment. Coiled stock can be subject to cutting lengthwise into slit coils or crosswise into sheets. Stainless steel is used to manufacture plates and cold-rolled sheets in coils and flat sheets. Hot-rolled plates and carbon and alloyed coiled rolled products are manufactured at Chelyabinsk Metallurgical Plant.

Stampings and forgings. Stampings are special parts stamped from metal billets. Forgings are special products made through the application of localized compressive forces to metal. Forged metal is stronger than cast or machined metal. Our forgings and stampings are offered on a made-to-order basis according to minimum batches depending on the products—sizes. Our product offerings include rollers and axles used in vehicle manufacturing; gears and wheels; bars; and others. Our stampings and forgings are produced at Urals Stampings Plant, including its branches in Izhevsk and Chelyabinsk.

Wire products. Wire products are the result of processing of wire rod and rolled band which are ready for use in manufacturing and consumer applications. Our wire products are manufactured at Izhstal, Beloretsk Metallurgical Plant and Vyartsilya Metal Products Plant in Russia and Mechel Nemunas in Lithuania. Our wide-ranging wire products line includes spring wire; bearing wire; precision alloy wire; high and low carbon concrete reinforcing wire; galvanized wire; copper-coated and bright welding wire; various types of nails; steel wire ropes specially engineered for the shipping, aerospace, oil and gas and construction industries; aerials for electric trams and buses; steel wire ropes for passenger and freight elevators; general-purpose wire; steel straps and clips; chain link fences; welded (reinforcing) meshes; and others.

99

The following table sets out our production volumes by primary steel product categories and main products within these categories.

	2013	2012	2011	
	,	(In thousands of tonn		
Pig Iron	3,743	4,161	3,728	
Semi-Finished Steel Products, including:	1,292	2,596	2,046	
Carbon and Low-Alloyed Semi-Finished Products	1,272	2,592	1,513	
Long Steel Products, including:	2,727	3,302	3,590	
Stainless Long Products	14	13	12	
Alloyed Long Products	295	265	329	
Rebar	1,515	2,018	2,091	
Wire Rod	469	441	597	
Low-Alloyed Engineering Steel	434	564	396	
Flat Steel Products, including:	425	523	539	
Stainless Flat Products	32	27	48	
Carbon and Low-Alloyed Flat Products	393	496	491	
Forgings, including:	66	72	85	
Stainless Forgings	4	5	4	
Alloyed Forgings	48	48	53	
Carbon and Low-Alloyed Forgings	14	18	24	
Stampings	102	111	117	
Wire Products, including:	755	952	997	
Wire	635	755	774	
Ropes	45	54	62	

Steel manufacturing process and types of steel

The most common steel manufacturing processes are production in a basic oxygen furnace (**BOF**) and production in an electric arc furnace (**EAF**).

In BOF steel manufacturing, steel is produced with less than 2% carbon content. The principal raw materials used to produce steel are liquid pig iron and scrap metal. The molten steel, depending on the products in which it will be used, undergoes additional refining and is mixed with manganese, nickel, chrome, titanium and other components to give it special properties. Approximately 72% of the world s steel output is made in BOFs.

In EAF steel manufacturing, steel is generally produced from remelted scrap metal. Heat to melt the scrap metal is supplied from high-voltage electricity that arcs within the furnace between graphite electrodes and the scrap metal. This process is suitable for producing almost all steel grades, including stainless steel; however, it is limited in its use for production of high-purity carbon steel. Approximately 28% of the world steel output is made in EAFs.

Steel products are broadly subdivided into two categories — flat and long products. Flat products are hot-rolled or cold-rolled coils and sheets that are used primarily in manufacturing industries, such as the white goods and automotive industries. Long products are used for construction-type applications (beams, rebar) and the engineering industry. To create flat and long products, molten steel is cast in continuous-casting machines or casting forms (molds). The molten steel crystallizes and turns into semi-finished products in the form of blooms, slabs or ingots. Ingots and blooms have a square cross-section and are used for further processing into long products. Slabs have a

rectangular cross-section and are used to make flat products. All products are rolled at high temperatures, a process known as hot rolling. They are drawn and flattened through rollers to give the metal the desired dimensions and strength properties. Some flat steel products go through an additional step of rolling without heating, a process known as cold rolling and is used to create a permanent increase in the hardness and strength of the steel. After cold rolling, annealing in furnaces with gradual cooling that softens and stress-relieves the metal is periodically required. Oil may be applied to the surfaces for protection from rust.

100

The properties of steel (strength, solidity, plasticity, magnetization, corrosion-resistance) may be modified to render it suitable for its intended future use by the addition by smelting of small amounts of other metals into the structure of the steel, varying the steel schemical composition. For example, the carbon content of steel can be varied in order to change its plasticity, or chrome and nickel can be added to produce stainless steel. Resistance to corrosion can be achieved through application of special coatings (including polymeric coatings), galvanization, copper coating or tinning, painting and other treatments.

Steel production facilities

Most of our metallurgical plants have obtained a certificate of quality under ISO international standards. For example, the main manufacturing processes at Chelyabinsk Metallurgical Plant, Izhstal, Beloretsk Metallurgical Plant and Urals Stampings Plant are ISO 9001:2008 certified.

Chelyabinsk Metallurgical Plant

Chelyabinsk Metallurgical Plant produces rolled products and semi-finished products for further milling in Russia or our internal needs. Chelyabinsk Metallurgical Plant is sintering production for blast furnaces, BOF/EAF steel mill with rolling production. It produces semi-finished steel products, and flat and long carbon and stainless steel products. Its customer base is largely comprised of customers from the construction, engineering and hardware industries. We acquired Chelyabinsk Metallurgical Plant in 2001.

The plant sources its coking coal concentrate needs from Southern Kuzbass Coal Company and Yakutugol and its iron ore concentrate needs from Korshunov Mining Plant. In 2006, coke production and special steel production were separated from Chelyabinsk Metallurgical Plant into separate entities, including Mechel Coke, which were wholly-owned subsidiaries of Chelyabinsk Metallurgical Plant. In August 2007, ownership of Chelyabinsk Metallurgical Plant s special steel operations was transferred to the Chelyabinsk branch of Urals Stampings Plant. In June 2010, a 100% interest in Mechel Coke was transferred to Mechel Mining.

Chelyabinsk Metallurgical Plant s principal production lines include a BOF workshop equipped with three converters; two EAF workshops equipped with electric arc furnaces of 100 and 125 tonnes, respectively; five concasting machines; a blooming mill for 200-320 millimeter billets; five long products rolling mills for 6.5-190 millimeter round bars and 75-156 millimeter square bars, wire rod, rebar steel, bands and long products; a hot-rolled flat products workshop with a thick sheet continuous rolling mill for hot-rolled sheets of up to 1,800 millimeters wide and up to 20 millimeters thick; a semi-continuous rolling mill for up to 1,500 millimeters wide and up to 6 millimeters thick hot-rolled coils; a cold-rolled product workshop for 0.3-4 millimeter cold-rolled stainless sheet. In addition, we have at our Chelyabinsk Metallurgical Plant four sintering machines and three blast furnaces. The following table sets forth the capacity, the capacity utilization rate and the planned increase in capacity for each of Chelyabinsk Metallurgical Plant s principal production areas.

		Capacity	Planned
Production Area	Capacity in 2013	Utilization Rate in 2013	Increase (2014-2016)
1 Tourist The		s of tonnes, except for	` /
Sintering	5,252	99.7%	
Pig iron	4,300	87.0%	
Steel-making	5,177	82.8%	

Rolling 4,751 83.1%

Chelyabinsk Metallurgical Plant produced approximately 4.3 million tonnes of raw steel and 4.0 million tonnes of rolled products in 2013.

In 2008, we initiated construction of a universal rail and structural rolling mill at the Chelyabinsk Metallurgical Plant. The project is aimed at producing new types of large section structural shapes (including

101

beams, angles, rails, channels and special sections) with total output 1.1 million tonnes per annum. Italian Danieli & C. Officine Meccaniche S.p.A. (**Danieli**) is the equipment supplier and Chinese Minmetals Engineering Co. Ltd. (**Minmetals**) is the general contractor. Investments will amount to \$715.0 million. In July 2013, the universal rail and structural rolling mill was launched. At present, phased hot and guarantee tests of the equipment with the development of production are being carried out.

We expect that the main target customers for the universal rolling mill products will be Russian Railways and construction companies. On November 13, 2008, Chelyabinsk Metallurgical Plant and Russian Railways signed an agreement for supply of rails for the period until 2030. The annual minimum supply volume is fixed at 400 thousand tonnes of rail. Performance under the agreement is subject to the certification of rail products produced at the universal rolling mill, which is scheduled to begin in 2014.

In December 2010, Mechel Materials started the assembling of the main manufacturing equipment of the grinding-mixing complex for Portland blast-furnace slag cement production with 1.6 million tonnes capacity per annum on the premises of Chelyabinsk Metallurgical Plant. The main raw material is blast furnace slag produced by Chelyabinsk Metallurgical Plant. This complex is the first Russian facility producing high-quality Portland blast-furnace slag cement of certain grades (CEMIII/A, CEMIII/B, CEMIII/C). Portland blast-furnace slag cement is widely used in construction industry for production of reinforced concrete structures. The general contractor is Austrian FMW GmbH. The amount to be invested is \$172.8 million. In the third quarter of 2013, construction and assembly and commissioning works on the basic process equipment were completed. In the fourth quarter of 2013, we began production in the mode of experimental-industrial testing. The commissioning of the grinding-mixing complex is scheduled for 2014.

Izhstal

Izhstal is a special steel producer located in the western Urals city of Izhevsk, in the Republic of Udmurtia, a Russian administrative region also known as Udmurtia. Its customer base is largely comprised of companies from the aircraft, defense, engineering, automotive and construction industries. We acquired Izhstal in 2004.

Izhstal s principal production facilities include two EAFs of 30 and 40 tonnes; two ladle furnaces and a ladle vacuum oxygen decarburizer; a blooming mill for 100-220 millimeter square billets; three medium-sized long products rolling mills for 30-120 millimeter round bars, 30-90 millimeter square bars, bands and hexagonal bars; and one continuous small sort wire mill for 5.5-29 millimeter round, 12-28 millimeter square and 12-27 millimeter hexagonal light sections, reinforced steel and bands. In January 2011, stampings production was separated and transferred to the Izhevsk branch of Urals Stampings Plant. In June 2011, wire products production, which includes various drawing machines, a pickling line, bell furnaces and patenting lines, was spun-off into a branch of Beloretsk Metallurgical Plant. The following table sets forth the capacity, the capacity utilization rate and the planned increase in capacity for each of Izhstal s principal production areas.

	Capacity	Capacity	Planned
	in	Utilization	Increase
Production Area	2013	Rate in 2013	(2014-2016)
	(In thousan	ds of tonnes, except f	or percentages)
Steel-making	351	92.6%	
Rolling	420	84.1%	
Wire products	6	43.7%	

Izhstal produced approximately 325.0 thousand tonnes of raw steel, 353.2 thousand tonnes of rolled products and approximately 2.6 thousand tonnes of wire products in 2013.

Beloretsk Metallurgical Plant

Beloretsk Metallurgical Plant is a wire products plant in Beloretsk, in the southern part of Ural Mountains, which produces wire rod and a broad range of wire products from semi-finished steel products supplied by Chelyabinsk Metallurgical Plant. Its customers are largely from the construction and railways repair industries. We acquired Beloretsk Metallurgical Plant in 2002.

102

Beloretsk Metallurgical Plant s principal production lines include a steel-rolling workshop equipped with a wire mill for production of 5.5-13.5 millimeter wire rod; a number of wire products workshops equipped with drawing, rewinding, wire stranding, cabling and closing machines and heat treatment furnaces, wire annealing and galvanizing, patenting and galvanizing lines; low relaxation prestressed concrete wire and strand lines and a cold rolling line. In June 2011, wire products production facilities were transferred to Beloretsk Metallurgical Plant from Izhstal. The following table sets forth the capacity, the capacity utilization rate and the planned increase in capacity for each of Beloretsk Metallurgical Plant s principal production areas.

		Capacity	Planned
	Capacity	Utilization	Increase
Production Area	in 2013	Rate in 2013	(2014-2016)
	(In thousand	ds of tonnes, except f	or percentages)
Rolling	630	99.4%	
Wire products	667	86.5%	

Beloretsk Metallurgical Plant produced a total of 577.1 thousand tonnes of wire products in 2013. Rolled products production amounted to a total of 626.1 thousand tonnes, out of which 489.1 thousand tonnes were further processed into wire products and 136.9 thousand tonnes constituted the output volume of wire rod for third party customers.

Vyartsilya Metal Products Plant

Vyartsilya Metal Products Plant is a wire products plant in the Republic of Karelia, an administrative region in the northwest of Russia near the Finnish border that produces low carbon welding, general-purpose and structural wire, nails and steel bright and polymeric-coated chain link fences. The plant uses wire rod supplied by Chelyabinsk Metallurgical Plant and Beloretsk Metallurgical Plant. The plant s customers are largely from the construction industry. We acquired Vyartsilya Metal Products Plant in 2002.

Vyartsilya Metal Products Plant s principal production facilities include drawing and chain linking machines and nail-making presses. The following table sets forth the capacity, the capacity utilization rate and the planned increase in capacity for Vyartsilya Metal Products Plant s principal production area.

Production Area	Capacity in 2013	Capacity Utilization Rate in 2013	Planned Increase (2014-2016)
	(In thousand	ls of tonnes, except f	` /
Wire products	125	80.2%	

Vyartsilya Metal Products Plant produced 100.2 thousand tonnes of wire products in 2013.

Urals Stampings Plant

Urals Stampings Plant produces stampings and forgings from special steels and heat-resistant and titanium alloys for the aerospace, oil and gas, heavy engineering, railway transportation, power and other industries. Urals Stampings Plant sources its special steel needs from Chelyabinsk Metallurgical Plant. We acquired Urals Stampings Plant in 2003.

Principal production facilities of Urals Stampings Plant and its branches in Izhevsk and Chelyabinsk include 1.5-25 tonne swages and hydraulic presses. The following table sets forth the capacity, the capacity utilization rate and the planned increase in capacity for Urals Stampings Plant s principal production area.

		Capacity Utilization	Planned
Production Area	Capacity in 2013	Rate in 2013	Increase (2014-2016)
	(In thousand	ls of tonnes, except	for percentages)
Stampings and forgings	213	78.8%	

Urals Stampings Plant produced 167.6 thousand tonnes of special steel stampings and forgings in 2013.

Mechel Nemunas

Mechel Nemunas is a Lithuanian wire products plant that produces drawn, annealed and seized wire, nails, steel wire fiber and chain link fences. Its customers are primarily from the construction industry. We acquired Mechel Nemunas in 2003.

Mechel Nemunas s principal production facilities include drawing machines and nail-making presses with shank threading, chain linking machines and bell furnaces. The following table sets forth the capacity, the capacity utilization rate and the planned increase in capacity for Mechel Nemunas s principal production area.

	Capacity	Capacity	Planned
	in	Utilization	Increase
Production Area	2013	Rate in 2013	(2014-2016)
	(In thousan	ds of tonnes, except f	or percentages)
Wire products	90	83.5%	

Mechel Nemunas produced 75.2 thousand tonnes of wire products in 2013.

Sales of steel products

The following table sets forth our revenues by primary steel segment product categories and our main products within these categories (including as a percentage of total steel segment revenues) for the periods indicated.

	2013		20	12	2011		
		% of		% of		% of	
Revenues	Amount	Revenues	Amount	Revenues	Amount	Revenues	
	(.	In millions of	U.S. dollar	rs, except for	percentage	es)	
Pig Iron	69.1	1.4%	110.1	1.7%	122.6	1.7%	
Semi-Finished Products, including:	369.7	7.5%	897.2	14.0%	1,281.7	18.0%	
Carbon and Low-Alloyed Semi-Finished							
Products	344.0	6.9%	734.3	11.4%	1,032.5	14.5%	
Long Steel Products, including:	2,428.7	49.0%	2,778.7	43.3%	3,069.1	43.1%	
Stainless Long Products	42.6	0.9%	42.1	0.7%	33.5	0.5%	
Other Long Products	827.6	16.7%	923.7	14.4%	1,175.8	16.5%	
Rebar	1,439.8	29.0%	1,689.4	26.3%	1,645.5	23.1%	
Wire Rod	118.7	2.4%	123.5	1.9%	214.3	3.0%	
Flat Steel Products, including:	524.3	10.6%	636.1	9.9%	739.5	10.4%	
Stainless Flat Products	132.5	2.7%	147.2	2.3%	224.2	3.1%	
Carbon and Low-Alloyed Flat Products	391.8	7.9%	488.9	7.6%	515.3	7.2%	
Forgings, including:	156.2	3.2%	152.9	2.4%	167.0	2.3%	
Stainless Forgings	24.5	0.5%	39.4	0.6%	43.1	0.6%	
Other Forgings	131.7	2.7%	113.5	1.8%	123.9	1.7%	
Stampings	250.2	5.0%	289.7	4.5%	302.3	4.2%	
Wire Products, including:	760.1	15.3%	889.1	13.8%	944.3	13.2%	
Wire	479.4	9.7%	593.2	9.2%	641.9	9.0%	

Edgar Filing: Mechel OAO - Form 20-F

Ropes	75.3	1.5%	83.2	1.3%	92.6	1.3%
Other Wire Products	205.4	4.1%	212.7	3.3%	209.8	2.9%
Steel Pipes	182.4	3.7%	261.0	4.1%	240.6	3.4%
Other	215.5	4.3%	406.7	6.3%	261.3	3.7%
Total	4,956.2	100.0%	6,421.5	100.0%	7,128.4	100.0%

104

The following table sets forth by percentage of sales the regions in which our steel segment products were sold for the periods indicated.

Region ⁽¹⁾	2013	2012	2011
Russia	65.2%	59.8%	53.4%
Other CIS	11.8%	10.0%	6.8%
Europe	17.7%	19.4%	23.2%
Middle East ⁽²⁾	2.6%	5.7%	10.8%
Asia	2.4%	3.5%	0.8%
United States	0.1%	0.3%	1.8%
Other	0.2%	1.3%	3.2%
Total	100%	100%	100%

- (1) The regional breakdown of sales is based on the geographic location of our customers, and not on the location of the end users of our products, as our customers are often distributors that resell and, in some cases, further export our products.
- (2) Our steel segment sales to Middle East primarily go to Turkey and Saudi Arabia, which together accounted for 95.5% of the total steel segment sales to Middle East in 2013. We did not have any direct sales to Iran and Syria in 2013, and we have no plans to make such direct sales in the future.

In 2013, the five largest customers of our steel segment products were Pervouralsk New Pipe Plant (semi-finished steel products, long steel products, pig iron, flat steel products), Autocomponents GAZ Group OOO (long steel products, wire products), Al-Ittefaq Steel Products Co. (semi-finished steel products), Bacco Limited (long steel products) and SU-155 ZAO (long steel products, flat steel products, wire products), which together accounted for 4.7% of our steel segment sales.

In 2013, we continued operations with the related metallurgical plants. We also continued selling semi-finished steel products, long steel products, flat steel products and pig iron to Metallurg-Trust. These transactions were focused on expanding our group s operations and products range in the steel market and allowing the related metallurgical plants access to our group s strong supply and sales networks. Revenues from sales to the related metallurgical plants and Metallurg-Trust amounted to 4.6% of our steel segment sales in 2013. During 2013, the volume of operations with the related metallurgical plants and Metallurg-Trust decreased if compared to 2012 and 2011 due to temporary suspension of operations of certain metallurgical plant as well as in consequence of the initiation of bankruptcy proceedings against these companies in the fourth quarter of 2013. See Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions Related Party Transactions.

The majority of our steel segment export sales are made to end users in non-sanctioned countries on CFR and CPT basis, and the minority of our steel products is exported to independent distributors and traders on FOB basis. Contracts with distributors and traders generally specify certain ports to which we must deliver our products. The distributors and traders take delivery of our products at these locations, and further on-sell the products to other distributors or end users. When these distributors take delivery of our products, we are provided in certain instances with documentation showing the further destination of our products. We do not have control over the final destination of our products, contractually or otherwise. We refer to such sales as indirect sales .

Based on such documentation, we are aware that certain of our products are sold into and can be re-sold to countries that are subject to international trade restrictions or economic embargoes that prohibit and/or materially restrict certain persons (for instance, U.S. incorporated entities and U.S. citizens or residents) from engaging in commercial, financial or trade transactions with such countries, including Iran, Syria and Belarus (the **Sanctioned Countries**). We estimate that approximately 0.7% of our total sales in 2013 were sold in the Sanctioned Countries, of which 0.3% were indirect sales by independent distributors and traders to other distributors or end users and 0.4% were direct sales to customers in Belarus.

105

We are aware of governmental initiatives in the United States and elsewhere to adopt laws, regulations or policies prohibiting or materially restricting transactions with or investment in, or requiring divestment from, entities doing business with the Sanctioned Countries. We recognize that acts prohibiting or restricting the foregoing can sometimes be applied to our company and that dealings with the Sanctioned Countries can have an adverse effect on our business reputation.

The following table sets forth information on our domestic and export sales of our primary steel product categories for the periods indicated. We define exports as sales by our Russian and foreign subsidiaries to customers located outside their respective countries. We define domestic sales as sales by our Russian and foreign subsidiaries to customers located within their respective countries. See note 24 to the consolidated financial statements.

Products	2013	2012	2011	
	(In millions of U.S. dollars, except for percenta			
Pig Iron	69.1	110.1	122.5	
Domestic Sales	83.0%	46.2%	17.7%	
Export	17.0%	53.8%	82.3%	
Semi-Finished Steel Products	369.7	897.2	1,281.7	
Domestic Sales	27.7%	29.5%	27.3%	
Export	72.3%	70.5%	72.7%	
Long Steel Products	2,428.8	2,778.7	3,069.1	
Domestic Sales	85.3%	85.1%	81.9%	
Export	14.7%	14.9%	18.1%	
Flat Steel Products	524.2	636.1	739.5	
Domestic Sales	89.8%	87.6%	86.1%	
Export	10.2%	12.4%	13.9%	
Forgings	156.2	152.9	167.0	
Domestic Sales	77.0%	83.7%	78.4%	
Export	23.0%	16.3%	21.6%	
Stampings	250.2	289.7	302.3	
Domestic Sales	93.9%	93.7%	91.9%	
Export	6.1%	6.3%	8.1%	
Wire Products	760.1	889.1	944.3	
Domestic Sales	85.2%	80.7%	78.2%	
Export	14.8%	19.3%	21.8%	
Steel Pipes	182.4	261.0	240.6	
Domestic Sales	96.7%	96.1%	98.9%	
Export	3.3%	3.9%	1.1%	
Other	215.5	406.7	261.4	
Domestic Sales	93.9%	99.0%	97.1%	
Export	6.1%	1.0%	2.9%	
m . 1	1.056.2	c 101 5	7.120.4	
Total	4,956.2	6,421.5	7,128.4	
Domestic Sales	82.4%	78.0%	72.4%	
Export	17.6%	22.0%	27.6%	

The end users of our steel products vary. Our rebar is principally used in the construction industry. The main end users of our wire rod are small wire-drawing operations. Our carbon flat steel products are used in the construction (covers, floor plates), automotive (spare parts) and pipe manufacturing industries. Our high-quality round bars are used in various moving parts manufactured by the automotive industry (spare parts, gear boxes), the engineering industry (hydraulic devices, drill bits), the shipbuilding industry (forged parts), the aircraft industry (spare parts for turbines and gears) and other industries. Our forgings and stampings are primarily used in the automotive, aerospace, petrochemical, textile and food industries and consumer goods sector.

106

The following table sets forth by percentage a breakdown of our shipment volumes of all products produced in Russia by industry sector within the Russian market in 2013.

						Railways	
				Engineering	Co	onstruction	n
		Metals	Ferrous	and	Vehicles	and	Other
Use by Industry	Construction	Trading	Metallurgy	Metalworkin y /	Ianufacturing	Repair	Industries ⁽¹⁾
Semi-Finished Steel							
Products	0.0%	0.3%	96.6%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	3.0%
Long Steel Products	67.1%	8.5%	9.7%	4.0%	1.5%	0.3%	8.9%
Flat Steel Products	16.8%	34.3%	25.1%	6.7%	1.3%	0.1%	15.7%
Forgings	0.0%	41.6%	41.5%	14.7%	1.5%	0.0%	0.7%
Stampings	0.0%	5.3%	0.2%	16.5%	76.2%	0.5%	1.3%
Wire Products	20.8%	35.1%	12.6%	4.9%	2.0%	4.1%	20.5%
Steel Pipes	31.9%	45.4%	6.2%	2.1%	0.1%	0.0%	14.3%

(1) Including mining and power industries and consumer goods sector.

Marketing and distribution

We use flexible sales strategies that are tailored to our customers and the markets we serve. Our overall sales strategy is to develop long-term close partnership with the end users of our products. As part of our end-user strategy, we research sales to distributors to identify the end user and directly market our steel capabilities and products to these customers. With respect to our largest end users, we have established working committees, composed of our manufacturing engineers and customer personnel. These committees meet quarterly to monitor the performance of our products and ensure that our customers specifications and quality requirements are consistently met. These committees also provide customers with the opportunity to discuss their future needs with us. Our sales force also regularly follows up with these and many of our other customers. We attend industry conferences and advertise in industry periodicals to market our products and capabilities. Through these efforts, we have established a strong brand identity for Mechel throughout Russia and other countries of the CIS, Central Europe, South-East Asia and the Middle East (in particular, Turkey).

Mechel Service Global, through its subsidiaries, provides end users in Russia, the CIS and Europe with our steel products. Mechel Service Global subsidiaries help us to develop and service our long-standing customer relationships by providing highly specialized technical sales and service to our customers.

In 2013, most of our production facilities handled their domestic wholesales independently, and our export wholesales were marketed by Mechel Trading and Mechel Service Global.

In 2013, we also marketed and sold steel products sourced from, and supplied our products as well as products we purchased on the market to the related metallurgical plants. By the end of 2013, the volume of our transactions with the related metallurgical plants reduced significantly due to ceasing the partnership with these companies. See Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions Related Party Transactions.

Domestic sales

Our Russian steel production facilities Chelyabinsk Metallurgical Plant, Izhstal and Urals Stampings Plant are located in large industrial areas and have long-standing relationships with local wholesale customers. Mechel Service, a Russian subsidiary of Mechel Service Global, has 70 storage sites in 52 cities throughout Russia to serve our end users, which helps us to establish long-standing customer relationships by virtue of proximity to both production and customers. Mechel Service had 1,587 employees as of December 31, 2013.

107

Export sales

Most of the exports in our steel segment are made to end users in non-sanctioned countries and minority to independent distributors and traders, which then sell our products to end users. Our export sales are carried out by our Swiss subsidiary Mechel Trading.

Our production facilities supply high-quality rolled steel products to the subsidiaries of Mechel Service Global in Western Europe either directly, or through the logistics center in the Port of Antwerp. Our logistics center in the Port of Antwerp also allows us to sell high-quality rolled steel products to manufacturing and service companies on a walk-in basis.

Distribution

Rail transportation is used for most of shipments from our production facilities and warehouses to our end customers, wholesale warehouses or seaports.

Market share and competition

In our core export markets, we primarily compete with Russian and Ukrainian producers. The leading global steel manufacturers have been increasingly focused on value-added and higher-priced products. The principal competitive factors include price, distribution, product quality, product range and customer service.

In the Russian market, we compete on the basis of price and quality of steel products, their added value, product range and service, technological innovation and proximity to customers. The Russian steel industry is characterized by a relatively high concentration of production, with the six largest integrated steel producers, including ourselves, accounting for 84.0% of overall domestic crude steel output in 2013, according to Metal Expert.

The following is a brief description of Russia s five largest steel producers excluding ourselves:

Novolipetsk Metallurgical Works OAO (NLMK) is Russia s largest steel manufacturer by volume, accounting for 17.9% of the volume of Russian commodity steel production in 2013. The company produces primarily flat products (hot-rolled and cold-rolled), including galvanized products. NLMK exported 70.4% of its products in 2013. Domestically, NLMK s largest customers are in the construction and oil and gas industries, followed by companies in the automotive sector. NLMK also controls iron ore producer Stoylensky GOK and coke producer Altai-Koks. The company s steel facilities are located in Lipetsk, to the southeast of Moscow. NLMK also controls Maxi-Group OAO in Russia, which operates a steel production site in the Sverdlovsk region: long steel producer Nizhneserginsky Hardware & Metallurgical Works. This facility and newly built iron and steel plant NLMK-Kaluga are managed by the NLMK-Long steel OOO which had a 3.2% share in domestic commodity steel products output in 2013.

Magnitogorsk Iron & Steel Works OAO (MMK) is Russia s second largest steel manufacturer by volume, accounting for 17.1% of the volume of Russian commodity steel products output (including long products, flat products and semi-finished products) in 2013. MMK s product mix is comprised mostly of flat products, representing 83.0% of its commercial steel products output (including semis) in 2013. Domestically, MMK controls a significant portion of the supplies to the oil and gas and automotive sectors. MMK exported

26.8% of its output in 2013. Its production facilities are located in Magnitogorsk in the southern Urals.

Evraz plc (Evraz), whose Russian operations include the steel producers Evraz NTMK and Evraz ZSMK, is Russia s third largest steel manufacturer by volume on a consolidated basis, accounting for 16.5% of Russia s total commodity steel products output in 2013. Evraz focuses on the production of long products, including rebar, wire rod and profiled rolled products (such as rails, beams, channels and

108

angles). Evraz exported 48.4% of its output in 2013. Evraz also controls iron ore producers Evraz KGOK and Evrazruda, as well as coking coal producers Yuzhkuzbassugol Coal Company OAO and Raspadskaya OAO.

Severstal OAO had a 15.8% share by volume of Russian commodity steel products output in 2013. The company specializes in flat products which constitute a significant part of its production. Severstal is the second-leading producer of flat products and controls 24.1% of Russia s total flat products output. Domestic sales of flat products accounted for 67.5% of Severstal s output in 2013, with the oil and gas industry and automotive sector as its leading customers. Severstal controls coal producer VorkutaUgol and iron ore producers Karelsky Okatysh and Olenegorsky GOK, which satisfy a portion of Severstal s coking coal and iron ore requirements. Severstal also controls newly built Long Product Mill Balakovo.

Metalloinvest Management Company OOO (Metalloinvest), whose Russian assets consist of Oskolsky Electric Metallurgical Works OAO (OEMK) and Ural Steel OAO, had a 5.8% share of Russian commodity steel products output. OEMK produces long products only, and Ural Steel produces both long and flat products. Metalloinvest exported 63.4% of its commodity steel production in 2013. The company s production facilities are located in the Central and Urals federal districts of Russia. Metalloinvest also controls Russia s largest iron ore and pellets production facilities: Lebedinsky GOK OAO and Mikhailovsky GOK OAO.

Source: Company websites; Metal Expert.

These six companies, including ourselves, can be divided into two groups by product type. MMK, Severstal and NLMK focus mainly on flat products, while we, Evraz and Metalloinvest produce primarily long products. Mechel is the second largest and most comprehensive producer of special steel and alloys in Russia, and accounted for 28.0% of total Russian special steel output by volume in 2013, according to Chermet and Metal Expert. We are also the second largest producer of long steel products (excluding square billets) in Russia by volume, with significant market shares in both regular long steel products and special steel long products, according to Metal Expert and Chermet.

In the Russian non-special steel long products category, our primary products and our market position by production volume in 2013 were as follows, according to Metal Expert:

Reinforcement bar (*rebar*) In rebar, we compete in the 6-40 millimeters range. In 2013, the largest domestic rebar producers were Evraz (22.8%), NLMK-Long steel (20.3%), Mechel (19.0%), Severstal (6.9%) and MMK (6.8%).

Wire rod There were five major producers of wire rod in Russia in 2013: Mechel (35.3%), MMK (18.7%), Evraz (17.6%), NLMK-Long steel (15.6%) and Severstal (12.8%).

OEMK, an electric arc furnace steel mill specializing in carbon and special steel long products and our nearest special steel competitor, is located in the southwest of Russia and serves customers in the pipe, engineering and ball-bearing industries.

According to Metal Expert and Chermet, we were one of the leading producers in Russia of special steel long products (bearing, tool, high-speed and stainless long steel) in 2013, producing 19.0% of the total Russian output by volume, and we had significant shares of Russian 2013 production volumes of stainless long products (12.1%), tool steel (20.5%) and high-speed steel (36.7%).

109

The following tables set forth additional information regarding our 2013 market shares in Russia for various categories of steel products.

All long products (excluding square billets)

	.	Market Share by Production
Manufacturer	Production	Volume
	(In thousands of tonnes, ex	xcept for percentages)
Evraz plc	5,933	31.9%
Mechel OAO	3,018	16.2%
NLMK-Long steel OOO	2,018	10.9%
MMK OAO	1,808	9.7%
Severstal OAO	1,203	6.5%
Metalloinvest Management Company OOO	729	3.9%
Other	3,876	20.9%
Total	18,585	100.0%

Source: Metal Expert.

Long products Wire rod⁽¹⁾

		Market Share
		by Production
Manufacturer	Production	Volume
	(In thousands of tonnes, exc	cept for percentages)
Mechel OAO	947	35.3%
MMK OAO	502	18.7%
Evraz plc	472	17.6%
NLMK-Long steel OOO	419	15.6%
Severstal OAO	341	12.8%
Total	2,681	100.0%

Source: Metal Expert.

⁽¹⁾ Including wire rod further processed into wire and other products within the same holding company.

Long products Rebar

		Market Share by Production
Manufacturer	Production	Volume
	(In thousands of tonnes, ex	cept for percentages)
Evraz plc	1,801	22.8%
NLMK-Long steel OOO	1,599	20.3%
Mechel OAO	1,502	19.0%
Severstal OAO	546	6.9%
MMK OAO	533	6.8%
Other	1,906	24.2%
Total	7.887	100.0%

Source: Metal Expert.

Flat stainless steel

		Market Share by Production
Manufacturer	Production	Volume
	(In thousands of tonnes, e	xcept for percentages)
Mechel OAO	31.1	64.3%
VMZ Red October	13.2	27.3%
Other	4.0	8.4%
Total	48.3	100.0%

Source: Metal Expert.

Wire products

		Market Share by Production
Manufacturer	Production	Volume
	(In thousands of tonnes, exce	ept for percentages)
Mechel OAO	631.2	33.2%
Severstal-Metiz OAO	397.5	20.9%
MMK-Metiz OAO	362.2	19.1%
NLMK- Metiz OOO	300.9	15.8%
Evraz plc	189.5	10.0%
Other	19.2	1.0%
Total	1,900.5	100.0%

Source: Prommetiz, manufacturers data.

Wire products Spring wire

		Market Share	
		by Production	
Manufacturer	Production	Volume	
	(In thousands of tonnes, ex	nousands of tonnes, except for percentages)	
Mechel OAO	51.1	62.0%	

Edgar Filing: Mechel OAO - Form 20-F

Severstal-Metiz OAO	25.7	31.1%
MMK-Metiz OAO	5.7	6.9%
Total	82.5	100.0%

Source: Manufacturers data.

Wire products High-tensile wire

Manufacturer	Production	Market Share by Production Volume
	(In thousands of tonnes, ex	cept for percentages)
Severstal-Metiz OAO	57.0	48.1%
Mechel OAO	54.8	46.3%
MMK-Metiz OAO	6.7	5.6%
Total	118.5	100.0%

Source: Manufacturers data.

Raw materials

The principal raw materials we use in pig iron production are iron ore products (sinter of our own production and purchased oxidized pellets), coke and limestone. Pig iron is made in blast furnaces. For sinter production we use iron ore concentrate. Iron ore concentrate is converted into sinter at Chelyabinsk Metallurgical Plant. In 2013, our steel-making operations used 5.6 million tonnes of iron ore feed, approximately 15% in the form of pellets and 2% in the form of sinter, and we internally sourced approximately 10% of our total iron ore concentrate requirements during this period. Korshunov Mining Plant supplied our steel segment with 0.4 million tonnes of iron ore concentrate in 2013. In 2013, we purchased most of the remaining part of our iron ore feed from Russian suppliers such as Karelsky Okatysh, Mikhailovsky GOK and Bakalskoye Rudoupravlenie, as well as Kazakh suppliers such as Sokolov-Sarbai Mining Production Association under monthly, quarterly and annual contracts on market terms.

We process coking coal concentrate into coke at Mechel Coke and Moscow Coke and Gas Plant. In 2013, our production facilities used 4.0 million tonnes of coking coal concentrate (including 3.1 million tonnes used by Mechel Coke and 0.9 million tonnes used by Moscow Coke and Gas Plant), and 54% of total usage was sourced internally. Coke is used both in pig iron production at Chelyabinsk Metallurgical Plant and in ferrosilicon production at Bratsk Ferroalloy Plant. In 2013, we produced and internally used approximately 2.0 million tonnes of coke as well as produced and sold another approximately 1.0 million tonnes of coke to third parties.

We internally source all of our limestone requirements from our Pugachevsky Open Pit. In 2013, we supplied approximately 952.6 thousand tonnes of limestone to our steel production facilities.

We produce 76% of steel in basic oxygen furnaces. In steel-making, scrap is used in the composition of feedstock, and we are approximately 33% self-sufficient in this raw material, which amounts to 477.6 thousand tonnes of scrap, sourcing the balance from various scrap traders. We generate our scrap supply through, among others, Mechel Vtormet, our scrap metal processing company.

In 2013, we used nickel sourced from Ufaleynickel and Normetimpeks ZAO in the production of stainless and other special steels. In 2013, our production facilities used 4.0 thousand tonnes of nickel.

In 2013, our production facilities used 31.2 thousand tonnes of ferrosilicon (including 28.2 thousand tonnes at Chelyabinsk Metallurgical Plant, 0.4 thousand tonnes at the Chelyabinsk branch of Urals Stampings Plant and 2.6 thousand tonnes at Izhstal), almost all of which was supplied by Bratsk Ferroalloy Plant.

Steel-making requires significant amounts of electricity to power electric arc furnaces, ladle furnaces and rolling mills and to produce sinter. In 2013, our steel operations consumed approximately 2.8 billion kWh of electricity, of which approximately 2.0 billion kWh was used at Chelyabinsk Metallurgical Plant. Chelyabinsk Metallurgical Plant, Moscow Coke and Gas Plant and Urals Stampings Plant have power co-generation facilities, which are operated by Mechel Energo. In 2013, these facilities produced 1.3 billion kWh of electricity, yielding approximately 23% self-sufficiency overall for our group, which consumed 5.5 billion kWh of electricity in 2013. The balance was purchased in the wholesale and retail electricity markets. Aside from Southern Kuzbass Power Plant, which ran on steam coal in 2013, our power-generating facilities work on blast furnace and coke gas, which are by-products of our steel-making operations, and natural gas, which we purchase from Novatek and Gazprom. In 2013, we consumed 1,911.9 million cubic meters of blast furnace gas, 481.1 million cubic meters of coke gas and 1,030.2 million cubic meters of natural gas. In 2013, Southern Kuzbass Power Plant consumed 1.6 million tonnes of steam coal sourced from our own coal mining assets.

Large amounts of water are also required in the production of steel. Water serves as a re-solvent, accelerator and washing agent. Water is used to cool equipment components, to carry away waste, to help produce and distribute heat and power and to dilute liquids. One of the principal sources of water is rivers, and many of our production facilities recirculate a portion of water used for their production needs. For example, Chelyabinsk

112

Metallurgical Plant sources 90% of its water needs from recirculated water and the rest from a local river. Izhstal sources 80% of its water needs from recirculated water, 10% from recycled water and the rest from a storage reservoir. Beloretsk Metallurgical Plant sources 74% of its water needs from recirculated and recycled water and the rest from a storage reservoir and a local river.

Transportation costs are a significant component of our production costs and a factor in our price-competitiveness in export markets. Rail transportation is our principal means of transporting raw materials from our mines to processing facilities and products to domestic customers and to ports for shipment overseas. For a description of our railway freight and forwarding subsidiary, see Mining Segment Marketing and distribution above.

For a description of how seasonal factors impact our use and reserve levels of raw materials see Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects Trend Information.

Trade restrictions

Trade restrictions in the form of tariffs and duties are widespread in the steel industry. However, we are less exposed than most other Russian steel producers to these trade restrictions as restrictions on Russian exports have mainly been directed against flat products, whereas most of our exports consist of long products, such as wire rod and rebar. In addition, the abolition by the Russian government of steel export duties in 2002 has also effectively improved exports of Russian steel. In the future the Russian government may restore export duties on steel products and may also impose export duties on some raw materials, such as coal and iron ore concentrate.

Ferroalloys Segment

Our ferroalloys segment sells ferrosilicon produced at Bratsk Ferroalloy Plant. Previously, we also sold ferronickel produced at Southern Urals Nickel Plant, which included two open pit nickel ore mines and a ferronickel production site. Production was suspended in December 2012 due to the decline in demand for nickel on global markets. In July 2013, we made a decision to close the plant. Also, we previously sold ferrochrome produced at Tikhvin Ferroalloy Plant which was supplied chrome ore concentrate from Voskhod Mining Plant. In December 2013, we disposed of Tikhvin Ferroalloy Plant and Voskhod Mining Plant to a third party. See Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects Business Structure Recent acquisitions and disposals. The results of operations of Southern Urals Nickel Plant, Tikhvin Ferroalloy Plant and Voskhod Mining Plant are included in the consolidated financial statements as discontinued operations. See note 3(c) to the consolidated financial statements.

The following table sets forth our production volumes for our ferroalloys segment products.

	20	013	2012	2011
	(In thous	ands of to	nnes)
Ferrosilicon	9	2.9	85.2	82.7

Description of key products

Ferrosilicon. Ferrosilicon is used in ferrous metallurgy as a deoxidizer or as an alloying element for production of electrotechnical, spring wire, corrosion-resistant and heat resistant steel grades, or as a pig iron modifier. In nonferrous metallurgy, ferrosilicon is used as a reducing agent for production of nonferrous metals and alloys. We produce two types of ferrosilicon: with 65% and 75% silicon content in the alloy. The ferrosilicon we produce is a high-C ferrosilicon, which contains 0.1% carbon. We offer our customers ferrosilicon from our Bratsk Ferroalloy Plant.

113

Manufacturing process

Ferrosilicon. Ferrosilicon is produced in electric arc furnaces in a continuous ore smelting process. Silicon is reduced from quartzite with coke and coal carbon and alloyed with steel cutting iron. Ferrosilicon is discharged from the furnace periodically. After cooling, metal ingots are split and sorted into various commercial fractions.

Silicate nickel ore production

Through our acquisition of Oriel Resources in April-October 2008, we acquired a 90% interest in the Shevchenko nickel project (**Shevchenko**), located in northwestern Kazakhstan. In January 2009, we acquired the remaining 10% interest in Shevchenko, giving us a current 100% interest.

The Shevchenko silicate nickel ore deposit is located in Kazakhstan s Kostanay region. The subsoil license for the Shevchenko deposit was issued by the Government of Kazakhstan in 1997 for a period of 20 years. Shevchenko is a development stage mineral asset without reportable reserves. Currently, relevant engineering studies are being undertaken.

The table below sets forth certain information regarding the subsoil license for our silicate nickel ore deposit.

					Year	Surface
		License		Area	Production	Land Use
License Area	License Holder	Expiry Date	Status	(sq. km)	Commenced	Rights
Shevchenko	Kazakhstansky Nickel Mining	March 2017	Feasibility study	103.8	n/a	Lease
	Company					

Quartzite production

Bratsk Ferroalloy Plant holds the subsoil license for the Uvatskoye deposit of quartzite and quartzite sandstones, a raw material used for ferrosilicon production. The deposit is accessible by unpaved road and located 20 kilometers southwest of Nizhneudinsk in the Irkutsk region. In 2011, we conducted successful technological tests of an experimental batch of quartzite for smelting of ferrosilicon. We completed the exploration of the alluvial part of the southern area of the Uvatskoye deposit and applied to the Department for Subsoil Use for the Irkutsk region (Irkutsknedra) with a plan of the pilot commercial development of the alluvial part of the southern area. Irkutsknedra agreed the plan and recommended further geologic exploration within the entire license area of the Uvatskoye deposit. In 2012, drilling and sampling activities were conducted. Since 2013, with the view of development of processing methods and technical and economic parameters of the deposit, the pilot commercial development of the alluvial part of the southern area of the Uvatskoye deposit is being carried out. Currently, laboratory studies of the selected core in order to determine the qualitative characteristics of the mineral are being conducted. We also continue the exploration of the other two areas of the Uvatskoye deposit. In light of the above, we are not able to state the amount of proven reserves for the Uvatskoye quartzite deposit.

The table below sets forth certain information regarding the subsoil license for our quartzite and quartzite sandstones deposit.

License Area License Holder Status⁽¹⁾

		License Expiry Date		 Year Production Commenced	
Uvatskove	Bratsk Ferroalloy Plant	July 2033	Exploration and development	n/a	Lease

(1) Exploration and development refers to sites where preliminary work and drilling for calculation of mineral reserves are being carried out.

114

Ferroalloys production facilities

Bratsk Ferroalloy Plant

Bratsk Ferroalloy Plant is the largest enterprise in Eastern Siberia producing high-grade ferrosilicon. Ferrosilicon is used in the steel-making industry for manufacturing carbon and stainless deoxidizers of most steel grades or as alloying element for production of insulating, acid-proof and heatproof steel grades, or pig iron modifier, as well as reducing agent for production of nonferrous metals and alloys. Approximately 5-6 kg of ferrosilicon is used in every tonne of steel produced. Ferrosilicon is a primary raw material for alloyed steels produced by Chelyabinsk Metallurgical Plant. We acquired Bratsk Ferroalloy Plant in 2007.

The main production facilities of the plant include three ore-thermal furnaces with a capacity of 25 megavolt-amperes (MVA) and one ore-thermal furnace with a capacity of 33 MVA. In October 2010, we signed contracts with Siberian Plant of Electrothermal Equipment (Sibelectrotherm JSC, Novosibirsk) for the supply of four ore-thermal furnaces with a capacity of 33 MVA each to replace the existing furnaces. After the project s completion Bratsk Ferroalloy Plant s production capacity will increase by 30% and its power consumption will be reduced by 10-13%. We commenced commercial operations of one new furnace in the second quarter of 2013.

The following table sets forth the capacity, the capacity utilization rate and the planned increase in capacity for Bratsk Ferroalloy Plant s principal production area.

Production Area	Capacity in 2013	Capacity Utilization Rate in 2013	Planned Increase (2014-2016)
	(In thousand	s of tonnes, except fo	r percentages)
Ferrosilicon	92.3	100.7%	

Bratsk Ferroalloy Plant produced 92.9 tonnes of ferrosilicon in 2013.

Sales of ferroalloy products

The following table sets forth our revenues by ferroalloys segment product categories (including as a percentage of total ferroalloys segment revenues) for the periods indicated.

	2	013	2	012	2	011
		% of		% of		% of
Revenues	Amount	Revenues	Amount	Revenues	Amount	Revenues
	(In millions of	f U.S. dolla	rs, except for	r percentag	ges)
Ferrosilicon	77.0	94.5%	65.6	95.9%	84.7	96.4%
Other	4.5	5.5%	2.8	4.1%	3.2	3.6%
Total	81.5	100%	68.4	100%	87.9	100%

The following table sets forth by percentage of sales the regions in which our ferroalloys segment products were sold for the periods indicated.

Edgar Filing: Mechel OAO - Form 20-F

Region ⁽¹⁾	2013	2012	2011
Russia	57.5%	81.7%	86.2%
Asia	31.2%	17.3%	7.6%
Other CIS	5.5%	0.1%	6.2%
United States	4.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Other	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Europe	0.5%	0.9%	0.0%
•			
Total	100%	100%	100%

(1) The regional breakdown of sales is based on the geographic location of our customers, and not on the location of the end users of our products, as our customers are often distributors that resell and, in some cases, further export our products.

In 2013, our ferroalloys segment sales outside of Russia were principally to Asia. Sales in Asia accounted for 31.2% of our total ferroalloys segment sales. The following table sets forth information about the five largest customers of our ferroalloys segment, which together accounted for 54.2% of our total ferroalloys segment sales in 2013.

	% of		
	Total		
	Ferroalloys		% of Total
	Segment		Products
Customer	Sales	Product	Sales
Severstal	18.4%	Ferrosilicon	19.4%
Mitsui & Co.	11.8%	Ferrosilicon	12.5%
ACTS Trading Corporation	9.3%	Ferrosilicon	9.9%
MMK	7.8%	Ferrosilicon	8.2%
TMZ TD	6.9%	Ferrosilicon	7.3%

The following table sets forth information on our domestic and export sales of our ferroalloy products for the periods indicated. We define exports as sales by our Russian and foreign subsidiaries to customers located outside their respective countries. We define domestic sales as sales by our Russian and foreign subsidiaries to customers located within their respective countries. See note 24 to the consolidated financial statements.

Products	2013 (In millions of U.S	2012 . dollars, except for	2011 percentages)
Ferrosilicon	77.0	65.6	84.7
Domestic Sales	57.0%	80.9%	87.3%
Export	43.0%	19.1%	12.7%
Other	4.5	2.8	3.2
Domestic Sales	66.3%	100.0%	56.5%
Export	33.7%	0.0%	43.5%
Total	81.5	68.4	87.9
Domestic Sales	57.5%	81.6%	86.2%
Export	42.5%	18.4%	13.8%

Marketing and distribution

Domestic sales

In 2013, ferrosilicon was sold to Russian domestic customers such as Severstal, MMK, TMZ TD, OEMK and SMR, which together accounted for 42.2% of the total ferrosilicon sales by revenue and 39.9% of the total ferroalloys segment revenues.

Domestic sales are conducted directly by our Bratsk Ferroalloy Plant. We supply ferrosilicon to the Russian market under annual contracts with monthly adjustment of prices and volumes. Price adjustments are based on the domestic spot market prices.

Export sales

In 2013, ferrosilicon export sales were primarily to the following customers: Mitsui & Co., ACTS Trading Corporation, Sojitz Corporation, Yenakiieve Iron and Steel Works and CCMA, LLC, which together accounted

116

for 36.3% of our total ferrosilicon sales and 34.3% of our total ferroalloys segment revenues. Deliveries to Japanese customers were effected on CIF delivery terms (including transportation by railway, handling in ports of Nakhodka, Vladivostok and Vostochny and use major container lines to major Japanese ports and insurance). We mostly sell ferrosilicon on spot basis.

Market share and competition

According to Metal Expert, Mechel is the third largest Russian producer of ferrosilicon by volume. In 2013, we had a 15.7% market share by volume of Russian ferrosilicon production.

Following is a brief description of Russia s other largest ferrosilicon producers, according to Metal Expert and the companies data:

Kuznetsk Ferroalloys OAO (**Kuznetsk Ferroalloys**) is the largest Russian ferrosilicon producer, with a 46.7% market share by production volume in 2013. It controls Yurginsk Ferroalloys Plant OAO. Kuznetsk Ferroalloys produces microsilica and quartzite. It is primarily export-oriented, having exported 95.5% of its ferrosilicon production volume in 2013.

Chelyabinsk Electro-Metallurgical Plant OAO (ChEMK) is the second largest Russian ferrosilicon producer, with a 17.5% market share by production volume in 2013. In addition it produces ferrochrome, silicomanganese and silicocalcium. ChEMK exports most of its production. In 2013, it exported 53.0% by volume of its ferrosilicon production.

The following table sets forth additional information regarding our 2013 ferrosilicon market share in Russia.

Ferrosilicon

			Market Share by Production
Manufacturer	Region	Production	Volume, %
	(In thousands of	tonnes, except f	or percentages)
Kuznetsk Ferroalloys OAO	Kemerovo	292.6	46.7%
Chelyabinsk Electro-Metallurgical Plant OAO	Chelyabinsk	109.3	17.5%
Bratsk Ferroalloy Plant OOO	Irkutsk	98.4	15.7%
Yurginsk Ferroalloys Plant OAO	Kemerovo	70.2	11.2%
Serov Ferroalloys Plant OAO	Sverdlovsk	35.2	5.6%
Novolipetsk Metallurgical Works OAO	Lipetsk	20.3	3.3%
	_		
Total		626.0	100.0%

Source: Metal Expert.

Trade restrictions

In February 2008, an antidumping duty in the amount of 17.8% was imposed on exports to the European Union of ferrosilicon produced by our Bratsk Ferroalloy Plant for a period of five years. In February 2013, the European Commission initiated an expiry review of the antidumping measures applicable to imports of ferrosilicon. In April 2014, the antidumping duty was extended for another five years.

The U.S. Department of Commerce has recently initiated an antidumping procedure against imports of ferrosilicon from Russia, including ferrosilicon produced by Bratsk Ferroalloy Plant. We cannot predict the outcome of this procedure and whether the antidumping duty will be imposed.

117

Power Segment

Our power segment generates and sells electricity to our group companies and to external customers. It enables us to market high value-added products made from our steam coal, such as electricity and heat energy, and to increase the electric power self-sufficiency of the mining and steel segments of our business. Our power segment consists of a power generating plant Southern Kuzbass Power Plant with installed capacity of 554 MW, power co-generation facilities at Chelyabinsk Metallurgical Plant, Moscow Coke and Gas Plant and Urals Stampings Plant with installed capacity of 229 MW, 24.7 MW and 3.5 MW, respectively, and a power distribution company Kuzbass Power Sales Company. Our subsidiary Mechel Energo manages our power business.

Below is a brief description of the power facilities we currently own.

The following table sets out total volumes of electricity production by our power segment.

	2013	2012	2011
	(In	million kW	h)
Electricity	3,972.3	4,272.6	3,920.9

Southern Kuzbass Power Plant

The Southern Kuzbass Power Plant is located in Kaltan in the Kemerovo region, which is south of Russia s coal-rich Kuzbass district. It has a total installed capacity of 554 MW and installed heat capacity of 506 Gcal/h. The electricity output of the plant for the year ended December 31, 2013 was 2,337.3 million kWh. The heat energy generated by the plant for the year ended December 31, 2013 was 688.1 thousand Gcal. We acquired Southern Kuzbass Power Plant in 2007.

The Southern Kuzbass Power Plant uses steam coal as fuel, which is supplied to it from local sources, including our Southern Kuzbass Coal Company. In 2013, it consumed 1.6 million tonnes of steam coal sourced from Southern Kuzbass Coal Company.

The generation facilities of the Southern Kuzbass Power Plant are listed below:

	Month and Year of			
		Commissioning at	Installed	Electricity
	Year of	Southern Kuzbass	Capacity	Production in
Generation Unit No.	Manufacture	Power Plant	(MW)	2013 (million kWh)
VK-50-2 LMZ	1950	April 1951	53	46.9
VK-50-2 LMZ	1950	November 1951	53	240.0
VK-50-2 LMZ	1950	August 1952	53	294.4
VK-50-2 LMZ	1952	February 1953	53	191.8
T-115-8,8 LMZ	1996	December 2003	113	524.1
T-88/106-90 LMZ	1953	July 1954	88	502.6
VK-50-2 LMZ	1954	December 1954	53	207.5
T-88/106-90 LMZ	1953	September 1956	88	330.0

Total 554 2,337.3

The plant sells electricity and capacity on the wholesale market only, as well as heat energy directly to consumers. In Russia it is common for thermal power plants to produce and sell heat energy, sometimes in the form of industrial steam and sometimes in the form of hot water, for business and residential heating and household use, which is distributed in towns and cities by a network of hot water distribution pipes. Southern Kuzbass Power Plant s heat energy is distributed at regulated prices in the form of hot water in Kaltan and Osinniki.

118

Kuzbass Power Sales Company

Kuzbass Power Sales Company is the largest power distribution company in the Kemerovo region. Its marketed power volume in 2013 amounted to 10.1 billion kWh. We acquired Kuzbass Power Sales Company in 2007. The addition of Kuzbass Power Sales Company, along with Southern Kuzbass Power Plant, allows us to improve the utilization of our existing power co-generation capabilities and provides a base for growth in the power industry.

Kuzbass Power Sales Company sells electricity on the retail market. The company sells electricity to households, social infrastructure companies, housing and public utilities and large industrial companies. Due to its area of operation, its primary industrial customers are in the mining and processing industries. It supplies electricity to end-consumers directly and also through one regional agent.

The company is included in the Register of Guaranteeing Suppliers of the Kemerovo region. For a discussion of guaranteeing suppliers, see Regulatory Matters Regulation of Russian Electricity Market Sales of electricity Retail electricity market.

Mechel Energo

Mechel Energo s core activity is the generation and sale of electricity, capacity, and heat energy in the form of hot water and steam. In addition, it coordinates the supply of energy to our production facilities. The company has separate business units in the cities of Izhevsk, Kaltan and Kemerovo, as well as branches in the cities of Mezhdurechensk, Chelyabinsk (including production department in Chebarkul), Beloretsk and Vidnoye. Mechel Energo also performs the functions of the sole executive body of its subsidiaries: Southern Kuzbass Power Plant and Kuzbass Power Sales Company.

Mechel Energo supplies heat energy (in the form of hot water and steam) at regulated prices to its consumers, including residential consumers and commercial customers, of the cities of Vidnoye, Chelyabinsk, Chebarkul, Beloretsk, Guryevsk, Mezhdurechensk, Myski and Izhevsk.

Mechel Energo has co-generation facilities and operates using mainly blast furnace gas and coke gas, which is a by-product of steel-making, and natural gas, which we purchase from Novatek and Gazprom.

Mechel Energo s sales amounted to 6.2 billion kWh of electricity and 4.4 million Gcal of heat energy in 2013.

Capital Investment Program

We continually review our capital investment program in light of our cash flow, liquidity position, results of operations and market conditions. In light of the above factors, we may adjust our capital investment program. Our planned capital expenditures for 2014 are increased by approximately 150% as compared to 2013 due to Vnesheconombank s project financing for the development of the Elga coal deposit. See Item 3. Key Information Risk Factors Risks Relating to Our Financial Condition and Financial Reporting We have a substantial amount of outstanding indebtedness with restrictive financial covenants and Item 3. Key Information Risk Factors Risks Relating to Our Financial Condition and Financial Reporting We will require a significant amount of cash to fund our capital investment program.

Our capital investment program includes capital spending of up to \$2.7 billion for the three-year period of 2014-2016. Our capital investment program is primarily targeted at expanding the mining segment and increasing the efficiency of the steel segment and includes, among others, investments of approximately \$2.4 billion in mining, approximately

\$176.1 million in steel, approximately \$14.1 million in ferroalloys and

119

approximately \$28.8 million in power segment. However, our ability to fully realize our capital investment program is constrained by our ability to generate cash flow, obtain additional financing and refinance or restructure existing indebtedness. Attracting debt financing for our capital expenditures on commercially reasonably terms may be particularly challenging given our current levels of indebtedness. We may be limited to obtaining financing on a project finance basis which may impose more restrictions on the operations of the project or require the economic returns of the project to be shared with investors or lenders.

In the mining segment, we expect to direct approximately \$1.9 billion to the development of the Elga coal deposit in 2014-2016. We will invest approximately \$62.9 million in 2016 for increasing coal production at Sibirginskaya Underground which is part of Southern Kuzbass Coal Company.

The steel segment projects are targeted at expanding the share of high value-added products which we produce, while maintaining existing output, and are mainly focused on Chelyabinsk Metallurgical Plant. The main project, initiated in 2008, is the construction of a universal rail and structural rolling mill with a capacity of 1.1 million tonnes, which allows to reduce the proportion of lower-value semi-finished products sales by increasing the production of high-quality rolled steel products and rails. The universal rail and structural rolling mill was launched in July 2013.

120

The table below sets forth the major items of our capital expenditures by segment and facility for the three-year period of 2014-2016 (including cumulatively the expenditures made since the launch of the relevant project).

	Planned Increase in Capacity and/or Other Improvement (In million	Approximate Total Planned Expenditures ⁽¹⁾ ns of U.S. dollars)	Year of Project Launch	Estimated Year of Completion
Mining Segment				
Maintenance expenditures	Maintaining current coal and iron ore mining and coal and iron ore concentrate production	344	2014	2016
Elgaugol	·			
Construction of a rail line to the Elga coal deposit and the development of the Elga coal deposit	Providing access to and the development of the coal deposit with increase of production capacity to 11.7 million tonnes per annum	3,853	2009	2017
Southern Kuzbass Coal				
Company				
Increase of coal production at Sibirginskaya Underground	Increase production output to 2.4 million tonnes per annum	187	2009	2016
Steel Segment				
Maintenance expenditures	Maintaining current output capacity	99	2014	2016
Chelyabinsk Metallurgical Plant				
Construction of rolling facilities in blooming building	Introducing new types of rolled products for construction industry with a design capacity of 1.1 million tonnes per annum	715	2009	2014
Reconstruction of	Increase of cast weight to	86	2009	2017
oxygen-converter production	152 tonnes			
Earne allows as amount				
Ferroalloys segment Maintenance expenditures	Maintaining current output	12	2014	2016
Wantenance expenditures	capacity	12	2014	2010
Power segment				
Maintenance expenditures	Maintaining current output capacity	29	2014	2016
Transport division				
Transport division Maintenance expenditures	Maintaining current output	18	2014	2016
Transcolution experiences	capacity	10	2017	2010

Edgar Filing: Mechel OAO - Form 20-F

Port Posiet

1011105101				
Technical modernization of Port Posiet	Increase of cargo-handling capacity to 9.0 million tonnes per annum	142	2009	2015
Other Mechel Materials				
Construction of grinding-mixing complex for Portland cement and Portland blast-furnace slag cement production	Design capacity of 1.6 million tonnes of Portland cement per annum	173	2009	2014

(1) We estimate that approximately \$295.3 million of the aforementioned planned expenditures for these projects were made within 2013. In 2013, we spent \$375.8 million in total for capital expenditures.

121

Research and Development

We maintain research programs at the corporate level and at certain of our business units to carry out research and applied technology development activities. At the corporate level, we have a Department of Metallurgical Production Technology Development at Mechel-Steel Management (five employees), a Production and Technical Department at Mechel Mining Management (thirteen employees) and a Production and Technical Department at Mechel Ferroalloys Management (two employees). In December 2008, we established Mechel Engineering with a headcount of 340 employees to carry out design and engineering works to increase the efficiency of our mining business. Mechel Engineering has a head office in Novosibirsk and two offices in Russia s regions. Geological services provided by Mechel Engineering include: (1) geological survey work related to prospecting and developing minerals and coal deposits; (2) hydrogeological survey work; (3) monitoring of geological environment; (4) preparation of geological materials for feasibility studies and preparation of geological reports with reserves estimation; (5) test drilling (methane drainage borehole); and (6) computer simulation of coal and ore deposits.

In the course of our research and development we also contract with third party consultants and Russian research institutions.

In addition to these activities performed at our corporate level, each of Chelyabinsk Metallurgical Plant, Beloretsk Metallurgical Plant and Urals Stampings Plant have specialized research divisions with a total of 173 employees involved in the improvement of existing technologies and products.

Our research and development expenses in the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 were not significant.

Insurance

Most of our Russian production facilities have no comprehensive insurance coverage against the risks associated with the business in which we operate, other than insurance required under the Russian law, existing collective agreements, loan agreements or other undertakings. Our Russian facilities have various compulsory insurance policies: liability of the owner of a hazardous facility for injury in an accident at a hazardous facility, legal liability for pollution, third-party liability motor vehicle insurance and other forms of insurance. Some of our facilities provide their workers with medical insurance and accident and health insurance in accordance with existing collective employment agreements. In addition, almost all of our Russian facilities have motor vehicle insurance, property insurance (real property and machinery insurance, goods), third-party liability insurance and cargo.

Some of our international production facilities are not covered by comprehensive insurance typical for such operations in Western countries. However, they all have the compulsory insurance coverage required under the law of their respective jurisdictions: motor vehicle insurance, pollution legal liability insurance, employer liability etc. Furthermore, some of our international production facilities also carry insurance coverage for their property (real property and machinery insurance, goods), liability (third-party liability, professional and product liability), cargo (including freight insurance), as well as medical insurance and accident and health insurance for their workers.

Environmental Protection

Similar to other companies operating in the industries in which we operate, our activities may have an adverse impact on the environment due to emission of coal and coke dust and other pollutants and hazardous materials into atmosphere, discharge of polluted waste water into the environment and generation of waste and hazardous materials that need to be disposed of or reused without serious damage to the environment.

Our environmental policy has the following key components:

implement formal environmental management systems that are aligned with applicable international standards;

identify, assess, monitor, control and manage significant environmental risks;

establish clear and meaningful environmental objectives and targets aimed at continuous improvement;

implement, maintain and regularly test emergency response plans;

identify potential environmental emergencies; and

comply with all applicable laws and regulations and when practicable, strive to exceed those requirements. We have been developing and implementing environmental programs at all of our mining, steel, ferroalloys and power subsidiaries. Such programs include measures to enforce our adherence to the requirements and limits imposed on air and water pollution, as well as allocation of industrial waste, introduction of environmentally friendly industrial technologies, the construction of purification and filtering facilities, the repair and reconstruction of industrial water supply systems, the installation of metering systems, reforestation and the recycling of water and industrial waste.

Regulatory Matters

Licensing of Operations in Russia

We are required to obtain numerous licenses, authorizations and permits from Russian governmental authorities for our operations. Some of our companies need to obtain licenses, authorizations and permits to carry out their activities, including, among other things, for:

the use of subsoil, which is described in more detail in Subsoil Licensing in Russia below;

the use of water resources;

the emission and discharge of pollutants into the environment;

the handling of hazardous waste;

storage and use of explosive, flammable and/or hazardous materials;
operation of industrial facilities featuring fire and explosion hazard (including mining and surveying activities);
fire control and security;
medical operations;
mine surveying;
loading and unloading operations;
transportation activities; and

storage, processing and sale of scrap.

The Federal Law On Licensing of Certain Types of Activities, dated May 4, 2011, as amended (the **Licensing Law**), as well as other laws and regulations, set forth the activities subject to licensing and establish procedures for issuing licenses.

Under Licensing Law, generally, licenses may be issued for indefinite term. Licenses for the use of natural resources may be issued for various periods. Upon the expiration of a license, it may be extended upon application by the licensee, but usually subject to prior compliance with regulations.

123

Regulatory authorities maintain considerable discretion in the timing of issuing licenses and permits. The requirements imposed by these authorities may be costly, time-consuming and may result in delays in the commencement or continuation of exploration or extraction operations. Further, private individuals and the public at large possess rights to comment on and otherwise participate in the licensing process, including through challenges in the courts. For example, individuals and public organizations may make claims or applications to Rosnedra regarding subsoil abuse, damage to the subsoil and general environmental issues. Rosnedra is required by law to review such claims and applications and to respond to those who file them. The agency can initiate further investigation in the course of reviewing claims and applications, and such investigations can lead to suspension of the subsoil license if the legal grounds for such suspension are identified in the course of the investigation. In addition, citizens may make claims in court against state authorities for failing to enforce environmental requirements (for example, if a breach by the licensee of its license terms caused damage to an individual s health, legal interests or rights), and pursuant to such a claim the court may order state authorities to suspend the subsoil license. Accordingly, the licenses we need may not be issued, or if issued, may not be issued in a timely fashion, or may impose requirements which restrict our ability to conduct our operations or to do so profitably.

As part of their obligations under licensing regulations and the terms of our licenses and permits, some of our companies must comply with numerous industrial standards, employ qualified personnel, maintain certain equipment and a system of quality controls, monitor operations, maintain and make appropriate filings and, upon request, submit specified information to the licensing authorities that control and inspect their activities.

Subsoil Licensing in Russia

In Russia, mining minerals requires a subsoil license from Rosnedra with respect to an identified mineral deposit. In addition to subsoil license, a subsoil user needs to obtain rights (through ownership, lease or other right) to use a land plot covering the surface of the area where such licensed mineral deposit is located. In addition, as discussed above, operating permits are required with respect to specific mining activities.

The primary law regulating subsoil licensing is the Federal Law On Subsoil, dated February 21, 1992, as amended (the **Subsoil Law**), which sets out the regime for granting licenses for the exploration and extraction of mineral resources. The Procedure for Subsoil Use Licensing, adopted by Resolution of the Supreme Soviet of the Russian Federation on July 15, 1992, as amended (the **Licensing Regulation**), also regulates the licensing of exploration and extraction of mineral resources. According to both the Subsoil Law and the Licensing Regulation, subsurface mineral resources are subject to the jurisdiction of the federal authorities.

Among different licenses required for mining minerals in Russia, the two major types of licenses are: (1) an exploration license, which is a non-exclusive license granting the right of geological exploration and assessment within the license area, and (2) an extraction license, which grants the licensee an exclusive right to produce minerals from the license area. In practice, many of the licenses are issued as combined licenses, which grant the right to explore, assess and produce minerals from the license area. A subsoil license defines the license area in terms of latitude, longitude and depth. The subsoil user has the right to develop and use, including sell, mineral resources extracted from the license area for a specified period. The Russian Federation, however, retains ultimate state ownership of all subsoil mineral resources.

There are two major types of payments with respect to the extraction of minerals: (1) periodic payments for the use of subsoil under the Subsoil Law; and (2) the mineral extraction tax under the Russian Tax Code. Failure to make these payments could result in the suspension or termination of the subsoil license. The Subsoil Law-mandated payments are not material to our mining segment s results of operations. For coal, the mineral extraction tax ranges from 11 to 57 rubles per tonne depending on the type of coal. For iron ore and for nickel, the mineral extraction tax is 4.8% and 8%,

respectively. In 2013, mineral extraction taxes amounted to \$42.0 million, which are included in the statement of income and comprehensive income as extraction related overheads.

124

Currently, extraction licenses and combined licenses are awarded by tender or auction conducted by special auction commissions of Rosnedra. While such auction or tender may involve a representative of the relevant region, the separate consent of regional authorities is generally not required in order to issue subsoil licenses. The winning bidder in a tender is selected on the basis of the submission of the most technically competent, financially attractive and environmentally sound proposal that meets published tender terms and conditions. At an auction, the success of a bid is determined by the attractiveness of the financial proposal. In limited circumstances, extraction licenses may also be issued without holding an auction or tender, for instance to holders of exploration licenses who discover mineral resource deposits through exploration work conducted at their own expense. Regional authorities may issue extraction licenses for common mineral resources, such as clay, sand or limestone.

Pursuant to the Subsoil Law, a subsoil plot is provided to a subsoil user as a mining allotment, i.e. a geometric block of subsoil. Preliminary mining allotment boundaries are determined at the time the license is issued. Exact mining allotment boundaries are established upon the approval of a development plan by state mining supervision authorities and an environmental examination committee. These exact boundaries are certified in a mining allotment plan issued to the license holder. The exact mining allotment boundaries are incorporated into the license as an integral part. Pursuant to Resolution No. 118 of the Government of the Russian Federation dated March 3, 2010, a special commission comprised of representatives from the Ministry of Natural Resources and Ecology, Rosnedra, Rosprirodnadzor, Rostekhnadzor and relevant local authorities approve development plans and other project documentation relating to the use of subsoil plots.

The term of the license is set forth in the license. Prior to January 2000, exploration licenses could have a maximum term of five years, extraction licenses a maximum term of 20 years, and combined exploration, assessment and extraction licenses a maximum term of 25 years. After amendments to the Subsoil Law in January 2000 and in August 2004, exploration licenses still have a maximum term of five years; in the event that a prior license with respect to a particular field is terminated early (for example, when a license is withdrawn due to non-usage of the licensed subsoil), an extraction license may have a one year term until a new licensee is determined, but is generally granted to another user for the term of the expected operational life of the field based on a feasibility study; and combined exploration, assessment and extraction licenses can be issued for the term of the expected operational life of the field based on a feasibility study. These amendments did not affect the terms of licenses issued prior to January 2000, but permit licensees to apply for extensions of such licenses for the term of the expected operational life of the field in accordance with the amended Subsoil Law. The term of a subsoil license runs from the date the license is registered with Rosnedra.

Issuance of licenses

Subsoil licenses are issued by Rosnedra. Most of the currently existing extraction licenses owned by companies derive from: (1) pre-existing rights granted during the Soviet era and up to the enactment of the Subsoil Law to state-owned enterprises that were subsequently reorganized in the course of post-Soviet privatizations; or (2) tender or auction procedures held in the post-Soviet period. The Russian Civil Code, the Subsoil Law and the Licensing Regulation contain the major requirements relating to tenders and auctions. The Subsoil Law allows extraction licenses to be issued without a tender or auction procedure only in limited circumstances, such as instances when a mineral deposit is discovered by the holder of an exploration license at its own expense during the exploration phase.

Extension of licenses

The Subsoil Law permits a subsoil licensee to request an extension of an extraction license for the term of the expected operational life of the subsoil plot in order to complete the extraction from the subsoil plot covered by the license or the procedures necessary to vacate the land once the use of the subsoil is complete, provided the user is not

in violation of the terms and conditions of the license and the relevant regulations.

125

In order to extend the period of a subsoil license, a company must file an application with territorial authorities of Rosnedra to amend the license. In addition, as we have seen in practice, a subsoil licensee may be required to prepare and provide to the authority amended technical documentation and development plan of the deposit under the license justifying the requested extension. The costs associated with the license extension are generally not substantial and mainly relate to preparing amendments to the technical documentation and development plan of the subsoil plot. Application to extend the period of subsoil license is typically made six months before its expiration.

To the best of our knowledge, derived from publicly available information, the relevant governmental authorities when determining whether to approve an amendment (including an extension) of a license consider the following: (1) the grounds for the amendments, with specific information as to how the amendments may impact payments by the licensee to the federal and local budgets; (2) compliance of the licensee with the conditions of the license; and (3) the technical expertise and financial capabilities that would be required to implement the conditions of the amended license. In particular, we are aware of a number of mining companies which have been granted an extension of their Russian mining licenses for the past few years. In addition, we have successfully extended certain of our subsoil licenses which were due to expire for the entire term of the expected operational life of the subsoil plots. The terms of the licenses were extended in accordance with the amendments we made to the development plans of the subsoil plots. Furthermore, as evidenced by a number of court cases during the past several years, license extensions are being rejected predominantly on the grounds of subsoil users being in violation of the material terms of the licenses. Though current regulation does not specify what license terms are material, current practice suggest that regulatory authorities tend to treat as material terms of the license the terms related to license payments, production levels and operational milestones.

The factors that may, in practice, affect a company s ability to obtain the approval of license amendments (including extensions) include: (1) its compliance with the license terms and conditions; (2) its management s experience and expertise relating to subsoil issues; and (3) the relationship of its management with federal and/or local governmental authorities, as well as local governments. For a description of additional factors that may affect Russian companies ability to extend their licenses, see Item 3. Key Information Risk Factors Risks Relating to Our Business and Industry Our business could be adversely affected if we fail to obtain or extend necessary subsoil licenses and mining and other permits or fail to comply with the terms of our subsoil licenses and mining and other permits. See also Item 3. Key Information Risk Factors Risks Relating to the Russian Federation Legal risks and uncertainties Deficiencies in the legal framework relating to subsoil licensing subject our licenses to the risk of governmental challenges and, if our licenses are suspended or terminated, we may be unable to realize our reserves, which could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects and Item 3. Key Information Risk Factors Risks Relating to the Russian Federation Legal risks and uncertainties Weaknesses relating to the Russian legal system and legislation create an uncertain investment climate.

Transfer of licenses

Licenses may be transferred only under certain limited circumstances that are set forth in the Subsoil Law, including the reorganization or merger of the licensee or in the event that an initial licensee transfers its license to a newly established legal entity in which it has at least a 50% ownership interest, provided that the transferee possesses the equipment and authorizations necessary to conduct the exploration or extraction activity covered by the transferred license.

Maintenance and termination of licenses

A license granted under the Subsoil Law is accompanied by a licensing agreement. The law provides that there will be two parties to any subsoil licensing agreement: the relevant state authorities and the licensee. The licensing agreement

sets out the terms and conditions for the use of the subsoil.

126

Under a licensing agreement, the licensee makes certain environmental, safety and extraction commitments. For example, the licensee makes an extraction commitment to bring the field into extraction by a certain date and to extract an agreed-upon volume of natural resources each year. The licensing agreement may also contain commitments with respect to the social and economic development of the region. When the license expires, the licensee must return the land to a condition which is adequate for future use. Although most of the conditions set out in a license are based on mandatory rules contained in Russian law, certain provisions in a licensing agreement are left to the discretion of the licensing authorities and are often negotiated between the parties. However, commitments relating to safety and the environment are generally not negotiated.

The fulfillment of a license s conditions is a major factor in the good standing of the license. If the subsoil licensee fails to fulfill the license s conditions, upon notice, the license may be terminated or the subsoil user s rights may be restricted by the licensing authorities. However, if a subsoil licensee cannot meet certain deadlines or achieve certain volumes of exploration work or extraction output as set forth in a license, it may apply to amend the relevant license conditions, though such amendments may be denied.

The Subsoil Law and other Russian legislation contain extensive provisions for license termination. A licensee can be fined or the license can be suspended or terminated for repeated breaches of the law, upon the occurrence of a direct threat to the lives or health of people working or residing in the local area, or upon the occurrence of certain emergency situations. A license may also be terminated for violations of material license terms. Although the Subsoil Law does not specify which terms are material, failure to pay subsoil taxes and failure to commence operations in a timely manner have been common grounds for limitation or termination of licenses. Consistent underproduction and failure to meet obligations to finance a project would also be likely to constitute violations of material license terms. In addition, certain licenses provide that the violation by a subsoil licensee of any of its obligations may constitute grounds for terminating the license.

Rosprirodnadzor routinely conducts scheduled and unscheduled inspections for compliance by subsoil users with the terms of their licenses and reports violations to Rosnedra. Rosnedra examines Rosprirodnadzor s reports and, if it finds that these violations constitute sufficient grounds for terminating the license, the Commission for Termination of Subsoil Licenses considers the nature of these violations and recommends that Rosnedra either (i) revoke the license; (ii) notify the subsoil user about the identified violations and potential termination of the license if the subsoil user fails to rectify the identified violations within a prescribed period of time; or (iii) consider that the actions described in (i) and (ii) above are unreasonable and accept the information provided by the subsoil user.

If the licensee does not agree with a decision of the licensing authorities, including a decision relating to the termination of a license or the refusal to change an existing license, the licensee may appeal the decision through administrative or judicial proceedings. In certain cases prior to termination, the licensee has the right to attempt to cure the violation within three months of its receipt of notice of the violation. If the issue has been resolved within such a three month period, no termination or other action may be taken.

Land Use Rights in Russia

Russian legislation prohibits the carrying out of any commercial activity, including mineral extraction, on a land plot without appropriate surface land use rights. Land use rights are needed and obtained for only the portions of the license area actually being used, including the plot being mined, access areas and areas where other mining-related activity is occurring.

Under the Land Code, companies generally have one of the following rights with regard to land in the Russian Federation: (1) ownership; (2) right of perpetual use; or (3) lease.

A majority of land plots in the Russian Federation is owned by federal, regional or municipal authorities who, through public auctions or tenders or through private negotiations, can sell, lease or grant other use rights to the land to third parties.

127

Companies may also have a right of perpetual use of land that was obtained prior to the enactment of the Land Code; however, the Federal Law On Introduction of the Land Code, dated October 25, 2001, with certain exceptions (such as for the land plots occupied with transportation, communications and utilities lines, for which companies may re-register the right of perpetual use until January 1, 2015), requires companies using land pursuant to rights of perpetual use by July 1, 2012 either to purchase the land from, or to enter into a lease agreement relating to the land with, the relevant federal, regional or municipal authority acting as owner of the land. Failure to transfer the title by January 1, 2013 triggers administrative liability. In case of the lease, the companies can still purchase such land after July 1, 2012 provided that they have registered the lease relating to the land.

Our mining subsidiaries generally have entered into long-term lease agreements for their surface land within the specified license mining area. Under Russian law, a lessee generally has a priority right to enter into a new land lease agreement with a lessor upon the expiration of a land lease. In order to renew a land lease agreement, the lessee must apply to the lessor (usually state or municipal authorities) for a renewal prior to the expiration of the agreement. Any land lease agreement for a term of one year or more must be registered with the relevant state authorities.

Environmental Legislation in Russia

We are subject to laws, regulations and other legal requirements relating to the protection of the environment, including those governing the emission and discharge of substances into the air and water, the formation, distribution and disposal of hazardous substances and waste, the cleanup of contaminated sites, flora and fauna protection and wildlife protection. Issues of environmental protection in Russia are regulated primarily by the Federal Law On Environmental Protection, dated January 10, 2002, as amended (the **Environmental Protection Law**), as well as by a number of other federal, regional and local legal acts.

Since 2008, the Ministry of Natural Resources and Ecology has been working on significant amendments to the Environmental Protection Law and other regulations. These draft amendments are actively being discussed by industry representatives and other interested parties such as the Russian Union of Industrialists and Entrepreneurs. These amendments have not yet been submitted to the Russian legislative bodies, however, several draft documents are already being considered by the Russian government. The amendments intend to improve the distribution of functions among state environmental agencies at both the federal and regional levels, as well as to strengthen liability for companies non-compliance with environmental laws and regulations. Among other things, the draft amendments contemplate that charges for environmental impact exceeding regulatory thresholds (norms) may be increased by twenty five times the current amounts commencing on January 1 of the year next to the year when the adopted draft amendments are published and may be increased by one hundred times the current amounts after three years from the date when the draft amendments enter into force. Furthermore, fines for environmental violations may be increased by up to 20 times the current amounts. See Item 3. Key Information Risk Factors Risks Relating to Our Business and More stringent environmental laws and regulations or more stringent enforcement or findings that we have violated environmental laws and regulations could result in higher compliance costs and significant fines and penalties, cleanup costs and compensatory damages, or require significant capital investment, or even result in the suspension of our operations, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operation and prospects.

Pay-to-pollute

The Environmental Protection Law and other Russian environmental protection legislation establish a pay-to-pollute regime administered by federal and local authorities. Pay-to-pollute (or payments for environmental pollution) is a form of mandatory reimbursement to the Russian government for damage caused to the environment.

The Russian government has established standards relating to the permissible impact on the environment and, in particular, standards of permissible emissions and discharges and waste disposal limits. In case of non-compliance with the statutory standards a company may obtain temporary approved limits on emissions and discharges on the basis of permits valid only during the period of implementation of environmental measures. The establishment of limits is allowed only upon the availability of a plan for emissions and discharges reduction agreed with Rosprirodnadzor. The emissions and discharges reduction plan is required to be implemented within a specific period, after which a report on implementation of the plan is submitted to Rosprirodnadzor. Rosprirodnadzor may revoke the limits, if the company fails to implement measures to reduce emissions and discharges in a timely manner. If, by the end of that period, the company s emissions and discharges are still in excess of the statutory standards, a new plan must be submitted to Rosprirodnadzor for review and approval in order to receive new limits.

Fees for the emission/discharge per tonne of each contaminant into air and water and fees for waste disposal are established by governmental authorities. These fees are determined on a sliding scale for both the statutory standards and individually approved limits on emissions and discharges, as well as for pollution in excess of these limits: the lowest fees are imposed for pollution within the statutory standards, intermediate fees are imposed for pollution within the individually approved temporary limits (within limit fees; exceed the fees within the statutory standards by 5 times) and the highest fees are imposed for pollution exceeding such limits (above-limit fees; exceed the fees within the individually approved temporary limits by 5 times). Thus, above-limit fees exceed the fees within the statutory standards by 25 times. Payment of above-limit fees does not relieve the company from the responsibility as provided by Russian law, as well as the development and implementation of environmental measures aimed at reducing the negative impact on the environment. In 2013, we incurred within limit/above-limit fees and penalties in Russia in the amount of approximately \$1.8 million.

Environmental expert review

According to the Federal Law On Environmental Expert Review dated November 23, 1995, as amended (the **EER Law**), environmental expert review is a process of verifying compliance of business or operational documentation with environmental standards and technical regulations established pursuant to the EER Law for the purpose of preventing a negative environmental impact of such business or operations. The EER Law provides for the main principles for conducting environmental expert review and for the type of documentation which is subject to such review.

In relation to our operating companies, all documentation underlying the issuance of some of our licenses, in particular, licenses issued by federal authorities to conduct activities for decontamination and disposal of waste of I and IV class of hazard, are subject to environmental expert review.

Review of documentation related to capital construction is regulated under the Urban Development Code. The Urban Development Code provides for governmental inspection to verify the compliance of project documentation with relevant technical regulations, including sanitary-epidemiological and environmental regulations, requirements for the protection of objects of cultural heritage, as well as fire, industrial, nuclear and other kinds of safety requirements, and compliance with the results of engineering surveys with relevant technical regulations.

Environmental enforcement authorities

Currently state environmental regulation is administered by several federal services and agencies and their regional subdivisions, in particular, Rosprirodnadzor, the Federal Service for Hydrometrology and Environmental Monitoring, Rosnedra, the Federal Agency for Forestry, the Federal Agency for Water Resources and some others. Included in these agencies—sphere of responsibility are environmental preservation and control, enforcement and observance of

environmental legislation, drafting and approving regulations and filing court claims to recover environmental damages. The statute of limitations for such claims is 20 years.

129

The Russian federal government and the Ministry of Natural Resources and Ecology are responsible for coordinating the work of the federal services and agencies engaged in state environmental regulation.

The structure of environmental enforcement authorities described above was established in 2004. This structure was subjected to certain changes in 2008 and 2010. In particular, the Ministry of Natural Resources was transformed into the Ministry of Natural Resources and Ecology. In late 2010, this structure was further changed and all powers previously held by Rostekhnadzor in terms of environmental control, permits and pollution fees administration were transferred to Rosprirodnadzor which is coordinated by the Ministry of Natural Resources and Ecology.

Environmental liability

If the operations of a company violate environmental requirements or cause harm to the environment or any individual or legal entity, a court action may be brought to limit or ban these operations and require the company to remedy the effects of the violation. Any company or employees that fail to comply with environmental regulations may be subject to administrative and/or civil liability, and individuals may be held criminally liable. Courts may also impose cleanup obligations on violators in lieu of or in addition to imposing fines or other penalties to compensate for damages.

Subsoil licenses generally require certain environmental commitments. Although these commitments can be substantial, the penalties for failing to comply and the reclamation requirements are generally low; however, failure to comply with reclamation requirements can result in a suspension of mining operations.

Reclamation

We conduct our reclamation activities for land damaged by production in accordance with the Basic Regulation on Land Reclamation, Removal, Preservation, and Rational Use of the Fertile Soil Layer, approved by Order No. 525/67 of December 22, 1995, of the Ministry of Natural Resources. In general, our reclamation activities involve both a technical stage and a biological stage. In the technical stage, we backfill the pits, grade and terrace mound slopes, level the surface of the mounds, and add clay rock on top for greater adaptability of young plants. In the biological stage, we plant conifers (pine, larch, cedar) on horizontal and gently sloping surfaces and shrubs and bushes to reinforce inclines. Russian environmental regulations do not require mines to achieve the approximate original contour of the property as is required, for example, in the United States. In 2013, we incurred reclamation costs in Russia and the United States of approximately \$4.5 million and \$0.5 million, respectively.

Kyoto Protocol

In December 1997, in Kyoto, Japan, the signatories to the United Nations Convention on Climate Change established individual, legally binding targets to limit or reduce greenhouse gas emissions by developed nations. This international agreement, known as the Kyoto Protocol, came into force on February 16, 2005. At the Doha 2012 United Nations Climate Change Conference Russia, Japan and some other countries announced suspension of their participation in the Kyoto Protocol. Therefore, we do not currently anticipate that further implementation of the Kyoto Protocol will have any material impact on our business.

Technical Regulations

We are subject to various technical regulations and standards which apply to industrial manufacturing businesses. The Federal Law No. 184-FZ On Technical Regulation dated December 27, 2002, as amended (the **Technical Regulation Law**) has introduced a new regime for the development, enactment, application and enforcement of mandatory rules applicable to production, manufacturing, storage, transportation, sales and certain other operations and processes, as

well as new regulations relating to the quality of products and

130

processes, including technical regulations, standards and certification. It was expected that these rules or technical regulations would replace the previously adopted state standards (the so-called GOSTs). However, most technical regulations have not been implemented yet, and, in the absence of such technical regulations, the existing federal laws and regulations, including GOSTs, that prescribe rules for different products and processes remain in force to the extent that they protect health, property, the environment and/or consumers. In addition, the federal standardization authority has declared GOSTs and interstate standards adopted before July 1, 2003 to be the applicable national standards.

In certain circumstances, companies are required to obtain certification of compliance with applicable technical regulations, standards and terms of contracts. A number of our products must be certified. Where certification is not mandatory, a company may elect voluntary certification by applying for a compliance certificate from the relevant authorities. Following the issuance of such certificate, the applicant has the right to use the relevant compliance mark on its products.

Health and Safety Regulations in Russia

Due to the nature of our business, much of our activity is conducted at industrial sites by large numbers of workers, and workplace safety issues are of significant importance to the operation of these sites.

The principal law regulating industrial safety is the Federal Law On Industrial Safety of Hazardous Production Facilities, dated July 21, 1997, as amended (the **Safety Law**). The Safety Law applies, in particular, to production facilities and sites where certain activities are conducted, including sites where lifting machines are used, where alloys of ferrous and nonferrous metals are produced, where hazardous substances are stored and used (including allowed concentrations) and where certain types of mining is done. There are also regulations that address safety rules for coal mines, the production and processing of ore, the blast-furnace industry, steel smelting, alloy production and nickel production. Additional safety rules also apply to certain industries, including metallurgical and coke chemical enterprises and the foundry industry.

The recent amendments to the Safety Law determine hazardous production facilities of four classes from class IV to class I, with class IV being less hazardous and class I being the most hazardous. The safety and compliance requirements set up by the Safety Law apply to each facility depending on their class of hazard. Each existing hazardous production facility should be re-registered with the state register by January 1, 2014 and be assigned with a hazard class. We re-registered hazardous production facilities at our operations in accordance with the applicable law.

Any construction, reconstruction, liquidation or other activities in relation to regulated industrial sites is subject to a state industrial safety review. Any deviation from project documentation in the process of construction, reconstruction or liquidation of industrial sites is prohibited unless reviewed by a licensed expert organization and approved by Rostekhnadzor.

In addition, the recent amendments to the Safety Law introduce an alternative form of industrial safety regulation that is based on risk assessment rather than prescriptions of obligatory requirements and standards imposed by Rostekhnadzor. A company that operates a hazardous production facility may develop a safety case, a document which describes that the facility has been designed and operated in a way to limit any risks of major accident. The Safety Law considers that in drafting the safety case, the relevant companies will be able to refer to specific safety arrangements and safety analyses as confirmation of having certain safety measures in place. To make these arrangements fully operational further changes will need to be introduced into relevant laws and regulations.

Companies that operate such production facilities and sites have a wide range of obligations under the Safety Law and the Labor Code of Russia of December 30, 2001, as amended (the **Labor Code**). In particular, they must limit access to such sites to qualified specialists, maintain industrial safety controls and carry insurance

131

for third-party liability for injuries caused in the course of operating industrial sites. Russian regulations require these companies to enter into contracts with professional emergency response units or create their own emergency response services in certain cases, conduct personnel trainings and drills, create systems to cope with and notify the authorities of accidents and maintain these systems in good working order. Effective from January 1, 2014, companies that operate industrial sites of hazard classes I and II must implement industrial safety management systems to prevent accidents and incidents at hazardous production facilities and develop certain emergency response plans.

Companies that operate production sites of hazard classes I and II and handle hazardous substances in quantities set by the law must also prepare declarations of industrial safety which summarize the risks associated with operating a particular production site and measures the company has taken and will take to mitigate such risks and use the site in accordance with applicable industrial safety requirements. Such declarations must be adopted by the chief executive officer of the company, who is personally responsible for the completeness and accuracy of the data contained therein. The industrial safety declaration as well as a state industrial safety review are required for the issuance of a license permitting the operation of a hazardous production facility.

Rostekhnadzor has broad authority in the field of control and management of industrial safety. In case of an accident, a special commission led by a representative of Rostekhnadzor conducts a technical investigation of the cause. The company operating the hazardous production facility where the accident took place bears all costs of an investigation. Rostekhnadzor officials have the right to access production sites and may inspect documents to ensure a company s compliance with safety rules. Rostekhnadzor may suspend for up to 90 days or initiate a court decision to terminate operations of companies and/or impose administrative liability on officers of such companies.

Any company or individual violating industrial safety rules may incur administrative and/or civil liability, and individuals may also incur criminal liability. A company that violates safety rules in a way that negatively impacts the health of an individual may also be obligated to compensate the individual for lost earnings, as well as health-related damages.

Russian Antimonopoly Regulation

The Federal Law On Protection of Competition, dated July 26, 2006, as amended (the **Competition Law**), provides for a mandatory pre-approval by the FAS of the following actions:

other than in respect to financial organizations, such as banks, an acquisition by a person (or its group) of more than 25% of the voting shares of a Russian joint-stock company (or one-third of the interests in a Russian limited liability company), except upon incorporation, and the subsequent increase of these stakes to more than 50% of the total number of the voting shares and more than 75% of the voting shares (one-half and two-thirds of the interests in a Russian limited liability company), or acquisition by a person (or its group) of ownership or rights of use with respect to the core production assets and/or intangible assets of an entity which are located in Russia if the balance sheet value of such assets exceeds 20% of the total balance sheet value of the core production and intangible assets of such entity, or obtaining rights to determine the conditions of business activity of a Russian entity or to exercise the powers of its executive body by a person (or its group), or an acquisition by a person (or its group) of more than 50% of the voting shares (interests) of a foreign entity, which has supplied goods, works and/or services to Russia in an amount exceeding 1 billion rubles in the preceding year, or other rights to determine the conditions of business activity of such entity or to exercise the powers of its executive body, if, in any of the above cases, the aggregate asset value of an acquirer and its group together with a target and its group (excluding the asset value of the seller and its

group, if as a result of the acquisition the seller and its group cease to determine the conditions of business activity of the target) exceeds 7 billion rubles and at the same time the total asset value of the target and its group exceeds 250 million rubles, or the total annual revenues of such acquirer and its group, and the target and its group for the preceding calendar year exceed 10 billion rubles and at the same time the total asset value

of the target and its group exceeds 250 million rubles, or an acquirer, and/or a target, or any entity within the acquirer s group or a target s group are included in the Register of Entities Having a Market Share in Excess of 35% on a Particular Commodity Market (the **Monopoly Register**);

mergers and consolidations of entities, other than financial organizations, if their aggregate asset value (the aggregate asset value of the groups of persons to which they belong) exceeds 7 billion rubles, or total annual revenues of such entities (or groups of persons to which they belong) for the preceding calendar year exceed 10 billion rubles, or if one of these entities is included in the Monopoly Register; and

founding of a business entity, if its charter capital is paid by the shares (or limited liability company interests) and/or the assets (other than cash) of another business entity (other than financial organization) or the newly founded business entity acquires shares (or limited liability company interests) and/or the assets (other than cash) of another business entity based on a transfer act or a separation balance sheet and rights in respect of such shares (or limited liability company interests) and/or assets (excluding monetary funds) as specified above, at the same time provided that the aggregate asset value of the founders (or group of persons to which they belong) and the business entities (or groups of persons to which they belong) which shares (or limited liability company interests) and/or assets (other than cash) are contributed to the charter capital of the newly founded business entity exceeds 7 billion rubles, or total annual revenues of the founders (or group of persons to which they belong) and the business entities (or groups of persons to which they belong) which shares (or limited liability company interests) and/or assets are contributed to the charter capital of the newly founded business entity for the preceding calendar year exceed 10 billion rubles, or if a business entity whose shares (or limited liability company interests) and/or assets (other than cash) are contributed to the charter capital of the newly founded business entity is included in the Monopoly Register.

The above requirements for a mandatory pre-approval by the FAS will not apply if the transactions are performed by members of the same group, if the information about such a group of persons was disclosed to the antimonopoly authority and there were no changes within one month prior to the date of the transaction within that group of persons. In such cases, the FAS must be notified of the transactions subsequently in accordance with Russian anti-monopoly legislation. Furthermore, the requirement for a mandatory approval of transactions described in the first bullet point above will not apply if the transactions are performed by members of the same group where a company and individual or an entity, if such an individual or an entity holds (either due to its participation in this company or based on the authorities received from other persons) more than 50% of the total amount of votes in the equity (share) capital of this company.

A transaction entered into in violation of the above requirements may be invalidated by a court decision pursuant to a claim brought by the FAS if the FAS proves to the court that the transaction leads or could lead to the limitation of competition in the relevant Russian market. The FAS may also issue binding orders to companies that have violated the applicable antimonopoly requirements and bring court claims seeking liquidation, split-up or spin-off of business entities if a violation of antimonopoly laws was committed by such business entities. In addition, a company may be subject to the administrative fine of an amount from 150 to 250 thousand rubles for the failure to file a FAS post-transactional notification and from 300 to 500 thousand rubles for the failure to file an application for FAS pre-approval of the transaction.

Under the Competition Law, a company with a dominant position in the relevant market is prohibited from misusing its dominant position. Specifically, such company is prohibited from:

establishing and maintaining monopolistically high or monopolistically low prices of goods;

withdrawing goods from circulation, if the result of such withdrawal is an increase in the price of goods;

133

imposing contractual terms upon a counterparty which are unprofitable for the counterparty or not related to with the subject matter of agreement (i.e., terms that are economically or technologically unjustified);

reducing or terminating, without economical or technological justification, production of goods if there is a demand for the goods or orders for their delivery have been placed and it is possible to produce them profitably;

refusing or evading, without economical or technological justification, to enter into a contract with customers in cases when the production or delivery of the relevant goods is possible;

establishing without economical, technological or other justification different prices for the same goods;

establishing unjustifiably high or unjustifiably low price of a financial service by a financial organization;

creating discriminatory conditions;

creating barriers to entry into the market for the relevant goods or forcing other companies to leave the market;

violating pricing procedures established by law; and

manipulating prices in the wholesale and/or retail electricity (capacity) markets.

When a company is included in the register of entities with a market share exceeding 35% in the relevant market or with a dominant position in the relevant market, it may be subject to additional FAS oversight. In addition, in the event of breach of any terms of business conduct required by the FAS, the FAS may initiate proceedings to investigate a breach of antimonopoly laws. If a breach of the antimonopoly laws is identified, the FAS may initiate administrative proceedings which may result in the imposition of a fine calculated on the basis of the annual revenues received by the company in the market where such breach was committed. Such fines may include an administrative fine of an amount from 300 thousand to one million rubles or, if such violation has led or may lead to the prevention, limitation or elimination of competition, an administrative fine of up to 15% of the proceeds of sales of all goods, works and services in the market where such violation was committed, but not more than 2% of gross proceeds of sale of all goods, works and services for the year preceding the year of the violation. Russian legislation also provides for criminal liability of company managers for violations of certain provisions of antimonopoly legislation. Furthermore, for systematic violations, a court may order, pursuant to a suit filed by the FAS, a compulsory split-up or spin-off of the violating company, and no affiliation can be preserved between the new entities established as result of such a mandatory reorganization. The same liability will apply to a company not formally included in the register of entities with a market share exceeding 35% in the relevant market or with a dominant position in the relevant market if it is proved that such company occupies a dominant position on the basis of review of various facts, information and documents.

The FAS has determined certain of our companies to have a dominant position in certain markets and these companies are subject to directive issued by the FAS which impose certain restrictions on their commercial activities. See Risk Factors Risks Relating to Our Business and Industry Antimonopoly regulation could lead to sanctions with respect to the subsidiaries we have acquired or established or our prices, sales volumes and business practices.

The Strategic Industries Law

The Strategic Industries Law adopted on April 29, 2008 and subsequently amended in 2010, 2011 and 2014 regulates foreign investments in companies with strategic importance for the national defense and security of the Russian Federation (**Strategic Companies**). The Strategic Industries Law provides an exhaustive list of strategic activities, engagement in which makes a company subject to restrictions. Among others, the list of such

134

activities includes exploration and/or production of natural resources on subsoil plots of federal importance. Subsoil plots of federal importance include plots with deposits of uranium, diamonds, high-purity quartz ore, nickel, cobalt, niobium, lithium, beryllium, tantalum, yttrium-group rare-earth metals and platinoid metals. They also include deposits of oil, gas, vein gold and copper which are above certain size limits specified in the Subsoil Law, as well as subsoil plots of the internal sea, territorial sea and continental shelf; and subsoil plots, the use of which requires the use of land plots included in the category of national defense and security land. The Strategic Subsoil List was first officially published in *Rossiyskaya Gazeta* on March 5, 2009. Services rendered by business entities included into the register of natural monopolies pursuant to the Federal Law On Natural Monopolies, dated August 17, 1995, as amended, with certain exceptions, are also considered to constitute strategic activity. Furthermore, the activity of a business entity which is deemed to occupy a dominant position in the production and sale of metals and alloys with special features which are used in production of weapons and military equipment is also deemed to be a strategic activity. The production and distribution of industrial explosives is also deemed to be activity of strategic importance for national defense and homeland security.

Investments resulting in a foreign investor or a group of entities obtaining control over a Strategic Company require prior approval from state authorities. The procedure for issuing such consent will involve a special governmental commission on the control of foreign investments (the **Governmental Commission**), which was established by a government resolution dated July 6, 2008 as the body responsible for granting such consents, and the FAS, which is authorized to process applications for consent from foreign investors and to issue such consents based on the decisions of the Governmental Commission. **Control** for these purposes means an ability to determine, directly or indirectly, decisions taken by a Strategic Company, whether through voting at the general shareholders (or limited liability company interest-holders) meeting of the Strategic Company, participating in the board of directors or management bodies of the Strategic Company, or acting as the external management organization of the Strategic Company or otherwise. Thus, generally, control will be deemed to exist if any foreign investor or a group of entities acquires more than 50% of the shares (or limited liability interests) of a Strategic Company, or if by virtue of a contract or ownership of securities with voting rights it is able to appoint more than 50% of the members of the board of directors or of the management board of a Strategic Company. However, there are special provisions for Strategic Companies involved in the exploration or extraction of natural resources on plots of federal importance (**Subsoil Strategic Companies**): a foreign investor or group of entities is considered to