ENCORE CAPITAL GROUP INC Form DEF 14A April 22, 2014 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a)

of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant x

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant "

Check the appropriate box:

" Preliminary proxy statement

х

Definitive proxy statement

" Definitive Additional Materials

" Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

" Confidential, for Use of the Commission

Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

ENCORE CAPITAL GROUP, INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

x No fee required.

- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
- 1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
- 2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
- 3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

Edgar Filing: ENCORE CAPITAL GROUP INC - Form DEF 14A

- 4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
- 5) Total fee paid:
- " Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

" Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

- 1) Amount Previously Paid:
- 2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
- 3) Filing Party:
- 4) Date Filed:

ENCORE CAPITAL GROUP, INC.

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

TO BE HELD ON JUNE 5, 2014

To Our Stockholders:

We cordially invite you to attend the 2014 annual meeting of stockholders of Encore Capital Group, Inc. Our annual meeting will be held at the JW Marriott Essex House New York, 160 Central Park South, New York, NY 10019, on June 5, 2014, at 10:00 a.m. Eastern time. The annual meeting is being held for the following purposes:

- 1. To elect seven directors, each for a term of one year;
- 2. To approve, in a non-binding vote, the compensation of our named executive officers;
- 3. To recommend, in a non-binding vote, whether a resolution that a non-binding stockholder vote to approve the compensation of our named executive officers should occur every one, two or three years;
- 4. To ratify the appointment of BDO USA, LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2014; and

5. To transact such other business that may properly come before the meeting.

As resolved by our Board of Directors, stockholders of record at the close of business on April 14, 2014 are entitled to notice of and to vote at the annual meeting or any postponement or adjournment thereof.

We have enclosed a copy of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, which includes our audited consolidated financial statements.

This proxy statement and our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, are available at *http://phx.corporate-ir.net/phoenix.zhtml?c=115920&p=irol-proxy*.

Your vote is important. Whether or not you plan to attend the meeting in person, please submit your vote as soon as possible using one of the voting methods described in the attached materials. Submitting your voting instructions by any of these methods will not affect your right to attend the meeting and vote in person should you so choose.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Kenneth A. Vecchione

Chief Executive Officer

April 22, 2014

San Diego, California

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Questions About the Meeting | 1 |
|--|----------|
| What is the purpose of the annual meeting? | 1 |
| Who is entitled to vote? | 1 |
| Who can attend the meeting? | 1 |
| What constitutes a quorum? | 1 |
| How do I vote by proxy before the meeting? | 2 |
| May I vote my shares in person at the meeting? | 2 |
| What if I submit a proxy and then change my mind? | 2 |
| What are the Board s recommendations for how I should vote my shares? | 2 |
| What vote is required to approve each item? | 3 |
| <u>Can I exercise rights of appraisal or other dissenters _ rights</u> ? | 3 |
| <u>Who pays for the cost of this proxy solicitation?</u> | 3 |
| How many annual reports and proxy statements are delivered to the same address? | 3 |
| Corporate Governance | 5 |
| Board Meetings | 5 |
| Standing Committees | 5 |
| Other Corporate Governance Matters | 8 |
| Election of Directors (Proposal No. 1) | 11 |
| <u>General</u> | 11 |
| | 11 |
| Required Vote Director Nominees | 11 |
| | |
| Executive Officers Executive Comparation and Other Information | 13 15 |
| Executive Compensation and Other Information | |
| Compensation Discussion and Analysis Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation | 15 32 |
| | 32 |
| Compensation Committee Report | 33 34 |
| Risks Related to Compensation Policies and Practices | 34 |
| 2013 Summary Compensation Table | 33 37 |
| 2013 Grants of Plan-Based Awards | |
| 2013 Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End | 38 |
| 2013 Option Exercises and Stock Vested | 40 |
| 2013 Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation | 41 |
| Potential Payments Upon a Termination or Change in Control | 43 |
| Compensation of Directors | 47 |
| Non-Binding Vote to Approve the Compensation of the Company s Named Executive Officers (Proposal No. 2) | 49 |
| <u>Proposal</u> | 49 |
| Required Vote | 49 |
| Non-Binding Vote to Approve the Frequency of Non-Binding Stockholder Vote on the Compensation of the Company s Named | 50 |
| Executive Officers (Proposal No. 3) | 50 |
| Proposal | 50 |
| Required Vote | 50 |
| Certain Relationships and Related Transactions | 51 |
| Security Ownership of Principal Stockholders and Management | 52 |
| Equity Compensation Plan Information | 55 |
| Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance | 55 |
| Ratification of Selection of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm (Proposal No. 4) | 56 |
| Proposal | 56 |
| Required Vote | 56 |
| Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | 57 |
| Audit and Non-Audit Fees | 57 |
| Approval of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Services and Fees | 57 |
| Report of the Audit Committee | 58 |

| Stockholder Proposals and Nominations | 59 |
|---|----|
| Additional Information | 59 |
| Annual Report on Form 10-K | 59 |
| Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Stockholder Meeting to be Held on June 5, 2014 | 59 |
| Other Matters | 60 |

ENCORE CAPITAL GROUP, INC.

3111 CAMINO DEL RIO NORTH, SUITE 1300

SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92108

(877) 445-4581

PROXY STATEMENT

This proxy statement relates to the 2014 annual meeting of stockholders of Encore Capital Group, Inc. (Encore or the Company), to be held at the JW Marriott Essex House New York, 160 Central Park South, New York, NY 10019, on June 5, 2014 at 10:00 a.m. Eastern time, or at such other time and place to which the annual meeting may be adjourned or postponed. The enclosed proxy is solicited by our Board of Directors (our Board), and is first being mailed to stockholders entitled to vote at the meeting on or about April 25, 2014.

QUESTIONS ABOUT THE MEETING

What is the purpose of the annual meeting?

At our annual meeting, stockholders will act upon the matters outlined in the accompanying notice of meeting, including (i) the election of seven directors, (ii) the approval, by non-binding vote, of the compensation of our named executive officers, (iii) the recommendation, by non-binding vote, of the frequency of the non-binding stockholder vote on the compensation of our named executive officers, and (iv) the ratification of the selection of BDO USA, LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm. Our management will report on Encore s progress and respond to questions from stockholders. In addition, representatives of BDO USA, LLP will be given an opportunity to make a statement and to respond to questions regarding the audit of our consolidated financial statements.

Who is entitled to vote?

Only stockholders of record at the close of business on the record date, April 14, 2014, are entitled to receive notice of the annual meeting and to vote the shares that they held on that date at the meeting, or any postponement or adjournment of the meeting.

At the close of business on the record date, April 14, 2014, there were 25,681,471 outstanding shares of our common stock, each of which is entitled to cast one vote.

Who can attend the meeting?

All stockholders as of the record date, or their duly appointed proxies, may attend the meeting. Others may attend the meeting at our discretion. If you have any questions or wish to obtain directions to attend the annual meeting and to vote in person, please call Encore s Investor Relations representative at (877) 445-4581.

What constitutes a quorum?

The presence at the meeting, in person or represented by proxy, of a majority of the outstanding shares entitled to vote on the record date will constitute a quorum, which will permit us to hold the annual meeting and conduct business. Proxies received but marked as abstentions, withheld votes and broker non-votes will be included in the calculation of the number of shares considered to be present at the meeting. Abstentions

Table of Contents

Edgar Filing: ENCORE CAPITAL GROUP INC - Form DEF 14A

include shares present in person but not voting and shares represented by proxy but with respect to which the holder has abstained from voting. Broker non-votes occur when a nominee holding shares for a beneficial owner does not vote on a particular proposal because the nominee does not have discretionary voting power on that item and has not received instructions from the beneficial owner.

How do I vote by proxy before the meeting?

Before the meeting, you may vote your shares in one of the following three ways if your shares are registered directly in your name with our transfer agent, American Stock Transfer & Trust Company:

By internet at www.voteproxy.com;

By telephone at 1-800-PROXIES (1-800-776-9437) if you are calling from the United States or Canada or at 1-718-921-8500 for international callers; or

By mail, if you received a printed copy of the proxy materials, by completing, signing, dating and returning the enclosed proxy card in the postage paid envelope provided.

Please refer to the proxy card for further instructions on voting via the internet and by telephone. Please follow the directions on your proxy card carefully. If your shares are held in a brokerage account in the name of a bank, broker or other nominee (this is called street name), then you are the beneficial owner of the shares and these proxy materials are being forwarded to you by that organization. The organization holding your account is considered the stockholder of record for purposes of voting at the annual meeting. You have the right to direct your bank or broker on how to vote the shares in your account, and your ability to vote by telephone or via the internet depends on the voting procedures used by your broker. You may receive a separate voting instruction form with this proxy statement, or you may need to contact your broker, bank or other nominee to determine whether you will be able to vote electronically using the internet or telephone.

May I vote my shares in person at the meeting?

Yes. You may vote your shares at the meeting if you attend in person, even if you previously submitted a proxy card or voted by internet or telephone. Whether or not you plan to attend the meeting, however, we encourage you to vote your shares by proxy before the meeting. Please note that if your shares are held in street name and you wish to vote at the meeting, you will not be permitted to do so unless you first obtain a legal proxy issued in your name from the broker, bank or nominee that holds your shares.

What if I submit a proxy and then change my mind?

You may revoke your proxy at any time before it is exercised:

By filing with the Corporate Secretary of Encore a notice of revocation;

By sending in another duly executed proxy bearing a later date; or

By attending the meeting and casting your vote in person. What are the Board s recommendations for how I should vote my shares?

If you sign and return your proxy card with voting instructions, the persons named as proxies will vote the shares represented by your proxy in accordance with your instructions. If you sign and return a proxy card but do not fill out the voting instructions on the proxy, the persons named on the proxy card will vote in accordance with the recommendations of our Board. The Board recommends that you vote your shares as follows:

Proposal 1 FOR the election of the nominated slate of directors for a term of one year.

Proposal 2 FOR, in a non-binding vote, the compensation of our named executive officers.

Edgar Filing: ENCORE CAPITAL GROUP INC - Form DEF 14A

Proposal 3 FOR, in a non-binding vote, the recommendation that a resolution that a non-binding stockholder vote to approve the compensation of our named executive officers should occur every year.

Proposal 4 **FOR** the ratification of the appointment of BDO USA, LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2014.

With respect to any other matter that properly comes before the meeting, the proxy holders will vote as recommended by the Board or, if no recommendation is given, in their own discretion.

What vote is required to approve each item?

Election of Directors. The seven nominees who receive the most votes will be elected to our Board. A properly executed proxy marked WITHHOLD AUTHORITY with respect to the election of one or more directors will not be voted with respect to the director or directors indicated and will have no effect on the proposal to elect the directors other than that it will be counted for purposes of determining whether there is a quorum present at the annual meeting. Abstentions and broker non-votes will have the same effect. Notwithstanding the foregoing, we have adopted a Majority Voting Policy that is described on page 10 of this proxy statement.

Non-Binding Vote to Approve the Compensation of the Company s Named Executive Officers. Abstentions and broker non-votes with respect to this proposal will not be counted as a vote cast and, therefore, will have no effect on this non-binding vote.

Non-Binding Vote to Recommend the Frequency of A Non-Binding Stockholder Vote to Approve the Compensation of the Company s Named Executive Officers. You may vote in favor of holding future non-binding votes on the compensation of the Company s named executive officers every year, every two years or every three years, or you may choose to abstain. Abstentions and broker non-votes with respect to this proposal will not be counted as a vote cast and, therefore, will have no effect on this non-binding vote.

Other Items. For each other item, the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares represented in person or by proxy and entitled to vote on the item will be required for approval. A properly executed proxy marked ABSTAIN with respect to any such matter will not be voted, although it will be counted for purposes of determining whether there is a quorum. Because abstentions represent shares entitled to vote on any matter presented for stockholder approval, the effect of an abstention will be the same as a vote against a proposal.

Effect of Broker Non-Votes. If you hold your shares in street name through a broker or other nominee, your broker or nominee may not be permitted to exercise voting discretion with respect to some of the matters to be acted upon. Thus, if you do not give your broker or nominee specific instructions, your shares may not be voted on those matters and will not be counted in determining the number of shares necessary for approval. Shares represented by such broker non-votes will, however, be counted in determining whether there is a quorum.

Can I exercise rights of appraisal or other dissenters rights?

No. Under Delaware law, holders of our voting stock are not entitled to demand appraisal of their shares or exercise similar rights of dissenters as a result of the approval of any of the proposals to be presented at the annual meeting.

Who pays for the cost of this proxy solicitation?

We will bear the cost of solicitation of proxies, including the charges and expenses of brokerage firms and others for forwarding solicitation material to beneficial owners of our outstanding common stock. In addition to the solicitation of proxies by mail, our officers, directors and regular employees may solicit proxies in person, by telephone or by facsimile, none of whom will receive additional compensation for those services.

How many annual reports and proxy statements are delivered to the same address?

If you and one or more of our other stockholders share the same address, it is possible that only one annual report and proxy statement was delivered to your address. This is known as householding. Any registered

stockholder who wishes to receive separate copies of an annual report or proxy statement at the same address now or in the future may: (i) call Encore at (877) 445-4581 or (ii) mail a request to receive separate copies to: Encore Capital Group, Inc., 3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 1300, San Diego, CA 92108, Attention: Corporate Secretary, and we will promptly deliver the annual report and/or proxy statement to you. Stockholders who own our common stock through a broker and who wish to receive separate copies of an annual report and proxy statement should contact their brokers directly. Stockholders currently receiving multiple copies of an annual report and proxy statement at a shared address and who wish to receive only a single copy in the future may direct their request to the same phone number or address listed above.

| Λ | |
|---|--|
| - | |

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Board Meetings

The Board met 10 times during 2013 and otherwise acted by unanimous written consent. Each director nominee who served on the Board in 2013 attended at least 75% of the total number of meetings held by the Board. Each director nominee who served on the Board in 2013 attended all meetings of committees on which such director served during the period he was a director in 2013.

Standing Committees

Throughout 2013, the Board maintained standing Audit, Compensation and Nominating Committees. In March 2014, the Nominating Committee approved revisions to its written charter to expand the committee s role to explicitly include corporate governance oversight. The Board has adopted the revised charter, resulting in a standing Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. The current members of our Board and the composition of the Board s standing committees are reflected in the table below. George Lund and H Ronald Weissman will not stand for re-election at the 2014 annual meeting.

| N | | <i>c i</i> | Nominating and Corporate |
|----------------------|-----------|--------------|-----------------------------|
| Name | Audit | Compensation | Governance |
| George Lund | | | |
| Willem Mesdag | | | X (Chair) |
| Laura Newman Olle | Х | | |
| Francis E. Quinlan | X (Chair) | | Х |
| Norman R. Sorensen | | X (Chair) | Х |
| Richard J. Srednicki | | Х | |
| J. Christopher Teets | Х | Х | |
| Kenneth A. Vecchione | | | |
| H Ronald Weissman | Х | Х | |

Our Board has adopted written charters for the Audit, Compensation and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committees, and each of those written charters is available on our website at *www.encorecapital.com*. Click on Investors, then Corporate Governance and then the respective committee charters. The Compensation and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committees assess the adequacy of their charters from time to time, and the Audit Committee assesses the adequacy of its charter annually. Please note that the information contained on our website is not incorporated by reference in, or considered to be a part of, this proxy statement.

Audit Committee. We have a standing Audit Committee that is responsible for assisting the Board in oversight of the quality and integrity of the accounting, auditing and financial reporting practices of the Company. The Audit Committee met six times during 2013 and otherwise acted by unanimous written consent.

In performing its duties, the Audit Committee:

appoints and reviews the performance of our independent registered public accounting firm;

approves audit and non-audit fees;

reviews and evaluates our financial statements, accounting principles and system of internal controls regarding finance, accounting, legal compliance and ethical behavior;

Edgar Filing: ENCORE CAPITAL GROUP INC - Form DEF 14A

supports the Board by primarily overseeing those risks that may directly or indirectly affect the Company s financial statements, including the areas of financial reporting, internal controls and compliance with public reporting requirements;

reviews and approves related person transactions; and

considers other appropriate matters regarding our financial affairs.

Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee is responsible for discharging the responsibilities of the Board with respect to the compensation of our executive officers, administering all of our equity-based plans and periodically reviewing compensation and equity-based plans, with authority to adopt such plans. The Compensation Committee met nine times during 2013 and otherwise acted by unanimous written consent.

Among other things, the Compensation Committee has the authority and responsibility under its charter to:

periodically review and approve our policies on executive compensation, benefits and perquisites, including incentive cash compensation plans, or other forms of executive incentives;

annually review and, as required, vote in executive session to set the compensation, benefits and perquisites of the Chief Executive Officer (CEO), who serves as our principal executive officer;

annually review and, as required, vote in executive session to set the compensation, benefits and perquisites of all executive officers to satisfy the Compensation Committee that there is equity in the compensation practices and general integrity in conforming to approved plans and policies;

recommend the compensation and benefits for non-employee directors; and

consider, approve and administer our incentive compensation plans and equity-based plans in which directors, the CEO, other executive officers and other employees and key consultants may be participants, including, but not limited to: (a) approving restricted stock grants, including restricted stock awards (RSAs), performance stock awards (PSAs), restricted stock unit awards (RSUs), performance stock unit awards (PSUs), stock option grants and/or other awards; (b) interpreting the plans; (c) determining rules and regulations relating to the plans; (d) modifying or canceling existing grants or awards; and (e) imposing limitations, restrictions and conditions upon any grant or award as the Compensation Committee deems necessary or advisable.

The Compensation Committee sets performance goals and objectives for the executive officers, evaluates their performance with respect to those goals, sets the executive officers compensation based upon the evaluation of their performance and approves all employment and severance related agreements with such executives. In evaluating executive officer compensation, the Compensation Committee may retain the services of compensation consultants and considers recommendations from the CEO with respect to goals and compensation of the other executive officers. The Compensation Committee also periodically reviews compensation for non-employee directors. All decisions with respect to executive and director compensation are approved by the Compensation Committee.

The CEO is not present when the Compensation Committee reviews and establishes the compensation, benefits and perquisites of the CEO. Although the CEO generally makes recommendations to the Compensation Committee with respect to executive compensation decisions, including base salaries, cash incentive bonuses and equity-based awards, the Compensation Committee has in the past determined compensation, benefits or perquisites that were different from those recommended by the CEO.

The Compensation Committee approves all grants of equity-based awards, except those awards for the Company s employees at the vice president level or below, which approval authority has been delegated to the CEO by the Compensation Committee. Equity award grants to executives are determined based on a periodic review by the Compensation Committee regarding appropriate incentives, with recommendations typically originating from management, consistent with the criteria established in the long-term incentive program adopted by the Compensation Committee.

Outside Consultants. The Compensation Committee has the specific authority to hire outside advisors and consultants in its discretion at our expense. Beginning in 2011, the Compensation Committee engaged the law

Table of Contents

firm of Vedder Price, P.C. (Vedder Price) to provide comprehensive legal and executive compensation consulting advice. A more detailed description of Vedder Price s activities for the Compensation Committee is provided in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this proxy statement.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. As described above, in March 2014, the Nominating Committee approved revisions to its written charter to expand the committee s role to explicitly include corporate governance oversight. The Board has adopted the revised charter, resulting in a standing Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. The function of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is to consider and recommend qualified candidates for election as directors of the Company, to make recommendations to the Board regarding the size and composition of the Board and to develop and recommend to the Board matters related to corporate governance. The Nominating Committee met twice in 2013. The Nominating Committee did not otherwise act by unanimous written consent during 2013.

Among other things the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee has the authority and responsibility under its charter to:

make recommendations to the Board concerning the size and composition of the Board;

identify, screen and evaluate proposed candidates for the Board;

to the extent deemed appropriate, retain third party search firms or other advisors to identify and evaluate director nominee candidates;

annually review the Board committee structure and recommend to the Board for its approval directors to serve as members of each committee;

recommend to the Board a process to review the effectiveness of the Board and its members, and to oversee that review process;

develop and recommend to the Board a succession plan for the CEO role, and periodically to review that succession plan; and

make recommendations to the Board regarding governance matters, including, but not limited to, the Company s certificate of incorporation, bylaws, and the charters of the Board s other committees.

Prior to each annual meeting of stockholders, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee identifies nominees to serve on the Board by first evaluating the current members of the Board willing to continue in service. Current members of the Board with skills and experience that are relevant to our business and who are willing to continue in service are considered for re-nomination. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee or the Board decides whether or not to nominate a member for re-election based on considerations, including, but not limited to, the value of continuity of service by existing members of the Board and the desired size and composition of the Board. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee may from time to time identify new nominees for the Board based on the desired skills and experience of a new nominee in light of the criteria described below. Current members of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, the Board and management are polled for suggestions as to individuals meeting the desired criteria. Third party search firms or other advisors may also be retained to identify qualified individuals. In 2013, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee engaged Crist/Kolder Associates to assist it with its efforts to identify new candidates to serve on our Board. The search process culminated in the nomination and appointment of Ms. Olle and Mr. Srednicki to our Board in February 2014.

We do not have a formal diversity policy, but the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee does consider a broad range of factors in evaluating prospective director nominees, including the following:

Edgar Filing: ENCORE CAPITAL GROUP INC - Form DEF 14A

the appropriate size of the Board;

a candidate s knowledge, skills and experience, including experience in business, finance, strategic vision, accounting or administration, in light of prevailing business conditions, the needs of the Company and the knowledge, skills and experience already possessed by other members of the Board;

whether a candidate is independent, as defined by NASDAQ Listing Rules and other applicable rules, and whether circumstances exist that may create the appearance of a conflict of interest;

a candidate s familiarity with accounting rules and practices applicable to our business;

a candidate s character, integrity and reputation for working constructively with others;

whether a candidate has sufficient time available to devote to the duties of a director of the Company;

the desire to assemble a Board that is strong in its collective knowledge and has a diversity of skills, viewpoints and experience with respect to accounting and finance, management and leadership, vision and strategy, business operations, business judgment, industry knowledge and corporate governance;

the importance of maintaining productive working relationships among the Board members and between the Board and management for the benefit of all stockholders; and

recognition of both the considerable benefit of continuity and the fresh perspective provided by the periodic introduction of new members and retirement of current members.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee assesses the effectiveness of its efforts when it evaluates the Board s composition as a part of the annual nomination process.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will consider stockholder nominations for directors submitted in accordance with the procedure set forth in Section 3.14 of our Bylaws. We consider each candidate equally based on the factors listed above, regardless of whether the candidate is recommended by a stockholder for election to our Board or is recommended by a member of the Board or a third party search firm. The procedures for stockholder nominated director candidates provide that a notice relating to the nomination in connection with an annual meeting must be timely given in writing to: Encore Capital Group, Inc., Attention: Corporate Secretary, 3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 1300, San Diego, CA 92108. To be timely, the notice must be delivered within the time period described in the Stockholder Proposals and Nominations section of this proxy statement. Such notice must be accompanied by the nominee s written consent to serve if elected, must contain information relating to the business experience and background of the nominee and provide information with respect to the nominating stockholder and persons acting in concert with the nominating stockholder and otherwise comply with the requirements outlined in our Bylaws.

Other Corporate Governance Matters

Director Independence. The Board has determined that all members of the Board other than Mr. Vecchione and George Lund (who will not stand for re-election at the 2014 annual meeting) are independent directors within the meaning of NASDAQ listing standards. During its independence review, the Board considered transactions and relationships between each director or any member of his or her immediate family and the Company and its subsidiaries, affiliates and significant stockholders. The Board also examined transactions and relationships between directors or their affiliates and members of the Company s senior management or their affiliates. The Board has determined that each member of the Board s Audit, Compensation and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committees is independent (or similarly designated) based on the Board s application of the standards of NASDAQ, the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) or the Internal Revenue Service (the IRS), as appropriate for such committee membership.

Audit Committee Financial Expert. The Board has determined that each of the members of the Audit Committee who will stand for re-election at the 2014 annual meeting (Ms. Olle, Mr. Quinlan and Mr. Teets) qualifies as an audit committee financial expert, as defined in SEC regulations, and also possesses the financial sophistication and requisite experience as required under NASDAQ Listing Rules.

Board Leadership Structure. The Board evaluates its leadership structure on an ongoing basis according to what the Board considers to be best for the Company at any given point in time. Currently, we separate the roles

of non-executive Chairman and CEO. The Board believes that having a separate non-executive Chairman and CEO provides an effective leadership model for the Company at this time and provides the benefit of the distinct abilities and experience of both the non-executive Chairman and CEO.

Our non-executive Chairman, Willem Mesdag, provides overall leadership to the Board in its oversight function. Our CEO, Kenneth A. Vecchione, is responsible for setting the strategic direction for the Company and the day-to-day leadership and performance of the Company. We believe the separation of offices ensures the independence of the Board and allows Mr. Mesdag to focus on managing Board matters and Mr. Vecchione to focus on managing our business. Having the CEO serve on our Board ensures that the Board contains the individual most familiar with the Company s business and industry and promotes open communication between management and our directors. The CEO provides advice and recommendations to the full Board for the Board s consideration. The Board s role in the risk oversight process has no effect on its leadership structure.

Code of Ethics. The Board has adopted a code of ethics entitled the Standards of Business Conduct applicable to our directors and all employees and officers of the Company, including our principal executive officer and our principal financial officer. A copy of the Standards of Business Conduct is available on our website at *www.encorecapital.com*. Click on Investors, then Corporate Governance and then Standards of Business Conduct. We may post amendments to or waivers of the provisions of the Standards of Business Conduct, if any, made with respect to any of our directors and executive officers on that website, unless otherwise required by NASDAQ Listing Rules to disclose any waiver in a Current Report on Form 8-K. Please note that the information contained on our website is not incorporated by reference in, or considered to be a part of, this proxy statement.

Risk Oversight. Our Board is actively involved in oversight and review of the Company s risk management efforts, either directly or through its standing committees. Assessing and managing risk and communicating risks to the Board is the responsibility of the Company s Chief Risk Officer. In 2010, the Company s management implemented an Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) program, led by certain officers of the Company, including Paul Grinberg, our Chief Financial Officer (CFO), with oversight from the Board. The ERM program was established to identify and evaluate key business risks within the financial, operational, regulatory and strategic areas of the Company and to develop risk monitoring processes and response strategies to transfer, avoid, reduce or accept individual risks as appropriate. Additionally, the ERM program assists management in determining appropriate risk tolerance levels that balance risk mitigation with opportunities to create stockholder value. In 2011, the Company hired a Chief Risk Officer who now reports to Gregory L. Call, our Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary. The Chief Risk Officer administers the ERM program and provides regular reports to the Board and the Audit Committee regarding the ERM program s risk identification, management and mitigation strategy recommendations.

While the Board has retained the responsibility for general oversight of risks and of our ERM program, the Board s standing committees support the Board by regularly addressing various risks in their respective areas of oversight. Specifically, the Audit Committee primarily oversees those risks that may directly or indirectly affect our financial statements, including the areas of financial reporting, internal controls and compliance with public reporting requirements, while the Compensation Committee assists the Board in fulfilling its risk management oversight responsibilities associated with risks arising from employee compensation policies and practices. Each standing committee provides reports to the full Board at regular meetings concerning the activities of the committee and actions taken by the committee since the last regular meeting. Additionally, each Board committee is composed of all independent directors, and all directors are actively involved in the risk oversight function.

Communications with Directors. We have not adopted a formal process for stockholder communications with the Board. Given our size, the Board does not deem it necessary to formally adopt a written policy regarding stockholder communications. Stockholders, however, can contact the Board or an individual director by writing to: Board of Directors, Encore Capital Group, Inc., 3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 1300, San Diego, CA

92108, Attention: Corporate Secretary. Absent unusual circumstances or as contemplated by committee charters, communications received in writing are distributed to members of the Board as appropriate depending on the facts and circumstances outlined in the communication received.

Outside Advisors. The Compensation Committee has engaged Vedder Price to assist it in carrying out the Company s executive compensation programs. The Compensation Committee has assessed the independence of Vedder Price pursuant to SEC rules and determined that Vedder Price is independent and its work for the Compensation Committee does not raise any conflict of interest. In addition, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee engaged Crist/Kolder Associates to assist it with its efforts to identify new candidates to serve on our Board. The search process culminated in the nomination and appointment of Ms. Olle and Mr. Srednicki to our Board in February 2014.

Executive Sessions of Independent Directors. Independent Board members meet without management present following regularly scheduled Board meetings.

Policy Regarding Directors Attendance at Annual Meetings. We encourage directors to attend our annual meeting, but we do not have a policy that requires the attendance of all directors at our annual meeting. Each of our current directors who was a director at the time attended the 2013 annual meeting.

Majority Voting Policy. The Company has adopted a Majority Voting Policy, which states that in an uncontested election (i.e., an election where the only nominees are those recommended by the Board), any nominee for director who receives a greater number of votes withheld from election than votes for such election shall promptly tender a resignation to the Board for consideration.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee shall promptly consider the resignation offer and recommend to the Board action with respect to the tendered resignation, which may include (i) accepting the resignation, (ii) maintaining the director but addressing the underlying cause of the withheld votes, (iii) determining not to renominate the director in the future, (iv) rejecting the resignation or (v) any other action the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee deems to be appropriate and in the best interests of the Company. In considering what action to recommend with respect to the tendered resignation, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee deems why stockholders withheld votes for election from such director, the length of service and qualifications of the director whose resignation has been tendered, the overall composition of the Board, the director s contributions to the Company, the mix of skills and backgrounds of the directors and whether accepting the tendered resignation would cause the Company to fail to meet any applicable requirements of the SEC or the NASDAQ Stock Market.

The Board will act on the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee s recommendation no later than 90 days following certification of the stockholder vote.

Following the Board s decision on the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee s recommendation, the Company will promptly disclose the Board s decision with respect to the tendered resignation and will provide a description of the process by which the decision was reached in a Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC.

Except in certain special circumstances, any director who tenders a resignation pursuant to this provision shall not participate in the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee review and recommendation process or the Board s consideration regarding the action to be taken with respect to the tendered resignation.

To the extent that one or more directors resignations are accepted by the Board, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will recommend to the Board whether to fill such vacancy or vacancies or to reduce the size of the Board.

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

(PROPOSAL NO. 1)

General

Our Board currently consists of nine members, each with a term expiring at the 2014 annual meeting. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the Board has recommended, and the Board has nominated, the following seven incumbent directors for election at the 2014 annual meeting: Kenneth A. Vecchione, Willem Mesdag, Laura Newman Olle, Francis E. Quinlan, Norman R. Sorensen, Richard J. Srednicki and J. Christopher Teets. George Lund and H Ronald Weissman will not stand for re-election at the 2014 annual meeting.

In the event that any nominee named below is unable or declines to serve as a director, the Board may change the number of seats on the Board or may designate an alternate nominee to fill the vacancy. If a substitute nominee is named, the proxy holders will vote the proxies held by them for the election of such person, unless contrary instructions are given. We are not aware of any nominee who will be unable or will decline to serve as a director. The term of office for each person elected as a director continues until the next annual meeting of stockholders or until his or her successor has been elected and qualified.

Required Vote

Subject to the Majority Voting Policy described above, if a quorum is present and voting, the seven nominees receiving the highest number of votes will be elected to the Board.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR election of each of the director nominees.

Director Nominees

Set forth below is certain biographical information about each of our nominees to the Board.

| Name | Age | Position(s) |
|----------------------|-----|---|
| Kenneth A. Vecchione | 59 | President, Chief Executive Officer and Director |
| Willem Mesdag | 60 | Non-Executive Chairman and Director |
| Laura Newman Olle | 61 | Director |
| Francis E. Quinlan | 65 | Director |
| Norman R. Sorensen | 68 | Director |
| Richard J. Srednicki | 66 | Director |
| J. Christopher Teets | 41 | Director |

KENNETH A. VECCHIONE. Mr. Vecchione has served as our President since April 2013 and our President and Chief Executive Officer since May 2013. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Vecchione served as the President and Chief Operating Officer of Western Alliance Bancorp., a publicly traded bank holding company, from April 2010 to April 2013. Mr. Vecchione served as the Chief Financial Officer of Apollo Global Management, L.P., a private equity firm, from November 2007 to March 2010. He also served as Vice Chairman and Chief Financial Officer of MBNA Corporation and as Chief Financial Officer at AT&T Universal Card, First Data Corp Merchant Group and Citicorp Credit Card Services. Mr. Vecchione has served as a director of Western Alliance Bancorp. since October 2007 and as chairman of Western Alliance Bank, its wholly-owned subsidiary, since January 1, 2014. Mr. Vecchione also serves as a director of International Securities Exchange and is a director of the Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco. Mr. Vecchione is a graduate of SUNY at Albany. Mr. Vecchione s qualifications to serve on the Board include his extensive experience in the financial services industry and service on other financial industry boards, and his strong background in executive leadership and strategic planning.

WILLEM MESDAG. Mr. Mesdag has served as a director since May 2007. He is the Managing Partner of Red Mountain Capital Partners LLC, an investment advisor, and is President of Red Mountain Capital Management, Inc., its Managing Member. Prior to founding Red Mountain in 2005, he was an investment banker at Goldman, Sachs & Co. and a securities lawyer at Ballard, Spahr, Andrews & Ingersoll. He joined Goldman, Sachs & Co. in 1981 and was made a General Partner in 1990. Mr. Mesdag holds a bachelor s degree from Northwestern University and a Juris Doctor degree from the Cornell Law School. He serves as a director of Nature s Sunshine Products, Inc. and Destination XL Group, Inc., both of which are public companies. He also serves as a director of Davis Petroleum Acquisition Corp. and Cardiovax, Inc., which are private companies. He previously served on the boards of Cost Plus, Inc., 3i Group plc and Skandia Group AB, all of which were public companies. Mr. Mesdag s qualifications to serve on the Board include his public and private company board service as well as his career as an investment banker and securities lawyer, through which he has extensive experience providing strategic and financial advisory services to complex organizations in the consumer credit and financial services industry with significant international operations.

LAURA NEWMAN OLLE. Ms. Olle was appointed as a director on February 20, 2014, effective February 26, 2014. Ms. Olle retired from Capital One Financial Corporation, a publicly traded bank holding company specializing in credit cards, home loans, auto loans, banking and savings products, in 2007, where she served as chief enterprise risk officer. She joined Capital One in 1999 as senior vice president of Information Technology Systems Development. Prior to Capital One, Ms. Olle served as senior vice president of Information Systems and Services at Freddie Mac. She has also previously held key information technology positions at the Marriott Corporation and worked as a management consultant at Arthur Young and Company. Ms. Olle is a former Certified Public Accountant. Ms. Olle s qualifications to serve on the Board include broad experience developing and overseeing enterprise risk management and information technology programs at complex financial institutions.

FRANCIS E. QUINLAN. Brigadier General Francis E. Quinlan, United States Marine Corps Reserve (Ret.) has served as a director since September 2011. General Quinlan has practiced law for nearly thirty years, most recently at Newmeyer & Dillion LLP since May 2011. Before entering the practice of law he was an agent with the Federal Bureau of Investigation. As a reserve officer he performed active duty in command positions at the Squadron, Air Group, Air Wing, Marine Expeditionary Force and Joint Force levels of the United States Marine Corps. He has served for 12 years as a board director and chairman of the audit committee of Irvine Company LLC; is founding audit committee chairman and former member of the Board of Directors of the California State Compensation Insurance Fund; and is the chairman of the audit committees of Santa Fe Trust, Inc. and its sister company, Independent Trust Company of America, LLC. Prior to joining the Board he served on the audit committee of Convoke Systems, Inc., an entity that provides software services to the debt buying industry. He is Emeritus General Counsel and former audit committee chairman of the Marine Corps University Foundation, Inc. Board of Trustees. Mr. Quinlan holds a Master of Laws in Taxation, has represented major financial institutions in matters ranging from governance and compliance to cyber security and has conducted and directed complex financial, tax, Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, internal fraud and national security investigations in his civilian and military careers. His additional qualifications to serve on the Board include financial forensic accounting training with the Federal Bureau of Investigation, completion of information operations, cyber security and inter-agency professional schools at the national level and corporate network security programs involving multi-national enterprises.

NORMAN R. SORENSEN. Mr. Sorensen has served as a director since December 2011. Mr. Sorensen is former Chairman of the International Insurance Society. Previously, he was Chairman of the International Advisory Council of Principal Financial Group. He was Chairman of Principal International, serving from 2011 to 2012, and President and CEO of International Asset Management and Accumulation of the Principal Financial Group, serving from 2001 to 2011. He has served as Executive Vice President of both Principal Financial Group, Inc. and Principal Life Insurance Company since 2007, as well as held a number of other senior management positions since 1998. Mr. Sorensen served as a senior executive of American International Group, Inc. (insurance services) from 1989 to 1997. He is also a former Chairman of DE Master Blenders, a Senior Advisor to Deloitte,

LLP and a Senior Advisor to Prudential PLC. Mr. Sorensen s qualifications to serve on the Board include his experience as an executive officer of an international financial services and asset management company, with responsibility over international operations and oversight over asset management and financial services functions and multiple divisional chief financial officers. He has also served as an executive officer of several publicly traded companies.

RICHARD J. SREDNICKI. Mr. Srednicki was appointed as a director on February 20, 2014, effective February 26, 2014. Mr. Srednicki retired from JPMorgan Chase & Co., a publicly traded multinational banking and financial services holding company, in 2007 following seven years as chief executive officer of Chase Card Services and a member of the JPMorgan Chase Operating and Executive Committees. Prior to Chase Card Services, he was president of the Home Services Division at Sears Roebuck & Co., president of AT&T Universal Card Services, general manager of Citibank Germany, general manager of Citibank Card Services USA and a senior product manager at Colgate Palmolive Company. Until recently, he was a board member of Alliance Bank of Arizona and the Affinion Group, Inc. Mr. Srednicki s qualifications to serve on the Board include his substantial experience in the financial services industry and service on other financial industry boards.

J. CHRISTOPHER TEETS. Mr. Teets has served as a director since May 2007. Mr. Teets is a Partner of Red Mountain Capital Partners LLC, an investment advisor. Mr. Teets also serves as a director of Air Transport Services Group, Inc. and Marlin Business Services Corp., both of which are public companies. Prior to joining Red Mountain in 2005, Mr. Teets was an investment banker at Goldman Sachs & Co. Mr. Teets qualifications to serve on the Board include his broad experience in capital markets, providing strategic and financial advisory services and serving as a public company director.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Set forth below is certain biographical information about each of our current executive officers. Executive officers are appointed by the Board and serve at the discretion of the Board.

| Name | Age | Position(s) |
|----------------------|-----|---|
| Kenneth A. Vecchione | 59 | President, Chief Executive Officer and Director |
| Paul Grinberg | 53 | Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer |
| Gregory L. Call | 47 | Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary |
| Ashish Masih | 48 | Executive Vice President, U.S. Debt Purchasing and Operations |
| James A. Syran | 47 | Executive Vice President, New Business Integration |

KENNETH A. VECCHIONE. For biographical information on Mr. Vecchione, see Election of Directors (Proposal No. 1) above.

PAUL GRINBERG. Mr. Grinberg has served as our Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer since May 2005, and he served as Secretary from June 2008 until January 2010. From September 2004 until May 2005, he served as our Senior Vice President of Finance. From May 2003 until joining the Company, Mr. Grinberg was the founder and President of Brio Consulting Group, a company that helped venture and private equity backed companies with financial strategy, M&A and related services. From May 2000 until April 2003, Mr. Grinberg served as Chief Financial Officer of Stellcom, Inc., a systems integration firm focused on providing mobile and wireless engineering solutions to Fortune 1000 companies. From February 1997 until April 2000, Mr. Grinberg served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of TeleSpectrum Worldwide,

Inc., a publicly traded company that provided outsourced call center solutions to Fortune 500 companies. From September 1983 until January 1997, Mr. Grinberg was employed at Deloitte &Touche LLP, where he served in several capacities, the most recent of which was as a partner in the firm s Merger and Acquisition Services Group. Mr. Grinberg also serves as a director, Chairman of the audit committee, Chairman of the compensation committee and member of the nominating committee of Bank of Internet USA, an FDIC insured branchless bank. Mr. Grinberg received his bachelor s degree in accounting from Yeshiva University in 1983 and his MBA from Columbia University in 1989, and he is a Certified Public Accountant.

GREGORY L. CALL. Mr. Call has served as our Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary since September 2011. He is responsible for overseeing the Company s legal department, the enterprise risk management and compliance functions, and the team of legal professionals supporting the Company s internal legal collections channel. Mr. Call joined the Company in January 2010, serving as Vice President, Legal and Business Affairs. From 1999 until 2008, Mr. Call served as Vice President, General Counsel, of Gateway, Inc., the computer maker and holder of one of America s strongest consumer brands. From 1999 until joining the Company, Mr. Call was a shareholder of New Hope Legal Center, Inc. From 1994 until 1999, Mr. Call was an associate at the law firm Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCoy LLP, a leading international law firm, where his practice focused on complex commercial litigation and dispute resolution. Mr. Call received his bachelor s degree in English from Brigham Young University in 1991 and his JD, with honors, from the J. Reuben Clark Law School at Brigham Young University in 1994.

ASHISH MASIH. Mr. Masih has served as our Executive Vice President, U.S. Debt Purchasing and Operations since February 2014. He is responsible for internal call center operations, analytics, legal collections, marketing, and operations involving our Asset Acceptance subsidiary. Previously, he served as Senior Vice President, Legal Collections Operations from 2010 until February 2014. Mr. Masih joined the Company in 2009, serving as Senior Vice President, Corporate Development. From 2001 until joining the Company, Mr. Masih was employed at Capital One Financial Corporation where he held senior roles in the Collections & Recoveries functions in the credit card business and was also chief financial officer and head of analytics for a business unit of Capital One. Prior to joining Capital One, Mr. Masih was an Associate Principal at McKinsey & Company and a Manager at KPMG Consulting. Mr. Masih earned an MBA from The Wharton School of the University of Pennsylvania, a Master of Science in Manufacturing Systems Engineering from Lehigh University and a bachelor s degree in Mechanical Engineering from the Indian Institute of Technology, New Delhi, India.

JAMES A. SYRAN. Mr. Syran has served as our Executive Vice President, New Business Integration since February 2014. He is responsible for the integration of international and U.S. new businesses. Mr. Syran joined the Company in 2006, serving as our Senior Vice President of Operations and Chief Marketing Officer until February 2014. In that role, he managed the Company s non-legal collections operations and was the Chairman and President of the Company s subsidiary, Midland Credit Management India Pvt Ltd. From 2000 until joining the Company, Mr. Syran previously served as Executive Vice President of Advertising.com, a privately held interactive media company. From 1995 until 1999, he served as Director, Risk and Marketing of Renaissance Bankcard Services (Orchard Bank) and, from 1992 to 1995, served as Senior Statistician at Capital One Financial. Mr. Syran holds a Bachelor of Science degree in Mathematics and a Master s Degree in Statistics and Operations Research from Virginia Commonwealth University in Richmond, Virginia.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION AND OTHER INFORMATION

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Executive Summary

This Executive Summary is divided into the following parts:

The Company s Compensation Philosophy and Purpose;

2013 Named Executive Officers (NEOs);

2013 Compensation of Our NEOs;

2013 Performance and How It Affected 2013 NEO Compensation;

2014 NEO Compensation Levels;

Change-in-Control and Employment Termination Arrangements;

NEO Compensation and Employment Arrangements for 2013;

Other NEO Compensation Changes for 2013; and

NEO Compensation and Employment Changes for 2014. *The Company s Compensation Philosophy and Purpose*

The Company s executive compensation philosophy, and the purpose of this philosophy, is to attract, retain and develop executive talent, as presented below:

Pay for Performance and Experience. Base salaries are commensurate with the executive s or key employee s experience and expertise coupled with an assessment of (i) the executive s contribution to the Company, (ii) the responsibilities and experience of the executive, (iii) the terms of any applicable employment agreements and (iv) the recommendations of senior management. Incentive compensation is likewise tied to performance and experience.

Market Comparison. The Compensation Committee, with the assistance of outside consultants and/or management, periodically reviews market compensation data and other relevant information regarding total direct compensation structures, giving appropriate weight to the data from our market competitors. Our compensation programs must be competitive in order to retain our senior executives.

Edgar Filing: ENCORE CAPITAL GROUP INC - Form DEF 14A

Alignment with Stockholder Interests. In general, the payment of our incentive compensation is dependent upon the achievement of targeted corporate operating measures as more specifically described below. We believe that basing a component of employee compensation on corporate results and performance aligns employee interests with stockholder interests. In addition, a portion of our executive compensation consists of equity-based incentives, including performance stock awards (PSAs), performance stock unit awards (PSUs), restricted stock unit awards (RSUs) and/or stock option grants so that executives interests are further aligned with the interests of our stockholders.

Retention. We believe that our compensation program should be designed to attract and retain highly talented individuals critical to our success by providing competitive total compensation with significant retention features. Our equity compensation awards are designed to retain our executives and other key employees, while also accomplishing our other compensation goals and objectives.

Severance. To provide sufficient assurances to our executives, the Compensation Committee approved severance protection arrangements for each of our current executives, which provide for certain payments if the executive semployment is terminated without cause or if the executive resigns for good reason.

2013 NEOs

For 2013, our named executive officers (NEOs) were: Kenneth A. Vecchione, President and Chief Executive Officer; Paul Grinberg, Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; James A. Syran, Executive Vice President, New Business Integration; Ashish Masih, Executive Vice President, U.S. Debt Purchasing Operations; and Gregory L. Call, Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary. Mr. J. Brandon Black, our former President and Chief Executive Officer who left the Company in May 2013, is also an NEO for 2013 in accordance with applicable SEC disclosure rules.

2013 Compensation of Our NEOs

The table shows the compensation and the compensation components we used to pay our executives who were NEOs for 2013:

| Name | Annual Salary | Cash Bonuses | Incentive Stock Awards | Other | Total Compensation |
|---|------------------|-----------------|---|------------|-----------------------|
| Kenneth A. Vecchione President & Chief Executive Officer | \$ 571,154(1) | \$ 1,428,677 | 27,175 PSAs granted on 6/4/2013 when the stock price was \$36.43 with a grant date fair value of \$1,649,975 | \$ 46,533 | \$ 7,156,327 |
| | | | 23,571 RSAs granted on 4/15/2013 when the stock price was \$28.00 and with a grant date fair value of \$659,988 | | |
| | | | 100,000 RSAs granted on 4/15/2013 when the stock price was \$28.00 and with a grant date fair value of \$2,800,000(2) | | |
| Paul Grinberg EVP, CFO and Treasurer | \$ 435,102 | \$ 865,865 | 14,395 PSAs granted on 6/6/2013 when the stock price was \$36.49 with a grant date fair value of \$875,456 | \$ 274,775 | \$ 2,801,393 |
| | | | 9,597 RSAs granted on 6/6/2013 when the stock price was \$36.49 and with a grant date fair value of \$350,195 | | |

| Name | Annual Salary | Cash Bonuses | Incentive Stock Awards | Other | Total Compensation |
|---|------------------|-----------------|---|----------|-----------------------|
| James A. Syran EVP, New Business Integration | \$ 303,566 | \$ 304,181 | 8,891 PSAs granted on 6/6/2013 when the stock price was \$36.49 with a grant date fair value of \$540,721 | | \$ 1,364,781 |
| | | | 5,928 RSAs granted on 6/6/2013 when the stock price was \$36.49 and with a grant date fair value of \$216,313 | | |
| Ashish Masih EVP, U.S. Debt Purchasing Operations | \$ 286,656 | \$ 321,815 | 8,299 PSAs granted on 6/6/2013 when the stock price was \$36.49 with a grant date fair value of \$504,718 | \$ 4,375 | \$ 1,319,427 |
| | | | 5,532 RSAs granted on 6/6/2013 when the stock price was \$36.49 and with a grant date fair value of \$201,863 | | |
| Gregory L. Call SVP, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary | \$ 276,418 | \$ 240,000 | 5,716 PSAs granted on 6/6/2013 when the stock price was \$36.49 with a grant date fair value of \$347,628 | \$ 4,370 | \$ 1,007,443 |
| | | | 3,810 RSAs granted on 6/6/2013 when the stock price was \$36.49 and with a grant date fair value of \$139,027 | | |
| J. Brandon Black | \$ 369,531(1) | | | \$ 6,857 | \$ 376,388 |

Former President & Chief Executive Officer

(1) Mr. Vecchione and Mr. Black were not employed for all of 2013.

(2) Represents Mr. Vecchione s sign-on RSAs.

Refer to our Summary Compensation Table and accompanying tables for details.

2013 Performance and How It Affected 2013 NEO Compensation

The Company had outstanding performance in 2013, similar to its performance in 2012. Early in 2014, the Company paid annual cash bonuses to our NEOs based on the Company s achievement of a 2013 Adjusted EBITDA metric against targets established by the Compensation

Edgar Filing: ENCORE CAPITAL GROUP INC - Form DEF 14A

Committee early in 2013. This Adjusted EBITDA metric, which differs from the Adjusted EBITDA metric regularly reported by the Company, increased 36.8% for 2013, from \$159.5 million in 2012 to \$218.2 million in 2013. Adjusted EBITDA in this case is defined as net income before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, stock-based compensation expenses, portfolio amortization, discontinued operations and other one-time charges. Adjusted EBITDA is a non-GAAP financial measure that should not be considered as an alternative to, or more meaningful than, net income as an indicator

of the Company s operating performance. Further, this non-GAAP financial measure, as presented by the Company, may not be comparable to similarly titled measures reported by other companies. The Company has provided a reconciliation of Adjusted EBITDA to reported net income under GAAP below (in thousands):

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|-------------------------------|-----------|------------|------------|
| Net income | \$ 73,740 | \$ 69,477 | \$ 60,958 |
| Interest expense | \$ 73,269 | \$ 25,564 | \$ 21,116 |
| Provision for income taxes | \$ 45,388 | \$ 51,754 | \$ 38,076 |
| Depreciation and amortization | \$ 13,547 | \$ 5,840 | \$ 4,081 |
| Discontinued Operations | \$ 1,740 | \$ 9,094 | \$ (365) |
| Other one-time adjustments | \$ 10,510 | \$ (2,229) | \$ 1,134 |
| Adjusted EBITDA | \$218,194 | \$ 159,500 | \$ 125,000 |

We paid the NEOs the above compensation based on the following:

Salary Paid in 2013. Mr. Vecchione s annual base salary for 2013 was \$825,000. Because his employment with the Company commenced on April 8, 2013, base salary actually paid to him in 2013 was \$571,174.

In February 2013, the annual base salaries of Mr. Grinberg, Mr. Syran, Mr. Masih and Mr. Call were increased 3%, resulting in annual base salaries of \$437,750, \$309,000, \$288,400 and \$278,100, respectively.

Mr. Black s annual base salary through his departure from the Company was \$600,000. Mr. Black left his position as President, effective as of April 8, 2013, and his position as Chief Executive Officer, effective as of May 31, 2013. Base salary actually paid to Mr. Black in 2013 was \$369,531.

A more detailed discussion is provided later in this Compensation Discussion and Analysis.

Annual Cash Bonus Awarded in February 2014. We have a Key Contributor Plan (KCP) that we use to pay annual cash bonuses to our NEOs and other senior executives. The performance metric under the KCP for 2013 was Adjusted EBITDA (described above), and the performance target was \$175.5 million, which was 10.0% over actual Adjusted EBITDA achieved in 2012 of \$159.5 million. Actual Adjusted EBITDA achieved for 2013 was \$218.2 million or 24.4% over target.

Mr. Vecchione and Mr. Grinberg both have target annual cash bonus levels equal to 100% of their annual base salaries and actual levels based on three times the Company performance over target. Actual Adjusted EBITDA achieved for 2013 was \$218.2 million, or 24.4% greater than the 2013 Adjusted EBITDA target, resulting in cash bonus payments under the KCP for Mr. Vecchione at 173% of his target bonus and Mr. Grinberg at 173% of his target bonus. Mr. Syran and Mr. Masih have annual cash bonus targets equal to 75% of their annual base salaries, and Mr. Call has an annual cash target bonus equal to 50% of his annual base salary. Cash bonus payments for Messrs. Syran, Masih and Call were 131%, 149% and 149% of their target bonuses, respectively.

In addition to the above bonuses paid under the KCP, the Compensation Committee recognized special performance for 2013 by Mr. Grinberg and Mr. Call and awarded them special recognition bonuses. Mr. Grinberg s special recognition bonus was \$107,800, reflecting the difference between his cash bonus based on his 2013 target bonus and the cash bonus he would have received if his 2013 annual bonus had been calculated based on a target of \$500,000. Mr. Call s special recognition bonus was \$33,119.

Equity Grants Awarded in 2013. We report equity grants awarded to our NEOs with respect to the fiscal year when made. While equity awards have a going-forward long-term incentive feature to them, the size of the award generally is based on past awards and the previous year s performance. After taking into account recommendations from the CEO and Senior Vice President of Human Resources, the Compensation Committee exercises its discretion in determining the size of the equity grants made to our NEOs.

Equity Grants Realized in 2013. Our NEOs vested in the following RSAs and/or RSUs, and exercised the following number of stock options:

| Name Kenneth A. Vecchione | RSAs /RSUs Vested (shares /dollar amount) | Stock Options Exercised (shares /dollar amount) |
|------------------------------|--|--|
| Paul Grinberg | 24,333 / \$756,820 | |
| James A. Syran | 16,200 / \$503,496 | 71,400 / \$1,896,690 |
| Ashish Masih | 14,133 / \$489,214 | |
| Gregory L. Call | 16,000 / \$629,580 | |

J. Brandon Black

Retirement and Deferred Compensation. Other than a standard 401(k) plan applicable to all employees, there are no active retirement arrangements for our NEOs. Mr. Black, prior to his retirement from the Company, participated in a frozen deferred compensation plan that is linked to a split-dollar arrangement. The Company does not contribute to this frozen plan. Mr. Black earned \$13,828 in his fully vested deferred compensation account in 2013.

34,445 / \$1,072,885

466,830 / \$10,305,866

Perquisites and Other Compensation. The Company provides Mr. Vecchione a monthly housing allowance of \$5,000 and provides Mr. Grinberg a monthly commuting allowance of \$8,500. The Company also offers an executive health screening program whereby our executives may obtain a comprehensive physical examination once every two years. In 2013, Mr. Vecchione received an annual housing allowance of \$45,000 and reimbursement of moving expenses in the amount of \$1,533. Mr. Grinberg received reimbursement of moving expenses in the amount of \$166,360 and an allowance for commuting expenses in the amount of \$102,000. There was no other compensation paid to or on behalf of our NEOs during 2013 other than 401(k) matching contributions contained in the Summary Compensation Table, the payment of premiums payable in respect of Mr. Black and Mr. Grinberg s split-dollar life insurance policies and Company-provided COBRA continuation premiums for group health benefits for Mr. Black pursuant to his transition and consulting letter agreement with the Company.

2014 NEO Compensation Levels

Mr. Vecchione s annual base salary was increased by 4.2%, effective March 1, 2014, resulting in a 2014 base salary of \$860,000. Pursuant to a letter agreement between the Company and Mr. Grinberg, Mr. Grinberg s annual base salary was increased by 25.7%, effective January 1, 2014, resulting in a 2014 base salary of \$550,000. Mr. Syran s annual base salary was increased by 10%, effective March 1, 2014, resulting in a 2014 base salary of \$340,000. Mr. Masih s annual base salary was increased by 17.9%, effective March 1, 2014, resulting in a 2014 base salary of \$340,000. Mr. Call s annual base salary was increased by 7.9%, effective March 1, 2014, resulting in a 2014 base salary of \$300,000.

The annual cash bonus target levels for Mr. Vecchione and Mr. Grinberg remain at 100% of base salary. The annual cash bonus target levels for Mr. Syran and Mr. Masih were increased from 75% of base salary to 100% of base salary. Mr. Call s annual cash bonus target level was increased from 50% to 75% of base salary.

Mr. Black s employment with the Company ended on May 31, 2013.

Change-in-Control and Employment Termination Arrangements

We do not have formal employment agreements with our NEOs; however, we provided an employment offer letter to Mr. Vecchione and have entered into severance protection letter agreements with our other NEOs. In addition, our equity compensation arrangements provide for accelerated vesting in certain instances. As

of December 31, 2013, the terms and conditions relating to our employment termination arrangements (whether or not in connection with a change in control) were as follows:

Mr. Vecchione s unvested equity compensation grants will vest on death or a termination due to disability. Under Mr. Vecchione s employment offer letter, if he is terminated by the Company without Cause (which is defined in the severance protection letter agreement) or he terminates his employment for Good Reason (which is also defined in the severance protection letter agreement), he will receive two years of continued base salary, plus 200% of the last three-year average bonus payments, plus a prorated target bonus for the year of termination, plus two years of continued health benefits. In addition, all of his unvested equity awards will fully vest. Mr. Vecchione s employment offer letter provides that if any payment or benefit that he receives pursuant to a change in control of the Company or otherwise would be subject to the excise tax under Internal Revenue Code (IRC) Section 4999, then such payment will be reduced so that either (i) the largest portion of the payment that would result in no portion of the payment being subject to the excise tax or (ii) the largest portion, up to and including the total, of the payment, whichever amount, after taking into account all applicable federal, state and local employment taxes, income taxes and the excise tax (all computed at the highest applicable marginal rate), results in his receipt, on an after-tax basis, of the greater amount of the payment.

Mr. Grinberg s unvested equity compensation grants will vest on death or a termination due to disability. In addition, his unvested equity compensation granted prior to January 1, 2012 will immediately vest on a change in control (with or without a termination of employment) and his unvested equity granted on or following January 1, 2012 will vest only if he is terminated following a change in control. Prior to its February 24, 2014 amendment, under Mr. Grinberg s severance protection letter agreement, if he were terminated by the Company without Cause (which is defined in the severance protection letter agreement) or he terminated his employment for Good Reason (which is also defined in the severance protection letter agreement), he would receive 18 months of continued base salary, plus 100% of his target bonus, plus a prorated target bonus for the year of termination, plus 18 months of continued health benefits. In addition, his severance protection letter agreement provides that if any payment or benefit that he receives pursuant to a change in control of the Company or otherwise would be subject to the excise tax under IRC Section 4999, then such payment will be reduced so that either (i) the largest portion of the payment that would result in no portion of the payment being subject to the excise tax or (ii) the largest portion, up to and including the total, of the payment, whichever amount, after taking into account all applicable federal, state and local employment taxes, income taxes and the excise tax (all computed at the highest applicable marginal rate), results in his receipt, on an after-tax basis, of the greater amount of the payment.

Mr. Syran s unvested equity compensation grants will vest on death or a termination due to disability. In addition, his unvested equity compensation granted prior to January 1, 2012 will immediately vest on a change in control (with or without a termination of employment) and his unvested equity granted on or following January 1, 2012 will vest only if he is terminated due to or following a change in control. If he is terminated by the Company without Cause (which is defined in the severance protection letter agreement) or he terminates his employment for Good Reason (which is also defined in the severance protection letter agreement), he will receive 12 months of continued base salary, plus a prorated target bonus for the year of termination, plus 12 months of continued health benefits. In addition, the severance protection letter agreement provides that if any payment or benefit that he receives pursuant to a change in control of the Company or otherwise would be subject to the excise tax under IRC Section 4999, then such payment will be reduced so that either (i) the largest portion of the payment that would result in no portion of the payment being subject to the excise tax or (ii) the largest portion, up to and including the total, of the payment, whichever amount, after taking into account all applicable federal, state and local employment taxes, income taxes and the excise tax (all computed at the highest applicable marginal rate), results in his receipt, on an after-tax basis, of the greater amount of the payment.

Mr. Masih s unvested equity compensation grants will vest on death or a termination due to disability. In addition, his unvested equity compensation granted prior to January 1, 2012 will immediately vest on a change in control (with or without a termination of employment) and unvested equity granted on or following January 1, 2012 will vest only if he is terminated due to or following a change in control. If he is terminated by the Company without Cause (which is defined in the severance protection letter agreement) or he terminates his employment for Good Reason (which is also defined in the severance protection letter agreement), he will receive 12 months of continued base salary, plus a prorated target bonus for the year of termination, plus 12 months of continued health benefits. In addition, the severance protection letter agreement provides that if any payment or benefit that he receives pursuant to a change in control of the Company or otherwise would be subject to the excise tax under IRC Section 4999, then such payment will be reduced so that either (i) the largest portion of the payment that would result in no portion of the payment being subject to the excise tax or (ii) the largest portion, up to and including the total, of the payment, whichever amount, after taking into account all applicable federal, state and local employment taxes, income taxes and the excise tax (all computed at the highest applicable marginal rate), results in his receipt, on an after-tax basis, of the greater amount of the payment.

Mr. Call s unvested equity compensation grants will vest on death or a termination due to disability. In addition, his unvested equity compensation granted prior to January 1, 2012 will immediately vest on a change in control (with or without a termination of employment) and unvested equity granted on or following January 1, 2012 will vest only if he is terminated due to or following a change in control. If he is terminated by the Company without Cause (which is defined in the severance protection letter agreement) or he terminates his employment for Good Reason (which is also defined in the severance protection letter agreement), he will receive 12 months of continued base salary, plus a prorated target bonus for the year of termination, plus 12 months of continued health benefits. In addition, the severance protection letter agreement provides that if any payment or benefit that he receives pursuant to a change in control of the Company or otherwise would be subject to the excise tax under IRC Section 4999, then such payment will be reduced so that either (i) the largest portion of the payment that would result in no portion of the payment being subject to the excise tax or (ii) the largest portion, up to and including the total, of the payment, whichever amount, after taking into account all applicable federal, state and local employment taxes, income taxes and the excise tax (all computed at the highest applicable marginal rate), results in his receipt, on an after-tax basis, of the greater amount of the payment.

The table below shows the dollar amounts that would be payable to our NEOs if there had been a change in control and/or if their employment had been terminated on December 31, 2013 (and using a stock price of \$50.26 in all instances) based on the following scenarios:

there is a change in control without a termination of employment (single trigger);

there is a change in control and there is a termination of employment without Cause or for Good Reason (double trigger);

there is no change in control and there is a termination of employment without Cause or for Good Reason;

death; and

disability.

In the case of Mr. Grinberg, the amounts below do not reflect the February 24, 2014 amendments to his agreement filed as an exhibit to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on February 24, 2014. Mr. Black is not included in the table because he was no longer employed by the Company on December 31, 2013 and his employment did not terminate as a result of any of the triggering events described in the table. The amounts below assume a payout of the PSAs granted in 2013 at target.

| Name | Single Trigger Change in Control | Double Trigger Change in Control | Without Cause or for Good Reason | Death | Disability |
|----------------------|--|--|--|--------------|--------------|
| Kenneth A. Vecchione | | \$ 11,732,622 | \$ 11,732,622 | \$ 7,576,494 | \$ 7,576,494 |
| Paul Grinberg | \$ 854,667 | \$ 5,322,936 | \$ 1,555,471 | \$ 3,767,465 | \$ 3,767,465 |
| James A. Syran | \$ 549,267 | \$ 3,005,664 | \$ 563,461 | \$ 2,442,203 | \$ 2,442,203 |
| Ashish Masih | \$ 928,945 | \$ 2,847,505 | \$ 520,264 | \$ 2,327,241 | \$ 2,327,241 |
| Gregory L. Call | \$ 251,250 | \$ 2,201,665 | \$ 439,861 | \$ 1,761,804 | \$ 1,761,804 |
| | | | | | |

NEO Compensation and Employment Arrangements for 2013

In 2013, we made the following changes regarding our NEO compensation and employment arrangements:

We hired Kenneth A. Vecchione to serve as our new President and CEO. Mr. Vecchione became the Company s President on April 8, 2013 and became CEO on May 31, 2013. For 2013, his compensation arrangement includes a base salary of \$825,000 and a target bonus of 100% of base salary. His 2013 annual bonus was guaranteed to be paid out at least at target. His annual long-term incentive compensation target is 200% of base salary. He was awarded 100,000 RSAs upon joining the Company that vest 20% per year over a five-year period, a portion of which was to make him whole for equity compensation he forfeited upon leaving his former employer. He is also provided with an annual housing allowance of \$60,000. If his employment is terminated by the Company without Cause or by him for Good Reason (as such terms are defined in his severance protection letter agreement), he will be paid a severance consisting of 200% of his base salary, plus 200% of his 3-year average paid bonuses, plus a pro rata bonus for the year of termination if performance goals are actually achieved, plus 24 months of Company-provided COBRA continuation premiums for group health benefits. In addition, all of his unvested equity awards will fully vest. Mr. Vecchione has agreed to be subject to a two-year non-competition and non-solicitation agreement.

Mr. Black and the Company agreed to a transition and consulting agreement where Mr. Black would be paid \$3,100,000 over a three-year period and receive Company-provided COBRA continuation premiums for group health benefits. This payment level generally was based on Mr. Black s existing severance protection letter as well as his new obligations to provide consulting services to the Company over the next three years and to refrain from competing with the Company over the same three-year period.

Mr. Grinberg s severance protection letter agreement was amended on January 9, 2013 in order to ensure continuity of his services through 2014. His amended severance protection letter agreement changes the severance amount to which Mr. Grinberg is entitled from 100% to 150% of his then-current salary in the event that his employment is terminated without Cause or he resigns for Good Reason (as such terms are defined in his amended severance protection letter agreement) at any time during the term of the amended severance protection letter agreement also adds to the definition of Good Reason any resignation by Mr. Grinberg from the Company on or after July 1, 2014, so long as he provides the Company with 90 days prior written notice of such resignation. The amended severance protection letter agreement also changes the maximum number of months from 12 to 18 for which the Company will pay Mr. Grinberg s COBRA continuation premiums for group health benefits. On January 9, 2013, Mr. Grinberg also entered into a letter agreement with the Company pursuant to which the Compensation Committee guaranteed Mr. Grinberg that his 2013 annual bonus will be paid out at least at its target amount. The Company also agreed to cover the relocation costs associated with Mr. Grinberg s change in residence and to provide Mr. Grinberg with a monthly allowance for commuting expenses of \$8,500.

Other NEO Compensation Changes for 2013

In 2013 the Compensation Committee continued to review the Company s executive compensation practices and made the following changes:

have 60% of the annual equity awards beginning in 2013 consist of PSAs or PSUs and 40% consist of RSAs or RSUs; and

qualify executive incentive compensation as performance-based compensation for purposes of IRC Section 162(m). *NEO Compensation and Employment Changes for 2014*

In 2014, we made the following changes regarding our NEO compensation and employment arrangements:

On February 24, 2014, the Company entered into certain arrangements with Mr. Grinberg, in order to ensure continuity of service. Specifically, the Company and Mr. Grinberg entered into a letter agreement setting forth certain changes to Mr. Grinberg s compensation. Under the letter agreement, Mr. Grinberg will be eligible for an annualized base salary of \$550,000, effective January 1, 2014. Mr. Grinberg s annual target cash bonus will remain at 100% of his base salary, and his annual cash bonus with respect to 2013 was calculated based on a target of \$500,000. He will remain eligible for annual long-term compensation awards. In addition, the letter agreement provides Mr. Grinberg a special restricted stock award with a grant-date fair market value equal to \$1,100,000. The restricted stock will cliff vest on December 31, 2016, provided that if the Company terminates Mr. Grinberg s employment without Cause or if Mr. Grinberg terminates his employment for Good Reason (as such terms are defined in the restricted stock agreement) prior to December 31, 2016, the shares will vest 100% on the date of Mr. Grinberg s termination of employment. The Letter Agreement further provides that if the Company terminates Mr. Grinberg s employment without Cause (as defined in his equity award agreements), any equity awards that would have vested in the 18-month period following termination of Mr. Grinberg s employment will accelerate and vest on the date of Mr. Grinberg s termination of employment. Mr. Grinberg will continue to receive a monthly allowance for commuting expenses of \$8,500. The letter agreement also amends the definition of Good Reason under the severance protection letter agreement dated as of March 11, 2009, and amended on January 9, 2013, so that any resignation by Mr. Grinberg from the Company on or after December 31, 2016 will constitute Good Reason, so long as he provides the Company with 90 days prior written notice of such resignation.

The Company increased the compensation levels of our NEOs as described under 2014 NEO Compensation Levels above. 2013 Compensation Details

Introduction

The purpose of this compensation discussion and analysis (CD&A) is to provide information about each material element of compensation earned by our NEOs during our 2013 fiscal year. The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the 2013 Summary Compensation Table and related tables and narrative that are presented in this proxy statement.

For our 2013 fiscal year, our NEOs were:

Kenneth A. Vecchione, President and Chief Executive Officer;

Paul Grinberg, Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer;

Edgar Filing: ENCORE CAPITAL GROUP INC - Form DEF 14A

James A. Syran, Executive Vice President, New Business Integration;

Ashish Masih, Executive Vice President, U.S. Debt Purchasing Operations;

Gregory L. Call, Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary; and

J. Brandon Black, former President and Chief Executive Officer. This CD&A addresses and provides the context behind the numerical and related information contained in the 2013 Summary Compensation Table and related tables and includes the award of bonuses related to 2013 performance that occurred after the end of our 2013 fiscal year.

Key Developments During 2013

Our compensation policy in 2013 was to maintain our existing compensation programs, but to implement several changes that we felt were necessary based on current market practices. Highlighted below are some of the key actions and decisions with respect to our executive compensation programs for fiscal 2013 as approved by the Compensation Committee:

Performance-based compensation awards and payouts. Our executive compensation is linked to the achievement of performance targets or specific strategic objectives. As with past years, through the KCP, the NEOs were eligible to earn cash incentive compensation based upon achievement of specific Adjusted EBITDA targets for 2013 recommended and approved by the Compensation Committee and that are designed to challenge the executive team to high performance. In addition, PSAs were granted to the NEOs for 2013, as further described below.

Compensation Recovery Policy. The Compensation Committee in April 2013 adopted the Encore Capital Group, Inc. Compensation Recovery Policy under which the Compensation Committee may clawback incentive compensation erroneously paid, granted or awarded to a senior executive if it determines the senior executive has committed misconduct that causes demonstrably significant financial and reputational harm to the Company. If the Compensation Committee seeks recovery of incentive compensation erroneously paid to a senior executive, the Company will disclose this with specificity in a Form 8-K or other document filed with the SEC, so long as the underlying event has already been publicly disclosed in the Company s filings with the SEC.

Stock Ownership Requirements. In December 2013, the Compensation Committee adopted equity ownership requirements to promote substantial equity ownership by the Company s management and thereby further align their interests with the interests of our stockholders. Under these requirements, each executive is required to own equity equal to a multiple of the executive s base salary, reflecting each executive s role and level of responsibility at the Company. The multiple ranges from two-to-four times base salary.

Say-on-Pay Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation

At our 2011 annual meeting of stockholders, a non-binding, advisory vote was taken with respect to the compensation of the Company s NEOs (commonly referred to as a say-on-pay vote). Over 99% of the votes cast were in favor of approval of our executive compensation program. We value this endorsement by our stockholders of our executive compensation program and policies, and the Compensation Committee continues to look for ways to enhance and refine our pay-for-performance-based executive compensation program.

The Compensation Committee considered the results of the 2011 advisory vote and also considered other factors in evaluating the Company s executive compensation programs as discussed in this CD&A, including the advice of the Compensation Committee s independent compensation consultant. While these factors affected the Compensation Committee s decisions regarding NEO compensation, the Compensation Committee did not make any changes to the Company s executive compensation program and policies explicitly as a result of the 2011 say-on-pay advisory vote.

Pending the outcome of the non-binding, advisory vote on Proposal 3 at the 2014 annual meeting of stockholders and the Board s consideration of the result of that vote, we expect the next say-on-pay advisory vote to occur at our 2015 annual meeting of stockholders.

Overview

Our Compensation Philosophy and Purpose. The Compensation Committee is chartered with establishing and reviewing the performance and compensation of our NEOs and other executive officers. Incentive compensation arrangements are the cornerstone of the Compensation Committee s executive compensation policies. Our compensation philosophy is to establish and maintain base salaries, bonus plans and equity-based compensation plans that will attract and retain qualified executive officers and key employees necessary for our continued successful operation and growth and ensure that management is rewarded appropriately for its contributions to our growth and profitability in alignment with our objectives and stockholder interests.

Ultimately, our compensation philosophy is generally focused on the following:

Pay for Performance and Experience. Base salaries are commensurate with the executive s or key employee s experience and expertise coupled with an assessment of: (i) the executive s contribution to the Company; (ii) the responsibilities and experience of the executive; (iii) the terms of any applicable employment agreements; and (iv) the recommendations of senior management. Incentive compensation is likewise tied to performance and experience.

Market Comparison. The Compensation Committee, with the assistance of outside consultants and/or management, periodically reviews market compensation data and other relevant information regarding total direct compensation structures, giving appropriate weight to the data from our market competitors. Our compensation programs must be competitive in order to retain our senior executives.

Alignment with Stockholder Interests. In general, the payment of our incentive compensation is dependent upon the achievement of targeted corporate operating measures as more specifically described below. We believe that basing a component of employee compensation on corporate results and performance aligns employee interests with stockholder interests. In addition, a portion of our executive compensation consists of stock incentives, including PSAs, RSAs, PSUs, RSUs and/or stock options, so that executives interests are further aligned with the interests of our stockholders.

Retention. We believe that our compensation program should be designed to attract and retain highly talented individuals critical to our success by providing competitive total compensation with significant retention features. Our equity awards are designed to retain our executives and other key employees, while also accomplishing our other compensation goals and objectives.

Severance. To provide sufficient assurances to our executives, the Compensation Committee approved severance protection arrangements for each of our current executives, which provide for certain payments if the executive semployment is terminated without cause or if the executive resigns for good reason.

Role of the Compensation Committee. During 2013, the Compensation Committee consisted of J. Christopher Teets (Chairman), Norman Sorensen, and, until his resignation from the Board on August 23, 2013, Warren S. Wilcox. The Compensation Committee s primary activity occurs following the close of the fiscal year, when the Compensation Committee: (i) approves grants of PSAs, RSAs, PSUs, RSUs and/or stock options; (ii) determines whether performance targets have been satisfied for performance-based equity granted during previous fiscal years; (iii) approves total compensation levels for our executives for the current fiscal year, including any salary increases and cash bonus levels; and (iv) approves payments under the KCP and any other cash bonuses applicable to our executives for the fiscal year just concluded.

Outside Consultants. The Compensation Committee has the specific authority to hire outside advisors and consultants in its discretion at the expense of the Company. In 2013, the Compensation Committee engaged

Vedder Price to assist it in carrying out the Company s executive compensation programs. The Compensation Committee has assessed the independence of Vedder Price pursuant to SEC rules and determined that Vedder Price is independent and its work for the Compensation Committee does not raise any conflict of interest.

Compensation Committee s Action in 2013

In 2013, the Compensation Committee took the following actions with respect to our executive compensation programs:

Performance shares. Beginning in 2013, 60% of the annual equity awards under our equity compensation program consist of PSAs or PSUs;

Section 162(m). Beginning in 2013, we qualify incentive compensation as performance-based compensation for purposes of tax deductibility under IRC Section 162(m);

Compensation Recovery Policy. In April 2013, the Compensation Committee adopted the Encore Capital Group, Inc. Compensation Recovery Policy under which the Compensation Committee may clawback incentive compensation erroneously paid, granted or awarded to a senior executive if it determines the senior executive has committed misconduct that causes demonstrably significant financial and reputational harm to the Company; and

Stock Ownership Requirements. In December 2013, the Compensation Committee adopted equity ownership requirements to promote substantial equity ownership by the Company s management and thereby further align their interests with the interests of our stockholders. Under these requirements, each executive is required to own equity equal to a multiple of the executive s base salary. The multiple ranges from two-to-four times base salary.

Other Compensation Committee Action for 2013

The Compensation Committee continued its review of the Company s executive compensation programs during 2013, specifically reviewing the following:

deferred compensation/split-dollar arrangements for our NEOs; and

executive termination arrangements (both for change-in-control and non-change-in-control circumstances). **Executive Compensation Comparisons**

The Compensation Committee annually takes into consideration evolving market practices to ensure that it remains informed of current practices when making compensation decisions. In 2011, the Compensation Committee engaged Vedder Price to extract, compile, assemble and provide to the Compensation Committee a large volume of executive compensation data for comparison purposes.

Vedder Price and the Compensation Committee created the following four comparison groups :

industry group (companies with annual revenues between \$100 million and \$5 billion);

annual revenue group (companies with annual revenues between \$350 million and \$410 million);

Edgar Filing: ENCORE CAPITAL GROUP INC - Form DEF 14A

annual net income group (companies with annual net income between \$45 million and \$55 million); and

market capitalization group (companies with market capitalizations between \$500 million and \$650 million). A full list of the comparison companies is set forth in Appendices A, B, C and D at the end of our proxy statement filed on April 27, 2012. The Compensation Committee reviewed this compensation data and took the

data into account in setting compensation levels for our NEOs for 2013; however, the data in and of itself did not determine these compensation levels. While this comparison is similar to benchmarking, the Compensation Committee considers its review of this data to be a comparison and not a benchmarking. The Compensation Committee asked Vedder Price to update this executive compensation data in 2013 using the following revised criteria.

industry group (companies with annual revenues between \$100 million and \$5 billion);

annual revenue group (companies with annual revenues between \$500 million and \$600 million);

annual net income group (companies with annual net income between \$70 million and \$90 million);

market capitalization group (companies with market capitalizations between \$1.0 billion and \$1.3 billion); and

stock price increase group (companies with stock price increases between 60% and 75% during the previous 12 months with annual revenues below \$5.0 billion).

Vedder Price prepared draft comparisons based on the above criteria in November 2013; however, the updated data were prepared after the compensation levels for NEOs were set for 2013, and thus the data were not considered by the Compensation Committee in setting the 2013 NEO compensation levels.

In addition, as in the past, the Compensation Committee closely reviewed the executive compensation data at a small group of peer companies. This group had consisted of Asset Acceptance Capital Corp., Asta Funding, Inc., NCO Group, Inc. and Portfolio Recovery Associates, Inc. These companies had been selected because they were close industry peers to the Company and, with the exception of NCO Group, Inc., were all publicly traded companies; however, by 2013, only Portfolio Recovery Associates, Inc. and Asta Funding, Inc. were publicly traded. As in previous years, the Compensation Committee considers the compensation practices of these peer companies that publicly disclose their executive compensation, but the Compensation Committee does not use this peer group data to base, justify or provide a framework for compensation decisions. The Compensation Committee also does not target any element of compensation or total compensation to a specific range within the peer group. Rather, it uses peer group data to obtain a general understanding of current compensation practices and to aim to provide total compensation packages that are competitive with prevailing practices in our industry.

Role of Executives in the Compensation Setting Process

The Compensation Committee generally solicits management s assistance in determining executive compensation as it deems appropriate. For 2013, the Compensation Committee determined salary levels, bonus and equity awards for the CEO and CFO in collaboration with George Lund, who at the time served as the Company s Executive Chairman. The Compensation Committee looks to the Human Resources department and its outside advisors for advice regarding the design and implementation of compensation plans, programs and practices. For 2013, representatives from the Human Resources department, as well as the Executive Chairman, often attended portions of Compensation Committee meetings to make presentations regarding, and to discuss management s viewpoint of, various compensation issues.

Elements of our Compensation Program

Our compensation plans are designed to provide a competitive total compensation package consistent with our performance in the marketplace. The compensation program for each of our NEOs includes:

base salary;

Edgar Filing: ENCORE CAPITAL GROUP INC - Form DEF 14A

annual cash incentive bonus;

equity-based compensation incentives;

severance protection and/or change-in-control arrangements; and

participation in other benefit plans and programs.

While executives have more of their total compensation at risk than other employees, the principles that serve as the basis for our NEO compensation practices apply to the compensation plans for all employees who are eligible for incentive compensation; namely, corporate and individual performance drive incentive compensation.

Processes and Procedures for Considering and Determining Executive Compensation

Base Salary. The first component of our NEO compensation package is base salary. Our philosophy is to pay base salaries that are commensurate with the NEO s experience and expertise, taking into account competitive market data for NEOs with similar backgrounds, experience and expertise. The factors considered by the Compensation Committee in making its evaluation and determination regarding the appropriateness of base salary include:

an assessment of each NEO s contribution to the Company;

the responsibilities and experience of each NEO;

competitive market data, individual performance and other relevant information regarding base salary structures;

the terms of any applicable employment agreements;

the detriment to us should the NEO leave the Company s employ; and

the recommendations of senior management.

The Compensation Committee generally reviews each NEO s base salary and benefits on an annual basis and from time to time as it deems appropriate.

With respect to its periodic review of salaries for our NEOs and other executives in 2013, the Compensation Committee considered data provided by Vedder Price in 2011, an assessment of corporate performance, as well as individual performance of each NEO. Annual base salaries for 2013 for the NEOs other than Mr. Vecchione were increased by 3% over annual base salaries in 2012. The Compensation Committee believed these increases were consistent with our compensation philosophy and market competitiveness.

We disclose the salary earned in 2013 by our NEOs in the Salary column of the 2013 Summary Compensation Table.

Annual Cash Incentive Bonus. The second component of our NEO compensation package is an annual cash incentive bonus program under our KCP. Pursuant to the terms of the KCP, each NEO s target bonus is a stated percentage of his base salary; however, our NEOs would not receive a bonus if threshold performance goals are not met. The Compensation Committee does have the authority not to award a bonus or to award a bonus that is less than the specified payout under the KCP. Actual bonuses paid to our NEOs under the KCP are based upon (i) achievement of our corporate performance against pre-established, targeted operating measures and (ii) the Compensation Committee s discretion to decrease the payout below the earned payout. The Compensation Committee believes that variable bonus opportunities provide higher rewards for higher performers and drive the successful achievement of short-term critical business objectives. Mr. Black did not participate in the KCP for 2013.

Based on the Company s annual strategic operational and financial objectives for 2013, a Company Adjusted EBITDA target of \$175.5 million, 10.0% over actual Adjusted EBITDA achieved in 2012 of \$159.5 million, was established as the appropriate operating measure by the Compensation Committee for determining bonus awards to our NEOs under the KCP. Adjusted EBITDA as calculated by us may be adjusted for unusual items at the Compensation Committee s discretion. Actual Adjusted EBITDA for 2013 was \$218.2 million, representing an increase of 36.8% over 2012 actual Adjusted EBITDA of \$159.5 million and resulting in

a cash bonus payout under the KCP at 173% of target for Mr. Vecchione, at 173% of target for Mr. Grinberg, 131% of target for Mr. Syran, 149% of target for Mr. Masih and 149% of target for Mr. Call. No adjustments were made to the Company s 2013 Adjusted EBITDA results. See Executive Summary 2013 Performance and How it Affected NEO Compensation above for a description of the Adjusted EBITDA metric used to determine payouts under the KCP (which differs from the Adjusted EBITDA metric regularly reported by the Company) as well as a reconciliation of the foregoing Adjusted EBITDA numbers to GAAP net income. Information on the 2013 bonuses awarded to the NEOs can be found in the Summary Compensation Table.

Equity-Based Compensation Incentives. The third component of our NEO compensation package is equity-based compensation incentives, which have traditionally taken the form of non-qualified stock options, RSUs, PSUs and RSAs. The Compensation Committee considers annual grants of stock options, RSUs, PSUs and RSAs to our NEOs and key employees to more closely align the interests of our executive officers and key employees with the long-term interests of the Company and our stockholders and to assist in promoting executive retention. Beginning in 2013, the Compensation Committee determined that 60% of the NEOs annual equity grants should be in the form of PSAs, as described more fully below.

Long-Term Incentive Program. The Compensation Committee implemented a long-term incentive program under the 2005 Stock Incentive Plan (the 2005 Plan) with the intent of making annual grants of RSUs or RSAs and/or non-qualified stock options to executive officers and other eligible employees. Vesting of each award issued prior to January 1, 2012 accelerates upon death, disability or a change in control and vesting of each award issued on or following January 1, 2012 accelerates upon death, disability or certain terminations following a change in control. The 2005 Plan was replaced in 2013 by the 2013 Incentive Compensation Plan (the 2013 Plan), which was approved by stockholders on June 5, 2013.

We did not consider issuing RSUs prior to 2005. Historically, stock option grants were given favorable accounting and tax treatment and, given that stock option grants are extremely common in the markets in which we operate, we had no compelling reason to look to other forms of equity compensation until the implementation of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised) (now referred to as FASB ASC Topic 718) changed the accounting treatment for stock options effective in 2005. Since the implementation of FASB ASC Topic 718, many public companies began issuing RSUs. One advantage to using RSUs is that we can issue fewer shares to achieve the same value when compared to stock option grants, which will be less dilutive to our stockholders. At the same time, grants of RSUs confer potential benefits on the recipient upon vesting regardless of the performance of our stock price. Beginning in 2012, however, the Company began using RSUs, which is that we can issue fewer shares to achieve the same value when is that we can issue fewer shares to achieve of the same stock option grants, which is that we can issue fewer shares to achieve the same value when the recipient upon vesting regardless of the performance of our stock price. Beginning in 2012, however, the Company began using RSAs instead of RSUs for U.S.-based employees to eliminate any application of IRC Section 409A. RSAs provide the same advantage offered by RSUs, which is that we can issue fewer shares to achieve the same value when compared to stock option grants.

The aggregate value of annual equity awards granted to our executives and other employees is a discretionary amount determined by the Compensation Committee taking into account the dilutive effect to stockholders, market data, historic award data and availability of shares under the 2005 Plan and 2013 Plan. The pool is apportioned at the discretion of the Compensation Committee among our NEOs, executive officers and other employees taking into account individual performance, the individual s ability to drive Company results, leadership potential and retention. Equity awards for 2012 and prior were generally granted as a mix of stock options and time-based RSUs or RSAs at a 2:1 ratio. Equity awards for our NEOs in 2013 were granted as a mix of performance-based PSAs and time-based RSAs, with 60% of the annual equity awards made in the form of PSAs and 40% in the form of RSAs. Stock options have an exercise price equal to the fair market value on the date of grant and stock options and time-based RSUs and RSAs generally vest over a three-year period.

Equity-Based Compensation Incentives for 2013. The Company strives to make equity-based compensation grants for a particular year during the first quarter following fiscal year end based on an assessment of the NEO s performance for that fiscal year. The Compensation Committee made grants to our NEOs and other employees of PSAs, RSAs, PSUs and RSUs in April and June of 2013 (with eligible U.S.-based employees generally receiving

PSAs and/or RSAs and eligible non-U.S.-based employees generally receiving PSUs and/or RSUs). The Compensation Committee approved grants of PSAs and RSAs to each of the NEOs other than Mr. Black in the amounts set forth in the 2013 Summary Compensation Table. As in 2012, the Company used RSAs instead of RSUs to eliminate any application of IRC Section 409A. Equity awards for 2013 were granted as a mix of performance-based PSAs and time-based RSAs, with 60% of the annual equity awards made in the form of PSAs and 40% in the form of RSAs. The time-based RSAs granted to NEOs will vest in equal annual increments over a three-year period. The PSAs will vest based on the achievement of annual adjusted earnings per share (Adjusted EPS) targets established by the Compensation Committee for 2013, 2014 and 2015 and set forth in the terms of the award agreements. Adjusted EPS excludes non-cash interest and issuance cost amortization relating to our convertible notes, one-time charges and acquisition and integration related expenses, all net of tax, and also excludes shares issuable upon the conversion of the Company s convertible senior notes due 2017 that are included for accounting purposes but will not be issued due to certain hedge and warrant transactions. The PSAs and PSUs are subject to a 3-year cumulative Adjusted EPS target to provide a catch-up opportunity if the Adjusted EPS target for 2013 was satisfied at the maximum goal is achieved or exceeded each year, then 200% of the PSAs and PSUs will vest. The Adjusted EPS target for 2013 was satisfied at the maximum level, resulting in vesting of the first tranche of the 2013 PSAs and PSUs at 200% of target. This resulted in vested PSAs for the NEOs as follows: 18,116 for Mr. Vecchione, 9,598 for Mr. Grinberg, 5,928 for Mr. Syran, 5,534 for Mr. Masih and 3,812 for Mr. Call.

Recommendations from the Executive Chairman and management were taken into account in determining the size of these equity awards. Management s recommendations were based on an assessment of the performance of the NEOs during the fiscal year and an assessment of Company performance during the fiscal year and were generally made in accordance with historical equity-based compensation grant practices. The Compensation Committee generally takes management s recommendations under advisement and makes its own independent determination based upon an assessment of the same factors.

Severance Protection Arrangements. Our NEOs each have separate severance protection arrangements, which are discussed below in the Potential Payments Upon a Termination or Change in Control section. The severance protection letter agreements are designed to compensate the executives for playing a significant role in managing our affairs and are intended to provide an important safety net that allows these executives to focus on our business and pursue the course of action that is in the best interests of our stockholders by alleviating some concerns regarding their personal financial well-being in the event of a termination or change-in-control transaction. We believe that the provisions of our severance arrangements with the NEOs are consistent with the principal objectives of our compensation programs. We believe that the compensation elements that would be triggered upon termination are consistent with the market in which we operate and at appropriate levels when viewed in relation to the benefits the executives provide us and our stockholders and the overall value of the Company. The executives are subject to certain restrictions in exchange for receiving the financial and other benefits under their agreements, as described in more detail in the

Potential Payments Upon a Termination or Change in Control section. We believe imposition of these restrictions serves our best interests and the best interests of our stockholders.

Other Benefits and Programs. Our NEOs are eligible to participate in benefit programs designed for all of our full-time employees during the period of their employment. These programs include a tax qualified 401(k) savings plan and medical, dental, disability and life insurance programs. In addition, certain of our NEOs as well as other key employees were previously eligible to participate in our non-qualified deferred compensation plan; however, as of mid-year 2009, no new deferrals were allowed for 2009 or beyond. The plan permitted deferred of the participant s compensation until a specified period of time, and the participants were able to invest the amounts deferred in reference accounts that mirror the performance of separate accounts offered by Principal Financial Group. The primary purpose of this plan was to provide an opportunity for additional tax-deferred retirement savings to our executives and key employees whose contributions to our 401(k) plan may be subject to limitation under applicable IRS regulations. More detail related to the operation of our non-qualified

deferred compensation plan is provided in the Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation section. We also offer an executive health screening program whereby our executives may obtain a comprehensive physical examination, currently valued at approximately \$3,000, once every two years.

We also maintain split-dollar life insurance on certain of our NEOs that is financed by participant contributions to our non-qualified deferred compensation plan. This insurance was maintained for Mr. Black prior to his termination and is maintained for Mr. Grinberg in the current approximate amounts listed in the table below. Pursuant to this program, the Compensation Committee authorized the Company to enter into split-dollar agreements with the participants whereby ten percent of the total benefit payable in the event of death would be payable to the beneficiaries of such participants. The net death benefit for Mr. Black and Mr. Grinberg as of the date of this proxy statement is set forth below:

| | | Net Death |
|------------------|--|--------------|
| | | Benefit |
| Paul Grinberg | | \$7,177,100 |
| J. Brandon Black | | \$ 6,903,336 |

Perquisites. We did not provide material executive perquisites to our NEOs in 2013. Mr. Vecchione received a housing allowance of \$45,000 and reimbursement of moving expenses in 2013 in the amount of \$1,533. Mr. Grinberg received reimbursement of moving expenses in the amount of \$166,360 and reimbursement of commuting expenses in the amount of \$102,000.

Tax Considerations

Compliance with Internal Revenue Code Section 162(m). IRC Section 162(m) generally provides that public companies cannot deduct non-performance based compensation paid to the Company s NEOs, excluding the CFO, in excess of \$1 million per year. To the extent feasible, we take IRC Section 162(m) requirements for deductibility into account when structuring executive compensation, and performance awards granted to the applicable NEOs are intended to qualify for the performance-based exception. However, the Compensation Committee believes that the Company must be able to attract, retain and reward the executive leadership necessary to execute our business strategy. Therefore, the Compensation Committee may authorize compensation that may not be deductible if it believes this is in the best interests of the Company and our stockholders.

Other Matters Relating to Executive Compensation

Speculative and Hedging Transactions. We have a comprehensive insider trading policy that, among other things, provides that our employees, officers, directors and key consultants shall not engage in speculative transactions such as short sales, or the purchase or sale of puts, calls or other derivatives of our securities. The purpose of this policy, among other things, is to assist our employees in avoiding potential conflicts of interest that could result in unwanted perceptions and negative impact on our stock price. The policy also discourages the purchase of Company securities on margin and contains additional restrictions applicable to insiders, including our executive officers and directors. Insiders are permitted to engage in forward sales, collars or other hedging transactions only with the prior approval of the Board or its Chairman after consultation with a majority of the Board.

Stock Option Grants. Pursuant to our 2013 Incentive Compensation Plan, as amended effective February 20, 2014, our stock option grants are priced at market value on the date of grant (generally the date of Board or Compensation Committee approval). In March 2011, the Compensation Committee adopted a suggested annual timeline for the award of equity grants to employees of the Company and our subsidiaries. Subject to approval by the Compensation Committee, annual equity awards will typically be made to officers and key employees in March of each year to coincide with an open trading window.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION

During all of 2013, the members of the Compensation Committee were Mr. J. Christopher Teets and Mr. Norman Sorenson. Mr. Warren S. Wilcox served as a member of the Compensation Committee until he resigned as a member of the Board on August 23, 2013. None of the Compensation Committee members had an interlocking relationship, as defined in the SEC rules, with our executive officers or with directors of another entity during the last fiscal year.

| 2 | 2 |
|---|---|
| 3 | 2 |

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed with management the Compensation Discussion and Analysis included in this proxy statement. Based on that review and its discussions, the Compensation Committee has recommended to the Board that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement.

Compensation Committee:

Norman R. Sorensen, Chairman

J. Christopher Teets

H Ronald Weissman (appointed January 1, 2014)

Richard J. Srednicki (appointed February 26, 2014)

RISKS RELATED TO COMPENSATION POLICIES AND PRACTICES

Following a risk assessment of the Company s compensation policies and practices, the Company does not believe there are any risks from the Company s compensation policies and practices applicable to its employees that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

The Compensation Committee assists the Board in fulfilling its risk management oversight responsibilities associated with excessive risk taking arising from our employee compensation policies and practices. The Compensation Committee continuously reviews our compensation policies and practices and believes that they are reasonable, align the interests of our employees with our stockholders and do not contain features that may encourage excessive risk taking by our executive officers. Additionally, we believe that our performance-focused executive compensation policies described in detail in our Compensation Discussion and Analysis discourage inappropriate or excessive risk taking by our executive officers for reasons including, but not limited to, the following:

Payment of incentive compensation to executive officers is dependent upon the combination of achievement of targeted corporate operating measures and individual performance. We believe our performance targets have been appropriately designed to mitigate risk and align the interests of our executive officers with stockholder interests.

A portion of our executive compensation consists of stock options, PSAs, RSAs, PSUs and RSUs, which creates a long-term performance focus for our executive officers and discourages excessive or inappropriate emphasis on short-term results.

The Company s focus on ethics and strict adherence to its internal controls and procedures further mitigate inappropriate risk taking with respect to our compensation practices. We believe that we have the appropriate controls in place to effectively mitigate the risk that our executives would act inappropriately to manipulate incentive compensation payouts or receive payouts without regard to performance.

As of April 2013, the Company adopted the Encore Capital Group, Inc. Compensation Recovery Policy under which the Compensation Committee may clawback incentive compensation erroneously paid, granted or awarded to a senior executive if it determines the senior executive has committed misconduct that causes demonstrably significant financial and reputational harm to the Company. If the Compensation Committee seeks recovery of incentive compensation erroneously paid to a senior executive, the Company will disclose this with specificity in a Form 8-K or other document filed with the SEC, so long as the underlying event has already been publicly disclosed in the Company s filings with the SEC.

2013 SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

The following table sets forth information concerning compensation earned by, or paid to, each of our NEOs for services provided to us and our subsidiaries for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, provided that information for Messrs. Vecchione, Masih, Syran and Call is presented only for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013 because those individuals were not executive officers prior to 2013.

| Name and Principal Position | Year | Salary | Bonus | | Stock Awards (1) | Option Awards (2) | Co | Ion-Equity Incentive Plan ompensation (3) | - | All Other npensation (4) | Total |
|---|----------------------|--|---|-------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|----------|---|----------------|--------------------------------|--|
| Kenneth A. Vecchione(5) President and Chief Executive Officer | 2013 | \$ 571,154 | | \$ 5, | ,109,963 | | \$ | 1,428,677 | \$ | 46,533(6) | \$ 7,156,327 |
| Paul Grinberg Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer | 2013 2012 2011 | \$ 435,102 \$ 400,437 \$ 303,617 | \$ 107,800(7) \$ 6,000 \$ 545,000 | \$ | ,225,651 509,910 579,275 | \$ 597,915 \$ 737,797 | \$ \$ | 758,065 629,000 | \$ \$ \$ | 274,775(8) 129,412 4,125 | \$ 2,801,393 \$ 2,272,674 \$ 2,169,814 |
| James A. Syran Executive Vice President, New Business Integration | 2013 | \$ 303,566 | | \$ | 757,034 | | \$ | 304,181 | | | \$ 1,364,781 |
| Ashish Masih Executive Vice President, U.S. Debt Purchasing Operations | 2013 | \$ 286,656 | | \$ | 706,581 | | \$ | 321,815 | \$ | 4,375 | \$ 1,319,427 |
| Gregory L. Call Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary | 2013 | \$ 276,418 | \$ 33,119(7) | \$ | 486,655 | | \$ | 206,881 | \$ | 4,370 | \$ 1,007,443 |
| J. Brandon Black(9) Former President and Chief Executive Officer | 2013 2012 2011 | \$ 369,531 \$ 565,976 \$ 431,833 | \$ 12,000 \$ 770,000 | | 509,910 579,275 | \$ 597,915 \$ 737,797 | \$ | 888,000 | \$ \$ \$ | 6,857(10) 6,574 4,125 | \$ 376,388 \$ 2,580,375 \$ 2,523,030 |

- (1) Amounts in this column represent the grant date fair value of time-based and performance-based RSA, RSU and PSA awards granted during our 2013, 2012 and 2011 fiscal years, computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, based on probable outcome for the PSAs. The amounts reported do not correspond to the actual value that may be recognized by our NEOs, which may be higher or lower based on a number of factors, including the Company s performance, stock price fluctuations and applicable vesting. For information on the assumptions used in calculating the amounts reported, see the Stock-Based Compensation footnotes in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in our Annual Reports on Form 10-K for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011. Additional information about the awards reflected in this column is set forth in the notes to the 2013 Grants of Plan-Based Awards table and the Outstanding Equity Awards at 2013 Year-End table, below.
- (2) Amounts in this column represent the aggregate grant date fair value of stock options granted during our 2012 and 2011 fiscal years, computed in accordance with FASB Topic 718. The amounts reported do not correspond to the actual value that may be recognized by our NEOs, which may be higher or lower based on a number of factors, including the Company s performance, stock price fluctuations and applicable vesting. For information on the assumptions used in calculating the amounts reported, see the Stock-Based Compensation footnotes in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011. No stock options were granted in 2013.

- (3) Represents the payouts under the Company s KCP for 2013 made early in 2014. Payouts under the KCP for 2013 were based on the Company s Adjusted EBITDA performance relative to pre-established Adjusted EBITDA targets.
- (4) Amounts in this column include matching contributions to our 401(k) plan for Messrs. Grinberg, Masih, Call and Black.
- (5) Mr. Vecchione s employment with the Company commenced on April 8, 2013. For Mr. Vecchione, the amount shown in the Stock Awards column includes 100,000 sign-on RSAs under the 2005 Plan with a grant date fair value of \$2,800,000, a portion of which was to make him whole for equity compensation he forfeited upon leaving his former employer.
- (6) Includes a housing allowance in the amount of \$45,000 and \$1,533 in moving expenses.
- (7) Includes special recognition bonus payments made early in 2014 to Mr. Grinberg and Mr. Call in addition to the payouts under the Company s KCP for 2013.
- (8) Includes (a) moving expenses in the amount of \$81,301 and the associated tax reimbursement in the amount of \$85,059, (b) commuting expenses in the amount of \$102,000 and (c) the payment of a premium for a split-dollar life insurance policy on the life of Mr. Grinberg in the amount of \$665.
- (9) Mr. Black left his position as President, effective as of April 8, 2013 and his position as Chief Executive Officer, effective as of May 31, 2013, at which time, his employment with the Company ceased.
- (10) Includes Company-provided COBRA continuation premiums for group health benefits in the amount of \$1,779 and the payment of premiums for a split-dollar life insurance policy on the life of Mr. Black in the amount of \$453.

2013 GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS

The following table sets forth summary information regarding all grants of plan-based awards made to our NEOs during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013:

| | Non-H | nated Future Payouts Under quity Incentive Plan Awards(1) | | | Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards(2) | | | All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares | Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and | |
|----------------------|---------------|--|------------|--------------|--|--------|---------|--|---|-------------|
| Name | Grant Date | Threshold | Target | Maximum | Threshold | Target | Maximum | of Stock or Units | Op | tion Awards |
| | | Threshold | 0 | | Threshold | Target | Maximum | Units | | (3) |
| Kenneth A. Vecchione | 3/25/2013 | | \$ 825,000 | \$ 1,650,000 | | | | | | |
| | 6/04/2013 | | | | 13,587 | 27,175 | 54,350 | | \$ | 1,649,975 |
| | 4/15/2013 | | | | | | | 100,000(4) | | |