FIFTH THIRD BANCORP Form 10-K February 22, 2013 Table of Contents

2012 ANNUAL REPORT

FINANCIAL CONTENTS

| Glossary of Terms | 14 |
|--|----------|
| Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | |
| Selected Financial Data | 15 |
| Overview | 16 |
| Non-GAAP Financial Measures | 20 |
| Recent Accounting Standards | 22 |
| Critical Accounting Policies | 22 |
| <u>Risk Factors</u> | 26 |
| Statements of Income Analysis | 35 |
| Business Segment Review | 42 |
| Fourth Quarter Review | 49 |
| Balance Sheet Analysis | 51 |
| Risk Management | 56 |
| Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements | 79 |
| Contractual Obligations and Other Commitments | 80 |
| Management s Assessment as to the Effectiveness of Internal Control over Financial Reporting | 81 |
| Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | 82 |
| Financial Statements | |
| Consolidated Balance Sheets | 83 |
| Consolidated Statements of Income | 84 |
| Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income | 85 |
| Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity | 86 |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows | 87 |
| Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | |
| Summary of Significant Accounting and Reporting Policies | 88 |
| Supplemental Cash Flow Information | 95 |
| Restrictions on Cash and Dividends | 95 |
| Securities | 96 98 |
| Loans and Leases Credit Quality and the Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses | 98 99 |
| Bank Premises and Equipment | 108 |
| Goodwill | 108 |
| Intangible Assets | 100 |
| Variable Interest Entities | 110 |
| Sales of Residential Mortgage Receivables and MSRs | 113 |
| Derivative Financial Instruments | 115 |
| Other Assets | 120 |
| Short-Term Borrowings | 121 |
| Long-Term Debt | 122 |
| Commitments Contingent Lightlities and Guerentees | 125 |
| Commitments, Contingent Liabilities and Guarantees Legal and Regulatory Proceedings | 125 |
| Related Party Transactions | 129 |
| Income Taxes | 131 |
| Retirement and Benefit Plans | 132 |
| Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income | 134 |
| Common, Preferred and Treasury Stock | 130 |

| Stock-Based Compensation | 140 |
|--|-----|
| Other Noninterest Income and Other Noninterest Expense | 144 |
| Earnings Per Share | 145 |
| Fair Value Measurements | 146 |
| Certain Regulatory Requirements and Capital Ratios | 155 |
| Parent Company Financial Statements | 156 |
| Business Segments | 158 |
| Subsequent Event | 162 |
| | |
| Annual Report on Form 10-K | 163 |
| Consolidated Ten Year Comparison | 178 |
| Directors and Officers | 179 |
| Corporate Information | |

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report contains statements that we believe are forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Rule 175 promulgated thereunder, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and Rule 3b-6 promulgated thereunder. These statements relate to our financial condition, results of operations, plans, objectives, future performance or business. They usually can be identified by the use of forward-looking language such as will likely result, may, are expected to, is anticipated, estimate, forecast, projected, intends to, or may include words or phrases such as believes, plans, trend, objective, continue, remain, or similar expressions, or future or conditional verbs such as will, wou could, might, can, or similar verbs. When considering these forward-looking statements, you should keep in mind these risks and uncertainties, as well as any cautionary statements we may make. Moreover, you should treat these statements as speaking only as of the date they are made and based only on information then actually known to us. There are a number of important factors that could cause future results to differ materially from historical performance and these forward-looking statements. Factors that might cause such a difference include, but are not limited to: (1) general economic conditions and weakening in the economy, specifically the real estate market, either nationally or in the states in which Fifth Third, one or more acquired entities and/or the combined company do business, are less favorable than expected; (2) deteriorating credit quality; (3) political developments, wars or other hostilities may disrupt or increase volatility in securities markets or other economic conditions; (4) changes in the interest rate environment reduce interest margins; (5) prepayment speeds, loan origination and sale volumes, charge-offs and loan loss provisions; (6) Fifth Third s ability to maintain required capital levels and adequate sources of funding and liquidity; (7) maintaining capital requirements may limit Fifth Third s operations and potential growth; (8) changes and trends in capital markets; (9) problems encountered by larger or similar financial institutions may adversely affect the banking industry and/or Fifth Third; (10) competitive pressures among depository institutions increase significantly; (11) effects of critical accounting policies and judgments; (12) changes in accounting policies or procedures as may be required by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) or other regulatory agencies; (13) legislative or regulatory changes or actions, or significant litigation, adversely affect Fifth Third, one or more acquired entities and/or the combined company or the businesses in which Fifth Third, one or more acquired entities and/or the combined company are engaged, including the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act; (14) ability to maintain favorable ratings from rating agencies; (15) fluctuation of Fifth Third s stock price; (16) ability to attract and retain key personnel; (17) ability to receive dividends from its subsidiaries; (18) potentially dilutive effect of future acquisitions on current shareholders ownership of Fifth Third; (19) effects of accounting or financial results of one or more acquired entities; (20) difficulties from the separation of or the results of operations of Vantiv, LLC from Fifth Third; (21) loss of income from any sale or potential sale of businesses that could have an adverse effect on Fifth Third s earnings and future growth; (22) ability to secure confidential information and deliver products and services through the use of computer systems and telecommunications networks; and (23) the impact of reputational risk created by these developments on such matters as business generation and retention, funding and liquidity.

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

Fifth Third Bancorp provides the following list of acronyms as a tool for the reader. The acronyms identified below are used in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, the Consolidated Financial Statements and in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

| ALCO: Asset Liability Management Committee | HFS: Held for Sale |
|--|--|
| ALLL: Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses | IFRS: International Financial Reporting Standards |
| AOCI: Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income | IPO: Initial Public Offering |
| ARM: Adjustable Rate Mortgage | IRC: Internal Revenue Code |
| ATM: Automated Teller Machine | IRLC: Interest Rate Lock Commitment |
| BBA: British Bankers Association | IRS: Internal Revenue Service |
| BOLI: Bank Owned Life Insurance | LIBOR: London InterBank Offered Rate |
| bps: Basis points | LLC: Limited Liability Company |
| BPO: Broker Price Opinion | LTV: Loan-to-Value |
| CCAR: Comprehensive Capital Analysis and Review | MD&A: Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations |
| CDC: Fifth Third Community Development Corporation | MSR: Mortgage Servicing Right |
| CFPB: United States Consumer Financial Protection Bureau | NII: Net Interest Income |
| C&I: Commercial and Industrial | NM: Not Meaningful |
| CPP: Capital Purchase Program | NPR: Notice of Proposed Rulemaking |
| CRA: Community Reinvestment Act | OCC: Office of the Comptroller of the Currency |
| DCF: Discounted Cash Flow | OCI: Other Comprehensive Income |
| DIF: Deposit Insurance Fund | OFR: Office of Financial Research |
| ERISA: Employee Retirement Income Security Act | OREO: Other Real Estate Owned |
| ERM: Enterprise Risk Management | |
| ERMC: Enterprise Risk Management Committee | OTTI: Other-Than-Temporary Impairment |
| EVE: Economic Value of Equity | PMI: Private Mortgage Insurance |
| FASB: Financial Accounting Standards Board | RSAs: Restricted Stock Awards |
| FDIC: Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation | SARs: Stock Appreciation Rights |
| | |

Table of Contents

| FHLB: Federal Home Loan Bank | SEC: United States Securities and Exchange Commission |
|---|--|
| FHLMC: Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation | SCAP: Supervisory Capital Assessment Program |
| FICO: Fair Isaac Corporation (credit rating) | TARP: Troubled Asset Relief Program |
| FNMA: Federal National Mortgage Association | TBA: To Be Announced |
| FRB: Federal Reserve Bank | TDR: Troubled Debt Restructuring |
| FSOC: Financial Stability Oversight Council | TruPS: Trust Preferred Securities |
| FTAM: Fifth Third Asset Management, Inc. | TSA: Transition Service Agreement |
| FTE: Fully Taxable Equivalent | UK: United Kingdom |
| FTP: Funds Transfer Pricing | U.S.: United States of America |
| FTPS: Fifth Third Processing Solutions, now Vantiv, LLC | U.S. GAAP: Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America |
| FTS: Fifth Third Securities | VaR: Value-at-Risk |
| GNMA: Government National Mortgage Association | VIE: Variable Interest Entity |
| GSE: Government Sponsored Enterprise | VRDN: Variable Rate Demand Note |
| HAMP: Home Affordable Modification Program | |
| HARP: Home Affordable Refinance Program | |
| | |

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following is MD&A of certain significant factors that have affected Fifth Third Bancorp s (the Bancorp or Fifth Third) financial condition and results of operations during the periods included in the Consolidated Financial Statements, which are a part of this filing. Reference to the Bancorp incorporates the parent holding company and all consolidated subsidiaries.

TABLE 1: SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

| For the years ended December 31 (\$ in millions, except for per share data) | 2012 | | 2012 | | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|--|-------|-------------|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------|------|------|
| Income Statement Data | | | | | | | | |
| Net interest income ^(a) | \$ | 3,613 | 3,575 | 3,622 | 3,373 | 3,536 | | |
| Noninterest income | | 2,999 | 2,455 | 2,729 | 4,782 | 2,946 | | |
| Total revenue ^(a) | | 6,612 | 6,030 | 6,351 | 8,155 | 6,482 | | |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | | 303 | 423 | 1,538 | 3,543 | 4,560 | | |
| Noninterest expense | | 4,081 | 3,758 | 3,855 | 3,826 | 4,564 | | |
| Net income (loss) attributable to Bancorp | | 1,576 | 1,297 | 753 | 737 | (2,113) | | |
| Net income (loss) available to common shareholders | | 1,541 | 1,094 | 503 | 511 | (2,180) | | |
| Common Share Data | | | | | | | | |
| Earnings per share, basic | \$ | 1.69 | 1.20 | 0.63 | 0.73 | (3.91) | | |
| Earnings per share, diluted | | 1.66 | 1.18 | 0.63 | 0.67 | (3.91) | | |
| Cash dividends per common share | | 0.36 | 0.28 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.75 | | |
| Book value per share | | 15.10 | 13.92 | 13.06 | 12.44 | 13.57 | | |
| Market value per share | | 15.20 | 12.72 | 14.68 | 9.75 | 8.26 | | |
| Financial Ratios (%) | | | | | | | | |
| Return on assets | | 1.34 % | 1.15 | 0.67 | 0.64 | (1.85) | | |
| Return on average common equity | | 11.6 | 9.0 | 5.0 | 5.6 | (23.0) | | |
| Dividend payout ratio | | 21.3 | 23.3 | 6.3 | 5.5 | NM | | |
| Average equity as a percent of average assets | | 11.65 | 11.41 | 12.22 | 11.36 | 8.78 | | |
| Tangible common equity $^{(b)}$ | | 8.83 | 8.68 | 7.04 | 6.45 | 4.23 | | |
| Net interest margin ^(a) | | 3.55 | 3.66 | 3.66 | 3.32 | 3.54 | | |
| Efficiency ^(a) | | 61.7 | 62.3 | 60.7 | 46.9 | 70.4 | | |
| Credit Quality | | | | | | | | |
| Net losses charged off | \$ | 704 | 1,172 | 2,328 | 2,581 | 2,710 | | |
| Net losses charged off as a percent of average loans and leases ^{(d)} | | 0.85 % | 1.49 | 3.02 | 3.20 | 3.23 | | |
| ALLL as a percent of portfolio loans and leases | | 2.16 | 2.78 | 3.88 | 4.88 | 3.31 | | |
| Allowance for credit losses as a percent of portfolio loans and leases ^(c) | | 2.37 | 3.01 | 4.17 | 5.27 | 3.54 | | |
| Nonperforming assets as a percent of portfolio loans, leases and other assets, including other | | | | | | | | |
| real estate $owned^{(d)}(e)$ | | 1.49 | 2.23 | 2.79 | 4.22 | 2.38 | | |
| Average Balances | | | | | | | | |
| Loans and leases, including held for sale | \$ | 84,822 | 80,214 | 79,232 | 83,391 | 85,835 | | |
| Total securities and other short-term investments | | 16,814 | 17,468 | 19,699 | 18,135 | 14,045 | | |
| Total assets | | 117,614 | 112,666 | 112,434 | 114,856 | 114,296 | | |
| Transaction deposits ^(f) | | 78,116 | 72,392 | 65,662 | 55,235 | 52,680 | | |
| Core deposits (g) | | 82,422 | 78,652 | 76,188 | 69,338 | 63,815 | | |
| Wholesale funding ^(h) | | 16,978 | 16,939 | 18,917 | 28,539 | 36,261 | | |
| Bancorp shareholders equity | | 13,701 | 12,851 | 13,737 | 13,053 | 10,038 | | |
| Regulatory Capital Ratios (%) | | | | | | | | |
| Tier I risk-based capital | | 10.65 % | 11.91 | 13.89 | 13.30 | 10.59 | | |
| Total risk-based capital | | 14.42 | 16.09 | 18.08 | 17.48 | 14.78 | | |
| Tier I leverage | | 10.05 | 11.10 | 12.79 | 12.34 | 10.27 | | |
| Tier I common equity ^(b) | | 9.51 | 9.35 | 7.48 | 6.99 | 4.37 | | |
| (a) Amounts presented on an FTE basis. The FTE adjustment for years ended December 31, 2 | 2012, | 2011, 2010, | 2009, and 20 | 08 were \$18 , | \$18, \$18, \$1 | 9 and \$22, | | |

(a) Amounts presented on an FTE basis. The FTE adjustment for years ended **December 31, 2012**, 2011, 2010, 2009, and 2008 were **\$18**, \$18, \$18, \$19 and \$22, respectively.

(b) The tangible common equity and Tier I common equity ratios are non-GAAP measures. For further information, see the Non-GAAP Financial Measures section of the MD&A.

(c) The allowance for credit losses is the sum of the ALLL and the reserve for unfunded commitments.

(d) Excludes nonaccrual loans held for sale.

(e) The Bancorp modified its nonaccrual policy in 2009 to exclude consumer TDR loans less than 90 days past due as they were performing in accordance with restructuring terms. For comparability purposes, prior periods were adjusted to reflect this reclassification.

(f) Includes demand, interest checking, savings, money market and foreign office deposits.

(g) Includes transaction deposits plus other time deposits.

(h) Includes certificates \$100,000 and over, other deposits, federal funds purchased, other short-term borrowings and long-term debt.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

OVERVIEW

Fifth Third Bancorp is a diversified financial services company headquartered in Cincinnati, Ohio. At December 31, 2012, the Bancorp had \$122 billion in assets, operated 15 affiliates with 1,325 full-service Banking Centers, including 106 Bank Mart[®] locations open seven days a week inside select grocery stores, and 2,415 ATMs in 12 states throughout the Midwestern and Southeastern regions of the United States. The Bancorp reports on four business segments: Commercial Banking, Branch Banking, Consumer Lending and Investment Advisors. The Bancorp also has a 33% interest in Vantiv Holding, LLC.

This overview of MD&A highlights selected information in the financial results of the Bancorp and may not contain all of the information that is important to you. For a more complete understanding of trends, events, commitments, uncertainties, liquidity, capital resources and critical accounting policies and estimates, you should carefully read this entire document. Each of these items could have an impact on the Bancorp s financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. In addition, see the Glossary of Terms in this report for a list of acronyms included as a tool for the reader of this annual report on Form 10-K. The acronyms identified therein are used throughout this MD&A, as well as the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Bancorp believes that banking is first and foremost a relationship business where the strength of the competition and challenges for growth can vary in every market. The Bancorp believes its affiliate operating model provides a competitive advantage by emphasizing individual relationships. Through its affiliate operating model, individual managers at all levels within the affiliates are given the opportunity to tailor financial solutions for their customers.

Net interest income, net interest margin and the efficiency ratio are presented in MD&A on an FTE basis. The FTE basis adjusts for the tax-favored status of income from certain loans and securities held by the Bancorp that are not taxable for federal income tax purposes. The Bancorp believes this presentation to be the preferred industry measurement of net interest income as it provides a relevant comparison between taxable and non-taxable amounts.

The Bancorp s revenues are dependent on both net interest income and noninterest income. For the year ended December 31, 2012, net interest income, on a FTE basis, and noninterest income provided 55% and 45% of total revenue, respectively. The Bancorp derives the majority of its revenues within the United States from customers domiciled in the United States. Revenue from foreign countries and external customers domiciled in foreign countries is immaterial to the Bancorp s Consolidated Financial Statements. Changes in interest rates, credit quality, economic trends and the capital markets are primary factors that drive the performance of the Bancorp. As discussed later in the Risk Management section, risk identification, measurement, monitoring, control and reporting are important to the management of risk and to the financial performance and capital strength of the Bancorp.

Net interest income is the difference between interest income earned on assets such as loans, leases and securities, and interest expense incurred on liabilities such as deposits, short-term borrowings and long-term debt. Net interest income is affected by the general level of interest rates, the relative level of short-term and long-term interest rates, changes in interest rates and changes in the amount and composition of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. Generally, the rates of interest the Bancorp earns on its assets and pays on its liabilities are established for a period of time. The change in market interest rates over time exposes the Bancorp to interest rate risk through potential adverse changes to

net interest income and financial position. The Bancorp manages this risk by continually analyzing and adjusting the composition of its assets and liabilities based on their payment streams and interest rates, the timing of their maturities and their sensitivity to changes in market interest rates. Additionally, in the ordinary course of business, the Bancorp enters into certain derivative transactions as part of its overall strategy to manage its interest rate and prepayment risks. The Bancorp is also exposed to the risk of losses on its loan and lease portfolio, as a result of changing expected cash flows caused by borrower credit events, such as loan defaults and inadequate collateral due to a weakened economy within the Bancorp s footprint.

Noninterest income is derived primarily from mortgage banking net revenue, service charges on deposits, corporate banking revenue, investment advisory revenue and card and processing revenue. Noninterest expense is primarily driven by personnel costs, net occupancy expenses, and technology and communication costs.

Senior Notes Offerings

On March 7, 2012, the Bancorp issued \$500 million of senior notes to third party investors, and entered into a Supplemental Indenture with Wilmington Trust Company, as Trustee, which modified the existing Indenture for Senior Debt Securities dated as of April 30, 2008. The Supplemental Indenture and the Indenture define the rights of the senior notes, which senior notes are represented by a Global Security dated as of March 7, 2012. The senior notes bear a fixed rate of interest of 3.50% per annum. The notes are unsecured, senior obligations of the Bancorp. Payment of the full principal amount of the notes will be due upon maturity on March 15, 2022. The notes will not be subject to redemption at the Bancorp s option at any time until 30 days prior to maturity. For additional information regarding long-term debt, see Note 15 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

CCAR Results

On March 13, 2012, the Bancorp announced the results of its capital plan submitted to the FRB as part of the 2012 CCAR. The FRB indicated to the Bancorp that it did not object to the following capital actions: a continuation of its quarterly common dividend of \$0.08 per share; the redemption of up to \$1.4 billion in certain TruPS and the repurchase of common shares in an amount equal to any after-tax gains realized by the Bancorp from the sale of Vantiv, Inc. common shares by either the Bancorp or Vantiv, Inc. The FRB indicated to the Bancorp that it did object to other elements of its capital plan, including potential increases in its quarterly common dividend and the initiation of other common share repurchases.

The Bancorp resubmitted its capital plan to the FRB in the second quarter of 2012. The resubmitted plan included capital actions and distributions for the covered period through March 31, 2013 that were substantially similar to those included in the original submission, with adjustments primarily reflecting the change in the expected timing of capital actions and distributions relative to the timing assumed in the original submission. On August 21, 2012, the Bancorp announced the FRB did not object to the Bancorp s resubmitted capital plan which included potential increases to the quarterly common stock dividend and potential repurchases of common shares of up to \$600 million through the first quarter of 2013, in addition to any incremental repurchase of common shares related to any after-tax gains realized by the Bancorp from the sale of Vantiv, Inc. common shares by either the Bancorp or Vantiv, Inc. As a result, the Board of Directors authorized the Bancorp to repurchase up to 100 million common shares in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions. In addition, in the third quarter

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

of 2012 the Bancorp declared a quarterly common dividend of \$0.10 per share, an increase of \$0.02 per share from the second quarter of 2012.

Vantiv, Inc. IPO

On June 30, 2009, the Bancorp completed the sale of a majority interest in its processing business to Advent International. As part of this transaction, the processing business was contributed into a partnership now known as Vantiv Holding, LLC. Vantiv, Inc., formed by Advent International and owned by certain funds managed by Advent International, acquired an approximate 51% interest in Vantiv Holding, LLC for cash and warrants. The Bancorp retained the remaining approximate 49% interest in Vantiv Holding, LLC and accounted for it as an equity method investment in the Bancorp s Consolidated Financial Statements.

During the first quarter of 2012, Vantiv, Inc. priced an IPO of its shares and contributed the net proceeds to Vantiv Holding, LLC for additional ownership interests. As a result of this offering, the Bancorp s ownership of Vantiv Holding, LLC was reduced to approximately 39% and the Bancorp s investment continued to be accounted for as an equity method investment in the Bancorp s Consolidated Financial Statements. The impact of the capital contributions to Vantiv Holding, LLC and the resulting dilution in the Bancorp s interest resulted in the recognition of a pre-tax gain of \$115 million (\$75 million after-tax) by the Bancorp in the first quarter of 2012.

Vantiv, Inc. Share Sale

During the fourth quarter of 2012, Vantiv, Inc. priced a secondary offering of 12,454,545 shares of Class A Common Stock of Vantiv, Inc. sold on behalf of the Bancorp. As a result of this offering, the Bancorp s ownership of Vantiv Holding, LLC was reduced to approximately 33% and the Bancorp s investment continued to be accounted for as an equity method investment in the Bancorp s Consolidated Financial Statements. The carrying value of the Bancorp s investment in Vantiv Holding, LLC was \$563 million as of December 31, 2012. The impact of the sale of the Bancorp s interest in Vantiv Holding, LLC resulted in the recognition of a pre-tax gain of \$157 million (\$102 million after-tax) by the Bancorp in the fourth quarter of 2012.

As of December 31, 2012, the Bancorp continued to hold approximately 70 million units of Vantiv Holding, LLC and a warrant to purchase approximately 20 million incremental Vantiv Holding, LLC non-voting units, both of which may be exchanged for common stock of Vantiv, Inc. on a one for one basis or at Vantiv, Inc. s option for cash. In addition, the Bancorp holds approximately 70 million Class B common shares of Vantiv, Inc. The Class B common shares give the Bancorp voting rights, but no economic interest in Vantiv, Inc. The voting rights attributable to the Class B common shares are limited to 18.5% of the voting power in Vantiv, Inc. at any time other than in connection with a stockholder vote with respect to a change in control in Vantiv, Inc. These securities are subject to certain terms and restrictions.

Accelerated Share Repurchase Transactions

Following the Vantiv, Inc. IPO, the Bancorp entered into an accelerated share repurchase transaction with a counterparty pursuant to which the Bancorp purchased 4,838,710 shares, or approximately \$75 million, of its outstanding common stock on April 26, 2012. As part of this transaction, and all subsequent accelerated share repurchase transactions in 2012, the Bancorp entered into a forward contract in which the final number of shares to be delivered at settlement of the accelerated share repurchase transaction was based on a discount to the average daily volume-weighted average price of the Bancorp s common stock during the

term of the Repurchase Agreement. The accelerated share repurchase was treated as two separate transactions (i) the acquisition of treasury shares on the acquisition date and (ii) a forward contract indexed to the Bancorp s stock. At settlement of the April 2012 forward contract on June 1, 2012, the Bancorp received an additional 631,986 shares which were recorded as an adjustment to the basis in the treasury shares purchased on the acquisition date.

Consistent with the 2012 CCAR plan, on August 23, 2012, the Bancorp entered into an accelerated share repurchase transaction with a counterparty pursuant to which the Bancorp purchased 21,531,100 shares, or approximately \$350 million, of its outstanding common stock on August 28, 2012. At settlement of the forward contract on October 24, 2012, the Bancorp received an additional 1,444,047 shares which were recorded as an adjustment to the basis in the treasury shares purchased on the acquisition date.

Additionally, on November 6, 2012, the Bancorp entered into an accelerated share repurchase transaction with a counterparty pursuant to which the Bancorp purchased 7,710,761 shares, or approximately \$125 million, of its outstanding common stock on November 9, 2012. At settlement

Table of Contents

of the forward contract on February 12, 2013, the Bancorp received an additional 657,917 shares which were recorded as an adjustment to the basis in the treasury shares purchased on the acquisition date.

Following the sale of a portion of the Bancorp s shares of Class A Vantiv, Inc. common stock, the Bancorp entered into an accelerated share repurchase transaction on December 14, 2012 with a counterparty pursuant to which the Bancorp purchased 6,267,410 shares, or approximately \$100 million, of its outstanding common stock on December 19, 2012. The Bancorp expects the settlement of the transaction to occur on March 14, 2013.

Redemption of TruPS

On August 8, 2012, consistent with the 2012 CCAR plan, the Bancorp redeemed all \$862.5 million of the outstanding TruPS issued by Fifth Third Capital Trust VI. These securities had a distribution rate of 7.25% and a scheduled maturity date of November 15, 2067. Pursuant to the terms of the TruPS, the securities of Fifth Third Capital Trust VI were redeemable within ninety days of a Capital Treatment Event. The Bancorp determined that a Capital Treatment Event occurred upon the authorization for publication in the Federal Register of a Joint Notice of Proposed Rulemaking by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, the FDIC and the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency addressing, among other matters, Section 171 of the Dodd-Frank Act of 2010 and providing detailed information regarding the cessation of Tier I risk-based capital treatment for outstanding TruPS. The redemption price was \$25 per security, which reflected 100% of the liquidation amount, plus accrued and unpaid distributions through the actual redemption date of \$0.422917 per security. The Bancorp recognized a \$9 million loss on extinguishment of these TruPS within other noninterest expense in the Bancorp s Consolidated Statements of Income.

Additionally, on August 15, 2012, the Bancorp redeemed all \$575 million of the outstanding TruPS issued by Fifth Third Capital Trust V. The Fifth Third Capital Trust V securities had a distribution rate of 7.25% and a scheduled maturity date of August 15, 2067, and were redeemable at any time on or after August 15, 2012. The redemption price was \$25 per security, which reflected 100% of the liquidation amount, plus accrued and unpaid distributions through the actual redemption date of \$0.453125 per security. The Bancorp recognized a \$17 million loss on extinguishment within other noninterest expense in the Bancorp s Consolidated Statements of Income.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Legislative Developments

On July 21, 2010, the Dodd-Frank Act was signed into federal law. This act implements changes to the financial services industry and affects the lending, deposit, investment, trading and operating activities of financial institutions and their holding companies. The legislation establishes a CFPB responsible for implementing and enforcing compliance with consumer financial laws, changes the methodology for determining deposit insurance assessments, gives the FRB the ability to regulate and limit interchange rates charged to merchants for the use of debit cards, enacts new limitations on proprietary trading, broadens the scope of derivative instruments subject to regulation, requires on-going stress tests and the submission of annual capital plans for certain organizations and requires changes to regulatory capital ratios. This act also calls for federal regulatory agencies to conduct multiple studies over the next several years in order to implement its provisions.

The Bancorp was impacted by a number of the components of the Dodd-Frank Act which were implemented during 2011. The CFPB began operations on July 21, 2011. The CFPB holds primary responsibility for regulating consumer protection by enforcing existing consumer laws, writing new consumer legislation, conducting bank examinations, monitoring and reporting on markets, as well as collecting and tracking consumer complaints. The FRB final rule implementing the Dodd-Frank Act s Durbin Amendment , which limits debit card interchange fees, was issued on July 21, 2011 for transactions occurring after September 30, 2011. The final rule establishes a cap on the fees banks with more than \$10 billion in assets can charge merchants for debit card transactions. The fee was set at \$.21 per transaction plus an additional 5 bps of the transaction amount and \$.01 to cover fraud losses. The FRB repealed Regulation Q as mandated by the Dodd-Frank Act on July 21, 2011. Regulation Q was implemented as part of the Glass-Steagall Act in the 1930 s and provided a prohibition against the payment of interest on commercial demand deposits. While the total impact of the fully-implemented Dodd-Frank Act on Fifth Third is not currently known, the impact is expected to be substantial and may have an adverse impact on Fifth Third s financial performance and growth opportunities.

In December of 2010 and revised in June of 2011, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision issued Basel III, a global regulatory framework, to enhance international capital standards. In June of 2012, U.S. banking regulators proposed enhancements to the regulatory capital requirements for U.S. banks, which implement aspects of Basel III, such as re-defining the regulatory capital elements and minimum capital ratios, introducing regulatory capital buffers above those minimums, revising the agencies rules for calculating risk-weighted assets and introducing a new Tier I common equity ratio. The Bancorp continues to evaluate these proposals and their potential impact. For more information on the impact of the proposed regulatory capital enhancements, refer to the Capital Management section of the MD&A.

On October 9, 2012, the FRB published final stress testing rules that implement section 165(i)(1) and (i)(2) of the Dodd-Frank Act. The 19 bank holding companies that participated in the 2009 SCAP and subsequent CCAR, which includes Fifth Third, are subject to the final stress testing rules. The rules require both supervisory and company-run stress tests, which provide forward-looking information to supervisors to help assess whether institutions have sufficient capital to absorb losses and support operations during adverse economic conditions.

The FRB launched the 2013 stress testing program and CCAR on November 9, 2012. The CCAR requires bank holding companies to submit a capital plan in addition to their stress testing results. The mandatory elements of the capital plan are an assessment of the expected use and sources of capital over the planning horizon, a description of all planned capital actions over the planning horizon, a discussion of any expected changes to the Bancorp s business plan that are likely to have a material impact on its capital adequacy or liquidity, a detailed description of the Bancorp s process for assessing capital adequacy and the Bancorp s capital policy. The stress testing results and capital plan were submitted by the Bancorp to the FRB on January 7, 2013.

The FRB s review of the capital plan will assess the comprehensiveness of the capital plan, the reasonableness of the assumptions and the analysis underlying the capital plan. Additionally, the FRB will review the robustness of the capital adequacy process, the capital policy and the Bancorp s ability to maintain capital above the minimum regulatory capital ratios and above a Tier 1 common ratio of 5 percent on a pro forma basis under expected and stressful conditions throughout the planning horizon. The FRB will also assess the Bancorp s strategies for addressing proposed revisions to the regulatory capital framework agreed upon by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision and requirements arising from the Dodd-Frank Act.

The FRB has indicated that it expects to disclose on March 7, 2013 its estimates of participating institutions results under the FRB supervisory stress scenario, including capital results, which assume that all banks take certain consistently applied future capital actions. The FRB has indicated that it expects to disclose on March 14, 2013 its estimates of participating institutions results under the FRB supervisory severe stress scenarios including capital results based on each company s own base scenario capital actions. The FRB will also issue an objection or non-objection to each participating institution s capital plan submitted under CCAR. Additionally, as a CCAR institution, Fifth Third is required to disclose our own estimates of results under the supervisory severely adverse scenario using the same consistently applied capital actions noted

above, and to provide information related to risks included in its stress testing; a summary description of the methodologies used; estimates of aggregate pre-provision net revenue, losses, provisions, and pro forma capital ratios at the end of the forward-looking planning horizon of at least nine quarters; and an explanation of the most significant causes of changes in regulatory capital ratios. These disclosures are required by March 31, 2013 and are to be sent to the FRB and publicly disclosed.

In January of 2013, the CFPB issued several final regulations and changes to certain consumer protections under existing laws. These regulations are intended to strengthen consumer protections for high-cost mortgages, amend escrow requirements under the Truth in Lending Act, require mortgage lenders to consider the consumer ability to repay home loans before extending them credit, implement mortgage servicing rules, amend the Equal Credit Opportunity Act regarding appraisals and other written valuations for first lien residential mortgage loans and revises the Truth in Lending Act to strengthen loan originator qualification requirements and regulate industry compensation practices. These regulations take effect in 2014 except for the escrow requirements and certain provisions of the compensation rules under the Truth in Lending Act which takes effect on June 1, 2013. The Bancorp is currently assessing the impact these new regulations will have on its Consolidated Financial Statements.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

TABLE 2: CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

| For the years ended December 31 (\$ in millions, except per share data) Interest income (FTE) | 2012 \$ 4,125 | 2011 4,236 | 2010 4,507 | 2009 4,687 | 2008 5,630 |
|--|------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Interest expense | 512 | 661 | 885 | 1,314 | 2,094 |
| Net interest income (FTE) | 3,613 | 3,575 | 3,622 | 3,373 | 3,536 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | 303 | 423 | 1,538 | 3,543 | 4,560 |
| Net interest income (loss) after provision for loan and lease losses (FTE) | 3,310 | 3,152 | 2,084 | (170) | (1,024) |
| Noninterest income | 2,999 | 2,455 | 2,729 | 4,782 | 2,946 |
| Noninterest expense | 4,081 | 3,758 | 3,855 | 3,826 | 4,564 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes (FTE) | 2,228 | 1,849 | 958 | 786 | (2,642) |
| Fully taxable equivalent adjustment | 18 | 18 | 18 | 19 | 22 |
| Applicable income tax expense (benefit) | 636 | 533 | 187 | 30 | (551) |
| Net income (loss) | 1,574 | 1,298 | 753 | 737 | (2,113) |
| Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | (2) | 1 | | | |
| Net income (loss) attributable to Bancorp | 1,576 | 1,297 | 753 | 737 | (2,113) |
| Dividends on preferred stock | 35 | 203 | 250 | 226 | 67 |
| Net income (loss) available to common shareholders | \$ 1,541 | 1,094 | 503 | 511 | (2,180) |
| Earnings per share | \$ 1.69 | 1.20 | 0.63 | 0.73 | (3.91) |
| Earnings per diluted share | 1.66 | 1.18 | 0.63 | 0.67 | (3.91) |
| Cash dividends declared per common share | \$ 0.36 | 0.28 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.75 |

Earnings Summary

The Bancorp s net income available to common shareholders for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$1.5 billion, or \$1.66 per diluted share, which was net of \$35 million in preferred stock dividends. The Bancorp s net income available to common shareholders for the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$1.1 billion, or \$1.18 per diluted share, which was net of \$203 million in preferred stock dividends. The preferred stock dividends during 2011 included \$153 million in discount accretion resulting from the Bancorp s repurchase of Series F preferred stock.

Net interest income was \$3.6 billion for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011. Net interest income was positively impacted by an increase in average loans and leases of \$4.6 billion as well as a decrease in interest expense compared to the year ended December 31, 2011. Average interest-earning assets increased \$4.0 billion while average interest-bearing liabilities were relatively flat compared to the prior year. In addition, net interest income in 2012 compared to the prior year was negatively impacted by a 28 bps decrease in average yield on average interest-earning assets partially offset by a 21 bps decrease in the average rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities, coupled with a mix shift to lower cost deposits. Net interest margin was 3.55% and 3.66% for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Noninterest income increased \$544 million, or 22%, in 2012 compared to 2011. The increase from the prior year was primarily due to an increase in mortgage banking net revenue, corporate banking revenue and other noninterest income partially offset by a decrease in card and processing revenue. Mortgage banking net revenue increased \$248 million, or 41%, primarily due to an increase in origination fees and gains on loan sales partially offset by an increase in losses on net valuation adjustments on servicing rights and free-standing derivatives entered into to economically hedge the MSR portfolio. Corporate banking revenue increased \$63 million, or 18%, primarily due to increases in syndication fees, business lending fees, lease remarketing fees and institutional sales. Other noninterest income increased \$324 million primarily due to a \$115 million gain from the Vantiv, Inc. IPO recognized in the first quarter of 2012 and a \$157 million gain from the sale of Vantiv, Inc. shares in the fourth quarter of 2012. Card and processing revenue decreased \$55 million, or 18%, primarily as the result of the full year impact of the implementation of the Dodd-Frank Act s debit card interchange fee cap in the fourth quarter of 2011.

Noninterest expense increased \$323 million, or nine percent, in 2012 compared to 2011 primarily due to an increase of \$170 million in total personnel costs (salaries, wages and incentives plus employee benefits); an increase of \$53 million in the provision for representation and warranty claims related to residential mortgage loans sold to third parties; an increase of \$177 million in debt extinguishment costs; and a \$44 million decrease in the benefit from the provision for unfunded commitments and letters of credit. This activity was partially offset by an \$87 million decrease in FDIC insurance and other taxes.

Credit Summary

The Bancorp does not originate subprime mortgage loans and does not hold asset-backed securities backed by subprime mortgage loans in its securities portfolio. However, the Bancorp has exposure to disruptions in the capital markets and weakened economic conditions. Over the last few years, the Bancorp has continued to be negatively affected by high unemployment rates, weakened housing markets, particularly in Michigan and Florida, and a challenging credit environment. Credit trends have improved, and as a result, the provision for loan and lease losses decreased to \$303 million in 2012 compared to \$423 million in 2011. In addition, net charge-offs as a percent of average portfolio loans and leases decreased to 0.85% during 2012 compared to 1.49% during 2011. At December 31, 2012, nonperforming assets as a percent of loans, leases and other assets, including OREO (excluding nonaccrual loans held for sale) decreased to 1.49%, compared to 2.23% at December 31, 2011. For further discussion on credit quality, see the Credit Risk Management section in MD&A.

Capital Summary

The Bancorp s capital ratios exceed the well-capitalized guidelines as defined by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. As of December 31, 2012, the Tier I risk-based capital ratio was 10.65%, the Tier I leverage ratio was 10.05% and the total risk-based capital ratio was 14.42%.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

NON-GAAP FINANCIAL MEASURES

The Bancorp considers various measures when evaluating capital utilization and adequacy, including the tangible equity ratio, tangible common equity ratio and Tier I common equity ratio, in addition to capital ratios defined by banking regulators. These calculations are intended to complement the capital ratios defined by banking regulators for both absolute and comparative purposes. Because U.S. GAAP does not include capital ratio measures, the Bancorp believes there are no comparable U.S. GAAP financial measures to these ratios. These ratios are not formally defined by U.S. GAAP or codified in the federal banking regulations and, therefore, are considered to be non-GAAP financial measures. Since analysts and banking regulators may assess the Bancorp s capital adequacy using these ratios, the Bancorp believes they are useful to provide investors the ability to assess its capital adequacy on the same basis.

The Bancorp believes these non-GAAP measures are important because they reflect the level of capital available to withstand unexpected market conditions. Additionally, presentation of these measures allows readers to compare certain aspects of the Bancorp s capitalization to other organizations. However, because

there are no standardized definitions for these ratios, the Bancorp s calculations may not be comparable with other organizations, and the usefulness of these measures to investors may be limited. As a result, the Bancorp encourages readers to consider its Consolidated Financial Statements in their entirety and not to rely on any single financial measure.

The banking regulators issued proposed capital rules (Basel III) in June of 2012 that would substantially amend the existing risk-based capital rules (Basel I) for banks. The Bancorp believes providing an estimate of its capital position based upon its interpretation of these proposed rules is important to complement the existing capital ratios and for comparability to other financial institutions. Since these rules are in proposal stage, they are considered non-GAAP measures and therefore are included in the following non-GAAP financial measures table.

Pre-provision net revenue is net interest income plus noninterest income minus noninterest expense. The Bancorp believes this measure is important because it provides a ready view of the Bancorp s earnings before the impact of provision expense.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following table reconciles non-GAAP financial measures to U.S. GAAP as of and for the years ended December 31:

TABLE 3: NON-GAAP FINANCIAL MEASURES

| (\$ in millions) | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|---|---|
| Income before income taxes (U.S. GAAP) | \$ 2,210 | 1,831 |
| Add: Provision expense (U.S. GAAP) | 303 | 423 |
| Pre-provision net revenue | 2,513 | 2,254 |
| Net income available to common shareholders (U.S. GAAP) | \$ 1,541 | 1,094 |
| Add: Intangible amortization, net of tax | 9 | 15 |
| Tangible net income available to common shareholders | 1,550 | 1,109 |
| Total Bancorp shareholdersequity (U.S. GAAP)Less: Preferred stockGoodwillIntangible assetsTangible common equity, including unrealized gains / lossesLess: Accumulated other comprehensive incomeTangible common equity, excluding unrealized gains / losses (1)Add: Preferred stockTangible equity (2) | \$ 13,716 (398) (2,416) (27) 10,875 (375) 10,500 398 10,898 | 13,201 (398) (2,417) (40) 10,346 (470) 9,876 398 10,274 |
| Total assets (U.S. GAAP) | \$ 121,894 | 116,967 |
| Less: Goodwill | (2,416) | (2,417) |
| Intangible assets | (27) | (40) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income, before tax | (577) | (723) |
| Tangible assets, excluding unrealized gains / losses (3) | \$ 118,874 | 113,787 |
| Total Bancorp shareholders equity (U.S. GAAP) | \$ 13,716 | 13,201 |
| Less: Goodwill and certain other intangibles | (2,499) | (2,514) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | (375) | (470) |
| Add: Qualifying TruPS | 810 | 2,248 |
| Other | 33 | 38 |
| Tier I risk-based capital | 11,685 | 12,503 |
| Less: Preferred stock | (398) | (398) |
| Qualifying TruPS | (810) | (2,248) |
| Qualified noncontrolling interests in consolidated subsidiaries | (48) | (50) |
| Tier I common equity (4) | \$ 10,429 | 9,807 |
| Risk-weighted assets (5) ^(a) Ratios: Tangible equity (2) / (3) Tangible common equity (1) / (3) Tier I common equity (4) / (5) | \$ 109,699 9.17 % 8.83 % 9.51 % | 9.03 9.68 9.35 |
| Basel III - Estimated Tier I common equity ratio Tier I common equity (Basel I) Add: Adjustment related to AOCI for available-for-sale securities Estimated Tier I common equity under Basel III rules ^(b) Estimated Tier I common equity ratio under Basel III rules ^(c) Estimated Tier I common equity ratio under Basel III rules Collection of the Ideal data for the Ide | \$ 10,429 429 10,858 123,725 8.78 % | |

(a) Under the banking agencies risk-based capital guidelines, assets and credit equivalent amounts of derivatives and off-balance sheet exposures are assigned to broad risk categories. The aggregate dollar amount in each risk category is multiplied by the associated risk weight of the category. The resulting weighted values are added together, along with the measure for market risk, resulting in the Bancorp s total risk-weighted assets.

(b) Tier I common equity under Basel III includes the unrealized gains and losses for available-for-sale securities. Other adjustments include mortgage servicing rights and deferred tax assets subject to threshold limitations and deferred tax liabilities related to intangible assets.

(c) Key differences under Basel III in the calculation of risk-weighted assets compared to Basel I include: (1) risk weighting for commitments under 1 year; (2) higher risk weighting for exposures to residential mortgage, home equity, past due loans, foreign banks and certain commercial real estate; (3) higher risk weighting for mortgage servicing rights and deferred tax assets that are under certain thresholds as a percent of Tier I capital; (4) incremental capital requirements for stress VaR; and (5) derivatives are differentiated between exchange clearing and over-the-counter and the 50% risk-weight cap is removed.

The estimated Basel III risk-weighted assets are based upon the Bancorp s interpretations of the three draft Federal Register notices proposing enhancements to the regulatory capital requirements that were published in June of 2012. These amounts are preliminary and subject to change depending on the adoption of final Basel III capital rules by the Regulatory Agencies.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

RECENT ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements provides a discussion of the significant new accounting standards adopted by the Bancorp during 2012 and the expected

impact of significant accounting standards issued, but not yet required to be adopted.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The Bancorp's Consolidated Financial Statements are prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. Certain accounting policies require management to exercise judgment in determining methodologies, economic assumptions and estimates that may materially affect the Bancorp's financial position, results of operations and cash flows. The Bancorp's critical accounting policies include the accounting for the ALLL, reserve for unfunded commitments, income taxes, valuation of servicing rights, fair value measurements and goodwill. No material changes were made to the valuation techniques or models described below during the year ended December 31, 2012.

ALLL

The Bancorp disaggregates its portfolio loans and leases into portfolio segments for purposes of determining the ALLL. The Bancorp s portfolio segments include commercial, residential mortgage, and consumer. The Bancorp further disaggregates its portfolio segments into classes for purposes of monitoring and assessing credit quality based on certain risk characteristics. Classes within the commercial portfolio segment include commercial and industrial, commercial mortgage owner-occupied, commercial mortgage nonowner-occupied, commercial construction, and commercial leasing. The residential mortgage portfolio segment is also considered a class. Classes within the consumer portfolio segment include home equity, automobile, credit card, and other consumer loans and leases. For an analysis of the Bancorp s ALLL by portfolio segment and credit quality information by class, see Note 6 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Bancorp maintains the ALLL to absorb probable loan and lease losses inherent in its portfolio segments. The ALLL is maintained at a level the Bancorp considers to be adequate and is based on ongoing quarterly assessments and evaluations of the collectability and historical loss experience of loans and leases. Credit losses are charged and recoveries are credited to the ALLL. Provisions for loan and lease losses are based on the Bancorp s review of the historical credit loss experience and such factors that, in management s judgment, deserve consideration under existing economic conditions in estimating probable credit losses. The Bancorp s strategy for credit risk management includes a combination of conservative exposure limits significantly below legal lending limits and conservative underwriting, documentation and collections standards. The strategy also emphasizes diversification on a geographic, industry and customer level, regular credit examinations and quarterly management reviews of large credit exposures and loans experiencing deterioration of credit quality.

The Bancorp s methodology for determining the ALLL is based on historical loss rates, current credit grades, specific allocation on loans modified in a TDR and impaired commercial credits above specified thresholds and other qualitative adjustments. Allowances on individual commercial loans, TDRs and historical loss rates are reviewed quarterly and adjusted as necessary based on changing borrower and/or collateral conditions and actual collection and charge-off experience. An unallocated allowance is maintained to recognize the imprecision in estimating and measuring losses when evaluating allowances for individual loans or pools of loans.

Larger commercial loans included within aggregate borrower relationship balances exceeding \$1 million that exhibit probable or observed credit weaknesses, as well as loans that have been

modified in a TDR, are subject to individual review for impairment. The Bancorp considers the current value of collateral, credit quality of any guarantees, the guarantor s liquidity and willingness to cooperate, the loan structure, and other factors when evaluating whether an individual loan is impaired. Other factors may include the industry and geographic region of the borrower, size and financial condition of the borrower,

Table of Contents

cash flow and leverage of the borrower, and the Bancorp s evaluation of the borrower s management. When individual loans are impaired, allowances are determined based on management s estimate of the borrower s ability to repay the loan given the availability of collateral and other sources of cash flow, as well as an evaluation of legal options available to the Bancorp. Allowances for impaired loans are measured based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan s effective interest rate, fair value of the underlying collateral or readily observable secondary market values. The Bancorp evaluates the collectability of both principal and interest when assessing the need for a loss accrual.

Historical credit loss rates are applied to commercial loans that are not impaired or are impaired, but smaller than the established threshold of \$1 million and thus not subject to specific allowance allocations. The loss rates are derived from a migration analysis, which tracks the historical net charge-off experience sustained on loans according to their internal risk grade. The risk grading system utilized for allowance analysis purposes encompasses ten categories.

Homogenous loans and leases in the residential mortgage and consumer portfolio segments are not individually risk graded. Rather, standard credit scoring systems and delinquency monitoring are used to assess credit risks, and allowances are established based on the expected net charge-offs. Loss rates are based on the trailing twelve month net charge-off history by loan category. Historical loss rates may be adjusted for certain prescriptive and qualitative factors that, in management s judgment, are necessary to reflect losses inherent in the portfolio. Factors that management considers in the analysis include the effects of the national and local economies; trends in the nature and volume of delinquencies, charge-offs and nonaccrual loans; changes in loan mix; credit score migration comparisons; asset quality trends; risk management and loan administration; changes in the internal lending policies and credit standards; collection practices; and examination results from bank regulatory agencies and the Bancorp s internal credit reviewers.

The Bancorp s primary market areas for lending are the Midwestern and Southeastern regions of the United States. When evaluating the adequacy of allowances, consideration is given to these regional geographic concentrations and the closely associated effect changing economic conditions have on the Bancorp s customers.

Reserve for Unfunded Commitments

The reserve for unfunded commitments is maintained at a level believed by management to be sufficient to absorb estimated probable losses related to unfunded credit facilities and is included in other liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The determination of the adequacy of the reserve is based upon an evaluation of the unfunded credit facilities, including an assessment of historical commitment utilization experience, credit risk grading and historical loss rates based on credit grade migration. This process takes into consideration the same risk elements that are analyzed in the determination of the adequacy of the Bancorp

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

ALLL, as discussed above. Net adjustments to the reserve for unfunded commitments are included in other noninterest expense in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

Income Taxes

The Bancorp estimates income tax expense based on amounts expected to be owed to the various tax jurisdictions in which the Bancorp conducts business. On a quarterly basis, management assesses the reasonableness of its effective tax rate based upon its current estimate of the amount and components of net income, tax credits and the applicable statutory tax rates expected for the full year. The estimated income tax expense is recorded in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are determined using the balance sheet method and are reported in other assets and accrued taxes, interest and expenses, respectively, in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Under this method, the net deferred tax asset or liability is based on the tax effects of the differences between the book and tax basis of assets and liabilities, and reflects enacted changes in tax rates and laws. Deferred tax assets are recognized to the extent they exist and are subject to a valuation allowance based on management s judgment that realization is more likely than not. This analysis is performed on a quarterly basis and includes an evaluation of all positive and negative evidence to determine whether realization is more likely than not.

Accrued taxes represent the net estimated amount due to taxing jurisdictions and are reported in accrued taxes, interest and expenses in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The Bancorp evaluates and assesses the relative risks and appropriate tax treatment of transactions and filing positions after considering statutes, regulations, judicial precedent and other information and maintains tax accruals consistent with its evaluation of these relative risks and merits. Changes to the estimate of accrued taxes occur periodically due to changes in tax rates, interpretations of tax laws, the status of examinations being conducted by taxing authorities and changes to statutory, judicial and regulatory guidance that impact the relative risks of tax positions. These changes, when they occur, can affect deferred taxes and accrued taxes as well as the current period s income tax expense and can be significant to the operating results of the Bancorp. For additional information on income taxes, see Note 19 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Valuation of Servicing Rights

When the Bancorp sells loans through either securitizations or individual loan sales in accordance with its investment policies, it often obtains servicing rights. Servicing rights resulting from loan sales are initially recorded at fair value and subsequently amortized in proportion to, and over the period of, estimated net servicing revenue. Servicing rights are assessed for impairment monthly, based on fair value, with temporary impairment recognized through a valuation allowance and permanent impairment recognized through a write-off of the servicing asset and related valuation allowance. Key economic assumptions used in measuring any potential impairment of the servicing rights include the prepayment speeds of the underlying loans, the weighted-average life, the discount rate, the weighted-average coupon and the weighted-average default rate, as applicable. The primary risk of material changes to the value of the servicing rights resides in the potential volatility in the economic assumptions used, particularly the prepayment speeds. The Bancorp monitors risk and adjusts its valuation allowance as necessary to adequately reserve for impairment in the servicing portfolio. For purposes of measuring impairment, the mortgage servicing rights are stratified into classes based on the financial asset type (fixed rate vs. adjustable rate) and

interest rates. For additional information on servicing rights, see Note 11 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Fair Value Measurements

The Bancorp measures certain financial assets and liabilities at fair value in accordance with U.S. GAAP, which defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Valuation techniques the Bancorp uses to measure fair value include the market approach, income approach and cost approach. The market approach uses prices or relevant information generated by market transactions involving identical or comparable assets or liabilities. The income approach involves discounting future amounts to a single present amount and is based on current market expectations about those future amounts. The cost approach is based on the amount that currently would be required to replace the service capacity of the asset.

U.S. GAAP establishes a fair value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value into three broad levels. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1) and the

lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3). A financial instrument s categorization within the fair value hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the instrument s fair value measurement. The three levels within the fair value hierarchy are described as follows:

Level 1 Quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Bancorp has the ability to access at the measurement date.

Level 2 Inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level 2 inputs include: quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active; inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability; and inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means.

Level 3 Unobservable inputs for the asset or liability for which there is little, if any, market activity at the measurement date. Unobservable inputs reflect the Bancorp s own assumptions about what market participants would use to price the asset or liability. The inputs are developed based on the best information available in the circumstances, which might include the Bancorp s own financial data such as internally developed pricing models and discounted cash flow methodologies, as well as instruments for which the fair value determination requires significant management judgment.

The Bancorp s fair value measurements involve various valuation techniques and models, which involve inputs that are observable, when available. Valuation techniques and parameters used for measuring assets and liabilities are reviewed and validated by the Bancorp on a quarterly basis. Additionally, the Bancorp monitors the fair values of significant assets and liabilities using a variety of methods including the evaluation of pricing runs and exception reports based on certain analytical criteria, comparison to previous trades and overall review and assessments for reasonableness. The following is a summary of valuation techniques utilized by the Bancorp for its significant assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Available-for-sale and trading securities

Where quoted prices are available in an active market, securities are classified within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy. Level 1 securities include government bonds and exchange traded equities. If quoted market prices are not available, then fair values are estimated using pricing models, quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics, or discounted cash flows. Examples of such instruments, which are classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy, include agency and non-agency mortgage-backed securities, other asset-backed securities, obligations of U.S. Government sponsored agencies, and corporate and municipal bonds. Agency mortgage-backed securities, obligations of U.S. Government sponsored agencies, and corporate and municipal bonds are generally valued using a market approach based on observable prices of securities with similar characteristics. Non-agency mortgage-backed securities and other asset-backed securities are generally valued using an income approach based on discounted cash flows, incorporating prepayment speeds, performance of underlying collateral and specific tranche-level attributes. In certain cases where there is limited activity or less transparency around inputs to the valuation, securities are classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy.

Residential mortgage loans held for sale and held for investment

For residential mortgage loans held for sale, fair value is estimated based upon mortgage-backed securities prices and spreads to those prices or, for certain ARM loans, discounted cash flow models that may incorporate the anticipated portfolio composition, credit spreads of asset-backed securities with similar collateral, and market conditions. The anticipated portfolio composition includes the effect of interest rate spreads and discount rates due to loan characteristics such as the state in which the loan was originated, the loan amount and the ARM margin. Residential mortgage loans held for sale that are valued based on mortgage-backed securities prices are classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy as the valuation hierarchy due to the use of observable inputs in the discounted cash flow model. These observable inputs include interest rate spreads from agency mortgage-backed securities market rates and observable discount rates. For residential mortgage loans reclassified from held for sale to held for investment, the fair value estimation is based on mortgage-backed securities prices, interest rate risk and an internally developed credit component. Therefore, these loans are classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy.

Derivatives

Exchange-traded derivatives valued using quoted prices and certain over-the-counter derivatives valued using active bids are classified within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy. Most of the Bancorp s derivative contracts are valued using discounted cash flow or other models that incorporate current market interest rates, credit spreads assigned to the derivative counterparties, and other market parameters and, therefore, are classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy. Such derivatives include basic and

structured interest rate swaps and options. Derivatives that are valued based upon models with significant unobservable market parameters are classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy. At December 31, 2012, derivatives classified as Level 3, which are valued using an option-pricing model containing unobservable inputs, consisted primarily of warrants associated with the sale of the processing business to Advent International and a total return swap associated with the Bancorp s sale of its Visa, Inc. Class B shares. Level 3 derivatives also include interest rate lock commitments, which utilize internally generated loan closing rate assumptions as a significant unobservable input in the valuation process.

In addition to the assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis, the Bancorp measures servicing rights, certain loans and long-lived assets at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. Refer to Note 26 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further information on fair value measurements.

Goodwill

Business combinations entered into by the Bancorp typically include the acquisition of goodwill. U.S. GAAP requires goodwill to be tested for impairment at the Bancorp s reporting unit level on an annual basis, which for the Bancorp is September 30, and more frequently if events or circumstances indicate that there may be impairment. The Bancorp has determined that its segments qualify as reporting units under U.S. GAAP.

Impairment exists when a reporting unit s carrying amount of goodwill exceeds its implied fair value. In testing goodwill for impairment, U.S. GAAP permits the Bancorp to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit

is less than its carrying amount. If, after assessing the totality of events and circumstances, the Bancorp determines it is not more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, then performing the two-step impairment test would be unnecessary. However, if the Bancorp concludes otherwise, it would then be required to perform the first step (Step 1) of the goodwill impairment test, and continue to the second step (Step 2), if necessary. Step 1 compares the fair value of a reporting unit with its carrying amount, including goodwill. If the carrying amount of the reporting unit exceeds its fair value, Step 2 of the goodwill impairment test is performed to measure the amount of impairment loss, if any.

The fair value of a reporting unit is the price that would be received to sell the unit as a whole in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Since none of the Bancorp s reporting units are publicly traded, individual reporting unit fair value determinations cannot be directly correlated to the Bancorp s stock price. To determine the fair value of a reporting unit, the Bancorp employs an income-based approach, utilizing the reporting unit s forecasted cash flows (including a terminal value approach to estimate cash flows beyond the final year of the forecast) and the reporting unit s estimated cost of equity as the discount rate. Additionally, the Bancorp determines its market capitalization based on the average of the closing price of the Bancorp s stock during the month including the measurement date, incorporating an additional control premium, and compares this market-based fair value measurement to the aggregate fair value of the Bancorp s reporting units in order to corroborate the results of the income approach.

When required to perform Step 2, the Bancorp compares the implied fair value of a reporting unit s goodwill with the carrying amount of that goodwill. If the carrying amount exceeds the implied fair value, an impairment loss equal to that excess amount is

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

recognized. A recognized impairment loss cannot exceed the carrying amount of that goodwill and cannot be reversed in future periods even if the fair value of the reporting unit recovers.

During Step 2, the Bancorp determines the implied fair value of goodwill for a reporting unit by assigning the fair value of the reporting unit to all of the assets and liabilities of that unit (including any unrecognized intangible assets) as if the reporting unit had been acquired in a business combination. The excess of the fair value of the reporting unit over the amounts assigned to its assets and liabilities is the implied fair value of goodwill. This assignment process is only performed for purposes of testing goodwill for impairment. The Bancorp does not adjust the carrying values of recognized assets or liabilities (other than goodwill, if appropriate), nor recognize previously unrecognized intangible assets in the Consolidated Financial Statements as a result of this assignment process. Refer to Note 8 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further information regarding the Bancorp s goodwill.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

RISK FACTORS

The risks listed below present risks that could have a material impact on the Bancorp s financial condition, the results of its operations, or its business.

RISKS RELATING TO ECONOMIC AND MARKET CONDITIONS

Weakness in the U.S. economy and in the real estate market, including specific weakness within Fifth Third s geographic footprint, has adversely affected Fifth Third and may continue to adversely affect Fifth Third.

If the strength of the U.S. economy in general or the strength of the local economies in which Fifth Third conducts operations declines or does not improve in a reasonable time frame, this could result in, among other things, a deterioration in credit quality or a reduced demand for credit, including a resultant effect on Fifth Third s loan portfolio and ALLL and in the receipt of lower proceeds from the sale of loans and foreclosed properties. A portion of Fifth Third s residential mortgage and commercial real estate loan portfolios are comprised of borrowers in Florida, whose markets have been particularly adversely affected by job losses, declines in real estate value, declines in home sale volumes, and declines in new home building. These factors could result in higher delinquencies, greater charge-offs and increased losses on foreclosed real estate in future periods, which could materially adversely affect Fifth Third s financial condition and results of operations.

The global financial markets continue to be strained as a result of economic slowdowns and concerns, especially about the creditworthiness of the European Union member states and financial institutions in the European Union. These factors could have international implications, which could hinder the U.S. economic recovery and affect the stability of global financial markets.

Certain European Union member states have fiscal obligations greater than their fiscal revenue, which has caused investor concern over such countries ability to continue to service their debt and foster economic growth in their economies. During 2011, the European debt crisis caused spreads to widen in the fixed income debt markets and liquidity to be less abundant. The European debt crisis and measures adopted to address it have significantly weakened European economies. A weaker European economy may cause investors to lose confidence in the safety and soundness of European financial institutions and the stability of European member economic conditions and severe stress in the financial markets, including in the United States. Should the U.S. economic recovery be adversely impacted by these factors, the likelihood for loan and asset growth at U.S. financial institutions, like Fifth Third, may deteriorate.

Changes in interest rates could affect Fifth Third s income and cash flows.

Fifth Third s income and cash flows depend to a great extent on the difference between the interest rates earned on interest-earning assets such as loans and investment securities, and the interest rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities such as deposits and borrowings. These rates are highly sensitive to many factors that are beyond Fifth Third s control, including general economic conditions and the policies of various governmental and regulatory agencies (in particular, the FRB). Changes in monetary policy, including changes in interest rates, will influence the origination of loans, the prepayment speed of loans, the purchase of investments, the

generation of deposits and the rates received on loans and investment securities and paid on deposits or other sources of funding. The impact of these changes may be magnified if Fifth Third does not effectively manage the relative sensitivity of its assets and liabilities to changes in market interest rates. Fluctuations in these areas may adversely affect Fifth Third and its shareholders.

Potential changes in determining LIBOR could affect Fifth Third s debt securities and other financial obligations.

Beginning in 2008, concerns have been raised about the accuracy of the calculation of the daily LIBOR, which is currently overseen by the BBA. Fifth Third was not and is not a LIBOR panelist surveyed for LIBOR estimates. The BBA has taken steps to change the process for

Table of Contents

determining LIBOR by increasing the number of banks surveyed to set LIBOR and to strengthen the oversight of the process. In addition a report published in September 2012, set forth recommendations relating to the setting and administration of LIBOR, and the United Kingdom government has announced that it intends to incorporate these recommendations in the new legislation.

At the present time, it is uncertain what changes, if any, may be required or made by the United Kingdom government or other governmental or regulatory authorities in the method for determining LIBOR. Accordingly, it is not apparent whether or to what extent any such changes would have an adverse impact on the value of any LIBOR-linked debt securities issued by Fifth Third or any loans, derivatives and other financial obligations or extensions of credit for which Fifth Third is an obligor, or whether or to what extent any such changes would have an adverse effect on the value of any LIBOR-linked securities, loans, derivatives and other financial obligations or extensions of credit held by or due to Fifth Third or on Fifth Third s financial condition or results of operations.

Changes and trends in the capital markets may affect Fifth Third s income and cash flows.

Fifth Third enters into and maintains trading and investment positions in the capital markets on its own behalf and manages investment positions on behalf of its customers. These investment positions include derivative financial instruments. The revenues and profits Fifth Third derives from managing proprietary and customer trading and investment positions are dependent on market prices. Market changes and trends may result in a decline in investment advisory revenue or investment or trading losses that may materially affect Fifth Third. Losses on behalf of its customers could expose Fifth Third to litigation, credit risks or loss of revenue from those customers. Additionally, substantial losses in Fifth Third s trading and investment positions could lead to a loss with respect to those investments and may adversely affect cash flows and funding costs.

The removal or reduction in stimulus activities sponsored by the Federal Government and its agents may have a negative impact on Fifth Third s results and operations.

The Federal Government has intervened in an unprecedented manner to stimulate economic growth. The expiration or rescission of any of these programs and actions may have an adverse impact on Fifth Third s operating results by increasing interest rates, increasing the cost of funding, and reducing the demand for loan products, including mortgage loans.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Problems encountered by financial institutions larger than or similar to Fifth Third could adversely affect financial markets generally and have indirect adverse effects on Fifth Third.

The commercial soundness of many financial institutions may be closely interrelated as a result of credit, trading, clearing or other relationships between the institutions. As a result, concerns about, or a default or threatened default by, one institution could lead to significant market-wide liquidity and credit problems, losses or defaults by other institutions. This is sometimes referred to as systemic risk and may adversely affect financial intermediaries, such as clearing agencies, clearing houses, banks, securities firms and exchanges, with which the Bancorp interacts on a daily basis, and therefore could adversely affect Fifth Third.

Fifth Third s stock price is volatile.

Fifth Third s stock price has been volatile in the past and several factors could cause the price to fluctuate substantially in the future. These factors include:

Actual or anticipated variations in earnings;

Changes in analysts recommendations or projections;

Fifth Third s announcements of developments related to its businesses;

Operating and stock performance of other companies deemed to be peers;

Actions by government regulators;

New technology used or services offered by traditional and non-traditional competitors;

News reports of trends, concerns and other issues related to the financial services industry;

Natural disasters;

Geopolitical conditions such as acts or threats of terrorism or military conflicts.

The price for shares of Fifth Third s common stock may fluctuate significantly in the future, and these fluctuations may be unrelated to Fifth Third s performance. General market price declines or market volatility in the future could adversely affect the price for shares of Fifth Third s common stock, and the current market price of such shares may not be indicative of future market prices.

RISKS RELATING TO FIFTH THIRD S GENERAL BUSINESS

Deteriorating credit quality, particularly in real estate loans, has adversely impacted Fifth Third and may continue to adversely impact Fifth Third.

When Fifth Third lends money or commits to lend money the Bancorp incurs credit risk or the risk of losses if borrowers do not repay their loans. The credit performance of the loan portfolios significantly affects the Bancorp s financial results and condition. If the current economic environment were to deteriorate, more customers may have difficulty in repaying their loans or other obligations which could result in a higher level of credit losses and reserves for credit losses. Fifth Third reserves for credit losses by establishing reserves through a charge to earnings. The amount of these reserves is based on Fifth Third s assessment of credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio (including unfunded credit commitments). The process for determining the amount of the allowance for loan and lease losses and the reserve for unfunded commitments is critical to Fifth Third s financial results and condition. It requires difficult, subjective and complex judgments about the environment, including analysis of economic or market conditions that might impair the ability of borrowers to repay their loans.

Fifth Third might underestimate the credit losses inherent in its loan portfolio and have credit losses in excess of the amount reserved. Fifth Third might increase the reserve because of changing economic conditions, including falling home prices or higher unemployment, or other factors such as changes in borrower s behavior. As an example, borrowers may strategically default, or discontinue making payments on their real estate-secured loans if the value of the real estate is less than what they owe, even if they are still financially able to make the payments.

Fifth Third believes that both the allowance for loan and lease losses and reserve for unfunded commitments are adequate to cover inherent losses at December 31, 2012; however, there is no assurance that they will be sufficient to cover future credit losses, especially if housing and employment conditions worsen. In the event of significant deterioration in economic conditions, Fifth Third may be required to increase reserves in future periods, which would reduce earnings.

For more information, refer to the Risk Management Credit Risk Management, Critical Accounting Policies Allowance for Loan and Leases, and Reserve for Unfunded Commitments of the MD&A.

Fifth Third must maintain adequate sources of funding and liquidity.

Fifth Third must maintain adequate funding sources in the normal course of business to support its operations and fund outstanding liabilities, as well as meet regulatory expectations. Fifth Third primarily relies on bank deposits to be a low cost and stable source of funding for the loans Fifth Third makes and the operations of Fifth Third s business. Core customer deposits, which include transaction deposits and other time deposits, have historically provided Fifth Third with a sizeable source of relatively stable and low-cost funds (average core deposits funded 70% of average total assets at December 31, 2012). In addition to customer deposits, sources of liquidity include investments in the securities portfolio, Fifth Third s ability to sell or securitize loans in secondary markets and to pledge loans to access secured borrowing facilities through the FHLB and the FRB, and Fifth Third s ability to raise funds in domestic and international money and capital markets.

Fifth Third s liquidity and ability to fund and run the business could be materially adversely affected by a variety of conditions and factors, including financial and credit market disruptions and volatility or a lack of market or customer confidence in financial markets in general similar to what occurred during the financial crisis in 2008 and early 2009, which may result in a loss of customer deposits or outflows of cash or collateral and/or ability to access capital markets on favorable terms.

Other conditions and factors that could materially adversely affect Fifth Third s liquidity and funding include a lack of market or customer confidence in Fifth Third or negative news about Fifth Third or the financial services industry generally which also may result in a loss of deposits and/or negatively affect the ability to access the capital markets; the loss of customer deposits to alternative investments; inability to sell or securitize loans or other assets, and reductions in one or more of Fifth Third s credit ratings. A reduced credit rating could adversely affect Fifth Third s ability to borrow funds and raise the cost of borrowings substantially and could cause creditors and business counterparties to raise collateral requirements or take other actions that could adversely affect Fifth Third s ability to raise capital. Many of the above conditions and factors may be caused by events over which Fifth Third has little or no control such as what occurred during the financial crisis. While market conditions have stabilized and, in many cases, improved, there can be no assurance that significant disruption and volatility in the financial markets will not occur in the future.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Other material adverse effects could include a reduction in Fifth Third s credit ratings resulting from a further decrease in the probability of government support for large financial institutions such as Fifth Third assumed by the ratings agencies in their current credit ratings.

If Fifth Third is unable to continue to fund assets through customer bank deposits or access capital markets on favorable terms or if Fifth Third suffers an increase in borrowing costs or otherwise fails to manage liquidity effectively; liquidity, operating margins, financial results and condition may be materially adversely affected. As Fifth Third did during the financial crisis, it may also need to raise additional capital through the issuance of stock, which could dilute the ownership of existing stockholders, or reduce or even eliminate common stock dividends to preserve capital.

Fifth Third may have more credit risk and higher credit losses to the extent loans are concentrated by location of the borrower or collateral.

Fifth Third s credit risk and credit losses can increase if its loans are concentrated to borrowers engaged in the same or similar activities or to borrowers who as a group may be uniquely or disproportionately affected by economic or market conditions. Deterioration in economic conditions, housing conditions and real estate values in these states and generally across the country could result in materially higher credit losses.

Fifth Third may be required to repurchase residential mortgage loans or reimburse investors and others as a result of breaches in contractual representations and warranties.

Fifth Third sells residential mortgage loans to various parties, including GSEs and other financial institutions that purchase residential mortgage loans, indemnify the securitization trust, investor or insurer, or reimburse the securitization trust, investor or insurer for credit losses incurred on loans in the event of a breach of contractual representations or warranties that is not remedied within a period (usually 60 days or less) after Fifth Third receives notice of the breach. Contracts for residential mortgage loan sales to the GSEs include various types of specific remedies and penalties that could be applied to inadequate responses to repurchase requests. If economic conditions and the housing market do not recover or future investor repurchase demand and success at appealing repurchase requests differ from past experience, Fifth Third could continue to have increased repurchase obligations and increased loss severity on repurchases, requiring material additions to the repurchase reserve.

If Fifth Third does not adjust to rapid changes in the financial services industry, its financial performance may suffer.

Fifth Third s ability to deliver strong financial performance and returns on investment to shareholders will depend in part on its ability to expand the scope of available financial services to meet the needs and demands of its customers. In addition to the challenge of competing against other banks in attracting and retaining customers for traditional banking services, Fifth Third s competitors also include securities dealers, brokers, mortgage bankers, investment advisors, specialty finance and insurance companies who seek to offer one-stop financial services that may include services that banks have not been able or allowed to offer to their customers in the past or may not be currently able or allowed to offer. This increasingly competitive environment is primarily a result of changes in regulation, changes in technology and product delivery systems, as well as the accelerating pace of consolidation among financial service providers.

If Fifth Third is unable to grow its deposits, it may be subject to paying higher funding costs.

The total amount that Fifth Third pays for funding costs is dependent, in part, on Fifth Third s ability to grow its deposits. If Fifth Third is unable to sufficiently grow its deposits, it may be subject to paying higher funding costs. Fifth Third competes with banks and other financial services companies for deposits. If competitors raise the rates they pay on deposits, Fifth Third s funding costs may increase, either because Fifth Third loses deposits and must rely on more expensive sources of funding. Higher funding costs reduce our net interest margin and net interest income. Fifth Third s bank customers could take their money out of the bank and put it in alternative investments, causing Fifth Third to lose a lower cost source of funding. Checking and savings account balances and other forms of customer deposits may decrease when customers perceive alternative investments, such as the stock market, as providing a better risk/return tradeoff.

The Bancorp s ability to receive dividends from its subsidiaries accounts for most of its revenue and could affect its liquidity and ability to pay dividends.

Fifth Third Bancorp is a separate and distinct legal entity from its subsidiaries. Fifth Third Bancorp typically receives substantially all of its revenue from dividends from its subsidiaries. These dividends are the principal source of funds to pay dividends on Fifth Third Bancorp s stock and interest and principal on its debt. Various federal and/or state laws and regulations, as well as regulatory expectations, limit the amount of dividends that the Bancorp s banking subsidiary and certain nonbank subsidiaries may pay. Regulatory scrutiny of capital levels at bank holding companies and insured depository institution subsidiaries has increased since the financial crisis and has resulted in increased regulatory focus on all aspects of capital planning, including dividends and other distributions to shareholders of banks such as the parent bank holding companies. Also, Fifth Third Bancorp s right to participate in a distribution of assets upon a subsidiary s liquidation or reorganization is subject to the prior claims of that subsidiary s creditors. Limitations on the Bancorp s ability to receive dividends from its subsidiaries could have a material adverse effect on its liquidity and ability to pay dividends on stock or interest and principal on its debt.

The financial services industry is highly competitive and creates competitive pressures that could adversely affect Fifth Third s revenue and profitability.

The financial services industry in which Fifth Third operates is highly competitive. Fifth Third competes not only with commercial banks, but also with insurance companies, mutual funds, hedge funds, and other companies offering financial services in the U.S., globally and over the internet. Fifth Third competes on the basis of several factors, including capital, access to capital, revenue generation, products, services, transaction execution, innovation, reputation and price. Over time, certain sectors of the financial services industry have become more concentrated, as institutions involved in a broad range of financial services have been acquired by or merged into other firms. These developments could result in Fifth Third s competitors gaining greater capital and other resources, such as a broader range of products and services and geographic diversity. Fifth Third may experience pricing pressures as a result of these factors and as some of its competitors seek to increase market share by reducing prices.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Fifth Third and/or the holders of its securities could be adversely affected by unfavorable ratings from rating agencies.

Fifth Third s ability to access the capital markets is important to its overall funding profile. This access is affected by the ratings assigned by rating agencies to Fifth Third, certain of its subsidiaries and particular classes of securities they issue. The interest rates that Fifth Third pays on its securities are also influenced by, among other things, the credit ratings that it, its subsidiaries and/or its securities receive from recognized rating agencies. A downgrade to Fifth Third or its subsidiaries credit rating could affect its ability to access the capital markets, increase its borrowing costs and negatively impact its profitability. A ratings downgrade to Fifth Third, its subsidiaries or their securities could also create obligations or liabilities to Fifth Third under the terms of its outstanding securities that could increase Fifth Third s costs or otherwise have a negative effect on its results of operations or financial condition. Additionally, a downgrade of the credit rating of any particular security issued by Fifth Third or its subsidiaries could negatively affect the ability of the holders of that security to sell the securities and the prices at which any such securities may be sold.

Fifth Third could suffer if it fails to attract and retain skilled personnel.

Fifth Third s success depends, in large part, on its ability to attract and retain key individuals. Competition for qualified candidates in the activities and markets that Fifth Third serves is great and Fifth Third may not be able to hire these candidates and retain them. If Fifth Third is not able to hire or retain these key individuals, Fifth Third may be unable to execute its business strategies and may suffer adverse consequences to its business, operations and financial condition.

In June 2010, the federal banking agencies issued joint guidance on executive compensation designed to help ensure that a banking organization s incentive compensation policies do not encourage imprudent risk taking and are consistent with the safety and soundness of the organization. In addition, the Dodd-Frank Act requires those agencies, along with the SEC, to adopt rules to require reporting of incentive compensation and to prohibit certain compensation arrangements. The federal banking agencies and the SEC proposed such rules in April 2011. In addition, in June 2012, the SEC issued final rules to implement Dodd-Frank s requirement that the SEC direct the national securities exchanges to adopt certain listing standards related to the compensation committee of a company s board of directors as well as its compensation advisers. If Fifth Third is unable to attract and retain qualified employees, or do so at rates necessary to maintain its competitive position, or if compensation costs required to attract and retain employees become more expensive, Fifth Third s performance, including its competitive position, could be materially adversely affected.

Fifth Third s mortgage banking revenue can be volatile from quarter to quarter.

Fifth Third earns revenue from the fees it receives for originating mortgage loans and for servicing mortgage loans. When rates rise, the demand for mortgage loans tends to fall, reducing the revenue Fifth Third receives from loan originations. At the same time, revenue from MSRs can increase through increases in fair value. When rates fall, mortgage originations tend to increase and the value of MSRs tends to decline, also with some offsetting revenue effect. Even though the origination of mortgage loans can act as a natural hedge, the hedge is not perfect, either in amount or timing. For example, the negative effect on revenue from a decrease in the fair value of residential MSRs is immediate, but any offsetting revenue benefit from more originations and the MSRs relating to the new loans would accrue over time. It is also possible that, because of the

recession and deteriorating housing market, even if interest rates were to fall, mortgage originations may also fall or any increase in mortgage originations may not be enough to offset the decrease in the MSRs value caused by the lower rates.

Fifth Third typically uses derivatives and other instruments to hedge its mortgage banking interest rate risk. Fifth Third generally does not hedge all of its risks, and the fact that Fifth Third attempts to hedge any of the risks does not mean Fifth Third will be successful. Hedging is a complex process, requiring sophisticated models and constant monitoring. Fifth Third may use hedging instruments tied to U.S. Treasury rates, LIBOR or Eurodollars that may not perfectly correlate with the value or income being hedged. Fifth Third could incur significant losses from its hedging activities. There may be periods where Fifth Third elects not to use derivatives and other instruments to hedge mortgage banking interest rate risk.

Fifth Third uses financial models for business planning purposes that may not adequately predict future results.

Table of Contents

Fifth Third uses financial models to aid in its planning for various purposes including its capital and liquidity needs, potential charge-offs, reserves, and other purposes. The models used may not accurately account for all variables that could affect future results, may fail to predict outcomes accurately and/or may overstate or understate certain effects. As a result of these potential failures, Fifth Third may not adequately prepare for future events and may suffer losses or other setbacks due to these failures.

Changes in interest rates could also reduce the value of MSRs.

Fifth Third acquires MSRs when it keeps the servicing rights after the sale or securitization of the loans that have been originated or when it purchases the servicing rights to mortgage loans originated by other lenders. Fifth Third initially measures all residential MSRs at fair value and subsequently amortizes the MSRs in proportion to, and over the period of, estimated net servicing income. Fair value is the present value of estimated future net servicing rights are assessed for impairment monthly, based on fair value, with temporary impairment recognized through a valuation allowance and permanent impairment recognized through a write-off of the servicing asset and related valuation allowance.

Changes in interest rates can affect prepayment assumptions and thus fair value. When interest rates fall, borrowers are usually more likely to prepay their mortgage loans by refinancing them at a lower rate. As the likelihood of prepayment increases, the fair value of MSRs can decrease. Each quarter Fifth Third evaluates the fair value of MSRs, and decreases in fair value below amortized cost reduce earnings in the period in which the decrease occurs.

The preparation of Fifth Third s financial statements requires the use of estimates that may vary from actual results.

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make significant estimates that affect the financial statements. See the Critical Accounting Policies section of the MD&A for more information regarding management s significant estimates. Additionally, Fifth Third s litigation reserve is a management estimate which is regularly reviewed for accuracy.

Fifth Third regularly reviews its litigation reserve for adequacy considering its litigation risks and probability of incurring losses related to litigation. However, Fifth Third cannot be certain that its current litigation reserves will be adequate over time to cover its losses in litigation due to higher than anticipated settlement costs, prolonged litigation, adverse judgments, or other factors that are largely outside of Fifth Third s litigation

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

reserves are not adequate, Fifth Third s business, financial condition, including its liquidity and capital, and results of operations could be materially adversely affected. Additionally, in the future, Fifth Third may increase its litigation reserves, which could have a material adverse effect on its capital and results of operations.

Changes in accounting standards or interpretations could impact Fifth Third s reported earnings and financial condition.

The accounting standard setters, including the FASB, the SEC and other regulatory agencies, periodically change the financial accounting and reporting standards that govern the preparation of Fifth Third s consolidated financial statements. These changes can be hard to predict and can materially impact how Fifth Third records and reports its financial condition and results of operations. In some cases, Fifth Third could be required to apply a new or revised standard retroactively, which would result in the recasting of Fifth Third s prior period financial statements.

Future acquisitions may dilute current shareholders ownership of Fifth Third and may cause Fifth Third to become more susceptible to adverse economic events.

Future business acquisitions could be material to Fifth Third and it may issue additional shares of stock to pay for those acquisitions, which would dilute current shareholders ownership interests. Acquisitions also could require Fifth Third to use substantial cash or other liquid assets or to incur debt. In those events, Fifth Third could become more susceptible to economic downturns and competitive pressures.

Difficulties in combining the operations of acquired entities with Fifth Third s own operations may prevent Fifth Third from achieving the expected benefits from its acquisitions.

Inherent uncertainties exist when integrating the operations of an acquired entity. Fifth Third may not be able to fully achieve its strategic objectives and planned operating efficiencies in an acquisition. In addition, the markets and industries in which Fifth Third and its potential acquisition targets operate are highly competitive. Fifth Third may lose customers or the customers of acquired entities as a result of an acquisition. Future acquisition and integration activities may require Fifth Third to devote substantial time and resources and as a result Fifth Third may not be able to pursue other business opportunities.

After completing an acquisition, Fifth Third may find certain items are not accounted for properly in accordance with financial accounting and reporting standards. Fifth Third may also not realize the expected benefits of the acquisition due to lower financial results pertaining to the acquired entity. For example, Fifth Third could experience higher charge-offs than originally anticipated related to the acquired loan portfolio.

Fifth Third may sell or consider selling one or more of its businesses. Should it determine to sell such a business, it may not be able to generate gains on sale or related increase in shareholders equity commensurate with desirable levels. Moreover, if Fifth Third sold such businesses, the loss of income could have an adverse effect on its earnings and future growth.

Fifth Third owns several non-strategic businesses that are not significantly synergistic with its core financial services businesses. Fifth Third has, from time to time, considered the sale of such businesses. If it were to determine to sell such businesses, Fifth Third would be subject to market forces that may make completion of a sale unsuccessful or may not be able to do so within a desirable

time frame. If Fifth Third were to complete the sale of non-core businesses, it would suffer the loss of income from the sold businesses, and such loss of income could have an adverse effect on its future earnings and growth.

Fifth Third relies on its systems and certain service providers, and certain failures could materially adversely affect operations.

Fifth Third collects, processes and stores sensitive consumer data by utilizing computer systems and telecommunications networks operated by both Fifth Third and third party service providers. Fifth Third has security, backup and recovery systems in place, as well as a business continuity plan to ensure the system will not be inoperable. Fifth Third also has security to prevent unauthorized access to the system. In addition, Fifth Third requires its third party service providers to maintain similar controls. However, Fifth Third cannot be certain that the measures will be successful. A security breach in the system and loss of confidential information such as credit card numbers and related information could result in losing the customers confidence and thus the loss of their business as well as additional significant costs for privacy monitoring activities.

Fifth Third s necessary dependence upon automated systems to record and process its transaction volume poses the risk that technical system flaws or employee errors, tampering or manipulation of those systems will result in losses and may be difficult to detect. Fifth Third may also be subject to disruptions of its operating systems arising from events that are beyond its control (for example, computer viruses or electrical or telecommunications outages). Fifth Third is further exposed to the risk that its third party service providers may be unable to fulfill their contractual obligations (or will be subject to the same risk of fraud or operational errors as Fifth Third). These disruptions may interfere with service to Fifth Third s customers and result in a financial loss or liability.

Fifth Third is exposed to cyber-security risks, including denial of service, hacking, and identity theft.

Recently, there has been a well-publicized series of apparently related distributed denial of service attacks on large financial services companies, including Fifth Third Bank. Distributed denial of service attacks are designed to saturate the targeted online network with excessive amounts of network traffic, resulting in slow response times, or in some cases, causing the site to be temporarily unavailable. To date these attacks have not been intended to steal financial data, but meant to interrupt or suspend a company s Internet service. These events did not result in a breach of Fifth Third s client data and account information remained secure; however, the attacks did adversely affect the performance of Fifth Third s website and in some instances prevented customers from accessing Fifth Third s website. While the event was resolved in a timely fashion and primarily resulted in inconvenience to our customers, future cyber-attacks could be more disruptive and damaging. Hacking and identity theft risks, in particular, could cause serious reputational harm. Cyber threats are rapidly evolving and Fifth Third may not be able to anticipate or prevent all such attacks. Fifth Third may incur increasing costs in an effort to minimize these risks and could be held liable for any security breach or loss.

Fifth Third is exposed to operational and reputational risk.

Fifth Third is exposed to many types of operational risk, including reputational risk, legal and compliance risk, environmental risks from its properties, the risk of fraud or theft by employees, customers or outsiders, unauthorized transactions by employees, operating system disruptions or operational errors.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Negative public opinion can result from Fifth Third s actual or alleged conduct in activities, such as lending practices, data security, corporate governance and acquisitions, and may damage Fifth Third s reputation. Additionally, actions taken by government regulators and community organizations may also damage Fifth Third s reputation. This negative public opinion can adversely affect Fifth Third s ability to attract and keep customers and can expose it to litigation and regulatory action.

The results of Vantiv, LLC could have a negative impact on Fifth Third s operating results and financial condition.

During the second quarter of 2009, Fifth Third sold an approximate 51% interest in its processing business, Vantiv, LLC (formerly Fifth Third Processing Solutions). As a result of the Vantiv, Inc. IPO, the Bancorp s ownership of Vantiv Holding, LLC was reduced to approximately 39% in the first quarter of 2012. In addition, Fifth Third sold an approximate 6% interest during the fourth quarter of 2012. Based on Fifth Third sold an approximately 33%, Vantiv Holding, LLC is accounted for under the equity method and is not consolidated. Poor operating results of Vantiv, LLC could negatively affect the operating results of Fifth Third. In addition, Fifth Third participates in a multi lender credit facility to Vantiv Holding, LLC and repayment of these loans is contingent on future cash flows from Vantiv Holding, LLC.

Weather related events or other natural disasters may have an effect on the performance of Fifth Third s loan portfolios, especially in its coastal markets, thereby adversely impacting its results of operations.

Fifth Third s footprint stretches from the upper Midwestern to lower Southeastern regions of the United States. This area has experienced weather events including hurricanes and other natural disasters. The nature and level of these events and the impact of global climate change upon their frequency and severity cannot be predicted. If large scale events occur, they may significantly impact its loan portfolios by damaging properties pledged as collateral as well as impairing its borrowers ability to repay their loans.

RISKS RELATED TO THE LEGAL AND REGULATORY ENVIRONMENT

As a regulated entity, the Bancorp is subject to certain capital requirements that may limit its operations and potential growth.

The Bancorp is a bank holding company and a financial holding company. As such, it is subject to the comprehensive, consolidated supervision and regulation of the FRB, including risk-based and leverage capital requirements. The Bancorp must maintain certain risk-based and leverage capital ratios as required by its banking regulators and which can change depending upon general economic conditions and the Bancorp s particular condition, risk profile and growth plans. Compliance with the capital requirements, including leverage ratios, may limit operations that require the intensive use of capital and could adversely affect the Bancorp s ability to expand or maintain present business levels.

Comprehensive revisions to the regulatory capital framework were proposed by the FRB, OCC, and FDIC in June 2012. Included within those revisions is the Basel III NPR, which incorporates changes made by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision to the Basel Capital framework in addition to implementing relevant provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act. The Basel III NPR specifically revises what qualifies as regulatory capital, raises minimum requirements and introduces the concept of additional capital buffers. The need to maintain more and higher quality capital as well

as greater liquidity going forward could limit our business activities, including lending, and our ability to expand, either organically or through acquisitions. In addition, the new liquidity standards could require us to increase our holdings of highly liquid short-term investments, thereby reducing our ability to invest in longer-term assets even if more desirable from a balance sheet management perspective. Moreover, although these new requirements are being phased in over time, U.S. Federal banking agencies have been taking into account expectations regarding the ability of banks to meet these new requirements, including under stressed conditions, in approving actions that represent uses of capital, such as dividend increases and share repurchases.

The Bancorp s banking subsidiary must remain well-capitalized, well-managed and maintain at least a Satisfactory CRA rating for the Bancorp to retain its status as a financial holding company. Failure to meet these requirements could result in the FRB placing limitations or conditions on the Bancorp s activities (and the commencement of new activities) and could ultimately result in the loss of financial holding company status. In addition, failure by the Bancorp s banking subsidiary to meet applicable capital guidelines could subject the bank to a variety of enforcement remedies available to the federal regulatory authorities. These include limitations on the ability to pay dividends, the issuance by the regulatory authority of a capital directive to increase capital, and the termination of deposit insurance by the FDIC.

Table of Contents

Fifth Third s business, financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected by new or changed regulations and by the manner in which such regulations are applied by regulatory authorities.

Current economic conditions, particularly in the financial markets, have resulted in government regulatory agencies placing increased focus on and scrutiny of the financial services industry. The U.S. government has intervened on an unprecedented scale, responding to what has been commonly referred to as the financial crisis, by introducing various actions and passing legislations such as the Dodd-Frank Act. Such programs and legislation subject Fifth Third and other financial institutions to restrictions, oversight and/or costs that may have an impact on Fifth Third s business, financial condition, results of operations or the price of its common stock.

New proposals for legislation and regulations continue to be introduced that could further substantially increase regulation of the financial services industry. Fifth Third cannot predict whether any pending or future legislation will be adopted or the substance and impact of any such new legislation on Fifth Third. Additional regulation could affect Fifth Third in a substantial way and could have an adverse effect on its business, financial condition and results of operations.

During the third quarter of 2012, the OCC, a national bank regulatory agency, issued interpretive guidance that requires Chapter 7 non-reaffirmed loans to be accounted for as nonperforming TDRs and collateral dependent loans regardless of their payment history and capacity to pay in the future. The Bancorp s banking subsidiary is a state chartered bank which therefore is not directly subject to the guidance of the OCC. At December 31, 2012, the Bancorp had loans with unpaid principal balances totaling approximately \$175 million that could potentially be impacted by this guidance, of which approximately 87% are current with their original contractual payments and approximately one third are already classified as TDRs.

Fifth Third is subject to various regulatory requirements that may limit its operations and potential growth.

Under federal and state laws and regulations pertaining to the safety and soundness of insured depository institutions and their holding

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

companies, the FRB, the CFPB, and the Ohio Division of Financial Institutions have the authority to compel or restrict certain actions by Fifth Third and its banking subsidiary. Fifth Third and its banking subsidiary are subject to such supervisory authority and, more generally, must, in certain instances, obtain prior regulatory approval before engaging in certain activities or corporate decisions. There can be no assurance that such approvals, if required, would be forthcoming or that such approvals would be granted in a timely manner. Failure to receive any such approval, if required, could limit or impair Fifth Third s operations, restrict its growth and/or affect its dividend policy. Such actions and activities subject to prior approval include, but are not limited to, increasing dividends paid by Fifth Third or its banking subsidiary, entering into a merger or acquisition transaction, acquiring or establishing new branches, and entering into certain new businesses.

In addition, Fifth Third, as well as other financial institutions more generally, have recently been subjected to increased scrutiny from regulatory authorities stemming from broader systemic regulatory concerns, including with respect to stress testing, capital levels, asset quality, provisioning and other prudential matters, arising as a result of the recent financial crisis and efforts to ensure that financial institutions take steps to improve their risk management and prevent future crises.

In some cases, regulatory agencies may take supervisory actions that may not be publicly disclosed, which restrict or limit a financial institution. Finally, as part of Fifth Third s regular examination process, Fifth Third s and its banking subsidiary s respective regulators may advise it and its banking subsidiary to operate under various restrictions as a prudential matter. Such supervisory actions or restrictions, if and in whatever manner imposed, could have a material adverse effect on Fifth Third s business and results of operations and may not be publicly disclosed.

Fifth Third and/or its affiliates are or may become involved from time to time in information-gathering requests, investigations and proceedings by government and self-regulatory agencies which may lead to adverse consequences.

Fifth Third and/or its affiliates are or may become involved from time to time in information-gathering requests, reviews, investigations and proceedings (both formal and informal) by government and self-regulatory agencies, including the SEC, regarding their respective businesses. Such matters may result in material adverse consequences, including without limitation, adverse judgments, settlements, fines, penalties, injunctions or other actions, amendments and/or restatements of Fifth Third s SEC filings and/or financial statements, as applicable, and/or determinations of material weaknesses in its disclosure controls and procedures. The SEC is investigating and has made several requests for information, including by subpoena, and interviews of certain of our current and former officers and employees and others, concerning issues which Fifth Third understands relate to accounting and reporting matters involving certain of its commercial loans. This could lead to an enforcement proceeding by the SEC which, in turn, may result in one or more such material adverse consequences.

Deposit insurance premiums levied against Fifth Third may increase if the number of bank failures increase or the cost of resolving failed banks increases.

The FDIC maintains a DIF to resolve the cost of bank failures. The DIF is funded by fees assessed on insured depository institutions including Fifth Third. The magnitude and cost of resolving an increased number of bank failures have reduced the DIF. Future deposit premiums paid by Fifth Third depend on the level of the DIF and the magnitude and cost of future bank failures. Fifth Third also may be required to pay significantly higher FDIC premiums because market developments have significantly depleted the DIF of the FDIC and reduced the ratio of reserves to insured deposits.

Legislative or regulatory compliance, changes or actions or significant litigation, could adversely impact Fifth Third or the businesses in which Fifth Third is engaged.

Fifth Third is subject to extensive state and federal regulation, supervision and legislation that govern almost all aspects of its operations and limit the businesses in which Fifth Third may engage. These laws and regulations may change from time to time and are primarily intended for the protection of consumers, depositors and the deposit insurance funds. The impact of any changes to laws and regulations or other actions by regulatory agencies may negatively impact Fifth Third or its ability to increase the value of its business. Additionally, actions by regulatory agencies or significant litigation against Fifth Third could cause it to devote significant time and resources to defending itself and may lead to penalties that materially affect Fifth Third and its shareholders. Future changes in the laws, including tax laws, or regulations or their interpretations or enforcement may also be materially adverse to Fifth Third and its shareholders or may require Fifth Third to expend significant time and resources to comply with such requirements.

On July 21, 2010 the President of the United States signed into law the Dodd-Frank Act. Many parts of the Dodd-Frank Act are now in effect, while others are in an implementation stage likely to continue for several years. A number of reform provisions are likely to significantly impact the ways in which banks and bank holding companies, including Fifth Third and its bank subsidiary, conduct their business:

The newly created regulatory bodies include the CFPB and the FSOC. The CFPB has been given authority to regulate consumer financial products and services sold by banks and non-bank companies and to supervise banks with assets of more than \$10 billion and their affiliates for compliance with Federal consumer protection laws. Any new regulatory requirements promulgated by the CFPB could require changes to our consumer businesses, result in increased compliance costs and affect the streams of revenue of such businesses. The FSOC has been charged with identifying systemic risks, promoting stronger financial regulation and identifying those non-bank companies that are systemically important and thus should be subject to regulation by the Federal Reserve. In addition, in extraordinary cases and together with the Federal Reserve, the FSOC could break up financial firms that are deemed to present a grave threat to the financial stability of the United States.

The Dodd-Frank Act Volcker Rule provisions prohibit banks and bank holding companies from engaging in certain types of proprietary trading. The scope of the proprietary trading prohibition, and its impact on Fifth Third, will depend on the definitions in the final rule, particularly those definitions related to statutory exemptions for risk-mitigating hedging activities; market-making; and customer-related activities.

The Volcker Rule and the rulemakings promulgated thereunder are also expected to restrict banks and their affiliated entities from investing in or

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

sponsoring certain private equity and hedge funds. Fifth Third does not sponsor any private equity or hedge funds that, under the proposed rule, it is prohibited from sponsoring. As of December 31, 2012, the Bancorp had approximately \$163 million in interests and approximately \$108 million binding commitments to invest in private equity funds likely to be affected by the Volcker rule. It is expected that over time the Bancorp may need to eliminate these investments although it is likely that these amounts will be reduced over time in the ordinary course before compliance is required. Under the proposed rulemaking announced on October 11, 2011, Fifth Third expects to be able to hold these investments until July 2014 with no restriction, and be eligible to obtain up to three one-year extension periods, subject to regulatory approvals. A forced sale of some of these investments could result in Fifth Third receiving less value than it would otherwise have received. Depending on the provisions of the final rule, it is possible that other structures through which Fifth Third conduct business but that are not typically referred to as private equity or hedge funds could be restricted, with an impact that cannot be evaluated.

The FDIC and the Federal Reserve have adopted a final rule that requires bank holding companies that have \$50 billion or more in assets, like Fifth Third, to periodically submit to the Federal Reserve, the FDIC and the FSOC a plan discussing how the company could be resolved in a rapid and orderly fashion if the company were to fail or experience material financial distress. In a related rulemaking, the FDIC adopted a final rule that requires insured depository institutions with \$50 billion or more in assets, like Fifth Third, to prepare and submit a resolution plan to the FDIC. The initial plans for Fifth Third and its bank subsidiary are due December 31, 2013. Fifth Third and its bank subsidiary will be required to submit updated plans annually thereafter. The Federal Reserve and the FDIC may jointly impose restrictions on Fifth Third or its bank subsidiary, including additional capital requirements or limitations on growth, if the agencies determine that the institution s plan is not credible or would not facilitate a rapid and orderly resolution of Fifth Third under the U.S. Bankruptcy Code, or Fifth Third Bank under the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, as amended (the FDIA), and additionally could require Fifth Third to divest assets or take other actions if it did not submit an acceptable resolution within two years after any such restrictions were imposed.

Dodd-Frank imposes a new regulatory regime on the U.S. derivatives markets. While some of the provisions related to derivatives markets went into effect on July 16, 2011, most of the new requirements await final regulations from the relevant regulatory agencies for derivatives, the Commodities Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) and the SEC. One aspect of this new regulatory regime for derivatives is that substantial oversight responsibility has been

provided to the CFTC, which, as a result, will for the first time have a meaningful supervisory role with respect to some of our businesses. Although the ultimate impact will depend on the final regulations, Fifth Third expects that its derivatives business will likely be subject to new substantive requirements, including registration with the CFTC, margin requirements in excess of current market practice, capital requirements specific to this business, real time trade reporting and robust record keeping requirements, business conduct requirements (including daily valuations, disclosure of material risks associated with swaps and disclosure of material incentives and conflicts of interest), and mandatory clearing and exchange trading of all standardized swaps designated by the relevant regulatory agencies as required to be cleared. These requirements will collectively impose implementation and ongoing compliance burdens on Fifth Third and will introduce additional legal risk (including as a result of newly applicable antifraud and anti-manipulation provisions and private rights of action). Depending on the final rules that relate to Fifth Third s swaps businesses, the nature and extent of those businesses may change.

Financial institutions may be required, regardless of risk, to pay taxes or other fees to the U.S. Treasury. Such taxes or other fees could be designed to reimburse the U.S. Treasury for the many government programs and initiatives it has taken or may undertake as part of its economic stimulus efforts.

It is clear that the reforms, both under the Dodd-Frank Act and otherwise, will have a significant effect on the entire financial industry. Although it is difficult to predict the magnitude and extent of these effects at this stage, Fifth Third believes compliance with the Dodd-Frank Act and its implementing regulations and other initiatives will likely negatively impact revenue and increase the cost of doing business, both in terms of transition expenses and on an ongoing basis, and may also limit Fifth Third s ability to pursue certain desirable business opportunities. Any new regulatory requirements or changes to existing requirements could require changes to Fifth Third s businesses, result in increased compliance costs and affect the profitability of such businesses. Additionally, reform could affect the behaviors of third parties that we deal with in the course of our business, such as rating agencies, insurance companies and investors. The extent to which Fifth Third can adjust its strategies to offset such adverse impacts also is not known at this time.

Fifth Third and other financial institutions have been the subject of litigation which could result in legal liability and damage to its reputation.

Fifth Third and certain of its directors and officers have been named from time to time as defendants in various class actions and other litigation relating to Fifth Third s business and activities. Past, present and future litigation have included or could include claims for substantial compensatory and/or punitive damages or claims for indeterminate amounts of damages. Fifth Third is also involved from time to time in other reviews, investigations and proceedings (both formal and informal) by governmental and self-regulatory agencies regarding its business. These matters also could result in

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

adverse judgments, settlements, fines, penalties, injunctions or other relief. Like other large financial institutions and companies, Fifth Third is also subject to risk from potential employee misconduct, including non-compliance with policies and improper use or disclosure of confidential information. Substantial legal liability or significant regulatory action against Fifth Third could materially adversely affect its business, financial condition or results of operations and/or cause significant reputational harm to its business.

Fifth Third s ability to pay or increase dividends on its common stock or to repurchase its capital stock is restricted.

Fifth Third s ability to pay dividends or repurchase stock is subject to regulatory requirements and the need to meet regulatory expectations. The FRB launched the 2013 stress testing program and CCAR on November 9, 2012. The CCAR requires bank holding companies to submit a capital plan in addition to their stress testing results. The mandatory elements of the capital plan are an assessment of the expected use and sources of capital over the planning horizon, a description of all planned capital actions over the planning horizon, a discussion of any expected changes to the Bancorp s business plan that are likely to have a material impact on its capital adequacy or liquidity, a detailed description of the Bancorp s process for assessing capital adequacy and the Bancorp s capital policy. The stress testing results and capital plan were submitted to the FRB on January 7, 2013.

The FRB s review of the capital plan will assess the comprehensiveness of the capital plan, the reasonableness of the assumptions and the analysis underlying the capital plan. Additionally, the FRB will review the robustness of the capital adequacy process, the capital policy and the Bancorp s ability to maintain capital above the minimum regulatory capital ratios and above a Tier 1 common ratio of 5 percent on a pro forma basis under expected and stressful conditions throughout the planning horizon. The FRB will also assess the Bancorp s strategies for addressing proposed revisions to the regulatory capital framework agreed upon by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision and requirements arising from the Dodd-Frank Act.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

STATEMENTS OF INCOME ANALYSIS

Net Interest Income

Net interest income is the interest earned on securities, loans and leases (including yield-related fees) and other interest-earning assets less the interest paid for core deposits (includes transaction deposits and other time deposits) and wholesale funding (includes certificates of deposit \$100,000 and over, other deposits, federal funds purchased, short-term borrowings and long-term debt). The net interest margin is calculated by dividing net interest income by average interest-earning assets. Net interest rate spread is the difference between the average yield earned on interest-earning assets and the average rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities. Net interest margin is typically greater than net interest rate spread due to the interest income earned on those assets that are funded by noninterest-bearing liabilities, or free funding, such as demand deposits or shareholders equity.

Table 4 presents the components of net interest income, net interest margin and net interest rate spread for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010. Nonaccrual loans and leases and loans held for sale have been included in the average loan and lease balances. Average outstanding securities balances are based on amortized cost with any unrealized gains or losses on available-for-sale securities included in other assets. Table 5 provides the relative impact of changes in the balance sheet and changes in interest rates on net interest income.

Net interest income was \$3.6 billion for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011. Included within net interest income are amounts related to the accretion of discounts on acquired loans and deposits, primarily as a result of acquisitions in previous years, which increased net interest income by \$31 million during 2012 and \$40 million during 2011. The original purchase accounting discounts reflected the high discount rates in the market at the time of the acquisitions; the total loan discounts are being accreted into net interest income over the remaining period to maturity of the loans acquired. Based upon the remaining period to maturity, and excluding the impact of prepayments, the Bancorp anticipates recognizing approximately \$9 million in additional net interest income during 2013 as a result of the amortization and accretion of premiums and discounts on acquired loans and deposits.

For the year ended December 31, 2012, net interest income was positively impacted by an increase in average loans and leases of \$4.6 billion as well as a decrease in interest expense compared to the year ended December 31, 2011. In addition, net interest income benefited from the free funding provided by a \$3.8 billion increase in average demand deposits in 2012 compared to 2011. Average interest-earning assets increased by \$4.0 billion in 2012 while average interest-bearing liabilities were flat compared to the prior year. These benefits were offset by lower yields on the Bancorp s interest-earning assets. The increase in average loans and leases for the year ended December 31, 2012 was driven primarily by an increase of 15% in average commercial and industrial loans and an increase of 18% in average residential mortgage loans. For more information on the Bancorp s loan and lease portfolio, see the Loans and Leases section of the Balance Sheet analysis of MD&A. The decrease in interest expense was primarily the result of decreases in the rates paid on average interest-bearing liabilities of 21 bps, primarily due to lower rates offered on savings account balances and other time deposits, compared to the year ended December 31, 2011, coupled with a continued mix shift to lower cost core deposits. For the year ended December 31, 2012, the net interest rate spread decreased to 3.35% from 3.42% in 2011 as the benefit from a decrease in rates on average interest-bearing liabilities was more than offset by a 28 bps decrease in yield on average interest-earnings assets.

Net interest margin was 3.55% for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to 3.66% for the year ended December 31, 2011. Net interest margin was impacted by the amortization and accretion of premiums and discounts on acquired loans and deposits that resulted in an increase in net interest margin of 3 bps during 2012 compared to 5 bps during 2011. Exclusive of these amounts, net interest margin decreased 9 bps for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the prior year driven primarily by the previously mentioned decline in the yield on average interest-earning assets and higher average balances on interest-earning assets, partially offset by a mix shift to lower cost core deposits, the decline in rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities and an increase in free funding balances.

Interest income from loans and leases decreased \$37 million, or one percent, compared to the year ended December 31, 2011 driven primarily by a 29 bps decrease in average loans and leases yields attributable to loan repricing, mainly in the commercial and industrial loan portfolio as well as in the automobile and residential mortgage portfolios, partially offset by a six percent increase in average loans and leases. Interest income

from investment securities and short-term investments decreased \$74 million, or 12%, from the prior year primarily as the result of a 44 bps decrease in the average yield of taxable securities due to paydowns and the sale of higher yielding agency mortgage-backed securities coupled with the reinvestment into lower yielding securities.

Average core deposits increased \$3.8 billion, or five percent, compared to the year ended December 31, 2011 primarily due to an increase in average interest checking deposits and average demand deposits partially offset by a decrease in average foreign office deposits and average other time deposits. The cost of average core deposits decreased to 21 bps for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to 36 bps from the prior year. This decrease was primarily the result of a mix shift to lower cost core deposits as a result of runoff of higher priced CDs combined with a 64 bps decrease in the rates paid on average other time deposits and a 14 bps decrease in the rate paid on average savings deposits compared to year ended December 31, 2011.

Interest expense on average wholesale funding for the year ended December 31, 2012 decreased \$38 million, or 10%, compared to the prior year, primarily as the result of a 49 bps decrease in the rate paid on average certificates \$100,000 and over and a \$554 million decrease in average certificates \$100,000 and over, coupled with a \$1.1 billion decrease in average long-term debt. These impacts were partially offset by a 16 bps increase in the rate paid on average long-term debt. Refer to the Borrowings section of MD&A for additional information on the Bancorp s changes in average borrowings. During the year ended December 31, 2012, wholesale funding represented 24% of interest-bearing liabilities compared to 23% during the prior year. For more information on the Bancorp s interest rate risk management, including estimated earnings sensitivity to changes in market interest rates, see the Market Risk Management section of MD&A.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

TABLE 4: CONSOLIDATED AVERAGE BALANCE SHEET AND ANALYSIS OF NET INTEREST INCOME

| For the years ended | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------|--------------|----------------|------------------|--------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|
| December 31 | | 2012 | | | 2011 | | | 2010 | |
| | | | | | | Average | | | |
| | Average | Revenue/ | Average | Average | Revenue/ | Yield/ | | Revenue/ | Average |
| (\$ in millions) | Balance | Cost | Yield/Rate | Balance | Cost | Rate | Volume | Cost | Yield/Rate |
| Assets | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest-earning assets: | | | | | | | | | |
| Loans and leases: ^(a) | | | | | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | ¢ 22.011 | ¢ 1.240 | 4.10.07 | ¢ 00.546 | ¢ 1.240 | 1210 | ¢ 26.224 | ¢ 1.000 | 1 70 % |
| loans | \$ 32,911 | \$ 1,349 | 4.10 % | . , | \$ 1,240 | 4.34 % | \$ 26,334 | \$ 1,238 | 4.70 % |
| Commercial mortgage | 9,686 | 369 | 3.81 | 10,447 | 417 | 3.99 | 11,585 | 476 | 4.11 |
| Commercial construction | 835 3,502 | 25 127 | 2.99 3.62 | 1,740 3,341 | 53 133 | 3.06 3.99 | 3,066 3,343 | 93 147 | 3.01 |
| Commercial leases Subtotal commercial | 5,502 46,934 | 1,870 | 3.98 | 5,541 44,074 | 1,843 | 3.99 4.18 | 5,545 44,328 | 147 1,954 | 4.40 |
| Residential mortgage loans | , | 543 | 5.98 4.06 | | 503 | | 44,528 9,868 | 478 | 4.41 4.84 |
| 22 | 13,370 | 545 393 | 4.00 3.79 | 11,318 | | 4.45 | | 478 | 4.84 |
| Home equity Automobile loans | 10,369 | 393 439 | 3.79 | 11,077 11,352 | 433 530 | 3.91 4.67 | 11,996 10,427 | 479 608 | 5.83 |
| Credit card | 11,849 1,960 | 439 | 9.79 | 1,864 | 184 | 4.07 9.86 | 10,427 | 201 | 10.73 |
| Other consumer loans/leases | 1,900 340 | 192 | 45.32 | 529 | 136 | 25.77 | 743 | 116 | 15.58 |
| Subtotal consumer | 37,888 | 1,722 | 45.52 | 36,140 | 1,786 | 4.94 | 34,904 | 1,882 | 5.39 |
| Total loans and leases | 84,822 | 3,592 | 4.34 | 80,214 | 3,629 | 4.94 | 79,232 | 3,836 | 4.84 |
| Securities: | 04,022 | 3,392 | 4.23 | 80,214 | 5,029 | 4.52 | 19,232 | 5,850 | 4.04 |
| Taxable | 15,262 | 527 | 3.45 | 15,334 | 596 | 3.89 | 16,054 | 650 | 4.05 |
| Exempt from income taxes ^{(a)} | 57 | 2 | 3.29 | 10,554 | 6 | 5.41 | 317 | 13 | 3.92 |
| Other short-term investments | 1,495 | 4 | 0.26 | 2,031 | 5 | 0.25 | 3,328 | 8 | 0.25 |
| Total interest-earning assets | 101,636 | 4,125 | 4.06 | 97,682 | 4,236 | 4.34 | 98,931 | 4,507 | 4.56 |
| Cash and due from banks | 2,355 | 1,120 | | 2,352 | 1,250 | 1.51 | 2,245 | 1,507 | 1.50 |
| Other assets | 15,695 | | | 15,335 | | | 14,841 | | |
| Allowance for loan and lease | , | | | , | | | , | | |
| losses | (2,072) | | | (2,703) | | | (3,583) | | |
| Total assets | \$ 117,614 | | | \$ 112,666 | | | \$ 112,434 | | |
| Liabilities and Equity | . , | | | | | | | | |
| Interest-bearing liabilities: | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest checking | \$ 23,096 | \$ 49 | 0.22 % | \$ 18,707 | \$ 49 | 0.26 % | \$ 18,218 | \$ 52 | 0.29 % |
| Savings | 21,393 | 37 | 0.17 | 21,652 | 67 | 0.31 | 19,612 | 107 | 0.55 |
| Money market | 4,903 | 11 | 0.22 | 5,154 | 14 | 0.27 | 4,808 | 19 | 0.40 |
| Foreign office deposits | 1,528 | 4 | 0.27 | 3,490 | 10 | 0.28 | 3,355 | 12 | 0.35 |
| Other time deposits | 4,306 | 68 | 1.59 | 6,260 | 140 | 2.23 | 10,526 | 276 | 2.62 |
| Certificates \$100,000 and over | | 46 | 1.48 | 3,656 | 72 | 1.97 | 6,083 | 125 | 2.06 |
| Other deposits | 27 | - | 0.13 | 7 | - | 0.03 | 6 | - | 0.13 |
| Federal funds purchased | 560 | 1 | 0.14 | 345 | - | 0.11 | 291 | 1 | 0.17 |
| Other short-term borrowings | 4,246 | 8 | 0.18 | 2,777 | 3 | 0.12 | 1,635 | 3 | 0.21 |
| Long-term debt | 9,043 | 288 | 3.17 | 10,154 | 306 | 3.01 | 10,902 | 290 | 2.65 |
| Total interest-bearing | | | | | | | | | |
| liabilities | 72,204 | 512 | 0.71 | 72,202 | 661 | 0.92 | 75,436 | 885 | 1.17 |
| Demand deposits | 27,196 | | | 23,389 | | | 19,669 | | |
| Other liabilities | 4,462 | | | 4,189 | | | 3,580 | | |
| Total liabilities | 103,862 | | | 99,780 | | | 98,685 | | |
| Total equity | 13,752 | | | 12,886 | | | 13,749 | | |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$ 117,614 | \$ 2612 | | \$ 112,666 | ¢ 2575 | | \$ 112,434 | ¢ 2600 | |
| Net interest income | | \$ 3,613 | 3 22 01 | | \$ 3,575 | 266 01 | | \$ 3,622 | 266 01 |
| Net interest margin | | | 3.55 % 3.35 | | | 3.66 % 3.42 | | | 3.66 % 3.39 |
| Net interest rate spread Interest-bearing liabilities to | | | 5.55 | | | 3.42 | | | 5.59 |
| interest-earning assets | | | 71.04 | | | 73.92 | | | 76.25 |
| (a) The FTF adjustments include | led in the abe | we table are | | nded Decemb | or 31 2012 2 | | The federal s | tatutory rate ut | |

(a) The FTE adjustments included in the above table are \$18 for the years ended **December 31, 2012**, 2011 and 2010. The federal statutory rate utilized was 35% for all periods presented.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

TABLE 5: CHANGES IN NET INTEREST INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO VOLUME AND YIELD/RATE^(a)

| For the years ended December 31 | | 2 | 2012 Compared | to 2011 | | | 2011 Compared | to 2010 |
|--|--------|-----------|-------------------|------------|------|------------|-------------------|---------|
| (\$ in millions) | V | olume | Yield/Rate | Total | V | Volume | Yield/Rate | Total |
| Assets | | | | | | | | |
| Interest-earning assets: | | | | | | | | |
| Loans and leases: | | | | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial loans | \$ | 180 | (71) | 109 | \$ | 100 | (98) | 2 |
| Commercial mortgage | | (30) | (18) | (48) | | (45) | (14) | (59) |
| Commercial construction | | (27) | (1) | (28) | | (42) | 2 | (40) |
| Commercial leases | | 7 | (13) | (6) | | - | (14) | (14) |
| Subtotal commercial | | 130 | (103) | 27 | | 13 | (124) | (111) |
| Residential mortgage loans | | 87 | (47) | 40 | | 67 | (42) | 25 |
| Home equity | | (27) | (13) | (40) | | (34) | (12) | (46) |
| Automobile loans | | 23 | (114) | (91) | | 51 | (129) | (78) |
| Credit card | | 9 | (1) | 8 | | (1) | (16) | (17) |
| Other consumer loans/leases | | (59) | 78 | 19 | | (41) | 61 | 20 |
| Subtotal consumer | | 33 | (97) | (64) | | 42 | (138) | (96) |
| Total loans and leases | | 163 | (200) | (37) | | 55 | (262) | (207) |
| Securities: | | | | | | | | |
| Taxable | | (2) | (67) | (69) | | (29) | (25) | (54) |
| Exempt from income taxes | | (2) | (2) | (4) | | (10) | 3 | (7) |
| Other short-term investments | | (1) | - | (1) | | (3) | - | (3) |
| Total interest-earning assets | | 158 | (269) | (111) | | 13 | (284) | (271) |
| Total change in interest income | \$ | 158 | (269) | (111) | \$ | 13 | (284) | (271) |
| Liabilities and Equity | | | | | | | | |
| Interest-bearing liabilities: | | | | | | | | |
| Interest checking | \$ | 9 | (9) | - | \$ | 2 | (5) | (3) |
| Savings | | - | (30) | (30) | | 11 | (51) | (40) |
| Money market | | (1) | (2) | (3) | | 1 | (6) | (5) |
| Foreign office deposits | | (6) | - | (6) | | - | (2) | (2) |
| Other time deposits | | (38) | (34) | (72) | | (99) | (37) | (136) |
| Certificates \$100,000 and over | | (10) | (16) | (26) | | (48) | (5) | (53) |
| Federal funds purchased | | 1 | - | 1 | | (1) | - | (1) |
| Other short-term borrowings | | 3 | 2 | 5 | | 2 | (2) | - |
| Long-term debt | | (34) | 16 | (18) | | (21) | 37 | 16 |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | | (76) | (73) | (149) | | (153) | (71) | (224) |
| Total change in interest expense | | (76) | (73) | (149) | | (153) | (71) | (224) |
| Total change in net interest income | \$ | 234 | (196) | 38 | \$ | 166 | (213) | (47) |
| (a) Changes in interest not solely due to volume or yield/rate are allocated in prop | portic | on to the | absolute dollar a | mount of c | chan | ge in volu | me and yield/rate | 2. |

Provision for Loan and Lease Losses

The Bancorp provides as an expense an amount for probable loan and lease losses within the loan and lease portfolio that is based on factors previously discussed in the Critical Accounting Policies section. The provision is recorded to bring the ALLL to a level deemed appropriate by the Bancorp to cover losses inherent in the portfolio. Actual credit losses on loans and leases are charged against the ALLL. The amount of loans actually removed from the Consolidated Balance Sheets is referred to as charge-offs. Net charge-offs include current period charge-offs less recoveries on previously charged-off loans and leases.

The provision for loan and lease losses decreased to \$303 million in 2012 compared to \$423 million in 2011. The decrease in provision expense for 2012 compared to the prior year was due to

decreases in nonperforming loans and leases, improved delinquency metrics in commercial and consumer loans and leases, and improvement in underlying loss trends. The ALLL declined \$401 million from \$2.3 billion at December 31, 2011 to \$1.9 billion at December 31, 2012. As of December 31, 2012, the ALLL as a percent of portfolio loans and leases decreased to 2.16%, compared to 2.78% at December 31, 2011.

Refer to the Credit Risk Management section of the MD&A as well as Note 6 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for more detailed information on the provision for loan and lease losses, including an analysis of loan portfolio composition, nonperforming assets, net charge-offs, and other factors considered by the Bancorp in assessing the credit quality of the loan and lease portfolio and the ALLL.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Noninterest Income

Noninterest income increased \$544 million, or 22%, for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the year ended December 31, 2011. The components of noninterest income are as follows:

TABLE 6: NONINTEREST INCOME

| For the years ended December 31 (\$ in millions) | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Mortgage banking net revenue | \$ 845 | 597 | 647 | 553 | 199 |
| Service charges on deposits | 522 | 520 | 574 | 632 | 641 |
| Corporate banking revenue | 413 | 350 | 364 | 372 | 431 |
| Investment advisory revenue | 374 | 375 | 361 | 326 | 366 |
| Card and processing revenue | 253 | 308 | 316 | 615 | 912 |
| Gain on sale of the processing business | - | - | - | 1,758 | - |
| Other noninterest income | 574 | 250 | 406 | 479 | 363 |
| Securities gains (losses), net | 15 | 46 | 47 | (10) | (86) |
| Securities gains, net, non-qualifying hedges on mortgage servicing rights | 3 | 9 | 14 | 57 | 120 |
| Total noninterest income | \$ 2,999 | 2,455 | 2,729 | 4,782 | 2,946 |
| Mortgage banking net revenue | | | | | |

Mortgage banking net revenue increased \$248 million, or 41%, in 2012 compared to 2011. The components of mortgage banking net revenue are as follows:

TABLE 7: COMPONENTS OF MORTGAGE BANKING NET REVENUE

| For the years ended December 31 (\$ in millions) | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Origination fees and gains on loan sales | \$ 821 | 396 | 490 |
| Net servicing revenue: Gross servicing fees Servicing rights amortization | 250 (186) | 234 (135) | 221 (137) |
| Net valuation adjustments on servicing rights and free-standing derivatives entered into to economically hedge MSR | (40) | 102 | 73 |
| Net servicing revenue | 24 | 201 | 157 |
| Mortgage banking net revenue | \$ 845 | 597 | 647 |

Origination fees and gains on loan sales increased \$425 million in 2012 compared to 2011 primarily as the result of a 36% increase in residential mortgage loan originations coupled with an increase in profit margins on sold residential mortgage loans. Residential mortgage loan originations increased to \$25.2 billion during 2012 compared to \$18.6 billion during 2011. The increase in originations is primarily due to strong refinancing activity as mortgage rates remain at historical lows coupled with an increase in refinancing activity under the HARP 2.0 program.

Net servicing revenue is comprised of gross servicing fees and related servicing rights amortization as well as valuation adjustments on MSRs and mark-to-market adjustments on both settled and outstanding free-standing derivative financial instruments used to economically hedge the MSR portfolio. Net servicing revenue decreased \$177 million in 2012 compared to 2011 driven primarily by decreases of \$142 million in net valuation adjustments. Additionally, servicing rights amortization increased by \$51 million in 2012 compared to 2011 driven by higher prepayments due to declining market interest rates and increased MSR volume.

The net valuation adjustment loss of \$40 million during 2012 included \$103 million of temporary impairment on the MSRs partially offset by \$63 million in gains from derivatives economically hedging the MSRs. Mortgage rates decreased during 2012 compared to 2011 causing modeled prepayments speeds to increase, which led to the temporary impairment on the servicing rights for the year ended 2012. In the second half of 2011 and continuing throughout 2012, the Bancorp utilized a macro hedging strategy for the MSR portfolio whereby it reduced the amount of hedges and relied on income from new production to offset declines in the net valuation of MSRs and the related hedges of the MSR portfolio in the down rate environment. The net valuation adjustment gain of \$102 million

during 2011 included \$344 million in gains from derivatives economically hedging the MSRs partially offset by \$242 million in temporary impairment on the MSR portfolio. The gain in the net valuation adjustment in 2011 was reflective of refinancing activity in recent years that contributed to prepayments being less sensitive to lower mortgage rates due to customers taking advantage of lower rates in earlier periods as well as the impact of tighter underwriting standards. Additionally, the net MSR/hedge position benefited from the positive carry of the hedge and the widening spread between mortgage and swap rates. Gross servicing fees increased \$16 million in 2012 compared to 2011 as a result of an increase in the size of the Bancorp s servicing portfolio. The Bancorp s total residential loans serviced as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 was \$77.3 billion and \$70.6 billion, respectively, with \$62.5 billion and \$57.1 billion, respectively, of residential mortgage loans serviced for others.

Servicing rights are deemed impaired when a borrower s loan rate is distinctly higher than prevailing rates. Impairment on servicing rights is reversed when the prevailing rates return to a level commensurate with the borrower s loan rate. Further detail on the valuation of MSRs can be found in Note 11 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. The Bancorp maintains a non-qualifying hedging strategy to manage a portion of the risk associated with changes in the valuation on the MSR portfolio. See Note 12 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for more information on the free-standing derivatives used to economically hedge the MSR portfolio.

In addition to the derivative positions used to economically hedge the MSR portfolio, the Bancorp acquires various securities as a component of its non-qualifying hedging strategy. Net gains on sales of these securities were \$3 million and \$9 million in 2012 and 2011, respectively, and were recorded in securities gains, net, non-qualifying hedges on mortgage servicing rights in the Bancorp s Consolidated Statements of Income.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Service charges on deposits

Service charges on deposits increased \$2 million in 2012 compared to 2011. Commercial deposit revenue increased by \$20 million in 2012 compared to 2011 due to new customer relationships offset by an \$18 million decrease in consumer deposit revenue primarily due to the elimination of daily overdraft fees on continuing consumer overdraft positions which took effect in the second quarter of 2012.

Corporate banking revenue

Corporate banking revenue increased \$63 million in 2012 compared to 2011. The increase from the prior year was primarily the result of increases in syndication fees, business lending fees, lease remarketing fees and institutional sales.

Investment advisory revenue

Investment advisory revenue decreased \$1 million in 2012 compared to 2011. The decrease was primarily driven by a

decline in mutual fund fees due to the sale of certain FTAM funds during the third quarter of 2012 which was partially offset by the positive impact of an overall increase in equity and bond market values. As of December 31, 2012, the Bancorp had approximately \$308 billion in total assets under care and managed \$27 billion in assets for individuals, corporations and not-for-profit organizations.

Card and processing revenue

Card and processing revenue decreased \$55 million in 2012 compared to 2011. The decrease was primarily the result of the impact of the implementation of the Dodd-Frank Act s debit card interchange fee cap in the fourth quarter of 2011 partially offset by increased debit and credit card transaction volumes, higher levels of consumer spending, and new products.

Other noninterest income

The major components of other noninterest income are as follows:

TABLE 8: COMPONENTS OF OTHER NONINTEREST INCOME

| For the years ended December 31 (\$ in millions) | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|---|--------|------|------|
| Gain on Vantiv, Inc. IPO and sale of Vantiv, Inc. shares | \$ 272 | - | - |
| Net gain from warrant and put options associated with sale of the processing business | 67 | 39 | 5 |
| Equity method income from interest in Vantiv Holding, LLC | 61 | 57 | 26 |
| Operating lease income | 60 | 58 | 62 |
| Cardholder fees | 46 | 41 | 36 |
| BOLI income | 35 | 41 | 194 |
| Banking center income | 32 | 27 | 22 |
| Insurance income | 28 | 28 | 38 |
| Consumer loan and lease fees | 27 | 31 | 32 |
| Gain on loan sales | 20 | 37 | 51 |
| TSA revenue | 1 | 21 | 49 |
| Loss on swap associated with the sale of Visa, Inc. class B shares | (45) | (83) | (19) |
| Loss on sale of OREO | (57) | (71) | (78) |
| Other, net | 27 | 24 | (12) |
| Total other noninterest income | \$ 574 | 250 | 406 |

Other noninterest income increased \$324 million in 2012 compared to 2011 primarily due to an \$115 million gain from the Vantiv, Inc. IPO recognized in the first quarter of 2012 and a \$157 million gain from the sale of Vantiv, Inc. shares in the fourth quarter of 2012. Compared to 2011, losses from fair value adjustments on commercial loans designated as held for sale, recorded in the other caption above, were reduced by \$38 million. Additionally, other noninterest income included a \$38 million increase in income related to the Visa total return swap which had a negative valuation adjustment of \$45 million in 2012 compared with a negative valuation adjustment of \$83 million in 2011. The \$61 million in equity method income from the Bancorp s interest in Vantiv Holding, LLC recorded in 2012 was reduced by \$34 million in debt termination charges incurred in connection with the refinancing of Vantiv Holding,

LLC debt which occurred in the first quarter of 2012. The net gain from warrant and put options associated with the sale of the processing business increased by \$28 million and the loss on the sale of OREO decreased by \$14 million in 2012 compared to 2011. These impacts were partially offset by \$21 million in lower of cost or market adjustments associated with bank premises incurred during 2012, recorded in the other caption, along with a \$20 million decrease in TSA revenue. As part of the sale of the processing business, in 2009, the Bancorp entered into a TSA with the processing business. For additional information on the valuation of the swap associated with the sale of Visa, Inc. Class B shares and the valuation of warrants and put options associated with the sale of the processing business, see Note 26 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

TABLE 9: NONINTEREST EXPENSE

| For the years ended December 31 (\$ in millions) | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|--|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Salaries, wages and incentives | \$ 1,607 | 1,478 | 1,430 | 1,339 | 1,337 |
| Employee benefits | 371 | 330 | 314 | 311 | 278 |
| Net occupancy expense | 302 | 305 | 298 | 308 | 300 |
| Technology and communications | 196 | 188 | 189 | 181 | 191 |
| Card and processing expense | 121 | 120 | 108 | 193 | 274 |
| Equipment expense | 110 | 113 | 122 | 123 | 130 |
| Goodwill impairment | - | - | - | - | 965 |
| Other noninterest expense | 1,374 | 1,224 | 1,394 | 1,371 | 1,089 |
| Total noninterest expense | \$ 4,081 | 3,758 | 3,855 | 3,826 | 4,564 |
| Efficiency ratio | 61.7 % | 62.3 | 60.7 | 46.9 | 70.4 |

Noninterest Expense

Total noninterest expense increased \$323 million, or nine percent, in 2012 compared to 2011 primarily due to an increase in total personnel costs (salaries, wages and incentives plus employee benefits) and other noninterest expense. Total personnel costs increased \$170 million, or nine percent, in 2012 compared to 2011 due to an increase in base and incentive

compensation primarily driven by higher compensation costs as a result of improved financial performance and production levels, as well as higher employee benefits expense due to increases in medical costs under the Bancorp s self-insured medical plan and an increase in other employee benefits. Full time equivalent employees totalled 20,798 at December 31, 2012 compared to 21,334 at December 31, 2011.

The major components of other noninterest expense are as follows:

TABLE 10: COMPONENTS OF OTHER NONINTEREST EXPENSE

| | | 011 2010 |
|--|---------------|-----------|
| Losses and adjustments \$ 1 | 187 | 129 187 |
| Loan and lease | 183 | 195 211 |
| Loss (gain) on debt extinguishment | 169 | (8) 17 |
| Marketing | 128 | 115 98 |
| FDIC insurance and other taxes | 114 | 201 242 |
| Impairment of affordable housing investments | 90 | 85 100 |
| Professional service fees | 56 | 58 77 |
| Travel | 52 | 52 51 |
| Postal and courier | 48 | 49 48 |
| Operating lease | 43 | 41 41 |
| Data processing | 40 | 29 24 |
| Recruitment and education | 28 | 31 31 |
| OREO expense | 21 | 34 33 |
| Insurance | 18 | 25 42 |
| Supplies | 17 | 18 24 |
| Intangible asset amortization | 13 | 22 43 |
| Provision (benefit) for unfunded commitments and letters of credit | (2) | (46) (24) |
| Other, net | 169 | 194 149 |
| Total other noninterest expense\$ 1,3 | 374 1, | 224 1,394 |

Total other noninterest expense increased \$150 million, or 12%, in 2012 compared to 2011 primarily due to increases in the provision for representation and warranty claims, recorded in losses and adjustments, a decrease in the benefit from the reserve for unfunded commitments and letters of credit and an increase in debt extinguishment losses, partially offset by a decrease in FDIC insurance and other taxes.

The provision for representation and warranty claims increased \$53 million in 2012 compared to 2011 primarily due to an increase in the reserve as a result of additional information obtained from FHLMC regarding future mortgage repurchase and file requests. As such, the Bancorp was able to better estimate the losses that are probable on loans sold to FHLMC with representation and warranty provisions. Debt extinguishment costs increased by \$177 million in 2012 compared to 2011. During the third quarter of 2012, the Bancorp incurred \$26 million of debt extinguishment costs associated with the redemption of the outstanding TruPS issued by Fifth Third Capital Trust V and Fifth Third Capital Trust VI. In addition, during the fourth quarter of 2012 the Bancorp incurred

\$134 million of debt extinguishment costs associated with the termination of \$1 billion of FHLB debt. FDIC insurance and other taxes decreased \$87 million in 2012 compared to 2011. The decrease in FDIC insurance and other taxes is primarily attributable to a decrease in the assessment rate due to changes in the level and measurement of higher risk assets and improved credit quality metrics. In addition, the provision for unfunded commitments and letters of credit was a benefit of \$2 million in 2012 compared to a benefit of \$46 million in 2011. The decrease in the benefit recorded in each period reflects an increase in unfunded commitments for which the Bancorp holds a reserve partially offset by a decline in estimated loss rates due to improved credit trends. For additional information on the TruPS redemptions and FHLB debt termination, see Note 15 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Bancorp continues to focus on efficiency initiatives as part of its core emphasis on operating leverage and expense control. The efficiency ratio (noninterest expense divided by the sum of net interest income (FTE) and noninterest income) was 61.7% for 2012 compared to 62.3% in 2011.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Applicable Income Taxes

Applicable income tax expense for all periods includes the benefit from tax-exempt income, tax-advantaged investments, certain gains on sales of leveraged leases that are exempt from federal taxation and tax credits, partially offset by the effect of certain nondeductible expenses. The tax credits are associated with the Low-Income Housing Tax Credit program established under Section 42 of the IRC, the New Markets Tax Credit program established under Section 45D of the IRC, the Rehabilitation Investment Tax Credit program established under Section 47 of the IRC, and the Qualified Zone Academy Bond program established under Section 1397E of the IRC.

The effective tax rates for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 were primarily impacted by \$149 million and \$135 million, respectively, in tax credits and \$19 million and \$26 million, respectively, of non-cash charges relating to previously recognized tax benefits associated with stock-based compensation that will not be realized.

As required under U.S. GAAP, the Bancorp established a deferred tax asset for stock-based awards granted to its employees. When the actual tax deduction for these stock-based awards is less than the expense previously recognized for financial reporting or when the awards expire unexercised, the Bancorp is required to write-off the deferred tax asset previously established for these stock-based awards. As a result of the expiration of certain stock options and SARs and the lapse of restrictions on certain shares of restricted stock during the year ended December 31, 2012, the Bancorp recorded additional income tax expense of approximately \$19 million related to the write-off of a portion of the deferred tax asset previously established. As a result of the Bancorp stock price as of December 31, 2012, it is probable that the Bancorp will be required to record an additional \$13 million of income tax expense

during the next twelve months, primarily in the first quarter of 2013. However, the Bancorp cannot predict its stock price or whether its employees will exercise other stock-based awards with lower exercise prices in the future; therefore, it is possible that the total impact to income tax expense will be greater than or less than this amount.

Deductibility of Executive Compensation

Certain sections of the IRC limit the deductibility of compensation paid to or earned by certain executive officers of a public company. This has historically limited the deductibility of certain executive compensation to \$1 million per executive officer, and the Bancorp s compensation philosophy has been to position pay to ensure deductibility. However, both the amount of the executive compensation that is deductible for certain executive officers and the allowable compensation vehicles changed as a result of the Bancorp s participation in TARP. In particular, the Bancorp was not permitted to deduct compensation earned by certain executive officers in executive officers was not deductible by the Bancorp s participation in TARP. Therefore, a portion of the compensation earned by certain executive officers was not deductible by the Bancorp for the period in which the Bancorp participated in TARP. Subsequent to ending its participation in TARP, certain limitations on the deductibility of executive compensation will continue to apply to some forms of compensation earned while under TARP. The Bancorp s Compensation Committee determined that the underlying executive compensation programs are appropriate and necessary to attract, retain and motivate senior executives, and that failing to meet these objectives creates more risk for the Bancorp and its value than the financial impact of losing the tax deduction. For the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, the tax impact related to non-deductible compensation expense, which is based on the grant date fair values of the respective awards, was \$1 million and \$2 million, respectively. In addition, the IRS limitation prevented the Bancorp from recognizing a tax benefit of \$3 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 that otherwise would have resulted from the vesting and/or exercise of certain stock based compensation awards at fair values in excess of their respective grant date fair values.

The Bancorp s income before income taxes, applicable income tax expense and effective tax rate are as follows:

TABLE 11: APPLICABLE INCOME TAXES

| For the years ended December 31 (\$ in millions) | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|--|----------|-------|------|------|---------|
| Income (loss) before income taxes | \$ 2,210 | 1,831 | 940 | 767 | (2,664) |
| Applicable income tax expense (benefit) | 636 | 533 | 187 | 30 | (551) |
| Effective tax rate | 28.8 % | 29.1 | 19.8 | 3.9 | 20.7 |

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

BUSINESS SEGMENT REVIEW

The Bancorp reports on four business segments: Commercial Banking, Branch Banking, Consumer Lending and Investment Advisors. Additional detailed financial information on each business segment is included in Note 29 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. Results of the Bancorp s business segments are presented based on its management structure and management accounting practices. The structure and accounting practices are specific to the Bancorp; therefore, the financial results of the Bancorp s business segments are not necessarily comparable with similar information for other financial institutions. The Bancorp refines its methodologies from time to time as management s accounting practices are improved or businesses change.

The Bancorp manages interest rate risk centrally at the corporate level and employs a FTP methodology at the business segment level. This methodology insulates the business segments from interest rate volatility, enabling them to focus on serving customers through loan originations and deposit taking. The FTP system assigns charge rates and credit rates to classes of assets and liabilities, respectively, based on expected duration and the U.S. swap curve. Matching duration allocates interest income and interest expense to each segment so its resulting net interest income is insulated from interest rate risk. In a rising rate environment, the Bancorp benefits from the widening spread between deposit costs and wholesale funding costs. However, the Bancorp s FTP system credits this benefit to deposit-providing businesses, such as Branch

Banking and Investment Advisors, on a duration-adjusted basis. The net impact of the FTP methodology is captured in General Corporate and Other.

The Bancorp adjusts the FTP charge and credit rates as dictated by changes in interest rates for various interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. The credit rate provided for demand deposit accounts is reviewed annually based upon the account type, its estimated duration and the corresponding fed funds, U.S. swap curve or swap rate. The credit rates for several deposit products were reset January 1, 2012 to reflect the current market rates and updated duration assumptions. These rates were lower than those in place during 2011, thus net interest income for deposit providing businesses was negatively impacted during 2012.

The business segments are charged provision expense based on the actual net charge-offs experienced on the loans and leases owned by each segment. Provision expense attributable to loan and lease growth and changes in ALLL factors are captured in General Corporate and Other. The financial results of the business segments include allocations for shared services and headquarters expenses. Even with these allocations, the financial results are not necessarily indicative of the business segments financial condition and results of operations as if they existed as independent entities. Additionally, the business segments form synergies by taking advantage of cross-sell opportunities and when funding operations, by accessing the capital markets as a collective unit.

Net income by business segment is summarized in the following table:

TABLE 12: BUSINESS SEGMENT NET INCOME AVAILABLE TO COMMON SHAREHOLDERS

| For the years ended December 31 (\$ in millions) | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|---|--------|-------|------|
| Income Statement Data | | | |
| Commercial Banking | \$ 694 | 441 | 178 |
| Branch Banking | 186 | 190 | 185 |
| Consumer Lending | 223 | 56 | (26) |
| Investment Advisors | 43 | 24 | 29 |
| General Corporate & Other | 428 | 587 | 387 |
| Net income | 1,574 | 1,298 | 753 |
| Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | (2) | 1 | - |
| Net income attributable to Bancorp | 1,576 | 1,297 | 753 |
| Dividends on preferred stock | 35 | 203 | 250 |

Net income available to common shareholders

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Commercial Banking

Commercial Banking offers credit intermediation, cash management and financial services to large and middle-market businesses and government and professional customers. In addition to the traditional lending and depository offerings,

Commercial Banking products and services include global cash management, foreign exchange and international trade finance, derivatives and capital markets services, asset-based lending, real estate finance, public finance, commercial leasing and syndicated finance.

The following table contains selected financial data for the Commercial Banking segment:

TABLE 13: COMMERCIAL BANKING

| TABLE 15. COMMERCIAL DAMAINO | | | | | |
|--|------|--------|--------|--------|--|
| For the years ended December 31 (\$ in millions) | | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | |
| Income Statement Data | | | | | |
| Net interest income $(FTE)^{(a)}$ | \$ | 1,449 | 1,374 | 1,545 | |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | | 223 | 490 | 1,159 | |
| Noninterest income: | | | | | |
| Corporate banking revenue | | 395 | 332 | 346 | |
| Service charges on deposits | | 225 | 207 | 199 | |
| Other noninterest income | | 117 | 102 | 90 | |
| Noninterest expense: | | | | | |
| Salaries, incentives and benefits | | 268 | 240 | 214 | |
| Other noninterest expense | | 838 | 833 | 757 | |
| Income before taxes | | 857 | 452 | 50 | |
| Applicable income tax expense (benefit) ^{(a)(b)} | | 163 | 11 | (128) | |
| Net income | \$ | 694 | 441 | 178 | |
| Average Balance Sheet Data | | | | | |
| Commercial loans, including held for sale | \$ 4 | 41,364 | 38,384 | 38,304 | |
| Demand deposits | | 15,046 | 13,130 | 10,872 | |
| Interest checking | | 7,613 | 7,901 | 8,432 | |
| Savings and money market | | 2,669 | 2,776 | 2,823 | |
| Other time and certificates \$100,000 and over | | 1,793 | 1,778 | 3,014 | |
| Foreign office deposits and other deposits | | 1,282 | 1,581 | 2,017 | |
| (a) Includes FTF adjustments of \$17 for the years ended December 31 2012 and 2011 and \$14 for the year ended December 31 | 201 | 10 | | | |

(a) Includes FTE adjustments of \$17 for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, and, \$14 for the year ended December 31, 2010.

(b) Applicable income tax expense for all periods includes the tax benefit from tax-exempt income and business tax credits, partially offset by the effect of certain nondeductible expenses. Refer to the Applicable Income Taxes section of the MD&A for additional information.

Comparison of 2012 with 2011

Net income was \$694 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to net income of \$441 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The increase in net income was primarily driven by a decrease in the provision for loan and lease losses and increases in noninterest income and net interest income, partially offset by higher noninterest expense.

Net interest income increased \$75 million primarily due to an increase in interest income related to an increase in average commercial and industrial portfolio loans and a decrease in the FTP charges on loans, partially offset by a decrease in yields of 12 bps on average commercial loans. Provision for loan and lease losses decreased \$267 million from 2011 as a result of improved credit trends. Net charge-offs as a percent of

average portfolio loans and leases decreased to 54 bps for 2012 compared to 128 bps for 2011.

Noninterest income increased \$96 million from 2011 to 2012, due to increases in corporate banking revenue, service charges on deposits and other noninterest income. The increase in corporate banking revenue was primarily driven by increases in syndication fees, business lending fees, lease remarketing fees and institutional sales. Service charges on deposits increased from 2011 primarily due to new customer relationships. The increase in other noninterest income was primarily due to a decrease in net losses and valuation adjustments recognized on the sale of loans and OREO.

Noninterest expense increased \$33 million from the prior year as a result of increases in salaries, incentives and benefits and other noninterest expense. The increase in salaries, incentives and benefits of \$28 million was primarily the result of increased base and incentive compensation due to improved production levels. The increase from 2011 to 2012 in other noninterest expense was due to higher corporate overhead allocations as a result of strategic growth

initiatives, partially offset by a decrease in loan and lease expenses and recognized derivative credit losses.

Average commercial loans increased \$3.0 billion compared to the prior year. Average commercial and industrial loans increased \$4.5 billion from 2011 as a result of an increase in new loan origination activity, partially offset by decreases in average commercial mortgage and construction loans. Average commercial mortgage loans decreased \$827 million and average commercial construction loans decreased \$836 million due to continued run-off as the level of new originations was below the level of repayments on the current portfolio.

Average core deposits increased \$1.2 billion compared to 2011. The increase was primarily driven by strong growth in demand deposit accounts, which increased \$1.9 billion compared to the prior year. The increase in demand deposit accounts was partially offset by decreases in interest-bearing deposits of \$698 million as customers opted to maintain their balances in more liquid accounts due to interest rates remaining near historical lows.

Comparison of 2011 with 2010

Net income was \$441 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, compared to net income of \$178 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. The increase in net income was primarily driven by a decrease in the provision for loan and lease losses partially offset by lower net interest income and higher noninterest expense.

Net interest income decreased \$171 million primarily due to declines in the FTP credits for demand deposit accounts and decreases in interest income driven primarily by a decline in yields of 17 bps on average loans. Provision for loan and lease losses decreased \$669 million. Net charge-offs as a percent of average

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

loans and leases decreased to 128 bps for 2011 compared to 302 bps for 2010 largely due net charge-offs on commercial loans moved to held for sale during the third quarter of 2010 and the improvement in credit trends across all commercial loan types.

Noninterest income was relatively flat from 2010 to 2011, as increases in other noninterest income and service charges on deposits were offset by a decrease in corporate banking revenue.

Noninterest expense increased \$102 million from the prior year as a result of increases in salaries, incentives and benefits and other noninterest expense. The increase in salaries, incentives and benefits of \$26 million was primarily the result of increased incentive compensation due to improved production levels. FDIC insurance expense, which is recorded in other noninterest expense, increased \$14 million due to a change in the methodology in determining FDIC insurance premiums. The remaining increase in other noninterest expense was the result of higher corporate overhead allocations in 2011 compared to 2010.

Average commercial loans were flat compared to the prior year. Average commercial mortgage loans decreased \$1.0 billion and average commercial construction loans decreased \$1.2 billion. The decreases in average commercial mortgage and construction loans were offset by growth in average commercial and industrial loans due to new loan origination activity. Average core deposits increased \$1.2 billion compared to 2010. The increase was primarily driven by strong growth in demand deposit accounts, partially offset by decreases in interest-bearing deposits of \$1.0 billion.

Branch Banking

Branch Banking provides a full range of deposit and loan and lease products to individuals and small businesses through 1,325 full-service Banking Centers. Branch Banking offers depository and loan products, such as checking and savings accounts, home equity loans and lines of credit, credit cards and loans for automobiles and other personal financing needs, as well as products designed to meet the specific needs of small businesses, including cash management services.

The following table contains selected financial data for the Branch Banking segment:

TABLE 14: BRANCH BANKING

| TADLE 14. DRAIGHI DAIGRIGO | | | |
|--|-----------|--------|--------|
| For the years ended December 31 (\$ in millions) | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Income Statement Data | | | |
| Net interest income | \$ 1,362 | 1,423 | 1,514 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | 294 | 393 | 555 |
| Noninterest income: | | | |
| Service charges on deposits | 294 | 309 | 369 |
| Card and processing revenue | 279 | 305 | 298 |
| Investment advisory revenue | 129 | 117 | 106 |
| Other noninterest income | 110 | 106 | 112 |
| Noninterest expense: | | | |
| Salaries, incentives and benefits | 573 | 581 | 560 |
| Net occupancy and equipment expense | 241 | 235 | 223 |
| Card and processing expense | 115 | 114 | 105 |
| Other noninterest expense | 663 | 645 | 668 |
| Income before taxes | 288 | 292 | 288 |
| Applicable income tax expense | 102 | 102 | 103 |
| Net income | \$ 186 | 190 | 185 |
| Average Balance Sheet Data | | | |
| Consumer loans, including held for sale | \$ 14,926 | 14,151 | 13,125 |
| Commercial loans, including held for sale | 4,569 | 4,621 | 4,815 |
| | | | |

Demand deposits Interest checking Savings and money market Other time and certificates \$100,000 and over

| 10,087 | 8,408 | 7,006 |
|--------|--------|--------|
| 9,262 | 8,086 | 7,462 |
| 22,729 | 22,241 | 19,963 |
| 5,389 | 7,778 | 12,712 |

Comparison of 2012 with 2011

Net income decreased \$4 million compared to 2011, driven by a decrease in net interest income and noninterest income and an increase in noninterest expense, partially offset by a decline in the provision for loan and lease losses. Net interest income decreased \$61 million compared to the prior year primarily driven by decreases in the FTP credits for checking and savings products and lower yields on average commercial and consumer loans. These decreases were partially offset by higher consumer loan balances and a decline in interest expense on core deposits due to favorable shifts from certificates of deposit to lower cost transaction and savings products.

Provision for loan and lease losses for 2012 decreased \$99 million compared to the prior year as a result of improved credit trends. Net charge-offs as a percent of average portfolio loans and leases decreased to 151 bps for 2012 compared to 210 bps for 2011. The decrease is primarily due to decreases in home

equity net charge-offs as a result of improvements in several key markets. In addition, net charge-offs were positively impacted by lower commercial net charge-offs due to improved delinquency trends, aggressive line management, and stabilization in unemployment levels.

Noninterest income decreased \$25 million compared to the prior year. The decrease was primarily driven by lower card and processing revenue, which declined \$26 million from 2011 due to the implementation of the Dodd-Frank Act s debit card interchange fee cap in the fourth quarter of 2011, partially offset by higher debit and credit card transaction volumes and the impact of the Bancorp s initial mitigation activity, and allocated commission revenue associated with merchant sales. Service charges on deposits declined \$15 million primarily due to the elimination of daily overdraft fees on continuing customer overdraft positions in the second quarter of

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

2012. These decreases were partially offset by a \$12 million increase in investment advisory revenue due to increased amounts from revenue sharing agreements between investment advisors and branch banking.

Noninterest expense increased \$17 million, primarily driven by increases in other noninterest expense due to an increase in allocated costs related to higher merchant sales and corporate overhead allocations as a result of strategic growth initiatives, partially offset by a decrease in FDIC insurance expense.

Average consumer loans increased \$775 million in 2012 primarily due to increases in average residential mortgage portfolio loans of \$1.3 billion due to the retention of certain shorter-term originated mortgage loans. The increases in average residential mortgage portfolio loans was partially offset by decreases in average home equity portfolio loans of \$560 million as payoffs exceeded new loan production. Average core deposits increased \$1.4 billion compared to the prior year as the growth in transaction accounts due to excess customer liquidity and historically low interest rates outpaced the runoff of higher priced other time deposits.

Comparison of 2011 with 2010

Net income increased \$5 million compared to 2010, driven by a decline in the provision for loan and lease losses partially offset by a decrease in net interest income and noninterest income and an increase in noninterest expense. Net interest income decreased \$91 million compared to the prior year. The primary drivers of the decline include decreases in the FTP credits for demand deposit accounts, lower yields on average commercial and consumer loans, and a decline in average commercial loans. These decreases were partially offset by a favorable shift in the segment s deposit mix towards lower cost transaction deposits resulting in declines in interest expense of \$193 million compared to 2010, and an increase in average consumer loans.

Provision for loan and lease losses for 2011 decreased \$162 million compared to the prior year. Net charge-offs as a percent of average loans and leases decreased to 210 bps for 2011 compared to 313 bps for 2010. In addition, the decrease is due to \$24 million in charge-offs taken on \$60 million of commercial loans which were sold or moved to held for sale during the third quarter of 2010.

Noninterest income decreased \$48 million compared to the prior year. The decrease was driven by lower service charges on deposits primarily due to the implementation of Regulation E in the third quarter of 2010. The decrease was partially offset by increased card and processing revenue due to higher debit and credit card transaction volumes, which was partially offset by the impact of the implementation of the Dodd-Frank Act s debit card interchange fee cap in the fourth quarter of 2011. Investment advisory revenue also increased due to improved market performance and sales force expansion.

Noninterest expense increased \$19 million, primarily driven by increases in salaries, incentives and benefits expense and card and processing expense partially offset by a decline in other noninterest expense.

Average consumer loans increased \$1.0 billion in 2011 primarily due to increases in average residential mortgage portfolio loans of \$1.5 billion due to management s decision in the third quarter of 2010 to retain certain mortgage loans. The increases in average residential mortgage portfolio loans was partially offset by decreases in average home equity loans of \$421 million due to decreased customer demand and continued tighter underwriting standards. Average commercial loans decreased \$194 million due to declines in commercial and industrial loans resulting from lower customer demand for new originations and continued tighter underwriting standards applied to both originations and renewals.

Average core deposits increased by \$120 million compared to the prior year as the growth in transaction accounts outpaced the runoff of higher priced certificates of deposit.

Consumer Lending

Consumer Lending includes the Bancorp s mortgage, home equity, automobile and other indirect lending activities. Mortgage and home equity lending activities include the origination, retention and servicing of mortgage and home equity loans or lines of credit, sales and securitizations of those loans, pools of loans or lines of credit, and all associated hedging activities. Indirect lending activities include loans to consumers through mortgage brokers and automobile dealers.

The following table contains selected financial data for the Consumer Lending segment:

TABLE 15: CONSUMER LENDING

| For the years ended December 31 (\$ in millions) | | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | |
|---|-----|-------|--------|-------|--|
| Income Statement Data | | | | | |
| Net interest income | \$ | 314 | 343 | 405 | |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | | 176 | 261 | 569 | |
| Noninterest income: | | | | | |
| Mortgage banking net revenue | | 830 | 585 | 619 | |
| Other noninterest income | | 46 | 45 | 51 | |
| Noninterest expense: | | | | | |
| Salaries, incentives and benefits | | 231 | 183 | 194 | |
| Other noninterest expense | | 439 | 443 | 352 | |
| Income (loss) before taxes | | 344 | 86 | (40) | |
| Applicable income tax expense (benefit) | | 121 | 30 | (14) | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ | 223 | 56 | (26) | |
| Average Balance Sheet Data | | | | | |
| Residential mortgage loans, including held for sale | \$1 | 0,143 | 9,348 | 9,384 | |
| Home equity | | 643 | 730 | 851 | |
| Automobile loans | 1 | 1,191 | 10,665 | 9,713 | |
| Consumer leases | | 35 | 158 | 384 | |
| | | | | | |

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Comparison of 2012 with 2011

Net income was \$223 million in 2012 compared to net income of \$56 million in 2011. The increase was driven by an increase in noninterest income and a decline in the provision for loan and lease losses, partially offset by an increase in noninterest expense and a decrease in net interest income. Net interest income decreased \$29 million due to lower yields on average residential mortgage and automobile loans, partially offset by increases in average residential mortgage and average automobile loans and favorable decreases in the FTP charge applied to the segment.

Provision for loan and lease losses decreased \$85 million compared to the prior year as delinquency metrics and underlying loss trends improved across all consumer loan types. Net charge-offs as a percent of average loans and leases decreased to 88 bps for 2012 compared to 134 bps for 2011.

Noninterest income increased \$246 million primarily due to increases in mortgage banking net revenue of \$245 million driven by an increase in gains on residential mortgage loan sales of \$424 million due to an increase in profit margins on sold loans coupled with higher origination volumes. This increase was partially offset by a decrease in net residential mortgage servicing revenue of \$178 million, primarily driven by a decrease of \$142 million in net valuation adjustments on MSRs and free-standing derivatives entered into to economically hedge the MSRs.

Noninterest expense increased \$44 million driven by salaries, incentives and benefits which increased \$48 million primarily as a result of higher mortgage loan originations.

Average consumer loans and leases increased \$1.1 billion from the prior year. Average automobile loans increased \$526 million due to a strategic focus to increase automobile lending throughout 2011 and 2012 through consistent and competitive pricing, disciplined sales execution, and enhanced customer service with our dealership network. Average residential mortgage loans increased \$795 million as a result of higher origination volumes. Average home equity loans decreased \$87 million due to continued runoff in the discontinued brokered home equity product. Average consumer leases decreased \$123 million due to runoff as the Bancorp discontinued this product in the fourth quarter of 2008.

Comparison of 2011 with 2010

Net income was \$56 million in 2011 compared to a net loss of \$26 million in 2010. The increase was driven by a decline in the provision for loan and lease losses, partially offset by decreases in noninterest income and net interest income and an increase in noninterest expense. Net interest income decreased \$62 million due to a decline in average loan balances for residential mortgage, home equity, and consumer leases as well as lower yields on average residential mortgage and automobile loans, partially offset by favorable decreases in the FTP charge applied to the segment.

Provision for loan and lease losses decreased \$308 million compared to the prior year, as delinquency metrics and underlying loss trends improved across all consumer loan types. Additionally, 2010 included charge-offs of \$123 million on the sale of \$228 million of portfolio loans. Net charge-offs as a percent of average

loans and leases decreased to 134 bps for 2011 compared to 305 bps for 2010.

Noninterest income decreased \$40 million primarily due to decreases in mortgage banking net revenue of \$34 million. The decrease from 2010 was driven by declines in origination fees and gains on loan sales of \$78 million due to decreased margins and lower origination volumes, partially offset by an increase in net servicing revenue of \$44 million.

Noninterest expense increased \$80 million driven in part by increased FDIC insurance expense, as the methodology used to determine FDIC insurance premiums changed in 2011 from one based on domestic deposits to one based on total assets less tangible equity. Additional changes were due to an increase of \$41 million in the provision for representation and warranty claims related to residential mortgage loans sold to third parties and an increase of \$21 million in losses on escrow advances to borrowers relating to bank owned residential mortgages.

Average consumer loans and leases increased \$558 million from the prior year. Average automobile loans increased \$952 million due to a strategic focus to increase automobile lending throughout 2010 and 2011. This increase was partially offset by declines across all other types of consumer loans. Average residential mortgage loans decreased \$36 million as a result of the lower origination volumes. Average home equity loans decreased \$121 million due to continued runoff in the discontinued brokered home equity product. Average consumer leases decreased \$226 million due to runoff as the Bancorp discontinued this product in the fourth quarter of 2008.

Investment Advisors

Investment Advisors provides a full range of investment alternatives for individuals, companies and not-for-profit organizations. Investment Advisors is made up of four main businesses: FTS, an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of the Bancorp; FTAM, an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of the Bancorp; Fifth Third Private Bank; and Fifth Third Institutional Services. FTS offers full service retail brokerage services to individual clients and broker dealer services to the institutional marketplace. FTAM provides asset management services and previously advised the Bancorp s proprietary family of mutual funds. Fifth Third Private Bank offers holistic strategies to affluent clients in wealth planning, investing, insurance and wealth protection. Fifth Third Institutional Services provides advisory services for institutional clients including states and municipalities.

As previously mentioned, the Bancorp announced that FTAM entered into two agreements under which a third party would acquire assets of 16 mutual funds from FTAM and another third party would acquire certain assets relating to the management of Fifth Third money market funds. Both transactions were completed in the third quarter of 2012. Upon completion of the transactions, the Bancorp recognized a \$13 million gain on sale within other noninterest income in the Bancorp s Consolidated Statements of Income.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following table contains selected financial data for the Investment Advisors segment:

| TABLE 16: INVESTMENT ADVISORS | | | |
|--|----------|-------|-------|
| For the years ended December 31 (\$ in millions) | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Income Statement Data | | | |
| Net interest income | \$ 117 | 113 | 138 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | 10 | 27 | 44 |
| Noninterest income: | | | |
| Investment advisory revenue | 366 | 364 | 346 |
| Other noninterest income | 30 | 9 | 10 |
| Noninterest expense: | | | |
| Salaries, incentives and benefits | 161 | 164 | 156 |
| Other noninterest expense | 276 | 257 | 249 |
| Income before taxes | 66 | 38 | 45 |
| Applicable income tax expense | 23 | 14 | 16 |
| Net income | \$ 43 | 24 | 29 |
| Average Balance Sheet Data | | | |
| Loans and leases | \$ 1,877 | 2,037 | 2,574 |
| Core deposits | 7,709 | 6,798 | 5,897 |

Comparison of 2012 with 2011

Net income increased \$19 million compared to 2011 primarily due to an increase in noninterest income and a decrease in the provision for loan and lease losses, partially offset by an increase in noninterest expense. Net interest income increased \$4 million from 2011 due to a decrease in interest expense on core deposits and favorable decreases in the FTP charge applied to the segment, partially offset by a decline in average loan and lease balances and declines in yields of 27 bps on loans and leases.

Provision for loan and lease losses decreased \$17 million from the prior year. Net charge-offs as a percent of average loans and leases decreased to 53 bps compared to 132 bps for the prior year reflecting improved credit trends during 2012.

Noninterest income increased \$23 million compared to 2011 primarily due to increases in other noninterest income. The increase in other noninterest income was primarily driven by the \$13 million gain on the sale of certain funds previously mentioned and an increase in gains on the sale of loans of \$5 million.

Noninterest expense increased \$16 million compared to 2011 due to increases in other noninterest expense primarily driven by an increase in corporate allocations.

Average loans and leases decreased \$160 million compared to the prior year. The decrease was primarily driven by declines in home equity loans of \$55 million, commercial mortgage loans of \$45 million and commercial and industrial loans of \$30 million. Average core deposits increased \$911 million compared to 2011 due to growth in interest checking as customers have opted to maintain excess funds in liquid transaction accounts as a result of interest rates remaining near historic lows, partially offset by account migration from foreign office deposits.

Comparison of 2011 with 2010

Net income decreased \$5 million compared to 2010 primarily due to a decline in net interest income and an increase in noninterest expense partially offset by a decrease in the provision for loan and lease losses and an increase in investment advisory revenue. Net interest income decreased \$25 million from 2010 due to a decline in average loan and lease balances as well as declines in yields on loans and leases.

Provision for loan and leases losses decreased \$17 million from the prior year. Net charge-offs as a percent of average loans and leases decreased to 132 bps compared to 171 bps for the prior year reflecting moderation of general economic conditions during 2011.

Table of Contents

Noninterest income increased \$17 million compared to 2010 primarily due to increases in investment advisory revenue related to

an increase of \$10 million in Private Bank income driven by market performance and an increase of \$7 million in securities and broker income due to continued expansion of the sales force and market performance.

Noninterest expense increased \$16 million compared to 2010 due to increases in salaries, incentives and benefit expense resulting from the expansion of the sales force and compensation related to improved performance in investment advisory revenue related fees.

Average loans and leases decreased \$537 million compared to the prior year. The decrease was primarily driven by declines in home equity loans of \$373 million due to tighter underwriting standards. Average core deposits increased \$901 million compared to 2010 due to growth in interest checking and foreign deposits.

General Corporate and Other

General Corporate and Other includes the unallocated portion of the investment securities portfolio, securities gains and losses, certain non-core deposit funding, unassigned equity, provision expense in excess of net charge-offs or a benefit from the reduction of the ALLL, representation and warranty expense in excess of actual losses or a benefit from the reduction of representation and warranty reserves, the payment of preferred stock dividends and certain support activities and other items not attributed to the business segments.

Comparison of 2012 with 2011

Results for 2012 and 2011 were impacted by a benefit of \$400 million and \$748 million, respectively, due to reductions in the ALLL. The decrease in provision expense was driven by general improvements in credit quality and declines in net charge-offs. Net interest income increased from \$321 million in 2011 to \$370 million for 2012 due to a benefit in the FTP rate. The change in net income compared to the prior year was impacted by a \$157 million gain on the sale of Vantiv, Inc. shares and \$115 million in gains on the initial public offering of Vantiv, Inc. In addition, the results for 2012 were impacted by dividends on preferred stock of \$35 million compared to \$203 million in the prior year.

Comparison of 2011 with 2010

Results for 2011 and 2010 were impacted by a benefit of \$748 million and \$789 million, respectively, due to reductions in the ALLL. The decrease in provision expense for both years was due to a decrease in nonperforming assets and improvement in delinquency metrics and underlying loss trends. Net interest income

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

increased from \$16 million in 2010 to \$321 million for 2011 due to a benefit in the FTP rate. The change in net income compared to the prior year was impacted by a \$127 million benefit, net of expenses, from the settlement of litigation associated with one of the Bancorp s BOLI policies that was recorded in the third quarter of 2010. The results for 2011 were impacted by dividends on preferred stock of \$203 million compared to \$250 million in the prior year. 2011 results included \$153 million in preferred stock dividends as a result of the accelerated accretion of the remaining issuance discount on the Series F Preferred Stock that was repaid in the first quarter of 2011.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

FOURTH QUARTER REVIEW

The Bancorp s 2012 fourth quarter net income available to common shareholders was \$390 million, or \$0.43 per diluted share, compared to net income available to common shareholders of \$354 million, or \$0.38 per diluted share, for the third quarter of 2012 and net income available to common shareholders of \$305 million, or \$0.33 per diluted share, for the fourth quarter of 2011. Fourth quarter 2012 earnings included a \$157 million gain on the sale of Vantiv shares, \$134 million in debt extinguishment costs associated with the termination of \$1.0 billion of FHLB borrowings and \$38 million of mortgage representation and warranty provision expense primarily due to additional information obtained from FHLMC regarding future mortgage repurchase and file requests. Third quarter 2012 results included \$26 million in debt extinguishment costs associated with the redemption of certain TruPS, a \$16 million negative adjustment on the valuation of the warrant associated with the processing business sale, \$13 million in gains recognized on the sale of certain FTAM funds, and charges of \$34 million related to the mortgage representation and warranty reserve. Fourth quarter 2011 earnings included a \$54 million charge related to changes in the fair value of a swap liability that the Bancorp entered into in conjunction with its sale of Visa, Inc. Class B shares in 2009 and \$10 million in positive valuation adjustments on puts and warrants associated with the sale of the processing business. The ALLL to loan and lease ratio was 2.16% as of December 31, 2012, compared to 2.32% as of September 30, 2012 and 2.78% as of December 31, 2011.

Fourth quarter 2012 net interest income of \$903 million decreased \$4 million from the third quarter of 2012 and \$17 million from the same period a year ago. The decrease from the third quarter of 2012 was driven by a decrease in interest income, partially offset by a decline in interest expense. Interest income decreased \$7 million from the third quarter of 2012 as the benefit of average loans and leases growth was more than offset by a decline in interest income attributable to loan repricing, primarily in the commercial and industrial, auto, and residential mortgage portfolios, as well as lower reinvestment rates on the securities portfolio. Interest expense declined \$3 million from the third quarter of 2012, driven by higher demand deposit balances and continued runoff in consumer CD balances due to the low interest rate environment and their replacement into lower yielding products. The decline in net interest income in comparison to the fourth quarter of 2011 was driven by lower asset yields partially offset by higher average loan balances, run-off in higher-priced CDs and a mix shift to lower cost deposit products.

Fourth quarter 2012 noninterest income of \$880 million increased \$209 million compared to the third quarter of 2012 and \$330 million compared to the fourth quarter of 2011. The sequential and year-over-year increases were both driven by a \$157 million gain from the sale of Vantiv shares and higher mortgage banking and corporate banking revenue. Fourth quarter 2012 noninterest income included a \$19 million negative valuation adjustment on the Vantiv warrants, compared with a \$16 million negative valuation adjustment in the third quarter of 2012 and a \$10 million positive valuation adjustment on the Vantiv warrant and put instruments in the fourth quarter of 2011. Fourth quarter 2012 results also included a \$15 million charge related to the valuation of the total return swap entered into as part of the 2009 sale of Visa, Inc. Class B shares. Negative valuation adjustments on this swap were \$1 million in the third quarter of 2012 and \$54 million in the fourth quarter of 2011. Third quarter 2012 results also included \$13 million in gains recognized on the sale of certain FTAM funds.

Mortgage banking net revenue was \$258 million in the fourth quarter of 2012, compared to \$200 million in the third quarter of 2012 and \$156 million in the fourth quarter of 2011. Fourth quarter 2012 originations were \$7.0 billion, compared with \$5.8 billion in

the previous quarter and \$7.1 billion in the fourth quarter of 2011. Fourth quarter 2012 originations resulted in gains of \$239 million on mortgages sold, reflecting higher mortgage sales revenue partially offset by lower gain on sale margins. This compares with gains of \$226 million during the third quarter of 2012 and \$152 million during the fourth quarter of 2011. Mortgage servicing fees in the fourth quarter of 2012 were \$64 million, compared with \$62 million in the third quarter of 2012 and \$58 million in the fourth quarter of 2011. Mortgage banking net revenue is also affected by net servicing asset value adjustments, which include MSR amortization and MSR valuation adjustments. These factors led to a net loss of \$45 million on the net valuation adjustments on MSRs in the fourth quarter of 2012 compared to a net loss of \$58 million in the third quarter of 2012 and a net loss of \$54 million in the fourth quarter of 2011. Net losses on nonqualifying hedges on mortgage servicing rights were \$2 million and \$3 million in the fourth quarter of 2012 and 2011, respectively, and net gains on nonqualifying hedges on mortgage servicing rights were \$5 million during the third quarter of 2012.

Service charges on deposits of \$134 million increased \$6 million sequentially and decreased \$2 million compared to the fourth quarter of 2011. Retail service charges grew 10 percent sequentially largely due to a seasonal increase in consumer overdrafts as well as the initial benefit of the transition to the Bancorp s new and simplified deposit product offerings. Compared with the fourth quarter of 2011, retail service charges decreased 11 percent primarily due to changes in the Bancorp s overdraft policies during 2012. Commercial service charges increased two

percent sequentially and six percent from a year ago primarily as a result of higher treasury management fees.

Corporate banking revenue of \$114 million increased \$13 million from the previous quarter and \$32 million from the fourth quarter of 2011. The sequential increase was primarily driven by higher syndication fees, business lending fees, and derivative fees, which benefited from accelerated activity in anticipation of changes to tax rules. The increase from the fourth quarter of 2011 was primarily driven by increased syndication fees and business lending fees as a result of the Bancorp s investments in the capital markets and treasury management capabilities, which are creating more opportunities and increased production.

Investment advisory revenue of \$93 million increased \$1 million sequentially and \$3 million from the fourth quarter of 2011. Sequential and year-over-year increases were driven by higher private client services and institutional trust fees, which benefited from improvement in equity and bond market values, partially offset by lower mutual fund fees largely due to the sale of certain Fifth Third funds in the third quarter of 2012.

Card and processing revenue of \$66 million increased \$1 million compared to the third quarter of 2012 and \$6 million from the fourth quarter of 2011. Both increases were driven by higher transaction volumes and higher levels of consumer spending.

The net gain on investment securities was \$2 million in both the fourth and third quarters of 2012 and a net gain of \$5 million in the fourth quarter of 2011.

Noninterest expense of \$1.2 billion increased \$157 million sequentially and increased \$170 million from the fourth quarter of 2011. Fourth quarter 2012 expenses included \$134 million of debt extinguishment costs associated with the termination of \$1.0 billion of FHLB debt; \$38 million of expenses associated with the mortgage representation and warranty reserve; and \$13 million in charges to increase litigation reserves. Third quarter 2012 expenses included \$26 million of debt extinguishment costs associated with the redemption of TruPS and \$34 million of expenses associated with the mortgage representation and warranty reserve. Fourth quarter 2011 expenses included \$14 million in charges to increase litigation reserves to increase litigation reserves related to bankcard association membership and \$5 million in other litigation reserve additions.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Net charge-offs as a percent of average loans and leases decreased to 1.49% during 2011 compared to 3.02% during 2010

largely due to decreases in nonperforming loans and leases, improved delinquency metrics in commercial and consumer loans and leases, and improvement in underlying loss trends.

TABLE 17: QUARTERLY INFORMATION (unaudited)

| | 2012 | | | | 2011 | | | |
|--|--------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|
| For the three months ended (\$ in millions, except per share data) | 12/31 | 9/30 | 6/30 | 3/31 | 12/31 | 9/30 | 6/30 | 3/31 |
| Net interest income (FTE) | \$ 903 | 907 | 899 | 903 | 920 | 902 | 869 | 884 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | 76 | 65 | 71 | 91 | 55 | 87 | 113 | 168 |
| Noninterest income | 880 | 671 | 678 | 769 | 550 | 665 | 656 | 584 |
| Noninterest expense | 1,163 | 1,006 | 937 | 973 | 993 | 946 | 901 | 918 |
| Net income attributable to Bancorp | 399 | 363 | 385 | 430 | 314 | 381 | 337 | 265 |
| Net income available to common shareholders | 390 | 354 | 376 | 421 | 305 | 373 | 328 | 88 |
| Earnings per share, basic | 0.44 | 0.39 | 0.41 | 0.46 | 0.33 | 0.41 | 0.36 | 0.10 |
| Earnings per share, diluted | 0.43 | 0.38 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.33 | 0.40 | 0.35 | 0.10 |

COMPARISON OF THE YEAR ENDED 2011 WITH 2010

Net income available to common shareholders for the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$1.1 billion, or \$1.18 per diluted share, which was net of \$203 million in preferred stock dividends. The Bancorp s net income available to common shareholders of \$503 million, or \$0.63 per diluted share, for 2010, was net of \$250 million in preferred stock dividends. The preferred stock dividends in 2011 included \$153 million in discount accretion resulting from the Bancorp s repurchase of Series F preferred stock. Overall, credit trends improved in 2011, and as a result, the provision for loan and lease losses decreased to \$423 million in 2011 compared to \$1.5 billion in 2010. Noninterest income decreased from 2010, primarily due to a \$152 million litigation settlement related to one of the Bancorp s BOLI policies during the third quarter of 2010 and reduced service charges on deposits and a decrease in mortgage banking net revenue. Noninterest expense decreased in comparison to 2010, primarily due to a decrease in the provision for representation and warranty claims and a decrease in FDIC expense and other taxes.

Net interest income was \$3.6 billion for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010. Net interest income in 2011 compared to the prior year was impacted by a 22 bps decrease in average yield on average interest-earning assets offset by a 25 bps decrease in the average rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities and a \$3.2 billion decrease in average interest-bearing liabilities, coupled with a mix shift to lower cost deposits.

Noninterest income decreased \$274 million, or 10%, in 2011 compared to 2010 primarily as the result of a \$152 million litigation settlement related to one of the Bancorp s BOLI policies during the third quarter of 2010, a \$54 million decrease in service charges on deposits primarily due to the impact of Regulation E and a \$50 million decrease in mortgage banking net revenue primarily as the result of a decrease in origination fees and a decrease in gains on loan sales partially offset by an increase in net servicing revenue.

Noninterest expense decreased \$97 million, or three percent, in 2011 compared to 2010 primarily due to a decrease of \$59 million in the provision for representation and warranty claims related to residential mortgage loans sold to third parties; a decrease of \$41 million in FDIC insurance and other taxes, a \$22 million decrease from the change in the provision for unfunded commitments and letters of credit, a \$21 million decrease in intangible asset amortization and a \$19 million decrease in professional service fees. This activity was partially offset by a \$64 million increase in total personnel costs (salaries, wages and incentives plus employee benefits).

Net charge-offs as a percent of average loans and leases decreased to 1.49% during 2011 compared to 3.02% during 2010

largely due net charge-offs on commercial loans moved to held for sale during the third quarter of 2010 coupled with improved credit trends across all commercial loan types. In addition, residential mortgage loan net charge-offs, which typically involve partial charge-offs based upon appraised values of underlying collateral, decreased \$266 million from 2010 as a result of improvements in delinquencies and a decrease in the average loss recorded per charge-off.

The Bancorp took a number of actions that impacted its capital position in 2011. On January 25, 2011, the Bancorp raised \$1.7 billion in new common equity through the issuance of shares of common stock in an underwritten offering. On February 2, 2011, the Bancorp redeemed all 136,320 shares of its Series F Preferred Stock held by the U.S. Treasury totaling \$3.4 billion. The Bancorp used the net proceeds from the common stock offerings previously discussed and a senior debt offering to redeem the Series F Preferred Stock. On March 16, 2011, the Bancorp repurchased the warrant issued to the U.S. Treasury under the CPP for \$280 million, which was recorded as a reduction to capital surplus in the Bancorp s Consolidated Financial Statements. On March 18, 2011, the Bancorp announced that the FRB did not object to the Bancorp s capital plan submitted under the FRB 2011 CCAR. Pursuant to this plan, in the second quarter of 2011, the Bancorp recorded a \$6 million of certain trust preferred securities, at par, classified as long-term debt. As a result of these redemptions the Bancorp recorded a \$6 million gain on the extinguishment within other noninterest expense in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

BALANCE SHEET ANALYSIS

Loans and Leases

The Bancorp classifies its loans and leases based upon the primary purpose of the loan. Table 18 summarizes end of period loans and

leases, including loans held for sale and Table 19 summarizes average total loans and leases, including loans held for sale.

TABLE 18: COMPONENTS OF LOANS AND LEASES (INCLUDES HELD FOR SALE)

| As of December 31 (\$ in millions) | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|-----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Commercial: | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial loans | \$ 36,077 | 30,828 | 27,275 | 25,687 | 29,220 |
| Commercial mortgage loans | 9,116 | 10,214 | 10,992 | 11,936 | 12,731 |
| Commercial construction loans | 707 | 1,037 | 2,111 | 3,871 | 5,335 |
| Commercial leases | 3,549 | 3,531 | 3,378 | 3,535 | 3,666 |
| Subtotal commercial | 49,449 | 45,610 | 43,756 | 45,029 | 50,952 |
| Consumer: | | | | | |
| Residential mortgage loans | 14,873 | 13,474 | 10,857 | 9,846 | 10,292 |
| Home equity | 10,018 | 10,719 | 11,513 | 12,174 | 12,752 |
| Automobile loans | 11,972 | 11,827 | 10,983 | 8,995 | 8,594 |
| Credit card | 2,097 | 1,978 | 1,896 | 1,990 | 1,811 |
| Other consumer loans and leases | 312 | 364 | 702 | 812 | 1,194 |
| Subtotal consumer | 39,272 | 38,362 | 35,951 | 33,817 | 34,643 |
| Total loans and leases | \$ 88,721 | 83,972 | 79,707 | 78,846 | 85,595 |
| Total portfolio loans and leases (excludes loans held for sale) | \$ 85,782 | 81,018 | 77,491 | 76,779 | 84,143 |

Loans and leases, including loans held for sale, increased \$4.7 billion, or six percent, from December 31, 2011. The increase in loans and leases from December 31, 2011 was the result of a \$3.8 billion, or eight percent, increase in commercial loans and a \$910 million, or two percent, increase in consumer loans.

The increase in commercial loans and leases from December 31, 2011 was primarily due to an increase in commercial and industrial loans partially offset by a decrease in commercial mortgage and commercial construction loans. Commercial and industrial loans increased \$5.2 billion, or 17%, due to targeted marketing efforts, an increase in new loan origination activity due to a strengthening economy and strong growth in December from uncertainty over tax increases and U.S. fiscal policy. Commercial mortgage loans decreased \$1.1 billion, or 11%, from December 31, 2011 and commercial construction loans decreased \$330 million, or 32%, from December 31, 2011 due to continued runoff as the level of new originations was less than the repayments of the current portfolio.

The increase in consumer loans and leases from December 31, 2011 was primarily due to an increase in residential mortgage loans, automobile loans, and credit card loans partially offset by a decrease in home equity loans. Residential mortgage loans increased \$1.4 billion, or 10%, from December 31, 2011 due to management s decision to retain certain shorter term residential mortgage loans originated through the Bancorp s retail branches throughout 2011 and 2012 and strong originations due to continued refinancing activity associated with historically low interest rates. Automobile loans increased \$145 million, or one percent, from December 31, 2011 due to strong origination volumes through consistent and competitive pricing, enhanced customer service with our dealership network, and disciplined sales execution. Credit card loans increased \$119 million, or six percent, from December 31, 2011 driven by strong new account originations and modest attrition rates. Home equity loans decreased \$701 million, or seven percent, from December 31, 2011 as payoffs exceeded new loan production.

TABLE 19: COMPONENTS OF AVERAGE LOANS AND LEASES (INCLUDES HELD FOR SALE)

| As of December 31 (\$ in millions) | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|-----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Commercial: | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial loans | \$ 32,911 | 28,546 | 26,334 | 27,556 | 28,426 |
| Commercial mortgage loans | 9,686 | 10,447 | 11,585 | 12,511 | 12,776 |
| Commercial construction loans | 835 | 1,740 | 3,066 | 4,638 | 5,846 |
| Commercial leases | 3,502 | 3,341 | 3,343 | 3,543 | 3,680 |
| Subtotal commercial | 46,934 | 44,074 | 44,328 | 48,248 | 50,728 |
| Consumer: | | | | | |
| Residential mortgage loans | 13,370 | 11,318 | 9,868 | 10,886 | 10,993 |
| Home equity | 10,369 | 11,077 | 11,996 | 12,534 | 12,269 |
| Automobile loans | 11,849 | 11,352 | 10,427 | 8,807 | 8,925 |
| Credit card | 1,960 | 1,864 | 1,870 | 1,907 | 1,708 |
| Other consumer loans and leases | 340 | 529 | 743 | 1,009 | 1,212 |
| Subtotal consumer | 37,888 | 36,140 | 34,904 | 35,143 | 35,107 |
| Total average loans and leases | \$ 84,822 | 80,214 | 79,232 | 83,391 | 85,835 |
| Total average portfolio loans and leases (excludes loans held for sale) | \$ 82,733 | 78,533 | 77,045 | 80,681 | 83,895 |

Average commercial loans and leases increased \$2.9 billion, or six percent, compared to December 31, 2011. The increase in average

commercial loans and leases was driven by an increase in average commercial and industrial loans and commercial leases partially offset by a decrease in average commercial mortgage loans and average commercial construction loans. Average commercial and

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

industrial loans increased \$4.4 billion, or 15%, average commercial mortgage loans decreased \$761 million, or seven percent, and average commercial construction loans decreased \$905 million, or 52%, from December 31, 2011 due to the reasons previously discussed in the end of period discussion above.

Average consumer loans and leases increased \$1.7 billion, or five percent, compared to December 31, 2011. The increase in average consumer loans and leases from December 31, 2011 was driven by an increase in average residential mortgage loans, average automobile loans, and average credit card loans partially offset by a decrease in average home equity loans. Average residential mortgage loans increased \$2.1 billion, or 18%, average credit card balances increased \$96 million, or five percent, and average home equity loans decreased \$708 million, or six percent, from December 31, 2011 due to the reasons previously discussed in the end of period discussion above. Average automobile loans increased \$497 million, or four percent, due to strong originations in the second half of 2011 and throughout 2012.

Investment Securities

The Bancorp uses investment securities as a means of managing interest rate risk, providing liquidity support and providing collateral for pledging purposes. As of December 31, 2012, total investment securities were \$15.7 billion compared to \$15.9 billion at December 31, 2011. See Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for the Bancorp s methodology for both classifying investment securities and management s evaluation of securities in an unrealized loss position for OTTI.

At December 31, 2012, the Bancorp s investment portfolio consisted primarily of AAA-rated available-for-sale securities. The Bancorp did not hold asset-backed securities backed by subprime

mortgage loans in its investment portfolio. Additionally, there was approximately \$100 million of securities classified as below investment grade as of December 31, 2012, compared to \$122 million as of December 31, 2011.

The Bancorp s management has evaluated the securities in an unrealized loss position in the available-for-sale and held-to-maturity portfolios for OTTI. During the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, the Bancorp recognized \$58 million, \$19 million and \$3 million of OTTI on its investment securities portfolio, respectively. The Bancorp did not recognize any OTTI on any of its held-to-maturity investment securities during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2010.

TABLE 20: COMPONENTS OF INVESTMENT SECURITIES

| As of December 31 (\$ in millions) | | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | |
|--|-----|-------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--|
| Available-for-sale and other: (amortized cost basis) | | | | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury and government agencies | \$ | 41 | 171 | 225 | 464 | 186 | |
| U.S. Government sponsored agencies | | 1,730 | 1,782 | 1,564 | 2,143 | 1,651 | |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | | 203 | 96 | 170 | 240 | 323 | |
| Agency mortgage-backed securities | | 8,403 | 9,743 | 10,570 | 11,074 | 8,529 | |
| Other bonds, notes and debentures ^(a) | | 3,161 | 1,792 | 1,338 | 2,541 | 613 | |
| Other securities ^(b) | | 1,033 | 1,030 | 1,052 | 1,417 | 1,248 | |
| Total available-for-sale and other securities | \$1 | 4,571 | 14,614 | 14,919 | 17,879 | 12,550 | |
| Held-to-maturity: (amortized cost basis) | | | | | | | |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | \$ | 282 | 320 | 348 | 350 | 355 | |
| Other bonds, notes and debentures | | 2 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 5 | |
| Total held-to-maturity | \$ | 284 | 322 | 353 | 355 | 360 | |
| Trading: (fair value) | | | | | | | |
| Variable rate demand notes | | - | - | 106 | 235 | 1,140 | |
| Other securities | | 207 | 177 | 188 | 120 | 51 | |
| Total trading | \$ | 207 | 177 | 294 | 355 | 1,191 | |
| | . 1 | 1 1 | •,• / • | •1 | 1 .1 | 1 | |

(a) Other bonds, notes, and debentures consist of non-agency mortgage backed securities, certain other asset backed securities (primarily automobile and commercial loan backed securities) and corporate bond securities.

(b) Other securities consist of FHLB and FRB restricted stock holdings that are carried at par, FHLMC and FNMA preferred stock holdings and certain mutual fund holdings and equity security holdings.

As of December 31, 2012, available-for-sale securities on an amortized cost basis decreased \$43 million from December 31, 2011 due to a decrease in agency mortgage-backed securities and U.S. Treasury and government agency securities partially offset by an increase in obligations of states and political subdivision securities and other bonds, notes, and debentures. Agency mortgage-backed securities decreased \$1.3 billion, or 14%, from December 31, 2011 primarily due to sales of collateralized mortgage obligations and mortgage-backed securities totaling \$2.2 billion which was partially offset by reinvesting cash flows from securities paydown activity. The decrease of \$130 million, or 76%, in U.S. Treasury and government agencies securities was due to maturities and the excess cash was reinvested in obligations of states and political subdivisions securities which increased \$107 million, or 111%, from December 31, 2011. Other bonds, notes, and debentures increased \$1.4 billion, or 76%, due to purchases of commercial mortgage-backed securities, asset-backed securities, and corporate bonds during the year partially offset by sales, paydowns, and bonds called during the year.

At December 31, 2012 and 2011, available-for-sale securities were 14% of total interest-earning assets. The estimated weighted-average life of the debt securities in the available-for-sale portfolio was 3.8 years at December 31, 2012, compared to 3.6 years at December 31, 2011. In addition, at December 31, 2012, the available-for-sale securities portfolio had a weighted-average yield of 3.30%, compared to 3.66% at December 31, 2011.

Information presented in Table 21 is on a weighted-average life basis, anticipating future prepayments. Yield information is presented on an FTE basis and is computed using historical cost balances. Maturity and yield calculations for the total available-for-sale portfolio exclude equity securities that have no stated yield or maturity. Total net unrealized gains on the available-for-sale securities portfolio were \$636 million at December 31, 2012, compared to \$748 million at December 31, 2011. The decrease in net unrealized gains was driven by the sales of agency mortgage-backed securities which generated a total realized gain of \$67 million recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Income. The remaining decrease in net unrealized gains was due to a decline in interest rates. The fair value of investment securities is impacted by

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

interest rates, credit spreads, market volatility and liquidity conditions. The fair value of investment securities generally

decreases when interest rates increase or when credit spreads widen.

TABLE 21: CHARACTERISTICS OF AVAILABLE-FOR-SALE AND OTHER SECURITIES

| | Weighted-Average | | | | | | |
|--|------------------|-----------------|---------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| As of December 31, 2012 (\$ in millions) U.S. Treasury and government agencies: | Ame | ortized Cost | Fair Value | Life (in years) | Weighted-Average Yield | | |
| Average life of one year or less | \$ | 40 | 40 | 0.4 | 0.13 % | | |
| Average life 5 10 years | Ψ | 1 | 1 | 6.1 | 1.48 | | |
| Total | | 41 | 41 | 0.5 | 0.16 | | |
| U.S. Government sponsored agencies: | | 71 | 71 | 0.5 | 0.10 | | |
| Average life of one year or less | | 204 | 206 | 0.5 | 2.50 | | |
| Average life 1 5 years | | 1,526 | 1,705 | 4.0 | 3.63 | | |
| Total | | 1,730 | 1,911 | 3.6 | 3.50 | | |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions: ^(a) | | 1,700 | 1,911 | 210 | 2.20 | | |
| Average life of one year or less | | 7 | 7 | 0.8 | 0.12 | | |
| Average life 1 5 years | | 84 | 85 | 2.9 | 1.50 | | |
| Average life 5 10 years | | 96 | 102 | 6.3 | 4.37 | | |
| Average life greater than 10 years | | 16 | 18 | 11.3 | 5.21 | | |
| Total | | 203 | 212 | 5.1 | 3.10 | | |
| Agency mortgage-backed securities: | | | | | | | |
| Average life of one year or less | | 495 | 506 | 0.7 | 4.44 | | |
| Average life 1 5 years | | 6,254 | 6,529 | 3.3 | 3.59 | | |
| Average life 5 10 years | | 1,654 | 1,695 | 5.8 | 3.42 | | |
| Total | | 8,403 | 8,730 | 3.6 | 3.60 | | |
| Other bonds, notes and debentures: | | | | | | | |
| Average life of one year or less | | 245 | 252 | 0.7 | 1.46 | | |
| Average life 1 5 years | | 2,049 | 2,135 | 3.4 | 2.55 | | |
| Average life 5 10 years | | 659 | 677 | 6.4 | 2.52 | | |
| Average life greater than 10 years | | 208 | 213 | 14.7 | 2.35 | | |
| Total | | 3,161 | 3,277 | 4.6 | 2.45 | | |
| Other securities | | 1,033 | 1,036 | | | | |
| Total available-for-sale and other securities | \$ | 14,571 | 15,207 | 3.8 | 3.30 % | | |
| (a) Taxable-equivalent vield adjustments included in the al | ove table are | e 0.03%, 0.01%, | 0.40%, 1.79% and 0. | 34% for securities with an | average life of one vear or | | |

(a) Taxable-equivalent yield adjustments included in the above table are 0.03%, 0.01%, 0.40%, 1.79% and 0.34% for securities with an average life of one year or less, 1-5 years, 5-10 years, greater than 10 years and in total, respectively.

Deposits

The Bancorp s deposit balances represent an important source of funding and revenue growth opportunity. The Bancorp continues to focus on core deposit growth in its retail and commercial franchises

by improving customer satisfaction, building full relationships and offering competitive rates. Core deposits represented 71% of the Bancorp s asset funding base at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

TABLE 22: DEPOSITS

| As of December 31 (\$ in millions) | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|------------------------------------|-----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Demand | \$ 30,023 | 27,600 | 21,413 | 19,411 | 15,287 |
| Interest checking | 24,477 | 20,392 | 18,560 | 19,935 | 14,222 |
| Savings | 19,879 | 21,756 | 20,903 | 17,898 | 16,063 |
| Money market | 6,875 | 4,989 | 5,035 | 4,431 | 4,689 |
| Foreign office | 885 | 3,250 | 3,721 | 2,454 | 2,144 |
| Transaction deposits | 82,139 | 77,987 | 69,632 | 64,129 | 52,405 |
| Other time | 4,015 | 4,638 | 7,728 | 12,466 | 14,350 |
| Core deposits | 86,154 | 82,625 | 77,360 | 76,595 | 66,755 |
| Certificates \$100,000 and over | 3,284 | 3,039 | 4,287 | 7,700 | 11,851 |
| Other | 79 | 46 | 1 | 10 | 7 |
| Total deposits | \$ 89,517 | 85,710 | 81,648 | 84,305 | 78,613 |
| | | | | | |

Core deposits increased \$3.5 billion, or four percent, compared to December 31, 2011, driven by an increase of \$4.2 billion, or five percent, in transaction deposits, partially offset by a decrease of \$623 million, or 13%, in other time deposits. Transaction deposits increased due to an increase in demand deposits, interest checking deposits, and money market deposits partially offset by a decrease in savings deposits and foreign office deposits. Demand deposits increased \$2.4 billion, or nine percent, from December 31, 2011 due to an increase in the average balance per account, new product

offerings, and commercial customers opting to hold money in demand deposit accounts at year-end due to uncertainty over tax increases and U.S. fiscal policy. Interest checking deposits increased \$4.1 billion, or 20%, from December 31, 2011 due to account migration from foreign office deposits which decreased \$2.4 billion, or 73%, from December 31, 2011. The remaining increase in interest checking deposits was due to continued growth from the preferred checking program which was introduced in early 2011 and growth from maturing certificates of deposits. Money market

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

deposits increased \$1.9 billion, or 38%, due to account migration from savings deposits which decreased \$1.9 billion, or nine percent, from December 31, 2011. Other time deposits decreased primarily as a result of continued run-off of certificates of deposits due to the low interest rate environment, as customers have opted to maintain balances in more liquid transaction accounts.

Included in core deposits are foreign office deposits, which are primarily Eurodollar sweep accounts from the Bancorp s commercial customers. These accounts bear interest rates at slightly

higher than money market accounts and unlike repurchase agreements the Bancorp does not have to pledge collateral.

The Bancorp uses certificates of deposit \$100,000 and over, as a method to fund earning asset growth. At December 31, 2012, certificates \$100,000 and over increased \$245 million, or eight percent, compared to December 31, 2011 due to the diversification of funding sources through the issuance of retail and institutional certificates of deposits in the fourth quarter of 2012.

The following table presents average deposits for the twelve months ending December 31:

TABLE 23: AVERAGE DEPOSITS

| As of December 31 (\$ in millions) | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|------------------------------------|-----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Demand | \$ 27,196 | 23,389 | 19,669 | 16,862 | 14,017 |
| Interest checking | 23,096 | 18,707 | 18,218 | 15,070 | 14,191 |
| Savings | 21,393 | 21,652 | 19,612 | 16,875 | 16,192 |
| Money market | 4,903 | 5,154 | 4,808 | 4,320 | 6,127 |
| Foreign office | 1,528 | 3,490 | 3,355 | 2,108 | 2,153 |
| Transaction deposits | 78,116 | 72,392 | 65,662 | 55,235 | 52,680 |
| Other time | 4,306 | 6,260 | 10,526 | 14,103 | 11,135 |
| Core deposits | 82,422 | 78,652 | 76,188 | 69,338 | 63,815 |
| Certificates \$100,000 and over | 3,102 | 3,656 | 6,083 | 10,367 | 9,531 |
| Other | 27 | 7 | 6 | 157 | 2,067 |
| Total average deposits | \$ 85,551 | 82,315 | 82,277 | 79,862 | 75,413 |

On an average basis, core deposits increased \$3.8 billion, or five percent, compared to December 31, 2011 due to an increase of \$5.7 billion, or eight percent, in average transaction deposits partially offset by a decrease of \$2.0 billion, or 31%, in average other time deposits. The increase in average transaction deposits was driven by

an increase in average demand deposits and average interest checking deposits, partially offset by a decrease in average foreign office deposits due to the reasons discussed in the end of period section. The decrease in average other time deposits was due to the reasons discussed in the end of period discussion.

On an end of period basis, other time deposits and certificates \$100,000 and over totaled \$7.3 billion and \$7.7 billion at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. All of these deposits were interest-bearing.

The contractual maturities of certificates \$100,000 and over as of December 31, 2012 are summarized in the following table:

TABLE 24: CONTRACTUAL MATURITIES OF CERTIFICATES \$100,000 AND OVER

| As of December 31 (\$ in millions) | 2012 |
|--|--------------------|
| Three months or less | \$ 1,444 |
| After three months through six months | 230 |
| After six months through 12 months | 639 |
| After 12 months | 971 |
| Total | \$ 3,284 |
| The contractual maturities of other time deposits and certificates \$100,000 and over as of December 31, 2012 are summarized | d in the following |
| table: | |

TABLE 25: CONTRACTUAL MATURITIES OF OTHER TIME DEPOSITS AND CERTIFICATES \$100,000 AND OVER

| OVER | |
|------------------------------------|----------|
| As of December 31 (\$ in millions) | 2012 |
| Next 12 months | \$ 4,834 |
| 13-24 months | 1,464 |
| 25-36 months | 565 |
| 37-48 months | 231 |
| 49-60 months | 152 |
| After 60 months | 53 |
| Total | \$ 7,299 |
| | |

Borrowings

Total borrowings increased \$1.0 billion, or eight percent, from December 31, 2011 due to an increase in other short-term borrowings and federal funds purchased, partially offset by a

decrease in long-term debt. Total borrowings as a percentage of interest-bearing liabilities were 19% at both December 31, 2012 and 2011.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

| TABLE 26: BORROWINGS | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| As of December 31 (\$ in millions) | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Federal funds purchased | \$ 901 | 346 | 279 | 182 | 287 |
| Other short-term borrowings | 6,280 | 3,239 | 1,574 | 1,415 | 9,959 |
| Long-term debt | 7,085 | 9,682 | 9,558 | 10,507 | 13,585 |
| Total borrowings | \$ 14,266 | 13,267 | 11,411 | 12,104 | 23,831 |

Federal funds purchased increased by \$555 million, or 160%, from December 31, 2011 driven by an increase in excess balances in reserve accounts held at Federal Reserve Banks that the Bancorp purchased from other member banks on an overnight basis. Other short-term borrowings increased \$3.0 billion, or 94%, from December 31, 2011 driven by an increase of \$3.2 billion in short-term FHLB borrowings offset by a decrease of \$132 million in securities sold under repurchase agreements which are accounted

for as collateralized financing transactions. The level of these borrowings can fluctuate significantly from period to period depending on funding needs and which sources are used to satisfy those needs. Long-term debt decreased \$2.6 billion, or 27%, from December 31, 2011 driven by the redemption of \$1.4 billion of TruPS during the third quarter of 2012 and the extinguishment of \$1.0 billion of long-term FHLB advances during the fourth quarter of 2012.

| TABLE 27: AVERAGE BORROWINGS | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-----|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| As of December 31 (\$ in millions) | | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Federal funds purchased | \$ | 560 | 345 | 291 | 517 | 2,975 |
| Other short-term borrowings | | 4,246 | 2,777 | 1,635 | 6,463 | 7,785 |
| Long-term debt | | 9,043 | 10,154 | 10,902 | 11,035 | 13,903 |
| Total average borrowings | \$1 | 3,849 | 13,276 | 12,828 | 18,015 | 24,663 |

Average total borrowings increased \$573 million, or four percent, compared to December 31, 2011, primarily due to an increase in average federal funds purchased and other short-term borrowings, partially offset by a decrease in average long-term debt. Average federal funds purchased increased \$215 million, or 62%, primarily due to an increase in excess balances in reserve accounts held at Federal Reserve Banks that the Bancorp purchased from other member banks on an overnight basis. Average other short-term borrowings increased \$1.5 billion, or 53%, primarily due to the previously mentioned increase in short-term FHLB borrowings. Average long-term debt decreased \$1.1 billion, or 11%, primarily due to the previously mentioned extinguishment of \$1.0 billion in long-term FHLB borrowings and the redemption of \$1.4 billion of certain TruPS during the year ended December 31, 2012.

Information on the average rates paid on borrowings is discussed in the net interest income section of the MD&A. In addition, refer to the Liquidity Risk Management section for a discussion on the role of borrowings in the Bancorp s liquidity management.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

RISK MANAGEMENT

Managing risk is an essential component of successfully operating a financial services company. The Bancorp s risk management approach includes processes for identifying, assessing, managing, monitoring and reporting risks. The ERM division, led by the Bancorp s Chief Risk Officer, and the Bancorp Credit division, led by the Bancorp s Chief Credit Officer, ensure the consistency and adequacy of the Bancorp s risk management approach within the structure of the Bancorp s affiliate operating model. In addition, the Internal Audit division provides an independent assessment of the Bancorp s internal control structure and related systems and processes.

The assumption of risk requires robust and active risk management practices that comprise an integrated and comprehensive set of activities, measures and strategies that apply to the entire organization. The Bancorp has established a Risk Appetite Framework that provides the foundations of corporate risk capacity, risk appetite and risk tolerances. The Bancorp s risk capacity is represented by its available financial resources. Risk capacity sets an absolute limit on risk-assumption in the Bancorp s annual and strategic plans. The Bancorp understands that not all financial resources may persist as viable loss buffers over time. Further, consideration must be given to planned or foreseeable events that would reduce risk capacity. Those factors take the form of capacity adjustments to arrive at an Operating Risk Capacity which represents the operating risk level the Bancorp can assume while maintaining its solvency standard. The Bancorp s policy currently discounts its Operating Risk Capacity by a minimum of five percent to provide a buffer; as a result, the Bancorp s risk appetite is limited by policy to, at most, 95% of its Operating Risk Capacity.

Economic capital is the amount of unencumbered financial resources required to support the Bancorp s risks. The Bancorp measures economic capital under the assumption that it expects to maintain debt ratings at strong investment grade levels over time. The Bancorp s capital policies require that the Operating Risk Capacity less the aforementioned buffer exceed the calculated economic capital required in its business.

Risk appetite is the aggregate amount of risk the Bancorp is willing to accept in pursuit of its strategic and financial objectives. By establishing boundaries around risk taking and business decisions, and by incorporating the needs and goals of its shareholders, regulators, rating agencies and customers, the Bancorp s risk appetite is aligned with its priorities and goals. Risk tolerance is the maximum amount of risk applicable to each of the eight specific risk categories included in its Enterprise Risk Management Framework. This is expressed primarily in qualitative terms. The Bancorp s risk appetite and risk tolerances are supported by risk targets and risk limits. Those limits are used to monitor the amount of risk assumed at a granular level.

The risks faced by the Bancorp include, but are not limited to, credit, market, liquidity, operational, regulatory compliance, legal, reputational and strategic. Each of these risks is managed through the Bancorp s risk program which includes the following key functions:

Enterprise Risk Management Programs is responsible for developing and overseeing the implementation of risk programs and reporting that facilitate a broad integrated view of risk. The department also leads the continual fostering of a strong risk management culture and the framework, policies and committees that support effective risk governance, including the oversight of Sarbanes-Oxley compliance; Commercial Credit Risk Management provides safety and

soundness within an independent portfolio management framework that supports the Bancorp s commercial loan growth strategies and underwriting practices, ensuring portfolio optimization and appropriate risk controls;

Risk Strategies and Reporting is responsible for quantitative analysis needed to support the commercial dual rating methodology, ALLL methodology and analytics needed to assess credit risk and develop mitigation strategies related to that risk. The department also provides oversight, reporting and monitoring of commercial underwriting and credit administration processes. The Risk Strategies and Reporting department is also responsible for the economic capital program;

Consumer Credit Risk Management provides safety and soundness within an independent management framework that supports the Bancorp s consumer loan growth strategies, ensuring portfolio optimization, appropriate risk controls and oversight, reporting, and monitoring of underwriting and credit administration processes;

Operational Risk Management works with affiliates and lines of business to maintain processes to monitor and manage all aspects of operational risk, including ensuring consistency in application of operational risk programs;

Bank Protection oversees and manages fraud prevention and detection and provides investigative and recovery services for the Bancorp;

Capital Markets Risk Management is responsible for instituting, monitoring, and reporting appropriate trading limits, monitoring liquidity, interest rate risk and risk tolerances within Treasury, Mortgage, and Capital Markets groups and utilizing a value at risk model for Bancorp market risk exposure;

Regulatory Compliance Risk Management ensures that processes are in place to monitor and comply with federal and state banking regulations, including processes related to fiduciary, community reinvestment act and fair lending compliance. The function also has the responsibility for maintenance of an enterprise-wide compliance framework; and

The ERM division creates and maintains other functions, committees or processes as are necessary to effectively manage risk throughout the Bancorp.

Risk management oversight and governance is provided by the Risk and Compliance Committee of the Board of Directors and through multiple management committees whose membership includes a broad cross-section of line-of-business, affiliate and support representatives. The Risk and Compliance Committee of the Board of Directors consists of five outside directors and has the responsibility for the oversight of risk management for the Bancorp, as well as for the Bancorp s overall aggregate risk profile. The Risk and Compliance Committee of the Board of Directors has approved the formation of key management governance committees that are responsible for evaluating risks and controls. The primary committee responsible for the oversight of risk management is the ERMC. Committees accountable to the ERMC, which support the core risk programs, are the Corporate Credit Committee, the Operational Risk Committee, the Management Compliance Committee, the Asset/Liability Committee and the Enterprise Marketing Committee. Other committees accountable to the ERMC oversee the ALLL, capital and community reinvestment act/fair lending functions. There are also new products and initiatives processes applicable to every line of business to ensure an

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

appropriate standard readiness assessment is performed before launching a new product or initiative. Significant risk policies approved by the management governance committees are also reviewed and approved by the Risk and Compliance Committee of the Board of Directors.

Credit Risk Review is an independent function responsible for evaluating the sufficiency of underwriting, documentation and approval processes for consumer and commercial credits; the accuracy of risk grades assigned to commercial credit exposure; nonaccrual status; specific reserves and monitoring of charge-offs. Credit Risk Review reports directly to the Risk and Compliance Committee of the Board of Directors and administratively to the Chief Auditor.

CREDIT RISK MANAGEMENT

The objective of the Bancorp s credit risk management strategy is to quantify and manage credit risk on an aggregate portfolio basis, as well as to limit the risk of loss resulting from an individual customer default. The Bancorp s credit risk management strategy is based on three core principles: conservatism, diversification and monitoring. The Bancorp believes that effective credit risk management begins with conservative lending practices. These practices include conservative exposure and counterparty limits and conservative underwriting, documentation and collection standards. The Bancorp s credit risk management strategy also emphasizes diversification on a geographic, industry and customer level as well as regular credit examinations and timely management reviews of large credit exposures and credits experiencing deterioration of credit quality. Credit officers with the authority to extend credit are delegated specific authority amounts, the utilization of which is closely monitored. Underwriting activities are centrally managed,

and ERM manages the policy and the authority delegation process directly. The Credit Risk Review function provides objective assessments of the quality of underwriting and documentation, the accuracy of risk grades and the charge-off, nonaccrual and reserve analysis process. The Bancorp s credit review process and overall assessment of the adequacy of the allowance for credit losses is based on quarterly assessments of the probable estimated losses inherent in the loan and lease portfolio. The Bancorp uses these assessments to promptly identify potential problem loans or leases within the portfolio, maintain an adequate reserve and take any necessary charge-offs. The Bancorp defines potential problem loans as those rated substandard that do not meet the definition of a nonperforming asset or a restructured loan. See Note 6 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further information on the Bancorp s credit grade categories, which are derived from standard regulatory rating definitions.

The following tables provide a summary of potential problem loans as of December 31:

TABLE 28: POTENTIAL PROBLEM LOANS

| As of December 31, 2012 (\$ in millions) | (| Carrying Value | Unpaid Principal Balance | Exposure |
|--|----|-------------------|--------------------------------|----------|
| Commercial and industrial | \$ | 1,015 | 1,017 | 1,212 |
| Commercial mortgage | | 848 | 849 | 851 |
| Commercial construction | | 87 | 87 | 100 |
| Commercial leases | | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Total | \$ | 1,959 | 1,962 | 2,172 |
| TABLE 29: POTENTIAL PROBLEM LOANS | | | | |

| | | | Unpaid | |
|--|----|---------|-----------|----------|
| | C | arrying | Principal | |
| As of December 31, 2011 (\$ in millions) | | Value | Balance | Exposure |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ | 1,376 | 1,376 | 1,744 |
| Commercial mortgage | | 1,215 | 1,216 | 1,223 |
| Commercial construction | | 239 | 240 | 258 |
| Commercial leases | | 33 | 33 | 33 |
| Total | \$ | 2,863 | 2,865 | 3,258 |

In addition to the individual review of larger commercial loans that exhibit probable or observed credit weaknesses, the commercial credit review process includes the use of two risk grading systems. The risk grading system currently utilized for reserve analysis purposes encompasses ten categories. The Bancorp also maintains a dual risk rating system for credit approval and pricing, portfolio monitoring and capital allocation that includes a through-the-cycle rating philosophy for modeling expected losses. The dual risk rating system includes thirteen probabilities of default grade categories and an additional six grade categories for estimating losses given an event of default. The probability of default and loss given default evaluations are not separated in the ten-category risk rating system. The Bancorp has completed significant validation and testing of the dual risk rating system as a commercial credit risk management tool. The Bancorp is assessing the necessary modifications to the dual

risk rating system outputs to develop a GAAP compliant ALLL model and will make a decision on the use of modified dual risk ratings for purposes of determining the Bancorp s ALLL once the FASB has issued a final standard regarding proposed methodology changes to the determination of credit impairment as outlined in the FASB s proposed Accounting Standard Update *Financial Instruments Credit Losses* (Subtopic 825-15) issued on December 20, 2012. Scoring systems, various analytical tools and delinquency monitoring are used to assess the credit risk in the Bancorp s homogenous consumer and small business loan portfolios.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Overview

General economic conditions showed only modest improvement in 2011 and 2012 as the economic recovery struggled to gain any significant momentum. Uncertainty in terms of finding long term solutions for federal government deficit spending continues to weigh on the economy. Geographically, the Bancorp continues to experience the most stress in Michigan and Florida due to the decline in real estate values. Real estate value deterioration, as measured by the Home Price Index, was most prevalent in Florida due to past real estate price appreciation and related over-development, and in Michigan due in part to cutbacks in automobile manufacturing and the state s economic downturn. Among commercial portfolios, the homebuilder, residential developer and portions of the remaining non-owner occupied commercial real estate portfolios continue to remain under stress.

Among consumer portfolios, residential mortgage and brokered home equity portfolios exhibited the most stress. Management suspended homebuilder and developer lending in 2007 and new commercial non-owner occupied real estate lending in 2008, discontinued the origination of brokered home equity products at the end of 2007 and tightened underwriting standards across both the commercial and consumer loan product offerings. With the stabilization of certain real estate markets, the Bank began to selectively originate new homebuilder and developer lending and non-owner occupied commercial lending real estate in the third quarter of 2011. However, the level of new originations is below the amortization and pay-off of the current portfolio. Since the fourth quarter of 2008, in an effort to reduce loan exposure to the real estate and construction industries, the Bancorp has sold certain consumer loans and sold or transferred to held for sale certain commercial loans. Throughout 2011 and 2012, the Bancorp continued to aggressively engage in other loss mitigation strategies such as reducing credit commitments, restructuring certain commercial and consumer loans, tightening underwriting standards on commercial loans and across the consumer loan portfolio, as well as utilizing expanded commercial and consumer loan workout teams. For commercial and consumer loans owned by the Bancorp, loan modification strategies are developed that are workable for both the borrower and the Bancorp when the borrower displays a willingness to cooperate. These strategies typically involve either a reduction of the stated interest rate of the loan, an extension of the loan s maturity date(s) with a stated rate lower than the current market rate for a new loan with similar risk, or in limited circumstances, a reduction of the principal balance of the loan or the loan s accrued interest. For residential mortgage loans serviced for FHLMC and FNMA, the Bancorp participates in the HAMP and HARP 2.0 programs. For loans refinanced under the HARP 2.0 program, the Bancorp strictly adheres to the underwriting requirements of the program and promptly sells the refinanced loan back to the agencies. Loan restructuring under the HAMP program is performed on behalf of FHLMC or FNMA and the Bancorp does not take possession of these loans during the modification process. Therefore, participation in these programs does not significantly impact the Bancorp s credit quality statistics. The Bancorp participates in trial modifications in conjunction with the HAMP program for loans it services for FHLMC and FNMA. As these trial modifications relate to loans serviced for others, they are not included in the Bancorp s troubled debt restructurings as they are not assets of the Bancorp. In the event there is a representation and warranty violation on loans sold through the programs, the Bancorp may be required to repurchase the sold loan. As of December 31, 2012, repurchased loans restructured or refinanced under these programs were immaterial to the Bancorp s Consolidated Financial Statements. Additionally, as of December 31, 2012, \$475 million of loans refinanced under HARP 2.0 were included in loans held for

sale in the Bancorp s Consolidated Balance Sheets. For the year ended December 31, 2012 the Bancorp recognized \$218 million of fee income in mortgage banking net revenue in the Bancorp s Consolidated Statements of Income related to the sale of loans restructured or refinanced under the HAMP and HARP 2.0 programs.

In the financial services industry, there has been heightened focus on foreclosure activity and processes. The Bancorp actively works with borrowers experiencing difficulties and has regularly modified or provided forbearance to borrowers where a workable solution could be found. Foreclosure is a last resort, and the Bancorp undertakes foreclosures only when it believes they are necessary and appropriate and is careful to ensure that customer and loan data are accurate. Reviews of the Bancorp s foreclosure process and procedures conducted in 2010 did not reveal any material deficiencies. These reviews were expanded and extended in 2011 to improve the Bancorp s processes as additional aspects of the industry s foreclosure practices have come under intensified scrutiny and criticism. These reviews are complete and the Bancorp has enhanced some of its processes and procedures to address some concerns that were raised and to comply with changes in state laws.

Commercial Portfolio

The Bancorp s credit risk management strategy includes minimizing concentrations of risk through diversification. The Bancorp has commercial loan concentration limits based on industry, lines of business within the commercial segment, geography and credit product type.

The risk within the commercial loan and lease portfolio is managed and monitored through an underwriting process utilizing detailed origination policies, continuous loan level reviews, monitoring of industry concentration and product type limits and continuous portfolio risk management reporting. The origination policies for commercial real estate outline the risks and underwriting requirements for owner and non-owner occupied and construction lending. Included in the policies are maturity and amortization terms, maximum LTVs, minimum debt service coverage ratios, construction loan monitoring procedures, appraisal requirements, pre-leasing requirements (as applicable) and sensitivity and pro-forma analysis requirements. The Bancorp requires a valuation of real estate collateral, which may include third-party appraisals, be performed at the time of origination and renewal in accordance with regulatory requirements and on an as needed basis when market conditions justify. Although the Bancorp does not back test these collateral value assumptions, the Bancorp maintains an appraisal review department to order and review third-party appraisals in accordance with regulatory requirements. Collateral values on criticized assets with relationships exceeding \$1 million are reviewed quarterly to assess the appropriateness of the value ascribed in the assessment of charge-offs and specific reserves. In addition, the Bancorp applies incremental valuation haircuts to older appraisals that relate to collateral dependent loans, which can currently be up to 25-40% of the appraised value based on the type of collateral. These incremental valuation haircuts generally reflect the age of the most recent appraisal as well as collateral type. Trends in collateral values, such as home price indices and recent asset dispositions, are monitored in order to determine whether adjustments to the appraisal haircuts are warranted. Other factors such as local market conditions or location may also be considered as necessary.

The Bancorp assesses all real estate and non-real estate collateral securing a loan and considers all cross collateralized loans in the calculation of the LTV ratio. The following table provides detail on the most recent LTV ratios for commercial mortgage loans greater than \$1 million, excluding impaired commercial mortgage loans individually evaluated. The Bancorp does not typically aggregate the LTV ratios for commercial mortgage loans less than \$1 million.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

TABLE 30: COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE LOANS OUTSTANDING BY LTV, LOANS GREATER THAN \$1 MILLION

| | | | | LTV | | | | | |
|--|-----|--------|-------------|-------|--|--|--|--|--|
| As of December 31, 2012 (\$ in millions) | LTV | > 100% | LTV 80-100% | £ 80% | | | | | |
| Commercial mortgage owner-occupied loans | \$ | 390 | 302 | 2,325 | | | | | |
| Commercial mortgage nonowner-occupied loans | | 450 | 605 | 1,955 | | | | | |
| Total | \$ | 840 | 907 | 4,280 | | | | | |
| TABLE 31: COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE LOANS OUTSTANDING BY LTV, LOANS GREATER THAN \$1 MILLION | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | LTV |
|---|-----|--------|-------------|-------|
| As of December 31, 2011(\$ in millions) | LTV | > 100% | LTV 80-100% | £ 80% |
| Commercial mortgage owner-occupied loans | \$ | 528 | 419 | 2,353 |
| Commercial mortgage nonowner-occupied loans | | 684 | 734 | 2,164 |
| Total | \$ | 1,212 | 1,153 | 4,517 |
| The full second share the second state of the second s | | 1.6 | NI | |

The following table provides detail on commercial loan and leases by industry classification (as defined by the North American Industry Classification System), by loan size and by state, illustrating the diversity and granularity of the Bancorp s commercial loans and leases:

TABLE 32: COMMERCIAL LOAN AND LEASE PORTFOLIO (EXCLUDING LOANS HELD FOR SALE)

| | | 2012 | | | 2011 | |
|------------------------------------|-------------|----------|------------|-------------|----------|------------|
| As of December 31 (\$ in millions) | Outstanding | Exposure | Nonaccrual | Outstanding | Exposure | Nonaccrual |
| By industry: | | | | | | |
| Manufacturing | \$ 9,982 | 18,414 | 58 | \$ 9,020 | 17,065 | 116 |
| Real estate | 5,588 | 6,840 | 198 | 6,274 | 7,060 | 299 |
| Financial services and insurance | 4,886 | 12,062 | 54 | 4,596 | 9,975 | 46 |
| Business services | 4,600 | 6,917 | 56 | 3,898 | 5,976 | 78 |
| Healthcare | 4,079 | 6,094 | 14 | 3,477 | 5,179 | 15 |
| Wholesale trade | 4,042 | 7,401 | 26 | 3,656 | 6,796 | 50 |
| Transportation and warehousing | 3,105 | 4,222 | 3 | 2,304 | 3,152 | 16 |
| Retail trade | 2,624 | 5,699 | 38 | 2,639 | 5,548 | 56 |
| Construction | 1,995 | 3,254 | 105 | 2,226 | 3,470 | 199 |
| Mining | 1,683 | 2,767 | | 1,157 | 1,994 | 7 |
| Communication and information | 1,547 | 2,631 | 19 | 1,128 | 2,117 | 3 |
| Accommodation and food | 1,478 | 2,160 | 17 | 1,127 | 1,636 | 22 |
| Other services | 1,156 | 1,517 | 42 | 998 | 1,503 | 48 |
| Entertainment and recreation | 914 | 1,393 | 11 | 874 | 1,228 | 18 |
| Utilities | 608 | 2,009 | | 564 | 1,752 | |
| Public administration | 441 | 693 | | 644 | 886 | |
| Agribusiness | 376 | 527 | 44 | 425 | 564 | 65 |
| Individuals | 281 | 335 | 12 | 460 | 512 | 20 |
| Other | 3 | 2 | | 5 | 5 | |
| Total | \$ 49,388 | 84,937 | 697 | \$ 45,472 | 76,418 | 1,058 |
| By loan size: | | | | | | |
| Less than \$200,000 | 2 % | 1 | 9 | 2 % | 2 | 7 |
| \$200,000 to \$1 million | 6 | 5 | 22 | 8 | 6 | 23 |
| \$1 million to \$5 million | 15 | 12 | 28 | 18 | 15 | 32 |
| \$5 million to \$10 million | 11 | 9 | 13 | 12 | 10 | 15 |
| \$10 million to \$25 million | 27 | 25 | 24 | 28 | 25 | 19 |
| Greater than \$25 million | 39 | 48 | 4 | 32 | 42 | 4 |
| Total | 100 % | 100 | 100 | 100 % | 100 | 100 |
| By state: | | | | | | |
| Ohio | 20 % | 24 | 13 | 24 % | 27 | 16 |
| Michigan | 11 | 10 | 17 | 13 | 11 | 22 |
| Illinois | 8 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 10 |
| Florida | 7 | 6 | 19 | 8 | 6 | 17 |
| Indiana | 5 | 5 | 11 | 5 | 5 | 10 |
| Kentucky | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| North Carolina | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| | | | | | | |

| Tennessee | 3 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
|------------------|-------|-----|-----|-------|-----|-----|
| Pennsylvania | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| All other states | 36 | 36 | 20 | 31 | 31 | 14 |
| Total | 100 % | 100 | 100 | 100 % | 100 | 100 |

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The Bancorp has identified certain categories of loans which it believes represent a higher level of risk compared to the rest of the

Bancorp s loan portfolio, due to economic or market conditions within the Bancorp s key lending areas.

The following table provides analysis of each of the categories of loans (excluding loans held for sale) by state as of December 31, 2012 and 2011:

TABLE 33: NON-OWNER OCCUPIED COMMERCIAL REAL ESTATE (a)

| | | | | For the Year Ended |
|--|-------------|----------|---------------------|--------------------|
| As of December 31, 2012 (\$ in millions) | | | | December 31, 2012 |
| | | | 90 Days | |
| By State: | Outstanding | Exposure | Past Due Nonaccrual | Net Charge-offs |
| Ohio | \$ 1,236 | 1,351 | 39 | 19 |
| Michigan | 1,098 | 1,123 | 49 | 32 |
| Florida | 596 | 632 | 42 | 20 |
| Illinois | 430 | 481 | 21 | 11 |
| Indiana | 283 | 303 | 14 | 2 |
| North Carolina | 205 | 228 | 12 | 6 |
| All other states | 972 | 1,250 | 33 | (3) |
| Total | \$ 4,820 | 5,368 | 210 | 87 |

(a) Included in commercial mortgage and commercial construction loans on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. TABLE 34: NON-OWNER OCCUPIED COMMERCIAL REAL ESTATE ^(a)

| As of December 31, 2011 (\$ in millions) | | | | 90 Days | | For the Year Ended December 31, 2011 Net |
|--|-------|-----------|----------|----------|------------|--|
| By State: | Outs | standing | Exposure | Past Due | Nonaccrual | Charge-offs |
| Ohio | \$ | 1,958 | 2,125 | 1 | 88 | 64 |
| Michigan | | 1,443 | 1,476 | 1 | 77 | 39 |
| Florida | | 713 | 740 | | 72 | 44 |
| Illinois | | 417 | 499 | 1 | 44 | 31 |
| Indiana | | 312 | 316 | | 13 | 6 |
| North Carolina | | 302 | 332 | | 33 | 13 |
| All other states | | 586 | 650 | | 35 | 14 |
| Total | \$ | 5,731 | 6,138 | 3 | 362 | 211 |
| | 1 1 0 | 1.1.1.1.0 | 1 61 | | | |

(a) Included in commercial mortgage and commercial construction loans on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. TABLE 35: HOMEBUILDER AND DEVELOPER^(a)

| As of December 31, 2012 (\$ in millions) | | | | 90 Days | | For the Year Ended December 31, 2012 Net |
|--|----------|------|----------|----------|------------|---|
| By State: | Outstand | ling | Exposure | Past Due | Nonaccrual | Charge-offs |
| Ohio | \$ | 133 | 199 | | 11 | 7 |
| Michigan | | 52 | 60 | | 6 | 7 |
| Florida | | 32 | 59 | | 3 | 10 |
| North Carolina | | 24 | 34 | | 4 | 1 |
| Indiana | | 18 | 21 | | 8 | |
| Illinois | | 28 | 31 | | 8 | 3 |
| All other states | | 31 | 35 | | 2 | |

Table of Contents

Total

318

42

\$ 439 28 (a) Homebuilder and Developer loans, exclusive of commercial and industrial loans with an outstanding balance of \$73 and a total exposure of \$132 are also included in Table 33: Non-Owner Occupied Commercial Real Estate.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

TABLE 36: HOMEBUILDER AND DEVELOPER (a)

| As of December 31, 2011 (\$ in millions) | | | | 90 Days | | For the Year Ended December 31, 2011 Net |
|--|--------|-------|----------|----------|------------|--|
| By State: | Outsta | nding | Exposure | Past Due | Nonaccrual | Charge-offs |
| Ohio | \$ | 166 | 234 | | 15 | 22 |
| Michigan | | 108 | 128 | | 8 | 7 |
| Florida | | 64 | 73 | | 27 | 12 |
| North Carolina | | 50 | 56 | | 13 | 7 |
| Indiana | | 51 | 56 | | 10 | 3 |
| Illinois | | 16 | 27 | | 9 | 4 |
| All other states | | 57 | 69 | | 14 | 1 |
| Total | \$ | 512 | 643 | | 96 | 56 |

(a) Homebuilder and Developer loans, exclusive of commercial and industrial loans with an outstanding balance of \$136 and a total exposure of \$222 are also included in Table 34: Non-Owner Occupied Commercial Real Estate.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Consumer Portfolio

The Bancorp s consumer portfolio is materially comprised of three categories of loans: residential mortgage, home equity, and automobile. The Bancorp has identified certain categories within these loan types which it believes represent a higher level of risk compared to the rest of the consumer loan portfolio due to high loan amount to collateral value. The Bancorp does not update LTV ratios for the consumer portfolio subsequent to origination except as part of the charge-off process for real estate secured loans.

Residential Mortgage Portfolio

The Bancorp manages credit risk in the residential mortgage portfolio through conservative underwriting and documentation standards and geographic and product diversification. The Bancorp may also package and sell loans in the portfolio.

The Bancorp does not originate mortgage loans that permit customers to defer principal payments or make payments that are

less than the accruing interest. The Bancorp originates both fixed and adjustable rate residential mortgage loans. Resets of rates on adjustable rate mortgages are not expected to have a material impact on credit costs in the current interest rate environment, as approximately \$1.1 billion of adjustable rate residential mortgage loans will have rate resets during the next twelve months, with less than one percent of those resets expected to experience an increase in monthly payments in comparison to the monthly payment at the time of origination.

Certain residential mortgage products have contractual features that may increase credit exposure to the Bancorp in the event of a decline in housing values. These types of mortgage products offered by the Bancorp include loans with high LTV ratios, multiple loans on the same collateral that when combined result in an LTV greater than 80% and interest-only loans. The Bancorp monitors residential mortgage loans with greater than 80% LTV ratios and no mortgage insurance as it believes these loans represent a higher level of risk.

The following table provides an analysis of the residential mortgage portfolio loans outstanding, excluding held for sale, by LTV at origination:

TABLE 37: RESIDENTIAL MORTGAGE PORTFOLIO LOANS BY LTV AT ORIGINATION

| | 2012 | | | 2011 | |
|--|-------------|-------------|-----|----------|-------------|
| | | Weighted | | | Weighted |
| As of December 31 (\$ in millions) | Outstanding | Average LTV | Out | standing | Average LTV |
| LTV > 80% | \$ 8,993 | 65.8 % | \$ | 7,876 | 66.6 % |
| LTV > 80% , with mortgage insurance | 1,165 | 93.6 | | 1,030 | 92.7 |
| LTV > 80%, no mortgage insurance | 1,859 | 95.6 | | 1,766 | 95.6 |
| Total | \$ 12,017 | 73.1 % | \$ | 10,672 | 73.9 % |
| | | | | | |

The following tables provide analysis of the residential mortgage portfolio loans outstanding, excluding held for sale, with a greater than 80% LTV ratio and no mortgage insurance as of December 31, 2012 and 2011:

TABLE 38: RESIDENTIAL MORTGAGE PORTFOLIO LOANS, LTV GREATER THAN 80%, NO MORTGAGE INSURANCE

| As of December 31, 2012 (\$ in millions) | | | | For the Year Ended December 31, 2012 |
|--|-------------|---------------------|------------|---|
| By State: | Outstanding | 90 Days Past Due | Nonaccrual | Net Charge-offs |

Table of Contents

| Ohio | \$ 600 | 4 | 24 | 13 |
|------------------|----------|---|----|----|
| Michigan | 310 | 1 | 10 | 10 |
| Florida | 262 | | 17 | 15 |
| North Carolina | 111 | 1 | 5 | 3 |
| Indiana | 115 | 1 | 5 | 2 |
| Illinois | 193 | 1 | 5 | 3 |
| Kentucky | 89 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| All other states | 179 | | 5 | 5 |
| Total | \$ 1,859 | 9 | 73 | 52 |

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

TABLE 39: RESIDENTIAL MORTGAGE PORTFOLIO LOANS, LTV GREATER THAN 80%, NO MORTGAGE INSURANCE

| | | | | | For the Year Ended |
|--|--------|--------|----------|------------|--------------------|
| As of December 31, 2011 (\$ in millions) | | | 00 D | | December 31, 2011 |
| | | | 90 Days | | |
| By State: | Outsta | anding | Past Due | Nonaccrual | Net Charge-offs |
| Ohio | \$ | 600 | 6 | 25 | 15 |
| Michigan | | 305 | 1 | 14 | 13 |
| Florida | | 283 | 2 | 27 | 29 |
| North Carolina | | 123 | | 4 | 7 |
| Indiana | | 111 | 1 | 4 | 2 |
| Illinois | | 122 | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| Kentucky | | 84 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| All other states | | 138 | 1 | 5 | 7 |
| Total | \$ | 1,766 | 13 | 85 | 76 |

Home Equity Portfolio

The Bancorp shome equity portfolio is primarily comprised of home equity lines of credit. The home equity line of credit offered by the Bancorp is a revolving facility with a 20-year term, minimum payments of interest only and a balloon payment of principal at maturity.

The ALLL provides coverage for probable and estimable losses in the home equity portfolio. The allowance attributable to the portion of the home equity portfolio that has not been restructured in a TDR is calculated on a pooled basis with first lien and junior-lien categories segmented in the determination of the probable credit losses in the home equity portfolio. The modeled loss factor for the home equity portfolio is based on the trailing twelve month historical loss rate for each category, as adjusted for certain prescriptive loss rate factors and certain qualitative adjustment factors to reflect risks associated with current conditions and trends. The prescriptive loss rate factors include adjustments for delinquency trends, LTV trends, refreshed FICO score trends and product mix. The qualitative factors include adjustments for credit administration and portfolio management, credit policy and underwriting and the national and local economy. The Bancorp considers home price index trends when determining the national and local economy qualitative factor.

The home equity portfolio is managed in two primary groups: loans outstanding with a LTV greater than 80% and those loans with a LTV 80% or less based upon appraisals at origination. The carrying value of the greater than 80% LTV home equity loans and 80% or less LTV home equity loans were \$3.7 billion and \$6.3

billion, respectively, as of December 31, 2012. Of the total \$10.0 billion of outstanding home equity loans:

82% reside within the Bancorp s Midwest footprint of Ohio, Michigan, Kentucky, Indiana and Illinois;

32% are in first lien positions and 68% are in second lien positions at December 31, 2012;

For approximately 1/3 of the home equity portfolio in a second lien position, the first lien is either owned or serviced by the Bancorp;

Over 80% of non-delinquent borrowers made at least one payment greater than the minimum payment during the year ended December 31, 2012; and

The portfolio had an average refreshed FICO score of 735 and 734 at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The Bancorp actively manages lines of credit and makes reductions in lending limits when it believes it is necessary based on FICO score deterioration and property devaluation. The Bancorp does not routinely obtain appraisals on performing loans to update LTV ratios after origination. However, the Bancorp monitors the local housing markets by reviewing various home price indices and incorporates the impact of the changing market conditions in its on-going credit monitoring processes. For second lien home equity loans, the Bancorp is unable to track the performance of the first lien loans if it does not service the first lien loan, but instead monitors the refreshed FICO scores as part of its assessment of the home equity portfolio.

The following table provides an analysis of home equity loans outstanding disaggregated based upon refreshed FICO score:

TABLE 40: HOME EQUITY LOANS OUTSTANDING BY REFRESHED FICO SCORE

| (\$ in millions) | December 31, 2012 | % of Total | December 31, 2011 | % of Total |
|--------------------|----------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------|
| First Liens: | | | | |
| FICO < 620 | \$ 224 | 2% | 214 | 2% |
| FICO 621-719 | 653 | 6 | 643 | 6 |
| FICO > 720 | 2,374 | 24 | 2,466 | 23 |
| Total First Liens | 3,251 | 32 | 3,323 | 31 |
| Second Liens: | | | | |
| FICO < 620 | 661 | 7 | 750 | 7 |
| FICO 621-719 | 1,817 | 18 | 1,929 | 18 |
| FICO > 720 | 4,289 | 43 | 4,717 | 44 |
| Total Second Liens | 6,767 | 68 | 7,396 | 69 |
| Total | \$ 10,018 | 100% | 10,719 | 100% |

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The Bancorp believes that home equity loans with a greater than 80% combined LTV ratio present a higher level of risk. The following table provides an analysis of the home equity loans outstanding in a first and second lien position by LTV at origination:

TABLE 41: HOME EQUITY LOANS OUTSTANDING BY LTV AT ORIGINATION

| | 2 | 012 | 2011 | | |
|---|---------------------------|--------------------|----------------|-------------|--|
| | | Weighted | | Weighted | |
| As of December 31 (\$ in millions) | Outstanding | Average LTV | Outstanding | Average LTV | |
| First Liens: | _ | - | - | - | |
| LTV £ 80% | \$ 2,763 | 54.9 % | \$ 2,800 | 54.9 % | |
| LTV > 80% | 488 | 88.9 | 523 | 89.2 | |
| Total First Liens | 3,251 | 60.2 | 3,323 | 60.4 | |
| Second Liens: | | | | | |
| LTV £ 80% | 3,602 | 67.3 | 3,882 | 67.3 | |
| LTV > 80% | 3,165 | 91.6 | 3,514 | 91.8 | |
| Total Second Liens | 6,767 | 80.5 | 7,396 | 81.0 | |
| Total | \$ 10,018 | 73.4 % | \$ 10,719 | 74.0 % | |
| The following tables provide analysis of home equity loans by sta | te with I TV greater than | 80% as of December | 31 2012 and 20 | 11. | |

The following tables provide analysis of home equity loans by state with LTV greater than 80% as of December 31, 2012 and 2011:

TABLE 42: HOME EQUITY LOANS OUTSTANDING WITH LTV GREATER THAN 80%

| As of December 31, 2012 (\$ in millions) | | | 90 Days | | For the Year Ended December 31, 2012 |
|--|-----------------|--------------|----------|------------|--|
| By State: | Outstanding | Exposure | Past Due | Nonaccrual | Net Charge-offs |
| Ohio | \$ 1,254 | 1,927 | 8 | 6 | 24 |
| Michigan | 795 | 1,108 | 6 | 4 | 24 |
| Illinois | 428 | 611 | 5 | 3 | 17 |
| Indiana | 348 | 521 | 2 | 2 | 5 |
| Kentucky | 327 | 499 | 2 | 1 | 6 |
| Florida | 130 | 175 | 2 | 3 | 8 |
| All other states | 371 | 491 | 4 | 2 | 17 |
| Total | \$ 3,653 | 5,332 | 29 | 21 | 101 |
| TABLE 42. HOME FOURTVIOANCOUTETANDIN | C WITH I TV CDE | ATED TILAN C | 0007 | | |

TABLE 43: HOME EQUITY LOANS OUTSTANDING WITH LTV GREATER THAN 80%

| As of December 31, 2011 (\$ in millions) | | | | 90 Days | | For the Year Ended December 31, 2011 Net |
|--|----------|------|----------|----------|------------|---|
| By State: | Outstand | ding | Exposure | Past Due | Nonaccrual | Charge-offs |
| Ohio | \$ 1, | ,393 | 2,083 | 12 | 7 | 33 |
| Michigan | | 884 | 1,197 | 8 | 4 | 37 |
| Illinois | | 448 | 630 | 8 | 2 | 17 |
| Indiana | | 391 | 573 | 2 | 2 | 9 |
| Kentucky | | 366 | 549 | 3 | 2 | 8 |
| Florida | | 146 | 190 | 4 | 3 | 17 |
| All other states | | 409 | 519 | 5 | 2 | 19 |
| Total | \$ 4, | ,037 | 5,741 | 42 | 22 | 140 |

Automobile Portfolio

The automobile portfolio is characterized by direct and indirect lending products to consumers. As of December 31, 2012, 50% of the automobile loan portfolio is comprised of new

automobiles. It is a common practice to advance on automobile loans an amount in excess of the automobile value due to the inclusion of taxes, title, and other fees paid at closing. The Bancorp monitors its exposure to these higher risk loans.

The following table provides an analysis of automobile loans outstanding by LTV at origination:

TABLE 44: AUTOMOBILE LOANS OUTSTANDING WITH LTV AT ORIGINATION

| | 2012 | | | 011 |
|------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | | Weighted | | Weighted |
| As of December 31 (\$ in millions) | Outstanding | Average LTV | Outstanding | Average LTV |
| LTV £ 100% | \$ 8,123 | 81.5 % | \$ 7,805 | 81.7 % |
| LTV > 100% | 3,849 | 110.8 | 4,022 | 111.5 |
| Total | \$ 11,972 | 91.2 % | \$ 11,827 | 92.1 % |

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following tables provide analysis of the Bancorp s automobile loans with a LTV at origination greater than 100% as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively:

TABLE 45: AUTOMOBILE LOANS OUTSTANDING WITH LTV GREATER THAN 100%

| As of December 31, 2012 (\$ in millions) | | | | For the Year Ended December 31, 2012 |
|---|-----------------------|----------|------------|--|
| | | 90 Days | | |
| By State: | Outstanding | Past Due | Nonaccrual | Net Charge-offs |
| Ohio | \$ 409 | | | 2 |
| Illinois | 232 | | | 2 |
| Michigan | 221 | | | 2 |
| Indiana | 158 | | | 1 |
| Florida | 194 | | | 1 |
| Kentucky | 141 | | | 1 |
| All other states | 2,494 | 4 | 2 | 15 |
| Total | \$ 3,849 | 4 | 2 | 24 |
| TABLE 46: AUTOMOBILE LOANS OUTSTANDING | WITH LTV GREATER THAN | N 100% | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | For the Year Ended |
| | | | | December 31, |
| As of December 31, 2011 (\$ in millions) | | | | 2011 |
| | | 90 Days | | Net |
| By State: | Outstanding | Past Due | Nonaccrual | Charge-offs |
| Ohio | \$ 425 | 1 | | 3 |

| Ohio | \$ 425 | 1 | | 3 |
|------------------|-------------|---|---|----|
| Illinois | 291 | | | 3 |
| Michigan | 245 | | | 2 |
| Indiana | 181 | | | 2 |
| Florida | 192 | | | 3 |
| Kentucky | 158 | | | 1 |
| All other states | 2,530 | 3 | 2 | 20 |
| Total | \$ 4,022 | 4 | 2 | 34 |
| | | | | |

European Exposure

The Bancorp has no direct sovereign exposure to any European nation as of December 31, 2012. In providing services to our customers, the Bancorp routinely enters into financial transactions with foreign domiciled and U.S. subsidiaries of foreign businesses as well as foreign financial institutions. These financial transactions are in the form of loans, loan commitments, letters of credit, derivatives and securities. The Bancorp s risk appetite for foreign country exposure is managed by having established country exposure limits. The Bancorp s total exposure to European domiciled or owned

businesses and European financial institutions was \$2.6 billion and funded exposure was \$1.5 billion as of December 31, 2012. Additionally, the Bancorp was within its established country exposure limits for all European countries.

Certain European countries have been experiencing increased levels of stress throughout 2012 including Greece, Ireland, Italy, Portugal and Spain. The Bancorp s total exposure to businesses domiciled or owned by companies and financial institutions in these countries was approximately \$210 million and funded exposure was \$115 million as of December 31, 2012.

The following table provides detail about the Bancorp s exposure to all European domiciled and owned businesses and financial institutions as of December 31, 2012:

TABLE 47: EUROPEAN EXPOSURE

| | Sau | | | ancial tutions | | inancial autions | т | otal |
|----------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|-------------------|----------|---------------------|------------|----------|
| | 300 | ereigns | msu | tutions | msui | lutions | 1 | otai |
| | Total | Funded | Total | Funded | Total | Funded | Total | Funded |
| | | | | | | | Exposure | |
| (\$ in millions) | Exposure | Exposure | Exposure | Exposure | Exposure | Exposure | <i>(a)</i> | Exposure |
| Peripheral Europe ^(b) | \$ | | 26 | | 184 | 115 | 210 | 115 |
| Other Eurozone ^(c) | | | 50 | 46 | 1,463 | 846 | 1,513 | 892 |
| Total Eurozone | | | 76 | 46 | 1,647 | 961 | 1,723 | 1,007 |
| Other Europe ^(d) | | | 62 | 32 | 821 | 485 | 883 | 517 |
| Total Europe | \$ | | 138 | 78 | 2,468 | 1,446 | 2,606 | 1,524 |
| | | | a 11 1 | | | | | |

(a) Total exposure includes funded exposure and unfunded commitments, reported net of collateral.

(b) Peripheral Europe includes Greece, Ireland, Italy, Portugal and Spain.

(c) Eurozone includes countries participating in the European common currency (Euro).

(d) Other Europe includes European countries not part of the Euro (primarily the United Kingdom and Switzerland).

Analysis of Nonperforming Assets

Nonperforming assets include nonaccrual loans and leases for which ultimate collectability of the full amount of the principal and/or interest is uncertain; restructured commercial and credit card

loans which have not yet met the requirements to be classified as a performing asset; restructured consumer loans which are 90 days past due based on the restructured terms unless the loan is both well-secured and in the process of collection; and certain other assets, including OREO and other repossessed property. A summary of nonperforming assets is included in Table 48.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Residential mortgage loans are typically placed on nonaccrual status when principal and interest payments have become past due 150 days unless such loans are both well secured and in the process of collection. Residential mortgage loans may stay on nonaccrual status for an extended time as the foreclosure process typically lasts longer than 180 days. Typically, home equity loans are reported on nonaccrual status if principal or interest has been in default for 180 days or more unless the loan is both well secured and in the process of collection. Residential mortgage, home equity, automobile and other consumer loans and leases that have been modified in a TDR and subsequently become past due 90 days are placed on nonaccrual status unless the loan is both well secured and in the process of collection. Commercial and credit card loans that have been modified in a TDR are classified as nonaccrual unless such loans have a sustained repayment performance of six months or greater and the Bancorp is reasonably assured of repayment in accordance with the restructured terms. Well secured loans are collateralized by perfected security interests in real and/or personal property for which the Bancorp estimates proceeds from sale would be sufficient to recover the outstanding principal and accrued interest balance of the loan and pay all costs to sell the collateral. The Bancorp considers a loan in the process of collection if collection efforts or legal action is proceeding and the Bancorp expects to collect funds sufficient to bring the loan current or recover the entire outstanding principal and accrued interest balance. When a loan is placed on nonaccrual status, the accrual of interest, amortization of loan premiums, accretion of loan discounts and amortization or accretion of deferred net loan fees or costs are discontinued and previously accrued, but unpaid interest is reversed. Commercial loans on nonaccrual status are reviewed for impairment at least quarterly. If the principal or a portion of the principal is deem

Total nonperforming assets, including loans held for sale, were \$1.3 billion at December 31 2012 compared to \$2.0 billion at December 31, 2011. At December 31, 2012, \$29 million of nonaccrual loans, consisting primarily of real estate secured loans, were held for sale, compared to \$138 million at December 31, 2011.

Nonperforming assets as a percentage of total loans, leases and other assets, including OREO and nonaccrual loans held for sale as of December 31, 2012 were 1.48%, compared to 2.32% as of December 31, 2011. Excluding nonaccrual loans held for sale, nonperforming assets as a percentage of portfolio loans, leases and other assets, including OREO was 1.49% as of December 31, 2012, compared to 2.23% as of December 31, 2011. The composition of nonaccrual loans and leases continues to be concentrated in real estate as 67% of nonaccrual loans and leases were secured by real estate as of December 31, 2012 compared to 69% as of December 31, 2011.

Commercial nonperforming loans and leases were \$726 million at December 31, 2012, a decrease of \$470 million from December 31, 2011. Excluding commercial nonperforming loans and leases held for sale, commercial nonperforming loans and leases at December 2012 decreased \$361 million compared to December 31, 2011. The decrease from December 31, 2011 was due to a continued decrease in new nonaccruals and an increase in paydowns and payoffs in 2012 due to improved delinquency metrics and an improvement in underlying loss trends.

Consumer nonperforming loans and leases were \$332 million at December 31, 2012, a decrease of \$48 million from December 31, 2011. The decrease is due to the continued moderation in general economic conditions in 2012. Home equity nonaccrual levels remain modest as the Bancorp continues to fully charge-off a high proportion of the severely delinquent loans at 180 days past due.

Geography continues to be a large driver of nonaccrual activity as Florida properties represent approximately 14% and 8% of residential mortgage and home equity balances, respectively, but represent 47% and 19% of nonaccrual loans for each category. Refer to Table 49 for a rollforward of the nonperforming loans and leases.

Consumer restructured loans on accrual status totaled \$1.7 billion and \$1.6 billion at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. As of December 31, 2012, the percentage of restructured residential mortgage loans, home equity loans and credit card loans that are past due 30 days or more are 25%, 13% and 14%, respectively.

OREO and other repossessed property was \$257 million at December 31, 2012, compared to \$378 million at December 31, 2011. The decrease from December 31, 2011 was primarily due to a decrease in new OREO properties reflecting the changes made to the Bancorp s underwriting of real estate loans in prior periods as well as improvements in general economic conditions during 2011 and 2012. The Bancorp recognized \$74 million and \$171 million in losses on the sale or write-down of OREO properties in 2012 and 2011, respectively. These losses are primarily reflective of the continued stress in the Michigan and Florida markets for commercial real estate and residential mortgage loans as Michigan and Florida represented 14% and 17%, respectively, of total OREO losses in 2012 compared with 16% and 26%, respectively, in 2011. Properties in Michigan and Florida accounted for 38% of OREO at December 31, 2012, compared to 42% at December 31, 2011.

In 2012 and 2011, approximately \$102 million and \$125 million, respectively, of interest income would have been recorded if the nonaccrual and renegotiated loans and leases on nonaccrual status had been current in accordance with their original terms. Although these values help demonstrate the costs of carrying nonaccrual credits, the Bancorp does not expect to recover the full amount of interest as nonaccrual loans and leases are generally carried below their principal balance.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

TABLE 48: SUMMARY OF NONPERFORMING ASSETS AND DELINQUENT LOANS

| As of December 31 (\$ in millions) | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|--|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Nonaccrual loans and leases: | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial loans | \$ 234 | 408 | 473 | 734 | 541 |
| Commercial mortgage loans | 215 | 358 | 407 | 898 | 482 |
| Commercial construction loans | 70 | 123 | 182 | 646 | 362 |
| Commercial leases | 1 | 9 | 11 | 67 | 21 |
| Residential mortgage loans | 114 | 134 | 152 | 275 | 259 |
| Home equity | 30 | 25 | 23 | 21 | 26 |
| Automobile loans | | | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| Other consumer loans and leases | 1 | 1 | 84 | | |
| Restructured loans and leases: | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial loans | 96 | 79 | 95 | 35 | |
| Commercial mortgage loans | 67 | 63 | 28 | 4 | |
| Commercial construction loans | 6 | 15 | 10 | 8 | |
| Commercial leases | 8 | 3 | 8 | | |
| Residential mortgage loans (a) | 123 | 141 | 116 | 137 | 20 |
| Home equity ^(a) | 23 | 29 | 33 | 33 | 29 |
| Automobile loans ^(a) | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Credit card | 39 | 48 | 55 | 87 | 30 |
| Total nonperforming loans and leases ^(e) | 1,029 | 1,438 | 1,680 | 2,947 | 1,776 |
| OREO and other repossessed property $^{(d)}$ | 257 | 378 | 494 | 297 | 230 |
| Total nonperforming assets | 1,286 | 1,816 | 2,174 | 3,244 | 2,006 |
| Nonaccrual loans held for sale | 29 | 138 | 294 | 224 | 473 |
| Total nonperforming assets including loans held for sale | \$ 1,315 | 1,954 | 2,468 | 3,468 | 2,479 |
| Loans and leases 90 days past due and accruing: | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial loans | \$ 1 | 4 | 16 | 118 | 76 |
| Commercial mortgage loans | 22 | 3 | 11 | 59 | 136 |
| Commercial construction loans | 1 | 1 | 3 | 17 | 74 |
| Commercial leases | | | | 4 | 4 |
| Residential mortgage loans ^(c) | 75 | 79 | 100 | 189 | 198 |
| Home equity | 58 | 74 | 89 | 99 | 96 |
| Automobile loans | 8 | 9 | 13 | 17 | 21 |
| Credit card and other | 30 | 30 | 42 | 64 | 56 |
| Other consumer loans and leases | | | | | 1 |
| Total loans and leases 90 days past due and accruing ^(f) | \$ 195 | 200 | 274 | 567 | 662 |
| Nonperforming assets as a percent of portfolio loans, leases and other assets, including OREO ^(b) | 1.49% | 2.23 | 2.79 | 4.22 | 2.38 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses as a percent of nonperforming $assets^{(a)(b)}$ | 144 | 124 | 138 | 116 | 139 |
| | | | | | |

(a) During 2009, the Bancorp modified its consumer nonaccrual policy to exclude TDR loans that were less than 90 days past due because they were performing in accordance with the restructured terms. For comparability purposes, prior periods were adjusted to reflect this reclassification.
 (b) Excludes nonaccrual loans held for sale.

(c) Information for all periods presented excludes advances made pursuant to servicing agreements to GNMA mortgage loan pools whose repayments are insured

by the Federal Housing Administration or guaranteed by the Department of Veterans Affairs. As of **December 31, 2012**, 2011, 2010, 2009, and 2008 these advances were **\$414**, \$309, \$279, \$130 and \$40 respectively. The Bancorp recognized credit losses of \$2 million for the year ended **December 31, 2012** and immaterial credit losses for 2011 due to claim denials and curtailments associated with these advances.

(d) Excludes **\$72**, \$64, \$38, \$15 and \$23 of OREO related to government insured loans at **December 31**, **2012**, 2011, 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively. (e) Includes **\$10**, \$17, \$24, \$32, and \$29 of nonaccrual government insured commercial loans whose repayments are insured by the Small Business Administration

at December 31, 2012, 2011, 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively, and \$1 and \$2 of restructured nonaccrual government insured commercial loans at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively and zero for 2010, 2009 and 2008.

(f) Includes an immaterial amount of government insured commercial loans 90 days past due and accruing whose repayments are insured by the Small Business Administration at December 31, 2012, 2011, 2010, 2009, and 2008.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following table provides a rollforward of portfolio nonperforming loans and leases, by portfolio segment:

TABLE 49: ROLLFORWARD OF PORTFOLIO NONPERFORMING LOANS AND LEASES

| | | | Residential | | |
|---|-----|----------|-------------|----------|---------|
| For the year ended December 31, 2012 (\$ in millions) | Cor | nmercial | Mortgage | Consumer | Total |
| Beginning Balance | \$ | 1,058 | 275 | 105 | 1,438 |
| Transfers to nonperforming | | 560 | 318 | 354 | 1,232 |
| Transfers to performing | | (22) | (45) | (73) | (140) |
| Transfers to performing (restructured) | | (31) | (57) | (90) | (178) |
| Transfers to held for sale | | (13) | | | (13) |
| Loans sold from portfolio | | (36) | (4) | | (40) |
| Loan paydowns/payoffs | | (466) | (121) | (12) | (599) |
| Transfers to other real estate owned | | (108) | (71) | | (179) |
| Charge-offs | | (297) | (58) | (194) | (549) |
| Draws/other extensions of credit | | 52 | | 5 | 57 |
| Ending Balance | \$ | 697 | 237 | 95 | 1,029 |
| For the year ended December 31, 2011 (\$ in millions) | | | | | |
| Beginning Balance | \$ | 1,214 | 268 | 198 | 1,680 |
| Transfers to nonperforming | | 1,075 | 396 | 456 | 1,927 |
| Transfers to performing | | (23) | (45) | (85) | (153) |
| Transfers to performing (restructured) | | (1) | (74) | (95) | (170) |
| Transfers from held for sale | | 4 | | | 4 |
| Transfers to held for sale | | (92) | | | (92) |
| Loans sold from portfolio | | (57) | (1) | (21) | (79) |
| Loan paydowns/payoffs | | (425) | (85) | (13) | (523) |
| Transfers to other real estate owned | | (110) | (79) | | (189) |
| Charge-offs | | (554) | (106) | (342) | (1,002) |
| Draws/other extensions of credit | | 27 | 1 | 7 | 35 |
| Ending Balance | \$ | 1,058 | 275 | 105 | 1,438 |

Troubled Debt Restructurings

If a borrower is experiencing financial difficulty, the Bancorp may consider, in certain circumstances, modifying the terms of their loan to maximize collection of amounts due. Typically, these modifications reduce the loan interest rate, extend the loan term, or in limited circumstances, reduce the principal balance of the loan. These modifications are classified as TDRs.

At the time of modification, the Bancorp maintains certain consumer loan TDRs (including residential mortgage loans, home equity loans, and other consumer loans) on accrual status, provided there is reasonable assurance of repayment and performance according to the modified terms based upon a current, well-documented credit evaluation. Commercial loans modified as part of a TDR are maintained on accrual status provided there is a sustained payment history of six months or greater prior to the modification in accordance with the modified terms and all remaining contractual payments under the modified terms are reasonably assured of collection. TDRs of commercial loans and credit card loans that do not have a sustained payment history of six

months or greater in accordance with the modified terms remain on nonaccrual status until a six-month payment history is sustained.

During the third quarter of 2012, the OCC, a national bank regulatory agency, issued interpretive guidance that requires Chapter 7 non-reaffirmed loans to be accounted for as nonperforming TDRs and collateral dependent loans regardless of their payment history and capacity to pay in the future. The Bancorp s banking subsidiary is a state chartered bank and therefore is not subject to guidance of the OCC, however, the Bancorp is closely following these developments and is in communication with its regulators to evaluate their position on this new guidance. At December 31, 2012, the Bancorp had loans with unpaid principal balances totaling approximately \$175 million that could

potentially be impacted by this guidance, of which approximately 87% are current with their original contractual payments and approximately one third of which are already classified as TDRs. This guidance, if fully adopted by the Bancorp s regulators, would result in additional charge-offs of approximately \$70 million as well as additional TDRs and possible increases to nonperforming assets.

The following table summarizes TDRs by loan type and delinquency status:

TABLE 50: PERFORMING AND NONPERFORMING TDRs

| | | | Performing | | | |
|--|---------|-------|------------|---------------|-------------|----------|
| | | | 30-89 Days | 90 Days or | | |
| As of December 31, 2012 (\$ in millions) | Cu | rrent | Past Due | More Past Due | Nonaccrual | Total |
| Commercial | \$ | 431 | | | 177 | \$ 608 |
| Residential mortgages ^(a) | | 1,006 | 70 | 99 | 123 | 1,298 |
| Home equity | | 377 | 35 | | 23 | 435 |
| Credit card | | 35 | | | 39 | 74 |
| Automobile and other consumer loans and leases | | 31 | 2 | | 2 | 35 |
| Total | \$ | 1,880 | 107 | 99 | 364 | \$ 2,450 |
| | C CONTA | | | | 1 1 1 1 1 1 | |

(a) Information includes advances made pursuant to servicing agreements for GNMA mortgage pools whose repayments are insured by the Federal Housing Administration or guaranteed by the Department of Veterans Affairs. As of December 31, 2012, these advances represented \$107 of current loans, \$26 of 30-89 days past due loans and \$79 of 90 days or more past due loans.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Analysis of Net Loan Charge-offs

Net charge-offs were 85 bps and 149 bps of average portfolio loans and leases for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Table 51 provides a summary of credit loss experience and net charge-offs as a percentage of average portfolio loans and leases outstanding by loan category.

The ratio of commercial loan and lease net charge-offs to average portfolio commercial loans and leases decreased to 63 bps during 2012 compared to 126 bps in 2011, as a result of decreases in net charge-offs of \$257 million coupled with an increase in average portfolio commercial loan and lease balances of \$3.0 billion. Decreases in net charge-offs were realized across all commercial loan types, excluding commercial leases, and were primarily due to improvements in general economic conditions and previous actions taken by the Bancorp to address problem loans. Among several actions taken by the Bancorp were suspending homebuilder and developer lending in 2007 and non-owner occupied commercial real estate lending in 2008 and tightened underwriting standards across all commercial loan product offerings. The Bancorp resumed homebuilder and developer lending and non-owner occupied commercial real estate lending in the third quarter of 2011. Net charge-offs for 2012 related to non-owner occupied commercial real estate are recorded in the commercial mortgage loans and commercial construction loans captions in Table 51. Net charge-offs on these loans represented 29% of total commercial loan and lease net charge-offs in 2012 and 38% in 2011.

The ratio of consumer loan and lease net charge-offs to average consumer loans and leases decreased to 113 bps in 2012 compared to 179 bps in 2011. Residential mortgage loan net charge-offs, which typically involve partial charge-offs based upon appraised values of underlying collateral, decreased \$51 million from

the prior year as a result of improvements in delinquencies and a decrease in the average loss recorded per charge-off. The Bancorp s combined Florida and Michigan markets accounted for 66% and 58% of net charge-offs on residential mortgage loans in the portfolio in 2012 and 2011, respectively. Fifth Third expects the composition of the residential mortgage portfolio to improve as it continues to retain high quality, shorter duration residential mortgage loans that are originated through its branch network as a low-cost, refinance product of conforming residential mortgage loans.

Home equity net charge-offs decreased \$63 million compared to the prior year, primarily due to decreases in net charge-offs in the Michigan market. In addition, management actively manages lines of credit and makes reductions in lending limits when it believes it is necessary based on FICO score deterioration or property devaluation.

Automobile loan net charge-offs decreased \$22 million compared to 2011, due to the origination of high credit quality loans as a result of tighter underwriting standards and higher resale on automobiles sold at auction.

Credit card net charge-offs decreased \$24 million from 2011 reflecting improving delinquency trends, aggressive line management, and stabilization in unemployment levels. The Bancorp utilizes a risk-adjusted pricing methodology to ensure adequate compensation is received for those products that have higher credit costs.

Other consumer loan net charge-offs decreased \$51 million compared to 2011 due to charge-offs of \$56 million recognized in 2011 associated with certain consumer loans that were acquired during the fourth quarter of 2010 when the Bancorp foreclosed on a commercial loan that was collateralized by individual consumer loans.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

TABLE 51: SUMMARY OF CREDIT LOSS EXPERIENCE

| Losses charged off: | |
|---|----------------|
| Commercial and industrial loans (194) (314) (631) (768) (120) (211) (511) (426) | (667) |
| | (618) |
| | (750) |
| Commercial leases (10) (1) (7) (11) Delider in term (120) (140) (250) | (2.12) |
| | (243) |
| | (212) |
| | (168) |
| | (101) |
| | (32) 2,791) |
| Total losses (837) (1,314) (2,485) (2,719) (2 Recoveries of losses previously charged off: (2,485) (2,719) (2 | 2,791) |
| Commercial and industrial loans 29 38 45 50 | 18 |
| $\begin{array}{c} 27 & 58 & 45 & 50 \\ \hline \\ Commercial mortgage loans & 21 & 16 & 17 & 14 \\ \hline \\ \end{array}$ | 5 |
| Commercial construction loans 21 10 17 14 Question 10 17 14 | 2 |
| Commercial leases2354 | 1 |
| Commeteral reases2554Residential mortgage loans7722 | 1 |
| Home equity 15 14 12 8 | 7 |
| Automobile loans 24 32 44 41 | 34 |
| Credit card 16 16 9 8 | 7 |
| Other consumer loans and leases 10 12 10 7 | 7 |
| Total recoveries 133 142 157 138 | 81 |
| Net losses charged off: | 01 |
| | (649) |
| | (613) |
| | (748) |
| $\begin{array}{c} \text{Commercial leases} \\ \text{(8)} \\ 2 \\ (2) \\ (7) \\ \end{array}$ | 1 |
| | (243) |
| | (205) |
| | (134) |
| Credit card (74) (98) (155) (170) | (94) |
| Other consumer loans and leases (23) (74) (18) (21) | (25) |
| Total net losses charged off \$ (704) (1,172) (2,328) (2,581) (2 | 2,710) |
| Net charge-offs as a percent of average loans and leases (excluding held for sale): | |
| Commercial and industrial loans 0.50% 0.97 2.23 2.61 | 2.31 |
| Commercial mortgage loans 1.02 1.89 4.58 3.43 | 4.80 |
| Commercial construction loans 3.08 4.96 8.48 9.24 1 | 2.80 |
| Commercial leases 0.22 (0.08) 0.05 0.22 | (0.02) |
| Total commercial loans 0.63 1.26 3.10 3.27 | 3.99 |
| Residential mortgage loans 1.07 1.75 5.49 4.15 | 2.47 |
| Home equity 1.51 1.97 2.20 2.57 | 1.67 |
| Automobile loans 0.26 0.47 0.85 1.68 | 1.56 |
| Credit card 3.79 5.19 8.28 8.87 | 5.51 |
| Other consumer loans and leases 7.02 15.29 2.58 2.14 | 2.10 |
| Total consumer loans and leases 1.13 1.79 2.92 3.10 | 2.08 |
| Total net losses charged off 0.85% 1.49 3.02 3.20 | 3.23 |

Allowance for Credit Losses

The allowance for credit losses is comprised of the ALLL and the reserve for unfunded commitments. The ALLL provides coverage for probable and estimable losses in the loan and lease portfolio. The Bancorp evaluates the ALLL each quarter to determine its adequacy to cover inherent losses. Several factors are taken into consideration in the determination of the overall ALLL, including an unallocated component. These factors include, but are not limited to, the overall risk profile of the loan and lease portfolios, net charge-off experience, the extent of impaired loans and leases, the level of nonaccrual loans and leases, the level of 90 days past due loans and leases and the overall percentage

level of the ALLL. The Bancorp also considers overall asset quality trends, credit administration and portfolio management practices, risk identification practices, credit policy and underwriting practices, overall portfolio growth, portfolio concentrations and current

national and local economic conditions that might impact the portfolio. See the Critical Accounting Policies section for more information.

In 2012, the Bancorp did not substantively change any material aspect of its overall approach in the determination of the ALLL and there have been no material changes in assumptions or estimation techniques as compared to prior periods that impacted the determination of the current period allowance. In addition to the ALLL, the Bancorp maintains a reserve for unfunded commitments recorded in other liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The methodology used to determine the adequacy of this reserve is similar to the Bancorp s methodology for determining the ALLL. The provision for unfunded commitments is included in other noninterest expense in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

The ALLL attributable to the portion of the residential mortgage and consumer loan and lease portfolio that has not been

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

restructured is determined on a pooled basis with the segmentation being based on the similarity of credit risk characteristics. Loss factors for real estate backed consumer loans are developed for each pool based on the trailing twelve month historical loss rate, as adjusted for certain prescriptive loss rate factors and certain qualitative adjustment factors. The prescriptive loss rate factors and qualitative adjustments are designed to reflect risks associated with current conditions and trends which are not believed to be fully reflected in the trailing twelve month historical loss rate. For real estate backed consumer loans, the prescriptive loss rate factors include adjustments for delinquency trends, LTV trends, refreshed FICO score trends and product mix, and the qualitative factors include adjustments for credit administration and portfolio management practices, credit policy and underwriting practices and the national and local economy. The Bancorp considers home price index trends in its footprint when determining the national and local economy qualitative factor. The Bancorp also considers the volatility of collateral valuation trends when determining the unallocated component of the ALLL.

The Bancorp's determination of the ALLL for commercial loans is sensitive to the risk grades it assigns to these loans. In the event that 10% of commercial loans in each risk category would experience a downgrade of one risk category, the allowance for commercial loans would increase by approximately \$154 million at December 31, 2012. In addition, the Bancorp's determination of the allowance for residential and consumer loans is sensitive to changes in estimated loss rates. In the event that estimated loss rates would increase by 10%, the allowance for residential and consumer loans would increase by approximately \$51 million at December 31, 2012. As several qualitative and quantitative factors are considered in determining the ALLL, these sensitivity analyses do not necessarily reflect the nature and extent of future changes in the ALLL. They are intended to provide insights into the impact of adverse changes to risk grades and estimated loss rates and do not imply any expectation of future deterioration in the risk ratings or loss rates. Given current processes employed by the Bancorp, management believes the risk grades and estimated loss rates currently assigned are appropriate.

TABLE 52: CHANGES IN ALLOWANCE FOR CREDIT LOSSES

| For the years ended December 31 (\$ in millions) | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|--|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| ALLL: | | | | | |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 2,255 | 3,004 | 3,749 | 2,787 | 937 |
| Impact of change in accounting principle | | | 45 | | |
| Losses charged off | (837) | (1,314) | (2,485) | (2,719) | (2,791) |
| Recoveries of losses previously charged off | 133 | 142 | 157 | 138 | 81 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | 303 | 423 | 1,538 | 3,543 | 4,560 |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 1,854 | 2,255 | 3,004 | 3,749 | 2,787 |
| Reserve for unfunded commitments: | | | | | |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 181 | 227 | 294 | 195 | 95 |
| Impact of change in accounting principle | | | (43) | | |
| Provision for unfunded commitments | (2) | (46) | (24) | 99 | 100 |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 179 | 181 | 227 | 294 | 195 |

Certain inherent, but unconfirmed losses are probable within the loan and lease portfolio. The Bancorps current methodology for determining the level of losses is based on historical loss rates, current credit grades, specific allocation on impaired commercial credits above specified thresholds and restructured residential mortgage and consumer loans and other qualitative adjustments. Due to the heavy reliance on realized historical losses and the credit grade rating process, the model-derived estimate of ALLL tends to slightly lag behind the deterioration in the portfolio, in a stable or deteriorating credit environment, and tend not to be as responsive when improved conditions have presented themselves. Given these model limitations, the qualitative adjustment factors may be incremental or decremental to the quantitative model results.

An unallocated component to the ALLL is maintained to recognize the imprecision in estimating and measuring loss. The unallocated allowance as a percent of total portfolio loans and leases at December 31, 2012 and 2011 was 0.13% and 0.17%, respectively. The unallocated allowance was six percent of the total allowance as of December 31, 2012 and 2011.

As shown in Table 53, the ALLL as a percent of portfolio loan and leases was 2.16% at December 31, 2012, compared to 2.78% at December 31, 2011. The ALLL was \$1.9 billion as of December 31, 2012, compared to \$2.3 billion at December 31, 2011. The decrease is

reflective of a number of factors including decreases in nonperforming loans and leases, improved delinquency metrics in commercial and consumer loans and leases and improvement in underlying loss trends.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

TABLE 53: ATTRIBUTION OF ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN AND LEASE LOSSES TO PORTFOLIO LOANS AND LEASES

| As of December 31 (\$ in millions) | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|--------------------|------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Allowance attributed to: | ¢ 000 | 000 | 1 100 | 1 202 | 024 |
| Commercial and industrial loans | \$ 802 222 | 929 | 1,123 | 1,282 | 824 |
| Commercial mortgage loans | 333 | 441 | 597 | 734 | 363 |
| Commercial construction loans Commercial leases | 33 68 | 77 | 158 | 380 | 252 |
| | | 80 | 111 | 121 | 61 |
| Residential mortgage loans | 229 143 | 227 195 | 310 265 | 375 294 | 388 289 |
| Home equity Automobile loans | | 43 | 203 73 | 294 127 | 289 150 |
| | 28 87 | | | | |
| Credit card Other consumer loans and leases | 87 20 | 106 21 | 158 59 | 199 44 | 148 33 |
| Unallocated | 20 111 | 136 | | 44 193 | 55 279 |
| Total ALLL | \$ 1,854 | 2,255 | 150 3,004 | 3,749 | 279 |
| Portfolio loans and leases: | ð 1,054 | 2,233 | 5,004 | 5,749 | 2,787 |
| Commercial and industrial loans | \$ 36,038 | 30,783 | 27,191 | 25,683 | 29,197 |
| Commercial motigage loans | \$ 30,038 9,103 | 10,138 | 10,845 | 23,085 | 12,502 |
| Commercial mortgage roans | 9,103 698 | 1,020 | 2,048 | 3,784 | 5,114 |
| Commercial leases | 3,549 | 3,531 | 2,048 | 3,784 | 3,666 |
| Residential mortgage loans | 12,017 | 10,672 | 3,378 8,956 | 3,333 8,035 | 9,385 |
| Home equity | 12,017 | 10,072 | 11,513 | 12,174 | 12,752 |
| Automobile loans | 11,972 | 11,827 | 10,983 | 8,995 | 8,594 |
| Credit card | 2,097 | 1,978 | 1,896 | 8,995 1,990 | 8,394 1,811 |
| Other consumer loans and leases | 2,097 | 350 | 681 | 1,990 780 | 1,011 |
| Total portfolio loans and leases | \$ 85,782 | 81,018 | 77,491 | 76,779 | 84,143 |
| Attributed allowance as a percent of respective portfolio loans and leases: | ¢ 05,702 | 61,016 | //,491 | 70,779 | 04,145 |
| Commercial and industrial loans | 2.23 % | 3.02 | 4.13 | 4.99 | 2.82 |
| Commercial mortgage loans | 3.66 | 4.35 | 5.50 | 6.22 | 2.90 |
| Commercial construction loans | 4.73 | 7.55 | 7.71 | 10.04 | 4.93 |
| Commercial leases | 1.92 | 2.27 | 3.29 | 3.42 | 1.66 |
| Residential mortgage loans | 1.91 | 2.13 | 3.46 | 4.67 | 4.13 |
| Home equity | 1.43 | 1.82 | 2.30 | 2.41 | 2.27 |
| Automobile loans | 0.23 | 0.36 | 0.66 | 1.41 | 1.75 |
| Credit card | 4.15 | 5.36 | 8.33 | 10.00 | 8.17 |
| Other consumer loans and leases | 6.90 | 6.00 | 8.66 | 5.64 | 2.94 |
| Unallocated (as a percent of total portfolio loans and leases) | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.19 | 0.25 | 0.33 |
| Total portfolio loans and leases | 2.16 % | 2.78 | 3.88 | 4.88 | 3.31 |
| | 2.10 // | 2.70 | 5.00 | 1.00 | 0.01 |

MARKET RISK MANAGEMENT

Market risk arises from the potential for market fluctuations in interest rates, foreign exchange rates and equity prices that may result in potential reductions in net income. Interest rate risk, a component of market risk, is the exposure to adverse changes in net interest income or financial position due to changes in interest rates. Management considers interest rate risk a prominent market risk in terms of its potential impact on earnings. Interest rate risk can occur for any one or more of the following reasons:

Assets and liabilities may mature or reprice at different times;

Short-term and long-term market interest rates may change by different amounts; or

The expected maturity of various assets or liabilities may shorten or lengthen as interest rates change.

In addition to the direct impact of interest rate changes on net interest income, interest rates can indirectly impact earnings through their effect on loan demand, credit losses, mortgage originations, the value of servicing rights and other sources of the Bancorp s earnings. Stability of the Bancorp s net income is largely dependent upon the effective management of interest rate risk. Management continually reviews the Bancorp s balance sheet composition and earnings flows and models the interest rate risk, and possible actions to reduce this risk, given numerous possible future interest rate scenarios.

Net Interest Income Simulation Model

The Bancorp utilizes a variety of measurement techniques to identify and manage its interest rate risk, including the use of an NII simulation model to analyze the sensitivity of net interest income to changing interest rates. The model is based on contractual and assumed cash flows and repricing characteristics for all of the Bancorp s financial instruments and incorporates market-based assumptions regarding the effect of changing interest rates on the prepayment rates of certain assets and liabilities. The model also includes senior management s projections of the future volume and pricing of each of the product lines offered by the Bancorp as well as other pertinent assumptions. Actual results may differ from these simulated results due to timing, magnitude and frequency of interest rate changes as well as changes in market conditions and management strategies.

The Bancorp s Executive ALCO, which includes senior management representatives and is accountable to the ERM Committee, monitors and manages interest rate risk within Board approved policy limits. In addition to the risk management activities of ALCO, the Bancorp has a Market Risk Management function as part of ERM that provides independent oversight of market risk activities. In 2012, the NII and EVE ALCO policy limits were lowered to reflect the Bancorp s current risk appetite and due to significant uncertainty with respect to the economic environment, market interest rates and balance sheet and deposit pricing behaviors. The policy limits were updated in conjunction with the Market Risk Management group and were approved by ALCO.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The Bancorp s interest rate risk exposure is currently evaluated by measuring the anticipated change in net interest income over 12-month and 24-month horizons assuming a 100 bps and 200 bps parallel ramped increase in interest rates. The Fed Funds interest rate, targeted by the Federal Reserve at a range of 0% to 0.25%, is

currently set at a level that would be negative in parallel ramped decrease scenarios; therefore, those scenarios were omitted from the interest rate risk analyses at December 31, 2012. In accordance with the current policy, the rate movements are assumed to occur over one year and are sustained thereafter.

The following table shows the Bancorp s estimated net interest income sensitivity profile and ALCO policy limits as of December 31:

TABLE 54: ESTIMATED NII SENSITIVITY PROFILE

| | | 2012 | | | 2011 | | | |
|--------------------------------|---------------|----------------|------------|----------|-----------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|
| | | ALCO Policy | | | | | ALCO | Policy |
| | Percent Chang | e in NII (FTE) | ΓE) Limits | | Percent Change in NII (FTE) | | Lim | nits |
| | | 13 to 24 | | 13 to 24 | | 13 to 24 | | 13 to 24 |
| Change in Interest Rates (bps) | 12 Months | Months | 12 Months | Months | 12 Months | Months | 12 Months | Months |
| +200 | 1.78% | 7.75 | (4.00) | (6.00) | 0.35% | 5.61 | (5.00) | (7.00) |
| +100 | 0.90 | 3.78 | | | | 2.64 | | |

At December 31, 2012, the Bancorp s interest rate risk profile reflects moderate asset sensitivity in year one in contrast to a relatively neutral profile at December 31, 2011 with year two asset sensitivity increases from year one at both December 31, 2012 and 2011. The higher asset sensitivity at December 31, 2012 compared to December 31, 2011 is the result of growth in core deposit balances and lower market interest rates, partially offset by increases in fixed rate loan balances.

Economic Value of Equity

The Bancorp also utilizes EVE as a measurement tool in managing interest rate risk. Whereas the NII simulation model highlights exposures over a relatively short time horizon, the EVE analysis

incorporates all cash flows over the estimated remaining life of all balance sheet and derivative positions. The EVE of the balance sheet, at a point in time, is defined as the discounted present value of asset and net derivative cash flows less the discounted value of liability cash flows. The sensitivity of EVE to changes in the level of interest rates is a measure of longer-term interest rate risk. EVE values only the current balance sheet and does not incorporate the growth assumptions used in the NII simulation model. As with the NII simulation model, assumptions about the timing and variability of existing balance sheet cash flows are critical in the EVE analysis. Particularly important are assumptions driving loan and security prepayments and the expected balance attrition and pricing of transaction deposit portfolios.

The following table shows the Bancorp s EVE sensitivity profile as of December 31:

TABLE 55: ESTIMATED EVE SENSITIVITY PROFILE

| | | 2012 | 2011 | | |
|--------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|--|
| Change in Interest Rates (bps) | Change in EVE | ALCO Policy Limit | Change in EVE | ALCO Policy Limit | |
| +200 | 2.16 % | (12.00) | 1.37 % | (15.00) | |
| +100 | 1.50 | | 1.22 | | |
| +25 | 0.43 | | 0.32 | | |
| -25 | (0.52) | | (0.25) | | |

The EVE at risk profile suggests a positive impact from market rate increases of +25 bps through the +200 bps scenarios for 2012. The EVE at risk reported at December 31, 2012 for the +200 basis points scenario shows a change to a slightly more asset sensitive position compared to December 31, 2011. The primary factors contributing to the change are the decline in market interest rates over this time period, growth in core deposits and changes in the MSR risk profile, partially offset by the impact of an increase in fixed rate loan balances.

While an instantaneous shift in interest rates is used in this analysis to provide an estimate of exposure, the Bancorp believes that a gradual shift in interest rates would have a much more modest impact. Since EVE measures the discounted present value of cash flows over the estimated lives of instruments, the change in EVE does not directly correlate to the degree that earnings would be impacted over a shorter time horizon (e.g., the current fiscal year). Further, EVE does not take into account factors such as future balance sheet growth, changes in product mix, changes in yield curve relationships and changing product spreads that could mitigate or exacerbate the impact of changes in interest rates. The NII simulations and EVE analyses do not necessarily include certain

actions that management may undertake to manage risk in response to anticipated changes in interest rates.

The Bancorp regularly evaluates its exposures to LIBOR and Prime basis risks, nonparallel shifts in the yield curve and embedded options risk. In addition, the impact on NII and EVE of extreme changes in interest rates is modeled, wherein the Bancorp employs the use of yield curve shocks and environment-specific scenarios.

Use of Derivatives to Manage Interest Rate Risk

An integral component of the Bancorp s interest rate risk management strategy is its use of derivative instruments to minimize significant fluctuations in earnings caused by changes in market interest rates. Examples of derivative instruments that the Bancorp may use as part of its interest rate risk management strategy include interest rate swaps, interest rate floors, interest rate caps, forward contracts, options, swaptions and TBA securities.

As part of its overall risk management strategy relative to its mortgage banking activity, the Bancorp enters into forward contracts accounted for as free-standing derivatives to economically hedge interest rate lock commitments that are also considered free-

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

standing derivatives. Additionally, the Bancorp economically hedges its exposure to mortgage loans held for sale through the use of forward contracts and mortgage options.

The Bancorp also establishes derivative contracts with major financial institutions to economically hedge significant exposures assumed in commercial customer accommodation derivative contracts. Generally, these contracts have similar terms in order to protect the Bancorp from market volatility. Credit risk arises from the possible inability of counterparties to meet the terms of their contracts, which the Bancorp minimizes through collateral arrangements, approvals, limits and monitoring procedures. For further information including the notional amount and fair values of these derivatives, see Note 12 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Portfolio Loans and Leases and Interest Rate Risk

Although the Bancorp s portfolio loans and leases contain both fixed and floating/adjustable rate products, the rates of interest earned by the Bancorp on the outstanding balances are generally established for a period of time. The interest rate sensitivity of loans and leases is directly related to the length of time the rate earned is established. Table 56 summarizes the expected principal cash flows of the Bancorp s portfolio loans and leases as of December 31, 2012. Additionally, Table 57 displays a summary of expected principal cash flows occurring after one year for both fixed and floating/adjustable rate loans, as of December 31, 2012.

TABLE 56: PORTFOLIO LOAN AND LEASE CONTRACTUAL MATURITIES

| As of December 31, 2012 (\$ in millions) | Less | than 1 year | 1-5 years | Over 5 years | Total | |
|---|------|-------------|-----------|--------------|--------|--|
| Commercial and industrial loans | \$ | 9,822 | 23,971 | 2,245 | 36,038 | |
| Commercial mortgage loans | | 4,297 | 4,110 | 696 | 9,103 | |
| Commercial construction loans | | 299 | 369 | 30 | 698 | |
| Commercial leases | | 612 | 1,573 | 1,364 | 3,549 | |
| Subtotal commercial loans and leases | | 15,030 | 30,023 | 4,335 | 49,388 | |
| Residential mortgage loans | | 3,213 | 4,879 | 3,925 | 12,017 | |
| Home equity | | 1,485 | 5,560 | 2,973 | 10,018 | |
| Automobile loans | | 4,798 | 6,945 | 229 | 11,972 | |
| Credit card | | 598 | 1,499 | | 2,097 | |
| Other consumer loans and leases | | 232 | 55 | 3 | 290 | |
| Subtotal consumer loans and leases | | 10,326 | 18,938 | 7,130 | 36,394 | |
| Total | \$ | 25,356 | 48,961 | 11,465 | 85,782 | |
| TABLE 57: PORTFOLIO LOAN AND LEASE PRINCIPAL CASH FLOWS OCCURING AFTER ONE YEAR | | | | | | |

| | Interest Rate | | | | |
|--|---------------|------------------------|--|--|--|
| As of December 31, 2012 (\$ in millions) | Fixed | Floating or Adjustable | | | |
| Commercial and industrial loans | \$ 3,385 | 22,831 | | | |
| Commercial mortgage loans | 1,319 | 3,487 | | | |
| Commercial construction loans | 27 | 372 | | | |
| Commercial leases | 2,937 | | | | |
| Subtotal commercial loans and leases | 7,668 | 26,690 | | | |
| Residential mortgage loans | 6,394 | 2,410 | | | |
| Home equity | 1,058 | 7,475 | | | |
| Automobile loans | 7,128 | 46 | | | |
| Credit card | 627 | 872 | | | |
| Other consumer loans and leases | 38 | 20 | | | |
| Subtotal consumer loans and leases | 15,245 | 10,823 | | | |
| Total | \$ 22,913 | 37,513 | | | |

Residential Mortgage Servicing Rights and Interest Rate Risk

Table of Contents

The net carrying amount of the residential MSR portfolio was \$697 million and \$681 million as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The value of servicing rights can fluctuate sharply depending on changes in interest rates and other factors. Generally, as interest rates decline and loans are prepaid to take advantage of refinancing, the total value of existing servicing rights declines because no further servicing fees are collected on repaid loans. The Bancorp maintains a non-qualifying hedging strategy relative to its mortgage banking activity in order to manage a portion of the risk associated with changes in the value of its MSR portfolio as a result of changing interest rates.

Mortgage rates decreased during both 2012 and 2011. This caused modeled prepayments speeds to increase, which led to \$103 million in temporary impairment on servicing rights during the year ended 2012, compared to \$242 million in temporary impairment on servicing rights during the year ended 2011. Servicing rights are

deemed temporarily impaired when a borrower s loan rate is distinctly higher than prevailing rates. Temporary impairment on servicing rights is reversed when the prevailing rates return to a level commensurate with the borrower s loan rate. In addition to the mortgage servicing rights valuation, the Bancorp recognized net gains of \$66 million and \$354 million on its non-qualifying hedging strategy for the years ended 2012 and 2011, respectively. The net gains include net gains on the sale of securities related to the Bancorp s non-qualifying hedging strategy of \$3 million and \$9 million for 2012 and 2011, respectively. During the fourth quarter of 2011, the Bancorp assessed the composition of its MSR portfolio, the cost of hedging and the anticipated effectiveness of the hedges given the economic environment. Based on this review, the Bancorp adjusted its MSR hedging strategy to exclude the hedging of MSRs related to certain mortgage loans originated in 2008 and prior, representing approximately 16% of the carrying value of the MSR portfolio as of December 31, 2012. The

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

prepayment behavior of these loans is expected to be less sensitive to changes in interest rates as tighter industry underwriting standards, borrower credit characteristics and home price values have had a greater impact on prepayment speeds. Thus, the predictive power of traditional prepayment models that are based solely on the historical dependency of prepayment speeds on market interest rates may not be reliable for these loans. As a result, the Bancorp has considered these additional factors as it models prepayment speeds when valuing the MSRs. The Bancorp utilizes valuation opinions from servicing brokers, peer surveys and its historical prepayment experience in validating the modeled prepayment speeds utilized in the fair value measurement of the MSRs. As these additional factors have had an impact on prepayment speeds, the effectiveness of traditional hedging strategies utilizing benchmark interest rate based derivatives has been reduced. In addition to the market factors that impact prepayment speeds, the Bancorp is exposed to prepayment risk on these loans in the event borrowers refinance at higher than expected levels due to government intervention or other factors. The Bancorp continues to monitor the performance of these MSRs and may decide to hedge this portion of the MSR portfolio in future periods. See Note 11 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion on servicing rights and the instruments used to hedge interest rate risk on MSRs.

Foreign Currency Risk

The Bancorp may enter into foreign exchange derivative contracts to economically hedge certain foreign denominated loans. The derivatives are classified as free-standing instruments with the revaluation gain or loss being recorded in other noninterest income in the Consolidated Statements of Income. The balance of the Bancorp s foreign denominated loans at December 31, 2012 and 2011 was \$549 million and \$374 million, respectively. The Bancorp also enters into foreign exchange contracts for the benefit of commercial customers involved in international trade to hedge their exposure to foreign currency fluctuations. The Bancorp has internal controls in place to help ensure excessive risk is not being taken in providing this service to customers. These controls include an independent determination of currency volatility and credit equivalent exposure on these contracts, counterparty credit approvals and country limits.

LIQUIDITY RISK MANAGEMENT

The goal of liquidity management is to provide adequate funds to meet changes in loan and lease demand, unexpected levels of deposit withdrawals and other contractual obligations. Mitigating liquidity risk is accomplished by maintaining liquid assets in the form of investment securities, maintaining sufficient unused borrowing capacity in the debt markets and delivering consistent growth in core deposits. A summary of certain obligations and commitments to make future payments under contracts is included in Note 16 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Bancorp maintains a contingency funding plan that assesses the liquidity needs under various scenarios of market conditions, asset growth and credit rating downgrades. The plan includes liquidity stress testing which measures various sources and uses of funds under the different scenarios. The contingency plan provides for ongoing monitoring of unused borrowing capacity and available sources of contingent liquidity to prepare for unexpected liquidity needs and to cover unanticipated events that could affect liquidity.

Sources of Funds

The Bancorp s primary sources of funds relate to cash flows from loan and lease repayments, payments from securities related to sales and maturities, the sale or securitization of loans and leases and funds generated by core deposits, in addition to the use of public and private debt offerings.

Projected contractual maturities from loan and lease repayments are included in Table 56 of the Market Risk Management section of MD&A. Of the \$15.2 billion of securities in the Bancorp s available-for-sale portfolio at December 31, 2012, \$3.8 billion in principal and interest is expected to be received in the next 12 months and an additional \$2.2 billion is expected to be received in the next 13 to 24 months. For further information on the Bancorp s securities portfolio, see the Securities section of MD&A.

Asset-driven liquidity is provided by the Bancorp s ability to sell or securitize loan and lease assets. In order to reduce the exposure to interest rate fluctuations and to manage liquidity, the Bancorp has developed securitization and sale procedures for several types of interest-sensitive assets. A majority of the long-term, fixed-rate single-family residential mortgage loans underwritten according to FHLMC or FNMA guidelines are sold for cash upon origination. Additional assets such as residential mortgages, certain commercial loans, home equity loans, automobile loans and other consumer loans are also capable of being securitized or sold. For the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Bancorp sold loans totaling \$21.7 billion and \$15.2 billion, respectively. For further information on the transfer of financial assets, see Note 11 of the Notes to

Consolidated Financial Statements.

Core deposits have historically provided the Bancorp with a sizeable source of relatively stable and low cost funds. The Bancorp s average core deposits and shareholders equity funded 82% of its average total assets during 2012, compared to 81% in 2011. In addition to core deposit funding, the Bancorp also accesses a variety of other short-term and long-term funding sources, which include the use of the FHLB system. Certificates of deposit carrying a balance of \$100,000 or more and deposits in the Bancorp s foreign branch located in the Cayman Islands are wholesale funding tools utilized to fund asset growth. Management does not rely on any one source of liquidity and manages availability in response to changing balance sheet needs.

The Bancorp has a shelf registration in place with the SEC permitting ready access to the public debt markets and qualifies as a well-known seasoned issuer under the SEC rules. As of 2012, \$5.6 billion of debt or other securities were available for issuance under the current Bancorp s Board of Directors authorizations, however, access to these markets may depend on market conditions. The Bancorp also has \$19.0 billion of funding available for issuance through private offerings of debt securities pursuant to its bank note program and currently has approximately \$33.7 billion of borrowing capacity available through secured borrowing sources including the FHLB and FRB. Additionally, from time to time the Bancorp may change the terms of the bank note program, including by increasing its size.

On March 7, 2012, the Bancorp issued \$500 million in aggregate principal amount of 3.50% Senior Notes due March 15, 2022. On August 8, 2012, the Bancorp redeemed all \$862.5 million of the outstanding TruPS issued by Fifth Third Capital Trust VI. In addition, on August 15, 2012, the Bancorp redeemed all \$575 million of the outstanding TruPS issued by Fifth Third Capital Trust V. On December 7, 2012, the Bancorp terminated a \$1.0 billion FHLB advance with a fixed rate of 4.56% and a maturity date of January 5, 2016. See Note 15 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information regarding the Senior Notes, TruPS and FHLB advances.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Credit Ratings

The cost and availability of financing to the Bancorp are impacted by its credit ratings. A downgrade to the Bancorp s credit ratings could affect its ability to access the credit markets and increase its borrowing costs, thereby adversely impacting the Bancorp s financial condition and liquidity. Key factors in maintaining high credit ratings include a stable and diverse earnings stream, strong credit quality, strong capital ratios and diverse funding sources, in addition to disciplined liquidity monitoring procedures.

The Bancorp s credit ratings are summarized in Table 58. The ratings reflect the ratings agencies view on the Bancorp s capacity to meet financial commitments. *

* As an investor, you should be aware that a security rating is not a recommendation to buy, sell or hold securities, that it may be subject to revision or withdrawal at any time by the assigning rating organization and that each rating should be evaluated independently of any other rating. Additional information on the credit rating ranking within the overall classification system is located on the website of each credit rating agency.

TABLE 58: AGENCY RATINGS

| As of February 22, 2013 Fifth Third Bancorp: | Moody s | Standard and Poor s | Fitch | DBRS |
|---|-----------|---------------------|-------|---------|
| Short-term | No rating | A-2 | F1 | R-1L |
| Senior debt | Baa1 | BBB | А | AL |
| Subordinated debt | Baa2 | BBB- | BBB+ | BBBH |
| Fifth Third Bank: | | | | |
| Short-term | P-2 | A-2 | F1 | R-1L |
| Long-term deposit | A3 | No rating | А | А |
| Senior debt | A3 | BBB+ | А | А |
| Subordinated debt | Baa1 | BBB | BBB+ | A (low) |

CAPITAL MANAGEMENT

Management regularly reviews the Bancorp s capital levels to help ensure it is appropriately positioned under various operating environments. The Bancorp has established a Capital Committee, which is responsible for all capital related decisions. The Capital Committee makes recommendations to management involving capital actions. These recommendations are reviewed and approved by the ERM Committee.

Capital Ratios

The U.S banking agencies established quantitative measures that assign risk weightings to assets and off-balance sheet items and also define and set minimum regulatory capital requirements. The U.S. banking agencies define well capitalized ratios for Tier I and total risk-based capital as 6% and 10%, respectively. The Bancorp exceeded these well-capitalized ratios for all periods presented.

The Basel II advanced approach framework was finalized by U.S. banking agencies in 2007. Core banks, defined as those with consolidated total assets in excess of \$250 billion or on balance sheet foreign exposures of \$10 billion were required to adopt the advanced approach effective April 1, 2008. The Bancorp does not meet these thresholds and, therefore, is not subject to the requirements of Basel II.

The Dodd-Frank Act requires more stringent prudential standards, including capital and liquidity requirements, for larger institutions. It addresses the quality of capital components by limiting the degree to which certain hybrid instruments can be included. The Dodd-Frank Act will phase out the inclusion of certain TruPS as a component of Tier I risk-based capital beginning January 1, 2013. At December 31, 2012, the Bancorp s Tier I risk-based capital included \$810 million of TruPS representing approximately 74 bps of risk-weighted assets.

Table of Contents

In December of 2010 and revised in June of 2011, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision issued Basel III, a global

regulatory framework, to enhance international capital standards. In June of 2012, U.S. banking regulators proposed enhancements to the regulatory capital requirements for U.S. banks, which implement aspects of Basel III, such as re-defining the regulatory capital elements and minimum capital ratios, introducing regulatory capital buffers above those minimums, revising the agencies rules for calculating risk-weighted assets and introducing a new Tier I common equity ratio. The Bancorp continues to evaluate these proposals and their potential impact. Its current estimate of the pro-forma fully phased in Tier I common equity ratio at December 31, 2012 under the proposed capital rules is approximately 8.78%* compared with 9.51% as calculated under the existing Basel I capital framework. The primary drivers of the change from the existing Basel I capital framework to the Basel III proposal are an increase in Tier I common equity of approximately 39 bps (primarily from including AOCI) which would be more than offset by the impact of increases in risk-weighted assets (primarily from 1-4 family senior and junior lien residential mortgages and commitments with an original maturity of one year or less). The pro-forma Tier I common equity ratio of 7% comprised of a minimum of 4.5% plus a capital conservation buffer of 2.5%. The pro-forma Tier I common equity ratio does not include the effect of any mitigating actions the Bancorp may undertake to offset the impact of the proposed capital enhancements. For further discussion on the Basel I and Basel III Tier I common equity ratios, see the Non-GAAP Financial Measures section of MD&A.

* The pro forma Tier I common equity ratio is management s estimate based upon its current interpretation of the three draft Federal Register notices proposing enhancements to regulatory capital requirements published in June of 2012. The actual impact to the Bancorp s Tier I common equity ratio may change significantly due to further clarification of the agencies proposals or revisions to the agencies final rules, which remain subject to public comment.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

TABLE 59: CAPITAL RATIOS

| As of December 31 (\$ in millions) | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Average equity as a percent of average assets | 11.65 % | 11.41 | 12.22 | 11.36 | 8.78 |
| Tangible equity as a percent of tangible $assets^{(a)}$ | 9.17 | 9.03 | 10.42 | 9.71 | 7.86 |
| Tangible common equity as a percent of tangible assets ^(a) | 8.83 | 8.68 | 7.04 | 6.45 | 4.23 |
| Tier I capital | \$ 11,685 | 12,503 | 13,965 | 13,428 | 11,924 |
| Total risk-based capital | 15,816 | 16,885 | 18,178 | 17,648 | 16,646 |
| Risk-weighted assets ^(b) | 109,699 | 104,945 | 100,561 | 100,933 | 112,622 |
| Regulatory capital ratios: | | | | | |
| Tier I capital | 10.65 % | 11.91 | 13.89 | 13.30 | 10.59 |
| Total risk-based capital | 14.42 | 16.09 | 18.08 | 17.48 | 14.78 |
| Tier I leverage | 10.05 | 11.10 | 12.79 | 12.34 | 10.27 |
| Tier I common equity ^(a) | 9.51 | 9.35 | 7.48 | 6.99 | 4.37 |
| | | | | | |

(a) For further information on these ratios, see the Non-GAAP Financial Measures section of the MD&A.

(b) Under the banking agencies risk-based capital guidelines, assets and credit equivalent amounts of derivatives and off-balance sheet exposures are assigned to broad risk categories. The aggregate dollar amount in each risk category is multiplied by the associated risk weight of the category. The resulting weighted values are added together resulting in the Bancorp s total risk-weighted assets.

2012 Capital Actions

As part of the 2012 CCAR, on January 9, 2012, the Bancorp submitted to the FRB a capital plan approved by its Board of Directors covering the period from January 1, 2012 to March 31, 2013. The mandatory elements of the capital plan were an assessment of the expected use and sources of capital over the planning horizon, a description of all planned capital actions over the planning horizon, a discussion of any expected changes to the Bancorp s business plan that are likely to have a material impact on its capital adequacy or liquidity, a detailed description of the Bancorp s process for assessing capital adequacy and the Bancorp s capital policy.

The FRB assessed the comprehensiveness of the capital plan, the reasonableness of the assumptions and the analysis underlying the capital plan and reviewed the robustness of the capital adequacy process, the capital policy and the Bancorp s ability to maintain capital above the minimum regulatory capital ratio and above a Tier I common ratio of 5% on a pro-forma basis under expected and stressful conditions throughout the planning horizon.

On March 13, 2012 the Bancorp announced the FRB s response to the capital plan it submitted as part of the 2012 CCAR. The FRB indicated that it did not object to the following capital actions: a continuation of its quarterly common dividend of \$0.08 per share; the redemption of up to \$1.4 billion in certain TruPS; and the repurchase of common shares in an amount equal to any after-tax gains realized by Fifth Third from the sale of Vantiv, Inc.

The FRB indicated to the Bancorp that it did object to other elements of its capital plan, including increases in its quarterly common dividend and the initiation of common share repurchases other than those described in the paragraph above. The Bancorp resubmitted its capital plan to the FRB on June 8, 2012. The resubmitted plan included capital actions and distributions for the covered period through March 31, 2013 that were substantially similar to those included in the original submission, with adjustments primarily reflecting the change in the expected timing of capital actions and distributions relative to the timing assumed in the original submission.

Consistent with the 2012 CCAR plan, the Bancorp redeemed all \$862.5 million of the outstanding TruPS issued by Fifth Third Capital Trust VI and recognized a \$9 million loss on extinguishment in the Bancorp s Consolidated Financial Statements. Additionally, the Bancorp redeemed all \$575 million of the outstanding TruPS issued by Fifth Third Capital Trust V and recognized a \$17 million loss on extinguishment in the Bancorp s Consolidated Financial Statements.

On August 21, 2012, the Bancorp announced that the FRB did not object to its capital plan resubmitted under the CCAR process, which included potential increases to the quarterly common stock dividend and the repurchases of common shares of up to \$600 million through the first quarter of 2013, in addition to any incremental repurchase of common shares related to any after-tax gains realized by the Bancorp from the sale of Vantiv, Inc. common shares by either the Bancorp or Vantiv, Inc.

Dividend Policy and Stock Repurchase Program

The Bancorp s common stock dividend policy and stock repurchase program reflect its earnings outlook, desired payout ratios, the need to maintain adequate capital levels, the ability of its subsidiaries to pay dividends, the need to comply with safe and sound banking practices as well as meet regulatory requirements and expectations. The Bancorp declared dividends per common share of \$0.36 and \$0.28 during the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Consistent with the 2012 CCAR plan, on April 23, 2012, the Bancorp entered into an accelerated share repurchase transaction with a counterparty pursuant to which the Bancorp purchased 4,838,710 shares, or approximately \$75 million, of its outstanding common stock on April 26, 2012. As part of this transaction, and all subsequent accelerated share repurchase transactions in 2012, the Bancorp entered into a forward contract in which the final number of shares delivered at settlement of the accelerated share repurchase transaction was based on a discount to the average daily volume-weighted average price of the Bancorp s common stock during the term of the Repurchase Agreement. The accelerated share repurchase was treated as two separate transactions (i) the acquisition of treasury shares on the acquisition date and (ii) a forward contract indexed to the Bancorp s stock. At settlement of the April 2012 forward contract on June 1, 2012, the Bancorp received an additional 631,986 shares which were recorded as an adjustment to the basis in the treasury shares purchased on the acquisition date.

As a result of the FRB s non-objection to the Bancorp s capital plan resubmitted under the CCAR process, on August 21, 2012, Fifth Third s Board of Directors authorized the Bancorp to repurchase up to 100 million shares of its outstanding common stock in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions, and to utilize any derivative or similar instrument to affect share repurchase transactions.

Additionally, on August 23, 2012, the Bancorp entered into an accelerated share repurchase transaction with a counterparty pursuant to which the Bancorp purchased 21,531,100 shares or

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

approximately \$350 million of its outstanding common stock on August 28, 2012. At settlement of the forward contract on October 24, 2012, the Bancorp received an additional 1,444,047 shares which were recorded as an adjustment to the basis in the treasury shares purchased on the acquisition date.

On November 6, 2012, the Bancorp entered into an accelerated share repurchase transaction with a counterparty pursuant to which the Bancorp purchased 7,710,761 shares, or approximately \$125 million, of its outstanding common stock on November 9, 2012. At settlement of the forward contract on

February 12, 2013, the Bancorp received an additional 657,917 shares which were recorded as an adjustment to the basis in the treasury shares purchased on the acquisition date.

Following the sale of a portion of the Bancorp s shares of Class A Vantiv, Inc. common stock, the Bancorp entered into an accelerated share repurchase transaction on December 14, 2012 with a counterparty pursuant to which the Bancorp purchased 6,267,410 shares, or approximately \$100 million, of its outstanding common stock on December 19, 2012. The Bancorp expects the settlement of the transaction to occur on March 14, 2013.

TABLE 60: SHARE REPURCHASES

| For the years ended December 31 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|---|--------------|------------|------------|
| Shares authorized for repurchase at January 1 | 19,201,518 | 19,201,518 | 19,201,518 |
| Additional authorizations ^(a) | 86,269,178 | | |
| Share repurchases ^(b) | (42,424,014) | | |
| Shares authorized for repurchase at December 31 | 63,046,682 | 19,201,518 | 19,201,518 |
| Average price paid per share | \$ 14.82 | N/A | N/A |

(a) In August 2012, the Bancorp announced that its Board of Directors had authorized management to purchase 100 million shares of the Bancorp's common stock through the open market or in any private transaction. The authorization does not include specific price targets or an expiration date. This share repurchase authorization replaces the Board's previous authorization pursuant to which approximately 14 million shares remained available for repurchase by the Bancorp.

(b) Excludes 2,059,003, 1,164,254 and 333,808 shares repurchased during 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively, in connection with various employee compensation plans. These repurchases are not included in the calculation for average price paid and do not count against the maximum number of shares that may yet be repurchased under the Board of Directors authorization.

2013 Stress Tests and CCAR

On October 9, 2012, the FRB published final stress testing rules that implement section 165(i)(1) and (i)(2) of the Dodd-Frank Act. The 19 bank holding companies that participated in the 2009 SCAP and subsequent CCAR, which includes Fifth Third, are subject to the final stress testing rules. The rules require both supervisory and company-run stress tests, which provide forward-looking information to supervisors to help assess whether institutions have sufficient capital to absorb losses and support operations during adverse economic conditions.

The FRB launched the 2013 stress testing program and CCAR on November 9, 2012. The CCAR requires bank holding companies to submit a capital plan in addition to their stress testing results. The mandatory elements of the capital plan are an assessment of the expected use and sources of capital over the planning horizon, a description of all planned capital actions over the planning horizon, a discussion of any expected changes to the Bancorp s business plan that are likely to have a material impact on its capital adequacy or liquidity, a detailed description of the Bancorp s process for assessing capital adequacy and the Bancorp s capital policy. The stress testing results and capital plan were submitted by the Bancorp to the FRB on January 7, 2013.

The FRB s review of the capital plan will assess the comprehensiveness of the capital plan, the reasonableness of the assumptions and the analysis underlying the capital plan. Additionally, the FRB will review the robustness of the capital adequacy process, the capital policy and the Bancorp s ability to maintain capital above the minimum regulatory capital ratios and above a Tier 1 common ratio of 5 percent on a pro forma

Table of Contents

basis under expected and stressful conditions throughout the planning horizon. The FRB will also assess the Bancorp s strategies for addressing proposed revisions to the regulatory capital framework agreed upon by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision and requirements arising from the Dodd-Frank Act.

The FRB has indicated that it expects to disclose on March 7, 2013 its estimates of participating institutions results under the FRB supervisory stress scenario, including capital results, which assume that all banks take certain consistently applied future capital actions. The FRB has indicated that it expects to disclose on March 14, 2013 its estimates of participating institutions results under the FRB supervisory severe stress scenarios including capital results based on each company s

own base scenario capital actions. The FRB will also issue an objection or non-objection to each participating institution s capital plan submitted under CCAR. Additionally, as a CCAR institution, Fifth Third is required to disclose our own estimates of results under the supervisory severely adverse scenario using the same consistently applied capital actions noted above, and to provide information related to risks included in its stress testing; a summary description of the methodologies used; estimates of aggregate pre-provision net revenue, losses, provisions, and pro forma capital ratios at the end of the forward-looking planning horizon of at least nine quarters; and an explanation of the most significant causes of changes in regulatory capital ratios. These disclosures are required by March 31, 2013 and are to be sent to the FRB and publicly disclosed.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

In the ordinary course of business, the Bancorp enters into financial transactions to extend credit and various forms of commitments and guarantees that may be considered off-balance sheet arrangements. These transactions involve varying elements of market, credit and liquidity risk. Refer to Note 16 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information. A discussion of these transactions is as follows:

Residential Mortgage Loan Sales

Conforming residential mortgage loans sold to unrelated third parties are generally sold with representation and warranty recourse provisions. Such provisions include the loan s compliance with applicable loan criteria, including certain documentation standards per agreements with unrelated third parties. Additional reasons for the Bancorp having to repurchase the loans include compliance with collateral appraisal standards, fraud related to the loan application and the rescission of mortgage insurance. Under these provisions, the Bancorp is required to repurchase any previously sold loan for which the representation or warranty of the Bancorp proves to be inaccurate, incomplete or misleading. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Bancorp maintained reserves related to these loans sold with representation and warranty recourse provisions totaling \$110 million and \$55 million, respectively, included in other liabilities in the Bancorp s Consolidated Balance Sheets. During the third and fourth quarters of 2012, the Bancorp received additional information from FHLMC regarding their file selection criteria. As a result of these communications, the Bancorp was able to better estimate the probable losses on certain loans sold to FHLMC which was the primary driver in the increase in the representation and warranty reserve from December 31, 2011 to December 31, 2012.

During 2012 and 2011, the Bancorp paid \$34 million and \$63 million, respectively, in the form of make whole payments and repurchased \$114 million and \$122 million, respectively, in outstanding principal of loans to satisfy investor demands. Total repurchase demand requests during 2012 and 2011 were \$340 million and \$350 million, respectively. Total outstanding repurchase demand inventory was \$67 million at December 31, 2012 compared to \$66 million at December 31, 2011.

The Bancorp sold certain residential mortgage loans in the secondary market with credit recourse. In the event of any customer default, pursuant to the credit recourse provided, the Bancorp is required to reimburse the third party. The maximum amount of credit risk in the event of nonperformance by the underlying borrowers is equivalent to the total outstanding balance. In the event of nonperformance, the Bancorp has rights to the underlying collateral value securing the loan. At December 31, 2012, the outstanding balances on these loans sold with credit recourse was \$662 million compared to \$772 million at December 31, 2011. The Bancorp maintained an estimated credit loss reserve on these loans sold with credit recourse of \$20 million and \$17 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, included in other liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. To determine the credit loss reserve, the Bancorp used an approach that is consistent with its overall approach in estimating credit losses for various categories of residential mortgage loans held in its loan portfolio.

Private Mortgage Insurance

For certain mortgage loans originated by the Bancorp, borrowers may be required to obtain PMI provided by third-party insurers. In some instances, these insurers cede a portion of the PMI premiums to the Bancorp, and the Bancorp provides reinsurance coverage within a specified range of the total PMI coverage. The Bancorp s reinsurance coverage typically ranges from 5% to 10% of the total PMI coverage.

The Bancorp s maximum exposure in the event of nonperformance by the underlying borrowers is equivalent to the Bancorp s total outstanding reinsurance coverage, which was \$58 million at December 31, 2012 and \$77 million at December 31, 2011. The Bancorp maintained a reserve, included in other liabilities in the Bancorp s Consolidated Balance Sheets, related to exposure within the reinsurance portfolio of \$18 million as of December 31, 2012 and \$27 million as of December 31, 2011. In 2009, the Bancorp suspended the practice of providing reinsurance of private mortgage insurance for newly originated mortgage loans. In the second quarter of 2011, the Bancorp allowed one of its third-party

insurers to terminate its reinsurance agreement with the Bancorp, resulting in the Bancorp releasing collateral to the insurer in the form of investment securities and other assets with a carrying value of \$5 million, and the insurer assuming the Bancorp s obligations under the reinsurance agreement, resulting in a decrease to the Bancorp s reserve liability of \$11 million and decrease in the Bancorp s maximum exposure of \$27 million. In the fourth quarter of 2012, the Bancorp allowed one of its third-party insurers to terminate its reinsurance agreement with the Bancorp, resulting in the insurer assuming the Bancorp s obligations under the reinsurance agreement, resulting in a decrease to the Bancorp s obligations under the reinsurance agreement, resulting in a decrease to the Bancorp s reserve liability of \$2 million and decrease to the Bancorp s maximum exposure of \$3 million.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS AND OTHER COMMITMENTS

The Bancorp has certain obligations and commitments to make future payments under contracts. The aggregate contractual obligations and commitments at December 31, 2012 are shown in Table 61. As of December 31, 2012, the Bancorp has unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would impact the effective tax rate in future periods. Due to the uncertainty of the amounts to be

ultimately paid as well as the timing of such payments, all uncertain tax liabilities that have not been paid have been excluded from the Contractual Obligations and Other Commitments table. For further detail on the impact of income taxes see Note 19 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

TABLE 61: CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS AND OTHER COMMITMENTS

| | Less than 1 | | | Greater than | | | |
|---|-------------|---------|-----------|--------------|---------|---------|--|
| As of December 31, 2012 (\$ in millions) | | year | 1-3 years | 3-5 years | 5 years | Total | |
| Contractually obligated payments due by period: | | | | | | | |
| Deposits with a stated maturity of less than one $year^{(a)}$ | \$ | 82,218 | | | | 82,218 | |
| Time deposits ^(c) | | 4,834 | 2,029 | 383 | 53 | 7,299 | |
| Short-term borrowings ^(e) | | 7,181 | | | | 7,181 | |
| Long-term debt ^(b) | | 1,277 | 597 | 1,928 | 3,283 | 7,085 | |
| Forward contracts to sell mortgage loans ^(d) | | 5,322 | | | | 5,322 | |
| Noncancelable lease obligations ^(f) | | 89 | 166 | 141 | 373 | 769 | |
| Partnership investment commitments ^(g) | | 219 | 134 | 10 | 31 | 394 | |
| Pension obligations ⁽ⁱ⁾ | | 19 | 35 | 31 | 67 | 152 | |
| Purchase obligations and capital expenditures ^(h) | | 49 | 42 | 25 | | 116 | |
| Capital lease obligations | | 7 | 13 | 3 | 1 | 24 | |
| Total contractually obligated payments due by period | \$ | 101,215 | 3,016 | 2,521 | 3,808 | 110,560 | |
| Other commitments by expiration period | | | | | | | |
| Commitments to extend credit ^(j) | \$ | 30,715 | 7,497 | 15,191 | 121 | 53,524 | |
| Letters of $\operatorname{credit}^{(k)}$ | | 1,831 | 2,088 | 319 | 43 | 4,281 | |
| Total other commitments by expiration period | \$ | 32,546 | 9,585 | 15,510 | 164 | 57,805 | |

(a) Includes demand, interest checking, savings, money market and foreign office deposits. For additional information, see the Deposits discussion in the Balance Sheet Analysis section of MD&A.

(b) In the banking industry, interest-bearing obligations are principally used to fund interest-earning assets. As such, interest charges on contractual obligations were excluded from reported amounts, as the potential cash outflows would have corresponding cash inflows from interest-earning assets. See Note 15 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on these debt instruments.

(c) Includes other time and certificates \$100,000 and over. For additional information, see the Deposits discussion in the Balance Sheet Analysis section of MD&A.

(d) See Note 11 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on forward contracts to sell residential mortgage loans.

(e) Includes federal funds purchased and borrowings with an original maturity of less than one year. For additional information, see Note 14 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

(f) Includes rental commitments.

(g) Includes low-income housing, historic tax investments and market tax credits.

(h) Represents agreements to purchase goods or services and includes commitments to various general contractors for work related to banking center construction.

(i) See Note 20 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on pension obligations.

(j) Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend, typically having fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses that may require payment of a fee. Many of the commitments to extend credit may expire without being drawn upon. The total commitment amounts include capital commitments for private equity investments and do not necessarily represent future cash flow requirements. For additional information, see Note 16 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

(k) Letters of credit are conditional commitments issued to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. For additional information, see Note 16 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

MANAGEMENT S ASSESSMENT AS TO THE EFFECTIVENESS OF INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

The Bancorp conducted an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Bancorp s management, including the Bancorp s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Bancorp s disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act). Based on the foregoing, as of the end of the period covered by this report, the Bancorp s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Bancorp s disclosure controls and procedures were effective, in all material respects, to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports the Bancorp files and submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported as and when required and information is accumulated and communicated to management on a timely basis.

The management of Fifth Third Bancorp is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control, designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The Bancorp s management assessed the effectiveness of the Bancorp s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012. Management s assessment is based on the criteria established in the *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and was designed to provide reasonable assurance that the Bancorp maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012. Based on this assessment, management believes that the Bancorp maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012. The Bancorp s independent registered public accounting firm, that audited the Bancorp s consolidated financial statements included in this annual report, has issued an audit report on our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012. This report appears on page 82 of the annual report.

The Bancorp s management also conducted an evaluation of internal control over financial reporting to determine whether any changes occurred during the year covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Bancorp s internal control over financial reporting. Based on this evaluation, there has been no such change during the year covered by this report.

Kevin T. Kabat Vice Chairman and Chief Executive Officer February 22, 2013 Daniel T. Poston Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer February 22, 2013

REPORTS OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Shareholders and Board of Directors of Fifth Third Bancorp:

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of Fifth Third Bancorp and subsidiaries (the Bancorp) as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Bancorp s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management s Assessment as to the Effectiveness of Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Bancorp s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company s principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company s board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Bancorp maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control* Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2012 of the Bancorp and our report dated February 22, 2013 expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements.

Cincinnati, Ohio

February 22, 2013

To the Shareholders and Board of Directors of Fifth Third Bancorp:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Fifth Third Bancorp and subsidiaries (the Bancorp) as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the

period ended December 31, 2012. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Bancorp s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Fifth Third Bancorp and subsidiaries at December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Bancorp s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 22, 2013 expressed an unqualified opinion on the Bancorp s internal control over financial reporting.

Cincinnati, Ohio

February 22, 2013

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

| As of December 31 (\$ in millions, except share data) | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|------------------------------|--------------|
| Assets Cash and due from banks ^(a) | \$ 2,441 | 2,663 |
| Available-for-sale and other securities ^(b) | ³ 2,441 15,207 | 15,362 |
| Held-to-maturity securities ^(c) | 284 | 322 |
| Trading securities | 204 | 177 |
| Other short-term investments ^(a) | 2,421 | 1,781 |
| Loans held for sale (d) | 2,939 | 2,954 |
| Portfolio loans and leases: | 2,555 | 2,754 |
| Commercial and industrial loans | 36,038 | 30,783 |
| Commercial mortgage loans ^(a) | 9,103 | 10,138 |
| Commercial construction loans | 698 | 1,020 |
| Commercial leases | 3,549 | 3,531 |
| Residential mortgage loans ^(e) | 12,017 | 10,672 |
| Home equity ^(a) | 10,018 | 10,719 |
| Automobile loans ^(a) | 11,972 | 11,827 |
| Credit card | 2,097 | 1,978 |
| Other consumer loans and leases | 290 | 350 |
| Portfolio loans and leases | 85,782 | 81,018 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses ^(a) | (1,854) | (2,255) |
| Portfolio loans and leases, net | 83,928 | 78,763 |
| Bank premises and equipment | 2,542 | 2,447 |
| Operating lease equipment | 581 | 497 |
| Goodwill | 2,416 | 2,417 |
| Intangible assets | 27 | 40 |
| Servicing rights | 697 | 681 |
| Other assets ^(a) | 8,204 | 8,863 |
| Total Assets | \$ 121,894 | 116,967 |
| Liabilities | | |
| Deposits: Demand | \$ 30,023 | 27,600 |
| Interest checking | \$ 30,023 24,477 | 20,392 |
| Savings | 19,879 | 20,392 |
| Money market | 6,875 | 4,989 |
| Other time | 4,015 | 4,638 |
| Certificates \$100.000 and over | 3,284 | 3,039 |
| Foreign office and other | 964 | 3,296 |
| Total deposits | 89,517 | 85,710 |
| Federal funds purchased | 901 | 346 |
| Other short-term borrowings | 6,280 | 3,239 |
| Accrued taxes, interest and expenses | 1,708 | 1,469 |
| Other liabilities ^(a) | 2,639 | 3,270 |
| Long-term debt ^(a) | 7,085 | 9,682 |
| Total Liabilities | 108,130 | 103,716 |
| Equity | | |
| Common stock (f) | 2,051 | 2,051 |
| Preferred stock ^(g) | 398 | 398 |
| Capital surplus | 2,758 | 2,792 |
| Retained earnings | 8,768 | 7,554 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 375 | 470 |
| Treasury stock | (634) 12 71(| (64) |
| Total Bancorp shareholders equity | 13,716 | 13,201 |
| Noncontrolling interests | 48 13,764 | 50 13,251 |
| Total Equity Total Liabilities and Equity | \$ 121,894 | 13,251 |
| roun mannuts and muny | φ 121,074 | 110,707 |

(a) At December 31, 2012 and 2011, includes \$0 and \$30 of cash, \$0 and \$7 of other short-term investments, \$50 and \$50 of commercial mortgage loans, \$0 and \$223 of home equity loans, \$0 and \$259 of automobile loans, (\$5) and (\$10) of ALLL, \$3 and \$4 of other assets, \$0 and \$4 of other liabilities, \$0 and \$191 of long-term debt from consolidated VIEs that are included in their respective captions. See Note 10.

- (b) Amortized cost of \$14,571 and \$14,614 at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.
- (c) Fair value of \$284 and \$322 at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.
- (d) Includes \$2,856 and \$2,751 of residential mortgage loans held for sale measured at fair value at December 31, 2012, and 2011, respectively.
- (e) Includes \$76 and \$65 of residential mortgage loans measured at fair value at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.
- (f) Common shares: Stated value \$2.22 per share; authorized 2,000,000,000; outstanding at December 31, 2012 882,152,057 (excludes 41,740,524 treasury shares) and December 31, 2011 919,804,436 (excludes 4,088,145 treasury shares).
- (g) 317,680 shares of undesignated no par value preferred stock are authorized of which none had been issued; 8.5% non-cumulative Series G convertible (into 2,159.8272 common shares) perpetual preferred stock with a \$25,000 liquidation preference: 46,000 authorized, **16,450** issued and outstanding at **December 31, 2012** and 2011.

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

| For the years ended December 31 (\$ in millions, except per share data) Interest Income | | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|--|----|--------------|-------------|--------------|
| Interest income Interest and fees on loans and leases | \$ | 3,574 | 3.613 | 3,823 |
| Interest on securities | Φ | 5,574 529 | 5,013 | 5,825 658 |
| Interest on other short-term investments | | 529 4 | 5 | 8 |
| Total interest income | | 4,107 | 4,218 | ,489 |
| Interest Expense | | 4,107 | 4,218 | 4,469 |
| Interest on deposits | | 216 | 352 | 591 |
| Interest on other short-term borrowings | | 210 | 4 | 4 |
| Interest on long-term debt | | 288 | 305 | 290 |
| | | 200 512 | 505 661 | 885 |
| Total interest expense Net Interest Income | | 3,595 | 3,557 | 885 3,604 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | | 3,395 303 | 423 | · · · · · · |
| | | | | 1,538 |
| Net Interest Income After Provision for Loan and Lease Losses | | 3,292 | 3,134 | 2,066 |
| Noninterest Income | | 845 | 507 | (17 |
| Mortgage banking net revenue | | | 597 520 | 647 |
| Service charges on deposits | | 522 | 520 | 574 |
| Corporate banking revenue | | 413 | 350 | 364 |
| Investment advisory revenue | | 374 | 375 | 361 |
| Card and processing revenue | | 253 | 308 | 316 |
| Other noninterest income | | 574 | 250 | 406 |
| Securities gains, net | | 15 | 46 | 47 |
| Securities gains, net non-qualifying hedges on mortgage servicing rights | | 3 | 9 | 14 |
| Total noninterest income | | 2,999 | 2,455 | 2,729 |
| Noninterest Expense | | | | |
| Salaries, wages and incentives | | 1,607 | 1,478 | 1,430 |
| Employee benefits | | 371 | 330 | 314 |
| Net occupancy expense | | 302 | 305 | 298 |
| Technology and communications | | 196 | 188 | 189 |
| Card and processing expense | | 121 | 120 | 108 |
| Equipment expense | | 110 | 113 | 122 |
| Other noninterest expense | | 1,374 | 1,224 | 1,394 |
| Total noninterest expense | | 4,081 | 3,758 | 3,855 |
| Income Before Income Taxes | | 2,210 | 1,831 | 940 |
| Applicable income tax expense | | 636 | 533 | 187 |
| Net Income | | 1,574 | 1,298 | 753 |
| Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | | (2) | 1 | - |
| Net Income Attributable to Bancorp | | 1,576 | 1,297 | 753 |
| Dividends on preferred stock | | 35 | 203 | 250 |
| Net Income Available to Common Shareholders | \$ | 1,541 | 1,094 | 503 |
| Earnings Per Share | \$ | 1.69 | 1.20 | 0.63 |
| Earnings Per Diluted Share | \$ | 1.66 | 1.18 | 0.63 |
| Average common shares - basic | | 4,425,226 | 906,460,550 | 790,852,185 |
| Average common shares - diluted | | 5,554,102 | 949,545,420 | 799,381,153 |
| Cash dividends declared per share | \$ | 0.36 | 0.28 | 0.04 |
| See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. | | | | |

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

| For the years ended December 31 (\$ in millions) Net income Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax: | 2012 \$ 1,574 | 2011 1,298 | 2010 753 |
|--|------------------|---------------|-------------|
| Unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities: | | | |
| Unrealized holding (losses) gains on available-for-sale securities arising during period | (63) | 201 | 143 |
| Reclassification adjustment for net gains included in net income | (10) | (37) | (38) |
| Unrealized gains on cash flow hedge derivatives: | | | |
| Unrealized holding gains on cash flow hedge derivatives arising during period | 24 | 58 | 1 |
| Reclassification adjustment for net gains included in net income | (54) | (45) | (39) |
| Defined benefit pension plans: | | | |
| Net actuarial loss (gain) arising during period | 8 | (21) | 6 |
| Other comprehensive (loss) income | (95) | 156 | 73 |
| Comprehensive income | 1,479 | 1,454 | 826 |
| Less: Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests | (2) | 1 | - |
| Comprehensive income attributable to Bancorp | \$ 1,481 | 1,453 | 826 |
| See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. | | | |

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN EQUITY

| Lace multialityTransmit | | | | Banc | orp Shareho | olders Equity | | | | |
|--|--|----------|-----------|---------|-------------|---------------|----------|--------------|-------------|---------|
| controlcontrolreturned Stock< | | | | | 1 | | | Total | | |
| G in millions, except per share data) Stock Stock Stork Stork Famings Income Stock Equity Interests Equity | | | | | | | | Bancorp | Non- | |
| Balance at December 31, 2009 \$ 1,779 3,609 1,743 6,326 241 (201) 13,497 13,497 Net income 73 73 73 73 73 Other comprehensive income 73 73 73 73 Common stock at \$0.04 per share (32) (33) (32) Preferred stock (205) (205) (205) Accretion of preferred dividends, 45 (45) - - Stock-based compensation expense 45 (1) 44 44 Stock-based compensation expense (10) 6 (4) (4) Restricted stock grants (2) 62 - - Inductof comulative effect of change in accounting principle (77) (77) (77) (77) Stock-based compensation expense 1,277 1,297 1,297 1,297 1,297 1,297 1,297 1,297 1,297 1,297 1,297 1,297 1,298 1,400 1,400 1,400 1,400 1,400 | | Common | Preferred | Capital | Retained | Comprehensive | Treasury | Shareholders | Controlling | Total |
| Net income 753 753 753 753 Cosh dividends declared: 73 73 73 73 Cosh dividends declared: (20) (205) (205) (205) Corrent of tox 450.44 per share (20) (205) (205) (205) Accretion of preferred dividends, (205) (205) (205) (205) Stock-based avants issued or excretised, 010 6 (4) (4) Excritcuts fock grants (62) 62 - - Including trassary shares issued (10) 6 (4) (4) Excritcuts fock sgrants (62) 62 - - Induct of cumulative effect of change in accounting principle (77) (77) (77) Stock-based avants issued or excrised, 1.297 1.297 1<1.298 | (\$ in millions, except per share data) | Stock | Stock | Surplus | Earnings | Income | Stock | Equity | Interests | Equity |
| Other comprehensive income 73 73 73 Cash dividends declared: (20) (32) (33) Preferred stock at 50.04 per share (205) (205) (205) Accretion of preferred dividends, (205) (205) (205) Stock-based compensation expense 45 (1) 44 (4) Stock-based compensation expense (10) 6 (4) (4) Restricted stock grants (62) 62 - - accounting principle (77) (77) (77) (77) Noncontrolling interest (1) 3 2 29 20 Other (1) 3 2 29 14,020 Other comprehensive income 1,297 1,41,020 14,021 29 14,020 Cash dividends declared: (257) (257) (257) (257) (257) Cash dividends declared: (30) (50) (50) (50) (50) Issuance of common stock 272 1,276 | Balance at December 31, 2009 | \$ 1,779 | 3,609 | 1,743 | 6,326 | 241 | (201) | 13,497 | | 13,497 |
| Cash dividends declared: (32) (| Net income | | | | 753 | | | 753 | | 753 |
| Common stock at \$0.04 per share (32) (32) (32) Preferred stock (205) (205) (205) Accretion of preferred dividends, - - - Stock-based compensation expense 45 (1) 44 44 Stock-based awards issued or exercised, - - - - including treasury shares issued (10) 66 (4) (4) Restricted stock grants (62) 62 - - accounting principle (77) (77) (77) (77) Noncontrolling interest - 29 20 20 Other (1) 3 2 2 2 Other comprehensive income 1.297 1.297 1 1.298 Other comprehensive income (257) (257) (257) (257) Common stock at \$0.28 per share (280) (3408) (3408) (3408) (3408) Redemption of preferred shares, Series F (320) - - - | Other comprehensive income | | | | | 73 | | 73 | | 73 |
| Preferred stock (205) (205) Accretion of preferred dividends, - Series F 45 (45) - Stock-based compensation expense 45 (1) 44 44 Stock-based compensation expense (10) 6 (4) (4) Restricted stock grants (62) 62 - - Impact of cumulative effect of change in accounting principle (77) (77) (77) Noncontrolling interest (77) (77) (77) Other (1) 3 2 2 Balance at December 31, 2010 1,779 3,654 1,715 6,719 314 (130) 14,051 29 14,080 Net income 1,297 1,56 1,56 1,56 1,56 1,56 Cash dividends declared: | Cash dividends declared: | | | | | | | | | |
| Prefered sock (205) (205) (205) Accretion of prefered dividends, 45 (45) - - Stock-based compensation expense 45 (1) 44 44 Stock-based awards issued or excretised, - - - - Including treasury shares issued (10) 6 (4) - - Ingrit of comulative effect of change in accounting principle (77) (77) (77) (77) Noncontrolling interest (77) (77) (77) (77) Net income (10) 3 2 2 20 Other (11) 3 2 2 20 20 Other (11) 3 2 2 20 20 20 12 12,030 1,12,97 1,12,97 1,12,97 1,12,98 1,2,97 1,2,97 1,2,97 1,2,97 1,2,97 1,2,97 1,2,97 1,2,97 1,2,97 1,2,97 1,2,97 1,2,97 1,2,97 1,2,97 | Common stock at \$0.04 per share | | | | (32) | | | (32) | | (32) |
| Series F 45 (45) - - Stock-based oxepression expense 45 (1) 44 44 Stock-based oxepression expense 45 (1) 44 44 Stock-based oxepression expense (10) 6 (4) (4) Restricted stock grants (62) 62 - - Impact of cumulative effect of change in accounting principle (77) (77) (77) (77) Not controlling interest (77) (77) (77) (77) (77) Other (1) 3 2 2 14080 1298 14080 1298 14080 1298 14080 1298 1291 1291 1291 | Preferred stock | | | | (205) | | | (205) | | (205) |
| Stock-based compensation expense 45 (1) 44 44 Stock-based compensation expense (10) 6 (4) (4) Stock-based awards issued or exercised, including treasury shares issued (10) 6 (4) (4) Restricted stock grants (62) 62 - - - - - - 29 20 Other (1) 3 2 2 2 2 20 </td <td>Accretion of preferred dividends,</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> | Accretion of preferred dividends, | | | | | | | | | |
| Stock-based awards issued or exercised, including treasury shares issued (10) 6 (4) (4) Bestricted stock grants (62) 62 - - Impact of cumulative effect of change in accounting principle (77) (77) (77) (77) Noncontrolling interest - 29 29 Other (1) 3 2 2 Balance at December 31, 2010 1,779 3,654 1,715 6,719 314 (130) 14,051 29 14,080 Net income 1,297 1,217 1,217 1,217 1,217 1,218 Cher comprehensive income 156 156 156 156 156 Cash dividends declared: (50) (50) (50) (50) (50) (50) (280 | Series F | | 45 | | (45) | | | - | | - |
| including treasury shares issued (10) 6 (4) (4) Restricted stock grants (62) 62 - - Impact of cumulative effect of change in accounting principle (77) (77) (77) (77) Submace of cumulative effect of change in accounting principle (1) 3 2 2 Other (1) 3 2 2 2 Balance at December 31, 2010 1,779 3,654 1,715 6,719 314 (130) 14,051 29 14,080 Net income 1,297 1 1,297 1 1,298 156 156 156 156 156 Cash dividends declared: (257) (257) (257) (257) (257) (250) (260) | Stock-based compensation expense | | | 45 | (1) | | | 44 | | 44 |
| Restricted stock grants (62) 62 - - Impact of cumulative effect of change in accounting principle (77) (77) (77) (77) Noncontrolling interest - 29 29 Dubter (1) 3 2 2 Balance at December 31, 2010 1,779 3,654 1,715 6,719 314 (130) 14,051 29 14,080 Net income 1,297 1,297 1 1,298 156 156 156 156 Cash dividends declard: - | Stock-based awards issued or exercised, | | | | | | | | | |
| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | including treasury shares issued | | | (10) | | | 6 | (4) | | (4) |
| accounting principle (77) (77) (77) Noncontrolling interest - 29 29 Other (1) 3 2 2 Balance at December 31, 2010 1,779 3,654 1,715 6,719 314 (130) 14,051 29 14,080 Net income 1,297 1 1,297 1 1,297 1 1,297 Other comprehensive income 1,297 1 1,297 1 1,297 Cash dividends declared: 156 156 156 156 Common stock at 50.28 per share (257) (257) (257) (257) Preferred stock 52 1,548 1,648 1,648 Redemption of preferred shares, Series F (3,408) (3,408) (3,408) Redemption of preferred sixes, Series F (3,408) (153) - - F 153 (153) - - - Stock-based ompensation expense 52 52 52 52 <t< td=""><td>Restricted stock grants</td><td></td><td></td><td>(62)</td><td></td><td></td><td>62</td><td>-</td><td></td><td>-</td></t<> | Restricted stock grants | | | (62) | | | 62 | - | | - |
| accounting principle (77) (77) (77) Noncontrolling interest - 29 29 Other (1) 3 2 2 Balance at December 31, 2010 1,779 3,654 1,715 6,719 314 (130) 14,051 29 14,080 Net income 1,297 1 1,297 1 1,297 1 1,297 Other comprehensive income 1,297 1 1,297 1 1,297 Cash dividends declared: 156 156 156 156 Common stock at 50.28 per share (257) (257) (257) (257) Preferred stock 52 1,548 1,648 1,648 Redemption of preferred shares, Series F (3,408) (3,408) (3,408) Redemption of preferred sixes, Series F (3,408) (153) - - F 153 (153) - - - Stock-based ompensation expense 52 52 52 52 <t< td=""><td>Impact of cumulative effect of change in</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<> | Impact of cumulative effect of change in | | | | | | | | | |
| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | | | | | (77) | | | (77) | | (77) |
| Balance at December 31, 2010 1,779 3,654 1,715 6,719 314 (130) 14,051 29 14,080 Net income 1,297 1 1,297 1 1,297 1 1,297 Other comprehensive income 156 156 156 156 156 Cash dividends declared: (257) (257) (257) (257) Preferred stock (3,408) (3,408) (3,408) (3,408) (3,408) Redemption of preferred shares, Series F (3,408) (280) (280) (280) (280) (280) Accretion of preferred dividends, Series 7 (8) 52 <td>• •</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>29</td> <td>29</td> | • • | | | | | | | - | 29 | 29 |
| Balance at December 31, 2010 1,779 3,654 1,715 6,719 314 (130) 14,051 29 14,080 Net income 1,297 1,297 1 1,297 1 1,297 Other comprehensive income 156 156 156 156 Cash dividends declared: (257) (257) (257) (257) Prefered stock (50) (50) (50) (50) (50) Issuance of common stock 272 1,376 1,648 1,648 1,648 Redemption of preferred shares, Series F (3,408) (280) (280) (280) (280) (280) Accretion of preferred dividends, Series 52 | Other | | | (1) | | | 3 | 2 | | 2 |
| Other comprehensive income 156 156 156 Cash dividends declared: 7 7 207) 700000 Common stock at 50.28 per share (257) (257) (257) (257) Preferred stock (50) (50) (50) (50) Issuance of common stock 272 1,376 1,648 1,648 Redemption of preferred shares, Series F (3,408) (3,408) (3,408) Redemption of stock warrant (280) (280) (280) Accretion of preferred dividends, Series 52 52 52 Stock-based compensation expense 52 52 52 Stock-based awards issued or exercised, (15) 7 (8) (8) Including treasury shares issued (15) 7 (8) (8) Restricted stock grants (58) 58 - - Noncontrolling interests - (1) 2 (2) 1 - (1) (1) (1) Balance at December 31, 2011 2,051 398 2,792 7,554 470 (64) 13,201 | Balance at December 31, 2010 | 1,779 | 3,654 | | 6,719 | 314 | (130) | 14,051 | 29 | 14,080 |
| Other comprehensive income 156 156 156 Cash dividends declared: 7 7 70 70 Common stock at 50.28 per share (257) 7(257) 7(257) Preferred stock (50) (50) (50) Issuance of common stock 272 1,376 1,648 1,648 Redemption of preferred shares, Series F (3,408) (3,408) (3,408) Redemption of stock warrant (280) (280) (280) Accretion of preferred dividends, Series 52 52 52 Stock-based compensation expense 52 52 52 Stock-based awards issued or exercised, 115 7 (8) (8) Restricted stock grants (58) 58 - - Noncontrolling interests - (1) 2 (2) 1 - (1) (1) Balance at December 31, 2011 2,051 398 2,792 7,554 470 (64) 13,201 50 13,251 Net income 1,576 (2) 1,574 (55) (35) (35) | Net income | | | | 1,297 | | | 1,297 | 1 | 1,298 |
| Cash dividends declared:(257)(257)(257)Common stock at \$0.28 per share(50)(50)(50)Issuance of common stock2721,3761,6481,648Redemption of preferred shares, Series F(3,408)(3,408)(3,408)Redemption of stock warrant(280)(280)(280)Accretion of preferred dividends, Series525252F153(153)Stock-based compensation expense525252Stock-based awards issued or exercised,(15)7(8)(8)Including treasury shares issued(15)7(8)(8)Restricted stock grants(19)21-(1)Other(1)2(2)1-(1)Other(1)2(2)1-(1)Other(35)(35)(35)(35)(35)Other comprehensive loss(95)(95)(95)(95)Common stock at \$0.36 per share(325)(325)(325)Stock-based compensation expense63636363Stock-based compensation expense63636363Stock-based compensation expense63636363Stock-based compensation expense63636363Stock-based compensation expense63636363Stock-based compensation expense63636363Stock-based compe | Other comprehensive income | | | | | 156 | | 156 | | 156 |
| Preferred stock (50) (50) (50) Issuance of common stock 272 1,376 1,648 1,648 Redemption of preferred shares, Series F (3,408) (280) (280) (280) Accretion of preferred dividends, Series 7 (280) (280) (280) Stock-based compensation expense 52 52 52 52 Stock-based compensation expense 52 52 52 52 Stock-based awards issued or exercised, (15) 7 (8) (8) Restricted stock grants (58) 58 - - Noncontrolling interests - 1 - (1) 1 (1) 1 | * | | | | | | | | | |
| Issuance of common stock2721,3761,6481,648Redemption of preferred shares, Series F(3,408)(3,408)(3,408)(3,408)Redemption of stock warrant(280)(280)(280)(280)(280)Accretion of preferred dividends, Series(153)F153(153)Stock-based awards issued or exercised, including treasury shares issued(15)7(8)(8)Restricted stock grants(58)58Noncontrolling interests(1)2(2)1-(1)Other(1)2(2)1-(1)(1)Balance at December 31, 20112,0513982,7927,554470(64)13,2015013,251Net income1,576(22)1-(1)(1)1,576(2)1,574Other comprehensive loss(35)(35)(35)(35)(35)(35)Cash dividends declared:(35)(35)(35)(35)(35)Stock-based awards issued or exercised, including treasury shares issued(27)7(20)(20)Restricted stock grants(27)7(20)(20)(20)Restricted stock grants(27)7(20)(20)Restricted stock grants(27)7200(20)Restricted stock grants(27)7(20)(20)Restricted stock grants(27)< | Common stock at \$0.28 per share | | | | (257) | | | (257) | | (257) |
| Redemption of preferred shares, Series F $(3,408)$ $(3,408)$ $(3,408)$ $(3,408)$ Redemption of stock warrant (280) (280) (280) Accretion of preferred dividends, Series (280) (280) (280) F153 (153) $ -$ Stock-based compensation expense 52 52 52 Stock-based awards issued or exercised, (15) 7 (8) (8) including treasury shares issued (15) 7 (8) (8) Restricted stock grants (58) 58 $ -$ Noncontrolling interests (1) 2 (2) 1 $ (1)$ Deter (1) 2 (2) 1 $ (1)$ (1) Restricted stock grants (1) 2 (2) 1 $ (1)$ (1) Noncontrolling interests (1) 2 (2) 1 $ (1)$ (1) (2) (2) $(3,201)$ (50) $(3,25)$ Net income (1) 2 (2) 1 $ (1)$ (1) (2) (325) (325) Cash dividends declared: (325) (325) (325) (325) (325) (325) Preferred stock (35) (35) (35) (35) (35) (35) Shares acquired for treasury (23) (627) (650) (650) (550) Stock-based ompense 63 63 63 63 63 63 < | Preferred stock | | | | (50) | | | (50) | | (50) |
| Redemption of preferred shares, Series F $(3,408)$ $(3,408)$ $(3,408)$ Redemption of stock warrant (280) (280) (280) Accretion of preferred dividends, Series (280) (280) F153 (153) $-$ Stock-based compensation expense 52 52 Stock-based awards issued or exercised, (15) 7 (8) Including treasury shares issued (15) 7 (8) Restricted stock grants (58) 58 $-$ Noncontrolling interests (11) 2 (2) 1 $-$ Other (11) 2 (2) 1 $ (1)$ Retincted stock grants (58) 58 $ -$ Noncontrolling interests (11) 2 (2) 1 $ (1)$ Retincted stock grants (58) 7554 470 (64) $13,201$ 50 $13,251$ Net income (1) 2 (2) 1 $ (1)$ (1) 2 (2) $1,576$ (2) $1,574$ Other comprehensive loss (325) (325) (325) (325) (325) (325) (325) Preferred stock (35) (325) (325) (325) (325) (325) Stock-based compensation expense 63 63 63 63 63 Stock-based compensation expense (27) 7 (20) (20) Restricted stock grants (47) 47 $-$ </td <td>Issuance of common stock</td> <td>272</td> <td></td> <td>1,376</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1,648</td> <td></td> <td>1,648</td> | Issuance of common stock | 272 | | 1,376 | | | | 1,648 | | 1,648 |
| Redemption of stock warrant (280) (280) Accretion of preferred dividends, Series 7 (280) F 153 (153) - Stock-based compensation expense 52 52 52 Stock-based awards issued or exercised, (15) 7 (8) (8) Restricted stock grants (58) 58 - - Noncontrolling interests (1) 2 (2) 1 - (1) (1) 1 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>(3,408)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>(3,408)</td><td></td><td>(3,408)</td></td<> | | | (3,408) | | | | | (3,408) | | (3,408) |
| Accretion of preferred dividends, Series I53 (153) - - F 153 (153) - - - Stock-based compensation expense 52 52 52 52 including treasury shares issued (15) 7 (8) (8) Restricted stock grants (58) 58 - - Noncontrolling interests - 21 21 21 Other (1) 2 (2) 1 - (1) (1) (1) 1 (1) (1) 1 (1) (1) (1) (1) 1 (1) | | | , | (280) | | | | (280) | | (280) |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | * | | | | | | | . , | | |
| Stock-based awards issued or exercised, (15) 7 (8) (8) Restricted stock grants (58) 58 - - Noncontrolling interests - (1) 2 (2) 1 - (1) 21 21 Balance at December 31, 2011 2,051 398 2,792 7,554 470 (64) 13,201 50 13,251 Net income (1) 2 (2) 1 - (1) 1,576 (2) 1,574 Other comprehensive loss (95) (95) (95) (95) (95) (95) Cash dividends declared: (325) (325) (325) (325) (325) Preferred stock (35) (35) (35) (35) (35) (55) Shares acquired for treasury (23) (627) (650) (650) (650) Stock-based compensation expense 63 63 63 63 63 63 Stock-based awards issued or exercised, | | | 153 | | (153) | | | - | | - |
| Stock-based awards issued or exercised, (15) 7 (8) (8) ncluding treasury shares issued (15) 7 (8) (8) Restricted stock grants (58) 58 - - Noncontrolling interests - (1) 2 (2) 1 - (1) (1) 2 (2) 1 - (1) (1) 2 (2) 1 - (1) (1) 2 (2) 1 - (1) (1) 2 (2) 1 - (1) (1) 2 (2) 1 - (1) (1) 2 (2) 1 - (1) (1) 2 (2) 1 50 13,251 | Stock-based compensation expense | | | 52 | | | | 52 | | 52 |
| Restricted stock grants (58) 58 - 21 21 Noncontrolling interests (1) 2 (2) 1 - (1) (1) Other (1) 2 (2) 1 - (1) (1) Balance at December 31, 2011 2,051 398 2,792 7,554 470 (64) 13,201 50 13,251 Net income 1,576 1,576 (2) 1,574 (64) 1576 (2) 1,574 Other comprehensive loss (95) (95) (95) (95) (95) (95) Cash dividends declared: (325) (325) (325) (325) (325) (325) Preferred stock (33) (627) (6670) (650) (650) (50) Stock-based compensation expense 63 63 63 63 63 63 63 Stock-based awards issued or exercised, (27) 7 (20) (20) 20) Including treasury shares issued (27) 7 (20) 20) - - | | | | | | | | | | |
| Restricted stock grants (58) 58 - - 21 21 Noncontrolling interests (1) 2 (2) 1 - (1) (1) Other (1) 2 (2) 1 - (1) (1) Balance at December 31, 2011 2,051 398 2,792 7,554 470 (64) 13,201 50 13,251 Net income 1,576 1,576 (2) 1,574 (55) (95) (95) (95) (95) (2) 1,574 Other comprehensive loss (95) (95 | including treasury shares issued | | | (15) | | | 7 | (8) | | (8) |
| Other (1) 2 (2) 1 - (1) (1) (1) Balance at December 31, 2011 2,051 398 2,792 7,554 470 (64) 13,201 50 13,251 Net income 1,576 1,576 20 1,576 (2) 1,574 Other comprehensive loss (95) (95) (95) (95) (95) (95) (95) Cash dividends declared: (325) (3 | Restricted stock grants | | | (58) | | | 58 | - | | - |
| Balance at December 31, 2011 2,051 398 2,792 7,554 470 (64) 13,201 50 13,251 Net income 1,576 1,576 (2) 1,574 Other comprehensive loss (95) (95) (95) (95) Cash dividends declared: (325) (325) (325) Common stock at \$0.36 per share (325) (325) (325) Preferred stock (35) (35) (35) Shares acquired for treasury (23) (627) (650) (650) Stock-based compensation expense 63 63 63 63 63 Stock-based awards issued or exercised, including treasury shares issued (27) 7 (20) (20) Restricted stock grants (47) 47 - - - Other (2) 3 1 1 1 Balance at December 31, 2012 \$ 2,051 398 2,758 8,768 375 (634) 13,716 48 13,764 | Noncontrolling interests | | | | | | | - | 21 | 21 |
| Net income 1,576 1,576 (2) 1,574 Other comprehensive loss (95) (95) (95) (95) Cash dividends declared: (325) (325) (325) (325) Common stock at \$0.36 per share (325) (325) (325) (325) Preferred stock (35) (35) (35) (35) Shares acquired for treasury (23) (627) (650) (650) Stock-based compensation expense 63 63 63 63 Stock-based awards issued or exercised, - - - - including treasury shares issued (27) 7 (20) (20) Restricted stock grants (47) 47 - - Other (2) 3 1 1 Balance at December 31, 2012 \$ 2,051 398 2,758 8,768 375 (634) 13,716 48 13,764 | Other | | (1) | 2 | (2) | | 1 | - | (1) | (1) |
| Net income 1,576 1,576 (2) 1,574 Other comprehensive loss (95) (95) (95) (95) Cash dividends declared: (325) (325) (325) Common stock at \$0.36 per share (325) (325) (325) Preferred stock (35) (35) (35) Shares acquired for treasury (23) (627) (650) (650) Stock-based compensation expense 63 63 63 63 Stock-based awards issued or exercised, | Balance at December 31, 2011 | 2,051 | | | | 470 | (64) | 13,201 | | . , |
| Cash dividends declared: (325) (325) Common stock at \$0.36 per share (325) (325) Preferred stock (35) (35) (35) Shares acquired for treasury (23) (627) (650) (650) Stock-based compensation expense 63 63 63 63 Stock-based awards issued or exercised, | Net income | | | | 1,576 | | | 1,576 | (2) | 1,574 |
| Common stock at \$0.36 per share (325) (325) Preferred stock (35) (35) Shares acquired for treasury (23) (627) (650) Stock-based compensation expense 63 63 63 Stock-based awards issued or exercised, - - including treasury shares issued (27) 7 (20) (20) Restricted stock grants (47) 47 - - Other (2) 3 1 1 Balance at December 31, 2012 \$ 2,051 398 2,758 8,768 375 (634) 13,716 48 13,764 | Other comprehensive loss | | | | | (95) | | (95) | | (95) |
| Preferred stock (35) (35) (35) Shares acquired for treasury (23) (627) (650) (650) Stock-based compensation expense 63 63 63 63 Stock-based awards issued or exercised, | Cash dividends declared: | | | | | | | | | |
| Shares acquired for treasury (23) (627) (650) (650) Stock-based compensation expense 63 63 63 63 Stock-based awards issued or exercised, 7 (20) (20) including treasury shares issued (27) 7 (20) (20) Restricted stock grants (47) 47 - - Other (2) 3 1 1 Balance at December 31, 2012 \$ 2,051 398 2,758 8,768 375 (634) 13,716 48 13,764 | Common stock at \$0.36 per share | | | | (325) | | | (325) | | (325) |
| Shares acquired for treasury (23) (627) (650) (650) Stock-based compensation expense 63 63 63 63 Stock-based awards issued or exercised, 7 (20) (20) including treasury shares issued (27) 7 (20) (20) Restricted stock grants (47) 47 - - Other (2) 3 1 1 Balance at December 31, 2012 \$ 2,051 398 2,758 8,768 375 (634) 13,716 48 13,764 | • | | | | . , | | | . , | | (35) |
| Stock-based awards issued or exercised, including treasury shares issued (27) 7 (20) (20) Restricted stock grants (47) 47 - - Other (2) 3 1 1 Balance at December 31, 2012 \$ 2,051 398 2,758 8,768 375 (634) 13,716 48 13,764 | Shares acquired for treasury | | | (23) | | | (627) | (650) | | (650) |
| Stock-based awards issued or exercised, including treasury shares issued (27) 7 (20) (20) Restricted stock grants (47) 47 - - Other (2) 3 1 1 Balance at December 31, 2012 \$ 2,051 398 2,758 8,768 375 (634) 13,716 48 13,764 | | | | 63 | | | | 63 | | 63 |
| including treasury shares issued (27) 7 (20) (20) Restricted stock grants (47) 47 - - Other (2) 3 1 1 Balance at December 31, 2012 \$ 2,051 398 2,758 8,768 375 (634) 13,716 48 13,764 | * * | | | | | | | | | |
| Restricted stock grants (47) 47 - - Other (2) 3 1 1 Balance at December 31, 2012 \$ 2,051 398 2,758 8,768 375 (634) 13,716 48 13,764 | | | | (27) | | | 7 | (20) | | (20) |
| Other (2) 3 1 1 Balance at December 31, 2012 \$ 2,051 398 2,758 8,768 375 (634) 13,716 48 13,764 | Restricted stock grants | | | (47) | | | 47 | - | | - |
| | Other | | | | (2) | | 3 | 1 | | 1 |
| See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. | Balance at December 31, 2012 | \$ 2,051 | 398 | 2,758 | 8,768 | 375 | (634) | 13,716 | 48 | 13,764 |
| | See Notes to Consolidated Financial States | ments. | | | | | | | | |

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

| For the years ended December 31 (\$ in millions) | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|--|-----------|---------------|---------------|
| Operating Activities | | | |
| Net income | \$ 1,574 | 1,298 | 753 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | 202 | 422 | 1 520 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | 303 | 423 | 1,538 |
| Depreciation, amortization and accretion | 531 | 455 59 | 457 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 69 271 | 437 | 64 176 |
| Provision for deferred income taxes Realized securities gains | (69) | (58) | (60) |
| Realized securities gains non-qualifying hedges on mortgage servicing rights | (10) | (24) | (14) |
| Realized securities losses | 54 | 12 | 13 |
| Realized securities losses non-qualifying hedges on mortgage servicing rights | 7 | 12 | - |
| Provision for mortgage servicing rights | 103 | 242 | 36 |
| Net (gains) losses on sales of loans and fair value adjustments on loans held for sale | (278) | (145) | 114 |
| Bank premises and equipment impairment | 21 | - | - |
| Capitalized mortgage servicing rights | (305) | (236) | (297) |
| Loss on extinguishment on TruPS | 26 | () | - |
| Loss on extinguishment on debt | 143 | - | - |
| Proceeds from sales of loans held for sale | 22,044 | 14,783 | 18,634 |
| Loans originated for sale, net of repayments | (21,439) | (15,199) | (18,231) |
| Dividends representing return on equity method investments | 45 | 13 | 31 |
| Gain on Vantiv, Inc. IPO and sale of Vantiv, Inc. shares | (272) | - | - |
| Net change in: | | | |
| Trading securities | (28) | 115 | 67 |
| Other assets | 4 | (67) | 9 |
| Accrued taxes, interest and expenses | 1 | 79 | (63) |
| Other liabilities | (238) | 164 | 78 |
| Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities | 2,557 | 2,366 | 3,305 |
| Investing Activities | | | |
| Sales: | | | |
| Available-for-sale securities | 2,521 | 2,471 | 2,578 |
| Loans | 275 | 371 | 538 |
| Disposal of bank premises and equipment | 13 | 35 | 10 |
| Repayments / maturities: | | | |
| Available-for-sale securities | 4,100 | 3,502 | 4,620 |
| Held-to-maturity securities | 36 | 29 | 1 |
| Purchases: | | | |
| Available-for-sale securities | (6,813) | (5,689) | (5,218) |
| Held-to-maturity securities | - | - | (1) |
| Bank premises and equipment | (362) | (319) | (224) |
| Restricted cash from the initial consolidation of variable interest entities | - | - | 63 |
| Proceeds from sale and dividends representing return of equity method investments | 393 | 63 | 8 |
| Net change in: | | | 1.0(1 |
| Other short-term investments | (640) | (267) | 1,861 |
| Loans and leases | (5,930) | (5,422) | (2,507) |
| Operating lease equipment Not Cook (Head in) Provided by Investing Activities | (126) | (59) | (21) |
| Net Cash (Used in) Provided by Investing Activities | (6,533) | (5,285) | 1,708 |
| Financing Activities Net change in: | | | |
| Core deposits | 3,529 | 5,264 | 784 |
| Certificates - \$100,000 and over, including other foreign office | 279 | (1,202) | (3,429) |
| Federal funds purchased | 555 | (1,202) 67 | (3,429) 97 |
| Other short-term borrowings | 3,041 | 1,665 | 38 |
| Dividends paid on common shares | (309) | (192) | (32) |
| Dividends paid on preferred shares | (305) | (192) | (205) |
| Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt | 523 | 1,500 | (203) |
| Repayment of long-term debt | (3,159) | (1,607) | (2,473) |
| Repurchases of treasury shares and related forward contracts | (650) | - | (2,775) |
| Issuance of common shares | (030) | 1,648 | - |
| Redemption of preferred shares, Series F | - | (3,408) | - |
| recomption of protonou states, series r | - | (3,100) | |

| Redemption of stock warrant | | - | (280) | - |
|---|------|-------------|---------------|-------------|
| Capital contributions from noncontrolling interests | | - | 21 | 30 |
| Other | | (20) | (3) | 4 |
| Net Cash Provided By (Used In) Financing Activities | | 3,754 | 3,423 | (5,172) |
| (Decrease) Increase in Cash and Due from Banks | | (222) | 504 | (159) |
| Cash and Due from Banks at Beginning of Period | | 2,663 | 2,159 | 2,318 |
| Cash and Due from Banks at End of Period | \$ | 2,441 | 2,663 | 2,159 |
| See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. Note 2 contains cash payments related to interest and income taxe | s in | addition to | o noncash inv | vesting and |
| financing activities. | | | | |

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING AND REPORTING POLICIES

Nature of Operations

Fifth Third Bancorp, an Ohio corporation, conducts its principal lending, deposit gathering, transaction processing and service advisory activities through its banking and non-banking subsidiaries from banking centers located throughout the Midwestern and Southeastern regions of the United States.

Basis of Presentation

The Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of the Bancorp and its majority-owned subsidiaries and VIEs in which the Bancorp has been determined to be the primary beneficiary. Other entities, including certain joint ventures, in which the Bancorp has the ability to exercise significant influence over operating and financial policies of the investee, but upon which the Bancorp does not possess control, are accounted for by the equity method and not consolidated. Those entities in which the Bancorp does not have the ability to exercise significant influence are generally carried at the lower of cost or fair value. Intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated. Certain prior period data has been reclassified to conform to current period presentation.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Cash and Due From Banks

Cash and due from banks consist of currency and coin, cash items in the process of collection and due from banks. Currency and coin includes both U.S. and foreign currency owned and held at Fifth Third offices and that is in-transit to the FRB. Cash items in the process of collection include checks and drafts that are drawn on another depository institution or the FRB that are payable immediately upon presentation in the U.S. Balances due from banks include non-interest bearing balances that are funds on deposit at other depository institutions or the FRB.

Securities

Securities are classified as held-to-maturity, available-for-sale or trading on the date of purchase. Only those securities which management has the intent and ability to hold to maturity are classified as held-to-maturity and reported at amortized cost. Securities are classified as available-for-sale when, in management s judgment, they may be sold in response to, or in anticipation of, changes in market conditions. Securities are classified as trading when bought and held principally for the purpose of selling them in the near term. Available-for-sale securities are reported at fair value with unrealized gains and losses, net of related deferred income taxes, included in other comprehensive income. Trading securities are reported at fair value with unrealized gains and losses included in noninterest income. The fair value of a security is determined based on quoted market prices. If quoted market prices are not available, fair value is determined based on quoted prices of similar instruments or discounted cash flow models that incorporate market inputs and assumptions including discount rates, prepayment speeds, and loss rates. Realized securities gains or losses are reported within noninterest income in the Consolidated Statements of Income. The cost of securities sold is based on the specific identification method.

Available-for-sale and held-to-maturity securities with unrealized losses are reviewed quarterly for possible OTTI. For debt securities, if the Bancorp intends to sell the debt security or will more likely than not be required to sell the debt security before recovery of the entire amortized cost basis, then an OTTI has

occurred. However, even if the Bancorp does not intend to sell the debt security and will not likely be required to sell the debt security before recovery of its entire amortized cost basis, the Bancorp must evaluate expected cash flows to be received and determine if a credit loss has occurred. In the event of a credit loss, the credit component of the impairment is recognized within noninterest income and the non-credit

Table of Contents

component is recognized through other comprehensive income. For equity securities, the Bancorps management evaluates the securities in an unrealized loss position in the available-for-sale portfolio for OTTI on the basis of the duration of the decline in value of the security and severity of that decline as well as the Bancorps intent and ability to hold these securities for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in the market value. If it is determined that the impairment on an equity security is other than temporary, an impairment loss equal to the difference between the carrying value of the security and its fair value is recognized within noninterest income.

Portfolio Loans and Leases

Basis of Accounting

Portfolio loans and leases are generally reported at the principal amount outstanding, net of unearned income, deferred loan fees and costs, and any direct principal charge-offs. Direct loan origination fees and costs are deferred and the net amount is amortized over the estimated life of the related loans as a yield adjustment. Interest income is recognized based on the principal balance outstanding computed using the effective interest method.

Loans acquired by the Bancorp through a purchase business combination are recorded at fair value as of the acquisition date. The Bancorp does not carry over the acquired company s ALLL, nor does the Bancorp add to its existing ALLL as part of purchase accounting.

Purchased loans are evaluated for evidence of credit deterioration at acquisition and recorded at their initial fair value. For loans acquired with no evidence of credit deterioration, the fair value discount or premium is amortized over the contractual life of the loan as an adjustment to yield. For loans acquired with evidence of credit deterioration, the Bancorp determines at the acquisition date the excess of the loan 's contractually required payments over all cash flows expected to be collected as an amount that should not be accreted into interest income (nonaccretable difference). The remaining amount representing the difference in the expected cash flows of acquired loans and the initial investment in the acquired loans is accreted into interest income over the remaining life of the loan or pool of loans (accretable yield). Subsequent to the purchase date, increases in expected cash flows over those expected at the purchase date are recognized prospectively as interest income over the remaining life of the loan. The present value of any decreases in expected cash flows resulting directly from a change in the contractual interest rate are recognized prospectively as a reduction of the accretable yield. The present value of any decreases in expected cash flows after the purchase date as a result of credit deterioration is recognized by recording an ALLL or a direct chargeoff. Subsequent to the purchase date, the methods utilized to estimate the required ALLL are similar to originated loans. Loans carried at fair value, mortgage loans held for sale and loans under revolving credit agreements are excluded from the scope of this guidance on loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality.

The Bancorp s lease portfolio consists of both direct financing and leveraged leases. Direct financing leases are carried at the aggregate of lease payments plus estimated residual value of the leased property, less unearned income. Interest income on direct financing leases is recognized over the term of the lease to achieve a constant periodic rate of return on the outstanding investment.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Leveraged leases are carried at the aggregate of lease payments (less nonrecourse debt payments) plus estimated residual value of the leased property, less unearned income. Interest income on leveraged leases is recognized over the term of the lease to achieve a constant rate of return on the outstanding investment in the lease, net of the related deferred income tax liability, in the years in which the net investment is positive.

Nonaccrual Loans

When a loan is placed on nonaccrual status, the accrual of interest, amortization of loan premium, accretion of loan discount, and amortization/accretion of deferred net loan fees are discontinued and all previously accrued and unpaid interest is charged against income. Commercial loans are placed on nonaccrual status when there is a clear indication that the borrower s cash flows may not be sufficient to meet payments as they become due. Such loans are also placed on nonaccrual status when the principal or interest is past due 90 days or more, unless the loan is both well secured and in the process of collection. The Bancorp classifies residential mortgage loans that have principal and interest payments that have become past due 150 days as nonaccrual unless the loan is both well secured and in the process of collection. Residential mortgage loans may stay on nonperforming status for an extended time as the foreclosure process typically lasts longer than 180 days. Typically home equity loans are reported on nonaccrual status if principal or interest has been in default for 180 days or more unless the loan is both well secured and in the process of collection. Residential mortgage, home equity, automobile and other consumer loans and leases that have been modified in a TDR and subsequently become past due 90 days are placed on nonaccrual status unless the loan is both well secured and in the process of collection. Commercial and credit card loans that have been modified in a TDR are classified as nonaccrual unless such loans have sustained repayment performance of six months or greater and are reasonably assured of repayment in accordance with the restructured terms. Well secured loans are collateralized by perfected security interests in real and/or personal property for which the Bancorp estimates proceeds from sale would be sufficient to recover the outstanding principal and accrued interest balance of the loan and pay all costs to sell the collateral. The Bancorp considers a loan in the process of collection if collection efforts or legal action is proceeding and the Bancorp expects to collect funds sufficient to bring the loan current or recover the entire outstanding principal and accrued interest balance.

Nonaccrual commercial loans, other than those modified in a TDR and nonaccrual credit card loans, are generally accounted for on the cost recovery method. The Bancorp believes the cost recovery method is appropriate for nonaccrual commercial loans and nonaccrual credit card loans because the assessment of collectability of the remaining recorded investment of these loans involves a high degree of subjectivity and uncertainty due to the nature or absence of underlying collateral. Under the cost recovery method, any payments received are applied to reduce principal. Once the entire recorded investment is collected, additional payments received are treated as recoveries of amounts previously charged-off until recovered in full, and any subsequent payments are treated as interest income. Nonaccrual residential mortgage loans and other nonaccrual consumer loans are generally accounted for on the cash basis method. The Bancorp believes the cash basis method is appropriate for nonaccrual residential mortgage and other nonaccrual consumer loans because such loans have generally been written down to estimated collateral values and the collectability of the remaining investment involves only an assessment of the fair value of the underlying collateral, which can be measured more objectively with a lesser degree of uncertainty than assessments of

typical commercial loan collateral. Under the cash basis method, interest income is recognized upon cash receipt to the extent to which it would have been accrued on the loan s remaining balance at the contractual rate. Nonaccrual loans may be returned to accrual status when all delinquent interest and principal payments become current in accordance with the loan agreement or when the loan is both well-secured and in the process of collection.

Commercial loans on nonaccrual status, including those modified in a troubled debt restructuring, as well as criticized commercial loans with aggregate borrower relationships exceeding \$1 million, are subject to an individual review to identify charge-offs. The Bancorp does not have an established delinquency threshold for partially or fully charging off commercial loans. Residential mortgage, home equity and credit card loans that have principal and interest payments that have become past due 180 days are charged off to the ALLL, unless such loans are both well-secured and in the process of collection. Automobile and other consumer loans and leases that have principal and interest payments that have become past due 120 days are charged off to the ALLL, unless such loans are both well-secured and in the process of collection.

Restructured Loans

A loan is accounted for as a TDR if the Bancorp, for economic or legal reasons related to the borrower s financial difficulties, grants a concession to the borrower that it would not otherwise consider. A TDR typically involves a modification of terms such as a reduction of the stated interest rate or face amount of the loan, a reduction of accrued interest, or an extension of the maturity date(s) at a stated interest rate lower than the current market rate for a new loan with similar risk. The Bancorp does not consider the bankruptcy court s discharge of the borrower s debt a concession when the discharged debt is not reaffirmed, and as such these loans are classified as TDRs only if one or more of the previously

Table of Contents

mentioned concessions are granted. The Bancorp measures the impairment loss of a TDR based on the difference between the original loan s carrying amount and the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the original, effective yield of the loan. Residential mortgage loans, home equity loans, automobile loans and other consumer loans modified as part of a TDR are maintained on accrual status, provided there is reasonable assurance of repayment and of performance according to the modified terms based upon a current, well-documented credit evaluation. Commercial loans and credit card loans modified as part of a TDR are maintained on accrual status provided there is a sustained payment history of six-months or greater prior to the modification in accordance with the modified terms and all remaining contractual payments under the modified terms are reasonably assured of collection. TDRs of commercial loans and credit cards that do not have a sustained payment history of six months or greater in accordance with their modified terms remain on nonaccrual status until a six-month payment history is sustained. During the nonaccrual period, TDRs of commercial loans are accounted for using the cash basis method for income recognition, provided that full repayment of principal under the modified terms of the loan is reasonably assured.

Impaired Loans

A loan is considered to be impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Bancorp will be unable to collect all amounts due (including both principal and interest) according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. For loans modified in a TDR, the contractual terms of the loan agreement refer to the terms specified in the original loan agreement. A loan restructured in a TDR is no longer considered impaired in years after the restructuring if the restructuring

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

agreement specifies a rate equal to or greater than the rate the Bancorp was willing to accept at the time of the restructuring for a new loan with comparable risk and the loan is not impaired based on the terms specified by the restructuring agreement. Refer to the ALLL section for discussion regarding the Bancorp s methodology for identifying impaired loans and determination of the need for a loss accrual.

Loans Held for Sale

Loans held for sale primarily represent conforming fixed rate residential mortgage loans originated or acquired with the intent to sell in the secondary market and commercial loans and other consumer loans that management has an active plan to sell. Loans held for sale may be carried at the lower of cost or fair value, or carried at fair value where the Bancorp has elected the fair value option of accounting under U.S. GAAP. The Bancorp has elected to measure residential mortgage loans originated as held for sale under the fair value option. For loans in which the Bancorp has not elected the fair value option, the lower of cost or fair value is determined at the individual loan level.

The fair value of residential mortgage loans held for sale is estimated based upon mortgage-backed securities prices and spreads to those prices or, for certain ARM loans, discounted cash flow models that may incorporate the anticipated portfolio composition, credit spreads of asset-backed securities with similar collateral, and market conditions. The anticipated portfolio composition includes the effects of interest rate spreads and discount rates due to loan characteristics such as the state in which the loan was originated, the loan amount and the ARM margin. These fair value marks are recorded as a component of noninterest income in mortgage banking net revenue. The Bancorp generally has commitments to sell residential mortgage loans held for sale in the secondary market. Gains or losses on sales are recognized in mortgage banking net revenue upon delivery.

Management s intent to sell residential mortgage loans classified as held for sale may change over time due to such factors as changes in the overall liquidity in markets or changes in characteristics specific to certain loans held for sale. Consequently, these loans may be reclassified to loans held for investment and, thereafter, reported within the Bancorp s residential mortgage class of portfolio loans and leases. In such cases, the residential mortgage loans will continue to be measured at fair value, which is based on mortgage-backed securities prices, interest rate risk and an internally developed credit component.

Loans held for sale are placed on nonaccrual status consistent with the Bancorp s nonaccrual policy for portfolio loans and leases.

Other Real Estate Owned

OREO, which is included in other assets, represents property acquired through foreclosure or other proceedings and is carried at the lower of cost or fair value, less costs to sell. All OREO property is periodically evaluated for impairment and decreases in carrying value are recognized as reductions in other noninterest income in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

ALLL

The Bancorp disaggregates its portfolio loans and leases into portfolio segments for purposes of determining the ALLL. The Bancorp s portfolio segments include commercial, residential mortgage, and consumer. The Bancorp further disaggregates its portfolio segments into classes for purposes of monitoring and assessing credit quality based on certain risk characteristics. Classes within the commercial portfolio segment include commercial and industrial, commercial mortgage owner-occupied, commercial mortgage nonowner-occupied, commercial construction, and commercial leasing. The residential mortgage portfolio segment is

also considered a class. Classes within the consumer portfolio segment include home equity, automobile, credit card, and other consumer loans and leases. For an analysis of the Bancorp s ALLL by portfolio segment and credit quality information by class, see Note 6.

The Bancorp maintains the ALLL to absorb probable loan and lease losses inherent in its portfolio segments. The ALLL is maintained at a level the Bancorp considers to be adequate and is based on ongoing quarterly assessments and evaluations of the collectability and historical loss experience of loans and leases. Credit losses are charged and recoveries are credited to the ALLL. Provisions for loan and lease losses are based on the Bancorp s review of the historical credit loss experience and such factors that, in management s judgment, deserve consideration under existing economic conditions in estimating probable credit losses. The Bancorp s strategy for credit risk management includes a combination of conservative exposure limits significantly below legal lending limits and conservative underwriting, documentation and collections standards. The strategy also emphasizes diversification on a geographic, industry and customer level, regular credit examinations and quarterly

Table of Contents

management reviews of large credit exposures and loans experiencing deterioration of credit quality.

The Bancorp s methodology for determining the ALLL is based on historical loss rates, current credit grades, specific allocation on loans modified in a TDR and impaired commercial credits above specified thresholds and other qualitative adjustments. Allowances on individual commercial loans, TDRs and historical loss rates are reviewed quarterly and adjusted as necessary based on changing borrower and/or collateral conditions and actual collection and charge-off experience. An unallocated allowance is maintained to recognize the imprecision in estimating and measuring losses when evaluating allowances for individual loans or pools of loans.

Larger commercial loans included within aggregate borrower relationship balances exceeding \$1 million that exhibit probable or observed credit weaknesses, as well as loans that have been modified in a TDR, are subject to individual review for impairment. The Bancorp considers the current value of collateral, credit quality of any guarantees, the guarantor s liquidity and willingness to cooperate, the loan structure, and other factors when evaluating whether an individual loan is impaired. Other factors may include the industry and geographic region of the borrower, size and financial condition of the borrower, cash flow and leverage of the borrower, and the Bancorp s evaluation of the borrower s management. When individual loans are impaired, allowances are determined based on management s estimate of the borrower s ability to repay the loan given the availability of collateral and other sources of cash flow, as well as an evaluation of legal options available to the Bancorp. Allowances for impaired loans are measured based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan s effective interest rate, fair value of the underlying collateral or readily observable secondary market values. The Bancorp evaluates the collectability of both principal and interest when assessing the need for a loss accrual.

Historical credit loss rates are applied to commercial loans that are not impaired or are impaired, but smaller than the established threshold of \$1 million and thus not subject to specific allowance allocations. The loss rates are derived from a migration analysis, which tracks the historical net charge-off experience sustained on loans according to their internal risk grade. The risk grading system utilized for allowance analysis purposes encompasses ten categories.

Homogenous loans and leases in the residential mortgage and consumer portfolio segments are not individually risk graded. Rather, standard credit scoring systems and delinquency monitoring

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

are used to assess credit risks, and allowances are established based on the expected net charge-offs. Loss rates are based on the trailing twelve month net charge-off history by loan category. Historical loss rates may be adjusted for certain prescriptive and qualitative factors that, in management s judgment, are necessary to reflect losses inherent in the portfolio. Factors that management considers in the analysis include the effects of the national and local economies; trends in the nature and volume of delinquencies, charge-offs and nonaccrual loans; changes in loan mix; credit score migration comparisons; asset quality trends; risk management and loan administration; changes in the internal lending policies and credit standards; collection practices; and examination results from bank regulatory agencies and the Bancorp s internal credit reviewers.

The Bancorp s primary market areas for lending are the Midwestern and Southeastern regions of the Unites States. When evaluating the adequacy of allowances, consideration is given to these regional geographic concentrations and the closely associated effect changing economic conditions have on the Bancorp s customers.

In the current year, the Bancorp has not substantively changed any material aspect to its overall approach to determining its ALLL for any of its portfolio segments. There have been no material changes in criteria or estimation techniques as compared to prior periods that impacted the determination of the current period ALLL for any of the Bancorp s portfolio segments.

Reserve for Unfunded Commitments

The reserve for unfunded commitments is maintained at a level believed by management to be sufficient to absorb estimated probable losses related to unfunded credit facilities and is included in other liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The determination of the adequacy of the reserve is based upon an evaluation of the unfunded credit facilities, including an assessment of historical commitment utilization experience, credit risk grading and historical loss rates based on credit grade migration. This process takes into consideration the same risk elements that are analyzed in the determination of the adequacy of the Bancorp s ALLL, as discussed above. Net adjustments to the reserve for unfunded commitments are included in other noninterest expense in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

Loan Sales and Securitizations

The Bancorp periodically sells loans through either securitizations or individual loan sales in accordance with its investment policies. The Bancorp recognizes the sale of loans in accordance with the sale accounting criteria under ASC Topic 860 *Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets.* The sold loans are removed from the balance sheet and a net gain or loss is recognized in the Bancorp's Consolidated Financial Statements at the time of sale. The Bancorp typically isolates the loans through the use of a VIE and thus is required to assess whether the entity holding the sold or securitized loans is a VIE and whether the Bancorp is the primary beneficiary and therefore consolidator of that VIE. If the Bancorp holds the power to direct activities most significant to the vIE, then the Bancorp will generally be deemed the primary beneficiary of the VIE. When the Bancorp previously sold loans into isolated trusts or conduits, it obtained one or more subordinated tranches or other residual interests in these trusts or conduits, as well as the servicing rights to the underlying loans. Effective with the adoption of amended VIE consolidation guidance on January 1, 2010, the Bancorp was required to consolidate Balance Sheets. See Note 10 for further information on these consolidated VIEs.

The Bancorp s loan sales and securitizations are generally structured with servicing retained. As a result, servicing rights resulting from residential mortgage loan sales are initially recorded at fair value and subsequently amortized in proportion to and over the period of estimated net servicing revenues and are reported as a component of mortgage banking net revenue, in the Consolidated Statements of Income. Servicing rights are assessed for impairment monthly, based on fair value, with temporary impairment recognized through a valuation allowance and permanent impairment recognized through a write-off of the servicing asset and related valuation allowance. Key economic assumptions used in measuring any potential impairment of the servicing rights include the prepayment speeds of the underlying loans, the weighted-average life, the discount rate, the weighted-average coupon and the weighted-average default rate, as applicable. The primary risk of material changes to the value of the servicing rights resides in the potential volatility in the economic assumptions used, particularly the prepayment speeds. The Bancorp monitors risk and adjusts its valuation allowance as necessary to adequately reserve for impairment in the servicing portfolio. For purposes of measuring impairment, the mortgage servicing rights are stratified into classes based on the financial asset type (fixed rate vs. adjustable rate) and interest rates. Fees received for servicing loans owned by investors are based on a percentage of the outstanding monthly principal balance of such loans and are included in noninterest income in the Consolidated Statements of Income as loan payments are received. Costs of servicing loans are charged to expense as incurred.

Reserve for Representation and Warranty Provisions

Conforming residential mortgage loans sold to unrelated third parties are generally sold with representation and warranty provisions. A contractual liability arises only in the event of a breach of these representations and warranties and, in general, only when a loss results from the breach. The Bancorp may be required to repurchase any previously sold loan or indemnify (make whole) the investor or insurer for which the representation or warranty of the Bancorp proves to be inaccurate, incomplete or misleading. The Bancorp establishes a residential mortgage repurchase reserve related to various representations and warranties that reflects management s estimate of losses based on a combination of factors.

The Bancorp's estimation process requires management to make subjective and complex judgments about matters that are inherently uncertain, such as, future demand expectations, economic factors and the specific characteristics of the loans subject to repurchase. Such factors incorporate historical investor audit and repurchase demand rates, appeals success rates, historical loss severity, and any additional information obtained from the GSEs regarding future mortgage repurchase and file request criteria. At the time of a loan sale, the Bancorp records a representation and warranty reserve at the estimated fair value of the Bancorp's guarantee and continually updates the reserve during the life of the loan as losses in excess of the reserve become probable and reasonably estimable. The provision for the estimated fair value of the representation and warranty guarantee arising from the loan sales is recorded as an adjustment to the gain on sale, which is included in other noninterest income at the time of sale. Updates to the reserve are recorded in other noninterest expense.

Bank Premises and Equipment

Bank premises and equipment, including leasehold improvements, are carried at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation is calculated using the straight-line method based on estimated useful lives of the assets for book purposes, while accelerated depreciation is used for income tax purposes.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Amortization of leasehold improvements is computed using the straight-line method over the lives of the related leases or useful lives of the related assets, whichever is shorter. The Bancorp tests its long-lived assets for impairment through both a probability-weighted and primary-asset approach whenever events or changes in circumstances dictate. Maintenance, repairs and minor improvements are charged to noninterest expense in the Consolidated Statements of Income as incurred.

Derivative Financial Instruments

The Bancorp accounts for its derivatives as either assets or liabilities measured at fair value through adjustments to accumulated other comprehensive income and/or current earnings, as appropriate. On the date the Bancorp enters into a derivative contract, the Bancorp designates the derivative instrument as either a fair value hedge, cash flow hedge or as a free-standing derivative instrument. For a fair value hedge, changes in the fair value of the derivative instrument and changes in the fair value of the hedged asset or liability or of an unrecognized firm commitment attributable to the hedged risk are recorded in current period net income. For a cash flow hedge, changes in the fair value of the derivative instrument, to the extent that it is effective, are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income and subsequently reclassified to net income in the same period(s) that the hedged transaction impacts net income. For free-standing derivative instruments, changes in fair values are reported in current period net income.

Prior to entering into a hedge transaction, the Bancorp formally documents the relationship between hedging instruments and hedged items, as well as the risk management objective and strategy for undertaking various hedge transactions. This process includes linking all derivative instruments that are designated as fair value or cash flow hedges to specific assets or liabilities on the balance sheet or to specific forecasted transactions, along with a formal assessment at both inception of the hedge and on an ongoing basis as to the effectiveness of the derivative instrument in offsetting changes in fair values or cash flows of the hedged item. If it is determined that the derivative instrument is not highly effective as a hedge, hedge accounting is discontinued and the adjustment to fair value of the derivative instrument is recorded in net income.

Income Taxes

The Bancorp estimates income tax expense based on amounts expected to be owed to the various tax jurisdictions in which the Bancorp conducts business. On a quarterly basis, management assesses the reasonableness of its effective tax rate based upon its current estimate of the amount and components of net income, tax credits and the applicable statutory tax rates expected for the full year. The estimated income tax expense is recorded in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are determined using the balance sheet method and the net deferred tax asset or liability is reported in other assets and accrued taxes, interest and expenses in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Under this method, the net deferred tax asset or liability is based on the tax effects of the differences between the book and tax basis of assets and liabilities, and reflects enacted changes in tax rates and laws. Deferred tax assets are recognized to the extent they exist and are subject to a valuation allowance based on management s judgment that realization is more likely than not. This analysis is performed on a quarterly basis and includes an evaluation of all positive and negative evidence to determine whether realization is more likely than not.

Accrued taxes represent the net estimated amount due to taxing jurisdictions and are reported in accrued taxes, interest and expenses in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The Bancorp

evaluates and assesses the relative risks and appropriate tax treatment of transactions and filing positions after considering statutes, regulations, judicial precedent and other information and maintains tax accruals consistent with its evaluation of these relative risks and merits. Changes to the estimate of accrued taxes occur periodically due to changes in tax rates, interpretations of tax laws, the status of examinations being conducted by taxing authorities and changes to statutory, judicial and regulatory guidance that impact the relative risks of tax positions. These changes, when they occur, can affect deferred taxes and accrued taxes as well as the current period s income tax expense and can be significant to the operating results of the Bancorp. Any interest and penalties incurred in connection with income taxes are recorded as a component of income tax expense in the Consolidated Financial Statements. For additional information on income taxes, see Note 19.

Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing net income available to common shareholders by the weighted-average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period. Earnings per diluted share is computed by dividing adjusted net income available to common

Table of Contents

shareholders by the weighted-average number of shares of common stock and common stock equivalents outstanding during the period. Dilutive common stock equivalents represent the assumed conversion of dilutive convertible preferred stock, the exercise of dilutive stock-based awards and warrants and the dilutive effect of the settlement of outstanding forward contracts.

The Bancorp calculates earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method. The two-class method is an earnings allocation formula that determines earnings per share separately for common stock and participating securities according to dividends declared and participation rights in undistributed earnings. For purposes of calculating earnings per share under the two-class method, restricted shares that contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends are considered participating securities until vested. While the dividends declared per share on such restricted shares are the same as dividends declared per common share outstanding, the dividends recognized on such restricted shares may be less because dividends paid on restricted shares that are expected to be forfeited are reclassified to compensation expense during the period when forfeiture is expected.

Goodwill

Business combinations entered into by the Bancorp typically include the acquisition of goodwill. U.S. GAAP requires goodwill to be tested for impairment at the Bancorp s reporting unit level on an annual basis, which for the Bancorp is September 30, and more frequently if events or circumstances indicate that there may be impairment. The Bancorp has determined that its segments qualify as reporting units under U.S. GAAP.

Impairment exists when a reporting unit s carrying amount of goodwill exceeds its implied fair value. In testing goodwill for impairment, U.S. GAAP permits the Bancorp to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If, after assessing the totality of events and circumstances, the Bancorp determines it is not more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, then performing the two-step impairment test would be unnecessary. However, if the Bancorp concludes otherwise, it would then be required to perform the first step (Step 1) of the goodwill impairment test, and continue to the second step (Step 2), if necessary. Step 1 of the goodwill impairment test compares the fair value of a reporting unit with its carrying amount, including goodwill. If the carrying amount of the reporting unit exceeds its fair value, Step 2 of the goodwill impairment test is performed to measure the amount of impairment loss, if any.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The fair value of a reporting unit is the price that would be received to sell the unit as a whole in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Since none of the Bancorp s reporting units are publicly traded, individual reporting unit fair value determinations cannot be directly correlated to the Bancorp s stock price. To determine the fair value of a reporting unit, the Bancorp employs an income-based approach, utilizing the reporting unit s forecasted cash flows (including a terminal value approach to estimate cash flows beyond the final year of the forecast) and the reporting unit s estimated cost of equity as the discount rate. Additionally, the Bancorp determines its market capitalization based on the average of the closing price of the Bancorp s stock during the month including the measurement date, incorporating an additional control premium, and compares this market-based fair value measurement to the aggregate fair value of the Bancorp s reporting units in order to corroborate the results of the income approach.

When required to perform Step 2, the Bancorp compares the implied fair value of a reporting unit s goodwill with the carrying amount of that goodwill. If the carrying amount exceeds the implied fair value, an impairment loss equal to that excess amount is recognized. A recognized impairment loss cannot exceed the carrying amount of that goodwill and cannot be reversed in future periods even if the fair value of the reporting unit subsequently recovers.

During Step 2, the Bancorp determines the implied fair value of goodwill for a reporting unit by assigning the fair value of the reporting unit to all of the assets and liabilities of that unit (including any unrecognized intangible assets) as if the reporting unit had been acquired in a business combination. The excess of the fair value of the reporting unit over the amounts assigned to its assets and liabilities is the implied fair value of goodwill. This assignment process is only performed for purposes of testing goodwill for impairment. The Bancorp does not adjust the carrying values of recognized assets or liabilities (other than goodwill, if appropriate), nor recognize previously unrecognized intangible assets in the Consolidated Financial Statements as a result of this assignment process. Refer to Note 8 for further information regarding the Bancorp s goodwill.

Fair Value Measurements

The Bancorp measures certain financial assets and liabilities at fair value in accordance with U.S. GAAP, which defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Valuation techniques the Bancorp uses to measure fair value include the market approach, income approach and cost approach. The market approach uses prices or relevant information generated by market transactions involving identical or comparable assets or liabilities. The income approach involves discounting future amounts to a single present amount and is based on current market expectations about those future amounts. The cost approach is based on the amount that currently would be required to replace the service capacity of the asset.

U.S. GAAP establishes a fair value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value into three broad levels. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3). A financial instrument s categorization within the fair value hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the instrument s fair value measurement. The three levels within the fair value hierarchy are described as follows:

Level 1 - Quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Bancorp has the ability to access at the measurement date.

Level 2 - Inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level 2 inputs include: quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active; inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability; and inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means.

Level 3 - Unobservable inputs for the asset or liability for which there is little, if any, market activity at the measurement date. Unobservable inputs reflect the Bancorp s own assumptions about what market participants would use to price the asset or liability. The inputs are developed based on the best information available in the circumstances, which might include the Bancorp s own financial data such as internally developed pricing models and discounted cash flow methodologies, as well as instruments for which the fair value determination requires significant management judgment.

The Bancorp s fair value measurements involve various valuation techniques and models, which involve inputs that are observable, when available. Valuation techniques and parameters used for measuring assets and liabilities are reviewed and validated by the Bancorp on a quarterly basis. Additionally, the Bancorp monitors the fair values of significant assets and liabilities using a variety of methods including the evaluation of pricing runs and exception reports based on certain analytical criteria, comparison to previous trades and overall review and assessments for reasonableness. See Note 26 for further information on fair value measurements.

Stock-Based Compensation

In accordance with U.S. GAAP, the Bancorp recognizes compensation expense for the grant-date fair value of stock-based awards that are expected to vest over the requisite service period. All awards, both those with cliff vesting and graded vesting, are expensed on a straight-line basis. Awards to employees that meet eligible retirement status are expensed immediately. As compensation expense is recognized, a deferred tax asset is recorded that represents an estimate of the future tax deduction from exercise or release of restrictions. At the time awards are exercised, cancelled, expire, or restrictions are released, the Bancorp may be required to recognize an adjustment to income tax expense for the difference between the previously estimated tax deduction and the actual tax deduction realized. For further information on the Bancorp s stock-based compensation plans, see Note 23.

Other

Securities and other property held by Fifth Third Investment Advisors, a division of the Bancorp s banking subsidiary, in a fiduciary or agency capacity are not included in the Consolidated Balance Sheets because such items are not assets of the subsidiaries. Investment advisory revenue in the Consolidated Statements of Income is recognized on the accrual basis. Investment advisory service revenues are recognized monthly based on a fee charged per transaction processed and/or a fee charged on the market value of average account balances associated with individual contracts.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Bancorp recognizes revenue from its card and processing services on an accrual basis as such services are performed, recording revenues net of certain costs (primarily interchange fees charged by credit card associations) not controlled by the Bancorp.

The Bancorp purchases life insurance policies on the lives of certain directors, officers and employees and is the owner and beneficiary of the policies. The Bancorp invests in these policies, known as BOLI, to provide an efficient form of funding for long-term retirement and other employee benefits costs. The Bancorp records these BOLI policies within other assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets at each policy s respective cash surrender value, with changes recorded in other noninterest income in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

Other intangible assets consist of core deposit intangibles, customer lists, non-compete agreements and cardholder relationships. Other intangible assets are amortized on either a straight-line or an accelerated basis over their estimated useful lives. The Bancorp reviews other intangible assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that carrying amounts may not be recoverable.

Securities sold under repurchase agreements are accounted for as collateralized financing transactions and included in other short-term borrowings in the Consolidated Balance Sheets at the amounts which the securities were sold plus accrued interest.

Acquisitions of treasury stock are carried at cost. Reissuance of shares in treasury for acquisitions, exercises of stock-based awards or other corporate purposes is recorded based on the specific identification method.

Advertising costs are generally expensed as incurred.

Accounting and Reporting Developments

Reconsideration of Effective Control for Repurchase Agreements

In April 2011, the FASB issued amended guidance clarifying when the Bancorp can recognize a sale upon the transfer of financial assets subject to a repurchase agreement. That determination is based, in part, on whether the Bancorp has maintained effective control over the transferred financial assets. Under the amended guidance, the FASB concluded that the assessment of effective control should focus on a transferor s contractual rights and obligations with respect to transferred financial assets, not on whether the transferor has the practical ability to perform in accordance with those rights or obligations. The amended guidance was effective for transactions that occur in interim and annual periods beginning on or after December 15, 2011. The Bancorp accounts for all of its existing repurchase agreements as secured borrowings and therefore, the adoption of this amended guidance on January 1, 2012 did not have a material impact on the Bancorp s Consolidated Financial Statements.

Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs

In May 2011, the FASB issued amended guidance that resulted in common fair value measurement and disclosure requirements between U.S. GAAP and IFRS. Under the amended guidance, the Bancorp is required to expand its disclosure for fair value instruments categorized within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy to include (1) the valuation processes used by the Bancorp; and (2) a narrative description of the sensitivity of the fair value measurement to changes in unobservable inputs for recurring fair value measurements and the interrelationships between those unobservable inputs, if any. The Bancorp is also required to disclose the categorization by level of the fair value hierarchy for items that are not measured at fair value in the statement of financial position but for which the fair value is required to be disclosed (e.g. portfolio loans). The amended guidance is to be applied prospectively and was effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The amended guidance was adopted by the Bancorp on January 1, 2012 and the required disclosures are included in Note 26.

Presentation of Comprehensive Income

In June 2011, the FASB issued amended guidance on the presentation requirements for comprehensive income. The amended guidance requires the Bancorp to present total comprehensive income, the components of net income and the components of other comprehensive income on the face of the financial statements, either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. The amended guidance does not change the items that must be reported in other comprehensive income or when an item of other comprehensive income must be reclassified to net income. The amended guidance was effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15,

2011. This amended guidance was adopted by the Bancorp on January 1, 2012 and has been applied retrospectively. The Bancorp presents comprehensive income in two separate but consecutive statements, and has included the requirements of the amended guidance in the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income.

Testing Goodwill for Impairment

In September 2011, the FASB issued amended guidance on testing goodwill for impairment. The amended guidance simplifies how the Bancorp is required to test goodwill for impairment and permits the Bancorp to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If, after assessing the totality of events or circumstances, the Bancorp determines it is not more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If, after assessing the totality of events or circumstances, the Bancorp determines it is not more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, then performing the two-step impairment test would be unnecessary. However, if the Bancorp concludes otherwise, it would then be required to perform Step 1 of the goodwill impairment test, and continue to Step 2, if necessary. The amended guidance was effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011 and was adopted by the Bancorp on January 1, 2012. The results of the Bancorp s most recent annual impairment test are included in Note 8.

Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities

In December 2011, the FASB issued amended guidance related to disclosures about offsetting assets and liabilities. The amended guidance requires the Bancorp to disclose both gross information and net information about financial instruments, including derivatives, and transactions eligible for offset in the Consolidated Balance Sheets as well as financial instruments and transactions subject to agreements similar to a master netting arrangement. The amended guidance will be applied retrospectively and is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning on or after January 1, 2013.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

2. SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION

Cash payments related to interest and income taxes, in addition to noncash investing and financing activities, are presented in the following table for the years ended December 31:

| (\$ in millions) | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|--|--------|------|-------|
| Cash payments: | | | |
| Interest | \$ 524 | 658 | 920 |
| Income taxes | 383 | 102 | 79 |
| Transfers: | | | |
| Portfolio loans to held for sale loans | 62 | 143 | 650 |
| Held for sale loans to portfolio loans | 77 | 32 | 160 |
| Portfolio loans to OREO | 272 | 342 | 662 |
| Held for sale loans to OREO | 23 | 43 | 68 |
| Impact of change in accounting principle: | | | |
| Decrease in available-for-sale securities, net | | | 941 |
| Increase in portfolio loans | | | 2,217 |
| Decrease in demand deposits | | | 18 |
| Increase in other short-term borrowings | | | 122 |
| Increase in long-term debt | | | 1,344 |
| 3. RESTRICTIONS ON CASH AND DIVIDENDS | | | |

The FRB, under Regulation D, requires that banks hold cash in reserve against deposit liabilities, known as the reserve requirement. The reserve requirement is calculated based on a two-week average of daily net transaction account deposits as defined by the FRB and may be satisfied with vault cash. When vault cash is not sufficient to meet the reserve requirement, the remaining amount must be satisfied with funds held at the FRB. At the years ended 2012 and 2011, the Bancorp s banking subsidiary reserve requirement was \$1.5 billion and \$1.1 billion, respectively. Vault cash was not sufficient to meet the total reserve requirement; therefore, for the years ended 2012 and 2011, the Bancorp s banking subsidiary satisfied the remaining reserve requirement with \$1.1 billion and \$265 million, respectively, of the Bancorp s total deposit at the FRB. The Bancorp s total deposit at the FRB is held in short-term investments in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The dividends paid by the Bancorp s banking subsidiary are subject to regulations and limitations prescribed by state and federal supervisory agencies. Due to the regulations and limitations, the Bancorp s banking subsidiary was prohibited from declaring dividends without also obtaining prior approval from supervisory agencies at December 31, 2012 and 2011. The Bancorp s banking subsidiary paid the Bancorp s nonbank subsidiary holding company \$2.0 billion in dividends during both of the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011. The Bancorp s nonbank subsidiary holding company paid the Bancorp \$2.0 billion and \$1.7 billion in dividends during the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

In 2008, the Bancorp sold \$3.4 billion in Series F senior preferred stock and related warrants to the U.S. Treasury under the terms of the CPP. The terms included certain restrictions on common stock dividends, which required the U.S. Treasury s consent to increase common stock dividends for a period of three years from the date of investment unless the preferred shares were redeemed in whole or the U.S. Treasury transferred all of the preferred shares to a third party. Also, no dividends could be declared or paid on the Bancorp s common stock unless all accrued and unpaid dividends had been paid on the preferred shares and certain other outstanding securities. Additionally, the Bancorp s ability to pay dividends on its common stock was limited by its need to maintain adequate capital levels, comply with safe and sound banking practices and meet regulatory expectations.

On February 2, 2011, the Bancorp redeemed all 136,320 shares of its Series F senior preferred stock held by the U.S. Treasury under the CPP totaling \$3.4 billion. As such, the Bancorp had no restrictions on common stock dividends pursuant to the CPP as of December 31, 2012 and 2011. See Note 22 for further information on the redemption of the preferred shares.

In February 2009, the FRB advised bank holding companies that safety and soundness considerations required that dividends be substantially reduced or eliminated. Subsequently, the FRB indicated that increased capital distributions would generally not be considered prudent in the absence of a well-developed capital plan and a capital position that would remain strong even under adverse conditions. In November 2010, the FRB issued guidelines to provide a common, conservative approach to ensure bank holding companies hold adequate capital to maintain ready access to funding, continue operations and meet their obligations to creditors and counterparties, and continue to serve as credit intermediaries, even in adverse conditions. These guidelines required the nineteen bank holding companies that participated in the 2009 SCAP to participate in the CCAR process. The CCAR process required the submission of a comprehensive capital plan that assumed a minimum planning horizon of nine quarters under various economic scenarios. The mandatory elements of the capital plan among others are an assessment of the expected use and sources of capital over the planning horizon, a description of all planned capital actions over the planning horizon, a discussion of any expected changes to the Bancorp s business plan that are likely to have a material impact on its capital adequacy or liquidity, a detailed description of the Bancorp s process for assessing capital adequacy and the Bancorp s capital policy.

In March 2012, the FRB announced it had completed the 2012 CCAR and for bank holding companies that proposed capital distributions in their plan, the FRB either objected to the plan or provided a non objection whereby the FRB concurred with the proposed 2012 capital distributions. The FRB indicated to the Bancorp that it did not object to the following capital actions: a continuation of its quarterly common dividend, the redemption of certain TruPS and the repurchase of common shares in an amount equal to any after-tax gains realized by the Bancorp from the sale of Vantiv, Inc. common shares by either the Bancorp or Vantiv, Inc. The FRB indicated to the Bancorp that it did object to other

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

elements of its capital plan, including increases in its quarterly common dividend and the initiation of common share repurchases. The Bancorp resubmitted its capital plan to the FRB in the second quarter of 2012. The resubmitted plan included capital actions and distributions for the covered period through March 31, 2013 that were substantially similar to those included in the original submission, with adjustments primarily reflecting the change in the expected timing of capital actions and distributions relative to the timing assumed in the original submission. On August 21, 2012, the Bancorp announced the FRB did not object to the Bancorp s resubmitted capital plan which included the potential increase of the quarterly common stock dividend and the repurchases of common shares of up to \$600 million through the first quarter of 2013.

On October 9, 2012, the FRB published final stress testing rules that implement section 165(i)(1) and (i)(2) of the Dodd-Frank Act. The 19 bank holding companies that participated in the 2009 SCAP and subsequent CCAR, which includes Fifth Third, are subject to the final stress testing rules. The rules require both supervisory and company-run stress tests, which provide forward-looking information to supervisors to help assess whether institutions have sufficient capital to absorb losses and support operations during adverse economic conditions.

The FRB launched the 2013 stress testing program and CCAR on November 9, 2012. The CCAR requires bank holding companies to submit a capital plan in addition to their stress testing results. The mandatory elements of the capital plan are an assessment of the expected use and sources of capital over the planning horizon, a description of all planned capital actions over the planning horizon, a discussion of any expected changes to the Bancorp s business plan that are likely to have a material impact on its capital adequacy or liquidity, a detailed description of the Bancorp s process for assessing capital adequacy and the Bancorp s capital policy. The stress testing results and capital plan were submitted by the Bancorp to the FRB on January 7, 2013.

The FRB s review of the capital plan will assess the comprehensiveness of the capital plan, the reasonableness of the assumptions and the analysis underlying the capital plan. Additionally, the FRB will review the robustness of the capital adequacy process, the capital policy and the Bancorp s ability to maintain capital above the minimum regulatory capital ratios and above a Tier 1 common ratio of 5 percent on a pro forma basis under expected and stressful conditions throughout the planning horizon. The FRB will also assess the Bancorp s strategies for addressing proposed revisions to the regulatory capital framework agreed upon by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision and requirements arising from the Dodd-Frank Act.

The FRB has indicated that it expects to disclose on March 7, 2013 its estimates of participating institutions results under the FRB supervisory stress scenario, including capital results, which assume that all banks take certain consistently applied future capital actions. The FRB has indicated that it expects to disclose on March 14, 2013 its estimates of participating institutions results under the FRB supervisory severe stress scenarios including capital results based on each company s own base scenario capital actions. The FRB will also issue an objection or non-objection to each participating institution s capital plan submitted under CCAR. Additionally, as a CCAR institution, Fifth Third is required to disclose our own estimates of results under the supervisory severely adverse scenario using the same consistently applied capital actions noted above, and to provide information related to risks included in its stress testing; a summary description of the methodologies used; estimates of aggregate pre-provision net revenue, losses, provisions, and pro forma capital ratios at the end of the forward-looking planning horizon of at least nine quarters; and an explanation of the most significant causes of changes in regulatory capital ratios. These disclosures are required by March 31, 2013 and are to be sent to the FRB and publicly disclosed.

4. SECURITIES

The following table provides the amortized cost, fair value and unrealized gains and losses for the major categories of the available-for-sale and held-to-maturity securities portfolios as of December 31:

2011 Unrealized

| | Am | ortized | Unrealized | Unrealized | Fair | Amortized | Gains | Unrealized | Fair |
|--|-----|---------|------------|------------|--------|-----------|-------|------------|--------|
| | (| Cost | Gains | Losses | Value | Cost | | Losses | Value |
| Available-for-sale and other: | | | | | | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury and government agencies | \$ | 41 | | | 41 | 171 | | | 171 |
| U.S. Government sponsored agencies | | 1,730 | 181 | | 1,911 | 1,782 | 180 | | 1,962 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | | 203 | 9 | | 212 | 96 | 5 | | 101 |
| Agency mortgage-backed securities ^(a) | | 8,403 | 345 | (18) | 8,730 | 9,743 | 542 | (1) | 10,284 |
| Other bonds, notes and debentures | | 3,161 | 119 | (3) | 3,277 | 1,792 | 29 | (9) | 1,812 |
| Other securities ^(b) | | 1,033 | 3 | - | 1,036 | 1,030 | 2 | | 1,032 |
| Total | \$1 | 4,571 | 657 | (21) | 15,207 | 14,614 | 758 | (10) | 15,362 |
| Held-to-maturity: | | | | | | | | | |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | \$ | 282 | | | 282 | 320 | | | 320 |
| Other debt securities | | 2 | | | 2 | 2 | | | 2 |
| Total | \$ | 284 | | | 284 | 322 | | | 322 |
| | 1.6 | 110 | | | | | | | |

(a) Includes interest-only mortgage backed securities of \$408 and \$110 as of **December 31, 2012** and 2011, respectively, recorded at fair value with fair value changes recorded in securities gains, net and securities gains, net non-qualifying hedges on mortgage servicing rights in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

(b) Other securities consist of FHLB and FRB restricted stock holdings of **\$497** and **\$347**, respectively, at December 31, 2012 and, \$497 and \$345, respectively, at December 31, 2011, that are carried at cost, and certain mutual fund and equity security holdings.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table presents realized gains and losses that were recognized in income from available-for-sale securities for the years ended December 31:

| (\$ in millions) Realized gains | 2012 \$75 | 2011 75 | 2010 69 |
|------------------------------------|--------------|------------|------------|
| Realized losses | (2) | | (10) |
| OTTI | (58) | (19) | (3) |
| Net realized gains | \$ 15 | 56 | 56 |

Trading securities totaled \$207 million as of December 31, 2012, compared to \$177 million at December 31, 2011. Gross realized gains on trading securities were \$2 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, and \$1 million for the years ended 2011 and 2010. Gross realized losses on trading securities were immaterial to the Bancorp for the year ended December 31, 2012 and \$7 million and \$1 million for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010,

respectively. Net unrealized gains on trading securities were \$1 million and \$5 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and immaterial to the Bancorp at December 31, 2010.

At December 31, 2012 and 2011 securities with a fair value of \$12.6 billion and \$13.3 billion, respectively, were pledged to secure borrowings, public deposits, trust funds, derivative contracts and for other purposes as required or permitted by law.

The expected maturity distribution of the Bancorp s agency mortgage-backed securities and the contractual maturity distribution of the Bancorp s available-for-sale and other and held-to-maturity securities as of December 31, 2012 are shown in the following table:

| | Availa | ole-for-Sale & Other | Held-to-I | Maturity |
|---------------------------------|----------|-------------------------|-----------|----------|
| | Amortiz | ed Fair | Amortized | Fair |
| (\$ in millions) | Cost | Value | Cost | Value |
| Debt securities: ^(a) | | | | |
| Under 1 year | \$ 55 | 5 566 | 73 | 73 |
| 1-5 years | 8,86 | 5 9,356 | 185 | 185 |
| 5-10 years | 2,22 | 3 2,280 | 20 | 20 |
| Over 10 years | 1,89 | 5 1,969 | 6 | 6 |
| Other securities | 1,03 | 3 1,036 | | |
| Total | \$ 14,57 | 1 15,207 | 284 | 284 |
| | | | | |

(a) Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities when there exists a right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties. The following table provides the fair value and gross unrealized losses on available-for-sale securities in an unrealized loss position, aggregated by investment category and length of time the individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position as of December 31:

| | Less that | n 12 months | 2 months more | | | Total | | | |
|------------------|-----------|-------------|---------------|------------|-------|------------|--|--|--|
| | Fair | Unrealized | Fair | Unrealized | Fair | Unrealized | | | |
| (\$ in millions) | Value | Losses | Value | Losses | Value | Losses | | | |

| 2012 U.S. Treasury and government agencies U.S. Government sponsored agencies | \$ | | | | | |
|--|----------|------|----|-----|-------|------|
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | | | | | | |
| Agency mortgage-backed securities | 1,784 | (18) | | | 1,784 | (18) |
| Other bonds, notes and debentures | 454 | (3) | | | 454 | (3) |
| Other securities | 1 | | | | 1 | |
| Total | \$ 2,239 | (21) | | | 2,239 | (21) |
| 2011 | | | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury and government agencies | \$ 70 | | 1 | | 71 | |
| U.S. Government sponsored agencies | | | | | | |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | | | 2 | | 2 | |
| Agency mortgage-backed securities | 34 | (1) | 6 | | 40 | (1) |
| Other bonds, notes and debentures | 523 | (4) | 38 | (5) | 561 | (9) |
| Other securities | 6 | | | | 6 | |
| Total | \$ 633 | (5) | 47 | (5) | 680 | (10) |

Other-Than-Temporary Impairments

The Bancorp recognized \$58 million, \$19 million, and \$3 million of OTTI, included in securities gains, net and securities gains, net non-qualifying hedges on mortgage servicing rights, in the Bancorp s Consolidated Statements of Income, on its available-for-sale and other debt securities during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively, and no OTTI was recognized on held-to-maturity debt securities for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010. Less than one percent of unrealized losses in the available-for-sale securities portfolio were represented by non-rated securities at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

During the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Bancorp did not recognize OTTI on any of its available-for-sale equity securities. In addition, for the year ended December 31, 2010, OTTI recognized on available-for-sale equity securities was immaterial to the Bancorp s Consolidated Financial Statements.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

5. LOANS AND LEASES

The Bancorp diversifies its loan and lease portfolio by offering a variety of loan and lease products with various payment terms and rate structures. Lending activities are concentrated within those states in which the Bancorp has banking centers and are primarily located in the Midwestern and Southeastern regions of the United States. The Bancorp s commercial loan portfolio consists of lending to various industry types. Management periodically reviews the

performance of its loan and lease products to evaluate whether they are performing within acceptable interest rate and credit risk levels and changes are made to underwriting policies and procedures as needed. The Bancorp maintains an allowance to absorb loan and lease losses inherent in the portfolio. For further information on credit quality and the ALLL, see Note 6.

The following table provides a summary of the total loans and leases classified by primary purpose as of December 31:

| (\$ in millions) | 2012 | 2011 |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------|
| Loans and leases held for sale: | | |
| Commercial and industrial loans | \$ 39 | 45 |
| Commercial mortgage loans | 13 | 76 |
| Commercial construction loans | 9 | 17 |
| Residential mortgage loans | 2,856 | 2,802 |
| Other consumer loans and leases | 22 | 14 |
| Total loans and leases held for sale | \$ 2,939 | 2,954 |
| Portfolio loans and leases: | | |
| Commercial and industrial loans | \$ 36,038 | 30,783 |
| Commercial mortgage loans | 9,103 | 10,138 |
| Commercial construction loans | 698 | 1,020 |
| Commercial leases | 3,549 | 3,531 |
| Total commercial loans and leases | 49,388 | 45,472 |
| Residential mortgage loans | 12,017 | 10,672 |
| Home equity | 10,018 | 10,719 |
| Automobile Joans | 11,972 | 11,827 |
| Credit card | 2,097 | 1,978 |
| Other consumer loans and leases | 290 | 350 |
| Total consumer loans and leases | 36,394 | 35,546 |
| Total portfolio loans and leases | \$ 85,782 | 81,018 |
| | φ 0 5,7 0 2 | 01,010 |

Total portfolio loans and leases are recorded net of unearned income, which totaled \$758 million as of December 31, 2012 and \$942 million as of December 31, 2011. Additionally, portfolio loans and leases are recorded net of unamortized premiums and discounts, deferred loan fees and costs, and fair value adjustments (associated with acquired loans or loans designated as fair value upon origination) which totaled a net premium of \$73 million and \$45 million as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The Bancorp s FHLB and FRB advances are generally secured by loans. The Bancorp had loans of \$12.7 billion and \$11.2 billion at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, pledged at the FHLB, and loans of \$30.9 billion and \$26.8 billion at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, pledged at the FRB.

The following table presents a summary of the total loans and leases owned by the Bancorp as of and for the years ended December 31:

| | | | | 90 Days Past Due | | N | et |
|----------------------------------|-----------|--------|-----|------------------|----------|--------|--------|
| | Bala | nce | and | l Still / | Accruing | Charg | e-Offs |
| (\$ in millions) | 2012 | 2011 | 2 | 012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Commercial and industrial loans | \$ 36,077 | 30,828 | \$ | 1 | 4 | \$ 165 | 276 |
| Commercial mortgage loans | 9,116 | 10,214 | | 22 | 3 | 99 | 195 |
| Commercial construction loans | 707 | 1,037 | | 1 | 1 | 25 | 85 |
| Commercial leases | 3,549 | 3,531 | | | | 8 | (2) |
| Residential mortgage loans | 14,873 | 13,474 | | 75 | 79 | 122 | 173 |
| Home equity loans | 10,018 | 10,719 | | 58 | 74 | 157 | 220 |
| Automobile loans | 11,972 | 11,827 | | 8 | 9 | 31 | 53 |
| Credit card | 2,097 | 1,978 | | 30 | 30 | 74 | 98 |
| Other consumer loans and leases | 312 | 364 | | | | 23 | 74 |
| Total loans and leases | \$ 88,721 | 83,972 | \$ | 195 | 200 | \$ 704 | 1,172 |
| Less: Loans held for sale | \$ 2,939 | 2,954 | | | | | |
| Total portfolio loans and leases | \$ 85,782 | 81,018 | | | | | |

The Bancorp engages in commercial and consumer lease products primarily related to the financing of commercial equipment and automobiles. The Bancorp had \$3.0 billion of direct financing leases and \$1.3 billion of leveraged leases at December 31, 2012 compared to \$2.9 billion and \$1.7 billion, respectively, at December 31, 2011.

Pre-tax income from leveraged leases for 2012 was \$37 million compared to pre-tax income in 2011 of \$33 million. The tax effect of this income was a benefit of \$6 million in 2012 and an expense of \$10 million in 2011.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The components of the investment in lease financing at December 31:

| (\$ in millions) | 2012 | 2011 | | | | |
|---|----------|-------|--|--|--|--|
| Rentals receivable, net of principal and interest on nonrecourse debt | \$ 3,543 | 3,757 | | | | |
| Estimated residual value of leased assets | 760 | 772 | | | | |
| Initial direct cost, net of amortization | 16 | 16 | | | | |
| Gross investment in lease financing | 4,319 | 4,545 | | | | |
| Unearned income | (758) | (942) | | | | |
| Net investment in lease financing ^(a) | \$ 3,561 | 3,603 | | | | |
| (a) The accumulated allowance for uncollectible minimum lease payments was \$67 million and \$79 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. | | | | | | |

The Bancorp periodically reviews residual values associated with its leasing portfolio. Declines in residual values that are deemed to be other-than-temporary are recognized as a loss. The Bancorp recognized \$9 million and \$4 million of residual value write-downs related to commercial leases for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The residual value write-downs related to commercial leases are recorded in corporate banking revenue in the

Consolidated Statements of Income. The Bancorp recognized no residual value write-downs relating to consumer automobile leases in 2012 and 2011. At December 31, 2012, the minimum future lease payments receivable for each of the years 2013 through 2017 was \$612 million, \$593 million, \$472 million, \$389 million and \$312 million, respectively.

6. CREDIT QUALITY AND THE ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN AND LEASE LOSSES

The Bancorp disaggregates ALLL balances and transactions in the ALLL by portfolio segment. Credit quality related disclosures for loans and leases are further disaggregated by class.

Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses

The following tables summarize transactions in the ALLL by portfolio segment:

For the year ended December 31, 2012

| (\$ in millions) Commerce | | nmercial | Mortgage | Consumer | Unallocated | Total |
|---|----|----------|----------|----------|-------------|-------|
| Transactions in the ALLL: | | | | | | |
| Balance at January 1 | \$ | 1,527 | 227 | 365 | 136 | 2,255 |
| Losses charged off | | (358) | (129) | (350) | | (837) |
| Recoveries of losses previously charged off | | 61 | 7 | 65 | | 133 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | | 6 | 124 | 198 | (25) | 303 |
| Balance at December 31 | \$ | 1,236 | 229 | 278 | 111 | 1,854 |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Table of Contents

| (\$ in millions) | Residential Mortgage | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|-------|-------|-------|------|---------|--|--|
| Transactions in the ALLL: | | | | | | | | |
| Balance at January 1 | \$ | 1,989 | 310 | 555 | 150 | 3,004 | | |
| Losses charged off | | (615) | (180) | (519) | | (1,314) | | |
| Recoveries of losses previously charged off | | 61 | 7 | 74 | | 142 | | |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | | 92 | 90 | 255 | (14) | 423 | | |
| Balance at December 31 | \$ | 1,527 | 227 | 365 | 136 | 2,255 | | |

For the year ended December 31, 2010

| (\$ in millions) | | Commercial | | Consumer | Unallocated | Total |
|---|----|------------|-------|----------|-------------|---------|
| Transactions in the ALLL: | | | | | | |
| Balance at January 1 | \$ | 2,517 | 375 | 664 | 193 | 3,749 |
| Losses charged off | | (1,444) | (441) | (600) | | (2,485) |
| Recoveries of losses previously charged off | | 80 | 2 | 75 | | 157 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | | 836 | 374 | 371 | (43) | 1,538 |
| Impact of change in accounting principle | | | | 45 | | 45 |
| Balance at December 31 | \$ | 1,989 | 310 | 555 | 150 | 3,004 |

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following tables provide a summary of the ALLL and related loans and leases classified by portfolio segment:

| As of December 31, 2012 (\$ in millions) | Cor | nmercial | Residential Mortgage | Consumer | Unallocated | Total |
|--|-----|----------|-------------------------|----------|-------------|--------|
| ALLL: ^(a) | | | | | | |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$ | 95 | 137 | 62 | | 294 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | | 1,140 | 91 | 216 | | 1,447 |
| Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality | | 1 | 1 | | | 2 |
| Unallocated | | | | | 111 | 111 |
| Total ALLL | \$ | 1,236 | 229 | 278 | 111 | 1,854 |
| Loans and leases: ^(b) | | | | | | |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$ | 980 | 1,298 | 544 | | 2,822 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | | 48,407 | 10,637 | 23,833 | | 82,877 |
| Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality | | 1 | 6 | | | 7 |
| Total portfolio loans and leases (a) Includes \$11 related to leveraged leases. | \$ | 49,388 | 11,941 | 24,377 | | 85,706 |

(b) Excludes \$76 of residential mortgage loans measured at fair value, and includes \$862 of leveraged leases, net of unearned income.

| | | | Residential | | | |
|---|-----|----------|-------------|----------|-------------|--------|
| As of December 31, 2011 (\$ in millions) | Coi | mmercial | Mortgage | Consumer | Unallocated | Total |
| ALLL:(a) | | | | | | |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$ | 155 | 130 | 65 | | 350 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | | 1,371 | 96 | 300 | | 1,767 |
| Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality | | 1 | 1 | | | 2 |
| Unallocated | | | | | 136 | 136 |
| Total ALLL | \$ | 1,527 | 227 | 365 | 136 | 2,255 |
| Loans and leases: ^(b) | | | | | | |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$ | 1,170 | 1,258 | 574 | | 3,002 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | | 44,299 | 9,341 | 24,300 | | 77,940 |
| Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality | | 3 | 8 | | | 11 |
| Total portfolio loans and leases | \$ | 45,472 | 10,607 | 24,874 | | 80,953 |
| (a) Includes \$14 related to leveraged leases. | | | | | | |

(b) Excludes \$65 of residential mortgage loans measured at fair value, and includes \$1,022 of leveraged leases, net of unearned income.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

CREDIT RISK PROFILE

Commercial Portfolio Segment

For purposes of monitoring the credit quality and risk characteristics of its commercial portfolio segment, the Bancorp disaggregates the segment into the following classes: commercial and industrial, commercial mortgage owner-occupied, commercial mortgage nonowner-occupied, commercial construction and commercial leasing.

To facilitate the monitoring of credit quality within the commercial portfolio segment, and for purposes of analyzing historical loss rates used in the determination of the ALLL for the commercial portfolio segment, the Bancorp utilizes the following categories of credit grades: pass, special mention, substandard, doubtful or loss. The five categories, which are derived from standard regulatory rating definitions, are assigned upon initial approval of credit to borrowers and updated periodically thereafter. Pass ratings, which are assigned to those borrowers that do not have identified potential or well defined weaknesses and for which there is a high likelihood of orderly repayment, are updated periodically based on the size and credit characteristics of the borrower. All other categories are updated on a quarterly basis during the month preceding the end of the calendar quarter.

The Bancorp assigns a special mention rating to loans and leases that have potential weaknesses that deserve management s close attention. If left uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may, at some future date, result in the deterioration of the repayment prospects for the loan or lease or the Bancorp s credit position.

The Bancorp assigns a substandard rating to loans and leases that are inadequately protected by the current sound worth and paying capacity of the borrower or of the collateral pledged. Substandard loans and leases have well defined weaknesses or weaknesses that could jeopardize the orderly repayment of the debt. Loans and leases in this grade also are characterized by the distinct possibility that the Bancorp will sustain some loss if the deficiencies noted are not addressed and corrected.

The Bancorp assigns a doubtful rating to loans and leases that have all the attributes of a substandard rating with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions, and values, highly questionable and improbable. The possibility of loss is extremely high, but because of certain important and reasonable specific pending factors that may work to the advantage of and strengthen the credit quality of the loan or lease, its classification as an estimated loss is deferred until its more exact status may be determined. Pending factors may include a proposed merger or acquisition, liquidation proceeding, capital injection, perfecting liens on additional collateral or refinancing plans.

Loans and leases classified as loss are considered uncollectible and are charged off in the period in which they are determined to be uncollectible. Because loans and leases in this category are fully charged down, they are not included in the following tables.

The following table summarizes the credit risk profile of the Bancorp s commercial portfolio segment, by class:

| | | Special | | | |
|---|-----------|---------|-------------|----------|--------|
| As of December 31, 2012 (\$ in millions) | Pass | Mention | Substandard | Doubtful | Total |
| Commercial and industrial loans | \$ 33,521 | 1,113 | 1,379 | 25 | 36,038 |
| Commercial mortgage loans owner-occupied | 3,934 | 338 | 603 | 1 | 4,876 |
| Commercial mortgage loans nonowner-occupied | 2,958 | 449 | 815 | 5 | 4,227 |
| Commercial construction loans | 444 | 59 | 195 | | 698 |
| Commercial leases | 3,483 | 48 | 18 | | 3,549 |
| Total | \$ 44,340 | 2,007 | 3,010 | 31 | 49,388 |

| | | Special | | | |
|---|-----------|---------|-------------|----------|--------|
| As of December 31, 2011 (\$ in millions) | Pass | Mention | Substandard | Doubtful | Total |
| Commercial and industrial loans | \$ 27,199 | 1,641 | 1,831 | 112 | 30,783 |
| Commercial mortgage loans owner-occupied | 3,893 | 567 | 778 | 28 | 5,266 |
| Commercial mortgage loans nonowner-occupied | 3,328 | 521 | 984 | 39 | 4,872 |
| Commercial construction loans | 343 | 235 | 413 | 29 | 1,020 |
| Commercial leases | 3,434 | 52 | 44 | 1 | 3,531 |
| Total | \$ 38,197 | 3,016 | 4,050 | 209 | 45,472 |

Consumer Portfolio Segment

For purposes of monitoring the credit quality and risk characteristics of its consumer portfolio segment, the Bancorp disaggregates the segment into the following classes: home equity, automobile loans, credit card, and other consumer loans and leases. The Bancorp s residential mortgage portfolio segment is also a separate class.

The Bancorp considers repayment performance as the best indicator of credit quality for residential mortgage and consumer loans, which includes both the delinquency status and performing versus nonperforming status of the loans. The delinquency status of all residential mortgage and consumer loans is presented by class in the age analysis section below while the performing versus nonperforming status is presented in the table below. Residential mortgage loans that have principal and interest payments that have become past due 150 days and home equity loans with principal and interest payments that have become past due 180 days are

classified as nonperforming unless such loans are both well secured and in the process of collection. Residential mortgage, home equity, automobile and other consumer loans and leases that have been modified in a TDR and subsequently become past due 90 days are classified as nonperforming, unless the loan is both well secured and in the process of collection. Credit card loans that have been modified in a TDR are classified as nonperforming unless such loans have a sustained repayment performance of six months or greater and are reasonably assured of repayment in accordance with the restructured terms. Well secured loans are collateralized by perfected security interests in real and/or personal property for which the Bancorp estimates proceeds from sale would be sufficient to recover the outstanding principal and accrued interest balance of the loan and pay all costs to sell the collateral. The Bancorp considers a loan in the process of collection if collection efforts or legal action is proceeding and the Bancorp expects to collect funds sufficient to bring the loan current or recover the entire outstanding principal and accrued interest balance.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table presents a summary of the Bancorp s residential mortgage and consumer portfolio segments disaggregated into performing versus nonperforming status as of December 31:

| | 2012 | | | 2011 | | |
|---|----------------------------|---------------|------------|---------------|--|--|
| (\$ in millions) | Performing | Nonperforming | Performing | Nonperforming | | |
| Residential mortgage loans ^(a) | \$ 11,704 | 237 | 10,332 | 275 | | |
| Home equity | 9,965 | 53 | 10,665 | 54 | | |
| Automobile loans | 11,970 | 2 | 11,825 | 2 | | |
| Credit card | 2,058 | 39 | 1,930 | 48 | | |
| Other consumer loans and leases | 289 | 1 | 349 | 1 | | |
| Total | \$ 35,986 | 332 | 35,101 | 380 | | |
| (a) Excludes \$76 and \$65 of loans measured at fair value at December 31, 20 | 12 and 2011, respectively. | | | | | |

Age Analysis of Past Due Loans and Leases

The following tables summarize the Bancorp s recorded investment in portfolio loans and leases by age and class:

| | | | Past Due | | | |
|--|-----------|---------|------------|----------|-----------|---------------|
| As of December 31, 2012 | Current | | 90 Days | | Total | 90 Days Past |
| | Loans and | 30-89 | and | Total | Loans and | Due and Still |
| (\$ in millions) | Leases(c) | Days(c) | Greater(c) | Past Due | Leases | Accruing |
| Commercial: | | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial loans | \$ 35,826 | 46 | 166 | 212 | 36,038 | 1 |
| Commercial mortgage owner-occupied loans | 4,752 | 29 | 95 | 124 | 4,876 | 22 |
| Commercial mortgage nonowner-occupied loans | 4,094 | 21 | 112 | 133 | 4,227 | - |
| Commercial construction loans | 622 | - | 76 | 76 | 698 | 1 |
| Commercial leases | 3,546 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3,549 | - |
| Residential mortgage loans ^{(a)(b)} | 11,547 | 87 | 307 | 394 | 11,941 | 75 |
| Home equity | 9,782 | 126 | 110 | 236 | 10,018 | 58 |
| Automobile loans | 11,900 | 62 | 10 | 72 | 11,972 | 8 |
| Credit card | 2,025 | 38 | 34 | 72 | 2,097 | 30 |
| Other consumer loans and leases | 287 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 290 | - |
| Total portfolio loans and leases $(a)(d)$ | \$ 84,381 | 413 | 912 | 1,325 | 85,706 | 195 |
| (a) Excludes \$76 of loans measured at fair value. | | | | | | |

(b) Information for current residential mortgage loans includes advances made pursuant to servicing agreements for GNMA mortgage pools whose repayments are insured by the Federal Housing Administration or guaranteed by the Department of Veterans Affairs. As of December 31, 2012, \$80 of these loans were 30-89 days past due and \$414 were 90 days or more past due. The Bancorp recognized \$2 million of losses for the year ended December 31, 2012 due to claim denials and curtailments associated with these advances.

(c) Includes accrual and nonaccrual loans and leases.

(d) Includes an immaterial amount of government insured commercial loans 30-89 days and 90 days past due and accruing whose repayments are insured by the Small Business Administration at December 31, 2012.

| | | | Past Due | | | |
|---|-----------|---------|----------------|----------|-------------|-------------------------------|
| As of December 31, 2011 | | 30-89 | 90 Days and | Total | Total Loans | 90 Days Past Due and Still |
| (\$ in millions) | Leases(c) | Days(c) | Greater(c) | Past Due | and Leases | Accruing |
| Commercial: | | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial loans | \$ 30,493 | 49 | 241 | 290 | 30,783 | 4 |
| Commercial mortgage owner-occupied loans | 5,088 | 62 | 116 | 178 | 5,266 | 1 |
| Commercial mortgage nonowner-occupied loans | 4,649 | 41 | 182 | 223 | 4,872 | 2 |
| Commercial construction loans | 887 | 12 | 121 | 133 | 1,020 | 1 |

Table of Contents

| Commercial leases | 3,521 | 4 | 6 | 10 | 3,531 | - |
|--|-----------|-----|-------|-------|--------|-----|
| Residential mortgage loans ^{(a)(b)} | 10,149 | 110 | 348 | 458 | 10,607 | 79 |
| Consumer: | | | | | | |
| Home equity | 10,455 | 136 | 128 | 264 | 10,719 | 74 |
| Automobile loans | 11,744 | 71 | 12 | 83 | 11,827 | 9 |
| Credit card | 1,873 | 33 | 72 | 105 | 1,978 | 30 |
| Other consumer loans and leases | 348 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 350 | - |
| Total portfolio loans and leases ^{(a)(d)} | \$ 79,207 | 519 | 1,227 | 1,746 | 80,953 | 200 |
| (a) Encludes \$65 of loans measured at fair value | | | | | | |

(a) Excludes \$65 of loans measured at fair value.

(b) Information for current residential mortgage loans includes advances made pursuant to servicing agreements for GNMA mortgage pools whose repayments are insured by the Federal Housing Administration or guaranteed by the Department of Veterans Affairs. As of December 31, 2011, \$45 of these loans were 30-89 days past due and \$309 were 90 days or more past due. The Bancorp recognized an immaterial amount of losses for the year ended December 31, 2011 due to claim denials and curtailments associated with these advances.

(c) Includes accrual and nonaccrual loans and leases.

(d) Includes an immaterial amount of government insured commercial loans 30-89 and 90 days past due and accruing whose repayments are insured by the Small Business Administration at December 31, 2011.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Impaired Loans and Leases

Larger commercial loans included within aggregate borrower relationship balances exceeding \$1 million that exhibit probable or observed credit weaknesses are subject to individual review for impairment. The Bancorp also performs an individual review on loans that are restructured in a troubled debt restructuring. The Bancorp considers the current value of collateral, credit quality of any guarantees, the loan structure,

and other factors when evaluating whether an individual loan is impaired. Other factors may include the geography and industry of the borrower, size and financial condition of the borrower, cash flow and leverage of the borrower, and the Bancorp s evaluation of the borrower s management. Smaller balance homogenous loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment are not included in the following tables.

The following table summarizes the Bancorp s impaired loans and leases (by class) that were subject to individual review as of December 31, 2012:

| As of December 31, 2012 | U | npaid | | |
|--|-----|--------|------------|-----------|
| | Pri | ncipal | Recorded | |
| (\$ in millions) | Ba | alance | Investment | Allowance |
| With a related allowance recorded: | | | | |
| Commercial: | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial loans | \$ | 263 | 194 | 65 |
| Commercial mortgage owner-occupied loans | | 54 | 43 | 5 |
| Commercial mortgage nonowner-occupied loans | | 215 | 160 | 16 |
| Commercial construction loans | | 48 | 37 | 5 |
| Commercial leases | | 8 | 8 | 5 |
| Restructured residential mortgage loans | | 1,067 | 1,023 | 137 |
| Restructured consumer: | | | | |
| Home equity | | 400 | 396 | 46 |
| Automobile loans | | 31 | 30 | 4 |
| Credit card | | 74 | 74 | 12 |
| Other consumer loans and leases | | 2 | 2 | - |
| Total impaired loans with a related allowance | \$ | 2,162 | 1,967 | 295 |
| With no related allowance recorded: | | | | |
| Commercial: | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial loans | \$ | 207 | 169 | - |
| Commercial mortgage owner-occupied loans | | 107 | 99 | - |
| Commercial mortgage nonowner-occupied loans | | 209 | 199 | - |
| Commercial construction loans | | 109 | 67 | - |
| Commercial leases | | 5 | 5 | - |
| Restructured residential mortgage loans | | 326 | 275 | - |
| Restructured consumer: | | | | |
| Home equity | | 40 | 39 | - |
| Automobile loans | | 3 | 3 | - |
| Total impaired loans with no related allowance | | 1,006 | 856 | - |
| Total impaired loans | \$ | 3,168 | 2,823(a) | 295 |

(a) Includes \$431, \$1,175 and \$480, respectively, of commercial, residential mortgage and consumer TDRs on accrual status; \$177, \$123 and \$64, respectively, of commercial, residential mortgage and consumer TDRs on nonaccrual status.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table summarizes the Bancorp s impaired loans and leases (by class) that were subject to individual review as of December 31, 2011:

| As of December 31, 2011 (\$ in millions) With a related allowance recorded: | Unpaid Principal Balance | Recorded Investment | Allowance |
|--|--------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| Commercial: | | | |
| Commercial and industrial loans | \$ 330 | 246 | 102 |
| Commercial mortgage owner-occupied loans | 66 | | 10 |
| Commercial mortgage nonowner-occupied loans | 203 | 147 | 24 |
| Commercial construction loans | 213 | 120 | 18 |
| Commercial leases | 11 | 10 | 2 |
| Restructured residential mortgage loans | 1,091 | 1,038 | 131 |
| Restructured consumer: | | | |
| Home equity | 401 | 397 | 46 |
| Automobile loans | 37 | 37 | 5 |
| Credit card | 94 | 88 | 14 |
| Other consumer loans and leases | 2 | 2 | |
| Total impaired loans with a related allowance | \$ 2,448 | 2,137 | 352 |
| With no related allowance recorded: | | | |
| Commercial: | | | |
| Commercial and industrial loans | \$ 375 | 265 | |
| Commercial mortgage owner-occupied loans | 78 | 69 | |
| Commercial mortgage nonowner-occupied loans | 191 | 157 | |
| Commercial construction loans | 143 | 105 | |
| Commercial leases | 2 | 2 | |
| Restructured residential mortgage loans | 276 | 228 | |
| Restructured consumer: | | | |
| Home equity | 48 | 46 | |
| Automobile loans | 4 | | |
| Total impaired loans with no related allowance | 1,117 | 876 | |
| Total impaired loans | \$ 3,565 | 3,013 ^(a) | 352 |
| (a) Includes \$390, \$1,117 and \$495, respectively, of commercial, residential mortgage and consumer TDRs | | , | respectively, of |

(a) Includes \$390, \$1,117 and \$495, respectively, of commercial, residential mortgage and consumer TDRs on accrual status; \$160, \$141 and \$79, respectively, of commercial, residential mortgage and consumer TDRs on nonaccrual status.

The following table summarizes the Bancorp s average impaired loans and leases and interest income by class for the year ended December 31:

| | 2012 | | | 011 |
|---|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | Average | Interest | Average | Interest |
| | Recorded | Income | Recorded | Income |
| (\$ in millions) | Investment | Recognized | Investment | Recognized |
| Commercial: | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial loans | \$ 448 | 4 | 532 | 5 |
| Commercial mortgage owner-occupied loans | 156 | 4 | 117 | 2 |
| Commercial mortgage nonowner-occupied loans | 361 | 10 | 288 | 5 |
| Commercial construction loans | 160 | 2 | 198 | 3 |
| Commercial leases | 10 | | 16 | |
| Restructured residential mortgage loans | 1,276 | 47 | 1,217 | 41 |
| Restructured consumer: | | | | |
| Home equity | 439 | 24 | 444 | 23 |
| Automobile loans | 38 | 1 | 41 | 1 |
| Credit card | 80 | 4 | 94 | 3 |
| Other consumer loans and leases | 1 | | 21 | - |

Total impaired loans\$ 2,969962,96883During the year ended December 31, 2010, interest income of \$74 million was recognized on impaired loans that had an average balance of \$3.2billion.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Nonperforming Assets

The following table summarizes the Bancorp s nonperforming loans and leases, by class, as of December 31:

| (\$ in millions) Commercial: | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|---------------|-------|
| Commercial and industrial loans | \$ 330 | 487 |
| Commercial mortgage owner-occupied loans | \$ 330 125 | 170 |
| Commercial mortgage nonowner-occupied loans | 123 | 251 |
| | | |
| Commercial construction loans | 76 | 138 |
| Commercial leases | 9 | 12 |
| Total commercial loans and leases | 697 | 1,058 |
| Residential mortgage loans | 237 | 275 |
| Consumer: | | |
| Home equity | 53 | 54 |
| Automobile loans | 2 | 2 |
| Credit card | 39 | 48 |
| Other consumer loans and leases | 1 | 1 |
| Total consumer loans and leases | 95 | 105 |
| Total nonperforming loans and leases $^{(a)(c)}$ | \$ 1,029 | 1,438 |
| OREO and other repossessed property ^(b) | 257 | 378 |
| (a) Excludes \$29 and \$138 of nonaccrual loans held for sale at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. | | |

(b) Excludes \$72 and \$64 of OREO related to government insured loans at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

(c) Includes \$10 and \$17 of nonaccrual government insured commercial loans whose repayments are insured by the Small Business Administration at

December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and \$1 and \$2 of restructured nonaccrual government insured commercial loans at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Troubled Debt Restructurings

If a borrower is experiencing financial difficulty, the Bancorp may consider, in certain circumstances, modifying the terms of their loan to maximize collection of amounts due. Within each of the Bancorp s loan classes, TDRs typically involve either a reduction of the stated interest rate of the loan, an extension of the loan s maturity date(s) with a stated rate lower than the current market rate for a new loan with similar risk, or in limited circumstances, a reduction of the principal balance of the loan or the loan s accrued interest. Modifying the terms of loans may result in an increase or decrease to the ALLL depending upon the terms modified, the method used to measure the ALLL for a loan prior to modification, and whether any charge-offs were recorded on the loan before or at the time of modification. Refer to the ALLL section of Note 1 for information on the Bancorp s ALLL methodology. Upon modification of a loan, the Bancorp measures the related impairment as the difference between the estimated future cash

flows, discounted at the original effective yield of the loan, expected to be collected on the modified loan and the carrying value of the loan. The resulting measurement may result in the need for minimal or no valuation allowance because it is probable that all cash flows will be collected under the modified terms of the loan. In addition, if the stated interest rate was increased in a TDR, the cash flows on the modified loan, using the pre-modification interest rate as the discount rate, often exceed the recorded investment of the loan. Conversely, the Bancorp often recognizes an impairment loss as an increase to ALLL upon a modification that reduces the stated interest rate on a loan. If a TDR involves a reduction of the principal balance of the loan or the loan s accrued interest, that amount is charged off to the ALLL. At December 31, 2012, the Bancorp had \$28 million in line of credit commitments and \$25 million in letter of credit commitments to lend additional funds to borrowers whose terms have been modified in a TDR compared to \$42 million and \$1 million, respectively, at December 31, 2011.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table provides a summary of loans modified in a TDR by the Bancorp during the year ended December 31:

| | | in | investment loans dified | | |
|--|--|------|---------------------------------|--|--|
| 2012 (\$ in millions)(<i>a</i>) | Number of loans modified in a TDR during the period(b) | duri | ng the priod | Increase (Decrease) to ALLL upon modification | Charge-offs recognized upon modification |
| Commercial: | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial loans | 108 | \$ | 84 | (7) | 9 |
| Commercial mortgage owner-occupied loans | 67 | | 53 | (8) | 2 |
| Commercial mortgage nonowner-occupied loans | 67 | | 91 | (7) | - |
| Commercial construction loans | 17 | | 38 | (4) | - |
| Commercial leases | 8 | | 7 | 1 | - |
| Residential mortgage loans | 1,758 | | 340 | 35 | - |
| Consumer: | 4.949 | | | | |
| Home equity | 1,343 | | 82 | 1 | - |
| Automobile loans | 1,289 | | 23 | 2 | - |
| Credit card | 11,407 | ¢ | 75 793 | 11 24 | - 11 |
| Total portfolio loans and leases | 16,064 | \$ | 193 | 24 | 11 |
| | | in | l investment loans dified | | |
| | Number of loans modified in a | | TDR | Increase (Decrease) | Charge-offs |
| | TDR | | ng the | to ALLL upon | recognized upon |
| 2011 (\$ in millions)(<i>a</i>) Commercial: | during the $period(b)$ | pe | eriod | modification | modification |
| Commercial and industrial loans | 52 | \$ | 83 | (4) | 3 |
| Commercial mortgage owner-occupied loans | 32 | | 55 | (6) | 2 |
| Commercial mortgage nonowner-occupied loans | 39 | | 90 | (21) | 3 |
| Commercial construction loans | 26 | | 59 | (9) | 1 |
| Commercial leases | 2 | | - | - | - |
| Residential mortgage loans | 1,728 | | 338 | 34 | - |
| Consumer: | | | | | |
| Home equity | 1,317 | | 80 | 1 | - |
| Automobile loans | 1,482 | | 26 | 3 | - |
| Credit card | 12,234 | | 79 | 11 | - |
| Total portfolio loans and leases | 16,912 | \$ | 810 | 9 | 9 |

(a) Excludes all loans and leases held for sale and loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality.

(b) Represents number of loans post-modification.

The Bancorp considers TDRs that become 90 days or more past due under the modified terms as subsequently defaulted. For commercial loans not subject to individual review for impairment, the historical loss rates that are applied to such commercial loans for purposes of determining the allowance include historical losses associated with subsequent defaults on loans previously modified in a TDR. For consumer loans, the Bancorp performs a qualitative assessment of the adequacy of the consumer ALLL by comparing the consumer ALLL to forecasted consumer losses over the projected loss emergence period (the forecasted losses include the impact of subsequent defaults of consumer TDRs). When a

residential mortgage, home equity, auto or other consumer loan that has been modified in a TDR subsequently defaults, the present value of expected cash flows used in the measurement of the potential impairment loss is generally limited to the expected net proceeds from the sale of the loan s underlying collateral and any resulting impairment loss is reflected as a charge-off or an increase in ALLL. When a credit card loan that has been modified in a TDR subsequently defaults, the calculation of the impairment loss is consistent with the Bancorp s calculation for other credit card loans that have become 90 days or more past due.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table provides a summary of subsequent defaults that occurred during the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 and within 12 months of the restructuring date:

| December 31, 2012 (\$ in millions) ^(a) | Number of Contracts | orded stment |
|--|------------------------|--------------|
| Commercial: | | |
| Commercial and industrial loans | 2 | \$ 3 |
| Commercial mortgage owner-occupied loans | 3 | 2 |
| Commercial mortgage nonowner-occupied loans | 2 | 1 |
| Commercial construction loans | 2 | 3 |
| Residential mortgage loans | 332 | 57 |
| Consumer: | | |
| Home equity | 101 | 7 |
| Automobile loans | 42 | |
| Credit card | 28 | |
| Total portfolio loans and leases | 512 | \$ 73 |

| December 31, 2011 (\$ in millions) ^(a) | Number of Contracts | orded stment |
|--|------------------------|---------------------|
| Commercial: | contacto | Sument |
| Commercial and industrial loans | 8 | \$ 4 |
| Commercial mortgage owner-occupied loans | 4 | 5 |
| Commercial mortgage nonowner-occupied loans | 4 | 3 |
| Commercial construction loans | 3 | 4 |
| Residential mortgage loans | 337 | 55 |
| Consumer: | | |
| Home equity | 206 | 13 |
| Automobile loans | 28 | 1 |
| Credit card | 67 | 1 |
| Total portfolio loans and leases | 657 | \$ 86 |
| (a) Excludes all loans and leases held for sale and loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality. | | |

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

7. BANK PREMISES AND EQUIPMENT

The following is a summary of bank premises and equipment at December 31:

| | Estimated Useful | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|---------------------|----------|---------|
| (\$ in millions) | Life | 2012 | 2011 |
| Land and improvements | | \$ 841 | 834 |
| Buildings | 5 to 50 yrs. | 1,692 | 1,623 |
| Equipment | 2 to 20 yrs. | 1,460 | 1,318 |
| Leasehold improvements | 3 to 40 yrs. | 386 | 394 |
| Construction in progress | | 141 | 140 |
| Accumulated depreciation and amortization | | (1,978) | (1,862) |
| Total | | \$ 2,542 | 2,447 |

Depreciation and amortization expense related to bank premises and equipment was \$233 million in 2012, \$224 million in 2011 and \$225 million in 2010.

During 2012, the Bancorp recorded charges of \$21 million of lower of cost or market adjustments associated with bank premises. These adjustments were generally based on appraisals of the underlying bank premises less estimated selling costs. The recognized impairment losses were recorded in other noninterest income in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

Gross occupancy expense for cancelable and noncancelable leases was \$99 million in 2012 and 2011 and \$98 million in 2010, which was reduced by rental income from leased premises of \$17 million in 2012 and \$19 million in 2011 and 2010. The Bancorp s subsidiaries have entered into a number of noncancelable and capital lease agreements with respect to bank premises and equipment.

The following table provides the annual future minimum payments under capital leases and noncancelable operating leases at December 31, 2012:

| (\$ in millions) | erating eases | Capital Leases |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Year ended December 31, | | |
| 2013 | \$ 89 | 7 |
| 2014 | 85 | 7 |
| 2015 | 81 | 6 |
| 2016 | 74 | 3 |
| 2017 | 66 | - |
| Thereafter | 374 | 1 |
| Total minimum lease payments | \$ 769 | 24 |
| Less: Amounts representing interest | - | 3 |

Table of Contents

Present value of net minimum lease payments **8. GOODWILL**

Business combinations entered into by the Bancorp typically include the acquisition of goodwill. Acquisition activity includes acquisitions in the respective period, in addition to purchase accounting adjustments related to previous acquisitions. During the fourth quarter of 2008, the Bancorp determined that the Commercial Banking and Consumer Lending segments goodwill carrying

amounts exceeded their associated implied fair values by \$750 million and \$215 million, respectively. The resulting \$965 million goodwill impairment charge was recorded in the fourth quarter of 2008 and represents the total amount of accumulated impairment losses as of December 31, 2012.

Changes in the net carrying amount of goodwill, by reporting unit, for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 were as follows:

| (\$ in millions) | mercial nking | Branch Banking | Consumer Lending | Investment Advisors | Total |
|--|------------------|-------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-------|
| Net carrying value as of December 31, 2010 | \$ 613 | 1,656 | - | 148 | 2,417 |
| Acquisition activity | - | - | - | - | - |
| Net carrying value as of December 31, 2011 | \$ 613 | 1,656 | - | 148 | 2,417 |
| Acquisition activity | - | (1) | - | - | (1) |
| Net carrying value as of December 31, 2012 | \$ 613 | 1,655 | - | 148 | 2,416 |

The Bancorp completed its annual goodwill impairment test as of September 30, 2012 and the estimated fair values of the Commercial Banking, Branch Banking and Investment Advisors segments substantially exceeded their carrying values, including goodwill.

108 Fifth Third Bancorp

21

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

9. INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Intangible assets consist of mortgage servicing rights, core deposit intangibles, customer lists, non-compete agreements and cardholder relationships. Intangible assets, excluding servicing rights, are amortized on either a straight-line or an accelerated basis over their

estimated useful lives and have an estimated remaining weighted-average life at December 31, 2012 of 3.9 years. For more information on mortgage servicing rights, see Note 11.

The details of the Bancorp s intangible assets are shown in the following table:

| | Gross | Al-6l | V - 1 + 1 | Net |
|---------------------------|----------|--------------|--------------------------------|----------|
| | Carrying | Accumulated | Valuation | Carrying |
| (\$ in millions) | Amount | Amortization | Allowance | Amount |
| As of December 31, 2012 | | | | |
| Mortgage servicing rights | \$ 2,825 | (1,467) | (661) | 697 |
| Core deposit intangibles | 180 | (160) | - | 20 |
| Other | 44 | (37) | - | 7 |
| Total intangible assets | \$ 3,049 | (1,664) | (661) | 724 |
| As of December 31, 2011 | | | | |
| Mortgage servicing rights | \$ 2,520 | (1,281) | (558) | 681 |
| Core deposit intangibles | 439 | (407) | - | 32 |
| Other | 44 | (36) | - | 8 |
| Total intangible assets | \$ 3,003 | (1,724) | (558) | 721 |

As of December 31, 2012, all of the Bancorp s intangible assets were being amortized. Amortization expense recognized on intangible assets, including mortgage servicing rights, for the years

ending December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 was \$199 million, \$157 million and \$181 million, respectively.

Estimated amortization expense for the years ending December 31, 2013 through 2017 is as follows:

| | Mortgage | Other Intangible | |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|-------|
| (\$ in millions) | Servicing Rights | Assets | Total |
| 2013 | \$ 284 | 8 | 292 |
| 2014 | 220 | 4 | 224 |
| 2015 | 173 | 2 | 175 |

Table of Contents

| 137 | 2 | 139 |
|-----|---|-----|
| 109 | 2 | 111 |
| | | |

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

10. VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES

The Bancorp, in the normal course of business, engages in a variety of activities that involve VIEs, which are legal entities that lack sufficient equity to finance their activities, or the equity investors of the entities as a group lack any of the characteristics of a controlling interest. The primary beneficiary of a VIE is generally the enterprise that has both the power to direct the activities most significant to the economic performance of the VIE and the obligation to absorb losses or receive benefits that could potentially be significant to the VIE. For certain investment funds, the primary beneficiary is the enterprise that will absorb a majority of the fund s expected losses or receive a majority of the fund s expected residual

returns. The Bancorp evaluates its interest in certain entities to determine if these entities meet the definition of a VIE and whether the Bancorp is the primary beneficiary and should consolidate the entity based on the variable interests it held both at inception and when there is a change in circumstances that requires a reconsideration. If the Bancorp is determined to be the primary beneficiary of a VIE, it must account for the VIE as a consolidated subsidiary. If the Bancorp is determined not to be the primary beneficiary of a VIE but holds a variable interest in the entity, such variable interests are accounted for under the equity method of accounting or other accounting standards as appropriate.

Consolidated VIEs

The following table provides a summary of the classifications of consolidated VIE assets, liabilities and noncontrolling interests included in the Bancorp s Consolidated Balance Sheets as of:

| December 31, 2012 (\$ in millions) | Eq | ome uity tization | Automobile Loan Securitizations | CDC Investments | Total |
|------------------------------------|----|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|-------|
| Assets: | ¢ | | | | |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ | - | - | - | - |
| Other short-term investments | | - | - | - | - |
| Commercial mortgage loans | | - | - | 50 | 50 |
| Home equity | | - | - | - | - |
| Automobile loans | | - | - | - | - |
| ALLL | | - | - | (5) | (5) |
| Other assets | | - | - | 3 | 3 |
| Total assets | | - | - | 48 | 48 |
| Liabilities: | | | | | |
| Other liabilities | \$ | - | - | - | - |
| Long-term debt | | - | - | - | - |
| Total liabilities | \$ | - | - | - | - |
| Noncontrolling interests | | | | 48 | 48 |
| | | | | | |

| | Hor | me | Automobile | | |
|------------------------------------|----------|---------|-----------------|-------------|-------|
| | Equ | iity | Loan | CDC | |
| December 31, 2011 (\$ in millions) | Securiti | ization | Securitizations | Investments | Total |
| Assets: | | | | | |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ | 5 | 25 | - | 30 |
| | | | | | |

| Other short-term investments | - | 7 | - | 7 |
|------------------------------|----------|-----|-----|------|
| Commercial mortgage loans | - | - | 50 | 50 |
| Home equity | 223 | - | - | 223 |
| Automobile loans | - | 259 | - | 259 |
| ALLL | (5) | (3) | (2) | (10) |
| Other assets | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| Total assets | 224 | 289 | 50 | 563 |
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| Other liabilities | \$ - | 4 | - | 4 |
| Long-term debt | 22 | 169 | - | 191 |
| Total liabilities | \$ 22 | 173 | - | 195 |
| Noncontrolling interest | | | 50 | 50 |

Home Equity and Automobile Loan Securitizations

The Bancorp previously sold \$903 million of home equity lines of credit to an isolated trust. Additionally, the Bancorp previously sold \$2.7 billion of automobile loans to an isolated trust and conduits in three separate transactions. Each of these transactions isolated the related loans through the use of a VIE that, under accounting guidance effective prior to January 1, 2010, was not consolidated by the Bancorp. The VIEs were funded through loans from large multi-seller asset-backed commercial paper conduits sponsored by third party agents, asset-backed securities issued with varying levels of credit subordination and payment priority, and residual interests. The Bancorp retained residual interests in these entities and, therefore, had an obligation to absorb losses and a right to receive

benefits from the VIEs that could potentially be significant to the VIEs. In addition, the Bancorp retained servicing rights for the underlying loans and, therefore, held the power to direct the activities of the VIEs that most significantly impact the economic performance of the VIEs. As a result, the Bancorp determined it was the primary beneficiary of these VIEs and, effective January 1, 2010, these VIEs were consolidated in the Bancorp s Consolidated Financial Statements. On February 8, 2012, the Bancorp exercised cleanup call options on an automobile securitization conduit and an isolated trust and acquired all remaining automobile loans, the proceeds of which were used by the conduit and trust to repay outstanding debt. On April 12, 2012, the Bancorp exercised its cleanup call option on the home equity isolated trust and acquired

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

all remaining home equity loans, the proceeds of which were used by the trust to repay outstanding debt. On September 17, 2012, the Bancorp exercised its cleanup call option on the remaining automobile securitization conduit and acquired all remaining automobile loans, the proceeds of which were used by the conduit to repay outstanding debt.

The economic performance of the VIEs was most significantly impacted by the performance of the underlying loans. The principal risks to which the entities were exposed include credit risk and interest rate risk. Credit risk was managed through credit enhancement in the form of reserve accounts, overcollateralization, excess interest on the loans, the subordination of certain classes of asset-backed securities to other classes, and in the case of the home equity transaction, an insurance policy with a third party guaranteeing payment of accrued and unpaid interest and principal on the securities. Interest rate risk was managed by interest rate swaps between the VIEs and third parties.

CDC Investments

CDC, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Bancorp, was created to invest in projects to create affordable housing, revitalize business and residential areas, and preserve historic landmarks. CDC generally co-invests with other unrelated companies and/or individuals and typically makes investments in a separate legal entity that owns the property under development. The entities are usually formed as limited partnerships and LLCs, and CDC typically invests as a limited partner/investor member in the form of equity contributions. The economic performance of the VIEs is driven by

the performance of their underlying investment projects as well as the VIEs ability to operate in compliance with the rules and regulations necessary for the qualification of tax credits generated by equity investments. Typically, the general partner or managing member will be the party that has the right to make decisions that will most significantly impact the economic performance of the entity. The Bancorp serves as the managing member of certain LLCs invested in business revitalization projects. The Bancorp has provided an indemnification guarantee to the investor member of these LLCs related to the qualification of tax credits generated by the investor member s investment. Accordingly, the Bancorp concluded that it is the primary beneficiary and, therefore, has consolidated these VIEs. As a result, the investor members interests in these VIEs are presented as noncontrolling interests in the Bancorp s Consolidated Financial Statements. This presentation includes reporting separately the equity attributable to the noncontrolling interests in the Consolidated Balance Sheets and Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity and reporting separately the comprehensive income attributable to the noncontrolling interests is reported separately in the Consolidated Statements of Income. Additionally, the net income attributable to the noncontrolling interests is reported separately in the Consolidated Statements of Income. The Bancorp s maximum exposure related to these indemnifications at December 31, 2012 and 2011 was \$18 million and \$10 million, respectively, which is based on an amount required to meet the investor member s defined target rate of return.

Non-consolidated VIEs

The following tables provide a summary of assets and liabilities carried on the Bancorp s Consolidated Balance Sheets related to non-consolidated VIEs for which the Bancorp holds a variable interest, but is not the primary beneficiary of the VIE, as well as the Bancorp s maximum exposure to losses associated with its interests in the entities:

| | Total | Total | Maximum |
|--|----------|-------------|----------|
| As of December 31, 2012 (\$ in millions) | Assets | Liabilities | Exposure |
| CDC investments | \$ 1,442 | 394 | 1,442 |
| Private equity investments | 189 | - | 310 |
| Loans provided to VIEs | 1,622 | - | 2,465 |
| Restructured loans | 2 | - | 2 |
| | Total | Total | Maximum |
| As of December 31, 2011 (\$ in millions) | Assets | Liabilities | Exposure |
| CDC investments | \$ 1,243 | 269 | 1,243 |

| Private equity investments Money market funds Loans provided to VIEs Restructured loans | 161 53 1,370 10 | 3 - - |
|--|--------------------------|-------------|
| Loans provided to VIEs | 53 1,370 10 | - |

CDC Investments

As noted previously, CDC typically invests in VIEs as a limited partner or investor member in the form of equity contributions. The Bancorp has determined that it is not the primary beneficiary of these VIEs because it lacks the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the underlying project or the VIEs ability to operate in compliance with the rules and regulations necessary for the qualification of tax credits generated by equity investments. This power is held by the general partners/managing members who exercise full and exclusive control of the operations of the VIEs. Accordingly, the Bancorp accounts for these investments under the equity method of accounting.

The Bancorp's funding requirements are limited to its invested capital and any additional unfunded commitments for future equity contributions. The Bancorp's maximum exposure to loss as a result of its involvement with the VIEs is limited to the carrying amounts of the investments, including the unfunded commitments. The carrying amounts of these investments, which are included in other assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets, and the liabilities related to the unfunded commitments, which are included in other liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets, are included in the previous tables for all periods presented. The Bancorp has no other liquidity arrangements or obligations to purchase assets of the VIEs that would expose the Bancorp to a loss. In certain arrangements, the general partner/managing member of the VIE has guaranteed a level of projected tax credits to be received by the limited partners/investor members, thereby minimizing a portion of the Bancorp's risk.

Fifth Third Bancorp 111

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Private Equity Investments

The Bancorp invests as a limited partner in private equity funds which provide the Bancorp an opportunity to obtain higher rates of return on invested capital, while also creating cross-selling opportunities for the Bancorp s commercial products. Each of the limited partnerships has an unrelated third-party general partner responsible for appointing the fund manager. The Bancorp has not been appointed fund manager for any of these private equity funds. The funds finance primarily all of their activities from the partners capital contributions and investment returns. Under the VIE consolidation guidance still applicable to the funds, the Bancorp has determined that it is not the primary beneficiary of the funds because it does not absorb a majority of the funds expected losses or receive a majority of the funds expected residual returns. Therefore, the Bancorp accounts for its investments in these limited partnerships under the equity method of accounting.

The Bancorp is exposed to losses arising from negative performance of the underlying investments in the private equity funds. As a limited partner, the Bancorp s maximum exposure to loss is limited to the carrying amounts of the investments plus unfunded commitments. The carrying amounts of these investments, which are included in other assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets, are included in the previous tables. Also, as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the unfunded commitment amounts to the funds were \$121 million and \$166 million, respectively. The Bancorp made capital contributions of \$61 million and \$48 million to private equity funds during 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Money Market Funds

Under U.S. GAAP, money market funds are generally not considered VIEs because they are generally deemed to have sufficient equity at risk to finance their activities without additional subordinated financial support, and the fund shareholders do not lack the characteristics of a controlling interest. However, when a situation arises where an investment manager provides credit support to a fund, even when not contractually required to do so, the investment manager is deemed under U.S. GAAP to have provided an implicit guarantee of the fund s performance to the fund s shareholders. Such an implicit guarantee would require the investment manager and other variable interest holders to reconsider the VIE status of the fund, as well as all other similar funds where such an implicit guarantee is now deemed to exist.

In the fourth quarter of 2010, the Bancorp voluntarily provided credit support of less than \$1 million to a money market fund managed by FTAM. Accordingly, the Bancorp was required to analyze the money market funds and similar funds managed by FTAM under the VIE consolidation guidance applicable to these funds to determine the primary beneficiary of each fund. In analyzing these funds, the Bancorp determined that interest rate risk and credit risk were the two main risks to which the funds were exposed. After analyzing the interest rate risk variability associated with these funds, the Bancorp determined that it was not the primary beneficiary of these funds because it did not absorb a majority of the funds expected losses or receive a majority of the funds expected residual returns. Therefore, the Bancorp s investments in these funds were included as other securities in the Bancorp s Consolidated Balance Sheets. In the third quarter of 2012, the Bancorp sold certain assets relating to the management of Fifth Third money market funds. The remaining maximum exposure as of December 31, 2012 is immaterial to the Bancorp s Consolidated Financial Statements.

Loans Provided to VIEs

The Bancorp has provided funding to certain unconsolidated VIEs sponsored by third parties. These VIEs are generally established to finance certain consumer and small business loans originated by third parties. The entities are primarily funded through the issuance of a loan from the Bancorp or syndication through which the Bancorp is involved. The sponsor/administrator of the entities is responsible for servicing the underlying assets in the VIEs. Because the sponsor/administrator, not the Bancorp, holds the servicing responsibilities, which include the establishment and employment of default mitigation policies and procedures, the Bancorp does not hold the power to direct the activities most significant to the economic performance of the entity and, therefore, is not the primary beneficiary.

The principal risk to which these entities are exposed is credit risk related to the underlying assets. The Bancorp s maximum exposure to loss is equal to the carrying amounts of the loans and unfunded commitments to the VIEs. The Bancorp s outstanding loans to these VIEs, included in commercial loans in the Consolidated Balance Sheets, are included in the previous tables for all periods presented. Also, as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Bancorp s unfunded commitments to these entities were \$843 million and \$833 million, respectively. The loans and unfunded commitments to these VIEs are included in the Bancorp s overall analysis of the ALLL and reserve for unfunded commitments, respectively. The Bancorp does not provide any implicit or explicit liquidity guarantees or principal value guarantees to these VIEs.

Restructured Loans

As part of loan restructuring efforts, the Bancorp received equity capital from certain borrowers to facilitate the restructuring of the borrower s debt. These borrowers meet the definition of a VIE because the Bancorp was involved in their refinancing and because their equity capital is insufficient to fund ongoing operations. These restructurings were intended to provide the VIEs with serviceable debt levels while providing the Bancorp an opportunity to maximize the recovery of the loans. The VIEs finance their operations from earned income, capital contributions, and through restructured debt agreements. Assets of the VIEs are used to settle their specific obligations, including loan payments due to the Bancorp. The Bancorp continues to maintain its relationship with these VIEs as a lender and minority shareholder, however, it is not involved in management decisions and does not have sufficient voting rights to control the membership of the respective boards. Therefore, the Bancorp accounts for its equity investments in these VIEs under the equity method or cost method based on its percentage of ownership and ability to exercise significant influence.

The Bancorp's maximum exposure to loss as a result of its involvement with these VIEs is limited to the equity investments, the principal and accrued interest on the outstanding loans, and any unfunded commitments. Due to the VIEs' short-term cash deficit projections at the restructuring dates, the Bancorp determined that the initial fair value of its equity investments in these VIEs was zero. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Bancorp's carrying value of these equity investments was immaterial to the Bancorp's Consolidated Balance Sheets. Additionally, the Bancorp had outstanding loans to these VIEs, included in commercial loans in the Consolidated Balance Sheets, which are included in the previous tables for all periods presented. The Bancorp had no unfunded loan commitments to these VIEs as of December 31, 2012 and \$2 million at December 31, 2011. The loans and unfunded commitments to these VIEs are included in the Bancorp's overall analysis of the ALLL and reserve for unfunded commitments, respectively. The Bancorp does not provide any implicit or explicit liquidity guarantees or principal value guarantees to these VIEs.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

11. SALES OF RESIDENTIAL MORTGAGE RECEIVABLES AND MORTGAGE SERVICING RIGHTS

The Bancorp sold fixed and adjustable rate residential mortgage loans during 2012, 2011, and 2010. In those sales, the Bancorp obtained servicing responsibilities and the investors have no recourse to the Bancorp s other assets for failure of debtors to pay

when due. The Bancorp receives annual servicing fees based on a percentage of the outstanding balance. The Bancorp identifies classes of servicing assets based on financial asset type and interest rates.

Information related to residential mortgage loan sales and the Bancorp s mortgage banking activity, which is included in mortgage banking net revenue in the Consolidated Statements of Income, for the years ended December 31 is as follows:

| (\$ in millions) | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|--|-----------|--------|--------|
| Residential mortgage loan sales | \$ 21,574 | 14,733 | 17,861 |
| Origination fees and gains on loan sales | 821 | 396 | 490 |
| Servicing fees | 250 | 234 | 221 |
| Servicing Assets | | | |

The following table presents changes in the servicing assets related to residential mortgage loans for the years ended December 31:

| (\$ in millions) | 20 | 012 | 2011 |
|---|-----|-------|-------|
| Carrying amount before valuation allowance as of the beginning of the period | \$1 | ,239 | 1,138 |
| Servicing obligations that result from the transfer of residential mortgage loans | | 305 | 236 |
| Amortization | | (186) | (135) |
| Carrying amount before valuation allowance | 1 | ,358 | 1,239 |
| Valuation allowance for servicing assets: | | | |
| Beginning balance | | (558) | (316) |
| Servicing impairment | | (103) | (242) |
| Ending balance | | (661) | (558) |
| Carrying amount as of the end of the period | \$ | 697 | 681 |

Temporary impairment or impairment recovery, affected through a change in the MSR valuation allowance, is captured as a component of mortgage banking net revenue in the Consolidated Statements of Income. The Bancorp maintains a non-qualifying hedging strategy to manage a portion of the risk associated with changes in the value of the MSR portfolio. This strategy includes the purchase of free-standing derivatives and various available-for-sale securities. The

interest income, mark-to-market adjustments and gain or loss from sale activities associated with these portfolios are expected to economically hedge a portion of the change in value of the MSR portfolio caused by fluctuating discount rates, earnings rates and prepayment speeds. The fair value of the servicing asset is based on the present value of expected future cash flows.

The following table displays the beginning and ending fair value for the years ended December 31:

| (\$ in millions) | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|-------------|----------|
| Fixed rate residential mortgage loans: | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 649 | 791 |
| Ending balance | 664 | 649 |
| Adjustable rate residential mortgage loans: | | |
| Beginning balance | 32 | 31 |
| Ending balance | 33 | 32 |
| The following table presents activity related to valuations of the MSR portfolio and the impact of the non-qualifying hedging s included in the Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31: | strategy, w | which is |

| (\$ in millions) | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|---|------|------------------|------|
| Securities gains, net non-qualifying hedges on MSRs | \$ 3 | 3 9 | 14 |
| Changes in fair value and settlement of free-standing derivatives purchased to economically hedge the MSR portfolio (Mortgage | | | |
| banking net revenue) | 6. | 3 344 | 109 |
| Provision for MSR impairment (Mortgage banking net revenue) | (103 | 3) (242) | (36) |

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the key economic assumptions used in measuring the MSRs that continued to be held by the Bancorp at the date of sale or securitization resulting from transactions completed during the years ended December 31 were as follows:

| | | 2012 | | | | | 2011 | | | |
|-----------------------------|------------|----------|------------|----------|-----------|----------|------------|----------|-----------|--|
| | | Weighted | l- | | | Weighted | - | | | |
| | | Average | | | Weighted- | Average | | | Weighted- | |
| | | Life | Prepayment | Discount | Average | Life | Prepayment | Discount | Average | |
| | | (in | Speed | Rate | Default | (in | Speed | Rate | Default | |
| | Rate | years) | (annual) | (annual) | rate | years) | (annual) | (annual) | rate | |
| Residential mortgage loans: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Servicing assets | Fixed | 6.9 | 9.6 % | 10.4 % | N/A | 7.2 | 8.8 % | 10.5 % | N/A | |
| Servicing assets | Adjustable | 3.8 | 22.0 | 11.4 | N/A | 3.7 | 22.8 | 11.4 | N/A | |

Based on historical credit experience, expected credit losses for residential mortgage loan servicing assets have been deemed immaterial, as the Bancorp sold the majority of the underlying loans without recourse. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Bancorp

serviced \$62.5 billion and \$57.1 billion, respectively, of residential mortgage loans for other investors. The value of MSRs that continue to be held by the Bancorp is subject to credit, prepayment and interest rate risks on the sold financial assets.

At December 31, 2012, the sensitivity of the current fair value of residual cash flows to immediate 10%, 20% and 50% adverse changes in prepayment speed assumptions and immediate 10% and 20% adverse changes in other assumptions are as follows:

| | | | | | | | | Resid | ual Servic | ing |
|-----------------------------|------------|------------|-----------|--------|----------|-----------|-------|----------|------------|---------|
| | | | | | Prepay | ment | | | | |
| | | | Weighted- | S | peed Ass | umption | | C | ash Flows | |
| | | | Average | | Impa | ct of Ad | verse | | Impact of | Adverse |
| | | | Life | | Ch | ange on H | Fair | | Change | on Fair |
| | | Fair | (in | | | Value | | Discount | Val | lue |
| (\$ in millions)(a) | Rate | Value | years) | Rate | 10% | 20% | 50% | Rate | 10% | 20% |
| Residential mortgage loans: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Servicing assets | Fixed | \$ 664 | 4.8 | 16.1 % | \$ (37) | (72) | (159) | 10.5 % | \$ (22) | (42) |
| Servicing assets | Adjustable | 33 | 3.1 | 26.9 | (2) | (3) | (6) | 11.7 | (1) | (2) |
| | | <i>c</i> · | 1 1 1 0 | C 11 | | | | | | |

(a) The impact of the weighted-average default rate on the current fair value of residual cash flows for all scenarios is immaterial.

These sensitivities are hypothetical and should be used with caution. As the figures indicate, changes in fair value based on these variations in the assumptions typically cannot be extrapolated because the relationship of the change in assumption to the change in fair value may not be linear. The Bancorp believes variations of these levels are reasonably possible; however there is the potential that adverse changes in key assumptions could be even greater. Also, in the previous table, the effect of a variation in a particular assumption on the fair value of the interests that continue to be held by the Bancorp is calculated without changing any other assumption; in reality, changes in one factor may result in changes in another (for example, increases in market interest rates may result in lower prepayments), which might magnify or counteract these sensitivities.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

12. DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The Bancorp maintains an overall risk management strategy that incorporates the use of derivative instruments to reduce certain risks related to interest rate, prepayment and foreign currency volatility. Additionally, the Bancorp holds derivative instruments for the benefit of its commercial customers and for other business purposes. The Bancorp does not enter into unhedged speculative derivative positions.

The Bancorp s interest rate risk management strategy involves modifying the repricing characteristics of certain financial instruments so that changes in interest rates do not adversely affect the Bancorp s net interest margin and cash flows. Derivative instruments that the Bancorp may use as part of its interest rate risk management strategy include interest rate swaps, interest rate floors, interest rate caps, forward contracts, options and swaptions. Interest rate swap contracts are exchanges of interest payments, such as fixed-rate payments for floating-rate payments, based on a stated notional amount and maturity date. Interest rate floors protect against declining rates, while interest rate caps protect against rising interest rates. Forward contracts are contracts in which the buyer agrees to purchase, and the seller agrees to make delivery of, a specific financial instrument at a predetermined price or yield. Options provide the purchaser with the right, but not the obligation, to purchase or sell a contracted item during a specified period at an agreed upon price. Swaptions are financial instruments granting the owner the right, but not the obligation, to enter into or cancel a swap.

Prepayment volatility arises mostly from changes in fair value of the largely fixed-rate MSR portfolio, mortgage loans and mortgage-backed securities. The Bancorp may enter into various free-standing derivatives (principal-only swaps, interest rate swaptions, interest rate floors, mortgage options, TBAs and interest rate swaps) to economically hedge prepayment volatility. Principal-only swaps are total return swaps based on changes in the value of the underlying mortgage principal-only trust. TBAs are a forward purchase agreement for a mortgage-backed securities trade whereby the terms of the security are undefined at the time the trade is made.

Foreign currency volatility occurs as the Bancorp enters into certain loans denominated in foreign currencies. Derivative instruments that the Bancorp may use to economically hedge these foreign denominated loans include foreign exchange swaps and forward contracts.

The Bancorp also enters into derivative contracts (including foreign exchange contracts, commodity contracts and interest rate contracts) for the benefit of commercial customers and other business purposes. The Bancorp may economically hedge significant exposures related to these free-standing derivatives by entering into offsetting third-party contracts with approved, reputable counterparties with substantially matching terms and currencies. Credit risk arises from the possible inability of counterparties to meet the terms of their contracts. The Bancorp s exposure is limited to the replacement value of the contracts rather than the notional,

principal or contract amounts. Credit risk is minimized through credit approvals, limits, counterparty collateral and monitoring procedures.

The Bancorp s derivative assets contain certain contracts in which the Bancorp requires the counterparties to provide collateral in the form of cash and securities to offset changes in the fair value of the derivatives, including changes in the fair value due to credit risk of the counterparty. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the balance of collateral held by the Bancorp for derivative assets was \$927 million and \$1.2 billion, respectively. The credit component negatively impacting the fair value of derivative assets associated with customer accommodation contracts as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 was \$18 million and \$28 million, respectively.

In measuring the fair value of derivative liabilities, the Bancorp considers its own credit risk, taking into consideration collateral maintenance requirements of certain derivative counterparties and the duration of instruments with counterparties that do not require collateral maintenance. When necessary, the Bancorp primarily posts collateral in the form of cash and securities to offset changes in fair value of the derivatives, including changes in fair value due to the Bancorp s credit risk. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the balance of collateral posted by the Bancorp for derivative liabilities was \$785 million and \$788 million, respectively. Certain of the Bancorp s derivative liabilities contain credit-risk related contingent features that could result in the requirement to post additional collateral upon the occurrence of specified events. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the fair value of the additional collateral that could be required to be posted as a result of the credit-risk related contingent features being triggered was not material to the Bancorp s Consolidated Financial Statements. The posting of collateral has

been determined to remove the need for consideration of credit risk. As a result, the Bancorp determined that the impact of the Bancorp s credit risk to the valuation of its derivative liabilities was immaterial to the Bancorp s Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Bancorp holds certain derivative instruments that qualify for hedge accounting treatment and are designated as either fair value hedges or cash flow hedges. Derivative instruments that do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment, or for which hedge accounting is not established, are held as free-standing derivatives. All customer accommodation derivatives are held as free-standing derivatives.

The fair value of derivative instruments is presented on a gross basis, even when the derivative instruments are subject to master netting arrangements. Derivative instruments with a positive fair value are reported in other assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets while derivative instruments with a negative fair value are reported in other liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Cash collateral payables and receivables associated with the derivative instruments are not added to or netted against the fair value amounts.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following tables reflect the notional amounts and fair values for all derivative instruments included in the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of:

| | | Fair | Value |
|---|----------|------------|-------------|
| | Notional | Derivative | Derivative |
| December 31, 2012 (\$ in millions) | Amount | Assets | Liabilities |
| Qualifying hedging instruments | | | |
| Fair value hedges: | | | |
| Interest rate swaps related to long-term debt | \$ 2,880 | 558 | - |
| Total fair value hedges | | 558 | - |
| Cash flow hedges: | | | |
| Interest rate floors related to C&I loans | 1,500 | 22 | - |
| Interest rate swaps related to C&I loans | 1,000 | 60 | - |
| Interest rate caps related to long-term debt | 500 | - | - |
| Interest rate swaps related to long-term debt | 250 | - | 1 |
| Total cash flow hedges | | 82 | 1 |
| Total derivatives designated as qualifying hedging instruments | | 640 | 1 |
| Derivatives not designated as qualifying hedging instruments | | | |
| Free-standing derivatives risk management and other business purposes: | | | |
| Interest rate contracts related to MSRs | 10,177 | 219 | - |
| Forward contracts related to held for sale mortgage loans | 5,322 | 2 | 14 |
| Stock warrants associated with sale of the processing business | 416 | 177 | - |
| Swap associated with the sale of Visa, Inc. Class B shares | 644 | - | 33 |
| Total free-standing derivatives risk management and other business purposes | | 398 | 47 |
| Free-standing derivatives customer accommodation: | | | |
| Interest rate contracts for customers | 27,354 | 586 | 602 |
| Interest rate lock commitments | 4,894 | 60 | - |
| Commodity contracts | 3,084 | 87 | 82 |
| Foreign exchange contracts | 17,297 | 201 | 183 |
| Derivative instruments related to equity linked CDs | 5 | - | - |
| Total free-standing derivatives customer accommodation | | 934 | 867 |
| Total derivatives not designated as qualifying hedging instruments | | 1,332 | 914 |
| Total | | \$ 1,972 | 915 |

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| | | Fair | Value |
|---|----------|------------|-------------|
| | Notional | Derivative | Derivative |
| December 31, 2011 (\$ in millions) | Amount | Assets | Liabilities |
| Qualifying hedging instruments | | | |
| Fair value hedges: | | | |
| Interest rate swaps related to long-term debt | \$ 4,080 | 662 | - |
| Total fair value hedges | | 662 | - |
| Cash flow hedges: | | | |
| Interest rate floors related to C&I loans | 1,500 | 91 | - |
| Interest rate swaps related to C&I loans | 1,500 | 59 | - |
| Interest rate caps related to long-term debt | 500 | - | - |
| Interest rate swaps related to long-term debt | 250 | - | 5 |
| Total cash flow hedges | | 150 | 5 |
| Total derivatives designated as qualifying hedging instruments | | 812 | 5 |
| Derivatives not designated as qualifying hedging instruments | | | |
| Free-standing derivatives risk management and other business purposes: | | | |
| Interest rate contracts related to MSRs | 3,077 | 187 | - |
| Forward contracts related to held for sale mortgage loans | 5,705 | 8 | 54 |
| Interest rate swaps related to long-term debt | 311 | 1 | 3 |
| Put options associated with sale of the processing business | 978 | - | 1 |
| Stock warrants associated with sale of the processing business | 223 | 111 | - |
| Swap associated with the sale of Visa, Inc. Class B shares | 436 | - | 78 |
| Total free-standing derivatives risk management and other business purposes | | 307 | 136 |
| Free-standing derivatives customer accommodation: | | | |
| Interest rate contracts for customers | 30,000 | 774 | 795 |
| Interest rate lock commitments | 3,835 | 33 | 1 |
| Commodity contracts | 2,074 | 134 | 130 |
| Foreign exchange contracts | 17,909 | 294 | 275 |
| Derivative instruments related to equity linked CDs | 34 | 2 | 2 |
| Total free-standing derivatives customer accommodation | | 1,237 | 1,203 |
| Total derivatives not designated as qualifying hedging instruments | | 1,544 | 1,339 |
| Total | | \$ 2,356 | 1,344 |

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Fair Value Hedges

The Bancorp may enter into interest rate swaps to convert its fixed-rate funding to floating-rate. Decisions to convert fixed-rate funding to floating are made primarily through consideration of the asset/liability mix of the Bancorp, the desired asset/liability sensitivity and interest rate levels. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, certain interest rate swaps met the criteria required to qualify for the shortcut method of accounting. Based on this shortcut method of accounting treatment, no ineffectiveness is assumed. For interest rate swaps that do not meet the shortcut requirements, an assessment of hedge effectiveness using regression analysis was

performed and such swaps were accounted for using the long-haul method. The long-haul method requires a quarterly assessment of hedge effectiveness and measurement of ineffectiveness. For interest rate swaps accounted for as a fair value hedge using the long-haul method, ineffectiveness is the difference between the changes in the fair value of the interest rate swap and changes in fair value of the related hedged item attributable to the risk being hedged. The ineffectiveness on interest rate swaps hedging fixed-rate funding is reported within interest expense in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

The following table reflects the change in fair value of interest rate contracts, designated as fair value hedges, as well as the change in fair value of the related hedged items attributable to the risk being hedged, included in the Consolidated Statements of Income:

| | Consolidated Statements of | | | |
|---|----------------------------|----------|-------|-------|
| For the year ended December 31 (\$ in millions) | Income Caption | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Interest rate contracts: | | | | |
| Change in fair value of interest rate swaps hedging long-term debt | Interest on long-term debt | \$ (104) | 220 | 167 |
| Change in fair value of hedged long-term debt attributable to the risk being hedged | Interest on long-term debt | 107 | (227) | (168) |
| Change in fair value of interest rate swaps hedging time deposits | Interest on deposits | - | - | 6 |
| Change in fair value of hedged time deposits | Interest on deposits | - | - | (6) |

Cash Flow Hedges

The Bancorp may enter into interest rate swaps to convert floating-rate assets and liabilities to fixed rates or to hedge certain forecasted transactions. The assets or liabilities may be grouped in circumstances where they share the same risk exposure for which the Bancorp desired to hedge. The Bancorp may also enter into interest rate caps and floors to limit cash flow variability of floating rate assets and liabilities. As of December 31, 2012, all hedges designated as cash flow hedges are assessed for effectiveness using regression analysis. Ineffectiveness is generally measured as the amount by which the cumulative change in the fair value of the hedging instrument exceeds the present value of the cumulative change in the hedged item s expected cash flows attributable to the risk being hedged. Ineffectiveness is reported within other noninterest income in the Consolidated Statements of Income. The effective portion of the cumulative gains or losses on cash flow hedges are reported within accumulated other comprehensive income and are reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income to current period earnings when the forecasted transaction affects earnings. As of December 31, 2012, the maximum length of time over which the Bancorp is hedging its exposure to the variability in future cash flows is 38 months.

Reclassified gains and losses on interest rate contracts related to commercial and industrial loans are recorded within interest income while reclassified gains and losses on interest rate contracts related to long-term debt are recorded within interest expense in the Consolidated Statements of Income. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, \$50 million and \$80 million, respectively, of deferred gains, net of tax, on cash flow hedges were recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. As of December 31, 2012, \$20 million in net deferred gains, net of tax, recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income are expected to be reclassified into earnings during the next twelve months primarily due to the benefit of interest rate floors that mature during the second quarter of 2013. During 2012, there were no gains or losses reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income into earnings associated with the discontinuance of cash flow hedges because it was probable that the original forecasted transaction would not occur. During 2011, \$11 million of losses were reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive as it was determined that the original forecasted transaction was no longer probable of occurring by the end of the originally specified time period or within the additional period of time as defined by U.S. GAAP.

The following table presents the net gains recorded in the Consolidated Statements of Income and the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income relating to derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges:

| For the year ended December 31 (\$ in millions) | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|--|-------|------|------|
| Amount of net gain recognized in OCI | \$ 37 | 89 | 2 |
| Amount of net gain reclassified from OCI into net income | 83 | 69 | 60 |
| Amount of ineffectiveness recognized in other noninterest income | - | 1 | 6 |

Free-Standing Derivative Instruments Risk Management and Other Business Purposes

As part of its overall risk management strategy relative to its mortgage banking activity, the Bancorp may enter into various free-standing derivatives (principal-only swaps, interest rate swaptions, interest rate floors, mortgage options, TBAs and interest rate swaps) to economically hedge changes in fair value of its largely fixed-rate MSR portfolio. Principal-only swaps hedge the mortgage-LIBOR spread because these swaps appreciate in value as a result of tightening spreads. Principal-only swaps also provide prepayment

protection by increasing in value when prepayment speeds increase, as opposed to MSRs that lose value in a faster prepayment environment. Receive fixed/pay floating interest rate swaps and swaptions increase in value when interest rates do not increase as quickly as expected.

The Bancorp enters into forward contracts and mortgage options to economically hedge the change in fair value of certain residential mortgage loans held for sale due to changes in interest rates. Interest rate lock commitments issued on residential mortgage loan commitments that will be held for sale are also considered free-standing

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

derivative instruments and the interest rate exposure on these commitments is economically hedged primarily with forward contracts. Revaluation gains and losses from free-standing derivatives related to mortgage banking activity are recorded as a component of mortgage banking net revenue in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

Additionally, as part of the Bancorp s overall risk management strategy with respect to minimizing significant fluctuations in earnings and cash flows caused by interest rate and prepayment volatility, the Bancorp may enter into free-standing derivative instruments (options, swaptions and interest rate swaps). The gains and losses on these derivative contracts are recorded within other noninterest income in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

In conjunction with the sale of the processing business in 2009, the Bancorp received warrants and issued put options, which are accounted for as free-standing derivatives. The put options expired as a result of the Vantiv, Inc. initial public offering in March of 2012. Refer to Note 26 for further discussion of significant inputs and assumptions used in the valuation of these instruments.

In conjunction with the sale of Visa, Inc. Class B shares in 2009, the Bancorp entered into a total return swap in which the Bancorp will make or receive payments based on subsequent changes in the conversion rate of the Class B shares into Class A shares. This total return swap is accounted for as a free-standing derivative. See Note 26 for further discussion of significant inputs and assumptions used in the valuation of this instrument.

The net gains (losses) recorded in the Consolidated Statements of Income relating to free-standing derivative instruments used for risk management and other business purposes are summarized in the following table:

| | Consolidated Statements of | | | |
|--|------------------------------|-------|-------|------|
| For the year ended December 31 (\$ in millions) | Income Caption | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Interest rate contracts: | | | | |
| Forward contracts related to mortgage loans held for sale | Mortgage banking net revenue | \$ 28 | (128) | 40 |
| Interest rate contracts related to MSRs | Mortgage banking net revenue | 63 | 345 | 109 |
| Interest rate swaps related to long-term debt | Other noninterest income | 2 | 7 | 2 |
| Equity contracts: | | | | |
| Stock warrants associated with sale of the processing business | Other noninterest income | 66 | 32 | 4 |
| Put options associated with sale of the processing business | Other noninterest income | 1 | 7 | 1 |
| Swap associated with sale of Visa, Inc. Class B shares | Other noninterest income | (45) | (83) | (19) |
| | | | | |

Free-Standing Derivative Instruments Customer Accommodation

The majority of the free-standing derivative instruments the Bancorp enters into are for the benefit of its commercial customers. These derivative contracts are not designated against specific assets or liabilities on the Bancorp s Consolidated Balance Sheets or to forecasted transactions and, therefore, do not qualify for hedge accounting. These instruments include foreign exchange derivative contracts entered into for the benefit of commercial customers involved in international trade to hedge their exposure to foreign currency fluctuations and commodity contracts to hedge such items as natural gas and various other derivative contracts. The Bancorp may economically hedge significant exposures related to these derivative contracts entered into for the benefit of customers by entering into offsetting contracts with approved, reputable, independent counterparties with substantially matching terms. The Bancorp hedges its interest rate exposure on commercial customer transactions by executing offsetting swap agreements with primary dealers. Revaluation gains and losses on interest rate, foreign exchange, commodity and other commercial customer derivative contracts are recorded as a component of corporate banking revenue in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

The Bancorp enters into risk participation agreements, under which the Bancorp assumes credit exposure relating to certain underlying interest rate derivative contracts. The Bancorp only enters into these risk participation agreements in instances in which the Bancorp has participated in the loan that the underlying interest rate derivative contract was designed to hedge. The Bancorp will make payments under these agreements if a customer defaults on its obligation to perform under the terms of the underlying interest rate derivative contract. As of December 31, 2012 and

Table of Contents

2011, the total notional amount of the risk participation agreements was \$1.0 billion and \$808 million, respectively, and the fair value was a liability of \$2 million at both December 31, 2012 and 2011, which is included in interest rate contracts for customers. As of December 31, 2012, the risk participation agreements had an average life of 3.0 years.

The Bancorp s maximum exposure in the risk participation agreements is contingent on the fair value of the underlying interest rate derivative contracts in an asset position at the time of default. The Bancorp monitors the credit risk associated with the underlying customers in the risk participation agreements through the same risk grading system currently utilized for establishing loss reserves in its loan and lease portfolio.

Risk ratings of the notional amount of risk participation agreements under this risk rating system are summarized in the following table:

| At December 31 (\$ in millions) 2012 Pass \$ 993 | 2011 772 |
|--|-------------|
| Special mention - | 14 |
| Substandard 13 | 18 |
| Doubtful - | 4 |
| Total \$1,006 | 808 |

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The net gains (losses) recorded in the Consolidated Statements of Income relating to free-standing derivative instruments used for customer accommodation are summarized in the following table:

For the year ended December 31

| | Consolidated Statements of | | | |
|---|------------------------------|-------|------|------|
| (\$ in millions) | Income Caption | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Interest rate contracts: | | | | |
| Interest rate contracts for customers (contract revenue) | Corporate banking revenue | \$ 30 | 28 | 26 |
| Interest rate contracts for customers (credit losses) | Other noninterest expense | (2) | (13) | (22) |
| Interest rate contracts for customers (credit portion of fair value adjustment) | Other noninterest expense | 6 | 13 | (1) |
| Interest rate lock commitments | Mortgage banking net revenue | 417 | 206 | 187 |
| Commodity contracts: | | | | |
| Commodity contracts for customers (contract revenue) | Corporate banking revenue | 7 | 8 | 8 |
| Commodity contracts for customers (credit portion of fair value adjustment) | Other noninterest expense | 2 | - | - |
| Foreign exchange contracts: | | | | |
| Foreign exchange contracts customers (contract revenue) | Corporate banking revenue | 65 | 47 | 63 |
| Foreign exchange contracts customers (credit portion of fair value adjustment) | Other noninterest expense | 2 | 1 | (1) |
| 13. OTHER ASSETS | | | | |

The following table provides the components of other assets included in the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31:

| (\$ in millions) | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|----------|-------|
| Derivative instruments | \$ 1,972 | 2,356 |
| Partnership investments | 1,657 | 1,413 |
| Bank owned life insurance | 1,547 | 1,742 |
| Accounts receivable and drafts-in-process | 1,155 | 955 |
| Investment in Vantiv Holding, LLC | 563 | 576 |
| Bankers acceptances | 398 | 726 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 369 | 382 |
| OREO and other repossessed personal property | 329 | 442 |
| Prepaid expenses | 80 | 84 |
| Income tax receivable | 10 | 5 |
| Other | 124 | 182 |
| Total | \$ 8,204 | 8,863 |

The Bancorp incorporates the utilization of derivative instruments as part of its overall risk management strategy to reduce certain risks related to interest rate, prepayment and foreign currency volatility. The Bancorp also holds derivatives instruments for the benefit of its commercial customers. For further information on derivative instruments, see Note 12.

CDC, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Bancorp, was created to invest in projects to create affordable housing, revitalize business and residential areas, and preserve historic landmarks, which are included above in partnership investments. In addition, the Bancorp invests as a limited partner in private equity funds. The Bancorp has determined that these entities are VIEs and the Bancorp s investments represent variable interests. See Note 10 for further information.

The Bancorp purchases life insurance policies on the lives of certain directors, officers and employees and is the owner and beneficiary of the policies. Certain BOLI policies have a stable value agreement through either a large, well-rated bank or multi-national insurance carrier that provides limited cash surrender value protection from declines in the value of each policy s underlying investments. See Note 1 for further

Table of Contents

information.

On June 30, 2009, the Bancorp sold an approximate 51% interest in Vantiv Holding, LLC to Advent International. During

the first quarter of 2012, Vantiv, Inc. priced an IPO of its shares and contributed the net proceeds to Vantiv Holding, LLC for additional ownership interests. As a result of this offering, the Bancorp s ownership of Vantiv Holding, LLC was reduced to approximately 39%. In addition, the Bancorp sold an approximate 6% interest during the fourth quarter of 2012. The Bancorp s remaining approximate 33% ownership in Vantiv Holding, LLC is accounted for under the equity method of accounting. See Note 18 for further information.

A bankers acceptance is created when a time draft is drawn on and accepted by a bank. By accepting the draft, the bank assumes the credit risk of the underlying obligor, usually the buyer or the seller of goods or their bank, and makes an unconditional promise to pay the holder of the draft the amount of the draft at maturity, which is generally less than one year from the date of the draft. When the Bancorp is the accepting bank, it records the full amount of the acceptance in both other assets and other liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

OREO represents property acquired through foreclosure or other proceedings and is carried at the lower of cost or fair value, less costs to sell. See Note 1 for further information.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

14. SHORT-TERM BORROWINGS

Borrowings with original maturities of one year or less are classified as short term, and include federal funds purchased and other short-term borrowings. Federal funds purchased are excess balances in reserve accounts held at FRBs that the Bancorp purchased from

other member banks on an overnight basis. Other short-term borrowings include securities sold under repurchase agreements, derivative collateral, FHLB advances and other borrowings with original maturities of one year or less.

A summary of short-term borrowings and weighted-average rates follows:

| | 201 | 2 | 201 | 1 |
|--|--------|-------|--------|-------|
| (\$ in millions) | Amount | Rate | Amount | Rate |
| As of December 31: | | | | |
| Federal funds purchased | \$ 901 | 0.10% | \$ 346 | 0.04% |
| Other short-term borrowings | 6,280 | 0.15 | 3,239 | 0.09 |
| Average for the years ended December 31: | | | | |
| Federal funds purchased | \$ 560 | 0.14% | \$ 345 | 0.11% |
| Other short-term borrowings | 4,246 | 0.18 | 2,777 | 0.12 |
| Maximum month-end balance for the years ended December 31: | | | | |
| Federal funds purchased | \$ 901 | | \$ 451 | |
| Other short-term borrowings | 6,330 | | 4,894 | |

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

15. LONG-TERM DEBT

The following table is a summary of the Bancorp s long-term borrowings at December 31:

| (\$ in millions) | Maturity | Interest Rate | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|-----------|---------------|----------|-------|
| Parent Company | | | | |
| Senior: | | | | |
| Fixed-rate notes | 2013 | 6.25% | \$ 758 | 779 |
| Fixed-rate notes | 2016 | 3.625% | 999 | 1,000 |
| Fixed-rate notes | 2022 | 3.50% | 497 | - |
| Subordinated: ^(b) | | | | |
| Floating-rate notes | 2016 | 0.73% | 250 | 250 |
| Fixed-rate notes | 2017 | 5.45% | 583 | 589 |
| Fixed-rate notes | 2018 | 4.50% | 584 | 581 |
| Fixed-rate notes | 2038 | 8.25% | 1,330 | 1,348 |
| Junior subordinated: ^(a) | | | , | |
| Fixed-rate notes ^(c) | 2067 | 6.50% | 750 | 750 |
| Fixed-rate notes ^(c) | | | - | 594 |
| Fixed-rate notes ^(c) | | | - | 894 |
| Structured repurchase agreements: | | | | |
| Floating-rate notes | | | - | 250 |
| Floating-rate notes | | | - | 125 |
| Subsidiaries | | | | |
| Senior: | | | | |
| Floating-rate bank notes | 2013 | 0.42% | 500 | 500 |
| Subordinated: ^(b) | | | | |
| Fixed-rate bank notes | 2015 | 4.75% | 546 | 561 |
| Junior subordinated: ^(a) | | | | |
| Floating-rate debentures | 2035 | 1.73% -2.00% | 50 | 62 |
| FHLB advances | 2014-2041 | 0.05% -8.34% | 53 | 1,055 |
| Notes associated with consolidated VIEs: | | | | , |
| Automobile loan securitizations: | | | | |
| Fixed-rate notes | | | - | 2 |
| Floating-rate notes | | | - | 169 |
| Home equity securitization: | | | | |
| Floating-rate notes | | | - | 22 |
| Other | 2013-2039 | Varies | 185 | 151 |
| Total | 2010 2009 | | \$ 7,085 | 9,682 |
| (a) Qualify as Tier I capital for regulatory capital purposes. See Note 27 for further information. | | | \$ 1,000 | 2,002 |
| (a) guarity as Tiar II capital for regulatory capital purposes. See Note 27 for jurner information. | | | | |

(b) Qualify as Tier II capital for regulatory capital purposes.

 $(c) \ Future \ periods \ of \ debt \ are \ floating.$

The Bancorp pays down long-term debt in accordance with contractual terms over maturity periods summarized in the above table. The aggregate annual maturities of long-term debt obligations (based on final maturity dates) as of December 31, 2012, are presented in the following table:

| (\$ in millions) 2013 2014 2015 2016 | Parent \$ 758 - - 1,249 | Subsidiaries 519 38 560 10 | Total 1,277 38 560 1,259 |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| 2017 | 583 | 10 86 | 669 |
| | | | |

Table of Contents

Thereafter3,1611213,282Total\$ 5,7511,3347,085At December 31, 2012, the Bancorp had outstanding principal balances of \$6.5 billion, net discounts of \$20 million and additions for
mark-to-market adjustments on its hedged debt of \$555 million. At December 31, 2011, the Bancorp had outstanding principal balances of \$9.0billion, net discounts of \$18 million and additions for mark-to-market adjustments on its hedged debt of \$662 million. The Bancorp was in
compliance with all debt covenants at December 31, 2012.

PARENT COMPANY LONG-TERM BORROWINGS

Senior Notes

In April 2008, the Bancorp issued \$750 million of senior notes to third party investors. The senior notes bear a fixed rate of interest of 6.25% per annum. The Bancorp entered into interest rate swaps to convert \$675 million to floating rate and, at December 31, 2012 and 2011, paid a rate of 2.72% and 2.84%, respectively. The notes are unsecured, senior obligations of the Bancorp. Payment of the full principal amount of the notes will be due upon maturity on May 1, 2013. The notes are not subject to redemption at the Bancorp s option at any time prior to maturity.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

On January 25, 2011, the Bancorp issued \$1.0 billion of senior notes to third party investors. The senior notes bear a fixed rate of interest of 3.625% per annum. The notes are unsecured, senior obligations of the Bancorp. Payment of the full principal amounts of the notes is due upon maturity on January 25, 2016. The notes are not subject to redemption at the Bancorp s option at any time prior to maturity.

On March 7, 2012, the Bancorp issued \$500 million of senior notes to third party investors, and entered into a Supplemental Indenture dated March 7, 2012 with the Trustee, which modified the existing Indenture for Senior Debt Securities dated April 30, 2008. The Supplemental Indenture and the Indenture define the rights of the senior notes, which senior notes are represented by a Global Security dated as of March 7, 2012. The senior notes bear a fixed rate of interest of 3.50% per annum. The notes are unsecured, senior obligations of the Bancorp. Payment of the full principal amounts of the notes will be due upon maturity on March 15, 2022. The notes are not subject to redemption at the Bancorp s option at any time until 30 days prior to maturity.

Subordinated Debt

The subordinated floating-rate notes due in 2016 pay interest at three-month LIBOR plus 42 bps. The Bancorp has entered into interest rate swaps to convert its subordinated fixed-rate notes due in 2017 and 2018 to floating-rate, which pay interest at three-month LIBOR plus 42 bps and 25 bps, respectively, at December 31, 2012. The rates paid on the swaps hedging the subordinated floating-rate notes due in 2017 and 2018 were 0.76% and 0.56%, respectively, at December 31, 2012. Of the \$1.0 billion in 8.25% subordinated fixed rate notes due in 2038, \$705 million were subsequently hedged to floating and paid a rate of 3.36% at December 31, 2012.

Junior Subordinated Debt

The 6.50% junior subordinated notes due in 2067, with a carrying and outstanding principal balance of \$750 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011, pay a fixed rate of 6.50% until 2017, then convert to a floating rate at three-month LIBOR plus 137 bps until 2047. Thereafter, the notes pay a floating rate at one-month LIBOR plus 237 bps. The obligations were issued to Fifth Third Capital Trust IV.

Consistent with the 2012 CCAR plan, the Bancorp redeemed all \$575 million of the outstanding TruPS issued by Fifth Third Capital Trust V on August 15, 2012. The Fifth Third Capital Trust V securities had a distribution rate of 7.25% and a scheduled maturity date of August 15, 2067, and were redeemable at any time on or after August 15, 2012. The redemption price was \$25 per security, which reflected 100% of the liquidation amount, plus accrued and unpaid distributions through the actual redemption date of \$0.453125 per security. The Bancorp recognized a \$17 million loss on extinguishment within other noninterest expense in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

Additionally, the Bancorp redeemed all \$862.5 million of the outstanding TruPS issued by Fifth Third Capital Trust VI on August 8, 2012. These securities had a distribution rate of 7.25% and a scheduled maturity date of November 15, 2067. Pursuant to the terms of the TruPS, the securities of Fifth Third Capital Trust VI were redeemable within ninety days of a Capital Treatment Event. The Bancorp determined that a Capital Treatment Event occurred upon the authorization for publication in the Federal Register of a Joint Notice of Proposed Rulemaking by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, the FDIC and the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency addressing, among other matters, Section 171 of the Dodd-Frank Act of 2010 and providing detailed information regarding the cessation of Tier I capital

treatment for outstanding TruPS. The redemption price was \$25 per security, which reflected 100% of the liquidation amount, plus accrued and unpaid distributions through the actual redemption date of \$0.422917 per security. The Bancorp recognized a \$9 million loss on extinguishment within other noninterest expense in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

The Bancorp fully and unconditionally guaranteed all obligations under the trust preferred securities issued by Fifth Third Capital Trusts IV, V and VI. In addition, the Bancorp entered into replacement capital covenants for the benefit of holders of long-term debt senior to the junior subordinated notes that limits, subject to certain restrictions, the Bancorp s ability to redeem the junior subordinated notes prior to their scheduled maturity. In November 2010, the Bancorp amended the debt covenants to remove a requirement to issue replacement capital securities at least 180 days prior to calling the trust preferred securities.

Structured Repurchase Agreements

In order to meet its funding obligations, the Bancorp enters into repurchase agreements with customers, which are accounted for as collateralized financing transactions, where excess customer funds are borrowed overnight by the Bancorp, and later repurchased by the customers.

Table of Contents

On March 29, 2012, the Bancorp terminated \$375 million of structured repurchase agreements classified as long-term debt. As a result of these terminations in the first quarter of 2012, the Bancorp recorded a \$9 million loss on extinguishment within other noninterest expense in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

SUBSIDIARY LONG-TERM BORROWINGS

Senior and Subordinated Debt

Medium-term senior notes and subordinated bank notes with maturities ranging from one year to 30 years can be issued by the Bancorp s banking subsidiary, of which \$1.0 billion was outstanding at December 31, 2012 and 2011 with \$19.0 billion available for future issuance. The senior floating-rate bank notes due in 2013 pay a floating rate at three-month LIBOR plus 11 bps. For the subordinated fixed-rate bank notes due in 2015, the Bancorp entered into interest rate swaps to convert the fixed-rate debt into floating rate. At December 31, 2012, the weighted-average rate paid on the swaps was 0.41%.

Junior Subordinated Debt

The junior subordinated floating-rate bank notes due in 2035 were assumed by the Bancorp s banking subsidiary as part of the acquisition of First Charter in May 2008. The obligation was issued to First Charter Capital Trust I and II, respectively. The notes of First Charter Capital Trust I and II pay floating at three-month LIBOR plus 169 bps and 142 bps, respectively. The Bancorp has fully and unconditionally guaranteed all obligations under the acquired trust preferred securities issued by First Charter Capital Trust I and II.

FHLB Advances

At December 31, 2012, FHLB advances have rates ranging from 0.05% to 8.34%, with interest payable monthly. The advances are secured by certain residential mortgage loans and securities totaling \$19.1 billion. On December 7, 2012 the Bancorp terminated a \$1.0 billion FHLB advance with a fixed rate of 4.56% and a maturity date of January 5, 2016. As a result, the Bancorp recognized a \$134 million loss on extinguishment within other noninterest expense in the Consolidated Statements of Income. The \$53 million in remaining advances mature as follows: \$3 million in 2014, \$4 million in 2015, \$4 million in 2016, and \$42 million thereafter.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Notes Associated with Consolidated VIEs

As previously discussed in Note 10, the Bancorp was determined to be the primary beneficiary of VIEs associated with certain automobile loan and home equity securitizations and, effective January 1, 2010, these VIEs were consolidated in the Bancorp s Consolidated Financial Statements.

On February 8, 2012, the Bancorp exercised cleanup call options on an automobile securitization conduit and an isolated trust and acquired all remaining automobile loans, the proceeds of which were used by the conduit and trust to repay outstanding debt. On April 12, 2012, the Bancorp exercised its cleanup call option on the home equity isolated trust and acquired all remaining home equity loans, the proceeds of which were used by the trust to repay outstanding debt. On September 17, 2012, the Bancorp exercised its cleanup call option on the remaining automobile loans, the proceeds of which were used by the trust to repay outstanding debt. On September 17, 2012, the Bancorp exercised its cleanup call option on the remaining automobile loans, the proceeds of which were used by the conduit and acquired all remaining automobile loans, the proceeds of which were used by the conduit to repay outstanding debt.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

16. COMMITMENTS, CONTINGENT LIABILITIES AND GUARANTEES

The Bancorp, in the normal course of business, enters into financial instruments and various agreements to meet the financing needs of its customers. The Bancorp also enters into certain transactions and agreements to manage its interest rate and prepayment risks, provide funding, equipment and locations for its operations and invest in its communities. These instruments and agreements involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit risk, counterparty risk and market risk in

excess of the amounts recognized in the Bancorp s Consolidated Balance Sheets. The creditworthiness of counterparties for all instruments and agreements is evaluated on a case-by-case basis in accordance with the Bancorp s credit policies. The Bancorp s significant commitments, contingent liabilities and guarantees in excess of the amounts recognized in the Consolidated Balance Sheets are discussed in further detail below:

Commitments

The Bancorp has certain commitments to make future payments under contracts. The following table reflects a summary of significant commitments as of December 31:

| (\$ in millions) | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|-----------|--------|
| Commitments to extend credit | \$ 53,403 | 47,719 |
| Forward contracts to sell mortgage loans | 5,322 | 5,705 |
| Letters of credit | 4,281 | 4,744 |
| Noncancelable lease obligations | 769 | 851 |
| Capital commitments for private equity investments | 121 | 166 |
| Purchase obligations | 87 | 115 |
| Capital expenditures | 29 | 41 |
| Capital lease obligations | 24 | 26 |

Commitments to extend credit

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend, typically having fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses that may require payment of a fee. Since many of the commitments to extend credit may expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash flow requirements. The Bancorp is exposed to credit risk in the event of nonperformance by the counterparty for the amount of the contract. Fixed-rate commitments are also subject to market

risk resulting from fluctuations in interest rates and the Bancorp s exposure is limited to the replacement value of those commitments. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Bancorp had a reserve for unfunded commitments totaling \$179 million and \$181 million, respectively, included in other liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The Bancorp monitors the credit risk associated with commitments to extend credit using the same risk rating system utilized within its loan and lease portfolio.

Risk ratings under this risk rating system are summarized in the following table as of December 31:

| (\$ in millions) Pass | 2012 \$ 52,812 | 2011 46,825 |
|--------------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| Special mention | 370 | 480 |
| Substandard | 221 | 403 |
| Doubtful | - | 11 |
| Total | \$ 53,403 | 47,719 |

Forward contracts to sell mortgage loans

The Bancorp enters into forward contracts to economically hedge the change in fair value of certain residential mortgage loans held for sale due to changes in interest rates. The

outstanding notional amounts of these forward contracts are included in the summary of significant commitments table above for all periods presented.

Letters of credit

Standby and commercial letters of credit are conditional commitments issued to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party and expire as summarized in the following table as of December 31, 2012:

| (\$ in millions) | |
|--|------------------------------------|
| Less than 1 year ^(a) | \$ 1,831 |
| 1 - 5 years ^(a) | 2,407 |
| Over 5 years | 43 |
| Total | \$ 4,281 |
| (a) Includes \$60 and \$4 issued on behalf of commercial customers to facilitate trade payments in U.S. dollars and foreign cur. | rencies which expire less than one |
| year and between one and five years, respectively. | |

Standby letters of credit accounted for 99% of total letters of credit at December 31, 2012 compared to 98% at December 31, 2011 and are considered guarantees in accordance with U.S. GAAP. Approximately 49% and 54% of the total standby letters of credit were fully secured as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. In the event of nonperformance by the customers, the Bancorp has rights to the underlying collateral, which can include commercial real estate, physical plant and

property, inventory, receivables, cash and marketable securities. At December 31, 2012 and 2011 the reserve related to these standby letters of credit was \$4 million and \$5 million, respectively, included in other liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The Bancorp monitors the credit risk associated with letters of credit using the same risk rating system utilized within its loan and lease portfolio.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Risk ratings under this risk rating system are summarized in the following table as of December 31:

| (\$ in millions) | 2012 | 2011 |
|------------------|----------|------------|
| Pass | \$ 3,902 | 4,338 |
| Special mention | 129 | 149 |
| Substandard | 223 | 254 |
| Doubtful | 27 | 2 |
| Loss Total | \$ 4,281 | 1 4,744 |

At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Bancorp had outstanding letters of credit that were supporting certain securities issued as VRDNs. The Bancorp facilitates financing for its commercial customers, which consist of companies and municipalities, by marketing the VRDNs to investors. The VRDNs pay interest to holders at a rate of interest that fluctuates based upon market demand. The VRDNs generally have long-term maturity dates, but can be tendered by the holder for purchase at par value upon proper advance notice. When the VRDNs are tendered, a remarketing agent generally finds another investor to purchase the VRDNs to keep the securities outstanding in the market. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, FTS acted as the remarketing agent to issuers on \$2.5 billion and \$2.9 billion, respectively, of VRDNs. As remarketing agent, FTS is responsible for finding purchasers for VRDNs that are put by investors. The Bancorp issues letters of credit, as a credit enhancement, to the VRDNs remarketed by FTS, in addition to \$345 million and \$440 million in VRDNs remarketed by third parties at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. These letters of credit are included in the total letters of credit balance provided in the previous table.

Noncancelable lease obligations and other commitments

The Bancorp s subsidiaries have entered into a number of noncancelable lease agreements. The minimum rental commitments under noncancelable lease agreements are shown in the summary of significant commitments table. The Bancorp has also entered into a limited number of agreements for work related to banking center construction and to purchase goods or services.

Contingent Liabilities

Private mortgage reinsurance

For certain mortgage loans originated by the Bancorp, borrowers may be required to obtain PMI provided by third-party insurers. In some instances, these insurers cede a portion of the PMI premiums to the Bancorp, and the Bancorp provides reinsurance coverage within a specified range of the total PMI coverage. The Bancorp s reinsurance coverage typically ranges from 5% to 10% of the total PMI coverage. The Bancorp s maximum exposure in the event of nonperformance by the underlying borrowers is equivalent to the Bancorp s total outstanding reinsurance coverage, which was \$58 million at December 31, 2012 and \$77 million at December 31, 2011. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Bancorp maintained a reserve of \$18 million and \$27 million, respectively, related to exposures within the reinsurance portfolio which was included in other liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. During 2009, the Bancorp suspended the practice of providing reinsurance of private mortgage insurance for newly originated mortgage loans. In the second quarter of 2011, the Bancorp allowed one of its third-party insurers to terminate its reinsurance agreement with the Bancorp, resulting in the Bancorp releasing collateral to the insurer in the form of investment securities and other assets with a carrying value of \$5 million, and the insurer assuming the Bancorp s obligations under the reinsurance agreement, resulting in a decrease to the

Bancorp s reserve liability of \$11 million and decrease in the Bancorp s maximum exposure of \$27 million. In the fourth quarter of 2012, the Bancorp allowed one of its third-party insurers to terminate its reinsurance agreement with the Bancorp, resulting in the insurer assuming the Bancorp s obligations under the reinsurance agreement, resulting in a decrease to the Bancorp s reserve liability of \$2 million and decrease in the Bancorp s maximum exposure of \$3 million.

Legal claims

There are legal claims pending against the Bancorp and its subsidiaries that have arisen in the normal course of business. See Note 17 for additional information regarding these proceedings.

Guarantees

The Bancorp has performance obligations upon the occurrence of certain events under financial guarantees provided in certain contractual arrangements as discussed in the following sections.

Residential mortgage loans sold with representation and warranty provisions

Conforming residential mortgage loans sold to unrelated third parties are generally sold with representation and warranty provisions. A contractual liability arises only in the event of a breach of these representations and warranties and, in general, only when a loss results from the breach. The Bancorp may be required to repurchase any previously sold loan or indemnify (make whole) the investor or insurer for which the representation or warranty of the Bancorp proves to be inaccurate, incomplete or misleading.

The Bancorp establishes a residential mortgage repurchase reserve related to various representations and warranties that reflects management s estimate of losses based on a combination of factors. The Bancorp s estimation process requires management to make subjective and complex judgments about matters that are inherently uncertain, such as, future demand expectations, economic factors and the specific characteristics of the loans subject to repurchase. Such factors incorporate historical investor audit and repurchase demand rates, appeals success rates, historical loss severity and any additional information obtained from the GSEs regarding future mortgage repurchase and file request criteria. At the time of a loan sale, the Bancorp records a representation and warranty reserve at the estimated fair value of the Bancorp s guarantee and continually updates the reserve during the life of the loan as losses in excess of the reserve become probable and reasonably estimable. The provision for the estimated fair value of the representation and warranty guarantee arising from the loan sales is recorded as an adjustment to the gain on sale, which is included in other noninterest income at the time of sale. Updates to the reserve are recorded in other noninterest expense.

As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Bancorp maintained reserves related to these loans sold with representation and warranty provisions totaling \$110 million and \$55 million, respectively, included in other liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Bancorp uses the best information available to it in estimating its mortgage representation and warranty reserve, however, the estimation process is inherently uncertain and imprecise and, accordingly, losses in excess of the amounts accrued as of December 31, 2012, are reasonably possible. The Bancorp currently estimates that it is reasonably possible that it could incur losses related to mortgage representation and warranty provisions in

an amount up to approximately \$83 million in excess of amounts reserved. This estimate was derived by modifying the key assumptions discussed above to reflect management s judgment regarding reasonably possible adverse changes to those assumptions. The actual repurchase losses could vary significantly from the recorded mortgage representation and warranty reserve or this estimate of reasonably possibly losses, depending on the outcome of various factors, including those noted above.

The following table summarizes activity in the reserve for representation and warranty provisions:

| (\$ in millions) | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|--------------|------|
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 55 | 85 |
| Net additions to the reserve | 107 | 52 |
| Losses charged against the reserve | (52) | (82) |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 110 | 55 |
| The following table provides a rollforward of unresolved claims by claimant type for the year ended December 31, 2012: | | |

| | GSE | | Private Label | | | |
|--|---------|----|---------------|-------|----|-------|
| (\$ in millions) | Units | De | ollars | Units | Do | llars |
| Balance, beginning of period | 328 | \$ | 47 | 109 | \$ | 19 |
| New demands | 2,519 | | 333 | 230 | | 7 |
| Loan paydowns/payoffs | (42) | | (7) | (2) | | |
| Resolved demands | (2,511) | | (325) | (213) | | (7) |
| Balance, end of period | 294 | \$ | 48 | 124 | \$ | 19 |
| The following table provides a rollforward of unresolved claims by claimant type for the year ended December 31, 2011: | | | | | | |

| | GS | GSE | | | Private Label | | | |
|------------------------------|---------|---------|-------|-----|---------------|--|--|--|
| (\$ in millions) | Units | Dollars | Units | Dol | llars | | | |
| Balance, beginning of period | 845 | \$ 150 | 71 | \$ | 11 | | | |
| New demands | 2,050 | 328 | 107 | | 22 | | | |
| Loan paydowns/payoffs | (21) | (3) | (2) | | | | | |
| Resolved demands | (2,546) | (428) | (67) | | (14) | | | |
| Balance, end of period | 328 | \$ 47 | 109 | \$ | 19 | | | |

Balance, end of period Residential mortgage loans sold with credit recourse

The Bancorp sold certain residential mortgage loans in the secondary market with credit recourse. In the event of any customer default, pursuant to the credit recourse provided, the Bancorp is required to reimburse the third party. The maximum amount of credit risk in the event of nonperformance by the underlying borrowers is equivalent to the total outstanding balance. In the event of nonperformance, the Bancorp has rights to the underlying collateral value securing the loan. The outstanding balances on these loans sold with credit recourse were \$662 million

Table of Contents

and \$772 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and the delinquency rates were 5.9% at December 31, 2012 and 6.7% at December 31, 2011. The Bancorp maintained an estimated credit loss reserve on these loans sold with credit recourse of \$20 million at December 31, 2012 and \$17 million at December 31, 2011 recorded in other liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. To determine the credit loss reserve, the Bancorp used an approach that is consistent with its overall approach in estimating credit losses for various categories of residential mortgage loans held in its loan portfolio.

Margin accounts

FTS, a subsidiary of the Bancorp, guarantees the collection of all margin account balances held by its brokerage clearing agent for the benefit of its customers. FTS is responsible for payment to its brokerage clearing agent for any loss, liability, damage, cost or

expense incurred as a result of customers failing to comply with margin or margin maintenance calls on all margin accounts. The margin account balance held by the brokerage clearing agent was \$17 million at December 31, 2012 and \$14 million at December 31, 2011. In the event of any customer default, FTS has rights to the underlying collateral provided. Given the existence of the underlying collateral provided and negligible historical credit losses, the Bancorp does not maintain a loss reserve related to the margin accounts.

Long-term borrowing obligations

The Bancorp had fully and unconditionally guaranteed certain long-term borrowing obligations issued by wholly-owned issuing trust entities of \$800 million and \$2.2 billion as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. See Note 15 for further information on these long-term borrowing obligations.

Visa litigation

The Bancorp, as a member bank of Visa prior to Visa's reorganization and IPO (the IPO) of its Class A common shares in 2008, had certain indemnification obligations pursuant to Visa's certificate of incorporation and by-laws and in accordance with their membership agreements. In accordance with Visa's by-laws prior to the IPO, the Bancorp could have been required to indemnify Visa for the Bancorp's proportional share of losses based on the pre-IPO

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

membership interests. As part of its reorganization and IPO, the Bancorp s indemnification obligation was modified to include only certain known litigation (the Covered Litigation) as of the date of the restructuring. This modification triggered a requirement to recognize a \$3 million liability for the year ended December 31, 2007 equal to the fair value of the indemnification obligation. Additionally during 2007, the Bancorp recorded \$169 million for its share of litigation formally settled by Visa and for probable future litigation settlements. In conjunction with the IPO, the Bancorp received 10.1 million of Visa s Class B shares based on the Bancorp s membership percentage in Visa prior to the IPO. The Class B shares are not transferable (other than to another member bank) until the later of the third anniversary of the IPO closing or the date which the Covered Litigation has been resolved; therefore, the Bancorp s Class B shares were classified in other assets and accounted for at their carryover basis of \$0. Visa deposited \$3 billion of the proceeds from the IPO into a litigation escrow account, established for the purpose of funding judgments in, or settlements of, the Covered Litigation. If Visa s litigation committee determines that the escrow account is insufficient, then Visa will issue additional Class A shares and deposit the proceeds from the sale of the shares into the litigation escrow account. When Visa funds the litigation escrow account, the Class B shares are subject to dilution through an adjustment in the conversion rate of Class B shares into Class A shares. During 2008, the Bancorp recorded additional reserves of \$71 million for probable future settlements related to the Covered Litigation and recorded its proportional share of \$169 million of the Visa escrow account net against the Bancorp s litigation reserve.

During 2009, Visa announced it had deposited an additional \$700 million into the litigation escrow account. As a result of this funding, the Bancorp recorded its proportional share of \$29 million of these additional funds as a reduction to its net Visa litigation reserve liability and a reduction to noninterest expense. Later in 2009, the Bancorp completed the sale of Visa, Inc. Class B shares for proceeds of \$300 million. As part of this transaction the Bancorp entered into a total return swap in which the Bancorp will make or receive payments based on subsequent changes in the conversion rate of the Class B shares into Class A shares. The swap terminates on the later of the third anniversary of Visa s IPO or the date on which the Covered Litigation is settled. The Bancorp calculates the fair value of the swap based on its estimate of the probability and timing of certain Covered Litigation settlement scenarios and the resulting payments related to the swap. The counterparty to the swap as a result of its ownership of the Class B shares will be impacted by dilutive adjustments to the conversion rate of the Class A shares into Class A shares caused by any Covered Litigation losses in excess of the litigation escrow account. If actual judgments in, or settlements of, the Covered Litigation significantly exceed current expectations, then additional funding by Visa of the litigation escrow account and the resulting dilution of the Class B shares could result in a scenario where the Bancorp s ultimate exposure associated with the Covered Litigation (the Visa Litigation Exposure) exceeds the value of the Class B shares owned by the swap counterparty (the Class B Value). In the event the Bancorp concludes that it is probable that the Visa Litigation Exposure exceeds the Class B Value, the Bancorp would record a litigation reserve liability and a corresponding amount of other noninterest expense for the amount of the excess. Any such litigation reserve liability would be separate and distinct from the fair value der

As of the date of the Bancorp s sale of Visa Class B shares and through December 31, 2012, the Bancorp has concluded that it is not probable that the Visa Litigation Exposure will exceed the Class B value. Based on this determination, upon the sale of Class B

shares, the Bancorp reversed its net Visa litigation reserve liability and recognized a free-standing derivative liability associated with the total return swap with an initial fair value of \$55 million. The sale of the Class B shares, recognition of the derivative liability and reversal of the net litigation reserve liability resulted in a pre-tax benefit of \$288 million (\$187 million after-tax) recognized by the Bancorp for the year ended December 31, 2009. In the second and fourth quarters of 2010, Visa funded an additional \$500 million and \$800 million, respectively, into the escrow account which resulted in further dilution in the conversion of Class B shares into Class A shares and required the Bancorp to make cash payments of \$20 million and \$35 million, respectively, (each of which reduced the swap liability) to the swap counterparty in accordance with the terms of the swap contract. In the second quarter of 2011, Visa funded an additional \$400 million into the litigation escrow account. Upon Visa s funding of the litigation escrow account in the second quarter of 2011, along with additional terms of the total return swap, the Bancorp made a \$19 million cash payment (which reduced the swap liability) to the swap counterparty. During the fourth quarter of 2011, Visa announced it decided to fund an additional \$1.565 billion into the litigation escrow account which increased the swap liability approximately \$54 million. Upon Visa s funding of the litigation escrow account in the first quarter of 2012, along with additional terms of the total return swap, the Bancorp made a \$75 million cash payment (which reduced the swap liability) to the swap counterparty. On July 24, 2012, Visa funded an additional \$150 million into the litigation escrow account which resulted in further dilution in the conversion of Class B shares into Class A shares and required the Bancorp to make a \$6 million cash payment (which reduced the swap liability) to the swap counterparty during the quarter ended September 30, 2012. The fair value of the swap liability was \$33 million and \$78 million as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Refer to Note 17 for further information.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

17. LEGAL AND REGULATORY PROCEEDINGS

During April 2006, the Bancorp was added as a defendant in a consolidated antitrust class action lawsuit originally filed against Visa[®], MasterCard[®] and several other major financial institutions in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York. The plaintiffs, merchants operating commercial businesses throughout the U.S. and trade associations, claim that the interchange fees charged by card-issuing banks are unreasonable and seek injunctive relief and unspecified damages. In addition to being a named defendant, the Bancorp is also subject to a possible indemnification obligation of Visa as discussed in Note 16 and has also entered into judgment and loss sharing agreements with Visa, MasterCard and certain other named defendants. On October 19, 2012, the parties to the litigation entered into a settlement agreement. The court entered a Class Settlement Preliminary Approval Order on November 27, 2012. Pursuant to the terms of the settlement agreement, the Bancorp paid \$46 million into a class settlement escrow account. Previously, the Bancorp paid an additional \$4 million in another settlement escrow in connection with the settlement of claims from plaintiffs not included in the class action. The Bancorp had no remaining reserves related to this litigation as of December 31, 2012 and reserves of \$49 million as of December 31, 2011. Refer to Note 16 for further information regarding the Bancorp s net litigation reserve and ownership interest in Visa.

In September 2007, Ronald A. Katz Technology Licensing, L.P. (Katz) filed a suit in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio against the Bancorp and its Ohio banking subsidiary. In the suit, Katz alleges that the Bancorp and its Ohio bank are infringing on Katz s patents for interactive call processing technology by offering certain automated telephone banking and other services. This lawsuit is one of many related patent infringement suits brought by Katz in various courts against numerous other defendants. Katz is seeking unspecified monetary damages and penalties as well as injunctive relief in the suit. Management believes there are substantial defenses to these claims and intends to defend them vigorously. The impact of the final disposition of this lawsuit cannot be assessed at this time.

For the year ended December 31, 2008, five putative securities class action complaints were filed against the Bancorp and its Chief Executive Officer, among other parties. The five cases have been consolidated under the caption Local 295/Local 851 IBT Employer Group Pension Trust and Welfare Fund v. Fifth Third Bancorp. et al., Case No. 1:08CV00421, and are currently pending in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio. On December 18, 2012, the Bancorp entered into a settlement agreement to resolve these cases. The settlement is subject to court approval. Under the terms of the settlement, the Bancorp and its insurer will pay a total of \$16 million to a fund to settle all the claims of the class members. In the settlement the Bancorp has denied any liability and has agreed to the settlement in order to avoid potential future litigation costs and uncertainty. The Bancorp does not consider the impact of the settlement to be material to its financial condition or results of operations. In addition to the foregoing, two cases were filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio against the Bancorp and certain officers alleging violations of ERISA based on allegations similar to those set forth in the securities class action cases filed during the same period of time. The two cases alleging violations of ERISA were dismissed by the trial court, but the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals recently reversed the trial court decision. The Bancorp intends to petition the Supreme Court to review and reverse the Sixth Circuit decision and seek a stay of proceedings in the trial court pending appeal. The impact of the final disposition of these ERISA lawsuits cannot be assessed at this time.

The Bancorp and its subsidiaries are not parties to any other material litigation. However, there are other litigation matters that arise in the normal course of business. While it is impossible to ascertain the ultimate resolution or range of financial liability with respect to these contingent matters, management believes any resulting liability from these other actions would not have a material effect upon the Bancorp s consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

The Bancorp and/or its affiliates are or may become involved from time to time in information-gathering requests, reviews, investigations and proceedings (both formal and informal) by government and self-regulatory agencies, including the SEC, regarding their respective businesses. Such matters may result in material adverse consequences, including without limitation, adverse judgments, settlements, fines, penalties, orders, injunctions or other actions, amendments and/or restatements of the Bancorp s SEC filings and/or financial statements, as applicable, and/or determinations of material weaknesses in our disclosure controls and procedures. The SEC is investigating and has made several requests for information, including by subpoena, and interviews of certain of our current and former officers and employees and others, concerning issues which the Bancorp understands relate to accounting and reporting matters involving certain of its commercial loans. This could lead to an enforcement proceeding by the SEC which, in turn, may result in one or more such material adverse consequences.

The Bancorp is party to numerous claims and lawsuits concerning matters arising from the conduct of its business activities. The outcome of litigation and the timing of ultimate resolution are inherently difficult to predict. The following factors, among others, contribute to this lack of predictability: plaintiff claims often include significant legal uncertainties, damages alleged by plaintiffs are often unspecified or overstated, discovery may not have started or may not be complete and material facts may be disputed or unsubstantiated. As a result of these factors, the Bancorp is not always able to provide an estimate of the range of reasonably possible outcomes for each claim. A reserve for a potential litigation loss is established when information related to the loss contingency indicates both that a loss is probable and that the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated. Any such reserve is adjusted from time to time thereafter as appropriate to reflect changes in circumstances. The Bancorp also determines, when possible (due to the uncertainties described above), estimates of reasonably possible losses or ranges of reasonably possible losses, in excess of amounts reserved. Under U.S. GAAP, an event is reasonably possible if the chance of the future event or events occurring is more than remote but less than likely and an event is remote if the chance of the future event or events occurring is slight. Thus, references to the upper end of the range of reasonably possible loss for cases in which the Bancorp is able to estimate a range of reasonably possible loss mean the upper end of the range of loss for cases for which the Bancorp believes the risk of loss is more than slight. For matters where the Bancorp is able to estimate such possible losses or ranges of possible losses, the Bancorp currently estimates that it is reasonably possible that it could incur losses related to legal proceedings including the matters discussed above in an aggregate amount up to approximately \$38 million in excess of amounts reserved, with it also being reasonably possible that no losses will be incurred in these matters. The estimates included in this amount are based on the Bancorp s analysis of currently available information, and as new information is obtained the Bancorp may change its estimates.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For these matters and others where an unfavorable outcome is reasonably possible but not probable, there may be a range of possible losses in excess of the established reserve that cannot be estimated. Based on information currently available, advice of counsel, available insurance coverage and established reserves, the Bancorp believes that the eventual outcome of the actions against the Bancorp and/or its subsidiaries, including the matters described above, will not, individually or in the aggregate, have a material adverse effect on the Bancorp s consolidated financial position. However, in the event of unexpected future developments, it is possible that the ultimate resolution of those matters, if unfavorable, may be material to the Bancorp s results of operations for any particular period, depending, in part, upon the size of the loss or liability imposed and the operating results for the applicable period.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

18. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The Bancorp maintains written policies and procedures covering related party transactions to principal shareholders, directors and executives of the Bancorp. These procedures cover transactions such as employee-stock purchase loans, personal lines of credit, residential secured loans, overdrafts, letters of credit and increases in indebtedness. Such transactions are subject to the Bancorp s normal underwriting and approval procedures. Prior to the closing of a loan to a related party,

Compliance Risk Management must approve and determine whether the transaction requires approval from or a post notification be sent to the Bancorp s Board of Directors. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, certain directors, executive officers, principal holders of Bancorp common stock, associates of such persons, and affiliated companies of such persons were indebted, including undrawn commitments to lend, to the Bancorp s banking subsidiary.

The following table summarizes the Bancorp s activities with its principal shareholders, directors and executives at December 31:

| (\$ in millions) | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|-----------------|------------|
| Commitments to lend, net of participations: Directors and their affiliated companies Executive officers | \$ 364 3 | 254 5 |
| Total Outstanding balance on loans, net of participations and undrawn commitments | \$ 367 \$ 93 | 259 172 |

The commitments to lend are in the form of loans and guarantees for various business and personal interests. This indebtedness was incurred in the ordinary course of business on substantially the same terms, including interest rates and collateral, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with unrelated parties. This indebtedness does not involve more than the normal risk of repayment or present other features unfavorable to the Bancorp.

On June 30, 2009, the Bancorp completed the sale of a majority interest in its processing business, Vantiv Holding, LLC. Advent International acquired an approximate 51% interest in Vantiv Holding, LLC for cash and warrants. The Bancorp retained the remaining approximate 49% interest in Vantiv Holding, LLC. During the first quarter of 2012, Vantiv, Inc. priced an IPO of its shares and contributed the net proceeds to Vantiv Holding, LLC for additional ownership interests. As a result of this offering, the Bancorp s ownership of Vantiv Holding, LLC was reduced to approximately 39%. The impact of the capital contributions to Vantiv Holding, LLC and the resulting dilution in the Bancorp s interest resulted in a gain of \$115 million recognized by the Bancorp in the first quarter of 2012.

The Bancorp s ownership share in Vantiv was further reduced during the fourth quarter of 2012 when the Bancorp sold an approximate 6% interest and recognized a \$157 million gain. The Bancorp s remaining approximate 33% ownership in Vantiv Holding, LLC is accounted for under the equity method of accounting and has a carrying value of \$563 million as of December 31, 2012.

As of December 31, 2012, the Bancorp continued to hold approximately 70 million units of Vantiv Holding, LLC and a warrant to purchase approximately 20 million incremental Vantiv Holding, LLC non-voting units, both of which may be exchanged for common stock of Vantiv, Inc. on a one for one basis or at Vantiv, Inc. s option for cash. In addition, the Bancorp holds approximately 70 million Class B common shares

Table of Contents

of Vantiv, Inc. The Class B common shares give the Bancorp voting rights, but no economic interest in Vantiv, Inc. The voting rights attributable to the Class B common shares are

limited to 18.5% of the voting power in Vantiv, Inc. at any time other than in connection with a stockholder vote with respect to a change in control in Vantiv, Inc. These securities are subject to certain terms and restrictions

The Bancorp recognized \$61 million and \$57 million, respectively, in noninterest income as part of its equity method investment in Vantiv Holding, LLC for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 and received distributions totaling \$74 million and \$3 million, respectively, during 2012 and 2011.

The Bancorp and Vantiv Holding, LLC have various agreements in place covering services relating to the operations of Vantiv Holding, LLC. The services provided by the Bancorp to Vantiv Holding, LLC were required to support Vantiv Holding, LLC as a standalone entity during the deconversion period. These services involve transition support, including product development, risk management, legal, accounting and general business resources. Vantiv Holding, LLC paid the Bancorp \$1 million and \$21 million, respectively, for these services for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011. Other services provided to Vantiv Holding, LLC by the Bancorp, which will continue beyond the deconversion period, include treasury management, clearing, settlement, sponsorship, and data center support. Vantiv Holding, LLC paid the Bancorp \$34 million and \$37 million, respectively, for these services for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011. In addition to the previously mentioned services, the Bancorp entered into an agreement under which Vantiv Holding, LLC will provide processing services to the Bancorp. The total amount of fees relating to the processing services provided to the Bancorp by Vantiv Holding, LLC totaled \$83 million and \$74 million, respectively, for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011.

As part of the sale, Vantiv Holding, LLC assumed loans totaling \$1.25 billion owed to the Bancorp. During the fourth quarter of 2010, Vantiv Holding, LLC refinanced its debt into a larger syndicated loan structure that included the Bancorp. The Bancorp recognized \$4 million in syndication fees in 2010 associated with the refinanced loan to Vantiv Holding, LLC. The outstanding balance of loans to Vantiv Holding, LLC was \$325 million and \$377 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Interest income relating to the loans was \$11 million, \$18 million and \$102 million, respectively, for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 and is included in interest and fees on loans and leases in the Consolidated Statements of Income. Vantiv Holding, LLC s line of credit was \$50 million as of December 31, 2012 and 2011. Vantiv Holding, LLC did not draw upon its lines of credit during the years ended December 31, 2012 or 2011.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

19. INCOME TAXES

The Bancorp and its subsidiaries file a consolidated federal income tax return. The following is a summary of applicable income taxes included in the Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31:

| (\$ in millions) Current income tax expense (benefit): | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|---|--------------|-----------|-----------|
| U.S. Federal income taxes State and local income taxes | \$ 327 38 | 82 14 | (5) 16 |
| Total current tax expense Deferred income tax expense | 365 | 96 | 11 |
| U.S. Federal income taxes State and local income taxes | 252 19 | 411 26 | 165 11 |
| Total deferred income tax expense | 271 | 437 | 176 |
| Applicable income tax expense | \$ 636 | 533 | 187 |

The following is a reconciliation between the statutory U.S. Federal income tax rate and the Bancorp s effective tax rate for the years ended December 31:

| (\$ in millions) Statutory tax rate | 2012 35.0 % | 2011 35.0 | 2010 35.0 |
|--|----------------|--------------|--------------|
| Increase (decrease) resulting from: | | 1.4 | 1.0 |
| State taxes, net of federal benefit | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.8 |
| Tax-exempt income | (2.1) | (1.4) | (3.6) |
| Credits | (6.7) | (7.3) | (14.1) |
| Interest to taxing authority, net of tax | - | - | (0.8) |
| Other changes in unrecognized tax benefits | - | - | (1.8) |
| Unrealized stock-based compensation benefits | 0.8 | 1.3 | 2.5 |
| Other, net | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.8 |
| Effective tax rate | 28.8 % | 29.1 | 19.8 |

Tax-exempt income in the rate reconciliation table includes interest on municipal bonds, interest on tax-exempt lending, income/charges on life insurance policies held by the Bancorp, and certain gains on sales of leases that are exempt from federal taxation.

During 2010, the Bancorp settled its outstanding dispute with the IRS relating to a specific capital raising transaction. This favorable settlement reduced income tax expense (including interest) by \$19 million. During 2009, the Bancorp settled its outstanding dispute with the IRS relating to certain leveraged lease transactions. This favorable settlement reduced income tax expense (including interest) by \$6 million for 2010.

The following table provides a summary of the Bancorp s unrecognized tax benefits as of December 31:

Table of Contents

| (\$ in millions) Tax positions that would impact the effective tax rate, if recognized Tax positions where the ultimate deductibility is highly certain, but for which there is uncertainty about the timing of the deduction | 2012 \$ 18 | 2011 14 |
|---|---------------|------------|
| Unrecognized tax benefits | \$ 18 | 14 |

The following table provides a reconciliation of the beginning and ending amounts of the Bancorp s unrecognized tax benefits:

| (\$ in millions) Unrecognized tax benefits at January 1 Gross increases for tax positions taken during prior period Gross decreases for tax positions taken during prior period Gross increases for tax positions taken during current period Settlements with taxing authorities Lapse of applicable statute of limitations | 2012 \$ 14 6 (3) 2 - (1) | 2011 16 1 (2) - (1) | 2010 82 4 (23) 2 (48) (1) |
|--|--|------------------------------------|---|
| Unrecognized tax benefits at December 31 | \$ 18 | 14 | 16 |

The Bancorp s unrecognized tax benefits as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 relate largely to state income tax exposures from taking tax positions where the Bancorp believes it is likely that, upon examination, a state will take a position contrary to the position taken by the Bancorp.

Substantially all of the reduction of unrecognized tax benefits during 2010 related to the settlement of the Bancorp s dispute with the IRS relating to the specific capital raising transaction mentioned previously.

While it is reasonably possible that the amount of the unrecognized tax benefit with respect to certain of the Bancorp s uncertain tax positions could increase or decrease during the next 12 months, the Bancorp believes it is unlikely that its unrecognized tax benefits will change by a material amount during the next 12 months.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Deferred income taxes are comprised of the following items at December 31:

| (\$ in millions) Deferred tax assets: Allowance for loan and lease losses Deferred compensation Impairment reserves Reserves Reserves Reserve for unfunded commitments State net operating losses Other | 2012 \$ 649 105 74 63 47 33 191 | 2011 789 119 102 70 63 63 216 |
|--|--|--|
| Total deferred tax assets | \$ 1,162 | 1,422 |
| Deferred tax liabilities: Lease financing Investments in joint ventures and partnership interests Other comprehensive income MSRs Bank premises and equipment State deferred taxes Other | \$ 844 470 202 162 108 64 155 | 853 468 253 173 95 74 130 |
| Total deferred tax liabilities | \$ 2,005 | 2,046 |
| Total net deferred tax liability | \$ (843) | (624) |

At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Bancorp had recorded deferred tax assets of \$33 million and \$63 million, respectively, related to state net operating loss carryforwards. The deferred tax assets relating to state net operating losses are presented net of specific valuation allowances, primarily resulting from leasing operations, of \$20 million and \$34 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. If these carryforwards are not utilized, they will expire in varying amounts through 2030. Additionally, at December 31, 2011, the Bancorp had federal general business tax credit carryforwards of \$5 million that were fully utilized in 2012.

The Bancorp has determined that a valuation allowance is not needed against the remaining deferred tax assets as of December 31, 2012 or 2011. The Bancorp considered all of the positive and negative evidence available to determine whether it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets will ultimately be realized and, based upon that evidence, the Bancorp believes it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets recorded at December 31, 2012 and 2011 will ultimately be realized. The Bancorp reached this conclusion as the Bancorp has taxable income in the carryback period and it is expected that the Bancorp s remaining deferred tax assets will be realized through the reversal of its existing taxable temporary differences and its projected future taxable income.

The IRS concluded its audit for 2008 and 2009 during the first quarter of 2012. As a result, all issues have been resolved

with the IRS through 2009. The IRS is currently examining the Bancorp s 2010 and 2011 federal income tax returns. The statute of limitations for the Bancorp s federal income tax returns remains open for tax years 2008-2012. On occasion, as various state and local taxing jurisdictions examine the returns of the Bancorp and its subsidiaries, the Bancorp may agree to extend the statute of limitations for a short period of time. Otherwise, with the exception of a few states with insignificant uncertain tax positions, the statutes of limitations for state income tax returns remain open only for tax years in accordance with each state s statutes.

During the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Bancorp recognized an immaterial amount of interest expense in connection with income taxes. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Bancorp had accrued interest liabilities, net of the related tax benefits of \$3 million. No material liabilities were recorded for penalties.

Retained earnings at December 31, 2012 and 2011 included \$157 million in allocations of earnings for bad debt deductions of former thrift subsidiaries for which no income tax has been provided. Under current tax law, if certain of the Bancorp s subsidiaries use these bad debt reserves for purposes other than to absorb bad debt losses, they will be subject to federal income tax at the current corporate tax rate.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

20. RETIREMENT AND BENEFIT PLANS

The Bancorp s qualified defined benefit plan s benefits were frozen in 1998, except for grandfathered employees. The Bancorp s other retirement plans consist of nonqualified, supplemental retirement plans, which are funded on an as needed basis. A majority of these plans were obtained in acquisitions from prior years. The Bancorp recognizes the overfunded and underfunded status of its pension

plans as an asset and liability, respectively. The Bancorp s other defined benefit plans had an underfunded projected benefit obligation at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The underfunded amounts recognized in other liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets were \$71 million and \$72 million as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The following table summarizes the defined benefit retirement plans as of and for the years ended December 31:

| Plans with an Underfunded Status (\$ in millions) Fair value of plan assets at January 1 Actual return on assets Contributions Settlement Benefits paid Fair value of plan assets at December 31 | 2012 \$ 181 21 4 (10) (11) \$ 185 | 2011 197 4 (10) (10) 181 |
|---|---|---|
| Projected benefit obligation at January 1 | \$ 253 | 227 |
| Service cost | φ 200 | - |
| Interest cost | 10 | 11 |
| Settlement | (10) | (10) |
| Actuarial loss | 14 | 35 |
| Benefits paid | (11) | (10) |
| Projected benefit obligation at December 31 | \$ 256 | 253 |
| Unfunded projected benefit obligation at December 31 | \$ (71) | (72) |

The estimated net actuarial loss for the defined benefit pension plans that will be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive income into net periodic benefit cost during 2013 is \$13 million. The estimated net prior service cost for the defined benefit pension

plan that will be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive income into net periodic benefit cost during 2013 is immaterial to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

The following table summarizes net periodic benefit cost and other changes in plan assets and benefit obligations recognized in other comprehensive income for the years ended December 31:

| (\$ in millions) Components of net periodic benefit cost: | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|--|-------|------|------|
| Service cost | \$- | - | - |
| Interest cost | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Expected return on assets | (13) | (15) | (14) |
| Amortization of net actuarial loss | 14 | 11 | 12 |
| Amortization of net prior service cost | - | 1 | 1 |
| Settlement | 6 | 6 | - |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ 17 | 14 | 11 |
| Other changes in plan assets and benefit obligations recognized in other comprehensive income: | | | |
| Net actuarial loss | 7 | 50 | 2 |
| Net prior service cost | - | - | - |
| Amortization of net actuarial loss | (14) | (11) | (12) |
| Amortization of prior service cost | - | (1) | (1) |
| Settlement | (6) | (6) | - |
| | | | |
| Total recognized in other comprehensive income | (13) | 32 | (11) |
| Total recognized in net periodic benefit cost and other comprehensive income | \$4 | 46 | - |

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Fair Value Measurements of Plan Assets

The following table summarizes plan assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31:

| | Fair Value Measurements Usin | | | Using (a) Total Fair |
|--|------------------------------|---------|---------|----------------------------|
| 2012 (\$ in millions) | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Value |
| Equity securities: | ¢ = 0 | | | * = 0 |
| Equity securities (Growth) ^(b) | \$ 50 52 | - | - | \$50 52 |
| Equity securities (Value) Equity securities (Blended) | 52 4 | - | - | 52 4 |
| Equity securities (Dichaed) | • | - | - | - |
| Total equity securities | 106 | - | - | 106 |
| Mutual and exchange traded funds: | | | | |
| Money market funds | 4 | - | - | 4 |
| International funds | 29 | - | - | 29 |
| Commodity funds | 9 | - | - | 9 |
| Total mutual and exchange traded funds | 42 | - | - | 42 |
| Debt securities: | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury obligations | 13 | - | - | 13 |
| Agency mortgage backed | - | 21 | - | 21 |
| Non-agency mortgage backed | - | 2 | - | 2 |
| Corporate bonds ^(c) | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| Total debt securities | 13 | 24 | - | 37 |
| Total plan assets | \$ 161 | 24 | - | \$ 185 |

| | Fair | Fair Value Measurements Using (a) | | | |
|--|---------|-----------------------------------|---------|------------------------|--|
| 2011 (\$ in millions) Equity Securities: | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total Fair Value | |
| Equity securities (Growth) ^(b) | \$ 53 | - | - | \$ 53 | |
| Equity securities (Value) | 52 | - | - | 52 | |
| Total equity securities Mutual and exchange traded funds: | 105 | - | - | 105 | |
| Money market funds | 5 | - | - | 5 | |
| International funds | 25 | - | - | 25 | |
| Commodity funds | 9 | - | - | 9 | |
| Total mutual and exchange traded funds | 39 | - | - | 39 | |
| Debt securities: U.S. Treasury obligations | 10 | - | - | 10 | |
| Agency mortgage backed | - | 25 | - | 25 | |
| Non-agency mortgage backed | - | 1 | - | 1 | |
| Corporate bonds ^(c) | - | 1 | - | 1 | |

| Total debt securities | 10 | 27 | - | 37 |
|-----------------------|--------|----|---|--------|
| Total plan assets | \$ 154 | 27 | - | \$ 181 |

(a) For further information on fair value hierarchy levels, see Note 1.
(b) Includes holdings in Bancorp common stock.
(c) Includes private label asset backed securities.

The following is a description of the valuation methodologies used for instruments measured at fair value, as well as the general classification of such instruments pursuant to the valuation hierarchy.

Equity securities

The plan measures common stock using quoted prices which are available in an active market and classifies these investments within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy.

Mutual and exchange traded funds

All of the plan s mutual and exchange traded funds are publicly traded. The plan measures the value of these investments using the fund s quoted prices that are available in an active market and classifies these investments within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy.

Debt securities

For certain U.S. Treasury obligations and federal agency securities, the plan measures the fair value based on quoted prices, which are available in an active market and classifies these investments within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy. Where quoted prices are not available, the plan measures the fair value of these investments based on matrix pricing models that include the bid price, which factors in the yield curve and other characteristics of the security including the interest rate, prepayment speeds and length of maturity. Therefore, these investments are classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Plan Assumptions

The plan assumptions are evaluated annually and are updated as necessary. The discount rate assumption reflects the yield on a portfolio of high quality fixed-income instruments that have a similar duration to the plan s liabilities. The expected long-term rate of return assumption reflects the average return expected on the

assets invested to provide for the plan s liabilities. In determining the expected long-term rate of return, the Bancorp evaluated actuarial and economic inputs, including long-term inflation rate assumptions and broad equity and bond indices long-term return projections, as well as actual long-term historical plan performance.

The following table summarizes the plan assumptions for the years ended December 31:

| Weighted-Average Assumptions For measuring benefit obligations at year end: | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|--|--------|------|------|
| Discount rate | 3.83 % | 4.27 | 5.39 |
| Rate of compensation increase | 4.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| Expected return on plan assets | 8.00 | 8.25 | 8.25 |
| For measuring net periodic benefit cost: | | | |
| Discount rate | 4.27 | 5.39 | 5.88 |
| Rate of compensation increase | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| Expected return on plan assets | 8.00 | 8.25 | 8.25 |

Lowering both the expected rate of return on the plan assets and the discount rate by 0.25% would have increased the 2012 pension expense by approximately \$1 million. Lowering the rate of compensation increase by 0.25% would have an immaterial impact on the Bancorp s Consolidated Financial Statements.

Based on the actuarial assumptions, the Bancorp does not expect to contribute to the plan in 2013. Estimated pension benefit payments, which reflect expected future service, are \$19 million in 2013, \$18 million in 2014, \$17 million in 2015, \$16 million in 2016 and \$15 million in 2017. The total estimated payments for the years 2018 through 2022 is \$67 million.

Investment Policies and Strategies

The Bancorp s policy for the investment of plan assets is to employ investment strategies that achieve a range of weighted-average target asset allocations relating to equity securities (including the Bancorp s common stock), fixed income securities (including federal agency obligations, corporate bonds and notes) and cash.

The following table provides the Bancorp s targeted and actual weighted-average asset allocations by asset category for the years ended December 31:

| Weighted-average asset allocation Equity securities Bancorp common stock | Targeted range | | 2012 76 % 1 | 2011 74 2 |
|--|-----------------------|---|-------------------|-----------------|
| Total equity securities ^(a) Total fixed income securities Cash ^(b) | 70-80 20-25 0-5 | % | 77 20 3 | 76 21 3 |
| Total | | | 100 % | 100 |

(a) Includes mutual and exchange traded funds(b) Cash held in a Fifth Third Money Market Fund.

The risk tolerance for the plan is determined by management to be moderate to aggressive , recognizing that higher returns involve some volatility and that periodic declines in the portfolio s value are tolerated in an effort to achieve real capital growth. There were no significant concentrations of risk associated with the investments of the Bancorp s benefit and retirement plan at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

Permitted asset classes of the plan include cash and cash equivalents, fixed income (domestic and non-U.S. bonds), equities (U.S., non-U.S., emerging markets and REITS), equipment leasing, precious metals, commodity transactions and mortgages. The plan utilizes derivative instruments including puts, calls, straddles or other option strategies, as approved by management.

Prohibited asset classes of the plan include venture capital, short sales, limited partnerships and leveraged transactions. Per ERISA, the Bancorp s common stock cannot exceed ten percent of the fair value of plan assets.

Fifth Third Bank, as Trustee, is expected to manage the plan assets in a manner consistent with the plan agreement and other regulatory, federal and state laws. The Fifth Third Bank Pension, Profit Sharing and Medical Plan Committee (the Committee) is the plan administrator. The Trustee is required to provide to the Committee monthly and quarterly reports covering a list of plan assets, portfolio performance, transactions and asset allocation. The Trustee is also required to keep the Committee apprised of any material changes in the Trustee s outlook and recommended investment policy.

Other Information on Retirement and Benefit Plans

The accumulated benefit obligation for all defined benefit plans was \$256 million and \$253 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The Bancorp does not have any defined benefit plans with assets exceeding benefit obligations at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Amounts relating to the Bancorp s defined benefit plans with benefit obligations exceeding assets were as follows at December 31:

| (\$ in millions) | 2012 | 2011 |
|--------------------------------|--------|------|
| Projected benefit obligation | \$ 256 | 253 |
| Accumulated benefit obligation | 256 | 253 |
| Fair value of plan assets | 185 | 181 |

As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, \$123 million and \$159 million, respectively, of plan assets were managed through a collective fund and separately managed accounts by Fifth Third Bank, a subsidiary of the Bancorp. Plan assets included \$3 million and \$5 million of Bancorp common stock as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Plan assets are not expected to be returned to the Bancorp during 2013.

The Bancorp s profit sharing plan expense was \$46 million for 2012, \$35 million for 2011, and \$31 million for 2010. Expenses recognized for matching contributions to the Bancorp s defined contribution savings plans were \$42 million, \$40 million, and \$36 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

21. ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

The activity of the components of other comprehensive income and accumulated other comprehensive income for the years ended December 31:

| | | her Compr Income | | Com | otal Accumulated Other | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|
| (\$ in millions) 2012 | Pretax Activity | Tax Effect | Net Activity | Beginning Balance | Net Activity | Ending Balance | | | |
| Unrealized holding losses on available-for-sale securities arising during period Reclassification adjustment for net gains included in net income Net unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities | \$ (97) (15) (112) | 34 5 39 | (63) (10) (73) | 485 | (73) | 412 | | | |
| Unrealized holding gains on cash flow hedge derivatives arising during period Reclassification adjustment for net gains on cash flow hedge derivatives included in net income Net unrealized gains on cash flow hedge derivatives | 37 (83) (46) | (13) 29 16 | 24 (54) (30) | 80 | (30) | 50 | | | |
| Defined benefit plans: Net actuarial loss Defined benefit plans, net Total 2011 | 13 13 \$ (145) | (5) (5) 50 | 8 8 (95) | (95) 470 | 8 (95) | (87) 375 | | | |
| Unrealized holding gains on available-for-sale securities arising during period Reclassification adjustment for net gains included in net income Net unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities | \$ 309 (56) 253 | (108) 19 (89) | 201 (37) 164 | 321 | 164 | 485 | | | |
| Unrealized holding gains on cash flow hedge derivatives arising during period Reclassification adjustment for net gains on cash flow hedge derivatives included in net income Net unrealized gains on cash flow hedge derivatives | 89 (69) 20 | (31) 24 (7) | 58 (45) 13 | 67 | 13 | 80 | | | |
| Defined benefit plans: Net actuarial gain Defined benefit plans, net Total | (32) (32) \$ 241 | 11 11 (85) | (21) (21) 156 | (74) 314 | (21) 156 | (95) 470 | | | |
| 2010 Unrealized holding gains on available-for-sale securities arising during period Reclassification adjustment for net gains included in net income Net unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities | \$ 216 (57) 159 | (73) 19 (54) | 143 (38) 105 | 216 | 105 | 321 | | | |
| Unrealized holding gains on cash flow hedge derivatives arising during period Reclassification adjustment for net gains on cash flow hedge derivatives included in net income | 2 (60) | (1) 21 | 1 (39) | | | | | | |
| Net unrealized gains on cash flow hedge derivatives Defined benefit plans: Net prior service cost Net actuarial loss | (58) | 20 (1) (4) | (38) | 105 | (38) | 67 | | | |
| Defined benefit plans, net Total | 10 11 \$ 112 | (4) (5) (39) | 6 6 73 | (80) 241 | 6 73 | (74) 314 | | | |

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

22. COMMON, PREFERRED AND TREASURY STOCK

The following is a summary of the share activity within common, preferred and treasury stock for the years ended December 31:

| | Com | mon Stock | Preferre | ed Stock | Treas | sury Stock |
|--|----------|-------------|----------|-----------|--------|-------------|
| (\$ in millions, except share data) | Value | Shares | Value | Shares | Value | Shares |
| Shares at December 31, 2009 | \$ 1,779 | 801,504,188 | \$ 3,609 | 152,771 | \$ 201 | 6,436,024 |
| Accretion from dividends on preferred shares, Series F | - | - | 45 | - | - | - |
| Stock-based awards issued or exercised, including treasury shares issued | - | - | - | - | (6) | 16,391 |
| Restricted stock grants | - | - | - | - | (62) | (1,334,967) |
| Other | - | - | - | - | (3) | 114,218 |
| Shares at December 31, 2010 | \$ 1,779 | 801,504,188 | \$ 3,654 | 152,771 | \$130 | 5,231,666 |
| Issuance of common shares | 272 | 122,388,393 | - | - | - | - |
| Exchange of preferred shares, Series G | - | - | - | (1) | - | - |
| Redemption of preferred shares, Series F | - | - | (3,408) | (136,320) | - | - |
| Accretion from dividends on preferred shares, Series F | - | - | 153 | - | - | - |
| Stock-based awards issued or exercised, including treasury shares issued | - | - | - | - | (7) | (336,735) |
| Restricted stock grants | - | - | - | - | (58) | (756,381) |
| Other | - | - | (1) | | (1) | (50,405) |
| Shares at December 31, 2011 | \$ 2,051 | 923,892,581 | \$ 398 | 16,450 | \$ 64 | 4,088,145 |
| Shares acquired for treasury | - | - | - | - | 627 | 42,424,014 |
| Stock-based awards issued or exercised, including treasury shares issued | - | - | - | - | (7) | (1,776,508) |
| Restricted stock grants | - | - | - | - | (47) | (2,877,657) |
| Other | - | - | - | - | (3) | (117,470) |
| Shares at December 31, 2012 | \$ 2,051 | 923,892,581 | \$ 398 | 16,450 | \$ 634 | 41,740,524 |
| | | | | | | |

Common Stock

On January 25, 2011, the Bancorp raised \$1.7 billion in new common equity through the issuance of common stock in an underwritten offering with an initial price of \$14.00 per share. 121,428,572 shares were issued, which included 12,142,857 shares issued to the underwriters, who exercised their option to purchase additional shares at the offering price of \$14.00 per share on January 24, 2011. In connection with this exercise, the Bancorp entered into a forward sale agreement which resulted in a final net payment of 959,821 shares on February 4, 2011.

Preferred Stock Series G

In 2008, the Bancorp issued 8.5% non-cumulative Series G convertible preferred stock. The depository shares represent shares of its convertible preferred stock and have a liquidation preference of \$25,000 per share. The preferred stock is convertible at any time, at the option of the shareholder, into 2,159.8272 shares of common stock, representing a conversion price of approximately \$11.575 per share of common stock.

As of December 31, 2012, Series G preferred stock had 4,112,750 depositary shares representing 16,450 shares outstanding and 1,700 shares reserved for issuance.

Preferred Stock Series F

On December 31, 2008, the U.S. Treasury purchased \$3.4 billion, or 136,320 shares, of the Bancorp s Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series F, with a liquidation preference of \$25,000 per share and related 10-year warrant in the amount of 15% of the preferred stock investment. The warrant gave the U.S Treasury the right to purchase 43,617,747 shares of the Bancorp s common stock at \$11.72 per share. The Series F senior preferred stock was issued complying with the terms established by the CPP. Per the program terms, the U.S. Treasury s

Table of Contents

investment consisted of senior preferred stock with a five percent dividend for each of the first five years of investment and nine percent thereafter, unless the shares were redeemed. The shares were callable by the Bancorp at par after three years and could be repurchased at any time under certain circumstances. The terms also included restrictions on the repurchase of common stock and an increase in common stock

dividends, which required the U.S. Treasury s consent, for a period of three years from the date of investment unless the preferred shares were redeemed in whole or the U.S. Treasury had transferred all of the preferred shares to a third party.

The proceeds from issuance of the Series F preferred stock were allocated to the preferred stock and to the warrant based on their relative fair values, which resulted in an initial book value of \$3.2 billion for the preferred stock and \$239 million for the warrant. The resulting discount to the preferred stock was being accreted over five years through retained earnings as a preferred stock dividend, resulting in an effective yield of 6.7% for the Series F preferred stock for the first five years.

On February 2, 2011, the Bancorp used proceeds from the issuance of common shares along with proceeds from a senior debt offering and other available resources to repurchase all 136,320 Series F preferred shares. In connection with the redemption of the Series F Preferred Stock, the Bancorp accelerated the accretion of the remaining issuance discount on the Series F Preferred Stock and recorded a reduction in retained earnings and a corresponding increase in preferred stock of \$153 million in the Bancorp s Consolidated Balance Sheet. On March 16, 2011, the Bancorp repurchased the warrant issued to the U.S. Treasury in connection with the CPP preferred stock investment at an agreed upon price of \$280 million, which was recorded as a reduction to capital surplus in the Bancorp s Consolidated Financial Statements.

Treasury Stock

On March 13, 2012, the Bancorp announced the results of its capital plan submitted to the FRB as part of the 2012 CCAR. The FRB indicated to the Bancorp that it did not object to the repurchase of common shares in an amount equal to any after-tax gains realized by the Bancorp from the sale of Vantiv, Inc. common shares by either the Bancorp or Vantiv, Inc. Following the Vantiv Inc. IPO, the Bancorp entered into an accelerated share repurchase transaction with a counterparty pursuant to which the Bancorp purchased 4,838,710 shares, or approximately \$75 million, of its outstanding common stock on April 26, 2012. As part of this transaction and all subsequent accelerated share repurchase transactions in 2012, the Bancorp entered into forward contracts in which the final number

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

of shares to be delivered at settlement of the accelerated share repurchase transaction was based on a discount to the average daily volume-weighted average price of the Bancorp s common stock during the term of the Repurchase Agreements. Each of the accelerated share repurchases was treated as two separate transactions (i) the acquisition of treasury shares on the acquisition date and (ii) a forward contract indexed to the Bancorp s stock. At settlement of the April 2012 forward contract on June 1, 2012, the Bancorp received an additional 631,986 shares which were recorded as an adjustment to the basis in the treasury shares purchased on the acquisition date.

On August 21, 2012, the Bancorp announced that the FRB did not object to its capital plan resubmitted under the CCAR process, which included the repurchases of common shares of up to \$600 million through the first quarter of 2013, in addition to any incremental repurchase of common shares related to any after-tax gains realized by the Bancorp from the sale of Vantiv, Inc. common shares by either the Bancorp or Vantiv, Inc. As a result, on August 21, 2012, Fifth Third s Board of Directors authorized the Bancorp to repurchase up to 100 million shares of its outstanding common stock in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions, and to utilize any derivative or similar instrument to affect share repurchase transactions. This share repurchase authorization replaces the Board s previous authorization pursuant to which approximately 14 million shares remained available for repurchase by the Bancorp.

On August 23, 2012, the Bancorp entered into an accelerated share repurchase transaction with a counterparty pursuant to which

the Bancorp purchased 21,531,100 shares, or approximately \$350 million, of its outstanding common stock on August 28, 2012. At settlement of the forward contract on October 24, 2012, the Bancorp received an additional 1,444,047 shares which were recorded as an adjustment to the basis in the treasury shares purchased on the acquisition date.

On November 6, 2012, the Bancorp entered into an accelerated share repurchase transaction with a counterparty pursuant to which the Bancorp purchased 7,710,761 shares, or approximately \$125 million, of its outstanding common stock on November 9, 2012. At settlement of the forward contract on February 12, 2013, the Bancorp received an additional 657,917 shares which were recorded as an adjustment to the basis in the treasury shares purchased on the acquisition date.

Following the sale of a portion of the Bancorp s shares of Class A Vantiv, Inc. common stock, the Bancorp entered into an accelerated share repurchase transaction on December 14, 2012 with a counterparty pursuant to which the Bancorp purchased 6,267,410 shares, or approximately \$100 million, of its outstanding common stock on December 19, 2012. The Bancorp expects the settlement of the transaction to occur on or before March 14, 2013.

Additionally, on January 28, 2013, the Bancorp entered into an accelerated share repurchase transaction. See Note 30 for additional information.

During 2011 and 2010, the Bancorp repurchased an immaterial amount of common stock.

23. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

The Bancorp has historically emphasized employee stock ownership. The following table provides detail of the number of shares to be issued upon exercise of outstanding stock-based awards

and remaining shares available for future issuance under all of the Bancorp s equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2012:

Plan Category (shares in thousands)

| | Number of Shares to be Issued Upon | | | Shares Available for Future |
|--|---------------------------------------|----|------------|--------------------------------|
| | i e | | | |
| | Exercise | | | Issuance |
| | | | Price | |
| Equity compensation plans approved by shareholders | | | | 23,215(<i>a</i>) |
| SARs | <i>(b)</i> | | <i>(b)</i> | <i>(a)</i> |
| Restricted stock | 6,379 | | N/A | <i>(a)</i> |
| Stock options(c) | 3,108 | \$ | 51.75 | <i>(a)</i> |
| Phantom stock units | <i>(d)</i> | | N/A | N/A |
| Performance units | (e) | | N/A | <i>(a)</i> |
| Employee stock purchase plan | | | | 8,720(f) |
| Total shares | 9,487 | | | 31,935 |
| | | | | |

(a) Under the 2011 Incentive Compensation Plan, 39 million shares plus up to 4.5 million shares from the 2008 Incentive Compensation Plan (the Predecessor Plan) of stock were authorized for issuance as incentive and nonqualified stock options, SARs, restricted stock and restricted stock units, performance units and performance restricted stock awards.

(b) The number of shares to be issued upon exercise will be determined at vesting based on the difference between the grant price and the market price at the date of exercise.

(c) Excludes 0.8 million outstanding options awarded under plans assumed by the Bancorp in connection with certain mergers and acquisitions. The Bancorp has not made any awards under these plans and will make no additional awards under these plans. The weighted-average exercise price of the outstanding options is \$17.74 per share.

(d) Phantom stock units are settled in cash.

(e) The number of shares to be issued is dependent upon the Bancorp achieving certain predefined performance targets and ranges from zero shares to approximately 0.6 million shares.

(f) Represents remaining shares of Fifth Third common stock under the Bancorp s 1993 Stock Purchase Plan, as amended and restated, including an additional 1.5 million shares approved by shareholders on March 28, 2007 and an additional 12 million shares approved by shareholders on April 21, 2009.

Stock-based awards are eligible for issuance under the Bancorp s Incentive Compensation Plan to key employees and directors of the Bancorp and its subsidiaries. The Incentive Compensation Plan was approved by shareholders on April 19, 2011, and authorized the issuance of up to 39 million shares plus up to 4.5 million shares under the Predecessor Plan for Full Value Awards as equity compensation and provides for incentive and nonqualified stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock and restricted stock units, and performance share and restricted stock awards. Full Value Awards are defined as awards with no cash outlay for the

employee to obtain the full value. Based on total stock-based awards outstanding (including stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock and performance units) and shares remaining for future grants under the 2011 Incentive Compensation Plan, the potential dilution to which the Bancorp s shareholders of common stock are exposed due to the potential that stock-based compensation will be awarded to executives, directors or key employees of the Bancorp is nine percent. SARs, restricted stock, stock options and performance units outstanding represent six percent of the Bancorp s issued shares at December 31, 2012.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

All of the Bancorp s stock-based awards are to be settled with stock with the exception of phantom stock units that are to be settled in cash. The Bancorp has historically used treasury stock to settle stock-based awards, when available. SARs, issued at fair value based on the closing price of the Bancorp s common stock on the date of grant, have up to ten-year terms and vest and become exercisable either ratably or fully over a four year period of continued employment. The Bancorp does not grant discounted SARs or stock options, re-price previously granted SARs or stock options, or grant reload stock options. Restricted stock grants vest after four years, or ratably over three or four years or ratably after three years of continued employment and include dividend and voting rights. Stock options were previously issued at fair value based on the closing price of the Bancorp s common stock on the date of grant, had up to ten-year terms and vested and became fully exercisable ratably over a three or four year period of continued

employment. Performance unit awards have three-year cliff vesting terms with market conditions as defined by the plan.

Stock-based compensation expense was \$69 million, \$59 million and \$64 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and is included in salaries, wages, and incentives in the Consolidated Statements of Income. The total related income tax benefit recognized was \$24 million, \$21 million and \$18 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Stock Appreciation Rights

The Bancorp uses assumptions, which are evaluated and revised as necessary, in estimating the grant-date fair value of each SAR grant.

The weighted-average assumptions were as follows for the years ended:

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|--------------------------|------|------|------|
| Expected life (in years) | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Expected volatility | 37% | 35% | 38% |
| Expected dividend yield | 2.8% | 2.0% | 2.0% |
| Risk-free interest rate | 1.2% | 2.6% | 3.1% |

The expected life is derived from historical exercise patterns and represents the amount of time that SARs granted are expected to be outstanding. The expected volatility is based on a combination of historical and implied volatilities of the Bancorp s common stock. The expected dividend yield is based on annual dividends divided by the Bancorp s stock price. Annual dividends are based on projected dividends, estimated using a historical long-term dividend payout ratio, over the estimated life of the awards. The risk-free interest rate for periods within the contractual life of the SARs is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant.

The grant-date fair value of SARs is measured using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The weighted-average grant-date fair value of SARs granted was \$4.23, \$4.29 and \$5.10 per share for the years ended 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The total grant-date fair value of SARs that vested during 2012, 2011 and 2010 was \$22 million, \$20 million, and \$25 million, respectively.

At December 31, 2012, there was \$64 million of stock-based compensation expense related to nonvested SARs not yet recognized. The expense is expected to be recognized over a remaining weighted-average period of approximately 2.8 years.

| SARs (| shares | in | thousands) |
|--------|--------|----|------------|
|--------|--------|----|------------|

| 2012 | | 2 | 2011 | 2010 | | | |
|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--|--|
| Shares | Weighted- | Shares | Weighted- | Shares | Weighted- | | |
| | Average | | Average | | Average | | |
| | Grant | | Grant | | Grant | | |

| | | 1 | Price | | Price | | Price |
|---|----------------|------|---------|---------|-------------|---------|-------------|
| Outstanding at January 1 | 36,502 | \$ | 22.20 | 31,152 | \$ 24.67 | 28,571 | \$ 26.82 |
| Granted | 12,179 | | 14.36 | 8,633 | 13.36 | 5,310 | 14.74 |
| Exercised | (1,271) | | 6.29 | (521) | 3.96 | (319) | 3.96 |
| Forfeited or expired | (3,290) | | 23.33 | (2,762) | 25.76 | (2,410) | 30.87 |
| Outstanding at December 31 | 44,120 | \$ | 20.41 | 36,502 | \$ 22.20 | 31,152 | \$ 24.67 |
| Exercisable at December 31 | 23,248 | \$ | 26.76 | 20,070 | \$ 30.29 | 16,347 | \$ 34.94 |
| The following table summarizes outstanding and exercisable SARs by gran | nt price at De | ecen | ober 31 | 2012 | | | |

The following table summarizes outstanding and exercisable SARs by grant price at December 31, 2012:

| | | Outstanding S | ARs | | Exercisable S | ARs |
|-----------------------|-----------|---------------|------------------|-----------|---------------|------------------|
| | Number of | | | Number of | | |
| | SARs | | Weighted- | SARs | | Weighted- |
| | at | Weighted- | Average | at | Weighted- | Average |
| | Year | Average | Remaining | Year | Average | Remaining |
| | End | Grant | Contractual Life | End | Grant | Contractual Life |
| Grant price per share | (000s) | Price | (in years) | (000s) | Price | (in years) |
| Under \$10.00 | 5,100 | \$ 4.06 | 6.3 | 3,481 | \$ 3.96 | 6.3 |
| \$10.01-\$20.00 | 27,812 | 14.98 | 8.0 | 8,559 | 16.94 | 6.4 |
| \$20.01-\$30.00 | 34 | 22.88 | 5.2 | 34 | 22.88 | 5.2 |
| \$30.01-\$40.00 | 7,231 | 38.69 | 3.6 | 7,231 | 38.69 | 3.6 |
| Over \$40.00 | 3,943 | 46.37 | 2.2 | 3,943 | 46.37 | 2.2 |
| All SARs | 44,120 | \$ 20.41 | 6.6 | 23,248 | \$ 26.76 | 4.8 |

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Restricted Stock Awards

The total grant-date fair value of RSAs that vested during 2012, 2011 and 2010 was \$32 million, \$37 million and \$30 million, respectively. At December 31, 2012, there was \$57 million of stock-based

compensation expense related to nonvested restricted stock not yet recognized. The expense is expected to be recognized over a remaining weighted-average period of approximately 2.7 years.

| | 2012 Weighted- | | | 2011 | | | 2010 | | | | |
|---|-------------------|------------------|----------|---------|------------|-----------------------------|--------|------------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| | | Average Grant | | | Α | eighted- verage Grant | | А | eighted- verage Grant | | |
| | | -D | ate Fair | | -Date Fair | | | -Date Fair | | | |
| RSAs (shares in thousands) | Shares | | Value | Shares | | Value | Shares | | Value | | |
| Nonvested at January 1 | 4,764 | \$ | 15.95 | 5,158 | \$ | 18.89 | 4,645 | \$ | 23.85 | | |
| Granted | 3,863 | | 14.33 | 1,702 | | 13.19 | 1,677 | | 14.69 | | |
| Exercised | (1,826) | | 18.37 | (1,646) | | 22.52 | (817) | | 36.96 | | |
| Forfeited | (422) | | 15.35 | (450) | | 15.34 | (347) | | 22.39 | | |
| Nonvested at December 31 | 6,379 | \$ | 14.32 | 4,764 | \$ | 15.95 | 5,158 | \$ | 18.89 | | |
| The full series of the summer size a summer to d DCA a har summer data fair and | | 1 20 | 110. | | | | | | | | |

The following table summarizes unvested RSAs by grant-date fair value at December 31, 2012:

| | Nonvo | ested RSAs Weighted- Average |
|--|--|--|
| | Number of | Remaining |
| | RSAs at Year | Contractual Life |
| Grant-Date Fair Value Per Share Under \$10.00 \$10.01-\$20.00 \$20.01-\$30.00 All RSAs | End (000s) 254 6,123 2 6,379 | (in years) 0.9 1.4 0.3 1.4 |

Stock options

The grant-date fair value of stock options is measured using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. There were no stock options granted during 2012, 2011 and 2010.

The total intrinsic value of options exercised during 2012 was \$1 million and was immaterial to the Bancorp s Consolidated Financial Statements in both 2011 and 2010. Cash received from options exercised during 2012 and 2011 was \$2 million and \$1 million, respectively. Cash received from options exercised during

2010 was immaterial to the Bancorp s Consolidated Financial Statements. Tax benefits realized from exercised options were immaterial to the Bancorp s Consolidated Financial Statements during 2012, 2011 and 2010. All stock options were vested as of December 31, 2008, therefore, no stock options vested during 2012, 2011, or 2010. As of December 31, 2012, the aggregate intrinsic value of both outstanding options and exercisable options was \$1 million.

| | 2012 Weighted- Average | | | Weighted- Weighted- | | | | | eighted- verage |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|---------|---------------------|----|---------|---------|----|--------------------|
| | | Е | xercise | | E | xercise | | | Grant |
| Stock Options (shares in thousands) | Shares | | Price | Shares | | Price | Shares | | Price |
| Outstanding at January 1 | 7,584 | \$ | 53.88 | 11,859 | \$ | 52.01 | 15,504 | \$ | 49.29 |
| Exercised | (205) | | 10.32 | (96) | | 9.25 | (58) | | 8.76 |
| Forfeited or expired | (3,502) | | 66.25 | (4,179) | | 49.61 | (3,587) | | 40.54 |
| Outstanding at December 31 | 3,877 | \$ | 45.00 | 7,584 | \$ | 53.88 | 11,859 | \$ | 52.01 |
| Exercisable at December 31 | 3,877 | 3,877 \$ 45.00 | | 7,584 | \$ | 53.88 | 11,859 | \$ | 52.01 |
| | | | | | | | | | |

The following table summarizes outstanding and exercisable stock options by exercise price at December 31, 2012:

| | Outstandin | Outstanding and Exercisable Stock Options | | | |
|--------------------------|------------|---|----------------------|--|--|
| | Number of | Weighted- | Weighted- Average | | |
| | Options at | Average | Remaining | | |
| | Year End | Exercise | Contractual Life | | |
| Exercise price per share | (000s) | Price | (in years) | | |
| Under \$10.00 | 5 | \$9.65 | 1.5 | | |
| \$10.01-\$20.00 | 590 | 12.86 | 2.1 | | |
| \$20.01-\$30.00 | 33 | 23.38 | 0.2 | | |
| \$30.01-\$40.00 | 136 | 36.31 | 1.3 | | |
| Over \$40.00 | 3,113 | 51.77 | 0.3 | | |
| All stock options | 3,877 | \$45.00 | 0.6 | | |

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Other stock-based compensation

During 2009, the Bancorp's Board of Directors approved the use of phantom stock units as part of its compensation for executives in connection with changes made in reaction to the TARP compensation rules. On February 22, 2011, the Bancorp redeemed its Series F preferred stock held by the U.S. Treasury under the CPP. As a result of this redemption, the last payment of phantom stock occurred in April of 2011. The phantom stock units were issued under the Bancorp's 2008 Incentive Compensation Plan. The number of phantom stock units was determined each pay period by dividing the amount of salary to be paid in phantom stock units for that pay period, by the reported closing price of the Bancorp's common stock on the pay date for such pay period. The phantom stock units vested immediately on issuance. Phantom stock units did not include any rights to receive dividends or dividend equivalents. Phantom stock units issued on or before June 12, 2010 were settled in cash upon the earlier to occur of June 15, 2011 or the executive's death. Units issued thereafter will be settled in cash with 50% settled on June 15, 2012 and 50% to be settled on June 15, 2013. The amount paid on settlement of the phantom stock units is equal to the total amount of phantom stock units settled at the reported closing price of the Bancorp's common stock on the settlement date. Under the phantom stock program, no phantom stock units were granted during the year ended December 31, 2012, and phantom stock units of 132,649 and 488,703 were granted with a weighted average grant price of \$14.40 and \$12.80 during the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. During 2012 and 2011, 199,813 and 521,091, phantom stock units were settled, respectively. No phantom stock units were settled during 2010.

Performance units are payable contingent upon the Bancorp achieving certain predefined performance targets over the three-year measurement period. Awards granted during 2012, 2011 and 2010 will be entirely settled in stock. The performance targets are based on the Bancorp s performance relative to a defined peer group. During 2012, 2011 and 2010, 344,741, 328,061, and 61,320 performance units, respectively, were granted by the Bancorp. These awards were granted at a weighted-average grant-date fair value of \$14.36, \$13.36 and \$13.76 per unit during 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

The Bancorp sponsors a stock purchase plan that allows qualifying employees to purchase shares of the Bancorp s common stock with a 15% match. During the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, there were 827,709, 886,447 and 749,127 shares, respectively, purchased by participants and the Bancorp recognized stock-based compensation expense of \$1 million in each of the respective years.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

24. OTHER NONINTEREST INCOME AND OTHER NONINTEREST EXPENSE

The following table presents the major components of other noninterest income and other noninterest expense for the years ended December 31:

| Other noninterest income: s <th>(\$ in millions)</th> <th>20</th> <th>12</th> <th>2011</th> <th>2010</th> | (\$ in millions) | 20 | 12 | 2011 | 2010 |
|---|---|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Net gain from warrant and put options associated with sale of the processing business 67 39 5 Equity method income from interest in Vantiv Holding, LLC 61 57 26 Operating lease income 66 58 62 Cardholder fees 46 41 36 BOLI income 35 41 194 Banking center income 28 28 38 Consumer loan and lease fees 20 37 51 Startence income 20 37 51 Loss on swap associated with the sale of Visa, Inc. class B shares (45) (43) (19) Loss on swap associated with the sale of Visa, Inc. class B shares (57) (71) (78) Other, net 27 24 (12) 70 Total \$ \$7 13 92 Loss on swap associated with the sale of Visa, Inc. class B shares (57) (71) (78) Other, net 27 24 (12) 72 24 Loss of swap associated with the sale of Visa, Inc. class B shares (58) 16 98 17 Loss of swap associated | Other noninterest income: | | | | |
| Equity method income from interest in Vantiv Holding, LLC615726Operating lease income605862Cardholder fees464135BOLI income3541194Banking centre income282838Consumer loan and lease fees273132Gain on loan sales203751TSA revenue12149Loss on swap associated with the sale of Visa, Inc. class B shares(45)(83)(19)Loss on sue of OREO(57)(71)(78)Other, net2724(12)406Other noninterest expense:187129406Loss and aljustments169(8)17187Loss and aljustments169(8)17187Dord and textinguishment169(8)17187Piofessional services fees56587771Travel525251100Professional services fees565877Travel525251100Professional services fees484948Operating lease484948Operating lease21343131OREO expense21343331Intarineet aut eductation28313131Other, net28313131Other professional services fees5252 <t< td=""><td>Gain on Vantiv, Inc. IPO and sale of Vantiv, Inc. shares</td><td>\$</td><td>272</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></t<> | Gain on Vantiv, Inc. IPO and sale of Vantiv, Inc. shares | \$ | 272 | - | - |
| Operating lease income 60 58 62 Cardholder fees 46 41 36 Conductor fees 32 27 22 Insurance income 32 27 22 Insurance income 28 28 38 Consumer loan and lease fees 27 31 32 Gain on loan sales 20 37 51 TSA revenue 1 21 49 Loss on swap associated with the sale of Visa, Inc. class B shares (45) (83) (19) Loss on sale of OREO (57) (71) (78) 400 Other, net 27 24 (12) 704 016 11 20 406 Other noninterest expense: | Net gain from warrant and put options associated with sale of the processing business | | 67 | 39 | 5 |
| Cardholder fees464136BOLI income323712Banking center income322838Consumer loan and lease fees273132Gain on loan sales203751TSA revenue12149Loss on swap associated with the sale of Visa, Inc. class B shares(45)(83)Other, net2724(12)Total5725250Other, net2724(12)Total4516917Loss on adjustments169(83)195Loss on adjustments169(83)114Loss dig on debt extinguishment169(83)117Marketing183195211Marketing18411598FDIC insurance and other taxes114201242Impairment of affordable housing investments9085100Profesional services fees565877Travel525251Postal and courier4849441Data processing482433Insurance182524Optanting lease132134Optanting lease144141Data processing152433Insurance182524Supplies173433Insurance182524Other, net169 <td>Equity method income from interest in Vantiv Holding, LLC</td> <td></td> <td>61</td> <td>57</td> <td>26</td> | Equity method income from interest in Vantiv Holding, LLC | | 61 | 57 | 26 |
| BOL1 income3541194Banking center income322722Insurance income282838Consumer loan and lease fees273132Gain on loan sales203751TSA revenue12149Loss on swap associated with the sale of Visa, Inc. class B shares(45)(83)(19)Loss on sale of OREO(57)71(78)(78)Other, net2724(12)70al40250406Other noninterest expense:187129187183195211Loss on ad layistments169(88)171172185116186Loss (gain) on debt extinguishment169(88)1711981159811719811598117198110110110110110110110110110110110110110110110110110 <td>Operating lease income</td> <td></td> <td>60</td> <td>58</td> <td>62</td> | Operating lease income | | 60 | 58 | 62 |
| Banking center income 32 27 22 Insurance income 28 28 38 Consumer toma and lease fees 27 31 32 Gain on loan sales 20 37 51 TSA revenue 1 21 49 Loss on swap associated with the sale of Visa, Inc. class B shares (45) (83) (19) Loss on sup associated with the sale of Visa, Inc. class B shares (45) (83) (12) Cother, net 27 24 (12) Total \$ 574 250 406 Other noninterest expense: | Cardholder fees | | 46 | 41 | 36 |
| Insurance 28 28 38 Consumer loan and lease fees 27 31 32 Gain on loan sales 20 37 51 TSA revenue 1 21 49 Loss on sup associated with the sale of Visa, Inc. class B shares (45) (83) (19) Loss on sale of OREO (57) (71) (78) (78) Other, net 27 24 (12) Total 574 250 406 Other noninterest expense: | BOLI income | | 35 | 41 | 194 |
| Consumer loan and lease fees 27 31 32 Gain on loan sales 20 37 51 TSA revenue 1 21 49 Loss on swap associated with the sale of Visa, Inc. class B shares (45) (83) (19) Loss on swap associated with the sale of Visa, Inc. class B shares (45) (83) (19) Loss on sup of DREO (57) (71) (78) Other, net 27 24 (12) Total \$ 574 20 406 Other noninterest expense: | Banking center income | | 32 | 27 | 22 |
| Gain on loan sales203751TSA revenue12149Loss on swap associated with the sale of Visa, Inc. class B shares(45)(83)(19)Loss on sue of OREO(57)(71)(78)Other, net2724(12)Total\$ 5770(71)(81)Other noninterest expense:********************************* | Insurance income | | 28 | 28 | 38 |
| TSA revenue12149Loss on swap associated with the sale of Visa, Inc. class B shares(45)(83)(19)Loss on sale of OREO(57)(71)(78)Other, net2724(12)Total\$ 574250406Other noninterest expense:183195211Loss on adle ase183195211Loss (gain) on debt extinguishment169(8)17Marketing12811598FDIC insurance and other taxes114242Impairment of affordable housing investments9085100Professional services fees565877Travel525251Postal and courier484948Operating lease402924Recruitment and education283131OREO expense182542Supplies171824Insurance182542Supplies171824Other, net1691424 | Consumer loan and lease fees | | 27 | 31 | 32 |
| Loss on sap associated with the sale of Visa, Inc. class B shares(45)(83)(19)Loss on sale of OREO(57)(71)(78)Other, net2724(12)Total\$ 574250406Other noninterest expense:187129187Loss and adjustments183195211Loss (gain) on debt extinguishment169(8)17Marketing12811598FDIC insurance and other taxes114201242Impairment of affordable housing investments9085100Professional services fees565877Travel52525151Postal and courier484948Operating lease434141Data processing402924Recruitment and education283131OREO expense1825420Insurance1825420Supplies171824Insurance132243Orther, net169194149 | Gain on loan sales | | 20 | 37 | 51 |
| Loss on sale of OREO (57) (71) (78) Other, net 27 24 (12) Total \$ 574 250 406 Other noninterest expense: 183 155 211 Losses and adjustments 183 195 211 Loss (gain) on debt extinguishment 169 (8) 171 Loss (gain) on debt extinguishment 169 (8) 171 Marketing 128 115 98 FDIC insurance and other taxes 114 201 242 Impairment of affordable housing investments 90 85 100 Professional services fees 56 58 77 Travel 52 52 51 Postal and courier 48 49 48 Operating lease 43 41 41 Data processing 40 29 24 Recruitment and education 28 31 31 Insurance 18 25 42 Supplies 17 18 24 Insurance 1 | TSA revenue | | 1 | 21 | 49 |
| Other, net 27 24 (12) Total \$ 574 250 406 Other noninterest expense: | Loss on swap associated with the sale of Visa, Inc. class B shares | | (45) | (83) | (19) |
| Total \$ 574 250 406 Other noninterest expense: - <td>Loss on sale of OREO</td> <td></td> <td>(57)</td> <td>(71)</td> <td>(78)</td> | Loss on sale of OREO | | (57) | (71) | (78) |
| Other noninterest expense: \$ 187 129 187 Losses and adjustments 183 195 211 Loss (gain) on debt extinguishment 169 (8) 17 Marketing 128 115 98 FDIC Insurance and other taxes 114 201 242 Impairment of affordable housing investments 90 85 100 Professional services fees 56 58 77 Travel 52 52 51 Postal and courier 48 49 48 Operating lease 43 41 41 Data processing 40 29 24 Recruitment and education 28 31 31 OREO expense 21 34 33 Insurance 18 25 42 Supplies 17 18 24 Intangible asset amortization 13 22 43 Intangible asset amortization 13 22 43 Other, net 169 194 149 | Other, net | | 27 | 24 | (12) |
| Losses and adjustments \$ 187 129 187 Loan and lease 183 195 211 Loss (gain) on debt extinguishment 169 (8) 17 Marketing 128 115 98 FDIC insurance and other taxes 114 201 242 Impairment of affordable housing investments 90 85 100 Professional services fees 56 58 77 Travel 52 52 51 Postal and courier 48 49 48 Operating lease 43 41 41 Data processing 40 29 242 Recruitment and education 28 31 31 OREO expense 21 34 33 Insurance 18 25 42 Supplies 17 18 24 Intangible asset amortization 13 22 43 Intangible asset amortization 13 22 43 Other, net 169 194 149 <td>Total</td> <td>\$</td> <td>574</td> <td>250</td> <td>406</td> | Total | \$ | 574 | 250 | 406 |
| Loan and lease 183 195 211 Loss (gain) on debt extinguishment 169 (8) 17 Marketing 128 115 98 FDIC insurance and other taxes 114 201 242 Impairment of affordable housing investments 90 85 100 Professional services fees 56 58 77 Travel 52 52 51 Postal and courier 48 49 48 Operating lease 43 41 41 Data processing 40 29 24 Recruitment and education 28 31 31 OREO expense 18 25 42 Supplies 17 18 24 Supplies 17 18 24 Supplies 17 18 24 Other, net 169 194 149 | Other noninterest expense: | | | | |
| Loss (gain) on debt extinguishment 169 (8) 17 Marketing 128 115 98 FDIC insurance and other taxes 114 201 242 Impairment of affordable housing investments 90 85 100 Professional services fees 56 58 77 Travel 52 52 51 Postal and courier 48 49 48 Operating lease 43 41 41 Data processing 40 29 24 Recruitment and education 28 31 31 OREO expense 18 25 42 Insurance 18 25 42 Intangible asset amortization 13 22 43 Provision (benefit) for unfunded commitments and letters of credit (2) (46) (24) Other, net 169 194 149 | Losses and adjustments | \$ | 187 | 129 | 187 |
| Marketing 128 115 98 FDIC insurance and other taxes 114 201 242 Impairment of affordable housing investments 90 85 100 Professional services fees 56 58 77 Travel 52 52 51 Postal and courier 48 49 48 Operating lease 43 41 41 Data processing 40 29 24 Recruitment and education 28 31 31 OREO expense 18 25 42 Insurance 17 18 24 Intangible asset amortization 13 22 43 Provision (benefit) for unfunded commitments and letters of credit (2) (46) (24) Other, net 169 194 149 149 | Loan and lease | | 183 | 195 | 211 |
| FDIC insurance and other taxes 114 201 242 Impairment of affordable housing investments 90 85 100 Professional services fees 56 58 77 Travel 52 52 51 Postal and courier 48 49 48 Operating lease 43 41 41 Data processing 40 29 24 Recruitment and education 28 31 31 OREO expense 18 25 42 Supplies 17 18 24 Intangible asset amortization 13 22 43 Provision (benefit) for unfunded commitments and letters of credit (2) (46) (24) Other, net 169 194 149 | Loss (gain) on debt extinguishment | | 169 | (8) | 17 |
| Impairment of affordable housing investments 90 85 100 Professional services fees 56 58 77 Travel 52 52 51 Postal and courier 48 49 48 Operating lease 43 41 41 Data processing 40 29 24 Recruitment and education 28 31 31 OREO expense 21 34 33 Insurance 18 25 42 Supplies 17 18 24 Intangible asset amortization 13 22 43 Provision (benefit) for unfunded commitments and letters of credit (2) (46) (24) Other, net 169 194 149 | Marketing | | 128 | 115 | 98 |
| Professional services fees 56 58 77 Travel 52 52 51 Postal and courier 48 49 48 Operating lease 43 41 41 Data processing 40 29 24 Recruitment and education 28 31 31 OREO expense 21 34 33 Insurance 18 25 42 Supplies 17 18 24 Intangible asset amortization 13 22 43 Provision (benefit) for unfunded commitments and letters of credit (2) (46) (24) Other, net 169 194 149 149 | FDIC insurance and other taxes | | 114 | 201 | 242 |
| Travel 52 52 51 Postal and courier 48 49 48 Operating lease 43 41 41 Data processing 40 29 24 Recruitment and education 28 31 31 OREO expense 21 34 33 Insurance 18 25 42 Supplies 17 18 24 Intangible asset amortization 13 22 43 Provision (benefit) for unfunded commitments and letters of credit (2) (46) (24) Other, net 169 194 149 | Impairment of affordable housing investments | | 90 | 85 | 100 |
| Postal and courier 48 49 48 Operating lease 43 41 41 Data processing 40 29 24 Recruitment and education 28 31 31 OREO expense 21 34 33 Insurance 18 25 42 Supplies 17 18 24 Intangible asset amortization 13 22 43 Provision (benefit) for unfunded commitments and letters of credit (2) (46) (24) Other, net 169 194 149 | Professional services fees | | 56 | 58 | 77 |
| Operating lease434141Data processing402924Recruitment and education283131OREO expense213433Insurance182542Supplies171824Intangible asset amortization132243Provision (benefit) for unfunded commitments and letters of credit(2)(46)(24)Other, net169194149 | Travel | | 52 | 52 | 51 |
| Data processing 40 29 24 Recruitment and education 28 31 31 OREO expense 21 34 33 Insurance 18 25 42 Supplies 17 18 24 Intangible asset amortization 13 22 43 Provision (benefit) for unfunded commitments and letters of credit (2) (46) (24) Other, net 169 194 149 | Postal and courier | | 48 | 49 | 48 |
| Recruitment and education 28 31 31 OREO expense 21 34 33 Insurance 18 25 42 Supplies 17 18 24 Intangible asset amortization 13 22 43 Provision (benefit) for unfunded commitments and letters of credit (2) (46) (24) Other, net 169 194 149 | Operating lease | | 43 | 41 | 41 |
| OREO expense 21 34 33 Insurance 18 25 42 Supplies 17 18 24 Intangible asset amortization 13 22 43 Provision (benefit) for unfunded commitments and letters of credit (2) (46) (24) Other, net 169 194 149 | | | 40 | | 24 |
| Insurance 18 25 42 Supplies 17 18 24 Intangible asset amortization 13 22 43 Provision (benefit) for unfunded commitments and letters of credit (2) (46) (24) Other, net 169 194 149 | Recruitment and education | | 28 | 31 | 31 |
| Supplies 17 18 24 Intangible asset amortization 13 22 43 Provision (benefit) for unfunded commitments and letters of credit (2) (46) (24) Other, net 169 194 149 | OREO expense | | 21 | 34 | 33 |
| Intangible asset amortization132243Provision (benefit) for unfunded commitments and letters of credit(2)(46)(24)Other, net169194149 | Insurance | | 18 | 25 | 42 |
| Provision (benefit) for unfunded commitments and letters of credit(2)(46)(24)Other, net169194149 | Supplies | | 17 | 18 | 24 |
| Other, net 169 194 149 | Intangible asset amortization | | 13 | 22 | 43 |
| | Provision (benefit) for unfunded commitments and letters of credit | | (2) | · · · | (24) |
| Total \$1,374 1,224 1,394 | Other, net | | 169 | 194 | 149 |
| | Total | \$ 1, | 374 | 1,224 | 1,394 |

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

25. EARNINGS PER SHARE

The calculation of earnings per share and the reconciliation of earnings per share and earnings per diluted share for the years ended December 31:

2012 Average 2010

2011

(in millions, except per share data)

Income Shares