

NEKTAR THERAPEUTICS
Form DEF 14A
April 30, 2012
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the
Securities Exchange Act of 1934

(Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

Nektar Therapeutics

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(4) Date Filed:

Table of Contents

NEKTAR THERAPEUTICS

455 Mission Bay Boulevard South

San Francisco, California 94158

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

TO BE HELD ON JUNE 28, 2012

AT 2:00 P.M. PACIFIC TIME

Dear Stockholder:

You are cordially invited to attend the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Nektar Therapeutics, a Delaware corporation. The 2012 Annual Meeting will be held on Thursday, June 28, 2012, at 2:00 p.m. local time at Nektar Therapeutics, 455 Mission Bay Boulevard South, San Francisco, California 94158, for the following purposes:

1. To elect three directors with terms to expire at the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.
2. To approve the 2012 Performance Incentive Plan and the reservation of an additional 5,300,000 shares of common stock authorized for issuance thereunder.
3. To ratify the selection of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2012.
4. To approve a non-binding advisory resolution regarding our executive compensation (a say-on-pay vote).
5. To conduct any other business properly brought before the 2012 Annual Meeting.

These items of business are more fully described in the Proxy Statement accompanying this Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The record date for the 2012 Annual Meeting is April 30, 2012. Only stockholders of record at the close of business on that date are entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the 2012 Annual Meeting or any adjournment thereof.

Your vote is very important. Whether or not you attend the 2012 Annual Meeting in person, it is important that your shares be represented. You may vote your proxy on the Internet, by phone or by mail in accordance with the instructions in the Notice of Availability of Proxy Materials.

On behalf of the Board of Directors, thank you for your participation in this important annual process.

Edgar Filing: NEKTAR THERAPEUTICS - Form DEF 14A

By Order of the Board of Directors

Gil M. Labrucherie

Senior Vice President, General Counsel and

Secretary

San Francisco, California

May 18, 2012

YOU ARE CORDIALLY INVITED TO ATTEND THE ANNUAL MEETING IN PERSON. WHETHER OR NOT YOU EXPECT TO ATTEND THE ANNUAL MEETING, PLEASE VOTE ON THE INTERNET, BY PHONE OR BY MAIL AS INSTRUCTED IN THE NOTICE OF AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS, AS PROMPTLY AS POSSIBLE IN ORDER TO ENSURE YOUR REPRESENTATION AT THE MEETING. EVEN IF YOU HAVE VOTED BY PROXY, YOU MAY STILL VOTE IN PERSON IF YOU ATTEND THE ANNUAL MEETING. PLEASE NOTE, HOWEVER, THAT IF YOUR SHARES ARE HELD OF RECORD BY A BROKER, BANK OR OTHER NOMINEE AND YOU WISH TO VOTE AT THE ANNUAL MEETING, YOU MUST OBTAIN A PROXY ISSUED IN YOUR NAME FROM THAT RECORD HOLDER.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|---|-----|
| <u>QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THESE PROXY MATERIALS AND VOTING PROCEDURES</u> | 3 |
| <u>PROPOSAL 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS</u> | 9 |
| <u>PROPOSAL 2: APPROVAL OF THE NEKTAR THERAPEUTICS 2012 PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE PLAN</u> | 11 |
| <u>PROPOSAL 3: RATIFICATION OF SELECTION OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM</u> | 18 |
| <u>PROPOSAL 4: ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u> | 19 |
| <u>SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT</u> | 20 |
| <u>SECTION 16(A) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE</u> | 22 |
| <u>CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS</u> | 23 |
| <u>INFORMATION ABOUT THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS</u> | 23 |
| <u>THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS</u> | 23 |
| <u>DIRECTORS CONTINUING IN OFFICE UNTIL THE 2013 ANNUAL MEETING</u> | 23 |
| <u>DIRECTORS CONTINUING IN OFFICE UNTIL THE 2014 ANNUAL MEETING</u> | 24 |
| <u>CURRENT DIRECTORS NOMINATED FOR REELECTION TO SERVE UNTIL THE 2015 ANNUAL MEETING</u> | 25 |
| <u>MEETINGS OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS</u> | 26 |
| <u>CORPORATE GOVERNANCE</u> | 26 |
| <u>BOARD LEADERSHIP STRUCTURE</u> | 26 |
| <u>RISK OVERSIGHT</u> | 27 |
| <u>INDEPENDENCE OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS</u> | 28 |
| <u>INFORMATION REGARDING THE COMMITTEES OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS</u> | 29 |
| <u>STOCKHOLDER COMMUNICATIONS WITH THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS</u> | 35 |
| <u>CODE OF BUSINESS CONDUCT AND ETHICS</u> | 35 |
| <u>ORGANIZATION AND COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION</u> | 36 |
| <u>DIRECTOR COMPENSATION TABLE</u> | 36 |
| <u>COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS</u> | 38 |
| <u>COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT</u> | 54 |
| <u>SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE FISCAL 2009-2011</u> | 55 |
| <u>DESCRIPTION OF EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENT</u> | 56 |
| <u>GRANTS OF PLAN BASED AWARDS IN 2011</u> | 57 |
| <u>OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR-END FOR 2011</u> | 59 |
| <u>OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED IN 2011</u> | 60 |
| <u>POTENTIAL PAYMENTS UPON TERMINATION OR CHANGE OF CONTROL</u> | 60 |
| <u>INFORMATION ABOUT OUR INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM</u> | 64 |
| <u>PRE-APPROVAL POLICIES AND PROCEDURES</u> | 64 |
| <u>REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS</u> | 64 |
| <u>OTHER MATTERS</u> | 66 |
| <u>ADDITIONAL INFORMATION</u> | 66 |
| <u>EXHIBIT A NEKTAR THERAPEUTICS 2012 PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE PLAN</u> | A-1 |

Table of Contents

NEKTAR THERAPEUTICS

455 Mission Bay Boulevard South

San Francisco, California 94158

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

TO BE HELD ON JUNE 28, 2012

AT 2:00 P.M. PACIFIC TIME

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THESE PROXY MATERIALS AND VOTING PROCEDURES

WHY AM I RECEIVING THESE MATERIALS?

We sent you a Notice of Availability of Proxy Materials (the Notice) because the board of directors of Nektar Therapeutics (Nektar, the company, we or us) is soliciting your proxy to vote at our 2012 annual meeting of stockholders (the Annual Meeting) to be held on June 28, 2012 at 2:00 p.m. local time at Nektar Therapeutics, 455 Mission Bay Boulevard South, San Francisco, California 94158. We invite you to attend the Annual Meeting to vote on the proposals described in this proxy statement. However, you do not need to attend the meeting to vote your shares. Instead, you may vote by proxy over the Internet or by phone by following the instructions provided in the Notice or, if you request printed copies of the proxy materials by mail, you may vote by mail.

The Notice was first sent or made available on or about May 18, 2012 to all stockholders of record entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting.

WHO CAN VOTE AT THE ANNUAL MEETING?

Only stockholders of record at the close of business on April 30, 2012 will be entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting. On this record date, there were 114,611,326 shares of common stock outstanding and entitled to vote.

Stockholder of Record: Shares Registered in Your Name

If, on April 30, 2012, your shares were registered directly in your name with our transfer agent, Computershare Investor Services, LLC, then you are a stockholder of record. The Notice will be sent to you by mail directly by us. As a stockholder of record, you may vote in person at the Annual Meeting or vote by proxy. Whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, we urge you to vote on the Internet or by phone as instructed in the Notice or by proxy by mail by requesting a paper copy of the proxy materials as instructed in the Notice to ensure your vote is counted.

Beneficial Owner: Shares Registered in the Name of a Broker, Bank or Other Agent

If, on April 30, 2012, your shares were held in an account at a brokerage firm, bank or other agent, then you are the beneficial owner of shares held in street name and the Notice is being forwarded to you by that organization. The organization holding your account is considered the

Edgar Filing: NEKTAR THERAPEUTICS - Form DEF 14A

stockholder of record for purposes of voting at the Annual Meeting. As a beneficial owner, you have the right to direct your broker, bank or other agent on how to vote the shares in your account. Your brokerage firm, bank or other agent will not be able to vote in the election of directors unless they have your voting instructions, so it is very important that you indicate your voting instructions to the institution holding your shares.

Table of Contents

You are also invited to attend the Annual Meeting. However, since you are not the stockholder of record, you may not vote your shares in person at the Annual Meeting unless you request and obtain a valid proxy from your broker, bank or other agent.

WHAT AM I VOTING ON?

There are four matters scheduled for a vote:

Proposal 1: To elect three directors with terms to expire at the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Proposal 2: To approve the 2012 Performance Incentive Plan and the reservation of an additional 5,300,000 shares of common stock authorized for issuance thereunder.

Proposal 3: To ratify the selection of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for our fiscal year ending December 31, 2012.

Proposal 4: To approve a non-binding advisory resolution regarding our executive compensation (a say-on-pay vote).

HOW ARE PROXY MATERIALS DISTRIBUTED?

Under rules adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), we are sending the Notice to our stockholders of record and beneficial owners as of April 30, 2012. Stockholders will have the ability to access the proxy materials, including this proxy statement and our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, on the Internet at www.nektar.com or to request a printed or electronic set of the proxy materials at no charge. Instructions on how to access the proxy materials over the Internet and how to request a printed copy may be found on the Notice.

In addition, any stockholder may request to receive proxy materials in printed form by mail or electronically by email on an ongoing basis. Choosing to receive future proxy materials by email will save us the cost of printing and mailing documents to stockholders and will reduce the impact of annual meetings on the environment. A stockholder who chooses to receive future proxy materials by email will receive an email prior to next year's annual meeting with instructions containing a link to those materials and a link to the proxy voting website. A stockholder's election to receive proxy materials by email will remain in effect until the stockholder terminates it.

HOW DO I VOTE?

You may either vote For or Against or abstain from voting with respect to each nominee to the board of directors. For proposals 2, 3 and 4, you may vote For or Against or abstain from voting. The procedures for voting are:

Stockholder of Record: Shares Registered in Your Name

If you are a stockholder of record as of April 30, 2012, you may vote in person at the Annual Meeting, vote by proxy over the Internet or by phone by following the instructions provided in the Notice or, if you request printed copies of the proxy materials by mail, you may vote by mail. If your proxy is properly executed in time to be voted at the Annual Meeting, the shares represented by the proxy will be voted in accordance with the instructions you provide. Whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, we urge you to vote by proxy to ensure your vote is counted. You may still attend the Annual Meeting and vote in person if you have already voted by proxy.

1. To vote in person, come to the Annual Meeting and we will give you a ballot when you arrive.

Table of Contents

2. To vote on the Internet, go to www.proxyvote.com to complete an electronic proxy card. You will be asked to provide the 12-digit control number from the Notice and follow the instructions. Your vote must be received by 11:59 p.m., Eastern Time on June 27, 2012 to be counted.
3. To vote by phone, request a paper or email copy of the proxy materials by following the instructions on the Notice and call the number provided with the proxy materials to transmit your voting instructions. Your vote must be received by 11:59 p.m., Eastern Time on June 27, 2012 to be counted.
4. To vote by mail, request a paper copy of the proxy materials by following the instructions on the Notice and complete, sign and date the proxy card enclosed with the paper copy of the proxy materials and return it promptly in the envelope provided. If you return your signed proxy card to us before the Annual Meeting, we will vote your shares as you direct.

Beneficial Owner: Shares Registered in the Name of a Broker, Bank or Other Agent

If you are a beneficial owner of shares registered in the name of your broker, bank or other agent, you should have received a Notice and voting instructions from that organization rather than from us. Simply follow the instructions to ensure that your vote is counted. To vote in person at the Annual Meeting, you must obtain a valid proxy from your broker, bank or other agent. Follow the instructions from your broker, bank or other agent included with the Notice, or contact your broker, bank or other agent.

We provide Internet proxy voting to allow you to vote your shares on-line, with procedures designed to ensure the authenticity and correctness of your proxy vote instructions. However, please be aware that you must bear any costs associated with your Internet access, such as usage charges from Internet access providers and telephone companies.

HOW MANY VOTES DO I HAVE?

On each matter to be voted upon, you have one vote for each share of common stock you owned as of April 30, 2012.

WHAT IS THE QUORUM REQUIREMENT?

A quorum of stockholders is necessary to take any action at the meeting (other than to adjourn the meeting). The presence, in person or by proxy duly authorized, of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of stock entitled to vote will constitute a quorum. On April 30, 2012, there were 114,611,326 shares outstanding and entitled to vote.

Your shares will be counted towards the quorum only if you submit a valid proxy or vote in person at the Annual Meeting. Abstentions and broker non-votes will be counted towards the quorum requirement. If there is no quorum, the chairman of the Annual Meeting or a majority of the votes present at the Annual Meeting may adjourn the Annual Meeting to another date.

WHAT IF I RETURN A PROXY CARD BUT DO NOT MAKE SPECIFIC CHOICES?

If you are a stockholder of record and you return a proxy card without marking any voting selections, your shares will be voted:

1. Proposal 1: For election of all three nominees for director.
2. Proposal 2: For the approval of the 2012 Performance Incentive Plan and the reservation of an additional 5,300,000 shares of common stock authorized for issuance thereunder.
3. Proposal 3: For the ratification of the audit committee's selection of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for our fiscal year ending December 31, 2012.

Table of Contents

4. Proposal 4: For the approval of the resolution regarding executive compensation. If any other matter is properly presented at the meeting, your proxy (one of the individuals named on your proxy card) will vote your shares using his best judgment.

If you are a beneficial owner of shares registered in the name of your broker, bank or other agent, your shares are held by your broker, bank or other agent as your nominee (that is, in street name) and you will need to obtain a proxy form from the organization that holds your shares and follow the instructions included on that form regarding how to instruct the organization to vote your shares. If you do not give instructions to your broker, bank or other agent, it can vote your shares with respect to discretionary items but not with respect to non-discretionary items. Discretionary items are proposals considered routine under the rules of various national securities exchanges, and, in the absence of your voting instructions, your broker, bank or other agent may vote your shares held in street name on such proposals. Non-discretionary items are proposals considered non-routine under the rules of various national securities exchanges, and, in the absence of your voting instructions, your broker, bank or other agent may not vote your shares held in street name on such proposals and the shares will be treated as broker non-votes. Proposals 1, 2 and 4 are matters considered non-routine under the applicable rules. If you do not give your broker specific instructions, the broker may not vote your shares on Proposals 1, 2 and 4 and therefore there may be broker non-votes on Proposals 1, 2 and 4. Proposal 3 involves a matter we believe to be routine and thus if you do not give instructions to your broker, the broker may vote your shares in its discretion on Proposal 3 and therefore no broker non-votes are expected to exist in connection with Proposal 3.

HOW ARE VOTES COUNTED?

Votes will be counted by the inspector of election appointed for the Annual Meeting, who will count, with respect to Proposals 1, 2, 3 and 4, For votes, Against votes and abstentions, and with respect to Proposals 1, 2 and 4, broker non-votes.

WHO WILL SERVE AS INSPECTOR OF ELECTIONS?

A representative of Broadridge Financial Solutions, Inc. will serve as the inspector of elections.

HOW MANY VOTES ARE NEEDED TO APPROVE EACH PROPOSAL?

For Proposal 1 electing three members of the board of directors, each director must receive a For vote from a majority of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote on the election of directors. A majority of votes cast shall mean that the number of shares voted For a director's election exceeds fifty percent (50%) of the number of votes cast with respect to that director's election, with votes cast including votes Against in each case and excluding abstentions with respect to that director's election.

For Proposal 2 approving the 2012 Performance Incentive Plan, the proposal must receive a For vote from the majority of the shares present and properly cast either in person or by proxy.

For Proposal 3 ratifying the audit committee's selection of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for our fiscal year ending December 31, 2012, the proposal must receive a For vote from the majority of the shares present and properly cast either in person or by proxy.

For Proposal 4 approving the resolution regarding executive compensation, the proposal must receive a For vote from the majority of the shares present and properly cast either in person or by proxy.

Table of Contents

WHO IS PAYING FOR THIS PROXY SOLICITATION?

We will pay for the entire cost of soliciting proxies. In addition to the Notice and the proxy materials, our directors and employees may also solicit proxies in person, by telephone or by other means of communication. We will not pay our directors and employees any additional compensation for soliciting proxies. We may also reimburse brokerage firms, banks and other agents for the cost of forwarding the Notice and any other proxy materials to beneficial owners.

WHAT DOES IT MEAN IF I RECEIVE MORE THAN ONE NOTICE?

If you receive more than one Notice, your shares are registered in more than one name or are registered in different accounts. Please vote by proxy according to each Notice to ensure that all of your shares are voted.

CAN I CHANGE MY VOTE AFTER SUBMITTING MY PROXY?

Yes, you can revoke your proxy at any time before the final vote at the Annual Meeting. If you are a stockholder of record, you may revoke your proxy in any one of three ways:

1. A duly executed proxy card with a later date or time than the previously submitted proxy;
2. A written notice that you are revoking your proxy to our Secretary, care of Nektar Therapeutics, at 455 Mission Bay Boulevard South, San Francisco, California 94158; or
3. A later-dated vote on the Internet or by phone or a ballot cast in person at the Annual Meeting (simply attending the Annual Meeting will not, by itself, revoke your proxy).

If you are a beneficial owner, you may revoke your proxy by submitting new instructions to your broker, bank or other agent, or if you have received a proxy from your broker, bank or other agent giving you the right to vote your shares at the Annual Meeting, by attending the meeting and voting in person.

WHEN ARE STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS DUE FOR NEXT YEAR'S ANNUAL MEETING?

Pursuant to Rule 14a-8 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), some stockholder proposals may be eligible for inclusion in our 2013 proxy statement. Any such proposal must be submitted in writing by January 13, 2013, to our Secretary, care of Nektar Therapeutics, 455 Mission Bay Boulevard South, San Francisco, California 94158. If we change the date of our 2013 annual meeting by more than 30 days from the date of the previous year's annual meeting, the deadline shall be a reasonable time before we begin to print and send our proxy materials. Stockholders interested in submitting such a proposal are advised to contact knowledgeable counsel with regard to the detailed requirements of the applicable securities laws and our bylaws. The submission of a stockholder proposal does not guarantee that it will be included in our proxy statement.

Alternatively, under our bylaws, if you wish to submit a proposal that is not to be included in next year's proxy statement or nominate a director, you must provide specific information to us no earlier than March 30, 2013 and no later than the close of business on April 29, 2013. If we change the date of our 2013 annual meeting by more than 30 days from the date of the previous year's annual meeting, the deadline shall be changed to not later than the sixtieth day prior to such annual meeting and no earlier than the close of business on the ninetieth day prior to such annual meeting. In the event we provide less than 70 days' notice or prior public disclosure of the date of the annual meeting, the stockholder proposal or nomination must be received not later than the tenth day following the day on which such notice of the date of the annual meeting was mailed or such public disclosure was made. You are advised to review our bylaws, which contain additional requirements with respect to advance notice of stockholder proposals and director nominees.

Table of Contents

A stockholder's submission must include certain specific information concerning the proposal or nominee, as the case may be, and information as to the stockholder's ownership of our common stock. Proposals or nominations not meeting these requirements will not be entertained at any annual meeting.

In relation to stockholder proposals and nominations, in certain instances we may exercise discretionary voting authority under proxies held by the board of directors. For instance, if we do not receive a stockholder proposal by April 29, 2013, we may exercise discretionary voting authority under proxies held by the board of directors on such stockholder proposal. If we change the date of our 2013 annual meeting by more than 30 days from the date of the previous year's annual meeting, the deadline will change to a reasonable time before we begin to print and send our proxy materials. In addition, even if we are notified of a stockholder proposal within the time requirements discussed above, if the stockholder does not comply with certain requirements of the Exchange Act, we may exercise discretionary voting authority under proxies held by the board of directors on such stockholder proposal if we include advice in our proxy statement on the nature of the matter and how we intend to exercise our discretion to vote on the matter.

WHAT IS HOUSEHOLDING AND HOW DOES IT AFFECT ME?

We have adopted a procedure approved by the SEC called householding. Under this procedure, stockholders who have the same address may receive only one copy of the Notice, unless one or more of these stockholders notifies us that they wish to receive individual copies of the Notice and, if requested, other proxy materials. This process potentially means extra convenience for stockholders and cost savings for companies.

If you are a beneficial owner of our common stock, once you receive notice from your broker, bank or other agent that they will be householding communications to your address, householding will continue until you are notified otherwise or until you revoke your consent. If, at any time, you no longer wish to participate in householding and would prefer to receive separate Notices or other proxy materials, please notify your broker, bank or other agent, direct your written request to Nektar Therapeutics, Secretary, 455 Mission Bay Boulevard South, San Francisco, California 94158 or contact our Secretary at (415) 482-5300. Stockholders who currently receive multiple copies of the Notice or other proxy materials at their address and would like to request householding of their communications should contact their broker, bank or other agent.

HOW CAN I FIND OUT THE RESULTS OF THE VOTING AT THE ANNUAL MEETING?

Preliminary voting results will be announced at the Annual Meeting. Final voting results will be published in a Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC within four business days following the Annual Meeting.

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL 1

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Our board of directors is presently comprised of nine (9) directors and is divided into three (3) classes. Each class consists of one third of the total number of directors, and each class has a three (3) year term. There are three (3) current directors in Class II, whose term of office expires in 2012: Robert B. Chess, Susan Wang and Roy A. Whitfield. Each of the current directors in Class II has been nominated for reelection at the Annual Meeting. Messrs. Chess and Whitfield and Ms. Wang were all previously elected by the stockholders. Vacancies on the board, including vacancies created by an increase in the number of directors, are filled only by persons elected by a majority of the remaining directors. A director elected by the board to fill a vacancy in a class serves until the earlier of the remainder of the full term of that class, that director's successor is elected and qualified or their death, resignation or removal.

Directors are elected by a majority of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote on the election of directors. A majority of votes cast shall mean that the number of shares voted For a director's election exceeds fifty percent (50%) of the number of votes cast with respect to that director's election, with votes cast including votes Against in each case and excluding abstentions with respect to that director's election. Shares represented by executed proxies will be voted for the election of the three nominees named below, unless the Against or Abstain voting selection has been marked on the proxy card. If any nominee becomes unavailable for election as a result of an unexpected occurrence, shares that would otherwise be voted for such nominee will be voted for the election of a substitute nominee proposed by the nominating and corporate governance committee and nominated by the board of directors. Each person nominated for election has agreed to serve if elected. Our management has no reason to believe that any nominee will be unable to serve. If elected at the Annual Meeting, each of the nominees will serve until the earlier of the 2015 annual meeting, their successor is elected and qualified or their death, resignation or removal.

The following is a brief biography of each nominee.

Robert B. Chess

Robert B. Chess, age 55, is the Chairman of our board of directors and has served as a director since May 1992. He is currently the chairman of the board of directors of OPX Biotechnologies, a private company in the renewable fuels and chemicals field, and chairman of the board of directors of Germitec SAS, a private company in the medical instrument disinfection field. From March 2006 until January 2007, Mr. Chess served as our Acting President and Chief Executive Officer, and from April 1999 to January 2007, served as Executive Chairman. He also served as our Co-Chief Executive Officer from August 1998 to April 2000, as President from December 1991 to August 1998, and as Chief Executive Officer from May 1992 to August 1998. Mr. Chess was previously the co-Founder and President of Penederm, Inc., a publicly-traded dermatological pharmaceutical company that was sold to Mylan Laboratories. He has held management positions at Intel Corporation and Metaphor Computer Systems (now part of IBM), and was a member of the first President Bush's White House staff as a White House Fellow and Associate Director of the White House Office of Economic and Domestic Policy. From 1997 until his retirement in 2009, Mr. Chess served on the board of directors of the Biotechnology Industry Organization (BIO). Mr. Chess served as Chairman of BIO's Emerging Companies Section and Co-Chairman of BIO's Intellectual Property Committee. Mr. Chess was the initial Chairman of Bio Ventures for Global Health and continues to serve on its board. He also serves on the Board of Trustees of the California Institute of Technology and as a trustee of the Committee for Economic Development where he is co-chairman of its Health Care task force. Mr. Chess also serves as a director of NanOasis, Inc., a private company focused on desalinization technology, and Pelvalon, Inc., a private medical device company. He is currently a member of the faculty of the Stanford Graduate School of Business, where he teaches courses in the MBA program on starting technology-based businesses and the healthcare industry. Mr. Chess received his B.S. degree in Engineering from the California Institute of Technology and an M.B.A. from Harvard.

Table of Contents

Susan Wang

Susan Wang, age 61, has served as our director since December 2003. Ms. Wang, who retired from Solectron Corporation in May 2002, served in various management positions there from 1984 to June 2002. Her final position at Solectron, an electronics manufacturing services and supply chain solutions company, was Executive Vice President for Corporate Development and Chief Financial Officer, a position she held from September 2001 to June 2002. Prior to joining Solectron, Ms. Wang held financial and managerial positions with Xerox Corporation and Westvaco Corporation. She began her career with Price Waterhouse & Co. in New York and is a certified public accountant. Ms. Wang is also a director of Altera Corporation, a programmable semiconductor company, Cirrus Logic, Inc., an analog chip company, and Suntech Power Holdings Co., Ltd., a solar energy company. In addition, Ms. Wang served as a director of Calpine Corporation, an independent power generation company, from 2003 to 2009, Avanex Corporation, a telecommunications component and sub-systems provider, from 2002 to 2009, and Rae Systems Inc., a developer of sensory technology for hazardous materials from 2009 to 2011. Ms. Wang holds an M.B.A. from the University of Connecticut and a B.S. in accounting from the University of Texas.

Roy A. Whitfield

Roy A. Whitfield, age 58, has served as our director since August 2000. Mr. Whitfield is the former Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Incyte Corporation, a drug discovery and development company he co-founded in 1991. From January 1993 to November 2001, Mr. Whitfield served as its Chief Executive Officer and from November 2001 until June 2003 as its Chairman. From 1984 to 1989, Mr. Whitfield held senior operating and business development positions with Technicon Instruments Corporation, a medical instrumentation company, and its predecessor company, Cooper Biomedical, Inc., a biotechnology and medical diagnostics company. Prior to his work at Technicon, Mr. Whitfield spent seven years with the Boston Consulting Group's international consulting practice. He currently serves as a director of Incyte Corporation, Illumina, Inc., a developer, manufacturer and marketer of integrated systems for analysis of genetic variations and biological functions, and Station X, Inc., a private development stage company. Since February 2008, he has also served as Executive Chairman of the board of directors of Bioseek. Mr. Whitfield received a B.S. in mathematics from Oxford University and an M.B.A. from Stanford University.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR THE ELECTION OF EACH NAMED NOMINEE.

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL 2

APPROVAL OF THE NEKTAR THERAPEUTICS

2012 PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE PLAN

General

At the Annual Meeting, stockholders will be asked to approve the Nektar Therapeutics 2012 Performance Incentive Plan (the 2012 Plan), which was adopted, subject to stockholder approval, by the board of directors on April 4, 2012.

The company believes that incentives and stock-based awards focus employees on the objective of creating stockholder value and promoting the success of the company, and that incentive compensation plans like the proposed 2012 Plan are an important attraction, retention and motivation tool for participants in the plan.

The company currently maintains the Nektar Therapeutics 2008 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended (the 2008 Plan), and the Nektar Therapeutics 2000 Non-Officer Equity Incentive Plan, as amended and restated (the 2000 Non-Officer Plan , and together with the 2008 Plan, the Existing Plans). The company also maintains the Nektar Therapeutics 2000 Equity Incentive Plan (the 2000 Plan , and together with the Existing Plans, the Prior Plans). On February 9, 2010, the 2000 Plan expired. As a result, no new awards may be granted under the 2000 Plan. As of January 1, 2012, a total of 17,182,151 shares of the company s common stock were then subject to outstanding awards granted under the Prior Plans (1,954,160 shares under the 2008 Plan, 7,131,444 shares under the 2000 Non-Officer Plan, and 8,096,547 shares under the 2000 Plan), and an additional 7,636,433 shares of the company s common stock were then available for new award grants under the Existing Plans (7,030,715 shares under the 2008 Plan and 605,718 shares under the 2000 Non-Officer Plan). The company s outstanding options generally may not be transferred to third parties for value and do not include dividend equivalent rights.

The board of directors approved the 2012 Plan based, in part, on a belief that the number of shares currently available under the Existing Plans does not give the company sufficient authority and flexibility to adequately provide for future incentives. If stockholders approve the 2012 Plan, then the following will occur:

No new awards will be granted under the Existing Plans after the Annual Meeting.

The number of shares that are available for issuance under the Existing Plans as of the date of the Annual Meeting will then become available for issuance under the 2012 Plan.

An additional 5,300,000 new shares of the company s common stock will be made available for award grants under the 2012 Plan.

Any shares of common stock subject to outstanding awards under the Prior Plans that expire, are cancelled, or otherwise terminate at any time after December 31, 2011 will also be available for award grant purposes under the 2012 Plan.

If stockholders do not approve the 2012 Plan, the company will continue to have the authority to grant awards under the Existing Plans. If stockholders approve the 2012 Plan, the termination of our grant authority under the Existing Plans will not affect awards then outstanding under the Existing Plans.

Summary Description of the 2012 Performance Incentive Plan

The principal terms of the 2012 Plan are summarized below. The following summary is qualified in its entirety by the full text of the 2012 Plan, which appears as Exhibit A to this proxy statement.

Purpose. The purpose of the 2012 Plan is to promote the success of the company and the interests of our stockholders by providing an additional means for us to attract, motivate, retain and reward directors, officers, employees and other eligible persons through the grant of awards and

Edgar Filing: NEKTAR THERAPEUTICS - Form DEF 14A

incentives for high levels of individual performance and improved financial performance of the company. Equity-based awards are also intended to further align the interests of award recipients and our stockholders.

Table of Contents

Administration. Our board of directors or one or more committees appointed by our board of directors will administer the 2012 Plan. Our board of directors has delegated general administrative authority for the 2012 Plan to the Compensation Committee. A committee may delegate some or all of its authority with respect to the 2012 Plan to another committee of directors, and certain limited authority to grant awards to employees may be delegated to one or more officers of the company. (The appropriate acting body, be it the board of directors, a committee within its delegated authority, or an officer within his or her delegated authority, is referred to in this proposal as the Administrator).

The Administrator has broad authority under the 2012 Plan with respect to award grants including, without limitation, the authority:

to select participants and determine the type(s) of award(s) that they are to receive;

to determine the number of shares that are to be subject to awards and the terms and conditions of awards, including the price (if any) to be paid for the shares or the award;

to cancel, modify, or waive the company's rights with respect to, or modify, discontinue, suspend, or terminate any or all outstanding awards, subject to any required consents;

to accelerate or extend the vesting or exercisability or extend the term of any or all outstanding awards;

subject to the other provisions of the 2012 Plan, to make certain adjustments to an outstanding award and to authorize the conversion, succession or substitution of an award; and

to allow the purchase price of an award or shares of the company's common stock to be paid in the form of cash, check, or electronic funds transfer, by the delivery of already-owned shares of the company's common stock or by a reduction of the number of shares deliverable pursuant to the award, by services rendered by the recipient of the award, by notice and third party payment or cashless exercise on such terms as the Administrator may authorize, or any other form permitted by law.

No Repricing. In no case (except due to an adjustment to reflect a stock split or other event referred to under Adjustments below, or any repricing that may be approved by stockholders) will the Administrator (1) amend an outstanding stock option or stock appreciation right to reduce the exercise price or base price of the award, (2) cancel, exchange, or surrender an outstanding stock option or stock appreciation right in exchange for cash or other awards for the purpose of repricing the award, or (3) cancel, exchange, or surrender an outstanding stock option or stock appreciation right in exchange for an option or stock appreciation right with an exercise or base price that is less than the exercise or base price of the original award.

Eligibility. Persons eligible to receive awards under the 2012 Plan include officers or employees of the company or any of its subsidiaries, directors of the company, and certain consultants and advisors to the company or any of its subsidiaries. Currently, approximately 425 officers and employees of the company and its subsidiaries (including all of the Named Executive Officers), and each of the company's 8 non-employee directors, are considered eligible under the 2012 Plan.

Authorized Shares; Limits on Awards. The maximum number of shares of the company's common stock that may be issued or transferred pursuant to awards under the 2012 Plan equals: (1) 12,936,433 shares (which represents the sum of the 7,636,433 shares available for new grants under the Existing Plans as of December 31, 2011 and the additional 5,300,000 new shares that will be available for grants under the 2012 Plan if stockholders approve this proposal), less (2) the number of any shares subject to awards granted under the Existing Plans after December 31, 2011 and on or before the date of the Annual Meeting, plus (3) the number of any shares subject to stock options granted under the Prior Plans and outstanding as of December 31, 2011 which expire, or for any reason are cancelled or terminated, after that date without being exercised, plus (4) the number of any shares subject to restricted stock and restricted stock unit awards granted under the Prior Plans that are outstanding and unvested as of December 31, 2011 which are forfeited, terminated, cancelled, or otherwise reacquired after that date without having become vested. For these purposes, shares subject to awards granted under the Prior Plans

Table of Contents

that are full-value awards (as described below) will be taken into account based on the full-value award ratio described below. As of December 31, 2011, approximately 17,182,151 shares were subject to awards then outstanding under the Prior Plans. As noted above, no additional awards will be granted under the Existing Plans if stockholders approve the 2012 Plan.

Shares issued in respect of any full-value award granted under the 2012 Plan will be counted against the share limit described in the preceding paragraph as 1.50 shares for every one share actually issued in connection with the award. For example, if the company granted 100 shares of its common stock under the 2012 Plan, 150 shares would be charged against the share limit with respect to that award. For this purpose, a full-value award generally means any award granted under the plan other than a stock option or stock appreciation right.

The following other limits are also contained in the 2012 Plan:

The maximum number of shares that may be delivered pursuant to options qualified as incentive stock options granted under the plan is 9,500,000.

The maximum number of shares subject to those options and stock appreciation rights that are granted during any calendar year to any individual under the plan is 3,000,000 shares.

Performance-Based Awards under Section 5.2 of the 2012 Plan granted to a participant in any one calendar year will not provide for payment of more than (1) in the case of awards payable only in cash and not related to shares, \$5,000,000, and (2) in the case of awards related to shares (and in addition to options and stock appreciation rights which are subject to the limit referred to above), 3,000,000 shares.

Except as described in the next sentence, shares that are subject to or underlie awards which expire or for any reason are cancelled or terminated, are forfeited, fail to vest, or for any other reason are not paid or delivered under the 2012 Plan will again be available for subsequent awards under the 2012 Plan (with any such shares subject to full-value awards increasing the plan's share limit based on the full value award ratio described above). Shares that are exchanged by a participant or withheld by the company to pay the exercise price of an award granted under the 2012 Plan, as well as any shares exchanged or withheld to satisfy the tax withholding obligations related to any award, will not be available for subsequent awards under the 2012 Plan. To the extent that an award is settled in cash or a form other than shares, the shares that would have been delivered had there been no such cash or other settlement will again be available for subsequent awards under the 2012 Plan (with any such shares subject to full-value awards increasing the plan's share limit based on the full-value award ratio described above). In the event that shares are delivered in respect of a dividend equivalent right, the actual number of shares delivered with respect to the award shall be counted against the share limits of the 2012 Plan. (For purposes of clarity, if 1,000 dividend equivalent rights are granted and outstanding when the company pays a dividend, and 50 shares are delivered in payment of those rights with respect to that dividend, 75 shares (after adjustment for the full-value award share counting ratio described above) shall be counted against the share limits of the plan.) To the extent that shares are delivered pursuant to the exercise of a stock appreciation right or stock option, the number of underlying shares as to which the exercise related shall be counted against the applicable share limits, as opposed to only counting the shares actually issued. (For purposes of clarity, if a stock appreciation right relates to 100,000 shares and is exercised at a time when the payment due to the participant is 15,000 shares, 100,000 shares shall be charged against the applicable share limits with respect to such exercise.) In addition, the 2012 Plan generally provides that shares issued in connection with awards that are granted by or become obligations of the company through the assumption of awards (or in substitution for awards) in connection with an acquisition of another company will not count against the shares available for issuance under the 2012 Plan. The company may not increase the applicable share limits of the 2012 Plan by repurchasing shares of common stock on the market (by using cash received through the exercise of stock options or otherwise).

Types of Awards. The 2012 Plan authorizes stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, stock bonuses and other forms of awards granted or denominated in the company's common stock or units of the company's common stock, as well as cash bonus awards. The 2012 Plan retains flexibility to offer competitive incentives and to tailor benefits to specific needs and circumstances. Any award may be paid or settled in cash.

Table of Contents

A stock option is the right to purchase shares of the company's common stock at a future date at a specified price per share (the exercise price). The per share exercise price of an option generally may not be less than the fair market value of a share of the company's common stock on the date of grant. The maximum term of an option is eight years from the date of grant. An option may either be an incentive stock option or a nonqualified stock option. Incentive stock option benefits are taxed differently from nonqualified stock options, as described under Federal Income Tax Consequences of Awards Under the 2012 Plan below. Incentive stock options are also subject to more restrictive terms and are limited in amount by the U.S. Internal Revenue Code and the 2012 Plan. Incentive stock options may only be granted to employees of the company or a subsidiary.

A stock appreciation right is the right to receive payment of an amount equal to the excess of the fair market value of share of the company's common stock on the date of exercise of the stock appreciation right over the base price of the stock appreciation right. The base price will be established by the Administrator at the time of grant of the stock appreciation right and generally may not be less than the fair market value of a share of the company's common stock on the date of grant. Stock appreciation rights may be granted in connection with other awards or independently. The maximum term of a stock appreciation right is eight years from the date of grant.

The other types of awards that may be granted under the 2012 Plan include, without limitation, stock bonuses, restricted stock, performance stock, stock units, dividend equivalents, or similar rights to purchase or acquire shares, and cash awards.

Performance-Based Awards. The Administrator may grant awards that are intended to be performance-based awards within the meaning of Section 162(m) of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code (Performance-Based Awards). Performance-Based Awards are in addition to any of the other types of awards that may be granted under the 2012 Plan (including options and stock appreciation rights which may also qualify as performance-based awards for Section 162(m) purposes). Performance-Based Awards may be in the form of restricted stock, performance stock, stock units, other rights, or cash bonus opportunities.

The vesting or payment of Performance-Based Awards (other than options or stock appreciation rights) will depend on the absolute or relative performance of the company on a consolidated, subsidiary, segment, division, or business unit basis. The Administrator will establish the criterion or criteria and target(s) on which performance will be measured. The Administrator must establish criteria and targets in advance of applicable deadlines under the U.S. Internal Revenue Code and while the attainment of the performance targets remains substantially uncertain. The criteria that the Administrator may use for this purpose will include one or more of the following: earnings per share, cash flow (which means cash and cash equivalents derived from either net cash flow from operations or net cash flow from operations, financing and investing activities), working capital, stock price, total stockholder return, gross revenue, revenue growth, gross profit, operating income (before or after taxes), net earnings (before or after interest, taxes, depreciation and/or amortization), gross margin, operating margin, net margin, return on equity or on assets or on net investment, cost containment or reduction, regulatory submissions or approvals, manufacturing production, completion of strategic partnerships, research milestones or any combination thereof. The performance measurement period with respect to an award may range from three months to ten years. Performance targets will be adjusted to mitigate the unbudgeted impact of material, unusual or nonrecurring gains and losses, accounting changes or other extraordinary events not foreseen at the time the targets were set unless the Administrator provides otherwise at the time of establishing the targets.

Performance-Based Awards may be paid in stock or in cash (in either case, subject to the limits described under the heading Authorized Shares; Limits on Awards above). Before any Performance-Based Award (other than an option or stock appreciation right) is paid, the Administrator must certify that the performance target or targets have been satisfied. The Administrator has discretion to determine the performance target or targets and any other restrictions or other limitations of Performance-Based Awards and may reserve discretion to reduce payments below maximum award limits.

Table of Contents

Dividend Equivalents; Deferrals. The Administrator may provide for the deferred payment of awards, and may determine the other terms applicable to deferrals. The Administrator may provide that awards under the 2012 Plan (other than options or stock appreciation rights), and/or deferrals, earn dividends or dividend equivalents based on the amount of dividends paid on outstanding shares of Common Stock, provided that as to any dividend equivalent rights granted in connection with an award granted under the 2012 Plan that is subject to performance-based vesting requirements, no dividend equivalent payment will be made unless the related performance-based vesting conditions of the award are satisfied (or, in the case of a restricted stock or similar award where the dividend must be paid as a matter of law, the dividend payment will be subject to forfeiture or repayment, as the case may be, if the related performance-based vesting conditions are not satisfied).

Assumption and Termination of Awards. Generally, and subject to limited exceptions set forth in the 2012 Plan, if the company dissolves or undergoes certain corporate transactions such as a merger, business combination, or other reorganization, or a sale of substantially all of its assets, all awards then-outstanding under the 2012 Plan will become fully vested or paid, as applicable, and will terminate or be terminated in such circumstances, unless the Administrator provides for the assumption, substitution or other continuation of the award. The Administrator also has the discretion to establish other change in control provisions with respect to awards granted under the 2012 Plan. For example, the Administrator could provide for the acceleration of vesting or payment of an award in connection with a corporate event that is not described above and provide that any such acceleration shall be automatic upon the occurrence of any such event.

Transfer Restrictions. Subject to certain exceptions contained in Section 5.7 of the 2012 Plan, awards under the 2012 Plan generally are not transferable by the recipient other than by will or the laws of descent and distribution and are generally exercisable, during the recipient's lifetime, only by the recipient. Any amounts payable or shares issuable pursuant to an award generally will be paid only to the recipient or the recipient's beneficiary or representative. The Administrator has discretion, however, to establish written conditions and procedures for the transfer of awards to other persons or entities, provided that such transfers comply with applicable federal and state securities laws and are not made for value (other than nominal consideration, settlement of marital property rights, or for interests in an entity in which more than 50% of the voting securities are held by the award recipient or by the recipient's family members).

Adjustments. As is customary in incentive plans of this nature, each share limit and the number and kind of shares available under the 2012 Plan and any outstanding awards, as well as the exercise or purchase prices of awards, and performance targets under certain types of performance-based awards, are subject to adjustment in the event of certain reorganizations, mergers, combinations, recapitalizations, stock splits, stock dividends, or other similar events that change the number or kind of shares outstanding, and extraordinary dividends or distributions of property to the stockholders.

No Limit on Other Authority. Except as expressly provided with respect to the termination of the authority to grant new awards under the Existing Plans if stockholders approve the 2012 Plan, the 2012 Plan does not limit the authority of the board of directors or any committee to grant awards or authorize any other compensation, with or without reference to the company's common stock, under any other plan or authority.

Termination of or Changes to the 2012 Plan. The board of directors may amend or terminate the 2012 Plan at any time and in any manner. Stockholder approval for an amendment will be required only to the extent then required by applicable law or any applicable listing agency or required under Sections 162, 422 or 424 of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code to preserve the intended tax consequences of the plan. For example, stockholder approval will be required for any amendment that proposes to increase the maximum number of shares that may be delivered with respect to awards granted under the 2012 Plan. (Adjustments as a result of stock splits or similar events will not, however, be considered an amendment requiring stockholder approval.) Unless terminated earlier by the board of directors, the authority to grant new awards under the 2012 Plan will terminate on April 3, 2022. Outstanding awards, as well as the Administrator's authority with respect thereto, generally will continue following the expiration or termination of the plan. Generally speaking, outstanding awards may be amended by the Administrator (except for a repricing), but the consent of the award holder is required if the amendment (or any plan amendment) materially and adversely affects the holder.

Table of Contents

Federal Income Tax Consequences of Awards under the 2012 Plan

The U.S. federal income tax consequences of the 2012 Plan under current federal law, which is subject to change, are summarized in the following discussion of the general tax principles applicable to the 2012 Plan. This summary is not intended to be exhaustive and, among other considerations, does not describe the deferred compensation provisions of Section 409A of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code to the extent an award is subject to and does not satisfy those rules, nor does it describe state, local, or international tax consequences.

With respect to nonqualified stock options, the company is generally entitled to deduct and the participant recognizes taxable income in an amount equal to the difference between the option exercise price and the fair market value of the shares at the time of exercise. With respect to incentive stock options, the company is generally not entitled to a deduction nor does the participant recognize income at the time of exercise, although the participant may be subject to the U.S. federal alternative minimum tax.

The current federal income tax consequences of other awards authorized under the 2012 Plan generally follow certain basic patterns: nontransferable restricted stock subject to a substantial risk of forfeiture results in income recognition equal to the excess of the fair market value over the price paid (if any) only at the time the restrictions lapse (unless the recipient elects to accelerate recognition as of the date of grant); bonuses, stock appreciation rights, cash and stock-based performance awards, dividend equivalents, stock units, and other types of awards are generally subject to tax at the time of payment; and compensation otherwise effectively deferred is taxed when paid. In each of the foregoing cases, the company will generally have a corresponding deduction at the time the participant recognizes income.

If an award is accelerated under the 2012 Plan in connection with a change in control (as this term is used under the U.S. Internal Revenue Code), the company may not be permitted to deduct the portion of the compensation attributable to the acceleration (parachute payments) if it exceeds certain threshold limits under the U.S. Internal Revenue Code (and certain related excise taxes may be triggered). Furthermore, the aggregate compensation in excess of \$1,000,000 attributable to awards that are not performance-based within the meaning of Section 162(m) of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code may not be permitted to be deducted by the company in certain circumstances.

Specific Benefits under the 2012 Performance Incentive Plan

The company has not approved any awards that are conditioned upon stockholder approval of the 2012 Plan. The company is not currently considering any other specific award grants under the 2012 Plan. If the 2012 Plan had been in existence in fiscal 2011, the company expects that its award grants for fiscal 2011 would not have been substantially different from those actually made in that year under the Existing Plans. For information regarding stock-based awards granted to the Named Executive Officers during fiscal 2011, see the material under the heading Executive Compensation below.

The closing market price for a share of the company's common stock as of April 27, 2012 was \$7.87 per share.

EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION

The company currently maintains four equity compensation plans: the 2008 Plan, the 2000 Non-Officer Plan, the 2000 Plan and the Employee Stock Purchase Plan, as amended and restated (the ESPP). With the exception of the 2000 Non-Officer Plan, these plans have each been approved by the company's stockholders. Stockholders are also being asked to approve a new equity compensation plan, the 2012 Plan, as described above.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth, for each of the company's equity compensation plans, the number of shares of common stock subject to outstanding awards, the weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, and the number of shares remaining available for future award grants as of December 31, 2011.

| Plan category | Number of shares of Common Stock to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights | Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights | Number of shares of Common Stock remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding shares reflected in the first column) |
|---|---|---|---|
| Equity compensation plans approved by stockholders | 10,050,707 ¹ | \$ 8.98 ² | 7,600,479 ³ |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by stockholders ⁴ | 7,131,444 ⁵ | \$ 9.73 ² | 605,718 |
| Total | 17,182,151 | \$ 9.29 ² | 8,206,197 |

¹ Of these shares, 1,939,160 shares were subject to options then outstanding under the 2008 Plan, and 15,000 shares were subject to restricted stock unit awards then outstanding under the 2008 Plan. In addition, 8,096,547 shares were subject to options then outstanding under the 2000 Plan and 0 shares were subject to stock unit awards then outstanding under the 2000 Plan. The company's authority to grant new awards under the 2000 Plan terminated on February 9, 2010.

² This weighted-average exercise price does not reflect the shares that will be issued upon the payment of outstanding restricted stock units.

³ This number of shares is presented after giving effect to the 56,492 shares purchased under the ESPP for the purchase period that ended November 30, 2011. Of the aggregate number of shares that remained available for future issuance, 7,030,715 shares were available under the 2008 Plan and 569,764 were available under the ESPP. No new awards will be granted under the 2008 Plan if stockholders approve the 2012 Plan.

⁴ This row reflects information for the 2000 Non-Officer Plan. The 2000 Non-Officer Plan was adopted by our board of directors on August 18, 1998, and was amended and restated in its entirety on June 6, 2000. The purpose of the 2000 Non-Officer Plan is to attract and retain qualified personnel, to provide additional incentives to employees and consultants and to promote the success of our business. Pursuant to the 2000 Non-Officer Plan, we may grant or issue non-qualified stock options, rights to acquire restricted stock and stock bonuses to employees and consultants who are neither officers nor directors of the company. The maximum term of a stock option under the 2000 Non-Officer Plan is eight years. The exercise price of stock options granted under the 2000 Non-Officer Plan is determined by our board of directors by reference to the closing price of our common stock on the Nasdaq Global Market on the date of grant. No new awards will be granted under the 2000 Non-Officer Plan if stockholders approve the 2012 Plan.

⁵ Of these shares, 7,010,364 were subject to options then outstanding under the 2000 Non-Officer Plan, and 121,080 were subject to restricted stock units then outstanding under the 2000 Non-Officer Plan.

Vote Required for Approval of the 2012 Performance Incentive Plan

The board of directors believes that the adoption of the 2012 Plan will promote the interests of the company and its stockholders and will help the company and its subsidiaries continue to be able to attract, retain and reward persons important to our success.

All members of the board of directors and all of the company's executive officers are eligible for awards under the 2012 Plan and thus have a personal interest in the approval of the 2012 Plan.

Approval of the 2012 Plan requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the common stock present, or represented, and entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE FOR APPROVAL OF THE 2012 PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE PLAN AS DESCRIBED ABOVE AND SET FORTH IN EXHIBIT A HERETO.

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL 3

RATIFICATION OF SELECTION OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The audit committee of the board of directors has selected Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2012, and has further directed that management submit the selection of our independent registered public accounting firm for ratification by the stockholders at the Annual Meeting. Ernst & Young LLP has audited our financial statements since our inception in 1990. Representatives of Ernst & Young LLP are expected to be present at the Annual Meeting. They will have an opportunity to make a statement if they so desire and will be available to respond to appropriate questions.

Neither our bylaws nor other governing documents or law require stockholder ratification of the selection of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm. However, the audit committee is submitting the selection of Ernst & Young LLP to the stockholders for ratification as a matter of good corporate practice. If the stockholders fail to ratify the selection, the audit committee will reconsider whether or not to retain Ernst & Young LLP. Even if the selection is ratified, the audit committee, in its discretion, may direct the appointment of a different independent registered public accounting firm at any time during the year if the committee determines that such a change would be in our best interests and our stockholders' best interest.

The affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares of our common stock present in person or represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting and cast on this proposal will be required to ratify the selection of Ernst & Young LLP for our fiscal year ending December 31, 2012. Abstentions will have the effect of a vote against the ratification of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm. Broker non-votes will have no effect on the outcome of the vote.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE IN FAVOR OF PROPOSAL 3.

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL 4

ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The board of directors is committed to excellence in governance and is aware of the significant interest in executive compensation matters by investors and the general public.

We have designed our executive compensation program to attract, motivate, reward and retain the senior management talent required to achieve our corporate objectives and increase stockholder value. We believe that our compensation policies and procedures are centered on pay-for-performance principles and are strongly aligned with the long-term interests of our stockholders.

We urge you to carefully review the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this proxy statement for details on our executive compensation, including our compensation philosophy and objectives and the 2011 compensation of the Named Executive Officers described in Information About the Executive Officers Compensation Discussion and Analysis Introduction.

We are presenting this proposal, which gives you as a stockholder the opportunity to endorse or not endorse our compensation program for the Named Executive Officers by voting for or against the following resolution (a say-on-pay vote), as required pursuant to Section 14A of the Exchange Act:

RESOLVED, that the compensation paid to the company's Named Executive Officers, as disclosed pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K promulgated by the SEC, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, compensation tables and related narrative discussion contained in the proxy statement for the company's 2012 Annual Meeting is hereby APPROVED.

While the vote on the resolution is advisory in nature and therefore will not bind us to take any particular action, our board of directors and our organization and compensation committee intend to carefully consider the stockholder vote resulting from the proposal in making future decisions regarding our compensation program.

Based on the results of voting at our 2011 annual meeting of stockholders, we have determined to hold a non-binding advisory vote on the compensation of Named Executive Officers every year, until the next non-binding advisory vote on the frequency of future advisory votes on the compensation of our Named Executive Officers. An advisory vote on the frequency of future advisory votes on the compensation paid to our Named Executive Officers is required to be held at least once every six years.

The affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast by holders of the shares of common stock present in person or represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting is required (on a non-binding advisory basis) for approval of this proposal. Abstentions are treated as shares represented in person or by proxy and entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting and, therefore, will have the effect of a vote against this proposal. Broker non-votes will have no effect on the outcome of the vote.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE IN FAVOR OF PROPOSAL 4.

Table of Contents**SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT**

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the ownership of our common stock as of April 15, 2012, by: (i) each director and nominee for director; (ii) each of our Named Executive Officers; (iii) all of our executive officers and directors as a group; and (iv) all those known by us to be beneficial owners of more than five percent of our common stock.

| Beneficial Owner | Beneficial Ownership ** | |
|--|-------------------------|------------------|
| | Number of Shares | Percent of Total |
| OppenheimerFunds, Inc. and related entities(1) | 22,700,000 | 19.8% |
| HealthCor Management, L.P. and related entities(2) | 11,158,100 | 9.7% |
| PRIMECAP Management Company(3) | 9,171,956 | 8.0% |
| Biotechnology Value Fund, L.P.(4) | 7,639,000 | 6.7% |
| Blackrock, Inc. and certain subsidiaries(5) | 6,900,241 | 6.0% |
| Robert B. Chess(6) | 596,082 | * |
| R. Scott Greer(7) | 120,833 | * |
| Joseph J. Krivulka(8) | 182,500 | * |
| Christopher A. Kuebler(9) | 210,000 | * |
| Lutz Lingnau(10) | 148,950 | * |
| Howard W. Robin(11) | 2,545,304 | 2.2% |
| Susan Wang(12) | 192,375 | * |
| Roy A. Whitfield(13) | 210,000 | * |
| Dennis Winger(14) | 83,750 | * |
| Stephen K. Doberstein(15) | 350,416 | * |
| Rinko Ghosh(16) | 446,468 | * |
| Gil M. Labrucherie(17) | 684,151 | * |
| John Nicholson(18) | 722,666 | * |
| All executive officers and directors as a group (16 persons) | 6,904,454 | 5.7% |

* Denotes ownership percentage less than 1%.

** This table is based upon information supplied by officers, directors and principal stockholders and Schedules 13G filed with the SEC. Unless otherwise indicated in the footnotes to this table, and subject to community property laws where applicable, we believe that each of the stockholders named in the table has sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares indicated as beneficially owned. Applicable percentages are based on 114,600,326 shares outstanding on April 15, 2012, adjusted as required by rules promulgated by the SEC.

- (1) Based solely on the Schedule 13G/A (Amendment No. 14) filed with the SEC on February 9, 2012 by OppenheimerFunds, Inc., a registered investment adviser under Section 203 of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, and Oppenheimer Global Opportunities Fund, an investment company registered under Section 8 of the Investment Company Act of 1940. Oppenheimer Global Opportunities Fund has sole voting and shared dispositive power with respect to 22,700,000 shares of our common stock. OppenheimerFunds, Inc. has shared voting and dispositive power with respect to the 22,700,000 shares of common stock beneficially owned by Oppenheimer Global Opportunities Fund. OppenheimerFunds, Inc. disclaims beneficial ownership as an investment adviser pursuant to Rule 13d-4 of the Exchange Act.
- (2) Based solely on the Schedule 13G/A (Amendment No. 5) filed with the SEC on February 13, 2012 by HealthCor Management, L.P. and related entities. Collectively, HealthCor, L.P., HealthCor Offshore Master Fund, L.P., HealthCor Hybrid Offshore Master Fund, L.P. and Healthcor Long Offshore Master Fund, L.P. (each a Fund and together, the Funds) are the beneficial owners of a total of 11,158,100 shares of our common stock. HealthCor Offshore GP, LLC is the general partner of HealthCor Offshore Master Fund, L.P. Accordingly, HealthCor Offshore GP, LLC may be deemed to beneficially own the shares of our common stock that are beneficially owned by HealthCor Offshore Master Fund, L.P. HealthCor Group, LLC is the general partner of HealthCor Offshore GP, LLC and, therefore, may be deemed to beneficially own

Table of Contents

the shares of our common stock that are beneficially owned by HealthCor Offshore Master Fund, L.P. HealthCor Hybrid Offshore GP, LLC is the general partner of HealthCor Hybrid Offshore Master Fund, L.P. Accordingly, HealthCor Hybrid Offshore GP, LLC may be deemed to beneficially own the shares of our common stock that are beneficially owned by HealthCor Hybrid Offshore Master Fund, L.P. HealthCor Group, LLC is the general partner of HealthCor Hybrid Offshore GP, LLC and, therefore, may be deemed to beneficially own the shares of our common stock that are beneficially owned by HealthCor Hybrid Offshore Master Fund, L.P. HealthCor Long Master GP, LLC is the general partner of HealthCor Long Offshore Master Fund, L.P. Accordingly, HealthCor Long Master GP, LLC may be deemed to beneficially own the shares of our common stock that are beneficially owned by HealthCor Hybrid Offshore Master Fund, L.P.

By virtue of its position as the investment manager of the Funds, HealthCor Management, L.P. may be deemed a beneficial owner of all the shares of our common stock owned by the Funds. HealthCor Associates, LLC is the general partner of HealthCor Management, L.P. and thus may also be deemed to beneficially own the shares of our common stock that are beneficially owned by the Funds. HealthCor Group LLC is the general partner of HealthCor Capital, L.P., which is in turn the general partner of HealthCor, L.P. Accordingly, each of HealthCor Capital L.P. and HealthCor Group, LLC may be deemed to beneficially own the shares of our common stock that are beneficially owned by HealthCor, L.P. As the Managers of HealthCor Associates, LLC, Arthur Cohen and Joseph Healey exercise both voting and investment power with respect to the shares of common stock reported in the Schedule 13G/A (Amendment No. 5) filed by HealthCor Management, L.P. and related entities, and therefore each may be deemed a beneficial owner of such common stock. Each of the reporting persons disclaims any beneficial ownership of any such shares of our common stock in excess of their actual pecuniary interest therein.

- (3) Based solely on the Schedule 13G/A (Amendment No. 1) filed with the SEC on February 13, 2012 by PRIMECAP Management Company, a registered investment adviser under Section 203 of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940. PRIMECAP Management Company has the sole voting power with respect to 7,153,556 shares of our common stock and sole dispositive power with respect to 9,171,956 shares of our common stock.
- (4) Based solely on the Schedule 13G/A (Amendment No. 1) filed with the SEC on February 10, 2012 by Biotechnology Value Fund, L.P. (BVF), Biotechnology Value Fund II, L.P. (BVF2), BVF Investments, L.L.C. (BVLLC), Investments 10, L.L.C. (ILL10), BVF Partners L.P. (Partners), BVF Inc. and Mark N. Lampert. BVF beneficially owns 459,300 shares of our common stock, BVF2 beneficially owns 281,400 shares of our common stock, BVLLC beneficially owns 6,747,200 shares of our common stock and ILL10 beneficially owns 151,100 shares of our common stock. Partners, as the general partner of BVF and BVF2, the manager of BVLLC and the investment advisor of ILL10, may be deemed to beneficially own the 7,639,000 shares beneficially owned in the aggregate by BVF, BVF2, BVLLC and ILL10. BVF Inc., as the general partner of Partners, may be deemed to beneficially own the 7,639,000 shares of our common stock beneficially owned by Partners. Mr. Lampert, as a director and officer of BVF Inc., may be deemed to beneficially own the 7,639,000 shares of our common stock beneficially owned by Partners. Each of Partners, BVF Inc. and Mr. Lampert disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares of our common stock owned by BVF, BVF2, BVLLC and ILL10.
- (5) Based solely on the Schedule 13G/A (Amendment No. 2) filed with the SEC on February 13, 2012 by Blackrock, Inc, a parent holding company or control person in accordance with Rule 13d-1(b)(1)(ii)(G). Blackrock, Inc. has the sole voting and dispositive power with respect to 6,900,241 shares of our common stock.
- (6) Includes (i) 335,459 shares issuable upon exercise of options exercisable within 60 days of April 15, 2012, and (ii) 4,914 shares issued pursuant to our 401(k) Retirement Plan.
- (7) Includes 77,500 shares issuable upon exercise of options exercisable within 60 days of April 15, 2012.
- (8) Includes 162,500 shares issuable upon exercise of options exercisable within 60 days of April 15, 2012.
- (9) Includes 190,000 shares issuable upon exercise of options exercisable within 60 days of April 15, 2012.
- (10) Includes 132,500 shares issuable upon exercise of options exercisable within 60 days of April 15, 2012.

Table of Contents

- (11) Includes (i) 2,534,894 shares issuable upon exercise of options exercisable within 60 days of April 15, 2012 and (ii) 410 shares owned by Mr. Robin s wife.
- (12) Includes 172,375 shares issuable upon exercise of options exercisable within 60 days of April 15, 2012.
- (13) Includes 190,000 shares issuable upon exercise of options exercisable within 60 days of April 15, 2012.
- (14) Includes 80,000 shares issuable upon exercise of options exercisable within 60 days of April 15, 2012.
- (15) Includes 350,416 shares issuable upon exercise of options exercisable within 60 days of April 15, 2012.
- (16) Includes (i) 436,715 shares issuable upon exercise of options exercisable within 60 days of April 15, 2012, (ii) 3,153 shares issued pursuant to our 401(k) Retirement Plan, and (iii) 1,500 shares issued pursuant to our Employee Stock Purchase Plan.
- (17) Includes (i) 680,400 shares issuable upon exercise of options exercisable within 60 days of April 15, 2012, (ii) 997 shares issued pursuant to our 401(k) Retirement Plan, and (iii) 250 shares issued pursuant to our Employee Stock Purchase Plan.
- (18) Includes 715,166 shares issuable upon exercise of options exercisable within 60 days of April 15, 2012.

SECTION 16(A) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires our directors and executive officers, and persons who beneficially own more than ten percent of a registered class of our equity securities, to file with the SEC initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership of our common stock and other equity securities. Officers, directors and greater than ten percent beneficial owners are required by SEC regulations to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file.

To our knowledge, based solely on our review of Forms 3, 4 and 5, and any amendments thereto, furnished to us or written representations that no Form 5 was required, we believe that during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, all filing requirements applicable to our executive officers and directors under the Exchange Act were met in a timely manner.

Table of Contents

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

We review all relationships and transactions between us and (i) any of our directors or executive officers, (ii) any nominee for election as a director, (iii) any security holder who is known to us to own beneficially or of record more than five percent of our common stock or (iv) any member of the immediate family of any of the foregoing. Our legal staff is primarily responsible for the development and implementation of processes and controls to obtain information with respect to related person transactions and for then determining, based on the facts and circumstances, whether the company or a related person has a direct or indirect material interest in the transaction. In addition, the audit committee reviews and approves or ratifies any related person transaction that is required to be disclosed. In the course of its review and approval or ratification of a disclosable related party transaction, the committee considers:

the nature of the related person's interest in the transaction;

the material terms of the transaction, including, without limitation, the dollar amount and type of transaction;

the importance of the transaction to the related person;

the importance of the transaction to the company;

whether the transaction would impair the judgment of a director or executive officer to act in the best interest of the company; and

any other matters the committee deems appropriate.

Any member of the audit committee who is a related person with respect to a transaction under review may not participate in the deliberations or vote respecting approval or ratification of the transaction, provided, however, that such director may be counted in determining the presence of a quorum at a meeting where the audit committee reviews the transaction.

As required under SEC rules, related party transactions that are determined to be directly or indirectly material to us or the related party are disclosed in our proxy statement. Historically, we have not entered into transactions with related parties. During the 2011 fiscal year, there were no relationships or transactions between us and any related party for which disclosure is required under the rules of the SEC.

INFORMATION ABOUT THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

The following is a brief biography of each current director, including each nominee for reelection at the Annual Meeting to a new term of office and each director whose current term of office continues through the Annual Meeting.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

DIRECTORS CONTINUING IN OFFICE UNTIL THE 2013 ANNUAL MEETING

R. Scott Greer

R. Scott Greer, age 53, has served as our director since February 2010. Since June 2003, Mr. Greer has served as Managing Director of Numenor Ventures, LLC, a venture capital firm. In 1996, Mr. Greer co-founded Abgenix, Inc., a company that specialized in the discovery, development and manufacture of human therapeutic antibodies, and from June 1996 through May 2002, he served as its Chief Executive Officer. He also served as a director of Abgenix from 1996 and Chairman of the board of directors from 2000 until the acquisition of Abgenix by Amgen, Inc. in April 2006. Prior to Abgenix's formation, Mr. Greer held senior management positions at Cell Genesys, Inc., a biotechnology company, initially as Chief Financial Officer and Vice President of Corporate Development and later as Senior Vice President of Corporate Development, and various positions at

Table of Contents

Genetics Institute, Inc., a biotechnology research and development company. Mr. Greer served as a member of the board of directors of Sirna Therapeutics, Inc., a biotechnology company, from 2003, and as its Chairman of the board of directors from 2005, through the closing of the acquisition of Sirna by Merck & Co., Inc. in December 2006. From 2001 to 2005, Mr. Greer served as a member of the board of directors of Illumina, Inc., a provider of integrated systems for the analysis of genetic variation and biological function, and from 2001 to 2004, he served as member of the board of directors of CV Therapeutics, Inc., a biotechnology company. He currently serves as a member of the board of directors of StemCells, Inc., a biopharmaceutical company focused on stem cell therapeutics, chairman of the board of directors of Ablexis LLC, a private development-stage biotechnology company, and also serves as a director of BAROnova, Inc., a private clinical-stage medical device company. Mr. Greer received a B.A. in Economics from Whitman College and an M.B.A. degree from Harvard University. He also was a certified public accountant.

Christopher A. Kuebler

Christopher A. Kuebler, age 58, has served as our director since December 2001. Mr. Kuebler also currently serves on the board of directors of Waters Corporation, an analytical technologies services company. From January 1997 to December 2005, Mr. Kuebler served as Chairman of the Board of Covance Inc., a drug development services company, and from November 1994 to December 2004, served as its Chief Executive Officer. From March 1993 through November 1994, he was the Corporate Vice President, European Operations for Abbott Laboratories, a diversified health care company. From January 1986 until March 1993, Mr. Kuebler served in various commercial positions for Abbott Laboratories Pharmaceutical Division and was that Division's Vice President, Sales and Marketing prior to taking the position of Corporate Vice President, European Operations. Before that, he held positions at Squibb Inc. and Monsanto Health Care. Mr. Kuebler holds a B.S. in Biological Science from Florida State University.

Lutz Lingnau

Lutz Lingnau, age 69, has served as our director since August 2007. Mr. Lingnau retired from Schering AG Group, Germany, in December 2005 as a member of Schering AG's Executive Board and as Vice Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Schering Berlin, Inc., a United States subsidiary. Prior to his retirement, Mr. Lingnau was responsible for Schering AG's worldwide specialized therapeutics and dermatology businesses. He joined Schering AG's business trainee program in 1966. Throughout his career at Schering AG, he served in various capacities and in a number of subsidiaries in South America and the United States, including his roles as President of Berlex Laboratories, Inc., from 1983 to 1985, as the Head of Worldwide Sales and Marketing in the Pharmaceutical Division of Schering AG, from 1985 to 1989, and as Chairman of Berlex Laboratories, Inc. from 1985 to 2005. From 2005 to May 2010, Mr. Lingnau was a member of the Supervisory Board of LANXESS AG, a specialty chemicals company. From December 2006 through September 2009, he served as Chairman of the board of directors of Micropharma Limited, a private biotechnology company, and was a member of the board of directors of Sirna Therapeutics, Inc., a biotechnology company, from February 2006 through the closing of the acquisition of Sirna by Merck & Co., Inc. in December 2006.

DIRECTORS CONTINUING IN OFFICE UNTIL THE 2014 ANNUAL MEETING**Joseph J. Krivulka**

Joseph J. Krivulka, age 60, has served as our director since March 2005. Mr. Krivulka is founder and President of Triax Pharmaceuticals, a dermatology products company, a position he has held since November 2004. Mr. Krivulka is also the founder and Chairman of Akrimax Pharmaceuticals, LLC, an emerging branded and contract manufacturing pharmaceutical company. Mr. Krivulka was a co-founder and President of Reliant Pharmaceuticals, LLC, a company that markets pharmaceutical products, from 1999 until 2004. Mr. Krivulka was Chief Executive Officer of Bertek, Inc., a generic pharmaceutical products company that is a subsidiary of Mylan Laboratories Inc. from 1993 to 1997, and Corporate Vice President of Mylan Laboratories, a generic pharmaceutical products company from 1990 to 1997. Mr. Krivulka is also a director of Aeolus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., a drug development services company. He holds a B.S. from West Virginia Wesleyan College.

Table of Contents**Howard W. Robin**

Howard W. Robin, age 59, has served as our President and Chief Executive Officer since January 2007 and has served as a member of our board of directors since February 2007. Mr. Robin served as Chief Executive Officer, President and a director of Sirna Therapeutics, Inc., a biotechnology company, from July 2001 to November 2006 and from January 2001 to June 2001, served as their Chief Operating Officer, President and as director. From 1991 to 2001, Mr. Robin was Corporate Vice President and General Manager at Berlex Laboratories, Inc., a pharmaceutical products company that is a subsidiary of Schering, AG, and from 1987 to 1991 he served as Vice President of Finance and Business Development and Chief Financial Officer. From 1984 to 1987, Mr. Robin was Director of Business Planning and Development at Berlex. He was a Senior Associate with Arthur Andersen & Co. prior to joining Berlex. Mr. Robin serves as a director of the Biotechnology Industry Organization, the world's largest biotechnology industry trade organization, and also serves as a director of BayBio, a non-profit trade association serving the Northern California life sciences community. He received his B.S. in Accounting and Finance from Fairleigh Dickinson University in 1974.

Dennis L. Winger

Dennis L. Winger, age 65, has served as our director since December 2009. Mr. Winger was Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Applera Corporation, a life sciences company, from 1997 through December 2008. From 1989 to 1997, Mr. Winger served as Senior Vice President, Finance and Administration, and Chief Financial Officer of Chiron Corporation. From 1982 to 1989, Mr. Winger was with The Cooper Companies, Inc., where he held positions of increasing responsibility, including that of Chief Financial Officer. Mr. Winger currently serves on the board of directors of Vertex Pharmaceuticals Incorporated, a pharmaceutical company, and Accuray Incorporated, a radiosurgery company. Mr. Winger recently served on the board of directors of Cephalon, Inc., and pharmaceutical company, until its merger with Teva Pharmaceuticals in October 2011 and the board of directors of Cell Genesys, Inc., a pharmaceutical company, until its merger with BioSante Pharmaceuticals in October 2009. Mr. Winger received a B.A. from Siena College and an M.B.A. from the Columbia University Graduate School of Business.

CURRENT DIRECTORS NOMINATED FOR REELECTION TO SERVE UNTIL THE 2015 ANNUAL MEETING**Robert B. Chess**

Robert B. Chess, age 55, is the Chairman of our board of directors and has served as a director since May 1992. He is currently the chairman of the board of directors of OPX Biotechnologies, a private company in the renewable fuels and chemicals field, and chairman of the board of directors of Germitec SAS, a private company in the medical instrument disinfection field. From March 2006 until January 2007, Mr. Chess served as our Acting President and Chief Executive Officer, and from April 1999 to January 2007, served as Executive Chairman. He also served as our Co-Chief Executive Officer from August 1998 to April 2000, as President from December 1991 to August 1998, and as Chief Executive Officer from May 1992 to August 1998. Mr. Chess was previously the co-Founder and President of Penederm, Inc., a publicly-traded dermatological pharmaceutical company that was sold to Mylan Laboratories. He has held management positions at Intel Corporation and Metaphor Computer Systems (now part of IBM), and was a member of the first President Bush's White House staff as a White House Fellow and Associate Director of the White House Office of Economic and Domestic Policy. From 1997 until his retirement in 2009, Mr. Chess served on the board of directors of the Biotechnology Industry Organization (BIO). Mr. Chess served as Chairman of BIO's Emerging Companies Section and Co-Chairman of BIO's Intellectual Property Committee. Mr. Chess was the initial Chairman of Bio Ventures for Global Health and continues to serve on its board. He also serves on the Board of Trustees of the California Institute of Technology and as a trustee of the Committee for Economic Development where he is co-chairman of its Health Care task force. Mr. Chess also serves as a director of NanOasis, Inc., a private company focused on desalinization technology, and Pelvalon, Inc., a private medical device company. He is currently a member of the faculty of the Stanford Graduate School of Business, where he teaches courses in the MBA program on starting technology-based businesses and the healthcare industry. Mr. Chess received his B.S. degree in Engineering from the California Institute of Technology and an M.B.A. from Harvard.

Table of Contents

Susan Wang

Susan Wang, age 61, has served as our director since December 2003. Ms. Wang, who retired from Solectron Corporation in May 2002, served in various management positions there from 1984 to June 2002. Her final position at Solectron, an electronics manufacturing services and supply chain solutions company, was Executive Vice President for Corporate Development and Chief Financial Officer, a position she held from September 2001 to June 2002. Prior to joining Solectron, Ms. Wang held financial and managerial positions with Xerox Corporation and Westvaco Corporation. She began her career with Price Waterhouse & Co. in New York and is a certified public accountant. Ms. Wang is also a director of Altera Corporation, a programmable semiconductor company, Cirrus Logic, Inc., an analog chip company, and Suntech Power Holdings Co., Ltd., a solar energy company. In addition, Ms. Wang served as a director of Calpine Corporation, an independent power generation company, from 2003 to 2009, Avanex Corporation, a telecommunications component and sub-systems provider, from 2002 to 2009, and Rae Systems Inc., a developer of sensory technology for hazardous materials from 2009 to 2011. Ms. Wang holds an M.B.A. from the University of Connecticut and a B.S. in accounting from the University of Texas.

Roy A. Whitfield

Roy A. Whitfield, age 58, has served as our director since August 2000. Mr. Whitfield is the former Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Incyte Corporation, a drug discovery and development company he co-founded in 1991. From January 1993 to November 2001, Mr. Whitfield served as its Chief Executive Officer and from November 2001 until June 2003 as its Chairman. From 1984 to 1989, Mr. Whitfield held senior operating and business development positions with Technicon Instruments Corporation, a medical instrumentation company, and its predecessor company, Cooper Biomedical, Inc., a biotechnology and medical diagnostics company. Prior to his work at Technicon, Mr. Whitfield spent seven years with the Boston Consulting Group's international consulting practice. He currently serves as a director of Incyte Corporation, Illumina, Inc., a developer, manufacturer and marketer of integrated systems for analysis of genetic variations and biological functions, and Station X, Inc., a private development stage company. Since February 2008, he has also served as Executive Chairman of the board of directors of Bioseek. Mr. Whitfield received a B.S. in mathematics from Oxford University and an M.B.A. from Stanford University.

MEETINGS OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

The board of directors met twelve (12) times during the 2011 fiscal year. Each board member attended 75% or more of the aggregate of the meetings of the board and of the committees on which he or she served held during the period of the 2011 fiscal year for which he or she was a director or committee member, as applicable. All of our directors then serving on our board attended our 2011 annual meeting.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The board of directors has documented our governance practices in our Corporate Governance Policy Statement to assure that the board will have the necessary authority and practices in place to review and evaluate our business operations as needed and to make decisions that are independent of our management. The guidelines are also intended to align the interests of directors and management with those of our stockholders. The Corporate Governance Policy Statement sets forth certain practices the board will follow with respect to board composition, board committees, board nomination, director qualifications and evaluation of the board and committees. The Corporate Governance Policy Statement, as well as the charters for each committee of the board, may be viewed at www.nektar.com.

BOARD LEADERSHIP STRUCTURE

The positions of Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the board of directors are currently held by Howard W. Robin and Robert B. Chess, respectively. The board of directors believes that having a separate

Table of Contents

chairman provides a more effective channel for the board of directors to express its views on management, by enhancing the board's oversight of, and independence from, management, and allows the Chief Executive Officer to focus more on the operations of the company.

RISK OVERSIGHT

The board of directors monitors and assesses key business risks directly through deliberations of the board of directors and also by way of delegation of certain risk oversight functions to be performed by committees of the board of directors. The board of directors regularly reviews and assesses, among other matters, the following important areas that present both opportunities and risk to the company's business:

Review and approval of the company's annual operating and capital spending plan and review of management's updates as to the progress against plan and any related risks and uncertainties.

Periodic consideration of the balance of risk and opportunities presented by the company's medium to long-term strategic plan and the potential implications of success and failure in one or more of the company's key drug development programs.

Regular consideration of the risks and uncertainties presented by alternative clinical development strategies.

Regular review of the progress and results of the company's clinical development programs and early research efforts including but not limited to the strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats for these programs.

Periodic review and oversight of material outstanding litigation or threatened litigation.

Review and approval of material collaboration partnerships for the further development and commercial exploitation of the company's proprietary drug development programs and technologies.

Regular review and approval of the annual corporate goals and an assessment of the company's level of achievement against these established goals.

Regular review of the company's financial position relative to the risk and opportunities for the company's business.

Periodic review of the company's intellectual property estate.

Periodic review and assessment of CEO succession planning.

Periodic review of the company's compensation programs.

The discussion above of risk oversight matters reviewed by the board of directors is intended to be illustrative only and not a complete list of all important matters reviewed and considered by the board of directors in providing oversight and direction for the company's senior management and business.

The risk oversight function of the board of directors is also administered through various board committees. The audit committee oversees the management of financial, accounting, internal controls, disclosure controls and the engagement arrangement and regular oversight of the

Edgar Filing: NEKTAR THERAPEUTICS - Form DEF 14A

independent auditors. The audit committee also periodically reviews the company's investment policy for its cash reserves, corporate insurance policies, information technology infrastructure and general fraud monitoring practices and procedures, including the maintenance and monitoring of a whistleblower hotline and the segregation of duties and access controls across various functions. To assist the audit committee in its risk management oversight function, the internal auditor has a direct reporting relationship to the audit committee. The company's internal audit function is focused on internal control monitoring and activities in support of the audit committee's risk oversight function.

The organization and compensation committee is responsible for the design and oversight of the company's compensation programs. As discussed below, this committee has recently considered whether the company's

Table of Contents

compensation policies and practices create risks that could have a material adverse impact on the company's business and has concluded that these policies and practices do not create such risks. The organization and compensation committee also regularly reviews and reports to the board of directors on contingency succession planning for the Chief Executive Officer and certain other select senior management positions.

The nominating and corporate governance committee periodically reviews the company's corporate governance practices, including certain risks that those practices are intended to address. This committee periodically reviews the composition of the board of directors to help ensure that a diversity of skills and experiences is represented by the members of the board of directors taking into account the stage of growth of the company and its strategic direction.

In carrying out their risk oversight functions, the board of directors and its committees routinely request and review management updates, reports from the independent auditors and legal and regulatory advice from outside experts, as appropriate, to assist in discerning and managing important risks that may be faced by the company. The board of directors is committed to continuing to ensure and evolve its risk oversight practices as appropriate given the stage of the company's evolution as a drug development company and the fast-paced changes in the biopharmaceutical industry. In that regard, in 2011 the company maintained a risk management committee composed of senior managers in charge of important functional areas that will regularly report to the board of directors or one of its designated committees.

INDEPENDENCE OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

As required under the NASDAQ Global Select Market listing standards, a majority of the members of a listed company's board of directors must qualify as independent, as affirmatively determined by the board of directors. Our board consults with counsel to ensure that its determinations are consistent with all relevant securities and other laws and regulations regarding the definition of independent, including those set forth in pertinent NASDAQ listing standards, as in effect from time to time.

Consistent with these standards, after review of all relevant transactions (if any) or relationships between each director, or any of his or her family members, and us, our senior management and our independent registered public accounting firm, the board has affirmatively determined that all of our directors are independent directors within the meaning of the applicable NASDAQ listing standards, except for Mr. Robin, our President and Chief Executive Officer.

As required under applicable NASDAQ listing standards, in the 2011 fiscal year, our independent directors met four times in regularly scheduled executive sessions at which only independent directors were present. The independent directors regularly rotate responsibility for presiding over the executive sessions such that no single independent director presides over more than one executive session per year.

Table of Contents**INFORMATION REGARDING THE COMMITTEES OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

The board of directors has three regularly constituted committees: an audit committee, an organization and compensation committee, and a nominating and corporate governance committee. The following table provides membership and meeting information as of December 31, 2011, for each of the board committees:

| Name | Audit | Organization and Compensation | Nominating and Corporate Governance |
|---|-------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Robert B. Chess(1) | | | |
| R. Scott Greer | | X | |
| Joseph J. Krivulka | X | X | |
| Christopher A. Kuebler | | X(2) | X |
| Lutz Lingnau | | X | |
| Howard W. Robin | | | |
| Susan Wang | X(2) | | |
| Roy A. Whitfield | X | | X(2) |
| Dennis L. Winger | X | | X |
| Total meetings in the 2011 fiscal year | 9 | 8 | 5 |

- (1) On September 13, 2011, Mr. Chess stepped down from the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and Mr. Whitfield became Chairperson of this committee.
- (2) Committee Chairperson.

Below is a description of each committee of the board of directors. The board of directors has determined that each member of each committee meets the applicable rules and regulations regarding independence and that each member is free of any relationship that would interfere with his or her individual exercise of independent judgment with regard to us.

AUDIT COMMITTEE

The audit committee of the board of directors oversees our corporate accounting and financial reporting process. For this purpose, the audit committee performs several functions. The audit committee:

evaluates the performance of and assesses the qualifications of our independent registered public accounting firm;

determines whether to retain or terminate our independent registered public accounting firm or to appoint and engage a new independent registered public accounting firm;

establishes guidelines and procedures with respect to the rotation of the lead or coordinating audit partners having primary responsibility for the audit and the audit partner responsible for reviewing the audit;

reviews and approves the retention of the independent registered public accounting firm for any permissible non-audit services and, at least annually, discusses with our independent registered public accounting firm, and reviews, that firm's independence;

Edgar Filing: NEKTAR THERAPEUTICS - Form DEF 14A

obtains and reviews, at least annually, a formal written statement prepared by the independent registered public accounting firm delineating all relationships between the independent registered public accounting firm and the company and discusses with the independent registered public accounting firm, and reviews, its independence from management and the company;

reviews with the independent registered public accounting firm any management or internal control letter issued or, to the extent practicable, proposed to be issued by the independent registered public accounting firm and management's response;

Table of Contents

reviews with management and the independent registered public accounting firm the scope, adequacy and effectiveness of our financial reporting controls;

reviews and discusses with management, the company's risk management committee, the internal auditor and the independent registered public accounting firm, as appropriate, the company's major financial risks, the company's policies for assessment and management of such risks, and the steps to be taken to control such risks;

establishes and maintains procedures for the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints regarding accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters, including procedures for the confidential and anonymous submission by employees of concerns regarding questionable accounting or auditing matters;

investigates and resolves any disagreements between our management and the independent registered public accounting firm regarding our financial reporting, accounting practices or accounting policies and reviews with the independent registered public accounting firm any other problems or difficulties it may have encountered during the course of the audit work;

meets with senior management and the independent registered public accounting firm in separate executive sessions;

reviews the financial statements to be included in our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and our annual report on Form 10-K;

discusses with management and the independent registered public accounting firm the results of the independent registered public accounting firm's review of our quarterly financial statements and the results of our annual audit and the disclosures contained under the caption "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in our periodic reports;

reviews and discusses with management and the independent registered public accounting firm any material financial arrangements of the company which do not appear on the financial statements of the company and any significant transactions or courses of dealing with parties related to the company;

reviews with management and the independent registered public accounting firm significant issues that arise regarding accounting principles and financial statement presentation;

discusses with management and the independent registered public accounting firm any correspondence from or with regulators or governmental agencies, any employee complaints or any published reports that raise material issues regarding the company's financial statements, financial reporting process or accounting policies; and

reviews the company's investment policy for its cash reserves, corporate insurance policies, information technology infrastructure and general fraud monitoring practices and procedures, including the maintenance and monitoring of a whistleblower hotline and the segregation of duties and access controls across various functions.

The audit committee has the authority to retain special legal, accounting or other professional advisors to advise the committee as it deems necessary, at our expense, to carry out its duties and to determine the compensation of any such advisors.

Four directors comprised the audit committee at the end of the 2011 fiscal year: Ms. Wang, who chairs the committee, and Messrs. Krivulka, Whitfield and Winger. The board of directors annually reviews the NASDAQ listing standards definition of independence for audit committee members and has determined that all members of our audit committee are independent. The board of directors has determined that Ms. Wang and Mr. Winger each qualify as an audit committee financial expert, as defined in applicable SEC rules. The board of directors made a

Edgar Filing: NEKTAR THERAPEUTICS - Form DEF 14A

qualitative assessment of Ms. Wang's level of knowledge and experience based on a number of factors, including her formal education and experience as a chief financial officer of a public reporting company. In

Table of Contents

addition to our audit committee, Ms. Wang also serves on the audit committees of Altera Corporation, Cirrus Logic, Inc., and Suntech Power Holdings Co., Ltd. The board of directors does not believe that such simultaneous service impairs Ms. Wang's ability to effectively serve on our audit committee and as the chairwoman of such committee. The board of directors made a qualitative assessment of Mr. Winger's level of knowledge and experience based on a number of factors, including his formal education and experience as a chief financial officer of a public reporting company. In addition to our audit committee, Mr. Winger also serves on the audit committees of Accuray Incorporated and Vertex Pharmaceuticals Incorporated. The board of directors does not believe that such simultaneous service impairs Mr. Winger's ability to effectively serve on our audit committee. The audit committee has adopted a written audit committee charter that is available on our corporate website at www.nektar.com.

ORGANIZATION AND COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

The organization and compensation committee of the board of directors administers the variable compensation programs and reviews management's recommendations for organization structure and development of the company. Additionally, the organization and compensation committee reviews and in some cases approves the type and level of cash and equity-based compensation for officers, employees and consultants of the company, and recommends certain compensation actions to the board of directors for review and approval. The organization and compensation committee:

reviews and approves the structure and guidelines for various incentive compensation and benefit plans;

grants equity awards under the various equity incentive compensation and benefit plans;

recommends to the independent members of the board of directors the compensation for the President and Chief Executive Officer, including, but not limited to, annual salary, bonus, equity compensation and benefits;

approves the compensation for the executive officers of the company (other than the Chief Executive Officer) and those vice-president level employees that report directly to the Chief Executive Officer, including, but not limited to, annual salary, bonus, equity compensation and other benefits;

recommends the compensation levels for the members of the board of directors who are not employed by us or our subsidiaries (non-employee directors) for approval by the independent members of the board of directors;

reviews the operation of the company's executive compensation programs to determine whether they remain supportive of the company's business objectives and are competitive relative to comparable companies and establishes and periodically reviews policies for the administration of executive compensation programs;

reviews the company's executive compensation arrangements to evaluate whether incentive and other forms of compensation do not encourage inappropriate or excessive risk taking and reviews and discusses, at least annually, the relationship between risk management policies and practices, corporate strategy and the company's executive compensation arrangements;

reviews and discusses with management and the company's risk management committee, as appropriate, the company's major risks relating to the purview of the organization and compensation committee, the company's policies for assessment and management of such risks, and the steps to be taken to control such risks;

Edgar Filing: NEKTAR THERAPEUTICS - Form DEF 14A

oversees the preparation of the organization and compensation committee report to be included in the company's annual proxy statement;

reviews management recommendations on organization structure and development, including succession planning; and

Table of Contents

reviews performance of the executive officers and vice-president level employees that report directly to the Chief Executive Officer. The organization and compensation committee takes into account our President and Chief Executive Officer's recommendations regarding the compensatory arrangements for our executive officers, although our President and Chief Executive Officer does not participate in the deliberations or determinations of his own compensation. In particular, the organization and compensation committee considered our President and Chief Executive Officer's recommendations for 2011 regarding the increase in annual base compensation, award of annual performance-based bonus compensation and the number of stock options granted to our executive officers (other than himself). While the organization and compensation committee considers and appreciates the input and expertise of management in making its decisions, it does ensure that an executive session where no management is present is on the agenda for every committee meeting. The organization and compensation committee's charter gives the committee the sole authority to retain independent counsel, compensation and benefits consultants or other outside experts or advisors that it believes to be necessary or appropriate. During 2011, the organization and compensation committee retained Frederic W. Cook & Co. (FW Cook), a national executive compensation consulting firm that performs compensation benchmarking, analysis and design services. FW Cook was engaged by the organization and compensation committee in 2011 to provide regulatory, legislative updates and market trend analysis, to provide analysis on our compensation programs, to provide recommendations and advice on the structure, elements and amounts of compensation provided to our non-employee directors, to review the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, and to provide executive compensation analysis as needed. FW Cook does not provide any other services to us other than the executive and director compensation services it performs at the request of the organization and compensation committee.

The organization and compensation committee may delegate to its subcommittees such authority as it deems appropriate, except for the authority the committee is required to exercise by applicable law or regulation. The organization and compensation committee has delegated certain limited authority to grant awards under our stock incentive plans to a committee of the board of directors, with Mr. Robin serving as the sole member of the committee, and a committee comprised of management representatives. These committees may not approve award grants to anyone serving as an officer or director of the company. Other than the authority delegated to these committees, the organization and compensation committee has no current intention to delegate any of its authority to any other committee or subcommittee.

Four directors comprised the organization and compensation committee at the end of the 2011 fiscal year: Mr. Kuebler, who chaired the committee, and Messrs. Greer, Krivulka and Lingnau. The board of directors annually reviews the NASDAQ listing standards definition of independence for organization and compensation committee members and has determined that all members of our organization and compensation committee are independent. The organization and compensation committee charter can be found on our corporate website at www.nektar.com.

NOMINATING AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE

The nominating and corporate governance committee:

evaluates board composition and performance;

identifies, reviews and recommends the board's selected candidates to serve as directors;

reviews the adequacy of and compliance with our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics;

administers and oversees all aspects of our corporate governance functions on behalf of the board;

monitors regulatory and legislative developments in corporate governance, as well as trends in corporate governance practices, and makes recommendations to the board regarding the same;

Table of Contents

reviews and discusses with management and the company's risk management committee, as appropriate, the company's major risks relating to the purview of the nominating and corporate government committee, the company's policies for assessment and management of such risks, and the steps to be taken to control such risks;

establishes and oversees procedures for the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints received by the company with respect to legal and regulatory compliance (except for compliance relating to accounting, internal accounting controls, auditing matters and financial disclosure and reporting); and

provides recommendations to the board of directors to establish such special committees as may be desirable or necessary from time to time in order to address ethical, legal, business or other matters that may arise.

The nominating and corporate governance committee believes that candidates for director should possess the highest personal and professional ethics, integrity and values, be committed to represent our long-term interests and those of our stockholders, possess diverse experience at policy-making levels in business, science and technology, possess key personal characteristics such as strategic thinking, objectivity, independent judgment, intellect and the courage to speak out and actively participate in meetings, as well as have sufficient time to carry out the duties and responsibilities of a board member effectively.

Three directors comprised the nominating and corporate governance committee at the end of the 2011 fiscal year: Mr. Whitfield, who chairs the committee, and Mr. Kuebler and Ms. Wang. The board of directors annually reviews the NASDAQ listing standards definition of independence for the nominating and corporate governance committee and has determined that all members of our organization and compensation committee are independent. The nominating and corporate governance committee charter can be found on our corporate website at www.nektar.com.

The current members of our board of directors represent a desirable mix of backgrounds, skills and experiences, and are all believed to share the key personal characteristics described above. Below are some of the specific experiences and skills of our directors.

Robert B. Chess

Mr. Chess is our Chairman and former President and Chief Executive Officer and has a deep understanding of our business. Having founded and led private and public companies, Mr. Chess has strong experience leading growing companies in our industry. Due to his long association with the company as a co-founder, director and senior executive leader at various times, he possesses significant knowledge and perspective on the history and development of the company. Mr. Chess is a prominent participant in our industry, was a long-time member of the board of our industry association, and is on the board of trustees and faculty of leading academic institutions.

Susan Wang

Ms. Wang has a strong financial and business background as a senior executive of Solectron Corporation, an electronics manufacturing services and supply chain solutions company. She is an audit committee financial expert as a result of her prior experience as chief financial officer of Solectron, has extensive experience on the audit committees of other U.S. public companies, and is a certified public accountant. Ms. Wang has extensive corporate governance experience through service on other public company boards.

Roy A. Whitfield

Mr. Whitfield has a strong strategy development and leadership background in the biotechnology and medical industries. He is a former strategy consultant from a major consulting firm, was the founder and chief executive officer of a public biotechnology company, and has held executive positions in various segments of the health care industry. He has extensive corporate governance experience through his service on other public company boards in the pharmaceutical and life sciences industries.

Table of Contents

Joseph J. Krivulka

Mr. Krivulka has a strong operational and leadership record in the pharmaceutical industry with strong expertise in product evaluation, development, and marketing. Mr. Krivulka has founded and served as chief executive officer of several pharmaceutical companies and is currently the president of Triax Pharmaceuticals.

Howard W. Robin

Mr. Robin is our President and Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Robin has over 25 years of experience in the pharmaceutical and biotechnology industries in a variety of roles of increasing responsibility and, prior to becoming our chief executive officer, was the chief executive officer and president and a director of Sirna Therapeutics, a development stage biotechnology company. The board of directors has determined that Mr. Robin's position as president and chief executive officer provides him with important insight into the company's opportunities, risks, strengths and weaknesses, as well as its organizational and operational capabilities, which is valuable to the board of directors in making strategic decisions and performing its oversight responsibilities.

Dennis L. Winger

Mr. Winger has a strong operational and finance background with over 20 years of experience as a financial and administrative senior executive in the life sciences and pharmaceutical industries. Most recently, he was chief financial officer of Applera Corporation, a life sciences company, and prior to that was a senior financial and administrative executive at Chiron Corporation, a biotechnology company, for 8 years. Mr. Winger has corporate governance and audit committee experience through service on other public company boards in the pharmaceutical and life sciences industries.

R. Scott Greer

Mr. Greer has a proven track record as an entrepreneur and senior executive with extensive experience in the biotechnology industry, most recently with Abgenix, Inc., until its acquisition by Amgen, Inc. in 2006. Mr. Greer has held senior executive and finance positions at other companies in our industry and currently serves as a director of several other companies in the biopharmaceutical and medical device industries. He possesses strong expertise in biotech industry strategy, business models, and finance and has served on compensation and audit committees.

Christopher A. Kuebler

Mr. Kuebler is a former chief executive officer of Covance Inc., a drug development services company. Prior to that, he had diverse management experience in positions of increasing responsibility with Abbott Laboratories and other large health care companies. As a result of his experiences, Mr. Kuebler possesses valuable knowledge and insight regarding both the development and commercial aspects of the biopharmaceutical industry as well as leadership experience in running a significant public company.

Lutz Lingnau

Mr. Lingnau has a strong management background in the pharmaceutical industry as a senior executive and member of the executive board of Schering AG Group. He has international sales and operations experience as former head of worldwide sales and marketing in the pharmaceutical division of Schering, and in operational roles in South America and the U.S., and also as a member of the supervisory board of a German specialty chemicals company.

Candidates for director nominees are reviewed in the context of the current composition of the board, our operating requirements and the long-term interests of stockholders. In conducting this assessment, the committee

Table of Contents

considers diversity, age, skills and such other factors as it deems appropriate given our current needs and those of our board to maintain a balance of knowledge, experience and capability. The nominating and corporate governance committee also periodically reviews the overall effectiveness of the board, including board attendance, level of participation, quality of performance, self-assessment reviews and any relationships or transactions that might impair director independence. In the case of new director candidates, the nominating and corporate governance committee also determines whether the nominee must be independent for NASDAQ purposes, which determination is based upon applicable NASDAQ listing standards, applicable SEC rules and regulations and the advice of counsel, if necessary. The committee then uses its network of contacts to compile a list of potential candidates, but may also engage, if it deems appropriate, a professional search firm. The committee conducts any appropriate and necessary inquiries into the backgrounds and qualifications of possible candidates after considering the function and needs of the board. The nominating and corporate governance committee meets to discuss and consider such candidates qualifications and then selects a nominee for recommendation to the board by majority vote. We have paid fees to third party search firms in the past to assist in our process of identifying or evaluating director candidates.

The nominating and corporate governance committee of our board of directors will consider for nomination any qualified director candidates recommended by our stockholders. Any stockholder who wishes to recommend a director candidate is directed to submit in writing the candidate's name, biographical information, relevant qualifications and other information required by our bylaws to our Secretary at our principal executive offices before the deadline set forth in our bylaws. All written submissions received from our stockholders will be reviewed by the nominating and corporate governance committee at the next appropriate meeting. The nominating and corporate governance committee will evaluate any suggested director candidates received from our stockholders in the same manner as recommendations received from management, committee members or members of our board.

Four directors comprised the nominating and corporate governance committee at the end of the 2011 fiscal year: Mr. Chess, who chairs the committee, Mr. Kuebler, Ms. Wang and Mr. Whitfield. The board of directors annually reviews the NASDAQ listing standards definition of independence for nominating and corporate governance committee members and has determined that all members of our nominating and corporate governance committee are independent. Our nominating and corporate governance committee charter can be found on our corporate website at www.nektar.com.

STOCKHOLDER COMMUNICATIONS WITH THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

The board of directors will consider any written or electronic communication from our stockholders to the board, a committee of the board or any individual director. Any stockholder who wishes to communicate to the board of directors, a committee of the board or any individual director should submit written or electronic communications to our Secretary at our principal executive offices, which shall include contact information for such stockholder. All communications from stockholders received shall be forwarded by our Secretary to the board of directors, a committee of the board or an individual director, as appropriate, on a periodic basis, but in any event no later than the board of director's next scheduled meeting. The board of directors, a committee of the board, or individual directors, as appropriate, will consider and review carefully any communications from stockholders forwarded by our Secretary.

CODE OF BUSINESS CONDUCT AND ETHICS

We have adopted a code of business conduct and ethics that applies to all employees, including our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions. The code of business conduct and ethics is available on our website at www.nektar.com. Amendments to, and waivers from, the code of business conduct and ethics that apply to any director, executive officer or persons performing similar functions will be disclosed at the website address provided above and, to the extent required by applicable regulations, on a Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC.

Table of Contents**ORGANIZATION AND COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION**

The organization and compensation committee consisted of four independent directors at the end of 2011: Messrs. Greer, Krivulka, Kuebler and Lingnau. No director who served on the organization and compensation committee in 2011 was, or has been, an officer or employee of us, nor has any director had any relationships requiring disclosure under the SEC rules regarding certain relationships and related-party transactions. None of our executive officers served on the board of directors or the compensation committee (or other board committee performing equivalent functions) of another entity, one of whose executive officers served on our board of directors or organization and compensation committee.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION TABLE

Each of our non-employee directors participates in our Amended and Restated Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors (the Director Plan). Only our non-employee directors are eligible to participate in the Director Plan. The following table shows, for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, compensation awarded or paid to our non-employee directors for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011.

| Name(1) | Fees Earned | Stock | Option | All Other | Total (\$) |
|-------------------------------|-------------|---------|---------|--------------|------------|
| | or Paid in | Awards | Awards | Compensation | |
| (a) | Cash (\$) | (\$)(2) | (\$)(3) | (\$)(4) | (h) |
| | (b) | (c) | (d) | (g) | |
| Robert B. Chess | 98,750 | 0 | 82,314 | 0 | 181,064 |
| R. Scott Greer | 68,000 | 0 | 82,314 | 0 | 150,314 |
| Joseph J. Krivulka | 76,000 | 0 | 82,314 | 0 | 158,314 |
| Christopher A. Kuebler | 91,750 | 0 | 82,314 | 2,650 | 176,714 |
| Lutz Lingnau | 68,000 | 0 | 82,314 | 0 | 150,314 |
| Susan Wang | 98,750 | 0 | 82,314 | 54,405 | 235,469 |
| Roy A. Whitfield | 71,875 | 0 | 82,314 | 57,144 | 159,903 |
| Dennis L. Winger | 63,250 | 0 | 82,314 | 0 | 145,564 |

- (1) Mr. Robin, our President and Chief Executive Officer, is not included in this table as he was an employee of us in 2011 and received no additional compensation for his services in his capacity as a director.
- (2) Amounts reported represent the aggregate grant date fair value of awards computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 (formerly SFAS No. 123R), which excludes the effects of estimated forfeitures. For a complete description of the assumptions made in determining the valuation, please refer to Note 14 (Stock-Based Compensation) to our audited financial statements in our annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011. No restricted stock units or other stock awards were awarded as part of the annual equity compensation for non-employee directors in 2011. As of December 31, 2011, each of our non-employee directors had the following number of outstanding restricted stock units: R. Scott Greer: 7,500; and Dennis L. Winger: 7,500. Robert B. Chess, Joseph J. Krivulka, Christopher Kuebler, Lutz Lingnau, Susan Wang and Roy A. Whitfield did not hold any outstanding restricted stock units or other stock awards as of December 31, 2011.
- (3) Amounts reported represent the aggregate grant date fair value of awards computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 (formerly SFAS No. 123R), which excludes the effects of estimated forfeitures. For a complete description of the assumptions made in determining the valuation, please refer to Note 14 (Stock-Based Compensation) to our audited financial statements in our annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011. Each of our non-employee directors received 30,000 options for their annual stock option grant in 2011. The grant date fair value of the stock options awarded to each of our non-employee directors for their annual stock option grant in 2011 was \$82,314. As of December 31, 2011, each of our non-employee directors had the following number of outstanding stock options: Robert B. Chess: 345,459; R. Scott Greer: 92,500; Joseph J. Krivulka: 172,500; Christopher A. Kuebler: 200,000; Lutz Lingnau: 142,500; Susan Wang: 182,375; Roy A. Whitfield: 200,000; and Dennis L. Winger: 93,750.

Table of Contents

- (4) Amounts reported represent reimbursement by us for penalty taxes imposed under Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code and associated expenses incurred relating to the payment of an award of 5,000 restricted stock units made to these non-employee directors in 2008. These directors incurred this penalty tax solely as a result of a stock administration error by us and by no fault of the non-employee directors. Each non-employee director remained liable for all ordinary taxes that applied to the payment of this 2008 restricted stock unit award (i.e. all applicable state and federal income taxes other than this penalty tax). We expect to make final reimbursements in 2012 to the non-employee directors related to this penalty tax. We have put in place revised procedures for restricted stock unit delivery designed to prevent any such stock administration error from occurring in the future.

Under the Director Plan, each non-employee director is eligible to receive an annual retainer of \$30,000 for serving on the board of directors, an additional annual retainer of \$35,000 for serving as the chair or lead director of the board of directors, an additional annual retainer of \$20,000 for serving as chair of the audit committee, an additional annual retainer of \$15,000 for serving as chair of the organization and compensation committee, an additional annual retainer of \$10,000 for serving as chair of the nominating and corporate governance committee, and an additional annual retainer of \$5,000 for serving as chair of any other committee. In addition, each non-employee director is entitled to \$2,000 for each board meeting he or she attends and \$1,000 for each in-person board meeting he or she attends by telephone. Each non-employee director is also entitled to \$1,750 for each committee meeting he or she attends and \$875 for each in-person committee meeting he or she attends by telephone.

In September of each year, each non-employee director is eligible to be awarded an equity award consisting of either all stock options or a combination of stock options and restricted stock units. These equity awards vest over a period of one year and will have a total value determined annually by the board of directors. Upon initial appointment to the board of directors, each non-employee director is eligible to receive an equity award consisting of either all stock options or a combination of stock options and restricted stock units. These initial equity awards vest over a period of three years from the date of appointment and will be at a level based on 150% of the most recent annual equity compensation grant to non-employee directors, as determined annually by the board of directors in consultation with its professional advisors. Non-employee directors are also eligible to receive discretionary equity-based awards under our stock incentive plans from time to time as determined by the board of directors. The exercise price of stock options granted is equal to the closing price of the company's common stock on the grant date. Following completion of a non-employee director's service on the board of directors, his or her stock options will remain exercisable for a period of eighteen months (or, if earlier, the end of the maximum term of the option). The term of stock options granted to non-employee directors is eight years. In the event of a change of control, the vesting of each option or restricted stock unit award held by each non-employee director will accelerate in full as of the closing of such transaction.

The Director Plan includes ownership guidelines for non-employee directors, stating that each non-employee director should own shares of our common stock equal to at least three times the value of the annual board retainer. The minimum stock ownership level should be achieved by each non-employee director by January 1, 2015 or within five years of the date of his or her first appointment to the board of directors.

Table of Contents**INFORMATION ABOUT THE EXECUTIVE OFFICERS****COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS*****Introduction***

The Compensation Discussion and Analysis is designed to provide our stockholders with an understanding of our executive compensation philosophy and decision making process. It discusses the principles underlying the structure of the compensation arrangements for our Chief Executive Officer, our Chief Financial Officer, and our other three most highly compensated executive officers who were serving as executive officers on December 31, 2011 (the Named Executive Officers). Unless noted otherwise, any references within the Compensation Discussion and Analysis to decisions made by the board of directors, refers to the independent members of the board of directors only. This Compensation Discussion and Analysis primarily focuses on the compensation of our Named Executive Officers for 2011 that are identified in the table below.

| Name | Title |
|------------------------------|--|
| Howard W. Robin | President & Chief Executive Officer |
| John Nicholson | Senior Vice President & Chief Financial Officer |
| Stephen K. Doberstein, Ph.D. | Senior Vice President & Chief Scientific Officer |
| Rinko Ghosh | Senior Vice President & Chief Business Officer |
| Gil M. Labrucherie | Senior Vice President & General Counsel |

Our current compensation programs for the Named Executive Officers are determined and approved by the organization and compensation committee, although the independent members of the full board of directors approve the compensation for Mr. Robin after review and consideration of the recommendation of the organization and compensation committee. None of the Named Executive Officers are members of the organization and compensation committee. As described in more detail above under the caption Information About the Board of Directors-Information Regarding the Committees of the Board of Directors Organization and Compensation Committee, the organization and compensation committee takes into account Mr. Robin's recommendations regarding the compensatory arrangements for our executive officers, although Mr. Robin does not participate in the deliberations or determinations of his own compensation. For example, during 2011, the organization and compensation committee considered Mr. Robin's recommendations regarding the increase in annual base compensation, award of annual performance-based bonus compensation, and the number of stock options to be granted to our executive officers. The other Named Executive Officers do not currently have any role in determining or recommending the form or amount of compensation paid to any of our executive officers.

Company Performance Highlights

In assessing the appropriate level of compensation for our executive officers, in particular the short-term incentive compensation, we take into account the over-all performance of the company against the specific annual corporate objectives established by the board of directors for the year. The biotechnology industry is characterized by a high level of stock price volatility and, as a result, our focus on pay-for-performance is based on an assessment of the level of the company's achievement against those specific objectives rather than stock price at any point in time. Some of the significant accomplishments achieved by the company in 2011 are summarized below.

Successfully began enrollment in the Phase 3 BEACON clinical study for NKTR-102 in metastatic breast cancer.

Two Phase 1 clinical studies for NKTR-181 were successfully completed significantly ahead of schedule.

Significant research, development and regulatory projects were completed in 2011 that enabled the company to file an Investigational New Drug (IND) application with the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for NKTR-192 that allowed us to start the Phase 1 clinical study for this drug candidate in March 2012.

Table of Contents

A Phase 1 clinical study was initiated by Baxter Healthcare for BAX 855 (a longer-acting (PEGylated) form of a full-length recombinant factor VIII (rFVIII) protein). The start of this study represented a major advancement in a significant collaboration which resulted from a multi-year effort in which the company's research team played a key role.

Substantially completed enrollment in the expanded Phase 2 clinical study for NKTR-102 in platinum resistant/refractory ovarian cancer.

Advanced multiple pre-clinical drug candidates in the early research pipeline.

Successfully met several manufacturing objectives that are critical to the future advancement of the company's drug candidate pipeline.

Exceeded financial plan target for cash used in operations.

In addition to reviewing current year performance, we also think it is important in making compensation decisions to take into account the long-term performance of the executive leadership team. We believe that the skills, creativity and dedication of executive leadership have led to numerous significant accomplishments including the following:

Over the past five years, our business has been transformed from a drug delivery service provider to a drug development company which included a significant change in the mix of senior leadership and the skills and experience of personnel in key functional areas, a reprioritization of resource allocation, and building a more efficient and productive organization.

In August 2007, we entered into a collaboration agreement with Bayer Healthcare LLC with regard to the further development and commercialization of our inhaled Amikacin product candidate. Bayer agreed to pay us up to \$175 million in development and sales milestones including \$50 million as an up-front payment for reimbursement of prior research and development costs incurred by us. In addition, we are also entitled to significant and escalating double-digit royalties on sales of the inhaled Amikacin product if it is approved and commercialized by Bayer.

In October 2007, Pfizer terminated the Exubera (inhaled insulin) commercial and development and gave notice of termination under our collaborative development and licensing agreement. On November 9, 2007, the company entered into a termination agreement with Pfizer pursuant to which it received a one-time payment of \$135.0 million.

At the end of 2008, we completed the sale and transfer of certain pulmonary technology assets to Novartis Pharma AG in consideration for a payment to us of \$115 million. In addition, we retained rights to certain important assets including the inhaled Amikacin program with Bayer, certain rights to receive royalties on net sales of the Cipro Inhale (also known as Ciprofloxacin Inhaled Powder or CIP) program with Bayer Schering Pharma AG, and certain patent rights specific to inhaled insulin.

Following the execution of the Novartis pulmonary asset purchase agreement in the fourth quarter of 2008, we repurchased approximately \$100 million in par value of our 3.25% convertible subordinated notes for an aggregate purchase price of \$47.8 million.

In December 2009, we received a payment of \$31 million from the negotiation of a license extension with F. Hoffmann- La Roche Ltd and Hoffmann-LaRoche Inc. related to certain PEGASYS manufacturing rights.

Edgar Filing: NEKTAR THERAPEUTICS - Form DEF 14A

In September 2009, we entered into a license agreement with AstraZeneca AB for NKTR-118 and NKTR-119. AstraZeneca agreed to pay us an up-front payment of \$125 million and assumed all future development costs for NKTR-118 and NKTR-119. For NKTR-118, we are eligible to receive up to \$235 million in development milestones and up to \$375 million in additional sales milestones. In relation to NKTR-119, for each of the first two initial products based on NKTR-119, we are eligible to receive for each of such products up to \$75 million in development milestones and up to \$310 million in additional sales milestones. For both NKTR-118 and NKTR-119, we are also eligible to receive significant and escalating royalty payments.

Table of Contents

In October 2010, we amended and restated a legacy supply agreement with Amgen, Inc. and its affiliates which resulted in a \$50.0 million up-front payment and the potential to receive significant additional payments.

The above accomplishments directly resulted in the company (1) building and advancing a significant drug candidate pipeline; (2) building an organization and infrastructure designed to execute on our mission of being a leading drug development company; (3) receiving over \$550 million in tangible economic value that was non-dilutive to our stockholders; (4) avoiding significant future financial commitments in certain cases; and (5) establishing collaboration and proprietary product opportunities that have significant future economic potential based on milestone payments, royalties and sales. We believe that the compensation programs and awards to our Named Executive Officers should also be evaluated within the context of these significant accomplishments and performance over a sustained period of time.

Compensation Program Objectives and Philosophy

In order to continue the execution and growth of our business as described above, we believe that it is vital that we continue to retain and attract highly experienced and skilled senior leadership by offering competitive base compensation and benefits, significant performance-based incentives, and long-term equity compensation. Our goal is to structure a meaningful portion of executive compensation such that it will only have value if the senior leadership is successful in building significant long-term value for us and our stockholders.

Our current executive compensation programs are intended to achieve the following four fundamental goals and objectives: (1) to emphasize sustained long-term performance by aligning significant elements of executive compensation with our stockholders' interests, (2) to attract and retain an experienced, highly qualified and motivated executive management team to lead our business, (3) to provide appropriate economic rewards for achieving high levels of our performance and individual contribution, and (4) to pay compensation that is competitive, taking into account the experience, skills and performance of the executives required to build and maintain the organization necessary to support our mission to be a leading drug development company.

When structuring our executive compensation programs to achieve our goals and objectives, we are guided by the following basic philosophies:

Alignment with Stockholders' Interests. Our compensation model should be designed to align the economic interests of our executives with those of our stockholders.

Pay for Performance. Our compensation model should deliver compensation above industry median for exceptional performance and deliver compensation below the median for years in which the company does not perform. In 2011, a study performed by the organization and compensation committee's independent consultant showed that the target compensation for our Named Executive Officers, including our CEO, was at approximately the median of our peer group.

Total Rewards Program. The total compensation program must balance pay for performance elements with selected static non-performance based elements in order to create a total rewards program that is competitive and will help us attract and retain highly qualified and motivated executives.

Flexible Approach. The level of compensation provided to executives must take into account each executive's role, experience, tenure, performance and expected contribution to our future success.

Focus on Achievement of Identified Business Goals. The compensation program should be structured so that executives are appropriately incentivized to achieve our short- and long-term goals that are fundamental to driving value in our business.

We believe that each element of our executive compensation program helps us to achieve one or more of our compensation goals and objectives. For example, we believe that performance-based short-term cash

Table of Contents

incentive opportunities in combination with equity incentive awards that are earned over time and increase in value only if we become more valuable is the best way to align our executives' interests with those of our stockholders and pay for performance. The long-term vesting schedules applicable to equity incentive awards also serve as a significant retention incentive. Providing base salaries, occasional discretionary bonus opportunities and certain severance protections helps us ensure that we are providing a competitive compensation package that will permit us to attract and retain qualified, experienced and highly skilled executives. We believe that we have created a total compensation program that combines short- and long-term components, cash and equity, and fixed and contingent payments, in proportions that are appropriate to achieve each of our fundamental goals and objectives as described above. We also believe that the structure of our compensation program provides appropriate incentives to reward our executives for achieving our long-term goals and objectives, some of the most important of which are building and advancing a robust drug candidate pipeline, entering into new collaboration partnerships and executing on our current collaborations, increasing the skill level and efficiency of our organization and improving our financial performance. We believe that our compensation program has helped us both recruit and retain superior executive talent to continue to build an organization capable of executing on our mission to become a leading drug development company.

Relationship between Company Performance and Executive Pay

The biotech industry is generally thought to be characterized by a higher risk profile than more established industries that historically has led to high stock volatility. The table below shows how even with high levels of volatility in stock price, compensation for Mr. Robin has been generally aligned with our stock price performance since he joined the company as our Chief Executive Officer in 2007.

* Mr. Robin's compensation for 2007 reflects his new-hire stock option grant. In 2009, 2010, and 2011, the stock option awards made to Mr. Robin accounted for 42%, 67%, and 54%, respectively, of his total compensation. This substantial portion of the compensation awarded to Mr. Robin will only have value if the stock price increases after the grant date.

Table of Contents

Executive Compensation Practices

Below we provide a summary of our executive compensation practices including both the practices that we follow and those that we do not because we believe they would not serve the long-term interests of our stockholders.

What We Do

- ⌋ *Pay-for-Performance.* A substantial majority of the compensation awarded to our Named Executive Officers is either tied to specific company-wide and individual performance objectives or has been made in the form of stock option awards that will only have value if the price of our stock increases after the grant date.
- ⌋ *Performance-Based Equity Grants.* In 2012, we established an equity compensation program whereby we awarded 50% of each executive officer's equity compensation in the form of performance-based stock options that only vest and become exercisable upon the achievement of specified significant accomplishments in addition to satisfying our typical 4-year time-based vesting requirement. We believe this represents a significant program to even further align the interests of our senior leadership team with those of our stockholders.
- ⌋ *Double Trigger.* Our change of control severance benefit plan (CIC Plan) only provides our executive officers with acceleration of unvested equity awards held by them if they are terminated (without cause or constructively) in connection with a change of control transaction or within 12 months following a change of control transaction.
- ⌋ *Modest Perquisites.* We provide only modest perquisites and nearly all of those perquisites are in the form of insurance benefits that we believe are in line with industry practice for executive compensation and represent approximately 1% of total compensation for our executives. We do not provide perquisites such as personal travel reimbursement, tax services or financial planning.
- ⌋ *Reasonable Post-Employment and Change of Control Severance Arrangements.* We believe that our severance arrangements with our executive officers are reasonable and in line with industry practice.
- ⌋ *Review Tally Sheets.* We review tally sheets for our Named Executive Officers prior to making annual executive compensation decisions.
- ⌋ *Compensation Claw-Back Policy.* In February 2012, we adopted a claw-back policy which permits us to require reimbursement or cancellation of all or a portion of any performance-based cash awards or equity incentive payments to the extent based on financial results that are subsequently revised.
- ⌋ *Regular Review of Share Utilization.* We evaluate on a regular basis share utilization by reviewing overhang levels (dilutive impact of equity compensation on stockholders) and annual run rates (the aggregate shares awarded as a percentage of total outstanding shares).
- ⌋ *Mitigate Undue Risk.* We mitigate undue risk associated with compensation by implementing the following: multiple performance targets and caps on potential payments of short-term incentive compensation (i.e. annual bonuses), awarding a substantial portion of executive compensation in the form of long-term compensation (i.e. stock options), compensation claw-back provisions, and board of directors and management processes are in place to identify and manage risk.

Edgar Filing: NEKTAR THERAPEUTICS - Form DEF 14A

- Ⓟ *Utilize Independent Compensation Consulting Firm.* The organization and compensation committee regularly consults with an independent compensation consulting firm which provides no other services to the company.

What We Don't Do

- x *No Stock Option Repricing.* We have never repriced, exchanged or otherwise provided value for underwater stock options and our equity incentive plans do not permit us to do so.

Table of Contents

- x *Elimination of Excise Tax Gross-Ups on Change of Control Payments.* On April 5, 2011, we amended our change of control severance benefit plan to eliminate any gross up payments for any excise taxes imposed on participants who became eligible to participate in the plan after January 1, 2010, including Dr. Doberstein.

- x *No Full Value Equity Awards.* We have not awarded full value restricted stock units to our Named Executive Officers. Stock options are our preferred form of long-term incentive compensation because we believe they are more performance based than other equity vehicles, with value delivered only if the stock price of our common shares appreciates following the stock option grant.

- x *No Accelerated Vesting of Equity Awards on Termination.* Whether one of our Named Executive Officers is terminated without cause or resigns for a good reason, our severance arrangements do not provide for accelerated vesting of outstanding equity awards.

- x *No Inclusion of the Value of Equity Awards in Severance Calculations.* Our post-termination and change of control severance arrangements do not include the value of equity awards in annual compensation for purposes of determining severance amounts.

- x *No Employment Contracts.* We do not have employment contracts with our executive officers that provide for a guaranteed term of employment.

- x *No Funded Pension or Retirement Plans.* We do not provide any guaranteed or funded retirement plan benefits other than up to \$3,000 matching contribution for 401(k) plan participation that we make available to all employees.

- x *No Hedging Transactions or Short Sales by Executive Officers or Directors.* Our security trading policy prohibits any employee or director from engaging in hedging transactions, short sales or trading in any derivative security of the company.

Role of Stockholder Say-on-Pay Votes

We provide our stockholders with the opportunity to cast an annual advisory vote on our executive compensation program (referred to as a say-on-pay vote). At our annual meeting of stockholders held in June 2011, approximately 83% of the votes cast on the say-on-pay proposal were voted in favor of the proposal. Although we believe this result affirms stockholders support of our approach to executive compensation, we continue to seek feedback on our program from our stockholders and took the actions described below under Recent Changes to Our Compensation Program in part based on feedback from our stockholders. The board of directors and organization and compensation committee will continue to consider the outcome of our say-on-pay proposals when making future compensation decisions for the Named Executive Officers.

Recent Changes to Our Compensation Program and Related Governance Policies

We have recently adopted several new compensation practices and governance policies that we believe reflect emerging market trends and best practices including the following:

1. *Elimination of Excise Tax Gross Up on Change of Control Payments.* On April 5, 2011, we amended our CIC Plan to eliminate any gross up payments for any excise taxes imposed on participants who became eligible to participate in the CIC Plan on or after January 1, 2010, including Dr. Doberstein who joined the company in 2010. The board of directors decided to eliminate this tax gross-up provision under the plan for new participants based on its review of current industry practices.

2. *Adoption of Performance Based Stock Option Program:* On February 8, 2012, we established a performance-based stock option award program for our executive officers. Under this program, 50% of the stock options granted to our executive officers for 2012 were made in the form of performance-based stock option awards that vest based on the standard four-year monthly time-based

vesting plus a separate performance condition that must also be achieved before the executive officer is permitted to

Table of Contents

exercise the performance-based stock option. The performance condition will be met only if within five years from the grant date, the company or one of its collaboration partners files a new drug application or biologics license application with the FDA (or the equivalent new drug registration with the European Medicines Agency) for any Proprietary Company Program which is defined to include any drug candidate that is wholly-owned by the company (e.g. NKTR-102) or where the company is entitled to an average royalty interest equal to or greater than 7.5% of net sales. We believe that this performance hurdle represents a very high bar as the company has not yet achieved this goal to date with a drug candidate based on its pegylation technology and, if achieved, we also believe long-term stockholder value will be positively impacted. The remaining 50% of the stock option grants awarded to our executive officers in 2012 are subject to normal time-based vesting requirements. We intend to continue the performance-based stock option award program in future years including performance milestones based on corporate accomplishments that we believe are significant and further align the economic interests of our executives with those of our stockholders.

3. *Adoption of Claw-Back Policy:* On February 8, 2012, we adopted a claw-back policy which would permit the board of directors or the organization and compensation committee, in such circumstances as determined to be appropriate, to require reimbursement or cancellation of all or a portion of any performance-based cash awards or equity incentive payments made to an executive officer to the extent that: (i) the amount of, or number of shares included in, any such award or payment was calculated based on the achievement of financial results that were subsequently revised, and (ii) a lesser payment of cash or shares would have been made to (or retained by) the executive officer based upon the revised financial results.

Design and Elements of Our Compensation Program

The material elements of our current executive compensation programs for Named Executive Officers consist primarily of the following:

1. *Base Salary.* Each Named Executive Officer earned an annual base salary during 2011.
2. *Short-Term Incentive Compensation.* Each Named Executive Officer was eligible to earn an incentive cash compensation payment in 2011 based on a combination of the company's achievement of corporate performance objectives and their individual performance.
3. *Long-Term Incentive Compensation.* Each Named Executive Officer was awarded stock option grants during 2011.
4. *Severance and Change of Control Benefits.* Each Named Executive Officer is offered severance benefits for certain actual or constructive terminations of employment, as well as enhanced severance benefits for certain actual or constructive terminations of employment occurring in connection with a change of control transaction.

While we review peer group company data regarding the mix of current and long-term incentive compensation and between cash and non-cash compensation, we have not adopted any formal policies or guidelines for allocations among these various compensation elements. However, consistent with our philosophy of paying for performance, we believe that a greater component of overall direct compensation for the Named Executive Officers relative to other employees should be performance-based; therefore, in 2011 performance-based compensation tied to company and individual performance objectives comprised approximately 55% to 75% of the overall direct compensation of the Named Executive Officers (with direct compensation being defined as base salary, annual bonus, all other compensation and the value of equity awards granted to the executive as determined under the principles used to value equity awards in the company's financial reporting).

Table of Contents

Current Executive Compensation Program Elements

We have three primary elements of total direct compensation: base salary, annual incentive and long-term equity compensation (other compensation such as life insurance and 401(k) match is less than 1% of total compensation). As illustrated in the accompanying chart, in 2011, approximately 75% of total direct compensation to Mr. Robin was performance-based and not guaranteed.

Assessment of Risk

As with other companies that utilize pay-for-performance based compensation elements, our focus on a pay-for-performance compensation model inherently presents certain risks as our executive officers and employees strive to achieve high levels of performance to build and expand our business. However, we recognize the need to strike a balance between pay-for-performance and calculated business risk taking, and believe that our compensation program, including the compensation opportunities for our executive officers, should not encourage inappropriate or excessive risk-taking. Several design features of our compensation program that we believe reduce the likelihood of excessive risk-taking include the following:

The compensation plan design provides a mix of base salary, short-term incentive compensation opportunity and equity compensation earned over multiple year periods.

The determination of the corporate performance rating under the annual bonus plan is based on the board of directors' assessment of our achievement of a diversified mix of development, research, organizational and financial objectives.

The achievement of any single annual corporate objective does not have a disproportionate impact on the aggregate annual bonus achievement.

Each employee's annual cash bonus is determined by a combination of the corporate performance rating and a subjective determination of individual performance.

The maximum payout levels for annual incentive bonuses are capped at 200% of each employee's annual target bonus.

A substantial portion of each executive's compensation opportunity is in the form of long-term equity incentives, which help to further align the long-term interests of our executives with those of our stockholders.

All employees are subject to our security trading policy which prohibits trading in derivative securities (i.e. puts or calls), short selling, and any trading in the company's securities on margin.

Table of Contents

Each executive officer is subject to our claw-back policy which provides that any compensation received by an executive officer based upon the achievement of financial results that are subsequently revised is subject to cancellation or a reimbursement obligation.

Based on a review of our compensation program, in particular the compensation opportunities for our executive officers, we have concluded that it should not encourage inappropriate or excessive risk-taking that may have a material adverse effect on the company.

Use of Peer Company Data

One important factor in our compensation decisions is information regarding compensation practices of similar public companies. We regularly review our peer group companies in response to the fast moving nature of the biotechnology industry, including merger and acquisition activity, and changes in product pipeline and business stage. In determining the appropriate peer companies, we consider the following factors: business model, business stage and complexity, therapeutic area similarity, status of the drug candidate pipeline, manufacturing activity, technology platform, product focus and company size based on the number of employees, revenue and market capitalization. We reviewed the peer companies and established a new peer group in September 2009, and reconfirmed it in January 2010. This information was used in the deliberations when determining the structure and amounts of total compensation for the Named Executive Officers as part of our annual compensation review in January 2011. The peer group companies included:

| | |
|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Abraxis Bioscience, Inc. | Incyte Corporation |
| Affymetrix, Inc. | Isis Pharmaceuticals, Inc. |
| Alkermes, Inc. | Onyx Pharmaceuticals Inc. |
| BioMarin Pharmaceutical Inc. | OSI Pharmaceuticals Inc. |
| Cubist Pharmaceutical Inc. | United Therapeutics Corp. |
| Exelixis, Inc. | Zymogenetics Inc. |
| Human Genome Sciences Inc. | |

The above selection of peer group companies was substantially similar to our peer group that we reviewed and considered for 2009 compensation decisions, and was reviewed by the board of directors prior to approval. In addition, the board of directors reviewed data from the Radford Global Life Sciences Executive Survey, a broad-based survey of executive compensation in which a large number of life sciences companies (including many of the peer companies listed above) participate. In reviewing this industry compensation data, the organization and compensation committee does not focus on any particular company participating in the survey (other than those companies identified above as peer companies), in many cases using comparative data based upon Bay Area/Northern California publicly traded companies.

Review of this data and discussion of the peer group appropriateness took place in September and November of 2011. We concluded certain companies should be removed for varying reasons: Abraxis Bioscience Inc., as a result of its acquisition by Celgene Corporation, OSI Pharmaceuticals, Inc., as result of its acquisition by Astellas Pharma, Inc. and Zymogenetics, Inc., as a result of its acquisition by Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. We also determined that we would add certain companies to the peer group including Acorda Therapeutics, Inc., Auxilium Pharmaceuticals, Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Seattle Genetics, Theravance, and Viropharma, Inc. The updated peer group of companies used in the deliberations when determining the structure and amounts of total compensation for the Named Executive Officers as part of our annual compensation review in January 2012 included:

| | |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| Acorda Therapeutics, Inc. | Incyte Corporation |
| Affymetrix, Inc. | Isis Pharmaceuticals, Inc. |
| Alkermes, Inc. | Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Inc. |

Edgar Filing: NEKTAR THERAPEUTICS - Form DEF 14A

| | |
|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Auxilium Pharmaceuticals | Onyx Pharmaceuticals Inc. |
| BioMarin Pharmaceutical Inc. | Seattle Genetics |
| Cubist Pharmaceutical Inc. | Theravance |
| Exelixis, Inc. | United Therapeutics Corp. |
| Human Genome Sciences Inc. | Viropharma, Inc. |

Table of Contents

Although the board of directors and organization and compensation committee reviewed and discussed the compensation data for the peer group companies to help inform executive compensation decisions, the board of directors does not set compensation levels at any specific level or percentile against the peer group data (i.e., we do not benchmark our executive compensation levels). As described below, the peer group data is only one reference point taken into account in making compensation decisions. We do not use peer group or industry survey data as a standalone tool for setting compensation due to the unique aspects of our business and the need to attract and retain particular expert managers with unique experience, skills and other individual circumstances. However, we generally believe that reviewing and analyzing this information is an important component of our executive compensation decision-making process.

Base Salary

Base salary is an important element of compensation for the Named Executive Officers because it provides the executives with a specified minimum level of cash compensation which we believe is important to attracting and retaining the executives. When determining the amount of each Named Executive Officer's base salary, we consider competitive pay practices, individual performance and/or promotions, level and scope of responsibility, experience and internal pay equity. However, we do not use a formula or assign a particular weight to any one factor in determining base salary levels. Rather, the determination of base salary levels is subjective, and the board of directors and organization and compensation committee sets salaries at levels it believes in its judgment are reasonably competitive.

In February 2011, base salaries for the Named Executive Officers were reviewed by us and were increased from between 3.5% to 8% based on competitive considerations. The base salary earned by each Named Executive Officer during 2011 is reported below in the Summary Compensation Table.

Short-Term Incentive Compensation

Incentive Compensation Policy. We believe that short-term incentive compensation for Named Executive Officers is important as it provides a mechanism to provide focus on achievement of short-term milestones that support our strategic direction, and provide value for our stockholders. Our Incentive Compensation Policy for 2011 applied to all employees and all executive officers other than Mr. Robin, who is subject to his own separate annual performance-based bonus compensation arrangement with a combination of corporate and personal objectives established and evaluated by the board of directors. However, Mr. Robin's bonus arrangement for 2011 was on substantially the same terms as applied to the other Named Executive Officers under the Incentive Compensation Policy. Consistent with our compensation philosophy of paying for performance and maintaining a flexible approach, we adopted the Incentive Compensation Policy to provide Named Executive Officers with an incentive to contribute to the achievement of corporate objectives and goals while at the same time encouraging and rewarding excellent individual performance and recognizing differences in performance between individual executives.

Plan Design. Under the Incentive Compensation Policy, the board of directors establishes a number of annual corporate goals that include development, research, manufacturing, organizational and financial goals which we believe are essential to building long-term stockholder value. These corporate goals are designed to be achieved during the annual performance period and their relative weighting is based upon our assessment of the importance of each goal in creating long-term value for the company and our stockholders. If we achieve all of the stated goals, the overall corporate performance rating should be approximately 100%. Each corporate goal is established so that significant levels of achievement are required to meet the goal. Following the conclusion of the annual performance period, the level of achievement for each corporate goal is assessed by the board of directors. The board determines whether each corporate goal has been met, exceeded, or not satisfied. In addition, in assessing corporate performance, the board of directors has the discretion to factor in other significant corporate events that occurred during the performance period which could result in an upward or downward adjustment in the determination of corporate performance. After taking into account the level of attainment of

Table of Contents

each corporate goal and other appropriate corporate performance factors, the board of directors assigns the overall corporate performance rating, which may range from 0% to 200%. The total available bonus pool under the Incentive Compensation Policy is based on the corporate performance rating multiplied by the aggregate target bonus of all eligible participants. The aggregate of all individual bonuses awarded under the policy cannot exceed the total available bonus pool so that the cost of bonuses ultimately reflects our overall performance and is not inflated by the sum of individual performance ratings.

After the corporate performance rating is determined by the board of directors, the individual performance of each Named Executive Officer (other than Mr. Robin) is reviewed by the organization and compensation committee in consultation with Mr. Robin in order to determine the appropriate annual performance percentage rating to be assigned to the executive for the performance period. Mr. Robin's individual performance is reviewed by the independent members of the board of directors for purposes of determining his annual bonus compensation. Each Named Executive Officer's actual annual performance-based incentive compensation payment is based on a combination of the corporate performance rating and his or her individual performance. The Incentive Compensation Policy does not provide for a specific allocation or weighting of each Named Executive Officer's actual bonus amount between our corporate performance rating and individual performance. The actual annual performance bonus compensation award for each Named Executive Officer is determined by us in our sole discretion, and the maximum payout for each Named Executive Officer, including Mr. Robin, could be up to 200% of his or her target annual performance-based compensation target.

Target Annual Short-Term Incentive Compensation for 2011. The Named Executive Officers were each assigned a target annual incentive for 2011 ranging from 50% to 75% of base salary. The table below shows the target annual incentive assigned by us to each Named Executive Officer for 2011 both as a dollar amount and as a percentage of base salary.

| Name | Target Annual Incentive for Entire 2011 Year (\$) | Target Annual Incentive for Entire 2011 (% of Base Salary) |
|------------------------------|--|---|
| Howard W. Robin | 590,513 | 75% |
| John Nicholson | 248,500 | 50% |
| Stephen K. Doberstein, Ph.D. | 211,000 | 50% |
| Rinko Ghosh | 215,000 | 50% |
| Gil M. Labrucherie | 235,500 | 50% |

Company Performance Objectives. The 2011 corporate objectives and relative weightings assigned to each objective were as follows:

1. Development objective related to advancing a proprietary drug candidate into a late-stage clinical study (25%).
2. Development objective related to completing a clinical study of a proprietary drug candidate with the achievement of certain minimum clinical outcomes (10%).
3. Development objective related to completing a clinical study of a proprietary drug candidate with the achievement of certain minimum clinical outcomes (10%).
4. Research and clinical development objective related to advancing a proprietary drug candidate into clinical studies (10%).
5. Research objective related to significantly advancing multiple drug candidates through important research milestones (10%).

6. Manufacturing objective related to the specific level of output to support one of our clinical development programs (10%).

Table of Contents

7. Manufacturing objective related to establishing multiple elements of a supply chain to support clinical manufacturing and future potential commercial manufacturing (5%).
8. Manufacturing objective related to CMC (chemistry, manufacturing and controls) process development and scale-up (5%).
9. An objective related to building our organization and infrastructure to support late-stage clinical development (5%).
10. An objective related to business development related to establishing new collaboration arrangements (5%).
11. Financial objective related to cash used in operations (5%). Cash used in operations (excluding budgeted new deal revenue) plus capital expenditures not to exceed \$176 million.

These performance objectives served as the corporate performance objectives under the Incentive Compensation Policy and were also used to assess corporate performance for purposes of Mr. Robin's performance-based incentive compensation opportunity for 2011. The aggregate weighting of the 2011 corporate objectives was set at 100%. However, the maximum potential corporate performance rating is limited to 200% even if the board of directors determined that we significantly exceeded all of these objectives. A corporate performance rating in excess of 100% can only be achieved if the board of directors determines that the goal achievement for one or many of the goals substantially exceeded the target metrics, or they use their discretion to factor in other significant corporate events that occurred during the performance period.

Research and development goals comprised 65% of the corporate performance objectives for 2011, with an additional 20% relating to manufacturing objectives that we believe are critical to the support of our drug candidate pipeline. This weighting of objectives is a reflection of our long-term focus as a drug development company with the goal of building a broad, robust and deep pipeline of proprietary drug candidates. We believe this mix of corporate goals was not only an appropriate measure of achievement in 2011, but also represents objectives important to building the long-term foundation of our business.

Actual Annual Incentives Earned for 2011

A report of the achievement of our 2011 corporate objectives was prepared by our executive committee and then reviewed and assessed by the board of directors. Based on this review and assessment, the board of directors determined that six of the corporate goals identified above were met and two were exceeded, resulting in the crediting of 79% under the program with respect to these goals. The corporate goals that were achieved included our announcement in December 2011 that we initiated the Phase 3 BEACON clinical study for NKTR-102 in metastatic breast cancer and our announcements in 2011 of positive clinical results from two separate Phase 1 clinical studies of NKTR-181. Of the two operational goals that were exceeded, one related to a clinical development program that advanced much farther than the target objective, with the successful completion of the second Phase 1 multi-dose clinical study for NKTR-181 and the other related to the financial objective, with our end of year cash used in operations exceeding the pre-defined target by approximately 33%. The other three goals were not fully met and partial credit was given for these goals, resulting in the award of 14% achievement with respect to these goals. Partial credit was given based on the level of achievement that, while not meeting the full corporate objective, nevertheless represented significant achievement towards that objective that the board of directors determined warranted the proportional award of partial credit for the level of achievement attained. For example, partial credit was awarded for one goal where all of the activities were substantially and successfully completed in 2011 but the event-based goal did not occur until the first quarter of 2012 representing significant value but also factoring in a slight delay in achievement. The board of directors also determined that significant accomplishments outside of established corporate objectives, including the company's progress in certain partnerships and collaborations, advances in clinical development, and attainment of certain financial objectives, should be factored into the determination of the corporate performance rating and a discretionary upward adjustment of 5% was made. Accordingly, the board of directors determined that the corporate performance rating under the Incentive Compensation Policy was determined to be 98% for 2011 and that the maximum company-wide bonus pool for 2011 would be funded at 98% of the target bonus pool level.

Table of Contents

Following the corporate performance rating determination, the organization and compensation committee, in consultation with Mr. Robin, determined an individual performance rating for each of the Named Executive Officers (excluding Mr. Robin whose performance is determined by the independent members of the board of directors). As with our other employees and consistent with our philosophy of pay for performance, these executives are eligible to receive awards that exceed the corporate performance rating if their rating is exceptional and exceeds expectations. Employees with ratings of solid performer, needs improvement and does not meet received awards below the corporate performance rating level and in some cases they received bonuses significantly below that level or no bonus award at all.

In our judgment, we determined that each of these executives had achieved at least an exceeds expectations rating in 2011 and, in the case of Mr. Labrucherie, an exceptional rating. Specific performance factors considered by us in determining Mr. Labrucherie's performance rating primarily related to his exceptional performance within his functional area including his leadership and skills in strategic collaboration transactions, finance transactions, corporate governance and risk management, managing a high quality legal and patent department, and his ability to effectively work with other members of the management team. The independent members of the board of directors awarded Mr. Robin 110% of his target bonus for 2011, taking into account a combination of the corporate achievements identified above and its subjective assessment of his individual performance. Factors considered by the organization and compensation committee in assessing individual performance for Named Executive Officers, and considered by the independent members of the board of directors for Mr. Robin, included achievement of other significant accomplishments and deliverables, assessment of each individual's demonstrated leadership in their functional responsibility and delivery of tangible results that added meaningful value to us.

The bonuses awarded to the Named Executive Officers for 2011 fell within the guidelines we established for all of our employees under the Incentive Compensation Policy who received the individual performance ratings assessed and approved for these executives by the organization and compensation committee. In other words, although the bonus awards to the Named Executive Officers were higher than the 98% corporate performance rating, these bonus awards (expressed as a percentage of the participant's target bonus) were substantially consistent with the bonus awards made to participants in the Incentive Compensation Policy with a similar performance rating (e.g. exceeds expectations and exceptional). The following table lists the actual annual performance-based incentive compensation awarded to each Named Executive Officer as a percentage of his 2011 target annual incentive.

| Name | Actual Bonus as a Percentage of Target for Entire 2011 Year (%) |
|------------------------------|---|
| Howard W. Robin | 110% |
| John Nicholson | 105% |
| Stephen K. Doberstein, Ph.D. | 105% |
| Rinko Ghosh | 105% |
| Gil M. Labrucherie | 130% |

Discretionary Bonuses Earned in 2011. We determined to pay Dr. Doberstein a bonus of \$20,000 in connection with our removing the opportunity for participants in the CIC Plan to receive gross up payments for any excise taxes imposed under Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code under the CIC Plan. Mr. Ghosh was awarded a discretionary bonus during 2011 of \$1,000 (grossed up for taxes) under our Service Award Policy, which generally applies to all employees, upon his achievement of ten years of service with us.

The amounts of each Named Executive Officer's bonuses for the 2011 fiscal year are reported in the Summary Compensation Table below.

Table of Contents***Equity Awards***

In accordance with our objective of aligning executive compensation with our stockholders' interests, our current long-term incentive program for the Named Executive Officers consists solely of an annual award of equity compensation that is generally subject to a multi-year vesting schedule. We believe that equity compensation is an effective tool to align the interests of our Named Executive Officers who have significant responsibility for driving our success with the interests of our stockholders. We have historically awarded equity compensation in the form of stock options and, in certain circumstances, restricted stock unit awards (RSUs). During 2011, we determined that Named Executive Officers would be granted only stock options and that no full value RSUs would be granted. Stock options are our preferred form of long-term incentive compensation because they are more performance based with value delivered only if the stock price of our common shares appreciates following the grant. As described above under Recent Changes to Our Compensation Program, we decided that 50% of equity awards for 2012 would be in the form of performance-based stock options.

The Named Executive Officers received an annual equity award during the first portion of 2011 in connection with the annual performance review process. In determining the grant levels for these awards, the board of directors or the organization and compensation committee, as applicable, considered a number of factors in making our subjective equity award determinations. The most important of these factors included an assessment of individual performance, competitive market practices, the number of unvested stock options held by the executive officer and average exercise price (i.e. the retention value) of these options, the individual's overall contribution, and stockholder dilution. However, we do not use a formula or assign a particular weight to any one factor in determining equity award levels. Rather, the determination of grant levels is subjective, and the board of directors and organization and compensation committee awards equity grants at levels it believes in its judgment are reasonably competitive and consistent with our philosophy that a substantial portion of our executives' compensation should be performance-based and help to further link the interests of our executives with those of our stockholders. These annual stock option awards vest monthly over a period of four years and thus provide a retention incentive for the executive as well as an additional incentive to help create value for our stockholders. The organization and compensation committee's independent consultant provided a study in 2011 and 2012 that indicated that the grant-date value of the equity awards granted to Mr. Robin were below the median of our peer group. For these purposes, the grant-date value of equity awards is determined using the principles applied in the company's financial reporting.

The number of shares of common stock subject to stock options granted to each Named Executive Officer during 2011 and the grant-date fair value of these equity awards is presented in the Grants of Plan Based Awards in 2011 table below. A description of the material terms of the 2011 stock option awards is presented in the narrative section following that table.

The grant date for equity awards is typically the date of approval by the organization and compensation committee or the board of directors, as the case may be, or the date an executive officer commences employment for new hire grants. To streamline the administration of our equity plans, the organization and compensation committee or board of directors, as applicable, will generally approve equity awards to newly hired executives at the time their other compensation arrangements are approved, but provide that the grant date will be the date that they actually begin employment. This approach also permits us to match the grant date with the service period of the stock option recipient. We do not have any programs, plans or practices with respect to the timing of stock option grants in coordination with the release of material nonpublic information with the intent to provide value to option recipients. Accordingly, we do not time the release of material nonpublic information for the purpose of affecting the value of equity or other compensation granted to our executive officers. We believe that the grant of equity awards should be made in the normal course of business aligning the interests of the stock option recipients with those of the stockholders rather than seeking to provide an immediate benefit to option recipients through the timing of stock option grants.

Table of Contents**CEO Pay-For-Performance Analysis**

During the first quarter of each year, typically in late January or early February, the organization and compensation committee and the board of directors make individual compensation decisions for our executive officers based on the overall corporate performance rating and individual performance assessment for the prior calendar year including decisions regarding base salary adjustments, annual bonus determinations, and equity awards. For example, as discussed above, on February 8, 2012, the board of directors awarded Mr. Robin a cash bonus of \$650,000 for the 2011 annual performance period. Under the SEC proxy rules, this bonus amount awarded to Mr. Robin is reflected in the Summary Compensation Table as Non-Equity Incentive Compensation earned by him in 2011. However, on the same day, the board of directors granted Mr. Robin a performance-based stock option for 400,000 shares that vested based on both time vesting and performance-based vesting as described above. Under SEC proxy rules, the grant date fair value of this stock option grant would be included in the Summary Compensation Table under Option Awards next year as part of Mr. Robin's compensation for 2012 rather than for 2011. This is also the case for each of the stock option grants made to Mr. Robin prior years. Because we view the equity awards we grant to our executives early in each fiscal year primarily as compensation earned for performance during the preceding year, we think it is much better to evaluate pay-for-performance for Mr. Robin by including his February annual equity award in the prior year's compensation because that is the performance period upon which the board of directors based this compensation decision. The first chart below sets forth Mr. Robin's compensation for 2009-2011 based on SEC proxy rules and the next chart shows his compensation for those years with the grant date fair value of awards made in February of each year included in the prior year's compensation.

Summary Compensation Table Per SEC Rules

| Name and Principal Position (a) | Year (b) | Salary (\$) (c) | Option Awards (\$)(1) (f) | Annual Cash | All Other | Total (\$) (j) |
|------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| | | | | Incentive Compensation (\$) (g) | Compensation (\$) (i) | |
| Howard W. Robin | 2011 | 785,131 | 1,732,530 | 650,000 | 14,973 | 3,182,634 |
| President and Chief | 2010 | 758,581 | 3,018,950 | 700,000 | 14,973 | 4,492,504 |
| Executive Officer | 2009 | 730,417 | 1,257,743 | 1,000,000 | 14,973 | 3,003,133 |

Summary Compensation Table With Annual Option Grants Included in Prior Year Compensation

| Name and Principal Position (a) | Year (b) | Salary (\$) (c) | Option Awards (\$)(1)(2) (f) | Annual Cash | All Other | Total (\$) (j) |
|------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| | | | | Incentive Compensation (\$) (g) | Compensation (\$) (i) | |
| Howard W. Robin | 2011 | 785,131 | 1,510,460 | 650,000 | 14,973 | 2,960,564 |
| President and Chief | 2010 | 758,581 | 1,732,530 | 700,000 | 14,973 | 3,206,084 |
| Executive Officer | 2009 | 730,417 | 3,018,950 | 1,000,000 | 14,973 | 4,764,340 |

- (1) Amounts reported represent the aggregate grant date fair value of awards granted in the applicable year computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 (formerly SFAS No. 123R), which excludes the effects of estimated forfeitures. For a complete description of the assumptions made in determining the valuation, please refer to (i) Note 14 (Stock-Based Compensation) to our audited financial statements in our annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 and (ii) similar footnotes to our audited financial statements in our annual reports on Form 10-K for prior years when the awards were granted.)
- (2) The grant date fair value of the stock option award made to Mr. Robin on February 8, 2012 and included in this Option Award column is based upon the following: (i) the stock option was for an aggregate of 400,000 shares of common stock, (ii) the exercise price is \$7.21 per share which was the closing price of the company's common stock on the Nasdaq Global Market on the grant date, (iii) 50% of the shares

are subject

Table of Contents

to time-based vesting and performance-based vesting (as discussed above) and 50% of the shares subject to the option are subject to time-based vesting, and (iv) the term of the stock option is 8 years from the grant date and expires on February 7, 2020.

Severance and Change of Control Benefits

If the employment of a Named Executive Officer is terminated by us without cause or by the executive for a designated good reason outside of the context of a change of control transaction, the executive would be entitled to severance benefits under the executive's agreement with the company. These severance benefits include a cash severance payment based on the executive's then current base salary and the amount of his or her target annual incentive bonus, payment of COBRA premiums for one year, and an additional 12 month period to exercise vested options (an 18 month period for Mr. Robin). In order to attract and retain these Named Executive Officers in a competitive environment for highly skilled senior executive talent in the biotechnology and pharmaceutical industry and to provide an incentive to obtain a broad release of claims in favor of the company, we determined it was necessary to offer each of them severance benefits in the case of a termination without cause or constructive termination outside the context of a change of control transaction. Many of our peer companies provide severance benefits for similar types of terminations of employment, and we believe that it is important for us to offer these severance benefits in order to continue to provide a competitive total compensation program. These Named Executive Officers would also be entitled to certain termination benefits upon a termination of employment because of death or disability.

We also maintain a Change of Control Severance Benefit Plan (the "CIC Plan") that provides the Named Executive Officers with certain severance benefits if their employment is terminated in connection with a change of control. The CIC Plan was originally established in 2006, and no amendments have been made to the plan since that time that would increase the severance benefits available under the CIC Plan. Severance benefits under the CIC Plan are structured on a "double-trigger" basis, meaning that the executive must experience a termination without cause or resign for a specifically defined good reason in connection with the change of control in order for severance benefits to become payable under the CIC Plan. Like the severance benefits under the letter agreements, we believe that these change of control severance benefits are an important element of a competitive total compensation program. Additionally, we believe that providing change of control benefits should eliminate, or at least reduce, any reluctance of our Named Executive Officers and other key employees covered by the CIC Plan to diligently consider and pursue potential change of control opportunities that may be in the best interests of our stockholders. At the same time, by providing change of control benefits only upon the occurrence of an additional triggering event occurring in connection with the change of control transaction resulting in a job loss, we believe that this CIC Plan helps preserve the value of our key personnel for any potential acquiring company.

Under the CIC Plan, the executive would be entitled to accelerated vesting of outstanding equity-based awards upon a termination described above. The other severance benefits under the CIC Plan are generally similar to the severance benefits described above; however Mr. Robin's cash severance would be increased to cover the two-year period following termination and company-paid COBRA coverage would be increased to eighteen months. Outplacement services received within twelve months following separation, up to a maximum of \$5,000, are provided to all participants. In addition, all executive officers would be entitled to full equity vesting and, except for Dr. Doberstein, a "gross up" payment for any excise taxes imposed under Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code once a 10% cutback threshold is exceeded and outplacement benefits. We determined that Mr. Robin should be entitled to increased severance benefits for a termination in connection with a change of control because of his role in the company and the likelihood that a change of control would result in his termination of employment. The excise tax gross-up was included in the CIC Plan as originally adopted in 2006 to make the participants whole for any adverse tax consequences to which they may become subject under Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code and to avoid unintended differences in net severance based on individual factors like the date of hire and past option exercise decisions, which preserves the level of change of control severance protections that we have determined to be appropriate. At the time the CIC Plan was

Table of Contents

established, we believed this excise tax gross-up protection was a reasonable part of a competitive total compensation package and generally consistent with industry practice at the time. On April 5, 2011, the board of directors amended the CIC Plan to eliminate any gross up payments for any excise taxes imposed under Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code for participants who became eligible to participate in the CIC Plan on or after January 1, 2010, including Dr. Doberstein who joined the company in 2010. The board of directors decided to eliminate this tax gross-up provision under the plan for new participants based on its review of current industry practices.

The Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control section below describes and quantifies the severance and other benefits potentially payable to the Named Executive Officers.

Other Benefits

We believe that establishing competitive benefit packages for employees is an important factor in attracting and retaining highly-qualified personnel, including the Named Executive Officers. The Named Executive Officers are eligible to participate in all of our employee benefit plans, such as medical, dental, vision, group life, disability insurance, commuting benefits, employee stock purchase plan and the 401(k) plan, in each case generally on the same basis as other employees. We do not offer a tax-qualified defined-benefit pension plan or any non-qualified defined benefit retirement plans, nor do we provide material perquisites to our executives.

Section 162(m) Policy

Section 162(m) of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code limits our deduction for federal income tax purposes to \$1 million of compensation paid to certain Named Executive Officers in a taxable year. Compensation above \$1 million may be deducted if it is performance-based compensation within the meaning of Section 162(m). While we consider the compensation limits of Section 162(m) when designing our executive compensation programs, we reserve discretion to grant compensation from time to time that may not be deductible under the Section 162(m) limits in situations where we have determined the compensation to be appropriate to satisfy our compensation and other objectives. We intend to continue to evaluate the effects of the compensation limits of Section 162(m) and to grant compensation awards in the future in a manner consistent with the best interests of our stockholders.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The material in this report is being furnished and shall not be deemed filed with the SEC for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act or otherwise subject to the liability of that section, nor shall the material in this section be deemed to be soliciting material or incorporated by reference in any registration statement or other document filed with the SEC under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act), or the Exchange Act, except as otherwise expressly stated in such filing.

The organization and compensation committee has reviewed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis and discussed it with management. Based on its review and discussions with management, the committee recommended to our board of directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in our annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 and in our 2011 proxy statement. This report is provided by the following independent directors, who currently comprise the committee:

Lutz Lingnau Chairman

R. Scott Greer

Joseph J. Krivulka

Christopher A. Kuebler

Table of Contents**SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE FISCAL 2009-2011**

The following table shows, for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, compensation awarded to or earned by our Chief Executive Officer, our Chief Financial Officer and our other three most highly compensated executive officers who were serving as executive officers on December 31, 2011 (the Named Executive Officers). To the extent any Named Executive Officers were also named executive officers for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2010 or December 31, 2009, compensation information for our 2010 and 2009 fiscal years is also presented for such executives.

| Name and Principal Position (a) | Year (b) | Salary (\$) (c) | Bonus (\$)(1) (d) | Awards (\$)(2) (e) | Non-Equity | | | Total (\$) (j) |
|--|-------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|-------------------|
| | | | | | Stock Awards (\$)(2) (f) | Option Awards (\$)(2) (f) | Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)(3) (g) | |
| Howard W. Robin President and Chief Executive Officer | 2011 | 785,131 | | | 1,732,530 | 650,000 | 14,973 | 3,182,634 |
| | 2010 | 758,581 | | | 3,018,950 | 700,000 | 14,973 | 4,492,504 |
| | 2009 | 730,417 | | | 1,257,743 | 1,000,000 | 14,973 | 3,003,133 |
| John Nicholson Senior Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer | 2011 | 495,583 | | | 363,831 | 260,925 | 17,538 | 1,137,878 |
| | 2010 | 478,417 | | | 724,548 | 288,000 | 15,330 | 1,506,295 |
| | 2009 | 459,594 | | | 921,667 | 403,500 | 51,198 | 1,835,959 |
| Stephen K. Doberstein Ph.D. Senior Vice President and Chief Scientific Officer | 2011 | 420,167 | 20,000 | | 346,506 | 221,550 | 17,410 | 1,025,632 |
| | 2010 | 395,455 | 150,000 | | 2,740,068 | 240,000 | 7,818 | 3,533,340 |
| Rinko Ghosh Senior Vice President and Chief Business Officer | 2011 | 428,333 | 1,000 | | 577,510 | 225,750 | 8,989 | 1,241,582 |
| | 2010 | 397,739 | | | 1,533,328 | 276,750 | 8,009 | 2,215,826 |
| Gil M. Labrucherie Senior Vice President and General Counsel | 2011 | 468,083 | | | 519,759 | 306,150 | 16,540 | 1,310,532 |
| | 2010 | 434,250 | 500 | | 724,548 | 294,300 | 13,272 | 1,466,871 |
| | 2009 | 412,242 | | | 1,006,247 | 363,500 | 13,063 | 1,795,052 |

- (1) Amounts reported for 2011 represent a bonus of \$20,000 for Dr. Doberstein in connection with our removing the opportunity for participants in the CIC Plan hired after January 1, 2010 to receive gross up payments for any excise taxes imposed under Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code under the plan and a discretionary bonus for Mr. Ghosh under our Service Award Policy upon his achievement of ten years of service with the company. Amounts reported for 2010 represent a sign-on bonus in connection with Dr. Doberstein's commencement of employment with the company in order to encourage him to join the company and a discretionary bonus for Mr. Labrucherie under our Service Award Policy upon his achievement of five years of service with the company.
- (2) Amounts reported represent the aggregate grant date fair value of awards granted in the applicable year computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 (formerly SFAS No. 123R), which excludes the effects of estimated forfeitures. For a complete description of the assumptions made in determining the valuation, please refer to (i) Note 14 (Stock-Based Compensation) to our audited financial statements in our annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 and (ii) similar footnotes to our audited financial statements in our annual reports on Form 10-K for prior years when the awards were granted.

Edgar Filing: NEKTAR THERAPEUTICS - Form DEF 14A

- (3) Amounts reported for 2009, 2010 and 2011 represent amounts earned under the Incentive Compensation Policy for that year or, for Mr. Robin, under his amended and restated offer letter effective as of December 1, 2008. As noted in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis above, Mr. Robin's annual bonus opportunity for 2011 was similar in structure to the bonus opportunities for the other Named Executive Officers under the Incentive Compensation Policy.
- (4) Amounts reported in 2011 for the Named Executive Officers generally include life insurance premiums paid by us, long-term disability imputed income paid on a post-tax basis, and matching contributions under our 401(k) plan. In addition to these benefits, certain Named Executive Officers received other compensation in 2011 having a value in excess of \$10,000 or that are otherwise required to be individually identified are as follows: Mr. Robin's life insurance premiums were \$13,485 and Mr. Nicholson's life insurance premiums were \$13,053.

Table of Contents

Description of Employment Agreements

Each of the Named Executive Officers has entered into our standard form of employment agreement and an offer letter or letter agreement. The form of employment agreement provides for protective covenants with respect to confidential information, intellectual property and assignment of inventions and also sets forth other standard terms and conditions of employment. The offer letter entered into by Messrs. Robin, Nicholson and Doberstein generally establish each Named Executive Officer's minimum base salary and target annual short-term incentive compensation amounts, as well as other additional terms and conditions of the executive's employment. Each of these letters specifies an initial base salary that may be increased in our discretion, but which may not be decreased. The current base salary levels for each of these executives are as follows: Mr. Robin \$809,000; Mr. Nicholson \$510,667; and Dr. Doberstein \$434,660. Under the offer letters, Mr. Robin's minimum target bonus level is 65% of his base salary, and the minimum target bonus levels for Mr. Nicholson and Dr. Doberstein is 50% of the executive's base salary. The letter agreements do not provide for any minimum or guaranteed term of employment.

The letter agreements entered into by each of the Named Executive Officers establish the compensation arrangements following separation from us under certain circumstances. Please see [Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control](#) below for more information on these separation arrangements.

Table of Contents**GRANTS OF PLAN BASED AWARDS IN 2011**

The following table shows, for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, certain information regarding grants of plan-based awards to the Named Executive Officers.

| Name (a) | Grant Date (b) | Date of Board or Committee Approval (c) | Estimated Possible Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards(1) | | | All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units (#) (i) | All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options (#) (j) | Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards (\$/sh)(2) (k) | Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (\$)(3) (l) |
|---|-------------------|---|--|-----------------------|------------------------|--|---|---|---|
| | | | Threshold (\$) (c) | Target (\$) (d) | Maximum (\$) (e) | | | | |
| Howard W. Robin | | | | | | | | | |
| Annual Incentive Award Stock Options | N/A 2/8/2011 | | 590,513 | 1,181,025 | | 300,000 | 10.69 | 1,732,530 | |
| John Nicholson | | | | | | | | | |
| Annual Incentive Award Stock Options | N/A 2/8/2011 | | 248,500 | 497,000 | | 63,000 | 10.69 | 363,831 | |
| Stephen K. Doberstein, Ph.D. | | | | | | | | | |
| Annual Incentive Award Stock Options | N/A 2/8/2011 | | 211,000 | 422,000 | | 60,000 | 10.69 | 346,506 | |
| Rinko Ghosh | | | | | | | | | |
| Annual Incentive Award Stock Options | N/A 2/8/2011 | | 215,000 | 430,000 | | 100,000 | 10.69 | 577,510 | |
| Gil M. Labrucherie | | | | | | | | | |
| Annual Incentive Award Stock Options | N/A 2/8/2011 | | 235,500 | 471,000 | | 90,000 | 10.69 | 519,759 | |

- (1) Amounts reported represent the potential short-term incentive compensation amounts payable for our 2011 fiscal year under our Incentive Compensation Policy (or for Mr. Robin, the potential amounts payable under his amended offer letter agreement). The amounts reported represent each Named Executive Officer's target and maximum possible payments for the entire 2011 calendar year. Because actual payments to the Named Executive Officers could range from 0% to 200% of their target bonuses, no threshold payment amount has been established for the Named Executive Officers. The actual short-term incentive bonus amount (if any) earned by each Named Executive Officer for 2011 is reported in Column (g) (Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation) of the Summary Compensation Table above.
- (2) The exercise price of the stock option awards granted during 2011 is equal to the closing price of our common stock on the date of grant as reported by the NASDAQ Global Market. No stock option grants were re-priced during 2011.
- (3) Refer to Note 14 (Stock-Based Compensation) to our audited financial statements in our annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 for the relevant assumptions used to determine the grant date fair value of the stock options granted during 2011.

Description of Plan-Based Awards

Edgar Filing: NEKTAR THERAPEUTICS - Form DEF 14A

Stock Options. Each stock option granted to the Named Executive Officers during 2011 may be exercised to purchase the designated number of shares of our common stock at an exercise price equal to the closing price of the underlying common stock on the grant date. During 2011, Named Executive Officers were granted only non-qualified stock options. Each Named Executive Officer's stock option award granted in 2011 has a maximum term of eight years and is subject to a vesting schedule that requires the executive's continued employment or service through the vesting date. Stock option awards granted to Named Executive Officers in February 2011 generally vest and become exercisable on a monthly pro-rata basis over a four-year period following the grant date.

Any stock options that are unvested upon a Named Executive Officer's termination of continuous employment or services will be forfeited without any value, unless the termination of continuous service is a result of disability or death, in which event, subject to any restrictions in the stock option agreement, the option shall become fully vested and exercisable as of the date of termination. In accordance with the Named Executive Officers' letter agreements described above, any stock options that are vested upon a Named Executive Officer's termination of continuous employment or services by us without cause or by the executive for a good reason resignation (as defined in the CIC Plan) will generally remain outstanding and exercisable for 12 months following termination (18 months for

Table of Contents

Mr. Robin). This exercise period is also 12 months if the termination of employment or continuous services is because of disability and is 18 months if the termination is a result of death. We also have the discretion to extend the applicable exercise period in connection with other terminations of employment. Any vested options that are not exercised within the applicable post-termination of employment exercise period will terminate.

All or a portion of each Named Executive Officer's stock option award may also become vested and exercisable upon or in connection with a change of control or certain corporate transactions with respect to us, upon certain terminations of the Named Executive Officer's employment without cause or for a good reason resignation in connection with a change of control and in connection with terminations of employment resulting from disability or death. Please see the Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control section below for a description of the vesting that may occur in such circumstances.

Each Named Executive Officer's stock option award was granted under, and is subject to the terms of, the 2008 Equity Incentive Plan. The plans are administered by the organization and compensation committee, and this committee has the ability to interpret and make all required determinations under the plans. This authority includes making required proportionate adjustments to outstanding stock options to reflect certain corporate transactions and making provision to ensure that participants satisfy any required withholding taxes.

The Named Executive Officers are not entitled to any dividend equivalent rights on their stock option awards, and stock option awards are generally only transferable to a beneficiary of a Named Executive Officer upon his death.

Short-Term Incentive Compensation. All of the Named Executive Officers were eligible to earn a short-term incentive compensation payment under the Incentive Compensation Policy or, for Mr. Robin, under an arrangement that mirrors the Incentive Compensation Policy. Please see Compensation Discussion and Analysis Current Executive Compensation Program Elements Short-Term Incentive Compensation and Discretionary Bonuses for a description of the material terms of the Incentive Compensation Policy and Mr. Robin's related short-term incentive compensation arrangement.

Table of Contents**OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR-END FOR 2011**

The following table includes certain information with respect to the value of all unexercised options and stock awards previously awarded to the Named Executive Officers as of December 31, 2011.

| Name | Grant Date | Option Awards | | | | Stock Awards | | | Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Payout value of Unearned Shares/ Units etc. That Have Not Vested (\$)(3) (j) |
|---------------------------|------------|--|---|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| | | Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable(1) (c) | Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable (d) | Option Exercise Price (\$) (e) | Option Expiration Date(2) (f) | Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (g) | Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)(3) (h) | Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares/ Units etc. That Have Not Vested (#) (i) | |
| Howard W. Robin | 1/16/2007 | 27,548 | 6,887(4) | 14.52 | 1/15/2015 | | | | |
| | 1/16/2007 | 562,452 | 3,113(4) | 14.52 | 1/15/2015 | | | | |
| | 12/21/2007 | 700,000 | 0(5) | 6.98 | 12/20/2015 | | | | |
| | 2/25/2008 | 383,333 | 16,667(6) | 6.46 | 2/24/2016 | | | | |
| | 2/23/2009 | 371,875 | 153,125(6) | 4.65 | 2/22/2017 | | | | |
| | 2/1/2010 | 229,166 | 270,834(6) | 11.34 | 1/31/2018 | | | | |
| | 2/8/2011 | 62,500 | 237,500(6) | 10.69 | 2/7/2019 | | | | |
| John Nicholson | 10/2/2007 | 45,092 | 0(5) | 8.87 | 10/1/2015 | | | | |
| | 10/2/2007 | 154,908 | 0(5) | 8.87 | 10/1/2015 | | | | |
| | 12/21/2007 | 100,000 | 0(5) | 6.98 | 12/20/2015 | | | | |
| | 2/25/2008 | 81,458 | 3,542(6) | 6.46 | 2/24/2016 | | | | |
| | 2/23/2009 | 85,000 | 35,000(6) | 4.65 | 2/22/2017 | | | | |
| | 6/10/2009 | 93,750 | 56,250(5) | 6.34 | 6/9/2017 | | | | |
| | 11/18/2009 | 25,000 | 0(7) | 9.24 | 11/17/2017 | | | | |
| | 2/1/2010 | 55,000 | 65,000(6) | 11.34 | 2/1/2018 | | | | |
| 2/8/2011 | 13,125 | 49,875(6) | 10.69 | 2/7/2019 | | | | | |
| Stephen K. | | | | | | | | | |
| Doberstein, Ph.D. | 1/6/2010 | 258,750 | 281,250(5) | 9.53 | 1/5/2018 | | | | |
| | 2/8/2011 | 12,500 | 47,500(6) | 10.69 | 2/7/2019 | | | | |
| Rinko Ghosh | 5/14/2002 | 2,800 | 0(8) | 7.25 | 5/13/2012 | | | | |
| | 5/14/2003 | 1,400 | 0(8) | 7.84 | 5/13/2013 | | | | |
| | 2/7/2005 | 3,000 | 0(9) | 18.95 | 2/6/2013 | | | | |
| | 8/1/2005 | 2,000 | 0(9) | 18.76 | 7/31/2013 | | | | |
| | 2/1/2006 | | | | | | | 3,600(10) | 20,160 |
| | 8/15/2006 | 15,000 | 0(9) | 16.39 | 8/14/2014 | | | | |
| | 3/16/2007 | 9,900 | 0(6) | 11.38 | 3/15/2015 | | | | |
| | 3/20/2008 | 93,750 | 6,250(5) | 6.65 | 3/19/2016 | | | | |
| | 2/23/2009 | 28,333 | 11,667(5) | 4.65 | 2/22/2017 | | | | |
| | 2/23/2009 | 42,500 | 17,500(6) | 4.65 | 2/22/2017 | | | | |
| | 12/11/2009 | 50,000 | 50,000(5) | 9.2 | 12/10/2017 | | | | |
| | 2/1/2010 | 27,500 | 32,500(6) | 11.34 | 1/31/2018 | | | | |
| | 2/1/2010 | 27,500 | 32,500(5) | 11.34 | 1/31/2018 | | | | |
| | 3/22/2010 | 43,750 | 56,250(6) | 15.19 | 3/21/2018 | | | | |
| 2/8/2011 | 20,833 | 79,167(6) | 10.69 | 2/7/2019 | | | | | |
| Gil M. Labrucherie | 10/24/2005 | 30,000 | 0(4) | 15.01 | 10/23/2013 | | | | |

Edgar Filing: NEKTAR THERAPEUTICS - Form DEF 14A

| | | | | |
|------------|---------|-----------|-------|------------|
| 3/16/2007 | 9,900 | 0(6) | 11.38 | 3/15/2015 |
| 4/2/2007 | 10,500 | 0(6) | 13.02 | 4/1/2015 |
| 12/21/2007 | 200,000 | 0(5) | 6.98 | 12/20/2015 |
| 2/25/2008 | 67,083 | 2,917(6) | 6.46 | 2/24/2016 |
| 2/23/2009 | 85,000 | 35,000(6) | 4.65 | 2/22/2017 |
| 6/10/2009 | 109,375 | 65,625(5) | 6.34 | 6/9/2017 |
| 11/18/2009 | 25,000 | 0(7) | 9.24 | 11/17/2017 |
| 2/1/2010 | 55,000 | 65,000(6) | 11.34 | 1/31/2018 |
| 2/8/2011 | 18,750 | 71,250(6) | 10.69 | 2/7/2019 |

(1) All exercisable options are currently vested.

Table of Contents

- (2) For all Named Executive Officers, the expiration date shown is the normal expiration date occurring on the eighth anniversary of the grant date for grants made after 2003 and the tenth anniversary of the grant date for grants made before 2004, which is the latest date that the options may be exercised. Options may terminate earlier in certain circumstances, such as in connection with a Named Executive Officer's termination of employment or in connection with certain corporate transactions, including a change of control.
- (3) Restricted stock unit value is calculated based on the December 30, 2011, closing price of our common stock of \$5.60.
- (4) Options vest over a five year period, with the first 20% of the options vesting one year from the date of grant and the remaining portion of the options vesting pro-rata on a monthly basis over the following four years.
- (5) Options vest over a four year period, with the first 25% of the options vesting one year from the date of grant and the remaining portion of the options vesting pro-rata on a monthly basis over the following three years.
- (6) Options vest pro-rata on a monthly basis over a period of four years from the date of grant.
- (7) Options vest pro-rata on a monthly basis over a twelve month period.
- (8) Options vest over a five year period, with 0% of the options vesting for the first four years from the date of grant then the options vesting pro-rata on a monthly basis over the fifth year.
- (9) Options vest pro-rata on a monthly basis over a period of five years from the date of grant.
- (10) This restricted stock unit award vests based upon achievement of a regulatory filing for a proprietary drug candidate development program before the eighth anniversary of the grant date.

OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED IN 2011

The following table includes certain information with respect to the exercise of stock options by the Named Executive Officers during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, and on the vesting during our 2011 fiscal year of stock awards held by the Named Executive Officers.

| Name | Option Awards | | Stock Awards | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise | Value Realized on Exercise | Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting | Value Realized on Vesting |
| (a) | (b) | (\$)(c) | (#)(d) | (\$)(1)(e) |
| Howard W. Robin | | | | |
| John Nicholson | | | 2,500 | 13,350 |
| Stephen K. Doberstein, Ph.D. | | | | |
| Rinko Ghosh | | | | |
| Gil M. Labrucherie | | | | |

- (1) The dollar amounts shown for restricted stock unit awards in Column (e) above are determined by multiplying (i) the number of restricted stock units becoming vested by (ii) the per-share closing price of our common stock on the release date.

POTENTIAL PAYMENTS UPON TERMINATION OR CHANGE OF CONTROL

The following section describes the benefits that may become payable to the Named Executive Officers employed by us on the date of this proxy statement in connection with their termination of employment with us or in connection with a change of control.

All of the severance and other benefits described in this section will be paid or provided by us. For purposes of this section, we have assumed that (i) the price per share of our common stock is equal to the closing price per share on the last trading day of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 (\$5.60), and (ii) the value of any stock options or restricted stock units that may be accelerated is equal to the full value of such awards on that date (i.e., the full spread value for stock options and the full closing price per share on the applicable date for restricted stock unit awards). No value is attributed to any stock options with an exercise price greater than or equal to \$5.60. Please see Compensation Discussion and Analysis Severance and Change of Control Benefits for a discussion of how the payments and benefits presented below were determined.

Table of Contents

Severance Benefits No Change of Control

Each of the Named Executive Officers is a party to certain letter agreements and our standard form executive employment agreement, and these agreements include provisions for severance benefits upon certain terminations of employment that are not related to a change of control. Upon a termination of employment by us without cause or by the executive for a good reason resignation (as defined in the CIC Plan and described below), the executive would be entitled to the following severance benefits: (i) a cash severance payment equal to his or her total annual cash compensation target (including base salary and the target value of his or her annual incentive bonus, as such bonus target may be adjusted downward to take into account our performance through the fiscal quarter preceding termination), (ii) an extension of the exercise period for the vested and unexercised portion of all outstanding stock options held by him or her for up to 12 months (18 months for Mr. Robin) following termination and (iii) payment of all applicable COBRA premiums for the executive for up to one year following the termination date. In order to receive the severance benefits described above, each executive must first execute an effective waiver and release of claims in favor of us. Each executive's cash severance payment will ordinarily be paid in a lump-sum within 60 days following the executive's separation from service, although payment will be delayed to the extent required to comply with Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code.

If a Named Executive Officer's employment with us terminates as a result of death, the executive's outstanding unvested stock options will become fully vested upon their death and will be exercisable for up to 18 months following termination pursuant to the terms of the company's equity incentive compensation plans. In addition, in the case of Messrs. Robin, Nicholson and Dr. Doberstein, the executive's estate would be entitled to a pro-rata portion of their target annual incentive bonus for the year in which his death occurred.

If a Named Executive Officer terminates employment with us as a result of disability, the executive's outstanding unvested stock options will become fully vested upon his disability and will be exercisable for up to twelve months following termination pursuant to the terms of the company's equity incentive compensation plans. In addition, pursuant to their offer letter agreements, Messrs. Robin, Nicholson and Dr. Doberstein would be entitled to receive a pro-rata portion of their target annual incentive bonus for the year of termination.

Pursuant to our standard form employment agreement, following a termination of employment, each Named Executive Officer will be subject to an indefinite restriction on the disclosure of our confidential information and a one-year non-solicitation restriction covering our customers and employees, as well as certain other restrictions.

Table of Contents

The following table lists the estimated amounts that would become payable to each of the Named Executive Officers under the circumstances described above, assuming that the applicable triggering event occurred on December 31, 2011.

| Executive & Triggering Event | Estimated Value of Cash Severance (\$) | Estimated Value of COBRA Benefits (\$)(1) | Estimated Value of Vesting Acceleration (\$)(2) | Estimated Value of Pro-Rata Bonus (\$) | Estimated Total (\$) |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|---|--|----------------------|
| Howard W. Robin | | | | | |
| Without Cause or Good Reason | 1,377,863 | 26,206 | N/A | N/A | 1,404,069 |
| Disability | N/A | N/A | 145,469 | 590,513 | 735,981 |
| Death | N/A | N/A | 145,469 | 590,513 | 735,981 |
| John Nicholson | | | | | |
| Without Cause or Good Reason | 745,500 | 29,738 | N/A | N/A | 775,238 |
| Disability | N/A | N/A | 33,250 | 248,500 | 281,750 |
| Death | N/A | N/A | 33,250 | 248,500 | 281,750 |
| Stephen K. Doberstein, Ph.D. | | | | | |
| Without Cause or Good Reason | 633,000 | 18,337 | N/A | N/A | 651,337 |
| Disability | N/A | N/A | 0 | 211,000 | 211,000 |
| Death | N/A | N/A | 0 | 511,000 | 211,000 |
| Rinko Ghosh | | | | | |
| Without Cause or Good Reason | 645,000 | 16,956 | N/A | N/A | 661,956 |
| Disability | N/A | N/A | 27,709 | N/A | 27,709 |
| Death | N/A | N/A | 27,709 | N/A | 27,709 |
| Gil M. Labrucherie | | | | | |
| Without Cause or Good Reason | 706,500 | 26,206 | N/A | N/A | 732,706 |
| Disability | N/A | N/A | 33,250 | N/A | 33,250 |
| Death | N/A | N/A | 33,250 | N/A | 33,250 |

(1) The value of COBRA benefits are based upon actual rates as of December 2011.

(2) Based on \$5.60 per share which represents the closing price of our common stock on December 31, 2011.

Severance Benefits Change of Control

Each of the Named Executive Officers is covered under the CIC Plan. The CIC Plan provides for certain severance benefits to these executives and our other employees covered by the plan upon certain terminations of employment occurring in connection with a change of control of us.

If a change of control of the company occurs, each Named Executive Officer will be entitled to severance benefits under the CIC Plan if the executive's employment is terminated by us or a successor company without cause or by the executive for a good reason resignation, in each case within a period generally beginning on the date the agreement providing for a change of control is executed and ending twelve months following the change of control. Severance benefits under the CIC Plan include: (i) a cash severance payment equal to 12 months of base salary (24 months for Mr. Robin) and the target value of the executive's annual incentive bonus, (ii) payment by us of the same portion of the executive's COBRA premiums as we pay for active employees' group health coverage for up to 12 months (18 months for Mr. Robin) following termination, (iii) provision of up to \$5,000 for outplacement services received within 12 months following termination, (iv) accelerated vesting of all outstanding stock options, restricted stock units and other outstanding equity awards; and (v) other than in the case of Dr. Doberstein, a gross up payment to compensate the executive for excise taxes (if any) imposed under Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code, but only to the extent the excise taxes cannot be avoided by reducing the severance benefits by an amount not exceeding 10% such that the executive receives a greater-after tax amount as a result of the "cut-back" in benefits. In April 2011, the board of directors amended the CIC Plan

Table of Contents

so that this gross up benefit is not available for new hires following January 1, 2010 but is grandfathered for employees who joined the CIC Plan before that date so long as they are not promoted to a position such that he or she would be entitled to additional benefits under the plan. Accordingly, Dr. Doberstein is not entitled to this gross up benefit as he joined the CIC Plan after January 1, 2010. In order to receive the severance benefits described above, each executive must first execute an effective waiver and release of claims in favor of us pursuant to a separation and release agreement. Each executive's cash severance payment will ordinarily be paid in a lump-sum within 60 days following the executive's separation from service, although payment will be delayed to the extent required to comply with Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code.

For the purposes of the CIC Plan, a good reason resignation means a resignation upon the occurrence of one or more of the following events: (i) assignment of any authority, duties or responsibilities that results in a material diminution in the executive's authority, duties or responsibilities as in effect immediately prior to the change of control, (ii) assignment to a work location more than 50 miles from the executive's immediately previous work location, unless such reassignment of work location decreases the executive's commuting distance from his or her residence to the executive's assigned work location, (iii) a material diminution in the executive's monthly base salary as in effect on the date of the change of control or as increased thereafter, (iv) notice to the executive by us or the successor company during the 12-month period following the change of control that the executive's employment will be terminated under circumstances that would trigger severance benefits under the CIC Plan but for the designation of a date for termination that is greater than 12 months following the change of control and (v) for Mr. Robin, if he does not serve in his same position in the successor company or is not appointed to the board of directors of the successor company. In order for a good reason resignation to occur, the executive must first give us timely written notice of the grounds for good reason resignation, and we must have failed to cure such condition after a period of 30 days.

Pursuant to the CIC Plan, the separation and release agreement that each of the Named Executive Officers will be required to execute to receive severance benefits under the plan will also require each executive to agree to continue to be subject to the restrictions on the disclosure of our confidential information in his or her employment agreement, to non-solicitation restrictions and to certain other restrictions.

Had a change of control occurred during the 2011 fiscal year and had the employment of each of the Named Executive Officers terminated on December 31, 2011 under one of the qualifying circumstances described above, each executive would have been entitled to receive the estimated benefits set forth in the table below.

| Name(1) | Estimated Value of Cash Severance (\$) | Estimated Value of COBRA and Outplacement Benefits (\$)(2) | Estimated Value of Vesting Acceleration (\$)(1) | Estimated Value of Excise Tax Gross-Up (\$) | Estimated Total (\$) |
|-----------------------|--|--|---|---|----------------------|
| Howard W. Robin | 2,755,725 | 44,309 | 145,469 | 0 | 2,945,503 |
| John Nicholson | 745,500 | 34,738 | 33,250 | 0 | 813,488 |
| Stephen K. Doberstein | 633,000 | 23,337 | 0 | 0 | 656,337 |
| Rinko Gosh | 645,000 | 21,956 | 27,709 | 0 | 694,664 |
| Gil M. Labrucherie | 706,500 | 31,206 | 33,250 | 0 | 770,956 |

- (1) Pursuant to the terms of our equity compensation plans, these Named Executive Officers would also have been entitled to this same full equity acceleration (i) if a corporate transaction (as defined in the applicable plan) occurred and the surviving or acquiring corporation refused to assume outstanding equity awards or substitute similar replacement awards for outstanding equity awards or (ii) upon the acquisition by any person of beneficial ownership of 50% or more of the combined voting power of our shares in a transaction that is not a corporate transaction as defined in the applicable plan.
- (2) This amount includes estimated COBRA premiums based upon actual rates as of December 2011 and up to \$5,000 for outplacement services.

Table of Contents**INFORMATION ABOUT OUR INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM****INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FEES AND SERVICES**

The following table represents aggregate fees billed to us for fiscal years ended December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2009 by Ernst & Young LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm.

| | Fiscal Year Ended | |
|----------------|-------------------|------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Audit Fees | \$ 1,076,872 | \$ 855,339 |
| Tax Fees | 60,134 | 24,521 |
| All Other Fees | 7,500 | 26,900 |
| Total | \$ 1,144,506 | \$ 906,760 |

Audit Fees. This category includes the integrated audit of our annual consolidated financial statements, and of our internal control over financial reporting, review of interim condensed consolidated financial statements included in our Form 10-Q quarterly reports, and services that are normally provided by the independent registered public accounting firm in connection with statutory audit and regulatory filings, for those fiscal years.

Tax Fees. This category consists of services provided for international tax compliance and tax consultation services.

All Other Fees. This category consists of all other services provided by Ernst & Young that are not reported above. During 2011, this fee represents services rendered for the review and provision of information as requested by us.

The audit committee approved all fees described above.

PRE-APPROVAL POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

The audit committee has adopted policies and procedures for the pre-approval of audit and non-audit services rendered by our independent registered public accounting firm, Ernst & Young LLP. The policy generally requires pre-approval for specified services in the defined categories of audit services, audit-related services and tax services up to specified amounts. Pre-approval may also be given as part of the audit committee's approval of the scope of the engagement of the independent registered public accounting firm or on an individual explicit case-by-case basis before the independent registered public accounting firm is engaged to provide each service. The pre-approval of services may be delegated to one or more of the audit committee's members, but the decision must be reported to the full audit committee at its next scheduled meeting.

Prior to Ernst & Young LLP rendering services other than audit services, the audit committee would review and approve such non-audit services only if such services were compatible with maintaining Ernst & Young's status as an independent registered public accounting firm.

REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

The material in this report is being furnished and shall not be deemed filed with the SEC for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act or otherwise subject to the liability of that section, nor shall the material in this section be deemed to be soliciting material or incorporated by reference in any registration statement or other document filed with the SEC under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act, except as otherwise expressly stated in such filing.

Table of Contents

The audit committee is currently comprised of four non-employee directors, Susan Wang, who chairs the committee, and Joseph J. Krivulka, R. Scott Greer and Dennis L. Winger. Our board of directors has determined that Ms. Wang and Messrs. Krivulka, Greer and Winger meet the independence requirements set forth in Rule 10A-3(b)(1) under the Exchange Act and in the applicable NASDAQ rules. In addition, the board of directors has determined that Ms. Wang and Mr. Winger each qualify as audit committee financial experts as defined by SEC rules. The audit committee has the responsibility and authority described in the Nektar Therapeutics Audit Committee Charter, which has been approved by the board of directors. A copy of the Audit Committee Charter is available on our website at www.nektar.com.

The audit committee is responsible for assessing the information provided by management and our registered public accounting firm in accordance with its business judgment. Management is responsible for the preparation, presentation and integrity of our financial statements and for the appropriateness of the accounting principles and reporting policies that are used. Management is also responsible for testing the system of internal controls and reports to the audit committee on any deficiencies found. Our independent registered public accounting firm, Ernst & Young LLP, is responsible for auditing the annual financial statements and for reviewing the unaudited interim financial statements.

In fulfilling its oversight responsibilities, the audit committee has reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements in the annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 with both management and our registered public accounting firm. The audit committee's review included a discussion of the quality and integrity of the accounting principles, the reasonableness of significant estimates and judgments and the clarity of disclosures in the financial statements.

The audit committee reviewed with our registered public accounting firm the overall scope and plan of the audit. In addition, it met with our registered public accounting firm, with and without management present, to discuss the results of our registered public accounting firm's examination, the evaluation of our system of internal controls, the overall quality of our financial reporting and such other matters as are required to be discussed under generally accepted accounting standards in the United States. The audit committee has also received from, and discussed with, our registered public accounting firm the matters required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 114, *The Auditor's Communication with those Charged with Governance* (the successor to Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61).

The audit committee has discussed with Ernst & Young LLP that firm's independence from management and our company, including the matters in the written disclosures and the letter regarding independence from Ernst & Young LLP required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board. The audit committee has also considered the compatibility of audit related and tax services with the auditors' independence. Based on its evaluation, the audit committee has selected Ernst & Young LLP as our registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2012.

In reliance on the reviews and discussions referred to above, the audit committee recommended to the board of directors, and the board of directors approved, the inclusion of the audited financial statements and management's assessment of the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting in the annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 filed with the SEC.

Audit Committee

Susan Wang Chairwoman

R. Scott Greer

Joseph J. Krivulka

Dennis L. Winger

Table of Contents

OTHER MATTERS

The board of directors knows of no other matters that will be presented for consideration at the Annual Meeting. If any other matters are properly brought before the meeting, it is the intention of the persons named in the accompanying proxy to vote on such matters in accordance with their best judgment.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Our website address is <http://www.nektar.com>. The information in, or that can be accessed through, our website is not deemed to be incorporated by reference into this proxy statement. Our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports are available, free of charge, on or through our website as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the SEC. The public may read and copy any materials we file with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20549. Information on the operation of the Public Reference Room can be obtained by calling 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC maintains an internet site that contains reports, proxy and information statements and other information regarding our filings at www.sec.gov. In addition, a copy of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 filed with the SEC is available without charge upon written request to: Secretary, Nektar Therapeutics, 455 Mission Bay Boulevard South, San Francisco, California 94158.

By Order of the Board of Directors

Gil M. Labrucherie

Senior Vice President, General Counsel and

Secretary

May 18, 2012

Table of Contents

Exhibit 1

NEKTAR THERAPEUTICS

2012 PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE PLAN

1. PURPOSE OF PLAN

The purpose of this Nektar Therapeutics 2012 Performance Incentive Plan (this **Plan**) of Nektar Therapeutics, a Delaware corporation (the **Corporation**), is to promote the success of the Corporation and to increase stockholder value by providing an additional means through the grant of awards to attract, motivate, retain and reward selected employees and other eligible persons.

2. ELIGIBILITY

The Administrator (as such term is defined in Section 3.1) may grant awards under this Plan only to those persons that the Administrator determines to be Eligible Persons. An **Eligible Person** is any person who is either: (a) an officer (whether or not a director) or employee of the Corporation or one of its Subsidiaries; (b) a director of the Corporation or one of its Subsidiaries; or (c) an individual consultant or advisor who renders or has rendered bona fide services (other than services in connection with the offering or sale of securities of the Corporation or one of its Subsidiaries in a capital-raising transaction or as a market maker or promoter of securities of the Corporation or one of its Subsidiaries) to the Corporation or one of its Subsidiaries and who is selected to participate in this Plan by the Administrator; provided, however, that a person who is otherwise an Eligible Person under clause (c) above may participate in this Plan only if such participation would not adversely affect either the Corporation's eligibility to use Form S-8 to register under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the **Securities Act**), the offering and sale of shares issuable under this Plan by the Corporation or the Corporation's compliance with any other applicable laws. An Eligible Person who has been granted an award (a **participant**) may, if otherwise eligible, be granted additional awards if the Administrator shall so determine. As used herein, **Subsidiary** means any corporation or other entity a majority of whose outstanding voting stock or voting power is beneficially owned directly or indirectly by the Corporation; and **Board** means the Board of Directors of the Corporation.

3. PLAN ADMINISTRATION

- 3.1 The Administrator.** This Plan shall be administered by and all awards under this Plan shall be authorized by the Administrator. The **Administrator** means the Board or one or more committees appointed by the Board or another committee (within its delegated authority) to administer all or certain aspects of this Plan. Any such committee shall be comprised solely of one or more directors or such number of directors as may be required under applicable law. A committee may delegate some or all of its authority to another committee so constituted. The Board or a committee comprised solely of directors may also delegate, to the extent permitted by Section 157(c) of the Delaware General Corporation Law and any other applicable law, to one or more officers of the Corporation, its powers under this Plan (a) to designate the officers and employees of the Corporation and its Subsidiaries who will receive grants of awards under this Plan, and (b) to determine the number of shares subject to, and the other terms and conditions of, such awards. The Board may delegate different levels of authority to different committees with administrative and grant authority under this Plan. Unless otherwise provided in the Bylaws of the Corporation or the applicable charter of any Administrator: (a) a majority of the members of the acting Administrator shall constitute a quorum, and (b) the vote of a majority of the members present assuming the presence of a quorum or the unanimous written consent of the members of the Administrator shall constitute action by the acting Administrator.

With respect to awards intended to satisfy the requirements for performance-based compensation under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the **Code**), this Plan shall be administered by a committee consisting solely of two or more outside directors (as this requirement is

Table of Contents

applied under Section 162(m) of the Code); provided, however, that the failure to satisfy such requirement shall not affect the validity of the action of any committee otherwise duly authorized and acting in the matter. Award grants, and transactions in or involving awards, intended to be exempt under Rule 16b-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the **Exchange Act**), must be duly and timely authorized by the Board or a committee consisting solely of two or more non-employee directors (as this requirement is applied under Rule 16b-3 promulgated under the Exchange Act). To the extent required by any applicable listing agency, this Plan shall be administered by a committee composed entirely of independent directors (within the meaning of the applicable listing agency).

3.2 Powers of the Administrator. Subject to the express provisions of this Plan, the Administrator is authorized and empowered to do all things necessary or desirable in connection with the authorization of awards and the administration of this Plan (in the case of a committee or delegation to one or more officers, within the authority delegated to that committee or person(s)), including, without limitation, the authority to:

- (a) determine eligibility and, from among those persons determined to be eligible, the particular Eligible Persons who will receive an award under this Plan;
- (b) grant awards to Eligible Persons, determine the price at which securities will be offered or awarded and the number of securities to be offered or awarded to any of such persons, determine the other specific terms and conditions of such awards consistent with the express limits of this Plan, establish the installments (if any) in which such awards shall become exercisable or shall vest (which may include, without limitation, performance and/or time-based schedules), or determine that no delayed exercisability or vesting is required, establish any applicable performance targets, and establish the events of termination or reversion of such awards;
- (c) approve the forms of award agreements (which need not be identical either as to type of award or among participants);
- (d) construe and interpret this Plan and any agreements defining the rights and obligations of the Corporation, its Subsidiaries, and participants under this Plan, further define the terms used in this Plan, and prescribe, amend and rescind rules and regulations relating to the administration of this Plan or the awards granted under this Plan;
- (e) cancel, modify, or waive the Corporation's rights with respect to, or modify, discontinue, suspend, or terminate any or all outstanding awards, subject to any required consent under Section 8.6.5;
- (f) accelerate or extend the vesting or exercisability or extend the term of any or all such outstanding awards (in the case of options or stock appreciation rights, within the maximum ten-year term of such awards) in such circumstances as the Administrator may deem appropriate (including, without limitation, in connection with a termination of employment or services or other events of a personal nature) subject to any required consent under Section 8.6.5;
- (g) adjust the number of shares of Common Stock subject to any award, adjust the price of any or all outstanding awards or otherwise change previously imposed terms and conditions, in such circumstances as the Administrator may deem appropriate, in each case subject to Sections 4 and 8.6 (and subject to the no repricing provision below);
- (h) determine the date of grant of an award, which may be a designated date after but not before the date of the Administrator's action (unless otherwise designated by the Administrator, the date of grant of an award shall be the date upon which the Administrator took the action granting an award);

Edgar Filing: NEKTAR THERAPEUTICS - Form DEF 14A

- (i) determine whether, and the extent to which, adjustments are required pursuant to Section 7 hereof and authorize the termination, conversion, substitution or succession of awards upon the occurrence of an event of the type described in Section 7;

A-2

Table of Contents

- (j) acquire or settle (subject to Sections 7 and 8.6) rights under awards in cash, stock of equivalent value, or other consideration (subject to the no repricing provision below); and
- (k) determine the fair market value of the Common Stock or awards under this Plan from time to time and/or the manner in which such value will be determined.

Notwithstanding the foregoing and except for an adjustment pursuant to Section 7.1 or a repricing approved by stockholders, in no case may the Administrator (1) amend an outstanding stock option or SAR to reduce the exercise price or base price of the award, (2) cancel, exchange, or surrender an outstanding stock option or SAR in exchange for cash or other awards for the purpose of repricing the award, or (3) cancel, exchange, or surrender an outstanding stock option or SAR in exchange for an option or SAR with an exercise or base price that is less than the exercise or base price of the original award.

3.3 Binding Determinations. Any action taken by, or inaction of, the Corporation, any Subsidiary, or the Administrator relating or pursuant to this Plan and within its authority hereunder or under applicable law shall be within the absolute discretion of that entity or body and shall be conclusive and binding upon all persons. Neither the Board nor any Board committee, nor any member thereof or person acting at the direction thereof, shall be liable for any act, omission, interpretation, construction or determination made in good faith in connection with this Plan (or any award made under this Plan), and all such persons shall be entitled to indemnification and reimbursement by the Corporation in respect of any claim, loss, damage or expense (including, without limitation, attorneys fees) arising or resulting therefrom to the fullest extent permitted by law and/or under any directors and officers liability insurance coverage that may be in effect from time to time.

3.4 Reliance on Experts. In making any determination or in taking or not taking any action under this Plan, the Administrator may obtain and may rely upon the advice of experts, including employees and professional advisors to the Corporation. No director, officer or agent of the Corporation or any of its Subsidiaries shall be liable for any such action or determination taken or made or omitted in good faith.

3.5 Delegation. The Administrator may delegate ministerial, non-discretionary functions to individuals who are officers or employees of the Corporation or any of its Subsidiaries or to third parties.

4. SHARES OF COMMON STOCK SUBJECT TO THE PLAN; SHARE LIMITS

4.1 Shares Available. Subject to the provisions of Section 7.1, the capital stock that may be delivered under this Plan shall be shares of the Corporation's authorized but unissued Common Stock and any shares of its Common Stock held as treasury shares. For purposes of this Plan, **Common Stock** shall mean the common stock of the Corporation and such other securities or property as may become the subject of awards under this Plan, or may become subject to such awards, pursuant to an adjustment made under Section 7.1.

4.2 Share Limits. The maximum number of shares of Common Stock that may be delivered pursuant to awards granted to Eligible Persons under this Plan (the **Share Limit**) is equal to:

- (1) 12,936,433 shares of Common Stock, less
- (2) the number of any shares subject to awards granted under the Corporation's 2008 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended (the **2008 Plan**), and the Corporation's 2000 Non-Officer Equity Incentive Plan, as amended and restated (the **2000 Non-Officer Plan**), after December 31, 2011 and on or before the date of stockholder approval of this Plan (with any shares subject to such awards that are Full-Value Awards being counted against the Share Limit based on the Full-Value Award Ratio specified below), plus

- (3) the number of any shares subject to stock options granted under the 2008 Plan, the 2000 Non-Officer Plan, or the Corporation's 2000 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended and restated (collectively, the **Prior Plans**) and outstanding as of December 31, 2011 which expire, or for any reason are cancelled or terminated, after December 31, 2011 without being exercised, plus

A-3

Table of Contents

- (4) the number of any shares subject to restricted stock and restricted stock unit awards granted under the Prior Plans that are outstanding and unvested on December 31, 2011 that are forfeited, terminated, cancelled or otherwise reacquired by the Corporation without having become vested (with any such shares increasing the Share Limit based on the Full Value Award Ratio specified below).

provided that in no event shall the Share Limit exceed 30,186,624 shares (which is the sum of (1) the 12,936,433 shares set forth above, plus (2) the aggregate number of shares subject to stock options previously granted and outstanding under the Prior Plans as of December 31, 2011, plus (3) 1.5 (the Full-Value Award Ratio) times the aggregate number of shares subject to restricted stock and restricted stock units previously granted and outstanding under the Prior Plans as of December 31, 2011).

Shares issued in respect of any Full-Value Award granted under this Plan shall be counted against the foregoing Share Limit as 1.5 shares for every one share issued in connection with such award (the **Full-Value Award Ratio**). (For example, if a stock bonus of 100 shares of Common Stock is granted under this Plan, 150 shares shall be charged against the Share Limit in connection with that award.) For this purpose, a **Full-Value Award** means any award under this Plan that is not a stock option grant or a stock appreciation right grant.

The following limits also apply with respect to awards granted under this Plan:

- (a) The maximum number of shares of Common Stock that may be delivered pursuant to options qualified as incentive stock options granted under this Plan is 9,500,000 shares.
- (b) The maximum number of shares of Common Stock subject to those options and stock appreciation rights that are granted during any calendar year to any individual under this Plan is 3,000,000 shares.

- (c) Additional limits with respect to Performance-Based Awards are set forth in Section 5.2.3.

Each of the foregoing numerical limits is subject to adjustment as contemplated by Section 4.3, Section 7.1, and Section 8.10.

4.3 Awards Settled in Cash, Reissue of Awards and Shares. Except as provided in the next sentence, shares that are subject to or underlie awards granted under this Plan which expire or for any reason are cancelled or terminated, are forfeited, fail to vest, or for any other reason are not paid or delivered under this Plan shall again be available for subsequent awards under this Plan (with any such shares increasing the Share Limit based on the Full-Value Award Ratio specified in Section 4.2). Shares that are exchanged by a participant or withheld by the Corporation as full or partial payment in connection with any award under this Plan, as well as any shares exchanged by a participant or withheld by the Corporation or one of its Subsidiaries to satisfy the tax withholding obligations related to any award, shall not be available for subsequent awards under this Plan. To the extent that an award granted under this Plan is settled in cash or a form other than shares of Common Stock, the shares that would have been delivered had there been no such cash or other settlement shall again be available for subsequent awards under this Plan (with any such shares increasing the Share Limit based on the Full-Value Award Ratio specified in Section 4.2). In the event that shares of Common Stock are delivered in respect of a dividend equivalent right granted under this Plan, the number of shares delivered with respect to the award shall be counted against the share limits of this Plan (including, for purposes of clarity, the limits of Section 4.2 of this Plan). (For purposes of clarity, if 1,000 dividend equivalent rights are granted and outstanding when the Corporation pays a dividend, and 50 shares are delivered in payment of those rights with respect to that dividend, 75 shares (after giving effect to the Full-Value Award premium counting rules) shall be counted against the share limits of this Plan). To the extent that shares of Common Stock are delivered pursuant to the exercise of a stock appreciation right or stock option granted under this Plan, the number of underlying shares as to which the exercise related shall be counted against the applicable share limits under Section 4.2, as opposed to only counting the shares issued. (For purposes of clarity, if a stock appreciation right relates to 100,000 shares and is exercised at a time when the payment due to the participant is 15,000 shares, 100,000 shares shall be

Table of Contents

charged against the applicable share limits under Section 4.2 with respect to such exercise.) Refer to Section 8.10 for application of the foregoing share limits with respect to assumed awards. The foregoing adjustments to the share limits of this Plan are subject to any applicable limitations under Section 162(m) of the Code with respect to awards intended as performance-based compensation thereunder.

- 4.4 *Reservation of Shares; No Fractional Shares; Minimum Issue.*** The Corporation shall at all times reserve a number of shares of Common Stock sufficient to cover the Corporation's obligations and contingent obligations to deliver shares with respect to awards then outstanding under this Plan (exclusive of any dividend equivalent obligations to the extent the Corporation has the right to settle such rights in cash). No fractional shares shall be delivered under this Plan. The Administrator may pay cash in lieu of any fractional shares in settlements of awards under this Plan. The Administrator may from time to time impose a limit (of not greater than 100 shares) on the minimum number of shares that may be purchased or exercised as to awards granted under this Plan unless (as to any particular award) the total number purchased or exercised is the total number at the time available for purchase or exercise under the award.

5. AWARDS

- 5.1 *Type and Form of Awards.*** The Administrator shall determine the type or types of award(s) to be made to each selected Eligible Person. Awards may be granted singly, in combination or in tandem. Awards also may be made in combination or in tandem with, in replacement of, as alternatives to, or as the payment form for grants or rights under any other employee or compensation plan of the Corporation or one of its Subsidiaries. The types of awards that may be granted under this Plan are (subject, in each case, to the non-replicating provisions of Section 3.2):

5.1.1 *Stock Options.* A stock option is the grant of a right to purchase a specified number of shares of Common Stock during a specified period as determined by the Administrator. An option may be intended as an incentive stock option within the meaning of Section 422 of the Code (an **ISO**) or a nonqualified stock option (an option not intended to be an ISO). The award agreement for an option will indicate if the option is intended as an ISO; otherwise it will be deemed to be a nonqualified stock option. The maximum term of each option (ISO or nonqualified) shall be eight (8) years. The per share exercise price for each option shall be not less than 100% of the fair market value of a share of Common Stock on the date of grant of the option. When an option is exercised, the exercise price for the shares to be purchased shall be paid in full in cash or such other method permitted by the Administrator consistent with Section 5.5.

5.1.2 *Additional Rules Applicable to ISOs.* To the extent that the aggregate fair market value (determined at the time of grant of the applicable option) of stock with respect to which ISOs first become exercisable by a participant in any calendar year exceeds \$100,000, taking into account both Common Stock subject to ISOs under this Plan and stock subject to ISOs under all other plans of the Corporation or one of its Subsidiaries (or any parent or predecessor corporation to the extent required by and within the meaning of Section 422 of the Code and the regulations promulgated thereunder), such options shall be treated as nonqualified stock options. In reducing the number of options treated as ISOs to meet the \$100,000 limit, the most recently granted options shall be reduced first. To the extent a reduction of simultaneously granted options is necessary to meet the \$100,000 limit, the Administrator may, in the manner and to the extent permitted by law, designate which shares of Common Stock are to be treated as shares acquired pursuant to the exercise of an ISO. ISOs may only be granted to employees of the Corporation or one of its subsidiaries (for this purpose, the term "subsidiary" is used as defined in Section 424(f) of the Code, which generally requires an unbroken chain of ownership of at least 50% of the total combined voting power of all classes of stock of each subsidiary in the chain beginning with the Corporation and ending with the subsidiary in question). There shall be imposed in any award agreement relating to ISOs such other terms and conditions as from time to time are required in order that the option be an incentive stock

Table of Contents

option as that term is defined in Section 422 of the Code. No ISO may be granted to any person who, at the time the option is granted, owns (or is deemed to own under Section 424(d) of the Code) shares of outstanding Common Stock possessing more than 10% of the total combined voting power of all classes of stock of the Corporation, unless the exercise price of such option is at least 110% of the fair market value of the stock subject to the option and such option by its terms is not exercisable after the expiration of five years from the date such option is granted.

5.1.3 Stock Appreciation Rights. A stock appreciation right or **SAR** is a right to receive a payment, in cash and/or Common Stock, equal to the excess of the fair market value of a specified number of shares of Common Stock on the date the SAR is exercised over the **base price** of the award, which base price shall be set forth in the applicable award agreement and shall be not less than 100% of the fair market value of a share of Common Stock on the date of grant of the SAR. The maximum term of a SAR shall be eight (8) years.

5.1.4 Other Awards; Dividend Equivalent Rights. The other types of awards that may be granted under this Plan include: (a) stock bonuses, restricted stock, performance stock, stock units, phantom stock or similar rights to purchase or acquire shares, whether at a fixed or variable price or ratio related to the Common Stock, upon the passage of time, the occurrence of one or more events, or the satisfaction of performance criteria or other conditions, or any combination thereof; (b) any similar securities with a value derived from the value of or related to the Common Stock and/or returns thereon; or (c) cash awards. Dividend equivalent rights may be granted as a separate award or in connection with another award under this Plan; provided, however, that dividend equivalent rights may not be granted in connection with a stock option or SAR granted under this Plan. In addition, any dividends and/or dividend equivalents as to the unvested portion of a restricted stock award that is subject to performance-based vesting requirements or the unvested portion of a stock unit award that is subject to performance-based vesting requirements will be subject to termination and forfeiture to the same extent as the corresponding portion of the award to which they relate.

5.2 Section 162(m) Performance-Based Awards. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, any of the types of awards listed in Section 5.1.4 above may be, and options and SARs granted to officers and employees (**Qualifying Options** and **Qualifying SARs**, respectively) typically will be, granted as awards intended to satisfy the requirements for performance-based compensation within the meaning of Section 162(m) of the Code (**Performance-Based Awards**). The grant, vesting, exercisability or payment of Performance-Based Awards may depend (or, in the case of Qualifying Options or Qualifying SARs, may also depend) on the degree of achievement of one or more performance goals relative to a pre-established targeted level or levels using one or more of the Business Criteria set forth below (on an absolute or relative (including, without limitation, relative to the performance of other companies or upon comparisons of any of the indicators of performance relative to other companies) basis) for the Corporation on a consolidated basis or for one or more of the Corporation's subsidiaries, segments, divisions or business units, or any combination of the foregoing. Any Qualifying Option or Qualifying SAR shall be subject only to the requirements of Section 5.2.1 and 5.2.3 in order for such award to satisfy the requirements for performance-based compensation under Section 162(m) of the Code. Any other Performance-Based Award shall be subject to all of the following provisions of this Section 5.2.

5.2.1 Class; Administrator. The eligible class of persons for Performance-Based Awards under this Section 5.2 shall be officers and employees of the Corporation or one of its Subsidiaries. The Administrator approving Performance-Based Awards or making any certification required pursuant to Section 5.2.4 must be constituted as provided in Section 3.1 for awards that are intended as performance-based compensation under Section 162(m) of the Code.

5.2.2 Performance Goals. The specific performance goals for Performance-Based Awards (other than Qualifying Options and Qualifying SARs) shall be, on an absolute or relative basis, established based on one or more of the following business criteria (**Business Criteria**) as selected by the

Table of Contents

Administrator in its sole discretion: earnings per share, cash flow (which means cash and cash equivalents derived from either net cash flow from operations or net cash flow from operations, financing and investing activities), working capital, stock price, total stockholder return, gross revenue, revenue growth, gross profit, operating income (before or after taxes), net earnings (before or after interest, taxes, depreciation and/or amortization), gross margin, operating margin, net margin, return on equity or on assets or on net investment, cost containment or reduction, regulatory submissions or approvals, manufacturing production, completion of strategic partnerships, research milestones, or any combination thereof. As applicable, these terms are used as applied under generally accepted accounting principles or in the financial reporting of the Corporation or of its Subsidiaries. To qualify awards as performance-based under Section 162(m), the applicable Business Criterion (or Business Criteria, as the case may be) and specific performance goal or goals (targets) must be established and approved by the Administrator during the first 90 days of the performance period (and, in the case of performance periods of less than one year, in no event after 25% or more of the performance period has elapsed) and while performance relating to such target(s) remains substantially uncertain within the meaning of Section 162(m) of the Code. The terms of the Performance-Based Awards may specify the manner, if any, in which performance targets shall be adjusted to mitigate the unbudgeted impact of material, unusual or nonrecurring gains and losses, accounting changes or other extraordinary events not foreseen at the time the targets were set unless the Administrator provides otherwise at the time of establishing the targets. The applicable performance measurement period may not be less than three months nor more than 10 years.

5.2.3 Form of Payment; Maximum Performance-Based Award. Grants or awards under this Section 5.2 may be paid in cash or shares of Common Stock or any combination thereof. Grants of Qualifying Options and Qualifying SARs to any one participant in any one calendar year shall be subject to the limit set forth in Section 4.2(b). The maximum number of shares of Common Stock which may be subject to Performance-Based Awards (including Performance-Based Awards payable in shares of Common Stock and Performance-Based Awards payable in cash where the amount of cash payable upon or following vesting of the award is determined with reference to the fair market value of a share of Common Stock at such time) that are granted to any one participant in any one calendar year shall not exceed 3,000,000 shares, either individually or in the aggregate, subject to adjustment as provided in Section 7.1; provided that this limit shall not apply to Qualifying Options and Qualifying SARs (which are covered by the limit of Section 4.2(b)). The aggregate amount of compensation to be paid to any one participant in respect of all Performance-Based Awards payable only in cash (excluding cash awards covered by the preceding sentence where the cash payment is determined with reference to the fair market value of a share of Common Stock upon or following the vesting of the award) and granted to that participant in any one calendar year shall not exceed \$5,000,000. Awards that are cancelled during the year shall be counted against these limits to the extent required by Section 162(m) of the Code.

5.2.4 Certification of Payment. Before any Performance-Based Award under this Section 5.2 (other than Qualifying Options and Qualifying SARs) is paid and to the extent required to qualify the award as performance-based compensation within the meaning of Section 162(m) of the Code, the Administrator must certify in writing that the performance target(s) and any other material terms of the Performance-Based Award were in fact timely satisfied.

5.2.5 Reservation of Discretion. The Administrator will have the discretion to determine the restrictions or other limitations of the individual awards granted under this Section 5.2 including the authority to reduce awards, payouts or vesting or to pay no awards, in its sole discretion, if the Administrator preserves such authority at the time of grant by language to this effect in its authorizing resolutions or otherwise.

5.2.6 Expiration of Grant Authority. As required pursuant to Section 162(m) of the Code and the regulations promulgated thereunder, the Administrator's authority to grant new awards that are intended to qualify as performance-based compensation within the meaning of Section 162(m) of the

Table of Contents

Code (other than Qualifying Options and Qualifying SARs) shall terminate upon the first meeting of the Corporation's stockholders that occurs in the fifth year following the year in which the Corporation's stockholders first approve this Plan, subject to any subsequent extension that may be approved by stockholders.

5.3 Award Agreements. Each award shall be evidenced by either (1) a written award agreement in a form approved by the Administrator and executed by the Corporation by an officer duly authorized to act on its behalf, or (2) an electronic notice of award grant in a form approved by the Administrator and recorded by the Corporation (or its designee) in an electronic recordkeeping system used for the purpose of tracking award grants under this Plan generally (in each case, an "award agreement"), as the Administrator may provide and, in each case and if required by the Administrator, executed or otherwise electronically accepted by the recipient of the award in such form and manner as the Administrator may require. The Administrator may authorize any officer of the Corporation (other than the particular award recipient) to execute any or all award agreements on behalf of the Corporation. The award agreement shall set forth the material terms and conditions of the award as established by the Administrator consistent with the express limitations of this Plan.

5.4 Deferrals and Settlements. Payment of awards may be in the form of cash, Common Stock, other awards or combinations thereof as the Administrator shall determine, and with such restrictions as it may impose. The Administrator may also require or permit participants to elect to defer the issuance of shares or the settlement of awards in cash under such rules and procedures as it may establish under this Plan. The Administrator may also provide that deferred settlements include the payment or crediting of interest or other earnings on the deferral amounts, or the payment or crediting of dividend equivalents where the deferred amounts are denominated in shares.

5.5 Consideration for Common Stock or Awards. The purchase price for any award granted under this Plan or the Common Stock to be delivered pursuant to an award, as applicable, may be paid by means of any lawful consideration as determined by the Administrator, including, without limitation, one or a combination of the following methods:

services rendered by the recipient of such award;

cash, check payable to the order of the Corporation, or electronic funds transfer;

notice and third party payment in such manner as may be authorized by the Administrator;

the delivery of previously owned shares of Common Stock;

by a reduction in the number of shares otherwise deliverable pursuant to the award; or

subject to such procedures as the Administrator may adopt, pursuant to a "cashless exercise" with a third party who provides financing for the purposes of (or who otherwise facilitates) the purchase or exercise of awards.

In no event shall any shares newly-issued by the Corporation be issued for less than the minimum lawful consideration for such shares or for consideration other than consideration permitted by applicable state law. Shares of Common Stock used to satisfy the exercise price of an option shall be valued at their fair market value on the date of exercise. The Corporation will not be obligated to deliver any shares unless and until it receives full payment of the exercise or purchase price therefor and any related withholding obligations under Section 8.5 and any other conditions to exercise or purchase have been satisfied. Unless otherwise expressly provided in the applicable award agreement, the Administrator may at any time eliminate or limit a participant's ability to pay the purchase or exercise price of any award or shares by any method other than cash payment to the Corporation.

5.6 Definition of Fair Market Value. For purposes of this Plan, fair market value shall mean, unless otherwise determined or provided by the Administrator in the circumstances, the closing price (in regular trading) for a share of Common Stock on the NASDAQ Stock Market (the **Market**) for the

A-8

Table of Contents

date in question or, if no sales of Common Stock were reported on the Market on that date, the closing price (in regular trading) for a share of Common Stock on the Market for the next preceding day on which sales of Common Stock were reported on the Market. The Administrator may, however, provide with respect to one or more awards that the fair market value shall equal the closing price (in regular trading) for a share of Common Stock on the Market on the last trading day preceding the date in question or the average of the high and low trading prices of a share of Common Stock on the Market for the date in question or the most recent trading day. If the Common Stock is no longer listed or is no longer actively traded on the Market as of the applicable date, the fair market value of the Common Stock shall be the value as reasonably determined by the Administrator for purposes of the award in the circumstances. The Administrator also may adopt a different methodology for determining fair market value with respect to one or more awards if a different methodology is necessary or advisable to secure any intended favorable tax, legal or other treatment for the particular award(s) (for example, and without limitation, the Administrator may provide that fair market value for purposes of one or more awards will be based on an average of closing prices (or the average of high and low daily trading prices) for a specified period preceding the relevant date).

5.7 Transfer Restrictions.

5.7.1 Limitations on Exercise and Transfer. Unless otherwise expressly provided in (or pursuant to) this Section 5.7 or required by applicable law: (a) all awards are non-transferable and shall not be subject in any manner to sale, transfer, anticipation, alienation, assignment, pledge, encumbrance or charge; (b) awards shall be exercised only by the participant; and (c) amounts payable or shares issuable pursuant to any award shall be delivered only to (or for the account of) the participant.

5.7.2 Exceptions. The Administrator may permit awards to be exercised by and paid to, or otherwise transferred to, other persons or entities pursuant to such conditions and procedures, including limitations on subsequent transfers, as the Administrator may, in its sole discretion, establish in writing. Any permitted transfer shall be subject to compliance with applicable federal and state securities laws and shall not be for value (other than nominal consideration, settlement of marital property rights, or for interests in an entity in which more than 50% of the voting interests are held by the Eligible Person or by the Eligible Person's family members).

5.7.3 Further Exceptions to Limits on Transfer. The exercise and transfer restrictions in Section 5.7.1 shall not apply to:

- (a) transfers to the Corporation (for example, in connection with the expiration or termination of the award),
- (b) the designation of a beneficiary to receive benefits in the event of the participant's death or, if the participant has died, transfers to or exercise by the participant's beneficiary, or, in the absence of a validly designated beneficiary, transfers by will or the laws of descent and distribution,
- (c) subject to any applicable limitations on ISOs, transfers to a family member (or former family member) pursuant to a domestic relations order if approved or ratified by the Administrator,
- (d) if the participant has suffered a disability, permitted transfers or exercises on behalf of the participant by his or her legal representative, or
- (e) the authorization by the Administrator of cashless exercise procedures with third parties who provide financing for the purpose of (or who otherwise facilitate) the exercise of awards consistent with applicable laws and the express authorization of the Administrator.

5.8 International Awards. One or more awards may be granted to Eligible Persons who provide services to the Corporation or one of its Subsidiaries outside of the United States. Any awards granted to such persons may be granted pursuant to the terms and conditions of any applicable sub-plans, if any, appended to this Plan and approved by the Administrator.

Table of Contents**6. EFFECT OF TERMINATION OF EMPLOYMENT OR SERVICE ON AWARDS**

- 6.1 General.** The Administrator shall establish the effect of a termination of employment or service on the rights and benefits under each award under this Plan and in so doing may make distinctions based upon, inter alia, the cause of termination and type of award. If the participant is not an employee of the Corporation or one of its Subsidiaries and provides other services to the Corporation or one of its Subsidiaries, the Administrator shall be the sole judge for purposes of this Plan (unless a contract or the award otherwise provides) of whether the participant continues to render services to the Corporation or one of its Subsidiaries and the date, if any, upon which such services shall be deemed to have terminated.
- 6.2 Events Not Deemed Terminations of Service.** Unless the express policy of the Corporation or one of its Subsidiaries, or the Administrator, otherwise provides, the employment relationship shall not be considered terminated in the case of (a) sick leave, (b) military leave, or (c) any other leave of absence authorized by the Corporation or one of its Subsidiaries, or the Administrator; provided that, unless reemployment upon the expiration of such leave is guaranteed by contract or law or the Administrator otherwise provides, such leave is for a period of not more than three months. In the case of any employee of the Corporation or one of its Subsidiaries on an approved leave of absence, continued vesting of the award while on leave from the employ of the Corporation or one of its Subsidiaries may be suspended until the employee returns to service, unless the Administrator otherwise provides or applicable law otherwise requires. In no event shall an award be exercised after the expiration of the term set forth in the applicable award agreement.
- 6.3 Effect of Change of Subsidiary Status.** For purposes of this Plan and any award, if an entity ceases to be a Subsidiary of the Corporation a termination of employment or service shall be deemed to have occurred with respect to each Eligible Person in respect of such Subsidiary who does not continue as an Eligible Person in respect of the Corporation or another Subsidiary that continues as such after giving effect to the transaction or other event giving rise to the change in status unless the Subsidiary that is sold, spun-off or otherwise divested (or its successor or a direct or indirect parent of such Subsidiary or successor) assumes the Eligible Person's award(s) in connection with such transaction.

7. ADJUSTMENTS; ACCELERATION

- 7.1 Adjustments.** Subject to Section 7.2, upon (or, as may be necessary to effect the adjustment, immediately prior to): any reclassification, recapitalization, stock split (including a stock split in the form of a stock dividend) or reverse stock split; any merger, combination, consolidation, or other reorganization; any spin-off, split-up, or similar extraordinary dividend distribution in respect of the Common Stock; or any exchange of Common Stock or other securities of the Corporation, or any similar, unusual or extraordinary corporate transaction in respect of the Common Stock; then the Administrator shall equitably and proportionately adjust (1) the number and type of shares of Common Stock (or other securities) that thereafter may be made the subject of awards (including the specific share limits, maximums and numbers of shares set forth elsewhere in this Plan), (2) the number, amount and type of shares of Common Stock (or other securities or property) subject to any outstanding awards, (3) the grant, purchase, or exercise price (which term includes the base price of any SAR or similar right) of any outstanding awards, and/or (4) the securities, cash or other property deliverable upon exercise or payment of any outstanding awards, in each case to the extent necessary to preserve (but not increase) the level of incentives intended by this Plan and the then-outstanding awards.

Unless otherwise expressly provided in the applicable award agreement, upon (or, as may be necessary to effect the adjustment, immediately prior to) any event or transaction described in the preceding paragraph or a sale of all or substantially all of the business or assets of the Corporation as an entirety, the Administrator shall equitably and proportionately adjust the performance standards applicable to any then-outstanding performance-based awards to the extent necessary to preserve (but not increase) the level of incentives intended by this Plan and the then-outstanding performance-based awards.

Table of Contents

It is intended that, if possible, any adjustments contemplated by the preceding two paragraphs be made in a manner that satisfies applicable U.S. legal, tax (including, without limitation and as applicable in the circumstances, Section 424 of the Code, Section 409A of the Code and Section 162(m) of the Code) and accounting (so as to not trigger any charge to earnings with respect to such adjustment) requirements.

Without limiting the generality of Section 3.3, any good faith determination by the Administrator as to whether an adjustment is required in the circumstances pursuant to this Section 7.1, and the extent and nature of any such adjustment, shall be conclusive and binding on all persons.

7.2 Corporate Transactions Assumption and Termination of Awards. Upon the occurrence of any of the following: any merger, combination, consolidation, or other reorganization in connection with which the Corporation does not survive (or does not survive as a public company in respect of its Common Stock); any exchange of Common Stock or other securities of the Corporation in connection with which the Corporation does not survive (or does not survive as a public company in respect of its Common Stock); a sale of all or substantially all the business, stock or assets of the Corporation in connection with which the Corporation does not survive (or does not survive as a public company in respect of its Common Stock); a dissolution of the Corporation; or any other event in which the Corporation does not survive (or does not survive as a public company in respect of its Common Stock); then the Administrator may make provision for a cash payment in settlement of, or for the termination, assumption, substitution or exchange of any or all outstanding share-based awards or the cash, securities or property deliverable to the holder of any or all outstanding share-based awards, based upon, to the extent relevant under the circumstances, the distribution or consideration payable to holders of the Common Stock upon or in respect of such event. Upon the occurrence of any event described in the preceding sentence, then, unless the Administrator has made a provision for the substitution, assumption, exchange or other continuation or settlement of the award or (unless the Administrator has provided for the termination of the award) the award would otherwise continue in accordance with its terms in the circumstances: (1) unless otherwise provided in the applicable award agreement, each then-outstanding option and SAR shall become fully vested, all shares of restricted stock then outstanding shall fully vest free of restrictions, and each other award granted under this Plan that is then outstanding shall become payable to the holder of such award; and (2) each award shall terminate upon the related event; provided that the holder of an option or SAR shall be given reasonable advance notice of the impending termination and a reasonable opportunity to exercise his or her outstanding vested options and SARs (after giving effect to any accelerated vesting required in the circumstances) in accordance with their terms before the termination of such awards (except that in no case shall more than ten days notice of the impending termination be required and any acceleration of vesting and any exercise of any portion of an award that is so accelerated may be made contingent upon the actual occurrence of the event).

The Administrator may adopt such valuation methodologies for outstanding awards as it deems reasonable in the event of a cash or property settlement and, in the case of options, SARs or similar rights, but without limitation on other methodologies, may base such settlement solely upon the excess if any of the per share amount payable upon or in respect of such event over the exercise or base price of the award.

In any of the events referred to in this Section 7.2, the Administrator may take such action contemplated by this Section 7.2 prior to such event (as opposed to on the occurrence of such event) to the extent that the Administrator deems the action necessary to permit the participant to realize the benefits intended to be conveyed with respect to the underlying shares. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the Administrator may deem an acceleration to occur immediately prior to the applicable event and, in such circumstances, will reinstate the original terms of the award if an event giving rise to an acceleration does not occur.

Without limiting the generality of Section 3.3, any good faith determination by the Administrator pursuant to its authority under this Section 7.2 shall be conclusive and binding on all persons.

Table of Contents

- 7.3 Other Acceleration Rules.** The Administrator may override the provisions of Section 7.2 by express provision in the award agreement and may accord any Eligible Person a right to refuse any acceleration, whether pursuant to the award agreement or otherwise, in such circumstances as the Administrator may approve. The portion of any ISO accelerated in connection with an event referred to in Section 7.2 (or such other circumstances as may trigger accelerated vesting of the award) shall remain exercisable as an ISO only to the extent the applicable \$100,000 limitation on ISOs is not exceeded. To the extent exceeded, the accelerated portion of the option shall be exercisable as a nonqualified stock option under the Code.
- 7.4 Definition of Change in Control.** With respect to a particular award granted under this Plan, a **Change in Control** shall be deemed to have occurred as of the first day, after the date of grant of the particular award, that any one or more of the following conditions shall have been satisfied:
- (a) The acquisition by any individual, entity or group (within the meaning of Section 13(d)(3) or 14(d)(2) of the Exchange Act (a **Person**)) of beneficial ownership (within the meaning of Rule 13d-3 promulgated under the Exchange Act) of more than 30% of either (1) the then-outstanding shares of common stock of the Corporation (the **Outstanding Company Common Stock**) or (2) the combined voting power of the then-outstanding voting securities of the Corporation entitled to vote generally in the election of directors (the **Outstanding Company Voting Securities**); provided, however, that, for purposes of this clause (a), the following acquisitions shall not constitute a Change in Control Event; (A) any acquisition directly from the Corporation, (B) any acquisition by the Corporation, (C) any acquisition by any employee benefit plan (or related trust) sponsored or maintained by the Corporation or any affiliate of the Corporation or a successor, or (D) any acquisition by any entity pursuant to a transaction that complies with Sections (c)(1), (2) and (3) below;
 - (b) Individuals who, as of the Effective Date, constitute the Board (the **Incumbent Board**) cease for any reason to constitute at least a majority of the Board; provided, however, that any individual becoming a director subsequent to the Effective Date whose election, or nomination for election by the Corporation's stockholders, was approved by a vote of at least two-thirds of the directors then comprising the Incumbent Board (including for these purposes, the new members whose election or nomination was so approved, without counting the member and his predecessor twice) shall be considered as though such individual were a member of the Incumbent Board, but excluding, for this purpose, any such individual whose initial assumption of office occurs as a result of an actual or threatened election contest with respect to the election or removal of directors or other actual or threatened solicitation of proxies or consents by or on behalf of a Person other than the Board;
 - (c) Consummation of a reorganization, merger, statutory share exchange or consolidation or similar corporate transaction involving the Corporation or any of its Subsidiaries, a sale or other disposition of all or substantially all of the assets of the Corporation, or the acquisition of assets or stock of another entity by the Corporation or any of its Subsidiaries (each, a **Business Combination**), in each case unless, following such Business Combination, (1) all or substantially all of the individuals and entities that were the beneficial owners of the Outstanding Company Common Stock and the Outstanding Company Voting Securities immediately prior to such Business Combination beneficially own, directly or indirectly, more than 50% of the then-outstanding shares of common stock and the combined voting power of the then-outstanding voting securities entitled to vote generally in the election of directors, as the case may be, of the entity resulting from such Business Combination (including, without limitation, an entity that, as a result of such transaction, owns the Corporation or all or substantially all of the Corporation's assets directly or through one or more subsidiaries (a **Parent**)) in substantially the same proportions as their ownership immediately prior to such Business Combination of the Outstanding Company Common Stock and the Outstanding Company Voting Securities, as the case may be, (2) no Person (excluding any entity resulting from such Business Combination or a

Table of Contents

Parent or any employee benefit plan (or related trust) of the Corporation or such entity resulting from such Business Combination or Parent) beneficially owns, directly or indirectly, more than 30% of, respectively, the then-outstanding shares of common stock of the entity resulting from such Business Combination or the combined voting power of the then-outstanding voting securities of such entity, except to the extent that the ownership in excess of 30% existed prior to the Business Combination, and (3) at least a majority of the members of the board of directors or trustees of the entity resulting from such Business Combination or a Parent were members of the Incumbent Board at the time of the execution of the initial agreement or of the action of the Board providing for such Business Combination; or

- (d) Approval by the stockholders of the Corporation of a complete liquidation or dissolution of the Corporation other than in the context of a transaction that does not constitute a Change in Control under clause (c) above.

8. OTHER PROVISIONS

- 8.1 *Compliance with Laws.*** This Plan, the granting and vesting of awards under this Plan, the offer, issuance and delivery of shares of Common Stock, and/or the payment of money under this Plan or under awards are subject to compliance with all applicable federal and state laws, rules and regulations (including but not limited to state and federal securities law and federal margin requirements) and to such approvals by any listing, regulatory or governmental authority as may, in the opinion of counsel for the Corporation, be necessary or advisable in connection therewith. The person acquiring any securities under this Plan will, if requested by the Corporation or one of its Subsidiaries, provide such assurances and representations to the Corporation or one of its Subsidiaries as the Administrator may deem necessary or desirable to assure compliance with all applicable legal and accounting requirements.
- 8.2 *No Rights to Award.*** No person shall have any claim or rights to be granted an award (or additional awards, as the case may be) under this Plan, subject to any express contractual rights (set forth in a document other than this Plan) to the contrary.
- 8.3 *No Employment/Service Contract.*** Nothing contained in this Plan (or in any other documents under this Plan or in any award) shall confer upon any Eligible Person or other participant any right to continue in the employ or other service of the Corporation or one of its Subsidiaries, constitute any contract or agreement of employment or other service or affect an employee's status as an employee at will, nor shall interfere in any way with the right of the Corporation or one of its Subsidiaries to change a person's compensation or other benefits, or to terminate his or her employment or other service, with or without cause. Nothing in this Section 8.3, however, is intended to adversely affect any express independent right of such person under a separate employment or service contract other than an award agreement.
- 8.4 *Plan Not Funded.*** Awards payable under this Plan shall be payable in shares or from the general assets of the Corporation, and no special or separate reserve, fund or deposit shall be made to assure payment of such awards. No participant, beneficiary or other person shall have any right, title or interest in any fund or in any specific asset (including shares of Common Stock, except as expressly otherwise provided) of the Corporation or one of its Subsidiaries by reason of any award hereunder. Neither the provisions of this Plan (or of any related documents), nor the creation or adoption of this Plan, nor any action taken pursuant to the provisions of this Plan shall create, or be construed to create, a trust of any kind or a fiduciary relationship between the Corporation or one of its Subsidiaries and any participant, beneficiary or other person. To the extent that a participant, beneficiary or other person acquires a right to receive payment pursuant to any award hereunder, such right shall be no greater than the right of any unsecured general creditor of the Corporation.

Table of Contents

8.5 Tax Withholding. Upon any exercise, vesting, or payment of any award, or upon the disposition of shares of Common Stock acquired pursuant to the exercise of an ISO prior to satisfaction of the holding period requirements of Section 422 of the Code, or upon any other tax withholding event with respect to any award, the Corporation or one of its Subsidiaries shall have the right at its option to:

- (a) require the participant (or the participant's personal representative or beneficiary, as the case may be) to pay or provide for payment of at least the minimum amount of any taxes which the Corporation or one of its Subsidiaries may be required to withhold with respect to such award event or payment; or
- (b) deduct from any amount otherwise payable in cash (whether related to the award or otherwise) to the participant (or the participant's personal representative or beneficiary, as the case may be) the minimum amount of any taxes which the Corporation or one of its Subsidiaries may be required to withhold with respect to such award event or payment.

In any case where a tax is required to be withheld in connection with the delivery of shares of Common Stock under this Plan, the Administrator may in its sole discretion (subject to Section 8.1) require or grant (either at the time of the award or thereafter) to the participant the right to elect, pursuant to such rules and subject to such conditions as the Administrator may establish, that the Corporation reduce the number of shares to be delivered by (or otherwise reacquire) the appropriate number of shares, valued in a consistent manner at their fair market value or at the sales price in accordance with authorized procedures for cashless exercises, necessary to satisfy the minimum applicable withholding obligation on exercise, vesting or payment. In no event shall the shares withheld exceed the minimum whole number of shares required for tax withholding under applicable law.

8.6 Effective Date, Termination and Suspension, Amendments.

8.6.1 Effective Date. This Plan is effective as of April 4, 2012, the date of its approval by the Board (the **Effective Date**). This Plan shall be submitted for and subject to stockholder approval no later than twelve months after the Effective Date. Unless earlier terminated by the Board, this Plan shall terminate at the close of business on the day before the tenth anniversary of the Effective Date. After the termination of this Plan either upon such stated expiration date or its earlier termination by the Board, no additional awards may be granted under this Plan, but previously granted awards (and the authority of the Administrator with respect thereto, including the authority to amend such awards) shall remain outstanding in accordance with their applicable terms and conditions and the terms and conditions of this Plan.

8.6.2 Board Authorization. The Board may, at any time, terminate or, from time to time, amend, modify or suspend this Plan, in whole or in part. No awards may be granted during any period that the Board suspends this Plan.

8.6.3 Stockholder Approval. To the extent then required by applicable law or any applicable listing agency or required under Sections 162, 422 or 424 of the Code to preserve the intended tax consequences of this Plan, or deemed necessary or advisable by the Board, any amendment to this Plan shall be subject to stockholder approval.

8.6.4 Amendments to Awards. Without limiting any other express authority of the Administrator under (but subject to) the express limits of this Plan, the Administrator by agreement or resolution may waive conditions of or limitations on awards to participants that the Administrator in the prior exercise of its discretion has imposed, without the consent of a participant, and (subject to the requirements of Sections 3.2 and 8.6.5) may make other changes to the terms and conditions of awards. Any amendment or other action that would constitute a repricing of an award is subject to the limitations set forth in Section 3.2.

8.6.5 Limitations on Amendments to Plan and Awards. No amendment, suspension or termination of this Plan or amendment of any outstanding award agreement shall, without written consent of the

Table of Contents

participant, affect in any manner materially adverse to the participant any rights or benefits of the participant or obligations of the Corporation under any award granted under this Plan prior to the effective date of such change. Changes, settlements and other actions contemplated by Section 7 shall not be deemed to constitute changes or amendments for purposes of this Section 8.6.

8.7 Privileges of Stock Ownership. Except as otherwise expressly authorized by the Administrator, a participant shall not be entitled to any privilege of stock ownership as to any shares of Common Stock not actually delivered to and held of record by the participant (subject to the last sentence of Section 5.1.4). Except as expressly required by Section 7.1 or otherwise expressly provided by the Administrator, no adjustment will be made for dividends or other rights as a stockholder for which a record date is prior to such date of delivery.

8.8 Governing Law; Construction; Severability.

8.8.1 Choice of Law. This Plan, the awards, all documents evidencing awards and all other related documents shall be governed by, and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Delaware.

8.8.2 Severability. If a court of competent jurisdiction holds any provision invalid and unenforceable, the remaining provisions of this Plan shall continue in effect.

8.8.3 Plan Construction.

- (a) **Rule 16b-3.** It is the intent of the Corporation that the awards and transactions permitted by awards be interpreted in a manner that, in the case of participants who are or may be subject to Section 16 of the Exchange Act, qualify, to the maximum extent compatible with the express terms of the award, for exemption from matching liability under Rule 16b-3 promulgated under the Exchange Act. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Corporation shall have no liability to any participant for Section 16 consequences of awards or events under awards if an award or event does not so qualify.
- (b) **Section 162(m).** Awards under Section 5.1.4 to persons described in Section 5.2 that are either granted or become vested, exercisable or payable based on attainment of one or more performance goals related to the Business Criteria, as well as Qualifying Options and Qualifying SARs granted to persons described in Section 5.2, that are approved by a committee composed solely of two or more outside directors (as this requirement is applied under Section 162(m) of the Code) shall be deemed to be intended as performance-based compensation within the meaning of Section 162(m) of the Code unless such committee provides otherwise at the time of grant of the award. It is the further intent of the Corporation that (to the extent the Corporation or one of its Subsidiaries or awards under this Plan may be or become subject to limitations on deductibility under Section 162(m) of the Code) any such awards and any other Performance-Based Awards under Section 5.2 that are granted to or held by a person subject to Section 162(m) will qualify as performance-based compensation or otherwise be exempt from deductibility limitations under Section 162(m).

8.9 Captions. Captions and headings are given to the sections and subsections of this Plan solely as a convenience to facilitate reference. Such headings shall not be deemed in any way material or relevant to the construction or interpretation of this Plan or any provision thereof.

8.10 Stock-Based Awards in Substitution for Stock Options or Awards Granted by Other Corporation. Awards may be granted to Eligible Persons in substitution for or in connection with an assumption of employee stock options, SARs, restricted stock or other stock-based awards granted by other entities to persons who are or who will become Eligible Persons in respect of the Corporation or one of its Subsidiaries, in connection with a distribution, merger or other reorganization by or with the granting entity or an affiliated entity, or the acquisition by the Corporation or one of its Subsidiaries, directly or indirectly, of all or a substantial part of the stock or assets of the employing entity. The awards so granted need not comply with other specific terms of this Plan, provided the awards

reflect only

A-15

Table of Contents

adjustments giving effect to the assumption or substitution consistent with the conversion applicable to the Common Stock in the transaction and any change in the issuer of the security. Any shares that are delivered and any awards that are granted by, or become obligations of, the Corporation, as a result of the assumption by the Corporation of, or in substitution for, outstanding awards previously granted by an acquired company (or previously granted by a predecessor employer (or direct or indirect parent thereof) in the case of persons that become employed by the Corporation or one of its Subsidiaries in connection with a business or asset acquisition or similar transaction) shall not be counted against the Share Limit or other limits on the number of shares available for issuance under this Plan.

- 8.11 *Non-Exclusivity of Plan.*** Nothing in this Plan shall limit or be deemed to limit the authority of the Board or the Administrator to grant awards or authorize any other compensation, with or without reference to the Common Stock, under any other plan or authority.
- 8.12 *No Corporate Action Restriction.*** The existence of this Plan, the award agreements and the awards granted hereunder shall not limit, affect or restrict in any way the right or power of the Board or the stockholders of the Corporation to make or authorize: (a) any adjustment, recapitalization, reorganization or other change in the capital structure or business of the Corporation or any Subsidiary, (b) any merger, amalgamation, consolidation or change in the ownership of the Corporation or any Subsidiary, (c) any issue of bonds, debentures, capital, preferred or prior preference stock ahead of or affecting the capital stock (or the rights thereof) of the Corporation or any Subsidiary, (d) any dissolution or liquidation of the Corporation or any Subsidiary, (e) any sale or transfer of all or any part of the assets or business of the Corporation or any Subsidiary, or (f) any other corporate act or proceeding by the Corporation or any Subsidiary. No participant, beneficiary or any other person shall have any claim under any award or award agreement against any member of the Board or the Administrator, or the Corporation or any employees, officers or agents of the Corporation or any Subsidiary, as a result of any such action.
- 8.13 *Other Company Benefit and Compensation Programs.*** Payments and other benefits received by a participant under an award made pursuant to this Plan shall not be deemed a part of a participant's compensation for purposes of the determination of benefits under any other employee welfare or benefit plans or arrangements, if any, provided by the Corporation or any Subsidiary, except where the Administrator expressly otherwise provides or authorizes in writing. Awards under this Plan may be made in addition to, in combination with, as alternatives to or in payment of grants, awards or commitments under any other plans or arrangements of the Corporation or its Subsidiaries.
- 8.14 *Clawback Policy.*** The awards granted under this Plan are subject to the terms of the Corporation's recoupment, clawback or similar policy as it may be in effect from time to time, as well as any similar provisions of applicable law, any of which could in certain circumstances require repayment or forfeiture of awards or any shares of Common Stock or other cash or property received with respect to the awards (including any value received from a disposition of the shares acquired upon payment of the awards).

Table of Contents

Table of Contents