NANOPHASE TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION Form DEF 14A May 17, 2004

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

	PROXY STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 14(A) OF THE
	SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
Filed	by the Registrant x Filed by a Party other than the Registrant "
Chec	ck the appropriate box:
	Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
	Preliminary Proxy Statement
X	Definitive Proxy Statement
А	Zennare Fron, omemen
	Definitive Additional Materials

" Soliciting Material Pursuant to § 240.14a-11(c) or § 240.14a-12

NANOPHASE TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION

 $(Name\ of\ Registrant\ as\ Specified\ In\ Its\ Charter)$

		(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)
Pay	ment of fili	ng fee (Check the appropriate box):
x	No fee re	quired
	Fee comp	outed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
	(1) Titl	e of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
	(2) Agg	gregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
	(3) Per the	unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on whic filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
	(4) Pro	posed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
	(5) Tot	al fee paid:
	Fee paid	previously with preliminary materials.
		ox if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
	(1) Am	nount Previously Paid:

(2)	Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
(3)	Filing Party:
(4)	Data Eiladi
(4)	Date Filed:

1319 Marquette Drive
Romeoville, Illinois 60446
May 17, 2004
Dear Stockholder:
On behalf of the Board of Directors, I invite you to attend the 2004 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Nanophase Technologies Corporation to be held at the offices of Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon, 225 West Wacker Drive, Suite 3000, Chicago, Illinois 60606, on Wednesday, June 23, 2004 at 4:30 P.M., local time. The formal notice of the Annual Meeting appears on the following page.
The attached Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement describe the matters that we expect to be acted upon at the Annual Meeting. Management will be available to answer any questions you may have immediately after the Annual Meeting.
Whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, it is important that your shares be represented. Regardless of the number of shares you own, please sign and date the enclosed proxy card and promptly return it to us in the enclosed postage paid envelope. If you sign and return your proxy card without specifying your choices, your shares will be voted in accordance with the recommendations of the Board of Directors contained in the Proxy Statement.
You are welcome to attend the June 23, 2004 meeting, and I urge you to return your proxy card as soon as possible.
Sincerely,
Joseph E. Cross,
President and Chief Executive Officer

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

TO BE HELD ON JUNE 23, 2004						
To the Stockholders of						
Nanophase Technologies Corporation:						
The Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Nanophase Technologies Corporation (the Company) will be held at the offices of Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon, 225 West Wacker Drive, Suite 3000, Chicago, Illinois 60606, on Wednesday, June 23, 2004 at 4:30 P.M., local time, for the following purposes:						
(1) To elect three Class I directors to the Company s Board of Directors;						
(2) To ratify the appointment by the Board of Directors of McGladrey & Pullen, LLP as the independent auditors of the Company s financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2004;						
(3) To approve the Nanophase Technologies Corporation 2004 Equity Compensation Plan; and						
(4) To transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournments thereof.						
The foregoing items of business are more fully described in the accompanying Proxy Statement.						
The Board of Directors has fixed the close of business on April 28, 2004 as the record date for determining stockholders entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the Annual Meeting.						
By order of the Board of Directors,						
Jess Jankowski,						
Secretary						

Romeoville, Illinois

May 17, 2004

ALL STOCKHOLDERS ARE URGED TO ATTEND THE MEETING IN PERSON OR BY PROXY. WHETHER OR NOT YOU EXPECT TO BE PRESENT AT THE MEETING, PLEASE COMPLETE, SIGN AND DATE THE ENCLOSED PROXY CARD AND RETURN IT PROMPTLY IN THE ENCLOSED POSTAGE PAID ENVELOPE FURNISHED FOR THAT PURPOSE.

NANOPHASE TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION

1319 Marquette Drive

Romeoville, Illinois 60446

(630) 771-6708

PROXY STATEMENT

The accompanying proxy is solicited by the Board of Directors (the Board of Directors) of Nanophase Technologies Corporation, a Delaware corporation (the Company), for use at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the Annual Meeting) to be held at 4:30 P.M., Chicago time, Wednesday, June 23, 2004, at the offices of Wildman, Harrold, Allen, & Dixon, 225 West Wacker Drive, Suite 3000, Chicago, Illinois, and any adjournments thereof. This Proxy Statement and accompanying form of proxy are first being mailed to stockholders on or about May 17, 2004.

Record Date and Outstanding Shares The Board of Directors has fixed the close of business on April 28, 2004, as the record date (the Record Date) for the determination of stockholders entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the Annual Meeting or any adjournments thereof. As of the Record Date, the Company had outstanding 17,371,814 shares of Common Stock, par value \$.01 per share (the Common Stock). Each outstanding share of Common Stock is entitled to one vote on all matters to come before the Annual Meeting.

Voting of Proxies Joseph E. Cross and Jess Jankowski, the persons named as proxies on the proxy card accompanying this Proxy Statement, were selected by the Board of Directors to serve in such capacity. Messrs. Cross and Jankowski are executive officers of the Company and Mr. Cross is also a director of the Company. The shares represented by each executed and returned proxy will be voted in accordance with the directions indicated thereon, or, if no direction is indicated, such proxy will be voted in accordance with the recommendations of the Board of Directors contained in this Proxy Statement. Each stockholder giving a proxy has the power to revoke it at any time before the shares it represents are voted. Revocation of a proxy is effective upon receipt by the Secretary of the Company of either (1) an instrument revoking the proxy or (2) a duly executed proxy bearing a later date. Additionally, a stockholder may change or revoke a previously executed proxy by voting in person at the Annual Meeting (attendance at the Annual Meeting will not, by itself, revoke a proxy).

Required Vote The vote of a plurality of the shares of Common Stock voted in person or by proxy is required to elect the nominees for Class I director. The affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of Common Stock represented in person or by proxy is required to ratify the appointment of McGladrey & Pullen, LLP as the independent auditors of the Company s financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2004 and to approve the Nanophase Technologies Corporation 2004 Equity Compensation Plan. Stockholders will not be allowed to cumulate their votes in the election of directors.

Quorum; Abstentions and Broker Non-Votes The required quorum for transaction of business at the Annual Meeting will be a majority of the shares of Common Stock issued and outstanding as of the Record Date. Votes cast by proxy or in person at the Annual Meeting will be tabulated by the election inspectors appointed for the meeting and will determine whether or not a quorum is present. Abstentions and broker non-votes will be included in determining the presence of a quorum. Abstentions and broker non-votes will have no effect on the vote for directors. Abstentions will be considered present and entitled to vote with respect to ratifying the appointment of the Company s independent auditors and approving the Nanophase Technologies Corporation 2004 Equity Compensation Plan and will have the same effect as votes against such proposals. Broker non-votes will not be considered present and entitled to vote with respect to ratifying the appointment of the Company s independent auditors and approving the Nanophase Technologies Corporation 2004 Equity Compensation Plan and will have no effect on such proposals.

Annual Report to Stockholders The Company s Annual Report to Stockholders for the year ended December 31, 2003, containing financial and other information pertaining to the Company, is being furnished to stockholders simultaneously with this Proxy Statement.

PROPOSAL 1

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

The Company s Board of Directors currently consists of seven directors. Article VI of the Company s Certificate of Incorporation provides that the Board of Directors shall be classified with respect to the terms for which its members shall hold office by dividing the members into three classes. At the Annual Meeting, three directors of Class I will be elected for a term of three years expiring at the Company s 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The nominees are presently serving as directors of the Company. See Nominees below.

The four directors whose terms of office do not expire in 2004 will continue to serve after the Annual Meeting until such time as their respective terms of office expire or their successors are duly elected and qualified. See Other Directors below.

If at the time of the Annual Meeting the nominees should be unable or decline to serve, the persons named in the proxy will vote for such substitute nominee as the Board of Directors recommends, or vote to allow the vacancy created thereby to remain open until filled by the Board of Directors, as the Board of Directors recommends. The Board of Directors has no reason to believe that the nominees will be unable or decline to serve as a director if elected.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS THAT THE STOCKHOLDERS VOTE FOR THE ELECTION OF THE NOMINEES NAMED BELOW.

NOMINEES

The names of the nominees for the office of director, together with certain information concerning such nominees, is set forth below:

Served as							
Name	Director Since	Age	Position with Company				
James A. Henderson	2001	69	Director				
James A. McClung	2000	66	Director				
R. Janet Whitmore	2003	49	Director				

Mr. Henderson has served as a director of the Company since July 2001. He retired as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Cummins Engine Company in December 1999, after joining the company in 1964. Mr. Henderson became President and Chief Operating Officer of Cummins in 1977, was promoted to President and Chief Executive Officer in 1994 and served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer from

1995 until his retirement in 1999. Mr. Henderson attended Culver Military Academy, holds an A.B. in public and international affairs from Princeton University and an M.B.A. from Harvard Business School. Mr. Henderson currently serves as Chairman of the Board of The Culver Education Foundation, member of the Board of Directors of International Paper, Rohm and Haas Company, Ryerson Tull, Inc., SBC Communications, Inc. and is a member of the Washington, D.C. Business Council.

Mr. McClung has served as a director of the Company since February 2000. He is currently Vice Chairman of Charter Consulting and former Senior Vice President and executive officer for FMC Corporation, a leading producer of a diversified portfolio of chemicals and machinery. He has over 30 years of international business

development experience in over 75 countries, having managed and developed new technologies and production processes for diversified global businesses, including specialized chemicals and machinery, while living in the United States, Europe, and Africa. Mr. McClung currently serves as Corporate Board member of Alticor (Amway), Beaulieu of America Corporation, NCCI Holdings, Turtle Wax and Hu-Friedy. He was a founding member of the U.S.-Russia Business Council and is active in other international business organizations, such as the Japan American Society, Chicago Council of Foreign Relations and the Economic Club of Chicago. He serves as a board director at Illinois Institute of Technology, Thunderbird: The Garvin School of International Management, and the College of Wooster (Ohio). Mr. McClung earned a Bachelor s degree from the College of Wooster, a Master s degree from the University of Kansas, and a Doctorate from Michigan State University.

Ms. Whitmore joined the board in November 2003. She is currently a director of Silverleaf Resorts, Inc., where she serves as Chairman of the Compensation Committee and as a member of the Audit and Finance Committee. She is also a director of Epoch Biosciences (EPIO). Ms. Whitmore is Founder of Benton Consulting, LLC, which specializes in business development and processes. From 1976 through 1999, Ms. Whitmore held numerous engineering and finance positions at Mobil Corporation, including Mobil s Chief Financial Analyst and Controller of Mobil s Global Petrochemicals Division. Ms. Whitmore holds a Bachelor of Science degree in Chemical Engineering from Purdue University and an M.B.A. from Lewis University.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS THAT STOCKHOLDERS VOTE FOR THE NOMINEES FOR ELECTION AS CLASS I DIRECTORS.

OTHER DIRECTORS

The following persons will continue to serve as directors of the Company after the Annual Meeting until their terms of office expire (as indicated below) or until their successors are duly elected and qualified.

			Served as	Term	
Name	Age	Position with Company	Director Since	Expires	Class
Joseph E. Cross	56	Director, President and Chief Executive Officer	1998	2005	II
Richard W. Siegel, Ph.D.	66	Director	1989	2005	II
Donald S. Perkins	76	Chairman of the Board of Directors	1998	2006	III
Jerry K. Pearlman	64	Director	1999	2006	III

Mr. Cross has served as Chief Executive Officer of the Company since December 1998 and President and a director of the Company since joining the Company in November 1998, Mr. Cross served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Aptech, a manufacturer of measurement, metering and control devices for the utility industry, from August 1996 to October 1998. From December 1993 to July 1996, Mr. Cross served as President of Aegis Technologies, an interactive telecommunications company. He holds a B.S. degree from Southwest Missouri University and attended the M.B.A. program at Southwest Missouri University.

Dr. Siegel is a co-founder of the Company and has served as a director of the Company since 1989. Dr. Siegel served as a consultant to the Company from 1990 to 2002 with regard to the application and commercialization of nanocrystalline materials. Dr. Siegel is an internationally

recognized scientist in the field of nanocrystalline materials. During his tenure on the research staff at Argonne National Laboratory from July 1974 to May 1995, he was the principal scientist engaged in research with the laboratory-scale synthesis process that was the progenitor of the Company s physical-vapor-synthesis production system. Dr. Siegel has been the Robert W. Hunt Professor in Materials Science and Engineering at Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute since June 1995, and

served as Department Head from 1995 to 2000. In April 2001, Dr. Siegel became the founding Director of the newly created Rensselaer Nanotechnology Center at the Institute. During 1995-1998, he was also a visiting professor at the Max Planck Institute for Microstructure Physics in Germany on an Alexander von Humboldt Research Prize received in 1994. He chaired the World Technology Evaluation Center worldwide study of nanostructure science and technology for the U.S. government, has served on the Council of the Materials Research Society and as Chairman of the International Committee on Nanostructured Materials. He also served on the Committee on Materials with Sub-Micron Sized Microstructures of the National Materials Advisory Board and was the co-chairman of the Study Panel on Clusters and Cluster-Assembled Materials for the U.S. Department of Energy. He currently serves on the Nanotechnology Technical Advisory Group to the U.S. President s Council of Advisors on Science and Technology. Dr. Siegel holds an A.B. degree in physics from Williams College and an M.S. degree and Ph.D. from the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign.

Mr. Perkins has served as a director of the Company since February 1998. Mr. Perkins retired from Jewel Companies, Inc., the retail supermarket and drug chain, in 1983. He had been with Jewel since 1953, serving as President from 1965 to 1970, as Chairman of the Board of Directors from 1970 to 1980, and as Chairman of the Executive Committee until his retirement. He has served on a number of corporate boards and is currently a director of LaSalle Hotel Properties, three Jones Lang LaSalle REITs and several start-up companies. For more than 30 years, he has served on corporate boards including AT&T, Aon, Corning, Cummins Engine, Eastman Kodak, Firestone, Inland Steel Industries, Kmart, Lucent Technologies, The Putnam Funds, Springs Industries and Time-Warner, Inc. He is Honorary Chairman of the Illinois Coalition and Protector of the Thyssen-Bornemisza Continuity Trust. Mr. Perkins is a life trustee and was Vice Chairman of the Board of Trustees of Northwestern University. He is also a member of the Civic Committee of The Commercial Club of Chicago, a Director of Leadership for Quality Education and a member of RoundTable Healthcare Partners L.P. Advisory Boards. Mr. Perkins holds a B.A. degree from Yale University and an M.B.A. degree from the Harvard Graduate School of Business Administration.

Mr. Pearlman has served as a director of the Company since April 1999. Mr. Pearlman retired as Chairman of Zenith Electronics Corporation in November 1995. He joined Zenith as controller in 1971 and served as chief executive officer from 1983 through April 1995. Mr. Pearlman is a director of Smurfit Stone Container Corporation and Ryerson-Tull, Inc. He is a trustee of Northwestern University and a director and past chairman of the board of Evanston Northwestern Healthcare. Mr. Pearlman graduated from Princeton with honors from the Woodrow Wilson School and from Harvard Business School with highest honors.

Director Compensation Upon first being elected to the Board of Directors, each director of the Company who is not an employee or consultant of the Company (an Outside Director) is granted stock options to purchase 10,000 shares of common stock at the fair market value of the common stock, as determined by a committee appointed by the Board of Directors, as of the date of issuance of such stock options. This initial option grant to Nanophase's directors vests over five years. On or after the date of each annual meeting of the stockholders of the Company, each Outside Director who is re-elected or continues to serve as a director because his or her term has not expired is typically granted stock options to purchase 2,000 shares of common stock provided that such grant is typically not made to an Outside Director who was first elected to the Board of Directors within three months prior to such annual meeting. The options granted annually to Outside Directors vest in three equal annual installments beginning on the first anniversary of the date of grant. All options granted to Outside Directors expire ten years from the date of grant. In January 2004, in addition to the option grants referenced above, the Company paid \$6,250 as quarterly compensation, which will amount to an annual total of \$25,000 per director, for services performed in their capacity as directors. Prior to 2004, the Company paid directors with a combination of common stock grants and cash as outlined below. Given changes in securities laws, the Company did not grant any common stock to its directors in 2004. Under the pre-2004 compensation model, the annual compensation paid to each outside director had a value of approximately \$25,000. Beginning in 2004, Mr. McClung's cash compensation is being paid to Lismore International, Incorporated.

Effective January 17, 2003, the Company granted 4,870 restricted shares of common stock to each of Donald Perkins, Richard Siegel, Jerry Pearlman, James McClung and James Henderson. The Company also agreed to issue a cash payment of \$10,000 to reimburse these Directors for personal income tax liabilities relating to this grant of common stock. In addition, the Company entered into a consulting agreement in March 2001 with Richard Siegel, Ph.D. pursuant to which the Company paid Dr. Siegel \$2,000 per month for consulting services over a twelve-month term. This agreement expired in July 2002.

All Outside Directors are reimbursed for their reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred in attending board and committee meetings.

Meetings of the Board and Committees During the year ended December 31, 2003, the Board of Directors held seven formal meetings. Four of the Company's current directors attended 100% of the total board and committee meetings held during 2003 (this includes Ms. Whitmore, who attended the one meeting during the period in 2003 during which she was a director) and no director attended less than 75% of the aggregate number of board and committee (for all committees on which a particular director served) meetings held during 2003.

Committees of the Board of Directors The Board of Directors has established an Audit and Finance Committee, a Compensation and Governance Committee and a Nominating Committee, each comprised entirely of independent directors who are not officers or employees of the Company. The members of the Audit and Finance Committee are Mr. McClung (Chairman), Mr. Henderson, Mr. Pearlman and Mr. Perkins. The members of the Compensation and Governance Committee are Mr. Pearlman (Chairman), Mr. Henderson and Mr. Perkins. The members of the Nominating Committee are Mr. Henderson (Chairman), Mr. McClung, Mr. Pearlman, Mr. Perkins and Dr. Siegel.

The Audit and Finance Committee generally has responsibility for retaining the Company's independent public auditors, reviewing the plan and scope of the accountants' annual audit, reviewing the Company's internal control functions and financial management policies, and reporting to the Board of Directors regarding all of the foregoing. The Audit and Finance Committee held seven formal meetings in 2003. The Board of Directors has determined that Mr. Pearlman, Mr. Perkins and Mr. Henderson, all of whom serve on the Audit and Finance Committee, are audit committee financial experts as described in applicable SEC rules. Each member of the Audit and Finance Committee is independent, as defined in Rule 4200(a)(15) of the National Association of Securities Dealers listing standards and applicable SEC rules.

The Compensation and Governance Committee generally has responsibility for recommending to the Board of Directors guidelines and standards relating to the determination of executive and key employee compensation, reviewing the Company's executive compensation and general corporate governance policies and reporting to the Board of Directors regarding the foregoing. The Compensation and Governance Committee also has responsibility for administering the 2001 Equity Compensation Plan, determining the number of options, if any, to be granted to the Company's employees and consultants pursuant to the 2001 Equity Compensation Plan and reporting to the Board of Directors regarding the foregoing. The Compensation and Governance Committee held four formal meetings in 2003.

The Nominating Committee generally has responsibility for recommending nominating candidates to serve on the Board of Directors. The Nominating Committee Charter is attached to this Proxy Statement as Exhibit A. All members of the Nominating Committee are independent, as that term is defined in the applicable National Association of Securities Dealers listing standards. The Nominating Committee was formed in 2004, and thus held no formal meetings in 2003.

The Nominating Committee will only consider recommendations from stockholders or groups of stockholders that have held at least 5% of the Company s common stock for at least one year prior to making the recommendation. For each annual meeting of stockholders, the Nominating Committee will consider only one recommendation from any stockholder or group of stockholders, as defined under SEC Regulation 13D.

Recommendations from stockholders must be in writing, addressed to the Nominating Committee in care of the Corporate Secretary at the Company's principal headquarters, and contain the name, address, telephone number and stock holdings of the recommending stockholder. Recommendations must also include the information concerning the proposed nominee required by Items 401, 403 and 404 of SEC Regulation S-K; a description of any relationship, agreements or understandings between the proposed nominee and the recommending stockholder; a description of all relationships between the proposed nominee and any competitors, customers, suppliers, labor organizations or others with special interests regarding the Company; a statement of the qualifications and potential contributions of the proposed nominee; a statement that the proposed nominee would represent all stockholders and not serve for the purpose of advancing or favoring any particular stockholder or other constituency of the Company; and the consent of the proposed nominee to be interviewed by the Nominating Committee and, if nominated and elected, to serve on the Board of Directors. Recommendations must be received by the Company not later than 120 calendar days before the first anniversary of the proxy statement for the prior annual meeting of stockholders. If the date of the annual meeting is more than 30 days following the first anniversary of the previous annual meeting, recommendations will be considered timely if submitted in a reasonable time in advance of the mailing of the Company's proxy statement.

All persons nominated to serve on the Board of Directors, whether nominated by the Nominating Committee or recommended by stockholders, must possess certain basic personal and professional qualities. These qualities include integrity; absence of conflicts of interest; the ability to fairly and equally represent all stockholders; achievement in one or more fields of business, professional, governmental, communal, scientific or educational endeavor; sound judgment borne of experience that demonstrates the ability to function effectively in an oversight role; a general appreciation of major issues facing public companies similar to the Company; and adequate time to devote to service on the Board of Directors.

The Nominating Committee and the Company believe that the continuing service of qualified incumbents promotes stability and continuity, contributing to the Board s ability to work as a collective body, while giving the Company the benefit of familiarity and insight into the Company s affairs. As a result, when considering candidates to serve on the Board of Directors, the Nominating Committee first determines whether the incumbent directors whose terms expire at the upcoming annual meeting should be nominated for re-election. If there is no qualified and available incumbent, the Nominating Committee will solicit recommendations for nominees from persons the Nominating Committee believes likely to be familiar with qualified candidates and consider stockholder recommendations. In evaluating potential nominees, the Nominating Committee considers whether the candidate possesses any of the specific qualities or skills that must be possessed by one or more members of the Board of Directors, the contribution the potential nominee can be expected to make to the overall function of the Board of Directors, and the extent to which the membership of the potential nominee on the Board of Directors would promote diversity among the directors. When candidates are recommended by stockholders, the Nominating Committee will also consider the size and duration of the recommending stockholder s interest in the Company and the extent to which the recommending stockholder intends to continue holding its interest in the Company. The Nominating Committee may solicit the views of the Chief Executive Officer, senior management and other directors regarding the qualifications and suitability of any potential nominee.

Communications with the Board of Directors Since the last Annual Meeting of Stockholders, the Board of Directors has adopted policies regarding stockholder communications with the Board of Directors. Any stockholder desiring to communicate with the Board of Directors or one or more of its directors may send a letter addressed to the Board of Directors or the applicable directors in care of the Corporate Secretary at Nanophase Technologies Corporation, 1319 Marquette Drive, Romeoville, Illinois 60446. All such communications must have the sender s name, address, telephone number and e-mail address, if any, as well as a statement of the type and amount of the Company s securities the sender holds and any other interest of the sender in the subject of the communication or, if the sender is not a stockholder of the Company, a statement of the nature of the sender s interest in the Company. Communications will be forwarded to the proper recipient unless they (a) concern individual grievances or other interests that could not reasonably be construed to be of concern to the

stockholders or other constituencies of the Company, (b) advocate the Company s engaging in illegal activities, (c) contain offensive, scurrilous or abusive content, or (d) have no rational relevance to the business or operations of the Company.

Directors Attendance at Annual Meetings When a director is unable to attend an Annual Meeting of Stockholders in person, but is able to attend by electronic conferencing, the Company will arrange for the director to participate by means such that the director can hear and be heard by those present at the meeting. The entire Board of Directors attended the Company s 2003 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The table below identifies executive officers of the Company who are not identified in the tables entitled Election of Directors Nominees or Other Directors.

Name	Age	Position
Jess Jankowski	38	Chief Financial Officer, Vice President of Finance, Secretary and Treasurer
Robert Haines	53	Vice President Operations
Daniel S. Bilicki	60	Vice President Sales and Marketing
Richard W. Brotzman, Ph.D.	50	Vice President Research and Development

Mr. Jankowski has served as Controller of the Company since joining in 1995. He was elected Secretary and Treasurer in November 1999, Acting Chief Financial Officer in January 2000, Vice President in April 2002, and Vice President of Finance and Chief Financial Officer in April 2004. From 1990-1995 he served as Controller for two building contractors in the Chicago area. From 1986 to 1990 he worked for Kemper Financial Services in their accounting control corporate compliance unit, serving as unit supervisor during his last two years. He holds a B.S. in accountancy from Northern Illinois University, an M.B.A. from Loyola University, and received his certified public accountant certificate from the State of Illinois. Mr. Jankowski has served on the advisory board of WESTEC, an Illinois Technology Enterprise Center focusing on the commercialization of advanced manufacturing technologies, since 2003.

Mr. Haines joined Nanophase Technologies in January 2001 as Vice President of Operations. Beginning in 1996 and prior to joining Nanophase, he served as Corporate Director of Quality at Legrand North America. Previous experience includes two years as Vice President of Operations for Aegis Technologies and eight years with Digital Equipment Corporation. Mr. Haines has a B.S. in Chemistry/Engineering Physics from East Tennessee State University.

Mr. Bilicki has served as Vice President Sales and Marketing of the Company since joining the Company in March 1999. From January 1996 until March 1999, Mr. Bilicki served as President/Director of PT Crosfield Indonesia in Jakarta, Indonesia, a subsidiary of Crosfield Company, which is a global chemical company. From January 1994 to December 1995, Mr. Bilicki held the position of President/Director North America of Crosfield Company. He holds a B.S. degree from Indiana Institute of Technology and an M.B.A. degree from Winthrop University.

Dr. Brotzman joined the Company in July 1994 as a senior scientist and has served as Vice President Research and Development of the Company since July 1996. He is the inventor of much of the Company s coating technology. Dr. Brotzman has 15 years experience in research and development of advanced materials leading to new products. His technical areas of expertise include interfacial adhesion and chemistry,

self-assembled polymeric coatings, nanosized inorganic powders, powder processing, reactive coupling agents, solgel derived protective coatings, non-destructive evaluation of composites, neo-debye relaxation in green inorganic

gels, asymmetric membranes and plasma processing. From January 1991 to July 1994, Dr. Brotzman served as Director of Research at TPL, Inc., an advanced materials company. He holds a B.S. degree in chemical engineering from Lafayette College, an M.S. degree in engineering and applied science from the University of California, Davis and a Ph.D. in chemistry from the University of Washington.

The Board of Directors elects executive officers annually, and such executive officers, subject to the terms of certain employment agreements, serve at the discretion of the Board of Directors. Messrs. Cross, Jankowski, Bilicki, Haines and Dr. Brotzman each have employment agreements with the Company. See Executive Compensation Employment. There are no family relationships among any of the directors or officers of the Company.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The following table provides information concerning the annual and long-term compensation for services in all capacities to the Company for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002, and 2001 of those persons who were (1) during 2003, the chief executive officer of the Company and (2) at December 31, 2003, the four other most highly compensated (based upon combined salary and bonus) executive officers of the Company whose total salary and bonus exceeded \$100,000 during 2003 (collectively, the Named Officers).

Summary Compensation Table

Annual Compensation

					Other Annual	Long-Term Compensation Awards Securities	A	All Other
Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary(\$)	Bonus(\$)	Comp	pensation(\$)	Underlying Options	Com	pensation(\$)
Joseph E. Cross								
	2003	\$ 298,766	\$ 0	\$	0	50,000	\$	0
President and Chief Executive	2002	268,234	0		0	55,000		0
Officer	2001	261,922	74,025		17,727(1)	100,000		0
Daniel S. Bilicki								
	2003	\$ 207,786	\$ 0	\$	0	21,000	\$	0
Vice President Sales and	2002	195,036	0		0	30,000		0
Marketing	2001	190,812	46,620		0	60,000		0
Robert Haines (2)	2003	\$ 187,712	\$ 0	\$	0	30,000	\$	0
	2002	169,255	20,000		0	40,000		113,047(3)
Vice President Operations	2001	147,692	0		55,506(4)	30,000		63,454(5)
Richard Brotzman, Ph.D.		211,402			22,233(1)	20,000		00,101(0)
Richard Brotzman, Ph.D.	2003	\$ 172,840	\$ 0	\$	0	20,000	\$	0
Vice President Research and	2002	155,914	10,000		0	20,000		0
Development Development	2001	145,760	16,800		0	40,000		0
Jess Jankowski								
	2003	\$ 134,355	\$ 0	\$	0	18,000	\$	0
Chief Financial Officer, Vice				φ		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	φ	
President of Finance, Secretary	2002	119,069	10,000		0	20,000		0
and Treasurer	2001	108,981	13,230		0	13,000		0

- (1) Represents payment of personal income tax liabilities associated with relocation expenses received.
- (2) Mr. Haines s employment commenced with the Company on January 22, 2001.
- (3) Represents relocation expenses incurred by Mr. Haines for moving to the greater Chicago metropolitan area.
- (4) Includes \$24,793 paid for lodging in Romeoville, Illinois, \$20,930 paid for airfare to and from Chicago, Illinois, and \$9,783 in payments related to use of a car. These expenses were incurred through 2001. All amounts have been grossed-up to compensate Mr. Haines for personal income tax liabilities associated with the reimbursement of these expenses.
- (5) Represents relocation expenses incurred by Mr. Haines for moving to the greater Chicago metropolitan area.

8

OPTION GRANTS IN 2003 The following table provides information on grants of stock options to the Named Officers during 2003. No stock appreciation rights were granted to the Named Officers during 2003.

					Annual Ra	Assumed tes of Stock preciation	
	Number of Securities	Individual Gra	ants		For Optio	n Term (2)	
	Underlying Options	Percent of Total Options Granted to Employees in	Exercise or Base Price	Expiration			
Name	Granted (#) (1)	Fiscal Year	(\$/SH)	Date	5%(\$)	10%(\$)	
Joseph E. Cross	50,000	20.51%	\$ 3.66	03/24/13	\$ 115,088	\$ 291,655	
Robert Haines	30,000	12.31%	3.66	03/24/13	69,053	174,993	
Daniel S. Bilicki	21,000	8.61%	3.66	03/24/13	48,337	122,495	
Richard Brotzman, Ph.D.	20,000	8.20%	3.66	03/24/13	46,035	116,662	
Jess Jankowski	18,000	7.38%	3.66	03/24/13	41,432	104,996	

⁽¹⁾ These options are all non-qualified stock options. Subject to certain restrictions, these options become exercisable in three equal annual installments, beginning on the first anniversary of the date of grant. These options were granted on March 24, 2003.

AGGREGATED OPTION EXERCISES IN 2003 AND YEAR-END 2003 OPTION VALUES The following table provides information regarding each of the Named Officers option exercises in 2003 and unexercised options at December 31, 2003.

Aggregated Option Exercises in 2003 and

Year-End 2003 Option Values

						Value of U	Jnexero	ised
					urities Underlying ed Options at	In-The-Mon	ney Opt	ions at
	Shares Acquired	Va	alue	Year-Er	nd 2003 (#)	Year-End	2003 (\$	5) (1)
Name	On Exercise (#)	Reali	zed (\$)	Exercisable	Unexercisable	Exercisable	Une	xercisable
Joseph E. Cross	0	\$	0	374,998	130,002	\$ 1,169,250	\$	350,450
Robert Haines	0		0	25,334	74,666	19,201		171,299
Daniel S. Bilicki	90,000	2	79,003	99,999	71,001	55,075		189,255
Richard Brotzman, Ph.D.	76,065	3	80,608	165,018	64,662	530,928		190,301
Jess Jankowski	0		0	60,465	42,490	100,935		113,856

Potential Realizable

⁽²⁾ Potential realizable value is presented net of the option exercise price but before any federal or state income taxes associated with exercise. These amounts represent certain assumed rates of appreciation only, as mandated by the SEC. Actual gains will be dependent on the future performance of the common stock and the option holder s continued employment through the vesting period. The amounts reflected in the table may not necessarily be realized.

(1) The value per option is calculated by subtracting the exercise price per option from the closing price of the Common Stock on the NASDAQ National Market on December 31, 2003, which was \$8.09.

Employment

The Company entered into an employment agreement with Joseph E. Cross dated November 9, 1999 which provides for an annual base salary of not less than \$220,000. In addition, Mr. Cross received a lump sum payment of \$50,000 on the first anniversary of the commencement of this agreement. The Company also granted to Mr. Cross options to purchase up to 100,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$2.9375 per share and options to purchase up to 50,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$2.1875, with options for one-fifth of such shares becoming exercisable on each of the first five anniversaries of the dates of grant. No term has been assigned to Mr. Cross employment agreement. If Mr. Cross is terminated other than for cause (as such term is defined in Mr. Cross employment agreement), Mr. Cross will receive severance benefits in an amount equal to Mr. Cross base salary for 52 weeks.

Effective as of November 2, 2000, the Company also entered into an employment agreement with Robert Haines providing for an annual base salary of not less than \$160,000. The Company also granted to Mr. Haines options to purchase up to 30,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$10.1875. No term has been assigned to Mr. Haines employment agreement. If Mr. Haines is terminated other than for cause (as such term is defined in Mr. Haines s employment agreement), Mr. Haines will receive severance benefits in an amount equal to Mr. Haines s base salary for 52 weeks.

Effective as of February 17, 2000, the Company also entered into an employment agreement with Daniel Bilicki providing for an annual base salary of not less than \$165,000. In addition, Mr. Bilicki was granted options to purchase up to 50,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$2.375. No term has been assigned to Mr. Bilicki s employment agreement. If Mr. Bilicki is terminated other than for cause (as such term is defined in Mr. Bilicki s employment agreement), Mr. Bilicki will receive severance benefits in an amount equal to Mr. Bilicki s base salary for 52 weeks.

Effective as of September 26, 2001, the Company also entered into an employment agreement with Dr. Richard Brotzman providing for an annual base salary of not less than \$146,250. No term has been assigned to Dr. Brotzman s employment agreement. If Dr. Brotzman is terminated other than for cause (as such term is defined in Dr. Brotzman s employment agreement), Dr. Brotzman will receive severance benefits in an amount equal to Dr. Brotzman s base salary for 26 weeks.

Effective as of February 17, 2000 the Company also entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Jess Jankowski providing for an annual base salary of not less than \$95,000. No term has been assigned to Mr. Jankowski s employment agreement. If Mr. Jankowski is terminated other than for cause (as such term is defined in Mr. Jankowski s employment agreement), Mr. Jankowski will receive severance benefits in an amount equal to Mr. Jankowski s base salary for 26 weeks.

REPORT OF THE COMPENSATION AND

GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE

OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

The current Compensation and Governance Committee members are Jerry K. Pearlman (Chairman), James A. Henderson and Donald S. Perkins. The objectives of the Compensation and Governance Committee in determining the levels and components of executive compensation are to (1) attract, motivate and retain talented and dedicated executive officers, (2) provide executive officers with both cash and equity incentives to further the interests of the Company and its stockholders and (3) compensate executive officers at levels comparable to those of executive officers at other comparable high technology companies. In setting compensation, the Compensation and Governance Committee reviews the achievement of corporate goals and individual contributions of particular executives. Generally, the compensation of the Company s executive officers is composed of base annual compensation, in the form of salary and other benefits, incentives in the form of fiscal year-end bonuses and long-term compensation consisting of stock options awarded under the Company s stock option plan.

Base Salaries

In determining the base salaries of the executive officers in 2003, the Compensation and Governance Committee considered the performance of each executive, the nature of the executive s responsibilities, the salary levels of executives at comparable high technology companies, including other publicly-held advanced materials and advanced technologies companies and the Company s general compensation practices.

Cash Bonuses

Discretionary cash bonuses for executive officers are directly tied to achievement of specified goals of the Company and are a function of the criteria which the Compensation and Governance Committee believes appropriately take into account the specific areas of responsibility of the particular officer.

Stock Options

Periodically, the Compensation and Governance Committee also grants stock options to executive officers in order to provide a long-term incentive which is directly tied to the performance of the Company s stock. These options provide an incentive to maximize stockholder value because they reward option holders only if stockholders also benefit. The exercise price of these stock options is the fair market of the Common Stock on the date of grant. In general, the options vest in equal annual installments over a three-year period beginning one year after the date of grant, in certain instances the Board of Directors (or the Compensation and Governance Committee) can adjust the vesting period for performance-based options. Vesting periods are used to retain key employees and to emphasize the long-term aspect of contribution and performance. In making stock option grants to executives, including Mr. Cross, in 2003, the Compensation and Governance Committee considered a number of factors, including the performance of such persons, the Company s performance in 2002, achievement of specific delineated goals, the responsibilities and the relative position of such persons within the Company, the compensation of executives in comparable high technology companies and the number of stock options each such person currently possesses.

Chief Executive Officer Compensation

Joseph Cross has served as the Company s President since November 1998 and its Chief Executive Officer since December 1998. Under his employment agreement with the Company dated November 9, 1999, Mr. Cross was paid a base salary of \$298,766 in 2003 and received options to purchase 50,000 shares of common stock which vest over a three-year period. In determining the level of salary payments and option grants to Mr. Cross, the Compensation and Governance Committee considered qualitative factors such as Mr. Cross s strong leadership role in coordinating the Company s development of partnering relationships with key customers, the Company s transition to a producer and supplier of commercial quantities of nanomaterials, the expansion of the Company s intellectual property assets, his success in recruiting other valued senior executives to the Company, and the development of other new strategic initiatives.

Compliance with Section 162(m)

The Compensation and Governance Committee currently intends for all compensation paid to the Named Officers to be tax deductible to the Company pursuant to Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (Section 162(m)). Section 162(m) provides that compensation paid to the Named Officers in excess of \$1,000,000 cannot be deducted by the Company for Federal income tax purposes unless, in general, (1) such compensation is performance-based, established by a committee of outside directors and objective, and (2) the plan or agreement providing for such performance-based compensation has been approved in advance by stockholders. The Compensation and Governance Committee believes that the requirements of Section 162(m) are uncertain at this time and may arbitrarily impact the Company. In the future, the Compensation and Governance Committee may determine to adopt a compensation program that does not satisfy the conditions of Section 162(m) if in its judgment, after considering the additional costs of not satisfying Section 162(m), such program is appropriate.

Submitted by the Compensation and Governance

$\overline{}$		of the	Doord	۰£	Directors:
U	ommutee	or the	Doaru	UΙ	Directors.

Jerry K. Pearlman (Chairman)

James A. Henderson

Donald S. Perkins

Compensation and Governance Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

Pursuant to a consulting agreement effective as of October 29, 1998, and prior to his appointment as Chairman of the Board of Directors, Donald S. Perkins, who is Chairman of the Compensation and Governance Committee, was engaged by the Company to provide additional services in connection with the Company s organizational restructuring and refocusing. In consideration for such services, Mr. Perkins was granted options to purchase 25,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$3.50 per share. Such options vest in five equal annual installments beginning on October 29, 1999; provided, however, that unvested options will expire upon Mr. Perkins no longer providing such services to the Company.

REPORT OF THE

AUDIT AND FINANCE COMMITTEE

OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

All members of the Audit and Finance Committee are independent, as that term is defined in the applicable National Association of Securities Dealers listing standards. Each member of the Audit and Finance Committee is also financially literate, as that qualification is interpreted by the Company s Board of Directors in its business judgment. The Audit and Finance Committee currently consists of James A. McClung (Chairman), James A. Henderson, Jerry K. Pearlman and Donald S. Perkins.

Policies and Mission

The Audit and Finance Committee recommends the independent certified public accountants for Board of Director approval, engages in a discussion with the independent accountants regarding the objectivity and independence of the accountants, reviews the adequacy of the Audit and Finance Committee Charter, reviews certain of the Company s Securities and Exchange Commission filings, reviews significant financial reporting issues with the Company s chief financial officer, reviews risk management and internal audit procedures with the Company s chief financial officer, and engages in any necessary private sessions with the Company s chief financial officer and independent accountants.

Audit and Finance Committee Statement

The Audit and Finance Committee, consistent with its policies and mission, has adopted an amended and restated charter, which is included as Exhibit B to this Proxy Statement. The Audit and Finance Committee has reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements with Company management; discussed with the independent auditors the matters required to be discussed by SAS 61 (Codification of Statements on Auditing Standards), as modified or supplemented; received a written disclosure letter from the Company s independent certified public accountants as required by Independence Standards Board Standard No. 1 (Independence Standards Board Standard No. 1, Independence Discussions with Audit Committees), as modified and supplemented, and has discussed with the independent certified public accountants the independent accountant s independence; and based on the preceding review and discussions contained in this paragraph, recommended to the Board of Directors that the audited financial statements be included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the 2003 fiscal year for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Submitted by the Audit and Finance

~ .	0.1			
Committee	a ot tha	Roard	of Dura	otore.
COMMINIC	- OI LIIC	DOALU		CIUIS.

James A. McClung (Chairman)

James A. Henderson

Jerry K. Pearlman

Donald S. Perkins

PERFORMANCE GRAPH

The following graph shows a comparison of cumulative total returns for the Company, the NASDAQ Market Composite Index and an index of peer companies selected by the Company during the period commencing on November 26, 1997, the date of the Company s initial public offering, and ending on December 31, 2003. The comparison assumes \$100 was invested on November 26, 1997 in the Common Stock, the NASDAQ Market Composite Index and the peer companies selected by the Company and assumes the reinvestment of all dividends, if any. The performance graph must begin with the closing price of the Common Stock on the date of the initial public offering, which was \$8.00 (this was also the price at which the Common Stock was offered in the initial public offering).

COMPARISON OF CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURNS

	Nanophase Technologies		
Measurement Date	Corporation	Peer Group	NASDAQ
November 26, 1997	\$ 100.00	\$ 100.00	\$ 100.00
December 31, 1997	158.59	93.52	98.49
December 31, 1998	28.13	131.60	137.52
December 31, 1999	60.94	138.04	255.21
December 31, 2000	137.50	98.15	154.94
December 31, 2001	73.63	118.61	122.32
December 31, 2002	35.25	71.24	83.76
December 31, 2003	101.13	154.38	125.64

The companies in the peer group, both of which are advanced materials or advanced technologies companies, are: Delta & Pine Land Company and Landec Corporation. The peer group in the Company s proxy statement for the 2000 annual meeting included Alyn Corporation. On July 31, 2000, Alyn Corporation filed a petition for bankruptcy and accordingly has been removed from the calculations of the graph above for all periods presented.

The above graph shall not be deemed incorporated by reference by any general statement incorporating by reference this Proxy Statement into any filing under the Securities Act or under the Exchange Act, except to the extent that the Company specifically incorporates this information by reference, and shall not otherwise be deemed filed under such Acts.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF MANAGEMENT

AND PRINCIPAL STOCKHOLDERS

The following table sets forth, as of April 5, 2004 certain information with respect to the beneficial ownership of the common stock by (1) each person known by the Company to own beneficially more than 5% of the outstanding shares of common stock, (2) each Company director, (3) each of the Named Officers and (4) all Company executive officers and directors as a group.

Name Shares Beneficially Owned (1) Percent of Shares Beneficially Owned (2) Spurgeon Corporation 2,985,195(2) 16.8% Bradford T. Whitmore 3,258,007(3) 18.3% Grace Brothers, Ltd. 2,685,195(4) 15.1% Grace Investments, Ltd. 300,000(5) 1.8% Altana Chemie, AG 1,256,281(6) 7.2% Joseph E. Cross 454,834(7) 2.6% James A. Henderson 15,742(8) * Richard W. Siegel, Ph.D. 281,044(9) 1.6% James McClung 42,770(10) * Jerry Pearlman 35,947(11) * Ponald S. Perkins 74,811(12) * R. Janet Whitmore 147,816(13) * Daniel S. Bilicki 152,000(14) * Jess Jankowski 83,100(15) * Richard W. Brotzman, Ph.D. 191,686(16) 1.1% Robert Haines 54,667(17) *		Number of	D 6	
Name Selection owned (1) Owned Spurgeon Corporation 2,985,195(2) 16.8% Bradford T. Whitmore 3,258,007(3) 18.3% Grace Brothers, Ltd. 2,685,195(4) 15.1% Grace Investments, Ltd. 300,000(5) 1.8% Altana Chemie, AG 1,256,281(6) 7.2% Joseph E. Cross 454,834(7) 2.6% James A. Henderson 15,742(8) * Richard W. Siegel, Ph.D. 281,044(9) 1.6% James McClung 42,770(10) * Jerry Pearlman 35,947(11) * Donald S. Perkins 74,811(12) * R. Janet Whitmore 147,816(13) * Daniel S. Bilicki 152,000(14) * Jess Jankowski 83,100(15) * Richard W. Brotzman, Ph.D. 191,686(16) 1.1% Robert Haines 54,667(17) *		Shares		
Spurgeon Corporation 2,985,195(2) 16.8% Bradford T. Whitmore 3,258,007(3) 18.3% Grace Brothers, Ltd. 2,685,195(4) 15.1% Grace Investments, Ltd. 300,000(5) 1.8% Altana Chemie, AG 1,256,281(6) 7.2% Joseph E. Cross 454,834(7) 2.6% James A. Henderson 15,742(8) * Richard W. Siegel, Ph.D. 281,044(9) 1.6% James McClung 42,770(10) * Jerry Pearlman 35,947(11) * Donald S. Perkins 74,811(12) * R. Janet Whitmore 147,816(13) * Daniel S. Bilicki 152,000(14) * Jess Jankowski 83,100(15) * Richard W. Brotzman, Ph.D. 191,686(16) 1.1% Robert Haines 54,667(17) *		•	·	
Bradford T. Whitmore 3,258,007(3) 18.3% Grace Brothers, Ltd. 2,685,195(4) 15.1% Grace Investments, Ltd. 300,000(5) 1.8% Altana Chemie, AG 1,256,281(6) 7.2% Joseph E. Cross 454,834(7) 2.6% James A. Henderson 15,742(8) * Richard W. Siegel, Ph.D. 281,044(9) 1.6% James McClung 42,770(10) * Jerry Pearlman 35,947(11) * Donald S. Perkins 74,811(12) * R. Janet Whitmore 147,816(13) * Daniel S. Bilicki 152,000(14) * Jess Jankowski 83,100(15) * Richard W. Brotzman, Ph.D. 191,686(16) 1.1% Robert Haines 54,667(17) *	Name	Owned (1)	Owned	
Bradford T. Whitmore 3,258,007(3) 18.3% Grace Brothers, Ltd. 2,685,195(4) 15.1% Grace Investments, Ltd. 300,000(5) 1.8% Altana Chemie, AG 1,256,281(6) 7.2% Joseph E. Cross 454,834(7) 2.6% James A. Henderson 15,742(8) * Richard W. Siegel, Ph.D. 281,044(9) 1.6% James McClung 42,770(10) * Jerry Pearlman 35,947(11) * Donald S. Perkins 74,811(12) * R. Janet Whitmore 147,816(13) * Daniel S. Bilicki 152,000(14) * Jess Jankowski 83,100(15) * Richard W. Brotzman, Ph.D. 191,686(16) 1.1% Robert Haines 54,667(17) *				
Grace Brothers, Ltd. 2,685,195(4) 15.1% Grace Investments, Ltd. 300,000(5) 1.8% Altana Chemie, AG 1,256,281(6) 7.2% Joseph E. Cross 454,834(7) 2.6% James A. Henderson 15,742(8) * Richard W. Siegel, Ph.D. 281,044(9) 1.6% James McClung 42,770(10) * Jerry Pearlman 35,947(11) * Donald S. Perkins 74,811(12) * R. Janet Whitmore 147,816(13) * Daniel S. Bilicki 152,000(14) * Jess Jankowski 83,100(15) * Richard W. Brotzman, Ph.D. 191,686(16) 1.1% Robert Haines 54,667(17) *	Spurgeon Corporation	2,985,195(2)	16.8%	
Grace Investments, Ltd. 300,000(5) 1.8% Altana Chemie, AG 1,256,281(6) 7.2% Joseph E. Cross 454,834(7) 2.6% James A. Henderson 15,742(8) * Richard W. Siegel, Ph.D. 281,044(9) 1.6% James McClung 42,770(10) * Jerry Pearlman 35,947(11) * Donald S. Perkins 74,811(12) * R. Janet Whitmore 147,816(13) * Daniel S. Bilicki 152,000(14) * Jess Jankowski 83,100(15) * Richard W. Brotzman, Ph.D. 191,686(16) 1.1% Robert Haines 54,667(17) *	Bradford T. Whitmore	3,258,007(3)	18.3%	
Altana Chemie, AG 1,256,281(6) 7.2% Joseph E. Cross 454,834(7) 2.6% James A. Henderson 15,742(8) * Richard W. Siegel, Ph.D. 281,044(9) 1.6% James McClung 42,770(10) * Jerry Pearlman 35,947(11) * Donald S. Perkins 74,811(12) * R. Janet Whitmore 147,816(13) * Daniel S. Bilicki 152,000(14) * Jess Jankowski 83,100(15) * Richard W. Brotzman, Ph.D. 191,686(16) 1.1% Robert Haines 54,667(17) *	Grace Brothers, Ltd.	2,685,195(4)	15.1%	
Joseph E. Cross 454,834(7) 2.6% James A. Henderson 15,742(8) * Richard W. Siegel, Ph.D. 281,044(9) 1.6% James McClung 42,770(10) * Jerry Pearlman 35,947(11) * Donald S. Perkins 74,811(12) * R. Janet Whitmore 147,816(13) * Daniel S. Bilicki 152,000(14) * Jess Jankowski 83,100(15) * Richard W. Brotzman, Ph.D. 191,686(16) 1.1% Robert Haines 54,667(17) *	Grace Investments, Ltd.	300,000(5)	1.8%	
James A. Henderson 15,742(8) * Richard W. Siegel, Ph.D. 281,044(9) 1.6% James McClung 42,770(10) * Jerry Pearlman 35,947(11) * Donald S. Perkins 74,811(12) * R. Janet Whitmore 147,816(13) * Daniel S. Bilicki 152,000(14) * Jess Jankowski 83,100(15) * Richard W. Brotzman, Ph.D. 191,686(16) 1.1% Robert Haines 54,667(17) *	Altana Chemie, AG	1,256,281(6)	7.2%	
Sames Ar. Heiderson 15,42(6) Richard W. Siegel, Ph.D. 281,044(9) 1.6% James McClung 42,770(10) * Jerry Pearlman 35,947(11) * Donald S. Perkins 74,811(12) * R. Janet Whitmore 147,816(13) * Daniel S. Bilicki 152,000(14) * Jess Jankowski 83,100(15) * Richard W. Brotzman, Ph.D. 191,686(16) 1.1% Robert Haines 54,667(17) *	Joseph E. Cross	454,834(7)	2.6%	
James McClung 42,770(10) * Jerry Pearlman 35,947(11) * Donald S. Perkins 74,811(12) * R. Janet Whitmore 147,816(13) * Daniel S. Bilicki 152,000(14) * Jess Jankowski 83,100(15) * Richard W. Brotzman, Ph.D. 191,686(16) 1.1% Robert Haines 54,667(17) *	James A. Henderson	15,742(8)	*	
Jerry Pearlman 35,947(11) * Donald S. Perkins 74,811(12) * R. Janet Whitmore 147,816(13) * Daniel S. Bilicki 152,000(14) * Jess Jankowski 83,100(15) * Richard W. Brotzman, Ph.D. 191,686(16) 1.1% Robert Haines 54,667(17) *	Richard W. Siegel, Ph.D.	281,044(9)	1.6%	
Donald S. Perkins 74,811(12) * R. Janet Whitmore 147,816(13) * Daniel S. Bilicki 152,000(14) * Jess Jankowski 83,100(15) * Richard W. Brotzman, Ph.D. 191,686(16) 1.1% Robert Haines 54,667(17) *	James McClung	42,770(10)	*	
R. Janet Whitmore 147,816(13) * Daniel S. Bilicki 152,000(14) * Jess Jankowski 83,100(15) * Richard W. Brotzman, Ph.D. 191,686(16) 1.1% Robert Haines 54,667(17) *	Jerry Pearlman	35,947(11)	*	
R. Janet Windrot 147,010(15) Daniel S. Bilicki 152,000(14) * Jess Jankowski 83,100(15) * Richard W. Brotzman, Ph.D. 191,686(16) 1.1% Robert Haines 54,667(17) *	Donald S. Perkins	74,811(12)	*	
Jess Jankowski 83,100(15) * Richard W. Brotzman, Ph.D. 191,686(16) 1.1% Robert Haines 54,667(17) *	R. Janet Whitmore	147,816(13)	*	
Richard W. Brotzman, Ph.D. 191,686(16) 1.1% Robert Haines 54,667(17) *	Daniel S. Bilicki	152,000(14)	*	
Robert Haines 54,667(17) *	Jess Jankowski	83,100(15)	*	
RODER Traines (77)	Richard W. Brotzman, Ph.D.	191,686(16)	1.1%	
	Robert Haines	54,667(17)	*	
All executive officers and directors as a group (11 persons) 1,534,417(18) 8.3%	All executive officers and directors as a group (11 persons)	1,534,417(18)	8.3%	

Unless otherwise indicated below, the persons address is the same as the address for the Company.

- * Denotes beneficial ownership of less than one percent.
- (1) Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the Commission). Unless otherwise indicated below, the persons in the above table have sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of common stock shown as beneficially owned by them.
- (2) Includes 2,232,194 shares of common stock held by Grace Brothers, Ltd. and 300,000 shares of common stock held by Grace Investments, Ltd. Spurgeon Corporation is a general partner of both Grace entities and shares voting and investment power with respect to the shares of common stock held by such Grace entities. This amount also includes 453,001 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of warrants that are held by Grace Brothers, Ltd. and are exercisable currently. This information is based on information reported on Form 4 filed on April 5, 2004 with the Commission by Spurgeon Corporation. The address of the stockholder is 1560 Sherman Avenue, Suite 900, Evanston, Illinois 60201.
- (3) Includes 2,232,194 shares of common stock held by Grace Brothers, Ltd., 300,000 shares of common stock held by Grace Investments, Ltd. and 272,812 shares held by Bradford T. Whitmore. This amount also includes 453,001 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of warrants that are held by Grace Brothers, Ltd. and are exercisable currently. Mr. Whitmore is a general partner of Grace

Brothers, Ltd. and is the sole owner of an entity which is a general partner of Grace Investments, Ltd. In such capacities, Mr. Whitmore shares voting and investment power with respect to the shares of common stock held by the Grace entities. This information is based on information reported on Form 4 filed on April 5, 2004 with the Commission by Mr. Whitmore. The address of the stockholder is 1560 Sherman Avenue, Suite 900, Evanston, Illinois 60201.

- (4) This amount includes 453,001 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of warrants that are exercisable currently. This information is based on information reported on Form 4 filed on April 5, 2004 with the Commission by Spurgeon Corporation and Bradford T. Whitmore. The address of the stockholder is 1560 Sherman Avenue, Suite 900, Evanston, Illinois 60201.
- (5) This information is based on information reported on Form 4 s filed on April 5, 2004 with the Commission by Spurgeon Corporation and Bradford T. Whitmore. The address of the stockholder is 1560 Sherman Avenue, Suite 900, Evanston, Illinois 60201.
- (6) Consist of unregistered common stock, and therefore not freely saleable, until March 23, 2006.
- (7) Includes 453,334 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of options exercisable currently or within 60 days of March 30, 2004.
- (8) Includes 7,332 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of options exercisable currently or within 60 days of March 30, 2004.
- (9) Includes 66,042 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of options exercisable currently or within 60 days of March 30, 2004.
- (10) Includes 13,999 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of options exercisable currently or within 60 days of March 30, 2004.
- (11) Includes 13,999 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of options exercisable currently or within 60 days of March 30, 2004.
- (12) Includes 32,667 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of options exercisable currently or within 60 days of March 30, 2004.
- (13) This information is based on information reported on a Form 4 filed on November 25, 2003 with the Commission by R. Janet Whitmore. The address of the stockholder is 10305 Oaklyn Drive, Potomac, Maryland 20854.
- (14) Includes 147,000 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of options exercisable currently or within 60 days of March 30, 2004.
- (15) Includes 81,800 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of options exercisable currently or within 60 days of March 30, 2004.
- (16) Consists of 191,686 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of options exercisable currently or within 60 days of March 30, 2004.
- (17) Consists of 54,667 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of options exercisable currently or within 60 days of March 30, 2004.
- (18) Includes 1,062,526 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of options exercisable currently or within 60 days of March 30, 2004.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table gives information about our common stock that may be issued upon the exercise of options, warrants, and rights under all of our existing compensation plans as of December 31, 2003, including the 1992 Amended and Restated Stock Option Plan and the 2001 Equity Compensation Plan.

			(c) Number of Securities Remaining Available for	
	(a) Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of	(b) Weighted Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options,	Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation	(d) Total of Securities
Plan Category	Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights	Warrants, and Rights	Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in Column (a))	Reflected in Columns (a) and (c)
Plans Approved by Shareholders Plans Not Approved by Shareholders	1,928,619(1) None	\$ 5.70 \$	359,500(2) None	2,288,119

⁽¹⁾ Consists of the 1992 Amended and Restated Stock Option Plan, the 2001 Equity Compensation Plan, and shares of authorized but unissued Preferred Stock

⁽²⁾ Consists of shares available for future issuance under the 2001 Equity Compensation Plan.

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

In addition to items listed elsewhere in this Proxy Statement, on September 5, 2003, in anticipation of the September 8, 2003 private placement to Grace Brothers Ltd., the Company amended its existing Stockholder Rights Agreement to revise the beneficial ownership threshold at which a person or group of persons becomes an acquiring person and triggers certain provisions under the Stockholder Rights Agreement. As revised, a person or group would become an acquiring person if that person or group becomes the beneficial owner of 35% or more of the outstanding shares of the Company s stock. Prior to such amendment, the beneficial ownership threshold was 25%. On September 8, 2003, the Company issued 453,001 shares of its common stock to Grace Brothers Ltd. at a purchase price of \$4.415 per share together with a warrant to purchase a like number of shares of common stock during the next twelve months also at a price of \$4.415 per share. The share price for the common stock was determined based on the fifteen-day market closing average for the Company s stock ending September 5, 2003. As of April 5, 2004, Grace Brothers, Ltd. beneficially owned approximately 15.1% of the Company s outstanding common stock. Ms. R. Janet Whitmore is a sister of Bradford Whitmore who serves as the general partner of Grace Brothers, Ltd.

On March 23, 2004, the Company entered into a joint development agreement with Altana Chemie, AG (Altana) in order to explore new product applications in fields that are mutually beneficial to both companies. Pursuant to the agreement, the Company and Altana have granted each other, subject to limited exceptions, exclusive rights for the development and purchase of nanomaterials for use in paints, coatings, inks, polymers and plastics, varnishes, and similar applications. In connection with this agreement the Company sold, in a private placement to Altana, 1,256,281 shares of common stock at \$7.96 per share and received gross proceeds of \$10 million. Altana beneficially owns approximately 7% of the Company s outstanding common stock.

PROPOSAL 2

RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF AUDITORS

The Board of Directors, upon the recommendation of the Audit and Finance Committee, has appointed McGladrey and Pullen, LLP, independent certified public accountants, as auditors of the Company's financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2004. McGladrey and Pullen, LLP has been engaged as auditors for the Company beginning in November 2001. The Board of Directors has determined to afford stockholders the opportunity to express their opinions on the matter of auditors and, accordingly, is submitting to the stockholders at the Annual Meeting a proposal to ratify the Board of Directors appointment of McGladrey & Pullen, LLP. If a majority of the shares voted at the Annual Meeting, in person or by proxy, are not voted in favor of the ratification of the appointment of McGladrey & Pullen, LLP, the Board of Directors will interpret this as an instruction to seek other auditors. In addition to audit services, McGladrey & Pullen, LLP also provided certain non-audit services to Nanophase Technologies Corporation in relation to the 2003 fiscal year. The Audit and Finance Committee has considered whether the provision of these additional services is compatible with maintaining the independence of McGladrey & Pullen, LLP. The following fees were incurred by Nanophase Technologies Corporation for the services of McGladrey & Pullen, LLP in relation to the 2003 fiscal year.

Audit Fees. The aggregate amount billed by our principal accountant, McGladrey & Pullen, LLP, for audit services performed during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002 was \$126,450 and \$75,575, respectively. Audit services include the auditing of financial statements, quarterly reviews, statutory audits and the preparation of consents and review of registration statements.

Audit Related Fees. McGladrey & Pullen, LLP did not perform audit related services during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002. Audit related services would include employee benefit plan audits, due diligence assistance, internal control review assistance and audit or attestation services not required by statute or regulation.

Tax Fees. Total fees billed by RSM McGladrey, Inc. (an affiliate of McGladrey & Pullen, LLP) for tax related services for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002 were \$6,100 and \$7,725, respectively. These services include tax related research and general tax services in connection with transactions and legislation.

All Other Fees. Other than those fees described above, there were no other fees billed for services performed by McGladrey & Pullen, LLP during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002.

All of the fees described above were approved by Nanophase s Audit and Finance Committee.

Audit and Finance Committee Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures. Nanophase s Audit and Finance Committee pre-approves the audit and non-audit services performed by McGladrey & Pullen, LLP, our principal accountants, and RSM McGladrey, Inc. (an affiliate of McGladrey & Pullen, LLP) in order to assure that the provision of such services does not impair McGladrey & Pullen, LLP s independence. Unless a type of service to be provided by McGladrey & Pullen, LLP and RSM McGladrey, Inc. (an affiliate of McGladrey & Pullen, LLP) has received general pre-approval, it will require specific pre-approval by the Audit and Finance Committee. In addition, any proposed services exceeding pre-approval cost levels will require specific pre-approval by the Audit and Finance Committee.

The term of any pre-approval is 12 months from the date of pre-approval, unless the Audit and Finance Committee specifically provides for a different period. The Audit and Finance Committee will periodically revise the list of pre-approved services, based on subsequent determinations, and has delegated pre-approval authority to the Chairman of the Audit and Finance Committee. In the event the Chairman exercises such delegated authority, they shall report such pre-approval decisions to the Audit and Finance Committee at its next scheduled

meeting. The Audit and Finance Committee does not delegate its responsibilities to pre-approve services performed by the independent auditor to management.

It is expected that representatives of McGladrey & Pullen will be present at the meeting and will be available to respond to questions. They will be given an opportunity to make a statement if they desire to do so.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS THAT THE STOCKHOLDERS VOTE FOR THE RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF McGLADREY & PULLEN, LLP AS THE INDEPENDENT AUDITORS OF THE COMPANY S FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2004.

PROPOSAL 3

APPROVAL OF THE NANOPHASE TECHNOLOGIES

CORPORATION 2004 EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN

The Board of Directors proposes that the Company replace its existing equity compensation plan, the 2001 Equity Compensation Plan (the 2001 Plan) (effective June 5, 2001), with the Equity Compensation Plan described below. Over the last three years, Nanophase has recruited several new executives, other key employees, and new members of the Board of Directors. Part of this recruitment effort, and related efforts to retain new and existing employees and Directors, resulted in the granting of stock options as a form of equity-based compensation. As a result of these efforts, the shares available for grant under the 2001 Plan have been effectively depleted. As of April 29, 2004, only 359,500 shares are available for grant under the 2001 Plan. Additionally, the existing plan limits the flexibility of the Board of Directors in determining the nature of equity-based compensation. The Board of Directors believes that in order to attract and retain key personnel the Company must have shares available to grant as part of the Company s compensation scheme as well as greater flexibility with respect to the form of the grants. The proposed new plan will effectively allow the Board of Directors to grant up to an additional 959,500 shares, with limitations on the size of individual and aggregate annual grants discussed below. Added to the Company s existing outstanding but unexercised stock options under the 2001 Plan and the Company s previous stock option plan, this plan will create a pool of currently outstanding options and shares available for future grant of approximately 17.5% of the outstanding shares of Company stock on April 29, 2004.

Accordingly, on April 29, 2004, the Board of Directors adopted the Nanophase Technologies Corporation 2004 Equity Compensation Plan (the 2004 Plan) and is seeking approval of this plan by the stockholders of the Company. Pursuant to the terms of the 2004 Plan, upon approval of the 2004 Plan by the Company s stockholders, further grants may not be made under the 2001 Plan.

The following is a general summary of the 2004 Plan and is qualified in its entirety by the full text of the 2004 Plan attached to this Proxy Statement as Exhibit C. Capitalized terms not defined herein have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the plan document.

Purpose

The purpose of the 2004 Plan is to provide plan participants with the opportunity to receive grants of incentive stock options, nonqualified options, restricted shares and performance shares. The Company believes that the plan will encourage the participants to contribute materially to the growth of the Company, thereby benefiting the Company s stockholders, and will align the economic interests of the participants with those of the shareholders.

Administration

The 2004 Plan will be administered by the Compensation and Governance Committee of the Board of Directors (the Committee). The Committee consists of three or more persons appointed by the Board of Directors, all of whom shall be outside directors as defined under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and related Treasury regulations (the Internal Revenue Code), shall be non-employee directors as defined under Rule 16b-3 promulgated under the Exchange Act, and shall be independent directors as defined in NASDAQ Marketplace Rule 4200(15), as amended. The Committee has full authority to construe and interpret the 2004 Plan and to establish, amend, and rescind rules and regulations relating to the 2004 Plan, and take any other action necessary for the administration of the 2004 Plan.

Participants

The Committee will designate certain (i) employees of the Company and its subsidiaries, (ii) advisors who perform services for the Company or its subsidiaries and (iii) non-employee members of the board of directors of

the Company who will participate in the 2004 Plan. As of April 29, 2004, all employees were eligible to participate in the 2004 Plan. Each of the Company s executive officers and directors qualifies for participation in the 2004 Plan.

Shares Available for the 2004 Plan

Subject to adjustment as provided in the 2004 Plan (for example, in the event of recapitalization, stock split, stock dividend, merger, reorganization or similar event), the maximum number of shares of Common Stock that may be issued under the 2004 Plan is 959,500 shares, and the maximum number of shares of Common Stock that may be issued under the 2004 Plan in any single calendar year is 200,000 shares, which may be shares of original issuance, treasury shares or a combination thereof; provided, however, for purposes of calculating the aggregate number of shares available for grants and calculating the limitations on calendar year grants set forth below, each grant of a Restricted Share or a Performance Share (other than New Hire Grants) shall be the equivalent of a grant of three (3) shares.

Awards

Awards under the 2004 Plan may be in the form of (i) incentive stock options to purchase the Company s Common Stock (Incentive Stock Options), (ii) nonqualified options to purchase the Company s Common Stock (Nonqualified Options), (iii) restricted stock (Restricted Stock) and (iv) performance stock (Performance Stock) (hereinafter collectively referred to as Grants). The terms and features of the various forms of Grants are set forth below and described more fully in the 2004 Plan itself, attached as Exhibit C.

No person may receive more than fifteen percent (15.0%) of the aggregate number of Incentive Stock Options and Nonqualified Options granted during any calendar year. No person may receive more than fifteen percent (15.0%) of the aggregate amount of Restricted Stock and Performance Stock granted during any calendar year. In addition, at least fifty percent (50%) of all Restricted Shares and Performance Shares (taken as a single class) granted in each calendar year shall be Performance Shares. However, (i) the limitations set forth in this paragraph will not apply to grants made to a person not previously an employee or director of the Company, or following a bonafide period of non-employment, as an inducement material to the individual s entering into employment with the Company (New Hire Grants), and (ii) New Hire Grants shall not count against the aggregate maximum amounts set forth above in this paragraph nor against the 200,000 share annual limit set forth above.

Incentive and Nonqualified Stock Options. A stock option is a right to purchase a specific number of shares of Common Stock under specific terms, conditions and price. The Committee determines the price of the shares of Common Stock covered by each stock option (the Stock Option Price), except that the Stock Option Price may not be less than 100% of the fair market value of the shares of Common Stock on the date such stock options are granted. The Committee also sets the term of each stock option. The term of a stock option may not exceed 10 years from the date of the grant. Stock options granted under the 2004 Plan may be either Incentive Stock Options which qualify under the meaning of Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code or Nonqualified Stock Options which are not designed to qualify under Section 422. With respect to each stock option granted under the 2004 Plan, the Committee may determine the nature and extent of any restrictions to be imposed on the shares of Common Stock which may be purchased thereunder, including, but not limited to restrictions on the transferability of shares acquired upon exercise. Stock options granted under the 2004 Plan cannot be repriced (except in the event of a recapitalization, stock split, stock dividend, merger, reorganization or similar event as described above). For purposes of the 2004 Plan, the term reprice means the lowering of the Stock Option Price of previously granted nonqualified stock options within the meaning of Item 402(i) under Securities and Exchange Commission Regulation S-K. The actual purchase of shares of Common Stock pursuant to a stock option is called the exercise of that stock option. Stock options granted under the 2004 Plan are exercisable at such time or times and subject to such terms and conditions as determined by the Committee at the time of grant. The Committee may waive such restrictions on the exercisability at any time on or after the date of the grant in

whole or in part, as the Committee determines in its sole discretion. Generally, a portion of a stock option may be exercised after completing one year of continuous employment with the Company or any of its subsidiaries or affiliates, from the date the stock option is granted with additional portions being exercisable each year thereafter. The Committee will determine the form of payment of the exercise price, which may include cash, shares of Common Stock already owned by the participant for a sufficient time (generally six months) to not result in an accounting charge to Company, or any combination of cash and shares of Common Stock, with the fair market value of such Common Stock valued as of the day prior to delivery. The Committee may also designate additional forms of payment that will be permitted, including the payment of all or a portion of the exercise price from the shares of Common Stock issuable to a participant upon such exercise. A participant will not have any of the rights of a stockholder until the shares of Common Stock are issued to the participant.

Restricted Stock. Restricted Stock is Common Stock subject to restrictions on transfer and/or other rights. With respect to each share of Restricted Stock granted under the 2004 Plan, the Committee will determine the nature and extent of any restrictions to be imposed on the transfer of the Restricted Stock, the right to vote the Restricted Stock, and the right to receive dividends or other distributions on the Restricted Stock. The Committee shall also determine the period during which such restrictions shall apply (the Restriction Period). Upon a termination of service, the recipient of a Restricted Stock grant, the Company will buy back from such recipient any Restricted Stock still subject to restriction. The purchase price for such Restricted Stock shall be the lesser of the amount paid by the recipient for such Restricted Stock and the fair market value of the Restricted Stock as of the date of the termination of service. The Committee may provide exceptions to the repurchase requirement to the extent it deems appropriate. For purposes of the 2004 Plan, the term termination of service with respect to a person means the termination of that person s employment or service to the Company and its subsidiaries and affiliates. The Committee may determine that restrictions on any or all Restricted Stock may lapse without regard to any Restriction Period.

Performance Stock. Performance Stock is a right to receive payment in Common Stock if certain performance goals are met at certain times. The Committee shall determine appropriate performance goals for the Company and the times at which they must be met in order for Common Stock to be awarded. Performance goals may relate to the financial performance of the Company or its operating shares, the performance of the Company s Common Stock, individual performance, or any other criteria the Committee deems appropriate. Performance Stock may only be granted to certain employees of the Company and its subsidiaries and certain advisors of the Company or its subsidiaries. During any calendar year, the Committee may grant a maximum of 66,667 shares of Performance Stock. The value of Performance Stock in Common Stock shall be based on the fair market value of Common Stock at the date of payment in Common Stock, if any. Performance Stock may not be transferred, and is subject to any other restrictions and conditions the Committee deems appropriate. Performance Stock has no right to vote or receive dividends or other distributions until payment is made in Common Stock. Upon failure to meet performance goals or other conditions during the applicable period or upon the termination of service of a recipient of Performance Stock, such Performance Stock shall be forfeited.

Reorganization or Change in Control

Under the 2004 Plan, a reorganization shall be deemed to occur if the shareholders of the Company approve (or, if shareholder approval is not required, the Board of Directors approves) an agreement providing for (i) the merger or consolidation of the Company with another corporation where the shareholders of the Company, immediately prior to the merger or consolidation, will not beneficially own, immediately after the merger or consolidation, shares of the Company s stock entitling such shareholders to more than 50% of all votes to which all shareholders of the surviving corporation would be entitled in the election of directors (without consideration of the rights of any class of stock to elect directors by a separate class vote), (ii) the sale or other disposition of all or substantially all of the assets of the Company, or (iii) a liquidation or dissolution of the Company. A change in control shall be deemed to have occurred if any person (as such term is used in Sections 13(d) and 14(d) of the Exchange Act) or any of its subsidiaries or affiliates becomes a beneficial owner (as defined in Rule 13d-3 under the such act), directly or indirectly, of securities of the Company representing a majority of the voting

power of the then outstanding securities of the Company except where the acquisition is approved by the Board of Directors.

Upon a Reorganization where the Company is not the surviving corporation (or survives only as a subsidiary of another corporation), all outstanding Incentive Stock Options and Nonqualified Stock Options that are not exercised shall be assumed by, or replaced with comparable options or rights by, the surviving corporation. In addition, upon any Reorganization or a Change of Control, (i) the Company shall provide each participant with outstanding grants written notice of such event and (ii) all outstanding Incentive Stock Options and Nonqualified Stock Options shall automatically accelerate and become fully exercisable.

Transferability

Awards under the 2004 Plan may not be transferred, assigned, pledged, or hypothecated in any manner except in the case of death of a participant; provided, however, Nonqualified Stock Options may be transferred to certain immediate family members and other persons or entities according to such terms as the Committee may determine provided such transferability does not result in accelerated federal income taxation and further provided the participant receives no consideration for the transfer of a stock option. Any appropriately transferred grant shall remain subject to all terms and conditions applicable to the applicant transferring such grant.

Termination and Amendment

The Board of Directors, may at any time terminate or from time to time amend the 2004 Plan; provided that the Board of Directors may not make any amendment to the 2004 Plan without the approval of the shareholders, if such shareholder approval is required by any requirement of applicable law or regulation. The 2004 Plan shall terminate on the day immediately preceding the tenth anniversary of the effective date of the plan, unless the plan is terminated earlier by the Board of Directors or is extended by the Board of Directors with the approval of the shareholders. A termination or amendment of the 2004 Plan that occurs after a grant is made shall not materially impair the rights of the participant receiving such grant unless the participant consents or unless the amendment is required in order to comply with applicable law. The termination of the 2004 Plan shall not impair the power and authority of the Committee with respect to an outstanding grant.

Outstanding Grants and Effect on Prior Plans

Based on the closing price of \$7.18 per share on April 29, 2004, the aggregate market value of the 959,500 shares of Common Stock reserved for issuance under the 2004 Plan is \$6,889,210. As of April 29, 2004, only 359,500 shares are available for grant under the 2001 Plan. Pursuant to the terms of the 2004 Plan, upon the effective date of the 2004 Plan, further grants may not be made under the 2001 Plan.

United States Federal Income Tax Consequences

The following discussion briefly summarizes the material federal income tax consequences of participation in the 2004 Plan. This discussion is general in nature and does not address issues related to the tax circumstances of any particular participant. The discussion is limited to the impact of the Internal Revenue Code as is currently in effect upon United States citizens residing in the United States.

Stock Options. Under the Internal Revenue Code, a participant granted a Nonqualified Stock Option or Incentive Stock Option generally realizes no taxable income upon receipt of the stock option, but in the case of a Nonqualified Stock Option a participant is deemed to have realized ordinary taxable income upon the exercise of the stock option equal to the excess of the fair market value of the shares of Common Stock acquired at the time of the exercise of the stock option over the exercise price of such stock option. The Company will be entitled to a deduction equal to the same amount to the extent such amount is treated as reasonable compensation under the Internal Revenue Code. The deduction will be allowed in the Company s taxable year which includes the last day

of the participant s taxable year in which the stock option is exercised. A participant s tax basis in shares of Common Stock acquired upon the exercise of a stock option will be the fair market value of such Common Stock shares on the date the stock option is exercised. Upon any sale of shares of Common Stock acquired under the 2001 Plan, the participant s gain or loss will therefore equal the difference between the sale price and such tax basis. (Notwithstanding the foregoing, in the event of a cashless exercise of stock options, the fair market value shall equal the actual sales price of the underlying shares of Common Stock.) Upon disposition by a participant of Incentive Stock Option shares received under the 2001 Plan, any gain or loss realized by the participant will generally be taxed as a capital gain or loss. There will be no federal income tax consequences to the Company upon the disposition of shares acquired upon exercise of an Incentive Stock Option received under the 2001 Plan, provided the necessary holding periods have been met.

Restricted Stock. A recipient of a Restricted Stock award will recognize ordinary income equal to the fair market value of the common stock at the time the restrictions on the Restricted Stock lapse, less any amount which the recipient paid for the stock. However, instead of postponing the income tax consequences of a stock award, the recipient may elect to include the fair market value of the Common Stock (less any purchase price paid) in income in the year the award is granted. This election is made under Section 83(b) of the Code by filing a written notice with the Internal Revenue Service. In general, the Company receives a deduction for federal income tax purposes equal to the amount of compensation recognized by the recipient at the time the recipient recognizes such income. The tax treatment of the subsequent disposition of Restricted Stock will depend upon whether the recipient has made a Section 83(b) election to include the value of the Common Stock in income when awarded. If the recipient makes a Section 83(b) election and elects to include the fair market value of the common stock (less any purchase price paid) in income in the year the award is granted, any subsequent disposition will result in a capital gain or loss equal to the difference between the selling price of the Common Stock and the fair market value of the Common Stock on the date of grant. If no Section 83(b) election is made and the recipient paid for the stock, any disposition will result in a capital gain or loss equal to the difference between the selling price of the common stock and the fair market value of the common stock on the date the restrictions lapsed.

Performance Stock. A participant will not recognize income and will not be taxed upon the grant of Performance Stock. Generally, at the time a participant receives payment under Performance Stock, the participant will recognize compensation taxable as ordinary income in an amount equal to the cash or fair market value of the shares received, and the Company will then be entitled to a corresponding deduction.

Withholding Taxes. A participant may be liable for federal, state, or local tax withholding obligations as a result of the grant, exercise or settlement of any grant under the 2004 Plan. The tax withholding obligation may be satisfied by payment in the form of cash, certified check, previously-owned shares of Common Stock or, if a participant elects with the permission of the Committee, by a reduction in the number of shares to be received by the participant under the award.

Reasons for Obtaining Stockholder Approval

The Board of Directors has approved the 2004 Plan subject to stockholder approval at the annual meeting. The Company is submitting the 2004 Plan for stockholder approval at the annual meeting because stockholder approval is required to (a) qualify the 2004 Plan under Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code relating to the grant of Incentive Stock Options and (b) obtain a federal income tax deduction under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code for compensation recognized by participants in connection with the Grants under the 2004 Plan.

Recommendation

The Board of Directors believes that approval of the 2004 Plan is in the best interest of the Company and its stockholders because the 2004 Plan will enable the Company to attract and retain officers and key management employees and provide those employees with competitive incentives which also align their interests with those of the stockholders.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS THAT THE STOCKHOLDERS VOTE FOR THE APPROVAL OF THE NANOPHASE TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION 2004 EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN.

MISCELLANEOUS AND OTHER MATTERS

Solicitation The cost of this proxy solicitation will be borne by the Company. The Company may request banks, brokers, fiduciaries, custodians, nominees and certain other record holders to send proxies, proxy statements and other materials to their principals at the Company s expense. Such banks, brokers, fiduciaries, custodians, nominees and other record holders will be reimbursed by the Company for their reasonable out-of-pocket expenses of solicitation. The Company does not anticipate that costs and expenses incurred in connection with this proxy solicitation will exceed an amount normally expended for a proxy solicitation for an election of directors in the absence of a contest.

Proposals of Stockholders Proposals of stockholders (1) intended to be considered at the Company s 2005 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the 2005 Annual Meeting) and (2) to be considered for inclusion in the Company s proxy statement and proxy for the 2005 Annual Meeting, must be received by the Secretary of the Company on or before January 3, 2005. If a stockholder submits a proposal to be considered at the 2005 Annual Meeting other than in accordance with Rule 14a-8 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and does not provide notice of such proposal to the Company by March 19, 2005, the holders of any proxy solicited by the Company s Board of Directors for use at such meeting will have discretionary authority to vote with respect to any proposal as to which timely notice is not given.

Other Business The Board of Directors is not aware of any other matters to be presented at the Annual Meeting other than those mentioned in this Proxy Statement and the Company s Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders enclosed herewith. If any other matters are properly brought before the Annual Meeting, however, it is intended that the persons named in the proxies will vote such proxies as the Board of Directors directs.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance Section 16 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), requires the Company s officers (as defined under Section 16), directors and persons who beneficially own greater than 10% of a registered class of the Company s equity securities to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Based solely on a review of the forms it has received and on written representations from certain reporting persons that no such forms were required for them, the Company believes that during 2003 all Section 16 filing requirements applicable to its officers, directors and 10% beneficial owners were complied with by such persons. One such form (SEC Form 4) was filed on behalf of Dr. Richard Brotzman on the third business day after a transaction (one day later than required) due to an administrative error.

Additional Information The Company will furnish without charge a copy of its Annual Report on Form 10-K for its year ended December 31, 2003, as filed with the Commission, upon the written request of any person who is a stockholder as of the Record Date, and will provide copies of the exhibits to such Form 10-K upon payment of a reasonable fee which shall not exceed the Company s reasonable expenses in connection therewith.

Requests for such materials should be directed to Nanophase Technologies Corporation, 1319 Marquette Drive, Romeoville, Illinois 60446, Attention: Nancy Baldwin, Manager of Investor Relations.		
By order of the Board of Directors		
Jess Jankowski,		
Secretary		
Romeoville, Illinois		
May 17, 2004		
ALL STOCKHOLDERS ARE REQUESTED TO COMPLETE.		

26

DATE, SIGN AND RETURN THE ENCLOSED PROXY PROMPTLY.

EXHIBIT A

NANOPHASE TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION

NOMINATING COMMITTEE CHARTER

(as adopted on April 29, 2004)

Pur	nose

The primary purpose of the Nominating Committee (the Committee) of the Board of Directors (the Board) of Nanophase Technologies Corporation (the Company) shall be to establish criteria for the selection of directors; to identify individuals qualified to serve as directors of the Company; and to select, or recommend that the Board select, the candidates for all directorships to be filled by the Board or by the shareholders.

Composition of the Committee

The Committee shall consist of at least three members of the Board, with the exact number being determined by the Board. The members of the Committee shall be appointed and replaced from time to time by the Board. The Chairman of the Committee shall be designated by the Board.

Each member of the Committee shall satisfy the independence requirements of The Nasdaq Stock Market, Inc. and such additional regulatory or listing requirements as the Board may determine to be applicable or appropriate, and shall be free from any relationship that, in the opinion of the Board, would interfere with the exercise of his or her independent judgment as a member of the Committee.

Meetings

The Committee shall meet as often as it determines necessary to carry out its duties and responsibilities, but no less frequently than annually. The Committee may invite members of management or others to attend Committee meetings and provide pertinent information on the issues being considered as the Committee may request. The Committee may also exclude from its meetings any persons it deems appropriate in order to carry out its responsibilities.

The Committee shall report its actions to the Board and keep written minutes of its meetings which shall be recorded and filed with the books and records of the Company.

Duties and Responsibilities

The following functions shall be the common recurring activities of the Committee in carrying out its responsibilities. These functions should serve as a guide with the understanding that the Committee may carry out additional functions and adopt additional policies and procedures as may be appropriate in light of changing business, legislative, regulatory, legal or other conditions. The Committee shall also carry out any other responsibilities and duties delegated to it by the Board from time to time related to the purposes set forth in this Charter.

- 1. Establish guidelines and criteria for the selection of individuals to be considered as candidates for election to the Board.
- 2. Identify individuals believed to be qualified as candidates to serve on the Board.
- 3. Evaluate candidates for nomination to the Board, including those recommended by shareholders. In that connection, the Committee shall adopt and implement procedures for the submission of recommendations by shareholders as it deems appropriate.

A-1

4. Select, or recommend for the Board s selection, director nominees for election by the stockholders or appointment by the Board, as the case may be, which recommendations shall be consistent with the Company s guidelines and criteria for selecting directors.

5. Review the background and qualifications of individuals being considered as director candidates.

6. Evaluate the performance of current Board members, and make recommendations to the Board regarding the appropriateness of members of the Board standing for reelection.

7. Consider questions of independence and possible conflicts of interest of members of the Board, and whether a candidate has a special interest or a specific agenda that would impair his or her ability to effectively represent the interest of all shareholders.

8. Review and make recommendations, as the Committee deems appropriate, regarding the size, structure and composition of the Board in order to ensure that the Board is comprised of members reflecting the proper expertise, skills, attributes and personal and professional backgrounds for service as a director of the Company, as determined by the Committee.

9. Oversee evaluation of the Board, which may include developing and recommending an annual self-evaluation process.

In fulfilling its responsibilities, the Committee shall be entitled to delegate any or all of its responsibilities to a subcommittee or one or more members of the Committee when appropriate, but no such delegation shall be permitted if the authority is required by law, regulation or listing standard to be exercised by the Committee as a whole.

Investigations and Studies; Outside Advisers

The Committee may conduct or authorize investigations into or studies of matters within the Committee scope of responsibilities, and may retain, at the Company scopense, such independent counsel or other consultants or advisers as it deems necessary. The Committee shall have the sole authority to retain or terminate any search firm to be used to identify director candidates, including sole authority to approve the search firm sceen and other retention terms, such fees to be borne by the Company.

While the members of the Committee have the duties and responsibilities set forth in this Charter, nothing contained in this Charter is intended to create, or should be construed as creating, any responsibility or liability of members of the Committee, except to the extent otherwise provided under applicable federal or state law.

EXHIBIT B

NANOPHASE TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION AUDIT AND FINANCE COMMITTEE CHARTER

(as amended and restated through April 29, 2004)

Purpose

The primary purpose of the Audit and Finance Committee (the Committee) is to represent and assist the Board of Directors (the Board) in fulfilling its responsibilities for oversight of: the Company s accounting and financial reporting processes; the preparation, presentation and integrity of the financial reports and other financial information provided by the Company to any governmental or regulatory body, the public or other users thereof; the adequacy and efficacy of the Company s systems of internal accounting, auditing and financial controls; the Company s compliance with legal and regulatory requirements; the conduct, independence and qualifications of the Company s outside auditor; and the performance of the annual independent audit of the Company s financial statements.

Authority

The Committee shall have the authority and sufficient funding to discharge its duties and responsibilities, including the authority to engage special legal, accounting or other consultants (without seeking Board approval) to advise the Committee as it determines necessary. In discharging its oversight role, the Committee shall be empowered to conduct or authorize investigations into or studies of matters within the Committee s scope of responsibilities as described herein, and may retain, at the expense of the Company, independent counsel or other consultants necessary to assist the Committee in any such investigations or studies. The Committee shall have sole authority to negotiate and approve the fees and retention terms of such independent counsel or other consultants, and ordinary administrative expenses of the Committee that are deemed necessary or appropriate in carrying out its duties.

Composition of the Committee

The Committee shall consist of at least three members of the Board, with the exact number being determined by the Board. The members of the Committee shall be appointed and replaced from time to time by the Board. The Board shall elect or appoint a chair of the Committee, who will have authority to act on behalf of the Committee between meetings.

Each member of the Committee shall be independent as that term is defined in (i) paragraph (m) of Section 10A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78f), and the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission promulgated thereunder, and (ii) the rules of The Nasdaq Stock Market, Inc. (NASDAQ), or any other stock exchange or quotation service on which the Company s securities are listed. Further, each member of the Committee shall meet all other requirements for membership on the Committee set forth in the rules of NASDAQ, or any other stock exchange or quotation service on which the Company s securities are listed, and be free from any relationship that, in the opinion of the Board, would interfere with the exercise of his or her independent judgment as a member of the Committee.

All members of the Committee shall, in the judgment of the Board, possess the ability to read and understand the Company s fundamental financial statements, and have a working familiarity with basic finance and accounting practices. In addition, at least one member of the Committee shall be, in the judgment of the Board, an audit committee financial expert in accordance with the rules and regulations of the SEC, and at least one member (who may also serve as the audit committee financial expert) shall have, in the judgment of the Board, past employment experience in finance or accounting, requisite professional certification in accounting, or other comparable experience or background that results in the individual s financial sophistication, including

being or having been a chief executive officer, chief financial officer or other senior officer with financial oversight responsibilities, in accordance with NASDAQ s listing requirements. Committee members may enhance their familiarity with finance and accounting by participating in educational programs conducted by the Company or an outside consultant.

The composition of the Committee shall be examined periodically and, if necessary, the Committee shall be reconstituted by the Board.

Meetings

The Committee shall meet at least four times a year and at such other times as it deems necessary to fulfill its responsibilities.

The Committee shall periodically meet separately, in executive session, with management, the outside auditor and the Company s internal audit personnel, and report (either as a committee or through the Committee chair) regularly to the Board with respect to its activities.

Duties and Responsibilities

The following shall be the common recurring activities and responsibilities of the Committee in carrying out its oversight functions. These activities and responsibilities are set forth below as a guide to the Committee with the understanding that the Committee may alter or supplement them as appropriate under the circumstances to the extent permitted by applicable law, regulation or listing standard:

Audits and the Relationship with the Outside Auditor

The Committee shall be directly responsible for the appointment, compensation, retention and oversight of the work of any registered public accounting firm engaged for the purpose of preparing or issuing an audit report or performing other audit, review or attest services for the Company. The outside auditor and any other registered public accounting firm engaged by the Company shall report directly to the Committee.

In furtherance of the above, the Committee shall, on an annual basis, evaluate the independent auditors—qualifications, performance and independence. To assist in this undertaking, the Committee shall request information and consider (a) the independent auditors internal quality-control procedures, (b) any material issues raised by the most recent internal quality-control review, or peer review, of the accounting firm or by any inquiry or investigations by governmental or professional authorities (within the preceding five years) respecting one or more independent audits carried out by the independent auditors, and any steps taken to deal with any such issues and (c) all relationships the independent auditors have with the Company and relevant third parties in