

CAMDEN NATIONAL CORP  
Form 10-Q  
August 07, 2009

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2009

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission File No. 0-28190

CAMDEN NATIONAL CORPORATION  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

MAINE  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

01-0413282  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

2 ELM STREET, CAMDEN, ME  
(Address of principal executive offices)

04843  
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (207) 236-8821

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter periods that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes  No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practical date:

Outstanding at August 07, 2009: Common stock (no par value) 7,644,829 shares.

---

## CAMDEN NATIONAL CORPORATION

FORM 10-Q FOR THE QUARTER ENDED JUNE 30, 2009  
TABLE OF CONTENTS OF INFORMATION REQUIRED IN REPORT

	PAGE
<b>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</b>	
<b>ITEM 1.</b>	<b>FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</b>
	Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm 3
	Consolidated Statements of Condition June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 4
	Consolidated Statements of Income Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 5
	Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 6
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 7
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 8-20
<b>ITEM 2.</b>	<b>MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS 21-33</b>
<b>ITEM 3.</b>	<b>QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURE ABOUT MARKET RISK 34-35</b>
<b>ITEM 4.</b>	<b>CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES 36</b>
<b>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</b>	
<b>ITEM 1.</b>	<b>LEGAL PROCEEDINGS 37</b>
<b>ITEM 1A.</b>	<b>RISK FACTORS 37</b>
<b>ITEM 2.</b>	<b>UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS 37</b>
<b>ITEM 3.</b>	<b>DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES 37</b>
<b>ITEM 4.</b>	<b>SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS 37</b>

ITEM 5.	OTHER INFORMATION	37
ITEM 6.	EXHIBITS	38
SIGNATURES		39
EXHIBIT INDEX		40
EXHIBITS		41-45

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Shareholders and Board of Directors  
Camden National Corporation

We have reviewed the accompanying interim consolidated financial information of Camden National Corporation and Subsidiaries as of June 30, 2009, and for the six-month and three-month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management.

We conducted our reviews in accordance with standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures to financial data and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit in accordance with standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the objective of which is to express an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our reviews, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the accompanying financial statements for them to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ Berry, Dunn, McNeil & Parker  
Berry, Dunn, McNeil & Parker

Bangor, Maine  
August 7, 2009

## CAMDEN NATIONAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CONDITION

(In Thousands, Except Number of Shares and per Share Data)	June 30, 2009 (unaudited)	December 31, 2008
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Cash and due from banks	\$ 38,657	\$ 35,195
<b>Securities</b>		
Securities available for sale, at fair value	554,335	606,031
Securities held to maturity, at amortized cost (fair value \$41,137 and \$41,954 at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively)	40,951	42,040
Federal Home Loan and Federal Reserve Bank stock, at cost	21,965	21,969
Total securities	617,251	670,040
Trading account assets	1,495	1,304
Loans held for sale	17,364	—
Loans	1,497,771	1,500,908
Less allowance for loan losses	(18,654)	(17,691)
Net loans	1,479,117	1,483,217
Goodwill	41,780	41,857
Bank-owned life insurance	41,199	40,459
Premises and equipment, net	25,174	25,872
Interest receivable	7,910	8,325
Core deposit intangible	4,267	4,518
Other real estate owned	5,856	4,024
Other assets	26,280	26,685
Total assets	\$ 2,306,350	\$ 2,341,496
<b>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
<b>Liabilities</b>		
<b>Deposits:</b>		
Demand	\$ 179,522	\$ 180,407
Interest checking, savings and money market	643,179	632,664
Retail certificates of deposit	583,942	593,013
Brokered deposits	70,155	83,433
Total deposits	1,476,798	1,489,517
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	217,750	258,925
Other borrowed funds	355,476	359,470
Junior subordinated debentures	43,461	43,410
Accrued interest and other liabilities	35,636	23,774
Total liabilities	2,129,121	2,175,096
<b>Shareholders' Equity</b>		
Common stock, no par value; authorized 20,000,000 shares, issued and outstanding 7,644,829 and 7,638,713 shares on June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively	3,150	2,851
Surplus	46,083	46,133
Retained earnings	125,900	118,564

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)		
Net unrealized gains (losses) on securities available for sale, net of tax	2,742	(89)
Net unrealized gains on derivative instruments, net of tax	382	—
Net unrecognized losses on postretirement plans, net of tax	(1,028)	(1,059)
Total accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	2,096	(1,148)
Total shareholders' equity	177,229	166,400
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 2,306,350	\$ 2,341,496

See Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.  
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

## CAMDEN NATIONAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME  
(unaudited)

(In Thousands, Except Number of Shares and per Share Data)	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
<b>Interest Income</b>				
Interest and fees on loans	\$ 21,270	\$ 24,409	\$ 42,891	\$ 49,723
Interest on U.S. government and sponsored enterprise obligations	6,770	6,362	14,010	12,509
Interest on state and political subdivision obligations	628	676	1,273	1,352
Interest on federal funds sold and other investments	26	630	62	1,408
Total interest income	28,694	32,077	58,236	64,992
<b>Interest Expense</b>				
Interest on deposits	5,936	7,559	12,330	16,501
Interest on borrowings	3,703	5,982	7,637	12,034
Interest on junior subordinated debentures	711	691	1,424	1,443
Total interest expense	10,350	14,232	21,391	29,978
Net interest income	18,344	17,845	36,845	35,014
Provision for Loan Losses	2,784	450	4,514	950
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	15,560	17,395	32,331	34,064
<b>Non-Interest Income</b>				
Service charges on deposit accounts	1,350	1,466	2,582	2,692
Other service charges and fees	810	696	1,424	1,335
Income from fiduciary services	1,508	1,699	2,861	3,378
Brokerage and insurance commissions	285	405	643	723
Mortgage banking income (loss), net	416	(85)	871	(215)
Bank-owned life insurance	345	285	740	578
Net investment securities gains	—	—	—	180
Other income	330	239	477	431
Total non-interest income	5,044	4,705	9,598	9,102
<b>Non-Interest Expenses</b>				
Salaries and employee benefits	6,446	6,400	12,124	13,051
Net occupancy	969	1,010	2,092	2,081
Furniture and equipment	879	982	1,716	1,834
Consulting and service fees	750	729	1,442	1,443
Other real estate owned and collection costs	282	172	1,162	399
Regulatory assessments	1,740	98	2,611	259
Amortization of core deposit intangible	125	193	251	504
Other expenses	2,225	2,329	4,309	4,603
Total non-interest expenses	13,416	11,913	25,707	24,174
Income before income taxes	7,188	10,187	16,222	18,992
Income Taxes	2,184	3,080	5,004	5,691
Net Income	\$ 5,004	\$ 7,107	\$ 11,218	\$ 13,301



Per Share Data								
Basic earnings per share – common stock	\$	0.66	\$	0.92	\$	1.47	\$	1.73
Basic earnings per share – unvested share-based payment awards		0.66		0.92		1.47		1.73
Diluted earnings per share – common stock		0.65		0.92		1.47		1.73
Diluted earnings per share– unvested share-based payment awards	\$	0.65	\$	0.92	\$	1.47	\$	1.73
Weighted average number of shares outstanding		7,641,083		7,695,798		7,640,119		7,694,326

See Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.  
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

## CAMDEN NATIONAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY  
(unaudited)

(In Thousands, Except Number of Shares and per Share Data)	Common Stock	Surplus	Retained Earnings	Net Unrealized Gains on Securities Available for Sale	Net Unrealized Gains on Derivative Instruments	Net Unrecognized Losses on Plans	Total Shareholders' Equity
Balance at December 31, 2007	\$ 2,522	\$ 2,629	\$ 114,289	\$ 1,516	\$ —	\$ (753)	\$ 120,203
Net income	—	—	13,301	—	—	—	13,301
Change in net unrealized gains on securities available for sale, net of taxes of \$922	—	—	—	(1,713)	—	—	(1,713)
Change in net unrecognized losses on post-retirement plans, net of taxes of (\$215)	—	—	—	—	—	399	399
Total comprehensive income	—	—	13,301	(1,713)	—	399	11,987
Shares issued during acquisition of Union Bankshares Company (1,222,497 shares)	—	43,523	—	—	—	—	43,523
Equity compensation expense	—	118	—	—	—	—	118
Exercise of stock options and issuance of restricted stock (total 9,733 shares)	292	(146)	—	—	—	—	146
Common stock repurchase (59,362 shares)	—	(73)	(1,844)	—	—	—	(1,917)
Cash dividends declared (\$0.25/share)	—	—	(1,915)	—	—	—	(1,915)
Balance at June 30, 2008	\$ 2,814	\$ 46,051	\$ 123,831	\$ (197)	\$ —	\$ (354)	\$ 172,145
	\$ 2,851	\$ 46,133	\$ 118,564	\$ (89)	\$ —	\$ (1,059)	\$ 166,400

Balance at December  
31, 2008

Net income	—	—	11,218	—	—	—	11,218
Change in unrealized losses on securities available for sale, net of taxes of (\$1,524)	—	—	—	2,831	—	—	2,831
Change in unrealized gains on derivative instruments at fair value, net of taxes of (\$205)	—	—	—	—	382	—	382
Change in net unrecognized losses on postretirement plans, net of taxes of (\$16)	—	—	—	—	—	31	31
Total comprehensive income	—	—	11,218	2,831	382	31	14,462
Equity compensation expense	—	239	—	—	—	—	239
Exercise of stock options and issuance of restricted stock (total 6,116 shares)	299	(289)	(55)	—	—	—	(45)
Cash dividends declared (\$0.50/share)	—	—	(3,827)	—	—	—	(3,827)
Balance at June 30, 2009	\$ 3,150	\$ 46,083	\$ 125,900	\$ 2,742	\$ 382	\$ (1,028)	\$ 177,229

See Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.  
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

## CAMDEN NATIONAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
(unaudited)

(In Thousands)	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008
<b>Operating Activities</b>		
Net income	\$ 11,218	\$ 13,301
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash (used) provided by operating activities:		
Provision for loan losses	4,514	950
Depreciation and amortization	1,370	962
Equity compensation expense	239	118
Decrease in interest receivable	415	212
Amortization of core deposit intangible	251	504
Net increase in trading assets	(191)	—
Net investment securities gains	—	(180)
Increase in OREO valuation allowance	666	—
Originations of mortgage loans held for sale	(61,364)	—
Proceeds from the sale of mortgage loans	44,000	—
Gain on sale of mortgage loans	(135)	—
Liquidation of defined benefit pension plan	(735)	—
(Increase) decrease in other assets	(3,200)	547
Increase (decrease) in other liabilities	1,087	(2,744)
Net cash (used) provided by operating activities	(1,865)	13,670
<b>Investing Activities</b>		
Acquisition of Union Bankshares Company	—	(29,299)
Proceeds from maturities of securities held to maturity	1,042	55
Proceeds from sales and maturities of securities available for sale	91,600	122,845
Purchase of securities held to maturity	—	(39)
Purchase of securities available for sale	(25,557)	(130,924)
Purchase of bank-owned life insurance	—	(3,000)
Premium received on deposit sale	—	1,400
Net decrease (increase) in loans	389	(15,336)
Proceeds from the sale of other real estate owned	328	420
Purchase of premises and equipment	(573)	(756)
Net cash provided (used) by investing activities	67,229	(54,634)
<b>Financing Activities</b>		
Net decrease in deposits	(12,736)	(24,734)
Proceeds from Federal Home Loan Bank long-term advances	7,948	188,727
Repayments on Federal Home Loan Bank long-term advances	(49,123)	(179,782)
Net change in short-term Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings	(32,380)	35,410
Net increase in other borrowed funds	27,756	44,184
Increase (decrease) in note payable	505	(10,006)
Common stock repurchase	—	(1,917)
Exercise of stock options	(45)	146
Cash dividends paid on common stock	(3,827)	(3,481)
Net cash (used) provided by financing activities	(61,902)	48,547
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	3,462	7,583

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year		35,195		28,790
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$	38,657	\$	36,373
Supplemental information				
Interest paid	\$	22,061	\$	29,411
Income taxes paid		2,900		4,820
Common stock issued in acquisition		—		43,523
Transfer from loans to loans held for sale		17,364		—
Transfer from loans to other real estate owned		2,826		162

See Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.  
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

CAMDEN NATIONAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Amounts in Tables Expressed in Thousands, Except Number of Shares and per Share Data)

NOTE 1 - BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements were prepared in accordance with instructions for Form 10-Q and, therefore, do not include all disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for complete presentation of financial statements. In the opinion of management, the consolidated financial statements contain all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring accruals) necessary to present fairly the consolidated statements of condition of Camden National Corporation (the "Company") as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the consolidated statements of income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, the consolidated statements of changes in shareholders' equity for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, and the consolidated statements of cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008. All significant intercompany transactions and balances are eliminated in consolidation. Certain items from the prior year were reclassified to conform to the current year presentation. The income reported for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2009 is not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the full year. The information in this report should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes included in the December 31, 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

NOTE 2 – EARNINGS PER SHARE

Basic earnings per share ("EPS") is calculated by dividing net income available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Unvested restricted shares and stock options outstanding are not included in common shares outstanding. Diluted EPS reflects the potential that could occur if contracts to issue common stock (such as stock options) were exercised or converted into common shares that would then share in the earnings of the Company. Diluted EPS is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period, plus an incremental number of common-equivalent shares computed using the treasury stock method. In June 2008, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued FASB Staff Position ("FSP") EITF 03-6-1, Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities, which became effective in 2009 via retrospective application. Under the FSP, unvested share-based payment awards that contain non-forfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents are participating securities and, therefore, are included in computing earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method. The two-class method determines earnings per share for each class of common stock and participating securities according to dividends or dividend equivalents and their respective participation rights in undistributed earnings. The Company's restricted share grants and management stock purchase grants receive non-forfeitable dividends at the same rate as common stock. The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share under the two-class method:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Net income, as reported	\$ 5,004	\$ 7,107	\$ 11,218	\$ 13,301
Weighted-average common shares outstanding – basic	7,641,083	7,695,798	7,640,119	7,694,326
Dilutive effect of stock-based compensation	6,940	4,469	4,305	4,646
Weighted-average common and potential common shares – diluted	7,648,023	7,700,267	7,644,424	7,698,972
Basic earnings per share – common stock	\$ 0.66	\$ 0.92	\$ 1.47	\$ 1.73
Basic earnings per share – unvested share-based payment awards	0.66	0.92	1.47	1.73
Diluted earnings per share – common stock	0.65	0.92	1.47	1.73
Diluted earnings per share – unvested share-based payment awards	0.65	0.92	1.47	1.73

At June 30, 2009 and 2008, options to purchase 67,550 and 98,450 shares, respectively, of common stock were not considered in the computation of potential common shares for purposes of diluted EPS, since the exercise prices of the options were greater than the average market price of the common stock for the respective periods.

#### NOTE 3 – SECURITIES

The following tables summarize the amortized costs and fair values of securities available for sale and held to maturity, as of the dates indicated:

	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Gains	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
<b>June 30, 2009</b>				
Available for sale				
Obligations of U.S. government sponsored enterprises	\$ 4,515	\$ 40	\$ —	\$ 4,555
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	22,637	204	(129)	22,712
Mortgage-backed securities issued or guaranteed by U.S. government sponsored enterprises	468,757	15,688	(203)	484,242
Private issue collateralized mortgage obligations	49,208	2	(10,442)	38,768
<b>Total debt securities</b>	<b>545,117</b>	<b>15,934</b>	<b>(10,774)</b>	<b>550,277</b>
Equity securities	5,000	—	(942)	4,058
<b>Total securities available for sale</b>	<b>\$ 550,117</b>	<b>\$ 15,934</b>	<b>\$ (11,716)</b>	<b>\$ 554,335</b>
Held to maturity				
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	\$ 40,951	\$ 400	\$ (214)	\$ 41,137
<b>Total securities held to maturity</b>	<b>\$ 40,951</b>	<b>\$ 400</b>	<b>\$ (214)</b>	<b>\$ 41,137</b>

December 31, 2008

<b>Available for sale</b>				
Obligations of U.S. government sponsored enterprises	\$ 4,539	\$ 64	\$ —	\$ 4,603
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	25,457	105	(215)	25,347
Mortgage-backed securities issued or guaranteed by U.S. government sponsored enterprises	514,049	11,339	(52)	525,336
Private issue collateralized mortgage obligations	57,123	1	(10,347)	46,777
Total debt securities	601,168	11,509	(10,614)	602,063
Equity securities	5,000	—	(1,032)	3,968
Total securities available for sale	\$ 606,168	\$ 11,509	\$ (11,646)	\$ 606,031
<b>Held to maturity</b>				
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	\$ 42,040	\$ 213	\$ (299)	\$ 41,954
Total securities held to maturity	\$ 42,040	\$ 213	\$ (299)	\$ 41,954

For the first six months of 2009, there were no sales in the available for sale portfolio. Unrealized gains on securities available for sale arising during the first half of 2009 and included in other comprehensive income amounted to \$4.4 million, net of deferred taxes of \$1.5 million.



At June 30, 2009, securities with an amortized cost of \$451.3 million and a fair value of \$462.0 million were pledged to secure Federal Home Loan Bank (“FHLB”) advances, public deposits, securities sold under agreements to repurchase and other purposes required or permitted by law.

The amortized cost and fair values of debt securities by contractual maturity at June 30, 2009 are shown below. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
<b>Available for sale</b>		
Due in one year or less	\$ 7,808	\$ 7,898
Due after one year through five years	41,083	42,227
Due after five years through ten years	53,186	54,317
Due after ten years	443,040	445,835
	\$ 545,117	\$ 550,277
<b>Held to maturity</b>		
Due after one year through five years	\$ 2,634	\$ 2,665
Due after five years through ten years	23,798	24,129
Due after ten years	14,519	14,343
	\$ 40,951	\$ 41,137

Management reviews the investment portfolio on a periodic basis to determine the cause, magnitude and duration of declines in the fair value of each security. Thorough evaluations of the causes of the unrealized losses are performed to determine whether the impairment is temporary or other than temporary in nature. Considerations such as the ability of the securities to meet cash flow requirements, levels of credit enhancements, risk of curtailment, recoverability of invested amount over a reasonable period of time and the length of time the security is in a loss position, for example, are applied in determining other than temporary impairment.

The following table shows the gross unrealized losses and fair values of investment securities at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, by length of time that individual securities in each category have been in a continuous loss position.

	Less Than 12 Months		12 Months or More		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
<b>June 30, 2009</b>						
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	\$ 22,856	\$ (308)	\$ 772	\$ (35)	\$ 23,628	\$ (343)
Mortgage-backed securities	18,511	(203)	40	(1)	18,551	(203)
Private issue collateralized mortgage obligations	4,646	(299)	31,383	(10,142)	36,029	(10,442)
Equity securities	4,058	(942)	—	—	4,058	(942)
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 50,071</b>	<b>\$ (1,752)</b>	<b>\$ 32,195</b>	<b>\$ (10,178)</b>	<b>\$ 82,266</b>	<b>\$ (11,930)</b>

December 31, 2008

Obligations of states and political subdivisions	\$ 32,393	\$ (477)	\$ 770	\$ (37)	\$ 33,163	\$ (514)
Mortgage-backed securities	18,440	(38)	4,407	(14)	22,847	(52)
Private issue collateralized mortgage obligations	37,106	(6,193)	9,652	(4,154)	46,758	(10,347)
Equity securities	3,968	(1,032)	—	—	3,968	(1,032)
Total	\$ 91,907	\$ (7,740)	\$ 14,829	\$ (4,205)	\$ 106,736	\$ (11,945)

At June 30, 2009, \$82.3 million of the Company's investment securities had unrealized losses that are considered temporary. The majority of the unrealized loss was related to the private issue collateralized mortgage obligations ("CMOs"), which are all rated as Triple-A except for two that have been downgraded to non-investment grade. The Company's share of both the downgraded CMOs is in the senior tranches. Management believes the unrealized loss for the CMOs is the result of current market illiquidity and the underestimation of value in the market. Including the CMOs, there were 20 securities with a fair value of \$32.2 million in the portfolio which had unrealized losses for twelve months or longer. Management currently has the intent and ability to retain these investment securities with unrealized losses until the decline in value has been recovered.

At June 30, 2009, the Company held Duff & Phelps Select Income Fund Auction Preferred Stock with an amortized cost of \$5.0 million which has failed at auction. The security is rated Triple-A by Moody's and Standard and Poor's. Management believes the failed auctions are a temporary liquidity event related to this asset class of securities. The Company is currently collecting all amounts due according to contractual terms and has the ability and intent to hold the securities until they clear auction, are called, or mature; therefore, the securities are not considered other than temporarily impaired.

#### NOTE 4 – LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

The composition of the Company's loan portfolio, including residential loans held for sale, at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 was as follows:

	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
Residential real estate loans	\$ 631,778	\$ 620,956
Commercial real estate loans	410,625	400,312
Commercial loans	208,115	213,683
Consumer loans	264,959	265,865
Deferred loan fees net of costs	(342)	92
Total loans	\$ 1,515,135	\$ 1,500,908

Non-accrual loans at June 30, 2009 were \$16.3 million, or 1.08% of total loans, compared to \$12.5 million, or 0.85% of total loans, at December 31, 2008. Non-accrual loans at June 30, 2009 were comprised of \$3.8 million in commercial loans, \$5.8 million in commercial real estate loans, \$5.5 million in residential real estate loans, and \$1.2 million in consumer loans. Non-accrual loans at December 31, 2008 consisted of \$5.0 million in commercial real estate loans, \$4.0 million in residential real estate loans, \$2.4 million in commercial loans, and \$1.1 million in consumer loans.

During the first half of 2009, the Company sold \$44.0 million of residential mortgage loans to the secondary market, which resulted in a gain on the sale of \$135,000 and an increase in mortgage servicing income of \$493,000 due to the recognition of the related mortgage servicing asset.

The allowance for loan losses ("ALL") is management's best estimate of inherent risk of loss in the loan portfolio as of the balance sheet date. Management makes various assumptions and judgments about the collectability of the loan portfolio and provides an allowance for potential losses based on a number of factors. If the assumptions are wrong, the ALL may not be sufficient to cover losses and may cause an increase in the allowance in the future. Among the factors that could affect the Company's ability to collect loans and require an increase to the allowance in the future are: general real estate and economic conditions; regional credit concentration; industry concentration, for example in the hospitality, tourism and recreation industries; and a requirement by the Federal and state regulators to increase the provision for loan losses or recognize additional charge-offs.



The following is a summary of activity in the allowance for loan losses:

	For Three Months Ended		For Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2009	June 30, 2008	June 30, 2009	June 30, 2008
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 17,691	\$ 16,979	\$ 17,691	\$ 18,022
Loan charge-offs	(2,171)	(480)	(3,998)	(2,071)
Recoveries on loans previously charged off	350	317	447	365
Net charge-offs	(1,821)	(163)	(3,551)	(1,706)
Provision for loan losses	2,784	450	4,514	950
Balance at end of period	\$ 18,654	\$ 17,266	\$ 18,654	\$ 17,266

#### NOTE 5 – GOODWILL, CORE DEPOSIT AND TRUST RELATIONSHIP INTANGIBLES

During the first quarter of 2008, the Company acquired \$37.8 million of goodwill, \$5.0 million of core deposit intangible and \$753,000 of trust relationship intangible related to the acquisition of Union Bankshares Company (“Union Bankshares”). During the quarter ended March 31, 2009, the Company completed its final adjustments to the goodwill related to the Union Bankshares acquisition. The changes in goodwill, core deposit intangible and trust relationship intangible for the six months ended June 30, 2009 are shown in the table below:

	Goodwill		
	Banking	Financial Services	Total
Balance at December 31, 2008	\$ 34,797	\$ 7,060	\$ 41,857
2009 activity	(77)	—	(77)
Balance at June 30, 2009	\$ 34,720	\$ 7,060	\$ 41,780

	Core Deposit Intangible		
	Total	Accumulated Amortization	Net
Balance at December 31, 2008	\$ 14,444	\$ (9,926)	\$ 4,518
2009 activity	—	(251)	(251)
Balance at June 30, 2009	\$ 14,444	\$ (10,177)	\$ 4,267

	Trust Relationship Intangible		
	Total	Accumulated Amortization	Net
Balance at December 31, 2008	\$ 753	\$ (75)	\$ 678
2009 activity	—	(38)	(38)
Balance at June 30, 2009	\$ 753	\$ (113)	\$ 640

During the fourth quarter of 2008, the Company completed its annual impairment evaluation of goodwill and did not identify any impairment.

The following table reflects the expected amortization schedule for intangible assets at June 30, 2009:

	Trust Relationship Intangible	Core Deposit Intangible
2009	\$ 37	\$ 251

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

2010		75		502
2011		75		502
2012		75		502
2013		75		502
Thereafter		303		2,008
Total unamortized intangible	\$	640	\$	4,267

Page 12

---

## NOTE 6 – OTHER REAL ESTATE OWNED

The transactions in other real estate owned (“OREO”) were as follows:

	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2009
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 4,024
Additions	2,826
Increase in OREO valuation allowance	(666)
Properties sold	(328)
Balance at end of period	\$ 5,856

The OREO balance at June 30, 2009 consisted of thirteen properties, including two residential properties, nine commercial/mixed use properties, and two parcels of raw land. The OREO valuation allowance relates to a parcel of raw land that was included in OREO at December 31, 2008, at which time the Company was relying on the appraised value and an offer to purchase the land contingent upon obtaining acceptable financing. The sale of the property did not occur and due to the continued deterioration in the real estate market, the value of the property was reassessed during the first quarter of 2009, which resulted in a \$666,000 increase in the valuation allowance.

## NOTE 7 – MORTGAGE SERVICING

Residential real estate mortgages are originated by the Company both for portfolio and for sale into the secondary market. The Company may sell its loans to institutional investors such as Freddie Mac. Under loan sale and servicing agreements with the investor, the Company generally continues to service the residential real estate mortgages. The Company pays the investor an agreed-upon rate on the loan, which is less than the interest rate the Company receives from the borrower. The Company retains the difference as a fee for servicing the residential real estate mortgages. The Company capitalizes mortgage servicing rights at their fair value upon sale of the related loans, amortizes the asset over the estimated life of the serviced loan, and periodically assesses the asset for impairment. The balance of capitalized mortgage servicing rights, net of a valuation allowance, included in other assets at June 30, 2009 and 2008 and December 31, 2008 was \$611,000, \$848,000, and \$139,000, respectively. At these dates, the fair market value of the mortgage servicing rights approximated \$747,000, \$1.1 million, and \$174,000, respectively. In evaluating the reasonableness of the carrying values of mortgage servicing rights, the Company obtains third party valuations based on loan level data including note rate, type and term of the underlying loans. The model utilizes a variety of assumptions, the most significant of which are loan prepayment assumptions and the discount rate used to discount future cash flows. Prepayment assumptions, which are impacted by loan rates and terms, are calculated using a three-month moving average of weekly prepayment data published by the Public Securities Association and modeled against the serviced loan portfolio by the third party valuation specialist. The discount rate is the quarterly average 10-year US Treasury rate plus 5.0%. Other assumptions include delinquency rates, foreclosure rates, servicing cost inflation, and annual unit loan cost. All assumptions are adjusted periodically to reflect current circumstances. Amortization of the mortgage servicing rights, as well as write-offs of capitalized rights due to prepayments of the related mortgage loans, are recorded as a charge against mortgage servicing fee income.

Mortgage loans serviced for others are not included in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Condition of the Company and amounted to \$202.5 million and \$199.7 million at June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

The following summarizes mortgage servicing rights capitalized and amortized, along with the activity in the related valuation allowance:

	Six months ended June 30,	
	2009	2008
<b>Mortgage Servicing Rights:</b>		
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 139	\$ 142
Acquired from Union Bankshares	—	1,199
Capitalized mortgage servicing rights	493	—
Amortization charged against mortgage banking income	(453)	(459)
Valuation adjustment	432	(34)
<b>Balance at end of period</b>	<b>\$ 611</b>	<b>\$ 848</b>
<b>Valuation Allowance:</b>		
Balance at beginning of year	\$ (469)	\$ (1)
Increase in impairment reserve	—	(34)
Reduction of impairment reserve	432	—
<b>Balance at end of period</b>	<b>\$ (37)</b>	<b>\$ (35)</b>

#### NOTE 8 – EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

##### Pension Plan

The Company, through its acquisition of Union Bankshares, had a qualified noncontributory defined benefit pension plan covering substantially all permanent full-time employees of the former Union Bankshares. Effective May 15, 2005, benefits accrued under this defined benefit plan were frozen based on participants' then current service and pay levels. During the fourth quarter of 2008 and the first quarter of 2009, the plan was liquidated. On January 12, 2009, the Company paid out the remaining \$735,000 liability related to this plan.

##### Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan

The Company maintains an unfunded, non-qualified supplemental executive retirement plan for certain officers. The components of net period benefit cost for the periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 were as follows:

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
<b>Net period benefit cost</b>				
Service cost	\$ 51	\$ 46	\$ 102	\$ 92
Interest cost	104	65	208	130
Recognized net actuarial loss	19	—	38	—
Recognized prior service cost	4	5	9	10
<b>Net period benefit cost</b>	<b>\$ 178</b>	<b>\$ 116</b>	<b>\$ 357</b>	<b>\$ 232</b>

##### Other Postretirement Benefit Plan

The Company provides medical and life insurance to certain eligible retired employees. The components of net period benefit cost for the periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 were as follows:



	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Net period benefit cost				
Service cost	\$ 16	\$ 16	\$ 32	\$ 32
Interest cost	34	34	68	68
Recognized net actuarial loss	—	—	1	—
Recognized prior service cost	—	—	—	—
Net period benefit cost	\$ 50	\$ 50	\$ 101	\$ 100

## NOTE 9 – SHAREHOLDERS’ EQUITY

## Dividends

The primary source of funds available to the Company for payment of dividends to its shareholders is dividends paid to the Company by its subsidiaries. The Company’s subsidiaries are subject to certain requirements imposed by federal banking laws and regulations. These requirements, among other things, establish minimum levels of capital and restrict the amount of dividends that may be distributed by the subsidiaries to the Company. Under regulations prescribed by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (the “OCC”), without prior OCC approval a bank subsidiary may not declare dividends in any year in excess of the bank’s (i) net income for the current year, (ii) plus its retained net income for the prior two years. Due to the large dividends paid in the fourth quarter of 2007 to fund the Union Bankshares acquisition, the Bank sought and obtained OCC approval to pay dividends in the first two quarters of 2009 in excess of its current and retained net income for the period from January 1, 2007 through December 31, 2008. The Company expects this OCC approval to remain in place until the Bank’s retained net income meets the required regulatory levels and approval is no longer necessary. The Company paid \$1.9 million and \$1.9 million in dividends to shareholders for the three month period ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. For the first half of 2009 and 2008 dividends paid to shareholders totaled \$3.8 million and \$3.5 million, respectively.

## Common Stock Repurchase

In June 2008, the Company’s Board of Directors approved the 2008 Common Stock Repurchase Program. Under the program, the Company is authorized to repurchase up to 750,000 shares of its outstanding common stock for a one-year period that expired on July 1, 2009. Under the 2008 Plan, the Company repurchased 50,000 shares of common stock at an average price of \$32.00 during the second half of 2008 and made no repurchases in the first half of 2009.

## NOTE 10 – SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION PLANS

The 2003 Stock Option and Incentive Plan (the “Plan”) provides for the grant of incentive stock options, non-qualified stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, deferred stock, unrestricted stock, performance share and dividend equivalent rights.

Compensation expense recognized in connection with the share-based arrangements is presented in the following table:

	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Stock options	\$ 72	\$ 34	\$ 104	\$ 42
Restricted stock awards	71	46	123	63
Management stock purchase plan	4	4	12	13

Total share-based compensation expense	\$	147	\$	84	\$	239	\$	118
--	----	-----	----	----	----	-----	----	-----

At June 30, 2009, there was \$544,000 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested share-based compensation arrangements (including stock option and nonvested share awards) granted under the Plan.

Option activity for the six months ended June 30, 2009 is as follows:

	Number of Shares	Weighted- Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (\$000)
Options outstanding at December 31, 2008	91,600	\$ 36.73		
Granted	51,000	24.51		
Forfeited	(8,500)	35.05		
Options outstanding at June 30, 2009	134,100	\$ 32.19	7.7	\$ 573
Options exercisable at June 30, 2009	50,700	\$ 36.04	6.0	\$ 62

During the first six months of 2009, the Company awarded 2,000 shares of restricted stock with vesting occurring over a three-year period. Under the Management Stock Purchase Plan, 3,339 shares were granted in lieu of management employees' annual incentive bonus during the first six months of 2009.

#### NOTE 11 – FAIR VALUE

Effective June 15, 2009, the Company adopted the provisions of FSP FAS 107-1, Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments, which requires disclosures about fair value of financial instruments for interim reporting periods of publicly traded companies as well as in annual financial statements. In accordance with FAS 107-1, the Company has included the fair value of financial instruments at June 30, 2009.

The Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (“SFAS”) No. 157, Fair Value Measurements, framework defines fair value as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Accordingly, SFAS No. 157 requires an “exit price” approach to value and establishes a fair value hierarchy which prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value, requiring entities to maximize the use of market or observable inputs (as more reliable measures) and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. Observable inputs reflect market data obtained from independent sources, while unobservable inputs generally require significant management judgment. The Company, in estimating its fair value disclosures, uses the following methods and assumptions:

**Cash and Due from Banks:** The carrying amounts of cash and due from banks approximate their fair value.

**Securities Available for Sale and Trading Account Assets:** The fair value of securities available for sale and trading account assets is reported at fair value utilizing prices provided by an independent pricing service based on recent trading activity and other observable information including, but not limited to, dealer quotes, market spreads, cash flows, market interest rate curves, market consensus prepayment speeds, credit information, and the bond's terms and conditions. The fair value of equity securities was calculated using a discounted cash flow analysis using observable information including, but not limited to, cash flows, risk-adjusted discount rates and market spreads.

**Securities Held to Maturity:** Fair values of securities held to maturity are based on quoted market prices, where available. If quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on quoted market prices of comparable instruments.

**Federal Home Loan and Federal Reserve Bank Stock:** The carrying amount approximates fair value.



**Loans:** For variable rate loans that reprice frequently and have no significant change in credit risk, fair values are based on carrying values. The fair value of other loans is estimated by discounting the future cash flows using the current rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the same remaining maturities. In accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 114, Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan, loan impairment is deemed to exist when full repayment of principal and interest according to the contractual terms of the loan is no longer probable. Under SFAS No. 114, impaired loans are reported based on one of three measures: the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate; the loan's observable market price; or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. If the fair value measure is less than an impaired loan's recorded investment, an impairment loss is recognized as part of the ALL. Accordingly, certain impaired loans may be subject to measurement at fair value on a non-recurring basis. Management has estimated the fair values of these assets using Level 2 inputs, such as the fair value of collateral based on independent third-party appraisals for collateral-dependent loans.

**Loans Held for Sale:** The fair value of loans held for sale is based on secondary mortgage market prices.

**Derivatives:** Derivatives are reported at fair value utilizing Level 2 inputs obtained from third parties to value interest rate caps and swaps.

**OREO:** OREO property is recorded individually at net realizable value on the date of acquisition. Upon acquisition of a property, a current appraisal or broker's opinion must substantiate market value for the property. At the acquisition date, if the net realizable value of the property is less than the book value of the loan, a charge to the ALL is recorded. If, after the initial acquisition, the value of the property becomes permanently impaired as determined by an appraisal or an evaluation in accordance with the Company's appraisal policy, the Company records the decline by charging the impairment against current earnings.

**Mortgage Servicing Rights:** Mortgage servicing rights are evaluated regularly for impairment based upon the fair value of the servicing rights as compared to their amortized cost. The fair value of mortgage servicing rights is based on a valuation model that calculates the present value of estimated net servicing income. The Company obtains a third party valuation based upon loan level data including note rate, type and term of the underlying loans. The model utilizes a variety of observable inputs for its assumptions, the most significant of which are loan prepayment assumptions and the discount rate used to discount future cash flows. Other assumptions include delinquency rates, servicing cost inflation, and annual unit loan cost.

**Interest Receivable and Payable:** The carrying amounts approximate their fair value.

**Deposits:** The fair value of deposits, with no stated maturity, is equal to the carrying amount. The fair value of certificates of deposit is estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies interest rates and remaining maturities for currently offered certificates of deposit.

**Borrowings:** The carrying amounts of short-term borrowings from the FHLB, securities sold under repurchase agreements, note payable and other short-term borrowings approximate fair value. The fair value of long-term borrowings and commercial repurchase agreements is based on the discounted cash flows using current rates for advances of similar remaining maturities.

**Junior Subordinated Debentures:** The fair value is estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies current rates for debentures of similar maturity.



The following table summarizes assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

June 30, 2009				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
<b>Assets:</b>				
Securities available for sale	\$ —	\$ 554,335	\$ —	\$ 554,335
Trading account assets	1,495	—	—	1,495
Derivative instruments	—	587	—	587
<b>December 31, 2008</b>				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
<b>Assets:</b>				
Securities available for sale	\$ —	\$ 606,031	\$ —	\$ 606,031
Trading account assets	1,304	—	—	1,304
Derivative instruments (1)	—	—	—	—
(1)	The fair value was less than \$1			

The following table summarizes assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis:

June 30, 2009				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
<b>Assets:</b>				
Impaired loans	\$ —	\$ 14,629	\$ —	\$ 14,629
Other real estate owned	—	—	5,856	5,856
Mortgage servicing rights	—	747	—	747
<b>December 31, 2008</b>				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
<b>Assets:</b>				
Impaired loans	\$ —	\$ 11,158	\$ —	\$ 11,158
Other real estate owned	—	—	4,024	4,024
Mortgage servicing rights	—	174	—	174

The following is a summary of carrying amount and estimated fair value of the Company's financial instruments:

	June 30, 2009		December 31, 2008	
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
<b>Financial assets:</b>				
Cash and due from banks	\$ 38,657	\$ 38,657	\$ 35,195	\$ 35,195
Securities available for sale	554,335	554,335	606,031	606,031
Securities held to maturity	40,951	41,137	42,040	41,954
Federal Home Loan and Federal Reserve Bank stock	21,965	21,965	21,969	21,969
Trading account assets	1,495	1,495	1,304	1,304
Loans held for sale	17,364	17,265	—	—
Loans receivable, net of allowance	1,479,117	1,469,180	1,483,217	1,472,454
Interest receivable	7,910	7,910	8,325	8,325
<b>Financial liabilities:</b>				

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Deposits	1,476,798	1,483,056	1,489,517	1,486,620
Advances from Federal Home Loan Bank	217,750	221,050	258,925	261,243
Commercial repurchase agreements	126,522	132,315	126,577	131,197
Other borrowed funds	228,954	228,954	232,893	232,893
Junior subordinated debentures	43,461	50,802	43,410	48,376
Interest payable	2,951	2,951	3,617	3,617

Page 18

---



## NOTE 12 – COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

### Legal Contingencies

Various legal claims arise from time to time in the normal course of business, which in the opinion of management, are not expected to have a material effect on the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

### Financial Instruments

In the normal course of business, the Company is a party to both on-balance sheet and off-balance sheet financial instruments involving, to varying degrees, elements of credit risk and interest rate risk in addition to the amounts recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Condition. For further information, refer to the Contractual Obligations and Commitments section within Item 2, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

### Lending-Related Instruments

The contractual amounts of the Company's lending-related financial instruments do not necessarily represent future cash requirements since certain of these instruments may expire without being funded and others may not be fully drawn upon. These instruments are subject to the Company's credit approval process, including an evaluation of the customer's creditworthiness and related collateral requirements. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses.

### Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company uses derivative financial instruments for risk management purposes and not for trading or speculative purposes. The Company controls the credit risk of these instruments through collateral, credit approvals and monitoring procedures.

The Company has an interest rate cap agreement with a cap rate of 5.50%, a notional amount of \$20.0 million, and an expiration date of March 15, 2010. The fair value of the cap agreement at June 30, 2009 was less than \$1,000 and was recorded in other assets. The Company considers this instrument to be an economic hedge; thus, changes in fair value are recorded in the Statement of Income.

The Company has a forward interest rate swap agreement on its junior subordinated debentures with a notional amount of \$10.0 million and a maturity date of June 30, 2021. As the interest rate on these debentures converts from a fixed interest rate to a variable rate on June 30, 2011, the Company swapped a portion of the variable cost for a fixed cost of 5.09% for ten years. The fair value of the swap agreement at June 30, 2009 was \$587,000 and, as this instrument qualifies as a highly effective cash flow hedge, the change in fair value was recorded in other comprehensive income, net of tax, and other liabilities.

As part of originating residential mortgage and commercial loans, the Company may enter into rate lock agreements with customers and may issue commitment letters to customers, which are considered interest rate lock or forward commitments under SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. At June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, based upon the pipeline of mortgage loans with rate lock commitments and commercial loans with commitment letters, and the fair value of those commitments, the Company determined the impact was not material.

## NOTE 13 – RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP FAS 157-4, Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability has Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions that are Not Orderly, which provides guidance in determining when and how to use modeled values, as opposed to broker price quotes. The FSP should

result in a greater use of models for estimating fair value, as well as more consistent approaches in modeling. Management adopted FSP FAS 157-4 in the second quarter of 2009 and there was no material impact on the financial statements of the Company.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP FAS 115-2, Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary-Impairments, which changes how entities will recognize other than temporary impairment (“OTTI”) of the value of debt securities. Under the FSP, for many securities with OTTI, only the amount of the estimated credit loss is recorded through earnings, while the remaining mark-to-market loss is recognized through other comprehensive income. The change is retroactive, meaning entities will reclassify amounts back into retained earnings related to non-credit-related market losses on certain investments held at the beginning of the period. Management adopted FSP FAS 157-4 in the second quarter of 2009 and there was no material impact on the financial statements of the Company.

In May 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 165, Subsequent Events, which establishes general standards of, accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued. This Statement was effective for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2009. The Company has complied with the requirements of SFAS No.165.

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 166, Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets — an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140, to improve the reporting for the transfer of financial assets resulting from 1) practices that have developed since the issuance of SFAS No. 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities, that are not consistent with the original intent and key requirements of that Statement and (2) concerns of financial statement users that many of the financial assets (and related obligations) that have been derecognized should continue to be reported in the financial statements of transferors. This Statement must be applied as of the beginning of each reporting entity's first annual reporting period that begins after November 15, 2009, for interim periods within that first annual reporting period and for interim and annual reporting periods thereafter. Earlier application is prohibited. The Company will review the requirements of SFAS No. 166 and comply with its requirements. The Company does not expect that the adoption of this Statement will have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 167, Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R), to amend certain requirements of FASB Interpretation No. 46 (revised December 2003), Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, to improve financial reporting by enterprises involved with variable interest entities and to provide more relevant and reliable information to users of financial statements. The Statement is effective as of the beginning of each reporting entity's first annual reporting period that begins after November 15, 2009, for interim periods within that first annual reporting period, and for interim and annual reporting periods thereafter. Earlier application is prohibited. The Company will review the requirements of SFAS No. 167 and comply with its requirements. The Company does not expect that the adoption of this Statement will have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 168, The FASB Accounting Standards Codification<sup>TM</sup> and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles—a replacement of FASB Statement No. 162. Under the Statement, the FASB Accounting Standards Codification (Codification) will become the source of authoritative U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities. Rules and interpretive releases of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) under authority of federal securities laws are also sources of authoritative GAAP for SEC registrants. On the effective date of this Statement, the Codification will supersede all then-existing non-SEC accounting and reporting standards. All other non-grandfathered non-SEC accounting literature not included in the Codification will become non-authoritative. This Statement is effective for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. In the FASB's view, the issuance of this Statement and the Codification will not change GAAP, except for those nonpublic nongovernmental entities that must now apply the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants Technical Inquiry Service Section 5100, "Revenue Recognition," paragraphs 38–76. The Company does not expect that the adoption of this Statement will have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

#### NOTE 14 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Subsequent events are events or transactions that occur after the statement of condition date but before financial statements are issued. Recognized subsequent events are events or transactions that provide additional evidence about conditions that existed at the date of the statement of condition, including the estimates inherent in the process of preparing financial statements. Nonrecognized subsequent events are events that provide evidence about conditions that did not exist at the date of the statement of condition but arose after that date. Management has reviewed events occurring through August 07, 2009, the date the financial statements were issued and no subsequent events occurred

requiring accrual or disclosure.

Page 20

---

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION  
AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

The discussions set forth below and in the documents we incorporate by reference herein contain certain statements that may be considered forward-looking statements under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. The Company may make written or oral forward-looking statements in other documents we file with the Securities Exchange Commission, in our annual reports to shareholders, in press releases and other written materials and in oral statements made by our officers, directors or employees. You can identify forward-looking statements by the use of the words "believe," "expect," "anticipate," "intend," "estimate," "assume," "will," "should" and other expressions which predict or indicate future events or trends and which do not relate to historical matters. You should not rely on forward-looking statements, because they involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors, some of which are beyond the control of the Company. These risks, uncertainties and other factors may cause the actual results, performance or achievements of the Company to be materially different from the anticipated future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements.

Some of the factors that might cause these differences include, but are not limited to, the following:

• general, national, regional or local economic conditions which are less favorable than anticipated, including fears of global recession and continued sub-prime and credit issues, impacting the performance of the Company's investment portfolio, quality of credits or the overall demand for services;

- changes in loan default and charge-off rates could affect the allowance for loan losses;
- declines in the equity and financial markets which could result in impairment of goodwill;

• reductions in deposit levels could necessitate increased and/or higher cost borrowing to fund loans and investments;

• declines in mortgage loan refinancing, equity loan and line of credit activity which could reduce net interest and non-interest income;

• changes in the domestic interest rate environment and inflation, as substantially all of the assets and virtually all of the liabilities are monetary in nature;

- changes in the carrying value of investment securities and other assets;

• further actions by the U.S. government and Treasury Department, similar to the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation conservatorship, which could have a negative impact on the Company's investment portfolio and earnings;

- misalignment of the Company's interest-bearing assets and liabilities;

• increases in loan repayment rates affecting interest income and the value of mortgage servicing rights; and

• changing business, banking, or regulatory conditions or policies, or new legislation affecting the financial services industry, that could lead to changes in the competitive balance among financial institutions, restrictions on bank activities, changes in costs (including deposit insurance premiums), increased regulatory scrutiny, declines in consumer confidence in depository institutions, or changes in the secondary market for bank loan and other products;

• changes in accounting rules, Federal and State laws, Internal Revenue Service regulations, and other regulations and policies governing financial holding companies and their subsidiaries which may impact our ability to take appropriate action to protect our financial interests in certain loan situations.

You should carefully review all of these factors, and be aware that there may be other factors that could cause differences, including the risk factors listed in Item 1A. Risk Factors within our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. Readers should carefully review the risk factors described therein and should not place undue reliance on our forward-looking statements.

These forward-looking statements were based on information, plans and estimates at the date of this report, and we do not promise to update any forward-looking statements to reflect changes in underlying assumptions or factors, new information, future events or other changes.

## CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

In preparing the Consolidated Financial Statements, management is required to make significant estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses. Actual results could differ from our current estimates, as a result of changing conditions and future events. Several estimates are particularly critical and are susceptible to significant near-term change, including the allowance for loan losses (“ALL”), accounting for acquisitions and review of goodwill and other identifiable intangible assets for impairment, valuation of other real estate owned, other than temporary impairment of investments, accounting for postretirement plans and income taxes. Our significant accounting policies and critical estimates are summarized in Note 1 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

**Allowance for Loan Losses.** In preparing the Consolidated Financial Statements, the ALL requires the most significant amount of management estimates and assumptions. The ALL, which is established through a charge to the provision for loan losses, is based on our evaluation of the level of the allowance required in relation to the estimated loss exposure in the loan portfolio. We regularly evaluate the ALL for adequacy by taking into consideration, among other factors, local industry trends, management’s ongoing review of individual loans, trends in levels of watched or criticized assets, an evaluation of results of examinations by regulatory authorities and other third parties, analyses of historical trends in charge-offs and delinquencies, the character and size of the loan portfolio, business and economic conditions and our estimation of probable losses.

In determining the appropriate level of ALL, we use a methodology to systematically measure the amount of estimated loan loss exposure inherent in the loan portfolio. The methodology includes four elements: (1) identification of loss allocations for certain specific loans, (2) loss allocation factors for certain loan types based on credit grade and loss experience, (3) general loss allocations for other environmental factors, and (4) unallocated allowance. The specific component relates to loans that are classified as doubtful, substandard or special mention. For such loans that are also classified as impaired, an allowance is established when the discounted cash flows (or collateral value or observable market price) of the impaired loan is lower than the carrying value of that loan. The methodology is in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“US GAAP”), specifically, Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (“SFAS”) No. 114, Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan — an amendment of FASB Statements No. 5 and 15. We use a risk rating system to determine the credit quality of our loans and apply the related loss allocation factors. In assessing the risk rating of a particular loan, we consider, among other factors, the obligor’s debt capacity, financial condition and flexibility, the level of the obligor’s earnings, the amount and sources of repayment, the performance with respect to loan terms, the adequacy of collateral, the level and nature of contingencies, management strength, and the industry in which the obligor operates. These factors are based on an evaluation of historical information, as well as subjective assessment and interpretation of current conditions. Emphasizing one factor over another, or considering additional factors that may be relevant in determining the risk rating of a particular loan but which are not currently an explicit part of our methodology, could impact the risk rating assigned to that loan. We periodically reassess and revise the loss allocation factors used in the assignment of loss exposure to appropriately reflect our analysis of loss experience. Portfolios of more homogenous populations of loans including residential mortgages and consumer loans are analyzed as groups taking into account delinquency rates and other economic conditions which may affect the ability of borrowers to meet debt service requirements, including interest rates and energy costs. We also consider the results of regulatory examinations, historical loss ranges, portfolio composition, and other changes in the portfolio. An additional allocation is determined based on a judgmental process whereby management considers qualitative and quantitative assessments of other environmental factors. For example, a significant portion of our loan portfolio is concentrated among borrowers in southern Maine and a substantial portion of the portfolio is collateralized by real estate in this area. Another portion of the commercial and commercial real estate loans are to borrowers in the hospitality, tourism and recreation industries. Finally, an unallocated portion of the total allowance is maintained to allow for shifts in portfolio composition.

Since the methodology is based upon historical experience and trends as well as management's judgment, factors may arise that result in different estimations. Significant factors that could give rise to changes in these estimates may include, but are not limited to, changes in economic conditions in our market area, concentration of risk, declines in local property values, and results of regulatory examinations. While management's evaluation of the ALL as of June 30, 2009 determined the allowance to be appropriate, under adversely different conditions or assumptions, we may need to increase the allowance. The Corporate Risk Management group reviews the ALL with the Camden National Bank Board of Directors on a monthly basis. A more in-depth review of the ALL, including the methodology for calculating and allocating the ALL, is reviewed with the Company's Board of Directors, as well as the Camden National Bank Board of Directors, on a quarterly basis.



Accounting for Acquisitions and Review of Goodwill and Identifiable Intangible Assets for Impairment. We are required to record assets acquired and liabilities assumed at their fair value, which is an estimate determined by the use of internal or other valuation techniques. These valuation estimates result in goodwill and other intangible assets and are subject to ongoing periodic impairment tests and are evaluated using various fair value techniques. Impairment evaluations are required to be performed annually and may be required more frequently if certain conditions indicating potential impairment exist. If we were to determine that our goodwill was impaired, the recognition of an impairment charge could have an adverse impact on our results of operations in the period that the impairment occurred or on our financial position. Goodwill is evaluated for impairment using several standard valuation techniques including discounted cash flow analyses, as well as an estimation of the impact of business conditions. The use of different estimates or assumptions could produce different estimates of carrying value.

Valuation of Other Real Estate Owned (“OREO”). Periodically, we acquire property in connection with foreclosures or in satisfaction of debt previously contracted. The valuation of this property is accounted for individually its net realizable value on the date of acquisition. At the acquisition date, if the net realizable value of the property is less than the book value of the loan, a charge or reduction in the ALL is recorded. If the value of the property becomes permanently impaired, as determined by an appraisal or an evaluation in accordance with our appraisal policy, we will record the decline by charging against current earnings. Upon acquisition of a property, a current appraisal or broker’s opinion must substantiate market value for the property.

Other Than Temporary Impairment of Investments. We record an investment impairment charge at the point we believe an investment has experienced a decline in value that is other than temporary. In determining whether an other than temporary impairment has occurred, we review information about the underlying investment that is publicly available, analysts’ reports, applicable industry data and other pertinent information, and assess our ability to hold the security for the foreseeable future. The investment is written down to its current market value at the time the impairment is deemed to have occurred. Future adverse changes in market conditions, continued poor operating results of underlying investments or other factors could result in further losses that may not be reflected in an investment’s current carrying value, possibly requiring an additional impairment charge in the future.

Accounting for Postretirement Plans. We use a December 31 measurement date to determine the expenses for our postretirement plans and related financial disclosure information. Postretirement plan expense is sensitive to changes in eligible employees (and their related demographics) and to changes in the discount rate and other expected rates, such as medical cost trend rates. As with the computations of plan expense, cash contribution requirements are also sensitive to such changes.

Income Taxes. We account for income taxes by deferring income taxes based on estimated future tax effects of differences between the tax and book basis of assets and liabilities considering the provisions of enacted tax laws. These differences result in deferred tax assets and liabilities, which are included in the Consolidated Statement of Condition. We must also assess the likelihood that any deferred tax assets will be recovered from future taxable income and establish a valuation allowance for those assets determined not likely to be recoverable. Judgment is required in determining the amount and timing of recognition of the resulting deferred tax assets and liabilities, including projections of future taxable income. Although we have determined a valuation allowance is not required for all deferred tax assets, there is no guarantee that these assets will be recognizable. Although not currently under review, income tax returns for the years ended December 31, 2005 through 2007 are open to audit by federal and Maine authorities. If we, as a result of an audit, were assessed interest and penalties, the amounts would be recorded through other non-interest expense.

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

### Executive Overview

For the six months ended June 30, 2009:

Net income of \$11.2 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2009 decreased \$2.1 million, compared to the six-month period ended June 30, 2008. Net income per diluted share decreased to \$1.47, compared to \$1.73 per diluted share earned during the first six months of 2008. The following were major factors contributing to the results of the first six months of 2009 compared to the same period of 2008:

- ◆ Net interest income on a fully-taxable equivalent basis for the first half of 2009 increased 5.1% to \$37.7 million due to lower funding costs and an improvement in the net interest margin.
- ◆ The provision for loan losses of \$4.5 million increased \$3.6 million in the first six months of 2009 compared to the same period of 2008 as a result of an increase in net charge-offs and non-performing assets.
- ◆ For the six months ended June 30, 2009, net charge-offs totaled \$3.6 million, or an annualized rate of 0.48% of average loans, compared to \$1.7 million, or 0.22%, for the same period of 2008. Non-performing assets as a percentage of total assets amounted to 0.97% and 0.61% at June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.
- ◆ Non-interest income for the first half of 2009 was \$9.6 million, a 5.4% increase over the first half of 2008. The increase was driven by an increase in mortgage banking income, including mortgage-servicing income and gains on the sale of loans, in part offset by a decline in income from fiduciary services at Acadia Trust, N.A. (“Acadia Trust”).
- ◆ We recorded net gains on our investment securities portfolio totaling \$180,000 in the first half of 2008 primarily due to a restructuring of the portfolio acquired from Union Bankshares Company (“Union Bankshares”).
- ◆ Non-interest expense for the first half of 2009 was \$25.7 million, an increase of \$1.5 million, or 6.3%, over the first half of the prior year, which was primarily due to an increase in FDIC insurance assessment rates as well as a special assessment of \$1.1 million levied in the second quarter of 2009. There were also increases in foreclosed properties and collection costs, in part offset by a 7.1% decline in salary and benefit costs and a decrease in the amortization of the core deposit intangible.

For the three months ended June 30, 2009:

Net income for the three-month period ended June 30, 2009 decreased \$2.1 million, compared to the three-month period ended June 30, 2008. Net income per diluted share for the second quarter 2009 decreased to \$0.65, compared to \$0.92 per diluted share earned in 2008. The following were major factors contributing to the results of the second quarter of 2009 compared to the same period of 2008:

- ◆ Net interest income on a fully-taxable equivalent basis for the second quarter of 2009 increased 2.7% to \$18.8 million due to lower funding costs and an improvement in the net interest margin.
- ◆ The provision for loan losses of \$2.8 million increased \$2.3 million in the second quarter of 2009 compared to the same period of 2008 as a result of an increase in net charge-offs and non-performing assets.
- ◆ For the three months ended June 30, 2009, net charge-offs totaled \$1.8 million, or an annualized rate of 0.49% of average loans, compared to \$163,000, or 0.04%, for the same period of 2008.
- ◆ Non-interest income for the second quarter of 2009 was \$5.0 million, a 7.2% increase over the second quarter of 2008. The increase was driven by an increase in mortgage banking income, including mortgage-servicing income and gains on the sale of loans, in part offset by a decline in income from fiduciary services at Acadia Trust.
- ◆ Non-interest expense for the second quarter of 2009 was \$13.4 million, an increase of \$1.5 million, or 12.6%, over the second quarter of the prior year, which was primarily due to an increase in FDIC assessments.



Financial condition at June 30, 2009 compared to December 31, 2008:

• Total loans at June 30, 2009 were \$1.5 billion (including loans held for sale), an increase of \$14.2 million (including loans held for sale) compared to December 31, 2008. The increase in loan balances was primarily in the commercial and residential real estate portfolios.

• Investment securities declined \$52.8 million at June 30, 2009 compared to December 31, 2008 due to security prepayments.

• Total liabilities at June 30, 2009 of \$2.1 billion decreased \$46.0 million, or 2.1%, as borrowings decreased \$45.2 million, primarily in Federal Home Loan Bank of Boston (“FHLBB”) borrowings, due to the decline in earning asset balances.

• Shareholders’ equity increased 6.5% due to current year earnings and other comprehensive income, in part offset by dividends declared.

### Net Interest Income

Net interest income is our largest source of revenue and accounts for approximately 80% of total revenues. Net interest income reflects revenues generated through income from earning assets plus loan fees, less interest paid on interest-bearing deposits and borrowings. Net interest income is affected by changes in interest rates, by loan and deposit pricing strategies and competitive conditions, the volume and mix of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, and the level of non-performing assets.

Net interest income was \$37.7 million on a fully-taxable equivalent basis for the six months ended June 30, 2009, compared to \$35.9 million for the first six months of 2008, an increase of \$1.8 million or 5.1%. The increase in net interest income is largely due to an improvement of 20 basis points in the net interest margin (“NIM”), to 3.57%, for the first six months of 2009. The increase in the net interest margin resulted from a decrease in the cost of funds, offset in part by a decrease in income on earning assets, both of which were caused by the decline in the rate environment. Average interest-earning assets decreased by \$1.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared the same period in 2008, primarily due to increases in investment securities and consumer loans, partly offset by declines in balances in all other loan types. The yield on earning assets for the first half of 2009 decreased 64 basis points, reflecting a decline in the interest rate environment impacting both the investment and loan yields. Average interest-bearing liabilities increased \$10.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to the same period in 2008, primarily due to an increase in retail certificate of deposit accounts, in part offset by declines in money market deposit accounts. Total cost of funds decreased 91 basis points due to the decline in short-term interest rates.

Net interest income, on a fully taxable equivalent basis, for the three months ended June 30, 2009 was \$18.8 million, a 2.7%, or \$501,000, increase compared to \$18.3 million in net interest income for the same period in 2008. The increase was primarily due to lower funding costs as the Company was able to improve pricing on deposits and borrowings and minimize the decline of interest rates on loans that resulted in an improved net interest margin.

The following table presents, for the periods noted, average balance sheets, interest income, interest expense, and the corresponding average yields earned and rates paid, as well as net interest income, net interest rate spread and net interest margin.

## Average Balance, Interest and Yield/Rate Analysis

(Dollars in Thousands)	June 30, 2009			June 30, 2008		
	Average Balance	Interest	Yield/Rate	Average Balance	Interest	Yield/Rate
<b>ASSETS</b>						
Interest-earning assets:						
Securities – taxable	\$ 572,278	\$ 14,082	4.92%	\$ 543,792	\$ 13,882	5.11%
Securities – nontaxable (1)	65,978	1,929	5.85%	70,871	2,039	5.78%
Trading account assets	1,338	10	1.49%	1,552	35	4.51%
Federal funds sold	—	—	0.00%	679	10	2.95%
Loans (1) (2) :						
Residential real estate	618,773	18,346	5.93%	629,180	19,035	6.05%
Commercial real estate	401,886	12,449	6.25%	419,126	14,951	7.17%
Commercial	185,582	5,160	5.61%	213,229	7,731	7.29%
Municipal	23,111	568	4.96%	19,642	530	5.43%
Consumer	264,087	6,557	5.01%	236,345	7,650	6.51%
Total loans	1,493,439	43,080	5.80%	1,517,522	49,897	6.61%
Total interest-earning assets	2,133,033	59,101	5.56%	2,134,416	65,863	6.20%
Cash and due from banks	26,989			36,079		
Other assets	153,569			139,643		
Less: allowance for loan losses	18,091			17,450		
Total assets	\$ 2,295,500			\$ 2,292,688		
<b>LIABILITIES &amp; SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>						
Interest-bearing liabilities:						
NOW accounts	\$ 193,199	454	0.47%	\$ 185,235	863	0.94%
Savings accounts	135,180	241	0.36%	132,862	420	0.64%
Money market accounts	296,110	1,723	1.17%	346,954	4,233	2.48%
Certificates of deposit	588,837	8,927	3.06%	500,163	9,478	3.81%
Total retail deposits	1,213,326	11,345	1.89%	1,165,214	14,994	2.59%
Broker deposits	77,275	984	2.57%	67,142	1,506	4.51%
Junior subordinated debentures	43,436	1,424	6.61%	43,331	1,443	6.70%
Borrowings	596,455	7,637	2.58%	644,728	12,035	3.75%
Total wholesale funding	717,166	10,046	2.82%	755,201	14,984	3.99%
Total interest-bearing liabilities	1,930,492	21,391	2.23%	1,920,415	29,978	3.14%
Demand deposits	172,766			176,916		
Other liabilities	21,347			25,699		
Shareholders' equity	170,895			169,658		
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 2,295,500			\$ 2,292,688		
Net interest income (fully-taxable equivalent)		37,710			35,885	
Less: fully-taxable equivalent adjustment		(865)			(871)	
		\$ 36,845			\$ 35,014	

Net interest rate spread (fully-taxable equivalent)	3.33%	3.06%
Net interest margin (fully-taxable equivalent)	3.57%	3.37%

- (1) Reported on tax-equivalent basis calculated using a rate of 35%.
- (2) Loans held for sale and non-accrual loans are included in total average loans.

#### Provision and Allowance for Loan Losses

The ALL is our best estimate of inherent risk of loss in the loan portfolio as of the balance sheet date. The ALL was \$18.7 million, or 1.23% of total loans, at June 30, 2009, compared to \$17.7 million, or 1.18% of total loans, at December 31, 2008. For the six months ended June 30, 2009, our provision for loan losses charged to earnings amounted to \$4.5 million, compared to \$950,000 for the same period in 2008. The increase in the provision was based on management's assessment of various factors affecting the loan portfolio, including, among others, our ongoing evaluation of credit quality, with particular emphasis on the commercial and commercial real estate portfolio, and general economic conditions. For the first half of 2009, net charge-offs totaled \$3.6 million, or an annualized rate of 0.48% of average loans, compared to \$1.7 million, or 0.22%, for the same period of 2008. Year-to-date charge-off activity for 2009 is centered in commercial and commercial real estate loans. See additional ALL discussion under the caption "Asset Quality."

For the second quarter of 2009, our provision for loan losses charged to earnings amounted to \$2.8 million, compared to \$450,000 for the same period on 2008. The loan loss reserve analysis called for an increase in loan loss provision due to increased charge-offs resulting from a general weakening of the economy. Net charge-offs were \$1.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and \$163,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2008.

#### Non-Interest Income

Non-interest income increased to \$9.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009, compared to \$9.1 million for the same period of 2008, resulting from increases in mortgage banking income of \$1.1 million due to the loan sales in the first half of 2009 and \$162,000 in earnings on bank-owned life insurance due to policy purchases in 2008. Income from fiduciary services at Acadia Trust decreased \$517,000, or 15.3%, resulting from market value declines in assets under administration. During the first half of 2008, the Company recorded a \$180,000 gain on security sales resulting from the restructuring of certain investment securities acquired from Union Bankshares.

For the second quarter of 2009 non-interest income increased \$339,000 compared to the second quarter of 2008, primarily due to increases in mortgage banking income of \$501,000 and earnings on bank-owned life insurance of \$60,000 due to policy purchases in 2008. Income from fiduciary services at Acadia Trust decreased \$191,000, or 11.2%, for the three month period as a result of value declines in assets under administration.

#### Non-Interest Expenses

Non-interest expense increased \$1.5 million, or 6.3%, for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to the same period of 2008. The increase was primarily due to a \$2.4 million increase in the FDIC insurance assessment rates as well as a special assessment of \$1.1 million levied in the second quarter of 2009 and the full utilization, in 2008, of assessment credits. There was also a \$763,000 increase in foreclosed properties and collection costs related to the \$666,000 valuation allowance on other real estate owned. The increases were partially offset by a \$927,000, or 7.1%, decline in salary and benefit costs as the first half of 2008 included higher staffing levels to facilitate the Union Bankshares merger. Also, the amortization of the core deposit intangible decreased \$253,000 as the 1998 branch purchases were fully amortized in 2008.

For the second quarter of 2009 non-interest expense increased \$1.5 million compared to the second quarter of 2008, primarily due to the \$1.6 million increase in regulatory assessments related to a special FDIC assessment of \$1.1 million and an increase in the FDIC deposit insurance assessment rate.

### FINANCIAL CONDITION

#### Overview

Total assets at June 30, 2009 were \$2.3 billion, a decrease of \$35.1 million, or 1.5%, from December 31, 2008. The change in assets consisted primarily of a \$52.8 million decrease in investments, partially offset by a \$14.2 million increase in loans and a \$3.5 million increase in cash and due from banks. Total liabilities decreased \$46.0 million as borrowings decreased \$45.2 million and total deposits (including brokered deposits) decreased \$12.7 million. These decreases were partially offset by an increase in other liabilities of \$11.9 million, primarily due to a \$10.1 million pending security settlement. Total shareholders' equity increased \$10.8 million, which was a result of current year earnings and other comprehensive income, partially offset by dividends declared to shareholders.

During the first six months of 2009, average assets of \$2.3 billion increased \$2.8 million, compared to the same period in 2008. This increase was primarily the result of a \$23.6 million increase in the investment securities portfolio and \$10.0 million in bank-owned life insurance, partially offset by a decline in average loans of \$24.1 million and cash and due from banks of \$9.1 million. Average liabilities increased \$1.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009

compared to the same period of 2008, primarily due to a \$54.1 million increase in average deposits (including brokered deposits) and a decrease in average borrowings of \$48.2 million.



## Assets

Investments. At June 30, 2009, investment security balances of \$617.3 million decreased \$52.8 million from December 31, 2008 primarily due to normal pay-downs, partially offset by purchases of mortgage-backed securities issued or guaranteed by U.S. government sponsored enterprises. Our portfolio is primarily comprised of obligations of U.S. government sponsored enterprises and mortgage-backed securities issued or guaranteed by U.S. government sponsored enterprises. The approximately 20% remaining portfolio is invested as follows:

- \$63.7 million of obligations of states and political subdivisions rated investment grade and 97% of the portfolio is rated by at least one of the three rating agencies (Moody's, Standard & Poor's and Fitch);
- \$30.5 million and \$8.3 million of private issue collateralized mortgage obligations rated Triple-A and Double-B, respectively, by at least one of the three rating agencies;
- \$21.0 million of FHLBB stock which has suspended quarterly dividend payments. Given the extended time frame the FHLBB has to redeem the stock, and the Company's ability and intent to hold the stock until redeemed, management believes that the stock is not impaired; and
- \$4.1 million of Duff & Phelps Select Income Fund Auction Preferred Stock which has failed at auction. We believe the failed auctions are a temporary liquidity event related to this asset class of securities. The security is rated Triple-A by Moody's and Standard and Poor's. We are currently collecting all amounts due according to contractual terms and have the ability and intent to hold the securities until they clear auction, are called, or mature; therefore, the securities are not considered other than temporarily impaired.

Loans. At June 30, 2009, loans of \$1.5 billion (including loans held for sale) increased \$14.2 million from December 31, 2008 primarily due to an increase in residential real estate and commercial real estate loans of \$10.8 million and \$10.3 million, respectively. These increases were somewhat offset by declines in the commercial and consumer portfolios as a result of normal pay-downs and decreased demand. Residential real estate loan activity during the first half of 2009 has been strong due to low mortgage rates; as a result, \$44.0 million in residential real estate production was sold year-to-date.

## Asset Quality

Non-Performing Assets. Non-performing assets include non-accrual loans, accruing loans 90 days or more past due and property acquired through foreclosure or repossession. The following table sets forth the amount of our non-performing assets as of the dates indicated:

(Dollars in Thousands)	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
Non-accrual loans		
Residential real estate	\$ 5,454	\$ 4,048
Commercial real estate	5,831	4,957
Commercial	3,822	2,384
Consumer	1,181	1,112
Total non-accrual loans	16,288	12,501
Accruing loans past due 90 days	121	206
Total non-performing loans	16,409	12,707
Other real estate owned	5,856	4,024
Total non-performing assets	\$ 22,265	\$ 16,731
Non-performing loans to total loans	1.08%	0.85%
Allowance for loan losses to non-performing loans	113.68%	139.22%
Non-performing assets to total assets	0.97%	0.71%

Allowance for loan losses to non-performing assets	83.78%	105.73%
--	--------	---------

The OREO balance at June 30, 2009 consisted of thirteen properties, including two residential properties, nine commercial/mixed use properties, and two parcels of raw land. During the first half of 2009, the Company recorded an OREO valuation allowance related to a parcel of raw land that was included in OREO at December 31, 2008, at which time the Company was relying on the appraised value and an offer to purchase the land contingent upon obtaining acceptable financing. The sale of the property did not occur and due to the continued deterioration in the real estate market, the value of the property was reassessed during the first quarter of 2009, which resulted in a \$666,000 increase in the valuation allowance. The OREO balance is higher than we have historically experienced, and in light of the current economic environment and limited bid activity at the point of auction, we anticipate the level of OREO to continue to be at a higher than normal level.

**Potential Problem Loans.** Potential problem loans consist of classified accruing commercial and commercial real estate loans that were between 30 and 89 days past due. Such loans are characterized by weaknesses in the financial condition of borrowers or collateral deficiencies. Based on historical experience, the credit quality of some of these loans may improve due to changes in collateral values or the financial condition of the borrowers, while the credit quality of other loans may deteriorate, resulting in some amount of loss. These loans are not included in the analysis of non-accrual loans above. At June 30, 2009, potential problem loans amounted to approximately \$1.5 million, or 0.10% of total loans, compared to \$4.1 million, or 0.27% of total loans at December 31, 2008. The reduction was attributed in part to the migration of a portion of potential problem loans at December 31, 2008 to non-accrual status during the first half of 2009.

**Past Due Loans.** Past due loans consist of accruing loans that were between 30 and 89 days past due. The following table sets forth information concerning the past due loans at the date indicated.

(Dollars in Thousands)	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
<b>Loans 30-89 days past due:</b>		
Residential real estate loans	\$ 1,026	\$ 2,880
Commercial real estate	1,761	2,314
Commercial loans	1,612	3,601
Consumer loans	377	829
<b>Total loans 30-89 days past due</b>	<b>\$ 4,776</b>	<b>\$ 9,624</b>
<b>Loans 30-89 days past due to total loans</b>	<b>0.32%</b>	<b>0.64%</b>

**Allowance for Loan Losses.** We use a methodology to systematically measure the amount of estimated loan loss exposure inherent in the loan portfolio for purposes of establishing a sufficient ALL. Through the first six months of 2009, there were no significant changes to the allowance assessment methodology. The ALL is management's best estimate of the probable loan losses as of the balance sheet date. The allowance is increased by provisions charged to earnings and by recoveries of amounts previously charged off, and is reduced by charge-offs on loans.

The following table sets forth information concerning the activity in our ALL during the periods indicated.

(Dollars in Thousands)	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008
<b>Allowance at the beginning of period</b>	<b>\$ 17,691</b>	<b>\$ 18,022</b>
Provision for loan losses	4,514	950
<b>Charge-offs:</b>		
Residential real estate loans	259	40
Commercial real estate	1,514	734
Commercial loans	1,654	937
Consumer loans	571	360
<b>Total loan charge-offs</b>	<b>3,998</b>	<b>2,071</b>
<b>Recoveries:</b>		
Residential real estate loans	8	—
Commercial real estate loans	41	—
Commercial loans	241	220
Consumer loans	157	145
<b>Total loan recoveries</b>	<b>447</b>	<b>365</b>

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Net charge-offs	(3,551)	(1,706)
Allowance at the end of the period	\$ 18,654	\$ 17,266
Average loans outstanding	\$ 1,493,439	\$ 1,517,522
Net charge-offs (annualized) to average loans outstanding	0.48%	0.22%
Provision for loan losses (annualized) to average loans outstanding	0.59%	0.13%
Allowance for loan losses to total loans	1.23%	1.13%
Allowance for loan losses to net charge-offs (annualized)	262.71%	506.09%
Allowance for loan losses to non-performing loans	113.68%	125.62%
Allowance for loan losses to non-performing assets	83.78%	122.98%

During the first six months of 2009, the Company provided \$4.5 million of expense to the ALL compared to \$950,000 for the same period of 2008. The determination of an appropriate level of ALL, and subsequent provision for loan losses, which affects earnings, is based on our analysis of various economic factors and review of the loan portfolio, which may change due to numerous factors including loan growth, payoffs of lower quality loans, recoveries on previously charged-off loans, improvement in the financial condition of the borrowers, risk rating downgrades/upgrades and charge-offs. We utilize a comprehensive approach toward determining the ALL, which includes an expanded risk rating system to assist us to identify the risks being undertaken, as well as migration within the overall loan portfolio. The increase in the provision for loan losses was primarily a result of an increase in net charge-offs mainly associated with commercial real estate and commercial loans. Non-performing assets as a percentage of total assets amounted to 0.97% at June 30, 2009, compared to 0.61% and 0.71% at June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2008, respectively, primarily resulting from an increase in non-accrual loans. We believe the ALL of \$18.7 million, or 1.23% of total loans outstanding and 113.7% of total non-performing loans at June 30, 2009, was appropriate given the current economic conditions in our service area and the condition of the loan portfolio, although if conditions continue to deteriorate, more provision may be needed. The ALL was 1.13% of total loans outstanding and 125.6% of total non-performing loans at June 30, 2008, and 1.18% of total loans outstanding and 139.2% of total non-performing loans at December 31, 2008.

#### Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity

Total liabilities have decreased \$46.0 million, or 2.1%, since December 31, 2008, to \$2.1 billion at June 30, 2009. Total deposits (including brokered deposits) decreased \$12.7 million primarily due to a \$13.3 million decline in brokered deposits. To balance the decrease in assets, borrowings declined \$45.2 million which was comprised primarily of a decrease of \$41.2 million in advances from the FHLB.

Total shareholders' equity at June 30, 2009 increased \$10.8 million, or 6.5%, over the balance at December 31, 2008, as a result of current year net income of \$11.2 million, and a \$3.2 million increase in other comprehensive income primarily due to an increase in the unrealized gain position of the available for sale investment portfolio, partially offset by \$3.8 million in dividends paid and declared to shareholders.

#### LIQUIDITY

Liquidity needs require the availability of cash to meet the withdrawal demands of depositors and credit commitments to borrowers. Liquidity is defined as our ability to maintain availability of funds to meet customer needs, as well as to support our asset base. The primary objective of liquidity management is to maintain a balance between sources and uses of funds to meet our cash flow needs in the most economical and expedient manner. Due to the potential for unexpected fluctuations in both deposits and loans, active management of liquidity is necessary. We maintain various sources of funding and levels of liquid assets in excess of regulatory guidelines in order to satisfy their varied liquidity demands. We monitor liquidity in accordance with internal guidelines and all applicable regulatory requirements. As of June 30, 2009 and 2008, our level of liquidity exceeded target levels. We believe that we currently have appropriate liquidity available to respond to liquidity demands. Sources of funds that we utilized consist of deposits, borrowings from the FHLB and other sources, cash flows from operations, prepayments and maturities of outstanding loans, investments and mortgage-backed securities and the sales of mortgage loans.

Deposits continue to represent our primary source of funds. For the first six months of 2009, average deposits (including brokered deposits) of \$1.5 billion increased \$54.1 million compared to the same period of 2008. Comparing average deposits for the first half of 2009 to the same period of 2008, declines were experienced in average money market account balances of \$50.8 million and demand deposits of \$4.1 million, while average NOW, savings, and retail certificates of deposit increased \$8.0 million, \$2.3 million and \$88.7 million, respectively. Average brokered deposits increased \$10.1 million. Included in the money market deposit category are deposits from Acadia Trust,

representing client funds. The balance in the Acadia Trust client money market account, which was \$70.1 million on June 30, 2009, could increase or decrease depending upon changes in the portfolios of the clients of Acadia Trust. The shift from money market accounts to retail certificates of deposit was the result of changes in market rates, while the decline in checking accounts reflects an increase in seasonal outflows due to the current economic environment.

Borrowings are used to supplement deposits as a source of liquidity. In addition to borrowings from the FHLBB, we purchase federal funds, sell securities under agreements to repurchase and utilize treasury tax and loan accounts. Average borrowings and long-term debt for the first half of 2009 was \$639.9 million, a decrease of \$48.2 million from the first half of 2008. We secure borrowings from the FHLBB, whose advances remain the largest non-deposit-related funding source, with qualified residential real estate loans, certain investment securities and certain other assets available to be pledged. The carrying value of loans pledged as collateral at the FHLBB was \$689.8 million and \$709.1 million at June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The carrying value of securities pledged as collateral at the FHLBB was \$86.0 million and \$157.4 million at June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Through our bank subsidiary, we have an available line of credit with the FHLBB of \$9.9 million at June 30, 2009 and 2008. We had no outstanding balance on the line of credit with the FHLBB at June 30, 2009. The Company also has a \$10.0 million line of credit through a correspondent bank available to us through December 28, 2009. We had no outstanding balance on this line of credit at June 30, 2009.

We believe the investment portfolio and residential loan portfolio provide a significant amount of contingent liquidity that could be accessed in a reasonable time period through sales of those portfolios. We also believe that we have additional untapped access to the brokered deposit market, commercial reverse repurchase transaction market and the Federal Reserve Bank ("FRB") discount window. These sources are considered as liquidity alternatives in our contingent liquidity plan. We believe that the level of liquidity is sufficient to meet current and future funding requirements. However, changes in economic conditions, including consumer saving habits and availability or access to the national brokered deposit and commercial repurchase markets, could significantly impact our liquidity position.

#### CAPITAL RESOURCES

Under FRB guidelines, we are required to maintain capital based on risk-adjusted assets. These capital requirements represent quantitative measures of our assets, liabilities and certain off-balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. Our capital classification is also subject to qualitative judgments by our regulators about components, risk weightings and other factors. Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require us to maintain minimum amounts and ratios of total and Tier 1 capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk-weighted assets (as defined), and of Tier 1 capital to average assets (as defined). These guidelines apply to us on a consolidated basis. Under the current guidelines, banking organizations must maintain a risk-based capital ratio of 8.0%, of which at least 4.0% must be in the form of core capital (as defined). Our risk-based ratios, and those of our bank subsidiary, exceeded regulatory guidelines at June 30, 2009 and 2008. Our Tier 1 capital to risk weighted assets was 11.53% and 11.40% at June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The Company's total capital to risk weighted assets was 12.78% and 12.58% at June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. In addition to risk-based capital requirements, the FRB requires bank holding companies to maintain a minimum leverage capital ratio of core capital to total assets of 4.0%. Total assets for this purpose do not include goodwill and any other intangible assets and investments that the FRB determines should be deducted. Our leverage ratio was 7.64% and 7.30% at June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Although the junior subordinated debentures are recorded as a liability on our Statement of Condition, we are permitted, in accordance with regulatory guidelines, to include, subject to certain limits, the trust preferred securities in our calculation of risk-based capital. At June 30, 2009, \$43.0 million of the trust preferred securities was included in Tier 1 and total risk-based capital.

As part of our goal to operate a safe, sound and profitable financial organization, we are committed to maintaining a strong capital base. Shareholders' equity totaled \$177.2 million and \$172.1 million at June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, which amounted to 7.7% of total assets at June 30, 2009 and 7.4% of total assets at June 30, 2008.

Our principal cash requirement is the payment of dividends on our common stock, as and when declared by the Board of Directors. We paid dividends to shareholders in the aggregate amount of \$3.8 million and \$3.5 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Our Board of Directors approves cash dividends on a quarterly basis after careful analysis and consideration of various factors, including the following: a) capital position relative to total assets, b) risk-based assets, c) total classified assets, d) economic conditions, e) growth rates for total assets and total liabilities, f) earnings performance and projections and g) strategic initiatives and related capital requirements. All dividends declared and distributed by the Company will be in compliance with applicable state corporate law and regulatory requirements.



We are primarily dependent upon the payment of cash dividends by our subsidiaries to service our commitments. We, as the sole shareholder of our subsidiaries, are entitled to dividends, when and as declared by each subsidiary's Board of Directors from legally available funds. Camden National Bank ("CNB") declared dividends in the aggregate amount of \$6.0 million for the first half of 2009 and \$3.0 million for the first half of 2008. Under regulations prescribed by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency ("OCC"), without prior OCC approval our bank subsidiary may not declare dividends in any year in excess of the each bank's (i) net income for the current year, (ii) plus its retained net income for the prior two years. Due to the large dividends paid in the fourth quarter of 2007 to fund the Union Bankshares acquisition and a loss in the third quarter of 2008 related to the investment securities losses, CNB sought and obtained OCC approval to pay dividends in excess of its current and retained net income for the required period. We expect this OCC approval to remain in place until CNB's net income meets the required levels and approval is no longer necessary. However, if we are required to use dividends from CNB to service unforeseen commitments in the future we may be required to reduce the dividends paid to our shareholders going forward.

In June 2008, the Board of Directors voted to authorize us to purchase up to 750,000 shares of outstanding common stock for a period of one year, that expired on July 1, 2009. The authority may be exercised from time to time and in such amounts as market conditions warrant. Any purchases are intended to make appropriate adjustments to our capital structure, including meeting share requirements related to employee benefit plans and for general corporate purposes. As of June 30, 2009, we repurchased 50,000 shares of common stock at an average price of \$32.00 under the current plan, all of which were purchased during 2008.

#### CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS AND COMMITMENTS

In the normal course of business, we are a party to credit related financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk, which are not reflected in the Consolidated Statements of Condition. These financial instruments include lending commitments and letters of credit. Those instruments involve varying degrees of credit risk in excess of the amount recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Condition. We follow the same credit policies in making commitments to extend credit and conditional obligations as we do for on-balance sheet instruments, including requiring similar collateral or other security to support financial instruments with credit risk. Our exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the customer is represented by the contractual amount of those instruments. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total amount does not necessarily represent future cash requirements. At June 30, 2009, we had the following levels of commitments to extend credit.

(Dollars in Thousand)	Total Amount Committed		Commitment Expires in:			
		<1 Year	1 – 3 Years	4 – 5 Years	>5 Years	
Letters of Credit	\$ 1,460	\$ 1,460	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	—
Commercial Commitment Letters	27,993	27,993	—	—	—	—
Residential Loan Origination	30,928	30,928	—	—	—	—
Home Equity Line of Credit Commitments	155,030	150	70	50	154,760	
Other Commitments to Extend Credit	130,005	75,820	9,272	18,462	26,451	
Total	\$ 345,416	\$ 136,351	\$ 9,342	\$ 18,512	\$ 181,211	

We are a party to several off-balance sheet contractual obligations through lease agreements on a number of branch facilities. We have an obligation and commitment to make future payments under these contracts. At June 30, 2009, we had the following levels of contractual obligations.

Total Amount of Obligations	Payments Due per Period
-----------------------------	-------------------------

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

(Dollars in Thousands)		<1 Year	1 – 3 Years	4 – 5 Years	>5 Years
Operating Leases	\$ 5,533	\$ 793	\$ 1,474	\$ 852	\$ 2,414
Capital Leases	1,229	40	88	97	1,004
Borrowings from the FHLB	345,705	185,868	87,180	31,257	41,400
Commercial Repurchase Agreements	126,522	10,111	15,201	96,210	5,000
Other Borrowed Funds	100,329	100,329	—	—	—
Junior Subordinated Debentures	43,461	—	—	—	43,461
Note Payable	670	148	378	106	38
Other Contractual Obligations	1,185	828	357	—	—
Total	\$ 624,634	\$ 298,117	\$ 104,678	\$ 128,522	\$ 93,317

Page 32

---

Borrowings from the FHLB consist of short- and long-term fixed and variable rate borrowings and are collateralized by all stock in the FHLB and a blanket lien on qualified collateral consisting primarily of loans with first mortgages secured by one-to-four family properties, certain pledged investment securities and other qualified assets. Other borrowed funds include treasury, tax and loan deposits and securities sold under repurchase agreements. We have an obligation and commitment to repay all borrowings and debentures. These commitments, borrowings, junior subordinated debentures and the related payments are made during the normal course of business.

We may use derivative instruments as partial hedges against large fluctuations in interest rates. We may also use fixed-rate interest rate swap and floor instruments to partially hedge against potentially lower yields on the variable prime rate loan category in a declining rate environment. If rates were to decline, resulting in reduced income on the adjustable rate loans, there would be an increased income flow from the interest rate swap and floor instruments. We may also use variable-rate interest rate swap and cap instruments to partially hedge against increases in short-term borrowing rates. If rates were to rise, resulting in an increased interest cost, there would be an increased income flow from the interest rate swap and cap instruments. These financial instruments are factored into our overall interest rate risk position. We regularly review the credit quality of the counterparty from which the instruments have been purchased. At June 30, 2009, the Company had an interest rate cap agreement with a notional amount of \$20.0 million which expires on March 15, 2010, and a forward interest rate swap, with a notional amount of \$10.0 million, related to the junior subordinated debentures, which expires on June 30, 2021.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURE  
ABOUT MARKET RISK

MARKET RISK

Market risk is the risk of loss in a financial instrument arising from adverse changes in market rates/prices, such as interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates, commodity prices and equity prices. Our primary market risk exposure is interest rate risk. The ongoing monitoring and management of this risk is an important component of our asset/liability management process, which is governed by policies established by the CNB Board of Directors, and are reviewed and approved annually. The Board of Directors' Asset/Liability Committee ("Board ALCO") delegates responsibility for carrying out the asset/liability management policies to the Management Asset/Liability Committee ("Management ALCO"). In this capacity, Management ALCO develops guidelines and strategies impacting our asset/liability management-related activities based upon estimated market risk sensitivity, policy limits and overall market interest rate levels/trends. The Management ALCO and Board ALCO jointly meet on a quarterly basis to review strategies, policies, economic conditions and various activities as part of the management of these risks.

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk represents the sensitivity of earnings to changes in market interest rates. As interest rates change, the interest income and expense streams associated with our financial instruments also change, thereby impacting net interest income ("NII"), the primary component of our earnings. Board and Management ALCO utilize the results of a detailed and dynamic simulation model to quantify the estimated exposure of NII to sustained interest rate changes. While Board and Management ALCO routinely monitor simulated NII sensitivity over a rolling 2-year horizon, they also utilize additional tools to monitor potential longer-term interest rate risk.

The simulation model captures the impact of changing interest rates on the interest income received and interest expense paid on all interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities reflected on our Statement of Condition, as well as for derivative financial instruments, if any. None of the assets used in the simulation were held for trading purposes. This sensitivity analysis is compared to ALCO policy limits, which specify a maximum tolerance level for NII exposure over a 1-year horizon, assuming no balance sheet growth, given a 200 basis point ("bp") upward and 200 bp downward shift in interest rates. Although our policy specifies a downward shift of 200 bp, this could result in negative rates as many benchmark rates are currently below 2.00%. A parallel and pro rata shift in rates over a 12-month period is assumed. Using this approach, we are able to produce reports that illustrate the effect that both a gradual change of rates (year-1) and a "rate shock" (year-2 and beyond) has on margin expectations. In the down 100bp scenario, Fed Funds and Treasury yields are floored at .01% while Prime is floored at 3.00%. All other market rates are floored at 0.25%. During the second quarter of 2009, our NII sensitivity analysis reflected the following changes to NII assuming no balance sheet growth and a parallel shift in interest rates over a 1-year horizon.

Rate Change	Estimated Change in NII
+200 bp	(0.2)%
-100 bp	(0.9)%

The preceding sensitivity analysis does not represent a forecast and should not be relied upon as being indicative of expected operating results. These hypothetical estimates are based upon numerous assumptions including, among others, the nature and timing of interest rate levels, yield curve shape, prepayments on loans and securities, deposit decay rates, pricing decisions on loans and deposits and reinvestment/replacement of asset and liability cash flows. While assumptions are developed based upon current economic and local market conditions, we cannot make any assurances as to the predictive nature of these assumptions, including how customer preferences or competitor influences might change.



The most significant factors affecting the changes in market risk exposure during the first half of 2009 were the decrease in the investment balances, lower cost of retail deposits, and an increase in lower cost overnight borrowings that resulted in an overall reduction in the cost of funds that outpaced the drop in the yield on average assets. If rates remain at or near current levels and the balance sheet mix remains similar, net interest income is projected to trend slightly downward during the simulation period as funding cost reductions occur at a slower pace than the asset yields. In a falling interest rate environment, net interest income is also expected to trend downward during the first year of the simulation as the floating rate asset base drives asset yields lower more quickly than funding cost can be adjusted downward. Beyond the first year, opportunities to reduce funding costs become even more difficult while accelerated prepayments continue to drive asset yields lower. In the initial stages of a rising rate environment, net interest income levels are projected to trend downward as short-term funding costs quickly cycle upward, outpacing asset yields improvements. Once funding costs stabilize and the asset base continues to be replaced at higher levels, net interest income turns upward for the remaining simulation period. If the yield curve were to flatten as rate rise, pressure on net interest income would be greater. The risk in the various rate scenarios is well within our policy limits.

Periodically, if deemed appropriate, we use interest rate swaps, floors and caps, which are common derivative financial instruments, to hedge interest rate risk position. The Board of Directors has approved hedging policy statements governing the use of these instruments. As of June 30, 2009, we had a notional principal amount of \$20.0 million in an interest rate cap agreement and a notional principal amount of \$10.0 million in an interest rate swap agreement related to the junior subordinated debentures. Board and Management ALCO monitor derivative activities relative to its expectation and our hedging policies.

#### ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

As required by Rule 13a-15 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”), the Company’s management conducted an evaluation with the participation of the Company’s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial & Accounting Officer), regarding the effectiveness of the Company’s disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the last fiscal quarter covered by this report. In designing and evaluating the Company’s disclosure controls and procedures, the Company and its management recognize that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only a reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating and implementing possible controls and procedures. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial & Accounting Officer) concluded that they believe the Company’s disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission’s rules and forms. The Company intends to continue to review and document the disclosure controls and procedures, including the internal controls and procedures for financial reporting, and may from time to time make changes to the disclosure controls and procedures to enhance their effectiveness and to ensure that the systems evolve with the Company’s business.

There was no change in the internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company’s internal control over financial reporting.

## PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

## ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

No material litigation.

## ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

There has been no material changes in the Risk Factors described in Item 1A. of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

## ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

(a) None

(b) None

(c) In June 2008, the Board of Directors of the Company voted to authorize the Company to purchase up to 750,000 shares of its authorized and issued common stock. The authority, which expired on July 1, 2009, was not renewed for the coming year. Any repurchases are intended to make appropriate adjustments to the Company's capital structure, including meeting share requirements related to employee benefit plans and for general corporate purposes. During the second quarter of 2009, we made no purchases under this plan:

Period	(a) Total Number of Shares Purchased	(b) Average Price Paid per Share	(c) Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	(d) Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
4/1/09 – 6/30/09	-	\$ -	-	700,000

## ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None

## ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

(a) The annual meeting of shareholders was held on April 28, 2009.

(b) Ann W. Bresnahan, Gregory A. Dufour and Rendle A. Jones were elected as directors at the annual meeting. Robert J. Campbell, David C. Flanagan, Ward I. Graffam, John W. Holmes, James H. Page, Ph.D., Robin A. Sawyer, CPA, and Karen W. Stanley continued in office as directors after the meeting.

(c) Matters voted upon at the meeting. 1) To elect as director nominees – Ann W. Bresnahan (Total votes cast: 6,004,936, with 5,934,294 for and 70,642 withholding authority), Gregory A. Dufour (Total votes cast: 6,004,936, with 5,901,227 for and 103,709 withholding authority) and Rendle A. Jones (Total votes cast: 6,004,936, with 5,934,117 for and 70,819 withholding authority) to serve a three-year term to expire at the annual meeting in 2012. 2) To ratify the selection of Berry, Dunn, McNeil & Parker as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for 2009. (Total votes cast: 6,004,935, with 5,963,830 for, 22,659 against, and 18,446 abstaining).



ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None

Page 37

---

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

(a) Exhibits

(3.i.1) The Articles of Incorporation of Camden National Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 10, 2001)

(3.i.2) Articles of Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation of Camden National Corporation, as amended to date (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to the Company's Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 9, 2003)

(3.i.3) Articles of Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation of Camden National Corporation, as amended to date (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.i.3 to the Company's Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 4, 2007)

(3.ii) The Bylaws of Camden National Corporation, as amended to date (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the Company's Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 10, 2008)

(10.1) Change in Control Agreement for the Company's CEO (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 14, 2009)

(10.2) Change in Control Agreement for the Company's Named Executive Officers (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 14, 2009)

(10.3) Management Long-Term Performance Share Plan (or Long-Term Incentive Plan, "LTIP"), as amended June 30, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to the Company's Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 15, 2005)

(11.1) Statement re computation of per share earnings (Data required by SFAS No. 128, Earnings Per Share, is provided in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements in this report)

(23.1) Consent of Berry, Dunn, McNeil & Parker relating to the financial statements of Camden National Corporation\*

(31.1) Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934\*

(31.2) Certification of Chief Financial Officer, Principal Financial & Accounting Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934\*

(32.1) Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002\*

(32.2) Certification of Chief Financial Officer, Principal Financial & Accounting Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002\*

---

\* Filed herewith



SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

CAMDEN NATIONAL CORPORATION  
(Registrant)

/s/ Gregory A. Dufour  
Gregory A. Dufour  
President and Chief Executive Officer

August 07, 2009  
Date

/s/ Deborah A. Jordan  
Deborah A. Jordan  
Chief Financial Officer and Principal  
Financial & Accounting Officer

August 07, 2009  
Date

Exhibit Index

- (3.i.1) The Articles of Incorporation of Camden National Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 10, 2001)
- (3.i.2) Articles of Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation of Camden National Corporation, as amended to date (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to the Company's Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 9, 2003)
- (3.i.3) Articles of Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation of Camden National Corporation, as amended to date (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.i.3 to the Company's Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 4, 2007)
- (3.ii) The Bylaws of Camden National Corporation, as amended to date (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.ii to the Company's Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 4, 2007)
- (10.1) Change in Control Agreement for the Company's CEO (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 14, 2009)
- (10.2) Change in Control Agreement for the Company's Named Executive Officers (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 14, 2009)
- (10.3) Management Long-Term Performance Share Plan (or Long-Term Incentive Plan, "LTIP"), as amended June 30, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to the Company's Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 15, 2005)
- (11.1) Statement re computation of per share earnings (Data required by SFAS No. 128, Earnings Per Share, is provided in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements in this report)
- (23.1) Consent of Berry, Dunn, McNeil & Parker relating to the financial statements of Camden National Corporation
- (31.1) Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
- (31.2) Certification of Chief Financial Officer, Principal Financial & Accounting Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
- (32.1) Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- (32.2) Certification Chief Financial Officer, Principal Financial & Accounting Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002