

Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

CYBERLUX CORP  
Form 10KSB/A  
May 03, 2004

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-KSB/A

ANNUAL REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d)  
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003

TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d)  
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_  
Commission file number 000-33415

CYBERLUX CORPORATION

-----  
(Name of small business issuer in its charter)

Nevada

91-2048978

-----  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

-----  
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

50 Orange Road, PO Box 2010  
Pinehurst, North Carolina

28374

-----  
(Address of principal executive offices)

-----  
(Zip Code)

Issuer's telephone number (910) 235-0066

Securities registered under Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act: None

Title of each class

Name of each exchange on which registered

None

-----  
Common Stock, \$0.001 par value

Securities registered under Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act:

-----  
(Title of class)

-----  
(Title of class)

## Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

Check whether the issuer (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes    No

Check if there is no disclosure of delinquent filers in response to Item 405 of Regulation S-B is not contained in this form, and no disclosure will be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-KSB or any amendment to this Form 10-KSB.

State issuer's revenues for its most recent fiscal year. \$74,238

State the aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price at which the common equity was sold, or the average bid and asked price of such common equity, as of a specified date within the past 60 days. (See definition of affiliate in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.) The aggregate market value of Cyberlux Corporation voting stock held by non-affiliates was approximately \$2,397,070 on April 14, 2004.

State the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common equity, as of the latest practicable date. As of December 31, 2003, there were 8,049,141 shares of \$0.001 par value stock outstanding.

Documents Incorporated by Reference: None

Transitional Small business Disclosure Format. Yes  No

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

		PAGE	
PART I			
	Item 1	Description of Business	3
	Item 2	Description of Property	6
	Item 3	Legal Proceedings	7
	Item 4	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Securities Holders	8
PART II			
	Item 5	Market for Common Equity and Related Shareholder Matters	9
	Item 6	Management's Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operation	11
	Item 7	Financial Statements	17
	Item 8	Changes In and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	24
PART III			
	Item 9	Directors, Executive Officers, Promoters and Control Persons; Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act	25
	Item 10	Executive Compensation	25
	Item 11	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Shareholder Matters	28
	Item 12	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions	29

## Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

Item 13	Exhibits and Reports on Form 8K	29
Item 14	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	29
	Signatures	32

### INTRODUCTORY NOTE

This Annual Report on Form 10-KSB may be deemed to contain forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The Company intends that such forward-looking statements be subject to the safe harbors created by such statutes. The forward-looking statements included herein are based on current expectations that involve a number of risks and uncertainties. Accordingly, to the extent that this Annual Report contains forward-looking statements regarding the financial condition, operating results, business prospects or any other aspect of the Company, please be advised that the Company's actual financial condition, operating results and business performance may differ materially from that projected or estimated by the Company in forward-looking statements. The differences may be caused by a variety of factors, including but not limited to adverse economic conditions, intense competition, including intensification of price competition and entry of new competitors and products, adverse federal, state and local government regulation, inadequate capital, unexpected costs and operating deficits, increases in general and administrative costs, lower sales and revenues than forecast, loss of customers, customer returns of products sold to them by the Company, disadvantageous currency exchange rates, termination of contracts, loss of suppliers, technological obsolescence of the Company's products, technical problems with the Company's products, price increases for supplies and components, inability to raise prices, failure to obtain new customers, litigation and administrative proceedings involving the Company, the possible acquisition of new businesses that result in operating losses or that do not perform as anticipated, resulting in unanticipated losses, the possible fluctuation and volatility of the Company's operating results, financial condition and stock price, losses incurred in litigating and settling cases, dilution in the Company's ownership of its business, adverse publicity and news coverage, inability to carry out marketing and sales plans, loss or retirement of key executives, changes in interest rates, inflationary factors, and other specific risks that may be alluded to in this Annual Report or in other reports issued by the Company. In addition, the business and operations of the Company are subject to substantial risks which increase the uncertainty inherent in the forward-looking statements. In light of the significant uncertainties inherent in the forward-looking information included herein, the inclusion of such information should not be regarded as a representation by the Company or any other person that the objectives or plans of the Company will be achieved.

### PART I

#### RISK FACTORS AND CAUTIONARY STATEMENTS

Forward-looking statements in this report are made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. The Company wishes to advise readers that actual results may differ substantially from such forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements include statements concerning underlying assumptions and other statements that are other than statements of historical facts. Forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by the statements, including, but not limited to, the following: the ability of the Company to provide for its obligations, to provide

## Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

working capital needs from operating revenues, to obtain additional financing needed for any future acquisitions, to meet competitive challenges and technological changes, and other risks detailed in the Company's periodic report filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

### ITEM 1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS.

#### A. BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT AND SUMMARY

Cyberlux is a Nevada corporation (hereinafter "Cyberlux" or "the Company") that was incorporated on May 17, 2000 and is capitalized with 100 million common shares and 5 million preferred shares. The Company was founded to design, develop, manufacture, market and sell advanced lighting systems that utilize Gallium Nitride light emitting diodes as illumination elements. White diodes are a relatively new phenomenon that offer major advances in illumination technology. The Cyberlux Gallium Nitride diodes consume 92% less energy than incandescent or fluorescent counterparts to produce comparable lumen output. In electrochemical (battery powered) applications, this remarkable diminution of energy consumption positions the Company's optoelectronic lighting solutions as much more durable and far more reliable than other interim lighting alternatives. In standard AC electrical applications, the calculated life of GaN diodes as lighting elements is over 20 years versus 750 hours for traditional incandescent bulbs. These exceptional performance characteristics, diminutive energy consumption and extended life, have prompted GaN diode implementation in traffic lights and automotive brake lights, but have not yet significantly occurred in the Company's area of focus, diodal illumination (tm).

#### B. BUSINESS OF ISSUER

##### (1) PRINCIPAL PRODUCTS AND PRINCIPAL MARKETS

Cyberlux has successfully introduced its first product entry, the "Cyberlux Home Safety Light" (HSL), through its web site, [www.cyberlux.com](http://www.cyberlux.com). The Company's production strategy has required the identification, qualification and engagement of a variety of talents in industrial design, integrated circuit board production, multi-cavity steel injection mold fabrication, component part assembly, performance testing and packaging to fulfill the tasks associated with finished goods delivery. The initial production of 10,000 HSLs was completed in early October 2002 and has successfully demonstrated the Company's ability to sustain volume production standards for up to 80,000 units per month at its assembly and distribution center in Shelbyville, Illinois. We are now positioned to broaden our product line consistent with emerging breakthroughs in optoelectronic technology and expand our marketing activity into various channels of retail and institutional sales. These recently achieved advances enable substantial cost savings in production as they enhance product performance and readily discernible value to the consumer. The product line (see "Product Development"), Lazer Safety Light; PowerOutage Adapter; Failsafe Spot & Lamp; and CampLamp, are fixtures that employ single use standard alkaline or lithium ion constant charge reusable batteries in different applications. The design of products is consistent with findings of market research conducted by Howard, Merrell & Partners (HM&P), a member firm of InterPublic Group (IPG, NYSE) wherein certain categories of emergency lighting or interim lighting products were either underserved or non-existent due to the inherent inefficiency of incandescent and fluorescent lighting elements. These fixtures express superior characteristics in brightness, vastly extended light life and durability through diodal(TM) illumination, an optoelectronic descriptor trademarked by Cyberlux. During the early stages of research for long-term interim light solutions, all experimentation was confined to incandescent, fluorescent and, to a more limited extent, fiber optics as illumination sources. The recurring problem with these lighting elements was the grossly inefficient use of electrical energy (in an incandescent bulb, 95% of the electrical energy consumed is dissipated as radiant heat, not light). The discovery of the bright

## Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

white Gallium Nitride (GaN) diode provided the solution to energy efficiency necessary to produce the long-term interim light source that was to be the objective of the Company's product development activities. Unlike light bulbs that are brittle glass globes surrounding a fragile wire filament in a vacuum,

3

light emitting diodes are extraordinarily efficient solid state semiconductors that are practically indestructible. Diodes are manufactured from chemical compounds mixed with phosphors which transform electrical energy to visible light without heat. When electrical current is applied to a diode, the energy creates electromagnetic radiation which occurs as light. The Spartan characteristics of GaN diodes with their frugal demand for energy (92% less energy to produce equal or superior brightness to that of a bulb) create opportunity to manage energy through Cyberlux patented circuitry to produce a family of superior products.

The Home Safety Light (illustrations at [www.cyberlux.com](http://www.cyberlux.com)) is an efficient portable fixture that provides a full week of light from one set of AA batteries. Any other portable light will require over 20 sets of replacement batteries to produce comparable light life which suggests that the Home Safety Light (HSL) pays for itself at initial purchase. The Lazer Safety Light is a modification of the HSL. The PowerOutage Adapter, the FailSafe portable spot/lamp; and the CampLamp Lantern are the next product introductions followed by hard-wired systems that will transcend the performance and efficiency of existing emergency lighting products at a significant reduction in initial cost and recurring expense for maintenance of incandescent products.

### (2) DISTRIBUTION METHODS OF OUR PRODUCTS

Consistent with our sales objectives, the reliable manufacture of proprietary component parts and assembly of finished products required exacting coordination of resources to provide detailed working drawings to tool manufacturers for injection molded parts and optics; precise circuitry diagrams to receive diodes, resistors and capacitors into the electronics platform; source identification for volume supplies of batteries and diodes; packaging considerations for presentation of product and corresponding dimensions of containment's for shipping and display; and an experienced contract assembly organization with an extensive infrastructure capable of collation and inventory of all component parts.

During the Fall of 2000, we identified Shelby County Community Services (SCCS), Shelbyville, Illinois, as a contract manufacture and assembly organization that was well positioned to meet the requirements proposed by the Company. SCCS has over a decade of successful performance on behalf of Fortune 100 companies and represented the quality of management, performance and fiscal stability that we sought to employ in the production process. SCCS is a not-for-profit organization that provides job training for handicapped workers and supplements its workforce with underemployed farm labor. It is generously supported by State and Federal programs to ensure competitiveness.

We have a Proprietary Product Manufacturing Agreement with SCCS that provides for SCCS to purchase all of the component parts for our products; conformance of parts acquired to Cyberlux specifications; exact assembly of parts in accordance with schematics; verified accountable tests of each unit prior to packaging; individual packaging; finished goods inventory warehousing; palletized shipping containment's per purchase orders; and loading for shipment FOB Shelbyville. SCCS is paid 112% of component cost to cover assembly, packaging and warehousing.

The [www.Cyberlux.com](http://www.Cyberlux.com) internet site is serviced by SCCS through a fulfillment

## Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

operations agreement whereby SCCS receives a daily batched summary of internet sales through an email link established by Cyberlux and United Parcel Service. The Cyberlux/UPS software validates the address of the customer and advises shipping mode (next day, two day or ground), computes shipping and handling charges then prints the appropriate waybill at the shipping office of SCCS. Packages are shipped within 24 hours of receipt of the email summary of business for the preceding day's orders. SCCS coordinates materials inventory with Cyberlux approved vendors based upon purchase orders or blanket orders for products. Robrady Design, Inc., the Company's industrial design firm, is instrumental in providing detailed working drawings for injection molded parts to tool manufacturers in the US and abroad. Similarly, the Company's proprietary circuitry design is managed by the engineering firm of ICT, Inc. in Casey, Illinois. ICT, Inc., an international engineering firm, is well positioned to manufacture the electronic platforms to precise specifications. Although the boards are rigidly tested prior to shipment to Shelbyville, SCCS tests each board on receipt consistent with the quality assurance protocols established by Cyberlux. The initial production capacity at SCCS is 80,000 product units per month which can be increased by 50% consistent with a four month lead time to undertake expansion of facilities.

SCCS will continue to serve as the warehousing and distribution center for Cyberlux products, such as the PowerOutage Adapter, FailSafe Spot/Lamp and CampLamp Lantern, which are to be manufactured abroad. The SCCS center will coordinate customs protocols and manage incoming inventories.

The Company, through its agreements with Shelby County Community Services (SCCS), has successfully implemented its internet order fulfillment operation, the Cyberlux Distribution Center, which services all product sales generated from its web site, [www.cyberlux.com](http://www.cyberlux.com), at the SCCS complex in Shelbyville, Illinois. The system was designed internally under the supervision of Cyberlux Senior Vice President, Al Ninneman, who worked with the ecommerce professionals at United Parcel Service (UPS) to perfect the customized billing and delivery service. The Cyberlux software verifies ZIP code and credit card information; records delivery selection (UPS overnight, second day air or ground); calculates the delivery charge by destination; batches orders daily; and prints the delivery way bills at the Distribution Center for shipment the day following receipt of the order. SCCS and Cyberlux share the handling charge of \$2 per order equally.

Although the internet site and its fulfillment system is designed to receive and process orders in volume, the linkage of the site to the Weather Channel (and other referring sources) is not yet in place. The Weather Channel has proposed an advertising position for the Home Safety Light wherein 10 million impressions per month will be guaranteed, but the cost of the exposure is \$10 thousand per month. Cyberlux intends to pursue the relationship with the Weather Channel and others relative to direction of traffic to its web site after the Company is in a position to fund selective media buys through a contract relationship with Howard, Merrell & Partners. Selection of media exposures is an important part of the marketing campaign, but equally important is the development of compelling messages and images that inspire consumer interest in Cyberlux products. The Company has worked with Howard, Merrell & Partners (HM&P), a member firm of the InterPublic Group (IPG, NYSE), that specializes in market research/analysis, brand creation, creative imaging, messaging and media purchase management. HM&P's market analysis indicates a dominant role for diodal(TM) illumination in the safety lighting category. The objective is a marketing program that quickly demonstrates the superiority of Cyberlux products to any incandescent bulb product on the market today, whether battery powered or hard wired, and the cost-effectiveness of Cyberlux diodal illumination tm based upon light life and energy efficiency. HM&P sees broad opportunities to position Cyberlux as the brand leader in diodal illumination tm through specific illustrations in which the Company's technology provides superior value over the "burning" light bulb.

## Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

Equally important in product and/or brand launch is the management of a "go to

4

market" strategy. Cyberlux has engaged CMG Partners (CMGP) to coordinate product launch into a variety of sales channels. CMGP has broad based experience in both the US and UK in telecom (Nextel, BT Cellnet, MCI), Internet (Verisign) and technology introductions. The role of CMGP is to integrate marketing, sales, product and customer support activities and messages to optimize customer acquisition and retention. CMGP will serve as the liaison for the preparation and delivery of selling materials to the individual selling firms and an information conduit to management for production and finished goods inventory issues.

Cyberlux has retained three experienced technology product sales firms, Smart Products, Inc., Westwood, NJ; A. Calvert & Company, LLC, Canton, OH; and Brand & Associates, Dallas, TX to represent its product line over the range of channels addressed for distribution. The individual firms have been selected based upon established relationships with certain retail channels and proven track records of sales to those retailers assigned. A. Calvert & Company, in addition to its market segment of baby product retailers and On-Air sales (Calvert represents Cyberlux to QVC), is a highly successful retail packaging design firm.

On September 22, 2003, we entered into a factoring agreement with Capital Funding Solutions, Inc. with regard to a purchase order from QVC.

(3) STATUS OF ANY ANNOUNCED NEW PRODUCTS

(4) INDUSTRY BACKGROUND

Our Company was born from an investigative research study designed to identify a new approach to the development of an electrochemical (battery powered), portable, interim lighting system capable of providing safe illumination for extended periods of time to property owners deprived of electrical service caused by power outages. Although power outages have come to be a recurring phenomenon due to anomalies in electrical service distribution networks, the focus of the initial study was on disruptions caused by severe storm activity along the Atlantic and Gulf States' coastlines and the corresponding affected inland electrical grids. The National Weather Service labels annual storm activity as the Hurricane Season, which is officially monitored from June 1st to November 30th each year. Other deficiency outages not related to weather have been labeled by the press as rolling blackouts. The loss of electrical power related to tropical and subtropical storms can be wide spread and cover extensive regional segments surrounding the matrix of the storm. It is the pervasive incidence of power outages that identified the need for a reliable, durable, safe and economical interim lighting system for property owners and the general population in areas affected by these seasonally severe weather systems. The research conducted to identify an optimum interim lighting system led to the discovery of a new illumination technology (optoelectronics). We plan to implement this technology through the development of diode illumination fixtures for domestic, commercial and industrial applications. Management has identified several opportunities, which are discussed in Section (10) Research and Development Activities below, where our optoelectronic technology can be introduced as a cost effective solution for antiquated, expensive and unreliable lighting systems currently in use. The introduction of our Cyberlux Home Safety Light is an example of our advanced illumination technology. We hope that this will establish us as an innovative leader in the industry.

(5) REGULATION

Our advertising and sales practices concerning the Home Safety Light and the

## Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

Wireless Interim Lighting Systems are regulated by the Federal Trade Commission and state consumer protection laws. Such regulations include restrictions on the manner that we promote the sale of our products. We believe we are in material compliance with such regulations.

### (6) EFFECT OF EXISTING OR PROBABLE GOVERNMENT REGULATIONS

We believe that we will be able to comply in all material respects with laws and regulations governing the conduct of business operations in general. We are not aware of any pending government regulations that may adversely affect our business.

### (7) RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES

#### EMERGENCY LIGHTING AUGMENTATION SYSTEM (Production Title)

The Emergency Lighting Augmentation System (ELAS) was designed to provide a long-term emergency lighting solution for commercial buildings. ELAS employs an array of ultra-bright white diodes that are powered by constant charge batteries and are controlled by a patented power sensor that is positioned to detect an electrical failure in the building. ELAS is easily installed within existing light fixtures and provides several days of bright white light versus 90 minutes provided by "evacuation" lights, as mandated by fire codes. The recent "blackout" caused by a massive power outage from Michigan to New York inspired many government officials to recognize the danger of the inadequacy of existing "emergency lights" and prompted a focus on long-term interim lighting solutions. Cyberlux is engaged in a demonstrate its ELAS products with the City of Cleveland, the epicenter of the August 2003 blackout. The Cleveland project offers opportunity to demonstrate the cost/benefit effectiveness of ELAS and suggests significant implementation prospects for the product in other municipalities.

#### HOME SAFETY LIGHT (Market Title)

The Home Safety Light was designed to provide up to a full week of light from one set of 8 AA batteries. The portable elliptical fixture contains an array of 6 white Nichia diodes and 4 amber diodes which are controlled through a circuit board that provides three alternative levels of light intensity. The parabolic reflector manages light output from the inverted diode array to broadcast a blanket of light capable of total illumination of a room, corridor, stairwell or other strategic location. In October 2003, the Home Safety Light was successfully launched in the retail market on the QVC, Inc., the world's leading on-air sales channel.

#### LAZER SAFETY LIGHT (Production Title)

The Lazer Safety Light is similar in form and function to the Home Safety Light, but has an entirely new electrical system that employs a miniature square circuit board controller which powers the fixture with only 2 C batteries. The 10 diodes mounted in the Home Safety Light are displaced by 1 Ultra Bright Lumileds diode inversely centered to provide a blanket of light with more intensity than its predecessor. The new circuitry, with pulse width modulation, and the newly developed diodal lighting element reduce production cost of the fixture by 47% of the cost of the original Home Safety Light.

#### POWEROUTAGE ADAPTER (Production Title)



## Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

The PowerOutage Adapter transforms existing electrical wall outlets into an emergency lighting system for homes, hospitals, hotels, nursing homes and businesses. The fixture, designed as a replacement outlet, simply plugs into an existing dual outlet after removal of its faceplate. The adapter, which continues to function as an electrical outlet, however, contains a constant charge lithium ion battery; a motion sensor that provides a low level of light for darkened room or corridor transit; a loss of power sensor that activates a high level of light when electrical service is disrupted to broadcast a wash of light up its attendant wall which then reflects bright white light from the overhead ceiling; and a photoelectric cell which detects daylight or powered light in the space to prevent unnecessary performance. Market research suggests that the "Adapter" can become a "Standard of Safety" in institutions (particularly patient care facilities and hotels) which will endorse its economical implementation by home owners, educational institutions and businesses. The fixture will first be marketed through institutional sales channels.

### FAILSAFE SPOT & LAMP (Production Title)

The FailSafe fixture is designed with a unique lens head that may be extended and rotated 180 degrees to perform as a table lamp. The lens head has an opaque surround that may be snapped out above the reflector to simulate the shade of a lamp. This fixture contains a constant charge lithium ion battery and retractable outlet inserts which fold into the base when it is removed from an electrical wall outlet. The FailSafe contains a motion sensor which produces a low level of light for darkened room or corridor transit from its constant charge location in a strategically located wall outlet. The design form provides a hand-held base that offers the alternatives of use as a powerful "flashlight" or as a table lamp that will provide over a full week of light from its lithium ion battery. The battery returns to "full charge" after the fixture is reinstalled into a wall outlet.

### CAMPLAMP LANTERN (Production Title)

The CampLamp is designed to be a superior alternative to the venerable "Coleman Lantern" that has served as a utility gas and mantle light for over fifty years. Unlike the Coleman version, however, the CampLamp does not generate heat or noxious emissions and eliminates the safety threats of combustible fuel and burning elements. The fixture features a tri-parted mirrored reflector system that, when all of the three elements are engaged, broadcasts a blanket of light over 360 degrees. The circuitry design provides a rheostat control system and pulse width modulation to extend battery life to over fifty hours. The reflector design provides directional light alternatives in 90 degree increments which, when combined with the rheostat, offers more utility options than a traditional lantern. The fixture will be marketed through recreational sales channels, home improvement stores and to government agencies.

### (8) EMPLOYEES

We currently have five (5) full time employees. Our employees are primarily at the executive level based upon our role in coordination of outsource contracts for manufacturing and other production considerations. Currently, there exist no organized labor agreements or union agreements between Cyberlux and our employees. However, we have employment agreements with the following executive officers: Donald F. Evans, Chairman and CEO, Mark D. Schmidt, President and COO, Alan H. Ninneman, Senior Vice President John W. Ringo, Secretary and Corporate Counsel and David D. Downing, Treasurer and CFO. We believe that our relations with our employees are good.

## Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

### (9) DEPENDENCE ON KEY PERSONNEL

The success of our Company depends upon the efforts, abilities and expertise of our executive officers and other key employees, including our Chief Executive Officer, Senior Vice President for Operations, Treasurer/Chief Financial Officer and Secretary/Corporate Counsel. The loss of the services of such individuals and/or other key individuals could have a material adverse effect on our operations.

### (10) DEPENDENCE ON KEY CUSTOMERS

The Company is currently not dependent on any single customer for a significant portion of its annual sales.

### (11) MAJOR SUPPLIERS

The Company is currently not dependent on any major suppliers. The Company does rely on its investor and lender relationships as a source of capital for its operations.

### (12) COMPLIANCE WITH COST OF ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATIONS

The Company currently has no costs associated with compliance with environmental regulations. However, there can be no assurances that the Company will not incur such costs in the future.

## ITEM 2. DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.

### A. DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY

Our corporate headquarters are located at 50 Orange Road, Pinehurst, North Carolina 28374. The office space is defined as the 12' by 14' office located at the northeast corner of the property situated at 50 Orange Road, Pinehurst, North Carolina 28374 and adjacent common spaces consisting of restroom facilities, storage closets and conference room access. Equipment consists of two telephone units; two calculators; one HP printer, copier, fax; one IBM typewriter; one IBM computer with CTX color monitor and Logitech keyboards. Furniture and fixtures consist of two leather executive swivel chairs; two executive desks; two 2 drawer file cabinets; one lateral file cabinet; one cherry wood storage cabinet; one steel typewriter table; two brass banker's lamps, two extended halogen task lamps and various desk top appurtenances.

6

Research Econometrics, LLP, provides these facilities to Cyberlux at a cost of \$650 per month. The managing partner of Research Econometrics, LLP, Carothers H. Evans, is the son of Donald F. Evans, president of Cyberlux. The leasing terms represent a fully negotiated contract price between two related parties at an arms length transaction. According to the Sublease Agreement, as of July 1, 2000 the space is rented on a month-to-month basis continuing until such use and enjoyment is terminated by either party on thirty days notice in writing. Our management believes that suitable expansion space is available to meet our future needs at commercially reasonable terms, if required.

### B. INVESTMENT POLICIES

MANAGEMENT DOES NOT CURRENTLY HAVE POLICIES REGARDING THE ACQUISITION OR SALE OF ASSETS PRIMARILY FOR POSSIBLE CAPITAL GAIN OR PRIMARILY FOR INCOME. WE DO NOT PRESENTLY HOLD ANY INVESTMENTS OR INTERESTS IN REAL ESTATE, INVESTMENTS IN REAL ESTATE MORTGAGES OR SECURITIES OF OR INTERESTS IN THOSE PERSONS PRIMARILY ENGAGED IN REAL ESTATE ACTIVITIES.

## Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

### ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

On October 23, 2003, OneCap, Inc. filed a complaint against us and our officers, directors and certain shareholders in the District Court of Clark County, Nevada (Case No. A475506). The complaint alleges a breach of contract and securities fraud. The plaintiff is seeking specific performance, declaratory relief and injunctive relief. We believe that we have meritorious defenses to the plaintiff's claims and intend to vigorously defend ourselves against the plaintiff's claims. On January 9, 2004 the litigation was settled with both parties mutually releasing the other. The case was dismissed with prejudice.

On April 18, 2001, Cyberlux filed a civil complaint against Light Technology, Inc., Ervin J. Rachwal, Safe-Light Industries, LLC a/k/a JFER Innovations Group, LLC, James Meyer and John Fleming alleging fraud, breach of contract, monies lent, misappropriation of trade secrets, conspiracy and sought injunctive relief against the defendants to prevent them from misappropriating trade secrets as well as to recover monetary damages. On May 11, 2001, the Court granted a temporary injunction against the Defendants. On June 5, 2001, the Defendants filed their Answer denying the allegations of the Complaint and filed a counterclaim alleging fraud, violation of Trade Secret Act, breach of contract and money lent.

On January 18, 2002, the Court granted the Defendants' Motion to Dissolve the Injunction. On January 28, 2002, Cyberlux filed a Motion for Rehearing or Clarification of the Motion to Dissolve. A hearing on the Cyberlux Motion for Rehearing or Clarification of the Motion to Dissolve was scheduled for March 18, 2002, but was cancelled by the Court and has not been rescheduled. The injunction still remains in effect until the Court rules on this Motion.

#### Background:

Cyberlux came into contact with Light Technology, Inc. (LTI) and Rachwal in early 2000. We were seeking someone with the knowledge and expertise to assist us in the development of an emergency light using white LEDs. LTI and Rachwal represented that they had such knowledge and expertise and could finalize the development of the Cyberlux emergency light by September 30, 2000 so that we could begin manufacturing and selling the emergency light by November 2000. Rachwal and LTI also advised us that we could acquire all the assets of LTI and the rights to LTI's flashlight which also used white LEDs provided Rachwal was made an officer and director of Cyberlux as well as be in charge of design work for the Company.

In order to evaluate this offer, we requested accounting and financial records to verify the representations of LTI and Rachwal and to attempt to ascertain the value of LTI. Despite repeated attempts, LTI and Rachwal were unable to provide adequate, verifiable financial records. Nonetheless, in order to allow LTI and Rachwal to proceed with the development of the emergency light in order to meet the November shipping deadline, Cyberlux and LTI entered into a Letter of Intent on June 12, 2000. This Letter of Intent also contained a confidentiality clause protecting our interests. Pursuant to the Letter of Intent we paid LTI \$100,000 to develop a prototype of an emergency storm light and possible acquisition of the assets of LTI based upon an independent evaluation of the worth of the assets. We hired the Sarasota CPA firm, Kerkering, Barbario & Co. to independently do an evaluation of the LTI assets. Kerkering, Barbario came to the conclusion that LTI had no verifiable assets of any value. Furthermore, LTI never developed and produced a working model of the emergency storm light. We incurred meeting and travel expenses of \$36,401 associated with LTI during the period June through December 2000. \$43,699 was expended for marketing expense in anticipation of the promised delivery of the light. We also made loans to defendant Safe-Light in the Amount of \$13,188 to assist in development and

## Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

marketing of its products based upon representation that the assets of Safe-Light would be acquired by us.

We instituted our complaint against the defendants when we learned, through a local newspaper article that LTI and Safe-Light had merged and had developed an emergency light. We had confidentiality rights with both companies. The defendants breached their contracts with us by misappropriating trade secrets and we are seeking monetary damages as well injunctive relief to prevent them from capitalizing on the misappropriation of trade secrets. Despite the news article in which Rachwal announced that LTI had developed an emergency light, he did not object to the injunction stating that he did not have such a light.

There is no similarity between our product, the Home Safety Light, and LTI's product, known as the Pal Light. Our product, which is described in detail in the business section, has 10 diodes and provides a blanket of light to light up a room in the event of a power outage. The LTI product is a small flashlight that uses one diode. The two products are not in the same category.

Defendant LTI claims that we breached the contract terms of the letter of intent and joint venture agreement by failing to maintain confidential disclosed to us and intentionally disclosing confidential information to third parties. Despite receiving \$100,000 from us defendants claim we failed to fund the development of the Light and claim that we owe them in excess of \$100,000 by breaching the letter of intent and joint venture agreement. Further, defendants claim we failed to pay fees set forth in the licensing agreement notwithstanding that the condition precedent to pay said fees (the successful completion of a private placement by us, which was subsequently withdrawn due to market conditions).

7

Defendant Safe-Light allege that we requested that they assist us in raising funding for the products discussed in the complaint. We actually loaned them funds for the development of their barricade light.

In the event that LTI and Rachwal are successful in their claims, we would still be able to sell our product since we have patent applications pending to protect our product.

The Company intends to fully prosecute the Company's claims and actions against the Defendants. The Company denies the Defendants allegations alleged against the Company in their counterclaim. This litigation is still in the discovery stage and the ultimate outcome cannot presently be determined.

COURT: Circuit Court of the Twelfth Judicial District In and For Sarasota County, Florida.

CASE NAME: Cyberlux Corporation, Plaintiff v. Ervin J. Rachwal, Light Technology, Inc., Safe-Light Industries, LLC a/k/a JFER Innovations Group, LLC, James Meyer and John Fleming.

CASE NUMBER: 2001 CA 005309 NC Div. C.

#### ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS.

There were no matters submitted to a vote of security holders during the year ended December 31, 2003.

8

# Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

## PART II

### ITEM 5. MARKET FOR COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS.

Our common stock began trading on the Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board under the symbol "CYBL.OB" on July 13, 2003. The table below sets forth by quarter the sales information for our common stock as reported on the Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board in our past fiscal year. This information reflects inter-dealer prices, without retail mark-up, mark-down or commission and may not represent actual transactions.

	SALE PRICES	
	HIGH	LOW
2002:		
First Quarter	N/A	N/A
Second Quarter	N/A	N/A
Third Quarter	N/A	N/A
Fourth Quarter	N/A	N/A
2003:		
First Quarter	N/A	N/A
Second Quarter	N/A	N/A
Third quarter	1.05	0.10
Fourth quarter	0.55	0.12

On April 13, 2004, the closing price of our common stock on the Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board was \$0.50 per share. We urge you to obtain current market quotations for shares of our common stock.

#### NUMBER OF SHAREHOLDERS

The number of beneficial holders of record of the Common Stock of the Company as of the close of business on December 31, 2003, was 136.

#### DIVIDEND POLICY

To date, the Company has declared no cash dividends on its Common Stock, and does not expect to pay cash dividends in the next term. The Company intends to retain future earnings, if any, to provide funds for operation of its business.

#### CHANGES IN SECURITIES

The following discussion describes all the securities we have sold within the past three fiscal years. On May 17, 2000, we were incorporated under the laws of the State of Nevada as Cyberlux Corporation. We are authorized to issue 20,000,000 shares of common stock, par value \$0.001 and 5,000,000 shares of preferred stock, par value \$0.001.

On May 19, 2000, we issued 1,640,000 shares of our common stock, with par value of \$0.001 per share to nine founding individuals which were fully paid and non-assessable in exchange for cash of \$2,200. All Shares issued by the Company were issued in accordance with Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act).

During May 2000, we issued 750,000 shares of our \$0.001 par value common stock

## Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

in exchange for research and development costs paid by Research Econometrics, LLP in the amount of \$68,753. The shares were issued in accordance with Section 4(2) of the Securities Act. No broker or dealer was involved in the transaction and no discounts or commissions were paid.

During May 2000, the Company issued 875,000 shares of its \$0.001 par value common stock to Donald F. Evans in exchange for consulting services valued at \$36,585. The shares were issued in accordance with Section 4(2) of the Securities Act. No broker or dealer was involved in the transaction and no discounts or commissions were paid.

During May 2000, we issued 288,000 shares of our \$0.001 par value common stock in exchange for convertible debentures in the amount of \$40,000 in accordance with Section 4(2) of the Securities Act.. The shares were issued in accordance with Section 4(2) of the Securities Act. No broker or dealer was involved in the transaction and no discounts or commissions were paid.

On November 30, 2000, we completed a public offering of shares of common stock in accordance with Regulation D, Rule 504 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and the registration by qualification of the offering in the State of Nevada and the State of Arkansas. This offering was conducted on a best efforts basis and was not underwritten. We sold 640,171 shares of common stock, par value, at a price of \$0.15 per share to 51 unaffiliated shareholders of record, none of whom were or are our officers or directors. The offering was sold for \$96,026 in cash.

During November 2000, 122,795 shares of common stock were issued to a consulting firm in services rendered valued at \$18,419. The shares were issued in accordance with Section 4(2) of the Securities Act. No broker or dealer was involved in the transaction and no discounts or commissions were paid.

9

Listed below are the requirements set forth under Regulation D, Rule 504 and the facts, which support the availability of Rule 504 to this offering:

### Exemption

Offers and sales of securities that satisfy the conditions in paragraph (b) of this Rule 504 by an issuer that is not:

- o Subject to the reporting requirements of section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act;
- o An investment company; or
- o A development stage company that either has no specific business plan or purpose or has indicated that its business plan is to engage in a merger or acquisition with an unidentified company or companies, or other entity or person, shall be exempt from the provision of section 5 of the Act under section 3(b) of the Act.

At the time of the offering, we were not subject to the reporting requirements of Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act. Further, we have never been considered to be an investment company. In addition, we have continuously pursued our specific business plan of developing and manufacturing optoelectronic products.

Conditions to be met

## Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

General Conditions - To qualify for exemption under this Rule 504, offers and sales must satisfy the terms and conditions of Rule 501 and Rule 502 (a), (c) and (d), except that the provisions of Rule 502 (c) and (d) will not apply to offers and sales of securities under this Rule 504 that are made:

1. In one or more states that provide for the registration of the securities that require the filing and delivery to investors of a prospectus before sale, and are made in accordance with those state provisions;
2. In one or more states that have no provision for the registration of the securities or the filing or delivery of a disclosure document before sale, if the securities have been registered in at least one state that provides for such registration, public filing and delivery before sale, offers and sales are made in that state in accordance with such provisions, and the disclosure document is delivered before sale to all purchasers; or
3. Exclusively according to state law exemptions from registration that permit general solicitation and general advertising so long as sales are made only to accredited investors as defined in Rule 501(a).

On August 21, 2000, we were issued a notice of effectiveness by the State of Nevada, in response to our application for registration by qualification in that state. The application for registration by qualification was filed in accordance with the provisions of NRS 90.490, which requires the public filing and delivery to investors of a disclosure document before sale.

On October 31, 2000, we were issued a notice of effectiveness by the State of Arkansas, in response to our application for registration by qualification in that state. The application for registration by qualification was filed pursuant to Arkansas Code Ann. Section 23-42-503(b) and Rule 503.01(B)(1) of the Rules of the Commissioner, which requires the public filing and delivery to investors of a disclosure document before sale. This offering was conducted exclusively in the states of Nevada and Arkansas.

Proceeds of the Offering - The aggregate offering price for an offering of securities under this Rule 504, as defined in Rule 501(c), shall not exceed \$1,000,000, less the aggregate offering price for all securities sold within the twelve months before the start of and during the offering of securities under this Rule 504, in reliance on any exemption under section 3(b), or in violation of section 5(a) of the Securities Act. The aggregate offering price was \$345,000, of which \$96,026 was sold.

In January 2001, holders of the Company's convertible notes payable elected to convert \$104,817 of debt in exchange for 698,782 shares of the Company's \$0.001 par value common stock in accordance with Section 4(2) of the Securities Act..

On October 18, 2001, the Company entered into a loan agreement with OneCap, Inc. in which it borrowed \$170,000 for the purpose of financing for tooling, circuitry and registration costs for public listing of the Company's stock. The term of the loan is for one year and the interest rate is 13% per annum. Under the terms of the agreement, the Company issued a promissory note secured by assets of the Company and founders stock which were placed into an escrow account. The Company also issued OneCap a warrant to purchase 500,000 shares of its \$0.001 par value common stock at par. On December 31, 2002, the company extended the loan repayment period to June , 2003 and the interest rate was increased to 18% per annum payable monthly . The company also incurred \$ 25,000 loan extension charges which were charged to interest expenses and the loan was increased to \$ 195,000.

During November 2001, officers of the Company elected to exercise their options to purchase 350,000 shares of its \$0.001 par value common stock for cash of

## Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

\$350.

During the year ended December 31, 2001, certain warrant holders elected to convert their warrants to 636,000 shares of the Company's 0.001 par value common stock for cash of \$14,250.

In December 2001, the Company issued 151,648 shares of its \$0.001 par value common stock in exchange for convertible debentures in the amount of \$75,824. The shares were issued in accordance with Section 4(2) of the Securities Act. No broker or dealer was involved in the transaction and no discounts or commissions were paid.

10

On May 29, 2002, the Company issued 70,000 shares of its \$0.001 par value common stock to an individual for services rendered valued at \$49,000. The shares were issued in accordance with Section 4(2) of the Securities Act. No broker or dealer was involved in the transaction and no discounts or commissions were paid.

During November 2002, the company issued 150,000 shares of its common stock in exchange for services totalling \$ 37,500. The stock issued was valued at \$ 0.25 per share, which represents the fair value of the stock issued, which did not differ materially from the value of the services rendered.

In December 2002, the company issued 256,000 shares of common stock for cash \$ 64,000 in connection with a private placement memorandum, net of costs.

On October 1, 2003, we entered into an agreement with Consulting for Strategic Growth 1, Ltd. ("CFSG"), in which CFSG would provide consulting services in the form of investor relations and public relations. On January 27, 2004, in consideration for services rendered, Stanley Wunderlich, Chairman of CFSG was issued 125,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock at \$0.001 per share and Bonnie Stretch, public relations for CFSG, was issued 25,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock at \$0.001 per share. This issuance was a private transaction pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act.

On October 30, 2003, we entered into an agreement with Roccus Capital Partners, LLC ("RCP") and Alliance Advisors ("AA") in which RCP and AA would provide strategic advisement to us. On January 27, 2004, as an engagement fee, Richard L. Berkley and Marc A. Heskell, principals of RCP and Alan Sheinwald, principal of AA were each issued 75,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock at \$0.001 per share. These issuances were private transactions pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act.

On December 1, 2003, we entered into an agreement with CFSG, in which CFSG would provide consulting services in the form of investor relations and public relations. In consideration for services to be rendered, On January 27, 2004, Stanley Wunderlich was issued 60,000 shares of our Common Stock at \$0.001 per share with 10,000 shares issued each month based upon performance criteria satisfactory to both parties. This issuance was a private transaction pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act.

On January 27, 2004, we issued 700,000 shares of its Common Stock at \$0.01 per share to Titan Entertainment Group pursuant to a consulting services agreement in which Titan Entertainment Group would create strategic business relationships for us. This issuance was a private transaction pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act.

On January 27, 2004, we issued 600,000 shares of its Common Stock at \$0.01 per share to Michael J. Stern pursuant to a consulting services agreement in which



## Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

Michael J. Stern would create strategic business relationships for us. This issuance was a private transaction pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act.

On January 27, 2004, we issued 600,000 shares of its Common Stock at \$0.01 per share to KBK Ventures, Inc. pursuant to a consulting services agreement in which KBK Ventures would create strategic business relationships for us. This issuance was a private transaction pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act.

On January 27, 2004, we issued 800,000 shares of its Common Stock at \$0.01 per share to 3CD Consulting, LLC pursuant to a consulting services agreement in which 3CD Consulting would create strategic business relationships for us. This issuance was a private transaction pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act.

On January 27, 2004, we issued 600,000 shares of its Common Stock at \$0.01 per share to Ronald E. Gee pursuant to a consulting services agreement in which Ronald E. Gee would create strategic business relationships us. This issuance was a private transaction pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act.

On January 27, 2004, we issued 155 shares of Series A Preferred Stock (with a stated value of \$5,000 per share and a conversion price of \$0.10 per share) and warrants to purchase an aggregate of 15,500,000 of our common stock. This private placement was exempt from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act.

On January 27, 2004, we issued 40,000 shares of its Common Stock at \$0.001 per share to Donald F. Huffman in consideration of services on our behalf. This issuance was a private transaction pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act.

On January 27, 2004, we issued 10,000 shares of its Common Stock at \$0.001 per share to Robert Rubin in consideration of services on our behalf. This issuance was a private transaction pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act.

On January 27, 2004, Brian Scott converted a \$20,000 promissory note dated April 1, 2003 in the amount of \$20,000 into 80,000 shares of the our Common Stock at \$0.25 per share. This issuance was a private transaction pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act.

On February 19, 2004, as approved by our Board of Directors, we filed a Certificate of Designation with the Nevada Secretary of State creating a Series B Convertible Preferred Stock, par value \$0.001 which ranks pari passu with our Series A Convertible Preferred Stock. The Series B Convertible Preferred Stock will be issued to our officers in exchange for \$800,000 in accrued management fees and other liabilities.

### ITEM 6. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OR PLAN OF OPERATION.

When used in this Form 10-KSB and in our future filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, the words or phrases will likely result, management expects, or we expect, will continue, is anticipated, estimated or similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on any such forward-looking statements,

each of which speak only as of the date made. These statements are subject to risks and uncertainties, some of which are described below. Actual results may differ materially from historical earnings and those presently anticipated or

## Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

projected. We have no obligation to publicly release the result of any revisions that may be made to any forward-looking statements to reflect anticipated events or circumstances occurring after the date of such statements.

### General Overview

The Company is in the development stage and its efforts have been principally devoted to designing, developing manufacturing and marketing advanced lighting systems that utilize white (and other) light emitting diodes as illumination elements.

### Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of our consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect our reported assets, liabilities, revenues, and expenses, and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. We base our estimates and judgments on historical experience and on various other assumptions we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Future events, however, may differ markedly from our current expectations and assumptions. While there are a number of significant accounting policies affecting our consolidated financial statements; we believe the following critical accounting policies involve the most complex, difficult and subjective estimates and judgments:

- o stock-based compensation.
- o revenue recognition

#### Stock-Based Compensation

In December 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 148 - Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation - Transition and Disclosure. This statement amends SFAS No. 123 - Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, providing alternative methods of voluntarily transitioning to the fair market value based method of accounting for stock based employee compensation. FAS 148 also requires disclosure of the method used to account for stock-based employee compensation and the effect of the method in both the annual and interim financial statements. The provisions of this statement related to transition methods are effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2002, while provisions related to disclosure requirements are effective in financial reports for interim periods beginning after December 31, 2002.

The Company elected to continue to account for stock-based compensation plans using the intrinsic value-based method of accounting prescribed by APB No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," and related interpretations. Under the provisions of APB No. 25, compensation expense is measured at the grant date for the difference between the fair value of the stock and the exercise price.

#### Revenue Recognition

For revenue from product sales, the Company recognizes revenue in accordance with SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 101, "Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements" ("SAB 101"). SAB 101 requires that four basic criteria must be met before revenue can be recognized: (1) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists; (2) delivery has occurred; (3) the selling price is fixed and determinable; and (4) collectibility is reasonably assured. Determination of criteria (3) and (4) are based on management's judgments regarding the fixed nature of the selling prices of the products delivered and the collectibility of those amounts. Provisions for discounts and rebates to customers, estimated

## Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

returns and allowances, and other adjustments are provided for in the same period the related sales are recorded. The Company defers any revenue for which the product has not been delivered or is subject to refund until such time that the Company and the customer jointly determine that the product has been delivered or no refund will be required.

### Revenues

We have generated operating revenues of \$74,238 from operations from our inception. We believe we will begin earning revenues from operations in our second year of actual operation as the Company transitions from a development stage company to that of an active growth and acquisition stage company.

### Costs and Expenses

From our inception through December 31, 2003, we generated revenues of \$74,238. We have incurred losses of \$4,021,835 during this period. These expenses were associated principally with equity-based compensation to employees and consultants, product development costs and professional services.

### Liquidity and Capital Resources

As of December 31, 2003, we had a working capital deficit of \$ 1,909,470. As a result of our operating losses from our inception through December 31, 2003, we generated a cash flow deficit of \$1,260,565 from operating activities. Cash flows used in investing activities was \$113,494 during the period May 17, 2000 (date of Company's inception) through December 31, 2003 . We met our cash requirements during this period through the private placement of \$475,000 of preferred stock, \$224,006 through issuance of common stock, \$207,845 from the issuance of notes payable to Company officers and shareholders and advances.

12

While we have raised capital to meet our working capital and financing needs in the past, additional financing is required in order to meet our current and projected cash flow deficits from operations and development. We are seeking financing in the form of equity in order to provide the necessary working capital. We currently have no commitments for financing. There is no guarantee that we will be successful in raising the funds required.

By adjusting its operations and development to the level of capitalization , management believes it has sufficient capital resources to meet projected cash flow deficits through the next twelve months . However, if thereafter, we are not successful in generating sufficient liquidity from operations or in raising sufficient capital resources, on terms acceptable to us, this could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations liquidity and financial condition.

Auditors' opinion expresses doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern .

The independent auditors report on the company's December 31, 2003 financial statements included in this Form states that the Company's recurring losses raise substantial doubts about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern.

### Recent Accounting Pronouncements

## Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141, "Business Combinations" (SFAS No. 141), and Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" (SFAS No. 142). The FASB also issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 143, "Accounting for Obligations Associated with the Retirement of Long-Lived Assets" (SFAS No. 143), and Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets" (SFAS No. 144) in August and October 2001, respectively.

SFAS No. 141 requires the purchase method of accounting for business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001 and eliminates the pooling-of-interest method. The adoption of SFAS No. 141 had no material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Effective January 1, 2002, the Company adopted SFAS No. 142. Under the new rules, the Company will no longer amortize goodwill and other intangible assets with indefinite lives, but such assets will be subject to periodic testing for impairment. On an annual basis, and when there is reason to suspect that their values have been diminished or impaired, these assets must be tested for impairment, and write-downs to be included in results from operations may be necessary. SFAS No. 142 also requires the Company to complete a transitional goodwill impairment test six months from the date of adoption.

Any goodwill impairment loss recognized as a result of the transitional goodwill impairment test will be recorded as a cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle no later than the end of fiscal year 2002. The adoption of SFAS No. 142 had no material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements

SFAS No. 143 establishes accounting standards for the recognition and measurement of an asset retirement obligation and its associated asset retirement cost. It also provides accounting guidance for legal obligations associated with the retirement of tangible long-lived assets. SFAS No. 143 is effective in fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2002, with early adoption permitted. The Company expects that the provisions of SFAS No. 143 will not have a material impact on its consolidated results of operations and financial position upon adoption. The Company plans to adopt SFAS No. 143 effective January 1, 2003.

SFAS No. 144 establishes a single accounting model for the impairment or disposal of long-lived assets, including discontinued operations. SFAS No. 144 superseded Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 121, "Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed Of" (SFAS No. 121), and APB Opinion No. 30, "Reporting the Results of Operations - Reporting the Effects of Disposal of a Segment of a Business, and Extraordinary, Unusual and Infrequently Occurring Events and Transactions". The Company adopted SFAS No. 144 effective January 1, 2002. The adoption of SFAS No. 144 had no material impact on Company's consolidated financial statements.

In April 2002, the FASB issued Statement No. 145, "Rescission of FASB Statements No. 4, 44, and 64, Amendment of FASB Statement No. 13, and Technical Corrections." This Statement rescinds FASB Statement No. 4, "Reporting Gains and Losses from Extinguishment of Debt", and an amendment of that Statement, FASB Statement No. 64, "Extinguishments of Debt Made to Satisfy Sinking-Fund Requirements" and FASB Statement No. 44, "Accounting for Intangible Assets of Motor Carriers". This Statement amends FASB Statement No. 13, "Accounting for Leases", to eliminate an inconsistency between the required accounting for sale-leaseback transactions and the required accounting for certain lease modifications that have economic effects that a similar to sale-leaseback transactions. The Company does not expect the adoption to have a material impact

## Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

to the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In June 2002, the FASB issued Statement No. 146, "Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities." This Statement addresses financial accounting and reporting for costs associated with exit or disposal activities and nullifies Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 94-3, "Liability Recognition for Certain Employee Termination Benefits and Other Costs to Exit an Activity (including Certain Costs Incurred in a Restructuring)." The provisions of this Statement are effective for exit or disposal activities that are initiated after December 31, 2002, with early application encouraged. The Company does not expect the adoption to have a material impact to the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In October 2002, the FASB issued Statement No. 147, "Acquisitions of Certain Financial Institutions—an amendment of FASB Statements No. 72 and 144 and FASB Interpretation No. 9", which removes acquisitions of financial institutions from the scope of both Statement 72 and Interpretation 9 and requires that those

13

transactions be accounted for in accordance with Statements No. 141, Business Combinations, and No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. In addition, this Statement amends SFAS No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of

Long-Lived Assets, to include in its scope long-term customer relationship intangible assets of financial institutions such as depositor- and borrower-relationship intangible assets and credit cardholder intangible assets. The requirements relating to acquisitions of financial institutions are effective for acquisitions for which the date of acquisition is on or after October 1, 2002. The provisions related to accounting for the impairment or disposal of certain long-term customer-relationship intangible assets are effective on October 1, 2002. The adoption of this Statement did not have a material impact to the Company's financial position or results of operations as the Company has not engaged in either of these activities.

In December 2002, the FASB issued Statement No. 148, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation—Transition and Disclosure", which amends FASB Statement No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, to provide alternative methods of transition for a voluntary change to the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation. In addition, this Statement amends the disclosure requirements of Statement 123 to require prominent disclosures in both annual and interim financial statements about the method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation and the effect of the method used on reported results. The transition guidance and annual disclosure provisions of Statement 148 are effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2002, with earlier application permitted in certain circumstances. The interim disclosure provisions are effective for financial reports containing financial statements for interim periods beginning after December 15, 2002. The adoption of this statement did not have a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations as the Company has not elected to change to the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation.

In January 2003, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 46, "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities." Interpretation 46 changes the criteria by which one company includes another entity in its consolidated financial statements. Previously, the criteria were based on control through voting interest. Interpretation 46 requires a variable interest entity to be consolidated by a company if that company is subject to a majority of the risk of loss from the variable interest entity's activities or entitled to receive a majority of the entity's residual returns or both. A company that consolidates a variable interest entity is called the primary beneficiary of that entity. The

## Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

consolidation requirements of Interpretation 46 apply immediately to variable interest entities created after January 31, 2003. The consolidation requirements apply to older entities in the first fiscal year or interim period beginning after June 15, 2003. Certain of the disclosure requirements apply in all financial statements issued after January 31, 2003, regardless of when the variable interest entity was established. The Company does not expect the adoption to have a material impact to the Company's financial position or results of operations.

### Product Research and Development

We anticipate continuing to incur research and development expenditures in connection with the development of the Cyberlux Wireless Lighting System during the next twelve months. This includes, but is not limited to: a Landscape Illumination System and OEM Task Light designed for use by helmet manufacturers that produce specialty headgear for the military; police/fire and safety; mining industry, and ski/cycle safety firms.

These projected expenditures are dependent upon our generating revenues and obtaining sources of financing in excess of our existing capital resources. There is no guarantee that we will be successful in raising the funds required or generating revenues sufficient to fund the projected costs of research and development during the next twelve months.

### Acquisition or Disposition of Plant and Equipment

We do not anticipate the sale of any significant property, plant or equipment during the next twelve months. We do not anticipate the acquisition of any significant property, plant or equipment during the next 12 months.

### Number of Employees

From our inception through the period ended December 31, 2003, we have relied on the services of outside consultants for services and currently have five (5) full time employees. In order for us to attract and retain quality personnel, we anticipate we will have to offer competitive salaries to future employees. We do not anticipate our employment base will significantly change during the next 12 months. As we continue to expand, we will incur additional cost for personnel. This projected increase in personnel is dependent upon our generating revenues and obtaining sources of financing. There is no guarantee that we will be successful in raising the funds required or generating revenues sufficient to fund the projected increase in the number of employees.

### Trends, Risks and Uncertainties

We have sought to identify what we believe to be the most significant risks to our business, but we cannot predict whether, or to what extent, any of such risks may be realized nor can we guarantee that we have identified all possible risks that might arise. Investors should carefully consider all of such risk factors before making an investment decision with respect to our Common Stock.

### Cautionary Factors that may Affect Future Results

We provide the following cautionary discussion of risks, uncertainties and possible inaccurate assumptions relevant to our business and our products. These are factors that we think could cause our actual results to differ materially from expected results. Other factors besides those listed here could adversely affect us.

### Limited Operating History Anticipated Losses; Uncertainty of Future Results -

We were incorporated in May, 2000 and therefore have a limited operating history

## Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

upon which an evaluation of our Company and our prospects can be based. Our prospects must be evaluated with a view to the risks encountered by a company in an early stage of development, particularly in light of the uncertainties relating to the new and evolving products and methods which we intend to develop and market, and the acceptance of our business model. We will be incurring costs

14

to: (i) design, develop, manufacture and market our products; (ii) to establish distribution relationships; and (iii) to build an organization. To the extent that such expenses are not subsequently followed by commensurate revenues, our business, results of operations and financial condition will be materially adversely affected. We, therefore, cannot insure that we will be able to immediately generate sufficient revenues. We expect negative cash flow from operations to continue for the next 12 months as we continue to develop and market our business. If cash generated by operations is insufficient to satisfy our liquidity, we may be required to sell additional equity or debt securities. The sale of additional equity or convertible debt securities would result in additional dilution to our stockholders. Our initial operations may not be profitable, since time will be required to build our business to the point that our revenues will be sufficient to cover our total operating costs and expenses. Our reaching a sufficient level of sales revenues will depend upon a large number of factors, including availability of sufficient working capital, the number of customer we are able to attract, and the costs of manufacturing and distributing our products.

### Liquidity and Working Capital Risks; Need for Additional Capital to Finance Growth and Capital Requirements

We have had limited working capital and we are relying upon notes (borrowed funds) to operate. We may seek to raise capital from public or private equity or debt sources to provide working capital to meet our general and administrative costs until net revenues make the business self-sustaining. We cannot guarantee that we will be able to raise any such capital on terms acceptable to us or at all. Such financing may be upon terms that are dilutive or potentially dilutive to our stockholders. If alternative sources of financing are required, but are insufficient or unavailable, we will be required to modify our growth and operating plans in accordance with the extent of available funding.

### New Business

We are a new business and you should consider factors which could adversely affect our ability to generate revenues, which include, but are not limited to, maintenance of positive cash flow, which depends on our ability both to raise capital and to obtain additional financing as required, as well as the level of sales revenues.

### Potential fluctuations in quarterly operating results -

Our quarterly operating results may fluctuate significantly in the future as a result of a variety of factors, most of which are outside our control, including: the demand for our products; seasonal trends in purchasing, the amount and timing of capital expenditures and other costs relating to the development of our products; price competition or pricing changes in the industry; technical difficulties or system downtime; general economic conditions, and economic conditions specific to the consumer lighting industry. Our quarterly results may also be significantly impacted by the impact of the accounting treatment of acquisitions, financing transactions or other matters. Particularly at our early stage of development, such accounting treatment can have a material impact on the results for any quarter. Due to the foregoing factors, among others, it is likely that our operating results will fall below

## Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

our expectations or those of investors in some future quarter.

### Dependence Upon Management

Our future performance and success are dependant upon the efforts and abilities of our Management. To a very significant degree, we are dependent upon the continued services of Mr. Donald Evans, our founder and CEO and Chairman of our Board of Directors. If we lost the services of Mr. Evans or other key employees before we could get a qualified replacement, that loss could materially adversely affect our business. We do not maintain key man life insurance on any of our Management.

### Lack of Independent Directors

We cannot guarantee that our Board of Directors will have a majority of independent directors in the future. In the absence of a majority of independent directors, our executive officers, who are also principal stockholders and directors, could establish policies and enter into transactions without independent review and approval thereof. This could present the potential for a conflict of interest between the Company and its stockholders generally and the controlling officers, stockholders or directors.

### Limitation of Liability and Indemnification of Officers and Directors

Our officers and directors are required to exercise good faith and high integrity in our Management affairs. Our Articles of Incorporation provide, however, that our officers and directors shall have no liability to our shareholders for losses sustained or liabilities incurred which arise from any transaction in their respective managerial capacities unless they violated their duty of loyalty, did not act in good faith, engaged in intentional misconduct or knowingly violated the law, approved an improper dividend or stock repurchase, or derived an improper benefit from the transaction. Our Articles and By-Laws also provide for the indemnification by us of the officers and directors against any losses or liabilities they may incur as a result of the manner in which they operate our business or conduct the internal affairs, provided that in connection with these activities they act in good faith and in a manner that they reasonably believe to be in, or not opposed to, the best interests of the Company, and their conduct does not constitute gross negligence, misconduct or breach of fiduciary obligations. To further implement the permitted indemnification, we have entered into Indemnity Agreements with our officers and directors.

### Continued Control by Current Officers and Directors

The present officers and directors own approximately 40.5 % of the outstanding shares of Common Stock, and therefore are in a position to elect all of our Directors and otherwise control the Company, including, without limitation, authorizing the sale of equity or debt securities of the Company, the appointment of officers, and the determination of officers' salaries. Shareholders have no cumulative voting rights. (See Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management)

### Management of Potential Growth

We anticipate rapid growth, with regard to staffing requirements which will occur subsequent to purchase orders from retailers. Such purchase orders will

require addition of middle management staff to oversee customer service, accounting services and increased manufacturing operations which will place a



## Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

significant strain on our managerial, operational, and financial systems resources. To accommodate our current size and manage growth, we must continue to implement and improve our financial strength and our operational systems, and expand, train and manage our sales and distribution base. There is no guarantee that we will be able to effectively manage the expansion of our operations, or that our facilities, systems, procedures or controls will be adequate to support our expanded operations. Our inability to effectively manage our future growth would have a material adverse effect on us.

Audit's Opinion Expresses Doubt About The Company's Ability To Continue As a "Going Concern"

The independent auditor's report issued in connection with the audited financial statements of the Company for the period ended December 31, 2003, expresses "substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern," due to the Company's status as a development stage company and its lack of significant operations. If the Company is unable to develop its operations, the Company may have to cease to exist, which would be detrimental to the value of the Company's common stock. The Company can make no assurances that its business operations will develop and provide the Company with significant cash to continue operations.

### DELAYS IN THE INTRODUCTION OF OUR PRODUCTS

We have experienced numerous delays in the introduction of our initial product, the Home Safety Light. These delays have been caused by certain requirements from various retailers such as seasonal schedules to review certain products, changes in personnel who review the products, problems with pricing and packaging.

### DEPENDENCE ON INDEPENDENT PARTIES TO PRODUCE OUR PRODUCTS

We have out sourced the design, engineering, production, assembly, and the marketing and sale of our product through contractual arrangements with independent professional firms. The loss of one or all of these firms could seriously affect our production and sales capabilities.

### MATERIAL LITIGATION

On April 18, 2001, we filed a civil complaint against Light Technology, Inc. and others. Light Technology has filed a counterclaim (See "Legal Proceedings", page 7). Although we are of the opinion that we have meritorious claims against the defendants, a ruling against us could have serious financial consequences.

### LIMITED MARKET DUE TO PENNY STOCK

Our common stock is deemed to be "penny stock" as that term is defined in Rule 3a51-1 promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Penny stocks are stock:

- O With a price of less than \$5.00 per share;
- O That are not traded on a "recognized" national exchange;
- O Whose prices are not quoted on the Nasdaq automated quotation system. (Nasdaq listed stock must still have a price of not less than \$5.00 per share); or
- O In issuers with net tangible assets less than \$2.0 million (if the

Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

issuer has been in continuous operation for at least three years) or \$5.0 million (if in continuous operation for less than three years), or with average revenues of less than \$6.0 million for the last three years.

Broker/dealers dealing in penny stocks are required to provide potential investors with a document disclosing the risks of penny stocks. Moreover, broker/dealers are required to determine whether an investment in a penny stock is a suitable investment for a prospective investor. These requirements may reduce the potential market for our common stock by reducing the number of potential investors. This may make it more difficult for investors in our common stock to sell shares to third parties or to otherwise dispose of them. This could cause our stock price to decline.

POTENTIAL INABILITY OF OFFICERS TO DEVOTE SUFFICIENT TIME TO THE OPERATIONS OF THE BUSINESS

Although we have five (5) employees who consider themselves full time employees, none have been paid salaries from the inception of the Company. They continue to pursue other sources of income and may not be able to devote sufficient time to the operations of the business.

16

ITEM 7. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SCHEDULES

DECEMBER 31, 2003 AND 2002

FORMING A PART OF ANNUAL REPORT  
PURSUANT TO THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

CYBERLUX CORPORATION

F-1

CYBERLUX CORPORATION

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

-----	
	Page
-----	
Report of Independent Certified Public Accountants	F-3
-----	
Balance Sheet at December 31, 2003 and 2002	F-4

## Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

Statements of Losses for the Years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002 and for the Period May 17, 2000 (Date of Inception) through December 31, 2003	F-5
Statement of Deficiency in Stockholders' Equity for the Period May 17, 2000 (Date of Inception) through December 31, 2003	F-6 - F-8
Statements of Cash Flows for the Years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002 and for the Period May 17, 2000 (Date of Inception) through December 31, 2003	F-9 - F10
Notes to Financial Statements	F-11 - F-30

F-2

RUSSELL BEDFORD STEFANOU MIRCHANDANI LLP  
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

### REPORT OF INDEPENDENT CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

To the Board of Directors  
Cyberlux Corporation  
North Carolina 28370-2010

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Cyberlux Corporation (the "Company"), as of December 31, 2003 and 2002 and the related consolidated statements of losses, deficiency in stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based upon our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatements. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2003 and 2002, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the two years then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note O to the consolidated financial statements, the Company has suffered recurring losses from operations. This raises substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans in regard to these matters are also described in Note O. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

/s/ RUSSELL BEDFORD STEFANO MIRCHANDANI LLP  
 -----  
 Russell Bedford Stefanou Mirchandani LLP  
 Certified Public Accountants

McLean, Virginia  
 April 6, 2004

F-3

CYBERLUX CORPORATION  
 (A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)  
 BALANCE SHEETS

	December 31, 2003	December 31, 2002
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and equivalents	\$ 16,247	\$ 26,086
Prepaid Design Services	--	20,000
Total current assets	16,247	46,086
Fixed assets, net	68,845	79,443
Other assets		
Deposits-escrow	236,000	8,614
	236,000	8,614
Total Assets	\$ 321,092 =====	\$ 134,143 =====
LIABILITIES AND DEFICIENCY IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accrued interest	\$ 104,976	\$ 44,427
Other accrued liabilities	296,388	95,971
Management fees payable - related party	996,508	546,508
Short-term notes payable - shareholders	207,845	123,545
Short-term notes payable	320,000	365,000
Total current liabilities	1,925,717	1,175,451
Long-Term Liabilities - warrants payable-convertible preferred	347,610	
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value, 5,000,000 shares authorized, 155 and 0 issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2003 and 2002,	1	--

Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

respectively

Common stock, \$0.001 par value, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 8,049,141 and 6,628,396 issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively	8,049	6,628
Subscriptions receivable	(276,186)	(2,500)
Additional paid-in capital	2,337,736	745,593
Accumulated deficit	(4,021,835)	(1,791,029)
Deficiency in stockholders' equity	(1,952,235)	(1,041,308)
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity	\$ 321,092	\$ 134,143

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements

F-4

CYBERLUX CORPORATION  
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)  
STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	Year Ended December 31, 2003	Year Ended December 31, 2002	May 17, 2000 (Inception) to December 31, 2003
Revenue	\$ 74,238	\$ --	\$ 74,238
Cost of Goods Sold	(161,984)	--	(161,984)
Gross Loss	(87,746)		(87,746)
Expenses			
Marketing and advertising expense	20,820	8,500	147,868
Depreciation and amortization expense	246,598	379,070	325,898
Organization Costs	--	--	25,473
Research and development costs	--	322,814	244,064
Management and consulting fees - related party	504,000	350,504	1,271,322
General and administrative expenses	497,384	179,162	901,581
Total expenses	1,268,802	1,240,050	2,916,206
Income from operations	(1,356,548)	(1,240,050)	(3,003,952)
Other Income (expense)			
Interest income	--	--	40
Interest expense	(138,008)	(96,920)	(281,673)
Net Loss	(1,494,556)	(1,336,970)	(3,285,585)
Preferred dividend - beneficial conversion discount on convertible preferred	736,250	--	736,250
Net Loss	\$ (2,230,806)	\$ (1,336,970)	\$ (4,021,835)
Weighted Average number of common shares			

Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

Outstanding - basic and fully diluted	7,652,012	6,241,585
Net loss per share - basic & fully diluted	\$ (0.29)	\$ (0.15)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements

F5

	Common Stock		Preferred Stock		Additional Paid-in Capital	Subscrip Receivab
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount		
Common shares issued in May 2000 to founders in exchange for cash at \$.001 per share	1,640,000	\$1,640			\$560	
Common shares issued in May 2000 in exchange for research and development services valued at \$.09 per share	750,000	750			68,003	
Common shares issued in May 2000 in exchange for services valued @ \$.05 per share	875,000	875			35,710	
Common shares issued in July 2000 in exchange for convertible debt at \$.15 per share	288,000	288			39,712	
Capital contributed by principal shareholders	-	-			16,000	
Common shares issued in November 2000 for cash in connection with private placement at \$.15 per share	640,171	640			95,386	
Common shares issued in November 2000 in exchange for services valued @ \$.15 per share	122,795	123			18,296	
Net (loss)	-	-	-	-	-	
Balance, December 31, 2000	4,315,966	\$4,316	-	-	\$273,667	
Common shares issued in January, 2001 in exchange for convertible debt at \$ .15 per share	698,782	\$699			\$104,118	
Stock options issued in May						

Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

2001, valued at \$. 15 per option, in exchange for services					52,500	
Warrant issued in May 2001, valued at \$. 15 per warrant, in exchange for placement of debt					75,000	
Common shares issued in September 2001 for cash in connection with exercise of warrant at \$.15 per share	3,000	3			447	
Common shares issued in September 2001 for cash in connection with exercise of warrant at \$.10 per share	133,000	133			13,167	
Common shares issued in November 2001 for cash in connection with exercise of warrant at \$.0001 per share	500,000	500			-	
Common shares issued in November 2001 for cash in connection with exercise of options at \$.0001 per share	350,000	350			-	
Common shares issued in December 2001 in exchange for convertible debt at \$ .50 per share	133,961	134			66,847	
Common shares issued in December 2001 in exchange for debt at \$ .50 per share	17,687	18			8,825	
Net (loss)	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Balance, December 31, 2001	6,152,396	\$6,152			594,571	
Common shares issued in May 2002 in exchange for services valued at \$. 70 per share	70,000	\$70			\$49,930	
Common shares issued in Nov, 2002 in exchange for services valued at \$0.25 per share	150,000	150			37,350	
Common shares issued in Dec. 2002 as rights offering at \$0.25 per share	256,000	256			63,744	
Subscription Receivable for 10,000 shares issued						-2,500
Net loss	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Balance at December 31, 2002	6,628,396	\$6,628			\$745,593	(\$2,500)
Common shares issued in March, 2003 for cash in connection with exercise of options at \$0.001 per share	250,000	\$250				
Funds received for stock subscription						2,500
Common Shares issued to Cornell Capital Partners in March, 2003 in connection with Loan Commitment valued						

Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

at \$0.75 per share	300,000	300			224,700	
Common shares issues in March, 2003 in exchange for services valued at \$0.75 per share	13,333	14			9,987	
Robrady Design Note was converted into 196,120 Shares @ .25 Per share.	196,120	196			48,833	
Common Shares issued to Mark Schmidt for services in June, 2003. The 200,000 shares were issued at \$0.25 per share.	200,000	200			49,800	
Common Shares issued to Capital Funding Solutions September 2003. 450,000 shares were issued at \$0.20 per share. Shares secure a sales factoring agreement	450,000	450			89,550	
Common shares issued on 11/12/03 for consulting services valued at .50 per share to Tom & Cheryl Rose	11,292	11			5,634	
Preferred shares issued in December 2003 valued at \$5,000 per share, Class A Warrants on convertible preferred shares			-	155	1	\$774,999 (276,1
Beneficial conversion discount on convertible preferred shares						-347,610
Net (Loss)						736,250
	-	-	-	-	-	
	8,049,141	\$8,049	155	\$1	\$2,337,736	(\$276,1
Balance, December 31, 2003						

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements

F7

CYBERLUX CORPORATION  
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)  
STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

Year Ended Year Ended  
May 17, 2000  
(Inception) to



Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

	December 31, 2003 ----	December 31, 2002 -----	December 31, 2003 -----
Cash flows from operating activities			
Net loss	\$ (1,494,556)	\$ (700,104)	\$ (3,285,585)
Depreciation and Amortization	246,598	82,518	325,898
Stock options issued for consulting services	--	--	107,504
Shares issued for previously incurred debt	49,029	--	49,029
Preferred shares issued for previously incurred debt	--	--	--
Loan extension write off	--	25,000	25,000
Preferred shares issued for conversion of accrued management fees	--	--	--
Accrued expenses relating to escrow deposits	23,814	--	23,814
Shares issued for consulting services	65,646	87,498	173,150
Shares issued for research and development	--	--	68,753
Shares issued for factoring agreement	90,000	--	90,000
Decrease(increase) in deposits	(227,386)	(1,795)	(236,000)
(Increase) decrease in other assets, net	20,000	6,812	--
Increase in accounts receivable	--	--	--
Increase in accrued interest	60,549	28,409	104,976
(Decrease)increase in management fee payable-related party	450,000	260,004	996,508
Increase in other accrued liabilities	200,417	92,722	296,388
Net cash used in operating activities	(515,889)	(118,936)	
Cash flows from investing activities			
Purchase of fixed assets	(11,000)	(52,880)	(113,494)
Cash used in investing activities	(11,000)	(52,880)	(113,494)
Cash flows from financing activities			
Payments for (proceeds from) short-term notes payable net	(45,000)	80,000	467,455
Proceeds from short-term notes payable-shareholders (net)	84,300	25,800	207,845
Issuance of preferred stock	475,000	--	475,000

Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

Capital contributed by shareholders	--	--	16,000
Issuance of common stock	2,750	61,500	224,006
Net cash provided by financing activities	517,050	167,300	1,390,306
Net decrease in cash	(9,839)	(4,516)	16,247
Cash - beginning	26,086	30,602	--
Cash - ending	\$ 16,247	\$ 26,086	\$ 16,247
Supplemental disclosures:			
Interest paid	18,425	49,475	50,900
Income taxes paid	--	--	--
Non-cash investing and financing activities:			
Shares issued for research and development and consulting	--	37,500	106,253
Shares issued for conversion of debt	56,720	--	313,692
Warrants issued in connection with financing	--	--	75,000
Options issued in connection with services	--	--	52,500
Shares issued in connection with services	99,081	49,998	204,083
Shares issued in connection with loan	225,000	--	225,000
Shares issued in connection with factoring	90,000	--	90,000
Warrants issued (detachable) with convertible preferred shares	347,610	--	347,610
Beneficial conversion discount on convertible preferred stock	736,250	--	736,250

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements

F8

CYBERLUX CORPORATION  
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)  
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2003 AND 2002

NOTE A-SUMMARY OF ACCOUNTING POLICIES

A summary of the significant accounting policies applied in the preparation of the accompanying financial statements follows.

BUSINESS AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Cyberlux Corporation (the "Company") is incorporated under the laws of the State of Nevada. The Company is in the development stage as defined under Statement on

## Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

Financial Accounting Standards No. 7, Development Stage Enterprises ("SFAS No. 7") and is seeking to develop, manufacture and market long-term portable lighting products for commercial and industrial use. To date the Company has generated little revenue, has incurred expenses, and sustained losses. Consequently, its operations are subject to all risks inherent in the establishment of a new business enterprise. As of December 31, 2003, the Company has accumulated losses of \$3,285,585.

The Company is in the development stage and its efforts have been principally devoted to designing, developing manufacturing and marketing advanced lighting systems that utilize white (and other) light emitting diodes as illumination elements.

The Company's common stock has been listed on the NASDAQ OTC Electronic Bulletin Board sponsored by the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. under the symbol "CYBL" since July 11, 2003.

In September 2003, the Company entered into a factoring agreement with Capital Funding Solutions, Inc. with regard to a purchase order from QVC.

In October 2003, due to the change in pricing structure of our common stock on the over-the-counter bulletin board, the Company mutually cancelled the equity line of credit agreement with Cornell Capital Partners, LP which was entered into on March 15, 2003.

### REVENUE RECOGNITION

For revenue from product sales, the Company recognizes revenue in accordance with SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 101, "Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements" ("SAB 101"). SAB 101 requires that four basic criteria must be met before revenue can be recognized: (1) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists; (2) delivery has occurred; (3) the selling price is fixed and determinable; and (4) collectibility is reasonably assured. Determination of criteria (3) and (4) are based on management's judgments regarding the fixed nature of the selling prices of the products delivered and the collectibility of those amounts. Provisions for discounts and rebates to customers, estimated returns and allowances, and other adjustments are provided for in the same period the related sales are recorded. The Company defers any revenue for which the product has not been delivered or is subject to refund until such time that the Company and the customer jointly determine that the product has been delivered or no refund will be required.

### ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

### CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

For purposes of the Statements of Cash Flows, the Company considers all highly liquid debt instruments purchased with a maturity date of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

### FIXED ASSETS

Property and equipment are recorded at cost. Minor additions and renewals are expensed in the year incurred. Major additions and renewals are capitalized and

## Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

depreciated over their estimated useful lives. Depreciation is calculated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives

### ADVERTISING COSTS

The Company expenses all costs of advertising as incurred. Advertising costs totaled \$20,820 and \$8,500 in 2003 and 2002, respectively.

F-9

CYBERLUX CORPORATION  
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)  
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2003 AND 2002

### NOTE A-SUMMARY OF ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

#### IMPAIRMENT OF LONG LIVED ASSETS

The Company has adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144 (SFAS 144). The Statement requires that long-lived assets and certain identifiable intangibles held and used by the Company be reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Events relating to recoverability may include significant unfavorable changes in business conditions, recurring losses, or a forecasted inability to achieve break-even operating results over an extended period. The Company evaluates the recoverability of long-lived assets based upon forecasted undercounted cash flows. Should an impairment in value be indicated, the carrying value of intangible assets will be adjusted, based on estimates of future discounted cash flows resulting from the use and ultimate disposition of the asset. SFAS No. 144 also requires assets to be disposed of be reported at the lower of the carrying amount or the fair value less costs to sell.

#### FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

Fair value estimates discussed herein are based upon certain market assumptions and pertinent information available to management as of December 31, 2003 and 2002. The respective carrying value of certain on-balance-sheet financial instruments approximated their fair values. These financial instruments include cash and accounts payable. Fair values were assumed to approximate carrying values for cash and payables because they are short term in nature and their carrying amounts approximate fair values or they are payable on demand.

#### CONCENTRATIONS OF CREDIT RISK

Financial instruments and related items which potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk consist primarily of cash, cash equivalents and trade receivables. The Company places its cash and temporary cash investments with credit quality institutions. At times, such investments may be in excess of the FDIC insurance limit.

#### STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION:

In December 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 148, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation-Transition and Disclosure-an amendment of SFAS 123." This statement amends SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," to provide alternative methods of transition for a voluntary charge to the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation. In addition, this statement amends the disclosure requirements of SFAS No. 123 to require prominent disclosures in both annual and interim financial statements about the

## Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation and the effect of the method used on reported results. The Company has chosen to continue to account for stock-based compensation using the intrinsic value method prescribed in APB Opinion No. 25 and related interpretations. Accordingly, compensation expense for stock options is measured as the excess, if any, of the fair market value of the Company's stock at the date of the grant over the exercise price of the related option. The Company has adopted the annual disclosure provisions of SFAS No. 148 in its financial reports for the year ended December 31, 2002 and subsequent years.

Had compensation costs for the Company's stock options been determined based on the fair value at the grant dates for the awards, the Company's net loss and losses per share would have been as follows (transactions involving stock options issued to employees and Black-Scholes model assumptions are presented in Note C):

	For the year ended December 31,	
	2003	2002
Net loss - as reported	\$(2,230,806)	\$(1,336,970)
Add: Total stock based employee compensation expense as reported under intrinsic value method (APB. No. 25)	--	--
Deduct: Total stock based employee compensation expense as reported under fair value based method (SFAS No. 123)	106,800	--
	-----	-----
Net loss - Pro Forma	\$(2,337,606)	\$(1,336,970)
Net loss attributable to common stockholders - Pro forma	\$(2,337,606)	\$(1,336,970)
Basic (and assuming dilution) loss per share - as reported	\$ (.29)	\$ (.15)
Basic (and assuming dilution) loss per share - Pro forma	\$ (.31)	\$ (.15)

F-10

CYBERLUX CORPORATION  
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)  
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2003 AND 2002

NOTE A-SUMMARY OF ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

LOSS PER SHARE

Net loss per share is provided in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 128 (SFAS #128) Earnings Per Share. Basic loss per share is computed by dividing losses available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period.

SEGMENT REPORTING

The Company follows Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 130, Disclosures About Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information. The Company operates as a single segment and will evaluate additional segment disclosure

## Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

requirements as it expands its operations.

### INCOME TAXES

The Company follows Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes (SFAS No. 109) for recording the provision for income taxes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are computed based upon the difference between the financial statement and income tax basis of assets and liabilities using the enacted marginal tax rate applicable when the related asset or liability is expected to be realized or settled. Deferred income tax expenses or benefits are based on the changes in the asset or liability during each period. If available evidence suggests that it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized, a valuation allowance is required to reduce the deferred tax assets to the amount that is more likely than not to be realized. Future changes in such valuation allowance are included in the provision for deferred income taxes in the period of change. Deferred income taxes may arise from temporary differences resulting from income and expense items reported for financial accounting and tax purposes in different periods. Deferred taxes are classified as current or non-current, depending on the classification of assets and liabilities to which they relate. Deferred taxes arising from temporary differences that are not related to an asset or liability are classified as current or non-current depending on the periods in which the temporary differences are expected to reverse

### RECENT PRONOUNCEMENTS

In June 2002, the FASB issued Statement No. 146, "Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities." This Statement addresses financial accounting and reporting for costs associated with exit or disposal activities and nullifies Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 94-3, "Liability Recognition for Certain Employee Termination Benefits and Other Costs to Exit an Activity (including Certain Costs Incurred in a Restructuring)." The provisions of this Statement are effective for exit or disposal activities that are initiated after December 31, 2002, with early application encouraged. The Company does not expect the adoption to have a material impact to the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In October 2002, the FASB issued Statement No. 147, "Acquisitions of Certain Financial Institutions—an amendment of FASB Statements No. 72 and 144 and FASB Interpretation No. 9", which removes acquisitions of financial institutions from the scope of both Statement 72 and Interpretation 9 and requires that those transactions be accounted for in accordance with Statements No. 141, Business Combinations, and No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. In addition, this Statement amends SFAS No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets, to include in its scope long-term customer relationship intangible assets of financial institutions such as depositor- and borrower-relationship intangible assets and credit cardholder intangible assets. The requirements relating to acquisitions of financial institutions are effective for acquisitions for which the date of acquisition is on or after October 1, 2002. The provisions related to accounting for the impairment or disposal of certain long-term customer-relationship intangible assets are effective on October 1, 2002. The adoption of this Statement did not have a material impact to the Company's financial position or results of operations as the Company has not engaged in either of these activities.

F-11

## Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

### NOTE A-SUMMARY OF ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

#### RECENT PRONOUNCEMENTS (CONTINUED)

In December 2002, the FASB issued Statement No. 148, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation-Transition and Disclosure", which amends FASB Statement No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, to provide alternative methods of transition for a voluntary change to the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation. In addition, this Statement amends the disclosure requirements of Statement 123 to require prominent disclosures in both annual and interim financial statements about the method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation and the effect of the method used on reported results. The transition guidance and annual disclosure provisions of Statement 148 are effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2002, with earlier application permitted in certain circumstances. The interim disclosure provisions are effective for financial reports containing financial statements for interim periods beginning after December 15, 2002. The adoption of this statement did not have a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations as the Company has not elected to change to the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation.

In January 2003, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 46, "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities." Interpretation 46 changes the criteria by which one company includes another entity in its consolidated financial statements. Previously, the criteria were based on control through voting interest. Interpretation 46 requires a variable interest entity to be consolidated by a company if that company is subject to a majority of the risk of loss from the variable interest entity's activities or entitled to receive a majority of the entity's residual returns or both. A company that consolidates a variable interest entity is called the primary beneficiary of that entity. The consolidation requirements of Interpretation 46 apply immediately to variable interest entities created after January 31, 2003. The consolidation requirements apply to older entities in the first fiscal year or interim period beginning after June 15, 2003. Certain of the disclosure requirements apply in all financial statements issued after January 31, 2003, regardless of when the variable interest entity was established. The Company does not expect the adoption to have a material impact to the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In April 2003, the FASB issued Statement No. 149, "Amendment of Statement of 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities ", which amends Statement 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. The adoption of this statement did not have a material impact on the Company's financial position.

In May 2003, the FASB issued Statement No. 150, "Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity. The adoption of this statement did not have a material impact on the Company's financial position.

In December 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 132 (revised), EMPLOYERS' DISCLOSURES ABOUT PENSIONS AND OTHER POSTRETIREMENT BENEFITS - AN AMENDMENT OF FASB STATEMENTS NO. 87, 88 AND 106. This statement retains the disclosure requirements contained in FASB statement no. 132, Employers' Disclosures about Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits, which it replaces. It requires additional disclosures to those in the original statement 132 about the assets, obligations, cash flows, and net periodic benefit cost of defined benefit pension plans and other defined benefit postretirement plans. The required information should be provided separately for pension plans and for other

## Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

postretirement benefit plans. The revision applies for the first fiscal or annual interim period ending after December 15, 2003 for domestic pension plans and June 15, 2004 for foreign pension plans and requires certain new disclosures related to such plans. The adoption of this statement will not have a material impact on the Company's results of operations or financial positions.

F-12

CYBERLUX CORPORATION  
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)  
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2003 AND 2002

NOTE B - NOTES PAYABLE AND CONVERTIBLE DEBENTURES

Notes payable at December 31, 2003 and 2002 are as follows:

	2003	2002
--	------	------

10 % convertible note payable, unsecured and due September, 2003; accrued and unpaid interest due at maturity ; Note holder has the option to convert unpaid note principal together with accrued and unpaid interest to the Company's common stock at a rate of \$ .50 per share. The Company is in violation of the loan covenants.	\$ 2,500	\$ 2,500
---	----------	----------

10 % convertible notes payable, unsecured and due March , 2003; accrued and unpaid interest due at maturity; Note holder has the option to convert unpaid note principal together with accrued and unpaid interest to the Company's common stock at a rate of \$ 1.00 per share. The Company is in violation of the loan covenants.	7,500	7,500
---	-------	-------

10 % convertible notes payable, unsecured and due March , 2003; accrued and unpaid interest due at maturity; Note holder has the option to convert unpaid note principal together with accrued and unpaid interest to the Company's common stock at a rate of \$ .50 per share. The Company is in violation of the loan covenants.	25,000	25,000
--	--------	--------

10 % notes payable, unsecured and due March , 2003; accrued and unpaid interest due at maturity; Note holder has the option to convert unpaid note principal together with accrued and unpaid interest to the Company's common stock at a rate of \$ 1.00 per share. The Company is in violation of the loan covenants.	10,000	10,000
---	--------	--------

18% note payable , interest payable monthly and due June, 2003; note secured by Company's assets and pledge of 3,265,000 shares of the Company's common stock owned by Company's principal shareholders and officers; Note holder has the option to convert unpaid note principal together with accrued and unpaid interest to the Company's common stock at the lower of \$ .15 per share or a price per share equal to 85 % of the average daily bid price over the ten preceding days prior to the date of conversion. The Company is in violation of the loan covenants.This note is paid off and settled subsequently in January 2004.	195,000	195,000
---	---------	---------

10% Convertible note payable , unsecured and due October 2003; accrued and unpaid interest due at maturity; Note holder has the option to convert unpaid note principal together with accrued and unpaid interest to the Company's common stock at \$ .25 per share. The Company is in violation of the loan covenants.	75,000	75,000
---	--------	--------



Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

10% convertible note payable , unsecured and due October 2003 ; accrued and unpaid interest due at maturity; Note holder has the option to convert unpaid note principal together with accrued and unpaid interest to the Company's common stock at the lower of \$ .50 per share. The Company is in violation of the loan covenants.	5,000	5,000
10% Note payable, unsecured, accrued and unpaid. Interest and principal payable on demand		5,000
10 % notes payable, unsecured and due March , 2003; accrued and unpaid interest due at maturity; Note holders have the option to convert unpaid note principal together with accrued and unpaid interest to the Company's common stock at a rate of \$ 1.00 per share. The Company is in violation of the loan covenants.	-	40,000
		-----
	320,000	365,000
Less: current portion	(320,000)	(365,000)
		-----
Total	\$ -	\$ -

Total interest expense at December 31, 2003 and 2002 of \$138,008 and \$96,920.

F-13

CYBERLUX CORPORATION  
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)  
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2003 AND 2002

NOTE C - STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY

Common Stock

The Company has authorized 20,000,000 shares of common stock, with a par value of \$.001 per share.

During May, 2000, the Company issued 1,640,000 shares of its common stock to its founders in exchange for cash of \$2,200.

During May 2000, the Company issued 750,000 shares of its common stock in exchange for research and development and organizational costs paid for by Research Econometrics, LLP the totaling \$68,753. The stock issued was valued at approximately \$.09 per share, which represents the fair value of the stock issued, which did not differ materially from the value of the services rendered.

During May 2000, the Company issued 875,000 shares of its common stock to an officer of the Company for consulting services valued at \$36,585. The stock issued was valued at approximately \$.05 per share, which represents the fair value of the stock issued, which did not differ materially from the value of the services rendered.

In May, 2000 the Company issued \$40,000 of notes payable convertible into the Company's common stock at a price equal to \$.15 per share. In July 2000, the holders of the notes payable elected to convert \$40,000 of the notes, plus accrued interest, in exchange for 288,000 shares of the Company's common stock.

## Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

In November, 2000 the Company issued 640,171 shares of common stock in exchange for \$ 96,026 in connection with a private placement memorandum, net of costs.

During November 2000, the Company issued 122,795 shares of its common stock in exchange for services totaling \$18,419. The stock issued was valued at approximately \$0.15 per share, which represents the fair value of the stock issued, which did not differ materially from the value of the services rendered.

In January 2001, holders of the Company's convertible notes payable elected to convert \$104,817 of debt in exchange for 698,782 shares of the Company's common stock.

F-14

CYBERLUX CORPORATION  
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)  
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2003 AND 2002

### NOTE C - STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY (CONTINUED)

In May, 2001, the Company granted certain officers of the Company options to purchase 350,000 shares the Company's common stock at its par value for services rendered. The options issued were valued at \$ .15 per share, or \$52,500 which represents the fair value of the option issued, which did not differ materially from the value of the services received. In November, 2001, the officers elected to exercise their options to purchase the stock for \$350.

In connection with the placement of the Company's Note Payable in October, 2001, the Company issued warrants to purchase 500,000 shares of the Company's common stock at par value to the holders of the Note. The warrant agreement expires October 22, 2004, and is callable upon election by the Company. The 500,000 warrants are valued at \$0.15 per warrant, or \$75,000, which represents the fair value of the warrants, issued and is being amortized over the life of the loan. The warrant was exercised in November 2001. Amortization expense of \$ 50,000 and \$12,500 was charged to operations in 2002 and 2001, respectively.

During the year ended December 31, 2001, certain warrant holders elected to convert their warrants to 636,000 shares of the Company's \$0.001 par value common stock for cash of \$ 14,250.

In December 2001, holders of the Company's convertible notes payable elected to convert \$ 75,824 of debt in exchange for 151,648 shares of the Company's common stock.

During May 2002, the Company issued 70,000 shares of its common stock in exchange for services totaling \$49,998. The stock issued was valued at approximately \$.70 per share, which represents the fair value of the stock issued, which did not differ materially from the value of the services rendered.

During November 2002, the Company issued 150,000 shares of its common stock in exchange for services totaling \$ 37,500. The stock issued was valued at approximately \$.25 per share, which represents the fair value of the stock issued, which did not differ materially from the value of the services rendered.

In December, 2002 the Company issued 256,000 shares of common stock in exchange for \$ 64,000 for cash in connection with a private placement memorandum, net of

## Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

costs. In May, 2003, the holder of a \$49,030 note payable exchanged the unpaid principal together with accrued interest for 196,120 shares of the Company's common stock.

In June, 2003, the Company issued 200,000 shares of its common stock in exchange for services totaling \$ 50,000. The stock issued was valued at approximately \$.25 per share, which represents the fair value of the stock issued, which did not differ materially from the value of the services rendered.

In September, 2003, the Company issued 450,000 shares of its common stock in exchange for services totaling \$ 90,000. The stock issued was valued at approximately \$.20 per share, which represents the fair value of the stock issued, which did not differ materially from the value of the services rendered.

In November, 2003, the Company issued 11,292 shares of its common stock in exchange for services totaling \$ 5,645. The stock issued was valued at approximately \$.50 per share, which represents the fair value of the stock issued, which did not differ materially from the value of the services rendered.

### Preferred Stock

The Company has also authorized 5,000,000 shares of preferred stock, with a par value of \$.001 per share.

In December, 2003, the Company issued 155 shares of its convertible preferred stock - class A, valued at \$5,000 per share. This has a stated value of \$5,000 per share and a conversion price of \$0.10 per share and warrants to purchase an aggregate of 15,500,000 of our common stock. The Company recorded beneficial conversion discount for the year ended December 31, 2003 of \$736,250.

F-15

### NOTE D - STOCK OPTIONS

#### Class A Warrants

The following table summarizes the changes in warrants outstanding and the related prices for the shares of the Company's common stock issued to shareholders at December 31, 2003.

Exercise Prices	Warrants Outstanding			Warrants Exercisable	
	Number Outstanding	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)	Weighed Average Exercise Price	Number Exercisable	Weighted Average Exercise Price
\$ 0.25	7,750,000	5	\$ 0.25	7,750,000	\$ 0.25
	7,750,000	5	\$ 0.25	7,750,000	\$ 0.25

#### Class B Warrants

The following table summarizes the changes in warrants outstanding and the related prices for the shares of the Company's common stock issued to shareholders at December 31, 2003.

Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

Exercise Prices	Warrants Outstanding			Warrants Exercisable	
	Number Outstanding	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number Exercisable	Weighted Average Exercise Price
\$ 1.05	7,750,000	3	\$ 1.05	7,750,000	\$ 1.05
	7,750,000	3	\$ 1.05	7,750,000	\$ 1.05

Transactions involving the Company's warrant issuance are summarized as follows:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Price Per Share
Outstanding at December 31, 2002	-	\$ -
Granted	15,500,000	0.54
Exercised	-	-
Canceled or expired	-	-
Outstanding at December 31, 2003	15,550,000	\$ .54

Employee Stock Options

The following table summarizes the changes in options outstanding and the related prices for the shares of the Company's common stock issued to employees of the Company under a non-qualified employee stock option plan.

Exercise Prices	Warrants Outstanding			Warrants Exercisable	
	Number Outstanding	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number Exercisable	Weighted Average Exercise Price
\$ 0.2125	2,000,000	6	\$0.2125	2,000,000	\$0.2125
	2,000,000	6	\$0.2125	2,000,000	\$0.2125

Transactions involving stock options issued to employees are summarized as follows:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Price Per Share
Outstanding at December 31, 2002	-	-
Granted	2,000,000	\$ 0.2125

Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

Exercised	-	-
Canceled or expired	-	-
	-----	-----
Outstanding at December 31, 2003	2,000,000	\$ 0.2125
	=====	=====

Employee Stock Options (Continued)

The weighted-average fair value of stock options granted to employees during the period ended December 31, 2003 and 2002 and the weighted-average significant assumptions used to determine those fair values, using a Black-Scholes option pricing model are as follows:

	2003	2002
	----	----
Significant assumptions (weighted-average):		
Risk-free interest rate at grant date	1.02%	n/a
Expected stock price volatility	26%	n/a
Expected dividend payout	-	-
Expected option life-years (a)	6	n/a

If the Company recognized compensation cost for the stock options and warrants for the non-qualified employee stock option plan in accordance with SFAS No. 123, the Company's pro forma net loss and net loss per share would have been \$(2,2337,606) and \$(0.31) for the year ended December 31, 2003 and \$(1,336,970) and \$(0.15) for the year ended December 31, 2002, respectively.

F-16

CYBERLUX CORPORATION  
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)  
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2003 AND 2002

NOTE E - RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The Company entered into a sub-lease agreement with Research Econometrics, LLP, which provides the Company the ability to continue the research and development efforts of the Electrochemical Portable Power Plant and Lighting System. The agreement is on a month-to-month basis. Total rental expense for the years ending December 31, 2003 and 2002 was \$8,814 and \$13,185, respectively.

The Company incurred management fees to its officers totaling \$504,000 and \$350,504 during the years ended December 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002, respectively. Unpaid management fees aggregate \$996,508 and \$546,508 as of December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

From time to time, the Company's principal officers have advanced funds to the Company for working capital purposes in the form of unsecured promissory notes accruing interest at 12% per annum. As of December 31, 2003 and 2002, the balance due to the officers was \$ 207,845 and \$123,545, respectively.

NOTE F - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Consulting Agreements

The Company has consulting agreements with outside contractors, certain of whom

## Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

are also Company stockholders, directors and officers. The Agreements are generally for a term of 12 months from inception and renewable automatically from year to year unless either the Company or Consultant terminates such engagement by written notice.

### NOTE G - LOSSES PER SHARE

The following table presents the computation of basic and diluted losses per share:

	2003	2002
Net loss available to Common stockholders	(1,494,556)	\$(1,336,970)
Basic and diluted loss per share	(0.20)	(0.15)
Weighted average common shares outstanding	7,652,012	6,241,585

### NOTE H - INCOME TAXES

The Company has adopted Financial Accounting Standards No. 109, which requires the recognition of deferred tax liabilities and assets for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been included in the financial statement or tax returns.

Under this method, deferred tax liabilities and assets are determined based on the difference between financial statements and tax bases of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to reverse. Temporary differences between taxable income reported for financial reporting purposes and income tax purposes are insignificant. At December 31, 2003 and 2002, the Company has available for federal income tax purposes a net operating loss carry forward of approximately \$ 3,200,000, expiring in the year 2022, that may be used to offset future taxable income. The Company has provided a valuation reserve against the full amount of the net operating loss benefit, since in the opinion of management based upon the earnings history of the Company, it is more likely than not that the benefits will not be realized.

Components of deferred tax assets as of December 31, 2003 are as follows:

Non current:	
Net operating loss carry forward	\$1,088,000
Valuation allowance	\$(1,088,000)
	-----
Net deferred tax asset	-

The realization of these net operating loss carry forwards is dependent upon generating taxable income prior to the related year of expiration. The amount of carry forward that may be utilized in any future tax year may also be subject to certain limitations, including limitations as a result of certain stockholder ownership changes in which may be beyond the control of the Company

F-17

CYBERLUX CORPORATION  
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)  
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2003 AND 2002

### NOTE I - GOING CONCERN MATTERS

## Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

The accompanying statements have been prepared on a going concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business. As shown in the accompanying financial statements during the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, the Company incurred losses from operations of \$(1,494,556) and \$(1,336,970), respectively. These factors among others may indicate that the Company will be unable to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time.

The Company is actively pursuing additional equity financing through discussions with investment bankers and private investors. There can be no assurance the Company will be successful in its effort to secure additional equity financing.

If operations and cash flows continue to improve through these efforts, management believes that the Company can continue to operate. However, no assurance can be given that management's actions will result in profitable operations or the resolution of its liquidity problems.

The Company's existence is dependent upon management's ability to develop profitable operations and resolve its liquidity problems. Management anticipates the Company will attain profitable status and improve its liquidity through the continued developing, marketing and selling of its services and additional equity investment in the Company. The accompanying financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result should the Company be unable to continue as a going concern.

### NOTE J - SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

In January 2004, the Company committed to issue 800,000 shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock, Par Value \$0.001 and ranks pari passu with the Company's Series A Convertible preferred Stock and prior to all classes of the Company's Equity Securities which by their terms do not rank senior to the Company's Series B Preferred Stock. The shares were issued in lieu of accrued salaries of \$723,670, payable to certain officers of the Company.

In January, 2004, the Company 395,000 share warrants to certain affiliates and officers of the company to compensate for services provided to the company. The Company also increased the number of shares in the current Stock Purchase Plan to 1,000,000 shares of the underlying common stock.

In January, 2004, the Company issued 185,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock to certain affiliates as compensation for services rendered.

In January, 2004 the Company, in consideration of a sum of \$230,000, obtained a release from OneCap, a Nevada Corporation, in respect of a lawsuit filed by the latter, relating to a loan advanced by it. The release discharges the company from all present and future claims by OneCap relating to the loan.

### ITEM 8. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE.

On July 17, 2002, G. Brad Beckstead (Beckstead), resigned as the Company's certifying accountant. Beckstead's reports on the Company's financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000 did not contain an adverse opinion or disclaimer of opinion; however, the audit report for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000 contained an explanatory paragraph regarding the substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. The decision to change its certifying accountant was approved by the Company's Board of Directors. During the year ended December 31, 2001 and the period May 17, 2000 (date of inception) through December 31, 2001, and the subsequent interim period through July 17, 2002 the Company has not had any disagreements with Beckstead on any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial

## Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

statement disclosure or auditing scope or procedure.

The Company has engaged Russell Bedford Stefanou Mirchandani LLP ( Russell Bedford Stefanou Mirchandani) as its certifying accountant as of August 23, 2002 for the Company's fiscal year ending December 31, 2002. The Company had not consulted with Russell Bedford Stefanou Mirchandani prior to Russell Bedford Stefanou Mirchandani's retention on either the application of accounting principles or the type of opinion Russell Bedford Stefanou Mirchandani might render on the Company's financial statements.

### ITEM 8A CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

As of 14, 2003, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2003 for purposes of preparation of this Annual Report on Form 10-KSB.

The registrants' principal executive officers and principal financial officer have concluded that there were no significant changes in the registrants' internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect these controls subsequent to December 31, 2003 the date of their most evaluation of such controls, and that there was no significant deficiencies or material weaknesses in the registrant's internal controls.

F-18

### PART III

#### ITEM 9. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, PROMOTERS AND CONTROL PERSONS; COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 16(A) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT.

##### A. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to each of our executive officers or directors.

NAME	AGE	POSITION	APPOINTED
Donald F. Evans	68	CEO & Chairman of the Board	May 19, 2000
Mark D. Schmidt	38	President, COO & Director	May 01, 2003
John W. Ringo	59	Secretary, Corporate Counsel & Director	May 19, 2000
Alan H. Ninneman	60	Senior Vice President & Director	May 19, 2000
David D. Downing	52	Treasurer & CFO	May 19, 2000

##### WORK EXPERIENCE

DONALD F. EVANS, CEO, Chairman of the Board - Mr. Evans graduated from the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC with a BS Degree in Economics. Mr. Evans represented the investment interest of Research Econometrics in Waste Reduction Products Corporation, a privately held North Carolina corporation from June of 1996 to until March of 1999. Mr. Evans served on the Board of that Company and as its representative for product sales to the U.S. Department of Defense. On March 19, 1999, Research Econometrics sold its interest in Waste Reduction Products Corporation and on April 1, 1999, he began an investigative research study on behalf of Research Econometrics into the feasibility of a long-term electrochemical interim lighting system. The resulting study identified the feasibility of white diodes as lighting elements which, when managed by solid state circuitry, would provide a reliable source (over forty-two hours from one battery pack) lighting solution to homeowners or businesses during extended power outages. The study provided the performance



## Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

specifications and methods for the development of the light which led to the formation of Cyberlux Corporation in May 2000 as the business management entity for the project. Mr. Evans has served as the CEO of Cyberlux since its inception.

MARK D. SCHMIDT, President, COO & Director. Mr. Schmidt graduated Summa Cum Laude with a Bachelor of Science Degree in Engineering from North Carolina State University and earned an MBA Degree from the Fuqua School of Business at Duke University. Mr. Schmidt is a former IBM executive with over 15 years of consumer marketing, business management and venture startup experience. He is a recognized technology product marketing & sales expert who was responsible for the global market launch of the IBM Valuepoint and IBM Aptiva personal computer products as well as multiple accessories, services and home networking products. He has held positions responsible for product development, manufacturing, marketing, sales, strategic partnerships and worldwide channel development.

JOHN W. RINGO, Secretary, Corporate Counsel & Director - Mr. Ringo graduated from the University of Kentucky in Lexington, KY with a BA Degree in Journalism. Subsequently, he received a Juris Doctor Degree from the University of Kentucky College of Law. Since 1990, he has been engaged in private practice in Marietta, GA specializing in corporate and securities law. He is a former Staff Attorney with the U. S. Securities and Exchange Commission, a member of the Bar of the Supreme Court of the United States, the Kentucky Bar Association and the Georgia Bar Association. Mr. Ringo is a founder of Cyberlux and has served as Secretary and General Counsel since its inception.

ALAN H. NINNEMAN, Senior Vice President & Director - Mr. Ninneman attended Elgin Community College, Elgin, IL and subsequently majored in business administration at Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, IL. Mr. Ninneman was a senior support analyst for Tandem Computer, San Jose, California from 1982 to 1985; senior business analyst at Apple Computer, Cupertino, California from 1985 to 1987; Director of Operations at Scorpion Technologies, Inc., San Jose, California; and CEO of City Software, Inc., Albuquerque, New Mexico from 1992 until becoming a founder of Cyberlux in May 2000. Mr. Ninneman is responsible for the Company's operations systems.

DAVID D. DOWNING, Treasurer & CFO - Mr. Downing graduated from Grove City College, Grove City, PA with a BA Degree in Accounting. Mr. Downing joined Marietta Industrial Enterprises, Inc., Marietta, Ohio in November 1991 as its Chief Financial Officer. He was elected to the Board of Directors of that Company in January 1994. He has been a Director of American Business Parks, Inc., Belpre, Ohio since January 1998 and served as a director of Agri-Cycle Products, Inc. from May 1998 until April 2001. He is a founder of Cyberlux and served as its Treasurer since its inception.

### ITEM 10. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION.

#### REMUNERATION OF DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Although the Company has employment agreements with Messrs. Evans, Schmidt, Ringo, Ninneman and Downing which call for compensation as listed below, no salaries have been paid during the development stage. These officers have agreed to receive accrued management fees in the form of bonus payments after revenues are available from product sales. No officer or director has received any compensation as of yet until such time as we begin generating revenues. However, the following table sets forth the annual compensation due our executives that has accrued based on the inability of the Company to meet the obligation.

F-19

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

NAME AND PRINCIPAL POSITION	YEAR	ANNUAL COMPENSATION			RESTRICTED STOCK	SECURITIES UNDERLYING AWARD(S) (\$)	LONG TERM COMPENSATION AWARDS (P) OPTION
		SALARY (\$)	OTHER ANNUAL COMPENSATION BONUS (\$)				
Donald F. Evans	2000	\$28,500	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Chairman	2001	\$98,004	\$0	\$0	\$0	200,	
CEO	2002	\$98,004	\$0	\$0	\$0		
	2003	\$180,000				700,	
John W. Ringo	2000	\$13,000	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Secretary	2001	\$69,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	150,	
Director	2002	\$69,000	\$0	\$0	\$0		
	2003	\$102,000	\$0			250,	
Alan H. Ninneman	2000	\$15,000	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Senior Vice Pres	2001	\$78,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	150,	
Director	2002	\$78,000	\$0	\$0	\$0		
	2003	\$102,000	\$0			250,	
David D. Downing	2000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
CFO	2001	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	100,	
Treasurer	2002	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
	2003	\$102,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	250,	
Mark D. Schmidt *	2003	\$120,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	550,	
President, COO							
Director							

FOOTNOTES TO EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION:

## Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

No officer has been paid a salary since our inception as a capital conservation measure designed to invest all available funds into the development of our products. Annual compensation began accruing in the form of management fees as of July 2000. The compensation indicated in the table is the annualized amount of salary to be paid the respective officers in accordance with their employment agreements. Salary accruals for Mr. Evans began in July 2000 at \$3,000 per month through September 2000 and \$6,500 per month from October to December 2000. Salary accruals for Messrs. Ninneman and Ringo began in September 2000 at \$3,000 each for September and October 2000, followed by \$4,500 in November and December for Mr. Ninneman and \$3,500 in November and December for Mr. Ringo. From 2001 forward, salaries have accrued in accordance with the annualized salaries outlined in the table. Pursuant to their employment agreements, Messrs. Evans, Ninneman and Ringo are to receive monthly salaries of \$8,167, \$6,500, and \$5,750 respectively. The salary accruals are non-interest bearing obligations of the Company that are to be retired from revenues when product sales begin.

Salary accruals in the form of management fees for Messrs. Evans, Ninneman and Ringo for the year 2000 were \$28,500, \$15,000 and \$13,000 respectively. Salary accruals for Messrs. Evans, Ninneman and Ringo for the year 2001 were \$98,004, 78,000 and 69,000 respectively. In November 2001, Messrs. Evans, Ninneman and Ringo were paid \$5,000 each. Salary accruals for Messrs. Evans, Ninneman and Ringo for the years 2001 and 2002 were \$98,004, \$78,000 and 69,000 respectively.

On January 1, 2003, the employment agreements of Messrs. Evans, Ninneman and Ringo were amended to increase their annual salaries to \$180,000, \$102,000 and \$102,000, respectively. On that same date, David D. Downing entered in to an employment agreement in which he will be paid an annual salary of \$102,000.

\*On May 1, 2003, Mark D. Schmidt entered into an employment agreement in which he will be paid an annual salary of \$180,000.

Compensation to officers has been deferred as a capital conservation measure designed to invest available funds into development of saleable products.

Management's salaries will be based upon the performance of the Company. Management's performance bonuses will be decided by a majority of the Board of Directors of the Company and may be increased by the Board of Directors from year to year consistent with goals established by the Board to the benefit of shareholders.

Members of the Company's Board of Directors will serve until the next annual meeting of the stockholders and until their successors are duly elected and qualified, unless earlier removed as provided in the Bylaws of the Company. Executive officers serve at the pleasure of the Board of Directors.

### COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS

There are no arrangements made to compensate any director for services as a director. Such arrangements for compensation of directors for services will commence once we begin earning revenues.

F-20

### 1 STOCK OPTION GRANTS IN THE PAST FISCAL YEAR

#### STOCK OPTION PLAN

The Company has created an Employee Stock Option Plan for incentive/retention of current key employees and as an inducement to employment of new employees. The 2003 plan, which sets aside 2,000,000 shares of common stock for purchase by

## Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

employees, was made effective by the Board of Directors. Cyberlux will not issue options or warrants to any employee or affiliate with an exercise price of less than 85% of the fair market value of the Common Stock on the date of the grant.

### Option/SAR Grants in Last Fiscal Year - Individual Grants

NAME	NUMBER OF SECURITIES UNDERLYING OPTIONS/SARS GRANTED (#)	% OF TOTAL OPTIONS/SARS GRANTED TO EMPLOYEES IN FISCAL YEAR	EXERCISE OR BASE (\$/SH)	EXPIRATION DATE
Donald F. Evans	100,000	%16.7	\$0.001/Sh	2011
John W. Ringo	100,000	%16.7	\$0.001/Sh	2011
Alan H. Ninneman	100,000	%16.7	\$0.001/Sh	2011
David D. Downing	50,000	%8.3	\$0.001/Sh	2011

### Aggregate Option/SAR Exercises in Last Fiscal Year and FY-End Option/SAR Values

NAME	SHARES ACQUIRED ON EXERCISE (#)	VALUE REALIZED (\$)	NUMBER OF SECURITIES UNDERLYING UNEXERCISED OPTIONS/SARS AT FY-END (#) EXERCISABLE/UNEXERCISABLE	VALUE OF UNEXERCISED IN-THE-MONEY OPTIONS AT FY-END (\$) EXERCISABLE/UNEXERCISABLE
Donald F. Evans	100,000	\$14,900	100,000	\$
John W. Ringo	50,000	\$7,450	50,000	
Alan H. Ninneman	50,000	\$7,450	50,000	
David D. Downing	50,000	\$7,450	50,000	

On January 3, 2003, our Board approved a 2003 Incentive Stock Option Plan which will provide 2,000,000 shares of common stock to underwrite options and declared the current eligible participants as follows:

Donald F. Evans	700,000 shares
David D. Downing	250,000 shares
John W. Ringo	250,000 shares
Alan H. Ninneman	250,000 shares
Mark D. Schmidt	550,000 shares

The following table summarizes the changes in options outstanding and the related prices for the shares of the Company's common stock issued to employees of the Company under a non-qualified employee stock option plan.

Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

Exercise Prices	Options Outstanding			Options Exercisable	
	Number Outstanding	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number Exercisable	Weighted Average Exercise Price
\$ 0.2125	2,000,000	6	\$0.2125	2,000,000	\$0.2125
	2,000,000	6	\$0.2125	2,000,000	\$0.2125

Transactions involving stock options issued to employees are summarized as follows:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Price Per Share
Outstanding at December 31, 2002		
Granted	2,000,000	\$ 0.2125
Exercised	-	-
Canceled or expired	-	-
Outstanding at December 31, 2003	2,000,000	\$ 0.2125

Employee Stock Options (Continued)

The weighted-average fair value of stock options granted to employees during the period Ended December 31, 2003 and 2002 and the weighted-average significant assumptions used to determine those fair values, using a Black-Scholes option pricing model are as follows:

F-21

	2003	2002
Significant assumptions (weighted-average):		
Risk-free interest rate at grant date	1.02%	n/a
Expected stock price volatility	26%	n/a
Expected dividend payout	-	-
Expected option life-years (a)	6	n/a

(a)The expected option life is based on contractual expiration dates.

If the Company recognized compensation cost for the non-qualified employee stock option plan in accordance with SFAS No. 123, the Company's pro forma net loss and net loss per share would have been \$(1,324,995) and \$(0.19) for the period ended September 30, 2003 and \$(446,766) and \$(0.07) for the period ended September 30, 2002, respectively.

On September 2, 2003, our Board approved a 2004 Incentive Stock Option Plan which will provide 2,000,000 shares to underwrite options.

ITEM 11. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND

## Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

### RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS.

#### A. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth as of December 31, 2003 certain information regarding the beneficial ownership of our common stock by:

- (1) Each person who is known us to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of the common stock,
- (2) Each of our director and executive officers and
- (3) All of our directors and executive officers as a group.

Except as otherwise indicated, the persons or entities listed below have sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of common stock beneficially owned by them, except to the extent such power may be shared with a spouse. No change in control is currently being contemplated.

NAME AND ADDRESS OF BENEFICIAL OWNER	SHARES BENEFICIALLY OWNED	PERCENTAGE OF SHARES OUTSTANDING
----- Donald F. Evans Fifty Orange Road Pinehurst, NC 28374	1,455,000	18.1%
----- David D. Downing 100 Country Meadow Drive Marietta, OH 45750	500,000	6.2%
----- Alan H. Ninneman 204 Chaparral Loop, SE Rio Rancho, NM 87124	650,000	8.1%
----- John W. Ringo 241 Lamplighter Lane Marietta, GA 30067	450,000	5.6%
----- Mark D. Schmidt 60 Kimberly Drive Durham, NC 27707	200,000	2.5%
----- Total ownership by our officers	3,255,000	40.5%
-----		

#### Footnotes:

1. Mr. Evans was issued 875,000 shares individually in connection with his founding of Cyberlux Corporation and assignment of his patent for the Electrochemical Portable Power and Lighting System to the Company. Research Econometrics was issued 750,000 shares in connection with an assignment of all of its interests derived from its funding of the initial development of the long-term interim lighting system. The Research Econometric shares were distributed to the partners in this venture and, as one of the partners, Mr. Evans received 380,000 of the partnership's 750,000 shares.
2. 380,000 shares received by Mr. Evans pursuant to the distribution of Research Econometrics shares are common stock of the Company owned by him individually. The balance of the Research Econometric shares were distributed to ten other individual partners no one of whom owns an amount approaching 5% of the shares outstanding.
3. There is no voting trust among any of the shareholders, officers or directors. Pursuant to the Incentive Stock Option Plan, officers of the

## Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

Company, Messrs. Evans, Ringo, Ninneman and Downing were vested with 350,000 options, which they exercised in November 2001 at par. In January 2002, Messrs. Evans, Ringo, Ninneman and Downing were each vested with 50,000 options for the fiscal year ended 2001. In January 2003, Mr. Evans was vested with 50,000 options for the fiscal year 2001. These options are reflected in the individual's share ownership in the table.

F-22

### B. PERSONS SHARING OWNERSHIP OF CONTROL OF SHARES

No person other than Donald F. Evans, David D. Downing, Alan H. Ninneman, and John Ringo owns or shares the power to vote 5% or more of our securities.

### ITEM 12. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS.

The Company entered into a sub-lease agreement with Research Econometrics, LLP, which provides the Company the ability to continue the research and development efforts of the Electrochemical Portable Power Plant and, Lighting System. The agreement is on a month-to-month basis. Total rental expense for the for the year ended December 31, 2003 and 2002 was \$8,814 and \$13,185 respectively.

The Company incurred management fees to its officers totaling \$599,935 and \$350,504 during the years ended December 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002, respectively. Unpaid management fees aggregate \$272,838 and \$546,508 as of December 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002, respectively.

From time to time, the Company's principal officers have advanced funds to the Company for working capital purposes in the form of unsecured promissory notes accruing interest at 12% per annum. As of December 31, 2003 and 2002, the balance due to the officers was \$137,845 and \$123,545, respectively.

### ITEM 13. EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K.

#### (A) EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT NO.		DESCRIPTION
3.a	[1]	Articles of Incorporation of Cyberlux Corporation filed May 17, 2000
3.1a	[2]	Certificate of Amendment of Articles of Incorporation filed April 3, 2003
3.1b	[1]	Bylaws of Cyberlux Corporation
3.1c	[4]	Certificate of Designation of the Relative Rights and Preferences of the Series A Convertible Preferred Stock of the Registrant, dated as of December 30, 2003
10.a	[1]	SCCS Proprietary Product Manufacturing Agreement
10.b	[1]	Donald F. Evans Employment Agreement
10.c	[1]	Alan H. Ninneman Employment Agreement
10.d	[1]	John W. Ringo Employment Agreement

## Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

- 10.1 [2] Donald F. Evans Amended Employment Agreement
- 10.2 [2] Alan H. Ninneman Amended Employment Agreement
- 10.3 [2] John W. Ringo Amended Employment Agreement
- 10.4 [2] David D. Downing Employment Agreement
- 10.f [1] Robrady Agreement
- 10.h [1] ICT, Inc. Agreement
- 10.i [1] Research Econometrics Agreement
- 10.10 [3] Mark D. Schmidt Employment Agreement
- 10.1 [4] Series A Convertible Preferred Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of December 31, 2003, by the and among the Registrant and the purchasers set forth therein.
- 10.2 [4] Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of December 31, 2003, by and among the Registrant and the purchasers named therein

F-23

- 10.3 [4] Form of Series A Warrant to purchase shares of Common Stock of the Registrant issued on December 31, 2003 in connection with the sale of the Series A Convertible Preferred Stock.
- 10.4 [4] Form of Series B Warrant to purchase shares of Common Stock of the Registrant issued on December 31, 2003 in connection with the sale of the Series A Convertible Preferred Stock.
- 10.5 [4] Lock-Up Agreement dated as of December 31, 2003 by and among the Registrant and certain stockholders named therein.
- 99.1 [4] Press Release dated January 8, 2004.
- 23.1 Consent of Russell Bedford Stefanou Mirchandani, LLP

- 
- [1] Incorporated by reference to our Registration Statement filed on Form 10-SB filed December 2001
  - [2] Incorporated by reference to our Registration Statement filed on Form SB-2 filed April 30, 2003
  - [3] Incorporated by reference to our Form 10-QSB for the period ended June 30, 2003
  - [4] Incorporated by reference to our Form 8-K filed on January 8, 2004

F-24

(b) Reports on Form 8-K

On January 8, 2004, we announced the completion of a \$775,000 equity financing transaction as of December 31, 2003.



Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

1. Audit Fees - The aggregate fees billed for services rendered by Russell Bedford Stefanou Mirchandani LLP for the audit of our financial statements and review of the financial statements included in our quarterly reports on Form 10-QSB or services that are normally provided by the accountant in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements for the years ended December 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002, were \$ 31,439 and \$ 35,025, respectively.
2. Audit Related Fees - There were no other audit related fees billed by Russell Bedford Stefanou Mirchandani LLP for the years ended December 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002.
3. Tax Fees - There we no tax fees billed by Russell Bedford Stefanou Mirchandani LLP for the years ended December 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002.
4. All other fees - There were no other fees billed by Russell Bedford Stefanou Mirchandani LLP for the years ended December 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002.

F-25

SIGNATURES

In accordance with Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

CYBERLUX CORPORATION

By /s/ Donald F. Evans

-----  
Donald F. Evans, CEO & Chairman of the Board

Date April 14, 2004

In accordance with the Exchange Act, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By /s/ Donald F. Evans

-----  
Donald F. Evans, CEO & Chairman of the Board

Date April 14, 2004

By /s/ Mark D. Schmidt

-----  
Mark D. Schmidt, President, COO & Director

Date April 14 2004

By /s/ John W. Ringo

-----

Edgar Filing: CYBERLUX CORP - Form 10KSB/A

John W. Ringo, Secretary & Director

Date April 14, 2004

By /s/ Alan H. Ninneman,

-----  
Alan H. Ninneman, Senior Vice President & Director

Date April 14, 2004

By /s/ David D. Downing

-----  
David D. Downing, Treasurer & CFO

Date April 14, 2004

F-26