

HAWAIIAN HOLDINGS INC
Form 10-Q
July 27, 2011
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2011

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission file number 1-31443

HAWAIIAN HOLDINGS, INC.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Edgar Filing: HAWAIIAN HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-Q

Delaware
(State or Other Jurisdiction of
Incorporation or Organization)

71-0879698
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

3375 Koapaka Street, Suite G-350
Honolulu, HI
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

96819
(Zip Code)

(808) 835-3700

(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

(Former Name, Former Address and Former Fiscal Year, if Changed Since Last Report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definition of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

As of July 21, 2011, 50,712,907 shares of the registrant's common stock were outstanding.

Table of Contents

Hawaiian Holdings, Inc.

Form 10-Q

Quarterly Period ended June 30, 2011

Table of Contents

| | | |
|------------------------|--|----|
| <u>Part I.</u> | <u>Financial Information</u> | 3 |
| <u>Item 1.</u> | <u>Consolidated Financial Statements of Hawaiian Holdings, Inc. (Unaudited)</u> | 3 |
| | <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010</u> | 3 |
| | <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010</u> | 4 |
| | <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010</u> | 5 |
| | <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 6 |
| <u>Item 2.</u> | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 14 |
| <u>Item 3.</u> | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> | 23 |
| <u>Item 4.</u> | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 26 |
| <u>Part II.</u> | <u>Other Information</u> | 27 |
| <u>Item 1.</u> | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 27 |
| <u>Item 1A.</u> | <u>Risk Factors</u> | 27 |
| <u>Item 2.</u> | <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 36 |
| <u>Item 3.</u> | <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> | 36 |
| <u>Item 5.</u> | <u>Other Information</u> | 36 |
| <u>Item 6.</u> | <u>Exhibits</u> | 37 |
| | <u>Signatures</u> | 38 |

Table of Contents**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.****Hawaiian Holdings, Inc.****Consolidated Statements of Operations**

(in thousands, except per share data)

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (unaudited) | | | |
| Operating Revenue: | | | | |
| Passenger | \$ 353,397 | \$ 277,706 | \$ 678,679 | \$ 541,103 |
| Other | 41,618 | 38,183 | 81,946 | 73,162 |
| Total | 395,015 | 315,889 | 760,625 | 614,265 |
| Operating Expenses: | | | | |
| Aircraft fuel, including taxes and oil | 135,471 | 78,610 | 244,834 | 148,916 |
| Wages and benefits | 79,713 | 73,181 | 157,285 | 146,080 |
| Aircraft rent | 31,154 | 27,128 | 65,229 | 51,242 |
| Maintenance materials and repairs | 42,724 | 29,544 | 86,138 | 65,413 |
| Aircraft and passenger servicing | 19,620 | 14,007 | 38,043 | 28,193 |
| Commissions and other selling | 23,292 | 17,122 | 49,525 | 39,790 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 15,604 | 14,397 | 30,307 | 28,384 |
| Other rentals and landing fees | 17,341 | 13,262 | 33,665 | 26,382 |
| Other | 30,263 | 24,640 | 60,711 | 50,289 |
| Lease termination charges | 70,014 | | 70,014 | |
| Total | 465,196 | 291,891 | 835,751 | 584,689 |
| Operating Income (Loss) | (70,181) | 23,998 | (75,126) | 29,576 |
| Nonoperating Income (Expense): | | | | |
| Interest expense and amortization of debt discounts and issuance costs | (4,889) | (4,972) | (8,083) | (9,975) |
| Interest income | 338 | 1,916 | 695 | 2,738 |
| Capitalized interest | 1,944 | 466 | 3,160 | 523 |
| Losses on fuel derivatives | (10,453) | (4,845) | (2,074) | (5,472) |
| Other, net | 668 | (652) | 341 | (1,110) |
| Total | (12,392) | (8,087) | (5,961) | (13,296) |
| Income (Loss) Before Income Taxes | (82,573) | 15,911 | (81,087) | 16,280 |
| Income tax (benefit) expense | (32,531) | 6,918 | (31,900) | 7,071 |
| Net Income (Loss) | \$ (50,042) | \$ 8,993 | \$ (49,187) | \$ 9,209 |
| Net Income (Loss) Per Common Stock Share: | | | | |
| Basic | \$ (0.99) | \$ 0.17 | \$ (0.97) | \$ 0.18 |

Edgar Filing: HAWAIIAN HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | |
|---------|----|--------|----|------|----|--------|----|------|
| Diluted | \$ | (0.99) | \$ | 0.17 | \$ | (0.97) | \$ | 0.17 |
|---------|----|--------|----|------|----|--------|----|------|

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**Hawaiian Holdings, Inc.****Consolidated Balance Sheets**

(in thousands)

| | June 30, 2011 (unaudited) | December 31, 2010 |
|---|---------------------------------|----------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current Assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 303,497 | \$ 285,037 |
| Restricted cash | 5,226 | 5,224 |
| Total cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash | 308,723 | 290,261 |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$956 as of June 30, 2011 and \$744 as of December 31, 2010 | 79,279 | 59,887 |
| Spare parts and supplies, net | 22,639 | 18,354 |
| Deferred tax assets, net | 18,449 | 40,651 |
| Prepaid expenses and other | 59,094 | 37,367 |
| Total | 488,184 | 446,520 |
| Property and equipment , less accumulated depreciation and amortization of \$157,433 as of June 30, 2011 and \$147,588 as of December 31, 2010 | 618,276 | 418,120 |
| Other Assets: | | |
| Long-term prepayments and other | 41,888 | 38,629 |
| Deferred tax assets, net | 69,254 | 38,847 |
| Intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$142,659 as of June 30, 2011 and \$130,951 as of December 31, 2010 | 57,012 | 68,720 |
| Goodwill | 106,663 | 106,663 |
| Total Assets | \$ 1,381,277 | \$ 1,117,499 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY | | |
| Current Liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 86,802 | \$ 69,300 |
| Air traffic liability | 339,008 | 250,861 |
| Other accrued liabilities | 55,605 | 63,506 |
| Current maturities of long-term debt and capital lease obligations | 31,933 | 16,888 |
| Total | 513,348 | 400,555 |
| Long-Term Debt, less discount, and Capital Lease Obligations | 377,106 | 171,884 |
| Other Liabilities and Deferred Credits: | | |
| Accumulated pension and other postretirement benefit obligations | 215,927 | 213,704 |
| Other liabilities and deferred credits | 33,029 | 53,487 |
| Total | 248,956 | 267,191 |
| Commitments and Contingent Liabilities | | |
| Shareholders Equity: | | |
| Special preferred stock, \$0.01 par value per share, three shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 | | |
| Common stock, \$0.01 par value per share, 52,783,121 shares issued and 50,712,907 shares outstanding as of June 30, 2011; 52,291,091 shares issued and 50,220,877 outstanding as of | 528 | 522 |

Edgar Filing: HAWAIIAN HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-Q

December 31, 2010

| | | |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|
| Capital in excess of par value | 258,947 | 245,947 |
| Treasury stock, 2,070,214 shares at cost, at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 | (10,752) | (10,752) |
| Accumulated income | 28,244 | 77,431 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net | (35,100) | (35,279) |
| Total | 241,867 | 277,869 |
| Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity | \$ 1,381,277 | \$ 1,117,499 |

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**Hawaiian Holdings, Inc.****Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**

(in thousands)

| | 2011 | Six Months Ended June 30, (unaudited) | 2010 |
|--|------|---|------------|
| Net cash provided by Operating Activities | \$ | 93,272 | \$ 75,940 |
| Cash flows from Investing Activities: | | | |
| Additions to property and equipment, including pre-delivery payments | | (147,280) | (53,777) |
| Purchases of investments | | | (76,487) |
| Sales of investments | | | 43,506 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | | (147,280) | (86,758) |
| Cash flows from Financing Activities: | | | |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | | 162 | 167 |
| Purchase of call options and sale of common stock warrants, net | | (7,556) | |
| Issuance of convertible notes | | 86,250 | |
| Long-term borrowings | | 65,000 | |
| Repayments of long-term debt and capital lease obligations | | (64,346) | (13,852) |
| Issuance costs | | (7,042) | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | | 72,468 | (13,685) |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | | 18,460 | (24,503) |
| Cash and cash equivalents - Beginning of Period | | 285,037 | 300,738 |
| Cash and cash equivalents - End of Period | \$ | 303,497 | \$ 276,235 |

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Hawaiian Holdings, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Business and Basis of Presentation

Hawaiian Holdings, Inc. (the Company or Holdings) is a holding company incorporated in the State of Delaware. The Company's primary asset is its sole ownership of all issued and outstanding shares of common stock of Hawaiian Airlines, Inc. (Hawaiian). The accompanying unaudited financial statements of the Company have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) for interim financial information and the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Accordingly, these interim financial statements do not include all of the information and footnotes required by GAAP for complete audited financial statements. In the opinion of management, the accompanying financial statements contain all adjustments, including normal recurring adjustments, necessary for the fair presentation of the Company's results of operations and financial position for the periods presented. Due to seasonal fluctuations common to the airline industry, the results of operations for the periods presented are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations to be expected for the entire year. Certain amounts in prior periods have been reclassified to conform to the current period's presentation. The accompanying financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and the notes of the Company included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010.

New accounting pronouncements

In October 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2009-13, *Multiple Deliverable Revenue Arrangements – A Consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force* (ASU 2009-13). This guidance defines whether multiple deliverables should be separated and how the consideration should be allocated to one or more units of accounting. This guidance establishes a selling price hierarchy for determining the selling price of a deliverable. The selling price used for each deliverable is based on vendor-specific objective evidence, if available, third-party evidence if vendor-specific objective evidence is not available, or estimated selling price if neither vendor-specific nor third-party evidence is available. This accounting standard became effective for new and materially modified revenue arrangements entered into by the Company after January 1, 2011. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company did not have any significant new or materially modified agreements that were subject to this accounting standard, therefore, this accounting standard did not have an impact on its unaudited consolidated financial statements. The Company anticipates an impact from this standard when significant third party mileage sales agreements are executed or materially modified in future periods.

In May 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2011-04, *Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurements and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs* (ASU 2011-04). ASU 2011-04 amended Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures* (ASC 820), to converge the fair value measurement guidance in GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRSs). Some of the amendments clarify the application of existing fair value measurement requirements, while other amendments change a particular principle in ASC 820. In addition, ASU 2011-04 requires additional fair value disclosures. The amendments are to be applied prospectively and are effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company is currently

evaluating the effect that the provisions of ASU 2011-04 will have on its financial statements.

Table of Contents**2. Earnings (Loss) Per Share**

Diluted earnings (loss) per share reflect the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock. Diluted earnings (loss) per share uses the treasury stock method for in-the-money stock options, deferred stock units, restricted stock, convertible notes and warrants. For further discussion on the convertible notes and warrants see Note 5 Debt.

| | Three Months ended June 30, | | Six Months ended June 30, | |
|--|---|---------|---------------------------|---------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (in thousands, except for per share data) | | | |
| Denominator: | | | | |
| Weighted average common stock shares outstanding - Basic | 50,700 | 51,941 | 50,609 | 51,901 |
| Assumed exercise of equity awards | | 1,199 | | 1,286 |
| Weighted average common stock shares outstanding - Diluted | 50,700 | 53,140 | 50,609 | 53,187 |
| Net income (loss) per common share: | | | | |
| Basic | \$ (0.99) | \$ 0.17 | \$ (0.97) | \$ 0.18 |
| Diluted | \$ (0.99) | \$ 0.17 | \$ (0.97) | \$ 0.17 |

The table below summarizes those common stock equivalents excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share because the awards were antidilutive.

| | Three Months ended June 30, | | Six Months ended June 30, | |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-------|---------------------------|-------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (in thousands) | | | |
| Stock options | 128 | 67 | 97 | 107 |
| Restricted stock | 392 | 284 | 280 | 143 |
| Convertible notes (1) | 10,943 | | 5,958 | |
| Warrants (1) | 10,943 | 5,974 | 5,958 | 2,987 |

(1) In March 2011, the Company entered into a Convertible Note transaction which included the sale of convertible notes, purchase of convertible note hedges and the sale of warrants as described in Note 5 Debt. These weighted common stock equivalents were excluded because their conversion price of \$7.8819 per share for the convertible notes and \$10.00 for the warrants exceeded the average market price of our common stock during these periods, and the effect of their inclusion would be antidilutive. These securities could be dilutive in future periods. The convertible note hedges will always be antidilutive and, therefore, will have no effect on diluted net income per share.

3. Fair Value Measurements

Edgar Filing: HAWAIIAN HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-Q

ASC 820 clarifies that fair value is an exit price, representing the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. As such, fair value is a market-based measurement that should be determined based on assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability. As a basis for considering such assumptions, ASC 820 establishes a three-tier fair value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used in measuring fair value as follows:

Level 1 Observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities;

Level 2 Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term for the assets or liabilities; and

Level 3 Unobservable inputs for which there is little or no market data and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

Edgar Filing: HAWAIIAN HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

The tables below present the Company's financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

| | Total | Fair Value Measurements as of June 30, 2011 | | | Level 3 |
|--|-------------------|---|-----------------|----------------|-----------|
| | | Level 1 | Level 2 | (in thousands) | |
| Cash equivalents | | | | | |
| Money market securities | \$ 213,182 | \$ 213,182 | | | \$ |
| Fuel derivative contracts, net*: | | | | | |
| Crude oil caps | 4,488 | | 4,488 | | |
| Crude oil collars | 229 | | 229 | | |
| Heating oil caps | 182 | | 182 | | |
| Heating oil collars | 212 | | 212 | | |
| Total assets measured at fair value | \$ 218,293 | \$ 213,182 | \$ 5,111 | | \$ |

| | Total | Fair Value Measurements as of December 31, 2010 | | | Level 3 |
|--|-------------------|---|-------------------|----------------|-----------|
| | | Level 1 | Level 2 | (in thousands) | |
| Cash equivalents | | | | | |
| Money market securities | \$ 94,659 | \$ 94,659 | | | \$ |
| U.S. government-sponsored enterprise notes | 133,048 | | 133,048 | | |
| Fuel derivative contracts, net*: | | | | | |
| Crude oil caps | 6,609 | | 6,609 | | |
| Crude oil collars | 2,174 | | 2,174 | | |
| Total assets measured at fair value | \$ 236,490 | \$ 94,659 | \$ 141,831 | | \$ |

* The Company had fuel derivative contract assets of \$5.1 million and \$8.8 million as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, in prepaid expenses and other assets in the unaudited Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Cash equivalents. The Company's cash equivalents consist of money market securities and U.S. government-sponsored enterprise notes. The money market securities are classified as Level 1 investments and are valued using inputs observable in markets for identical securities. The U.S. government-sponsored enterprise notes with contractual maturities less than three months are classified as Level 2 investments and valued using inputs observable in active markets for similar securities.

Fuel derivative contracts. The Company's fuel derivative contracts consist of heating oil and crude oil caps (or call options) and collars (a combination of call options and put options) which are not traded on a public exchange. The fair value of these instruments is determined based on inputs available from public markets; therefore, they are classified as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy.

There were no assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis using unobservable inputs (Level 3) as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

Nonfinancial Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis

See Note 6 - Leases, for information related to fair value measurements of nonfinancial assets on a nonrecurring basis performed as of June 30, 2011.

4. Financial Instruments and Risk Management

Financial Instruments

The fair value of the Company's debt (excluding obligations under capital leases) with a carrying value of \$408.6 million and \$146.4 million at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, was approximately \$397.7 million and \$140.0 million. The Company's estimates were based on quoted market prices for its publicly held convertible senior notes. For the other debt where comparable market prices were not available, the estimates were based on the discounted amount of future cash flows using the Company's estimated current incremental rate of borrowing.

The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, other receivables and accounts payable approximate their fair value due to their short-term nature.

Table of Contents*Fuel Risk Management*

The Company's operations are inherently dependent upon the price and availability of aircraft fuel. To manage economic risks associated with fluctuations in aircraft fuel prices, the Company periodically enters into derivative financial instruments such as heating oil and crude oil caps (or call options) and collars (a combination of call options and put options). During the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company primarily used heating oil and crude oil caps and collars to hedge its aircraft fuel expense. As of June 30, 2011, the Company had outstanding fuel derivative contracts covering 54 million gallons of jet fuel that will be settled over the next 12 months. These derivative instruments were not designated as hedges under ASC Topic 815, *Derivatives and Hedging* (ASC 815) for hedge accounting treatment. As a result, changes in the fair value of these derivative instruments are adjusted through other nonoperating income (expense) in the period of change.

The following table shows the amount and location of realized and unrealized gains and losses that were recognized during the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, and where those gains and losses were recorded in the unaudited Consolidated Statements of Operations.

| Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments Under ASC 815 | Three months ended June 30, | | Six months ended June 30, | |
|---|-----------------------------|------------|---------------------------|------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (in thousands) | | | |
| Gains (losses) on fuel derivatives recorded in nonoperating income (expense): | | | | |
| Mark-to-fair value gains (losses) on undesignated fuel hedges: | | | | |
| Realized gain (losses): | | | | |
| Gains (losses) realized at settlement | \$ 3,057 | \$ (1,224) | 4,616 | \$ (1,985) |
| Reversal of prior period unrealized amounts | (5,490) | 224 | (2,701) | (262) |
| Unrealized gains (losses) on contracts that will settle in future periods | (8,020) | (3,845) | (3,989) | (3,225) |
| Losses on fuel derivatives recorded as nonoperating expense | \$ (10,453) | \$ (4,845) | \$ (2,074) | \$ (5,472) |

ASC 815 requires a reporting entity to elect a policy of whether to offset rights to reclaim cash collateral or obligations to return cash collateral against derivative assets and liabilities executed with the same counterparty, or present such amounts on a gross basis. The Company's accounting policy is to present its derivative assets and liabilities on a net basis including the collateral posted with the counterparty. No cash collateral was received from counterparties at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

The following table presents the fair value of the asset and liability derivatives that are not designated as hedging instruments under ASC 815 as well as the location of the asset and liability balances within the unaudited Consolidated Balance Sheets.

| Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments under ASC 815 | Balance Sheet Location | Fair Value of Derivatives | | | |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|
| | | Assets as of June 30, 2011 | December 31, 2010 | Liabilities as of June 30, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
| | | (in thousands) | | | |
| Fuel derivative contracts | Prepaid expenses and other | \$ 5,111 | \$ 8,783 | \$ | \$ |

5. Debt

Convertible Notes

On March 23, 2011, the Company issued \$86.25 million principal amount of convertible senior notes (the Convertible Notes) due March 15, 2016. The Convertible Notes were issued at par and bear interest at a rate of 5.00% per annum. Interest is paid semi-annually, in arrears, on March 15 and September 15 each year, beginning on September 15, 2011.

Table of Contents

Each \$1,000 of principal of the Convertible Notes is convertible under certain circumstances, at an initial conversion rate of 126.8730 shares of the Company's common stock (or a total of approximately 10.9 million shares), which is the equivalent of approximately \$7.8819 per share, subject to adjustment upon the occurrence of certain specified events as set forth in the indenture governing the terms of the Convertible Notes. Upon conversion, the Company will have the right, at the Company's election, to pay or deliver, cash, shares of the Company's common stock or a combination thereof. Holders may convert their Convertible Notes at their option at any time prior to November 15, 2015, only if one of the following conditions has been met:

- During any calendar quarter after the calendar quarter ending June 30, 2011, and only during such calendar quarter, if the closing price of the Company's common stock for at least 20 trading days in the period of 30 consecutive trading days ending on the last trading day of the preceding calendar quarter exceeds 130% of the conversion price per share of common stock in effect on the last day of such preceding calendar quarter;
- During the five consecutive business days immediately after any 10 consecutive trading day period in which the average trading price per \$1,000 principal amount of the Convertible Notes during such period was less than 97% of the product of the closing sale price of the common stock and the conversion rate on such trading day;
- The Company makes specified distributions to holders of the Company's common stock or specified corporate transactions occur.

On or after November 15, 2015, and up through and including the second business day immediately preceding March 15, 2016, the Holders may convert their Convertible Notes into common stock.

Holders may require the Company to repurchase all or a portion of their Convertible Notes upon a fundamental change, primarily a change in control or termination of trading, at a cash repurchase price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the Convertible Notes plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any. The Company may not redeem the Convertible Notes prior to their maturity date.

When accounting for the Convertible Notes, the Company applied accounting guidance related to the accounting for convertible debt instruments that may be settled in cash upon conversion. This guidance required the Company to separately account for the liability and equity components of the Convertible Notes in a manner that reflects our nonconvertible debt borrowing rate when interest cost is recognized in subsequent periods. This guidance required bifurcation of a component of the debt, classification of that component in equity, and then accretion of the resulting discount on the debt as part of interest expense reflected in the unaudited Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Accordingly, the Company recorded an adjustment to reduce the carrying value of the Convertible Notes by \$19.5 million and recorded this amount in Shareholders' Equity. This adjustment was based on the calculated fair value of a similar debt instrument that did not have an associated equity component. The annual interest rate calculated for a similar debt instrument was 11.00%.

Edgar Filing: HAWAIIAN HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-Q

The total issuance costs for the Convertible Notes were \$3.3 million, of which \$2.5 million was allocated to the debt component and \$0.8 million was allocated to the equity component. The issuance costs allocated to debt were capitalized and are being amortized to interest expense over the term of the Convertible Notes. The issuance costs allocated to equity were recorded as a reduction of additional paid-in-capital.

The carrying value of the Convertible Notes is \$67.6 million at June 30, 2011. Interest expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 was \$1.2 million and \$1.3 million, respectively, and non-cash interest expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 was \$0.8 million, for the amortization of the discount on the liability component.

Convertible Note Hedges and Warrants

In connection with the issuance of the Convertible Notes, the Company entered into separate convertible note hedge transactions (the Convertible Note Hedges) and separate warrant transactions (the Warrants) related to our common stock with certain financial investors to reduce the potential dilution of the Company's common stock and to offset potential payments by the Company to holders of the Convertible Notes in excess of the principal of the Convertible Notes upon conversion. The Convertible Note Hedges and Warrants are separate transactions, entered into by the Company with the financial institutions, and are not part of the Convertible Notes described above.

The Company paid \$19.5 million for the Convertible Note Hedges. Under the terms of the Convertible Note Hedges, the counterparties to the Convertible Note Hedges will generally deliver to the Company amounts in excess of the principal amount of the Convertible Notes delivered upon conversion by the Company to the holders of the Convertible Notes in the same form of consideration elected to be delivered by the Company to the holders of the Convertible Notes under the indenture for the Convertible Notes. The Company may elect to settle the conversion feature of the Convertible Notes in cash or shares of common stock or in any combination of cash or shares of common stock as determined in accordance with the provisions of the indenture. The Convertible Note Hedges are currently exercisable and expire on March 15, 2016.

Table of Contents

Concurrent with the issuance of the Convertible Notes, the Company sold Warrants to certain financial institutions that permit such financial institutions to acquire shares of the Company's common stock. The Warrants are exercisable by the financial institutions for 10.9 million shares of the Company's common stock at a current exercise price of \$10.00 per share. The Company received \$12.0 million in proceeds for the sale of the Warrants. The Warrants expire at various dates beginning in June 2016 and ending in September 2016. The Warrants provide for net share settlement by the Company, subject to the option of the Company to deliver cash in lieu of shares if certain conditions under the Warrants have been met.

The Company determined that the Convertible Note Hedges and Warrants meet the requirements of the FASB's accounting guidance for accounting for derivative financial instruments indexed to, and potentially settled in, a Company's own stock and other relevant guidance and, therefore, are classified as equity transactions. As a result, the Company recorded the purchase of the Convertible Note Hedges as a reduction in additional paid-in-capital and the proceeds of the Warrants as an increase to additional paid-in-capital in the unaudited Consolidated Balance Sheets, and the Company will not recognize subsequent changes in the fair value of the agreements in the financial statements.

Revolving Credit Facility

In December 2010, Hawaiian, as borrower, with the Company as guarantor, entered into an Amended and Restated Credit Agreement with Wells Fargo Capital Finance, Inc., as arranger and administrative agent for the lenders, providing for a secured revolving credit facility (the Revolving Credit Facility) in an amount of up to \$75.0 million. The Company used the proceeds received from the issuance of the Convertible Notes to pay-off the outstanding revolving credit facility balance of \$54.7 million. As of June 30, 2011, the Company had no outstanding borrowings under the Revolving Credit Facility and \$65.4 million available (net of various outstanding letters of credit). At June 30, 2011, the Company is in compliance with its financial covenants under the Revolving Credit Facility.

Aircraft Facility Agreements

On June 27, 2011, Hawaiian borrowed \$192.8 million through fifteen separate secured loan agreements to finance a portion of the purchase price of fifteen Boeing 717-200 aircraft, each such aircraft including two Rolls-Royce BR700-715 engines, that Hawaiian previously leased under four capital and eleven operating leases. See additional discussion of the purchase agreement at Note 6 Leases. The loan agreements bear interest at 8% per annum and are subject to a balloon payment at the maturity date of June 2019.

On April 6, 2011, Hawaiian borrowed \$65 million through a secured loan agreement to finance a portion of the purchase price of an Airbus A330-200 aircraft that Hawaiian took delivery of on April 12, 2011. This loan agreement has a fixed interest rate of 6.461% with principal and interest payments due quarterly beginning in July 2011 and a maturity date of April 2023.

As of June 30, 2011, the scheduled maturities of long-term debt over the next five years were as follows (in thousands):

| | | |
|--------------------------|----|--------|
| Remaining months in 2011 | \$ | 15,646 |
| 2012 | | 33,016 |

| | |
|------|--------|
| 2013 | 86,443 |
| 2014 | 23,047 |
| 2015 | 24,510 |

6. Leases

On June 27, 2011, Hawaiian terminated lease agreements and concurrently entered into a purchase agreement with the lessor covering fifteen Boeing 717-200 aircraft, each such aircraft including two Rolls-Royce BR700-715 engines. These aircraft were previously operated by Hawaiian under four capital and eleven operating lease agreements. The purchase price for the fifteen Boeing 717-200 aircraft was \$230 million, comprised of financing of \$192.8 million through secured loan agreements with Boeing Capital, cash payment of \$25.0 million, and the non-cash application of maintenance and security deposits held by the previous lessor and current debt financier of \$12.2 million. This purchase price was reduced by certain previously recorded lease valuation adjustments related to these aircraft. The Company recognized the excess of the purchase price paid over the fair value of the aircraft under operating leases as a cost of terminating the leases under ASC 840 *Leases* (formerly FASB Interpretation No. 26, *Accounting for Purchase of a Leased Asset by the Lessee during the Term of the Lease*) and elected to apply the same accounting policy to the aircraft under capital leases. As a result, the Company reduced the value of the fifteen Boeing 717-200 to their fair value of \$135 million on its unaudited Consolidated Balance Sheets and recorded lease termination charges of \$70.0 million on the unaudited Consolidated Statements of Operations. The Company determined the valuation of the aircraft based on a third-party appraisal that considered multiple inputs, including market transactions for similar aircraft and information specific to the condition of each aircraft. As a result, this fair value measurement was considered a Level 3 measurement as described in Note 3 *Fair Value Measurements*. See additional information on the loan agreements at Note 5 *Debt*.

In February 2011, the Company renegotiated its operating lease for its general office space extending the lease through 2026.

Table of Contents

As of June 30, 2011, the scheduled future minimum rental payments under capital and operating leases (adjusted for the changes discussed above) with non-cancelable basic terms of more than one year were as follows (in thousands):

| | Total |
|--------------------------|--------------|
| Remaining months in 2011 | \$ 44,761 |
| 2012 | 83,822 |
| 2013 | 77,793 |
| 2014 | 74,769 |
| 2015 | 74,299 |

7. Employee Benefit Plans

The components of net periodic benefit cost for the Company's defined benefit and other postretirement plans for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, included the following:

| Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost | Three months ended June 30, | | Six months ended June 30, | |
|---|-----------------------------|----------|---------------------------|----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (in thousands) | | | |
| Service cost | \$ 2,360 | \$ 2,350 | \$ 4,720 | \$ 4,699 |
| Interest cost | 6,517 | 6,326 | 13,034 | 12,652 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (4,687) | (4,156) | (9,375) | (8,313) |
| Recognized net actuarial loss | 90 | 102 | 180 | 205 |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ 4,280 | \$ 4,622 | \$ 8,559 | \$ 9,243 |

The Company made contributions of \$3.4 million and \$5.3 million to its defined benefit and other postretirement plans during the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, and expects to make contributions in the amount of \$6.7 million during the remainder of 2011.

8. Income Taxes

The Company recorded an income tax benefit of \$32.5 million and \$31.9 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively. The tax benefit as a percentage of pre-tax income differed from the statutory rate due to the impact that forecasted permanent tax differences have on the Company's full year 2011 financial loss projections.

No cash payments for federal and state income taxes were made during the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, as compared to cash payments of \$2.5 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively.

Edgar Filing: HAWAIIAN HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-Q

During the six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company changed tax accounting methods with the IRS. These tax accounting changes resulted in the recovery of approximately \$22.5 million of deferred tax assets.

In assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that some or all of the Company's deferred tax assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of the Company's deferred tax assets is dependent upon its ability to generate future taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. The Company reversed its tax valuation allowance in 2010 because its projections of future taxable income were sufficient to support recognition of its deferred tax assets. The Company continues to believe its projections of future taxable income support the realizability of its deferred tax assets even though it recognized a net operating loss for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011. The net operating loss recognized at the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 was primarily due to non-recurring and non-cash lease termination charges that do not impact our ability to generate future taxable income.

Table of Contents**9. Comprehensive Income**

The components of comprehensive income included the following:

| | Three months ended June 30, | | Six months ended June 30, | |
|--|--------------------------------|----------|------------------------------|----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (in thousands) | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (50,042) | \$ 8,993 | \$ (49,187) | \$ 9,209 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss): | | | | |
| Change in unrealized net losses on short and long-term investments | | (617) | | (1,749) |
| Amortization of net actuarial losses on employee benefit plans | 90 | 90 | 179 | 193 |
| Total comprehensive income (loss) | \$ (49,952) | \$ 8,466 | \$ (49,008) | \$ 7,653 |

10. Commitments and Contingent Liabilities*Commitments*

As of June 30, 2011, Hawaiian had capital commitments consisting of firm aircraft orders for twelve wide-body Airbus A330-200 aircraft, six Airbus A350XWB-800 aircraft and five Rolls Royce spare engines scheduled for delivery through 2020. Hawaiian has purchase rights for an additional four A330-200 aircraft and six A350XWB aircraft and can utilize these rights subject to production availability. Hawaiian also has operating commitments with a third-party to provide aircraft maintenance services which include fixed payments as well as payments that are variable based on flight hours for our Airbus fleet through 2027. The Company also has operating commitments with third-party service providers for reservations, IT and accounting services through 2017. Committed expenditures, including estimated escalation and variable amounts estimated based on forecasted usage, for the next five years are detailed below as of June 30, 2011:

| | Capital | Operating (in thousands) | Total |
|--------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|------------|
| Remaining months in 2011 | \$ 96,243* | \$ 10,746 | \$ 106,989 |
| 2012 | 274,247* | 23,009 | 297,256 |
| 2013 | 287,389 | 19,603 | 306,992 |
| 2014 | 234,489 | 20,050 | 254,539 |
| 2015 | 166,231 | 20,620 | 186,851 |

* Includes the final payment for the A330-200 aircraft with expected delivery dates in the fourth quarter 2011, first quarter 2012 and second quarter 2012 that Hawaiian has entered into loan agreements for as further discussed below at *Airbus A330-200 Facility Agreement Commitments*.

Airbus A330-200 Facility Agreement Commitments

On June 29, 2011, Hawaiian entered into a secured loan agreement for \$66 million to finance a portion of the purchase price of one Airbus A330-200 aircraft with an upcoming delivery in first quarter 2012. The loan agreement will bear interest, at Hawaiian's election, at either a floating rate based on a margin over LIBOR or a fixed rate, with a maturity date of March 2024.

On June 29, 2011, Hawaiian also entered into two secured loan agreements each for \$67 million to finance a portion of the purchase price of two Airbus A330-200 aircraft with upcoming delivery in fourth quarter 2011 and second quarter 2012. These loan agreements will bear interest, at Hawaiian's election, at either a floating rate based on a margin over LIBOR or a fixed rate, and are subject to balloon payments at the maturity dates of October 2023 and April 2022, respectively.

The anticipated future payments for these facility agreements for the remaining months in 2011 is approximately \$2 million, \$13 million in 2012, \$14 million in 2013, \$15 million in 2014 and \$15 million in 2015.

Lease Commitments

On June 29, 2011, Hawaiian entered into three separate eight-year lease agreements for an additional three Boeing 717-200 aircraft, with one aircraft expected to be delivered in third quarter 2011 and two aircraft in fourth quarter 2011. The Company is still assessing whether these leases will be classified as capital or operating, and will make this determination in the period it takes delivery of the aircraft.

Table of Contents

On April 6, 2011, Hawaiian entered into a twelve-year operating lease agreement for an Airbus A330-200 aircraft with an expected delivery date in the second quarter of 2012.

The anticipated future payments for these leases for the remaining months in 2011 is approximately \$1 million, \$11 million in 2012, \$15 million in 2013, \$15 million in 2014 and \$15 million in 2015.

Litigation and Contingencies

The Company is subject to various legal proceedings arising in the normal course of its operations. Management does not anticipate that the disposition of such proceedings will have a material effect on the Company's financial statements.

General Guarantees and Indemnifications

The Company is the lessee under certain real estate leases. It is common in such commercial lease transactions for the lessee to agree to indemnify the lessor and other related third parties for tort liabilities that arise out of or relate to the lessee's use or occupancy of the leased premises. In some cases, this indemnity extends to related liabilities arising from the negligence of the indemnified parties, but usually excludes any liabilities caused by their gross negligence or willful misconduct. Additionally, the lessee typically indemnifies such parties for any environmental liability that arises out of or relates to its use of the leased premises. The Company expects that it is covered by insurance (subject to deductible amounts) for most tort liabilities and related indemnities described above with respect to real estate that it leases. The Company cannot estimate the potential amount of future payments, if any, under the foregoing indemnities and agreements.

Credit Card Holdback

Under the Company's bank-issued credit card processing agreements, certain proceeds from advance ticket sales may be held back to serve as collateral to cover any possible chargebacks or other disputed charges that may occur. These holdbacks, which are included in restricted cash in the Company's unaudited Consolidated Balance Sheets, totaled \$5.2 million at June 30, 2011. The agreement with the Company's largest credit card processor also contains financial triggers for additional holdbacks, which are based on, among other things, the amount of unrestricted cash and short-term investments, the level of debt service coverage and operating income measured quarterly on a trailing 12-month basis. No amounts were subject to this holdback at June 30, 2011 or December 31, 2010. Under the terms of this credit card agreement, the level of credit card holdback is subject to adjustment based on actual performance relative to these specific financial triggers. Based on the Company's performance relative to these financial triggers for the quarter ended June 30, 2011, the Company expects the holdback to increase to 25% of the applicable credit card air traffic liability, increasing the restricted cash balance in third quarter 2011 by approximately \$30 to \$35 million, that the Company plans to fund through advance ticket sale remittances. Depending on the Company's performance relative to these financial triggers in the future, the holdback could incrementally increase to an amount up to 100% of the applicable credit card air traffic liability, which would also cause an increase in the level of restricted cash. If the Company is unable to obtain a waiver of, or otherwise mitigate the increase in restriction of cash, it could also cause a covenant violation under other debt or lease obligations and have a material adverse impact on the Company.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 that reflect our current views with respect to certain current and future events and financial performance. Such forward-looking statements include, without limitation: any expectations of operating expenses, deferred revenue, interest rates, income taxes, deferred tax assets, valuation allowance or other financial items; statements regarding factors that may affect our operating results; estimates of fair value measurements; statements related to aircraft maintenance and repair costs and deposits and timing of maintenance activities; statements related to cash flow from operations and seasonality; estimates of required funding of and contributions to our defined benefit pension and disability plan; estimates of annual fuel expenses and measure of the effects of fuel prices on our business; statements regarding the availability of fuel; statements regarding our wages and benefits and labor costs and agreements; statements regarding costs of compliance with regulations promulgated by the FAA and other regulatory agencies; statements related to airport rent rates and landing fees; statements regarding aircraft rent expense; statements regarding our total capacity and yields on routes; statements regarding compliance with potential environmental regulations; statements regarding potential dilution of our securities; statements regarding cost liability and deferred revenue estimates related to the frequent flyer program; statements related to our hedging program; statements concerning the impact of, and changes to, accounting principles, policies and estimates; statements regarding our tax valuation allowance; statements regarding credit card holdback; statements regarding the availability of financing; statements regarding our capital expenditures; statements regarding potential violations under the Company's debt or lease obligations; statements regarding our ability to comply with covenants under our financing arrangements;

Table of Contents

statements related to risk management, credit risks and air traffic liability; statements related to future U.S. and global economic conditions or performance; statements related to changes in our fleet plan and related cash outlays; statements related to expected delivery of new aircraft; statements related to potential route expansion; statements related to the effects of any litigation on our operations or business; and statements as to other matters that do not relate strictly to historical facts or statements of assumptions underlying any of the foregoing. Words such as expects, anticipates, projects, intends, plans, believes, estimates, variations of such words, and similar expressions are also intended to such forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are and will be, as the case may be, subject to many risks, uncertainties and factors relating to our operations and business environment, all of which may cause our actual results to be materially different from any future results, expressed or implied, in these forward-looking statements.

The risks, uncertainties and assumptions referred to above that could cause our results to differ materially from the results expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements also include the risks, uncertainties and assumptions discussed from time to time in our other public filings and public announcements, including our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. All forward-looking statements included in this document are based on information available to us as of the date hereof. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances that may arise after the date of this quarterly report. The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Overview

Our Company

Hawaiian Holdings, Inc. (the Company, Holdings, we, us and our) is a holding company incorporated in the State of Delaware. The Company's primary asset is its sole ownership of all issued and outstanding shares of common stock of Hawaiian Airlines, Inc. (Hawaiian). Hawaiian was originally incorporated in January 1929 under the laws of the Territory of Hawaii and became the Company's indirect wholly-owned subsidiary pursuant to a corporate restructuring that was consummated in August 2002. Hawaiian became a Delaware corporation and the Company's direct wholly-owned subsidiary concurrent with its reorganization and reacquisition by the Company in June 2005.

Hawaiian is engaged in the scheduled air transportation of passengers and cargo amongst the Hawaiian Islands (the interisland routes), between the Hawaiian Islands and certain cities in the Western United States (the transpacific routes), and between the Hawaiian Islands and the South Pacific, Australia and Asia (the Pacific routes), collectively referred to as our Scheduled Operations. In addition, Hawaiian operates various charter flights. Hawaiian is the largest airline headquartered in Hawaii and the eleventh largest domestic airline in the United States based on revenue passenger miles reported by the Research and Innovative Technology Administration Bureau of Transportation Statistics as of March 31, 2011, the latest available data. At June 30, 2011 Hawaiian's operating fleet consisted of Boeing 717-200 aircraft for its interisland routes, Boeing 767-300 aircraft for its transpacific, Pacific and charter routes and Airbus A330-200 aircraft for its transpacific routes as detailed below:

| Aircraft Type | Leased | Owned | Total |
|------------------|--------|-------|-------|
| A330-200 (1) | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| 767-300ER/EM (2) | 10 | 7 | 17 |
| 717-200 (3) | | 15 | 15 |
| Total | 13 | 23 | 36 |

-
- (1) The Company took delivery of one owned A330-200 aircraft in April 2011.
 - (2) The Company returned one Boeing 767-300ER in May 2011 due to the end of its lease term.
 - (3) In June 2011, the Company purchased its existing fleet of fifteen Boeing 717-200 aircraft previously under lease agreements.

Based in Honolulu, Hawaiian had 4,146 active employees as of June 30, 2011.

General information about us is available at <http://www.hawaiianair.com/about>. Information contained on our website is not incorporated by reference into, or otherwise to be regarded as part of, this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q unless expressly noted. Our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and current reports on Form 8-K, as well as any amendments and exhibits to those reports, are available free of charge through our website as soon as reasonably practicable after we file them with, or furnish them to, the SEC.

Table of Contents

Second Quarter Highlights

- Took delivery and placed into revenue service a fourth Airbus A330-200 aircraft in April 2011.
- Purchased 15 Boeing 717-200 aircraft previously held under operating and capital lease agreements.
- Added an additional three Boeing 717-200 aircraft through lease agreements with expected delivery dates in September, October and November 2011 to the fleet for service on interisland routes.
- Ranked as the #1 carrier for punctuality and fewest flight cancellations as reported by the U.S. Department of Transportation Air Travel Consumer for the months of March, April and May 2011 (latest information available).
- Launched daily non-stop service to Kansai International Airport in Osaka, Japan in July 2011; Hawaiian's third route to Asia in the past eight months.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations**

In the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, we recorded a net loss of \$50.0 million, or \$0.99 per basic and diluted share and \$49.2 million, or \$0.97 per basic and diluted share, respectively, that includes the impact of a non-recurring and non-cash pre-tax lease termination expense of \$70.0 million related to the purchase of fifteen Boeing 717-200 aircraft previously under lease agreements.

Statistical Data (unaudited)

| | Three Months ended June 30, | | Six Months ended June 30, | |
|--|---|-----------|---------------------------|-----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (in thousands, except as otherwise indicated) | | | |
| Scheduled Operations: | | | | |
| Revenue passengers flown | 2,152 | 2,075 | 4,240 | 4,074 |
| Revenue passenger miles (RPM) | 2,502,779 | 2,107,873 | 4,843,893 | 4,027,535 |
| Available seat miles (ASM) | 2,982,445 | 2,462,720 | 5,765,116 | 4,760,187 |
| Passenger revenue per ASM (PRASM) | 11.85¢ | 11.28¢ | 11.77¢ | 11.37¢ |
| Passenger load factor (RPM/ASM) | 83.9% | 85.6% | 84.0% | 84.6% |
| Passenger revenue per RPM (Yield) | 14.12¢ | 13.17¢ | 14.01¢ | 13.44¢ |
| Total Operations: | | | | |
| Operating revenue per ASM | 13.23¢ | 12.83¢ | 13.19¢ | 12.90¢ |
| Operating cost per ASM (CASM)(b) | 15.59¢ | 11.85¢ | 14.49¢ | 12.28¢ |
| Lease termination charges per ASM | 2.35¢ | ¢ | 1.21¢ | ¢ |
| Aircraft fuel expense per ASM | 4.54¢ | 3.19¢ | 4.24¢ | 3.13¢ |
| Revenue passengers flown | 2,152 | 2,076 | 4,242 | 4,075 |
| Revenue block hours operated (actual) | 31,284 | 27,674 | 60,992 | 54,118 |
| RPM | 2,504,879 | 2,107,914 | 4,846,776 | 4,027,576 |
| ASM | 2,984,671 | 2,462,762 | 5,768,685 | 4,760,229 |
| Gallons of jet fuel consumed | 40,572 | 34,296 | 78,821 | 66,810 |
| Average cost per gallon of jet fuel (actual) (a) | \$ 3.34 | \$ 2.29 | \$ 3.11 | \$ 2.23 |

(a) Includes applicable taxes and fees.

(b) Includes lease termination charges of \$70.0 million.

Operating Revenue

Operating revenue was \$395.0 million and \$760.6 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, a 25.0% and 23.8% increase over operating revenue of \$315.9 million and \$614.3 million for the same three and six month period in 2010, driven primarily by an increase in passenger revenue.

Table of Contents*Passenger Revenue*

Passenger revenue increased \$75.7 million or 27.3% and \$137.6 million or 25.4% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, as compared to the same three and six month period in 2010. The detail of these changes are described in the table below:

| | Three months ended June 30, 2011 as compared to three months ended June 30, 2010 | | | | Six months ended June 30, 2011 as compared to six months ended June 30, 2010 | | | |
|--------------------|---|--------------------|------------------|------------------|---|--------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | Change in scheduled passenger revenue (millions) | Change in Yield | Change in RPM | Change in ASM | Change in scheduled passenger revenue (millions) | Change in Yield | Change in RPM | Change in ASM |
| Transpacific | \$ 31.2 | 13.0% | 5.8% | 4.2% | \$ 48.8 | 6.8% | 8.4% | 6.7% |
| Interisland | 4.3 | 6.1 | (1.3) | 2.7 | 14.9 | 9.7 | (1.4) | 1.3 |
| Pacific | 40.2 | 14.4 | 121.2 | 141.1 | 73.9 | 15.5 | 117.4 | 124.0 |
| Total scheduled | \$ 75.7 | 7.2% | 18.7% | 21.1% | \$ 137.6 | 4.4% | 20.3% | 21.1% |

Transpacific Transpacific revenue increased by \$31.2 million and \$48.8 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, as compared to the same three and six month period in 2010, due to increased yield, increases in our flown capacity due to the induction of the A330-200 aircraft into our fleet, which provides for increased capacity, and a net increase of one additional aircraft to our fleet in the period ended June 30, 2011 as compared to June 30, 2010.

Interisland Interisland revenue increased by \$4.3 million and \$14.9 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, as compared to the same three and six month period in 2010, due to the increased yield on our interisland routes partially offset by a decrease in our load factor. The increased yield on our interisland routes reflects the continued reduction in availability of discounted fares during 2011.

Pacific Pacific revenue increased by \$40.2 million and \$73.9 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, as compared to the same three and six month period in 2010, primarily due to increased yield and the expansion of our services to Tokyo, Japan in November 2010, Seoul, South Korea in January 2011 and seasonal daily flights (from four flights weekly) to Sydney, Australia in April 2011.

Other Revenue

Other operating revenue increased by \$3.4 million or 9.0% and \$8.8 million or 12.0% in the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, as compared to the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, primarily due to increased cargo and bag fee revenue. These increases are primarily attributable to the induction of the Airbus A330-200 aircraft which provided additional passenger and cargo capacity.

Table of Contents*Operating Expense*

Operating expenses were \$465.2 million and \$835.8 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and \$291.9 million and \$584.7 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010. The change in operating expenses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 as compared to the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 is detailed below:

| | Changes in operating expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2011 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2010 | | Changes in operating expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2011 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2010 | |
|--|---|-------|---|-------|
| | \$ (in thousands) | % | \$ (in thousands) | % |
| Operating expenses | | | | |
| Aircraft fuel, including taxes and oil | \$ 56,861 | 72.3% | \$ 95,918 | 64.4% |
| Wages and benefits | 6,532 | 8.9 | 11,205 | 7.7 |
| Aircraft rent | 4,026 | 14.8 | 13,987 | 27.3 |
| Maintenance materials and repairs | 13,180 | 44.6 | 20,725 | 31.7 |
| Aircraft and passenger servicing | 5,613 | 40.1 | 9,850 | 34.9 |
| Commissions and other selling | 6,170 | 36.0 | 9,735 | 24.5 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 1,207 | 8.4 | 1,923 | 6.8 |
| Other rentals and landing fees | 4,079 | 30.8 | 7,283 | 27.6 |
| Other | 5,623 | 22.8 | 10,422 | 20.7 |
| Lease termination charges | 70,014 | NM | 70,014 | NM |
| Total | \$ 173,305 | 59.4% | \$ 251,062 | 42.9% |

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, our operations have expanded by approximately 21% (measured in ASMs) as compared to the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 due to the induction of two additional Airbus A330-200 aircraft to our fleet at June 30, 2011 as compared to June 30, 2010, which added passenger and cargo capacity that was partially offset by the return of one Boeing 767-300 at the end of its lease term in May 2011. Our expansion is also due to two new international routes to Japan and South Korea. As a result of this expansion, we have experienced corresponding increases in our variable expenses such as aircraft fuel, wages and benefits, maintenance materials and repairs, aircraft and passenger servicing, commissions and other selling, other rentals and landing fees and other (which primarily consists of purchased services, professional and technical fees, and personnel).

We expect operating expenses to increase with increased volume and the continued expansion of our services, including the launch of a new route to Osaka, Japan in July 2011, the addition of three additional Boeing 717-200 aircraft into our fleet during the second half of 2011 and the delivery of our fourth Airbus A330-200 aircraft in the fourth quarter of 2011.

Other explanations of the variances in our operating expenses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 as compared to the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 are described below.

Aircraft Fuel

Edgar Filing: HAWAIIAN HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-Q

Aircraft fuel expense increased in the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 as compared to June 30, 2010 by \$56.9 million and \$95.9 million, respectively. The variance is attributable to the increase in the cost of aircraft fuel as well as an increase in consumption as illustrated in the following table:

| | Three months ended June 30, | | | Six months ended June 30, | | |
|--|---|-----------|--------|---|------------|--------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | Change | 2011 | 2010 | Change |
| | (in thousands, except per-gallon amounts) | | | (in thousands, except per-gallon amounts) | | |
| Fuel gallons consumed | 40,572 | 34,296 | 18.3% | 78,821 | 66,810 | 18.0% |
| Fuel price per gallon, including taxes and delivery | \$ 3.34 | \$ 2.29 | 45.9% | \$ 3.11 | \$ 2.23 | 39.5% |
| Aircraft fuel expense | \$ 135,471 | \$ 78,610 | 72.3% | \$ 244,834 | \$ 148,916 | 64.4% |

Edgar Filing: HAWAIIAN HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010, our fuel derivatives were not designated for hedge accounting under ASC 815 and were marked to fair value through nonoperating income (expense) in the unaudited Consolidated Statements of Operations. We recorded losses on fuel derivatives of \$10.5 million and \$2.1 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, compared to losses of \$4.8 million and \$5.5 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010.

We believe *economic fuel expense* is the best measure of the effect of fuel prices on our business as it most closely approximates the net cash outflow associated with the purchase of fuel for our operations in a period. We define *economic fuel expense* as raw fuel expense plus (gains)/losses realized through actual cash payments to/(receipts from) hedge counterparties for fuel derivatives settled in the period. *Economic fuel expense* for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 is calculated as follows:

| | Three months ended June 30, | | | Change | Six months ended June 30, | | | Change |
|--|---|-----------|--|----------|---|------------|--|----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | | | 2011 | 2010 | | |
| | (in thousands, except per-gallon amounts) | | | | (in thousands, except per-gallon amounts) | | | |
| Aircraft fuel expense | \$ 135,471 | \$ 78,610 | | 72.3% | \$ 244,834 | \$ 148,916 | | 64.4% |
| Realized (gains) losses on settlement of fuel derivative contracts | (3,057) | 1,224 | | (349.8)% | (4,616) | 1,985 | | (332.5)% |
| Economic fuel expense | \$ 132,414 | \$ 79,834 | | 65.9% | \$ 240,218 | \$ 150,901 | | 59.2% |
| Gallons of jet fuel consumed | 40,572 | 34,296 | | 18.3% | 78,821 | 66,810 | | 18.0% |
| Economic fuels costs per gallon | \$ 3.26 | \$ 2.33 | | 39.9% | \$ 3.05 | \$ 2.26 | | 35.0% |

See Item 3, Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk, for additional discussion of our jet fuel costs and related hedging program.

Lease Termination

On June 27, 2011, we entered into a purchase agreement with the lessor for the purchase of fifteen Boeing 717-200 aircraft, each such aircraft including two Rolls-Royce BR700-715 engines, previously held through four capital and eleven operating lease agreements. The purchase price for the fifteen Boeing 717-200 aircraft was \$230 million, comprised of financing of \$192.8 million through secured loan agreements, cash payment of \$25.0 million, and non-cash application of maintenance and security deposits held by the previous lessor and current debt financier of \$12.2 million. See additional information on the loan agreements at Note 5 Debt and the purchase agreements at Note 6 - Leases. We recognized the excess of the purchase price paid over the fair value of the aircraft under operating leases as a cost of terminating the leases under ASC 840 - *Leases* (formerly FASB Interpretation No. 26, *Accounting for Purchase of a Leased Asset by the Lessee during the Term of the Lease*) and elected to apply the same accounting policy to the aircraft under capital leases. We recorded the fifteen Boeing 717-200 at their fair value of \$135 million on the unaudited Consolidated Balance Sheets and lease termination charges of \$70.0 million on the unaudited Consolidated Statements of Operations

The purchase of the fifteen Boeing 717-200 aircraft will result in lower aircraft rent expense in the future periods that will be partially offset by increases in depreciation and amortization and interest expense in the future periods.

Aircraft Rent

Aircraft rent expense increased for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 as compared to the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 by \$4.0 million or 14.8% and \$14.0 million or 27.3%, respectively, primarily due to the net increase of one additional aircraft, a leased Airbus A330-200, to the fleet at June 30, 2011 as compared to June 30, 2010, as well as additional lease return costs incurred with the return of one of our leased Boeing 767-300 aircraft in May 2011 and additional associated costs for another Boeing 767-300 aircraft coming to the end of its lease term in October 2011.

Maintenance materials and repairs

Maintenance materials and repairs expense increased by \$13.2 million or 44.6% and \$20.7 million or 31.7% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 as compared to the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, primarily due to power-by-hour (PBH) arrangements for an additional two Airbus A330-200 aircraft in our fleet in the period ended June 30, 2011 as compared to the period ended June 30, 2010, heavy maintenance expense on our Boeing 767 aircraft and engines, heavy maintenance on our Boeing 717 aircraft, and increases in our PBH rates for our Boeing 767s and 717s.

We expect maintenance materials and repairs expense to increase in future periods as we continue to take delivery of additional Airbus aircraft and integrate them into revenue service, the expansion of our Boeing 717-200 aircraft fleet, and price escalation in certain of our PBH contracts.

Table of Contents

Aircraft and passenger servicing

Aircraft and passenger servicing expense increased by \$5.6 million or 40.1% and \$9.9 million or 34.9% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 as compared to the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, primarily due to volume related increases and increased service costs for our international routes. We expect aircraft and passenger servicing to increase in future periods as we continue to expand our fleet and also increase the number of international routes.

Commissions and other selling

Commissions and other selling expenses increased \$6.2 million or 36.0% and \$9.7 million or 24.5% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 as compared to the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 primarily due to increased travel agency commissions for ticket sales on our international routes and increases in the volume of ticket sales through credit cards and the global distribution system. We expect commissions and other selling expense to increase in future periods as we continue to expand our fleet and also increase the number of international routes.

Nonoperating Income and Expense

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, we recorded nonoperating expense, net, of \$12.4 million and \$6.0 million, respectively, compared to nonoperating expense, net, of \$8.1 million and \$13.3 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively. The increase in nonoperating expense for the three months ended June 30, 2011 is due primarily to an increase in losses recognized on our fuel derivatives. The decrease in nonoperating expense for the six months ended June 30, 2011 is due to the decrease in losses recognized on our fuel derivatives.

Income Tax Expense (Benefit)

We had an effective tax rate of 39.3% and 43.4% for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. See Note 8 Income Taxes to the notes to the unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our liquidity is dependent on the cash we generate from operating activities and our debt financing arrangements. As of June 30, 2011, we had \$303.5 million in cash and cash equivalents, representing an increase of \$18.5 million from December 31, 2010. We also had restricted cash of \$5.2 million at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, which consisted almost entirely of cash held as collateral by entities that process our credit card sales transactions for advance ticket sales. Our cash flow from operations is typically higher in the second and third quarters, while the first and fourth quarters traditionally reflect reduced travel demand except for specific periods around holidays and spring break.

We have a significant amount of fixed obligations including debt and lease obligations. At June 30, 2011, Hawaiian had approximately \$409 million of debt and capital lease obligations, including approximately \$32 million that will become due in the next 12 months. Hawaiian has a \$75 million secured revolving credit facility and as of June 30, 2011, we had no outstanding borrowings under the revolving credit facility and \$65.4 million available (net of various outstanding letters of credit).

Cash Flows

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$93.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, an increase of \$17.3 million compared to the same period in 2010. The increase in cash provided is primarily due to an increase in our air traffic liability balance and a decrease in contributions to our pension and disability plans which was partially offset by increases in prepaid expenses and other and accounts receivable.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$147.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to \$86.8 million for the same period in 2010. During the six months ended June 30, 2011, the cash used in investing activities is comprised of approximately \$25 million related to the purchase of the fifteen Boeing 717-200 aircraft, \$54 million for the acquisition of the Airbus A330-200 aircraft in April 2011, \$3 million for the purchase of an additional Rolls-Royce spare engine, \$17 million for other property and equipment and \$48 million related to pre-delivery payments for the upcoming deliveries of Airbus A330-200 aircraft. During the six months ended June 30, 2010, we used \$53.8 million for purchases of property and equipment including pre-delivery payments, and \$33 million for net purchases of short-term investments.

Table of Contents

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$72.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 as compared to net cash used of \$13.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010. In the six months ended June 30, 2011, we received \$65 million from a term loan for a portion of the purchase price of the Airbus A330-200 aircraft that we took delivery of in April 2011, \$78.7 million in net cash proceeds from the issuance of the Convertible Notes and related transactions, and debt issuance costs of \$7.0 million which was partially offset by cash repayments of long-term debt and capital lease obligations totaling \$64.3 million. During the six months ended June 30, 2010, we made cash repayments of long-term debt and capital lease obligations totaling \$13.9 million.

Capital Commitments

In the quarter ended March 31, 2011, Hawaiian executed an amended agreement for two additional Rolls Royce spare engines scheduled for delivery in 2013 and 2015. As of June 30, 2011, Hawaiian's firm aircraft orders consisted of twelve wide-body Airbus A330-200 aircraft, six Airbus A350XWB-800 aircraft and five Rolls Royce spare engines scheduled for delivery through 2020. In addition, Hawaiian has purchase rights for an additional four A330-200 aircraft and six A350-XWB aircraft. Committed expenditures for these aircraft, engines and related flight equipment, approximates \$96 million in the remaining six months of 2011, \$274 million in 2012, \$287 million in 2013, \$234 million in 2014 and \$166 million in 2015.

We expect our other capital expenditure commitments which include software, improvements, and ramp and maintenance equipment, for the remainder of 2011 to total approximately \$10 to \$15 million.

In order to complete the purchase of these aircraft and fund related costs, we must secure acceptable financing. We are currently exploring various financing alternatives and, while we believe that such financing will be available to us, there can be no assurance that financing will be available when required, on acceptable terms, or at all. The inability to secure such financing could have a material adverse effect on us. We have secured financing of \$200 million for a portion of the purchase price of the upcoming A330-200 aircraft deliveries in fourth quarter 2011, first quarter 2012 and second quarter 2012.

Airbus A330-200 Facility Agreement Commitments

On June 29, 2011, Hawaiian entered into a secured loan agreement for \$66 million to finance a portion of the purchase price of one Airbus A330-200 aircraft with an upcoming delivery in the first quarter 2012. The loan agreement will bear interest, at Hawaiian's election, at either a floating rate based on a margin over LIBOR or a fixed rate, with a maturity date of March 2024.

On June 29, 2011, Hawaiian also entered into two secured loan agreements each for \$67 million to finance a portion of the purchase price of two Airbus A330-200 aircraft with upcoming delivery in the fourth quarter 2011 and second quarter 2012. These loan agreements will bear interest at Hawaiian's election, at either a floating rate based on a margin over LIBOR or a fixed rate, subject to balloon payments at maturity dates of October 2023 and April 2022, respectively.

Edgar Filing: HAWAIIAN HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-Q

The anticipated future payments for these facility agreements for the remaining months in 2011 is approximately \$2 million, \$13 million in 2012, \$14 million in 2013, \$15 million in 2014 and \$15 million in 2015.

Lease Commitments

On June 29, 2011, Hawaiian entered into three eight-year lease agreements for an additional three Boeing 717-200 aircraft, with one aircraft expected to be delivered in third quarter 2011 and two aircraft in fourth quarter 2011. We are still assessing whether these leases will be classified as capital or operating, and will make this determination in the period we take delivery of the aircraft.

On April 6, 2011, we entered into a twelve-year operating lease agreement for an Airbus A330-200 aircraft with an expected delivery in the second quarter of 2012.

The anticipated future payments for these leases for the remaining months in 2011 is approximately \$1 million, \$11 million in 2012, \$15 million in 2013, \$15 million in 2014 and \$15 million in 2015.

Covenants under our Financing Arrangements

The terms of certain of our financing agreements restrict our ability to, among other things, incur additional indebtedness, grant liens, merge or consolidate, dispose of assets, prepay indebtedness, make investments, make acquisitions, enter into certain transactions with affiliates, pay dividends or make distributions to our parent company and repurchase stock. These agreements also require us to meet certain financial covenants. These financial tests include maintaining a minimum amount of unrestricted cash and achieving certain levels of fixed charge coverage. As of June 30, 2011, we were in compliance with these covenants. If we are not able to comply with these covenants, our outstanding obligations under these facilities could be accelerated and become due and payable immediately.

Table of Contents

Under our bank-issued credit card processing agreements, certain proceeds from advance ticket sales may be held back to serve as collateral to cover any possible chargebacks or other disputed charges that may occur. These holdbacks, which are included in restricted cash in our unaudited Consolidated Balance Sheets, totaled \$5.2 million at June 30, 2011. The agreement with our largest credit card processor also contains financial triggers for additional holdbacks, which are based on, among other things, the amount of unrestricted cash and short-term investments, the level of debt service coverage and operating income measured quarterly on a trailing 12-month basis. No amounts were subject to this holdback at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010. Under the terms of this credit card agreement, the level of credit card holdback is subject to adjustment based on actual performance relative to these specific financial triggers. Based on our performance relative to these financial triggers for the quarter ended June 30, 2011, we expect the holdback to increase to 25% of the applicable credit card credit card air traffic liability, increasing the restricted cash balance in the third quarter 2011 by approximately \$30 to 35 million, that we plan to fund through advance ticket sale remittances. Depending on our performance relative to these financial triggers in the future, the holdback could incrementally increase to an amount up to 100% of the applicable credit card air traffic liability, which would also cause an increase in the level of restricted cash. If we are unable to obtain a waiver of, or otherwise mitigate the increase in restriction of cash, it could also cause a covenant violation under other debt or lease obligations and have a material adverse impact on us.

Pension and Postemployment Benefit Plan Funding

We contributed \$3.4 million and \$5.3 million during the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, to our defined benefit pension and disability plans and expect to contribute an additional \$6.7 million during the remainder of 2011. Future funding requirements are dependent upon many factors such as interest rates, funded status, applicable regulatory requirements and the level and timing of asset returns.

Critical Accounting Policies

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon financial statements that have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions and/or conditions.

Critical accounting policies and estimates are defined as those accounting policies and accounting estimates that are reflective of significant judgments and uncertainties that potentially could result in materially different results under different assumptions and conditions. For a detailed discussion of the application of our critical accounting policies, see *Critical Accounting Policies* and Note 2, *Summary of Significant Accounting Policies*, to our Consolidated Financial Statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Tax Valuation Allowance

In assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that some or all of our deferred tax assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of our deferred tax assets is dependent upon our ability to generate future taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. We reversed our tax valuation allowance in 2010 because our

projections of future taxable income were sufficient to support recognition of our deferred tax assets. We continue to believe our projections of future taxable income support the realizability of our deferred tax assets even though we recognized a net operating loss for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011. The net operating loss recognized at the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 was primarily due to non-recurring and non-cash lease termination charges that do not impact our ability to generate future taxable income.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.

We are subject to certain market risks, including commodity price risk (i.e. jet fuel prices) and interest rate risk. We have market-sensitive instruments in the form of variable rate debt instruments and financial derivative instruments used to hedge Hawaiian's exposure to jet fuel price increases. The adverse effects of potential changes in these market risks are discussed below. The sensitivity analyses presented do not consider the effects that such adverse changes may have on overall economic activity nor do they consider additional actions we might undertake to mitigate our exposure to such changes. Actual results may differ.

Table of Contents

Aircraft Fuel Costs

Aircraft fuel costs constitute a significant portion of our operating expense. Fuel costs represented 29.1% and 29.3% of our operating expenses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011. Based on gallons expected to be consumed in 2011, for every one-cent increase in the cost of jet fuel, our annual fuel expense would increase by approximately \$1.6 million.

We use derivative contracts to manage our exposure to changes in the prices of jet fuel. During 2011, our fuel hedge program primarily consists of heating oil and crude oil caps (or call options) and collars (a combination of call options and put options). Caps are call option contracts that provide for a settlement in favor of the holder in the event that prices exceed a predetermined contractual level during a particular time period. We have combined some of our call option contracts with put option contract sales to create collars whereby a settlement may occur in our favor in the event prices for the underlying commodity exceed a predetermined contractual level (the call option strike price) during a particular time period or a settlement may be required from us in favor of our counterparty in the event that prices of the commodity fall below a predetermined contractual level (the put option strike price). Certain of these collar agreements have been entered into contemporaneously and set so that the call option premium and put option premium offset, creating a costless collar.

The aforementioned fuel derivative agreements were not designated as hedges under ASC 815. As of June 30, 2011, the fair value of these fuel derivative agreements reflected a net asset of \$5.1 million which is reflected in prepaid expenses and other in the unaudited Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Hawaiian's future contracts and other fuel derivative agreements as of July 15, 2011 are outlined in the table below:

Fuel Derivative Contract Summary

Edgar Filing: HAWAIIAN HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

| | Weighted Average Contract Strike Price | | Percentage of Projected Fuel Requirements Hedged | Fuel Barrels Hedged |
|-----------------------------------|---|----------------|---|------------------------|
| <u>Third Quarter 2011</u> | | | | |
| Heating Oil (in gallons) | | | | |
| Call Options | \$ | 2.96 | 4% | 43,000 |
| Collars | Cap Floor | | | |
| | \$ | 3.10 \$ 2.74 | 1% | 8,000 |
| Crude Oil (in barrels) | | | | |
| Call Options | \$ | 100.55 | 47% | 474,000 |
| Collars | Cap Floor | | | |
| | \$ | 92.33 \$ 66.84 | 4% | 46,000 |
| Total | | | 56% | 571,000 |
| <u>Fourth Quarter 2011</u> | | | | |
| Heating Oil (in gallons) | | | | |
| Collars | Cap Floor | | | |
| | \$ | 3.09 \$ 2.73 | 3% | 24,000 |
| Crude Oil (in barrels) | | | | |
| Call Options | \$ | 106.31 | 40% | 372,000 |
| Total | | | 43% | 396,000 |
| <u>First Quarter 2012</u> | | | | |
| Heating Oil (in gallons) | | | | |
| Collars | Cap Floor | | | |
| | \$ | 3.16 \$ 2.74 | 3% | 24,000 |
| Crude Oil (in barrels) | | | | |
| Call Options | \$ | 113.90 | 25% | 224,000 |
| Total | | | 28% | 248,000 |
| <u>Second Quarter 2012</u> | | | | |
| Heating Oil (in gallons) | | | | |
| Call Options | Cap Floor | | | |
| | \$ | 3.13 \$ 2.70 | 2% | 20,000 |
| Crude Oil (in barrels) | | | | |
| Call Options | \$ | 115.44 | 10% | 91,000 |
| Total | | | 12% | 111,000 |
| <u>Third Quarter 2012</u> | | | | |
| Heating Oil (in gallons) | | | | |
| Collars | Cap Floor | | | |
| | \$ | 3.12 \$ 2.69 | 0.4% | 4,000 |
| Total | | | 0.4% | 4,000 |

We expect to continue our program of hedging some of our future fuel consumption with a combination of futures contracts, swaps, caps, collars and synthetic collars.

We do not hold or issue derivative financial instruments for trading purposes. We are exposed to credit risks in the event our heating oil and crude oil caps counterparties fail to meet their obligations; however, we do not expect these counterparties to fail to meet their obligations.

Interest Rates

Our results of operations are affected by fluctuations in interest rates due to our variable-rate debt and interest income earned on our cash deposits and short-term investments. Our variable-rate debt agreements include the Revolving Credit Facility and secured loan agreements, the terms of which are discussed in Note 7 to our consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

At June 30, 2011, we had \$83.2 million of variable-rate debt indexed to the following interest rates:

| Index | Rate |
|-----------------|-------------|
| One-month LIBOR | 0.1872% |

Table of Contents

Changes in market interest rates have a direct and corresponding effect on our pre-tax earnings and cash flows associated with our floating rate debt and interest-bearing cash accounts. Based on the balances of our cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, and variable rate debt as of June 30, 2011, a change in interest rates would not have a material impact on our results of operations because the level of our variable rate interest-bearing cash deposits approximates the level of our variable-rate liabilities. Should that relationship change in the future, our exposure to changes in interest rate fluctuations would likely increase.

At June 30, 2011, we had \$325.4 million of fixed rate debt including the Convertible Notes and Aircraft Facility Agreements, and \$0.4 million of capital lease obligations. Market risk for fixed rate long-term debt and capitalized lease obligations is estimated as the potential increase in fair value resulting from a hypothetical 10 percent decrease in interest rates, and amounted to approximately \$2.3 million as of June 30, 2011.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO), performed an evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures, which have been designed to permit us to effectively identify and timely disclose important information. Based on that evaluation, our management, including our CEO and CFO, concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of June 30, 2011 and provide reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports it files under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the SEC, and is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our CEO and CFO, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting during the second quarter ended June 30, 2011 which materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Inherent Limitations on Effectiveness of Controls

Our management, including our CEO and CFO, does not expect that our disclosure controls or our internal control over financial reporting will prevent or detect all error and all fraud, if any. A control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the control system's objectives will be met. The design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Further, because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that misstatements due to error or fraud will not occur or that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Controls can also be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the controls. The design of any system of controls is based in part on

Edgar Filing: HAWAIIAN HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-Q

certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness of controls to future periods are subject to risks. Over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or deterioration in the degree of compliance with policies or procedures.

Table of Contents

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

We are not a party to any litigation that is expected to have a significant effect on our operations or business.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS.

In addition to the risks identified elsewhere in this report, the following risk factors apply to our business results of operations and financial conditions.

Risks Relating to our Business

Our business is affected by economic volatility.

Economic conditions in the United States and globally plummeted in 2008 before recovering moderately in 2009 and throughout 2010. Demand for discretionary purchases in general, and air travel and vacations to Hawaii in particular, remains unpredictable. If this reduction in demand continues or further deteriorates, it may result in a reduction in our passenger traffic and/or increased competitive pressure on fares in the markets we serve, either of which could negatively affect our revenue and liquidity and have a negative affect on our results of operations and financial condition. We cannot assure that we would be able to offset such revenue reductions by reducing our costs.

Our business is highly dependent on the price and availability of fuel.

Fuel costs represented 26.5%, 22.7%, and 37.9% of Hawaiian's operating expenses for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The 2008 percentage includes the impact of our litigation settlement with Mesa. The cost of jet fuel has been increasing and remains volatile. Approximately 61% of our fuel is based on Singapore jet fuel prices, 36% is based on U.S. West Coast jet fuel prices and 2% on other jet fuel prices. As of July 22, 2011, Singapore jet fuel prices were \$3.13 and U.S. West Coast jet fuel prices were \$3.16, compared to an average of \$2.15 and \$2.22, respectively, during 2010. Based on gallons expected to be consumed in 2011, for every one cent change in the cost per gallon of jet fuel, Hawaiian's annual fuel expense increases or decreases by approximately \$1.6 million. Prices and availability of jet fuel are subject to political, economic and market factors that are generally outside of our control. Prices may be affected by many factors including, without limitation, the impact of political instability and crude oil production, unexpected changes in the availability of petroleum products due to disruptions at distribution systems or refineries, unpredicted increases in demand due to weather or the pace of global economic growth, inventory levels of crude oil and other petroleum products, the relative fluctuation between the U.S. dollar and other major currencies and the actions of speculators in commodity markets. For example, the recent political turmoil in Libya and other parts of northern Africa, and the

Middle East, may significantly increase the price we pay for jet fuel in the future. Further increases in jet fuel prices or disruptions in fuel supplies, whether as a result of political instability in major fuel exporting countries, natural disasters or otherwise, could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial position or liquidity.

From time to time, we enter into hedging agreements to protect against rising fuel costs. If fuel prices fall significantly below the levels at the time we enter into hedging contracts, we may be required to post a significant amount of collateral, which could have an impact on the level of our unrestricted cash and cash equivalents.

We operate in an extremely competitive environment.

The domestic airline industry is characterized by low profit margins, high fixed costs and significant price competition. We currently compete with other airlines on our interisland, transpacific and Pacific routes. The commencement of, or increase in, service on our routes by existing or new carriers could negatively impact our operating results. Many of our competitors are larger and have greater financial resources and name recognition than we do. Aggressive marketing tactics or a prolonged fare war initiated by one or more of these competitors could adversely affect our financial resources and our ability to compete in these markets.

In recent years, many of our competitors have dramatically reduced operating costs through a combination of operational restructuring, business simplification and vendor and labor negotiations. Several airlines, including United and US Airways were able to reduce labor costs, restructure debt and lease agreements, and implement other financial improvements through the bankruptcy process. Other carriers, including American and Continental, have also reduced operating costs outside of the bankruptcy process. In addition, certain of our competitors have merged to create larger and more-financially sound airlines including Delta (through its merger with Northwest Airlines) and United (through its merger with Continental). Through consolidation, carriers have the opportunity to achieve cost reductions by eliminating redundancy in their networks and their management structures. With reduced costs, these competitors are more capable of operating profitably in an environment of reduced fares and may, as a result, increase service in our primary markets or reduce fares to attract additional customers. Because airline customers are price sensitive, we cannot assure that we will be able to attract a sufficient number of customers at sufficiently high fare levels to generate profitability, or that we will be able to reduce our operating costs sufficiently to remain competitive with these other airlines.

Table of Contents

Since airline markets have few natural barriers to entry, we also face the threat of new entrants in all of our markets, including low-cost carrier (LCC) competition. Allegiant, a low-cost carrier has announced that it acquired Boeing 757 aircraft expressly for the purpose of expanding its operations to Hawaii with service expected to be initiated in 2011. In addition, Southwest Airlines has announced that it would consider adding service to Hawaii after acquiring aircraft suitable for the mission that are due to be delivered beginning in 2012. Furthermore, a more fundamental and immediate consequence for us of potential competition of LCCs is the response from full service network carriers, which have met the competition from LCCs in their markets by significantly reducing costs and adjusting their route networks to divert resources to long-haul markets such as Hawaii, where LCC competition has been less severe. The result is that the network carriers have at the same time reduced their costs of operation and increased capacity in the Hawaii market. Additional capacity to Hawaii, whether from network carriers or LCCs, could result in a decrease in our share of the markets in which we operate, a decline in our yields, or both, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

Our business is affected by the competitive advantages held by network carriers in the transpacific market.

In the transpacific market, most of our competition comes from network carriers such as Alaska, American, Delta, United and US Airways. Network carriers have a number of competitive advantages relative to us that may enable them to obtain higher fares or attract higher customer traffic levels than us:

- Network carriers generate passenger traffic from throughout the U.S. mainland. In contrast, we lack a comparable network to feed passengers to our transpacific flights and are, therefore, more reliant on passenger demand in the specific cities we serve.
- Most network carriers operate from hubs, which can provide a built-in market of passengers, depending on the economic strength of the hub city and the size of the customer group that frequent the airline. For example, United flows sufficient passenger traffic throughout the U.S. mainland to the Hawaiian islands, giving San Francisco residents wishing to travel to Hawaii approximately eight flights a day depending on the time of year, with nonstop flight choices on United to Oahu, Maui, Kauai and the Big Island, while we, without feed traffic, offer only one flight per day from San Francisco to Honolulu, Oahu. In contrast, Honolulu, the hub of our operations, does not originate a large proportion of transpacific travel, nor does it have the population or potential customer franchise of a city such as Chicago or Dallas necessary to provide us with a built-in market. Passengers in the transpacific market, for the most part, do not originate in Honolulu, but rather on the mainland, making Honolulu primarily a destination rather than origin of passenger traffic.

The interisland market has recently experienced decreasing demand.

The demand for interisland service has reduced in recent years as other airlines have increased direct service from the mainland to Oahu's neighbor islands, obviating the need for interisland transfers and as the infrastructure, particularly the availability of goods and services, in the neighbor islands improves. In addition, the occurrence of a natural disaster, such as an earthquake or tsunami, could also reduce the demand for interisland service. A further decline in the level of interisland passenger traffic could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

Our business is highly dependent on tourism, and our financial results could suffer if there is a downturn in tourism levels.

Our principal base of operations is in Hawaii and our revenue is linked primarily to the number of travelers (mostly tourists) to, from and among the Hawaiian islands. Hawaii tourism levels are affected by, among other things, the political and economic climate in Hawaii's main tourism markets, the availability of hotel accommodations, promotional spending by competing destinations, the popularity of Hawaii as a tourist destination relative to other vacation destinations, and other global factors, including natural disasters, safety and security. From time to time, various events and industry specific problems such as strikes have had a negative impact on tourism in Hawaii. The occurrence of natural disasters, such as earthquakes and tsunamis, in Hawaii or other parts of the world, could also have a material adverse effect on Hawaii tourism. In addition, the potential or actual occurrence of terrorist attacks, wars such as those in Afghanistan and Iraq, and the threat of other negative world events have had, and may in the future again have, a material adverse effect on Hawaii tourism. No assurance can be given that the level of passenger traffic to Hawaii will not decline in the future. A decline in the level of Hawaii passenger traffic could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

Table of Contents

Our business is subject to substantial seasonal and cyclical volatility.

Our profitability and liquidity are sensitive to seasonal volatility primarily because of leisure and holiday travel patterns. Hawaii is a popular vacation destination. Demand is typically stronger during June, July, August and December and considerably weaker at other times of the year. Our results of operations generally reflect this seasonality, but are also affected by numerous other factors that are not necessarily seasonal. These factors include the extent and nature of fare changes and competition from other airlines, changing levels of operations, national and international events, fuel prices and general economic conditions, including inflation. Because a substantial portion of both personal and business airline travel is discretionary, the industry tends to experience adverse financial results in general economic downturns. During 2008 general economic conditions in the United States deteriorated before recovering modestly in 2009 and 2010. Tourism arrivals to Hawaii from the states served by Hawaiian showed positive signs of recovery in 2010 but remain below levels achieved prior to the economic decline.

The concentration of our business in Hawaii, and between Hawaii and the western United States, provides little diversification of our revenue.

Most of our revenue is generated from air transportation between the islands of Hawaii and the western United States, or within the Hawaiian Islands. Many of our competitors, particularly major network carriers with whom we compete on the transpacific routes, enjoy greater geographical diversification of their revenue. A reduction in the level of demand for travel within Hawaii, or to Hawaii from the western United States or the U.S. mainland in general, or an increase in the level of industry capacity on these routes may reduce the revenue we are able to generate and adversely affect our financial results. As these routes account for a significantly higher proportion of our revenue than they do for many of our competitors, such a reduction would have a relatively greater adverse impact on our financial results.

Our failure to successfully implement our growth strategy and related cost-reduction goals could harm our business.

Our growth strategy involves purchasing additional aircraft, expanding into new markets and initiating service on routes that we currently do not serve. It is critical that we achieve our growth strategy in order for our business to attain economies of scale and to sustain or improve our results of operations. If we are unable to hire and retain skilled personnel or to secure the required equipment and facilities, or if we are not able to otherwise successfully implement our growth strategy, our business and operations could be adversely affected.

We continue to strive toward aggressive cost-containment goals that are an important part of our business strategy of offering the best value to passengers through competitive fares while at the same time achieving acceptable profit margins and return on capital. We believe that having a lower cost structure better positions us to be able to fund our growth strategy and take advantage of market opportunities. If we are unable to adequately contain our non-fuel unit costs, we likely will not be able to achieve our growth plan and our financial results may suffer.

Our share price has been subject to extreme price fluctuations, and stockholders could have difficulty trading shares.

The market price of our stock can be influenced by many factors, a number of which are outside of our control. Some of the primary factors in the volatility of our stock price are:

- operating results and financial condition;
- changes in the competitive environment in which we operate;
- changes in jet fuel prices;
- bankruptcy filings by other airlines;
- increased government regulation; and
- general market conditions.

Additionally, in recent years the stock market has experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations that often have been unrelated to the operating performance of individual companies. These market fluctuations, as well as general economic conditions, may affect the price of our common stock.

Table of Contents

In the past, securities class action litigation has often been instituted against a company following periods of volatility in the company's stock price. This type of litigation, if filed against us, could result in substantial costs and divert our management's attention and resources. In addition, the future sale of a substantial number of shares of common stock by us or by our existing stockholders may have an adverse impact on the market price of the shares of common stock. There can be no assurance that the trading price of our common stock will remain at or near its current level.

We are increasingly dependent on technology to operate our business.

We depend heavily on computer systems and technology, such as flight operations systems, communications systems, airport systems and reservations systems to operate our business. Any substantial or repeated failures of our computer or communications systems could negatively affect our customer service, compromise the security of customer information, result in the loss of important data, loss of revenue, and increased costs, and generally harm our business. Like other companies, our computer and communication systems may be vulnerable to disruptions due to events beyond our control, including natural disasters, power, software or equipment failures, terrorist attacks, computer viruses and hackers. There can be no assurance that the measures we have taken to reduce the adverse effects of certain potential failures or disruptions are adequate to prevent or remedy disruptions of our systems.

We are subject to various risks as a result of our fleet concentration in Boeing 717s and Boeing 767s.

Our fleet currently consists primarily of Boeing 717 and Boeing 767 aircraft. In 2006, Boeing Commercial Airplanes (Boeing) discontinued the production of the Boeing 717 aircraft model. In addition, the rate of production of Boeing 767 aircraft has significantly decreased. As a result, the availability of parts and maintenance support for Boeing 717 and Boeing 767 aircraft may become limited in future years. Additionally, we may experience increased costs in later years associated with parts acquisition for and/or maintenance support of these aircraft. Other carriers operating with a more diversified fleet may be better able to withstand such an event, if such an event occurred in the future.

We are highly reliant on third-party contractors to provide certain facilities and services for our operations, and termination of our third-party agreements could have a potentially adverse effect on our financial results.

We have agreements with Air New Zealand, US Airways, American, Continental, Delta, Island Air, and other contractors, to provide certain facilities and services required for our operations. These facilities and services include aircraft maintenance, code sharing, reservations, computer services, accounting, frequent flyer programs, passenger processing, ground facilities, baggage and cargo handling and personnel training. Our reliance on these third parties to continue to provide these important aspects of our business

- *Maintenance agreements.* We have maintenance agreements with Delta, Air New Zealand Engineering Services, the Pratt & Whitney division of United Technologies Corporation, Rolls Royce, Honeywell and others to provide maintenance services for our aircraft, engines, parts and equipment. If one or more of our maintenance providers terminate their respective agreements, we would have to seek alternative sources of maintenance service or undertake the maintenance of these aircraft or components ourselves. We cannot assure you that we would be able to do so without interruption to our business or on a basis that is as cost-effective as our current maintenance arrangements.

Edgar Filing: HAWAIIAN HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-Q

- *Code sharing agreements.* We have code sharing agreements with American, American Eagle, Continental, Island Air, Korean Air, United and US Airways. We also participate in the frequent flyer programs of American, American Eagle, Continental, Delta, United, US Airways, Virgin Atlantic Airways and Virgin Blue. Continental, Delta, and Virgin Atlantic Airways participate in our frequent flyer programs. Although these agreements increase our ability to be more competitive, they also increase our reliance on third parties.
- *Fuel agreements.* We have a jet fuel sale and purchase contract to provide us with a substantial amount of jet fuel, which we anticipate will be sufficient to meet all of our jet fuel needs for flights originating in Honolulu during 2011. If the fuel provider terminates its agreement with us, we would have to seek an alternative source of jet fuel. We cannot assure you that we would be able to do so on a basis that is as cost-effective as our current arrangement. We have agreements with vendors at all airports we serve to provide us with fuel. Should any of these vendors cease to provide service to us for whatever reason, our operations could be adversely affected.
- *Outsourcing agreements.* We have entered into agreements with a third-party contractor in India to provide certain accounting and information technology services as well as with a third-party contractor in the Philippines to provide reservation call center functions. Our agreements may materially fail to meet our service level and performance standards and commitments to our customers. Any failure of these providers to adequately perform their service obligations, or other

Table of Contents

unexpected interruptions of services, may reduce our revenue and increase our expenses, or prevent us from operating our flights profitably and providing other services to our customers. In addition, our business and financial performance could be materially harmed if our customers believe that our services are unreliable or unsatisfactory. In addition, to the extent we are unable to maintain the outsourcing or subcontracting of certain services for our business, we would incur substantial costs, including costs associated with hiring new employees, in order to return these services in-house.

- *Information Technology agreements.* We have agreements in place with a number of vendors including Sabre Holdings, ITA Software, TCS, IBM, EMC, NCR Corporation and Oracle Corporation to provide technology products and services that support various aspects of our business. If one or more of these vendors were to terminate these agreements, we would have to seek alternative partners. This transition would be lengthy, expensive, and may affect our operations adversely.
- *Travel agency and wholesale agreements.* In 2010, passenger ticket sales from travel agencies and wholesalers constituted approximately 20% of our total operating revenue. Travel agents and wholesalers generally have a choice between one or more airlines when booking a customer's flight. Accordingly, any effort by travel agencies or wholesalers to favor another airline or to disfavor us could adversely affect our revenue. Although we intend to maintain favorable relations with travel agencies and wholesalers, there can be no assurance that they will continue to do business with us. The loss of any one or several travel agencies or wholesalers may have an adverse effect on operations.

We are dependent on satisfactory labor relations.

Labor costs are a significant component of airline expenses and can substantially impact an airline's results. Labor and related benefit costs represented approximately 24.4% and 25.3%, 21.7% of our operating expenses for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively. The 2008 percentage includes the impact of the litigation settlement with Mesa. We may experience pressure to increase wages and benefits for our employees in the future. We may make strategic and operational decisions that require the consent of one or more of our labor unions. We cannot assure you that these labor unions will not require additional wages, benefits or other consideration in return for their consent. In addition, we have entered into collective bargaining agreements with our pilots, mechanical group employees, clerical group employees, flight attendants, and dispatchers. The agreement with our flight attendant group is currently amendable on March 31, 2011 and contract negotiations began on February 15, 2011. We cannot assure you that future agreements with our employees' unions will be on terms in line with our expectations or comparable to agreements entered into by our competitors, and any future agreements may increase our labor costs or otherwise adversely affect us. If we are unable to reach an agreement with any unionized work group, we may be subject to future work interruptions and/or stoppages, which may hamper or halt operations.

Our operations may be adversely affected if we are unable to attract and retain key executives, including our Chief Executive Officer.

We are dependent on our ability to attract and retain key executives, particularly Mark B. Dunkerley, our Chief Executive Officer, who signed an amended employment agreement in May 2010 which provided for a 3.5 year term of employment ending on November 7, 2013. Competition for such personnel in the airline industry is highly competitive, and we cannot be certain that we will be able to retain our Chief Executive Officer or other key executives or that we can attract other qualified personnel in the future. Any inability to retain our Chief Executive Officer and other key executives, or attract and retain additional qualified executives, could have a negative impact on our operations.

Our substantial debt could adversely affect our financial condition.

We have a significant amount of debt. Our total debt at June 30, 2011 was \$409 million. Our substantial debt obligations may adversely affect our ability to incur additional debt in the future on acceptable terms or at all to fund working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions or other purposes. In addition, if we incur additional debt, the related risks that we now face could intensify. The requirement to service our substantial debt:

- makes it more difficult for us to satisfy our obligations with respect to our debt, including our obligation under our indenture to repurchase tendered notes if a fundamental change occurs;
- makes us more vulnerable to general adverse economic and industry conditions,
- requires us to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to payments on our debt, thereby reducing the availability of our cash flow for operations and other purposes,
- increases the volatility of the price of our common stock;
- limits our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industry in which we operate,
- limits, along with the financial and other restrictive covenants in the agreements governing our debt, among other things, our ability to borrow additional funds; and
- places us at a competitive disadvantage compared to any other competitor that has less debt than we do.

Our operations may not generate sufficient cash to enable us to service our debt. A failure to make a required payment or to comply with the covenants and other provisions in the agreements governing our debt could result in events of default under such agreements, which could permit acceleration of our debt. Any required repayment of our debt as a result of acceleration would reduce the amount of our current cash on hand. In addition, we may not have sufficient cash on hand to pay all such amounts due in the event of an acceleration.

Table of Contents

Our financial liquidity could be adversely affected by credit market conditions.

Our business requires access to capital markets to finance equipment purchases, including aircraft, and to provide liquidity in seasonal or cyclical periods of weaker revenue generation. In particular, we intend to obtain additional debt financing to finance upcoming aircraft deliveries during 2011. Additionally, we will face specific funding challenges, upon the expiration of indebtedness related to the purchase of three previously leased Boeing 767-300 aircraft in 2013 and with respect to our obligation under a purchase agreement with Airbus to acquire wide-body A330-200 aircraft and A350XWB (Extra Wide Body)-800 aircraft. Credit market conditions remained unsettled during 2010, affecting the availability of financing and increasing the cost of financing that can be acquired. We can offer no assurance that the financing we need will be available when required or that the economic terms on which it is available will not adversely affect our financial condition. If we cannot obtain financing or we cannot obtain financing on commercially reasonable terms, our financial condition will be adversely affected.

Our agreement to purchase Airbus A330-200 and A350XWB-800 aircraft significantly increases our future financial commitments and operating costs and creates implementation risk associated with the change from our current Boeing 767-300 fleet.

We currently have an agreement with Airbus to purchase 13 A330-200 aircraft and six A350XWB-800 aircraft, along with four purchase rights for additional A330-200 aircraft and six purchase rights for additional A350XWB-800 aircraft. The deliveries of the purchased A330-200 aircraft begin in 2011 with the deliveries for the purchased A350XWB-800 aircraft commencing in 2017. We also have lease agreements for three additional A330-200 aircraft which we began payment on in 2010.

We have made substantial pre-delivery payments for the purchased aircraft and are required to continue these pre-delivery payments as well as payments for the balance of the purchase price through delivery of each of the aircraft.

These commitments substantially increase our future capital spending requirements and may require us to substantially increase our level of debt in future years. There can be no assurance that we will be able to raise capital to finance these requirements or that such financing can be obtained on favorable terms or at all.

The addition of the Airbus aircraft to our fleet will require us to incur additional costs related to the acquisition of spare engines and replacement parts, maintenance of the aircraft, training of crews and ground employees, the addition of these aircraft types to our operating certificate and other implementation activities. There can be no assurance that we will be able to recover these costs through the future operation of these aircraft in our fleet or that we will not experience delays in the implementation process which could adversely affect our operations or financial performance.

Delays in scheduled aircraft deliveries or other loss of fleet capacity may adversely impact our operations and financial results.

The success of our business depends on, among other things, the ability to operate a certain number and type of aircraft, including the introduction of the Airbus aircraft. If for any reason we are unable to secure deliveries of the Airbus aircraft on contractually scheduled delivery dates and successfully introduce these aircraft into our fleet, then our business, operations and financial performance could be negatively

impacted. Our failure to integrate the Airbus aircraft into our fleet as planned might require us to seek extensions of the terms for certain of our leased aircraft. Such extensions may require us to operate existing aircraft beyond the point at which it is economically optimal to retire them, resulting in increased maintenance costs.

Certain of our financing agreements and our credit card processing agreements include covenants that impose substantial restrictions on our financial and business operations.

The terms of certain of our financing agreements restrict our ability to, among other things, incur additional indebtedness, grant liens, merge or consolidate, dispose of assets, prepay indebtedness, make investments, make acquisitions, enter into certain transactions with affiliates, in the case of Hawaiian, pay dividends or make distributions to our parent company and repurchase stock. These agreements also require us to meet certain financial covenants. If we breach any of these covenants, it could result in a default under these facilities. If a default occurs under any of these facilities, our outstanding obligations under these facilities could be accelerated and become due and payable immediately, and could also cause us to default under our other debt or lease obligations and lead to an acceleration of the obligations related to our other debt or lease obligations. The existence of such a default could also preclude us from borrowing funds under our credit facilities. Our ability to comply with the provisions of financing agreements can be affected by events beyond our control and a default under any such financing agreements, if not cured or waived, could have a material adverse impact on us. In the event our debt is accelerated, we may not have sufficient liquidity to repay these obligations or to refinance our debt obligations, resulting in a material adverse impact on us.

Table of Contents

Under our bank-issued credit card processing agreements, certain proceeds from advance ticket sales may be held back to serve as collateral to cover any possible chargebacks or other disputed charges that may occur. These holdbacks, which are included in restricted cash in our unaudited Consolidated Balance Sheets, totaled \$5.2 million at June 30, 2011. The agreement with our largest credit card processor also contains financial triggers for additional holdbacks, which are based on, among other things, the amount of unrestricted cash and short-term investments, the level of debt service coverage and operating income measured quarterly on a trailing 12-month basis. No amounts were subject to this holdback at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010. Under the terms of this credit card agreement, the level of credit card holdback is subject to adjustment based on actual performance relative to these specific financial triggers. Based on our performance relative to these financial triggers for the quarter ended June 30, 2011, we expect the holdback to increase to 25% of the applicable credit card air traffic liability, increasing the restricted cash balance in the third quarter of 2011 by approximately \$30 to 35 million, that we plan to fund through advance ticket sale remittances. Depending on our performance relative to these financial triggers in the future, the holdback could incrementally increase to an amount up to 100% of the applicable credit card air traffic liability, which would also cause an increase in the level of restricted cash. If we are unable to obtain a waiver of, or otherwise mitigate the increase in restriction of cash, it could also cause a covenant violation under other debt or lease obligations and have a material adverse impact on us.

Our business has substantial operating leverage.

The airline industry operates on low gross profit margins and revenue that varies substantially in relation to fixed operating costs. Due to high fixed costs, the expenses of each flight do not vary proportionately with the number of passengers carried, but the revenue generated from a particular flight is directly related to the number of passengers carried and the level of average fares. Accordingly, a decrease in the number of passengers carried would cause a corresponding decrease in revenue (if not offset by higher fares), and it may result in a disproportionately greater decrease in profits.

Our obligations for funding our defined benefit pension plans are significant and are affected by factors beyond our control.

We sponsor three defined benefit pension plans, as well as a separate plan to administer pilots' disability benefits. Two of the pension plans were frozen effective October 1, 1993, and our collective bargaining agreement with our pilots provides that pension benefit accruals for certain pilots became frozen effective January 1, 2008. Nevertheless, our unfunded pension and disability obligation was \$129.6 million as of December 31, 2010. We made contributions of \$5.3 million, \$37.9 million and \$10.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and expects to make contributions in the amount of \$6.7 million to the defined benefit pension and disability plans during the remainder of 2011. The timing and amount of funding requirements depend upon a number of factors, including labor negotiations and changes to pension plan benefits as well as factors outside our control, such as asset returns, interest rates and changes in pension laws.

Airline strategic combinations or industry consolidation could have an impact on our competitive environment in ways yet to be determined.

The environment in the airline industry changes from time to time as carriers implement varying strategies in pursuit of profitability, including consolidation to expand operations and increase market strength and entering into global alliance arrangements. For instance, in October 2009, Mesa and Mokulele Airlines announced a joint venture to provide interisland service under the *go!* Mokulele brand name that includes flights between Honolulu and Kahului, Lihue, Hilo and Kona. Similarly, the merger, bankruptcy or reorganization of one or more of our competitors may result in rapid changes to the identity of our competitors in particular markets, a substantial reduction in the operating costs of our competitors, or the entry of new competitors into some or all of the markets we serve or currently are seeking to serve. We are unable to predict exactly what effect, if any, changes in the strategic landscape might have on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our reputation and financial results could be harmed in the event of adverse publicity.

Our customer base is broad and our business activities have significant prominence, particularly in the state of Hawaii and the other cities we serve. Consequently, negative publicity resulting from real or perceived shortcomings in our customer service, employee relations, business conduct, or other events affecting our operations could negatively affect the public image of our Company and the willingness of customers to purchase services from us, which could affect our revenue and financial results.

Our financial results may be negatively affected by increased airport rent rates and landing fees at the airports within the State of Hawaii as a result of the modernization plan.

The state of Hawaii has begun to implement a modernization plan encompassing the airports we serve within the state. Our landing fees and airport rent rates have increased to fund the modernization program. Additionally, we expect the costs for our interisland operations to increase proportionately more than the costs related to our transpacific and Pacific operations because of phased

Table of Contents

adjustments to the airport's funding mechanism, which will result in the cost changes having a proportionately higher impact on us than our competitors which do not have significant interisland operations. We can offer no assurance that we will be successful in offsetting these cost increases through other cost reductions or increases in our revenue and, therefore, can offer no assurance that our future financial results will not be negatively affected by them.

The State of Hawaii, which is uniquely dependent upon and affected by air transportation, now seeks to impose new state laws and regulations on the airline industry that could have an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Hawaii is uniquely dependent upon and affected by air transportation. The bankruptcies and shutdowns of air carriers such as Aloha Airlines and ATA have profoundly affected the State, and its legislature has responded by enacting legislation that reflects and attempts to address its concerns. House Bill 2250 HD1, Act 1 of the 2008 Special Session, establishes a statutory scheme for the regulation of Hawaii interisland air carriers, provided that federal legislation is enacted to permit its implementation. Among other things, this new law establishes an air carrier commission of five unpaid members, appointed by Hawaii's Governor, within the State Department of Transportation. The commission would examine and certify all interisland carriers and regulate fares, flight schedules, all property transfers and ownership transactions of certified carriers. Vetoed by Hawaii State Governor Linda Lingle and subsequently overridden by the Hawaii State Legislature on July 8, 2008, this new law is subject to the enactment of federal legislation permitting its implementation. No such federal legislation has been initiated and we cannot predict whether it will be initiated or adopted in the future.

In June 2011, Senate Bill 754 was signed into law as Act 105, Session Laws of Hawaii 2011, that suspends certain exemptions from payment of Hawaii general excise and use taxes currently enjoyed by many businesses, including Hawaiian, for a period of two years from July 1, 2011 to June 30, 2013. This law could increase our State tax liability significantly, and result in a reduction in expected earnings, although we have not yet determined to what extent such law will impact us.

RISKS RELATING TO THE AIRLINE INDUSTRY

The airline industry is affected by many conditions that are beyond its control, including delays, cancellations and other conditions, which could harm our financial condition and results of operations.

Hawaiian's business and the airline industry in general are impacted by conditions that are largely outside of Hawaiian's control, including among others:

- continued threat of terrorist attacks;
- actual or threatened war and political instability;

- weather and natural disasters;
- outbreak of diseases; and
- actual or potential disruptions in the air traffic control system.

Because airlines have a high percentage of fixed costs and flight expenses do not vary significantly with the number of passengers carried, a relatively small change in the number of passengers can have a disproportionate effect on the airline's operations and financial results. Therefore, any general reduction in airline passenger traffic as a result of any of the above-mentioned or other factors could harm our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our operations may be adversely impacted by potential security concerns and related costs.

Since the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001, the airline industry has experienced profound changes, including substantial revenue declines and cost increases, which have resulted in industry-wide liquidity issues. Additional terrorist attacks, even if not made directly on the airline industry or the fear of such attacks, or any hostilities or act of war, could further adversely affect the airline industry, including us, and could:

- significantly reduce passenger traffic and yields as a result of a potentially dramatic drop in demand for air travel;

Table of Contents

- significantly increase security costs;
- make war risk or other insurance unavailable or extremely expensive;
- increase costs from flight cancellations and delays resulting from security breaches and perceived safety threats; and
- significantly increase security costs and security measures mandated by regulatory agencies, including regulation under the ATSA.
- Any future terrorist attacks or the implementation of additional security-related fees could have a material adverse impact on our business, financial condition and results of operations, and on the airline industry in general.

The airline industry is subject to extensive government regulation and new regulations could have an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Airlines are subject to extensive regulatory requirements that result in significant costs. Additional laws, regulations, taxes and airport rates and charges have been proposed from time to time that could significantly increase the cost of airline operations or reduce revenue. For example, the ATSA, which became law in November 2001, mandates the federalization of certain airport security procedures and imposes additional security requirements on airlines. The FAA from time to time issues directives and other regulations relating to the maintenance and operation of aircraft that require significant expenditures. Some FAA requirements cover, among other things, retirement of older aircraft, security measures, collision avoidance systems, airborne windshear avoidance systems, noise abatement and other environmental concerns, commuter aircraft safety and increased inspections and maintenance procedures to be conducted on older aircraft. In April 2010, the Department of Transportation adopted a series of passenger protection rules that we believe may have a significant effect on our business and operations. These rules provide, among other things, that airlines return aircraft to the gate for deplaning following tarmac delays in certain circumstances. In September 2010, the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) proposed changes to pilots' current flight schedules including the number of flight hours and scheduled duty time. We expect to continue incurring expenses to comply with applicable regulations. We cannot predict the impact that laws or regulations may have on our operations or assure you that laws or regulations enacted in the future will not adversely affect us.

Many aspects of airlines' operations also are subject to increasingly stringent federal, state, local and foreign laws protecting the environment. U.S. federal laws that have a particular impact on us include the Airport Noise and Capacity Act of 1990, the Clean Air Act, the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, the Clean Water Act, the Safe Drinking Water Act and the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act. Governments globally are increasingly focusing on the environmental impact caused by the consumption of fossil fuels and as a result have proposed or enacted legislation which may increase the cost of providing airline service or restrict its provision. We expect the focus on environmental matters to increase. Future regulatory developments in the U.S. and abroad could adversely affect operations and increase operating costs in the airline industry. For example, potential future actions that may be taken by the U.S. government, foreign governments, or the International Civil Aviation Organization to limit the emission of greenhouse gases by the aviation sector are unknown at this time, but the effect on us and our industry is likely to be adverse and could be significant. The U.S. Congress is considering climate change legislation and the Environmental Protection Agency issued a rule which regulates larger emitters of greenhouse gases. We cannot predict the impact that future environment regulations may have on our operations or assure you that regulations enacted in the future

will not adversely affect us. The impact to us and our industry from such actions is likely to be adverse and could be significant, particularly if regulators were to conclude that emissions from commercial aircraft cause significant harm to the upper atmosphere or have a greater impact on climate change than other industries.

Our insurance costs are susceptible to significant increases and further increases in insurance costs or reductions in coverage could have an adverse effect on our financial results.

We carry types and amounts of insurance customary in the airline industry, including coverage for general liability, passenger liability, property damage, aircraft loss or damage, baggage and cargo liability and workers' compensation. We are required by the DOT to carry liability insurance on each of our aircraft. We currently maintain commercial airline insurance with a major group of independent insurers that regularly participate in world aviation insurance markets, including public liability insurance and coverage for losses resulting from the physical destruction or damage to our aircraft. However, there can be no assurance that the amount of such coverage will not be changed or that we will not bear substantial losses from accidents or damage to, or loss of, aircraft or other property due to other factors such as natural disasters. We could incur substantial claims resulting from an accident or damage to, or loss of, aircraft or other property due to other factors such as natural disasters in excess of related insurance coverage that could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

Table of Contents

After the events of September 11, 2001, aviation insurers significantly reduced the maximum amount of insurance coverage available to commercial air carriers for liability to persons other than employees or passengers for claims resulting from acts of terrorism, war or similar events (war-risk coverage). At the same time, they significantly increased the premiums for such coverage as well as for aviation insurance in general. As a result, war-risk insurance in amounts necessary for our operations, and at premiums that are not excessive, is not currently available in the commercial insurance market and we have therefore purchased from the U.S. government third-party war-risk insurance coverage. Explicit authority to issue war-risk insurance has been extended to September 30, 2011. It is anticipated that the federal policy will be extended again unless insurance for war-risk coverage in necessary amounts is available from independent insurers or a group insurance program is instituted by the U.S. carriers and the DOT. However, there can be no assurance that the federal policy will be renewed or an alternative policy can be obtained in the commercial market at a reasonable cost. Although our overall hull and liability insurance costs have been reduced since the post-2001 increases, there can be no assurance that these reductions would be maintained in the event of future increases in the risk, or perceived risk, of air travel by the insurance industry, or a reduction of capital flows into the aviation insurance market.

We are at risk of losses and adverse publicity in the event of an aircraft accident.

We are exposed to potential losses that may be incurred in the event of an aircraft accident. Any such accident could involve not only the repair or replacement of a damaged aircraft and its consequential temporary or permanent loss of revenue, but also significant potential claims of injured passengers and others. In addition, any aircraft accident or incident could cause a public perception that we are less safe or reliable than other airlines, which would harm our business.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS.

Information regarding unregistered sales of equity securities has been previously disclosed in reports on Forms 8-K filed on March 21, 2011 and March 23, 2011.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES.

None.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION.

Hawaiian was a party to fifteen Lease Agreements with Wells Fargo Bank Northwest, National Association, a national banking association organized under the laws of the United States of America, not in its individual capacity, but solely as owner trustee of trusts beneficially owned by BCC Equipment Leasing Corporation and MDFC Spring Company (WFBN), pursuant to which Hawaiian leased fifteen Boeing 717-200 aircraft, each such aircraft including two Rolls-Royce BR700-715 engines. On June 27, 2011, Hawaiian entered into a Purchase Agreement with WFBN, providing for the purchase of such fifteen Boeing 717-200 aircraft, thereby terminating such lease agreements. The termination of these leases resulted in the recognition of lease termination expenses of approximately \$70.0 million.

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS.

| Exhibit No. | Description |
|--------------------|---|
| 10.1 | Loan Agreement [1217], dated as of April 6, 2011, by and among Hawaiian Airlines, Inc., Natixis as administrative agent; Wells Fargo Bank Northwest, National Association, not in its individual capacity, except as expressly stated therein, as security trustee; Landesbank Hessen-Thüringen Girozentrale, KfW IPEX-Bank GmbH and Natixis Transport Finance, as joint lead arrangers; and any additional lenders from time to time party thereto. Hawaiian Airlines, Inc. also entered into Loan Agreement [1295], dated as of June 29, 2011 by and among Landesbank Hessen-Thüringen Girozentrale, as administrative agent; Wells Fargo Bank Northwest, National Association, not in its individual capacity, except as expressly stated therein, as security trustee; Landesbank Hessen-Thüringen Girozentrale, KfW IPEX-Bank GmbH and Natixis Transport Finance, as lenders; and any additional lenders from time to time party thereto, which loan agreement is substantially identical to Loan Agreement [1217], except with respect to total principal amount and certain other information as to which Hawaiian Airlines, Inc. has been granted confidential treatment, and pursuant to Regulation S-K Item 601, Instruction 2, this loan agreement was not filed. |
| 10.2 | Purchase Agreement, dated as of June 27, 2011, by and among Wells Fargo Bank Northwest, solely as owner trustee of trusts beneficially owned by BCC Equipment Leasing Corporation and MDFC Spring Company, and Hawaiian Airlines, Inc. |
| 10.3 | Facility Agreement [Hawaiian 717-200 [55001]], dated as of June 27, 2011 by and between Hawaiian Airlines, Inc. and Boeing Capital Loan Corporation. Hawaiian Airlines, Inc. also entered into Facility Agreement [Hawaiian 717-200 [55002]], dated as of June 27, 2011; Facility Agreement [Hawaiian 717-200 [55118]], dated as of June 27, 2011; Facility Agreement [Hawaiian 717-200 [55121]], dated as of June 27, 2011; Facility Agreement [Hawaiian 717-200 [55122]], dated as of June 27, 2011; Facility Agreement [Hawaiian 717-200 [55123]], dated as of June 27, 2011; Facility Agreement [Hawaiian 717-200 [55124]], dated as of June 27, 2011; Facility Agreement [Hawaiian 717-200 [55125]], dated as of June 27, 2011; Facility Agreement [Hawaiian 717-200 [55126]], dated as of June 27, 2011; Facility Agreement [Hawaiian 717-200 [55128]], dated as of June 27, 2011; Facility Agreement [Hawaiian 717-200 [55129]], dated as of June 27, 2011; Facility Agreement [Hawaiian 717-200 [55130]], dated as of June 27, 2011; Facility Agreement [Hawaiian 717-200 [55131]], dated as of June 27, 2011; Facility Agreement [Hawaiian 717-200 [55132]], dated as of June 27, 2011; and Facility Agreement [Hawaiian 717-200 [55151]], dated as of June 27, 2011, which facility agreements are substantially identical to Facility Agreement 55001, and pursuant to Regulation S-K Item 601, Instruction 2, these facility agreements were not filed. |
| 10.4 | Amendment Number Three to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, Waiver and Extension, dated as of June 28, 2011, entered into by and among the lenders party thereto, Wells Fargo Capital Finance, Inc., as agent, Hawaiian Holdings, Inc. and Hawaiian Airlines, Inc. |
| 10.5 | Lease Agreement 491HA, dated as of June 28, 2011, by and between Wells Fargo Bank Northwest, National Association, a national banking association organized under the laws of the United States of America, not in its individual capacity, but solely as owner trustee of a trust beneficially owned by BCC Equipment Leasing Corporation, and Hawaiian Airlines, Inc. Hawaiian Airlines, Inc. also entered into Lease Agreement 492HA, dated as of June 28, 2011; and Lease Agreement 493HA, dated as of June 28, 2011, which lease agreements are substantially identical to Lease Agreement 491HA, and pursuant to Regulation S-K Item 601, Instruction 2, these lease agreements were not filed. |
| 10.6 | Facility Agreement [Hawaiian A330 [1259]], dated as of June 29, 2011, by and among Hawaiian Airlines, Inc.; Bank of Utah, as security trustee; and each of Norddeutsche Landesbank Girozentrale and BNP Paribas, as loan participants. Hawaiian Airlines, Inc. also entered into Facility Agreement [Hawaiian A330 [1302]], dated as of June 29, 2011; which facility agreement is substantially identical to Facility Agreement [Hawaiian A330 [1259]], except with respect to certain information as to which Hawaiian Airlines, Inc. has been granted confidential treatment, and pursuant to Regulation S-K Item 601, Instruction 2, these facility agreements were not filed. |
| 10.7 | Contract Services Agreement, dated as of June 29, 2011, by and between Hawaiian Airlines, Inc. and Airline Contract Maintenance and Equipment, Inc. |
| 12 | Computation of ratio of earning to fixed charges for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, and the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, 2008, 2007 and 2006. |

Edgar Filing: HAWAIIAN HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-Q

- 31.1 Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer.
- 31.2 Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer.
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Confidential treatment has been requested for a portion of this exhibit.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

HAWAIIAN HOLDINGS, INC.

July 27, 2011

By

/s/ Peter R. Ingram
Peter R. Ingram
Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and
Treasurer