Hilltop Holdings Inc. Form 10-K March 11, 2011 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

x ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010

OR

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission File Number 1-31987

Hilltop Holdings Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

MARYLAND 84-1477939 (State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer

incorporation or organization) Identification No.)

200 Crescent Court, Suite 1330
Dallas, Texas
(Address of principal executive offices)

75201 (zip code)

(214) 855-2177

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each classCommon Stock, par value \$0.01 per share

Name of each exchange on which registered New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes " No x

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes." No x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes o No o

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer " Accelerated filer x

Non-accelerated filer " Smaller reporting company "

(Do not check if smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes " No x

The aggregate market value of the common stock of the registrant held by non-affiliates of the registrant, computed by reference to the price at which the common stock was last sold on the New York Stock Exchange on June 30, 2010, was approximately \$398 million. For purposes of this computation, all officers, directors and 10% stockholders were deemed to be affiliates. This determination should not be construed as an admission that such officers, directors and 10% stockholders are affiliates. The number of shares of the registrant s common stock outstanding at March 11, 2011 was 56,496,619.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

The Registrant s definitive Proxy Statement pertaining to the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, filed or to be filed not later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year pursuant to Regulation 14A, is incorporated herein by reference into Part III.

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

Item Page Description PART I 2 Business Risk Factors 22 **Unresolved Staff Comments** 38 <u>1B.</u> 38 **Properties** Legal Proceedings 38 Reserved 38 **PART II** Market for Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities 39 <u>5.</u> <u>6.</u> Selected Financial Data 41 <u>7.</u> Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation 42 Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk 61 <u>7A.</u> Financial Statements and Supplementary Data 61 <u>8.</u> <u>9.</u> Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure 61 Controls and Procedures <u>9A.</u> 61 9B. Other Information 62 **PART III** Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance 62 11. **Executive Compensation** 62 Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters 12. 62 Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence 63 13. <u>14.</u> Principal Accounting Fees and Services 63 **PART IV Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules** 64

MARKET AND INDUSTRY DATA AND FORECASTS

Market and industry data and other statistical information and forecasts used throughout this Annual Report on Form 10-K are based on independent industry publications, government publications and reports by market research firms or other published independent sources. We have not sought or obtained the approval or endorsement of the use of this third-party information. Some data also is based on our good faith estimates, which are derived from our review of internal surveys, as well as independent sources. Forecasts are particularly likely to be inaccurate, especially over long periods of time.

Table of Contents

Unless the context otherwise indicates, all references in this Annual Report on Form 10-K to the Company, Hilltop, HTH, we, us, our or ours or similar words are to Hilltop Holdings Inc.(formerly known as Affordable Residential Communities Inc.) and its direct and indirect wholly-owned subsidiaries.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Annual Report on Form 10-K and the documents incorporated by reference into this report include forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, or Securities Act, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or Exchange Act, as amended by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. All statements, other than statements of historical fact, included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K that address results or developments that we expect or anticipate will or may occur in the future, where statements are preceded by, followed by or include the words believes, expects, may, will, would, could, should, seeks, approximately plans, projects, estimates or anticipates or the negative of these words and phrases or similar words or phrases, including such things as our business strategy, our financial condition, our litigation, our efforts to make strategic acquisitions, our liquidity and sources of funding, our capital expenditures, our products, market trends, operations and business, are forward-looking statements.

These forward-looking statements are based on our beliefs, assumptions and expectations of our future performance taking into account all information currently available to us. These beliefs, assumptions and expectations are subject to risks and uncertainties and can change as a result of many possible events or factors, not all of which are known to us. If an event occurs or further changes, our business, business plan, financial condition, liquidity and results of operations may vary materially from those expressed in our forward-looking statements. Certain factors that could cause actual results to differ include, among others:

- changes in the acquisition market;
- our ability to find and complete strategic acquisitions with suitable merger or acquisition candidates or find other suitable ways in which to invest our capital;
- the adverse impact of external factors, such as changes in interest rates, inflation and consumer confidence;
- the condition of capital markets;
- actual outcome of the resolution of any conflict;
- our ability to use net operating loss carryforwards to reduce future tax payments;
- the impact of the tax code and rules on our financial statements;
- failure of NLASCO, Inc. s insurance subsidiaries to maintain their respective A.M. Best ratings;
- failure to maintain NLASCO, Inc. s current agents;
- lack of demand for insurance products;

- cost or availability of adequate reinsurance;
- changes in key management;
- severe catastrophic events in our geographic area;
- failure of NLASCO, Inc. s reinsurers to pay obligations under reinsurance contracts;
- failure of NLASCO, Inc. to maintain sufficient reserves for losses on insurance policies;
- failure to successfully implement NLASCO, Inc. s new information technology system; and
- failure of NLASCO, Inc. to maintain appropriate insurance licenses.

For a further discussion of these and other risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in our forward-looking statements, please refer to Risk Factors in this report. Consequently, all of the forward-looking statements made in this report are qualified by these cautionary statements, and there can be no assurance that the actual results or developments anticipated by us will be realized, or even substantially realized, and that they will have the expected consequences to, or effects on, us and our business or operations. Forward-looking statements made in this report speak as of the date of this report or as of the date specifically referenced in any such statement set forth in this report. We undertake no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements in this report.

1

Table of Contents
PART I
ITEM 1. BUSINESS
General Information
We are a holding company that is endeavoring to make opportunistic acquisitions or effect a business combination. In connection with that strategy, we are identifying and evaluating potential targets across all industries on an ongoing basis. At December 31, 2010, we had approximately \$600 million aggregate available cash and cash equivalents that may be used for this purpose. No assurances, however, can be given that we will be able to identify suitable targets, consummate acquisitions or effect a combination or, if consummated, successfully integrate or operate the acquired business.
The Company originally received its shelf charter in November 2008. Given the amount of time elapsed since the original application and various other changes, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency requested that a full, updated application be re-filed. Accordingly, the Company withdrew the original shelf charter application and re-filed an application that reflects updates and other changes made since the original application. No assurances, however, can be given that the regulatory authorities will grant the shelf charter.
We also provide fire and homeowners insurance to low value dwellings and manufactured homes primarily in Texas and other areas of the south, southeastern and southwestern United States through our wholly-owned property and casualty insurance holding company, NLASCO, Inc., or NLASCO. We acquired NLASCO in January 2007. NLASCO operates through its wholly-owned subsidiaries, National Lloyds Insurance Company, (NLIC), and American Summit Insurance Company, (ASIC).
NLASCO targets underserved markets that require underwriting expertise that many larger carriers have been unwilling to develop given the relatively small volume of premiums produced by local agents. Within these markets, NLASCO attempts to capitalize on its superior local knowledge to identify profitable underwriting opportunities. NLASCO believes that it distinguishes itself from competitors by delivering products that are not provided by many larger carriers, providing a high level of customer service and responding quickly to the needs of its agents and policyholders. NLASCO applies a high level of selectivity in the risks it underwrites and uses a risk-adjusted return approach to capital allocation, which NLASCO believes allows it to consistently generate underwriting profits.
NLIC and ASIC carry a financial strength rating of A (Excellent) by A.M. Best. An A rating is the third highest of 16 rating categories used

by A.M. Best. Many insurance buyers, agents and brokers use the ratings assigned by A.M. Best and other rating agencies to assist them in assessing the financial strength and overall quality of the companies from which they purchase insurance. This rating is intended to provide an independent opinion of an insurer s ability to meet its obligations to policyholders and is not an evaluation directed at investors. This rating assignment is subject to the ability to meet A.M. Best s expectations as to performance and capitalization on an ongoing basis, including with respect to management of liabilities for losses and loss adjustment expenses, and is subject to revocation or revision at any time at the sole

discretion of A.M. Best.

Our common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange, or NYSE, under the symbol HTH.

Our principal office is located at 200 Crescent Court, Suite 1330, Dallas, Texas 75201, and our telephone number at that location is (214) 855-2177. Our internet address is www.hilltop-holdings.com.

We currently are subject to the reporting requirements of the Exchange Act and, therefore, file annual, quarterly and current reports, proxy statements and other information with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or the SEC. These filings, and amendments to these filings, may be accessed, free of charge, on the investor relations page of our website as soon as reasonably practicable after they are electronically filed with, or furnished to, the SEC. Additionally, any materials that we file with, or furnish to, the SEC may be read and copied at the SEC s Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20549. Please call the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 for more information regarding the operations of the SEC Public Reference Room. The SEC also maintains a website, www.sec.gov, which contains reports, proxy and information statements and other information regarding issuers, such as ourselves, that file electronically with the SEC. Our codes of conduct and ethics, including amendments to, and waivers of, those codes, our corporate governance guidelines, director independence criteria and board committee charters can be accessed, free of charge, on our website, as well. We will provide, at no cost, a copy of these documents upon request by telephone or in writing at the above phone number or address, attention: Investor Relations. The references to our website address do not constitute incorporation by reference of the information contained on our website into, and should not be considered a part of, this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

In 2010, our Chief Executive Officer certified to the NYSE, pursuant to Section 303A.12 of the NYSE s listing standards, and that he is unaware of any violation by us of the NYSE s corporate governance listing standards.

Company Background

We were formed in 1998 under the name Affordable Residential Communities Inc. as a Maryland corporation that elected to be taxed as a real estate investment trust, or REIT. Until July 2007, we primarily engaged in the acquisition, renovation, repositioning and operation of all-age manufactured home communities, the retail sale and financing of manufactured homes, the rental of manufactured homes and other related businesses, including acting as agent in the sale of homeowners insurance and related products, to residents and prospective residents of those communities. Our primary operations previously were conducted through an operating partnership, in which we owned a general partnership interest.

On February 18, 2004, we completed our initial public offering, or IPO. Through the year ended December 31, 2005, we operated as a fully integrated, self-administered and self-managed equity REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes. In 2006, we revoked our election as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

In January 2007, we acquired NLASCO. NLASCO was incorporated in Delaware in 2000, but its origins trace back to 1948 through one of its subsidiaries, NLIC.

On July 31, 2007, we sold substantially all of the operating assets used in our manufactured home communities business and our retail sales and financing business to American Residential Communities LLC. We intend to make opportunistic acquisitions with certain of the remaining proceeds from this transaction and, if necessary or appropriate, from additional equity or debt financing sources. In conjunction with this transaction, we transferred to the buyer the rights to the Affordable Residential Communities name, changed our name to Hilltop Holdings Inc., and moved our headquarters to Dallas, Texas.

Following the completion of the sale of our manufactured home communities businesses, our current operations have consisted solely of those of NLASCO and its subsidiaries. Therefore, the remainder of our discussion focuses on the property and casualty insurance operations of NLASCO and its subsidiaries.

Insurance Operations

NLASCO specializes in providing fire and limited homeowners insurance for low value dwellings and manufactured homes primarily in Texas and other areas of the south, southeastern and southwestern United States. NLASCO targets underserved markets that require underwriting expertise that many larger carriers have been unwilling to develop given the relatively small volume of premiums produced by local agents. Within these markets, NLASCO attempts to capitalize on its superior local knowledge to identify profitable underwriting opportunities. NLASCO believes that it distinguishes itself from competitors by delivering products that are not provided by many larger carriers, providing a high level of customer service and responding quickly to the needs of its agents and policyholders. NLASCO applies a high level of selectivity

in the risks it underwrites and uses a risk-adjusted return approach to capital allocation, which NLASCO believes allows it to consistently generate underwriting profits.

Many insurance buyers, agents and brokers use the ratings assigned by A.M. Best and other rating agencies to assist them in assessing the financial strength and overall quality of the companies from which they purchase insurance. Both NLIC and ASIC carry a financial strength rating of A (Excellent) by A.M. Best.

The Insurance Industry

The property and casualty insurance industry provides protection from pre-specified loss events, such as damage to property or liability claims by third parties. Property and casualty insurance can be broadly classified into two lines; personal lines, in which insurance is provided to individuals, and commercial lines, in which insurance is provided to business enterprises. In the U.S., personal and commercial insurance products are written in admitted and non-admitted markets, also known as the excess and surplus lines market. NLASCO provides insurance products in the personal line and the commercial line markets.

Table of Contents

In the admitted market, insurers are authorized by state insurance departments to do business, insurance rates and forms are generally highly regulated and coverage tends to be standardized. Within the admitted market, NLASCO focuses on underserved segments that do not fit into the standard underwriting criteria of national insurance companies due to several factors, such as type of business, location and the amount of premium per policy. This portion of the market tends to have limited competition. Therefore, NLASCO believes it has greater flexibility in pricing and product design relative to most admitted market risks.

The non-admitted market focuses on harder-to-place risks that admitted insurers typically do not write. In this market, risks are underwritten with more flexible policy forms and rates, resulting in more restrictive and expensive coverage. NLASCO writes in this market for its dwelling fire, homeowner, and mobile home business in Louisiana.

The property and casualty insurance industry, historically, has been subject to cyclical fluctuations in pricing and availability of insurance coverage. Soft markets are often characterized by excess underwriting capital and involve intense price competition, erosion of underwriting discipline and poor operating performance. These market conditions usually lead to a period of diminished underwriting capacity after insurance companies exit unprofitable lines and exhibit greater underwriting discipline and increase premium rates. This latter market condition is called a hard market. The insurance market may not always be hard or soft; rather, it could be hard for one line of business and soft for another. The market at the start of 2011 is likely to be characterized as soft for property risks in NLASCO s operating area; however, in coastal areas, due to the hurricane activity in recent years, those markets are considered hard.

Product Lines

Personal and Commercial Lines

The NLASCO companies specialize in writing fire and homeowners insurance coverage for low value dwellings and manufactured homes. The vast majority of NLASCO s property coverage is written on policies that provide actual cash value payments, as opposed to replacement cost. Under actual cash value policies, the insured is entitled to receive only the cost of replacing or repairing damaged or destroyed property with comparable new property, less depreciation. Additionally, most of NLASCO s property policies exclude coverage for water and mold damage.

In 2010, NLASCO expanded its homeowners insurance products to include replacement cost coverage, which also includes limited water coverage. These new products are being marketed and sold in various states; however, the primary market is Texas. The development and implementation of these new products has contributed to the premium growth at NLASCO in 2010.

NLASCO s business is conducted with two product lines, its personal lines and its commercial lines. The personal lines include homeowners, dwelling fire, manufactured home, flood and vacant policies. The commercial lines include commercial, builders risk, builders risk renovation, sports liability and inland marine policies.

Set forth below is certain financial data broken down by line of business (in millions):

	For The Year Ended December 31,						
	2010		2009	2008			
Gross Premiums Written							
Personal lines \$	136.5	\$	129.5 \$	131.8			
Commerical lines	7.9		6.7	6.2			
Total \$	144.4	\$	136.2 \$	138.0			
Net Operating income							
Personal lines \$	4.9	\$	5.9 \$	(3.1)			
Commerical lines	2.3		0.8	0.5			
Total \$	7.2	\$	6.7 \$	(2.6)			
Total Assets							
Personal lines \$	297.5	\$	256.3 \$	247.6			
Commerical lines	27.4		23.6	22.8			
Total \$	324.9	\$	279.9 \$	270.4			

Geographic Markets

The following table sets forth NLASCO s total gross written premiums by state for the periods shown (in millions):

		2010	2009	2008
Gross Written Premiums				
Texas - Flood	\$	5.9	\$ 5.9 \$	5.3
Texas - North		26.2	20.7	21.2
Texas - South		29.6	34.4	34.9
Texas - Central		9.6	9.4	9.4
Texas - West		15.5	13.0	13.5
Texas - Panhandle		7.1	6.8	7.3
Texas - East		14.1	13.4	13.2
Texas - Total		108.0	103.7	104.8
Arizona		11.3	11.3	11.8
Tennessee		8.6	7.8	8.0
Oklahoma		6.8	5.1	5.2
Georgia		3.3	1.6	1.1
Louisiana		3.0	3.0	3.0
Missouri		1.2	1.4	1.6
Nevada		1.0	1.1	1.2
All other states		1.2	1.2	1.3
TOTAL	\$	144.4	\$ 136.2 \$	138.0

NLASCO underwrites insurance coverage primarily in Texas, as well as other states in the south and southwest regions.

Table of Contents

Distribution

NLASCO distributes its insurance products through a broad network of independent agents in 23 states and a select number of managing general agents, referred to as MGAs. NLASCO has a preference for doing business with agents that desire a long-term relationship that will result in mutual profitability and value for both parties. NLASCO believes that relationship agents are more oriented to the long-term and desire a meaningful relationship with their customers and the insurers they represent. NLASCO s top ten agents accounted for only 9.9%, 9.9% and 10.1% of direct premiums written in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and as of December 31, 2010, the average tenure of the top 25 agencies was over 12 years.

Underwriting and Pricing

NLASCO applies its regional expertise, underwriting discipline and a risk-adjusted, return-on-equity based approach to capital allocation to primarily offer short-tail insurance products in its target markets. NLASCO s underwriting process involves securing an adequate level of underwriting information from its independent agents, identifying and evaluating risk exposures and then pricing the risks it chooses to accept.

NLASCO employs a disciplined underwriting approach that incorporates the continuously refined stratification of its target markets to permit it to tailor its policies to individual risks and adopt pricing structures that will be supported in the applicable market. NLASCO utilizes underwriting principles and processes that reflect the knowledge and experience it has acquired during its 40-plus year history of underwriting risks. NLASCO believes that this comprehensive process capitalizes on its knowledge and expertise and results in better underwriting decisions.

Pricing levels are established by NLASCO s senior management with the assistance of a consulting actuary. Pricing balances NLASCO s return requirements along with the legal/regulatory environment in each particular geographic region. Management reviews pricing on a quarterly basis to monitor any emerging issues, such as the mold crisis that hit Texas in 2003. NLASCO s statistical database allows this analysis to be performed on a specific coverage or geographic territory. In 2010, ASIC increased premium rates in Arizona and Louisiana and NLIC increased rates in Georgia, Oklahoma, Texas and Tennessee.

Catastrophe Exposure

NLASCO maintains a comprehensive risk management strategy, which includes actively monitoring its catastrophe prone territories by zip code to ensure a diversified book of risks. NLASCO utilizes software and risk support from its reinsurance brokers to analyze its portfolio and catastrophe exposure. Biannually, NLASCO has its entire portfolio analyzed by its reinsurance broker who utilizes hurricane models to predict risk. Based on this information and management s active role in risk management, NLASCO makes decisions on what geographic areas to write risks. Over the years, NLASCO has adjusted its business based on its perceived risk of catastrophe losses. For example, in 2005, ASIC withdrew from the Mississippi market to mitigate its catastrophe exposure in that area, and in 2006, it stopped writing new policies that cover wind damage along the seacoast of Louisiana. In 2009, NLASCO decided not to renew wind policies for properties within the Texas seacoast. All policies in catastrophe prone areas will exclude wind by the end of February 2011.

In recent years, NLASCO s catastrophe exposure primarily resulted from property policies in Cameron, Harris, Jefferson and Nueces Counties in Texas, which include the densely populated Houston metropolitan area and the cities extending from the northern tip to the southern point on the Texas Gulf Coast. All of this territory is exposed to potential wind storm activity from the Gulf of Mexico. By not renewing wind policies on the Texas seacoast, which is exposed to the majority of potential wind storm activity, NLASCO s primary catastrophe exposure will be limited to property policies in Harris County. NLASCO also is exposed to hail and other catastrophic events in the Texas panhandle and plains states.

In 2010, the coastal area represented 12% of Texas state-wide premiums; however, less than 1% of these coastal policies included full wind coverage. The panhandle represents 7% of Texas state-wide premiums.

6

Terrorism Risk Insurance Act of 2002, Terrorism Risk Insurance Extension Act of 2005 and Terrorism Risk Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2007

On November 26, 2002, the Terrorism Risk Insurance Act of 2002 was enacted into Federal law and established the Terrorism Risk Insurance Program, or the Program. The Program is a Federal program that provides for a system of shared public and private compensation for insured losses resulting from acts of terrorism or war. The Program was scheduled to terminate on December 31, 2005. On December 22, 2005, the Terrorism Risk Insurance Extension Act of 2005 was enacted into Federal law, reauthorizing the Program through December 31, 2007, while reducing the Federal role under the Program. On December 26, 2007, the Terrorism Risk Insurance Program Reauthorization Act, or the Reauthorization Act, was enacted into Federal law, reauthorizing the Program through December 31, 2014 and implementing several changes to the Program.

In order for a loss to be covered under the Program, as presently constituted, aggregate industry losses of \$100 million must be satisfied. Further, the losses must be the result of an event that is certified as an act of terrorism by the U.S. Secretary of the Treasury, Secretary of State and Attorney General. The original Program excluded from participation certain of the following types of insurance: Federal crop insurance, private mortgage insurance, financial guaranty insurance, medical malpractice insurance, health or life insurance, flood insurance and reinsurance. The 2005 Act exempted from coverage certain additional types of insurance, including commercial automobile, professional liability (other than directors and officers), surety, burglary and theft and farm-owners multi-peril. In the case of a war declared by Congress, only workers compensation losses are covered by the Program. The Program generally requires that all commercial property and casualty insurers licensed in the United States participate in the Program. Under the Program, a participating insurer is entitled to be reimbursed by the Federal government for a percentage of subject losses, after an insurer deductible, subject to an annual cap. The Federal reimbursement percentage was fixed by the Reauthorization Act at 85%. The deductible is calculated by applying the deductible percentage to the insurer s direct earned premiums for covered lines. The deductible under the Program is fixed at 20%. NLASCO s deductible under the Program was \$1.4 million for 2010 and is estimated to be \$1.6 million in 2011. The annual cap limits the amount of aggregate subject losses for all participating insurers to \$100 billion. Once subject losses have reached \$100 billion aggregate amount during a Program year, there is no additional reimbursement from the U.S. Treasury and an insurer that has met its deductible for the program year is not liable for any losses that exceed the \$100 billion cap. When insured losses under the Program exceed the \$100 billion cap, the insured losses are subject to pro-rata sharing based upon regulations promulgated by the U.S. Treasury. Additionally, under the Reauthorization Act, the timing of mandatory recoupment of the Federal reimbursement through policyholder surcharges was accelerated.

On December 14, 2009, two final rules with respect to the Program were published in the Federal Register. The first rule describes how the Treasury will calculate the amounts to be recouped from insurers and establishes procedures for insurers to use in collecting Federal Terrorism Policy Surcharges and remitting them to the Treasury. The second rule describes how the Treasury intends to determine the pro rata share of insurance losses under the Program when losses otherwise would exceed the annual monetary cap. NLASCO had no terrorism-related losses in 2010.

Reinsurance

NLASCO purchases reinsurance to reduce its exposure to liability on individual risks and claims and to protect against catastrophe losses.

NLASCO s management believes that less volatile, yet reasonable returns are in the long-term interest of NLASCO and, as a result, maintains a conservative reinsurance program. NLASCO generated direct premiums written totaling \$138.5 million, net of flood policies, in 2010 and paid approximately \$13.7 million in catastrophe reinsurance premiums prior to any reinstatement premiums.

Reinsurance involves an insurance company transferring, or ceding, a portion of its risk to another insurer, the reinsurer. The reinsurer assumes the exposure in return for a portion of the premium. The ceding of risk to a reinsurer does not legally discharge the primary insurer from its liability for the full amount of the policies on which it obtains reinsurance. Accordingly, the primary insurer remains liable for the entire loss if the reinsurer fails to meet its obligations under the reinsurance agreement, and as a result, the primary insurer is exposed to the risk of non-payment by its reinsurers.

We believe that NLASCO s financial stability is substantially protected from catastrophic events through several excess of loss reinsurance contracts that combine to provide a mix of coverage against various types and combinations of catastrophe losses. As noted in the section titled Risk Factors, NLASCO is exposed to catastrophic losses that could exceed the limits of reinsurance and negatively impact its financial position and results of operations. The Company purchases catastrophe excess of loss reinsurance to a limit that exceeds the Hurricane 500-year return time, as modeled by RMS Risk Link v. 10.0 and AIR v 12.0.

In formulating its reinsurance programs, NLASCO believes that it is selective in its choice of reinsurers and considers numerous factors, the most important of which are the financial stability of the reinsurer, its history of responding to claims and its overall reputation. In an effort to minimize exposure to the insolvency of reinsurers, NLASCO evaluates the acceptability, and continuously monitors the financial condition, of each reinsurer. NLASCO enters into reinsurance agreements only with reinsurers that have an A.M. Best financial strength rating of A-(Excellent) (fourth highest of 16 categories) or better, or at least an A rating by Standard & Poors. If a reinsurer rating subsequently drops below A-(Excellent), NLASCO can cancel or replace the reinsurer. As of December 31, 2010, 100% of NLASCO s paid loss recoverables were from reinsurers rated A-(Excellent) or better by A.M. Best. To further minimize exposure to reinsurer insolvency, NLASCO spreads reinsurance treaties among many reinsurers. NLASCO reviews retention levels each year to maintain a balance between the growth in surplus and the cost of reinsurance. NLASCO had no losses from unrecoverable reinsurance in 2010.

NLASCO s ten largest net receivable balances from reinsurers as of, and for the year ended, December 31, 2010 were as follows (in millions):

	Year Ended December 31, 2010 A.M. Best Balances								
	Financial Strength Rating		eded niums	Du Rein	e from surance npanies	Rein	epaid surance miums	Rec	Net eivable ance(1)
Federal Emergency									
Management Agency	N/A	\$	5.9	\$	1.1	\$	4.9	\$	6.0
Endurance Specialty									
Insurance Ltd	A		0.3		5.6				5.6
Ariel Reinsurance Company									
Limited	A-		1.3		4.7				4.7
Platinum Underwriters									
Reinsurance, Inc.	A		1.8		4.3				4.3
Arch Reinsurance Company	A		1.5		3.0				3.0
MS Frontier Reinsurance									
Limited	A		0.5		2.8				2.8
Munich Reinsurance									
America, Inc.	A+		1.3		2.8				2.8
Validus Reinsurance Ltd	A-		0.1		2.0				2.0
Amlin Bermuda Limited	A		0.7		1.9				1.9
Transatlantic Reinsurance									
Company	A		0.5		1.5				1.5

⁽¹⁾ The net receivable balance includes balances due from reinsurance companies, contingent commissions, prepaid reinsurance premiums and ceded contingent commissions, less balances due to reinsurance companies.

As of December 31, 2010, NLIC had reinsurance for up to \$164 million of losses per event in excess of \$6 million retention by NLIC. This reinsurance is comprised of four layers of protection: \$19 million in excess of \$6 million retention; \$25 million in excess of a \$25 million loss; \$90 million in excess of a \$50 million loss; and \$30 million in excess of a \$140 million loss (the two upper layers also comprise a \$120 million in excess of \$50 million layer). NLIC retains no participation in any of the layers, other than the first \$6 million retention.

As of December 31, 2010, ASIC had reinsurance for up to \$169 million of losses per event in excess of a \$1 million retention by ASIC. This reinsurance is comprised of five layers of protection: \$5 million in excess of \$1 million retention; \$19 million in excess of \$6 million retention; \$25 million in excess of a \$25 million loss; \$90 million in excess of a \$50 million loss; and \$30 million in excess of a \$140 million loss (the two

upper layers also comprise a \$120 million in excess of \$50 million layer). ASIC retains no participation in any of the layers, other than the first \$1 million retention.

As of January 1, 2011, the Company renewed its reinsurance contract for its first and second layers of reinsurance. Per the contract renewal, the Company increased its retention at NLIC to \$8 million; therefore, NLIC will have reinsurance for up to \$162 million in losses per event in excess of \$8 million retention. ASIC expanded its underlying coverage to \$7 million excess of \$1 million retention to correspond with the increased NLIC retention. The reinsurance in excess of \$8 million is comprised of four layers of protection: \$17 million in excess of \$8 million retention; \$25 million in excess of \$25 million loss; \$90 million in excess of a \$50 million loss; and \$30 million in excess of a \$140 million loss (the two upper layers also comprise a \$120 million in excess of \$50 million layer). NLIC and ASIC retains no participation in any of the layers, other than the first \$8 million and \$1 million retention, respectively. The projected premiums on these treaties for NLIC and ASIC are \$9.8 and \$1.7 million, respectively, in 2011.

As of December 31, 2010, total retention for any one catastrophe that affects both NLIC and ASIC is limited to \$6 million in the aggregate.

In addition to the catastrophe reinsurance noted above, both NLIC and ASIC participate in an excess of loss program with General Reinsurance Corporation. The General Reinsurance Corporation program is limited to each risk with respect to property and liability in the amount of \$800,000 for each of NLIC and ASIC. Each of NLIC and ASIC retain \$200,000 in this program.

Liabilities for Unpaid Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses

NLASCO s liabilities for losses and loss adjustment expenses include liabilities for reported losses, liabilities for incurred but not reported, or IBNR, losses and liabilities for loss adjustment expenses, or LAE, less a reduction for reinsurance recoverables related to those liabilities. The amount of liabilities for reported claims is based primarily on a claim-by-claim evaluation of coverage, liability, injury severity or scope of property damage, and any other information considered relevant to estimating exposure presented by the claim. The amounts of liabilities for IBNR losses and LAE are estimated on the basis of historical trends, adjusted for changes in loss costs, underwriting standards, policy provisions, product mix and other factors. Estimating the liability for unpaid losses and LAE is inherently judgmental and is influenced by factors that are subject to significant variation. Liabilities for LAE are intended to cover the ultimate cost of settling claims, including investigation and defense of lawsuits resulting from such claims. Based upon the contractual terms of the reinsurance agreements, reinsurance recoverables offset, in part, NLASCO s gross liabilities.

Significant periods of time can elapse between the occurrence of an insured loss, the reporting of the loss to the insurer and the insurer s payment of that loss. NLASCO s liabilities for unpaid losses represent the best estimate at a given point in time of what it expects to pay claimants, based on facts, circumstances and historical trends then known. During the loss settlement period, additional facts regarding individual claims may become known and, consequently, it often becomes necessary to refine and adjust the estimates of liability.

The table below presents one-year development information on changes in the liability for losses and LAE and a reconciliation of liabilities on a direct premiums written and net premiums written basis for the twelve months ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 (in thousands):

	2010	2009
Balance at January 1,	\$ 33,780	\$ 34,023
Less reinsurance recoverables	(21,102)	(14,613)
Net balance at January 1,	12,678	19,410
Incurred related to:		
Current Year	69,044	71,509
Prior Period	1,899	(1,214)
Total incurred	70,943	70,295
Payments related to:		
Current Year	(59,560)	(61,372)
Prior Year	(8,952)	(15,655)
Total payments	(68,512)	(77,027)
Net balance at December 31,	15,109	12,678
Plus reinsurance recoverables	43,773	21,102
Balance at December 31,	\$ 58,882	\$ 33,780

NLASCO s claim reserving practices are designed to set liabilities for losses and LAE that, in the aggregate, are adequate to pay all claims at their ultimate loss cost, net of anticipated salvage and subrogation. Thus, NLASCO s estimates are not discounted for inflation or other factors.

The reserve for losses and loss adjustment expenses includes amounts that may be due to or from the sellers of NLASCO upon settlement in 2011 based on actual losses incurred applicable to the reserve as of the acquisition date. Incurred amounts related to prior year indicate that we were deficient in incurred but not reported as of December 31, 2009, resulting in an expense in the year ending December 31, 2010. This is due to adverse development on our homeowners and fire products for the 2009 accident year of \$1.0 million and \$0.5 million, respectively, due to an increase in the frequency of our late reported claims. There was also an increase in all other expenses related to a 2008 catastrophe of \$0.6 million. For the year ended December 31, 2009, incurred amounts related to the year ended 2008 were redundant in incurred but not reported due to redundancy attributable to our homeowners product for the 2008 and prior accident years, which resulted in a benefit of \$1.2 million.

Table of Contents

Loss Development

NLASCO estimates the aggregate amount of losses and LAE ultimately required to settle all claims for a given period. The following tables present the development of estimated liability for losses and LAE, net of reinsurance, for the years 2001 through 2010 of NLIC and ASIC. These tables present accident or policy year development data. The first line of the table shows, for the years indicated, net liability, including IBNR, as originally estimated. For example, as of December 31, 2001, NLIC estimated that \$12.2 million would be a sufficient net liability to settle all unsettled claims retained by it that had occurred prior to December 31, 2001, whether reported or unreported. The next section of the table sets forth the re-estimates in later years of incurred losses, including payments, for the years indicated. For example, as indicated in that section of the table, the original net liability of \$12.2 million was re-estimated to be \$12.7 million at December 31, 2005 (four years later). The increase in the original estimate is caused by a combination of factors, including: (1) claims being settled for amounts different than originally estimated; (2) the net liability being increased or decreased for claims remaining open as more information becomes known about those individual claims; and (3) more or fewer claims being reported after December 31, 2001 than had occurred prior to that date. The bottom section of the table shows, by year, the cumulative amounts of net losses and LAE paid as of the end of each succeeding year. For example, with respect to the liability for net losses and LAE of \$12.2 million as of December 31, 2001, by the end of 2005 (four years later), \$12.6 million had actually been paid in settlement of the claims.

The net cumulative redundancy (deficiency) represents, as of December 31, 2010, the difference between the latest re-estimated net liability and the net liability as originally estimated for losses and LAE retained by us. A redundancy means the original estimate was higher than the current estimate; and a deficiency means that the original estimate was lower than the current estimate. For example, as of December 31, 2010 and based upon updated information, NLIC re-estimated that the net liability that was established as of December 31, 2001 was \$0.4 million deficient.

The following tables are presented net of reinsurance recoverable.

National Lloyds Insurance Company Analysis of Loss Reserve Development (Dollars in Thousands)

				Y	ear Ended I	December 31	,			
	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Original Reserve*	12,231	18,141	35,061	33,951	41,282	47,684	44,613	65,592	60,392	55,482
1 year later	12,077	17,852	32,887	28,106	36,332	43,640	44,064	64,864	62,337	
2 years later	12,871	17,281	32,559	27,593	40,391	43,465	44,134	65,070	02,337	
3 years later	12,822	17,357	31,614	25,747	41,231	43,394	43,950	03,070		
4 years later	12,671	17,340	31,030	25,712	39,735	43,387	10,700			
5 years later	12,669	17,312	31,088	25,579	39,699					
6 years later	12,695	17,332	31,072	25,582						
7 years later	12,696	17,321	31,066							
8 years later	12,677	17,307								
9 years later	12,687									
Net cumulative redundancy										
(deficiency)	(456)	834	3,995	8,369	1,583	4,297	663	522	(1,945)	
Cumulative amount of net										
liability paid as of:										
1 year later	11,333	16,836	30,867	24,747	32,871	42,301	42,478	63,761	59,977	
2 years later	12,310	17,160	30,807	25,149	34,625	42,668	43,245	64,203	39,911	
3 years later	12,612	17,100	30,875	25,388	36,157	43,140	43,495	04,203		
4 years later	12,647	17,231	30,989	25,462	39,533	43,361	13,173			
5 years later	12,650	17,287	31,026	25,521	39,646	.5,501				
6 years later	12,676	17,300	31,030	25,538	,					
7 years later	12,677	17,301	31,029							
8 years later	12,677	17,302								
9 years later	12,687									

^{*} Including amounts paid in respective year.

American Summit Insurance Company Analysis of Loss Reserve Development (Dollars in Thousands)

	2001	2002	2003	2004	ear Ended I 2005	December 31, 2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
	2001	2002	2003	2004	2003	2000	2007	2000	2009	2010
Original Reserve*	6,621	11,873	6,235	8,297	11,041	13,003	9,351	12,769	9,773	12,486
1 year later	7,630	11,983	5,322	7,388	9,932	13,014	9,154	12,009	9,423	
2 years later	6,742	11,963	5,512	6,999	9,918	12,998	9,335	11,943	-, -	
3 years later	6,813	11,554	5,563	6,859	9,918	13,435	9,235			
4 years later	7,106	11,749	5,401	6,772	9,797	13,216				
5 years later	6,732	11,775	5,396	6,714	9,820					
6 years later	6,737	11,799	5,394	6,787						
7 years later	6,735	11,804	5,396							
8 years later	6,735	11,833								
9 years later	6,735									
Net cumulative redundancy										
(deficiency)	(114)	40	839	1,510	1,221	(213)	116	826	350	
Cumulative amount of net										
liability paid as of:										
naomey para as or.										
1 year later	6,000	10,909	4,987	6,566	9,341	12,429	8,732	11,560	8,800	
2 years later	6,281	11,284	5,612	6,610	9,578	12,639	9,095	11,637		
3 years later	6,450	11,647	5,756	6,682	9,679	13,326	9,193			
4 years later	6,760	11,727	5,393	6,699	9,740	13,161				
5 years later	6,727	11,747	5,393	6,714	9,813					
6 years later	6,730	11,759	5,394	6,720						
7 years later	6,735	11,764	5,394							
8 years later	6,735	11,821								
9 years later	6,735									

^{*} Including amounts paid in respective year.

Please refer to Note 9 in the notes to consolidated financial statements for a reconciliation of the reserves presented in the tables above to the reserves for losses and loss adjustment expenses set forth in the balance sheet at December 31, 2010 and 2009.

Current loss reserve development has been favorable with the exception of accident year 2009. In the years 2007 and 2008, the developed reserves as of December 31, 2010 were \$0.8 million and \$1.3 million, respectively, less than the initial carried reserve for each year. During 2009, however, loss development was unfavorable \$1.6 million mostly due to unfavorable development at NLIC of \$1.9 million. The unfavorable development at NLIC in the accident year 2009 is due to adverse development on our homeowners and fire products of \$1.0 million and \$0.5 million, respectively. For the years 2001 through 2006, the reserves were \$21.9 million favorable. Starting in 2002, IBNR loss reserves were strengthened, contributing to the favorable development in years 2002, 2003 and 2004. This strengthening of reserves was due to increases in direct written premium and increased net written premium from reductions in quota share reinsurance, a form of pro rata insurance.

The following table is a reconciliation of the gross liability to net liability for losses and loss adjustment expenses (dollars in thousands).

	2000	2001	2002	2003	Yea 2004	r Ended D 2005	ecember 3 2006	,	2007**	2008	2009	2010
Gross unpaid losses Consolidated balance sheet	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$	18,091	\$ 34,023	\$ 33,780	\$ 58,882
Reinsurance recoverable	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		(2,692)	(14,613)	(21,102)	(43,773)
Net unpaid losses	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$	15,399	\$ 19,410	\$ 12,678	\$ 15,109

^{*} Information is not presented for periods prior to January 31, 2007, as that is the date Hilltop Holdings Inc. acquired the insurance operations.

Ratings

Many insurance buyers, agents and brokers use the ratings assigned by A.M. Best and other rating agencies to assist them in assessing the financial strength and overall quality of the companies from which they purchase insurance. The rating for NLIC of A (Excellent) was affirmed and ASIC was upgraded to A (Excellent) by A.M. Best in April 2010. An A rating is the third highest of 16 rating categories used by A.M. Best. In evaluating a company s financial and operating performance, A.M. Best reviews a company s profitability, leverage and liquidity, as well as its book of business, the adequacy and soundness of its reinsurance, the quality and estimated market value of its assets, the adequacy of its liabilities for losses and LAE, the adequacy of its surplus, its capital structure, the experience and competence of its management and its market presence. This rating is intended to provide an independent opinion of an insurer s ability to meet its obligations to policyholders and is not an evaluation directed at investors. This rating assignment is subject to the ability to meet A.M. Best s expectations as to performance and capitalization on an ongoing basis, including with respect to management of liabilities for losses and LAE, and is subject to revocation or revision at any time at the sole discretion of A.M. Best. NLASCO cannot ensure that NLIC and ASIC will maintain their present ratings.

Investments

HTH s primary investment objectives, as a holding company, are to preserve capital and possess available cash resources to utilize in making opportunistic acquisitions. Accordingly, HTH, parent only, has \$601.4 million in short-term cash equivalent investments as of December 31, 2010. HTH s management regularly monitors investment performance.

Our insurance operating subsidiary, NLASCO, has primary investment objectives to preserve capital and manage for a total rate of return in excess of a specified benchmark portfolio. The investment strategy of NLASCO s insurance subsidiaries is to purchase securities in sectors that represent what is expected to possess the most attractive relative value. Bonds, cash and short-term investments constituted \$188.2 million, or 95.5%, of NLASCO s investments at December 31, 2010. NLASCO insurance subsidiaries have custodial agreements with A.G. Edwards and Wells Fargo Bank and an investment management agreement with DTF Holdings, LLC.

^{**} Only includes eleven months, as the insurance operations were acquired on January 31, 2007.

NLASCO s investment guidelines reflect the desire and intent to assure the prudent investment of capital and surplus, keeping in mind the long-term nature of some insurance reserves, while recognizing the uncertainty of expected cash flows, the shorter term characteristics of and the desire to supplement insurance underwriting gains and offset losses with portfolio income and realized gains in order to maintain adequate capital and surplus. All investments are made in compliance with all state and Federal laws and regulations applicable to such investments and the company involved. State insurance laws and regulations limit the amount of investments in asset classes below certain quality levels. NLASCO currently maintains a quality structure exceeding the minimum requirements imposed on the portfolio by state insurance laws and regulations, which is known as the Investment of Insurer s Model Act, or National Association of Insurance Commissioners Act. Currently, NLASCO has no investments in subprime mortgages.

Table of Contents

Liquidity and preservation of policyholder surplus can be limiting factors in achieving a favorable return on invested assets, as sufficient funds need to be maintained to meet ongoing near term financial obligations. Funds not immediately needed to offset withdrawals may be invested in short-term securities on a continuous basis. A maturity structure must be maintained to invest cash flows from operations and reinvest investment income, as well as to provide a source of liquidity and flexibility to meet changing market, tax and other operating considerations.

Notwithstanding the above, the underlying objective of NLASCO s investment policy is to obtain a favorable total return on invested assets to augment the growth of surplus from operations. Total return comes both from income and capital growth, so a portion of the funds are invested in assets other than fixed income securities, including common stocks and growth oriented preferred stocks. In managing these investment choices, market volatility, the absolute level of NLASCO s capital and surplus relative both to existing liabilities and the level of premium revenue, as well as to total assets, are the limiting factors that influence the portion of assets invested in assets other than fixed income investments.

Performance is measured by comparing the total return, for each period, of each major sector of NLASCO s investment portfolio to an appropriate market index, as well as comparing the total return of NLASCO s investment portfolio to an average of the market indices, weighted by the portfolio s average exposure to each other particular sector during the period. The assets are managed with the goal of exceeding these market indices, with volatility of return similar to or less than the indices.

HTH s investment committee meets regularly to review the portfolio performance and investment markets in general. NLASCO s management generally meets monthly to review the performance of investments and monitor market conditions for investments that would warrant any revision to investment guidelines.

The following table sets forth information concerning the composition of NLASCO s investment portfolio at December 31, 2010 (in thousands):

	Decemb	er 31, 2010	
Cost and			
Amortized	Fair	Carrying	Percent of
Cost	Value	Value	Carrying Value

Available-for-sale securities:

Fixed maturities: