GEORGIA BANCSHARES INC// Form 10-K March 31, 2005

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ý ACT OF 1934	ANNUAL REPORT UNDER S	SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
For the fiscal year	December 31, 2004	
		OR
o EXCHANGE A		SUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES
For the transition	period from to	
	Comm	nission file number 333-74710
	Georg	ia Bancshares, Inc.
	(Exact name	of registrant as specified in its charter)
	Georgia (State of Incorporation)	58-2646154 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

30269

100 Westpark Drive Peachtree, City, Georgia (Address of principal executive offices

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

77	'n_	631	_Q	488
,,	v-	UJI	-,	400

(Telephone Number)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: Common Stock

Check whether the issuer: (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for past 90 days. Yes \acute{v} No o

Check if there is no disclosure of delinquent filers in response to Item 405 of Regulation S-B in this form, and no disclosure will be contained, to the best of registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. $\acute{\text{y}}$

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates (shareholders holding less than 5% of an outstanding class of stock, excluding directors and executive officers) as of March 9, 2005 was \$33,378,266. This calculation was based on the average bid and asked price of such common equity on March 9, 2005.

There were 2,972,813 shares of the issuer s common stock issued and outstanding, as of March 9, 2005.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the issuer s proxy statement for the 2005 annual meeting of shareholders to be held on May 11, 2005 are incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K.

PART I

Item 1. Description of Business

This Report contains statements which constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. These statements are based on many assumptions and estimates and are not guarantees of future performance. Our actual results may differ materially from those projected in any forward-looking statements, as they will depend on many factors about which we are unsure, including many factors which are beyond our control. The words may, would, could, will, expect, and believe, intend, plan, and estimate, as well as similar expressions, are meant to identify such forward-looking statements. Potential risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to:

si	ignificant increases in competitive pressure in the banking and financial services industries;
cl	hanges in the interest rate environment which could reduce anticipated or actual margins;
cl	hanges in political conditions or the legislative or regulatory environment;
th	he level of allowance for loan loss;
tŀ	he rate of delinquencies and amounts of charge-offs;
th	he rates of loan growth;
ao	dverse changes in asset quality and resulting credit risk-related losses and expenses;
_	general economic conditions, either nationally or regionally and especially in primary service area, becoming table than expected resulting in, among other things, a deterioration in credit quality;

changes occurring in business conditions and inflation;

	changes in technology;
	changes in monetary and tax policies;
	changes in the securities markets; and
Commi	other risks and uncertainties detailed from time to time in our filings with the Securities and Exchange assion.
General	
Georgia of and cond businesse	Bancshares, Inc. was incorporated as a Georgia corporation on December 21, 2000 and became the holding company for The Bank of May 18, 2001 following the consummation of a statutory share exchange. Our bank commenced operations on February 18, 2000 fucts a community-oriented commercial and retail banking business, focusing on the needs of individuals and small- to medium-sized size in Peachtree City, the rest of Fayette County, and portions of Coweta County in Georgia. On November 12, 2002, we opened a new 100 Westpark Drive, Peachtree City, Georgia, On January 3, 2003, we designated this office as our corporate headquarters and

designated our former main office at 2008 Highway 54 West, Fayetteville, Georgia, as a branch office. Our goal is to operate the leading community bank in our market area. We intend to achieve this goal by increasing asset size through internal growth and branch expansion, providing personalized service with a community focus, hiring, developing, and retaining high caliber and motivated employees, maintaining

2

high asset quality, and offering our customers a variety of competitive products and services.

Deposit Products

We offer a full range of deposit products that are typically available in most banks and savings and loan associations, including checking accounts, NOW accounts, savings accounts, and other time deposits of various types, ranging from daily money market accounts to longer-term certificates of deposit. The transaction accounts and time certificates are offered at rates competitive to those offered in our market area. In addition, we offer retirement account services, such as Individual Retirement Accounts (IRAs). All deposit accounts are insured by the FDIC up to the maximum amount allowed by law.

Other Banking Services

Other bank services include drive up teller lanes and ATMs at each office, telephone banking, Visa check cards, safe deposit boxes, travelers checks, direct deposit of payroll and social security checks, and automatic drafts for various accounts. We are also a member of the STAR and CIRRUS ATM networks. We believe that by being associated with shared networks of ATMs, we can better serve our current customers and attract new customers who are accustomed to the convenience of using ATMs. We also offer our own credit and debit cards.

Lending Activities

General. We emphasize a range of lending services, including real estate, commercial, and consumer loans to individuals and small businesses that are located, or conduct a substantial portion of their business, in the Fayette and Coweta County areas. The characteristics of our loan portfolio and our underwriting procedures, collateral types, risks, approval process and lending limits are discussed below. See also Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Provision and Allowance for Loan Losses on page 26 and Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition Loans on page 23.

Real Estate Construction and Development Loans. At December 31, 2004, 55.34% of our loan portfolio was composed of personal and commercial real estate construction and land development loans. These loans are secured by the real estate for which construction is planned.

Real Estate Mortgage Loans. Real estate mortgage loans include residential real estate loans and non-farm and non-residential real estate loans. Real estate mortgage loans are defined as any loan secured by real estate, other than for construction purposes, regardless of the purposes of the loan. These loans are secured generally by first or second mortgages on residential or commercial property. At December 31, 2004, real estate mortgage loans comprised 33.02% of our loan portfolio.

Commercial, Financial and Agricultural Loans. At December 31, 2004, approximately 7.97% of our loan portfolio consisted of commercial, financial, and agricultural loans. These loans consist of secured and unsecured loans, lines of credit, and working capital loans. We make these loans to various types of businesses. Included in this category are loans to purchase equipment, finance accounts receivable or inventory, and loans made for working capital purposes. Generally, we secure our commercial loans with real estate instead of other business assets to provide greater security.

Consumer Loans. At December 31, 2004, consumer loans made up approximately 3.67% of our loan portfolio. These are loans made to individuals for personal and household purposes, such as secured and unsecured installment and term loans. Automobiles and small recreational vehicles are pledged as security for loans associated with their purchase.

Underwriting Procedures, Collateral, and Risk. We use our established credit policies and procedures when underwriting each type of loan. Although there are minor variances in the characteristics and criteria for each loan type, which variances may require additional underwriting procedures, we generally evaluate borrowers using the following defined criteria:

Character We evaluate whether the borrower has sound character and integrity by examining the borrower s history.

Capital We evaluate the borrower s overall financial strength, as well as the equity investment in the asset being financed.

Collateral We evaluate whether the collateral is adequate from the standpoint of quality, marketability, value and income potential.

Capacity We evaluate the borrower s ability to service the debt.

Conditions We underwrite the credit in light of the effects of external factors, such as economic conditions and industry trends.

It is our practice to obtain collateral for most loans to help mitigate the risk associated with lending. We generally limit our loan-to-value ratio to 80%. For example, we typically obtain a security interest in real estate for loans secured by real estate, including construction and development loans, and other commercial loans. For commercial loans, we typically obtain security interests in equipment and other company assets. For consumer loans used to purchase vehicles, we typically obtain appropriate title documentation. For secured loans that are not associated with real estate, or for which the mortgaged real estate does not provide an acceptable loan-to-value ratio, we obtain other available collateral such as stocks and bonds.

Each type of loan carries a credit risk, simply defined as the potential that the borrower will not be willing or able to repay the debt. While real estate loans have various risks common to all types of loans, certain types of real estate loans have specific risk characteristics that vary according to the collateral type securing the loan and the terms and repayment sources for the loan. Real estate loans are all sensitive to fluctuations in the value of the real estate securing the loan. In addition, commercial real estate loans have risk that the primary source of repayment will be insufficient to service the debt. Construction and development real estate loans generally carry a higher degree of risk than long term financing of existing properties. These projects are usually dependent on the completion of the project on schedule and within cost estimates and on the timely sale of the property. Inferior or improper construction techniques, changes in economic conditions during the construction and marketing period, and rising interest rates which may slow the sale of the property are all risks unique to this type of loan. Residential mortgage loans, in contrast to commercial real estate loans, generally have longer terms and may have fixed or adjustable interest rates. Commercial loans primarily have risk that the primary source of repayment will be insufficient to service the debt. Often this occurs as the result of changes in local economic conditions or in the industry in which the borrower operates which impact cash flow or collateral value. Consumer loans, other than home equity loan products, are generally considered to have more risk than loans to individuals secured by first or second mortgages on real estate due to dependence on the borrower s employment status as the sole source of repayment. By following defined underwriting criteria as noted above, we can help to reduce these risks. Additionally we help to reduce the risk that the underlying collateral may not be sufficient to pay the outstanding balance by using appraisals or taking other steps to determine that the value of the collateral is adequate, and lending amounts based upon lower loan-to-value ratios. We control risks by monitoring and limiting concentrations in our loan portfolio of any one type of loan.

Loan Approval and Review. Our loan approval policies provide for various levels of officer lending authority. When the amount of aggregate loans to a single borrower exceeds that individual officer s lending authority, the loan request is considered by an officer with a higher lending limit. Any loan in excess of this lending limit is approved by the directors loan committee. We do not make any loans to any of our directors or executive officers unless the loan is approved by the board of directors of the bank and is made on terms not more favorable to such person than would be available to a person not affiliated with us.

Lending Limits. Our lending activities are subject to a variety of lending limits imposed by federal law. While differing limits apply to certain loan types or borrowers, in general we are subject to a loan-to-one-borrower limit. These limits increase or decrease as our capital increases or decreases. Unless we sell participations in loans to other financial institutions, we are not able to meet all of the lending needs of loan customers requiring aggregate extensions of credit above these lending limits. Loans above our internal limit of \$2 million but under our legal lending limit, which is approximately \$5.8 million, are presented to our board of directors for board approval.

Competition

We engage in a general commercial and retail banking business, emphasizing the needs of small- to medium-sized businesses, professional concerns, and individuals. Our primary service area presently encompasses Peachtree City, as well as the rest of Fayette County, portions of Coweta County and Fulton County. Based on relationships with our lending officers, we have a secondary lending area in neighboring Henry and Clayton Counties.

The banking business is highly competitive. We compete with other commercial banks, savings and loan associations, credit unions, and money market mutual funds operating in the Fayette and Coweta County area and elsewhere. As of June 30, 2004 (the most recent date for deposit data compiled by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation), there were 40 banking offices representing 15 financial institutions operating in Fayette County holding over \$1.49 billion in deposits. In Coweta County, there were 30 offices representing 10 financial institutions holding over \$969 million in deposits. We believe that our community bank focus, with our emphasis on service to small- to medium-sized businesses, individuals, and professional concerns, gives us an advantage in these markets. Nevertheless, a number of these competitors are well established in the Fayette and Coweta County area. Most of them have substantially greater resources and lending limits than we have and offer services, such as extensive and established branch networks and trust services that we do not currently provide. As a result of these competitive factors, we may have to pay higher rates of interest to attract deposits.

At June 30, 2004, our market share of deposits in Fayette County was 12.32%. Our branch offices in Newnan and Sharpsburg have attained a 3.33% market share for Coweta County since opening in July 2001 and April 2004, respectively.

Employees

As of December 31, 2004, we had 64 full-time employees and 6 part-time employees. None of our employees is covered by a collective bargaining agreement. We consider our relationship with our employees to be good.

SUPERVISION AND REGULATION

We are subject to extensive state and federal banking laws and regulations which impose specific requirements or restrictions on, and provide for general regulatory oversight of, virtually all aspects of operations. These laws and regulations are generally intended to protect depositors, not shareholders. The following summary is qualified by reference to the statutory and regulatory provisions discussed. Changes in applicable laws or regulations may have a material effect on our business and prospects. Our operations may be affected by legislative changes and the policies of various regulatory authorities. We cannot predict the effect that fiscal or monetary policies, economic control, or new federal or state legislation may have on our business and earnings in the future.

The following discussion is not intended to be a complete list of all the activities regulated by the banking laws or of the impact of such laws and regulations on our operations. It is intended only to briefly summarize some material provisions.

USA Patriot Act of 2001

In October 2001, the USA Patriot Act of 2001 was enacted in response to the terrorist attacks in New York, Pennsylvania and Washington D.C. which occurred on September 11, 2001. The Patriot Act is intended to strengthen U.S. law enforcement s and the intelligence communities abilities to work cohesively to combat terrorism on a variety of fronts. The potential impact of the Patriot Act on financial institutions is significant and wide ranging. The Patriot Act contains sweeping anti-money laundering and financial transparency laws and imposes various regulations, including standards for verifying client identification at account opening, and rules to promote cooperation among financial institutions, regulators and law enforcement entities in identifying parties that may be involved in terrorism or money laundering.

Check 21

On October 28, 2003, President Bush signed into law the Check Clearing for the 21st Century Act, also known as Check 21. The new law, which was not effective until October 28, 2004, gave substitute checks, such as a digital image of a check and copies made from that image, the same legal standing as the original paper check. Some of the major provisions include:

Allows check truncation without making it mandatory;

Demands that every financial institution communicate to accountholders in writing a description of its substitute check processing program and their rights under the law;

Legalizes substitutions for and replacements of paper checks without agreement from consumers;

As of December 31, 2004, we had 64 full-time employees and 6 part-time employees. None of our employees is co

Keeps in place the previously mandated electronic collection and return of checks between financial institutions only when individual agreements are in place;

Cautions that when accountholder s request verification, financial institutions must produce the original check (or a copy that accurately represents the original) and demonstrate that the account debit was accurate and valid; and

Requires recrediting of funds to an individual s account on the next business day after a consumer proves the financial institution has erred.

This new legislation will likely have a dramatic impact on bank capital spending as many financial institutions assess whether technological or operational changes are necessary to stay competitive and take advantage of the new opportunities presented by Check 21.

6

Georgia Bancshares, Inc.

The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act. The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, previously known as the Financial Services Modernization Act of 1999, was signed into law on November 12, 1999. Among other things, the Act repeals the restrictions on banks affiliating with securities firms contained in sections 20 and 32 of the Glass-Steagall Act. The Act also permits bank holding companies that become financial holding companies to engage in a statutorily provided list of financial activities, including insurance and securities underwriting and agency activities, merchant banking, and insurance company portfolio investment activities. The Act also authorizes activities that are complementary to financial activities.

The Act is intended, in part, to grant to community banks certain powers as a matter of right that larger institutions have accumulated on an ad hoc basis. Nevertheless, the Act may have the result of increasing the amount of competition that we face from larger institutions and other types of companies. In fact, it is not possible to predict the full effect that the Act will have on us.

Because our holding company, Georgia Bancshares, Inc., owns the outstanding capital stock of our bank, our holding company is a bank holding company under the federal Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 and the Financial Institutions Code of Georgia.

The Bank Holding Company Act. Under the Bank Holding Company Act, our holding company is subject to periodic examination by the Federal Reserve and required to file periodic reports of its operations and any additional information that the Federal Reserve may require. Our activities at the holding company level are limited to:

banking and managing or controlling banks;

furnishing services to or performing services for its subsidiaries; and

engaging in other activities that the Federal Reserve determines to be so closely related to banking and managing or controlling banks as to be a proper incident thereto.

Investments, Control, and Activities. With certain limited exceptions, the Bank Holding Company Act requires every bank holding company to obtain the prior approval of the Federal Reserve before:

acquiring substantially all the assets of any bank;

acquiring direct or indirect ownership or control of any voting shares of any bank if after the acquisition it would own or control more than 5% of the voting shares of such bank (unless it already owns or controls the majority of such shares); or

As of December 31, 2004, we had 64 full-time employees and 6 part-time employees. None of our employees is co

merging or consolidating with another bank holding company.

In addition, and subject to certain exceptions, the Bank Holding Company Act and the Change in Bank Control Act require Federal Reserve approval prior to any person or company acquiring control of a bank holding company. Control is conclusively presumed to exist if an individual or company acquires 25% or more of any class of voting securities of the bank holding company. Control is rebuttably presumed to exist if a person acquires 10% or more, but less than 25%, of any class of voting securities and either Georgia Bancshares, Inc. has registered securities under Section 12 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, which we have done, or no other person owns a greater percentage of that class of voting securities immediately after the transaction. The regulations provide a procedure to challenge the rebuttable control presumption.

Under the Bank Holding Company Act, a bank holding company is generally prohibited from engaging in, or acquiring direct or indirect control of more than 5% of the voting shares of any company engaged in non-banking activities unless the Federal Reserve Board, by order or regulation, has found those activities to be so closely related to banking or managing or controlling banks as to be a proper incident thereto. Some of the activities that the Federal Reserve Board has determined by regulation to be proper incidents to the business of a bank holding company include:

making or servicing loans and certain types of leases;

engaging in certain insurance and discount brokerage activities;

performing certain data processing services;

acting in certain circumstances as a fiduciary or investment or financial adviser;

owning savings associations; and

making investments in certain corporations or projects designed primarily to promote community welfare.

The Federal Reserve Board imposes certain capital requirements on our holding company under the Bank Holding Company Act, including a minimum leverage ratio and a minimum ratio of qualifying capital to risk-weighted assets. These requirements are described below under Capital Regulations. Subject to capital requirements and certain other restrictions, our holding company is able to borrow money to make a capital contribution to our bank, and these loans may be repaid from dividends paid from our bank to our holding company. Our ability to pay dividends will be subject to regulatory restrictions as described below in The Bank of Georgia Dividends. Our holding company is also able to raise capital for contribution to our bank by issuing securities without having to receive regulatory approval, subject to compliance with federal and state securities laws. As a bank holding company registered under the Financial Institutions Code of Georgia, we must provide the Georgia Department of Banking and Finance with information regarding the financial, management, and operating condition of our holding company and bank.

Source of Strength; Cross-Guarantee. In accordance with Federal Reserve Board policy, our holding company is expected to act as a source of financial strength to our bank and to commit resources to support our bank in circumstances in which our holding company might not otherwise do so. Under the Bank Holding Company Act, the Federal Reserve Board may require a bank holding company to terminate any activity or relinquish control of a non-bank subsidiary, other than a non-bank subsidiary of a bank, upon the Federal Reserve Board s determination that such activity or control constitutes a serious risk to the financial soundness or stability of any subsidiary depository institution of the bank holding company. Further, federal bank regulatory authorities have additional discretion to require a bank holding company to divest itself of any bank or non-bank subsidiary if the agency determines that divestiture may aid the depository institution s financial condition.

The Bank of Georgia

The Bank of Georgia 15

Our bank, The Bank of Georgia, operates as a Georgia state bank incorporated under the laws of the State of Georgia and subject to examination by the Georgia Department of Banking and Finance. Deposits in the bank are insured by the FDIC up to a maximum amount, which is generally \$100,000 per depositor subject to aggregation rules.

The Georgia Department of Banking and Finance and the FDIC regulate or monitor virtually all areas of our bank s operations, including:

security devices and procedures;
adequacy of capitalization and loss reserves;
loans;
investments;
borrowings;
deposits;
mergers;
issuances of securities;
payment of dividends;
interest rates payable on deposits;
interest rates or fees chargeable on loans;
establishment of branches;
corporate reorganizations;
maintenance of books and records; and
adequacy of staff training to carry on safe lending and deposit gathering practices.

The Georgia Department of Banking and Finance and the FDIC require banks to maintain certain capital ratios, impose limitations on banks aggregate investment in real estate, bank premises, and furniture and fixtures, require banks to prepare quarterly reports on their financial condition, and require banks to conduct annual audits of their financial affairs in compliance with its minimum standards and procedures.

Under the FDIC Improvement Act, all insured institutions must undergo regular on-site examinations by their appropriate banking agency. The cost of examinations of insured depository institutions and any affiliates may be assessed by the appropriate agency against each institution or affiliate as it deems necessary or appropriate. Insured institutions are required to submit annual reports to the FDIC, and their state supervisor when applicable. The FDIC Improvement Act directs the FDIC to develop a method for insured depository institutions to provide supplemental disclosure of the estimated fair market value of assets and liabilities, to

the extent feasible and practicable, in any balance sheet, financial statement, report of condition, or any other report of any insured depository institution. The FDIC Improvement Act also requires the federal banking regulatory agencies to prescribe, by regulation, standards for all insured depository institutions and depository institution holding companies relating, among other things, to the following:

internal controls;
information systems and audit systems;
loan documentation;
credit underwriting;
interest rate risk exposure; and
asset quality.

Deposit Insurance. The FDIC has adopted a risk-based assessment system for determining an insured depository institution is insurance assessment rate. The system takes into account the risks attributable to different categories and concentrations of assets and liabilities. An institution is placed into one of three capital categories: (1) well capitalized; (2) adequately capitalized; or (3) undercapitalized. The FDIC also assigns an institution to one of three supervisory subgroups, based on the FDIC is determination of the institution in sinancial condition and the risk posed to the deposit insurance funds. Assessments range from 0 to 27 cents per \$100 of deposits, depending on the institution in annual interest payments on the \$8 billion Financing Corporation (FICO) bonds issued in the late 1980s as part of the government rescue of the thrift industry. Although we pay a quarterly FICO assessment, the FDIC assessment rate on our bank deposits currently is zero, but may change in the future. The FDIC may increase or decrease the assessment rate schedule on a semiannual basis. An increase in the BIF assessment rate could have a material adverse effect on our earnings, depending on the amount of the increase.

The FDIC may terminate its insurance of deposits if it finds that the institution has engaged in unsafe and unsound practices, is in an unsafe or unsound condition to continue operations, or has violated any applicable law, regulation, rule, order, or condition imposed by the FDIC.

Transactions With Affiliates and Insiders. Our bank is subject to the provisions of Section 23A of the Federal Reserve Act, which place limits on the amount of loans or extensions of credit to, or investments in, or certain other transactions with, affiliates and on the amount of advances to third parties collateralized by the securities or obligations of affiliates. The aggregate of all covered transactions is limited in amount, as to any one affiliate, to 10% of our bank s capital and surplus and, as to all affiliates combined, to 20% of our bank s capital and surplus. Furthermore, within the foregoing limitations as to amount, each covered transaction must meet specified collateral requirements. Compliance is also required with certain provisions designed to avoid the taking of low quality assets.

Our bank is also be subject to the provisions of Section 23B of the Federal Reserve Act which, among other things, prohibits an institution from engaging in certain transactions with certain affiliates unless the transactions are on terms substantially the same, or at least as favorable to such institution or its subsidiaries, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with nonaffiliated companies. Our bank is subject to certain restrictions on extensions of credit to executive officers, directors, certain principal shareholders, and their related interests. Such extensions of credit (1) must be made on substantially the same terms, including interest rates and collateral, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with third parties and (2) must not involve more than the normal risk of repayment or present other unfavorable features.

The Federal Reserve Board has recently issued Regulation W, which codifies prior regulations under Sections 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act and interpretative guidance with respect to affiliate transactions. Regulation W incorporates the exemption from the affiliate transaction rules but expands the exemption to cover the purchase of any type of loan or extension of credit from an affiliate. In addition, under Regulation W:

a bank and its subsidiaries may not purchase a low-quality asset from an affiliate;

covered transactions and other specified transactions between a bank or its subsidiaries and an affiliate must be on terms and conditions that are consistent with safe and sound banking practices; and

with some exceptions, each loan or extension of credit by a bank to an affiliate must be secured by collateral with a market value ranging from 100% to 130%, depending on the type of collateral, of the amount of the loan or extension of credit.

Regulation W generally excludes all non-bank and non-savings association subsidiaries of banks from treatment as affiliates, except to the extent that the Federal Reserve Board decides to treat these subsidiaries as affiliates. Concurrently with the adoption of Regulation W, the Federal Reserve Board has proposed a regulation which would further limit the amount of loans that could be purchased by a bank from an affiliate to not more than 100% of the bank s capital and surplus. This regulation has not yet been adopted.

Dividends. Georgia state law and the Georgia Department of Banking and Finance limit a state bank s ability to declare and pay dividends. A dividend may only be paid if: (i) the bank s dividend does not exceed 50% of the previous calendar year s net profit, after taxes, but before dividends; (ii) the bank s dividend is paid out of the retained earnings of the bank; (iii) the bank s total classified assets do not exceed 80% of Tier 1 capital plus the allowance for loan losses; and (iv) the ratio of Tier 1 capital to adjusted total assets of the bank is greater than or equal to 6%.

Branching. Under current Georgia law, our bank may open branch offices throughout Georgia with the prior approval of the Georgia Department of Banking and Finance. In addition, with prior regulatory approval, our bank may acquire existing branch operations in Georgia.

Community Reinvestment Act. The Community Reinvestment Act requires that, in connection with examinations of financial institutions within their respective jurisdictions, the FDIC shall evaluate the record of each financial institution in meeting the credit needs of its local community, including low and moderate income neighborhoods. These factors are also considered in evaluating mergers, acquisitions, and applications to open a branch or facility. Failure to adequately meet these criteria could impose additional requirements and limitations on the bank. Under the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, banks with aggregate assets of not more than \$250 million will be subject to a Community Reinvestment Act examination only once every 60 months if the bank receives an outstanding rating, once every 48 months if it receives a satisfactory rating, and as needed if the rating is less than satisfactory. Additionally, under the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, banks are required to publicly disclose the terms of various Community Reinvestment Act-related agreements.

The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act. Under the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, subject to certain conditions imposed by their respective banking regulators, national and state-chartered banks are permitted to form financial subsidiaries that may conduct financial or incidental activities, thereby permitting bank subsidiaries to engage in certain activities that previously were impermissible. The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act imposes several safeguards and restrictions on financial subsidiaries, including that the parent bank s equity investment in the financial subsidiary be deducted from the bank s assets and tangible equity for purposes of calculating the bank s capital adequacy. In addition, the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act imposes new restrictions on transactions between a bank and its financial subsidiaries similar to restrictions applicable to transactions between banks and nonbank affiliates.

The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act also contains provisions regarding consumer privacy. These provisions require financial institutions to disclose their policy for collecting and protecting confidential information. Customers generally may prevent financial institutions from sharing personal financial information with nonaffiliated third parties except for third parties that market an institution s own products and services. Additionally, financial institutions generally may not disclose consumer account numbers to any nonaffiliated third party for use in telemarketing, direct mail marketing, or other marketing to the consumer.

Other Regulations. Interest and certain other charges collected or contracted for by our bank are subject to state usury laws and certain federal laws concerning interest rates. The bank s loan operations are also subject to certain federal laws applicable to credit transactions, such as:

the federal Truth-In-Lending Act, governing disclosures of credit terms to consumer borrowers;

the Home Mortgage Disclosure Act of 1975, requiring financial institutions to provide information to enable the public and public officials to determine whether a financial institution is fulfilling its obligation to help meet the housing needs of the community it serves;

the Equal Credit Opportunity Act, prohibiting discrimination on the basis of race, creed, or other prohibited factors in extending credit;

the Fair Credit Reporting Act of 1978, governing the use and provision of information to credit reporting agencies;

the Fair Debt Collection Act, governing the manner in which consumer debts may be collected by collection agencies; and

the rules and regulations of the various federal agencies charged with the responsibility of implementing such federal laws.

The deposit operations of our bank also are subject to:

the Right to Financial Privacy Act, which imposes a duty to maintain confidentiality of consumer financial records and prescribes procedures for complying with administrative subpoenas of financial records; and

the Electronic Funds Transfer Act and Regulation E issued by the Federal Reserve Board to implement that act, which governs automatic deposits to and withdrawals from deposit accounts and customers rights and liabilities arising from the use of automated teller machines and other electronic banking services.

Capital Regulations. The FDIC and the Georgia Department of Banking and Finance have adopted risk-based capital guidelines for banks and bank holding companies that are designed to make regulatory capital requirements more sensitive to differences in risk profiles among banks and account for off-balance sheet items. The guidelines are minimums, and the regulators have noted that banks and bank holding companies contemplating significant expansion programs should not allow expansion to diminish their capital ratios and should maintain ratios in excess of the minimums. We have not received any notice indicating that either our bank or our holding company is subject to higher capital requirements. The current guidelines require all bank holding companies and federally-regulated banks to maintain a minimum risk-based total capital ratio equal to 8%, of which at least 4% must be Tier 1 capital. Tier 1 capital includes common shareholders—equity, qualifying perpetual preferred stock, and minority interests in equity accounts of consolidated subsidiaries, but excludes goodwill and most other intangibles and excludes the allowance for loan and lease losses. Tier 2 capital includes the excess of any preferred stock not included in Tier 1 capital, mandatory convertible securities, hybrid capital instruments, subordinated debt and intermediate term-preferred stock, and general reserves for loan and lease losses up to 1.25% of risk-weighted assets.

Under these guidelines, banks and bank holding companies assets are given risk-weights of 0%, 20%, 50%, or 100%. In addition, certain off-balance sheet items are given credit conversion factors to convert them to asset equivalent amounts to which an appropriate risk-weight applies. These computations result in the total risk-weighted assets. Most loans are assigned to the 100% risk category, except for first mortgage loans fully secured by residential property and, under certain circumstances, residential construction loans, both of which carry a 50% rating. Most investment securities are assigned to the 20% category, except for municipal or state revenue bonds, which have a 50% rating, and direct obligations of or obligations guaranteed by the United States Treasury or United States Government agencies, which have a 0% rating.

The federal bank regulatory authorities have also implemented a leverage ratio, which is equal to Tier 1 capital as a percentage of average total assets less intangibles, to be used as a supplement to the risk-based guidelines. The principal objective of the leverage ratio is to place a constraint on the maximum degree to which a bank holding company may leverage its equity capital base. The minimum required leverage ratio for top-rated institutions is 3%, but most institutions are required to maintain an additional cushion of at least 100 to 200 basis points.

The FDIC Improvement Act established a new capital-based regulatory scheme designed to promote early intervention for troubled banks which requires the FDIC to choose the least expensive resolution of bank failures. The new capital-based regulatory framework contains five categories of compliance with regulatory capital requirements, including well capitalized, adequately capitalized, undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized. To quality as a well capitalized institution, a bank must have a leverage ratio of no less than 5%, a Tier 1 risk-based ratio of no less than 6%, and a total risk-based capital ratio of no less than 10%, and the bank must not be under any order or directive from the appropriate regulatory agency to meet and maintain a specific capital level. Currently, we qualify as well capitalized.

Under the FDIC Improvement Act regulations, the applicable agency can treat an institution as if it were in the next lower category if the agency determines (after notice and an opportunity for hearing) that the institution is in an unsafe or unsound condition or is engaging in an unsafe or unsound practice. The degree of regulatory scrutiny of a financial institution increases, and the permissible activities of the institution decreases, as it moves downward through the capital categories. Institutions that fall into one of the three undercapitalized categories may be required to do some or all of the following:

submit a capital restoration plan;
raise additional capital;
restrict their growth, deposit interest rates, and other activities;
improve their management;
eliminate management fees; or
divest themselves of all or a part of their operations.

These capital guidelines can affect us in several ways. If we grow at a rapid pace, our capital may be depleted too quickly, and a capital infusion from our holding company may be necessary which could impact our ability to pay dividends. Our capital levels currently are adequate; however, rapid growth, poor loan portfolio performance, poor earnings performance, or a combination of these factors could change our capital position in a relatively short period of time. If we fail to meet these capital requirements, our bank would be required to develop and file a plan with its primary federal banking regulator describing the means and a schedule for achieving the minimum capital requirements. In addition, our bank would generally not receive regulatory approval of any application that requires the consideration of capital adequacy, such as a branch or merger application, unless our bank could demonstrate a reasonable plan to meet the capital requirement within a reasonable period of time. A bank that is not well capitalized is also subject to certain limitations relating to so-called brokered deposits. Bank holding companies controlling financial institutions can be called upon to boost the institutions capital and to partially guarantee the institutions performance under their capital restoration plans.

Enforcement Powers. The Financial Institution Reform Recovery and Enforcement Act expanded and increased civil and criminal penalties available for use by the federal regulatory agencies against depository institutions and certain institution-affiliated parties. Institution-affiliated parties primarily include management, employees, and agents of a financial institution, as well as independent contractors and consultants such as attorneys and accountants and others who participate in the conduct of the financial institution s affairs. These practices can include the failure of an institution to timely file required reports or the filing of false or misleading information or the submission of inaccurate reports. Civil penalties may be as high as \$1,000,000 a day for such violations. Criminal penalties for some financial institution crimes have been increased to 20 years. In addition, regulators are provided with greater flexibility to commence enforcement actions against institutions and institution-affiliated parties. Possible enforcement actions include the termination of deposit insurance. Furthermore, banking agencies power to issue cease-and-desist orders were expanded. Such orders may, among other things, require affirmative action to correct any harm resulting from a violation or practice, including restitution, reimbursement, indemnifications, or guarantees against loss. A financial institution may also be ordered to restrict its growth, dispose of certain assets, rescind agreements or contracts, or take other actions as determined by the ordering agency to be appropriate.

Effect of Governmental Monetary Policies. Our earnings are affected by domestic economic conditions and the monetary and fiscal policies of the United States government and its agencies. The Federal Reserve Bank s monetary policies have had, and are likely to continue to have, an important impact on the operating results of commercial banks through its power to implement national monetary policy in order, among other things, to curb inflation or combat a recession. The monetary policies of the Federal Reserve Board have major effects upon the levels of bank loans, investments and deposits through its open market operations in United States government securities, and through its regulation of the discount rate on borrowings of member banks and the reserve requirements against member bank deposits. It is not possible to predict the nature or impact of future changes in monetary and fiscal policies.

Proposed Legislation and Regulatory Action. New regulations and statutes are regularly proposed that contain wide-ranging proposals for altering the structures, regulations, and competitive relationship of the nation s financial institutions. We cannot predict whether or in what form any proposed regulation or statute will be adopted or the extent to which our business may be affected by any new regulation or statute.

Item 2. Description of Property

Properties

Our corporate main office is located at 100 Westpark Drive, Peachtree City (Fayette County), Georgia. This office was completed on November 12, 2002 and was designated the main office on January 3, 2003. Our former main office, located at 2008 Hwy. 54 West, Fayetteville, Georgia now operates as a full-service branch. On July 9, 2001, a full-service branch was opened in Coweta County at 471 Hwy 29 N, Newnan, Georgia. During 2003, two additional branches were opened. A full-service branch within the Kroger Towne Center store at 805 South Glynn Street, Fayetteville, Georgia was opened on June 20, 2003. On August 26, 2003, our new full-service branch at 1005 Tyrone Road, Tyrone (Fayette County), Georgia opened for business. On April 9, 2004, our new full service branch at 2015 Highway 154, Sharpsburg (Coweta County), Georgia was opened and the new full-service branch at 8410 Senoia Road, Fairburn (Fulton County), Georgia was opened on November 1, 2004.

The offices in Peachtree City, Newnan, Tyrone and Fairburn are owned. Both of the Fayetteville facilities and the branch in Sharpsburg are leased.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

On April 19, 2001, shareholders of the bank approved the reorganization of the bank into a holding company structure pursuant to that certain Reorganization Agreement and Plan of Share Exchange dated March 10, 2001. Upon consummation of the reorganization on May 18, 2001, 2,080,078 shares of common stock of the bank were exchanged for 2,080,078 shares of common stock of the company. Pursuant to Section 14-2-1302 of the Georgia Business Corporation Code, a record shareholder of a corporation is entitled to dissent from, and obtain payment of the fair value of his shares in the event of certain corporate actions, including the consummation of a plan of share exchange. Cede & Co., the record holder of the remaining originally issued 68,359 shares of the bank s common stock, dissented from the bank s reorganization into a holding company structure. The bank has an obligation to purchase this dissenting shareholder s shares for fair value as of May 18, 2001. The bank has determined through the aid of an appraiser that these shares were worth \$5.40 per share as of May 18, 2001. The dissenting shareholder, however, claimed that the shares were worth \$12.93 per share. The numbers of shares and prices per share have been adjusted to reflect 5-for4 stock splits declared by the board of directors on August 16, 2001, September 19, 2002 and June 19, 2003. To resolve this matter in accordance with Section 14-2-1330 of the Georgia Business Corporation Code, the bank filed a Petition for Determination of Fair Value of Shares against Cede & Co. in the Superior Court of Fayette County, State of Georgia on September 14, 2001. Fayette Mortgage Company and Edgar E. Chapman, Jr. Individual Retirement Account have subsequently replaced Cede & Co. as the real shareholders in interest.

On April 12, 2004 an order was received from the court establishing the aggregate principal sum of \$657,730 to be paid to the dissenting shareholder. This amount was paid on April 13, 2004.

The impact of this settlement on the consolidated balance sheet of the Company as of April 13, 2004 was the elimination of the \$369,250 stock purchase obligation liability and a reduction of capital surplus in the amount of the differential, \$288,480.

After consultation with legal counsel, there are no proceedings pending or threatened against Georgia Bancshares or the Bank of Georgia that will, individually or in the aggregate, have a material adverse effect on the business or the consolidated financial condition of Georgia Bancshares.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

No matter was submitted to a vote of security holders during the fourth quarter of the fiscal year covered by this report.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters.

Our common stock has been quoted on the OTC Bulletin Board under the symbol GABA since June 2, 2002. Market makers of our common stock include Morgan Keegan & Company, Inc. and Schwab Capital Markets, L. P. As of March 9, 2005, we had approximately 463 shareholders of record.

The following table sets forth the high and low bid information as quoted on the OTC Bulletin Board during the periods indicated. The quotations reflect inter-dealer prices, without retail mark-up, mark-down or commission, and may not represent actual transactions.

		2004					2003			
	Н	igh		Low		High		Low		
First Quarter	\$	15.25	\$	14.00	\$	15.20	\$	10.80		
Second Quarter	\$	14.00	\$	12.15	\$	14.00	\$	12.00		
Third Quarter	\$	13.05	\$	12.35	\$	17.21	\$	14.20		
Fourth Quarter	\$	16.00	\$	12.75	\$	16.00	\$	15.00		

The prices have been adjusted to reflect the 5 -for-4 stock splits declared by our board of directors on August 16, 2001, September 19, 2002, and June 19, 2003.

To date, we have not paid cash dividends on our common stock. We currently intend to retain earnings to support operations and finance expansion and therefore do not anticipate paying cash dividends in the foreseeable future. Our ability to pay cash dividends is dependent upon receiving cash in the form of dividends from The Bank of Georgia. However, certain restrictions exist regarding the ability of the bank to transfer funds to the company in the form of cash dividends. A dividend may only be paid if: (i) the bank s dividend does not exceed 50% of the previous calendar year s net profit, after taxes, but before dividends; (ii) the bank s dividend is paid out of the retained earnings of the bank; (iii) the bank s total classified assets do not exceed 80% of Tier 1 capital plus the allowance for loan losses; and (iv) the ratio of Tier 1 capital to adjusted total assets of the bank is greater than or equal to 6%. All of our outstanding shares of common stock are entitled to share equally in dividends from funds legally available when, and if, declared by the board of directors.

We issued no unregistered securities during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004 and did not repurchase any shares of our common stock during the fourth quarter of 2004.

Stock Warrants

Stock Warrants 28

In connection with the formation of the bank, each of our organizers received, for no additional consideration, a warrant to purchase one share of common stock for \$10.00 per share for each share purchased during the initial public offering of our wholly owned subsidiary, The Bank of Georgia. Following the 5-for-4 stock splits declared by our board of directors on August 16, 2001, September 19, 2002, and June 19, 2003, the exercise price of these warrants has been adjusted to \$5.12 per share. The warrants are represented by separate warrant agreements. One-third of the warrants vested on each of the first three anniversaries of the date of our bank s incorporation, and they are exercisable in whole or in part during the ten year period ending on February 18, 2010. The warrants may not be assigned, transferred, pledged, or hypothecated in any way. The shares issued pursuant to the exercise of such warrants are transferable, subject to compliance with applicable securities laws. If the Georgia Department of Banking and Finance or the FDIC issues a capital directive or other order requiring the bank to obtain additional capital, the warrants will be forfeited, if not immediately exercised.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

Selected Financial Data

				Year Ended December 31,					
	2004			2003 2002				2001	
				thousands, exce	pt per sha	re data)			
For the Year:									
Total Interest Income	\$	13,508	\$	11,198	\$	9,568	\$	7,776	
Total Interest Expense		4,243		4,546		4,837		4,682	
Net Interest Income		9,265		6,652		4,731		3,094	
Provision for Loan Losses		585		266		885		660	
Net Interest Income After Provision for Loan Losses		8,680		6,386		3,846		2,434	
Total Other Income		682		386		417		229	
Total Other Expense		6,627		4,719		3,445		2,359	
Income Before Income Taxes		2,735		2,053		818		304	
Income Taxes		889		730		227		34	
Net Income		1,846		1,323		592		270	
Per Share Data:									
Net Income		0.63		0.45		0.22		0.13	
Diluted Net Income		0.55		0.36		0.17		0.10	
Cash Dividends Declared		0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00	
Book Value		7.10		6.56		6.25		5.22	
Tangible Book Value		7.10		6.56		6.25		5.22	
At Year End:									
Total Loans		192,295		149,595		127,639		82,567	
Earning Assets		231,921		190,535		161,998		113,662	
Assets		249,550		205,092		171,619		118,750	
Total Deposits		212,258		181,968		142,559		106,268	
Shareholders Equity		21,106		19,263		18,266		10,850	
Common Shares Outstanding		2,973		2,936		2,922		2,080	
Average Balances:									
Total Loans		169,397		138,068		108,146		67,343	
Earning Assets		213,992		176,409		140,772		93,533	
Assets		229,382		185,984		148,461		98,709	
Total Deposits		203,074		162,932		126,217		84,080	
Shareholders Equity		19,927		18,798		16,119		2,093	
Weighted Average Shares Outstanding		2,941		2,926		2,699		2,080	
Key Performance Ratios:		<i>)-</i>		,		,		,	
Return on Average Assets		0.80%		0.71%		0.40%		0.27%	
Return on Average Equity		9.26%		7.04%		3.67%		12.90%	
Net Interest Margin		4.33%		3.77%		3.36%		3.31%	
Average Equity to Average Assets		8.69%		10.11%		10.86%		2.12%	
Average Loans to Average Deposits		83.42%		84.74%		85.68%		80.09%	
Overhead (Efficiency) Ratio		66.62%		67.05%		66.91%		70.99%	

Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operation

Overview

Georgia Bancshares, Inc. is a Georgia corporation formed on December 21, 2000 to serve as the holding company for The Bank of Georgia, a Georgia state chartered bank incorporated on September 9, 1999. We commenced operations as The Bank of Georgia on February 18, 2000. On April 19, 2001, shareholders of The Bank of Georgia approved the reorganization of the bank into a holding company structure. Upon consummation of the reorganization on May 18, 2001, shares of the bank s common stock were exchanged for shares of the holding company s common stock. On July 9, 2001, we expanded our operations by opening our first branch in Newnan, Georgia. In November 2002, we opened an office at 100 Westpark Drive in Peachtree City, Georgia. On January 3, 2003 we designated that office as our corporate main office. Our former main office at 2008 Highway 54 West, Fayetteville remains open as a branch office. In June 2003 a new branch was opened within the Kroger Towne Center Store in Fayetteville, and our new full-service branch was opened in Tyrone, Georgia on August 26, 2003. In 2004 we opened a new branch on Highway 154 in Sharpsburg in April and a new full-service branch was opened on Highway 74 in Fairburn in November.

We conduct a community-oriented commercial and retail banking business focused on serving the banking needs of individuals and small-to medium-sized businesses. From the outset we have grown rapidly, and this trend continued during 2004, as we increased from \$205.1 million in total assets at December 31, 2003 to \$249.6 million in total assets at December 31, 2004. We continue to operate profitably. Profits increased from \$1,323,047 for fiscal year 2003 to \$1,846,128 for fiscal year 2004.

The following table sets forth selected measures of our financial performance for the periods indicated:

		Georgia Bancshares, Inc. Year Ended December 30, 2004 (Audited)		Georgia Bancshares, Inc. Year Ended December 31, 2003 (Audited)
Income Statement				
Total Revenues (1)	\$	14,190,618	\$	11,583,605
Net Income	\$	1,846,128	\$	1,323,047
		Georgia Bancshares, Inc. at December 31, 2004 (Audited)		Georgia Bancshares, Inc. at December 31, 2003 (Audited)
Balance Sheet		at December 31, 2004		at December 31, 2003
Balance Sheet Total Assets	\$	at December 31, 2004	\$	at December 31, 2003
	\$ \$	at December 31, 2004 (Audited)		at December 31, 2003 (Audited)

⁽¹⁾ Total revenue equals total interest income plus total non-interest income.

⁽²⁾ Total loans reported net of loan loss reserve and unearned income.

In summary, management is well pleased with the results for 2004 and the bank s financial position as of December 31, 2004. Despite the lowest interest rate environment in recent history, the bank experienced a 39.3% increase in net interest income in 2004 when compared to 2003. The net interest margin increased from 3.77% to 4.33% during this period. Our goal is to achieve and maintain a net interest margin in excess of 4.00%. We are pleased that we were able to achieve this goal during 2004. The increase in the volume of loans outstanding during 2004 has positively impacted our increase in net interest income, but the lowering of the cost of our interest-bearing liabilities has also made a significant contribution. This has been influenced by our strategy to continue to serve our designated markets with seasoned loan originators and develop a strong branch network to service our new and existing customers. Beginning with our single location in Fayetteville in 2000, we have added a branch in Newnan in 2001, a new location in Peachtree City in 2002, and two locations (Fayetteville Towne Center and Tyrone) in 2003. Two additional branches were opened during 2004 (Sharpsburg and Fairburn). We have seen positive results from the expansion of our

branch network during 2004. Total deposits increased to \$212,258,018 at December 31, 2004 from \$181,967,776 at December 31, 2003. Of this \$30,290,242 increase, \$22,049,488 was in non-interest-bearing and interest-bearing transaction accounts. We believe that the sustained additions of quality loans and lower cost deposits will positively impact our results in coming years.

The following discussion describes our results of operations for 2004 as compared to 2003 and to 2002, and also analyzes our financial condition as of December 31, 2004 as compared to December 31, 2003. Like most community banks, we derive most of our income from interest we receive on our loans and investments. Our primary source of funds for making these loans and investments is our deposits, on which we pay interest. Consequently, one of the key measures of our success is our amount of net interest income, or the difference between the incomes on our interest-earning assets, such as loans and investments, and the expense on our interest-bearing liabilities, such as deposits. Another key measure is the spread between the yield we earn on these interest-earning assets and the rate we pay on our interest-bearing liabilities.

We have included a number of tables to assist in our description of these measures. For example, the Average Consolidated Balance Sheets and Net Interest Analysis table shows the average balance during 2004, 2003, and 2002 of each category of our assets and liabilities, as well as the yield we earned or the rate we paid with respect to each category. A review of this table shows that our loans typically provide higher interest yields than do other types of interest earning assets, which is why we intend to channel a substantial percentage of our earning assets into our loan portfolio. Similarly, the Changes in Interest Income and Expense table helps demonstrate the impact of changing interest rates and changing volume of assets and liabilities during the years shown. We also track the sensitivity of our various categories of assets and liabilities to changes in interest rates, and we have included a Interest Rate Sensitivity to help explain this. Finally, we have included a number of tables that provide detail about our investment securities, or loans and our deposits and other borrowings.

Of course, there are risks inherent in all loans, so we maintain an allowance for loan losses to absorb probable losses on existing loans that may become uncollectible. We establish and maintain this allowance by charging a provision for loan losses against our operating earnings. In the following section we have included a detailed discussion of this process, as well as several tables describing our allowance for loan losses and the allocation of this allowance among our various categories of loans.

In addition to earning interest on our loans and investments, we earn income through fees and other expenses we charge to our customers. We describe various components of this non-interest income, as well as our non-interest expense, in the following discussion.

The following discussion and analysis also identifies significant factors that have affected our financial position and operating results during the periods included in the accompanying financial statements. We encourage you to read this discussion and analysis in conjunction with the financial statements and the related notes and other statistical information also included in this report. Analysis of the results should be made with an understanding of our relatively short history.

Critical Accounting Policies

The accounting and financial reporting policies of Georgia Bancshares, Inc. and its subsidiary, The Bank of Georgia, conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and to general practices within the financial services industry. The only accounting policy that we have deemed critical relates to the provision and allowance for loan losses as discussed in detail on page 26 herein. In determining which accounting policies are critical in nature, we have identified the policy that requires significant judgment or complex estimates. The application of this policy has a significant impact on our financial statements. Our financial results could differ significantly if different judgments or estimates are applied in the application of this policy.

Analysis of the Fiscal	Years Ended December	31, 2004, December	r 31, 2003, and Dece	mber 31, 2002
------------------------	----------------------	--------------------	----------------------	---------------

Results of Operations

During 2004, total assets increased \$44.5 million, or 21.7%, to \$249.6 million when compared to December 31, 2003. The primary reason for this increase in assets were increases in loans of \$42.2 million. Total deposits increased \$30.3 million, or 16.6%, from the December 31, 2003 amount of \$182.0 million. Shareholders equity was \$21.2 million at December 31, 2004.

At December 31, 2003, total assets were \$205.1 million. Net loans totaled \$147.5 million, total deposits were \$182.0 million and shareholders equity equaled \$19.3 million. Net interest income, after a provision for loan losses of \$266,000, was \$6.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2003.

At December 31, 2002, we had total assets of \$171.6 million. Loans net of loan loss reserve and unearned income totaled \$125.7million. After deductions for interest expense and provision for loan losses, our net interest income was \$3.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2002. Total deposits were \$142.6 million at December 31, 2002. As of December 31, 2002, shareholders equity was \$18.3 million.

Net Income. For the years ended December 31, 2004, December 31, 2003, and December 31, 2002, our net income was \$1,846,128, \$1,323,047 and \$591,933 respectively.

Net Interest Income. Our primary source of revenue is net interest income, which is the difference between the income on interest-earning assets and expense on interest-bearing liabilities. Our net interest income totaled \$9.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2004 as compared to \$6.7 million during the same period of 2003. The comparative net interest income for 2002 was \$4.7 million. The net interest margin was 4.33% for the year ended December 31, 2004, 3.77% for the same period of 2003, and 3.36% for the year ended December 31, 2002. Average earning assets were \$214.0 million during 2004 as compared to \$176.4 million during 2003 and \$140.8 million during 2002.

Average Balances, Income and Expenses and Rates. The following tables set forth, for the periods indicated, information related to our average balance sheet and average yields on assets and average rates paid on liabilities. We derived these yields or rates by dividing income or expense by the average balance of the corresponding assets or liabilities. We derived average balances from the daily balances throughout the periods indicated.

TABLE 1

Average Consolidated Balance Sheets and Net Interest Analysis
(in thousands)

				2004	For the Years ended December 31, 2003 2002					2002					
		Average Balance		Interest	Yield/ Rate		Average Balance		Interest	Yield/ Rate		Average Balance	I	Interest	Yield/ Rate
Assets:															
Interest earning assets:															
Investments: Federal funds sold and															
interest-bearing deposits	\$	8,865	\$	136	1.53%	\$	4,816	\$		1.08%	\$	3,369	\$	59	1.75%
Taxable		26,912		928	3.45%		27,474		965	3.51%		26,167		1,313	5.02%
Tax exempt		8,819		338	3.83%		6,070		226	3.72%		3,931		157	3.99%
Total Investments		44,596		1,402	3.14%		38,360		1,243	3.24%		33,467		1,529	4.57%
Loans (including loan fees)		169,396		12,106	7.15%		136,066		9,955	7.32%		106,726		8,039	7.53%
Total interest earning assets		213,992		13,508	6.31%		174,426		11,198	6.42%		140,193		9,568	6.82%
Other non-interest earning															
assets		15,390					11,557					8,268			
Total assets	\$	229,382				\$	185,983				\$	148,461			
Liabilities and shareholders															
equity:															
Interest-bearing liabilities:															
Deposits:															
Demand	\$	36,590		420	1.15%	\$	20,257		226	1.12%	\$	16,000		243	1.52%
Savings		2,821		21	0.74%		1,462		13	0.89%		774		10	1.29%
Time		136,968		3,656	2.67%		127,127		4,262	3.35%		100,330		4,488	4.47%
FHLB advances & other															
borrowings		5,158		146	2.83%		2,993		45	1.50%		4,959		96	1.94%
Total interest-bearing															
liabilities		181,537		4,243	2.34%		151,839		4,546	2.99%		122,063		4,837	3.96%
Non-interest bearing															
deposits		26,698					14,086					9,113			
Other liabilities		1,220					1,260					1,166			
Shareholders equity		19,927					18,798					16,119			
Total liabilities and		. ,.					-,					-, -			
shareholders equity	\$	229,382				\$	185,983				\$	148,461			
Excess of interest-bearing															
assets over interest-bearing															
liabilities	\$	32,455				\$	22,587				\$	18,130			
naomites	Ψ	32,433				Ψ	22,307				Ψ	10,130			
Ratio of interest-earning															
assets to interest-bearing		117.000	,				114.000	,				114050			
liabilities		117.88%	Ó				114.88%	9				114.85%			
Net interest income			\$	9,265				\$	6,652				\$	4,731	
Net interest spread					3.98%					3.43%					2.86%
rici iniciesi spicau					3.90%					3.43%					2.00%
Net interest margin					4.33%					3.77%					3.36%

Analysis of Changes in Net Interest Income. Net interest can also be analyzed in terms of the impact of changing rates and changing volume. The following table describes the extent to which changes in interest rates and changes in volume of earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities have affected our interest income and interest expenses during the periods indicated. Information on changes in each category attributable to (i) changes due to volume (change in volume multiplied by prior period rate); and (ii) changes due to rates (changes in rates multiplied by prior period volume) is provided in the table below. No recognition of exemption from federal, state, or local taxation has been computed. Although an overall reduction in interest rates has had a significant impact on net interest income, our rapid growth in a strong local economic environment has been a more important factor and has resulted in an increase in net interest income of \$2,613,110 for the year ended December 31, 2004 over the year ended December 31, 2003. The change in net interest income has been affected primarily by increases in volume of both loans and deposits rather than changes in average rates.

TABLE 2
Changes in Interest Income and Expense

(in thousands)

	Increase (decrease) due to changes in:											
			2004	4 over 2003					2003	3 over 2002		
						Net		Volume				Net
	V	olume	Rate			Change	Change		Rate			Change
Interest income on:												
Federal funds sold and												
interest-bearing deposits	\$	44	\$	40	\$	84	\$	25	\$	(32)	\$	(7)
Taxable investments		(20)		(17)		(37)		66		(414)		(348)
Non-taxable investments		102		10		112		85		(16)		69
Loans (including loan fees)		2,439		(288)		2,151		2,210		(294)		1,916
Total Interest Income		2,565		(255)		2,310		2,386		(756)		1,630
Interest expense on:												
Deposits:												
Demand		182		12		194		65		(82)		(17)
Savings		12		(4)		8		9		(6)		3
Time		330		(936)		(606)		1,199		(1,425)		(226)
FHLB advances & other												
borrowings		33		68		101		(38)		(13)		(51)
Total Interest Expense		557		(860)		(303)		1,234		(1,525)		(291)
•												
Increase in net interest income	\$	2,008	\$	605	\$	2,613	\$	1,152	\$	769	\$	1,921

20

Other Income and Expense

Other Income. Other income was \$682,168 for the year ended December 31, 2004; \$385,526 for the year ended December 31, 2003 and \$416,833 for the year ended December 31, 2002. Service fees on deposit accounts, the largest component of non-interest income, have increased steadily from \$168,293 in 2002, to \$222,145 in 2003 and to \$310,987 in 2004. The growth in service charges and portions of other operating income is attributable to the growth in the number of deposit accounts serviced by The Bank of Georgia and an increase in fee-related transactions by bank customers. The most significant impact to the non-interest income portion of the Company s earnings was the establishment of a mortgage division within the Bank in July of 2004. During the last six months of 2004, the Mortgage Division generated mortgage-origination fees of \$245,338. This amount is included in the Other operating income figure in the Consolidated Statements of Earnings. A loss \$12,128 was realized during 2004 from the sale of certain repossessed assets, whereas a gain of \$56,001 was realized from the sale of other investments in 2002. The sale of available-for-sale investment securities produced an aggregate loss of \$50,808 in 2004 and gains of \$85,697 and \$124,139, respectively, in 2003 and 2002.

Other Expense. Total other expense was \$6.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2004; \$4.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2003 and \$3.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2002. This increase was primarily due to the continued growth we realized in 2004 and the cost associated with our branch building program. Salary and employee benefits expense totaled \$4.0 million in 2004, an increase of 52.3% over the \$2.6 million recorded in 2003 and compared to the \$2.0 million incurred in 2002. The increase in salary and employee benefits expense is due to hiring additional staff that was necessitated by internal growth, and salary increases granted during the normal course of business. As a point of reference, the number of full time employees has increased from 22 at the beginning of 2002 to 64 at the end of 2004. Occupancy and equipment expense, data processing expense and other operating expenses increased each year as the number of full-service branches have also increased each year. Depreciation expense was \$380,672 in 2004, \$297,720 in 2003 and \$155,393 in 2002. Data processing expense increased to \$598,206 in 2004 from \$454,844 in 2003 and from \$324,563 during 2002. Other operating expenses were \$1,294,490 in 2004, \$1,079,005 in 2003 and \$800,704 in 2002.

Analysis of Financial Condition

At December 31, 2004, total consolidated assets were \$249.6 million. Our primary assets were net loans of \$189.6 million and securities available-for-sale of \$35.0 million. Our liabilities at December 31, 2004 were \$228.4 million, consisting primarily of deposits of \$212.3 million and junior subordinated debentures of \$6.7 million. At December 31, 2004, total shareholders equity totaled \$21.1 million.

At December 31, 2003, total consolidated assets were \$205.1 million. Our primary assets were net loans of \$147.5 million, securities available-for-sale of \$34.1 million, and cash and deposits due from correspondent banks of \$8.5 million. Our liabilities at December 31, 2003 were \$185.9 million, consisting primarily of deposits of \$182.0 million and Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta advances of \$2 million. At December 31, 2003, total shareholders equity totaled \$19.3 million.

At December 31, 2002, total consolidated assets were \$171.6 million. Our primary assets were net loans of \$125.8 million and securities available-for-sale of \$34.8 million. Our liabilities at December 31, 2002 were \$153.4 million, consisting primarily of deposits of \$142.6 million. At December 31, 2002, total shareholders equity totaled \$18.3 million.

Investments

Investments 41

Our available-for-sale investment portfolio of \$35.0 million as December 31, 2004 consisted primarily of debt securities, which provide the Bank with a source of liquidity, a stable source of income and serve as a vehicle to implement asset and liability management strategies. This represented an increase of \$0.9 million (2.6%) over the \$34.1 million held at December 31, 2003. The Company believes the investment portfolio provides a balance to interest rate and credit risk in other categories of the balance sheet while providing a vehicle for the investment of available funds and supplying securities to pledge as required collateral for certain public deposits. Investment securities in the available-for sale category are stated at fair value. These securities may be sold, retained until maturity, or pledged as collateral for liquidity and borrowing in response to changing interest rates, changes in prepayment risk and other factors as part of the Company s overall asset liability management strategy.

TABLE 3

Investment Portfolio

(in thousands)

Available-for-Sale	2004	2003	2002
United States agencies	\$ 8,258 \$	4,432 \$	6,072
State, county and municipal	9,569	8,818	5,002
Mortgage-backed securities	16,713	20,648	23,735
Corporate bonds	249	250	0
Trust Preferred Securities	250	0	0
Total available-for-sale	\$ 35,039 \$	34,148 \$	34,809

The following table presents the expected maturity of the amortized cost of securities by maturity date and average yields based on amortized cost at December 31, 2004. The composition and maturity/re-pricing distribution of the securities portfolio is subject to change depending on rate sensitivity, capital and liquidity needs.

TABLE 4

Expected Maturity of Securities

 $(in\ thousands)$

Maturities at December 31, 2004	United States Agencies	Wtd. Avg. Yld.	 State, ounty.and Iunicipal	Wtd. Avg. Yld.	В	ortgage- Backed ecurities	Wtd. Avg. Yld.	C	Corporate Bonds	Wtd. Avg. Yld.	Trust referred ecurities	Wtd. Avg. Yld.
Within 1 year	\$ 7,008	3.15%	\$ 0	0.00%	\$	0	0.00%	\$	0	0.00%	\$ 0	0.00%
After 1 thru 5 years	500	3.33%	0	0.00%		1,744	4.12%		0	0.00%	0	0.00%
After 5 thru 10 years	0	0.00%	929	3.91%		6,438	4.41%		0	0.00%	0	0.00%
After 10 years	780	5.93%	8,497	4.05%		8,599	4.27%		250	6.25%	250	4.75%
Totals	\$ 8,288	4.14%	\$ 9,426	4.05%	\$	16,781	4.27%	\$	250	6.25%	\$ 250	4.75%
Fair value	\$ 8,258		\$ 9,570		\$	16,713		\$	249		\$ 250	

Mortgage-backed securities are included in the maturities categories in which they are anticipated to be repaid based on scheduled maturities. The actual cash flow of mortgage-backed securities differs with this assumption. Additionally, some agency securities in the portfolio have call features. The above analysis assumes that callable agencies will mature on their final maturity date. The actual cash flow of agency securities may differ from this assumption.

Loans

Loans are our largest category of earning assets and typically provide higher yields than our other types of earning assets. At December 31, 2004, net loans (gross loans less the allowance for loan losses and unearned fees) totaled \$189.6 million, or 82.0% of earning assets, which totaled \$231.2 million. Earning assets consisted of loans of \$189.6 million, or 82.0%, investment securities available-for-sale of \$35.0 million, or 15.1%, interest bearing deposits with other banks totaling \$5.1 million, or 2.2%, and other investments (consisting of stock in Community Financial Services, Inc. (the holding company for The Bankers Bank), Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta stock and stock in two community banks) of \$1.5 million, or .6%. Average gross loans totaled \$169.4 million during 2004 with a yield of 7.15%.

At December 31, 2003, net loans totaled \$147.5 million, or 77.7% of earning assets, which totaled \$189.9 million. Earning assets consisted of loans of \$147.5 million, or 77.7%, investment securities available-for-sale of \$34.1 million, or 18.0%, interest bearing deposits with other banks and Federal Funds sold of \$6.9 million, or 3.6%, and other investments (consisting of stock in Community Financial Services, Inc. and Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta stock) of \$1.4 million or, .7%. Average gross loans totaled \$136.1 million during 2003 with a yield of 7.32%.

At December 31, 2002, net loans totaled \$125.8 million, or 77.8% of earning assets, which totaled \$161.8 million. Earning assets consisted of loans of \$125.8 million, investment securities available-for-sale of \$34.8 million, or 21.5%, interest bearing deposits with other banks of \$.5 million, or .3%, and other investments (described above) of \$.7 million or 0.4%. Average gross loans totaled \$106.7 million during 2002 with a yield of 7.53%.

Associated with loan yields are inherent credit and liquidity risks, which we attempt to control and counterbalance. The interest rates we charge on loans vary with the degree of risk and the maturity and amount of the loan. Competitive pressures, money market rates, availability of funds, and government regulations also influence interest rates.

Real estate mortgage loans and construction and development loans constitute the principal components of our loan portfolio. For the year ended December 31, 2004, gross loans totaled \$192.5 million with residential and non-residential/non-farm mortgage loans totaling \$63.6 million and representing 33.0% of our portfolio, construction and development loans totaling \$106.5 million and representing 55.3% of our portfolio, and non-real estate loans totaling \$22.4 million and accounting for 11.7% of our loan portfolio.

For the year ended December 31, 2003, gross loans totaled \$149.8 million with residential and non-residential/non-farm mortgage loans totaling \$52.5 million and representing 35.0% of our portfolio, construction and development loans totaling \$81.1 million and representing 54.2% of our portfolio, and non-real estate loans totaling \$16.2 million and accounting for 10.8% of our loan portfolio.

For the year ended December 31, 2002, gross loans totaled \$127.8 million with residential and non-residential /non-farm mortgages loans totaling \$47.3 million and representing 37.0% of our portfolio, construction and development loans totaling \$69.0 million and representing 54.0% of our portfolio, and non-real estate loans totaling \$11.5 million and accounting for 9.0% of our loan portfolio.

In the context of this discussion, a real estate mortgage loan is defined as any loan, other than loans for construction purposes, secured by real estate, regardless of the purpose of the loan. Most of our real estate loans are secured by residential or commercial property. We do not generally make traditional long term residential mortgages, but we do make traditional second mortgage residential real estate loans and home

equity lines of credit. We follow the common practice of financial institutions in our area of obtaining a security interest in real estate whenever possible, in addition to any other available collateral. This collateral is taken to reinforce the likelihood of the ultimate repayment of the loan and tends to increase the magnitude of the real estate loan portfolio component. Generally, we limit our loan-to-value ratio to 80%. Due to the short time our portfolio has existed, the current mix may not be indicative of the ongoing portfolio mix. We attempt to maintain a relatively diversified loan portfolio to help reduce the risk inherent in concentrations of collateral.

We have been able to rapidly grow our loan portfolio since we commenced operations by refinancing loans with borrowers from other financial institutions and by originating new loans from individuals and businesses in the community. Our directors and officers have utilized banking relationships established during their previous employment with other financial institutions in our market area to generate loan business. A majority of our initial loans consisted of refinancing of seasoned credit or the continuation of business from borrowers who had preexisting banking relationships with our directors and officers. Our loan growth resulting from refinancing of seasoned credit has slowed as our business has continued to mature. In 2003 and 2004, we added additional seasoned loan personnel to augment our loan origination efforts and in the future we believe that most of our loans will originate from new business from existing and new customers. We also continue to seek new ways to tap into new markets both from new product lines in our current markets and from new geographic areas.

The following table shows the composition of our loan portfolio by category at December 31, 2004, December 31, 2003, December 31, 2002, December 31, 2001 and December 31, 2000.

TABLE 5

Loan Portfolio

(in thousands)

	2004	2003	D	ecember 31, 2002	2001	2000
Commercial, financial & agricultural	\$ 15,350	\$ 11,705	\$	8,841	\$ 6,601	\$ 6,720
Real estate - construction	106,540	81,133		69,000	44,197	5,972
Real estate - mortgage	63,553	52,465		47,262	29,767	32,712
Consumer loans	7,067	4,458		2,661	2,113	1,228
	192,510	149,761		127,764	82,678	46,632
Less: Unearned interest and fees	(215)	(166)		(125)	(112)	(108)
Allowance for loan losses	(2,654)	(2,132)		(1,889)	(1,114)	(455)
Loans, net	\$ 189,641	\$ 147,463	\$	125,750	\$ 81,452	\$ 46,069

The following table sets forth the maturity distribution and interest rate sensitivity, for selected components of our loan portfolio as of December 31, 2004. The information in this table is based on the contractual maturities of the individual loans, including loans, which may be subject to renewal at their contractual maturity. Renewal of these loans is subject to review and credit approval, as well as modification of terms upon their maturity. Actual repayments of loans may differ from maturities reflected above because borrowers may have the right to prepay obligations with or without prepayment penalties.

TABLE 6

Loan Portfolio Maturity

Real estate - construction

Real estate - mortgage

Consumer

Totals

(in thousands)

	One Year or Less	Wtd. Avg. Yld.		Over One to Five Years	Wtd. Avg. Yld.		Over Five Years	Wtd. Avg. Yld.	Total	Wtd. Avg. Yld.
Commercial, financial & agricultural	\$ 10,497	6.70%	\$	5,042	6.86%	\$	472	6.14%	\$ 16,011	6.73%
Real estate - construction	93,646	6.24%		12,635	6.58%		259	5.32%	106,540	6.28%
Real estate - mortgage	14,935	6.29%		38,697	6.99%		9,922	6.69%	63,554	6.77%
Consumer	3,380	7.62%		3,025	7.53%		0	0.00%	6,405	7.58%
Totals	\$ 122,458	6.32%	\$	59,399	6.92%	\$	10,653	6.63%	\$ 192,510	6.52%
Variable / Fixed Rate Mix										
			Variable Interest Rates		Wtd. Avg. Yld.				Fixed Interest Rates	Wtd. Avg. Yld.
Commercial, financial & agricultural			\$	2,668	7.34%				\$ 13,343	6.66%

25

29,766

8,343

40,777

6.26%

5.86%

0.00%

6.25%

76,774

55,211

6,405

\$ 151,733

6.24%

7.25%

7.58%

6.70%

Provision and Allowance for Loan Losses. We have established an allowance for loan losses through a provision for loan losses charged to expense. The allowance represents an amount which we believe will be adequate to absorb probable losses on existing loans that may become uncollectible. Our judgment in determining the adequacy of the allowance is based on evaluations of the collectibility of loans, including consideration of such factors as the balance of impaired loans, changes in the nature and volume of the loan portfolio, current economic conditions that may affect the borrower s ability to pay, overall portfolio quality, and a review of specific problem loans. On a quarterly basis, we perform an analysis of the adequacy of the loan loss allowance. In addition, we obtain an independent external loan review on a quarterly basis. Both the internal and external review use an eight point grade system to rate the loan portfolio. The eight categories we use are 1-Excellent, 2-Superior, 3-Average, 4-Below average, 5-Watch, 6-Substandard, 7-Doubtful, 8-Loss. Each category of the allowance carries a specific allocation ranging from 1% of the loan balance to 100%. For example, a loan rated substandard will have a 15% allowance allocation. We adjust the amount of the allowance periodically based on changing circumstances and the internal and external reviews. Moreover, each loan is assigned a rating at origination and then reviewed annually by the loan officer. A majority of the loans are also reviewed quarterly during the independent external review. Except for the consumer portfolio, which is rated as a group, loans are rated individually. On a monthly basis, we also monitor concentrations of credit, particularly in the areas of acquisition and development and construction lending.

Based on present information and ongoing evaluation, we consider the allowance for loan losses to be adequate to meet presently known and inherent risks in the loan portfolio. Our judgment as to the adequacy of the allowance is based upon a number of assumptions about future events that we believe to be reasonable, but which may or may not prove to be accurate. Our losses will undoubtedly vary from our estimates, and there is a possibility that charge-offs in future periods will exceed the allowance for loan losses as estimated at any point in time. Thus, there can be no assurance that charge-offs in future periods will not exceed the allowance for loan losses or that additional increases in the allowance for loan losses will not be required.

Recognized losses are charged to the allowance for loan losses, while subsequent recoveries are added to the allowance. A loan is impaired when it is probable that we will be unable to collect all principal and interest payments due in accordance with the terms of the loan agreement. Individually identified impaired loans are measured based on the present value of expected payments, using the contractual loan rate as the discount rate. Alternatively, measurement may be based on observable market prices, or, for loans that are solely dependent on the collateral for repayment, the fair value of the collateral. If the recorded investment in the impaired loan exceeds the measure of fair value, a valuation allowance is established as a component of the allowance for loan losses. Changes to the valuation allowance are recorded as a component of the provision for loan losses.

In addition, regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review our allowance for loan losses, and they may require us to record additions to the allowance based on their review of information available to them at the time of their examinations.

We calculate the allowance for loan losses based on our gross loans. Banks generally use their historical loss ratios as a benchmark for maintaining an adequate loan loss reserve. Because our bank did not have a historical loss ratio when we opened in February 2000, we initially established an allowance of one percent. Since that time we have periodically increased the allowance, based upon our evaluation of the factors previously discussed and our analysis of local and national economic trends. We also believe that the size of our loan portfolio is a component of overall risk. At December 31, 2004, our allowance for loan losses was \$2,654,334, or 1.38% of outstanding loans, compared to an allowance for loan losses of \$2,131,752, or 1.43% of outstanding loans, at December 31, 2003. The allowance for loan losses at December 31, 2002 was \$1,889,306 or 1.48% of outstanding loans, at December 31, 2001 was \$1,114,411, or 1.35% of outstanding loans, and at December 31, 2000 (the initial year of our operations as a commercial bank) the allowance totaled \$455,000, or .98% of outstanding loans. We will continue to monitor and evaluate the risk in our loan portfolio throughout the year and we will adjust our philosophy and allowance targets if needed.

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to our allowance for loan losses and the composition of charge-offs and recoveries for the years ended December 31, 2000, December 31, 2001, December 31, 2002, December 31, 2003 and December 31, 2004. It should be noted that the information as of December 31, 2000 is for The Bank of Georgia, as Georgia Bancshares, Inc. did not become the holding company for the Bank until May 18, 2001.

TABLE 7

Allowance for Loan Losses

(in thousands)

		2004		2003	De	ecember 31, 2002		2001	2000
Balance at beginning of year	\$	2,132	\$	1,889	\$	1,114	\$	455 \$	0
Charge-offs:									
Commercial, financial and									
agricultural		40		17		56			
Real estate - construction						2			
Real estate - mortgage						25			
Consumer loans		44		10		28		1	
Total charge-offs		84		27		111		1	0
Recoveries:									
Commercial, financial and									
agricultural		20		1					
Real estate - construction				2					
Real estate - mortgage									
Consumer loans		1		1		1			
Total recoveries		21		4		1		0	0
Net charge-offs		(63)		(23)		(110)		(1)	0
		, í		, ,		, ,		` ,	
Provision for loan losses		585		266		885		660	455
Balance at end of year	\$	2,654	\$	2,132	\$	1.889	\$	1,114 \$	455
, and the second second		,	•	, -	·	,	•	,	
Ratio of net charge-offs during the	e								
period to average loans									
outstanding		0.04%		0.02%		0.10%		0.00%	0.00%
o un		0.0176		0.0270		0.1070		0.0070	0.0076
Ratio of allowance to average									
total loans		1.55%		1.54%		1.75%		1.65%	2.33%
total found		1.55 /0		1.5 170		1.7370		1.03 /0	2.33 70
Ratio of allowance to loans at									
year-end		1.38%		1.43%		1.48%		1.35%	0.98%
year-end		1.50 /0		1.73/0		1.70 /0		1.55 /0	0.98 /0
Average loans outstanding	\$	171,730	\$	138,068	\$	108,146	\$	67,343 \$	19,550
Tiverage roans outstanding	Ψ	171,730	ψ	130,000	Ψ	100,140	φ	01,5 4 5 \$	17,330
Gross loans outstanding at end of									
period	\$	192,295	\$	149,595	\$	127.639	\$	82,557 \$	46,524
period	Ф	192,293	Ф	149,393	Ф	127,039	Ф	02,331	40,324

We discontinue accrual of interest on a loan when we conclude it is doubtful that we will be able to collect interest from the borrower. We reach this conclusion by taking into account factors such as the borrower's financial condition, economic and business conditions, and the results of our previous collection efforts. Generally, we will place a delinquent loan in non-accrual status when the loan becomes 90 days or more past due. When we place a loan in non-accrual status, we will reverse all interest which has been accrued on the loan but remains unpaid, and we will deduct this interest from earnings as a reduction of reported interest income. We will not accrue any additional interest on the loan balance until we conclude the collection of both principal and interest is reasonably certain. The recorded investment in loans on non-accrual was \$154,381 at December 31, 2004, \$100,680 at December 31, 2003 and \$13,857 at December 31, 2002. During the years 2000 and 2001 and at December 31, 2000 and December 31, 2001, there was no recorded investment in impaired loans. At December 31, 2004, December 31, 2003, December 31, 2002, December 31, 2001, and December 31, 2000, there were no loans which were 90 days or more past due and still accruing interest, and we did not have any restructured loans.

We do not include loans that are current as to principal and interest in our non-performing assets categories. However, we will still classify a current loan as a potential problem loan if we develop serious doubts about the borrower's future performance under the terms of the loan contract. We consider the level of potential problem loans in our determination of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses. At December 31, 2000 and at December 31, 2001, we did not have any loans we considered to be potential problem loans. At December 31, 2002, we had loans with balances totaling \$2,168,275 and at December 31, 2003, we had loans with balances of \$2,159,382 which were considered to be potential problem loans. At December 31, 2004, this amount totaled \$4,615,607. These loans were current as to collection of principal and interest as of December 31, 2004.

TABLE 8
Non-Performing Assets

(in thousands)

	2004	2003	De	ecember 31, 2002	2001	2000
Other real estate and		0			۰	
repossessions	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$	0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Non-accrual loans	154	101		14	0	0
Loans 90 days past due still accruing	0	0		0	0	0
Total Non-performing assets	\$ 154	\$ 101	\$	4	\$ 0	\$ 0
Non-performing assets as% of total loans	0.08%	0.07%		0.01%	0.00%	0.00%
Average loans outstanding	\$ 171,730	\$ 138,068	\$	108,146	\$ 67,343	\$ 19,550
Gross loans outstanding at end of period	\$ 192,295	\$ 149,595	\$	127,639	\$ 82,557	\$ 46,524

28

Deposits

Time deposits of \$100,000 and greater totaled \$78.2 million at December 31, 2004 compared with \$62.7 million at year-end 2003 and \$55.9 million at year-end 2002. The following table sets forth the selected maturities of time deposits of \$100,000 and greater at December 31, 2004.

TABLE 9

Deposits - \$100,000 and greater

(in thousands)

Within 3 months	\$ 35,700
After 3 through 6 months	5,210
After 6 through 12 months	10,698
After 12 months	26,636
Total	\$ 78,244

Capital

Total shareholders equity increased from \$19.3 million at December 31, 2003 to \$21.1 million at December 31, 2004. This increase is primarily attributable to net income of \$1,846,128, an increase in net unrealized gains on securities available-for-sale amounting to \$85,072, the exercise of director stock warrants of \$166,666, the exercise of employee stock options of \$33,982; all offsetting a reduction of \$288,480 resulting from the settlement of a stock purchase obligation in 2004.

We are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by our federal bank regulators. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on our financial statements. Under these capital guidelines, we must maintain a minimum total risk-based capital ratio of 8%, with at least 4% being Tier 1 capital. Our Tier 1 capital consists of common shareholders—equity, although Tier 1 capital could also include other components, such as qualifying perpetual preferred stock and minority interests in equity accounts of consolidated subsidiaries. We are also required to maintain a minimum Tier 1 leverage ratio (Tier 1 capital to total average assets) of at least 4%. To be considered—well-capitalized, we must maintain total risk-based capital of at least 10%, Tier 1 capital of at least 6%, and a leverage ratio of at least 5%.

We were considered to be well capitalized for regulatory purposes at December 31, 2003, as our Tier 1 capital ratio was 10.38%, our total risk-based capital ratio was 12.36%, and our Tier 1 leverage ratio was 11.13%. We were also considered to be well capitalized at December 31, 2002, as our Tier 1 capital ratio was 12.06%, our total risk-based capital ratio was 13.38%, and our Tier 1 leverage ratio was 12.13%. Our Tier 1 capital ratio of 11.00%, our total risk-based capital ratio of 12.69% and our Tier 1 leverage ratio of 11.51% indicated that we were also considered to be well capitalized at December 31, 2001. The following table presents the Company s regulatory capital position at December 31, 2004:

TABLE 10

Capital Ratio

As of December 31, 2004

Tier 1 Capital (to risk weighted assets)	12.45%
Tier 1 Capital minimum requirement	4.00%
1	
Excess	8.45%
Total Capital (to risk weighted assets)	13.65%
Total Capital minimum requirement	8.00%
Excess	5.65%

Leverage Ratio

As of December 31, 2004

Tier 1 Capital to average assets (Leverage Ratio)	9.94%
Minimum leverage requirement	4.00%
Excess	5.94%

Liquidity Management

Liquidity is the ability to meet current and future obligations through liquidation or maturity of existing assets or the acquisition of additional liabilities. We manage both assets and liabilities to achieve appropriate levels of liquidity. Cash and federal funds sold are our primary sources of asset liquidity. These funds provide a cushion against short-term fluctuations in cash flow from both deposits and loans. Securities available-for-sale, which totaled \$35.0 million at December 31, 2004, serve as a ready source of secondary liquidity. However, the availability of this source of funds is influenced by market conditions and the liquidation of securities may not always be the most desirable course of action.

Individual and commercial deposits are our primary source of funds for banking activities. We obtain deposits from customers by offering competitive deposit rates and by offering deposit products that we believe are desired by our local market. We also access other deposits markets, including brokered or out of market deposits, when the local market does not provide sufficient funds to meet our loan demand and when interest rates on those deposits satisfy our asset-liability requirements.

Our bank is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta, and we are eligible to receive advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank, subject to its approval. Our bank has received and has repaid such advances in past. It is our practice to use such advances to meet short-term liquidity needs when appropriate, and to consider the use of longer term advances to fund loans.

We also have arrangements with commercial banks for short-term unsecured federal funds advances of up to \$14.7 million. At December 31, 2004, we had \$5.5 million outstanding against these lines. We believe that our liquidity sources are adequate to meet our operating needs.

A review of the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows within the consolidated financial statements on page 41, will provide historical trends in cash flows from our operating, investing and financing activities. Our increase in net income has provided the largest impact on net cash provided by operating activities in 2004, 2003 and 2002. We anticipate this trend to continue. Cash used in investing activities has been utilized primarily in the increase in net loans during 2004 and 2003 and in the increase of net loans and the net purchase of available-for-sale securities in 2002. Based on our growth strategies, we anticipate continued growth in net loans for the immediate future. The primary contributor to net cash provided by financing activities has been the net increase in deposits in 2004, 2003 and 2002. As discussed earlier in this report, our aggressive growth program in our branch network has provided a significant increase in deposit accounts and we anticipate this trend to continue as we add new branch locations. Also noteworthy in the cash provided by financing activities in 2004 was the issuance of \$6.7 million of junior subordinated debentures.

Interest Rate Sensitivity. A significant portion of our assets and liabilities are monetary in nature, and consequently they are very sensitive to changes in interest rates. This interest rate risk is our primary market risk exposure, and it can have a significant effect on our net interest income and cash flows. We review our exposure to market risk on a regular basis, and we manage the pricing and maturity of our assets and liabilities to diminish the potential adverse impact that changes in interest rates could have on our net interest income.

We measure interest rate sensitivity—gap,—which is the difference between the amount of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, which either re-price or mature within a given period of time. The difference, or the interest rate re-pricing—gap,—provides an indication of the extent to which an institution—s interest rate spread will be affected by changes in interest rates. A gap is considered positive when the amount of interest-rate sensitive assets exceeds the amount of interest-rate sensitive liabilities, and it is considered negative when the amount of interest-rate sensitive liabilities exceeds the amount of interest-rate sensitive assets. We generally would benefit from increasing market interest rates when we have an asset-sensitive, or a positive, interest rate gap, and we would generally benefit from decreasing market interest rates when we have liability-sensitive, or a negative, interest rate gap. When measured on a—gap—basis, we are asset-sensitive over the cumulative one-year time frame as of December 31, 2004. Interest rate cuts by the Federal Reserve Board during the years 2002 and 2003 contributed to a narrowed net interest margin. During 2004, our net interest margin improved marginally from 3.77% at December 31, 2003 to 4.33% at December 31, 2004. Although the Federal Reserve Board began to increase the discount rate late in 2004, we cannot predict whether the Federal Reserve Board will continue to increase the discount rate in the future. Gap analysis is not a precise indicator of our interest sensitivity position. The analysis presents only a static view of the timing of maturities and re-pricing opportunities, without taking into consideration that changes in interest rates do not affect all assets and liabilities equally. For example, rates paid on a substantial portion of interest-bearing checking and savings accounts may change rapidly within a relatively short time frame, but we believe those rates are significantly less interest-sensitive than market-based rates such a

Net interest income is also affected by other significant factors, including changes in the volume and mix of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. We perform asset/liability modeling to assess the impact of varying interest rates and the impact that balance sheet mix assumptions will have on net interest income. We attempt to manage interest rate sensitivity by re-pricing assets or liabilities, selling securities available-for-sale, replacing an asset or liability at maturity, or adjusting the interest rate during the life of an asset or liability. Managing the amount of assets and liabilities that re-price in the same time interval helps us to hedge risks and minimize the impact on net interest income of rising or falling interest rates. We evaluate interest sensitivity risk and then formulate guidelines regarding asset generation and re-pricing, funding sources and pricing, and off-balance sheet commitments in order to decrease interest rate sensitivity risk.

The following table summarizes the amounts of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities outstanding at December 31, 2004 that are expected to mature, prepay, or re-price in each of the future time periods shown. Except as stated in the following tables, the amount of assets or liabilities that mature or re-price during a particular period was determined in accordance with the contractual terms of the asset or liability. Adjustable rate loans are included in the period in which interest rates are next scheduled to adjust rather than in the period in which they are due, and fixed rate loans are included in the periods in which they are anticipated to be repaid based on scheduled maturities. We included our savings accounts and interest-bearing demand accounts (NOW and money market deposit accounts), which are generally subject to immediate withdrawal, in the One Year or Less category, although historical experience has proven these deposits to be more stable over the course of a year.

TABLE 11
Interest Rate Sensitivity
(in thousands)

	One Year or Less	Over One Year Thru Three Years		December 31, 2004 ring or Repricing in Over Three Years Thru Five Years	n	Over Five Years	Total
Interest-earning assets:							
Interest-bearing deposits with other banks	\$ 5,116	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 5,116
Federal funds sold	0	0		0		0	0
Investment securities	10,217	1,128		2,527		21,167	35,039
Loans: Fixed rate	35,771	34,107		11,303		444	81,625
Variable rate	107,249	381		2,511		0	110,141
Total interest-earning assets:	158,353	35,616		16,341		21,611	231,921
Interest-bearing liabilities: Deposits:	29,140	0		0		0	29,140
Demand	29,140	Ü		U			29,140
Savings	29,341	0		0		0	29,341
Time deposits	83,150	35,782		12,179		0	131,111
Federal funds purchased	5,500	0		0		0	5,500
FHLB advances and other borrowings	2,529	0		0		6,702	9,231
Total interest-bearing liabilities	149,660	35,782		12,179		6,702	204,323
Interest sensitivity difference	8,693	(166)		4,162		14,909	\$ 27,598
Cumulative interest sensitivity difference	\$ 8,693	\$ 8,527	\$	12,689	\$	27,598	
Cumulative difference to total assets	3.48%	3.42%	1	5.08%		11.06%	
		32					

Impact of Inflation and Changing Prices

The financial statements and related financial data presented in this report have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America which require the measurement of financial position and operating results in terms of historical dollars, without considering changes in relative purchasing power over time due to inflation. Unlike most industrial companies, virtually all of the assets and liabilities of a financial institution are monetary in nature. As a result, interest rates generally have a more significant impact on a financial institution s performance than does the effect of inflation.

While the effect of inflation on a bank is normally not as significant as its influence on those businesses that have large investments in plant and inventories, it does have an effect. Interest rates generally increase as the rate of inflation increases, but the magnitude of the change in rates may not be the same. While interest rates have traditionally moved with inflation, the effect on income is diminished because both interest earned on assets and interest paid on liabilities vary directly with each other unless we are in a highly liability-sensitive position. Also, general increases in the price of goods and services will result in increased operating expenses.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Our consolidated financial statements, including our consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2004 and 2003, and consolidated statements of income, changes in shareholders—equity, and cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, together with the audit reports of Porter Keadle Moore, LLP, dated March 11, 2005, and of Abbott, Jordan & Koon, LLC, dated February 4, 2004, and the notes containing certain supporting information are attached hereto as pages 35—58.

Supplementary Data

	Quarterly Financial Information (unaudited - in thousands, except per share data) 2004 Quarters 2003 Quarters															
	First		S	econd		Third	Fourth		First		Second		Third		F	ourth
Interest income	\$	3,064	\$	3,127	\$	3,535	\$	3,782	\$	2,659	\$	2,747	\$	2,802	\$	2,991
Net interest income		2,036		2,122		2,475		2,632		1,469		1,597		1,680		1,906
Provision for loan losses		80		70		280		155		75		75		18		98
Income before income taxes		597		706		724		708		400		554		554		546
Net income		387		493		486		480		273		348		367		336
Earnings per share - basic		0.13		0.17		0.17		0.16		0.09		0.15		0.13		0.11
Earnings per share - diluted		0.11		0.15		0.14		0.14		0.08		0.12		0.11		0.10

Edgar Filing: GEORGIA BANCSHARES INC// - Form 10-K

Weighted average common shares outstanding - basic	2,936	2,936	2,937	2,941	2,922	2,338	2,923	2,926
Weighted average common shares outstanding - diluted	3,429	3,379	3,386	3,369	3,249	2,825	3,395	3,405

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Years Ended December 31, 2004 and 2003

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Independent auditors reports	<u>35</u>
Consolidated balance sheets	<u>37</u>
Consolidated statements of earnings	<u>38</u>
Consolidated statements of comprehensive income	<u>39</u>
Consolidated statements of changes in shareholders equity	<u>40</u>
Consolidated statements of cash flows	<u>41</u>
Notes to consolidated financial statements	<u>42</u>
34	

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Georgia Bancshares, Inc. and subsidiary as of December 31, 2004, and the
related consolidated statements of earnings, comprehensive income, changes in shareholders equity and cash flows for year then ended. These
financial statements are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial
statements based on our audit. The financial statements of Georgia Bancshares, Inc. for the years ended December 31, 2003
and 2002 were audited by other auditors whose report, dated February 4, 2004, expressed an unqualified opinion on
those statements.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the 2004 consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Georgia Bancshares, Inc. and subsidiary as of December 31, 2004, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the year then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ Porter Keadle Moore, LLP

The Board of Directors and Shareholders Georgia Bancshares, Inc. and Subsidiary

Atlanta, Georgia

March 11, 2005

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS REPORT

The Board of Directors and Stockholders Georgia Bancshares, Inc. Peachtree City, Georgia 30269

We have audited the accompanying consolidated statements of financial condition of Georgia Bancshares, Inc. and subsidiary as of December 31, 2003 and 2002, and the related consolidated statements of income, changes in stockholders equity, and cash flows for the years then ended. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Georgia Bancshares, Inc. and subsidiary as of December 31, 2003 and 2002, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ Abbott, Jordan & Koon, LLC

Abbott, Jordan & Koon, LLC Manchester, Georgia February 4, 2004

Consolidated Balance Sheets

December 31, 2004 and 2003

		2004		2003
Assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents:				
Cash and due from banks, including reserverequirement of \$1,671,000 and \$545,000	\$	4,631,303	\$	8,516,138
Interest-bearing deposits in other banks		5,115,984		29,860
Federal funds sold		0		6,887,000
Cash and cash equivalents		9,747,287		15,432,998
Investments:				
Securities available-for-sale		35,039,356		34,147,840
Other investments		1,540,466		1,357,566
		36,579,822		35,505,406
Loans net of allowance for loan losses of \$2,654,334 and \$2,131,752 respectively		189,640,547		147,463,301
Accrued interest receivable		1,612,109		1,340,445
Premises and equipment, net		6,212,760		4,772,339
Bank owned life insurance		4,715,027		0
Other assets		1,042,499		577,141
Total assets	\$		\$	205,091,630
7.1.1ma				
Liabilities:				
Deposits:	Φ.	22 (((00)	ф	22 440 550
Noninterest-bearing	\$	22,666,896	\$	23,448,570
NOW		29,139,505		6,308,343
Savings		29,340,650		19,018,079
Time deposits \$100,000 and over		78,243,539		62,745,922
Other time deposits		52,867,428		70,446,862
Total deposits		212,258,018		181,967,776
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase		529,358		670,052
Federal funds purchased		5,500,000		0
Federal Home Loan Bank advances		2,000,000		2,000,000
Junior subordinated debentures		6,702,000		0
Stock purchase obligation		0		369,250
Accrued interest payable and other liabilities		1,454,492		821,737
Total liabilities		228,443,868		185,828,815
Commitments				
Shareholders Equity				
Common stock; no par value, 10,000,000 shares authorized; 2,972,813 and 2,936,119				
shares issued and outstanding, respectively		17,217,471		17,305,303
Retained earnings		3,861,473		2,015,345
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)		27,239		(57,833)
Total shareholders equity		21,106,183		19,262,815
		21,100,103		17,202,013

Total liabilities and shareholders equity

\$ 249,550,051 \$

205,091,630

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

37

Consolidated Statements of Earnings

For the Years Ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002

		2004		2003		2002
Interest income:						
Interest and fees on loans	\$	12,106,445	\$	9,954,967	\$	8,039,216
Interest and dividends on investments		1,328,625		1,190,788		1,469,897
Interest on federal funds sold and other interest income		73,380		52,324		59,272
Total interest income		13,508,450		11,198,079		9,568,385
		, ,		, ,		, ,
Interest expense:						
Interest on deposits		4,097,080		4,500,511		4,741,038
Interest on securities sold under agreements to repurchase		6,389		17,683		72,611
Interest on other borrowed funds		139,737		27,751		23,355
Total interest expense		4,243,206		4,545,945		4,837,004
Net interest income		9,265,244		6,652,134		4,731,381
Provision for loan losses		585,000		266,000		885,000
Net interest income after provision for loan losses		8,680,244		6,386,134		3,846,381
Other income:						
Service charges on deposit accounts		310,987		222 145		169 202
Gains (losses) on sale of other assets		(12,128)		222,145		168,293 56,001
Gains (losses) on sales of securities available-for-sale		(50,808)		85,697		124,139
Other operating income				77,684		68,400
Total other income		434,117				416,833
Total other income		682,168		385,526		410,833
Other expense:						
Salaries and employee benefits		3,983,274		2,614,936		1,986,738
Occupancy and equipment expense		751,314		569.828		332,776
Data processing		598,206		454.844		324,563
Other operating expenses		1,294,490		1,079,005		800,704
Total other expense		6,627,284		4,718,613		3,444,781
Total older expense		0,027,201		1,710,015		3,111,701
Income before income taxes		2,735,128		2,053,047		818,433
		,,		, ,		
Income tax expense		889,000		730,000		226,500
•						
Net earnings	\$	1,846,128	\$	1,323,047	\$	591,933
Basic earnings per share	\$	0.63	\$	0.45	\$	0.22
Diluted counings not show	¢	0.55	¢	0.20	¢.	0.20
Diluted earnings per share	\$	0.55	\$	0.39	\$	0.20

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income

For the Years Ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002

	2004	2003	2002
Net earnings	\$ 1,846,128 \$	1,323,047 \$	591,933
Other comprehensive income:			
Unrealized holding gains (losses) on investment securities			
available-for-sale arising during period	182,368	(712,337)	429,596
Reclassification adjustment for gains (losses) on investment securities			
available-for-sale	(50,808)	85,697	124,139
Total other comprehensive income (loss) before tax	131,560	(626,640)	553,735
Income taxes related to other comprehensive income:			
Unrealized holding gains (losses) on investment securities			
available-for-sale arising during period	(64,440)	242,194	(146,063)
Reclassification adjustment for gains (losses) on investment securities			
available-for-sale	17,952	(29,137)	(42,207)
Total income taxes related to other comprehensive income (loss)	(46,488)	213,057	(188,270)
Total other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax	85,072	(413,583)	365,465
, , ,		` ' '	
Total comprehensive income	\$ 1,931,200 \$	909,464 \$	957,398

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders Equity

For the Years Ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002

	Comi	ock		Accumulated Other Retained Comprehensive Treasury						Total Shareholders		
	Shares		Amount		Earnings		Income		Stock		Equity	
Balance, December 31, 2001	1,331,250	\$	10,755,290	\$	104,882	\$	(9,715)	\$	(160)	\$	10,850,297	
Proceeds from the sale of common stock, net of												
issuance cost of \$89,257	538,897		6,459,454								6,459,454	
Unrealized gains on securities available-for-sale, net of tax							365,465				365,465	
Treasury stock transactions			40				303,403		(1,612)		(1,572)	
Stock split	467,426		40						(1,012)		(1,372)	
Net earnings	407,420				591,933						591,933	
Balance, December 31, 2002	2,337,573		17,214,784		696,815		355,750		(1,772)		18,265,577	
Unrealized losses on securities available-for-sale,												
net of tax							(413,583)				(413,583)	
Treasury stock transactions	4.4.04.0		(2,454)						1,772		(682)	
Exercise of stock options	14,319		92,973		(4.515)						92,973	
Stock split	584,227				(4,517)						(4,517)	
Net earnings	2.026.110		17 205 202		1,323,047		(57, 022)		0		1,323,047	
Balance, December 31, 2003	2,936,119		17,305,303		2,015,345		(57,833)		0		19,262,815	
Unrealized gains on securities available-for-sale, net of tax							85,072				85.072	
Exercise of stock warrants	20.550		166.666				85,072				,	
	32,552		166,666								166,666	
Exercise of stock options Settlement of stock purchase	4,142		33,982								33,982	
obligation			(288,480)		10161						(288,480)	
Net earnings	2052045			_	1,846,128	_		_		_	1,846,128	
Balance, December 31, 2004	2,972,813	\$	17,217,471	\$	3,861,473	\$	27,239	\$	0	\$	21,106,183	

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

GEORGIA BANCSHARES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

For the Years Ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002

	2004	2003	2002
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net earnings	\$ 1,846,128 \$	1,323,047 \$	591,933
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating			
activities:			
Depreciation, amortization and accretion	500,222	143,991	(97,547)
Provision for loan losses	585,000	266,000	885,000
(Gains) losses on sales of securities available-for-sale	50,808	(85,697)	(124,139)
Loss on sale of other assets	12,128	0	(56,001)
Deferred income taxes	(198,325)	37,612	(178,010)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Unearned loan fees, net	49,395	40,671	12,996
Accrued interest receivable	(271,664)	(444,294)	(230,578)
Increase in bank owned life insurance	(15,027)	0	0
Other assets	(325,648)	(47,668)	(55,220)
Accrued interest payable and other liabilities	632,755	(172,590)	(268,997)
Net cash provided by operating activities	2,865,772	1,061,072	479,437
Cash flows from investing activities:	(10.260.524)	(20, 502, 17.4)	(22.205.615)
Purchases of available-for-sale securities	(19,368,534)	(29,593,174)	(32,205,615)
Proceeds from sales and pay downs of securities available-for-sale	13,167,366	28,017,432	19,164,490
Proceeds from maturities of securities available-for-sale	5,270,853	1,850,000	1,054,016
Purchase of other investments	(233,100)	(706,400)	192.750
Proceeds from sale of other investments	50,200	0	183,750
Net increase in loans Purchase of bank owned life insurance	(42,811,641)	(22,019,835)	(45,195,704)
	(4,700,000)		(2,000,001)
Purchase of premises and equipment	(1,821,093)	(1,241,353)	(2,090,991)
Net cash used in investing activities	(50,445,949)	(23,693,330)	(59,090,054)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Net increase in deposits	30,290,242	39,408,976	36,291,293
Net change in federal funds purchased	5,500,000	(4,200,000)	4,200,000
Net change in securities sold under agreements to repurchase	(140,694)	(2,560,508)	3,230,560
Proceeds from Federal Home Loan Bank advances	0	8,500,000	10,800,000
Payments on Federal Home Loan Bank advances	0	(8,500,000)	(8,800,000)
Proceeds from junior subordinated debentures	6,702,000	0	0
Net change in common stock	200,648	87,774	6,457,882
Settlement of stock purchase obligation	(657,730)	0	0
Net cash provided by financing activities	41,894,466	32,736,242	52,179,735
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(5,685,711)	10,103,984	(6,430,882)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	15,432,998	5,329,014	11,759,896
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 9,747,287 \$	15,432,998 \$	5,329,014

Supplemental disclosures of cash flows:			
Cash paid during the year for interest	\$ 3,952,830 \$	4,587,262	\$ 5,209,448
Cash paid during the year for income taxes	\$ 1,152,909 \$	765,776	\$ 359,232
Non-cash investing and financing activities:			
Unrealized gains (losses) on securities available-for-sale, net of tax	\$ 85,072 \$	(413,583)	\$ 365,465
Stock purchase obligation	\$ (369,250) \$	0	\$ 0

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

GEORGIA BANCSHARES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(1) SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Presentation and Nature of Operations

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Georgia Bancshares, Inc. (the Company) and its wholly owned subsidiary, The Bank of Georgia (the Bank). All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

On March 18, 2001, the Bank completed the formation of a one-bank holding company and a plan to exchange all Bank stock shares for shares of the holding company. Subsequent to the reorganization, all of the shares of the Bank were owned by the Company.

The Bank is a community oriented commercial bank with emphasis on retail banking and offers such customary banking services as consumer and commercial checking accounts, savings accounts, certificates of deposit, commercial and consumer loans, money transfers and a variety of other banking services. The Bank has seven banking offices. The main office is in Peachtree City, Georgia, and conducts its banking activities primarily in Fayette and surrounding counties. The branches are located in Fayette, Coweta, and Fulton Counties in Georgia.

The accounting principles followed by the Company and the methods of applying these principles conform with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) and with general practices within the banking industry. In preparing financial statements in conformity with GAAP, management is required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts in the financial statements. Actual results could differ significantly from those estimates. Material estimates common to the banking industry that are particularly susceptible to significant change in the near term include, but are not limited to, the determination of the allowance for loan losses, the valuation of real estate acquired in connection with or in lieu of foreclosure on loans, and valuation allowances associated with deferred tax assets, the recognition of which are based on future taxable income.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash equivalents include amounts due from banks, interest-bearing deposits in banks, and federal funds sold. Federal funds are generally sold for one-day periods and interest-bearing deposits in banks mature within a period of less than 90 days.

Investment Securities

The Company classifies its securities in one of three categories: trading, available-for-sale, or held-to-maturity. Trading securities are bought and held principally for the purpose of selling them in the near term. Held-to-maturity securities are those securities for which the Company has the ability and intent to hold the security until maturity. All other securities not included in trading or held-to-maturity are classified as available-for-sale. At December 31, 2004 and 2003, all securities were classified as available-for-sale.

Available-for-sale securities are recorded at fair value. Held-to-maturity securities are recorded at cost, adjusted for the amortization or accretion of premiums or discounts. Unrealized holding gains and losses, net of the related tax effect, on securities available-for-sale are excluded from earnings and are reported as a separate component of shareholders—equity until realized. Transfers of securities between categories are recorded at fair value at the date of transfer. Unrealized

holding gains or losses associated with transfers of securities from held-to-maturity to available-for-sale are recorded as a separate component of shareholders equity. The unrealized holding gains or losses included in the separate component of shareholders equity for securities transferred from available-for-sale to held-to-maturity are maintained and amortized into earnings over the remaining life of the security as an adjustment to yield in a manner consistent with the amortization or accretion of premium or discount on the associated security.

A decline in the market value of any available-for-sale or held-to-maturity investment below cost that is deemed other than temporary is charged to earnings and establishes a new cost basis for the security.

Premiums and discounts are amortized or accreted over the life of the related security as an adjustment to the yield. Realized gains and losses for securities classified as available-for-sale and held-to-maturity are included in earnings and are derived using the specific identification method for determining the cost of securities sold.

Other Investments

Other investments include equity securities which have no readily determined fair value. These investments are carried at cost.

Loans, Loan Fees and Interest Income on Loans

Loans are stated at the principal amount outstanding, net of the allowance for loan losses. Interest on loans is calculated by using the simple interest method on daily balances of the principal amount outstanding.

Accrual of interest is discontinued on a loan when management believes, after considering economic and business conditions and collection efforts, the borrower s financial condition is such that collection of interest is doubtful. When a loan is placed on nonaccrual status, previously accrued and uncollected interest is charged to interest income on loans. Generally, payments on nonaccrual loans are applied to principal.

Impaired loans are measured based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, or at the loans observable market price or at the fair value of the collateral, if the loan is collateral dependent. A loan is impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that all amounts that are due according to the contract and terms of the loan agreement will not be collected.

Loan fees, net of certain origination costs, are deferred and amortized over the lives of the respective loans.

Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses is established through a provision for loan losses charged to expense. Loans are charged against the allowance for loan losses when management believes the collection of the principal is unlikely. The allowance represents an amount, which in management significantly judgment, will be adequate to absorb probable losses on existing loans that may become uncollectible.

Management s judgment in determining the adequacy of the allowance is based on evaluations of the collectibility of loans. These evaluations take into consideration such factors as changes in the nature and volume of the loan portfolio, current economic conditions that may affect the borrower s ability to pay, overall portfolio quality, and review of specific problem loans. In determining the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses, management uses a loan grading system that rates loans in eight different categories. Grades five through eight are assigned allocations of loss based on management s estimate of potential loss, which is generally based on discounted, collateral deficiencies or loss percentages by grade used by the federal bank regulators. Loans graded one through four are stratified by type and allocated loss ranges based on peer group loss experience, regulatory guidelines and the Bank s loss history. The combination of these results are compared monthly to the recorded allowance for loan losses and material differences are adjusted by increasing or decreasing the provision for loan losses.

Management uses an outsourced independent loan review specialist on a annual basis to corroborate and challenge the internal loan grading system and methods used to determine the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses.

Management believes the allowance for loan losses is adequate. While management uses available information to recognize losses on loans, future additions to the allowance may be necessary based on changes in economic conditions. In addition, regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review the allowance for loan losses. Such regulators may require additions to the allowance based on their judgments of information available to them at the time of their examination.

Premises and Equipment

Premises and equipment are carried at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the related asset. When assets are retired or otherwise disposed, the cost and related accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts, and any resulting gain or loss is reflected in income for the period. The cost of maintenance and repairs which do not improve or extend the useful life of the respective asset is charged to expense as incurred, whereas significant renewals and improvements are capitalized. The range of estimated useful lives for premises and equipment are:

Buildings	40 years
Leasedhold improvements	3 - 25 years
Equipment and furniture	3 - 10 years

Securities Sold Under Agreements to Repurchase

Securities sold under agreements to repurchase are secured borrowings from customers and are treated as financing activities and are carried at the amounts at which the securities will be subsequently reacquired as specified in the respective agreements.

Income Taxes

The Company uses the liability method of accounting for income taxes, which requires the recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax basis. Additionally, this method requires the recognition of future tax benefits, such as net operating loss carryforwards, to the extent that realization of such benefits is more likely than not. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which the assets and liabilities are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income tax expense in the period that includes the enactment date.

In the event the future tax consequences of differences between the financial reporting bases and the tax bases of the Company s assets and liabilities results in deferred tax assets, an evaluation of the probability of being able to realize the future benefits indicated by such asset is required. A valuation allowance is provided for the portion of the deferred tax asset when it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax asset will not be realized. In assessing the realization of the deferred tax assets, management considers the scheduled reversals of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income, and tax planning strategies.

Net Earnings Per Share

Basic net earnings per share is based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net earnings per share includes the effects of potential common shares outstanding during the period. The average market price during the year is used to compute equivalent shares. The reconciliation of the amounts used in the computation of both basic earnings per share and diluted earnings per share for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002 are as follows:

	Net Formings	Average Shares Outstanding	Per Share	
For the year ended December 31, 2004:	Earnings	Outstanding	Amount	
Net earnings basic	\$ 1,846,128	2,940,743	\$	0.63
Effect of dilutive stock warrants/options	0	428,072		
Net earnings diluted	\$ 1,846,128	3,368,815	\$	0.55
For the year ended December 31, 2003:				
Net earnings basic	\$ 1,323,047	2,925,653	\$	0.45
Effect of dilutive stock warrants/options	0	479,636		
Net earnings diluted	\$ 1,323,047	3,405,289	\$	0.39
For the year ended December 31, 2002:				
Net earnings basic	\$ 591,933	2,699,089	\$	0.22
Effect of dilutive stock warrants/options	0	316,389		
Net earnings diluted	\$ 591,933	3,015,478	\$	0.20

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company s stock-based compensation plan is accounted for under Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25 and related interpretations. No compensation expense has been recognized related to the grant of the incentive stock options. Had compensation cost been determined based upon the fair value of the options at the grant dates, the Company s net earnings and net earnings per share would have been reduced to the proforma amounts indicated below. For disclosure purposes, the Company immediately recognized the expense associated with the option grants assuming that all awards vest upon grant.

		2004	2003	2002
Net earnings	As reported	\$ 1,846,128	\$ 1,323,047	\$ 591,933
	Effect of grants, net of tax	(76,957)	(78,098)	(30,906)
	Effect of forfeitures, net of			
	tax	5,022	0	0
	Proforma	\$ 1,774,193	\$ 1,244,949	\$ 561,027
Basic earnings per				
share	As reported	\$ 0.63	\$ 0.45	\$ 0.22
	Proforma	\$ 0.60	\$ 0.43	\$ 0.21
Diluted earnings per				
share	As reported	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.39	\$ 0.20
	Proforma	\$ 0.53	\$ 0.37	\$ 0.19

The weighted average fair value of options at grant date in 2004, 2003 and 2002 was \$4.56, \$4.04 and \$3.03, respectively. The fair value of each option is estimated on the date of grant using the Minimum Value options-pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions used for grants in 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively - dividend yield of 4.00%, 3.00% and 2.80%, respectively; risk-free interest rate of 4.03%, 4.00% and 5.00%, respectively; and an expected life of 10 years for all periods presented.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary-Impairment

In March 2004, The Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) issued EITF 03-1, The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and its Application to Certain Investments (EITF 03-1). EITF 03-1 provides guidance for evaluating whether an investment is other-than-temporarily impaired. The disclosure guidance was fully effective for other-than-temporary impairment evaluations made in reporting periods ending after June 15, 2004, whereas the recognition and measurement guidance has been deferred. The disclosures required by EITF 03-1 are included in note 2 to the consolidated financial statements. The Company did not recognize an impairment loss on any investment in 2004 or 2003.

Share-Based Payment

In December 2004, the FASB revised SFAS No. 123 (SFAS No. 123 (R)). SFAS No. 123 (R), Share-Based Payment, requires all share-based payments to employees and directors, including grants of employee stock options, to be recognized in the financial statements based on their fair values. Pro forma disclosure is no longer an alternative to financial statement recognition. For the Company, SFAS No. 123 (R) is effective beginning January 1, 2006. Since the Company currently has unvested share-based plans, the adoption of these requirements will have an impact on the Company s financial statements beginning January 1, 2006.

Reclassifications

Certain amounts in the 2003 and 2002 consolidated financial statements have been restated to conform to the 2004 presentation.

(2) INVESTMENT SECURITIES

Investment securities available for sale at December 31, 2004 and 2003 are as follows:

		Decembe	er 31, 2	2004	
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains		Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value
U.S. Government					
agencies	\$ 8,287,952	\$ 67	\$	30,378	\$ 8,257,641
Mortgage backed					
securities	16,781,330	46,741		115,248	16,712,823
Municipal securities	9,426,140	205,563		62,186	9,569,517
Corporate securities	250,000	0		625	249,375
Trust preferred securities	250,000	0		0	250,000
	\$ 34,995,422	\$ 252,371	\$	208,437	\$ 35,039,356

		Decemb	er 3	1, 2003	
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains		Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value
U.S. Government					
agencies	\$ 4,385,858	\$ 47,003	\$	998	\$ 4,431,863
Mortgage backed					
securities	20,742,303	70,634		164,769	20,648,168
Municipal securities	8,857,304	123,923		163,418	8,817,809
Corporate securities	250,000	0		0	250,000
	\$ 34,235,465	\$ 241,560	\$	329,185	\$ 34,147,840

The following outlines the unrealized losses and fair value by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position at December 31, 2004 and 2003:

	Less than 1	l2 moi	nths		Tot	al				
	Fair Value	Uı	nrealized Loss	Fair Value			Fair Value		Unrealized Loss	
U.S. Government										
agencies	\$ 5,579,280	\$	20,720	\$ 1,270,443	\$	9,658	\$	6,849,723	\$	30,378
Mortgage backed										
securities	0		0	11,112,381		115,248		11,112,381		115,248
Municipal securities	0		0	2,863,342		62,186		2,863,342		62,186
Corporate securities	0		0	249,375		625		249,375		625
	\$ 5,579,280	\$	20,720	\$ 15,495,541	\$	187,717	\$	21,074,821	\$	208,437

	December 31, 2003 Less than 12 months 12 months or more Total												
	Less tha	n 12 m	onths		12 months	or mo	re		Tot	al			
	Fair Unrealized				Fair Unrealized				Fair	Unrealized			
	Value	Loss			Value		Loss		Value	Loss			
9	0	\$	0	\$	430,332	\$	998	\$	430,332	\$	998		

Edgar Filing: GEORGIA BANCSHARES INC// - Form 10-K

U.S. Government						
agencies						
Mortgage backed						
securities	0	0	15,755,308	164,769	15,755,308	164,769
Municipal securities	0	0	4,655,801	163,418	4,655,801	163,418
•	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 20,841,441	\$ 329,185	\$ 20,841,441	\$ 329,185

At December 31, 2004, unrealized losses in the investment securities portfolio related to debt securities totaled \$208,437. The unrealized losses on these debt securities arose due to changing interest rates and are considered to be temporary. From the December 31, 2004 table above 4 out of 5 securities issued by U.S. government agencies, 7 out of 26 securities issued by municipalities, 19 out of 27 mortgage backed securities and the corporate security contained unrealized losses. These unrealized losses are considered temporary because of acceptable investment grades on each security and the repayment sources of principal and interest are government backed.

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of securities available for sale at December 31, 2004, by contractual maturity, are shown below. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

	Amortized Cost	Estimated Fair Value
Less than 1 year	\$ 7,008,193	\$ 6,987,540
1 to 5 years	500,000	498,600
5 to 10 years	929,516	942,500
More than 10 years	9,776,383	9,897,893
Mortgage backed securities	16,781,330	16,712,823
	\$ 34,995,422	\$ 35,039,356

Proceeds from sales of investment securities in 2004, 2003 and 2002 totaled \$6,512,718, \$17,163,553 and \$12,908,000, respectively. Gross gains and losses are as follows:

	2004	2003	2002
Gross gains on sales	\$ 21,363 \$	156,224 \$	165,407
Gross losses on sales	(76,965)	(72,527)	(38,923)
Gross gains on calls	4,794	2,000	0
Gross losses on calls	0	0	(2,345)
Net gains (losses)	\$ (50,808) \$	85,697 \$	124,139

Securities with a carrying value of \$10,835,241 and \$18,932,326 at December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively, were pledged to collateralize public deposits and for other purposes.

(3) LOANS

Major classifications of loans at December 31, 2004 and 2003 are summarized as follows:

		2004	2003
Commercial		\$ 15,350,256	\$ 11,705,627
Real estate		170,093,157	133,597,470
Consumer		7,066,434	4,457,527
		192,509,847	149,760,624
Less:	Allowance for loan losses	2,654,334	2,131,752
	Net unearned loan fees	214,966	165,571
	Net loans	\$ 189,640,547	\$ 147,463,301

An analysis of the activity in the allowance for loan losses is presented below:

	2004	20	003	2002
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 2,131,752	\$	1,889,306	\$ 1,114,411
Provision for loan losses	585,000		266,000	885,000
Loans charged off	(83,995)		(27,028)	(111,198)
Recoveries on loans charged off	21,577		3,474	1,093
-				
	\$ 2,654,334	\$	2,131,752	\$ 1,889,306

The Bank grants loans and extensions of credit to individuals and a variety of firms and corporations located primarily in Fayette, Coweta and other surrounding Georgia counties. A substantial portion of the loan portfolio is collateralized by improved and unimproved real estate and is dependent upon the real estate market.

The Company originates certain fixed rate mortgage loans and commits these loans for sale. The commitments to originate fixed rate mortgage loans and the commitments to sell these loans to a third party are both derivative contracts. These derivative contracts, which exactly and equally offset each other, are entered into simultaneously and therefore have no effect on the recorded amounts in the financial statements.

The Bank had \$154,381 and \$100,680 of loans classified as impaired at December 31, 2004 and 2003 respectively.

(4) PREMISES AND EQUIPMENT

Premises and equipment at December 31, 2004 and 2003, are summarized as follows:

Edgar Filing: GEORGIA BANCSHARES INC// - Form 10-K

	2004	2003		
Land	\$ 1,995,062	\$	1,123,650	
Building	2,653,152		2,222,477	
Leasehold improvements	357,325		306,381	
Equipment, furniture and vehicles	2,219,779		1,751,717	
	7,225,318		5,404,225	
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	1,012,558		631,886	
	\$ 6,212,760	\$	4,772,339	

Depreciation expense and leasehold amortization were \$380,672, \$297,720 and \$155,393 for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

(5) DEPOSITS

At December 31, 2004, the scheduled maturities of time deposits are as follows:

2005	\$ 83,150,335
2006	27,481,378
2007	8,300,197
2008	6,821,289
2009	5,357,768
	\$ 131.110.967

The Bank had brokered time deposits at December 31, 2004 and 2003, of approximately \$26,221,000 and \$20,049,000, respectively. Brokered time deposits at December 31, 2004 had a weighted average interest rate of 2.64%.

(6) JUNIOR SUBORDINATED DEBENTURES

On September 29, 2004, the Company issued, through a wholly owned Delaware statutory trust, Georgia Bancshares Capital Trust I (the Trust), \$6,500,000 of preferred beneficial interests in the Company s unsecured junior subordinated debentures (trust preferred securities) that qualify as Tier I capital under Federal Reserve Board guidelines within certain limitations. The Company owns all of the common securities of the Trust. The proceeds from the issuance of the common securities and the trust preferred securities were used by the Trust to purchase \$6,702,000 of junior subordinated debentures of the Company, which carry a floating rate of interest adjusted every three months to the three-month London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus 2.60%. At December 31, 2004, this rate was 4.67%. Of the proceeds received by the Company from the sale of the junior subordinated debentures, \$202,000 was used for the common securities of the Trust and \$6,500,000 was used to strengthen the capital position of the Bank to accommodate current and future growth. The debentures and related accrued interest represent the sole assets of the Trust.

The trust preferred securities accrue and pay distributions quarterly, at an interest rate equal to the three-month LIBOR rate plus 2.60%. The Company has entered into contractual arrangements which, taken collectively, fully and unconditionally guarantee payment of accrued and unpaid distributions required to be paid on the trust preferred securities, the redemption price with respect to any trust preferred securities called for redemption by the Trust, and payments due upon a voluntary or involuntary dissolution, winding up, or liquidation of the Trust.

The trust preferred securities are mandatorily redeemable upon maturity of the debentures on October 18, 2034, or upon earlier redemption as provided in the indenture. The Company has the right to redeem the debentures purchased by the Trust in whole or in part, on or after October 18, 2009. As specified in the indenture, if the debentures are redeemed prior to maturity, the redemption price will be the unpaid principal amount, plus any accrued unpaid interest.

(7) FHLB BORROWING AGREEMENT

In 2003, the Bank entered into an agreement with the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) whereby the FHLB agreed to provide the Bank credit facilities. Any amounts advanced by the FHLB would be collateralized under a Blanket Floating Lien covering all of the Bank s 1-4 family first mortgage loans and commercial real estate loans. The Bank may draw advances up to 75% and 50% respectively, of the outstanding balance of these loans based on the agreement with the FHLB. Additionally, the FHLB allows for borrowing utilizing other assets as collateral such as investments, cash balances and other types of loans. At December 31, 2004, the Bank had \$2 million in advances outstanding under this facility with total collateral valued at \$12,108,944.

(8) INCOME TAXES

The components of income tax expense for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002 are as follows:

	2004	2003	2002
Current	\$ 1,087,325	\$ 692,388	\$ 404,510
Deferred	(146, 124)	(10,914)	(178,010)
Change in valuation allowance	(52,201)	48,526	0
	\$ 889,000	\$ 730,000	\$ 226,500

The difference between income tax expense and the amount computed by applying the statutory federal income tax rate to income before taxes for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002 are as follows:

	2004	2003	2002
Pretax income at statutory rate Add:	\$ 929,944 \$	698,036 \$	278,267
Tax exempt income	(114,831)	(81,127)	(64,312)
Other, primarily state income taxes	73,887	113,091	12,545
	\$ 889,000 \$	730,000 \$	226,500

The following summarizes the sources and expected tax consequences of future taxable deductions (income), which comprise the net deferred taxes at December 31, 2004 and 2003.

	2004	2003
Deferred income tax assets:		
Allowance for loan losses	\$ 908,012	\$ 722,810
Net unrealized losses on investment securities	0	29,793
Other	4,277	2,880
Total gross deferred income tax assets	912,289	755,483
Deferred income tax liabilities:		
Premises and equipment	(255,358)	(214,883)
Net unrealized gains on investment securities	(16,695)	0

Edgar Filing: GEORGIA BANCSHARES INC// - Form 10-K

Total gross deferred income tax liabilities		(272,053)	(214,883)
Net deferred income tax asset before valuation allowance		640,236	540,600
Valuation allowance		0	(52,201)
Net deferred income tax asset		\$ 640,236 \$	488,399
	52		

(9) EMPLOYEE AND DIRECTOR BENEFIT PROGRAMS

The Company has a 2001 Stock Incentive Plan (the Plan) whereby certain stock-based rights, such as stock options, may be granted to eligible directors and employees. The number of shares issuable under the Plan is equal to 15% of the outstanding shares of stock of the Company, or 443,153 shares at December 31, 2004.

Under the Plan, the Company granted incentive stock options to certain eligible employees in order that they may purchase Company stock at a price equal to the fair market value on the date of the grant. All options granted vest over a four-year period. All options expire after ten years. A summary of the activity in the Plan is presented below:

	2 Shares	A	Veighted Average Option Price er Share	Shares	A	Veighted Average Option Price er Share	2 Shares	A	Veighted Average Option Price er Share
Outstanding, beginning of									
year	187,264	\$	7.55	186,806	\$	6.65	98,436	\$	5.40
Granted during the year	200,275	\$	14.20	29,131	\$	12.61	90,702	\$	8.00
Forfeited during the year	(16,269)	\$	9.22	(14,354)	\$	7.07	(2,332)	\$	6.69
Exercised during the year	(4,142)	\$	8.20	(14,319)	\$	6.49	0		
Outstanding, end of year	367,128	\$	11.10	187,264	\$	7.55	186,806	\$	6.65
Number of shares exercisable	98,190	\$	6.70	60,334	\$	6.24	24,316	\$	5.40

Options outstanding at December 31, 2004 are exercisable at option prices ranging from \$5.40 to \$15.00, as presented in the table below. Such options have a weighted average remaining contractual life of approximately eight years.

		Options Outstandi Weighted-	ing	Options Exercisable				
Range of Exercise Prices	Shares Outstanding	Average Remaining Contractual Life		Weighted- Average Exercise Price	Shares Exercisable		Weighted- Average Exercise Price	
\$5.40 - \$8.00	143,086	7 years	\$	6.53	91,830	\$	6.28	
\$11.60 - \$15.00	224,042	9 years	\$	14.04	6,360	\$	12.76	
Outstanding at end of	year 367,128	8 years	\$	11.10	98,190	\$	6.70	

The Company sponsors a defined contribution plan, intended to comply with the requirements of section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code, covering substantially all employees subject to certain minimum age and service requirements. Contributions to the plan are made each payroll period and are approved annually by the Board of Directors. The Company matched each participant s contributions up to 6% of the participant s eligible compensation, which amounted to \$146,705, \$90,409 and \$35,048 in 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

(10)

In consideration of their efforts in organizing the Bank and their commitment to serve as its initial directors, the organizers received warrants entitling each of them, for each share purchased by the organizer in the initial stock offering, to purchase at any time before February 18, 2010, an additional share of common stock at the offering price of \$10, which adjusted for subsequent stock splits now equals \$5.12. Rights to purchase 680,015 (adjusted for stock splits)

shares were granted to the organizers. At December 31, 2004 rights to purchase 582,359 shares remained outstanding. Organizers may not sell or otherwise transfer the warrants without the prior written consent of the Company.

The warrants were not immediately exercisable. The right to exercise the warrants vested with respect to one-third of the warrant shares per year and all were fully vested by September 9, 2002. The Company has the right, upon notice from its regulators that the Bank is capital deficient, to require each organizer to exercise or forfeit all of his/her warrants within a specified deadline of the Company s call for the exercise of the warrants.

The consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2003, reflects a liability of \$369,250 described as Stock purchase obligation. This amount was recorded in 2001 following the dissention by a minority shareholder to the plan of share exchange to recognize the bank into a holding company structure. On April 12, 2004, an order was received from the court establishing the aggregate principal sum of \$657,730 to be paid to the dissenting shareholder. This amount was paid on April 13, 2004. The impact of this settlement on the consolidated balance sheet of the Company was the elimination of the \$369,250 stock purchase obligation liability and a reduction of common stock in the amount of the differential, \$288,480.

(11) DIVIDEND RESTRICTIONS

Dividends paid by the Bank are the primary source of funds available to the Company. Banking regulations limit the amount of dividends that may be paid without prior approval of the regulatory authorities. The amount of dividends the Bank may pay in 2005 without prior approval is approximately \$1,011,000.

(12) **REGULATORY MATTERS**

The Company and the Bank are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory - and possibly additional discretionary - actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Company s financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Company and the Bank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance-sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. Capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings, and other factors.

Quantitative measures established by regulations to ensure capital adequacy require the Company and the Bank to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the table below) of total and Tier I capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk-weighted assets (as defined), and of Tier I capital (as defined) to average assets (as defined). Management believes, as of December 31, 2004 and 2003, that the Company and the Bank met all capital adequacy requirements to which they are subject.

As of December 31, 2004, the most recent regulatory notification categorized the Bank as well capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. To be categorized as well capitalized the Bank must maintain minimum total risk-based, Tier I risk-based, and Tier I leverage ratios as set forth in the table.

Actual capital amounts and ratios are also presented in the table (amounts presented in thousands).

				To be			
		Actual		Purposes		Well Capital	ized
		Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
As of December 31, 2004:							
Total Risk-Based Capital to							
Risk-Weighted Assets:							
Consolidated	\$	30,233	13.65% \$	17,723	8.00%	N/A	N/A
Bank	\$	29,001	13.16% \$	17,630	8.00% \$	22,037	10.00%
Tier I Capital to							
Risk-Weighted Assets:							
Consolidated	\$	27,579	12.45% \$	8,861	4.00%	N/A	N/A
Bank	\$	26,347	11.96% \$	8,815	4.00% \$	13,222	6.00%
Tier I Capital to Average							
Assets							
Consolidated	\$	27,579	9.94% \$	11,098	4.00%	N/A	N/A
Bank	\$	26,347	10.55% \$	9,992	4.00% \$	12,490	5.00%
As of December 31, 2003:							
Total Risk-Based Capital to							
Risk-Weighted Assets:							
Consolidated	\$	21,453	12.36% \$	13,881	8.00%	N/A	N/A
Bank	\$	17,957	10.41% \$	13,805	8.00% \$	17,256	10.00%
		,		,		,	
Tier I Capital to							
Risk-Weighted Assets:							
Consolidated	\$	19,321	11.13% \$	6,941	4.00%	N/A	N/A
Bank	\$	15,825	9.17% \$	6,902	4.00% \$	10,354	6.00%
	·	- /		- /		- ,	
Tier I Capital to Average							
Assets:							
Consolidated	\$	19,321	10.38% \$	7,444	4.00%	N/A	N/A
Bank	\$	15,825	8.54% \$	7,415	4.00% \$	9,269	5.00%
	Ψ	10,020	οιο . , ο φ	,,	σσ,σφ	, ,_ 0,	2.0076

(13) MISCELLANEOUS OPERATING EXPENSES

Components of other operating expenses which are greater than 1% of interest income and other operating income are as follows:

	2004	2003	2002
Legal and accounting fees	\$ 221,153	\$ 166,517	\$ 151,264
Business development	\$ 153,688	\$ 113,880	\$ 59,698
Supplies	\$ 124,424	\$ 127,688	\$ 99,483

(14) RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The Bank conducts transactions with directors and executive officers, including companies in which they have beneficial interest, in the normal course of business. It is the policy of the Bank that loan transactions with directors and executive officers be made on substantially the same terms as those prevailing at the time for comparable loans to other persons. The following is a summary of activity for related party loans for 2004:

Beginning balance	\$	1,928,118
Loans advanced	Ψ	3,016,925
Repayments		(2,965,658)
1 3		
Ending balance	\$	1,979,385

The aggregate amount of deposits of directors and executive officers and their affiliates amounted to \$4,532,297 and \$2,273,811 at December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

(15) COMMITMENTS

The Bank is a party to financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments could include commitments to extend credit, standby letters of credit and financial guarantees. Those instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit risk in excess of the amount recognized in the balance sheet. The contract amounts of those instruments reflect the extent of involvement the Bank has in particular classes of financial instruments.

The exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other party to the financial instrument for commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit and financial guarantees written is represented by the contractual amount of those instruments. The Bank uses the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as it does for on-balance-sheet instruments.

In most cases, the Bank requires collateral or other security to support financial instruments with credit risk.

	Approximate Contract Amount					
		2003				
Financial instruments whose contract amounts represent credit risk:						
Commitments to extend credit	\$	34,864,762	\$	38,498,728		
Standby letters of credit	\$	2,452,472	\$	666,974		

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer, as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since many of the commitments may expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. The Bank evaluates each customer—s creditworthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary by the Bank, upon extension of credit is based on management—s credit evaluation. Collateral held varies but may include unimproved and improved real estate, certificates of deposit, or personal property.

Standby letters of credit and financial guarantees written are conditional commitments issued by the Bank to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. Those guarantees are primarily issued to local businesses. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities to customers.

The Bank has obtained lines of credit available with correspondent banks to purchase federal funds. At December 31, 2004, unused lines of credit totaled \$9,200,000.

The Company leases various office space for banking facilities under operating lease arrangements. Future minimum lease payments required for all operating leases having a remaining term in excess of one year at December 31, 2004 are as follows:

2005	\$ 84,000
2006	66,000

Edgar Filing: GEORGIA BANCSHARES INC// - Form 10-K

2007 2008	34,500 30,000
Total minimum obligation	\$ 214,500

Total rent expense was \$72,000, \$37,133 and \$37,342 for 2004, 2003 and 2002, repectively.

(16) FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The assumptions used in the estimation of the fair value of the Company s financial instruments are detailed below. Where quoted prices are not available, fair values are based on estimates using discounted cash flows and other valuation techniques. The use of discounted cash flows can be significantly affected by the assumptions used, including the discount rate and estimates of future cash flows. The following disclosures should not be considered a surrogate of the liquidation value of the Company, but rather a good-faith estimate of the increase or decrease in value of financial instruments held by the Company since purchase, origination or issuance.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

For cash, due from banks, interest-bearing deposits in other banks and federal funds sold, the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

Securities Available-for-Sale

Fair values for securities available-for-sale are based on quoted market prices.

Other Investments

The carrying value of other investments approximates fair value.

Loans

The fair value of fixed rate loans is estimated by discounting the future cash flows using the current rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings. For variable rate loans, the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

Bank Owned Life Insurance

The carrying value of bank owned life insurance reasonably approximates its fair value.

Deposits

The fair value of demand deposits, savings accounts, NOW accounts and money market deposits is the amount payable on demand at the reporting date. The fair value of fixed maturity certificates of deposit is estimated by discounting the future cash flows using current rates at which comparable certificates would be issued.

Securities Sold Under Agreements to Repurchase

The carrying value of securities sold under agreements to repurchase approximates fair value.

Federal Funds Purchased

The carrying value of federal funds purchased approximates fair value.

FHLB Advances

The fair value of FHLB advances is estimated based upon discounted future cash flows using a discount rate comparable to the current market rate for such borrowings.

Junior Subordinated Debentures

Because the junior subordinated debentures carry a variable interest rate that reprices frequently, their carrying value approximates fair value.

Commitments to Extend Credit and Standby Letters of Credit

Because commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit are made using variable rates and have short maturities, the carrying value and the fair value are immaterial.

Limitations

Fair value estimates are made at a specific point in time, based on relevant market information and information about the financial instrument. These estimates do not reflect any premium or discount that could result from offering for sale at one time the Company s entire holdings of a particular financial instrument. Because no market exists for a significant portion of the Company s financial instruments, fair value estimates are based on

many judgments. These estimates are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment and therefore cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect the estimates.

Fair value estimates are based on existing on- and off-balance-sheet financial instruments without attempting to estimate the value of anticipated future business and the value of assets and liabilities that are not considered financial instruments. Significant assets and liabilities that are not considered financial instruments include, deferred income taxes and premises and equipment. In addition, the tax ramifications related to the realization of the unrealized gains and losses can have a significant effect on fair value estimates and have not been considered in the estimates.

The carrying amount and estimated fair values (in thousands) of the Company s financial instruments at December 31,2004 and 2003 are as follows:

	2004 2003				
	Carrying Amount		Estimated Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Estimated Fair Value
Assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 9,747	\$	9,747	\$ 15,433	\$ 15,433
Securities available-for-sale	\$ 35,039	\$	35,039	\$ 34,148	\$ 34,148
Other securities	\$ 1,540	\$	1,540	\$ 1,358	\$ 1,358
Loans, net	\$ 189,641	\$	189,419	\$ 147,463	\$ 147,912
Bank owned life insurance	\$ 4,715	\$	4,715	\$ 0	\$ 0
Liabilities:					
Deposits	\$ 212,258	\$	212,857	\$ 181,968	\$ 183,743
Securities sold under agreements to					
repurchase	\$ 529	\$	529	\$ 670	\$ 670
Federal funds purchased	\$ 5,500	\$	5,500	\$ 0	\$ 0
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	\$ 2,000	\$	1,998	\$ 2,000	\$ 1,996
Junior subordinated debentures	\$ 6,702	\$	6,702	\$ 0	\$ 0

CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF GEORGIA BANCSHARES, INC.

(17)

CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS

as of December 31, 2004 and 2003

	2004	2003
<u>Assets</u>		
Cash	\$ 345,651	\$ 2,542,486
Investment in subsidiary	26,373,758	15,766,929
Other investments	1,158,900	956,900
Total assets	\$ 27,878,309	\$ 19,266,315
Liabilities and Shareholders Equity		
Junior subordinated debentures	\$ 6,702,000	\$ 0
Other liabilities	70,126	3,500
Total liabilities	6,772,126	3,500
Shareholders equity	21,106,183	19,262,815
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$ 27,878,309	\$ 19,266,315

CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF EARNINGS

for the year ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002 $\,$

	2004	2003	2002
Income:			
Interest income from bank subsidiary	\$ 15,305	\$ 47,213	\$ 80,856
Interest and dividends on investments	5,660	0	0
Total income	20,965	47,213	80,856
Expenses:			
Interest expense	80,883	0	3,385
Legal and accounting	71,236	46,210	13,059
Other expenses	44,475	47,016	23,610
Total expenses	196,594	93,226	40,054
Income (loss) before income taxes and equity in			
undistributed net earnings of subsidiary	(175,629)	(46,013)	40,802
Income taxes	0	0	8,500
Income (loss) before equity in undistributed net earnings of			
subsidiary	(175,629)	(46,013)	32,302
Equity in undistributed net earnings of subsidiary	2,021,757	1,369,060	559,631

Net earnings	\$ 1,846,128 \$	1,323,047 \$	591,933
	62		

CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

for the year ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002

	2004	2003	2002
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net earnings	\$ 1,846,128	\$ 1,323,047	7 \$ 591,933
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by			
(used in) operating activities:			
Equity in undistributed net earnings of subsidiary	(2,021,757)	(1,369,060	(559,631)
Other operating activities	66,626	(5,000	9,674
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	(109,003)	(51,013	81,976
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Capital infusion to subsidiary	(8,500,000)	(500,000	
Purchase of other investments	(202,000)	(650,900	/
Payments on other borrowings	0	((400,000)
Net cash used in investing activities	(8,702,000)	(1,150,900	(2,900,000)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Proceeds from junior subordinated debentures	6,702,000	(
Net proceeds of stock offering	0	(,,
Proceeds from exercise of warrants and options	200,648	92,973	
Payments on fractional shares	0	(4,517	
Proceeds from sale of treasury stock	0	42,185	
Purchase of treasury stock	0	(42,867	7) (1,772)
Settlement of stock purchase obligation	(288,480)	(0
		0= ==	
Net cash provided by financing activities	6,614,168	87,774	4 6,457,882
Net increase in cash	(2,196,835)	(1,114,139	9) 3,639,858
ivet increase in cash	(2,190,633)	(1,114,13)	5,039,636
Cash at beginning of year	2,542,486	3,656,625	5 16,767
	,- ,	- , ,	- /
Cash at end of year	\$ 345,651	\$ 2,542,486	5 \$ 3,656,625

63

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure
None.
Item 9A. Controls and Procedures
As of the end of the period covered by this report, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e). Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our current disclosure controls and procedures are effective as of December 31, 2004.
The design of any system of controls and procedures is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events. There can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions, regardless of how remote.
Item 9B. Other Information
There is no information required to be disclosed in a report on Form 8-K during the fourth quarter of 2004 that has not been reported.
PART III
Some information required by Part III is omitted from this annual report because we will file a definitive proxy statement pursuant to Regulation 14A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 not later than 120 days after the end of the financial year covered by this annual report, and this information is incorporated herein by reference into this annual report.
Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers, Promoters and Control Persons; Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act
The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference from our proxy statement for the annual meeting of shareholders to be held on May 11, 2005.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference from our proxy statement for the annual meeting of shareholders to be held on May 11, 2005.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference from our proxy statement for the annual meeting of shareholders to be held on May 11, 2005.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference from our proxy statement for the annual meeting of shareholders to be held on May 11, 2005.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference from our proxy statement for the annual meeting of shareholders to be held on May 11, 2005.

64

Item 15. Exhibit and Financial Statement Schedules

(a) (1) List of All Financial Statements. The following consolidated financial statements and report of independent certified public accountants of Georgia Bancshares are included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K:
Independent Auditors Reports
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2004 and 2003
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2004, 2003, and 2002
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(a) (2) The financial statement schedules are either included in the financial statements or are not applicable
(a) (3) Exhibits Required by Item 601. The following exhibits are attached hereto or incorporated by reference herein (numbered to correspond to Item 601(a) of Regulation S-K, as promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commmission):
3.1 Articles of Incorporation of the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Company s Registration Statement on Form SB-2, File No. 333-74710).

3.2 Bylaws of Statement on Form SB-2, Fi	the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3. le No. 333-74710).	2 of the Company s Registration
4.1 See Exhibit defining the rights of holders	its 3.1 and 3.2 for provisions in Georgia Bancshares s of the common stock.	Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws
	ertificate of common stock (incorporated by reference orm SB-2, File No. 333-74710).	to Exhibit 4.2 of the Company s
_	ancshares, Inc. 2001 Stock Incentive Plan (incorporate a Statement on Form SB-2; File No. 333-74710).	ed by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of
	Varrant Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhiborm SB-2; File No. 333-74710).	oit 10.2 of the Company s
	irst Amendment to Warrant Agreement (incorporated latement on Form SB-2; File No. 333-74710).	by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the
	econd Amendment to Warrant Agreement (incorporate a Statement on Form SB-2; File No. 333-74710).	ed by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of
	hird Amendment to Warrant Agreement. (incorporated Company's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year	·
5.1 Opinion Roon Form SB-2, File No. 333	egarding Legality (incorporated by reference to Exhib -74710).	it 5.1 of the Registration Statement
	Agreement dated June 1, 1999 between The Bank of Cod by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of the Company s Reg	

10.2 2004 (incorpor	Guarantee Agreement between the Company and Wilmington Trust Company, dated September 29, rated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company s Report of Form 8-K; File No. 000-50188)
	Amended and Restated Trust Agreement among the Company, Wilmington Trust Company and strative trustees, dated September 29, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company s m 8-K; File No. 000-50188)
21	Subsidiaries.
23.1	Consent of Porter Keadle Moore, LLP.
23.2	Consent of Abbott, Jordan & Koon, LLC.
24	Power of Attorney (contained on signature page hereof).
31.1 2002.	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of
31.2 2002.	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of
	Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the ey Act of 2002. This exhibit is not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of stead furnished as provided by applicable rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission.
	66

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

GEORGIA BANCSHARES, INC.

Date: March 29, 2005 By: /s/ Ira P. Shepherd, III

Ira P. Shepherd, III

President and Chief Executive Officer

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS, that each person whose signature appears below constitutes and appoints Ira P. Shepherd, III, his true and lawful attorney-in-fact and agent, with full power of substitution and resubstitution, for him and in his name, place and stead, in any and all capacities, to sign any and all amendments to this Annual Report on Form 10-KSB, and to file the same, with all exhibits thereto, and other documents in connection therewith, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, granting unto attorney-in-fact and agent full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing requisite or necessary to be done in and about the premises, as fully to all intents and purposes as he might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all that attorney-in-fact and agent, or his substitute or substitutes, may lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signatures	Title	Date
/s/ Ira P. Shepherd, III Ira P. Shepherd, III	President, Chief Executive Officer (principal executive officer), and Director	March 29, 2005
/s/ C. Lynn Gable C. Lynn Gable	Chief Financial Officer (principal accounting and financial officer)	March 29, 2005
/s/ Arlie C. Aukerman Arlie C. Aukerman	Director	March 29, 2005
/s/ Joseph S. Black Joseph S. Black	Vice Chairman, Director	March 29, 2005
/s/ Rick A. Duncan Rick A. Duncan	Director, Executive Vice President	March 29, 2005
/s/ Dale K. Geeslin Dale K. Geeslin	Secretary, Director	March 29, 2005

/s/ Malcolm R. Godwin Malcolm R. Godwin

Director, Executive Vice President

March 29, 2005

67

/s/ W. Robert Hancock W. Robert Hancock	Director	March 29, 2005
/s/ Vincent M. Rossetti Vincent M. Rossetti	Director	March 29, 2005
/s/ Donnie H. Russell Donnie H. Russell	Director	March 29, 2005
/s/ Thomas G. Sellmer Thomas G. Sellmer	Director	March 29, 2005
/s/ Enrico A. Stanziale Enrico A. Stanziale	Chairman, Director	March 29, 2005
/s/ James H. Webb, Jr. James H. Webb, Jr.	Director	March 29, 2005
	58	

Exhibit List

Articles of Incorporation of the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Com Registration Statement on Form SB-2, File No. 333-74710).	pany s
Bylaws of the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of the Company s Registration Statement on Form SB-2, File No. 333-74710).	ion
4.1 See Exhibits 3.1 and 3.2 for provisions in Georgia Bancshares Articles of Incorporation and Edefining the rights of holders of the common stock.	3ylaws
Form of certificate of common stock (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 of the Company Registration Statement on Form SB-2, File No. 333-74710).	S
Georgia Bancshares, Inc. 2001 Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 the Company s Registration Statement on Form SB-2; File No. 333-74710).	of
Form of Warrant Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company s Registration Statement on Form SB-2; File No. 333-74710).	
Form of First Amendment to Warrant Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of Company s Registration Statement on Form SB-2; File No. 333-74710).	the
Form of Second Amendment to Warrant Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 the Company s Registration Statement on Form SB-2; File No. 333-74710).	of
Form of Third Amendment to Warrant Agreement. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.7 of Second Amendment to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2002; I No. 333-74710)	

10.1 Reid Hutchings File No. 333-74	Real Estate Agreement dated June 1, 1999 between The Bank of Georgia and Charles B. Miles & S. s (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of the Company s Registration Statement on Form SB-2; 4710).
10.2 2004 (incorpora	Guarantee Agreement between the Company and Wilmington Trust Company, dated September 29, ated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company s Report of Form 8-K; File No. 000-50188)
	Amended and Restated Trust Agreement among the Company, Wilmington Trust Company and trative trustees, dated September 29, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company s n 8-K; File No. 000-50188)
21	Subsidiaries.
23.1	Consent of Porter Keadle Moore, LLP.
23.2	Consent of Abbott, Jordan & Koon, LLC.
24	Power of Attorney (contained on signature page hereof).
31.1 2002.	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of
31.2 2002.	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of
	Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the y Act of 2002. This exhibit is not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of read furnished as provided by applicable rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission.
	69