# NORTH AMERICAN GALVANIZING & COATINGS INC

Form 10-K

February 14, 2007

\_\_\_\_\_\_

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

(X) ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

OR

( ) TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM TO

COMMISSION FILE NUMBER 1-3920

NORTH AMERICAN GALVANIZING & COATINGS, INC. (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

71-0268502

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

5314 SOUTH YALE AVENUE, SUITE 1000, TULSA, OKLAHOMA 74135 (Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(918) 494-0964

(REGISTRANT'S TELEPHONE number, including AREA code

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

TITLE OF EACH CLASS NAME OF EACH EXCHANGE ON WHICH REGISTERED

Common Stock, \$.10 par value American Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(q) of the Act: NONE

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes  $[\ ]$  No [X]

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes []No [X]

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes [X] No []

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K. [X]

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the

Exchange Act).

Large accelerated filer [ ] Accelerated Filer [ ] Non-accelerated filer [X]

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes []No [X]

The aggregate market value of Common Stock held by non-affiliates on June 30, 2006 was approximately \$27.0 million. As of February 13, 2007 there were 8,111,672 shares of North American Galvanizing & Coatings, Inc. Common Stock, \$.10 par value, outstanding.

### DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the registrant's definitive proxy statement to be filed not later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this report are incorporated by reference in Part III.

\_\_\_\_\_

NORTH AMERICAN GALVANIZING & COATINGS, INC. ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FORWARD	LOOKI	NG STATEMENTS OR INFORMATION	3
PART T			
Ttem	1.	Business	4
		Risk Factors	7
Item	1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	9
Item	2.	Properties	9
	3.	-	10
	4.		11
PART II			
Item	5.	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder	
		Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	11
Item	6.	Selected Financial Data	12
Item	7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation	13
Item	7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	13
Item	8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	13
Item	9.	Changes in Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	13
Item	9A.	Controls and Procedures	13
Item	9B.	Other Information	13
PART III	Ι		
Item		Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	14
Item	11.	Executive Compensation	14
Item	12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	14
Item	13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and	
		Director Independence	14
Item	14.	Principal Accounting Fees and Services	14
PART IV			
Item	15.	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	14

PAGE

2

#### FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS OR INFORMATION

Certain statements in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, including information set forth under the caption "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, "constitute "Forward-Looking Statements" within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Such statements are typically punctuated by words or phrases such as "anticipates," "estimate," "should," "may," "management believes," and words or phrases of similar import. The Company cautions investors that such forward-looking statements included in this Form 10-K, or hereafter included in other publicly available documents filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, reports to the Company's stockholders and other publicly available statements issued or released by the Company involve significant risks, uncertainties, and other factors which could cause the Company's actual results, performance (financial or operating) or achievements to differ materially from the future results, performance (financial or operating) or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences could include, but are not limited to, changes in demand, prices, the raw materials cost of zinc and the cost of natural gas; changes in economic conditions of the various markets the Company serves, as well as the other risks detailed herein and in the Company's reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The Company believes that the important factors set forth in the Company's cautionary statements in Exhibit 99 to this Form 10-K could cause such a material difference to occur and investors are referred to Exhibit 99 for such cautionary statements.

3

#### PART I

#### ITEM 1. BUSINESS

The Company's corporate headquarters are located in Tulsa, Oklahoma. As used in this report, except where otherwise stated or indicated by the context, North American Galvanizing (the "Company" and the "Registrant") means North American Galvanizing & Coatings, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiary. At the Company's Annual Meeting held May 14, 2003, stockholders approved an amendment of the Company's certificate of incorporation to change the Company's name from Kinark Corporation to North American Galvanizing & Coatings, Inc., effective July 1, 2003. The former Kinark Corporation was incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware in January 1955.

North American Galvanizing is a manufacturing services holding company currently conducting business in galvanizing and coatings through its wholly-owned subsidiary, North American Galvanizing Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries ("NAG"). Formed in 1996, NAG merged with Rogers Galvanizing Company ("Rogers") in 1996 and Boyles Galvanizing Company ("Boyles") in 1997, with NAG as the surviving company. Rogers was acquired by the Company in 1996 and Boyles was acquired in 1969.

On February 28, 2005, NAGalv-Ohio, Inc., a Delaware corporation and indirect subsidiary of the Company, purchased the hot-dip galvanizing assets of Gregory Industries, located in Canton, Ohio.

#### AVAILABLE INFORMATION

The Company's annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, the Statements of Beneficial Ownership of Securities on Forms 3, 4 and 5 for Directors and Officers of the Company and all amendments to such reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, are available free of charge at the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") website at www.sec.gov. The Company's website at www.nagalv.com contains a link to the SEC website. The Company has also posted on the website its (1) Corporate Governance Guidelines; (2) Code of Business Conduct and Ethics and, (3) the Company's charters for the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee, and the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee.

#### GALVANIZING

The Company conducts a service, galvanizing and coating operations, through its NAG subsidiary. NAG is principally engaged in hot dip galvanizing of metal products and components fabricated and owned by its customers. All of NAG's revenue is generated from the value-added galvanizing and coating of customer-owned products. NAG galvanizes iron and steel products by immersing them in molten zinc. This bonding process produces an alloyed metal surface that provides an effective barrier ("cathodic protection") against oxidation and corrosion from exposure to the elements, for up to 50 years. Additional coating services provided by NAG include sandblasting, quenching, metalizing (flame sprayed), centrifuge spinner galvanizing, Corrocote Classic II painting and INFRASHIELDsm Coating.

#### PLANTS

NAG operates 11 galvanizing plants in seven states. These strategically located plants enable NAG to compete effectively by providing galvanizing to manufacturers representing a broad range of basic industries throughout the mid and south-central United States, and beyond. Its galvanizing plants are located in Tulsa, Oklahoma; Kansas City, Missouri; St. Louis, Missouri; Nashville, Tennessee; Louisville, Kentucky; Denver, Colorado; Canton, Ohio; Hurst, Texas and Houston, Texas.

4

In February 2005, NAG's new indirect subsidiary, NAGalv-Ohio, Inc. purchased the hot-dip galvanizing assets of the galvanizing facility located in Canton, Ohio, listed above. The Canton facility operates two hot-dip galvanizing lines featuring 52-foot and 16-foot kettles to handle a broad range of steel structures.

In June 2003, NAG wrote-off its investment in the Cunningham galvanizing plant located in Houston, Texas as a discontinued operation.

In January 2003, NAG expanded services at its Nashville, Tennessee facility with the installation of a centrifuge spinner line to galvanize small product and threaded materials.

In the fourth quarter of 2002, NAG began operations at its galvanizing plant in St. Louis, Missouri. This facility features a 51-foot kettle, providing the largest galvanizing capacity in the St. Louis region.

In the third quarter of 2002, at certain of its plants, NAG introduced INFRASHIELDsm coating, a specialty multi-part polymer coating system designed to be applied over hot dip galvanized material. The resultant superior corrosion protection offered by combining cathodic protection with a non-conductive coating is applicable to many environments that have unique corrosion issues.

In 2001, the Company completed a major expansion of its galvanizing operations with the construction of a new galvanizing plant in Houston, Texas. This facility includes a 62-foot galvanizing kettle with capabilities to process extra large poles for the wireless communication and electric transmission markets. The facility became operational in the first quarter of 2001.

#### RAW MATERIAL

Zinc, the primary raw material and largest cost component in the Company's galvanizing process, is currently readily available. The market price of zinc is volatile. During 2005, the spot price of zinc quoted on the London Metal Exchange increased \$.30 per pound, starting the year at \$.57 per pound and ending the year at \$.87 per pound. The zinc price continued to increase during 2006, ending the year at \$1.96, a 125% increase over the 2005 year end price. The Company's zinc procurement strategy to minimize the potential risk associated with zinc price fluctuations primarily includes entering into forward purchase commitments for physical delivery of up to one year.

#### CUSTOMERS

NAG's ten largest customers, on a combined basis, accounted for approximately 36% of the Company's consolidated sales in 2006, compared with 37% in 2005. The backlog of orders at NAG is generally nominal due to the short turn-around time requirement of customers which is generally demanded in the galvanizing industry.

#### PRINCIPAL MARKETS

The galvanizing process provides effective corrosion protection of fabricated steel which is used in numerous markets such as petrochemical, highway and transportation, energy, utilities, communications, irrigation, pulp and paper, waste water treatment, food processing, recreation and the manufacture of original equipment. In 2006, NAG galvanized in excess of 400,000,000 pounds of steel products for approximately 1,700 customers nationwide.

The Company maintains a sales and service network coupled with its galvanizing plants, supplemented by national account business development at the corporate level.

5

All of the Company's sales are generated for domestic customers whose end markets are principally in the United States. The Company markets its galvanizing and coating services directly to its customers and does not utilize agents or distributors. Although hot dip galvanizing is considered a mature service industry, the Company is actively engaged in developing new markets through participation in industry trade shows, metals trade associations and presentation of technical seminars by its national marketing service team.

Hot dip galvanizing is highly competitive. NAG competes with other publicly and privately owned independent galvanizing companies, captive galvanizing facilities operated by manufacturers, and alternative forms of corrosion protection such as paint. The type and number of competitors vary throughout the geographic areas in which NAG does business. Competition is driven primarily by price, rapid turn-around service time, and the quality of the finished

galvanized product. Management believes that the broad geographic disbursement of its galvanizing plants and the reliable quality of its service enables NAG to compete on a favorable basis. The Company continues to develop and implement operating and market strategies to maintain its competitive position and to develop new markets, as demonstrated by the purchase of the hot-dip galvanizing assets of a galvanizing facility in Canton, Ohio (2005), as well as expanded service capabilities at its existing plants.

Our management does not generally consider our business to be seasonal due to the breadth and diversity of markets served, although sales volumes typically are lower in the first and fourth quarters due to seasonality in certain construction markets. NAG generated 50% of its sales volume during the first six-months of 2006, compared with 45% in the first six-months of 2005. If the purchase of the galvanizing facility in Canton, Ohio had taken place on January 1 of 2005, the revenues generated during the first six-months of 2005 would have been 47%.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL

The Company's facilities are subject to extensive environmental legislation and regulation affecting their operations and the discharge of wastes. The cost of compliance with such regulations was approximately \$1,333,000 and \$1,354,000 in 2006 and 2005, respectively, for the disposal and recycling of wastes generated by the galvanizing operations.

#### EMPLOYEE RELATIONS

NAG's labor agreement with the United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy Allied Industrial and Service Workers International Union covering production workers at its Tulsa galvanizing plants expired October 31, 2006. The union ratified a two-year extension of the expiring agreement, with minor modifications, extending the expiration date of the agreement to October 31, 2008. The extension of the agreement brought employee contributions to the group health plan more closely in line with contributions made by non-union employees of the Company.

The United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy Allied Industrial and Service Workers International Union represented the labor force at the galvanizing facility purchased in Canton, Ohio in February 2005. At the time of purchase, NAGalv-Ohio, Inc. did not assume the existing labor agreement and implemented wage and benefit programs similar to those at the Company's other galvanizing facilities. In the fourth quarter of 2006, negotiations with the union were finalized. The union ratified an agreement effective from November 13, 2006 to November 12, 2009. The agreement contains wage and benefit programs similar to those implemented in February, 2005.

Nationwide, the Company's total employment was 368 and 361 persons at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. In February 2005, the Company added 45 persons with the purchase of a galvanizing facility located in Canton, Ohio. The Company believes its relationship with its employees is satisfactory.

6

#### ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

In addition to important factors described elsewhere in this report, North American Galvanizing cautions current and potential investors that the following risk factors, among others, sometimes have affected, and in the future could affect, the Company's actual results and could cause such results during fiscal 2007, and beyond, to differ materially from those expressed in any forward-looking statements made by or on behalf of North American Galvanizing.

If any of the following risks actually occurs, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially adversely affected and you may lose all of your investment.

GALVANIZING IS A BUSINESS SENSITIVE TO ECONOMIC DOWNTURNS, WHICH COULD CAUSE OUR REVENUES TO DECREASE. NAG is principally engaged in hot dip galvanizing of metal products and components fabricated by its customers. All of the Company's revenue is generated from the value-added galvanizing and coating of its customer's products. NAG galvanizes iron and steel products by immersing them in molten zinc. This bonding process produces an alloyed metal surface that provides an effective barrier ("cathodic protection") against oxidation and corrosion from exposure to the elements, for up to 50 years. The galvanizing process provides effective corrosion protection of fabricated steel which is used in numerous markets such as petrochemical, highway and transportation, energy, utilities, communications, irrigation, pulp and paper, waste water treatment, food processing, recreation and the manufacture of original equipment. The demand for these products and, in turn, for our galvanizing, is dependent on the general economy, the industries listed, and other factors affecting domestic goods activity. If there is a reduction in demand, there could be a material adverse effect on price levels, the quantity of galvanizing services provided by us and our revenues.

THE VOLATILITY AND AVAILABILITY OF RAW MATERIAL AND NATURAL GAS COSTS COULD REDUCE THE COMPANY'S PROFITS. Purchased zinc and natural gas, combined, represent the largest portion of cost of goods sold. NAG's costs in 2005 relating to zinc and natural gas increased dramatically over the prior year. The price and availability of zinc and natural gas that is used in the galvanizing process is highly competitive and cyclical. The following factors, most of which are beyond the Company's control, affect the price of zinc and natural gas:

- o supply and demand factors;
- o freight costs and transportation availability;
- o inventory levels;
- o trade duties and taxes; and
- o labor disputes.

The Company seeks to maintain its profit margin by attempting to increase the price of its services in response to an increase in costs, but may not be successful in passing these price increases through to its customers.

NORTH AMERICAN GALVANIZING & COATINGS, INC. IS INVOLVED IN A LAWSUIT CONCERNING A FORMER SUBSIDIARY'S OPERATION OF A STORAGE TERMINAL IN VIOLATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL laws. In 2004, attorneys for the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago (the "Water District") filed a complaint in District Court, naming North American Galvanizing & Coatings, Inc. as an added defendant. This Complaint seeks enforcement of an August 12, 2004 default judgment in the amount of \$1,810,463.34 against a former subsidiary of the Company, Lake River Corporation and Lake River Holding Company, Inc. The default judgment is in connection with the operation of a storage terminal by Lake River Corporation in violation of environmental laws. The Complaint asserts that prior to the sale of Lake River Corporation, North American Galvanizing directly operated the Lake River facility. The Water District seeks to have the Court pierce the corporate veil of Lake River Corporation and enforce the default judgment order of August 12, 2004 against

7

the Company. In December 2004, the Water District filed another complaint in the litigation, adding two claims: (1) a common law claim for nuisance; and (2) a claim under the federal Resource Conservation and Recovery Act. In this claim, the Water District argues that the Company is responsible for conditions on the

plaintiff's property that present an "imminent and substantial endangerment to human health and the environment. In January 2005, the Company filed a partial motion to dismiss the Third Amended Complaint. On April 12, 2005, the Court issued an order denying in part and granting in part the Company's partial motion to dismiss plaintiff's third amended complaint. The Company filed an appeal with the Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals requesting dismissal of the sole CERCLA claim contained in the Third Amended Complaint that was not dismissed by the United States District Court's April 12, 2005 order. On January 17, 2007, the Seventh Circuit affirmed the judgment of the United States District Court, stating that the Water District has a right of action under CERCLA. The Company is evaluating the judgment and expects to file a motion for reconsideration with the Seventh Circuit.

Meanwhile, litigation and discovery in the trial court have been stayed pending mediation. The mediation is governed by a Settlement Protocol and Standstill Agreement (the "Protocol") negotiated and signed by the parties. The Protocol states that the parties will minimize discovery to focus on mediation. The court approved the Protocol without setting any deadlines for the case. If the mediation fails, the parties will resume discovery and the litigation in the United States District Court will continue.

At this time, the Company has incurred a significant amount of legal costs to defend this case and can not estimate the amount of any liability that may result from this matter. Such a liability, if any, could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, results of operations, or liquidity.

THE ADDITION OF HOT-DIP GALVANIZING CAPACITY COULD REDUCE DEMAND FOR GALVANIZING SERVICES AND ADVERSELY AFFECT REVENUES. Galvanizing is a highly competitive business with relatively low barriers to entry. NAG competes with other galvanizing companies, captive galvanizing facilities operated by manufacturers and alternate forms of corrosion protection such as paint. Excessive capacity in hot-dip galvanizing could have a material adverse effect on price levels and the quantity of galvanized services provided by the Company.

THE COMPANY MAY NOT HAVE SUFFICIENT MANAGEMENT RESOURCES IF THERE IS TURNOVER IN KEY PERSONNEL. Providing a competitive service acceptable in quality and price requires a management team that is technically skilled in providing galvanizing services. In past years, the Company has downsized administrative and management positions as a result of cost-cutting initiatives. Lack of management resources could impact the Company's ability to operate and compete in the galvanizing industry.

VARIOUS GOVERNMENTAL REGULATIONS AND ENVIRONMENTAL RISKS APPLICABLE TO THE GALVANIZING BUSINESS MAY REQUIRE THE COMPANY TO TAKE ACTIONS WHICH WILL ADVERSELY AFFECT ITS RESULTS OF OPERATIONS. The Company's business is subject to numerous federal, state, provincial, local and foreign laws and regulations, including regulations with respect to air emissions, storm water and the generation, handling, storage, transportation, treatment and disposal of waste materials. Although NAG believes it is in substantial compliance with all applicable laws and regulations, legal requirements are frequently changed and subject to interpretation, and the presently unpredictable ultimate cost of compliance with these requirements could affect operations. The Company may be required to make significant expenditures to comply with governmental laws and regulations. Existing laws or regulations, as currently interpreted or reinterpreted in the future, or future laws or regulations, could have a material adverse effect on the results of operations and financial condition.

8

IMPACTED BY FUTURE ACQUISITIONS OR BY A LACK OF POTENTIAL ACQUISITION CANDIDATES. From time to time, the Company evaluates potential acquisition opportunities to support and strengthen its business. NAG may not be able to locate suitable acquisition candidates, acquire candidates on acceptable terms or integrate acquired businesses successfully. In addition, NAG may be required to incur additional debt and contingent liabilities, or to issue shares of its common stock in order to consummate future acquisitions. Such issuances might have a dilutive effect on current equity holders.

DIFFICULTIES IN INTEGRATING POTENTIAL ACQUISITIONS COULD ADVERSELY AFFECT THE COMPANY'S BUSINESS, OPERATING RESULTS AND FINANCIAL CONDITION. The process of integrating acquired businesses effectively involves the following risks:

- o assimilating operations and products may be unexpectedly difficult;
- o management's attention may be diverted from other business concerns;
- o the Company may enter markets in which it has limited or no direct experience; and
- o the Company may lose key employees of an acquired business.

THE COMPANY'S BOARD OF DIRECTORS, WHOSE INTERESTS MAY NOT BE ALIGNED WITH OTHER SHAREHOLDERS, WILL BE ABLE TO INFLUENCE THE OUTCOME OF SHAREHOLDER VOTES. As of December 31, 2006, the Company's board of directors collectively owned approximately 34.6% of the Company's common stock. Accordingly, the directors, as a group, will be able to significantly influence the outcome of shareholder votes, including votes concerning the election of directors, the adoption or amendment of provisions in NAG's certificate of incorporation or bylaws, and the approval of mergers and other significant corporate transactions, and their interests may not be aligned with other shareholders. The existence of these levels of ownership concentrated in a few persons makes it less likely that any other holder of common stock will be able to affect the Company's management or direction. These factors may also have the effect of delaying or preventing a change in management or voting control or the Company's acquisition by a third party.

TERMS OF THE COMPANY'S EXISTING BANK TERM LOAN AND REVOLVING CREDIT FACILITY RESTRICT CERTAIN ASPECTS OF THE COMPANY'S OPERATIONS. These restrictions include specified minimum values for the net worth and working capital and a maximum debt to net worth ratio for the Company, and limitations on incurring additional debt or capital expenditures or engaging in acquisitions and dispositions by the Company. Among the foregoing, the most restrictive covenant is the requirement that the aggregate expenditures for capital expenditures, debt and interest not exceed the aggregate of earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA). While the Company has maintained continuous compliance with all of the required financial covenants of the credit facility since the first quarter of 2005, there can be no assurance that the Company will be able to continue to comply with these restrictions without disrupting its business.

### ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

No unresolved staff comments were open as of the date of this report, February 14, 2007.

#### ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

NAG operates hot dip galvanizing plants located in Ohio, Oklahoma, Missouri, Texas, Colorado, Tennessee and Kentucky. Two of the Company's plants, located in Kansas City, Missouri and Tulsa, Oklahoma, are leased under terms which give NAG the option to extend the leases for up to 15 years. NAG's galvanizing plants average 20,000 square feet in size, with the largest approximately 55,000 square feet, and it operates

zinc kettles ranging in length from 16 to 62 feet. The Company owns all of its galvanizing plants, except for the two plants noted above. All of the Company's owned galvanizing plants are pledged as collateral to a bank pursuant to a credit agreement scheduled to expire February 28, 2008, under which the Company is provided an \$8,000,000 revolving credit facility and a \$5,001,000 term loan.

The Company's headquarters office is located in Tulsa, Oklahoma, in approximately 4,600 square feet of office space leased through February, 2009.

#### ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

On August 30, 2004, the Company was informed by counsel for the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago (the "Water District") that the Water District had, on August 25, 2004 filed a Second Amended Complaint in the United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division, naming North American Galvanizing & Coatings, Inc. (formerly known as Kinark Corporation) as an added defendant. Counsel for the Water District also gave the Company notice of the Water District's intent to file (or amend the Complaint to include) a Citizens Suit under the Resource Compensation and Recovery Act ("RCRA") against North American Galvanizing & Coatings, Inc., pursuant to Section 7002 of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. Section 6972. This Second Amended Complaint seeks enforcement of an August 12, 2004 default judgment in the amount of \$1,810,463.34 against Lake River Corporation and Lake River Holding Company, Inc. in connection with the operation of a storage terminal by Lake River Corporation in violation of environmental laws. Lake River Corporation conducted business as a subsidiary of the Company until September 30, 2000, at which time Lake River Corporation was sold to Lake River Holding Company, Inc. and ceased to be a subsidiary of the Company. The Second Amended Complaint asserts that prior to the sale of Lake River Corporation, the Company directly operated the Lake River facility and, accordingly, seeks to have the Court pierce the corporate veil of Lake River Corporation and enforce the default judgment order of August 12, 2004 against the Company. The Company denies the assertions set forth in the Water District's Complaint and on November 13, 2004 filed a partial motion for dismissal of the Second Amended Complaint.

In December 2004, the Water District filed a Third Amended complaint in the litigation, adding two claims: (1) a common law claim for nuisance; and (2) a claim under the federal Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, in which the Water District argues that the Company is responsible for conditions on the plaintiff's property that present an "imminent and substantial endangerment to human health and the environment." In January 2005, the Company filed a partial motion to dismiss the Third Amended Complaint. On April 12, 2005, the Court issued an order denying in part and granting in part the Company's partial motion to dismiss plaintiff's third amended complaint. The Company filed an appeal with the Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals requesting dismissal of the sole CERCLA claim contained in the Third Amended Complaint that was not dismissed by the United States District Court's April 12, 2005 order. On January 17, 2007, the Seventh Circuit affirmed the judgment of the United States District Court, stating that the Water District has a right of action under CERCLA. The Company is evaluating the judgment and expects to file a motion for reconsideration with the Seventh Circuit.

Meanwhile, litigation and discovery in the trial court have been stayed pending mediation. The mediation is governed by a Settlement Protocol and Standstill Agreement (the "Protocol") negotiated and signed by the parties. The Protocol states that the parties will minimize discovery to focus on mediation. The court approved the Protocol without setting any deadlines for the case. If the mediation fails, the parties will resume discovery and the litigation in the United States District Court will continue.

The Company has denied any liability with respect to this claim and intends to vigorously defend this case. The Company has not recorded any liability related to the Water District claim and the estimated timeframe for resolution is unknown. The potential claim with respect to the Water District claim could exceed the amount of the default judgment. As liability and piercing of the corporate veil are being contested and neither

10

a site evaluation nor a remediation plan has been developed, the Company is unable to make a reasonable estimate of the amount or range of loss, if any, that could result from an unfavorable outcome. Such a liability, if any, could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, results of operations, or liquidity.

NAG was notified in 1997 by the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency ("IEPA") that it was one of approximately 60 potentially responsible parties ("PRPs") under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Information System ("CERCLIS") in connection with cleanup of an abandoned site formerly owned by Sandoval Zinc Co. A number of the PRPs have agreed to work together and with IEPA on a voluntary basis. The Company has been and continues to participate in this volunteer group. The group has retained consultants and legal representatives familiar with IEPA regulations. This volunteer group, with its consultants, has cooperated with IEPA in attempting to better define the environmental issues associated with the Sandoval Zinc site. To that extent, this voluntary group prepared and submitted to IEPA in August 2000 a work plan. The purpose of this work plan is to attempt to define the extent of environmental remediation that might be required, assess risks, and review alternatives to addressing potential remediation. The estimated timeframe for resolution of the IEPA contingency is unknown. The IEPA has yet to respond to this proposed work plan or suggest any other course of action, and there has been no activity in regards to this issue since 2001. The Company does not have any liability accrued relating to the IEPA matter. Until the work plan is approved and completed, the range of potential loss or remediation, if any, is unknown. In addition, the allocation of potential loss between the 60 potentially responsible parties is unknown and not reasonably estimable. Therefore, the Company has no basis for determining potential exposure and estimated remediation costs at this time.

The lease term of a galvanizing facility located in Tulsa, Oklahoma, occupied by Reinforcing Services, Inc. ("RSI"), a subsidiary of North American Galvanizing Company, expired July 31, 2003 and has not been renewed. RSI has exercised an option to purchase the facility, and the landlord is contesting the Company's right to exercise this option. RSI has filed a lawsuit against the landlord seeking enforcement of the right to exercise the option and requested a summary judgment in its favor. The court ruled in favor of RSI and as a result, RSI is negotiating terms of the purchase and related items with the landlord. The Company expects this matter to be resolved during 2007 without negative financial impact.

North American Galvanizing & Coatings, Inc. and its subsidiary are parties to a number of other lawsuits which are not discussed herein. Management of the Company, based upon their analysis of known facts and circumstances and reports from legal counsel, does not believe that any such matter will have a material adverse effect on the results of operations, financial conditions or cash flows of the Company.

#### ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

No matters were submitted to a vote of stockholders during the fourth quarter of 2006.

#### PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

#### STOCK INFORMATION

The Company's common stock trades on the American Stock Exchange under the symbol "NGA". The Company does not expect to pay a dividend on its common stock and has not done so in the past 30 years. The Company expects to continue that policy in order to reinvest earnings to support and expand its business

11

operations. The Company's board of directors may review the dividend policy in the future, recognizing that dividends may be a desirable form of return on the investment made by many of its stockholders. Stockholders of record at February 13, 2007 numbered approximately 1,235.

#### QUARTERLY STOCK PRICES

	First	Second	Third	Fourth
2005				
High	\$ 3.47	\$ 2.77	\$ 2.64	\$ 2.32
Low	\$ 1.95	\$ 1.79	\$ 1.87	\$ 1.90
2006				
High	\$ 3.00	\$ 6.31	\$ 8.77	\$ 7.35
Low	\$ 2.01	\$ 2.80	\$ 4.41	\$ 5.16

#### ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Period (from/to)	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plan	Approximat Dollar Val of Shares that May Y be Purchas Under the Plan
February 1, 2006 - February 28, 2006 May 1, 2006 - May 31, 2006 August 1, 2006 - August 31, 2006 October 1, 2006 - October 31, 2006	200 75 260 200	\$ 2.25 4.14 7.83 6.05	132,461 132,536 132,796 132,996	\$ 765,397 765,087 763,051 761,841
Total	735 =====	\$ 5.45	132,996 ======	\$ 761,841

In August 1998, the Board of Directors authorized \$1,000,000 for a share repurchase program for shares to be purchased in private or open market transactions. Unless terminated earlier by resolution of the Board of Directors, the program will expire when the Company has purchased shares with an aggregate purchase price of no more than \$1,000,000.

The information required by this item concerning securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans appears under the heading "Equity Compensation Plan Information in the Company's Proxy Statement (the "2006 Proxy

Statement") or the Company's Annual Report to Shareholders (the "2006 Annual Report") for its annual meeting of stockholders to be held on May 15, 2007 and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The selected financial data for years 2002 through 2006 are presented on page FS-36 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

12

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The index to Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations is presented on page 19 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Management's discussion of quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk is presented on page FS-13.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

The index to Financial Statements and Supplementary Data is presented on pages 14-15 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The certifying officers of the Company are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14) for the Company and have (i) designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the Company, including its consolidated subsidiary, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time frames specified in the SEC's rules and forms and that such information is made known to them by others within the Company and such entity to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosures; and (ii) along with other members of management, evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on this evaluation, the chief executive officer and the chief financial officer of the Company have concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective during the period being reported on in this annual report.

The Company's certifying officers have indicated that there were no significant changes in internal controls over financial reporting that have occurred during the fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2006 that materially affected, or were reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

#### PART III

#### ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The information contained under the headings "Directors and Executive Officers," and "Company Information Available on Website" in the 2007 Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

#### ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by this item appears in the 2007 Proxy Statement under the headings "Compensation of Directors and Executive Officers" and "Compensation Plans" and is incorporated herein by reference. Information regarding the Company's stock option plans appears herein on pages FS-23 to FS-24, Footnotes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The information required by this item concerning security ownership of certain beneficial owners and management appears in the 2007 Proxy Statement under the heading "Security Ownership of Principal Stockholders and Management" and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information required by this item concerning certain relationships and related transactions and director independence appears in the 2007 Proxy Statement under the heading "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence" and is incorporated herein by reference.

#### ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

Information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference from the 2007 Proxy Statement under the caption "Independent Public Accountants."

#### PART IV

#### ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

The following documents are filed as part of this report:

#### (1) FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	FS-16
Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2006 and 2005	FS-17
Consolidated Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004	FS-18
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004	FS-19
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004	FS-20

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

FS-21 to FS-34

(2) FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

Schedule II - Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

17

All schedules omitted are inapplicable or the information required is included in either the consolidated financial statements or the related notes to the consolidated financial statements.

(3) EXHIBITS

The Exhibits filed with or incorporated by reference into this report are listed in the following Index to Exhibits.

15

#### EXHIBIT INDEX

#### NO. DESCRIPTION

- 3.1 Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Kinark Corporation, as amended on June 6, 1996 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Company's Pre-Effective Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement on Form S-3, Registration No. 333-4937, filed with the Commission on June 7, 1996).
- 3.2 Amended and Restated Bylaws of Kinark Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q dated March 31, 1996)
- 10.1 Credit Agreement, dated September 24, 1999, between Kinark Corporation, a Delaware corporation, and Bank One, Oklahoma, N.A., National Association, a national banking association.
- 10.1.1 Amendment One to Credit Agreement, March 30, 2001.

- 10.1.2\* Amendment Two to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, November 26, 2001.
- 10.1.3 Amendment Three to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, September 26, 2003 (incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 10-Q filed with the Commission on November 7, 2003.
- 10.1.4\* Amendment Four to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, December 15, 2004.
- 10.1.5 Amendment Five to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, February 28, 2005 (incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 8-K filed with the Commission on March 4, 2005).
- 10.2\*\* 2004 Incentive Stock Plan, as amended (incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 8-K filed with the Commission on October 3, 2006).
- 10.2.1\*\* Form of Stock Option Agreement (incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 8-K filed with the Commission on March 18, 2005).
- 10.2.2\*\* Schedule A to Stock Option Agreement (incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 8-Kfiled with the Commission on March 18, 2005).
- 10.3\*\* Director Stock Unit Program, as amended (incorporated by reference to
   the Company's Form 8-K filed with the Commission on February 17,
   2006).
- 21.\* Subsidiaries of the Registrant.
- 23.\* Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
- 24.1\* Power of attorney from Directors: Linwood J. Bundy, Ronald J. Evans, T. Stephen Gregory, Gilbert L. Klemann, II, Patrick J. Lynch, Joseph J. Morrow and John H. Sununu.
- 31.1\* Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes, Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2\* Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes, Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32\*. Certifications pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 99\* Cautionary Statements by the Company Regarding Forward Looking Statements.
  - \*Filed Herewith. \*\*Indicates management contract or compensation plan.

16

SCHEDULE II

VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS

YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006, 2005 AND 2004:

Balance at Beginning

Balance at End

Description	of Year	Additions	Deductions	of Year
Allowance for doubtful receivables and credit memos(deducted from accounts receivable)				
2006	\$124,000	\$100,000	\$27,000	\$197,000
2005	257,000	29,000	162,000	124,000
2004	339,000	50,000	132,000	257,000

17

#### SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 and 15(d) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, as duly authorized.

NORTH AMERICAN GALVANIZING & COATINGS, INC. (Registrant)

Date: February 14, 2007 By: /s/ Beth B. Hood

Beth B. Hood Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below on February 14, 2007, by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities indicated.

/s/ Joseph J. Morrow*	/s/ Patrick J. Lynch*
Joseph J. Morrow, Non-Executive Chairman of the Board	Patrick J. Lynch, Director
	/s/ Gilbert L. Klemann, II*
/s/ Ronald J. Evans*	
	Gilbert L. Klemann, II
Ronald J. Evans, President and	
Chief Executive Officer (Principal	/s/ John H. Sununu*
Executive Officer), and Director	

John H. Sununu, Director

/s/ Beth B. Hood

Beth B. Hood, Vice President, Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer), and Secretary

/s/ Linwood J. Bundy\*

Linwood J. Bundy, Director

/s/ T. Stephen Gregory\*

T. Stephen Gregory, Director

\*Beth B. Hood, by signing her name hereto, does hereby sign this Annual Report on Form 10-K on behalf of each of the directors and officers of the Registrant after whose typed names asterisks appear pursuant to powers of attorney duly executed by such directors and officers and filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission as exhibits to this report.

By: /s/ Beth B. Hood

Beth B. Hood, Attorney-in-fact

18

INDEX TO MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS, CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

	PAGE
Management's Discussion and Analysis	FS-1 to FS-14
Management's Responsibility for Financial Statements	FS-15
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	FS-16
Consolidated Balance Sheets	FS-17
Consolidated Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income	FS-18
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	FS-19
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity	FS-20
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	FS-21 to FS-34
Quarterly Results	FS-35
Selected Financial Data	FS-36

19

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

#### GENERAL

North American Galvanizing ("NAG") is a leading provider of corrosion protection for iron and steel components fabricated and owned by its customers. Hot dip galvanizing is the process of applying a zinc coating to fabricated iron or steel material by immersing the material in a bath consisting primarily of molten zinc.

#### OVERVIEW

The Company's galvanizing plants offer a broad line of services including centrifuge galvanizing for small threaded products, sandblasting, chromate quenching, polymeric coatings, and proprietary INFRASHIELDsm Coating Application Systems for polyurethane protective linings and coatings over galvanized surfaces. The Company's structural and chemical engineers provide customized assistance with initial fabrication design, project estimates and steel chemistry selection.

The Company's galvanizing and coating operations are composed of eleven facilities located in Colorado, Kentucky, Missouri, Ohio, Oklahoma, Tennessee and Texas. These facilities operate galvanizing kettles ranging in length from 16 feet to 62 feet, and have lifting capacities ranging from 12,000 pounds to 40,000 pounds.

FS-1

The Company maintains a sales and service network coupled with its galvanizing plants, supplemented by national account business development at the corporate level. In 2006, the Company galvanized in excess of 400,000,000 pounds of steel products for approximately 1,700 customers nationwide.

All of the Company's sales are generated for customers whose end markets are principally in the United States. The Company markets its galvanizing and coating services directly to its customers and does not utilize agents or distributors. Although hot dip galvanizing is considered a mature service industry, the Company is actively engaged in developing new markets through participation in industry trade shows, metals trade associations and presentation of technical seminars by its national marketing service team.

Hot dip galvanizing provides metals corrosion protection for many product applications used in commercial, construction and industrial markets. The Company's galvanizing can be found in almost every major application and industry that requires corrosion protection where iron or steel is used, including the following end user markets:

- o highway and transportation
- o power transmission and distribution
- o wireless and telecommunications
- o utilities
- o petrochemical processing
- o industrial grating
- o infrastructure buildings, airports, bridges and power generation
- o wastewater treatment
- o fresh water storage and transportation
- o pulp and paper
- o pipe and tube
- o food processing
- o agricultural (irrigation systems)
- o recreation (boat trailers, marine docks, stadium scaffolds)
- o bridge and pedestrian handrail, and
- o original equipment manufactured products, including general fabrication.

As a value-added service provider, the Company's revenues are directly influenced by the level of economic activity in the various end markets that it serves. Economic activity in those markets that results in the expansion and/or upgrading of physical facilities (i.e., construction) may involve a time-lag factor of several months before translating into a demand for galvanizing fabricated components. Despite the inherent seasonality associated with large project construction work, the Company maintains a relatively stable revenue stream throughout the year by offering fabricators, large and small, reliable and rapid turn-around service.

The Company records revenues when the galvanizing processes and inspection utilizing industry-specified standards are completed. The Company generates all of its operating cash from such revenues, and utilizes a line of credit secured by its underlying accounts receivable and zinc inventory to facilitate working capital needs.

FS-2

Each of the Company's galvanizing plants operates in a highly competitive environment underscored by pricing pressures, primarily from other public and privately-owned galvanizers and alternative forms of corrosion protection, such as paint. The Company's long-term response to these challenges has been a sustained strategy focusing on providing a reliable quality of galvanizing to standard industry technical specifications and rapid turn-around time on every project, large and small. Key to the success of this strategy is the Company's continuing commitment and long-term record of reinvesting earnings to upgrade its galvanizing facilities and provide technical innovations to improve production efficiencies; and to construct new facilities when market conditions

present opportunities for growth. The Company is addressing long-term opportunities to expand its galvanizing and coatings business through programs designed to increase industry awareness of the proven, unique benefits of galvanizing for metals corrosion protection. Each of the Company's independently operated galvanizing plants is linked to a centralized system involving sales order entry, facility maintenance and operating procedures, quality assurance, purchasing and credit and accounting that enable each plant to focus on providing galvanizing and coating services in the most cost-effective manner.

The principal raw materials essential to the Company's galvanizing and coating operations are zinc and various chemicals which are normally available for purchase in the open market.

#### KEY INDICATORS

Key industries which historically have provided the Company some indication of the potential demand for galvanizing in the near-term, (i.e., primarily within a year) include highway and transportation, power transmission and distribution, telecommunications and the level of quoting activity for regional metal fabricators. In general, growth in the commercial/industrial sectors of the economy generates new construction and capital spending which ultimately impacts the demand for galvanizing.

Key operating measures utilized by the Company include new orders, zinc inventory, tons of steel galvanized, revenue, pounds and labor costs per hour, zinc usage related to tonnage galvanized, and lost-time safety performance. These measures are reported and analyzed on various cycles, including daily, weekly and monthly.

The Company utilizes a number of key financial measures to evaluate the operations at each of its galvanizing plants, to identify trends and variables impacting operating productivity and current and future business results, which include: return on capital employed, sales, gross profit, fixed and variable costs, selling and general administrative expenses, operating cash flows, capital expenditures, interest expense, and a number of ratios such as profit from operations and accounts receivable turnover. These measures are reviewed by the Company's operating and executive management each month, or more frequently, and compared to prior periods, the current business plan and to standard performance criteria, as applicable.

#### KEY DEVELOPMENTS

During the period January, 2003 through February 2005, the Company reported a number of developments supporting its strategic program to reposition its galvanizing business in the national market.

On February 28, 2005, NAGalv-Ohio, Inc., a subsidiary of North American Galvanizing Company, purchased the hot-dip galvanizing assets of a galvanizing facility located in Canton, Ohio. The transaction was structured as an asset purchase, pursuant to an Asset Purchase Agreement dated February 28, 2005 by and between NAGalv-Ohio, Inc., and the privately owned Gregory Industries, Inc. for all of the plant, property, and equipment of Gregory Industries' after-fabrication hot dip galvanizing operation. Sales for the Canton galvanizing operation for its most recent fiscal year ended May 28, 2004 were approximately \$7 million. Operating results of the purchased galvanizing assets are included in the Company's financial statements commencing from the date of purchase on February 28, 2005.

This strategic expansion provides NAG an important, established customer base of major fabricators serving industrial, OEM, and highway markets as well as residential and commercial markets for lighting poles. Canton's 52 foot long dipping kettle is designed to handle large steel structures, such as bridge beams, utility poles and other steel structural components that require galvanizing for extended-life corrosion protection. The Canton plant also processes small parts used in construction, such as nuts and anchor rods, in a dedicated facility with a smaller 16 foot dipping kettle and a spinner operation.

In January 2003, the Company opened its St. Louis galvanizing plant, replacing a smaller plant at the same location. This larger facility is providing NAG a strategic base for extending its geographic area of service. A 51-foot kettle at this facility provides the largest galvanizing capacity in the St. Louis region. In 2004, production tonnage at St. Louis more than doubled compared to production at the plant it replaced.

In January 2003, the Company expanded services at its Nashville galvanizing plant with the announced installation of a state-of-the-art spinner line to galvanize small products, including bolts and threaded material.

In June 2003, the Company wrote-off its investment in the formerly idled Houston-Cunningham galvanizing plant. The write-off resulted in a net loss on the abandoned assets of \$754,000, net of taxes of \$443,000 in 2003. The net loss from operations for the Cunningham plant was \$77,000, net of taxes of \$45,000, for the year ended December 31, 2003. The abandoned Cunningham plant has been classified as a discontinued operation and its expenses are not included in the results of continuing operations discussed below.

Effective in 2001, the Company adopted the units of production method of depreciation, for certain equipment at new galvanizing plants and for significant expansions of existing plants. The units of production method of depreciation was based on projected total tonnage to be processed over the estimated lives of the respective plant equipment. The straight-line method of depreciation was continued for all other plant and equipment. In recognition of subsequent experience that indicated the equipment being depreciated under the units of production method was affected to a greater extent by age than by the level of production activity taking place within the plants, effective July 1, 2006, the Company changed its depreciation method for these assets, with an aggregate cost basis of \$5.9 million, from the units of production method to the straight-line method. This change in accounting estimate effected by a change in accounting principle is preferable because the straight-line method better allocates the cost of these assets to accounting periods in a systematic and rational manner more closely related to the assets' pattern of consumption.

The impact on current year earnings from this change, which was applied prospectively beginning July 1, 2006, was a decrease in operating income of \$348,000, a decrease in net income of \$216,000 and a reduction in basic and diluted earnings per share of \$.03 for the year ended December 31, 2006.

FS-4

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following table shows the Company's results of operations:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)
YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,

2006 2005 20

	 AMOUNT	% OF SALES	 AMOUNT	% OF SALES	 AMOUNT
Sales	\$ 74,054	100.0%	\$ 47 <b>,</b> 870	100.0%	\$ 35 <b>,</b> 822
Cost of sales Selling, general and	54,662	73.8%	35 <b>,</b> 969	75.1%	25 <b>,</b> 814
administrative expenses Depreciation and	8,058	10.9%	7,196	15.0%	5 <b>,</b> 917
amortization	 2 <b>,</b> 975	4.0%	 2 <b>,</b> 532	5.3%	2 <b>,</b> 701
Operating income	8,359	11.3%	2,173	4.5%	1,390
Interest expense Interest income	867 (62)	1.2% (0.1)%	1,074	2.2%	764
Other income	 		 		 (25)
Income from operations before income taxes	7,554	10.2%	1,099	2.3%	651
Income tax expense	 3,019	4.1%	 455	1.0%	 248
Net income	4 <b>,</b> 535	6.1%	 644	1.3%	403

#### 2006 COMPARED TO 2005

SALES---Sales for the year ended December 31, 2006 increased 55% over the prior year due to a higher average sales price and a 10% increase in volume. Sales prices have increased in response to increases in zinc costs. In 2006, average selling prices for galvanizing and related coating services increased 41% over 2005. The London Metals Exchange (LME) market price for zinc in 2006 averaged \$1.49 per pound, compared to \$.63 per pound in 2005, representing a 137% increase.

A general improvement in hot-dip galvanizing demand due to increased commercial spending and higher construction activity led to the volume increase in 2006 compared to 2005. The Canton, Ohio galvanizing facility was purchased on February 28, 2005. The results for 2006 include a full year for Ohio versus only 10 months included in 2005 results. The impact of Ohio revenues on total revenues, when comparing variances from 2006 to 2005, is minimal.

COST OF SALES---The increase in cost of sales from 2005 to 2006 resulted mainly from an increase in zinc costs and a 10% increase in volume. Forward purchases of zinc at prices lower than current market during the first six months of 2006 reduced cost of sales by \$2.9 million. Other items impacting cost of sales include decreased utility costs, \$.4 million, and decreased labor costs, \$.2 million, offset by an increase in plant overhead, primarily repairs and maintenance, \$.7 million.

FS-5

SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE (SG&A) EXPENSES--- SG&A increased \$.9 million, or 12.0%, from 2005 to 2006, but decreased as a percent of revenues from 15% in 2005 to 10.9% in 2006. The increase is due to increases in personnel costs, \$.6 million, board of director fees \$.2 million, information technology and outsourced services related to Sarbanes-Oxley 404 compliance efforts, \$.2

million, legal fees related to the Lake River litigation, \$.1 million, and other increases, \$.1 million, offset by reductions in shareholder services, \$.2 million, and decrease in audit and tax expenses, \$.1 million.

DEPRECIATION EXPENSE--- Depreciation expense for 2006 increased \$.4 million from 2005, resulting primarily from a change in depreciation method for two newer galvanizing facilities. The Company previously used the units of production method for machinery and equipment at these facilities. Effective July 1, 2006, the Company changed to the straight-line method.

OPERATING INCOME--- Operating income increased \$6.2 million from 2005 to 2006. The Company's ability to increase average selling prices due to zinc cost increases had a favorable impact on operating income of \$3.5 million. Forward purchases of zinc at prices lower than current market during the first six months of 2006 contributed \$2.9 million to operating income. Other items impacting operating income include increased sales volume, \$1.2 million, decreased utility costs, \$.4 million, and decreased labor costs, \$.2 million, offset by increases in selling, general, and administrative costs, \$.9 million, plant overhead, primarily repairs and maintenance, \$.7 million, and increase in depreciation expense, \$.4 million.

INTEREST EXPENSE AND INTEREST INCOME--- Interest expense decreased \$.2 million from 2006 to 2005, due to decreased debt outstanding resulting from the payment of long-term debt obligations and the August 31, 2006 payment of the subordinated notes payable. In 2006, the Company recorded interest income of \$.1 million resulting from investing excess cash in short-term investments, primarily overnight repurchase agreements.

INCOME TAXES--- The Company's effective income tax rates for 2006 and 2005 were 40.0% and 41.4%, respectively. The effective tax rates differ from the federal statutory rate primarily due to state income taxes and minor adjustments to previous tax estimates based on actual tax returns filed.

NET INCOME--- For 2006, the Company reported net income of \$4.5 million compared to net income of \$.6 million for 2005. The increase in net income is primarily a result of the increase in revenues due to increases in sales price and volume.

# 2005 COMPARED TO 2004

SALES--- Sales for the year ended December 31, 2005 increased 34% over the prior year due primarily to contribution from the Canton, Ohio galvanizing facility that was purchased February 28, 2005. Same plant revenues for the year improved 14% over 2004. North American Galvanizing's same plants started the year with a period of slow demand in the first two months. A general increase in demand due to increased commercial spending and higher construction activity led to a positive trend in same plant revenues continuing throughout the remainder of 2005. Sales volumes at same plants for the fourth quarter of 2005 were 19% higher than the same period in 2004 and 43% higher in total, including the Ohio plant. Sales volumes at same plants during 2005 were 11% higher than 2004 and 30% higher in total, including Ohio.

In 2005, average selling prices for galvanizing and related coating services increased 2% over 2004. General price increases were communicated to customers during the third quarter of 2005. Prices during the 4th quarter 2005 were on average 8% higher than prices during the same period in 2004.

FS-6

#### COST OF SALES---

Cost of sales, as a percent of sales, increased 3.0% from 2004 to 2005. Of the 3% increase in cost of sales as a percent of sales, 1.7% was due to increased

costs in the Canton, Ohio galvanizing facility compared to same plants. The primary difference in costs is higher labor costs at the Ohio plant. As part of the transition program started with the February 28, 2005 purchase, management is focused on improving labor efficiency, measured by pounds-per-man-hour and cost-per-man-hour, at this facility.

Higher zinc and natural gas costs in 2005 were responsible for the remainder of the increase in cost of sales as a percent of sales, 1.3%.

SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE (SG&A) EXPENSES--- SG&A increased \$1.3 million, or 21.6%, from 2004 to 2005. \$.5 million of the increase is due to selling, general and administrative costs related to the Canton, Ohio galvanizing facility acquired in early 2005, \$.2 million due to audit and tax fees and professional fees related to compliance with Sarbanes Oxley 404 requirements, \$.2 million due to legal fees related to the Lake River lawsuit, \$.1 million related to increased Board of Director fees, \$.1 million related to shareholder services, \$.1 million due to increases in compensation for administrative and office personnel and \$.1 million in other expenses.

Selling, general, and administrative expenses, as a % of sales, decreased from 16.5% in 2004 to 15.0% in 2005.

DEPRECIATION EXPENSE--- Depreciation expense for 2005 decreased \$.2 million from 2004. Most of the decrease for 2005 relates primarily to assets becoming fully depreciated, \$.4 million, offset by increased depreciation expense for the Canton, Ohio galvanizing plant and equipment of \$.2 million.

OPERATING INCOME---Operating income increased \$.8 million from 2004 to 2005. Operating income from the Ohio galvanizing facility purchased in 2005 contributed \$.2 million to operating income. Operating income at same plants was impacted negatively by higher zinc and utility costs, \$1.3 million, along with higher SG&A costs, \$.8 million. Items having a positive impact on operating income at same plants include increased sales volume, \$1.1 million, the increase of average selling prices due to zinc cost increases, \$.9 million, lower depreciation expense, \$.4 million, and lower labor and other overhead costs, \$.3 million.

INTEREST EXPENSE--- Interest expense increased to \$1.1 million in 2005 from \$.8 million in 2004, primarily due to higher interest rates on variable-rate debt and higher debt related to the purchase of the Canton, Ohio galvanizing facility in the first quarter of 2005.

INCOME TAXES--- The Company's effective income tax rates for 2005 and 2004 were 41.4% and 38.1%, respectively. The effective tax rates differ from the federal statutory rate primarily due to state income taxes and minor adjustments to previous tax estimates based on actual tax returns filed.

NET INCOME--- For 2005, the Company reported net income of \$.6 million compared to net income of \$.4 million for 2004. The increase in net income is primarily a result of the increase in sales volume.

### LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

The Company's cash flow from operations and borrowings under credit facilities have consistently been adequate to fund its current facilities working capital and capital spending requirements. During 2006 and 2005, operating cash flow and borrowings under credit facilities have been the primary sources of liquidity.

The Company monitors working capital and planned capital spending to assess liquidity and minimize cyclical cash flow.

Cash flow from operating activities was \$6.6 million both in 2006 and in 2005. In 2006, cash flow from operating activities reflected higher net income offset by a decrease in net deferred tax liabilities, and a net cash outflow of \$.7 million from other operating assets and liabilities compared to a net cash inflow of \$2.6 million from other operating assets and liabilities in the prior year.

Capital expenditures for equipment and upgrade of existing galvanizing facilities totaled \$1.4 million in 2006. Cash of \$5.2 million used in 2005 investing activities consisted of \$4.2 million to acquire certain assets of Gregory Industries' Inc. and capital expenditures of \$1.0 million for equipment to maintain galvanizing facilities. The Company expects base capital expenditures for 2007 to approximate \$4.0 million.

Cash used in financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2006 totaled \$4.5 million primarily due to the payment on long-term obligations of \$4.7 million and early redemption of the \$1.0 million in subordinated notes payable scheduled to mature in February of 2007, offset by \$.8 million received from the exercise of stock options. In 2005, cash used in financing activities totaled \$.7 million, including proceeds from the sale of treasury stock of \$.1 million, payments of \$.7 million to a bond sinking fund, and payment on long-term obligations of \$.1 million.

In February 2005, the Company amended the three-year bank credit agreement that was scheduled to expire in December 2007 and extended its maturity to February 28, 2008. Subject to borrowing base limitations, the amended agreement provided (i) an \$8,000,000 maximum revolving credit facility for working capital and general corporate purposes and (ii) a \$5,001,000 term loan that combined the outstanding principal balance of the existing term loan with additional financing for the purchase of assets of a galvanizing facility (Note 2).

Term loan payments are based on a seven-year amortization schedule with equal monthly payments of principal and interest, and a final balloon payment in February 2008. The term loan may be prepaid without penalty. The revolving line of credit may be paid down without penalty, or additional funds may be borrowed up to the maximum line of credit. At December 31, 2006, the Company had additional borrowing capacity of \$7.6 million, net of outstanding irrevocable letters of credit, under the bank revolving line of credit based on the borrowing base calculated under the agreement. At December 31, 2006, there were no borrowings outstanding under the bank revolving line of credit, and \$.4 million was reserved for outstanding irrevocable letters of credit to secure payment of current and future workers' compensation claims.

Substantially all of the Company's accounts receivable, inventories, fixed assets and the common stock of its subsidiary are pledged as collateral under the agreement, and the credit agreement is secured by a full and unconditional guaranty from NAG. Amounts borrowed under the agreement bear interest at the prime rate of JPMorgan Chase Bank or the LIBOR rate, at the option of the Company, subject to a rate margin adjustment determined by the Company's consolidated debt service ratio. The interest rate on these borrowings was 8.5% at December 31, 2006. In the event the Company fails to maintain a consolidated debt service coverage ratio for any fiscal quarter of at least 1.25 to 1.00, the Applicable LIBOR Rate Margin will be increased from 3.0% to 5.75% and the Applicable Prime Rate Margin will be increased from .25% to 3.00%. Thereafter, the increased rate margin will remain in effect until such time as the Company has maintained a consolidated debt service coverage ratio greater than or equal to 1.25 to 1.00 for a subsequent fiscal quarter.

In the event the Company fails to maintain a consolidated EBITDA to capital

expenditures ratio for any fiscal quarter of at least 1.00 to 1.00, the increase in the Applicable LIBOR Rate Margin ranges from 3.75% to 5.75%, and the increase in the Applicable Prime Rate Margin ranges from 1.00% to 3.00%.

FS-8

Amounts borrowed under the bank credit facilities bore interest ranging from 4.21% to 8.5% during the three years ended December 31, 2006 and an effective rate of 8.5% at December 31, 2006 and 7.5% at December 31, 2005.

The bank credit agreement requires the Company to maintain compliance with covenant limits for current ratio, debt to tangible net worth ratio, debt service coverage ratio and a capital expenditures ratio. At December 31, 2006 the Company was in compliance with all of the covenant limits and the actual financial ratios compared to the required ratios, were as follows: Current Ratio – Actual 1.67 versus minimum required of 1.1; Debt to Tangible Net Worth Ratio – Actual 1.02 versus maximum permitted of 2.50; Debt Service Coverage Ratio – Actual 3.45 versus minimum permitted of 1.25; Capital Expenditures Coverage Ratio – Actual 1.99 versus minimum required of 1.0.

The Company has various commitments primarily related to industrial revenue bonds, long-term debt, vehicle and equipment operating leases, capital lease obligations, facilities operating leases, and zinc purchase commitments. The Company's off-balance sheet contractual obligations at December 31, 2006, consist of \$789,000 for vehicle and equipment operating leases, \$788,000 for zinc purchase commitments, and \$860,000 for long-term operating leases for three galvanizing facilities. The various leases for galvanizing facilities, including option renewals, expire from 2015 to 2017. A lease for galvanizing equipment expires in 2007. The vehicle leases expire annually on various schedules through 2012. NAG periodically enters into fixed price purchase commitments with domestic and foreign zinc producers to purchase a portion of its requirements for its hot dip galvanizing operations; commitments for the future delivery of zinc can be for up to one year.

The Company expects to fund these commitments with cash generated from operations and continuation of existing bank credit agreements as they mature. The Company's contractual obligations and commercial commitments as of December 31, 2006, including estimated interest payments, using interest rates as of December 31, 2006, are as follows (in thousands):

		TOTAL		SS THAN IE YEAR		1-3 YEARS		3-5 YEARS		MORE IHAN YEARS
Industrial revenue bonds	\$	6,022	\$	1,041	\$	2,987	\$	1,994	\$	
Long-term debt		4,733		1,126		3 <b>,</b> 590		. 6		10
Facilities operating leases Vehicle and equipment		858		207		433		108		110
operating leases		789		529		240		20		
Zinc purchase commitments		788		788						
Capital lease obligations		382		84		252		46		
Total contractual cash										
obligations	\$	13,572	\$	3,775	\$	7,502	\$	2,174	\$	120
	==:	======	===	======	===		===		===:	=====
Other contingent commitment:										
Letters of credit*	\$	389	\$	389	\$		\$		\$	

\*The Company has outstanding letters of credit totaling approximately \$6,098,000, which includes \$5,709,000 related to the Company's industrial revenue bonds shown in the table of contractual obligations above.

FS-9

#### SHARE REPURCHASE PROGRAM

In August 1998, the Board of Directors authorized the Company to repurchase up to \$1,000,000 of its common stock in private or open market transactions. In 2006, the Company repurchased 735 shares at an average price per share of \$5.31, bringing the total number of shares repurchased through December 31, 2006 to 132,996 at an average price of \$1.79 per share totaling \$238,159.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS

The Company's facilities are subject to extensive environmental legislation and regulations affecting their operations and the discharge of wastes. The cost of compliance with such regulations was approximately \$1,333,000, \$1,354,000, and \$1,053,000 in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, for the disposal and recycling of wastes generated by the galvanizing operations.

On August 30, 2004, the Company was informed by counsel for the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago (the "Water District") that the Water District had, on August 25, 2004 filed a Second Amended Complaint in the United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division, naming North American Galvanizing & Coatings, Inc. (formerly known as Kinark Corporation) as an added defendant. Counsel for the Water District also gave the Company notice of the Water District's intent to file (or amend the Complaint to include) a Citizens Suit under the Resource Compensation and Recovery Act ("RCRA") against North American Galvanizing & Coatings, Inc., pursuant to Section 7002 of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. Section 6972. This Second Amended Complaint seeks enforcement of an August 12, 2004 default judgment in the amount of \$1,810,463.34 against Lake River Corporation and Lake River Holding Company, Inc. in connection with the operation of a storage terminal by Lake River Corporation in violation of environmental laws. Lake River Corporation conducted business as a subsidiary of the Company until September 30, 2000, at which time Lake River Corporation was sold to Lake River Holding Company, Inc. and ceased to be a subsidiary of the Company. The Second Amended Complaint asserts that prior to the sale of Lake River Corporation, the Company directly operated the Lake River facility and, accordingly, seeks to have the Court pierce the corporate veil of Lake River Corporation and enforce the default judgment order of August 12, 2004 against the Company. The Company denies the assertions set forth in the Water District's Complaint and on November 13, 2004 filed a partial motion for dismissal of the Second Amended Complaint.

In December 2004, the Water District filed a Third Amended complaint in the litigation, adding two claims: (1) a common law claim for nuisance; and (2) a claim under the federal Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, in which the Water District argues that the Company is responsible for conditions on the plaintiff's property that present an "imminent and substantial endangerment to human health and the environment." In January 2005, the Company filed a partial motion to dismiss the Third Amended Complaint. On April 12, 2005, the Court issued an order denying in part and granting in part the Company's partial motion to dismiss plaintiff's third amended complaint. The Company filed an appeal with the Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals requesting dismissal of the sole CERCLA claim contained in the Third Amended Complaint that was not dismissed by the United States District Court's April 12, 2005 order. On January 17, 2007, the Seventh Circuit affirmed the judgment of the United States District Court, stating that the Water District has a right of action under CERCLA. The Company is evaluating the judgment and expects to file a motion for

reconsideration with the Seventh Circuit. Meanwhile, litigation and discovery in the trial court have been stayed pending mediation. The mediation is governed by a Settlement Protocol and Standstill Agreement (the "Protocol") negotiated and signed by the parties. The Protocol states that the parties will minimize discovery to focus on mediation. The court approved the Protocol without setting any deadlines for the case. If the mediation fails, the parties will resume discovery and the litigation in the United States District Court will continue.

The Company has denied any liability with respect to this claim and intends to vigorously defend this case. The Company has not recorded any liability related to the Water District claim and the estimated timeframe for resolution is unknown. The potential claim with respect to the Water District claim could exceed the

#### FS-10

amount of the default judgment. As liability and piercing of the corporate veil are being contested and neither a site evaluation nor a remediation plan has been developed, the Company is unable to make a reasonable estimate of the amount or range of loss, if any, that could result from an unfavorable outcome. Such a liability, if any, could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, results of operations, or liquidity.

NAG was notified in 1997 by the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency ("IEPA") that it was one of approximately 60 potentially responsible parties ("PRPs") under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Information System ("CERCLIS") in connection with cleanup of an abandoned site formerly owned by Sandoval Zinc Co. A number of the PRPs have agreed to work together and with IEPA on a voluntary basis. The Company has been and continues to participate in this volunteer group. The group has retained consultants and legal representatives familiar with IEPA regulations. This volunteer group, with its consultants, has cooperated with IEPA in attempting to better define the environmental issues associated with the Sandoval Zinc site. To that extent, this voluntary group prepared and submitted to IEPA in August 2000 a work plan. The purpose of this work plan is to attempt to define the extent of environmental remediation that might be required, assess risks, and review alternatives to addressing potential remediation. The estimated timeframe for resolution of the IEPA contingency is unknown. The IEPA has yet to respond to this proposed work plan or suggest any other course of action, and there has been no activity in regards to this issue since 2001. The Company does not have any liability accrued relating to the IEPA matter. Until the work plan is approved and completed, the range of potential loss or remediation, if any, is unknown. In addition, the allocation of potential loss between the 60 potentially responsible parties is unknown and not reasonably estimable. Therefore, the Company has no basis for determining potential exposure and estimated remediation costs at this time.

The Company is committed to complying with all federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations and using its best management practices to anticipate and satisfy future requirements. As is typical in the galvanizing business, the Company will have additional environmental compliance costs associated with past, present, and future operations. Management is committed to discovering and eliminating environmental issues as they arise. Because of the frequent changes in environmental technology, laws and regulations management cannot reasonably quantify the Company's potential future costs in this area.

# CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires that management apply accounting policies and make estimates and assumptions that affect results

of operations and the reported amounts of assets and liabilities. The following areas are those that management believes are important to the financial statements because they require significant judgment and estimation.

REVENUE RECOGNITION—Revenue is recognized when earned and realized or realizable in accordance with Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) 104. This includes satisfying the following criteria: the arrangement with the customer is evident, through the receipt of a purchase order or a written agreement; the sales price is fixed or determinable; coating services have been completed, including inspection by the Company according to American Society for Testing and Materials ("ASTM") standards; and collectibility is reasonably assured. The Company does not accept title to customers' products, thus, revenue does not include the value of the customers' products. Although most customers make arrangements for transportation, if the Company makes transportation arrangements, freight and shipping billed to customers is included in sales, and the cost of freight and shipping is included in cost of sales.

INVENTORIES——Inventories are stated at the lower of cost (LIFO basis) or market. Since substantially all of the Company's inventory is raw zinc used in the galvanizing of customers' products, market value is based on an estimate of the value added to the cost of raw zinc as a result of the galvanizing service.

#### FS-11

SELF-INSURANCE RESERVES--The reserves for the self-insured portion of workers compensation and health insurance coverage is based on historical data and current trends. Estimates for claims incurred and incurred but not reported claims are included in the reserves. These estimates may be subject to adjustment if the Company's actual claims are significantly different than its historical experience. The Company has obtained insurance coverage for medical claims exceeding \$75,000 and workers' compensation claims exceeding \$150,000 per occurrence, respectively, and has implemented safety training and other programs to reduce workplace accidents.

IMPAIRMENT OF LONG-LIVED ASSETS--The Company reviews long-lived assets for impairment using forecasts of future cash flows to be generated by those assets. These cash flow forecasts are based upon expected tonnage to be galvanized and the margin to be earned by providing that service to customers. These assumptions are susceptible to the actions of competitors and changes in economic conditions in the industries and geographic markets the Company serves.

ENVIRONMENTAL—The Company expenses or capitalizes, where appropriate, environmental expenditures that relate to current operations as they are incurred. Such expenditures are expensed when they are attributable to past operations and are not expected to contribute to current or future revenue generation. The Company records liabilities when remediation or other environmental assessment or clean—up efforts are probable and the cost can be reasonably estimated.

GOODWILL—Pursuant to the provisions of SFAS No. 141, "Business Combinations" and SFAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets," which requires management to estimate the fair value of the Company's reporting units, the Company conducts an annual impairment test of goodwill during the second quarter of each year unless circumstances arise that require more frequent testing. The determination of fair value is dependent upon many factors including, but not limited to, management's estimate of future cash flows of the reporting units and discount rates. Any one of a number of future events could cause management to conclude that impairment indicators exist and that the carrying value of these assets will not be recovered. During the second quarter of 2005, the Company completed the annual impairment test of goodwill for 2005 and concluded goodwill was not impaired.

NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS—In June, 2006, the FASB issued FIN 48, ACCOUNTING FOR UNCERTAINTY IN INCOME TAXES. This Interpretation clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in the financial statements in accordance with FASB Statement No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. FIN 48 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure, and transition. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company is currently in the process of evaluating the impact that the adoption of FIN 48 will have on its financial position, consolidated results of operations and cash flows.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS ("SFAS 157"). SFAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value under GAAP, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS 157 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The Company is currently in the process of evaluating the impact that the adoption of SFAS 157 will have on its financial position, consolidated results of operations and cash flows, but does not expect it to have a material impact.

In November 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 151, INVENTORY COSTS-AN AMENDMENT OF ARB NO. 43, CHAPTER 4 ("SFAS 151"). SFAS 151 amends the guidance in ARB No. 43, Chapter 4, Inventory Pricing, to clarify the accounting for abnormal amounts of idle facility expense, freight, handling costs, and wasted material (spoilage). Among other provisions, the new rule requires that items such as idle facility expense, excessive spoilage, double freight, and rehandling costs be recognized as current-period charges regardless of whether

#### FS-12

they meet the criterion of "so abnormal" as stated in ARB No. 43. Additionally, SFAS 151 requires that the allocation of fixed production overhead to the costs of conversion be based on the normal capacity of the production facilities. SFAS 151 is effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2005 and was adopted by NAG on January 1, 2006. Adoption of SFAS 151 had no material impact on its consolidated results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

The Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123(R), "Share-Based Payment" ("SFAS No. 123(R)") under the modified prospective method on January 1, 2006. Under the "modified prospective" method, compensation cost is recognized in the financial statements beginning with the effective date, based on the requirements of SFAS No. 123(R) for all share-based payments granted after that date, and based on the requirements of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No.123, "Accounting for Stock Based Compensation" ("SFAS No. 123") for all unvested awards granted prior to the effective date of SFAS No. 123(R).

SFAS No. 123(R) eliminates the intrinsic value measurement method of accounting in APB Opinion 25 and generally requires measuring the cost of the employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the fair value of the award on the date of the grant. The standard requires grant date fair value to be estimated using either an option-pricing model which is consistent with the terms of the award or a market observed price, if such a price exists. Such costs must be recognized over the period during which an employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award. The standard also requires estimating the number of instruments that will ultimately be issued, rather than accounting for forfeitures as they occur.

The compensation cost for the Plan was \$99,000 for the year ended December 31, 2006. The effect of the adoption of SFAS No. 123 (R) on the consolidated financial statements of the Company is reflected in the tabular information in

Footnote 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISKS

The Company's operations include managing market risks related to changes in interest rates and zinc commodity prices.

INTEREST RATE RISK--The Company is exposed to financial market risk related to changes in interest rates. Changing interest rates will affect interest paid on the Company's variable rate debt. Variable rate debt aggregating \$3,751,000 and \$7,769,000 was outstanding under the credit agreement at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively, with effective rates of 8.5% and 7.5%, respectively. Amounts of variable rate debt outstanding under the industrial revenue bond agreement were \$5,265,000 and \$5,933,750 at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively, with an effective rate of 4.0% (see Note 6 to Consolidated Financial Statements). In addition, the Company's fixed rate obligations consisting of \$345,000 in capital lease obligations with fixed rates between 6.7% and 7.5%, and a 9.5% note payable were outstanding at December 31, 2006. The borrowings under all of the Company's debt obligations at December 31, 2006 are due as follows: \$1,609,000 in 2007; \$3,912,000 in 2008; \$926,000 in 2009, \$976,000 in 2010, \$987,000 in 2011 and \$951,000 in years 2011 through 2015. Each increase of 10 basis points in the effective interest rate would result in an annual increase in interest charges on variable rate debt of approximately \$9,000 based on December 31, 2006 outstanding borrowings. The actual effect of changes in interest rates is dependent on actual amounts outstanding under the various loan agreements. The Company monitors interest rates and has sufficient flexibility to renegotiate the loan agreement, without penalty, in the event market conditions and interest rates change.

ZINC PRICE RISK--NAG periodically enters into fixed price purchase commitments for physical delivery with domestic and foreign zinc producers to purchase a portion of its zinc requirements for its hot dip galvanizing operations. Commitments for the future delivery of zinc, typically up to one year, reflect rates quoted on the London Metals Exchange. At December 31, 2006 and 2005, the aggregate fixed price commitments for the

FS-13

procurement of zinc were approximately \$788,000 and \$3,424,000, respectively. With respect to the zinc fixed price purchase commitments, a hypothetical decrease of 10% in the market price of zinc from the December, 2006 and 2005 levels would represent potential lost gross margin opportunity of approximately \$78,800 and \$342,000, respectively.

The Company's financial strategy includes evaluating the selective use of derivative financial instruments to manage zinc and interest costs. As part of its inventory management strategy, the Company expects to continue evaluating hedging instruments to minimize the impact of zinc price fluctuations. The Company's current zinc forward purchase commitments (see Note 8) are considered derivatives, but the Company has elected to account for these purchase commitments as normal purchases.

#### FS-14

#### MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSIBILITY FOR FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The management of North American Galvanizing & Coatings, Inc. is responsible for the integrity and accuracy of the accompanying consolidated financial statements. Management believes that the consolidated financial statements for the three years ended December 31, 2006 have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles, appropriate in the circumstances, generally accepted in the United States. In preparing the consolidated financial statements, management makes informed judgments and estimates where necessary to reflect the expected effects of events and transactions that have not been completed. The Company's disclosure controls, including operating procedures and guidelines, ensure that material information required to be disclosed is appropriately and timely recorded and communicated to management.

Management relies on a system of internal operating procedures and accounting controls that allows it to meet its responsibility for the reliability of the consolidated financial statements. This system provides reasonable assurance that the Company's physical and intellectual assets are safeguarded and transactions are recorded and processed in accordance with management's authorization that permits the preparation of consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Management believes that the Company's system of internal operating procedures and accounting controls provide reasonable assurance that errors that could be material to the consolidated financial statements are prevented or would be detected within a timely period.

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors, composed of three Independent Directors, is responsible for overseeing the Company's financial reporting process. The Audit Committee regularly meets with executive and financial management to review financial reports and monitor matters that could be material to the consolidated financial statements. The Audit Committee also meets several times a year with the independent auditors who have free access to the Audit Committee and the Board of Directors to discuss the quality and acceptability of the Company's financial reporting, internal controls and matters related to corporate governance.

The independent auditors are engaged to express an opinion on the Company's consolidated financial statements in accordance with auditing standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Their report is included herein on page FS-16.

/s/Ronald J. Evans
Ronald J. Evans
President and
Chief Executive Officer

/s/Beth B. Hood Beth B. Hood Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

FS-15

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

TO THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND STOCKHOLDERS OF NORTH AMERICAN GALVANIZING & COATINGS, INC.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of North American Galvanizing & Coatings, Inc. and subsidiary (the "Company") as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the related consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income, stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15. These financial statements and the financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statements and the financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of North American Galvanizing & Coatings, Inc. and subsidiary at December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, such financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

As discussed in Note 1 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements, effective January 1, 2006 the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123(R), Share-Based Payment.

Deloitte & Touche LLP

Tulsa, Oklahoma February 14, 2007

FS-16

NORTH AMERICAN GALVANIZING & COATINGS, INC. CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

ASSETS		EMBER 31, 2006
CURRENT ASSETS:		
Cash	\$	1,979
Trade receivablesless allowances of \$197 for 2006 and \$124 for 2005		13,032
Inventories		6 <b>,</b> 755
Prepaid expenses and other assets		836
Deferred tax assetnet		784
Total current assets		23,386
PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENTAT COSTS:		
Land		2,167
Galvanizing plants and equipment		36 <b>,</b> 843
		39 <b>,</b> 010
Lessallowance for depreciation		(18,894
		1 010
Construction in progress		1,019 
Total property, plant and equipmentnet		21,135
GOODWILLNet		3,448
OTHER ASSETS		242
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	48,211 
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
CURRENT LIABILITIES:		
Current maturities of long-term obligations	\$	778
Current portion of bonds payable	Ÿ	830
Subordinated notes payable		
Trade accounts payable		7,444
Accrued payroll and employee benefits		1,082
Accrued taxes		762
Other accrued liabilities		3,194
Total current liabilities		 14 <b>,</b> 090
Total Current Habilities		14,090
DEFERRED TAX LIABILITYNet		802
LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS		3,318
BONDS PAYABLE		4,435
Total liabilities		22 <b>,</b> 645

COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (NOTES 6 AND 7) STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY: Common stock--\$.10 par value, 18,000,000 shares authorized: Issued--8,209,925 shares in 2006 and 2005 821 Additional paid-in capital 14,061 Retained earnings 11,078 Common shares in treasury at cost-- 98,253 in 2006 and 1,362,977 in 2005 (394)-----Total stockholders' equity 25,566 \_\_\_\_\_ \$ 48,211 TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY =========

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

FS-17

NORTH AMERICAN GALVANIZING & COATINGS, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
(IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

	YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31								
				2005		2004			
SALES	\$	74,054	\$	47,870	\$	35,822			
COSTS AND EXPENSES:									
Cost of sales		54,662		35,969		25,814			
Selling, general and administrative expenses		8,058		7,196		5,917			
Depreciation and amortization		2 <b>,</b> 975		2 <b>,</b> 532		2,701			
Total costs and expenses		65 <b>,</b> 695		45 <b>,</b> 697		34,432			
OPERATING INCOME		8 <b>,</b> 359		2,173		1,390			
Interest expense		867		1,074		764			
Interest income		(62)							
Other income						(25			
INCOME FROM OPERATIONS BEFORE INCOME TAXES		7 <b>,</b> 554		1,099		651			
INCOME TAX EXPENSE		3,019		455		248			
NET INCOME		4 <b>,</b> 535		644		403			
OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME						1.0			
Unrealized holding gain on investment Reclassification adjustment for realized gain						12			
included in net income						(18			
Total Other Comprehensive Income						6			
Comprehensive Income	\$	4,535	Ś	644	Ś	397			

	=========		====	=========				
NET INCOME PER COMMON SHARE:								
Net income								
Basic	\$	0.60	\$	0.09	\$	0.06		
Diluted	\$	0.58	\$	0.08	\$	0.05		
	·							

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

FS-18

NORTH AMERICAN GALVANIZING & COATINGS, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS:

	 YEAF
	 2006
OPERATING ACTIVITIES:	
Net income	\$ 4,535
(Loss)/Gain on disposal of assets	(6)
Depreciation	2 <b>,</b> 975
Gain on sale of investments securities	
Deferred income taxes	(786)
Non-cash directors' fees	459 99
Non-cash share-based compensation Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of purchase of assets from Gregory Industries, Inc. (Note 2):	99
Accounts receivablenet	(6,224)
Inventories and other assets	(512)
Accounts payable, accrued liabilities and other	 6 <b>,</b> 029
Cash provided by operating activities	6,569
INVESTING ACTIVITIES:	
Capital expenditures	(1,414)
Payment for purchase of Gregory Industries' galvanizing operation	
Proceeds from sale of fixed assets	5
Investment proceeds	 
Cash used in investing activities	(1,409)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES:	
Payments on long-term obligations	(20,143)
Proceeds from long-term obligations	16,089
Payment of subordinated notes payable	(1,000)
Payment on bonds	(669)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	771 350
Tax benefit realized from stock options exercised and stock units distributed Proceeds from exercise of warrants	57
Purchase of treasury stock	(3)
Proceeds from sale of treasury stock	(5)
11000000 110m Sale Of Cleasury Stock	 
Cash used in financing activities	(4,548)
INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	612

Beginning of year		1,367
End of year	\$	1,979
CASH PAID DURING THE YEAR FOR:	====	======
Interest	\$	880
	====	
Income taxes (net of refunds of \$432 in 2005)	\$	3,419
	====	
NON-CASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Acquisitions of fixed assets under capital lease obligations	\$	363
	====	
Acquisitions of fixed assets included in accounts payable at period end	\$	464
	====	

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

FS-19

NORTH AMERICAN GALVANIZING & COATINGS, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

FOR EACH OF THE THREE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006, 2005 AND 2004

(IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

	COMMON STOCK \$.10 PAR VALUE			ADDITIONAL			ana taun	COI	THER MPRE-	
	SHARES	ES AMOUNT		PAID-IN CAPITAL		RETAINED EARNINGS		HENSIVE INCOME		_
BALANCEJanuary 1, 2004 Net income	8,209,925	\$	819	\$	17,343	\$	5 <b>,</b> 496 403	\$	6	
Other comprehensive income Treasury stock purchased							403		(6)	
Treasury stock issued					(91)					
BALANCEJanuary 1, 2005	8,209,925	\$	819	\$	17,252	\$	5 <b>,</b> 899	\$		_
Net income	 2		 (2)				644			
Stock units for Director	۷		(2)		0.45					
Stock Unit Program Treasury stock purchased					245					
Treasury stock issued					(104)					_
BALANCEJanuary 1, 2006	8,209,925	\$	821	\$	17,391	\$	6 <b>,</b> 543	\$		
Net income Stock units for Director							4,535			
Stock Units for Director Stock Unit Program Incentive Stock Plan					459					
Compensation					99					
Purchase of common stock for the treasury Issuance of treasury shares for Director Stock Unit										
Program transactions, including tax benefit					(1,006)					

\_\_\_\_\_\_

1,

Issuance of treasury shares										
for warrant transactions	<b>5</b> ,									7
net of shares tendered										,
for payment					(2,324)					(
Issuance of treasury shares	3									7
for stock option										7
transactions, net of										1
shares tendered for										1
payment and including										ļ
tax benefit					(558)					(
BALANCEDecember 31, 2006	8,209,925	\$	821	\$	14,061	\$	11,078	\$		
		=====		===		===		====	=====	===

See notes to consolidated financial statements

FS-20

NORTH AMERICAN GALVANIZING AND COATINGS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006, 2005 AND 2004

#### DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

North American Galvanizing & Coatings, Inc. ("North American Galvanizing" or the "Company") is engaged in hot dip galvanizing and coatings for corrosion protection of customer-owned fabricated steel products through its wholly owned subsidiary, North American Galvanizing Company ("NAG"). NAG provides metals corrosion protection with 11 regionally located galvanizing plants. The Company grants unsecured credit to its customers on terms standard for this industry, typically net 30 to 45 days.

#### (1) SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

PRINCIPLES OF CONSOLIDATION—The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly owned subsidiary. All inter-company transactions are eliminated in consolidation.

ESTIMATES—The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the balance sheet dates and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses for each of the years. Actual results will be determined based on the outcome of future events and could differ significantly from the estimates.

CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS--Cash and cash equivalents include interest bearing deposits with original maturities of three months or less.

INVENTORIES——Inventories consist of raw zinc "pigs," molten zinc in galvanizing kettles and other chemicals and materials used in the galvanizing process. Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market with market value based on estimated realizable value from the galvanizing process. Zinc cost is determined on a last—in first—out ("LIFO") basis. Other inventories are valued primarily on an average cost basis. Inventories consist of the following:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS) 2006 2005

	====:		
	\$ 6	<b>,</b> 755 \$	6 <b>,</b> 077
Other	1,	,076	393
Zinc	\$ 5	,679 \$	5 <b>,</b> 684

Had the Company used first-in-first-out ("FIFO") basis for valuing its zinc inventories, at December 31, 2006 and 2005 inventories would have been higher by approximately \$11,979,000 in 2006 and lower by approximately \$258,000 in 2005. The Company's LIFO inventories represented approximately 84% of total inventories at December 31, 2006 and 94% of total inventories at December 31, 2005. Raw zinc replacement cost based on year-end market prices was \$19,380,000 and \$8,602,000 at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. In 2005, inventory quantities were reduced, resulting in liquidation of LIFO inventory layers which increased the Company's net income by \$39,000.

FS-21

In November 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 151, INVENTORY COSTS-AN AMENDMENT OF ARB NO. 43, CHAPTER 4 ("SFAS No. 151"). SFAS 151 amends the guidance in ARB No. 43, Chapter 4, Inventory Pricing, to clarify the accounting for abnormal amounts of idle facility expense, freight, handling costs, and wasted material (spoilage). Among other provisions, the new rule requires that items such as idle facility expense, excessive spoilage, double freight, and rehandling costs be recognized as current-period charges regardless of whether they meet the criterion of "so abnormal" as stated in ARB No. 43. Additionally, SFAS 151 requires that the allocation of fixed production overhead to the costs of conversion be based on the normal capacity of the production facilities. SFAS 151 is effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2005 and was adopted by NAG on January 1, 2006. Adoption of SFAS 151 had no material impact on its consolidated results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

GOODWILL--Goodwill represents the excess of purchase price over the fair value of net assets acquired in business combinations. Goodwill and intangible assets with an indefinite life are no longer amortized but instead are reviewed, at least annually, for impairment. Management selected May 31 as the date of its annual goodwill impairment test. Based upon the impairment test performed at May 31, 2006, management determined that goodwill was not impaired.

DEPRECIATION AND AMORTIZATION--Plant and equipment, including assets under capital leases, are depreciated on the straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives, generally at rates of 3% to 6% for buildings and 10% to 20% for equipment, furnishings, and fixtures. Effective in 2001, the Company adopted the units of production method of depreciation for certain equipment at new galvanizing plants and for significant expansions of existing plants. The units of production method was based on projected total tonnage to be processed over the estimated lives of the respective plant equipment. The straight-line method of depreciation was continued for all other plant and equipment. In recognition of subsequent experience that indicated the equipment being depreciated under the units of production method was affected to a greater extent by age than by the level of production activity taking place within the plants, effective July 1, 2006, the Company changed its depreciation method for these assets, with an aggregate cost basis of \$5.9 million, from the units of production method to the straight-line method. This change in accounting estimate effected by a change in accounting principle is preferable because the straight-line method better allocates the cost of these assets to accounting periods in a systematic and rational manner more closely related to the assets' pattern of consumption.

The impact on current year earnings from this change, which was applied prospectively beginning July 1, 2006, was a decrease in operating income of

\$348,000, a decrease in net income of \$216,000 and a reduction in basic and diluted earnings per share of \$.03 for the year ended December 31, 2006.

During 2005, the Company removed fully depreciated assets totaling \$385,740 from the accounting records.

ENVIRONMENTAL EXPENDITURES—The Company expenses or capitalizes, where appropriate, environmental expenditures that relate to current operations as they are incurred. Such expenditures are expensed when they are attributable to past operations and are not expected to contribute to current or future revenue generation. The Company records liabilities when remediation or other environmental assessment or clean—up efforts are probable and the cost can be reasonably estimated.

LONG-LIVED ASSETS--Long-lived assets and certain intangibles to be held and used or disposed of are reviewed for impairment on an annual basis or when events or circumstances indicate that such impairment may have occurred. The Company has determined that no impairment loss need be recognized for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 or 2004.

SELF-INSURANCE--The Company is self-insured for workers' compensation and certain health care claims for its active employees. The Company carries excess insurance providing coverage for medical claims exceeding

FS-22

\$75,000 and workers' compensation claims exceeding \$150,000 per occurrence, respectively. The reserves for workers' compensation benefits and health care claims represent estimates for reported claims and for claims incurred but not reported using loss development factors. Such estimates are generally based on historical trends and risk assessment methodologies; however, the actual results may vary from these estimates since the evaluation of losses is inherently subjective and susceptible to significant changing factors.

REVENUE RECOGNITION— Revenue is recognized when earned and realized or realizable in accordance with Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) 104. This includes satisfying the following criteria: the arrangement with the customer is evident, through the receipt of a purchase order or a written agreement; the sales price is fixed or determinable; coating services have been completed, including inspection by the Company according to American Society for Testing and Materials ("ASTM") standards; and collectibility is reasonably assured. The Company does not accept title to customers' products, thus, revenue does not include the value of the customers' products. Although most customers make arrangements for transportation, if the Company makes transportation arrangements, freight and shipping billed to customers is included in sales, and the cost of freight and shipping is included in cost of sales.

DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS—The Company has previously utilized commodity collar contracts as derivative instruments which are intended to offset the impact of potential fluctuations in the market price of zinc. The Company had no derivative instruments that were required to be reported at fair value outstanding at December 31, 2006 and 2005, and did not utilize derivatives during the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 or 2004, except for the zinc forward purchase commitments, which are accounted for as normal purchases (see Note 8).

STOCK OPTIONS— The Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123(R), "Share-Based Payment" ("SFAS No. 123(R)") under the modified prospective method on January 1, 2006. Under the "modified prospective" method, compensation cost is recognized in the financial statements beginning with the effective date, based on the requirements of SFAS No. 123(R) for all share-based

payments granted after that date, and based on the requirements of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No.123, "Accounting for Stock Based Compensation" ("SFAS No. 123") for all unvested awards granted prior to the effective date of SFAS No. 123(R).

SFAS No. 123(R) eliminates the intrinsic value measurement method of accounting in APB Opinion 25 and generally requires measuring the cost of the employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the fair value of the award on the date of the grant. The standard requires grant date fair value to be estimated using either an option-pricing model which is consistent with the terms of the award or a market observed price, if such a price exists. Such costs must be recognized over the period during which an employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award. The standard also requires estimating the number of instruments that will ultimately be issued, rather than accounting for forfeitures as they occur.

The compensation cost for the Plan was \$99,000 for the year ended December 31, 2006. No tax benefit was recognized for the incentive stock plan compensation cost. There was no share-based compensation cost capitalized during 2006.

Prior to 2006, the Company accounted for its stock option plans in accordance with Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees", under which no compensation cost was recognized for stock option awards. Had compensation cost for the Company's stock option plans been determined according to the methodology of SFAS No. 123, the Company's pro forma net earnings and basic and diluted earnings per share for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 would have been as follows:

FS-23

		Year E		
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS)		2005	2004	
Net Income, as reported  Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense	\$	644	\$	403
determined under fair value based methods, net of tax	\$	(63)	\$	(45)
Pro forma net income	\$	581	\$	358
Earnings per share:	<u> </u>	0.0	<u> </u>	0.0
Basic - as reported	\$ 	.09	\$ 	.06
Basic - pro forma	\$	.08	\$	.05
Diluted - as reported	\$	.08	\$	.05
Diluted - pro forma	\$	.08	\$	.05

The fair value of options granted under the Company's stock option plans was estimated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions used:

			Years	Ended December	31
DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS,	EXCEPT PER	SHARE AMOUNTS	2006	2005	2004

-----

Volatility	54%	47%	66%
Discount Rate	4.7%	4.2%	6.5%
Dividend Yield			
Fair Value	\$ 1.50	\$ 1.48	\$ 1.46

INCOME TAXES—Net deferred income tax assets and liabilities on the consolidated balance sheet reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes and the benefit of net operating loss and other tax credit carry—forwards. Valuation allowances are established against deferred tax assets to the extent management believes it is more likely than not that the assets will not be realized. No valuation allowance was considered necessary at December 31, 2006 and 2005.

In June, 2006, the FASB issued FIN 48, ACCOUNTING FOR UNCERTAINTY IN INCOME TAXES. This Interpretation clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in the financial statements in accordance with FASB Statement No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. FIN 48 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure, and transition. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company is currently in the process of evaluating the impact that the adoption of FIN 48 will have on its financial position, consolidated results of operations and cash flows.

#### (2) BUSINESS EXPANSION - PURCHASE OF ASSETS

On February 28, 2005, NAGalv-Ohio, Inc., a subsidiary of North American Galvanizing Company, purchased certain galvanizing assets of Gregory Industries, Inc., located in Canton, Ohio, for a cash purchase price of \$3.7 million plus approximately \$0.5 million in purchase related expenses. The purchase expands the service area of North American Galvanizing into the northeast region of the United States. The results of the

FS-24

operations of NAGalv-Ohio, Inc. have been included in the consolidated financial statements since February 28, 2005. Goodwill of less than \$0.1 million was recognized in the purchase. The net purchase price was allocated as follows:

Current assets	\$1.8 million
Net property, plant & equipment	2.3
Goodwill	0.1
Purchase price	\$4.2 million

Pro-forma unaudited results of operations of the Company for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, prepared as if the purchase had taken place at the beginning of each period, would have been as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,			
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS)	2005	2004		
Sales Pro forma net income	\$ 48,974 \$ 467	\$ 41,937 \$ 755		

Diluted	\$ .06	\$ .10
Basic	\$ .07	\$ .11
Earnings per share:		

#### (3) STOCK COMPENSATION PLANS

At December 31, 2006 the Company has two share-based compensation plans, which are shareholder-approved, the 2004 Incentive Stock Plan and the Director Stock Unit Plan (Note 11). The Company's 2004 Incentive Stock (the Plan) permits the grant of share options and shares to its employees and directors for up to 1,250,000 shares of common stock. The Company believes that such awards better align the interests of its employees and directors with those of its shareholders. Option awards are granted with an exercise price equal to the market price of the Company's stock at the date of grant; those option awards vest based on 4 years of continuous service and have 10-year contractual terms.

For the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, the Company issued stock options for 167,500 shares at \$2.14 per share, and issued stock options for 140,000 shares at \$2.33 per share, respectively. The fair value of options which became fully vested during 2006 was \$32,550. The intrinsic value of options exercised during 2006 was \$1,479,000.

	NUMBER OF SHARES	_	ED AVERAGE ISE PRICE
Outstanding, December 31, 2004 (464,833 exercisable) Granted Surrendered/expired/cancelled	574,833 140,000 (1,500)	\$	2.06 2.33 3.00
Outstanding, December 31, 2005 (518,333 exercisable) Granted Exercised Surrendered/expired/cancelled	713,333 167,500 (413,750) (40,000)	\$	2.11 2.14 2.19 2.42
Outstanding, December 31, 2006 (178,333 exercisable)	427 <b>,</b> 083	\$ ===	2.02

FS-25

Information about stock options as of December 31, 2006:

	OP	TIONS OUTSTAN	NDING	OPTI	ONS EXERCISA	BLE
		WEIGHTED- AVERAGE	WEIGHTED- AVERAGE REMAINING		WEIGHTED-	WEIGHTE AVERAG REMAINI
RANGE OF EXERCISE PRICES	NUMBER OF SHARES	EXERCISE PRICE	CONTRACTUAL LIFE (YEARS)	NUMBER OF SHARES	EXERCISE PRICE	CONTRACT LIFE (YEA
\$1.00 to \$1.50 \$1.70 to \$2.10 \$2.41 to \$2.85	95,833 230,000 81,250	\$1.28 2.05 2.51	4.9 8.3 8.3	88,333 70,000	\$1.26 1.97	5.3 7.4
\$3.06 to \$3.50	20,000	3.16	1.0	20,000	3.16	1.0

3 \$1.75 5.6
91170 010
= ===== ===

As of December 31, 2006, the total compensation cost related to nonvested awards not yet recognized was \$279,000, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.7 years. The aggregate intrinsic value of options outstanding and options exercisable was \$1,381,000 and \$623,000, respectively, at December 31, 2006.

FS-26

#### (4) EARNINGS PER SHARE RECONCILIATION

For the Year Ended December 31		Shares (Denominator)	
Flided December 31	(Numerator)	(Denominator)	Alloure
2006			
Net income	\$ 4,535,000	7 540 570	\$
Basic EPS Effect of dilutive stock		7,548,572	0.60
options		233,055	(0.02)
Diluted EPS	\$ 4,535,000	7,781,627	\$ 0.58
	========		=====
2005			
Net income	\$ 644,000		\$
Basic EPS Effect of dilutive stock		6,883,315	0.09
options and warrants		724 <b>,</b> 969	(0.01)
Diluted EPS	\$ 644,000	7,608,284	\$ 0.08
	========	=======	=====
2004			
Net income	\$ 403,000		\$
Basic EPS		6,790,351	0.06
Effect of dilutive stock options and warrants		700,844	(0.01)
Diluted EPS	\$ 403,000	7,491,195	\$ 0.05
	========	=======	=====

The number of options excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share due to the option price exceeding the share market price are 295,000 and 311,500, at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

### (5) LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS

(Dollars in thousands)
December 31

	2006	2005
Term loan	\$ 3,751	\$ 4,465
Revolving line of credit		3,304
Capital lease obligations	328	
Other	17	18
	\$ 4,096	\$ 7 <b>,</b> 787
Less current portion	(778)	(715)
	\$ 3,318	\$ 7 <b>,</b> 072
	======	======

LONG TERM DEBT-- In February 2005, the Company amended the three-year bank credit agreement that was scheduled to expire in December 2007 and extended its maturity to February 28, 2008. Subject to borrowing base limitations, the amended agreement provided (i) an \$8,000,000 maximum revolving credit facility for

FS-27

working capital and general corporate purposes and (ii) a \$5,001,000 term loan that combined the outstanding principal balance of the existing term loan with additional financing for the purchase of assets of a galvanizing facility (Note 2).

Term loan payments are based on a seven-year amortization schedule with equal monthly payments of principal and interest, and a final balloon payment in February 2008. The term loan may be prepaid without penalty. The revolving line of credit may be paid down without penalty, or additional funds may be borrowed up to the maximum line of credit. At December 31, 2006, the Company had borrowing capacity of \$7.6 million, net of outstanding irrevocable letters of credit, under the bank revolving line of credit based on the borrowing base calculated under the agreement. At December 31, 2006, there were no borrowings outstanding under the bank credit agreement, and \$.4 million was reserved for outstanding irrevocable letters of credit to secure payment of current and future workers' compensation claims.

Substantially all of the Company's accounts receivable, inventories, fixed assets and the common stock of its subsidiary are pledged as collateral under the agreement, and the credit agreement is secured by a full and unconditional guaranty from NAG. Amounts borrowed under the agreement bear interest at the prime rate of JPMorgan Chase Bank or the LIBOR rate, at the option of the Company, subject to a rate margin adjustment determined by the Company's consolidated debt service ratio. The interest rate on these borrowings was 8.5% at December 31, 2006. In the event the Company fails to maintain a consolidated debt service coverage ratio for any fiscal quarter of at least 1.25 to 1.00, the Applicable LIBOR Rate Margin will be increased from 3.0% to 5.75% and the Applicable Prime Rate Margin will be increased from .25% to 3.00%. Thereafter, the increased rate margin will remain in effect until such time as the Company has maintained a consolidated debt service coverage ratio greater than or equal to 1.25 to 1.00 for a subsequent fiscal quarter.

In the event the Company fails to maintain a consolidated EBITDA to capital expenditures ratio for any fiscal quarter of at least 1.00 to 1.00, the increase in the Applicable LIBOR Rate Margin ranges from 3.75% to 5.75%, and the increase in the Applicable Prime Rate Margin ranges from 1.00% to 3.00%.

Amounts borrowed under the bank credit facilities bore interest ranging from 4.21% to 8.5% during the three years ended December 31, 2006 and an effective

rate of 8.5% at December 31, 2006 and 7.5% at December 31, 2005.

The bank credit agreement requires the Company to maintain compliance with covenant limits for current ratio, debt to tangible net worth ratio, debt service coverage ratio and a capital expenditures ratio. At December 31, 2006 the Company was in compliance with all of the covenant limits and the actual financial ratios compared to the required ratios, were as follows: Current Ratio – Actual 1.67 versus minimum required of 1.1; Debt to Tangible Net Worth Ratio – Actual 1.02 versus maximum permitted of 2.50; Debt Service Coverage Ratio – Actual 3.45 versus minimum permitted of 1.25; Capital Expenditures Coverage Ratio – Actual 1.99 versus minimum required of 1.0.

Aggregate maturities of long-term debt of \$4,096,000, exclusive of bonds are payable as follows: \$779,000 (2007), \$3,106,000 (2008), \$75,000 (2009), \$80,000 (2010), \$46,000 (2011) and \$10,000 (thereafter).

#### (6) BONDS PAYABLE

During the first quarter of 2000, the Company issued \$9,050,000 of Harris County Industrial Development Corporation Adjustable Rate Industrial Development Bonds, Series 2000 (the "Bonds"). The Bonds are senior to other debt of the Company. All of the bond proceeds, which were held in trust by Bank One Trust Company, N.A. ("Trustee"), were used by NAG for the purchase of land and construction of a hot dip galvanizing plant in Harris County, Texas. The galvanizing plant was completed and began operation in the first quarter of 2001. The principal amount outstanding on these bonds was \$5,265,000 at December 31, 2006.

FS-28

In accordance with the bond agreement, the Company is obligated to make principal payments to a sinking fund on the following schedule: \$830,000 (2007), \$806,250 (2008), \$851,250 (2009), \$896,250 (2010), \$941,250 (2011) and \$940,000 (thereafter).

The Bonds bear interest at a variable rate that can be converted to a fixed rate upon certain conditions outlined in the bond agreement. In September 2003, the Reimbursement Agreement with the bank trustee was amended (a) to adjust the variable interest rate on the Company's interest deposits from 5.25% to 3.5% on the principal amount of Bonds until such time as the trustee determines that a subsequent adjustment is warranted and (b) to permit the Company to withdraw excess interest from the trustee's Interest Account on or about March 31, June 30, September 30 and December 31 of each year, commencing September 30, 2003. In 2006 and 2005 the Company withdrew excess interest of \$96,000 and \$106,000, respectively, from the Interest Account and applied the proceeds to pay-down the balance due under the bank letter of credit securing the bonds. At December 31, 2006, the Company determined, in accordance with the amended Reimbursement Agreement, that the trustee's interest account was deficient in the amount of \$22,000, which the Company recorded as an increase in interest expense.

The Bonds are subject to annual sinking fund redemption payments, which were \$705,000 in 2006 and increase annually thereafter to a maximum redemption of \$960,000 on June 15, 2012. The Company makes monthly payments of principal and interest of approximately \$76,000 into the sinking fund. The final maturity date of the Bonds is June 15, 2013. The Company has the option of early redemption of the Bonds at par unless the bonds are converted to a fixed interest rate, in which case they are redeemable at a premium during a period specified in the bond agreement. The Company's obligation under the bond agreement is secured through a letter of credit with a bank which must remain in effect as long as any Bonds are outstanding. The letter of credit is collateralized by substantially all the assets of the Company.

The Internal Revenue Service is reviewing the Harris County Industrial Development Corporation Adjustable Rate Industrial Development Bonds and compliance with the Internal Revenue Code section (IRC) 144(a)(4)(ii)'s dollar limitation on capital expenditures within a relevant period. The IRS review concerns whether two operating leases commencing in January 2001 are conditional sales contracts, not true leases, according to Revenue Ruling 55-540. Should the Company be completely unsuccessful in its position that the bonds meet the tax-exempt financing requirements, the bonds could lose their tax exempt status, the Company could be required to redeem the bonds, which had a principal balance of \$5,265,000 at December 31, 2006, and the Company could be required to pay up to \$145,000 in additional income tax on the interest payments made to the bondholders. The estimated timeframe for resolution of the IRS review is unknown. Management of the Company, based upon their analysis of known facts and circumstances and advice from legal counsel, does not believe that this matter will have a material adverse effect on the results of operations, financial conditions or cash flows of the Company and continues to classify \$4,435,000 of the bond liability as long-term according to the original terms of the bond agreement. In addition, management believes the Company has sufficient long-term borrowing capacity to repay the bonds in the unlikely event it is required.

#### (7) SUBORDINATED DEBT

In February 2001, the Company completed a \$1,000,000 private placement of unsecured subordinated debt. The Company utilized the proceeds to partially fund construction of a galvanizing facility in St. Louis, Missouri. Participation in the private placement was offered to accredited investors, which included certain of the Company's directors and eligible stockholders holding a minimum of 100,000 shares of common stock.

In February 2006, the Company offered the noteholders of its \$1 million subordinated promissory notes the opportunity to extend the maturity date one year to February 17, 2007. The extension, which was offered on a voluntary basis, was 100% subscribed. The notes were issued with warrants to purchase 666,666 shares of common stock of the Company. All of the warrants were exercised by the holders during the third quarter of

FS-29

2006, at the exercise price of \$.856 per share. On August 31, 2006, North American Galvanizing & Coatings, Inc. prepaid the \$1 million subordinated promissory notes due to mature in February of 2007.

The amount outstanding on these notes, net of discount, was \$1,000,000 at December 31, 2005.

### (8) COMMITMENTS

The Company leases its headquarters office, and certain manufacturing buildings and equipment under non-cancelable operating leases. The Company also leases certain facilities to third parties under non-cancelable operating leases. These operating leases generally provide for renewal options and periodic rate increases and are typically renewed in the normal course of business. Lease expense was \$1,003,000 in 2006, \$1,006,000 in 2005, and \$716,000 in 2004. The Company leases certain equipment under non-cancelable capital leases. Minimum annual rental commitments at December 31, 2006 are payable as follows:

	,		thousands Capital	
2007 2008	\$	736 320	\$	8 4 8 4

2009	236	84
2010	117	84
2011	54	46
Thereafter	184	_
	\$ 1,647	382
Less: amount representing interest		(54)
		\$ 328
		=====

The Company has commitments with domestic and foreign zinc producers to purchase zinc used in its hot dip galvanizing operations. Commitments for the future delivery of zinc reflect rates then quoted on the London Metals Exchange and are not subject to price adjustment. These zinc purchase commitments are considered to be derivatives and are accounted for as normal purchases. At December 31, 2006, aggregate commitments for the procurement of zinc at fixed prices were \$788,000. The Company reviews these fixed price contracts for losses using the same methodology employed to estimate the market value of its zinc inventory.

#### (9) CONTINGENCIES

On August 30, 2004, the Company was informed by counsel for the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago (the "Water District") that the Water District had, on August 25, 2004 filed a Second Amended Complaint in the United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division, naming North American Galvanizing & Coatings, Inc. (formerly known as Kinark Corporation) as an added defendant. Counsel for the Water District also gave the Company notice of the Water District's intent to file (or amend the Complaint to include) a Citizens Suit under the Resource Compensation and Recovery Act ("RCRA") against North American Galvanizing & Coatings, Inc., pursuant to Section 7002 of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. Section 6972. This Second Amended Complaint seeks enforcement of an August 12, 2004 default judgment in the amount of \$1,810,463.34 against Lake River Corporation and Lake River Holding Company, Inc. in connection with the operation of a storage terminal by Lake River Corporation in violation of environmental laws. Lake River Corporation conducted business as a subsidiary of the Company until September 30, 2000, at which time Lake River Corporation was sold to Lake River Holding Company, Inc. and ceased to be a subsidiary of the Company. The Second Amended Complaint asserts that prior to the sale of Lake River Corporation, the Company directly operated the Lake River facility and, accordingly, seeks to

FS-30

have the Court pierce the corporate veil of Lake River Corporation and enforce the default judgment order of August 12, 2004 against the Company. The Company denies the assertions set forth in the Water District's Complaint and on November 13, 2004 filed a partial motion for dismissal of the Second Amended Complaint.

In December 2004, the Water District filed a Third Amended complaint in the litigation, adding two claims: (1) a common law claim for nuisance; and (2) a claim under the federal Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, in which the Water District argues that the Company is responsible for conditions on the plaintiff's property that present an "imminent and substantial endangerment to human health and the environment." In January 2005, the Company filed a partial motion to dismiss the Third Amended Complaint. On April 12, 2005, the Court issued an order denying in part and granting in part the Company's partial motion to dismiss plaintiff's third amended complaint. The Company filed an appeal with the Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals requesting dismissal of the

sole CERCLA claim contained in the Third Amended Complaint that was not dismissed by the United States District Court's April 12, 2005 order. On January 17, 2007, the Seventh Circuit affirmed the judgment of the United States District Court, stating that the Water District has a right of action under CERCLA. The Company is evaluating the judgment and expects to file a motion for reconsideration with the Seventh Circuit. Meanwhile, litigation and discovery in the trial court have been stayed pending mediation. The mediation is governed by a Settlement Protocol and Standstill Agreement (the "Protocol") negotiated and signed by the parties. The Protocol states that the parties will minimize discovery to focus on mediation. The court approved the Protocol without setting any deadlines for the case. If the mediation fails, the parties will resume discovery and the litigation in the United States District Court will continue.

The Company has denied any liability with respect to this claim and intends to vigorously defend this case. The Company has not recorded any liability related to the Water District claim and the estimated timeframe for resolution is unknown. The potential claim with respect to the Water District claim could exceed the amount of the default judgment. As liability and piercing of the corporate veil are being contested and neither a site evaluation nor a remediation plan has been developed, the Company is unable to make a reasonable estimate of the amount or range of loss, if any, that could result from an unfavorable outcome. Such a liability, if any, could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, results of operations, or liquidity.

NAG was notified in 1997 by the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency ("IEPA") that it was one of approximately 60 potentially responsible parties ("PRPs") under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Information System ("CERCLIS") in connection with cleanup of an abandoned site formerly owned by Sandoval Zinc Co. A number of the PRPs have agreed to work together and with IEPA on a voluntary basis. The Company has been and continues to participate in this volunteer group. The group has retained consultants and legal representatives familiar with IEPA regulations. This volunteer group, with its consultants, has cooperated with IEPA in attempting to better define the environmental issues associated with the Sandoval Zinc site. To that extent, this voluntary group prepared and submitted to IEPA in August 2000 a work plan. The purpose of this work plan is to attempt to define the extent of environmental remediation that might be required, assess risks, and review alternatives to addressing potential remediation. The estimated timeframe for resolution of the IEPA contingency is unknown. The IEPA has yet to respond to this proposed work plan or suggest any other course of action, and there has been no activity in regards to this issue since 2001. The Company does not have any liability accrued relating to the IEPA matter. Until the work plan is approved and completed, the range of potential loss or remediation, if any, is unknown. In addition, the allocation of potential loss between the 60 potentially responsible parties is unknown and not reasonably estimable. Therefore, the Company has no basis for determining potential exposure and estimated remediation costs at this time.

FS-31

The Internal Revenue Service is reviewing the Harris County Industrial Development Corporation Adjustable Rate Industrial Development Bonds and compliance with the Internal Revenue Code section (IRC) 144(a)(4)(ii)'s dollar limitation on capital expenditures within a relevant period. The IRS review concerns whether two operating leases commencing in January 2001 are conditional sales contracts, not true leases, according to Revenue Ruling 55-540. Should the Company be completely unsuccessful in its position that the bonds meet the tax-exempt financing requirements, the bonds could lose their tax exempt status, the Company could be required to redeem the bonds, which had a principal balance of \$5,265,000 at December 31, 2006, and the Company could be required to pay up

to \$145,000 in additional income tax on the interest payments made to the bondholders. The estimated timeframe for resolution of the IRS review is unknown. Management of the Company, based upon their analysis of known facts and circumstances and advice from legal counsel, does not believe that this matter will have a material adverse effect on the results of operations, financial conditions or cash flows of the Company and continues to classify \$4,435,000 of the bond liability as long-term according to the original terms of the bond agreement. In addition, management believes the Company has sufficient long-term borrowing capacity to repay the bonds in the unlikely event it is required.

The Company is committed to complying with all federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations and using its best management practices to anticipate and satisfy future requirements. As is typical in the galvanizing business, the Company will have additional environmental compliance costs associated with past, present and future operations. Management is committed to discovering and eliminating environmental issues as they arise. Because of frequent changes in environmental technology, laws and regulations management cannot reasonably quantify the Company's potential future costs in this area.

North American Galvanizing & Coatings, Inc. and its subsidiary are parties to a number of other lawsuits and environmental matters which are not discussed herein. Management of the Company, based upon their analysis of known facts and circumstances and reports from legal counsel, does not believe that any such matter will have a material adverse effect on the results of operations, financial conditions or cash flows of the Company

#### (10) TREASURY STOCK

During 2006, the Company issued shares from Treasury for the following transactions: 594,635 shares issued for warrant exercises, 411,823 shares issued for stock option exercises, and 259,001 shares issued for the Director Stock Unit Program (Note 11). During 2005, the Company issued 50,000 shares from Treasury.

The Director Stock Unit Program, commencing in the first quarter of 2005, replaced a program whereby Outside Directors received shares of Company stock issued from Treasury as payment for their quarterly board fee. In 2004, Directors of the Company could elect to receive shares of the Company's common stock for up to their entire fee for board service. Under this program, the Company issued 40,751 shares from Treasury Stock in 2004 in lieu of cash payments of \$73,000. Those shares were valued at the average closing price of North American Galvanizing & Coatings, Inc. common stock for a prior 30-day period, as reported by the American Stock Exchange. Such shares were issued pursuant to the Directors' prior election and notice to the Company to receive up to all of their 2004 quarterly board fees in the Company's stock in lieu of cash.

In August 1998, the Board of Directors authorized the Company to repurchase up to \$1,000,000 of its common stock in private or open market transactions. In 2006, the Company repurchased 735 shares at an average price per share of \$5.45, bringing the total number of shares repurchased through December 31, 2006 to 132,996 at an average price of \$1.79 per share totaling \$238,159.

FS-32

#### (11) DIRECTOR STOCK UNIT PROGRAM

On January 1, 2005, the Company implemented the Director Stock Unit Program (approved by the stockholders at the Annual Meeting held July 21, 2004) under which a Director is required to defer 50% of his or her board fee and may elect to defer up to 100% of his or her board fee, plus a matching contribution by the

Company that varies from 25% to 75% depending on the level of deferral. Such deferrals are converted into a stock unit grant, payable to the Director five years following the year of deferral. All of the Company's Outside Directors elected to defer 100% of the annual board fee for both 2006 and 2005, and the Company's chief executive officer and Inside Director elected to defer a corresponding amount of his salary in 2006 and 2005. Outside Directors received an annual fee of \$35,000 in 2006 and \$20,000 in 2005, which includes attendance at board meetings and service on committees of the board. During 2006, fees and salary deferred by the Directors represented a total of 146,161 stock unit grants values at \$3.14 per stock unit. During 2005, fees and salary deferred by the Directors represented a total of 112,847 stock unit grants valued at \$2.17 per stock unit. The value of a stock unit grant is the average of the closing prices for a share of the Company's stock for the 10 trading days before the date the director fees otherwise would have been payable in cash.

#### (12) CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

A subsidiary of North American Galvanizing Company (NAGalv-Ohio, Inc.) purchased the after-fabrication hot dip galvanizing assets of Gregory Industries, Inc. located in Canton, Ohio on February 28, 2005. Gregory Industries, Inc. is a manufacturer of products for the highway industry. T. Stephen Gregory, appointed a director of North American Galvanizing & Coatings, Inc. on June 22, 2005 is the chief executive officer, chairman of the board, and a shareholder of Gregory Industries, Inc. Total sales to Gregory Industries, Inc. for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 were approximately \$1,982,000 and \$1,486,000, respectively. The amount due from Gregory Industries, Inc. included in trade receivables at December 31, 2006 and 2005 was \$278,000 and \$254,000, respectively.

#### (13) INCOME TAXES

The provision for income taxes consists of the following:

	(Dollars in thousands) Year Ended December 31						
	2006	2005	2004				
Current	\$ 2,233	\$ 254	\$ 55				
Deferred	786	201	193				
Income tax expense	\$ 3,019	\$ 455	\$ 248				
	======	====	====				

The reconciliation of income taxes at the federal statutory rate to the Company's effective tax rate is as follows:

	(Dollars in thousands) Year Ended December 31						
	2006	2005	2004				
Federal taxes at statutory rate	\$ 2,568	\$ 374	\$ 221				
State tax net of federal benefit	296	32	27				
Other	155	49					
Taxes at effective tax rate	\$ 3,019	\$ 455	\$ 248				
	======	====	=====				

FS-33

The tax effects of significant items comprising the Company's net deferred tax asset (liability) consist of the following:

	•	thousands) ber 31
Deferred tax assets:	2006	2005
Items not currently deductible	\$ 784 	\$ 243
	784	243
Deferred tax liabilities: Differences between book and tax		
basis of property	802	1,047
	\$ (18) ====	\$ (804) =====

#### (14) EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLAN

The Company offers one of two 401(k) defined contribution plans to its eligible employees. In 2005, a newly-created defined contribution plan was offered to NAGalv-Ohio, Inc. employees, formerly covered by a bargaining contract with Gregory Industries, Inc. All other employees not covered by a bargaining contract become eligible to enroll in the existing benefit plan after one year of service with the Company. Aggregate Company contributions under these benefit plans were \$292,000 in 2006, \$261,000 in 2005, and \$220,000 in 2004. Assets of the defined contribution plan consisted of short-term investments, intermediate bonds, long-term bonds and listed stocks.

#### (15) FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The carrying value of financial instruments included in current assets and liabilities approximates fair value. The fair value of the Company's long-term debt is estimated to approximate carrying value based on the borrowing rates currently available to the Company for loans with similar terms and average maturities.

#### (16) UNION CONTRACTS

NAG's labor agreement with the United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy Allied Industrial and Service Workers International Union covering production workers at its Tulsa galvanizing plants expired October 31, 2006. The union ratified a two-year extension of the expiring agreement, with minor modifications, extending the expiration date of the agreement to October 31, 2008. The extension of the agreement brought employee contributions to the group health plan more closely in line with contributions made by non-union employees of the Company.

The United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy Allied Industrial and Service Workers International Union represented the labor force at the galvanizing facility purchased in Canton, Ohio in February 2005. At the time of purchase, NAGalv-Ohio, Inc. did not assume the existing labor agreement and implemented wage and benefit programs similar to those at the Company's other galvanizing facilities. In the fourth quarter of 2006, negotiations with the union were finalized. The union ratified an agreement effective from November 13, 2006 to November 12, 2009. The agreement contains wage and benefit programs similar to those implemented in February, 2005.

#### (17) SEGMENT DISCLOSURES

The Company's sole business is hot dip galvanizing and coatings, which is conducted through its wholly owned subsidiary, North American Galvanizing Company.

FS-34

#### QUARTERLY RESULTS (UNAUDITED)

Quarterly results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 were as follows:

### (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

	2006							
	MAR 31	JUN 30	SEP 30	DEC 31	TOTAL			
Sales	\$15 <b>,</b> 411	\$18 <b>,</b> 227	\$20 <b>,</b> 155	\$20,261	\$74 <b>,</b> 054			
Operating Income	\$ 1 <b>,</b> 927	\$ 2,630	\$ 2,085	\$ 1,717	\$ 8,359			
Net Income	\$ 982 =====	\$ 1,443 ======	\$ 1,104 =====	\$ 1,006 =====	\$ 4,535 ======			
Income Per Common Share Basic	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.60			
Diluted *	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.18	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.58			

 $<sup>^{\</sup>star}$  Individual quarterly amounts do not add to the total due to rounding.

#### (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

	2005									
	MAR 31		JUN 30		SEP 30		DEC 31		TOTAL	
Sales	\$	9,280	\$1	2,801	\$1	2,687	\$1	3,102	\$4	7 <b>,</b> 870
Operating Income	\$	371	\$	481	\$	588	\$	733	\$	2,173
Net Income	\$ ==	97 =====	\$ ==	114	\$	179 =====	\$ ==	254 =====	\$	644
Income Per Common Share Basic *	\$	0.01	\$	0.02	\$	0.03	\$	0.04	\$	0.09
Diluted	\$ ==	0.01	\$ ==	0.02	\$ ==	0.02	\$ ==	0.03	\$ ==	0.08

FS-35

### SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following is a summary of selected financial data of the Company:

(Dollars in thousands except per share amou

For the Years Ended December 31,	2006		2005		2004		2003*	
Sales	\$	74,054	\$	47 <b>,</b> 870	\$	35,822	\$	33,200
Operating Income Percent of sales	\$	8,359 11.3%	\$	2,173 4.5%	\$	1,390 3.9%	\$	495 1.5%
Net Income (Loss)	\$	4,535	\$	644	\$	403	\$	(1,013)
Basic Earnings (Loss) per common share Diluted Earnings (Loss) per common share	\$ \$	0.60 0.58	\$ \$	0.09	\$ \$	0.06 0.05	\$ \$	(0.15) (0.15)
Capital Expenditures	\$	1,414	\$	1,016	\$	1,230	\$	901
Depreciation and Amortization	\$	2 <b>,</b> 975	\$	2,532	\$	2,701	\$	2,880
Weighted Average Shares Outstanding **	7	7,781,627	-	7,608,284		7,491,195		7,437,789
At December 31,		2006		2005		2004		2003
Working Capital	\$	9,296	\$	7,026	\$	8,621	\$	6,607
Total Assets	\$	48,211	\$	41,055	\$	37,114	\$	37,367
Long-Term Obligations	\$	7 <b>,</b> 753	\$	12 <b>,</b> 275	\$	14,257	\$	14,351
Stockholders' Equity	\$	25 <b>,</b> 566	\$	19,298	\$	18,309	\$	17,885
Book Value Per Share	\$	3.15	\$	2.82	\$	2.69	\$	2.64
Common Shares Outstanding	8	3,111,672	(	6,846,948		6,797,012		6 <b>,</b> 783 <b>,</b> 137

<sup>\*</sup> All amounts for all years presented prior to 2003 have been restated to reflect discontinued of

FS-36

<sup>\*\*</sup> Weighted average shares outstanding include the dilutive effect of stock options and warrants,