

OSHKOSH CORP  
Form PREC14A  
November 18, 2011

Use these links to rapidly review the document  
[PROXY STATEMENT TABLE OF CONTENTS](#)

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

**SCHEDULE 14A**

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of  
the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No. )

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))**
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

**Oshkosh Corporation**

---

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

---

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
- (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
- (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
- (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

Edgar Filing: OSHKOSH CORP - Form PREC14A

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

o Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

o Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

---

Table of Contents

**PRELIMINARY PROXY MATERIALS SUBJECT TO COMPLETION**

## Oshkosh Corporation

[            ], 2011

Dear Fellow Oshkosh Corporation Shareholder:

You are cordially invited to attend our Annual Meeting of Shareholders on [            ], [            ], 2012 at 10:00 a.m. (Central Standard Time) at [            ].

Your Board of Directors is recommending a highly qualified, experienced and diverse slate of director nominees for election to our Board of Directors at the Annual Meeting. At the Annual Meeting, we will ask you to: (1) elect thirteen directors; (2) ratify the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as our independent auditors for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2012; (3) consider an advisory vote on the compensation of our named executive officers; (4) approve an amendment and restatement of our 2009 Incentive Stock and Awards Plan primarily for the purpose of increasing the number of shares available for grant by a prudent amount; (5) vote on a shareholder proposal, if properly presented at the Annual Meeting; and (6) take action upon any other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting.

Your management team will further elaborate at the Annual Meeting on our MOVE strategy that is intended to deliver superior long-term earnings growth and increased shareholder value over the next business cycle. We also will review our progress during the past year and answer your questions.

The accompanying materials include the Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders and Proxy Statement. The Proxy Statement describes the business that we will conduct at the Annual Meeting. It also provides information about us that you should consider when you vote your shares.

This year's Annual Meeting will be a particularly important one, and YOUR vote is extremely important.

**Whether or not you will be able to attend the Annual Meeting, it is very important that your shares be represented. We urge you to read the accompanying Proxy Statement carefully and to use the enclosed WHITE proxy card to vote for the Board's nominees, and in accordance with the Board's recommendations on the other proposals, as soon as possible. You may vote your shares by signing and dating the enclosed WHITE proxy card and returning it in the postage-paid envelope provided, whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting. For your convenience, you may also vote your shares via the Internet or by a toll-free telephone number by following the instructions on the enclosed WHITE proxy card.**

Please note that High River Limited Partnership and certain other entities affiliated with Carl C. Icahn (collectively, the "Icahn Group") have stated their intention to propose six alternative director nominees for election at the Annual Meeting. You may receive solicitation materials from the Icahn Group seeking your proxy to vote for the Icahn Group's nominees. **THE BOARD UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE "FOR" THE ELECTION OF EACH OF THE BOARD'S NOMINEES ON THE ENCLOSED WHITE PROXY CARD AND URGES YOU NOT TO SIGN OR RETURN OR VOTE ANY PROXY CARD SENT TO YOU BY THE ICAHN GROUP.** If you have already voted using a proxy card sent to you by the Icahn Group, you can subsequently revoke it by signing and dating the enclosed **WHITE** proxy card and returning it in the postage-paid envelope provided or by voting via the Internet or by telephone by following the instructions provided on the enclosed **WHITE** proxy card. Only your last-dated proxy will count, and any proxy may be revoked at any time prior to its exercise at the Annual Meeting as described in the accompanying Proxy Statement.

Thank you for your continued support. If you have any questions, please contact Innisfree M&A Incorporated, our proxy solicitor assisting us in connection with the Annual Meeting. Shareholders may call toll-free at (877) 750-9499. Banks and brokers may call collect at (212) 750-5833.

Sincerely,

Richard M. Donnelly  
Chairman of the Board

Charles L. Szews  
President and Chief Executive Officer

---

Table of Contents

**PRELIMINARY PROXY MATERIALS SUBJECT TO COMPLETION**

## Oshkosh Corporation

[            ], 2011

### NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

The 2012 Annual Meeting of Shareholders of Oshkosh Corporation will be held at [            ], on [            ], [            ], 2012 at 10:00 a.m. (Central Standard Time) for the following purposes:

1. To elect thirteen directors;
2. To ratify the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as our independent auditors for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2012;
3. To consider an advisory vote on the compensation of our named executive officers;
4. To approve an amendment and restatement of our 2009 Incentive Stock and Awards Plan primarily for the purpose of increasing the number of shares available for grant by a prudent amount;
5. To vote on a shareholder proposal, if properly presented at the Annual Meeting; and
6. To consider and act upon such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting.

This year's Annual Meeting will be a particularly important one, and YOUR vote is extremely important.

Only Oshkosh shareholders of record at the close of business on [            ], 2011 are entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting.

Please note that High River Limited Partnership and certain other entities affiliated with Carl C. Icahn (collectively, the "Icahn Group") have stated their intention to propose six alternative director nominees for election at the Annual Meeting. You may receive solicitation materials from the Icahn Group seeking your proxy to vote for the Icahn Group's nominees. **THE BOARD UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE "FOR" THE ELECTION OF EACH OF THE BOARD'S NOMINEES ON THE ENCLOSED WHITE PROXY CARD AND URGES YOU NOT TO SIGN OR RETURN OR VOTE ANY PROXY CARD SENT TO YOU BY THE ICAHN GROUP.** If you have already voted using a proxy card sent to you by the Icahn Group, you can revoke it by signing and dating the enclosed **WHITE** proxy card and returning it in the postage-paid envelope provided or by voting via the Internet or by telephone by following the instructions provided on the enclosed **WHITE** proxy card. Only your last-dated proxy will count, and any proxy may be revoked at any time prior to its exercise at the Annual Meeting as described in the accompanying Proxy Statement.

**YOUR VOTE IS VERY IMPORTANT. EVEN IF YOU PLAN TO ATTEND THE ANNUAL MEETING, WE REQUEST THAT YOU READ THE PROXY STATEMENT AND VOTE YOUR SHARES BY SIGNING AND DATING THE ENCLOSED WHITE PROXY CARD AND RETURNING IT IN THE POSTAGE-PAID ENVELOPE PROVIDED OR BY VOTING VIA THE INTERNET OR BY TELEPHONE BY FOLLOWING THE INSTRUCTIONS PROVIDED ON THE ENCLOSED WHITE PROXY CARD.**

Edgar Filing: OSHKOSH CORP - Form PREC14A

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Bryan J. Blankfield  
Executive Vice President, General Counsel  
and Secretary  
Oshkosh Corporation  
2307 Oregon Street  
Oshkosh, WI 54903-2566

---

Table of Contents**PROXY STATEMENT  
TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<u>INFORMATION ABOUT THE MEETING</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>GOVERNANCE OF THE COMPANY</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>The Board of Directors</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>Nominees</u>	<u>7</u>
<u>Committees of the Board of Directors</u>	<u>12</u>
<u>Committee Membership</u>	<u>12</u>
<u>Audit Committee</u>	<u>12</u>
<u>Governance Committee</u>	<u>13</u>
<u>Human Resources Committee</u>	<u>14</u>
<u>Corporate Governance Documents</u>	<u>15</u>
<u>Policies and Procedures Regarding Related Person Transactions</u>	<u>15</u>
<u>Oversight of Risk Management by Our Board of Directors</u>	<u>15</u>
<u>Board Leadership Structure</u>	<u>16</u>
<u>PROPOSAL 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS</u>	<u>17</u>
<u>REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE</u>	<u>19</u>
<u>Audit and Non-Audit Fees</u>	<u>19</u>
<u>Pre-approval of Services by the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>19</u>
<u>STOCK OWNERSHIP</u>	<u>20</u>
<u>Stock Ownership of Directors, Executive Officers and Other Large Shareholders</u>	<u>20</u>
<u>Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance</u>	<u>22</u>
<u>REPORT OF THE HUMAN RESOURCES COMMITTEE</u>	<u>23</u>
<u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	<u>24</u>
<u>Compensation Discussion and Analysis</u>	<u>24</u>
<u>Executive Summary</u>	<u>24</u>
<u>Oversight</u>	<u>27</u>
<u>Compensation Philosophy and Objectives</u>	<u>27</u>
<u>Annual Compensation Plans</u>	<u>28</u>
<u>Design Review</u>	<u>28</u>
<u>Determining Pay Levels</u>	<u>28</u>
<u>Base Salary</u>	<u>30</u>
<u>Annual Cash Incentive Awards</u>	<u>31</u>
<u>Equity-Based Long-Term Incentive Awards</u>	<u>37</u>
<u>Stock Options</u>	<u>38</u>
<u>Performance Share Awards</u>	<u>39</u>
<u>Restricted Stock</u>	<u>40</u>

<u>Retirement Benefits</u>	<u>40</u>
<u>Deferred Compensation</u>	<u>40</u>
<u>Certain Perquisites and Benefit Programs</u>	<u>40</u>
<u>Executive Employment and Severance Agreements and Other Agreements</u>	<u>41</u>
<u>Employment Agreement</u>	<u>41</u>
<u>Severance Agreements</u>	<u>42</u>
<u>Executive Incentive Compensation Recoupment Policy</u>	<u>43</u>
<u>Stock Ownership Guidelines for Executive Officers</u>	<u>44</u>
<u>Tax Treatment of Compensation</u>	<u>44</u>
<u>Conclusion</u>	<u>44</u>



Table of Contents

<u>Relation of Our Compensation Policies and Procedures to Risk Management</u>	<u>45</u>
<u>Summary Compensation Table</u>	<u>47</u>
<u>Grants of Plan Based Awards</u>	<u>49</u>
<u>Outstanding Equity Awards at September 30, 2011</u>	<u>50</u>
<u>Option Exercises and Stock Vested Table</u>	<u>52</u>
<u>Pension Benefits</u>	<u>52</u>
<u>Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation</u>	<u>55</u>
<u>Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control</u>	<u>56</u>
<u>Executive Employment Agreements</u>	<u>64</u>
<u>DIRECTOR COMPENSATION</u>	<u>66</u>
<u>Retainer and Meeting Fees</u>	<u>67</u>
<u>Stock Options</u>	<u>68</u>
<u>Restricted Stock Awards</u>	<u>68</u>
<u>Deferred Compensation Plan</u>	<u>68</u>
<u>Stock Ownership Guidelines for Directors</u>	<u>69</u>
<u>ADDITIONAL PROPOSALS REQUIRING YOUR VOTE</u>	<u>69</u>
<u>Proposal 2: Ratification of the appointment of Deloitte &amp; Touche LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as our independent auditors for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2012</u>	<u>69</u>
<u>Proposal 3: An advisory vote on the compensation of our named executive officers</u>	<u>70</u>
<u>Proposal 4: Approval of an amendment and restatement of our 2009 Incentive Stock and Awards Plan</u>	<u>72</u>
<u>Proposal 5: Shareholder Proposal</u>	<u>83</u>
<u>OTHER MATTERS</u>	<u>87</u>
<u>COST OF SOLICITATION</u>	<u>88</u>
<u>ATTACHMENT A: 2009 INCENTIVE STOCK AND AWARDS PLAN AS AMENDED AND RESTATED</u>	<u>A-1</u>
<u>ATTACHMENT B: INFORMATION CONCERNING PARTICIPANTS IN THE COMPANY'S SOLICITATION OF PROXIES</u>	<u>B-1</u>

Table of Contents

**PROXY STATEMENT**

We are providing this Proxy Statement and the enclosed **WHITE** proxy card in connection with the solicitation by the Board of Directors of Oshkosh Corporation (which we refer to in these materials as "we," "us," the "company" or "our company") of proxies to be voted at our 2012 Annual Meeting of Shareholders (including at any adjournment or postponement thereof), which will take place at [ ], on [ ], [ ], 2012 at 10:00 a.m. (Central Standard Time).

We are providing our 2011 Annual Report with this Proxy Statement. We first mailed the Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders, this Proxy Statement and our 2011 Annual Report to our shareholders on or about [ ], 2011. **A copy of our 2011 Annual Report may also be obtained, without charge, by contacting Secretary, Oshkosh Corporation, 2307 Oregon Street, P.O. Box 2566, Oshkosh, Wisconsin 54903-2566.**

**Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Shareholder Meeting to be Held on [ ], 2012.** The Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders, this Proxy Statement and our 2011 Annual Report are also available online at [www.eproxyaccess.com/osk](http://www.eproxyaccess.com/osk).

On November 4, 2011, we received a notice from High River Limited Partnership and certain other entities affiliated with Carl C. Icahn, which we refer to collectively in this Proxy Statement as the Icahn Group, stating their intention to propose six alternative director nominees for election at the Annual Meeting. The Icahn Group nominees have NOT been endorsed by our Board. **We urge you NOT to sign or return any proxy card that you may receive from the Icahn Group. Our Board urges you to sign, date and return the enclosed WHITE proxy card and vote FOR ALL of our Board's nominees for director.**

We are not responsible for the accuracy of any information provided by or relating to the Icahn Group contained in any proxy solicitation materials filed or disseminated by, or on behalf of, the Icahn Group or any other statements that the Icahn Group may otherwise make. The Icahn Group chooses which of our shareholders will receive their proxy solicitation materials.

**INFORMATION ABOUT THE MEETING**

**Who Can Vote**

Only holders of record of our Common Stock at the close of business on [ ], 2011, the record date for voting at the Annual Meeting, are entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting. On the record date, [ ] shares of Common Stock were entitled to vote.

**Determining the Number of Votes You Have**

The enclosed **WHITE** proxy card indicates the number of shares of Common Stock that you own. Each share of Common Stock has one vote.

**How to Vote**

**By Mail** Shareholders may vote their shares by signing and dating the enclosed **WHITE** proxy card and returning it in the postage-paid envelope provided with this Proxy Statement. Proxy cards submitted by mail must be received by the time of the Annual Meeting for your shares to be voted.

Table of Contents

**Via the Internet** Shareholders can simplify their voting by voting their shares via the Internet as instructed on the enclosed **WHITE** proxy card. The Internet procedures are designed to authenticate a shareholder's identity to allow shareholders to vote their shares and confirm that their instructions have been properly recorded. Internet voting facilities for shareholders of record are available 24 hours a day. Voting via the Internet authorizes the named proxies to vote your shares in the same manner as if you had submitted a validly executed proxy card.

**By Telephone** Shareholders can vote their shares by a toll-free telephone number by following the instructions provided on the enclosed **WHITE** proxy card. The telephone voting procedures are designed to authenticate a shareholder's identity to allow shareholders to vote their shares and confirm that their instructions have been properly recorded. Voting by telephone authorizes the named proxies to vote your shares in the same manner as if you had submitted a validly executed proxy card.

**At the Annual Meeting** Only our shareholders and invited guests may attend the Annual Meeting. Shareholders may be required to present proof of ownership of our Common Stock, along with personal identification (such as driver's license or passport), prior to being admitted to the Annual Meeting. Shares held in your name as the shareholder of record may be voted by you in person at the Annual Meeting. Shares held beneficially in street name may be voted by you in person at the Annual Meeting only if you obtain a legal proxy from the broker or other agent that holds your shares giving you the right to vote the shares and bring such proxy to the Annual Meeting. If you vote by proxy and also attend the Annual Meeting, you do not need to vote again at the Annual Meeting unless you wish to change your vote. Even if you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, we strongly urge you to vote in advance by proxy by signing and dating the enclosed **WHITE** proxy card and returning it in the postage-paid envelope provided or by voting via the Internet or by telephone by following the instructions provided on the enclosed **WHITE** proxy card. Directions to the Annual Meeting are available at: [            ].

If you vote by mailing a proxy card, via the Internet or by telephone, we will vote your shares as you direct. For the election of directors, you can specify whether your shares should be voted for all, some or none of the nominees for director listed. Our Board urges you to use the enclosed **WHITE** proxy card and vote FOR ALL of the nominees for director listed. With respect to the other items being submitted for shareholder vote, you may vote "for" or "against" any proposal or you may abstain from voting on any proposal.

If you submit a proxy by mailing a proxy card, via the Internet or by telephone without indicating instructions with respect to specific proposals, we will vote your shares consistent with the recommendations of our Board of Directors as stated in this Proxy Statement, specifically in favor of our nominees for directors, in favor of the ratification of the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent auditors, in favor of the advisory vote on the compensation of our named executive officers, in favor of the amendment and restatement of the 2009 Incentive Stock and Awards Plan, and against the shareholder proposal, if properly presented at the Annual Meeting. If any other matters are properly presented at the Annual Meeting for consideration, then the persons named on your proxy will have discretion to vote for you on those matters. As of the date of the Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders, we knew of no other matters to be presented at the Annual Meeting.

**Receipt of Multiple Proxy Cards**

Many of our shareholders hold their shares in more than one account and may receive separate proxy cards or voting instructions forms for each of those accounts. To ensure that all of your shares are represented at the Annual Meeting, we recommend that you **vote every WHITE proxy card you receive**.

Table of Contents

Additionally, please note that the Icahn Group has stated its intention to nominate six alternative director nominees for election at the Annual Meeting. If the Icahn Group proceeds with its alternative nominations, you may receive proxy solicitation materials from the Icahn Group, including an opposition proxy statement and a proxy card. Your Board unanimously recommends that you **disregard and do not return any proxy card you receive from the Icahn Group.**

**If you have already voted using the Icahn Group's proxy card, you have every right to change your vote and revoke your prior proxy by signing and dating the enclosed WHITE proxy card and returning it in the postage-paid envelope provided or by voting via the Internet or by telephone by following the instructions provided on the enclosed WHITE proxy card.** Only the latest dated proxy you submit will be counted. If you withhold your vote on any Icahn Group nominee using the Icahn Group's proxy card, your vote will not be counted as a vote for the Board's nominees and will result in the revocation of any previous vote you may have cast on our **WHITE** proxy card. Accordingly, if you wish to vote pursuant to the recommendation of our Board, you should **disregard any proxy card that you receive that is not a WHITE proxy card.**

**Revocation of Proxies**

You can change your vote or revoke your proxy at any time before it is exercised at the Annual Meeting by doing any of the following: (1) you can submit a valid proxy with a later date; (2) you can notify our Secretary in writing at Secretary, Oshkosh Corporation, 2307 Oregon Street, P.O. Box 2566, Oshkosh, Wisconsin 54903-2566 that you have revoked your proxy; or (3) you can vote in person by written ballot at the Annual Meeting.

If you have previously signed a proxy card sent to you by the Icahn Group, you may change your vote and revoke your prior proxy by signing and dating the enclosed **WHITE** proxy card and returning it in the postage-paid envelope provided or by voting via the Internet or by telephone by following the instructions on the enclosed **WHITE** proxy card. Submitting an Icahn Group proxy card even if you withhold your vote on the Icahn Group nominees will revoke any votes you previously made via our **WHITE** proxy card. Accordingly, if you wish to vote pursuant to the recommendation of our Board, you should disregard any proxy card that you receive that is not a **WHITE** proxy card and do not return any proxy card that you may receive from the Icahn Group, even as a protest.

**Quorum**

To carry on the business of the Annual Meeting, a minimum number of shares of Common Stock, constituting a quorum, must be present. The quorum for the Annual Meeting is a majority of the votes represented by the outstanding shares of our Common Stock. This majority may be present in person or by proxy. Abstentions and "broker non-votes" (when a broker has delivered a proxy that it does not have authority to vote on the proposal in question) are counted as present in determining whether or not there is a quorum.

**Required Vote**

*Proposal 1: Election of Directors.* In an uncontested election of directors, our By-Laws contain provisions relating to majority voting for directors as described below under "Majority Voting By-Law." For purposes of this By-Law, a contested election is one in which our Chairman of the Board determines, as of the date described in our By-Laws, that the number of persons properly nominated to serve as directors exceeds the number of directors to be elected. Our Chairman of the Board has determined that the election at the Annual Meeting is a contested election because nomination of the Icahn Group's nominees would result in more persons nominated to serve as a director than Board seats available.

Table of Contents

Accordingly, the provisions of our By-Laws relating to majority voting for directors will not be applicable to the Annual Meeting and, pursuant to our By-Laws, plurality voting will apply at the Annual Meeting.

The thirteen nominees for director who receive the most votes of all votes cast for directors will be elected. If you do not vote for a particular nominee, or if you indicate on your proxy card, via the Internet or by telephone that you want to withhold authority to vote for a particular nominee, then your shares will not be voted for that nominee. In addition, if you hold shares of our Common Stock through a broker-dealer, bank nominee, custodian or other securities intermediary, the intermediary will not vote those shares for the election of any nominee for director unless you give the intermediary specific voting instructions on a timely basis directing the intermediary to vote for such nominee.

**It will NOT help elect your Board if you sign and return a proxy card sent by the Icahn Group, even if you withhold on their director nominees using the Icahn Group proxy card. Doing so will cancel any previous vote you may have cast on our WHITE proxy card. The only way to support your Board's nominees is to vote FOR the Board's nominees on our WHITE proxy card and to disregard, and not return, any proxy card that you receive that is not a WHITE proxy card, including any proxy card that you receive from the Icahn Group.**

Pursuant to our By-Laws, written notice by shareholders of qualifying nominations for election to our Board of Directors must have been received by our Secretary by November 6, 2011. We did not receive any such nominations other than the nominations from the Icahn Group, and no other nominations for election to our Board may be made by shareholders at the Annual Meeting.

If for some reason any of the Board's director nominees is unable to serve, or for good cause will not serve if elected, the persons named as proxies may vote for a substitute nominee recommended by the Board and, unless you indicate otherwise on the **WHITE** proxy card, your shares will be voted in favor of the Board's remaining nominees. As of the date of the Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders, we knew of no reason why any of the Board's nominees would be unable or for good cause unwilling to serve as a director if elected.

**Majority Voting By-Law.** In the absence of a contested election, pursuant to the majority voting provisions of our By-Laws, any nominee for director who receives a greater number of votes "withheld" from his or her election than votes "for" such election must promptly tender his or her resignation to the Chairman of the Board. The Governance Committee of our Board of Directors (or, under certain circumstances, another committee appointed by the Board) will promptly consider that resignation and will recommend to the Board whether to accept the tendered resignation or reject it based on all relevant factors. The Board must then act on that recommendation no later than 90 days following the date of an Annual Meeting of Shareholders. Within four days of the Board's decision, we must disclose the decision in a Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") that includes a full explanation of the process by which the decision was reached and, if applicable, the reasons for rejecting the resignation.

*Proposal 2: Ratification of the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as our independent auditors for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2012.* The votes cast "for" must exceed the votes cast "against" to approve the ratification of the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as our independent auditors for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2012. Abstentions and broker non-votes do not constitute a vote "for" or "against" the proposal and will be disregarded in the calculation of "votes cast."

*Proposal 3: Advisory vote on the compensation of our named executive officers.* The votes cast "for" this proposal must exceed the votes cast "against" to approve the advisory vote on the

Table of Contents

compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section and accompanying compensation tables contained in this Proxy Statement. Abstentions and broker non-votes do not constitute a vote "for" or "against" the proposal and will be disregarded in the calculation of "votes cast." Although the outcome of this advisory vote on the compensation of our named executive officers is non-binding, the Human Resources Committee and our Board will review and consider the outcome of this vote when making future compensation decisions for our named executive officers.

*Proposal 4: Approval of the amendment and restatement of the 2009 Incentive Stock and Awards Plan.* The votes cast "for" this proposal must exceed the votes cast "against" to approve the amendment and restatement of the 2009 Incentive Stock and Awards Plan, provided that a majority of the outstanding shares of Common Stock are voted on the proposal. Abstentions and broker non-votes do not constitute a vote "for" or "against" the proposal and will be disregarded in the calculation of "votes cast."

*Proposal 5: Shareholder Proposal.* The votes cast "for" the proposal must exceed the votes cast "against" the proposal for the shareholder proposal to pass. Abstentions and broker non-votes do not constitute a vote "for" or "against" the proposal and will be disregarded in the calculation of "votes cast." The proposal must be properly presented at the Annual Meeting to be voted upon.

**Voting by Employees Participating in the Oshkosh Corporation Employee Stock Purchase Plan**

If you are an employee of Oshkosh Corporation or one of our subsidiaries and participate in our Employee Stock Purchase Plan, the enclosed **WHITE** proxy card indicates the aggregate number of shares of Common Stock credited to your account under that Plan as of [ ], 2011, the record date for voting at the Annual Meeting. If you timely submit a proxy by mailing a proxy card, via the Internet or by telephone, your shares will be voted as you have directed.

**If You Have Questions or Need Assistance Voting**

If you have any questions or need assistance voting, please contact Innisfree M&A Incorporated, our proxy solicitor assisting us in connection with the Annual Meeting. Shareholders may call toll-free at (877) 750-9499. Banks and brokers may call collect at (212) 750-5833.

**GOVERNANCE OF THE COMPANY**

**The Board of Directors**

Our Board of Directors is currently comprised of thirteen directors, the maximum number of directors currently authorized by our Board of Directors to serve on the Board. Twelve of our current directors are among the Board's nominees for election to our Board at the Annual Meeting. Due to other commitments in the upcoming year, John J. Hamre will not stand for re-election to our Board at the Annual Meeting. One of the Board's nominees for election to our Board, John S. Shiely, has not previously served as one of our directors. None of our current directors and none of the Board's nominees for election to our Board at the Annual Meeting are employees of our company, other than Charles L. Szews, our current President and Chief Executive Officer. Our Board of Directors has determined that each of our twelve current non-employee directors and each of the Board's twelve non-employee nominees for election to our Board at the Annual Meeting does not have a material relationship with us and is independent under New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") listing standards and applicable SEC rules. Our Board of Directors has adopted standards to assist in determining the independence of directors. Under these standards, the following relationships that currently exist or that

Table of Contents

have existed, including during the preceding three years, will not be considered to be material relationships that would impair a director's independence:

1. A family member of the director is or was an employee (other than an executive officer) of our company.
2. A director, or a family member of the director, receives or received less than \$120,000 during any twelve-month period in direct compensation from us, other than director and committee fees and pension or other forms of deferred compensation for prior service (provided that such compensation is not contingent in any way on continued service with us). Compensation received by (a) a director for former service as an interim Chairperson or Chief Executive Officer or other executive officer of our company or (b) a family member of the director for service as a non-executive employee of our company need not be considered.
3. A director, or a family member of the director, is a former partner or employee of our internal or external auditor but did not personally work on our audit within the last three years; or a family member of a director is employed by an internal or external auditor of our company but does not participate in such auditor's audit, assurance or tax compliance practice.
4. A director, or a family member of the director, is or was an employee, other than an executive officer, of another company where any of our present executives serve on that company's compensation committee.
5. A director is or was an executive officer, employee or director of, or has or had any other relationship (including through a family member) with, another company that makes payments (other than contributions to tax exempt organizations) to, or receives payments from, us for property or services in an amount which, in any single fiscal year, does not exceed the greater of \$1 million or 2% of such other company's consolidated gross revenues. Both the payments and the consolidated gross revenues to be measured are those reported in the last completed fiscal year.
6. A director is or was an executive officer, employee or director of, or has or had any other relationship (including through a family member) with, a tax exempt organization to which our company's and its foundation's contributions in any single fiscal year do not exceed the greater of \$1 million or 2% of such organization's consolidated gross revenues.
7. A director is one of our shareholders.
8. A director has a relationship that currently exists or that has existed (including through a family member) with a company that has a relationship with us, but the director's relationship with the other company is through the ownership of the stock or other equity interests of that company that constitutes less than 10% of the outstanding stock or other equity interests of that company.
9. A family member of the director, other than his or her spouse, is an employee of a company that has a relationship with us but the family member is not an executive officer of that company.
10. A family member of the director has a relationship with us but the family member is not an immediate family member of the director. An "immediate family member" includes a person's spouse, parents, children, siblings, mothers and fathers-in-law, sons and daughters-in-law, brothers and sisters-in-laws, and anyone (other than domestic employees) who shares such person's home.

Table of Contents

11. Any relationship that a director (or an immediate family member of the director) previously had that constituted an automatic bar to independence under NYSE listing standards after such relationship no longer constitutes an automatic bar to independence in accordance with NYSE listing standards.

Our Board of Directors met eight times during fiscal 2011. Each director attended at least 75% of the meetings of the Board and committees on which he or she served during fiscal 2011 that were held when he or she was a director. Our Board has a policy that directors should attend our Annual Meeting of Shareholders. All who were directors at that time attended our 2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

Our non-management directors, all of whom are independent directors, met in executive session, without the presence of our officers, on four occasions during fiscal 2011. Richard M. Donnelly, who was appointed as our independent Chairman of the Board on February 1, 2011, presided over all executive meetings of the non-management directors following such appointment. Prior to February 1, 2011, Michael W. Grebe, who acted as our independent Presiding Director before Mr. Donnelly's appointment, presided over all executive meetings of the non-management directors. If a shareholder or other interested party wishes to communicate with our Board, the shareholder or other interested party may send correspondence to the Secretary, Oshkosh Corporation, 2307 Oregon Street, P.O. Box 2566, Oshkosh, Wisconsin 54903-2566. Our Secretary will submit the shareholder's correspondence to the Board or the appropriate Committee as applicable.

Under our By-Laws and Corporate Governance Guidelines, the Chairman of the Board must be a director that the Board has determined to be independent in accordance with the listing standards of the NYSE and one who has not previously served as one of our executive officers. Richard M. Donnelly, an independent director, is our Chairman of the Board. Shareholders or other interested parties may communicate directly with the Chairman of the Board by sending correspondence to Chairman, Board of Directors, c/o Secretary, Oshkosh Corporation, 2307 Oregon Street, P.O. Box 2566, Oshkosh, Wisconsin 54903-2566.

The name, age, principal occupation and length of service of each of the Board's nominees for election to our Board of Directors, together with certain other biographical information and information regarding attributes, qualifications, experience and knowledge that led our Board of Directors to conclude that the nominee should serve or continue to serve as a director of our company are set forth below.

**Nominees**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Age</b>	<b>Year First Elected as a Director</b>
Richard M. Donnelly	68	2001
Michael W. Grebe	71	1990
Peter B. Hamilton	65	2011
Kathleen J. Hempel	61	1997
Leslie F. Kenne	64	2010
Harvey N. Medvin	75	2004
J. Peter Mosling, Jr.	67	1976
Craig P. Omtvedt	62	2008
Duncan J. Palmer	46	2011
John S. Shiely	59	
Richard G. Sim	67	1997
Charles L. Szews	55	2007
William S. Wallace	65	2010



Table of Contents

**RICHARD M. DONNELLY** From 1961 until his retirement in 1999, Mr. Donnelly held various positions with General Motors Corporation, a manufacturer of motor vehicles, including most recently as President and Group Executive of General Motors, Europe, a division of General Motors Corporation. Since July 2011, Mr. Donnelly has served as an advisor for Celerant Consulting, a business consulting firm based in London, England. From 2000 through September 2009, Mr. Donnelly served as an Industrial Partner at RHJ International, a Belgian private-equity holding company, where he was responsible for acquiring, managing and selling companies in RHJ International's automotive supply portfolio. He is past Chairman of the Board of Niles Co., Ltd. of Japan, a global switch and sensor supplier, and Honsel International Technologies S.A. of Brussels, Belgium, a global supplier of light alloy castings. Mr. Donnelly is a past director of Asahi Tec Corporation, a Japanese casting company. Mr. Donnelly has served as our independent Chairman of the Board since our 2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

Mr. Donnelly's prior service as a director of our company, extensive experience in the automotive industry, expertise in motor vehicle manufacturing and supply markets, experience in the private equity industry with buying undervalued companies and selling such companies at a profit upon implementing strategic, positive changes and international experience bring our Board key knowledge and insight considering the markets that our company serves, the challenges that we face and our international growth objectives.

**MICHAEL W. GREBE** Mr. Grebe currently serves as President and Chief Executive Officer of the Lynde and Harry Bradley Foundation, a private foundation based in Milwaukee, a position he has held since 2002. Mr. Grebe was a partner practicing corporate law in the national law firm of Foley & Lardner LLP from 1977 until his retirement in 2002. Mr. Grebe also served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Foley & Lardner LLP from 1994 until 2002.

Mr. Grebe's prior service as a director of our company including for several years as Presiding Director, his general legal expertise, his corporate governance experience, his management experience and his experience in politics bring our Board insight and guidance on governmental and regulatory matters that our company may face.

**PETER B. HAMILTON** Our Board of Directors appointed Mr. Hamilton to our Board of Directors on July 18, 2011. Mr. Hamilton currently serves as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Brunswick Corporation, a leading global designer, manufacturer and marketer of recreational products, a position he has held since 2008. Mr. Hamilton served as Vice Chairman of the Board of Directors of Brunswick Corporation from 2000 until 2007, as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Brunswick Corporation from 1998 to 2000, and as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Brunswick Corporation from 1995 to 1998. Prior to joining Brunswick Corporation, Mr. Hamilton served in various positions at Cummins Inc., including Chief Financial Officer, General Counsel and Secretary. Prior thereto, Mr. Hamilton was a partner in a Washington, D.C. law firm, held a number of senior positions in the U.S. federal government and was an officer in the U.S. Navy. Mr. Hamilton is also a director of Spectra Energy Corp. and SunCoke Energy, Inc.

Mr. Hamilton's extensive experience serving in leadership positions with manufacturing companies, board of directors experience, accounting and financial expertise, including his service as a chief financial officer, general counsel and legal and military experience bring our Board knowledge and insight into overseeing and evaluating the management of our financial and strategic operations.

Heidrick & Struggles, the third-party executive search firm that we retained to assist us in the search for director candidates, recommended Mr. Hamilton to the Governance Committee as a well-qualified and credentialed candidate to serve on our Board following a national search. The Governance Committee recommended Mr. Hamilton for election to the Board following its review of his qualifications in light of the criteria in our Corporate Governance Guidelines, the minimum qualifications that the Board and Governance Committee have established and personal interviews with Mr. Hamilton. In addition, Heidrick & Struggles completed thorough reference checks on Mr. Hamilton, and we completed thorough background checks on Mr. Hamilton through Kroll, an external background check firm.

## Edgar Filing: OSHKOSH CORP - Form PREC14A

### Table of Contents

**KATHLEEN J. HEMPEL** Ms. Hempel is the former Vice Chairman and Chief Financial Officer of Fort Howard Corporation, a manufacturer of paper and paper products, a position she held from 1992 until its merger into Fort James Corporation in 1997. Ms. Hempel joined Fort Howard Corporation in 1973 and served in various positions with progressively increasing responsibilities, including serving as Fort Howard Corporation's Vice President Human Resources. Ms. Hempel is also a director of Whirlpool Corporation. Ms. Hempel has previously served as a member of the board of directors of A.O. Smith Corporation, Fort Howard Corporation, Kennametal Inc., Actuant Corporation and Visteon Corp.

Ms. Hempel's prior service as a director of our company and prior public company board of directors experience, extensive experience in leadership positions in the manufacturing industry, experience in a multitude of areas including human resources management, accounting and finance, and international experience bring our Board knowledge and insight into overseeing the management of our company's financial, administrative and strategic operations.

**LESLIE F. KENNE** Lt. Gen. (Ret.) Kenne is retired from the United States Air Force. Lt. Gen. (Ret.) Kenne currently acts as an independent consultant to various organizations and businesses serving the U.S. Department of Defense. She served as the Deputy Chief of Staff, Warfighting Integration at the Pentagon from 2002 until her retirement from the U.S. Air Force in 2003. Prior to that, she served as Commander, Electronic Systems Center at Hanscom Air Force Base from 1999 to 2002 and as the Deputy Director and later Director of the Joint Strike Fighter Program at the Pentagon from 1996 to 1999. During her 32-year career in the U.S. Air Force, Lt. Gen. (Ret.) Kenne served in three Pentagon staff positions and directed three major programs: the Low Altitude Navigation and Targeting Infrared System for Night Systems Program, the F-16 System Program and the Joint Strike Fighter Program. Lt. Gen. (Ret.) Kenne currently serves as a director of Harris Corporation and as a director of Unisys Corporation. She also serves as a director of SRI International, an independent, non-profit research institute in California.

Lt. Gen. (Ret.) Kenne's distinguished military service, extensive experience in managing U.S. Department of Defense acquisition programs and knowledge of military project development programs bring our Board knowledge and insight into issues our company faces in dealing with key domestic and international customers in the defense industry, which is currently the largest single market sector our company serves.

**HARVEY N. MEDVIN** Mr. Medvin was Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Aon Corporation (and its corporate predecessor), a provider of risk management services and insurance brokerage, from 1982 until his retirement in 2003. He is a director of The Warranty Group, Inc. (a subsidiary of Onex Corporation) and a director of Schwarz Supply Source. Mr. Medvin is also a director of two non-profit organizations: NorthShore University Health System and Ravinia Festival.

Mr. Medvin's prior service as a director of our company, extensive experience working in leadership positions in the risk management services and insurance industry, prior service as a chief financial officer and international experience bring our Board knowledge and insight into overseeing the management of risks by our company and monitoring its long-term financial performance.

**J. PETER MOSLING, JR.** Mr. Mosling joined our company in 1969. He served in various senior executive capacities during his employment with our company through his retirement in 1994.

Mr. Mosling's prior service as an executive of our company and longstanding service as a director of our company, extensive experience in truck and vehicle manufacturing and knowledge of our company's operations bring our Board continuity and knowledge and insight into our business cycles, corporate financial operations and strategic planning.

Table of Contents

**CRAIG P. OMTVEDT** Mr. Omtvedt served as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer for Fortune Brands, Inc., a leading consumer products company, from 2000 until October 2011. He will continue as an employee of its successor company, Beam Inc., until his retirement at the end of 2011. He will serve as an advisor to Beam Inc. during 2012. He had been with Fortune Brands since 1989 serving in various capacities, including: Director, Audit; Deputy Controller; Vice President, Deputy Controller and Chief Internal Auditor; Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer; and Senior Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer. Mr. Omtvedt previously served in financial positions of increasing responsibility at both The Pillsbury Company and Sears, Roebuck & Company. In addition, Mr. Omtvedt serves as a director and audit committee chair for General Cable Corp. and is a member of the Standard & Poor's CFO Advisory Council. Mr. Omtvedt is also actively involved with the Boys & Girls Club of America, serving as a National Trustee.

Mr. Omtvedt's prior service as a director of our company, extensive experience serving in financial management positions with consumer products manufacturing and retail companies, knowledge of audit practices and international experience bring our Board knowledge and insight into overseeing the management of our financial and strategic operations.

**DUNCAN J. PALMER** Our Board of Directors appointed Mr. Palmer to our Board of Directors on July 18, 2011. Mr. Palmer currently serves as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Owens Corning, a leading glass fiber company, a position he has held since September 2007. Prior to joining Owens Corning, Mr. Palmer spent 20 years at Royal Dutch / Shell Group with positions of increasing responsibility, most recently as Vice-President, Upstream Commercial Finance for Shell International Exploration and Production BV and Vice-President Finance Global Lubricants for the Royal Dutch Shell Group of Companies. Mr. Palmer also holds a Masters of Business Administration from the Stanford Graduate School of Business.

Mr. Palmer's extensive experience serving in financial leadership positions with manufacturing and commodities companies, accounting and financial expertise, including his service as a chief financial officer, and international experience bring our Board knowledge and insight into overseeing and evaluating the management of our financial and strategic operations.

Heidrick & Struggles, the third-party executive search firm that we retained to assist us in the search for director candidates, recommended Mr. Palmer to the Governance Committee as a well-qualified and credentialed candidate to serve on our Board following a national search. The Governance Committee recommended Mr. Palmer for election to the Board following its review of his qualifications in light of the criteria in our Corporate Governance Guidelines, the minimum qualifications that the Board and Governance Committee have established and personal interviews with Mr. Palmer. In addition, Heidrick & Struggles completed thorough reference checks on Mr. Palmer, and we completed thorough background checks on Mr. Palmer through Kroll, an external background check firm.

**JOHN S. SHIELY** Our Board of Directors nominated Mr. ShIELY for election to our Board of Directors on November 15, 2011. Mr. ShIELY currently serves as Chairman Emeritus of Briggs & Stratton Corporation, a producer of air cooled gasoline engines for outdoor power equipment, served as Chairman until October 2010 and served as Chief Executive Officer until his retirement in December 2009. Prior to becoming Chief Executive Officer in 2001 and Chairman in 2003, Mr. ShIELY worked for Briggs & Stratton Corporation in various capacities, including Vice President and General Counsel, Executive Vice President Administration and President, after joining Briggs & Stratton Corporation in 1986. Mr. ShIELY has served as a director of Quad/Graphics, Inc. since 1997, of The Scotts Miracle-Gro Company since 2007 and of BMO Financial Corporation since 2011. Mr. ShIELY also previously served as a director of Marshall & Ilsley Corporation from 1999 until 2011.

Mr. ShIELY's extensive experience as a chief executive officer of a publicly traded company in the manufacturing sector, his experiences as a director of various publicly traded companies, his legal and

Table of Contents

administrative experience and his experience with managing international business operations bring our Board knowledge and insight into overseeing and evaluating the management of our company.

Heidrick & Struggles, the third-party executive search firm that we retained to assist us in the search for director candidates, recommended Mr. Shiely to the Governance Committee as a well-qualified and credentialed candidate to serve on our Board following a national search. The Governance Committee recommended Mr. Shiely for election to the Board following its review of his qualifications in light of the criteria in our Corporate Governance Guidelines, the minimum qualifications that the Board and Governance Committee have established and personal interviews with Mr. Shiely. In addition, Heidrick & Struggles completed thorough reference checks on Mr. Shiely, and we completed thorough background checks on Mr. Shiely through Kroll, an external background check firm.

**RICHARD G. SIM** Mr. Sim is currently the Managing Partner of Iona Partners LLC, a financial investment company. Additionally, Mr. Sim is a partner in Centaur Forge LLC, a distributor and manufacturer of farrier and blacksmith products, and a director of Rapid Air Systems Inc., a manufacturer of subsystems for the air bed mattress industry. From 1998 until 2003, Mr. Sim was Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of APW, Ltd., an electronics contract manufacturer. Mr. Sim served as Chairman and a member of the board of directors of Actuant Corporation, a manufacturer of hydraulic equipment, from 1987 until 2002. Mr. Sim has also served on the boards of directors of Hein-Werner Corporation, Gehl Company, IPSCO, Inc. and Falcon Building Products Inc.

Mr. Sim's prior service as a director of our company, extensive experience serving in leadership positions with manufacturing companies, prior service as a director of a steel company (the largest commodity that our company purchases), prior service as a chief executive officer and international experience bring our Board knowledge and insight into overseeing and evaluating the management of our company.

**CHARLES L. SZEWS** Mr. Szews currently serves as our President and Chief Executive Officer, a position he has held since January 1, 2011. Mr. Szews joined our company in 1996 as Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. He was appointed Executive Vice President in 1997 and President and Chief Operating Officer in 2007. Prior to joining our company, Mr. Szews spent eight years at Fort Howard Corporation holding a series of executive positions. Prior to Fort Howard Corporation, Mr. Szews was an auditor with Ernst & Young serving in various offices and capacities over a ten-year period. Mr. Szews is also a director of Gardner Denver, Inc. where he serves on its audit committee.

Mr. Szews' prior service as a director of our company, extensive experience in financial and audit matters and service as our Chief Operating Officer, our Chief Financial Officer and in various other senior executive positions with our company bring the Board knowledge and insight into our company's global operations and a thorough understanding of our products and markets and our company's dealings with our customers.

**WILLIAM S. WALLACE** Gen. (Ret.) Wallace currently acts as an independent consultant to various organizations and businesses serving the U.S. Department of Defense. He served as the Commanding General of the U.S. Army Training and Doctrine Command from 2005 to 2008. Prior to that, he served as the Commanding General of the Joint Warfighting Center U.S. Joint Forces Command from 1999 to 2001, as Commanding General of the Fifth U.S. Corps in Germany and Iraq from 2001 to 2003, and as Commanding General of the U.S. Army Combined Army Center at Fort Leavenworth, Kansas from 2003 to 2005. During his almost 40-year career in the Army, he served in multiple roles and had diverse duties ranging from responsibility for the development and distribution of joint forces training policy and joint forces doctrine while serving at the Joint Warfighting Center to responsibility for managing the training efforts at 32 schools at 16 Army installations while serving at the U.S. Army Training and Doctrine Command. In both Vietnam and Iraq, Gen. (Ret.) Wallace led U.S. soldiers in combat. He currently serves as a director of CACI International Inc., a leading provider of a wide range of

Table of Contents

technology services for customers that include the U.S. Department of Defense and other federal government agencies.

Gen. (Ret.) Wallace's distinguished military service, extensive experience in military command positions and knowledge of military operations bring our Board knowledge and insight into issues our company faces in dealing with key government customers such as the U.S. Department of Defense.

None of our directors or executive officers has any family relationship with any other director or executive officer.

**Committees of the Board of Directors**

Our Board of Directors has three standing committees: the Audit Committee, the Governance Committee and the Human Resources Committee. The members and responsibilities of these Committees as of the date of the Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders are set forth below.

**Committee Membership (\*Indicates Chair)**

**Audit Committee**

Peter B. Hamilton  
Harvey N. Medvin  
Craig P. Omtvedt\*  
Duncan J. Palmer  
Richard G. Sim

**Human Resources Committee**

Richard M. Donnelly\*  
John J. Hamre  
Kathleen J. Hempel  
William S. Wallace

**Governance Committee**

Michael W. Grebe\*  
Leslie F. Kenne  
J. Peter Mosling, Jr.

**Audit Committee**

The Audit Committee oversees the fulfillment by management of its financial reporting and disclosure responsibilities and its maintenance of an appropriate internal control system. It assists Board oversight of the integrity of our financial statements, our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements and our independent registered public accounting firm's qualifications and independence. The Audit Committee is responsible for the appointment, compensation, retention and oversight of the work of our independent registered public accounting firm, which reports directly to the Audit Committee. It oversees the activities of our internal audit function, which currently is provided under contract by Ernst & Young, LLP. The Audit Committee has a charter that specifies its responsibilities and the Audit Committee believes it fulfills its charter. All members of the Audit Committee are independent directors as defined under NYSE listing standards and SEC rules. All members of the Audit Committee are financially literate under the applicable NYSE listing standards. Our Board of Directors has determined that Peter B. Hamilton, Harvey N. Medvin, Craig P. Omtvedt, Duncan J. Palmer and Richard G. Sim are "audit committee financial experts" as defined under SEC rules.

The Audit Committee met eight times during fiscal 2011. Our independent registered public accounting firm and internal auditors met with the Audit Committee with and without representatives of management present. See "Report of the Audit Committee".

Table of Contents

**Governance Committee**

The Governance Committee identifies individuals qualified to become Board members and recommends nominees to the Board for election as directors with the assistance of Mr. Richard M. Donnelly, our independent Chairman of the Board. It also oversees the evaluation of the performance of the Board, makes recommendations to the Board regarding Board and Committee structure, Committee charters and corporate governance, and is responsible for conducting an annual Board self-evaluation. The Governance Committee has developed, and the Board adopted, a set of corporate governance guidelines applicable to our company. The Governance Committee met four times during fiscal 2011 and, among other things, recommended that the company adopt an executive incentive compensation recoupment policy. All members of the Governance Committee are independent directors as defined under NYSE listing standards and SEC rules.

*Selection of Nominees for Election to the Board*

The Governance Committee will consider candidates for nomination as a director recommended by shareholders, directors, officers, third-party search firms and other sources. In evaluating candidates, the Governance Committee considers attributes of the candidate (including strength of character, mature judgment, career specialization, relevant technical skills or financial acumen, diversity of viewpoint and industry knowledge) and the needs of the Board. However, the Board and the Governance Committee believe the following minimum qualifications must be met by a director candidate to be recommended as a director nominee by the Governance Committee: (i) each director nominee must display the highest personal and professional ethics, integrity and values; (ii) each director nominee must have the ability to make independent analytical inquiries and to exercise sound business judgment; (iii) each director nominee must have relevant expertise and experience and an understanding of our business environment and be able to offer advice and guidance to our Board of Directors and executives based on that expertise, experience and understanding; (iv) director nominees generally should be active or former chief or other senior executive officers of public companies or leaders of major complex organizations, including commercial, scientific, government, educational and other non-profit institutions; (v) each director nominee must be independent of any particular constituency, be able to represent all shareholders of our company and be committed to enhancing long-term shareholder value; (vi) each director nominee must have sufficient time available to devote to activities of the Board and to enhance his or her knowledge of our business; and (vii) unless otherwise determined by the Governance Committee, a director nominee may not have attained the age of 72. Our Board and the Governance Committee also believe that at least one director should have the requisite experience and expertise to be designated as an "audit committee financial expert" as defined by applicable rules of the SEC.

The Governance Committee will review all candidates in the same manner, regardless of the source of the recommendation. The Governance Committee will consider individuals recommended by shareholders for nomination as a director for available seats on the Board if the shareholder complies with the procedures for recommendations described under "Other Matters." Our By-Laws require that shareholders give advance notice and furnish certain information to us if they wish to nominate a person for election as a director.

Our Corporate Governance Guidelines have long provided that our Board is committed to a diversified membership, in terms of both the diversity of the individuals serving on our Board and their various experiences and areas of expertise. As part of its process of identifying director candidates, the Governance Committee considers the attributes of existing directors and directs the third-party executive search firm that it retains to assist it in the search for candidates to serve on our Board to identify candidates who would contribute to diversity, taking into account the attributes of existing directors. As part of its annual self-evaluation, the Governance Committee assesses the effectiveness of

Table of Contents

its efforts to attain diversity by considering whether it has an appropriate process for identifying and selecting director candidates.

**Human Resources Committee**

The Human Resources Committee's basic responsibility is to assure that the non-employee members of our Board of Directors and the Chief Executive Officer, other executive officers and key management are compensated effectively and in a manner consistent with our stated compensation philosophy and objectives, internal equity considerations, competitive practices and the requirements of the appropriate regulatory bodies. The Human Resources Committee oversees our organizational, personnel, compensation, and benefits policies and practices. It establishes the compensation for executive officers and oversees the administration of other executive compensation and benefit plans. The Human Resources Committee met six times in fiscal 2011. All members of the Human Resources Committee are independent directors as defined under NYSE listing standards.

The Human Resources Committee has retained the services of an external compensation consultant, Towers Watson. The mandate of the consultant is to serve us and work for the Human Resources Committee in its review of executive and director compensation practices, including the competitiveness of pay levels, executive compensation design issues, market trends and technical considerations. The nature and scope of services rendered by Towers Watson on the Human Resources Committee's behalf is described below:

Analyses of general industry compensation data and updates of trends in executive compensation;

Ongoing support with regard to the latest relevant regulatory, technical, and/or accounting considerations impacting executive compensation and benefit programs;

Guidance on overall compensation program structure, executive compensation levels, comparator groups and executive employment agreement terms;

Preparation for and attendance at selected management, committee or Board of Directors meetings; and

Recommend competitive positioning of outside director compensation.

The Human Resources Committee has the final authority to hire and terminate the consultant, and the Human Resources Committee evaluates the consultant periodically.

In addition to Towers Watson's work for the Human Resources Committee during fiscal 2011, management purchased compensation survey products directly from Towers Watson at a price equal to its standard rates. The Human Resources Committee approved the purchase of the survey products from Towers Watson. The purchase of the survey products was not related to or connected with the work that Towers Watson performed for the Human Resources Committee. Billings for work performed for the Human Resources Committee were approximately 95% of the total amount we paid to Towers Watson in fiscal year 2011.

The compensation decisions that the Human Resources Committee makes may reflect factors and considerations other than the information and recommendations that Towers Watson, management or any other advisor provides to the Committee. The Human Resources Committee determines the terms of Towers Watson's engagement as a compensation consultant. Also, the Human Resources Committee: (i) has sole authority to retain and terminate Towers Watson or any other compensation consultant; (ii) meets with Towers Watson without management being present; and (iii) evaluates the quality and objectivity of Tower Watson's services annually. Based on these policies and procedures, the

Table of Contents

Human Resources Committee believes that the advice it receives from Towers Watson is independent, objective and not influenced by any other relationships that Towers Watson may have with our company.

We provide additional information regarding the Human Resources Committee and our policies and procedures regarding executive compensation, including the role of executive officers in recommending executive compensation, below under "Executive Compensation Compensation Discussion and Analysis."

**Corporate Governance Documents**

We make our Corporate Governance Guidelines and the written charters of the Audit Committee, the Governance Committee and the Human Resources Committee of our Board of Directors available, free of charge, on our website at [www.oshkoshcorporation.com](http://www.oshkoshcorporation.com).

Our Board of Directors adopted the Oshkosh Corporation Code of Ethics Applicable to Directors and Senior Executives, or the Code, which applies to our President and Chief Executive Officer, our Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, our Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary, our Senior Vice President Finance and Controller, and the Presidents, Vice Presidents of Finance and Controllers of our business units, or persons holding positions with similar responsibilities at a business unit, and other officers elected by our Board of Directors at the vice president level or higher. Our Board of Directors also adopted a Code of Ethics and Standards of Conduct that applies to all of our employees, known as "The Oshkosh Way", which we updated in 2011. We make both of such codes available on our website at [www.oshkoshcorporation.com](http://www.oshkoshcorporation.com), and each such code is available in print to any shareholder who requests it from our Secretary at the address above.

We are not including the information contained on our website as part of, or incorporating it by reference into, this Proxy Statement.

**Policies and Procedures Regarding Related Person Transactions**

As described above, our Board of Directors adopted the Oshkosh Way for all employees. Our named executive officers are also required to acknowledge in writing that they have received, reviewed and understand the requirements of the Code and further acknowledge that failure to fully comply with the Code can subject them to discipline, up to and including removal from our Board of Directors or termination of employment.

The Code requires the prompt disclosure to our General Counsel or the Chair of the Audit Committee of any proposed transaction or relationship that could create or appear to create a conflict of interest. The Code provides, "the phrase 'actual or apparent conflict of interest' shall be broadly construed and include, for example, direct conflicts, indirect conflicts, potential conflicts, apparent conflicts and any other personal, business or professional relationship or dealing that has a reasonable possibility of creating even the mere appearance of impropriety." Additionally, the Code prohibits directors and senior executives from taking personal advantage of business opportunities that we typically would pursue or in which we may be interested.

The Governance Committee is responsible for the administration of the Code, which specifically provides that there is a "firm bias" against waivers of the Code.

**Oversight of Risk Management by Our Board of Directors**

Our Board is responsible for general oversight of our risk management. The Board focuses on the most significant and material risks facing our company and ensures that management develops and implements appropriate risk mitigation strategies. The Board also responds to particular risk



Table of Contents

management issues as part of its general oversight of our company and in connection with its review and approval of corporate matters.

The Board has delegated its responsibilities for oversight of our risk management program to the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee evaluates and discusses our overall guidelines, policies, processes and procedures with respect to risk assessment and risk management, including material risks that could impact our company's performance, operations and strategic plans. We have implemented our Organization Risk Management program, which we refer to as the ORM Program. The ORM Program identifies our potential exposure to risks that include strategic, operational, financial, knowledge and legal and regulatory compliance risks. Our senior management is responsible for the administration of the ORM program and conducts assessments and evaluations of potential risks for each of our business segments and our company as a whole. Our senior management then develops mitigation strategies to address these potential risks.

Senior management reports these risk assessments and mitigation strategies to the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee then considers and discusses these risk assessments and mitigation strategies. Additionally, senior management and the Audit Committee report to the Board on material risk assessments and mitigation strategies as part of the strategic plan updates that they give to the Board during the fiscal year. Senior management reviews and prioritizes these risk assessments and mitigation strategies and reports to the Audit Committee on risk management results to effectively manage our risk profile. The ORM Program is designed to: (i) provide the Audit Committee with an assessment of our potential exposure to material risks; (ii) inform the Audit Committee as to how our senior management addresses and mitigates such potential material risks; and (iii) allow the Audit Committee to evaluate how these risks may impact our performance, operations and strategic plans and ensure that senior management is implementing effective mitigation strategies as necessary. We believe our ORM Program provides an effective approach for addressing the potential risks we face and enables the Audit Committee and our Board to fulfill their general risk oversight functions.

In addition to the ORM Program, each of the Audit Committee, Human Resources Committee and Governance Committee routinely monitors the various risks that fall under that Committee's respective area of responsibility. The Audit Committee monitors risks related to our financial and accounting controls as well as legal and regulatory compliance risks. The Governance Committee monitors risks related to our corporate governance. The Human Resources Committee considers and monitors the impact of our compensation programs on our risk exposure. Each Committee then routinely reports on its actions to the full Board. This coordination of risk management allows our Board and the Committees to effectively manage the risk oversight function of our company, especially, the management of interrelated risks.

## **Board Leadership Structure**

### *Independent Directors*

Our Board believes that having an independent board of directors and an independent Chairman of the Board greatly enhances its ability to provide effective governance and oversight. With the exception of our President and Chief Executive Officer, all of our directors are independent directors, including all of the members of our Audit Committee, Human Resources Committee and Governance Committee. In addition, our non-employee, independent directors regularly meet in executive sessions without our officers, including our President and Chief Executive Officer, present.

### *Independent Chairman of the Board*

Under our By-Laws and Corporate Governance Guidelines, our Chairman of the Board must be a director that the Board has determined to be independent in accordance with the listing standards of

Table of Contents

the NYSE and one who has not previously served as an executive officer of our company. As a result, separate individuals serve as our Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer and an independent director serves as our Chairman of the Board. We believe this leadership structure fosters effective governance and oversight of our company by the Board. Specifically, our Board has determined that separating the roles of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and having an independent Chairman of the Board: (i) provides the independent directors with control over the Board meeting agenda and discussion; (ii) assures that independent directors control discussions over strategic alternatives; (iii) permits more effective assessment of the Chief Executive Officer's performance; (iv) provides a more effective means for the Board to express its views on our management, strategy and execution; and (v) enables the Chairman to focus more on our corporate governance and serving shareholders' interests while allowing the Chief Executive Officer to focus more directly on managing our operations and growing our earnings.

**PROPOSAL 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS**

**Background to Board's Recommendation for Director Nominees**

Our Board of Directors is committed to a long-term strategy to continually strengthen the skills, experience and diversity of our Board with the best interests of our shareholders in mind. Since 2008, we have bolstered our Board with the addition of six new independent directors who have brought valuable and varied experience in distinct and critical areas of our businesses. In 2008, we strengthened our Board's finance experience by adding Mr. Craig P. Omtvedt, Chief Financial Officer of Fortune Brands, Inc., a leading consumer products company (now known as Beam Inc.). In 2009 and 2010, we enhanced our Board's defense industry expertise by selecting Mr. John J. Hamre, a former Deputy Secretary of Defense (who, due to other commitments in the upcoming year, will not stand for re-election at the Annual Meeting), and Gen. (Ret.) William S. Wallace and Lt. Gen. (Ret.) Leslie F. Kenne, who are retired generals in the United States Army and Air Force, respectively. In 2011, we added Messrs. Peter B. Hamilton and Duncan J. Palmer, each of whom currently serves as Chief Financial Officer of a publicly traded company and brings an extensive financial background to our Board. In addition, our Board has nominated Mr. John S. Shiely, an independent director nominee and former Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Briggs & Stratton Corporation, for election at the Annual Meeting. If elected, Mr. Shiely will bring extensive public company leadership experience as well as legal and administrative experience to our Board. The complete biographical information of these outstanding professionals is set forth on pages 8-12 of this Proxy Statement.

Our Board of Directors engages in a robust director identification and recruitment process to enhance its capabilities when new directors are needed. Our Governance Committee, of which independent director Mr. Michael W. Grebe is the Chair, and Mr. Richard M. Donnelly, our independent Chairman of the Board, lead this process. Our Governance Committee (whose role is described further in "Governance of the Company Committees of the Board of Directors Governance Committee") and Mr. Donnelly, working in consultation with other members of the Board and often with input from a leading executive search and recruiting firm, follow a rigorous process to identify and select new board members. Following outreach to potential candidates and in-depth assessments, we match candidates against specified criteria of attributes, skills and competencies, which are described in detail in "Governance of the Company Committees of the Board of Directors Governance Committee". We evaluate the candidate's complementary experience, relevant expertise, diverse industry and educational background, and the executive leadership that the candidate would bring to our Board.

**Board Nominees**

Consistent with this strategy, our Board has nominated thirteen people for election as directors at the Annual Meeting. Each of the nominees, other than Mr. Shiely, currently is a director of our company

Table of Contents

and, with the exception of Messrs. Hamilton, Palmer and Shiely, was elected at our 2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. If our shareholders elect these directors, then the directors will hold office until the next Annual Meeting of Shareholders, or until their successors have been elected and qualified. Each of the Board's nominees has consented to be named in this Proxy Statement and has agreed to serve if elected. If for some reason any of the Board's nominees is unable to serve, or for good cause will not serve if elected, the persons named as proxies may vote for a substitute nominee recommended by the Board and, unless you indicate otherwise on the **WHITE** proxy card, your shares will be voted in favor of the Board's remaining nominees. If any substitute nominees are designated by the Board, we will file supplemental proxy materials that, as applicable, identify the substitute nominees, disclose that such nominees have consented to being named in the supplemental proxy materials and to serve if elected, and include certain biographical and other information about such nominees required by SEC rules.

The Board's nominees are: Richard M. Donnelly, Michael W. Grebe, Peter B. Hamilton, Kathleen J. Hempel, Leslie F. Kenne, Harvey N. Medvin, J. Peter Mosling, Jr., Craig P. Omtvedt, Duncan J. Palmer, John S. Shiely, Richard G. Sim, Charles L. Szews and William S. Wallace. Their biographical information is set forth on pages 8-12 of this Proxy Statement.

We believe each of the Board's nominees meets the minimum qualifications established by the Board and the Governance Committee for service on our Board of Directors, has professional experience in areas that are extremely relevant to our strategy and operations, and offers experience, leadership and continuity at a critical time for our company's future. We also believe the Board's nominees have other attributes necessary to create an effective board, such as: high personal and professional ethics, integrity and values; vision and long-term strategic perspective; experience in our industries or similar industries; experience with regulatory and government processes; practical judgment and excellent decision-making skills; the ability to devote significant time to serve on our Board and its committees and to work in a collaborative manner with other board members; and a commitment to representing the long-term interests of all our shareholders.

The Board recommends that our shareholders vote FOR the election of the thirteen nominees listed above. The recommendation of the Board is based on its carefully considered judgment that the skills, experience, backgrounds and attributes of the Board's nominees make them the best candidates to serve on our Board.

In addition to the biographical information set forth on pages 8-12 of this Proxy Statement, Attachment B sets forth information relating to the Board's director nominees as well as certain of our directors, officers and employees who are considered "participants" in our solicitation under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and the rules promulgated thereunder by reason of their position as directors or director nominees of our company or because they may be soliciting proxies on our behalf.

We urge you to vote for the nominees proposed by the Board by using only the enclosed **WHITE** proxy card and not to sign or return or vote any proxy card sent to you by the Icahn Group. If you have already voted using a proxy card sent to you by the Icahn Group, you can revoke it by signing and dating the enclosed **WHITE** proxy card and returning it in the postage-paid envelope provided or by voting via the Internet or by telephone by following the instructions provided on the enclosed **WHITE** proxy card. Only your last-dated proxy will count, and any proxy may be revoked at any time prior to its exercise at the Annual Meeting as described in this Proxy Statement.

**THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR THE BOARD'S THIRTEEN NOMINEES FOR DIRECTOR LISTED ABOVE ON THE ENCLOSED WHITE PROXY CARD.**

Table of Contents**REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE**

The Audit Committee of our Board of Directors is responsible for providing independent, objective oversight of our financial reporting and disclosure responsibilities, accounting functions and internal controls. The Audit Committee acts under a written charter, which our Board of Directors first adopted in 1997 and last amended in November 2011. Each of the members of the Audit Committee is independent as defined by the NYSE's listing standards and SEC rules.

**Audit and Non-Audit Fees**

The following table presents fees for professional audit services rendered by Deloitte & Touche LLP for the audit of our annual consolidated financial statements for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2011 and September 30, 2010 and fees billed for other services rendered by Deloitte & Touche LLP during those periods.

	<b>2011</b>		<b>2010</b>
Audit fees (1)	\$ 2,543,600	\$	2,688,100
Audit related fees (2)	83,600		80,400
Tax fees (3)	58,400		
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 2,685,600</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>2,768,500</b>

---

(1) Audit fees consisted principally of fees for the audit of our annual consolidated financial statements, for reviews of the interim condensed consolidated financial statements included in our Forms 10-Q, for review of registration statements and issuance of comfort letters in connection with our senior notes offering during fiscal 2010 and for work in connection with the attestations required by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 related to our internal control over financial reporting.

(2) Audit-related fees consisted of fees billed for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of our consolidated financial statements or internal control over financial reporting and are not reported under "Audit fees." These services primarily relate to employee benefit plan audits.

(3) Tax fees consisted of fees billed for the review of state income tax returns and review of cash repatriation tax calculations.

**Pre-approval of Services by the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm**

The Audit Committee has adopted a policy for pre-approval of audit and permitted non-audit services to be provided by our independent registered public accounting firm. The Audit Committee will consider annually and, if appropriate, approve the provision of audit services by our independent registered public accounting firm and consider and, if appropriate, pre-approve the provision of certain defined audit and non-audit services. The Audit Committee will also consider on a case-by-case basis and, if appropriate, approve specific engagements that are not otherwise pre-approved.

Any proposed engagement that does not fit within the definition of a pre-approved service may be presented to the Audit Committee for consideration at its next regular meeting or, if earlier consideration is required, to the Audit Committee Chair or one or more of its members. The member or members to whom such authority is delegated report any specific approval of services at its next regular meeting. The Audit Committee will regularly review summary reports detailing all services being provided to us by our independent registered public accounting firm.

Table of Contents

The Audit Committee pre-approved the provision of all of the services described above and has considered and determined that the provision of such services is compatible with maintaining the independence of Deloitte & Touche LLP.

The Audit Committee reviews our financial reporting process on behalf of our Board of Directors. In fulfilling its responsibilities, the Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed our audited consolidated financial statements contained in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011 with our management and independent registered public accounting firm. Management is responsible for the consolidated financial statements and the reporting process, including the system of internal control. The independent registered public accounting firm is responsible for expressing an opinion on the conformity of those audited consolidated financial statements with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States and the effectiveness of the internal controls over financial reporting based upon the criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

The Audit Committee discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm matters required to be discussed by AU Section 380 of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, *Communication With Audit Committees*, and Rule 2-07 of SEC Regulation S-X. In addition, the independent registered public accounting firm provided to the Audit Committee the written disclosures required by Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB) Ethics and Independence Rule 3526, *Communication with Audit Committees Concerning Independence*, and the Audit Committee discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm their independence.

In reliance on the reviews and discussions referred to above, the Audit Committee recommended to our Board of Directors that our audited consolidated financial statements be included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011, for filing with the SEC.

AUDIT COMMITTEE

Craig P. Omtvedt, Chair  
Peter B. Hamilton  
Harvey N. Medvin  
Duncan J. Palmer  
Richard G. Sim

**STOCK OWNERSHIP**

**Stock Ownership of Directors, Executive Officers and Other Large Shareholders**

The following table shows the beneficial ownership of Common Stock of each director, each director nominee, each named executive officer appearing in the Summary Compensation Table on page 47, each other shareholder owning more than 5% of our outstanding Common Stock and the directors and executive officers (including the named executive officers) as a group.

"Beneficial Ownership" means more than "ownership" as that term commonly is used. For example, a person "beneficially" owns stock if he or she owns it in his or her name or if he or she has (or shares) the power to vote or sell the stock as trustee of a trust. Beneficial ownership also includes shares the directors and executive officers have a right to acquire within 60 days after November 15, 2011 as, for example, through the exercise of a stock option.

Except as otherwise stated in the footnotes to the following table, information about Common Stock ownership is as of November 15, 2011. At the close of business on November 15, 2011, there were 91,421,701 shares of Common Stock outstanding. Unless stated otherwise in the footnotes to the table,

Table of Contents

each person named in the table owns his or her shares directly and has sole voting and investment power over such shares.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned	Percent of Common Stock Beneficially Owned
Bryan J. Blankfield (1)(2)	249,019	*
Richard M. Donnelly (1)	40,685	*
Michael W. Grebe (1)	62,399	*
Peter B. Hamilton (1)	800	*
John J. Hamre (1)	9,536	*
Kathleen J. Hempel (1)	62,399	*
Wilson R. Jones (1)	108,124	*
Leslie F. Kenne (1)	1,853	*
Joseph H. Kimmitt (1)	168,874	*
Harvey N. Medvin (1)	31,987	*
J. Peter Mosling, Jr. (1)(3)	175,275	*
Craig P. Omtvedt (1)	18,986	*
Duncan J. Palmer (1)	800	*
David M. Sagehorn (1)(4)	197,135	*
John S. Shiely		
Richard G. Sim (1)(5)	91,896	*
Charles L. Szews (1)(6)	469,358	*
William S. Wallace	1,350	*
Robert G. Bohn (1)(7)	808,473	*
All directors and executive officers as a group (1)(2)(3)(4)(5)(6)	2,998,993	3.20%
Icahn Group (8)	8,665,260	9.48%
The Vanguard Group, Inc. (9)	4,821,531	5.27%

\* The amount shown is less than 1% of the outstanding shares of Common Stock.

(1) Amounts shown include 621,167 shares for Robert G. Bohn, 222,666 shares for Bryan J. Blankfield, 29,149 shares for Richard M. Donnelly, 48,149 shares for Michael W. Grebe, 982 shares for John J. Hamre, 48,149 shares for Kathleen J. Hempel, 81,666 shares for Wilson R. Jones, 191 shares for Leslie F. Kenne, 138,733 shares for Joseph H. Kimmitt, 13,349 shares for Harvey N. Medvin, 24,149 shares for J. Peter Mosling, Jr., 4,449 shares for Craig P. Omtvedt, 152,466 shares for David M. Sagehorn, 48,149 shares for Richard G. Sim, 333,800 shares for Charles L. Szews, and 2,109,206 shares for all directors and executive officers as a group that such persons have the right to acquire pursuant to stock options exercisable within 60 days of November 15, 2011. Amounts also include shares of restricted Common Stock, which are subject to forfeiture until they vest, in the following amounts to the following individuals listed in the table: 10,000 shares for Bryan J. Blankfield, 20,834 shares for Wilson R. Jones, 7,500 shares for Joseph H. Kimmitt, 33,334 shares for David M. Sagehorn, and 184,277 shares for all directors and executive officers as a group. Amounts shown also include restricted stock units under our Deferred Compensation Plan for Directors and Executive Officers, a portion which are subject to forfeiture until they vest, in the following amounts to the following individuals listed in the table: 2,286 units for Richard M. Donnelly, 2,875 units for John J. Hamre, 1,350 units for Leslie F. Kenne, 1,112 units for Craig P. Omtvedt, 800 units for Duncan J. Palmer, 1,350 units for William S. Wallace, and 9,774 units for all directors and executive officers as a group. Amounts shown also include units deemed to be invested in shares of our Common Stock that are credited to the following individuals' accounts in the following amounts under the Deferred Compensation Plan: 1,013 units for Bryan J. Blankfield, 5,679 units for John J. Hamre, 10,388 units for Harvey N. Medvin, 23,497 units for Richard G. Sim, and 49,602

Table of Contents

units for all directors and executive officers as a group. Restricted stock units and units held under the Deferred Compensation Plan will be distributed in the form of shares of our Common Stock.

- (2) Amounts shown include 1,502 shares as to which ownership is shared with Rebecca R. Blankfield, Mr. Blankfield's wife.
- (3) Amounts shown include 151,126 shares as to which ownership is shared with Joan P. Mosling, Mr. Mosling's wife.
- (4) Amounts shown include 8,354 shares as to which ownership is shared with Katherine A. Sagehorn, Mr. Sagehorn's wife.
- (5) Amounts shown do not include 18,900 shares held in a joint account with Cynthia J. Robinson-Sim, Mr. Sim's wife.
- (6) Amounts shown include 9,200 shares as to which ownership is shared with Rochelle A. Szews, Mr. Szews' wife.
- (7) Robert G. Bohn retired from his position as Chief Executive Officer effective December 31, 2010 and from his position as Chairman of the Board effective February 1, 2011. Beginning January 1, 2011 and continuing through November 30, 2011, Mr. Bohn continued his employment with our company making himself available on an exclusive basis to consult with or otherwise assist our company at our request.
- (8) Amount shown is as described in Exhibit 1 to the Schedule 13D/A that High River Limited Partnership, Carl C. Icahn and certain other entities affiliated with Carl C. Icahn (collectively, the "Icahn Group") filed with the SEC on November 4, 2011. The address of the Icahn Related Entities is 767 Fifth Avenue, 47th Floor, New York, New York 10153. The Icahn Related Entities reported shared voting and dispositive power with respect to the shares included in the amount shown.
- (9) Amount shown is as described in the Schedule 13G that The Vanguard Group, Inc. filed with the SEC on February 10, 2011. The Vanguard Group, Inc. is located at 100 Vanguard Blvd., Malvern, Pennsylvania 19355. The Vanguard Group, Inc. has sole voting power with respect to 61,536 shares and sole dispositive power with respect to 4,759,995 shares, and has shared dispositive power with respect to 61,536 shares, included in the amount shown.

**Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance**

The Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 requires our directors, executive officers, controller and any persons owning more than 10% of our Common Stock to file reports with the SEC regarding their ownership of our Common Stock and any changes in such ownership. Based upon our review of copies of these reports and certifications given to us by such persons, we believe that our directors, executive officers and controller have complied with their filing requirements for fiscal 2011. However, forms reporting one transaction each on behalf of two of our officers, Mr. James Johnson and Mr. Wilson Jones, both relating to the surrender of shares to pay taxes due upon the vesting of restricted stock, were not timely filed.

Table of Contents

**REPORT OF THE HUMAN RESOURCES COMMITTEE**

The Human Resources Committee of our Board of Directors has reviewed and discussed the following "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" for fiscal 2011 and has further discussed and reviewed it with management. Based on their review and analysis, the Human Resources Committee recommended to our Board of Directors that the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" be included in this Proxy Statement, and also be incorporated by reference in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011.

HUMAN RESOURCES COMMITTEE

Richard M. Donnelly, Chair  
John J. Hamre  
Kathleen J. Hempel  
William S. Wallace



Table of Contents

**EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

**Compensation Discussion and Analysis**

**Executive Summary**

*Pay for Performance Philosophy*

Our Human Resources Committee follows a philosophy of linking our named executive officers' compensation to performance that will ultimately reward our shareholders. We use compensation programs to attract and retain the best executive talent and to motivate our executives to exceed specific financial and organizational goals set each year. The components of our compensation strategies include allocating a greater portion of compensation to variable pay (annual bonus and long-term equity incentives) than to fixed compensation (base salary and benefits). We believe this mix is properly aligned with shareholder interests. Perquisites are few and related to business need, and executives must comply with executive officer stock ownership guidelines to link their interests to those of shareholders.

The Committee makes our annual compensation decisions in a thoughtful and deliberate way based on competitive market data that an independent compensation consultant provides and through open discussion within the Committee. We mitigate risks by having incentive plan caps, multiple approval review gates and a compensation clawback policy. Furthermore, each year, we complete a thorough risk analysis of our compensation programs.

Current market conditions can also influence the annual goals and tools we use to have prudent flexibility to adapt to changing situations. This flexibility ensures we can make the best decisions to attract and retain the "right" executives to deliver strong performance for our shareholders over time.

*Business Highlights*

Oshkosh Corporation is a leading global specialty vehicle manufacturer with a long track record of superior growth. From fiscal year 1997 through fiscal year 2010, sales and operating income grew at compound annual growth rates of 25% and 35%, respectively. We maintain the leading market share in virtually all of our businesses. Yet, since fiscal year 2008, we faced historic market forces with industry volumes down 40% to over 90% from prior peaks in our non-defense markets. We are also facing a potentially sharp downturn in our largest segment defense, where U.S. defense spending is likely to decline over the next few years. Accordingly, we completed a comprehensive strategic study from January 2011 through July 2011 to, among other things, assess the outlook for each of our markets and develop strategic initiatives to address the current difficult market forces facing us. The study culminated in the creation of our planned roadmap to deliver superior long-term earnings growth and increased shareholder value over the next business cycle and beyond. We named this plan our "MOVE Strategy" to reflect both our vision and the strategic elements of the plan. Our vision is to be "Mission Driven: To Move the World at Work." The four elements of our plan include:

**Market recovery and growth:** capture the full upside of economic recovery and market growth with a strong focus on execution and conquest sales.

**Optimize cost and capital structure:** optimize our cost and capital structure to provide value for customers and shareholders.

**Value innovation:** continue to lead in product innovation over the full life cycle.

**Emerging market expansion:** drive international growth in targeted geographies.

Table of Contents

We intend to achieve these four initiatives through a Mission Driven culture that values serving and delighting customers that perform important missions, such as protecting our men and women in the Armed Forces, fighting fires, rescuing accident victims, cleaning up refuse and rebuilding our world. Our culture values "Honesty, Accountability, Respect and Citizenship", the cornerstones of our code of ethics applicable to all employees the Oshkosh Way. These values serve as our compass, guiding our decisions as we develop, market, sell and service our products, and when we recruit, hire, develop and compensate employees. We are particularly mindful of the value of accountability as we make decisions regarding executive candidate selection and the corresponding compensation packages we need to attract and retain talented people. We are committed to building an executive team that has exceptional strategic vision and leadership skills developed through significant experience, in-depth product knowledge and noteworthy personal accomplishments.

*Alignment of Performance and Compensation*

Our compensation philosophy, programs and practices support our Board of Directors, executive officers and employees as they work to meet and exceed both customer and shareholder expectations in the context of historically difficult market conditions. The specific compensation programs that we have in place are designed to retain key, talented executives during these difficult times and incentivize them to create the foundation for a new legacy of superior growth. Retention has become a critical focus area for us as our long-term success coupled with near-term difficult market conditions have led other companies to routinely target us for talent. Our fiscal year 2011 compensation plans contained restricted stock awards for the first time in many years to provide that element of retention while continuing cash incentives and other equity awards that reward our key executives for achieving better than industry benchmark performance tied to key business measures or superior performance in light of difficult market conditions. Given the challenges that exist with our businesses, we must have the competitive tools to recruit and retain experienced and talented executives to achieve these objectives and always be developing managers and technical employees to be future leaders in our company. Yet our compensation plans were targeted well within industry norms and are generally close to or below the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile to reflect lower near-term prospects for our company.

In line with our core values to be accountable to shareholders during these difficult market conditions, below is a summary of how we have aligned pay with current company performance and economic and market conditions:

The cash compensation that we paid to our current President and Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Szews, in fiscal year 2011 placed him at approximately the 25<sup>th</sup> percentile for cash compensation paid to the chief executive officers of companies included in the Towers Watson Executive Compensation Database, and he asked not to receive a raise in fiscal year 2012. The other named executive officers received below market salary increases for fiscal year 2012 that we are implementing fifteen months after their last increases.

In both September 2010 and September 2011, Mr. Szews declined equity compensation so that we could provide retention awards to key executives in September 2010 and limit total awards to named executive officers and other officers to cost conscious levels that remain competitive in both periods.

We paid named executive officers, other than our former Chief Executive Officer pursuant to the terms of his retirement agreement, at the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile or lower compared to companies included in the applicable industry category of the Towers Watson Executive Compensation Database, except for Mr. Wilson Jones, given his critical role as President of the Access Equipment segment and who is an outstanding performer and leading candidate to ultimately succeed Mr. Szews. Consequently, we provided equity awards to

Table of Contents

Mr. Wilson close to the 75<sup>th</sup> percentile and annual cash compensation at slightly above the 60<sup>th</sup> percentile compared to companies included in the Towers Watson Executive Compensation Database.

Mr. Bohn, who retired as Chief Executive Officer on December 31, 2010, received compensation prior to that date in accordance with an employment agreement initially negotiated in 1998.

We designed the specific incentives that we awarded in fiscal year 2011 for our named executive officers (other than Mr. Szews as to long-term incentives), and other executives, to provide considerable retention value as we weather the current challenging economic conditions that our business segments face. For long-term awards, we awarded a mix: time-based restricted stock to provide tangible value over the next three years to aid retention, and Total Shareholder Return (TSR) -based Performance Shares (as compared to the S&P Mid Cap 400) and stock options to provide longer term upside based on stock price appreciation. The fiscal year 2011 annual cash incentive plan continued to focus on Operating Income (OI) to drive share price appreciation, Return on Invested Capital (ROIC) as measured against a comparator group of industry peer companies, and Days Net Working Capital Improvement (DNWCI) in our business segments. We used ROIC and DNWCI to drive increased cash flow to reduce our leverage and appropriately cover our cost of capital. ROIC performance relative to the comparator group of industry peer companies was strong in fiscal year 2011 and exceeded the 75<sup>th</sup> percentile. Payouts in the annual cash incentive plan for fiscal year 2011 were generally between the minimum and target performance levels, which we believed was appropriate given the challenges we faced in our markets.

While we did increase salaries for fiscal 2011 based on evaluating the performance of our executives at the end of fiscal year 2010, which was a record earnings year, on the recommendation of management, we decided to defer salary adjustments for fiscal year 2012 to January 2012 to coincide with the timing of completion of performance evaluations for the full 2011 fiscal year. This change also provides some cost relief for fiscal year 2012. The Human Resources Committee will now make decisions about base salary adjustments annually in November.

In November 2011, the Human Resources Committee accepted the Chief Executive Officer's recommendation to approve no base salary increase for the Chief Executive Officer for fiscal year 2012 and to implement base salary increases for executive officers (other than the Chief Executive Officer) effective January 1, 2012 in acknowledgement of the executive team's performance, but to limit those increases to 2% due to the difficult market conditions that exist for our company. Average increases for comparable executives and other professionals, based on Towers Watson data, are approximately 3% for fiscal year 2012.

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis explains our compensation programs and policies for fiscal year 2011, and in certain instances our plans for fiscal year 2012, and how those programs and policies affected the compensation we paid to the following, who are our named executive officers:

Charles L. Szews, President and Chief Executive Officer  
David M. Sagehorn, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer  
Wilson R. Jones, Executive Vice President and President, Access Equipment Segment  
Bryan J. Blankfield, Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary  
Joseph H. Kimmitt, Executive Vice President, Government Operations and Industry Relations  
Robert G. Bohn, former Chairman and Chief Executive Officer who retired as Chief Executive Officer on December 31, 2010

Table of Contents

**Oversight**

Our fiscal year 2011 ended September 30, 2011. During fiscal year 2011, the Human Resources Committee of our Board of Directors, which we refer to in this Compensation Discussion and Analysis as the Committee, consisted of the following independent directors: Mr. Richard M. Donnelly (Chairman), Mr. John J. Hamre, Ms. Kathleen J. Hempel, Mr. Michael W. Grebe, who served on the Committee until February 2011, and Gen. (Ret.) William S. Wallace, who joined the Committee in May 2011. As its charter provides, the Committee establishes, oversees and approves the compensation programs, awards, practices and procedures for our executive officers.

The Committee has the authority to engage the services of outside advisors, experts and others to assist it in performing its responsibilities. In fiscal year 2011, the Committee retained Towers Watson as its advisor on issues related to the Committee's responsibilities. Throughout the year, the Committee regularly evaluated Towers Watson's performance and provided Towers Watson appropriate feedback. See "Governance of the Company Committees of the Board of Directors Human Resources Committee" for more information on the processes and procedures of the Committee, including the role of Towers Watson in assisting the Committee as it sets executive officer and non-employee director compensation.

**Compensation Philosophy and Objectives**

We believe it is important that our compensation programs directly link a significant portion of our executives' compensation to defined performance standards so that we:

Give executives an incentive to perform with the interests of our shareholders in mind;

Build a senior leadership team with the skills needed to successfully execute our strategy, be competitive in the relevant marketplace and increase the long-term market value of our company;

Retain key executives, recognizing that our size and record of growth have made our executives frequent targets of executive search firms and that the cyclical nature of our businesses can lead to uncertainty and potential turnover;

Motivate our senior leaders to perform at their highest level; and

Provide a balance between rewards that executives earn for annual results and those that they earn for strategic decisions that we expect will ensure sustainable long-term company performance. Performance measures can include company financial results compared to target and/or industry benchmarks.

Consistent with these objectives, the Committee has designed compensation programs that have varying time frames for earning and payment and involve both cash and equity awards. These include:

Annual Compensation: Base salary, with potential merit increases based on performance, annual cash incentive awards tied to annual performance goals, employee benefits (*e.g.*, health insurance) and limited perquisites (principally annual physicals and relocation packages when we ask executives to relocate);

Long-Term Compensation: Equity-based long-term incentive awards, including performance-based awards; and

Table of Contents

Benefits Following Service: Benefits under qualified pension plans, retirement plans for senior executives, non-qualified deferred compensation plans, and employment and severance agreements.

Because we want our executives' compensation to vary with our financial and share price performance, we designed our incentive compensation programs to reward improvement of operating income, improvement in efficiency of use of net working capital, return on invested capital and appreciation of our share price. For fiscal year 2011, the Committee expected reduced performance in our Defense segment and to a lesser extent Access Equipment segment due to the completion of most of the MRAP All Terrain Vehicle (M-ATV) contract in fiscal year 2010 and, in the Defense segment, a mix shift to the lower-margin FMTV contract. In addition, the Committee expected that commercial and fire and emergency segment performance would stabilize at lower levels or decline further. The Committee took these expectations into account in designing incentive compensation for fiscal year 2011 while noting that retention of executives was a higher priority in fiscal 2011 as employment opportunities in the market generally were increasing and our short term financial outlook was lower than our fiscal 2010 results.

**Annual Compensation Plans Design Review**

The Committee annually evaluates our compensation plans to determine if it is appropriate to make changes in plan design, types of awards or levels of pay. This evaluation includes a review of Towers Watson's analyses of general industry compensation data, which provides comparative references for the Committee that we describe in more detail below. These analyses give the Committee an understanding of each executive's total direct compensation package so the Committee can determine if our compensation structure is consistent with our goals.

Towers Watson also provides the Committee with updates regarding trends in executive compensation, recommendations for outside director and executive officer compensation, summaries of new or proposed regulations affecting executive compensation and special reports responding to specific inquiries from the Committee. The Committee also solicits Towers Watson's recommendations for changes to our methods of compensating executive officers in light of these general developments as well as factors specifically affecting our company. This information provides input for the Committee to consider when adopting the performance measures we use for our annual cash incentive awards and the performance-based and retention-oriented elements of our equity-based long-term incentive awards.

In September 2010, the Committee decided to continue to use the Consolidated Operating Income and Return on Invested Capital performance measures for fiscal year 2011 to maintain the emphasis on maximizing income and debt reduction and on strong balance sheet management. The Committee also retained the Return on Invested Capital performance measure because it is a relative performance measure, requiring company performance to exceed certain thresholds relating to a peer group of companies in determining a portion of our executives' annual compensation. To ensure that we are using appropriate peer group company comparisons, our compensation consultant periodically provides an evaluation and recommendation with respect to the appropriateness of such peer group company comparisons. The next evaluation will occur in fiscal year 2012.

**Determining Pay Levels**

On an annual basis, Towers Watson provides the Committee various analyses of general industry compensation data from its Executive Compensation Database, a survey of over 900 companies. We use this database because we believe the size ensures consistent and statistically valid

Table of Contents

data that is representative of the market in which we compete for executive talent. The Committee also uses targeted data for specific positions that are focused primarily on our Defense segment.

Towers Watson compiles data regarding base salary, target and actual annual cash incentive awards and long-term incentive awards for these companies. The data reflects the individual responsibilities of each position and company revenue size. Through a regression analysis, Towers Watson adjusts the base salary and annual cash incentive data to match our revenue size using our estimates of our annual revenues for the then current fiscal year. At the time that the Committee took action relating to fiscal year 2011 compensation in September 2010, the Committee noted that our fiscal 2011 revenues would likely be lower than our estimated 2010 revenues due in large part to the decline in M-ATV revenues that would occur in fiscal 2011.

For long-term incentive awards, Towers Watson compiles a long-term incentive report, which is a subset of its Executive Compensation Database. This report includes long-term incentive values for executives who perform duties at a subset of companies whose revenue is both higher and lower than ours that correspond to the duties that each of our named executive officers performs. For fiscal year 2011, Towers Watson used all companies within its Long-Term Incentive Plan Report with revenues between \$6 billion and \$10 billion in revenue in this report.

The Committee requests the Towers Watson data at the 25<sup>th</sup>, 50<sup>th</sup>, 60<sup>th</sup>, 70<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> percentile levels for base salary, target and actual annual cash incentive awards, and long-term incentive awards for each of our executive positions at companies whose total revenue, as reported, corresponds to our total revenue and whose executive positions have responsibilities that correspond to the responsibilities of our executive officers. The Committee made compensation decisions for fiscal year 2011 at the Committee's meetings in September 2010 and November 2010 and used survey data that Towers Watson provided to us in August 2010. The Committee made compensation decisions for fiscal year 2012 at the Committee's meetings in September 2011 and November 2011 and used survey data that Towers Watson provided to us in September 2011.

The Committee considers contributions of the executive officers by a review of their performance for the current year as compared to objectives that the Committee established the previous year. In setting performance objectives, the Committee considers the recommendations of Mr. Szews for each executive reporting to him. These performance objectives can emphasize the following financial and operational goals: earnings per share, revenue growth, cash flow improvement, working capital management, earnings growth, return on invested capital, operating income, operating income growth, operating income as a percent of revenue, customer relations, operational efficiency, international expansion, successful acquisition integration, debt reduction, cost containment, process improvement, innovation, talent development and implementation of lean manufacturing principles. Specific objectives for each executive reflect the responsibilities of their individual positions. The Committee independently sets similar performance objectives for the President and Chief Executive Officer. At the end of the fiscal year, each executive completes a self-assessment and provides that to the Chief Executive Officer for him to complete his evaluation of each executive's performance as to specific performance objectives for the year. The Committee then reviews these evaluations by the Chief Executive Officer. In addition, the Committee evaluates in a subjective manner each executive's leadership, technical skills and personal growth and development.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the general target mix of pay (fixed versus variable) for our Chief Executive Officer and other named executive officers. As discussed further below, our Chief Executive Officer did not receive equity incentive awards in fiscal 2011.

**Base Salary**

For its review and adjustment of base salaries at its September 2010 meeting, the Committee used the Towers Watson Executive Compensation Database that Towers Watson provided to us in August 2010 by position to evaluate the competitiveness of our named executive officers' base salaries. The Committee generally believes base salaries that are within a range of the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile for this database are competitive. To ensure the accuracy of this comparison, the Committee reviewed the position descriptions that Towers Watson used to validate that the positions fully reflect our expectations for the corresponding position. The Committee then reviewed the performance objectives identified above so that its base salary decisions for each executive reflected his or her performance and were otherwise consistent with all our compensation goals.

After analyzing the data and performance information, at its September 2010 meeting, the Committee made the following base salary adjustments for fiscal year 2011.

<b>Named Executive Officer</b>	<b>Base Salary Adjustment as a % of Base Salary</b>
Mr. Szews	
Mr. Sagehorn	21.5%
Mr. Jones	12.8%
Mr. Blankfield	3.0%
Mr. Kimmitt	3.0%
Mr. Bohn	

Mr. Szews did not initially receive a salary increase in his role as President and Chief Operating Officer. Mr. Bohn did not receive a salary increase due to his retirement from his position as Chief Executive Officer effective December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

Mr. Sagehorn's base salary increased 21.5% to bring him to approximately the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile for his position, recognizing his contributions in helping achieve significant cash flow generation and debt reduction during a period of extreme economic weakness, his three-year tenure in his position, and his more than ten years of service with our company in financial positions of increasing responsibility. After reviewing the Towers Watson Executive Compensation Database and considering his new role, at the time of the increase, as President of our Access Equipment segment, we increased Mr. Jones' salary 12.8% which placed him slightly above the 60<sup>th</sup> percentile. The Committee believed this increase in Mr. Jones' salary was reasonable because it reflected his strong performance in his new role and recognized the challenges he faced that are associated with growing a business in a reviving market with extensive international operations.

The Committee noted that Mr. Blankfield received a 3% increase in his base salary as this amount was consistent with increases for other executive officers and was appropriate to maintain his salary at a competitive level as compared with the Towers Watson Executive Compensation Database. The Committee noted that Mr. Kimmitt received a 3% increase in his base salary as this amount was consistent with increases for other executive officers and was appropriate to maintain his salary at a competitive level as compared to all companies with similar positions included in Towers Watson's Defense & Aerospace industry category.

At the Committee's November 2010 meeting, the Committee approved a 46.0% increase in Mr. Szews' base salary, effective as of October 1, 2010. The Committee acted in recognition of Mr. Szews' promotion to President and Chief Executive Officer, which became effective January 1, 2011, and his transition to the functions of the Chief Executive Officer prior to that time. The base salary provided to Mr. Szews, which was \$1,000,000 annually, placed him just below the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile of salaries for chief executive officers in the Towers Watson database.

For fiscal year 2012, the Committee, on the recommendation of management, moved the effective date of base salary adjustments to January 1, 2012. The Committee determined to delay its base salary adjustment decisions until its November meeting to coincide with the timing of completion of performance evaluations for the full 2011 fiscal year. The Committee believes this timing better aligns its performance-based base salary adjustment decisions with the end of our fiscal year and reporting of final business results. The Committee will now consider base salary adjustments at its November meeting after performance reviews have been completed and reviewed with the Committee. In November 2011, the Human Resources Committee accepted management's recommendation to implement base salary increases for executive officers (other than the Chief Executive Officer) effective January 1, 2012 in acknowledgement of the executive team's performance, but to limit those increases to 2% due to the difficult market conditions that exist for our company.

**Annual Cash Incentive Awards**

Our annual cash incentive plan links compensation to the achievement of specific short-term corporate performance goals that management recommends and the Committee reviews each year at its September meeting and approves at its November meeting after having received more current performance forecasts for the ensuing fiscal year. Under this plan, we tie a significant portion (in fiscal year 2011, up to a maximum 200% of base salary for Mr. Szews and 120% of base salary for Messrs. Sagehorn, Jones, Blankfield and Kimmitt) of an executive's annual compensation to our performance relative to specific performance measures. Mr. Szews' maximum percentage was increased to the 200% level in light of his promotion to President and Chief Executive Officer.

For the named executive officers (except Mr. Jones), the Committee used both a Consolidated Operating Income and a Return on Invested Capital performance measure for fiscal year 2011. The



Table of Contents

Committee set the fiscal year 2011 Consolidated Operating Income goal at a level lower than our consolidated operating income results for fiscal year 2010, largely reflecting the Committee's expectation of significantly decreased revenue and operating income in the Defense segment and to a lesser extent Access Equipment segment due to the substantial completion of the M-ATV contract in fiscal year 2010. When the Committee set the fiscal year 2011 Consolidated Operating Income goal in November 2010, the Committee determined that there was a reasonable likelihood that executives would receive cash incentive payments between minimum and target payment levels, with any above-target payments being linked to exceptional performance.

For Mr. Jones, the Committee used a Consolidated Operating Income performance measure, an Access Equipment Segment Operating Income performance measure, and an Access Equipment Segment Days Net Working Capital Improvement, or DNWCI, performance measure for fiscal year 2011. The Committee determined to continue to provide business segment presidents the opportunity to be rewarded for results primarily tied to their business segment.

The performance goal of Return on Invested Capital equals our net income before extraordinary items, nonrecurring gains and losses, discontinued operations and accounting changes plus the after tax cost of interest expense for the four quarters ended June 30, 2011 divided by the simple average of total debt plus shareholders' equity for the five quarters ended June 30, 2011. DNWCI represents our (or our relevant business segment's) year over year improvement in Days Net Working Capital. Days Net Working Capital is based on average Net Working Capital over the last five fiscal quarters and the average daily sales for the fiscal year. Net Working Capital is defined as current assets (less cash) minus current liabilities (less short term debt). Operating Income equals income before other income/expense, income taxes, and income/equity of our unconsolidated affiliates.

The Return on Invested Capital measure compares our results to a limited comparator group of companies. Threshold, target and maximum performance levels will be met if our Return on Invested Capital results are at the 25<sup>th</sup>, 50<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> percentiles, respectively, of comparator group Return on Invested Capital. We believe this group is representative of the industrial machinery, construction machinery, heavy truck and defense industries in which we compete with our products. We believe this smaller and more focused universe of companies improves the reliability of the comparison for the Return on Invested Capital measure because these companies are more likely to have investment needs similar to ours both to support the maintenance and improvement of their infrastructure and to ensure

Table of Contents

continued growth. For fiscal year 2011, the specific companies in the Return on Invested Capital comparator group were:

**Return on Invested Capital  
Comparator Group of Companies for Fiscal Year 2011**

<b>Industrial Machinery</b>	<b>Construction, Machinery, Heavy Truck</b>	<b>Defense</b>
Parker-Hannifin Corp.	Cummins Inc.	L-3 Communications Holdings Inc
Danaher Corp.	Terex Corp.	Goodrich Corp.
ITT Corp.	AGCO Corp.	Rockwell Collins, Inc.
Dover Corp.	NACCO Industries, Inc.	Precision Castparts Corp.
Timken Co (The)	Trinity Industries, Inc.	Alliant Techsystems Inc.
SPX Corp.	Manitowoc Company, Inc. (The)	Teledyne Technologies Inc.
Pentair, Inc.	Joy Global Inc.	Hexcel Corp.
Harsco Corp.	Toro Co. (The)	Curtiss Wright Corp.
Briggs & Stratton Corp.	Accuride Corp.	Kaman Corp.
Flowserve Corp.	Wabash National Corp.	Moog Inc.
Kennametal Inc.	Federal Signal Corp	
Crane Co.	Wabtec Corp.	
Pall Corp.	Greenbrier Companies Inc. (The)	
Tecumseh Products Co.		
Mueller Industries, Inc.		
Lincoln Electric Holdings, Inc.		
Donaldson Co. Inc.		
Gardner Denver, Inc.		
Valmont Industries, Inc.		
Barnes Group Inc.		
IDEX Corporation		

The Committee established threshold, target and maximum performance levels at its November 2010 meeting after reviewing management's recommendations at its September 2010 meeting. For the Segment DNWCI and Segment Operating Income performance measures, our President and Chief Executive Officer presented to the Committee, and the Committee considered, forecasts of our Consolidated Operating Income and our consolidated DNWCI performance for fiscal 2011 that included estimates of the DNWCI and Operating Income performance levels required by each of our four business segments for us to achieve the Operating Income and Consolidated DNWCI forecasts. Management initially recommended the threshold, target and maximum performance levels, and the Committee made final adjustments and determinations. When making the determinations in November 2010, the Committee looked at our fiscal 2011 budget and anticipated industry trends.

Threshold performance levels represented our view of an acceptable level of performance short of target that merited receipt of a partial annual cash incentive award in view of our overall performance and desire for improvement in shareholder value. Providing an annual cash incentive award for achievement at the threshold performance level also aids in our ability to retain our key executives during periods of market weakness.

Using the 2011 Towers Watson survey data, the Committee set target payout levels to be at approximately the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile of target annual cash incentive awards for similar executive positions as shown in the Towers Watson Executive Compensation Database. The Committee set the target performance levels at amounts that we believed were very challenging and above our most likely performance level, but at amounts that were not impossible to achieve.

Table of Contents

We set the maximum performance level to provide incentive to significantly enhance earnings, taking into consideration challenging market conditions in many of our businesses, to reduce debt and grow the business, and to ensure that executives would not receive a cash incentive award payment that is significantly above the market data for their positions as reflected in the Towers Watson survey. We set the maximum performance level at what we believed would be an extremely challenging level for our executives to achieve.

The Committee discussed the weighting between the Operating Income and Return on Invested Capital (ROIC) performance measures. For executive officers other than Mr. Jones, the Committee decided that Consolidated Operating Income would comprise 80% of the target weighting and ROIC would comprise 20% of the target weighting. For Mr. Jones, the Committee decided that the Consolidated Operating Income would comprise 20% of the target weighting, Access Equipment Segment Operating Income would comprise 60%, and Access Equipment Segment DNWCI would comprise 20% of the target weighting. At its November 2010 meeting, the Committee structured the annual cash incentive plan for fiscal year 2011 for the named executive officers (other than Mr. Jones) based upon the forecasted financial performance of our company as follows:

	<b>Bonus Weighting</b>	<b>Threshold</b>	<b>Target</b>	<b>Maximum</b>
<b>Consolidated OI</b>	80%	\$457 Million	\$577 Million	\$698 Million
<b>ROIC</b>	20%	25 <sup>th</sup> Percentile of Comparator Group Performance	50 <sup>th</sup> Percentile of Comparator Group Performance	75 <sup>th</sup> Percentile of Comparator Group Performance

OI = Operating Income

ROIC = Return on Invested Capital

Actual annual incentive consolidated operating income calculated under the terms of the plan was \$506.4 million in fiscal year 2011, and consolidated ROIC was above the 75<sup>th</sup> percentile. Actual annual incentive consolidated operating income for purpose of the plan differs from consolidated operating income as it appears in our financial statements because the applicable plan language excludes certain non-cash, non-recurring items from the calculation of operating income.

The Committee structured the annual cash incentive plan for fiscal year 2011 for Mr. Jones as Executive Vice President and President of our Access Equipment segment based upon the forecasted financial performance of our company and the Access Equipment segment as follows:

<b>Consolidated Company and Access Equipment Segment</b>		<b>Specific Financial Performance Measures</b>		
	<b>Bonus Weighting</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Target</b>	<b>Maximum</b>
<b>Consolidated OI</b>	20%	\$457 Million	\$577 Million	\$698 Million
<b>Access Equipment OI</b>	60%	\$10 Million	\$40 Million	\$89 Million
<b>Access Equipment DNWCI (Reduction) Percentage</b>	20%	(70%)	(50%)	(30%)

OI = Operating Income (minimum 3% OI margin required for OI payment above target)

DNWCI = Days Net Working Capital Improvement (Reduction) Percentage

Table of Contents

Actual annual incentive plan Access Equipment segment operating income calculated under the terms of the plan was \$62.5 million and DNWCI (reduction) was (34.6%).

Actual performance in fiscal year 2011 for Consolidated Operating Income was above threshold and below target primarily due to lower revenue and margins, and actual performance in fiscal year 2011 for ROIC compared to the competitor group of companies was above maximum. As a result, Messrs. Szews, Sagehorn, Blankfield and Kimmitt received performance payouts between threshold and target. Mr. Jones received an award between the target and maximum level as Executive Vice President and President of our Access Equipment segment as his business segment performed above the target levels.

The chart below shows our ROIC performance for fiscal year 2011, and historically, relative to the comparator group of companies:

\* Note that we did not use ROIC for fiscal year 2009. Rather, we used consolidated DNWCI to focus on cash flow, which was more important at that time.

In November 2011, the Committee again assigned each executive threshold, target and maximum annual cash incentive award payment levels for fiscal year 2012 after a review of the competitive data from the Towers Watson Executive Compensation Database. To target the annual incentive award opportunity at approximately the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile of the competitive data (the targets are just below the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile), the Committee maintained the fiscal year 2011 payout opportunity for fiscal year 2012 for each of the named executive officers as follows:

	<b>Threshold</b>	<b>Target</b>	<b>Maximum</b>
<b>Mr. Szews</b>	50%	100%	200%
<b>Mr. Sagehorn</b>	30%	60%	120%
<b>Mr. Jones</b>	30%	60%	120%
<b>Mr. Blankfield</b>	30%	60%	120%
<b>Mr. Kimmitt</b>	30%	60%	120%
<b>Mr. Bohn</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A

Consistent with the performance measures that it selected for fiscal year 2011, for fiscal year 2012, the Committee selected Operating Income and Return on Invested Capital as our performance measures, other than for Mr. Jones. The Committee once again assigned a weighting of 80% for Consolidated Operating Income and 20% for Return on Invested Capital. For Mr. Jones, consistent with fiscal year 2011, the Committee structured the annual cash incentive plan for fiscal year 2012 to reflect a

Table of Contents

weighting of 20% for Consolidating Operating Income, 60% for Access Equipment Segment Operating Income, and 20% for Access Equipment Segment DNWCI.

For fiscal year 2012, following its review of an analysis provided by Towers Watson, the Committee updated the companies in the Return on Invested Capital comparator group to more accurately reflect our industry competition, business mix and revenue size. The comparator group for fiscal year 2012 includes companies in the same three distinct Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) industry groupings as in fiscal year 2011, Defense & Aerospace, Construction/Farm Machinery and Heavy Trucks, and Industrial Machinery, with annual revenues between \$2 billion and \$15 billion (approximately one-quarter to two times our annual revenue). The Committee removed fifteen companies from the comparator group that we used in fiscal year 2011 that had annual revenues below \$2 billion or above \$15 billion. The Committee added twelve companies to the comparator group for fiscal year 2012, five from the Defense & Aerospace SIC grouping, five from the Construction/Farm Machinery and Heavy Trucks SIC grouping, and two from the Industrial Machinery SIC grouping. The companies that were added met the revenue criteria the Committee established. As a result, the comparator group for fiscal year 2012 is:

**Return on Invested Capital  
Comparator Group of Companies for Fiscal Year 2012**

<b>Industrial Machinery</b>	<b>Construction/Farm Machinery and Heavy Trucks</b>	<b>Defense &amp; Aerospace</b>
Parker-Hannifin Corp.	WABCO Holdings Inc.	BE Aerospace Inc.
Stanley Black & Decker, Inc.	Terex Corp.	Goodrich Corp.
Dover Corp.	AGCO Corp.	Rockwell Collins, Inc.
Timken Co (The)	NACCO Industries, Inc.	Precision Castparts Corp.
SPX Corp.	Trinity Industries, Inc.	Alliant Techsystems Inc.
Pentair, Inc.	Manitowoc Company, Inc. (The)	Huntington Ingalls Industries, Inc.
Harsco Corp.	Joy Global Inc.	Spirit Aerosystems Holdings, Inc.
Briggs & Stratton Corp.	Navistar International Corp.	Curtiss Wright Corp.
Flowserve Corp.	PACCAR, Inc.	Textron, Inc.
Kennametal Inc.	Meritor, Inc.	Moog Inc.
Crane Co.	Sauer-Danfoss Inc.	Triumph Group, Inc.
Pall Corp.		ITT Corporation
Mueller Industries, Inc.		
Lincoln Electric Holdings, Inc.		
Donaldson Co. Inc.		
Gardner Denver, Inc.		
Valmont Industries, Inc.		
Xylem Inc.		

Table of Contents

**Equity-Based Long-Term Incentive Awards**

We also grant equity-based long-term incentive awards. We structure these awards so that executives receive equity-based compensation when long-term shareholder value is increased. We believe these awards help ensure that executives consider the interests of our shareholders when they make long-term strategic decisions. We granted three kinds of equity-based long-term incentive awards to the named executive officers in fiscal year 2011 (other than Mr. Szews): stock options, performance share awards and restricted stock. Each of these awards is subject to the terms of our 2009 Incentive Stock and Awards Plan. As is described in "Additional Proposals Requiring Your Vote Approval of an amendment and restatement of our 2009 Incentive Stock and Awards Plan", we are asking shareholders to approve an amendment and restatement of our 2009 Incentive Stock and Awards Plan at the Annual Meeting primarily for the purpose of approving an increase in the number of shares available for issuance under the plan by a prudent amount.

The Committee believes these equity-based, long-term incentive awards are key components of our compensation program. The Committee designs them to encourage a focus on our long-term growth and performance as well as to encourage and facilitate ownership of our Common Stock by those executives from whom a commitment to shareholders is most important. In addition to motivating key executives, we believe equity based awards have proven to be a valuable tool in hiring and retaining key executives so that they remain our employees over time and contribute to increased shareholder value. The Committee generally grants individual equity awards for executives on an annual basis at the September Board meeting prior to the start of our next fiscal year. The only exceptions to this timing for granting equity awards are in the event of a new hire or if an executive officer receives a promotion.

In making equity-based long-term incentive awards in September 2011, the Committee reviewed the relevant data from the 2011 Towers Watson survey, using an estimate of annual revenues for the current fiscal year and companies in the appropriate subset revenue range. The data identifies a competitive dollar value of long-term awards for each position between the 25th and 75th percentiles.

Except for Mr. Jones and Kimmitt, the Committee determined to make equity awards in fiscal year 2011 that were generally valued at approximately the 50th percentile of competitive long-term incentive award data, while still providing retention value for key executives. In determining actual grants, the Committee decided to award on average 50% stock options, 20% performance shares and 30% restricted stock which is different than the mix of awards in fiscal year 2010 which was 80% stock options and 20% performance shares. The Committee added time-based restricted stock to the mix of awards in fiscal 2011 to provide greater emphasis on retention due to restricted stock having greater tangible value than stock options or performance shares. The Committee valued stock options using the Black-Scholes valuation model, performance shares using a Monte Carlo simulation model and restricted stock using fair market value of the Common Stock. Given his critical role as President of the Access Equipment segment, which we believed would be our primary growth opportunity in 2012 and 2013, the total award values of the stock option, performance share and restricted stock awards to Mr. Jones approximated the 75<sup>th</sup> percentile to recognize performance and as a retention incentive. Mr. Kimmitt's equity award was above the general industry competitive data and just below the 25<sup>th</sup> percentile for all companies with similar positions included in Towers Watson's Defense & Aerospace industry category given his critical role in our Defense segment business development

Table of Contents

efforts. The chart below shows the mix of compensation for our named executive officers, other than Mr. Szews, that is "at risk" and how it compares to that of the competitive market:

**Sagehorn/Blankfield/Jones/Kimmitt**

**50<sup>th</sup> Percentile of Competitive Market**

Note that the above does not include Mr. Szews as he received no long term incentive awards in fiscal 2011. For the second consecutive year and as the below graph illustrates, based upon the Committee's acceptance of Mr. Szews' recommendation, Mr. Szews did not receive equity-based long-term incentive awards as President and Chief Executive Officer so that we could provide retention awards to key executives in September 2010 and limit total awards to named executive officers and other officers to cost conscious levels that remain competitive in both periods. Also, in light of amounts disclosed for him under "Stock Ownership of Directors, Executive Officers and Other Large Shareholders", Mr. Szews does have a significant interest in the performance of our Common Stock. The Committee's decisions to not grant Mr. Szews long-term awards are in no way indicative of the Committee's view of his performance, nor do they represent a change in the Committee's compensation philosophies which include compensating executives at the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile of competitive pay. Mr. Bohn did not receive equity based long-term incentive awards in fiscal year 2011 due to his retirement from his position as Chief Executive Officer effective December 31, 2010.

**Stock Options**

The Committee believes stock options are a valuable tool that ties a portion of the executives' compensation to stock price appreciation. Because participants realize value from stock options only when and to the extent that the price of our Common Stock on the date of exercise exceeds the exercise





Table of Contents

price of the option, there is a strong link between executive decision making and long-term shareholder value. The Committee also believes stock option grants enable us to attract highly skilled executives in the marketplace which is essential to our long-term success. This form of compensation provides executives with a competitive compensation package and an opportunity to create wealth by becoming owners of our Common Stock.

Each stock option that the Committee granted in September 2011 permits executives, for a period of seven years, to purchase shares of our Common Stock at the exercise price that is equal to the closing price of our Common Stock on the date of the grant. The stock options that we granted in September 2011 vest in three equal annual installments beginning one year after the grant date.

**Performance Share Awards**

The Committee awarded performance shares to certain of our named executive officers in fiscal 2011. Executives earn performance shares only if our total shareholder return, which is defined as stock price appreciation plus dividends, over three years compares favorably to a group of companies. The final number of shares awarded can be zero or can be doubled relative to the target for the award. Performance shares also support the Committee's objective of increasing executives' ownership interest in our company, but only if and to the extent that our total shareholder return reaches a specified level of performance relative to other companies.

Under these awards, executives realize value by receiving performance shares at the end of a specified time period based upon the number of target performance shares for an executive at the start of the period, provided that we achieve the established performance criteria.

An executive will earn performance shares under the program that the Committee approved in fiscal year 2011 if we achieve a total shareholder return that is at least equal to the 40<sup>th</sup> percentile of the total shareholder return that the group of companies comprising the Standard & Poor's MidCap 400 Index achieves over the three-year vesting performance period. The Committee chose the Standard & Poor's MidCap 400 Index rather than a more targeted index because it reflects the Committee's view that there is a broad range of investment options available to shareholders.

The schedule below reflects the percent of target performance shares that an executive could earn at the end of the three-year period based on our performance:

<b>3 Year Total Shareholder Return Percentile</b>	<b>Percent of Target Shares Award Earned</b>
Below 40 <sup>th</sup> Percentile	0%
40 <sup>th</sup> Percentile	50%
60 <sup>th</sup> Percentile	100%
80 <sup>th</sup> Percentile	200%

The Committee believes the use of performance shares structured in this format will reward executives only if our performance results in our achieving shareholder return similar to companies in the Standard & Poor's MidCap 400 Index. It also reinforces our pay for performance philosophy by providing target (100%) payout only if we achieve at least the 60<sup>th</sup> percentile, and up to a 200% maximum payout for performance at or above the 80<sup>th</sup> percentile. This approach adds the element of performance, rather than being a strictly time-based award.

Table of Contents

**Restricted Stock**

The Committee believes restricted stock is a valuable tool that ties a portion of the executive's compensation to stock price appreciation, and the vesting period helps our company retain the executive. Because participants realize more value to the extent that the price of our Common Stock on the vesting date is higher, there is a link between executive decision making and shareholder value. The fact that the restricted stock has no value unless the participant remains employed until the vesting date serves as a retention tool.

Each restricted stock grant that the Committee made in fiscal 2011 has a three-year vesting period with one-third vesting each year. To further support retention, for each restricted stock grant that the Committee made in fiscal 2011, the Committee changed a prior practice to provide that the shares of restricted stock do not vest upon retirement.

**Retirement Benefits**

We have long offered a variety of plans that provide retirement benefits. The retirement plans for the named executive officers include a 401(k) plan with company matching contributions which we offer to most employees. In addition, all of the named executive officers are eligible to participate in our qualified non-contributory defined benefit pension plan, which we also offer to the salaried employees in our corporate office and Defense business segment. We also offer supplemental executive retirement plans that are only available to executives on the recommendation of the Chief Executive Officer and with Committee approval. See "Pension Benefits" for more information regarding our supplemental executive retirement plans and our pension plan.

**Deferred Compensation**

Our named executive officers are also eligible to participate in our Deferred Compensation Plan for Directors and Executive Officers, which is a non-qualified, unfunded retirement savings plan. This plan allows the deferral of base salary, annual cash incentive awards into either an investment program, which pays a guaranteed rate of return based on the prime interest rate plus 1%, or a share program, which mirrors the performance of our Common Stock during the relevant time period, including dividends. Restricted stock grants and performance shares may also be deferred under the Deferred Compensation Plan.

See "Nonqualified Deferred Compensation" for more information regarding our deferred compensation plans.

**Certain Perquisites and Benefit Programs**

During fiscal year 2011, we provided limited perquisites to certain executive officers. Mr. Szews is eligible for an annual physical examination at our expense, but Mr. Szews elected to personally pay for the cost of his annual physical in fiscal year 2011. In addition, our company's Board of Directors had approved Mr. Szews' service as a member of the board of directors of another company in recognition of the valuable professional development opportunities such service can provide Mr. Szews while serving as our President and Chief Executive Officer. During fiscal year 2011, Mr. Szews traveled to this board's meetings on our company aircraft to minimize travel time and to facilitate his service on that board.

For Mr. Bohn, these included payment of expenses related to tax, legal and financial planning assistance, as his employment agreement required, and payment of expenses related to an annual physical examination that we required Mr. Bohn to have while he was serving as our Chief Executive

Table of Contents

Officer. We also made a payment to Mr. Bohn to reimburse him for taxes he incurred on his perquisites and on that additional payment. We believed this was consistent with the terms of his employment agreement. Also under Mr. Bohn's employment agreement, he was entitled to life insurance and he was entitled to long-term disability benefits. While serving as our Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Bohn received the benefit of a life insurance policy, and our company paid the premiums. Following the effective date of Mr. Bohn's retirement from his position as Chief Executive Officer and the termination of his employment agreement on December 31, 2010, we no longer paid the premiums on a life insurance policy for Mr. Bohn. In addition, our company's Board of Directors had also approved Mr. Bohn's service on other company boards, and during fiscal year 2011 prior to his retirement from his position as Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Bohn also traveled to a board of directors meeting on our company's aircraft to minimize travel time and to facilitate his service on these boards.

We provided health and welfare benefit plans to all of our executives under the plans available to most of our employees. These included medical, dental, vision, life insurance, and short- and long-term disability coverage. All of our executives were eligible for an annual executive physical examination outside the normal health plan but none were reimbursed in fiscal year 2011. Mr. Szews and other executives utilize the health plan for routine annual physicals as needed. In addition, we made payments to Mr. Jones to reimburse him for taxes he incurred for relocation expenses in accordance with our relocation policies and on that additional payment. Finally, we made payments to certain executives to reimburse these executives for taxes they incurred for spousal travel (Messrs. Jones, Blankfield and Kimmitt) when it was appropriate to have the spouse travel with the executive for business-related reasons, but the spousal travel was taxable under applicable tax rules, and on that additional payment.

## **Executive Employment and Severance Agreements and Other Agreements**

### **Employment Agreement**

The only named executive officer with whom we currently have an employment agreement is Mr. Szews. The other named executive officers have a severance agreement (See "Severance Agreements") under which certain benefits would become payable to the executive in the event of a change in control of our company and subsequent termination of the executive's employment. Mr. Szews also has a severance agreement and his employment agreement does not provide for benefits payable to him in the event of a change in control of our company.

We first entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Szews in 2007. We subsequently entered into an amended and restated employment agreement with Mr. Szews in 2008 and again on April 26, 2011 in connection with his appointment to the position of Chief Executive Officer. We have an employment agreement with Mr. Szews because he holds a critical position that is highly visible to the investment community and other outside constituents. Our loss of Mr. Szews would result in concerns among external parties and could lead to an adverse impact on our share price. Therefore, we want to retain his services and have protection in the form of various restrictive and protective covenants, such as an agreement not to compete with us for a certain time should he decide to terminate his employment with us. Our Human Resources Committee believes that the terms of the amended and restated employment agreement that we entered into with Mr. Szews on April 26, 2011 are in the aggregate significantly more favorable to our company compared to those of our prior Chief Executive Officer's employment agreement.

The initial term of Mr. Szews' employment agreement expires on December 31, 2012. Following the expiration of the initial term, the term of the employment agreement will be automatically renewed and extended annually for periods of one year unless either party gives notice of nonrenewal. However, the term of the employment agreement will not be automatically renewed and extended beyond the date

Table of Contents

on which Mr. Szews would attain age 62. The employment agreement provides that Mr. Szews is entitled to a base salary of a specified amount and the right to participate in all employee benefit plans offered to our other senior executives. If we terminate Mr. Szews' employment without cause or Mr. Szews terminates his employment for good reason, then, provided that Mr. Szews executes a release of claims against us, Mr. Szews will generally be entitled to receive, in lieu of base salary and bonus for the remaining term of the employment agreement, severance pay in an amount determined pursuant to the terms of the employment agreement. If Mr. Szews is entitled to severance pay, then, for no additional consideration, Mr. Szews is obligated to make himself available to consult with and otherwise assist or provide general advice to our then Chief Executive Officer and to our Board of Directors as and at such times as they may reasonably request during the two-year period after the date of termination of Mr. Szews' employment. See "Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control" for more information regarding Mr. Szews' employment agreement and potential amounts that we may pay to Mr. Szews under the employment agreement.

Prior to Mr. Bohn's retirement as Chief Executive Officer, we had an employment agreement with Mr. Bohn. In connection with his retirement, we entered into a Retirement Agreement with Mr. Bohn on September 21, 2010. The Retirement Agreement provides that Mr. Bohn's employment agreement terminated on December 31, 2010, except for certain provisions contained in the employment agreement, which remain in effect for such time as set forth in the Retirement Agreement. In determining to enter into the Retirement Agreement with Mr. Bohn, the Committee took into account the terms of his employment agreement and the values of the payments and benefits to which he was entitled under that agreement. The Committee also received the advice of Towers Watson and special counsel to the Board. Ultimately, the Committee concluded that the aggregate value of the retirement terms did not differ materially from the values of the payments and benefits to which Mr. Bohn was entitled under his existing employment agreement, which we had previously disclosed and was initially negotiated in 1998. Among other reasons, we entered into the Retirement Agreement to facilitate an orderly transition of the Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board positions, to clarify certain matters under Mr. Bohn's employment agreement, to extend the terms of noncompetition and restrictive covenant provisions of his employment agreement and to obtain a general release from Mr. Bohn. See "Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control" for more information regarding Mr. Bohn's employment agreement and the Retirement Agreement and the amounts that we paid to Mr. Bohn in fiscal 2011 and may pay to Mr. Bohn in the future under his employment agreement and the Retirement Agreement.

**Severance Agreements**

We have severance agreements with Messrs. Szews, Sagehorn, Jones, Blankfield and Kimmitt that we intend to provide each of them with reasonable compensation if their employment is terminated in certain defined circumstances, primarily following a change in control of our company. We entered into these agreements to provide us with certain protections, specifically to retain key executives prior to or following a change in control and to ensure key executives keep in mind the best interests of shareholders when making decisions during a potential or actual change in control. The Committee administers the severance agreements and selects executive officers who are eligible for these agreements. In fiscal year 2009, the Committee determined that the company would not enter into any new severance agreement that provides for an Internal Revenue Code Section 280G tax gross up benefit. Although the Committee has since approved amendments to certain severance agreements that the company had entered into prior to the Committee's determination, the amendments that the Committee has approved with respect to those severance agreements that provide for a Section 280G tax gross up benefit have been only those necessary to cause such severance agreements to comply with Internal Revenue Code Section 409A.

Table of Contents

Under the executive severance agreements, after a change in control of our company, if we terminate the executive's employment other than by reason of death, disability or for cause, then the executive is entitled to a cash termination payment of up to three times base salary and bonus (except for Mr. Jones, who is entitled to two times base salary and bonus) and other benefits, including additional pension benefits (except for Mr. Jones), outplacement services, legal services and continuation of welfare benefits for up to three years (except for Mr. Jones, who is entitled to up to two years of continuation of welfare benefits). Each executive is also entitled to a cash termination payment and other benefits if the executive terminates his employment for good reason, as defined in the severance agreements, after a change in control. The agreements also provide for a tax gross-up payment to the executive (except for Mr. Jones, whose agreement does not provide for such a payment) if any payments in connection with the change in control are subject to the 20% excise tax imposed by the Internal Revenue Code for "excess parachute payments". In fiscal 2011, the Committee approved revisions to the form of agreement to which Mr. Jones is a party to provide that, to the extent that payments to Mr. Jones under his agreement would be considered "excess parachute payments", the payments will be reduced to a point at which they are no longer considered excess parachute payments, or he will receive the full payment and be personally liable for the excise tax, whichever produces the larger after-tax benefit to him. The Committee approved these revisions because it concluded that the prior approach of simply reducing payments to a point at which they are no longer considered excess parachute payments could, for some executives, have the effect of decreasing too severely the benefits that the agreements provide with the result that the agreements would not serve their intended purpose. The Committee has approved severance agreements for other officers with terms that are not as favorable to those officers (among other things, by providing for a maximum of one times base salary and bonus), and the Committee carefully selects the appropriate agreement for a given executive after considering market conditions and other relevant circumstances in each case.

See "Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control" for more information regarding these severance agreements and potential amounts that we may pay under them to our named executive officers.

**Executive Incentive Compensation Recoupment Policy**

On September 19, 2011, the Committee adopted the Oshkosh Corporation Executive Incentive Compensation Recoupment Policy, which is also known as a "clawback policy". The policy applies to all non-equity incentive compensation and equity awards to "covered executives", including our named executive officers, granted on or after September 30, 2011. Under the policy, if we are required to prepare an accounting restatement relating to our publicly-reported consolidated financial statements due to our material noncompliance with financial reporting requirements under U.S. federal securities laws, then we will have the right, to the extent permitted by governing law, to take appropriate action to recoup all or part of any incentive award that we actually paid to a covered executive if the amount of money or number of shares paid to the executive was expressly based on the achievement of financial results that were subject to the restatement and the executive would have been paid a lower amount or number under the express terms of the incentive award based on the financial results after the restatement. The amount of non-equity incentive compensation to be recovered will be the excess of the amount actually paid to the covered executive, calculated on the basis of the financial results before the restatement, over the amount that would have been paid had the amount been calculated on the basis of the financial results giving effect to the restatement. The amount of any equity award to be recovered will be the excess of the number of shares of our Common Stock (or equivalent value) actually paid to the covered executive, calculated on the basis of the financial results before the restatement, over the number of shares (or equivalent value) that would have been paid had the number been calculated on the basis of the financial results giving effect to the restatement.

Table of Contents

**Stock Ownership Guidelines for Executive Officers**

The Committee has adopted executive officer stock ownership guidelines that apply to executive officers to align these individuals' interests with those of shareholders with respect to improving our stock performance in the long term. The Committee last changed these guidelines on February 4, 2008 to increase stock ownership levels to the following levels:

Chief Executive Officer	Five Times Annual Base Salary
Chief Financial Officer	Four Times Annual Base Salary
Executive Vice Presidents	Three Times Annual Base Salary

These guidelines recommend that each named executive officer achieve the level of stock ownership set forth in these guidelines within five years of commencement of employment or promotion. Stock ownership includes stock that is not restricted in any way and the value of exercisable stock options for which the exercise price is less than the current market value of a share of our stock, based upon the market price of our stock, the exercise price and taxes that the officer would pay on exercise.

As of May 2011, when the Committee last reviewed these guidelines, Mr. Szews and Mr. Blankfield exceeded the stock ownership levels in these guidelines. Mr. Kimmitt's stock ownership level was 2.7 times his annual base salary. Mr. Kimmitt's stock ownership did not meet the stock ownership level for an executive serving in his position as set forth in these guidelines. As a result, Mr. Kimmitt will not receive approval to sell shares or exercise options unless he reinvests the net proceeds in shares of our company during any of our trading windows until he satisfies that stock ownership level. Mr. Sagehorn and Mr. Jones have not been in their current positions for five years.

**Tax Treatment of Compensation**

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code limits our income tax deduction for compensation paid in any taxable year to certain executive officers that exceeds \$1,000,000 unless such compensation falls within certain exceptions. It is the policy of the Committee that we should use our best efforts to cause any compensation paid to executive officers in excess of this dollar limit to qualify for such exceptions and thereby continue to be deductible by us. In particular, the 2009 Incentive Stock and Awards Plan was designed to permit awards made under it to qualify for the Code's exception for "performance-based compensation". The Committee views the tax deductibility of executive compensation as one of the many factors to be considered in the context of its overall compensation objectives. The performance shares we awarded in September 2008 are not deductible under Section 162(m) since our shareholders had not yet approved the performance measure of total shareholder return under Section 162(m) at the time of the awards. However, as a result of our shareholders' approval of the 2009 Incentive Stock and Awards Plan, the performance shares we awarded in September 2009 and September 2010, which were also based on total shareholder return, will be deductible for Section 162(m) purposes.

Our deferred compensation plan, SERP, certain awards under our 2009 Incentive Stock and Awards Plan and employment and severance agreements are subject to Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code. We have updated these plans and agreements to ensure continued compliance.

**Conclusion**

We believe our executive compensation programs position us to compete when recruiting, selecting, and seeking to retain key executives. The issue of executive retention has increased in importance to us over the past twelve months as alternative employment opportunities have become

Table of Contents

more frequently available and we are a prime target of certain executive search firms. We further believe that our use of equity-based incentives will retain our key talent, aligns the interests of executive management with the interests of shareholders, and that these incentives will motivate executives to create long-term shareholder value. In developing compensation plans for fiscal year 2012, the Committee considered the positive "say on pay" vote of our shareholders at our 2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. As a result and as we described in this Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the Committee kept in place for fiscal year 2012 many of the same executive compensation program components that it had disclosed to shareholders in our proxy statement for the 2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

**Relation of Our Compensation Policies and Procedures to Risk Management**

Our senior management conducted a comprehensive risk assessment of our compensation programs. Senior management considered each of our material compensation programs and evaluated the levels of risk-taking that each of those programs could potentially encourage. Management then presented this risk assessment to the Human Resources Committee, which independently reviewed and evaluated the risk assessment. In conducting its review of management's risk assessment, the Committee considered in particular the following attributes and risk-mitigation features of our compensation programs:

the use of comparative short-term and long-term compensation data from a preselected group of comparable companies to determine appropriate compensation benchmarks for our employees;

the Committee's independent oversight and administration of many of our compensation programs;

multiple corporate departments, including our Human Resources, Finance and Legal Departments, conducted internal reviews of our compensation programs;

the Committee sets the awards following receipt of advice and industry benchmarking from Towers Watson, a nationally recognized compensation consultant;

we have established maximum short-term and long-term compensation payouts for performance-based components of our compensation programs;

our compensation programs maintain an appropriate balance between short-term and long-term incentive awards so that our employees have an incentive to enhance earnings, reduce debt and grow our business while ensuring that employees' interests are aligned with those of our shareholders;

we determine compensation awards based on the satisfaction of clearly defined criteria that the Committee established based on its independent review and analysis of our financial performance goals and comparisons with peer group companies;

we have specifically designed our compensation programs to reflect our compensation philosophy of incentivizing employees to perform with shareholders' interests in mind, building a successful senior leadership team, retaining key employees, motivating our senior leaders to perform at their highest levels and balancing incentives for short-term results with long-term company performance;

we limit the authority of senior management to enter into certain transactions that are inherently risky without Board approval, including certain hedging and foreign currency transactions over prescribed levels, acquisitions and divestitures and certain tax transactions, among others;





Table of Contents

we do not issue "mega grants" to any employees and we limit annual awards within industry benchmarks;

the shareholders approved the compensation of our named executive officers on an advisory basis in February 2011, and we have not materially changed or altered our programs since that time; and

the Oshkosh Corporation Executive Incentive Compensation Recoupment Policy provides us the right to recoup all or part of any incentive award actually paid to a covered executive if we are required to prepare an accounting restatement relating to our publicly-reported consolidated financial statements.

As a result of the review of management's risk assessment that we describe above, the Committee determined that our compensation programs effectively create a proper balance between appropriate risk-taking and competitive compensation. Based on the Committee's determination, we believe our compensation programs do not create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on our company.

Table of Contents**Summary Compensation Table**

The table below summarizes for our last three fiscal years the compensation paid to or earned by our Chief Executive Officer, our Chief Financial Officer and our next three highest paid executive officers. We refer to such individuals in this Proxy Statement as our named executive officers. As we discuss more fully in the notes to the table, we calculated amounts for equity awards based on SEC rules. Therefore, the amounts shown are not necessarily actual amounts we paid to these officers or that these officers will receive in the future. Information is not included for Mr. Blankfield for fiscal 2009 and 2010 because he did not become a named executive officer until fiscal 2011. Information is not included for Mr. Jones for fiscal 2009 because he did not become a named executive officer until fiscal 2010. Information is included for Mr. Bohn because Mr. Bohn served as our Chief Executive Officer until December 31, 2010, and such information includes all compensation paid to Mr. Bohn during fiscal 2011 under the terms of his employment agreement and his Retirement Agreement.

Name and Principal Position	Fiscal Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)(1)	Option Awards (\$)(1)	Change in Pension Value and Non-Equity Non-Qualified Incentive Plan Compensation			Total (\$)
						Deferred Earnings (\$)(2)	All Other Compensation (\$)(3)	(4)(5)	
Charles L. Szews, President and Chief Executive Officer	2011	983,037				960,126	1,013,334	5,536	2,962,033
	2010	684,950				1,095,920	437,290	14,117	2,232,277
	2009	583,377	345,200	1,421,880	244,116	432,342	3,873		3,030,788
David M. Sagehorn, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2011	561,155	652,925	646,365	326,797	211,237	8,299		2,406,778
	2010	465,003	768,920	855,799	558,004	84,193	17,871		2,749,790
	2009	411,021	172,600	736,950	124,434	45,590	2,191		1,492,786
Wilson R. Jones, Executive Vice President and President, Access Equipment	2011	438,078	386,750	367,850	361,681	37,894	7,393		1,599,646
	2010	355,385	566,675	622,399	330,712	35,326	81,625		1,992,122
Bryan J. Blankfield Executive Vice President, General Counsel and	2011	438,648	319,150	310,045	253,999	300,880	9,029		1,631,751

Edgar Filing: OSHKOSH CORP - Form PREC14A

Secretary

Joseph H. Kimmitt,	2011	402,162	246,675	241,730	232,871	494,853	15,720	1,634,011
Executive Vice President,	2010	390,886	97,160	625,512	469,063	248,970	15,243	1,846,834
Government Operations and Industry Relations	2009	361,265	103,560	424,830	104,601	190,955	11,869	1,197,080
Robert G. Bohn,	2011	2,147,070				679,990	107,879	2,934,939
Former Chief Executive Officer	2010	1,184,501			2,369,004	479,030	86,849	4,119,384
	2009	1,015,807	863,000	3,468,000	527,813	366,810	76,584	6,318,014

(1) As applicable SEC rules require, amounts in this column are based on the aggregate grant date fair value of awards to our named executive officers under our 2004 Incentive Stock and Awards Plan and our 2009 Incentive Stock and Awards Plan rather than actual amounts we paid to these officers or amounts that the officers actually realized or will realize as a result of these awards. We computed the aggregate grant date fair value of these awards in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, *Stock Compensation*, which we refer to as FASB ASC Topic 718, except that, in compliance with SEC requirements, for awards that are subject to performance conditions, we reported the value at the grant date based upon the probable outcome of such conditions. We based the fair value of stock awards on the market price of the shares awarded on the date of grant (which considers the value of dividends that the holder of restricted shares is entitled to receive). We calculated the fair values of option awards using a Black-Scholes valuation model. Note 17 to our audited consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011, which we included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K that we filed with the SEC on November 16, 2011, includes assumptions that we used in the calculation of these amounts.

Table of Contents

In fiscal 2011, we granted performance shares to certain of our named executive officers that vest at the end of the third fiscal year following the grant date. Our named executive officers earn performance shares only if our total shareholder return over the three year performance period compares favorably to that of a comparator group of companies. Potential payouts range from 0% to 200% of the target values for these awards. Where amounts in this column include these performance share awards, the amounts in the table assume achievement of the target level of performance (100% payout) for such awards. Assuming performance at the highest level, the aggregate grant date values of the stock awards for each of our named executive officers that received such awards during fiscal 2011 were as follows: (i) for Mr. Sagehorn, \$1,443,000; (ii) for Mr. Jones, \$817,700; (iii) for Mr. Blankfield, \$692,640; and (iv) for Mr. Kimmitt, \$548,340. We did not grant any performance share awards to Mr. Bohn or Mr. Szews during fiscal 2011.

(2) The amounts in this column reflect the actuarial increase from the prior year in the present value of the named executive officer's benefits under our applicable retirement plans that apply determined using the assumptions set forth in footnote (2) to the Pension Benefits Table below.

(3) We paid \$38,128 in life insurance premiums on behalf of Mr. Bohn in fiscal year 2011. We also provided Mr. Bohn certain perquisites and personal benefits including payment of expenses for tax preparation, legal and financial planning assistance, use of the corporate plane for travel to meetings of the other boards of directors on which he serves, and a yearly physical examination. In addition, we paid Mr. Bohn \$50,415 to reimburse him for taxes he incurred on his perquisites and on that additional payment.

(4) We paid relocation-related costs for Mr. Jones in connection with Mr. Jones' promotion to the position of President of our Access Equipment segment in June 2010. These costs included temporary living expenses and costs related to Mr. Jones' and his spouse's travel to purchase a home in Maryland on a regularly scheduled business flight of the company plane.

(5) We provided Mr. Kimmitt certain perquisites and personal benefits, including use of an automobile. We also provided Mr. Kimmitt health benefits at no incremental cost to us and the use of the company plane for his spouse to travel to a company business event.

Table of Contents**Grants of Plan Based Awards**

The table below sets forth information regarding all incentive plan awards that we granted to our named executive officers in fiscal 2011 under our 2009 Incentive Stock and Awards Plan.

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards(1)			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards(2)			All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Underlying Options	All Other Awards: Base Price of Option Awards	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (\$)(3)	
		Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)	Threshold (#)	Target (#)	Maximum (#)	Units (#)	Options Awards (\$/Sh)		
Charles L. Szews	9/19/2011	500,000	1,000,000	2,000,000							
David M. Sagehorn	9/19/2011	169,500	339,000	678,000	13,750	27,500	55,000	20,000	61,500	19.24	1,299,290
Wilson R. Jones	9/19/2011	132,000	264,000	528,000	7,500	15,000	30,000	12,500	35,000	19.24	754,600
Ryan J. Blankfield	9/19/2011	131,742	263,484	526,968	6,500	13,000	26,000	10,000	29,500	19.24	629,195
Joseph H. Kimmitt	9/19/2011	120,784	241,567	483,134	5,250	10,500	21,000	7,500	23,000	19.24	488,405
Robert G. Bohn	9/19/2011										

(1) The amounts shown represent the threshold, target and maximum awards that each of our named executive officers can earn under our annual cash incentive plan for fiscal year 2012 as we describe more fully under "Compensation Discussion and Analysis Annual Cash Incentive Awards."

(2) The amounts shown represent the threshold, target and maximum amounts of performance share awards that we awarded in fiscal year 2011 to the named executive officers under our 2009 Incentive Stock and Awards Plan as we describe more fully under "Compensation Discussion and Analysis Equity Based Long-Term Incentive Awards Performance Share Awards." The threshold amount is total shareholder return at or above the 40th percentile as compared to total shareholder return of the group of companies comprising the Standard & Poor's MidCap 400 Index over a three year performance period. Payments are prorated for performance between the 40th and 80th percentiles. We pay the awards that executives earn in shares of our Common Stock on a one-for-one basis and include credit for any dividends the Board approves during the performance period. However, we do not pay dividends or dividend equivalents with respect to unearned performance share awards.

(3) The dollar amount shown reflects the grant date fair value of the stock options that we granted in fiscal year 2011 calculated in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. Performance share awards are reflected at the target payout level, which is based on the probable outcome of the actual performance. If performance share awards were reflected at maximum payout levels, the totals in this column would be \$2,089,365 for Mr. Sagehorn, \$1,185,550 for Mr. Jones, \$1,002,685 for Mr. Blankfield and \$790,070 for Mr. Kimmitt.

Table of Contents**Outstanding Equity Awards at September 30, 2011**

The table below sets forth information on outstanding stock options and awards and unvested stock awards that our named executive officers held on September 30, 2011.

Name	Option Awards					Stock Awards			
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Exercisable (#)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)(1)(2)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards Number of Securities Underlying Exercised Options (#)	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date (1)(2)	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)(3)(4)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Other Rights That Have Not Vested (#)(5)(6)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Other Rights That Have Not Vested (\$)(4)(5)(6)
Charles L. Szews	34,000			28.27	10/14/14			20,000	314,800
	40,800			41.04	10/19/15				
	37,800			49.98	10/18/16				
	52,200			54.63	10/17/17				
	114,334			12.04	10/16/18				
David M. Sagehorn	54,666	27,334		32.10	09/14/16				
	3,000			28.27	10/14/14	33,334	524,677	51,500	810,610
	3,000			41.04	10/19/15				
	3,000			49.98	10/18/16				
	12,800			54.63	10/17/17				
	84,000			12.04	10/16/18				
	28,333	14,167		32.10	09/14/16				
Wilson R. Jones	18,333	36,667		28.73	09/20/17				
		61,500		19.24	09/19/18				
	2,000			49.98	10/18/16	20,834	327,927	31,000	487,940
	10,000			54.63	10/17/17				
	40,000			12.04	10/16/18				
Bryan J. Blankfield	16,333	8,167		32.10	09/14/16				
	13,333	26,667		28.73	09/20/17				
		35,000		19.24	09/19/18				
Bryan J. Blankfield	45,000			19.75	10/15/13	10,000	157,400	26,500	417,110
	14,600			28.27	10/14/14				
	24,800			41.04	10/19/15				

Edgar Filing: OSHKOSH CORP - Form PREC14A

	23,300		49.98	10/18/16				
	25,800		54.63	10/17/17				
	63,000		12.04	10/16/18				
	17,000	8,500	32.10	09/14/16				
	9,166	18,334	28.73	09/20/17				
		29,500	19.24	09/19/18				
Joseph H. Kimmitt	14,000		28.27	10/14/14	7,500	118,050	23,500	369,890
	16,600		41.04	10/19/15				
	18,000		49.98	10/18/16				
	18,400		54.63	10/17/17				
	42,000		12.04	10/16/18				
	16,333	8,167	32.10	09/14/16				
	13,400	26,800	28.73	09/20/17				
		23,000	19.24	09/19/18				
Robert G. Bohn	141,500		41.04	10/19/15			36,110	568,371
	135,000		49.35	10/19/16				
	150,000		54.63	10/17/17				
	128,000		12.04	10/16/18				
	66,667	66,667	32.10	09/14/16				

---

(1) All options that expire on October 15, 2013, October 14, 2014, October 19, 2015, October 19, 2016, October 18, 2016, October 17, 2017 and October 16, 2018 expire ten years and one month from the date of grant and vest ratably over a three year period beginning with the first 33.3% vesting one year after the date of grant, the second 33.3% vesting two years after the date of grant and the final 33.4% vesting three years after the date of grant.

Table of Contents

(2) All options that expire on or after September 14, 2016, other than those described in footnote 1 above, expire seven years from the date of grant and vest ratably over a three year period beginning with the first 33.3% vesting one year after the date of grant, the second 33.3% vesting two years after the date of grant and the final 33.4% vesting three years after the date of grant. As noted above, Mr. Bohn retired as Chief Executive Officer effective December 31, 2010 and his employment continued until November 30, 2011. Under the terms of our options grants and Mr. Bohn's Retirement Agreement, Mr. Bohn's options that had not previously vested as of November 30, 2011 vested on such date.

(3) The vesting dates for all restricted shares that our named executive officers held at September 30, 2011 are as follows:

<b>Name</b>	<b>Vesting Date of Restricted Shares</b>	
	<b>Date</b>	<b>No. of Shares</b>
Charles L. Szews		
David M. Sagehorn	09/20/12	6,667
	09/20/13	6,667
	09/19/12	6,666
	09/19/13	6,667
	09/19/14	6,667
Wilson R. Jones	05/24/12	4,167